

Agenda item: LD 28/22 a)

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To consider Application no: 22/02035/FUL

Proposal: Installation of security fencing with pedestrian and vehicle access gates (Regulation 3 application)

At: Hedgerows Sure Start Childrens Centre, Netherfield Playzone, Langland Road, Netherfield, Milton Keynes, MK6 4NP.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. That the committee notes this report and associated documents
2. That the committee offers any views on this application, considering the rules around 'material considerations' only.
3. That if the committee considers there are any valid objections, that these are provided and passed to the planning authority by the Council Manager before 15th September 2022

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

This application is to extend the fence that is around the school in include the Family Centre.

This will, according to the application, provide 'increased level of protection against future trespass and vandalism'. The applications states that this has been an issue for many years, including the need to replace the roof much earlier than they should have needed to.

The Planning Statement is somewhat inaccurate about the school (it appears that the writer has confused Langlands with Redway), but this whilst showing a lack of knowledge does not detract from the overall application.



This is the current view that will be covered by the fencing / gate. There is considerable 'unprotected' boundary and the application covers the full length, surrounding the centre.

The fencing / gate is shown in the pictures below, showing the school next door.



This is relatively a straightforward application. There may be some argument using the 'visual amenity' element, but this is unlikely to be suitable / relevant in this case.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

None.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

<https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=RG103AKW0IQ00>

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager

Agenda item: LD 28/22 b)

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To consider Application no: 22/02125/FUL

Proposal: New external ramp with new railings, new external walkway with new railings, new pergolas, new external front balconies with roof, new external rear balconies with roof, new props, new external staircase, new main roof tiles, new fascia boards, new external doors and windows (Regulation 3 application under the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992)

At: 9, Harrier Court, Eaglestone, Milton Keynes, MK6 5BZ.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. That the committee notes this report and associated documents.**
- 2. That the committee offers any views on this application, considering the rules around 'material considerations' only.**
- 3. That if the committee considers there are any valid objections, that these are provided and passed to the planning authority by the Council Manager before 22nd September 2022.**

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

This is a resubmission of the previously agreed application for Harrier Court. The original application was declined due to concerns from the heritage team, but these should have now been resolved, leading to this fresh application.

The difference between the previous one and this one is negligible and relates mainly to very specific elements; type of roof tiles, front doors and spacing of uprights. These have been resolved through discussions within MKC.

As with the previous application, those involved are eager to see action after a long period of inactivity and many years of scaffold and disruption. This application appears to find the balance between maintaining a 'building of interest' (albeit at the lower end of interest spectrum) with getting the repairs that are desperately needed done.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

None.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

<https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=RH2HFBKWIXK00>

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager

Agenda item: LD 30/22

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To update the committee on applications received over the summer.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the committee notes the report.

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

Due to the August break, there have been some applications that have been made which haven't been considered by this committee. These were not considered to be controversial and therefore an additional meeting was not called. This is for information only, but committee members may wish to consider any 'out of time' response, if the assessment is different from that of the Clerk.

Application no: 22/01635/HOU Proposal: The erection of a single storey front infill extension (re-submission of ref. 22/01240/HOU) At: 24 Marram Close Beanhill Milton Keynes MK6 4LS

<https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/onlineapplications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=REA4V3KWHER00>

A household extension, residential. Previously discussed at this committee with changes made to comply with planning department advice.

Application no: 22/01650/FUL Proposal: The installation of a fuel tank At: Hertz Rent A Car Chesney Wold Bleak Hall Milton Keynes MK6 1LS

<https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/onlineapplications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=REC7VGKWHG500>

Simple application on industrial estate for a fuel tank.

Application no: 22/01900/ADV Proposal: Advertisement consent for the installation of 3no freestanding EVC metal signs At: Shell UK Ltd Leadenhall Grafton Street H7 To H8 Milton Keynes MK6 5LY <https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/onlineapplications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RF78KWI7S00>

<https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/onlineapplications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RF78KWI7S00>

Application for additional signage at the petrol station

Application no: 22/01899/FUL Proposal: The installation of new electric vehicle chargers, equipment compound and substation with the demolition of car wash At: Shell UK Ltd Leadenhall Grafton Street H7 To H8 Milton Keynes MK6 5LY

<https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/onlineapplications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RFRU6UKWI7R00>

Linked to above – electric charging points at the Shell petrol station

Application no: 22/01838/ADV Proposal: Advertisement consent for 2x internally illuminated fabricated 1.2mm stainless steel panel fascia signs At: Milton Keynes College Woughton Campus Chaffron Way V6 To V7 Milton Keynes MK6 5LP

<https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/onlineapplications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RFDHK0KWI0Y00>

Signage on the college, as part of the overall refurb.

Application no: 22/01962/DISCON Proposal: Approval of details required by condition 12 (Bird & Bat boxes) of permission ref. 21/03437/FUL At: Former Arcadia Unit Merton Drive Redmoor Milton Keynes MK6 4AG <https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/onlineapplications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RG4T12KWIFK00>

Information relating to birds and bats on the industrial estate renewal of the Arcadia site.

Links to the relevant papers and applications are provided.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS:

None.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Committee may wish to consider whether this approach is appropriate for future years or whether the ‘no meetings in August’ should be adjusted to enable oversight.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

No papers, but everything accessible online via the links.

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager

Agenda item: LD 31/22

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To update the committee on planning and licensing decisions issued.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the committee notes this report.

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

Since last meeting, the following decisions have been issued by the planning department.

Application No: 22/01234/PRIOR. Prior approval for the installation of an 18 metre high monopole supporting 6 no. antennas and 2 no. transmission dishes, 4 no. equipment cabinets and development works ancillary thereto. At: Land At Barnfield Drive Netherfield Milton Keynes

This was **REFUSED** due to the reasons stated in the WCC objection – siting, visual impact and proximity to residential properties.

Application no: 22/01447/FUL. Car park management system consisting of 1 x column mounted camera and 1 x column mounted cabinet At: Waterside Peartree Bridge Milton Keynes MK6 3BX

And

Application no: 22/01448/ADV. Advertisement consent for the display of 4 x non-illuminated car park management system signs At: Waterside Peartree Bridge Milton Keynes MK6 3BX

These were both **PERMITTED**.

Application no: 22/01496/FUL. Replacement of an existing flat roof with a pitched tile roof. At: Peartree Centre 1 Chadds Lane Peartree Bridge Milton Keynes MK6 3EB

This was **PERMITTED**.

Application no: 22/01307/FULM. Variation of condition 8 (Biodiversity Enhancement (Compliance)) (attached to planning ref. 20/01433/FUL for the demolition of single storey prefabricated Ambulatory Emergency Care Unit and partial demolition of two storey Ambulance Service building and the erection of new 2 storey building to accommodate same day emergency care and short stay unit for adults.) At: Milton Keynes General Hospital Standing Way Eaglestone Milton Keynes MK6 5LD

This was **PERMITTED**

Application no: 22/01608/FULM. Variation of condition 1 (Approved Plans) seeking to omit all two-storey extensions and retain the existing landscaping to the rear of the property only (relating to permission ref. 20/01187/FUL Extensions and alterations to the existing building including the provision of 3 additional residential units and the erection of a combined bin and cycle storage area, the enlargement of the parking area and landscaping improvements) At: Hornbeam Court Langland Road Netherfield Milton Keynes

This was **PERMITTED**

Application no: 22/01635/HOU. The erection of a single storey front infill extension (re-submission of ref. 22/01240/HOU) At: 24 Marram Close Beanhill Milton Keynes MK6 4LS

This was **PERMITTED**

The above applications are broadly in line with this committees' views, where these were offered. It should be noted that those with 'permitted' permission are often subjected to conditions relating to the application.

The Council Manager has also been asked to provide feedback regarding the licensing application for Netherfield Post Office. Unfortunately, the MKC website for licensing only works with Internet Explorer, which is no longer used by anyone. Further information has been requested by email and will be provided at the meeting if received in time.

It is noted that there is a page dedicated to reporting concerns about licensed premises - <https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/environmental-health/licensing/alcohol-entertainment-late-night-refreshment/complaints-and>

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS:

None noted.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

None noted.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

All papers and decision can be found at <https://publicaccess2.milton-keynes.gov.uk/online-applications/> using the above reference numbers for quick access.

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager

Notification of Representation –

IMPORTANT:

To submit a representation to the application above you may use this form, or contact us in writing separately. Once submitted to us your representation will become a public document and will be provided to the applicant. The applicant may wish to speak to you about your representation. Anonymous representations are only accepted in exceptional circumstances. It is an offence to knowingly or recklessly make a false statement in connection with this application.

Netherfield Post Office, 23 Farthing Grove, Netherfield, Milton Keynes, MK6 4JH	
Last Date for Representations: 28 days from 27/06/2022	
Your Name: Planning Committee - Woughton Community Council	
Local Centre Garraways Coffee Hall Milton Keynes , MK6 5EG	
Tel: 01908 395681	Email: steve.mcnay@woughtoncommunitycouncil.gov.uk

Which of the Licensing Objectives does your representation relate:

1. Crime and Disorder:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
2. Public Safety:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
3. Public Nuisance:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
4. Protection of children from harm:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Please explain how the above objectives would be undermined if a licence is granted?

Following discussions at the Planning, Licensing and Development Committee on July 4th 2022, and further discussions after some clarification from the licensing officers, the council has the following comments / concerns regarding the above licence application.

Netherfield is a residential estate, with many families, children and young people living in and around the local centre. There are considerable concerns already about the use of alcohol and its impact on residents and businesses. Figures show considerably higher levels of key crimes within the vicinity (see <https://www.adt.co.uk/crime-in-my-area>) :

	Within 1 mile	National Average
Violence and sexual offences	47	32
Public Order	22	8
Shop lifting	47	4

This suggests 300% more public order, 1000% higher rates of shoplifting and significantly higher rates of the more serious violence and sexual offences.

There are also concerns about the number of licences within the vicinity, the draw that this already has on people wanting or needing alcohol and the knock-on impact on the locale. With anecdotal evidence also suggesting that licences are managed to varying levels (i.e. underage sales, sales to those already under the influence, etc), local concerns are notable.

The local centre is also home to Addiction Recovery Community (ARC), the service that works with people around alcohol and other addictions. Whilst the service isn't open at the times the applicant is asking to extend to, there is an additional risk that early morning usage would increase impact on users of that service.

Some of these issues are wider issues for the local centre management and licensing enforcement. The committee would welcome a more robust approach, with 'secret shopper' visits, checking of the letting arrangement on the units (it is believed that some units have been unlawfully 'split', meaning additional premises have been created) and monitoring around licence compliance. However, this application is likely to exacerbate an existing problem, especially with the early morning extension.

The committee asks that the extension is declined as concerns remain about the prevalence of alcohol sales outlets and the impact this has on the locale.

You can continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

Can the applicant do anything to address your concerns? Yes No

Please state any measure or requirement that the applicant could undertake to resolve your concerns.

The committee would prefer to see a reduction in the licences provided to this residential estate and as such, the applicant to remain within the existing agreements. The council requests that if there is currently a 10pm 'cut off' for sales within the local centre (either by virtue of licence conditions OR by virtue of shop opening hours / custom and practice) that this remains and no further licences be issued, including this one.

It is also acknowledged that if other outlets are currently trading between 10-11 with a licence that allows this, then preventing this applicant from having the same conditions may be seen as a restriction too far. To help address this, the council would ask that the applicant and others within the local centre support 'mystery shopper' visits to ensure compliance with any licence conditions.

Signed.....

Dated: 20th July 2022.....

Agenda item: LD 33/22

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To propose support for the creation of Estate Renewal Forums, both for the parish and the four (4) 'renewal' estates (namely Coffee Hall, Beanhill, Netherfield and Tinkers Bridge).

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. That Committee notes this report and associated documents.**
- 2. That Committee agrees to work with MKC to develop Estate Renewal Forums (ERFs) including estate specific and one overarching on for the parish.**
- 3. That Committee understands the limitations of the ERF and accepts the imperfections of the current situation, whilst acknowledging the importance of involvement.**

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

The issue of Estate Renewal Forums (ERF's) has been discussed for many months, with estate renewal remaining a focus for the principal authority despite some confusion about what this means.

A cornerstone of the process from MKC's viewpoint is ERF's – forums that include relevant stakeholders from the various estates and areas to help define and decide on what 'renewal' should look like, what it should (or shouldn't) involve and consider how best to proceed with any projects, initiatives, finding bids or otherwise.

There is a lack of clarity around what is up for discussion within these forums – no clear budget (although some suggestion that some money will be provided), no clarity around possibilities or limitations, no detail of planned maintenance issues and limited understanding from anyone as to what might or might not happen as a result of any of this.

There are also diverse views with regard to the value of another forum with some feeling that Resident Associations already provide oversight.

The ERF's are planned to include RA's, WCC, MKC, businesses, schools, etc. depending on the estate, but this is to be confirmed, presumably as they are created.

Other concerns have been noted, including a flawed baseline of information (felt likely to include areas NOT within the parish, but potentially named 'Woughton'), numerous previous projects that have started and been abandoned (e.g. roofs) and the lack of clarity around high level 'buy in' (e.g. guarantees from MKC, NHS, etc.).

These issues need resolution – again, it is unclear as to whether this can happen before any ERF is created or will come as part of the development.

With these concerns in mind and with the limitations that are apparent taken into consideration, the recommendation is that WCC supports the creation of and delivery of ERF's for the parish, playing a full and active role within these. This is based on the view that influence from within is better than being outside with no say.

Attached to this paper are two documents – the strategy and the Terms of Reference for ERF's – it is unclear as to whether these remain active documents or if they have been superseded by others.

The MKC Lead Officer for the creation of the ERF's will also be in attendance at this meeting.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS:

It is likely that some officer involvement will be needed, but that these groups will predominantly be councillor led.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

None.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

Community Led Regeneration and Estate Renewal Strategy – Draft

Estate Renewal Forum TOR Draft

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager

Agenda item: LD 34/22

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To look at the Housing policies elements of the Neighbourhood Plan (WN9 – WN11 incl), reviewing suitability and suggesting any changes.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. That the committee notes this report and the relevant passages of the Neighbourhood Plan.**
- 2. That the committee considers the suitability of:**
 - a. Housing Policies**
 - i. Policy WN9 – Housing Design**
 - ii. Policy WN10 – Housing Mix in Regeneration Grid Squares**
 - iii. Policy WN11 – Houses in Multiple Occupation**
- 3. That this process continues, considering different elements each meeting until the entire policy has been reviewed.**

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

Continuing the initial review of the Neighbourhood Plan, this paper looks at the three (3) policies that fall under the 'Housing Policies' heading. This paper will continue in the same vein as the previous meeting, looking at each point individually and providing a starting point for further discussion.

WN9 – Housing Design

This area looks at general elements of housing / building design. Questions include:

- a) Is uniform design still important, with specific estate appearances?
- b) Building heights – 2 or 3 storeys?
- c) Building heights exceptions – remaining as is (local centres, industrial estates or medical campus)
- d) Maintaining the 'terrace' approach, rather than any alternative (e.g. Robins Hill)
- e) Flats only at local centres?
- f) Are gardens essential?
- g) Maintenance of wide roads – remains important?
- h) No cul-de-sacs – why is this important?
- i) Is straight so important? Are curves acceptable?

This is a key element of the plan and as it stands, details an approach that maintains the distinct design of the area – low level, low density, straight lines, terraced / semi detached housing and flats only around the local centres. This is different from the

applications that have recently been discussed (and in some cases agreed) by this committee (e.g. Cripps Lodge has flatted accommodation, not next to a local centre).

With the changes over the past five years, do these priorities remain the same?

WN10 Housing Mix in Regeneration Grid Squares.

Specifically around the estates that were originally regeneration, now renewal estates (Netherfield, Tinkers Bridge, Beanhill and Coffee Hall). This details more fully the size, scope and approach to take within these estates:

- a) Details the size of homes for majority
- b) Details number of large homes
- c) Details number of smaller homes, including flats
- d) Details number of bungalows
- e) Details social housing aspirations
- f) Details affordable homes aspirations
- g) Details 5% of 'custom builders'
- h) Details supported, sheltered and older persons schemes.

Whilst the new 'estate renewal' approach changes the urgency of this element of the plan, the underlying approach remains quite detailed. Again, there is some disparity between this plan and the agreements reached on key developments (Cripps Lodge) – whilst housing mix is about right, no serviced plots for custom builders. Consideration of what remains important – is the evidence base the same? Are there the same demands for supported / sheltered accommodation? Is custom building essential?

WN11 – Houses in Multiple Occupation.

This policy details the level of HMO prevalence allowed within each grid square. This depends on MKC keeping accurate records and landlords registering their HMO's. There is a specific policy paper (attached) that was developed around this area for WCC which may be of value when considering this element.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN 2017 – 2031 FINAL PLAN.

Position Paper on HMOs V1

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager

Agenda item: LD 35/22

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To provide information regarding the review of Neighbourhood Plans, following attendance at two (2) training sessions and proposals for further work.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. That the committee notes the report.**
- 2. That the committee considers the information provided in the report and associated slides when reviewing the Neighbourhood Plan (NP).**
- 3. That the review process continues over the coming months, until the entire document has been reviewed.**
- 4. That the committee considers whether a full review, with associated funding bids and appointment of specialist officers should happen and if so, consideration of timescales.**

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

This committee has, over the past few months, begun the process of reviewing the Neighbourhood Plan (NP) that was 'made' in November 2017. With policy changes having taken place and a five-year period having elapsed, this review is necessary and provides a chance to ensure the policy remains valid. The early review sessions suggest that whilst the overall ethos remains appropriate, there may be some changes needed to the specifics (e.g. the 'protected' spaces).

As part of the preparation for the review, the Council Manager and Chair of Council attended two sessions around reviewing NP's – one run online by NALC and one 'in person' via BMKALC which was facilitated by Neil Horner, who was involved in the creation of the original WCC NP. These sessions were very different, with the in-person session being far more useful – the slides from this session are attached.

The message from the sessions was:

- That this is still a very new situation and there are not clear rules / regulations – much of this is still being decided, mostly through trial and error
- That there are four main options
 - o Minor adjustments (likely to be changeable with discussions with MKC)
 - o Material modifications that are relatively minor (likely to be examined, but no need for referendum)
 - o Material modifications that change significant elements (likely to be examined and referendum)
 - o Full replacement with wholesale changes (examined and referendum)

- Linking to the principal authority plan (Plan:MK in Milton Keynes) will help ensure that the process is smooth.
- That there is funding available for reviewing, similar to that which was provided for the original creation of the NP (up to £18,000 plus additional technical support)
- That consideration should be given to the nature of any review, ensuring that there isn't 'mission creep' and retaining the focus of the plan, the essential elements, etc.

This is a brief overview and, as mentioned, there remains much to consider. This is likely to become clearer once the internal review has been completed, as this will provide some clarity in terms of the depth and breadth of the changes that are felt necessary.

It is recommended that:

- This committee continue to review the existing plan, clarifying any changes that may be needed and therefore clarifying (or aiming to clarify) which option is most likely – minor, material (minor), material (significant) or full rewrite.
- That once this has been completed, if significant change is needed, that funding is applied for, and relevant steps taken to undertake the review. This is especially important if a referendum is likely.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS:

A review of this type will, undoubtedly, take additional hours and staff resource. It is understood that previously, a specific officer was appointed to manage the NP process – if this is possible, it is recommended that this happens.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

The Council Manager recommends that the committee considers the current broader situation within the parish and considers whether this process is currently a priority, especially if there is additional financial resource needed. This may NOT be the case if funding is available.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

BMKALC Slide Pack on NP Review - July 2022.

Final Woughton Neighbourhood Plan amended October 2017.

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager

Agenda item: LD 36/22

WOUGHTON COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Planning, Licencing & Development Committee

Monday 5th September 2022

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To inform the committee of a current consultation regarding the Parking Standards linked to planning.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. That the committee notes this report.**
- 2. That the committee considers any responses and send details for collation to the Council Manager to write a council response.**
- 3. That committee members, other councillors and members of the public also consider any individual responses, bearing in mind that these should be described as such.**

MAIN ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS:

Dear Councillor,

Draft Milton Keynes Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document

Milton Keynes Council has published the Draft Milton Keynes Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) for consultation and inviting comments from members of the public, organisations, and other stakeholders for an eight-week period.

Consultation on the document will commence on Wednesday 10 August 2022 and comments must be received no later than **5.00pm on 05 October 2022.**

The Parking Standards SPD will provide technical guidance throughout the planning process and supplements the policies within the Transport and Connectivity chapter of Plan:MK. The SPD will enable the implementation of Policies CT6 , CT10 and other policies of the Plan:MK.

The SPD will support policies in Plan: MK and will be considered a material consideration in the determination of planning applications submitted to the Council. Copies of the draft SPD, consultation response form, guidance note and further information regarding this consultation is available via the Council's website at the following link:

<https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-policy/draft-parking-standards-supplementary-planning-document>

Documents are available to view at Civic Offices by appointment only, and public libraries when open. To make an appointment at Civic Offices please contact us at the addresses below or by phone on 01908 691691.

The preferred method for submitting comments is on a Consultation Response Form which can be downloaded at the link provided above. Please return your completed form to us via:

Email: development.plans@milton-keynes.gov.uk

Or post: Milton Keynes Council
Development Plans
Civic Offices
1 Saxon Gate East
Central Milton Keynes
MK9 3EJ

If you need further information or assistance regarding the consultation or if you would like to express interest participating in a virtual workshop about the SPD, please contact us via email at: development.plans@milton-keynes.gov.uk.

You have received this letter because you have either commented at an earlier stage in the document's preparation or you are registered on the Council's planning policy consultation database. If you no longer wish to receive notifications of planning policy documents, please let us know by emailing development.plans@milton-keynes.gov.uk or by calling 01908 691691.

We look forward to hearing any comments that you may have as part of this consultation.

Yours faithfully,

Paul Thomas
Service Director – Planning and Place Making
Milton Keynes Council

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS:

None.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

None.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

<https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/consultations/milton-keynes-council-draft-parking-standards-supplementary-planning-document>

AUTHOR

Steve McNay – Council Manager