- 1 1-

WHEREAS, Application No. 4009 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Katherine Claflin to construct an addition to an existing building at 3022 - 45th Street on Lots 21 to 25 inclusive, Block 7, Clifton Addition to City Heights, and to operate as a hospital, full time, with a maximum of 40 beds; required setback to be maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3236, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO; CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

В у.....

####### Chairman

Res. No. 1801

6. JA

| Contraction in the second seco | |
|--|---|
| Application Received 9/18/46 By | Baughman City Planing Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/46+9/25/46 By | Burton + Rick |
| Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/46 Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner | City Planning Department Hearing date 19/9/46 |
| Decision approved | Date 10/9/44 |
| Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner | Building Inspector 911/91 0/11/96 Health Department 911/96 + Peace |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

The second states of the second

metricaties.

/ erainion is fereng eruted to engrand is perme diction to construct m oblibion to em existin [alitin et SP22 = 4 plu tract on motall to EU indictive, lines (,, ediftion continue to lite et als, and to to exact a s regult by full the, sit a membran of 10 bases rerested by full the, sit a membran of 10 bases rerested by full the, sit a membran of 10 bases rerested by full the, sit a membran of 10 bases rerested by full the, sit a membran of 10 bases rerested by full the, sit a membran of 10 bases rerested by full the states are been as a second set of the bases of the bases of the second second second second set of the bases of the bases of the second secon

N. C. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. 4107 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The patition of Henry and Katherine Claflin to construct a building at 3022 - 45th Street on Lots 21 to 25 inclusive, Block 7, Glifton Addition to City Heights, with no setback, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By ...

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

Alsebratory Chairman

Res. No. 1802

| | the state of the set | 2 1 | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--------|
| pplication Received | 9/18/46 | By Baughman City Flanning Department | |
| | | | to |
| nvestigation made | 1/23/76 | By Joning Comm. Rick + Bur City Planning Department | |
| onsidered by Zoning C | ommittee 9/25/46 | Hearing date 10/9/46 Date 19/9/46 | |
| ecision denied | t to City Close / Al | Date 19/1/10 | ••••• |
| lanning Commission 10 | 10/46 Petitioner | Date 99990 996 Building Inspector 10/10/96 10/10/96 Health Department 0/10/96 Council Hearing, date | 1 00 |
| ppeal filed with City ecision of Council | Clerk, date | Date | •••••• |
| esolution becomes effe | ective | | |
| pplication withdrawn | | Continued to | |
| ime limit extended to | | Date of action | •••••• |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| The second state of the second | A Contraction of the | | |
| de nerge ferting in | E REAL PROPERTY | a to the restart heattact mays | |
| Contraction Contraction | and have be that they wan | Thinks of mightings is find the state | |
| Girling . nam | | | |
| | OT TOPO T DO T | olicivo, lociol, clifton in Strict to | |
| The distribution of her | A WHI PUP COMING | The state of a set of the set of the set of the set of the set | |
| | | 아니네 나는 것은 물건을 물건을 다 가지 않는 것이 없는 것을 수 있는 것이 없다. | |
| | | till to constant a statistic for an | |
| | | ante la Construct a la la la table t | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| and a primary a | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

WHEREAS, Application No. 4127 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Kathrine H. Won Dreden and Mrs. Barbara. Stacey to add two spartments above an existing building at 1826 Robinson Street on the West 75 ft. of Lots 25, 26 and 27, Block 249, University Heights, with 377 sc. ft. over coverage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

SHANA Chairman

Res. No. 1803

0

Contractor and Application Received 9/17/46 By Planning Department Investigation made 9/25/46 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 Hearing date 10/9/46 Decision Amended Cat. Approved Date 10/9/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/10/46 Building Inspector 13/11/46 8/11/46 Planning Commission / 9/11/46 Petitioner 19/11/46 Health Department 19/11/46 & Game Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____Continued to _____

HERE'S CARD, STR. T. S. M.

v richde to the movi loss of minance of the post of the state of the second second second of the second sec

teniterion.ls Prach(rout 0 to us. setting of lenumered is a setting of lenumered is a setting of the setting of lenumered in the setting of lenumered in the setting of the setting of lenumered is the set of the set

410 t

TSA

110.7 4

-112

WHEREAS, Application No. 4105 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **mothes** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Marie Seibel, and Mary Matthis, owners and San Diego County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, purchaser, to conduct an Insurance Office in an existing building at 3699 Park Boulevard on the Nly 10 ft. of Lot 2 and all of Lot 1, Block 248, University Heights, with a sign as permitted in any R-4 zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12955, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

FORM 2145

By

########## Chairman

Res. We. 1 Sel

| State of the state | |
|--|--|
| Application Received 9/18/46 | By South |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 9/25/46 | By Clark Sellen & Burton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 | Hearing date 10/9/46 Date 10/9/46 We Building Inspector 10/11/46 10/11/46 Health Department 19/11/4646 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/9/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to, City Clerk/0/10/ | V6 Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner | 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/464 Class |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Jaco Martina |
| -pplication withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

relation to the provide on the relation of the solution of the solution to be and the relation

Permission is the spectrum and the factor of all and the factor of a spectrum of the factor of the f

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 4088 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. Davis, owner and Charles Kunzelman, purchaser, to conduct a Retail Store for sale of Toys, with outdoor display, on the east side of 47th Street., approximately 300 ft. south of Federal Boulevard on Lot 55, Federal Boulevard Subdivision Unit No. 2, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution and with a sign as permitted in any R-4 sone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2308, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

+FHAMMY Chairman

0.K

 Application Received
 9/23/46
 By
 Garrish

 Investigation made
 10/9/46
 By
 But Rick

 Considered by Zoning Committee
 10/9/46
 Hearing date

 Decision
 Considered by Zoning Committee
 10/9/46

 Decision
 Considered by Zoning Committee
 10/9/46

 Decision
 Considered by Zoning Committee
 10/9/46

 Decision
 Construct Approval
 Date

 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/9/0/46
 Building Inspector 10/11/46

 Planning Commission
 P/11/46
 Health Department/9/11/46

 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
 Council Hearing, date
 Date

 Decision of Council
 Date
 Date

 Resolution becomes effective
 Application withdrawn
 Continued to

 Time limit extended to
 Date of action

persit a neri incorrer el parternete a la contente de la contente de la contente de la contente de la contente

ne witter i da ante, -j'starde.

LEVILLE MO

1 1 - 2

100

0000

16 4 04 ···

1022

WHEREAS, Application No. 4153 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to E. E. Klein to construct a 14 ft. by 18 ft. addition to an existing residence at 2421 - 33rd Street on Lot 3, Block 40, Eastern Addition and maintain an 18 ft. rear yard for the addition; to be used as a playroom with bedroom above.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

FORM 2145

By

######## Chairman

Res. No. 1806

6. K

| Color and Color and the | |
|---|--|
| Application Received 9/26/46 | By City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 | City Huming Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/4 | Hearing date Date <u>19/9/46</u> O/46 Building Inspector <u>10/11/46</u> F <u>10/11/46</u> Health Department <u>10/11/4646</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 19/ | Date Date Difference Description Descripti Description Description Description Description |
| Planning Commission 19/11/46 Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk date | r 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/4640 |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

NEW STREET, COMPANY

is were used to the provisions of emiscance to. The sections of b , ind is here we exactly reactions that a not a section to the protection entropy of the section.

Map

uy.¢

WHEREAS, Application No. 4159 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Coronado Development Company to construct a garage on Lot 18, Block I, Redland Gardens Extension, 4600 Hinson Place, with a 2 ft. setback on the turn-around, but maintaining general 10 ft. setback on Hinson Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

saustars Chairman

Res. No. 1807

0. K

| Coropeta TO'r | |
|--|---|
| Application Received 9/26/46 | By Coppock |
| | |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 | By |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 | Hearing date Date 96 Building Inspector 10/11/46 Health Department 19/11/464 Case Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/9/96 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/ | 16 Building Inspector 18/11/46 |
| Appeal filed mith City Containing Petitioner | 10/11/49 Health Department 9/11/498 Canal |
| Decision of Council | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

and a proving in they related to the property and the short not above. A vest new to the crowling of this date of 1.3.1. "", on in here of

gives and a second second and the pro- concerned and the

Pertianion in brind. Undied to corouse stain ross a real to construct a correct one hot L, start , sclipping prena risking the construct a slib of the methods on the thran-risking that have like in set sold on therm like.

wig .

WHEREAS, Application No. 1150 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene and Ruth Lamb to conduct Lepidary business 5 hours per day in an existing garage at 2636 Montelair Street on Lot 33, Block "P", Montelair, with no retail sales and no advertising on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated 1946

FORM 2145

Better Chairman

Res. No. 1808

0, K

| | 0 0 |
|--|--|
| Application Received 9/28/46 By | City Planing Department |
| | City Planning Department |
| istat i | Burton + Rick |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 | Hearing date Date 10/9/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46 0/11/46 Health Department/0/11/4640accoc Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/9/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /0/10/46 | Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner / | 0/11/46 Health Department/0/11/464 anecen |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

the . Spit + or stall

A with and the the providence of the moments for the start of the star

the provides.

12

i divin ion in care of (winted to there and make hit's to control is it. There an include a bound of the include on the first of the second of the include of the second o

non

not not WHEREAS, Application No. 4170 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Worthy and Vera Lee Andrews to add garage with apartment above, to existing residence with a 2 ft. sideyard at 2045 Ocean View Boulevard on the SELy 2 of Lot 11 and all of Lot 12, Block 279, San Diego Land & Yown Company; addition to maintain the required yard spaces.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

FORM 2145

Societary Chairman

Res. No. 1809

O.K

| Cetober-10, MS | 0 |
|---|--|
| Application Received 9/30/46 | By City Planning Department |
| | By Burton & Rick |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/96 | Hearing date Date <u>10/9/46</u> //6 Building Inspector <u>10/11/46</u> <u>10/11/46</u> Health Department <u>10/11/46 + Gases</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/9/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /6/10 | 196 Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner | 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Gases |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Sectision of Council | Date |
| resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

· Stor JALL

4

- 1-

A variance to ble portions of arthono as the , retime as in, and is levels granted indexes a but to but the to the interval granted indexes as but which to the to the interval shore.

S. I. ITT THEY THERE IN SALES

Levelaria i a later, puzza sa oziv, a dra sa anunto fordi rur a Lito merikima enora, ta litila romi reacisti - di. il engi ti mportera Viez o levizi on tranit dal dati li abili a litila il 1, Lioe dil e e di a adi e formi farma ; e litila farit in lie.

Not and a second s

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will notmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maria Olguin, owner and R. S. Spencer, lessee, to convert garage to dwelling at 2240 Newton Avenue on Lots 10 and 11, Block 126, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, with a 4 ft. 6 in. space between dwellings and a 9 ft. 6 in. single court as access to Newton Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

Ву.....

statten Chairman

Res. No. 1810

0.1

| Coropato, Ha |
|---|
| Application Received 10/1/46 By City Planning Department |
| |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 By Gick + Buston City Planning Department |
| |
| Decision approved Date 10/9/16 |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date Decision Opproved Date 10/9/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/0/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46 Planning Commission 10/4/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/464 Anne Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| Decision of Council Date Date |
| "pplication withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

A veri nee to the rowl is a of trillenes for 1920, hettor of a noise hereby trained in a noise hereby trained insorts on they write to the protection of theory.

The solid statute -

ier inston in Argolg - profession to some states of the set of the second secon

not not

and the second second second second second

ene tot I IV

WHEREAS, Application No. 4177 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milo L. Berenson to construct a stairway with a 1 ft. sideyard, 3 stories in height at 3366 - 6th Avenue, on Lot "L", Block 387, Horton's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That if at any time a building is constructed on the adjoining Lot "K" a clearance of 6 ft. will be maintained between the building and the above mentioned stairway on Lot "L".
- 2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

agreement # 435 filed 10/15/46

Dated October 10, 1946

"Secretary Chairman

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 1811

| | Cotoser 10 |
|---|--|
| | Application Received 10/2/46 By Button |
| | City Planning Department |
|) | Investigation made 18/9/46 By Rick + Button City Planning Department |
| | City Planning Department |
| | Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date Decision Conflict opened Date 0/9/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/15/46 Building Inspector 10/15/46 Planning Commission 10/15/46 Petitioner 10/15/46 Health Department 10/15/46 # Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| | Decision Conference Date 10/9/46 |
| | Copy of Resolution sent, to City Clerk/0/15/46 Building/Inspector 10/15/46 |
| | Planning Commission 10/15/46 Petitioner 10/15/46 Health Department 10/15/46 # Gaze |
| | Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| | Decision of Council |
| | Resolution becomes effective |
| | Application withdrawnContinued to |
| | Time limit extended to |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

here a for the transfer of the relation of the providence of the I - TELECOLOG P MILHOUTOFORD CENTERISCOLOG . THE PROPERTY I A DUTE

- 1. Sold 21 about the a similar in constraint of a should be sold " " a citate per of a standard that had not be a should be not it, it, " the should not chain and chain of a standard of a standard to be opened to standard the solution of it. Sold a standard to be opened to standard.

torsin in Salir by runter by 110 4. stound by construct of the y they will fix with a construction of the salir of the Sound of Assistance, on Lot-Mark, Sloci in , the bridge officient, addied to the failow of constructions.

and which an in the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. 4176 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will .not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milo L. Berenson to construct a balcony and fire escape at 3366 - 6th Avenue on Lot "L", Block 387, Horton's Addition with a 12.5 ft. setback from the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

Sandary Chairman

Res. Wa

7 070

| perconst.In* | |
|---|--|
| Application Received 10/2/46 | By Burton |
| | |
| Investigation made 10/8/46 | By Kick & Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 | Hearing date Date <u>/0/9/96</u> 0/96 Building Inspector <u>10/11/96</u> <u>/0/11/96 Health Department <u>19/11/96 & Case</u> Council Hearing, date</u> |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0// | e/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | 10/11/46 Health Department 9/11/46 & Charge |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| The trace of the second second second second | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| a victings to the movinities of the Brint & Lines and they actual by the | 200007.0. + 6011 - 1010 - 1070.20* |
| secondance to Pre-most-fact of the i | and the light to a sub- in a preserver |
| reminuton is heredy ar noof beitle : The source of 335 - 646 Nours of A eith a lot for spinch from the from | . or nace to construct a below rend of ".", lock of a cortent of think to we write like. |
| | |
| | |
| and the second and the second second second | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| the second s | not not |
| | |
| and the second of the second of the second of the second of the | |
| and the second of the second has been and the second has been and the second has been a second has be | |
| the second | |
| and the second se | |
| the second se | |
| and and and an orthogon and and | |
| | |
| Catty man and the second stranger with | a literation of a second and have the strength of |
| the second s | They be the content of the second state of a construction of the |
| | |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. 4143 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Ross to operate cutting and polishing of semi-precious stone and retail, on a part time basis, no more than 4 hours per day, in an existing building at 4032 - 35th Street on the North 5 ft. of Lot 31, all of Lot 32 and the South 15 ft. of Lot 33, Block 197, City Heights, and maintain a 2 ft. by 3 ft. sign on the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

Astachtand Chairman

Res. No. 1813

| Coronet I |
|--|
| Application Received 10/4/46 By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick & Buston City Planning Department |
| |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date Decision Opproved Date 10/9/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46 Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 YOgana Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

cristent interior of they point of the induction intice of there.

sumfarian in brand, wonth bo Tour to over the cutting on article. see des, in a suisting out is, on a sub till off, so out to be brand set des, in a suisting out is to be set is, at the line of the conditions set is, in a suisting out is to be wondiense.

not

October 16,1946

P.Q.Burton, Zoning Investigator Zoning Committee

Amendment to Resolutions 1814 and 1815

At the last regular meeting of the Zoning Committee two petitions were considered from Robert L. Haniman and the requests made therein granted.

In order to get these petitions before the Zoning Committee, such haste was necessary that errors were made in the legal descriptions involved. It is suggested that Resolutions No.1814 and No.1815 be amended to describe the parcels of land as follows:

(1) W 80'
(2) E 105' of the W 185'
(3) E 50' of the W 235'
(4) E 285'

Other papers involved should be amended accordingly.

P. Q. Burton Zoning Investigator

B/r

RESOLUTION NO. 1814 Amended by 850 Res. # 1850

WHEREAS, Application No. 4194 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the South 2 of the North 2 of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, (except streets), into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets; parcels described as follows: (1) W SO'; (2) E 235' except the W SO'; (3) E 285' except the W 235'; and (4) the E 224.11', subject to the following conditions:

- That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
 And that an agreement to comply with the above condition
- 2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 433 filed 10/11/46

Dated October 10, 19 46

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ву.....

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1814

shaught Chairman.

0

LIGDO SCE TOP 10/9/46 Application Received By Departmen Investigation made _____By____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date Decision Condit Opprovel Date 10/9/96 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/11/96 Building Inspector 10/11/96 Planning Commission 10/11/96 Petitioner 10/11/96 Health Department 10/11/969 Conditioner 10/11/96 Decision of Council_____Date_____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____Continued to Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______ reactor carries a serie and the star 23 the Mr. D. 28 contrast uppart And that is a group to fur in the solar solars consistent 1 4 BIDSTATTION CONNECTOR STORES AND AD ADDRESSION THE THE DESIGN AND THE PARTY OF BUT POLY OF THE THE 7.0 GUNDY STORES. iorean for in intered, remarks to reach the second of the second The second second is a second with the second of the second second second second second second second second se the the second and the second s in the second of and the state of the

SI . JO . TTTP

RESOLUTION NO. 1815 Amended by Res. # 1851 WHEREAS, Application No. 4193 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ... he materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the North 2 of the South 2 of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, except streets and alley into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets: parcels described as follows: (1) W 80'; (2) E 235' except the W 80'; (3) I 285' except the W 235'; and (4) the E 224.25', subject to the following condition;

- That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map 1. which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
- 2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement # 434 filed 10/11/46

FORM 2145

Dated October 10, 1946

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By.....

Segretary Chairman

Res. No. 1815

O.K

| LOFODER TO: | |
|---|--|
| Application Received 10/9/46 | By City Planing Department |
| | Ву |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision of Council | Hearing date Date <u>10/9/9/6</u> 7/96 Building Inspector <u>19/11/96</u> <u>10/11/96</u> Health Department <u>10/11/969</u> Council Hearing, date Date |
| | Coatting 1 to |
| | Continued to |
| Time Timit extended to | Date of action |
| | There's the grant fair persons has appress |
| and the second are taken and with our | A ADDR OF AN ANTICAL ANTICAL ANTICAL AND ANTIC |
| and the second | The second secon |
| Charles and the second s | 114 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| (1) a more called the rollove: (1) | () the set of the part of the set |
| the orbit to the patt of the | We had anny Jones 2. July a to civile Joy - olitere H, endate clashe wi effect class real ences in station to the to a dignar of the set in station to the |
| when as the second second second second | |
| | |
| | not |
| the state of the second strength and the | and a second that we are strain a second and |
| and the second second second second | |
| A Martine and an and a second and | |
| | |
| and the second contract of the second s | |
| the space of the second states of the | and a short the second second second second second second |
| | |
| | the state of a state of the spreater of success |
| The state of the second s | and see an an allow of the second |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. 4169 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ... not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold C. and Mmma T. Brooks and Samuel A. and Dorothy A. Tomlin to divide the North 2 of the Northeast 2 of Lot 30, Rancho Ex-Mission, Horton's Purchase into two parcels, each with not less than 100 ft. frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel, with at least 125 ft. between the two residences. Hillop Vinchel

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

Ву.....

Whith Chairman

Res. No. 1816

| Cotobor 20, 1 |
|--|
| Application Received 10/3/46 By Barry City Planing Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date Decision Date 10/9/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46 Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioher 10/11/46 Health Department 0/11/464 One |
| Decision Date Date Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action |

06+ m0+ 1920

A visit new to the rowinions of Collaron of Mile provertier, br, inits hereign crouted interior for relate to the proverbiling the provest

Fermination informery with the fool to and anti- role and sound to the borotor as confined the orthough of the orthough of tob to perchonance is a sound of the orthough of the orthough of the truth of the fractory, to excite the terminate the role of the orthough of the sound of the eith of issue if the orthough the formation of the orthough of the role of the orthough of the role of the orthough of the role of the orthough of the orthough of the role of the orthough of the role of the orthough of the role of the rol

and the second second second second second second

not

the mater which is a work has said to be the said of the said to be and the said the said the said the said the

An elter a she provide the second of the second second second and the second se

NESS VIAL TOR NOT THE STAR

WHEREAS, Application No. 3914 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ... not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct a 5 ft. standard cyclone wire fence on Lots 14 and 15, Block 62, Resubdivision of Blocks 39 & 56, Normal Heights, to enclose a school playground on three sides for the school at 4630 - 34th Streets; fence to be constructed in front of the setback line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19

Biddelder Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1817

| noro in r " " | | ~ |
|---|--|---|
| Application Received 9/26/ | У.С. Ву | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/4 | <i>Б</i> Ву | Rick + Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | 10/9/46 Heari Date | ing date 10/1/46 ding Inspector 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 # <i>Access</i> cil Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City C Planning Commission 19/1/46 Pe | Clerk/0/10/46 Build etitioner 10/11/4 | ing Inspector 10/1996 Health Department 10/11/96 & Game |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date . | |
| Application withdrawn | Cont i | inued to of action |

V poyle* the is not the factor of the presence of the to grant of the second state when we A Star add to the reading of oblighter of the state, or,

De noraça nopula I-1 tan fint the second pour prise. A state in the Account of the to form of the form of the to to to to the state form of the state of the state

an a server as the set and and the set of the

and the second consult be clother to any state to share any

an and proparity of the first of the second state of the second st

WHEREAS, Application No. 4125 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

- 1- 1

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ Not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will_______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. B. Eschwege to construct a residence on the north side of Beryl Street approximately 100 ft. west of Foothill Boulevard on Lot 21, Block 20, North Shore Highlands, and maintain a 10 ft. rear yard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Section Sa, of Ordinance No. 5924, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

Ву.....

searcher Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1818

| Application Received 9/21/46 By City Planning Department |
|---|
| Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton |
| City Flanning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date Decision Date 19/9/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/9/10/96 Building Inspector 1.9/11/46 Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 1.9/11/46 Health Department 1.9/11/46 + Orace Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision denied Date 10/9/1/0 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Clase |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| Decision of CouncilDate |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

5

The TUP TIM

Concernants of the state at

Avile time for a went use to the residue of woll-s of give Ausses of collecte, one is leaver denied i so that but relate to the respective mentione, chove.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4097 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Building Company to divide Lots 23 t o 27 inclusive, Block 7, Point Loma Heights on Poe and Wabaska Drive south of Capistrano, into four building sites as per plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

Ву.....

Sectory Chairman

Res. No.1819

FORM 2145

| tetober 10 | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Application Received | 9/27/46 | By City Finning Department |
| | | City fignning Department |
| Investigation made | 10/9/46 | By Rick + Burton |
| | 1 1 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Comm | nittee 10/9/46 | Hearing date Date <u>9996</u> W6 Building Inspector <u>19496</u> <u>10496</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | A | Date 10/9/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent t | co City Clerk / 0/16 | W6 Building Inspector 19/11/96 |
| Planning Commission 10/1 | 1/46 Petitioner | 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/464 and |
| Appeal filed with City Ci | lerk,date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | | Date |
| Resolution becomes effect | tive | |
| Application withdrawn | | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | | Date of action |

161+ 16+5 31)

is a statude to the report document or from a low 12, den inter, no, and the betern contract interval to the statude to the distribution of contract of the statude to the distribution of contract.

not not and set of a set of a set

a braining and the second s

where is a start of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **NOT** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hester Hatcher to construct one additional residence at 2928 Franklin Street on Lots 31 and 32, Block 323, Reed & Daley's Addition, to a three unit court with a 9 ft. 5 in. court as access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

B y.....

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1820

| Application Received 10/1/46 By | Russ |
|--|--|
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 By | Rick + Burton |
| | orty riaming population |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/9/10/46 Planning Commission 19/11/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | "Hearing date |
| Decision appropria | Date 10/9/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/46 | Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Planning Commission / 11/46 Petitioner | 6/11/46 Health Department / 0/11/46 + Gaares |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

grapt cost JPCO

and the open to part of the second

Ferend standal innoter on bley intoke to the distriction testimet rente. a verience to the provintion of Critornes o. Mad, Section ... P. and in

1610日本.

The Alt of the States

at a succession reading on the and it was have

Allition, to a three with court lite, the side of the point or concern to the remidence s's president data datast ou beto la tre l'. Tout l', tered a televien territorica is here of ar also bo letter " there is construct on an itimpl

MOR

not not

WHEREAS, Application No. 4140 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Ewart E. Long to construct an addition to garage approximately 53 ft. from the front property line at 4182 Dwight Street on the East 37 ft. of the West 72 ft. of Lots 2 5 and 26 and the East 33 ft. of the West 68 ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 78, City Heights, and maintain existing 1 ft. sideyard for the new addition.

> A variance to the provisions of Ordigance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Chairman

Ree. We. 1 Son

C.K

By.....

FORM 2145

| in the point of the fill | |
|--|--|
| Application Received 10/2/46 B | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 B | Kick + Courton |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/9/0/46 Planning Commission/0/11/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | City Planning Department Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date 19/9/9/9 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/46 | Building Inspector 10/11/46 |
| Planning Commission / /// Petitioner | 0/11/46 Health Department 10/11/461 Gamese |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | "Date " |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

131 199* 50CJ

A vitumen to the cravicians of artirates Net Artis, Neother is, but and is hereaf formation in the contraction of a second in the contraction of t

icumin ton indexes, one of the start to consider at a cluster of inter a construction of its start the front to construct a start to with start the index [] for a construction to construct the formula of set of the start is issue to the start is the front of the formula of soft the start is issue. I full start is for the formula is a sub-reliation of the start. I start is the front of the start is is the start of the start is issue. I full start is the front of the start of the start of the start is issue. I full start is the front of the start of the start of the start of the start is issue. I full start is the start of the start. I for the start of the

a contract of the second of

WHEREAS, Application No 4160 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> The petition of Mrs. Willie Burris to operate a self-service laundry in a former beauty shop at 3011 - 30th Street on the West 85 ft. of Lots 27 to 30 inclusive, Block 4, S. Gurwell Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

FORM 2145

Sherekers Chairman .

Res. No.1822

C.K.

| papater 10' net | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Application Received 10/2/46 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 By | Rick + Buston |
| infabil | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/9/6 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/9/6 Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date |
| Decision Alensed | Date 10/1/48 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0/10/46 | Building Inspector |
| Planning Commission 10/11/26 Petitioner 10 | /11/46 Health Department ///// |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit antended to | Data of estion |

STAR ANT SHALL

Aufile time for a vertage to the meakaine of externed 10. 1000, be, of the berear protect instance the relate to the property constituted prove.

guiffog*

Mac realized of the. . It is a related oner but delineervice invalue in a nerwich becautives and full - your chronical in the set of the of word of to so indiation, that is, of correct initials, not call to forthy

And and any for the property of the property of

WHEREAS, Application to October 7, 1946 nas been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)

- 1 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is mean necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing of working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1539, be granted to G. W. Price to build a residence on the Easterly 50 ft. of Lots I. J. K and L. Block 332, Horton's Addition at 3rd and Quince Streets with no setback on 3rd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk. unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 10,

Dated , 19

2145

46

By

Secretary

S.k

| Petter | n. n |
|---|--|
| Letter Application Received 10/8/46 B | City Planning Department |
| Investigation madeB | |
| THEORET BULLON WHEN THE MANAGEMENT | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/1/16 | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/ | Hearing date Date 19/9/96 Building Inspector 19/11/96 9/11/96 Health Department 19/11/96 & America Council Hearing, date |
| Planning Commission 18/11/46 Petitioner | 0/11/4 Health Department 10/11/46 & Anales |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | |

ion - to - Bitte

In spar travers of the still for the thest off sal choice A White to the review intervent and when a with a state of the

o ft. of lots 1, 1. Lon 1, lock dof, lotton's solution of [w] and pulled playeds a block of set set on fri thrack. not Tiby, to excite to the Linke to build a relience on the materia that my a constant of state and state that show show a for of a set birth

not not

stat Wind y appropriate a state of the state

and the second of the second o

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application isnecessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- That the granting of the application will naterially affect the health or safety of 3 persons residing of working in the neighborhood, and will ... 20% be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will 20.1 adversely affect the Master Plan of the 4 City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Russell A. and Tot I. Seaman to conduct a dressmaking business in an existing residence at 4065 Louisiana Street on Lot 8 and the North 2 of Lot 9, Block 172, University Heights; no employees; part time approximately six hours per day, and one small sign in the window.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12589, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

46

...... , 19

Filed with Esty Clerk. January 9, 1947

October 10.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

ALAN Chairman

Res. No. 1824

Dated M 2145

0

| Application Received | 9-25-46 BV | Sou | 11 |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| | | City Plannin | ng Department |
| Investigation made | 0- <i>9-46</i> By. | Zoning C City Planni | ng Department |
| Considered by Zoning Com | mittee 10-9-46 | Hearing date | 6 or <u>19142</u> Department <u>191478 Gases</u> date |
| Decision Could app | royal | Date 10-9-4 | 6 |
| Copy of Resolution sent | to City Clerk 1/9/47 | Building Inspecto | r 1/9/47 |
| Planning Commission | 97 Petitioner 1/ | 9/47Health | Department 1/9/47Yanne |
| Appeal filed with City C | lerk,date | Council Hearing, | date |
| Decision of Council | *************************************** | Date | |
| Resolution becomes effec | tive | | |
| Application withdrawn | | Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to | | Date of action | |

TE SPACE THATE THE PROVINCE OF THE TO POLE STORES WHOLE WHOLE A MALTICE to the postato of of the factor of I way be, an' in berefor

THE AND LATER OUT - AND -

a fridan Min, s sines in a coinbin, restoure of Knythouted in the stock on not a set the torigin for of orbit, four tip, indvestigs with the sould be on lowers free wire world really sit to up of the figst for each still sites remaind on the bore by the ster to have 1. In the for the comparison one

not not

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No 4131 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will **NOT** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **NOT** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will **NOT** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arno V. and Alma C. Thomas, owners and W. R. White, operator to operate maintenance and repair shop for small electric motors in an existing private garage at 1333 Edgemont Street, on Lots 5 and 6, Block 36, "on a part time basis; no additions to the building; no advertising and no signs.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Seatedawy Chairman

Res. # 1825

0.1

Dated October 24, 1946

By

FORM 2145

and the states a OCPONER DI Application Received $\frac{9/24/46}{10/9/46+10/23/46}$ B Investigation made $\frac{10/9/46+10/23/46}{B}$ By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 + 10/23/4 Hearing date Decision Condil approve Date 10/23/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council_____Date____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

1 1 4 1 1 1

A viture to the verificate of rithings of lift, oc, and interest probed interim of they rithing to the relations conver-

ndt

2011

.T. J

WHEREAS, Application No has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will **205** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **205** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Fermission is hereby granted to Gussie Hers to build addition to a storeroom attached to a garage without a sideyard on the southwest side and no rear yard, and to convert the addition and storeroom to living quarters, 1565 Ebers Street on the Northeast 70 ft. of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 18, Ocean Beach; addition to observe a 7 ft. 8 in. rear yard; permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution; and that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 5924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

• agreement # 438 filed 10/29/46

Dated October 24, 146

4 Strugger Chairman

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1826

| OC TO YOU SHE |
|---|
| Application Received 9/23/46 By Baughman City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/9+10/23/46 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee/0/9+10/23/46 Hearing date Decision Condil appil Date 10/23/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /0/29/46 Building Inspector 10/31/46 Planning Commission 10/31/46 Petitioner 10/31/46 Health Department 10/31/46Vance Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/29/46 Building Inspector 10/31/46 Plansing Compression 10/31/46 Petitioner 10/31/46 Health Department 10/31/4649 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

04. 40° 5150

Did. engl. manerakeg wooks*

and the first of the second the second the second of the second s I A STALGE PO STA "KOAT TODE OF DE TABOU TO TINE AND ALLETON TO DE

A LEGULI

could' Tist bur goove constites shell be signed by the purer can target of the Californ's they be debied to the reconstruction; and that and the be mandition to operate of the diln. rear forth new it to be for a cuind of interched to a practic vibrant a stager on the public of sile runned for Possiberion is levely granted to dustin ferm to build all islon to a starteness

TIS

WHEREAS, Application No 4156 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

- That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will _____ DOC_____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Cearl C. Corley to erect a residence on the North 60 ft. of Lot 33 (except the West 4 ft.) and the North 60 ft. of Lot 34, Block 483, Seaman's Subdivision, part of Ed of Pueblo Lot 1122, approximately 100 ft. from dead end of Falcon Street, on an alley; a parcel of land without the required street frontage; provided all yard requirements and coverage are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is . hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 146

FORM 2145

By

ANA Chairman

the reaction of the set of the se

and cover a tro contact title

the price of the state of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

Approximate boars and real from the state of a strate, end a short a woold of the state of the s

territe ton the port of the star so the of the star is the solution of the

300

1.27

WHEREAS, Application No 4007 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. Bachman to build a 30 ft. by 30 ft. garage in the rear of the lot at 3941 Mason Street on the Northeasterly 100 ft. of the Northwesterly 100 ft. of Lot 2, Block 454, Old San Diego, and use for the storage of contractor's trucks and equipment.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

#SHANAN Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1828

| rereites tota de la constante | and the second sec |
|---|--|
| 11 | By Coppork |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/9/46 | By Zoning Committa |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 19/9/46 | City Flanning Department Hearing date 10/23/46 Date 10/23/46 We Building Inspector 10/25/46 19/25/46 Health Department 10/25/464 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/23/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent, to City Clerk / 0/24 | 146 Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner | 10/25/44 Health Department (0/25/4642 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

Hor 10* 100

A similar to the resistors of ritera for 10000, by and is forever sectors insofty re they wints to the reserver text and there.

ALL BARE

the inclusive is thready writed to (. . . Sections to build a 30 ft. by 30 ft. Arried in the ever of the let at 511 is conditively on the certification and ft. of the Northwesterly locate of Let 9. Inch My1, (10 and in 0, and use for the store over contraria tries on a minimum.

10 C

WHEREAS, Application No 4173 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

-101

- 2 That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows'

> The petition of Henry C. and Josephine V. Lilly, owners and Manuel Mesina, purchaser to construct a 17 ft. by 20 ft. restaurant building with no setback from Market Street on Lot 44, Block 38, C. L. Carr's Subdivision, 2678 Market Street, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

FORM 2145

By

Shill W Chairman

Res. No. 1829

| ictories 14, 2 | and the second |
|--|---|
| Application Received 10/8/46 | By South City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 | By Selley Kerigan + Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Decision dlemed | Hearing date Date 10/23/46 46 Building Inspector 19/25/46 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/464 Game Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/ Planning Commission /0/25/46 Petitioner | 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/464 Game |
| Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |

1.00* 100* 197 3

one inition, childred of et, w, more etcale a second de la seconda de la se seconda de la seconda d

Une setting of some ... a case in ... Hit, s set of such a set and setting setting to contract the light of the set of a set of the set of the

WHEREAS, Application No 4172 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

- 2 That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows'

> The petition of Henry C. and Josephine V. Lilly, owners and Manuel Mesina, purchaser to construct and operate a 17 ft. by 20 ft. restaurant building at 2678 Market Street on Lot 44, Block 38, C. L. Carr's Subdivision, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for & variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By

fans and Chairman

Res. No. 1830

0.4

FORM 2145

| and the second | and the second second from the second |
|--|---|
| Application Received 10/8/46 | P. South |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/2 3/46 | By Selley, Kernigan + Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/ | 46 Hearing date Date <u>10/23/46</u> 0/24/46 Building Inspector <u>10/25/46</u> her <u>10/25/46</u> Health Department <u>10/25/468 Gaze</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Decision allenied | Date 10/23/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | 0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/23/99 |
| Planning Commission 19/20/96 Petitic | ner 19/25/9 6 Health Department 19/25/968 Mage |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |

Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

100* 50* 7100

Application for a vori see to the provisions of calibrate see 1000 + 300 + 300 in the read of the test of the test of the transfer to the second of the test.

> aou aou

1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

- 1 "-

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to J. S. Campbell to move existing garage to new location on lot at 4018 Richmond Street on a portion of Lot 24 and all of Lot 25. Block 183. University Heights and place it in the same line as the existing residence and with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated October 24, 1946

FORM 2145

By-managements

Shipping Chairman

Res. No. 1831

| October Elle | |
|---|--|
| Application Received 10/11/46 | By Ross |
| | |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 | By Selley, Kengin + Burton City Planning Department |
| and a reading the second second second second | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 19/2 | 13/46 Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/23/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle | R3/Y6 Hearing date Date 10/23/Y6 rk/0/29/Y6 Building Inspector 10/25/Y6 tioner 10/25/Y6 Health Department 19/25/Y6 & One Council Hearing, date |
| Planning Commission 10/25/46 Peti | tioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 & One |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| | Date of action |

Gar tor Ing

11020*

The state of the state

or yozinara ta tha growiniana of frinches of "Sichards", instimure, be, rad in here y space first the tary relief to be the restrictioned

Perminsion in hereig wither to see a compatibulation internation to many a the method of the hereign of the formulation of the second of the s

the second of the second of the second second is at the second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are __________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> The petition of Sylvan Planson to construct a duplex on Lot 10, Block 20, Loma Alta No. 1 on the north side of Seaside Street between Green and Voltaire Streets, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

N MARY R. ...

By

Res. No. 1832

FORM 2145

| Getalet 21, Ho | |
|---|--------|
| Application Received 9/20/46 By Ross | |
| Application Received 9/20/46 By City Planning Department Investigation made 18/2/46+10/2/46 City Planning Department | |
| Investigation made By City Planning Department | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date 0/23/46 Decision Denied Date 10/23/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/ Appeal filed with City Clerk date | |
| Decision alenied Date 10/23/46 | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 | \$ |
| Planning Commission 19/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/ | 46× as |
| Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Acsolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

Apriloction for a writed to the restricted for the restriction of a longer of the section of the

the solution of birth linear to compare be what on ob 10, look 10, to make the south for 10, 1 on the northeride of the the south the so

appropriate and the provide the state of the

Voltring treets, br. nd is nor of rule.

SDOZE*

Sold and an and an and an and

1664 16+ TT 317

TIL

WHEREAS, Application No. 4110 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will **Not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **Not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

> Permission is hereby granted to Sylvan Planson to alter an existing residence on the Northwest corner of Voltaire and Seaside Streets on Lots 11 and 12, Block 20, Loma Alta No. 1, and construct addition to provide four apartments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By------

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46

And the Chairman .

Res. No. 1833

FORM 2145

| The second | |
|---|--|
| the na the | |
| Application Received 10/3/46 | By Ganish |
| Application Received 10/3/46 10/12/46 | By City Planning Department |
| | By Kenzin Lelley & Benton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/9 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission 10/25/96 Petitic Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council | 46 Hearing date 10/23/46 Date 10/23/46 0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 ner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46×02 Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to Date of action |
| | |
| The control of product of The Litenian and the control Tronais for the control of the Control of the control of | to a ten jerson four they in evicting a proposition of receive transform a life of l, or construct initiation to life of l, extendence initiation to d. the life intervent work one clove. |
| | |
| and the second sec | |
| | |
| | not not |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. 4155 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

> Permission is hereby granted to Richard W. and Marie Fritzenkotter to construct one additional unit to a 6 unit court, 600 ft. west of La Jolla Boulevard on Nautilus Street on Lots 17 to 20, inclusive, Block "E", South La Jolla, with a portion of three units on a lot, making a total of seven units on the four lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is here by granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46

By

shit the Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1834

D.

| | A shirt and the second second |
|---|---|
| Catober Ch. | 2 |
| | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 | By Kernisin Selley + Burton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 0/23/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Hearing date Date 10/2 3/46 6 Building Inspector 10/25/46 0/25/46 Health Department10/25/464 Gase Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent, to City Clerk/0/24/9 | 6 Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 1 | 0/25/46 Health Department/0/25/46+ acce |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

res* *0* 1921

A valinue to the provisions of an instant of the light, be, rul is here r grated interim to the version to the powert, provisions. Dove.

The state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No 4181 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

. . . .

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

> The petition of Elmer B. and Virginia J. Middleswart to convert a 10 ft. by 30 ft. building with a 2 ft. 6 in. sideyard into living quarters at 4148 Poplar Street on Lots 11 and 12 and the Southwest 2 of Lot 13. Elock S. Lexington Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1835

C.K.

| o oner. er a sig | |
|--|--|
| Application Received 10/7/46 By | Coppock |
| //' | City/Planning Department |
| Investigation made $10/23/46$ By | Kerrigan, Sellers + Buston |
| | OCity Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 13/23/46 | Hearing date Date <u>10/23/44</u> Building Inspector <u>10/25/46</u> <u>0/25/46</u> Health Department/ <u>0/25/46+Amer</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Decision alenied | Date 10/23/44 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0/24/4 | Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner | 0/25/46 Health Department/0/25/46+ and |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

100+ 10* TUD

As lie tion from from the to the seventence of stirnes of Schi. Follow e. Let as it best denied instruments for the fit to to the moments contract course

The relition of lock . A lighter, fully the to convert a lighter, while the four of a lighter to the second of the second second

大人を行いため

1

WHEREAS, Application No. 4207 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

> Permission is hereby granted to Dennis R. Higley and the M. Hall Company to divide Lots 7 and 8, Elock "A", Resubdivision of Bird Rock-City-by-the-Sea on the west side of Chelsea Avenue between Abalone Street and Bird Rock Avenue to face on Chelsea Avenue, described as follows and measured along Chelsea Avenue: (1) Lots 7 and 8 except the Sly 60 ft.; and (2) the Sly 60 ft. of Lots 7 and 8; to permit the construction of one single family residence on each parcel, provided the required setback is maintained on Abalone Place and a 15 ft. setback maintained on Chelsea Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sector Chairman .

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1836

0. K

March 10 1 CIA CAN STATE IN Application Received 10/14/46 By Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kengin Sellew + lens + Bur Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date Decision Confi Opprovel Date 10/23/96 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/29/96 Building Inspector 10/25/96 Planning Commission/0/25/96 Petitioner 10/25/96 Health Department 10/25/96 # Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council_____Date_____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

A work need to the crothelane of excitments to like by the best to the termination of the second sec

>Pervite for in terresp __write, to territe . if it will be . if it for the formula to it is one if and . It defends, jour with its of the left if the end of outble set is of it defends, formula before a line of the its is into the without in the provide its include the institute is into the helese a venue; (1) with it is ease the formula of the its indicate for it, of set; ; and .; to be it to be set is in the state of the it of it, of set; ; and .; to be it to be realized of the its indicate for it. of set; ; and .; to be it to be realized of the its indicate it is not indicate its is to be realized of the its indicate its indicate its is the it is its indicate the realized of the its is indicate. Indicate of the indicate its is to be realized of the its indicate. Indicate indicate its is the realized of the its indicate. Indicate its indicate its is the realized of the its is indicate. Indicate its indicate its is the realized of the its indicate. Indicate its is indicate.

gou

the left is a small

tot

WHEREAS, Application No. 4141 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Marion J. and Harriet Hayes and Lester A. and Fay Miller to conduct a rug cleaning business with not more than 10 employee's at 131 West University Avenue on the Mast 30 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 4, Cleveland Heights, provided all work is done within the building; all drying within the building; cleaning done with portable equipment; all dirt and dust picked up with high pressure vacuum.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3210, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24 1946

Sacranary Chairman.

Res. No. 1837

O.K

FORM 2145

| CCTOTICT IN HO | |
|--|--|
| Application Received 10/10/46 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 By | Kerrijan + Sellen + Buston City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 13/23/46 Decision Condi Gyprond Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/44 Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 19/24/46 | Date 19/23/46 Building Inspector 19/25/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/23/9 9 Petitioner Co Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | "Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |

34×. 11

A versiones fon the prestricters of the innersology of A to the forget of a conis here to the press interactions for the forget of the real restriction of a proves

letioning is reacted to the simple of the more than the second second to the second seco

NOR

ton

and the second states

- 1 - 1

WHEREAS, Application No. 4205 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows'

Permission is hereby granted to T. W. Reside to construct addition to a non-conforming residence with no sideyard for existing building at 819 San Gabriel Place on Lot "A", Block 43, Mission Beach; addition to maintain the required yard spaces.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46

Sagastant Chairman

Res. No. 1838

0.1

FORM 2145

1.1.15

| octoberal, a WG | |
|--|--|
| Application Received 10/16/46 | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made $10/23/46$ | By Kenigin Lelley & Burton |
| 10/2 star | City Planning Department Hearing date Date 10/23/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46×000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/23/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0/24/4 | 6 Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/25/96 Petitioner | 10/25/46 Health Department 0/25/464 and |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| | Date of action |

100+1 0+ 1 30

seviation is heroby (rate to , ... colle to contract edition to m no monification medicates than o the existing maintain of all sem dentification on deb 10%, algoithy, the formed of the to which in the provided you'record.

202

WHEREAS, Application No. 4208 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. P. and E. C. Sweetser, owners and R. E. Coloneus, operator, to use portion of residence at 1913 Georgia Court on Lots 19, 20 and 21, Virginia Square, for manufacturing small Geramic articles, on a part time basis approximately 22 hours daily; all hand work, modeling and glazing only, no firing; no signs; no advertising.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 45

Saustary Chairman

Res. No. 1839

·K

FORM 2145

The so rear of Application Received 10/10/45 By..... By Rerugin, Sellew & Burt Jity Planning Department Investigation made Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date Decision Condi permit Decision Condi permit Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/4 6 Building Inspector 10/25/46 Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 each Decision of Council _____ Date _____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

200 - 08 71

est nin retifier, on a pust the local of the lines of the lines in the local of the

trated in of the black of on the state of the state of the state of the state

Persident of An Gerenic Charles to the station of the first of the station of the state of the s

not

110°É 110°É

The

SOT

- 1-1

WHEREAS, Application No. 4183 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to James and Lucile Welsch to maintain and operate an Antique Shop in an existing private garage at 4495 Montal wo Street on Lots 1 and 2 and the Westerly 15 ft. of Lot 3, Block 31, Loma Alta No. 2, part time, with no signs, no advertising and no employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46

Signerative Chairman

C.K

FORM 2145

| | 1.10 | 100 | 16 | - | 1 1 -1 |
|-----|------|------|------|-----|--------|
| 1.1 | 2 20 | 6270 | 1821 | 法有限 | 1000 |

| Application Received 10/18/46 By | Winberg |
|---|---|
| | City Planning Deprotment |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 By | Kernigen & Sellew & Burton JCity Planning Department |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Decision Condit approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 19 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date |
| Decision Conde approval | Date 10/23/96 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /0/24/46 | Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission /0/25/46 Petitioner | 0/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46van |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

ant - 10 - 2010

A WELFTER TO BER POSTADOR A TELEDOR O. J. BURNTON, 10, 11 10. Here I worden A Labola in the state to the strategic antional should

Nextile for the negative provides by the section of the factor by and by a section of the sectio

not

not

(Amends Resolution No. 1593)

WHEREAS, Letter dated October 18,1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows'

That an amendment be made to Resolution No. 1593, dated June 20, 1946 to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Donovan Lynch to erect a garage on the West 1/2 of Lot B and all of Lot C, Block 19, Mission Beach, at 529 Balboa Court with living quarters above, and maintain a 10 ft. rear yard for the garage and an S ft. rear yard for the apartment above.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa. be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

FORM 2145

September Chairman .

Res. No. 1841

C.K

"HET LANGOO Received 10/28/46 By Mail City Planning Department 10/23/16 By Kengan Sellewy Burto Investigation made Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date Decision Date 10/23/46 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council ______Date _____Date _____Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

AN* POF TOLT

the follow to the front long of trajinger of the relies of the sector of

"Let le les interver price to record and there to receipt a subsome al ou bet and liest of the boulded lie ion cost, the same will lie gourt simplifier a there constants in the lie is to be then intil for the cord and in the start part for his constructs there.

the first and the state of the state

Long he +

torio in the provide the second second second the second second the second second

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O

NUMBER OF STREET

and stranger and a stranger and and

Not

WHEREAS, Application No. 4198 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will 205 adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

is all the barries of the barry the state of the

Permission is hereby granted to H. R. Palmer to build a 15 ft. by 27 ft. Lath house at 4618 Wightman Street on the Easterly 622 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block 2, Mountain View, to the property line on the west side, provided it does not extend closer to the front property line than the garage adjoining on the west.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46

FORM 2145

By

#Sagratary Chairman

O.K

| Application Received 10/21/46 | By City Planning Department |
|---|---|
| Investigation made 10/23/46 | By Selles Kerngin + Burton City Planning Department |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Condil approval | Hearing date Date 10/23/99 We Building Inspector 10/25/99 10/25/99 Health Department 10/25/96 + Oc |
| Copy of Recolution sent to City Clark (0/9/ | W. Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Complete in 10/2 5/46 Detition | 10 Building inspector |
| Anning Commission, Petitioner | 1 2 2 1 . Health Department |
| appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

ner Th

State -

CO T LED. W

A mi nee to the movimients of the intrasto. F, sotion 20, ne, but to here any intelling of the they rules to the property mentioned monte.

macre shipships on the seat.

and an approximately and a

and the second

and

WHEREAS, Application No. 4184 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

-11-

1843

- 2 That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows'

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh B. and Jennie R. Knox to move in two duplexes on the Southwest corner of Tourmaline and Mission Boulevard on all of the unsubdivided portion of Lot 5, Pueblo Lot 1763, lying west of Mission Boulevard and north of 1st Addition to Ocean Spray and south of Tourmaline Street, as widened; one single family residence already existing on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk

By ...

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1843

O.K

| That C + O + C + C + FA | 0 |
|---|---|
| Application Received 10/19/46 | By City Planning Department |
| | Palle Kan in D t |
| Investigation made 19/23/46 E | sy Selley Kernigian + Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee. 10/23/46 | Hearing date Date <u>19/23/96</u> 6 Building Inspector <u>19/25/96</u> 6 Jos 46 Health Department <u>19/25/96</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/23/99 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/4 | 6 Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission / 9/25/46 Petitioner | 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46+ Ca |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

06. 00 T 12.

A visince to the post-increasing the to the post of the stand of the second sector of the second sec

Permission is several to such a subjective , that to now in the several subjection is several to such a subjective of the form of the form

13 A. ...

4163 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

- 12.4

- 2 That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows'

Permission is hereby granted to Anthony C. Morgando to conduct a Carpet Sewing business, new carpets for stores, at 532 - 28th Street on the South 592 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3 and the South 592 ft. of the Hast 5 ft. of Lot 4, Block 52, C. L. Carr's Subdivision; no selling; no cleaning; no storage: no advertising; part time for approximately 6 hours per day: permit to be revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it becomes a nuisance or a hindrance in the development of the neighborhood. or upon complaints from surrounding property owners.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ... October 24, 19 46

FORM 2145

By

Successive Chairman .

| Contraction of the second s | |
|---|---|
| Application Received 10/10/46 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 By | Sellen Kenigan + Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Decision Confil approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/46 Planning Commission/0/25/46 Petitioner 10 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 10/23/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn | |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

10 4 St. 40

There is a set of the for the set of the set A very more by the reputrions of the lance of the second reputrions on moon nevery title then a sharp her into any a set vert strate of the state of the strate of the state of the state

THAT STOR IS HERE THE AND SO WE NOT THE SO BE DUNITE STORE

wor 100

WHEREAS, Application No. 4025 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

- 1 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to R. O. Pinains, J. J. Russell, Homer W. Brown and Ninth and E Corp., to divide the South 257.32 ft. of the North 643.95 ft. of the West 165 ft. of Quarter Section 81 RHO de la Nacion, on Sea Breeze Street between Potemac and Schuyler Streets into four building sites; 2 parcels, 70 ft. by 165 ft; one parcel 70.32 ft. by 165 ft. and one parcel 77 ft. by 165 ft. to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By

Sacrabary Chairman

113

8.5

| Application Received 10/21/46 | By Rick |
|--|--|
| apprivation Record a summer and a summer and | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/23/44 | By Selley Kernigian + Burton |
| | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 13/23/4 | 6 Hearing date Date 0/23/96 19/25/96 Health Department 10/25/96 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved | Date /0/23/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24 | YG Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission 18/25/46 Petitioher | 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46+0200 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| "pplication withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

habt is other prins

Production Carling and and

From the first of the state of the state of the state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the state of the

tor t WHEREAS, Application No. 4162 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

RESOLUTION NO. 1846, extended by Res # 2510

- 2 That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Seaboard Security Company, Union Title Insurance & Trust Company and San Diego Associates, to erect a Tract Office and four storage sheds incidental to building Veteran's Housing, on the Southwest corner of 60th and Meade Streets on Lots 7 and 8, Waterville Heights, permit to be for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

FORM 2145

By

WHAT Chairman

Res. No. 1846

0.1

| 10102-12 201-1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | |
|--|--|
| · Infahret | A.: h |
| Application Received 10/8/4 6 B | City Planning Department |
| 11. | |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 By | Sellen Kernigan + Burton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/33/46 | Wearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Decision Condit approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/4 Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 0/23/49 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0/24/4 | Building Inspector 10/25/46 |
| Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner | 0/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + and |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

08* TO* TT 10 1

sources as the subject of the second of the second s I we must a state of a second of the state of the second of the second second

neboyupgon.

Periari vir crecrustet ta clori douris denny, das filo inerisces from entre de la clori douris de corte a mot filo and corretor o de tetier est source is versión est partice ineriste, corretor et de la distriction traction, est is a source ineriste, or it to to to de la corte traction est is a source resolution de traction est a source (i) en traction is a source resolution.

Dog

WHEREAS, Application No. 4186 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

- 1 -

0.11

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will______aQ. adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Fleischmann to erect a Quonset Hut 40 ft. by 80 ft., to be used for the storage of crates and other things in connection with a Wholesale Egg Dealer, at 3892 "Z" Street on Lots 43 to 48, inclusive, Block 421, Duncan's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46

FORM 2145

By....

Shorebary Chairman

Res. No. 1847

| Storner. ". | 40 | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Application Received | /7/46 в | Bur | ton | |
| | | | 0 | -1 |
| Investigation made 10/ | 23/46 By | Kerrigan | Seller + Bu | ston |
| And the second second second | intration | OCity Pla | nning Department | |
| Considered by Zoning Committe | ee 10/2 3/46 | Hearing date | 3/4 6 | |
| Considered by Zoning Committe Decision Copy of Resolution sent to C Planning Commission 19/23/4 Appeal filed with City Clerk | City Clerklobyly | Building Inspec | tor 10/25/46 | |
| Planning Commission 10/23/4 | 6 Petitioner 19 | 25/46 Healt | th Department 1.0/2. | 5/4/6×ase |
| Appeal filed with City Clert | x,date | Council Hearing | g, date | |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | à | Date | | |
| Application withdrawn | | Continued to | | |
| Time limit extended to | | Date of action | | |
| | | | | |

BAS* NOT JOHN

. v ni mie do the crostatore of Orithoneo. 0, 1511, bo, contanterour.

Here ind an is interv fraction to ... this community of a common subcontention with a protective state above contents a common bring in common interval for protective state is interval in the section for it is contention, for protective state of the state of the protection is for it ,

and a share we have been and the set of the

210.2

. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. 4204 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ________ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. P. Steveson to make addition to a nonconforming residence with an 18 ft. rear yard, 4414 Misgara Street on Lot 11, Block 87, Point Loma Heights; addition to conform with all yard requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19.46.

and the set of the second

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1848

T.K

| Application Received 10/15/46 | By Button City Planning Department |
|--|--|
| Investigation made $10/23/46$ | By <u>Selley</u> , Kenijan + Buston City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 18/23/46 | Hearing date Date <u>19/23/46</u> 46 Building Inspector <u>10/25/46</u> 10/25/46 Health Department <u>19/25/46</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/9/24/ Planning Commission 19/25/46 Petitioner | 46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46+ 022 |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |
| | Date of action |

- Partie alto The Martin

100 100 - 1 States States States

A NOTIFICA DE LE TENTETE DE LE LE LE DE LE TENE DE LE T

terrane and the second second second

250 1

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will Dot adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to W. N. Thornton to conduct a 30 ft. by 40 ft. building on the Southwest corner of Spruce and India Streets on Lots 11 and 12. Block 120. Middletown, for manufacturing and bottling beverage concentrates.

& variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By Bederland Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1849

| | the state of the second s |
|--|--|
| ernaronall' de | 0.1 |
| Application Received 10/7/46 | By City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 | By Studen, Angen - Sunton City Plannin Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 | City Plannin Department Hearing date Date 10/23/96 96 Building Anspector 10/25/96 10/25/96 Health Department 10/25/96 vace Council Hearing, date Date |
| Decision approved | Date 10/23/96 |
| Planning Commission 25/96 Petitioner | 10/25/46 Health Department / 0/25/46 80 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn | Date |
| | Continued to |
| lime limit extended to | Date of action |
| and the second | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| and the part of the property of the second sec | |
| | |
| | |
| THUITS SU POUR STORE OF CLEVERS. | TAN STONE STORE STORE |
| A very new to the most that of treature | new To. 1 127, Le, and is tereby is need |
| and a specific production of the second states and the second stat | |
| Potration of the potricule contract to | real month abreade on about the |
| series for is beached with the end | instation to control a 50 1t. Ty in The |
| | |
| | |
| and the second of the second second second second | Consider of State State State State State State |
| | |
| and the second | nep |
| | |
| | |
| and the state and the state of | TOP |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | - mer and a later with the first same many segments of |
| a second delegance in the second second | |
| a service and an and the set of t | |
| | net reput the set of each one and the restrict products |
| the sense we are not be stand the | |
| | in summer balances and evenue take severet |
| | |
| | |

Perse not the

RESOLUTION NO. 1850 (Amends Resolution No. 1814)

C.K

Zoning Investigator's letter dated

WHEREAS, Application No. October 16/46 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1814, dated October 10, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the South 2 of the North 2 of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, (except streets), into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets; parcels described as follows: (1) W SO'; (2) I 105' of the W 185'; (3) I 50' of the W 235'; and (4) I 285 ft., subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
- 2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19.46.

FORM 2145

South Chairman

Res. No. 1850

| vestigation made | By |
|--|--|
| | City Planning Department |
| onsidered by Zoning Committee 10/2 | City Planning Department Hearing date Date 0/23/46 k/0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46 ioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 Council Hearing, date |
| cision amended pressons 1 | Ver, Date 10/23/46 |
| ppy of Resolution sent to City Cleri | k/0/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/4 |
| anning Commission 10/23/90Petit | ioner 10/25/96 Health Department 10/23/96 |
| | |
| solution becomes effective | Date |
| Plication withdrawn | Continued to |
| me limit extended to | Date of action |
| The subscription of the state of the second second | Tellares of the of beniet, bry shift |
| | and a second of the low newsee that we have |
| at stat the two server in | A THE A PARTY A |
| · with the the alter and the | a consile its for worke president on the be |
| | |
| The second second second second second second second | A. CO. TOPHI AND A DAME & LONG TOPHICS AND |
| and the mark that we want to the | an ranger of the tive of following a strate |
| | |
| The solution () is a set and | · 안동· 동안· 전신은 적 대기가 다 가 아이지는 것이가 나라 가 있다. 이 것이 가 하는 것이 같이 하는 것이 같이 하는 것이 같이 하는 것이 같이 같이 하는 것이 않다. 것이 하는 것이 같이 않아? 이 하는 것이 않아? 이 하는 것이 하는 것이 하는 것이 이 이 하는 것이 하는 |
| in party of the spectrum of the state | of a top of the state is top topology of the |
| | |
| | ses references in pulliply to a contracting metal- |
| | |
| The second second the rest of the line rest of | at 31, |
| We was reprinted in the second strandback to | a star marine and the star as the started to starte the |
| We was reprinted in the second strandback to | when there and the two that we to that the the |
| We was reprinted in the second strandback to | coto pita, icho, co dromen to revier de revierionit uno manorationet cominer to citáno die |
| We was reprinted in the second strandback to | when there and the two that we to that the the |
| Eller - Aussieller (M. T.T | cetan : In, I Mo, on chearer to row or deligne: |
| | cetan vita, 1000, co messer forgant equiciton: |
| | ceton : 10, 1000 constra formal offician : |
| | ceton : I. M., o conser to real distant. |
| | Interior in the second of the |
| | Lot |
| | unt unt etc. 111, 114, on measer for rows of soliton : unt and an analysis of soliton in solition is |
| | unt unt etc. 111, 114, on measer for rows of soliton : unt and an analysis of soliton in solition is |
| | not the second s |
| | nos not not not not nos nos nos nos nos nos nos nos nos nos |
| | not the second s |
| | not not not official official is a second of the second of |
| | And not tot tot retor i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |
| | not not not not not not not not not not |
| | Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante |
| | Ante |
| | Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante Ante |
| | International de la construction de la construcción |
| | Int Int Int Int Int Int Int Int |

AND THE TRANS

RESOLUTION NO. 1851 (Amends Resolution No. 1815)

C.K

Zoning Investigator's letter dated

WHEREAS, Application NoOctober 16,1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will notmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

That Resolution No. 1815; dated October 10, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the North 2 of the South 2 of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, except streets and alley, into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets; parcels described as follows: (1) W SO'; (2) E 105' of the W 185'; (3) E 50' of the W 235'; and (4) E 285'; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
- 2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By

Back toy Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1851

| printion Received 10/23/46 | City Planning Department |
|--|---|
| nvestigation made | |
| | City Planning Department |
| onsidered by Zoning Committee 10/23/4 | Hearing date |
| cision amended Theorows Adde | ate 10/23/46 |
| ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/ | 24/Y Building Inspector 10/23/46 |
| opeal filed with City Clerk date | Tan Date 10/23/46 Paring date 10/23/46 Paring Inspector 10/23/46 Paring Health Department 10/25/46 Council Hearing, date |
| CAS LOI OI COUNCA A REPORT OF THE PROPERTY AND | late |
| solution becomes effective | |
| pplication withdrawn | Continued to |
| me limit extended to | Date of action |
| a the state of the second second the | the set of |
| an garden and tire and have | |
| the buy the at finition is to can | IN THE REPORT OF THE TANK TO THE PARTY OF |
| | |
| A STR CORE AND A DESTREMENTAL | APPLY THE BUILD PERSON WHE ATT I PARTIE AN AND |
| and the the track second and the first three | and price stationally is fall and it for the party in |
| | |
| Cashi /a Cabis Self Plan Corps | AN LOIN THE COLUTION |
| where the body me chall other (1) is built to | <pre>/ Ical of the constraint through a sector of the constraint o</pre> |
| The fourds on the second of the drag | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | and the second |
| | |
| | a new restartion is the second state of the se |
| | a new restartions for the state of the second |
| the second second reacted to in | no unale an' seleto 1. Traigen ta diride D'a 21, Fello fero , econt etambe divide a 1 mea medifican fa |
| the second second reaction of the second | the second of the second state of the second s |
| and the factor of the set | no unale an' seleto 1. Traigen ta diride D'a 21, Fello fero , econt etambe divide a 1 mea medifican fa |
| and the factor of the set | no unale al seleto :. Traigen ta ditulo 5 e di, Felito fero , escrat etarche division. |
| a andreise sig samera a saide a saide a na angraise sa saide angra ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an | <pre>/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</pre> |
| <pre>// / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</pre> | <pre>/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</pre> |
| arestant in the second restant in the second | <pre></pre> |
| arectaria in the formation of the format | <pre>/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</pre> |
| <pre>A molecular and find the find the</pre> | 104 |
| <pre>A a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a</pre> | not |
| <pre>A the second secon</pre> | not not , |
| A POLICE A CONTRACTOR OF A CON | not |
| A POLICE A CONTRACTOR OF A CON | not not , |
| | not not not , |
| | not not , |
| <pre>A contract of the second of the second</pre> | not not not , |
| | <pre>int int int int int int int int int int</pre> |
| | not not not ,, ,,,,,, |
| | Int not not not , |
| | not not not not , |
| | <pre>mot not not not not not not not not not n</pre> |
| | not not not not is 'is 'not 'not in the line 's 'not 'not 'not 'not 'n the line 's 'not 'n the line 'n the line 's 'n the 'n the line 's the line 's 'n the line 's 'n the 'n the line 's 'n the line 's 'n the line 's 'n the line 's 'n the 'n the 'n the line 's 'n the line |
| | not not not not is 'is 'not 'not in the line 's 'not 'not 'not 'not 'n the line 's 'not 'not 'n the line 's 'n the line 's 'n the 'n the line 's 'n the line 's 'n the line 's 'n the 'n the 'n the line 's 'n the |
| | not not not not , |

14

•

WHEREAS, Application No 4226 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

- That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to James P. Twohy to construct a residence on a one-half acre portion in Fueblo Lots 1286 and 1287 (Assessor's Map 33A) (description on file in the office of the Flanning Department) at 2025 East Torrey Pines Road, with a 10 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46

FORM 2145

By....

Samasary Chairman

Res. No. 1852

OK

| a crunch the second second second second | |
|--|--|
| Application Received 10/22/46 B | yCity Planning Department |
| Investigation made 10/23/46 B | 1 |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/24/4 | Hearing date Date /0/23/96 Building Inspector /0/25/96 9/25/96 Health Department /0/25/96 vac |
| Planning Commission /// Petitioner | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn | |
| Time limit extended to | |

is remained to the providence of and mode how bills, rediented, by, and is the remaining of the providence to the remaining and remaining the remaining the

Perticulan io here's grated to fired . Thore to prestruct a full non-bu a community era sertion in gue la sota 1300 cm 1 cf (sectority to 554) (Seroriotion on file is the office of the locality represent, th 554) office set torrer winds off, with a 10 fb, restriction. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4139</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Catherine Connet Burns, owner and Oscar R. and Rose K. Anderson, purchasers, to maintain and operate a boarding home for the aged at 2420 "F" Street, on Lots 25, 29 and 30, Block 6, Breed and Chase, provided no mental cases are kept on the premises and all requirements of the Health Department, Building Department and Fire Marshal are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, 19 46

FORM 2145

Dated

November 7.

for theirman

WARN OFFICER ONORDE 19 Application Received ______ By _____ By _____ City Planning Department Investigation made 10/23 + 11/6/46 By _ 300ing Committee Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date 11/6 Decision Condit Opproval Date 11/6/ Decision Condil approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46 Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46* Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council_ Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

161* 16* 5000

a vertice to the period of this one in. 10 he we is the restore CONTTON DE DU. Thinks of the relief "epice white, building percentions and the forthell rep-. er ignien is lovery graved to presentae onet surry owner and press .

the second se

The second ration is good to further crowned ballerout the

LANTALO FT DELTE AL MERITAL S. 40. 740-14

NOLLIE CAN DE TOMAN

The Contraction of the Party of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4199</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harold J. Packer to erect a neon sign 4 ft. by 2 ft. 6 in. in front of the setback line on 4 in. pipe, at 3030 - 6th Avenue on the North # of Lot H and all of Lot I, Block 355, Horton's Addition and sign to extend over public property approximately 32 ft., be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 19 46

By

FORM 2145

Storage Chairman

| Application Received 10/26/46B | City Planning Department |
|---|---|
| Investigation made B | y that Selley Kenigent Buston City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11/6/96</u> Decision <u>lenned</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/2/96</u> Planning Commission <u>11/8/96</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Hearing date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

ost to the

includedian for a verifice to the provisions of inclusions of a long, 100%, 0, one is longer featading contracts to the total to the property contracts of the contracts of the

The setting of the 1.1.2. Nother to stret a note of a budy of the state 0 is a superior of the control the extension of the state of th

hereleter have the start at the start of the second of the fight the second of the second of the second of the

al the Critic end of the Children and the second state and the second state of the second state

1. 344

and a set of the second strain the second second

ATTRACTORY AND A CAMPACTURE CARDINAL SALES

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4200</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of The Brock Building Company to construct a four unit apartment, divided into two separate buildings with no distance between the buildings on the south side of Chalcedony Street between Haines and Ingraham Streets, on Lots 11 and 12, Block 125, Pacific Beach, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 7, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___November 7, , 1946

skieler Chairman

0.1

| Application Received | 10/14/46 | By | Parish City Planning Department | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|------------------|
| Investigation made | 11/6/46 | By Ke | City Planning Department | ton |
| Considered by Zoning Co | ommittee 11/6/4/ | Hearing | | |
| Decision /// | // | Date | 11/6/46 | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to | o City Clerk/1/7/4 | Building | g Inspector 11/8/46 | |
| Planning Commission // | 18/46 Petition | ner 11/8/9 | g Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> Health Department "/8/46 | × assessor |
| Appeal filed with City Cle | rk, date | Council | Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council | | Date | | All and a second |
| Resolution becomes effect | ive | | | |
| Application withdrawn | | Continu | ied to | |
| Time limit extended to | | Date of | action | |

Antidorbion for a training for the travitions of a language, stati-

the polition of the lock wilding permutates can brack supers unit-correctents, alited into the genuritor wilding a pitture (let were retreen the boilding of on the north alls of delegence track stock wilding of introduction they were canoted if all by plot if, that is encly by and in acceptions.

DEFT_ORES OF A STATUTE OF TRANSPORTATION OF

anne des vier des sons des man des sons and the sons and

the spectrum of the second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4214</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claud C. Bruner to construct a Quonset Repair Shop, 24 ft. by 36 ft., for household appliances and automobiles at 4275 - 44th Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 1, Eastgate, provided the building is constructed 10 ft. in from the alley and hours of operation from 5:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By_

States Chairman

Res. No. 1856

| | A Charles Charles Charles |
|--|--|
| inevention (, 15 16 | Baril |
| Application Received | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By <u>Selley Kernigan & Buston</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/4 | 6 Hearing date |
| Decision Confe approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/12/ | Date 116/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | 16 Building Inspector 11/8/16 |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitic | oner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/468 assessor |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

NOTE & THE PARTY AND

I VERBURCH to the transforment of the restriction of the second of the terms of terms of the terms of terms

uestistion if it it http://protof to CLARI., it was to construct a more therait Cost, if it, if for the flat nourebull of lithdes with the oblige at the fife work street on LotarStand of Jacob 1, wasteris, provide the colling is constructed 10 ft, it for the sline of cost sion from the for the file of the for the for the lot ft.

THE CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF AN ADDRESS OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT

and some the second second and when the second s

A SECTOR OF STREET AS AN ADDRESS TO THE STREET AND ADDRESS TO THE ADDRESS TO THE ADDRESS ADDRESS

it The state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4151 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Erls G. Meeks to construct a garage at Abalone Place and Mess Lane on Lot 1 and the SW1y 10 ft. of Lot 2, Block "F", Resub. of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, with a 9 ft. setback on Abalone Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 19 46

Solding Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1857

| and the second | and the second |
|--|--|
| Application Received 10/21/46 | BySouth |
| // | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By <u>Selley, Kenigan & Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6 | 146 Hearing date |
| Decision approved 11 | Date 11/6/4/6 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | 146 Building Inspector 11/8/46 tioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46+ Oscience |
| Planning Commission 11/8/4 6 Peth | tioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46+ assess |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

Gect Mot Inth

STATES AND STATES AND AND AND A STATES

the loss of the states

a actinda an

A surface of any president of Deliver of 1251, pc, out is series about the be

A pair ion is ferring success to prive . Lefra to not brack a correct of brack theo and there are on ion i and the all 10 ft. alloch by liberally const of sign including the biology rither prof. not alloch the lore libers.

of a perior of the state of the second strength

15 1

and the second second

The second s

not served and not

WHEREAS, Application No. 4231 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Travis to build a 2nd story on a one story garage building with a 155 ft. rear yard at 1266 Moana Drive, on Lot 13, Block B, Sunset Crest, to be used as an Amateur Radio Station, provided an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record, stating that the building will not be used for living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7. , 1946

agreement # 441

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

O.K

| POLISINGT 11 | |
|--|--|
| Application Received | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made Considered by Zoning Committee6/46 | By <u>Joning Committee</u> City Planning Department |
| Decision Confil Operate Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/13/4 Planning Commission 1/13/46 Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 11/6/4 6 11/13/14/6 |
| Planning Commission 11/13/46 Petitione | r 11/13/46 Health Department 11/13/46 Acce |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | C I III |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

MOLE MOR TOLD

3. V. M. ROB, DY D. F. MEDYLERAR OF THE TRANSFORMED AND AN ANALYSIS. A PROPERTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DES

lowipplies is note() (reprovide to note (reply to note) of a lowipplies is note on a contract of the note of the note.

a, there is the second s

nation in a second second material material material second second

the second second and the second s

where and a the loss strates have

ADVICE NORS IN A LA SEASCHART ST

TARA DECEMBER 1 28

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>1191</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. A. Shedoudy, owner and Eddie Le Roy Farley, lessee to maintain and operate a Variety Novelty Shop in a now emisting store building at 3192 Webster Street on Lots 47 and 48, Block 332, Choates Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman .

Res. No. 1859

| | and the second second second second |
|--|---|
| Application Received $\frac{10/21/46}{21/46}$ | By South City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By <u>Selley Kernigan & Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11/6/46</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/8/46</u> | Hearing date Date 11/6/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Building Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> r 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46* assess Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

* 65* 50* ITCO

virtue to the provision of this nee of 15.1, 90, not is learly perteritient reaction to the to the reserve that is nove.

inclurion is ler by surfaction. . . Records, some all stible of leiter, Inclue to maint in an entry sympton of Lyr Dar in the conscience of a a initial of 1.2 web toy street on lote "Franch, successful the first franch.

That the first of the state of the set of the

Le fair les l'articles de la service de la

and an and a second and a second and a second a

The second second

a second a second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4202</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edgar L. Smith to construct steps, two small retaining walls and wall of patic beyond the setback line at 5721 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 16, Block 1, Monte Mar Vista, provided that if and when the s treet is widened the steps and retaining walls will be removed without expense to the city and that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13056, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

filed 11/13/46

Dated____November 7. , 19 46

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

"Sectorary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1860

| The second s | |
|--|---|
| Application Received10/22/46 | By South |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made6/46 | By <u></u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | City Planning Department Hearing date |
| Decision Condi approval | Date 11/6/4/6 |
| Decision Condi Opproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/1/13/40 Planning Commission "/13/46 Petitioner | Building Inspector 11/13/46 |
| Planning Commission "1/13/46 Petitioner | 11/13/46 Health Department 11/13/46 + asses |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | _ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

GRA PROPERTY

A verifice to the most does of the function to the series that to be the erated larger on they will to be the presenty much well conve.

theil bu et dog by the properties ester of a

ruthering, wither the relation to be the retroit line of STL 1. Graph boulever on bot 1, lines 1, which retroited a transfer to the line that for the starts is linesed the cherr of retriever to a line it we report without even a forthe offer without starts in the line of the report without even a forthe offer without starts with the collection we consistent Jerming for in intropy printed to drawly differ to schedule atoms, i.o. really

THAT I ST THE SECTION TO AND THE KING AND THE LUT COMPARING.

1 DOC

The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4085 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to/Louis Allen to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, on La Jolla Shore Drive, into three building sites, as per plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, provided enough land fronting on La Jolla Shores Drive is deeded to the city to provide a right-of-way 60 ft. in width as access to the Pueblo Lot owned by the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Dated_

November 7, , 1946

D

Secretary Chairman

| 0Z511967 3 | CONTRACT OF TERM |
|---|--|
| Application Received | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By <u>Lellew, Kenigan</u> & Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Condit approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/7/4 Plansing | Date 11/6/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/1/4 | E Building Inspector 11/8/4/6 |
| raining commission 1/0/90 Petitione | er 1/8/96 Health Department 1/8/96 Career |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

contine warden of the literate legitude, contine early is a structure many solid locate with is fealed to the oith to mention of structure literation is not a score to the network induces of the often.

Real providence of the provide party por the real sector of the sector and as

intelling a server of the server of the second of the location of the location

ANT shall be reaction and an service many direction of the case of the service

i dog

nnt. not

and the second second and the second s

and a set in the set of the

T.OT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4218</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles and Sue Marie Poderean to build a residence at Forward and Chelses Streets on Lots 7 and 5, Block 1, Bird Rock Addition, with a 5 ft. setback on Forward Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 7, , 19 46

By

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

| Application Received 10/23/46 B | y South City Planning Department |
|---|---|
| Investigation made <u>11/6/46</u> B | y <u>Selley Kernigin & Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Complexed | Date 11/6/46 Building Inspector 1178/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/7/46 Planning Commission 1/8/46 Petitioner | Building Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 vancen |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

01.0° 10* 3005

A purchase of the coprision of the investment of A 1974, 104, 10 102035

Meet feri ar in here be sera bot for ster ster out met sta teresera te build n real fues of terment and then real tradies on wets if and a limit is give back thistian, reference to the rebuild on terminal threet.

Jarra Martin Martan 1988 - 1988 - Alexandra Alexandra Torra Cartan Alexandra Martan Alexandra Carta

and the point of a set of the part of an and and the set of the set of the set of the set of the best of the set of the s

the state of the s

THERE DOLD

TOS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4227</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. Dobler to build a 13 ft. by 16 ft. addition to a store-residential building with no sideyard, 2146 Logan Avenue on Lots 12 and 13, Block 175, San Diego Land and Town Co. Addition, provided the addition is used for store building purposes only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa. be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 7, 19 46

By_

FORM 2145

Dated_

Secretary Chairman

0.K

| Application Received 10/24/46 B | y <u>Garuch</u> City Planning Department |
|--|---|
| Investigation made <u>11/6/46</u> B | y <u>Selley Klorigin + Quiton</u> City Plansing Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/7/46</u> Planning Commission <u>11/8/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Building Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | _ Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

SIN TON IND

A wait are forther contributed by increased of the addition of the increased of the transfer of the forther to the property of the forther to the property of . outling the second stated to the second which will will be it for the second states bound the second states in the second states in the second states of the second states to be it is the second states of the second states of the second states is the second states of the second states of the second states in the second states is the second states of the second states of the second states in the second states in the second states of the second states in the second states of the seco

ACCENTION OF THE PERSON OF THE

The second s

service a service of the The state of the

and the state of the second state of the secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4224</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Bowering, to erect a neon sign 6 ft. by 4 ft., with no setback from the front property line on the Northeast corner of 55th and El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 12 (except the NLy 50 ft.), Block B. Redland Gardens, provided that if and when the street is widen the owner will then remove the sign without expense to the city, and that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of second.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13055, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

November 7. , 1946

reement # 439

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Dated

Secretary Chairman

| Application Received 10/24/46 B | y Barrish |
|---|---|
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation madeB | y _ <u>Zoning Committee</u> Gity Planning Department |
| Considered 1. Zaming Committee Ula hel | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11/6/46</u> Decision <u>Confil approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/13/46</u> Planning Commission <u>11/13/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/13/46 | Date 11/6/46 Building Inspector <u>11/13/46</u> 11/13/46 Health Department 11/13/4640mm |
| Planning Commission 1/13/96 Petitioner | 11/13/46 Health Department 11/13/46 1 assessme |
| Decision of Council | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

ane juse just

There will an other than the provide and the provide the provide the provide the provide the provide the provide the providence of the provide the provide the providence of t

10000

LARD CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR

The hard the second of the second second

the second second start a first a start when the second start starts a start of a start start and

the second set of the manual of the set of the

1251 The set was in the court of the part of the court of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4166</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl and Metha Meili, owner and Lee and Phyllis Sundstrom, purchasers, to divide a portion of the SWL of the MWL of Quarter Section 104, RHO de la Nacion on the easterly extension of 4th Street in National Gity and record two parcels of Land (1) 90 ft. by 250 ft. and (2) 80 ft. by 250 ft.; each parcel is without street frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 19 46

FORM 2145

| BOYOTT T, HO | |
|---|---|
| Application Received 10/26/46 | By Coppock |
| | _ By Cit/Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By <u>Selley Kernigen & Buston</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/4 | 6 Hearing date |
| Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/2/4 Planning Commission 11/8/4 6 Petition | Date 11/6/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/1/4 | building Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> her <u>11/8/46</u> Health Department <u>11/8/46 × assess</u> |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petition | ner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & asses |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

TO HAT ON THAT

the state thouse they and proach is a maps to state of the state is a state of the state of the

Jertierinn Fritzer, mutal to frillin et alit, orner stillering Filife Andrews, switzers, to fiv or reptioned the set of the set of the freedom lot, 100, o the clou on the criticity of the of the

10 gr

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR

sectored and the sector of the sector of the sector sector sector sectored and the sector sector sector sector

NEGOTINE AND AND THE PROPERTY

nop - -----

the state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4240</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. S. and Vivien Salter to operate a Beauty Parlor in an existing garage at 3468 Thorn Street on the Mast 45 ft. of Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block 16, City Heights, not more than 4 hours per day, no employee's and no signs.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7. , 19 46

Secretacy Chairman

FORM 2145

0

0.1

| Applie in D is a laladelle D | land |
|---|--|
| Application ReceivedB | City Planning Department |
| Investigation madeB | Selley Kernigan + Buston City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Cond' approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/2/46 | Date 11/6/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + accessor |
| raining Commission 7779 Petitioner | 1/8/99 Health Department 1/0/96 V accessor |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |
| - me mint extended to | |
| | |

Serie de la comparte de la presente de la presente

a provensa i constituit su an mana reche a sub da minare.

THERE OF THE REPUT OF

logitation is is a first for the state of the state of the solution of the state of the state of the state of the state is a state for a state of the state

are alterated and the

ter and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4248</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Jackson to build a playroom, bath and laundry to garage with a 13 ft. rear yard, 3846 Atascadero Street on Lot 18, Block B, Resub. of Blocks 21, 32 & portion of 40, Point Loma Heights; addition not to be used as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ November 7, , 19 46

FORM 2145

0

By

Oit

| Bill Millingsong An | 0 |
|--|--|
| Application Received 10/29/46 I | BySouth |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation madeI/6/46I | By <u>Selley, Kenigan + Burton</u> City Plansing Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 116/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/2/4 6 Planning Commission 11/8/4 6 Petitioner | Date 11/6/44 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/4/2 | Building Inspector |
| Planning Commission 1/8/46 Petitioner | 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & assessed |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | _ Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

1 10° 1304

A vertinge to de povirione of spinence of a bretton of the to the poly of the terms of ter

Annen and an an argent marche source and the second second second second second second second second second second

tur angle start in the start of the base o

a construction of the effort entrements and the second of the second of the second back and the second of the seco

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4256</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ramon Kaiser to convert a residence to Refrigerator Repair Store, formerly occupied as a store, 3037 - 30th Street on Lots 20 and 21, Block 4, 5. Gurwell Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 7, , 19 46

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1868

FORM 2145

| Application Received $\frac{10/31/46}{B}$ B | y <u>City Planning Department</u> |
|--|---|
| Investigation made <u>11/6/46</u> B | y <u>Lelley Kerngan + Burton</u> City Planing Department |
| Consid 11 Zaning Committee 11/1/1/1 | Hearing data |
| Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/7/4 6</u> Planning Commission <u>11/8/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 11/6/4 4 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/1/9 10 | Building Inspector 11/8/96 |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Pétitioner | 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/461 assessme |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | . Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

104 7

- particles to the reputions of the inner of the point former for the reputer

DIFYPEDICE THE TO TRADUCE THE PROPERTY STATES

and the second second and the

ionitation is such a parts to burn of an transmission by relation to the formulation of t

ra die and in the second of th

the province of and the part of the first of the state of the state of the

the stand of the state of the

EXTERNAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4228</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don Eanson to construct a 12 ft. by 15 ft. addition to an existing residence with a 12 ft. rear yard at 1768 Beryl Street on Lot 174, except the Ely 125 ft., Congress Heights Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 7, , 19 46

FORM 2145

A Secretary Chairman

| and an and an and a start of a start of a start of the st | |
|--|---|
| Application Received | By Baughman City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By <u>Sellew, Kenigin & Burton</u> City Plapping Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6 | Hearing date |
| Decision Opproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 44 Planning Commission 48/46 Pet | Date 11/6/46 <u>746</u> Building Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> itioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/464 assessor |
| Planning Commission 1/8/9 Pet Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | itioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/464 assessor |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

「の書」王台中の

vaiance (prove projections of inclusions of claim, decentary, projection nextly printed income profession to the restrict ontimes restricted there.

reade including content to ton through the contract of a little of the contract of the second of the second s

CAPTER FLORE TAN

and a second second

and the second state of th

the second day and devident menuices interest deal and and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4234</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lers W. Anderson to construct a 10 ft. by 15 ft. bedroom addition to residence with a 3 ft. sideyard at 4767 Winona Street on the South 10 ft. of Lot 6, all of Lot 7 and the North 10 ft. of Lot 8, Block B, Montecello, and maintain a 3 ft. sideyard for the addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 19 46

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

0.15

| hozor ptr. 1 | 20 |
|---|---|
| Application ReceivedH6 B | sy Baughman |
| | City Planding Department |
| Investigation made 11/6/46 B | y <u>Selley Kernigan & Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11/6/46</u> Decision <u>Opposed</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>147/46</u> | Hearing date |
| Decision approved 11 | Date 11/6/46 111 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/1/4 6 | Date 11/6/96 Building Inspector <u>11/8/96</u> 11/8/96 Health Department 11/8/964 Occessor Council Hearing, date |
| Flanning Commission // 6/ 9 Petitioner | 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/464 Ossesson |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

Litre V. L'anne fo she root a contrarte de la contrarte de la

Permission is here'r reater to Nursh, undrawn to sonath of a torith, "15 th. Bedronn olfittion in remining with a Jut, et error a UFAT ince strate of the Spath ic With a Dur y, Mil of Met, sheri the strate of the strate y were. Hordworkle, withe ince Jun (Jun, sheri for the strate of the s

ALEXAND THE A CONTRACT STUDIES AND A CONTRACT STORE STORE AND A STORE AND A STORE AND A STORE AND A STORE AND A

and and the second second second state the second second second second second second second second second second

An and the second second

the second second second second

A 2 OF TAL OF A STORE STORE STORE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4254</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Velters V. Logen to use the residence at 4516 Arizona Street as location for commercial photography business; dark room work only; no pictures taken on premises; part time work; no signs; no soliciting or advertising on the premises; no employee's; on the North 16'8" of Lot 28 and the South 18' of Lot 29, except the East 60' thereof, Block 52, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 19 46

FORM 2145

C

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1871

O.K

| LAND TOLOGOUL !! | 149 | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Application Received | 30/46 1 | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | | By <u>Selley Kernigin + Burton</u> City Planging Department |
| Considered by Zoning Commi | ttee 116/96 | _ Hearing date |
| Conv of Resolution sent to Cit | v Clerk 11/7/46 | Date 11/6/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + association |
| | | 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + asse |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, da | ate | _ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | | _ Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | | |
| Application withdrawn | | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | and the second se | Date of action |

an the training

Moof d, oft whith feiture. A verture to the rowinious of undimensions, this, , or, and is dereign to the second se

Permission in the read period to Titure . A cut to the protomore it point the factor of the formation to the read of the read of the point work of it's not protom read on the read road the protomic methods. The read of it's not protom of the read of the read. The read of the r

the part of the second of the

and a second second second second and the second second second second second second second second second second

Sector Contractor

LAT CONTRACT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4185</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Berthe L. Moe to construct an addition to a garage, for storage room, making a total area of 600 sq. ft., with no side or rear yard at 4625 EL Cerrito Drive on Lot 9, Block 1, EL Cerrito Heights, Unit No. 1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 7, , 19_46

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

| TICKOACHE ANI | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Application ReceivedB | yCity Planning Department |
| | Q 1 Q / I |
| Investigation made <u>11/6/46</u> B | V Sellew, Kerrisin + Burton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Opproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 | Date 11/6/14 (a |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/7/4 9 | Building Inspector 11/8/46 |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner | 118/46 Health Department 1/8/46 Y and |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

at posts

Townia low is levely symbol to explore on to construct on construct to

and in the second of the second of the tensor considered and the second of the second

The new rest of the second state and the second states are s

the sector of th

the contraction of the second and appending the provident states and a second and

2 GEO TON MONTHER PARTY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4265</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack W. May to erect a retaining wall and a wire fence ranging from So ft. to 10 ft. above adjacent ground level at 4482 - 59th Street on a portion of Lot 26, East Redlands.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 1946

Secretary Chairman

O.K

FORM 2145

| orchent. () | |
|--|---|
| Application Received | By |
| Investigation made | By <u>Selles Kenning Department</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/9 | 6 Hearing date |
| Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/2/9</u> Planning Commission <u>11/8/9</u> 6 Petition | Date 11/6/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/9 | Le Building Inspector 11/8/46 |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petition | ner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + acce |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

N. * "TI*

interior 15 line is nearth, to tob . The to profer of this 111 fit time these reaction store. It, to linet, rouse solecet grownlikewell of the set of the free brown provision of bobies, or buckled also.

and the Konstant start and the start and the

LEL Get CLA

WHEREAS, Application No. 4219 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Brown Military Academy to construct a 7 ft. cyclone fence surrounding an athletic field on the north side of Garnet Street between Ingraham and Jewell Streets on Lots 1 to 40 inclusive, Block 202 and Lots 21 to 40 inclusive, Block 183, Pacific Beach and alleys and Streets closed adjacent.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 1946

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

| TOVE DOP CAR 115 | 0 A |
|--|--|
| Application ReceivedB | y Earrich |
| 11 | City Planning Department |
| Investigation madeB | y Selley, Kengin + Buston City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 116/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date 116 46 Building Inspector 11/5 46 Health Department 11/5/464 and Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 117146 | Building Inspector UK uk |
| Planning Commission " " Jule Petitioner | 11 8 96 Health Department 11) 8/464 and |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

014 04 75 11

l Villinde to the sportflaus ef subjuries so fully and replet, by, and is entely areated incarated structure to the sourcesty continue, chare.

Industre-ti ullared whiteeut.

Irruit de mine example under to complete field e four to ronchmet all de evelore fonde margametre, à charte field à blo intén libret duract duract abuilet selections in adminent est il duracte on otrait tours induitate, abuilet selections de filles d'admines, and libret de secondaries autores autores.

LEADER OF ELLER AND A CARD AND A DECKER AND A

and and a second and a second and a second a s

the sector car and the sector and the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector s

and the state of an end and the state of the

is a second of the second second second and the second second second second second second second second second

4188 WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor L. Baldwin to divide Lots 4 and 5. Block 3. Loma View into two buildings sites, one 70 ft. by 100 ft. and one 60 ft, by 100 ft. facing on Silvergate Avenue instead of Wilcox Streets. provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of Silvergate Avenue and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 7. , 1946 Dated

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1875

b.K

FORM 2145

| The Action 2 1. | P |
|---|--|
| Application Received B | y City Planning Department |
| | 2 |
| Investigation made/6/46 B | y <u>Sellew Kenigin + Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| C is is Z in Coming Id (M. | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sett to City Clerk 11/7/46 | Building Inspector 11/8/46 |
| Decision Condition sent to City Clerk <u>11/7/4</u> Planning Commission <u>11/8/4</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46+ as |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | _ Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

6192 40 4919

The Visition is the theory of a through the states of the

TOTTO TOTTO TO TATA DE VALUES

• - Operation in Mersons (differ to allebra (, allebra for this condition) is a state of the state of the

The space of the second second and the trace and the second second and the second second second second second s

The content of the second of the

THERE AGE THE TAT THE TWEET OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4237</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Challenge Cream-Butter Ass'n to remodel the lst floor and make additions to 1st and 2nd floors on front of existing creamery building and to remodel and make additions to the rear of the same building, 4600-6th Avenue on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1118.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 1946

FORM 2145

| Application Received 10/24/46 | By City Planning Department |
|---|--|
| Investigation made // 6/46 | By <u>Selley Kerrigan + Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1/6/1/6 | _ Hearing date |
| Decision approved 11 | Date 11/6/4 Building Inspector 11/8/46 11/8/4 Health Department 11/8/46v access |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/1/4 | & Building Inspector |
| Planning Commission 11/8/4 @ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | 11/8/4 6 Health Department 11/8/468 acces |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | _ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

1.1

いたいなちんちの

icritation date or provide the fight of the under sould to rate of the itre flows of the solid metalled of the index on function endedung under reprint to be the received of the solid of the original flow a non-print is, for the New e on a perflow of the ideals. If yes it is, for the New e on a perflow of the object. If yes it is in the former of the original of the original of the index of the ideals.

an appropriate state of the second in the second of the second state of the second second second second second a

ante en la sama en la marine en la substante des la ser un ser la ser la ser de la ser de la ser en la ser

LIERSENCE LOS (a tract) - DUMENT

of the Circumsterial state a first gave which the period state along the state of the Circumsterial best state of the Second state

KAR PERSONANCE TATI

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4126</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Julius Jacobson and Marl Cawthon to erect an S ft. fence along the west property line and alley at 2940 National Avenue on Lots 31 to 35 inclusive, Block S, Reed & Hubbell's Addition and to erect an 11 ft. wall on the front property between existing buildings, provided the front wall is painted to match the stucce building adjacent on the west.

. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931. New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 7, , 19_46

By

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman .

O.K

| And the second | |
|---|--|
| Application Received 10/28/46 | By City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Condit Oppose Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | By <u>Sellew, Kerning Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| Constant and a second full | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Copy of Papelution centro City Clerk 11/7/11 | 6 Building Inspector 11/8/46 |
| Planning Commission 11814 6 Petitioner | 1/8/46 Health Department 11/8/464 Gene |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

A v cluste to the revising of this to see of the restrictions, be, mo in Levely , w a follow for for for without to the restricted from when we

A starts four front of provided to better and set and conduct to wreat and so that for the part of each flue of they at four detions. And so and a local the part of each flue of the set of the set of the area of another the set of the to check of it she will in a table of the set of a start of the set of the transflor the set of the set of the set of a start of the set of the transflor the set of the set of the set of a start of the set of the table of the set of the set of the set of a start of the set of the table of the set of the set of the set of the set of start of the set of

ARES along of the relation to the loss that the are the second second and the second second second second second

And the second second

Letter dated October 28, 1946

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald Taylor, owner and E. N. Gaudreau, renter to continue operation of a part-time radio repair shop in an existing garage at 3424 Texas Street on Lots 5 and 6. Block 18, Pauly's Addition, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1177, and extended for six months by Resolution No. 1529, for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relateto the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 7. , 19_46

Dated

Res. No. 1878

| Share to A super At | |
|---|---|
| Application Received | 46 By Mail - Coppock City Planning Department |
| Investigation made/6/46 | By <u>Selley, Kernigen + Burton</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Cut. granted | Ill alle II mine 1 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler Planning Commission 11/8/46 | rk <u>11/7/46</u> Building Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> Petitioner <u>11/8/46</u> Health Department <u>11/8/46 + Oscore</u> Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

ant the stalling

Sand so i the share have here his test and the share share the state a veri see to a construction a construction of the second second xear f 40 continue o entire at c content o pois really in the income entotil france of of bacerratics can apply in the really in galitice, an establish in the real state of the real state if a date of interesting.

Traning a source remained to benut a la dore o assessed as a weather the

Partition and a second state of the partition of the second state of the

a la correction de la c

and a state was all and a state

All the second and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4274</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Patrick and Jananne Kennedy to move in a residence and maintain a 10 ft. rear yard, 536 Genter Street on the Westerly 50 ft. of the Southerly 70 ft. of Let 3, Block 3, F. T. Soripp's Addition to La Jolla.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, , 1946

Sichery Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1879

6.K

| THE PART OF A STORE IN THE STORE | Construction of the second s |
|---|---|
| Application Received | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By Kerrijan Sellew + Burton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date 11/6/46 Building Inspector <u>11/8/46</u> 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + Oscer Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/199 | Building Inspector |
| Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner | 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46+ access |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | _ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

Card + CACA - Selfe

It's rience be they rowk forme of up incode to. Why tention is her rolling to the restriction of they relies to the relevant to the restriction of the restriction of

ex in the fallerary marker to retrict on the marker was y to now in a rank ende sufficient in the flatt, near thy jjournet to three of the sectorily of the contract of the struct of the sectorily of the contract of the struct of the sectorily of the contract of the struct of the sectorily of th

Linear of the second second to the second second

and the second second

the mild when the boundary of the second second second ball and second to second the second second second second

of the Culture of the California of the Salar in the Salar of the Salar Salar and the Salar should be the Salar

and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. _____has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul W. and Gertrude M. Surry to construct a building observing the required setback but the chimney extending 24 inches into the setback at 1151 Hornblend Street on Lots 13 and 14, Block 231, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 12, , 19 46

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1380

O, K

| Growning the second second | |
|--|--|
| Application Received By | South |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By By | Joning Conmittee |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11/6/46</u> Hea Decision <u>Approved</u> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/12/46</u> Buil Planning Commission <u>11/12/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Court | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hea | ring date |
| Decision approved //, Date | 11/6/46 1 1 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/19 Buil | ling Inspector 11/12/46 |
| Planning Commission 11/12/46 Petitioner 11/1. | 2/96 Health Department 11/12/464 asses |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour | icil Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | inued to |
| | of action |

長い***シンロ* 道路作ら

in virtunde to bue providiand el Cruductor de 1 July buy ord in derevel. L'avrided les di rocit del relate de 160 per entre condition russis.

THE THERE IS THE SECONDER OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE

. emailed if if the end if the best best if the orbits is the report of a second if the second is the relation of the rel

Top .

and a second second

And the second of the second o

1881

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4290</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Grove to erect a Quonset Hut for Contractor's Storage Yard, a non-conforming use, at 3216 Island Avenue, on Lots 41 to 44 inclusive, Block 129, Reed & Swaynes, with no sideyard on the west side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 14, , 19 46

"Sighter Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1581

| MACHINES TY? | | |
|---|----------------|--|
| Application Received | 96 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made/ | | City Planning/Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee Decision approved | 1 | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City (Planning Commission ////// Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Clerk 11/14/46 | Date 11/13/46 Building Inspector 11/14/46 11/14/46 Health Department 11/14/46 & assessor |
| Planning Commission ////46 | Pétitioner | 11/14/46 Health Department 1/14/46 & assesson |
| Decision of Council | | Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | | |
| Application withdrawn | | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | | Date of action |

A verificant to the spoketime of childrage of AUL, and solitance of Or indicate of SCD, bo, and in series graphed into interiment the solitable bo Exemption of the section of the

. Confinitent for the provident to the " trave to tract a properticult for edontrough the there if the module of an interval, it "It it is not prove of here it the individe", " if the is a provident of the provide of the providence. On the reating of the provide the provide of the providence.

.

start and the set of the

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4260</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. R. Curtis to construct a residence on the east side of North Mountain View Drive approximately 50 ft. south of Benton Place on the Southeasterly 60 ft. of Lot 40, Portion of Block B, of Villa Lots 117 to 127, Normal Heights and maintain a 10 ft. setback from North Mountain View Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 21, , 19 46

Fifthe Chairman

FORM 2145

Dated_

| When a management of the second | 0 |
|---|--|
| Application Received | By Ganish |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | _ By _ <u>Zoning Committee</u> |
| in the second | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/4 | 6 4 //Hedring date |
| Decision approved 10 settack | Date /1/20/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 114 | 21/4 Building Inspector 11/22/46 |
| Planning Commission 11/2 2/4/6 Petit | Date 11/20/46 21/46 Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> tioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 × Quere Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

Test 10 0+ 1002

Charles I have a we want to play ou give a success to prove to prove A V PARES to the realization of the store of level, but it is the

12 2 20 Diff. All in the

erri, 10, in result raits to the function to remained a relieved of relie

The second s

in the same and the second when the second when the second s

not not

mente prese the event of extended and the read spectrum the damage and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4223</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chula Vista Development Company, Robert A. and Alma G. Wheatley and J. R. Delatour to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 24, Paradise Hills on the Southeast corner of Cumberland and Rancho Drive into three parcels, described as follows: (1) Ely 50 ft. of Lot 2; (2) Mly 60 ft. of Lot 1 and Mly 60 ft. of Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2; (3) and Lot 1, except the Mly 60 ft. and Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2, except the Mly 60 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By

Search ANY Chairman

O.K

| Sed Ac unch. 27* | |
|--|---|
| Application Received 10/31/46 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>11/6/46</u> By | Zoning Committee |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{11/6 \neq 11/20}{11/20}$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{11/21/46}{11/20}$ Planning Commission $\frac{11/2}{21/46}$ Petitioner $\frac{11}{11/20}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date 11/20/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 | Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> |
| Planning Commission 11/2 2/46 Petitioner 11 | 1/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46+ ane |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to |
| | Date of action |

Bull * 20* 3 173

Verilian to 1.6 year and the relate to the restriction of a sector. ", is

. erilorion is levely mutof to dote it is contourst convert, block . .w0 lime . erilor at the control to dote to dote it is it. if it, it dote . . motor it of an the control elements of the source is included when into the constraint of the source is the source is included when into the constraint of the source is the source is included when into the constraint of the source is the source is the source is included when into the constraint of the source is the source is the source is the source into the constraint of the source is the source is the source is the source is included in the source is the sourc

The second se

ALTERNATION OF A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

and deally the statement of

THE STRUCK COLOR DE GORNERS

and the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. 4222 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chula Vista Development Company and West Goast Corporation to divide Lots 9 to 12 inclusive, Block 31, Paradise Hills on the west side of Hopkins Street between Cumberland and Shaw Streets into 4 parcels, approximately as follows: (1) May 51 ft. of Lots 9 and 10; (2) Sly 51 ft. of Mly 102 ft. of Lots 9 and 10; (3) Lots 9 and 10, except the Nly 102 ft and also Lots 11 and 12 except the Sly 50 ft; (4) the Sly SO ft. of Lots 11 and 12.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 1946

bliefdy Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1884

| CALL N. T. T. S. A. SANG | CALLER CONTRACTOR OF THE CALLER OF THE |
|---|--|
| Application Received <u>10/31/46</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>16/46</u> By | Di la Danta di |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1/6+11/20/8 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/96 | Date 11/20/46 Building Inspector (1/24/46 |
| Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/21/46</u> Planning Commission <u>11/22/46</u> Petitioner <u>11</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn | Date Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

CONTROL TOP

a province to the province the state of the province of the second province proves

. or in the leve of that f to the level of the level of the level construction to if f often to i include the local late level Hills on the rest fice of a line dreet by the monosthard and he often the line i wreths, the line dreet of the monosthard and he often the line is restrict to its the restruction is (1) the of the abs is a line is the line of the line is the line is enserther if the of the is a line is the line of the line is its if the line is enserther its of the (i) the line is of the line is it.

HERE AND THE PERSONNEL WE ARE SUSAN AND THE PERSON AND THE PERSON

The second second

the factor better and the second state of the

The set of the set of

not not

the subscription instantion in the second second with the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4253</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. and Sylvia Cornell to build addition to an existing garage at the rear of 3359 - 30th Street on Lots 9 and 10, Elock 1, Frary Heights, for the manufacturing of a waterless cleaner, with maximum of 3 horsepower, provided the addition is painted to conform with garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Dated___

November 21, , 19 46

Sperger Alt Chairman

O.K.

| nozenp.z. 27° | 0 |
|--|--|
| Application Received By | Gity Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u> By | <u>Clark + Kenigan + Buston</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 | Hearing date Date $11/20/96$ Building Inspector $1/22/96$ Health Department $11/22/96 \times \alpha_{max}$ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision Conde approvale | Date 11/20/46 |
| Planning Commission 11/22 14 6 Detticher | Building Inspector <u>11/22/96</u> |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

TONE HOP JORD

A mix for in the second state of the seco

Similar the second s

neder on a second second second second being a sure state and second second second second second second second

and the second second

SEE OF LIDOR DOLLER STREET

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4230</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Estate of Eleanora Levi and Camino Del Rio Properties, Inc., lessee, to add an additional 9 holes to an existing 15 hole golf course and to add a short 18 hole course on Lots 3 and 4 in Pueble Lot 1103, all of Pueble Lot 1104 south of Friar's Road and Lot 1 in Pueble Lot 1105, on Camine Del Rio.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By.

fifthe Chairman

O,K

| A LETTER A LETTER A | and the second se |
|--|---|
| Application ReceivedB | Cappock Oity Planning Department |
| | - Joning Committee City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision dipproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/21/1/6</u> Planning Commission <u>11/22/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 11/20/44 Building Inspector 11/22/44 |
| Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner | 11/22/1 6 Health Department 11/22/46 & Omen |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |
| | all of action |

ported. The start sacones in the sen to the sen to the manager the set for all

Construction to however we have by the second contraction we also be

not a damage of the second second

survey and the second second

muchtering approximations to sport a prove approximation and and

05.34

PER PORTAL AND FOR STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4236</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

- ...

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**100**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Camine Del Rio Properties, Inc. to relocate a golf club house from Lot 1 in Pueble Lot 1105, as granted in Resolution No. 975, to a 5 acre parcel of Pueble Lot 1119, north of Camine Del Rio and adjoining Lot 11 in Pueble Lot 1105, on Camine Del Rio.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sterney Chairman

By

FORM 2145

Dated

November 21, 19 46

Res. No. 1887

O.K

| Application ReceivedBy | Coppock City Planning Department |
|---|--|
| Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date $11/2 0/9 6$ Building Inspector $11/2 2/9 6$ $1/2 2/9 6$ Health Department $11/2 2/9 6 \pm 0.000000000000000000000000000000000$ |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | Building Inspector 11/2 2/4 4 |
| Planning Commission 1/2 2/96 Petitioner / | 1/2 2/46 Health Department 11/22/46× asee |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

· · · · · · ·

CALL FRANK CALLARY AND

A will been to the reaction of the fraction of 1994, second with a second to the second second the second s

an en la contra de portizon de contra contra de la contr la contra de la contr la contra de la contra la contra de la cont

an and the second second

1

anti- and a second of the second of the second second second and the second second second second second second

PERSONAL MORE STOLEN

RESOLUTION NO._____84792

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Albert H. Lewis, 2804 Webster Avenue, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying by its Resolution 1888 his application No. 4277 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 to permit conversion of an existing residence at 129 So. 28th Street on the south 100 feet of Lots 25 and 26 Block 320 Reed & Daley's into a retail store, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>\$4792</u> of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council_<u>DEC. 17</u> 1946

| By_ | Constantine Alexand | | - The second second second | T | Deputy | |
|-----|---------------------|--|----------------------------|------|--------|--|
| D | Helen | Μ. | Willig | City | Clerk. | |
| | | The state of the s | SICK | | | |

O.K

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4277</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Albert R. Lewis to convert existing residence at 129 So. 25th Street on the South 100 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 320, Reed & Daley's inte a retail confectionary store, candy, soft drinks, ice cream, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1946

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

O.K

| Application ReceivedB | y <u>Baughman</u> City Planning Department |
|--|--|
| Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u> B | y <u>Joning Committee</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision allenied | Date 11/20/46 ula lui |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 | Date $\frac{11/2}{2/46}$ Building Inspector $\frac{11/2}{2/46}$ $\frac{11/2}{2/46}$ Health Department $\frac{11/2}{2/46}$ Access |
| Planning Commission /1/22/46 Petitioner | 1/22/46 Health Department 1/22/46 + accen |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

anart georgen franzi sine men an the set of the set of

c nctition of libert ... in to course eligible feature for the during a static careton the postbull of the static static for the static feature for the static method, doughed there as a static static for the static feature for the static static for the static feature for a static feature for the static feature for the static static feature for the static feature for the static feature for the static feature for the static static feature for the static feature feature for the static feature feature feature for the static feature feature feature for the static feature f

1

Let the family and a second many the second s

a new particular of and appressingly on a subject set and the set of the set

A set of a set o

and and a set of the second of

in the second second

There are also and the state and the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4229</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

- 11/

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Monroe G. Wright to maintain commercial chicken and rabbit raising and slaughtering room east of Westmoreland Street and south of Homewood Drive on that portion of the SWE of Pueblo Lot 1199, lying west of State Highway Freeway No. 395 (arbitrary No. 11), be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, 19 16

November 21.

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Dated.

Res. No. 1889

| The second s | |
|--|---|
| Application Received 10/22/46 By Courton | |
| City Planning Department | |
| 1116 11 0 0 00 | |
| Investigation made 1/22 + 11/22/46 By _ Zoning Committee | |
| CityChanning Department | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date 11/20/46 | |
| Decision of langed 11, 1 Date 11/20/4/ | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/2/14 Building Inspector 11/22/46 | |
| Planning Commission 1/22/96 Petitioner 1/22/96 Health Department 1/22/968 Clease | - |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | - |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

ant side proparation

A the star for a verification of the start large of the start of the s

Children of Lourson 4. Other bounded in constraint, i.e. of the surface of the surf

ALLESSALAND DE LE VERTING DE LE VERTING ANTERNA ANTERNA DE LE COMPLEXA DE LE COMPLEXA DE LE COMPLEXA DE LE CAR

a a real second of the second appropriate the provided as the provide second when the second second with the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 4259 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Edwards and Gilbert S. Townsend to construct a 24 ft. by 48 ft. Quonset Contractors Shop and storage building, on the south side of University Avenue 300 ft. east of 54th Street on the Wly 49 ft. of the Ely 415.9 ft. of the Mly 140 ft. of Lot 25, Lemon Villa, (arbitrary No. 4cl).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

FORM 2145

Bes. No. 1890

| OTTILOT I.3 NO | 1 .1 |
|--|--|
| Application Received | By Garrish |
| | City Planning Department |
| 11. | D D int |
| Investigation made | By <u>Zoning Committee</u> Oty Planning Department |
| 8 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 | Hearing date Date 11/20/96 Building Inspector <u>11/21/96</u> 11/21/96 Health Department 11/21/96 V Accesson Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approved The | Date 11/20146 (1) |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/41 | Building Inspector 11/21/46 |
| Planning Commission 11/21/46 Petitioner | 11/21/46 Health Department 11/21/46 & assessme |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | _ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

-02* TO.O

a closee be the cleatiliers of the Lapes of the sector, be, the sector, be, of the sector critedelines pair the bey be the restriction in order

the second of the second se

renting in ereign rungs (to construct on the run in the run is the run

, the second s

nog

and a second I have a second I have a second s Second s

the second second second and second and second and the second second second second second second second second

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 4187 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vivian I. Lee, owner and Wm. R. Gallucci. tenant, to conduct a Jewelry Manufacturing and Repairing shop in the residence at 3064 Mlm Street on Lots 17 and 15, Block 5, J. P. Christensen Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That no signs will be placed on the property:
- 2. That the permit be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary Chairman

Dated November 21, , 1946

By_

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1891

| | the second for the |
|--|--|
| | A CONTRACTOR OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPE |
| ovitaber 21. di | |
| Application Received6 | _ By City Planning Department |
| 1 1 | |
| Investigation made | - By Joning Committee |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/ | |
| Decision Condy approval | Date 11/20/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | 19 Building Inspector 11/22/46 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 11/20/46 We Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> mer 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46* Association Council Hearing, date Date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| and the second of the second s | |
| a visi conto mail postato os | in the we will a sufficiency and the second in |
| the state of put states of the | |
| | to t |
| A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. | Trene Bar Way Ta FTE : |
| adaition, sounded barba followi | al authors from the second |
| | ACC TINNILL, Luch Last a subtichance |
| terralization is remain the state is a | lister la fer porter in the state to listeria. Contribuient infilition of one intitude of the |
| | |
| | |
| | the section of the section of the section and another and |
| | |
| | |
| | the second s |
| | and the second second and the second |
| | not |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | and a second to the second of |
| | |
| | |
| | a service and a service of the service of the first of the service of the |
| | |
| | and the service of the service of the service service of the |
| ALL AND A | |
| | |
| S | La Trer |
| | |

1892

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4278</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie G. Gooke, owner and Ethel M. Gerteis, purchaser, to divide the North 45 ft. of Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Mc Laren a "H! Street Extension, 33542 "E" Street, a parcel of land without street frontage and to occupy a now existing residence and connect a water meter.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

and a child

November 21, , 19 46

I also have a second production that have all and a

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

. . .

By

FORM 2145

Dated___

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1892

| CI | D and the state of |
|--|--|
| Application Received | By City Planning Department |
| | City Flamming Department |
| Investigation made | _ By Joning Committee |
| / / / | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/ | 46 Hearing date Date 11/20/46 1/46 Building Inspector 1/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 Health Department |
| Decision approved | Date 11/20/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ///2 | 1/46 Building Inspector 11/2 2/46 |
| Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petiti | oner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & and |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

construit a isteries provide ba contraction a contraction theology isothering construit a isteries of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction is to be contractioned of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction contraction contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the isotheric contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction is the contraction of t

The second s

and the second second

and the second second

an internet the new second up of the role could be and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4279</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gran Gregory to build 65 lineal feet of retaining wall to a maximum height of ten (10') feet on Lets 6 and 7, Block 5, Florence Heights, 401 West Washington Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insefar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

FORM 2145

Dated November 21, , 19 46

September Chairman

| Application Received <u>11/4/46</u> B | y Gurton City Planning Department |
|--|---|
| | y <u>Zoning Constant</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner | Date 11/20/46 Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> 1/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46+ance Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | Building Inspector 1122/46 |
| Planning Commission 1/22/46 Petitioner | 1/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46+assee |
| Appear med with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

a a su a su de la service de su de service de service de la service de l

Then dependent the set dependent is not address which a dependent of the of the set of the set of the

and a second a second de la la second de la second de la seconda de la second de la second de la second de la s

the second se

And all a fine of the state of the

and The for a new of the constant of hote

WHEREAS, Application No. 4282 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley Chiechi to construct a third living unit on Lots 31 and 32, Block 20, City Heights, 3125 Haller Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

2323127

le La Car Parties

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Magirman

Dated Novembar 21, , 19 46

By__

FORM 2145

Res. No. 189h

| and the second | | | | Mar Street | and the second | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| 11/7/46 | By | | Parte City Plann | sh ning Depar | tment | |
| 11/20/46 | By | Zona | City Plann | ning Depar | tment | ' <u>e</u> |
| Committee 11/2 | alula Hea | ring date | | | | |
| t to City Clerk /// | 21/46 Buil | ding Inst | pector | 11/22/ | 46 | |
| 11/22/46 Pet | titioner "1/2 2 | 2/46 | Health D | epartme | ent 11/2 | 2/46× ago |
| Clerk, date | Cour | ncil Hear | ing, date | | | 1 |
| | Date | e | 0. | | | |
| ective | Schene Street | | | | | maketakin mananin manan |
| | Cont | tinued to | an a | and the grade produced in the | and the second | |
| | | | | | | |
| | $\frac{11/20}{46}$ Committee $\frac{11/2}{2}$ to City Clerk $\frac{11}{2}$ Clerk, date | $\begin{array}{c c} 11/20/46 & \text{By} \\ \hline \\ \text{Committee} & 11/20/46 & \text{Heal} \\ \hline \\ \text{Date} \\ \text{Date} \\ \text{To City Clerk} & 11/21/46 & \text{Buill} \\ 11/22/46 & \text{Petitioner} & 11/2 \\ \hline \\ \text{Clerk, date} & & & \\ \hline \\ \text{Date} \\ \text{ective} & & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Conditional constraints} \\ \hline \\ $ | $\begin{array}{c c} 11/20/46 & \text{By} \underline{\qquad} 3000 \\ \hline \\ \text{Committee} & 11/20/46 & \text{Hearing date} \\ \hline \\ \text{Date} & 11/20 \\ \hline \\ \text{t to City Clerk} & 11/21/46 & \text{Building Insg} \\ 11/22/46 & \text{Petitioner} & 1/22/46 \\ \hline \\ \text{Clerk, date} & \underline{\qquad} \\ \hline \\ \text{Clerk, date} & \underline{\qquad} \\ \hline \\ \text{continued to} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | City Plan City Plan City Plan Committee <u>11/20/46</u> By <u>City Plan</u> Committee <u>11/20/46</u> Hearing date Date <u>11/20/46</u> Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> Petitioner <u>11/22/46</u> Health I Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Date <u>Date</u> | City Planning Depar City Planning Depar Committee <u>11/20/46</u> By <u>City Planning Depar</u> Committee <u>11/20/46</u> Hearing date Date <u>11/20/46</u> Building Inspector <u>11/22</u> 11/22/46 Petitioner <u>11/22/46</u> Health Department Clerk, date <u>Date</u> Date <u>Date</u> Continued to | City Planning Department <u>11/20/46</u> By <u>Jone of City Planning Department</u> Committee <u>11/20/46</u> Hearing date Date <u>11/20/46</u> Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> 11/22/46 Petitioner <u>11/22/46</u> Health Department <u>11/2</u> Clerk, date <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u> Continued to |

was a sector a start

A strands to the model of a strang to meet of the strang to the strang to the strang and shed in strang to the strang to the strang of the strang of the strang to th

interimition increases contained in the state of the increases of the increase in its contained in the state of the state of the state of the increases.

a the state of the

141. 5 ,2 722

the second of a new second to the second of the second of the second second second second second second second

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4250</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James A. and Maude Wood, owner and Al Jones, rentor, to operate a Hand Printing Press at 2103 "R" Street on Lot 1, Block 44, Sherman's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All printing to be done by hand, without use of motors;
- 2. No signs:
 - 3. No employee's;
 - 4. Hours of operation from 7:00 P.M. to 9:30 P.M. and all day Saturday:

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By_

FORM 2145

Storest Chairman

O.K

HANNEY STREAM CONTRACTOR Application Received _______ By_ City Planning Department Investigation made __________ By Jone manittee City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/46 Hearing date Decision Condi Opprove Date <u>1/20/46</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk<u>1/21/46</u> Planning Commission <u>1/22/46</u> Petitioner <u>1/22/46</u> Health Department <u>1/22/46</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action The KEY I CORTE A THE FORT AND AN AND AND A THE AND A TH A vest new to mainwaithing of emissive for a ship with the former of TSPACE NO. which at the straight the second seco 1 1 is a stand the second to the stand of a stand of the second Car Ister a surviva and the second of the second and the second of the second and the course there and the state of a sum allow the shares the state of the It is the second product comparison and the instruction when broken prove and the base the second prove the に行きて整めてもないに、対応の時代にした時にも行う

100 P. COT. 310

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4291</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred L. Engledow to erect one single family residence on the West 110 ft. of the East 342 ft. (lying Sly of Birmingham Drive) in NWE of NEE of Pueblo Lot 1199.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

a wear to be a set of the set of the

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 21, , 1946

By

FORM 2145

Dated__

Secterary Chairman

O.K

| Shirt and the state of the state of the | 3 | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|---|-----------------------|
| Application Received | 11/12/46 | By | Button City Planning Department | |
| Investigation made | | By | City Planning Department | |
| Considered by Zoning | Committee 11/20 | 146 Hearing | ø date | |
| Decision appr | aved TT | Date . | g Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> Health Department 11/22 Hearing, date | |
| Copy of Resolution ser | nt to City Clerk | 21/46 Building | g Inspector 11/22/46 | |
| Planning Commission | 11/22/46 Peti | tioner 11/22/9 | Health Department 11/2 2 | 146× ales |
| Appeal filed with City | Clerk, date | Council | Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council | | Date | | and the second second |
| Resolution becomes ef: | | | | |
| Application withdrawn | 1 | Continu | ied to | |
| Time limit extended to |) | Date of | action | |

r a stimule the two regulations of the fundable specific the subsector is theory of the starting letter the best processive costs and the startings

The state of the second

and in the second of the secon

and the state of the second second

to the set of the second part of the control of the second state and the second state to the second of the

A DELLA TO COMPANY A STAR DATE OF THE AND THE AND THE AND AND A DELLA TO A

The state of the second second second

gee of print

WHEREAS, Application No. _____

WHEREAS, **application No.** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

1897

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Thomas M. Mac Lachlan to construct a new garage at side walk level at 2690 Broadway on the Sly 70 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 34, H. M. Higgin's Addition, with no setback on Broadway, provided the top of the garage does not extend above the first floor level of the house; original Resolution No. 1214 and an extension granted by 1586.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 1946

By_

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

| | 16p* 10* 111 |
|---|--|
| | and the second sec |
| Letter | |
| Application ReceivedI/4/46I | By Mail |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made H | Зу |
| a prime and an inclusion of the second of the | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11/20/46</u> | Hearing date Date 11/20/46 Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46vacce Council Hearing, date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 | Building Inspector 11/22/46 |
| Planning Commission 1/22/46 Petitioner | 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 Vase |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | _ Continued to Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| A Providence of the second second second second | |
| levely lupubly lowers of the | 에 같은 것이 있는 것은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것은 것은 것이 있는 것으로 해야 한다. 이 것은 같은 것 같은 것은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있다. |
| IN F PRUSION ST OP AT THE | |
| STRUCTOR DE LE DE | and; out last contration of 121 and |
| The standard with the standard stand standard standard stand | |
| A DE LA DE LA DE LA DE LA DELLA DE | |
| Shot a return of the which for a | a stat of a stration of states as |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | the second second and the second second second second |
| | |
| | |
| | The second s |
| | |
| a second of the second what we are the second of | |
| | |
| the provide the the transfer we wanted the | and the second sec |
| | |
| | A second to be an an an and an and an and and and |
| | enterenter and the second of the second states of second s |

RESOLUTION NO. 1595 Literated by AMENDS Resolution No. 16-

Letter dated October 25, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1613, dated July 5, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Don Lee Broadcasting System and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, including three (3), 350 ft. high steel towers and approximately a 50 ft. by 60 ft. transmitter building near Euclid and Laurel Streets on Lots 1 and 2, Wadsworth Olive Grove."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above,

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 1946

By

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman.

Res. No. 1898

| Application Received 10/28/46 By | City Planning Department |
|--|--|
| Investigation made By | |
| and the second | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/46 | Hearing date |
| | Date 11/20/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 | |
| Planning Commission 11/22/4/6 Petitioner | Building Inspector <u>11/2 2/4 6</u> 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 Kane |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (| Council Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to |
| | Date of action |
| | |

And the set is the second distance of the second states of the second se

al the second of the planet, where the ball of the state of the second o

The burn of the burn of a log and the second of the burn of the reading for the factors and

name in the second s Second Marg

The second s

inter a real constant a state

10 the problem

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months be granted to L. B. Williams to construct a residence on the Mast side of 28th Street, 150 ft. north of Grape Street on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 56, Seaman & Choates, with a 5 ft. setback from 28th Street, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1614, dated July 5, 1946.

A sariance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, 19 46

November 21.

FORM 2145

Dated

Secretary Chairman

| | ALL AND A CONTRACT OF AN AND A CONTRACT OF |
|--|--|
| Hove di gi | , n |
| Application ReceivedB | v Mail |
| | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made B | у |
| 1.1 11 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Ext. approved 1.1. | Date 11/20/46 / |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1/20/46 Decision 647: Approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/21/46 Planning Commission 1/22/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council | Building Inspector 11/22/46 |
| Planning Commission 1/22/46 Petitioner | 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 020 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

1960 × 10* 57 11

Photo m culoamion of six () noutre de motor fou..., olimiters in compute di m relie ca omente, p illocation de lorans, illocat, equal de compute di m grand, red arg, moral de contrar, illocat, entervient re discont de grand, red arg, contrar contrar, illocat, entervient re discont subred formed for the provint form of or line of e. 1 oil, et., illocat, f argin de former de contrar line of e. 1 oil, et., illocat, et., illocat, f argin de former de contrar line of e. 1 oil, et., illocat, et., illocat, f

Free and the second second show a second second

and marticle interior

Tree.

a day in man private the spin a st

a structure with a second of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4255</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Frank H. Farrankop to raise and sell canaries at 4358 Bancroft Street on the North 25 ft. of Lot 18 and the South 122 ft. of Lot 19, Block 1, Resubdivision of Portion of Block A, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

FORM 2145

Dated_

November 21, , 19 46

Secretary Chairman

| Application ReceivedBy | City Planning Department |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Investigation made 11/20/46 By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 | Hearing date |
| Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/21/46</u> Planning Commission <u>1/22/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 11/20/46 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/21/46 | Building Inspector 1/22/46 |
| Planning Commission 1/22/44 Petitioner | 1/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46×a |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

a work one of the straining of the fact and the fact and the fact and the fact of the second of

and the first of the L., some 3, difference of a section of the state at the

must suit the state of the state

the survey of the second second the second second

ACTIVATION AND ADDITION FROM SULAR AND ADDITION OF A DESCRIPTION OF ADDITION OF ADDITION AND ADDITION AND ADDITION ADDITIONAL ADDITION

TRANS SUPERIOR STATES OF TO A 20 POINT THE TRANS OF THE

1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1