



User Guide for the Teacher Median Student Growth Percentile Report

Background

The New Jersey Department of Education, NJDOE, annually median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) data for qualifying educators. mSGP reports may be accessed using the information in the [Accessing Educator mSGP Reports](#) document. These data describe student growth on state standardized tests and comprise 5% of qualifying teachers' evaluations for 2022-23 school year. As communicated in a [recent broadcast memo](#), the evaluation weights for 2023-2024 school year will remain unchanged. This guide describes how mSGP scores are calculated and used and includes an overview of the following components:

- [The Use of Growth Measures](#)
- [Qualifications for Receiving mSGP Data](#)
- [Calculation of mSGP Scores and Conversion to Evaluation Scores](#)
- [Understanding the Individual Teacher mSGP Report](#)
- [Suggestions for Sharing and Effectively Using mSGP Data](#)
- [Certifying mSGP Data and the Summative Rating](#)
- [Additional Resources and Contact Information](#)

The Use of Growth Measures

A central tenet of AchieveNJ is that educators are never evaluated on a single factor or test score alone, but on multiple measures of both effective practice and student learning. While each district is responsible for developing the contours of its evaluation system, the state has committed to developing measures of student growth as required under the *TEACHNJ Act*. By using a growth methodology to calculate student learning, the NJDOE recognizes that students enter each grade level at varying starting points and with unique challenges and that we should focus on student improvement, rather than simply how many students attain proficiency.

New Jersey measures growth for an *individual student* by comparing the change in his or her achievement on the state assessment from one year to the next to the student's "academic peers" (all other students in the state with similar historical test results). This change is reported as a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) and indicates on a scale from 1 to 99 how an individual student's growth compares to those academic peers. To determine the mSGP for an *individual teacher*, district course roster data is used to create an ascending list of SGPs of the qualifying students who were assigned to the teacher by the district. Since 2010, New Jersey has taken several steps to prepare and distribute SGP data to district-assigned NJ SMART users and will continue to offer resources and trainings in the future.

Qualifications for Receiving mSGP Data

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, mSGP data has not been calculated for several previous school years due to delays and/or cancellations in statewide assessments. As of November 2023, enough assessment data was acquired to resume mSGP calculations. As a result, mSGP reports based on 2022-2023 school year data will have a new baseline with which future school year data will compare to measure growth over time.

For mSGP to be part of a teacher's evaluation in 2022-23, the teacher must have been:

- Assigned to a 4th–8th grade language arts or 4th–7th grade math course for 60% or more of the year prior to the date on which the state test was administered, and
- Assigned 20 unique students by the district through the Course Roster Submission.
 - These students must have been enrolled for 70% or more of the course duration prior to the administration of the test.

The mSGP data are available only for teachers of 4th–8th grade language arts or 4th–7th grade math because their students typically have prior year and current year state standardized tests scores. Given that third grade is the first testing year, there is no baseline data to create an SGP for that grade. 2022-23 is the first year when SGP data counts toward evaluation ratings. As more years of data become available, more language arts and math teachers may become eligible to receive mSGPs and annual mSGP values may encompass multiple years of performance.

Calculation of mSGP Scores and Conversion to Evaluation Scores

In order to determine the mSGP score for each qualifying teacher, the NJDOE aligns state assessment results with course rosters provided by each district to the NJDOE as follows:

1. Districts submit and certify Course Roster Submission Data through NJ SMART during the summer following each school year (since 2011-12). This submission lists the students assigned to each teacher for that school year.
2. SGP scores are calculated for each student following completion of the assessment.
3. The NJDOE links district Course Roster Submission data with relevant SGP scores for each qualifying teacher to determine his/her mSGP score. Districts are responsible for ensuring that their data is accurate when submitted to NJ SMART and teachers are encouraged to work with their supervisors to verify the accuracy of their rosters prior to submission.
4. The NJDOE provides mSGP scores to districts to share confidentially with teachers.

To calculate a teacher's final evaluation rating, 2022-23 mSGPs are converted to a 1.0–4.0 score, then weighted at 5% and tabulated with the teacher practice score (70%) and SGO score (25%). The following scale translates mSGP scores from a 1 – 99 scale to the 1.0 – 4.0 score.

Table 1: mSGP Conversion Table

mSGP Score	Evaluation Rating
1–20	1
21	1.1
22	1.2
23	1.3
24	1.4
25	1.5
26	1.6
27	1.7
28	1.8
29	1.9
30	2
31	2.1
32	2.2
33	2.3
34	2.4
35–36	2.5
37–38	2.6
39–40	2.7
41–42	2.8
43–44	2.9
45–55	3
56–57	3.1
58–59	3.2
60–61	3.3
62–64	3.4
65–67	3.5
68–70	3.6
71–73	3.7
74–76	3.8
77–79	3.9
80–99	4

The [Teacher Evaluation Scoring Guide](#) provides a detailed explanation of the conversion chart, information on scoring the other evaluation components, and the final summative rating scale.

Understanding the Individual Teacher mSGP Report

District leaders have been provided secure access to a summary of all teacher mSGP scores, as well as individual reports for each teacher indicating his/her 2022-23 mSGP score. School principals and supervisors are asked to share these confidential reports with each teacher with the goal of finalizing the 2022-23 summative evaluation score as well as connecting the mSGP to elements of the teacher's practice and professional learning.

The individual teacher's mSGP report provides brief background information about the use of SGPs and mSGPs, lists the teacher's score (as illustrated in the following three examples), and offers suggestions for use, as well as additional resources.

Table 2: Example 1

Mr. Albert	mSGP	# Students Assigned	mSGP Score (1.0-4.0)
Language Arts Literacy	46	22	n/a
Mathematics	68	22	n/a
Overall	52	22	3.0

In Example 1 above, Mr. Albert was assigned 22 students for 2022-23, all of whom took the language arts and math assessments. Those students are arrayed in an ascending list for each subject, resulting in a median SGP of 46 for language arts and a median SGP of 68 for math. When all 44 student SGP scores (22 for language arts and 22 for math) are arrayed in an ascending list, the median value is 52. This number is Mr. Albert’s overall mSGP for 2022-23. Note that 52 is the median across all 44 student SGP scores, not the average of Mr. Albert’s language arts and math mSGPs. Based on the conversion chart, Mr. Albert’s mSGP value of 52 converts to an mSGP score of 3.0, as shown in the last column.

A minimum of 20 unique student SGPs are required to calculate a valid mSGP appearing in any of the individual rows. In Example 1 above, Mr. Albert had more than 20 students in both language arts and math, so mSGPs for each subject and the overall total appear. In Example 2 below, Ms. Luca had 20 mSGPs only by combining the 5 language arts and 15 math students. Therefore, the individual subject mSGPs appear as “N/A” but the overall mSGP can be shown since the total was 20. Based on the conversion chart, Ms. Luca’s mSGP value of 61 converts to an mSGP score of 3.3, as shown in the last column.

Table 3: Example 2

Ms. Luca	mSGP	# Students Assigned	mSGP Score (1.0-4.0)
Language Arts Literacy	N/A	5	n/a
Mathematics	N/A	15	n/a
Overall	61	20	3.3

Suggestions for Sharing and Effectively Using mSGP Data

In consultation with principals, the chief school administrator (CSA) should review the district’s mSGP reports and determine a strategy to share this information consistently across district schools. While this may happen in a variety of ways, below are some optional suggestions based on approaches that districts have used when sharing mSGP data over the past several years.

Alleviate Miscommunication Surrounding mSGPs

Districts can share the mSGP resources found on the [AchieveNJ website](#) not just with educators receiving mSGPs, but with all district staff, the local school board, and the District Evaluation Advisory Committee (DEAC) to ensure all stakeholders hear the same information. Using such a proactive communications strategy will help dispel common myths about mSGPs and lower some of the anxiety associated with this measure. Some of these misconceptions and explanations can be found in Table 4.

Table 4

Misconception	Fact
Students who don't participate in or don't try on standardized tests will hurt a teacher's score.	NJDOE has taken a thoughtful, careful approach to analyzing the first year (Post-COVID) of state standardized test results and the resulting SGP information. We have worked with technical experts to examine any potential cases of abnormalities in scores or scoring, including districts with lower participation rates, and we have confirmed that no such abnormalities were found. For a teacher to qualify to receive the mSGP, he or she must have at least 20 qualifying SGP students over the previous three years (those who did not participate in the test did not get an SGP). Also, the <i>median</i> is used rather than the average because it is less susceptible to outlier scores. These design factors mean that a student not "trying" on the test will have limited effect on the teacher's mSGP. Finally, AchieveNJ is designed with multiple measures to ensure a robust picture of teacher effectiveness. In order for the mSGP score (weighted at 5%) to negatively impact the overall final evaluation score, the teacher must have also scored below average on the teacher practice measure and the Student Growth Objective measure.
mSGP scores will pit teachers in a school against each other.	Students are not compared with others in the class or in the school only, but rather with students around the state, so an improvement by one teacher in a school would not necessitate a decrease for another.
A special education teacher's score will suffer if those students don't do as well on tests.	All students are capable of learning and a teacher makes the largest in-school contribution to student academic growth. This academic growth is factored into the teacher's evaluation through the SGP measure and is determined by comparing the student's change in test performance over time to that of other students in the state with a similar performance history. If a student scores better than his or her statewide academic peer group on the current test, regardless of the actual score, he or she will have a higher SGP and vice versa.
mSGP scores won't help teachers improve their teaching.	A thoughtful analysis of the mSGP in conjunction with teacher practice information and other student growth measures can provide insight into areas where teachers can continue to grow.
If the mSGP score differs greatly from the teacher practice and SGO scores, this proves the mSGP is not a valid measure of effectiveness.	AchieveNJ includes multiple measures of teacher practice and student learning because no one factor alone can fully demonstrate teacher effectiveness. While each component offers valuable information, the final summative rating is what counts for the evaluation. In cases where the mSGP score is very different from the other scores, the teacher and supervisor together should examine potential concerns with all components and how to address these concerns going forward.
Parents or reporters will be able to see a teacher's mSGP score.	Evaluation data of a particular employee is confidential in accordance with the <i>TEACHNJ Act</i> and <i>N.J.S.A. 18A:6-120</i> , is not subject to the Open Public Records Act, and will not be released to the public.
If a district made an error with a course roster, the mSGP score will be incorrect, which is unfair.	The NJDOE has provided guidance to districts for correcting inaccurate mSGP scores based on district course roster errors. Teachers can work with their supervisors to provide the proper documentation to correct the mSGP score. In cases where this is not possible, an incorrect mSGP score will be removed from the final summative rating. (see Certifying mSGP Data and the Summative Rating)

Provide Specific Support for Teachers Receiving mSGPs

The NJDOE recommends the following approach for sharing mSGP reports with teachers:

1. Principals familiarize themselves with the Teacher Evaluation Scoring Guide, as well as this User Guide.
2. Principals email teachers links to key SGP materials listed above and any others deemed appropriate.
3. Principals answer any questions at a faculty or team/PLC meeting using the guidance documents provided on the AchieveNJ website.
4. Principals then meet with individual teachers to discuss mSGP scores either at an observation post-conference or at a separately scheduled time after communicating expectations for the conference in advance. During the meeting, they might take the following steps:
 - Address any of the teacher's outstanding questions about the SGP process.
 - Share the teacher's mSGP score and the [mSGP Conversion Chart](#) showing how the mSGP rating converts to a 1.0 to 4.0 score, using this User Guide as a reference.
 - Share the calculated summative rating and show how the mSGP score fits with the other two components of teacher evaluation (teacher practice ratings and SGO scores) to generate an overall score. The [Summative Rating Calculator](#) may be used for this purpose.
 - Discuss the teacher's mSGP score in relation to evaluation information gathered so far for 2023-2024.
 - Discuss the teacher's current Professional Development Plan (PDP) and opportunities to address any relevant areas or standards indicated by the mSGP results and finalized summative evaluation rating. If the final rating is Partially Effective or Ineffective (2.64 or below), ensure the teacher is placed on a Corrective Action Plan (CAP).

CAP Procedures

If changes to an educator's summative rating result in a new rating of Partially Effective or Ineffective, then a CAP must be created to replace the PDP within 25 working days following the district's receipt of the summative rating, which will be provided by NJDOE through the Evaluation Information System (EIS). In order to ensure CAP educators receive all the necessary supports they are due, please consult this [Summary of Legal Requirements for Evaluation and Tenure Cases](#). Also, educators on a CAP must:

- Remain on the CAP until they receive their next summative rating;
- Be observed by multiple observers; and
- Receive at least one observation in addition to the number required. Educators should receive the additional observation for every year they are on a CAP, even if the CAP only applies to part of the year.

Please see the [Professional Development Page](#) for more PDP and CAP requirements and resources.

Handling and Storing mSGP Data

NJDOE strongly recommends that districts store mSGP data in each teacher's personnel file or in another secure manner that is easily accessible to the teacher (either electronically or on paper). The data should be handled in the secure manner you would treat, handle, and store any part of a confidential personnel record. Evaluation data of a particular employee shall be confidential in accordance with the TEACHNJ Act and N.J.S.A. 18A:6-120, is not subject to the Open Public Records Act, and shall not be accessible to the public.

Certifying mSGP Data and the Summative Rating

The quality of the mSGP data that the NJDOE produces depends entirely on the accuracy of Course Roster Submissions by districts. If districts submitted inaccurate course roster data for teachers qualifying to receive the mSGP, they may encounter errors with individual mSGP scores. This issue is addressed below, along with information on certifying the final summative evaluation rating for each teacher.

Accessing Full Course Roster Data

The mSGP reports include the total number of qualifying students assigned to the educator in language arts and/or math along with the mSGP score, based on data submitted by the district during the NJ SMART Course Roster Submission. Educators who wish to access their full historical student roster should consult their supervisors to request the information from the individual(s) who manage the district's NJ SMART data submissions. Please note that such rosters may take some time for data managers to provide and are based on district assignments and priorities.

Addressing Potential Corrections to the mSGP Score

In the coming days, the NJDOE will open the Evaluation Information System (EIS), accessed through NJDOE's [Homeroom webpage](#) for use in certifying final 2022-23 evaluation scores for all educators. This interface will allow districts to review evaluation data, correct any errors that occurred during the evaluation data submission, and certify the accuracy of each staff member's final score. Districts will have approximately one month to complete this task after receiving instructions for accessing the tool.

Additional Resources and Contact Information

- The AchieveNJ website includes several resources about teacher evaluation in general and SGPs specifically. Please visit the [AchieveNJ SGP Page](#) for additional information.
- Please email edueval@doe.nj.gov to share questions or feedback.