

**Pennsylvania State Programmatic General
Permit-5 (PASPGP-5)**

Mid-Point Monitoring Report

24 October 2019

**Prepared by
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Baltimore, Philadelphia, and Pittsburgh Districts**

Table of Contents

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>	page 3
II. <u>MONITORING REPORT REQUIREMENTS</u>	page 13
III. <u>METHODOLOGY</u>	page 15
IV. <u>OPERATIONAL DATA/FINDINGS</u>	page 20
V. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	page 33
VI. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>	page 38
VII. <u>APPENDICES/ENCLOSURES</u>	page 38

I. BACKGROUND

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Philadelphia District, and Pittsburgh District (the Corps Districts) have implemented a State Programmatic General Permit (SPGP) in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (Commonwealth) since March 1995. The Pennsylvania State Programmatic General Permit-5 (PASPGP-5) became effective on July 1, 2016. The PASPGP-5 is the fifth rendition of this programmatic permit issued by the Corps Districts. The PASPGP-5 was issued for a five year period and will expire on June 30, 2021 unless suspended or revoked earlier by the Corps. The PASPGP-5 was modified on July 30, 2018 to reflect changes made by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to the Pennsylvania Conservation Explorer web site (hereinafter referred to as Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI)) as to how it is determined if a bog turtle habitat screening is required at particular location, additional waterways which are known to contain endangered mussels and other editorial/clarification changes. The PASPGP-5 covers regulated activities in waters of the United States, including jurisdictional wetlands within the Commonwealth, except for certain excluded waterways. This Monitoring Report is prepared in accordance with the requirements of the PASPGP-5, Part VIII D, which requires reporting and evaluation of the permit.

Section 404(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) (33 United States Code [U.S.C.] Section [§] 1344) allows for the issuance of General Permits (GP) on a statewide basis, which operate in conjunction with a state regulatory program that protects the aquatic environment in a manner equivalent to the Department of the Army regulatory program, provided that the activities permitted under each category of such Department of the Army GPs are similar in nature and result in no more than minimal individual or cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment. The PASPGP-5 was issued pursuant to Section 404(e) and is based on and is consistent with the requirements of the CWA 404(b)(1) Guidelines, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), and Section 7(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

During development of the PASPGP-5, compliance with the CWA 404(b)(1) Guidelines as contained in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) Guidelines for Specification of Disposal Sites for Dredged or Fill Material (40 CFR Part 230), and NEPA were assessed. The 404(b)(1) Guidelines in 40 CFR Part 230.7 set forth conditions for the issuance of Federal GPs, which include SPGPs. Specifically, compliance with the 404(b)(1) Guidelines is accomplished if the SPGP meets the applicable restrictions on the discharge in 40 CFR Part 230.10, and the permitting authority determines that:

(1) projects covered by each activity authorized by an SPGP are similar in nature and similar in their impact upon water quality and the aquatic environment; (2) projects will have only minimal adverse effects when performed separately; and (3) projects will have only minimal individual and cumulative adverse effects on water quality and the aquatic environment. A Finding of Compliance was detailed in the PASPGP-5 Environmental Assessment/Statement of Findings (EA/SOF) document.

These determinations/findings in the EA/SOF were made based on an evaluation of the potential individual and cumulative impacts associated with the activities authorized by the PASPGP-5. This evaluation was based upon the criteria listed above and upon consideration of the prohibitions listed in: 40 CFR Part 230.10(b); the factors in 40 CFR Part 230.10(c); the factual determination in 40 CFR Part 230.11 (based upon subparts C through F of the Guidelines); and NEPA assessment requirements. The consideration of “off-site” alternatives in 40 CFR Part 230.10(a) is not directly applicable to Federal GPs. However, for any project specific activity to be determined in full compliance with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, and therefore eligible for authorization by the PASPGP-5, the activity must meet the applicable restrictions in 40 CFR Part 230.10(d) which requires appropriate and practicable steps be taken to minimize potential adverse impacts of the discharge on the aquatic ecosystem.

The Commonwealth implements a statewide permit program for protecting waters of the Commonwealth under the authority of the Dam Safety and Encroachments Act and implemented through their Chapter 105 Dam Safety and Waterway Management Rules and Regulations. In accordance with Chapter 105, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) applies evaluation criteria consisting of alternatives analysis; avoidance and minimization of impacts to waters of the Commonwealth; and compensatory mitigation for unavoidable impacts to waters of the Commonwealth, when reviewing a Chapter 105 permit application, or GP registration requests. The evaluation criteria within the Commonwealth’s program are similar to federal criteria under Section 404(b)(1) of the Federal CWA (404(b)(1) Guidelines).

The basic structure and design of the PADEP Chapter 105 permitting program provides an appropriate framework for compliance with the 404(b)(1) Guidelines given the specific and parallel language of the PADEP Chapter 105 regulations. The PASPGP-5 includes additional measures to ensure compliance with the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. As a result of the monitoring efforts associated with the

PASPGP-1 the eligibility limit of PASPGP-2 was reduced from five acres to one acre. This carried through to the PASPGP-3, PASPGP-4 and PASPGP-5 so that projects with a higher potential for 'more than minimal impacts', due to the size of impact, have been removed from PASPGP-5 eligibility. In addition to the one acre maximum impact threshold of the PASPGP-5, a new maximum threshold for stream impacts was incorporated that limits PASPGP-5 eligibility to projects resulting in less than 1,000 linear feet of permanent loss of stream channel. In order to receive an expedited permit review, rather than a more lengthy Corps Districts Individual Permit (IP) review process, applicants typically show an interest in reducing aquatic impacts equal to or below the one acre impact threshold for PASPGP-5 eligibility. Further, to ensure compliance with the CWA 404(b)(1) Guidelines and NEPA, PASPGP-5 was structured to incorporate the terms and conditions of the associated PADEP authorization and CWA 401 Water Quality Certification, including any General and Special Conditions, which, if applicable, may require compensatory mitigation. Only projects determined to meet the minimal impact requirements of CWA 404(e), the 404(b)(1) Guidelines, and NEPA are authorized by PASPGP-5. As part of development of PASPGP-5, where the potential for more than minimal adverse impacts to the aquatic environment, individually and/or cumulatively, may exist, and ensure compliance with other federal laws and regulations, a requirement for a Corps Districts review of the application/registration is required (Reporting Activity). For these applications/registrations, the Corps Districts makes a case-by-case determination on the applicability of PASPGP-5, including a determination of no more than minimal individual and/or cumulative impacts to the aquatic environment which may include the consideration of required compensatory mitigation.

Since the federal and state programs are so similar, the Corps Districts and PADEP, working with federal and state resource agencies, and other public stake holders, implemented a SPGP within the Commonwealth to protect waters of the United States, including wetlands, while meeting the following goals:

1. Reduce the administrative burden of duplicative programs and reviews by both the Corps Districts and the PADEP through interagency cooperation for those projects resulting in no more than minimal adverse impacts;
2. Streamline the permitting process for the applicants in receiving required state and federal authorizations; and
3. Add predictability to the permit program for applicants.

The PASPGP-5 builds upon the existing PADEP Chapter 105 Dam Safety and Waterway Management Rules and Regulations administered by the PADEP with some delegations to County Conservation Districts (CCD). The PASPGP-5 is designed to reduce unnecessary duplicative project evaluations for applicants and to promote more effective and efficient use of federal and state resources, while providing equivalent environmental protection for aquatic resources. Single and complete project activities that result in no more than one acre of impact to waters of the United States, result in less than 1,000 linear feet of stream loss, have no more than minimal impacts to the aquatic environment, individually or cumulatively, and comply with all applicable federal laws and regulations, are eligible for authorization by the PASPGP-5. As part of a Corps Districts Reporting Activity review, the Corps Districts considers the potential for adverse impacts and makes a determination as to whether the impacts to aquatic resources are no more than minimal. To ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations, all PASPGP-5 verifications are subject to the general terms and conditions of the PASPGP-5, the individual case-specific review process, and in some cases, project specific special conditions added by the Corps Districts.

The PASPGP-5 consists of two categories of activities:

Non-Reporting Activities includes 30 different activity types such as: (1) PADEP GPs that include activities such as fish habitat structures; boat ramps; stream bank stabilization; utility line crossings; minor road crossings; and (2) PADEP Waivers (Section 105.12) that include activities such as small dams not exceeding 3 feet in height in a stream not exceeding 50 feet in width; and maintenance of artificial ponds or reservoirs to their original storage capacity. For a complete listing of the Non-Reporting Activities see PASPGP-5, Part IV, A.

Reporting Activities include 18 different activity types such as: (1) Non-Reporting Activities requested for review by the Corps or other federal and/or state resource agencies; (2) activities exceeding impact thresholds of Non-Reporting Activities greater than 0.5 acre of impacts to waters of the United States including jurisdictional wetlands, permanent impact greater than 250 linear feet of stream channel, or a Single and Complete Project that proposes the permanent conversion of greater than 0.10 acre of forested and/or scrub-shrub wetland in association with the regulated activity; and (3) maintenance of jurisdictional dams. All applications/registrations for a Reporting Activity are coordinated with the Corps Districts, either through forwarding of an application/registration, or direct coordination between the PADEP and the Corps Districts. The Corps Districts coordinates the proposed project with other federal and state resource and regulatory agencies as applicable. After completion of the Corps Districts review and coordination process, project specific special conditions may be

added to the PASPGP-5 verification. Special conditions are typically added to ensure no more than minimal adverse impacts to the aquatic environment; to ensure compliance with Section 7 of the ESA and/or Section 106 of the NHPA; and requirement for compensatory mitigation, as necessary. For a complete listing of the Reporting Activities see PASPGP-5, Part IV, B.

Applications/registrations associated with Non-Reporting Activities are not sent to the Corps for review prior to verification of a PASPGP-5 by the PADEP or delegated CCD. The Corps and other state and federal agencies can review applications associated with PADEP IPs, or any authorization requiring an Environmental Assessment, in the Pennsylvania Bulletin. It should be noted the Corps can consider the elevation of a Non-Reporting Activity to a Reporting Activity at the request of a resource agency.

Under PASPGP-5, Corps and PADEP staff, including delegated CCDs, were provided training with regard to PASPGP-5 review requirements, including how an overall project, a single and complete project, and cumulative impacts are defined and considered. The avoidance and minimization of impacts to the aquatic environment is also supported and required by the General and Procedural Conditions of PASPGP-5.

Where the District Engineer determines that any proposed work may result in more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic ecosystem, either individually or cumulatively, discretionary authority would be exercised to require a Corps Districts IP evaluation. Discretionary authority may also be asserted for any proposed activity where there are concerns for high quality aquatic resources, other environmental concerns under the 404(b)(1) Guidelines and NEPA, or otherwise may be considered contrary to the public interest.

The PASPGP-5 ensures compliance with Section 7 of the ESA through use of the PNDI screening tool, bog turtle habitat evaluations, mussel surveys, and coordination with the resource agencies as needed. To further ensure compliance with Section 7 of the ESA, projects that would typically qualify as a Non-Reporting Activity, but have been identified as potentially affecting federally listed species or their habitat, and cases where the applicant is not able to comply with an avoidance measures identified by USFWS, including those listed on a PNDI receipt or contained in other USFWS correspondence, are sent to the Corps for a project specific review as a Reporting Activity. Projects where the applicant signs the PNDI receipt agreeing to comply with all stated avoidance measures or agrees as part of their application to comply with avoidance measures in a USFWS correspondence serves to complete coordination under Section 7 of the ESA.

The agreed upon avoidance measures automatically become Special Conditions of the project specific PASPGP-5 verification. Compliance is further ensured through the requirements established in PASPGP-5, General Conditions Part VI. A. 3. and Part VI. B. 6.

The PASPGP-5 and its Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) were developed in close coordination with the resource agencies, specifically with the USFWS and National Marine Fisheries Service, with respect to federally listed threatened and endangered species. Coordination has been maintained on a continuing basis with these agencies both programmatically and in terms of project specific permit evaluations. Refinements to the ESA screening processes have been implemented and documented through modifications to the PASPGP-5 and the SOP.

For the federally listed bog turtle, a process was implemented for review of projects when wetland impacts are proposed in counties where bog turtle populations are known to occur, or when there is a PNDI conflict. Subsequent to the monitoring period of this report, the USFWS changed the screening process for bog turtles so that a requirement for conducting a Phase 1 Bog Turtle Habitat Assessment is based on the results on the PNDI receipt. This is accomplished by projects being screened through PNDI and depending on the results of the project specific query, a bog turtle habitat assessment may be required to be performed by: (1) a certified Bog Turtle Biologist; or (2) an environmental consultant completing the Phase I survey field work and documentation with submission of the information to the USFWS for review and approval. If potential bog turtle habitat is identified through the Phase 1 Bog Turtle Habitat Assessment, additional coordination with the Corps and USFWS is required. Clearance associated with potential bog turtle habitat must be obtained from either the Corps or USFWS prior to verification of the PASPGP-5, if applicable. The signature of a certified Bog Turtle Surveyor, from the list maintained by the USFWS, on the PNDI receipt certifying there is no potential bog turtle habitat located within a project area has been determined by the USFWS to constitute clearance for the bog turtle.

To ensure compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA PASPGP-5 was conditioned so that, on a case-by-case basis, cultural resources listed in the latest published version of the National Register of Historic Places or properties listed as eligible or potentially eligible for inclusion therein, are given the consideration required by Section 106 of the NHPA. This is accomplished by the applicant notifying the Pennsylvania Historic and Museum Commission (PHMC) through use of the PADEP Cultural Resources Notification Form, prior to submitting a joint permit application to PADEP. The PADEP Cultural Resources

Notification Form is not required when applying for a PADEP GP and/or Waiver due to the limited scope of work and the low likelihood of impacting any resources. However, in such cases, the Corps or other state and federal resource agencies, including PHMC, can request the project be reviewed as a Reporting Activity in order to address any Section 106 concerns that may exist. In addition, any application/registration that identifies a potential effect on historic or archeological resources is sent to the Corps as a Reporting Activity for review. Further, General Condition 17 of the PASPGP-5 (Part VI. A. 17) provides further assurance that authorized activities will comply with Section 106.

The PASPGP-5 is applicable in the Commonwealth for regulated activities in navigable waters of the United States, and waters of the United States, including jurisdictional wetlands, that are located within the geographic regulatory boundaries of the three Corps Districts, with exceptions as identified in the PASPGP-5, Part III (A).

Several important modifications were incorporated into the PASPGP-5 from the PASPGP-4. These modifications were outlined in Special Public Notice 16-22, dated May 2, 2016, and included the following:

1. In general, Category I and II Activities under PASPGP-4 are called Non-Reporting Activities under PASPGP-5, and Category III Activities under PASPGP-4 are called Reporting Activities under PASPGP-5;
2. Activities NOT eligible for PASPGP-5:
 - a. Single and complete projects that will result in a permanent loss of more than 1,000 linear feet of a stream (Part III, (A)(3)); and
 - b. The Delaware River, downstream of the U.S. Route 202 Bridge at New Hope, Pennsylvania (Part III, (A)(5)). The limit of eligibility has been reduced;
3. The following Category III Activities under PASPGP-4 are Non-Reporting Activities under PASPGP-5:
 - a. Some activities authorized by PADEP GP-1, Fish Habitat Enhancement Structures (Part IV, (A)(1)); and

- b. Some activities waived at 25 PA Code § 105.12(a)(2) – Waiver 2 – Water Obstructions in a Stream or Floodway With a Drainage Area of 100 Acres or Less (250 linear feet of permanent impact to streams and/or rivers must be submitted to the Corps as a Reporting Activity) (Part IV, (A)(13));
4. If PADEP determines that an application is a Reporting Activity, and PADEP is processing other Non-Reporting applications which are needed for the work associated with the reporting application to function and meet its intended purpose, then all such related applications are sent to the Corps as Reporting. Likewise, if the Corps is reviewing a Reporting Activity application and PADEP receives an application for related work that relies on the activities being reviewed by the Corps to function and meet its intended purpose, then the newly received application received by PADEP is a Reporting Activity. (Part IV, (B)(16));
5. Reporting Activities – In most cases, applications for activities where a single and complete project involves one or more of the following will be sent to the Corps as a Reporting Activity:
 - a. Impacts to more than 0.50 acre of waters of the United States, including jurisdictional wetlands. The 0.50 acre impact includes all attendant features, both temporary and permanent (Part IV, (B)(2));
 - b. Permanent conversion of greater than 0.10 acre of forested and/or scrub-shrub wetlands in association with the regulated activity (Part IV, (B)(2));
 - c. A utility line crossing of waters and/or wetlands that exceeds 500 linear feet (excluding overhead lines) (Part IV, (B)(3));
 - d. A buried utility line placed within a jurisdictional area and the utility line runs parallel to or along the stream located within that jurisdictional area (Part IV, (B)(3));
 - e. Activities proposing temporary impacts for greater than a one year period (Part IV, (B)(18)); and
 - f. Greater than 250 linear feet of permanent stream impact, with the following exceptions (Part IV, (B)(2)):

- i. 500 linear feet or less threshold applies for those activities that involve stream bank stabilization, stream rehabilitation, stream protection and/or enhancement; and
 - ii. No linear threshold applies for those activities verified under GP-1 for Fish and Habitat Enhancement Structures and/or activities waived at 25 PA Code § 105.12(a)(16) - Waiver 16 - Restoration Activities, which have been reviewed and approved by the Environmental Review Committee;
6. PADEP will review permit application(s) and identify single and complete project(s) to determine if the application/registration(s) is Reporting or Non-Reporting to the Corps. Threshold calculations to determine if a Corps review is required are based on the impacts of a single and complete project, and not on the overall project as utilized in the PASPGP-4. If an application consists of multiple single and complete projects, and any one of the single and complete projects requires a Corps review, then the entire application/registration is sent to the Corps as a Reporting Activity, (Part IV, (B)(16));
7. Monitoring is required for temporary impacts to wetlands that exceeds 0.10 acre (Part VI, (A)(23)). Monitoring would be completed using a standardized monitoring form which will be available on the Corps website at:
<http://www.nab.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/PermitTypesandProcess.aspx>. The monitoring requirement may be waived after Corps consideration of a written request from the applicant; or the monitoring requirement may be superseded if the Corps determines more stringent monitoring is required and incorporates the requirement as a Special Condition of a PASPGP-5 verification;
8. A list of waterways requiring time-of-year restrictions has been added (Part VI, (A)(34));
9. Conservation measures for the Atlantic and Shortnose Sturgeon have been added (Part VI, (A)(35)); and

10. Grandfathered Activities:

All activities previously authorized as a Category I or II Activity under PASPGP-4 that meet the PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting criteria and comply with all terms, conditions, limits, and best management practices identified and required by PASPGP-5 and the applicable PADEP authorizations, are reauthorized by the PASPGP-5 without further notice to the applicable Corps District. The duration of these authorizations will be for the term of PASPGP-5 (June 30, 2021) or applicable PADEP Chapter 105 authorization, whichever is less

- a. All activities previously authorized as a Category I or II Activity under PASPGP-4 that do not meet the PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting criteria, or do not comply with all terms, conditions, limits, and best management practices identified and required by the PASPGP-5, are not automatically authorized by the PASPGP-5. These projects must be submitted to the applicable Corps District to determine if the project qualifies for the PASPGP-5.
- b. All activities previously verified as a Category III Activity under PASPGP-4 that comply with all terms, conditions, limits, and best management practices identified and required by the PASPGP-5 and the applicable PADEP authorizations, are authorized by the PASPGP-5 without further notice to the applicable Corps District. In addition, all special conditions attached to the original PASPGP-4 verification are special conditions for the PASPGP-5 authorization. The duration of the authorization is for five years from issuance of the PASPGP-4 verification or when the applicable PADEP Chapter 105 authorization expires, whichever is less. Please note, any request for modification of the authorized work and/or special conditions must be submitted in writing to the applicable Corps District. Previous PASPGP-4 verifications, identified in Non-Reporting Activity 30 (c), will only be considered a Reporting Activity under Part IV, B, 5(d) and 17.

In addition, the PASPGP-5 was further refined through the changes outlined in Special Public Notice 18-49, dated July 30, 2018. These changes included editorial/clarification changes and citation corrections, updates to compliance procedures pursuant to Section 7 of the ESA, federally-listed mussel waters

updated, and modification of bog turtle habitat screening procedure. The requirements to perform bog turtle habitat screening at Part IV, (B)(5)(b), Activities which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and their Critical Habitat under Section 7 of the ESA, was revised. Specifically, a Phase 1 Bog Turtle Habitat Assessment is no longer required based on a list of counties with known bog turtle occurrences. The requirement for conducting a Phase 1 Bog Turtle Habitat Assessment will now be identified as part of the PNDI search receipt, or in correspondence from the USFWS.

The PASPGP-5 has continued to foster close working relationships at the field level between Corps and PADEP staff. Interagency pre-application meetings with applicants remain an important component of the permit process. During the review period the Baltimore District completed 571 pre-application meetings, while Philadelphia District completed 336 and Pittsburgh District completed 274. These coordinated efforts have been a crucial tool in further implementing the avoidance and minimization goals and requirements of the CWA 404(b)(1) Guidelines and consideration of NEPA requirements. As a result of these efforts, many project impacts have been avoided and/or reduced prior to the application/registration being received by Corps and/or PADEP. Pre-application meetings allow the Corps and other resource agencies to review the proposed project prior to the application/registration being submitted and provides an opportunity for the Corps to request that the application be sent as a Reporting Activity for review, or allows for the applicant to redesign the project to meet the Non-Reporting criteria of the PASPGP-5

II. MONITORING REPORT REQUIREMENTS

This Monitoring Report is prepared in accordance with the requirements of the PASPGP-5, Part VIII D, which requires reporting and evaluation of the permit. Specifically, the following is a requirement of PASPGP-5:

1. The Baltimore District, in consultation with the other Corps Districts in Pennsylvania and the PASPGP-5 Interagency Monitoring Committee, shall review operational issues related to successful implementation of the PASPGP-5 and shall coordinate and provide modifications to the operational procedures, and/or the PASPGP-5 as appropriate.
2. PADEP will provide the following data and statistics on an annual basis to the Corps:

- a. The number of Individual Chapter 105 Water Obstruction and Encroachment Permits, Dam Safety Permits, Environmental Assessment Approvals for Waived Activities 11 and 16 and project specific State Water Quality Certifications issued consistent with Section 401 of the CWA by each PADEP Office;
 - b. The processing time associated with each permit type;
 - c. The number, type, and scope of permitted wetland and stream impacts, including both temporary and permanent impacts;
 - d. The number, type, scope, acreage and/or linear footage of, and location of all mitigation areas;
 - e. Pertinent data concerning operation of the Pennsylvania Wetlands Replacement Project (PWRP) or other in-lieu fee programs, if appropriate; and
 - f. Total number of Chapter 105 GP types processed by county.
3. Prior to the expiration of the PASPGP-5 the Corps, based on Corps and PADEP data and recommendations from the resource agencies will evaluate the PASPGP-5, including its terms and conditions, and will determine if:
 - a. The PASPGP-5 has met its intended goal of reducing duplication;
 - b. Verifications comply with applicable laws and regulations; and
 - c. Only projects with minimal adverse environmental effects were verified.

Based on this review and evaluation, the Corps will further determine whether reissuance, modification, suspension, or revocation of the PASPGP is appropriate. These determinations will be in writing, will include the basis for each determination, and will be available to the public.

III. METHODOLOGY

This mid-point monitoring report covers work authorized by PASPGP-5 during the time period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018, unless specified differently. Data for this period was compiled by the Corps with supplemental data provided by PADEP.

Corps data was obtained from the Corps Operations and Maintenance Business Information Link (OMBIL) Regulatory Module 2 (ORM2) database, file reviews, and field compliance inspections. Data associated with Non-Reporting Activities was based on those Non-Reporting Activities received from PADEP and entered into the ORM2 database. Reporting Activity data was developed based on the data entered into the ORM2 database as part of the Corps review of Reporting Activity applications/registrations.

A work group was established to set up the protocol for preparing this Monitoring Report, with previous monitoring efforts related to prior PASPGPs used as a guideline. It was determined that file reviews should be conducted as well as field compliance inspections of ongoing and/or completed work to document compliance with permit processes and permit compliance. In order to determine the number of projects needing a file and/or field review for the purpose of the monitoring effort, the Corps utilized the number of verifications documented in the ORM2 data base. This information was used in calculating the random sample size for review of Non-Reporting and Reporting Activities.

In assessing Non-Reporting Activities, a decision was made to conduct a file review of 5% of the 5,917 Non-Reporting Activity verifications issued during the review period across the three Corps Districts (NAP – 488, NAB – 2,752, LRP – 2,677). A random sample was generated for each Corps District utilizing the number of Non-Reporting Activity verifications from ORM2 within each of the three Corps Districts. Taking 5% of the number of Non-Reporting Activity verifications resulted in a total of 278 files requiring a review (NAP-25, NAB-138, LRP-115) and represented a wide variety of projects/activity types.

A total of 2,315 PASPGP-5 Reporting Activity verifications were issued by the three Corps Districts (NAB - 1,260, NAP - 180, LRP - 875) during the review period. A file review of 10% of these verifications was determined appropriate. A random sample was generated utilizing the number of Reporting Activity verifications from ORM2 within each of the three Corps Districts, resulting in a random sample size of 242 (Baltimore District 128, Philadelphia District 18, and Pittsburgh District 96), which also represented a variety of projects and activity types.

A. File Review

PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting Activity verifications processed by PADEP are sent to the Corps after issuance for database entry and filing. For Reporting Activities, a copy of the application/registration is sent by PADEP to the appropriate Corps District for a concurrent evaluation. Utilizing the Corps files containing the Non-Reporting and Reporting verifications, a file review of the randomly selected sample was conducted. Issues with locating the randomly selected files within the Districts did arise as part of the file review process, but was minimal. In those cases a replacement file was selected from the randomly generated list of verifications.

Non-Reporting Activity File Reviews

- As part of the monitoring effort, Baltimore District completed 131 file reviews, Philadelphia District completed 25 and Pittsburgh District completed 15. The attached File Review Form (Appendix 1) was completed as part of each evaluation.
- The following was assessed during the Corps file review:
 - PASPGP-5 Category of activity correctly determined
 - Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included
 - Application included in file
 - Project location map included
 - Latitude & Longitude included
 - Plans included in the file
 - Aquatic Resource Impact Table included
 - “Single and Complete” Projects identified on table as appropriate
 - State Authorization included

- Copy of PNDI included
- Bog turtle habitat clearance (as needed)
- Documentation for Section 106 Historic Clearance (as needed)
- PADEP Record of Decision included (as needed)
- Review process followed in accordance with the PASPGP-5 to ensure no more than minimal cumulative impact through use of a Declaration of Restrictive Covenant, when necessary, to protect remaining aquatic resources.
- Impacts and Mitigation data

Reporting Activity File Reviews

- As part of the monitoring effort, Baltimore District completed 128 file reviews, Philadelphia District completed 18 and Pittsburgh District completed 4. The attached File Review Form (Appendix 2) was completed as part of each evaluation.
- The following was assessed during the Corps file review:
 - PASPGP-5 Category of activity correctly determined
 - Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included
 - Application/Registration included in file
 - Project location map included
 - Are plans included in the file
 - Aquatic Resource Impact Table included

- “Single and Complete” Projects identified on table as appropriate
- Copy of PNDI included
- Bog turtle habitat clearance (as needed)
- Documentation for Section 106 Historic Clearance (as needed)
- Resource agency recommendations submitted during application process
- Corps Memorandum for Recorded included
- State authorization included
- PADEP Record of Decision included (as needed)
- Review process followed in accordance with the PASPGP-5 to ensure no more than minimal cumulative impact through use of a Declaration of Restrictive Covenant, when necessary, to protect remaining aquatic resources.
- Impacts and Mitigation data
- Impacts greater than 0.10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with a single and complete project.

B. Field Review

The goal of the monitoring effort was to perform a field inspections on all verifications where authorized work had been started or completed. As part of the monitoring effort, Corps staff attempted to contact the permittee to determine project status. In some cases, Corps staff was unable to contact the permittee

due to lack of sufficient information, or did not receive a return response from the permittee. Field compliance inspections were conducted by the Corps for the verifications where the authorized work had commenced or had been completed. The total number of field compliance inspections was comprised of 217 verifications (97 Non-Reporting and 120 Reporting) in Baltimore District; 43 verifications (16 Non-Reporting and 12 Reporting) in Philadelphia District; and 52 verifications in Pittsburgh District.

Of the 5,917 Non-Reporting verifications issued during the review period by all three Districts, 165 sites were field inspected for compliance. Of the 2,315

Reporting verifications issued during the review period, 132 sites were field inspected for compliance. Overall field compliance inspections within all three (3) Districts resulted in 3% of all Non-Reporting verifications issued during the review period being inspected, and 5% of the Reporting verifications being inspected.

Corps project managers were the principal investigators for the project file and field reviews. Project compliance was documented using the PASPGP-5 Field Inspection Form (Appendix 3). The investigator confirmed and documented either compliance or non-compliance with the PASPGP-5 project verification.

Two criteria were used to gauge project compliance. First, project managers identified if the work was in compliance with the authorized scope of work including the approved plans. Second, project managers assessed if the project was in compliance with the conditions of the authorization, including both general and activity-specific special conditions. If a project was deemed to be non-compliant during the field inspection, the investigators noted the extent of the non-compliance. Spreadsheets reflecting the findings of the file and field reviews were completed.

Through the monitoring effort, 4.0% (297/8,232) of the PASPGP-5 applications/registrations processed during the review period were field inspected. Not being able to successfully contact permittees, as well as work not commencing on some of the issued verifications, contributed to this number appearing low. However, it should be noted that the Corps' National Performance Measures (applicable for the review period) only require compliance inspections on 5% of GPs each fiscal year, and each of the Districts did meet or exceed this requirement, as discussed below.

In addition to the field compliance inspections randomly chosen for this report, the Corps Districts also performed compliance inspections of ongoing or completed authorized work in the Commonwealth during the first two years of the

PASPGP-5. As part of these inspections, when appropriate, the Corps Districts took enforcement action and/or noncompliance action for work not in compliance with the authorizations. In Baltimore District, 470 permit compliance inspections were conducted and 85 enforcement and/or noncompliance actions were completed. Philadelphia District conducted 80 permit compliance inspections, with 3 permit non-compliance actions completed, and 25 unauthorized activity resolutions finalized. In Pittsburgh District, 278 compliance inspections were conducted, 6 permit non-compliance actions completed, and 28 unauthorized activity resolutions finalized. This combined effort resulted in a total of 828 compliance inspections in the Commonwealth during the first two years of PASPGP-5, not including those performed in association with this monitoring effort. It should be noted that these inspections were not necessarily performed on PASPGP-5 verifications, as Corps standards allow for the inspection of any GP authorization/verification issued during the previous 5 years. Combining these two compliance efforts and comparing to the number of PASPGP-5 verifications issued during the first two years, result in an overall field compliance effort of 14%. In addition to compliance inspections being performed by the Corps, PADEP also performed compliance inspections as part of their Chapter 105 program.

As part of the Corps file and field review, an effort was made to assess if the correct processing procedures were followed. This information will be utilized as part of any future modifications of PASPGP-5, the PASPGP-5 review processes, including the SOP, or the development of future SPGPs in the Commonwealth.

IV. OPERATIONAL DATA/FINDINGS

A. General:

According to the Corps ORM2 data, PASPGP-5 verification were issued for 8,232 applications/registrations during the monitoring period (Reporting and Non-Reporting Activities). The top five counties for PASPGP-5 verifications within each Corps District were (Note that some counties are split between Corps Districts):

- Baltimore District: Huntingdon (482), Susquehanna (464), Lycoming (275), Bradford (263), and York (210).
- Philadelphia District: Chester (109), Montgomery (108), Berks (84), Bucks (72) and Wayne County (57)
- Pittsburgh District: Washington (460), Allegheny (386), Butler (327), McKean (310), Westmoreland (276)

These sixteen top counties, representing 22% of the 67 counties in the state, accounted for approximately 47% of the total PASPGP-5s applications/registrations reviewed during time frame.

B. Non-Reporting Activities:

i. Data for PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting Activities was gathered from the three Corps Districts for the time period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018:

- Data was generated from the file and field reviews as discussed above.
- The number of PASPGP-5 verifications, authorized impacts, and mitigation data was obtained from ORM2. Impact data for Non-Reporting Activities was entered into ORM2 by the Corps based on the information provided by PADEP. In some cases, data concerning the amount of impacts was not provided, thus resulting in incomplete data.

ii. Data

Wetland impacts: Data was received from PADEP regarding impacts. For Non-Reporting Activities, the Corps also tracked impacts in the ORM2 database.

Stream impacts: Data was received from PADEP regarding impacts for stream impacts. For Non-Reporting Activities, the Corps only tracked impacts in the ORM 2 database.

- Appendix 4 represents the various GPs and impact data provided by PADEP, the majority of which would have been processed as a Non-Reporting Activity.

The PWRP was developed as an in-lieu-fee program available to permit applicants to provide mitigation for impacts to waters of the Commonwealth. The PADEP did not supply data on the use of the PWRP during the monitoring period for this report.

The PWRP does not meet the current standards for in-lieu-fee programs as established in the 2008 Joint USEPA and Army Corps of Engineers Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources: Final Rule (Mitigation Rule). The PWRP received the full five years of grandfathering allowed under

the Mitigation Rule and was no longer available for use as acceptable federal mitigation on June 8, 2013, but remains operational for use as mitigation for state authorizations. The PADEP is in the process of developing a new in-lieu-fee program.

For Non-Reporting Activities, Corps data reflects the following number of Non-Reporting Activities being verified by PADEP (total of 5,917):

- Baltimore District – 2,752
- Philadelphia District – 488
- Pittsburgh District - 2,677
- Corps data reflects the following impacts being authorized by PADEP through Non-Reporting Activities for PASPGP-5 verifications:

Table 1 PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting Activity Impacts

	Perm. Stream (Acre)	Perm. Stream (L.F.)	Temp. Stream (Acre)	Temp. Stream (L.F.)	Perm. Wetland (Acre)	Temp. Wetland (Acre)
Baltimore	-	83,387	-	65,604	8.4	43.26
Philadelphia	-	2,973	-	4,806.7	8.28	7.96
Pittsburgh	13.1	29,200	31.4	76,912	4.08	31.8

iii. The following findings have been formulated from data for PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting Activities for the time period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018:

- The file review for Non-Reporting Activities in Baltimore District determined that 98% of Non-Reporting file reviews were processed under the appropriate category. Philadelphia District determined that 96% were processed under the appropriate category. Pittsburgh District determined 80% were processed under the appropriate category. Permit applications/registrations were included in 94% of Baltimore District files, 88% of Philadelphia District files, and 73% of the Pittsburgh District files.

- The Baltimore District determined that 92% of Non-Reporting Activities, where work had commenced or been completed, were in compliance with the PASPGP-5 verification. The Philadelphia District determined 88% of Non-Reporting Activities, where work had commenced or been completed, were in compliance with the PASPGP-5 verification. Compliance with the PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting verifications could not be determined for the Pittsburgh District. This is because Pittsburgh District chose to utilize compliance inspections already performed outside the scope of this report. As such, the data submitted did not contain information as to if the activity was a Reporting Activity or not. The non-compliant verifications were found to be out of compliance with the PASPGP-5 verification for various reasons such as: additional fill beyond that authorized discharged into waters of the United States.
- Where applicable, Non-Reporting verifications received by the Corps contained a PNDI receipt in 86% of the files in Baltimore District, 88% in Philadelphia District and 80% in Pittsburgh District. While there is still room for improvement, there is a marked increase in the percentage of PNDIs received by the Corps when compared to the values reported in the PASPGP-4 Mid-Point Monitoring Report. During the PASPGP-4 monitoring Report it was identified that 78 % of Baltimore District, 72 % of Philadelphia District and 59% of Pittsburgh District Cat I and II (Non-Reporting) files had a PNDI receipt. This increase is due to the PADEP improving file completeness and efficiency.
- In accordance with the PASPGP-5, additional review/coordination for those projects which may have an effect on bog turtles is required. This involves the requirement to perform a Phase 1 Bog Turtle Habitat Evaluation if indicated on the PNDI receipt or required in a USFWS letter to ensure no potential impact to bog turtles. As part of the file review of the projects that could affect bog turtles, the Corps checked for the presence of a USFWS bog turtle clearance letter, a PNDI receipt signed by a certified Bog Turtle Surveyor affirming that no bog turtle habitat was present, or a Corps no effect determination. Of the random sample of Non-Reporting Activity applications/registrations that received a file review, 67% (8 of 12) of the files in Baltimore District included the

necessary documentation. In Philadelphia District 57% (4 of 7) of the files contained the necessary documentation. Note, there are no known bog turtle populations identified within the Pittsburgh District.

C. Reporting Activity Verifications:

i. Data for PASPGP-5 Reporting Activities was gathered from the three Corps Districts for the time period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018:

- Data was generated from the file and field reviews as discussed above.
- The number of PASPGP-5 verifications, authorized impacts, and mitigation data was obtained from ORM2

ii. Data:

- Total number of Reporting Activity verifications issued by the three Corps Districts was 2,315. Baltimore District issued 1,260, while Philadelphia District issued 180, and Pittsburgh District issued 875.
- ORM2 data reflects the following impacts being authorized by the Corps through PASPGP-5 Reporting Activity verifications:

Table 2 PASPGP-5 Reporting Activity Impacts

	Permanent Stream (Acres)	Permanent Stream (L.F.)	Temporary Stream (Acres)	Temporary Stream (L.F.)	Permanent Wetland (Acres)	Temporary Wetland (Acres)
Baltimore	7.59	30,892	18.68	63,965	14.07	83.0
Philadelphia	5.47	3,801	7.94	2,881	1.83	15.20
Pittsburgh	6.61	28,132	6.5	24,243	11.98	31.86

While the linear feet of permanent stream impact may seem large at first, one must remember that this number includes all types of projects, with many of them not necessarily having an adverse impact to the aquatic environment; some projects may result in an environmental uplift. For example, permanent stream impacts include flood plain restoration projects, stream restoration projects, and bank stabilization. Additionally, the permanent impacts may include projects such as routine maintenance dredging of reservoirs and sediment removal around culverts and bridges, which do not result in a loss of waters.

It should also be noted, that activities temporarily impacting forested and/or scrub shrub wetlands may have been included in either the temporary or permanent acreages. This is due to some activities having temporary wetland impacts that result in the permanent conversion of a wetland type. As an example, utility line installation through a forested wetland may result in only temporary impacts to the wetland itself, thus the impacts are viewed as temporary since wetland conditions are restored. However the area may be permanently maintained resulting in a change from a forested to an emergent community type. For this reason, such impacts could have been entered into either of the categories, resulting in some impacts being shown as a “permanent” impact but not resulting in a loss of wetland area.

It is also important to note that in the Corps ORM2 database many stream projects were entered as permanent impacts even though the project itself is intended to be beneficial to the aquatic environment. These include, but are not limited to: stream bank restoration, stream bank stabilization and temporary impacts, such as those associated with utility line crossings, culverts and bridges. For these types of projects, mitigation is typically not required and no mitigation would be entered into ORM2.

- ORM2 data reflects the following compensatory wetland mitigation being required by the Corps as part of Reporting Activity verifications during the review period.

Table 3 Compensatory Wetland Mitigation for Reporting Activities

	Wetland Enhancement (Acre)	Wetland Establishment (Acre)	Wetland Reestablishment (Acre)	Pond Enhancement (Acre)	Total Compensatory Mitigation (Acre)
Baltimore	10.70	5.66	1.25	-	17.61
Philadelphia	2.29	1.08	2.83	0.43	6.63
Pittsburgh	3.81	12.85	0.29	-	30.33

It should be noted that the Philadelphia District does not have an approved commercial mitigation bank to use for compensatory mitigation for authorized impacts within the Commonwealth. Pittsburgh and Baltimore Districts both have approved commercial mitigation banks. During the review period no mitigation bank credits were used in the Baltimore District as compensatory mitigation for impacts being authorized by the PASPGP-5. In Pittsburgh District, 895 linear

feet of stream credits and 0.67 acre of wetland credits, were purchased from mitigation banks as compensatory mitigation for impacts authorized by the PASPGP-5. There are no approved in-lieu fee programs available for compensatory mitigation in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- ORM2 data reflects the following compensatory stream mitigation being required by the Corps as part of Reporting Activity verifications during the review period.

Table 4 Compensatory Stream Mitigation for Reporting Activities

	Stream Enhancement (Acre)	Stream Re-Establishment (Acre)	Stream Establishment (Acre)	Stream Rehabilitation (Acre)	Upland Riparian Buffer (Acre)	Total Comp. Stream Mitig. (Acre)
Baltimore	4.01	0.03	-	0.18	3.14	7.36
Philadelphia	0.10	0.002	-	0.002	1.55	1.654
Pittsburgh	1.72	0.33	0.24	0.20	-	2.49

iii. The following findings have been formulated based on the file reviews for PASPGP-5 Reporting Activity projects for the time period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018:

- Applications sent to the Corps as Reporting Activities were categorized correctly as follows: in the Baltimore District 94%; Philadelphia District 83%; and Pittsburgh District 75%. While Pittsburgh District has a low percentage of applications/registrations processed appropriately, the sample size consisted of only four files, so the data may not be truly representative of the percentage of correct categorization of the permit applications.
- Where applicable, a PNDI receipt was included in 97% of the Baltimore District project files. For the six files where a PNDI could not be located in the project file, three of the projects were PADEP Emergency Permits, which often result in the Corps or PADEP running a PNDI, and not the applicant. During the coordination process for these Emergency Permits, a hardcopy of the PNDI results may not have been printed out and placed in file, or provided by PADEP to the Corps. The other three projects were

related to Marcellus Shale Gas activities. These project files are very large with multiple applications/verifications associated with the overall project. The PNDI may have been overlooked during file review, or the project may have received a clearance letter from USFWS, thus resulting in a PNDI receipt not being required.

- A PNDI receipt was included in 100% of the project files in Philadelphia District.
- A PNDI receipt was included in 75% of the Pittsburgh District files.
- For the Reporting Activity files reviewed, 88% (7 of 8) of the files in Baltimore District included bog turtle habitat survey documentation, where applicable. In Philadelphia District the bog turtle survey documentation was found in 82% (9 of 11) of the files requiring documentation. There are no known bog turtle populations located within the Pittsburgh District.
- Documentation regarding compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA was included in 98% of the project files in Baltimore District.
- Documentation regarding compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA was included in 78% of the project files in Philadelphia District. Due to Philadelphia District's SOP all permit applications are submitted to the District Cultural Resource Specialist for Section 106 compliance.
- Documentation regarding compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA was included in 50% of the project files in Pittsburgh District.
- The required permit transmittal cover sheet from PADEP was included in 97% of the Reporting Activity permit files in Baltimore District, 39% in Philadelphia District, and 25% in Pittsburgh District. Overall, a permit transmittal cover sheet was included in 54% of the permit files reviewed, which is lower than that found as part of the PASPGP-4 monitoring effort (67%).
- The Baltimore District required compensatory mitigation for 18% of the Reporting Activities reviewed, while Philadelphia District required for 11% and Pittsburgh District required for 5%.

- The Baltimore District determined that 100% of the Reporting Activity projects, where work has commenced or was completed, were in compliance with the verified PASPGP-5, including all General and Special Conditions. Philadelphia District determined 100% of Reporting Activity projects were in compliance with the verified PASPGP-5, while Pittsburgh District determined that 92% of Reporting Activity projects were in compliance with the issued PASPGP-5.
- The Baltimore District determined 48% of Reporting Activity projects involved resource agency recommendations. In the Philadelphia District 61% of Reporting Activity projects involved resource agency recommendations. In Pittsburgh District 0% of Reporting Activity projects involved resource agency recommendations. The recommendations may have come in the form of Avoidance Measures on a PNDI receipt, comments generated from sending projects greater than 0.50 acre in size to the EPA and/or the USFWS, other Preconstruction Notification or more informal resource agency coordination through emails or during the Pennsylvania Environmental Review Committee meetings.

D. PADEP Data

i. Supplied Data:

- Even though there were still key pieces of data missing, such as the amount of mitigation required for Non-Reporting Activities, overall the quantity and quality of the data provided by PADEP was greatly improved over past monitoring efforts. The monitoring team believes that had PADEP Central Office staffing levels enabled continued support after the initial data submission in August of 2018, that many of the missing data sets could have been supplied.
- Part VIII(D)(2) of the PASPGP-5 permit specifies that the PADEP on an annual basis will supply the following information to the Corps:
 - a. The number of Individual Chapter 105 Water Obstruction and Encroachment Permits, Dam Safety Permits, Environmental Assessment Approvals for Waived Activities 11 and 16 and project specific State Water Quality

Certifications issued consistent with Section 401 of the CWA by each PADEP Office;

- b. The processing time associated with each permit type;
- c. The number, type, and scope of permitted wetland and stream impacts, including both temporary and permanent impacts;
- d. The number, type, scope, acreage and/or linear footage of, and location of all mitigation areas;
- e. Pertinent data concerning operation of the Pennsylvania Wetland Replacement Project and other in-lieu fee programs, if appropriate; and
- f. Total number of Chapter 105 GP types processed by county.

Data for PADEP authorizations was compiled by Central Office based on reporting from PADEP program areas and delegated CCDs and through their eFACTS data system for the time period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018. Data compilation was accomplished through the following efforts:

- GP data was recorded from copies of GP registrations provided to Central Office by PADEP program areas and CCDs.
- GP data was reported by CCDs through standard quarterly reports to Central Office.
 - The GP data was reported to the Corps by the number of authorizations and total acreage impacted (both stream and wetland) for each of the GP types in 6 month increments for the two year monitoring period. This included data for registrations processed by each delegated CCD and spans the entire two (2) year reporting time period. Additionally, a list of Individual Chapter 105 permits was submitted with the associated state assessed “Disturbance Fee” which was used by PADEP to estimate the impact area for each permit. This information was not sorted by the issuing PADEP office.
- Various permit data entered by PADEP program areas was exported from eFACTS.

- PADEP did not furnish the following data as required in the PASPGP-5:
 - Information pertaining directly to Dam Safety permits, Environmental Assessment Approvals for Waived Activities 11 and 16 and project specific State Water Quality Certificates issued consistent with Section 401 of the CWA by each PADEP office;
 - The processing time associated with each permit type;
 - The number, type, scope, acreage and/or linear footage of, and location of all mitigation areas.

- ii. The following information was determined using the data provided:
 - PADEP authorized 369 Individual Chapter 105 Water Obstruction and Encroachment Permits during the first monitoring year and 387 during the second monitoring year for a total of 756 permits during the monitoring period. PADEP also supplied fee data for the impacts associated with their IPs with the hopes that the fee value could be used to calculate the overall impacts. The PASPGP-5 monitoring team ultimately decided not to utilize this data since the PADEP fee schedule charges different fee rates for temporary versus permanent impacts. As such, one cannot equate a specific dollar amount to a given area of impact.

 - PADEP authorized 5,094 GPs during the review period resulting in a total of 253.86 acres of temporary and/or permanent impacts (wetland impacts of 89.06 acres temporary and/or permanent, and stream impacts of 164.8 acres temporary and/or permanent). Of the 5,094 GPs issued 25 of them were transferred to new owners.

 - PADEP reported that they authorized 5,850 GP's during the report time frame while the Corps data from ORM2 indicates that there were 5,917 GP's issued by the state, 67 more than what the state reported. This could be caused by the time delay from when PADEP pulled the data they sent to the Corps and when Corps personnel pulled that data from ORM2. This could also be caused by Corps project managers selecting the Programmatic General Permit (PGP) option in ORM2 instead of the Regional General Permit (RGP) option, leading to those permits being counted as if the state had attached the authorization to their permit.

- The following table provides a breakdown of the 5,094 GP types registered:

GP Number	Total Registered
GP 1	161
GP 2	188
GP 3	586
GP 4	266
GP 5	1447
GP 6	118
GP 7	609
GP 8	730
GP 9	15
GP 10	Not Reported
GP 11	974
GP 15	Not Reported
Total	5094

- Data for the type of aquatic resource impacted was available for all of the reported GPs registered, however, data specifying if the impacts were permanent or temporary was not reported. Some inference can be made since PADEP GPs 5 and 8 only authorize temporary impacts which resulted in at least 64.71 acres of temporary impacts for the two year monitoring period, but this is not a complete accounting of permanent versus temporary impacts. It is not appropriate to average impacts since a single registration may include multiple uses of a GP. The following table provides a summary of those impacts by resource and impact type as follows:

Total General Permit Impact Data				
General Permits Registered	Stream Impact	Stream Impact	Stream Impact	Wetland Impact
	WIDTH	LENGTH	AREA	AREA
	linear feet	linear feet	square feet	square feet
5,094	121,472	512,394	7,179,433	3,879,754

- Project location data, resource type, impact duration, and local waterway name was supplied for all of the GPs, but not for the individual “E” permits.

iii. The following information was not supplied by PADEP

- No information concerning Dam Safety Permits, Environmental Assessment Approvals for Waived Activities 11 and 16, and project specific State Water Quality Certifications issued consistent with Section 401 of the CWA by each PADEP Office;
- No processing times were supplied for any of the permit types;
- No information was supplied concerning any compensatory mitigation for project specific mitigation requirements.

No information was supplied as to the use of the PWRP as compensatory mitigation for impacts to wetlands.

E. Overall – Reporting and Non-Reporting Activities

i. Compliance

Our field compliance monitoring effort found that in the Baltimore District, for the Reporting and Non-Reporting verifications inspected, 99% of the projects were in compliance with the verifications. In Philadelphia and Pittsburgh Districts, 96% and 92% of the inspections revealed that the projects were in compliance with the verifications, respectively.

Where appropriate, based on the findings of the above referenced field inspections, the Corps pursued resolution of any work not performed in compliance with the issued verifications or any unauthorized activities, often in coordination with PADEP.

Non-Reporting Activity compliance for constructed projects in the Baltimore District is 98% and for Reporting Activities it increases to 100%. Non-Reporting Activity compliance for constructed projects in the Philadelphia District is 93% and for Reporting Activities was 100%. Overall compliance could not be determined for the Pittsburgh District because the inspection forms submitted to the monitoring report team did not have that field filled in. In PASPGP-4, compliance with permit conditions was higher in Category I and II Activities, which are generally Non-Reporting type activities. However, in PASPGP-5, the Reporting Activities had a higher percentage of projects in compliance with the permit conditions; the opposite of the PASPGP-4 monitoring effort findings. We believe improved compliance with these authorizations is due to special conditions being written more clearly and concisely so that applicants could easily understand them.

The findings of this study suggest that the vast majority of permittees are in compliance with their Reporting and Non-Reporting Activity verifications. For the purpose of this report, non-submittal of the PASPGP- 5 Self-Certification Form was not viewed as non-compliance, even though submission of the form is a General Condition of PASPGP-5. In addition, the success or failure of compensatory mitigation was not evaluated as part of this monitoring effort because mitigation success is not dependent on the type of verification issued.

ii. Processing Times

- Processing times for PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting Activities corresponds to the processing times of the PADEP authorization/registration as they are issued concurrently by PADEP. Average processing times were not provided by PADEP.
- National Corps Performance Measures, in place for the period of this review, required issuance of 80% of Corps GP verifications within 60 days of receipt of a federally complete application. Using the 60 day review timeframe for comparison, PASPGP-5 Reporting Activity verification processing times (the date application was determined federally complete for processing until the date of verification issuance) were calculated from ORM2 data. During the monitoring period, Baltimore District issued 90% within 60 days with an average processing time of 31 days. Philadelphia District issued 83% within 60 days with an average processing time of 51 days, and Pittsburgh District issued 89% within 60 days with an average processing time of 43 days. Overall, between the three Corps Districts, the average processing time for Reporting Activities was 64 days. In many cases, applications/registrations sat idle waiting on additional information to be submitted by the applicant. Some projects also had an extended review time due to Section 106 or Section 7 concerns or other issues.

V. FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Overall, the PASPGP-5 has successfully reduced duplication of effort between the Corps and PADEP. This is evident by the majority of PASPGP-5 verifications being comprised of Non-Reporting Activities that do not require a Corps case-by-case evaluation. Non-Reporting Activities comprised 5,917 (72%) of the projects

verified while Reporting Activities represented 2,315 (28%) of the projects verified. In addition, the application/registration processing time appears to be reasonable, with the majority of PASPGP-5 verifications being issued in 60 days or less.

In order to expedite the log-in process of incoming applications/registrations by the Corps, and ensure that applicable applications/registrations get assigned to a Corps project manager for processing. It is essential that the Transmittal Cover Sheet is included as part of the application package forwarded to the Corps which identifies the application as being a Reporting Activity, and the reason for being sent as a Reporting Activity, or as a Non Reporting Activity where the PASPGP-5 has already been attached to a state authorization. A cover sheet was also required under PASPGP-4, and utilization of the sheet was problematic. During the PASPGP-4 monitoring effort, the cover sheet was only received for 69% of Category III Activity applications/registrations received in the Baltimore District, while 100% were received in the Philadelphia District and 33% in Pittsburgh District had the cover sheet. The PASPGP-5 mid-point monitoring effort found that permit transmittal cover sheets were included in 54% of the permit files reviewed (Baltimore - 97%, Philadelphia - 39%, Pittsburgh - 25%).

PASPGP-5 verifications must comply with all applicable laws and regulations. Applicable laws, beyond the Corps statutory authorities that require project specific compliance, and are most frequently at issue, are Section 106 of the NHPA and Section 7 of the ESA. For Reporting Activity verifications, the findings are that the PASPGP-5 is in compliance with Section 7 ESA. Compliance with Section 7 ESA is initiated and potentially concluded with a PNDI project receipt. The inclusion of the PNDI project receipt for Non-Reporting Activity applications/registrations increased for all three Corps Districts compared to the findings of the PASPGP-4 monitoring effort. For Reporting Activities, inclusion of the PNDI receipt for Philadelphia and Baltimore was high (91% up to 100% and 100% down to 97% respectively) however Pittsburgh District decreased 13% (86% to 75%).

Compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA for Non-Reporting Activities has been achieved through the Corps programmatic consultation with the PHMC during the development of PASPGP-5. During the programmatic consultation, a process was developed where certain activities would be sent to the Corps as a Reporting Activity for further review and consultation with either Corps staff Cultural Resource Specialists and/or PHMC. Special conditions and/or other processes, such as a Memorandum of Agreement, can be used to ensure compliance with Section 106 as needed.

For Reporting Activities, Section 106 compliance is completed by each Corps District following their internal SOP for compliance with Section 106. Through our file review we assessed whether or not the required documentation to show compliance with Section 106 was present in the file. Our evaluation, compared to the findings in the PASPGP-4 monitoring effort, found that the cultural resources notification form and/or other PHMC correspondence within the file increased for the Baltimore District by 18% for a total of 98%; decreased for Philadelphia District by 5% for a total of 78%; and Pittsburgh District decreased by 17% for a total of 50%. In many cases the submitted documentation was sufficient to conclude Section 106 review for Baltimore and Pittsburgh Districts. The Philadelphia District's internal SOP for 106 requires all projects to be reviewed by the District's staff Cultural Resource Specialist for a determination.

Overall, we believe the PASPGP-5 verifications (Non-Reporting and Reporting) comply with applicable laws and regulations. Improvement with documenting compliance for Reporting Activities with Section 7 of the ESA by the Pittsburgh District is recommended by ensuring proper documentation is contained in file. Improving documentation in the file for Reporting Activities regarding compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA for the Philadelphia and Pittsburgh District is also recommended.

The PASPGP-5 can only authorize activities that result in no more than minimal individual or cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment. Overall, we believe that this requirement is being achieved. For Non-Reporting projects the Corps has determined that these activities will result in no more than minimal adverse environmental effects provided the proposed regulated activities comply with all terms, conditions, limits, best management practices, and processing procedures required by the PASPGP-5 and applicable PADEP Chapter 105 authorizations. Accordingly these projects can receive authorization under PASPGP-5 without the need for a direct Corps review.

For Reporting Activities a project specific review by the Corps is required in order to make a minimal impact determination and also to ensure compliance with other federal laws and regulations. Activities that involve impacts that exceed Reporting thresholds were evaluated on a case-by-case basis, including proposed compensatory mitigation, to determine if the impacts were more than minimal, individually and/or cumulatively. PASPGP-5 verifications issued by the three Corps Districts that involved greater than 0.5 acre of temporary and/or permanent impacts was low (NAB – 1.4%, NAP – 0.26%, LRP – 0.56%). The total number of PASPGP-5 verifications issued involving impacts greater than 0.5 acre per Corps District were Baltimore - 33, Philadelphia - 6, Pittsburgh - 13, out of an overall total of 2,315 verifications issued. The total number of PASPGP-5

verifications issued by the Corps may differ slightly from the initial dataset used in the monitoring report due to the updated ORM2 data from the continuous data entry effort. We believe that the reporting and maximum impact thresholds of the PASPGP-5 continue to provide an incentive for applicants to design projects resulting in minimal impacts. Some of the PASPGP-5 verifications issued by the Corps required compensatory mitigation to ensure that no more than minimal individual or cumulative adverse impacts to the aquatic environment would occur. Of the overall total of 2,315 PASPGP-5 verifications issued by the Corps, compensatory mitigation was required for a total of 326 projects, (Baltimore District at 257 projects, Philadelphia District at 21 projects, and Pittsburgh District at 48 projects). The PASPGP-5 also includes other measures to ensure that project specific verifications do not result in more than minimal adverse impacts by requiring applications which meet certain thresholds be sent to the Corps as a Reporting Activity for a project specific review. These include the requirement for any single and complete project that proposes permanent conversion of greater than 0.10 acre of forested and/or shrub-scrub wetland in association with the regulated activity be sent to the Corps. Additionally, overall projects involving residential, commercial, and institutional developments where greater than 0.25 acre of wetlands remain on the project after the regulated work is completed to permanently protect those remaining wetlands through the use of a deed restriction or conservation easement. If the applicant utilizes one of the template documents and includes this in their application it can be processed as a Non-Reporting Activity. If the protection instrument is not included with the application, the included protection instrument does not follow one of the templates, or the applicant wants to be relieved of the need to provide a protection instrument, then the application is sent to the Corps as a Reporting Activity for a project specific review. Overall, we believe that the processes built into the PASPGP-5 result in no more than minimal adverse impacts to the aquatic environment are authorized.

We recommend that continued emphasis be placed on file maintenance and proper data entry in the ORM2 database. Specifically this pertains to ensuring that Self-Certification Forms, Monitoring Reports, compliance inspection reports, and any other additional correspondence generated after permit issuance are placed into the appropriate file. The PASPGP-5 team understands that the next iteration of ORM2 may have the ability to generate reminders to project managers; specifically for Monitoring Reports, compliance inspections, and for receipt of Recorded Deed Restrictions. These abilities will be helpful for future PASPGP-5 Monitoring Reports because it will increase the accuracy and quality of information available.

This monitoring report did not include compliance inspections for any completed compensatory mitigation projects. However, in accordance with National Performance Measure requirements, the Corps has and continues to monitor compensatory mitigation requirements associated with authorizations. The success of compensatory mitigation projects and overall project compliance with the permit is not a measure of the success of the PASPGP-5 permit. Likewise, an applicant is just as likely to be out of compliance with a Nationwide Permit, Letter of Permission, RGP, or SP as they are to be out of compliance with an SPGP. As a result we believe that compliance inspections for the purpose of this monitoring report may be of limited value.

During this monitoring effort, no occurrences of failure to comply with the 404(b)(1) Guidelines or NEPA was noted. In addition, during the field review process, no violations of ESA or the NHPA were found.

We recommend that additional bog turtle clearance documentation for Non-Reporting Activities be included in the PADEP authorization. For Non-Reporting Activities authorized by PADEP in the Baltimore and Philadelphia Districts, the level of file documentation demonstrating compliance with required bog turtle screening procedures was relatively low. Although such documentation may have been obtained by PADEP, such documentation was not provided and/or found in the Corps file for the project. Submission of such information to the Corps is a requirement of the PASPGP-5 SOP.

We recommend the continuation of the PASPGP Monitoring Committee to allow for facilitated discussions, as needed to address any questions and/or recommendations for improvement of the PASPGP-5 permit process, and development of future SPGPs and associated SOPs in the Commonwealth. This forum could also be used to educate state and federal resource agency staff of pending changes to PADEP and/or Corps regulatory programs.

We recommend that if there is a PASPGP-6, that it does not include the requirement to conduct field compliance inspections for the purpose of a monitoring report.

The PASPGP-5 monitoring team strongly recommends that the PADEP provide compensatory mitigation data for both project specific mitigation and for current and future in-lieu fee programs.

The PASPGP-5 process reflects a workload sharing partnership between the Corps, PADEP and other federal, state and local agencies. The PASPGP-5 has successfully reduced duplication of effort between the Corps and PADEP as reflected in the large number of Non-Reporting Activities verified by the state without a direct Corps review. This has provided an overall benefit to the regulated public within the Commonwealth by receiving their state and federal

authorization at the same time. Even though the data provided by the PADEP for PASPGP-5 verifications issued was incomplete, their efforts clearly reduced the number of projects which would have required a Corps review absent the PASPGP-5.

VI. CONCLUSION

Based upon the information evaluated from July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2018, the Corps has determined that the work authorized by the PASPGP-5 is in compliance with the CWA 404(b)(1) Guidelines, NEPA, Section 7 of the ESA, and Section 106 of the NHPA. Continuation of the PASPGP-5, with the development and issuance of a PASPGP-6 upon the expiration of PASPGP-5, is recommended and would be in the best interest of the PADEP, the Corps and ultimately the citizens of the Commonwealth.

VII. APPENDICES/ENCLOSURES

Appendix 1. Non-Reporting File Review Form

Appendix 2. Reporting File Review Form

Appendix 3. Field Review Form

Appendix 4. 105 GP Quarterly Reporting for PASPGP-5 Jan. 2017 for Two Year Monitoring Report. (State Data)

Appendix 5. NAB PGP Summary

Appendix 6. NAB RGP Summary

Appendix 7. NAB Compliance Summary

Appendix 8. NAB Mitigation Summary

Appendix 9. NAP PGP Summary

Appendix 10. NAP RGP Summary

Appendix 11. NAP Compliance Summary

Appendix 12. NAP Mitigation Summary

Appendix 13. LRP PGP Summary

Appendix 14. LRP RGP Summary

Appendix 15. LRP Compliance Summary

Appendix 16. LRP Mitigation Summary

Appendix 17. PADEP Chapter 105 IPs

**PASPGP-5 Non-Reporting
(PGP) File Review Record – Appendix 1**

Corps District: Baltimore Philadelphia Pittsburgh

Project Information

Project Name/ORM number: _____

For the purposes of this monitoring Report is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: Yes No

If yes, record the ORM unique identifier code associated with the specific PGP: _____

Note: If multiple PGP's are being reviewed under this ORM Number complete this entire form once and then for each additional PGP reviewed, skip the "File Review" section of this form. Multiple review forms from the same ORM Number shall be grouped together.

PADEP Permit Number(s): _____

Date of File Review: _____

Date PASPGP-5 verified: _____

Issued by: PADEP Region: _____ County Conservation District: _____

Was activity categorized correctly? Yes No if no, explain:

File Review

Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included? Yes No

Is the application included in the file? Yes No

Project location map? Yes No

- Latitude & Longitude? Yes No
- Are plans included in the file? Yes No
- Is the Aquatic Resource Impact Table included?
Are "Single & Complete" projects identified
on the table as appropriate Yes No N/A
- Does the file indicate that State Authorization was issued? Yes No
- Section 7 ESA Clearance (Copy of PNDI or
Clearance letter from USFWS or the CORPS)? Yes No
- Bog Turtle Habitat Survey completed? Yes No N/A
- Section 106 Historic Clearance (not required for GPs)? Yes No N/A
- PADEP Record of Decision (for E & D permits only)? Yes No

Additional information/comments:

Is the project a Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development? Yes No
If YES, fill out Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Section below.

Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Project Review

Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Yes No
Instrument? If yes, continue to Impacts/Mitigation Review.

Draft Conservation Instrument included in PGP file? Yes No

Was the appropriate review process implemented? Yes No

If no, explain: _____

Is a copy of the recorded Instrument in the file? Yes No

Impacts/Mitigation Review

Acreage impacted:
Wetland(Permanent) _____ (acre) Stream(Permanent) _____ (linear feet)

Wetland(Temporary) _____(acre) Stream(Temporary) _____(linear feet)

Was there greater than 0.10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project? Yes No

Was mitigation required? Yes No

If Yes, Mitigation type: permittee responsible mitigation bank

Mitigation amount: Wetland _____(ac) Stream _____(lf)

FILE REVIEWER SIGNATURE: _____

PASPGP-5 Reporting (RGP) File Review Record – Appendix 2

Corps District: Baltimore Philadelphia Pittsburgh

Project Information

Project Name/ORM number: _____

For the purposes of this Monitoring Report is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: Yes No

If yes, record the ORM unique identifier code associated with the specific RGP: _____

Note: If multiple RGP's are being reviewed under this ORM Number complete this entire form once and then for each additional RGP reviewed, skip the "File Review" section of this form. Multiple review forms from the same ORM Number shall be grouped together.

PADEP Permit Number(s): _____

Date of File Review: _____

Date PASPGP-5 verified: _____

Reason(s) for Reporting? _____

Was activity categorized correctly? Yes No if no, explain:

File Review

Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included? Yes No

Is the application included in the file? Yes No

Project location map? Yes No

- Are plans included in the file? Yes No
- Is the Aquatic Resource Impact Table included?
Are "Single & Complete" projects identified
on the table as appropriate? Yes No N/A
- Section 7 ESA Clearance (Copy of PNDI or
Clearance letter from USFWS or the CORPS) Yes No
- Bog Turtle Habitat Survey completed Yes No N/A
- Section 106 Historic Clearance included? Yes No N/A (GPs)
- Was a Section 106 MOA required? Yes No
- Were Resource Agency recommendations submitted
during application process? Yes No
- Corps Memorandum for Record included? Yes No
- Is the State Authorization included in the file? Yes No
- PADEP Record of Decision? Yes No N/A (GPs)

Additional information/comments:

Is the project a Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development? Yes No
If YES, fill out Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Section below.

Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Project Review

- Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application? Yes No
- Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation
Instrument? If yes proceed to Impacts/Mitigation Review Yes No
- Was the appropriate review process implemented? Yes No

If no, explain: _____

Is a copy of the recorded Instrument in the file? Yes No

Impacts/Mitigation Review

Acreage impacted:

Wetland(Permanent) _____ (acre) Stream(Permanent) _____ (linear feet)

Wetland(Temporary) _____ (acre) Stream(Temporary) _____ (linear feet)

Was there greater than 0.10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project? Yes No

Was mitigation required? Yes No

If Yes, Mitigation type: permittee responsible mitigation bank

Mitigation acreage: Wetland _____ (ac) Stream _____ (lf)

Monitoring reports in file? Yes No

Was a conservation instrument required by Corps? Yes No

If Yes, the conservation instrument was for: mitigation
 to ensure no more than minimal impacts
 other: _____

FILE REVIEWER SIGNATURE: _____

PASPGP-5 COMPLIANCE/MITIGATION FIELD INSPECTION –

Appendix 3

ORM File Number: _____ ORM unique identifier code: _____

Date Permit Issued: _____ Field Date: _____

PADEP Permit Number: _____ Date Permit Issued: _____

Applicant info: _____

Consultant info: _____

1. PROJECT NAME: _____

- Was the site located: Yes No (if **NO** describe in space provided on Page 2)
- Authorized Work: Started Completed Unknown
- Is work in compliance with authorization: Yes No (if **NO** describe on Page 2 and provide sketch plan)
 - a) If non-compliant does the project still meet its Category of Activity: Yes No

2. DESCRIBE AS-BUILT/WORK: (If more space is needed use space provided on Page 2)

- Photos Attached/ In Electronic File
- Special conditions: (if required) are they written clearly and enforceable: Yes No (if **NO**: explain on space provided on Page 2)

3. Mitigation Required: Yes No if no, proceed to 4.

Permittee Responsible Mitigation Bank

(if Permittee Responsible, fill out section below, if Mitigation Bank proceed to 4)

- Mitigation Type: Stream (S) Wetland (W)
Required (If /ac) S: _____ W: _____ Observed (If /ac) S: _____ W: _____

Does it appear the stream and/or wetland mitigation was constructed in accordance with the mitigation plan? Yes No (if **NO**: explain on space provided on Page 2)

- Monitoring Year: 1 2 3 4 5 Beyond 5 (write number)
- Site Protection: Deed Restriction/Conservation Easement required Yes No
- If Site Protection was required, does it appear conditions of Protection Instrument have been followed (no mowing, fencing, rock barriers, signage, etc.).

**PASPGP-5 COMPLIANCE/MITIGATION FIELD INSPECTION –
Appendix 3**

4. RECOMENDATIONS:


- Based on the above report further inspection is required
- Based on the above report **NO** further inspection required
provide comments below

❖ **FORM PREPARED BY:** _____ **Date:** _____

❖ **Entered in ORM** **Entered by initials:** _____ **Date:** _____

❖ **Follow up:** Applicant Consultant **-Type-** Letter Email Phone **Date:** _____

Site Drawing (indicate photo locations):



Additional Comments/Recommendations:

Reporting based on Aquatic Resource Impact Table (Appendix - 4)

PASPGP-5 07/01/16 - 06/30/18			Corps / 404				PADEP / 105		Number of Permits
			Stream Impact WIDTH	Impact LENGTH	Stream Impact AREA	Impact AREA	Floodway Impact AREA	Wetland Impact AREA	
			linear feet	linear feet	square feet	square feet	square feet	square feet	
GP-1									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	1,242	14,006	228,033	0	208,847	0	39
2017	Jan 1	June 30	3,745	19,978	148,267	0	145,115	0	34
2017	July 1	Dec 31	2,503	15,959	148,154	0	170,135	0	37
2018	Jan 1	June 30	2,681	13,472	61,768	0	90,030	0	51
Total GP-1			10,171	63,416	586,222	0	614,127	0	161
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>31,708</i>	<i>293,111</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>307,063</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>81</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>394</i>	<i>3,641</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3,814</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-2									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	255	2,358	14,434	0	58,804	0	39
2017	Jan 1	June 30	1,431	2,317	31,286	0	35,680	0	63
2017	July 1	Dec 31	838	1,288	24,030	0	17,088	0	50
2018	Jan 1	June 30	523	619	6,771	0	6,749	0	36
Total GP-2			3,047	6,582	76,521	0	118,322	0	188
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>3,291</i>	<i>38,260</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>59,161</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>94</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>407</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>629</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-3									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	1,396	35,601	449,289	0	524,158	0	163
2017	Jan 1	June 30	2,823	25,294	369,916	0	368,281	0	147
2017	July 1	Dec 31	3,331	30,019	425,405	0	532,727	0	159
2018	Jan 1	June 30	5,186	22,748	284,879	0	541,741	0	117
Total GP-3			12,735	113,661	1,529,489	0	1,966,906	0	586
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>56,830</i>	<i>764,745</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>983,453</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>293</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>2,610</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3,356</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-4									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	247	1,561	15,888	2,570	93,090	2,571	34
2017	Jan 1	June 30	1,376	3,126	52,387	5,292	103,874	5,789	83
2017	July 1	Dec 31	1,106	1,884	31,641	2,944	90,195	2,944	74
2018	Jan 1	June 30	817	1,388	23,686	0	59,300	0	75
Total GP-4			3,546	7,959	123,603	10,806	346,460	11,304	266
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>3,980</i>	<i>61,801</i>	<i>5,403</i>	<i>173,230</i>	<i>5,652</i>	<i>133</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>465</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>1,302</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-5									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	7,086	25,037	294,686	290,985	2,297,067	236,602	347
2017	Jan 1	June 30	10,996	32,614	339,342	561,501	4,152,247	588,872	469
2017	July 1	Dec 31	7,873	33,581	201,723	426,220	2,308,774	545,262	353
2018	Jan 1	June 30	7,923	11,603	123,254	180,794	635,396	183,127	278
Total GP-5			33,878	102,835	959,005	1,459,499	9,393,484	1,553,863	1447
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>51,417</i>	<i>479,503</i>	<i>729,750</i>	<i>4,696,742</i>	<i>776,931</i>	<i>724</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>663</i>	<i>1,009</i>	<i>6,492</i>	<i>1,074</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-6									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	342	1,246	17,554	10,930	70,777	12,085	33
2017	Jan 1	June 30	836	981	14,695	1,020	52,949	820	27
2017	July 1	Dec 31	938	1,162	15,894	0	55,226	0	40
2018	Jan 1	June 30	655	1,303	10,553	83	37,243	393	18
Total GP-6			2,771	4,692	58,696	12,033	216,196	13,298	118
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>2,346</i>	<i>29,348</i>	<i>6,017</i>	<i>108,098</i>	<i>6,649</i>	<i>59</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>497</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>1,832</i>	<i>113</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-7									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	1,178	9,702	113,650	22,676	440,520	20,966	153
2017	Jan 1	June 30	2,089	9,605	94,014	28,881	295,660	24,843	168
2017	July 1	Dec 31	2,299	11,385	115,740	58,835	357,264	63,267	173
2018	Jan 1	June 30	1,739	6,737	69,060	28,959	240,431	27,559	115
Total GP-7			7,305	37,429	392,464	139,350	1,333,875	136,636	609
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>18,715</i>	<i>196,232</i>	<i>69,675</i>	<i>666,938</i>	<i>68,318</i>	<i>305</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>644</i>	<i>229</i>	<i>2,190</i>	<i>224</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-8									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	3,513	11,227	146,959	171,224	1,109,092	193,269	135
2017	Jan 1	June 30	6,517	26,952	276,986	643,499	3,511,611	629,275	303
2017	July 1	Dec 31	3,579	11,074	140,901	449,177	1,836,355	509,385	187
2018	Jan 1	June 30	2,555	4,429	53,520	96,064	367,304	117,829	105
Total GP-8			16,164	53,682	618,366	1,359,963	6,824,361	1,449,758	730
			<i>average annual</i>	<i>26,841</i>	<i>309,183</i>	<i>679,982</i>	<i>3,412,181</i>	<i>724,879</i>	<i>365</i>
			<i>average permit</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>847</i>	<i>1,863</i>	<i>9,348</i>	<i>1,986</i>	<i>---</i>
GP-9									
2016	July 1	Dec 31	55	335	2,455	2,000	11,729	2,000	2
2017	Jan 1	June 30	52	31	390	0	2,060	0	3
2017	July 1	Dec 31	53	83	630	9,482	4,660	9,482	7

Baltimore District - Non-Reporting File Inspection Summary Table (Appendix 5)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP 5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comment:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Acres Impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation (Wetland (ac))? (Stream (ft))?	
NAB	West 2H Well Pipeline	2010-02325	Yes	GP05662916003, GP08662916003	1-Nov-18	23-Sep-16	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	File Review for linear project. PGP	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.11	N/a	0.11		n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	West 2H Well Pipeline	2010-02325	Yes	GP05662916003, GP08662916003	1-Nov-18	23-Sep-16	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	File Review for linear project. PGP	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.11	N/a	0.11		n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Kasun Warehouse	2015-01438	No	E36-951	1/11/2019	7/6/2017	PADEP Southcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.03	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 0011, Section D52, Bridge Replacement over Salt Lick Creek	2016-01583	No	GP115816403	3/19/2019	9/26/2016	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.0258	0.029	110	46	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 000, Section 000 over Little Tonoloway Creek Bridge Replacement	2017-00035	No	GP112915108	3/19/2019	12/21/2016	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	49	47	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 4001, Section 000 over Middle Spring Creek	2017-00094	No	GP112116120	3/19/2019	1/20/2017	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.007	0.02	68	46	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Roy Stuble	2017-00114	No	EP4116597	2/14/2019	11/21/2016	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	300	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Eurofins Lab	2017-00538	No	GP043617110	1/11/2019	10-Jul-17	Lancaster CCD	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	106	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Lynch Bank Stabilization in Lake Winola	2017-00696	No	GP036617401	11/15/2018	6/5/2017	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	45	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 2022, Segment 0030, Offset 0006 Culvert replacement over an	2017-00705	No	GP113616132	3/12/2019		PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	67	23	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Fish Habitat Yellow Ck	2017-00707	No	GP010517102	11/29/2018	6/19/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	n/a	No	N/a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	139		No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Lewis Township Slacks Run Bank Stabilization and Gravel Bar Removal	2017-00734	No	EP4116547	2/14/2019	11/2/2016	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	N/a	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	100	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Reese Southwoods Project	2017-00764	No	GP015317502, GP085317502	11/16/2018	7/12/2017	Potter County Conservation Dist	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	300	20	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Tinglepaugh Pipeline	2017-00767	No	E5829-118	1-Nov-18	19-Jul-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	Yes	Sharepoint file. Only approval letter. ROD	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	110		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Tim Moore Crossings	2017-00774	No	GP080817506	2/13/2019	7/18/2017	Bradford County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	20		No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	South Ronks Road	2017-00782	No	GP073617110	1/11/2019	5/10/2017	Lancaster CCD	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	30	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 2011 Bridge Replacement over Jacks Creek	2017-00788	No	E44-150	3/20/2019	8/30/2017	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	100	40	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 0155, Section 403, Segment 0140, Offset 1511, Bridge Replacement	2017-00794	No	GP111217501	1/10/2019	6/26/2017	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/a	no	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.0433	63	120	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 0155, Section 403, Segment 0140, Offset 1511, Brige Relacement over Crooked Run	2017-00794	No	GP 111217501	1/10/2019	6/26/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	No	N/A	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.0433	63	120	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PADOT-SR 0155, Sect 403, Seg 0140, Offset 1511 Bridge Replacement Over Crooked Run	2017-00794	No	GP111217501	10-Jan-19	26-Jun-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.0433	63	120		No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	North York County Sewer Authority/2016 Mt. Wolf WWTP Improvements New	2017-00811	No	GP-04-67-16-108	1/17/2019	27-Jul-17	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	No	N/a	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.01	0	0	11	48	No	No	N/A	N/A	
NAB	Williams, Dodd Williams Recreational Dock Replacment	2017-00825	No	GP020117106	12/7/2018	7/26/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	150		No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Dimming Loop Pipeline	2017-00872	No	GP05662917	16-Nov-18	8-Jun-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	315		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Whysong Instream Habitat	2017-00878	No	GP010517101	11/29/2018	4/24/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	n/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	120		No	No	N/a	N/a		

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP 5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comment:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded Instrument in the file?	Acreage impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))? (Stream (ft))?	
NAB	N/a	2017-00884	No	E01-312	2/28/2019	5/14/2017	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	183	60	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PADOT SR Plenary Walsh Culvert	2017-00884-P06	No	E0-312	8/10/2018	5/4/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	n/a	Yes	No	n/a	no	n/a	authorization	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	99			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Logue Hill Road Bridge Rehab	2017-00925	No	GP114117504	5-Oct-18	1-May-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	48	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	City of Lebanon - Fisher Avenue	2017-00939	No	GP-05-38-17-103	21-Feb-19	25-Apr-17	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/A	N/A	No	N/a	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.005	0	0	0	40	No	No	N/A	N/A	
NAB	Hellam Township/River Drive Culvert Repairs	2017-00941	No	GP-11-67-17-117	1/17/2019	4/26/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/a	N/A	since final GP-11 issuance, severe	No	N/a	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.03	0	0	62.2	31.1	No	No	N/A	N/A	
NAB	Curwinstown Municipal Authority	2017-00972	No	WL 1716528	11/1/2018	21-Apr-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	130			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Bald Eagle Township Outfall	2017-00985	No	GP041817501	5-Oct-18	5-Jul-17	Clinton County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	Field visit in 2017 revealed applicant	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	6			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 2017, Segment 0030, Offset 0000, Scour and Rock Protection on Dutchman	2017-01042	No	E17-9999	10/2/2018	7/10/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	24	24	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - S. R. 0729, Segment 0020, Offset 0885	2017-01065	No	EP17-9999	11/1/2018	5/2/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	29			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Wiggins Township Easy Mountain Road Stream Bank Restoration in Deep Creek	2017-01070	No	GP 035416403 GP 045416406	10/2/2018	1/5/2017	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	50	70	No	No	N/a	N/a			
NAB	Waltz, Glenn EP to remove deposition and install riprap on UNT to Lycoming Cr	2017-01118	No	EP4116606	2/14/2019	12/21/2016	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	25	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Catlin Hollow Road Culvert Reaplcement	2017-01120	No	GP116616406	11/15/2018	1/12/2017	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	62			No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Hickory Bridge Road Bridge	2017-01192-P06	No	GP110117103	11/21/2018	4/19/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40			No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 0233, Segment 0020, offset 0000 Mountain Creek	2017-01293	No	E22-9999	3/19/2019	3/8/2017	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.0433	34	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	SWEPI LP - Strong 6109 Spur Pipeline	2017-01309	Yes	GP052917002	9-Nov-18	8-Apr-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.02	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 1009, Segment 0050, Offset, 1365, Trib to Wisconsin Creek	2017-01316	No	E22-9999	3/19/2019	3/18/2017	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	3	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Kenneth Riggle Crossing	2017-01344	No	GP071817502	5-Oct-18	1-Sep-17	PADEP SCRO Clinton County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	80	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 2004, Segment 0072, Offset 0000 - Bridge Maintenance over Piney	2017-01414	No	E07-9999	6-Nov-18	23-Jan-17	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	30	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	York Township Bridge T-501	2017-01465	No	GP-11-67-17-106	17-Jan-19	25-Apr-17	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.01	0	0	0	23	No	No	N/A	N/A	
NAB	Wormleysburg Borough	2017-01569	No	GP042116103	1/10/2019	11/28/2018	PADEP SC	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100			No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	LCCD/EQP Ly-16-003 Larmazin	2017-01609	No	EP411624	2/14/2019	6/14/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	90	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 4020, Section 000 over Qureg Run Bridge Replacement Project	2017-01616	No	GP113817104	1/16/2019	6/30/2017	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.003	0.006	111	36	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Fox Township Supervisors - T-407 Byrnedale Road Culvert Replacement	2017-01627	No	GP072417607	11/1/2018	9/26/2017	Elk County CD	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	130	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Bense to Everts Surface Waterline	2017-01639	Yes	GP050817002	9-Nov-18	6-Mar-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.005	n/a	35.5			No	No	N/a	N/a

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP 5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comment:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development?	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Acreage impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (lf))?	
NAB	Bense to Everts Surface Waterline	2017-01639	Yes	GP050817002	9-Nov-18	6-Mar-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.005	n/a	35.5	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Luzerne County – Baer Road over Reyburn Creek	2017-01689	No	GP114017405	11/1/2018	8/8/2017	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	10	85	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Cedar Mountain Rd Over Buck Run Bridge	2017-01733	No	GP115917504	11/9/2018	5/3/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	123		No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Summit 230/69 kV Ytransmission Line Crossings of S. Branch Leach Creek	2017-01765	No	GP073517402	10/17/2018	5/18/2017	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.02	0.095	53	58	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Constitution Ave Crossing over Sterry Creek	2017-01767	No	GP053517401	10/17/2018	5/24/2017	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	6		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Lane Street Crossing Under Sterry Creek	2017-01768	No	GP053517402	17-Oct-18	24-May-17	Northeast	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	6		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Saterlee Creek Rehab-Rogers	2017-01862	No	GP030817522	10/30/2017	11/8/2017	Bradford County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	395	250		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	North Marcellus Caludia Hershberger WL Temp WL	2017-01932	No	GP05082917017	10/30/2018	12/19/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Pleasant Valley Road, LLC	2018-00301	No	GP-04-67-16-101, GP-07-67-16-101, GP-11-67-16-105	16-Jan-19	22-Sep-16	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	N/a	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.02	0	0	196	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	
NAB	PADOT SR 3002 Bridge Replacement Over UNT to Whitehorn Creek	2018-00311	Yes	GP036016501, GP086016502, GP086016502	12-Oct-18	31-Aug-16	Union County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.01	0.007	n/a	62		No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	YM-2 Pipe Removal	2018-00313	Yes	GP05532916001	11/16/2018	8/24/2016	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.13		n/a		Yes	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PADOT JV 051 SR0035 Section A11 Bridge Replacement over Little Lost Creek	2018-00388-P12	No	GP043416102&GP113416104	2-Oct-18	14-Sep-16	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	n/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	56	56		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Boggs Township-Emergency Permit	2018-00432	No	EP 1416508	11/1/2018	10/27/2016	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	80	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Cumberland Valley Twp Bedford Co CUT Bridge Scour Repair	2018-00449	No	GP030516101	11/29/2018	10/19/2016	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.5		No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Craig Bubb	2018-00470	No	GP054416103	1/10/2019	12/19/2016	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	3		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Dan Ellis-Lucy WP Temporary Waterlines	2018-00512	No	GP05082917021	30-Oct-18	6-Mar-18	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.04	n/a	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PADOT SR 4001 Seg 090 Offset 0905 Flood Repairs	2018-00527	No	GP035715503	2/15/2019	9/11/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	50	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PADOT SR 0154 Seg0370 Offset 1422	2018-00533	No	GP035717502	2/14/2019	9/12/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	190	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PADOT3006/0160/1650 Culvert Replacement	2018-00534	No	GP075517503	12-Oct-18	12-Sep-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	40	20		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Erosion Repair Elizabeth Blending Facility	2018-00560	No	GP112216115	1/11/2019	8/16/2016	PADEP SC	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.04		240		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Willow Valley Ass. Chapel Relocation	2018-00581	No	GP043618102	11/27/2018	4/4/2018	Lancaster CCD	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	48	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PADOT-SWR 1005, Segment 0080, Offset 2626 streambed paving of culvert on Mountain Run	2018-00682	No	E17-9999	11-Jan-19	2-Apr-18	PADEP	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	no	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Windham Township Gravel Bar Removal	2018-00706	No	GP030818510	9-Nov-18	12-Apr-18	Bradford County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	245		No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DCNR Moshannon State Forestry District	2018-00721	No	EP1716505	11/1/2018	7/21/2016	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	30		No	No	N/a	N/a

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP 5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded Instrument in the file?	Acreage impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (ft))?		
NAB	PADOT SR 0154 Seg0370 Offset 042 over Double Run Bridge 127	2018-00723	No	GP115716502	2/14/2019	7/20/2016	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	75	66	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	West Donegal Twp/West Ridge Rd	2018-00802	No	GP053617113	1/11/2019	1/30/2018	Lancaster CCD	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.027	0.008	40	60	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PADOT SR 1006, Seg 0040, Offset 2435 bank stabilization on Slacks Run	2018-00835	No	GP034117521	2/14/2019	11/16/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	65	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Fifer Floating Pier	2018-00862	No	GP-02-67-18-101	1/17/2019	3/12/2018	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/a	N/A	No	No	N/a	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.025	0	0	0	44	No	No	N/A	N/A		
NAB	Lawrence Township-Flegal Road (T-519) Bridge Rehabilitation	2018-00878	No	GP 111716506	11/1/2018	7/18/2016	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	64	No	No	N/a	N/a			
NAB	Clearfield Municipal Authority-Clearfield Creek Waterline Crossing	2018-00887	No	GP 051716505	11/1/2018	7/26/2016	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	400	No	No	N/a	N/a			
NAB	Enterprise TC Products Pipeline Company bank stabilization in UNT to Hammer Creek	2018-00911	No	GP033817102/GP08381710	1/16/2019	3/9/2018	PADEP SCRO Clinton County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	20	12	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Ralph Dotterer	2018-00983	No	GP011818501	19-Oct-18	8-Mar-18	PADEP SCRO Clinton County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	658	522	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Letort Interceptor	2018-01039	No	GP112117117	12/4/2018	2/16/2018	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	no	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.05	85	No	No	N/a	N/a				
NAB	LASA Columbia Pump Station and Force Main	2018-01066	No	GP053616118	1/17/2019	1/12/2017	Lancaster CCD	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	no	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	28	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	L. Harman Culvert Replacements	2018-01069	No	GP11416526	26-Sep-18	26-Sep-16	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	culvert replacement no new impact	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	2017 York County Bridge Maintenance Program	2018-01096	No	GP-11-67-17-140	17-Jan-19	15-Nov-17	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.08	0	0	78	110	No	No	N/A	N/A		
NAB	Weikort Todd D waterline installation un UNT to Muddy Run	2018-01125	No	GP050117108	12/6/2018	1/23/2018	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.5	No	No	N/a	N/a			
NAB	David White Road Headway/ll Replacement	2018-01129	No	GP116617403	11/15/2018	10/20/2017	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	15	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Laurel Prohaska & Joseph Honney - Streambank Repairs in Watering Run /	2018-01159	No	GP034017402	11/1/2018	6/1/2017	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	50	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Putnam Riser Waterline	2018-01217	No	GP05082917015	29-Oct-18	15-Sep-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.004	0.2	2	271	Yes	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Browell Bradley Land Childers Brian Livestock Stream Crossing	2018-01226	No	GP060518101	11/29/2018	3/12/2018	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40	No	No	N/a	N/a				
NAB	Catawissa Creek Bridge Repair	2018-01239	No	E41-9999	2/28/2019	10/26/2017	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	30	0	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	ARWCO Corporation/Glatco Lodge Quarry	2018-01253	No	E67-935	16-Jan-19	11-Jan-18	PADEP SC	No	Overall single and complete project	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.4	0	0	0	0	Yes	Yes	Permittee responsible	0.8		
NAB	Williams 605 Transmission Line	2018-01334	No	GP053517404 GP083517405	11/16/2018	n/a	Potter County Conservation Dist	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	no	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	Yes	no	N/a	N/a			
NAB	PA DOT - SR 7215, Segment 0482, Offset 0133 Grove Drive Maintenance	2018-01343	No	E39-9999	3/19/2019	4/23/2018	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	20	0	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 3054 and 3036 Intersection Improvements	2018-01354	No	GP116717142	3/12/2019		PADEP SCRO Bradford County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	57	96	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Hutchinson Road Gravel	2018-01358	No	GP031818515	30-Oct-18	4-May-18	Bradford County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	150	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Beccaria Township	2018-01386	No	GP 111717512	11/1/2018	2/8/2018	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	65	No	No	N/a	N/a			

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP 5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comment:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Acres impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (lf))?		
NAB	PA DOT Laurel Run Culvert Replacement	2018-01415	No	GP11817508	25-Oct-18	31-Oct-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	60	80	No	No	N/a	N/a			
NAB	North Marcellus Porter - Penecale Temp WL	2018-01464	No	GP05662917003	16-Nov-18	9-May-17	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.01	n/a	80	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA Game Commission - PGC 252-16-01/UNT to Spring Ck Culvert Replacement	2018-01477	No	GP114116531	2/14/2019	9/14/2016	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	14	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a			
NAB	Terra Vista Subdivision	2018-01485	No	GP-11-67-17-121	1/17/2019	6/6/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	No	N/a	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.05	0	0	30	222	No	No	N/A	N/A		
NAB	N/a	2018-01489	no	E22-9999	2/28/2019	n/a	PADEP SCRO	Yes	n/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/a	no	Yes	no	n/a	no	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	0	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Borough of Everett Sewer Sys	2018-01498	No	GP050516104	11/29/2018	9/16/2016	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0006	15			No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Washington Twp- Petersburg Rd Crossing Over White Deer Hole Ck Maintenance (EP)	2018-01502	No	EP4117515	2/14/2019	9/27/2017	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	100	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - Emergency Permit	2018-01577	No	EP0816501	2/28/2019	7/7/2016	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	n/a	yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	0	0	40	0	no	no	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 167 PA DOT North Orwell Stockpile bank stabilization along Wysox Creek	2018-01624	No	GP030816527	2/28/2019	8/17/2016	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	0	0	150	0	no	no	n/a	n/a		
NAB	Cummings Stevenson	2018-01637	No	GP085316504 GP085316505	11/16/2018	8/10/2016	Potter County Conservation Dist	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	24			No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	Unknown	2018-01678	No	GP010116101	n/a	12/22/2016	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		605			No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	UNT to Parks Creek Gravel Bar removal	2018-01695	No	GP030818518	10/30/2018	6/6/2018	Bradford County Conservation District	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	175			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Long Crossing	2018-01703	No	GP0653182501	11/16/2018	6/8/2018	Potter County Conservation Dist	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	20	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Enterprise TE PRODUCTS Operating, LLC - Enterprise P-40 Pipeline Amendment /	2018-01714	No	E12-188	11/1/2018	9/7/2016	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	no	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.031	0	174			No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - 1501 Canal Road Service Line Installation Additional	2018-01717	No	GP-05-67-16-108	16-Jan-19	22-Dec-16	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/A	No	No	N/a	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.0003	0	0.0003	0	0	No	No	N/A	N/A		
NAB	Lawrence Township/Boom Station, Shoemaker Hill and Collum Road Culverts	2018-01718	No	GP115918504	9-Nov-18	14-May-18	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	150	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Susan J. Collini - Pole 5.5 Dock Repairs at Harvey's Lake	2018-01806	No	GP11401605	11/1/2018	10/11/2016	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	38	0	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Lower Mifflin Twp Culvert Replacement	2018-01814	No	GP112118102	1/11/2019	6/26/2018	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		80			No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Texas Eastern	2018-01819	No	EP5016101	1/10/2019	9/9/2016	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a					No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA DOT - SR 300Z, Segment 0040 Offset 0900 - Bank Stabilization of	2018-01828	No	GP036618401	3/19/2019	5/4/185	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	95	20	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Segment 0060, Offset 1106 - Deposition Removal from an unnamed tributary to the Township of Sparrow	2018-01829	No	E41-9999	2/28/2019	8/15/2016	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	148	0	No	No	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Road T-213 Bridge Maintenance over an UNT to the East Branch	2018-01862	No	GP-11-67-17-107	1/17/2019	6/19/2017	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/a	N/A	No	No	N/a	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	0.001	0	0	60	0	No	No	N/A	N/A		
NAB	Lancaster Co Bridge Replacement Over Pequea Ck	2018-01881	No	E36-953	9/11/2019	6/14/2016	PADEP southcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	29	113			No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Fredrick Snyder- Agricultural crossing of an unnamed tributary to Mahantango Creek	2018-01884	No	GP 075416404	10/2/2018	10/19/2016	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	60	n/a			No	No	N/a	N/a		

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP 5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Acreage impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))? (Stream (lf))?	
NAB	Charlene & David Luthi Jr. - Luthi Driveway Crossing of UNT to Nescopeck Creek	2018-01886	No	GP074016408	11/1/2018	10/11/2016	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	n/a	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	56	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 0205, Segment 0110, Offset 0000 - Bridge Maintenance over Keefers	2018-01892	no	E22-9999	2/28/2019	4/25/2018	PADEP SCRO	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	5	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Toms Creek Water Main Installation	2018-01951	No	GP050116108	n/a	12/23/2016	PADEP SC	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a			30		no	no	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 487 - Bridge Replacement over an unnamed tributary to Fishing Hole	2018-01980	No	GP11191853	3/19/2019	n/a	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	137	245	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Transmission, LLC (MAIT)/Lackawanna Meshoppen 230kV	2018-02022	No	GP0835174404	17-Oct-18	27-Jun-18	PADEP Northcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.0005	0.59	n/a	312		No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	PA DOT - SR 401Z, Section 550, Culvert Replacement on an unnamed tributary to	2018-02046	No	GP115818402	1/19/2019	n/a	PADEP NCRO	No	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	91	58	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 2002, Culvert Replacement on South Run	2018-02076		GP116617401	3/19/2019	n/a	PADEP NERO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	216	20.54	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Moonlite Drive-in Sewer Line-Eric Symeon	2018-02373	No	GP 054018403	10/23/2018	7/13/2018	PADEP Northeast	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.009		n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	Dumore Township	2018-0962	No	GP033618104	1/11/2019	6/11/2018	Lancaster CCD	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a			485	n/a		No	No	N/a	N/a
NAB	PA DOT - SR 3025, Segment 0010, Offset 0834 Bridge Maintenance on Tuscarora Creek		No	E17-9999	10/2/2018	7/10/2017	PADEP Southcentral	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	25	25	No	No	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT Tioga County Rd Sys Slide Repair	2018-01321	No	n/a	3/22/2019	unknown	PADEP NCRO	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	361	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	

Yes	129	0	96	123	121	130	122	101	36	128	113	8	5	4	0	5	1	1	15	0	0													4	1
No	2	0	35	8	10	1	9	30	24	3	18	4	4	4	9	126	2	1	0	0	1													127	130
N/a	0	130	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	0	119	122	123	99	0	128	129	116	131	130												0	0	

Total Wetland Mitigation (acre)	0.8
Total Wetland Impact Area (Acre)	1.94
Total Stream Impact (Linear Feet)	14736

Baltimore District - Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix 6)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one RIGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	ORM Unique Identifier	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Reason For Reporting	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	Section 106 MCA Required	Agency Recommendations Submitted	Corps Memorandum for Record included?	Is the State Authorization included in the file?	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments :	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application ?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument ?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation Type: (Permitte Responsible ? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland [ac])? (Stream [ft])?	Monitoring Reports in file?	Was a conservation instrument required by the Corps?	If Yes, the conservation instrument was for mitigation? To ensure no more than minimal impacts? Other?		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932458	E07-459	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932541	E07-459	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	64	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933352	E07-459	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932562	E07-459	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	74	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932541	E07-459	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	64	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932574	E07-459	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	51	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932858	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	55	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932629	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.146	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932671	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	53	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932674	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	53	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932822	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	54	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932810	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	60	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932700	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.154	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932610	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.016	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9968846	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.053	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932852	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	24	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932749	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.001	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932740	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.035	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932828	E21-449	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	103	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932932	E22-619	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	51	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932965	E22-619	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	166	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933337	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	39	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9864194	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	58	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933271	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	51	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a

Baltimore District - Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix 6)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one RGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	ORM Unique Identifier	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Reason For Reporting	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	Section 106 MCA Required	Agency Recommendations Submitted	Corps Memorandum for Record included?	Is the State Authorization included in the file?	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation Type: (Permitte Responsible ? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland [ac])? (Stream [ft])?	Monitoring Reports in file?	Was a conservation instrument required by the Corps?	If Yes, the conservation instrument was for mitigation? To ensure no more than minimal impacts? Other?		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933016	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933019	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933091	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933292	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933277	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933283	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933262	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933103	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932446	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9933088	E31-234	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932154	E34-136	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932235	E38-194	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932330	E50-258	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932208	E36-945	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9968896	E36-945	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932184	E36-945	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9932384	E67-920	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9968911	E67-920	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Sunoco PI	2015-01664	Yes	9968859	E67-920	9/11/2018	2/13/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	City Gate Project Oak Tree Dev.	2017-01911	No		GP1136174124, GP043617124, GP073617120	11/27/2018	3/7/2018	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408.2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	to ensure no more than minimal impacts	
NAB	HEP Tioga (Tioga North Trunkline)	2015-01708	Yes	9930410	E5929-062	11/28/2018	4/11/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	No	No	No	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	HEP Tioga (Tioga North Trunkline)	2015-01708	Yes	9930426	E5929-062	11/28/2018	4/11/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	No	No	No	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Anadarko Chapman Pad A PL	2011-00410	No		E4129-105	11/6/2018	8/21/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	HEP Tioga (Herczeg Well Lateral)	2015-01708	Yes	9705464	E5929-054	11/28/2018	9/6/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		

Baltimore District - Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix 6)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one IGR selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	ORM Unique Identifier	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Reason For Reporting	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	Section 106 MCA Required	Agency Recommendations Submitted	Corps Memorandum for Record included?	Is the State Authorization included in the file?	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information / comments :	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation Type: (Permitte Responsible ? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland [ac]?) (Stream [ft]?)	Monitoring Reports in file?	Was a conservation instrument required by the Corps?	If Yes, the conservation instrument was for mitigation? To ensure no more than minimal impacts? Other?						
NAB	Rattling Creek Down Stream of Edwards Bridge	2015-01112	No		E22-617	11/29/2018	9/23/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	1371	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a						
NAB	Asylum Run	2017-01843	No		E22-641	11/28/2018	2/16/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	n/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.02	0.4	225	870	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a			
NAB	Coal Twp Commissioners Stream Rehab	2017-01888	No		E49-348	10/26/2018	1/22/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	810	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a				
NAB	Herbert Well Line	2018-00049	Yes	10241430	ES829-128	12/13/2018	5/4/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	32	n/a	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a			
NAB	Herbert Well Line	2018-00049	Yes	10290682	ES829-128	12/13/2018	5/4/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.01	n/a	PRM	0.01	n/a	Yes	mitigation					
NAB	Herbert Well Line	2018-00049	Yes	10290684	ES829-128	12/13/2018	5/4/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.1	0.02	n/a	PRM	0.1	n/a	Yes	mitigation					
NAB	Herbert Well Line	2018-00049	Yes	10290692	ES829-128	12/13/2018	5/4/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.02	0.01	n/a	PRM	0.02	n/a	Yes	mitigation						
NAB	Herbert Well Line	2018-00049	Yes	10290704	ES829-128	12/13/2018	5/4/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a					
NAB	Anadarko Chapman Pad B GL	2018-00898	Yes		E429-106	11/6/2018	10/25/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	no	no	No	Yes	no	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.97	317	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a			
NAB	Gettysburg Commons Property	2005-02263	No		GP070117102	11/1/2018	12/28/2017	residential subdivision	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	0.25	1140	PRM	0.35	n/a	Yes	mitigation and ensure no more than minimal					
NAB	Murley Wetland Project	2008-01268	No		EA05-012	11/29/2018	7/30/16 and 1/22/18	denied water quality and denied without prejudice	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.21		no	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a					
NAB	Fayetteville Interceptor	2015-01616	Yes	9395721	E28-382	File not located	8/30/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a					
NAB	Fayetteville Interceptor	2015-01616	Yes	9706971	E28-382	File not located	8/30/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a					
NAB	Fayetteville Interceptor	2015-01616	Yes	9706983	E28-382	File not located	8/30/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a					
NAB	Fayetteville Interceptor	2015-01616	Yes	9707007	E28-382	File not located	8/30/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a					
NAB	Big Cove Creek	2017-00327	No		EA29-001	10/2/2018	10/4/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	700	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	Gettysburg Culvert Replacement	2017-00510	No		n/a	3/23/2018	8/2/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	no	Yes	N/a	n/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	22	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 2009 over East Branch Hammer Creek	2018-00321	No		E38-204	2/27/2019	2/21/2018	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408 2 lpcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	77	100	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	PA DOT - SR 0097 over Alloway Creek-JV 223-P-3	2017-00055	No		unknown	2/27/2019	5/15/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	no	n/a	no	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.005	0.01	200	332	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Jay Township / Brown's Run Stream Re-establishment Project	2017-00367	No		E01-321	2/27/2019	5/22/2017	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408 2 lpcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	50	105	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - SR 1021 over Conestoga River P-3 JV 263	2018-00260-p07	No		GP113617131	2/26/2019	3/16/2018	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408 2 lpcrossings.	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	52	81	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DOT - S.R. 1025 over East Branch Briar Creek	2017-01721-p07	No		GP111917505	2/26/2019	12/4/2017	exceeds thresholds	no	below threshold	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	no	no	no	no	n/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	85	235	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Little Elk Pipeline	2008-00777	Yes	9624929	GP05582916001 & GP08582916001	11/17/2018	7/8/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	no	no	n/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	100	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Little Elk Pipeline	2008-00777	Yes	9624904	GP05582916001 & GP08582916001	11/17/2018	7/8/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	no	no	n/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	51	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a		

Baltimore District - Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix 6)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one RIGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	ORM Unique Identifier	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Reason For Reporting	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	Section 106 MCA Required	Agency Recommendations Submitted	Corps Memorandum for Record included?	Is the State Authorization included in the file?	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation Type: (Permitte Responsible ? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland [ac])? (Stream [ft])?	Monitoring Reports in file?	Was a conservation instrument required by the Corps?	If Yes, the conservation instrument was for mitigation? To ensure no more than minimal impacts? Other?	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956858	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	41	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a		
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956864	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.18	0	0	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956868	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.17	0	0	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956922	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.17	0	0	269	no	Yes	PRM	0.17	Yes	Yes	mitigation	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956934	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.21	0	0	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956940	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	121	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956964	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	279	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9956970	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	483	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9957015	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	78	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9957036	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.02	0	0	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA MAINLINE	2009-01676	Yes	9957060	ES829-089	11/1/2018	6/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	65	no	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Wright Well Connect	2009-01676	Yes	9684828	ES8-29-108	11/1/2018	8/29/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	no	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.1	0	34	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9759073	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.036	0	0	0	No	Yes	PRM	0.16	Yes	Yes	mitigation	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9904882	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.01	0	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9904886	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.35	0.11	0	214	Yes	Yes	PRM	0.16	Yes	Yes	mitigation	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9904902	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	167	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9904906	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0	0	217	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9904954	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.49	0	0	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9904958	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.07	0	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Owego South and Loomis Pipeline	2016-01123	Yes	9904962	ES829-104	11/1/2018	4/28/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.25	0	0	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DUJ - SR 2017, Section A05 - Bridge Replacement over Cocolamus Creek	2016-01366	No	E34-137	E34-137	3/11/2019	12/21/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.01	0.02	280	73	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	PA DUJ - SR 9715, Section 03 - Bridge Replacement over the Rastown Branch of the	2016-01543	No	E05-389	E05-389	3/6/2019	1/11/2016	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.018	0.079	50	255	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	Montrose Hillbillies Mitigation Site	2017-01104	No	E5829-002; E6629-001; E4029-001	E5829-002; E6629-001; E4029-001	11/1/2018	11/7/2017	non-compliance of previous authorized	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.04	0.22	740	0	Yes	no	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	
NAB	EOG Resources, Incorporated - Burlington Pipeline	2013-00293	No	9710544	GP03082916001, GP05082916001, GP07082916001, GP08082916001	11/1/2018	9/16/2016	non-compliance of previous authorized	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0	0.28	0	0	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a

Baltimore District - Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix 6)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one IGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	ORM Unique Identifier	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Reason For Reporting	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects identified on the Table?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	Section 106 NDA Required	Agency Recommendations Submitted	Corps Memorandum for Record included?	Is the State Authorization included in the file?	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments :	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application ?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument ?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation Type: (Permitte Responsible ? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland [ac])? (Stream [ft])?	Monitoring Reports in file?	Was a conservation instrument required by the Corps?	If Yes, the conservation instrument was for mitigation? To ensure no more than minimal impacts? Other?
NAB	Texas Eastern Transmission, LP - Groff Road Repair Site	2016-01491	No		EP3816105	11/1/2018	10/14/2016	ESA, Sect 106, Sec 408 2 Ipcrossings	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	no	Yes	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a		N/a	0	0.038	0	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Jay Township - Brown's Run Stream Re-establishment	2017-00376	No		EA24-055	11/1/2018	10/10/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	no	n/a	Yes	n/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a		N/a	0	0	740	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	PA DOT - SR 322, Section B06, Pottery Mill Gap Project	2013-00937	Yes	10244086	E14-579	3/20/2019	1/5/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/a	no	N/a	n/a	N/a		N/a	0	0	124	0	No	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	PA DOT - SR 0035, Section A07 Bridge Replacement over Cocolamus Creek	2018-00045	No	n	GP113417115	3/19/2019	2/2/2018	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/a	no	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	N/a	Yes	no	no	Yes	no	n/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a		N/a	0.045	0.355	248	123	Yes	No	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a
NAB	Jay Township - Brown's Run Stream Re-establishment	2017-00376	No	N/A	EA24-055	11/1/2018	10/10/2017	exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/a	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/a	0	0	740	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NAB	TRIAD Expansion Project	2015-00932	No	N/A	E58-313	3/19/2109	12/7/2016	Exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/a	0	0.07	0	142	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NAB	PA DOT - SR 2018 Bridge Replacement over Pine Creek	2016-01065	No	N/A	E14-569	3/21/2019	7/26/2017	Historic Properties	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/a	0	0	114	16	No	No	N/a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NAB	2016-1244 PA DOT-SR 0022, Section 0034, Canoe Camp Creek Intersection Improvement Project	2016-1244	No	N/A	E07-463	3/20/2019	9/20/2016	Exceeds thresholds	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/a	0.008	0.03	884	282	No	Yes	PRM	627 linear feet stream mitigation	N/a	No	N/A

Yes	127	112	124	124	124	124	122	106	124	7	119	4	59	117	112	80	0	2	2	0	2		0														41	10	0	0	5	10	0		
No	1	12					2	4	0	1	2	120	65	7	11	33	4	122	0	2	0		2																87	118	0	0	0	1	0
N/a		4	4	4	4	4	4	18	4	120	7	4	4	4	5	15	124	4	126	126	126		126															0	0	118	118	123	117	119	

Wetland Impact (Acres)	7.68
Stream Impact (Linear Feet)	17,573
Wetland Mitigation (Acres)	1.035

Baltimore PASPGP-5 Reporting Compliance Inspections (Appendix 7)

ID	Corps District	ORM File Number	Date Corps Permit Issued	Field Inspection Date	PADEP Permit Number	Reporting Action?	Project Name	Site Contact Info	Was the site located?	Authorized Work	Authorized Work: Is it in compliance with authorization?	If no, explain	Non-Compliant: Does it still meet its Category of activity?	Are the special conditions written clearly & enforceable?	Describe as-built/work	No, explain	Is mitigation required?	Permittee Responsible or Mitigation Bank	Mitigation Type	Required Stream (linear feet)	Required Wetland (acres)	Mitigation Work Started?	Mitigation Completed?	Observed	Observed Stream	Observed Wetland	Is the work compliant with the approved mitigation plan?	Monitoring Year	Stream Mitigation Observed	Site Protection Instrument?	Wetland Mitigation Observed	Instrument Conditions Followed?	Is further inspection required?	
	NAB	2005-02263-P06	12/28/2017	11/1/2018	GP070117102	Yes	Gettysburg Commons	Sharrah Design Inc	Yes	started	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	no work in aquatic resource	N/a	Yes	PRM	wetland and stream	1140	0.35	no	no	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Yes
	NAB	2008-01268	1/22/2018	11/29/2018	EA05-012	Yes	Murley Wetland Project	NRCS	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
	NAB	2015-01616	8/30/2016	10/2/2018	E28-382	Yes	Fayetteville Interceptor	Gannett Fleming Inc	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
	NAB	2015-01616	8/30/2016	10/2/2018	E28-382	Yes	Fayetteville Interceptor	Gannett Fleming Inc	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No
	NAB	2015-01616	8/30/2016	10/2/2018	E28-382	Yes	Fayetteville Interceptor	Gannett Fleming Inc	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
	NAB	2015-01616	8/30/2016	10/2/2018	E28-382	Yes	Fayetteville Interceptor	Gannett Fleming Inc	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No
	NAB	2017-00327	10/4/2017	10/2/2018	EA29-001	Yes	Big Cove Creek	Fulton County Conservation Dist	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No
	NAB	2017-00510	8/2/2017	3/23/2018	n/a	Yes	Gettysburg Cross Ave Culvert Reapalcement	National Park Service	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
	NAB	2018-00260	3/16/2018	1/11/2019	GP113617131	Yes	PA DOT - SR 1021 over Conestoga River P-3 JV 263	Plenary Walsh	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
	NAB	2017-01721	12/4/2017	8/16/2018	GP111917505	Yes	PA DOT - S.R. 1025 over East Branch Briar Creek	PADOT Dist 3-0	Yes	started	Yes	N/a	N/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9624929	NAB	2008-00777	7/8/2016	2/26/2019	GP05582916001 & GP08582916001	Yes	Little Elk Pipeline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9624904	NAB	2008-00777	7/8/2016	2/26/2019	GP05582916001 & GP08582916001	Yes	Little Elk Pipeline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9956858	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9956864	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9956868	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9956922	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	PRM	wetland	N/a	0.17	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	N/a	yes	1	N/a	Yes	no	yes	No	
9956934	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9956940	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9956964	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9956970	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9957015	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9957036	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9957060	NAB	2009-01676	6/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-089	Yes	PA Mainline	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9684828	NAB	2009-01676	8/29/2016	2/26/2019	E5829-108	Yes	Wright Well Connect	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
9759073	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	PRM	wetland	N/a	0.16	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	N/a	yes	1	N/a	Yes	no	Yes	No	

Baltimore PASPGP-5 Reporting Compliance Inspections (Appendix 7)

ID	Corps District	ORM File Number	Date Corps Permit Issued	Field Inspection Date	PADEP Permit Number	Reporting Action?	Project Name	Site Contact Info	Was the site located?	Authorized Work	Authorized Work: Is it in compliance with authorization?	If no, explain	Non-Compliant: Does it still meet its Category of activity?	Are the special conditions written clearly & enforceable?	Describe as-built/work	No, explain	Is mitigation required?	Permittee Responsible or Mitigation Bank	Mitigation Type	Required Stream (linear feet)	Required Wetland (acres)	Mitigation Work Started?	Mitigation Completed?	Observed	Observed Stream	Observed Wetland	Is the work compliant with the approved mitigation plan?	Monitoring Year	Stream Mitigation Observed	Site Protection Instrument?	Wetland Mitigation Observed	Instrument Conditions Followed?	Is further inspection required?	
9904882	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No
9904886	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	PRM	wetland	N/a	0.16	Yes	Yes	no	N/a	N/a	yes	1	N/a	Yes	no	Yes	No	
9904902	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
9904906	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
9904954	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
9904958	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
9904962	NAB	2016-01123	4/28/2017	2/26/2019	E5829-104	Yes	Owego South and Loomis PL	Williams Service Co LLC	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
	NAB	2016-01366	12/21/2016	3/7/2019	E34-137	Yes	PA DOT - SR 2017, Section A05 - Bridge	PADOT Dist 2-0 70 PenNDOT Drive PO Box 342 Clearfield PA	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
	NAB	2016-01543	1/11/2016	3/5/2019	E05-389	Yes	PA DOT - SR 915, Section 03B - Bridge	PADOT Dist 9-0	Yes	started	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
	NAB	2017-01104	11/1/2018	8/28/2018	E5829-002; E6629-001; E4029-001	Yes	Montrose Hillbillies Mitigation Site	WHM Consulting Inc	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
	NAB	2017-00055	5/15/2017	3/14/2019		Yes	PA DOT - SR 0097 over Alloway Creek JV 223-P-3	Plenary Walsh Keystone	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Remove and replace an	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	
	NAB	2017-00367	5/22/2017	3/14/2019	E01-321	Yes	PA DOT - SR 234 over Conewago Creek JV 226 P-3	Plenary Keystone Walsh Partners/PennDOT	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Box culvert installation to replace	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	
	NAB	2013-00937	1/5/2018	3/18/2019	E14-579	Yes	PA DOT - SR 322, Section B06, Potter Mill Gap	PADOT Dist 2-0 70 PenNDOT Drive PO Box 342 Clearfield PA	Yes	not started	N/a	N/a	N/a	Yes	n/a	n/a	no	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Yes		
	NAB	2018-0045	2/2/2018	3/15/2019	GP113417115	Yes	PA DOT - SR 0035, Section A07 Bridge	PADOT Dist 2-0 70 PenNDOT Drive PO Box 342 Clearfield PA	Yes	started	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Yes	
	NAB	2017-00376	10/10/2017	3/2/2019	EA24-055	Yes	Brown's Run Stream Re-establishment	Jay Township	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/a	N/a	Yes	N/a	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	No	
	NAB	2017-00367	5/22/2017	3/14/2019	E01-321	Yes	PA DOT - SR 234 over Conewago Creek	Plenary Walsh Keystone	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Box culvert installation to replace	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	
	NAB	2018-00321	2/21/2018	3/14/2019	E38-204	Yes	SR 2009 Market Street Bridge Replacement of	PADOT Dist 8-0	Yes	not started	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes		
	NAB	2015-000932	12/7/2016	3/19/2019	E58-313	Yes	TRIAD Expansion Project	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	Yes	Completed	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Installation of a 36 inch	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	
	NAB	2016-01605	8/22/2016	7/26/2016	E14-569	Yes	PA DOT - SR 2018 Bridge Replacement over	PADOT Dist 2-0	Yes	Started	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Bridge replacement	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
	NAB	2016-01244	9/20/2016	9/20/2016	E07-463	Yes	PA DOT - SR 0022, Section 034 - Canoe Camp Creek	PADOT 9-0	Yes	Started	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Upgrade the existing	N/A	Yes	Permittee Responsible	Stream	627	0	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	Unknown at this time	1	No	No	N/A	N/A	No	

Yes	110	102	110	106	9	5	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	4							
No		8			70		3	4						71							
N/a			110	110	4	109	108	70	73	75	70	70	69	75	73	73	73	75	74	73	74

Baltimore District - Compliance Field Review Summary Table (Appendix 7)

Table with 28 columns: Corps District, ODM File Number, Date Corps Permit Issued, Field Inspection Date, PAPER Permit Number, PSP/NSFP, Project Name, Site Contact Info, Was the site located?, Authorized Work, Authorized Work is in compliance with authorization?, If not, explain, Non-Compliant: Does it still meet its Category of activity?, Are the special conditions written clearly & enforceable?, Describe any Self/Work, No, explain, Is mitigation required?, Permittee Responsible or Mitigation Bank, Mitigation Type, Required Stream (linear feet), Required Wetland (acres), Mitigation Work Started?, Mitigation Completed?, Observed, Observed Stream, Observed Wetland, Is the work compliant with the approved mitigation plan?, Monitoring Year, Stream Mitigation Observed, Site Protection Instrument?, Wetland Mitigation Observed, Instrument Conditions Followed?, Is further inspection required?

Baltimore District - Compliance Field Review Summary Table (Appendix 7)

Corps District	CRM File Number	Date Corps Permit Issued	Field Inspection Date	PADEP Permit Number	PDP/NDP?	Project Name	Site Contact Info	Was the site located?	Authorized Work	Authorized Work: Is it in compliance with authorization?	If no, explain	Non-Compliant: Does it fall meet its Category of activity?	Are the special conditions written clearly & enforceable?	Describe as built/work	No, explain	Is mitigation required?	Permittee Responsible or Mitigation Bank	Mitigation Type	Required Stream (linear feet)	Required Wetland (acres)	Mitigation Work Started?	Mitigation Completed?	Observed	Observed Stream	Observed Wetland	Is the work compliant with the approved mitigation plan?	Monitoring Year	Stream Mitigation Observed	Site Protection Instrument?	Wetland Mitigation Observed	Instrument Conditions Followed?	Is further inspection required?	
								1		2	30	24	30			22	33	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75

Authorized Work	Completed	36
	Not Started	0
	Not Completed	0

Baltimore District Mitigation by Permit Type (Appendix - 8)

Action Typ	PNN	Times Issued	Action Comp	Mit Rqd
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-1 GP-1: Fish Habitat Enhancement Structures	81	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-10 GP-11: Maintenance, Testing, Repair, Rehabilitation, and Replacement of Water Obstructions and	831	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-11 GP 15: Private Residential Construction in Wetlands	2	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-12 Waiver 1 - Instream Dams 3 Feet High/50 Feet Wide	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-13 Waiver 2 - Instream Obstructions /Drainage Area <=/=100 Acres	9	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-17 Waiver 10 - Navigational Aids	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-19 Waiver 14 - Artificial Ponds and Reservoir Maintenance	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-2 GP-2: Small Docks and Boat Launching Ramps	50	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-20 A Waiver 16 - PADEP BAMR approved and/or sponsored restoration activities	3	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-20-B Waiver 16 - Other Restoration Activities	2	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-21 PADEP Individual Permits Numbered E-999X	305	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-22 PADEP Emergency Permits	170	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-23 PADEP Letter of Authorization Normal Maintenance and Repair of an Existing Dam	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-24 25 PA Code 105.12(b)(1-7) Existing Structures or Activities Competed prior to 7/1/1979	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-25 25 PA Code 105.12(c)/24 A-G Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring of Structures completed pr	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-C Waiver 3 Aerial Crossing	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-F-1 Waiver Letter of Maintenance for Channel Cleaning at Bridges and Culverts	1	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-F-2 Waiver Letter of Maintenance for Bridge and Culvert Repair	3	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-27 Activities Related to Residential, Commercial and institutional Developments	6	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-28 PADEP Maintenance Authorization	18	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-A Published in PA Bulletin: PADEP IP's WQC, Small Projects, Dam Permit's, and EA's	74	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-B Waiver 11 Published in the PA Bulletin: Removal of Abandoned Dams, Water Obstructions, and I	4	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-3GP-3 Bank Rehabilitation, Bank Protection, and Gravel Bar Removal	241	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-4 GP-4 Intake and Outfall Structures	112	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-5 GP-5 Utility Line Stream Crossing	396	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-6 GP-6 Agricultural Crossing and Ramps	62	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-7 GP-7 Road Crossing	207	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-8 GP-8 Temporary Road Crossings	115	0	
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-9 GP-9 Agricultural Activities	7	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-1 Activities Normally Reviewed as Non-Reporting	96	4	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-10 Activities Requiring an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)	13	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-13 Coal and Non-Coal Mining Activities: PADEP Waiver 4 & GP-101 and 102	3	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-15 Activities Affecting Corps Civil Works Projects, or Corps Property	2	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-16 Reasonably Related Applications	397	11	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-18 Temporary Impacts in place for Greater Than One Year	3	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-A Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Impacts Exceeding 0.50 Acre	213	11	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-B Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: 250 Linear Feet of Permanent Impacts to Waterways	112	6	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-C Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Permanent Conversion Exceeding 0.10 acre of PFO and/or PSS	200	43	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-3-A Single and Complete Utility Line Crossings Exceeding 500 Linear Feet	20	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-4 Single and Complete Projects with Previous Federal Authorization	108	30	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-A Activities Which May Affect T or E Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the ESA (Lis	2	1	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-B Activities Which May Affect T or E Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the ESA (Bo	3	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-C Activities Which May Affect T or E Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the ESA (PN	1	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-6 105.131(c) - Maintenance of Reservoirs of Jurisdictional Dams	5	0	
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-7 NHPA Section 106 - Historic Properties	17	2	

RGP PASPGP5 RA-9 Residential, Commercial and Institutional . Developments W/O Protective Covenant >0.25 ac

7

2

Philadelphia District - Non-Reporting File Inspection Summary Table (Appendix 9)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Acres Impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation on type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acres (Wetland (lf))?	
NAP	Spectra Energy Texas Eastern Transmission LP	2017-00464	No	EP0916316 & EP0916317	25-Oct-18	20-Sep-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Yes	No	NA	No	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.001	0	NA	NA	60+AD26A C2:AH24A AD2:AH26	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	Ralph Dech Temporary Steel Bridge NO	2018-00443	No	GP084816404	25-Oct-18	1-Aug-16	PADEP Northeast	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.036	0	NA	NA	20	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	WA PADOT 4-0 SR 0170 Segment 0080 Offset	2017-00749	No	E35-9999	1-Nov-18	14-Nov-17	PADEP Northeast	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	No	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Unknown	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Milestone Road Bridge BT BE	2015-00920	No	EP0616104	2-Nov-18	9-Sep-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Specific impacts unknown	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.01	0	NA	27	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Upper Dublin Twp. Scour Hole Repair	2017-00535	No	GP114616310	28-Nov-18	8-Sep-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.02	0	NA	NA	70	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	Penn Water Authority New Britain 30" Transmission Main Tie-In Chalfont	2018-00465	No	GP050916307, GP080916306	3-Dec-18	14-Jul-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.01	0	NA	NA	8	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	Gregory Gerhardt Dwelling BU	2017-00376	No	GP040916307	25-Oct-18	8-Sep-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00002	0	NA	NA	0.125	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	Mandracchia Shoreline Stabilization WA	2017-00420	No	GP036416409	25-Oct-18	21-Sep-16	Wayne County Conservation District	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Unknown	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Nurseries Quarry Rd. Utility Line Stream Crossing BU	2017-00380	No	GP050916311	25-Oct-18	7-Sep-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0002	0	NA	20	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA

Philadelphia District - Non-Reporting File Inspection Summary Table (Appendix 9)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Acreage Impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation on type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (lf))?
NAP	Energy Co. Lenape Road & Water Glen Utility Stream Crossing	2017-00283	No	GP051516319	25-Oct-18	31 Sep 2016	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0003	0	NA	20	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Hideout Sanitary Sewer Water Main Ariel Creek	2018-00532	No	GP056416404	25-Oct-18	23-Nov-16	Wayne County Conservation District	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.01	0	NA	NA	30.5	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	05061 13M Betterment Project BE	2017-00746	No	GP040617006	1-Nov-18	7-Nov-17	Berks County Conservation District	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.007	0	NA	22	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	McElwee Shoreline Protection WA	2018-00101	No	GP036417403	1-Nov-18	12-Dec-17	Wayne County Conservation District	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.03	0	NA	12	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	OSC SR E Market Str Superstructure Replace over Mahony Creek	2018-00265	No	Unknown	1-Nov-18	Unknown	PADEP Northeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.09	0	NA	496	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Johnny Jake Corp. Culvert Replacement Minister Creek	2018-00581	No	GP114618301	1-Nov-18	5-Mar-18	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.02	0	NA	NA	52	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Earl Township Blackberry Road Culvert Replacement BE	2017-00720	No	GP110616130	25-Oct-18	10-Mar-17	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.02	0	NA	NA	80	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Helen Kuzmack Lake Steps WA	2018-00384	No	GP036417408	3-Dec-18	4-Oct-17	Wayne County Conservation District	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00001	0	NA	4	NA	No	No	NA	NA

Philadelphia District - Non-Reporting File Inspection Summary Table (Appendix 9)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Acreage Impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation on type: (Permitte Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (lf))?
NAP	Brodhead Creek Lower Pool and Bridge	2016-00735	No	GP014515403	3-Dec-18	18-Feb-16	Monroe County Conservation District	No	Impacts are over 0.5 acres of stream impacts, should be reporting	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.52	0	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Werley Road Culvert Replacement LH	2016-00785	No	E39-537	3-Dec-18	10-Mar-16	PADEP Northeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.001	0	NA	24	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	FDEV Subdivision MO	2016-00758	No	6307, GP074616303	3-Dec-18	29-Apr-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	Yes	No	No	No	No Corps Review	No	Unknown	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	Township Old Willow Avenue Bridge Replacement	2018-00652	No	GP114516403	3-Dec-18	19-Sep-16	PADEP Northeast	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.03	0	NA	93	60	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	0 SR 4007 Seg. 0100 Off 0570 Section DF-1 WA	2017-00244	No	EP6417402	3-Dec-18	25-May-17	Wayne County Conservation District	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	No	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.04	0	NA	190	NA	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	Branch Sanitary Sewer Intercept or Upgrade Project MO	2015-1125	No	Unknown	3-Dec-18	29-Apr-16	PADEP Southeast	Yes		No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	NA	No	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	Unknown	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA
NAP	5.0 SR 3003 01B Silver Spring Blvd. over Chapple Creek	2018-00545	No	Unknown	1-Nov-18	Unknown	Unknown	Yes		No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	No	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.008	0	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA	
NAP	Semon Shoreline Wood Tie Repairs WA	2018-00414	No	GP036416413	3-Dec-18	30-Nov-16	Wayne County Conservation District	Yes		No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	NA	No	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0003	0	NA	32	0	No	No	NA	NA	

Yes	0	14	22	23	22	23	15	1	18	22	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.85383	0	0	940	320.625	0	0	0	0	
No	25	11	3	2	3	2	10	0	7	3	3	3	3	0	24	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	18	21	22	24	0	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	25

Wetland Impacts (Acres)	0
Stream Impacts (linear feet)	1260.63

Philadelphia District - Non-Reporting File Inspection Summary Table (Appendix 9)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded Instrument in the file?	Acres Impacted	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permittee Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acres (Stream (lf))?
Total Impacts (Acres)				0.85383																																	

Philadelphia District - Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix 10)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	ORM Unique Identifier	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Reason For Reporting	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	Section 106 MOA Required	Agency Recommendations Submitted	Corps Memorandum for Record Included?	Is the State Authorization included in the file?	PADEP Record of Decision	Project: Residential, Commercial or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (acre or square feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permitte ? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (ft))?	Monitoring Reports in file?	Was a conservation instrument required by the Corps?	If Yes, the conservation instrument was for: mitigation? To ensure no more than minimal impacts? Other?		
NAP	Skippack Township Sewer Replacement	2014-00100	YES	9809143	GP03-461; GP04 461-53-02; GP05 461-53-05; GP08 461-53-01	4-Oct-18	24-Jan-18	Exceeded Reporting Threshold	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0.05	0.184	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
NAP	Skippack Township Sewer Replacement	2014-00100	YES	9809251	GP03-461; GP04 461-53-02; GP05 461-53-05; GP08 461-53-01	4-Oct-18	24-Jan-18	Exceeded Reporting Threshold	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0.009	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	Skippack Township Sewer Replacement	2014-00100	YES	9809287	GP03-461; GP04 461-53-02; GP05 461-53-05; GP08 461-53-01	4-Oct-18	24-Jan-18	Exceeded Reporting Threshold	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0.003	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	Birdsboro Power LLC	2016-1011	Yes	10300955	E06-716	11-Oct-18	8-Mar-18	Discharge of fill in regulated waterway	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0.00218	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	Birdsboro Power LLC	2016-1011	YES	10300373	E06-716+OF6:AJ6	11-Oct-18	8-Mar-18	Discharge of fill in regulated waterway	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		0	0.00188	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	Energy Midstream Appalachia 14 Mile Birdsboro Line	2016-1085	Yes	10317097	E06-716+OF6:AJ6	11-Oct-18	8-Mar-18	Discharge of fill in regulated waterway	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		0	0.00029	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NAP	Energy Midstream Appalachia 14 Mile Birdsboro Line	2016-1085	YES	10310625	E06-716	11-Oct-18	27-Apr-18	Historic Properties and wetland conversion	Yes		No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0.01033	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	PA Trunpike Commission I-76 MP 320 to MP 326 CH	2010-0903-45	No	9719058	Not Found	8-Nov-18	Unknown	Unknown	No	determined due to lack of relevant information found in I-Drive and ORM	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		0.013	0.004	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
NAP	PADOT 5.0 SR2026 Section 018 H	2011-01532	No	9501246	GP04-3916401; E39-9999	4-Oct-18	25-Jul-16	Corps Review Requested	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		0.01	0.02	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
NAP	Wernersville State Hospital Sewer Crossing	2014-00022	No	10102402	GP-11-06-11-126	5-Oct-18	22-Sep-17	Unknown	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		0	0.01033	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	PADOT 5.0 SR 2003 Section Kemmertown Road over Cherry Creek	2013-00511-75	No	9519412	GP114516406	5-Oct-18	31-Jan-17	Cat 3; insufficient information provided	No	insufficient information	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0000066	0.00136	0.0238	0.0013	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	PADOT 6.0 SR 0422 Section MLC US-422 Reconstruction	2014-00218	No	9696718	E46-1143	4-Oct-18	28-Apr-17	No Cover Sheet provided/ Reporting due to impacts	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.02	0	0.05	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	Wall Street Over Schuylkill River BE	2015-00259	No	9549231	E06-708	4-Oct-18	24-Oct-17	Impact greater than 0.5 acre.	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		0.1447	0.54547	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
NAP	PA Game Commission SGL205 Pond Removal	2016-00249	No	9511689	D39-000 SGL #205	5-Oct-18	3-Aug-16	Cat 3; (14) Waiver 2	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		0.02	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Philadelphia District - Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix 10)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	ORM Unique Identifier	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Reason For Reporting	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	Section 106 MOA Required	Agency Recommendations Submitted	Corps Memorandum for Record Included?	Is the State Authorization included in the file?	PADEP Record of Decision	Project: Residential, Commercial or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirements for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	Is a copy of the recorded instrument in the file?	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (acre or square feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permitted? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (lf))?	Monitoring Reports in file?	Was a conservation instrument required by the Corps?	If Yes, the conservation instrument was for: mitigation? To ensure no more than minimal impacts? Other?
NAP	Eastern Shore Natural Gas Expansion Project CH	2016-00886	No	10245128	E15-883; EA15-017	4-Oct-18	24-Jan-18	Exceeds thresholds	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0.04	0	0.01893	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NAP	Spectra Energy Partners Texas Eastern Pipeline Row Lines	2016-00987	No	9732123	GP110916316; GP080916307	4-Oct-18	7-Mar-17	Bog Turtle Potential; Exceeds threshold	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	N/A	0	0.03948	0	0.07924	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NAP	Malvern School Stormwater Repairs Multipoint Athletic Court	2017-00204	No	9926353	GP042317302	4-Oct-18	11-May-17	No restrictive Covenant	No	The Delaware County Conservation District sent the file reporting due to a lack of deed restriction for	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0.00057	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NAP	Wissahickon Valley Watershed Association Stream Restoration MO	2017-00251	No	10046246	E46-1153	4-Oct-18	29-Aug-17	Exceeded Reporting Threshold	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	0	0	0.95	0	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Yes			7						15		7	16	17	18	12	9	18	9	14	0	11	16	16	10	1	0	0	1	0							0	0			
No			11						3		11	2	1	0	6	1	0	2	4	17	7	2	2	3	17	1	1	0	0									18	18	
N/A			0						0		0	0	0	0	0	8	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	17	17	17	18										0	0

Total Wetland Impact (Acre)	0.17515
Total Stream Impact (Acre)	2.15173

Philadelphia District - Compliance Field Review Summary Table (Appendix 11)

Corps District	ORM File Number	ORM ID	Date Corps Permit Issued	Field Inspection Date	PADEP Permit Number	Reporting Action?	Project Name	Site Contact Info	Was the site located?	Authorized Work	Authorized Work: Is it in compliance with authorization?	If no, explain	Non-Compliant: Does it still meet its Category of activity?	Are the special conditions written clearly & enforceable?	No, explain	Describe as-built/work	Is mitigation required?	Permittee Responsible or Mitigation Bank	Mitigation Type	Required Stream (linear feet)	Required Wetland (acres)	Mitigation Work Started?	Mitigation Completed?	Observed	Observed Stream	Observed Wetland	Is the work compliant with the approved mitigation plan?	Monitoring Year	Stream Mitigation Observed	Site Protection Instrument?	Wetland Mitigation Observed	Instrument Conditions Followed?	Is further inspection required?
NAP	2011-01532	9501246	25-Jul-16	2/14/2019	Gp043916401; E399999	Yes	SR 2026 over trib. To Saucon Creek	Chrsitopher J. Kufro 610-798-4138	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Bridge Restoration	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2016-00249	9511689	8/3/2016	2/14/2019	D39-000 SGL#205	Yes	PA Game Commission 205 Pond Removal	Robert Schaffer 717-787-6957	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dam Removal	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2013-00511	9519412	12/9/2017	2/14/2019	GP114516406	Yes	SR 2003 Sec. 01B Kemmertown Road Bridge	Gary J. Calotta 610-871-4596	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Replace bridge structure	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2015-0259	9549231	UNKNOWN	2/8/2019	E06-708	Yes	Wall Street over Schuylkill River BE	Imtiaz Nathaniel 610-871-4564	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	new structure and kayak launch	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2014-00022	10102402	9/26/2017	2/7/2019	GP110611126	Yes	Wernersville State Hospital Mill Dam Removal	Alfred Benesch 570-622-4055	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Sewer Line replacement	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2016-1011	10300955; 10300373	3/9/2018	2/11/2019	E06-716	Yes	Birdsboro Power LLC	James Ryan jrryan@ppmsllc.com	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	construct new transmission lines	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2016-1085	10310625; 10317097	4/27/2018	2/8/2019	E06-717	Yes	Appalachia 14 mile Birdsboro Line	Paul Corrigan 724-916-4477	Yes	Ongoing	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	install 14 mile of natural gas pipeline	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2016-00987	9732123	3/10/2017	1/11/2019	GP080916307; GP110916316	Yes	Texas Eastern Pipeline Rown Lines	Andrew P. Sajban 610-458-1722	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	protection crossing wetlands	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2017-00204	9926353	5/11/2017	2/12/2019	GP042317302	Yes	Malvern School Storm Water Repairs	Matt Cook 610-558-3881	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	modify existing storm water outfall strcuture in and along Chester Creek	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2016-00886	10245128	1/24/2018	2/11/2019	E15-883; EA15-017	Yes	Eastern Shore Natural Gas Expansion	Mark Parker 302-735-5601	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Jennersville Loop consisting of 7.3 miles of 24" pipe	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
NAP	2014-00218	9696718	4/28/2017	2/13/2019	E46-1143	Yes	M2C US 422 Expressway Reconstruction MO	David Fischer 610-205-6851	Yes	Completed	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	US 422 Expressway Reconstruction	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No

Yes	11					26			25	0	1	0	0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
No	15					0			1	0	0	0	0				26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
NA	0					0			0	25	25	26	26				0	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	1	

Authorized Work	Completed	24
	Ongoing	2

Philadelphia District Mitigation by Permit Type (Appendix - 12)

Action Type	PNN	Times Issued	Action Comp Mit Rqd
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-1Fish Habitat Enhancement Structures: PADEP GP-1	3	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-10Maintenance, Testing, Repair, Rehabilitation, and Replacement of Water Obstructions and Encroachments: GP-11	88	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-11Private Residential Construction in Wetlands: PADEP-15	37	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-13Activities Waived at 25 PA Code Â§ 105.12(a)(2) - Water Obstructions in a Stream or Floodway With a Drainage Area of 100 Acres or Less: PADEP Waiver 2	2	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-2Small Docks and Boat Launching Ramps: PADEP GP-2	19	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-21PADEP Individual Permits Numbered E-999X	11	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-22Emergency Activities: PADEP Emergency Permits	24	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-23Normal Maintenance and Repair of an Existing Dam: PADEP Letter of Authorization	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-25Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring of Structures and Activities listed in 24 A-G completed prior to July 1, 1979: Activities authorized pursuant to 25 PA Code Â§105.12(c)	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-BMiscellaneous Activities: Abandoned Mines: PADEP Waiver 15	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-F2Miscellaneous Activities: Waiver Letter of Maintenance for Bridge and Culvert Repair	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-27Activities Related to Residential, Commercial and Institutional Developments	3	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-28Maintenance: PADEP Authorization	5	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-APADEP Chapter 105 Activities Published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin: Activities Requiring Pennsylvania State Permits or Approvals: PADEP IP's, Small Projects, Dam Permit's, EA's, and request for State Water Quality Certification	2	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-BPADEP Chapter 105 Activities Published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin: The Removal of Abandoned Dams, Water Obstructions, and Encroachments: PADEP Waiver 11	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-CPADEP Chapter 105 Activities Published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin: Restoration Activities: Waiver 16	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-3Bank Rehabilitation, Bank Protection, and Gravel Bar Removal: PADEP GP-3	46	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-30Grandfathered Activities	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-4Intake and Outfall Structures: PADEP GP-4	34	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-5Utility Line Stream Crossing: PADEP GP-5	117	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-6Agricultural Crossings and Ramps: PADEP GP-6	6	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-7Road Crossings: PADEP GP-7	38	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-8Temporary Road Crossings: PADEP GP-8	23	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-9Agricultural Activities: PADEP GP-9	1	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-1Activities Normally Reviewed as Non-Reporting	17	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-15Activities Affecting Corps Civil Works Projects, or Corps Property	1	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-AAActivities Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Impacts Exceeding 0.50 Acre	6	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-BActivities Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Linear Projects within Streams, Rivers, or other watercourses excluding wetland Exceeding 250 Linear Feet of Permanent Impacts	23	8
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-CActivities Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Permanent Conversion of Forested and/or Scrub-Shrub Wetlands Exceeding 0.10 acre	11	5
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-DActivities Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Compensatory Mitigation Combined Impacts Exceeding PASPGP-5 Eligibility	6	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-4Single and Complete Projects with Previous Federal Authorization	1	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-AActivities Which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.): Activities or Projects Proposed in the Listed Mussel or Fish Waterways	5	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-BActivities Which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.): Activities or Proposed Projects that Require a Screening in Bog Turtle Counties	15	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-CActivities Which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.): Activities or Proposed Projects that Identify a PNDI Conflict or Avoidance Measures	5	0

Philadelphia District Mitigation by Permit Type (Appendix - 12)

Action Type	PNN	Times Issued	Action Comp Mit Rqd
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-DActivities Which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.): Activities or Proposed Projects that cannot comply with General Condition 35: Atlantic and Shortnose Sturgeon	2	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-6Activities Authorized at 25 PA Code Â§ 105.131(c) - Maintenance of Reservoirs of Jurisdictional Dams	3	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-7Activities Potentially Affecting Historic Properties - Section 106 NHPA	39	1
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-8-EActivities Potentially Affecting National Wild or Scenic Rivers: Lower Delaware River	1	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-8-FActivities Potentially Affecting National Wild or Scenic Rivers: White Clay Creek	15	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-9Activities Related to Residential, Commercial and Institutional Developments	35	7

Pittsburgh District - Non Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix - 13)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded Instrument in the file?	Acree Impact	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permitte Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (lf))?
LRP	Connelly Run Bridge, PA Game Commission, Warren County	2017-1169	No	GP116216612	9/5/2018	9-Jan-17	NWRO	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	0	18	No	No	NA		
LRP	Columbia Gas of PA, D-75 Riverview Pipeline, Allegheny County, PA	2018-322	No	GP080217220 GP050217257	9/12/2018	9/19/2017	ACCD	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	1	32	No	No	NA		
LRP	Buckeye Partners, LP - Lingay Drive Pipeline Exposure Rehabilitation	2010-1110	No	E02-1750	9/12/2018	9/1/2017	SWRO	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0.007	0	0.007	100	20	No	No	NA		
LRP	Cranberry Township, Graham Park Interceptor Number 1 Phase 2 Wetland Impacts	2017-1898	No	GP081017606	9/12/2018		Butler Co CD	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0.36	0	0.36	0	0	No	No	NA		
LRP	CITY OF WARREN maintain the Warren Flood Protection Project and the Flood Control Project in Glade Run	1999-382	No	E62-266			WCCD	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	100	0	No	No	NA		
LRP	Sanitary Sewer Relocation PTC MP40-44, Hampton Township, Allegheny County	2017-1457	No	GP110216247			SWRO	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	52	429	No	No	NA		
LRP	I-576 Beltway North Pipeline/	2017-1296	No	GP056316255			Washington CCD	No	All impacts are under one S&C, instead of multiple S&C	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0.14	0.03	0.11	0	114	No	No	NA		
LRP	Zappi to Hewitt Pipeline	2017-1357	No	GP05630716-030, GP08630716-032			SWRO	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0.11	0	0.11	0	240			NA		

Pittsburgh District - Non Reporting File Review Summary Table (Appendix - 13)

Corps District	Project Name	ORM Number	Is there more than one PGP selected for review that is associated with this ORM Number: YES or NO?	PADEP Permit Number(s)	Date of File Review	Date PASPGP-5 Issued	Issued By	Activity Categorized Correctly	If No, Explain	Cover Letter/Transmittal Form from PADEP/CCD included	Application Included in the File	Project location map	Latitude & Longitude	Plans Included in the File	Aquatic Resource Impact Table Included	Is Single and Complete Projects Identified on the Table?	State Authorization Issued?	Copy of PNDI	Bog turtle habitat clearance (for listed counties)	Section 106 Historic Clearance	PADEP Record of Decision	Additional information/comments:	Project: Residential, Commercial, or Institutional Development	Draft Conservation Instrument submitted with application?	Did the Corps waive the requirement for a Conservation Instrument?	Was the appropriate review process implemented?	No? Explain:	Is a copy of the recorded Instrument in the file?	Acree Impact	Wetland Permanent (acre)	Wetland Temporary (acre)	Stream Permanent (linear feet)	Stream Temporary (linear feet)	Greater than 1/10 acre of temporary wetland impacts associated with the single and complete project?	Mitigation Required?	If Yes, Mitigation type: (Permitte Responsible? OR Mitigation Bank?)	Wetland Mitigation Acreage (Wetland (ac))?(Stream (lf))?
LRP	Blackhawk Plan, Peoples TWP	2017-978	No	GP05101661	9/12/2018	7/21/2017	Butler Co CD	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	0	6	No	No	NA		
LRP	Falcon Ethane Pipeline	2017-879	No	GP086316226	9/12/2018	7/7/2018	Washington CCD	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	0	27	No	No	NA		
LRP	B37 Gathering Line	2017-874	No	GP05040716-005, GP08040716-005	9/12/2018	7/7/2017	SWRO	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	101	0	No	No	NA		
LRP		2017-1774	No	GP115614202	9/13/2018	4/21/17	SWRO	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0.09	0.03	0	0	No	No	NA		
LRP		2017-1522	No	GP115616216	9/10/2018	unkn (file not found)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LRP		2017-1324	No	E07-9999	9/10/18	1/19/2017	SWRO	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	NA		NA	0	0	0	0	15	No	No	NA		
LRP		2017-1389	No	GP112616233	9/10/2018	unkn (file not found)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Totals 0.617 0.12 0.617 354 901

Yes	0						12			13	11	11	13	4	11	8	10	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No	15						1			0	2	2	0	9	2	5	3	1	0	0	0	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	12	0
NA	0						0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	13	13	0	0	13	13	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	

Total Wetland Impacts (Acres)	0.737
Total Stream Impacts (Linear Feet)	1255

Pittsburgh District Mitigation by Permit Type (Appendix - 16)

Action Type	PNN	Times Issued	Action Comp Mit Rqd
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-1 Fish Habitat Enhancement Structures: PADEP GP-1	53	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-10 Maintenance, Testing, Repair, Rehabilitation, and Replacement of Water Obstructions and Encroachments: GP-11	544	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-11 Private Residential Construction in Wetlands: PADEP-15	3	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-13 Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(2) - Water Obstructions in a Stream or Floodway With a Drainage Area of 100 Acres or Less: PADEP Waiver 2	21	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-14 Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(6) - Stormwater Management and Erosion Control: PADEP Waiver 6	2	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-15 Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(7)(8) - Activities Related to Crop Production: PADEP Waiver 7 and 8	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-16 Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(9) - Minor Stream Fords: PADEP Waiver 9	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-17 Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(10) - Navigational Aids: PADEP Waiver 10	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-19 Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(14) - Artificial Ponds and Reservoir Maintenance: PADEP Waiver 14	3	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-2 Small Docks and Boat Launching Ramps: PADEP GP-2	40	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-20-A Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(16) - Restoration Activities: PADEP, Bureau of Abandoned Mine Reclamation (BAMR) approved and/or sponsored restoration activities: PADEP Waiver 16	2	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-20-B Activities Waived at 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(16) - Restoration Activities: Other Restoration Activities: PADEP Waiver 16	8	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-21 PADEP Individual Permits Numbered E-999X	78	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-22 Emergency Activities: PADEP Emergency Permits	64	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-23 Normal Maintenance and Repair of an Existing Dam: PADEP Letter of Authorization	2	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-24 Existing Structures or Activities Completed prior to July 1, 1979 - Activities authorized pursuant to 25 PA Code A§ 105.12(b)(1-7)	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-B Miscellaneous Activities: Abandoned Mines: PADEP Waiver 15	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-E Miscellaneous Activities: Abandoned Railroad Bridges and Culverts: PADEP Waiver 13	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-F1 Miscellaneous Activities: Waiver Letter of Maintenance for Channel Cleaning at Bridges and Culverts	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-26-F2 Miscellaneous Activities: Waiver Letter of Maintenance for Bridge and Culvert Repair	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-27 Activities Related to Residential, Commercial and Institutional Developments	5	0

Pittsburgh District Mitigation by Permit Type (Appendix - 16)

Action Type	PNN	Times Issued	Action Comp Mit Rqd
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-28 Maintenance: PADEP Authorization	3	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-A PADEP Chapter 105 Activities Published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin: Activities Requiring Pennsylvania State Permits or Approvals: PADEP IP's, Small Projects, Dam Permit's, EA's, and request for State Water Quality Certification	26	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-B PADEP Chapter 105 Activities Published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin: The Removal of Abandoned Dams, Water Obstructions, and Encroachments: PADEP Waiver 11	28	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-29-C PADEP Chapter 105 Activities Published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin: Restoration Activities: Waiver 16	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-3 Bank Rehabilitation, Bank Protection, and Gravel Bar Removal:PADEP GP-3	165	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-30Grandfathered Activities	1	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-4 Intake and Outfall Structures: PADEP GP-4	90	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-5 Utility Line Stream Crossing: PADEP GP-5	780	6
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-6 Agricultural Crossings and Ramps: PADEP GP-6	19	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-7 Road Crossings: PADEP GP-7	227	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-8 Temporary Road Crossings: PADEP GP-8	477	0
PGP	PASPGP5 NRA-9 Agricultural Activities: PADEP GP-9	7	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-1 Activities Normally Reviewed as Non-Reporting	172	1
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-10 Activities Requiring an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)	30	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-12 Activities Across State Boundaries	29	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-13 Coal and Non-Coal Mining Activities: Including PADEP Waiver 4 [25 PA Code A§ 105.12(a)(4)] and GP-101 and 102	23	3
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-15 Activities Affecting Corps Civil Works Projects, or Corps Property	19	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-16 Reasonably Related Applications	2	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-18 Temporary Impacts of Greater Than One Year	2	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-A Activities Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Impacts Exceeding 0.50 Acre	42	12
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-B Activities Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Linear Projects within Streams, Rivers, or other watercourses excluding wetland Exceeding 250 Linear Feet of Permanent Impacts	61	12

Pittsburgh District Mitigation by Permit Type (Appendix - 16)

Action Type	PNN	Times Issued	Action Comp Mit Rqd
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-2-C Activities Exceeding Reporting Thresholds: Permanent Conversion of Forested and/or Scrub-Shrub Wetlands Exceeding 0.10 acre	150	5
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-3-A Utility Line Applications: Single and Complete Utility Line Crossings Exceeding 500 Linear Feet	23	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-3-B Utility Line Applications: Buried Utility Lines within a Jurisdictional Area Running Parallel to or along a Stream Bed within that Jurisdictional Area	26	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-4 Single and Complete Projects with Previous Federal Authorization	121	5
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-A Activities Which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.): Activities or Projects Proposed in the Listed Mussel or Fish Waterways	27	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-B Activities Which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.): Activities or Proposed Projects that Require a Screening in Bog Turtle	32	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-5-C Activities Which May Affect Threatened or Endangered Species and Their Critical Habitat Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.): Activities or Proposed Projects that Identify a PNDI Conflict or Avoidance	19	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-7 Activities Potentially Affecting Historic Properties - Section 106 NHPA	140	3
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-8-A Activities Potentially Affecting National Wild or Scenic Rivers: Allegheny River	15	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-8-B Activities Potentially Affecting National Wild or Scenic Rivers: Clarion River	1	0
RGP	PASPGP5 RA-9 Activities Related to Residential, Commercial and Institutional Developments	19	7

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PRG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
12/22/2016	E42-368	19.4	19.42	\$155,375.00	\$157,125.00
02/13/2017	E15-862	13.1	13.05	\$104,400.00	\$106,150.00
05/25/2017	E25-778	12.2	12.15	\$97,200.00	\$98,950.00
02/13/2017	E23-524	6.9	6.90	\$55,200.00	\$56,950.00
03/23/2017	E5829-119	5.2	5.17	\$41,375.00	\$43,125.00
01/09/2017	E5929-058	3.8	3.79	\$30,350.00	\$32,100.00
12/28/2016	E23-530	2.3	2.30	\$18,400.00	\$20,150.00
03/28/2017	E10-08-010	2.2	2.18	\$17,450.00	\$19,200.00
02/21/2017	E5829-114	2.1	2.06	\$16,505.00	\$18,255.00
12/16/2016	E5829-105	2.0	1.99	\$15,925.00	\$17,675.00
07/06/2016	E43-362	2.0	1.95	\$15,600.00	\$17,350.00
12/19/2016	E5829-106	1.8	1.84	\$14,750.00	\$16,500.00
02/16/2017	E5929-051	1.7	1.70	\$13,625.00	\$15,375.00
10/26/2016	E47-097	1.6	1.59	\$12,730.00	\$14,480.00
10/26/2016	E49-337	1.6	1.57	\$12,530.00	\$14,280.00
06/05/2017	E10-502	1.5	1.45	\$11,600.00	\$13,350.00
10/26/2016	E41-669	1.4	1.37	\$10,975.00	\$12,725.00
09/09/2016	E4129-103	1.4	1.35	\$10,800.00	\$12,550.00
03/10/2017	E25-08-001	1.2	1.24	\$9,950.00	\$11,700.00
01/19/2017	E12-191	1.1	1.12	\$8,960.00	\$10,710.00
11/21/2016	E59-526	1.0	1.03	\$8,210.00	\$9,960.00
01/26/2017	E5829-111	0.9	0.87	\$6,950.00	\$8,700.00
03/21/2017	E5929-062	0.9	0.86	\$6,875.00	\$8,625.00
03/16/2017	E5829-112	0.9	0.86	\$6,875.00	\$8,625.00
07/20/2016	E5829-108	0.9	0.85	\$6,825.00	\$8,575.00
08/09/2016	E5929-052	0.9	0.85	\$6,800.00	\$8,550.00
03/13/2017	E5929-061	0.8	0.80	\$6,400.00	\$8,150.00
04/13/2017	E10-08-012	0.7	0.68	\$5,425.00	\$7,175.00
01/18/2017	E5929-059	0.7	0.67	\$5,375.00	\$7,125.00
06/15/2017	E5829-117	0.7	0.66	\$5,275.00	\$7,025.00
03/07/2017	E5829-113	0.7	0.65	\$5,200.00	\$6,950.00
11/16/2016	E4129-106	0.7	0.65	\$5,200.00	\$6,950.00
08/01/2016	E5929-053	0.6	0.63	\$5,000.00	\$6,750.00
05/08/2017	E14-574	0.6	0.60	\$4,800.00	\$6,550.00
03/15/2017	E42-371	0.6	0.55	\$4,400.00	\$6,150.00
09/30/2016	E4129-104	0.5	0.50	\$4,000.00	\$5,750.00
11/22/2016	E10-499	0.5	0.50	\$4,000.00	\$5,750.00
04/06/2017	E15-875	0.5	0.45	\$3,600.00	\$5,350.00
08/03/2016	E24-08-009	0.5	0.45	\$3,600.00	\$5,350.00
09/30/2016	E63-07-010	0.5	0.45	\$3,600.00	\$5,350.00
07/18/2016	E43-364	0.5	0.45	\$3,600.00	\$5,350.00
10/19/2016	E25-777	0.4	0.41	\$3,250.00	\$5,000.00
03/21/2017	E46-1142	0.4	0.40	\$3,200.00	\$4,950.00
05/01/2017	E5929-064	0.4	0.35	\$2,825.00	\$4,575.00
05/12/2017	E4129-107	0.4	0.35	\$2,825.00	\$4,575.00
05/31/2017	E20-600	0.4	0.35	\$2,800.00	\$4,550.00
02/14/2017	E37-199	0.4	0.35	\$2,800.00	\$4,550.00

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PRG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
07/19/2016	E5829-107	0.4	0.35	\$2,800.00	\$4,550.00
11/18/2016	E5929-054	0.4	0.35	\$2,800.00	\$4,550.00
02/10/2017	E16-147	0.3	0.30	\$2,400.00	\$4,150.00
04/10/2017	E42-372	0.3	0.30	\$2,400.00	\$4,150.00
02/16/2017	E23-528	0.3	0.30	\$2,400.00	\$4,150.00
09/07/2016	E41-672	0.3	0.30	\$2,400.00	\$4,150.00
05/25/2017	E09-1010	0.3	0.28	\$2,240.00	\$3,990.00
12/06/2016	E15-873	0.3	0.28	\$2,200.00	\$3,950.00
04/12/2017	E5329-013	0.3	0.27	\$2,150.00	\$3,900.00
11/18/2016	E5929-057	0.3	0.26	\$2,050.00	\$3,800.00
07/19/2016	E5829-109	0.3	0.25	\$2,025.00	\$3,775.00
05/24/2017	E27-090	0.3	0.25	\$2,000.00	\$3,750.00
01/19/2017	E53-450	0.3	0.25	\$2,000.00	\$3,750.00
11/16/2016	E49-343	0.3	0.25	\$2,000.00	\$3,750.00
08/05/2016	E5729-085	0.3	0.25	\$2,000.00	\$3,750.00
05/08/2017	E53-449	0.2	0.23	\$1,800.00	\$3,550.00
10/26/2016	E55-231	0.2	0.21	\$1,650.00	\$3,400.00
03/22/2017	E15-879	0.2	0.20	\$1,600.00	\$3,350.00
08/02/2016	E17-496	0.2	0.20	\$1,600.00	\$3,350.00
10/04/2016	E4129-105	0.2	0.20	\$1,600.00	\$3,350.00
10/26/2016	E60-225	0.2	0.16	\$1,300.00	\$3,050.00
12/19/2016	E0829-109	0.2	0.16	\$1,280.00	\$3,030.00
05/24/2017	E62-433	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
01/26/2017	E0829-110	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
10/31/2016	E45-569 REOPEN	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
12/27/2016	E14-570	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
11/18/2016	E17-497	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
10/27/2016	E08-496	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
09/13/2016	E37-198	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
03/15/2017	E62-430	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
12/27/2016	E23-527	0.2	0.15	\$1,200.00	\$2,950.00
09/06/2016	E6629-025	0.1	0.13	\$1,075.00	\$2,825.00
06/13/2017	E41-681	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
02/13/2017	E18-503	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
04/24/2017	E49-344	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
01/31/2017	E19-314	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
04/05/2017	E15-880	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
05/25/2017	E09-1008	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
12/15/2016	E17-498	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
12/27/2016	E41-677	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
08/16/2016	E18-499	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
08/16/2016	E14-568	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
08/01/2016	E18-498	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
09/06/2016	E5829-110	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
11/18/2016	E5929-056	0.1	0.10	\$800.00	\$2,550.00
06/29/2017	E46-1153	0.1	0.09	\$750.00	\$2,500.00
02/09/2017	E37-200	0.1	0.09	\$700.00	\$2,450.00

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PRG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
09/22/2016	E41-676	0.1	0.05	\$400.00	\$2,150.00
07/28/2016	E15-867	0.1	0.05	\$400.00	\$2,150.00
07/20/2016	E5329-012	0.1	0.05	\$400.00	\$2,150.00
09/29/2016	E10-08-008	0.0	0.04	\$350.00	\$2,100.00
05/25/2017	E46-1149	0.0	0.04	\$328.00	\$2,078.00
12/19/2016	E5729-087	0.0	0.04	\$320.00	\$2,070.00
04/07/2017	E15-874	0.0	0.03	\$240.00	\$1,990.00
08/09/2016	E09-993	0.0	0.03	\$216.00	\$1,966.00
05/12/2017	E08-499	0.0	0.02	\$160.00	\$1,910.00
05/11/2017	E25-782	0.0	0.02	\$159.00	\$1,909.00
03/24/2017	E55-232	0.0	0.01	\$104.00	\$1,854.00
07/13/2016	E15-871	0.0	0.00	\$2.00	\$1,752.00
05/11/2017	E49-345	0.0	0.00	\$0.00	\$1,750.00
10/04/2016	E10-08-009	0.0	0.00	\$0.00	\$1,750.00
12/01/2016	E15-872	0.0	0.00	\$0.00	\$1,750.00
06/29/2017	E09-990	0.0	0.00	\$0.00	\$1,750.00
11/29/2016	E41-674	(0.1)	(0.07)	(\$575.00)	\$1,175.00
04/27/2017	E56-345	(0.1)	(0.13)	(\$1,000.00)	\$750.00
02/14/2017	EA14-009	(0.2)	(0.16)	(\$1,250.00)	\$500.00
06/28/2017	16150101-E-1	(0.2)	(0.18)	(\$1,400.00)	\$350.00
06/12/2017	E17-499				
06/22/2017	E09-1014				
06/22/2017	E38-202				
06/02/2017	E28-389				
06/19/2017	E14-577				
05/26/2017	E28-388				
06/23/2017	E02-1757				
06/23/2017	E40-790				
06/14/2017	E20-601				
06/14/2017	E40-788				
06/14/2017	E40-789				
03/28/2017	E18-502				
06/23/2017	E15-882				
05/04/2017	E50-261				
03/29/2017	E14-576				
05/08/2017	E14-575				
05/25/2017	E15-881				
05/04/2017	EA17017				
04/20/2017	E36-964				
03/28/2017	E08-500				
06/21/2017	E36-962				
05/31/2017	E40-786				
06/21/2017	E44-152				
03/21/2017	E46-1148				
05/05/2017	E40-785				
02/27/2017	E08-498				
06/30/2017	E01-321				

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PRG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
05/31/2017	E23-535				
02/15/2017	E10-505				
01/13/2017	E46-1147				
01/12/2017	E46-1145				
04/19/2017	E07-465				
04/25/2017	E40-784				
05/17/2017	E39-550				
06/08/2017	E39-549				
06/05/2017	E45-602				
05/26/2017	E05-389				
01/31/2017	E44-151				
06/01/2017	E67-928				
04/25/2017	E40-783				
02/23/2017	E32-520				
04/12/2017	E28-386				
03/23/2017	E64-308				
03/30/2017	E01-320				
05/26/2017	E21-456				
01/24/2017	E43-365				
06/07/2017	E63-697				
04/03/2017	E58-320				
03/24/2017	E08-497				
10/11/2016	E41-679				
02/24/2017	E14-573				
03/21/2017	E39-547				
04/20/2017	E46-1143				
11/15/2016	E41-675				
04/21/2017	E09-1007				
12/22/2016	E23-533				
02/17/2017	E34-137				
03/28/2017	E41-678				
06/23/2017	E02-1747				
06/16/2017	E06-711				
03/23/2017	E50-260				
01/26/2017	E33-254				
04/17/2017	E01-318				
03/17/2017	E06-710				
03/08/2017	E07-464				
01/17/2017	E13-186				
01/06/2017	E14-571				
03/10/2017	E39-545				
03/15/2017	E67-926				
12/06/2016	E63-683				
03/23/2017	E36-960				
06/23/2017	E56-384				
04/21/2017	E21-455				
01/25/2017	E39-544				

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PROG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
11/09/2016	E32-518				
09/29/2016	E28-385				
09/27/2016	E44-150				
06/30/2017	E01-317				
09/19/2016	E03-466				
03/15/2017	E42-370				
12/19/2016	E23-531				
06/28/2017	E04-357				
12/02/2016	E40-782				
10/17/2016	E46-1139				
03/20/2017	E64-307				
04/06/2017	E22-629				
04/06/2017	E22-630				
03/08/2017	E21-452				
10/20/2016	E22-628				
05/19/2017	E04-361				
09/19/2016	E32-519				
02/17/2017	E36-957				
06/16/2017	E36-959				
09/01/2016	E04-353				
06/30/2017	E01-316				
08/22/2016	E14-569				
04/17/2017	E25-781				
07/29/2016	E64-306				
10/14/2016	E46-1138				
06/28/2017	E63-691				
09/02/2016	E22-626				
02/16/2017	E23-534				
09/28/2016	E05-386				
10/12/2016	E32-515				
06/21/2017	E01-315				
01/30/2017	E48-437				
02/07/2017	E35-462				
10/03/2016	E49-342				
10/27/2016	E57-132				
03/17/2017	E01-314				
03/08/2017	E06-708				
03/09/2017	E32-517				
09/23/2016	E36-955				
10/19/2016	E36-956				
07/19/2016	E32-512				
06/01/2017	E67-924				
03/16/2017	E32-516				
10/03/2016	E05-385				
11/03/2016	E03-469				
10/31/2016	E03-468				
01/06/2017	E60-226				

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PRG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
08/08/2016	E45-595 REOPEN				
03/10/2017	E45-600				
12/15/2016	E65-979				
06/16/2017	E67-923				
08/10/2016	E23-529				
08/23/2016	E51-276				
10/21/2016	E04-355				
08/11/2016	E28-384				
08/31/2016	E39-543				
04/24/2017	E59-531				
05/22/2017	E52-254				
10/06/2016	E42-369				
02/02/2017	E58-318				
06/08/2017	E36-954				
02/17/2017	E09-1003				
11/09/2016	E06-707				
08/15/2016	E41-673				
06/14/2017	E63-689				
05/26/2017	E31-236				
04/12/2017	E48-436				
10/03/2016	E14-567				
05/12/2017	E63-688				
08/18/2016	E05-384				
04/14/2017	E07-463				
07/29/2016	E25-779				
08/01/2016	E14-566				
12/13/2016	E40-781				
03/16/2017	E63-687				
04/13/2017	E07-462				
09/09/2016	E49-341				
09/08/2016	E22-623				
10/25/2016	E02-1736				
06/01/2017	E04-354				
08/24/2016	E51-274				
09/20/2016	E36-953				
02/27/2017	E09-999				
07/07/2016	E66-163				
11/04/2016	E38-196				
08/03/2016	E42-08-020				
03/15/2017	E36-952				
09/15/2016	E59-530				
01/24/2017	E39-542				
06/02/2017	E06-705				
05/05/2017	E22-622				
08/17/2016	E13-184				
09/20/2016	E45-599				
03/09/2017	E07-461				

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PROG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
12/06/2016	E46-1133				
06/21/2017	E02-1731				
05/18/2017	E30-252				
07/11/2016	E48-434				
10/03/2016	E15-868				
05/05/2017	E01-312				
07/21/2016	E40-779				
06/08/2017	E30-250				
10/06/2016	E08-495				
03/08/2017	E06-704				
02/23/2017	E64-305				
02/23/2017	E52-253				
05/18/2017	E65-976				
01/17/2017	E11-355				
10/17/2016	E63-685				
11/28/2016	E58-317				
11/18/2016	E02-1729				
08/03/2016	E61-299				
03/15/2017	E36-950				
08/11/2016	E32-513				
10/17/2016	E46-1132				
10/28/2016	E67-922				
08/09/2016	E66-161				
02/17/2017	E48-433				
08/01/2016	E25-775				
06/07/2017	E02-1725				
06/30/2017	E36-949				
09/13/2016	E17-495				
03/16/2017	E02-1723				
11/18/2016	E02-1724				
11/18/2016	E63-684				
12/06/2016	E54-361				
07/26/2016	E39-541				
07/13/2016	E67-921				
08/11/2016	E40-773				
11/02/2016	E28-382				
02/22/2017	E02-1721				
09/22/2016	E11-354				
03/16/2017	E36-948				
11/30/2016	E04-351				
09/19/2016	E63-682				
10/17/2016	E51-272				
03/27/2017	E36-946				
09/22/2016	E34-135				
02/13/2017	E50-258				
02/13/2017	E34-136				
02/13/2017	E36-945				

Disposed Date From 7/1/2016 To 6/30/2017

Region: All

Disposition: ISSU - Issued

of Authorizations: 369

Auth Type:

of Authorizations with fees: 110

WOJP - Water Obstruction & Encroachment Pmt

Application Type: NEW - New

Avg impact per auth (based on fees) : 1 acre

Client Type: All

avg adjusted impact per auth: 0.4 acre

DISPOSED DATE	PROG SPECIFIC ID	rounded acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated acres disturbed (based on fee)	estimated disturbance fee	FEE AMOUNT
02/13/2017	E31-234				
02/13/2017	E38-194				
02/13/2017	E07-459				
02/13/2017	E21-449				
02/13/2017	E67-920				
02/13/2017	E06-701				
02/13/2017	E22-619				
06/13/2017	E02-14025-A1				
12/28/2016	E46-1125				
03/21/2017	E56-381				
08/15/2016	E03-465				
02/17/2017	E26-374				
02/13/2017	E11-352				
02/13/2017	E63-674				
02/13/2017	E02-1718				
02/13/2017	E32-508				
02/13/2017	E65-973				
08/30/2016	E02-1717				
07/25/2016	E36-943				
07/13/2016	E63-673				
11/15/2016	E58-313				
11/23/2016	E50-256				
08/25/2016	E45-594				
07/01/2016	E21-447				
07/01/2016	E21-446				
06/30/2017	E02-1713				
11/23/2016	E03-464				
01/04/2017	E45-592				
03/30/2017	E26-372				
08/04/2016	E36-941				
06/08/2017	E56-379				
04/20/2017	E50-255				
11/23/2016	E07-457				
03/22/2017	E46-1115				
03/10/2017	E30-247				
06/07/2017	E50-253				
03/29/2017	E02-1705				
03/31/2017	MB990563-0001				
08/15/2016	E15-824				
04/26/2017	E25-133				