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#### Abstract

This report is an overview of Cross-Language Information Retrieval Task (CLIR) at the third NTCIR Workshop. There are 3 tracks in CLIR: Single Language IR (SLIR), Bilingual CLIR (BLIR), and Multilingual CLIR (MLIR). The scope, schedule, test collections, search results, relevance judgment, scoring results, and the preliminary analyses are described in the report.


## 1. Introduction

After the second NTCIR workshop [1, 2], researchers from Japan, Korea, and Taiwan have discussed a much more complicated cross-language information retrieval (CLIR) evaluation task, which is closer to the realistic application of IR environment and is a real challenge to IR researchers. We propose a CLIR task in the third NTCIR workshop and organize an executive committee to fulfill this task. The CLIR Task Executive Committee consists of 9 researchers from Japan, Korea, and Taiwan. These members meet 3 times in Japan to discuss the details of CLIR Task, to make the schedule, and to arrange the agenda.

The goals of CLIR Task at NTCIR are shown as follows.

- Promote CLIR researches
- Initiate complicated and real CLIR task
- Investigate effective techniques for CLIR
- Provide a forum to present research results and share research ideas
There are 3 tracks in CLIR task: 1) Multilingual CLIR (MLIR), 2) Bilingual CLIR (BLIR), and 3) Single Language IR (SLIR). Basically, 4 languages will involve in the CLIR task: Chinese, English, Japanese, and Korean. The test collection used in CLIR Task is composed of news articles in the aforementioned 4 languages. Chinese, English, and Japanese documents are news articles published in 1998 and 1999. In contrast, Korean documents are news articles published in 1994. Therefore, Chinese, English, and Japanese documents could be regarded as one set; Korean documents will be regarded as another set. That is to say, we will have retrieval task of Chinese queries against Chinese and Japanese documents, but we could not provide retrieval task of Chinese queries against Chinese and Korean documents. The possible retrieval tasks will be described in Section 2.
Researchers from all over the world are welcome to participate CLIR Task. The participants could use automatic systems or interactive systems are
welcome. The participants could submit any retrieval task listed in Section 2. However, we have some restrictions on the number and type of submitted runs. Please refer to Section 2 for detailed information.

26 groups from 8 countries or areas, 19 groups from 6 countries or areas, and 15 groups from 6 countries or areas enrolled SLIR track, BLIR track, and MLIR track, respectively. However, not all enrolled groups submit search results. Table 1 shows the distribution of groups enrolling each track and groups submitting search results at final. In total, 189 runs are submitted from 23 groups. Among them, 110 runs are SLIR submitted from 22 groups, 50 runs are BLIR submitted from 14 groups, and 29 runs are MLIR submitted from 7 groups. Table 2 shows the detailed statistics.

Table 1. Distribution of Participants

|  | Enrolled |  |  |  | Submitted |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SLIR | BLIR | MLIR | SLIR | BLIR | MLIR |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Korea | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Singapore | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Taiwan | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |
| USA | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 |  |
| Total | 26 | 19 | 15 | 22 | 14 | 7 |  |

Table 2. Participants for CLIR Task

| Tracks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Run } \\ \text { Types } \end{gathered}$ | \#Runs Submitted | \#Grps Submitted | Total. \#Runs <br> Submitted | Total \#Grps Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLIR | C-C | 34 | 15 | 110 | 22 |
|  | E-E | 29 | 15 |  |  |
|  | J-J | 30 | 13 |  |  |
|  | K-K | 17 | 8 |  |  |
| BLIR | C-E | 3 | 1 | 50 | 14 |
|  | C-J | 4 | 2 |  |  |
|  | E-C | 16 | 6 |  |  |
|  | E-J | 11 | 5 |  |  |
|  | E-K | 6 | 2 |  |  |
|  | J-C | 5 | 3 |  |  |
|  | J-E | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | K-C | 2 | 1 |  |  |
|  | K-E | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| MLIR | C-CE | 3 | 1 | 29 | 7 |
|  | C-CJ | 3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | C-JE | 3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | C-CJE | 4 | 2 |  |  |
|  | E-CE | 6 | 2 |  |  |
|  | E-CJE | 4 | 2 |  |  |
|  | E-JE | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | J-JE | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | J-CJE | 3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | otal | 189 | 23 | 189 | 23 |

The rest of this report will focus on the test collections, relevance judgment, and search results. Section 2 will introduce the CLIR task at the third NTCIR workshop. Section 3 will describe the test
collections used in CLIR task. Section 4 will give a picture of the evaluation mechanism. Section 5 will analyze the search results in a broad view. Section 6 will give a conclusion.

## 2. CLIR Task

3 tracks are provided in the CLIR task. All tracks are ad-hoc, that is to say, the document set is fixed against the different topics.

### 2.1 Schedule

The CFP is distributed on Aug. 2001. The following shows the schedule for CLIR task at the third NTCIR workshop.

| $2001-09-30$ | Application Due |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2001-10-30$ | Deliver Dry Run data |
| $2001-11-15$ | Submit search result of Dry Run |
| $2001-11-30$ | Deliver evaluation result of Dry Run |
| $2001-12-22$ | Deliver Formal Run data |
| $2002-01-25$ | Submit search result of Formal Run |
| $2002-07-01$ | Deliver the evaluation results |
| $2002-08-20$ | Paper Due |
| $2002-10-08 /$ <br> $2002-10-10$ | NTCIR Workshop 3 |

### 2.2 Tracks

Participants could choose to take part in any one, any two, or all of three tracks.

## - Multilingual CLIR (MLIR)

The document set of MLIR Track consist of more than two languages. The challenge is that participants have to resolve the complicated multi-language issues. Since the publishing dates of Korean documents are not parallel to those of Chinese, English, and Japanese documents (see Section Test Collection), document set used in MLIR consists of Chinese, English, and Japanese. However, the topic could be Chinese, English, Japanese, and Korean. We will prepare topics in 4 languages, so participants could carry out $K \rightarrow$ CEJ track. The following shows the possible retrieval tasks in MLIR track.

Table 3. MLIR Track

| Topic Set |  | Doc. Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{E}$ |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{E}$ |
| J | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{E}$ |
| K | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{E}$ |
| C | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}$ |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}$ |
| J | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}$ |
| K | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}$ |


| Topic Set |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $\rightarrow$ | Doc. Set |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | J, E |
| J | $\rightarrow$ | J, E |
| K | $\rightarrow$ | J, E |
| C | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}$ |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}$ |
| J | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}$ |
| K | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}$ |

## - Bilingual CLIR (BLIR)

The topic set and document set in BLIR Track are in different languages. The complexity of this track is
less than that of MLIR. However, participants also have to resolve cross-language issues. The following shows the possible retrieval tasks in the BLIR track.

Table 4. BLIR Track

| Topic Set |  | Document Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $\rightarrow$ | J |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | J |
| K | $\rightarrow$ | J |
| C | $\rightarrow$ | K |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | K |
| J | $\rightarrow$ | K |
| J | $\rightarrow$ | C |
| K | $\rightarrow$ | C |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | C |

Single Language IR (SLIR)
The topic set and document set of SLIR Track are in the same language. Table 5 shows the SLIR track.

Table 5. SLIR Track

| Topic Set |  | Document Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $\rightarrow$ | C |
| J | $\rightarrow$ | J |
| K | $\rightarrow$ | K |
| E | $\rightarrow$ | E |

### 2.3 Topic fields and Run Types

Basically, we allow all types of runs using any combination of fields in topic. (Please refer to the section of Test Collection) The participants have to use the ' T ' (TITLE), ' D ' (DESC), ' N ' (NARR), ' C ' (CONC) and any combination of these symbols to identify the run types. That is to say, participants can submit T run, D run, N run, C run, TD run, TN run, TC run, DN run, DC run, NC run, TDN run, TDC run, TNC run, DNC run, and TDNC run. Each participant can submit up to 3 runs for each language pair regardless of the type of run. Here, the language pair means the combination of topic language and document language(s). Among these run types, D run is mandatory. Each participant has to submit at least a D run for a language pair. Each run has to be associated with a RunID. RunID is an identity for each run. The format for RunID is as follows.

Group's ID-Topic Lang-Doc Lang-Run Type-pp
The "pp" is two digits used to represent the priority of the run. It will be used as a parameter for pooling. The participants have to decide the priority for each submitted run in the basis of each language pair. "01" means the high priority. The participants could use any topic field to carry out the CLIR tasks provided that D run is mandatory.

## 3. The Test Collection

The test collection used in CLIR task is composed of document set and topic set. The following will give a brief description of each set.

### 3.1 Document Set

The documents used in CLIR are news articles collected from different news agencies of different countries. Table 6 shows the related information of documents.

Table 6. Composition of Document Set

| Japan | Mainichi Newspaper <br> $(1998-1999): ~ J a p a n e s e ~$ | 236,664 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Mainichi Daily News <br> (1998-1999): English | 12,723 |
| Korea | Korea Economic Daily (1994): <br> Korean | 66,146 |
| Taiwan | CIRB011 (1998-1999): Chinese | 132,173 |
|  | United Daily News (CIRB020, <br> 1998-1999): Chinese | 249,508 |
|  | Taiwan News and Chinatimes <br> English News (EIRB010, <br> 1998-1999): English | 10,204 |

The participants have to sign different contracts for using these materials. Each contract has its own requirements. We hope participants could understand the complicated situations of copyright issues in different countries.

The period of permitted use of the Mainichi Newspapers and Mainichi Daily News (Document collections from Japan) are from 2001-09-01 to 2003-09-30. For active participants who will submit the results and who affiliated at the organization outside Japan will be able to extend the period up to 2008-09-30. After the permitted period will be terminated, the participants will have to delete all the documents, or will have to purchase the data from Mainichi Newspaper Co., and obtain the permission for research purpose use from the Company.

The participants have to sign a contract with Dr. Kuang-hua Chen for the use of United Daily News (CIRB020). However, the udn.com (the company of United Daily News) reserves the right to reject the contract. Basically, udn.com will prove the contracts in normal situations. The participants also have to sign a contract with Dr. Kuang-hua Chen for the use of CIRB011 (The minor updated version of CIRB010) and EIRB010 (Taiwan News and Chinatimes English News). The participants have to sign contract with Prof. Sung Hyon Myaeng and will be proved the right to use Korea Economic Daily for 2 years plus possible extensions.

All application forms for using documents are at http://research.nii.ac.jp/tcir/permission/perm-en.html. After signing the needed contracts, participants have to send them to NII. The details could be referred to the URL mentioned above.

The format of each news article is consistent by using a set of tags. These tags are defined by CLIR Executive Committee based on the convention of IR evaluation. The tag set is shown in Table 7 and a sample document is shown in Figure 1.

Table 7. Document Tags used in CLIR Task

| Mandatory tags |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <DOC> | </DOC> | The tag for each document |
| <DOCNO> | </DOCNO> | Document identifier |
| <LANG> | </LANG> | Language code: CH, EN, JA, KR |
| <HEADLINE> | </HEADLINE> | Title of this news article |
| <DATE> | </DATE> | Issue date |
| <TEXT> | </TEXT> | Text of news article |
| Optional tags |  |  |
| <P> | </P> | Paragraph marker |
| <SECTION> | </SECTION> | Section identifier in original newspapers |
| <AE> | </AE> | Contain figures or not |
| <WORDS> | </WORDS> | Number of words in 2 bytes (for Mainichi Newspaper) |

< DOC>
<DOCNO>ctg_xxx_19990110_0001</DOCNO> $<$ LANG $>$ EN $</$ LANG $>$
<HEADLINE> Asia Urged to Move Faster in Shoring Up Shaky Banks </HEADLINE>
<DATE $>1999-01-10</$ DATE $>$
<TEXT>
$<\mathrm{P}>$ HONG KONG, Jan 10 (AFP) - Bank for International Settlements (BIS) general manager Andrew Crockett has urged Asian economies to move faster in reforming their shaky banking sectors, reports said Sunday. Speaking ahead of Monday's meeting at the BIS office here of international central bankers including US Federal Reserve chairman Alan Greenspan, Crockett said he was encouraged by regional banking reforms but "there is still some way to go." Asian banks shake off their burden of bad debt if they were to be able to finance recovery in the crisis-hit region, he said according to the Sunday Morning Post. Crockett added that more stable currency exchange rates and lower interest rates had paved the way for recovery. "Therefore I believe in the financial area, the crisis has in a sense been contained and that now it is possible to look forward to real economic recovery," he was quoted as saying by the Sunday Hong Kong Standard.
</P>
$<\mathrm{P}>$ "It would not surprise me, given the interest I know certain governors have, if the subject of hedge funds was discussed during the meeting," Crockett said.
</P>
$<\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{He}$ reiterated comments by BIS officials here that the central bankers would stay tight-lipped about their meeting, the first to be held at the Hong Kong office of the Swiss-based institution since it opened last July.

```
</P>
</TEXT>
</DOC>
```


### 3.2 Topic Set

The topics used in NTCIR Workshop 3 are created by CLEF [3], Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and TREC [4]. As a result, the topics are much more internationalized. All topics have 4 language versions, i.e., Chinese, English, Japanese, and Korean. We use the tag $<$ SLANG $>$ to denote the contributor or the languages used to create the topic. For example, $<$ SLANG $>\mathrm{CLEF}</$ SLANG $>$ means this topic is contributed by CLEF; <SLANG>CH</SLANG> means this topic is created by Taiwan; $<$ SLANG $>$ EN $</$ SLANG $>$ created by TREC; $<$ SLANG $>$ JA</SLANG $>$ created by Japan; $<$ SLANG $>$ KR $</$ SLANG $>$ created by Korea. $<$ TLANG $>$ tag denotes the language of topic as you look at it. The following shows a sample topic. It is created using Chinese by Taiwan and translated into English. The details of tags for topic could be referred to Table 8.

## $<$ TOPIC> <br> $<$ NUM $>013<$ NUM $>$ <br> $<$ SLANG $>\mathrm{CH}</$ SLANG> <br> $<$ TLANG $>$ EN $</$ TLANG $>$ <br> $<$ TITLE $>$ NBA labor dispute</TITLE> <br> < DESC>

To retrieve the labor dispute between the two parties of the US National Basketball Association at the end of 1998 and the agreement that they reached.
</DESC>
<NARR>
The content of the related documents should include the causes of NBA labor dispute, the relations between the players and the management, main controversial issues of both sides, compromises after negotiation and content of the new agreement, etc. The document will be regarded as irrelevant if it only touched upon the influences of closing the court on each game of the season.
</NARR>
<CONC>
NBA (National Basketball Association), union, team, league, labor dispute, league and union, negotiation, to sign an agreement, salary, lockout, Stern, Bird Regulation.
</CONC>
</TOPIC>
Figure 2. Sample Topic
As previous section describes, we have 1998-1999 Chinese, English, and Japanese news articles and 1994 Korean news articles. We have to prepare topics for the two different time-window documents. As a result, we created hundreds of candidate topics and carried out a pre-test search to select the topics for formal run. Considering the number of documents, we decided to select 30 topics and 50 topics for 1994 documents and 1998-1999 documents, respectively. Table 9 shows the distribution of final topics.

Figure 1. Sample Document

Table 8. Topic Tags used in CLIR Task

| <TOPIC> | </TOPIC> | The tag for each topic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <NUM> | </NUM> | Topic identifier |
| <SLANG> | </SLANG> | Source language code: CH, EN, JA, KR |
| <TLANG> | </TLANG> | Target language code: CH , EN, JA, KR |
| <TITLE> | </TITLE> | The concise representation of information request, which is composed of noun or noun phrase. |
| <DESC> | </DESC> | A short description of the topic. The brief description of information need, which is composed of one or two sentences. |
| <NARR> | </NARR> | A much longer description of topic. The <NARR> has to be detailed, like the further interpretation to the request and proper nouns, the list of relevant or irrelevant items, the specific requirements or limitations of relevant documents, and so on. |
| <CONC> | </CONC> | The keywords relevant to whole topic. |

Table 9. Distribution of Topic Set of CLIR Task

| Time Window | 1994 | 1998 -1999 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CLEF | 4 | 0 |
| Japan | 5 | 15 |
| Korea | 13 | 12 |
| Taiwan | 8 | 13 |
| TREC | 0 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 30 | 50 |

## 4. Evaluation

This workshop is the third NTCIR Workshop. In fact, we have experience in relevance judgment and performance evaluation. However, the CLIR task is much more complicated than ever before we have met. The relevance judgment is executed in Japan, Korea, and Taiwan, separately. Taiwan is responsible of compiling the final relevance judgment and executing the performance evaluation. The TREC_EVAL program is used to score the research results submitted by participants. It provides the interpolated recall and precision at 11 points, AvgPre (non-interpolated) over all relevant documents and precision at $5,10,15,20,30,100,200,500$, and 1000 documents. Each participating group has to submit its search results in the designated format. The result file is a list of tuples in the following form:
qid iter docid rank sim runid giving docid (a string extracted from the $<$ DOCNO $>$ $</ D O C N O>$ field in the documents, e.g. $<$ DOCNO $>$
ctg_xxx_19990110_0001</DOCNO>) retrieved by topic qid (an integer extracted from <NUM> $</$ NUM $>$ field of topic, e.g., $<$ NUM $>002</$ NUM $>$, the qid is 002 ) with similarity $\operatorname{sim}$ (a float). The result file is assumed to be sorted numerically by qid. Sim is assumed to be higher for the documents to be retrieved first. The iter and rank could be regarded as the dummy filed in tuples. In addition, each field in tuples is separated by inserting 'TAB' ( $\mathrm{xx} 0 \mathrm{~A}, \backslash \mathrm{t}$ ) character.

The relevance judgment is undertaken by pooling method. The steps of pooling are as follows,
(1) Collect the top $X$ documents for each topic from all of the corresponding runs to form the pools. One document pool was made for 1998-1999 document sets, and another document pool was made for 1994 document set.
(2) Separate the pooled documents into each language set, that is, the pool for 1998-1999 were separated into four sets which corresponded to four document set, Chinese, Japanese, Taiwan-English and Japan-English set ${ }^{1}$.
(3) Check whether the number of pooled documents for each language was appropriate for relevance judgment. If not, increase or decrease the number " $X$ " and go to (1)
The number " $X$ " determines the size of pool for each search topic. As for the formal run, the X was adjusted from 80 to 100 for 1998-99 documents, and from 180 to 200 for 1994 documents so that the total number of documents for each topic might be around 2000. The Xs are shown in Table 10 and Table 11.

The reason why we limited the Xs is that the pooled documents must be judged by human assessors within a given period. According to our experiences from the 1 st and 2nd NTCIR Workshop, an appropriate number of documents in a language set for a topic is around 2000. Though the Xs were different among topics, but the same number $X$ of documents were pooled from each run.

As for measurement granularity, it is supposed that some distinct definitions of relevance degree should be identified to keep judgment objective. 4 categories of relevance are identified: "Highly Relevant", "Relevant", "Partially relevant", and "Irrelevant." Each kind of relevance is assigned a relevance score. "Highly relevant" is 3 , "Relevant" is 2, "Partially relevant" is 1 , and "Irrelevant" is 0 .

Since TREC_EVAL scoring program adopts binary relevance, we have to decide the thresholds for 4 categories of relevance. The first is the so-called "Rigid Relevance", that is to say, "Highly Relevant" and "Relevant" are regarded as relevant.

[^0]The second is the so-called "Relaxed Relevant", that is to say, "Highly Relevant", "Relevant" and "Partially Relevant" are regarded as relevant. Therefore, we will create two scoring results for each submitted run. For example, a run LIPS-E-EJ-D-01 will be evaluated to produce two scoring results using TREC_EVAL: one is LIPS-E-EJ-D-01-Relax; the other is LIPS-E-EJ-D-01-Rigid.

Table 10. Pool Size of each Topic for CJE

| Topic | Pool Size | Total | JA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JP- } \\ & \text { EN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TW- } \\ & \text { EN } \end{aligned}$ | CH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 100 | 4695 | 2185 | 794 | 246 | 1470 |
| 002 | 90 | 5105 | 2289 | 320 | 624 | 1872 |
| 003 | 100 | 5563 | 2269 | 801 | 505 | 1988 |
| 004 | 80 | 4655 | 2096 | 519 | 624 | 1416 |
| 005 | 100 | 5755 | 2114 | 744 | 902 | 1995 |
| 006 | 100 | 3602 | 1205 | 673 | 358 | 1366 |
| 007 | 100 | 4969 | 1759 | 539 | 522 | 2149 |
| 008 | 100 | 4844 | 1907 | 888 | 363 | 1686 |
| 009 | 100 | 4248 | 1677 | 561 | 424 | 1586 |
| 010 | 100 | 3935 | 1313 | 651 | 505 | 1466 |
| 011 | 100 | 4273 | 1765 | 872 | 357 | 1279 |
| 012 | 100 | 4491 | 1588 | 598 | 385 | 1920 |
| 013 | 80 | 5569 | 1774 | 515 | 1093 | 2187 |
| 014 | 100 | 4676 | 2015 | 529 | 473 | 1659 |
| 015 | 100 | 3703 | 1108 | 928 | 329 | 1338 |
| 016 | 100 | 3983 | 1355 | 678 | 371 | 1579 |
| 017 | 100 | 5059 | 1840 | 978 | 269 | 1972 |
| 018 | 90 | 5243 | 1988 | 833 | 325 | 2097 |
| 019 | 100 | 4101 | 1256 | 636 | 553 | 1656 |
| 020 | 100 | 3861 | 1135 | 735 | 274 | 1717 |
| 021 | 100 | 4337 | 1248 | 651 | 442 | 1996 |
| 022 | 100 | 4680 | 1570 | 958 | 417 | 1735 |
| 023 | 100 | 4696 | 1894 | 647 | 455 | 1700 |
| 024 | 100 | 5182 | 1797 | 1036 | 384 | 1965 |
| 025 | 100 | 5214 | 1273 | 943 | 546 | 2452 |
| 026 | 100 | 5520 | 1694 | 943 | 688 | 2195 |
| 027 | 100 | 3516 | 1107 | 490 | 654 | 1265 |
| 028 | 100 | 4669 | 1797 | 748 | 235 | 1889 |
| 029 | 100 | 4605 | 1228 | 1302 | 389 | 1686 |
| 030 | 100 | 4361 | 1623 | 845 | 198 | 1695 |
| 031 | 90 | 5168 | 2122 | 1211 | 154 | 1681 |
| 032 | 80 | 4796 | 1762 | 489 | 425 | 2120 |
| 033 | 100 | 5533 | 2043 | 625 | 684 | 2181 |
| 034 | 100 | 5508 | 2131 | 643 | 644 | 2090 |
| 035 | 100 | 5097 | 1798 | 896 | 283 | 2120 |
| 036 | 100 | 4932 | 2029 | 625 | 340 | 1938 |
| 037 | 100 | 4754 | 1258 | 874 | 534 | 2088 |
| 038 | 100 | 3301 | 1017 | 712 | 431 | 1141 |
| 039 | 100 | 5453 | 1948 | 952 | 260 | 2293 |
| 040 | 90 | 5188 | 1988 | 733 | 385 | 2082 |
| 041 | 80 | 5672 | 2069 | 712 | 616 | 2275 |
| 042 | 90 | 5071 | 2024 | 547 | 545 | 1955 |
| 043 | 90 | 4905 | 1718 | 609 | 449 | 2129 |
| 044 | 100 | 5279 | 2249 | 704 | 407 | 1919 |
| 045 | 100 | 5199 | 1965 | 834 | 371 | 2029 |
| 046 | 100 | 4928 | 2020 | 861 | 368 | 1679 |
| 047 | 80 | 5585 | 2065 | 611 | 689 | 2220 |
| 048 | 100 | 5508 | 2044 | 952 | 374 | 2138 |
| 049 | 80 | 5237 | 1966 | 659 | 481 | 2131 |
| 050 | 90 | 4944 | 1755 | 793 | 276 | 2120 |

After executing relevance judgment, we find the number of relevant documents for some topics is small or is zero. For example, the topics created by Japanese member have few relevant Chinese documents. As a result, the members of Executive Committee of CLIR Task have discussed how to screen out the unsuitable topics for each combination of target languages based on a basic idea of keeping as many topics as possible. Here, the source language is query language and the target language(s) is/are document language(s). We adopt the so-called " 3 -in-S + A" criterion. Please remember that we have 4 -level relevance as shown in Table 12. The " 3 -in-S + A" means that a qualified topic must have at least 3 relevant documents with ' $S$ ' or ' $A$ ' score. Based on this criterion, we identify various topic sets for each combination of target language document set no matter what source (query) languages are used. We construct a NTCIR-3 Formal Test Collection for the Evaluation of NTCIR-3 CLIR Task which we describe in the following.

Table 11. Pool Size of each Topic for Korean

| Topic | Pool Size | KR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 200 | 1996 |
| 002 | 190 | 1932 |
| 003 | 190 | 1917 |
| 004 | 200 | 1604 |
| 005 | 200 | 1742 |
| 006 | 180 | 1987 |
| 007 | 200 | 1419 |
| 008 | 200 | 1469 |
| 009 | 200 | 1213 |
| 010 | 200 | 1276 |
| 011 | 200 | 1698 |
| 012 | 200 | 1113 |
| 013 | 180 | 2028 |
| 014 | 200 | 1394 |
| 015 | 200 | 1730 |
| 016 | 200 | 1776 |
| 017 | 200 | 1072 |
| 018 | 200 | 1293 |
| 019 | 200 | 1369 |
| 020 | 200 | 1338 |
| 021 | 200 | 1122 |
| 022 | 200 | 1744 |
| 023 | 200 | 1610 |
| 024 | 200 | 1558 |
| 025 | 200 | 1616 |
| 026 | 180 | 1931 |
| 027 | 200 | 1876 |
| 028 | 200 | 956 |
| 029 | 200 | 1705 |
| 030 | 200 | 1247 |

Table 12. 4-Level Relevance

| Meaning | Symbolic <br> Score | Numerical <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Highly Relevant | S | 3 |
| Relevant | A | 2 |
| Partially Relevant | B | 1 |
| Irrelevant | C | 0 |

1. For Chinese, Japanese, and English Document Set (note that these are 1998-1999 news articles) and the accompanying 1998-1999 Topic Set with 50 topics as we send to you in the beginning of CLIR task, we create the following sub-test collection based on " 3 -in-S+A" criterion. In the FORMAL Test Collection, each target document set (C, J, E, CJ, CE, JE, CJE, and K) has different set of topics.
(1) NTCIR-3 Formal Chinese Test Collection It contains 381,681 Chinese documents and 42 topics in source language of Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. The IDs of topics in 1998-1999 Topic Set used in this collection are $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14$, $15,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,27,32$, $33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,42,43,45,46$, 47, 48, 49, and 50.
(2) NTCIR-3 Formal Japanese Test Collection It contains 236,664 Japanese documents and 42 topics in source language of Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. The IDs of topics in 1998-1999 Topic Set used in this collection are $2,4,5,7,8,10,12,14$, $15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26$, $27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38$, $39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47$, and 50.
(3) NTCIR-3 Formal English Test Collection It contains 22,927 English documents and 32 topics in source language of Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. The IDs of topics in 1998-1999 Topic Set used in this collection are $2,4,5,7,9,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,23$, $24,26,27,28,29,31,32,33,34,35,36,37$, $38,39,42,43,45,46$, and 50 .
(4) NTCIR-3 Formal CJ Test Collection

It contains 618,345 Chinese and Japanese documents and 50 topics in source language of Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. All of the 50 topics in 1998-1999 Topic Set are used in this collection.
(5) NTCIR-3 Formal CE Test Collection

It contains 404,608 Chinese and English documents and 46 topics in source language of Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. The IDs of topics in 1998-1999 Topic Set used in this collection are $1,2,3,4,5,6,7$, $8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,17,18,19,20,21$, $22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,31,32$,33, 34, $35,36,37,38,39,40,42,43,45,46,47,48$, 49 , and 50 .
(6) NTCIR-3 Formal JE Test Collection It contains 259,591 Japanese and English documents and 45 topics in source languages of Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. The topics in 1998-1999 Topic Set used in this collection are $2,4,5,7,8,9,10$, $12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23$, $24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35$, $36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47$, 48 , and 50 .

## (7) NTCIR 3 Formal CJE Test Collection

It contains 641,272 Chinese, Japanese, and English documents and 50 topics in source language of Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. All of the 50 topics in 1998-1999 Topic Set are used in this collection
2.For Korean Document Set (note that these documents are 1994 news articles) and the accompanying 1994 Topic Set with 30 topics as we send to you in the beginning of CLIR task, we create one sub-test collection also based on " 3 -in-S+A" criterion.
(8) NTCIR-3 Formal Korean Test Collection It contains 66,146 Korean documents and 30 topics in Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. All of the 30 topics in 1994 Topic Set are used in this collection.

To sum up, we will have a NTCIR-3 Formal Test Collection with 8 sub-test collections for all of the possible combination of target language documents except that Korean documents could not be combined with Chinese, Japanese, and English documents.

## 5. Search Results

We will report the search results in a broad view and analyze some of runs in this section. Before going to the different tracks, we would like to describe the 3 interactive runs among 189 submitted runs. The first interactive run is an E-E run, the second is an E-K run, and the third is a J -C run. They are the top run in the corresponding query-document pair runs except the E-E run. Another interesting point is 12 groups apply probabilistic model and 11 groups apply vector-space model. More groups use probabilistic model than ever before and many top runs are fulfilled by various probabilistic models. The aforementioned 3 interactive runs are also fulfilled by probabilistic model. In addition, most groups apply inverted file, but CRL and SSTUT use suffix array. The TF/IDF-based weighting is used in most of submitted runs. The technique descriptions could be referred to the Appendix, but please examine the corresponding papers in workshop proceedings for detailed information.

### 5.1 SLIR Track

Totally, 110 runs are submitted from 22 groups in SLIR track. Table 13 shows the SLIR participants and Table 14 shows the number of submitted runs. In general, the submitted C-C runs, J-J runs, and K-K runs are character-based and $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}$ runs are word-based. It should be the direct results of recent IR evaluation. The researchers have learned many experiences from the activities of TREC, CLEF and NTCIR. In the following, we will examine each topic-document language pair.

Table 13. List of SLIR Participants

| 1 | Applied Physics Laboratory <br> Johns Hopkins University, USA |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | University of California at Berkeley, USA |
| 3 | Chungnam National University, Korea |
| 4 | Language Technologies Institute <br> Carnegie Mellon University, USA |
| 5 | Communications Research Laboratory, Japan |
| 6 | Dept. of Library and Information Science <br> Fu Jen Catholic University, Taiwan |
| 7 | Hong Kong Polytechnic University <br> Hong Kong |
| 8 | Hummingbird, Canada |
| 9 | University of Library and Information <br> Science, Japan |
| 10 | Institute of Software <br> Chinese Academy of Sciences, China |
| 11 | Kent Ridge Digital Labs, Singapore |
| 12 | NLP Lab., Korea University, Korea |
| 13 | Microsoft Research China, China |
| 14 | Department of Computer Science <br> Information Engineering <br> National Taiwan University, Taiwan |
| 15 | the University of Aizu, Japan |
| 16 | Osaka Kyoiku University, Japan |
| 17 | Queens College <br> City University of New York, USA |
| 18 | Pohang University of Science \& Technology <br> Korea |
| 19 | Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan |
| 20 | R\&D Group <br> Thomson Legal \& Regulatory, USA |
| 21 | Knowledge Media Laboratory <br> Toshiba R\&D Center, Japan |
| 22 | Computer Science Department <br> University of Waterloo, Canada |

Table 14. Number of SLIR Submitted Runs

| Run <br> Types | \#of <br> Runs | \# of <br> Groups | Total \# <br> of Runs | Total \# <br> of Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-C | 34 | 15 |  |  |
| E-E | 29 | 15 | 110 | 22 |
| J-J | 30 | 13 |  |  |
| K-K | 17 | 8 |  |  |

### 5.1.1 C-C Runs

In total, 8 different combinations of topic fields are used in Chinese-Chinese SLIR track. Table 15 shows the distribution and the corresponding AVG, MAX, and MIN of AvgPre.

Generally speaking, the TDNC runs show good performance than other runs. The highest precision run of C-C runs is a TNDC run, but the lowest is also a TNDC. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the relaxed top $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ runs and rigid top $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ runs, respectively. We have to investigate the used techniques. In relaxed top C-C runs, only 2 runs use vector-space model. 8 runs use various probabilistic models. Rigid top C-C runs have the same phenomena. The top three runs
are TNDC runs. In addition, the most of top runs adopt query expansion mechanism.

Table 15. AvgPre of C-C Runs (Relaxed)

| Topic <br> Fields | \# of <br> Runs | AVG | MAX | MIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 4 | 0.2605 | 0.2929 | 0.2403 |
| D | 14 | 0.2557 | 0.3617 | 0.0443 |
| DC | 1 | 0.2413 | 0.2413 | 0.2413 |
| T | 1 | 0.2467 | 0.2467 | 0.2467 |
| TC | 4 | 0.3109 | 0.3780 | 0.2389 |
| TDC | 1 | 0.3086 | 0.3086 | 0.3086 |
| TDN | 1 | 0.3499 | 0.3499 | 0.3499 |
| TDNC | 8 | 0.3161 | 0.4165 | 0.0862 |
| Total | 34 | 0.2806 | 0.4165 | 0.0443 |

### 5.1.2 E-E Runs

As the C-C runs, 8 different combinations of topic fields appear in E-E runs. Table 16 shows the distribution and the corresponding AVG, MAX, and MIN of AvgPre. From viewpoint of topic fields, using all topic fields performs well than other combinations of topic fields. The index unit and query unit of all submitted E-E runs are word-based. Since E-E IR has been the focus of IR researches for a long time, the performance of E-E runs are much better than other topic-document language pairs. Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the relaxed top E-E runs and rigid top E-E runs, respectively.

Table 16. AvgPre of E-E Runs (Relaxed)

| Topic <br> Fields | \# of <br> Runs | AVG | MAX | MIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1 | 0.4422 | 0.4422 | 0.4422 |
| D | 13 | 0.2731 | 0.4649 | 0.0351 |
| DC | 1 | 0.0840 | 0.0840 | 0.0840 |
| T | 1 | 0.3526 | 0.3526 | 0.3526 |
| TC | 2 | 0.3682 | 0.4198 | 0.3166 |
| TDC | 1 | 0.3513 | 0.3513 | 0.3513 |
| TDN | 1 | 0.4472 | 0.4472 | 0.4472 |
| TDNC | 9 | 0.4574 | 0.5000 | 0.3229 |
| Total | 29 | 0.3476 | 0.5000 | 0.0351 |

From Figure 5, we find that 9 out of 12 top runs use probabilistic model, 9 out of 12 top runs use query expansion, and only one run use no stemming and stop words. Figure 6 shows that only 1 run out of 6 runs uses vector-space model. In short, stop words, stemming, query expansion, using all topic fields, and applying probabilistic model result in good E-E runs.

### 5.1.3 J-J Runs

Most participants of J-J runs adopt D and TDNC topic fields to carry out document search. Table 17 shows the distribution and the corresponding AVG, MAX, and MIN of AvgPre. It is clear that TDNC runs perform well than other runs. Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the relaxed top J-J runs and rigid top J-J runs, respectively.

Table 17. AvgPre of J-J Runs (Relaxed)

| Topic <br> Fields | \# of <br> Runs | AVG | MAX | MIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2 | 0.2786 | 0.2898 | 0.2674 |
| D | 18 | 0.2633 | 0.3998 | 0.0460 |
| DC | 2 | 0.3454 | 0.4104 | 0.2804 |
| T | 2 | 0.3298 | 0.3562 | 0.3034 |
| TC | 2 | 0.3195 | 0.3990 | 0.2400 |
| TDNC | 4 | 0.4500 | 0.4932 | 0.3977 |
| Total | 30 | 0.3029 | 0.4932 | 0.0460 |

Observing Figure 7, we find most top runs use probabilistic model again. The common features of top runs are using morphological operations, query expansion, and TDNC topic fields.

### 5.1.4 K-K Runs

The D runs and TDNC runs are submitted by most of participants. Table 18 shows the distribution and the corresponding AVG, MAX, and MIN of AvgPre. It is clear that TDNC runs perform well. Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the relaxed top K-K runs and rigid top K-K runs, respectively.

Table 18. AvgPre of K-K Runs (Relaxed)

| Topic <br> Fields | \# of <br> Runs | AVG | MAX | MIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2 | 0.2807 | 0.2938 | 0.2675 |
| D | 9 | 0.2501 | 0.3602 | 0.1256 |
| T | 1 | 0.3317 | 0.3317 | 0.3317 |
| TC | 1 | 0.3569 | 0.3569 | 0.3569 |
| TDNC | 4 | 0.4356 | 0.5022 | 0.3367 |
| Total | 17 | 0.3084 | 0.5022 | 0.1256 |

Figure 9 shows the top 2 runs are better than other top runs. The top 2 runs also use morphological operations, query expansion, and TDNC topic fields.

### 5.2 BLIR Track

Totally, 50 runs are submitted from 14 groups in SLIR track. Table 19 shows the BLIR participants and Table 20 shows the number of submitted runs. According to the Table 20, we would like to examine C-J, E-C, E-J, E-K, and J-C runs.

### 5.2.1 C-J Runs

Only 4 C-J runs are submitted by 2 groups. Figure 11 and Figure 12 show all relaxed C-J runs and rigid C-J runs, respectively. Brkly group submits a C-J run and performs well. It uses query expansion, logistic regression model and character-based indexing. The query translation is a two-stage procedure. The first is to translate Chinese to English using corpus-based method. The second is to translate English to Japanese using MT-based method. The ISCAS submits 3 C-J runs. It uses dictionary-based and MT-based methods to translate query. It is a word-based and vector-space model system without query expansion. The average of AvgPre of C-J runs is 0.0891 , but that of J-J runs is 0.3029 .

Table 19. List of BLIR Participants

| 1 | Applied Physics Laboratory <br> Johns Hopkins University, USA |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | University of California at Berkeley, USA |
| 3 | Chungnam National University, Korea |
| 4 | Language Technologies Institute <br> Carnegie Mellon University, USA |
| 5 | University of Library and Information <br> Science, Japan |
| 6 | Institute of Software <br> Chinese Academy of Sciences, China |
| 7 | NLP Lab., Korea University, Korea |
| 8 | Microsoft Research China, China |
| 9 | Osaka Kyoiku University, Japan |
| 10 | Queens College <br> City University of New York, USA |
| 11 | Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan |
| 12 | Tianjin University |
| 13 | R\&D Group <br> Thomson Legal \& Regulatory, USA |
| 14 | Knowledge Media Laboratory <br> Toshiba R\&D Center, Japan |

Table 20. Number of BLIR Submitted Runs

| Run <br> Types | \#of <br> Runs | \# of <br> Groups | Total \# <br> of Runs | Total \# <br> of Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-E | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| C-J | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| E-C | 16 | 6 |  |  |
| E-J | 11 | 5 | 50 | 14 |
| E-K | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| J-C | 5 | 3 |  |  |
| J-E | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| K-C | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| K-E | 2 | 1 |  |  |

### 5.2.2 E-C Runs

16 E-C runs are submitted from 6 groups. Most runs are D runs and TDNC runs. TDNC runs perform well than other runs. Table 21 shows the distribution and the corresponding AVG, MAX, and MIN of AvgPre. Figure 13 and Figure 14 show the relaxed top E-C runs and rigid top E-C runs, respectively. MSRA group submits 3 E-C runs. The first 2 of MSRA's runs are the top 2 . The 3 runs use the same techniques except the third run uses no query expansion. In addition, only one top run uses vector space model. With comparison to 0.2806 , the average of AvgPre of C-C runs, the average of AvgPre of E-C runs is 0.1443 .

Table 21. AvgPre of E-C Runs (Relaxed)

| Topic <br> Fields | \# of <br> Runs | AVG | MAX | MIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | 6 | 0.1144 | 0.1921 | 0.0098 |
| T | 1 | 0.0092 | 0.0092 | 0.0092 |
| TC | 1 | 0.0426 | 0.0426 | 0.0426 |
| TDC | 2 | 0.2273 | 0.2322 | 0.2223 |
| TDNC | 6 | 0.1861 | 0.2781 | 0.0291 |
| Total | 16 | 0.1443 | 0.2781 | 0.0092 |

### 5.2.3 E-J Runs

11 E-J runs are submitted from 5 groups. Most runs are D runs and TDNC runs. 4 out of 5 groups use probabilistic model. Most runs are D runs and all top runs are D runs. Table 22 shows the distribution and the corresponding AVG, MAX, and MIN of AvgPre. Figure 15 and Figure 16 show the relaxed top E-J runs and rigid top E-J runs, respectively.

Table 22. AvgPre of E-J Runs (Relaxed)

| Topic <br> Fields | \# of <br> Runs | AVG | MAX | MIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | 7 | 0.2015 | 0.3404 | 0.0000 |
| DC | 2 | 0.0479 | 0.0957 | 0.0001 |
| T | 1 | 0.1024 | 0.1024 | 0.1024 |
| TDNC | 1 | 0.1531 | 0.1531 | 0.1531 |
| Total | 11 | 0.1602 | 0.3004 | 0.0000 |

The top three runs are submitted from TSB group. TSB uses probabilistic model, morphological analysis, query expansion, and MT-based query translation. Be aware that the average of AvgPre of $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J}$ run is 0.3029 and that of E-J runs is 0.1602 .

### 5.2.4 E-K Runs

6 E-J runs are submitted from 2 groups. All top runs are submitted from KUNLP group. Figure 17 and Figure 18 show the relaxed top E-K runs and rigid top E-K runs, respectively. The top one run is an interactive run. KUNLP use probabilistic model, word-based indexing, and dictionary-based and select-top-2 query translation. The top one run is interactive and query expanded. The top two run is automatic and query expanded. The top three run is automatic without query expansion. Be aware that the average of AvgPre of E-K runs 0.1134 and that of $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}$ run is 0.3084 .

### 5.2.5 J-C Runs

5 J-C runs are submitted from 3 groups. Figure 19 and Figure 20 show the relaxed top J-C runs and rigid top J-C runs, respectively. Each group has one run in the top runs. One top run is an interactive run and it is the top one run. OKSAT group submit the interactive J-C run (OKSAT-J-C-D-01). This run use probabilistic model, character-based indexing for Japanese and word-base indexing for Chinese, dictionary-based query translation, and query expansion. Be aware that the average of AvgPre of J -C runs 0.1010 and that of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ run is 0.2806 .

Before moving to MLIR Track, we would like to show the comparative data of the available retrieval tasks of SLIR and BLIR in Table 23. It is much clearer for us to know how far we have gone in CLIR researches in this way. As aforementioned, some retrieval tasks have few submitted runs. In order not to mislead the interested readers, the number of submitted runs and that of groups is also shown under corresponding retrieval tasks.

Table 23. Comparison of Retrieval Tasks

| Topic | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ \text { (\#run/\#grp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ \text { (\#run/\#grp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ \text { (\#run/\#grp) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \\ (\# \mathrm{run} / \# \mathrm{grp}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2086 \\ & (34 / 15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1055 \\ (3 / 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0891 \\ (4 / 2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| E | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1443 \\ & (16 / 6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3476 \\ & (29 / 15) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1602 \\ & (11 / 5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.1134 \\ (6 / 2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| J | $\begin{gathered} 0.1010 \\ (5 / 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2149 \\ (1 / 1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3029 \\ & (30 / 13) \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| K | $\begin{gathered} * 0.0258 \\ (2 / 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { *0.3148 } \\ (2 / 1) \end{gathered}$ | N/A | $\begin{gathered} 0.3084 \\ (17 / 8) \end{gathered}$ |

* K-E and K-C runs are submitted from member of CLIR Executive Committee.


### 5.3 MLIR

Totally, 29 runs are submitted from 7 groups in SLIR track. Table 24 shows the MLIR participants and Table 25 shows the number of submitted runs.

Table 24. List of MLIR Participants

| 1 | Applied Physics Laboratory <br> Johns Hopkins University, USA |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | University of California at Berkeley, USA |
| 3 | University of Library and Information <br> Science, Japan |
| 4 | Institute of Software <br> Chinese Academy of Sciences, China |
| 5 | Department of Computer Science and <br> Information Engineering <br> National Taiwan University, Taiwan |
| 6 | Queens College <br> City University of New York, USA |
| 7 | Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan |

Table 25. Number of MLIR Submitted Runs

| Run <br> Types | \#of <br> Runs | \# of <br> Groups | Total \# <br> of Runs | Total \# <br> of Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-CE | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| C-CJ | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| C-JE | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| C-CJE | 4 | 2 | 29 | 7 |
| E-CE | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| E-CJE | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| E-JE | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| J-JE | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| J-CJE | 3 | 1 |  |  |

According to Table 25, we would like to examine C-CJE, E-CE, E-CJE, and J-JE runs only.

### 5.3.1 C-CJE Runs

4 runs are submitted from 2 groups. Figure 21 and Figure 22 show the relaxed C-CJE runs and rigid C-CJE runs, respectively. Table 26 shows the AvgPre of these runs. The MLIR track is more complicated. The performance is, of course, lower than that of BLIR and SLIR. Brkly-C-CJE-D-01 is the best run in C-CJE runs, which is the result of direct merge of C-C, C-E, and C-J. Brkly group combines these runs and re-ranks the retrieved documents by using the estimated probability of relevance. The final result consists of the top-ranked 1000 documents per topic.

Table 26. AvgPre of C-CJE Runs

| Run ID | Topic <br> Fields | Relaxed | Rigid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brkly-C-CJE-D-01 | D | 0.1835 | 0.1462 |
| ISCAS-C-CJE-D-03 | D | 0.0920 | 0.0644 |
| ISCAS-C-CJE-TC-01 | TC | 0.1263 | 0.0927 |
| ISCAS-C-CJE-TC-02 | TC | 0.1264 | 0.0925 |

ISCAS group uses vector space model, bigram for Chinese, word for Japanese and English, and dictionary and MT-based query translation. The ISCAS runs are also the merged lists of C-C, C-J, and C-E with different selection criteria. The details could be referred the ISCAS's paper.

### 5.3.2 E-CE Runs

6 runs are submitted from 2 groups. Figure 23 and Figure 24 show the relaxed E-CE runs and rigid E-CE runs, respectively. Table 27 shows the performance of E-CE runs.

Table 27. AvgPre of E-CE Runs

| Run ID | Topic <br> Fields | Relaxed | Rigid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISCAS-E-CE-D-03 | D | 0.1651 | 0.1203 |
| ISCAS-E-CE-TC-01 | TC | 0.0713 | 0.0623 |
| ISCAS-E-CE-TDNC-02 | TDNC | 0.0999 | 0.0839 |
| pircs-E-EC-D-001 | D | 0.1620 | 0.1198 |
| pircs-E-EC-D-002 | D | 0.1577 | 0.1158 |
| pircs-E-EC-D-003 | D | 0.1320 | 0.0986 |

ISCAS group and pircs group use different criteria to merge the results of corresponding SLIR and BLIR to form the final results of E-EC runs. Therefore, the performance of E-CE runs depends on the E-C and E-E runs and the selection criteria. ISCAS uses the predefined number of documents for E-E and E-C runs. Pircs group manages to find a ratio for the composition of E-CE run from E-E and $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}$ runs. Please refer to the respective papers for detailed information.

### 5.3.3 E-CJE Runs

4 runs are submitted from 2 groups. Figure 25 and Figure 26 show the relaxed E-CJE runs and rigid E-CJE runs, respectively. Table 28 shows the performance of E-CE runs.

Table 28. AvgPre of E-CJE Runs

| Run ID | Topic <br> Fields | Relaxed | Rigid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APL-E-CEJ-D-02 | D | 0.0620 | 0.0547 |
| APL-E-CEJ-T-03 | T | 0.0622 | 0.0492 |
| APL-E-CEJ-TDNC-01 | TDNC | 0.0759 | 0.0681 |
| Brkly-E-CJE-D-01 | D | 0.1535 | 0.1287 |

Brkly-E-CJE-D-01 shows good performance. This run is the direct merging of Brkly-E-C-D-01, Brkly-E-J-D-01, and Brkly-E-E-D-01. The same method mentioned in C-CJE runs is also used to create the final E-CJE run.

### 5.3.4 J-JE Runs

2 runs are submitted from 2 groups. Only one run is shown in Figure 27 and Figure 28. IFLAB-J-JE-D-01 performs much well than the other run. IFLAB uses probabilistic model, word-based indexing for query and document, morphology and stemming, and corpus- and dictionary-based translation with select-top-1.

## 6. Conclusions

The third NTCIR Workshop is the first joint efforts of Japan, Korea and Taiwan in providing an evaluation mechanism for real multilingual CLIR task not only in queries but also in documents. We hope CLIR task could encourage the CLIR researches in Eastern Asia, promote the concept of IR evaluation, provide an opportunity to share the research ideas and results, investigate the useful techniques for CLIR researches, and enhance the effectiveness of CLIR systems.

After the preliminary analyses on the submitted runs, some findings are shown in the following. The detailed information of particular CLIR systems could be referred to the respective reports in this workshop.

- More groups use probabilistic model than ever before and show better performance.
- Most groups apply inverted file approach for index structure.
- Many groups adopt tf/idf-based weighting scheme.
- Query expansion is a cutting-edge technique for good retrieval performance
- Stopword list is a good resource for enhancing system performance.
- Among 15 groups which have submitted BLIR and MLIR runs, 7 adopts dictionary-based translation, 3 MT-based translation, 2 corpus-based translation, 2 MT+dict-Based translation, and $1 \mathrm{MT}+$ corpus-based translation.
Since we have 16 E-C runs and 11 E-J runs, it is more appropriate to examine the effectiveness of translation techniques in these two categories.
- MSRA group adopts dictionary-based translation in E-C runs and shows good performance.
- TSB group adopts MT-based translation in E-J runs and shows good performance.
It is hard to say which approach is good in this situation. We have another observation on the two groups. MSAR is familiar with Chinese and TSB group is familiar with Japanese. Maybe they hold the edge in languages.
The C-C runs in the second NTCIR workshop show very good performance [5]. We have discussed this point in the report of the second NTCIR
workshop. The <concepts> of topics of the second NTCIR workshop are created very carefully using positive and negative examples in deep natural language analysis. The $<$ CONC $>$ field of this year's topic is created in more user-oriented way. Therefore, the performance of C-C runs in this year looks more "normal" and is comparable to J-J and K-K runs.

We would like to say again that these findings are drawn from the submitted runs of the third NTCIR workshop. Although, after several years devoting in evaluation of information retrieval, some common views have been in form, each test collection has its own characteristics and each language also has its own idiosyncrasy. The readers have to examine each paper carefully and do not reach a conclusion directly from the results. We have to carry out more detailed analyses using other test collections.
We have described the refined test collection for evaluation in NTCIR workshop in Section 4. However, for the uses of the test collection after NTCIR workshop, we will create another so-called NTCIR-3 Reference Test Collection in order to eliminate the complicated situation, which maybe confuse the non-participants of NTCIR workshop. This test collection contains 2 sub- test collections which are created based on " 2 -in-S+A" criterion. The Reference Test Collection has only one common Topic Set for CJE target languages. These topics have at least 2 " $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{A}$ " documents in each of three target document sets (C, J and E). NTCIR-3
Reference Test Collection also has one Topic Set for Korean target language.
1.NTCIR-3 CJE Reference Test Collection (Remember that this collection is 1998-1999based.)
It contains Chinese DocSet, English DocSet and Japanese DocSet. The users of this test collection could freely combine different target language DocSets. The Chinese DocSet contains 381,681 Chinese documents. The English DocSet contains 22,927 English documents. The Japanese DocSet contains 236,664 Japanese documents. Only one topic set with 31 topics is prepared for this test collection. The IDs of these topics selected from 1998-1999 Topic Set are 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, $18,19,20,21,23,24,25,26,27,28,32,33,34,35$, $36,37,38,39,42,43,45,46$, and 50 .

## 2. NTCIR-3 Korean Reference Test Collection

In fact, this is the same as the NTCIR-3 Korean Formal Test Collection. It contains 66,146 Korean documents and 30 topics in Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and English. All of the 30 topics in 1994 Topic Set are used in this collection.
Of course, we will prepare the relevance judgment (Answer Set) of each sub-test collection for Formal Test Collection and Reference Test Collection. On the one hand, if the users of our test collection do not feel the NTCIR-3 Formal Test Collection
complicated, they would have more topics to be used for their IR researches. On the other hand, if they prefer to a simpler situation, use NTCIR-3 Reference Test Collection.
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Figure 3. CLIR Task: C-C Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 4. CLIR Task: C-C Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)

CLIR Task: E-E Run (Relaxed Relevance) 11-Point Precision Top Run


Figure 5. CLIR Task: E-E Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 6. CLIR Task: E-E Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)

CLIR Task: J-J Run (Relaxed Relevance) 11-Point Precision Top Run


Figure 7. CLIR Task: J-J Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 8. CLIR Task: J-J Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 9. CLIR Task: K-K Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 10. CLIR Task: K-K Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 11. CLIR Task: C-J Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 12. CLIR Task: C-J Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 13. CLIR Task: E-C Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 14. CLIR Task: E-C Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 15. CLIR Task: E-J Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 16. CLIR Task: E-J Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 17. CLIR Task: E-K Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 18. CLIR Task: E-K Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 19. CLIR Task: J-C Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 20. CLIR Task: J-C Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 21. CLIR Task: C-CJE Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 22. CLIR Task: C-CJE Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 23. CLIR Task: E-CE Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 24. CLIR Task: E-CE Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 25. CLIR Task: E-CJE Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 26. CLIR Task: E-CJE Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)


Figure 27. CLIR Task: J-JE Run 11-Point Precision (Relaxed Relevance)


Figure 28. CLIR Task: J-JE Run 11-Point Precision (Rigid Relevance)

CLIR Task: Techniques Description

| Run ID | Index Unit | Index Tech | Index Struc | Query UnIt | Query <br> Method | IR Model | Ranking | Query Expan | Trans Tech | Training Corpus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APL-C-C-TDNC-01 | character n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams generated from input text | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| APL-C-C-D-02 | character n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams generated from input text | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| APL-E-C-TDNC-01 | character n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *5 |
| APL-E-C-D-02 | character n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *5 |
| APL-E-C-T-03 | character n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *5 |
| APL-E-CEJ-TDNC-01 | words (E); <br> character <br> n-grams of <br> lengths 1, 2, <br> and 3 (J), <br> n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 <br> (C) | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator. | *6 |
| APL-E-CEJ-D-02 | words (E); character n-grams of lengths 1,2 , and 3 (J), n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 (C) | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator. | *6 |
| APL-E-CEJ-T-03 | words (E); <br> character <br> n-grams of lengths 1,2 , and 3 (J), n-grams of lengths 1 and 2 (C) | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator. | *6 |
| APL-E-E-TDNC-01 | words | no morphological operations; whitespace-delimited, lower-cased tokens | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |


| APL-E-E-D-02 | words | no morphological operations; whitespace-delimited, lower-cased tokens | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APL-E-E-T-03 | words | no morphological operations; whitespace-delimited, lower-cased tokens | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| APL-E-J-TDNC-01 | character n-grams of lengths 1,2 , and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *4 |
| APL-E-J-D-02 | character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *4 |
| APL-E-J-T-03 | character n-grams of lengths 1,2 , and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *4 |
| APL-E-K-TDNC-01 | character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *3 |
| APL-E-K-D-02 | character n-grams of lengths 1,2 , and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *3 |
| APL-E-K-T-03 | character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | words | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *2 | Single term translations were obtained by the Babelfish MT translator | *3 |
| APL-J-J-TDNC-01 | character  <br> n-grams of <br> lengths 1, <br> la,  <br> and 3   | no morphological | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams <br> generated from <br> input text | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| APL-J-J-D-02 | character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams <br> generated from <br> input text | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| APL-J-J-T-03 | character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams <br> generated from <br> input text | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| APL-K-K-TDNC-01 | character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams <br> generated from <br> input text | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| APL-K-K-D-02 | character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams <br> generated from <br> input text | automatic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { statistical language } \\ \text { model } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |


| APL-K-K-T-03 | character n-grams of lengths 1,2 , and 3 | no morphological operations | Inverted file and corresponding dual file | n-grams generated from input text | automatic | statistical language model | TF*IDF | *1 | None | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brkly-C-C-D-01 | character + bi-character | stopwords removed | inverted file | character + bi-character | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 50 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | None | None |
| Brkly-C-CJE-D-01 |  | Direct merging from Brkly-C-C-D-01, Brkly-C-J-D-01, and Brkly-C-E-D-01. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brkly-C-J-D-01 | character + bi-character | Hiragana discarded | inverted file | character + bi-character | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | post-translation, 50 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | Corpus-based for translating Chinese to English; MT-based for translating English to Japanese. | Hong Kong News, FBIS corpus. |
| Brkly-E-C-D-01 | character + bi-character | stopwords removed | inverted file | character + bi-character | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 50 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | MT. Word not translated by MT were looked up in a bilingual dictionary created from parallel corpora. | Hong Kong News, FBIS corpus. |
| Brkly-E-CJE-D-01 |  | Direct merging from Brkly-E-C-D-01, Brkly-E-J-D-01, and Brkly-E-E-D-04. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brkly-E-E-C-01 | words | stopwords removed, content-words stemmed. | inverted file | words | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 30 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | None | None |
| Brkly-E-E-TDN-02 | words | stopwords removed, content-words stemmed. | inverted file | words | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 30 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | None | None |
| Brkly-E-E-D-03 | words | stopwords removed, content-words stemmed. | inverted file | words | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 30 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | None | None |
| Brkly-E-J-D-01 | character + bi-character | Hiragana discarded | inverted file | character + bi-character | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 50 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | MT. | None |
| Brkly-J-J-D-01 | character + bi-character | Hiragana discarded | inverted file | character + bi-character | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 50 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | None | None |
| Brkly-K-K-D-01 | character + bi-character | stopwords removed | inverted file | character + bi-character | automatic | logistic regression | *7 | 50 terms selected from 20 top-ranked documents after the initial retrieval. | None | None |
| *CECIR-C-C-TDNC-01 | bigram | None | inverted index file | bigram | automatic | vector space | 2-poisson | None | None | None |
| *CECIR-E-E-TDNC-01 | word | stemming and reducing stop words | inverted index file | word | automatic | vector space | 2-poisson | None | None | None |


| *CECIR-K-CC-TDNC-01 | bigram | None | inverted index file | bigram | automatic | vector space | 2-poisson | None | Dictionary based translation with manual disambiguation | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *CECIR-K-CC-TDNC-02 | bigram | None | inverted index file | bigram | automatic | vector space | 2-poisson | None | Dictionary based translation with disambiguation by using semantic hierarchy | None |
| *CECIR-K-EE-TDNC-06 | word | stemming and stop word elimination | inverted index file | words with stemming and stop word elimination | automatic | vector space | 2-poisson | None | Dictionary based translation with manual disambiguation | None |
| *CECIR-K-EE-TDNC-07 | word | stemming and stop word elimination | inverted index file | words with stemming and stop word elimination | automatic | vector space | 2-poisson | None | Dictionary based translation with automatic disambiguation and weighting based on statistical information | None |
| CMU-C-C-TDC-01 | phrase | segmentation | inverted file | word | automatic | VSM | tf+idf | PRF | None | None |
| CMU-C-C-TDNC-02 | phrase | segmentation | inverted file | word | automatic | VSM | tf+idf | PRF | None | None |
| CMU-C-C-D-03 | phrase | segmentation | inverted file | word | automatic | VSM | tf+idf | PRF | None | None |
| CMU-E-C-TDC-01 | phrase | segmentation | inverted file | word | automatic | VSM | tf+idf | PRF | Machine translation, dictionary | None |
| CMU-E-C-TDC-02 | phrase | segmentation | inverted file | word | automatic | VSM | tf+idf | PRF | Machine translation, dictionary | None |
| CMU-E-C-TDNC-03 | phrase | segmentation | inverted file | word | automatic | VSM | tf+idf | PRF | Machine translation, dictionary | None |
| CMU-E-E-TDC-01 | word | Stop words, stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | VSM | tf+idf | PRF | None | None |
| CRL-C-C-TDNC-01 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | IREX, NTCIR1, NTCIR2 |
| CRL-C-C-D-02 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IREX, NTCIR1, } \\ & \text { NTCIR2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| CRL-C-C-TC-03 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IREX, NTCIR1, } \\ & \text { NTCIR2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| CRL-E-E-TDNC-01 | all the words | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tffidf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | IREX, NTCIR1, NTCIR2 |
| CRL-J-J-TDNC-01 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | IREX, NTCIR1, NTCIR2 |
| CRL-J-J-TDNC-02 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng. | automatic feedback | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IREX, NTCIR1, } \\ & \text { NTCIR2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| CRL-J-J-D-03 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IREX, NTCIR1, } \\ & \text { NTCIR2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| CRL-K-K-TDNC-01 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IREX, NTCIR1, } \\ & \text { NTCIR2 } \end{aligned}$ |


| CRL-K-K-TDNC-02 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng. | automatic feedback | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IREX, NTCIR1, } \\ & \text { NTCIR2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRL-K-K-D-03 | all the patterns of strings | morphology | suffix array | word | automatic | okapi model (probabilistic model) | tf/idf, doc. Leng., term position | automatic feedback | None | IREX, NTCIR1, NTCIR2 |
| FJUIR-C-C-C-01 | *8 | *9 | inverted file. | *8 | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf, word leng., doc. Leng. | None | None | None |
| FJUIR-C-C-D-02 | *8 | *9 | inverted file. | *8 | automatic | vector space model | t tf/idf, word leng., doc. Leng. | None | None | None |
| FJUIR-J-J-C-01 | *8 | *9 | inverted file. | *8 | automatic | vector space model | t tf/idf, word leng., doc. Leng. | None | None | None |
| FJUIR-J-J-D-02 | *8 | *9 | inverted file. | *8 | automatic | vector space model | $\mathrm{t} \mathrm{tf} / \mathrm{idf}$, word leng., doc. Leng. | None | None | None |
| FJUIR-K-K-C-01 | *8 | *9 | inverted file. | *8 | automatic | vector space model | t tf/idf, word leng., doc. Leng. | None | None | None |
| FJUIR-K-K-D-02 | *8 | *9 | inverted file. | *8 | automatic | vector space model | t tf/idf, word leng., doc. Leng. | None | None | None |
| HKPU-C-CC-TDN-01 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Short Word + } \\ \text { Bigram } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hybrid | Extensible Inverted File | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Short Word + } \\ & \text { Bigram } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | automatic | Probabilistic | 2-Poisson | None | None | None |
| HKPU-C-CC-C-02 | Short Word + Bigram | Hybrid | Extensible Inverted File | Short Word + <br> Bigram | automatic | Probabilistic | 2-Poisson | None | None | None |
| HKPU-C-CC-CT-03 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Short Word + } \\ \text { Bigram } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hybrid | Extensible Inverted File | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Short Word + } \\ & \text { Bigram } \end{aligned}$ | automatic | Probabilistic | 2-Poisson | None | None | None |
| HUM-C-C-D-01 | *10 | case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, idf squared, document length importance $50 \%$ | None | None | None |
| HUM-C-C-TC-02 | *10 | case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance 0\% | None | None | None |
| HUM-C-C-TDNC-03 | *10 | case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance 100\% | None | None | None |
| HUM-E-E-D-01 | words | case normalization of Latin | inverted file | words | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, idf squared, document length importance 50\% | None | None | None |
| HUM-E-E-TC-02 | words | case normalization of Latin | inverted file | words | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance $0 \%$ | None | None | None |
| HUM-E-E-TDNC-03 | words | case normalization of Latin | inverted file | words | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance 100\% | None | None | None |


| HUM-J-J-D-01 | *10 | case normalization of Hiragana, Katakana and Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, idf squared, document length importance 50\% | None | None | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUM-J-J-TC-02 | *10 | case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance 0\% | None | None | None |
| HUM-J-J-TDNC-03 | *10 | case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance 100\% | None | None | None |
| HUM-K-K-D-01 | *10 | Han mapped to Hangul, case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, idf squared, document length importance 50\% | None | None | None |
| HUM-K-K-TC-02 | *10 | Han mapped to Hangul, case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance 0\% | None | None | None |
| HUM-K-K-TDNC-03 | *10 | Han mapped to Hangul, case normalization of Latin, old Kanji normalized to new | inverted file | overlapping n-grams for CJK, words for Latin | automatic | vector space | tf/idf, tf dampened, document length importance 100\% | None | None | None |
| IFLAB-E-E-D-01 | word | morphology, stemming, POS | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic model | the Okapi method | None | None | None |
| IFLAB-J-E-D-01 | word | morphology, stemming, POS | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic model | the Okapi method | None | dictionary/corpus-based, select the top translation | the target documents were used to produce language models |
| IFLAB-J-J-D-01 | word | morphology, stemming, POS | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic model | the Okapi method | None | None | None |
| IFLAB-J-JE-D-01 | word | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \text { morphology, } & \text { stemming, } \\ \text { POS } \end{array}$ | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic model | the Okapi method | None | dictionary/corpus-based, select the top translation | the target documents were used to produce language models |
| ISCAS-C-C-TC-01 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| ISCAS-C-C-TDNC-02 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| ISCAS-C-C-D-03 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| ISCAS-C-CE-TC-01 | C/2-char E/word | E/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char E/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | Yes | Dictionary+MT | Pre-translation query expansion |
| ISCAS-C-CE-TDNC-02 | C/2-char <br> E/word | E/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char <br> E/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-CE-D-03 | C/2-char E/word | E/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char <br> E/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-CJE-TC-01 | C/2-char J/word E/word | JE/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char J/word E/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-CJE-TC-02 | C/2-char <br> J/word E/word | JE/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char <br> J/word E/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |


| ISCAS-C-CJE-D-03 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} / 2 \text {-char } \\ & \mathrm{JE} / \text { word } \end{aligned}$ | JE/ stemming | Inverted File | $\mathrm{C} / 2$-char $\mathrm{JE} /$ word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISCAS-C-CJ-TC-01 | C/2-char J/word | J/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char J/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-CJ-TDNC-02 | C/2-char J/word | J/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char J/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-CJ-D-03 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C/2-char } \\ & \mathrm{J} / \text { word } \end{aligned}$ | J/ stemming | Inverted File | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C/2-char } \\ & \mathrm{J} / \text { word } \end{aligned}$ <br> J/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-E-TC-01 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-E-TDNC-02 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-E-D-03 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-JE-TC-01 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-JE-TDNC-02 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-JE-D-03 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-J-TC-01 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-J-TDNC-02 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-C-J-D-03 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-E-CE-TC-01 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} / 2 \text {-char } \\ & \mathrm{E} / \text { word } \end{aligned}$ | E/ stemming | Inverted File | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C/2-cha } \\ & \mathrm{E} / \text { word } \end{aligned}$ | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-E-CE-TDNC-02 | C/2-char <br> E/word | E/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char <br> E/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-E-CE-D-03 | C/2-char <br> E/word | E/ stemming | Inverted File | C/2-char <br> E/word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-E-C-TC-01 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-E-C-TDNC-02 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-E-C-D-03 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | Dictionary+MT | None |
| ISCAS-E-E-TC-01 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| ISCAS-E-E-TDNC-02 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| ISCAS-E-E-D-03 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| ISCAS-J-C-TDNC-01 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | MT | None |
| ISCAS-J-C-D-02 | 2-char | 2-char | Inverted File | 2-char | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | MT | None |
| ISCAS-J-J-TC-01 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| ISCAS-J-J-D-02 | Word | Stemming | Inverted File | Word | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| KRDLNLU-C-C-D-01 | Word and term | term extraction | inverted file | word and term | automatic | VSM | Tf/idf | None | None | None |
| KUNLP-E-K-D-01 | word | POS (Nouns) | Inverted File | word | automatic | Okapi-like Probabilistic Model | tf/idf, document length | None | dictionary based-query translation which selects top 2 Korean words of each English word | the Financial Times, 1991-1994 at TREC CD4 is used to pre-query expansion :Korea Economic Daily (1994) is used to construct translation model. |


| KUNLP-E-K-D-02 | word | POS (Nouns) | Inverted File | word | automatic | Okapi-like Probabilistic Model | tf/idf, document length | pre-query expansion using Okapi query expansion model | dictionary based-query translation which selects top 2 Korean words of each English word | the Financial Times, 1991-1994 at TREC CD4 is used to pre-query expansion :Korea Economic Daily (1994) is used to construct translation model. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\wedge$ KUNLP-E-K-D-03 | word | POS (Nouns) | Inverted File | word | interactive | Okapi-like <br> Probabilistic <br> Model | tf/idf, document length | pre-query expansion using Okapi query expansion model | dictionary based-query translation which selects top 2 Korean words of each English word. | The Financial Times, 1991-1994 at TREC CD4 is used to pre-query expansion. Korea Economic Daily (1994) is used to construct translation model. |
| KUNLP-K-K-D-01 | word | POS (Nouns) | Inverted File | word | automatic | Okapi-like <br> Probabilistic <br> Model | tf/idf, document length | None | None | None |
| MSRA-E-C-TDNC-01 | character, word | stopword list | inverted file | character, word | automatic | probabilistic + model | bm2500 | pseudo relevance feedback | dictionary based, decaying co-occurrence model, syntactic dependence relations | CIRB010, CIRB020, <br> Wall Street <br> Journal(1987-1992), <br> People's <br> Daily(1980-1998) |
| MSRA-E-C-TDNC-02 | character, word | stopword list | inverted file | character, word | automatic | probabilistic model | bm2500 | pseudo relevance feedback | dictionary based, decaying co-occurrence model, syntactic dependence relations | CIRB010, CIRB020, Wall Street Journal(1987-1992), People's Daily(1980-1998) |
| MSRA-E-C-D-03 | character, word | stopword list | inverted file | character, word | automatic | probabilistic model | bm2500 | None | dictionary based, decaying co-occurrence model, syntactic dependence relations | CIRB010, CIRB020, Wall Street Journal(1987-1992), People's Daily(1980-1998) |
| MSRA-E-E-TDNC-01 | Word | using stoplist and stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic model | bm2500 | no query expansion | None | None |
| MSRA-E-E-TDNC-02 | Word | using stoplist and stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic model | bm2500 | no query expansion | Although we didn't do query expansion, but we used the information we got from the first retrieval result | None |
| MSRA-E-E-TDNC-03 | Word | using stoplist and stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic model | bm2500 | no query expansion | Using query expansion | None |
| *NTU-E-E-D-01 | word | None | inverted file | word | automatic | Vector space model | tf*idf | None | None | TREC6 text collection |
| *NTU-J-CJE-D-01 | C: haracter bigram, J, E: word | J: morphology | inverted file | C: haracter bigram, J, E: word | automatic | Vector space model | tf*idf | None | *11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASBC corpus, } \\ & \text { TREC } 6 \text { text } \\ & \text { collection } \end{aligned}$ |
| *NTU-J-CJE-D-02 | C: haracter bigram, J, E: word | J: morphology | inverted file | C: haracter bigram, J, E: word | automatic | Vector space model | tf*idf | None | *11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASBC corpus, } \\ & \text { TREC } 6 \text { text } \\ & \text { collection } \end{aligned}$ |


| *NTU-J-CJE-D-03 | C: haracter bigram, J, E: word | J: morphology | inverted file | C: haracter bigram, J, E: word | automatic | Vector space model | tf*idf | None | *11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASBC corpus, } \\ & \text { TREC } 6 \text { text } \\ & \text { collection } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| !OASIS-E-E-D-01 | word | stop word were discarded. | Inverted index | word | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | None | None | ntc-e02-mai98.txt |
| !OASIS-E-E-D-02 | word | stop word were discarded | Inverted index | word | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | *12 | None | ntc-e02-mai98.txt |
| !OASIS-E-E-D-03 | word | stop word were discarded | Inverted index | word | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | None | None | ntc-e02-mai99.txt |
| \#!OASIS-E-E-D-04 | word | stop word were discarded | Inverted index | word | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | *12 | None | ntc-e02-mai99.txt |
| !OASIS-J-J-D-01 | combination <br> bi-word and phrases | *13 | Inverted index | combination bi-word and phrases | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | *12 | None | ntc-j-mai-99.txt |
| !OASIS-J-J-D-02 | combination bi-word and phrases | *13 | Inverted index | combination bi-word and phrases | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | *12 | None | ntc-j-mai-98.txt |
| !OASIS-J-J-D-03 | combination bi-word and phrases | *13 | Inverted index | combination bi-word and phrases | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | None | None | ntc-j-mai-98.txt |
| \#!OASIS-J-J-D-04 | combination bi-word and phrases | *13 | Inverted index | combination bi-word and phrases | automatic | vector space model | tf/idf | None | None | ntc-j-mai-99.txt |
| ${ }^{\wedge}$ OKSAT-E-E-D-01 | n-gram | n-gram | inverted -gram index in Tree. | word + phrase | interactive | probabilistic model | tf/idf, essential keywords | synonym | None | None |
| ${ }^{\wedge}$ OKSAT-J-C-D-01 | n-gram | n-gram | inverted -gram index | word + phrase | interactive | probabilistic model | tf/idf | Expand query | Dictionary-based | Post-translation |
| OKSAT-J-J-D-01 | n-gram | n-gram | inverted -gram index in Tree. | word + phrase | automatic | probabilistic model | tf/idf, essential keywords | synonym | None | None |
| pircs-C-C-D-001 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-gram + } \\ & \text { 1-gram } \end{aligned}$ | 5 stopwrd ch; | invrt-file network | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-gram + } \\ & \text { 1-gram } \end{aligned}$ | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length | Based on term freq, doc length | None | None |
| pircs-C-C-D-002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-gram + } \\ & \text { 1-gram; short } \\ & \text { wd } \end{aligned}$ | 5 stopwrd ch; dictionary | invrt-file network | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-gram }+ \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { 1-gram; short } \\ \text { wd } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length + combine 2 retrievals | Based on term freq, doc length | None | None |
| pircs-C-C-TDNC-003 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-gram + } \\ & \text { 1-gram; short } \\ & \text { wd } \end{aligned}$ | 5 stopwrd ch; dictionary | invrt-file network | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-gram + } \\ & \text { 1-gram; short } \\ & \text { wd } \end{aligned}$ | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length + combine 2 retrievals | Based on term freq, doc length | None | None |
| pircs-E-C-D-001 | short wrd + char | dictionary | invrt-file network | short wd + char | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length | post trans expansion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { biling. dict + MT } \\ & \text { software } \end{aligned}$ | None |
| pircs-E-C-D-002 | short wrd + char | dictionary | invrt-file network | short wd + char | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length | pre \& post trans expan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { biling. dict + MT } \\ & \text { software } \end{aligned}$ | None |
| pircs-E-C-TDNC-003 | short wrd + char | dictionary | invrt-file network | short wd + char | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length | post trans expansion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { biling. dict + MT } \\ & \text { software } \end{aligned}$ | None |
| pircs-E-EC-D-001 | stems + 2-wd phr; short wd | Porter stemming, stopwords; dictionary | invrt-file network | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stems; short } \\ & \text { wd } \end{aligned}$ | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length + English RSV directly vs. E-C-D-002 | pre \& post trans expan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { biling. dict + MT } \\ & \text { software } \end{aligned}$ | None |


| pircs-E-EC-D-002 | stems + 2-wd phr; short wd | Porter stemming, stopwords; dictionary | invrt-file network | stems; short wd | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | English RSV adjusted vs. E-C-D-002 | pre \& post trans expan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { biling. dict + MT } \\ & \text { software } \end{aligned}$ | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pircs-E-EC-D-003 | stems + 2-wd phr; short wd | Porter stemming, stopwords; dictionary | invrt-file network | stems; short <br> wd | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | English RSV adjusted vs. E-C-D-001 | post trans expansion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { biling. dict + MT } \\ & \text { software } \end{aligned}$ | None |
| pircs-E-E-TDNC-001 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stems + 2-wd } \\ & \text { phr } \end{aligned}$ | Porter stemming, stopwords | invrt-file network | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stems + 2-wd } \\ & \text { phr } \end{aligned}$ | automatic | probabilistic, activation-spreadin g | activation: tf, ictf, doc + qry length | Based on term freq, doc length | None | None |
| POSTECH-C-C-D-01 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | modified Okapi BM25 | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-C-C-C-02 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | modified Okapi BM25 | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-C-C-T-03 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | modified Okapi BM25 | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-J-J-C-01 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | modified Okapi BM25 | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-J-J-D-02 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | modified Okapi BM25 | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-J-J-T-03 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | modified Okapi BM25 | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-K-K-D-01 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | modified Okapi BM25 | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-K-K-D-02 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { modified Okapi } \\ \text { BM25 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | no query expansion | None | None |
| POSTECH-K-K-C-03 | morpheme | POS tagging | inverted file | morpheme | automatic | probabilistic model | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { modified Okapi } \\ \text { BM25 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | no query expansion | None | None |
| SSTUT-C-C-D-01 | character | all | suffix array | bigram | automatic | probabilistic model | empirical term weighting | no query expansion | automatic extraction of translation word from parallel corpus | NTCIR1/NTCIR2 Corpus |
| SSTUT-E-J-D-01 | character | all | suffix array | bigram | automatic | probabilistic model | empirical term weighting | no query expansion | automatic extraction of translation word from parallel corpus | NTCIR1/NTCIR2 <br> Corpus |
| SSTUT-E-JE-D-01 | character | all | suffix array | bigram | automatic | probabilistic model | empirical term weighting | no query expansion | automatic extraction of translation word from parallel corpus | NTCIR1/NTCIR2 Corpus |
| SSTUT-J-J-D-01 | character | all | suffix array | bigram | automatic | probabilistic model | empirical term weighting | no query expansion | automatic extraction of translation word from parallel corpus | NTCIR1/NTCIR2 Corpus |
| SSTUT-J-JE-D-01 | character | all | suffix array | bigram | automatic | probabilistic model | empirical term weighting | no query expansion | automatic extraction of translation word from parallel corpus | NTCIR1/NTCIR2 <br> Corpus |
| SSTUT-K-K-D-01 | character | all | suffix array | bigram | automatic | probabilistic model | empirical term weighting | no query expansion | automatic extraction of translation word from parallel corpus | NTCIR1/NTCIR2 Corpus |
| TJU-J-C-D-01 | bi-charater | stemming | inverted file | bi-charater | automatic | vector space model | tf*idf | no query expansion | dictionary-based | None |
| TJU-J-C-TDNC-02 | bi-charater | stemming | inverted file | bi-charater | automatic | vector space model | tf*idf | no query expansion | dictionary-based | None |
| tlrrd-C-C-DC-01 | bi-character | None | inverted file | bi-character | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | None | None |
| tlirrd-C-C-D-02 | bi-character | None | inverted file | bi-character | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | None | None |
| tlird-E-E-DC-01 | word | stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | None | None |


| tlrrd-E-J-D-01 | bi-character | None | inverted file | word for <br> English, <br> bi-character for <br> Japanese | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | dictionary-based, select all | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tlrrd-E-J-D-02 | word | morphology, stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | dictionary-based, select all | None |
| tlird-E-J-DC-03 | bi-character | None | inverted file | word for English, bi-character for Japanese | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | dictionary-based, select all | None |
| tlrrd-J-J-D-01 | bi-character | None | inverted file | bi-character | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | None | None |
| tlrrd-J-J-D-02 | bi-character | morphology, stemming | inverted file | bi-character | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | None | None |
| tlrrd-J-J-DC-03 | bi-character | None | inverted file | bi-character | automatic | inference networks, probabilistic model | None | None | None | None |
| TSB-E-E-D-01 | word | stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic | BM25 | expansion based on the offer weight | None | target docs only |
| TSB-E-E-D-02 | word | stemming | inverted file | word | automatic | probabilistic | BM25 | expansion based on chi-square | None | target docs only |
| TSB-E-J-D-01 | morphemes | morphological analysis | inverted files | morphemes | automatic | probabilistic | tf-idf / tf-relevance weight | expansion based on document scores (combination of 2 runs) | MT | mainichi1998-1999 only |
| TSB-E-J-D-02 | morphemes | morphological analysis | inverted files | morphemes | automatic | probabilistic | tf-idf / tf-relevance weight | expansion based on document scores/chi-square (combination of 3 runs) | MT | mainichi1998-2000 |
| TSB-E-J-D-03 | morphemes | morphological analysis | inverted files | morphemes | automatic | probabilistic | tf-idf / tf-relevance weight | expansion based on document scores/chi-square (combination of 3 runs) | MT | mainichi1998-2000 |
| TSB-J-J-D-01 | morphemes | morphological analysis | inverted files | morphemes | automatic | probabilistic | tf-idf / tf-relevance weight | expansion based on document scores (combination of 2 runs) | None | mainichi1998-1999 |
| TSB-J-J-D-02 | morphemes | morphological analysis | inverted files | morphemes | automatic | probabilistic | tf-idf / tf-relevance weight | expansion based on document scores/chi-square (combination of 3 runs) | None | mainichi1998-2000 |
| TSB-J-J-D-03 | morphemes | morphological analysis | inverted files | morphemes | automatic | probabilistic | tf-idf / tf-relevance weight | expansion based on <br> document <br> scores/chi-square <br> (combination of 3 <br> runs) | None | mainichi1998-2000 |
| WATERLOO-C-C-TDNC-01 | Word | Self-supervised word segmentation | Inverted files | word | automatic | Vector space model | tf, qtf, doc. leng. | None | None | None |
| WATERLOO-C-C-C-02 | character | None | Inverted files | word | automatic | Vector space model | tf, qtf, doc. leng. | None | None | None |


| WATERLOO-C-C-D-03 | word | Self-supervised word segmentation | Inverted files | word | automatic | Vector space model | tf, qtf, doc. leng. | None | None | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

*CECIR-* and *NTU-* are runs submitted from the members of Executive Committee of CLIR Task, NTCIR Workshop 3.
\#OASIS-E-E-D-04 and \#OASIS-E-E-D-04 are additional runs (just for reference).
$\wedge$ KUNLP-E-K-D-03, ^OKSAT-E-E-D-01, and $\wedge$ OKSAT-J-C-D-01 are interactive runs
 directly. The details could be referred to the corresponding paper in workshop proceedings

 documents, and the overall frequency in the English collection. No post-translation expansion was applied
 produced from the resultant query after translation; these n -grams were the query used to search against the Korean collection.
 produced from the resultant query after translation; these n-grams were the query used to search against the Japan collection.
 produced from the resultant query after translation; these n-grams were the query used to search against the Chinese collection.

 the Chinese and Japanese collections without additional blind relevance feedback, but relevancefeedback was used for the search against the English collection.
*7: qtf (within-query term fequency), dtf (within-document term frequency), ctf (within-collection term frequency), ql (query length), dl (document length), cl (collection length)
*8: 1-gram, 2-gram, dictionary-based indexing, and key-phrase formulation. Thus the index terms include single characters, all 2-grams, dictionary words, and repeated words/phrases unregistered in the dictionary.
*9: a phrase formulation technique based on repeated sequence extraction to combine unsegmented characters or words into new words or phrases.
*10: n-grams for CJK (after conversion to canonical Unicode (UTF-16)), words for Latin
 translated English topics were translated into Chinese.
 half of the word number in the original query. In the case of the necessity, the random selection were utilized
*13: Katakana sequences were considered as a word; hiragana characters were discarded; from the rest of the text overlapping bi-gram were taken into account


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For participants, there is only one English document set. For assessors, we have Taiwn-English and Japan-English document set.

