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# Private Foundation Information Returns, 1982 <br> By Margaret Riley* 

Private foundation giving to philanthropic organizations rose by $\$ 1.6$ billion between 1979 and 1982 [1]. The $\$ 4.4$ billion in contributions, gifts and grants (hereafter referred to as "grants") awarded by the 23,306 grantmaking private foundations represents an inflationadjusted real increase of nearly 25 percent over grant payouts made in 1979, the last year for which statistics are available [2]. (See Table 1 for data on the various categories of private foundations.) These grants helped to support charitable programs and research in the areas of health, education, science, the arts, community development, social services and other causes deemed to serve the public good.

In addition to making a small number of grants, 3,105 "operating" foundations actively conducted charitable programs and provided direct services as a means of carrying out their philanthropic mission. Operating foundations, as a condition of such status, are required to expend substantially all of their income directly for the active conduct of their exempt purposes. In contrast, "nonoperating" foundations carry out exempt (charitable) activities in an indirect manner by making grants to other organizations that carry out these activities.

Approximately 3,300 , or 13 percent, of the 25,363 nonoperating foundations did not make any grants for 1982. However, the majority were small organizations, and about 75 percent of them set aside funds earmarked for future charitable projects, made program-related investments, or incurred qualifying expenses for charitable purposes which met or exceeded the required minimum distribution for 1982. It should also be noted that many nonoperating foundations which do not make grants were formerly classified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) as public charities. If an organization fails to meet IRS' requirements for retaining its public charity status, that organization is reclassified as a private foundation. Most often, these reclassified organizations continue to operate as public charities, operating programs or providing direct services as opposed to making grants to accomplish a charitable purpose.

For 1982, it is estimated that there were 28,468 active private foundations (both grantmaking and nongrantmaking), an overall growth of 1.7 percent over 1979. The growth of foundations in asset classes of $\$ 100,000$ and above increased at successively higher rates with each graduated asset bracket. This is not

| Size of Fair Market | Number of Private Foundations ${ }^{1}$ |  | Percent Change, 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of Assets | 1979 | 1982 | to 1982 |
| Total | 27,980 | 28,468 | 1.7 |
| Under $\$ 100,000^{2} \ldots$ | 15,632 | 14,752 | -5.6* |
| $\$ 100,000$ under $\$ 1,000,000 \ldots$. | 8,616 | 9,125 | 5.9 |
| $\$ 1,000,000$ under $\$ 10,000,000 \ldots$. | 3,131 | 3,771 | 20.4 |
| $\$ 10,000,000$ under $\$ 50,000,000 \ldots$. | 486 | 655 | 34.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000,000 \\ \text { or more... } \end{gathered}$ | 115 | 165 | 43.5 |

*Estimate should be used with caution because of the small sample size for this asset bracket.

Includes former public charities reclassified as private foundations.
${ }^{2}$ Includes returns with assets zero or unreported.
surprising as many organizations that were in a lower asset class in 1979 grew into a higher class by 1982. In the asset range of less than $\$ 100,000$, there appears to be a 5.6 -percent decrease in the number of foundations. However, because of the small number of returns studied with assets below $\$ 100,000$, this apparent decline also could be attributed to sampling variability. (See the coefficients of variation explained in the "Data Sources and Limitations" section of this article.) [3]

The Ford Foundation clearly maintained its position as the front-runner in terms of the

[^0]size of asset holdings and amount of grants awarded [4]. While Ford remained well ahead of all other foundations, some foundations had assets in, or near, the billion-dollar range. Excluding the J. Paul Getty Museum Trust, which is classified as an operating foundation and for 1982 had nearly $\$ 2$ billion in assets, Figure A lists foundations which reported fair market value of assets above $\$ 500$ million. Also provided in Figure $A$ are data on ledger assets, grants paid, and the 5 -year carryover of distributions made in excess of the amount required. These 11 largest organizations held 19.2 percent of all assets owned by private foundations, and were responsible for 8.6 percent of the dollar value of all grants paid.


The four foundations listed which show no excess distributions carryover did not distribute the minimum amount required for 1982 because they first had to apply a portion of their 1982 distributions against amounts remaining undistributed from 1981. Foundations have until the end of their next tax year to distribute the minimum amount required for the current year before they are liable for the excise tax levied on undistributed income. This 1 -year grace period provides to foundations the opportunity to plan systematically their grantmaking activity to correspond with their investment performance.

To measure private foundation philanthropic giving, the contributions reported by foundations on returns filed with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for 1982 were compared to those reported to IRS by all other private sources [5]. Foundations accounted for approximately one dollar out of every ten contributed from a private donor.

| Type of Donor | Contributions (\$ Billions) | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 45.11 | 100.0\% |
| Individuals [6] | 34.05 | 75.5 |
| Foundations [7] | 4.43 | 9.8 |
| Corporations [8] | 2.91 | 6.5 |
| Bequests [9] | 2.25 | 5.0 |
| Trusts \& Estates [10] | 1.42 | 3.1 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total due to rounding.

Government social welfare expenditures, a measure of public philanthropy compiled by the Social Security Administration [11], can also be used as a comparative base to show the magnitude of public versus private support for philanthropic programs. The amount of social welfare expenditures for Fiscal Year 1982, adjusted to exclude $\$ 302.6$ billion for social security insurance benefit payments, was $\$ 291.8$ billion. These public expenditures were about 6 times greater than total private contributions and about 66 times greater than the contributions of private foundations.

If administrative and direct operating expenses incurred in the conduct of foundations' charitable purposes are added to the amount of the contributions they paid out, the resulting total charitable expenditures of all foundations for 1982 were $\$ 5.2$ billion. Compared to the Gross National Product (GNP) for 1982, Government social welfare expenditures (minus the social security portion) comprised 9.5 percent of GNP while private foundation charitable expenditures were less than 0.2 percent of GNP. However, the nature of systematic foundation funding provides an opportunity for initiating innovative research, programs, and test projects which the Government cannot undertake because of political, legislative, or budgetary constraints. In the wake of recent budget cuts in many Government-supported programs, some foundations are thinking more about public-private partnerships. Although they do not believe their role should be solely one of filling Federal philanthropic spending gaps, these foundations foresee situations where the formation of creative public-private partnerships to devise new approaches to social needs can produce positive results [12].

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PRIVATE FOUNDATION UNIVERSE

A private foundation is a nonprofit corporation, association or trust with a narrow source of funds which operates or supports social, educational, scientific, charitable, religious
and other programs dedicated to improving the general welfare of society. By IRS definition, a private foundation is an organization which qualifies for tax exempt status under Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)(3) and is not a church; school; hospital; medical research organization; an organization with broad public support (public charity); an organization which is operated by, or in connection with, any of the above described organizations; or an organization which tests for public safety. The primary difference between foundations and public charities lies in the sources of their funding. Foundations usually receive their funds from an individual, a family or a corporation, while, as their name implies, public charities' funds are derived mainly from a large number of sources within the general public.

Another distinction of a private foundation is that it primarily makes grants to other nonprofit organizations, such as public charities, rather than directly operating its own charitable programs. (An exception is the operating foundation which is described below.) As noted earlier, some organizations which are classified as private foundations were formerly public charities whose status as such was revoked because they failed to maintain the required minimum of support from public sources. While classified as private foundations, they generally continue to operate as public charities.

Foundations form a diverse community distinguished by a wide range of characteristics, including asset size; sources of support; size of managing staff; type of control; and grant size, type, and recipient. Foundations can be classified into two broad categories, operating and nonoperating. While the great majority of foundations are nonoperating (generally grantmaking) organizations, some use their funds to actively operate charitable programs, rarely making contributions or grants to other organizations. These are called operating foundations.

Nonoperating foundations include independent and company-sponsored foundations. Independent foundations can be general-purpose, specialpurpose or family foundations [13]. The general-purpose foundation supports a broad range of charitable endeavors while the special-purpose foundation concentrates on limited fields of interest. Family foundations are established with a gift from a single donor and are operated or controlled by members of the donor's family. Some large independent foundations operate with staffs whose members are unrelated to the foundation's donor(s). All of the domestic foundations listed in Figure A are independent foundations.

Company foundations are closely related to the corporations which sponsor them. Many of their grants support charitable activities and improvement projects in the locality in which the company is based, or are made to tax-exempt organizations to conduct charitable research in areas related to the company's interests. Although contributions to company-sponsored foundations usually correspond to the profits of the corporation, i.e., more corporate giving in good years and less in poor years, they have the ability to maintain and control their endowment in a way to provide a steady flow of grants, even when corporate profits are down. Alcoa Foundation, General Motors Foundation, and Western Electric Fund are three of the largest company-sponsored foundations.

Community foundations are established to make grants for nonprofit programs conducted in a specific community or region [14]. Although largely publicly supported by the citizens and businesses of the community, and therefore excluded from IRS' private foundation definition (and also from the statistics presented in this report), community foundations account for a respectable portion of grantmaking philanthropic activity in the private sector. For example, 234 community foundations for 1982 made grants estimated at $\$ 233.8$ million, an amount equal to 5.0 percent of total foundation giving [15]. It should be noted, however, that $\$ 702.2$ million of total community foundation grants can be attributed solely to the San Francisco Foundation, the New York Community Trust, the Cleveland Foundation, and the Chicago Community Trust.

## FINANCIAL DATA AND CHARITABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

The $\$ 47.2$ billion in market value assets of the 820 largest private foundations, those with asset holdings of $\$ 10$ million or more, comprised 75 percent of all assets held by foundations for 1982. In contrast, 84 percent of the foundation population, holding assets worth less than $\$ 1 \mathrm{million}$, accounted for only 6 percent of the total, or $\$ 3.7$ billion (see Figure B). Assets of all foundations rose by 41 percent between 1979 and 1982. Total receipts increased by 52 percent, while deductions rose by 65 percent. The resulting net income (less deficit) for 1982 was $\$ 3.3$ billion, a growth of 33 percent from 1979 [16]. The graphic depiction of aggregate foundation receipts, assets (fair market value), and grants paid in Figure $C$ shows that constant dollar increases in these financial data were 19, 11, and 23 percent, respectively.

## Sources of Income and Deductions

Dividend and interest income was the largest source of foundation receipts for 1982. While

these items together also ranked as the numberone income source for the large foundations, contributions dominated as the leading income source for organizations with assets under $\$ 1$ million. The primary source of receipts for foundations with assets of $\$ 1$ million to
$\$ 10$ million was nearly equally split between interest and dividend income and contributions. The reliance upon contributions from outside sources as a major form of support is more common for small organizations. As their assets increase, foundations usually develop larger and more diverse investment portfolios, freeing them from dependence on large amounts of contributions. Most large foundations have received a single substantial bequest or endowment which serves as their primary base from which to produce income and further increase their assets. These endowed foundations rely on their investments to produce income and, therefore, do not need to depend heavily on the receipt of contributions.

The most significant shift in the composition of total income, shown in Figure $D$, between 1979 and 1982 occurred in sales of capital assets and in contributions, gifts and grants received. The increase in sales of capital assets possibly indicates that foundations are beginning to restructure their investment portfolios, a newfound freedom made available by a 1981 tax law change which eliminated the requirement for foundations to pay out all of their investment income for charitable purposes. (The effect of the law change is explained more fully in the "Composition of Assets" section of this article.)

Because of a 1981 IRS return form change in the method of reporting dividends and interest, these income items individually cannot be compared between 1979 and 1982. For 1982, total interest was reported separately either as amounts received from securities investments (which also included dividends received from stocks) or amounts received from savings accounts and temporary cash investments, such as certificates of deposit, money market funds, and U.S. Treasury bills that mature in less than one year. Taken as an aggregate amount, however, the proportion of total receipts attributable to dividend and interest income for 1982 remained virtually unchanged from 1979. The average annual prime rate charged by banks was 12.7 percent in 1979, rose erratically to 18.9 percent in 1981, and then steadily declined to 14.9 percent in 1982 [17]. During the same period, corporate profits (in current dollars) fell 30 percent to their lowest level since 1976 [18]. Economic conditions leading up to, and including, the harsh recession of 1982 contributed to the decline in profits and likely resulted in dividends on stocks which were lower than they would have been in a nonrecessionary period. Despite the fluctations in interest rates and dividends paid on investments, the amount of foundation dividend and interest income rose by $\$ 1.4$ billion between 1979 and 1982.

Compared to the investment income received by individuals from interest and dividends,

Figure D
Major Sources of Income, 1979 and 1982

foundations fared much worse in the rate at which these items grew from 1979 to 1982. Foundation income from these sources increased 56 percent, from $\$ 2.4$ billion to $\$ 3.8$ billion, at the same time as the increase for individuals was 94 percent, from $\$ 108.9$ billion to $\$ 211.1$ billion. The investment outlook for foundations should improve after 1982 because of the law change mentioned above which freed them from the constraint of having to distribute all of their interest and dividend income each year. (For an explanation of how the law change affected the structure of foundation investment portfolios, see the discussion of the "total return" philosophy in the "Composition of Asset" section of this article.)

Foundations must report both total expenses as recorded on their books of account, and the part of those expenses which can be attributed to the direct conduct of their charitable mission. Charitable-purpose expenditures are
reported separately from total expenses because the IRS recognizes, as a qualifying distribution for purposes of meeting the required minimum payout, only the part of an expense item allocable to charitable purposes (as opposed to the production of income or other noncharitable purposes). Effective with Tax Year 1985, Congress placed a cap on the amount of administrative expenses incurred in making grants which a foundation can apply as a qualifying distribution. The Treasury Department has been mandated to conduct a study of foundation administrative expenses and to submit findings to Congress upon its completion.

Depreciation and depletion are allowed as deductions on a foundation's books, but may not be treated as a charitable-purpose expenditure because the entire cost of a charitable-use asset is treated as a qualifying distribution when the asset is acquired. Figure $E$ shows nonoperating foundation expenses as reported on their books and distributions for their charitable purposes. The portion of nonoperating foundations' expenses which was directly related to activities which constituted their charitable purposes totalled $\$ 4.7$ billion. For ease of comparison, the three categories of nonoperating foundation asset sizes shown in the figure are described as small, medium, and large. Across all three asset sizes, contributions, gifts and grants made up the largest single share of total expenses, reported both on the books and as a charitable disbursement. More than 93 percent of aggregate charitable-purpose expenses were in the form of grants paid. A distant second to grants was "other expenses," at 2.4 percent of total charitable disbursements. The remaining eight categories of itemized expenses accounted altogether for only 4.2 percent of the total.

The ratio of direct charitable-purpose expenditures to expenses reported on the books reveals different charitable distribution patterns for the three foundation sizes. Small foundations have much lower ratios for the three categories related to paid staff--officer compensation, other salaries, and employee benefits (including pension plan contributions). Since small foundations usually are operated by volunteers and fund programs of smaller size, they do not have the personnel expenses of larger foundations for reviewing grant proposals, administrative record-keeping of grant programs, and research and ongoing involvement in new grantmaking program activities.

Medium size foundations claimed a larger percentage of their interest expense as a direct charitable expenditure. This is probably due to the fact that, in proportion to their total assets, medium foundations hold approximately two-to-three times more land,

Figure E.--Nonoperating Foundations: Expenses Per Books (EPB), Disbursements for Charitable Purposes (DCP), and Ratio of Charitable Disbursements to Expenses Per Books, by Size of Total Fair Market Value of Assets, 1982
[Money amounts are in millions of dollars]

| Expense Item | Total |  |  | Size of TotalFair Market Value of Assets Less than \$1,000,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPB | DCP | Ratio | EPB | DCP | Ratio |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total expenses | 5,260.77 | 4,670.65 | 88.8 | 923.07 | 875.10 | 94.8 |
| Contributions, gifts, grants | 4,423.31 | 4,364.34 | 98.7 | 863.88 | 854.76 | 98.9 |
| Compensation of officers ... | 89: 80 | 49.50 | 55.1 | 8.22 | 1.42 | 17.3 |
| Other salaries and wages | 86.37 | 68.52 | 79.3 | 2.86 | 1.21 | 42.3 |
| Pension plans, employee benefits | 25.02 | 17.44 | 69.7 | 1.17 | 0.23 | 19.7 |
| Professional services ........... | 122.13 | 35.69 | 29.2 | 12.78 | 3.86 | 30.2 |
| Interest | 15.31 | 2.66 | 17.4 | 1.44 | 0.19 | 13.2 |
| Taxes | 153.52 | 6.56 | 4.3 | 7.32 | 0.91 | 12.4 |
| Depreciation and depletion | 29.68 | N/A | N/A | 1.77 | N/A | N/A |
| Occupation ... | 16.41 | 13.47 | 82.1 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 85.1 |
| Other expenses | 299.22 | 112.47 | 37.6 | 22.69 | 14.90 | 65.7 |



## N/A - Not Applicable.

${ }^{1}$ While foundations are required to use the cash method of accounting to report disbursements for their charitable purposes, they have an option to use either the cash or accrual method in reporting expenses on their books. Using the accrual method for expenses on the books can result in a ratio which exceeds 100 percent.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.
buildings and equipment which are used for charitable purposes than the other foundation sizes.

The proportion of charitable-purpose expenditures allocated by large organizations for taxes was small in comparison to foundations in the other two groups. Large foundations hold more than twice as much depreciable investment property compared to the other foundations, so they pay more in real estate taxes. Since real estate taxes on investment property can be reported as a total expense item, but are not includable as an exempt purpose expense item, the ratio for taxes paid by large foundations is lower than
the ratio for the small and medium size foundations. The excise tax on investment income paid by all three foundation asset categories is not deductible by nonoperating foundations as a charitable-purpose expenditure.

## Composition of Assets

Total fair market value of foundation assets for 1982 showed an increase from 1979 of 41 percent. Investments in securities constituted the greatest share of market assets held by foundations, with holdings of cash placing second. Holdings in long-term investments other than in securities accounted for the next largest portion of assets.

For 1982, foundations held a total investment in stocks and bonds having a fair market value of $\$ 49.8$ billion. These investments earned dividends and interest totalling $\$ 3.0$ billion, a yield of 6.0 percent. It has been argued by some that foundations fail to obtain a reasonable rate of return on their stock and bond investments [20]. To test this argument, a base for comparison was constructed for 1982 using measures of average yield for composite investments: 1982 dividend yields on common stocks of the 500 corporations included in the Standard and Poor's composite average and the 1982 yield for the composite U.S. Treasury long-term bond average [21]. Had foundations invested in these composite assets in the same proportions as they invested in stocks and bonds in 1979 (stocks and bonds together were reported as a single amount on the 1982 information return), they would have enjoyed a significantly higher return of 8.5 percent. However, it was only beginning in 1982 that foundations could restructure their portfolios to take advantage of the 1981 law change in the payout rule. (See the discussion of the law change below.)

An examination of yield data for various fair market value asset distributions revealed that as asset size increased the yield on security investments decreased, from a high of 8.9 percent earned by foundations holding assets worth less than $\$ 100,000$ to a low of 5.3 percent earned by those holding assets worth $\$ 50$ million or more. This may support the theory that the investment strategies of larger foundations (traditionally more heavily invested in securities than smaller foundations) were significantly affected by a pre-1982 payout rule which required foundations to distribute for charitable purposes the greater of their current investment income or 5 percent of their investment assets (both amounts subject to further adjustments).

Because of the decline in the real value of foundation securities caused by high inflation rates and the requirement to pay out their current investment income (if greater than 5 percent of investment assets), many foundations opted for a "total return" philosophy on stocks and bonds which takes into account not only dividends, but also appreciation. These foundations were inclined to hold greater concentrations of securities which had lower income yields but the potential for higher appreciation values.

Under the new payout rule, enacted in late 1981, foundations no longer are required to make distributions out of current income in excess of 5 percent of their investment assets. The new law offers foundations an opportunity to restructure their investment
portfolios to include securities which produce higher rates of return. In so doing, yields in excess of 5 percent could be put back into their endowments. While the percent yield on securities held by larger foundations remains comparatively low for 1982, the increase in sales of capital assets mentioned in the "Sources of Income and Deductions" section tends to suggest a changing trend in foundation investment practices.


Assets in terms of book value rose at nearly the same rate as market value assets, by 39 percent from 1979 to 1982. Since 1981 was the first year for which foundations were required to report their asset components at market value on the Form 990-PF return, comparisons of 1982 data to earlier years must be presented using amounts reported on the foundations' books. Also effective with 1981, the reporting of securities on the information return was not required to be separated into stocks, bonds, and Government obligations as in previous years. Comparison is therefore limited to the aggregate amount of securities as reported for 1982. Securities, long the most prominent type of assets in the portfolios of foundations, continued an upward trend increasing by 89 percent since 1974 [22]. For all 3 years presented in the table below, holdings of securities in terms of their proportion to total assets remained constant at 78 percent.

| Type of Asset | Income Year |  |  | Percent Change, 1979 to 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1974 | 1979 | 1982 |  |
|  |  | llion |  |  |
| Total. | 25.5 | 34.7 | 48.2 | 39\% |
| Securities ${ }^{2}$.... | 19.9 | 26.9 | 37.4 | 39 |
| Cash, totar.... | 1.2 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 131 |
| Savings and interestbearing accounts $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 190 |
| Non-interestbearing accounts..... | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | -15 |
| Depreciable |  |  |  |  |
| assets and land held for charitable |  |  |  |  |
| purposes... | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 77 |
| Accounts and notes | Accounts and |  |  |  |
| receivable..... | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 22 |
| Other........... | 3.1 | 4.3 | 4.1 | -5 |

${ }^{1}$ Assets used were the book value reported.
${ }^{2}$ Includes corporate stocks, corporate bonds, and Government obligations.

The large increase in total cash held by foundations in general is attributable to savings and temporary cash investments, which rose by 190 percent between 1979 and 1982. For foundations with assets of $\$ 10 \mathrm{million}$ or more, the increase was 338 percent. Even though a downward trend in interest rates began in mid-1982, the average annual prime rate was still significantly higher for 1982 than it was for 1979, 14.86 percent compared to 12.67 percent. Still riding on the thrust of interest rates which had reached an all-time high during 1981, foundations evidently continued to funnel more income into short-term investments for 1982.

For foundations with less than $\$ 100,000$ in book value assets, holdings of cash and securities switched their positions of importance, with cash comprising 41 percent of assets and securities. ranking second at, 37 percent. The book value of land and depreciable assets held for charitable purposes (as opposed to investment purposes) also rose significantly between 1979 and 1982, although their dollar amount remained small in relation to total assets.

There was an increase in all components of liabilities from 1979 to 1982. The increases ranged from 42 percent for mortgages and all other notes payable to 216 percent for accounts payable. Foundations reported total liabili-
ties that were 73 percent higher for 1982 than they were for 1979. However, as a ratio to total assets, liabilities for these 2 years differed by only 1 percent, with liabilities for 1979 being 4 percent of assets and for 1982, 5 percent. Traditionally, the proportion of liabilities has remained relatively low from year-to-year because foundations normally do not borrow funds but operate principally using contributions they receive and income from investments. (Foundation income from investments which were purchased with borrowed funds are subject to the unrelated business income tax under the debt-financed provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.)

Excise Tax on Net Investment Income
Approximately 24,000 private foundations incurred excise taxes totalling \$111.4 million on their net investment income for 1982. The amount of excise tax reported increased by 78 percent between 1979 and 1982.

The excise tax on net investment income is a type of "audit" tax levied on foundations under the Tax Reform Act of 1969 to provide funds for IRS oversight of foundation activities and enforcement of laws governing their exempt status. Since the excise tax is computed as a specified percentage of income earned on investments (after allowance for certain expenses), its burden is borne most heavily by foundations with more successful investment portfolios [23]. As the asset size of a foundation grows, it relies more on investments for the production of income. This results in larger excise tax payments in proportion to asset size for the larger foundations.

## Charitable Distributions

The following discussion of foundation charitable distributions excludes operating foundations. Because they disburse their funds for the active conduct of charitable projects, operating foundations are not subject to the distribution requirement. All references to foundations in this section on charitable distributions are for nonoperating foundations.

Private foundations are required to distribute annually a minimum amount for charitable purposes. This computed minimum amount is based on 5 percent of a monthly average of their investment assets after allowances are deducted for indebtedness incurred in acquiring the assets and any cash reserved for charitable activities. The result of the computation is called the "minimum investment return." Foundations are then allowed additional adjustments to the minimum investment return, including deductions for the excise tax on net investment income and taxes on any "unrelated business income." The adjusted minimum investment return, called the "distributable
amount," is the actual amount foundations must distribute for charitable purposes during their annual accounting period. A foundation is subject to a two-tier system of penalty taxes for any portion of the distributable amount which it fails to pay out for charitable causes by the end of its next accounting period.

Amounts which qualify toward meeting the minimum required distribution include foundation expenditures for accomplishing its charitable purpose; program-related investments; amounts paid to acquire assets directly used, or held for use, in carrying out its charitable function; and any amounts set aside for future payment for a specific charitable project. These amounts are called "qualifying distributions." Foundations may also utilize carryovers (amounts paid out in excess of the amount required) from 5 previous years' grantmaking to meet the minimum payout requirement.

As discussed earlier, the distributable amount prior to 1981 was defined as the greater of "adjusted net income" (current income derived from the ownership of property or from income-producing activities, whether charitable or not, less allowable expenses) or the minimum investment return. With the enactment of the Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981, Congress changed the definition to limit the computation of the distributable amount to the minimum investment return without regard to the adjusted net income. The change was intended to provide relief to foundations during a period of high inflation (1981 saw the height of inflation rates) [24]. High inflation rates tended to decrease the real value of a foundation's net income. If the distributable amount was based
on the entire amount of a foundation's adjusted net income (the real portion plus the inflationproduced portion), the gradual effect would be an erosion of the real value of its investment assets [25].

The data presented in Figure $F$ show the effect of the 1981 tax law change on the amount foundations were required to expend for charitable purposes for 1982. Under the pre-1982 rules, foundations would have been required to pay out $\$ 4$ billion, $\$ 1.6$ billion more than was required for 1982. Private nonoperating foundations for 1982 made qualifying distributions of $\$ 4.9$ billion, over twice the amount required to be distributed. The effect of the revised distribution calculation is further demonstrated by a comparison of the distributable amount for 1979 to that for 1982, in constant 1979 dollars, which shows a 23 -percent real decline between the two years. Although nonoperating foundations collectively made qualifying distributions for 1982 that exceeded the distributable amount required under both the existing and previous laws, organizations with $\$ 10$ million or more in assets as a group distributed $\$ 126.8$ million less than the amount that would have been required if adjusted net income had still been a factor in determining the distributable amount. This would be expected since the law change, limiting the required payout to 5 percent of investment assets, was intended to help most those foundations which relied more heavily on investments as a principal source of income.

Based on the higher rate by which their adjusted net income exceeded their minimum investment return, it appears on the surface

Figure F.--Nonoperating Foundations: Comparison of Adjusted Net Income (ANI) with Minimum Investment Return (MIR), by Size of Total Fair Market Value of Assets
[Money amounts are in millions of dollars]

| Item | Total | Size of Total Fair Market Value of Assets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 100,000 \\ \hline(2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { under } \\ \$ 1,000,000 \\ \hline(3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,00,000 \\ & \text { under } \\ & \$ 10,000,000 \\ & \frac{(4)}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000,000 \\ \text { or more } \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of returns, total | 25,363 | 12,690 | 8,577 | 3,346 | 750 |
| Number of returns with ANI greater than MIR .......... | 17,970 | 7,007 | 7,314 | 2,995 | 654 |
| Greater of ANI or MIR MIR | 4,097.1 2,458.3 | 41.1 21.4 | 256.8 <br> 138.2 | 827.5 <br> 466.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,971.7 \\ & 1,832.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Difference ...................... | 1,638.8 | 19.7 | 118.6 | 361.0 | 1,139.5 |
| Difference as percent of MIR ... | 66.7 | 92.1 | 85.8 | 77.4 | 62.2 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.
that the smaller foundations benefited the most from the new provision. However, small organizations historically have made charitable distributions that well exceeded their annual required minimum. Unlike small foundations, most of the money distributed by large foundations for charitable purposes comes from their investment income. Since the distributable amount is dependent on the size of investment assets, the reduction in the distributable amount is more significant to large organizations. This concept is discussed more fully in the analysis of Figure $G$ data which follows below.

Eighty-five percent of all nonoperating foundations made qualifying distributions above the requi red 5 percent of net investment assets (after allowed adjustments), and more than half of these foundations paid out amounts which
were equal to 10 percent or more. While the remaining 15 percent made qualifying distributions which were less than the required percentage, they may have exercised their option to combine all or a portion of their 5-year excess distributions carryover with their current-year qualifying distributions to fulfill the required distributable amount for 1982. As noted earlier, no penalty or tax would have been imposed on a foundation which did not pay out the required amount for 1982 unless it failed to do so by the end of its 1983 tax year. A small number of those paying out less than 5 percent were allowed to do so because their IRS return was filed for an accounting period of less than one year.

Figure G graphically measures, through the use of ratios to total investment assets, how various asset size classes stack up in a com-

Figure G
Nonoperating Foundations: Required Distributions, Qualifying Distributions, Undistributed Income, and Excess Distributions Made for 1982, By Size of Total Fair Market Value of Assets


Fair Market Value of Assets
parison of charitable distribution items. While the smallest foundations made philanthropic disbursements which were extremely large in proportion to their investment assets, the aggregate distributions of the other asset classes still exceeded the required amount.

It is important to differentiate between small (assets under $\$ 100,000$ ) and large (assets of $\$ 10$ million or more) foundations by each group's principal source of income and how it affects their qualifying distributions. When income sources are considered, the dramatic decreases in qualifying distribution percentages that accompany increases in asset size are more understandable.

Since the distributable amount is based on a percentage of investment assets, and the principal source of income for large foundations is their return on investments, it is not surprising that the amount of their qualifying distributions is relatively close to the required distributable amount. Small organizations generally make qualifying distributions which are much higher than those required because the contributions they receive, which comprise most of their income, are not taken into account in computing the distributable amount. In fact, as a percent of their assets, contributions received by small organizations for 1982 equalled 53.4 percent compared to 2.9 percent when calculated for large foundations.

Traditionally, small foundations not only serve as a conduit for all of the contributions they receive, but they pay out substantially more of their income as well. To illustrate further the effect that the omission of contributions from the distributable amount had on the required and actual payouts of the two asset groups, contributions received for 1982 as a percentage of their respective distributable amounts were 806 percent for small foundations compared to 68 percent for large foundations.

The 1982 undistributed income (the portion of the required distribution which was not actually paid out as a qualifying distribution for 1982) of all asset groups appears to be a fairly stable proportion of their investment assets, ranging from 1.1 to 1.5 percent.

As would be expected because of their direct relationship to qualifying distributions, excess distributions for 1982 (amounts paid out which were in excess of the amount required) were much higher, relative to investment assets, for small foundations than for large foundations. After applying their 1982 qualifying distributions toward any prior-year undistributed income and the current-year distributable amount, foundations made excess distributions for 1982 of $\$ 1.6$ billion.

Legislative Analysis
Following is a brief analysis of major tax law changes affecting private foundations from 1969 to 1984. For a more in-depth account of private foundation legislation, see the Appendix at the end of this article.

The Tax Reform Act of 1969 was the first comprehensive piece of legislation affecting private foundations. Recommendations for increased Governmental regulation of foundations from a Treasury Department study (described in the Appendix to this article) and heightened public concern over reported controversial foundation activities culminated in hearings of the House Ways and Means Committee in early 1969. The Committee's findings led to the passage of the 1969 Act. The provisions of the 1969 Act covering foundations were enacted to correct and prevent any real and potential abuses of their charitable status.

Enactment of the Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981 afforded individuals who could not itemize their deductions the tax benefit of deducting charitable contributions. Congress believed this would stimulate charitable giving and provide funds to nonprofit organizations providing services which the Federal Government might otherwise need to fund. This provision terminates after 1986, so that its effectiveness in stimulating contributions can be analyzed. The 1981 Act also changed the method of computing the minimum payout requirement in an effort to provide relief to foundations from the effects of high inflation.

Many of the foundation provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1984 provide tax incentives and remove regulatory restraints in an effort to encourage the formation of new foundations. Provisions of the tax bill which are expected to promote philanthropic giving are increased deductibility allowances for individuals' gifts to charities, the 1-percent reduction in the 2-percent excise tax if a 5-year average of the foundation's qualifying distributions increases by a like amount, and a change in the minimum payout rule that limits the amount of administrative expenses incurred in the making of grants that may be treated as qualifying distributions. The Treasury Department has been directed to study the effects of the new payout requirement, which expires on December 31, 1990, unless Congress acts to continue it.

## SUMMARY

For 1982, approximately 28,500 private foundations spent over $\$ 5.2$ billion for philanthropic purposes. of this expenditure, $\$ 4.4$ billion was in the form of grants made to tax-exempt organizations directly operating charitable programs.

In 1979 dollars, total fair market value of assets for 1982 increased by 11 percent over 1979. Despite erratic shifts in the economy between 1979 and 1982, foundation interest and dividend income, the primary aggregate income source, rose by 56 percent over 1979.

A 1981 tax law change, intended to provide relief to foundations from high inflation, effective̊ly lowered the amount foundations were required to distribute for 1982 . The new law seemed to have a positive effect on many foundations and had no apparent negative impact on the amount of qualifying distributions made for philanthropic purposes.

## dATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

The statistics in this article are based on a sample of 1982 Income Year private foundation returns, Forms $990-\mathrm{PF}$, filed with the Internal Revenue Service and having accounting periods ending December 1982 through. November 1983. Forms $990-\mathrm{PF}$ filed by nonexempt charitable trusts and certain taxable foundations were excluded from the study. The sample was stratified based on size of total book (ledger) value of assets and selected at rates that ranged from $0.7^{-}$percent to 100 percent. There were 1,309 returns in the sample drawn from an estimated population of 28,468 .

The 1982 sample was designed to provide the most reliable estimates of total assets and total income using limited resources (budgetary constraints necessitated a very small sample size). The methodology employed to obtain the desired results was to include in the sample all returns with assets (book value) of $\$ 10$ million or more, the category where the highest concentration of assets exists. The 634 returns in this group accounted for approximately 70 percent of total assets and 50 percent of the sample. The remaining 675 returns in the sample were randomly selected at various rates, depending on their asset size. Due to the small number of sample returns selected to represent the population of returns with assets worth under $\$ 100,000$ ( 99 sample returns), the statistics presented for this group are subject to significant sampling variability and should, therefore, be used with caution.

The population from which the sample was drawn consisted of the latest private foundation records on the IRS Business Master File. Determinations of active filing status were made regarding the sample records. Some of the records drawn were deemed inactive (no return had been filed for at least 3 years), terminated, or not yet filed for 1982. Inactive and terminated private foundations were not reflected in the estimates. Prior-year returns were substituted for the small number of large
private foundations for which a 1982 return had not yet been filed or was unobtainable for inclusion in the study. Sample weights applied to small organizations were revised upward to compensate for missing returns in the latter category. Asset distributions presented in the 1982 tables have been compressed due to the small numbers of returns with assets less than $\$ 10$ million selected for the sample.

Because the data presented in this article are estimated based on a sample, they are subject to sampling error, as well as nonsampling error. To use the statistical data properly, the magnitude of the sampling error should be known. Coefficients of variation (CV's) are used to measure that magnitude.

The table below presents an estimate of the sampling error, expressed as a coefficient of variation, for frequency estimates of private foundation returns with less than $\$ 10$ million in assets. Returns reporting assets of $\$ 10$ million or more were selected at a rate of 100 percent; therefore, this category is not subject to sampling error. The approximate CV's shown here are intended only as a general indication of the reliability of the data. For a number other than those shown below, the corresponding CV's can be estimated by interpolation.

| Estimated Number of Returns by Size of Total Assets ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Approximated Coefficient of Variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or Not } \\ & \text { Reported } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | \$100,000 | \$1,000,000 |  |
|  | Under | Under |  |
|  | \$1,000,000 | \$10,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 3,567 | 05 |
| - |  |  | . 05 |
| , 5 | 9,683 | 1,897 | . 07 |
| 14,584 | 4,126 | 928 | . 10 |
| 6,482 | 1,810 | 412 | . 15 |
| 3,683 | 1,010 | 237 | . 20 |
| 1,620 | 463 | 103 | . 30 |

1Total assets used were the book value reported. The uppermost number in each column is the actual total number of returns in the asset class.

A discussion of the reliability of estimates based on samples and the use of coefficients of variation for evaluating the precision of sample estimates can be found in the general Appendix to this publication.

## EXPLANATION OF TERMS

Non-technical terminology has been used in this article, wherever possible, to assist in the understanding of the statistical content. However, in Tables 1-6, the technical terms are used as they appear on the tax return form.

Therefore, to assist users of these data, explanations of some of these terms are provided with both their technical and nontechnical meanings. (The latter are denoted in parentheses.) A more comprehensive explanation of terms appears in Statistics of Income--19741978, Private Foundations (see reference [22]).

Adjusted Net Income (Receipts Less Expenses)
This item represented the gross income derived from, or in connection with, property held by the foundation or from income-producing activities reduced by allowable deductions. It included investment income, net short-term capital gain, repayment of "qualifying distributions," gross profit from business activities, and certain miscellaneous income. Excluded from income were gross contributions, gifts, and grants (received); contributions from split-interest trusts; gross dues and assessments; net long-term capital gains; and net short- and long-term capital losses.

Distributable Amount (Required Minimum Distribution)

Distributable amount was the foundations' "minimum investment return" less taxes on net investment income and unrelated business income, and net of any adjustments. The distributable amount represented the minimum payout which had to be distributed by the end of the year following the year for which the return was filed in order to avoid payment of an excise tax for failure to currently distribute income.

Expenditures for Exempt Purposes (Philanthropic or Charitable Spending)

These deductions represented expenditures for activities that were directly related to the tax-exempt purposes of the foundation. Included were necessary and reasonable administrative expenses paid for charitable, scientific, educational, or other similar purposes. These amounts were detemined solely on the cash receipts and disbursements method of accounting.

Minimum Investment Return (Fixed Percent of Assets)

This was the aggregate fair market value of assets not used for charitable purposes less the sum of indebtedness incurred to acquire those assets and cash held for charitable activities, multiplied by 5 percent.

Qualifying Distributions (Actual Distributions)
These were direct expenditures for charitable purposes or for assets used for such purposes. They included payouts to public charities and operating foundations, and
payouts to other private foundations, if the recipient foundation agreed to distribute the same amount for charitable purposes by the end of the following tax year. In addition, funds set aside for major tax-exempt projects were also included. Qualifying distributions were creditable against a private foundation's obligation to pay out its "distributable amount."

Value of Noncharitable Assets (Investment Assets)

For purposes of calculating "minimum investment return," only the assets that were not used or held for use for exempt purposes entered into the computation. An asset was not used directly in carrying out the foundation's exempt purpose if the asset was not used in the carrying on of a charitable, educational or other similar function which gave rise to the exempt status of the foundation.
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## APPENDIX: HIGHLIGHTS IN FOUNDATION LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Since this study is the first in an annual Statistics of Income (SOI) series designed to track and report on private foundation trends and changes in reporting patterns, it seems appropriate to provide, as background information for future SOI reports on foundation data, a historical review of major private foundation legislation [1] [2].

Foundations, which (as we know them today) had their beginnings around the late 1800's [3], have had their share of proponents and opponents alike throughout their existence. Some question their qualification for tax-exempt status because of their narrow base of support and the advantageous tax treatment given to their usually wealthy donors. Others see foundations as playing a key role in meeting public needs by funding continuing non-profit projects or by supporting innovative or risky undertakings which could not be funded by more conventional sources. Throughout foundation history, Congress has recognized the need for Government regulation and public accountability, yet has maintained that foundation philanthropic activities are deserving of tax exemption. The following historical account summarizes major legislative events affecting private foundations.

- 1917 Individuals allowed deductions for charitable contributions.
- 1934 Law passed prohibiting tax-exempt charitable organizations from lobbying.
- 1935 Corporations allowed deductions for charitable contributions.
- 1943 Passage of the Revenue Act of 1943. Required certain tax-exempt organizations, including foundations, to file annual information returns.
- 1947-48 Hearings held by the House Ways and Means and the Senate Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committees on foundation activities. No legislative outcome.
- 1950 Passage of the Revente Act of 1950. Imposed regulations on foundations regarding unrelated business income, excessive accumulation of income, prohibited activities, and public disclosure of annual information returns.
- 1952-62 Several Congressional committees established to investigate alleged abusive foundation activities. The committees each issued reports con-
taining their findings and recommendations but no legislative action was taken.
- House Select Committee to Investigate and Study Educational and Philanthropic Foundations and Other Comparable Organizations Which are Exempt from Federal Taxation, Chaired by Rep. Eugene E. Cox. (Established in 1952.)
- House Special Committee to Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations and Comparable Organizations, chaired by Rep. Carroll B. Reece. (Established in 1953.)
- House Select Committee on Small Business, Chaired by Rep. Wright Patman. (Established in 1962; last installment of eight reports issued in 1972.)
- 1965 U.S. Treasury Department Report on Private Foundations issued. Treasury concluded that while private foundations play an important role in our society and generally operate free of abuse, serious problems did exist among a small number of them. The study resulted in extensive recommendations for dealing with six categories of major abuses. No immediate legislation was passed.
- 1969 Passage of Tax Reform Act of 1969. The 1969 Act for the first time defined private foundations in the Internal Revenue Code, subjected foundations to an excise tax on investment income (to cover the cost of IRS oversight), and imposed a two-tier system of penalty taxes on foundations that engage in "prohibited acts." Provisions dealing with penalty taxes on pronibited acts included the following:
- taxes on self-dealing (transactions between a foundation and a disqualified person. E.g., lending money; sale, exchange or leasing of property; transfer of foundation income or assets to disqualified persons).
- taxes on undistributed income (the amount required to be distributed in a given tax year for charitable purposes which the foundation fails to pay out by the end of the following tax year).
- taxes on excess business holdings (amount by which stockholdings or other interest in a business enterprise exceeds the amount of permitted holdings).
- taxes on investments which jeopardize the carrying out of a foundation's exempt purpose (the lack of ordinary care and prudence in making investment decisions).
- taxes on taxable expenditures includes engaging in nonexempt, political, or legislative activities; and disbursements of funds to other organizations or individuals, without sufficient oversight to ensure the funds are used exclusively for exempt purposes).
- 1973-74 Hearings on a variety of private foundation issues held by the following Congressional committees, but no legislation enacted.
- Subcommittee on Domestic Finance of the House Committee on Banking and Currency (on compliance of private foundations with provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1969).
- House Ways and Means Committee (on tax treatment of private foundations).
- Subcommittee on Foundations of the Senate Finance Committee (1973 hearings on the role of foundations in society and the impact of the provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1969; 1974 hearings to determine the influence of private foundations on public broadcasting; additional 1974 hearings on the impact of the economy on private foundations and their grant recipients).
- 1976 Legislation enacted to change the required minimum payout to charity from 6 percent to 5 percent of market value investment assets for accounting periods beginning in 1976.

Legislation enacted to change the excise tax on net investment income from 4 percent to 2 percent for domestic foundations. reporting requirements placed on private foundations.

- 1981 Passage of the Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981. Allowed individuals who could not itemize their deductions to deduct part of their charitable contributions anyway; provided favorable tax treatment for corporate charitable donations of scientific equipment; and restricted the minimum payout computation base to investment assets, without regard to the amount of the foundation's income for the year.
- 1983 Hearings held by House Subcommittee on Oversight of the Ways and Means Committee on the impact of the Tax Reform Act of 1969 on private foundations.
- 1984 Passage of the Tax Reform Act of 1984. Its major private foundation provisions include the following:
- Limitation on the amount of grant administrative expenses which can be applied toward meeting the minimum payout requirement. The Act also directed the Treasury Department to conduct a study of foundation administrative expenses. This provision terminates on December 31, 1990.
- Waiver of the 2-percent excise tax for a new classification called "exempt operating foundations" and reduction in the tax to 1 percent for nonoperating foundations if a 5-year average of their qualifying distributions increases by a like amount.
- Extension of the divestiture period for excess business holdings under certain circumstances.
- Authority granted to IRS to abate the first-tier penalty tax on prohibited foundation activities (except self-dealing) when reasonable cause for the violation can be proven.
- Definition of "family members" of a substantial contributor modified to treat as a "disqualified person" only those descendents through the great grandchildren level. Substantial contributor status is terminated if no connection with the foundation can be demonstrated for a 10-year period.
- Directive issued to the Treasury Department to review the expenditure responsibility regulations
(requiring oversight of certain organizations to which grants are made) to determine if they are overly burdensome; to extend to 5 years the advance ruling period during which a new organization is treated as a public charity; and to permit donor foundations greater reliance an IRS rulings for making grants to such new organizations.
- Increase in the deductible portion of an individual's gift to a private foundation from 20 percent to 30 percent of adjusted gross income, except for donations of appreciated property (which remain deductible up to 20 percent). Appreciated publicly-traded stock
can now be deducted at fair market value, subject to a limitation of not more than 10 percent of all the stock of a given company.
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N/A - Not applicable.
'Less than $\$ 500$.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 2. - All Foundations: Balance Sheets and Income Statements, by Size of Total Book Value of Assets
[Money amounts are in thousands of doliars]

| Hem | Total | Size of total book value of assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Assets } \\ \text { zero or } \\ \text { urreported } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\$ 1} \text { under } \\ & \mathbf{S 1 0 0 . 0 0 0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { undor } \\ \$ 1,000,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000,000 \\ \text { undoe, } \\ \$ 10,000,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0 0} \\ \mathbf{i n n d o b o n} \\ \mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 25,000,000 \\ \text { urder } \\ \mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000,000 \\ \mathbf{u n d} \mathbf{u} \\ \$ 100,000,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S100,000,000 } \\ \text { or more } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of returns $\qquad$ <br> Total assets (Book value) $\qquad$ | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (日) | (9) |
|  | 28,468 | -884 | 13,700 | 9,683 | 3,567 | 371 | 136 | 74 | 53 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 48,227,043 \\ 4,643,217 \end{array}$ | - | 391,663 | $3,324,798$ | 11,153,659 | 5,848,437 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,761,337 \\ 424,159 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,154,433 \\ 400,596 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,592,715 \\ 654,083 \end{array}$ |
| Cash, total ...................... Non-interest bearing accounts |  |  |  |  | 1,543,708 | 642,341 |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing accounts | $\begin{array}{r}4.40,462 \\ 4.152 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}44,544 \\ 116,913 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 168,370 | 163.021 | 44.253 | 20,256 | 23,48237714 | 26.535 |
| Savings and temporary cash investments | 4.152.755 |  |  | ${ }^{648,504}$ | 1,380,687 | 598.088 | 14,821 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 627.548 \\ 94.050 \end{array}$ |
| Accounts receivable, net | 247,814 <br> 14,853 | - | -11,036 |  |  | 43.898 |  | 34,188 | 94,050 |
| Pledges receivable, net. | $43,003$ | - |  | -3,125 | -35,184 | 10,000 | $2{ }^{2}$ |  | 2,76315,006 |
| Receivables due from disqualified persons |  |  | $\bullet 7,730$ | $\cdot 1,376$ | -12,895 | 23,603 | 39,931 |  |  |
| Other notes and loans receivable, net. | 560,221 |  | -3,911 | 46.110-6.755 | 268,74610,614 | 116,885 |  |  | 20.812 |
| Inventories. | 33,909 |  | -3,911 |  |  | 12.332 | ${ }^{2.853}$ | 63.727 278 627 | 1,0762,532 |
| Prepaid expenses and deferred charges | 23,287 |  |  | ${ }^{.6688}$ | ${ }^{3,047}$ | 13.936 | ${ }_{40,477}^{2,485}$ |  |  |
| Investments, total $\qquad$ Securities | 40,290,739 |  | 176,114 142,955 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,344,450 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,442,056 \\ & 7,564,220 \end{aligned}$ | 4,640,174 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,000,886 \\ & 3.513 .521 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,357,884 \\ & 4,123,579 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,329,176 \\ & 15,838,658 \end{aligned}$ |
| Land, buildings, and equipment (Less accumulated depreciation) | $\begin{array}{r} 733,440 \\ 392,490 \\ 1,746,738 \end{array}$ | - | -3,827 | $\begin{array}{r}1,963,647 \\ \hline 83,457 \\ \hline 87\end{array}$ | $1,564,220$ 183,099 | 4,271,492 ${ }^{130,591}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145,478 \\ 38,822 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,688 \\ 35,287 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155,361 \\ & 155,027 \end{aligned}$ |
| Morgage loans ... |  |  | -9,006 | -17.138 | 100,333 | 56,877 |  |  |  |
| Other investments |  | $-1$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,610 \\ 9,807 \end{array}$ | 280,208 | 594,464 | 181,214 | 303,065 | 167,331 | 200,131 |
| Charitable-purpose land, buildings, and equipment (Less accumulated depreciation) | $1,125,326$ |  |  | 63,627 | 478.620 | 185,961 | 133.475 | 106,613 | 135,460 |
| Other assets.. | 1.184,067 |  |  | 34,742 | 311,159 |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities. | $\begin{array}{r} 2,593,918 \\ 423,918 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 224,373 \\ 12,191 \end{array}$ | 69,152 | 449,373 | 243,807 | 288,827 | 305,975 | 1,012,412 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses |  |  |  | 13,468 | 47,871 | 19,480 | 101,648 |  | -200.952 |
| Grants payable. | 1,264,317 |  | -196,053 | -11,221 | 83,974 | 73,343 | 11,425 |  |  |
| Support and revenue held for future periods. | ${ }_{-6.479}$ |  |  | -1, 108 | -38,900 | 11,584 <br> 2,160 | 11,425 | 7,506 <br> 1.169 | - |
| Loans from officers, directors, rustees, etc. | 6,479 418,791 |  | $\cdot 1,131$ | -15,581 | 160,381 | 55,819 | 80,624 | 76,667 | 28,587 |
| Other liabilities .... | 407,191 |  | -14,997 | 23,965 | 116,204 | 81,421 | 16,901 | 27,682 | 126,020 |
| Net worth. | 45,633,125 | - | 167,290 | 3,255,646 | 10,704,286 | 5,604,630 | 4,472,511 | 4,848,458 | 16,580,304 |
| Total receipts | 9,126,529 | *1,013 | 267,211 | 873,963 | 2,076,409 | 1,068,363 | 925,567 | 1,157,087 | 2,756,916 |
| Contributions, gitts and grants received | 2,679,359 |  | 220,893 | 456,347 | 648.559 | 342,829 | 236,746 | 565,056 |  |
| Membership dues and assessments | 24,482 | - 58 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { * } \\ \hline 294 \\ \hline 096\end{array}$ | -4,323 | $\begin{array}{r}13,583 \\ \hline 250,789\end{array}$ | 5,893 <br> 117,118 | 103,443 |  | 142,085 |
| Interest on savings and temporary cash investments | 799,713 | 2 | 27,168 | 191.479 | 655.296 | 382,674 | 347,350 | 294,187 | 1,084,051 |
| Dividends and interest from securities | 2,910,271 |  | -775 | 31,138 | 60,233 | 27,316 | 29,413 | 11,995 | 21,101 |
| Nat gain (or loss) from sale of assets | 1,783,651 |  | 959 | 56,517 | 301,431 | 145,103 | 132,069 | 178,821 | 968.751 |
| Gross profit from business activities | 113,222 |  |  | + $+10,713$ | 79,415 67105 | 16,664 30,765 | 1,201 75,214 | [33.513 | 4,975 327,957 |
| Other income | 573.924 |  | 1,826 | 37,544 | 67,105 | 30,765 |  |  |  |
| Total deductions. | 5,834,328 | * 1,411 | 459,720 | 717,455 | 1,489,615 | 769,213 | 634,432 | 415,888 | 1,346,594 |
| Contributions, gifts, and grants paid | 4,477,761 | .118 | 417,074 | 584,416 | 1,101,782 | 598,934 | 488,766 | 304,541 | 981.129 |
| Compensation of ofticers....... | 105,365 |  |  | - 35,243 | 36,826 | - 35.395 |  | 20,771 | 52,290 |
| Other salaries and wages ......... | 254,176 50,572 |  | * 8 | -5,481 | 10,816 | 6,437 | 4,758 | 5,342 | 17,730 |
| Investment, legal and other profossional services | 144,007 | 4 | 3,987 | 13,038 | 45,951 | 18,793 | 14,549 | 13.046 | 34,638 |
| Interest | 35.859 |  | 151 | 1,334 | 14,096 | 6,997 | 2,757 | 7,648 | 2,876 |
| Taxes. | 177,208 |  | 769 | 9,086 | 40,251 | 25,794 | 32,574 |  | 49,501 |
| Depreciation and depletion | 51.080 |  | -1,627 | 4,111 |  | 8,178 | ${ }_{2}^{987}$ | 3,944 | ${ }_{8}^{4.418}$ |
| Occupancy ....... | 33,411 504,889 | 229 | -1,923 | 53,103 | 122,145 | 3,781 49,377 | 47,953 | 26,502 | 172,165 |
| Net income (less d | 3,292,200 | -397 | - 192,510 | 156,508 | 586,794 | 299,150 | 291,135 | 741,199 | 1,410,323 |
| Net income ............ | 4,274,832 |  | 41,920 | 296,080 | 772,941 | 402,368 | 362,806 | 778,688 | 1,620,028 |
| Deficit. | 982,631 |  | 234,430 | 139,573 | 186,147 | 103,218 | 71,671 | 37,489 | 209,706 |
| Total assets (fair market value). | 62,886,606 | - | 409,055 | 3,839,783 | 13,891,391 | 8,052,683 | 6,949,015 | 6,800,151 | 22,944,528 |
| Selected fair market value assets: |  |  |  |  | 1,530,035 |  |  | 406,378 | 653,449 |
| Cash, total ................. | 49,822,624 | - | 173,432 | 2,342,702 | 9,600,908 | 6,174,613 | 5,464,150 | 5,329,804 | 20,737,016 |
| Investment-purpose land, buildings, and equipment (Less accumulated depreciation) | 1,512,375 | - | -3,827 | 108,412 | 513,696 | 262,340 | 208,233 | 86,411 | 329,455 |
| Charitable-purpose land, buildings and equipment (Less accumulated depreciation) | 1,368 | - | -21,167 | 119,963 | 583,085 | 244,341 | 247,562 | 126,051 | 149,199 |
| Beginning of year assets (book value), total | 43,181,895 | *398 | 578,248 | 3,139,067 | 10,225,418 | 5,448,507 | 4,305,161 | 4,303,934 | 15,181,162 |
| Selected beginning of year assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments in securities.. | 31,673,360 | - | 142,686 | 48,7 | 38,7 | 3,998,5 | 3,135,425 | 3,239,446 | 12,369,819 |
| Investment-purpose land, buildings, and equipment (Less accumulated depreciation) | 712,059 |  | $\stackrel{7,355}{ }$ | 81,291 | 167,487 | 142,090 | 137,658 | 25,452 | 150,726 |

"Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample returns on which it is based.
Less than $\$ 500$.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 3. - All Foundations: Balance Sheets and Income Statements, by Size of Total Fair Market Value of Assets
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

*Estimate should be used with caution because of the smalt number of sample returns on which it is based.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 4. - All Foundations: Selected Balance Sheet and Income Statement Items, and Reconciliation of Net Worth, by Size of Total Fair Market Value of Assets
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Hem | Total |  | Size of total fair market value of assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Numbder } \\ & \text { refurns } \end{aligned}$ | Amount | Assets zero orurreported |  | \$1 under \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 under \$1,000,000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000,000 \\ \text { under } \$ 10,000,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Number of } \\ \text { returns }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Amount | Number of retums | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of } \\ \text { returns } \end{gathered}$ | Amount | Number of returns | Amount |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| All foundations, total | 28,468 <br> 27,584 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 62,886,606 \\ 48,227,043 \end{array}$ | 1,515 |  | 13,237 | 416,696408,901 | 9,1259,125 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,262,919 \\ & 2,981,006 \end{aligned}$ | 3,771 | 11,981,973 |
| Foundations with - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets (Book value) |  |  | *631 |  | 13,237 |  |  |  | 3,771 | 10,096,084 |
| Selectod assets: Cash, 1 tat...................... | 6,507 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,643,217 \\ 37,418,071 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{-484}$ | ${ }_{-}^{\cdot 2,218}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,795 \\ 5,535 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161,414 \\ & 155,798 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,830 \\ & 7,104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 726,422 \\ 1,770,325 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,606 \\ & \mathbf{3 , 3 4 8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,528,103 \\ & 6,579,417 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total liabilities. | 7,001 | 2,593,918 | -147 | ${ }^{*} 20$ | 2,210 | 224,354 | 2,452 | 60,120 | 1,627 | 437,728 |
| Selected liabilities: Contributions, gifts, and grants payable.. Mortgages and other notes payable | 1.142 464 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,264,317 \\ 418,791 \end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }_{-} \cdot 5887$ | ${ }_{-196,053}^{1.131}$ | - 2121 | - 11.221 | 176 155 | $\begin{array}{r} 69,741 \\ 160,381 \end{array}$ |
| Net worth/fund balances (end of year) | 27,584 | 45,633,125 | *631 | *6,087 | 13,237 | 184,547 | 9,125 | 2,920,886 | 3,771 | 9,658,355 |
| Reconcillation of net worth/fund balances: |  |  |  |  | 12,648 | 332,050 | 9,125 | 2,743,832 | 3,771 | 8,840,121 |
| Net worth/tund balances (beginning of year) Plus: | 27.283 | 40,807,229 |  | -5,902 | 12,648 | 332,050 | 9,125 | 2,74,632 | 2, 54 | - 775,798 |
| Net income ..... Other increases | $\begin{gathered} 15,896 \\ 5,170 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,274,833 \\ & 1,818,190 \end{aligned}$ | *337 | -1,048 | 6,376 <br> 2,210 | 41,734 52,714 | 1,642 | 246,206 57.482 | 2,547 | $259,238$ |
| Minus: |  |  |  | 862 | 6,124 | 234,932 | 2.915 | 108,141 | 1.215 | 194,177 |
| Deficit.............. | 11,064 4,928 | $\begin{aligned} & 982,632 \\ & 284,495 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2,357 | -234,932 | 1,578 | 18,494 | 786 | 22.624 |
| Equals: |  |  |  |  |  | 184,547 | 9,125 | 2,920,886 | 3,771 | 9,658,355 |
| Net worth/fund balances (end of year) | 27.584 | 45,633,125 | 631 | 6,087 | 13,237 | 184,547 |  |  |  |  |
| Total receipts.. | 27,603 | 9,126,529 | *1,368 | *6,906 | 12,606 | 264,945 | 9,040 | 662,390 | 3,771 | 2,097,182 |
| Selected receipts: | 13,222 | 2,679,359 | -1,221 | *5,673 | 6,376 | 216,874 | 3,621 | 349,425 | 1,670 | 754,358 |
| Interest on savings and temporary cash investments | 18,200 | 799,713 | -337 | -276 | 8,334 | 27,282 | 6,283 | 74,813 | 2,631 | 231,696 |
| Dividends and interest from securities .... | 17,389 | 2,970,206 | -189 | -413 | 5,787 | 15,332 | 7,356 2,210 | $\begin{array}{r}174,098 \\ 65 \\ \hline 6.742\end{array}$ | 3,276 2,021 | $\begin{array}{r}566,829 \\ \hline 244,538\end{array}$ |
| Net capital gain............. | 5,513 2,699 | $1,754,665$ 461.233 |  |  | -442 | - | 2,268 | 16,358 | 2,962 |  |
| Net short-term capital gain <br> Total deductions | 27,645 | 5,834,328 | 1,515 | 6,721 | 12,500 | 458,142 | 9,040 | 524,324 | 3,771 | 1,515,561 |
| Solocted deductions: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,619 |  | 3,429 | 1,098,908 |
| Contributions, gitts, and grants paid .... Compensation of officers ............. | 23,806 5,135 | 4,477,761 | :147 |  | - $\cdot 1,178$ | 767 | 1,895 | 7.514 | 1,371 | 33,798 |
| Investment, legal and other professional |  | 144,007 |  | 185 | 5,156 | 3,871 | 5,357 | 11,003 | 2,933 | 40,906 |
| Total assets (fair market value) | 26,953 | 62,886,606 |  |  | 13,237 | 416,696 | -9,125 | 3,262,919 | 3,771 | 11,981,973 |
| Cash, total ...................... | 25,829 | 4,597,266 |  |  | 12,648 | 161,161 | 8.788 | 718,922 | 3,605 | 1,514,107 |
| Non-interest bearing accounts............... | 19,890 | 549,787 | - |  | ${ }^{9,323}$ | 47,447 113714 | 7,009 6,031 | 134,526 | 2,908 2,684 | 190,632 $1,323,476$ |
| Savings and temporary cash investments | 16,904 | 4,047,479 |  |  | -7,178 | + ${ }^{113,714}$ | 6,031 | 584,396 2 | 2,684 <br> 04 | 1,323,4960 |
| Accounts receivable, net . | 2,781 | 235,831 $-20,851$ |  |  | -1,178 | -8,129 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | -3,125 | ${ }^{+10}$ | $\cdots \cdot 1,725$ |
| Pledges receivable, net. | 60 | -20,801 |  |  |  | 二 |  |  | -52 | -38,341 |
| Receivables due from disqualitied persons | 116 | 35,929 |  |  |  |  | -84 | $\cdot 1,376$ 46,029 | $\stackrel{-21}{454}$ | 262,449 |
| Other notes and loans receivable, net... | 1,676 | 552,997 |  |  |  |  | -126 | -9,844 | 125 | 10,455 |
| Inventories | 504 | 40,587 |  |  |  |  | -126 | -20,897 | 271 | 4,152 |
| Investments, total. | 19,110 | 54,020,434 |  |  | 6,903 | 210,642 | 7.819 | 2,325,920 | 3.585 | 9,181,129 |
| Securitios ............. | 17,025 | 49,822,624 |  |  | 5,935 | 176,924 | 6,967 | 1,975,788 | 3,347 | 8,080,023 |
| Land, buildings, and equipment (Less accumulated depreciation) | 1,727 | 1.512,375 |  |  | * 442 | $\stackrel{*}{3} \mathbf{8} 827$ | -632 | -99,361 | 467 | 329,802 |
| Mortgage loans ................ | 1,018 3,520 | 418,754 $2.266,681$ | - |  |  | - 21,889 | - 1,231 | -53,936 | $\begin{array}{r}1,037 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ |  |
| Other investments. | 3,520 | 2,266,681 |  |  | -1,010 | -21,889 | 1,231 |  | 1.03 |  |
| Charitable-purpose land, buildings, and equipment (Less accumulated depreciation) Other assats | $\begin{aligned} & 2,593 \\ & 7,447 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,491,368 \\ & 1,803,238 \end{aligned}$ | 二 | - | $\begin{array}{r}\text { +779 } \\ \hline \mathbf{7} \mathbf{0 9 4} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 22,825 \\ 10,027 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 716 \\ 2.368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75,228 \\ & 58,695 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 777 \\ 1,541 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 562,264 \\ & 374,368 \end{aligned}$ |

Footnotes at end of table.

Table 4. - All Foundations: Selected Balance Sheet and Income Statement Items, and Reconcillation of Net Worth, by Size of Total Fair Market Value of Assets - Continued
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

"Estimate should be used with caution because of the small mumber of sample returns on which it is based
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 5. - Nonoperating Foundations: Minimum Investment Return, Distributable Amount, Qualifying Distributions, and Undistributed Income, by Size of Total Assets not Held for Charitable Purposes
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]


Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample returns on which it is based
'A computation using less than 5 percent is allowed on returns covering an accounting period of less than one year.
${ }^{2}$ Indicates amount between -5500 and $+\$ 500$.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Private Foundation Information Returns, 1982
Table 6. - All Foundations With Total Book Value of Assets of $\mathbf{\$ 1 0}$ Million or More-Summary: Number of Foundations; Total Book Value and Fair Market Value of Assets; Contributions, Gifts, and Grants Received; and Contributions, Gifts, and Grants Paid; by State
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| State | Number of veturns | Percent | Total assets |  |  |  |  |  | Contributions, gitts and grants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Book value |  |  | Fair market value |  |  | Received |  |  | Pald |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { returns } \end{aligned}$ | Amount | Percent | Number of returns | Amount | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { returns } \end{aligned}$ | Arrount | Percent | Number of returns | Armount | Percent |
| United States, <br> Alabama $\qquad$ <br> Alaska $\qquad$ <br> Arizona $\qquad$ <br> Arkansas $\qquad$ <br> Celifornia $\qquad$ | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
|  | 620 | 100.0 | 620 | 32,825,180 | 100.0 | 620 | 43,547,780 | 100.0 | 262 | 1,352,453 | 100:0 | 583 | 2,254,342 | 100.0 |
|  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | , | $\overline{0}$ | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 18 | - | 13 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 60,054 | 0.2 | 2 | 60.057 | 0.1 | 1 | 24,924 | 1.8 | 2 | 2.134 | 0.1 |
|  | 2 | 0.3 | 21 | 30,885 $4,952,682$ | 0.1 15.1 | 2 | 36,374 $5,779,338$ | 0.1 | 1 | 3,500 177,807 | 0.3 | 28 | 2,114 | 0.1 10.5 |
| Cotorado ... |  | 1.3 | 8 | 320,137 | 1.0 | 8 | 427.010 |  | 3 | 2,851 |  | 7 |  |  |
| Connecticut | 14 | 2.3 | 14 | 422,400 | 1.3 | 14 | 546,211 | 1.3 | 9 | 5,135 | 0.4 | 12 | 53,478 | 0.9 2.4 |
| Delaware .. | 13 | 2.1 | 13 | 453,044 | 1.4 | 13 | 603,736 | 1.4 | 2 | 43,055 | 3.2 | 13 | 28,911 | 1.3 |
| Florida | 14 | 2.3 | 14 | 405,056 | 1.2 | 14 | 444,128 | 1.0 | 4 | 8,764 | 0.6 | 11 | 18,738 | 0.8 |
| Georgia | 10 | 1.6 | 10 | 256,768 | 0.8 | 10 | 411,350 | 0.9 | 1 | 8,122 | 0.7 | 10 | 21,267 | 0.9 |
| Hawali.. | 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 55,453 | 0.2 | 3 | 78,874 | 0.2 | - | - | - | 3 | 6,991 | 0.3 |
| Idaho... Illinois . | 29 | $\overline{4}$ | 29 | 1,712.889 | 5.2 | 29 | 2,985,740 | 6.9 | 14 | 44.893 | 3.3 | 24 | 142,841 | 6.3 |
| Indiara. | 11 | 1.8 | 11 | 369,107 | 1.1 | 11. | 994,399 | 2.3 | 4 | 10,498 | 0.8 | 10 | 63,217 | 2.8 |
| lowa ... | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 41,204 | 0.1 | 2 | 42,636 | 0.1 | 1 | 10,890 | 0.6 | 2 | 2,779 | 0.1 |
| Kansas.. | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 29,783 | 0.1 | 2 | 36,013 | 0.1 | 1 | 17,036 | 1.3 | 2 | 1,502 | 0.1 |
| Kentucky .. | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 135,688 | 0.4 | 1 | 135,592 | 0.3 | - | , | - | 7 | 6,381 | 0.3 |
| Louisiana | 6 | 1.0 | 6 | 100,791 | 0.3 | 6 | 161,744 | 0.4 | 3 | 12,440 | 0.9 | 4 | 2,773 | 0.1 |
| Maine ................ | , | $\overline{3}$ | 19 | 422,855 | - | 71. | 658,395 | -1.5 | 70 | 11,653 | -0, | 16 | 26542 | $\overline{1.2}$ |
| Massachusetts . | 11 | 1.8 | 11 | 223.189 | 0.7 | 11 | 319.517 | 0.7 | 5 | 740 | 0.1 | 8 | 11.914 | 0.5 |
| Michigan........ | 16 | 2.6 | 16 | 1,386,717 | 4.2 | 16 | 1,789,727 | 4.1 | 7 | 84,189 | 6.2 | 15 | 138,180 | 6.1 |
| Minnesota . | 18 | 2.8 | 18 | 1,376,942 | 4.2 | 18. | 1,383,744 | 3.2 | 9 | 16,350 | 1.2 | 16 | 81,974 | 3.6 |
| Mississippi | - | - | - | 373, | - | - | -70, | - | - | - | - | - | - 7 | T |
| Missouri.... | 15 | 2.4 | 15 | 373,378 | 1.1 | 15. | 531,265 | 1.2 | 6 | 19,404 | 1.4 | 14. | 39,175 | 1.7 |
| Montana.. |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebraska. | 4 | 0.6 | 4 | 102,934 | 0.3 | 4 | 121,816 | 0.3 | 3 | 1,451 | (1) 0.1 | 3 | 5,509 | 0.2 |
| Nevada .......... | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 22,168 | 0.1 | 1 | 24,257 | 0.1 | 1 | 301 |  | 1 | 1,473 | 0.1 |
| New Hampshire | - | - | $\overline{0}$ | 1003-206 | -1 | - | 1095,558 | - | - | - |  | - | , 7 | - |
| Now Jersey..... | 18 | 3.1 | 19 | 1,093,896 | 3.3 | 19 | 1,985,558 | 4.6 | 9 | 3,814 | 0.3 | 17 | 40,770 | 1.8 |
| New Mexico. | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 26,957 | 0.1 | 1 | 27,194 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New York ..... | 158 | 25.6 | 159 | 11,249,493 | 34.3 | 158 | 13,296,293 | 30.5 | 68 | 307,950 | ${ }^{22.8}$ | 156 | 588,363 | 26.1 |
| North Carolina | 8 | 1.3 | 8 | 454,026 | 1.4 | 6 | 752,272 | 1.7 | 3. | 532 | (1) | 8 | 53,442 | 2.4 |
| North Dakota... | - | - | - | 609,77 | 7 | - | - - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Ohio ...... | 30 | 4.8 | 30 | 669,772 | 2.0 | 30 | 860,265 | 2.0 | 12 | 29,289 | 2.2 | 29 | 56,613 | 2.5 |
| Oklahoma | 12 | 1.9 | 12 | 493,592 | 1.5 | 12 | 1,046,549 | 2.4 | 8 | 13,549 | 1.0 | 12 | 39,491 | 1.8 |
| Oregon........ | 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 194,057 | 0.6 | 3 | 205,249 | 0.5 | 1 | 120,201 | 8.9 | 3 | 4,710 | 0.2 |
| Pennsytvania | 34 | 5.5 | 34 | 1,950,842 | 5.9 | 34 | 3,108,441 | 7.1 | 10 | 84,619 | 6.3 | 33 | 204,164 | 9.1 |
| Rhode island.. | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 26,291 | 0.1 | 2 | 26,023 | 0.1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1,554 | 0.1 |
| South Carolina. | 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 47,653 | 0.1 | 3 | 50,300 | 0.1 | 1 | 10 | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 3 | 3,390 | 0.2 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tennessee | 6 | 1.0 | 6 | 246,741 | 0.8 | 6 | 301,542 | 0.7 | 3 | 48,857 | 3.6 | 6 | 18,063 | 0.8 |
| Texas. | 58 | 9.4 | 58 | 2,387,084 | 7.3 | 58 | 3,512,282 | 8.1 | 28 | 223.527 | 16.5 | 57 | 286,049 | 12.7 |
| Utah. | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 39,672 | 0.1 | 2 | 42,312 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 2 | 3,667 | 0.2 |
| Vermont | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 24,203 | 0.1 | 1. | 25,521 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 1 | 231 | (') |
| Virginia. | 5 | 0.8 | 5 | 179,059 | 0.5 | 5 | 190,394 | 0.4 | 1 | 10 |  | 5 | 9,400 | 0.4 |
| Washington.. | 8 | 1.3 | 8 | 250,830 | 0.8 | 6. | 280,208 | 0.6 | 2 | 8,736 | 0.6 | 7 | 14,968 | 0.7 |
| West Virginia ..... Wisconsin | 7 | 1.1 | 7 | 235,908 | $\overline{0.7}$ | 7 | 265,452 | -0.6 | 2 | 6,154 | -0.5 | 7 | 15,056 | 0.7 |
| Wyoming .......... | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All others | 14 | N/A | 14 | 531,743 | N/A | 14 | 1,198,596 | N/A | 4 | 173 | N/A | 8 | 37,482 | N/A |

N/A - Not applicable.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total becausa of rounding

For 1981, 218 million Americans were represented on individual income tax returns, either as taxpayers, spouses of taxpayers, or dependents. Although there is some double-counting (children who file returns may also appear as dependents on their parents' returns), this means that well over 90 percent of the total population appeared, in some form, on the 95.4 million tax returns filed for that year [1]. Many characteristics of these returns are described in the complete report, Statistics of Income--1981, Individual Income Tax Returns. This article focuses on one aspect of the people filing returns that cannot be analyzed from normal tax return statistics, namely, the age of the primary taxpayer [2, 3].

Ages of taxpayers were derived by matching social security numbers listed on a sample of tax returns with records of the Social Security Administration (see Data Sources and Limitations at the end of this article). This provided information on the last two digits of the year of birth, from which age in 1981 was inferred. The age distributions of the total population and of nearly all tax filers are shown in the first two columns of Figure $A$. The age of taxpayers is also shown in Figure $B$.

In 1981, almost one quarter of all U.S. citizens and residents were under the age of 16. Seven percent were 16 to 19 , and 10 percent were 20 to 24. Most of these young people did not file tax returns, although they may have been represented on other (their parents') returns. Figures $A$ and $B$ show that only 1 percent of all returns were filed by taxpayers who were under the age of 16 . Taxpayers 16 to 19, on the other hand, filed 8 percent of all returns even though they made up only 7 percent of the total population. 01der age groups also had "more than their share" of tax returns, reflecting the underrepresentation of the very young.

The final column in Figure $A$ shows the percentage of each age group which filed returns (with joint returns counted twice). It shows that very few children under the age of 16 filed returns, while more than 9 out of every 10 people in the prime working years (25-64) were filers. Even counting joint returns twice does not account for everyone represented on tax returns, of course. In particular, most children will not file returns themselves, but will be claimed as dependents on their parents' returns.

Figure A. --Age of Population and Tax Filers, 1981

| Age group |  | Total <br> population <br> (millions) | Total returns <br> (millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taxpayers as a <br> percent of total <br> population |  |  |  |

[^2]*Chief, Tax Statistics and Senior Advisor to the Director of the Office of Tax


AGE AND INCOME
Figure $C$ divides tax returns into adjusted gross income (AGI) classes and shows age groups as percentages within these AGI classes. (Returns with AGI less than zero are excluded from the comparisons.) The second columin shows the age distribution of the 18 million returns which reported AGI between $\$ 1$ and $\$ 5,000$. One can see that 37 percent of these "low income"
returns were filed by taxpayers below the age of 20 , while an additional 25 percent were filed by people in their early 20's. Thus, while returns filed by people under the age of 25 accounted for only 24 percent of all returns, they accounted for 62 percent of returns with AGI under $\$ 5,000$.

The third column, for those returns with $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$ of AGI, shows a distribution more like that of total returns; although again, teenagers accounted for somewhat more than their share of all, returns (about 14 percent of returns in this income group).

The fourth column includes most (two-thirds) of the returns filed, representing two-thirds of all tax, namely, the 67 million with incomes between $\$ 7,500$ and $\$ 500,000$. Young people provided only a negligible portion of these returns.

The final column shows the distribution of people with very high incomes-- $\$ 500,000$ or more for 1981. People under the age of 25 provided less than 1 percent of such returns. The elderly (people age 65 or over), on the other hand, though only accounting for 11 percent of the population and 11 percent of all tax returns, made up one-third of these high income returns.

What are the conclusions to be drawn from the information in figures : A through C? The most important one is a cautionary note concerning income distributions based on tax returns. It is customary to consider returns with incomes below $\$ 5,000$. (or $\$ 7,500$ ) as representing poor

Figure C.--Age of Taxpayers Within Adjusted Gross Income Classes, 1981

| Age group | Total returns ${ }^{1}$ | Size of Adjusted Gross Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1 \text { under } \\ \$ 5,000^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & \text { under } \\ & \$ 7,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,500 \\ \text { under } \\ \$ 500,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500,000$ or more |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Number of returns (millions)...... | 94.4 | 17.9 | 9.1 | 67.4 | (2) |
| Percent by age groups: |  |  |  |  |  |
| All age groups................. | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Under 16........................ | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
|  | 8 | 33 | 13 | 1 | - |
| 20 under $25 . \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. | 15 | 25 | 27 | 11 | - |
| 25 under 45.................... | 40 | 21 | 28 | 47 | 16 |
|  | 25 | 9 | 15 | 31 | 50 |
| 65 under 75...................... | 7 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 18 |
| 75 and over...................... | 4 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 16 |

[^3]people, but these data make it clear that this is misleading. In the bottom AGI class, twofifths of all the returns for 1981 represented taxpayers under the age of 20 . While it is true that some of these were young households struggling to make ends meet, it seems likely that the vast majority of them represented young people who should more appropriately have been considered part of some other economic unit. They must have derived their income from summer or part-time jobs and, in the absence of information concerning income of other members of their family or economic unit, it is impossible to tell whether these units were actually "poor" or "rich."

## AGE AND TYPE OF RETURN

Figure $D$ shows the distribution of each age group that used the "single taxpayer" tax rate schedule for 1981 [4]. Not surprisingly, almost all of the young age groups filed returns as single taxpayers. The percentage declines for each age group through 64, but then rises again for the elderly; presumably this reflects returns filed by widows and widowers [5].

The last column of Figure $D$ shows the percentage of people filing Form 1040A, the simplified form [6]. It may seem surprising that 60 percent of the very young (under 16) tax filers did not use the 1040A, but rather used the 1040 or "Tong form." It turns out that many of these young taxpayers ( 44 percent of them) were dependents with "unearned income" of $\$ 1,000$ or more, and so were required by law to itemize their deductions and to file a Form 1040 rather than a 1040A. Teenagers overwhelmingly ( 86 percent) made use of the 1040A (and in more recent years the 1040EZ); its use declined for older taxpayers, with only 7 percent of the oldest taxpayers using it. (People receiving taxable pensions were not eligible to use form 1040A for 1981.)

## AGE AND TYPE OF INCOME

Figure $E$ shows returns by type of income. The second column includes those taxpayers whose only source of income was wages and salaries. Older people (presumably retired) are underrepresented in this group. (A distribution by income class showed no substantial differences.)

The third column shows returns which reported no wages, but only non-wage or capital income (including farm and self-employment income). There were 11.1 million of these and they are distributed as might be expected, with very few of them filed by young people. In fact, the majority tended to be filed by taxpayers 65 or over.

Figure D.--Age, Single Taxpayers and Use of Form 1040A, 1981

| Age group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { returns } \\ \text { (millions) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent of returns filed -- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | by single taxpayers ${ }^{1}$ | on Form 1040A |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| All age groups.. | 95.2 | 42\% | 38\% |
| Under 16........ | . 8 | 99 | 40 |
| 16 under 20..... | 7.9 | 96 | 86 |
| 20 under 25..... | 14.3 | 75 | 75 |
| 25 under 45..... | 38.4 | 29 | 33 |
| 45 under 65..... | 24.0 | 21 | 18 |
| 65 under 75..... | 6.4 | 34 | 10 |
| 75 and over..... | 3.4 | 58 | 7 |

${ }^{1}$ Represents those using the single taxpayer tax rate schedule; unmarried heads of household and surviving spouses are therefore excluded.

Figure E.--Age and Wage or Non-Wage Income, 1981

| Age group | Total returns | Returns with -- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wage income only | Non-wage income only |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Number of returns <br> (millions) | 95.2 | 48.9 | 11.1 |
| Percent by age |  |  |  |
| All age groups.. | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Under 16........ | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 16 under 20..... | 8 | 14 | 1 |
| 20 under 25..... | 15 | 24 | 2 |
| 25 under 45..... | 40 | 45 | 14 |
| 45 under 65..... | 25 | 15 | 25 |
| 65 under 75..... | 7 | , | 30 |
| 75 and over..... | 4 | - | 24 |

Figure $F$ presents the same data in a different way, showing within each age bracket, the percentage of returns which had only wage income and the percentage which had only non-wage income. Looking first at returns with wages only, it can be seen that it was quite common, in fact usual, for people in their late teens and early 20's to be in this category, while it was quite rare for elderly people.

Figure F.--Wage or Non-Wage Income Within Age Groups, 1981


It is quite common for very young filers to have only non-wage income. Moreover, dependents with $\$ 1,000$ or more of "unearned" (i.e., nonwage) income were required to check a particular: box on the return. There were 612,000 such filers for - 1081. Fifty-six percent of them were under 16 , another 30 percent 16 to 19, and 12 percent were 20 to 24. About 80 percent of them had total AGI under $\$ 5,000$ and 90 percent had less than $\$ 7,500$. While a majority of filers under 16 had only non-wage income, the rate of occurrence dropped for those 16 or over, and then became more frequent again among the middle-aged. The vast majority of those 75 or over (almost four out of five) reported only non-wage income.

While the two columns are mutually exclusive, they are not exhaustive: over one-third of all filers reported a mix of types of income. Both the very young and the very old tended to have exclusively one kind of income or the other. For those in their prime working years (ages 25 to 64), and in particular in the last half of their working lives, a mixture of income types is more common. (Figure $F$ confirms that.) ' In particular, for filers aged 45 to 64 , a majority have both wage and non-wage income.

## 1981 COMPARED TO 1070

Tax Year 1970 is the earliest year for which tax returns and social security records were matched. Figures $G$ through $K$ repeat : data from the previous figures, then add 1970 infomation so that changes over the decade can be observed. From 1970 to 1981, the total population of the United States rose by 12 percent, while the number of returns filed went up by 28 percent [7]. Using the same measure (with joint returns counted twice), the population filing returns
rose from 57 percent for 1970 to 61 percent for 1981 (Figure G).

The 1981 population distribution was quite different from that of 1970. The biggest difference resulted from the end of the postWorld War II baby boom; children under the age of 16 made up slightly over 30 percent of the population. in 1970, but dropped to slightly under 25 percent in 1981. Even though they were a decifing part of the population, they continued to file about 1 percent of all tax returns.

The population increase came mostly in what can be called the first half of the prime earning period, 25 to 44 years of age. Anyone born between 1945 and 1956 moved into this bracket between 1970 and 1981, as this age group increased its share of the population from 24 percent to 28 percent and its share of tax returns from 35 percent to 40 percent. Meanwhile, the second half of the prime age group, those 45 to 64 , experienced almost no change in their share of the population and their share of tax returns dropped from 31 percent to 25 percent.

Looking at returns as a percent of population (again, counting joint returns twice), the only noticeable changes were a slight decline in filing rates for people in their early 20's and a rise in filing rates for those 75 or over.

Figure $H$ shows the distribution across age groups of low income returns for 1970 and 1981. In interpreting these figures, there is a problem in that the value of the dollar changed considerably over this period [8]. Prices as measured by the Consumer Price Index increased by 134 percent from 1970 to 1981 [9]. For

Figure G.--Age of Population and Tax Filers, 1970 and 1981

| Age group | Total population (millions) |  | Total returns (millions) |  | Taxpayers as a percent of total population ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Number. | 205.1 | 229.9 | 74.1 | 95.2 | 57\% | 61\% |
| Percent by age group: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All age groups... | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Under 16... | 30 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 under 20. | 8 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 49 | 48 |
| 20 under 25. | 8 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 81 | 77 |
| 25 under 45. | 24 | 28 | 35 | 40 | 92 | 91 |
| 45 under 65. | 21 | 20 | 31 | 25 | 93 | 91 |
| 65 under 75. | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 67 | 66 |
| 75 and over. | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 37 | 45 |

${ }^{1}$ Taxpayers on joint returns were counted as two both taxpayers were assumed to be in the same age group for this percentage distribution.

1970, 37 percent of all returns reported an AGI under $\$ 5,000$; for 1981, only 19 percent had such a low income. However, an AGI of $\$ 5,000$ for 1970 was the equivalent of an AGI in excess of $\$ 11,000$ for 1981. For Figure $H$, the incomes have not all been deflated for this change in the value of the dollar. Instead, for 1981, all of the size classes for AGI under $\$ 10,000$ have been combined. These people enjoyed real incomes that were about the same (a trifle lower) as those who had AGI's below $\$ 5,000$ for 1970. As can be seen from Figure $H$, the young made up the same percentage of this bottom income group in both years, about 2 percent. The big changes occurred in the groups making up the first half of the prime earning ages. In these groups there was an increase of from 17 percent to 26 percent, offset by a decline in the second half of the prime earning ages, which dropped from 19 percent to 13 percent.

Examining single filers as a percentage of total filers (Figure I), it can be seen that those filing as single taxpayers became more common in almost all age groups between 1970 and 1981, rising from 35 percent to 42 percent of all returns. Those 20 to 24 years of age, already high at 61 percent, rose to 75 percent for 1981. Those 25 to 44 had an even more spectacular increase, from 18 percent to 29 percent.

If the sex of the single filers is considered, Figure $I$ shows that the overall female percentage was about the same at 47 percent. For the very young, this percentage rose sharply, from 31 percent to 45 percent. It
also rose somewhat for the young earners, did not change for the first half of the prime age earners, and declined for the older earners. Among the retired, the percentage of females rose even higher than it had been before. The relative frequency of the female returns was U-shaped in both years, that is, higher for the very young and the very old, but both the youngest and the oldest increased even more between 1970 and 1981 [10].

The last two columns in Figure I show how common it was for females to file as single taxpayers. The percentage increased between 1970 and 1981 from 12 percent to 16 percent. There was no change in the miniscule proportion of those filing who were under 16. The highest share of female filers occurred in the 16-24 year old age group. Above the age of 24, the percentage dropped sharply in both years as women disappeared behind the primary social security number of their spouses (when joint returns were filed). Even though the level is low, there was a noticeable increase between 1970 and 1981 for women under the age of 45. Above 64, the percentage of single females who filed their own returns began increasing; this was particularly true for 1981.

Figures $J$ and $K$ show the distribution of returns for people with exclusively wage or exclusively non-wage income. The shares of different age groups in wages-only income paralleled the changes in total returns, except for older teenagers who made up a larger percentage of all returns, but a smaller percentage of wages-only returns. Returns with only

Figure H. --Age of Taxpayers Within Adjusted Gross Income Classes, 1970 and 1981 ${ }^{1}$

| Age groups | Total returns |  | Size of adjusted gross income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$1 under \$5,000 | \$1 under \$ $\$ 10,000$ |
|  | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 |
| Number of returns (millions).... <br> Taxpayers on joint returns (millions)? | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  | 73.6 115.7 | 94.4 139.6 | 27.7 34.4 | 35.5 42.1 |
| Percent by age group: |  |  |  |  |
| Al1 age groups............... | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Under 16...................... | 1 | . 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 16 under 20.................... | 10 | 8 | 25 | 21 |
| 20 under 25.................... | 14 | 15 | 23 | 26 |
| 25 under 45................... | 35 | 40 | 17 | 26 |
| 45 under 65.................... | 31 | 25 | 19 | 13 |
| 65 under 75.................... | 7 | 7. | 10 | 8 |
| 75 and over.................. | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes 0.4 million returns for 1970 and 0.8 million returns for 1981 with adjusted gross income less than zero, i.e., returns with business or investment losses in excess of income from other sources.
${ }^{2}$ Two taxpayers per return.

Figure I.--Total Female and Single Taxpayers Within Age Groups, 1970 and 1981

| Age groups | Number of returns (millions) |  | Percent filing as single taxpayers ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Returns filed by female single taxpayers as a percent of total population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Females |  |  |  |
|  | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All age groups. | 74.1 | 95.2 | 35\% | 42\% | 47\% | 47\% | 12\% | 16\% |
| Under 16. | . 5 | . 8 | 100 | 99 | 31 | 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 under 20. | 7.3 | 7.9 | 93 | 96 | 41 | 43 | 37 | 40 |
| 20 under 25. | 10.6 | 14.3 | 61 | 75 | 38 | 41 | 48 | 41 |
| 25 under 45. | 25.8 | 38.4 | 18 | 29 | 37 | 37 | 7 | 13 |
| 45 under 65. | 22.8 | 24.0 | 20 | 21 | 67 | 61 | 14 | 13 |
| 65 under 75. | 5.1 | 6.4 | 33 | 34 | 68 | 76 | 17 | 18 |
| 75 and over. | 2.0 | 3.4 | 55 | 28 | 67 | 74 | 16 | 22 |

[^4]non-wage income showed very little change between 1970 and 1981.

Within age brackets the trends were mixed, as shown in Figure J. People who had wage income
only were generally more common, but showed a sharp drop for the youngest age group from 1970 to 1981. People with exclusively non-wage income either showed no change or, for the very young and the very old, showed increases.

Figure J.--Age and Wage or Non-Wage Income, 1970 and 1981


Figure K.--Wage and Non-Wage Income Within Age Groups, 1970 and 1981

| Age groups | Total returns (millions) |  | Percent of returns with -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Any inc | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rm of } \\ & \text { me } \end{aligned}$ | Wage income only |  | Non-wage income only |  | Wage and nonwage income |  |
|  | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 | 1970 | 1981 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| All age groups. | 74.1 | 95.2 |  | 0\% | 46\% | 51\% | 10\% | 12\% | 44\% | 37\% |
| Under 16.. | . 5 | . 8 |  |  | 57 | 37 | 23 | 57 | 20 | 6 |
| 16 under 20. | 7.3 | 7.9 |  |  | 82 | 86 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 12 |
| 20 under 25. | 10.6 | 14.3 |  |  | 76 | 80 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 19 |
| 25 under 45. | 25.8 | 38.4 |  |  | 49 | 58 | 4 | 4 | 47 | 38 |
| 45 under 65. | 22.8 | 24.0 |  |  | 28 | 31 | 11 | 12 | 61 | 57 |
| 65 under 75. | 5.1 | 6.4 |  |  | 12 | 8 | 43 | 52 | 45 | 40 |
| 75 and over. | 2.0 | 3.4 |  |  | 5 | 7 | 73 | 78 | 22 | 15 |

## CONCLUSIONS

What conclusions can be drawn from this brief overview of age and tax return data? The most striking thing is the clear evidence of a life cycle in income-at least in reported income. Almost one-fifth of all returns had AGI of less than $\$ 5,000$, clearly below the "poverty level" by any definition. Yet nearly two-thirds of these returns were filed by people under 25, and two-fifths of them. by teenagers and those younger.

At the other end of the income distribution, when returns with $\$ 500,000$ or more in AGI are examined, it is clear that they represent older people. Only 16 percent of all such filers were as young as 44, and one-third of them were 65 or older. Tax burden calculations, especially those concerned with differential impacts on consumption and savings, must take account of these highly skewed age distributions.

The unit of tax filing (whether joint or single) is a clearly $U$-shaped function of age. Single filing is almost universal among the young, declines through middle age and then rises sharply among the elderly. Threequarters of the elderly filers were women, many of whom had apparently not filled out tax forms for 30 or 40 years of marriage.

Diversity of income sources is an inverted U -shaped function of age. The very young tended to have only one kind of income--wages-and the elderly also had only one kind of income--capital or self-employed income.

Changes in the age patterns of tax return filing during the decade of the $70^{\prime}$ s tended to mirror underlying demographic and social changes. There were fewer children, people got married later, women outlived their husbands, and more women (especially younger ones) entered the labor force. Although there was some increase in the percentage of the total population which filed tax returns, the major picture that emerged was one of stability of filing patterns.

## data sources and limitations

A computer tape file was created containing the social security numbers (SSN's) from the 144,392 tax returns included in the regular Statistics of Income (SOI) sample for 1981 [11]. In the case of joint returns, both SSN's were included. This tape was then run against social security records, and where a match was found, information on the year of.. birth llast two digits) and sex was copied onto the tape. For joint returns, if the age of the primary taxpayer could not be determined, the age of the spouse was used instead. The tape with the social security data was then returned to the Department of the Treasury, Office of Tax Analysis, where the information was integrated
into the SOI data base which is used solely for tax policy research purposes. All but 198 of the SSN's were successfully matched in this operation. The unmatched sample returns, which represented 174,000 returns out of the 95.4 million filed, are excluded from the statistics by age group presented in this article. They are also excluded from the 1970 historical data that are used for comparison purposes. When a similar study was conducted for 1970, there were 217,000 returns out of the 74.3 million total shown in SOI for which age information could not be obtained [12].

## NOTES AND REFERENCES

[1] Alvey, Wendy and Scheuren, Fritz, "Background for an Administrative Record Census," 1982 Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Social Statistics Section, pp. 137-146.
[2] The only information related to age of taxpayers reported on individual income tax returns that is regularly published in Statistics of Income is the additional exemption allowed for those age 65 or over. In this connection, see. Holik, Dan, and Kozielec, John, "Taxpayers Age 65 or Over, 1977-1981," 'Statistics of Income Bulletin, Summer 1984.
[3] The "primary" taxpayer is the first person whose name is listed on a joint tax return; in most cases, the husband. For all other tax returns, primary taxpayer and taxpayer are synonymous. See also, Data Sources and Limitations at the end of this article.
[4] For a brief summary of the characteristics of returns filed for 1981-1984, see Riley, Dorothea, "Individual Income Tax Returns: Selected Characteristics from the 1984 Taxpayer Usage Study," Statistics of .. Income Bulletin, Summer T985.
[5] See also, Grayson, Paul, "The Life Cycle of Individual Income Tax Returns," Statistics of Income Bulletin, Spring T984.
[6] The simplest form, 1040EZ, was not introduced until 1982.
[7] For both 1970 and 1981, age could not be determined for about 0.2 million primary taxpayers. Therefore, the total number of returns for purposes of this analysis, 74.1 million for 1970 and 95.2 million for 1981, represent the number for which age of primary taxpayer could be obtained. The grand totals, regard-
less of age, as reported in Statistics of Income--Individual Income Tax Returns, are 74.3 miliion returns for 1970 and 95.4 million returns for 1981. For additional information about returns for which age could not be determined, see Data Sources and Limitations at the end of this article.
[8] Another problem is the revised tax return filing requirements that occurred during this period which increased the income floors above which individuals in the various marital status groups had to submit returns.
[9] See Monthly Labor Review and Handbook of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, annual.
[10] For another analysis, see Crabbe, Patricia A., and Gross, Elizabeth L.,
"Taxpayers Classified by Sex," Statistics of Income Bulletin, Spring 1985.
[11] For a more detailed description of this sample and of the sampling error associated with it, see Statistics of Income-1981, Individual Income Tax Internal Revenue Service, 1983.
[12] For a description of a different match, see "1973 Current Population Survey -Administrative Record Exact Match File Codebook, Part II -- Companion Datasets and Other Supplementary Information," Studies from Interagency Data Linkages, Report No. 9, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Social Security Administration, November 1979. This report also includes a useful description of the basic physical and legal safeguards surrounding the matching operation.


#### Abstract

U.S. source income paid to Netherlands Antilles recipients rose dramatically ( 33 percent) to more than $\$ 2$ billion during 1983, the final year before implementation of the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984. (In contrast, U.S. source income paid in 1983 to all foreign recipients was slightly more than \$11 billion.) This Act is expected to all but eliminate the use of the Antilles for future Eurobond financing.


U.S. interest payments to the Antilles increased by over $\$ 500$ million to nearly $\$ 2$ billion during 1983, with most of this interest paid to financial subsidiaries of the paying corporation. The Deficit Reduction Act, which exempts from tax withholding most interest payments to nonresident aliens on loans made after July 18, 1984, now allows U.S. borrowers to issue debt directly to the lender, without using Antilles finance subsidiaries as intermediaries to avoid withholding taxes.

The nature of new foreign investment in the United States has shifted markedly in recent years from corporate stock to interest-bearing bonds. Comparatively high U.S. interest rates in recent years have helped increase interest's share of U.S. income paid to foreigners from 22 percent in 1978 to 53 percent in 1983. Dividends' share during the same period fell from 64 percent to 38 percent. Interest payments rose at an average compound rate of 43 percent annually during this period ( 33 percent in real terms) as foreign investors, taking advantage of high U.S. interest rates, loaned large amounts of money, mainly to "blue-chip" U.S. corporations. In comparison, dividend payments increased by an annual average of about 8 percent from 1978 to 1983, approximately keeping pace with inflation.

Although total income paid to foreign individuals and organizations increased by 4 percent in 1983, income that was subject to tax withholding dropped by more than 6 percent
from the 1982 level, causing a comparable decline in withholding tax revenues. As foreign investors shifted new investments toward interest-bearing bonds that were exempt from withhoiding (when paid to Netherlands Antilles recipients), the total tax withheld by U.S. withholding agents dropped by 8 percent from 1982 to about $\$ 698$ million for 1983.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A U.S. individual or organization paying income to a nonresident alien (foreign individual, corporation, or other organization) reports this income and the U.S. tax withheld on Form 1042S. While the basic tax rate is 30 percent, certain types of income are taxed at different rates. Income paid to countries that have entered into tax treaty agreements with the United States is usually taxed at lower rates. The tax withheld represents final payment of the actual tax liability in almost all instances. Income connected with the recipient's U.S. trade or business is exempt from withholding. The United States taxes this income separately, as though it were received by a U.S. citizen or corporation. The responsibility for withholding tax belongs to the payer or the representative (usually a financial institution) of the payer rather than the recipient of the income.

The basic tax rate on nonresident alien income ( 30 percent) differs from the graduated tax rates for U.S. individuals and corporations because foreign individuals and corporations may receive income from an indefinite numiber of sources. Since most nonresident aliens are not required to file U.S. tax returns and consolidate all U.S. income, their total income cannot be taxed in graduated "brackets," as one payer would have no knowledge of the amount of income other individuals and organizations had paid to the same nonresident alien.

[^5]
## recent legislation and its expected inpact

The Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 exempts certain interest payments from withholding. Interest on debt issued after the enactment of this legislation (July 18, 1984) that is not paid to a foreign individual, bank, or corporation owning 10 percent or more of the voting shares of the U.S. payer generally qualifies for this exemption.

The exemption from tax withholding on most interest payments is expected to increase U.S. borrowing from foreign countries. Smaller U.S. companies and the U.S. Treasury are now more able to issue debt to foreign lenders [1]. Smaller companies do not have to bear the cost of setting up and maintaining finance subsidiaries. These costs might have offset any interest savings derived by borrowing overseas. In addition, blue-chip U.S. corporations that have been borrowing money through the Netherlands Antilles will issue most new debt directly to lenders. Future statistics, especially after 1984, may show a sharp reduction in interest payments to the Netherlands Antilles [2]. Interest payments should increase to major Western European countries and Japan as new borrowings are likely to come mainly from these countries.

## DATA ANALYSIS AND TRENDS

U.S. income payments to foreigners totalled $\$ 11.1$ billion in 1983, increasing by only 4 percent, as compared to a 9 percent increase for 1982. The total increase was accounted for by the Netherlands Antilles, which received $\$ 514$ million more in 1983 than in 1982. The total for all other countries actually decreased by about $\$ 82$ million resulting in an overall net increase of about $\$ 432$ million. As was mentioned earlier, tax withheld by U.S. withholding agents fell by 8 percent to $\$ 698$ million.

The average income payment fell by 3 percent to about $\$ 18,200$ for 1983.: This was due to an increase of more than 40,000 in the number of Forms l042S filed. About two-thirds of these additional payments were less than $\$ 100$. Foreign government organizations and corporations received the largest average payments ( $\$ 159,000$ and $\$ 150,000$, respectively), while individuals averaged only $\$ 1,600$. The average amount of tax withheld per payment decreased more noticeably (14-percent) than income.

## Type of Income

Interest continued to be the most common type of income payment. In 1982, 48 percent of income paid represented interest while 43 percent represented dividends. This 5 percentage point difference increased to 15 in 1983 as 53 percent of all income was interest, as is shown in Figure A.

Figure A
Percent of Total income Paid and Percent of Total Tax Withheld, by Income Type, 1883


Since 1978, interest's share of all income -has increased 31 percentage points, from 22 to53 percent. The corresponding share for dividends fell by 26 percentage points, from. 64 to 38 percent. The following table shows both total and average annual increases for dividends and interest in both constant and current dollars [3].

Gross Income Paid
[Thousands of dollars]

| 安 | Interest | Dividends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978. | \$ 990,949 | \$2,867,596 |
| 1983.. | 5,905,658 | 4,168,145 |

Percent increase:
Current dollars:

| Total............ | $496.0 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Average per year. | 42.9 | 7.8 |

(Compounded)
Constant dollars:

| Total................ | 316.3 | 1.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Average per year.. | 33.0 | 0.3 |
| (Compounded) |  |  |

After making allowances for inflation, interest rose at a compound rate of 33 percent per year between 1978 and 1983. Although dividends rose by about 8 percent per year,
this increase barely kept pace with the rate of inflation. The real increase in dividends after inflation was less than 1 percent annually.

As interest payments are often exempt from withholding or taxed at low rates established by treaties (see "Tax Treaty Countries" section below), only $\$ 122$ million of tax was withheld on interest payments during 1983. This represented only 18 percent of all tax withheld, although interest represented 53 percent of all income. Dividends, which are rarely exempt from withholding, represented only 38 percent of all income, while tax withheld on dividends comprised 74 percent of the total tax withheld. Figure $A$ shows the percent of income paid and the percent of tax withheld for several income types.

The type of income paid varied considerably by the country of the recipient. As is shown in Figure $B$, almost all U.S. source income paid to the Netherlands Antilles was interest income. Of the eight countries shown, however, dividends made up a larger percentage of all income than interest for five countries. The disparity was smaller on average (15 percentage points) for these five countries, than for the three countries (Netherlands Antilles, Japan, and West Germany) that received more interest ( 65 percentage points) than dividends. Non-tax-haven countries received a greater portion of rents and royalties ( 9 percent average) than the Netherlands Antilles, the Netherlands, and Switzerland (2 percent average), all of which can be considered tax havens to some degree. (See the discussion on tax haven countries later in this article).

## Country of Recipient

The same eight countries continued to receive the majority of U.S. source income. The countries shown in Figure $C$ received 89 percent of the total income. The Netherlands Antilles surpassed the United Kingdom as the country receiving the most U.S. income. Other than the Antilles' 1982-83 increase, there were no dramatic changes from 1982 in the amount of income paid to the countries shown.

Tax withheld on payments to six of the eight countries shown in Figure C fell from 1982 levels. In particular, tax withheld on payments to the Netherlands Antilles fell by 30 percent even though income rose by 33 percent. Increases were registered by Japan ( 23 percent) and West Germany (19 percent), as income also rose for these countries.

## Effective Tax Rate by Country

Although the basic U.S. withholding tax rate is 30 percent, the actual rate can differ for
a variety of reasons. Tax treaties allow for lower tax rates on certain types of payments to certain countries. Income paid to tax exempt or government organizations is generally not taxed. Most U.S. income paid to foreign private foundations is taxed at 4 percent. Finally, income that is connected with the recipient's U.S. trade or business is taxed as though it were received by a U.S. individual or organization, and is therefore not subject to withholding tax. Because of these factors, the effective U.S. withholding tax rate (tax withheld as a percent of gross income) varies by country.

The following table shows the income paid, tax withheld by U.S. withholding agents, and the effective withholding tax rates for the twelve countries having the lowest effective tax rates. Only countries receiving at least 100 payments and $\$ 1$ million or more were considered.

Ranking of Effective Tax Rates by Country, 1983
[Thousands of dollars]

| Country | Gross income | Tax withheld | Effective tax rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Antigua Egypt. $\qquad$ | 1,826 | \$ 3 | 0.18\% |
|  | Netherlands |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Antilles. | 2,094,680 | 9,174 | 0.44 |
| Argentina...... | 235,469 | 1,250 | 0.53 |
| Trinidad and 610.65 |  |  |  |
| Tobago........ | 9,429 | 61 | 0.65 |
| Saudi Arabia... | 123,209 | 1,525 | 1.24 |
| Taiwan......... | 13,090 | 346 | 2.64 |
| South Korea. | 1,343 | 50 | 3.74 |
| Poland......... | 1,608 | 61 | 3.80 |
| Netherlands.... | 1,392,091 | 61,552 | 4.42 |
| Singapore...... | 14,245 | 652 | 4.57 |
| West Germany... | 704,012 | 35,087 | 4.98 |
| Other countries | 6,432,096 | 588, 574 | 9.15 |
| All countries. | 11,056,001 | 698,390 | 6.32 |

Eight of the twelve countries shown also were among those with the lowest effective tax rates for 1982. Egypt, Argentina, South Korea, and Singapore were newcomers to this list. Antigua had the lowest effective rate for the second year in a row. All but Argentina, Taiwan, Saudi Arabia, and Singapore are tax treaty countries receiving the benefit of reduced withholding rates. Although Saudi Arabia is not a treaty country, a substantial portion ( 42 percent) of its U.S. source income ( $\$ 123$ million) was paid to Saudi Government organizations and therefore not subject to withholding. A substantial portion ( 62 per-

## Figure B

Percent of Income Paid by Income Type, by Country of Recipient, 1983
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Figure C
Percent of Gross Income Paid and Percent of Tax Withheld by Domestic Withholding Agents, by Country of Recipient, 1983

cent) of Singapore's income (\$14 million) was also paid to government organizations and therefore no tax was withheld on this income.

Although there are several tax haven countries listed, most tax havens do not have tax treaties with the United States allowing for reduced withholding tax rates. Antigua and Netherlands Antilles residents receive tax treaty benefits through extensions of tax treaties with the United Kingdom and the Netherlands, respectively. The tax treaty with Antigua was terminated as of January 1 , 1984. Some tax haven countries, including the Bahamas and Bermuda have effective withholding tax rates ( 26 percent and 21 percent, respectively) considerably above the average for all countries ( 6 percent).

## Tax Treaty Countries

The United States has tax treaties with foreign countries which usually reduce withholding tax rates in both countries. The major reason for such treaties is to avoid double taxation of income earned in one of the countries by residents of the other country. If income is earned in the United States and the U.S. taxes are only partially creditable against tax in the foreign country (because of limitations) this income may be taxed twice. This is especially true when a foreign
corporation's U.S. subsidiaries are subject to U.S. income taxes and their dividend payments are also subject to U.S. withholding tax. Many tax treaties allow for reduced withholding tax rates (usually 5 percent) for dividends received from foreign subsidiaries. This lower withholding tax reduces overall tax rates on foreign investment and increases the likelihood of full credit for taxes paid to another country by the country of residence.

Although lower tax treaty rates may reduce U.S. withholding tax revenue, this revenue loss is at least partly offset by lower foreign tax credits for U.S. individuals and corporations. Since tax treaties usually allow for correspondingly lower foreign withholding tax rates, U.S. individuals and corporations receiving income from tax treaty countries have less foreign tax withheld. This usually reduces their foreign tax credit and increases the amount of income tax paid to the United States [4].

Lower tax rates on payments to recipients in treaty countries are evident in the following table which shows the effective tax rates for both treaty and nontreaty countries.

Gross Income, Tax Withheld and Effective Tax Rate
[Thousands of dollars]
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}\hline \text { Country } \\ \text { status }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Gross } \\ \text { income }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Tax } \\ \text { withhe1d }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Effective } \\ \text { tax rate }\end{array}\right]$

Although residents in tax treaty countries typically enjoy lower U.S. withholding tax rates, if the incone is paid to a foreign nominee or fiduciary on behalf of a person not entitled to the treaty benefit, the full 30 percent U.S. tax should be collected. Some U.S. treaty partners collect the additional amounts on behalf of the United States (see Table 1, Column 7).

Tax Haven Countries
A tax haven is generally considered to be a country having tax laws favorable to foreign individuals and organizations in an attempt to attract these investors. The tax haven country typically benefits by collecting certain fees or taxes (at a low rate). Foreign individuals and organizations might not invest in
or through the tax haven if taxes comparable to those of their own country were imposed. Tax haven countries tend to have the following characteristics:

- No withholding tax on most payments from the tax haven to foreign individuals and organizations,
- Low or zero effective income tax rates for individuals and organizations within the tax haven country, and
o Secrecy laws to prevent foreign governments from obtaining financial information about their own citizens and organizations.

Low or zero withholding tax rates usually attract foreign individuals and corporations to invest through the tax haven, rather than existing for the benefit of residents. However, many tax havens do not have tax treaties with the United States allowing for low or zero withholding rates on payments to the tax haven. While treaties with non-tax-havens allow for mutually-reduced withholding tax rates, this lost revenue is at least partially recovered in income taxes due to lower foreign tax credits claimed by U.S. taxpayers. As the Netherlands Antilles was the major tax haven
country enjoying a zero withholding tax rate (on interest payments) during 1983, its treaty status was an extension of an existing treaty with the Netherlands, rather than a treaty negotiated with an existing tax haven. As the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 exempts most interest payments from withholding, regardless of the country to which the income is paid, the Netherlands Antilles lost this interest exemption advantage in mid-1984.

Tax haven countries tend to receive far more U.S. source income than other countries when compared to their general level of economic activity, measured here by Gross National Product (GNP) [5]. Figure D shows the countries having the highest income-to-GNP ratios. The ten highest countries are all tax havens to varying degrees. The Netherlands Antilles actually received more U.S. source income in 1982 than it produced in goods and services, as measured by GNP (GNP data were not available for the Netherlands Antilles for 1983). As most U.S. source income is not spent on goods or services in the Netherlands Antilles, this portion of income does not enter the Antilles' GNP calculation. This is because most of the income paid to the Antilles is simply passed through to Eurobond lenders by finance subsidiaries of U.S. corporatē borrowers.

Figure D.--Gross National Product (GNP), Gross Income, Gross Income as a Percent of GNP, Size of Average Payment, and Percent of Payments to Corporations, by Selected Country of Recipient, 1983 [Money amounts in thousands of dollars]

| Country or geographic area | Income to GNP ratio |  |  |  | Size of payments |  | Percent of payments to corporations. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rank | Income as a percent of GNP | Gross income | GNP ${ }^{1}$ | Rank | Average | Rank | Percent |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Netherlands Antilles ${ }^{2}$. | 1 | 115.4 | 1,580,359 | 1,370,000 | 1 | 936 | 3 | 52.3 |
| Bermuda. | 2 | 6.2 | 51,863 | 840,000 | 10 | 45 | 9 | 21.9 |
| Bahamas. | 3 | 2.9 | 26,505 | 900,000 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 28.2 |
| Barbados | 4 | 1.9 | 19,032 | 1,020,000 | 5 | 86 | 8 | 23.9 |
| Liberia. | 5 | 1.5 | 14,914 | 990,000 | 11 | 45 | 15 | 11.3 |
| Luxembourg. | 6 | 1.3 | 59,552 | 4,470,000 | 13 | 37 | 11 | 21.4 |
| Antigua. | 7 | 1.3 | 1,826 | 140,000 | 22 | 13 | 2 | 61.6 |
| Panama. . | 8 | 1.2 | 47,233 | 4,070,000 | 18 | 19 | 7 | 25.7 |
| Switzerland. | 9 | 1.0 | 1,042,436 | 105,060,000 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 13.6 |
| Netherlands. | 10 | 1.0 | 1,392,091 | 142,420,000 | 2 | 158 | 12 | 14.2 |
| Cayman Islands. | ( ${ }^{3}$ ) | (3) | 31,438 | (3) | 9 | 47 | 1 | 62.4 |
| British Virgin Islands | (3) | (3) | 7,961 | (3) | 15 | 21 | 6 | 25.9 |

[^6]2 "Income to $G \overline{N P}$ ratio" information for the Netherlands Antilles is for 1982 as 1983 GNP information was not available.
3 GNP information was not available for these countries or geographic areas.
NOTE: Only countries receiving 100 or more payments and $\$ 1$ million or more were considered for this table.

Since GNP data were not available for all countries, an income-to-GNP average ratio could not be calculated for all countries. Based on available data, the worldwide ratio was less than 0.2 percent. All of the tax havens shown in Figure $D$ had ratios at least six times higher than the maximum worldwide average, with the Antilles' ratio being nearly 750 times the maximum worldwide average. Only countries receiving at least 100 payments and totalling $\$ 1$ million or more were considered for inclusion in Figure $D$.

Tax haven recipients also tend to receive larger-than-average payments. All but two of the countries shown in Figure $D$ were above the average of $\$ 18,200$. Once again, the Netherlands Antilles led all countries, receiving more than $\$ 936$ thousand per payment.

As tax havens are mainly utilized by corporations, rather than individuals or other organizations, payments to these tax havens are more likely to go to corporations than are payments to non-tax-havens. There is often a predominance of financial corporations in tax havens, often subsidiaries of U.S. corporations. Figure $D$ shows the percent of U.S. payments made to foreign corporations in certain tax haven countries. Each of these countries exceeded the 8.8 percent average for all countries. The Cayman Islands and Antigua led the countries listed with 62 percent of the total U.S. payments to these countries going to corporate recipients. These countries also had the highest percentages in 1982, although the order was reversed.

## Type of Recipient

The vast majority of U.S. source income paid to nonresident aliens ( 72 percent) was paid to foreign corporations. Since much of this income ( 58 percent) was exempt from withholding, tax withheld on payments to corporations represented only 54 percent of all tax collected. Although individuals received a much smaller share of all income ( 6 percent), they had a disproportionately high percentage of all tax withheld (13 percent) on this income. Nominees also had a disproportionately high percentage of the total tax withheld (lo percent versus 5 percent for income), because only 7 percent of nominee income was exempt from withholding.

Foreign governments received the largest average payments $(\$ 159,200)$, but most of this was due to certain large payments to Saudi Arabian Government organizations. Excluding payments to Saudi Arabia, the average income paid to foreign governments was $\$ 54,000$, below the average for corporations ( $\$ 150,000$ ). Individuals received the smallest average payments (\$1,600).

Different types of recipients tended to receive different types of income. Individuals were less likely to receive interest (3 percent of total interest) but more likely to receive personal service income ( 89 percent of all personal service income) than other types of recipients. As is shown in Figure $E$, most corporate income was in the form of interest (62 percent). More than half the income paid to foreign partnerships was rents and royalties. This is more than ten times the percentage of rents and royalties for all recipients. Almost all of the income paid to nominees and fiduciaries was dividend income ( 92 percent). The distribution of income paid to foreign government, international and tax-exempt organizations was close to the overall distribution of income, but the tax withheld on such income was almost completely attributable to dividends.

## SUMMARY

U.S. interest payments to the Netherlands Antilles soared to nearly $\$ 2$ billion, as the Antilles surpassed the United Kingdom as the foreign country receiving the most U.S. source income in 1983. The Deficit Reduction Act of 1984, which exempts from withholding most interest payments to nonresident aliens after July 18, 1984, will eliminate the need to go through the Antilles to avoid U.S. withholding taxes on such interest.

High U.S. interest rates have encouraged shifts of new foreign investment in the United States to interest-bearing bonds rather than corporate stock. Interest represented 53 percent of U.S. source income paid to nonresident aliens compared to 38 percent for dividends.

As in other years, while individuals received the most payments, corporations received the most U.S. source income. Foreign governments received the largest average payments. This was mainly due to certain large payments to Saudi Arabian Government organizations.

Tax-haven countries received disproportionately high U.S. source income when compared to their level of economic activity (Gross National Product). The Netherlands Antilles actually received more income than its GNP in 1982 as most of this money simply flowed through that country without being spent on goods or services there. Tax havens also received larger than average payments, nearly $\$ 1$ million for the Antilles. The percentage of payments made to foreign corporations was more than twice as high for the twelve tax haven countries shown in Figure $D$ than for all countries.

Figure E
Percent of Income Paid by Income Type and Percent of Tax Withheld by Income Type, by Recipient Type, 1983
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Individuals were more likely than most recipients to receive dividends and personal service income. Foreign corporations received mostly interest income. Most partnership income was rents and royalties, even though rents and royalties represented only a small percentage of income for other recipients. Nominees and fiduciaries received almost exclusively dividend income.

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATONS

Payers of most U.S. income to nonresident aliens must withhold tax in accordance with Chapter 3 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Form 1042S, Income Subject to Withholding Under Chapter 3, Internal Revenue Code, is filed to report this income and the U.S. tax withheld. Often the payer has a financial institution act as withholding agent.

The present statistics are tabulated by calendar year, based on all Forms 1042S filed for 1983. The years indicated in the tables represent the year in which the income was paid and the U.S. tax withheld, except for the U.S. tax withheld by foreign governments and withholding agents. These amounts are shown by the year the tax was remitted to the United States under treaty agreements. Tax withheld amounts and percentages shown in Table 2, text tables, and Figures $A, C$, and $E$, do not include tax withheld by foreign governments and withholding agents (except Canada). This additional tax cannot be properly attributed to specific income types and years. Income that is "effectively connected" with a nonresident alien's U.S. trade or business is not subject to withholding, and is therefore generally not included in these statistics. Definitions and other information are available in the IRS Publication 515, Withholding of Tax on Nonresident Aliens and Foreign Corporations.

As all Forms 10425 are included in the statistics, the data are not subject to sampling error. However, the data are subject to nonsampling errors such as computer data entry errors and minor taxpayer reporting errors. Forms 10425 with income greater than $\$ 500,000$ were manually verified. A limited computerized program was used to test the data for certain basic numerical relationships, including the calculation of the correct tax withheld. The results of additional testing, to be done at a later date, were not available at the time this article was prepared. Any substantial changes resulting from this testing will be discussed in the 1984 Nonresident Alien Income and Tax Withheld article, tentatively scheduled for publication in the Statistics of Income Bulletin, Fall 1986.

More detailed information on nonresident alien income and tax is available from the Statistics of Income (SOI) Division [6]. This includes information for types of income and countries not discussed in this article.

## EXPLANATION OF TERMS

Income Effectively Connected With a Trade or Business. -- Income that is "effectively connected" with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States is exempt from withholding. This income is subject to substantially the same tax rates that apply to U.S. citizens, residents, and corporations. For example, if a foreign corporation has an unincorporated operation in the United States, a Form ll20F must be filed and appropriate taxes paid for the income of this operation. When income is then remitted to the foreign corporation, it is considered connected with a U.S. trade or business and not retaxed. In all but rare (and indeterminable) circumstances, these amounts are not included in these statistics.

Nominee. -- An entity chosen or appointed to accept income for, or act on behalf of, the eventual recipient of the income. Typically a financial institution acts as nominee.

Nonresident Alien. -- For purposes of this article, a nonresident alien is defined as an individual whose residence is not within the United States and who is not a U.S. citizen. Corporations and other organizations created or organized outside the United States are also considered nonresident aliens. The phrase "foreign individuals and organizations" is also used in this article to mean nonresident alien.

Withholding Agent. -- Any person (individual, corporation, partnership, estate, or trust) required to withhold tax. Usually the withholding agent is the payer of the income or a "person" (usually a financial institution) acting on behalf of the payer. A foreign nominee or fiduciary required to withhold additional tax under a tax treaty is also a withholding agent.
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Table 1. --Number of Forms 1042 S Filed, Gross Income Paid, Tax Withheld, and Other Items, by Selected Treaty and Nontreaty Countries, 1983
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Country or Geographic area | Number of Forms 1042S filed | Income paid |  |  | Tax withheld |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Exempt from withholding | Subject to withholding | Total | Domestic withholding agents | Foreign Governments and withholding agents |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total. | 606,787 | 11,056,001 | 5,482,081 | 5,573,920 | 803,714 | 698,390 | 105,324 |
| Treaty countries, total. | 522,323 | 10, 232,898 | 4,954,124 | 5,278,773 | 721,292 | 615,968 | 105,324 |
| Australia. | 12,994 | 34,095 | 8,801 | 25,293 | 5,508 | 5,508 | - |
| Austria. | 2,918 | 11,858 | 5,182 | 6,676 | 1,153 | 1,153 | - |
| Barbados | 222 | 19,032 | 488 | 18,544 | 2,859 | 2,859 | - |
| Belgium. | 9,174 | 104,626 | 21,207 | 83,419 | 15,514 | 12,254 | 3,260 |
| Canada. | 286,958 | 1,215,231 | 498,428 | 716,804 | 108,849 | 108,849 | 3, |
| Denmark. | 1,743 | 5,031 | 2,654 | 2,377 | 331 | 322 | 9 |
| Egypt. | 237 | 32,903 | 32,675 | 228 | 61 | 61 | - |
| France. | 13,344 | 550,557 | 141,691 | 408,866 | 48,758 | 47,907 | 851 |
| Greece | 3,791 | 5,842 | 3,508 | 2,333 | 679 | 679 | - |
| Ireland. | 4,172 | 8,518 | 2,746 | 5,772 | 987 | 918 | 69 |
| Italy. | 6,905 | 38,594 | 15,818 | 22,776 | 5,425 | 5,425 | - |
| Japan. | 5,942 | 832,793 | 104,267 | 728,526 | 76,089 | 76,089 | - |
| Luxembourg. | 1,628 | 59,552 | 33,737 | 25,815 | 5,899 | 5,082 | 817 |
| Netherlands. | 8,812 | 1,392,091 | 512,227 | 879,864 | 61,983 | 61,552 | 431 |
| Netherlands Antilles | 2,237 | 2,094,680 | 2,037,765 | 56,915 | 9,174 | 9,174 | - |
| Norway. | 3,438 | 7,862 | 3,931 | 3,931 | 693 | 693 | - |
| Sweden. | 4,874 | 48,446 | 6,407 | 42,039 | 4,366 | 4,366 | - |
| Switzerland | 20,378 | 1,042,436 | 134,365 | 908,072 | 202,988 | 105,071 | 97,917 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 283 | 9,429 | 9,207 | 221 | 61 | 61 | -. |
| United Kingdom.... | 87,444 | 1,987,293 | 891,821 | 1,095,472 | 130,296 | 128,326 | 1,970 |
| West Germany....... | 34,666 | 704,012 | 476,747 | 227,265 | 35,081 | 35,081 | , |
| Other treaty countries. | 10,163 | 28,017 | 10,452 | 17,565 | 4,538 | 4,538 | - |
| Nontreaty countries, total... | 84,464 | 823,103 | 527,957 | 295,147 | 82,422 | 82,422 | - |
| Argentina.................... | 3,843 | 235,469 | 231,226 | 4,243 | 1,250 | 1,250 | - |
| Bahamas. | 1,687 | 26,505 | 2,984 | 23,521 | 6,817 | 6,817 | _ |
| Bermuda | 1,149 | 51,863 | 16,317 | 35,546 | 10,635 | 10,635 | - |
| Brazil... | 1,941 | 11,107 | 5,525 | 5,582 | 1,655 | 1,655 | - |
| British Virgin Is lands. | 378 | 7,961 | 2,956 | 5,005 | 1,041 | 1,041 | - |
| Cayman Islands. | 662 | 31,438 | 22,733 | 8,705 | 2,603 | 2,603 | - |
| China (Taiwan) | 716 | 13,090 | 11,918 | 1,173 | 346 | 346 | - |
| Hong Kong. . . | 8,289 | 32,039 | 3,520 | 28,519 | 8,487 | 8,487 | - |
| Israel. | 2,903 | 5,799 | 1,245 | 4,554 | 1,305 | 1,305 | - |
| Kuwait | 739 | 4,282 | 241 | 4,041 | 1,212 | 1,212 | - |
| Liberia | 335 | 14,914 | 185 | 14,728 | 4,418 | 4,418 | - |
| Liechtenstein | 666 | 12,288 | 1,655 | 10,633 | 3,160 | 3,160 | - |
| Mexico. | 8,214 | 27,951 | 6,390 | 21,561 | 6,438 | 6,438 | - |
| Panama. | 2,481 | 47,233 | 18,221 | 29,012 | 8,685 | 8,685 | - |
| Puerto Rico | 2,622 | 4,719 | 3,371 | 1,349 | 403 | 403 | - |
| Saudi Arabia | 2,384 | 123,209 | 118,093 | 5,116 | 1,525 | 1,525 | - |
| Singapore. | 3,135 | 14,245 | 12,018 | 2,227 | 652 | 652 | - |
| Spain..... | 4,275 | 13,904 | 4,914 | 8,990 | 2,663 | 2,663 | - |
| Venezuela................... | 4,565 | 15,320 | 8,365 | 6,955 | 2,065 | 2,065 | - |
| Other nontreaty countries.. | 33,480 | 129,767 | 56,080 | 73,687 | 17,062 | 17,062 | - |

Table 2. - Number of Forms 1042 S Filed, Tax Withheld, and Gross Income Paid by Income Type, by Selected Recipient Type and Country of Reciplent, 1983
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Country or Geographic area | Number of Forms 1042S filed | Tax <br> withheld | Income paid |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Interest | Dividends | Rents and royalties | Personal service |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| All countries, total. | 606,787 | 698,390 | 11,056,001 | 5,905,657 | 4,168,145 | 667,057 | 71,654 |
| Individual.. | 437,751 | 91,649 | 696,515 | 166,606 | 310,916 | 52,733 | 63,764 |
| Corporations.......... | 53,391 | 374,264 | 7,997,212 | 4,940,945 | 2,379,523 | 551,553 | 5,429 |
| Antigua. | 138 | 3 | 1,826 | 1,804 | 15 | - | - |
| Individuals. | 47 | 3 | 18 |  | 12 | - |  |
| Corporations. | 85 | * | 1,806 | 1,804 | 2 | - | - |
| Argentina.. | 3,843 | 1,250 | 235,469 | 231,442 | 2,248 | 291 | 788 |
| Individuals. | 3,300 | 937 | 4,780 | 1,721 | 1,812 | 89 | 783 |
| Corporations. | 44 | 86 | 1,891 | 1,369 | 13 | 187 | 1 |
| Australia. | 12,994 | 5,508 | 34,095 | 9,490 | 13,330 | 7,233 | 2,601 |
| Individuals | 11,034 | 1,623 | 9,094 | 611 | 3,803 | 689 | 2,556 |
| Corporations. | 755 | 3,073 | 20;470 | 8,565 | 6,371 | 5,503 | 31 |
| Austria. | 2,918 | 1,153 | 11,858 | 2,724 | 6,018 | 171 | 465 |
| Individuals | 1,826 | 547 | 5,182 | 813 | 2,208 | 113 | 411 |
| Corporations. | 144 | 428 | 3,577 | 823 | 2,694 | 50 | - |
| Bahamas. | 1,687 | 6,817 | 26,505 | 8,097 | 16,908 | 708 | 68 |
| Individuals | 809 | 640 | 2,718 | 324 | 2,168 | 74 | 68 |
| Corporations. | 476 | 2,728 | 11,844 | 4,816 | 6,491 | 512 | - |
| Barbados. | 222 | 2,859 | 19,032 | 538 | 18,053 | 339 | 12 |
| Individuals | 135 | 55 | ־. 395 | 49 | 246 | - | 12 |
| Corporations. | 53 | 1,442 | 9,491 | 205 | 9,286 | - | - |
| Belgium. | 9,174 | 12,254 | 104,626 | 33,908 | 51,076 | 15,625 | 1,362 |
| Individual | 6,975 | 1,114. | - 9,545 | - .. 1,079 | 4,553 | 610 | 1,271 |
| Corporations | 898 | 6,120 | 57,969 | 23,293 | 19,568 | 15,006 | 12 |
| Bermuda. | 1,149 | 10,635 | 51,863 | 17,250 | 17,124 | 5,538 | 255 |
| Individuals | 666 | 481 | 1,649 | 347 | 694 | 13 | 250 |
| Corporations | 252 | 6,217 | 37,031 | 15,565 | 9,158 | 965 | - |
| Brazil.. | 1,941. | 1,655 | 11,107 | 6,974 | 2,326 | 277 | 1,185 |
| Individuals. | 1,648 | 801 | 3,055 | 608 | 734 | 185 | 1,184 |
| Corporations | 79 | 614 | 7,122 | 5,704 | 1,407 | 11 | - |
| British Virgio Islands | 378 | 1,041 | 7,961 | 3,439 | 4,394 | 121 | - |
| Individuale. | 197 | 163 | 1,004 | 34 | 947 | 21 | - |
| Corporations. | 98 | 626 | 5,996 | 2,999 | 2,916 | 77 | - - |
| Canada. | 286,958 | 108,849 | 1,215,231 | 518,414 | 538,184 | 72,535 | 8,905 |
| Individuals | 214,030 | 17,147 | 136,521 | 20,737 | 72,663 | 11,630 | 6,995 |
| Corporations. | 26,104 | 55,858 | 813,934 | 468,700 | 241,543 | 54,020 | 1,483 |
| Cayman Islands. | 662 | 2,603 | 31,438 | 24,131 | 5,567 | 213 | 50 |
| Individuals. | 108 | 198 | 748 | 320 | 350 | 36 | - |
| Corporations. | 413 | 1,572 | 27,045 | 21,977 | 3,465 | 118 | 50 |
| Chile. | 1,093 | 528 | 2,951 | 1,439 | 1,221 | 11 | 74 |
| Individuals. | 935 | 278 | 1,206 | 289 | 640 | 11 | 74 |
| Corporations. | 14 | 15 | 919 | 917 | 2 | - | - |
| China. | 625 | 297 | 1,308 | 135 | 234 | 11 | 18 |
| Individuals | 510 | 197 | 952 | 71 | 192 | 10 | 18 |
| Corporations, | 12 | 83 | - 278 | 26 | 1 | - | - |
| China (Taiwan). | 716 | 346 | 13,090 | 12,117 | 757 | 61 | 22 |
| Individuals. | 625 | 280 | 1,065 | 199 | 673 | 61 | 22 |
| Corporations. | 19 | 38 | 11,913 | 11,904 | 5 | - | - |
| Colombia. | 1,374 | 463 | 2,579 | 1,188 | 1,144 | 67 | 45 |
| Individual | 1,188 | 290 | 1,343 | 419 | 729 | 30 | 44 |
| Corporations. | 48 | 89 | 663 | 371 | 246 | 31 | - |
| Costa Rica. | 587 | 249 | 850 | 205 | 562 | 12 | - |
| Individuals. | 500 | 216 | 735 | 184 | 472 | 10 | - |
| Corporations . . . . . . . . . | 26 | 11 | 37 | 3 | 34 | - | - |
| Denmark.. | 1,743 | 322 | 5,031 | 513 | 1,982 | 1,372 | 420 |
| Individuals. | 1,551 | 170 | 1,572 | 113 | 474 | 114 | 410 |
| Corporations............ | 72 | 108 | 2,649 | 381 | 1,236 | 751 | - |
| Ecuador...................... | 552 | 123 | 751 | 292 | 329 | 49 | 37 |
| Individuals. | 493 | 101 | 590 | 204 | 259 | 49 | 37 |
| Corporations. | 16 | 4 | 16 | 1 | 13 | - | - |
| Finland.... | 454 | 208 | 3,010 | 1,353 | 647 | 22 | 510 |
| Individuals. | 379 | 119 | 1,068 | 64 | 136 | 1 | 436 |
| Corporations............. | 18 | 50 | 1,687 | 1,187 | 480 | 16 | - |

Table 2. --Number of Forms 1042S Filed, Tax Withheld, and Gross Income Paid by Income Type, by Selected Recipient Type and Country of Recipient, 1983--Continued
[Money amounts are m thousands of dollars]


Table 2.--Number of Forms 1042S Filed, Tax Withheld, and Gross Income Paid by Income Type, by Selected Recipient Type and Country of Recipient, 1983--Continued
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Country or Geographic area | Number of Forms 1042 S filed | Tax <br> withheld | Income paid |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Interest | Dividends | Rents and royalties | Personal service |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Mexico | 8,214 | 6,438 | 27,951 | 7,183 | 6,617 | 6,085 | 836 |
| Individuals | 7,356 | 3,340 | 15,427 | 3,842 | 5,477 | 3,391 | 820 |
| Corporations | 277 | 2,304 | 8,621 | 2,005 | 375 | 1,366 | 16 |
| Monaco. | 308 | 656 | 2,629 | 623 | 1,467 | 170 | 351 |
| Individuals. | 223 | 473 | 1,842 | 572 | 740 | 162 | 351 |
| Corporations | 20 | 39 | 219 | 2 | 218 | - | - |
| Morocco. | 123 | 335 | 1,273 | 1,031 | 115 | 39 | 29 |
| Individuals | 96 | 41 | 233 | 56 | 82 | 7 | 29 |
| Corporations | 10 | 1 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Netherlands... | 8,812 | 61,552 | 1,392,091 | 554,799 | 797,196 | 29,217 | 1,601 |
| Individuals. | 5,017 | 2,815 | 41,876 | 22,175 | 16,262 | 278 | 1,520 |
| Corporations | 1,252 | 47,717 | 1,183,127 | 439,971 | 713,869 | 22,357 | 16 |
| Netherlands Antilles | 2,237 | 9,174 | 2,094,680 | 1,972,339 | 66,338 | 47,725 | 5 |
| Individuals. | 537 | 653 | 25,223 | 20,108 | 4,140 | 901 | 5 |
| Corporations. | 1,170 | 6,582 | 1,910,902 | 1,806,611 | 49,439 | 46,803 | - |
| New Zealand.... | 1,606 | 204 | 1,375 | 152 | 662 | 137 | 214 |
| Individuals | 1,384 | 155 | 1,058 | 114 | 445 | 86 | 214 |
| Corporations | 63 | 40 | 221 | 34 | 165 | 21 | - |
| Norway. | 3,438 | 693 | 7,862 | 2,093 | 3,266 | 944 | 590 |
| Individuals | 3,027 | 330 | 2,791 | 125 | 914 | 227 | 586 |
| Corporations | 98 | 169 | 3,403 | 1,616 | 1,145 | 629 | - |
| Panama. | 2,481 | 8,685 | 47,233 | 20,050 | 20,577 | 5,473 | 208 |
| Individuals | --1,438 | - -1,839 | 6,703 | $=-598$ | - 5,708 | - 24. | - 158. |
| Corporations | 637 | 5,339. | 30,588 | 15,372 | 10,547 | 3,912 | 50 |
| Peru. | 1,014 | 192 | 1,090 | 478 | 440 | 25 | 19 |
| Individuals | 873 | 163 | 692 | 174 | 370 | 25 | 19 |
| Corporations. | 18 | 14 | 118 | 70 | 25 | - | - |
| Philippines.. | 2,600 | 926 | 3,754 | 523 | 890 | 6. | 102 |
| Individuals | 2,314 | 855 | 3,186 | 153 | 760 | 5 | 102 |
| Corporations | 73 | 12 | 150 | 74 | 18 | . - | - |
| Poland. | 292 | 61 | 1,608 | 123 | 124 | 53 | 896 |
| Individuals | 269 | 43 | 1,336 | 119 | 45 | 26 | 822 |
| Corporations. | 10 | 18 | 106 | - | 77 | 27 | - |
| Portugal....... | 886 | 186 | 1,264 | 531 | 513 | 16 | 104 |
| Individuals | 737 | 141 | 678 | 87 | 388 | - | 104 |
| Corporations | 10 | 1 | 421 | 416 | 5 | - | - |
| Puerto Rico... | 2,622 | 403 | 4,719 | 3,414 | 490 | 706 | 11 |
| Individuals. | 2,286 | 121 | 469 | 65 | 294 | 1 | - 11 |
| Corporations. | 152 | 270 | 1,010 | 128 | 177 | 705 | - |
| Saudi Arabia.. | 2,384 | 1,525 | 123,209 | 105,181 | 2,053 | 99 | 16 |
| Individuals. | 2,092 | 1,285 | 14,601 | 1,924 | 1,674 | 99 | 15 |
| Corporations. | 23 | 120 | 51,315 | 51,171 | 144 | - | - |
| Singapore. | 3,135 | 652 | 14,245 | 10,791 | 3,086 | 21 | 151 |
| Individuals | 2,895 | 394 | 1,471 | 60 | 1,092 | 21 | 151 |
| Corporations. | 88 | 195 | 3,626 | 1,818 | 1,763 | - | - |
| South Africa. | 2,232 | 1,075 | 4,010 | 1,125 | 1,845 | 279 | 218 |
| Individuals. | 2,044 | 862 | 3,236 | 1,082 | 1,324 | 81 | 210 |
| Corporations. | 22 | 33 | 161 | 13 | 66 | 74 | 8 |
| Spain......... | 4,275 | 2,663 | 13,904 | 7,754 | 3,942 | 457 | 886 |
| Individuals. | 3,709 | 1,415 | 5,339 | 958 | 2,599 | 230 | 873 |
| Corporations | 89 | 899 | 7,222 | 6,398 | 609 | 99 | 5 |
| Sweden........ | 4,874 | 4,366 | 48,446 | 2,892 | 36,586 | 2,363 | 4,696 |
| Individuals. | 4,461 | 1,859 | 9,213 | 278 | 2,156 | - 346 | 4,585 |
| Corporations. | 123 | 1,838 | 34,456 | 2,384 | 30,046 | 1,953 | 72 |
| Switzerland. | 20,378 | 105,071 | 1,042,436 | 361,733 | 630,212 | 35,234 | 2,290 |
| Individuals. | 8,711 | 8,802 | 68,336 | 14,326 | 43,579 | 4,394 | 1,626 |
| Corporations. | 2,772 | 65,227 | 704,189 | 267,441 | 404,235 | 28,333 | 609 |
| United Kingdom. | 87,444 | 128,326 | 1,987,293 | 731,896 | 1,069,647 | 148,378 | 14,652 |
| Individuals. | 51,484 | 9,182 | 79,340 | 10,357 | 39,761 | 7,480 | 12,897 |
| Corporations.... . . . . . . . . . . | 10,362 | 34,547 | 1,200,030 | 696,531 | 360,847 | 127,960 | 1,432 |
| Uruguay. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 578 | 591 | 2,901 | 1,153 | 1,498 | 226 | 5 |
| Individuals | 361 | 142 | 629 | 146 | 442 | 3 | 5 |
| Corporations.. | 51 | 301 | 1,149 | 443 | 688 | - | - |

Table 2. -Number of Forms 1042S Filed, Tax Withheld, and Gross Income Paid by Income Type, by Selected Reciplent Type and Country of Recipient, 1983--Continued
[Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Country or Geographic area | Number of Forms 1042S filed | Tax withheld | Income paid |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Interest | Dividends | Rents and royalties | Personal service |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Venezuela. | 4;565 | 2,065 | 15,320 | 8,418 | 6,478 | 45 | 151 |
| Individuals. | 3,721 | 1,492 | 10,709 | 6,157 | 4,158 | 34 | 150 |
| Corporations. | 111 | 322 | 2,616 | 1,127 | 1,484 | 5 | - |
| Virgin Islands-United States. | 749 | 116 | 588 | 88 | 435 | - | 49 |
| Individuals. | 554 | 43 | 157 | 24 | 120 | - | - |
| Corporations. | 25 | 44 | 329 | 51 | 229 | - | 49 |
| Zimbabwe... | 95 | 43 | 188 | 19 | 109 | 32 | - |
| Individuals. | 58 | 20 | 108 | 16 | 41 | 32 | - |
| Corporations. | 20 | 11 | 37 | 4 | 34 | - | - |
| Other countries. | 23,249 | 13,249 | 153,004 | 89,214 | 51,099 | 2,200 | 2,661 |
| Individuals. | 16,019 | 4,074 | 29,774 | 11,340 | 10,836 | 904 | 2,044 |
| Corporations.......... | 1,094 | 3,679 | 81,046 | 69,533 | 7,638 | 1,268 | 152 |

*Less than \$500.

# Taxes Paid by High-Income Taxpayers and the Growth of Partnerships <br> By Susan Nelson* 


#### Abstract

Whether a tax system is judged to be fair depends, in part, on whether those citizens who are most able to pay taxes are perceived to pay a fair share of their income in taxes. Earlier analyses have focused on the extent to which taxpayers with high adjusted gross income (AGI) pay little or no tax. Such analyses are useful primarily in indicating the extent to which extraordinary itemized ("below-the-line") deductions reduce the tax liability of highincome taxpayers. But they do not shed much light on the extent to which taxpayers with substantial economic income are able to reduce AGI, and therefore taxable income and tax liability, with various "above-the-line" losses, including losses from tax shelters [1].


A computer analysis of all income tax returns for 1983 filed by high-income individuals provides further information on the tax burden borne by high-income taxpayers and on the commonly used means of lowering that burden. The analysis clearly identifies partnership losses as a primary source of offset to other income and thereby of reduction in tax liability for these high-income persons. Although the study does not measure the amount of tax reduction attributable to the specific tax incentives that provide opportunities for tax shelters, recent trends in the partnership sector suggest the growth and prevalence of tax shelter activity.

## DEFINITION OF INCOME

It has long been recognized that losses allowed for tax purposes are often not real economic losses; frequently they are merely accounting losses that result from tax shelter activities. Because tax losses can offset normally taxable income, it is necessary in analyzing taxes paid by high-income groups to use a measure of income which is relatively unaffected by accounting losses that may not be real.

The measure of income chosen for this purpose is total positive income (TPI), which essentially equals the sum of (1) wages and
salaries, (2) interest, (3) dividends, and (4) income from profitable businesses and investments [2]. Unlike the more commonly used measure of AGI, TPI does not subtract various exclusions or deductions which reduce AGI, such as Individual Retirement Arrangement (IRA) and self-employed retirement (Keogh) plan contributions and the 60 percent of long-term capital gains that is excluded from taxable income. TPI also excludes most business and investment losses which are taken into account in computing AGI.

Based on this definition of income, a return was classified as a high-income return if TPI was $\$ 250,000$ or more. Since TPI excludes real losses as well as tax-shelter losses, it tends to overstate economic income; on the other hand, it understates economic income to the extent that tax-shelter losses offset economic gains within many activities. Nonetheless, most returns with positive income of $\$ 250,000$ or more can reasonably be classified as "high income." For 1983, 260,000 tax returns (or 0.25 percent of all returns) reported TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more; nearly 28,000 tax returns reported TPI of $\$ 1$ million or more.

## income taxes

Many taxpayers with high positive incomes reported a substantial share of their income in taxes for 1983; nearly half (47 percent) owed at least 20 percent of their TPI in tax.

A significant minority, however, owed very low taxes, in spite of the current law minimum tax (see Figure A).

- Almost 30,000 , or 11 percent of returns with TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more, reported virtually no tax; that is, taxes were less than 5 percent of TPI.
- Nearly twice as many owed no more than 10 percent of positive income in taxes. Fifty-five thousand, or 21 percent of all returns with positive incomes of $\$ 250,000$ or more, reported 10 percent or less of

[^7]positive income in taxes. Fifty-four hundred, or 19 percent of returns with TPI of $\$ 1$ million or more, reported no more than 10 percent of positive income in taxes.

- Over 3,000, or 11 percent of returns with TPI of $\$ 1$ million or more, showed virtually no tax.

Figure A. --Returns with Total Positive Income (TPI) of $\$ 250,000$ or more, 1983

| Item | Total Positive Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 250,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1$ million or more |
|  | (1) | (2) |
| All returns | 260, 275 | 27,796 |
| Number of returns with income tax as a percent of TPI: |  |  |
| Less than 5\% | 29,800 | 3,170 |
| 5\% under 10\% | 25,452 | 2,225 |
| 10\% under 20\% | -83, 1773 | -11,307 |
| 20\% or more | 121,850 | 11,094 |
| Number of returns with: Partnership losses. | 166,401 | 19,871 |
| Partnership losses exceeding $50 \%$ of TPI | 12,655 | 1,600 |
| Partnership losses exceeding TPI .... | 1,916 | 306 |

These nigh-income taxpayers with less than 5 or 10 percent of TPI in taxes are shouldering lower tax burdens than typical taxpayers with substantịally lower incomes.

- Upper-middle-income returns with TPI of between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 75,000$ showed, on the average, about 13 percent of positive income in taxes.
- Nearly 17,000 of the high-income returns with TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more owed less than $\$ 6,272$ in tax, the amount that a typical four-person family with $\$ 45,000$ of income owed. Fifteen hundred returns with TPI of $\$ 1$ million or more showed less than this $\$ 6,272$.


## HOW TAXES WERE REDUCED

High-income returns with low tax liability relied most heavily on losses reported in current business activities, including those conducted in partnership form, to reduce their tax bills (see Figure B).
o Returns with TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more and taxes of less than 5 percent of TPI reported current business losses amounting, on the average, to 67 percent of TPI. (Thus, for example, a typical high-income return showing TPI of $\$ 300,000$ might show losses of $\$ 200,000$ and AGI of $\$ 100,000$; taxable income would be even less, after allowance for itemized deductions and personal exemptions.)

The capital gains exclusion and losses carried over from previous years also offset large amounts of positive income for the low-tax returns. Itemized deductions (such as for state and local taxes, mortgage interest expenses, and charitable contributions) were much less important in reducing taxes.

- For the high-income, low-tax returns-those with taxes less than 5 percent of TPI--the combination of the capital gains exclusion and losses other than on current business activities offset 46 percent of TPI. (The combination of this exclusion and these losses, together with current business losses, offset more than 100 percent of TPI, on the average, for these returns.) "Excess" [3] itemized deductions offsēt only 18 percent of "TPI".

The high-income returns with relatively high tax liability--those with taxes exceeding 20 percent of positive income--seem to have had more in common with the typical upper-middleincome return than with the high-income, lowtax return.
o "Above-the-line" offsets to TPI-primarily losses and the capital gains exclusion--were relatively unimportant for the high TPI returns with high taxes and for the upper-middle-income returns with TPI between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 75,000$. Current business losses averaged only 6 percent of TPI for the high-income; high-tax group and 4 percent of TPI for the moderate TPI returns. Capital gains exclusions and other losses offset an additional 11 percent and 6 percent of TPI for the two groups, respectively.
o For both the high-income, high-tax returns and for the upper-middle-income returns, itemized deductions--"below-theline" offsets--were almost as important as all above-the-line offsets in reducing tax liability. Itemized deductions averaged 12 and 10 percent of TPI for the two groups, respectively.

For the high-income, low-tax returns, some of the current business losses that offset so much of positive income undoubtedly represented real economic losses. However, most of the losses came from partnerships. For some years,

Figure B.--Sources of Reductions to Income Subject to Tax as a Percent of Total Positive Income (TPI), 1983

| Item | "Above-the-Line" Offsets to TPI |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { "Below-the-Line" Offsets } \\ \text { to TPI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Tax after credits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current business losses ${ }^{1}$ | All other losses and capital gains exclusion ${ }^{2}$ | Total losses and capita 1 gains exclusion | Excess itemized deductions | Investment and foreign tax credits |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| All high TPI returns .. | 18.3\% | 23.2\% | 41. $5 \%$ | 13.6\% | . $8 \%$ | 20.2\% |
| Tax under 5\% of TPI . | 67.2 | 45.7 | 112.8 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| Tax 20\% \& over TPI. | 5.8 | 10.7 | 16.5 | 11.6 | . 5 | 30.6 |
| Upper-middle-income returns ${ }^{3}$.............. | 4.4 | 6.1 | 10.5 | 9.9 | . 1 | 12.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Returns with $\$ 250,000$ or more of TPI.
${ }^{2}$ Includes losses from partnerships; net losses from sole proprietorships (including farms),
electing Small Business Corporations and rental and royal ty properties; and net "supplemental
losses" (from sales or exchanges of business assets and from involuntary conversions of assets).
Includes primarily the excluded portion of capital gains plus net operating loss carryovers.
${ }^{3}$ Returns with $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 75,000$ of TPI.
many partnerships have been utilized as vehicles for tax shelters (defined for purposes of this paper as activities producing net losses available to offset net income from other activities), and frequently they have registered accounting losses when they have incurred no real economic losses.
o Among the 30,000 taxpayers with TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more who owed virtually no tax (i.e., tax of less than 5 percent of TPI), partnership losses alone offset an average of 36 percent of positive income.
o Eighty-eight hundred, or 30 percent of taxpayers with TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more and tax liability below 5 percent of TPI, reported partnership losses equal to at least half of their positive incomes.

- Approximately 1,900 high-income, low-tax returns had partnership losses which fully offset positive income.


## THE GROWTH IN PARTNERSHIPS

The growth in tax shelter activity in recent years, particularly but not exclusively in limited partnerships, has been well advertised. Some figures help document that the growth in the partnership sector has been disproportionately concentrated in partnerships registering net tax losses, in limited partnerships which are the form of business most commonly used to provide tax shelters, and in
industries that are accorded favorable tax treatment such as the real estate and oil and gas extraction industries (see Figure C) [4].

Historically, the partnership sector has been the source of substantial net income for individuals. For many years though, losses reported for tax purposes have been growing much faster than income, and individuals have recently reported more partnership losses than income.
o For 1965, individuals reported almost nine times as much income from partnerships as they did losses--\$11.1 billion in net profits versus $\$ 1.3$ billion in net losses. By 1975, the ratio of reported income to reported loss had declined to 2.4 to $1--\$ 18.4$ billion versus $\$ 7.6$ billion. By 1982, although net partnership income had reached $\$ 27.4$ billion, net losses had risen dramatically to $\$ 28.3$ billion, actually exceeding (positive) net income.

Growth in the partnership sector in recent years, much of it in the form of limited partnerships, has been concentrated in industries with favorable tax code treatment and therefore with opportunity for tax shelters.

- From 1965 to 1975, the total number of partnerships increased by a modest 17 percent, from 914 thousand to almost 1.1 million. Between 1975 and 1982, forma-

Figure C.--Partnership Activity, 1965, 1975, and 1982
[All figures are estimates based on sainples--money amounts are in millions of dollars]

| Item and industry | 1965 | 1975 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partnership income reported on individual income tax returns by individuals: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,354 | 7,600 | 28,274 |
| Number of partnerships with and without net income: .. |  |  |  |
| All industries | 914,215 | 1,073,094 | 1,514,212 |
| Oil and gas extractio | 12,467 | 12,974 | 50,837 |
| Real estate. | 192,833 | 320,878 | 562,575 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 127,782 | 123,173 | 132,394 |
| Finance | 44,537 | 106,595 | 147,676 |
| Service | 168,850 | 198,956 | 287,529 |
| Number of partnerships with net income: |  |  |  |
| All industries. | 684,822 | 661.134 | 791,117 |
| Oil and gas extraction | 6,934 | 7,214 | 21,686 |
| Real estate. | 118,563 | 161,928 | 242,156 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 92,417 | 74,143 | 67,928 |
| Finance. | 29,195 | - 58,266 | 80,728 |
| Services | 137,774 | 138,510 | .180,153 |
| Number of partnerships with net losses: |  |  |  |
| All industries | 229,393 | 411,960 | 723,095 |
| Oil and gas extraction | 5,533 | 5,760 | 29,151 |
| - Real estate* | 74,270 | 158.950 | 320,419 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 35,365 | 49,030 | 64,466 |
| Finance | 15,342 | 48,329 | 66,948 |
| Services | 31,076 | 60,446 | 107,376 |
| Total net losses reported on partnership returns: |  |  |  |
| All industries.. | 1,569 | 14,694 | 60,871 |
| Oil and gas extraction | 128 | 1,657 | 13,220 |
| Real estate. | 619 | 6,514 | 23,002 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 239 | 1,058 | 3,147 |
| Finance. | -108. | 1,793 | 7,431 |
| Services | 158 | 1,877 | 6,750 |
| Numbers of partners reported on partnership returns: |  |  |  |
| All industries. | 2,721,899 | 4,950,634 | 9,764,667 |
| Oil and gas extractio | n.a. | 213,238 | 1,512,328 |
| Real estate. | 674,489 | 1,549,716 | 3,720,805 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 322,147 | 351,062 | 448,623 |
| Finance. | 317,187 | 1,422,954 | 1,983,132 |
| Services. | 448,558 | 668,858 | 1,171,642 |

n.a. - Not available.

Source: Statistics of Income--Individual Income Tax Returns, selected years. Statistics of Income, Business Income Tax Returns, Statistics of Income--partnership
Returns and Statistics of Income Bulletin, selected years or issues.
NOTE: Net income or loss reported on partnership returns is after the deduction for guaranteed payments to partners. Income or loss reported by partners is the sum of partnership net income
(or loss) and guaranteed payments to partners.
tion of partnerships accelerated, with the total number of partnerships rising by 41 percent from almost 1.1 million to 1.5 million.

- By comparison, from 1965 to 1975 the total number of partnerships in the two major tax-shelter industries, oil and gas extraction and real estate, rose by 63 percent, from 205,000 to almost 334,000. Partnership formation in these tax-shelter industries accelerated between 1975 and 1982, with the number of partnerships increasing by 84 percent to a little over 613,000.
- Between 1979 and 1982, 41 percent of the growth in all partnerships and 74 percent of the growth in the total number of partners occurred in limited partnerships.

The rapid growth in the number of partnerships reporting losses would lack a sound business rationale if it were not for the ability of many taxpayers to use the tax losses produced by these partnerships to shelter other income from taxation.

- Between 1965 and 1982, the number of partnerships with (positive) net income rose by only 16 percent, from 684,000 to 791,000.
- By comparison, the number of loss partnerships more than tripled during the same period: from 229,000 for 1965 to 723,000 for 1982.

Among partnerships with losses, the growth has been particularly rapid in two industries.
o Between 1965 and 1982, the number of partnerships reporting losses in the oil and gas extraction and real estate industries more than quadrupled. From 80,000 for 1965, the number doubled to 165,000 for 1975, and then more than doubled again to 350,000 by 1982.

While the statistics cited above indicate that tax-shelter activity has been growing rapidly, they say nothing about the importance of tax shelters in the overall economy and their distorting effect on the allocation of resources. Data from the Securities and Exchange Commission document that "tax shelters" have become a significant factor in the market for newly issued securities (see Figure D).

- In 1 c82 putic offerings of tax shelter limited partnerships in oil and gas and in real estate equaled some $\$ 8.1$ billion--alnost 13 percent of all cash security offerings, and 31 percent of all cash equity offerings.

Figure D.--Limited Partnerships and PubliclyOffered Tax Shel ters, 1979 and 1982

| Item | Tax Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1982 |
| Number of Partnerships: <br> All partnerships .... <br> Limited partnerships | (1) | (2) |
|  | 1,299,493 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,514,212 \\ 225,006 \end{array}$ |
| Number of partners: <br> All partnerships .... <br> Limited partnerships | 6,594,767 2,352,378 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,764,667 \\ & 4,710,080 \end{aligned}$ |
| New public offerings (billions): <br> All cash offerings ... Cash equity offerings Tax shelter limited partnerships ${ }^{1}$........ |  |  |
|  | $\$ 37.6$ 10.4 | $\$ 63.7$ 26.3 |
|  | 2.3 | 8.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Public offerings of limited partnership interests in oil and gas drilling and real estate ventures which, in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) legal staff, promise significant benefits based on tax savings to the prospective investor and therefore are classified as tax shelters by the SEC.

Sources: Statistics of Income--Partnership Returns and Statistics of Income Bulletin, selected years or issues, and securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Registered Offering Statistics file. SEC data are actually for Calendar Years 1979 and 1982.

## SUMMARY

Nearly half of the high income taxpayers for 1983 reported a substantial share of their income in taxes--47 percent reported taxes of at least 20 percent of their positive income. These high-income taxpayers made hardly any more use of special provisions of the tax code for reducing tax liability than did typical upper-middle-income taxpayers.

A significant minority of the high-income returns, however, showed virtually no tax. Hearly 30,000 (or 11 percent) of the returns with TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more reparted no more than 5 percent of TPI in taxes. Over 3,000 (or 11 percent) of returns with $\$ 1$ million or more in TPI reported virtually no tax. These high-income, low-tax returns look very dif.ferent from both those of typical upper-middle-income taxpayers and those of high-income taxpayers who ove at least 20 percent of TPI in taxes.

The evidence discussed in this article supports the presumption that tax-shelter
partnerships are an important vehicle for highincome individuals to reduce their tax liabilities. For the high-income returns examined that reported less than 5 percent of positive income in taxes, losses on current business activities--including sole proprietorships, farms, partnerships and rental and royalty properties--form the largest offset to positive income. Partnership losses are by far the largest component of current business losses.

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

The data from individual income tax returns with TPI of $\$ 250,000$ or more used for this analysis were obtained from two sources. One was a special extract, created for this purpose, from 1983 individual income tax return transaction files on computer tape. The transaction files are prepared by the Internal Revenue Service's ten service centers mainly for use as input to the centralized Individual Master File (IMF) of all taxpayers.

The information from the IMF system was supplemented by more detailed data from the Statistics of Income (SOI) sample of returns for 1983. This file is maintained as a data base "by the office of Tax Analysis in the Department of Treasury solely for use in tax policy research.

Data from the IMF system were based on all returns filed and, while subject to nonsampling error, are not subject to sampling error. To the extent that the data used in the analysis were from the SOI sample, however, sampling (as well as nonsampling) error is a limitation [5]. All of the data, whether from the IMF system or the SOI sample, were based on returns that had not yet been subjected to audit examination.

Data from partnership returns are from the SOI reports for the years cited and are also subject to sampling (and nonsampling) error.
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## NOTES AND REFERENCES

[1] "Above-the-line" losses (or deductions) were those used in the computation of adjusted gross income (AGI). "Below-theline" deductions were subtractions from AGI used to compute taxable (net) income (or subtractions from tax, i.e., tax credits, used to compute tax after credits).
[2] More specifically, total positive income (TPI) measures gross income reported on tax returns before losses. It primarily equals the sum of positive amounts of income on the Form 1040, with the following exceptions: for capital gains, it equals long- and short-term gains before losses and before exclusions; for Schedule $E$, TPI includes the income from rental and royalty properties with profits and the income from partnerships, from estates and trusts, and from small -Būsiness Corporations (electing ${ }^{-1}$ to ${ }^{-\quad \text { be }}$ taxed through their shareholders) with net income. TPI is before subtraction of various exclusions or deductions which reduce AGI, such as Individual Retirement Arrangement (IRA) and self-employed retire- ment (Keogh) plan contributions, and the 60 percent exclusion of long-term capital gains.
[3] Excess itemized deductions was the amount, after reduction by the "zero bracket amount," used in computing taxable (net) income.
[4] For the more recent years, see also Piet, Patrick, "Partnership Returns, 1983," Statistics of Income Bulletin, Summer 1985 and "Partnership Returns; T982," Statistics of Income Bulletin, Summer 1984.
[5] For information about the SOI sample and the sampling error associated with it, see Statistics of Income--1983, Individual Income Tax Returns, U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, 1985.
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#### Abstract

The number of primary tax returns and supplemental documents processed by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is projected to exceed 184 million, reaching 184.S million in Fiscal Year (FY) 1986. This represents a 2.6 percent increase over the estimated 180.3 million filings in FY 1985 [1]. The 1986 projections signal the initiation of a period of decline in the rate of growth of total filings. After an estimated 4.2 percent increase for 1985, the year-to-year rate of growth in total returns to be processed by IRS is projected to decline progressively to 2.2 percent in 1987 and 2.0 percent in 1993. The level of increases, however, remains relatively constant, between three and four million each year.


These projections capture the effects of any recent legislative, regulatory and administrative changes on the filings of the various primary tax returns and supplemental documents. They do not include the possible effect of legislative or administrative changes which are under review, such as proposals for tax reform legislation.

Individual income tax returns, because they are such a large proportion of total returns (56 percent), dominate the primary tax returns category. Employment is the determining variable in projecting individual tax returns because of the high correlation between employed individuals and those filing individual returns. The 1985 employment estimate used by IP.S, ohtained from Data Resources, Inc. (DRI), shows the rate of employment growth decelerating throughout the period of 1986 to 1993 [2]. Total employment is assumed to reach 109.6 million in 1985, a 2.3 percent increase over the actual 1984 level. After a momentary growth pause projected for 1987, the rate of growth of employment is expected to decrease from 1.8 percent in 1988 to 1.0 percent in 1993. The decline in the rate of growth of employment is expected to be mirrored in individua? income tax return filings, so that a decline in the growth of these filings when compared to last year's projections is anticipated.

Peturns projections are developed by: (1) using econometric models that relate the number
of returns filed to selected independent economic and demographic variables and (2) extrapolating observed time trends into the projected interval. The forecasting models are initially formulated on a calendar year basis. Fiscal year projections are derived from calendar year statistics by various methods including seasonal adjustment [3].

## TOTAL PROJECTED RETURNS AND DOCUMENTS

The total number of projected returns, shown in Table 1 , consists of primary returns plus selected supplemental documents that are not a part of the IRS Master File system. Because collectively they make up the hulk of total filings, primary returns have traditionally generated most of the IRS document processing workload and their relationship to total filings is expected to continue throughout the 1986-1993 period. of the approximately 172.5 million tax forms filed in 1984, 164.6 million were designated as primary returns. Major elements of the primary returns category include: Forms 1040, 1040A and 1040EZ--U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns; Form l040ES-Individual Declaration of Estimated Tax; Form 1120--U.S. Corporation Income Tax Return; and, Form 041 --Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return.

Information documents processed by the Service, such as interest and dividend statements or Forms $W-2$, Wage and Tax Statement, are not included in the returns counted or projected in this article and, consequently, have been excluded from all of the previously discussed return categories. These various information documents, while large in volume ( 668 million were processed in 1984 and 806 million are expected in 1985), are mostly filed on magnetic media.

Figure A presents the average annual percentage changes for the major return types during the 1984-1993 projection period. Total returns increase by an average of 2.2 percent per year after 1985.

[^8]Figure A
Projected Average Annual Percentage Change in the Number of Returns Processed, FY 1984-Fy 1993


Projections for 1986 and the associated rates of change from 1985 to 1986 are presented in the following table:

| Type of Return | $\begin{gathered} 1986 \\ \text { Pro- } \\ \text { jection } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Increase or Decrease from 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Returns | 184,992 | + $2.6 \%$ |
| Primary Returns ... | 175,851 | + 2.4 |
| Individual ....... | 103,761 | + 2.9 |
| Individual Declaration of Estimated |  |  |
| Tax | 34,536 | + 1.5 |
| Fiduciary | 2,086 | $+1.4$ |
| Partnership | 1,852 | $+4.2$ |
| Corporation | 3,479 | $+4.3$ |
| Estate and Gift | 161 | - 3.0 |
| Employment Tax | 27,056 | + 1.5 |
| Exempt Organization. | 426 | + 2.7 |
| Employee Plans | 1,083 | + 3.8 |
| Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms 1/... | 551 | +27.8 |
| Excise .......... | 884 | -15.3 |
| Other $2 /$. | 16 | -15.8 |
| Selected Supplemental Documents...... | 9,141 | + 7.3 |

1/ Forms 7, 8, 4705, 4706, 4707 and 4708 are no longer counted in this total. An estimated 200,000 additional Form 11 returns are expected because of a one-time alcohol floor tax.
2/ Decrease is due to a change in filing requirements for Foreign Sales Corporations enacted by the Tax Reform Act of 1984.

Individual Income Tax Returns
After realizing a 4.6 percent increase in filings of individual income tax returns in 1985, a post-World War II single-year record, the rate of growth of individual filings is expected to decelerate, i.e., filings are expected to grow at a slower pace than previously anticipated. Current projections call for an increase of 2.9 percent in individual filings in 1986 over 1985. This increase can be described as modest in light of 1985's record increase percent over the previous year [4].

Current projections for individual filings during 1986 are 0.6 percent lower than last year's projection for 1986. Similarly, projections for 1987 and 1988 are 1.0 percent and 0.9 percent lower, respectively, than last year's estimates. Over the spectrum of the forecast interval, projections this year are marginally lower than those proposed last year. The projections are affected by employment projection revisions since the spring of 1985 and an overprojection of 618,000 returns in 1984.

The revamped forecasts also suggest a change in the composition among the principal forms constituting individual returns--Forms 1040, 1040A and 1040EZ. It now appears that the number of Forms 1040A and 1040e2 to be filed in 1986 will be 8.9 percent and 3.8 percent less, respectively, than last year's estimates for the same period, while form 1040 filings are expected to increase. These adjustments are based on the approximately 1.2 million increase in 1040EZ's between 1984 and 1985, and an increase of 3.8 million in forms 1040.- Over the projection period, 1040's will increase as a proportion of total individual filings, while 1040A's and 1040EZ's decline. This projection reflects the prevailing trend toward more complex returns seen over the past few years.

Figure B compares the number of Forms 1040, 1040A, 1040EZ and total individual returns expected to be filed in 1986 and 1993, respectively.

## Individual Declarations of Estimated Tax

The current projections of Individual Declarations of Estimated Tax (Form 1040-ES) are lower than last year's projections for the same period. Form 1040-ES vouchers, while expected to post nominal gains for the forecast interval, are somewhat lower compared to last year's forecast, principally because of refinements made in the projection model.

Fiduciary
Fiduciary income tax returns (Form 1041) were projected as a function of current dollar personal income (a proxy for changes in wealth) and time. Although current dollar personal income is projected to increase, this year's forecast calls for fiduciary filings to grow at a slower pace than last year's projections. The lower growth is expected because of the filing requirement change in 1981 allowing grantor trusts to file on Form 1040. By 1993, fiduciary income tax returns should decline to 91.6 percent of the 1984 level.

## Partnership

Partnership (Form 1065) return projections are also closely related to movements in per capita personal income (in current dollars). This year's partnership projections differ slightly from those published last year in that increases averaging 2.6 percent per year from 1986 to 1993 are projected. The slight increase reflected in this year's projections is expected as taxpayer awareness of the tax advantages of partnerships increases and as per capita personal income (in current dollars) continues to grow at a steady pace.

Figure B
Individual Returns by Type
Fiscal Years 1986 \& 1993


## Corporation

Corporation returns posted an unexpected decrease between 1983 and 1984, marking the first decrease ever recorded in the number of corporation returns processed. As a result, current estimates are in the range of 6 to 7 percent lower through 1988 than estimates made last year. Lower growth is also anticipated for the remainder of the projection period compared to last year. Although growth has slowed, the most recent estimates still call for corporate return filings in the near term to increase to about 3.5 million in 1986, 3.7 million in 1987 and 4.0 million in 1989.

Estate and Gift Tax
The Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981 mandates that the filing threshold for estate tax
returns be gradually increased on an annual basis, through 1988. Current projections have incorporated this and thus call for a decline in filings through the latter part of the decade, with the 1989 estate returns projected to decline to 33 percent of their 1984 total. Filings are then expected to gradually increase through the early part of the 1990's from a low of 30,000 returns in 1989 to 40,000 by 1993. This increase is anticipated as per capita personal income and the number of deaths of persons age 45 and over increases.

The Tax Reform Act of 1984 will freeze the maximum estate and gift tax rate at 55 percent through 1987 and will reduce it to 50 percent thereafter. This change, however, should not have a significant effect on filings of estate and gift tax forms over the projection interval.

Employment tax returns consist of Employer's Annual Federal Unemployment Tax Return, Employer's Annual/Quarterly Federal Tax Return. and Employer's Annual Railroad Retirement Tax Return. Projections for the forecast period 1986-1993 show relatively small year-to-year increases.

## Exempt .Organizations

This category of returns consists of exempt organization, farmers' cooperative association and private foundation returns. The aggregate total is effectively dictated by changes in Form 990, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax, which accounts for 75 percent of exempt organization filings. This year's projections call for a slight increase of approximately 2 percent every year over the forecast period. Although increasing, current projections represent a decrease of 6 percent per year when compared to last year's estimates. This lower projection level reflects an administrative change within the tax law that relieves small organizations whose gross receipts are normally $\$ 25,000$ or less from filing a return.
Employee Plans
Projections of employee plans were initially increased to reflect the impact of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982, which was expected to significantly increase the employee plan filing population. This Act significantly changed the reporting requirements for self-employed or Keogh plans by requiring all administrators of plans with fewer than 100 participants, including owner-employee plans, to file Form 5500-C, Return/Report of Employee Benefit Plan, beginning with returns filed in 1985. Two hundred thousand additional filers are anticipated in 1985, with additional increases expected in future years as more taxpayers become aware of this new filing requirement. This year's projections have been revised downward, because although the filing population has increased due to the law change, it is anticipated that there will be a slowdown in the growth of businesses providing retirement plan coverage. Thus, current projections for 1986 are 11 percent less than projections made in 1984, and 1993 numbers are 23 percent less than those presented last year. Current projections call for a growth rate of less than 2 percent for the forecast interval, with returns rising from 1.0 million in 1986 to 1.2 million in 1993.

## Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

Forms 7, 8, 11, 4705, 4706, 4707, 4708 and alcohol and tobacco excise tax returns constitute the total alcohol, tobacco and firearms return category. Filings are projected to show a steady drop, declining to 61 percent of their
corresponding 1986 level by 1993. This downward revision in projections is a consequence of the Service no longer being responsible for processing Forms 7, 8, 4705 and 4706, and 4707 and 4708 (these items are firearm and explosives licensing forms). By being released from the responsibility of processing more forms (in real terms), projections for alcohol, tobacco and firearms filings are projected to decline throughout the forecast period.

## Excise

Projections of excise tax filings (Forms llC, 720,730 and 2290 ) have been significantly revised relative to last year's projections. Last year's projection overestimated the effect that the Tax Reform Act of 1984 would have on excise tax returns. This Act subjected only trucks of 55,000 pounds and over to a Federal highway tax (Form 2290). Prior law had a 26,000 pound filing exclusion. However, fewer returns than previously anticipated dropped from the filing universe as a result of this change. Also, processing of certain 1984 quarterly excise tax returns was postponed until 1985. This accounts for the apparent jump in filings between 1984 and 1985.

## Supplemental Documents

Supplemental documents are composed of amended returns and requests for filing extensions from both individuals and corporations. Current forecasts call for moderate increases over last year's numbers. Increases in supplemental documents range from 2.5 percent in 1986 to 0.4 percent in 1992 .

## DATA SOUPCES AND LIMITATIONS

The number of returns filed (as used in this article) represents returns processed at IRS service centers during a fiscal year. Returns processed in 1984 and receipts for previous years are presented in the Annual Report, Commissioner and Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service. Data for 1985 include actual returns processed through June, with the remainder of the year estimated, in general, on the basis of 1984 filing patterns [5].

To illustrate the general forecasting process, projections for the combined total of Forms 1040, 1040A and 1040EZ returns were developed based on a multiple regression relating total 1040 returns to total employment, employed married women with husbands present (used as a proxy for potential joint filers), pension beneficiaries and annuitants and a qualitative "dummy" variable which accounts for the effects of the Tax Reduction and Simplification Act of 1977 [6]. The historical base period for this regression was 1949-1985, with 1985 estimated on January-June processed returns and 1984 filing patterns.

Return projections were primarily formulated on a calendar year basis and subsequently converted to fiscal year projections by the Census Bureau's Xll-Q Seasonal Adjustment. Program by applying resultant seasonal factors to calendar year projections [7].

## NOTES AND REFERENCES

[1] All statistics cited are on a fiscal year basis, unless otherwise noted. As defined in Table 1, primary tax returns are equal to total returns minus supplemental documents. A complete listing of tax returns included in this table can be found in U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, Annual Report, Commissioner of Internal Revenue and Chief. Counsel, Internal Revenue Service, 1984.
[2] Employment, gross national product and personal income projections were obtained from Data Resources, Inc. (DRI), in June 1985.
[3] For details of these methods, see U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal

Revenue Service, "Number of Returns to be Filed," Fiscal Year Projection series, Document 6292 (Rev. 8-85). In particular, see the Fall Update.
[4] See Soffer, Evan, "Projections of Returns to be Filed in Fiscal Year 1985-1992," Statistics of Income Bulletin, Fall 1984, p. 13 .
[5] U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, Annual Report, Commissioner of Internal Revenue and Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service, 1984.
[6] Projections of total employment, employed married women with husbands present and pension beneficiaries and annuitants were made by the Projections and Forecasting Group, Research Division, Internal Revenue Service.
[7] U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "The X11-Q Variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program,". Technical Paper No. 15 (1967 revision).

Table 1. -- Number of Returns by Type, Fiscal Years 1984-1993 ${ }^{1}$
[Numbers are in thousands]

| Type of Return | Actual | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Grand Total | 172,517 | 180,265 | 184,992 | 188,411 | 193,321 | 197,799 | 201,807 | 206,014 | 210,064 | 214,424 |
| Primary Total | 164,615 | 171,746 | 175,851 | 178,605 | 182,754 | 186,506 | 189, 813 | 193,294 | 196,609 | 200,226 |
| Individual, Total | 96,296 | 100,829 | 103,761 | 105,435 | 108,085 | 110,333 | 112,061 | 113,813 | 115,380 | 117,207 |
| Form 1040 | 60,854 | 64,610 | 66,740 | 67,798 | 69,287 | 70,634 | 71,777 | 73,084 | 74,267 | 75,607 |
| Form 1040A | 19,599 | 19,135 | 19,716 | 20,225 | 20,966 | 21,624 | 22,169 | 22,776 | 23,325 | 23,915 |
| Form 1040E2 | 15,690 | 16,922 | 17,146 | 17,255 | 17,675 | 17,918 | 17,956 | 17,793 | 17,625 | 17,519 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 153 | 163 | 159 | 157 | 157 | 158 | 158 | 160 | 163 | 166 |
| Declarations of Estimated Tax | 32,597 | 34,019 | 34,536 | 35,171 | 35,952 | 36,701 | 37,492 | 38,398 | 39,316 | 40,271 |
| Fiduciary | 2,013 | 2,058 | 2,086 | 2,112 | 2,138 | 2,166 | 2,192 | 2,223 | 2,251 | 2,276 |
| Partnership | 1,663 | 1,777 | 1,852 | 1,926 | 2,029 | 2,144 | 2,274 | 2,418 | 2,578 | 2,751 |
| Corporation ${ }^{3}$ | 3,129 | 3,335 | 3,479 | 3,652 | 3,820 | 4,001 | 4,193 | 4,396 | 4,604 | 4,813 |
| Estate Tax | 90 | 71 | 53 | 38 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 40 |
| Gift Ta\% | 87 | 95 | 108 | 123 | 138 | 151 | 165 | 178 | 190 | 200 |
| Employment Tax ${ }^{4}$ | 26,133 | 26,658 | 27,056 | 27,396 | 27,772 | 23,149 | 28,535 | 23,928 | 29,317 | 29,697 |
| Exempt Organization ${ }^{5}$ | 393 | 415 | 426 | 435 | 444 | 453 | - 462 | 470 | -479 | 488 |
| Employee Plans ${ }^{6}$ | 955 | 1,043 | 1,083 | 1,097 | 1,116 | 1,138 | 1,158 | 1,175 | 1,189 | 1,206 |
| Alcohol, Tobacco \& Firearms ${ }^{7}$ | 551 | 431 | 551 | 351 | 348 | 346 | 343 888 | 340 899 | 337 | - 335 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excise }{ }^{8} \\ & \text { Other } \end{aligned}$ | 694 14 | 997 19 | 844 16 | 855 16 | 866 17 | 877 18 | 888 19 | 899 20 | 911 20 | $\begin{array}{r}922 \\ \hline 21\end{array}$ |
| Supplemental Documents | 7,902 | 8,518 | 9,141 | 9,806 | 10,567 | 11,294 | 11,995 | 12,720 | 13,455 | 14,198 |
| Form 1040X | 1,929 | 2,161 | 2,333 | 2,479 | 2,649 | 2,816 | 2,973 | 3,134 | 3,295 | 3,455 |
| Form 4868 | 3,746 | 3,923 | 4,171 | 4,487 | 4,859 | 5,227 | 5,582 | 5,947 | 6,314 | 6,681 |
| Form 2688 | 885 | 986 | 1,065 | 1,139 | 1,222 | 1,305 | 1,384 | 1,465 | 1,545 | 1,626 |
| Form 1120X | 38 | 42 | 51 | 59 | 67 | 75 | 83 | 91 | 99 | 106 |
| Form 1120-ND | --- | --- | --- | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Form 7004 | 1,269 | 1,370 | 1,483 | 1,506 | 1,731 | 1,833 | 1,934 | 2,044 | 2,163 | 2,291 |
| Form 1041A | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 |
| Form 1042 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Projections based on counts of returns processed as reported on NO-TX-R-308 as of August, 1985.
"Individual, Other" includes Forms 1040NR, 1040PR, 1040SS and 1040C; excludes amended returns.
${ }^{3}$ "Corporation" includes Forms 1120, 1120-A, 1120-F, 1120-POL, 1120S, $1120-\mathrm{H}$ and other special purpose 1120 's except as noted in footnote 9 and those included in Supplemental Documents.
4 "Employment Tax" includes Forms 940, 940PR, 941, $941 \mathrm{E}, 941 \mathrm{PR}, 941 \mathrm{SS}$, 942, 942PR, 943, 943PR and CT-1.
$5{ }^{\text {nExempt Organization" includes Forms 990, 990PF, } 990 \mathrm{C}, ~ 990-\mathrm{T}, 4720 \text { and } 5227 . ~}$
6 "Employee Plans" includes Forms 5500, $5500-\mathrm{C}$, and $5500-\mathrm{R}$; and $5500-\mathrm{G}$ and $5500-\mathrm{K}$ in the historical period.
7 "Alcohol, Tobacco \& Firearms" includes Forms 7, 8, 4705, 4706, 4707 and 4708 for 1984-85; and only Form 11 and alcohol and tobacco excise tax returns after 1985. A one-time alcohol floor tax is included in 1986.
" "Excise" includes Forms 11C, 720, 730 and 2290.
9 "Other" includes Forms CT-2, 941 M , 941 NMI , 990 BL and 1120 -DISC/FSC.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total due to rounding.

Crude Oil Windfall Profit Tax, 1984<br>By Edward Chung*

The Crude Oil Windfall Profit Tax Act of 1980 imposed a Federal excise tax on domestic crude oil extracted on or after March 1, 1980. The tax was enacted in resoonse to the olanned phaseout of government price controls on domestic crude oil. The Act was intended to tax a fair share of the additional revenues received by oil producers and royalty owners as a result of oil orice decontrol without adversely affectinq domestic production. Conaress desianated the Windfall Profit Tax to be temporary, with a 33 -month gradual phaseout. This phaseout is to begin in January 1988 , if $\$ 227.3$ billion in net revenue (see the Definitions) have been realized by then; if not, by no later than January 1991 [1].

The windfall profit tax liability after adjustments for the fourth quarter of 1984 was $\$ 2.0$ billion. This was the lowest amount of windfall profit tax reported since the first full quarter (June 1980) for which liability was reported. The total reported windfall

Drofit tax after adiustments since the enactment of the Crude Oil Windfall Profit Tax Act amounted to more than $\$ 72$ billion throuah December 1984.

The formula to calculate the windfall profit (WP) is:

$$
W P=R P-(A B P+S S T)
$$

where:

```
RP = Removal Price
ABP = Adjusted Base Price
SST = State Severance Tax Adjustment
```

The windfall profit declined from a high of $\$ 11.9$ billion for the quarter endina June 1981 to $\$ 3.4$ billion for the quarter ending December 1984 (Fiqure A). This decrease was a result of declining removal prices (qenerally the price for which oil is sold) and rising adiusted base prices and state severance tax adjustments.

Figure A
Components ${ }^{1}$ of Windfall Profit Tax Liability Before Adjustments: Aggregate Values By Quarter Oil Removed
Blllions of Dollars

${ }^{1}$ Some returns report windfall profit tax liability only; therefore, data for removal value, adjusted base value and state severance tax adjustment have been adjusted to refiect totals as if all returns reported this detail.
${ }^{2}$ One month only

[^9]Since June 1981, when the average removal price for domestic crude oil was at its height, the removal price fell by almost 21 percent, from $\$ 33.09$ to $\$ 26.04$ per barrel for the quarter ending December 1984 (Figure B). The downward trend in the removal price began in early 1981 because of a decrease in U.S. demand for oil and gasoline, a result of a slugaish economy and increased conservation efforts. The declining prices continued throuah 1984, primarily because of an abundant supply of foreign crude oil relative to worldwide demand, which created a downward force on removal prices for domestic crude oil.

The Bankers Trust Company of New York reported that spending for oil and qas drilling is expected to decline by 10 to 15 percent during 1985; it is already down from $\$ 40$ billion in 1982 to less than $\$ 27$ billion in 1984 [2].

In 1984 the U. S. economic growth (the annual rates of change in real Gross National Product or GNP for the first quarter through fourth quarter of 1984 were, respectively, 10.1, 7.1, 1.6 and 4.3 percents) led to increased demand for oil and qasoline products. In response, there was a temporary increase in the price of crude oil in the second quarter, following a slight decline in the first quarter. However,
the predominating influence of foreign oil producers (both OPEC and non-OPEC) thereafter caused the price of domestic crude oil to decline again in spite of increased demand. As a result, the average removal price for both the third and fourth quarters of 1984 experienced a decline, with the fourth quarter falling $\$ 0.49$ per barrel from the previous quarter. The combined average adjusted base price and state severance tax increased by $\$ 0.47$ per barrel, causing the total average windfall profit to decline by $\$ 0.96$ der barrel. The adjusted base price increased chiefly as a result of an inflation adjustment derived from the GNP "implicit price deflator" [3].

The total windfall profit tax liability after adjustments reported for Calendar Years 1980 to 1984 totalled $\$ 72.2$ billion. of the total tax liability, 1981 accounted for the largest proportion ( 36 precent) and 1984 the lowest (12 percent), a decline from 1981 to 1984 of 66 percent (Figure C). Some returns report windfall profit tax only; therefore, data for removal value, adjusted base value, state severance tax, and the resulting windfall profit have been adjusted to account for the missing detail. Concomitant with this decline in tax liabilitiès was a decreáse in reported windfall profit of 64 percent from 1981 to 1984.

Figure B
Components of Windfall Profit Tax Liability: Averages per Barrel by Quarter Oil Removed


The immediate cause for fallina profits was the combined effect of declining removal price (-26 percent from 1981 to 1984) accompanied by an 8 dercent increase in the sum of the adjusted base value and state severance tax (Figure D). Crude oil production reported on the form 6047's averaged 2.5 billion barrels annually during the period 1981-1984.

The following table is a summary, by quarter, of tax liability before and after adjustments since the tax went into effect in 1980. The adjustments were allowed because of errors by withholdina agents during previous quarters or, more frequently, because of application of the "net income limitation."

## Windfall Profit Tax Before and After Adjustments (MiTlions of Dollars)

| Quarter <br> Ending | Tax Before Adjustments | Total Ad.justments | Tax After Adjustments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$77,469 | -\$5,304 | \$72,165 |
| Mar. 19801. | 788 | - | 788 |
| June 1980 | 2,842 | -21 | 2,821 |
| Sept. 1980 | 3,413 | -88 | 3,325 |
| Dec. 1980 | 3,918 | -927 | 2,991 |
| Mar. 1981 | 6,953 | +242 | 7,195 |
| June 1981 | 7,253 | -107 | 7,146 |
| Sept. 1981 | 6,344 | -251 | 6,093 |
| Dec. 1981 | 6,007 | -497 | 5,510 |
| Mar. 1982 | 5,222 | -221 | 5,001 |
| June 1982 | 4,283 | -295 | 3,988 |
| Sept. 1982 | 4,404 | -445 | 3,959 |
| Dec. 1982 | 4,440 | -634 | 3,806 |
| Mar. 1983 | 3,320 | -193 | 3,127 |
| June 1983 | 2,951 | -203 | 2,748 |
| Sept. 1983 | 2,822 | -300 | 2,522 |
| Dec. 1983 | 2,736 | -465 | 2,271 |
| Mar. 1984 | 2,622 | -228 | 2,354 |
| June 1984 | 2,468 | -218 | 2,250 |
| Sept. 1984 | 2,447 | -200 | 2,247 |
| Dec. 1984 | 2,236 | -253 | 1,983 |

${ }^{1}$ One month only.

The net income limitation qenerates an adjustment because it limits the windfall profit to 90 percent of the net income per barrel of oil and was estimated by certain taxpayers for the quarter ending December 1984 (see the computation below). The adjustments for the previous quarters were for under- or over-withholding that the depositing or withholding agent (usually the first purchaser) corrects by adjusting the amounts withheld in the current and succeeding quarters. Producers claim as a refund or a credit on their income tax returns additional over-withholding of windfall profit tax due to error or the net income limitation that has not been corrected by the withholding agent [4].

Figure C
Windfall Profit Tax Liability After Adjustment by Year: March 1, 1980 Through December 31, 1984


Adjustments to Tax, Fourth Quarter 1984 (Millions)

```
Net income limitation-\$249
        Prior quarters -7
        Total adjustments -$256
```

Based on returns of taxpayers that provided complete detail on the windfall profit tax computation [5], tier one oil continued to dominate oroduction for the quarter ending December 1984. Tier one oil (all domestically produced crude oil other than oil specifically classified as tier two or tier three, or oil explicitly exempted from the tax) represented 58 percent of total production. Tier two oil, which represented 9 percent of total production, is oil produced from stripper well property not qualifying for the stripper exemption and oil from economic interests in a Naval Petroleum Reserve held by the United States. Tier three oil, which is heavy oil and incremental tertiary oil, but mostly newly discovered oil, accounted for 33 percent of total production. Tier three oil, which is generally taxed at a rate lower than tier one oil, accounted for a steadily increasing percentage of total production. (See the Definitions below for further explanations of the three tier concept.)

Figure D
Components' of Windfall Profit Tax Liability After Adjustments:
Aggregate Values by Year Oil Removed


FISCAL YEAR BUDGET EFFECTS
The total reported windfall tax liability for Fiscal Years 1980-1984 amounted to $\$ 71$ billion (see table below). Refunded overpayments, oovernment royalty interests and reductions in income tax resulting from the deductibility of the tax accounted for a neqative adjustment of $\$ 36$ billion.

The net revenue, or net budget effect as shown in the following table, for the first four fiscal years of the windfall profit tax is estimated at $\$ 35.3$ billion. Since the net revenue receipts from the windfall profit tax are much below the targeted $\$ 227.3$ billion, the tax will most likely beain its phaseout in January 1991. The taraeted amount was the result of using estimated oil prices which, in hindsight, were well above the actual orices.

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

The windfall profit tax is reoorted on the Quarterly Federal Excise Tax Return, Form 720. Form 6047, Windfall Profit Tax, shows how the tax is computed and is filed as an attachment
to Form 720. Tabulations in this article are based on the form 6047. Returns are due 2 months after the end of the quarter in which the oil is removed. Data are based on all returns with a tax liability of $\$ 1$ million or more before adjustments and a 10 -percent sample of all other returns.

Sampling and nonsamoling errors were controlled by a variety of methods. Although efforts were made to secure missing returns, some returns may have been omitted because of time and resource constraints. Attempts were made to correct imbalances in taxpayer entries for the components of windfall drofit; if this oroved impossible, an out-of-balance return was treated as a return on which the components were not reported, and therefore only the tax liability for each tier was tabulated. However, for returns not reporting the tax computation detail (for Fiqures $A$ and $D$ only), the components were estimated using a factor derived from the relationship of the tax liability for those reporting all of the tax computation detail. A number of verification checks were performed at all staqes of manual data abstraction and computer tabulation.
[Millions of dollars]

| Item | Cumulative 1980-1984 | Fiscal Years |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total windfall profit tax liability as reported on Form 60471 <br> Less: refunds and credits for prior year overpayments reported on: <br> Individual income tax returns ... <br> Corporation income tax returns .. <br> Arended returns and claims for refunds. | \$70,792 | \$6,939 | \$23,463 | \$18,973 | \$12,246 | \$9,171 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1,097 | - | -237 | -445 | -262 | -153 |
|  | -1,238 | - | -524 | -256 | -304 | -144 |
|  |  | - | 173 | -51 | -68 | -53 |
| Gross reported windfall profit tax liability less refunded overpayments.. | 68,112 | 6,939 | 22,529 | 18,211 | 11,612 | 8,821 |
| Less: net windfall tax receipts from Federal royalty interests and the estimated reduction in individual and corporate income tax payments... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net budget effect | 35,267 | 4,068 | 12,928 | 9,232 | 5,143 | 3,895 |

1 The totals do not necessarily agree with that reported in past SOI Bulletins due to adjustments based on additional information available to the Office of Tax Analysis.
Source: Crude 0il Windfall Profit Tax Annual Report to Congress on Net Receipts, Dffice of the Secretary of the Treasury, Office of Tax Analysis.

The Statistics of Income Bulletin also includes data on excise tax collections. The excise tax collection fiqures show the liability after adjustments, as reported on Form 720, from returns entered into the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) computerized Business Master File (BMF) each quarter. A number of considerations affect comparisons of data from these two sources. Returns are not due until 2 months after the close of the taxable quarter; however, the interval between the close of the taxable period and the final recording of the return often varies, so that the quarterly BMF totals mav represent more than one taxable period. On the other hand, the data presented here have been tabulated for specific taxable periods. As a result, the two sets of statistics are not directly comparable.

## DEFINITIONS

Brief definitions of the terms used in the tables are given.

Adjusted Base Price.--The base price multiplied by the inflation adjustment, which is
derived from the Gross National Product (GNP) "implicit price deflator."

Adjustments to Liability.--Corrections applied to the current quarter's liability to correct for the net income limitation and overand under-withholding in previous quarters.

Base Price.--For tier one oil, the upper tier ceiling price, as defined by Department of Energy price control regulations, which would have applied to the oil had it been produced and sold in May 1979, reduced by $\$ 0.21$. For tiers two and three oil, the base prices were $\$ 15.20$ and $\$ 16.55$, respectively, adiusted for grade and quality.

Crude 0il. --The term applies only to natural crude petroleum and does not include synthetic petroleum, such as oil from shale or tar sands. It does, however, include natural gas liquids treated as crude oil under the June 1979 eneray pricing regulations issued by the Department of Energy.

Deposit Requirements. --The timing of any first purchaser to deposit amounts withheld
depends on the identity of the first purchaser. Major refiners, other than independent refiners, are required to make semi-monthly deposits of the withholding tax. All other first purchasers are required to make withholding deposits no later than 45 days after the oil is removed from the premises, except independent refiners that purchase oil under delayed payments contracts. The latter are required to make deposits by the first day of the third month beginning after the month of removal.

Exempt Alaskan 0il.--0il from a reservoir other than the Sadlerochit reservoir that has been commercially exploited by any well north of the Arctic Circle; and oil produced north of the divides of the Alaska and Aleutian Ranges, and at least 75 miles from the nearest doint of the Trans-Alaskan Pipeline System.

Exempt Charitable 0il.--0il produced from economic ínterests held by qualified charitable medical facilities, educational institutions, and child care organizations (as defined in Internal Revenue Code section 170), if such interest was held on January 21, 1980, and at all times thereafter; and oil produced from interests held by a church on January 21,1980 , if, before January 22, 1980, the net proceeds from such oil were dedicated to the support of a medical facility, educational institution, or child care facility.

Exempt Governmental 0i1.--0il produced from an economic interest held by a state or a political subdivision (including agencies and instrumentalities), the net income from which is used for public purposes.

Exempt Indian 0il.--0il produced from mineral interests held by or on behalf of Indian tribes or individuals on January 21, 1980, which is one of the following: (a) production received by Indian tribes and individuals from Tribal Trust Lands (the title to such land is held by the United States in trust for the tribes); (b) production from land or mineral interests held by an Indian tribe eligible for services pro vided to Indians by the Secretary of the Interior; or (c) oil, the proceeds from which are paid into the U.S. Treasury to the credit of tribal or native trust funds pursuant to law. This exemption also applies to production of any Alaskan Native Corporation prior to 1991, including wholly-owned subsidiaries of the native Indian corporation as clarified by IRS on September 3, 1982.

Exempt Rovalty 0il.--Qualified royalty owners are exempt from the windfall profit tax on two barrels of oil per day for each day of the calendar quarter for oil removed after December 31, 1981. For 1985 and thereafter, three barrels per day will be exempt.

Exempt Stripper 0il.--0il removed from stripper wells after 982 may qualify for exemption from the windfall profit tax if the following conditions are met:
(1) the oil must be removed from a stripper well property after 1982,
(2) the oil must be extracted by an independent producer,
(3) the oil must be attributable to the independent producer's working interest in the property, and
(4) the stripper well property must not be a property transferred by a nonindependent producer on or after July 23, 1981.

Net Income Limitation. --The windfall profit on a barrel of 011 may not exceed 90 percent of the net income attributable to the barrel.

Net Revenue.--This equals the gross revenue from the windfall profit tax, or excise tax (excluding that amount attributable to U.S. government interests), less the reduction of income tax resulting from taxpayers claiming deductions for windfall profit tax paid. Figures presented in this report are the aross liabilities reported by the withholding agents on Form 6047 and are before the reductions mentioned above.

Removal Price.--Generally, the price for which a barrel of oil is sold. In some instances, a constructive sales price is used.

Sadlerochit 0il.--Crude oil oroduction from the Sadlerochit reservoir in the Prudhoe Bay oil field in Alaska.

State Severance Tax Adjustment.--A tax imposed by a state with respect to the extraction of oil. The windfall profit is reduced by the amount by which the severance tax exceeds that which would have been imposed had the oil been valued at its adjusted base price.

Stripper 0il.--In general, oil from a property for which the average daily production per well has been 10 barrels or less for any consecutive 12 -month period after 1972.

Tier One 0il.--All domestically produced crude oil other than any oil classified in tier two or three, or explicitly exempted by law from the tax. This includes the bulk of domestic oil from reservoirs proven to be productive before 1979.

Tier Two 0il.--Any oil from a stripper well property within the meaning of the June 1979 Department of Energy pricing regulations and oil from a U.S. economic interest in a Naval Petroleum Reserve. Note that the Crude 0 il Windfall Profit Tax Act of 1980 defined tier two oill as
from a "National" Petroleum Reserve. This was amended to read "Naval" Petroleum Reserve by the Technical Corrections Act of 1982.

Tier Three 0il, Heavy 0il.--All crude oil (1) produced that had a weighted average qravity of 16.0 degrees or less on the American Petroleum Institute (API) scale, corrected to 60 degrees Fahrenheit, for the last month of production before July 1979, or (2) oil from a property with a weighted average gravity of 16.0 degrees API or less, corrected to 60 dearees Fahrenheit, for the taxable period.

Tier Three 0il, Incremental Tertiary 0il.-Production in excess of a base level on a property on which a qualified tertiary recovery project lone using one of several specific chemical, fluid or gaseous recovery methods to extract oil not recoverable using standard techniques) has been undertaken. The nonincremental oil (i.e., the amount of production up to the base level) remains in the otherwise applicable tier.

Tier Three 0il, Newly Discovered Oil.--Crude oil sold after May 31, 1979, and produced from (1) an outer continental shelf area for which the lease was entered into on or after January 1, 1979, and from which there was no production in Calendar Year 1978 or (2) an on-shore property developed after Calendar Year 1978.

Windfall Profit. --The excess of the removal price of the barrel of oil over the sum of the adjusted base price and the state severance tax adjustment.

NOTES AND REFERENCES
[1] Staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation, General Explanation of the Crude 0il Windfall Profit Tax Act of 1980, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1981.
[2] New York Times, September 8, 1985, Section 3, dage 1.
[3] The inflation adjustment, calculated by the Internal Revenue Service, Research Division, is published quarterly in the Internal Revenue Bulletin. (See Internal Revenue Bullet in 1985-37, September 16, 1985.)
[4] See also Alexander, Michael, "Crude 0il Windfall Profit Tax for 1983," Statistics of Income Bulletin, Fall 1984, Dp. 59-65.
[5] At the inception of the windfall profit tax (March 1980), taxpayers were not required to complete the detail called for on the Form 6047, which shows how the tax is computed. However, taxpayers have been required to provide full information as of January 1981.
[6] See also Belal, Carol, and Clark, Phil, "Windfall Profit Tax Liability for 1980," Statistics of Income Bulletin, Fall 1981, pp. 50-54.

Table 1.--Windfall Profit Tax Liability by Oil Tier, Tax Rate and Aggregate Components of Windfall Profit for Quarter Ending December 1984
[Money amounts are in millions of dollars]
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c}\hline \hline \text { Oil tier and tax rate } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { barrels } \\ \text { of oil } \\ (000 \text { s })\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Removal } \\ \text { value }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Adjusted } \\ \text { base } \\ \text { value }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { State } \\ \text { severance } \\ \text { tax } \\ \text { adjustment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Windfall } \\ \text { profit }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tax } \\ \text { liability } \\ \text { before }\end{array} \\ \text { adjustments }\end{array}\right]$
$l_{\text {Newly discovered oil }}$ is taxed at 22.5 percent from 1984 to 1987.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 2.--Windfall Profit Tax Liability for Returns Reporting Components of Windfall Profit by 0il Tier and Tax Rate for the Quarter Ending December 1984


[^10]Table 3.--Windfall Profit Tax Liability by 0il Tier, Tax Rate and Aggreqate Components of Windfall Profit for January - December 1984
[Money amounts are in millions of dollars]

| 0 il tier and tax rate | Number of barrels of oil (000's) | Removal value | Adjusted base value | State severance tax adjustment | Windfall profit | Tax <br> liability before adjustments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Returns with tax liability shown by oil tier and tax rate, total ................. | 2,519,579 | 66,265 | 50,243 | 770 | 15,253 | 9,772 |
| Tier one, other than Sadlerochit oil: <br> Taxed at 70 percent ................ <br> Taxed at 50 percent .................... | $1,054,964$ 94,144 | 29,679 2,716 | 18,407 1,658 | 450 68 | 10,821 990 | 7,461 508 |
| Tier one, Sadlerochit oil: <br> Taxed at 70 percent <br> Taxed at 50 percent ... | 382,533 | 6,765 | 6,558 | 44 | 163 | 119 |
| Tier two oil: <br> Taxed at 60 percent $\qquad$ <br> Taxed at 30 percent $\qquad$ | 216,447 9,290 | 6,143 239 | 4,495 177 | 82 4 | 1,566 58 | $\begin{array}{r} 893 \\ 24 \end{array}$ |
| Tier three oil (taxed at 30 percent): Newly discovered oil Inc.remental tertiary oil Heavy oil $\qquad$ | 472,022 162,327 127,851 | 13,662 4,393 2,670 | 12,412 3,902 2,634 | 95 26 1 | 1,154 464 35 | 300 141 18 |
| Returns with total tax liability only .. | - | - | - | - | - | 310 |


NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 4. --Windfall Profit Tax Liability for Returns Reporting Components of Windfall Profit by 0il Tier and Tax Rate for January - December 1984

| Oil tier and tax rate | ```Average daily production (000's) (barrels)``` | Removal price | Adjusted base price | State severance tax adjustment | Windfall profit | Tax liability before adjustments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Returns with tax liability shown by ail tier and tax rate, total | 6,884 | 26.30 | 19.94 | . 31 | 6.05 | 3.88 |
| Tier one, other than Sadlerochit oil: Taxed at 70 percent Taxed at 50 percent | 2,882 257 | 28.13 28.85 | 17.45 17.61 | .43 .72 | 10.26 10.52 | 7.07 5.40 |
| Tier one, Sadlerochit oil: Taxed at 70 percent ... Taxed at 50 percent... | 1,045 | 17.68 | 17.14 | . 11 | . 43 | . 31 |
| Tier two oil: <br> Tayed at 60 percent <br> Taxed at 30 percent | 591 25 | 28.38 25.68 | 20.77 19.01 | .38 .39 | 7.23 6.29 | 4.13 2.55 |
| Tier three oil (taxed at 30 percent): Newly discovered oil2 | 1,290 | 28.94 | 26.30 | . 20 | 2.45 | . 63 |
| Incremental tertiary oil | 444 | 27.06 | 24.04 | . 16 | 2.86 | . 87 |
| Heavy oil | 349 | 20.88 | 20.60 | - | . 27 | . 14 |

[^11]Table 5. --Exempt Oil Volume by Tier and Category, Quarter Ending December 1984
[Thousands of barrels]

| Exempt 0il | Total | Tier one | Tier two | Tier three |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Newly } \\ & \text { discovered } \end{aligned}$ oil | Incremental tertiary $0 i 1$ | Heavy $0 i 1$ |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 87,216 | 17,692 | 49,687 | 17,981 | 1,130 | 725 |
| Exempt governmental interest | 17,741 | 13,653 | 711 | 2,177 | 621 | 579 |
| Exempt charitable interest | 1,000 | 424 | 315 | 167 | 86 | 8 |
| Exempt Indian oil | 1,153 | 432 | 345 | 313 | 56 | 6 |
| Exempt Alaskan oil . | 11,231 | 136 | 40 | 11,056 | - | - - |
| Exempt royalty oil | 11,186 | 3,047 | 3,371 | 4,268 | 368 | 132 |
| Exempt stripper oil | 44,905 | - | 44,905 | - | - | - |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 6. --Exempt 0il Volume by Tier and Category, January - December 1984
[Thousands of barrels]

| Exempt 0il | Total | Tier one | Tier two | Tier three |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Newly discovered oil | Incremental tertiary $0 i 1$ | Heavy oil |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 322,479 | 70,635 | 186,306 | 58,821 | 4,310 | 2,408 |
| Exempt governmental interest | 68,748 | 54,067 | 2,870 | 7,625 | 2,440 | 1,745 |
| Exempt charitable interest | 4,024 | 1,837 | 1,258 | 656 | 242 | 30 |
| Exempt Indian oil | 4,614 | 1,628 | 1,856 | 938 | 165 | 29 |
| Exempt Alaskan oil | 36,037 | 320 | 113 | 35,603 | 1 | - |
| Exempt royalty oil | 42,691 | 12,782 | 13,843 | 13,998 | 1,462 | 605 |
| Exempt stripder oil ........ | 166,365 | - | 166,365 | - | - | - |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.
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## NOTICE

The data on the following pages are the latest and most accurate available at time of publication. However, they are subject to continuous revision as more information becomes available. Data labeled as preliminary should be used with caution.

Table 1.-Individual Income Tax Returns: Selected Income and Tax Items for Selected Years, 1970-1983 [All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]


See notes following Table 13.

Table 2.-Individual Income and Tax by State, 1983 [Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| State | Number of returns | Number of exemptions ${ }^{1}$ | Adjusted gross income (AGI) | Salaries and wages |  | Dividends after exclusion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number of returns | Amount | Number of returns | Amount |
|  | ( I) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| United States, total ${ }^{3}$ | 96,716,604 | 234,828,957 | 1,934,237,194 | 82,691,193 | 1,630,336,530 | 13,340,131 | 48,525,516 |
| Alabama | 1,422,165 | 3,667,156 | 25,082,252 | 1,2.54,844 | 21,775,297 | 118,216 | 395,685 |
| Alaska | 237,345 | 533,067 | 6,157,292 | 202,981 | 5,542,935 | 48,600 | 62,382 |
| Arizona | 1,209,627 | 3,016,078 | 22,957,236 | 1,008,425 | 18,843,233 | 171,971 | 679,070 |
| Arkansas | 821,505 | 2,146,051 | 13,264,586 | 690,908 | 11,039,284 | 64,336 | 218,648 |
| California | 10,831,226 | 26,217,018 | 232,086,235 | 9,224,027 | 197,116,194 | 1,529,376 | 5,667,163 |
| Colorado | 1,373,637 | 3,188,606 | 28,577,309 | 1,187,389 | 24,625,533 | 198,105 | 637,692 |
| Connecticut | 1,491,499 | 3,351, 160 | 34,928,496 | 1,287,623 | 29,415,838 | 298, 327 | 1,217,233 |
| Delaware | 264,754 | 626,589 | 5,569,248 | 230,479 | 4,683,486 | 45,178 | 211,262 |
| District of Columbia | 311,580 | 641, 772 | 6,558,453 | 268,946 | 5,169,345 | 43,687 | 257,482 |
| Florida | 4,668,008 | 11,127,921 | 89,292,600 | 3,730,170 | 65,343,912 | 810,289 | 4,265,504 |
| Georgia | 2,260,477 | 5,522,447 | 42,636,979 | 2,031,640 | 37,632,733 | 206,033 | 742,788 |
| Hawaii | 450,097 | 1,037,030 | 8,652,808 | 393, 300 | 7, 366,153 | 66,359 | 184,607 |
| Idaho | 362,852 | 983,552 | 6,055,958 | 300,425 | 5,132,540 | 41,424 | 111,742 |
| Illinois | 4,743,900 | 11,579,626 | 99,838, 116 | 4,044,405 | 83,951,636 | 750,851 | 2,636,730 |
| Indiana. | 2,180,415 | 5,464,640 | 41,352,869 | 1,863,779 | 35,208,900 | 241,758 | 730,218 |
| Iowa. | 1,159,981 | 2,931,880 | 20,774,276 | 910,904 | 16,209,042 | 164,078 | 423,870 |
| Kansas | 996,338 | 2,478,646 | 19,438,353 | 821,241 | 15,870,195 | 134,719 | 430,640 |
| Kentucky | 1,309,583 | 3,318,603 | 22,977,177 | 1,112,410 | 19,242,736 | 118,431 | 456,324 |
| Louisiana | 1,615,918 | 4,179,292 | 31,517,645 | 1,428,162 | 26,885,342 | 144,715 | 559,607 |
| Maine | 475,091 | 1,138,571 | 7,903,705 | 408,878 | 6,500,551 | 61,731 | 230,998 |
| Maryland | 1,931,053 | 4,461,266 | 43,205,197 | 1,699,308 | 36,821,097 | 290,340 | 952,475 |
| Massachusetts | 2,642,035 | 5,866,990 | 55,152,239 | 2,293,026 | 46,028, 724 | 439,164 | 1,632,920 |
| Michigan | 3,569,505 | 8,719,744 | 73,636,513 | 3,056,825 | 63,599,112 | 516,052 | 1,468,090 |
| Minnesota | 1,730,923 | 4,204,002 | 34,352,536 | 1,451,620 | 29,029,433 | 258,247 | 709,993 |
| Mississippi | 870,451 | 2,344,377 | 13,802,262 | 770,642 | 11,932,149 | 61,517 | 190,089 |
| Missouri | 1,997,575 | 4,906,869 | 38,060,626 | 1,673,934 | 31,723,047 | 252,835 | 970,114 |
| Montana | 336,675 | 837,764 | 5,492,428 | 269,622 | 4,438,271 | 47,630 | 129,85] |
| Nebraska | 666,168 | 1,664,622 | 11,524,781 | 534,721 | 9,420,020 | 89,368 | 246,989 |
| Nevada | 417,580 | 945,701 | 8,220,875 | 362,779 | 7,007,234 | 42,132 | 197,666 |
| New Hampshire. | 439,470 | 1,016,557 | 8,743,783 | 385, 238 | 7,386,932 | 64,777 | 242,495 |
| New Jersey. | 3,572,581 | 8,417,191 | 80,547,933 | 3,123,373 | 69,057,040 | 651,442 | 2,118,360 |
| New Mexico. | 552,481 | 1,417,721 | 9,548,314 | 477,977 | 8,181,516 | 61,766 | 200,982 |
| New York | 7,291,876 | 17,339,894 | 161,078,984 | 6,252,909 | 131,758,422 | 1,273,613 | 5,533,251 |
| North Carolina. | 2,449,066 | 5,893,271 | 42,964,433 | 2,189,491 | 36,957,743 | 244,518 | 865,415 |
| North Dakota. | 279,747 | 706,072 | 4,693,080 | 216,647 | 3,643,476 | 28,514 | 58,514 |
| Ohio. | 4,344,572 | 10,581,309 | 85,219,611 | 3,722,724 | 72,809,793 | 594,861 | 1,965,902 |
| Oklahoma | 1,254,184 | 3,170,094 | 24,612,133 | 1,055,351 | 20,461,988 | 113,154 | 460,796 |
| Oregon | 1,091,518 | 2,658,382 | 19,936,641 | 897,474 | 16,307,680 | 150,300 | 432,286 |
| Pennsylvania. | 4,894,889 | 11,619,471 | 93,256,307 | 4, 128,837 | 77,592,256 | 754,168 | 2,443,990 |
| Rhode Island. | 416,984 | 945,948 | 7,707,680 | 362,462 | 6,396,730 | 57,400 | 205,040 |
| South Carolina. | 1,224,787 | 3,055,106 | 21,293,430 | 1,102,455 | 18,424,752 | 105,733 | 374,619 |
| South Dakota. | 279,709 | 713,682 | 4,001,114 | 215,484 | 3,235,942 | 33,032 | 75,613 |
| Tennessee | 1,802,504 | 4,455,398 | 31,638,042 | 1,585,251 | 27,569,186 | 152,009 | 500,389 |
| Texas | 6,304,777 | 15,956,320 | 132,517,016 | 5,536,844 | 112,959,489 | 656,712 | 2,791,560 |
| Utah | 561,951 | 1,599,262 | 10,678,179 | 491,659 | 9,428,113 | 62,213 | 193,336 |
| Vermont............. | 218,059 | 513,396 | 3,720,007 | 186,252 | 3,044,795 | 37,187 | 141,439 |
| Virginia. | 2,333,144 | 5,467,431 | 49,036,686 | 2,064,294 | 41,960,316 | 326,841 | 1,049,622 |
| Washington | 1,810,686 | 4,326,458 | 37,064,042 | 1,529,084 | 30,970,513 | 262,408 | 781,587 |
| West Virginia | 656,791 | 1,694,295 | 11,764,471 | 562,014 | 9,897,363 | 67,659 | 225,682 |
| Wisconsin.... | 1,951,626 | 4,737,346 | 36,484,064 | 1,657,568 | 30,999,800 | 282,614 | 803,203 |
| Wyoming. | 207,567 | 522,341 | 4,172,707 | 179,038 | 3,631,199 | 25,037 | 96,446 |
| Other areas ${ }^{3} . .$. | 429,672 | 920,972 | 4,489,487 | 255,353 | 10,107,514 | 78,704 | 347,159 |

Table 2. (Continued)-Individual Income and Tax by State, 1983 [Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| State | Interest received |  | Unemployment compensation in AGI |  | Itemized Deductions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of returns | Amount | Number of returns | Amount | Number of returns | Total Deductions | Average amount |
|  | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | ( 14 ) |
| United States, total ${ }^{2}$ | 57,003,581 | 154,895,870 | 5,291,239 | 7,575,048 | 35,631,382 | 316,330,501 | 8,878 |
| Alabama | 672,945 | 1,514,062 | 81,798 | 73,754 | 505,120 | 3,655,671 | 7,237 |
| Alaska | 135,955 | 243,227 | 24,065 | 43,772 | 85,267 | 884,583 | 10,374 |
| Arizona | 675,843 | 2,167,987 | 43,759 | 47,875 | 511,480 | 4,578,717 | 8,952 |
| Arkansa | 408,042 | 1,217,272 | 34,769 | 37,226 | 231,737 | 1,739,152 | 7,505 |
| California | 6,273,630 | 19,854,263 | 581,344 | 822,616 | 4,516,408 | 51,502,261. | 11,403 |
| Colorado. | 828,030 | 2,135,517 | 58,939 | 91,785 | 613,815 | 5,957,178 | 9,705 |
| Connecticut. . . . . . . | 1,057,998 | 2,588,216 | 89,439 | 103,169 | 537,853 | 5,065,953 | 9,419 |
| Delaware. | 154,235 | 337,697 | 14,716 | 14,789 | 102,951 | 869,100 | 8,442 |
| District of Columbia | 137,265 | 372,705 | 6,451 | 10,983 | 118,062 | 1,209,286 | 10,243 |
| Florida. | 2,589,400 | 10,713,722 | 88,653 | 107,253 | 1,462,368 | 13,030,873 | 8,911 |
| Georgia | 995,061 | 2,503,078 | 88,893 | 77,463 | 764,281 | 6,636,500 | 8,683 |
| Hawaii | 313,901 | 636,123 | 19,831 | 27,670 | 177,997 | 1,713,958 | 9,629 |
| Idaho. | 215,622 | 594,835 | 23,889 | 32,165 | 138,804 | 1,112,182 | 8,013 |
| Illinois | 3,079,812 | 8,760,698 | 301,905 | 611;523 | 1,764,853 | 14,533, 120 | 8,235 |
| Indiana. | 1,309,848 | 3,259,308 | 135,170 | 147,025 | 672,999 | 4,963,863 | 7,376 |
| Lowá. | 838,428 | 2,632,147 | 72,634 | 100,985 | 471,076 | 3,289,661. | 6,983 |
| - Kansas | -630,231 | 1,905, 812 | $-48,216$ | - 64,035 | - 372,937 | 3,019,995 | -8,098 |
| Kentucky | 670,592 | 1,771,071 | 84,640 | . 101,164 | 440,376 | 3,174,911 | 7,210 |
| Louisiana. | 770,102 | 2,058,625 | 93,399 | 179,516 | 488,625 | 4,014,508 | 8,216 |
| Maine | 284,077 | 540,249 | 21,918 | 21,611 | 128,015 | 936,933 | 7,319 |
| Maryland. | 1,165,283 | 2,528,994 | 79,446 | 111,210 | 868,643 | 8,143,442 | 9,375 |
| Massachusetts | 1,837,896 | 3,876,599 | 136,616 | 188,227 | 986,747 | 8,138,956 | 8,248 |
| Michigan. | 2,278,904 | 4,996,398 | 353,179 | 602,263 | 1,630,268 | 13,235,257 | 8,118 |
| Minnesot | 1,206,693 | 2,934,224 | 102,576 | 174,897 | 820,506 | 7,262,850 | 8,852 |
| Mississippi | 337,235 | 945,498 | 38,462 | 39,430 | 236,279 | 1,837,688 | 7,778 |
| Missouri | 1,208,402 | 3,496,086 | 96, 311 | 94,630 | 669,675 | 4,983,461 | 7,442 |
| Montana | 214,252 | 644,530 | 31,041 | 28,090 | 115,747 | 841,210 | 7,268 |
| Nebraska | 448,936 | 1,369,086 | 20,807 | 20,960 | 216,171 | 1,786,019 | 8,262 |
| Nevada | 208,479 | 676,644 | 24,548 | 33,818 | 141,737 | 1,345,306 | 9,492 |
| New Hampshire. | 292,126 | 590,578 | 20,212 | 19,260 | 130,992 | 1,031,941 | 7,878 |
| New Jersey. | 2,274,563 | 5,681,548 | 187,189 | 279,085 | 1,317,971 | 11,867,841 | 9,005 |
| New Mexico | 272,384 | 723,579 | 17,673 | 22,775 | 167,575 | 1,320,198 | 7,878 |
| New York | 4,766,651 | 13,405,393 | 337,366 | 422,276 | 3,238,799 | 30,865,282 | 9,530 |
| North Carolina | 1,194,828 | 2,542,544 | 125,771 | 108,853 | 833,853 | 6,317,671 | 7,576 |
| North Dakota. | 190,588 | 586,041 | 13,934 | 20,286 | 75,206 | 560,967 | 7,459 |
| Ohio. | 2,686, 213 | 6,441,041 | 338,969 | 551,983 | 1,479,633 | 11,960,423 | 8,083 |
| Oklahoma | 641,341 | 2,090,547 | 51,244 | 68,224 | 473,725 | 4,266,935 | 9,007 |
| Oregon. | 685,017 | 1,973,509 | 78,363 | 111,761 | 468,097 | 3,989,619 | 8,523 |
| Pennsylvania. | 3,191,992 | 7,372,005 | 419,034 | 704,969 | 1,601,748 | 11,997,713 | 7,490 |
| Rhode Island. | 263,349 | 619,418 | 31,662 | 38,638 | 139,239 | 1,096,734 | 7,877 |
| South Carolina. | 551,038 | 1,202,041 | 61,709 | 53,098 | 451,026 | 3,345,234 | 7,417 |
| South Dakota. | 179,825 | 574,186 | 5,661 | 5,993 | 65,278 | 465,042 | 7,124 |
| Tennessee | 853,810 | 2,137,757 | 85,381 | 80,584 | 465,374 | 3,739,809 | 8,036 |
| Texas............... | 3,029,416 | 9,558,656 | 195,960 | 295,444 | 1,904,772 | 18,262,519 | 9,588 |
| Utah. | 328,240 | 659,387 | 27,792 | 39,651 | 272,108 | 2,435,986 | 8,952 |
| Vermont | 141,127 | 289, 177 | 10,566 | 10,891 | 65,419 | 488,980 | 7,475 |
| Virginia. | 1,279,227 | 2,928,530 | 78,480 | 75,790 | 885,977 | 8,111,029 | 9,155 |
| Washington. | 1,130,119 | 3,167,266 | 143,366 | 244,813 | 662,554 | 5,697,670 | 8,600 |
| West Virginia. | 371,297 | 817,995 | 59,166 | 99,666 | 149,862 | 1,102,914 | 7,360 |
| Wisconsin. | 1,399,139 | 3,142,572 | 186,578 | 280,239 | 821,652 | 6,461,531 | 7,864 |
| Wyoming. | 127,826 | 350,109 | 13,827 | 21,701 | 71,568 | 596,045 | 8,328 |
| Ocher areas 3. | 206,368 | 767,321 | 3,922 | 9,164 | 98,157 | 885,823 | 9,025 |

Table 2. (Continued)—Individual Income and Tax by State, 1983 [Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Scate | Tax liability |  |  | Earned income credit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total tax |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { tax } \end{gathered}$ | Number of returns | Amount | Used to offset tax |  |
|  | Number of Returns | Amount |  |  |  | Number of returns | Amount |
|  | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| United States, total ${ }^{2}$ | 81,369,458 | 282,663,316 | 3,474 | 6,247,220 | 1,768,859 | 4,782,819 | 1,268,789 |
| Alabama. | 1,167,745 | 3,293,423 | 2,820 | 159,927 | -46,269 | 123,143 | -33,664 |
| Alaska | 204,228 | 1,090,544 | 5,340 | 7,491 | -1,980 | 5,492 | -1,306 |
| Arizona | 999,624 | 3,062,607 | 3,064 | 82,433 | -23,559 | 65,070 | -17,846 |
| Arkansa | 669,366 | 1,755,291 | 2,622 | 94,064 | -27,066 | 71,698 | -19,015 |
| California | 9, 104,508 | 33,330,626 | 3,661 | 687,081 | $-199,110$ | 558,452 | $-154,356$ |
| Colorado. | 1,168,943 | 4,146,808 | 3,547 | 65,318 | -18,529 | 49,933 | -12,978 |
| Connecticut | 1,303,295 | 5,839,546 | 4,481 | 41,589 | -11,259 | 29,649 | -7,704 |
| Delaware | 226,405 | 808,238 | 3,570 | 15,309 | -4,205 | 10,990 | -2,969 |
| District of Columbia | 263,943 | 1,055,522 | 3,999 | 23,685 | -6,727 | 18,185 | -5,104 |
| Florida.. | 3,924,995 | 13,701,874 | 3,491 | 328,536 | -94,415 | 243,047 | -66,084 |
| Georgia | 1,893,182 | 5,863,257 | 3,097 | 211,326 | -60,489 | 157,991 | -43,126 |
| Hawaii. | 379,140 | 1,129,902 | 2,980 | 18,243 | -4,817 | 13,088 | -3,234 |
| Idaho. | 298,348 | 760,859 | 2,550 | 29,470 | -8,374 | 22,571 | -5,555 |
| Illinois | 3,998,414 | 15,385,820 | 3,848 | 261,312 | -72,320 | 205,076 | -53,951 |
| Indiana. | 1,812,965 | 5,874,460 | 3,240 | 134,890 | -37,602 | 102,426 | -26,726 |
| Iowa. | 971,658 | 2,951,622 | 3,038 | 65,916 | -17,774 | 49,646 | -10,838 |
| Kansas | 846,356 | 2,912,423 | 3,441 | 51,252 | -14, 112 | 38,079 | -9,288 |
| Kentucky | 1,075,358 | 3,159,081 | 2,938 | 127,499 | -36,536 | 99,205 | -25,272 |
| Louisiana | 1,322,622 | 4,837,420 | 3,657 | 172,220 | -49,121 | 135,111 | -36,603 |
| Maine | 395,533 | 1,014,408 | 2,565 | 31,533 | -8,841 | 22,896 | -5,748 |
| Maryland. | 1,668,994 | 6,323,004 | 3,789 | 91,445 | -25,519 | 67,389 | -17,968 |
| Massachusetts | 2,292,450 | 8,451,270 | 3,687 | 94,342 | -26,267 | 68,783 | -18,335 |
| Michigan. | 2,982,274 | 10,228,794 | 3,430 | 176,570 | -48,844 | 138,276 | -35,530 |
| Minnesota | 1,472,898 | 4,558,198 | 3,095 | 79,250 | -21,798 | 60,323 | -13,277 |
| Mississippi. | 685,668 | 1,780,479 | 2,597 | 140,279 | -40,928 | 112,527 | -30,942 |
| Missouri | 1,679,113 | 5,559,927 | 3,311 | 134,405 | -37,581 | 100,740 | -25,426 |
| Montana | 271,956 | 752,141 | 2,766 | 24,545 | -6,842 | 18,822 | -4,445 |
| Nebraska | 558,862 | 1,652,289 | 2,957 | 39,507 | -10,575 | 29,466 | -6,243 |
| Nevada. | 350,738 | 1,248,932 | 3,561 | 21,615 | -6,147 | 16,284 | -4,440 |
| New Hampshire. | 377,948 | 1,295,990 | 3,429 | 17,087 | -4,725 | 11,872 | -3,058 |
| New Jersey. | 3,133,376 | 12,678,566 | 4,046 | 157,384 | -43,757 | 115,573 | -31,383 |
| New Mexico. | 439,923 | 1,307,304 | 2,972 | 55,051 | -15,331 | 44,337 | -11,661 |
| New York. | 6,313,932 | 24,352,451 | 3,857 | 442,451 | -126,208 | 331,557 | -90,310 |
| North Carolina. | 2,050,284 | 5,561,242 | 2,712 | 209,490 | -58,930 | 150,281 | -40, 166 |
| North Dakota. | 235,415 | 671,941 | 2,854 | 17,736 | -4,705 | 13,259 | -2,585 |
| Ohio. | 3,659,482 | 11,945,396 | 3,264 | 241,105 | -66,945 | 184,284 | -48,324 |
| Oklahoma. | 1,046,444 | 3,749,737 | 3,583 | 94,572 | -27,442 | 72,396 | $-19,151$ |
| Oregon. | 900,759 | 2,592,948 | 2,879 | 66,995 | -19,144 | 51,942 | -13,545 |
| Pennsylvania........ | 4,112,135 | 13,396,725 | 3,258 | 261,856 | -72,424 | 197,336 | -51,383 |
| Rhode Island. | 354,814 | 1,048,482 | 2,955 | 20,398 | -5,681 | 15,207 | -4,081 |
| South Carolina. | 1,012,593 | 2,667,936 | 2,635 | 118,450 | -33,841 | 88,621 | -24,445 |
| South Dakota | 226,703 | 565,122 | 2,493 | 23,876 | -6,450 | 18,258 | -3,641 |
| Tennessee | 1,485,458 | 4,430,147 | 2,982 | 176,179 | -50,432 | 133,763 | -35,549 |
| Texas. | 5,260,730 | 21,819,463 | 4,148 | 506,934 | -146,728 | 397,768 | -109,200 |
| Utah. | 462,653 | 1,282,378 | 2,772 | 32,567 | -9,298 | 25,084 | -6,656 |
| Vermont. | 183,781 | 487,608 | 2,653 | 13,456 | -3,712 | 9,785 | -2,351 |
| Virginia. | 2,007,410 | 6,990,314 | 3,482 | 128,135 | -35,637 | 91,944 | -24,396 |
| Washington. | 1,531,627 | 5,430,016 | 3,545 | 86,976 | -24,557 | 66,988 | -17,602 |
| West Virginia. | 538,246 | 1,608,586 | 2,989 | 61,427 | -16,957 | 48,444 | -12,760 |
| Wisconsin.......... | 1,631,551 | 4,783,060 | 2,932 | 91,652 | -24,862 | 70,221 | -16,162 |
| Wyoming. | 172,847 | 653,875 | 3,783 | 11,481 | -3,237 | 8,784 | -2,196 |
| Other areas ${ }^{3}$. | 241,766 | 815,264 | 3,372 | 910 | -252 | 757 | -208 |

Table 3.-Number of Individual Returns, Income, Tax and Average Tax by Size of Adjusted Gross Income, Tax Years 1980-1983 [All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Size of adjusted gross income | Number of returns for - |  | Total adjusted gross income |  |  | Taxable income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1980 |  |  | 1980 |  | 981 |
| Total | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  | (5) | (6) |  |
|  | 3,902,469 | 95,396,123 | 1,613,731,497 | 1,772,604,303 |  | 1,279,985,360 | 1,410,880,665 |  |
| Less than $\$ 1000$ | 3,687,997 | 3,484,734 | $\begin{array}{r} -11,063,711 \\ 17,314,975 \end{array}$ |  |  | 11,799 |  |  |
| \$1,000 under \$3,000 | 8,673,301 | 7,855,771 |  | $\begin{array}{r} -16,952,842 \\ 15,691,845 \end{array}$ |  |  | 7,064,102 |  |
| \$3,000 under \$5,000 | 7,694,231 | 7,405,871 | 30,654,346 | 29,580,649 |  | 18,534,160 | 17,994,684 |  |
| \$5,000 under \$7,000 | 7,633,889 | 7,251,941 | 45,738,822 | 43,446,800 |  | $30,497,371$$42,267,675$ |  |  |
| \$7,000 under \$9,000 | 7,336,650 | 7,066,520 | 58,608,700 |  |  |  |  |
| \$9,000 under \$11,000 | 6,605,618 | 6,514,144 | 65,907,303 | 65,051,373 |  |  | 49,980,765 | 49,147,621 |  |
| \$11,000 under \$13,000 | 5,830,212 | 5,821,233 | 69,911,911 | 69,702,815 |  | 54,591,487 | 54,207,411 |  |
| \$13,000 under \$15,000 | 5,267,669 | 5,190,200 | 73,590,238 | $72,548,282$$74,256,678$ |  | 58,114,413 |  |  |
| \$15,000 under \$17,000 | 4,654,783 | 4,648,986 | 74,387,702 |  |  | $59,701,351$$63,009,244$ | 59,486,499 |  |
| \$17,000 under \$19,000 | 4,350,522 | 4,291,557 | 78,267,330 | $77,161,287$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$19,000 under \$22,000 | 5,925,162 | 5,967,094 | 121,233,104 | 122,157,450 |  | 97,261,921 | $62,056,343$$98,703,455$ |  |
| \$22,000 under \$25,000 | 5,325,787 | 5,207,693 | 125,021,261 | 122,181,884 |  | 100,538,990 | 98,956,187 |  |
| \$25,000 under \$30,000 | 6,783,466 | 7,205,282 | 185,760,754 | $\begin{array}{r} 197,424,953 \\ 171,601,299 \\ 145,814,841 \\ 185,322,655 \\ 164,256,670 \\ 55,099,048 \end{array}$ |  | 150,218,626 |  | 159,682,521 |
| \$30,000 under \$35,000 | 4,729,899 | 5,294,687 | 152,927,369 |  |  | 124,032,123 |  |  |
| \$35,000 under \$40,000 | 3,221,053 | 3,910,649 | 120,167,693 |  |  | $97,276,738$$109,091,818$ |  | $138,893,019$ |
| \$40,000 under \$50,000 | 3,053,039 | 4,182,389 | 134,907,796 |  |  | 149,273,745 |  |  |  |
| \$50,000 under \$75,000 | 2,033,079 | 2,796,836 | 120,009,700 |  |  | 96,834,054 | $\begin{array}{r} 131,174,316 \\ 43,524,621 \end{array}$ |  |
| \$75,000 under \$100,000 | 535,348 | 645,884 | 45,918,079 |  |  | 36,849,386 |  |  |  |
| \$100,000 under \$150,000 | 336,269 | $\begin{array}{r} 398,479 \\ -\quad 118,037 \\ 80,945 \\ 37,147 \\ 14,758 \\ 5,286 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $40,213,979$ <br> $-18,377,241$ <br> $16,387,236$ <br> $11,956,450$ <br> $8,233,125$ <br> $9,210,095$ | $47,552,689$$20,164,437$$19,350,168$$13,906,555$$9,815,188$$11,128,551$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 32,528,035 \\ -14,82,138- \\ 13,211,348 \\ 9,505,239 \\ 6,516,941 \\ 7,018,879 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $37,518,693$$-15,842,213$$15,230,276$$10,788,043$$7,416,993$$8,168,130$ |  |
| \$150,000 under \$200,000 | 107,245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$200,000 under $\$ 300,000$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { - } 68,422 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$300,000 under \$500,000 | 31,947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000,000 or more ...... | 4,414 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Size of adjusted gross income | Total income tax ${ }^{1}$ |  | Percent of filers with no income tax liability |  | Filers with income tax liability |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average tax (whole dollars) | Tax as percent of adj. gross inc. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980 | 1981 |  |  | 1980 | 1981 | 1980 | 1981 | 1980 | 1981 |
|  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| Total | 250,341,440 | 284,128,989 | 21.3 | 19.6 | 3,387 | 3,703 | 16.1 | 16.5 |
| Less than \$1,000 | 103,645 | 137,840 | 99.7 | 99.595.5 | 10,091 ${ }^{2}$ | 8,626 ${ }^{2}$ | - |  |
| \$1,000 under \$3,000 | 32,471 | 43,465 | 96.9 |  | , 122 | 123120 | 6.1 6.0 <br> 2.9 2.9 |  |
| \$3,000 under \$5,000 | 530,988 | 516,050 | 42.5 | 41.7 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 under \$7,000 | 1,864,897 | 1,761,464 | 32.1 | 32.0 | 360 | 357 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| \$7,000 under \$9,000 | 3,494,441 | 3,306,387 | 17.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | 579844 | $\begin{aligned} & 571 \\ & 834 \end{aligned}$ | 7.2 | 7.18.3 |
| \$9,000 under \$11,000 | 5,296,395 | 5,150,823 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 8.5 |  |
| \$11,000 under \$13,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,586,710 \\ & 7,633,561 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,531,708 \\ & 7,601,053 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | 1,159 | 1,160 | 9.7 9.7 |  |
| \$13,000 under \$15,000 .......... |  |  | 2.1 |  | 1,480 | 1,498 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| \$15,000 under \$17,000 M 1980 .. | 8,417,235 | 8,355,068 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1,835 | 1,830 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| \$17,000 under. \$19,000 M 1981 .. | 9,351,972 | 9,226,778 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2,173 | 2,179 | 12.1 | 12.1 |
| \$19,000 under \$22,000 | 15,189,223 | 15,609,261 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 2,5893,138 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,645 \\ & 3,209 \end{aligned}$ | 12.9 | 12.9 |
| \$22,000 under \$25,000 | 16,620,372 | 16,563,264 | . 6 |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| \$25,000 under \$30,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 26,635,973 \\ & 23,969,187 \end{aligned}$ | 28,474,945 | . 4 | .6.4 | 3,941 | 3,976 | 14.4 14.5 |  |
| \$30,000 under \$35,000 |  | 26,910,961 | . 5 |  | 5,091 | 5,103 |  | 15.7 15.7 |
| \$35,000 under \$40,000 | 20,419,148 | 24,834,220 | . 5 | . 3 | 6,373 | 6,370 | 17.1 | 17.1 |
| \$40,000 under \$50,000 | 25,565,426 | 34,847,163 | . 4 | . 6 | 8,404 | 8,379 | $19.9 \quad 18.9$ |  |
| \$50,000 under \$75,000 .......... | 12,549,071 | 36,299,454 | . 6 | . 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,463 \\ & 23,531 \end{aligned}$ | 13,050 | 22.8 | 22.226.8 |
| \$75,000 under \$100,000 ........ |  | 14,715,265 | . 4 |  |  | 22,867 | 26.4 |  |
| \$100,000 under \$150,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,868,433 \\ 6,537,749 \\ 6,370,648 \\ 4,971,806 \\ 3,713,691 \\ 4,409,751 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,619 ; 378 \\ 6,886,418 \\ 7,183,611 \\ 5,566,282 \\ 4,100,676 \\ 4,887,456 \end{array}$ | . 3 | . 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 38,368 \\ & 61,169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,828 \\ & 58,439 \end{aligned}$ | $32.1 \quad 30.9$ |  |
| \$150,000 under \$200,000 |  |  | . 3 |  |  |  |  | 35.7 34.2 |
| \$200,000 under \$300,000 ....... |  |  | . 1 | . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 61,169 \\ & 93,234 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58,439 \\ & 88,930 \end{aligned}$ | 38.9 |  |
| \$300,000 under \$500,000 ....... |  |  | .1 | . 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 155,797 \\ & 298,169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149,990 \\ & 278,182 \end{aligned}$ | $41.6 \quad 40.1$ |  |
| \$500,000 under \$1,000,000 ..... |  |  | . 1 |  |  |  | 44.7 | 47.8 |
| \$1,000,000 or more |  |  | .1 | .1 | $999,944$ | $\begin{array}{r} 278,182 \\ 925,655 \end{array}$ | $47.9 \quad 44.0$ |  |

M - The median taxpayer was at this income level.
See notes following Table 13.

Table 3. (Continued)—Number of Individual Returns, Income, Tax and Average Tax by Size of Adjusted Gross Income, Tax Years 1980-1983 [All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Size of adjusted gross income | Number of returns for - |  | Total adjusted gross income |  |  | Taxable income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | 1982 | 1983 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 95,337,432 | 96,321,310 | 1,852,135,465 |  | 1,942,589,865 | 1,473,348,899 |  | 1,544,872,497 |
| Less than \$1000 $\ldots$ | 3,412,105 | 3,415,113 | -22,324,833 |  | -25,592,802 | 29,646$6,717,217$ |  | 75,549 |
| \$1,000 under \$3,000 | 7,573,825 | 7,253,408 | 15,122,101 |  | 14,482,816 |  |  | 6,757,833 |
| \$3,000 under \$5,000 | 6,966,104 | 7,167,924 | 27,925,256$39,885,078$ |  | 28,679,137 | 17,168,389 |  | 17,374,119 |
| \$5,000 under \$7,000 | 6,682,490 | 6,734,360 |  |  | 40,321,353 |  | 709,472 | 26,472,290 |
| \$7,000 under \$9,000 | 7,176,962 | 6,879,931 | 57,512,193 |  | 55,039,361 | 40,904,714 |  | 39,153,168 |
| \$9,000 under \$11,000 | 6,421,665 | 6,205,165 | 64,229,520 |  | 61,927,394 | 47,995,843 |  | 46,314,016 |
| \$11,000 under \$ $\$ 13,000$ | 5,651,414 | 5,724,798 | 67,793,416 |  | 68,522,507 | 52,526,932 |  | 52,529,347 |
| \$13,000 under \$15,000 | 5,414,103 | 5,161,674 | $75,702,223$$75,594,637$ |  | 72,217,107 | 59,652,891$60,951,455$ |  | 57,062,111 |
| \$15,000 under \$17,000 | 4,734,479 | 4,593,795 |  |  | 73,350,227 |  |  | 58,956,588 |
| \$17,000 under \$19,000 | 3,964,008 | 4,291,218 | 75,594,637 |  | 77,093,374 | 57,689,594 |  | 62,209,794 |
| \$19,000 under \$22,000 | 5,365,925 | 5,617,176 | 109,806,080 |  | 115,071,603 | 88,855,846 |  | 93,320,322 |
| \$22,000 under \$25,000 | 5,273,703 | 5,115,957 | 123,853,268 |  | 120,238,524 | 100,054,304 |  | 97,650,110 |
| \$25,000 under \$30,000 | 7,621,965 | 7,357,487 | 209,572,367 |  | 201,763,983 | 169,726,189 |  | 163,111,031 |
| \$30,000 under \$35,000 | 5,646,966 | 6,011,290 | 182,644,120 |  | 194,666,035 |  | 747,632 | 156,601,086 |
| \$35,000 under \$40,000 | 4,215,650 | 4,409,645 | $\begin{aligned} & 157,391,914 \\ & 208,952,374 \end{aligned}$ |  | 164,664,066 |  | 471,828 | 131,804,272 |
| \$40,000 under \$50,000 | 4,716,532 | 5,147,782 |  |  | 228,225,122 |  | 018,176 | 181,289,066 |
| \$50,000 under \$ $\$ 75,000$ | 3,057,266 | 3,591,188 | 179,566,469 |  | 211,838,450 |  | 376,967 | 166,095,719 |
| \$75,000 under \$100,000 | 702,064 | 822,840 | 59,748,095 |  | 70,011,841 |  | 20,423 | 54,345,021 |
| \$100,000 under \$150,000 | 432,757 | 469,391 | 51,674,638 |  | 56,206, 333 | $\begin{aligned} & 40,228,978 \\ & 18,710,797 \\ & 17,807,227 \\ & 14,153,358 \\ & 11,042,159 \\ & 14,888,860 \end{aligned}$ |  | 43,403,109 |
| \$150,000 under \$200,000 | 138,082 | 152,560 | 23,616,649 |  | 26,170,484 |  |  | 20,312,767 |
| \$200,000 under \$300,000 | 93,033 | 108,379 | 22,323,087 |  | 26,016,239 |  |  | 20,642,936 |
| \$300,000 under \$500,000 | 47,245 | 53,960 | 17,650,665 |  | 20,144,746 |  |  | 15,959,347 |
| \$500,000 under \$1,000,000 | 20,681 | 25,469 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,830,843 \\ & 18,769,578 \end{aligned}$ |  | 17,173,250 |  |  | 13,706,293 |
| \$1,000,000 or more .... | 8,408 | 10,800 |  |  | 24,358,715 |  |  | 19,726,601 |
| Size of adjusted gross income | Total income tax ${ }^{1}$ |  | Percent of filers with no income tax liability |  | Filers with income tax liability |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average tax (whole dollars) | Tax as percent of adj. gross inc. |  |  |  |
|  | 1982 | 1983 |  |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1982 | 1983 | 1982 | 1983 |
|  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| Total | 277,597,301 | 274,181,323 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 3,604 | 3,514 | 15.4 | 14.5 |
| Less than \$1,000 | 130,288 | 127,789 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 9,298 ${ }^{2}$ | 13,249 | - | - |
| \$1,000 under \$3,000 | 35,182 | 51,414 | 95.0 | 93.4 | 92 | 108 | 5.0 | 5.7 |
| \$3,000 under \$5,000 | 475,448 | 409,533 | 41.9 | 42.0 | 117 | 99 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| \$5,000 under \$7,000 | 1,473,139 | 1,310,055 | 31.4 | 33.7 | 321 | 293 | 5.4 | 4.9 |
| \$7,000 under \$9,000 | 2,967,854 | 2,603,057 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 521 | 480 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| \$9,000 under \$11,000 | 4,462,848 | 3,888,167 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 746 | 684 | 7.4 | 6.8 |
| \$11,000 under \$ $\$ 13,000$ | 5,566,452 | 5,012,113 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 1,026 | 914 | 8.6 | 7.6 |
| \$13,000 under \$ $\$ 15,000$ | 7,002,695 | 6,066,527 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1,324 | 1,208 | 9.5 | 8.6 |
| \$15,000 under \$17,000 | 7,766,398 | 6,809,411 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1,665 | 1,518 | 10.4 | 9.5 |
| \$17,000 under \$19,000 M | 7,786,372 | 7,664,811 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2,001 | 1,816 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| \$19,000 under \$22,000 | 12,725,118 | 12,163,958 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2,399 | 2,192 | 11.7 | 10.7 |
| \$22,000 under \$25,000 | 15,378,094 | 13,595,791 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2,956 | 2,692 | 12.6 | 11.5 |
| \$25,000 under \$30,000 | 27,852,640 | 24,354,551 | . 6 | . 8 | 3,676 | 3,338 | 13.4 | 12.2 |
| \$30,000 under \$35,000 | 25,867,035 | 25,156,554 | . 5 | . 6 | 4,605 | 4,208 | 14.2 | 13.0 |
| \$35,000 under \$40,000 | 24,098,091 | 22,673,889 | . 5 | . 8 | 5,743 | 5,182 | 15.4 | 13.9 |
| \$40,000 under \$50,000 | 35,029,801 | 34,798,186 | . 5 | . 4 | 7,468 | 6,787 | 16.9 | 15.3 |
| \$50,000 under \$75,000 | 35,892,383 | 38,352,897 | . 5 | . 4 | 11,803 | 10,725 | 20.1 | 18.2 |
| \$75,000 under \$ $\$ 00,000$ | 14,594,818 | 15,392,973 | . 4 | . 3 | 20,865 | 18,770 | 24.5 | 22.1 |
| \$100,000 under \$ $\$ 150,000$ | 14,385,740 | 14,351,743 | . 2 | . 4 | 33,321 | 30,690 | 27.9 | 25.6 |
| \$150,000 under \$200,000 | 7,483,155 | 7,662,455 | . 5 | . 3 | 54,447 | 50,365 | 31.8 | 29.4 |
| \$200,000 under \$300,000 | 7,651,434 | 8,488,945 | . 2 | . 2 | 82,400 | 78,513 | 34.3 | 32.7 |
| \$300,000 under \$500,000 | 6,380,687 | 7,124,258 | . 1 | . 2 | 135,233 | 132,313 | 36.2 | 35.4 |
| \$500,000 under \$1,000,000 | 5,222,845 | 6,463,482 | . 1 | . 2 | 252,751 | 254,228 | 37.8 | 37.7 |
| \$1,000,000 or more. | 7,368,787 | 9,658,764 | . 1 | . 3 | 877,132 | 896,655 | 39.3 | 39.8 |

M - The median taxpayer was at this income level for both 1982 and 1983.
See notes following Table 13.

Table 4.-Nonfarm Sole Proprietorship Returns: Selected Income and Deduction Items for Selected Years, 1970-1983 [All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Item | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Number of returns, total Number with net income | $\begin{array}{r} 5,769,741 \\ \text { n.a. } \end{array}$ | 7,221,346 n.a. | $\begin{gathered} 8,931,712^{1} \\ \text { n.a. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,584,791^{1} \\ & 6,534,688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,105,515^{1} \\ 6,761,405 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,703,921^{1} \\ \text { n.a. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Inventory, end of year ... | 11,060,775 | 15,578,040 | 21,996,236 | 22,921,503 | 21,804,915 | n.a. |
| Business receipts, total ........... Income from sales and operations | $198,582,172$ n.a. | $\begin{aligned} & 273,954,741 \\ & 272,342,560 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411,205,713 \\ & 407,169,299 \end{aligned}$ | $427,063,055$ $421,700,025$ | $\begin{aligned} & 433,664,897 \\ & 428,311,840 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 465,168,637 \\ & 455,382,492 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total deductions | 168,044,746 | 234,318,288 | 356,258,495 |  |  |  |
| Cost of goods soldoperations | 109,148,811 | 146,261,435 | 209,889,809 | 373,991,426 | $383,091,734$ $205,471,499$ | $\begin{aligned} & 404,808,647 \\ & 212,631,063 \end{aligned}$ |
| Purchases ... | 88,585,913 | 117,722,352 | 168,301,517 | 167,751,431 | 161,295,256 | 21,631, ${ }^{\text {n.a. }}$ |
| Cost of labor ..... | 7,704,285 | 8,791, 083 | 10,922,221 | 10,923,120 | 11,424,639 | 9,870,673 |
| Commissions .......... | $6,216,057$ $1,274,016$ | 9,090,638 | 12,909,222 | 12,081,423 | 12,735,789 | n.a. |
| Salaries and wages | 15,107,047 | 20,227,859 | $3,333,345$ $26,560,821$ | $3,539,844$ $28,749,357$ | $4,464,026$ $30,403,121$ | n.a. |
| Car and truck expenses | n.a. | n.a. | 13,378,289 | 12,358,478 | 30,403,121 n.a. | $31,665,698$ $14,758,472$ |
| Rent paid Repairs | 4,636,528 | 6,676,314 | 9,636,290 | 10,715,102 | 11,797,053 | $11,830,835$ |
| Repairs .... | 2,444,607 | 3,044,175 | 5,031,573 | 5,414,156 | 6,006,403. | n.a. |
| Taxes paid Utilities. | $3,775,502$ n.a. | 5,423,961 | 7,672,459 | 6,661,054 | 7,747,540 | n.a. |
| Insurance | 2,309,608 | 3,503, ${ }_{\text {n.al }}$ | $4,790,337$ $6,003,126$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,275,517 \\ & 6738,704 \end{aligned}$ | 6,448, ${ }_{\text {n.a }}$ | n.a |
| Interest paid | 1,784,276 | 3,390,845 | 7,190,257 | 6,238,704 | $6,448,494$ $10,143,489$ | 9,925, ${ }_{\text {n. }}^{\text {a }}$. |
| Depreciation ...................... | 5,451,525 | 7,958,143 | 13,952,703 | 15,854,513 | 19,121,559 | 29,925,746 |
| Pension and profit sharing plans | 72,741 | 125,296 | 141,463 | 152,588 | 136,359 | 122,915 |
| Net income (1ess loss) | 30,537,426 | 36,636,453 | 54,947,219 | 53,071,628 | 50,573,164 |  |
| Net income, businesses w/profit | 33,735,732 | 45,624,890 | 68,010,051 | 68,552,791 | 68,647,384 | $60,359,153$ $78,618,410$ |
| Net loss, businesses w/o profit | 3,198,306 | 5,988,437 | 13,062,832 | 15,481,162 | 18,094,220 | 18,259,256 |

See notes following Table 13 .

Table 5.—Partnership Returns: Selected Income Statement and Balance Sheet Items for Selected Years, 1970-1983 [All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Item | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of active partnerships Number with net income $\qquad$ Number with balance sheets $\qquad$ Number of partners $\qquad$ | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | 936,133 | 1,073,094 | 1,379,654 | 1,460,502 | 1,514,212 |  |
|  | 639,795 | 661,134 | 774,173 | 749,222 | 7,51,117 | $783,968$ |
|  | 555,741 | 783,271 | 1,194,236 | 1,193,792 | 1,217,386 | 1,190,696 |
|  | 3,697,818 | 4,950,634 | 8,419,899 | 9,448,361 | 9,764,667 | 10,589,338 |
| Total assets | 16,752,751 | 235;468,301 | 597,503,923 | 715,232,726 | 845,281,449 | 886,992.767 |
| Buildings/depreciable assets (net). | n.a. | 113,124,969 | 239,139,823 | 367,270,152 | 310,846,376 | 485,402,717 |
| Inventories, end of year | n.a. | 11,985,431 | 33,218,272 | 59,649,950 | 100,728,688 |  |
| Land | n.a. | 36,731,958 | 70,241,248 | 76,336,446 | 84,820,308 | 98,427,459 |
| Total liabilit | n.a. | 193,875,629 | 488,734,023 | 580,033,757 | 701,630,766 | 886,992,774 |
| Accounts payable | п.a. | 12,302,055 | 33,899,048 | 29,092,451 | 37,254,748 | 34,724,772 |
| Short-term debt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | n.a. | 22,709,476 | 48,001,839 | 55,691,914 | 73,277,805 | 67,294,519 |
| Long-term debt ${ }^{3}$ | n. | 136,296,764 | 178,044,406 | 196,508,937 | 236,218,378 | 268,268,458 |
| Nonrecourse loans | n.a. | n.a. | 118,910,380 | 138,134,304 | 154,508,961 | 194,828,542 |
| Partners' capital accounts | n.a | 41,592,672 | 108,769,900 | 135,198,969 | 143,650,646 | 141,839,683 |
| Total receipts | 93,348,080 | 148,417,529 | 291,998,115 | 272,129,807 | 296,690,303 | 291,318,703 |
| Business receipt | 90,208,834 | 142,505,781 | 271,108,832 | 230,027,336 | 251,608,987 | 243,248,370 |
| Interest receive | 942,304 | 2,477,173 | 10,869,323 | 13,772,559 | 15,259,801 | 15,006,055 |
| Total deductions ${ }^{4}$ | 83,557,684 | 140,679,959 | 283,749,460 | 274,864,704 | 304,004,833 | 298,928,744 |
| Cost of goods sold/operations | 46,040,874 | 64,672,843 | 113,885,668 | 130,043,609 | 144,595,111 | 125,330,745 |
| Purchases | 31,820,581 | 42,608,734 | 70,439,607 | 92,136,914 | 96,111.197 | n.a. |
| Cost of labor | 4,146,927 | 4,585,836 | 7,015,547 | 5,835,683 | 7,183,865 | n.a. |
| Salaries and wage | 8,129,233 | 12,489,039 | 22,336,337 | 21,136,914 | 23,204,883 | 24,733,780 |
| Taxes paid | 3,159,258 | 5,770,918 | 9,553,145 | 5,040,336 | 5,288,971 | 5,909,545 |
| Interest paid | 4,470,206 | 12,097,100 | 28,362,385 | 19,586,018 | 21,517,044 | 22,364,264 |
| Depreciation ${ }^{4}$ | 4,578,820 | 10,108,834 | 21,576,189 | 27,263,184 | 32,361,640 | 37,340,062 |
| Net income (less loss) | 9,790,396 | 7,737,570 | 8,248,655 | -2,734,897 | -7,314,587 | -2,610,041 |
| Net income, businesses w/profit | 14,419,124 | 22,431,931 | 45,061,756 | 50,567,190 | 53,556,856 | 60,308,114 |
| Net loss, businesses w/o profit | 4,628,728 | 14,694,361 | 36,813,100 | 53,302,086 | 60,871,442 | 62,918,155 |

Table 6.—Number of Business Income Tax Returns, by Size of Receipts and Assets, for Selected Years, 1970-1983 [All figures are estimates based on samples - number of businesses are in thousands]


[^12]Table 7.-Corporation Income Tax Returns: Selected Balance Sheet, Income Statement, and Tax Items by Industrial Division for Selected Years, 1970-1982
[All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Industrial division and items | 1970 | 1975 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| AGR IOULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, total | 37,283 | 56,280 | 76,643 | 80,883 | 85,370 | 91,320 |
| Number with net incone | 19,843 | 33,328 | 46,683 | 43,827 | 44,948 | 47,858 |
| Total assets | 11,909,403 | 21,177,941 | 36,265,804 | 40,738,977 | 46,081,067 | 50,409,537 |
| Total liabilities | 7,897,335 | 14,332,992 | 24,775,572 | 29,278,042 | 32,492,648 | 36,289,615 |
| Total receipts | 14,277,707 | 28,118,514 | 50,986,876 | 52,089,915 | 65,061,959 | 65,356,911. |
| Business receipts | 13,591,763 | 26,624,149 | 48,367,354 | 48,850,056 | 60,907,045 | 60,643,550 |
| Interest received | 69,742 | 171,732 | 349,252 | 476,654 | 751,553 | 758,699 |
| Total deductions | 14,209,713 | 27,369,286 | 49,751,856 | 51,418,280 | 64,735,599 | 65,442,003 |
| Cost of sales and op | 10,555,539 | 19,738,447 | 36,226,280 | 35,798,332 | 45,552,234 | 43,222,379 |
| Interest paid | 356,225 | 797,420 | 1,592,102 | 2,184,441 | 2,738,463 | 3,048,844 |
| Net income (less loss) | 65,295 | 746,908 | 1,239,718 | 673,158 | 328,308 | -86,418 |
| Net income, businesses w/prof | 493,400 | 1,493,168 | 2,469,480 | 2,464,381 | 2,551,988 | 2,528,479 |
| Deficit, businesses w/o profit | 428,105 | 746,260 | 1, 229,762 | 1,791,222 | 2,223,679 | 2,614,897 |
| Income tax before credits ... | 113,115 | 351,059 | 501,397 | 533,768 | 543,280 | 490,228 |
| Total income tax after credits | 107,023 | 294,584 | 365,106 | 422,282 | 414,448 | 375,422 |
| Distributions to stockholders except in own stock .......... | 65,824 | 244,524 | 326,037 | 304,733 | 512,027 | 409,070 |
| MINING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, t | 14,465 | 14,242 | 24,296 | 25,576 | 33,363 | 36,676 |
| Number with net income | 7,303 | 8,297 | 11,259 | 12,698 | 17,634 | 15,950 |
| Total assets | 23,972,812 | 64,505,341 | 115,530,163 | 126,947,880 | 168,908,241 | 192,380,473 |
| Total liabilitie | 10,590,991 | 31,739,651 | 64,248,721 | 72,879,732 | 98,442,207 | 112,503,993 |
| Total receipts | 17,747,750 | 65,909,994 | 132,926,563 | 176,672,390 | 200,194,751 | 203,098,557 |
| Business receipts | 16,699,586 | 63,670,496 | 127,833,110 | 167,397,918 | 189,552,446 | 191,152,749 |
| Interest received | 176,728 | 522,757 | 959,329 | 1,301,266 | 2,432,908 | 2,695,216 |
| Total deductions | 15,927,348 | 42,348,765 | 89,992,366 | 169,051,624 | 195,021,576 | 203,045,736 |
| Cost of sales and ope | 9,955,600 | 30,171,612 | 60, 477, 876 | 116,989,880 | 150, 193,394 | 151,561,066 |
| - Interest paid | 388,032 | 1,166,182 | 2,769,022 | 3,440,080 | 6,051,461 | 7,623,777 |
| Net income (less loss) | 1,834,315 | 23,574,833 | 43,063,340 | 7,750,561 | 5,620,746 | 543,578 |
| Net income, businesses w/prof | 2,399,507 | 24,347, 893 | 44,911,264 | 10,133,685 | 10,611,609 | 8,429,100 |
| Deficit, businesses w/o profit | 565,192 | 773,060 | 1,571,455 | 2,383,124 | 4,990,863 | 7,885,522 |
| Income tax before credits ... | 1,031,550 | 11,361,037 | 20,098,354 | 3,947,569 | 4,119,612 | 3,203,406 |
| Total income tax after credits Distributions to stockholders | 342,928 | 1,051,138 | 1,212,267 | 1,672,492 | 1,685,491 | 1,044,556 |
| except in own stock | 1,177,550 | 1,015,895 | 3,215,842 | 4,757,780 | 3,278,771 | 3,926,230 |
| CONSTRUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, total | 138,905 | 191,219 | 259,213 | 272,432 | 276,395 | 282,345 |
| Number with net income | 82,078 | 108,852 | 162,732 | 150,368 | 145,206 | 138,783 |
| Total assets | 42,719,792 | 76,691,947 | 125,420,947 | 132,939,026 | 150,764,144 | 153,085,046 |
| Total liabiliti | 30,900,188 | 57,662,870 | 95,369,236 | 100,112,852 | 113,695,252 | 1.14,150,550 |
| Total receipts .. | 90,610,644 | 146,955,117 | 258,723,850 | 267,205,356 | 280,172,375 | 281,747,868 |
| Business receipts | 88,945,385 | 143,412,715 | 252,702,613 | 260,387,692 | 270,543,236 | 271,633,721 |
| Interest received | 219,698 | 614,583 | 1,394,177 | 2,073,650 | 3,122,737 | 3,137,599 |
| Total deductions | 89,070,022 | 144,717,309 | 252,709,644 | 262,116,275 | 276,744,601 | 279,555,128 |
| Cost of sales and ope | 73,434,969 | 116,845,554 | 204,421,609 | 208,064,925 | 214,612,975 | 212,698,363 |
| Interest paid | 711,496 | 1,973,244 | 3,536,998 | 4,278,502 | 5,318,285 | 5,455,056 |
| Net income (less loss) | 1,538,418 | 2,236,262 | 6,136,913 | 5,271,209 | 3,455,058 | 2,323,952 |
| Net income, businesses w/pr | 2,548,013 | 4,514,864 | 9,117,112 | 8,911,143 | 8,295,947 | 8,106,061 |
| Deficit, businesses w/o p | 1,009,595 | 2,278,602 | 2,980,199 | 3,639,934 | 4,840,889 | 5,782,109 |
| Income tax before credits <br> Total income tax after credits | 776,979 756,637 | $1,320,196$ $1,131,960$ | 2,550,908 | 2,521,507 | 2,316,708 | 2,069,718 |
| Distributions to stockholders |  |  |  | 1,973,614 | 1,868,113 | 1,578,992 |
| except in own stock | 299,204 | 464,553 | 697,637 | 793,764 | 889,557 | 916,690 |
| MANUFACIURING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, total | 197,807 | 217,354 | 241,795 | 242,550 | 251,294 | 259,106 |
| Number with net income | 120,814 | 136,839 | 164,605 | 153,640 | 149,964 | 146,415 |
| Total assets | 612,912,516 | 944,581,970 | 1,533,494,376 | 1,709,471,700 | 1,933,710,383 | 2,060,710,683 |
| Total liabilities | 303,989,223 | 501,994,296 | 856,041,068 | 960,284,926 | 1,085,493,079 | 1,163,839,539 |
| Total receipts | 722,952,890 | 1,296,359,650 | 2,166,399,886 | 2,404,323,844 | 2,613,512,581 | 2,488,331,915 |
| Business receipts | 700,090,661 | 1,258,338,650 | 2,086,220,228 | 2,301,056,550 | 2,487,695,859 | 2,357,973,059 |
| Interest received | 4,748,499 | 8,691,092 | 21,355,133 | 28,315,784 | 38,848;407 | 38,134,480 |
| Total deductions | 692,455,462 | 1,230,689,496 | 2,045,448,376 | 2,290,593,808 | 2,509,134,569 | 2,423,254,936 |
| Cost of sales and operation Interest paid ............ | 495,879,549 | 925,111,030 | 1,533,327,048 | 1,707,143,900 | 1,822,985,043 | 1,660,537,002 |
| Interest paid ...... | 12,570,242 | 22,055,903 | 41,587,856 | 54,177,356 | 73,084,336 | 79,322,435 |
| Net income (less loss) ............ Net income, businesses w/profit | 31,846,078 | 68,406,627 | 130,791,918 | 125,667,815 | 116,775,393 | 75,791,264 |
| Net income, businesses $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{profit}$ Deficit, businesses w/o profit | 37,925,489 | 74,466,554 | 140,223,449 | 141,547,510 | 138,215,539 | 108,170,744 |
| Deficit, businesses w/o profit Income tax before credits | 6,079,411 | 6,059,927 | 9,43],531 | 15,879,695 | 21,440,146 | 32,379,481 |
| Income tax before credits ... | 16,744,905 | 32,306,739 | 58,668,112 | 59,577,413 | 58,820,480 | 45,015,096 |
| Total income tax after credits ${ }^{1}$ Distributions to stockhol ders | 13,242,226 | 21,024,964 | 35,059,349 | 32,701,861 | 30,115,496 | 26,616,529 |
| except in own stock ......... | 14,616,282 | 19,973,061 | 38,321,486 | 37,306,509 | 41,434,134 | 43,912,134 |

Table 7. (Continued)—Corporation Income Tax Returns: Selected Balance Sheet, Income Statement, and Tax Items by Industrial Division for Selected Years, 1970-1982
[All figures are estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Industrial division and items | 1970 | 1975 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, total | 67,3 | 80,701 | 106,824 | 111,324 | 109,127 | 115,470 |
| Number with net income | 38,204 | 45,360 | 61,583 | 62,232 | 56,480 | 59,793 |
| Total assets | 287,740,207 | 443,236,797 | 676,186,972 | 758,364,400 | 837,300,816 | 919,861,069 |
| Total liabiliti | 166,535,185 | 266,792,390 | 411,531,388 | 467,708,707 | 516,438,230 | 566,980,444 |
| Total receipts | 135,495,271 | 243,480,637 | 448,140,811 | 523,807,396 | 598,507,994 | 632,294,442 |
| Business receip | 131,463,171 | 234,689,427 | 434,427,319 | 507,372,820 | 575,602,089 | 606,039,657 |
| Interest receive | 930,266 | 1,520,913 | 4,067,665 | 5,760,072 | 8,048,746 | 9,138,892 |
| Total deductions | 127,931,131 | 233,409,166 | 429,849,123 | 503,954,285 | 579,172,068 | 614,397,320 |
| Cost of sales and | 77,743,359 | 143,932,463 | 284,041,578 | 336,868,172 | 358,354,512 | 338,796,961 |
| Interest paid | 7,364,200 | 13,761,062 | 21,631,167 | 27,638,591 | 34,085,384 | 36,839,460 |
| Net income (less loss) | 7,543,718 | 10,099,571 | 18,462,903 | 20,046,155 | 19,573,717 | 18,335,959 |
| Net income, businesses w/profit. | 9,471,595 | 12,088,189 | 22,058,598 | 24,917,293 | 25,739,287 | 27,378,633 |
| Deficit, businesses w/o prof | 1,927,877 | 1,988,618 | 3,595,695 | 4,871,138 | 6,165,570 | 9,042,673 |
| Income tax before credits | 4,342,334 | 5,107,158 | 9,115,461 | 10,532,722 | 10,481,203 | 11,356,073 |
| Total income tax after credits | 4,036,650 | 2,836,470 | 4,834,026 | 5,322,990 | 5,065,529 | 4,925,936 |
| Distributions to stockholders except in own stock .......... | 5,837,565 | 8,900,353 | 15,275,029 | 17,329,807 | 19,622,705 | 21,438,500 |
| WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, total Number with net income | 518,062 339,987 | 614,632 399,668 | 776,661 502,947 | 799,628 487,300 | 816,836 476,877 | 468,108 |
| Total assets | 192,181,800 | 323,496,726 | 573,310,389 | 646,901,005 | 708,060,408 | 753,351,132 |
| Total liabilitie | 115,179,668 | 200,846,992 | 376,719,434 | 424,611,318 | 472,256,895 | 501,934,034 |
| Total receipts | 522,547,923 | 969,938,872 | 1,750,559,063 | 1,955,523,778 | 2,039,628,384 | 2,017,701,364 |
| Business receipts | 511,316,883 | 951,463,550 | 1,711,773,627 | 1,919,347,689 | 1,997,262,710 | 1,972,305,356 |
| Interest received | 1,291,906 | 3,857,318 | 8,243,949 | 10,503,989 | 14,319,277 | 14,373,589 |
| Total deductions | 512,910,193 | 947,511,780 | 1,711,496,089 | 1,919,454,218 | 2,006,891,471 | 1,989,739,286 |
| Cost of sales an | 392,391,856 | 745,299,204 | 1,361,550,632 | 1,538,128,634 | 1,594,256,679 | 1,556,263,179 |
| Interest paid | 4,309,663 | 8,587,173 | 19,931,751 | 25,645,855 | 30,997,865 | 30,429, 310 |
| Net income (less loss) | 9,671,044 | 22,489,430 | 40,242,601 | 38,309,671 | 33, 320,403 | 28,442,678 |
| Net income, businesses | 12,395,411 | 27,681,721 | 48,246,345 | 49,426,500 | 47,657,374 | 45,747,936 |
| Deficit, businesses w/o | 2,724,367 | 5,192,291 | 8,003,744 | 11,116,829 | 14,336,971 | 17,305,258 |
| Income tax before credits | 4,476,047 | 8,103,316 | 13,313,992 | 13,515,653 | 12, 3282,212 | $11,372,087$ $9,379,328$ |
| Total income tax after credits ${ }^{1}$ | 4,237,181 | 7,348,619 | 10,772,309 | 10,550,255 | 10,282,598 | 9,379,328 |
| Distributions to stockholders except in own stock ......... | 2,068,501 | 5,029,897 | 8,821,280 | 10,343,087 | 10,034,841 | 12,069,015 |
| FINANCE, INSURANCE AND REAL ESTATE Number of returns, total ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, total Number with net income | 406,235 | 411,846 243,409 | 471,227 281,195 | $\begin{aligned} & 493,426 \\ & 273,853 \end{aligned}$ | 469,795 258,622 | 461,630 |
| Number with ne | 1,401,153,520 | 2,321,965,956 | 3,630,045,296 | 4,022,206,073 | 4,486,191,441 | 4,987,466,401 |
| Total liabilitie | 1,204,673,072 | 2,052,195,429 | 3,187,436,102 | 3,491,664,756 | 3,830,001,863 | 4,220,527,191 |
| Total receipts | 177,321,173 | 315,795,981 | 560,968,442 | 697,460,846 | 877,808,946 | 949,867,877 |
| Business receip | 92,091,887 | 157,126,715 | 254,722,667 | 256,892,475 | 330,631,846 | 337,493,098 |
| Interest received | 63,694,046 | 127,040,303 | 250,979,245 | 315,146,115 | 421,159,015 | 456,333,537 |
| Total deductions | 161,630,060 | 297,963,817 | 514,086,140 | 652,637,787 | 838,764,803 | 915,164,762 |
| Cost of sales and or | 48,434,362 | 84,614,209 | 123,367,688 | 129,644,330 | 162,447,596 | 169,435,694 |
| Interest paid | 34,548,509 | 77,677,659 | 164,365,541 | 219,167,684 | 314,128,780 | $340,960,344$ 21,804,088 |
| Net income (less loss) | 12,214,079 | 11,663,330 | 37,011,262 | 33,122,792 | $26,346,494$ $53,018,005$ | 21,804,088 |
| Net income, businesses w/profit. | 15,081,939 | 18,825,003 | $43,275,518$ $6,264,256$ | $46,040,390$ $12,917,599$ | $53,018,005$ $26,671,510$ | 57,745,075 $35,940,986$ |
| Deficit, businesses w/o profit.. Income tax before credits | $2,867,860$ $4,404,449$ | $7,161,673$ $5,558,647$ | 6,264,256 | $12,917,599$ $9,680,755$ | 26,671,510 $7,968,422$ | 35,943,681 |
| Total income tax after credits ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | $4,150,009$ | 4,673,705 | 9,601,617 | 7,698,134 | 6,037,489 | 5,497,997 |
| Distributions to stockholders except in own stock .............. | 7,387,211 | 8,729,977 | 18,348,769 | 24,692,146 | 41,998,295 | 46,504,963 |
| SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of returns, total Number with net income | $\begin{aligned} & 281,218 \\ & 150,525 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 435,672 \\ & 249,641 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 609,103 \\ & 364,156 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 671,338 \\ 408,716 \end{array}$ | $441,100$ | 819,726 49 |
| Total assets | 61,875,140 | 90,534,067 | 153,219,483 | 178,163,737 | 213,724,531 | 237,876,895 |
| Total liabilitie | 42,346,078 | 63,678,693 | 108,132,469 | 125,298,224 | 152,673,683 | 170,091,510 |
| Total receipts | 69,572,626 | 131,377,364 | 244,542,893 | 279,883,187 | 346,846,723 | 380,767,394 |
| Business receipts | 66,459,515 | 125,747,462 | 234,497,897 | 266,088,619 | 328,053,889 | 355,090,610 |
| Interest received | 435,070 | 875,506 | 2,196,467 | 3,269,412 | 4,696,008 | 5,120,807 |
| Total deductions | 68,384,452 | 127,996,443 | 236,359,966 | 271,792,974 | 338,790,049 | 373,717,841 |
| Cost of sales and op | 37,733,747 | 63,724,869 | 117,057,522 | 129,352,692 | 157,886,393 | 135,273,962 |
| Interest paid | 1,802,802 | 3,279,438 | 6,082,021 | 8,033,612 | 10,472,892 | 11,265,412 |
| Net income (less loss) | 1,198,703 | 3,396,744 | 8,252,805 | 8,193,903 | 8,197,916 | 7,199,258 |
| Net income, businesses w/profit. | 3,384,869 | 6,025,592 | 12,060,033 | 13,246,601 | 15,182,552 | 16,061,222 |
| Deficit, businesses w/o profit.. | 2,186,166 | 2,628,848 | 3,807,228 | 5,052,698 | 6,984,635 | 8,861,964 |
| Income tax before credits ....... | 1,058,264 | $1,625,093$ $1,323,637$ | $3,276,011$ $2,419,382$ |  |  | 3,885,618 2,617,390 |
| Total income tax after credits ${ }^{1} \ldots$ Distributions to stockholders | 1,003,130 | 1,323,637 | 2,419,382 | 2,613,888 | 2,943,149 | 2,617,39 |
| except in own stock ......... | 558,452 | 855,402 | 1,816,177 | 1,841,945 | 2,491,324 | 3,269,285 |

Table 8.-Corporation Income Tax Returns: Selected Balance Sheet, Income Statement, and Tax Items for Selected Years, 1970-1982
[All figures àre estimates based on samples-money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Item | 1970 | 1975 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Number of returns, total | 1,665,477 | 2,023,647 | 2,577,801 | 2,710,538 | 2,812,420 | 2,925,933 |
| Number with net income | 1,008,337 | 1,226,208 | 1,599,322 | 1,596,632 | 1,597,298 | 1,608,363 |
| Small Bus iness Corp. returns | 257,475 | 358,413 | 518,550 | 545,389 | 541,489 | 564,219 |
| DISC returns | N/A | 6,431 | 8,066 | 8,665 | 9,408 | 9,663 |
| Totài assets | 2,634,706,564 | 4,286,556,273 | 6,844,891,231 | 7,617,238,403 | 8,547,161,872 | 9,357,784,804 |
| Notes and acc'tsoreceivable | 614,667,376 | 1,051,542,806 | 1,817,469,863 | 1,984,601,790 | 2,239,832,960 | 2,420,475,398 |
| Inventories | 190,401,642 | 317,718,545 | 504,315,590 | 534,806,547 | 588,219,956 | 581,241,455 |
| Investments in Gov't obligations | 196,625,390 | 316,131,699 | 421,441,738 | 472,059,737 | 514,837,697 | 605,513,662 |
| Net capital assets, except land ${ }^{1}$ | 552,838,384 | 825,107,002 | 1,264,872,322 | 1,418,605,742 | 1,591,843,868 | 1,761,860,557 |
| Total liabilities | 1,882,295,401 | 3,189,491,468 | 5,125,337,041 | 5,672,850,147 | 6,303,22.1,090 | 6,888,211,820 |
| Accounts payable | 148,812,597 | 263,417,584 | 482,558,295 | 542,172,368 | 619,969,292 | 678,630,282 |
| Short-term debt ${ }^{2}$ | 170,884, 261 | 272,123,551 | 452,958,194 | 504,802,288 | 585,947,678 | 667,060,956 |
| Long-term debt ${ }^{2}$ | 362,700,303 | 586,703,526 | 885,515,693 | 986,663,932 | 1,058,070,877 | 1,224,277,725 |
| Net worth | 752,411, 163 | 1,097,064,806 | 1,719,554,190 | 1,944,388,256 | 2,243,940,782 | 2,469,572,984 |
| Total receipts | 1,750,776,503 | 3,198,627,860 | 5,615,625,519 | 6,361, 284,012 | 7,026,351,839 | 7,024,097,766 |
| Bus iness receipts ..... | 1,620,885,576 | 2,961,729,640 | 5,152,613,019 | 5,731,616,337 | 6,244,678,064 | 6,156,994,009 |
| Interest on Gov't obliga | 9,687,116 | 17,264,405 | 30,420,365 | 38,061,592 | 50,519,552 | n.a. |
| State and Local .. | 3,775,917 | 6,711,606 | 10,878,916 | 12,620,876 | 13,881,460 | 14,124,877 |
| United States | 5,911,199 | 10,552,799 | 19,541,449 | 25,440,716 | 36,638,092 | 515,628,874 |
| Other interest. | 61,883,309 | 126,034,505 | 259,146,298 | 328,802,958 | 442,918, 194 | 515,628,874 |
| Rents and royalties ................. . | 16,524,889 | 26,932,271 | 40,303,671. | 53,821,391 | 67,522,636 | 83,051,513 |
| Net short-term capital gain less net long-term capital loss ........ Net long-term capital gain less | 190,439 | 301,601. | .1,209,842 | 2,013,510 | 2,178,572 | 2,882,207 |
| Net long-term capital gain less net short-term capital loss ........ | 5,481,580 | 8,364,523 | 20,005,538 | - $24,910,957$ | - $29,064,630$ | 26,318,184 |
| Net gain, sales of noncap. assets .. | 5,315,562 | 7,757,287 | 15,397,176 | 20,117,615 | 16,639,271 | 20,992,023 |
| Dividends received from domestic corporations | 5,238,421 | 8,818,282 | 16,863,766 | 18,654,800 | 17,442,112 | 18,155,559 |
| Dividends received from foreign corporations. | 3,466,515 | 5,467,726 | 12,715,084 | 14,563,353 | 13,790,320 | 13,950,906 |
| Total deductions ...... | 1,682,778,847 | 3,052,674,597 | 5,331,970,825 | 6,125, 365,155 | 6,813,841,356 | 6,869, 267,462 |
| Cost of sales and operations | 1,146,263,273 | 2,129,928,467 | 3,721,782,971 | 4,204,905,905 | 4,509,198,199 | 4,270,850,310 |
| Bad debts | 6,479,814 | 13,781,147 | 17,486,107 | 18,769,771 | 22,286,815 | 26,690,963 |
| Taxes paid | 49,523,243 | 81,530,302 | 128,172,063 | 163,003,622 | 170,470,926 | 165,888,353 |
| Interest paid | 62,055,010 | 129,307,921 | 261,530,850 | 344,612,542 | 476,964,684 | 515,032,667 |
| Contributions or gifts | 797,029 | 1,202,130 | 2,294,755 | 2,358,554 | 2,514,425 | 2,906,476 |
| Depreciation | 52,941,266 | 86,295,664 | 138,490,396 | 157,345,828 | 186,195,048 | 213,179,160 |
| Depletion ............................ | 5,623,339 | 5,341,489 | 7,828,973 | 8,871,993 | 7,929,396 | 7,021,176 |
| Pension, profit-sharing, stock bonus, and annuity plans .......... | 12,225,912 | 26,526,129 | 46,583,431 | 51,529,310 | 52,952,583 | 54,232,011 |
| Net loss, sales of noncap. assets .. | 1,289,305 | 1,804,079 | 4,074,858 | 5,903,104 | 7,943,607 | 10,367,020 |
| Net income (less loss) | 65,901,614 | 142,636,826 | 285,300,630 | 239,006,542 | 213,648,962 | 154,334,143 |
| Net income, businesses w/profit | 83,710,924 | 169,483,336 | 322,517,550 | 296,,787,201 | 301,440,778 | 274,352,942 |
| Net loss, businesses w/o profit | 17,809,310 | 26,846,510 | 37,216,920 | 57,780,659 | 87,791,816 | 120,018,799 |
| Income subject to tax | 72,374,437 | 146,589,287 | 280,155, 155 | 246,598,486 | 240,422,626 | 205,175,407 |
| Income tax before credits ${ }^{3}$ | 32,949,937 | 65,769,822 | 119,157,964 | 103,831,172 | 100,644,417 | 85,077,493 |
| Tax credits, total | 5,414,940 | 26,452,791 | 54,229,274 | 42,167,741 | 43,813, 131 | 39,694,245 |
| Foreign tax credit | 4,548,986 | 19,987,724 | 36,828,057 | 24,861,315 | 21,828,686 | 19,137,201 |
| Possessions tax credit | N/A | N/A | 1,376,124 | 1,565,681 | 1,945,637 | 2,026,980 |
| Investment credit | 865,954 | 6,459,746 | 14,678,306 | 15,102,812 | 18,887, 286 | 17,312,702 |
| Jobs credit ... | N/A | N/A | 1,318,837 | 601,444 | 472,895 | 327,285 |
| Research credit ........ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 639,302 | 839,220 |
| Income tax after credits ${ }^{3}$......... | 27,534,997 | 39,317,031 | 64,928,690 | 61,663,431 | 56,831,286 | 45,383,248 |
| Additional tax for tax preferences | 265,249 | 156,740 | 433,649 | 438,820 | 524,851 | 478,457 |
| Total income tax | 27,878,078 | 39,691,517 | 66,120,672 | 62,974,695 | 58;444,720 | 47,071,909 |
| Distributions to stockholders, except in own stock .......... | 32,012,677 | 45,224,392 | 86,833,911 | 97,378,617 | 120,295,338 | 132,478,411 |

See notes following Table 13.

Table 9.-Gross Internal Revenue Collections: Amount Collected by Quarter and Fiscal Year, 1981-1985 [Money amounts are in millions of dollars]

| Quarter and fiscal year | Amount collected by type of return |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Individual income taxes | Corporation income taxes | Excise taxes | Employment taxes | Estate and gitt taxes |
| FISCAL YEAR 1981 TOTAL October 1980 - December 1980 January 1981 - March 1981 April 1981 - June 1981 July 1981 - September 1981 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|  | 606,799 | 332,850 | 73,733 | 40,420 | 152,886 | 6,910 |
|  | 118,804 | 67,081 | 14,527 | 7,305 | 28,193 | 1,698 |
|  | 143,899 | 77,467 | 14,844 | 10,082 | 39,878 | 1,628 |
|  | 196,970 | 108,600 | 29,204 | 11,963 | 45,510 | 1,692 |
|  | 147,126 | 79,702 | 15,158 | 11,069 | 39,304 | 1,893 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1982 TOTAL $\qquad$ <br> October 1981 - December 1981 $\qquad$ <br> January 1982 - March 1982 $\qquad$ <br> April 1982 - June 1982 <br> July 1982 - September 1982 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 632,241 | 352,609 | 65,991 | 36,779 | 168,718 | 8,143 |
|  | 137,570 | 71,526 | 15,898 | 10,577 | 37,654 | 1,915 |
|  | 154,128 | 85,930 | 14,722 | 9,426 | 41,751 | 2,299 |
|  | 196,506 | 113,852 | 23,115 | 8,389 | 49,165 | 1,986 |
|  | 144,036 | 81,301 | 12,256 | 8,387 | 40,148 | 1,943 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1983 TOTAL <br> Oc tober 1982 - December 1982 ........ <br> January 1983 - March 1983 $\qquad$ <br> April 1983 - June 1983 $\qquad$ | 627,247 | 349,628 | 61,780 | 35,766 | 173,848 | 6,226 |
|  | 132,205 | 70,312 | 13,404 | 8,498 | 38,404 | 1,588 |
|  | 150,019 | 86,853 | 11,494 | 8,222 | 41,930 | 1,519 |
|  | 194,431 | 111,721 | 22,027 | 8,947 | 50,219 | 1,516 |
|  | 150,591 | 80,742 | 14,855 | 10,097 | 43,294 | 1,602 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1984 TUTAL <br> Oc tober 1983 - December 1983 ........ <br> January 1984 - March 1984 $\qquad$ <br> April 1984 - June 1984 <br> $9844 . . .$. | 680,475 | 362,892 | 74,179 | 38,017 | 199,210 | 6,177 |
|  | 141,849 | 73,375 | 16,208 | 9,654 | 41,132 | 1,476 |
|  | 164,681 | 89,316 | 14,337 | 8,862 | 50,545 | 1,622 |
|  | 208,814 | 114,525 | 25,990 | 9,680 | 57,061 | 1,558 |
|  | 165,131 | 85,672 | 17,644 | 9,822 | 50,472 | 1,521 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 1984 - December 1984 | 155,919 | 79,775 | 17,546 | 9,732 | 47,286 | 1,580 |
| January 1985 - March 1985 | 176,324 | 89,325 | 17,350 | 8,650 | 59,352 | 1,647 |
| April 1985 - June 1985 | 233,946 | 136,141 | 24,843 | 9,013 | 62,313 | 1,636 |

See notes following Table 13.

Table 10.—Internal Revenue Refunds: Amounts Refunded by Quarter and Fiscal Year, 1981-1985 [Money amounts are in millions of dollars]

| Quarter and fiscal year | Amount refunded by type of return |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Individual <br> income raxes | Corporation income taxes | Excise taxes | Employment taxes | Estate and gift taxes |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| FISCAL YEAR 1981 TOTAL | 63,303 | 48,409 | 13,294 | 343 | 1,114 | 143 |
| October 1980 - December 1980 | 4,215 | 971 | 3,047 | 49 | 116 | 32 |
| January 1981 - March 1981 | 21,988 | 18,285 | 3,687 | 76 | -99 | 39 |
| Apri1 1981 - June 1981 .. | 30,352 | 26,027 | 3,160 | 163 | 967 | 34 |
| July 1981 - September 1981 | 6,749 | 3,125 | 3,400 | 55 | 128 | 40 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1982 TOTAL | 75,202 | 55,102 | 17,974 | 367 | 1,578 | 181 |
| October 1981 - December 1981 | 5,530 | 1,347 | 3,920 | 42 | 185 | 37 |
| January 1982 - March 1982 ... | 24,024 | 19,338 | 4,197 | 76 | 377 | 36 |
| April 1982 - June 1982 .... | 35,793 | 30,481 | 4,298 | 163 | 796 | 54 |
| July 1982 - September 1982 | 9,855 | 3,936 | 5,559 | 86 | 220 | 53 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1983 TOTAL | 89,761 | 61,198 | 26,012 | 493 | 1,849 | 208 |
| October 1982 - December 1982 | 9,411 | 1,875 | 7,030 | 58 | 397 | 52 |
| January 1983 - March 1983 ... | 23,928 | 16,548 | 6,838 | 108 | 372 | 62 |
| April 1983 - June 1983 .... | 46,715 | 38,341 | 7,421 | 134 | 721 | 38 |
| July 1983 ~ September 1983 | 9,705 | 4,434 | 4,723 | 133 | 359 | 56 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1984 TOTAL | 85,872 | 64,629 | 17,889 | 657 | 2,486 | 211 |
| October 1983 - December 1983 | 7,201 | 2,064 | 4,548 | 195 | 325 | 69 |
| January 1984 - March 1984. | 25,285 | 19,613 | 4,850 | 129 | 646 | 47 |
| April 1984 - June $1984 .$. | 44,859 | 38,161 | 5,452 | 230 | 968 | 47 |
| July 1984 - September 1984 | 8,527 | 4,790 | 3,039 | 103 | 547 | 48 |
| FISCAL YEAR 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oc tober 1984 - December 1984 | 6,729 | 2,246 | 4,177 | 122 | 138 | 46 |
| January 1985 - March 1985 .. | 17,664 | 12,808 | 4,286 | 91 | 439 | 40 |
| April 1985 - June $1985 . .$. | 52,376 | 46,370 | 4,701 | 556 | 702 | 47 |

Table 11.-Classes of Excise Taxes by Selected Fiscal Year, 1970-1985 [Money amounts are in thousands of dollars]

| Selected class of tax | Taxes collected by fiscal year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| ALCOHOL TAXES, TOTAL | 4,746,382 | 5,350;858 | 5,704,768 | 5,459,810 | 5,634,853 | 5,402,467 |
| Distilled spirits | 3,501,538 | 3,865,162 | 3,945,377 | 3,634,519 | 3,798,148 | 3,566,482 |
| Wine | 163,337 | 177,113 | 211,538 | 218,987 | 239,329 | 319,920 |
| Beer | 1,081,507 | 1,308,583 | 1,547,853 | 1,606,303 | 1,597,375 | 1,516,064 |
| TOBACCO TAXES, TOTAL | 2,094,212 | 2,315,090 | 2,446,416 | 2,539,495 | 4,139,810 | 4,663,610 |
| Cigarettes | 2,036,101 | 2,261,116 | 2,402,857 | 2,499,046 | 4,099,226 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,623,288 \\ & 4,63 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cigars | 56,834 | 51,226 | -39,500 | 2, 35,666 | 33,716 | $30,372$ |
| MANUFACTURERS EXCISE TAXES, TOTAL - | 6,683,061 | 5,516,611 | 6,487,421 | 6,382,900 | 6,776,023 | 10,097,242 |
| Gasoline and lubricating oil ${ }^{1} \ldots$ | 3,517,586 | 4,071,465 | 4,326,549 | 4,320,856 | 4,953,267 | 9,020,413 |
| Tires, tubes and tread rubber ${ }^{2}$.. | 614,795 | 697,660 | -682,624 | 668,902 | 677,966 | -423,315 |
| Notor vehicles, bodies, parts ${ }^{3}$.. | 1,753,327 | 662,556 | 1,088,696 | 914,524 | 516,872 | -14,777 |
| Recreational products Black Lung taxes | 53,427 | 84,946 | 136,521 | 158,054 | 132,672 | 132,448 |
| SPECIAL FUELS, AND RETAILERS TAXES, TOTAL ${ }^{4}$ | 257,820 | 404,187 | 560,144 | 587,486 |  |  |
| Diesel and special motor fuels | 257,712 | 370,489 | 512,718 |  | 742,380 | 2,019,570 |
| Trucks and buses. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 742,380 | $1,571,437$ 932,645 |
| MISCELLANEOUS EXCISE TAXES, TOTAL | 2,084,730 | 3,306,077 | 6,359,198 | 19,773,803 | 19,228,685 | 13,290,186 |
| Telephone and teletype ${ }^{5}$ | 1,469,562 | 2,023,744 | 1,117,834 | 998,503 | 1,048,317 | 2,434,965 |
| Air transportation | 250,802 | 850,567 | 1,748,837 | 1,326,829 | 1,898,786 | 2,456,712 |
| Highway use tax . 6 | 135,086 | 207,663 | 263,272 | 266,225 | 287,457 | 175,054 |
| Foreign insurance ${ }^{6}$.............. | 8,614 | 19,458 | 74,630 | 74,882 | -44,440 | 56,037 |
| investment income... | N/A | 63,828 | 65,280 | 84,045 | 112,380 | 146,806 |
| Crude oil windfall profit | N/A | N/A | 3,051,719 | 16,930,548 | 15,660,081 | 8,120,274 |
| Environmental taxes (Superfund) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 61,264 | 235,954 | 275,389 |


| Selected class of tax | Fiscal year quarter ending |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 1984 | June 1984 | Sept. 1984 | Dec. 1984 | Mar. 1985 | June 1985 (PreTiminary) |
|  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| ALCOHOL TAXES, TOTAL | 1,360,213 | 1,349,855 | 1,441,480 | 1,298,724 | 1,239,795 | 1,238,983 |
| Distilled spirits | 925,751 | 880, 320 | 867,837 | 888,691 | 859,709 | 752,229 |
| Wine | 88,292 | 60,189 | 107,108 | 109,603 | 60,328 | 58,927 |
| Beer | 346,170 | 409, 346 | 466,535 | 300,430 | 319,758 | 427,826 |
| TOBACCO TAXES, TOTAL | 1,155,892 | 1,126,564 | 1,233,254 | 727,357 | 1,601,544 | 914,703 |
| Cigarettes | 1,144,380 | 1,116,732 | 1,222,152 | 719,345 | 1,593,363 | 907,627 |
| Cigars | 9,196 | 7,682 | 8,069 | 5,384 | 5,683 | 4,261 |
| MANUFACTURERS EXCISE TAXES, TOTAL | $\mathrm{r}_{2,477,397}$ | r2,327,656 | 2,477,293 | 2,551,347 | 2,547,693 | 2,651,955 |
| Gasoline and lubricating oil ${ }^{1}$... | 2,181,613 | 2,107,117 | 2,242,842 | 2,300,865 | 2,321,565 | 2,389,406 |
| Tires, tubes and tread rubber ${ }^{2}$.. | 133,924 | 50,255 | .51,343 | 51,738 | 51,885 | 64,634 |
| Motor vehicles, bodies, parts ${ }^{3}$ | 7,739 ${ }^{7}$ | $-3,496{ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{-218}{ }^{7}$ | -2,3257 | -2367 | 3,7447 |
| Recreational products | 24,512 | 34,887 | 38,007 | 44,031 | 23,944 | 56,960 |
| Black Lung taxes | 128,541 | 136,044 | 139,651 | 152,577 | 138,546 | 125,087 |
| SPECIAL FUELS AND RETAILERS TAXES, TOTAL ${ }^{4}$ | 638,989 | 632,227 | 759,680 | 895,374 | 925,877 | ,001,597 |
| Diesel and special motor fuels | 376,065 | 379,273 | 404,819 | 548,487 | 583,945 | $, 001,597$ 631,603 |
| Trucks and buses, chassis, bodies, etc. | 234,213 | 224,099 | 325,269 | 318,282 | 312,982 | 340, 502 |
| MISCELLANEOUS EXCISE TAXES, TOTAL | 2,889,908 | 3,349;216 | 2,765,785 | 2,671,019 | 1,816,894 | 2,751,198 |
| Telephone and teletype ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 399,012 | 333, 386 | 625,417 | 521,220 | 530,468 | 649,170 |
| Air transportation | 598,922 | 592,508 | 677,897 | 647,672 | 640,055 | 683,413 |
| Highway use tax .... | 51,845 | 37,495 | 60,048 | 103,260 | 49,293 | 78,167 |
| Foreign insurance 6 ..... | 15,822 | 15,241 | 16,964 | 18,876 | 14,958 | 20,462 |
| Exempt organization net investment income .... | 24,279 | 58,289 | 54,794 | 14,655 | 11,537 | 58,396 |
| Crude oil windfall profit ....... | 1,727,718 | 2,236,882 | 1,245,871 | 1,289,421 | 498,450 | 1,189,069 |
| Environmental taxes (Superfund) . | 65,475 | 69,530 | 76,658 | 70,415 | 65,902 | -65,458 |

See notes following Table 13.

Table 12.-Selected Returns and Forms Filed During Selected Calendar Years, 1970-1985

| Type of return or form | Calendar Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Filed |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1986 \\ \text { Projectea } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1983 | 1984 | (Preliminary) |  |
| Individual income ${ }^{1}$.................... Form 1040 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|  | 77,281,384 | 84,026,785 | $\begin{aligned} & 93,194,916 \\ & 55,360,030 \end{aligned}$ | 95,701,801 | 96,651,754 | $101,093,500$ | $104,134,600$ |
|  | 77,143,251 | 61,450,279 |  | 59,580,123 | 61,185,893 | $\begin{aligned} & 65,280,000 \\ & 51,445,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Nonbusiness | 68,129,351 | 51,377,153 | 43,957,141 | 46,631,876 | 47,411,432 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 66,931,000 \\ & 52,261,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Business .. | 9,013,900 | 10,073,126 | 11,402,889 | 12,948,247 | 13,774,461 | 13,836,000 | $14,670,000$$12,147,000$ |
| Schedule C | 6,351,304 | 7,438,968 | 8,944,298 | 10,507,205 | 11,326,739 | 11,457,283 |  |
| Schedule F | 2,662,596 | 2,634,158 | 2,458,591 | 2,441,042 | 2,447,722 | 2,378,717 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,522,100 \\ 19,837,000 \end{array}$ |
| Form 1040A . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | W/A | 22,462,776 | 37,692,282 | $21,045,266$$14,915,949$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,590,110 \\ & 15,720,860 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,950,000 \\ & 16,700,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Form 1040EZ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  | $17,208,000$ |
| Corporation income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Form 1120A | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/A } \\ 248,936 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/A } \\ 367,219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { N/A } \\ 528,070 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} N / A \\ 616,719 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} N / A \\ 053,640 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 383,800 \\ & 753,700 \end{aligned}$ | $2,666,600$ 400,000 |
| Form 1120 S |  |  |  |  |  |  | $789,800$ |
| Partnership, Form 1065 ............ | 991,904 | 1,132,839 | 1,401,567 | 1,613,493 | 1,675,605 | 1,782,200 | 1,854,800 |
| Fiduciary, Form $1041^{2}$ | 1,149,445 | 1,558,570 | 1,876,392 | 2,019,483 | 2,021,954 | 2,061,800 | 2,088,900 |
| Estate Tax, Forms 706 and 706NA ... | 141,156 | 225,827 | 147,303 | 106,409 | 81,334 | 67,200 | 48,300 |
| Gift Tax, Form $709 . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 146,338 | 273,184 | 214,389 | 93,260 | 88,991 | 95,200 | 109,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Form 990 ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{array}{r} 377,030 \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ 5,041 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 346,627 \\ 29,637 \\ 19,683 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 362,632 \\ 33,137 \\ 23,455 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 344,216 \\ 33,232 \\ 25,598 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 319,859 \\ 29,107 \\ 25,115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 333,500 \\ 29,300 \\ 26,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 340,100 \\ 29,600 \\ 27,700 \end{array}$ |
| Form 990-PF . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Form 990-T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See notes on following Table 13.

Table 13.-Taxpayers Receiving Assistance, Paid and Unpaid, by Tax Year of Return, 1982-1984 [Some estimates are based on samples-all data are in thousands]

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |

[^13]
## General notations

N/A - Not applicable
n.a. - Not available
p - Preliminary
$r$ - Revised

## Table 1

[1] The 1981 data for interest and dividends are before exclusion. The combined amount in adjusted gross income (after the exclusion) was $\$ 178,097,705,000$, reported on 34,144,410 returns.
[2] Includes total itemized deductions, charitable contributions for nonitemizers, and zero bracket amounts on nonitemized returns.
[3] Includes surcharge of $\$ 2,018,078,000$.
[4] For 1981 only, this was the 1.25 percent rate reduction credit applicable to all returns with income tax before credits.
[5] For Tax Year 1983, the alternative minimum tax was revised and the minimum tax was abolished. The revised alternative minimum tax covered many of the tax preference items that had previously been subject to the tax. Also, the alternative minimum tax rate was increased. (For 1983, data on the former minimum tax could be reported on prior-year returns processed during the current-year filing period.)

SOURCE: Statistics of Income--Individual Income Tax Returns, appropriate years. Data are subject to sampling error. Tax law changes affect the year-to-year comparability of the data. See the specific Statistics of Income reports for a description of sampling error and of law changes.

## Table 2

[1] Includes exemptions for age and blindness.
[2] Totals in Table 2 do not agree with Tables 1 and 3 because they were obtained from a different source. For purposes of Table ?:
a. Number of returns by state include, in addition to Forms 1040, 1040A and 1040EZ filed by U.S. citizens and residents, Forms 1040 HR filed by nonresident aliens, as well as selfemployment tax returns used in Puerto Rico and certain U.S. territories and possessions.
b. "Total tax" liability includes total income tax plus tax from recomputing prior-year investment credit, tax applicable to Individual Retirement Arrangements (IRA's), self-employment tax, social security tax on tip income, and certain other incomerelated taxes. Total tax is before reduction by earned income credit (see also footnote (c), below).
c. Earned income credit, available to certain low-income workers, could result in a refund (1) if there was no "total tax" (as defined in footnote (b), above), in which case the full amount was refundable, or (2) if the credit exceeded "total tax," in which case the excess was refundable. The difference between columns 19 and 21 is the refundable portion.

> Total tax (column 16) minus earned income credit (column 21) is the amount most comparable to total tax in Tables 1 and 3. The total tax which results from this subtraction differs from Tables 1 and 3 because it includes additional taxes (see footnote - (b), above) and -because earned income credit used to offset tax (column 21) also includes amounts offset against these additional taxes.

[3] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by servicemen and women stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. Government employees.

NOTE: This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 1984. Data have not been edited for Statistics of Income purposes.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Software Division, IMF Returns Systems Branch.

Table 3
[1] Includes income tax after credits and the additional tax for tax preferences, i.e., minimum tax and alternative minimum tax.
[2] For many taxpayers in this size class includes additional tax for tax preferences not included in "taxable income."

SOURCE: Statistics of Income--Individual Income Tax Returns, appropriate years. Data are subject to sampling error. Tax law changes
affect the year-to-year comparability of the data. See the specific Statistics of Income reports for a description of sampling error and of law changes.

Table 4
[1] Includes breakeven businesses.
SOURCE: Statistics of Income--Sole Proprietorship Returns, appropriate years, and SOI Bulletin, Summer issues. Data are subject to sampling error. Tax law changes affect the year-to-year comparability of the data. See the specific Statistics of Income reports for a description of sampling error and of law changes.

## Table 5

[1] Total assets, total liabilities and partners' capital account are somewhat understated because not all partnership returns included a complete balance sheet.
[2] Short-term debt is the abbreviated title given to mortgages, notes and bonds payable in less than 1 year.
[3] Long-term debt is the abbreviated title given to mortgages, notes and bonds payable in 1 year or more. In addition, for Tax Year 1975, long-term debt included nonrecourse loans.
[4] See footnote 2 Table 6, for changes in the comparability of the statistics for receipts and deductions starting with 1981. Also, statistics for interest received are combined with dividends beginning with 1982.
[5] Beginning with 1981, represents the more all-inclusive amounts reported in depreciation computation schedules rather than the amounts reported as the depreciation deduction (plus depreciation identified in cost of sales and operations schedules).

SOURCE: Statistics of Income--Partnership Returns, appropriate years, and SOI Bulletin, Summer issues. Data are subject to sampling error. Tax law changes affect the year-to-year comparability of the data. See the specific Statistics of Income reports for a description of sampling error and of law changes.

Table 6
[1] Size classes are based on business receipts, i.e., gross amounts from sales and operations, for industries except finance, insurance and real estate. For the latter industries, total receipts, which is the sum of business receipts and
investment income, was used. For partnerships, see also footnote 3, below.
[2] Includes returns with no receipts as defined in footnote 1.
[3] Includes corporations with zero assets and liabilities.
[4] Beginning with 1981, "total receipts" in Table 5 includes, in part, only the net income or loss from farming and rentals. Previously, "total receipts" included the gross receipts from farming and rentals and, if rental receipts were the principal source of total receipts, they were treated as "business receipts" for the statistics. To help minimize the break in comparability caused by this change in statistical treatment of farm and rental income, an effort was made for 1981-82 to include rental (though not farm) gross receipts in the receipts used for the size distribution in Table 6.

In Table 5, by including only the net income or loss from farming and rentals starting with 1981, the deductions reported in computing these net incomes are excluded from the deduction statistics. For previous years, these deductions are reflected in the deduction statistics.
[5] Prior to 1979, partnerships that had liquidated were assumed to have zero assets and liabilities, even if their balance sheets showed otherwise, and were included in the "under $\$ 25,000$ " asset size class. Beginning with 1980, balance sheet data reported for liquidated partnerships were tabulated as reported and were included in the appropriate asset size classes.

SOURCE: Statistics of Income--Corporation Income Tax Returns, appropriate years, Statistics of Income--Partnership Returns, appropriate years, Statistics of Income-sole Proprietorship Returns, appropriate years, and SOI Bultetin, Summer issues. Tax law changes affect the year-to-year comparability of the data. See the appropriate Statistics of Income reports for a description of sampling error and of 1 aw changes.

## Table 7

[1] Includes additional tax for tax preferences (minimum tax), tax from recomputing prior year investment credit and Personal Holding Company tax.

SOURCE: Statistics of Income--Corporation Income. Tax Returns, appropriate years. Data are subject to sampling error. Tax law changes affect the year-to-year comparability of the
data. See the appropriate Statistics of Income reports for a description of sampling error and of law changes.

## Table 8

[1] Net capital assets, except land, consists of depreciable, depletable, and intangible assets less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization.
[2] Short-term debt is the abbreviated title given to mortgages, notes and bonds payable in less than 1 year. Long-term debt is the abbreviated title given to mortgages, notes and bonds payable in 1 year or more.
[3] Consists of regular and alternative tax. Tax Year 1970 includes surcharge of $\$ 784,437,000$.

SOURCE: Statistics of Income--Corporation Income Tax Returns, appropriate years. Data are subject to sampling error. Tax law changes affect the year-to-year comparability of the data. See the appropriate Statistics of Income reports for a description of sampling error and of law changes.

Tables 9 and 10
[1] Individual income tax collected includes that portion which was designated for the presidential election campaign fund by taxpayers on their returns. Also included is the fidiciary income tax collected (from estates and trusts). Fidiciary income tax collected was $\$ 2.9$ billion in 1984, $\$ 2.7$ billion in 1983, $\$ 2.6$ billion in 1982 and $\$ 2.2$ billion in 1981. Presidential election campaign designations amounted to $\$ 34.8$ million in 1984, $\$ 35.5$ million in 1983, $\$ 39.0$ million in 1982 , and $\$ 41.0$ million in 1981.
[2] Corporation income tax collected includes the tax on "unrelated business income" of tax-exempt organizations. Unrelated business income tax collected was $\$ 50.0 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion (1984), $\$ 45.1$ million (1983), $\$ 64.7$ million (1982), and $\$ 41.0$ million (1981).
[3] Excise taxes are imposed on selected products, services and activities, such as those on alcohol and tobacco products and the windfall profit tax on domesticallyproduced crude oil.
[4] Employment taxes include payroll taxes levied on salaries and wages, such as social security, railroad retirement and unemployment taxes; plus the self-employment tax imposed on "self-employment income".

NOTES: Collections (or refunds) are those made during the time periods indicated, regardless of the year or other period during which the tax liability was incurred (or to which the refund applied).

Collections represent the gross amounts before refunds and include amounts paid with the return; prior to filing the return (as applicable, income tax withheld by employers and estimated tax payments); and subsequent to filing the return (chiefly the result of initial return processing or of examination and enforcement activities). Collections also include interest and penalties.

Refunds result chiefly from tax overpayments determined at time of filing a return. Included are amounts subsequently determined as due the taxpayer as a result of an amended return or a claim for refund (including those produced by "net operating loss" and other carryback adjustments from future taxable years); or as a result of initial return processing or of examination and other activities. Individual income tax refunds are net of offsets under a law which requires IRS to act as collection agent for state welfare agencies so that these agencies can be reimbursed for the support they furnished through Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) programs. All refund data include interest paid by IRS.

Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Returns Processing and Accounting Division, Revenue and Accounting Branch.

Table 11
[1] Includes a one-time tax of 5 cents per gallon on gasoline and 4 cents per gallon on gasohol imposed on inventories of dealers as of April 1, 1983. Taxes on lubricating oil were repealed effective January 5, 1983.
[2] Effective January 1, 1984; taxes on tubes and tread rubber were repealed, and dealers holding taxable tires were assessed a one-time floor stock tax.
[3] Effective January 7, 1983, the excise taxes on parts and accessories for trucks and buses, which are included in this classification, were repealed. Beginning with the quarter ending December 1983, motor vehicles are excluded.
[4] Special fuels, total includes diesel and special motor fuels which were classified as miscellaneous excise taxes in 1970.

Beginning with the quarter ending December 1983, motor vehicles are included.
[5] Effective January 1, 1983, the excise tax increased from 1 percent to 3 percent.
[6] The negative amounts are due to refunds of this tax under the United States - United Kingdom Income Tax Treaty, which provides for an exemption from the tax retroactive to January 1, 1975. Also, a similar United States - France treaty provides for an exemption retroactive to January 1, 1979.
[7] This amount reflects adjustments made for prior quarters.

NOTES: For 1970 and 1975, the fiscal year was defined as July of the previous calendar year through June of the year noted. For 1980-84, the fiscal year was defined as October of the previous calendar year through September of the year noted.

Additional detail is published in the Annual Report of the Commissioner and Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Returns Processing and Accounting Division, Revenue and Accounting Branch.

Table 12
[1] Includes Forms 1040C, PR and SS.
[2] Includes Form 1041 A in 1970 and 1975.
[3] Includes Form 990A in 1970.
SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Research Division, Projections and Forecasting Group.

Table 13
[1] Data on IRS Taxpayer Service Programs are collected on a fiscal-year basis. In general, assistance rendered in a given fiscal year may be related to returns due on April 15 th during the fiscal year and are for the tax year ending with the previous December. Therefore, data in Table 13, which are presented on a tax year basis are actually for a fiscal year, e.g., data shown as for Tax Year 1983 are actually for Fiscal Year 1984.

NOTE: Data on IRS assistance represent taxpayer contacts. Some taxpayers make more than one contact. The number of taxpayers assisted (in contrast to the number of contacts made) is not known.

SOURCE: Data on paid preparers obtained from Statistics of Income and Taxpayer Usage Study samples. Data on IRS assistance were compiled by the Taxpayer Service Division.

This appendix discusses typical sampling procedures used in most Statistics of Income (SOI) programs. Aspects covered briefly include sampling criteria, selection techniques, methods of estimation, and sampling variability. Some of the nonsampling error limitations of the data are a!so described, as well as the tabular conventions employed.

Additional information on sample design and data limitations for specific SOI studies can be found in the separate SOI publications (see References). More technical information is available, upon request, from the Statistics of Income Division.

## SAMPLE CRITERIA AND SELECTION OF RETURNS

Statistics compiled for the SOI studies are generally based on stratified probability samples of income tax returns or other forms filed with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The statistics do not reflect any changes made by the taxpayer through an amended return or by the IRS as a result of an audit. The samples are based on such criteria as: principal business activity; presence or absence of a schedule; State from which filed; size of adjusted gross income (or deficit) or largest of specific income (or loss) items; total assets or size of business and farm receipts.

The probability of a return being designated depends on its sample class or stratum and may range from a fraction of one percent to one hundred percent. Considerations in determining the selection probability for each stratum include the number of returns in the stratum, the diversity of returns in the stratum, and interest in the stratum as a separate subject of study. All this is subject to constraints on the allowable total cost or total sample size for the program.

For most 501 studies, returns are computer designated based on the Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) which is either the Social Security Number (SSN) or Employer Identification Number (EIN). In some cases, the ending digits of each TIN are compared to a set of numbers randomly selected for each sample class. If the TIN ending digits are in the set, then the return is designated for the sample. Otherwise, it is not designated.

Alternatively, a fixed and essentially random number is associated with each possible TIN. If that random number falls into a range of numbers specified for the return's sample stratum, then it is designated. Otherwise, it is not.

Under either method of selection, the TIN's designated from one year's study are for the most part selected for the next study, so that a large proportion of the new sample are repeaters. This longitudinal character of the sample design improves the estimates of change from one study to the next.

## METHOD OF ESTIMATION

In general, weighting factors are obtained by dividing the computer count of returns filed for a sample stratum by the actual number of returns secured for the sample. These weighting factors are then used to inflate the sample results to total population levels. During sampling, lists of the returns designated are checked against the returns secured for the sample to insure that the sample designated is the same as the sample selected. Special searches are made for returns not initially secured so that any bias from nonresponse is minimal.

For the individual income tax returns sample, weighting factors are computed for each sample class within each Internal Revenue district, even though the district is not used to designate the sample. This is an example of post-stratified estimation and is used to improve the estimates for the States. Usage of post-stratified estimation is being studied for other SOI studies.

## SAMPLING VARIABILITY

The particular sample used in a study is only one of a large number of possible random samples that could have been selected using the same sample design. Estimates derived from the different samples would usually vary. The standard error of the estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and is used to measure the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of the possible samples. The sample estimate and an estimate of its standari error permit the construction of interval estimates with prescribed confidence that this interval includes the actual population value.

In SOI reports the standard error is not directly presented. Instead, the ratio of the standard error to the estimate itself is presented in decimal form. This ratio is called the coefficient of variation (CV). The user of SOI data may multiply an estimate by its coefficient of variation to recreate the standard error and to construct confidence intervals.

For example, if a sample estimate of 150,000 returns is known to have a coefficient of variation of 0.02, then the following arithmetic procedure would be followed to construct a $68 \%$ confidence interval estimate:

| 150,000 | (sample estimate) |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\times 00.02$ | (coefficient of variation) |
| $=3,000$ | (standard error of estimate) |
| 150,000 | (sample estimate) |
| + or $-3,000$ |  |
| $=147,000-153,000$ | (standard error) |

Based on these data, the interval estimate is from 147 to 153 thousand returns. A conclusion that the
average estimate of the number of returns lies within an interval computed in this way would be correct for approximately two-thirds of all possible similarly selected samples. To obtain this interval estimate with 95\% confidence, multiply the standard error by two before adding to and subtracting from the sample estimate. (In this particular case, the resulting interval would be from 144 to 156 thousand returns.)

Further details concerning corfidence intervals, including the approximation of CV's for combined sample estimates, may be obtained on request by writing to the Statistics of Income Division, $D: R: S$, Internal Revenue Service, Washington, DC 20224.

## NONSAMPLING ERROR CONTROLS AND LIMITATIONS

Although the previous discussion focuses on sampling methods and the limitations of the data caused by sampling errors, there are other sources of errors which may be significant in evaluating the usefulness of SOI data. These include taxpayer reporting errors, processing errors, early cut-off of sampling, etc. More extensive information on nonsampling errors is presented in SOI reports, when appropriate.

In transcribing and tabulating the information from the returns or forms selected for the sample, checks are imposed to improve the quality of the resultant estimates. Missing entries are inputed during statistical processing by utilizing other information on the return and accompanying schedules. Data may be disaggregated and recombined during editing to achieve consistent statistical definitions. In the future, SOI studies will make use of eariler returns of the same taxpayer to check current data, for instance the industry code. Also, research on better methods of imputing missing data is being conducted.

Quality of the basic data abstracted at the processing centers is controlled by a continuous sampling verification system. In addition, the Statistics of Income Division in the National Office conducts an independent reprocessing of a small subsample of statistically processed returns as a further check. Prior to tabulation, numerous computer tests are applied to each return record to check for inconsistencies.

Finally, before publication, all statistics are reviewed for accuracy and reasonableness in light of provisions of the tax laws, taxpayer reporting variations and limitations, economic conditions, comparability with other statistical series, and statistical techniques used in data processing and estimating.

## TABULAR CONVENTIONS

Estimates of frequencies and money amounts that are considered unreliable, due to the small sample size on which they are based, are noted by an asterisk (*) to the left of the data item(s) in the tabulations. The presence of an asterisk indicates that the sample rate is less than 100 percent of the population and there are fewer than 10 sample observations available for estimation purposes.

A dash in place of a frequency or amount indicates that no sample return had that characteristic. In addition, $a$ dash in place of a coefficient of variation for which there is an estimate indicates that all returns contributing to the estimate were selected at the 100 percent rate.

Whenever a weighted frequency in a data cell is less than 3, the estimate is either combined with other cells or deleted in order to avoid disclosure of information about individual taxpayers or businesses. These combinations and deletions are indicated by a double asterisk (**).
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[.1] Statistics of Income--1982, Individual Income Tax Returns (see especially pages 15-78).
[2] Statistics of Income--1981, Corporation Income Tax Returns (see especialTy pages 9-15).
[3] Statistics of Income--1980, Partnership Returns Tsee especialty pages 5-7).
[4] Statistics of Income--1981, Sole Proprietorship Returns (see espec 1 ally pages 5-9).
[5] Statistics of Income--1976-1979, International Income and Taxes, Foreign Income and Taxes Reported on U.S. Tax Returns (see especially pages 13-15 and 85-87).
[6] Statistics of Income--1973, Sales of Capital Assets Reported on Individual Income Tax Returns (see especially pages (7-20).

「7. Statistics of Income--1976 Estate Tax Returns rsee especially pages $11-12$ ).

「81 Statistics of Income--1974-1978, Private Foundations (see especially pages 9-16).

Please take a few moments to answer the following questions concerning this Statistics of Income publication. Your responses will enable us to direct our efforts to meeting the needs of our users. After indicating your responses, please cut, tape, and mail. No postage or envelope is required. Thank you for your cooperation.

1. How did you obtain this publication?
$\square$ Purchased from the Government Printing Office
$\square$ Subscription
$\square$ Single copy
$\square$ From a public library
$\square$ From a university or college library
$\square$ Other (Specify)
2. Have you ever, used any other Statistics of Income publication?

Yes (Specify)
$\square$ No
3. What subject matter in the report interests you particularly?Private Foundations, 1982Age and Tax Filing, 1981
$\square$ Nonresident Alien Income and Tax, 1983High-Income Taxpayers and the Growth of Partnerships, 1983
$\square$ Projections of Tax Return Filings, 1986-1993
Crude Oil Windfall Profit Tax, 1984
$\square$ Selected Statistical Series, 1970-85
4. Which table(s) in the Selected Statistical Series did you find helpful to your needs?
$\square$ Individual income tax returnsBusiness returns
Classes of excise taxes

- Income and tax data by stateCorporation industry statistics Number of returns filed
$\square$ Individual average tax ratesCorporation income tax returns
$\square$ Sole proprietorship returnsGross Internal Revenue collections
Taxpayers receiving
$\square$ Partnership returns $\square$ Internal Revenue refunds assistance

5. If microdata files on computer tape were available in these subject matter areas, would you be interested in purchasing them?
Yes
No

If yes, which area(s)? (Specify)
6. How would you describe the useability of the text?
$\square$ Too technical
$\square$ Not detailed enough
7. What type of organization are you connected with?
$\square$ Federal government
Consulting firm
State or local government
Trade associationOther nonprofit organization
$\square$ Other (Specify)
8. What changes (additions, deletions, alterations) would you like to see in the contents or format of this publication?
9. Other comments (Use other side if needed.)
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Washington, DC 20224

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO. 12686 WASHINGTON, DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY IRS
Internal Revenue Service
Statistics of Income Division D:R:S:P
1111 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20224

## Save worry and delay.... Have your records on hand when you need them

Where to Write for Vital Records: Births, Deaths, Marriages and Divorces is an easy-to-read guide to obtaining your vital statistics, published by the National Center for Health Statistics, a part of the U.S. Public Health Service.

When you need a certified record for:

```
- Passport
- Geneology Research
- Marriage - Other important purposes
- College Education
```

It may take several weeks to obtain.
No records of your vital statistics are maintained by the Federal Government. All birth, death, marriage and divorce records are maintained by State or area, in the locality where the event occurred. Where to Write is presented by State or territory, with information on address, fees, and steps to follow when ordering.


## Order your copy today!!!!

Order Fornn Mail To: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402 *6067, GPO Stock Number 017-022-00847-5 Price \$1.75 Credit Card Orders Only

Enclosed is $\qquad$ $\square$ check. $\square$ money order, or charge to my Deposit Account No.


Order No $\qquad$

## MasterCard and

 VISA accepted.

Total charges \$ $\qquad$ Fill in the boxes below.


Credit
Card No.
Expiration Date Month/Year $\square$

Charge orders may be telephoned to the GPO order desk at (202)783-3238 from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday.Friday (except holidays).
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## And Information Available

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Publications are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

## Annual Statistics of Income Reports Individual Incomé Tax Returns Publication 79

Presents information onSources of income Adjusted gross income Adjustments to income Itemized deductions

Exemptions
Taxable income Income tax Tax credits

Income tax withheld and estimated tax payments
Tax due and overpayment refunded

Data classified by-
Size of adjusted gross income States
Taxpayer's marital status

## Corporation Income Tax Returns Publication 16

Taxable income

Presents information onReceipts<br>Deductions<br>Net income Income tax

Tax credits<br>Distributions to stockholders<br>Assets and liabilities

Data classfied by-
Industry; Accounting period
Size of total assets
Size of business receipts

Sole Proprietorship
Returns, 1981
Estate Tax Returns, 1976

Foreign Income and Taxes Reported on Individual Income Tax Returns, 1972-1978

Foreign Income and Taxes Reported on U.S. Income Tax Returns, 1976-1979

Private Foundations
Exempt From Income
Tax, 1974-1978

## Other Information Available

All the items listed below, as well as other unpublished or special tabulations from the STATISTICS OF INCOME PROGRAM, are available on a cost-reimbursable basis. Further details, including ordering information can be obtained by writing to: Director, Statistics of Income Division D:R:S, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20224, Tape files indicated with an (A) are available (on a reimbursable basis) through the Machine Readable Archives Division (NRR) of the National Archives and Records Service, Washington, D.C. 20408.

## Corporation Source Book

Presents detailed income and balanced sheet data classified by industry and size of total assets. A general description is available upon request.

## Statistics of Income -1978-82, Partnership Returns

Presents income statement and balance sheet data and on limited partnerships. Data are classified by industry size of total assets, state and number of partners.

## Small Area Data

Data on individual income tax returns, exemptions, and adjusted gross income are presented by State, county, and SMSA. Also, the number of persons who moved from one location to another based on addresses shown on the returns. $A$ general description is available upon request.

Public-Use Tape Files<br>Continuing<br>Individual Tax Model, 1966-78(A), 1979-82<br>Corporation Source Book, 1965-76 (A), 1977-82<br>Estate Tax Returns, 1972, 1976<br>Private Foundations, 1974 (A), 1979<br>Sole Proprietorships, 1980<br>Employee Plans, 1977<br>New Migration Data<br>County Migration Data, 1980-82, 1982-83<br>County Migration Flow Data, 1978-80, 1980-81<br>County Income Data, 1982

Notes

# INDEX OF PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED SOI BULLETIN ARTICLES <br> (Issue, Volume and Number) 

Corporation income tax returns
Investment tax credit:
1980. Winter 1983-84 (3-3)

Domestic International Sales Corporations:
1980. Fall 1983 (3-2)

Employee benefit plans:
1977. Spring 1982 (1-4)

Estate tax returns:
1983. Fall 1984 (4-2)

Excise taxes:
Environmental: 1981-1983. Spring 1985 (4-4)

Exempt organizations:
Other than private foundations: 1975-1978, Fall 1981 (1-2)
Private foundations: 1979. Fall 1982 (2-2)

Fiduciary income tax returns: 1982, Spring 1985 (4-4)

Foreign income and taxes:
Controlled Foreign Corporations:
_ _1980. Spring. 1984 (3-4) Fall 1984 (4-2)
Possession corporations tax credit: 1980, Spring 1983 (2-4)
U.S. corporation foreign tax credit: 1980, Summer 1984 (4-1)

Winter 1984-85 (4-3)
International Boycotts: 1976-1982, Summer 1985 (5-1)
Individual income tax returns:
Historical summary of income and taxes:

1913-1982. Winter 1983-84 (3-3)
Preliminary income. deductions and taxes:

1983, Winter 1984-85 (4-3)
income by ZIP code areas:
1969-1979, Spring 1983 (2-4)
1979 \& 1982, Summer 1985 (5-1)
Investment tax credit: 1981, Spring 1984 (3-4)
Life cycle of individual tax returns:
Spring 1984 (3-4)
Marginal and average tax rates:
1980, Winter $1982-83$ (2-3)
1981, Fall 1983 (3-2)
1982, Spring 1985 (4-4)
Residental energy credit:
1978-1980, Fall 1982 (2-2)
Sales of capital assets:
1973-1980, Summer 1982 (2-1)
1984, Summer 1985 (5-1)
Taxpayers age 65 or over:
1977-1981, Summer 1984 (4-1)
Taxpayers by sex: 1969-1979, Spring 1985 (4-4)

Nonresident alien income and tax withheld:

1982, Fall 1984 (4-2)
Partnership returns:
Employment and payroll: 1979, Spring 1984 (3-4)
Income statements by industry:
1982, Summer 1984 (4-1)
1983, Summer 1985 (5-1))
Personal wealth:
Composition of assets, 1982 :
Winter 1984-85 (4-3)
Realized income and personal wealth: Spring 1983 (2-4)
Trends, 1976-1981: Summer 1983 (3-1)

Private activity tax-exempt bonds: 1983. Summer 1984 (4-1)

Projections of returns to be filed: 1985-1992, Fall 1984 (4-2)

Safe Harbor Leasing: 1981-1982. Fall 1983 (3-2)

Sales of capital assets
(See individual income tax returns)
Sole proprietorship returns:
Income statements by industry:
1982. Summer 1984 (4-1)

1983, Summer 1985 (5-1)
Nonfarm proprietorships and sex of owner:
1980. Spring 1983 (2-4)

## Superfund for environmental taxes

(See excise taxes)
Tax incentives for saving:
Spring. 1984 (3-4)
Underground economy:
Informal suppliers:
Summer 1983 (3-1)
Tip income iñ eating placees:
1982, Winter 1983-84 (3-3)
Windfall profit tax:
1984, 1st quarter. Winter $1984-85$ (4-3)
1984. 2nd quarter, Spring 1985 (4-4)

1984, 3rd quarter, Summer 1985 (5-1)


[^0]:    *Foreign Special Projects Section. Prepared under the direction of Marvin Schwartz, Acting Chief.

[^1]:    Footrotes at end of table.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Taxpayers on joint returns were counted as two; both taxpayers were assumed to be in the same age group for this distribution.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes 0.8 million returns with adjusted gross income less than zero, i.e., returns
    with business or investment losses in excess of income from other sources.
    ${ }^{2}$ Less than 0.05 million ( 20,011 returns).

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Represents those using the single taxpayer tax rate schedule; unmarried heads of household and surviving spouses are therefore excluded.

[^5]:    *Foreign Returns Analysis Section. Prepared under the direction of James Hobbs, Chief.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ World Bank, The World Bank Atlas, 1985.

[^7]:    *Economist, Office of Tax Analysis, Office of Tax Policy, U.S. Department of
    the Treasury. The author was assisted by Joseph Cordes, Professor of Economics,
    The George Washington University, consultant to the Office of Tax Analysis.

[^8]:    *Projections and Forecasting Group, Research Division. Prepared under the direction of James Dumais, Acting Chief.

[^9]:    *Foreign Special Projects Section. Prepared under the direction of Michael Alexander, Chief.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ All amounts are average dollars per barrel.
    ${ }^{2}$ Newly discovered oil is taxed at 22.5 percent from 1984 to 1987.
    NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ All amounts are average dollars per barrel.
    ${ }^{2}$ Newly discovered oil is taxed at 22.5 percent from 1984 to 1987.
    NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

[^12]:    See notes following Table 13.

[^13]:    See notes on following page.

