Baseline Proposal to Satisfy the Objective: *Define a physical layer specification that supports 800 Gb/s operation over 4 wavelengths over a single SMF in each direction with lengths up to at least 500m*

Brian Welch (Cisco)

John Johnson (Broadcom)

Piers Dawe (Nvidia)

Frank Chang (Source Photonics)

Contributors and Supporters

- Zvi Rechtman (Nvidia)
- Vasu Parthasarathy (Broadcom)
- Sridhar Ramesh (Maxlinear)
- Drew Guckenberger (Maxlinear)
- Rang-Chen (Ryan) Yu (InnoLight)
- Kent Lusted (Intel)
- Jeffery Maki (Juniper Networks)
- Ryan Latchman (Macom)

- Dave Cassan (Alphawave Semi)
- David Ofelt (Juniper Networks)
- Matt Brown (Alphawave Semi)
- Mark Kimber (Semtech)
- Roberto Rodes (Coherent)

Caveats and Disclaimers

- In this presentation we are using the temporary nomenclature **800G-4λ-500m**. This is not a nomenclature presentation, and it is expected this will be changed in the final standard.

Overview

- Loss budget for 500m duplex

- Baseline proposal for 500m duplex

- Technical feasibility for 500m duplex

Loss Budget

Loss Budgets: Legacy

- Since their introduction, 500m and 2km SMF reaches have used a statistical loss budgeting approach (MMF had used this previously for shorter reaches).
  - Double-link cabling channel (see next side)

- Many contributions on this topic in 802.3bs, including from Jonathan King and Paul Kolesar
 - A good example is kolesar_3bs_01_0514.pdf

Fiber Plant Constructions

Double-link cabling channel examples

COMMSCOPE

2-fiber double-link channel

MPO 1 — LC 1
MPO 4 — LC 4
MPO 3 — LC 3
MPO 2 — LC 2
MPO 3
MPO 2
MPO 1
MPO 4

Parallel double-link channel

6

Common channel implementations

- Single-link
  - 2-fiber xcvr — 2-f cord — fan-out — array cable — fan-out — 2-f cord — 2-fiber xcvr
  - parallel xcvr — array cord — array cable — array cord — parallel xcvr

  Connection & Splice Tally*
  2 LCs + 2 MPOs
  2 MPOs

- Double-link
  - 2-fiber xcvr — 2-f cord — fan-out — array cable — fan-out — 2-f cord — fan-out — array cable — fan-out — 2-f cord — 2-fiber xcvr
  - parallel xcvr — array cord — array cable — array cord — array cable — array cord — parallel xcvr

  4 LCs + 4 MPOs
  4 MPOs

- Triple-link
  - 2-fiber xcvr — 2-f cord — fan-out — array cable — fan-out — 2-f cord — OSP cable — 2-f cord — fan-out — array cable — fan-out — 2-f cord — 2-fiber xcvr
  - parallel xcvr — array cord — array cable — array cord — OSP cable — array cord — array cable — array cord — parallel xcvr
    Splice-on MPOs

  6 LCs + 4 MPOs
  2 splices + 6 MPOs

* Connections to transceivers (at MDI) are not counted in standard loss budget

7

From: kolesar_3bs_01_0514.pdf

Fiber Plant: Loss Requirement

Table 122–13—200GBASE-FR4, 200GBASE-LR4, 200GBASE-ER4, 400GBASE-FR8, 400GBASE-LR8, and 400GBASE-ER8 illustrative link power budgets

Parameter	200GBASE-FR4	400GBASE-FR8	200GBASE-LR4	400GBASE-LR8	200GBASE-ER4		400GBASE-ER8		Unit

Power budget (for maximum TDECQ):
  for extinction ratio ≥ 4.5 dB
  for extinction ratio < 4.5 dB
	7.4
	7.5
		7.2
		7.3
			10
			10.1
				9.9
				10
					21.7
					—
							21.9
							—
									dB
									dB

Operating distance	2		10		30	40[a]	30	40[a]	km
Channel insertion loss (max)	4[b]		6.3		15	18	15	18	dB
Channel insertion loss (min)	0				10		10		dB
Maximum discrete reflectance	See 122.11.2.2								dB

Allocation for penalties[c] (for maximum TDECQ):
  for extinction ratio ≥ 4.5 dB
  for extinction ratio < 4.5 dB
	3.4
	3.5
		3.2
		3.3
			3.7
			3.8
				3.6
				3.7
					3.7
					—
							3.9
							—
									dB
									dB

Additional insertion loss allowed	0		0		3	0	3	0	dB

[a] Links longer than 30 km are considered engineered links. Attenuation for such links needs to be less than the worst case for cables containing IEC 60793-2-50 type B1.1, type B1.3, or type B6_a single-mode cabled optical fiber.

[b] The channel insertion loss is calculated using the maximum distance specified in Table 122–8 for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 and fiber attenuation of 0.5 dB/km plus an allocation for connection and splice loss given in 122.11.2.1.

[c] Link penalties are used for link budget calculations. They are not requirements and are not meant to be tested.

Taken from 802.3-2022

122.11.2.1 Connection insertion loss

The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-LR4, 200GBASE-ER4, 400GBASE-LR8, and 400GBASE-ER8 is based on an allocation of 2 dB total connection and splice loss. For example, this allocation supports four connections with an average insertion loss per connection of 0.5 dB. The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an allocation of 3 dB total connection and splice loss. Connections with different loss characteristics may be used provided the requirements of Table 122–17 are met.

- 2km duplex defined in the spec as 3 dB of connector + splice loss and 1dB of fiber loss (at 0.5 dB/km) = 4dB total for 2km

- Reducing to 500m yields ~ 3.25 dB total loss

- Proposing to round up 3.5 dB loss budget in baseline proposal, which aligns to prior MSA 500m duplex spec (cwdm4-ocp-specification)

Baseline Proposal

Baseline Proposal – Key Details

- Proposal is based on FECo – RS(544,514,10) as the only FEC encoding

- Transmitter min power levels are the same as those adopted in 800GBASE-FR4
  - Differences in data-rate and max TDECQ due to FECo

- Receiver min power levels have been increased by 0.5 dB (vs. 800GBASE-FR4), due to the reduced loss budget.
  - Also changes in baud rate and max TECQ/SECQ due to FECo

TDECQ/TECQ/SECQ Reference Receiver – Tap Restrictions

	Symbol	Value	Units
Feedforward equalizer (FFE) length	N_b	15	UI
Maximum FFE pre-cursors		3	UI
Maximum FFE post-cursors		13	UI
Normalized FFE coefficient maximum limit $n = -3$ $n = -2$ $n = -1$ $n = 0$ $n = 1$ $n = 2$ $n \geq 3$	$bb_{max}(n)$	TBD[†] TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD[†]	-
Normalized FFE coefficient minimum limit $n = -3$ $n = -2$ $n = -1$ $n = 0$ $n = 1$ $n = 2$ $n \geq 3$	$bb_{min}(n)$	TBD[†] TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD[†]	-
Sum of all tap weights	bb_{sum}	1	

† Coefficients at +/- 3 and beyond expected to be small

Location in Ethernet Stack: FECo

Optional MII extender if additional AUI(s) are required

PCS based on RS(544,514) FEC

200G/L Optical PMD

PHY

PHY with no AUI

PHY with one AUI

PHY with two AUI

BER Requirements

- **FECo** : The BER of the PMD link shall be less than **2.4 x 10^{-4}** provided that the error statistics are sufficiently random that this results in a frame loss ratio of less than 1.7 x 10^{-12} for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when processed with an 800GBASE-R PCS.

Proposed Transmitter Specifications

Description	800G-4λ-500m	Unit
Signaling rate, each lane (range)	106.25 ± 50 ppm	GBd
Modulation Format	PAM4	
Lane wavelengths (range)	1264.5 to 1277.5 1284.5 to 1297.5 1304.5 to 1317.5 1324.5 to 1337.5	nm
Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min)	30	dB
Average launch power, each lane (max)	4.9	dBm
Average launch power, each lane (min)	-1.8	dBm
Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA$_{outer}$), each lane(max)	4.8	dBm

Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA$_{outer}$), each lane(min)
  for MAX(TECQ,TDECQ) < 0.9 dB
  for 0.9 dB ≤ MAX(TECQ,TDECQ) ≤ 3.4 dB
	
	0.8
	-0.1+MAX(TECQ,TDECQ)
		
		dBm
		dBm

Transmitter and dispersion eye closure (TDECQ), each lane (max)	3.4[a]	dB
TECQ (max)	3.4[a]	dB
|TDECQ - TECQ| (max)	2.5	dB
Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max)	-15	dBm
Extinction ratio, each lane, (min)	3.5	dB
Transmitter transition time (max)	8	ps
Transmitter over/under-shoot (max)	22	%
RIN$_x$OMA (max)	-139	dB/Hz
Optical return loss tolerance (max)	17.1	dB
Transmitter reflectance (max)	-26	dB

[a] Measured with FFE15 reference equalizer with SER = 4.8e-4

Proposed Receiver Specifications

Description	800G-4λ-500m	Unit
Signaling rate, each lane (range)	106.25 ± 50 ppm	GBd
Modulation Format	PAM4	
Lane wavelengths (range)	1264.5 to 1277.5 1284.5 to 1297.5 1304.5 to 1317.5 1324.5 to 1337.5	nm
Damage threshold, each lane	5.9	dBm
Average receive power, each lane (max)	4.9	dBm
Average receive power, each lane (min)	-5.6	dBm
Receive power, each lane (OMA_{outer}) (max)	4.8	dBm
Receiver reflectance (max)	-26	dB
Receiver sensitivity (OMA_{outer}), each lane (max)		
for TECQ < 0.9 dB	-3.2	dBm
for 0.9 dB ≤ TECQ ≤ SECQ	-4.1 + TECQ	dBm
Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA_{outer}), each lane (max)	-0.7	dBm
Conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test:		
SECQ	3.4[a]	dB
OMA_{outer} of each aggressor lane	1.9	dBm

[a] Measured with FFE15 reference equalizer with SER = 4.8e-4

Proposed Link Budget

Description	800G-4λ-500m	Unit
Power budget (for max TDECQ)	7.6	dB
Operating distance	500	m
Channel insertion loss	3.5	dB
Maximum discrete reflectance	-35	dB
Allocation for penalties (for max TDECQ)	3.9	dB
Additional insertion loss allowed	0	dB

	Dispersion (ps/nm)		Insertion Loss	Optical Return Loss	Max mean DGD
	Minimum	Maximum			
800G-4λ-500m	$0.0115 \times \lambda \times [1-(1324/\lambda)^4]$	$0.0115 \times \lambda \times [1-(1300/\lambda)^4]$	Minimum	17.1 dB	0.8 ps

	Dispersion (ps/nm)	
	Minimum	Maximum
800G-4λ-500m	≥ -2.94	≤ 1.66

Technical Feasibility

Technical Feasibility - A

Receiver demonstrations

CWDM4 module over 6km SSMF

Rx PBRS2^31 BER

800G FR4 OSFP — Evaluation Board 1

800G FR4 OSFP — Evaluation Board 2

6 km fiber

PAM4 Tx Optical Eye

800G-4λ-500m Sensitivity Spec for TECQ = 2.83 dB

Tx characteristics: TDECQ=2.83dB, Ceq=2.15dB, ER=4.1dB
1271nm 106.25GBd

- No fiber
- 6km SSMF

Margin ~ 4dB

Margin ~ 2 dec

Error Floor Margin > 2 dec

OMA (dBm)

- Demonstration from rodes_3dj_01a_2311.pdf, using an MZI type driver with TECQ = 2.83 dB
- Purple margin labels added

- CWDM4 Lane0 (1271nm) measured after ~-20ps/nm similar to worst-case dispersion on 800G-LR4 LWDM
- Slightly lower baudrate than 113.4375GBd, expected to add some penalty on sensitivity

Technical Feasibility - B

- Pre-production part/testing, results as of Dec 2023.
- Using an EML with integrated driver and TECQ = 3.1 dB
- Part is 800G-DR4 with an additional 2dB MUX loss annotated in the chart (red arrows)

Technical Feasibility - C

ATT

800G OSFP FR4
(PRBS mode)

PRBS31Q

800G FR4 BER Curve @212Gbit/s

800G-4λ-500m Sensitivity Spec for TECQ = 3.15 dB

Margin ~ 4dB

Margin ~ 2 dec

Error Floor
Margin > 3 dec

PreFEC BER (y-axis: 1.0E-01, 1.0E-02, 1.0E-03, 1.0E-04, 1.0E-05, 1.0E-06, 1.0E-07, 1.0E-08)

Rx OMA (dBm) (x-axis: -9.0, -7.0, -5.0, -3.0, -1.0, 1.0, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0)

- Lane0
- Lane1
- Lane2
- Lane3

- 800G FR4 OSFP with CWDM4 wavelengths:

- Continuous work from liu_3dj_optx_01a_231019.pdf and chang_3dj_01b_2311.pdf, based on EML type transmitter with TECQ ≤ 3.15dB @ 2.4E-4

- Good margin to execeed both Rx sensitivity and BER floor.

Technical Feasibility - C

Max FEC BIN = 3

800G OSFP FR4
(Mission mode)
@ ONT-804

ATT

Traffic run

Summary

- A baseline proposal for an 800G duplex solution up to 500m has been proposed.

- Loss budgets have been derived from 2km duplex standards, and made consistent with non-IEEE precedents for 500m duplex.

- Technical feasibility has been demonstrated in different technologies (MZI and EML), and from multiple vendors.

Thank You