# City of Hobbs Planning Board - Regular Meeting 

June 22, 2022 at 10:00 AM
W.M. "Tres" Hicks, Chairman

Bill Ramirez
Brett Drennan
Larry Sanderson

Guy Kesner, Vice Chairman
Ben Donahue
Brett Clay

Tentative Agenda for the Planning Board Regular Session Meeting to be held on Wednesday, June 22, 2022 at 10:00 AM, at the City of Hobbs Annex Building, First Floor Commission Chambers located at 200 E. Broadway, Hobbs, NM 88240.

## AGENDA

## Call To Order and Roll Call.

1) Review and Consider Approval of Agenda.
2) Review and Consider Approval of Minutes.

May 17, 2022 - Regular Meeting

## Communications from Citizens. (3-minute limit per citizen)

## ACTION ITEMS

3) Review and Consider Variance Request from MC 15.32.030-C(2) allowing a new freestanding sign to be emplaced with a sign face in excess of 144 square feet at 228 E. Navajo.
4) Review and Consider Variance Request from MC 15.32.030-D allowing a new Billboard to be emplaced within a property containing an existing free standing sign at 521 W . Navajo.
5) Review and Consider Side Yard Setback Variance as submitted by property owner for property located at 711 N. Dal Paso.
6) Review and Consider Final Plat Approval for the Subdivision of Lot 6, Mid-Way Acres Subdivision, Unit Two, located within the City of Hobbs ETJ, as submitted by property owner(s).
7) Review and Consider Final Plat Approval for the Subdivision of Lot 5, Mid-Way Acres Subdivision, Unit Two, located within the City of Hobbs ETJ, as submitted by property owner(s).
8) Review and Consider Proposed Annexation of $+/-5.06$ acres of property located southeast of the intersection of Alegre Drive and N. Grimes.
9) Review and Consider Proposed Annexation of $+/-8.38$ acres of property located southwest of the intersection of Millen Drive and Ja-Rob Lane.
10) Review and Consider Top 10 projects for the FY 2023-2027 Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan (ICIP).

## DISCUSSION ITEMS

## Adjournment.

The City will make every effort to provide reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities who wish to attend a public meeting. Please notify the City at least 24 hours before the meeting. Telephone 397-9351.
"Notice is hereby given that a quorum of the Hobbs City Commission may be in attendance at this meeting."

## PLANNING BOARD MEETING <br> MINUTES <br> May 17, 2022

The Hobbs Planning Board met on May 17, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. at the City of Hobbs Annex Building, First Floor Commission Chambers, located at 200 E. Broadway, Hobbs, NM 88240 with Mr. W.M. "Tres" Hicks, Chairman, presiding.

## Members Present:

Members Absent
W.M. "Tres" Hicks, Chairman

Guy Kesner, Vice Chairman
Larry Sanderson
Brett Clay
Ben Donahue
Bill Ramirez
Brett Drennan

## Also present were members of the public and City staff as follows:

Kevin Robinson, Development Director<br>Shawn Williams, Fire Marshal<br>Bruce Reid, Lea County Planner<br>Amanda Ponce, GIS Tech<br>Todd Randall, City Engineer<br>April Hargrove, Engineering Assistant<br>Valerie Chacon, Deputy City Attorney<br>5 Citizens

## Call to Order and Roll Call

Mr. W.M. "Tres" Hicks, Chairman did a roll call for members as follows:
Mr. Ramirez-yes, Mr. Sanderson-yes, Mr. Kesner-yes, Mr. Drennan-yes, Mr. Clay-yes, Mr. Donahue-yes, and Mr. Kesner-yes. There were seven members present at the meeting.

Mr. Hicks called the meeting to order at 10:00 am.

## 1.) Review and Consider Approval of Agenda

The first item of business was to review and approve the agenda for May 17, 2022 meeting. Mr. Hicks asked if there were any additions or changes to the agenda. Mr. Robinson stated there were none. Mr. Ramirez made a motion, seconded by Mr. Kesner to approve the agenda as presented. The vote on the motion was 7-0 and the motion carried.

## 2.) Review and Consider Approval of Minutes

Mr. Hicks asked if everyone has had a chance to read the Regular Meeting Minutes from the April 19, 2022. Mr. Kesner made a motion, seconded by Mr. Ramirez to approve the Regular Meeting Minutes as presented. The vote on the motion was 7-0 and the motion carried.

## Communications from Citizens

There were no communications from citizens.

## Action Items

## None

## Discussion/Updates

## 3) Review Sketch Plan for The South 40 Subdivision, as submitted by property owner, Daniel Johncox.

Mr. Robinson explained this is the sketch plan for the South 40 Subdivision. Mr. Robinson stated the developer has submitted a sketch plan of his master plan. The developer is considering doing phases lot development. Mr. Robinson stated the Planning Board has discussed the concept of rural subdivision adjacent to municipal boundaries and what kind of infrastructure is required. Mr. Robinson stated clients who are outside municipal boundaries have to sign a certification, which states the developer will comply with the municipal development rules and regulations. Additionally, they are charged a higher rate for their water usage. Mr. Robinson stated the Planning Board has previously discussed the concept of the South 40 Subdivision but has never reviewed the plans for the development. He stated the Planning Board came up with questions for rural subdivisions. Mr. Robinson said the developer is requesting to have City water and sewer.

In response to Mr. Clay's question, Mr. Robinson stated the municipal water system will be extended for property owners located inside the municipal boundaries. Mr. Robinson stated existing homeowners will not be required to hook on the municipal infrastructure.

In response to Mr. Hick's question, Mr. Robinson stated the City's wastewater system does not have the capacity to serve the development. He stated it is the developer's responsibility to put in a lift station. Mr. Robinson stated the developer is not requesting to be annexed inside municipal boundaries at this time.

Mr. Daniel Johncox, the owner of Youngs Mobile Home, explained the plan for the South 40 Subdivision. Mr. Johncox explained this development is designed to be a rural development with City water. He said this is a great opportunity to utilize this vacant property for affordable homeownership. He further explained he is requesting to work with the City of Hobbs to utilize the City water and liquid waste system for the South 40 Subdivision.

Mr. Hicks expressed his concern about the long-term impact of the sewer system.
In response to Mr. Ramirez's question, Mr. Randall explained one of the concerns is that the south bypass will cut through the South 40 Subdivision. He stated the right of way has not been acquired and explained there has been discussion to amend the major thoroughfare plan to move the southeast bypass. He stated this is a concern that will need to be discussed by the Planning Board.

Mr. Clay expressed his concern about the development being built through the southeast bypass and stated he would like to do more research before agreeing to the development.

After a lengthy discussion, Mr. Hicks explained this discussion is to get a consensus statement from the Planning Board to entertain the development. Mr. Hicks stated some details will need to be worked out with staff.
4) Review Sketch Plan for The Bender Trails Subdivision, as submitted by property owner, Nadeem Kassis.

Mr. Robinson explained this is a sketch plan for the Bender Trails Subdivision. Mr. Robinson stated the subdivision is a development with single-family homes. He stated staff has reviewed the sketch plan and it is compliant with Municipal Code 16.

Mr. Hicks stated the Planning Board reviewed the plans and has no concerns regarding the subdivision.

## 5) FY 2024-2028 Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan (ICIP) process.

Mr. Robinson explained the local Infrastructure Capital Improvement (ICIP) is a plan that establishes planning priorities for anticipated capital projects. He further explained that the process encourages entities to plan to develop capital improvements. Mr. Robinson explained the plan covers a five-year period and is developed and submitted annually. It includes policy direction, funding time frames, estimated costs, justifications, and the details of each specific infrastructure capital improvement project proposed, by year, over the five-year period. He also explained the plan includes repair or replacement of existing infrastructure and the development of new infrastructure. Mr. Robinson explained each participating entity must update their project information in the ICIP database and submit copies of the written approval of ICIP by the governing body. Mr. Robinson stated the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) strongly encourages each jurisdiction to prepare a five-year infrastructure capital improvement plan (ICIP) and submit it to the DFA in Santa Fe by August 2022. He further stated the Planning Board will submit a proposed capital improvement plan to the Hobbs City Commission for approval.

Mr. Randall explained the budget is challenging this year and the list of ICIP projects will have to be reprioritized.

Mr. Hicks clarified that the Planning Board will need to review the list of projects and pick 10 projects at the Planning Board meeting in June 2022 to submit to the City Commission for approval.
6) Discuss MC 15.36 and the National Flood Insurance Program Community Rating System.

Ms. Amanda Ponce, GIS Tech, explained the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) administered a program to make flood insurance available to communities who agree to adopt and enforce floodplain management regulations. She further explained the Community Rating System (CRS) provides an incentive to implement programs that exceed
the NFIP minimum criteria. Ms. Ponce said the City of Hobbs is currently participating in the CRS program to bring affordable flood insurance to our community. The City of Hobbs is ranked at a Class 8 and is saving residents $10 \%$ on flood insurance.

Ms. Ponce further explained the purpose of the program is to reduce the emphasis on flood control; increase the emphasis on floodplain management; reduce Federal disaster costs; shift the burden from general taxpayers to floodplain occupants; provide insurance coverage not generally available on the private market.

Ms. Ponce said this program is part of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). She further explained the program gives flood insurance premium credits for activities undertaken to reduce flood losses, facilitate accurate insurance ratings, and promote the awareness of the benefits of flood insurance.

Ms. Ponce explained any property owner in an NFIP participating community can purchase flood insurance. Any eligible structure and its content in any flood zone. NFIP Flood Insurance Available only in participating communities Required for federally-backed mortgages when any insurable structure is located in Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) Mandatory purchase triggers: making, renewing, extending a loan. FEMA or a State-led Community Assistance Visit (Cav) will evaluate and cross-check with communities to monitor local compliance, such as reclassification, probation, or suspension. The Community Assistance Visit (CAV) is performed every 3-5 years. Ms. Ponce explained The City of Hobbs provides and helps assist the community in obtaining information on flood hazards, map data, flood insurance, and proper construction measures.

Mr. Ponce explained in order to stay in Class 8 the community must adopt and enforce at least a 1 -foot freeboard requirement for all residential buildings constructed, substantially improved, and/or reconstructed due to substantial damage, throughout its Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) where base flood elevations have been determined on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) or in the Flood Insurance Study (FIS), except those areas that receive open space credit under Activity 420 (Open Space Preservation).

Mr. Randall explained the new CRS Class 8 freeboard prerequisite. He further explained an additional amount of height above the base flood elevation is used as a factor of safety in determining the level at which a structure's lowest floor must be elevated or floodproofed to be in accordance with state or community floodplain management regulations. He stated the City of Hobbs has not adopted an ordinance for a 1-foot freeboard requirement and will probably have to change to a Class 9 .

## 7) Review Proposed Existing Building Certificate of Occupancy Ordinance, Procedure, and Application.

Mr. Robinson explained the purpose of Amending MC 15.04 is to codify the issuance of Certificates of Occupancy for existing structures, thereby assuring to the public the continued Occupancy \Usage of an existing structure without change. The current Municipal Code requires that each new habitable structure developed within our community obtain a Certificate of Occupancy stating the structure is code compliant as to the permitted occupancy\usage. Additionally, the MC requires that each existing habitable structure that
changes its Occupancy \Usage within our community obtain a Certificate of Occupancy stating the structure is code compliant as to the new occupancy $\backslash$ usage

Mr. Robinson explained the amendment to develop a quick and effective process whereby a Certificate of Occupancy could be issued for the continued usage of an existing structure without change. Mr. Robinson further explained over time, the City will develop a GIS Dataset that provides for the classification of buildings, structures, and parts thereof based on the purpose or purposes for which they are used as per Chapter 3 of the IBC. Additionally, they will also develop a GIS Dataset that provides for the graphical representation of the interior (floor plans) \& exterior (site plans) development notating the required safety features of the site \& structure.

Mr. Robinson stated the sub-committee met to discuss the existing building certificate of occupancy ordinance, procedures, and application. He stated there will be another meeting to solidify the verbiage on the proposed code and additions to the applications. He stated staff's purpose is not to burden the developmental community. The staff just wants the developer to be code compliant. Mr. Robinson stated there will be one more sub-committee meeting. He further stated the amendments will be on the next Planning Board agenda for recommendation to the City Commission for approval.

## Adjournment

With nothing further to discuss Mr. Kesner made a motion, seconded by Mr. Drennan to adjourn. The meeting adjourned at 11:40 am. The vote on the motion was 7-0 and the motion carried.
W.M. "Tres" Hicks, Chairman

# June 22, 2021 <br> Planning Board Regular Meeting 

3) Review and Consider Variance Request from MC 15.32.030-C(2) allowing a new freestanding sign to be emplaced with a sign face in excess of 144 square feet at 228 E. Navajo.

## Municipal Code Excerpts

15.05.010 - Definitions.

For the purposes of this title the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them by this section:
"Freestanding sign" means any sign not affixed to a building or structure, supported by a structure that are placed on or anchored in the ground and that are independent from any building or other structures, and having its lowest edge ten (10) feet or more above the elevation of the top of curb of the nearest roadway or if no curb the City Engineer will establish the elevation.
"Multi-business sign" means a sign that promotes a plaza, mall or similar use with multiple tenants' advertisements.
15.32.030-General provisions for signs.

Except as otherwise specifically provided in the City code, the following provisions apply for all signs:
C. Placing Signs on Private Property. No signs shall be placed on any private property without a permit and shall meet the following:

1. Each commercially developed site may have no more than one free-standing sign; provided, however, that sites with more than three hundred (300) feet of public street frontage may have one additional free-standing sign for each three hundred (300) feet of additional frontage or a fraction thereof.
2. No free-standing sign shall exceed thirty-five (35) feet in height and shall not exceed one hundred forty-four (144) square feet per sign. Wall signs shall not exceed one hundred forty-four (144) square feet per leased space facade.
3. The main multi-business sign on malls, shopping centers, strip malls, or similar uses shall not exceed more than one hundred forty-four (144) square feet and the total of tenant signs shall not exceed one hundred forty-four (144) square feet.
4. Signs erected on private properties fronting a minor residential roadway and within a residential area shall not exceed fifteen (15) feet in height or forty-eight (48) square feet per sign and be located wholly within the prescribed building setback. Wall signs shall not exceed forty-eight (48) square feet maximum.

| From: | Todd Randall |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, June 13, 2022 10:41 AM |
| To: | Kevin Robinson |
| Subject: | FW: THIS COULD BE PHISHING: EXTERNAL: Navajo East Plaza |
| FYI |  |

From: Todd Randall
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2022 1:54 AM
To: Kevin Robinson [krobinson@hobbsnm.org](mailto:krobinson@hobbsnm.org)
Subject: Fwd: THIS COULD BE PHISHING: EXTERNAL: Navajo East Plaza

Begin forwarded message:
From: Dwayne Penick [dwaynepenick@yahoo.com](mailto:dwaynepenick@yahoo.com)
Date: May 24, 2022 at 7:06:43 PM MDT
To: Todd Randall [trandall@hobbsnm.org](mailto:trandall@hobbsnm.org)
Subject: THIS COULD BE PHISHING: EXTERNAL: Navajo East Plaza
!!!THE DISPLAY NAME OF THE FROM ADDRESS DOES NOT MATCH A CITY OF HOBBS EMAIL ADDRESS. THIS COULD BE AN ATTEMPTED PHISHING ATTACK. VERIFY THAT THIS IS INDEED FROM AN EMPLOYEE.!!!
signage at 228 East Navajo,
I would like to apply for a variance from the 144 square feet on a sign to 200 square feet. do we need to address both signs being on one?

Please let me know if you need anything else Dwayne Penick
A-Custom Const. LLC.



# June 22, 2021 <br> Planning Board Regular Meeting 

4) Review and Consider Variance Request from MC 15.32.030-D allowing a new Billboard to be emplaced within a property containing an existing free standing sign at 521 W . Navajo.

## Municipal Code Excerpts

15.05.010 - Definitions.

For the purposes of this title the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them by this section:
"Billboard" means a sign which directs attention to a business, activity, commodity, service, entertainment or communication which is not conducted, sold or offered at the premises on which the sign is located, or which does not pertain to the premises upon which the sign is located.
"Freestanding sign" means any sign not affixed to a building or structure, supported by a structure that are placed on or anchored in the ground and that are independent from any building or other structures, and having its lowest edge ten (10) feet or more above the elevation of the top of curb of the nearest roadway or if no curb the City Engineer will establish the elevation.
"Multi-business sign" means a sign that promotes a plaza, mall or similar use with multiple tenants' advertisements.
15.32.030 - General provisions for signs.

Except as otherwise specifically provided in the City code, the following provisions apply for all signs:
D. Placing Billboards on Private Property. No billboards shall be placed on any private property containing a free standing sign. Billboards shall only be placed on private property fronting a Major Arterial as specified within the City of Hobbs Major Thoroughfare Plan and shall meet the following:

1. Each site shall have no more than one billboard; provided, however, that sites with more than eight hundred (800) feet of public street frontage may have one additional billboard providing an eight hundred (800) foot linear separation is maintained between all proposed or existing billboards adjacent to and oriented perpendicular to a Major Arterial, regardless of ownership.
2. A billboard shall not be permitted to be placed within eight hundred (800) linear feet of any existing billboard adjacent to and oriented perpendicular to a Major Arterial.
3. No billboard shall exceed thirty-five (35) feet in height or three hundred (300) square feet per billboard. All billboards shall be constructed of metal.
4. Billboards on residential streets are prohibited.
5. Billboards unable to secure an advertiser for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days shall be considered abandoned. The Building Official shall issue the property owner written notice of abandonment and said billboard shall be removed at the owner's expense within thirty (30) days.

| From: | Nadeem Kassis [nzkexpress@yahoo.com](mailto:nzkexpress@yahoo.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, June 9, 2022 10:46 AM |
| To: | Todd Randall |
| Cc: | Kevin Robinson; Scott Shed |
| Subject: | EXTERNAL: 521 W. Navajo drive - variance request |
| Attachments: | kassis enterprise - 521 navajo.pdf |

Good morning Todd.
I am requesting a Variance on a $10 \times 30$ Digital billboard i am trying to get installed at 521 W Navajo Drive. Our request for a permit was initially denied based off existing signs on the property. Those signs are on two different, connecting properties, none of the current signs can be classified as monument or pole signs and do not meet city code. I understand that those signs have been there a long time, but this creates a gray area in the current code. I am asking that you take into consideration on this particular location. I have attached some documents to clarify the location and engineered drawings. thanks

Nadeem kassis

CEO/president - Kassis companies






# June 22, 2021 

## Planning Board Regular Meeting

5) Review and Consider Side Yard Setback Variance as submitted by property owner for property located at 711 N. Dal Paso.

## CERTIFICATION/DESIGN BASIS

THE REFERENCED PROJECT HAS BEEN DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2015 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING A.I.S.C. DESIGN PROCEDURES AND GOOD ENGINEERIN PRACTICE. THE FOLLOWING LOADS ARE APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ASCE STANDARD MINIMUM
DESIGN LOADS FOR BUILDINGS AND OTHER
STRUCTURES:
DEAD LOAD: SELF WEIGHT OF STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS AND ROOFING MATERIAL.

COLLATERAL LOAD: N.A.
MECHANICAL LOAD: N.A.
ROOF LIVE LOAD: 20 PSF, REDUCIBLE PER IBC.
FLOOR LIVE LOAD:
WIND LOAD:
GROUND SNOW:

40 PSF
105 MPH EXP. C, IMP. FACTOR 1.0 10 PSF


## Planning Board Regular Meeting

6) Review and Consider Final Plat Approval for the Subdivision of Lot 6, Mid-Way Acres Subdivision, Unit Two, located within the City of Hobbs ETJ, as submitted by property owner(s).


# June 22, 2021 

Planning Board Regular Meeting
7) Review and Consider Final Plat Approval for the Subdivision of Lot 5, Mid-Way Acres Subdivision, Unit Two, located within the City of Hobbs ETJ, as submitted by property owner(s).


RECORD DESCRPTITIONS

NEW DESCRIPTIONS
LOT 5-1





Contans 99.269 .19 SQUARE FEET OR 2.279 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
LOT 5 . 2



 THENCE SOUTH B99 TT41" WEST, A AISTANE OF



CONTAINS 99,269.19 SQUARE FEET OR 2.279 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
LOT 5.3
俍
 THENCE SOUTH OO" 39 "46" EAST, ADISTAMCE OF 315.18 FEET, TO A FOUND 12 INCH REBA



CONTANS 154,980.37 SQUARE FEET OR 3.558 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
$\frac{\operatorname{LOT} 5.4}{\text { ATRACT }}$
 -




WITHA PLASTIC CAP STAMPED "MULLIKEN PS 18873 :
 THENCE NORTH 899 27' 41 "EAST, A IISTANCE OF 138.30 FEET, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNIN:
CONTANS 43567300 SQUARE EEET OR 1000 ACRES MORE OP LESS


CAMACHO - MONTOYA
RE-PLAT OF LOT 5, UNIT TWO, OF MIDWAY aCRES SUBDIVISION
PART OF THE NE1/4 OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, N. M. P. M. LEA Co., NEW MEXICO

CERTIFICATE OF MUNICIPAL APPROVAL


BY:
KEVIN ROBINSON, DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR
ACKNOWLEDGMENT
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF LEA:
THIS INTRUMENT WAS ACKNOWLLEDGED BEFORE ME ONTHE_DAY OF___ 2021
By $\qquad$ -
$\overline{\text { Notary Public }}$
MY COMMISSION EXPRES
CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL OF EXEMPTION TO SUBDIVIIION REGULATIONS BY LEA COUNTY


LEA COUNTY ASSUMES No responsibluty for the construction or maintenance of any roadway
DEDICATION

BY: COREY NEEDHAM, ASSITTANT COUNTY MANAGER ACKNOWLEDGMENT
STATE OF NEW MEXICO:
COUNTY OF LEA:
this instrument was acknowledged before me on the _____ DAY of ____ 2020 by

Notary Puble
MY Commission Expries_ $\qquad$
EASEmENTS AND SETBACKS
THE 20 FOOT UTLITY EASEMENTS ALONG THE SOUTH AND EAST BOUNDARY OF LOT 5 , WILL
STLL REMAIN AS PLATEED ORIINALIY.

WXTEND TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT ST, FER THIS PLAT
ALL Other
PLACE



CERTIFCATE OF SURVEY




PRELIMINARY

## owners statement and affilavit

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF LEA:
THE UNDERSIINED BENG FIRST DUUY Sworn on oath stat

 CARLOS A CAMACHO, LANDOWNER

DATE
ACKNOWLEDGMEN
STATE O $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Thi nstrument was Acknowlege der $\qquad$ ay of ${ }^{2021}$
${ }^{\text {Br }}$
$\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{Br}}$

Notar Public
MY Commission EXPIRES $\qquad$

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF LEA:
THE UNDERSIGNED BEING FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH, STATE



JESUS MONTOYA LANDOWNER DATE
ACKNOWLEDGMENT
state of $\qquad$
county $\qquad$
THIS INSTRUMEN TWAS ACKNowLEDGED BEFORE ME ONTHE $\qquad$ dAY OF $\qquad$ $\sim^{2021}$
${ }^{\mathrm{By}}$
$\overline{\text { Notary Public }}$
my Commission ExPires $\square$
BASES OF BEARING:
THE BAIIS OF BEARIN FOR THII PROUECT II GRID NORTH BASED ON TTE NEW MEXIICO STATE PLANE



SCALE: ${ }^{1 "=100^{\prime}}$

DAEE: $05 / 16 / 2022$ SURVEYED BY: BH/JM | DRAWN BY: DEB |
| :--- |
| APPROVED BY: DEB |

# June 22, 2021 

## Planning Board Regular Meeting

8) Review and Consider Proposed Annexation of $+/-5.06$ acres of property located southeast of the intersection of Alegre Drive and N. Grimes.


## NOTES:

1) BEARINGS SHOON HEREON ARE MERCATOR GRD AND CONFORM TO THE NEW MEXICO COORDINATE SYSTEM "NEW MEXICO EAST ZONE"NOR
AMERICAN DATUM 1983. DISTANCES ARE SUPFACE VALUES.
2) ADJACENT OWNERSHIP OBTAINED FROM LEA COUNTY ASSESSOR'S OFFICE

## SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:   


$\xrightarrow{\text { PROVIING SURVEYING SERVICES }}$
JOHN WEST SURVEYING COMPANY


CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL BY THE CITY PLANNING BOARD THE PLAT, RESTRICTIONS REVIEWED AND APPROVED ON THE—D DAY OF

CHAIRMAN: WILLIAM M. HICKS, III

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF LEA

this instrument Was acknowledged before me this 2022 A.D. BY WILLIAM M. HICKS, III

NOTARY PUBLIC

$\stackrel{200}{=}=-=-\frac{0}{\text { Scale: I inch } 2200 \text { feet }}$
TORRES ANNEXATION of a tract of LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST, N.M.P.M.,
TO THE CITY OF HOBBS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
the foregoing annexation of a tract of Land located in the south hall of the northwest QUARTER OF SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST, N.M.P.M., LEA COUNTY, NEW

BEGINNING AT A A/2" STEEL ROD FOUND FOR THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THIS TRACT, WHICH LIES


 WITH CAP MARKED "WWC PS 12641 " SET FOR THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THIS TRACT; THEN S SOFFOO21"W ISTANCE OF 1283.19 FEET TOA 1/2" STEEL ROD WITH CAP MARKED "JWSC PS 12641 " SET FOR THE BEGINNING. CONTANING 5.06 ACRES MORE OR LESS.

## CERTIFICATE OF MUNICIPAL APPROVAL

, JAN FLETCHER, THE DULY APPOINTED AND ACTING CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF HOBBS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THA THE FOREGOING PLAT OF AN ANNEXATION TRACT TO THE CITY OF HOBBS, WAS APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION OF THE
CITY OF HOBBS BY ORDINANCE No. ON THE DAY OF

JAN FLETCHER, CITY CLERK

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
this instrument was acknowledged before me ths DAY OF , 2022 A.D. BY JAN FLETCHER.
$\overline{\text { NOTARY PUBLIC }}$


# June 22, 2021 

Planning Board Regular Meeting
9) Review and Consider Proposed Annexation of +/- 8.38 acres of property located southwest of the intersection of Millen Drive and Ja-Rob Lane.

## PETITION FOR ANNEXATION

COMES NOW, $\nrightarrow M \not / 2, L C C$ (PETITIONER), and petitions the governing body of the City of Hobbs for its consent by ordinance for the annexation of that portion of see deed attached to the City of Hobbs, more particularly described as follows:

## REFER TO ATTACHED MAP \& DEED

and Petitioner states in support of such Petition, pursuant to Section 3-7-17.1 of the New Mexico Statutes Annotated (1978) that:

1. The property petitioner wishes to be annexed and the real property is contiguous to the present municipal boundary of the City of Hobbs.
2. The petitioner is owner of a majority of the number of acres in the proposed annexation territory.
3. An Annexation Plat is attached hereto showing the boundaries of the real property proposed for annexation and the relationship of such property to the present municipal boundaries of the City of Hobbs.


$$
6-15-2022
$$

Date

## WARRANTY DEED

Del Note Heights, Inc., a corporation aka Del Norte Heights, Inc., a New Mexico corporation, for consideration paid, grant to 7773, LLC, a New Mexico limited liability company whose address is 2320 N. Kingsley Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240, the following described real estate in Lea County, New Mexico.
For
Surface
Title
Only: A tract of land located in Section 16, Township 18 South, Range 38 East, being Tract One (1), of that certain Del Norte Heights, Inc., Summary Land Division Plat filed September 22, 2020, in Book 2, Page 655, Lea County Records, Lea County, New Mexico.

Subject to reservations, severances, restrictions, and easements of record and taxes for the year 2021 and subsequent years.
with warranty covenants.
WITNESS our hands and seal this $\qquad$ day of December, 2020.

Del Note Heights, Inc. , a New Mexico corporation aka Del Note Heights, Inc., a New Mexico corporation


Name: Dean Ale Snyder, III
Title: Vice President


Name: Madeline L. Mann
Title: Secretary/Treasurer

## Representative Capacity

State of

## New Mexico

County of $\qquad$ )

The instrument was acknowledged before me on

$8^{\text {h }}$day of December, 2020, by Dean Ale Snyder, III as Vice President of Del Note Heights, Inc., a corporation aka Del Note Heights, Inc., a New Mexico corporation.

My commission expires:

(Seal)


State of
$\qquad$

County of
The instrument was acknowledged before me on day of December, 2020 by Madeline L. Mann as Secretary/Treasurer of Del Note Heights, Inc., a corporation aka Del Note Heights, Inc., a New Mexico corporation.

My commission expires:


OFFICIAL SEAL
Cynthia Saenz Miller
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
My Commission Explores:



# June 22, 2021 

## Planning Board Regular Meeting

10) Review and Consider Top 10 projects for the FY 2023-2027 Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan (ICIP).
