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## PREFACE.

C. O. Möllez's Work on the Eumenides has deservedly obtained the approbation of some of the first Scholars of the day: it is an admirable specimen of the Author's searching and comprehensive spirit of enquiry, and claims the attention of every person who would fully understand the Drama of Eschylus in general, as well as have a thonough perception of the pecutiar beauties and train of ideas which characterise the Tragedy of the Eumenides. It was this desire on the part of the Author that gave rise to the following Essays, and induced haim to compose the metrical version of the Play which accompanies his edition of the Greek text. His translation, which he tells us is the fruit of long and frequent study, is generally acknowledged to be a very excellent piece of cormposition, embodying the full spirit as well as sense of the original. The text is here prefixed, as an indispensable accompaniment to the Dissertations, and the necessary explanations respecting it are given in the Critical Annotacioss at p. 97 sqq. It will be found to be highly emended; and the arrangement of the Choral Odes, as also the Author's exposition on this subject in the first Dissertation are particularly deserving of attention.

With respect to the Dissertations, which constitute the principal part of the present publication, we cannot do better than state the Author's views in his own words, as contained in his Preface. He says:
"As a Translation necessarily implies interpretation, the Author did not deem it so essential to facilitate the understanding of the Play by the aid of detached notes, as to treat in a connected form of the subjects most materially involved in the right comprehension of the entire composition, in order to place the reader in a position to regard the Tragedy no longer as the airy production of a strange world and foreign views, but in the light of an object invested with present life and reality. To this end it appeared requisite, in the first place, as far as we are able at the present day, to exhibit the Tragedy as it was represented upon the Athenian Stage, so as to draw from what we read the image and counterpart of that which the Athenians beheld; in the next place, to describe the political, judicial, and religious institutions, relations and aims, from which the genius of Aschylus drew its materials for this production of art, as the tree derives nourishment from the parent soil; and then to consider the ideas which predominate all through the composition.
" The interpretation of an ancient work of art is in fact nothing else than the adjustment of the individual production to the collective age in which it stands and had its being. In the establishment of this adaptation, it is true, from our having in our scientific mode of proceeding to substitute notions for feelings, we are frequently obliged to explain subjects which did not present themselves in that way to the mind of the Poet, but which were concerned, nevertheless, in defining the form of his Work.
" If these disquisitions, constructed as they are for the most part out of materials hitherto but little handled, should be found more abstruse and perplexed than suits the ease of our indulged Public, it must be remembered that the
finest fruits of antiquity are those which require most thought and exertion of intellect, as they did even at the time of their production. But should the Philologists by profession feel inclined to make any use at all of the Work, they will find in the Index references to every passage in the Essays explanatory of single verses in the Play, and so may have the opportunity of frittering away the systematic development in a parcel of notes of the received kind. Happily, however, there is now a class of readers who put more searching questions to antiquity than can be answered by mere notelearning; and to such the present Work may perhaps afford matter for useful reflection."

These are the views pursued in the following Work; and these are the principles of Philology, which, under the auspices of such eminent scholars as Boeckh, Dissen, Welcker, and Müller, are rapidly gaining ground in Germany over the exclusive method of verbal criticism adopted by Hermann and his school. This circumstance may serve to account for the very violent spirit in which Müller's Book has been criticized by Hermann and one of his disciples. However, the Author has very ably defended himself against the attack in an Appendix, in which he has confirmed his views, and vindicated his treatment of the subject. Some few changes and additions which he has there made are introduced into the text and critical notes of the present Translation; and the supplementary articles on the Thymele and Calculus Minerva are also taken from it.

Sufficient has been said to explain the nature and object of the present Work, and nothing further need be remarked respecting it: it is not necessary to dwell here upon its particular merits, as the Reader may best judge of these for himself. With respect to his own humble performance the

Translator has only to state, that he has endeavoured to give the sense of the original as accurately as possible, and ventures to hope that the valuable assistance he has received may recommend the Essays to that notice which they so highly deserve.

Cambridge, April 14, 1835.
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TA TOY $\triangle$ PAMA'TO天 ПPOГ $\Omega \Pi$.

IITEIAE MPOФHTIE.
A 10 ANON . OPEETRE.

KATTAIMNHETPAE EIAQAON. XOPOL ETMENIAQN. A日HNA.

ПРОПOMHOI.

## IIPO』OTOX. ${ }^{(a)}$

## 

ПP


 ムá $\chi \epsilon \iota, \theta \epsilon \lambda o v o \sigma \eta s$, oúdè $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta i ́ a \nu ~ \tau i v o ̀ s, ~$

 Фоí $\beta \varphi$. то̀ Фоí $\beta \eta s \delta^{\prime}$ óvо $\mu^{\prime}$ є́ $\chi \in \iota \pi \alpha \rho \omega ́ \nu \nu \mu о \nu$.













(a) The Scene is laid at Delphi, in the Front Court of the Pythian Temple. (See Essay 1. § 27.)

## AIEXYAOY








Eтєєтa $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \theta \rho o ́ v o u s ~ к а \theta ı \zeta a ́ \nu \omega . ~$





H $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota, \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i ̂ s ~ \delta \rho a \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu$






E $\delta \rho \alpha \nu$ е́ $\chi о \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \rho о s \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha \iota o \nu, \alpha^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$




I $\rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ סẹ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \theta a \nu \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \chi o s ~$ ミúd́ct $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega ̄ \nu$ év $\theta \rho o ́ v o ı \sigma \iota \nu ~ \grave{n} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$.

(b) The Pythoness enters the Temple, but presently returns porting herself by her hands against objects on either side of
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## AlEXYAOY

Mıбท' $\mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} O \lambda \nu \mu \pi i \omega \nu$.




 Пóvov• $\mu о \lambda \omega ̀ \nu$ dè Пà入áסos тотi ттó入ıv,
 80
Káкєî $\delta_{\iota \kappa} \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \theta \epsilon \lambda к \tau \eta \rho i ́ o u s ~$




$$
\text { OPE } \Sigma \text { THE. }
$$

 'Етєi $\delta$ ' $\epsilon \pi \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha, ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ ' \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mu a ́ \theta \epsilon . ~$

 $\Sigma i ̀ \delta^{\prime}, ~ a u ́ \tau \alpha ́ \delta ́ \epsilon \lambda \phi o \nu ~ a i ̂ \mu \alpha ~ к а i ~ к о \iota \nu o ̂ ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s, ~$
 90

 'O $O \mu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ ß

KAYTAIMNHETPAE EIDRAON.




(d) Exit Orestes conducted by Hermes.-Clytcemnesira's (ihost ears behind Apollo.








 Xoás $\tau$ ' aoívous, $\nu \eta \phi a ́ \lambda ı \alpha$ $\mu \in i \lambda i ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$.
 "EOvov 巛̈pav oúdєvòs кolvウ̀̀ $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$.


 " $\Omega \rho o v \sigma \epsilon \nu$, vi $\mu i ̂ \nu$ é $\gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \iota \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \psi a s \mu^{\prime} \gamma \alpha$.
 $\Psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} s^{\cdot} \phi \rho о \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau^{\prime}, ~ \grave{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi$ Өovòs $\theta \epsilon \alpha i$.

XO. (Muruós.)


XO. (Mvrرós.)

 XO. (' $\Omega \gamma \mu o ́ s$.

Tí $\sigma 0 \iota \pi \epsilon \in \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ ;$
XO. (' $\Omega \gamma \mu o ́ s$.


## AIEXYAOY

Mıб $\eta_{\mu}^{\prime} \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ каi $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu \pi i \omega \nu$.
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 Пóvov• $\mu о \lambda \omega ̀ \nu$ סè Пал入áסos тотi $\pi \tau о ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,
 80





## OPEETHE.




 $\Sigma i ̀ \delta^{\prime}, ~ a u ́ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \nu ~ a i ̂ \mu a ~ к а i ~ к о \iota \nu o ̂ ̀ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s, ~$





## Khytaimneitpaz EidgaOn.

(d) Exit Orestes conducted by Hermes.-Clytamnesira's (ihost appears behind Apollo.









Xoás $\tau^{\prime}$ aंoívous, $\nu \eta \phi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \alpha \mu \in i \lambda i ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$.


Kai $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a \quad \tau \alpha u ̄ \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi}$ ópw $\pi \alpha \tau o u ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha$.


" $\Omega \rho o v \sigma \in \nu$, vi $\mu i ̂ \nu$ é $\gamma к \alpha \tau \iota \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \psi a s \mu^{\prime} \gamma \alpha$.



XO. (Mur ${ }^{\text {ós.) }}$


XO. (Muruós.)
 Фovєùs $\delta^{\prime}$ 'O $\rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta s \tau \eta ̄ s \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ o i ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha l . ~ 120$
XO. (' $\Omega \gamma \mu o ́ s$.

Tí бо८ $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha к \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \alpha ~ \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к а к \alpha ́ ; ~ ;$
XO. ('S $\left.\gamma^{\gamma} \mu o ́ s.\right)$


## AIEXYAOY



 $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta \epsilon, \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \epsilon_{\text {. }}(\boldsymbol{e})$

125










> XOPOY HГEMתN.




## KOMMATIKA.

$$
\text { XOPOE. }(h)
$$



(e) These exclamations are uttered by the Conductress of the Chorus, and seven other voices in rapid succession. (See Essay i. § 10.
( $f$ ) The Ghost vanishes-The Conductress of the Chorus starts up from her seat.
(g) The Furies start up one after another from their seats, and range themselves upon the stage, right and left of their Leader; so that part of them stand nearer to Apollo, and part nearer to the spot where the Ghost appeared. The latter portion sing the Strophes, the former the Antistrophes. (Essay I. § 14.)
( $h$ ) The figures prefixed to the verses denote the distribution of the voices.
 какóv.

 Avt. ${ }^{\alpha}$. 4. 'I $\omega$, $\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \Delta \iota o ́ s!~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i к \lambda o \pi o s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta-$
3. Néos $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ र $\rho a i ́ a s ~ \delta a i ́ \mu o \nu a s ~ к а \theta ı \pi \pi a ́ \sigma \omega-~$
 $\pi \iota \kappa \rho o ́ v$.




Мєбо入aßєî кє́vт $\rho \omega$.

Па́рєбть мабтіктороs $\delta$ аíov $\delta a \mu i o v$


Kратойעтєs тò $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ סíkas $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in o \nu$.

Пєрi то́da, тєрi ка́ра




Пaןà vó $\mu о \nu$ өє $\omega \bar{\nu}$ ß
Пa入alyєveîs סè Moípas $\phi$ Oícas.





## AIEXYAOY

\П. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \xi \omega, \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}^{\prime} \omega, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi o s$
$\mathrm{X} \omega \rho \epsilon і \tau^{\prime}, \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$.



' $\mathrm{E} \mu \mathrm{o}$
Oúтоı סó $\mu о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s \delta є ~ \chi \rho i ́ \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a l ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \bullet . ~$
' $А \lambda \lambda$ ' $\rho \hat{v}$ к $\alpha \rho \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \epsilon s \dot{o} \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega \omega^{\rho} \nu \chi o \iota$
175







Oíkeî̀ тo九aútas єikòs, oủ र $\rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta$ píoıs
' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ тoîs $\delta \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime} o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau \rho i ́ \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l ~ \mu v ́ \sigma o s . ~$


 Aútòs $\sigma \dot{v}$ тoút $\omega \nu$ ov́ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ t i o s \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$, (190)



.П. 'Е $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ тoıvàs $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha i \cdot ~ \tau i ́ ~ \mu \eta ' \nu ; ~$

.П. Kai $\pi \rho o s \tau \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o u ́ s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s . ~$
O. Kai тàs тоотоцтоùs $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ та́s $\delta \epsilon$ 入oiסорєîs; 195
.П. Óv $\gamma$ à $\rho$ סó $\mu о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s \delta \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ф о \rho o v ~ \mu о \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v . ~$
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## AIEXYAOY




225






 Зopoû. ì $\left.\pi \iota \pi \alpha^{\rho} \rho o \delta o s.\right)(k)$


Tєт $\rho \alpha \nu \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \omega і s ~ к u ́ \omega \nu \nu ~ \nu \in \beta \rho o ̀ \nu, ~ 235 ~$
Прòs aî $\mu \alpha$ каì $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda a \gamma \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ к \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu . ~$

(239)



240


> KOMMATIKA.
> XOPOE. $(l)$
 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \rho \alpha$, ò $\rho \alpha \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda^{2} \alpha \hat{v} \cdot \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau O \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha},(245)$

(k) Enter the Conductress of Chorus followed by the Furies n double file: as they advance they spread themselves out towards joth sides of the Orchestra.
(l) The Furies having taken their stations opposite to one unother in the Orchestra, sing the following Strophes in the responive order denoted by the figures prefixed to the verses. The 7th and 8th voices join in v. 258, and the 9th and 10th voices in v. 261.

## 




$\Delta u s a \gamma \kappa o ́ \mu ı \sigma \tau o \nu, \pi \alpha \pi \alpha i ̂$.





'Avтıாóvovs тíveıs $\mu \eta \tau \rho o \phi o ́ v a s ~ \delta u ́ a s . ~$


"H токє́as фí入ous,



$\Delta \epsilon \lambda \tau 0 \gamma \rho a ́ \varphi \omega$ dè $\pi a^{\prime} \nu \tau$ ' $\epsilon \pi \omega \pi \underset{a}{a} \phi \rho \epsilon \nu i ́$.






Пoтаíviov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ öv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ e ́ \sigma \tau i ́ a ~ \theta є o v ̂, ~$



X $\rho o ́ v o s ~ к \alpha \theta a \iota \rho \in i ̂ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \gamma \eta \rho a ́ \sigma к \omega \nu ~ o ́ \mu о \hat{v}$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ПAPODOX. } \\
& \text { X OPO } .(m)
\end{aligned}
$$



 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nu \omega \mu \hat{a} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota s \alpha^{\mu} \mu \alpha$,
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \cdot$ 5, 6. EíOvסíkal $\theta^{\circ}$ ทi $\delta o ́ \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ єival. 296


( $m$ ) The Conductress ascends the steps of the Thymele: the rest of the Chorus arrange themselves in the Orchestra in the regular order, and sing the following verses.
＇Avt．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．9，10．＇A $A \iota \nu \eta$＇s $\delta$＇aiwva $\delta \iota o \iota \chi \nu \epsilon i$.
 форías є̇тькрúттєו，



## ETAEIMON MPSTON．（n）

ミтן．á．Мât
Núg，ả入aoíбı каi $\delta \in \delta o \rho к о ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ П о \iota \nu \alpha ̀ \nu, ~$ K $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \cdot$ ó $\Lambda a \tau o u ̄ s ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ i ̂ v ı s ~ \mu ' ~ a ̀ ' \tau \iota \mu o \nu ~ \tau i \theta \eta \sigma ı \nu, ~$
 кúpıov фóvov．



$\Delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \mu l o s ~ \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \nu, ~ a ́ \phi o ́ \rho \mu ı к т о s ~ a u ̉ o \nu a ̀ ~ \beta \rho о т о i ̂ s . ~$
Avt．á．Toûto ràa $\lambda a ́ \chi o s ~ \delta ı a \nu \tau \alpha i ́ a ~$

Toīбıv aúтovpríaı $\xi_{\nu \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu}^{\mu \alpha ́ \tau \alpha ı o!, ~}$
 oủk ä́rav $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \rho o s$.
＇Е $\boldsymbol{\pi} i \quad \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega ̣ ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$
（325）


315



ミuvסaitшן $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ к о \iota \nu o s$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \cup ́ \chi \theta \eta \nu$ ．

320
（n）Full Chorus．－Ihrygian Mode．
$\Delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ràp єi入ómav
'Avaт








330




 є́ $\pi \iota \phi \theta$ óvoıs $\pi 0$ oós.

$$
335
$$



 то入úбтovos фátıs.
 тє $\mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a i{ }^{-}$
Kai סusтapท่ropoı ßротоîs
ä $\tau \iota \mu^{\prime}$ a’ $\tau i \not \epsilon \tau \alpha$ ס $\delta o ́ \mu \in \nu a \iota$
$\lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \delta_{1} \chi 0 \sigma \tau \alpha \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \eta \lambda_{i ́ \varphi}^{\omega} \lambda^{\prime} \mu \pi \alpha$,

 $\beta_{\rho о \tau \bar{\omega} \nu, ~ \dot{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{v}}^{\kappa \lambda} \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o ́ \nu$,
Tò̀ $\mu о \iota \rho o ́ к \rho а \nu \tau о \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~ \theta \epsilon \epsilon ̄ ̂ \nu ~$


 кขé́qas.

$$
\text { A } \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{A .} \text { (0) }
$$



















X0. Пєи́бy тà wávтa ouvtópus, $\Delta l o ̀ s ~ к o ́ \rho \eta . ~$


AӨ. Гévos $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ o i ̂ j a, ~ к \lambda \eta \delta o ́ v a s ~ \tau ' ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu \nu ́ \mu o u s . ~ 370 ~$





(o) Minerea appears in a Chariot and alights.




1Ө. $\Delta v o i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau o ı \nu, ~ ท ̆ \mu ı \sigma v s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \pi \alpha ́ \rho a . ~ 330 ~$














 $\mathrm{T} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\omega} \nu \mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\eta \mu} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \iota \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mu^{\prime} \gamma \alpha$.




"Est' àv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ a i ́ \mu \alpha \tau о s ~ к а \theta a \rho \sigma i o v ~$

Пá入aı $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \rho ı s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau ं ~ \dot{\alpha} ф \iota \epsilon \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$
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## ETAEIMON $\triangle E Y T E P O N$.

X OPOE.
 тท́бєє סі́ка тє каi $\beta \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}$
Toûdє $\mu \eta \tau \rho о к т o ́ v o v . ~$
(470)
 $\beta$ ро́тovs.


Avt. á. Ойтє $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ß


 $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha s$ как $\dot{\alpha}$
 $\tau \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu$ סє̀ $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \tau \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon i ̂$.

 450



(p) Minerva departs the opposite way to that she entered by.


 454


 $\mu \in \nu O \nu$
 $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \phi о \rho \epsilon v^{\prime} \epsilon!$.

（505）





Kúpıov $\mu \in ́ v \in \imath ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s . ~$
 そє

 Bos ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha$,



Bıaíws そ̌̀̀ र $\rho o ́ v \omega$ к $\alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，
ムaîфos òtav $\lambda \alpha \beta_{\eta} \eta$ тóvos $\theta \rho a v o \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ к є \rho a i ́ a s . ~$


$\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{a} \delta_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\varphi}$,
Tòv oùmot＇aú $\chi o \bar{\nu} \nu \tau^{\prime}$ i $\delta \omega \dot{\nu} a^{\prime} \mu \eta \chi \alpha^{\prime} \nu o l s$

## AIEXYAOY

 475

 aî̃тos.

## A $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ IINA. ${ }^{(q)}$







Пó入ıv $\tau \epsilon \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ єis тò̀ aiavî रoóvov,

 Tí тои̂ठє $\sigma o i \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon . ~$







 Г'́voıт’ àv ỏ $\theta \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma к а \lambda о s . ~$


(q) Minerva makes her appearance at the head of the twelve Areopagites, who take their seats in the Orchestra.
(r) Apollo appears on the Stage.

Tท̀̀ $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \rho^{\prime}$ єiтє̀ $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} о \nu$ єi катє́ктоעаs.
OP. "Ектєıva' тоútov $\delta$ ' oûtıs ápvŋбıs $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota . ~ 500$









OP. Пє́ $\pi o \iota \theta^{\prime}, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \alpha ́ \phi o v \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta ́ \rho . ~ 510$
 OP. $\Delta v o i ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon i ́ \chi \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o s \beta o \lambda \alpha ̀ s ~ \mu ı \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~$


XO. Toi $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \sigma \grave{v} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \zeta_{\grave{y}} \mathrm{~s}, \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho a$ фóvov. 515






OP. "Hठो $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \alpha \rho \tau u ́ \rho \eta \sigma o v . ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi \eta \gamma o u ̂ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \mu o l, ~$


 $\Delta о к \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau o ́ \delta ' ~ a i ̂ \mu \alpha, ~ к \rho i ̀ v o v, ~ w i s ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o ı s ~ ф \rho a ́ \sigma \omega . ~$




## AIEXYAOY







 535


$\Delta \iota o s \delta o ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \sigma \kappa \eta ́ \pi \tau \rho o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu \alpha \lambda \phi о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$, Kai таûta трòs fuvaıкòs，oú тı Oovpioıs
 540




 Фа́роs $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，้̇̇ $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu о \nu \iota$ Ко́ $\pi \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \quad \delta \alpha \iota \delta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda \omega$ ．
 Tồ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau о \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o v, \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \alpha ' \tau o v ~ \nu \epsilon \tilde{\omega \nu}$ ． Taút $\eta \nu$ тoıaútnv $\epsilon i \hat{i} \pi o \nu$ ，$\omega$ s $\delta \eta \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \bar{s}$ ， 550 ＂Osтєן тє́ $\tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta ́ \nu \delta \epsilon ~ к \nu \rho \omega ̄ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu . ~$



 ${ }^{\top} \Omega \pi \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \mu \tau \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \delta \alpha \lambda \alpha, \sigma \tau u ́ \gamma \eta \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$,
 Kai ка́ $\rho \tau \alpha$ то入入ウ̀ $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \lambda \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o s$.

















Má $\rho \tau v s \pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \pi \alpha i s ~ ' O \lambda \nu \mu \pi i o v \Delta i o ̀ s$,








$\Sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \tau o u ̀ s \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \pi o ́ \rho o u s . \quad 585$




## AIEXY






 "E 'Aєi סıкабт兀̄̀ тои̃то ßou入єutńpıov,

 $\Sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \alpha \tau о \hat{\nu} \sigma \alpha \iota$, каi $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \nu \epsilon o ́ \pi \tau т о \lambda ı \nu ~$





 Kакаîs є̇ $\pi \iota \rho \rho \rho о \alpha i ̄ \sigma \iota ~ \beta о \rho \beta o ́ \rho ц е ~ \theta ' ~ v ́ \delta \omega \rho ~$

 'Aбтoîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \beta o u \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$, Kai $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s ~ \epsilon ̌ \xi \omega ~ \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \nu . ~ 610 ~$


'Е $\rho \nu \mu \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \chi \omega \prime \rho a s, ~ к а i ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta ́ \rho ı o \nu ~$
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## AIEXYAOY

 Oìvต $\pi a \rho \eta \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \eta \sigma a s$ àpхaias $\theta \in a ́ s$.















)P. ' $\Omega$ Фоī $\beta$ ' 'А







(t) The ballots are turned out of the Urn and counted.









$\Sigma \omega ' \zeta \epsilon \ell \mu \epsilon, \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ́ s \delta \epsilon ~ \sigma v \nu \delta i ́ c o v s ~ o ̀ \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$.


'Оркшнотй $\bar{\sigma} \alpha \mathrm{s}, \nu \hat{v} \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ \mu о \nu s$,






Tı日évtes, wis aútoîoı $\mu \in \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ тóvos.



Kai $\chi \alpha i ̄ \rho \epsilon$ каi $\sigma \grave{v}$, каi $\pi о \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o u ̄ \chi o s ~ \lambda \epsilon \omega ̀ s, ~(745)$


(u) Minerva gives her ballot in favour of Orestes.
(v) Exit Orestes.

## AIEXYAOY

## KOMMATIKA.

## XOPOE.




${ }^{\prime} E \nu \gamma_{\hat{a}} \tau \hat{a} \delta \bar{\delta}, \phi \epsilon \hat{v}$, iov̀, iov̀,




 ПáOov, íw, $\mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda a ~ \tau о \iota ~ к o ́ \rho a \iota ~ \delta u s t u \chi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ N u к \tau o ̀ s ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \theta \epsilon \mathrm{i} \mathrm{s}$.














X OPOE.



${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{a}} \tau \hat{a} \delta \epsilon, \phi \epsilon \bar{u}$ ，iov̀，iov̀，





 $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu о \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon i ̂ s$.



Kai $\kappa \lambda \bar{\eta} \delta \alpha s$ oí $\delta \alpha \quad \delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu o ́ \nu \eta ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$ ，


Г入由́б
 Koí $\alpha$ кє入аıข

 Өún $\pi \rho \grave{̀} \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ каi $\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \lambda i ́ o v ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o u s, ~ 735 ~$


## KOMMATIKA．

> X O P O

$\boldsymbol{\Phi} \epsilon \bar{v}$.
 $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \epsilon \bar{v}$ ．

## AIEXYAOY

3. Пעéw tot mévos,
4. äта $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \kappa о ́ \tau о \nu$.

739
5. $O$ î ol̂, $\delta \hat{a}, \phi \in u ̄$.
6. Tís $\mu^{\prime}$ vimo $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau a l \pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho a ̀ s ~ o ́ \delta u ́ v a ; ~ ;$




744






"Eбтаı то入ítaıs тоîsठє. каí бì тıцíav 751




$\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$ aipat $\eta \rho \alpha \dot{s} \theta_{\eta \gamma \alpha} \nu \alpha s, \sigma \pi \lambda a^{\prime} \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu \quad \beta \lambda a^{\prime} \beta \alpha s$
Né $\omega \nu$, ảoívoıs '́ $^{\prime} \mu \alpha \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \theta \nu \mu \omega ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \cdot$ 757

' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ тoís $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o i ̂ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o i ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ i \delta \rho u ́ \sigma \eta ̣ s ~ " A \rho \eta ~$



'Evoıкiov ס' ópvıӨos ov่ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$.




## XOPOE.

1. 'Ецè $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̄ \nu \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$.
$\Phi \epsilon \hat{v}$.
 $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \epsilon \bar{u}$.
2. Пעéw toc $\mu$ évos,
3. àtàта́ тє ко́тоע.
s. $O \hat{i}$ ồl, $\delta \bar{a}, \phi \in \hat{u}$.

4. Өuц̀̀v ắí,$\mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \rho$



 Өєòs та入аıà, каi то入ıббоú $\omega \nu$ ß $о о \tau \omega ิ \nu$,






 (850)
 785












 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \xi$ oú $\rho \alpha \nu 0 \hat{u} \tau \epsilon$, кávé $\mu \omega \nu$ áท' $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$











## ETAEIMON Г. KAI ANAПAIETOI.

X OPOE.
 тó入ı
 $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu} \nu \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \iota$,




Гаías $\mathfrak{\epsilon \prime \xi} \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta$ píбaı Фаıठоòv ai入íov $\sigma$ é $\lambda a s$.
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$\mathrm{N} \epsilon a \nu i ́ \delta \omega \nu \quad \tau^{\prime}$ є́ $\pi \eta \rho \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 $\theta \nu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \dagger$, 835
Моîןaı $\mu а \tau \rho о к \alpha \sigma \iota \gamma \nu \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$,
$\Delta$ аímovєs óp $\theta$ ovó $\mu о$,

'Evסíkoıs ò $\mu \iota \lambda^{\prime}$ aıs,


## A $\boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{HNA}$.

Mé $\sigma о \nu \sigma v ́ \sigma \tau . ~ T a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau о \iota ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ ' $\mu \hat{\eta}$ т $\rho о ф \rho o ́ \nu \omega s$
 ПєєӨoûs,





XOPOE.
 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu$

845
 ojojà̀
Moıvàs aंvтıфóvovs, ätas,
'Арта入íбаı тó入є $\omega$.

Kai $\sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu \mu l a ̂ ̀ ~ \phi \rho \epsilon v i ́ . ~$ 850


## A $\boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{HNA}$.

 рі́бкєє;
 ор $\bar{\omega}$ тоîs $\delta \epsilon$ то入ítats.
 каi भй้̈ каi $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ o ́ \rho \theta o \delta i ́ к \alpha \iota o \nu ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~$ סıáyovtes.
XOPOE.





$$
\boldsymbol{A} \Theta \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{~A} .(x)
$$


 860 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi i ̄ s ~ i \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon ~ \pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi \bar{\omega} \nu ~ i ́ \tau \epsilon, ~ к а i ~ \sigma \phi \alpha-~$

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ то́ $\lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ є́ $\pi i$ víкท.
 $\tau \alpha i ̄ \sigma \delta \in \mu \in \tau о і к о \iota s$.


## xOPOE.

Аעт. $\gamma^{\prime}$. Хаí $\rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{\nu} \tau^{\prime}, \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \iota \pi \lambda o i \zeta \omega . ~ 865$

( $x$ ) Minerva stations herself at the head of the Chorus in the Orchestra, where they are joined by the Escort of females with torches.

## AIEXYAOY




เӨ．Aiv $\boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \epsilon \mu \nu{ }^{\prime}$ Oovs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon v \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，（975） Пє́ $\mu \psi \omega \tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma \eta ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi o ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ 870 ~$ Eís toûs évép日e каi ка́тш $\chi$ Oovòs tótous，









## ПРОПОМПОI．





Tı $\mu \alpha i ̂ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \theta v \sigma i ́ a ı s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \epsilon \pi \tau a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \tau u ́ \chi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ † . ~$
Еи̃фацєitє $\delta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \nu \delta a \mu \epsilon i ́$.
 $\Delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho^{\prime}$ ї $\tau \epsilon, \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a i, \pi v \rho i \delta a \prime \pi \tau \omega$



Па入入а́סos áбтоїs ó Пауóттаs
Оӥтш Moípá тє биүкатє́ßa．
＇O入o入úğatє עū̀ є̇mi $\mu о \lambda \pi a i ̂ s$.

## CRITICAL COMMENTARY.

List of the Passages, where the adopted Text departs from Wellauer's Edition (1824), with Remarks in support of the Author's Readings, and References to Passages explanatory of them in the following Essays*.


text.<br>X $\theta$ onos.<br>$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ Old Reading.<br>Báбıv Var. in Stephan.<br>$\lambda_{i} \beta_{a}$ Burgess, Cold. $\Delta I A$.<br>Cf. Essays, §. 93.<br><br>$\mathrm{B} \epsilon \beta \bar{\omega} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \tau \epsilon i \dagger$<br>$\kappa \alpha ́ \rho \tau \alpha \delta^{\dot{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$<br>tóvסe<br><br>'Opq̣ Pauw.

- The Author has omitted nothing in this List, except a few slight orthogrephical changes to0 trifling to notice; and even in these minor particulars he has adhered as closely as possible to Wellauer's text, as this Edition is not meant © be a new recension of the text. Such words as are received into the text withont sufficient authority or external evidence have the mark + annexed to them, $\omega$ abew that they are admitted only to render the text at all translateable. The maller figures in brackets shew the number of verses according to Wellauer's Edition, the larger ones are adapted to the Author's arrangemerit of the text.
V.76. I have ventured to write AMATEI for ANAIEI. The existence of wech a verbal adverb from aं入áo ${ }^{2}$ a is very possible, though it does not occur chewhere: besides, it is certain that $\ddot{\alpha} \pi a \xi$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\circ} \mu \in \nu a$ were discarded sooner and more largely from Fischylus than from any other Author. With regard to the e in the middle syllable, I have suffered it to stand, because there are other inanaces where the Attic dialect has retained the $\bar{a}$ for $\eta$ after $\lambda$, as in $\lambda \epsilon \bar{\lambda}{ }^{2} \alpha a$,
 accounted for by the influence of the $\lambda$, in the same manner as that in $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \rho \alpha_{X \eta \eta}$ is the effect of the $p$.

WBLLAUER.
V. 103. карঠías
 112. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \nu \sigma \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$
114. 'Aкov́ $\alpha \theta$ ' $\omega \bar{s}$ é̀ $\lambda \epsilon \xi a$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \bar{\eta} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota$,
132.
135.
161.
169.
177.
178. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о ф \theta о \rho а і$
179. какой тє

191. eis
202. 3. 4. 'A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. Xopós. 'А $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
202. ขобфі́б!
204. ท̇ркє́бш

TEXET.
кapoía Pauw.
ө́ $\lambda \epsilon i \xi a \tau \epsilon$ Xoás $\tau \epsilon$ Hermann

 $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho!$.
${ }^{\prime} \Omega$ Y̌ess $^{\boldsymbol{i}} \pi \nu \nu_{\omega}^{\prime} \sigma \sigma o v \sigma^{\prime} ;$ Conject. $\Phi \rho \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} o v^{\cdot} \Lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta e, \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta_{\epsilon}, \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta_{\epsilon}=$ $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta_{\epsilon}, \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \beta_{\epsilon}, \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta_{\epsilon}, \lambda \alpha \beta^{\prime} \beta^{-}$
Essays, §. 10.
$\Sigma_{i} \delta^{0}$ Pearson.
Xopoû $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ et al. ápónevov. Cf. Pindar N. vir 59.
éctıv ov̀ Hermann.
карадıбтท̂pes Turnebus. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \phi \theta_{0} \rho \bar{q}$ Erfurdt. какойтаı Id. ^єє $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu$ ós Casaubon. eis Canter.
A A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Stephan. \&c.
vo $\boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, Id. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Bothe.
 and the meaning of the passage is this: 'Hear me, as you would one who pleads for his soul. In that way have I spoken, in that way hear me'. The pointing is intended to make this sense evident.
V.179. I have here taken $\chi^{\lambda o u} \nu$ cs (the reading of the MSS.) with Erfurdt in the sense of viridis atas; and this meaning of the word is borne out by etymology, although not supported by instances. From the root XAE ( $\chi^{\lambda}$ óos, $\chi^{\lambda}{ }^{\lambda}$ ous $_{\text {s }}$ ) comes $\chi \lambda$ oúvis, exuberant, luxuriant, an old epithet applied to the wild boar, and also to eunuchs, from their luxuriant fullness of form. And again, in an obecure verse in ABsch. Edon. (Schol. Villois. ad Il. 1x. 535) $\chi^{\lambda}$ oúvis appears to have the same signification. Hence the fem. $\chi \lambda$ oüvis seems to have derived the abstract signification of vigour.

Hermann (de Rsch. Lycurg. p. 9) says that X $^{\lambda o u ̄ \nu ı s ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ p a s s a g e ~ m e a n c ~}$ castratio, but he does not enter into any explanation of the context.

WELLAURR．
V． 208. мороím ${ }^{\prime}$ ， 209. 211. Síkn

217．Tóvov
282.
230.

244．Xopeutal after Vs． 244.
246．$\quad$ тávта
253．Xuиévov
256．ти́матоs סиsто́тои
258.
259.

260．$\tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \beta \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$

272．73．$\theta$ eoû Фol $^{(\beta)}$ ，
294．каӨкерюце́чоя；
301．ӓ́мの
303．Toùs—тposvéqоутas
306.
312.

319～áфо́риıктоs，avovà
332.
338.
339.
340.
341. àขтıтoívous
ä入入ov．
aivì
тоєนầ
ároopos
ónoíws

40．$\sigma \pi \in \nu \delta_{0} \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota \delta^{\circ}$
41．émaiбє $\lambda_{\iota}$ таis

TRXT．
мороі́и
$\Delta{ }^{\prime} \times n$
Tivgotac Herm．
тóv$\nu \nu$ Wakefield．

каl торє́́мабєv．
Xopós before V．244，Scholl．
$\pi a \nu \tau \hat{a}$ Reisig．
$\chi^{u} \mu \in \nu a \nu$
$\pi$ ．тoû dusтótov Conject．
á $\nu \tau \iota \pi o ́ v o u s ~ L a c h m a n n . ~$
ä $\lambda \lambda$ доs Heath．
$\tau \iota \nu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu . . . .$. on account of Metre．
$\theta \in o u ̂$ ，Фоíßov
каӨıєршне́vos，
$\dot{a} \mu \alpha^{\prime}$ Canter．
тòv— $\pi \rho о \nu є ́ \mu о \nu \tau \tau^{\prime} \dagger$ Herm．
＇ávウ̀ $\rho$ Wakefield．
Пoıváv Ald．
áфо́риєктоs avová
 $\kappa \lambda a \rho o s$ Pind．P．V． 54.
${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{s}$ Arnaldus．
кащáтоьбıv $\dagger$ ex Conject．
$\sigma \pi \epsilon u ́ \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ aîd Döderlein．
$\dot{\text { є́maîs } \lambda \epsilon і ̈ t a ı s ~}$

V．34．Here again I have been under the necessity of introducing a new word． The laborious office undertaken by the Furies，who thereby render the rest of the Geds exempt from that duty，is compared by them to the $\lambda$ ectovoyial，which were anderaken by individuals in order to afford the other citizens exemption from tarea，dre入eia．Now from $\lambda a o_{s}$ ，Att．$\lambda \in \omega^{\prime} s$ ，comes the adj．$\lambda$ áitos（whence
 the Achaic Town－Hall，$\lambda$ rícov），old Att．$\lambda$ ŷtos（by the Grammarians written
 here supposed the ancient use of an abstract fem．入eity，in the sense of munus publicum．

Wellauer.
V. 342. $\mu \eta \delta$ is

TRET.
$\mu \eta \delta^{2}$ eis
Zeús $\gamma^{\prime}$ aimootarès
Mévé yàp cuur Xavoc $^{\text {Wake }}$ field.
$\tau \in$ Id.
dédourev
$\dot{\boldsymbol{e} \pi i-\dot{\mathbf{s}} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \text { Hermann. }}$
кúp $\omega$ Id.
ßor̀̀ 'Aтò ミxaцávסpov, §. 42ex.
Өépls.
aiav̀̀ vulg.
「H
$\ddot{a} \xi_{i}^{i} \dot{a} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{e} \pi a \xi i \omega \nu$ Hermann. $\dot{\text { é } \phi \eta \mu e ́ v!~} \eta$ Schütz.
кри́чac', ä $\lambda_{\text {out }} \hat{\omega}_{\nu}$ Herm. Opuscc. IV. p. 339.
кei Heath.
émoís Pauw.
$\dot{\sigma} \sigma^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ Conject. cf. §. 51. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a, \mu e ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ Hermann.
 ópkious aipounévn Pearson and Casaub.
dixns Codd.
publicum. I will just propose, by the bye and merely as a conjecture, the reading of $\triangle H_{\text {cTOP' }}$ instead of MHTEP' in the difficult passage, Agam. 1200. AyTmp would then stand for $\lambda \dot{y}$ recpa, like $\ell_{\kappa \tau \omega \rho}$ applied by Eschylus to the Danaide, and $\theta$ e $\lambda<\tau \omega \rho \pi \in \theta \omega^{\prime}$ in the same play, \&c.
V. 343. Of the alterations which I have introduced for the purpose of restoring the metre (a dactylic order with a base), there are none that require any particular vindication. I would also propose to read yd for $\gamma \dot{\text { ajp }}$ in the Agama.
 pennigero corpore.'
V. 413. Hermann now reads óßßouvai $\gamma^{\prime}$ a'kiav $\gamma^{\prime} d \pi a \xi i c o s$, perhape rightly: and in v. 424 he reads iфnjévov, with Burgess, which reading certainly has mach to recommend it.
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WELLAUEF．
V． 586.
603．$\Delta$ potirn，\＃eprôti 入ou－ ＋ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$
655.

680．Alơounêvots thiv ठ бкоข
60\％：＂H
718．720．＇Optertis．Kopobs
721．Ba入oû $\sigma a$
727．ávì
736．Enotioct
739．ठusтрaそials

758．785．то入itaıs $\pi$ dév

802．895．Mav
803．834．átíetov，$\phi \in \hat{v}, ~ \mu \hat{v} \partial o s$
805．886．of ot，
823.

826．$\mu o ̂ \lambda_{ı}$

Trit．
сіт $\omega \boldsymbol{\prime}$ Cójјеet．
 H．Voss．
repaipov

${ }^{\top} \mathrm{H}$
＇A $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Rotowf．
Пá入入ovбa
＂ávì $\rho$ Porsoan ésท́бetv Mld．
Sus $\pi \rho a t{ }_{\text {ghts }}$ Oumject．
 то入ítaıs．Ma＇dot

râs Herth．
 oit oil，
éкхo入oūơ $\uparrow$ Cóaject．
Sórots s．§＇． 48.

V．655．I do not take $\gamma \in \rho a i \rho o \nu$ to be the right word，but I have admitted it into the text，because the passage cannot be translated without a verb．Besides， poéticil＇considerations，as it appeats to rée，require that the name of the Areopagus afould not be mentioned before $v .660$ ．

V．721．$\Pi \alpha \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon} \iota \nu$ is the term properly used of shaking together and turning out the lots，which resemble the ballots in many respectśs．I have assumed here that it may stand intransitively in this sense，as it frequently ddes in a different
 d．Stephan．1．V．$\pi a ̀ \lambda \lambda c o$.

V．757．It is in my opinion much harsher to supply $\tau i$ from the preceding seatence，for the object of révopal（besides that it does not seem to me to give a satisfactory and connected sense），than to put the conjunctive，implying deter－ mination，without a preliminary $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \gamma \in$ or $\phi$ épe；a mode of expression often used by Homer，and sometimes admitted by Tragedians．I have joined révcoual to the followitig words，on the prisamption thiat Niscityluy might use do oolota to the
 and I hive chosen that form in ordet to give mote weight to the Berchit metre．

V．758．The augment may be omitted in má日ov quite as well as in máधouev， Cubetpi．413；and though the omission of the augment in a word of two syliables lise raigon is somewtit harsh，the departure from thre cormmon usage is justified in this instance by the highly excited tone of thie passige．

| V. 845. | wbllaurr $\pi \in \iota \theta$ ous | text. <br> MeiOoús |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 850. | тịde $\gamma$ ' є̇uoípou |  |
| 867. | - |  |
| 885. | Гaias èそau $\beta$ ¢óraı |  |
| 891. | ó $\delta_{\underline{e}} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ | ó $\delta_{\text {è }} \delta_{\text {¢ }} \dagger \dagger$ Pauw. |
| 894. | $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \lambda a \times \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ | $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ Askew. |
| 904. |  |  |
| 906. | yóvos** | róvos dè mâs Conject. |
| 911. | 'Epivvis | 'Epıvìs Medic. |
| 918. 19. |  |  s. §. 92. |
| 935. 36. |  | то入ıтầ di' ó $\rho$ ¢àv |
| 943. | фроvoū́ı | ф¢ovoṽ $\alpha$ Herm. |
| 968. |  | $a \tilde{v}^{\prime}, \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta_{\iota} \pi \lambda o i \zeta \omega \quad$ partly from Wellauer's Conj. |
| 975. | $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ | $\tau \epsilon$ Herm. |
| 978. |  |  |
| 980. |  |  |
| 981. | $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau i \delta \omega \nu$ | $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ тid $\omega$. |
| 982. |  |  |
| 990. | Kai tomais | Tıuais Herm. |
| - |  | $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \sigma \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha<\tau \epsilon \tau \cup \cup \chi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \dagger$ ? |
| 996. |  | éstótal |
| - | ¢ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ Ouides |  |
| 997. | $\dot{c}$ | ciotois ó Mavótras Bothe. |
| 998. | moipa te | Moipá te |

V. 891. The reading is very dubious, on account of the words wanting.

# DISSERTATIONS 

```
ON THE
```


## EUMENIDES.

## FIRST DISSERTATION.

ON THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PLAY.

## I. THE CHORUS.

## A. MANAGEMENT GF THE CHOMUS

a. Number of the Choreuta.
5. Risonylus having determined to present himsetf as a andidate for the Tragie prize at the Dionysian Pestitua at which he produced his play of the Eomienidws, was fert of all obliged, by the regulations of the Athemlan Festithe, to apply to the Chief of the Nine Arehens for a Chotus. Ife chained one ${ }^{1}$, and we learn from the Didascalia that the Chotus sungred to him was that which a wealthy individual, Xenocles of Aphidna, had engaged, in the capacity of Chotegus of his tribe, to collect, maintain duritg their training, and equip for the stage. He then proceeded od $\operatorname{train}^{2}$ this Chorus for his fout plays, that being the number which by established custom the Tragic Poet required to produce on the stage at the same time; these were the Agethernon, the Choephorre, the Ewhenides, and the Protow, a Satyric drama. The training was a business which the State, whose judgment in such matters could be guided only by public and ocular demonstrations, regarded as the most esential part of a Dramatic Poet's duty ; and accordingly, by old-established precedent, the prize was never awarded to the Poet as such, but invariably to the Teacher of the Chorizs ${ }^{3}$.

Now the question is, how many persons did Xenoclesfor according to the Didascalia he was the only Choregus with whom Eschylus was concerned on this occasionacsign to our Poet for the performance of the Choral dances and Odes in this Tetralogy?

[^0]It is well known that the old Grammarians state the usual number of the Tragic Chorus, even with Æischylus, to have been fifteen (for fourteen, the number given in their statements, only means fifteen without the Leader, or else arose from an error of the Manuscripts) : whereas in an ancient Life of Sophocles, as also in Suidas, we are informed that this Poet was the first who changed the number of the Chorus from twelve to fifteen. It has indeed been proposed to transpose these numbers; but such a transposition is inadmissible here for this reason, that the Grammarians, in their accounts of the arrangement and distribution of the Tragic Chorus, have regularly the number fifteen in view (as will appear further on), and in so doing must of course have had an eye much rather to the polished Drama of Sophocles and Euripides, than to the comparatively antiquated form used by Eschylus. -

Now, as far as I am aware, these accounts have been universally understood to mean, that the said twelve or fifteen individuals composed the Choruses of all four plays one after another; that is to say, the Chorus of Old Men in the Agamemnon, of Female Mourners in the second play, of Furies in the third, and of Satyrs in the last. It is of inportance to observe the complete falsity of this opinion, and to consider the necessity for adopting a different hypothesis.
2. What? are we told that the same persons--persons, too, of no very high attainments in art, like the principal stage-actors, but of the ordinary class, such as could not be supposed to have received more than the usual education of Athenian citizens,-were actually so well taught and so perfect in their parts, as to go through all the various figures ${ }^{1}$ of those numerous long dances, all the complex systems of those many odes, which occur in the Agamemnon, the Choe-

[^1]
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by singing the closing Ode. Moreover, there is an evident congruity observable in respect to the general character, first, between the Old Men in the Agamemnon and the Areopagites, and next, between Clytæmnestra's Femaleattendants, the Female-mourners, and the Female-escort of the Eumenides. All this being taken into consideration, it is by no means a far-fetched inference that we have in these instances the self-same Choreutæ under a slight change of garb. Whence it follows, that in addition to the proper Chorus of any individual drama, the one belonging to another play of the same Tetralogy frequently makes its appearance in the capacity of an accessory Chorus; and hence again, that the Chorus of one play must have been quite distinct from that of another, in respect of the persons of whom it was composed.

But there is a still stronger argument in favour of this supposition; namely, the circumstance that in the second play of this very Tetralogy we find, besides the proper Chorus, the one belonging to the third play already on the stage; and that too, not as in the above-mentioned instances under a different character and costume, but to all intents as a Chorus of Furies. This appears from a passage towards the end of the play (v. 1044), where Orestes exclaims:

фаıокхітшขєs каi $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau a \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota$

It is true, the Choephorœ do not see the Erinnyes, or Furies, of whom Orestes speaks here; and hence it has been inferred that they did not exist at all, but in the fancy of Orestes;-a conception, which in my opinion goes near to destroy the entire poetic and religious consistency of the Trilogy. For according to Eschylus's idea the Erinnyes are as really and positively present in this place, where Orestes
first beholds them, as they are in that, where they are purauing him to Delphi and Athens: and it would have been mothing less than wilfully annihilating all truth of the poetic picture, had the Poet begun by treating the very beings, whom he meant to produce in the sequel as corporeal and actually present,-nay, on whose real presence the whole plot of the following play depends,-in the light of a mere fancy, as the phantom of a diseased brain. Euripides, indeed, has done so, but 居schylus was of all poets the least capable of committing such a blunder.

We confidently assert that he whose eyes did not actually bebold the Erinnyes on this occasion of their first appearance, must needs have remained blind to their presence in the sequel. It is true, the Chorus does not perceive them, but it is because they are visible only to such as have their eyes opened to the supernatural world, into which the Poet conducts us. In the third play, wherein the Erinnyes compose the Chorus, Eschylus has carefully avoided bringing characters of an ordinary stamp into communication with them. There, excepting Apollo and Minerva, the main characters in the Action, none see them but Orestes, who bears their tortures in his heart, the inspired Pythoness, and the shade of Clytuemnestra. The Areopagites and the Female-escort cannot be taken into account, since they do not properly bear a part, as acting characters, in the Drama of Divinities. The spectator on the contrary does and must behold the Erinnyes from their very first appearance: it is for him that the Poet tears away the veil from the invisible world, into which his inspired spirit has cast a profounder glance; and if its denizens be visible at all, they must be present to his view from the very commencement of their supernatural operations.

But fortunately for such as credit only what they have external evidence of, it is on record that such is the fact.

At least we are informed by Pollux ${ }^{1}$ that the Erinnyes of Tragedy (and what tragedy more obviously occurs to us :han this very Trilogy of Wschylus?) were raised as it were sut of the infernal world through trap-doors ${ }^{2}$ near the fight of steps ${ }^{3}$ leading from the Orchestra to the Amphitheatre. Now the only occasion on which the Erinnyes can and must be conceived to rise out of the infernal world is at the conslusion of the Choephorœ. At the commencement of the succeeding play they have long since been in the upper world: they have already chased Orestes from his paternal house to Delphi. Consequently the statement of Pollux affords an indirect confirmation of the assertion I have advanced, that the Chorus of Erinnyes really made their appearance on the stage, besides the Chorus of Choephoree. At the same time he furnishes an indirect explanation of the reason why the Chorus did not see them, namely, because the Chorus, as it faced the stage, had its back turned upon the doors in question. Nevertheless it is very possible that there were particular contrivances by means of which the spot on which the Erinnyes first appeared was concealed from the view of persons on the level of the Orchestra, and visible only from the elevated stations of the stage and Amphitheatre.
4. After these explanations the relation between the accessory Choruses (so to speak) and the principal Choruses in the three tragedies may be thus arranged:

|  | I. | 11. | III. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal Chor. | Old Men. | Women. | Furies. |
| Accessory Chor. | Women from 11. | Furies from III. | Old Men from I. and |
|  |  |  | Women from II. |

At the conclusion of the Eumenides, in order to afford the people a splendid and withal, from the contrast of the characters, expressive spectacle, all three Choruses move

[^2]off from the Orchestra in the same order as they entered; the old men at the head of the procession (v.965); then the escort of maidens, women, and aged matrons with torches and votive offerings of purple garments ${ }^{4}$; and lastly the awful figures of the Furies. The proper Chorus of the play leaves the Orchestra last of all.

From the preceding exposition we obtain this unquestionable result: the Choregus appoints the Poet a much larger Chorus than one of twelve or fifteen, and it is the Poet's business to distribute this large Chorus into Chorusses for the individual Tragedies and Satyric Drama composing the Tetralogy. Perhaps the consideration of this collective Chorus may help us to ascertain the original number of tragic Choreutze with greater precision than has hitherto been done.
5. The Tragic Chorus, as we learn from Aristotle and others, was derived from the Dithyrambic, which we know from various sources ${ }^{5}$ consisted of fifty persons. This being the case, it is quite natural to suppose that the Choregus furnished the same number of dancers for the Tragic Chorus, as he had previously been accustomed to provide for the Dithyrambic, and that the distribution of these fifty persons into the component Chorusses of the Tetralogy was left to the discretion of the Poet. In this case the well-known state- , ment of Pollux, that the Chorus of Eumenides consisted of Gfty, may still be defended, if we suppose Pollux to have : misconceived something that he had learnt relative to the number of Choreutæ for the whole Tetralogy, of which number, as we have seen, at least three-fourths were on the stage at the end of the Eumenides.

Still however the number fifty requires some modification. The Dithyrambic Chorus was Cyclic, and sang the

[^3]Dithyramb in a circle about the Altar, passing round it first in one direction and then in the other. But the Tragic, as well as the Comic and Satyric Chorus was quadrangular, rerpáy $\omega \nu{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$, which latter expression is clearly and definitely listinguished from the former. Now a quadrangular Chorus is one that is divided into rank ( $\zeta_{\cup \gamma} \dot{a}$ ) and file ( $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \chi \chi 0$, נtoì $\chi o t$ ), so as to form a quadrangle. Its number therefore must be always a composite number, as $3 \times 4 .=12$; $3 \times 5=15$. But as it appears that the component numbers are never so far apart that the one is double of the other ( $3 \times 4$ or $3 \times 5$ is the Tragic, $4 \times 6$ the Comic Chorus), it is not probable that there should be a quadrangular Choris of $5 \times 10$. If the Tragic Chorus of earlier times came on the stage as an undivided whole, it is much more credible that its number was forty-eight, $6 \times 8$. And here by the way I. venture the conjecture that the singular term, $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma^{i} \chi o p o s$ or Master of the Chorus ${ }^{2}$, given by the Greeks to the number eight in the game of dice, may refer to the ancient custom of arranging the Chorus in eight ranks.
6. Now an equal division of this Chorus of forty-eight gives twelve Choreutæ for each of the four plays. Twelve therefore, recommends itself, even in this point of view, as the probable number originally employed by Rschylus. Moreover, twelve is just half the number of the Comic Chorus, for which, it seems, owing to the far less encouragement given by the State to Comedy, half as many persons were deemed sufficient, as were required for the collective Chorus of a Tragic Tetralogy. The original number of Choreutæ in each Tragedy cannot have been fifteen, because in that case either the collective Chorus must have extended beyond fifty, whereas its intimate connexion with the Dithy-

1. See Etym. M. s. v. Tparuöía. Schol. Dionys. Thr. p. 746. Bekker ; and Villoison's Anecdota, II, p. 178.
2. Sec Stesich. Fragm. Ed. Kleinc, p. 27.
rambic Chorus forbids us to suppose this; or there would be only five left for the Satyric Drama, which would be too small a number for a Festive Chorus, and far too meagre and scanty a representation of the merry crew of Bacchus; a spectacle so delightful to an audience in that early age. especially.

But it will be asked, did not Eschylus unquestionably employ a Chorus of fifteen, as the old Scholiasts ${ }^{3}$ have remarked with reference to the Agamemnon and Eumenides, and Hermann ${ }^{4}$ has proved to the general satisfaction in respect of the former Tragedy? The fact is, we have here a remarkable instance of the force of a confident assertion; which may for a time obtain such authority, even with the most clear-sighted enquirers, that it scarcely ever occurs to any of them to doubt its truth, although all the while it may be radically false. The very passage produced in proof of fifteen Choreutæ furnishes conclusive evidence in favour of twelve, as we shall now proceed to shew.
7. The Chorus in the Agamemnon represents a Supreme Council ${ }^{5}$, left by the Prince in administration of the realm during his absence ${ }^{6}$. Suspicious of Clytæmnestra's evil disposition and deeply affected by Cassandra's predictions, this Company of Elders is filled with an anxious presentiment of the horrible event so nearly impending. On a sudden the death-cry of Agamemnon is heard from the interior of the palace ( v . 1316 Well.): first of all one of the Elders draws the attention of the others to it; a second declares it is the very perpetration of the deed they dreaded; a third proposes that they should hold a consultation upon it ${ }^{7}$. Young men would instantly have hastened to the spot and forced their way in; but these old men, who with all
2. Sebol. Aristoph. Equit. 586. Eumen. 575.
4. De Choro Eumen. Dias. I.
5. $\gamma \in \rho o v a i \alpha$.
c. Liee v. 829. 855\%.
i. nnıvoūa日aı Baulriipata, v. 1320.
their integrity of sentiment betray throughout the Tragedy a degree of weakness and irresolution, proceed to debate on the course they ought to pursue, and the question with them is, whether they should summon the citizens to their assistance ( v .1321 sq. ), or should endeavour to prevent the crime by forcing their way into the palace ( v .1323 sq .); or, lastly, as they would most probably arrive too late to prevent the deed, whether they should not rather inform against the murderer ( $\mathbf{v} .1341 \mathrm{sq}$.)' The suffrages are given in twelve Iambic distichs. The second proposal is carried by a considerable majority, and is confirmed by the last voter, probably the same person who moved the debate, for the offices of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nmid \eta \eta \phi_{i}^{\prime} \operatorname{cec} \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa v \rho o \hat{v} v$ usually fell to the same individual. The next moment the Gerontes are inside the Palace: that is, the interior of the Palace,the Apartment containing the silver laver, the corpse of Agamemnon enveloped in the fatal garment, and Clytæmnestra still standing, with the bloody weapon in her hand, on the spot where she struck the blow ${ }^{1}$,-is wheeled upon the stage by means of the machine called $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \dot{v} \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a^{2}$. The
 although wheeled out by means of this machinery, is still to be imagined within the apartment: of course, therefore, the Poet would have us conceive the Chorus to have forced its way in, although in fact it was still outside. Hence it is evident that the debate was over, and had been closed in due form ; and hence again it follows that all the Elders have given their votes. For indeed, so well acquainted were the Athenians with the mode of proceeding in the debates of a Boun, that they would not have been very well satisfied, had Aschylus suffered three of the Gerontes to remain quite silent.

[^4]
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at each pause one of the Areopagites rose, took a ballot from the Altar and threw it into the Urn, whereupon, as the $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s \delta_{1 \kappa \alpha} \tau \tau \kappa \eta$ struck against the vessel, the sound кóry, so familiar to the Athenian ear, was distinctly audible through the Theatre. That we are to reckon by the intervals between the speeches, and not by the speeches themselves, is evident from the number of the speeches being uneven, whereas the number of ballots is even: it is not till Minerva gives the casting vote that the numbers become odd, and Orestes is acquitted by a majority of one vote ${ }^{1}$.
10. There is no other play which exhibits the Chorus of twelve so plainly as the Agamemnon; for it does not by any means follow as a matter of course, that because the Chorus of twelve was certainly employed in this one instance, the same must have been the case in all the other dramas of $\mathbb{F}$ schylus. It is very possible that after Sophocles had extended the number to fifteen, 不schylus may now and then have adopted the enlarged number. Nevertheless I think I can shew some probability of the Chorus of twelve having been employed in the Persians, the Suppliants, and the Seven against Thebes; and among the lost Tragedies of Nschylus that was beyond doubt the number of the Chorus of Titans in the Prometheus Unbound. In the play of the Persians the Chorus represents a Council of Elders, or Senate. For this we may assume twelve to be the regular number, as established in the Agamemnon; and the same appears admissible also in the Antigone of Sophocles ${ }^{2}$. Moreover, in the evocation of Darius from his grave (v. 625-658), six voices are distinguishable, and the like number join in singing the concluding Ode. In the Suppliants, we must bear in mind that each of the Danaids has a female

[^5]attendent with her ${ }^{3}$ (v. 956): therefore the Chorus com- ! posed of both must contain an even number; and as the Chorus of fourteen appears to have been a special peciuliarity in the Suppliants of Euripides (in which play there is good reason for assuming that number), we must in this instance also abide by the Chorus of twelve, among which number the closing Ode readily admits of being distributed. In the Seven against Thebes the demonstration is less concise than in the other instances: I will therefore merely state it here as my opinion, that this play ranks with those above mentioned with respect to the amount of its Chorus. But in the Choephora and the Eumenides the number of the Chorus is not to be inferred, as a matter of course, from that in the Agamemnon. Out of fifty Choreute AEschylus might allot twelve to the first play and allow fifteen for each of the two following ones, thus leaving eight for the Satyric Drama, which are not too few to form a Chorus'. Nay, in the Eumenides, independently of the testimony cited above ( $(\mathbf{j} .6$ ), which there is no decided reason for rejecting in this case, every thing speaks in favour of the Chorus of fifteen. For in such of the Choral Odes as are Commatic (i.e. sung by single individuals), seven distinct voices are frequently apparent; which number is to be accounted for by the departure of the Leader, so that seven pairs remained, among whom the several Odes had to be divided.

This must be made to appear by analysis of the several Odes: nevertheless I wish to draw attention here to one passage in the dialogue, in which this number seven very clearly presents itself, though in a way that, to our modern
3. Reisig (Enarr. ©d. Col. v. 1308, ) failed to perceive this circumstance, owing whis not viewing the Chorus as a whole, without regard to the particular circumstances and feelings of the individuals composing it. Elmsley speaks most to the point on this subject in the Class. Journal, Vol. IX. 4. xvir. p. 66.

1. Pausan. v. 1f, 2.
conceptions, may appear trivial. The Chorus of Erinnyes is awakened from deep sleep by the agitating presentiment that Orestes has fled from them. Half dreamingly they howl upon each other to look to and seize upon the prey. In the MSS. the verse, with the scenical annotation, runs thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \alpha \beta_{\epsilon}, \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta_{\epsilon}, \lambda \alpha \beta_{\varepsilon}, \lambda \alpha \beta_{\epsilon}, \phi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \text { ov. }
\end{aligned}
$$

But according to the Scholiast in $\mathbf{v}$. 1, the metre of the verse was,
for he describes it as a dimeter brachycatalectic with an hepthemimer of tribrachs. He must therefore have read it (and the inference is confirmed by the comparison of his other statements concerning the metre) thus:

And I do not hesitate with him to depart from the MSS.
 the natural sense also of the following lines, in which the Erinnyes are represented as hounds yelling upon the prey, strongly recommends the transposition. But there is no reason to be seen why the ordinary course of the Iambic metre should be suspended in this verse. The tragic usage is to allow inarticulate sounds, as the $\mu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu}, \mu \nu \nu \nu \bar{\nu}$ here, and only such, to stand extra metrum; the licence by no means extends to words of the common sort, as those which follow. We are therefore not without warrant for repeating the word $\lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\varepsilon}$ seven times. Thus the Iambic verse becomes complete, and the following arrangement is obtained :


Of course this arrangement of the Poet's is not to be viewed, as we may be apt to view it, in the light of a petty and overstudied conceit, but as the substratum of a vigorous and spirited dramatic effect. Imagine a wild, fierce howl, like that of a couple of hounds in a leash trained to give tongue in a harmonising cry, running through the whole line of Furies with great rapidity and without interrupting the beat of the verse.
b. Arrangement of the Chorus.
11. In place of a lengthened disquisition I shall here give, from the statements of the Grammarians on the subject, the arrangement of the Chorus of fifteen, the number of which it usually consisted in the subsequent period of dramatic art. The annexed figure exhibits the Chorus in two positions; the first, at its entrance by the side-passages of the Orchestra; the other, in its place in the centre of the Orchestra, about the Thymele. As the Thymele was derived from the Dionysian Altar around which the Cyclian Chorus executed its movements, it is natural to suppose its place to have been the centre of the Orchestra, as represented in the figure. But usually the Chorus stood nearer to the stage than to the amphitheatre ${ }^{1}$; therefore, hetween the Thymele and the Proscenium; and the lines

[^6]have been drawn accordingly ${ }^{1}$. The cardinal points of the heavens are assigned from the position of the Athenian Theatre on the south side of the Acropolis. They are taken into account in Soph. Ag. 874, 877, Eur. Orest. 1258.
12. The entire management of the Chorus is pervaded in a remarkable manner by its analogy to a $\Lambda$ ó $\chi o s$ of soldiers drawn up in order of battle. Hence Lochos is a favourite expression of $\boldsymbol{A l s c h y l u s ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ C h o r u s ; ~ i n ~ t h e ~}$ Agamemnon he even makes the Gerontes advance against Aegisthus with hand on sword exactly like Lochita. The same thing appears in the divisions of the Chorus and the various terms used to designate them. The Chorus of fifteen, in the annexed plan, enters in ranks (Yujá) three abreast. The files of five deep are called $\sigma \tau i \chi o l$ or $\sigma \tau o i \chi o \iota^{2}$. Besides the entry in file we find mention made of the entry cavà $Y_{v} \gamma^{\prime}$, i. e. in ranks of five abreast ${ }^{3}$; but this, from the import of the terms Yúgov and $\sigma \sigma^{\prime} \chi \chi^{\prime}$, cannot have been the original arrangement. The Choreutæ $A B C D E$, fronting the audience, are called $\dot{a} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha \iota^{4}$; whence it follows that the Chorus usually entered the Orchestra by a western door. The place of these left-hand men, as being most in view of the spectators, was deemed the most honourable. Among these the third, toícos or méros ápıлтepov, is the principal; it is the place occupied by the Hegemon of the whole Chorus, who in the earliest times was the same individual with the Choregus who furnished and equipped it ${ }^{5}$. When the Chorus takes its station on the lines in the Orchestra, his place comes to be on the

1. In the old Theatre these lines were traced upon the floor of the Orchestra or the several ranks of the Chorus, v. Hesych. s. v. ypa $\mu \mu a i$.
 T. кai mévte そ.
2. Pollux iv. 109.
3. Phot. Pollux. and Schol. on Aristid. Miltiades, p. 202. 7. Fr. or 535, 20. Dind. here for EIIEIXON read ETOIXON.
s. Phot. and Bekk. Anecd. p. 444.

Thymele itself．In fact he must needs be elevated above the other Choreute to be enabled to converse over the heads of the other two ranks with the acting persons of the drama． LMNOP are the $\delta_{\epsilon} \xi \iota o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \alpha$, ，right－hand men：FGHIK are the $\lambda a v \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau a t$ ，so called from their standing in the alley formed by the other two files．Being the least exposed to view，inasmuch as in all the evolutions of the Chorus they were covered by the other two files，they were natu－ nlly those on whom least attention and care were expended． Hesychius denotes nearly the same situation，perhaps GHI in particular，by the term ímoкó入atov toû रopov̂．The expressions $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s, \delta^{\prime} u \tau \epsilon \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s, \& c$ ．are not to be interpreted according to strict analogy as denoting the members of the first，second，\＆cc．$\sigma \pi^{i}$ रoi，but must be taken to mean the first，second，\＆c．in each $\sigma \tau i \chi o s$ ；namely， AFL the $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \sigma \tau a ́ \tau a l, B G M$ the $\delta \in \cup \tau \epsilon \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ t a l$ ，\＆c． Hence Hesychius explains the $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ to be the first on the wing in battle－array（ $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa e ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi a \rho a \tau a ́-~$ $\xi_{\text {ews }}$ ．The term Coryphæus seems not always to be taken in the same sense，for in Plutarch ${ }^{6}$ we find the Coryphæi as the foremost opposed to the крa⿱宀tejizal as the hind－ most and most remote from them，which can scarcely denote any other than the rank $A F L$ who were foremost in enter－ ing．Whereas when Posidonius in Athenæus ${ }^{7}$ compares him who sits in the middle place of a ring with the Coryphæus of a Chorus，he must plainly mean the Hegemon；and this ${ }^{2}$ grees with Demosthenes＇s ${ }^{8}$ expression of a Hegemon－Cory－ phreus．Accordingly all five $\dot{a} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \alpha, ~ A B C D E$ ，as being the foremost towards the audience in the stationary position of the Chorus，may be taken to be the Coryphæi． The term Coryphæus is always connected with the idea of one who stands at the head or front ${ }^{9}$ ．Hence to the Cory－
c．Sympos．v．5， 1.
8．c．Mid． 633.

7．IV． 152.
9．Aristoph．Plut．904．
phæi Aristotle ${ }^{1}$ opposes the mapartárat, which term seems to denote any of the rear ranks in relation to the front rank.
13. Such was the proper and stated arrangement or placing of the Chorus ( $\sigma$ cácts). In this order the Chorus might make its first entrance, and very often did so. But it is by no means true that it always took up its position according to this plan from the beginning of the play. On the contrary, we know that the Chorus of the Eumenides does not form in rank and file until it is about to sing the Binding-hymn (üuvos $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \iota o s$ ) to Mother Night. It is not possible to misunderstand the express testimony afforded by the words of the Chorus itself (áre $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каi $\chi$ оoòv áqwo $\mu e v, ~ v .297$ ), especially when taken in connexion with the discrepant structure of the preceding and subsequent Odes. And with this coincides the ancient account given in the Life of $\boldsymbol{E s c h y l u s}$, that the Chorus of the Eumenides entered $\sigma \pi o \rho a ́ \delta \eta \nu$, dispersedly.

But the manner in which the Chorus of the Eumenides made its first entrance and executed its evolutions, until it took up a regular position, can be learned only from the construction of its Odes, which we now proceed to examine individually.
B. THE CHORAL ODES.

First Ode. V. 138.
14. There is this difference between the Eumenides and all the other Greek Tragedies we are acquainted with, that the Chorus does not enter the Theatre at the beginning of the play, but is there from the very commencement. We see the Furies at first sunk in sleep on the stage, re-

1. Politic. III. 2.
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It eaich pause one of the Areopagites rose, took a ballot rom the Altar and threw it into the Urn, whereupon, ts the $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s \delta_{i} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ struck against the vessel, the sound ár $\boldsymbol{\xi}$, so familiar to the Athenian ear, was distinctly audible ihrough the Theatre. That we are to reckon by the intervals retween the speeches, and not by the speeches themselves, is svident from the number of the speeches being uneven, whereas the number of ballots is even : it is not till Miserva gives the casting vote that the numbers become odd, and Orestes is acquitted by a majority of one vote ${ }^{1}$.
10. There is no other play which exhibits the Chorus of twelve so plainly as the Agamemnon; for it does not by any means follow as a matter of course, that because the Chorus of twelve was certainly employed in this one instance, the same must have been the case in all the other dramas of Aschylus. It is very possible that after Sophocles had extended the number to fifteen, Aschylus may now and then have adopted the enlarged number. Nevertheless I think I can shew some probability of the Chorus of twelve having been employed in the Persians, the Suppliants, and the Seven against Thebes; and among the lost Tragedies of $\boldsymbol{A l s c h y l u s ~ t h a t ~ w a s ~ b e y o n d ~ d o u b t ~ t h e ~ n u m b e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~}$ Chorus of Titans in the Prometheus Unbound. In the play of the Persians the Chorus represents a Council of Elders, or Senate. For this we may assume twelve to be the regular number, as established in the Agamemnon; and the. same appears admissible also in the Antigone of Sophocles ${ }^{2}$. Moreover, in the evocation of Darius from his grave ( $\mathrm{v} .625-658$ ), six voices are distinguishable, and the like number join in singing the concluding Ode. In the Suppliants, we must bear in mind that each of the Danaids has a female

[^7]into the dochmiac rhythm; in some cases, however, relapsing once more into Iambics. But as little can I doubt the antistrophic composition of the whole Ode, with the exception of the prelude, ( $\pi \rho 0, \omega \delta \delta^{\prime}$ ): the antithetical adjustment is quite perceptible in the first pair of Strophes (247-253), and we have at least a glinpse of it in the subsequent pair, (254-265). It is true we have, according to our arrangement of the text, a redundant dochmius in each division of
 $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ ovós v. 264); which seems to disturb the antistrophic equilibrium. But when I consider not only the general correspondence of parts which pervades the whole, but in particular the energetic thought flashed upon Orestes like lightning in these few redundant syllables, I find no supposition more probable than that the pair of voices which sang the correspondent portion of the Strophe fell in with the antistrophic pair at these supernumerary words, $\dot{\eta}$ тonéas фìous, and so again at ếve $\rho \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \chi^{\boldsymbol{\theta} \text { ovós. I }}$ I know indeed that no instance has hitherto been alleged of such a blending of voices, but the same may be said of many other technical details of Greek dramatic art.

On these several assumptions the Ode may without any violence be portioned out to fourteen voices; at the same time I do not mean to deny that other views may have something to recommend them ${ }^{\text {? }}$.
2. This Ode bears some resemblance to the first section of the first Commos in Soph. ©Ed. Col. v. 116. It is plain that the old men engaged in the search atter Cedipus enter $\sigma \pi o \rho d \delta \eta \nu$, and expanding themselves in two lines sing in strophe and antistrophe, but evidently in separate divisions. The first strophe and antiatrophe may perhaps best be apportioned between $2 \times 3$, and the second pair between $3 \times 4$ voices, not reckoning the Anapasts and the portions sung by Cedipus and Antigone. In the Odes of the ©Ed. Col. all is Commatic till the Parodos $\dot{\varepsilon} v i \pi \pi u v$, そive, tāsìє x'öpas, v. 6if8. Compare §. 16.

## Third Ode. V. 296.

16. The moment for the Chorus to arrange itself in stated order arrives in v. 296, with the Anapæstic March.

Anapcests are a metre, from their nature, adapted to accompany a firm vigorous step. The equality in respect of quantity between the Arsis and Thesis in the metre, between the stronger and the weaker portion of the rhythmical beat, gives it a staid and measured character. The reason why the arsis follows the thesis is because, by the natural law of the human pace, in advancing a step the stronger foot remains stationary in order to propel the body: when the impulse is given the foot follows after it, and does this with the more weight and force the more the body is accustomed to depend for its motion on that foot principally. For this reason the march-songs of the Greeks were in general Anapestic; and agreeably with this arrangement it is found that wherever Anapæsts occur in Greek Tragedy, they accompany a steady pacing or march. This may be proved to be the case almost without exception ${ }^{1}$. It is in Anapæsts that the Chorus sings at its entrance, at its exit, and when it moves towards a person or accompanies him. Every where they remind us of those marches or battle-songs of the old Dorians ( $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o \iota \pi \alpha \iota a ̄ \nu \epsilon s)$, the very acclamation in which ( $\dot{\mathrm{C}} \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\varepsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}^{2}$ ) accorded with the Anapæstic rhythm in which they were composed. In those long series of Anapæstic systems which we find at the beginning of the Persians, Suppliants, and Agamemnon of Eschylus, we may perhaps see

1. See Böckh on the Antigone, p. 46.
2. Hence $\dot{e} \lambda \epsilon \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ is to strike up the War-Pæan. The $\dot{e} \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \bar{v}$, it is plain, belongs strictly speaking to the Pæan. It is, as Plutarch Thes. 22. says, the accom-

 Xenoph. Anab. v. 2, 14. Comp. Hellen. 11. 4, 17. But Anab. 1. 8, 18, Xenophon puts $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \lambda i\} \epsilon c \nu$ for $\boldsymbol{d} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda$ rȩ́civ. Comp. Demetr. de Eloc. 98. Schol. Aristoph. Av. 364. and Suid. s. v. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \bar{u}$.
the original form of the Parodos, strictly so called; that is to say, of the entrance of the Chorus into the Orchestra drawn up in regular form, by rank and file. Subsequently the grand simplicity of these long marches, (which in Eschylus moreover are often very full of matter) fell into distaste. In consequence, either antistrophic odes were mixed up with the Anapæsts, as in the Antigone, or superseded them en. tirely: and from this deviation from the old procedure have arisen the difficulty and obscurity which now beset our conceptions of the Parodos ${ }^{3}$. At times, however, there was a recurrence to the simpler form of the elder Tragedy in this matter, as in the Hecuba of Euripides. The time and rate of motion observed by the Chorus in singing off these Anaprestic systems may perhaps be gathered from the circumstance that the Gerontes in the Agamemnon sing $118^{\circ}$ and in the Persians 123 double Anapasts in traversing the in-
3. Not, however, to such a degree as to justify Hermann in calling that a Hépoios which is in reality the first stasimon. The passage of Aristot. Poet. 12, 7,
 reiorou cal tpoxalov, which Tyrwhitt on the whole understood rightly, makes it very clear that the Parodos was especially distinguished for the stasimon by Anapests and Trochees, that is, systems or longer verses of those metres. Hephast.
 the umequally measured Anapæstic systems. As instances of Mápodoc I find the following sdduced. Soph. ©Ed. Col. 668. èvititov そéve. El. 121. í raĩ, raí. Eur. E1.
 able). Phaeniss. 210. Túftov oti $\mu$. See Plutarch an Seni. 3. Lysand. 15. Schol. Soph. El. ad 1. Metr. Schol. Phoniss. 210. Hypoth. Esch. Pers. In the Prometheus the Parodos lies before the Ode $\sigma \tau \in ̇ \nu \omega \sigma$ $\sigma e$ âs, which is the first stasimon, Schol. Vesp. 270. To add examples from the Comedians, Aristoph. Nub. v. 326. dévaoc Neфèact, and Vesp. 230. хcópel трóßaıv' éppoonévos are described as חápodoc. Although these examples by no means all agree with each other, still the greater part of them serve to

 of ancient authorities on this point, should have made so little use of those we do pomess. Aristot. Poet. 12, 7. El. Doct. Metr. p. 724. As to Hermann's assertion that these Anapests were only spoken, not sung, I look in vain for any proof of it. The probability is that the Anapæsts of the Parodos were chaunted in the manaer of recitative. Such a mode of delivery might with equal propriety be called
 peces of the Parodon as $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \tau \dot{p} \rho \iota o c$ are to be distinguished from those which are strietly xopeutikoi. Comp. Athenæus i. p. 22. a.
terval from the door of the Orchestra to the Thymele, which in the Athenian Theatre must be taken at from 150 to 200 feet. The Danaids measure out the same space in 76 double Anapæsts: it is clear these young fugitives move at a swifter pace. As to the oral delivery of these Anapæsts, we may gain some conception of it by recurring to the analogy of those same Embaterian Pæans. In these the General strikes up the singing, and in some degree may be said to take the lead ( $\epsilon \xi^{\prime} \dot{a}^{\prime} \rho \chi^{\varepsilon c}$ is the expression of Xenophon and Plutarch ${ }^{2}$ ), but of course the whole army took part in it. In the same manner the Cretans sing the Pæan, in the Homeric Hymn, as they move in measured time from Crisa to Pytho; Apollo himself is the leader, ápxet. Indeed in the Pæan we regularly meet with an ${ }^{\prime} \xi \dot{G} \rho \chi(\omega)$. If in connection with this it be observed that in these Anapæstic Chorusses we generally find three systems standing in a more intimate relation to each other than to the rest; and further, that in the three Tragedies now mentioned (Persians, Suppliants, Agamemnon), the entire mass of Anapæsts in each Parodos resolves itself into $3 \times 3$ systems, as also that this number three pervades all the Anapæstic systems in the same tragedies, it will appear highly probable that the three protostatæ of the three
 companied by the other voices of his own $\sigma r o i x o s$, and each performing one system, so that at the end of every three systems the order commenced afresh. There is no. difficulty in reconciling this view with Aristotle's definition of the Parodos (" the first speech of the entire Chorus"), by which I understand him to mean, in the first place, that the Parodos was sung by the Chorus as a united whole regularly drawn up in rank and file; and, secondly, that all the Choreute bore a part in it, not indeed simultaneously, but in an order of succession.
4. Xen. Hellen. 11. 4, 17. Plut. Iyc. 22.
5. Now between these regular marches which accompany the ordinary entrance of the Chorus, and the Anapæsts now under review, there is this difference. These latter are sung by the Chorus when already in the Orchestra, and now for the first time falling into rank and file. In accordance with this object the Anapæsts themselves exhibit a peculian structure. They resolve themselves into shorter verses, not indeed in all cases marked as such by a catalexis, but nevertheless clearly defined by other signs of a close to the verse, as well as by the order and dependence of the several portions of the sense. The separation effected upon these principles gives of its ownself seven verses of the following dimensions:

| I. | II. | III. | IV. | V. | V1. | VII. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pentam. | tetram. | dim. | tetram. | dim. | tetram. | hexam. |
| catal. | cat. | cat. | acat. | cat. | cat. | cat. |

Here, in the first place, we have visibly the antithetic arrangement so frequently found in Anapæstic systems on the larger scale; VI. answers to II., and V. to III., and the equipoise between VII. and I. is only disturbed by the addition of a double Anapæst. At the same time the number seven which appears in these verses, and the strongly marked mnterpunction between them all makes it not only conceivable but very probable that here as before we have the fourteen Choreute, omitting the Hegemon, singing in pairs. And if, further, it be considered that in falling into their places on the three lines of the Orchestra, the Choreute of one $\sigma$ oioi$\chi^{\text {os }}$ must needs have to move through a greater space than those of the next, and these again than those of the third, and that the Ode here sung by them as they are in the act of falling in presents us with verses of three different dimensions, (2, 4, 6 metres), the following view of the evolution ofiers itself with some degree of evidence in its favour. Conceive the persons of the Chorus to have previously formed into one line, nearly straight, in front of the thymele and
facing the audience, the hegemon in the centre. After uitter-
 gemon ascends the thymele. Hereupon the Choreutæ, first those of the one side, and then those of the other, fall into their places in pairs, in an order the symmetry of which may be better exhibited by a few lines.


This leaves only one circumstance unexplained, namely, why the VII ${ }^{\text {th }}$ pair sings a double Anapest more than the $\mathrm{I}^{\text {ta }}$; unless the reason is to be sought in the desire of obtaining a full and impressive close.

Fourth Ode. V. 311.
We are now arrived at the first Stasimon, or Ode sung by the Chorus as a whole, and regularly drawn up in rank and file. This sublime and majestic composition beginning, Mâtep ä́ $\mu^{\prime}$ é $\tau \iota к \tau е s, \dot{\omega} \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \rho$ Nú $\xi$, is a Hymn addressed by the Children of Night to that ancient Goddess and Primeval Maternity, and in it they proclaim at one time with passionate excitement, at another with more of a haughty confidence, their right to the person of the shedder of maternal blood. By this proclamation they would deter every child of earth, and Orestes in particular, from the vain attempt of evading the power of the Erinnyes; by it, Orestes is to be fettered as with indestructible bonds: a purpose undoubtedly symbolized to the view of the spectators by peculiar accompanying
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fied) is instigating the hero to the murder of his children. "Hercules," says the Chorus, "shall dance to the madden-
 .v. 874. And again says the Chorus, v. 891, фvүヘ̣̂, тéxம';
 the Trachiniæ of Sophocles, sung in the highest emotions
 © túpavve tâs émâs $\phi \rho \in v o ́ s ~(v . ~ 216) . ~$
19. And nothing could better accord with this aulodic character than the musical mode in which this Stasimon was composed. I am persuaded it was the Phrygian mode, and am not to be driven out of my persuasion by an obscure passage of Aristoxenus, who in his Life of Sophocles speaks of that Poet as having been the first to introduce the Phrygian mode in the Tragic Odes, but only in the $\mathbf{I} \delta \mathrm{I}_{a}$ $\ddot{q} \sigma \mu<t a$, that is to say, Monodies (comp. Aristot. Poet. 19), For it is quite inconceivable that the Phrygian mode, add mirably adapted as it was to Tragedy by its enthusiastic and yet solemn character, should not have passed over from the Dithyrambic Odes, to which it peculiarly belonged ${ }^{1}$, to their offspring the Tragic Odes. The following appear to me to be the principal data upon which we are to proceed in order to ascertain what kind of rhythms were usually cons nected with the Phrygian harmony.
(1) A Monody in the Orestes of Euripides, v. 1381 sqq. It is sung by a Performer whom Euripides, to gratify the effeminate taste of that already degenerate age, brings upon the stage in the character of a Phrygian Eunuch, trembling for fear. The Poet, evidently wishing to shew off this piece of musical art and let all the world know what it is meant for, makes the Phrygian himself announce that
 can hardly be doubted but that the 'Appátecos vópos

[^8](which was aulodic, and belonged to the enharmonic genus) was composed in the Phrygian mode: for the most competent authorities ${ }^{2}$ derive it from the Phrygian musician Olympus; and though others differ as to the person of the inventor, all are agreed as to its Phrygian origin ${ }^{3}$. That it is here sung by a Phrygian, that the singer himself dozcribes it as barbarian and ungrecian, and compares it to "a mournful song or dirge (aitinvos) which the barbarians with Asiatic voice utter at the death of their kings", (v. 1398), all these circumstances indicate the Phrygian kind of music.
(z) We may claim as Phrygian the extant fragment of a Dithyramb of Pindar's. The length of the Strophes, a symptom of the approximation, even then, to that dissolation of the antistrophic form which befel the Dithyramb at a later period, when it was altogether withdrawn from the Choruses, and given up to be performed by individual professors,-and also the multiplicity and peculiar character of the rhythms, indicate a different mode of music to any which Pindar has used in. his Epinicia, in which it is well known only the Doric, Æolian and Lydian are to be traced.
(3) A passage in the first Chorus of the Bacchæ, v. 159, plainly shews it to have been an Ode sung to the flute, in the Phrygian mode.

To go no further than these examples; out of the great variety of metres which present themselves to one's notice in these Odes, what strikes us as particularly characteristic are the Cretics, especially the resolved Cretics or Paons:: Let it be remembered, too, that these very rhythms are said to have found their way into the compositions of the

[^9]Cretan Thaletas from the flute-music of Olympus the Phrygian ${ }^{1}$; and that a notion of magnificence, reja入or тpeite's', was attached to these poems, above all other rhythms. Another rhythm of frequent occurrence in the above-mentioned Odes is the Galliamb, (a rhythm known to have originated in the hymns addressed to the Phrygian mother of the Gods ${ }^{3}$ ); this metre however is of a softer and less noble character than would in all places be suitable to the Phrygian mode, one of the characteristics of which is sublimity. The impetuous rhythms of the Trochees is also not foreign to the Phrygian style, as these examples and other authorities ${ }^{4}$ shew. It is also very observable that those single feet serving to introduce or close a metrical period, which we are accustomed to call $\beta$ ácess or éx $\kappa \beta_{\text {á }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} t s$, are particularly frequent in the Phrygian style, and in these Odes which we are now considering, often occur at such passages and fall upon such weighty words, that one cannot help feeling that these feet must have been delivered in a particularly solemn and slow style, and have been equal in respect of time to entire periods ${ }^{5}$.

It seems to me impossible not to recognize here the Nómos öpfios (which was sometimes joyful, sometimes mournful ${ }^{6}$, but always powerful and grand), which Herodotus and Plutarch mention in close connection with the Dithyramb, and which is known to have been used by Nschylus ${ }^{7}$. From a passage of Plutarch ${ }^{8}$, attended with some obscurities, thus much at least can be gathered: that the ' two feet called the Orthios and the Trocharus Semantus were

1. Hoeck Creta III. p. 355.
s. Telestes in Athenæus xiv. 626.
s. Compare, for example, in Pindar's fragment $\alpha \dot{\chi} \in \iota \mid \tau a \iota \dot{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \quad$ o $\mu \mid \phi a \iota \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$


2. Comp. Agam. 1124.
\%. Schol. Aristoph. Ran. 1308.
3. Mus. 28.
peculiarly apposite in the Nómos őptıos; the former is ant Iambus ( $-\frac{1}{-}$ ) the latter a Trochee ( $\stackrel{1}{-}$ ) of twelve times; and therefore reckoning as four feet. Certainly these solemn long-drawn feet ${ }^{9}$, when combined with impetuous Cretics and fleet Pæons, were much better adapted to the enthusiastic Phrygian mode, delighting as it did in wild starts and contrasts, than to the purely symmetrical flow of the Doric. It is also known that the Pæon Epibatus ${ }^{10}$; a foot counting as ten times, was used by Olympus for the Phrygian mode ${ }^{11}$. The circumstance that the Nónos öp $\theta_{i}$ os was connected, not indeed necessarily, (for the Cithara is sometimes used with it) but more commonly with flute music, and the fact that the deep-toned bent aü $\lambda$ ós, the Phrygian horn, was particularly used with it ${ }^{12}$, well accords with the representation here given.
4. These data and inferences respecting the Phrygian mode, when applied to our Chorus, leave scarcely a doubt as to its musical character. The passages in the first Strophe, so plainly in the Orthian stylé,
and

again in the last Strophe,

the Cretic periods following the former, and the turbulent Peons at the close of the first and second Strophes-all, this palpably evinces the Phrygian mode. It also appeara from Euripides ${ }^{13}$ and the fragments of the later Dithyrambs, that repetitions of the same word and the jingle of homoeo-

[^10]teleuta wore particularly aimed at in Odes set to the Phrygian mode; (probably this was the case in the native songs of Phrygia). Some touch of this appears in the mapaкowd, mapaфopd of our Ode. In those passages where the tone of feeling in the Erinnyes is of a more composed character, and which rather express a proud consciousness of their rightful power and dignity than a fear of its being disparaged, the rhythms (long dactylic periods with spondaic terminations and annexed trochaic closes) approximate to those used with the Doric mode: indeed it would be a probable conjecture that this Harmony here takes place of the Phrygian, were it not that the latter in many cases readily admits of very long dactylic periods'.

21 With this first Stasimon the Chorus has taken up a fixed position in the middle of the Orchestra, and now leaves this place no more until the end of the play. The notion entertained by many ${ }^{2}$, that the Chorus, in performing the Strophe and Antistrophe of an Ode, moved towards opposite ends of the Orchestra, and advanced to the left and right by turns, is palpably erroneous, for in that case the Chorus would be no $\sigma$ tácıs (as it is often termed in the Tragedies themselves ${ }^{3}$ ) and its Ode no Stasimon ${ }^{4}$. There is no need to adduce a whole host of Scholiasts ${ }^{5}$ to prove the fact that in a Stasimon the Chorus did not leave its place. The very name speaks for itself. Only, I think

 udmits of long verses, formed of dactylic orders, is decidedly instanced in a Fragment of the 'Opegtéca of Stesichorus preserved in the Schol. on Aristoph. Pax.



$$
3 \text { (-uv-uv--)-*v-* u-uv-uv-. }
$$

2. Hermann on Aristot. Poet. 12, 8, and Doctr. Metr. p. 727.
3. Æsch. Ag. 1115. Choeph. III. 454.
4. $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \rho \mu \in \bar{\omega} \nu$. Aristoph. Ran. 1281.
5. Scholl. Eur. Hec. 647. Aristoph. Vesp. 270. Ran. 1307. Hypoth. Resch. Pers. Phavorinus and others.
it does not warrant the inference that the Chorus in ac Stasimon was motionless as well as stationary ${ }^{6}$, for in that case the Chorus in the most and longest of the Odes sung by, it would, I might almost say, renounce its very nature, Xopévety. But just as the old Cyclian Chorus, as described on the shield of Achilles in the Hiad, revolved in the dance now right, now left, like a potter's wheel ${ }^{7}$; so the antistrophic movement of a Chorus is to be conceived as such that, while the individual members change places, the whole occupies one and the same portion of space. For this very reason it was that the old Masters of Tactics gave the name of "the Choral evolution" ( $\chi$ ópecos $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \xi € \_\lambda \iota \gamma \mu o ́ s\right) ~ t o ~$ that evolution of a Lochos, by which the foremost came to be hindmost, and vice versa, while the Lochos as a whole did not leave its place. Hence it may be inferred with a considerable degree of certainty that in the Strophe of the Choral Dance, (just as in the Lochos), the $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ $\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ '́tat $A F L$ passed in a curved line to the position $E K P$; the devtepootátal $B G M$ to $D I O$; and at the same time the крaбтє ${ }^{\prime} i \tau a l ~ E K P ~ t o ~ A F L, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ C h o r e u t æ ~ D I O ~$ to BGM; all which was reversed in the Antistrophe. The Choreutæ, who from their first occupation of the Orchestra stood face to face, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \iota ~ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda o s s ~ \sigma \tau a^{\prime} \nu-$ res ${ }^{R}$, now moved to meet each other from either side, in such a way as to answer and imitate each others' movements ${ }^{9}$, at first continually approaching each other, then passing, and at last changing places. A Chorus, fixed
6. Boeckh on the Antigone, 2nd Dissertation, p. 51.
7. II. XVIII. 599. See Mar. Victoreus, p. 2501. Putsch. Euanth. de trag. et eam. 2. Etym. M. s. v. tooofóólov. Schol. Pind. Ol. p. 11. Boeckh. Schol. Eur. Hec. 47. In these testimonies we have it continually remarked that the movement which in the Strophe was towards the right, in the Antistrophe was repeated towards the left.
8. Hephæst. т. тоı $\eta \mu .14$. p. 131. Comp. Schol. Aristoph. Eq. 612.
9. This is the meaning of àvtıotolхєiv in Xenoph. Anab. v. 4, 12. comp. Sympas. 2. 20. Stivern on the Knights of Aristoph. p. 102. (German). Kolster de Parabasi, p. 15.
and arrested in the first quarter of its evolution, may therefare be supposed to present an aspect such as is exhibited in the following diagram: though it should be borne in mind, that the variety and expressiveness of the movement must in part have depended on the form of the curves described by the Chorus in the process of changing places in any particular Ode, as for example in our Hymnos Desmios.


Fifth Ode. V. 468.
22. The character of the second Stasimon is very simple, the rhythms consisting mostly of Trochaic orders, some short, some long, inwoven into larger metrical periods. The shortest orders look like Cretics, but the general character of the Ode and the manner of connexion make it clear that they are to be read with a pause at the end as Catalectic Trochaic dipodiæ, so that the trochaic rhythn runs uninterruptedly through the whole verse, and consequently through the greater part of the Ode. Now when one of these insulated orders ( $-\cup-$ ) ends in the middle of a word, as it is hardly to be supposed that the word should be allowed to be split in two by the intervention of the proper pause, the vacant portion of time belonging to the regular pause must of necessity have been thrown into
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．．：23．The proper rhythmical thema of the Ode now under consideration，that with which it begins；and which is ever and anon audible throughout，is the Catalectic Trochaic tetrapodia， $\mathfrak{L} \cup-\cup-u-$ ，called by the Metrists $\lambda_{\text {gri }} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}{ }^{2}{ }^{1}$ ，perhaps from the smoothness and lubricity with which it runs，like drops of oil from a flask．As for the Musical mode：these almost purely Trochaic Odes，in which nothing appears of the elevation and pomp of the Phrygian，were certainly almost invariably Lydian．The Lydian mode was tender，graceful，but apt to degenerate into laxity．This very character it was that gave the Trochaic metre the name кópóa $\xi^{2}$ ，from a soft and volup－ tuous dance of Lydian origin ${ }^{3}$ ．To counteract this ener－ vating tendency Æschylus in the third pair of Strophes intermixes long dactylic orders：the same rhythm which tranquillizes the Phrygian here dignifies the Lydian；and Aschylus with great art reserves for these dactylic passages moral sentences or $\gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega_{\mu} \dot{a}$ ，to which the solemnity of this firm and equable metre ${ }^{4}$ is peculiarly adapted．On the other hand the anacrusis of Iambic dipodix，which in the last Strophe of this as of the preceding Ode，introduces the Trochaic orders，impart to this portion a more rapid and animated march，which again is most gracefully soothed down by the lovely flow of a Logacedic close，of which凬schylus is in general so fond．
 Ran．1208．foll．alludes to this．With these words Eschylus continually clips off from Euripides＇trimeters precisely this lecythium（－レーレーレー）．The joke indeed is principally aimed at the contents of the Prologues，which begin like a story of common life；still there may be in it at the same time an allusion to some－ thing in the ancient art of versification；a jeer at the uniformity of the Cæsural pause in the Prologues of Euripides．

2．Aristot．in Quintil．1x．4．Cic．Orat． 17.
3．Pausan．vi．22．－Pausànias was himself a Lydian by extraction．


## Sixth Ode, V. 748. Seventh Ode, V. 801.

24. In the two preceding Odes the tone of feeling in the Erinnyes was still suspensive and wavering. Now that Orestes has gained his cause in the Areopagus, it mounts once more into frantic rage: breaking off from the regular rhythms, they burst out into an Ode evidently Commatic in its nature, and accompanied with very wild movements, as one may see from the very metres employed. They are dochmii, a metre expressive, according to circumstances, either of sorrow or of joy, but almost always of violently excited feelings. Here also we have plainly an occurrence of bacchii, a metre seldom used in Tragedy on account of its unrhythmical character. In the first Ode not only the matter but the form in respect of the interspersed iambic verses indicates three voices, perhaps those of the three Protostatæ. The second Ode, which consists almost entirely of short and unconnected ejaculations of extreme fury, may be distributed among seven voices. The repetition of the same Ode indicates the dogged pertinacity with which they persist in the feelings to which they had already given vent, and of their utter heedlessness of Minerva's attempts to soothe them in her replies.

Eighth (V. 876), Ninth (V. 916), Tenth (V. 950) Odes.
25. At last the goddess has succeeded in appeasing the frantic Erinnyes. Now comes the third Stasimon (no Tragedy of $\boldsymbol{\text { Eschylus has fewer than three), consisting of three }}$ pairs of Strophes, insulated indeed in respect of time by the intervening Anapæsts sung by Minerva, but nevertheless retaining the independent and composed character of a Stasimon, there being no reference in the Ode to the matter expressed in those Anapæsts, and the Strophes and Antistrophes following regularly in three pairs. The Ode, which
is a û́ulos єúkтıkós, a song of blessing, is composed partly of light trochees, partly of solemn dactyls. The Molossi,
 sured dactylically ( $-\underline{\sim}-\mid-\underline{-}-$ ), and thus in each instance are equivalent in time to four dactyls or eight light trochees (two Lecythia); at least this is the only way in which they san be read so as to get the right impression from them.

In the intervals between these six Strophes Minerva sings ive Anapæstic systems, antithetically (related one to another,) ( $1,2,3,2,1$ ). These Anapæsts are so printed in the text is to give each system as a connected whole. The minor sections are not intended to represent verses, in the proper rense of the term, but to divide into members the matter expressed. The usual mode of division by dimeters and monometers has the effect of frittering away the majestic roll of such Anapæstic periods.

That the goddess during these Anapæsts changes ber position is evident from the bearing of them. At first she addresses from the stage the Council of the Areopagites, or rather the assembled people of Athens, and, in so doing, speaks of the Eumenides in the third person. It is not until towards the end that she personally addresses the latter; then she expresses her good wishes towards them also, and makes known to them that now she will discharge the duty of escorting the terrible goddesses into their sacred Thalamos. We see plainly that Minerva has gradually descended from the stage into the Orchestra, and ends with placing herself at the head of the Chorus, to which the Areopagites also and the escort of maidens now attach themselves. To these maidens belongs the last Ode; short indeed, but peculiarly solemn, and (its sense rightly understood) winding up the action with a grandly simple close.
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26. The play of the Eumenides was acted in the large stone Theatre near the Temple of Dionysus. The erection of this Theatre was commenced in Ol. 70. 1, but the building was not completed till about Ol. 100, during the financial administration of Lycurgus. But a Theatre might, in the same manner as an ancient Temple, or a Gothic Church, be used for centuries without being quite completed; and we certuinly have no authority for supposing that the productions of the great tragedians still continued to be exhibited in a wooden structure, whilst even the iṇsignificant Epidaurus had obtained from the hands of Polycletus, a contemporary of Phidias, a magnificent Theatre of stone.

The Athenian Theatre, which was erected at the time above mentioned and had given rise to scientific investigations by the most distinguished experimental philosophers of the Perictean age, Anaxagoras and Democritus, was no doubt the original model of the Greek Theatre described by Vitrurius; and this can be proved in detail. Accordingly, for information about the general plan of the whole structure, and about the divisions of the Orchestra, Stage and Amphitheatere, and so on, we may refer our readers to the works a Genelli and other Germans, who with much taste and cradteson have reduced the rules and statements of Vitruvius - connected form. The only peculiarity in the exhithim of the Eumenides was the arrangement of the Stage (called by the Greeks Mpookinvov and Moreiov; the term חpookiviov being used to denote the space in front of the oxumi, and the term $\lambda^{\prime}$ ysiov, or more anciently 'Oxpißas, being applied to the wooden platform raised above the level of the Orchestra.)

But before we can determine the exact arrangement of the Stage on this occasion, we must first ascertain what scenes and localities were intended to be represented by the Stage, in the several parts of the Play, and by what means the Poet made his intention palpable to the spectators.
27. In the opening scene of the Play we behold the Pythoness in the open court in front of the Temple of Apollo at Delphi. She is praying to the Gods of the Temple, evidently at an altar, (probably representing the "Great Altar" of Delphi ${ }^{1}$ ). This altar is frequently mentioned by Euripides in the Ion $^{2}$, and we learn from him that at the altar were carved images of divinities ( Góava,) which it was customary for suppliants to embrace. It appears to me a very credible supposition that these images represented the deities who had successively held possession of this sacred abode of prophecy; namely, Gaia, Themis, Phoebe, and Phoebus. I ground my opinion mainly on the expressions made use of by the Priestess herself in the Prologue. Her prayer to the four above-mentioned deities is there most pointedly distinguished from her reverential address to the others. She manifestly first of all addresses her prayer exclusively to the divinities immediately present; and after that directs her thoughts to the more remote deities, commencing with Pallas, who was worshipped under the title of Mpováıa before the precincts ${ }^{3}$ of the Pythian Temple, on the road to Boeotia and Athens; then proceeding to the divinities of the Corycian Dripping Grotto ${ }^{4}$; next to the fountainnymphs of the river Pleistus, and the fountain-god Poseidon; and lastly to the Lycorean Jove ${ }^{5}$, the God who dwells supreme on the mountain-summit Lycorea.

1. Pausan. x. 14, 4.
2. Cf. vv. 115. 1269. ff. 1418.
3. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \nu 0 s$.
4. Pious dedicatory inscriptions to Pan and the Nymphs are legible even now on this grotto. Cf. Boeckh Corp. Inscr. N. 1728.
5. Aukwpaios, Steph. Byz.-In the beautiful Relieva of Homer's Apotheosis Jupiter is represented occupying the summit of Parnassus.
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w the Pythian Apolto is designated by Plato ${ }^{1}$. But the dearest instances are to be seen in the vase-paintings ${ }^{2}$, where Orestes is exhibited, as a suppliant for protection and expiation, sitting on the Omphalus in the Temple of Apollo, exactly as described by Aschylus. On this semiircle are to be seen stripes of various kinds, sometimes horisontal; sometimes crossing, and sometimes pendant. These [ take to be bands (infelce, ovéщцata, or tasvial), with which the navel-stone was decorated; and this explanation nccords with an expression of Strabo's, тetaıvюumévos. These nfula, composed of loose woollen threads, may, when knotted ogether crosswise so as to form a sort of net-work, be termed ypīvos or ácyproov, the name given to a net-like woollen sovering worn by the ancient Soothsayers and Bacchanals ${ }^{3}$.
28. To return to our subject: together with this Omphalus, behind which perhaps the Tripod was also visible, is in the vase-paintings, there is seen in the Temple the iollowing assembly: Orestes, sitting on the Omphalus; mound him the Furies reclined on seats and fast asleep; bexide him Apollo; in the back-ground Hermes. This ussembly cannot be reckoned at fewer than eighteen persons. Now in what way, we ask, was this large company exhibited at once to the view of the spectators after the prologne of the Pythoness? For unquestionably it was not brought nto view till after the prologue: the whole description which the Priestess gives of the hags who encompassed Orestes would be tame and frigid, if the spectators had already mad a view of their figure and appearance, before the Priestess caught sight of them. The description is surely preparatory to the spectacle, not explanatory of it.

1. Polit. 1v. p. 427.
2. See the Vase-painting edited with a learned explanation by Millin, and the ollection iu Raoul-Rochette's Oresteide, particularly Pl. 35.
3. See Winckelmania, Mon. Ined. p. 212, and Fr. G. Schoen, do Personarum in iur. Bacch. habitu, p. 54.

There are two ways in which the interior of the Temple, with its assemblage of persons, might be thus suddenly dieclosed to view. One of these methods has been already proposed by a Scholiast ${ }^{4}$, and among the Moderns by Böttigers ${ }^{5}$, (an Antiquarian of high merit for his acquaintance with the ancient stage): I mean the $\dot{\dot{\epsilon}} \dot{\xi} \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho a$ or iккv́кス $\eta \mu \alpha$.
 more usual) denotes the platforms or small 2000 den stags, which, in passages of the Drama where the interior of a house had to be exposed to the spectator's view, was pushed or wheeled forward ${ }^{6}$ through the great portal in the stone acreen ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta^{\prime}$ ) at the back of the stage, and afterward wheeled back ${ }^{7}$ when the interior had to be again withdrawn from view. The following decided instances of the employment of the Eccyclema occur in the old Tragedians, and may serve to shew in what cases this machinery was applicable.
(1) In the Agamamoon (v. 1345) there is suddenly displayed to view (evidently by means of the Eccyclema) the royal bathing apartment, with the silver laver, the corpse enveloped in the fatal garment, and Clytæmnestra, besprinkled with blood and holding in her hand the reeking weapon, still standing with haughty mien over her murdered victim.
(2) In the Choephora the same bathing apartment is exhibited to view (v. 967). Here likewise it is drawn out therough the central door in the stage-screen; and on this occasion the Scholiasts notice the Eccyclema. Orestes is seen standing over the corpses of Clytæmnestra and Aigisthus, holding in his hands the fatal garment ${ }^{8}$.
4. In Eumen. v. 64 : cf. on v. 47.
s. ' De Deo ex Machina, p. 9. Kurien-maske, p. $98 . \quad$ 6. ésкuurieiv.
7. ciocuк入cì, Pollux iv. 128. Schol. Acharn. 407. Eustath. on 11. p. 976, 15.
s. Clytamnestra comes ont by the doorway to the right, the guvatceiat midal, and is led off by Orestes into the main building through the central doorway, The door to the right belongs to the second actor, who is evidently Clytæmnestra.
(3). In the Electra of Sophocles (v. 1450) ※gisthus orders the great gates of the palace to be thrown open, that all the Mycenæans and Argives may convince themselves with their own eyes of the death of Orestes: a covered corpse is wheeled upon the stage on an Eccyclema; 不gisthus uncovers it; it is Clytæmnestra.
(4) In the Antigone (1293) the corpse of Eurydice is exhibited on the stage: almost immediately after we had been informed of her suicide within the palace: The Chorus
 év $\mu \nu \chi o i s$ é $\tau t$; and the Scholia also mention it.
(5) In the Ajaw (346), upon the earnest desire of the people of Salamis to see their lord and prince, Tecmessa throws open the tent: at the instant. she draws aside the awning, Ajax (by means of an Eccyclema, which is again remarked by the Scholia) is wheeled out to view; he is seen. holding a drawn sword in his hand and sprinkled with blood; surrounded by slaughtered cattle, and sunk in deep anguish:
(6) In the Edipus Tyrannus (1297), the unfortunate son of Laius, his eyes pierced through and dripping with blood, his footsteps in need of a guide, becomes visible through the open gateway of the palace. He'is evidently wheeled out on an Eccyclema; and Sophocles apparently overlooked that circumstance, when he afterwards makes Creon prohibit the exhibition of so horrible a spectacle to the open light of day, and orders ©Edipus to be led back into the house (1429).
(7) In the Hercules Furens of Euripides (1030) the bars of the palace-doors are drawn back; by means of an Eccyclema we behold the hero asleep, bound hand and foot to a broken pillar, surrounded by the corpses of his wife and children, and by the fragments of shattered shafts and columns.
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to be wheeled forward at once, amounting, as we have seen, to no fewer than eighteen; whereas in none of the other instances are there more than four individuals at most; nor is the Chorus ever included. How spacious must have been the moveable stage, which was capable of exhibiting at once, in a tasteful group, Orestes on the Omphalus, the gods, and the entire Chorus! and how wide must have been the portal, which admitted of their being wheeled through!

But there are further considerations, which lead us to conclude that the Eccyclema was not employed on this occasion. We first of all saw the Pythoness in the open square in front of the Temple. We are now to view the interior of the Temple; and this (we will suppose) is to be effected by means of an Eccyclema. The floor of this moveable stage must therefore be that of the Temple, now lisclosed to view. Then the Furies wake, start up, and luring a Choral dance give vent to their vexation and their rage against Apollo. All this still in the Temple; for it is not till afterwards that the god commands them to quit ais Sanctuary. Now to suppose that the Chorus had room on this secondary stage for those wild evolutions, is still nore incredible than all the rest. Consequently the Eccylema is not at all adequate or applicable to the case; and we must imagine rather the whole stage to represent the urea of the Temple.

Thus we are reduced to the second supposition, which, although not borne out by any external evidence, is never:heless attended with greater internal probability than the iormer hypothesis. It is namely this: that, as long as the Pythoness was speaking the prologue, the space representing :he interior of the Temple (i.e. the Stage) was concealed , y a curtain extending the whole length and height of the tage, like the common Aubceum or Parapetasma. The Priestess stood in the Orchestra, which represented the front-
court (the 'Av $\lambda^{\prime}$ ') of the Pythian 'Temple: the altar of the Prophetic Deities stood there. We must conceive a few columns in front of the stage giving it the character of a Temple. It will be seen that this arrangement answers perfectly well for the whole play.

All the preceding elucidations are founded on the hypothesis, that after the conclusion of the prologue the interior of the Temple together with the Furies is rendered visible in some way or other. This supposition forces itself upon us as a necessary and natural one, and indeed is generally received ${ }^{1}$, except by Genelli ${ }^{2}$, who has quite a different conception of the whole scene. His idea is that the whole scene between Clytremnestra and the Furies takes place at the back of the screen. He supposes the Adytum of the Temple to be there; and that after the Ode beginning, 'Iov, iov', $\pi o ́ \pi a \xi$, the Furies rush one by one through the centre door upon the stage. Now there can be no doubt that the effect produced on the auditors by individual sounds and broken exclamations from the concealed interior of a tent or chamber, is very striking; but the dialogue between Clytæmnestra and the slumbering Chorus is not at all of that description; and we may be sure Wischylus was but little disposed to deprive the spectators of so striking a sight as that presented in the highly tragic figure of the royal apparition pointing to the bloody wound in her breast, and the Furies starting convulsively and fiercely in their sleep.
30. Between v. 225 and v. 226 there is a long pause. First Orestes, then the Chorus, and lastly Apollo, have each left the stage. Then all at once we are transported

1. It is also A. W. v. Schlegel's opinion. See his History of Dramatic Poetry.Only Schlegel thinks the Furies are seen first of all, before they start up, merely through the open doors of the Temple; but this latter hypothesis cannot be satisfectorily reconciled with the arrangement of the ancient Stage, nor with the progreas of the play.
2. Theater von Athen, p. 218.
from Delphi to Athens, and must fancy a long interval of time to have elapsed, during which Orestes has passed over land and sea ;-a disregard of the extrinsic unities quite in the character of the Eschylean Poetry. So in the first Act of the Agamemnon (v. 270), the beacon-fires announce the fall of Troy the very day it was taken; and by the commencement of the following Act, Agamemnon himself, after encountering great perils at sea, has landed on the coast of Argos. In so doing Æschylus only availed himself of the genuine license of art, which among the Greeks, in the province of Poetry as well as of Sculpture, while it was strictly observant of the internal connexion and harmony, treated space and time as very subordinate matters: It was not till a subsequent period that Tragedy stooped to do homage to a common-place illusion ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi a ́ \tau \eta) . ~$

With regard to the shifting of scene required by the change of place, that could easily be effected without the intervention of the curtain, since we merely step out of one Temple into another: all that would be necessary was a contrivance in the centre door to make the Omphalus disappear at the instant the statue of Pallas was brought forward. Perhaps also the Пepiaктol? were turned round at the same time.

The old sacred Image of Pallas ${ }^{2}$, which Orestes embraced in obedience to Apollo's command, can be no other than the carved wooden image ${ }^{3}$, which, according to the legend, fell from heaven, and was consecrated and preserved by the Autochthones of Attica in the Temple of. the Goddess of the citadel ( $\pi$ odiás). It formed the central point of the Athenian Religion, and was the only image

[^11]z. Ma入aidv $\beta_{\rho \text { étas. }}$
3. Eóavov. Paus. I. 16, 7.
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Areopagus, and impresses on the hearts of the Athenians the sanctity of that institution.

In this way the Athenian people are irresistibly drawn into the very Drama, and are in a manner compelled to bear a part in the action. The Theatre is transformed, as by a stroke of enchantment, into the Pnyx, the Poet into a counselling and admonishing Orator, the mythic Past into the immediate Present, deciding on the weal and woe of the Future.
31. From the circumstance of Minerva's appointing the Hill of Mars as the place of sitting for the newly-instituted court (653), and her speaking of it as before the eyes of the spectators ${ }^{1}$, one might perhaps be led to infer that the scene had been again shifted. But this inference cannot be supported without considerable difficulty; and the circumstance in question is satisfactorily accounted for, if we suppose a distant prospect of the hill opposite the citadel to have been delineated on a Periactos, and that Minerva pointed to that picture. Let it be observed, that in the very same passage (658) the Athenian citadel is pointed out as before the eyes of the spectators ${ }^{2}$.

With respect to the style in which these views were executed, for instance, those of the Delphic Temple, the ancient Temple of Pallas, the Hill of Mars and the surrounding scenery, we may suppose a certain degree of optical illusion to have been attained, and the impression of reality to have been conveyed; for Agatharchus, the first who attained a degree of perfection in the art of scenepainting, in which scientific study contributed as much as

[^12]a bold and skifful pencil, was in all probability put in requisition for this very trilogy of Eschylus. At all events the painting must have been the more accurate, as the Athenians here beheld on the stage the identical objects they were accustomed to see but a few steps distant, only under a less antique aspect. That there was no danger of the solemn and exalted impression of tragic poetry suffering from this cause, we may be sure from the enthusiasm; heightened by Faith and Fiction, with which the Greeks were wont to regard their native land and all the sacred abodes of their home. With them Reality was blended with Legend into a majestic Whole.

From this point to the end of the play the scene remains in and about the Temple of Minerva Polias. It is from thence also thet the procession afterwards sets out, to conduct the Furies to their sanctuary between the citadel and Areopagus. The female attendants of the Temple form part of this procession (978).

The altar in the Orchestra, required in the first portion of the play, is also necessary in this second larger section, because it is from the altar that the Areopagites take the ballots. The images of the Delphic Deities exhibited upon it in the first part of the play might easily be removed or withdrawn by a simple contrivance from the view of the spectators.

## III. COSTUME.

32. If we desire to form a lively and true conception of the procedure of an ancient Tragedy upon the stage, we must first divest ourselves entirely of those ideas of the characters in Grecian Mythology, which we derive from ancient works of art, and which from natural reasons are continually floating before our imagination. There is not the least comparison to be drawn between the soenic and the plastic Costume of the ancient Gods and Heroes; for, as the statements of the old Grammarians and ancient works of art, (especially the Mosaices in the Vatican) sufficiently prove, there was but one general $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}$, or Costume for Tragedy. This was nothing more than an improvement on the gay and brilliant ${ }^{1}$ apparel worn in the processions at the Dionysian Festivals, and but slight alterations were needed to adapt it to the different dramatic characters. The following parts of dress are universally reckoned in the Costume: long $\chi^{i \tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \dot{\nu}$ es of various gay colours, falling in ample. folds down to the feet; very broad embroidered girdles ( $\mu a \sigma \chi a \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s)^{2}$, sitting high on the breast; upper robes, frequently of purple, with gold borders and other such-like decorations; the Cothurnus; and the head-dress orykos. As in the Dionysian ceremonies, so also in Tragedy there was but little distinction between the male and female apparel. In speaking of Heroes the Tragedians very often call their dress $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda^{\prime} o s$, a garb never worn at that period by males in common life. In the ancient Mosaics one is
33. тоькîגa or $\alpha \nu \theta \iota \nu \alpha ́$.
34. This girdle evidently forms part of the tragic Costume, as exhibited in the Vatican Mosaics and on the Statucs of Melpomene, e. g. on the colossal figure of that Muse in the Louvre.
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I. AGAMEMNON.

1. Пр $\omega^{\prime} \tau a \gamma \omega \nu$.
2. Deuteparwv.
3. Tpıtayav.

Watchman, Herald, Agamemnon.
Clytæmnestra.
Cassandra, Egisthus.

## II. CHOEPHORGE.

1. II $\rho \infty \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$.
2. $\Delta \in \cup \tau \in \rho a \gamma \omega 1$.
3. T $\rho \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \%$

Orestes.
Clytæmnestra, Nurse (?)
Electra, Ægisthus, Domestic, Pylades '.

## 1II. EUMENIDES ${ }^{2}$ 。

1. M $\rho \omega \tau a \gamma \omega \nu$.
2. $\Delta$ evteparwv.
3. T $\rho \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu$.

Orestes.
Pythoness, Clytæmnestra, Minerva. Apollo.
34. I here subjoin a description of the Costume worn by the several characters in the Eumenides: the account is drawn from general sources of information on the subject, and from particular hints furnished by the play itself.
(1) Orestes:-wears a long, gay-coloured tunic, and over it a chlamys, fastened by a clasp on the right shoulder: at the back of his head a Petasus suspended by a thong, the Wanderer's badge. In one hand he holds, at least at the beginning of the play, a drawn sword, in the other the ixernpia, i. e. a long slender olive-bough with a few leaves at the end, and locks of white wool, drawn out into threads, loosely suspended about it. His hair hangs down dishevelled ${ }^{3}$ over his face, and his pale emaciated countenance betokens the miseries he has endured.

1. The Domestic ( $\mathbf{v} .646$ ) is not visible, and there is no need of a special actor for the part. As a mute character (v. 642-707) Pylades is represented by a fourth person; but where he afterwards comes on the stage as a speaking character, the third actor personates him,-iva $\mu i j i^{\prime} \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \sigma \omega$, as the Scholiast remarks.
2. I think one reason why the two last plays take their names from the Chorus is, that in both of them the principal actor is Orestes. As far as we are able to ascertain, a play never had its name from any other of the Dramatis-persona, but the principal one.

(2) Clytamnestra: probably in the same robe of state she wore in the preceding play, only more sombre and shadow-like. No doubt her breast is bare, and the bloody wound visible near the neck (v. 103. 562)4.
(3) Pythoness: in a long dress such as was worn by Priests or Prophets, consisting chiefly in a tunic falling in straight folds ${ }^{5}$, not interrupted by a girdle. Also a laurelwreath on her head ( $\mu$ avtcia $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \phi \eta$ ), and a sceptre in her hand (Agam. 1238). The mask expresses age.
(4) Minerva: in the long $\pi$ és $\pi$ 入os, with the Wgis and Helmet. The $\mathbb{W}$ gis does not sit close on the breast, as usually exhibited in works of art subsequent to the age of Phidias, but is much larger and hangs over the left shoulder down the arm, as may plainly be seen on the statues of the Goddess in the earlier style ${ }^{6}$. In her passage over the sea Minerva spread her Agis to the breeze like a sail (v. 382). This $\not$ egis-sail however, in Æschylus's bold invention, answered in a manner the purpose of wings to the steed-drawn chariot in which the Goddess is described as driving on the stage ( v .383 ). Æschylus took especial delight in introducing striking figures upon the stage; but in what way he managed such matters it would be useless to enquire further ${ }^{7}$.
3. 'OpOoorádios.-Perhaps she also wore the äypŋvov mentioned above, §. 27.
4. A trait in the legend, preserved alike in Poetry and Art, represents Clytemnestra extending her breast to her son as he is about to murder her. (Choeph. 883. cf. 524. Eur. Or. 520. 852. E1. 1215. Euphor. Fragm. 51. M. and the Vatican Relievo explained by Heeren.)
5. For instance, the Æginetic and Herculanean Statues (Millingen Anct. Uned. Monuments, Ser. II. pl. 7. Compare Raoul-Rochette Orestéide, pl. 35, p. 119.) and the Athenian Terra Cotta in Bröndsted, Voy. dans la Grèoe, Liv. II. pl. 42. p. 170.
6. Nevertheless I will just remark that the idea expressed in кaтทpeø $\bar{\eta} \pi o ́ \delta a$ ( $\mathrm{\nabla} .284$.) was no doubt visibly manifested. In the ancient ${ }^{\circ} \rho \mu \alpha$ the Charioteer stood in a stooping posture, as shewn in numerous specimens of Ancient Sculpture : so that with female Charioteers the dress falls over the feet. (See, for instance, Stuart's Antiquities of Athens, vol. II. ch. 1. pl. 20.) On the contrary, when
 as exhibited on the Panathenaic Vases.
(5) Spollo: in a long gay-striped tunic with sleeves, and a light mantle hanging from the shoulders down the báck. This dress formed part of the Pythian Costume worn by the Citharœedi in the Delphic Games. In the first scene he holds a bow in his left hand.
(6) Hermes: a mute character, but certainly not invisible, as many suppose. The Chlamys and Petasus, like Orestes; and a herald's staff in his hand.

The Costume of the Chorus need not be described here, as we shall have occasion to speak of it by and by in our enquiry into the meaning of the Chorus: and besides, Böttiger has investigated the subject very fully in his learned Dissertation on the "Mask of the Furies".
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## I. POLI'IICAI. POINT OF VIEW.

## A. STATE OF AFfairs at athens.

35. Of all the ancient Tragedies extant, there is none in which the Mythic and the Political, the development of an occurrence in the Heroic Age and the reference to cir. cumstances and events in contemporary public life, are so intimately blended as in the Eumenides. Not only is the mythological texture of the play pervaded by political allu. sions, as it were fine threads discernible only by the more scrutinizing eye, but the whole treatment of the Mythos. withal so turns upon political Institutions deemed of para* mount importance in those times, that by yielding oneself up to the impression of the Poem, one may for a while fancy the populace assembled in the Theatre to be an Ecclesia convened for the purpose of deliberating on matters of state and law. The speech in which Minerva inaugurates the. Council of Areopagus is at the same time a popular barangue, a $\delta_{\eta \mu \eta \gamma o \rho i a, ~ c l e a r l y ~ p e r v a d e d ~ b y ~ a ~ d e s i g n ~ o f ~ i n-~}^{\text {in }}$ culcating upon the people that they should leave the Areopagus in possession of its ancient well-founded privileges, and warning them against innovations which must inevitably issue in unbridled democracy.

The Areopagus, although no longer an exclusive corporation of caste, now that every Athenian citizen had become eligible to the office of Archon, and Archon to that of Areopagite, was nevertheless of momentous importance to the aristocracy at that æra of rampant democracy; and that on many accounts. The office was for life; the members few in number; the Council had lost little of its vast influence; it maintained within itself a high tone of sentiment, which
doubtless the elder and aristocratic members imparted to the new comers elected on the reformed constitution, but only after full and unrestrained examination by the existing bench: above all, the great mass of the Demos had been trained from their earliest years to stand in awe of this body, and despite of their own propensities continued so to do. Thus it acted as a check upon the schemes of a policy tending in every direction to seduce the Athenians from the course of hereditary customs into a temper till then unknown to them; a lust of power, pomp, and pre-eminence; the effect of which was to make the Orator, who could sway and agitate an Esclesia at will by the force of his imagination, the only real power in the State, before which all established authority sank into insignificance. This was the spirit of the policy pursued by Pericles and his partizans. One of these was Ephialtes, a man who has been unfairly represented as a. mere vulgar tool of that great character, whereas we are authorized to conceive of him as an eminent, and (faction apart) irreproachable Statesman and military Commander ${ }^{1}$. This Ephialtes, son of Sophonides, it was, who at that time moved in the Ecclesia a psephism by which (as Aristotle observes ${ }^{2}$ ) the constitution of the Areopagus was mutilated, the influence of the Council weakened (so says Diodorus, x1. 77.) and its famous hereditary usages annihilated. According to. Plutarch's more distinct account ${ }^{3}$, by this psephism the Areopagus was deprived of its power and of all its judicial cognizance, some few cases only excepted; and, to conclude with Cicero's ${ }^{4}$ representation of the affair, by the destruction of the Areopagus all authority was vested solely in the Ecclesia, and the State was bereft of that which

[^13]
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indication of no slight anxiety, but then it is attended with an expression of confident reliance on the ultimate triumph of the righteous cause. This is surely not the tone of a defeated man, driven off the field, too, before ever he could battle for his political aims with the weapons of poetry. As the action of the tragedy advances, the Poet's confidence appears to rise, and Minerva's noble expression,

- वं $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \dot{́} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$

though it more immediately applies to the suit between the Eumenides and Apollo then before her, is evidently pointed at the contentions existing in the Athenian Ecclesia in the Poet's own times.

Ephialtes certainly did not attain his object in one Ecclesia. The question may possibly have been adjourned; or, if we suppose citizens to have come forward previous to the voting, and bound themselves over to indict Ephialtes for an unconstitutional measure ( $\pi a \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ), the motion may have been postponed for a longer period; nay, strictly speaking, it was not allowable for such a change in the Constitution to be brought into operation by a mere decree of the people ( $\downarrow$ in $\phi \iota \cdot \mu a$ ); for that purpose a law (vóros) was requisite, which demanded much more extensive preliminaries. It is not possible perhaps at the present day to come to any accurate decision on this point, since we do not know to what extent the proceedings in these matters, which we learn from the Orators, are applicable to the time of Pericles: but thus much, it appears, is certain, that the motion had not come to a final issue at the date of the acting of this tragedy. For in it the Poet speaks in such

[^14]a tone of triumphant confidence concerning the Areopagus, as could not have been grounded on a forlorn hope that the psephism might yet be thrown out, or (even if it had passed) that the law might be repealed by impeaching the mover of $\mathbf{i t}$.

This period must be regarded as the Epoch in which the opposite parties, after a long fostering of mutual hatred, strained their powers on either side to the utmost, and tried every possible means to turn the scale of victory in their own favour; as a crisis in which the political ulcer came to a head, and discharged the morbid matter which had been so long gathering. Little as we are acquainted with the internal history of Athens at this period, the little we do know of it directly implies such party-strifes running to the very highest. The movements against the Areopagus are beginning: Cimon returns from Laconia with the Athenian army, which fancies itself contemptuously rejected and deeply aggrieved by the Spartans: under such untoward circumstances Cimon, the aristocrat and friend of the Spartans, has but little influence with the people; they even carry their resentment against Sparta so far as to dissolve the long-established confederacy with that State, and immediately form a league with her hereditary enemies, the Argives and the Thessalians; Cimon himself is expelled by ostracism, probably at the very time when the degradation of the Areopagus was resolved upon: the Spartans, however, defeat the Athenian army in a pitched battle at Tanagra, and the oligarchic party, being again elevated by that victory, has recourse even to treacherous means in its endeavours to overthrow the democracy. The nocturnal assassination of Ephialtes seems to have some connexion with these machinations: however, the actual perpetrators of the deed were never detected; just as though the very Furies had felt themselves disparaged by the degradation of the Areopagus,
and therefore wreaked their vengeance on the author of the insult done to their dignity.

The Chronology of this period is very difficult to settle by reason of the great confusion in Diodorus. (See Clinton, Fast. Hellen. p. 259). The following Table is drawn up from Thucydides, Plutarch, and Diodorus: such of the data as are certain are printed in Italics.
0.

78, 4. Beginning of the Helots' Rebellion (in the first year of the Thasian War.)
79, 2. Reduction of Thasos by Cimon.
$\rightarrow$ 3. Cimon in Laconia. Beginning of the Egyptian War.
s0, 1. Dismissal of the Athenians from Laconia.
, 2. Rupture between Athens and Sparta; and league with Argos.
,$--\quad$ Party-strifes respecting the Areopagus. The Eumenides of Eschylus acted.
-2. Ostracism of Cimon. War with the Peloponnesian naval-powers.
$\rightarrow$ 4. Battle of Tanagra. Oligarchic intrigues.
81, 1. Annihilation of the Athenian power in Fgypt. (Death of Eschylus.)
$\longrightarrow$ 2. Transportation of the Helots to Naupactus under the command of Tolmides. Continuation of the War with the Peloponnesians.
-, 4. Recall of Cimon; and his negotiations at Sparta.
82, 2. Five years' truce '.
37. It still remains for us to ascertain the extent and nature of the privileges withdrawn from the Areopagus. On the first point, and especially with respect to the period at which the Areopagus recovered the whole or the greater part of its lost privileges, the most careful investigations have lately been instituted; and the results of those enquiries are in my opinion conclusive, as far as our subject

1. Obs. Clinton adopts the statement of Plutarch (Cim. 16, 17.) who says that the Athenians twice assisted the Lacedemonians: but this is nothing more than a repetition of the same occurrence, as plainly appears from the account in Thucyd. 1. 102. Plutarch's narration (Cim. 15.) that, at the time of the revolution brought about by Ephialtes, Cimon $\pi a ́ \lambda ı \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a \nu ~ \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \in \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon$, cannot have any :hing to do with the expedition to Laconia, hut is to be referred perhaps to his participation in the Ægyptian war. However, in the whole of his account, Pluiarch is far from clear and accurate.
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council (v. 640, 654, 674), whereby no doubt he means to vindicate its claim to consult, as it had hitherto done, for the preservation of good morals ${ }^{1}$, as well as on dangers menacing the constitution and the public safety; and in calling that council "a watchful safeguard under which the State might sleep securely" (v. 675), he distinctly implies his opinion that it is desirable the Areopagus should maintain a continued superintendance, and not merely interfere as a tribunal in cases where it might be called upon to decide. But the whole scope of the play is to exhibit the Areopagus in its highest dignity and sanctity, as a conscientious criminal court, entrusted of old by the national Goddess herself with the important charge of putting a termination to a long series of troubles and miseries.
38. Such were the political principles pursued by Aschylus in this business, and such we find him maintaining throughout life. As an Athenian citizen and a patriot, Aschylus on every occasion recommends to his fellow-citizens temperance and moderation in their enjoyment of democratic liberty, and in their ambitious schemes against the rest of Greece. Aschylus was a zealous partizan of Aristides, and opponent of Themistocles, those two statesmen being then opposed to each other in a similar way to that in which Cimon and Pericles were afterwards. As early as 01. 76, 4, in which year the Persa was acted, we find evident symptoms of this partiality; the share Aristides had in the victory of Salamis, the massacre of the Persians at Psyttalia undertaken and executed by him, is dwelt upon by

[^15]our Poet with delight (v. 439, sqq.) in comparison with the exploits of Themistocles, whereas Herodotus's account of the affair (viri. 95) implies that it nowise deserved to have so great a stress laid upon it. Again, in the same play it is said ( v .341 ) that men are the safeguard of a city,
 sentiment which stands in opposition to the opinion of Themistocles, whose schemes required that Athens, and especially the Peiræus, should be fortified in the strongest manner possible. But the Poet's political bias is still more clearly marked in the Seven against Thebes, which was acted it is true after the Persse ${ }^{2}$, but still during the lifetime of Aristides, probably about Ol. 77. It is well-known that the beautiful verses on the wise and virtuous Amphiaraus were universally applied by the audience to Aristides? and there is not a doubt that in this animated and glowing portrait Wschylus was inwardly elevated by the thought of that "just" man. Now Amphiaraus is placed among a set of overbearing, boastful, and ambitious men, and exhibited as the only excellent character of them all, one whose heart gives birth to honest desires and resolutions, and whose wont is to utter what is right or hold his peace (v. 601). But even this virtuous man cannot escape ruin, inasmuch as he is leagued with such a worthless set and has for his fellowcitizens a race of men who hate the stranger and despise the Gods,
$$
\text { _- } \lim _{\nu \pi \pi \lambda i \tau \eta s}
$$

Such, no doubt, in Æschylus's view was the station then occupied by Aristides in juxta-position with the grasping and unconscientious party of Themistocles, whose projects obviously extended to the subjugation of the rest of
2. Vid. Schol. Aristoph. Ran. v. 1048.


Greece. And in this same play the observation that the people on having escaped from great troubles is difficult to manage (v. 1035), is borrowed from the history of those times, when the Athenian populace, full of pride and insolence on the score of their achievenents against the Persians, clamorously demanded new privileges and liberties, a partial concession of which even Aristides considered to be rendered expedient by the spirit of the age.

But if the political bent pursued by Æschylus all his life was such as we find it in his defence of the Areopagus in the Eumenides, we may readily conceive how fruitless his exertions must have appeared to him, and how sorely his heart must have been filled with vexation and sorrow, when, after all, the demolition of the authority of the Areopagus was accomplished, and that unlimited extension given to the democracy, which he had regarded with so much alarm. It is very probable that (as hinted in an old Epigram ${ }^{1}$ ) in order to shun the ill will of his fellowcitizens as well as his own mortified feelings, he retired immediately after the victory of the adverse party to Sicily, where he died at Gela, in Ol. 81, 1, three years after the exhibition of the Orestea.

## B. EXTERNAL RELATIONS.

39. The political part of this Tragedy, however, refers not only to revolutionary attempts at home, but also to foreign relations of the State.

A short time previous to the composition and exhibition of this drama, Athens had dissolved the somewhat unnatural league with Sparta, and had formed an intimate confederacy with the Thessalians, their former Allies, whose cavalry served admirably to complete the Athenian force, and with Argos,

[^16]
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and that Argos and Mycenæ not only were distinct states in the mythic age, but existed as such even in historical times, until a very few years before the Orestea was exhibited, when the Argives succeeded in taking the Cyclopean walls of Mycenæ', and reduced the real city of Orestes to a heap of ruins. But then the very fact that Mycenæ no longer existed enabled Poets, who delighted in connecting the realities of the Present with the reminiscences of the Past, to substitute Argos in the place of Mycenæ. Indeed the Argives along with their conquest of Mycenæ had, so to speak, won the mythic and heroic splendour and glory of that famous city : and moreover the indefinite use of the word Argos by the earliest Poets, sometimes in the extended, and at other times in a more limited sense, contributed its share to the transfer. In fact Wschylus is in this particular the most consistent of the Tragedians; in his extant tragedies he never mentions the name of Mycenæ, but in the spirit of mythic fiction concentrates upon Argos all the dignity and splendour of the old legends; whereas the other two Tragedians are more lax in this respect, making Argos and Mycenæ sometimes distinct and sometimes identical ${ }^{1}$.
40. In these sentiments towards Argos our Poet shews himself very consistent, inasmuch as on other occasions also he appears to have been favourably disposed towards that State, and an advocate for an alliance with it. In the Suppliants the Argives are highly commended for having afforded refuge to the persecuted Danaids; and in long benedictory Odes we find the wish expressed that their city might be stormed by no enemy, devastated by no famine nor plague, unsettled by no internal broils. The reference to contemporary relations is expressed yet more plainly in the following prayers (679. sqq.), that the Demos, in whose hands was vested the chief authority

[^17]over the city, might in conjunction with a prudent rightspirited Magistracy, protect the dignity of all to whom dignity was due, and render to all foreign States, without harm, whatever was right, on the faith of international agreements, rather than have recourse to war ${ }^{2}$. Although this passage contains no definite reference to an Alliance of War, still it is evident that the play was written at a time when the Athenians were favourably disposed towards the Argives, and regarded the liberty of the people in that State as a support of their own republican Constitution: it is true, no league was as yet formed with them, but the compacts made for the mutual adjustment of lawsuits shew that it was in contemplation. Such, in all probability, was the state of public feeling at Athens as early as the end of Ol .79 , when Cimon succeeded, although not without considerable difficulty, in his desire to be sent with an army to the aid of the Spartans ${ }^{3}$. It was at this period (Ol. 79. 3.), that Athens first carried the war with the Persians into Egypt, and those very conclusive arguments adduced to shew how little Greeks need dread a contest with Egyptians, (v. 742. and 931. papyrus-fruit and barley-wine would never stand against wheat-bread and the juice of the grape), must have told admirably upon the warloving Athenians, who were soon to come to blows with that nation on the banks of the Nile. In this way we arrive with others ${ }^{4}$ at the conclusion that the Trilogy to which the Sup_ pliants belonged was exhibited only a few years previous to the Orestea; and if there is an apparent objection to this in the circumstance of there being three Actors throughout in
2. The above translation rests upon some conjectural emendations in the tth Strophe, which is rather perplexed:




3. Plut. Cim. 16.
4. See particularly Boeckh Trag. Princ. p. 54. Also Haupt. Asch. Suppl. c. 7.
the Orestea, whereas in the Suppliants there are only two (one acting the characters of Danaus and the Herald, the other personating the King), the only inference deducible from that circumstance is, that Æischylus did not follow the example of Sophocles in the constant adoption of a third Actor till quite at the end of his career.
41. This friendly feeling towards Argos, which had so much influence on the dramatic compositions of $\mathbb{E}$ schylus in the 79th and 80th Olympiads, may perhaps subject our Poet to another charge from those who expect to find in him a lecided political bias, a thorough-going party-spirit. For in fact the Alliance then formed between Athens and Argos was aeither more nor less than a manœuvre of the party whose uim was to disengage Athens from the Peloponnese, (to the sntire abandonment of the Confederacy which the independent Itates of Greece had formed between themselves for the purpose of repelling the aggressions of Persian power), and to conrtitute her Mistress of the seas, islands, and maritime cities of Asia : and this very same party it was which overthrew all he bulwarks and defences of the Old Constitution, in order to llow of their hurrying along the Demos, in the bold imagirative flights of their Orators and Leaders, to the execution of those daring schemes. Now here is Æschylus, a man of uristocratic sentiments, labouring upon Cimon's principles for he preservation of the Areopagus, and yet running counter to Jimon's aims by eulogizing the league with Argos. If this rocedure be alleged against Æschylus as an inconsistency, $t$ is sufficient to reply that, correct as may be this representaion of the connexion between the domestic and foreign policy if the Athenians of those times, it by no means follows that Eschylus was bound to attach himself to a party exclusively, nd thereby run into extremes unworthy of an enlarged mind. Is a moderate man he might be of opinion that Athens was ompelled by the general aspect of her position to disengage
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the attainment of glorious and honourable conquest', that the city might never lack either provisions or men, as the means of defeating her enemies: but the award of victory to her citizens in the strife of war is a boon which she, the warlike heroic Goddess, is resolved to retain in her own gift. The citizens are told to turn all their feelings of enmity in one and the same direction, on the ground that "unanimity even in hatred" relieves mortals from many miseries (v. 942). And again, at the conclusion of the blessings it is intimated in few but emphatic words, that every boon sent up by the Eumenides is to aid the city in conquest. Indeed, the idea of conquest forms the setting in which the Ode is enclosed, and thus considered it aptly introduces a Triumphal Ode, such as could not fail to effect every Athenian heart. Conquest then, be it over Greek or Barbarian, Conquest both by sea and by land, gained by the exertion of all their powers, great as ever city has summoned up, this is the idea which Arschylus dwells upon in his endeavours to divert the Athenian citizens, engaged at that very time in the fiercest heats of contention, from the obstinate schemes of their several parties. And how strenuous the efforts of the Athenians for conquest were at that period, is evidenced by a record as unassuming as it is striking and imposing, namely in an obituary inscription of an Attic tribe, belonging to the very next year, Ol. 80, 3. It runs thus: "Of the Erechthean tribe these fell in battle,-in Cyprus, in REgypt, in Phoenicia, in Haliae (the Argolic), in Agina, at Megara,-the same year."

We will close this Section with the mention of a political allusion, which does not so materially pervade the entire com-

[^18]position as the preceding. In v. 375 sqq. Minerva says, she heard the voice of Orestes from afar at the banks of the Scamander, where she had forestalled foreign usurpation by talking possession of the country assigned as a meed of honour to the Athenians and to herself by the Allied Greeks before Troy. This is obviously the meaning of катaфӨatounévn; not simply =катакт $\omega \mu$ év $\eta$, as Hesychius explains it, but $=\phi \theta \dot{a}^{\prime}-$ vovoa катaктшuévŋ. It is well known, that from the time of Phryno and Pittacus the Athenians were engaged in a dispute with the Lesbians respecting the Coast of Troas round Sigeum. Both parties attested their claims to it by mythic arguments; the Lesbians asserting their ancient right to the whole of that coast on the ground of their descent from the Pelopid Achæans (cf. Scholl. a. l. and Strabo, xiri. p. 509), whilst the Athenians founded their claims to it on the extensive worship of Minerva in that district, especially the Temple Glaucopeum at Sigeum. From this historical fact Aschylus has drawn the very ingenious fiction of Minerva betaking herself to that coast shortly after the Trojan War, for the purpose of taking formal possession of the region assigned as a répas to the Thesidæ and at the same time dedicated to her; thus establishing her claim to it and preventing all foreign encroachment on her rights.

## A. AVENGING OF bLOOD, AND PURSUIT OF THE MURDERER.

> a. Duty of avenging blood, at Athens and in the earlier Times.
43. The sacred duty of avenging blood, recognised by the earliest customs and national laws of the East as well as the West, formed at Athens the basis of a great portion of the penal code. Even at the period when personal affronts against an Athenian were in most cases indictable by any of his fellow-citizens as outrages upon the public peace and safety of the commonwealth, the prosecution for murder devolved exclusively upon the relatives of the deceased; not as though homicide were no violation of the peace nor dangerous to the security of the State, but because the avenging of it was deemed a sacred office, which could no more be taken out of the hands of the relatives than that of burying the dead or the right of succession to his patrimony. The words of the law ${ }^{1}$ are to the following effect:
"The kinsmen of the deceased, within the degree of "first-cousins (inclusive), shall issue a proclamation in the " market-place, charging the homicide to hold aloof from the "altars and temples in the city, as also from all assem"blies in the exercise of religious rites: and they shall be "supported in the prosecution by the sons of the first-cou-
 $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$, consobrinorum filii, and $\alpha \nu \in \psi \iota \dot{\partial} o i$, sobrini, though these expressions may otherwise from their nature be used in either sense. See Bunsen, Platner, and Klenze, on this law.
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44. Thus the idea of vengeance as a claim due to the murdered kinsman was nothing strange to the Greeks even in the time of $\mathbb{F}$ schylus, but was still entwined in the most intimate union with all that was deemed sacred and venerable. The only distinction between the earlier and the later times was, that the state had now assumed the office of mediator, and as such, upon the application of the relatives, it either took the charge of inflicting vengeance entirely off their hands, or else assigned certain means and limits for its execution. It is true, the avenger ${ }^{1}$, even in Athens, began with issuing in person a public and solemn notice charging the homicide to hold aloof from
 $\mu \omega \nu)$, but after that he was required to lay a formal indictment, first before the proper authorities for previous investigation, and then before the Areopagus or the Ephetæ, according to the nature of the case: if the action was for wilful and malicious murder, it was brought into the former court ; if for manslaughter or for excusable homicide, into the courts of the Ephetæ. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ In either case the defendant was at liberty to take to flight before sentence was passed; no one was allowed to hinder him. None but the parricide was prohibited from flight, and such an one was instantly arrested. It is on this law that Euripides has founded his representation in the Orestes (v. 438, 507). If the accused was convicted of wilful murder and still remained in the country, he fell under sentence of the law : his execution was the business of the state, and the prosecutor might witness $\left.\mathrm{it}^{2}.\right\}$ Draco's $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o i$ recognised nothing less than capital punishment in such cases; and on this point they were still valid.

[^19]If the criminal had evaded the sentence of the Areopagus by flight, he was never allowed to return home again ( $\phi$ éóyet áect申uriau): even on occasions of danger and emergency at Athens, when the return of exiles and of such as had forfeited their civil rights and dignity was sanctioned by extraordinary measures, an exception was invariably made against the criminals condemned by the Areopagus (oi ȩ́ 'Apeiou máyou фєúyovtes). ]

When a verdict of manslaughter was returned, it was allowable for the prosecutor and the accused to enter into a compromise on the spot, if they pleased; but in the regular mode of proceeding the convict quitted his country by a certain road at a certain time ( $\dot{\epsilon} \zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ), and remained absent until one of the relatives of the deceased took com-
 and made reconciliation with and for him; whereupon he was permitted to return home under certain prescribed forms, and after the due performance of sacrifices and rites of purification he was at liberty to dwell once more in his native land. In particular cases, however, the pursuit of vengeance still went on; for instance, when a person convicted of wilful murder or of manslaughter (this is the legal sense of ávdooфóvos) remained in the country contrary to law. Maltreatment of such an one, or extortion of money from him, was prohibited as infamous and accompanied by a penalty of double the sum exacted ${ }^{3}$; but the avenger was at liberty to strike the offender dead upon the spot, or to arrest him and commit him to prison ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \in(\nu)$ ). Draco thought fit to make a special enactment, that any person meeting a murderer where he had no right to be and informing against him or haling him before the magistrates, thereby causing him to be executed, was in no wise to be held chargeable with his death. But a murderer who kept

[^20]beyond the Attic frontiers, and held aloof from Amphictyonic Games and from Sacrifices, as also from the frontier-towns, and in short from all places where he would be likely to meet with his countrymen, was by law not liable to prosecution; and if in such case the avenger put him to death, the avenger himself was deemed a murderer. So in the case of manslaughter the pursuit of vengeance ceased upon the compromise between the parties. This took place between the slayer and the father, brothers, and sons of the slain, upon condition that none of them objected to $\mathrm{it}^{1}$ : if there were no such relatives living, the compromise was accepted on the approbation of ten members of the Phratria, elected by the Ephetæ who had returned the verdict. But how the case stood when the reconciliation was not granted by the relatives or by the Phratores, -whether the criminal was for ever debarred from his home, or whether after a certain lapse of time the relatives were compelled to accept of a compromise, these are questions which cannot be satisfactorily answered for want of evidence. Plato, whose scheme of criminal laws is in the main based on the same principles as the Attic code, and, like that, sets out from the duty of avenging blood, (the postponement or neglect of it giving rise, in his opinion, to miasma or pollution ${ }^{2}$, fixes the term of exile in the case of manslaughter at one year ${ }^{3}$.
45. There are some points in this disquisition which unavoidably remain obscure and doubtful, but the general principles upon which the prosecution for homicide was conducted at Athens are clear and characteristic. No doubt these prin-

1. V. Dem. c. Macart. 1069, and Reiske's interpretation of the words mávtas

2. Legg. IX. p. 871.
3. Ib. p. 865. In cases of manslaughter exile for a limited term was usual in the rest of Greece, if not at Athens. This is proved by the peculiar term $\alpha \pi e$ $\nu \iota a u \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu \iota a u ́ t \eta \sigma \iota s$ frequently applied in that sense by Plato (cf. Timæus Lex. Plat. p. 39. R.) The expression was certainly not invented by him. See also Hesych. Suid. and Etym. M. s. v. and Eur. Hippol. 34. c. Scholl.
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selves. There can hardly have existed at that early period any marked line of distinction between wilful murder. and manslaughter, when even in Plato's view of the subject it is not clearly defined. No doubt it was left to the feelings of the relatives to determine the degree of heinousness attaching to the act, and whether satisfaction should be accepted or not: and in the investigation of this matter we may be sure the distinction (one of great moment in the popular morality of the Greeks) between "A $\tau \eta$, a momentary bewilderment which makes a man forget himself fur the instant, and " $\Upsilon \beta$ pis, an insolent disregard of other persons' rights, was taken mainly into account. In some cases the penalty of exile was remitted upon payment of a considerable sum of money to the relatives (II. 1x. 632. cf. xxiv. 48), as it was at Athens when the reconciliation of the parties immediately followed the commission of the act. The State took no concern whatever in the business; it interfered only when a dispute arose respecting the payment of the ransom after it had been agreed upon; in which case the question was decided by the Court of Princes and Elders (II. xviri. 499). From this one might be led to suppose that in those heroic times, under the rule of ávacres, the homicide of high and powerful family would extort a compromise or resist expulsion from his country by force of arms, and so bring on civil war. But of such proceedings there is no trace to be found, and hence we see that public opinion and private feelings were quite as efficacious in instigating the criminal to flight, as the menacing vengeance of the relatives (Od. xxiIt. 119). From mythological narratives we learn that Princes also fled their country upon having committed homicide on any of their subjects ${ }^{1}$, or even in the case of manslaughter where pardon had been granted them at the hands of the relatives ${ }^{2}$. It was as though for a time the
very deid himself thrust the shedder of his blood out of the familiar circle of life; a notion which Plato ${ }^{3}$ calls a oery old Mythus. On that account it was the practice at Athens for a blood-guilty person, who was not or could not be pursued by an avenger, to abstain from entering holy pleces and public assemblies, and to regard himself, until his purification, in the light of a polluted person ${ }^{4}$. It was more particularly the Phratria, a family community on an enlarged scale and held together by religious rites, that was offended by the presence of a manslayer: they not only took vengeance upon any member of another Phratria who had alain one of their own body, but also never failed to expel from among themselves any member who lay under the pollution of blood. So the Erinnyes say of Orestes (v. 625):

- The antiquity of these rites is evinced by a passage in Homer (Il. ix. 64), where Nestor in his admonitions against civil war says,



In fact, when we consider the matter, every wilful murder is a breach of the peace, and the work of "A $A \eta s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda o s$.

## b. Duty of Orestes according to the Legend.

46. Clytæmnestra has murdered her husband. Now by the law, as it existed both in the historical and heroic age, she is expected at least to flee from her home and shun the altars of her country. And in fact that is the sentence
47. Legg. ix. p. 865.
48. Antiph. Chor. 4. (if. Herod. 87.
pronounced upon her by the Council of Elders in the Agamemnon. But having the support of • aegisthus she fancies herself as superior to the laws of the State as she is insensible to the reproaches of conscience. The reason why the Erinnyes forbear to drive her out of the land ${ }^{1}$ is, when we look to the principle of the matter, no other than her having contrived to pacify her conscience with a sophistry of the passions, which we find exhibited with great psychological skill even by aEschylus ${ }^{2}$.

Agamemnon's natural Avenger is his son Orestes; it is his bounden duty to take vengeance; the ghost of his mardered father and the Delphic God demand it of him. The strictness of the obligation and the infamy attending the neglect of it are very emphatically dwelt upon by aeschylus in Apollo's admonitions and menaces to Orestes, which the Poet makes the latter recount in the following passage (Choeph. v. 267-294) ${ }^{3}$ :











1. Eur. 574.
2. Agram. 1347.
3. It is only necessary to observe on this passage, that in $\nabla .273$ the $\chi p^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$
 Apollo's injunction to the people to offer the produce of the earth ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ) as $\mu e \lambda \lambda$ i $\mu$ mara to hostile divinities. Then in v. 292 I read tout re instead of outre,

 $\lambda u ́ e \iota \nu$, as in Pindar $\lambda u ́ \sigma \iota s$ for кará̀uбıs.
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him. The very name of Pylades is probably in reference to the Mu入aia, or Amphictyonic Assembly held at Delphi, of which on that account Pylades is said to have been the founder ${ }^{1}$.

This feature of the old legend was perfectly clear to Wschylus, however lost sight of by later poets; nay, in the Choephoree he has managed to impress it on the thoughtful spectator with great spirit and depth of significance. Pylades is a mute character. Once and once only does he break silence. It is at the very moment when Orestes is almost overcome by his mother's agonizing entreaties, and hesitates to commit the bloody act; whereupon Pylades exclaims,




Choeph. 887 sqq.
It is evident that Pylades is introduced here, not on the score of his far-famed league of friendship with the hero of the play ${ }^{3}$, but as a monitor from Apollo; and on that very account he does not appear in the Eumenides, because Apollo there comes forward in person as Orestes' conductor. This fine connexion Euripides, though he also makes Pylades a Delphian (Orest. v. 1092), destroys by banishing him from his country after the bloody end of Clytæmnestra (v. 755). Sophocles on the contrary has preserved in addition an unquestionable feature of the old legend. He makes the bearer of the feigned intelligence of Orestes' death profess to come from Phanoteus the Phocian, a warfriend ( $\delta o \rho u ́ \xi \in \nu o s$ ) of Clytæmnestra (El. 45, 670). Now this Phanoteus or Panopeus is no other than a hostile bro

1. Agathon Schol. Trach. 639.
2. Cf. sup. ©. 33.
3. Westrick, de AEsch. Choeph. p. 191, holds this opinion.
ther of Crisus ${ }^{4}$, and the hoary-headed sovereign of the city bearing the same name which, according to the local traditions, was the resort of all the giants and warriors who hated Apollo; as Tityus, Autolycus, Phorbas, and the Phlegyans. This Phanoteus therefore is the natural ally of Clytemnestra, while all who desire to see the house of Agamemnon re-established by a righteous infliction of vengeance on his murderers look for support, as Electra does, to Strophius the Crisæan. For the rest, it is pretty clear that Homer's silence about Orestes' residence at Crisa ${ }^{5}$ proves nothing against the antiquity of the legend, for no one would think of taking Pylades for a character of later invention ${ }^{\text {d }}$.
4. But notwithstanding such motives to vengeance, it would, according to Grecian conceptions, have been impious in Orestes to have pursued his mother, had she taken to flight; whereas, daring as she did to sacrifice at the public altars, it was justifiable in the eye of the law, even of historical times, to put her to death on the spot. Nay, this summary vengeance in her case was absolutely necessary, seeing that recourse could be had to no higher powers for her punishment, herself and 厄gisthus being supreme. Euripides indeed, who in his criticism of the earlier Poets attacks even the very fable, and ventures to cast the imputation of impiety on the accredited oracular behests of the Gods, asserts more than once ${ }^{7}$ that Orestes ought to have brought his mother to public trial and expelled her from the palace: to which mode of proceeding elschylus would probably have replied, that on the strength of $\boldsymbol{E g i s}$ thus' countenance and support she had already set all law
5. Paus. 11. 29, 4. et al.
6. Od. 111. 307. vulg.
7. In Pacuvius it was Pylades who conducted Orestes into the Delphic Temple for harbour and protection against the Erinnyes (Servius ad IEn. Iv. 473.) It is very remarkable also that in the legend of Aristodemus's death the sons of Pylades and the God Apollo are placed on an equality.
8. Orest. 492.
at defiance, and had long since abandoned all thoughts of expiating her crime by flight. Æschylus therefore, retaining as he did so much deeper an impression of the sacred duty of "blood for blood," makes Orestes declare that, though he cannot but admit having violated a mother's rights, (for otherwise his mother's Erinnyes could not have persecuted him), still he never repented of the deed: кal $\delta_{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \rho \rho^{\prime} \cdot \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \in i$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau u ́ \chi \eta \nu \quad$ ov $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu ф о \mu a t$, he exclaims before the Areopagus (v. 566). Euripides on the contrary exhibits Orestes as the remorseful sinner condemning his own deed as needless and impious: in his soft-heartedness he thinks that even his father, could he have been asked, would have bid him spare the murderess (Or. 283); nay, he apprehends in Apollo the voice of a spirit of evil ( $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \rho$ ) come to destroy mankind (v. 1685): expressions of a weakly humanity derived not from depth but from shallowness of feeling, and calculated to undermine the main pillars of Grecian religion and civil order. And yet even Euripides admits the hereditary duty of vengeance. His Ægisthus takes care not to marry Electra to a man of rank and power, lest the fruit of such a marriage should yet rouse the dormant spirit of vengeance ${ }^{1}$.
9. So much for the vengeance wreaked by Orestes. Now with respect to the vengeance directed against Orestes, either legend, or else the endeavours of mythologists to supply its deficiency, invented all sorts of persons who might lawfully undertake and execute that duty; as for instance; Clytæmnestra's father Tyndareus, or her cousin Perilaus, or $\not \boldsymbol{F g}^{2}$ sthus' daughter Erigone ${ }^{2}$. Wschylus, however ${ }^{3}$; recognises only the Erinnyes as the pursuers of Orestes; and
[^21]
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ftion against his addressing a word to any man is always main characteristic in his treatment ${ }^{1}$.

A fragment of one of Euripides' plays ${ }^{8}$ has the words:
 he same Poet we find Orestes recounting his reception at thens in the following terms:





 Iph. T. v. 947, sqq. (Dind.)

: legend which at Athens, according to the testimony of Euripides and others, was brought into connexion with the rigin of the convivial usages at the festival of the Choès ${ }^{8}$.
51. On the other hand, however, the fugitive homicide was the object of a certain peculiar awe, such as the principles of humanity among the ancient Greeks required to be shewn to every needy and distressed person without making enquiry about the cause of his distress. The bloodguilty fugitive every where appeared as an ixétys, one that demands protection ; nay, it is probable that in the early times the term iкétus was applied particularly to a person in that situation. As such he was entitled to a hospitable reception, as far as that was compatible with the feelings of dread above mentioned ${ }^{4}$. He was to be treated with aidós, a term of the earlier Greek ethics which cannot be fully rendered in our language; the notions of awe and compas-

[^22]sion are combined in it. It was the duty of every one aideîбӨar тò̀ そ'évov, tòv iкétŋv. The same word, aideiobac, was used to denote the feeling with which the avenger pardons the object of his pursuit, and in the language of Attic law the term was retained in the sense of making reconciliation after manslaughter. This strangely-mingled state of feeling is very deeply marked in a passage of the Iliad, where the feelings excited in Achilles by the sudden entrance of old Priam are compared to it :
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi^{\iota} \lambda \epsilon \nu_{s} \theta_{a ́ \mu \beta}{ }^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

This very instructive passage shews at once that the very act of expiation or purification makes a most material change in the situation and treatment of the iкétrs. The fugitive manslayer leaves the house of his 'Ayvitns quite a different person from what he was when he entered it. This change is also made a very prominent feature in our play; and herein the term $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi c i o s ~ o c c u p i e s ~ a ~ v e r y ~ i m p o r t a n t ~ p l a c e . ~$ Пробт $\rho^{\prime} \pi \alpha \iota o s$ in its proper signification means, like iк夭́тŋs, one that applies to another, one who begs for reception ${ }^{6}$ : тробтрот'! therefore denotes the act of humble entreaty ${ }^{7}$.
s. It is quite clear that the reating $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \delta s$ is $\alpha \phi \nu \in t o \hat{v}$, given in our texts, is not the original one. The old Scholiasts read $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \delta s$ ss A INITEQ, as plainly appears
 cal dyvicqu èneyov. That they do not merely draw this conclusion from the homieide's entering the house, is proved by their noticing what they take to be an anchronism in the passage, inferring it from the circumstance that Homer nowhere elve makes such express mention of the ajvitns. They compare with it the passage laxe $\sigma \alpha_{\pi} \lambda \gamma \xi$, on account of the anachronistic mention of the trumpet. Perhaps this may have been one cause of the corruption of the text by the Alexandrine Grammarians. It seems, $\dot{\alpha} \phi \nu \in \iota o u ̄$ is also the reading of the Egyptian MS., on which see Philal. Museum, r. p. 183. On djvitns see Hesych. s. v. Perhaps it ought to be substituted for $\dot{\text { a }}$ itirs in Bekker's Anecd. p. 338.
6. Agam. 1569. Suppl. 357. Soph. OEd. Col. 1309, \&c.
:. Cboeph. 21. 83. Pers. 21 f.

But these terms are generally coupled with the notion of a fugitive homicide not yet cleansed from his blood-guiltiness; and hence $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi a c o s ~ t a k e s ~ t h e ~ m e a n i n g ~ o f ~ h o m o ~$ piacularis ${ }^{1}$. In the Eumenides however, $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi a=o s$ is mostly used in the quite peculiar sense of a suppliant for expiation, 'one laying claim to purification'? Such was Orestes at Delphi, where he received expiation : at Athens, although indeed a suppliant of the Goddess, an ixé $\boldsymbol{\text { ngs }}$ ( v .452 ), he is no longer a $\pi \rho o \sigma t \rho o ́ \pi a c o s ; ~ h e ~ i s ~ n o w ~ a t ~ l i b e r t y ~ t o ~ a s-~$ sociate with his fellow-men without bringing a curse upon them ( $\mathrm{v} .229,275$ ); he is allowed to enter temples and embrace the statues of Gods without causing pollution ( $\mu v ́ \sigma o s$ ); he may freely open his lips and hold converse with men and Gods ${ }^{3}$.

These considerations having led us to observe the importance attached to the expiation of blood-guiltiness in the composition we are considering, we cannot do otherwise than insert in these Essays a discussion on this difficult point in the moral history of the earlier Greeks, which modern controversies have as yet by no means entirely elucidated.

1. Eum. 168. Choeph. 285. Hence aùtoũ $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha \iota o s ~ i n ~ Æ s c h i n . ~ \pi . ~ \pi a \rho a \pi \rho . ~$ §. 158 Bekk. means, 'One who brings a curse upon himself.'
2. Eum. 225. 228. 423. Similarly т $\rho о \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 196 ; \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \rho о \pi \dot{\eta}, 688$.
3. This is the meaning of v. 451, sqq. (as emended in the text.) The main idea is: ' 1 , Pallas, am bound to receive both of you, both Orestes and the Erinnyes. You, Orestes, have a claim to my protection in other respects; but now in particular, since you are come purified, $\dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \bar{\imath} \xi v \nu o v \sigma i ́ a$, to my sanctuary, I accept you as one to whom my city by all jus sacrum (ícics) can attach no censure. But the Erinnyes also, their office being to pursue the shedder of blood, may not lightly be dismissed.' Kaтทptukcòs, which Hesych. interprets $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \sigma a s$, denotes 'a person who has duly performed every thing,' 'attended to all observances;' and to thissame matter I would
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be said to have "flown from the hand rather than to have been thrust or hurled" (magis fugit quam jecit) ${ }^{1}$; indeed the Pontifex Mucius Scævola gave it as his judgment that no wilful offence admitted of expiation ${ }^{2}$.

The manners and usages of that age which is represented in the heroic mythology make, as we have before observed, no such positive distinctions; the feelings, it is probable, appreciated the merits of the individual case more safely and exactly. Moreover the homicidal acts of such times fall under the category of acts committed upon sudden excitement of mind, voluntarily indeed, but not properly speaking, of design aforethought; and these according to Plato are to be distinguished from involuntary acts, but yet border close upon them, and are to be treated after the same analogy ${ }^{3}$. These were the very acts which the religious psychology of the ancient Greeks ascribed to the Ate, which confounds the mind and betrays the man into deeds of which in his sober senses he is heartily sorry; hence the Ate has in its train the Litce, the humble prayers of repentance, which must make good, before Gods and men, whatever has been done amiss ${ }^{4}$. For every evil-doer (so we find it even in Homer) has to appease the Gods as well as men; and it is very clear that in an age in which even "beggars come from Jupiter ${ }^{5}$," the slaying of a $\xi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} o s$, or a fellowcitizen within the peace, was not a matter that the Gods would regard with indifference. But then the heroic mythology and epic poetry, from its nature, cannot be expected to deal so much in the situation of the manslayer who stays at home or may return thither, as in that of the man who is obliged to flee his country, to wander about over the earth, seeking in other lands some hereditary Xenos or related Hero who shall receive him into his house, and give him

1. Cicero Top. 17. Festus, s. v. subici. 2. Varro L. I.. vi. 4. Macrob. Sat. i. 16. 3. Plato Icegg. IX. 867.
2. Il. IX. 502 .
3. Od. XIV. 57.
back, a cleansed man, to the society of his fellow-men. Such cases undoubtedly occurred even in historic times, though more rarely; the well-known story of Croesus and Adrastus in Herodotus is an instance: but these wanderings of exiled mandayers in quest of expiation were of more frequent occurrence and of more important aspect in a period when order and government were as yet unsettled, and the Mythologists are rich in narratives of heroes who, driven from their home by reason of some unfortunate act, were received by other heroes and obtained expiation at their hands. Homer in such cases (if we except only the new-discovered passage, as it may be called, II. xxiv. 482.) speaks only of the reoeption of the suppliant manslayer, without any express mention of expiation : whence ancient Grammarians as well ${ }^{2 s}$ modern scholars ${ }^{6}$ have inferred that in Homer the manclayer pays a fine or goes into exile, but has no purification to undergo. To me on the contrary there is nothing surpricing in the omission of such mention; the Poet's hearers would uoderstand as a matter of course that the fugitive manalayer seeking admission into a strange house must propitinte the incensed Gods by certain ceremonies, before he could become a member of the family. I am persuaded that when Homer says, "He came as Hiketes to Peleus," (II. xvi. 574.) his contemporaries as immediately connected with the term the idea of a request for purification as the Achenians did with Eschylus's word Mpoorpónacos, before explained. It were much to be wished indeed that the grounds were once well ascertained - grounds subsisting perhaps only in the feelings, but not the less sure on that account-in which those old Poets proceeded in their poetical creations, when out of innumerable features presented by the old legends of the various Grecian tribes they educed, by selection and elaboration of some in preference to others, that a. Selal. II. IT. B18__Lobeck, Agleophamus, p. sad. 987. Hoeck Kreta, III. p. 288.
well harmonized spectacle of one uniform race of heroes in all the simple grandeur of its life and doings. The wandering sons of chieftains who find admission into the houses of foreign chieftains, with whose sons they become the playfellows and brothers-in-arms, are a very important feature of epic poetry in its delineation of that age; that the act of their admission was connected with certain circumstances and ceremonies was the less needful to be expressed, as none of these acts are narrated in detail.
4. Legends preserved by the clans of northern Greece, and stamped, as it seems to me, with evident marks of high antiquity, represent Ixion, the Phlegyan chieftain, leader of a clan as hostile to the Dorians as it was to the Pythian sanctuary, as the first example of an expiation from bloodguiltiness, but withal repaid by him with ingratitude. Ixion in slaying the father of his bride is the first among men that has shed kindred blood ${ }^{1}$. Then wild frenzy seizes him; he wanders like Cain, on whose forehead Jehovah has set a mark; none either of Gods or men will give him expiation ${ }^{2}$, until Jupiter himself at last takes compassion upon him and cleanses him. But unmindful of the sacred obligation which binds the expiated to the expiator ${ }^{3}$, he stretches forth his audacious arms even towards Juno. That Ixion's request for expiation forms the nucleus of the legend concerning him is indicated by the very name, which probably is identical with Hiketes ${ }^{4}$. Even Eschylus takes this view of the legend; he wrought it out in a Trilogy of which the "Perrhæbian Women" and "Ixion" were component parts; with what views and in what spirit, may be gathered from the Eumenides, in which Orestes is first addressed by Pallas

5. Pherecydes, Fragm. 69.
6. Comp. Apollodor. IIr. 13, 3,
 appearance of the spiritus asper may be explained; compare lктap. For this very satisfactory explanation of the name we are indebted to Welcker, Trilogie, p. 549.
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b. Difference between Hilasmoi and Katharmoi, viz. Ceremonies of Atonement or Eapiation, and Ceremonies of Lustration or Purification.
54. It is not possible to study attentively the religious ceremonies of Grecian Antiquity without arriving again and again at a persuasion that the worship of the dead, from which that of the Heroes, a more elevated class of the dead, takes its origin, is marked with an expiatory character, and is designed to propitiate the gloomy powers of the infernal world, standing in hostile opposition to life in general. Libations of water, mixtures with honey ( $\mu \in \lambda_{i} i_{-}$ крata), which always express a purpose of propitiating ( $\mu \in \lambda l(\sigma \sigma \epsilon t \nu)$, victims cut in pieces and burnt, either most part or entire, are alike usual both in the worship of the dead and in rites of expiation; even the term applied to the sacrifices for the dead and the heroes (evari\} $\epsilon \nu^{1}$ ) points very plainly to atonement and purification.

On the other hand, it is in the highest degree probable that all expiatory ceremonies were originally designed for the deities of the Earth and Infernal World, the Chthonian and Katachthonian Powers, and only this world of Gods was deemed to require propitiation, at least in stated solemnities. It is true, hilastic ceremonies also occur in connexion with the Gods who bear rule in the bright upper world, the Gods of Olympus, as Jupiter and Apollo; but, upon closer inspection, it seems to me beyond doubt that it was to deities and dæmonic beings of the infernal world

[^23]that the propitiatory cultus properly and immediately appertained.
55. In the first place, as regards the often mentioned Zais Meidixcos, propitiatory Zeus: this Deity, in the cultus of the Attic gens Phytalidæ, stood in combination with Demeter; which circumstance of itself carries one to a Zeus Chthonios or Hades ${ }^{2}$. With this accords the circumstance that in the Attic cultus of Meilichian Zeus, the victims sacrificed were swine, the animals devoted to the EarthMother Demeter, and moreover as holocausts, just as was the practice in the service of Infernal Zeus ${ }^{3}$ : in this way Xenophon on his return from Asia propitiated the God according to the rites of his country ${ }^{4}$. Moreover the sacrifices to Meilichian Zeus were held by night ${ }^{5}$; and at Olympia a Zeus Chthonios stood near to a Zeus Katharsios, which again closely coheres with Zeus Meilichios ${ }^{\text {'. }}$. Near akin to the Meilichios is undoubtedly the Zeus Laphystios of the old Minyæ, to the cultus of which God the mythi concerning the family of Athamas and the Argonautic expedition are so closely attached, that it is only from it they can be derived and explained. Zeus Laphystios is a grasping and devouring Power, a god of vengeance and death ${ }^{7}$; his significant victim, the ram, often meets us again in offerings to the dead and in evocations of the Manes, even in the Odysseg But what is most remarkable is, that the fleece of this propitiatory victim, which the terrified Phrixos had suspended in the grove of Ares in a distant land, must be fetched back as a holy thing, while at the same time
2. Pausan. 1. 37, 2. 3. Comp. Plut. Thes. 12.
3. See on Virg. En. v. 253.
t. Anab. vi1. 8, 4. 5. and Schneid. ad I,
5. Paugen. x. 38, 4.
6. Pausan. v. 14, 6 .
7. The name $\Lambda a \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau t o s$ is undoubtedly derived from the theme $\Lambda \Lambda B, \Delta A \Phi$ (in
 Thus both explanations of the ancients arc adminsible, "the devourer" and the " putter to flight."
the soul of Phrixos must be brought home by means of an Anaclesis', a ceremony derived from ancient times: for this procedure evidently is most closely connected with the circumstance that in the Attic service of propitiation for blood the fleece of the ram sacrificed to Zeus Meilichios, the $\Delta$ cos кéobov ${ }^{\text {2 }}$, formed one of the principal means of atonement and purification ( $(5)$ ). Of a kindred nature was
 to whom Pausanias the Spartan sacrificed, in order to propitiate the soul of a girl whom he had killed.
56. In Jupiter, the different, nay opposite sides of the world meet together, as in a culminating point; althougb, as to the predominant conception, a God of Heaven and of the Upper World, he appears in many of the more obscure and mystical kinds of worship as an Infernal God, and therefore requiring to be propitiated. These opposite aspects recede further apart in Apollo, who is altogether a bright and pure God, manifesting himself in light and order. Yet even in the service of this God (not to mention the Hyacinthia) there is one festival of a clearly propitiatory character, the Delphinia, at which Theseus was said to have presented himself in the temple of Apollo Delphinios with seven boys and seven girls, in order to propitiate him; which ceremony was observed even in later times ${ }^{3}$ : so in

1. Pindar. Pyth. 1v. 159, and interpp.
2. The same Dioskodion also occurs in expiatory solemnities relative to the seasons; for this was the name given to the skin of the victim sacrificed to Zous
 maia, Eustath. Od. xxil. p. 1935, 8. R.) at the end of Mæmacterion (the Month of Storms) : these кaөapuoi plainly refer to the approaching storms of winter, which they were intended to propitiate. That the $\Delta \omega \sigma \kappa \omega^{\prime} \dot{\iota} \iota \alpha$ were also used in Scirophorion (at the time of the summer solstice), tallies with the circamstance that the worshippers who sacrificed to Zeus Actæus on Mount Pelion at the beginning of the canicular days, girded themselves with fresh fleeces of rams, (Dicsearch. Pelion). Here again are the old expiatory usages, by which Jupiter as God of the hot weather is to be propitiated. See the rest concerning the $\Delta t o{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ кoidon (on which Polemo wrote) in Lobeck, Aglaopham. p. 183 sq.
3. See esp. Plut. Thes. 18,

## THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

where with shorn heads and black clothes they were to appease the children of Medea by penitential offerings and mournful hymns ${ }^{1}$ : now these children of Medea are either themselves infernal powers, which is indicated by the name of one of them, Mermeros (the Dreadful ${ }^{2}$ ); or, to forbear at present a deeper investigation of the origin of this Mythus, at least they are infernal spirits and objects of alarm to the upper world. As the servitude of Apollo begins with the slaying of the Python, as the service of the fourteen Athenian children commences with the Delphinia; so the residence of the fourteen Corinthian children in the temple is a periodic servitude, and therefore called $\dot{a} \pi \varepsilon \nu \iota a u t \iota \mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{3}$. In Agina the festival Hydrophoria was held during the Delphinian month, as it was at Athens in Anthesterion, the month appointed in the Attic Calendar for the worship of the dead. It may be more conveniently proved in detail upon some other occasion, that these Hydrophoria in Greece were generally vernal solemnities, at which water was poured into chasms, especially such as, according to the old legends, the earth-born brood of dragons proceeded from; the water was a mortuary and propitiatory offering for the death-gods overpowered by the energy of spring. On the one hand these water-pourings related, as one sees from the tenor of the legends, to the running off into these chasms of the unfertilising swamps left by the wintry torrents (ávr入os,

1. Parmenisc. ap. Schol. Med. .273. Pausan. II. 3, 6. Philostrat. Her. 19, 14, Fretulicius in the Palatine Anthol. vir. 354.
2. In Apollodor. and Pausan. 11. 3, 6. The hideous shape of a woman on the ;rave of these children, called $\Delta \epsilon i \mu \alpha$ or $\Delta \epsilon \iota \mu \omega^{\prime}$, is probably the Mopucì yuvij Kopıथ0ia if the Schol. Aristid. p. 18. Frommel. Those children and this Mormo kill little :hildren.
3. Hence it seems very probable that Androgeos, Eurygyes, Minotauros, who we propitiated by the fourteen Attic boys, are obscured forms of the monster hosile to Apollo. The tithes of men were undoubtedly sent as a peace-offering to he Chthonian Dæmon overcome by Apollo. The Thessalians dedicated the like o Apollo Kavaıßárทs, which I take to be, as Adolph Schöll (de Orig. Græci Jramatis, p. 59) has with great penetration remarked, the God descending into he infernal world.
$\pi \lambda_{n \mu \mu \nu p i s): ~ o n ~ t h e ~ o t h e r ~ h a n d ~ t h e ~ p o u r i n g ~ o f ~ w a t e r ~ i n t o ~}^{\text {a }}$ trenches was conceived as a bath for the dead, ( $\chi$ Oónov入оит $о$ óv, ciто́vчцка), and was in Greece a widely diffused ceremony of the worship paid to the dead. Now if these Hydrophoria came to be connected with the Delphinia, it is plain they must have belonged to the propitiatory ceremo sies paid to the earth-dragon, which is said to have had its den in a cave of the Temple of Earth, in the low bottom of Delphi beside the source of the Styx ${ }^{4}$.

Thas, then, in the cultus of Apollo also it appears that the hilastic ceremomies of the Grecian religion I were not originally addressed to the serene Olympian Gods of the upper world, but to dæmons belonging to a dark world and state of nature; repressed indeed, but still objects of terror.

Now as regards the customary expiation for blood, there can be no doubt that in this case the soul of the slain, which itself is now a Chthonian Dæmon, the resentment (the Erinpys) of this soul, and in fine the powers of the infernal world (Zeus Meilichios himself being one of them), are the beings to be propitiated ${ }^{\text {s }}$.
57. But if we enquire what, in the belief of the primeval times of Greece, were the moans of propitiation, we shall find that the ceremonies of the various descriptions of cultus of which we have been speaking, taken in connexion with what we already know concerning the specific propitiation for blood, afford very complete information. Universally they are based upon the idea that the manslajer, nay in particular cases (as in the Theban Mythus of Menceceus) his whole race also, must atone for the guilt

[^24]of blood with their own life. But the life is, so to speak, redeemed or bought of by vicarial substitution in various ways. And first by the servitude of the slayer. The slayer gives up himself, his liberty and free agency, as a satisfaction for the blood he has shed. Thus Cadmus serves Ares, as the father of the slain dragon, for a period of eight years: so Apollo, the same period, for the slaying of the Python. Apollo, as is now I suppose generally understood, serves the Gods of the infernal world, the unconquerable Hades ('Aduntos') and Hecate of Pherx: the original legend without doubt made the bright God, to whom the gloom of the subterranean world is an utter abomination, descend bodily into the realm of shades. This legend is known to the Iliad, but in a form already divested of much of its original significance, and transmitted to the Homeric age through the various remodellings it underwent in the heroic mythology. The great Hesiodic Poem, a composition formed out of very diversified materials, the Eotai, gave the legend at great length in the Katalogos of the Leucippid ${ }^{2}$, connecting it with the mythi of Æsculapius; a process in which the original coherency of the religious legend was lost, but still the servitude in atonement for blood kept its place. As in so many other particulars, so in this also, Hercules bears a marked resemblance

[^25]
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manner, may originally have had reference to the worship of the dead, since at Athens the ninth day after interment ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ส̈vara, novendialia ${ }^{1}$ ) was a solemn day of expiation; and as the same usage was observed at Rome, it was probably of very ancient origin. One of the expanded forms of these Enata was the expiatory festival at Lemnos, at which offerings without fire were presented to the dead, and the island was considered impure and desecrated until on the ninth day a ship sent to Delos brought back pure fire; at that moment, as they expressed themselves, "new life" began in Lemnos ${ }^{2}$.
58. This is one of the ways in which the soul of the slain, which properly demands life for life, may be appeased; namely, by servitude. In this the Greeks saw an actual atonement; even Hercules (in Sophocles Trach. 258) is ai ${ }^{2}$ ós, set free by atonement, by the bondage he has submitted to; and the fearful malady, which according to a very ancient fiction had fallen upon him, departs from him in consequence ${ }^{3}$. The other mode consists in the substitution of a victim, symbolically denoting the surrender of the man's own life: a significance which resides in the very first origin of sacrifice, and which manifests itself with the greatest clearness in the sacrificial procedures used with oath-takings or covenants; in which the slaying and dismembering of the victim ${ }^{4}$ has always been understood as a symbol of the fate which shall overtake the perjured. But in expiations for blood we find among the old Greeks the widely diffused rite whereby the ram represents the human being; as the goat among the Jews, so the ram among

1. Tà ềata, often in the Oratt. See Schömann on Isæus, p. 219. Virg. Geo. IV. 644. Æn. v. 64, 762. Proclus on Timæus, p. 45. Thrice nine days was the duration of the Idæan funeral-feast of Jupiter in Crete, Porphyr. Vit. Pythag. §. 17. The"Evara after death correspond with the Amphidromia after birth.
2. Welcker, Prometh. 247.
3. Apollodor. II. 6, 2.
4. Fœdus icere, \%̈ркıa тé $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$.
the Greeks and the kindred Italic races was the principal peace-offering. The very ancient Minyan legends concerning the Athamantidæ, which have been so profoundly investigated in recent times, turn entirely upon the human sacrifice demanded by the wrathful Zeus Laphystios, and the ram substituted in its place. A ram is the principal offering at all oracles of the dead ${ }^{5}$, the ceremonies of which closely agree with those of expiation for blood; their object usually was to pacify the souls beneath the earth. Black rams and sheep were the customary sacrifices to the dead in Greece ${ }^{\circ}$. Now it was a very ancient Roman usage, and as we are told upon the occasion, an Athenian usage also, that in a case of unintentional homicide (si telum fugit magis quam jecit) a ram, as a vicarial substitute for the head of the slain, was given (aries subjiciebatur) ${ }^{7}$ to the Agnati or arxıбтeis, on whom the duty of avenging blood immediately devolved. This was one of the peace-offerings on the return of the homicide, which are denoted by the term $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \circ \hat{\sigma} \theta a u$, and are distinguished from the $\kappa \alpha \theta a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, the rites of purification ${ }^{8}$. "For the head of the slain," say our
s. Odyss. x. 527. Pausan. 1. 34, 3. 1x. 39, 4.
c. A black ram at the funeral sacrifice of Pelops, Pausan. v. 13, 2. Black sheep, in Eurip. E1. 92, 516. comp. 326. Black and white sheep were the Areopagitic peace ofienings appointed by Epimenides, Diog. Laert. 1. 110. In the Cretan Mysteries, which referned to Zeus Chthonios, black sheepskins were worn. A black lamb in Cenidin's evocations of the dead, Horat. Sat. I. 8.
5. See Cicero Top. 17. Cincius and Antistius ap. Fest. s. v. subici, p. 265 and 267. Lindem. Servius ad Ecl. 4, 43, with Huschke's true emendation, pro capite occisi agnatis ejus, and ad Georg. III. 387. Comp. Abegg de Antiquiss. Rom. jure crimin. p. 47.
 and opposed to кaӨaiperӨaı. Compare with it $\dot{\alpha} \phi o \sigma \iota o \bar{u} \sigma \theta a \iota$, to appease a person, to make atonement to a person. Plat. Euthyphr. p. 4. Phæd. p. 61. Isæus, Apollod. 6. 38. Demosth. c. Euerg. p. 1161. To the a $\dot{\phi} \dot{\sigma} \iota o \bar{\sigma} \theta a t$ of the dead belongs the ancient singular practice of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu, \mu \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha \lambda i \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ or $\alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ of the corpse of the slain man, well enough known from Æschylus, Sophocles (E1. 437. and Hermann), Apollonius, and the Grammarians. The leading of an army betwixt the slaughtered limbs of victims occurs as a Grecian custom, Apollod. 111. 13, 7, and Persian, Herod. vir. 39. That $\dot{\delta} \iota \circ$ ū́Oat (Xen. Hell. ini. 3, 1. L. Dindorf.) and iфобьoüoac are also used of funeral solemnities, arises from the circumstance that there was at bottom of such solemnitics an idea of expiation.
authorities; for which we would put, "the head of the slayer." For, as is shewn by the legends concerning the race of Athamas, which was preserved from the sacrificial death by the substitution of a ram, this animal as a sin-offering takes the place of man even in cases where there was no slain to be appeased. Besides, it would be very strange if the slain, whose Erinnys is the chief thing to be pacified, received a brute-victim as the vicarial representative of his own life. On the contrary it is clear the ram was given for the man's life, precisely as in the usage before explained the ransom paid over to the family of the slain, as the price of the slayer, represented the slayer. In the olden times both kinds of expiation coincided not only in the idea but also in the outward act; for cattle represented money, and therefore the man who expiated an act of bloodshed by the surrender and repurchase of his own person would at the same time bring together a certain number of rams and other victims as peace-offerings to the slain.

Here, I think, we have clearly ascertained the origin of the $\pi o w \eta$ ', price of blood, (afterwards ijoфóvia) which occurs even in Homer. Although this consisted, as early as the Homeric age, in talents of gold, it is distinguished from every other species of indemnification and penalty ( $\tau \iota \mu \eta)^{\prime}$ by a peculiar term, $\pi$ own'. The $\pi$ owvi of the slain man is his Were, his Werigelt, according to the expression of our German forefathers. Now it seems to me to accord but little with the spirit of the most ancient times to suppose that the blood-avenging family bartered with the slayer, and for a weight of gold, such as contented their rapacity, allowed him to remain in the land. Undoubtedly the desire of increased wealth may have early acted in this direction also; in more ancient times, after a simple and sincere manner, and without violence to the natural feelings; in an age possessed of more refined sentiments the Attic laws utterly

## THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

dead, the Enchytristriæ, are actively engaged in this rite; they received the swine's blood in vessels and poured it over the culprit ${ }^{1}$. In this procedure the person to be purified stood on the fleece of the ram sacrificed to Zeus Meilichios ${ }^{2}$, that primeval symbol of expiation and redemption from divine wrath ( $\oint .55$.) ; the washed-off blood was then collected in the fleece and from that poured out ${ }^{3}$. The fundamental idea on which all this rests is the endeavour to bring the individual, to whom the expiation is administered, into the closest possible contact and most intimate connexion with the victim which is his vicarial representative; for the same reason the parties concerned in oaths and covenants solemnized with sacrifice stood on the limbs of the dismembered victim, the type of what their fate should be if they violated their pledges, dipped their hands into the bason of blood, and perhaps, when the oath was peculiarly terrific, tasted a little of the blood.

Besides the blood, water was used, which as a means of purification also entered into the ordinary sacrifices to the dead ( $\oint .56$.) It is Achelous, the mighty river, (whose name in fact denotes water), that purifies Alcmæon from the stain of his mother's blood ${ }^{4}$; in the case of Orestes also the streams of water wherewith he was purified are often mentioned ${ }^{5}$; in particular, the oracle is said to have directed him to the seven rivers of Rhegium. The water with which the offender had been purified, called the Aponimma, was poured out in some appointed spot ${ }^{6}$; from the lustral water so poured

1. Schol. Aristoph. Vesp. 301. comp. Lobeck Aglappham. p. 682.
2. Hesysch. s. v. $\Delta \iota \delta{ }_{2} \kappa \omega^{\prime} \delta \iota o \nu$ Phrynichus in Bekker Anecd. p. 7.
3. This is evidently the meaning of $\alpha \pi o \delta \iota \pi o \mu \pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ as a rite of $\kappa \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \theta a \rho \sigma t s$. See Timæus Lex. Plat. s. v. and Ruhnken ad l. Phrynich. s. v. in Bekker, Anecd. p. 7. Of the passages from Plato, Legg. Ix. 877 refers specially to the expiation for blood; and the Scholl. on the Cratylus and on Legg. Ix. p. 120, 14, Bekk.

4. Apollod. III. 7, 5. comp. 15, 8. 5. Eum. 430. comp. Pausan. II. 31, 11.
5. Athen. IX. p. 410. Eustath. on Od. 1. 137. p. 1401. R., comp. Apollon. Rh. iv. 710.
out ( $\lambda \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\prime} \alpha а т а)$, after Orestes's purification at Trœezen, a laurel, we are told, sprung up: a miracle which some have thought to find pictured on an ancient vase ${ }^{7}$.
6. Now that we have thus distinguished between the two ceremonies, the belief on which they are grounded presents itself clearly to view and in a connection which is interwoven in the fundamental ideas of all Grecian religion.

The beings to be propitiated are the Powers of the infernal world, the Chthonian divinities, the Erinnyes, the Manes of the slain.

Jupiter presides over the whole: he is both a celestial and also a Chthonian God. As Meilichios he must be propitiated. He becomes a purifying God, Katharsios, partly as he is an incensed Meilichios, partly as God of the bouse and of such as come to it in the character of suppliants (Zeus Herkeios, Ephestios, Xenios, Hikesios ${ }^{8}$ ). In Solon'a Laws also Jupiter, as a God of solemn oaths and covenants, was named Hikesios, Katharsios, and Exakesterios ${ }^{9}$. As protector and receiver of Prostropæi he is himself named Пробtpózrauos ${ }^{10}$.

But after all, the proper God of purification, according to the ancient institution of Themis, is Phoebus-Apollo, the radiant God, who teaches to overcome the terrors of a dark world by heroic strife or rites of averruncation; he, whose festivals in all parts of Greece are connected with purifications of men and countries; who in the mythi belonging to his worship himself submits to expiation and purification;
7. Laborde, Vases de Lamberg, pl. 14.
8. Comp. Herodot. I. 44.
9. Pollux viri. 142. comp. Eur. Herc. F. 925.
10. As троотрótalos, therefore, denotes both him who тробтрє́тєтal, and him to whom a person тробтрє́тeтal; so the words formed from "ccw have the same twofold signification. Not only the suppliants are iкéral, lкторes (hence iктopev́ect Sophocl. ap. Hesjch.) dфiктореs, but Jupiter also is lктwp, or lктทр, dфiктwp, FEsch. Suppl. 1. 474. Hence I explain Eumen. 118. My enemies have found rooviктopas, i. e. Gods who protect them as трoбinторas. Moschion (ap. Arsen. p. 363. Walz.) calls the stafi of the hiketes borne by Orestes, трoбiктŋ $\theta a \lambda \lambda o \nu$.
whose ancient pæans, or religious hymns, were originally without doubt propitiatory chaunts. He exterminates the monster, the swarming brood of dragons sent up by the powers of the earth and subterranean world in their wrath because of ancient guilt ${ }^{1}$, banishes contagion and pestilence, the bostile operations of the same Gods, and brings order, light and welfare in their stead. To him his priestess justly leaves it to purify his own temple; as Iatromantis and por-tent-seer he can expound the presence of these terrific beings and do away the curse which evoked them; as Katharsios he can remove the pollution they have occasioned, vv. 62,63 ; a combination of notions which, although differently expressed in the earlier poetry, is as old as the Apollinary cultus itself.
61. We do not mean to deny that other divinities also administer purification, of which probably Hermes and Athena are instances in the old heroic poem, the Danais ${ }^{2}$ : but we maintain that in no other cultus does purification form so momentous a feature, so integral a portion of the religious system, as in that of Apollo. Achilles makes a voyage from Troy to Lesbos, as Arctinus (in Proclus) relates, in order to be purified, at a distance from the camp, in the temple of Apollo, Diana and Latona, from the blood of a fellowcombatant. It is a fine trait in the legend of Hercules, that the hero, when seeking to be purified from the blood of Iphitus, after being refused his request by the Pylian Neleus is entertained and actually purified by Deiphobus of Amyclæ ${ }^{3}$. Here Amyclæ, as sacred to Apollo, is clearly indicated as the place where from the time of the heroes a suppliant for purification found a peculiarly kind reception.

1. Comp. on Eumen. 62. the beautiful passage Suppl. 265. concerning Apis. Apis (i. e. ${ }^{\top} \mathrm{H} \pi \iota s,{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H} \pi \iota \circ$ ) is the son of Apollo; he comes from northern Greece and purifies Peloponnese. As he, an latpó $\mu a \nu \tau \iota s$, exterminates the кעcóda入a BporoфӨópa, the $\delta \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$ ö $\mu \imath \lambda o s$, so the Pythoness will have Apollo, as Iatromantis, lestroy those кעш́ $\delta a \lambda a$, the Erinnyes.
2. Apollod. 11, 1, 5.
3. Apollod. 1I, 6, 2.
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real historical facts. However, the glory of having cleansed Orestes from his guilt was claimed by several other temples, especially by such as were consecrated to Apollo; in the same way as the tale of his persecution was repeated in different temples of the Erinnyes. Thus (1) Orestes is said to have spent the period of his exile among the Azani in Parrhasia, a district of Arcadia, and the natives derived the term Oresteum from his name ${ }^{1}$. In this district, which abounded in very ancient temples of the Earth-goddesses, there was shewn, as late as the time of Pausanias a temple to the mad Goddesses (Manix), not far from the site which Megalopolis subsequently occupied: here it was that Orestes was seized with madness, and in his delirium bit off one of his fingers, to which there was a monument erected ( $\Delta a \kappa \tau u$ ùiou $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a)$ : further on was a spot called " $A \kappa \eta$, where the Goddesses are said to have presented themselves to him under the aspect of white deities, and had a temple, as Eumenides. It is related that Orestes offered $\dot{\varepsilon} v a \gamma_{i} \sigma \mu a \tau a$ to the black Erinnyes, and $\theta u \sigma i a c$ to the white. Sacrifices were offered to the Charites in conjunction with these deities. (2) Upon the overthrow of Mycenæ by the Argives in Ol. 97, a portion of the Myceneans fled to Cerynea in Achaia, and, as usually happens in such cases, carried with them their forms of worship and the legends connected with them. Hence arose the report that in Cerynea there was a temple built and consecrated by Orestes to the Eumenides, who made every offender mad ${ }^{2}$ : Orestes is said to have converted them from Erinnyes into Eumenides by holocausts of black sheep, as related in the legend transferred from Mycenæ to Cerynea ${ }^{3}$. (3) The rites of purification are said to have been performed upon Orestes at Troezen (celebrated as

$$
\text { 1. Eurip. Orest. 1663. Tzetz. Lyc. } 1374 . \quad \text { 2. Paus. vir. 25, } 4 .
$$

3. There is not a doubt that in the Schol. ©Ed. C. 42, instead of $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \mathrm{K} a \rho v \nu i a$, the
 tatos Triclin.) we ought to read Kepuneía.
a place of expiation for blood) by nine men in front of the temples of Apollo and Artemis ${ }^{4}$. (4) The iuhabitants of Rhegium, who derived their origin partly from Chalcis and partly from Messenia, and called themselves sacred colonists of Apollo, also claimed for themselves and their seven rivers the honour of having performed the ceremony ${ }^{5}$.

These, and perhaps also the tradition that Argos, in the country of the Macedonian Orestre, was founded by Orestes in the course of his wanderings ${ }^{6}$, were probably the legends which Eschylus had before him. No doubt the Greeks, in conveying their mythi to all parts of the ancient world, added to those legends fictions of various kinds, resting most of them upon mere etymology; for instance, the Cappadocian mountain Amanon was marked as the place of liberation from madness; Comana, as the spot where the hair was shorn, and so $\mathrm{on}^{7}$. 历eschylus also goes upon the supposition of ! several acts of purification having been performed upon Orestes, the first and principal of them at Delphi, very shortly after the commission of the deed ${ }^{8}$; but there is an evident allusion to several in the passage,
 olkotбı, каl ßotoîб، каi puroîs пópots.

$$
\text { v. 429. Cf. 229. } 275 .
$$

Before other temples, he says, because an unhallowed person was not admitted into the abodes of Gods or men.
4. Paus. II. 31, 7, 10. Cf. 1. 22, 2.
s. The Rhegian legend is discussed by Fr. W. Schneidewin in a learned treatise, Diana Phacelitis et Orestes apud Rheginos et Siculos, Gott. 1832. From the Messenian colony the Rhegians derived the Cultus of the Orthic or Tauric Artemis; but this must even then have been connected in Laconia with the legend of Orestes in the form we find it subsequently.
c. The passages from Strabo and others may be found in Raoul-Rochette, Hist. de I'Elablissement des Col. Gr. V. II. p. 451.
7. Raoul-Roch. 1b. iv. p. 399. There was however in Cappadocia a family of priests called Orcstiada, as appears from an inscription found in the Catacombs of Thebes. Transactions of the Roynl Soc. of Litcrat. V. II. 1.
». Choeph. 1031. Eum. 272.

Hence Orestes' dwelling-place at Troezen stood in front of the temple of Apollo, and the trials for blood at Athens were held not in, but near the Delphinium, Prytaneum, \&c. In the long interval of time which must be imagined between v. 225 and 226, Orestes visits even remote countries beyond the seas (77.241.): probably the reference is to Rhegium, although the Rhegian legend places the arrival of Orestes after his Tauric wanderings. The Tauric voyage of Orestes, as also the return of Iphigenia with him, is entirely omitted by Æschylus, as foreign to the development of his plot: the manner in which Euripides and others connected it with the legend of the Areopagus is a question which does not fall within the compass of our present enquiry. But that a considerable period of time had elapsed between his sojourn at Delphi and arrival at Athens, our Poet himself intimates to his audience, where he says that besides the purifications and intercourse with mankind the very lapse of time must have removed all stain from Orestes (276).
68. A greater difficulty may appear to lie in the circumstance that, although the purification of Orestes restores him without spot or stain to the intercourse with men and Gods, it does not rid him of the Erinnyes, nor even diminish the bitterness of their resentment against him. This difficulty cannot perhaps be satisfactorily cleared up in any other way than by the distinction we have above drawn between expiation and purification for blood. Orestes is no longer a polluted person, and therefore no longer an outcast from society: he now appears internally also more tranquillized than at the conclusion of the Choephore; he has no stain of blood upon his hand or upon his conscience. But the resentment of his mother's manes, of the infernal powers, the Erinnyes, is not yet removed; it is for the Gods to rescue Orestes from that by a formal trial. Fundamentally, indeed, the two considerations, which Eschylus here sepa-
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## C. COURTS FOR THE TRIAL OF HOMICIDE, AND THE JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

## a. The Attic Courts and Tribunals.

64. We will begin this Section, as we did that on the Avenging of blood and pursuit of the bloodshedder, by giving a concise description of the institutions of historical times, with which we are better acquainted; and then go back to the more obscure regions of the earlier ages.

By Solon's Code the judicial cognizance in cases of blood was committed to two Boards or Colleges, the Areopagus and the Ephetce. The Areopagus, or, more correctly speaking,
 consisted of such as had held the dignity of Archon, and whose conduct in that station had been irreproachable. According to Solon's regulation none but the rich could fill the office of Archon, and those only by election; but after the time of Aristides every Athenian was eligible to it by the falling of the lot. The Areopagus was intended for the supreme court in cases of homicide, being charged with the decision in actions for wilful murder (фóvos ékov́vıos or éк $\pi \rho o v o i a s)$, as also for malicious attempt to kill, by maiming, poison, and arson.

The Ephetæ were fifty-one men, above fifty years of age,
 of irreproachakle character. They sat as a collective body in one or other of the four several courts of justice ${ }^{1}$. In

1. Hence they are called in Photius $\pi c \rho / c o j v \tau \epsilon s$. In Suidas, Zonaras and the Scholia on Dem. c. Aristocr. p. 98 R this expression, from a misconception of the abbreviation $\pi^{\prime}$, has been changed into ó $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \delta \circ{ }^{\prime} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} о \nu \tau \alpha$ ö $\nu \tau c s$.
cases of manslaughter they held their sittings at the Palladium; in cases of justifiable homicide, (such as killing another in self-defence, taking the life of an adulterer in vindication of family honour, killing a tyrant, a thief, or robber, and also manslaughter in the gymnastic games), they met at the Delphinium. Sometimes their sittings were held at the Prytaneum, where by a singular old custom judgment was passed on the instruments of murder in cases where the perpetrator of the act was either not forthcoming or not detected. Lastly, when a person who had gone into temporary exile for manslaughter was indicted for murder, they held trial upon him at Phreatto or Zeas ${ }^{2}$. In this particular case the defendant pleaded his cause on'board-ship, being prohibited from landing by the vengeance awaiting blood: if condemned, his $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon v i a v-$ tıomós was commuted to banishment for life ( $\oint$. 44).
2. Now if it be asked why Solon committed the cognirance of wilful murder, and of the last specified kinds of homicide, to different Judges, we may first of all confidently answer thus much, that it was not because that separation had been customary in Greece from the earliest times. For not to take into account the very slight distinction that was made in the earlier times between wilful murder and manslaughter ( $\oint .52$ ), there is not a trace to be found in all Greece of such a separation of courts, and it must be admitted that in practice it would necessarily give rise to many inconvenimess and circuitous procedures. The nature of the case as well as all historical analogy oblige us to assume that in the first instance, even in Attica, the same authorities (although perhaps at different tribunals) investigated the degree

[^26]of heinousness attaching to an act of blood, and determined whether it ought to be punished with death (which in the eye of the Greek was deemed nearly equivalent to exile for life), or whether it might be atoned for by temporary exile; in the latter case the criminal received expiation upon his return home. These last words contain the reason why the court of Ephetæ was separated from the Areopagus, and we need take but one step more to arrive at the conclusion that this separation could only have been brought about by Solon. It is namely this: The atonement for blood and purification of the bloodshedder came under the sacred law of Athens (the iєpa кat ó $\sigma \iota a$ ), which remained in the hands of the old nobility even after they had lost their political authority (the proofs of this will be given in the following Section on the Exegetæ): so that the administration of the rites of expiation could not be taken away from the old aristocracy of Athens, even when the constitution underwent in other respects a complete change. None but an aristocratic court was competent to grant permission of expiation for homicide, and to preside over the rites of expiation and cleansing from bloodguiltiness. Accordingly that court must have retained the right of decision in actions for manslaughter, in which the temporary flight was followed by expiation; and also in cases of justifiable homicide, in which the individual was not required to flee his country ${ }^{1}$, but was obliged to obtain purification from the stain of blood, at least in certain cases ${ }^{2}$. Moreover they continued to have the cognizance in cases where manslaughter was followed by wilful murder, it being then a question whether expiation were still admissible or not. And

1. He was said to commit the act $\nu \eta \pi o l \nu \in i$, Dem. c. Aristocr. p. 637, 639. Killing of a $\mu$ ol $\chi$ os was deemed no фóvos: Lys. de Eratosth. Cad. §. 30 .
2. This is seen quite clearly by comparing the law in Demosthenes with Plato,

 to the cases in which vengeance was not allowed. On the other cases Plato's exprension ( $1 \times$. p. 874) is not quite definite.
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These circumstances also lead us to infer the early existence of a Senate at Athens; invested, like the Spartan Gerusia, with the jurisdiction in cases of homicide, and encroaching upon the office of avenging blood, as far as the. views of the age, resting as they did upon a religious basis, allowed such interference. This council, which also watched over the preservation of morals and good order, and no duubt had in the first instance great administrative power, obtained from its cognizance of actions for homicide the title: of Ephetæ ('Eф'́тaı)', a term more correctly derivable from the granting. a license to avenge blood, than from the applying for the license, inasmuch as every thing in this branch of the judicature depended upon the extent of vengeance granted to the relatives of the deceased upon the shedder of his blood.' This title occurred so frequently in Draco's laws, that it gave rise to the opinion which we find in Pollux, that Draco instituted the college of Ephetæ.

On these grounds we deem the separation into differen courts to be of later date and to have arisen out of the political views of after-times. On the other hand, we hold the distinction of different tribunals for different degrees and kinds of crimes and guilt to be of very ancient origin, inasmuch as the choice of these tribunals is connected with religious notions, which existed at the period of the very formation of the Grecian cultus, but afterwards sank into obscurity and oblivion. It is worth while to pursue this subject somewhat further.
67. The worst cases of murder were tried on the hill of Ares, whose temple was at the top, and that of the Erinnyes at the bottom of the hill (infr. $\oint .88$ ). Judgment was there

[^27]held on such as had broken the peace by maliciously murdering a citizen ${ }^{2}$. The special resentment of the deceased, the Erinnys ( $(\mathbf{~} 77$ ), rested upon such an one; and to that Erinnys he was abandoned, if his guilt were clearly proved. In the Areopagus, says Euripides, the murderer must render
 nupos $\theta$ eais, Iph. T. 951). The accused took oath by these Goddesses in particular ${ }^{3}$. If acquitted, he sacrificed to them in their neighbouring temple as appeased divinities ${ }^{4}$; but if condemned, he was abandoned to the Erinnys which he had invoked, and to the God of war whom he had roused. This connexion of the cultus of the Erinnyes with the court of Areopagus is also exhibited in the story of Epimenides, in which it is related that this Cretan priest, having to expiate the pollution brought upon the country by former acts of blood, let louse some black and some white sheep from the Areopagus,' and sacrificed them on the spot, whither they had run, to the divinities who seemed to desire the sacrifice ( $\tau \bar{\varphi}$ $\left.\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \eta^{\prime}<o \nu t \iota \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\psi}\right)$, and at the same time built a temple to the venerable Goddesses or Erinnyes. In reality, however, their temple was founded unquestionably at an earlier dates. The manner in which this relation rests upon the earliest history of the Grecian cultus, I will endeavour to shew in the next section.

Actions for manslaughter were tried at the Palladium. The term Palladium does not mean any statue of the Goddess Pallas-Athena ${ }^{6}$, but is applied exclusively to a definite
 or "Apge riOafds, as Aeschylus calls it, Eum. 335.
3. Dinarch. c. Demosth. §. 47.
4. Paus. 1. 28, 6.
s. Lobon of Argos in Diogen. Laert. 1. 10, 112. To Epimenides is also ascribed the erection of the pillars to " $\mathbf{r} \beta$ pis and Avaideia on the Areopagus. Clemens Alex. Protrept. p. 22 Potter.
6. The statue of Athena Polias in the citadel was never called by the Athenians



representation of the belligerent Pallas, to a particular form which had been made typical of that Goddess at an early date. The origin of the term must therefore be sought in the meaning of the name Pallas itself. By Palladia we must always understand figures of Pallas in a standing posture, with the Agis, and with shield and spear advanced. At one period the Greek legends placed all such statues of Pallas in connexion with Troy: every town that possessed an old wooden image of the above description boasted of having had it from Troy, and the same origin was claimed for the Attic one in legends of various kinds, all of them however agreeing on this one point ${ }^{1}$. This Athenian Palladium was in the southern quarter of the city $^{2}$, and the care of it was entrusted to the old Attic family of the Buzygi, as appears from an old legend and an inscription of later date ${ }^{3}$, coinciding with each other. Now this Trojan Palladium is connected with a tradition, first made known by Apollodorus, but unquestionably of early origin, that the Goddess Athena having killed one of her playmates, Pallas, at exercise of arms, made the Palladium in memory of her. Moreover this Trojan Palladium, (which was probably quite distinct from the statue in the citadel of Ilium, this latter being described by Homer as in a sitting posture), is said to have been placed on the hill of $A t e^{4}$, where the abode of Cassandra was situated ${ }^{5}$ : the reason for this was, because the statue owed its origin to Ate or a temporary derangement of mind. Little as this part of the mythi about Pallas has hitherto been solved, thus much at least is clear, that the Palladia in general were connected with the notion of homicide committed without

 image as in Corp. Inscr. 491.) The image of Pallas Alalcomene is also called a Palladium.

1. Creuzer Symbol. vol. 11. p. $\mathbf{f 9 0}$ sqq. (German.)
2. Plut. Thes. 27.
3. Corp. Inscrip. n. 491.
4. Apollod. 111. 12, 3.
5. 1 ycophr. 29.
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especially that on the axe of the Dipolia. The reason for this is perhaps to be sought in local circumstances.

The reason why the fourth, formerly the fifth, tribunal of the Ephetic Court was in Phreatto, at the Peiræeus, is evident.
68. In the poetical treatment of an ancient legend we do not require a literal agreement with a real historical state of things; the main point is that the fundamental idea be intrinsically true. In the present instance, however, any representation of the Areopagus differing from the existing state of that institution would instantly have struck every well-informed spectator, and so have materially counteracted the Poet's design of influencing his own generation and supporting this Court of Judicature against its adversaries. This would have been the case for instance, had the Areopagus been wholly an institution of Solon's, which it appears from the preceding elucidations it was not. It is true the Ephetæ, as the Eupatridic Court of expiation, strike us on the one hand rather as a remnant of the ancient Gerusia, which formerly gave judgment in all cases of homicide; but then on the other hand the Areopagus had preserved the union of Council and Court of Justice, which characterized it upon its first institution; and as it still maintained its credit, whilst the Ephetic Courts sank more and more in the public estimation, it was natural that all those' old legends and mythi should be made to redound solely to the glory of the Areopagus.

Thus it appears that the legend of Orestes' acquittal by the Areopagus could not possibly be a matter of surprise to

[^28]any Athenian at all conversant with history. The opinion of a modern Scholar who supposes it to have been an invention of Aschylus is perfectly untenable: besides, Hellanicus, a contemporary of Aschylus, relates that this Court awarded sentence not only to Orestes, but to many other heroes and even Gods before him. These legends Wschylus lets quite alone; the mythus of Orestes shone forth so pre-eminently, that it was even allowable, in legendary fashion, to connect the institution of the Court with this sentence, the first pronounced by it. To have assumed the Areopagus as already existing would scarcely have accorded with Etschylus's plan; he was obliged to make his poem serve for a record of the institution of this sacred and divine tribunal. Neither did his views allow him to represent the twelve Gods as the first Judges in this Court, as Demosthenes relates of them ${ }^{1}$; the citizens of Athens were to be the first invested with that important office, and must receive it from the hands of Athena. As is usually the case, there were numerous legends on this point at variance one with another; the Argives also claimed for an ancient tribunal in their city the honour of Orestes' trial (Eur. Or. 862. c. Scholl.). Nevertheless, the reputation of the Areopagus among the Greeks appears upon the whole to have had the precedence, and established the priority of the Attic legend.
69. The only circumstance one might be apt to wonder at is that, although all the above-mentioned tribunals for the trial of blood were of very early origin, the legend of Orestes was attached to the Areopagus and not to the Delphinium. The cases tried before this latter tribunal were "when a person pleaded justifiable homicide," and Demosthenes cites Orestes himself as an instance in point. Nevertheless, under the more ancient view of the case, which settles the question of cognizance not so much according to rubrics of law, as by
taking into account the internal aspect of the thing done and the mental state of the doer, the Areopagus might appear more competent to decide the matter than the Delphinium. Or, to speak in the sense of earlier times, the Hill of Ares would seem a fitter tribunal than the temple of the Delphinian Apollo. The criminal brought before this tribunal is not one conscience-stricken by his act of blood; no Erinnys harasses him. For how can an Erinnys be ascribed to the nocturnal robber, or to the adulterer, seized in the very act of their offence and slain upon the spot? But Clytæmnestra, though lawfully put to death by the avenger of blood, is a mother, and as such has her Erinnyes; and there lies the significance of the Areopagus in this instance. It decides between these vengeful Goddesses and the object of their resentment; and this is proved, as we have above seen, by the locality itself, and the solemnities observed in the proceedings before the Areopagus.
b. On the judicial Proceedings in Eschylus.
70. Fschylus makes his Areopagus as like as possible to what it was in historical times; and whilst on the one hand the entire spectacle is marvellous and superhuman, the actors in the drama being Gods, on the other hand the whole procedure is conducted so much after the due form, and upon civic laws and customs, that the Poet's drift is at once evident, and palpably no other than that of exhibiting the existing constitution in the light of a divine providence. It is therefore worth while to take a closer view of these proceedings and of the formalities observed in them.

At Athens, and nearly everywhere in the ancient world, every Court consisting of a large body of Judges had its president ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu \dot{\circ} \dot{\nu})$ to investigate the cases presented to it, upon whose sanction they were brought into court. In actions
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the challenged by the challenging party; for instance, the head of his child, or such and such Gods. This is called giving ans opros. In the next place it must be kept in view, that an oath of this kind, demanded of, or tendered to one party by the other, forms part of the evidence. For that evidence required a challenge ( $\pi \rho o ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ ) and the acceptation of it : both parties must be agreed to rest the decision of the suit on the oath of one of the two parties, before the oath could be admitted and stand as substantive evidence. The agreement and administration of the oath might take place either at the anacrisis, or in court, or quite independently of the trial; only in the latter case, the transaction had to be duly proved before it could influence the verdict. The meaning then of what the Erinnyes say is this: 'Orestes will scarcely allow us to name the oath which he shall take to attest his innocence, nor will he readily. consent to let the verdict depend upon our swearing to his guilt by whatever oath he shall please to propose to us:' and they are perfectly right in their opinion. But Minerva very properly refuses to admit such a mode of decision in this case, as being a mere show of the right, by which she will not allow the wrong to gain the day.-It is evident, partly. from these expressions and partly from other circumstances, that the question here is not about the oath regularly administered, independently of any proclesis, in the Court of Areopagus. This was quite a distinct thing from the other oath. On these occasions the plaintiff and defendant, standing over the dissected members ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ tomiots) of a wild-boar, a ram, and a bullock, took oath to the truth and justice of their respective causes, and in case of perjury denounced most awful curses upon themselves and all that belonged to them ( $\delta \iota \omega \mu о \sigma^{\prime} a \alpha$ кат' $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} i a s\right)^{1}$. This oath was an indispensable preliminary in such prosecutions, and if Æschylus makes no mention of it, it is because it had no specific significance in

[^29]this particular case; just as the oath of the Areopagites to do strict justice is frequently referred to in the course of the play $^{2}$, but is not actually administered in the theatre. On the other hand the $\pi \dot{\rho}$ óк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$, or provocatio ad jusjurandunt here in question, is opposed to the simple straightforward course of law, the Euthydicia (ei $\theta$ eia dín, 411 ); for although the Euthydicia is usually opposed to an exception or counter-charge, put in for the purpose of preventing the bill from being sent into court ( $\pi a \rho a \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}, \delta_{c a \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a, ~}^{\alpha v \tau}{ }^{2} \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}$ ), the term may very well be used in a wider sense, as opposed to the diversion of law from its straightforward course by a proclesis: of which indeed the very passage before us furnishes a proof.
72. Thereupon Athena convenes the Jury, ' the worthiest
 in the sequel to invest in solemn form with the new office of Areopagites. The number of these men we have above settled at twelve ( $\delta .9$ ); and it is not improbable that the council of the Areopagus really consisted in the first instance of that number of members ${ }^{4}$.

Athena then introduces the suit (eíáy $\epsilon$, 550, 552) as president of the court she is also cirajorycús. The parties plead against each other in short and plain sentences; long speeches being against the usage of the Areopagus as well as contrary to the taste of our Poet. The only one who speaks at all at length is Apollo, and in his case it is very allowable, since he is not only advocate for Orestes, but also Exegetes, of which office I shall speak presently: as such,
2. CE VT. 461.650.680.
8. Ti $\beta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ тata, in a political sense, must be compared with Herodotus's rà трӥтa. It is equivalent to the $\beta$ eגtıatol of Xenophon.
4. Perhaps the following conjectures may be deserving of notice. We assume that the earlicat Bule, elected from the first Phyle, consisted of twelve. Then all foor Phyle were represented, thus giving forty-eight members, of whom four Phylobasileis acted as Prytanes of the Bule: the King himself being reckoned gives forty-mine. The transfer of that number to the ten Phyle gave rise to the fiftyone Ephetre, in the same manner as fifty werc formed out of forty-eight Naucraria. Cf. Photius s. v. vaukpapía.
he expounds the nature of justifiable homicide as well the other exculpatory circumstances to the clear comprehension of the Judges.

After the parties have done pleading, Athena fulfils the promise she had made (v. 462) by announcing the institution of the court of Areopagus (the $\theta$ ecruós, 462, 651). The answer to the question, why this ceremony is deferred till after the pleading, is this. The Judges had hitherto listened to the dispute, like the rest of the assembled multitude, and had probably formed their own decision upon the case, but not in a judicial capacity : they are now to give their votes after serious deliberation, with a strict observance of their oath and a full sense of the importance of their office. The introduction, therefore, of the ceremony of inauguration is quite appropriate at this stage of the action. It is the contral point of the composition as a political drama, in which aspect it has been above considered ( $\$ .95 \mathrm{ff}$.).
73. We oome next to the balloting which follows the inaugural address. Unless we have a clear comprehension of the manner in which this ceremony is conducted, and make an accurate distinction between two points in the action, there will be some danger of our getting a very confused notion of the whole proceeding. For nothing else assuredly but confused and ridiculous is the notion entertained by very many, that after Minerva has thrown in her ballot for Orestes, and not till then, the votes are counted and found equal, and that Orestes is acquitted on the score of this equality. The very idea of the Calculus Minerva, so often mentioned by the ancients, is neither more nor less than this: the votes are equal, a white $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ (for acquittal) is conceived to be added ${ }^{1}$; the accused is imagined to have the majority.

[^30]
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## D. EXEGESIS OF THE JUS SACRUM.

74. WE have still to define the nature of the office discharged by Apollo in this suit.

The Exegesis at Athens applied wholly to the unworitten Law, the precedents and usages handed down to posterity by oral tradition. Notwithstanding the great extension given to the written law at Athens, partly by the legislature of particular epochs, and partly by the continual additions made to it, there was still a great deal left to oral tradition with respect to religious rites and the duties to be paid to the dead, to which belonged also the avenging of blood. Now such persons as were in possessiou of superior information on these points, and could accurately define the right and wrong in cases of that class, were called é $\xi_{\eta \gamma \eta \tau a i}^{\text {viov }}$ $\pi a \tau \rho i \omega \nu$, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ iє $\bar{\omega} \nu$ каi $\dot{\sigma} \sigma i \omega \nu$, (Exegetæ of the customs of the land, the sacred and sanctioned usages, Interpretes religionis.) Their office was, é $\xi \eta \gamma \varepsilon i \sigma \theta a t$, to expound this Law, de jure sacro respondere.

For instance, the Exegetes would be asked if a person were bound to contribute to the interment of such and such an one (Isæus de Ciron. Hæred. §. 39); and so in all cases where a person was apprehensive of omitting any honour due to the dead (Harpocr. s. v. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ ). He was consulted when it was not known in what way the death of a slave ought to be avenged upon the author of it (Plat. Euthyph. p. 4). In such cases the Exegetæ pointed out the lawful course and gave wholesome advice.
 Euerget. p. 1160.) This office of the Exegetæ clearly shews how closely the law of blood was connected at Athens with
religious rites and ordinances. Even the Areopagus had unwritten laws in its keeping (ắүрафа עóцıца, Dem. c. Aristocr. p. 646), although it was a fundamental principle in the jurisdiction of the Attic courts to admit none but written or statute law, and charge the right use of it upon the conscience of the Judges.
75. The Exegesis presupposes oral precepts, which in the earlier times can scarcely have been any thing else than family tradition, similar to that on which the Etruscan discipline was conducted, only that the latter was a far more laborious and extensive study than the jus sacrum of the Athenians. This custom of family tradition existed every where among the ancients, especially in noble families; and accordingly we find that at Athens the Eupatridæ were in the first instance the Exegetæ of the sacred law (Plut. Thes; 25); nay, even in the Roman period there were Eupatridic
 The Eupatridæ were no association or body, and it is difficult to say by whom they were nominated; perhaps by the Ephetre elected from the old families: at all events the Ephetæ were closely connected with that ancient court. As the latter body had the power of sanctioning the expiation of blobd, so the superintendence of its performance devolved upon the former (Tim. Lex. s. v. ©́ $\xi_{\eta \gamma \eta \tau a i) . ~ H e n c e ~ D o r o-~}^{-}$ theus,' in his work on "The Hereditary Usages of the Eupatridx," treated of the purification of suppliants, that is, homicides who had made atonement for blood ${ }^{1}$. The principal points upon which this Exegesis of the Eupatridic families turned, were the burial of the dead and the law of blood;

[^31]whereas that of the sacerdotal families had to do rather with the particular services over which they presided. Thus the Eleusinian Eumolpidæ exercised an Exegesis of unwritten customs ${ }^{1}$, which seems to have been partly transferred by them to other hands ${ }^{2}$, and the principles of which were no doubt contained in the work on the "Traditional Customs of the Eumolpidæ" published in the time of Cicero ${ }^{3}$. The other sagerdotal families at Eleusis also had the Exegetic office in certain cases ${ }^{4}$.
76. If in this way every religious service had its own peculiar rites requiring for their performance a certain degree of information, which might be handed down by Exegesis, this was more particularly the case with the cultus of Apollo; inasmuch as it principally involved the rites of atonement and purification. As Athens derived the conditions of atonement for blood from the decrees of the Pythian God, so also the three Exegeta, who presided at Athens over the purification of blood-guilty persons, were elected, or at least their election was ratified, by the Delphic Oracle ( $\pi v$ Oó $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \pi o$, Timæus). The office of Exegesis is quite as much Apollo's property as that of prophecy. Plato in his ideal state will have no other Exegetes consulted respecting the erection of temples and the founding of the cultus of Gods, of heroes, and of the dead, than the national God, Apollo of Delphi (Polit. Iv. p. 427). But in his practical state he would have Exegetæ elected by the individual tribes, with the sanction and concurrence of the Delphian God, to expound the sacred law derived from Delphi (Legg. vi. p. 759), and to define the religious rites (Il. vi. p. 775. viII. p. 828. xII. p. 958), but especially to preside over all ceremonies of atonement and purification ${ }^{5}$.

1. Lys. adv. Andoc. §. 10.

2. Cf. Varro de L. L. V. §. 98. 4. Andoc, de Myst. ¢. 115 eq.
3. Legg. viII. p. 845. ix. p. 865. 871, 873. xi. p. 916. Cf. Ruhnken ad Timaum,
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## III. RELIGIOUS POINT OF VIEW.

## A. THE ERINNYES.

a. Meaning of the Name, and Mythic conception of the Erinnyes.
77. In the Arcadian dialect, which undoubtedly retained many archaisms, the word '́ptuvév, we are told, signified to be wroth ${ }^{1}$. But the term was certainly never used in so general a sense in the Greek language,-a language in which, the further we trace it up, the more we find of intuitive distinctness of expression for all motions, as well mental as corporeal. It will be better to give at once an accurate
 is the feeling of deep offence, of bitter displeasure, when sacred rights belonging to us are impiously violated by persons who ought most to have respected them. The earliest Greek Poets, in whom we find the idea in its most cultivated developement, attribute Erinnyes more especially to the father, mother, and elder brother; these in particular entertain such feelings of resentment upon the violation of pious duties claimed by them as their natural right; for instance, when they meet with ill-treatment, or even when due respect is not paid them ${ }^{3}$. But the poor man, the beggar as well as the suppliant, being from his situation entitled to a hospitable reception in more wealthy families, if instead of that he

1. Paus. viII. 25. 4. Etym. M. p. 374. 1.
2. Herm. ad Antigon. Ed. 3tia. Præf. p. XIx. sqq.
s. Vid. Il. XI. 204. XXI. 412. Od. XI. 279.
meet with insoleut treatment, also has his Erinnyes; a trait exhibiting the humanity of the ancient Greeks in the most pleasing point of view ${ }^{4}$. Afterwards the term was used in a more restricted signification; parricide more especially calls forth an Erinnys, and Eschylus also attributes one to the heinous crime of a man's neglecting his duty as avenger of blood ${ }^{5}$. The sensible manifestation of the Erinnys is $\mathrm{Ara}^{6}$ : the long-suppressed feeling of deep offence bursts forth in sudden imprecations, frequently on apparently slight provocations. For instance old OEdipus, after long endurance of extreme impiety towards himself from his sons, at last curses them when he finds they have forcibly possessed themselves of the family jewels, and when they neglect to give him the honorary portion of the sacrifice which was due to him. The Erinnys is indeed conceivable without Ara, inasmuch as it admits of being stifled in the heart; but still the two notions bear so close an affinity to each other, that Æschylus seems perfectly justified in designating the Erinnyes by the title of 'A ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} i^{7}$.
3. One of the distinguishing features of that ancient period, in which the Greek and other Popular Religions originated together with the Poetry which sprung up from them, was that it contemplated all intellectual life, nay, life in general, as the unintermitted working, not of individual forces and causes, but of higher supernatural agents, and viewed man for the most part merely as the focus in which those active powers were concentred and manifested. That feeling of painful mortification and just resentment, originally termed epivís, is not merely an instigation and arousing of certain
4. Od. xvi1. $475 . \quad$ 5. Choeph. 281. cf. 396. 641.
t. ápríaotaı 'Epıvûs. Od. 11. 135. Cf. Il. 1 X. 454. 571.
5. Eum. 395. Cf. Sept. 70. 707. 773. 962. Klausen, Theologum, /Fssch. p. 49, aq.
leities to avenge and to punish, but is rather in itself of a livine nature and of miraculous energy; it is exhibited n a manner as an act of the existence of divine beings as sternal as the laws of nature out of which that resentful eeling arose. In order to perceive the perfect identity subnisting between the resentment of offended parents and the Goddess Erinnys we need only compare with one another


 Oıঠınódec ipóv (Herodot. iv. 149) ${ }^{1}$.

The Erinnys atoned for and the Erinnys that brings the mischief are undoubtedly one and the same in these expressions, and both of them, with the same verbal construction, are attributed to the individuals offended and incensed; although we modern Grammarians, on whom the capital letter at the beginning of proper names imposes the hard task of deciding on the point, suppose the existence of a Goddess only under the latter mode of expression, and under the former merely conceive the idea of a human passion. For us a chasm has disunited what was originally one and inseparable; and the difference between the mythico-poetical, and the so-called rational or philosophical view of the Universe,-a difference which at first did not exist at all, and when it had arisen was little felt and heeded by the old Epic and Lyric Poets,_makes it imperative on us, forsooth, to look well to our small letters and capitals.

Such expressions as, "the Erinnyes of the Mother,_of Laius," serve also to shew how little the original use of the word warrants the notion of a definite number of Erinnyes, and how unfair it is to require of Æschylus that he should bring but three on his stage. This number can no more be

[^32]
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that the Homeric conception of a spectral sham-existence held by departed heroes in the nether world, without feeling and consciousness, was not the general popular belief.

Moreover, the darkness-haunting Erinnys appears several times in Homer ${ }^{1}$ as bewildering the mind and thereby driving persons into misfortune, probably because such a derangement of the mind was frequently consequent upon the consciousness of having violated the most sacred duties ${ }^{2}$. So also they are often represented by the Tragedians in the general character of retributive and harmful beings, who inflict chastisement on the criminal in every.way; as, by expulsion from human society, by the pangs of conscience, and by torments in the lower world. Indeed the conception of the Erinnyes as workers of mischief is so generalized, that even persons sent apparently for the destruction of the human race, like Helen and Medea, and usually called à áa $\sigma \tau o p e s$, are also denominated Erinnyes ${ }^{3}$; and even by Æschylus presentiments of misfortune and mischief-boding strains are termed "Dirges and Pæans of the Erinnyes ${ }^{4}$."

These remarks arose out of the definition of the term Erinnys in its original meaning, and were intended to draw attention to the fact, how greatly this signification, under the shape it has assumed in Mythology, loses in internal precision in proportion to its external expansion. But this individual signification of the term does not by any means lead to a train of conceptions connected with the Erinnyes, such as are mainly required for the understanding of our tragedy; for this purpose we must trace back to its source the idea of the Erinnyes as great and venerable Goddesses ( $\Sigma_{\varepsilon \mu \nu a i} \theta_{\epsilon a i}$, as they were called at Athens ${ }^{5}$ ), an idea

[^33]3. Agam. 729. Soph. El. 1080. Eur. Orest. 1386. Med. 1256.
4. Ag. 631. 964. cf. 1090. 1562.
5. On Eenvai, as proper name of the Furies at Athens, cf. Osann ad Philemon. p. 162., and Meineke ad Menandr. p. 346, with reference to Creuzer, Symbol. iv. p. 327.
founded on a more extensive system of views and thoughts, and manifested in legends and religious rites and ceremonies.

## b. Cultus of the Erinnyes and Eumenides or Semnce.

80. The widely diffused and noted religious service of the Erinnyes or Eumenides, or the Venerable Goddesses, as they were usually designated at Athens, can hardly be understood, if one comprise those beings under the class of divinities attached to individual circumstances of life or states of mind (as Ate, Eris, and many others). On the contrary there are a great many traces in the worship of those deities which shew that the Erinnyes, in the system of religions that had taken root in the different districts of Greece, were neither more nor less than a particular form of the great Goddesses who rule the Earth and the lower world and send up the blessings of the year, namely Demeter and Cora. This must be understood to mean that these deities, so mild and benign on the one hand, are withal-either, in mythological connexion, by means of adverse divinities, or, in more ethic conception, by means of human crimes and misdeeds which confound the very ordinances of nature-perverted into resentful, destructive deities. In very ancient times there existed in Greece a widely-extended cultus of the Thelpusian, or Tilphossian Demeter-Erinnys, and in the time of Pausanias it still maintained its station at Thelpusa in Arcadia, where Demeter was worshipped as the Goddess of Earth indignant against Poseidon, the God of Water, (the God who deluges the earth in winter with floods and torrents). Under the same form she was designated at Phigalia, another place in Arcadia, by the name of the Black Goddess. There are evident traces of this idea of the Demeter-Erinnys to be found in various places, but the point where it appears most prominently is in the fundamental characteristics of the old
legend concerning the Cadmean Kings of Thebes, and its antiquity is evinced by the very circumstance of its being contained in those primary characteristics. I will endeavour to delineate the grand and simple features of this legend in such a way as to render them clear to the attentive reader. Recent investigations have paved the way, and scarcely any thing more is required than to combine the results already obtained, in order to recover those primeval conceptions from which a considerable portion of tragic Poetry originally emanated.
81. Thebes, as the old legend goes, the fair city in the green, irriguous, fruitful plain, was a favourite abode of the Goddess of Earth and her daughter, but withal a memorial of her inevitable resentment when injured. Demeter and Cora, mother and daughter, founded Thebes ${ }^{1}$, Jupiter having made a present of the land to Cora on her marriage with Hades ${ }^{2}$; and they had a joint-founder in Cadmus (Harmonia's consort), who is now ascertained to have been regarded by the earliest Greeks as a God of form and order, a Hermes who brings harmony and consistency out of confusion. But before he could found Thebes Cadmus had to slay the Dragon, begotten by Ares the God of War with Erinnys Tilphossa ${ }^{3}$, i. e. the resentful, offended Demeter worshipped at Tilphossa; and from the sowing of this dragon's teeth springs the new Cadmean race of men.

This dragon, which is a main figure in the Theban mythology, is obviously symbolic of the rancour cherished by a gloomy power of nature. Demeter is Erinnys even before she is irritated by mankind, and, as is the case in all profound Theogonies, Evil is conceived to have had a previous existence in a higher world and a more universal

[^34]3. Schol. Antigon. 126.
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are largely displayed by . Pindar in his second Olympian Ode, as the destipy of the race even down to the history of hig own times.
$\because$. 82. But with Laius the ruling agency of Demeter Erinnys begins to manifest itself more as the peculiar deotiny of the Cadmean family, The original curse attaching to the race begets parricide, incest, fratricide; and the order of the physical world being turned topsy-turvy along with that of the moral world, barrenness, famine and pestilence go side by side with them. CEdipus is altogether a victim of Erinnys, born to ruin his whole race by his curse. According to the common legend he was fostered on the inhospitable mount Cithæron, called by Hermesianax the abode of the Erinnyes ${ }^{1}$; similarly as of Orestes it was told that he was born on the festival-day of DemeterElinnys ${ }^{2}$. But the end of OEdipus's life was in perfect accordance with the commencement of it, the main idea in the old legend being, that the grievously afflicted Odipus, after the fulfilment of his allotted doom, was to find rest in the sanctuary of Demeter-Erinnys, the deity who had persecuted him through life, but was now at last reconciled to him. According to the Theban legend it was the Eteonic Temple of Demeter (unquestionably a DemeterErinnys) that gave him shelter ${ }^{3}$; which Temple was situated by mount Citharon at the southern boundary of the Theban domain. And no doubt the meaning of the oracle was, that OEdipus was to find a burial-place on the frontiers of the country: as a parricide it was not allowable for him to lie, within the confipes of his home, and yet (the reason for which will appear in the sequel) he was to be buried not far from his native land ${ }^{4}$.

[^35]4. See Soph. ©d. Col. 399. 785. cf. Ed. T. 422.
83. After the calamity and overthrow of Thebes, scattered bands of Cadmeans were the means of diffusing their native traditions, as well as those of CEdipus's burial-place, for and wide, and constantly in close connexion with the cultus of the Erinnyes. To Attica they were carried perhaps by the Cadmean race of the Gephyrwans, who were received and naturalized there; and several traces of them existed in different parts. In the first place there was ahewn in Athens itself a tomb of CEdipus in the sanctuary of the Semnær between the Areopagus and the citadel ${ }^{5}$. In the next place we find in an Attic demus, (the Colonus Hippius), together with another sepulchre of ©Edipus, the entire group of that cultus from which the leading ideas in the Theban mythi are derived. Here too, as at the Arcadian Thelpusa, the God of the waters, Poseidon Hippius, is worshipped in juxta-position with the Semn $\boldsymbol{x}^{6}$, who beyond doubt were originally identical with Demeter and her daughter worshipped at that very same place. For whereas the legend handled by Sophocles assigns to CEdipus a resting-place in the sanctuary of the Semnæ or Eumenides at Colonus ${ }^{7}$, an Attic collector of legends ${ }^{8}$ tells of his applying as a suppliant for protection to Demeter of Colonus. Euripides makes Poseidon Hippius the sheltering deity ${ }^{9}$. Opposed to Demeter Erinnys in the service of the Colonians was probably the blooming verdant Demeter, ( $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \chi$ 入oos), whose Temple mentioned by Sophocles (1600) must be conceived in the vicinity, but on a different hill from that of Colonus. In other respects also every thing on this spot implied connexion and intercourse with the infernal world. It was an ancient notion ${ }^{10}$ that the entrance to the abyss of
3. See Paus. 1. 28. 7. Val. Max. v. 3. ext. 3, where the locality is clearly defined.
6. At Capua too there was an ades Neptuni cum Cerere Erinny. Gruter p. 195, 16, if rightly explained by Reinesius.
7. Also according to Apollod. 111. 5, !. 3. Androtion in Schol. Od. xi. 271.

ग. Phaen. 1721.
10. Hesiod Theog. 811. Il. vili. 15.

Tartarus was enclosed with a brazen threshold, and there was shewn near Colonus, on the sacred road to Eleusis, a chasm furnished with a flight of brazen steps, called $\chi$ á $\lambda$ iceos ou'ós and $\chi^{a \lambda \text { кótoovs ódós, which was regarded as a portal }}$ or threshold to the lower world; and through which Hades was said to have borne off Cora ${ }^{1}$. Like many other sanctuaries of Chthonic deities this too was considered as a pledge of welfare to Athens, -as the basis and stay of her prosperity ( ${ }^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu$ ' ${ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, 58$ ). In this vicinity, according to the local tradition, it was ordained that CEdipus should close a life devoted to the Erinnyes; nay the very Erinnyes themselves are said by Euphorion to have conducted him hither along the path of destiny ${ }^{2}$. It was from that abyss, according to the Colonian legend, that the thunders of the subterranean Jove pealed forth the summons to CEdipus, whom Hermes and Cora had conducted to that spot ( 1.548 ; 1590): it was there he was supposed to have departed from

1. See particularly Soph. 1589. Schol. 57. 1059. 1590. The Scholiast also takes the кoìlos кратì!, v. 1593, for a cavern. I am of opinion it was the vessel into which Theseus and Peirithous were said to have cut the odáyıa, previous to their descending together into the infernal regions: perhaps too the vessel had some form of oath inscribed on it. Comp. Eurip. Suppl. 1202. Mention is made too by Pausanias of a shrine dedicated to both heroes at Colonus: and the reason why it was erected at that place was because the entrance to the subterranean world was said to be there. The observations by Reisig, Enarr. p. cxciv, do not treat of the locality of Colonus: and in some other points also, even with the admirable work of that talented scholar, the topography in the ©Edipus Colonus still requires more accurate investigation. With respect to the scene of the CEdipus, it is especially to be remarked that it is laid near the boundaries of the Cerameans and Colonians; on one side was seen, in the vicinity of the Academy, the Temple of Prometheus and that of Athena with the sacred olive-trees, on the other the hilh of Colonus with the Temple of Poseidon; and between them the grove of the Semnx. The $\chi^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \dot{k} \in o s$ oviós must be conceived on the further side of this grove, by which means $\nabla .57$ and 1590 may be reconciled. The $\alpha \nu \tau i \pi \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu \beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$, v. 192, on which CEdipus sits down, is a fence or wall about the grove resembling rough masses of rock.
2. There is no doubt that Euphorion in the passage cited by the Schol. on Cd. C. 681, had instead of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \bar{\eta} \tau \tau$ something equivalent to $\tau \dot{\delta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \tau a$ Ko $\omega \omega \nu \dot{o} \nu$. Accord-
 a gloss, I would arrange the passage thus:
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arrives, blinded and exiled, an emblem of the deepest misery, at Attica: there he finds himself unexpectedly in the grove of the Semnæ, which the God foretold him was to be the goal of all his sufferings. Although the horror that seizes on all who hear his name is near causing his immediate expulsion from the country, yet he presently meets with compassion, and the hospitable reception proffered him is an act of so much the greater generosity, as the oracles, on the strength of which be promises the Athenians increased blessings from their reception of him, exhibit but dim predictions devoid of clear and definite meaning. The action is now rapidly unfolded; mighty by virtue of the salvation he is to impart after death, secure by virtue of Athens' hospitality, he repels all the violent importunity and mean intreaties with which he is assailed by Creon of Thebes and his own son Polynices, in their efforts to secure for themselves the salvation expected from his grave. Released even in his life-time from the throng of human passions, he triumphs over those who with avaricious eagerness are bent upon winning him over to themselves, and with sublime composure and enthusiasm welcomes death, thenceforth to assume the character of a mysteriously operating divinity, producing never-failing weal to the country in which he had obtained rest and reconciliation with the Erinnyes. Thus is this tragedy the triumph of misery and suffering over human strength and arrogance, a transformation of what in human estimation seems lamentable and piteous into godlike sublimity, a mystic transfiguration of death; a tragedy wherein, moreover, every one who has any feeling for the language of the heart will recognise in many legible characters, not haply a tale foreign to the Poet, but his own
the earlier ones, since he is said to have written 32 dramas in 28 years, up to the Antigone, and 81 dramas in 34 years, after the Antigone. Arguments drawn from the form are often employed in the present day with too great confidence, as though they rested on a physical necessity.
feetings' at a period of life when he experienced much that was painful and vexatious from his immediate kindred, and wes.looking forward to death as a longed-for time of rest. True it is, that the composition of this tragedy deviates widely from that of all the rest, the solution, so to speak, not being at the conclusion, but pervading the whole, nearly as in the leat piece of an Wschylean trilogy; yet the CEdipus at Colonus is nevertheless, by virtue of the dramatic expression of morally-religious ideas, - not from the merely accessory political and patriotic allusions,-a Tragedy in the highest sense of the word ${ }^{1}$.
85. Thus the Demeter-Erinnys has again received her vietim CEdipus to her bosom : but more severe is the doom of Thebes, the city once so beloved of Gods. Against it the Goddess conducts "Ǻpaotos, the Inevitable, a male personification of Adrastea-Nemesis, to whom Adrastus is said to have erected several temples. He rides the terrible Thelpusaic steed Arion², in whose name Ares the father of the Dragon re-appears. This Arion is quite a symbolic creature connected with the cultus of the Tilphossian or Thelpusaic Demeter'. The genuine popular legend of Demeter-Erinnys herself, as Peseidon's forced bride, having given birth to it was gathered by Pausanias in Arcadian Thelpusa. The Iliad touches on this legend with its wonted delicacy (xxiII, 346); the Thebaid, which was composed not long after the Homeric age, makes Poseidon and Erinnys the parents of Arion at the Bootian fountain Tilphossa. Later writers mostly endeavour to soften

1. Cf. 9.97. The mysticism occurring in the OEdipus of Eschylus (Eustatius on Arist. Eth. Nicom. III. 2.) probably had reference to Demeter-Erinnys, who is perhape there made more clearly prominent than in the Eumenides.
2. Antimachus in Paus. ViII. 25, 3, 4, and Schol. in Aristoph. Comœed. Ed. Dind. Vol. III. p. 418.
3. Adrastus, who had a 'Hpwoy at Colonus, was said to have drawn up his horses
 viri. 25, 5); and that is the reason, perhaps, why Colonus is called in the old oracle

down the harshness and singularity of this legend, and ascribe the birth of Arion either to Demeter under the assumed form of an Erinnys', or to Earth, occupying in mystic legends ,the place of Demeter ${ }^{2}$, or to one of the Erinnyes ${ }^{3}$. Arion.is calied, by the same epithet as Poseidon himself, a black-maned horse' ; whence also Adrastus himself was called.Kyanippus, an appellation early converted by Mythology, after its usual fashion, into a son of Adrastus. He is the fleetest of all steeds, and therefore must naturally be victorious in. every race, 'as in the ál $\gamma \omega \nu$ celebrated by Adrastus and the Argives previous to the expedition in honour of , the righteouslydispensing Jove (the Némeios Zeis). Adrastus, the inevitable Avenger, mounted on this black-maned and, fleetest of steeds and heading the expedition of the Argive army against sinful Thebes in the name and by the mandate of the guardian Goddess of Thebes, now appearing in the character of an Erinnys, is a picture of primeval antiquity drawn by a bold and grand fancy; whereas the Iliad and Odyssee are evidently the far later fruits of a much more gentle and. tempered spirit.

This time, however, vengeance does not overtake the transgressors at Thebes; possibly because, as Aschylus represents it, the assailants themselves are heaven-storming boasters, and that Nemesis is sure to follow crime, though usually late; or because, as Euripides recounts unquestionably from very ancient tradition, the youth Menœceus ${ }^{5}$ gave himself up a voluntary sacrifice to the Dragon, in whom the anger of Demeter-Erinnys was revived. Here we learn, that at the walls of Thebes there was a temple sacred to the Dragon, having in it a deep cavern said to have been the dragon's

1. Apollodorus, with Tzetzes. 2. Antimachus. 3. Hesych. s. v. 'Apeicov.
2. 'Apcicon кuavo aitns in Hes. Shield 120, and in the Thebaid.
3. Mevockeús is the Self-sacrificer, the Home-stayer, in opposition to those who avoid sacrifice by flight, in the Laphystian_cultus. Cf. $\S .55$.
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Hence in later times there are only isolated traces to be found of their original identity. For instance, at Phlya, an Attic borough with ancient temples to the divinities of Earth, we find that Demeter the beneficent, Zeus the God of possession, Athena Tithrone, Cora the first-born, and the Semnæ were worshipped in conjunction. Moreover, in the sanctuary of the Semnæ at Athens the statues of these divinities were placed with those of Pluto, Hermes, and Earth, (a fact attested by Pausanias); so that here, if we take the Semne for Demeter and Cora, the usual circle of the Chthonic deities is complete. At Potniæ in the Theban territory, besides Demeter and Cora, who are pre-eminently called חór vicas (although Erinnys is also addressed by that title, Eum. 911), the Potniades were worshipped ${ }^{1}$; but that is the designation given by Euripides to the Erinnyes ${ }^{2}$. Moreover in the sacrificial and religious rites of the Potniæ-to give that title to the Eleusinian deities-and of the Semnæ in Attica there are several very striking points of coincidence; for instance, the Narcissus, the funeral-flower consecrated to Demeter and Cora ${ }^{3}$, forms also the garland of the Eumenides ${ }^{4}$, the granddaughters of Phorcys; and again, at Athens the Erinnyes were clothed in blood-red garments (Eum.982), and so also at Syracuse Demeter and Cora, as Thesmophorian Goddesses, wore purple robes, which were put on by persons about to take some dreadful oath ${ }^{5}$. From the above combinations I think there can be no doubt that—although indeed of itself the Erinnys, that feeling of deep affront, is of a divine nature-the Erinnyes

1. Paus. IX. 8, 1. Porson.
2. Orest. 312. Euripides however calls the Erinnyes specially Potniades, as mad and maddening Goddesses, and in that sense the expression is frequently used by the Tragedians. This I explain from the circumstance of their having possession of the Potnian Fountain, the waters of which caused phrenzy. It is mentioned by Pausanias, and was probably celebrated in the Glaucus Potnieus.
3. Creuzer ad Plotin. de pulcr. Præpar. p. 48.
4. According to Euphorion; supr. §. 83.
5. Plut. Dio. 58. Ebert. $\Sigma$ ıкє $\lambda_{\imath} \omega_{0} \nu$, p. 32.

Grst acquired a noted and extensive cultus, and altogether more reality and personality, from the conception of both the great terrestrial and infernal deities, from whom come life and prosperity as well as ruin and death to mankind, as offended and angry Beings, wherever mortal deeds have violated those sacred and eternal laws of nature.
87. The circumstance also of the Erinnyes being regarded, after the appeasement of their wrath, as benevolent, bountiful deities, cannot be perfectly understood except in this view. The name of Eumenides, implying the above, was strictly speaking native at Sicyon ${ }^{6}$, not at Athens, where under the title of Semna was comprised the collective being of those Goddesses. Hence it is that Eschylus, who emphatically calls them $\sigma \in \mu v a i^{7}$, never mentions the name of Eumenides so closely bearing on his subject, but particularly delights in designating the mild aspect of the Goddesses by the epithet cúdpoves', whilst succeeding Tragedians prefer uaing the title of Eumenides, which had in the meantime become more familiar, and is applied by Sophocles as a customary one to the Goddesses of Colonus'. This title of Eumenides, therefore, as well as that of "the White Goddesses," usual in Arcadia, ( $(.62)$, is not, we may venture to assert, udequately explained by the meaning of the Erinnyes as above
6. Paus. 11. 11, 4. with Siebelis Note 7. v. 361. eapeaially 808.
a. Fv. 946. 884.
0. The Grammarians (Harpocration, Photiun, Suidas, besides the argument to the Eemenider) state the purport of the Rechylean tragedy to be the metamorphosis of the Etanyes into Eumenides. On this account an hiatus has been supposed after v. 982, and in this pasage it is thought Minerva gave the Erinnyes the appellation of Eu. manides. Of sach an hiatus however these is no trace, and the insertion of the sam peopoced would destroy the whole sense of the pessage. But atill lees is it eredible that Rechylue, who so frequently made use of the name of Erinnyea, abould have chunsed mentioning that of the Eumenides out of religious awe (Reigig Enarr. OSA Cal. p. 35. de part dy p. 124). After what has been said above, ought it not to be conadidered a matter of doubl, whecher Aeschylus himself gave the title of EYME. NIAES to this third play of the Oremtea, eapecially as the Chorub, from which the phy etill bears its eame, retalns the mask of the Erinnyes to the very conclusion (944), ad wan not as has been supposed, matamorphosed externally into Eumenidea.
developed; the curse of offended parents, or its equivalent, being in no way convertible by the removal of it into a benign, bountiful deity. For a satisfactory explanation of the term we must go back to the fundamental ideas in the Chthonic cultus, which represent death and ruin, as well as life and welfare, emanating from one and the same source.

The transmutation of the Erinnyes into Eumenides formed in Greece an essential appurtenance to the legend of Orestes. The persecution of Orestes from country to country by his Mother's Erinnyes, in the place of human vengeance, was no invention of Poet or Priest, but a Greek national tradition, which migrated betimes along with the cultus of the Erinnyes ( $(.62)$, and could scarcely have been unknown even to Homer ${ }^{1}$. Just in the same way the metamorphosis of the Erinnyes into Eumenides was attached in the national legend, the existence of which among the Mycenæans themselves we have already shewn ( ( .62 ), to the liberation of Orestes from bloodguiltiness; the deity who had persecuted Orestes now becomes a bounteous Being to him, and he himself is made a kind of Saint, so to speak, like OEdipus (§. 83). Eschylus has passed over in silence this reconciliation of Orestes with the Erinnyes. He contents himself with rescuing him from their power by the sentence of acquittal passed by the Areopagus, although in reality it was customary for propitiatory sacrifices to follow upon that acquittal (§. 67). Æschylus, however, does not let the wrath of the Erinnyes reach its highest pitch till after this sentence, and educes the mild nature of those divinities

1. From Od. III. 306 the incorrect inference has been drawn, that the Poet was not acquainted with the legend of the persecution of Orestes by the Erinnyes. In that passage the tale, in compliance with the enquiry of Telemachas, is continued by Nestor only down to the arrival of Menelaus at the funeral feast of ※gisthus and Clytmmnestra. Now it was at this very funeral banquet, at the nocturnal collection of the bones from the ashes of the Rogus, that the Erinnyes fell on Orestes, according to the relation of Euripides (Or. 40. 398), which is apparently derived from very ancient sources. Owing to the loss of so many old epic poems, Stesichorus (Schol. Eur. Or. 268.) is the earliest authority for the persecution of Orestes by the Erinnyes.
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were regarded also as Marriage-deities, and were invoked for the blessing of children ${ }^{1}$. At the same time they promise to prevent diminution and loss of population by civil factions and riots. But in order that all-this may not be regarded as a mere flourish of words and pious wishes, Pallas herself repeatedly ascribes to the Erinnyes an actual power over these matters (855.912) ${ }^{2}$, and expresses it as her anticipation, that on their descent into their sacred cavern they will withhold the harmful beneath the earth and send up the wholesome and profitable (961).

As this latter part of the Poem has especial reference to the Temple of the Semnæ at Athens, it will be requisite, for the understanding of it, to make a few observations on the locality and religious rites of that sanctuary.

## c. The Religious Service of the Semnae at Athens.

89. Athens was regarded in Greece as the proper seat of the service of the venerable Goddesses ${ }^{3}$; and in fact it seems nowhere to have attained such publicity of reputation and to have been so intimately incorporated with political institutions. One side of their Temple rested on the base of the hill of Ares ${ }^{4}$, whose cultus stood in close union with that of the Erinnyes ( $(.67$ ); a union also manifested in the Theban legend of Ares, as the husband of DemeterErinnys ( $(.81$ ), and no doubt founded on the earliest history of the Grecian cultus. The other side lay towards the more accessible quarter of the Acropolis, as is evident from the accounts of the massacre of the Cylonic conspi-
90. 799 and Schol. cf. Choeph. 480.
91. The expression $\begin{gathered}\text { en } \\ \text { \& }\end{gathered}$ a persevering agency, like that ascribed to Moipa, quiet in its operation but taking effect in due season: the same as крaivecv, to resolve upon and carry an object.
 oracles being withal decrees of Fate, one may say $\pi v \theta_{o ́ \kappa} \rho \alpha \nu \tau a$ (Agam. 1228.) for шиӨó $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \alpha$.
92. Dio Cass. Lxili. 14.
93. Meursius, Arcopag. c. 11 .
rators at the altars of the Semnæ in their descent from the citadel. Hence Eschylus is enabled to place the Temple of the Semnæ, near the palace of Erechtheus ( $\pi \rho o^{\prime}$ do'- $^{-}$ mos 'Epex ${ }^{\text {écus, } 8 \mathbf{~ 8 7} 7 \text { ), an expression by which he designates }}$ the whole Acropolis tenanted by the earliest kings of Athens.

Besides the low hearths or fire-places ( $\epsilon \sigma \chi \alpha^{\prime} \rho a \iota s, 773$. cf. 108.) in this Temple, there was a chasm ${ }^{5}$ like that at Colonus, through which the Goddesses are said to have returned, after the trial of Orestes, to their subterranean abode. In all probability there were also carved wooden images of the Erinnyes here. It was for them the purple robes were designed, which were consecrated to them upon the institution of their cultus by Minerva (v. 982. cf. §. 86). In after times there was seen here ${ }^{6}$ a statue of an Erinnys by Calamis, the contemporary of Phidias, in conjunction with two others by Scopas, an artist of the next generation. On this ground Polemo asserted there were at Athens three statues of the Semnæ; Phylarchus, however, who only took those by Scopas into account, spoke but of two ${ }^{7}$. According to Pausanias there was nothing of the terrific in these statues, but still they may have exhibited that mixture of pleasure and horror so profoundly expressed in the so-called Rondaninian head of Medusa.

The cultus of the Semnæ was held by the State in such high honour, that especial managers were appointed to superintend the sacrifices (Ifporotor), nominated from the Athenian people by the Areopagites, as presidents of that service ${ }^{8}$. At the processions and sacrifices in honour of the Semnæ the family of the Hesychida took. the lead, their name, " the quiet ones", implying the great solemnity and stillness ( $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \phi \eta \mu i a$ ) obliged to be observed throughout

c. See Osann in the Annali dell' Instit. di Corr. arch. 1830, p. 149.
7. Schol. OEd. Col. 39.
s. Ulpian on Demosth. c. Mid. p. 552. R.
the service of the awful Goddesses ${ }^{1}$; for the invention of a hero Hesychus for their mythic progenitor, whose shapel was situated in the vicinity of the Temple of the Semnæ, near the Pelasgian wall of the Acropolis, does not detract from the real meaning of the name ${ }^{2}$. Wischylus nakes no mention of these Hesychidæ: he puts the Areopagites at the head of the procession (964), and they are oined by the female attendants who have the care of the old image of Pallas (978). It is remarkable that we find jere, as in the service at Phlya, the combination of the ıwful Goddesses with Minerva; whether it be founded in old systems of deities, or be attributable merely to the en. leavours of the Athenians to make their tutelary Goddess the protectress of other adventitious services. This numerous train of attendants is accompanied by other women, ts well as maidens and aged matrons (981). The omission of males has been objected against, but without good reason, for in this cultus females were especially employed. Thus Callimachus, in a celebrated epigram ${ }^{3}$, designates the females in the family of the Hesychidæ as those who, in the capacity of public Priestesses, offered to the Eumenides their wineless libations and sacrifices ${ }^{4}$. The sacrifices were sometimes at least performed in the night-time (108); the Erinnyes themselves are children of night: on that account the procession was by torch-light, and the torches were probably thrown together at the conclusion into the cavern; and to this action the words of Pallas probably allude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{\epsilon} \mu \psi \omega \tau \epsilon \cdot \phi \in ́ \gamma \gamma \eta \lambda a \mu \pi a ́ \delta \omega \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi o ́ \rho \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Eum. 988. ©Ed. C. 129. 489. Schol.
2. Cf. C. L. Bossler, de gent. et famil. Att. sacerdot. p. 17.
3. Schol. © d. C. 489.
4. Yet according to Philo, quod omn. prob. liber, §. 20 , both males and females but only free persons) were employed at this procession.
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## d. Aschylus's Conception of the Erinnyes, and their external Appearance.

90. Such were the impressions, derived partly from the reading of the old Poets, and partly from the contemplation of the religious ceremonies in the Temples of the Erinnyes, which determined Eschylus's peculiar view and conceptions of those beings; they were modified however by the sentiments and belief held by Eschylus. With Demeter and Cora the Erinnyes could no longer appear to the Poet identical, since the former belong to the family of Jupiter, whilst the latter had been already appropriated by the earlier poetry to the old Titanian world: this contrast between the old Titanian empire and the Olympian Gods had been long since established by poetry, and had quite passed over into the intellectual life of the Greeks. At the same time the conception of Demeter and Cora had been moulded into such a mild and humane form, that people shunned with extreme caution all mention of a De-meter-Erinnys except in the mysteries, whilst very numerous titles celebrated the graciousness and benignity of the Mother-Goddess.

The contrast between the elder and younger race of Gods, although not deeply rooted in the Grecian cultus, was nevertheless a notion which at that time had attained the highest significance. It rests mainly, as expressed in the contemporaries of Eschylus and in the Poet himself, on the connexion between an absolute natural necessity and a free agency. As heaven and earth and sun and moon, which also belong to the old race of Gods, manifest their agency in eternal and immutable duration, without intermission and with universal equability, so are the Erinnyes also to be regarded as a natural law of the moral world: without regard to the particular circumstances of the act,
without respect to person and situation, they fasten upon him who has torn asunder the sacred bonds of consanguinity by the commission of an outrage like that of Orestes. This mode of comprehending the Erinnyes, as it were the dark aspect of Themis, was quite in the spirit of that speculative theology in which the genius of Ætschylus was so colossal. By the Erinnyes, said Pythagoras, the impure souls, separated from the pure ones, were kept in indissoluble bonds ${ }^{1}$; and if the very sun were to leave his course, said Heraclitus, the Erinnyes, the confederates of justice, would know where to find him.; for Plato tells us it is in accordance with Themis for the sun to pursue his allotted path. In answer to the question of the Oceanides, Tís oivv ávárкทs é $\sigma \tau i v$
 moves $\tau^{\prime}$ 'Epuvies ( $\mathbf{~} .514 \mathrm{sq}$.) Here, as also in the Eumenides (361) and in Sophocles (Aj. 1390), it is noticed as especially characteristic of the Erinnyes, that they suffer neither the laws which they maintain, nor the outrages by which they are violated, to vanish from their memory, and accordingly they visit the sins of the fathers even on the children and grandchildren (Eum. 894 et al.) But if in human life the Erinnys manifests itself particularly in the conscience, and consequently, according to the temper of different individuals, must needs assume an individual form, still the ancients, in their hereditary mode of contemplation, conceive this evil conscience merely as a symptom of the power of the Erinnys, and regard the Erinnys herself as an exterior divinity of universal agency. The Olympian Gods on the contrary, related from the very first to the tribes of Greece, the protectors of divers cities and possessors of large Temples, manifoldly interwoven with a complicated history, have so many motives for favour and disfavour, inclination and aversion, and in their whole

[^36]agency refer so much to specific individual circumstances, that they are incapable of representing those universal laws. These are manifested in them much the same as in human life, united with the most multifarious aims, which it is true are dependent on, but not produced by them. At the same time, from the very circumstance of their having a more individual, more human character, they have a nearer insight into specific circumstances; they inflect the stubborn rod of external justice, which like a physical law overtakes and smites the perpetrator, and apply it in a humane manner, according to the internal diversity of the deed. Hence with Etschylus it is their office to make ordinances and institutions, such as the Apollinic purifications and the court of Areopagus, which have the effect in certain cases of averting the naturally inexorable and irrespective Erinnys. Even argumenta ad hominem; many of which are addressed by Apollo to Minerva (such as "i I will always further the interests of thy city," \&c.) do not appear to Eschylus at all repugnant to the nature of those Gods. But the compromise which the Erinnyes make of their resentment for the institution of their cultus is quite another matter; their cultus is a token, a pledge of the further exercise of their rights upon earth. Thus in fact Eschylus every where maintains this contrast, and he applies it not merely in this tragedy, but throughout, with that consistency which he observes on every occasion.
91. But with all this it is Eschylus's conviction, that the conflict between those ancient orders and the powers that sway the present world is merely transient-existing for a certain epoch, a crisis preparatory only to a higher development. With him the world of Olympian Gods is in perfect unison with the original powers, and, as it were, nothing more than an improvement upon them. If the Erinnyes in their anger charge the Olympian Gods with
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lus notices this) to her sister Phœbe; but Phœbe bestowed the oracular seat as a birth-day present ( $\gamma \in \nu^{\prime} \theta \lambda \iota o \nu \delta_{o ́ \sigma \iota \nu}{ }^{1}$ ) on her grandson Apollo, who in consequence took the surname of Phœebus: and Phœbus now takes possession of.it, setting out from his native Delian lake, (the $\lambda^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta$ т $\rho о \chi^{\prime}$ $\epsilon \sigma \sigma a)$, and, after voluntary homage paid him by the inhabitants and ruler of the country, is formally inducted into possession by an escort of Athenians ${ }^{2}$, who gloried in having been the first to pave the sacred way to Pytho by felling the forests and hewing out the rocky paths.
92. Now in the same way as Asschylus deviates here from the legends which supposed violent revolutions in the succession of the Delphic deities, so likewise with regard to the origin of the Erinnyes, he departs from the profound old legend, which makes them perpetuate the crime committed by Cronus upon his primogenitor Uranus, in order to avoid the necessity of setting down for an everlasting enmity the conflict between the old and new Gods, of which he otherwise makes such frequent mention. He contents himself with calling the Erinnyes, without mentioning their father, Daughters of Night ${ }^{3}$, a Goddess at once terrible and mild ${ }^{4}$, as the Erinnyes become in this drama ${ }^{5}$. This genealogy obviously answered Eschylus's views and poetical aims better than any other of the existing ones, which derived the Erinnyes from Scotus and Gaia (Sophocles),

1. Гevé $\theta \lambda_{\iota}$, dies natalis, lustricus, was in general the eighth day for girls, and the ninth for boys. On this day they were carried round the hearth ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \phi \iota \delta \rho o ́ \mu t a)$, and so received a kind of fire-baptism : the children were shewn on the occasion to the elder relatives, and received presents wrapped up, called $\dot{\delta} \pi \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \alpha$, here $\gamma \in \nu \in \varepsilon^{\prime}-$ $\theta \lambda \iota o s ~ \delta o ́ \sigma \iota s$; at the same time the child was named, after the grandfather in preference. Sometimes, however, the Amphidromia appear distinct from the Genethlia. See the Interpp. a. l., ad Callim. Hymn. in Dian. 74, ad Pers. ir. 32. Phœebus's name was a $\mu a \mu \mu \omega \nu \nu \mu \kappa \kappa \delta \nu$. Schol. Il. 1. 43. Hesiod in Etym. M. p. 796.
2. 'Hфaívoov maîठs (13) denotes the Athenians as descended from Erichthonius.

3. vv. 312. 394. 715. 760. 808. 987.
4. фi入ia Agam. 346. (onsequently єùф $\rho o ́ v \eta$.
from Cronus and Eurynome (in a work under the name of Epimenides), from Phorcys (Euphorion), from Gaia-Euonyme (Istrus), from Acheron and Night (Eudemus), from Hades and Proserpine (Orphic Hymns), or Hades and Styx (Athenodorus and Mnaseas ${ }^{6}$ ). By the genealogy he has adopted our Poet brings the Erinnyes into near connexion with the Moirce, who, to his way of thinking, partake with Jove of the highest dignity, the Moiræ being represented also in Hesiod's Theogony as Daughters of Night, and consequently invoked by the Erinnyes as their sisters by the mother's side ${ }^{7}$.
5. The external representation of the Goddesses is founded entirely on the fearful aspect of their ideal nature, so that even Pallas is constrained to notice the contrast between their benedictions and their hideous countenances (944). In the exterior configuration of the Erinnyes Eschylus seems to have drawn a good deal on his invention; for the earlier Poets had no definite image of these Goddesses before their eyes; and though there were in the Temple at Athens old carved images of the Semnæ, still their figures could not be adapted for dramatic purposes. And hence it is that the Pythian Priestess, after having beheld the Erinnyes, is only able to describe their forms, without being aware of
6. On these genealogies see particularly Schol. Ed. C. 42. Tzetz. Lycophr. 406. Schal. Bschin. in Timarch. p. 747. R. Apulei. de Orthogr. §. 11, p. 6. Osann. Orphic Poems place Phorcys among the Titans, and represent Eurynome as ruling with Ophioneus before Cronus.
7. This rests upon an explanation of the passage, v. 919 sqq., which assumes that it is the Moiræ, and not the Horæ, who are there spoken of. The latter do not suit the passage at all, and none but the former can fitly be called $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$
 culous; Fschylus puts it for каб $\boldsymbol{\kappa \nu \nu i j a \iota ~} \dot{o} \mu о \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o c$. Still more absurd would it be for the Erinnyes to apply that term to other Goddesses, as thus: 'Ye Goddesses, whose Aunts the Moire are.' On these considerations is grounded the constitution of the text above assumed; the words кúpi' $\bar{\epsilon} \chi \bar{\chi} \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \nu \alpha \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ are to be taken as a general designation, and Moipaı $\mu \alpha \tau \rho o \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \eta \bar{\tau} \alpha \iota$ as a special address to the Moire. With respect to this passage I cannot agree with Klausen, Theologumena Rech. p. 45, although in other points the exposition here given has much in common with Klausen's.
the nature of the beings she had seen. Aschylus drew the form he gave to their mask partly from internal features stamped in the character of those deities, and partly from external analogy. To the latter the Pythoness herself draws our attention in the Prologue; and her expressions are obviously intended to prepare us for the appearance of the Furies, and to account in a manner for their figures. She first of all compares them to Gorgon-images, which were among the very earliest works of Grecian, especially of Achenan art, which can be traced as far back as the age of Cyclopian workmanship. From the Gorgons Aeschylus borrowed the snaky hair of the Erinnyes, before mentioned in the Choephorœ (1045), and frequently set down as the invenion of our Poet: but the Gorgons have it much earlier in works of art. Moreover, Eschylus took from the Gorgons no doubt the pendent tongue and grinning mouth, which regularly characterise the Gorgon-head in ancient works of art. We shall see what significance he gave these features in the case of the Erinnyes. But even the comparison with the Gorgons does not fully satisfy the Pythoness; she adds, "I once saw in a picture the plunderers of Phineus' meal." Here she again bethinks herself of a work of art, and in mentally recalling the sight of it she does not immediately add the name of the Harpies, which every one of the audience could supply of himself. The supposition of a middle species, a sort of Gorgon-Harpies ${ }^{1}$, a species totally unknown to all antiquity, appears to me an utterly unfounded idea. Without entering into the investigations of modern Archæology on the figure of the Harpies, I will merely remark here, that one of the vase-paintings in Millingen ${ }^{2}$ exhibits the scene exactly as $巴$ aeschylus saw it; the Harpies are there represented as old, hideous, winged female figures, dragging
[^37]
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itself. And herein the reader will do well to yield himself up entirely to the play, without applying to it the ideas of plastic art, those namely of the vase-paintings, the painters of which had rather an eye to the speeding, winged, torchbearing Erinnyes of Euripides and the later Poets, than to the picture of them drawn by Wschylus ${ }^{1}$.
B. JUPITER SOTER.
94. In contradistinction from the Erinnyes, as Titanian powers exercising an external law of the moral world with the strictness of a law of nature, subsist, as we have seen, the Olympian Deities as nearer and more intimately allied to mankind, and consequently more disposed to sympathise with their cares, their troubles, and afflictions. In our Drama we have Apollo and Minerva, both of whom establish and protect the order of human society; Apollo by his surveillance over the fulfilment of vengeance upon blood-guiltiness, and by his protection of the guiltless manslayer ; Minerva in her capacity of a power presiding over well-ordered civil life, public assemblies, and the Athenian Judicature. By her wise management of the case Minerva effects a double object; first the rescue of Orestes, and then the conciliation of the Erinnyes to herself and her country. The latter is represented to be the result of her eloquence and gift of persuasion, the work of Peitho ${ }^{2}$ (928); herein too, she says, hath Zeus Agoreus, the Guardian of Assemblies, the Superintendent of Public Transactions, come off victorious (931). And yet Eschylus must have deemed these deities, marked

1. Cf. Böttiger, and Raoul-Rochette, Monum. Ined. T. I. p. 145.
2. Peitho was not merely $\Pi$ Ípedoos of Aphrodite, but at Athens a political Goddess as well. Demosth. Proam. p. 1460.

By 80 much human idiosyncrasy, so universally interposing in the concerns of sublunary life, and so intimately mixed up with the proceedings of civil society, to be therefore inadequate, great and wise though they be, to terminate the conflict with those primordial powers. Throughout the Orestea he exhibits dimly and mysteriously in the background, but with all the more poetical effect on that very account, the idea of Zeus Soter, the Third, as the power that pervades the universe, and conducts the course of things, gently indeed, but eventually to the best possible issue.

Even in the Agamemnon (1360), Clytæmnestra, who in her blind delusion fancies she has secured her own welfare by the murder of her husband, calls the death-blow (inflicted in the third stroke) a service consecrated to subterranean Hades, the Soter of the dead: it is only to the God of death, she thinks, that Agamemnon has to look for succour. On the other hand, at the very commencement of the Choephorce, Orestes prays at his father's tomb that Hermes may have such a share in the power of his father, the Soter Jove, as to become a Soter to him in his undertaking ${ }^{3}$; praying thus in the expectation that he shall be aided in the accomplishment of his vengeance by the infernal deities who are injured by Clytæmnestra, but above all by the manes of his father out of the tomb. Subsequently, in the scene of recognition between Electra and Orestes (248), the sister expresses her wish that Power (К ${ }^{\prime}$ átos) and Justice ( $\Delta^{i} \times \eta$ ), together with the third, the supreme Jove, may aid their plans of revenge upon Clytæmnestra (242).
s. This indeed is at variance with the apparently authentic interpretation of the pasage, which Aristophanes makes Eschylus himself give in the Frogs, 1144. According to that interpretation Hermes received the office of Chthonius as a matpièov $\gamma_{i} \rho a r$, an hereditary office. On the contrary, we make this the connexion: ${ }^{' E \rho \mu} \bar{\eta}$
 appropriate idea, and withal one of great importance for the whole of the Tragedy. We appeal from the Aristophanic manes of Æeschylus to the spirit of the ancient hero still breathing in his tragedics.

And after the accomplishment of the deed the Chorus says; at the conclusion of the play, that after the heinous crime of Atreus and the murder of Agamemnon a third is now come as Soter, unless it ought to be called ruin ( $\mu \mathbf{o} \rho \mathbf{\rho o v}$ ); the Chorus itself being doubtful whether the series of calamities be ended or not by this deed of vengeance. Lastly, in the Eumenides, Orestes after his acquittal says (798-781), that his paternal house and home are at length restored to him by the gracious interference of Pallas and Apollo, and of that third, the finisher of all things, the Soter, who compassionately supported the father's rights against the mother's advocates, the Erinnyes, and thereby rescued a father's avenger and mother's slayer. With these allusions must be compared the references to Jove the consummator, rédecos, especially the invocation to him at the conclusion of the prayer of the Pythoness in the opening scene of the Eumenides.
95. The conception and the cultus of Jupiter Soter, as the third, was widely diffused through Greece. Among the convivial customs of the Greeks nothing is more familiar than their three solemn draughts after meals, the first consecrated to Olympian Jove, or Jupiter as the husband of Juno, the second to the Earth and Heroes, the third to Jupiter Soter ${ }^{1}$. In this ceremony the Olympian Gods are placed in opposition to the Chthonic genii, the divinities of death and the dark side of nature, in which class the heroes are also reckoned; but Zeus Soter is conceived as a third and lord over both worlds. Precisely in the same way Eschylus makes the suppliant Danaids (24) pray to the Gods above, to the venerable Chthonic deities presiding over the grave, and to Zeus Soter the third, as guardian of the families of righteous men. At the third draught

1. Passages are to be found in Athen. 1. p. 29 b. II. p. 38 d. xv. 675 c. 692. sq. Schol. Pind. Isthm, 5.7. Spanheim on Aristoph. Plut. 1176.
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was sacred to him, and that the Disoteria were performed on that day ${ }^{1}$, when we consider that the three last days but one of the month (the $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \tau \eta, \tau \rho i \tau \eta$, and $\delta \in v \tau e ́ \rho a)$ were consecrated to the dead and the lower world, and on that account assigned for the execution of criminals condemned for murder ${ }^{2}$. From this it is evident that in the Attic system of Religion also, after the propitiation of adverse powers and the atonement of the particular transgressions, Zeus Soter interposes in the character of a consummating Saviour-God, in whom the opposition between the serene Gods of the world above and the gloomy powers of the realms below is equalized and tempered down into a satisfactory and calm conception of the universe ${ }^{3}$.

We have said sufficient in this place for the comprehension of Eschylus's intimations on this remarkable service. Over the conflicting powers of darkness and of light, the vindictive and the conciliatory, stands Zeus Soter in the character of the God who conducts every thing to a good issue and universally, as the third and Finisher, either adjusts the difference between two others, or completes what two others have begun. On no occasion does this Zeus exert his influence directly, like Apollo, Minerva, and the Erinnyes; but, whereas Apollo is prophet and exegetes by virtue of wisdom derived from him and Minerva is indebted to him for her sway over States and Assemblies,-nay, the very Erinnyes exercise their function in his name ${ }^{4}$,-this Zeus stands always in the back-ground, and has in reality

1. Lysias c. Euandr. §. 6. Corp. Inscript. 157. T. I. p. 252.
2. Etymol. M. p. 131. Gudian p. 70, and other Lexicogr. s. v. ámodpádes.
3. It was from the same association of ideas that the Generals at Arginusa, previous to the hazardous engagement, made vows to the Semnæ and Zeus Soter as well as to Apollo, the performance of which was recommended to the people by one of them, Diomedon, previous to his execution. Diodor. xiri. 102.
4. This is the sense of the passage v. 340. Cf. Klausen Theologum. Esch. p. 166 sq. and pp. 39. 66. The effect of the obscurely-intimating $\tau$ is on the Greeks is particularly evident in Pindar OI. II. 59.
only to settle a conflict existing within himself. For with Wschylus, as with all men of profound feeling among the Greeks from the earliest times, Jupiter is the only real God in the higher sense of the word. Although he is in the spirit of ancient Theology a generated God, arisen out of an imperfect state of things and not produced till the third stage of the development of nature ${ }^{5}$, still he is, at the time we are speaking of, the spirit that pervades and governs the universe. But with that genuine childlike simplicity which is not bewildered even by this conception of an universal God, nor deterred from a cordial approach to him, 一a cordiality which is the most beautiful characteristic of the ancient Religion,—世schylus conceives this omnipotent God withal quite in human-wise as a paternal God and therefore pre-eminently the guardian of paternal rights, and views in that circumstance an important and decisive motive for Jupiter's determination to rescue Orestes, as one that held the father and master of the household of higher account than the mother.

We 'will say no more here on this point, and purposely abstain from bringing forward this train of ideas from its delicate adumbration in the distance into a stronger and clearer light, which by sharply defining every outline might easily give an air of distortion and falsity to what, when felt in the right way, is profound and true.
s. This is the idea contained in the passage in the Agam. 162 ff , where for ABreal I would propose to read APKEEAI. Uranus is now powerless, and Cronus too has found in Jove his toraктilip.

## IV. POETICAL COMPOSITION.

96. All the preceding discussions on the judicial, political, and religious life of the Greeks make us sensible of the preliminary notions and information requisite for a right comprehension of the drama of Eschylus. But still these expositions tend but very little to characterize the whole composition regarded as poetry, since all this might have been exhibited in a form perfectly different from tragedy.

Accordingly, in the following Essay we shall endeavour to characterize the tragedy more particularly by the train and comhination of feelings attending its production as well as its conception. And here we are far from disallowing the position that, as the Form of Poetry is words, and therefore ideas and thoughts, so the Poetry itself, as a whole, is a development of thoughts. Unity and harmony of feelings requires also unity of thoughts. Even the Iliad is held together by an ethic idea; only it is what we should expect from an age living entirely by sight and sense, that this same idea is never expressed in an abstract form; the poet, however, is guided by it, as a rule in his conception and feeling of things. In Pindar's age the Grecian mind was already far more accustomed to abstract reflection: without question Pindar has a way of intellectualizing the perceptions immediately before him, and drawing from them a satisfactory tone of feeling, and that is, by discovering in them the expression of an universal ethic rule or absolute law ${ }^{1}$. Exactly in the same way the Tragedians for the

1. It is scarcely necessary to remark that this is the principle on which Dissen proceeds in his interpretation of Pindar.
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multuous commotions of jubilant and painful feelings, and one which on that very account stands almost isolated in the Greek forms of worship,-that of Bacchus. The sufferings of Dionysus ${ }^{1}$ from adverse powers formed, as we learn from Herodotus's very valuable account of the Sicyonic choral tragedies, the subject of the earliest tragic play, probably first produced at the Bacchic winter-festival of the winepresses, the Trieterides, answering to the Attic Lensea. But as Dionysus emerges from his sufferings with renovated glory, so he likewise liberates the mind from its intoxication and bewilderment, and by the side of the Bacchic or revelling Dionysus is worshipped a Lysius, or liberator and tranquillizing God. In connexion with the Dionysian service there also existed from an early period a Catharsis, the meaniag of which is, as evinced in the God himself, the restoration of the mind from a state of tumult and extacy to one of composure and tranquillity. There were also, besides the strains which inspired Bacchanalian phrenzy, others of a directly opposite tendency, supposed to possess a purifying and refining virtue ${ }^{2}$.

Even that earliest form of tragedy, a Choral ode, sung at the commemoration of the sufferings of the God by the Chorus, transformed by the nature of the festival into the immediate train of Dionysus, was in this sense a Catharsis, inasmuch as it liberated the mind when distressed by pity and fear from the excess of those passions, and restored it to a state of tranquillity. But tragedy continued to be so in a refined sense, when the same lively sympathy was claimed for the sufferings of other heroes; for sufferings always formed the central point, and the principal actor

1. Tà $\Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma o \nu \pi \alpha^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$.
 effected by music and dancing; and Aristotle, who intended treating more at large of the кá $\theta a \rho \sigma \iota s$ in his work on Poetry, ascribes to the aú入ds a purifying as well as an orgiastic virtue. Polit. vini. 6. cf. 7.
was the principal sufferer. The life and vigour of representation flings the soul into a multitude of emotions, wishes and hopes, fear and hatred, compassion and grief, and these by their development one out of another in skilful successien, -not by the suppression of one emotion by arother, but by the elevation and enhancement of both,-become refined and purified by their mutual action and reaction, and do not disturb, but settle the equilibrium of the sonl. After vehement wishes for the success of individuals, and apprehension of dangers menacing this success, follows, mingled with intense admiration and exalted joy, the contemplation of insubvertible eternal powers emerging from apparent confusion with only the greater glory. We cannot designate this emotion in any other way than by calling it the finate of the Tragical play of feelings, and referring at the same time to the procedure of Eschylean trilogies. For the trilogies of 尿schylus are pervaded by a dignified solemnization of eternal ordinances and fitnesses; whereas Sophocles, in his new constitution of Tragedy, made it his aim to obtain from the visible course of things a foundation and confirmation for the moral feelings, apprehended under a more personal and certainly a more refined conception.
2. No language can adequately express the multiplicity of emotions, on the alternations and reciprocal play of which depends the enjoyment of a work of art: we can for the most part only exhibit and impart them by corresponding series of images. Still I will endeavour to manifest in some of the main points the train of emotions that alternate through the trilogy of $\mathbb{E}$ schylus, like variations in a fine piece of music.

The prevailing feelings in the opening scenes of the Agamemnon are victorious exultations, of a serene and magnificent kind. The author of a trilogy has this advantage over the author of a single tragedy, that he can commence
his. composition with emotions of a joyous and peaceful nature, and is not obliged to burry us forthwith into the tempest of passion. The line of beacon-fires shooting their light over from Ilium to Argos, the flames of the thankoffering on the civic altars, the gradual announcement of Troy's overthrow, and lastly the appearance of the great king himself with his trophy-bearers,-most of them occurrences striking the mind through the eye and therefore doubly impressive,_all these tend to maintain a succession of joyful and proud feelings. Along with these, however, a secret, but gradually more and more perceptible sensation of gloom begins to creep over us, and, like an internal ulcer under an external appearance of blooming health, keeps gnawing on, till at last it seizes on the whole frame. This turn is given especially to the reflections of the grave Chorus of old men in the opening Odes, whilst Agamemnon is kept entirely free from them to the very last finishing blow. The sacrifice of Iphigenia throws a shadow over the whole expedition against Troy; even in the fall of Troy the Chorus traces the marked agency of avenging Gods; and the Prince too, who has purchased victory only by the death of many of his subjects sacrificed to his ambition, labours under the resentment of the Erinnyes: at the same time the Chorus is unable to conceal its want of confidence in Clytæmnestra, and in an ominous Ode, the third, the Chorus speaks ostensibly indeed of Paris and Helen, but in such a way that our thoughts, which are already turned in this direction, necessarily recur continually to Egisthus and Clytæmnestra. The first series of emotions is now consummated in a splendid spectacle; Agamemnon is at length prevailed on by Clytæmnestra to walk upon costly purple carpets in his way from the chariot to the palace, and thus, innocent as he is himself of this parade of barbarian pride (as in Grecian judgment it would be esteemed), he is conspicuously ad-
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variance with their own feelings and conviction of the futility of such means : readily influenced by the determination of Electra, they offer the libations in a contrary sense, and in a short impassioned Ode express their grief at the murder of Agamemnon, and their dark presentiment of approaching retribution. The continuation of this dirge is interrupted by the recognition of Orestes, first from the lock of hair and the footsteps, and then from the appearance of Orestes himself; and now the brother and sister-' the old eagle's orphaned progeny'-unite with the Chorus around the tomb and invoke the aid of the deceased. This long концо's ${ }^{1}$ wears at first the character of a $\theta \rho \bar{\eta} v o s$; it opens with the feeling of helplessness, under which the children flee for succour to their father's grave, and hence expect protection and strength; they mourn the unworthy fate of Agamemnon, interred here not as victor in foreign parts, and still less as victor over his enemies at home. Hence that ardent thirst for vengeance in Orestes: yet he expresses no resolution of his own, but looks to Jupiter and the infernal deities for its execution; harrassed by conflicting feelings he even considers the possibility of conciliating his mother by submission, but immediately abandons this scheme as utterly futile. Then the Chorus carries on the train of thoughts with more of reflection than of passion, and Electra replies to it ${ }^{2}$ with narratives detached by their metre from the rest of the

1. The concise view of the Commos here given is based upon the instructive Essay by Abrers de causis quibusdam /Eschyli nondum satis emendati.
2. The very unusual antistrophic mode of dialogue between the Chorus and one of the dramatis personæ is easily accounted for in this instance: the parties meet in the same way as dramatis personæ in the regular dialogue. Electra and the Hegemon, or some other central member of the Chorus must be imagined to press in upon Orestes from either side. On the other hand, the responsive singing of Choreute between one another always rests upon the relation between the right and left side of the Chorus, analogous to the relative positions of the Hemichoria. This may best be gathered from Soph. Aj. 866 sqq. So in the second Commos of the ©Edipus Coloneus, first of all one person, in answer to OEdipus, advances towards him and then withdraws, next two members of the Chorus on
dirge and calculated to complete the first tragedy of the trilogy, the scope of which did not admit of their introduction in that place. For instance, we are now informed for the first time that at Agamemnon's burial no Argive citizen, but only the train of Trojan female slaves was allowed to follow : that on that occasion the funeral mourning was conducted by them in the Asiatic style, and in their presence the expiatory rite of cutting the extremities from the corpse ${ }^{3}$ was performed by Clytæmnestra, whilst Electra, the rightful conductress of the funeral procession, was scandalously'debarred and excluded from the privilege. These representations act most powerfully on Orestes; he instantly declares his determination either to have vengeance or to die in the attempt, and in conjunction with Electra and the Chorus prays for aid from the grave towards the execution of the deed, which the Chorus, concluding the whole in conformity with the rules of art, views as a necessary result of the old family destiny. Thus this elegy in lyric form is the foundation of the Orestean act of vengeance; the details and crafty mode of carrying the act into execution are then debated in dialogue. In the succeeding Choral Ode the guilt and profligacy of Clytæmnestra are again considered, and the approaching Erinnys is conceived to be the inevitable result. The design of Wechylus is to hold up to view in the strongest colours possible every incentive that urges them to take the life of Clytemnestra. Then follows the execution of the scheme, Orestes in disguise, with the pretended ashes of his own corpse, Electra's counterfeit grief, Clytæmnestra's suppressed joy. Now prevails, as the Chorus observes, the agency of Hermes at once in the character of Chthonius and of
[^38]Nychius, as God of the nocturnal realm of the dead, and of nocturnal fraud ${ }^{1}$. In the midst of these sensations of dread some relief is afforded by the artless lamentations of Orestes' nurse, who believes in the death of her fosterling: thereupon she fetches Ægisthus without his body-guard, by the direction of the Chorus, which in a stasimon summons all aiding Gods to the assistance of Orestes. Now whereas we only hear the death-groans of Agisthus from the interior of the palace, it is not till after a violent scene and unavailing self-vindication, and in a manner not till after sentence pronounced on her, that Clytæmnestra is led away to execution by Orestes.

His poetical aims here obliged Eschylus again to dwell upon the bounden duty of such an act, and on the other hand to expose the atrocity of it, and exhibit in a clear point of view that it is not from any passion on his own part, but from the obligation to avenge his father and obey the behests of Apollo, that Orestes slays his mother. Thus, as the Choral Ode expresses it, justice has arrived, the house of the Atridæ is once more raised up, day once more dawns on it. Then on a sudden we are transported to the interior of the palace, and there we behold Orestes standing over the two corpses, holding forth in his hand, in ocular vindication of his deed, the treacherous bathing-garment of Agamemnon. Yet his mind, which as represented by Æschylus is naturally tender (though not in the same sense as that of Shakspeare's Hamlet), and without any desire of its own for revenge has only obeyed the dictates of duty, is now by the violence of the hitherto suppressed feelings

1. This idea was probably developed in the parts of the prologue which are lost. The passage $v .711$ sqq. requires in my opinion only this alteration : ${ }^{\top} \Omega$ móтvia


 analogous forms) wis rop $\theta$ oúme $\theta a$.
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assumes, by means of the parodos ${ }^{1}$, a steady position, and the action is turned into a settled channel; the Chorus unfolds its ranks and encompassing Orestes as already their victim, describes with gloomy solemnity its terrible office. Minerva appears and resolves on deciding the otherwise interminable dispute by the institution of the first Court for the trial of the manslayer. The Choral Ode following this transaction we might expect to find more impassioned and furious, since the Erinnyes already speak of the annihilation of their power as a possible event; but with Eschylus, who proceeds on the principle of making the details subordinate to the main objects of tragedy, this Ode is above all others an admonition of the Erinnyes to the Athenians to recognize their influence, and the authority of strict laws and controlling powers in the state. On this account it must necessarily be solemn and composed. Then ensues the litigation between Orestes, or rather Apollo, and the Erinnyes, in which especially the higher dignity of paternal rights and the personal motives to the act are contrasted with the unconditional claim of vengeance for the blood of the mother. Then upon the

1 The late occurrence of the Parodos is as characteristic of this tragedy as in the Cedipus at Colonus ( $\S$. 16, Note). By this means a separation is made between the former portion of the tragedy in which unsettled, fluctuating movements predominate, and the latter, in which the action falls into a regular course and advances in a settled order with certain fixed resting-points ( $\wp .14$ ). In the Agamemnon the case is reversed, almost the entire second portion of the tragedy, from $940 \ldots 1658$, having no Stasimon, because in this instance there is no opportunity for a resting-point such as the Stasima furnish. In defining the main idea of the Parodos to be 'an Ode during which the Chorus gains its proper station and arranges itself on the lines in the Orchestra,' I admit that the Ancients themselves appear to have frequently confounded it with the first Ode sung by the Chorus in its regular order. Moreover, the Ode during which the Chorus takes its station is frequently followed immediately by another, after it is stationary. In such cases these Odes are separated from each other by the insertion of an Epode, as in Soph. Ajax, Euripides Phœnissa, and lph. in Aul. This Epode cannot have been sung during the movements, i. e. during the Parodos in the strict sense, for in Pindar the very songs that accompany marches and processions are without Epodes. In the Agamemnon we have Anapæsts (entry) and a dactylic pair of Strophes with an Epode (arrangement) for the Parodos, and then forthwith the first Stasimon. In the Persæ, Anapæsts (entry), Strophes consisting of Ionics with Mesode (arrangement), then the first Stasimon.
inaugural address of Minerva follows the acquittal of Orestes, and, in token of his gratitude for so great a benefit, his promise of a league with Argos: but the wrath of the Erinnyes is increased to the highest pitch by the means, and is only appeased by Minerva's eloquence, nobly composed of mildness and conscious power, and by the institution of their sacred cultus: by these means the terrible deities of the lower world, always on the understanding of their authority remaining inviolate, are converted into benevolent powers of the Attic realm. 'This compact,' as the closing sentiment expresses it, 'have Jupiter and the Moire made with Athens.'

There is no need of further demonstration to shew how satisfactorily throughout the whole trilogy the feelings are carried from proud exultation in victory through gloomy apprehensions and dark presentiments to the most appalling flashes of horror; then how, under the influence of nocturnal powers, after considerable fluctuation, we are led to a strangely mingled state of satisfaction and inward awe; how these elements, in a manner required beforehand by the feelings, are brought out in all their vigour and sharpness, until by the wisdom of the deities at Athens the conciliation of the conflicting powers is effected, and with it a feeling of satisfaction, not restricted merely to the individual history of Orestes.

For that the poet's object is not merely to console us in respect to Orestes, is evident from the manner of his dismissal from the stage without a Choral Ode in celebration of his destiny. The Poet seems almost to forget Orestes in the establishment of the Areopagus and the cultus of the Erinnyes, two institutions which Fschylus deems closely connected and alike momentous to the welfare of the community, as in fact they were (Cf. $\delta .67,68$.). But should any conceive the subject of the piece to be here sacrificed to a patriotic political
interest, he would in my opinion utterly misconceive Æschylus's principles.

The main idea of the trilogy,-which consists in pointing out how a curse, rooted in the human race and generating one misdeed out of another, is averted by the superior control of the saving God, in a case where only the family-destiny and no guilt of his own weighs upon the curse-possessed person ;this idea, I say, is by no means checked in its developement by such a direction of affairs. On the contrary, the view held forth by Eschylus that it was in Athenian institutions that this providence of Saviour-Gods was embodied, and severity and mercy met together after the right fashion, must have made the impression the warmer and more lively on the minds of his contemporaries. In short, the political aim of the trilogy,-the inculcation of respect for the Areopagus, and generally for institutions consecrated and established for the purpose of holding unbridled licence in check,-is intimately blended with the ethico-religious idea of the whole.

Now as $\nVdash$ schylus generally, as in this particular instance, makes the fable subordinate to the idea, so again the delineation of character ranks with him below the developement of the fable, and, as it were, occupies the third place. No one will deny, indeed, that in the Eumenides, not to mention the preceding plays, the character of Orestes, in his entire devotion to his duty and calm reliance on the Gods, and that of the tutelary Goddess of Athens, in her perfect self-possession and imperturbable moderation and control of her power, are in themselves very well preserved, and that many delicate traits may be observed in them; but still they are no more than what the tragic whole requires them to be. The delineation of character in more perfect individuality, and a deeper penetration into the human heart were reserved for Sophocles, who in pursuance of this object was frequently obliged to place the culminating point of his art at a distance from the central
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intermediate pieces ${ }^{1}$; whereas there is certainly no other piece that can be compared, in respect to the train of thoughts and feelings, with the Eumenides: it is the only concluding tragedy we have. The reason why, with the exception of the Orestea, nothing but second pieces of Fschylus have been preserved, appears to be that the quiet progress and minute detail of the first pieces, as well as the tendency to mythic speculations rather than delineation of human passions in the third or concluding pieces, had less attractions for the later ages of antiquity, than the equably sustained pathos of the intermediate pieces.

Likewise with respect to the very difficult problem, by what power of imagination the extravagant humour of a Satyric play could be brought into connexion with the profound seriousness of a tragic trilogy, the Orestea furnishes; in my opinion, the principal source of information, although of the annexed Satyric drama, the Proteus, we have only the name remaining. Our attention is very properly directed ${ }^{2}$ to the circumstance of its being this very sea-god Proteus who foretold to Agamemnon's brother Menelaus his return to Argos. But together with this prophecy, the Odyssey ${ }^{3}$ remarks that Menelaus will arrive too late to avenge his brother, and not before the burial of $\not$ Egisthus;-a remark which is expressed more plainly in another passage ${ }^{4}$, and was further developed in the Cyclian poem, the Return of Augeas ${ }^{5}$. And in this very way the tale is taken up by Euripides in the Orestes, that strange mixture of very ancient fables and very modern views. Now in the first piece of the Orestea, where Agamemnon is commending Ulysses as his only faithful companion, and representing others, who seemed the best-disposed, as

[^39]mere specious friends ${ }^{6}$, it is evident that he complains of the conduct of Menelaus in particular, who, according to Homer, separated himself at lis return from Agamemnon. Thus Menelaus, who, at the period of his brother's murder and during the rule of the overbearing adulterers in the palace of the Atridx, had in company with the beautiful Helen, the faithless author of all this distress, encountered many an adventure, and in the acquisition of wealth had roamed all along the barbarian coasts, night very well answer the purpose of a counterpiece to the faithful Orestes; he might fairly be treated by old Proteus with that calm irony which the Ancients delighted in attributing to those sage Genii, and at the same time be exposed to the waywardness and raillery of the Satyric Chorus. Whether the acquittal of Orestes was regarded as the satisfactory conclusion of the whole composition, or whether the ironical speeches of Proteus went to display the whole splendour of the house of Pelops in its perishableness, and expose the emptiness of all human grandeur, is a question which I do not pretend to determine.
$$
\text { 6. v. 812. cf. v. } 610 \text { sqq. }
$$
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## CALCULUS MINERVEE.

It was a principle laid down in the Athenian Law, that the defendant was acquitted if the votes for and against him were equal ${ }^{1}$; and the same principle held in the Roman Law-Courts.

The author of the Aristotelian Problems produces several reasons to account for this old and natural custom: $\Delta$ a

 found in the peculiar spirit of the Greek nation, which in the earlier times delighted in referring all the circumstances of actual life to divine ordinances and events of the past. In the case under consideration, where the Judges themselves gave no positive decision, a benevolent Deity was imagined to interpose and give a casting vote in favour of the defendant, and a tale was invented for the purpose of accounting for that divine interposition. In other words, Minerva's ballot is neither more nor less than the mythic expression of the principle, that where Justice is undecided Mercy prevails.

This must be evident to every one who is familiar with the elements of Mythology, as understood at the present day. It may however be rendered comprehensible even to one who has not employed himself on this study, that the Calculus Minerve is only the imaginary addition of a casting ballot in the case of ioo ${ }^{n} \phi i a$. Thus, supposing we assume the case that in the trial of. Orestes Minerva gives her vote for the defendant, and so makes the numbers equal; still

1. This point is clearly and accurately stated by Schömann, in the Att. Process, p. 782.

Orestes would not have been acquitted by the means. Foy this transaction is regarded (at least by Eschylus) as the first trial for homicide, and the Erinnyes fancy themselves already in possession of their prey. Consequently there cannot possibly be any reference to the practice of subsequent times, or to a general principle of leniency; and a further explenation is now required to account for the superiority of the white ballots over an equal number of black ones. If it be attempted to explain the circumstance by the superiority of the person whose vote makes the number of ballots equal, such an interpretation is merely arbitrary, al much so as it would be to imagine the addition of two votes.

Our view of the subject agrees with the most authentic teatimony of the ancients. We will begin with Euripiden, Iph. Taur. 1483 :





Minerva plainly says here, that she rescued Orestes by deciding on the equal votes in the Areopagus, and that on that very account it continued to be a law, that when the votes were equal the defendant should be acquitted. It could not possibly occur to any Greek to take кpiveiv $\psi \eta$ íoous loas in any other sense than settling or deciding on an equality of votes. It might perhaps be proposed to understand loas as the result of крivelv, the equality as the result of the balloting (in the sense of pronouncing the votes equal); but that would be contrary to all usage of language, as the declaring votes to be equal cannot be denoted by kpivecv; and still more would it contradict the natural sense of the passage, since this very $\psi$ ńфous tras крivelv is adduced as a cause of the custom, verâv ioripets, \&ec.;
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We come next to the testimony of later authorities : on the subject. In Aristides the Rhetorician we have the following passage (Vol. r. p. 24 A. Cant.) : фéćrovta $\delta$ ('O $\left.\rho^{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \eta \nu\right)$





 $\lambda \nu \dot{e c v} a ̈_{\mu}^{\mu} \phi \omega$ т $\bar{\eta} s$ aitias.

These passages clearly express the same view of the subject that I have taken, namely, that Minerva's ballot
 ever, was of opinion, that Minerva's ballot was added to the white when there were more of the black ballots. (Cf. Piscatorr. c. 21. Harmonid. c. 3, fin.). But Lucian's authority -is of much less weight than that of Aristides on a question of Attic Archæology. One point in which all these authors are agreed is the important position, that the Calculus Minervæ did not exist merely for the history of Orestes, but was applied in historical times also, in order to produce the same result. Now it is perfectly incredible that such a custom could have existed at Athens in historical times, as that of giving the superiority to the white ballots by the imaginary addition of Minerva's ballot, when there was one more of the black than of the white. This is. in direct contradiction of the unquestionable position from which we set out: and moreover we have an instance of a person being cast by a majority of one vote against him (Dem. c. Mid. p. 538). In historical times the Athenian Courts consisted of one more than a round number; there were, for instance, 51 Ephets (Pollux, vili. 124) and 201 or 401 Dicasts in the ten principal Courts (Pollux, viri. 48): This arrangement arose from the desire of avoiding equality
of votes: whereas, if the intention of Minerva's ballot had been to effect iooqn申ia, just the contrary result would have been produced. There would have been no advantage in having 51 Ephets, if 26 condemned and 25 acquitted: this in fact would be nothing but an abuse of humanity. In mythic times, on the contrary, we must conceive the number of Judges to have consisted of an even number, in order to allow of iooqnфía, that Minerva might have an opportunity of typifying the principle of humanity by her supernumerary ballot.

This brings us back to the procedure in the Eumenides, which may now be placed in the clearest point of view.

Minerva had declared at the very outset (v. 424), when Orestes petitioned her to act as Judge in his cause, : that
 Accordingly it is impossible she should subsequently act.as Judge, by giving a vote previous to the decision. During the trial she is present in the character of eionywrevs, but is never addressed as Judge. That office she had decidedly declined. When the question comes to the balloting, Minerva announces the new $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu o s$, impresses on the Athenians the dignity and sanctity of the institution, and concludes with reminding the Judges of the solemnity of their office and of the oath they have taken. After the balloting she declares her intention of giving a vote for Orestes, (because she feels more sympathy for the murdered man than for the woman); and pronounces that Orestes is to gain the cause, even should the votes be equal. Here it is obvious, that the second idea is only a conclusion drawn from the first, and that Minerva (a Goddess not unacquainted with the future) foresees the result of the balloting, and provides a means of adjusting the iбo $\psi \eta \phi^{\prime} \dot{a}$ by taking a ballot on her own account. Had Minerva's idea been this: ' First I will give a vote for Orestes, and then ordain that Orestes
is to be victorious in consequence of the equality of votes thereby produced；＇then in the first place this second idea must have been denoted as a new addition by the requisite particles（as каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ），and in the next place the establishment of this vómıг $\alpha$ ，which Aristotle has given himself so much trouble to account for，would surely have needed a word or two in vindication．But why does not Minerva imme－ diately put in this ballot？This question likewise is easily answered．The reason is this；because the＇A $\theta \eta$ vod＇s $\psi$ 方申os is no judicial vote：that ballot was never thrown with the rest into the urn，but was added in fancy，after the black and white ballots had been sorted and found equal．Hence it necessarily follows that Minerva cannot add her ballot，the meaning of which she had previously explained，till after those of the Judges had been counted，and it had been found that the white were equal in number to the black．
 $\mu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ expresses the same event as present，that had been announced as future in $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o v \delta^{\prime}$＇O $O \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$


Now this is a sufficient motive for Orestes to extol Minerva as his Preserver，for without her decision on the icoundia he would not have been liberated from the Erin－ nyes；and at the same time Minerva is enabled to console the Erinnyes（v．762）with the assurance that they wete not defeated，but that in fact the trial had ended in iso $\psi \eta \phi i a$ ， the Judges having really paired off equally，and thereby acknowledged their high consideration for the claims of the Erinnyes；it was only Minerva who，by favouring Orestes with her vote in this ioo $\eta \eta \phi i a$ of the Court，decided the cause， as also the mode of proceeding for the future in similar cases．

It appears to me，that this explanation leaves not a shadow of doubt on the subject，and places every expression of Eschylus bearing on this point in the clearest light．
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on the Thymele; that is the $\dot{\nu} \beta \rho / s$, which had befallen the Thymele.

Whilst the instrumental Music in the Greek Theatre was continually gaining the upper-hand, the Orchestra gradually underwent a different arrangement, and the Thymele received a new significance ${ }^{1}$, which must not be confounded with the original one. By this alteration the Orchestra was divided into the roviot $a^{\text {a }}$ a kind of arena on level ground, and a somewhat raised platform, called by some Lexicographers ${ }^{2}$ Orchestra, in its limited sense, and by others Thymele. The platform lay towards the Proscenium, the кoviot $\rho a$ towards the Amphitheatre; but the original Thymele, or Altar of Dionysus, was left standing on the line of separation between the кoviot $\rho a$ and the Orchestra. The former of these would still serve for Choral dances, processions, \&c. (unless required for sitting room), whilst the Thymele became the stage for the flute-players and other musical performers, and also for the Dancers ${ }^{3}$ and Mimes. Vitruvius ${ }^{4}$ observes that on this account the Greeks made a distinction between the scenici and the thymelici, the former being the tragic and comic actors who performed on the stage, the latter the rest of the performers, who exhibited their skill in the Orchestra. In Rome this distinction was unknown : there the musicians made their appearance on the stage as well as the actors, and the orchestra was entirely occupied by seats. This meaning of the Thymele and the Thymelici extends through

1. For an exact account of this cf. Suidas and the Etymol. M. s. v. $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$.




 name of ' $O \rho X{ }^{\text {riorpa }}$ to that which others call $\theta v \mu e ́ \lambda \eta$. This is very evident from the passages where Mimes exhibit on the Thymele, and still more from the comparison with the passages in Vitruvius and Phrynichus cited further on.
2. Lucian on the Dance 76, and others.
3. V. 8.
the whole Roman period down to the Byzantine Aula ${ }^{\text {s }}$. Phrynichusf observes, that in his time Thymele was the name given to the place where the Auletx, Citharoedi and many other musical performers presented themselves; but that in good Attic times the comic and tragic actors appeared on the Logeum, the flute-players and Chorus in the Orchestra.

The result of these investigations respecting the Thymele is, that it derived its origin from the Dionysian Altar round which the Cyclic or Dithyrambic Chorus used to move, and therefore stood-in the centre of the Orchestra; that it continued to stand, as an Altar of Dionysus, on the line of separation between the Conistra and the Thymele or Orchestra of later times, that line being in all probability the diameter of the circle describing the Orchestra. It further results, that the Chorus was not entirely on this Thymele, because à mere platform would not have allowed room for their evolutions, but formed a group round it, and that the Thymele, which could only be for the service of the Chorus, was made use of by it in some way or other. And that at least the Hegemon of the Chorus took his station upon it, (i. e. of course, if the Thymele was an Altar, on the steps of it), is in the highest degree probable from the circumstance, that the Hegemon was the third in the left file of Choreuta ${ }^{7}$; but from undoubted testimony ( $\mathbf{p} .68 \mathrm{sq}$.) we know that this file was posted nearest to the Spectators: consequently, unless the Hegemon occupied an elevated position, he could not very well see over the two files between him and the Stage, and speak, as was frequently the case, as the organ of the Chorus with the persons of the Stage. Upon the same Thymele, but of course detached from . the Chorus and in a less conspicuous station, stood also the Rhabdophore, whose office was to maintain order and quiet in the Theatre ${ }^{8}$.

[^40]The scanty information we possess relative to the Thymele in the early Greek Theatre may perhaps be rendered more intalligible, by deducing from the Tragedians themselves the form which the Thymele assumed according to the requirements of different dramas. The following views on the use of the Thymele, in some of $\boldsymbol{\ldots}$ schylus's tragedies, I offer merely as a precursory essay.

In the Agamemnon the Chorus enters, singing the nine Anaprestic systems (v. 40-103), in regular rank and file: the conclusion of the ode brings them in front of the stage, on which Clytæmnestra makes her appearance, and they ask her what intelligence it was that prompted her to order sacrifices on all the altars. There can be no doubt that the stage and the Orchestra exhibited the very spectacle of altars blazing with Glames fed with oil (v. 94).

This is followed by an Ode of a peculiar kind, composed in solemn dactylic measure. It closes with an Epode, and no doubt was distinguished in respect to the place as well as the mode of its delivery from the following trochaic Ode (the first Stasimon). At the end of the Anapæsts the Chorus bad probably turned away from the Stage towards the Theatre, and sings the Strophes as it approaches the place where it intends to take up its usual position round the Thymele. But in the proper Stasimon, the trochaic Ode consisting of five Strophes and Antistrophes, the Chorus, before relating the story of the sacrifice of Iphigenia, turns to Jupiter, as the only God by whom the mind can be enlightened and directed, whether it is to abandon itself to further maxiety, or to dismiss all apprehension. This invocation to Lupiter leads us to the natural supposition, that there was a \$tatue of Jupiter on the altar of the Thymele. In this case the commencement of the second Stasimon with an invocation :o Jupiter is doubly appropriate, as well as the general pre-
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riginal signification), as can be proved from Homer and Heaiod ${ }^{1}$ : whence the Ancients ${ }^{2}$ themselves explained the iyénoe Ocol of Esschylus as ayopaion.

Taking all this into consideration, perhaps it may not uppear an improbable supposition, that in the Agamemnon the Thymele was decorated and furnished with statues in imitation of the cornoßromia of the Argive aropá. On an umple base the Altar of Jupiter, as úzaros, rose above all the rest: and about it were Altars of other áyopaior or i ycónor Qeoí, especially those of Apollo, Artemis, and Hermes. Even the मipocev Oīkal may possibly have been exhibited, as tombs of heroes in market-places were nothing uncommon, and there was a considerable number of them in the Agora at Argos.

The arrangement of the Orchestra in the Suppliants is very similar to that in the Agamemnon. The Scene in the Suppliants is also laid at Argos, but in an open square before the city (v. 933) : it is designated however as a place of public assembly ( $\lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \rho o ̀ s, ~ v . ~ 954)$. The Danaids with the infulæ make their entrance into the Orchestra in organized ranks, singing nine Anapæstic systems, and on the summons of Old Danaus they form themselves in a group about the platform ${ }^{3}$, on which the altars of the Argive Gods of Assembly stand. From the prayers offered on this occasion ${ }^{4}$ by the Danaids and
 Zeus, Helios, Apollo, Poseidon, who was distinguished by the rpiatva (cf. v. 786), and Hermes, who probably held a herald's staff, stood here together as $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega^{\prime} v i o c ~ \theta c o i . ~ T h e ~ D a n a i d s ~ t a k e ~$ their seats at these altars, whilst Danaus stands among them

[^41]VV. 206_218. These verses, however, require first to be arranged correctly.
on the highest step (v. 205. 475. cf. v. 694). As they start up one after another, they sing between whiles the Strophes, v. 343. 354. 365. 376. 387. 397, and the Ode, v. 418-492, (probably delivered in the commatic form): till upon the summons of the King they deposit their infulæ on the

 re-arrange themselves in the order of rank and file, which they had left during the first Choral Ode (v. 40-172), as that Ode broke up gradually into single voices, and all join in singing the Stasimon V. 519_594, as well as the noble benedictory Ode v. 625-690'. Afterwards, when Danaus descries from the кowno $\beta_{\text {armia }}$ the approach of the Egyptians, and is on the point of going off in quest of succour, the Chorus again breaks up its ranks and flees for harbour to the Altars'. The following songs are entirely commatic. But whilst the King rescues the Suppliants at least from the danger that immediately threatens them, the Danaids and their attendants take up their position, in a new and peculiar form of arrangement, $\cdot$ in the public square ( $\lambda a \omega \bar{\nu} \dot{\text { év }} \chi \omega \rho \varphi \hat{\varphi}$ ) and sing the concluding Ode in alternating order.

Here then we again have a coivo $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{w \mu i a}$, which could only be in the Orchestra (unless the Chorus remained almost constantly on the Stage), and must therefore answer to the Thymele. It also follows, that in this tragedy the dancingplace of the Chorus, in its regular form, was in front of the Thymele; though that still presents no objection, why the leading person of the Chorus should not occupy her station on the platform upon which the altars of the ajowvor $\theta$ eoi were raised. The altars might still be at a tolerable distance from

[^42]6. Cf. parcicularly vr. 706. 732.738. 795.
the dancing-place of the Chorus; as is the case in the Seven against Thebes, where the Chorus of Theban Maidens come in without any settled order, and throw themselves down before the altars and images of the Seven Gods of the city Thebes ${ }^{1}$ (Zeus, Pallas and Poseidon, Ares and Aphrodite, Apollo and Artemis); and do not sing a stasimon in regular rank and file till afterwards, when, upon the injunction of Eteocles², they have withdrawn from the images of the Gods.

Letting alone the question, whether, in the Choephbroe and the Persse, the Thymele did not represent the tombs of Agamemnon and Darius (which is Genelli's opinion), I may make use of what I have said about the altars and images in the Orchestra, which occur in the three above-mentioned Tragedies, in further support of the view, that in the Eurmenides the Orchestra, which represented the square in front of the Temple; had an altar with the four images of the four possessors of the Oracle, Gaia, Themis, Phoebe, and Apollo. This arrangement is almost indispensable, because the marked contrast between the $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \dot{\eta}$ to those Deities and the respectful invocation to the others, among whom is Jupiter himself, is not adequately accounted for, unless the former are actually in view as proper objects of the Cultus: and we have shewn this supposition to be quite compatible with the usual arrangement of the Theatre in Eschylus.

[^43]
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 ग $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \alpha^{\prime} \phi \varepsilon \tau \alpha 6$
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi}$.




 $\mu v ミ o \pi o \iota t a$.




 Tıбヶфóvŋ.

Idem tradunt Suidas et Photius. Hisce aus ctoribus in argumento $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{v} v \alpha \sigma \alpha$ scribi vult Hermannus in dissertatione de Choro Eumenidum.
p. XI. ubi addit: ,,Nihil ergo aliyd•relictum est, quam ut eum locum, in quo Furias Eumenidum nomen accepisse poeta dixerat, librariorum negligentia, vel codicum tristi fortuna interiisse statuamus.". Post v. 932. aliqua. excidisse putat cum virorum et juvenum mertio desideretur, et h. l. procul dubio interiisse, ad quae Grammaticorum verba citata referenda sint. Quoad virorum et javenum mentionem, Hermannus aperte fallitur, Aeschylo nonnisi dc feminis loquente, verbis enim:
$\xi i \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \dot{\lambda} \chi_{0}$

epexegesin addit:

 Virorum supra mentionem fecerat. Restat Grammaticorum auctoritas, qui, si cum Hermanno in argumento $\pi \rho \alpha i \tilde{i} \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha$ legeretur, in eo consentiunt, Palladem Furias Eumenidas alloqui, sed scholia vetera in excerptis, quae Suidas, Harpocration etc. consarcinaverunt, tam mutila, et omittendo contrahendoque depravata sunt, ut cautissime adhibenda sint. In Scholiis veteribus oforsan traditum erat, Furias a Pallade placatas inde ab Orestis judicio Eumenidas appellatas esse. ,
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$\tau \varepsilon$ жаì $\tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \iota, x \alpha x \tilde{\nu} \nu$
$\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu о \nu \varepsilon \varsigma, \sigma \varepsilon \mu \nu \alpha \grave{ }$


$\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi \eta$, సєต̃ข $\delta \iota \chi$ обтатоข̃ขт'
350
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \lambda i \varphi{ }^{\circ} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha$,
$\delta v s o \delta o \pi \alpha i \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$

$\alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau$. $\delta^{\prime}$. $\tau i \varsigma ~ o v i v ~ \tau \alpha \dot{\partial}{ }^{\prime}$ ov่ $\chi \alpha^{\prime \prime} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha i$






хаілєৎ viло̀ $\chi$ Эóvа


A $\Theta$ HNH. XOPOL. OPE O THE.

$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{A} \dot{\eta} \nu \eta
$$






 ย้ข


 $\tau \alpha \rho \beta \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon ่ \nu$ оv̉ $\delta_{\varepsilon} \nu, ~ จ ิ \alpha \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ö $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ \rho \alpha$.



## - VIII

Sed forma diversa nescio quo modo pro, possit, numerum diversum fuisse. Immo, $q$ de numero chororum Tragicorum qui fuerit mis temporibus, nihil certi traditum sit, id vers probabile videatur, ubi Chori primae partes essent, quindecim personas Chorum non satis splendidum ad deum celebrandum fecisse, nihil certius est, quam e numero Chori Dithyrambici, de Choro Tragico colligere. Non enim sine causa Chorum Dithyrambicum ita institutum fuisse, quisque sibi persuasum habebit, qui meminerit, in iis, quas ad Deos spectant, numeros non pro lisbity inventos esse. Choros Dithyrambicos et Tragicos cognatissimos fuisse, non est quod moneamus, ita ut omnium verisimillimum sit, Chorum Tragicum paulatim minutum esse, forsan ne primae ejus, quas haberet partes, excolendae Tragoediae obstarent.

Furias in priore Eumenidum editione, quam Boeckhius finxit, facibus instructas fuisse, e Scholio ad Aristophanis Plut. v. 423. cólligi non posse, recte observat Hermannus dissert. II. p. VI. Si tamen putat, veri simile esse, quum Aeschylus Olymp. LXXX. 2. Furias deduci cum facibus ad sedes suas fecisset, visas esse faces multum conferre ad splendorem et terrarem Chori, ideoque postea Furiis addi solitas esse, id omnino
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'A S ท่ข $\boldsymbol{\eta}$

$\mathrm{X} \circ \rho \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{s}$

- $\pi \tilde{\omega} \xi \delta^{\prime}$ ovं; $\sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \beta o v \sigma \alpha \iota \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \xi i \alpha \nu\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \notin \pi \alpha \xi i \omega \nu$.

$$
\therefore A S \eta v \eta
$$




 $\dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ ¢ v \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ غ́ $\sigma \tau i \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \mu \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \varsigma i ́ x \tau \omega \varrho ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \nu ~ \tau \varrho o ́ \pi o \iota s ~ ' I \xi i ́ o v o s, ~, ~$ тоvंтoıs $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon i \beta o v ~ \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\sigma} \iota \nu . ~ \varepsilon u ̉ \mu \alpha ज ิ \varepsilon ́ s ~ \tau i ́ \mu o \iota . ~$

$$
{ }^{\circ} 0 \rho \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta . \zeta
$$





 * $\dot{\varepsilon} \phi \eta \mu^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \geqslant$







## - XI -







 x






 : $\dot{q} \varphi$. Clemens Alexandrinus. Strom. 11. p. 587.


 detur, Aeschylum propter evalgata arcana mysteriorum accusatum esse et in Siciliam migrasse dive ob hanc accusationem sive, quod se' victum esse, moleste ferret. Hermannus immemor tiles narrationes saepissime depravari, ut'argutaentum voram sit, tempora et loce mutentur, tis ither se conciliare studet, veri simile judicans, propter Eumenides Aeschylo diem disture
esse. ,,Nam quum fieri non potuerit, inquit, quin insolens ille numerus Furiarum statim at visus est, omnes adverteret: quid mirum, si obtrectatores Aeschyli, quum etiam victorem eum pronunciari viderent, apertam impietatem voci-. ferantes, tumultum in theatro concitarunt; moniti autem, ut lege agerent, mox impietatis reura
 Beias є̇лi $\tau \iota \nu \iota ~ \delta \rho \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau \iota . " ~ I d ~ n e m o ~ h i s t o r i a e ~$ Graecorum peritus Hermanno concedet, Athenienses Aeschylum victorem pronuntiasse, si adeo innovasset in religione, ut non dico, verbis, quod minus spectatores advertisset, sed ipsas personas introducens, sacra offenderet. Sed sunt merae conjecturae Hermanni multis locis ipsorum Tragicorum refellendae. Loquuntur ehim modo de una Furia, modo de tribus, modo de permultis. Vide quae notavimus ad Septem c. ,'Thebas V. 70. Choephor. V. 989. Furias Clytaemnestrae memorat Pausanias Boeotic. VIII. 34. 2. Laji et Oedipodis ibid. IX. 5. 8. unde patet, Graecos et alias Furias praeter tres Eumenidas statuisse (Schol. ad Sophocl. Oedip. Co-

 ф $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.) Haec nimis dubiam reddunt Hermanni conjecturam, e numero Furiarum argumentua
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## 

$\Pi v \mathcal{A} \alpha_{\varsigma} \pi \rho 0 \boldsymbol{\varphi} \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota$
' $\mathrm{A} \pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$

К $\boldsymbol{\lambda} v \tau \alpha \iota \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon i ̄ \delta \omega \lambda о \nu$


${ }^{'} E \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} s$
$\Delta \iota x \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha i ́$.

## AIEXTIOT <br> 


$\xrightarrow{\square} \quad \because \cdot i ;$

$$
\therefore \quad \vdots \quad \therefore \quad \therefore \cdot \cdot
$$

## -4.-

















 $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \dot{o} \mu \alpha \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \nu$ iifñ $\tau \alpha \iota$. స\&ós: -


 $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ d̀̀ $\chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma i \nu$, où $\pi о \delta \omega x i \alpha, ~ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu^{-}$
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## - 6 -

##  XOPOE.

## ' $\mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\nu}$





 $\gamma \varrho \alpha i ̃ \alpha \iota[,] \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \tilde{i} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$, $\alpha i ̄ \varsigma ~ ө v ่ ~ \mu i \gamma \nu \nu \tau \alpha \iota$





 $\beta \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} \tau$ ’ $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \grave{\iota} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \lambda \alpha \nu \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \beta \tilde{\eta} \chi^{\Im}$ о́v $\alpha$, $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \tau \varepsilon \pi o ́ v \tau o \nu$ хаi $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \grave{\rho} \rho \dot{\rho}^{\prime} \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$.

 í弓ov $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ̀ \nu ~ \alpha ̀ \gamma \chi \alpha \approx \varepsilon v \nu ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu ~ \beta \rho \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma . ~$ $x \dot{\alpha} x \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \delta \iota x \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \tau \omega \tau \nu \delta \varepsilon$ хаі $\neg \varepsilon \lambda x \tau \eta \rho i o v s$
 $\omega^{\prime \prime} \varsigma \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\varsigma} \tau \dot{\partial} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu \quad \sigma \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \alpha \iota \pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$.


$$
' O \rho \varepsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma
$$



## -5 -


 $\dot{\chi} \rho \gamma \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda \tilde{\varphi} . \tau \tilde{\eta} \delta \delta \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \rho \alpha \nu \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tilde{\omega}$.

 оข้тоє $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̃ x \alpha \varsigma, ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ Гoģóvas $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.




 $\rho \in ́ \gamma \chi o v \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ò $\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau o i ̃ \sigma \iota ~ ф v \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$,
 хаі хо́б $\mu \circ \varsigma$ оข้ $\tau \varepsilon \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ จ ิ ธ \tilde{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$


 $\tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \varphi o v \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ̀ \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ лóv$\nu \nu$.
















 o้v $\alpha \rho$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ vi $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \nu \tilde{v} \nu \mathrm{~K} \lambda v \tau \alpha \iota \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \tau \rho \alpha$. $\alpha \lambda \omega ̃ . ~$
$\mathbf{X} \quad 0 \quad \rho \quad o \quad 6$
( $\mu v \gamma \mu o ́ s)$
$K \lambda v \tau \alpha \iota \mu \nu$ ท $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \mathbf{X} \quad \boldsymbol{\rho} \quad \boldsymbol{c} \\
& \text { ( } \mu v \gamma \mu o ́ s)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{K} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\iota} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.



## THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page


## $-10-$

## Xogós





XOPOE (Haecce non omnia ab omnibus simulcantata sed partem inter singulas distribuendam. esse bene monet scholiastes.)
$\sigma \tau \rho$. á 'Iov', iov́, $\pi \dot{o} \pi \alpha \xi$,






$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$. $\alpha^{i} \quad i \dot{\omega} \pi \alpha \tilde{\imath} \Delta \iota o ́ s$,
देліхлолоя $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota$,
$\nu \varepsilon ́ o \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha i \alpha \varsigma \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \alpha \varsigma ~ x \alpha ज ิ \iota \pi \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \omega, 145$




 z'тvษєv $\delta^{\prime} i x \alpha \nu ~ \delta \iota ф \rho \eta \lambda \alpha ́ \tau o v$,
$\mu \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} о \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \quad x \varepsilon ́ v \tau \rho \varphi$, viлд̀ $\varphi \rho \varepsilon ́ v \alpha \varsigma, ~ ข i \pi \grave{~} \lambda o \beta o ́ v$.
$\pi \alpha ́ \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \tau i x \tau o \rho o s ~ \delta \alpha i ́ o v ~ \delta \alpha \mu i o v$
$\beta \alpha \rho v ́, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta a \rho v ̀ ~ x \rho v ́ o s ~ \varepsilon ̌ \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu . ~$
\&ข $\tau$. $\beta^{\prime} \quad \tau о \iota \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha ~ \delta \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\nu \varepsilon \omega ่ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \iota ~ స ి \varepsilon o i$, хןатош̃ข $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ тò $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ סíxas $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \nu$.

фоvo $\imath_{\imath} \beta \tilde{\eta}$ స̧óvov
$\pi \varepsilon \rho i ́ \pi o ́ \delta \alpha, \pi \varepsilon \rho i$ ха́ $\alpha$
 $\alpha i \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
$\beta \lambda о \sigma v \rho \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu о \nu$ à $\gamma о \varsigma$ है $\chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$.

 $x \lambda \eta \tau 0 \varsigma$,







$$
-30-
$$




$$
\mathrm{X} \text { o } \rho \text { ós } \varsigma
$$





$$
' O \rho \varepsilon \in \sigma \tau \zeta
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} o . \rho \rho_{q}
$$



$$
' O \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \zeta
$$



$$
\mathrm{X} o \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$



$$
' O \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \xi
$$

$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi \omega . \xi \iota \varphi 0 v \lambda \alpha \tilde{q} \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho i ̀ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ \rho \eta \nu \quad \tau \varepsilon \mu \omega ́ \nu$.

$$
\mathrm{X} o \rho \mathrm{o} \mathrm{~s}
$$



$$
' O \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma
$$
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$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \pi \dot{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} o \rho \text { ós }
$$



$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \pi \dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{o} \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}
$$


 205

 $\varepsilon v ̉ \nu \grave{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho_{i}$ xaì $\gamma v v \alpha \iota x i ̀ \mu o ́ \rho \sigma \iota \mu о \varsigma$,








$$
\mathrm{X} \circ \rho \mathrm{o} \mathrm{~s}
$$



$$
\text { ' } \mathbf{A} \pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \cdot \omega \nu
$$


Xogós

$-15-$
'A $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$


$$
X \circ \rho o ́ s
$$





$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \pi \text { ó } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu
$$


 รой $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \rho \circ \pi \alpha i o v \mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota \varsigma, \varepsilon i \quad \pi \rho o \delta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \phi$ हxciv. 225
$\qquad$

OPEETHE. XOPOE ETMENID $\boldsymbol{O}$ N.

$$
' O \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \zeta
$$





 250





$$
\mathrm{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$


$-16-$

 $\pi \rho \grave{\varsigma} \alpha i ̊ \mu \alpha$ хаi $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \varepsilon ̀ x \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ́ o \mu \varepsilon \nu . ~$






ó $\rho \alpha$, ö $\rho \alpha \mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \alpha v^{*}$
 $\mu \alpha \tau \rho о ф о ́ v o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \tau i \tau \alpha$.




$\delta v_{\varsigma} \alpha \gamma \chi o ́ \mu \iota \sigma \tau o v ., \pi \alpha \pi \alpha \tilde{\imath}$,








 261
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## - 18 - <br> $\mathbf{X o g o ́ s . ~}$










Moṽб $\alpha \nu$ б $\tau v \gamma \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$


ต́s $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \nu \omega \mu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha}$.

300



${ }_{0}^{\prime \prime} \varsigma \tau \iota \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \tau \rho \omega \tilde{\rho} \nu, \omega^{\prime} \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\delta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\jmath} \rho$,

30b
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \gamma \nu о ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota, \pi \rho \alpha ́ \alpha \tau о \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha i l \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$

$\sigma \tau \rho . \alpha^{\alpha} . \mathrm{M} \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho, \alpha^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \iota x \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, $\omega^{\tilde{*}} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho$
$\mathrm{N} v \xi^{\xi}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha o \tilde{\tau} \sigma \iota$ xai $\delta \varepsilon \delta o \rho x o ́ \sigma \iota \nu \quad \mathbf{3 1 0}$
 $\mu o v$ tiən





 315

 $\mu{ }^{\prime} \tau \alpha \iota \circ$




 то⿱̃丂, 320




 $\delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon i \lambda o ́ \mu \alpha \nu$
 $\lambda o v \varepsilon ँ \lambda \eta^{\circ}$

 $i \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$. $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu a \iota \delta^{\circ} \dot{\alpha} \varphi \in \lambda_{\varepsilon} i \tau \nu \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha ́ c \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon-$ piazas,
$-20-$

















$\tau \varepsilon$ жаì $\tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \iota o \iota, ~ x \alpha x \omega ̃ \nu$
$\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \dot{\prime} \mu о \nu \varepsilon \varsigma, \sigma \varepsilon \mu \nu a \grave{~}$

$\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu^{\prime} \alpha^{\tau} \tau i \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ס $\iota o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota$
$\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi \eta$, งะตัข $\delta \iota \chi \propto \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \tilde{v} \tau^{\prime}$
350
$\alpha^{\dot{\alpha}} \nu \eta \lambda i \varphi{ }_{c} \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \mu \pi \alpha$,
$\delta v \varsigma o \delta o \pi \alpha i \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$

$\alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau$. $\delta^{\prime}$.
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Xogés
$\pi \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ бvขтó $\mu \omega \varsigma$, $\Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ \chi o ́ \rho \eta . ~$



$$
\text { ’A } \mathcal{A} \dot{\eta} v \eta
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \sigma
$$



$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{A} \dot{x} x p
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$



$$
{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A} \rho \dot{n} v \eta
$$




$$
\mathbf{X o g o ́ s}
$$



- 23 -.
'A ง ท่ข $ท$


$$
\mathbf{X} \text { o } \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$

фоv $\dot{v} \varsigma ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ عīval $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \xi \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \tau 0$,

$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{\sim} \dot{\eta} \nu \eta
$$



$$
X o \rho o ́ s
$$



$$
\text { 'A } A \dot{n} v \eta
$$



$$
\mathrm{Xog} \quad \mathrm{o} \mathrm{~s}
$$



$$
\text { ’A } \uparrow \dot{\eta} \nu \eta
$$



$$
X \circ \rho d s
$$



$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{A} \nu \eta
$$

öpxols $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{n} \delta_{i ́ x \alpha \iota \alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \iota x \underset{\sim}{\nu} \nu \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
Xo oós


- 24 -
'A ง ท่ข $ท$

$\mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ós

, A $\uparrow \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$



 $\dot{\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ ¢ v \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i \alpha s ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\eta} s} \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha s$,







 ä́p’ヲo
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 $x \rho i \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega ̃ \nu \tau \omega ̃ \nu \varepsilon$ घ̀ $\mu \tilde{\nu} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$



## XOPOE. OPE E THE.

$$
\mathbf{X} o \rho \hat{\rho} \mathrm{~s} .
$$


§ $\varepsilon \sigma \mu i \omega \nu$, $\varepsilon i$ х $\propto \alpha \tau n ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota ~ \delta i x \alpha ~(\tau \varepsilon) ~ x \alpha i ~ \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta a ~$

 ß९oтov́s






 тผ̃ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ย่ $\lambda \alpha_{\varsigma}$ хаха́, 465
$\lambda \tilde{\nabla} \xi \iota \nu \dot{\psi} \pi o ́ 8 o \sigma i \nu \tau \varepsilon \mu o ́ \chi \vartheta \omega \nu$
 $\pi \alpha \varrho \eta \gamma o \rho \varepsilon \tilde{i} . \quad$ *àxєá $\tau$






 - дегцалеі
 475



 alvร́のఫ!






 Sixar
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ข $\nu \nu$
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha$,

$\pi \varrho o ̀ \varsigma \tau \alpha ْ \partial \varepsilon ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о x \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma \varepsilon ́ \beta \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon v ̉ ~ \pi \varrho о \tau i ́ \omega \nu$,

 $\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime} . \varepsilon \notin x \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha^{\prime} \gamma x \alpha \varsigma \alpha^{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho \delta^{\prime} x \alpha \iota o s$ ต้ข, ov̉x


тòv $\alpha \nu \tau i \tau o \lambda \mu \rho \nu \quad \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \quad \phi \alpha \mu i{ }^{*} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \beta \alpha \dot{ } \delta \alpha \nu,{ }^{*} \pi \alpha \rho-$ $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu$



 $\pi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$ ঠंivá. 496



 $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \alpha ́ \delta \nu o u s$
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$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$





$$
{ }^{\prime} O \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \zeta
$$

ยี่

$$
\text { Xo. } \rho \text { ó }_{\varsigma}
$$



$$
{ }^{\prime} O \rho \varepsilon \in \tau \eta \varsigma
$$


Xogós


$$
{ }^{\prime} O \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$



$$
{ }^{2} O \rho \varepsilon \in \sigma \tau \geqslant \varsigma
$$



- 31 -
$\mathrm{Xo} \mathrm{o}^{\circ}$ ós


$$
{ }^{2} O \rho \ell \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma
$$



$$
\mathrm{X} o \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$



$$
{ }^{\prime} O \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} \text { o } \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$



$$
\text { 'O } \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \text { i } \varsigma
$$



$$
\mathrm{Xogó} s
$$



$$
{ }^{\prime} O \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \zeta
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho o ́ s
$$



$$
\cdot 0 \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma
$$





$$
X \circ \rho o ́ s
$$




'O ¢ \& trins


$$
\mathbf{X o . \rho o ́ q}
$$



$$
' 0 \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \zeta
$$



$$
\mathrm{X} \text { o } \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$



$$
{ }^{\prime} O \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma
$$



$$
\mathbf{X o g o ́} \varsigma
$$



$$
{ }^{\top} O \rho \varepsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta
$$
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$\mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\circ} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{ó}_{\mathrm{s}}$

${ }^{\prime} O \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \eta \boldsymbol{\tau}$

Xogós



$$
O \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \zeta
$$







$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu
$$



 oủx $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ́ s, ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota x o ́ s, ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \iota, ~$




Xogós


## - 33 -

 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha, \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu о \tilde{v} \tau \iota \mu \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ \nu \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon \iota \nu ;$

$$
\because \mathrm{A} \pi \dot{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu
$$












 тоข̃ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ์ \mu \nu 0 v, \tau o \tilde{v} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau 0 v \nu \varepsilon \omega \tilde{\nu}$.



$$
\mathrm{X} \circ \rho \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{\rho}
$$






$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu
$$



- 34 -







Xofós



 $\pi о i \alpha \delta_{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon ́ \rho \nu \iota \psi \varphi \rho a \tau \delta \rho \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ;$

$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\pi} \text { ó } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu
$$
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Xogós






$$
' \mathbf{A} \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu
$$



















$$
, \quad \mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{\sim}
$$




$$
\text { Xo } \rho \text { ós s }
$$




$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{F} \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \eta
$$



$$
\mathbf{X} \text { o } \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$




$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\sim}
$$































Xogós
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- $\mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$


Xo.jós




$$
\text { ’A } \vartheta \dot{x} v \eta
$$












$$
{ }^{\circ} O \varrho \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma^{\prime}
$$



$$
X \quad \text { o. } \rho o o_{g}
$$



$$
\left.\dot{C}^{\prime} O \rho g \sigma \tau \rrbracket\right)^{\circ}
$$
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Xogỏs

' $\mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$




Aशिyn:



$$
\text { 'O } \rho \in \sigma \tau \rrbracket
$$





















 xai $\chi \alpha i ̃ \rho \varepsilon ~ x \alpha i ~ \sigma v ́, ~ x a i ~ \pi о \lambda е \varsigma \sigma o v ̃ \chi о \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon \omega ́ s, ~$



$$
X o \varrho_{\Delta} \dot{\sigma} \varsigma
$$






$\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \chi$ Хจoví

 غ̇лıбúuєขos
 $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha ́ \zeta \omega ; \tau i \rho \not \subset \xi \omega ; \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \omega \mu \alpha \iota ; \delta \dot{\xi} \xi \circ \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ тадітаия
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TVXEİG
















$$
\mathbf{X o g o ́ s}
$$


$\varepsilon \in \mu \varepsilon$ лад $\alpha \iota o ́ ф \varrho о \nu \alpha$
 $\pi \nu \varepsilon ́ ต ~ \tau 0 \ell ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ v O s, ~$ $\alpha^{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ ко́zov. oi, oit, $\delta \tilde{\alpha}, \phi \varepsilon \tilde{v}$,



 - $A$ จ ท่ $\nu . \eta$























$$
\mathbf{X o g o ́ s i : ~}
$$
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## $\varepsilon^{\ell} \mu \bar{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ́ \phi \varrho о \nu \alpha$




$\boldsymbol{o}^{i}, o_{i}^{i}, \delta \tilde{\alpha}, \phi \varepsilon \tilde{v}$,





$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{A} \dot{\eta} \nu \eta
$$













$$
\mathbf{X o g o ́ s}
$$



$$
\text { 'A } \mathcal{A} \dot{\eta} \nu
$$
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$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$

 $\pi \chi^{\lambda} \lambda \downarrow$
 คเov నิยตัข ข $\varepsilon$ ย $\mu \varepsilon$,

 $\nu$ ขัร, 845


’ASウทท
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ó $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mu \dot{\lambda}$ x讠' $\rho \sigma \alpha \varsigma \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ tovit $\omega \nu$,



 xaì $\mu \notin \gamma \alpha$ ф $\omega \nu o \tilde{v} \tau^{\circ}$

Xo óós
 $\chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \omega, \quad 860$
 $\pi \in \rho \tilde{a} \nu$ д́ $\rho \circ \nu \tau \delta \pi \omega \nu$,

 ѐ $\mu \beta$ ९и́oıs


'ASAvท
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 $\beta i o \nu \alpha \mu \beta \lambda \omega \pi o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi o v \sigma \alpha \iota$.

$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$

 $\nu \varepsilon \alpha \nu i \not \partial \omega \nu \quad \tau$ ' $̇ \pi \eta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$




$\pi \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ \chi \varrho о ́ \nu \varphi \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\circ} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \rho \iota \approx \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$

$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \tilde{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \iota \omega ่ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ จิยผ̃ข.
'A S $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 غ̀л $\iota x \rho \alpha \iota \nu 0 \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$


 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} x \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ Z $\varepsilon \dot{v} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma о \rho \alpha \tilde{\imath} о \varsigma$, $\nu \iota x \underset{\alpha}{\hat{\alpha}} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \mathcal{\sigma} \tilde{\nu}$


$$
\mathbf{X} \circ \rho \text { ó } \varsigma
$$

 $\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota} \nu$.
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$\sigma \tau \varepsilon i \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ I $\alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \circ v s ~ \alpha \pi \pi o \delta \varepsilon i \xi \sigma v \sigma \alpha \nu$.

 $x a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta} s \sigma u ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \ell$,
 $\tau$ т̀ $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} x \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ o \nu$ $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ло́ $\lambda \varepsilon \omega s$ غ̀ $\pi \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\prime} x \eta$.
 $\pi \alpha i ̈ \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ K \rho \alpha \nu \alpha o \tilde{v}, \tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau о i x o ו \varsigma$.


Xopós
 $\pi \lambda o t 弓 \omega,{ }^{\prime}$.



' $A^{\prime}$ Э ท่ข $ท$
$\alpha i \nu \omega ั ~ \delta \varepsilon े ~ \mu v ́ Э o v s ~ \tau \omega ̃ \nu \delta \varepsilon ~ \tau \omega ̃ \nu ~ x \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$,




 $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu, \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota x \omega \nu, x \alpha i$ $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o s ̧ ~ \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau i \delta \omega \nu$.
-51 -




$\Pi \rho o ́ \pi о \mu \pi$ о $\iota$
 ðóцор
 $\varepsilon \dot{v} ф \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \tau \varepsilon \delta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}[\pi \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon i$,$\left.] ( \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \tilde{i} \tau \varepsilon\right)$
$\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \tau$. $\alpha^{\prime} . \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ viлò $x \varepsilon v i จ \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \omega \gamma v \gamma i o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$







 oüt $\omega$ Moĩ $\rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \quad \sigma v \gamma r \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ' $\beta \alpha$.
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fragm. p. 484. ed. Ernest.) Tò $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ s$. "H $\tau$ fis мои-


 gevixǹ̀ 8̇óouv. (Cf. Intpp. ad Callim. hymn. in Dian.



 hymn. in Apoll. 70 seqq.) "A $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \cdot \Delta \eta \lambda i \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ रoıgáda,
 voceın frequentat Lycophron)
 vaious, (populo ut placerent, quas fecisset fabulas.)





 ९ต்ซovтєऽ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$. (Cf. Intpp. ad Callim. hym. in Del.




*) Male. Veteres enim nomina gentium personis tribuere soliti erant, quas sibi finxerant, ut inde nomina gentium deducerent. Ceterum, quae de Delphis tradita sunt, pertractaturis praecipue perpendendum Mnaseam' tradere, rois

**) Infra 650. Choeph. ed. nov. 338. 461. 992. Suppl. ed. Schuetz. 138. 169. 437. 636. 1073. Prom. 815. Pers. 287.







 ขainy. Kadjiцахо与, (Fragm. p. 521. ed.-Ernest.)

















Sophocles Antig. 1101. Trach. 898. Enrípid, Supplic. 620. 790. Twiout. Hioc sensu frequentat Euripides.
-) Ex aqua scil. vaticibia ibi edebantur. חגouitwos גérgo
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 нaiega rìs rueaurióos Fragm. Phryg. apud Athen. et Eustath.: 'Avìs d'axivios niv atraitreos mogul. Adde Blomf. Gloss. ad Agam. 1336. Infra 74. $\mu \delta \delta_{i} \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda_{\text {akós }}$ ying. Eardem me-


 $\mu s i a v$ пidas Vox Aeschylo frequentata, ut index docet. In Sophoclis Tragoediss superstitibus non eodem, quo hic, exstat sensu.

























 (Mạle. áлৎóoxoтos, passive intelligendum est et
${ }^{-)}$Ellipsis rarior, de qua vide Heindorf, ad Plat. Phädr. \$.69. et Jacobs. Anthol. tom. III. p. 301. Valckenar. ad Phoeniss. 976. Elmsl. ad Med. 547.
-0, Bene ut Stanl. Cicer. de divinat. I. 30. Aristot. ruel rín mal itroos mantoxss. 2. Aelian V. H. MII. 11. Jamblich. Pythag. 15

## - 60





 Sv́ovouv.





 IV. 20.) $\alpha i \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon ่ \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu v \xi \alpha \nu . ~\left(' A న \eta \nu \alpha i \eta ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ x \alpha i ~{ }^{\top} H \rho \eta\right.$. Cf.












*) Aeschyl. Suppl. 999. sq.


In Sophoclis Tragoediis superstitibus haec vox, nisi fallor, non exstat. Euripides notione roũ: ¢̧orri̧ıu adhibuit. Pho-
 rum a xig deducendum est.
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[^44]






 telligendum est.)















 V. 202. Ti $\boldsymbol{j} \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota x o ́ s . ~ T i ~ \pi \rho о \varsigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha \chi ন ิ \varepsilon ~$




*) Avios in hujusmodi Compositis et consanguinitatem significat.
 Siuratoll. Cf. exempla, quae congessimus ad Sept. ©. Theb. 655. Soph. Electr. 372. Tö avocirryy non intelligen-

## $-64$




 жроятр८ча́цє vov тд $\mu$ йбоя.




dum de consanguinitate. Lycaphron. Cassandr. 440. eitoxrónos oqayaír de mutua caede Mopsi et Amphilochi. ibid,

 gineus meus. Huc quodammoda pertinent: mivararizuyrose बi,?

 ix $\operatorname{Is}$ sur. Iliad. IX. 502 sqq.






 Graecos, imprimis poetas diss sensum et sermonem hom! num tribuere, satis notum, quod et 1 . n. factum.
**) Vide, quae congessimus ad Choeph. 328 sqq. 707. Agam,

 aliter dictum videtur. Supra $18 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}$
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 oi $\mu v \sigma a \rho o i ~(l . ~ \mu v \sigma \alpha \rho o i ̃ s ~ v . ~ P o r s . ~ A c ' v e r s . ~ p . ~ 140). ~ \xi v v-~$




parandum. Aristaph. Pac. 601. Фuтג̀ $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha i$ $\sigma$. Horat. Od. lib. II. IV. 13. Ille terrarum mihi praeter omnes Angulus ridet.
 Schol. P. Exaivor.


 Glossar. et Ernest. ad Callim. h. in Cer. 57.
*** Metaphora non rara, Soph. Philoct. 650 Koı $\mu \hat{*}$ ròd' ì $\lambda$ xos Agam. 353. Ergírogov tì $\pi \tilde{n} \mu a$. Eur. Electr. 41. sïdorra

[^45]


 トย́voito.

















 modi exstant.
b) Hom. hymn. in Cerer. 374. 'A 1 . ${ }^{\text {B }}$ i supiras ubi Matthize in Animadversionibus praeter Sept. c. Theb. 25. is airl my
 Zisuxie ti, x. t. $\lambda_{0}$ ubi vid. Musgrav. Odyss. XIII. 255.
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 (Vereor, ne nimis $\lambda_{\varepsilon \pi \tau o} \lambda_{0 \gamma \tilde{y}}$ Grammaticus, hujus












") ${ }^{\text {a }}$ érary de vita saepissime dici, satis notum est.
*) Supplic. 229 sqq.



Soph. Trach. 258. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ áq' àroós ${ }^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{j}$ de Hercule dictum, postquam caedem Iphiti expiaverat.

 progredior. 'Yxigxooxos minime huc pertinet, sed a substantivo xóтos pro xí $\mu$ ros formatum est, cujusmodi eì alia
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 Supra 315. Infra 350.

 occurrit. Vide Spanhem. not. ad Sophocl, Ajac. 452 apud Osann, dissert. de Soph, Ajace pag, 108.























Eorum, quae ad rempablicam spectabant, mentionem non semel fieri in Tragoediis Graecorum, notum est: Schol.


 peranda videntur, dummodo ne nimis evagentur poetae, id quod ab Euripide pessime factum vide-mus in Andromacha *46sqq. Neque locus V. 580 sqq. Labet, quo se commendet.

- 7.2 -
 est: aliquein ob .deformitatem conviciari injustum




















*) Soph. Ajac. 672. voxròs čavũs xíxخos ubi Hermannus aiavìs edidit, majorem auctoritatem secutus. Exempla hujns vocis vide apnd Blomfieldum in not. ad Pers. 935.


 obtingant, pro, omnino digna.
${ }^{* * * *)}$ Schol. ad Pindar. Pyth. II. 71. (39. ed. Boekh. quem con-
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 テisrow.




*) Haec explicatio non satis accurata est, cf. infra 758.

${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ Choeph. 930.



Adde Agam. 1383 sqq.
**) Agamemnonem caesum in scena spectatoribus visum, esse patet ex Agamem. 1405 sq. 1415. 1434. 1473 sqq. et aliis ${ }^{2}$ locis.





 menermion ovestopiov.i, (En,iucticium civium juratoram









 -




 ot 826.

 sipwortan afis rì Aidmo. Cf. Etym. M. et Lex. Gud. Quae explicatio ex versibns, allatis petita videtur, opqlioque moda nematwoik. Lycophronem vero verba, qegrum seqpaum noi wrimpxernt, male adhibuisse, valde ${ }^{\text {.prgbabile est }}$ Vide
 vidqup C : laboai...
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子力до⿱亠䒑otu．









 xfiris＇lintlicta mata proferentes，remedta＂aeromnarn qhaerent，＂inopees autem consilii frustra eos cơnsola studebunt）．









 didécai dixäs．Quodammodo hue pertinct Persin 16 ．
 ${ }^{*} O \lambda \beta o \nu x_{1} \tau, \lambda$.
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 $\chi \in \tau(\mathrm{l} . \beta \rho \alpha ́ \chi \varepsilon \iota) \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \delta i x \eta \varsigma \omega^{\circ} \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$.










 Eustath. ad II. II. p. 201. Sed haecce ab explicatione loci, ubi higeougyós bono sensu legebatur, originem dacere et a Grammaticis male intellecta, quasi de voce universe dicta recepta esse, non est, quod dubitemus. Choeph. 936.
 $\mu o ́ \beta e v \lambda o y ~ \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \chi v o r$, quod Aristophanes deridet Acharn. 119.



 Plat. Polit. p. $4 / 10$ sq. Cur Photii: Iegros Igavis ad Ari.
 referat Schow. non assequor,
ply y



 pace.











${ }^{5}$ Origo hujus vocis, quam Grammatici sibi fíxierunt, tam ridicula et jocosa est, ut- digna sit, quae - legatur. Lex。




 nọ icgor" is "Agrsus Veram originem a voce a 1 , ducit, unde et alia verba sonum significantia formata sunt, e. c. кeaic', Sip, צהצ, xeגadeiv, ©all, Graen, sjallen, Cdoallen,
 itp, 位, laetan, Anglosax. calumniari, quod è jpsum line originem ducit, cal-umniari,. waim, gaut, Anglosax. thed, lod, clod, chlod, inclitns, exdoris. ululare, Eule, coflen etc.
on nodaci et Choram e tribus constantem de se dicere potuisse credit Blomfieldus, et cum eo credat Judaens Apella.
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 cinus, et patren quidem meum, alterum facinus).



 ผัт
*) Cf. Valckenaer. ad Phoeniss. 1215. Porson. ad Orest. 1679.



 605. ed. Matth. Boekh. de Plake Min. p. 102.
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origines et veram legimus. Choeph. 930 sq. मे yexpoo























${ }^{*}$ ) Choeph. 119 sq.

 Sed haec aliter dicta puto, si quidem 1. n. $x \nu \mu$. pro $x u \eta \mu$. dictum : vide quae ibi notavi. Jacobs. ad Leonid. Anthol. VI. 200 кi $\mu$ ' indóxivos rixyuy praeter locum Choeph. citat
 gis aptius citasset Eumenidum versum, xî $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}$ enim ibi non








 н *
 mí̧orw, et Cicero de natura Deorum II. 26. quia et recidant omnia in terras, et oriantur e terris. Neque tamen 1. n. xímatas iscowígev pro nump. ner. acicipio, sed periphrasis roi: onignurar mihi videtnr. Eurip. fragm. Alop. apud Eustath. p. 656. ed. Rom. yimojour xuifuros 9loonicov.
9) Pallas, lunae Dea, inde epitheton racuxíxis, (occurrit gameo
 a Diana nọn differt, matremque habet Latonam. 'Astimenim pro وivn, i. e. Diana, $\mu$-ím. iros. annus. הנת. Sed res mythologicae Welkero explicandae sunt, quia luce clarius docebit, Palladem et Artemin unam eandemque esse Deam.









 sespicit.
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 Э $\omega \sigma \alpha \iota$ oixov $\delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \tau \alpha$.



 ouv 'A $\chi$ cuo', ubi hanc locutionem illustrarunt Merrickius et Wernickius, Aeschylum non omittentes. Etym. Gud. V.
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 vocem ejusclem originis, nuspiam, nisi fallor, pro




















 supra 268












P) Callimach. hymn. in Cerer. 43. sq.
 $\Delta a \mu o \sigma b a v$ हैбráav, x. т. $\lambda$.
10) Enviu metaphorice occurrere : notum est, Cf, Valckenaer.
 nota Blomfieldus ad Sept. c. Th: 712, melina nti potuigset, quam factum est ab e0. Adde quae notarimus ad S. c. Th. 684.
109) Eojnipiot. Haec forma Tragici tumoris s gasd habet. Sic
 sopes, madus, voce hac in re frequentatisaima, Suppl. 1002.



 90. yiderma 964. Tañat wigndirpartio Sept. c.









 siotame et alia.
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 $\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$. Óv $\mu \alpha x \rho \alpha \nu$.
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1
！
$\therefore 1$

中

## NOTAR

## IN

.
EUMENIDAS.
V. 1. Ty̆ce Ald.




 id.av: $\phi$ noiv. Aeschylus mythologiam pressins secuus est quau Euripides in cantu Chori Iphig. Taur. sol sqq. ubi omnia pro lubitu suo adornavit. Ortum $t$ progressum hujus fabulae, et omnium, quae ad racula spectant Welckerus doctrina antiquitatum mnibus longe antecellens, accurate aliquando expoo et. Homer. Hymn. in Apoll. 123 sq.



fase an huc pertineant, non liqueto
....V: 3: - \# Ald. V. 4. tic Akl.
V. 6. Tıгavis $d \lambda \lambda \eta, \pi$. Sic irterpungunt Wake- 1 field. Hermann. et Bothe, quibus adsentitur Schuetz. in notls, nec non Stanl. in curis secundis. Ambas filias Terrae appellat Aeschylus; volueritne utramque Titanidem nominare, licet potuissset, interpunctores mecum nesciunt. Pausan. Laconic. IIL. 16. $\delta$ лой́gas


V. 7. di ${ }^{\circ} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ d' ${ }^{\prime}$ Ald. Turn. Vict. Cant. Stanl.
 Bothe. $\mathfrak{y}$ Dorvill, Vann. Crit. p. 70. Abresch. animadvv. ad h. l. Recte. Articulus cum vi demonstrandi apud ${ }^{-}$ Tragicos saepius occurrit. Supra 2. $\tau \tilde{r} \bar{s}$. Infra 132.


 seĩxov. Cf. Brunck. ad Oed. T. 108z. Seidler. ad Euid rip. Iphig. Taur. 764 Monk. ad Hippolyt. s80. ad Alcest. 274. Qui vero accentu addendo proficere putant, falluntur, quippe qui grammatice quidem, sed $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o \varsigma \delta o ́ v v \sigma o v ~ a g a n t . ~ x \alpha i ~ \eta " ~ p r o ~ x a i ~ ท ̋ \delta ̀ ̇ ~ L o n g . ~$ Pastoral. p. 78. lin. 12. Schaeferus exhibuit - $\boldsymbol{\text { evevés }}$ $\lambda_{\text {ıov }}$ Rob.
V. 9. $\Lambda^{i} \mu \nu \eta$, lacus in insula Delo. Callimach,
 Ortygiae tribuit in hymu. in Apollin. 99. - $\Delta \eta \lambda i a y$ xoıp $\delta 8 \alpha$ (xoi $\alpha^{\prime} \delta \alpha$ Wakefieldianum ad alia Wackefieldiana ablegandumn) periphrasis roṽ: $\Delta \tilde{n} \lambda o \nu$. Sic Agam.
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 1014．$\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ x \varepsilon \rho a v \nu i o \iota c . ~ e t ~ a l i a ~ h u i u s c e m o d i . ~ T r o a d . ~$ 89．$\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota o i ́ \tau \varepsilon \chi 0 \iota \rho a ́ d e s . ~ C f . ~ S p a n h . ~ a d ~ C a l l i m a c h . ~ h y m n . ~$ in Del．268．Qui l．n．de monte Cyntho intelligunt， non probant $\chi$ oıgás hoc sensu dictum esse．

V．10．Athenae non memorantur in itinere Apol－ linis，quod descriptum est in Homerico hyınno in Apollin．sed non mirandum，Athenienses hac fabula， quam ab Aeschylo fictam esse，non satis constat，ur－ bem suam ornasse，quum omnes，quantum fieri po－ tuit，fabulas ab iis arreptas videamus．Oedipus venit Athenas，Theseus Herculis gesta gerit，etc．

V．11．$\pi \alpha \nu \eta \sigma o v ̃$ Ald．$\pi \dot{\mu} \nu \eta \sigma o v ̃$ Rob．Herm．Pors． 2．Bothe．Vera scriptura e corlicibus non erui potest， ubi modo duplicatur $\sigma$ ，modo semel exhibetur，saepius tamen litterae omittuntur．cf．Elmsl．ad Eurip．Med． 2．7．8ı3 etc．．Boeckh．ad Pindar．Olymp．VI．6．XI．25． Pyth．I．79．81．Quae Poppo scripsit Observv．in Thu－ cydid．p． 28 ，non satisfaciunt．Boeckhius Maןvaoov̈
 Pyth．I．39．（vide ad Choeph．540．）Homer．hymn．in


 бóv，Ti入фoṽб, $\mathrm{K} \rho i \sigma \sigma \eta$ ，П $\alpha \rho \nu \eta \sigma o ́ s, \mathrm{~K} \nu \omega \sigma \sigma o ́ s . ~ E x ~ T i-~$ maei glossa，quam recepit Photius，quum corrupta sit，nihil pro diversitate scripturae erui potest．Epho－ rus Cumaeus apud Strab．IX．p． 646 sq．（fragen．ed
 eundem de oraculo．In Euripide Matthiae חagvá⿱㇒日⿰丿⺄，


Faןvaroṽ. Dissentiunt Markland. et Seidler.ad Iphig. Taur. 1، atque Míroav, quod Marklandus ferri posse Tudicabat, Brunck. ad Aristophan. Ran. 1232. foedissimam mendam pronuntiat. Cf.Mueller. Geschichten Hellenischer Stämme vol.I. p. 479 sq. Hanc quàestionem lubenter relinquo $\Lambda o \xi{ }^{\prime}$ ious nostris Grammaticis, qui pro. lubitu egregie dijudicant ea, quae non perspemerunt.
 movorex h.v. addero potuis set Schleusnerus Cur. noviss. in Photii Lex. p. 328.
 p. 646. Ephori fragm. "ed. Marx. p. 182. $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ тตัข $\Delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi \omega ั \nu$,






 reddit. - $\pi \alpha i \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} \varphi \alpha i \sigma \tau o v$, fabri.
V. 14. $\alpha \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \iota$ গ. Ald. Sophocles (in Thespo, ut Brunck. et Boeckh. suspicantur) ứs $\pi \alpha \rho a x \pi i \alpha \nu$ $\Sigma \tau \varepsilon i \cdot$ $\chi \omega \nu, \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \quad \chi \nu \omega \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega v$ ọ $\delta o ́ v$.
 Rob. e vs. 12. T $\eta \mu \alpha \lambda \phi \varepsilon i \quad$ Ald.
V. 10. $\pi \rho v \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \nu \alpha \xi$. Oilyss. XX. 194. $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{r}_{i}$
 - Pers. 5. Orest. 338 sq. huc non trahere possumus cum Porsono, cui Schaeferus, ut videtur, adsentitur. Dissimilibus miscendis saepissime peccatur, quod in hoc
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genere loquendi non semel factum: v. Schaef. ad Longi Pastoral. p.408. Ne comparaverim quidem Eurip. Bacch.
 Musgrav.








 refert Schuetz, probante Butlero. Non opus. Sept. c. Theb. 819.

ubi Brunck, cit. Soph. Oed. C. 314. Philoct. 823. 1301. Pers. 159, et alia. Valckenaer. ad Hippol. 571, tis $\varphi 0-$
 Supplic. 382. Adde Hecub. 795. лог̃ $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi \varepsilon \xi{ }_{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ d \alpha, ~}$








 zxaidv4s.
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linis $\Lambda_{0} \xi_{i o v}$ et Artemidos $\Lambda_{0} \xi_{o v ॅ}$, unde $\Delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi_{0 i}$ dictus locus. Quod enin traditur, oraculum Delphicum aeque Baccho et Apollini sacrum fuisse, id e daobus illis mythis conflatum videtur.
V. 23. 'Avaбт $\rho о ф \alpha ́$. Guelph. Ald. Rob. Turn.
V. 24. B甲ó $\mu$ ося $\boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}$ - $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\text {' }}$ abest Rob.

V. 26. $\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ Guelph. - Odyss. XVI, 421 sq. T $\eta$ -
 $\tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \bar{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{i} \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$. III: 118. хахф̀ $\rho \alpha^{\prime} \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon \nu$. Androm. 820. фóvov $\rho \alpha^{\prime} \psi a \sigma \alpha$. Agam. 1604. фóvov $\rho$ a $\phi$ हv́s. (Etymol.


V. 27. Indeiovovя Guelph.' Ald. Rob. Stanl. sus-


 Schol. ad Apollon. Rhod. II. 711. Пגєוбтoĩo Callimach. hyimn. in Del. .92.
V. 28. Comma post $\Delta_{i}$ Ald, Rob. Turn. Wakefield. Both. quod Pauwio Heathioque placuit.
V. 30. $\mu \alpha x \rho \sigma_{\mu}$ Ald. Turn.
V. 31. xeitac Ald. De superlativo déaz cf. Herm. ad Vig. 718.
V. 32. $\pi \alpha^{i} \lambda \varphi$. Hac de sortitione consule Spanhem. ad Callimach. hymn. in Apoll. 45. - Vaporibus spelunca; cui tripus impositus erat, editis inspirata vaticinabatur, unde éz $\gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau \rho i \mu v \approx o s$ dicta, quod epithethon alio modo male explicaretur.


 $\sigma \tau \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \iota \nu$ et ceterae editiones ante Stanl. Hurc respexerunt Phrynichus (Bekker. Anecdot. I. 23.) Etyınol: Miagn. et Etymol. Gudian. Orion. Theb. Cf. Ruhnken. ad Timaeum. Addle Bekker. I. Anecdd. 370. 373.
V. 37. жодفxeia Aur.


 fragm. apud Clem. Alex. Strom. V. p. 440. Zets ror

 Hermann. Schuetz. Bothe. Frustra. Choeph. 989. sq.


Agamemn. 1396. sq.




 343. 766. Eurip. Med. 1313. $\mu$ ëcos. Gregor. Naz. v. 282. $\mu \bar{v} \sigma \frac{5}{}$.


 fragu. Pastor. apud Harpocrat. v. Návviov. g, Alii veoaxaits" H. Steph.
 olivae majore jure, ,altinatus ", Sjocigebobren" titulatur, 'quam comites nostri, in his enim oleum
perditur, quod rami arboris Minervae procreant. De ramis supplicum consule Markland, ad Eurip. Bupplic. 259. Schaefer. ad Longi Pastoral. p. 366
 (vid. Valckenaer, ad Phoeniss. 994.) conjecit pro $\mu \varepsilon \gamma_{i \sigma \tau \varphi . ~ E r f u r d t . ~ a c l ~ S o p h . ~ T r a c h . ~ 678 . ~ \mu a x \rho i \sigma \tau \varphi, ~}^{\text {, }}$ lana longifila. Sed $\mu \notin \gamma \alpha$ et $\pi \lambda^{\ell} \hat{v} 5$ inter se commutantur, i. e. alterum ponitur, ubi alterum usitatius. Cf, quae notavimus ad Sept. c. Theb.' 263. Jacobs. ad Antholog. Pal. VI. 268. Heingdorf. ad Plat. Tom. I. p. $\mathbf{2 7}^{7}$. Schaefer. ad Longi Pastoral. p. 352. Valckenaer. ad Hippol. 1. - $\mu \varepsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega$ Ald. $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \Phi$



V. 45. ז $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \varepsilon$ Ald. - Cf. ad Sept. c. Th. 474 nbi exemplis allatis adde cảmen, quod inscribitur: ber bornern Siegfrieb. ©. 72.
©̌r nabm fu feiner Şanbe cin’a ©dild, alb ein Edeurentbor.
Suid. v. $\mathbf{b x \tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \sigma v v$. cit. e Xantriis


 $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \partial \varsigma$ Rob. $\neg \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \check{\nu}$ Guelph. $\neg \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \partial \nu$ Ald. $\lambda \varepsilon$ Xos Ask. d. Ald.
V. 47. $2 \delta \varepsilon \iota$ Ald:
V. 49. Post hunc versum cum Hermanno et Schuetzio lacunae signum posui; oratione non' satis
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Prom. \&16. adstipulatur, qui omnia miscet $\begin{gathered}\text { expıtuxe- } \\ p\end{gathered}$
 Turn. 凶ัste non supplendum cam Stanlejo, neque ', $\pi \delta v a \nu$ in $\pi \delta y o v$ mutandum cnun Arnaldo et Hermanno. is Simplex orévom Genitivum secum habet Prometh. 397. ${ }^{i}$

 $\sigma \tau \ell \nu \omega$. Late patet usus Genitivi. Eurip. Electr. ${ }^{\circ} 988$.


 verba dicendi occurrit. Cf. Jacobs. ad Anthol. Pal. tom. III. 807. Horat. Od. lib. II. od. II. 4. trepides aevi. Substantivum ortóvos cum Genitivo Philoct. 788.

 iar¢\& $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma$. Rob. $\operatorname{la\tau \rho o\mu .~Grammatici~nimis~premunt,~}$ dictum enim pro latpóc. Agam. 16z1. sqq. aí тє v
 Suppl. 266. iárрó $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota$, qui 264. iat ${ }^{2}$ ós appellatur.
V. 64. Apollinis nomen abest Ald. $\pi$ пןo̊óom Ald.

 Specim. Commentatt. Aeschyl. p. 34. Aldinae lectionem ov̉rı non defendere debebat. Prometh. 625. $\mu$ ที่ चob ab aliis in $\mu \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$ depravatum. it. 4 $^{36}$. ubi Blomfieldus fen $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ol recte restituit. Cf. i prr. lectt. Phoeniss. 452. (46z. ed. Porson.) Med. 916. (923. ed. Pors.) Blomfield. ad Sept. c. Theb. 292. cujus tamen canon, ov̈rı sententiam nusquam inchoare, nlsi sequente $\mu \dot{\eta}$, vel $\pi o \tilde{v}$ aut $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{s}$, aut cum interrogatio fiat, voculamque sem-
per interponi, falsus est cum ounibus canonibue An-

 saue non; oťrı: nulla in re. Defide, quae codicibus in hujusinodi rebus habeuda sit, judieizen, si quid video, bonum tulit Brunckius in Addendis ad Orest. 488. quo tamen ue abutaris, cavendumest. ${ }^{1}$ - $\pi \rho o d \dot{\sigma} \sigma 6$. Sic Suppl. 519 і̇ $\rho \eta \mu$ ю́беь.
 micus roo: xai - de. (vide eum ad Orest. 614) Seidleri rlefensione (ad Eurip. Blectr. 1118.) non opus. CE. Schaefer. ad Longi Pastoral. p. 350, qui Latinorum: et - a utem comparat; Hermann. ad Viger. 847. Ne-

 ad Tryphiodor. 29. Cf. Aristoph. ${ }^{5}$ Plut. 11. larpds aty xai $\mu$ а́vтıs.

V. 67. eidodogas Rob. deag. Ald.
 e Valckenarii conjectura recepit Schuetz. $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \bar{\alpha}_{\varsigma}$, ซึ $\pi \nu \varphi$.

 quod e'praecedente suppleri potest.
 maerii coujectura: үраias лajaıai conjungit Pausv. xopai rgaial, Both. Comna post rgaĩar delendum censeo, ut rןaial $\pi$. all лaides referatur, duo enim epitheta majorem habent vim ad rem describendam (licet hoc invenustuin jurlicet Porson. ad Hecub. 429. $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}$ cantius agit Ejusl. ad Med. 816.) ideoque carmina ly-
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Fioajex aninio commotiore profecta, cumulatione eor rum sqaudient. Aeschyl. Agam. 223. sq. $\delta v \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\eta}$ г $\rho \circ$





 Hбемрои. Cuml..n. egreyie convenit Orest. v. 2.50 sq .
 de conoubitu intelligunt Stanl. Pauw. Both. Graecí enim in dicendo tam parum'fastidiosi, quam nostri homines in faciendo.
V. 70. wore Ald.

1 V. 74. $\mu$ ì dè Ald.
V. 夕5: $\varepsilon \lambda ต ั \sigma \iota ~ A l d . ~ H e r ı n . ~$
 Med. Ask. d. Rob. $\beta \varepsilon \beta \sigma \tilde{\nu} \tau \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \alpha i \varepsilon i$. Guelph. $\beta \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau^{\wedge}$ க́vacel Turn, Vict. Totum versum ejecit Both. Miror, quod non ejecerit totum Aeschylum, Platonis, poesin e republica sua expellentis, vestigia secutus. $\pi \lambda \alpha \mu о \sigma \tau \iota \beta \tilde{\eta} \chi$ フóv.a. Si $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu 0 \sigma \tau \iota \beta \tilde{\eta}$ Orestem dixisset, aliquantulum minus insolens esset, ut Pers. 125. лє:
 $x \alpha i \pi \varepsilon \delta a \sigma \tau \iota \beta \tilde{n}$. Quad idem active quater occurrit apud Euripidem. Med. 1113. Rhes. 251. 759. Helen. 1516. Śemel passive: Sthenob. fraģm. IIl. v.. 3. úpןà dغ̀
 'Ti. 301, $\chi^{\text {INovoorc } \beta \tilde{\eta} \text {, terrestria. }}$
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V. 88. ae Ald. Herm.


V. go. xă $\rho \tau<$ Ald. - żォøvvцiaus Aeschylus eximie gandet, Valckenaerius cum aliis non ganden.

 parenthesi posuit, quem secutus est Bothe.

V, 92. $z_{x y} \varepsilon \mu \mu v$. Hermann. Schuetz. ex Heathiil
 Jupiterte, legitimo hocce munere, homi nescomitandi, fungentemcolit, ut legiti mummunus dictun sit ab Apolline, ne Mercurins
 Guelph.) longe elegantius. $\sigma$ ह́ $\beta \varepsilon \iota \tau 0 \iota$ Ald. conjunctim
V. 93. 'óp $\mu \dot{\oplus} \mu \varepsilon \nu=\nu$ non ad $\sigma \in \hat{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \varsigma$ referendum, sed

 Aul. 501. àvarxaias $\tau v \dot{\chi} \alpha \varsigma$. Iphig. T. 759. $\xi \in \nu 0$ ф́ovor; Tvíx .
V. 94. ev̌ðouc' Ald.
V. 95. K $\lambda v \tau$. $\varepsilon$ íd. ante Schuetz. ita positum erah nt eid. ante h. v. staret.
 offendant, affero Choeph. 428. sq.

 $\nu$ ตю $\boldsymbol{\beta} \alpha{ }^{\circ} \sigma \varepsilon$.
Supplic. 674. Z
teprarov, ubi loco pronominis substantivum idem at aliud ejusdein significationis. repetitar. Adde Phoe-

 occe tamen exemplum aliquantulum differt.


- V. 100. obtc. Ald. жaЭoṽ $\alpha$ dé quasi supra pro

V. 101. $\quad \pi \varepsilon_{\rho} \rho \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ Ald.
V. 108. xecos Guelph. Ald.

1 V. 103. $\delta \rho \bar{q} \tau \varepsilon$ Schuetz. dן $\alpha \tau \varepsilon$ Hermann. xapдia uelph. Rob. et Both. qui Pauwvii conjecturain ó $\rho \bar{q} \boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$
 bnjectura Hermann. Schuetz.
V. 104. eddovac Akl. $\delta_{\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota}$ Guelph. Ald. Rob. 'orn. et Wakefield., qui $\nu$ éqe $\lambda x v \sigma \tau \tau x \dot{v} \nu$ ante 2 ubihe in hac tragoedia omittit.

 rotius vertit:

Mens intus est oculata cum sounno incubat,
At de die sua facta mortales latent.
ententiae generales aut proverbialiter dicta saepius onnisi ex parte ad ea, de quibus agitur, pertinent,
 in, interdin fata hominumenon providenar, addita sunt ad sententian inchoatam finiendam;

伐 ivorviar pavtevoravos. Etymol. Magn. Addle lesych.
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V. 107. vıф́á入ı« Guelph. Ald.

- V. 108. voxii oepvi. Guelph. Alcl. Rob. Glasg Composita librarii saepissime divise runt. Cf. ad Choeph 902. adde Supplic. 599. 686. 697. 747. 781, 805. 930.
 tionem Aeschylus non adhibuisset, si verum e quod putant Staulejus et Schuetzius, Eumenid scilicet sacrificatun fuisse sine igne. Agam. 70 . $\rho \propto \nu$ iєคळั egregie expedivit Humboldtus, quem sequ tur, licet eum non nominet, Blomfieldus. Oed, Coh

 Adde Eustath. ad Odyss IV. p. 1493. Polluc. VL, sf Phot. p. \&19. ibique Schleusper. Etym. M. v. . yifo
V. 109. вđ̌จvov Ald. $\delta \rho a \nu$ Guelph.
 pexpov Guelph. Turn. dixeır Ald.
V. 118. $\dot{\alpha} \rho x v \sigma \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \tau \nu$ Ask. d. Guelph. Rob. àpuco $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ Ald. Pers. y6. sq. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon \iota$ (scil. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \tau \alpha$ స̦eõ̃) ß $\rho_{\rho} \alpha$
 $\xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \emptyset v \gamma \varepsilon i \nu \nu$. Again. 1376. $\pi \eta \mu 0 \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho x \dot{v} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \sigma \nu$, quem locum Blomfieldus vitiavit, Humboldtus bene intellexit. (Orest. 1405, $\dot{\alpha} \rho x \dot{v} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu \quad \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \alpha \grave{\alpha}_{\nu}$ Blomfiello suspectum; sanos sc. dicit insanos, „סaß nur $\mathbb{C}$ beipe, Der Iranfe, gefund" vide Goethei carmen, quod inscribitur: Der ©binefe in $\mathfrak{R o m} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{\prime}}$ ) Nibilo minus praeferrem l. n. á $\rho v v \sigma \mu \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu ;$ quod codices nonnulli habent, cujus glossa magis solitum $\dot{\alpha} \rho x v o t \alpha^{\prime} \cdot$ $\tau \omega v$ esse potest. Aeschylus exhibet $\alpha \dot{v} ञ a \delta i a$ et $\alpha i=1 d^{\circ}$
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V. 126. d $\pi \varepsilon \rho$. Ald.




- V. 130. bveideciv. Sic Guelph. Herm. Schuetz: oveídeorov. Ald. eiv dixous Ald.
V. 131. ${ }^{2 v \tau i x e v ~ \tau \rho a \gamma i v e \tau \alpha \iota ~ A l d . ~}$
V. 132. ov̀ \&' Pears. Glasg. Herm. ©̈d' Scaliger. teste Abreschio. cò ${ }^{\circ}$ Pauw. conj. Schuetz, recepit.
 gína $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$ Turn.
V. 13j. $\dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ Hermann. Schủetz. ${ }^{\circ} \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \chi \alpha i \nu o v \sigma \alpha$ Ald. Eurip. Orést. 288. İ $\sigma \boldsymbol{\chi} \alpha \iota \nu \varepsilon$. Ald. ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \chi \alpha \nu \varepsilon$, alii ťaxalve, quod recepit Porson. Atticis propter sonam
 quorum hoc Tragicis semper restituendun juclicat. Eadem permutatio Prometh. 869. ubi vide Blomfield. cui nemo assentiri potest, qui Riemeri Lexico utitur, ubi de utraque forma bene agitur. ibid. 380. Eumenid. 256. Sic $x \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \nu 0 \varsigma$, $x \varepsilon \rho \chi \omega$ et $x \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \nu \omega$. et alia hujuscemodi.


 Guelph. Ald.
 citu Ald.
V. 140. $\pi \alpha$ Эิoṽ $\alpha$, quasi praecessisset हैँ $\pi \alpha$ సov. simi non supplendum.
 congruat cum $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \approx o \varsigma$ non praefero $\delta v \varsigma \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \varsigma$. Dixisset s forsan Aeschylus dvosaš̌я, si metrum tulisset, sic
enin solent Tragici. Soph. Antig. 1877. Tóvor dés-
 Pers. 57q. sq. duspácíxtov av̀dáv. Eumenid: 255. $\pi \omega_{0}$



 orov. Gั non semel male illatam, v. c. Soph. Oed. T. ${ }^{13299}$. Cf. Elinsl. ard Eurip. Med. v. ${ }^{3} 34$ sq.

V. ${ }^{144}$. xpaiznitiáa Ald. Rob. Guelph.
V. 145. $\pi i \lambda \eta$ Ald.
 Dpeias.






N. 151. abeŝt Ask. ch
- V. 152. $\mu$ етодaßei Ald.
V. 153. 'Post $\lambda_{0} \beta \dot{\partial} \dot{v}$ interpunxi, majore distinctione post xévipq sublata, iidem Schnetzius olim feati; nec no no Hermannus. Libidinis, dolorúm et irae sedes in jecore, ef. Valckenaer. ad Hippolyt. 1070.
V. 154: $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \dot{\sigma} i v . ~ V i c t o r . ~ S t a u l . ~ J a ́ i o v ~ A s k . ~ d . ~$ Post $\boldsymbol{\delta} a \mu i o v$ distinctionem recte bustu lerunt Schuetz. Hermann. Bothe senteatian Pauwii et Heathii secuti.


Hermann．tò $\beta a \rho$ ú $\pi$ reg．Bothe．Vulgatae major ineat




V．157．abest Ask．d．
V．158．фочолес所 ante Schuetz，Agam．1428．фан vo $\lambda \iota \beta \varepsilon i \tau v i \chi \alpha$ ．Manus caede pollutae，antequam expiav tae sint，cruorem stillare，fingunt poetae．Longius

 $\mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$ ह̇！$\pi \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau i \varepsilon \tau 0 \nu$ ．Sic Hermannus，quem se－ quitur Humboldtus．Optime．фóvos saepe significat cruarem．Vide Wernsclorf．ad Himer．Eclog．IV． $80 \cdot$
 Ask．d．
 Hermann．Both．ó $\rho \dot{\mu \varepsilon д і о д ~ C a n t e r . ~ c o n j e c i t, ~ W a k e f . ~ r e-~}$ cepit．Heathio placet $\dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o \nu, ~ i d e m ~ a u t ~ d \rho o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o v ~$

 $\alpha i \rho о \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ．Phoeniss．1569．pro $\dot{\alpha} \rho о \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu \alpha$ alii，$\alpha i \rho о \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \alpha$

 Dawes．Miscell．crit．p．e35．dixit，sibi placere ai $\rho \alpha_{-}$
 $\sigma$ õa Rob．Cf．Brunck：ad Antig．${ }^{\text {907．}}$ ．Elmsley．ad Med． 825.

V．162．Е̇ф єотi甲．Ald．$\mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota, ~ \sigma$ 甲 praeter Schuetz． Hermann．Glasg．$\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \Phi^{*}$ Wakefield．$\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma$ ös $^{\circ}$ Bothe．
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 \% $\phi v$. de reti dictum, nisi lacunam statuas post vs. $\tau \delta \lambda-$
 1233. sq. ed. Schuetz. lacunae signuin omnino necessa-





 citus Graecorum. Supplic. 533. $\tau d \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu o ́ s v \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{2} \tau \alpha \nu$.

 gotoıv aietoĩs, si de fulmine intelligeretur, quod fieri posse non puto, l. n. optime conveniret.) S. c. Th. 189. $i \pi \pi \iota x \omega \check{\nu} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \dot{v} \pi \nu \omega \nu \pi \eta \delta \alpha \lambda i \omega \nu \delta i \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha, \pi v-$ $\rho \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\alpha} \nu \quad \chi^{\alpha \lambda \iota \nu \omega \bar{\nu} .}$. Caldero in dramate, quod inscribitur: La vita un sueño, act. I.

O aquesta pistol, aspid
De metal, esculpirá
El veneno penetrante De dos balas etc.
$\mathbf{8} \phi \iota \varsigma$ in eleganti Aristophanis versu Eccless. 904. edd pe Kust. non metaphorice dictum videtur. Phoeniss. 1377.

V. 174. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tilde{\pi} \varsigma$ Ald. $\mu_{\epsilon \bar{\prime}}^{i} \alpha \nu$. Rob.
V. 176. ঠónoıs $\sigma \varepsilon$ Marg. Ask. Wakefield. $\chi \rho \not \mu_{\mu} \leqslant$ $\pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha c$. Guelph. Ald.




 ps puto in formis de quibus non accurate statui potesh.




 Guelph. Rob. Schuetz. xaxoṽ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \cdot \tilde{v} \nu \mathfrak{c}$ Ald. xaxý - $x^{\lambda o}$ ṑvs $^{2}$ Stanley. conjecit, receperuut Hermann, pthe. Doederlein. specim. Sophocl.' p. 16 . arepuá-
 pr liberos. L. n. haecce minime conveniunt, ubi pae fieri solita erant, enumerantar, neque $\sigma x \ell \rho \mu \alpha$ c pro: sarth, occurrit. मेंd pro nal Atticuin esse nemat Dawesius ot Valckenzerias invitis exemplis, quan pllegit Porsonus Actvers. p. 3q. ed. Lips. - $\lambda_{\epsilon v \sigma \mu o ́ s}$ Larg. Ask. Casaul. (et $\lambda$ evojnoi conjec.), recepp. Schueta! lerm. Both. Wakef. probantibus Pauwio; Abreschio, • leathio, Stanlejo.
 P. Dawves. Miscell. Critt. p. 160. sq. Brunck. adl Propth. 1031. Wernick. ad Tryphiodor. 216. 266. p Ald.

1 V. 183. oteprys’ Ald. Post ${ }^{\prime}$ xovoat interrogatio|seignum posui, cum Herm Schuetz. Both. e Pauiii sententia. Nota interruptionem orationis. Sic


## $-116$







 V．184．aipatogóфov Rob。
V．186．$\pi \lambda_{\eta \sigma i o ı s, ~ G u e l p h . ~ A l d . ~ \& ~}^{\text {dovoiocờ．Boths }}$ Wakef．e Pauwii conjectura．Serl $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda$ noiosoı magis ad Eumenicles，quam ad templum spectans，transpo－ sitione poetis familiari satis firmatum，dictum enim pro $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha \varsigma$ aut $\pi \lambda$ roiov．$\rightarrow t \rho i \beta e \sigma$ Sacı。 cf．Schol．ad $22 g$ ： Ad conjunctionenn aut societatem qualemeunque si－ gnificandam Graeci verbis fortioribus et insolentiori bus saepissime usi sunt．Supra 176.

（Orest．919．थ̈бтv xà






 Oedip．C．136z．Iphig．T．1087．Aristoph．A．．329．678．）
 Antig．94．485．）Eurip．Orest．793．о与тıs $\tau \varrho \delta \pi \sigma \iota \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v v-$
 Soph．Electr．1485．Pincl，Olymp．I．34．146．Pyth IX，128．Nem．I．85．II．34．Isthw．VLI，35．Plato ：
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 est, quod moneamus, licet Cieuzerus Mytholog. IL 516. hanc imaginem suo more explicaverit. Senten tiose aut proverhialiter dicta e sermone vulgi petita saepe leguntur. Sic Supplic. 958. sq. $\alpha^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \rho \sigma \varepsilon \nu a s$ xal


 alia.
V. 189. Pro Xog. Ald. Evin.' et ssic usque ad 225. V. 190. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ Ald. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota$ Kob. alias semper no
V. 191. eis pro sis Schuetz. Herm. Bothe. Wakef. e Canteri conjectura. Quum $\varepsilon i \zeta$ cò $\pi \tilde{\alpha} x$ optime dictum sit, mutatione vel facillima abstinendnm. Himer. Eclog. IV. 6. $\tau i v o s ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \lambda \iota \varkappa \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \mu \iota \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ;$ ov̉ $\sigma \dot{\alpha}$


V. 19z. $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \delta \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha ; \tau o \tilde{v} \tau o$ Rob. ó $^{\gamma}$ ov Herm. Schuetz. Bothe.
V. 193. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \iota \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ vulg. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \iota$, $\tau i \mu \eta_{i}^{\prime} \nu ;$ Schuetz。 Herm. Pors. 2. ex Abresch. et Stanl. (cur. sec.) sententia, $\tau i . \mu \eta{ }_{n}$. Both. Glasg. e Canteri conjectura, $\pi \varepsilon \mu-$ ษas Wakef. quorl Butlero placet.
 tis aliis locis notio caedis sursintenigenda: cf. Dorvill. ad
 Guelph. ©' èx $x \omega \rho$ Kob.
V. 197. Interrogationis signuin in fine h. v. hannt Ald.-Rob. Turn. Wakef.
| V.-198. tásde pro toĩcдe proposuit Pors.' A'dver= ir. p.'no. Sed cf. 176: 185. sq. Respondent quirlem,

 poor roüsde spectant. - yoaqion Al\%.

- V. 803. Hune versum post Canterum Apollidi fibumit (excepta edit. Glasg.) signum interrogationis ost $\phi$ owes ponentes.r Apolinem, infra conteadentem
 ivonv esse, haec verba Orestem graviter"accusantia ion decent. Ald. et vss, sqq. Chozo tribaik.
V. -204. म̃ pro Hèrn. Schuetz. Both. - dexéoø
 Ierm, ex Heathii, conjectura. Optime. Pers, \&16. oṫ-

 Hd. Hugo Grotius'vertit: 1,1 .. rgive . $ا$

Hoc srobatur, ipsa sine honore est Venus,
b Quae maximas amoris illeċebras habet. I

- Plus namque thatami rite et uxori et viro Servata vincla jurejurando valent.
(1) V. so\%. plyozac Ald Stanl.'
 Hegrenos Rob. Herm. Both. Schuetz. Pors. 2. -

 ilasg. öpxov te cett. $\mu$ uijov ex Heathii sententia Herm. ichuetz. Bothe. - $\phi \rho$ ovgovuding Ald.
WV. 210, si Canter. conjecit, receperunt Schuetz.

Hermann. Bothe. Wakefield. probant Pauw. Abresch. Heath. Stanl. $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha i s, ~ G u e l p h . ~ A l d . ~ T u r n . ~$

 Heath. probat. $\mu \dot{\eta} \cdot \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda_{\delta \sigma} \sigma a \iota$ Wakef. post Auratum vulgatam contorte explicat Abreschius. si oṽv ( $\boldsymbol{\text { onovirov) }}$



 Brunck. indic. Sophocl. Verbum simplex pro composito) - xо́зч. . Pears. Casaub. teste Abreschio xá $\quad$. iлол兀єv́scı Aeschylus frequentat. Choeph. 1. 496. 56o. 917. 995. etc. Soph. Electr. 825. غ́фn $\rho \bar{q} \nu$ sic posuit. Pind.


V. 218. Apollin. nomen praefixit Ald. ob $\$ n \mu^{\prime}$ Ald.

V. 213. x́́p $\tau \alpha \sigma$ Ald. x $\alpha \rho \tau \alpha \varsigma$ Guelph.

V. 215. $\Delta i x a s \delta^{\prime}$ in' ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}$. Guelph. Ald. Turn.
 Turn.
V. 216. oivec Ald. $\lambda_{i \pi \infty}$ pro $\lambda_{\varepsilon i \pi \omega}$ Marg. Ask. Schuetz. Herm. Bothe, Glasg.
V. 217. $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{\omega}$ Herm. Schuetz, Both. Marg. Ask. e Pauivii séntentia et ita Aurat. Sic solent Graeciтóvตy $\pi \lambda_{\varepsilon ́ o v}$ Wakef, et $\pi o \dot{v o v} \pi \lambda$ bò legi posse, monet Butlerus,
V. s19. ovid̀̀ Aurat.
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V. 287. ท̈x ${ }^{\circ}$ Hermann. סov́xov 'Ald.
 ad Callimach. hymn. in Lavacr. Pallad. v. 11, Aeschylus, Sophocles et Euripides in iis, quae'supersunt, vocem: $\boldsymbol{\phi o r \beta \alpha ́ \xi s ı \nu , ~ n i s i ~ f a l l o r , ~ n o n ~ a d h i b u e r a n t . ~ H ̀ e - ~}$
 $\dot{\alpha} \circ i \beta \alpha \nu \tau o v$, . De ixéraus atque ritibus purgandi vide Creuzer. Mytholog. II. p. 518. sqq. Lycophron frequentat, ubi vid. Tzetz. ad v. 731. Soph. Ajac. 33і̀. frustra tentavit Musgrave.
V. e29. tádos Hermann. pro te xpóg. Herm-sequuntur Schuetz. Bothé. probante Reisigio Conjectan. in Aristophan. p. 71. Antig. 409. sq. if xa $\tau \varepsilon i x \varepsilon$ चdे
 Cf. Boeckh. ad. Pind. Olymp. VI. 53. sq. ubì citat Oed. C. 495. $3.51,14$ Jon. ap. Athen. XI. 501. f. Huic usui non dissimilis est articuli usus in caesura pentametri et pronominis relativi atque possessivi eodeun loco. Cf. Jacobs. ad Antholog. Pal. T. III. p. 535. Lobeck. ad Ajac. p. 444. Herm. Elem. wetr. 119 . Lachmannus in libro de Choricis systematis p. 21. explicat $\pi \rho \rho_{s}$ : praterea, quod cum usu loquendi parnm convenit.
V. $830 \pi o \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu a \sigma \iota$ ante Glasg. Herm. et sequentes.
V. 232. $\sigma$ ต́弓øv 8' Casaub.
V. ej3. Nota $\pi \rho \sigma ́ \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \mu \iota$ temporis praesentis significatione ct. Hermann. de Aeschyli Danaidibus. p. VIII.

$$
\text { V. 235. } \tau \underset{O}{\prime} \mathcal{\delta}^{\prime} \dot{z} \pi \iota \tau^{\prime} \text { Ald. }
$$




 naxody. ubi Valckenaer. praeter J. n.今 citat Achill. Tat.
 Cf. Jácobs. Anthol. Pal. T. III. 580:1775.
V. 237. yex ¢óv. Med. Guelph. Ald. Rob. Turn.
V. 238. т $\rho \grave{\varsigma}$ аi $\mu \alpha$ xai $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu d \nu$ dictum pro aim, $\mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\rho} \nu$. cf. Jacobs, animadv. in Eurip. , p. A, $_{\text {, }}$ Fragm. apud Eustath. ad II. T. p. 118j. (1250) $\pi \rho i{ }^{2}{ }^{\text {a }} \nu$
 frmstra tuetur ad Orest. 90y. Sic Soph. Oed. T. 1879.
 ros $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$ xai aifac. (Liv. I. 48. partemque sanguinis ac caedis paternae.) Iliad. XVI. 162 eadem non dissoluta, ©oyoy eiparos. Ante caedem expiatam manus criore stillan-




 Ajac. I. c. adsentiente Wellauero (Comment. Aeschyl. p. 64.)
V.is40. $\boldsymbol{r} \pi \bar{\alpha} \nu$ Rob.
V. 241. àzdrpous Rob.1 Haec permutatio dubium reldit, situe xtepor S.ci Th; 661. inventum. Grammatici, an error librarii, quem Hermannus teste Naekio ad Choerilam Samium, recepturus est. De locutione dxcifoos $\dot{\pi} \omega \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \sigma \nu \nu$.vide supra 236 . Wernick. ad Tryphiodor. 85. Blomfield. Glossar. ad Agam. 81.. Poetae Hispanici hisce iuprimis delectantur. Ex innumeris citare liceat unum exemplum tacetissimum init. cram. quod inscribitr: La vita un sueño.
 Stanl. xazemтaxds Schuetz. Wakef. probantibus Abre-

V. 844 . $\dot{\boldsymbol{e} \sigma} \mu \dot{\lambda}$ pro ò $\sigma \mu \dot{\eta}$. Ald. Forsan $\mathbf{6 \delta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\eta}$ legendum, ut in Prometh. Codicibus parum fidei habendum in hisce rebus. vid. Lobeck ad Phrynich. p. 89. Wernick, ad Tryphiodor. p. 188.
 Turn. ${ }^{\text {Dete }}$.
 system. p. 91. Dualem Abresch. defendit, putans in hac tragoedia regulam servatam esse, ut quamvis Chorus e multis constet, tamen ubique Dearum tantum habeatur ratio. Seidler. de vss. dochur. p. 43. alio modo explicari posse censet, ut scilicet duabus Furiis vigilandi negotiun traditum putetur. Affert Orest, 1251. Hippol. 577. Buttmannus Gramm. Gr. 'p. 348. Dualem et Pluralem antiquitus eandem formam habuisse monens, vulgatain tuetur, comparans Homerum et Pindarum. Si Boeckhius verum vidit; Pinda-
 Duas voces a librariis conjunctas multis locis legi notum est. cf. ad Choeph. 904, et Supplic. 560. 56j. 383. 533. 447.607. 653. 687. 694. 718. 789. 730. 744. 745. 794. 850. 870. 886. 947. Aldina exemplis' abundat. Herm, ${ }^{\circ} \rho \alpha$ - $\mu \hat{\gamma}$ in nnum versum conjunxit. In prima dissertatione de Choro Eumenid, p. XV. in duos versus distribuit:
${ }^{\prime} \rho \alpha,{ }^{\prime} \rho \alpha \mu \mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} ; \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}$.

¢vyáda Ald. Turn. Solent librarii formas contractm
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$\tau i \nu \varepsilon ı, \mu \alpha \tau \rho 0 \not \subset o ́ v a s, \delta i ́ a c$. quod speciem ducit e Soph. Electr. 248. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota 申 o ́ v o v s ~ \delta i x a s . ~ L a c h m . ~ I . ~ c . ~ a ̀ v \tau ı \pi o ́ v o r s, ~(, ~$ Blomfield. glossar. in Pers. 482. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau u \pi o i v o v \varsigma ~ \tau i v e ı ~ \mu \eta-~$.
 фóvos. Butler. Lachm. Non opus. Infra 269. $\mu \eta \tau \rho 0$ -







 Troad. 199. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \gamma o v_{\varsigma} \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ s . ~ I p h i g . ~ T . ~ 53 . ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \nu \eta \nu ~ \xi я-~$
 vos. Rhes. $977 . \pi \alpha i ð o \pi o \iota o i ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu ф о \rho \alpha i . ~ P i p d . ~ O l y m p . ~ V L . ~ . ~$ 102. $\lambda \alpha 0 \tau \rho o ́ \phi o \nu \tau \iota \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$. Nein. X. 71. $\varepsilon v^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu \tau \mu \alpha^{\prime}$, et multa alia.
 Herm. Both. Glasg. Sed vulgata non abhorret al usu Tragicorum, de iterationibus minime anxiorum. Aeschyl. Supplic 1000.

> Hippol. 1266. sq. ò $\rho \varepsilon \sigma x o ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma x \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \alpha \omega \nu ~ \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma i \omega \nu ~ \Im ' ~ o ̈ \sigma \alpha ~$ $\tau \varepsilon . \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \varepsilon \iota-\alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \varepsilon$. Antig. 343. sqq. Huc quodam. modo pertinet Aristoph. Plut. 81. sq.
« $\alpha$ Z $\mathrm{Z} \varepsilon \tilde{v}$.



 potiv Ald.
V. 259. $\tau i \tau^{\prime}$ pro $\tau \iota \nu^{\prime}$ Ald. Turn. - тoxĩas Herm. lchuetz. (Auratus) e certissiuna correctione pro coxéas.
V. 260. Exovt' Guelph. Turn. Kob. $\ell^{\prime} \chi o v \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} x$ Ald.
 loth. - $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \pi \bar{\alpha}$ Alcl. - $\tau \bar{\eta} \phi \rho \varepsilon \nu i$ Rob.
V. 866. $\sigma \iota \gamma \tilde{q} \nu$ Ald. $\tau \omega \bar{\delta} \varepsilon$ Ald.
 unrat.

V: 208. Bekker Anecilot.I. 35. סvऽца́ $\rho \alpha \nu \tau 0 \nu$ raxóv.
V. 269. Ěx $\pi \lambda$ ritov Als.
V. 270. totaiviov Ald. et sic Guelph. e rasura ro $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$.
V. 271. xaશapuors xot $\rho$ oxtóvoss. Adjectivum dis-

 leı $\lambda_{0} \equiv \varepsilon \rho \overline{\text { g̈ }} \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha \dot{\nu}$.) Aeschyl. fragu. apid Eustath. Il.




 474. Bov́Sv七ov áuégav Eurip. Aug. fragin. apur Clem.
 mnino legeudum. - Porci sanguine Athenis concio opuli lustrabatur, vide Schol. arl Aristoph Plist. 454. charn. 44. In mysteriis immolabantur. vicl. Acharn. 37. Pac: 373. ed. Ktist.

I V. 273 . ©̈бoьs Med. Ask. d. Guelph, Schiitz, Herm.

## - 128

 an ö́cooıṣ? " Stanl. cur. secund. öбoıs sensus requil t. cf. supra 2e8. sqq. Frustra renititur Butlerus, ita explicans: Jamvero multo sermone usquea principio, hoc est ante lustrationem quamlibet, qua non egeo, libereatilicet, quippequihuc advenerim piaculinsons, quemqueadeoin societatem et commercium tuto admittas, $\rightarrow$ $\dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \bar{\eta}$ Ask. d. Guelph.

V, 月74. $_{x} \alpha \approx \alpha i \rho \varepsilon_{4}$ legendum censet Stanl.






 655. Choeph, 644, etc. cf. Döderlein, specimen Sophacl. p. 79.
: V. 280. $\Lambda \iota \beta v \sigma \tau \iota x \overline{g_{s}}$. Casaub. Pears. Wakef.Schuetz, Herm. probantibus Pauwio et Butlero. Tzetz. ad Ly-





 T'zetz, Autẹhomeric. 1333,
 Propert. I. 20, 9. gigantea litoris ora. Hujusmorli trauspositiones frequentant poetae, Sic Iphig, T. 1237.
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 ad Ajac. 1200.
 $\mu$ kjov Marg. Ask.

V. 890. cxiay ex Heathii conjectura rece Schuetz. Herm. Both. Hermann. comma posu
 Botheus post גraipazoy et dac $\mu \dot{\partial \nu ø \nu . ~ S c h u e t z i i ~}$ punctio praeferenda.
 tionis in fine h. v. habent Herm, Both, probant lero, ne futuris' opus sit in praecedente versu praesens ita positum vim addit orationi, rein fu ut praesentem ante oculos ponens.

V: s93. Victor. Turn. Canter. Apollini tribu

 Guelph. Heath. Herm. Schuetz. Both.
V. 298 . $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi \eta \tau \alpha$ Ald.
V. 299. $z \pi i$ vo $\mu \tilde{\alpha}$ Ald. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ pro $\ddot{\alpha}_{\mu \alpha}$ e ( correctione Schuetz. Herm. Both. Wakef. $\alpha, \mu \alpha$ r Glasg. i. e. Porsonus, locuin forsan corruptim $p$ propter duos continuos paroemiacos, quos Pav
 Sed nisi alia accedant argumenta, talia notanc emendanda sunt.
 Med, Guelph. Rob. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ pro $\stackrel{\Omega}{\prime}^{\prime}$ Casaub. Pears. Stanl, cur. sec. praeferendum videtur.

 Schuetz. secutus est. $\pi \rho o$ º $^{\prime} \rho v \tau^{\prime}$ Both.

 exempla tamen exceptiones corrigere, id est pro lubitu agere. Hermann. Elem. loctr. Metr. p. 37s. hiatam et in systemate anapaestorum legitimo agnoscere videtur, cui tamen minus tribnerim, quippe qui hisce in rebus, ut et in aliis, potius libere vagetur, quam firmo judicio gaudeat. Hic antistrophica restituenda et ob id hiatum refendendum esse, suspicatur, quae
 $\chi^{y v i}$ Ald.
V. 304. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\iota} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ Schuetz. Herm. e Stanl. conjer. tura, quam retractat in curis secundis. Quint. Smyrn.

 Quae Porsono de voce $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\jmath} \rho$ placueruut, satis nota sunt, oblocutus est quodammodo Lobeck. ad Ajac. 1181. Infra 693. $\dot{\alpha}$ vị̀ orationem non pulchrau redderet.
V. 305. фoviovs Ask. d.
 Stanl. Cant. - $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nsucceq \nu$. Ald. Stanl. x $\rho \alpha \dot{x} \tau \rho \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ Ask. d. aivé Ald.
 praeter Glasg. Herm. Both. Wakef. סe $\begin{gathered}\text { opxócı praeter }\end{gathered}$ Guelph. Ald. Rob. Schuetz. Herm, Glasy. Both.
V. 311. roiväv praeter Ald. Rob. Herm. Schuetz.
 ditinoy Aid. tiAqG。 praeter Guelph. Ald. Rob. Herm, Schuetz.
V. 319. xraxa Guelph. Ald. Cad, Righ Vaci gis solitae forsan cedere debuit lectio in impe nenda. $\mu$ arfatov ante Schuetz. Genitivnin: putant Pauvo. Heath. Sic Ajac. 134. sq. Tisc hem
 Sed jam supra monúimus de epithetís pluribns substantivo adjectis. Transpositio adjectivi freqnem tissima est.
V. 313. recosvpive Rob. De quantitate co Passov. dissert. de Trachin. v. 995. - фpivodails ter Guelph. Ald. Rob. Both. post, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha$ ор $\dot{\alpha}$ 'delevit Hermannus, quem Schuetz. secutus est
 фgevodadits, ntit фgevoßhaßйs. Prometh. 878.sq. gatc paviac. Tryphiodor. 975. yoorityreooty $\lambda$ (nou, si Rhodomanni conjectura veranest. Cf. intpp V. 314, abov Bporoós praeter Med. Ask. d. Ak Rob. Turn. Schuetz. Glasg. Herm. Bothe. Abest Guelph. sed in margine adscriptum. - d́qóguxrof






V. 316. Exлte̊ca Ald.
V. 317. Tvacõ̃ pro $\mathfrak{F} \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ e Canteri co ctione receperunt Glasg. Herm. Schuetz. Both. tovgriats. Guelph. Ald. Rob. Victor. - $\xi_{\boldsymbol{v} \mu}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\pi}{ }^{5}$ Med. Ald. $\boldsymbol{\xi} \dot{\nu} \mu \pi \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma e \nu$ Rob, $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota o c$ bene habet. G de stolidis, impiis, et nocentibus usurpant $\mathbf{v}$
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V. 38\%. $\boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu$ Rob. Wakef. - $\quad \pi i s a \sigma o s ~ A l d$. Victor. tıisaơods Stanl. Eadem permutatio Phot. Lex. тıIaøòs Glàsg. Herm. Schuetz. Both. זiAaqos ctt. ef. Porson. Adversar. p. 141. - $\phi i \lambda_{o s}$ Rob. $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \eta \text { Ald. }\end{aligned}$
V. 328. Ėォutóyns Turn. Schuetz. Herm. Both. Exclamationes orationi interjectae saepe leguntur. Pers. 114. 281. 548. sq. 571: 574. sqq. 580. 973. 1067. Sept. E. Th. 125. 313. 324. 772. 874. Sóph. Electr. 1262. 1 et invocationes Deorum orationis animanilae causa : interpositas legimus. Cf. Jacohs. Anthol. Pal. III. 85. 107. - óroícs oinnes praeter Glasg. Schuetz. Correxit Arnald. - $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ Schuetz. - véov aifa. Hermann. ${ }^{6}$ conj. et Schuetz. recepit, Butletus hoc probans, .a drónevar pendere putat, quod nullo modo fieri potest a Novumpiaculum delemus dictum, pro: homi- ${ }^{\ell}$ cidas abducinus súb terras, ultionem• petentes. Ne quis tamen putet, me $\alpha i \mu \alpha$ latiori significatione accipere, quod e. g. Soph. Electr, 1394. a nonnullis pes. E sime factum est.
V. $330{ }^{\circ} \sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta o ́ \mu \iota a \iota$ Ald. $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \delta \rho \alpha \iota$ Turn. Victor. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ $\tau$ ' pro ' $\delta$ ' conjecit Butlerus: ut, coli signo ad finem ' t . strophae praecedentis posito, haecquasicausam persecutionis ibi memoratae contineant, 1 et hinc usque ad $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \xi \xi_{\dot{\prime}} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ quasiparenthesi $\dot{i}$ includantur. - $\tau i v a$ Ald. $\Delta l a x$. pro $\tau \iota v a ̀$ Schuetz. $\left.\right|_{\text {r }}$ e Pauwii conjectura - $\tau \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \not \partial_{\varepsilon}$ Rob.
 ler. Hermi. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ omittit $\uparrow \varepsilon ต ั \nu$ ad $\mu \varepsilon \rho i \mu \nu a \varsigma$ referens. - $\lambda a r$. $A$ $\chi \alpha i ̈ \varsigma$ pro $\lambda_{\iota \tau \alpha i ̆ s ~ S c h u e t z . ~ q u o d ~ e t ~ B u t l e r . ~ s u s p i c a t u r . ~}^{\text {. }}$ дácials Wakef. Sensus est. Quemque deorum L hiscecuris levareimmunesquexedderestury
dentes, quim hominesmeinvocandipoter.




 cit. Annal. II. 71. Si quos spes meae. Liv. II. 1. regio metu. III. 16, terror servilis.) Plat. Gorg. 486. a. $\varepsilon \dot{v}-$


 cit. H. Steph. è $\pi i \grave{x}$ x $i \sigma u \nu$ Pears.



$\because$ V. 336-38. Haec prost strophain $\cdot$ sequentem legebanturiante Hermannun, quem secuti sunt Schuetz.


 Herm. Schuetz. et sic scribit Abresch. in animadd.
V. 337. גं $\times \dot{\mu} \dot{\eta}$ periphrastice positum. . Sio Bacch.




 PAld.
 Iycophr. 1437. Nota tianspogitionem adjectivi. - of-

*iit Anthol. Pal. VI. 33. 218.. Recipere
 'un. 511 in trimetro occurrens huc non pe .
 . suc; ctt. praeter Herm. Glasg, Schuetz. Both. Per «....ルt frequentissima.
V. 342. ォiлtov Ald. oide praeter Ald. Rob. Herm
 ıiphrasis, cujusmodị jam supra attulimus. - $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ onit. tunt Herm. Schuetz. Both. Glasg., ex Heathii sentertia. $\mu \tilde{i} \sigma o s$ Ald. $\mu \tilde{n} \sigma o s$ ante Canter.


 $\alpha \dot{\delta} \delta \bar{\omega} \mu a \iota$, neque Prometh. 766. passive intelligendum
 furdt. - Infortunium noctem, statum felicem lucem
 referendum.
V. 346. $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varepsilon \iota \gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ omnes praeter Herm. Schucth Both. Wakef.
V. 347. ס̇̀ xaì omnes praeter Wakef, Schuelu Herun. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} v \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho$ ǵro@oc Ald.
V. 349. sq. $\dot{\alpha} \tau i \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ Guelph. Ald. Rob. Turn. Vic tor. $\grave{\alpha} \tau \iota \tau \alpha$ Pears. $\alpha \tau i \varepsilon \tau o \nu$ memorat H. Steph. - dr $\chi^{\circ \sigma \tau \tau \tau \tau o v ̃} \tau^{\prime}$ Guelph. Rob. $\delta \iota \chi o \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o v ́ ~ \tau^{\prime}$ Ald. - $\lambda \alpha, \mu \pi \omega$ Med. Ald: Rob. $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \mu \psi \alpha \iota$ Turn. Victor. Jota adscrir ptum multos errores pepérit. Suppl. 88. $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \iota \nu a \iota \xi 0 \%$
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## - 238





 Phoeniss. 337. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha i ̃ \sigma \iota ~ \alpha i a \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau ต v$. Alcest. 467. $\mu 0 \lambda \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu$
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \eta \varsigma$ (Virgil. Aeneid. XII. 5y8. pugnae certa- in






 $\pi \lambda o ́ x \alpha \mu о \nu$. Herc. f. 410. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ ф $\dot{\rho} о \varsigma$. Orest. 1300. 8 .

 1310.) Phoeniss. 1190. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ö $\chi o v$. (Iph. T. 360.) $c$ Eurip. Supplic. 661. 683. $\cdot \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ ó $\chi^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \alpha$. Philoct. $($


 (Hinc defendi potest locus Anthol. Pal. IV. 95. $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\xi} \rho$
 aíc̀v xaì $\beta i i^{\circ}$ Longin. XXXVI. sermo et oratio Cicera de natura Deor. I. 34.) Iphig. A. 227. T. 1134. öquy
 ubique. Catull. de Aty. 7, terrae sola. Virgil. Aen $\quad$ V. 422. membrorum artus. Tacit, Annal. II. 78. lito- \& rum oram. Liv, I. 24. graminis herban. Haecce ;
apposui, unde appareret, quam late hoc genus loquendi pateat.
V. 364. $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \propto จ \alpha \sigma 0 \nu \mu \dot{\nu} \nu r$. Herm. Schuetz.Both. ut Stanl. Bentl. Alıresch. Heath. legendum esse pu-

V. 369. Haecce offendunt interpretes nounullos.


 opera mare trajecisse, tunc curru vectam esse, putat Abresch. $\pi \omega^{\prime} \lambda_{0} \neq \tau$ ' suspicatur Butler.

## Du madita und Yraub, <br> Wir fónuene in Ropf nidt bringen.

Quum aegis celeriter agitata alarum fere-sonum elleret, alis memoratis. hoc aldit ad descriptionem exor-
 \$ $\boldsymbol{y}$. - poùovica Guelph. AlA.
V. 378. xaเvท̆́ Herm. Schuetz, Glasg. e Canteri conjectura, probantibus Bentlejo, Abreschio, Butlero. Cur opus sit, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime} \delta \mu \nu \lambda i \alpha \nu$ epitheto augere, non intelligo, ideoque nil muto, xal st propter placitum argumentis destitutum non dawnans. - $\tau \tilde{n} \delta \delta \subset$ Ask. d.

V: 373. sq: vulg. comina post $\pi{ }^{\circ} \rho(\rho$, colon post zoré, praeter Herm. Schuetz. Orestem ad Palladis statuam sedentem videas apud Millin. mon ant. ined. II. 49. Aide I. $\mathbf{9 9}$.
V. 375. sq. Constructio mutata. Cf. Lob. ad Ajac.
 mortaliumgeneri. Stanl. nulli eorum quigignunturgeneri, seudeorumseuhominum similes. $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \tau \omega ั ้$ yivoc insolenter dictum pro: $\beta \rho \circ \tau$ ต̃y
glvos, postea homines aliis verbis adhibitis commemorari, non est quod offendat. Vidi v. 258. Forsan comparanda sunt, quae legunt Th. 507. sq.
V. 377. סৎøпévas Herm. Schuetz. Both. Pc Stanl. conjectura, probante Butlero. Vulgata contorte explicari posset: sideae estis, nor ex earum numero estis, quae inter versantur.
V. 378. sq. $̇ \nu$ pro oṽv Ald. - $\mu о \rho ф \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \sigma{ }_{l}$ Ald. Rob. Herm. Schuetz. $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \nu \nu$ sine $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ Ald. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{l}}$

 ob deformitatem maledicere, injustu为 $\boldsymbol{J}^{\prime}$ pro $\mathfrak{\eta}^{8} \mathrm{C}$ Ald. Turn.
 Herm. Schuetz. Both. quorl praeferendum videtı doc. Violar. p. 151. (Anecd. Villois. 1.) xađ̀̀
 ad Lycophron. 406. Lobeck. ad Ajac. 671.
V. 383. a¿̧à. Ald. Rob. Turn. Victor. $-\grave{\varepsilon}$
 xєxג. Turn.
 praeter Glasg.
V. 385. Eumenidum nomen pro choro pl Ald. - $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \eta_{\nu} \nu$ Wakef. $\tau \alpha^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ Arnald. $\tau \alpha_{5} \tau$ Al $\pi \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma}$ Ald. - $\tau \mu \mu \alpha_{\xi}$ munus. Cf. Valckenaer. ad I 107. Seidler. ad Eurip. Electr. 988.
V. 386. sq. tic Ald. Ex¢
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V. 406. sq. ク̈бає Guelph. - лоотео́лаьоя Rob. -
 Herm. Schnetz. Both. Wakef. Glasg.


 stiyia secutus. Nisi elegantius, minusstamen poetice




 Herm. Schuetz. Both. ex Abreschii sententia. Haecce furma reponcnda virletur, alteram metro non Hagitante. Agam. 1144. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \not \subset \stackrel{\wedge}{\alpha} \lambda \vec{n} \beta i o v$. Choeph, 378. $\dot{\alpha} \mu-$

 32. apurl Anonym. de Incr. Nili ad calcem Herod.


 Theb. 67b. $\alpha \nu \delta \rho о х \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha \nu ~ \alpha i \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma . ~ \alpha i \mu \alpha ~ \sigma ф а ́ \zeta \varepsilon ь \nu ~ d i c e-~$

 ขov. Virgil. caeso sanguine. Construenda oфayai ai$\mu a \tau o s \times \alpha \Im a \rho \sigma$ iov.
V. н1t. sq. tógoıs pro лógots Ald. лотoüs comi.
 Hippol. 12z. $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} x \alpha ́ \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \iota ~ \rho v \tau \alpha ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \nu$. Oed. C.
 Cf. Pausan. Corinth. 31, $\tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau$ Kob.
V. 421. sq. degecós Ald. iotogets non quaeris, sed nosti significat. - $\alpha \gamma^{\prime} \mu \mu \not \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}$ Ald. vavßocrã Med. Guelph. et Wakef. Schuètz. Herm. Both. Glasg, ex
 Med. Guelph. Ald. Rob. - хєдашขóф@øv. Cf.Lobeck. ad Ajac. 945.
 Herm. Schuetz. $\lambda o v \tau \rho \sigma$, $v$, memorat H. Steph. $\lambda o v \tau \rho \sigma v$ Both. e Stanleji sententia, et sic Butlero teste Pors. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ inserunt Schuetz. Herm. Both, auctore Scaligero. $\tau{ }^{\bullet}$ Wakef. $\lambda$ ovt $\rho \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ lectio elegans et facilis, quae vera videtur, licet vulgata defendi possit. Agam. 1055. oф́oràs лrөós. Haecce orationem impulentem Clytaemmestrae in Agam. respicere putat Schuetz. - x $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ Ald. - V. 430. Soph. Electr. 948. גข兀ıфóivovs Sixac. Huc refert Scaliger. ad Varr. p. 178. erl. Bip. Ennii versum ēx Eumenid. Kisi patrem materno sanguine exanclandóulciscerem.
V. 431. Tตัv $\boldsymbol{\delta \varepsilon \chi o i v \eta ~ A l d . ~}$


V. 434. ov̀ d' Stanl. cur. secuncl. Pears. Wakef. Herm. Schuetz. Both. quod omnino probandum. xpìvgv Ald.
 resch. тávгa, xai rdঠ̛ aiyéon Heath. Enniun Enmenid. haecce ante oculos habuisse putat Scaliger ad Varr. p. 178. ed. Bip. -

Id ego aequum aptins fecisserme expedibo atqueeloquar.

عa' 8' aiveqoo Ald. aivety Tragicis frequentatur. Monk, ad Hippol. 27. Alcest, 2.
V. 436. \#̈ pro et Pears. Stanl. cur. secund. Both. Herm. Pors. s. Elliptice dictum. фóvou Rob. P Stanl, cur. secund. Herm. Schuetz. Glasg. W Both. - $\mathbf{\$ \xi v \mu \eta \nu i \tau o v s ~ S t a n l . ~ c u r ~ s e c u n d . ~ S c h u e t z . ~ H ~}$

 yódıxo dixab.



 ठriac Guelph. Ald. - aitau pro avizac ex Aldina cepi. - Xovot Med, Rob. Tyrwhitt. - m $\rho^{\prime}$

 Iphig. A. 1451. sq. $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu ~ \nu \iota x \eta \phi$ ógov. 1533. $\nu ı x \emptyset \phi$


 -456. Choro tribuendos suspicatur Butler. non ani madvertens, ut videtur, dictionem $\tau 0 \ldots \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\nu} \tau$

 $\pi \in \delta \omega$ Ald.
V. 447. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \not \mu \pi \varepsilon \iota y ~ \tau \varepsilon$ Herm. Schuetz. Both. pro
 punctio aberat. - סroлоiцavt' G. ad Marg. Ask. Askewius. „a B. non a Grotio, ipse enimd
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V. 45\% x $\rho$ व́ $\sigma \varepsilon$ Victor. $\tau \varepsilon$ auctore Heathic sunt Wakef. Herm. Schuetz. Glasg. Recte. - : Ald. evixepla Rob.
V. 460 . tropa cuir displiceat non intellign






V. 461. тожsテَ̃兀 Turn. Cant. Victor. Star misur Guelph toxeṽaty perañĭs, quorl conja
 raüsuç Schuetz. In autistropha dè delendum ri
V. 462. sq. otzi Pears. (Casaub.) - Mar Supplic. 56fo Frididog "Hpac. Lycophron. Cas 143. 505. פadidos ile Helena dixit. Herc. fur. 1091

 maiver, videas apud Wernickium ad Tryphion

 baute, ut videtur, Stanl. cur. secuncl. थ' $\phi$ ' $£ \rho \psi \varepsilon \iota$ Wal
 axdor. Genitivus duplex satis notus, Eurip. 1 531. gaiaj zedos lapaxepop. cf. Jacobs. ad Anth Jat. p. 1-6. --o. et quos citat, Matth. Gramm. Grae Schactior. ad Suphocl. I. z28. Phoeniss. 934. Add and Platon. Polit. I. 3. p. 3zg.


tobante Abreschio. Wakef. $\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \xi \iota \nu} \boldsymbol{v} \pi o ́ \delta v \sigma i v \tau \varepsilon$ Heath. orın. S'chuetz. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \delta o \sigma i \nu \tau \varepsilon$ Botn. prusaute, ut videturg. taul. cur, secuncl. - ov่xย์' $\varepsilon \mathfrak{v}$ Wakef. ov่xย $\tau$ oṽv Both. кét' Ask. d. Guetph. Rob. unde đxєa $\tau$ ' ov̉ $\beta \notin \beta a \iota \alpha$
 joéßeı Butler. proposuit. Sensus est: alius ab liomalorumcessationemet remediascisitabitur quaeretque sibi a proximis in-

 1 V. 467. tıg omittunt Herın. Schuetz. uncis incluit Glasg. e Pauwii Heathiique sententia. mgojnropez onj. Wakef, $\tau \lambda \alpha \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \alpha$ suspicatur Butler. Seusus: pse infelix (aut ob perpessiones, aut quod ipse ine praesidio sit, Aeschylus eum $\tau \lambda \alpha \mu^{\prime} \omega \nu$ appellat) Hustraconsolabitur, remedia scilicet quaeren-
 étav тaןtropti. Sell haec non praeferenda.
V. 470. iw omnes praeter Herim. Schuetz. Both. pi H. Steph. et Pauw. secnti sunt Heathio, Butlero t Carolo Augusto Lurlovico Federo Celelerrimo Ambagiosissimo Phil. Dr. AA. LI.. d. in commentatione in Agamemnonis Aechylei Carmen Epodicum Primum, quain Anctoritate Amplissimi Ordinis Philoophorumin Academia Roperto-Carolioa Zacultatis Docendi Rite alipiscenclaecainsa cripsit. Heidelbergae: Typis Josephi Enie ! manni MDCCCXIX. p. 64. obloquentibus. $\overline{\text { com }}$ $\overline{0}, \overline{c a}$ et $a b$ Atticis in unaın syllabain contracta esse, Kamplis, de quibus nullo jure dubitaretur, probatur.
c. Hermann. Element. Metr. Sed in interjectionibus mutandis librarii non valde cauti fuerunt. cf. Jacobs. ad Anthol. Palat. III. p. 86. 329. - $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1 \tau \varepsilon}$ кoṽб $\alpha$ Ald.
 $\alpha i \sigma \chi$ vivnc ミ९઼óvov.
V. 472. oixtè̀̀ Pears. (Casaub.) (Stanl. cur secund.)' $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$ oixci $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha(\tau)$ parenthesi includens. - oi-
 64 veov oixcov suspectum, in eandem cum Bothio conjecturan incideram dactus Pindar. Olymp. V. 19.ví-
 sum, quum, quae Elmslejus ad Heraclid. 77. protulit, non satisfaciant. Cf. Hermann. ad Herc. f. 1371.
 ขeıv. Pears. (Casaub.) סeєцагєi. Herm. Schuetz. Wa- ${ }^{\wedge}$ kefield. (Stanl. cur. 8.) ex Abreschii correctione, qui signum interrogandi post hoc verbum poni valt, post sequens posuit Wakef. $\boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon i \not \mu^{\prime}$ d $\nu \varepsilon \tau$ Both. $\delta \varepsilon i \mu \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma \times a \cdot$ จińusvo Heath.
V. 475. sq. $\dot{v \pi} \pi o \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \iota$ Guelph. Ald. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\partial} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime} \varepsilon \in \iota$ Pears. J
 Glasg. Both. $\phi \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \iota$ in $\phi \dot{\alpha} \beta \varphi$ (probante Döderleinio in $\mathbf{v}$ notis ad Oed. C. Act. Monac. I. 64.) postea in $\boldsymbol{D}_{\text {ée }} \mathrm{iz}$ cum Aurato mutavit Schuetz. idem $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\in} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime}$ metaphora pulchra, sed neque pratcedentibus, neque sequentibus $S$ convenit, quodme movet ut $\phi$ ó $\beta$, genuinum putem.
 $\tau \tilde{\nu}$; 一 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \omega \nu$ quod omnino praeferendum, in A Aldina esse ajunt, sed non inest. Hippol. 1166. $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\check{\kappa}}$
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mendatur, sed vestigia librorum sequi malui, quat certiora habenda sunt, quam aures nostrae aetatis, quibus Auriti nostri nimis filere solent.
 ter Herm. Glasg. Schuetz. Both. - $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ Ald. Himer.

 xógov таívıa. Herodot. VI. 86. oracul. V. 4.'

 $\pi \tilde{a} \sigma \iota$ Heath. Herm. Schuetz. Both. Pors. 2. L n. citat

 neque Hermannas recte cepisse videntur. De nimis divitiarum avidis locutus erat Euripides, .tunc pergit de iis loqui, qui omnino non curant, denique ad eos transit, qui aureammediocritatem deligunt.

 $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \nu \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \iota x \grave{\eta} \nu$ Ask. d. glossa in textum recepta. -

 Med. Ask. I. Wakef. citat Odyss. XV. 45. $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \pi \pi o \delta i ̀ ~ x \iota \nu \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \alpha \xi_{0}$ idem occurrit Iliad. X. 158. Lycóphron. 137. $\lambda \alpha_{\xi} \xi_{\alpha}$ $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha \nu$. - $\left.\begin{array}{r}\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho i a \nu \\ \text { Pears. (Casaub.) - } \pi \rho \omega \tau i \omega \nu\end{array}\right)$ Turn. - $\tau i \varsigma$ Ald. $\tau 0 \iota$ Butler conj.
 $\mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$. Wakef. Herm. Schuetz. Giasg. Both. S. c. Th,

 Both. Glasg, Tyrwhitt. Heath. e Pauwii sententia,
 $\beta \alpha^{\prime} \tau \alpha \nu$. Turn. Pears. (Casaub.) $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \beta a ́ d a \nu . R o b, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$

 Cf. Lobeck: all Ajac. 879. qui I. n. obiter inspexisse videtur: Hujusmodi contractiones saepius neglexerunt librarii. Ii $\cdot$ Alcmane fragu. CXXVIII. invito
 tinenda esse Welckerus vidit, qui vs. 2. xatepeixeosse
 Phoeniss. 1410. Nesicio an -recte. 296. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \dot{\delta} \tau \alpha \sigma o v ~ s c r i-~$ bendum, suadente metro.

- V. 493. тò $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ Herm. Schuetz. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ \phi v \rho \tau o \nu$ Guelpl. Ald. Turn. ad̉yovz' inserunt Herm. . Schuetz. Both. e Pauvvii sententia. - $\beta \iota \alpha i \varphi$ Turn. - $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta \eta$ Ald. ขóros conj. Stanl. cur. 2. Forsan Pears. vel Casaub. conjectura.,

 inserunt Herm. Schmetz. Both. Pors, ex Abreschii sen-

 Herim. e Pauwii correctione.
V. 498. ov̀x't' Herm. Schuetż, ex Heathii conje-
 in menteni venit. - $\lambda_{\varepsilon \pi \alpha i a v ~ S c h u e t z . ~}^{\lambda_{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha i ̃ o v ~ H e a t h . ~-~}$ obde Turn. Vulgo post $\lambda \hat{k} \pi \alpha \delta \nu o \nu$ commate interpun-

 Orest. 1315. à $\nu \dot{a} \gamma \times{ }^{\prime} \varsigma^{\prime}$ ' 'byov. - Eurip. fragm. ${ }^{\circ}$ Archel.


Bevá $\mu \varepsilon \sigma$ ล', male interpretantur: fluctus dorsum.
V. 501. $\pi \rho \partial_{\varsigma} \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ Ald. - ${ }^{〔} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ Peàrs. (Casaub.) Stanl. cur. 2. - al̃ozos Herm. Glasg. Schuetz. Both. dx $\lambda \alpha v \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ dïoros Lachinann. de chor. syst. p. 45. • $^{2}$
 cum hoc magis Atticum videatur, quasi Jonica dialectus e Tragicis omnino ellminanda sit.
V. 503. sic' ov่árøv, Jacobus invent, Stanlejus
 rum hoc exstat Paris 4.) Askew. in specimine,

 in Suid. II. 68. ógəia dè Herm. Schuetz. - ท Tvgov-

 Porson. ad Phoeniss. 1392. Lobeck. ad Ajac. 17. -
 - Xov.
 $\rho o v \mu \dot{\nu} \nu o \nu$ Ald. - $\sigma \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu$ Ald. Huc pertinet Ennii Eumenidum fragmentum a Nonio servatum:

- tacere opino esse optimum

Ut pro viribus sapere, atque fabulari tule noverint.
V. 508. re pro $\tau \varepsilon$ Pears. (Casaub.) Falkenburg. Grot, Rawlinson, non improbante Stanl, cur, 2, - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{y}$

 sarvตoश̃ n Ald.
V. 510. Praeconi tribuit Wakef.
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V. 519. ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho$ Grot. Rawlinson. H. Grot, vertit ,

Si dicere accusator incipiat prior, Intelligetur tota res ex ordine.
 pro $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\varepsilon ́ g}$ Guelph. Ald.

 $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \mu \alpha^{\prime} \omega \nu$ Rob.
V. 526. $x о \mu \pi \alpha^{\prime} \xi_{\varepsilon v \rho}$ Ald.



 ripide Helen. 355. sq.



 omnes praeter Guelph. Ald. Rob. Herm. Schuetz.
 $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$. xai tivos $\beta$ ovえzúucouv pleonasmus satis trequens.





 $\boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon \tilde{\rho} \rho o \gamma^{\prime}$ Ald. $\dot{\alpha} \in i$ Med. Ald, Rob. Glasg. Schuetz. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ عis $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha$ Ask. d. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ pro ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ Ald.

V, 534. $\pi \pi^{\prime} \mu \psi_{i} \varepsilon \iota$ Scal. Herm. Schụetz. Quod reci-
pere non ausus sum, propterea quod praesens saepius occurrat pro futuro.
 Guelph. Ald. Roh. $\nu \tilde{\nu} \nu-\sigma \tau \iota$ Ask. d. $-x \tau \alpha \nu \Phi{ }^{2}$ Ald.
 Butlero, indoles dialogi imperativum requirit.
V. 538. Marituminterficiens patremmeum


 $\tau \dot{e} x \tau \alpha \nu o v$. Both. interpretans viri interfectricem; sed maritum intelligenckum. esse, colligitut ex Eurip. fragu. incert. B. 89. 'A $\lambda x \mu \alpha i \omega . \nu$. duḍoxtóvov $\gamma v-$

 cit, Stanl. ..Nisi forsan altera explicatio commendetur vs. 561 . et ${ }^{6}{ }_{7} 6$.
 фóvø Schuetz. Herm. Both. Non opus, vulgata exquisitiore euydem sensum praebente.

V. $54 z$ ïx $\tilde{\eta}_{5}$ pro $i_{\mu} \bar{\eta} \bar{s}$ Ald.

- V. 543. $\pi \bar{\omega} \varsigma \gamma^{\prime} \rho \tau^{\prime}$ Ald. $\pi \bar{\omega} \varsigma \gamma^{\prime}$ єt $\sigma^{\prime}$ Rol. in er-





 aiцa фìzazov; Wunderlich. observ. in.Aeschylum P: 15. Sensus est: Quo modo onim mater te


## $-156 \sim$

in ạtero gestans nutrivit, . nisicum sanguine suo. - Homer. hymu. in Vener. 256.


V. 546. عiopè ovvdíx Ald.
V. 547. ब̈s $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ Ald.
V. 548. eidıxaimg Ald. Jixatov Aurat. тो $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ aiцa Ald. Cf. Döderlein. specim. Sophocl. p. 88. Eurip. Orest. 274. etc.
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ตั e Cauteri correctione receperunt Wakef. et sequentes. $\delta$ abest a Turueb.

 Butlero probante. 'xé̂evaE Glasg. ex Heathii sentern Duáe constructiones in unam contractae : ov̀x etze



 Heath. Dorismum damnans. - $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \neq a \iota$ Rob, minorem in fine versus distinctionem Schuétzio debemus.Furiae judices hortanthr ut jusjuranduin servent. (in-
 tius vertit:

Neque enim jurata fides plus poilet Jove.
 Both.
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## - 158 -

ad Clytaemnestrann trahendum. ä $\tau \eta \nu$ voravi $\quad \eta \nu$ conj. Stanl. cur. 2. Choro hunc et sequentem versum tribui Bothe. qui dedit eitev. Iliad. V. 493.
 Cf. Valckenaer. all Phoeniss. 386. sq. Antig. 317. iv

 378. 1358. Ajac. 1119. Pers. 843. Euripides saepius. -

 hem. ad Aristoph. Nub. 309. l, n. citat.
V. 580. Hujusmorli elegantias Tragoediis deesse noluerunt Graeci.



 Ald. Comma post xóvis Herm. Schuetz. Both. vülgo
 63. sqq. -
V. 585. è $\pi o i \eta u \in$ Guelph. Ald. Rol. Turn. - ov̀$\mu o s$ Ald. $\tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ Ald, - $\tau \varepsilon$ abest a Guelph. Ald.
V. 58. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \Im \mu \alpha i \nu \omega$ Ald. Turn. ubi comma, quod cett. post $\tau i \mathfrak{i} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ponunt, post ovidè $\nu$ transfertur. Vide quae notavi ad S. c. Th. 368. sq. et Wellaner. Comment. Aeschyl. p. 6. qui quam indicaveran interpretationem, suam fecit.

 $\gamma_{\varepsilon \iota \nu} \tau 0 \tilde{\delta} \delta \varepsilon$ i. e. ut absolvatur.

 Hermannum ad Viger. p. 778.


 280. sqq.

- V. $1594 . \quad x \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \ell \nu \eta$ Schietz. (Herm. Both. secuti sunt) ex Alcest. 648. ov̀d' ทं $\tau \varepsilon x \in i \nu ~ ф \alpha ́ \sigma x o v \sigma \alpha ~ x a i ~ x e x \lambda \eta-$
 loco nostro non comparari possunt, Euripide scilicet loquente de matre false ita appellata, cui se subelituin esse Aduretus ira agitatus dicit, Aeschylo vero megante nomen: toxevs matri tribueadum esse, licet partus ejus tíxyoy appelletur. - toxàs conj. Spaṇshom. ad Callim. hymn. in Dian. 89. vevorágov Rob. H. Grotius vertit :

Non mater illi, quem sum gnatum vocat, Genitrix, sed altrix imbris est genitabilis.
Gignit rigator; illa velut hospes, Dei
Si cura faveat, hospitis servat genus.
Dicti hujus argumenta non levia afferam.
Sine matre, prolis aliquis est ut sit pater:
Coran ecce testis nata supremi Jovis,
Non educata ventris obscuro in specu,
Sed tanta, nulli quanta progenies Deo est.
 (Casaub.) -- $\beta \lambda \lambda^{\alpha} \psi \varepsilon \iota$ Ask. d. $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \psi \dot{\text { g }}$ conj. Pauw. Tragici frequeutant $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota v$. Unum afferam exemplum. Ajac. 455 . sq.


non post $\mu \eta \pi \rho o{ }_{c}$ sed post $\pi$ thac distinguebatur, quod recentiores e Stanleji sententia' correxerunt.

 Guelph. aiø̃vos Ald. Robr- t'd $\pi \iota \sigma \pi a$ Guelph. Ald. Rob.
 Ald. $\tau i j{ }^{i} \dot{\rho} \rho$; neque cum interpretibus: $Q u i d . v e r o$, judicium exspectas? neque cum Butlero: Quid enim exspectas? reddendun est, sed universe dictum, ut saepius occutrit: Quid vexo? quomodo sententiam ferens vobis inculpata ero?
 dias Pears. (Casaub.) - aideĩ $\approx a \iota$ Med. Rob.
 крivavтая Meurs. Areopag. C. g. Isofjу de judicibus intelligentes. Sed Iequàs hic dictum ut, supra 507.

 $\tau \alpha, \varsigma \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \varepsilon$. Hic versus initio corruptus videtur.
V. G1g. Airغ́ Rob. Aipsiq Turn. Herm. Airín Scalig, Glasg. Both. Wakef.
 Schuetz. Both: e Canteri correctione. ג̀si- dıха⿱㇒兀шу



V. G21. - Ennius Eumenid.

Areopagiticam ea dere vocant petram.
V. 622. oxquás ז' Turn. $\sigma n \eta \nu \alpha ̀ s ~ o ́ \tau$. Ald. - $\pi$ ór $^{-}$
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Misćere nain qui sordidum gaudet lutum Simplicibus undis, invidet potan sibi. Licentiam inter atque dominatus jugum Medium tenere moneo populares meos: Nec quod severum est omne ab urbe expellere. Naun fas quis hominum servat amoto metu.
V. 636. iot deest Rol..- bydixa Ald. by dixals Wakef. e Pauwii sententia; sed huic mutationi obstat nuhes exemplorum repetitionis eorundern vocabuloram, de qua supra egimus. - $\alpha \nu \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$ Rob. .
 Ask. d. pro oxívacur.ut videtur. Nibil neque facilius
 formas aut Jonicas aut Atticas reponere, et satis ingenue de hac re scripsit Astius in praefatione ad Platonis Politiam IV., nondum certas mihi de hac re leges scripseram, "Si ovize $\Sigma x$. majore libroruin auctoritate niteretur, ita legi posset. Sic .íj $\boldsymbol{d}$ Oed. T. 734. $\Delta \in \lambda$ -


 veove. (Pindar. Olymp. Vill. 62.) $\pi \varepsilon \rho \rho_{\text {i. Iphig. T. }}$ 393. Jcaittryec $\beta$ роио̀̀s xai лepi -xiovas. Neque soluin in praepositionibus, sed etiam in conjunctionibus ble usus obtinuit. Sic ovide. , Odyss. XViII. 115. XVIII. 407. . Ajac. 627. etc. ožテธ. Choeph. 292. Trach. 1058. Olymp. XIV. 13. Pyth. III. 54. Vi. 48. etc. Ä́rqe! Philoct. 771. E'ríe. Agam. 1404. Jacobs. ad Anthol. Pal. III. p. 305. Heindorf, ad Plat. IV. 302. Eidem negligentiae; si negligentiapappellanda, debetur Herc. f.
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C. 1I. 9. Quae omuia probant legi posse ofore $\Sigma x$ ổp$\sigma \iota \nu$, nou ita legi debere.

 quae ad rempublicams spectabant, sensum strenuum et priscam severitatem commendanti, conveniens.
V. 643. ZKactety Ask. d.
V. 645. ย̇лi סıayvต̃vą Rob. - ai8ovpévovs Stanl. et recentiores. Dativus pruncto post ópxov (ógxov, Ald. yónov Ask. (l.) sublato non videtur deberi, sed forsan verbo in animo in locunn tỗ $\chi$ ¢ŋ̀े substituto, quasi
 $\sigma$ ancc $\omega \mathfrak{\varepsilon \varepsilon i v ~} \delta \varepsilon$, quasi praecessisset $x \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{v} \omega$. Hoc exemplam a loco nostro, admissa quain nonnisi dibitanter protuli, explicatione, nounihil differre', non nescius suin. Significatio scilicet loquencli verbo $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \delta \bar{\omega}$
 tentis. Sic Wakef. interpretatur aclsentiente Butlero, parum apte.'
V. 647. jegaiav Marg. Ask. $\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \lambda i \alpha \nu . ~ C ீ f . ~ D o e r l e r-~}$ lein. Specim. Sophocl. 85. - $\dot{\alpha} \times \alpha \rho \pi \omega \tau \sigma v$ i. e. $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho-$
 x@drovg apnd Aristaenet., epist. I. 18. cur vocabulum nihili et abhorrens abanalogia dicat Boeckhius in Commentatione de Platonis Minoe p. 140. non assequor. Latiui patritus, quo Varro usus est, mellitus et alia hujuscemorli.
V. 65q. हхळу Auratus, adecripsit Pears. (Casaub.) pídoy conj. Pauw. нoldev Heath. vérev Herm. quem secutas est Schuetz. $\mu$ évตy hic valet, nisi destiteris
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ab hac re. Apollinem praecipne áryóv esse et ex Agamemnone discimus. Vide vs. 1070.‘sqq: ubi Chorus:


et mox:


 лротюолатя Rob.
V. 656. $\chi$ '́ $\rho \alpha$ Ald.
V. 659. Post $\boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \mu \circ \leftarrow \varsigma$ Schuetz. commate distinguit,


 Signuin interrogationis Wakef. Herm. Schuetz. Glasg. Bothe. quod omnino requiritur. H. Grotius vertit:

An non decet juvare venerantem tuị,
Et maxime res si ejùs auxilium expetit.
V. 663. Jáभovas Rob. $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \delta . ~ W a k e f . ~ \pi \alpha-~$
 Pleonasmis jam supra egimus. Neque solum süb alio nomine eadem res repetitur. (Odyss. H. 65. sq. itept-

 bull. I. 58.' dux pecoris hircus; diaxerat hircus oves.-
 e Stanl. conjectura, fól $\varphi$ conj. Wakef, oüxoc dedit Both.

 Aeschylum illustrandum. Si de. Furils loqueretur, ย $\quad$ yq emendatio esset certississima, de Parcis nonnisi
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librarii. Sic apud Theocritum Idyll. XXV.II, dialogus turbatus, quem sic restiteudum puto:

$$
\mathrm{K} \dot{\prime} \rho \eta
$$




$$
\Delta \dot{\alpha} \phi \nu \subset \varsigma^{\circ}
$$


 Hic ordo et sensui et distributioni versuum optime convenit.





 4 ขє́ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ pro ${ }^{\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota}$ bene restituit Jacobs.
V. 684. Apollinis nomen abest Ald. \&nфóov Ald.


V. 686. riva $^{i \nu \alpha c}$ Ald. Stanl.
V. 687. $\beta \alpha \lambda o \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$ 8' Pears. (Casaub.) probante Schưetzio. $\beta \lambda \eta \underline{\eta} \uparrow \varepsilon \tau \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ Bent. Activum Attice pro Passivo positum putant Heath. Wakef. Nam vel unicumsisuffrágiumabesset magna sequeretur reum calamitas. Uniusvero etiam calculus adjectus domum servarepotest. Sic Schuetz. explicat V.686. cuıw ceteris interpretibus de sufo

E- tragiis intelligens, qui vero universe prolatus est adhortationi accuratissime agendi aclditus, sicuti Tragici sententias saepissime intexere. solent.
V. 687. bene intellexerunt Stanl, et Abresch. quorain ille: evertit dommm et 'rèstituit suffragium




 Grotius vertit:

> Ein iste poenam caedis effugit,reus: Nam calculorum cernimus numeros pares.

Orestem Pallade crimine liberatum videas;apud Winckelmaипим monum. ined, 151.
V. 6.9ı. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \sigma \alpha$. Med, altero $\sigma \alpha$ suprascripto. Nota Sigmatismun, (de quo Pors. ad Med. 476.) quem parum curabant poetae Graeci, neque emendationibus obstare debet, quod. Naekius bene vidit, scribens oves pro vids in fragin. Choeril. Sam. p. 155. cf. p. 157. Vossii. judicium in Diario Jenensi prolatum, $\boldsymbol{q}_{9}$ gel gijer et similia ut absona damnantis, vereor ut probari possit, aures enim Graecorum, non tam delicatae fuisse videntur quam nonnulli putant, invitis xeliquiis litterarum Graecarum.
 sanub.) interpunctio post dovepquivoy vulgo abest. plene

V. fig3. dyì Rob. airig Wakef. 'àvì Glasg. i. e. Porsonus, regulam, quam sibi invenit, secutus. 'iving
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Both. - wikpaarv Dorvill. ad Charit. p. 197. - xin

 Turn. Hanc formam falsain esse vel e sola voce $\lambda_{e v-}$
 Ask. d.
 $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ voluit Wakef. żoiosıs Ask. d. żoíruv ctt. Lectio nem exquisitiorem praetuli cum Seidlero ad Eür. Electr. 658. ėлoícıथ Homericum videtur. Eurip. Supplic. 1198.



 Singulari. Herodot. I. 63. 'Äzццодiov taфati. Cf. Schaefer. ad Longi Pastoral. p. 24. lin. 9. Jacobs. ad Anthol. Pal. III. p. 332. p. 863. Wernick. ad Tryphiodor. 80. Brunck. all Oed. T. 366. 1403. Antig. 565. Schaefer ad Dionys. Halicarnass. p. 364. Longin. de Sublimi
 Ald. - $\boldsymbol{\phi} \alpha^{\alpha} \xi \xi_{\text {ope }}$ Heath. Schuetz. Comma in fine versus Hermanno debetur, Heath. Schuetz. post odoós
玉as Gnelph. Ald. Turn. - $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\nu} \eta \eta$ Ald. ло́zov conj. Butler.
 Wakef. Schuetz. Both. Constructiones mutatas et turbatas e: scriptoribus Graecis qui tollere velit omnes, longum susciperet laborem, et qualem Hercules olim suscepit. Ut h. l. genitivus absolute positus dativum praecedit, ita dativum sequitur Odyss, IX. 255. sq.
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 procellae. Tibull. II. 11, 46. securomero, quod genus transpositionis longe patet. Quoad sententian cf. Oed. T. 25. sqq.

 Schuetz. Both. $̇ \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \varsigma c t$.
 $\chi^{\omega} \rho \varrho$ Ald. $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i$ Turn. Herm, Schuetz, Gंlasg. Pears. (Casaub.) Heath.
 repetendum putat e praecedentibus: cf. ad Viger. 730. ті $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\prime} \nu \propto \mu \propto \iota$ Hermann. in editione sua, Schuetz. $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}-$ $\mu \alpha \iota$. Marg. Tyrwhitt. лغ́vø $\mu \alpha \iota$; Both. Reliqua sunt $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mu \omega \mu \alpha \iota, \delta \alpha^{\prime} \mu \omega \mu \alpha \iota, \delta_{\varepsilon ́ ต \mu} \mu \iota, \delta^{\prime} \rho \omega \mu \alpha \iota, \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tilde{\rho} \alpha \iota, \alpha^{\prime \prime} \lambda \omega-$ $\mu \alpha \iota, \beta \iota \nu \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$, $\beta \iota \omega ̃ \mu \alpha \iota, \pi \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota, \beta \alpha \tau \omega \tilde{\mu} \mu \iota, \beta \alpha \mu \beta \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$, ubi nota $\mu \beta$ vocalem non producere, $\tau \iota \mu \omega \mu \alpha \iota$, $\chi \rho \rho^{-}$ $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$ et multa alia valde probabilia. - $\pi \tau o \lambda i \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma$ Both. $\pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} 0 \nu$ Herm. Schuetz. $\pi o \lambda \tau \tau \alpha \iota{ }^{*} \pi \alpha \mathcal{N} 0 \nu$ proposuit But-
 struendum, non, quod Butler, post Pauw. et Heath. vult, cum $\delta v \varsigma \tau v \chi \varepsilon \tilde{c} \varsigma$.


 $\sigma \alpha \varsigma_{s}$ Rob.


## $-171$




 conj. Pauv\%, дaцца́т $\gamma^{\prime}$ aiaóvav olim proposuit Schuetz. Aequo jure proponeretur undécov. Sed vulgata non sine explicatione,

 genii sed Grammatici.
V. 735. ab $\dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{v}$ Scal. Spanhein, ad Callimach. hymn. in Diau. 185. non improbante Butlero. Graeci Soli, Baccho, linguae et aliis rebus 乃íisa tribuunt; (Pind. Pyth.. I. 8. (5) tòv aixuard̀ xepicvvòv) quid itaque sit, cur pesti tribuere nequeant, non intelligo, aixuc̀s enim paullo audacias pro usitatiore $\beta i \lambda \varepsilon \alpha$, quoll, omnino pro:' $\beta e \lambda \varepsilon \alpha$ occurrit 'Pers. 237. $\tau 0 \xi 00 \lambda-$
 metaphora cum re ipsa quodammodio mixta sit, cujus usus Joquendi non desunt exemptanwMed. 1119. vaian



V. 736. $\pi \alpha \dot{\sim} \nu \delta \iota x a c ̧$ Ald. - Evdixovs ex Heathii conjectura Herm, Schuetz. mutatio et lévis et elegans, sed vulgata non est, cur ita displiceat, ut mutaretur. Butlerus praefert ivofxov; ut vitetur sibilus, de quo Aeschylus minime sollicitus erat. Vide supra 6Ga. 663. sq. 706. 730. etc.
V. 738. aioxápacs Ald.
V. 741. $\chi^{\text {cupãy }}$ Guelph. Ald. ut supra. athere Guelpho
 ォเлยขฎñ Turn. Herin. Schuetz.

V. 747. sq. cf. supra 721. sq. -
 $x^{\alpha r_{s}}$ Ald.
V. 751. ágdv Alk. $\beta$ ¢ózov conj. Pears. (Casaub.)
 $\dot{x} \lambda \tilde{\eta} \partial \alpha \sigma$ Ald. - $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu$ ols Schuetz. quod praeferendan pur tat Butler. Ex tot constructionibiss x̧òs toे vooviusvov unam exstirpantes quid lucremur, nemo facile dixe-




 $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \not \approx \mu \beta a \sigma \iota \varsigma$, o\%. Callimach. hymn. in Cerer. 101,
 87. тย̇хขоข đ $\lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. Philoct. 645. $\chi \propto \rho \omega \mu \mu \nu-\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$.
 sitia regum superborum, suae libertatis immemores. Cicero de nat. deor. II. 44. §. 114. tluminis quem ibid. II. 6. Cf. Davies. ad II. 44. (p. 39z. ed. Creuz.) Hemsterh. ad Plut. 566. Jacobs. ad Anthol. Pal. ILI. p. 811. Markland. ad Supplic. 237. et quos citat Wernsdorf. ad Himer. Eclog. XIII. 23. Eustath. Il. $\sigma^{\prime}$ p. 1160. Odyss. 3'. p. 1557. Casaub. ad Athen. V. 3. p. 336. Dorvill. ad Charit. p. 244. 352. Elsner, observ. sacr. ad Matth. XXVIII. 19. p. 128. Anacr. od. 3. Bpí申os
 sule Nortnmor. ad Tryphiodor. 68.
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V. 767. xard $\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ corruptum, videtur. Conjici

 45.. praeeunte Valckenaerio ad Phoen. 13\%7. Nescio an recte.) vel $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \gamma \alpha^{\alpha} \tau \bar{q} \tilde{d}^{\prime}$. Et $\varphi \varepsilon \tilde{v}$ aute $x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ non male pono-
 Blowfield. Pers. 633., frustra..vide Eumenid. 827. rard

 solum de ira occurrit Androm. 388.
V. 770. of oi Ald. Bothe dochmium exhibuit bis posito $\boldsymbol{\phi s i z}$, neque negari potest, quod Brunckius ad Phoeniss. 1046. observavit, festinantibus librariis saepissime accidisse, ut repetitas, nullis ;aliis interjectis, voces semel tantum scriberent. Sed 1. n. dochinium omnino requiri, non pro certo affirupari potest.
V. 771. . $\pi \lambda \varepsilon v \rho \propto \check{\varsigma}$ Rob. Cf. Hermanı. ad Ajac. 138g.
 quod analogiąe linguae non repugnat. KVide ddiy,



 $\mu l a, \nu$ est conjectura Pauwii et Stanleji. da $\mu \nu a ̈ \nu$ pro da-



V. 775. $\mu$ ì olva бov Pears. (Casaub.) -, reparTt $\rho \alpha$ Ask. d.


 Snc Ald. - Bécroac Ask. do öбov vel ớøøv conj. Pauv. probante Heathio.






V.788.. doivovs Guelph. Ald. Rob, vaiตv doivors conj. Rob. - Épलavis Auratus. . Locus noster de juvenibus intelligendus, . qui rixas sanguineas committunt nonyqued levius esset, inter bibendum, sed furore acti.




 ipois-dorons (forsan ut labia leporina carne gallina-
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 Cui ardle $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \varkappa \pi \iota o \tilde{\sigma} \sigma$ '. Contractio forsan orationis h. l. stai

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \delta i \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon x \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu . ~ S i c ~ v e r b u m ~$ aptius de hominibus dictum et ad gallos relatum, sententia animo obversante, paucis verbis expressa. Exemplum orationis contractae uno verbo omisso ex-


 etc. cf. ad Choeph. 693.


 ${ }^{v}$ App Scal. Herm. Schuetz. Glasg. et ita conj. Canter. nec non H. Steph, - $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu o v s$ Ald, pro $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v s$. Turn.

 $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$ vel av่ $\pi \delta \lambda \alpha \varsigma \quad \pi \alpha \rho \omega ̀ \nu$ vel, quod Schuetz. olim
 posuit Butler. Miror non proposuisse ò $\mu \dot{v} \lambda \eta \pi \alpha \rho \omega \dot{\nu}$ i. e. molae pneunaticae Athenis proximae, cujus expugnationem deprecari debebat, ne cives inopia farris premerentur, Sensus, quem Schol. bene perspexit, non invito Hermanno ad Viger. 788. est : bella gerenda externa sint, non tamen longe a finibus absint, ne cives procul patria moriantur aut magis incomuodis vexentur.
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 vòs Both. Schuetzii editionem minorem secutus. Heni manni conjectura et facilis et sensum puichrum praet. bet, licet Butlero minime placeat. - $\tau \mu \omega \mu \alpha{ }_{2} \pi$ Ald.
 Ald. - गev́xov pro dèzov Ald. -

 kef. Glasg. probante Heathio nec non. Pauwio. Nisi

 rei domesticae sermo est, si̇asgeiz vox usitata vide-


 sí̛osìvec dénas. qui locus vulgatae patrocinatur.

 ter Schuetz. Both. qui $\pi \rho o \pi \alpha \nu \tau \dot{s}$ dederunt ex Abre schii sententia quod omnino probandum videtur. Sic
 waxck mutatum, quod eo facilius fieri potuit, quase ne nuperrimi quidem editores sensum vocis intalle: xerint, non videntes $\pi \rho o$ - vim vocis augere: malen






-V. 886. $\boldsymbol{z}_{\xi} \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ Guelph. Ald. Rob. Interrogationis signum in fine versus habet Rob. sequente Abre-
 byperbato cousule Both. ad Antig. 187. Jacobs. ad Authol. Pal. III. p. 333. Longin. 厄. XXII. L, n. po-
 que ènim soli negligentiae talia tribuènda sunt, neque a poetis admissa, ut Grammatici haberent, quo delectarentur.
V. 888. sq. тoud̀ $\rho$ Ald. Both. einıxтion Ald. $\tau i \mu^{\prime}$ ovv ${ }^{2}$ varas Porson. in edit. 2. ut regulae, quam lanacaprinose excogitavit, satisfaciat. Oblocutus est Butlerus. Markland. ad Eur. Supplic. 109. Buttmann. Gr. Gr. p. 113. ubi bene monetur, Porsonum Phoeniss. v. 892. (ed. Pors.) corrumpere. Hermann. Elem. Metr. 50. nescit an non satis recte excogitatum sit placitum illud; , neque exempla regulam, sed ratio facit" idem ad Sophoclis Ajacem. Sed nil mirandum, tempora enim mutantur et nos mutamur

V. 830. Post ónoia libros quosdam signum interrogationis habere, adnotat H. Steph. - veixns pro yixis legi vult Hermann. ad Ajac. 976 . Sed infra 915. sq. hoc vocabulum earlem significatione occurrit, ubi metrum mutationem Hermanni non fert, $\tau \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{d \varepsilon}$ xs $\rho \delta \alpha-$


 Butlerus, si quis $\mu \dot{\eta}$ xáxrs legeret, neque ègo vi menter repugnarem, si quae sana sunt, Butlernsı suspecta haberet.
V. 83ı. xal $\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ Pears. (Casaub.) Post $\alpha \dot{a j p}$ comma sustulit Hermanius séquente Schuetzio. jnims Wakefieldo suspectum, quare eivivios propos ipse minime einvios grassatus in scriptoribus vele
 $\mu \omega v$ Ald.
V. 833. $\beta \frac{\tau \tau}{} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ conj. Stanl. probantibus Panlwioet Ih thio, recepp. Schuetz. Wakef.'Herm. Both. Oed. T. 85.1



 $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ Rob. $\mid$ ev̉anvoṽ̀г $\alpha$ Pears. (Casaub.) Bentl. Vi Lobeck. ad Phrynichun p. 466. sq.
V. 836. $\quad$ xчopoté $a$ Ald.
V. 83\%. Hunc et sequentem versum Choro triba Rob. фıтvлоциévog Ald. Tarn. Herm. Schuetz. (Bod
 Satis notum quam late pateat usus vocis mouия̆.
V. 83g. sq. Choro tribuit hunc et sequenter ri
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 rectione. $\boldsymbol{k} \xi \alpha \mu \beta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota ~ v u l g o . ~ i \xi a \mu \beta \rho \dot{\rho}, \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ Both.
 Porsono et Elmslejo ad Med. 840, (832. ed. Pors.) adsentiri debemus, nisi multa alia mutare velimus.
V. 853." $\delta$ de $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Pauwv. $\delta \delta \varepsilon \mu \bar{\gamma} \nu$ Abresch. Schuetz.
 pro vov́tøv. ovizos Aurat. Negatio propter sequentia non' retineri potest, verba enim ó dغ $\mu \dot{\downarrow}$ xúpo $\alpha \dot{\varsigma}$ male cuin' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Bothio redderentur: quigraves hasce sibi nonconciliaverit. Interpretanda sunt: qui Furiarumiram non expertusest, non novit calamitates. Lacuna post $\beta$ ıótov ob brevitatem suspicioneur non movet, excidisse ad quae sequentia referri possent.
V. 856. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \times \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ Ask. Schuetz. Herm. Glasg. pro. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \lambda \alpha x x_{\dot{\prime}}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \alpha$, quae est forma vetustior, quam Aeschylus procul dubio nonnisi metro cogente reliquit, nisi vera sunt, quae Seidlerus in libro de versibus Dochiniacis p. 2.5.sq. 'ad correptionem vocalis ante $\mu \pi$ defendendam protulit, cui Blomfieldus rustice non docte oblocutus est, idem male rejiciens, quae Hermannus in libro de Emendanda ratione Gramm. Graec. posuerat de voce ö $\mu \beta \rho \mu \boldsymbol{\rho}$, quam et Wernickius ad Tryphiodorum semibarbaram dicit. In re incerta rationeun non certam, sed minus incertam sequi malui.
V. 857. ס' inserunt Herm, Schuetz. Both. interpunctionem post $\begin{aligned} & \text { deIs }\end{aligned}$ os e Pauwii et Heathii sententia
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 t' Alf. sine t' Turn, $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ pgovoṽขt' Pears. (Casaub.) Stanl. cur. 2.
V. 860. sq. Hisce simillima profert Chorus Supi plicum in cognomine fabula vs. 662. sqq. quae omnino comparanla sunt.
V. 8fir. $\phi \lambda_{\text {ocy }} \delta_{6}$. Med. Ald. Rob. $\tau$ ' alest Ald. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ Herm. Schuetz. Both. - тóx
 finitivus pro Imperativo in precibus usitatus, cujus vim articulus intenclit; iclem quasi dixisset, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \delta \omega$ тд лерäq. De usu articuli ante Iufinitivum disseruit Hermanuus all Ajac. 114.
V. 862. sq, aiavòs Schuetz. - $\mu$ äдa Schuetz.
 Sryvoũvt' Pears. (Casaub.) - ov̀v pro gìv Hermanuus, $\xi v \nu o \iota x i \alpha y$ supra relinqueus. Satis hene de ov̀v et $\xi \boldsymbol{v}$ clisseruit in praefatione ad Ajac. p. XVIII. - סısīotoıv pro $\boldsymbol{\delta} \iota \pi \lambda_{0} \bar{c}_{5}$ metro jubente ediderunt Herm. Schuetz. Both. Glasg. Wakef, '
V. 864. téxol Aırat, Pears. (Casaub.) tetaruiø
 có $\chi$ จิఎv. Sic interpungit Rob. Vulgo colon post reтаүнévต ponitur. Excidisse aliquid, metrum probare videtur, quare lacunae signum post te₹arןive arldili, non pro certo affirmans hac sede versus omuino ponendum esse. $\chi$ cíga initio vs. Herm. Schnetz, gaia t $\rho \dot{\varepsilon}$ -
 Wakef.



## - 184 -

divitiis fruuntur. Haec est Butleri explicatio mirifica. $r$. $\pi \lambda$. segetes significat, item épuaia סócıя, non vero cum Schuetzio intelligenda sunt: uberes terrae proventus lucrosa deornm dona pendanti.e. fructns plant; sed; honorenti.e.




 xit Panw. 'quem secuti sunt Wakef. Herm. Schuetz. Both. Glasg. Signum interrogationis post exaxpaives Abreschio auctore posuerunt Herm, Both. Schuetz. Wakef.



 дıaлৎ́́ббovoı» posito, quod cum Hermanno et Schuetzio omisi, toĩs ad $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\rho} \chi$ ovác pertinet. Sed duae con,


 ter Guelph. Ald. Rob, Herm, Schụetz. Both, Glasg. $\rightarrow$ фаขєрø̃ Pears. (Casaub.)
 סaxpúoıs Pears. (Casaub,)


V. 875. Comma post ${ }^{\ell} \notin 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ posuit Hermannus. Kapvor, Sclmetz. Both, éxovoac conjecerunt Pears,
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## - 186 -

 LXXIII. ed. Brunck. Recte intelligitur: . Potens est Suada. Hunc locum a Stanlejo cur. 2. comparatum esse, nunc video.

 бev Ask. Both. - pıxä Ald. vixa Wakef, vıxäv cum genitivo hic conjungunt Heath. Schuetz. Contentio nostrabonorum, h. e. certamen utrum plus boni Minerva an Eumenidəs civitati conferant, in aeter-
 mexĕ, contentio bonorum vicit. h.e. bona consecuti

 praeter Rob, Schuetz.' Herm. Both. Glasg. - $\mu \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ pro
 8 Ald.
 Turn. - $\pi o \iota \nu \alpha_{\varsigma}$ Herm. Schuetz. e Pauwii sententia, satis apte, neque tamen aptius, quam now à̀s cujus
 mutuis caedibus poenas oxpetere. Sic fere


 Sov. Cf. Choeph. 774. Poppo. observv. critt. in Thucyd. p. 200. De optativo $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \lambda i \sigma \alpha \iota$ vide Erfurdt. ad Antig. 410. (erl. mini,)
V. 893. sq. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \delta \iota \delta o i ̃ \varepsilon \nu$ Med. Guelph. Rob. Herm, Schuretz. Wakef. Glasg. Both, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \delta o i ̈ \varepsilon \nu \mathrm{ctt}$, - xoıvo.
 ctt. H. Grof. vertit :

Pariter cives gaudia norunt, Pariterque odium : namque id vere Generi humano est insigne bonum.
V. 897. $\dot{\mu} \rho \grave{\alpha}$ Pèars. (Casanb.) 戸ं $\dot{\rho} a$ Wakef. $\alpha \rho a$
 cópioxer Both. eveíaxeı legit GlasE. interpunctione post hanc vocem subláta. sípióxers Rob, zígioxet Cod. Rob. - ф $\wp$ ovoüбır Guelph. Ald. Quum ratio, quan Porsonus iniit, nimis displiceat, $\varphi \rho \frac{1}{}$

V. 900. Tō̃s $\boldsymbol{\text { de }}$ Ald.



 Schuetz. Both. Vulgata nullo jure displicente, cenjes cturis facile caremus.
V. 905. Xai $\rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi$ хаі $\rho є$ ' Turn. Herm. Schuetz. Heath. - $\dot{\alpha}\left\langle\eta \mu i a ı s\right.$ Heath. Herm, Schuetz. $z^{2}$ alounian
 in erratis repouit Rob. $\dot{\alpha}\langle n \mu i a s s$. Lectio recepta longe exquisitior.
V. jo6. $\alpha^{\alpha} \sigma \tau \iota x \partial_{\zeta}$ Ald. Rob. Turn. 'Aveıwds Erotian. h. v. citaus in Lex. Hippocrat. sub v. ixrap. item Both. - ixti de Ald - mapsivovs Guelph. Ald. Erotian. I.c.
V. 908. sq. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ abest Guelph. Ald. Turn. блолтв-
 frequentatur. - $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{i} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ Wakef. Herm, Schuetz. Glasg.
lubith ducli. Comma post ämodeísovoay habet Schi in editione minore plene distinguens post irpoxo Butlero probante.
r. 911. Vulgo жролоилд̀े contra metrum Bentl. opuscc. philoll. p. 230. el. Lips.) жроло legendum esse viderunt Bentl، Staulej. Tyrwhitt.H Glasg. Wakef, $\pi \rho \circ \pi \delta \mu \pi \omega v$ legitur apid Herin. Sch Both. - $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu a \iota$ Guelph. Ald. $\delta \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha t$ Turn. exquisite.
 Wakef. Tyrwhitt. Heath. Glasg. e Bentleji correction4 Forma árrpos occurrit Pqom. 746. Agam. 1484. phocl. Trach, s64. Philoct. 1278. Eurip. Hippol. Androm. 354 Fragur. incert. CLVIII. (á $\quad$ rípos in phoclis et Euripidis reliquiis non exstat, nisi e B ckii conjectura incerta Antig.4.) Aristoph. Vesp. 1 ed Kuester.
V. 917. sq. $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon i \varsigma$ Med. (in Marg. $\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \bar{\iota} \varsigma)$ Ask. d.

 dicans, quem sequitur Piers. ad Moer. p. 275. Gla uncis inclusit ut spurium, nou probante Hermanno dissertatione de versibus spuriis apud Aescliyln p. IX. $\alpha \boldsymbol{v}$ inserui, metro flagitante, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ vel ä $\rho a i$ a Pauwio propositum esse, nunc video. - $\pi \lambda_{0 \text { oivoo }}$ stropha ejicienclum judicat. Butlerus.
 $\beta o \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, quod vulgo legitur defendente Butlero. iid
 Valckenaer. ad Phoeniss. 1331. ubi loci nostri me nit. - $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \eta \sigma=$ Guelph,
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39. ubi vid. epod. 1490. sq. Choeph. 897. 904. Supplie. 116. 129. 139. 48. 159. 73. 890. 98. - xaíovбаı Schuetz. Both. $\chi$ wsḯs defendere conatur Seidler, de vss. Dochm. p. 349. alia loca citans, ubi. in strophis finiendis aut inchoandis non tam grammatica quam logica ratio spectetur. Duo, quae attulit ex Hecuba exempla, 639. 923. (ed. Matth.) huc non pertinent, quum distinctione, quae nulla l. n. poni possit, excusentur. Idem dicendum de exemplis, quae attulitad Troado 302. Hippol. 329. codices praebent deбжoivas, quod elegantius, insolentius tamen dictum fạcile in déo ${ }^{\prime} 0$ oryav depravari potuit. Hermannus Elem. Doctr. Metr.
 Sic e Longini verbis versum non olegantem quidem, neque ferendum, versum tamen finxit, vid. l:c. p.679 Alterum genus glossarum poeticarum, suavissimis carminibus ansam praebentium ab Hispanis frequentatum videmus.
 $\pi \dot{\tau} \alpha, ~ \tau v \dot{x} \alpha \iota ~ \tau \varepsilon$ Ask. d. $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \sigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \tau \dot{v} \chi \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ Guelph

 $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{v} \chi \alpha เ \sigma \iota \nu$ Herm. Schuetz. Legendum
 probante.Butlero. - $\pi \alpha v \delta \alpha \mu i$ Guelph. Ald. Blomfield. in Glossario ad S. c. Th. V. 884. hanc formam praefert, de qua egit ad Prometh. 816. Melius perspexit rem mondum ad liquidum perductan Lobeckius ad Ajac. ${ }^{\circ}$ 1214. (129j. ed. Brunck.) ubi vide Hermannum.

[^46]Schuetz. Butlero probante. Vulgo xai abest. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ $\lambda \dot{\xi} \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ Ald. - i i $\tau \mu \circ \lambda \lambda_{\text {ax }}$ Rob. probante Pauwio.
 doгožs Herm. Schuetz. Both. - $\delta$ inserunt Herm. Schuetz. Both. Butlero probante. - $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \delta \pi \tau \alpha \varsigma$ Med. Rob. Cf. Lobeck. ad Phrynich. p. 673.
 Both. Quum spondei dactylo oppositi exempla reperiantur, nescio quid lucramur iis tollendis, qui legem metricam, qua spondeus respondet dactylo, non violant. Hermannus Elem. Metr. p. 386. eandem ob can-
 voocıv daaciv, quod Blomfieldus recepit, de quo ad-



V. 948. sq. Verba özш - $\mu 0 \lambda \pi \alpha{ }^{2}$ s unum versum efficere videntur et ita in stropha. Hiatus exclamatione excusatur, vide Seidler. de vss. dochmm. p. 80. epistol. ad Lobeck. p. 436. Quae observatio si vera est, q̧t vera videtur, signum exclamationis hoc loco ponere mihi liceat, ut oinnes hujus editionis hiatus exclamatione excusentur. - ! -
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## C.

Constructio matata. 562. 738.

- mixta. 868.
- тео́s ті̀ vocínevov. 755.
- contracta. 554.

Contractio orationis. 789.

- vocalium. 470.


## D.

סáxv๗. 574.
よ'̊. 811.
Delphi Hyperborei. p. 54. not. (Delphi appellat ab Apollinis et Dianae cognomine deleoi, i. e. $\downarrow$ дv $\mu \boldsymbol{}$, quorum cultum ab Hyperboreis accepisse cuntur.)
devió. pr 80. not. 2.
Descriptio tumens. 282.
Diaeresis. 571.

дооiqท. p. 81. sq.
Dualis pro Plurali. 245. (Cf. Hermann. ad Argonaut. 823. 845. Ex qua editione mula possunt, praecipue ad sermonem epicum cognosi dum. Hermannus enim cum Wolfio poesin et monem Epicorum penitus perspexit. Matth. d Hom. hym. in Vener. p. 424.
drs ${ }^{-141 .}$

dusry.faitos. 417.
divsivxýs. 317.

$$
\mathbf{E}
$$


ziцi omissum. p. 59. not.
$\varepsilon i-\hat{r} \nu ; 225$.
عís tò лūँ. 191.
Enallage numeri. 318.
Epexegesis. 133.
Epitheta cumulata. 69.
子rioxaros. 830.

ถтоптгข์ต． 211.

злшขvцiat． 90.
é $\rho \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \nu \nu$. p．69．not． 2.
étvuos． 460.
Exclamatione orationi interjectae， 328.
方 $\delta$ c． 178 ．

## F．

Furiae quatuor，p．65．not．2．（Furias sine alis in sce－ nam produxit A．schylus，CE．Welcker，ad calcem versionis Loegae Auaglypho p．294．）

## G．

Yedäv．p．65．not． 4.
Genitivus duplex． 462.
－post $\sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega, \sigma \in \beta i \xi \omega$ etc．

－post Adjectivum．17．839．
q $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \alpha_{s} .274$.

## H．

Hendiadys． 238.
Hiatus． 948.
Hyperbaton． 826.

$$
\mathbf{I}
$$

Zarpoィ人ávzls．p．57．not．
Jecur sedes libidinum etc． 153.
रxívys． 228.
Imagines audaces． 172.
Infinitivus post Adjectivum． 56.
－pro Imperativo． 861.
Interruptio orationis． 183.

## K．

$x_{\alpha} \vartheta \iota \pi \pi \varepsilon^{j} \omega$ ．p．84．not．
xal－が́． 65.
xotu ${ }^{2} \nu$ metaphorice positum．p．67．not．3．p．86．not．1， x $x i 5 \omega$ ．p．54．not．
$\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu$ ．p．82．nut． 2.

Maıvós, 462. (Timotheus Dianam appellavit $\mu \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \delta^{\prime \prime}$,
 stitione, p. 170. A. de Audiend. Pott. p. 22. A. Adde Matth. ad Homer. Hymn. in Cerer. 386. Orph.

 dixit Catulll. carm. quod inscribitur: Atys. 23. ubi vid. Docring.)
$\boldsymbol{\mu \alpha}$ '́uios. 317.
$\mu \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ pro лӧ̈v́s. 44.
Meialva. Terrae cognomen videtur. p. 53. not. $\mu^{i} \nu$ oviv. 38. (Pers. 1033. ed. Blomf.)

$1 \pi .856$.
Metaphorae a rebus vulgaribus petitae. 187.
Mijvs. p. 64. not.

## N.

vixy. 830.
vexyчíoos. 441.
$\nu \omega \mu$ с́ce: p. 67. not. 2.
Nomina gentium personis tribuunt veteres. p. 54. n.

## 0.


 dam nunc puto, mecum reputans, quam parum fidei librariis tribuenta sit in hujusmodi rebus. De hac forma vid. Valckenaer. epistol. ad Röwer. p. 300. $1,2,4$.
Oppositio parum accurata, 477. (Pers, 85 ed. Blomf.


 $\tau \varepsilon$ xui ïntoes roìhois. Hoim. Hym. in Terram 13

- sq. $\pi u i d \varepsilon s-\pi u \varrho \vartheta \varepsilon \nu x c u$. Hom. Hymn. in Apollin.
 spectum. Nisi hoc sit epitheton ornans sine respectu oppositi vrícwv.)
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Reipublicae mentionem nimiam fecerunt Tragicia p, 71. not. et v. 1().
Repetitio ejusdem rei sub alio nomine. 96.258.325. ejusdem vocis. 401. 512,

- pathetica. 798.


## S.

Sacra Furiarum non semper sine igne facta, 108, Sacerdotes Furiarum. Y'25.

Sigmatisinus. 691.
Substantivum in Adjegtivo repetitum. 622. 839r - $\varphi \alpha \gamma \eta$ кḯ $\alpha \pi n s .413$.

- ч@иүіқбит. 750 .


## T.

₹ó2.asa 317.
qє-xci, 116.
qi'.os. p. 86, not. 2.
Theocrituss emendatus, 682,
qi yóg. 6!4.
rixesiv, metaphorice positum. p. 77. not. 1, v. 452. (Plutarch, de Audiend. Poet. 34, E, citat versum:

Lege, quae ibidem adduntur.).

sury, munus. 385.
cepeiv. p. 64. not.
Translatio vocabulorum. 77.
Transpasitio. 186, 280, 341, 363, 719,
Tortoz'vecc. 280,

aiceros. p. 78. nota




$$
\mathrm{V}
$$

Vaticinia ex aqua. p. 55. n,
Verbis firtioribus ad conjunctionem significandum utun. tur Graeci. 1S6a

## A DDE/NDA.

Doederleinius in Commentatione de Aeschyli Eumenidibus, quae prodiit Erlangae 1820, Hermanno statuenti, Aeschylum ab obtrectatoribus post victoriam accusatione duplici vexatum esse, quasi in fabulis suis et novos deos introduxerit et evulgaverit mysteria, adstipulatur, atque qui qualesve fuerint obtrectatores illi, accuratius demonstrare sibi proposuit. Sed hanc demonstrationem ei successisse, non mihi persuadere possum. Contendit enim, Aeschylum fabulam de Orestis judicio invenisse ut iis, qui Optimatum potestati invidentes, Areopagi vim ac potentiam minuere aut omnibus aditum ad hanc dignitatem aperire vellent, obniteretur. Aeschylum metuentem, ne posterius fieret, versus 630. 1. inseruisse:

## 


Non opas esi exemplis frmare, quod satis' notum est, Tragicos Athenas ornare studuisse, et Athenienses fabulas Graecorum ita refingere, ut decoris quid ad. urbem ipsorum inde redundaret, solitos, fuisse, Haecce certa sunt et ad. Orestis judioium explicandum' suff: ciunt, ut non sit, quod conjecturis incertis indulgeamus, Minime quidem negaverim, Aeschylnm occasio: ne oblata Areopagum quantum feri pobnit, celobrasse, ut popularibus reverentiam bujus judicii inculcaret, sed hinc, ortum fabulae deducere, ingenio summi poetae param convenit. Longius procedit Doederteinius, putans, quia tum temporis propter solas opiniones nullus civis, nisi aut malefacte sequerentur, aut nimia cjus potentia'securitati publicae periculosa videretmr, publice palamave pellerotur, calumnia retigionis ursiose ad-
versarios, nec immerito ab Aeschylo consiliis suis tane tum timuisse nexrum rerum auctores, quippe cujus tragoediam illam paima ornavisset populus. „Haec igiur, ait, si vere disputavi, ratiocinatione quidem nagis quam testimoniis nixus, Aeschylus, nobili sino dubio et ipse loco natns, ubi ordini suo insidias strui vilet, primum repugnat iis armis, quibus pollet: ubi nihilo secius et Areopagus turpiter deprimitur et ipse multimodis vexatur, u'tro in Siciliam emigrat. " Ex argumentis historicis conjecturas facere licitum est, e? conjecturis autem argumenta historica invenire, id est, aedificia sine fundamentis struere. .
V. 26. Schol. $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \overline{0} \delta^{\prime} x \eta \nu$ male explicat. Vide Zoega Anaglyph. Descript. tab. XXV. not. 3.
V. 118. Doederlein. 1. c. explicat: Orestes duce Mercurio eas supplex adit, qui mihi non favent. Hanc explicationem valde contortam esse, docet nexus orationis.
V. 132. sq. Doederlein. 1. c. explicat: Respirationem, fugientinm laxamentum, exsiccant Furiae suo animae flatu, tanquam famma intestina. Ut $\pi \nu \varepsilon \bar{v} \mu \alpha$, Orestis sit anhelitus, quod mihi melius de Furiarum arhelitu dictumn videtur.
V. 156. тò reৎıßuৎv̀ x@vios Doederleinio gradatio videtur a gravibus angoribus ad ultimum illum horrorem, qui est in ipso mortis sensu. Gradatio certe in-
 x@v́os est dolor gravissimus tormentis excitatus.
 cum $\varepsilon i$ jungit. Si igitur iis, qui in conjugia. alter alterum necant, indulges, ut hac non
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V. 503. Diversas duarum recensionum lectiones negligenter conciliatas putat Doederlein.
 rirjeovuévov x. x. $\lambda$.


Duas fuisse recensiones; certis argumentis nondum ad liquidum perductam est, et, licet fuissent, non tamen putarem Aeschylum in altera tubam Tyrrhenicam omisisse. Ceterum haec ratio emendandi ita commoda est, ut fere omnia vitia e scriptoribus veteribus, si modo duas statuas recensiones, tollere possis.
V. 543. Jacobs, Additt. ad Athen. p. 158. xis yúe o8. Doederlein vulgatam defendit, eodem modo atque ego defendi, et vel $\tau 8$ ferri posse judicat.
V. 625. sq. C. O. Muller. Encyclopaed. ed. Ersch
 zonum significare putat, sed Aeschylus dixit, a Marte
 $\pi$.'yos $\tau \varepsilon$ neminem moratur Hom. Hymn. in Apollin.

V. 839. sq. Doederlein. gninitivum $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ex $\ddot{z}^{\prime} \nu \tau \iota-$ $\mu 0 \nu$ soulijut, quod lateat in $\tau \iota \mu \tilde{q} \nu$, pendere putat.
V. 873. Et hinc patet quantum infortunii existimaverint Gracci, si quis in primae actatis Hore innuptus. que moreretur. Quod nescio cur ad feminas solas refert Passovius in Athenaeo ed. Gunther. et Wachsmulh Vol. II. part. II. p. 305. Cf. Sept. c. Theb. 824.



Sic distinguit Doederlein, et vertit:
Quiautem has nonnactusest propitias, is, cum infeste urgent, ignorat unde calamitas irruat; xข́geıv xivós cum praegnantia dictum putans, ut synonymum svyरávecv Supptic. 158. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau v-$
 aliud quid esse sขyдávecv $\vartheta \dot{s c o ̈ v}$ 'Oגvpiriwv qnam rvyдúข $\varepsilon เ ข$. 'Epcvviwn, quoram alterum felicissimum, alterum infelicissimum.
V. 883. Orph. Hymn. 68. (69. ed. Herm.) de Fu. riic dictum :



Mense Augusto 1821.

## M

IV. lin. 3. a fine l. nonnisi cautissime.
IX. lin. 18. 1. narrationum est.
V. 273. In margine l. övoss. 503. obelo notand.

Signum inserendi ( ) pro signo ejiciendi [ ] male positum est V. 103 ( 285 verba $x \lambda v ́ \varepsilon \iota-\vartheta \varepsilon$ ós in parenthesi legenda sunt) 401. 921, 938. 940. 947. Vice versa peccatum 478. 491. 496. 881. 897. 8. 909. 921. 938. 943.947.

In Scholiis signa contrario modo atque in textu typis expressa sunt, quod factum quia textus a pluribus correctus est.
p. 59. not. 2. l. cit. pro ut. p. 76. not. 2. Égeidetce pro ėpeíastcic. 83. not. 1. lin. 5. 1. qui pro quia. - ذ6̂. lin. 8. l. $\varepsilon \dot{v} \vartheta \varepsilon \varrho$ pro $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \varrho$.


[^0]:    1. Xopòv é $\boldsymbol{\lambda} a \boldsymbol{\beta}$.
    
    
[^1]:    1. $\sigma \times{ }^{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \kappa$.
[^2]:    1. IV. 132. cf. 121.
    2. $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi t \in \dot{\sigma} \mu a \tau a$.
    3. $\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\beta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\theta} \mu \mathrm{o}$.
[^3]:    4. V. 982. From this passage we may infer that the Choephoras were not all elderly women, although their Conductress was aged, Choeph. 169.
    s. Simonid. Epigr. 58. Br.—Scholl. in .Eschin. c. Tim. p. 721. R.—Tzetzes Pro. legron. in Lgenphron, p. 1, Pott.
[^4]:    
    2. Cf. §. 28. for an account of this machine.

[^5]:    1. The same conclusion is drawn from this passage by Boeckh, Corp. Inscrip. II. p. 811.
    2. On the Significance of the Chorus in the Antigone, cf. v. 159. 835. Boeckh on the Antigone, Essay I, p. 45. (German).
[^6]:    1. Schol. Aristoph. Pax. 735.
[^7]:    1. The same conclusion is drawn from this passage by Boeckh, Corp. Inscrip. II. p. 811.
    2. On the Signiticance of the Chorus in the Antigone, cf. v. 159. 835. Boeckh $m$ the Antigone, Essay I, p. 45. (German).
[^8]:    1. Aristot. Polit. viif. 7. Plut. Mus. 19. Procl. Chrestom. p. 345.
[^9]:    2. In Plnt. Mus. 7.
    3. Etymol. M. s. v.
    4. Comp. e. g. in the Bacch. 入wtòs örav є̇̀кє́入aòos ífòs iєpà waíyuata Bpépy sivoxa фoitaбin cis $\delta \rho o s$ et seqq. In the most splendid passages we meet also with resolved Choriambi, as in the Pindaric Dithyramb Tò Bpópcov ròv 'Epıbóav
    
[^10]:    2. Arim. Quint. p. 88. 88.
    3. Id. Ibid.
    4. Plut. Mus. 5 s.
    5. Lecring Beceh. 4.
    6. Comp. Arintoph. Ran. 1815.
[^11]:    1. These Periacti were triangular shafts placed at the angles of the stagescreen, and easily moveable about a pivot: there were different views and prospects painted on the sides of them, so that by turning round the Periacti the near and distant landscape (rótos and xwpa) were changed with facility and expedition.
[^12]:    1. Пáyov—тóv $\delta$ e, $\mathbf{\nabla .} 655$.
    2. The only way in which I can understand this passage is, that the Amazons assail the new fortress (i. e. the town and citadel built by Theseus) by means of a counter-fortress on the Areopagus (like the Persians in Herodot. Vini. 52.); and in support of this sense of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi v \rho \gamma o u ̄ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$, I instance the expression $\left.\alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \iota a ́\right\} e เ v$ rıvá, 'to assail a person.'
[^13]:    1. The character of Ephialtes is vindicated by Wachsmuth in his Grecian Antiqq. 11. p. 60. (German.)
    2. Polit. 11. 9, and similarly Pausan. 1. 29. 5.
    J. Pericl. 7. 9. Cim. 15. Cf. Reip. ger. Proc. 10. 15.
    3. de Republ. 1. 27.
[^14]:    the Erinnyes. The irregular construction in the second verse (кai $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime \prime} \ddot{\sigma} \pi \omega s$, $\kappa . \tau . \lambda_{\text {. }}$ ) presents no difficulty. In the first member the main idea lies in módıs, in the second it is contained in $\mu r^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \varepsilon \nu$.

[^15]:    1. In the early times the Areopagus exercised a moral superintendance in the manner of the Spartan 「epovoic, and after the time of Demetrius Phalereus it was re-invested with that authority in conjunction with 「uvaıкovómol, इwopoviotál, and other Boards of Inspection; but how much of that prerogative it retained in the time of Ephialtes is a very difficult question : we can only assume it in general as a very probable case that the democracy, which even then was powerful and throughout Greece a decided enemy to such controul, had left but little of it remaining.
[^16]:    

[^17]:    1. Cf. Passow in Wachsmuth's Athenæum, 111, ii, p. 192. Dissen on Pindar Nem. $x$, Introduction.
[^18]:     the train of ideas will entertain a thought (as Hernann does) that the pas. anpe requiren milatr.

[^19]:    1. 'Avò $\rho \eta \lambda^{\prime} \tau \eta s$, Eum. 212. Agam. 1393, 1568. sqq. Sept. 619. Soph. OEd.
    
    2. Dem. c. Aristocr. 642. This passage proves that in the disputed passages,
    
[^20]:    3. c. Aristocr. 62\%.
[^21]:    1. E1. 28. 39. 260. Cf. Soph. El. 964.
    2. Eurip, Orest. Fragm. Accii Erigon. Paus. viri. 34. Tzetz. in Lycophr. 1374. Etym. M. p. 49. Natal. Com. Myth. Ix. 2. Creuzer, Meletem. 1. p. 82.
    3. And sleo.Hellanicus, Frag. 98. St.
[^22]:    1. Eumen. 268. 426. Apollon. Rh. iv. 693. Amphis in Athen. vi. 224 e. Alexis x. 421 є.
    2. Schol. Eum. 272.
    3. Athen. X. p. 437. Schol. Acharn. $\mathbf{9 6 0}$.
    4. Il. xvi. 574. Hes. Scatum Herc. 85.
[^23]:    1. To the theme, 'AГ, Lat. SAC, SANC, belong äyıos, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma / \zeta \omega, \dot{e} \nu-\dot{d} \phi-\dot{\alpha} \phi$ $\kappa a \theta a y i \zeta \omega, \dot{a} \gamma i \tau \eta s, a^{\prime} \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \in ́ v \omega$, also äyos or äyos (fear, or that which is to be feared),
     this ä乌erv denotes the reverence of that which is holy as well as dread of that which is wicked and polluting, so this double reference pervades all these words. Comp. Hanovii Exercit. Crit. p. 11.
[^24]:    4. It was this fountain (of which also Plutarch apeake, de Pych. Or. 17), and ais in amonaly aupposed, the Castalian, that the Pythian Dragon, guardian
     andmovrot, Eurtp. Phorn. 259. The fountain probably bore the name Delphuca,
     the Delphi ceema to be derived.

    - See eapecially Apollon. Argon. iv. 709. 714.

[^25]:    1. In addition to what is noticed in my Prolegomena ar einer wissenschaftlichen Mythologie, p. 306, compare the poetical designation of Hecate, 'Aס $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{c}$ Hesych. s. v. Hermes (Chthonios) as a God of Phers, also occurs in Callimach. Frag. 117. Bentl. The legends of Apollo's descent into Hades were used by Euhemerus after his fashion. Minuc. Felix C. 21, 2.
    2. The following passages supply the most convenient materials for the construction of this portion of the 'Hoial. Schol. Tzetz. on Theog. 142. comp. with Apollod. III. 10, 3. Pausan. ir. 26, 3. Schol. Eurip. Alcest. 1. Athenagor. Leg. 25,7. p. 116. Oxf. comp. Servius on FEn. vi1. 761. Perhaps also the verse in Plutarch
     Strom. 1. p. 139. S. 383. P. belongs to this Poem. The Katalogos of the Leucippida was at variance with that of the Koronis concerning the extraction of Resculapius. Hence the doubts of the former's genuineness, in Pausan. II. 265, 5. Comp. Schol. Pind. Pyth. iII. 14.
[^26]:    2. These are nodoubredly identical. Phreatto was the name given to a spot of gromed, EEmey roí Leupacios (Hellacius in Phot Myriob. p. 535 Bek.); Zee wan the moot inland and northern of the three havens at the Peirseus, but 80 nituated that a are apor it was meparated from an outer bay only by a narrow tongue of land: in we min the court of juntice stood. See Stuart's or Kruse's plan, PI, ILI. f, 3. In Wachanuth's Antiqq. III, p. 320, a alight correction is needed.
[^27]:     $\dot{\text { éqét }} \boldsymbol{y}$ s as 'a person appealed to' is not authorized by the instances of nouns in
     in. the sense of son and daughter, in the Tragedians and Euphorion, caroodétas
    

[^28]:    of massacres (odayeis) and insurrections were tried in the Prytaneum before the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i s$ (the $\phi u \lambda o \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i s$, I suppose, who may have been identical with the Prytanes in the first instance, and subsequently had to do with the sham-trials at the Prytaneum.)

[^29]:    1, Cf. Luzac, Exercitalt. Acad. Spec. 111. p. 175.

[^30]:    1. From the verdict returned for Orestes by the Areopagas Euripides deduces the principle, that in looqnфia the defindant gains the cause (E1. 1277. Iph. T. 1482), and in this sense the $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi o s$ A $\theta$ nuãs is often mentioned by the later orators. In the Scholia on Aristid. Panath, p. 108, 7. Dind. it is stated that the twelve Gods sat as Judges in the Areopagus, and that Athena gave the thirteenth vote.
[^31]:    1. In giving this title to the work $I$ have assumed that the reading $\in v$ rois тต̄v EMIIATPI $\triangle Q N$ (for OYPATPI $\triangle Q N$ ) ratpioıs in Athenæus Ix. 410, a, will be deemed more probable than the emendation $\Phi$ YTAAI $\Delta \Omega N$ proposed by lobeck. Por although the Phytalida, according to the legend of Theseus, also had the mperintendeace of purifications, their $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \tau \rho \iota$ could scarcely have furnished matter enough for separate work.
[^32]:    1. Compare also Æsch. Choeph. 911. 1050. Soph. Ed. C. 1299.1434. Paus. vilir. 4.2. 1x. 5.8.
[^33]:    1. Il. XIX. 87. Od. xv. 234.
    2. Cf. the $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \bar{\nu}$ 'E $\rho \iota \nu u ́ s$. Soph. Antig. 603.
[^34]:    1. Eurip. Phœn. 694. Schol.
    2. Euphorion in the Scholl.
[^35]:    1. In Ps. Plutarch de Fluv. 2, 3.
    2. Ptolem. Heph. in Phot. p. 247. H.

    - 3. Schol. ©ed. Col. 91. The tale related theré is partly fictitious, in order to account for CEdipus's tomb coming into a Temple of Demeter.

[^36]:    2. Plutarch de cxil. 11. and de Iside, 48.
[^37]:    1. Nos, Mytholog. Brief (Letters) Xxxi. p. 201.
    2. Ancient uned. Mon. p. 1. pl. 15.
[^38]:    opponite sides sing in answer to each other. In the second portion of the last Commos in the same play, one of the principal members of the Chorus reaponds to Antigone just in the same way as before then, Antigone to Ismene.
    8. íфoбímots, cf. §. 58.N.

[^39]:    1. Moreover in these pieces that stand-still in the middle, first noticed by Heeren, is particularly observable. This cannot be fully explained otherwise than by the connexion of the trilogy, as, for instance, the appearance of Io in the Prometheus.
    2. Boeckh. Trag. Princip. p. 268.
    3. Book iv. 547.
    4. Ib. III. 311.
    s. in Proclus' ('restomathy.
[^40]:    3. Cf. Reiske on Conatantin. Porphyrog. P. 222. e. 7. (3i2, 1.)
    -. p. 163. Iobeck. 7. The tpitor ipiotepoì. s. Schol. Aristoph. Pax. 736,
[^41]:    1. According to the genuine reading of Theogon. 91. See Muetzell de Emenbatione Theogon. Hesiod. p. $338 . \quad$ 2. S. Scholl. on Il. 24, 1.
    
     f. aleo VF. 2\%9. 360.
[^42]:    B. In this ode, however, the constant bipartition of the two first pairs of Strophen, compered with the burden of them, seems to denote that the first portion was sung by efagle voices or ranke, and only the second portion, as also the fourth pair of Strophem, by the whole Chorus.

[^43]:    1. The inserted exclamation $\dot{\omega} \pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \nu l^{\prime}{ }^{\top} H \rho a, ~ v .137$, which is quite natural coming from'virgins, is carefully to be distinguished from these prayers.
    
[^44]:    

[^45]:    gever.

[^46]:    

