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## PREFACE.

The object of this work is to provide a simple introduction to the subject of the Ethics for beginners generally, and especially for those who are commencing it with a view to the Oxford Final Examination. It may also perhaps be found useful in the Upper Forms of Public Schools. The chief aim throughout has been clearness and simplicity, even at the risk of occasional repetition and diffuseness. Technical phraseology has been as far as possible avoided, and the principal technical terms occurring are explained in a Glossary.

The author hopes that this specific object of the work will be borne in mind throughout the whole of it.

Thus the Introductory Sketch, which simply aims at giving a beginner an intelligent notion of the subject upon which he is entering, makes no pretence to be exhaustive. Several important systems and writers are omitted altogether. The object has been to select systems which have a distinct
(perhaps one-sided) character: such as are typical of some well-defined bias or direction of thought. In fact names and references have been added in the notes almost as an afterthought, to give the clue to further inquiry to those who may desire it.

In the Glossary likewise, the explanations given of some of the more important technical terms of Aristotle's philosophy are altogether popular and rudimentary. To have attempted anything like an adequate account of such difficult words as $\dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}, \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s, \psi v \chi \grave{\eta}, \delta \dot{v} v a \mu \iota s$, etc., would have defeated the purpose of the work altogether, and repelled those for whose benefit it is intended.

The same considerations must serve as an apology for language sometimes consciously loose and unphilosophical in the Analysis and Notes. The attempt to put such a treatise as that of Aristotle into such a 'modern' light as may be intelligible to a reader ex hyp. unacquainted with philosophical phraseology, seems necessarily to involve the sacrifice of technical accuracy. This desire to appeal as far as possible to modern sympathies has occasioned a certain amount of diffuseness in parallel quotations from recent popular writers.

The best thanks of the author are due to several friends who have kindly assisted him by their advice in various parts of the work : and he will feel grateful for any further criticisms or suggestions that may be offered to him.

- ie difficulty of the task has become more apparent on iler acquaintance with it, and this experiment is now made $\therefore$ : not without hesitation and a full consciousness of its tive execution.
idmund Hall, OXford, June 1871.


## PREFACE TO SECOND EDITION.

$\therefore$ NEW edition of this work being now called for, I take opportunity of introducing several corrections, and - $\quad \mathrm{ng}$ considerable additions to the Glossary and Notes.

The additional matter (except in the case of actual corrections or obvious improvements of detail) has been for the most part either embodied in the Glossary or collected in 'Supplementary Notes and Illustrations.'

The reasons for adopting this method have been (1) to disturb as little as possible the existing arrangement of Text, Analysis, and Footnotes; (2) to admit of the occasional introduction of discussions and references, which may make the Book (as I venture to hope) more useful to a higher class of Students, especially in the earlier stages of their acquaintance with so difficult an author as Aristotle; (3) to allow of a considerable enlargement of the illustratrations from modern authors. This kind of illustration, while interesting to all students, is, I believe, especially
valuable for Passmen, because calculated to impart something of a living interest to what they are apt to consider as empty formulæ, and mere 'dry bones' of speculation. Most of these illustrations are from Shakespeare, as it seems to me that the numerous and striking coincidences between these two of the greatest observers of human nature and life are especially instructive from the certainty of their complete independence. I have also allowed myself some liberty in introducing illustrations from Dante for the opposite reason, that his phraseology and theories are, so to speak, saturated with Aristotelian language and lore. We can thus trace the influence on one of the greatest minds, intellectual and imaginative, that the world has yet seen, of one whom he regarded as his master and guide both in Speculative and Practical Philosophy, of one who was to him not only 'il Maestro di color che sanno,' but also 'il Maestro di nostra vita.'

I am indebted to several friends for kindly suggesting corrections and improvements. My especial thanks are due to the Rev. J. R. Magrath, Senior Fellow and Tutor of Queen's College, for much valuable advice and assistance throughout the work.
E. M.
S. Edmund Hall, Oxford, October 1877.

## INTRODUCTION.

Much difficulty is sometimes felt, especially by beginners, in distinguishing the spheres of Ethical and Religious teaching. A dilemma like that which traditionally proved fatal to the Alexandrian library represents not inaptly the feeling with which the Science of Ethics is regarded in its relations to Religion. It is thought that if its conclusions agree with those of Religion they are superfluous, if they differ from them they are wicked. We will first then endeavour to gain a clear conception of the purpose and limits of the Science of Ethics, as contrasted with those of Religion.

We may consider this and kindred sciences to have their origin somewhat as follows.

Man is obviously compounded of two distinct natures, which may be roughly described as Body and Soul. He desires to know more of each of these natures. The nature of his Body is investigated by the Science of Physiology, which reveals to him that it is composed of Bones, Nerves, Muscles, etc. His Soul (using the word broadly for the immaterial side of man's nature) is similarly discovered by the Science of Psychology to contain Reasoning, Imaginative (or Artistic), Moral, and other powers. Further inquiries in each of these last-named departments give rise to the practical Sciences of

Logic, Æsthetics, Ethics, etc., which are Sciences because their main object is to ascertain the laws and facts of these several portions of our compound nature; practical because the enunciation of these laws and facts, when discovered, constitutes ipso facto practical rules for the direction of the faculties to which the investigation refers. In each case notice that the process is one of a careful but simple observation of facts, followed by a judicious enunciation of the laws which bind those facts together. In a word the method is what logicians call Inductive. This cannot be too clearly insisted upon. Let us therefore explain it a little more fully in each of the cases mentioned. The primary object of Logic is to ascertain under what laws, principles, limits, men do, as a matter of fact, reason and think. The statement of these laws becomes the groundwork of practical rules for reasoning. The primary object of Esthetics is to ascertain what constitutes, as a matter of fact, good and bad taste in art of all kinds according to the decision of those competent to judge. The enunciations of these principles become the practical rulcs to which the Sculptor, Artist, Poet must conform. So, lastly, the primary object of Ethics is to ascertain, as a matter of fact, what are the principles, feelings, or motives which regulate men's conduct as moral agents, what is the distinction which men do actually draw between Right and Wrong? by what faculty or faculties are they enabled to draw such a distinction? on what sanction do such distinctions rest? The answers to such questions, when formulated, become ipso facto practical rules for the conduct of life. But in all these cases the practical rules are as it were arljuncts to tho
science strictly so called. The main object of the science is to discover, and group under general laws, the facts in each department of human nature, by the ordinary powers of accurate observation. It is due to the nature of the subjectmatter in each case that the facts when formulated become practical rules. Now we see how the spheres of Ethics and Religion do not interfere. The object of Ethics is to asoertain the facts of a certain department of human nature so far as they are matters of observation. Practical rules of conduct are secondary, and in a manner accidental. On the other band the main object of Religion is generally thought to be to provide us with practical rules of conduct and an adequate sanction for obeying them. The discovery of facts of, or theories about, our moral nature is in this case what is secondary and accidental. Again, if Science (whether Ethics or any other Science) accepts a proposition as true which does not rest upon observation but on authority, it ceases so far to be Science. On the other hand Religion, or at least revealed Religion (and it is about this only that we are now speaking), often claims our obedience on the grounds of the authority to which it can appeal. Consequently the aim, the purpose, the fundamental principles, of Religion and Ethics are perfectly distinct. One does not supersede or clash with the other. It is now a trite saying that Revelation is not meant to teach us Physical Science; it is equally true that it is not designed to teach us Ethical or Moral Science.

Let us now suppose the Science of Ethics started on its independent career. . What will be the main question or questions which it will scek to answer? It finds mankind. as
a matter of fact, approving, and, as it would seem, instinctively approving, certain actions, and condemning others. Not, be it observed, the same actions universally. Very far from it. But it finds the same sentiment of approbation and disapprobation however variously applied in detail; a sentiment which is reflected in language by the words Right and Wrong.

And (to recur for a moment to the question above discussed) these phenomena are just as conspicuous when revealed religion is unknown : they are indeed in that case more important, scientifically speaking. They arise independently of religion, and therefore call for a solution independent of it. What then are these notions of Right and Wrong, asks the Science of Ethics, which, apart from external aid and instruction, the human mind spontaneously and universally recognises? What is the exact meaning of the distinction? What precisely constitutes the difference between Right and Wrong in actions? Further, by what faculty or faculties do we recognise it? Again, by what motive are we impelled to regulate our practice by these notions? What is the nature and sanction of the Feeling of Duty? Or once more, as Aristotle himself puts the question, What is the Chief Good for man? What is the ultimate aim of all his efforts and aspirations? What is he living for? What is he hoping to attain to?

Such are the main problems which present themselves for solution to the Science of Ethics, and they arise (let it be noticed) from observation of the actual facts and phenomena of that department of human nature with which the
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recognition of Right and Wrong to depend upon a calculation of Utility or Advantage. The latter are called Intuitive Systems because they refer the perception of Right and Wrong to a special faculty which simply approves and disapproves without being able always, or even usually, to assign its reasons: in other words to an Intuitive Faculty. But we must not encroach upon our second question. We will now therefore illustrate these two divergent theories as to the nature of Right and Wrong in themselves.
(A) Utilitarian Systems.
(a) Some assert that all Morality is a thinly-disguised selfishness, that man has and can have, no motive for action but selfinterest ${ }^{1}$, and that even benevolence, gratitude, and love are but forms of the desire of power, the wish to exhibit our superiority, the appreciation of possible advantages to be derived from the goodwill of others. ( $\beta$ ) Others again that virtuous actions are simply the observance of the varying enactments of law, framed at first by the rulers in their own

1 Hobbes, Mandeville, La fore by panegyrics, etc., encourRochefoucauld, etc. .Take as a age such tempers in others.' Or specimen Hobbes's account of La Rochefoucauld (Maxime 264): Love-' a conception a man hath 'Pity is a clever foresight of ills of his need of the person desired.' Or Mandeville's statement that 'men do not really admire such actions as those of Regulus or Decius, but only observe that men of such dispositions are very useful for the defence of any state, and thereinto which we may ourselves fall. We assist others in order to secure their services for ourselves under similar circumstances : and the services we render are strictly speaking conferred upon ourselves in advance'!
interest ${ }^{1}$, and observed afterwards by others from fear of civil punishments or hope of rewards, i.e. from a calculation of self-interest. ( $\gamma$ ) Others ${ }^{2}$, that 'honesty is found by experience to be the best policy,' that virtue conduces to health of body, and peace of mind, that it secures the honour and goodwill of society, and, as some add ${ }^{3}$, above all the friendship and goodwill of Heaven. Hence taking a far-sighted view of their best interests in this world, and still more, regarding the overwhelming balance in favour of virtue in the probable arrangements of the next, men prudently choose virtue and avoid vice. ( $\delta$ ) A more refined system ${ }^{4}$ teaches us that human nature. is by its very constitution endowed with so strong a feeling of sympathy that it cannot but experience pleasure and pain at the happiness and misery of others, and that it is thus impelled to strive after what makes for the general welfare, to dislike whatever has a contrary tendency; and that this
${ }^{1}$ The ancient Sophists, Hobbes, Mandeville, etc. e.g. Hobbes says, 'The notions of right and wrong, justice and injustice, have there (i.e. in a state of nature) no place. Where there is no common power, there is no law, where no law, no in. justice.'
${ }^{2}$ Butler to some extent-See especially Anal. pt. 1. c. iii.though his Utilitarianism is qualified by the frequent assertion that 'duty' and 'conscience' are really supreme, yet 'Conscience and self-love, if we understand
our true happiness, always lead us the same way. Duty and interest are perfectly coincident,' etc. See Summary at the close of Sermon iii.
${ }^{3}$ Especially Paley.
4 Hume and Hutcheson maintain that Right is what conduces to Utility in general, as contrasted with mere personal and selfish Utility, as Hobbes would say. Hume, Adam Smith, and Bentham in different ways connected these opposing theories through the medium of the feeling of Sympathy.
instinct of sympathy overrides the instinct of self-interest: in a word, that Right is that which tends to produce the greatest aggregate amount of happiness, 'the greatest happiness of the greatest number;' Wrong, the reverse of this. ( $\epsilon$ ) Finally we ought to notice a theory ${ }^{1}$ which serves as a sort of connecting link between the Utilitarian and Intuitive systems-viz., that originally Virtue was chosen for its advantages, but that soon it came to be sought without a conscious sense of the advantage to be derived from it. It had been found from the first so uniformly to be advantageous that the calculation whether it was so in any special case was omitted, and virtue as such, and so apparently for its own sake, was chosen. Just in the same way that money is sought after, first with a view to its use, and then, as the habit of hoarding grows, for its own sake, and without any thought of using it.

Such are some of the answers given by various systems of Ethics, which resolve Right and Wrong into some form of Utility or the reverse. We pass on now to the

## (B) Intuitive Sistems.

The following will serve as specimens of this type of solutions. It is said that Right and Wrong are distinctions sui generis. They cannot be further analysed or explained. They differ from any other notion as much, for example, as Light differs from Sound. All we can do is to recognise them and accept them simply as we do the phenomena of Light, Sound, etc. Thus Right is something which commends itself necessarily and naturally to us. To explain this ( $\alpha$ ) some
${ }^{1}$ Hartley, Mackintosh.
maintain that it exhibits a certain propriety, and an accordance with 'the fitness of things ' ${ }^{1}$ which we cannot choose but recognise, though we cannot analyse the feeling, or explain the grounds of our approbation. The distinction between Right and Wrong would thus be eternal and invariable. ( $\beta$ ) Or if 'accordance with the fitness of things' be thought vague and beyond the reach of verification, at least it is said there is in Right and Wrong a conformity or suitableness (and the reverse) to the nature of $M a n^{2}$ in the truest sense and highest development of that nature; very much in the way that fresh and bracing air is naturally wholesome to our bodily constitutions provided they are in a sound and healthy state, or that certain tastes and smells are agrecable to us, whatever they may be to other animals or organisms, from some suitableness to our organs of sense which we are unable to explain.

Thus these and similar systems regard the distinction between Right and Wrong as a specific and essential difference in the nature of things, which we must simply recognise as a fact, just as for instance we recognise the contrast between Hot and Cold, Black and White, Bitter and Sweet.

It is no part of our purpose to criticise the merits of these several systems, but only to state them in outline: we will therefore now proceed to our second question, viz. :-
II. By what faculty in ourselves is the distinction between Right and Wrong recognised?

The answers given to this question fall under two general
${ }^{1}$ Cudworth, Clarke. Plato's it is loved by the gods, but is 'Ideal' System. [e.g. Plato in loved by the gods because it is the Euthyphron contends that a holy.] quality or act is not holy because ${ }^{2}$ Butler.
types, as is pointed out by Hume. The one, that the recognition of Right and Wrong is derived from Reason ; the other, that it is derived from Sentiment. To these may be added the view which Hume himself maintains, that 'Reason and Sentiment concur in almost all moral determinations and conclusions.' To illustrate these types:-
Those who (as we have seen) maintain that Right and Wrong consist in an immutable ' conformity to the nature of things' hold further that Reason in general (i.e. Intuitive Reason), or a special department of Reason (i.e. Practical Reason), is the faculty by which such distinctions become known to us ${ }^{1}$.

Those who refer the origin of the notions of Right and Wrong to Sentiment in some form or another may again be divided into two classes, (1) those who trace it to some already recognised Sentiment, such as Self-Love or the Desire of Utility ; and (2) those who assert that the notions of Right and Wrong, being primary and fundamental notions, require a special sense or faculty for their recognition. The latter class, with whom we are chiefly concerned, argue somewhat as follows. Looking at the case of our bodily senses we observe that differences of Colour, Sound, Taste, Smell, Touch, can only be appreciated each by a special sense. If any of those senses be wanting the distinction of objects corresponding to it is lost. One sense cannot do the work of another, except perhaps in a very slight degree and by artificial training. Thus each sense has a special and appropriate object of its own. Another characteristic of the Senses is
${ }^{1}$ Cudworth, Clarke, Whewell, etc.
that they are 'Intuitive,' i.e. they tell us as a fact that one object is green, another red, that one sound is loud, another soft, and so on; but they cannot say why the rose is red or the leaf green, much less inform us as to the essential distinction in the nature of things between red and green. Here then we have an exact parallel (it is argued) to the recognition of the distinction between Right and Wrong. That distinction we feel to be sui generis, and whether the feeling be, as the Utilitarian would say, a deception or no, at any rate we do feel that we mean by it something different from the distinction between Advantageous and Disadvantageous or any other such antithesis that might be suggested; just as the difference between a good and bad Smell is distinct from the difference between a good and bad Taste. If this be so, then, on the analogy just explained of the bodily senses, it will require a special faculty for its recognition, just as much as Taste and Smell require different faculties; and further, that faculty must be Intuitive, because it is clear that we continually apply the terms Right and Wrong instinctively, and without being able to say exactly why we apply them, much less to explain what constitutes the precise difference implied by the words. Hence this faculty is not inaptly described as the Moral Sense ${ }^{1}$. But, it is said by

1 Shaftesbury, Hutcheson, (e.g. Honesty, Generosity, etc.) etc. The latter insists on the fundamental distinction between 'Natural' Good (e.g. Riches, Health, etc.) which we pursue from a view of Interest or from Self-Love, and 'Moral' Good
in reference to which he sums up his theory as follows :-
(i.) 'That some actions have to men an immediate goodness; or that by a superior sense, which I call a Moral one, we
others, this Moral faculty not only affirms Right and Wrong of certain acts, but it also involves a sentiment of approbation and disapprobation of them. The Senses in fact suggest here a further analogy. To recognise the distinction between Harmony and Discord so as to derive satisfaction or the reverse from sounds, it is necessary not only that we have the sense of hearing, but also that we have to some extent what is called 'a musical ear.' To appreciate harmonious and inharmonious combinations of colour it is necessary not only to possess the sense of sight, but also to have what is called 'an eye for colour.' Hence, it is urged, the recognition of Right and Wrong, involving as it does also the approbation and disapprobation of them, is analogous to the operation of the cultivated ear and eye rather than to that of the simple Senses of hearing and seeing. In a word the element of Taste is so conspicuous in the operations of this moral faculty that some have preferred to describe it as a Moral ${ }^{1}$ Taste rather than a Moral Sense. Others observing
perceive pleasure in the contemplation of such actions in others, and are determined to love the agent (and much more do we perceive pleasure in being conscious of having done such actions ourselves) without any view of further natural advantage from them.
(ii.) That what excites us to these actions, which we call virtuous, is not an intention to obtain even this sensible plea-
sure, much less the future rewards from sanctions of laws, or any other natural good, which may be the consequence of the virtuous action, but an entirely different principle of action from Interest or Self-Love.'

An Inquiry concerning Moral Good and Evil-Introduction.See further §I. viii.
${ }^{1}$ e.g. Hume: 'As' virtue is an end and is desirable on its own account, without fee or reward,
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prudential regard for our own interest, or as an instinctive benevolent desire for the good of our fellow-men, or as a combination of both these impulses. Those also who adhere to the theory of a Moral Taste give a somewhat similar answer to the question, viz., that the pleasure derived from the gratification of that Taste disposes us to act so as to secure it, as naturally as a musician would seek for the enjoyment of good music. So far then the answer given would deny the existence of Duty or Moral Obligation in any distinctive sense. It is merely a phase, or a special application, of some other familiar instinct.

Many Intuitive Moralists however claim for this feeling of Duty a character perfectly distinct and independent. They affirm it to be different from a sense of approbation, or of gratification; from a desire of self-interest, or of general expediency. It is declared to be a primary fact of our nature ${ }^{1}$, and as primary, to some extent inexplicable, just as is the case with the axioms of Mathematics or the fundamental Laws of Thought. That it is so is evidenced by an appeal to the various languages of men ${ }^{2}$ which provide a distinct word for the idea of 'Duty,' 'Ought,' ' Obligation,'-distinct that is from Self-
${ }^{1}$ e.g. Kant.
${ }^{2}$ Compare the following argument of Hume for the reality of our conceptions of Moral distinctions: -‘Had Nature made no such distinction founded on the original constitation of the mind, the words "honourable"and"shameiul," "lovely" and "odious,"
" noble" and " despicable," had never had place in any language; nor could politicians, had they invented these terms, everhave been able to render them intelligible, or make them convey any idea to the audience.'-Inquiry concerning Principles of Morals, § 5.)

Interest, Benevolence, Utility, Approbation, or any other motive that can be assigned-while each and all indicate it by a metaphor, the imperfect applicability of which bears witness to the difficulty of expressing the thing signified. Two metaphors generally occur-that of a debt due, or that of a binding or compelling force. Of the former, र $\rho \epsilon \mathrm{a}$, $\chi \rho \bar{\eta}$, 'debeo,' due,' 'duty,' 'ought' (owed), are examples: of the latter, $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$, 'religio,' 'obligation,' 'bound.' Imperfect metaphors they are, because a debt implies a creditor, as well as some service received from him which is to be repaid: obligation implies a superior power by which the compulsion is exercised. But in the case before us, though we feel that there is a 'due' or 'debt,' we have no distinct conception of the accessory circumstances just enumerated, or at least not necessarily so: and the 'obligation' is one which is not strictly binding or compulsory. The debt is one which we are free to repudiate, the obligation one which we are free to neglect. These metaphors thus indicate efforts on the part of the mind to express a feeling which it cannot adequately explain to itself or others because it is sui generis, the effort to do so however evidencing the real existence of some such feeling.

Thus we see the same broad twofold division runs through the various answers given to the three questions we have now discussed, a division depending on the consideration whether (1) the distinction of Right and Wrong in themselves, (2) the faculty by which it is appreciated, (3) the motive by which it is acted upon, are, or are not, sui generis. It is not however the case that systems which adopt either of these
opposed lines in answer to any one of the questions necessarily adopt the same line in regard to the others.
IV. Whatever be the character of the motive power of our moral nature, whether it be a calculation of self-interest, or a desire for the good of others, or the instinctive gratification of a Taste, or a Sense of Duty generically distinct from other motives, the question still remains open, What is the ultimate end to which our moral nature tends? What is it, by the conduct which it adopts, struggling or hoping to reach at last as its ideal consummation? or, as Aristotle phrases it, 'What is the Chief Good for man?' This is a question scarcely, if at all, inferior in importance, and certainly not so in practical interest, to the three already considered. Aristotle, as we have seen, regards it as the main question of Ethical Science.

We cannot then do better than answer this question in his own words. That final end and aim is Happiness, i.e. a state in which there shall be no deferred hopes, no unsatisfied desires. All are agreed upon this, high and low, learned and unlearned, but the con ceptions of the conditions constituting such a state are as various as the varieties of human aims and human characters. Each selects his own favourite desire or pursuit, and considers the state of Happiness to depend mainly upon its gratification. (It will be remembered that we are now speaking of Man apart from the influence of revealed Religion on his aspirations or his conduct.) Still in the midst of this variety certain leading types may be noticed, which are generally speaking characteristic of different
stages of growth in Society or in the Individual. (See Ethics, I. v.)

1. The whole occupation of savage life (where society means little more than local proximity of habitation), is to secure by hunting and fishing the precarious support of daily life. The highest happincss conceivable is the abundant supply of the best food without toil, trouble, or anxiety. Heaven is a perpetual banquet. The full and free gratification of Bodily pleasure ( $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \grave{\eta}$ ) constitutes Happiness.
2. When the growth of civilisation (by organization of labour, mechanical improvements, etc.) is able to secure the supply of these simple wants of the community, then the desire for power over others and social distinction ( $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ ) becomes the ruling passion. Successful kings, rulers, generals, are the ideals which command the admiration of mankind at large. Nobler spirits however regard these distinctions as deriving their value from Active Virtue and Goodness of Character ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ ), and endeavour to persuade themselves and others that the desires of human nature would all be satisfied if this type of Character were fully attained.
3. In a state of still more advanced cultivation and refinement, this divergence between higher and lower natures, the one pursuing $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$, the others $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$, becomes yet more marked. The former-experience having shown the practical attainment of their ideal standard ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ ), at least on any large scale, to be hopeless-take refuge in literature, philosophy, intellectual cultivation ( $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s \beta_{i o s}$ ). The latter, and the majority, -finding out of the pursuit of distinction and power that 'the quest is not for them,'-betake themselves to the accumulation
of wealth ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa$ òs $\beta$ ios). Hence the familiar remark that both high literary cultivation, and also wealth with its natural accompaniment of luxury, are signs in societies of full maturity verging towards decay.

In the life of the Individual we may trace a somewhat similar progress in his various conceptions of Happiness. Pleasure is the sole thought of youth; Ambition to excel, in its lower or its higher forms, is the characteristic of manhood; and the closing scene is marked either by 'years that bring the philosophic mind,' or by 'avarice, the prevailing passion of old age.'

Such are the chief types of that aim or end of life which men are found, as a mere observation of fact (see p. xiv.), to place before themselves as the Chief Good, the attainment of which they think would wholly satisfy the desires of their nature. In this last, as in the case of the other three questions, it becomes the office of the Science of Ethics to judge of the merits of these conflicting theories, and if all must be pronounced imperfect, to point out if possible 'a more excellent way.'
${ }^{1}$ We are now in a position to explain the broad features of Aristotle's system of Ethics in particular, as delineated in the following Treatise. We may perhaps notice these three distinctive characteristics:-
(1) His attention is directed to the external rather than to the internal aspect of morals ${ }^{2}$. The central question of this

1 The student may omit pp. 2 This idea will be found xxx. to xxxv. until he has act clearly worked out in Grant's quired a certain familiarity with Ethics, vol. i. Essay vii.) the text of the Ethics.
system is, What is the Chief Good for Man? What is the Final End of action, the End-in-itself? So again it will be seen that a large portion of Books II. III. and IV. is occupied with the distinction between Virtues and Vices as manifested in outward actions, while we hear little or nothing of the faculty in ourselves by which that distinction is apprehended further than that it is 'right reason' (óp $\theta$ òs $\lambda o$ ó $o s$ ); and the sense of Duty or Obligation is scarcely touched upon (Cf. perhaps - III. i. $24 \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \hat{o} \rho \bar{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \alpha \iota$ ). So again we find a full discussion of Voluntary and Involuntary actions (B. III. chaps. i.- $\quad$.), but not of the Nature of the Will in itself or of its relation to the other parts of our moral constitution.

It must be clearly understood that this is no depreciation of Aristotle's system. It simply amounts to a statement of the totally different standpoint of ancient and modern times. The accumulated experience of more than two thousand years, together with the influence of Christianity pervading, even when not explicitly recognised, all modern thought, has given us an utterly different position at starting in Ethical Science, just as in Natural Science now-a-days a schoolboy starts with appliances and discoveries at his disposal which enable him to leave the wisest of the ancients far behind before he has mastered the very alphabet of his subject.
(2) The political or rather social character of Aristotle's system of Morals will also attract our notice. The science of Ethics is regarded at the outset as a branch of Political or Social Science ( $\pi$ o $\lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \tau \iota s$ I. ï. 9 ), while at the close of B. $\lambda$. it is said absolutely to require the sanction and compelling powers of Civil Government to enforce its precepts in
practice. Throughout the treatise the discussion of various moral questions is justified by the argument that Statesmen have employed or might employ such knowledge (e.g. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ in I. xiii. 2-4; $\psi v x \grave{\eta} i b . \S 8$; add I. ix. 8, II. i. 5, and III. $\nabla .7$, etc.). This cnaracteristic again is due to the circumstances of the writer's age and country. If we consider (1) the absence, comparatively speaking, of domestic life among the Greeks; (2) the fact that in the ancient Greek states, which were cities and not countries; representative government was comparatively unnecessary, and practically regarded with disfavour ${ }^{1}$; (3) the practical disabilities and general contempt visited upon trading and commerce; we can see how, under all these circumstances, a man's social and political life acquired. an importance which it is difficult for us, with our domestic habits, our vast empires, and our commercial pursuits, at all to realize. It was in fact the only avenue to distinction. Poets, philosophers, artists, were almost always statesmen or soldiers. The wealthy did not merely pay a larger sum to the aggregate of national taxation. A rich man raised and equipped so many horsemen, or he fitted out a vessel of war (which was usually commanded by himself), or he undertook the expenses of an embassy, or of a public festival. Hence the prominence of civic virtues in Aristotle's ${ }^{2}$ and other
${ }^{1}$ Aristotle says in the Politics that one who does not himself share in political life might as well be a resident alien ( $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$
 $\mu \bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu)$.
${ }^{2}$ e.g. The primary importance
of Courage, which is, in Aristotle's conception, almost restricted to military Courage. With the Spartans this Virtue was so preeminent that others were entirely subordinate to it : e.g. Theft was encouraged because of the cour-
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should have a 'political' hue is almost as much a necessity of his age and country as that the language in which he wrote should be Greek ${ }^{1}$; that this colouring but seldom disguises important moral questions is a merit peculiarly his own.
(3) Thirdly, the attentive student will be struck by a tendency in. Aristotle to regard Virtue very much on its intellectual side. This again was an inheritance from the times in which he $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{ed}^{2}$, and with his master Plato it is found in a vastly greater degree. With Plato Virtue is Knowledge and Vice is Ignorance. No man, according to his system, can deliberately act against knowledge. When any man chooses the Wrong he must do so with the conviction, at least for the moment, that it is preferable to secure the forbidden pleasure and risk the future consequences than to undergo the present pain of the self-denial. This is simply a miscalculation, and Vice is due therefore to an error of

Senect. xii. § 40, denouncing sensuality on the main ground that it leads to actions politically dangerous.

1 ' Every nation, from its peculiar circumstances and position, tends to some particular type, both of beauty and of virtue, and it naturally extols its national type beyond all others.' -(Lecky, Hist. Eur. Morals, vol. i. p. 82.)

2 'If we compare the different virtues . that have flourished
among Pagans and Christians, we invariably find that the prevailing type of excellence among the former is that in which the will and judgment, and among the latter, that in which the emotions are most prominent. Friendship rather than love, hospitality rather than charity, magnanimity rather than tenderness, clemency rather than sympathy, are the characteristics of ancient goodness.'-(Lecky, Eur. Mor. vol. i. p. 200.)
judgment, a mistake, an intellectual blunder, and is consequently with Plato, at least in theory, involuntary. We find no such exaggeration of the intellectual portion of moral action in Aristotle, but on the contrary frequent protests against it. But we do find, as compared with our modern ideas, little account taken of the emotional or impulsive.side of Virtue. Though Aristotle insists in III. ii. at much length on the compound character of Moral Choice ( $\pi \rho o \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ) -which is an essential condition of all Moral Action (see II. iv. 3)—as involving an element of Impulse ( ${ }^{\circ} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \xi(s)$ as well as of Judgment ( $\delta o \delta \xi a$ ), yet in his detailed account of the Virtues it often strikes us that he makes the Moral Agent too selfconscious ${ }^{1}$; there is a sort of cold and studied propriety, an absence of impulse and enthusiasm, even in virtues which seem to involve a large element of impulse in actual practice, such as Courage, Liberality, Benevolence, and High-Mindedness. ${ }^{2}$ No doubt it might be said that this unruffled philosophic self-control is his ideal of Moral perfection. We are not now discussing the merits of such an ideal. We, are simply noting that Aristotle's conception of Moral Virtue does in a marked way, compared with our modern habits of thought, fall under this type.

Finally, the beginner should be warned of the difficulties
${ }^{1}$ The often-noted absence of humility in Aristotle's ideal character, the High-Minded Man (IV. iii.), is an instance of this. Humility viewed on its intellectual side (as a Greek would view it) becomes a low estimate of
one's-self and especially of one's moral character, which, if undeserved, is mere folly; if deserved, implies the reverse of a Virtuous condition.
${ }^{2}$ See notes on IV. i. 27, ì. 10, iii. 24.
which lie before him in the way of (i.) literal translation, (ii.) analysis and distinction of argument, in this Book.
i. It is difficult, or rather in many cases impossible, to translate the technical language of Aristotle by any precisely equivalent terms in English. It is very rare to find two technical words in different languages precisely agreeing in their significance, in their extent, and still more in their associations. The words of different nations, like their coinage or their weights and measures, are often incommensurable. We cannot exactly translate francs into shillings or kilomètres into miles. Hence we must not be startled if we read that it is absurd to 'praise' (' $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota v \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu)$ the gods (I. xii.), or if we find physical functions such as nutriment and growth attributed to the 'soul' ( $\psi v \chi \eta)$ (I. xiii.), or if we are told that moral science is a branch of 'political' science ( $\left.\pi 0 \lambda_{\iota \tau \iota \kappa}\right)$ ) (I. ii.). The explanation is that our words 'praise,' 'soul,' 'political,' have different meanings and associations from those of the most nearly corresponding Greek terms. Still more impossible is it to translate passages the force of which depends on the double meaning of a Greek word or phrase
 'final' and 'perfect,' I. vii. 4), or upon the etymology of a technical term (e.g.. $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ in II. i. 1).

In all such cases as we have mentioned, we must either (1) paraphrase, i.e. describe rather than translate the words in the text (e.g. this will be found recommended in I. ii. for $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ), or (2) adopt different English words at different times for the same Greek word according to the particular side of the complex idea which is for the time prominent, e.g.
we may sometimes translate $\psi v \chi \eta$ ' soul,' sometimes ' mind,' sometimes perhaps 'vital principle.' It must always be borne in mind that the object of translation is not 'verbum verbo reddere,' but to convey to a modern hearer as far as possible the same ideas and impressions as the original would have produced in a contemporary.
ii. It would be an error to regard this work in the light of a modern treatise carefully written and revised by its author, put forth as the formal result of his labours in one special field of knowledge, and intended by him to occupy a definite position among his collected works. So far is this from being the case that the Nicomachean Ethics as they have come to us are generally thought to consist of fragments of two or more distinct treatises which were never intended to form parts of one whole. And more than this, they have sometimes been regarded as merely notes of different courses of oral lectures, taken down by one or more pupils, perhaps, and perhaps not, revised by Aristotle himself. We find (1) promises of subsequent discussion unfulfilled, or announced arrangements departed from ${ }^{1}$; (2) inconsistent theories or statements in different Books ${ }^{2}$; (3) confusion in the grouping of arguments or in the statement of single arguments ${ }^{3}$; (4) sometimes a series of arguments appears in a sort of skeleton form, as if they were merely heads or memoranda ${ }^{4}$; (5) sometimes arguments in support of a point from which the discussion has passed on, seem to be added like after-thoughts, just

[^0]as they occurred to the author, instead of being placed in their natural position ${ }^{1}$; (6) misquotations occur from wellknown authors, which have evidently been cited from memory and not verified ${ }^{2}$; (7) perhaps the note-theory might explain occasional instances of confusion, such as that in respect of $\phi \theta^{\prime} \nu o s$ and $\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon к \alpha \kappa \iota \alpha$ (II. vii. 15); or the sudden collapse of an unfinished discussion, as in IV. ix. ${ }^{3}$ These blemishes, and especially the last four, are just such as might be expected in oral lectures, or notes from such lectures, but not in a revised or finished treatise. Hence the student must not expect to be always able to analyse satisfactorily, or distinguish quite clearly, the several arguments in the text, as it stands; nor to develop a finished plan of treatment for each subject under discussion.
${ }^{1}$ e.g. I. viii. 12, etc.; II. iii. à $\nu \omega \phi \in \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ ?' He proceeds to 7 ; III. iii. 14, etc.
${ }^{2}$ e.g. Calypso for Circe, II. ix. 3 ; and perhaps the illustration from Homer about Thetis, IV. iii. 25 ; but see Suppl. Notes.
${ }^{3}$ To the arguments given above might be added two considerations derived from the diction: (i.) the frequent use of $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} к \rho о а т а і, \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a} к о \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$, etc. Shilleto (note on Thuc. i. 90) remarks on this: 'If the Nic. Ethics and some other works of Aristotle were not syllabuses of lectures, what is the meaning of more than once calling $\delta \pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v \mu \epsilon \in \nu o s$ (the pupil) $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho o a \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$, and of the expression $\mu$ ãaíws àко́vбєтat каì defend the reading $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \eta \nu$ for $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ in Eth. II. iii. $5={ }^{\prime}$ as we said in our lecture the other day,' and suggests that the frequent use of $a \grave{\lambda} \lambda \grave{a} \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i ́ a$ in the Politics [i.e. the Ethics continued] points in the same direction. (ii.) The use of accusative and infinitive without any strict grammatical construction; which quasi-reminiscence of $O r$. Obl. is suggestive of the process of jotting down notes. This is very common in the Politics, and in the Ethics we may cite as examples, IV. iii. 25 ( $\delta \iota o ̀ ~ k a i ̀ ~$ к.т.入.), ib. § 28 ( $\epsilon і ̈ \rho \omega \nu a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa . т . \lambda),$. etc.

GLOSSARY.

## abBREVIATIONS USED IN THESE NOTES.

cf. 'conter,' 'compare.'
q.v. 'quod vidc,' ' to which refer.'
sc. 'scilicet,' ' namely.'
s. $\mathbf{v}$. 'sub voce' (e.g. 'see Glossary s.v. $\tau \in$ ^ौos' means 'see the Glossary under the word $\tau$ eגos ').
l.c. 'loco citato,' 'in the passage quoted.'
h.l. 'hoc loco,' 'in this passage.'
ib. 'ibidem,' 'in the same place or passage.'
к.т.入. 'каl $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 入oıт ${ }^{\text {, }}$,' 'et cetera.'

The references to Bonks, Chapters, and Sections are made in different figures, thus: II. iii. 5 means Book II., Chapter iii., Section 5.
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H $1 \hat{a} \xi$ cs (1) has the general sense of outward action, in contrast with inward and mental activity ( $\theta \epsilon \omega$ pla, for which see below). (2) It is applied to a particular kind of outward actions, viz., such as have no tangible result distinct from the action itself; where our aim is not at making something but at doing something. e.g. The practice of the Art of Navigation, or the Art of Healing: for the safety of the ship or the health of the body is not a result of a distinct and tangible character. (3) Since the most important cases of such actions are Moral Actions, where either the action itself is the result in view, or the character which it tends to form, $\pi \rho \hat{\xi} \nless s$ acquires the still more limited sense of Moral Action.

חoinoıs is applied to actions which leave some definite and tangible result, actions which aim at making something; as is the case in nost of the Arts: e.g. in nouse-building or stip-building the house or the ship is such a result, in composing poetry ( $\pi$ oi $\eta \sigma \iota s$ ), the poem ( $\pi 0 i \eta \mu \alpha$ ); in sculpture or painting, the statue or the picture.

The adjectives $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$, поюŋтєкخे, $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$, naturally follow the same distinction. See in illustration, X. viii. 7. So in Pol. I. iv. 4, Aristotle describes a Shattle as $\delta_{\rho \gamma \operatorname{lo\nu }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$, its value consisting in its productions, but a Bed or Clothing as ópyava т $\quad$ рактıкג, their value consisting in their use.

## $\theta \epsilon \omega$ pía.

$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho l a$ is grouped with $\pi o i \eta \sigma \iota s$ and $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota s$ by Aristotle, and he regards these three as the only possible forms which intelligent activity can take. Observe they are all forms of activity ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\epsilon} p \gamma \epsilon l a)$. Activity of the productive or artistic powers is $\pi 0$ ol $\eta \sigma \iota$. Activity of the powers of action, and especially moral action, is $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi$ เs. Activity of the powers of intellect or contemplation is $\theta \epsilon \omega$ pla. In the first, there is outward action and a tangible result; in the second, there is outward action but no tangible re. sult; in the last, there is neither outward action nor tangible result ; still it is not a passive state, but one of internal, mental activity, ' the depth, and not the tumult of the soul' (Wordsworth). (See Pol. IV. (VII.) iii. sub. fin.) As Pope writes (Essay on Man, ii. 106), the 'strength of mind is exercise, not rest.' But further, it must be distinguished from
the mental activity displayed in the pursuit or acquisition of knowledge. It is the active fruition of knowledge already possessed. (See X. vii. 5, oú $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ a $\pi^{\prime}$ aúr $\hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a l ~ \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \dot{d} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$.) It is, to use a homely illustration, like 'chewing the cud' of knowledge, dwelling upon it, assimilating it. Persuasion, or discovery, of a truth leads to belief or knowledge of it; if it be a matter of personal interest, faith in it follows; finally it may become as it were a part of our very selves, our intellectual food, the thought upon which our minds for ever dwell and meditate. This last condition would constitute $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a$ of it. These stages are admirably expressed by Wordsworth:

> One in whom persuasion and belief Had ripened into faith, and faith become A passionate intuition.

This 'passionate intuition' is $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho / a$. It is this intellectual energy in repose, this active yet tranquil contemplation and enjoyment of Truth and Knowledge already possessed, that, under the name of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho / a$ (in B. X.), Aristotle considers to be perfect and ideal Happiness, as realized only in the life of the gods.

## Art-Science.

Science is knowledge for its own sake (scire ut sciamus). Art is knowledge for some practical end (scire ut operemur). It is objectionable to say that Art is 'Science turned to account,' because an Art is generally prior in time to its related Science. In fact the existence of an Art in a rude state is generally the stimulating cause of the study of the related Science. e.g. The Science of Astronomy was originally cultivated with a view to the Art of Navigation, or the Art (or practical Science) of Astrology: the Science of Anatomy with a view to the Art of Surgery: the Science of Chemistry with a view to the Art of Alchemy.

So much for the general distinction of the terms. There is however an ambiguity about the word 'practical,' which causes some difficulty in the application of the words Art and Science (e.g. Logic, Grammar, Rhetoric, Astrology, Navigation, etc., are called by either title). This makes it desirable to have an intermediate term, ' practical Science.' Let the reader refer to the difference already explained (p. xli.) between $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota s$ and $\pi$ oinois, and he will then understand the following distinction: 'Art' is strictly applicable to cases of $\pi 0 / \eta \sigma \iota s$, 'Practical Science' to cases of $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota$, 'Science' (as above explained), to knowledge for its own
sake. Hence Lugic, Grammar, Rhetoric, Ethics, and Politics are 'practical Sciences.' (See further, Introduction, p. xiii.)

Speaking broadly, $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ corresponds with Science, and $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$ with Art. We find however $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ used in reference to practical applications of knowledge (e.g. I. i. 5, vi. 15, II. vi. 9, III. iii. 8) and $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$, at least by implication, referred to Moral action, i.e. $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota s$, not $\pi o i n \sigma \iota$ (II. i. 4, vi. 9, etc.). We must not therefore press the correspondence too closely.

Also it must be observed that $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$ and $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ with Aristotle more usually refer, not to Art and Science regarded as external results of man's genius (i.e. a body of practical rules, or a system of abstract knowledge), but rather to the mental states by which we stand related to the objects of practical or theoretic knowledge respectively.

## a priori-a posteriori.

These terms refer to what is prior, or posterior, to observation and experience.

An a priori argument means one which starts from principles which are (or were thought to be by those who invented these terms) prior to, and independent of, experience. Such for instance are Mathematical and other Axioms. These have been held to be prior to experience, either as being 'innate ideas,' or as not depending for proof on experience. Without entering into this controversy further, we may assert that the phraseology a priori, having arisen in this manner, is now used to describe arguments starting from general principles.

An a posteriori argument, on the other hand, is one that derives its whole force from experience and observation of facts. Its premisses are not general principles or obvious truths, but statements of facts of experience.

Consequently the Mathematical Sciences are purely a priori Sciences. They start from general principles such (e.g.) as Euclid's Axioms, and cnd in particular, or at any rate less general, statements, such as Euclid's Propositions. They proceed, as Aristotle would say, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$.

On the other hand the Physical Sciences are purely a posteriori Sciences. They assume no general principles, but start from observed facts, and end in the discovery of general laws, e.g. that of gravitation. They proceed $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \dot{d} s ~ d i \rho \chi d s$.

As to the Science of Ethics, or Morals, both methods have at different times been advocated and adopted. See note on I. iv. 5.

## $\delta^{\prime} \nu a \mu \iota \varsigma-\in \in \nu \in ́ \rho \gamma \in \iota a$.

We first explain the principal meanings of $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu s$, which may be connected thus:- $\delta \dot{\nu} a \mu(s$ is (1) power or capacity, in a literal or general sense; (2) power merely, i.e. power existent, but not exercised ; dormant, not in operation (see below) ; (3) power regarded as the source and spring of practical results, such as is given us by Arts in contradistinction to Sciences (see p. xliv). Hence $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu / s$ is sometimes used as a sort of equivalent term for $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$, e.g. $\tau i \nu o s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \eta \delta \nu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu$, I. ii. 3 ; and again $i b$. $\S \S 5$ and 6 . See also V.i. 4.

The most important of the usages of the word is (2). In this sense it stands in contrast with $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon a$, somewhat as 'potential' and 'actual,' ' latent' and 'developed,' are contrasted in English. Take these illustrations. The flower exists potentially but not actually ( $\delta \nu \nu$ d $\mu \in \iota$ but not $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon^{\prime}(\alpha)$ in the bud, or in the seed ; the ear of corn in the 'bare-grain'; the oak in the acorn. So the photographic picture, which exists potentially on the collodion film, becomes actual when brought out by the developing fluid. Again, an infant has not actually the power of speaking or reading any more than a borse or a dog. Still there is an important difference between the two cases, because experience tells us that there is that in the infant which may be developed into these powers, whereas no amount of training would develop anything of the sort in the lower animals, any more than cultivation could produce an oak from an imitation acorn though undistinguishable to the eye from a real one. It is convenient therefore to say that these powers exist in the infant potentially ( $\delta v \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \iota)$, in distinction to cases where they do not exist at all. So again if we have information given us in cipher, or in sympathetic ink, or in a sealed document, we have the information $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$ but not $\bar{\varepsilon} \nu \in \rho \gamma \in \epsilon$. This distinction is also sometimes indicated by the antithesis of $\bar{\xi} \xi$ cs and $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a$, or of $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ and $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$. Passages in illustration will be found in I. viii. 8, II. i. 4. See also the use of $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu u s$ in contrast with $\pi \dot{a} \theta$ os and $\xi \xi<s$ in II. v. 2.

Again, the distinction may be applied both to existence and action. As regards the former, $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu c s$ is applied to that which can be, but is not: as regards the latter to that which can do, but does not. See especially the use of $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu$ is in I. xii.

## $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s, \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota o s$.

T $\epsilon$ गos $=$ our word 'End ' (1) in its literal sense of a 'termination '; and (2) in its other sense of a ' motive' or 'aim ': of which the latter use is much more common in Aristotle. (3) It is also used in reference to his doctrine of the 'end-in-itself,' or 'final end' of all human action, described in other words as 'the Chief Good.' Often however these meanings are combined in a manner which it is all but impossible to
 Similarly $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon c o s$ means 'complete,' 'perfect,' and also 'final': e.g. in I. vii. it is difficult to retain this double signification in translation.

Aristotle's doctrine of the 'end-in-itself' may be thus explained :There must be an end or purpose (in sense (2)) for which man exists in the world, as there is for everything else (see I. vii. 11). There must also be an end or limit (in sense (1)) to man's desires and efforts, else they would be in vain and useless (see I. ii. 1). That end once attained, man would 'rest and be eatisfied.' There could be nothing further to look to or to wish for. Hence it is called the 'absolute end,' or the ' end-in-itself.' To discover this in theory, and to secure the attainment of it in practice, is regarded by Aristotle as the main object of Ethics. This is spoken of as $\tau \delta \tau \hat{\lambda}$ गos, e.g. III. ix. 5. Also as to $\tau \partial \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o s$, I. vii. 8 , and $\tau \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o s$, X. vi. 1.

$$
\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta^{\prime} .
$$

'A $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ means literally a 'starting-point' or 'beginning,' or, as Aristotle
 which anything exists, or is produced, or is known.' Thus it is a very general term.

As a cause of existence or production. In this sense it may be used for any of the Four Canses (explained p. li) ; see Metaph. I. iii. We find it in the Ethics for Efficient Cause, as when man is said to be the $\dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ of his own actions (III. v. 5) ; and when Volition is described as the a $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ of the movement of the limbs (III. i. 6) ; for Final Cause, as when Happiness is said to be the $d \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ of our actions (I. xii. 8).

As a cause of knowledge. At either end of the scale of knowledge there must be a starting-point ( $\dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ ), which is taken for granted with-
out demonstrative proof, otherwise $\pi \rho b \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ oü $\tau \omega \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ' $\epsilon$ is ä $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho o \nu$. Hence the general principles or axioms, at the top of the scale, and the particular facts of perception or observation, at the bottom, must be assumed to start with, and hence both are sometimes called d $\rho \chi \chi a l$. Hence (says Aristotle) $\nu 0 \hat{s} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \phi b \tau \epsilon \rho a$, 'There is an intuitive faculty for the truths we start with in both directions.' An example of one kind would be, 'Two straight lines cannot enclose a space.' An example of the other, 'This is a straight line, a triangle,' 'This magnet attracts iron,' etc. See the following passages in illustration: I. vii. $20, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ a $\rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ al $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota$ к.т.入., ' $O f$ the truths we start from some are apprehended, etc.; the last word, purposely vague, expresses at any rate an immediate
 and I. iv. 7), 'The fact is a beginning and a point to start from.'

In the quotation, I. vii. 21, $\dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \cdot \ldots \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \eta \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \sigma v \pi a \nu \tau \partial s$, we have d $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ in its literal meaning, but the dictum is applied by Aristotle to the technical sense of the word also.

Since the Greeks seldom employed any other than the a priori method (see p. xlv) in the pursuit of knowledge, $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ comes to stand often for 'general principle,' 'first principle,' or 'axiom.' This will explain its use in I. iv., where $\lambda 6$ yoc $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \partial \hat{\omega}^{\prime} \nu{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu={ }^{\prime}$ arguments starting from general principles'; $\lambda \delta \gamma o c ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi l$ $\tau \grave{s} \dot{a} \rho \rho \chi \dot{\alpha} s='$ arguments leading up to general principles.'

## $\psi u \chi$ n.

This word, generally translated 'Soul,' has no precise English equivalent. It stands for all that is immaterial in man, including Mind, Desires, Will, and even Life. On the one hand, 'Soul' includes too much. It is impossible to disconnect theological and religious ideas from the word 'Soul,' which are quite foreign to the conception of Aristotle: e.g. To employ such expressions in translation as 'the life of the Soul,' 'the good of the Soul,' would be misleading. On the other hand, 'Soul' includes too little, as it does not reach to mere physical life, such as Animals and even Plants possess (see I. xiii. 11). Again, the word 'Life,' or Vital Principle, is too narrow, excluding Reason, Moral action, etc. So also is 'Mind,' excluding all else beside Reason.

The following passages will serve to show how impersonal, and how widely different from our notion of 'Soul' is Aristotle's conception of $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$. 'If the eye were a living creature, sight would be its $\psi v \chi \eta$ '
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applied to the eye, and to the horse, in II. vi. 2; to a musician (by implication) in I. vi. 14 ; and by Plato to the dog, to a pruning-knife, etc. etc.in short, to anything that has any work or function to perform; the d $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ in each case consisting in the good performance of that work. We cannot describe this general sense of the term better than in Aristotle's own words in II. vi. 2: 'Every excellence (á $\rho \in \tau \grave{\eta}$ ) perfects that of which it is the excellence, and causes its work to be well performed.'

There are however two special kinds of excellence to which the word aj $\rho \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is most frequently applied:-(1) Excellence of our intellectual or rational nature. Instances of such excellences are, prudence, wisdom, intelligence, argumentative power, retentive memory, acuteness, etc. etc. (2) Excellence of our moral nature; i.e. a well-regulated condition of the appetites, passions, and desires. Instances of such excellences are, temperance, courage, gentleness, ligh-mindedness, etc. etc., in other words, the moral virtues. It is in this restricted sense of the term that we translate it by 'Virtue.' These two kinds of excellence will be found in I. xiii. 20.

In this case, as in others that have been mentioned, the meanings are often so blended in Greek that we cannot translate by one word in English.

## $\pi \rho o a_{\underline{\prime}} \rho \in \sigma \iota \varsigma$.

In any deliberate action the following steps or processes may be traced:-
(1) Desire or wish for some end to be attained ( $\left.\beta o u{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \sigma t s\right)$.
(2) Reflection or deliberation upon the several means by which the end may be reached ( $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma$ ts).
(3) Deliberate Choice of some one means or series of means as the most eligible ( $\pi \rho o a i \rho \in \sigma / s$ ). This choice once made, the action follows accordingly.

Thus the distinction between $\beta o v i \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s, \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$, and $\pi \rho o a i \rho \in \sigma t s$ reembles that with which we are familiar between 'holy desires, good counsels, and just works' (or at least resolutions to act).
$\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ sometimes corresponds nearly with 'purpose,' or 'resolution,' or even 'will,' but as these translations, and especially the last, would often be misleading, it seems best to adopt 'deliberate choice." This translation has the further advantage of displaying the composite nature of the process, which Aristotle constantly insists upon, it being not merely ' choice' or ' purpose,' nor merely 'deliberation,' but a choice succeeding upon deliberation.

The following passages from the Ethics may be referred to in illustration. For a general account of $\pi \rho \rho a l \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, especially in its compound character, and its relation to processes or faculties more or less similar to it, see III. ii. and III. iii. 17, 18. (Compare also VI. ii. 5, where $\pi \rho o a l \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ is described as $\hat{\eta} \delta \rho \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \nu o \hat{s} \bar{\eta} \eta \quad \delta \rho \epsilon \xi \iota s \delta \iota a \nu 0 \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$.) It has to do with the Means, not (like $\beta o u ́ \lambda \eta \sigma / s$ ) with the End in action, III. ii. 9. It is coupled with $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi}$ ıs in I. i. 1 and I. vii. 1. It occurs in the sense of ' purpose,' or a ' particular state of the Will,' in contrast with 'action' or 'performance,' in II. iv. 3, VIII. xiii. 11, X. viii. 5; and similarly in IV. vii. 12 (on which see Suppl. Note). It is an essential condition of a Virtuous Act, see II. iv. 3, and the Definition of Virtue as $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi /{ }_{\xi}$ $\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , in II. vi. 15. It can only be good under the guidance of $\phi \rho \delta \nu \eta \sigma s_{s_{2}}$ VI. xiii. 7. Finally, in two passages it seems to waver between the ordinary sense of 'purpose' or 'intention,' and 'the design or plan purposed' ('id quod disputatione propositum est,'



## The Four Causes.

A complete knowledge of any Being or Object implies an acquaintance with Four different Causes to which its Existence is in different senses due.
(1) The Matter of which it is composed. The Material Cause.
(2) The Form by which it is distinguished. The Formal Cause.
(3) The Force which has brought about the particular combination of Matter and Form which constitute the Being or Object under consideration. The Efficient Cause.
(4) The Purpose or Object in View in such a combination. The Final Cause.
(2) and (4) require further explanation.
(2) 'Form' is to be taken not merely for external shape, but for whatever is characteristic or essential. The same Matter may be made into a hundred different objects, but the same Form (within certain limits) belongs to one class of objects only, and hence 'formal' came to mean 'essential'; and the 'formal cause' $=$ 'the essential nature'; i.e. the group of such qualities or characteristics as are essential to the existence of anything in its barest form, or to the simplest, conception we can have of it; which qualities are therefore always present in all different types
or developments of it. ${ }^{1}$ Thus the 'formal cause,' when described in words, becomes the Definition of the object.
In Aristotle's phraseology, 'Formal Cause' is identical with oúola (when = essence), and with $\tau \delta \tau l \hat{\eta} \nu \in \tau \nu a l$, which will be found explained in note on II. vi. 17. See Metaph. I. iii. 1.

Hence to take a single example-a Statue:-
The Material Cause is the marble or metal of which it is made.
Its Formal Cause is in one sense the shape by which we recognise it as a statue, and in another, the qualities which would constitute the scientific definition of 'Statue.'

Its Efficient Cause is in one sense the Artist, in another the Chisel, or the Furnace.

Its Final Cause the purpose with which it was made, e.g. the gain of the artist, the decoration of some public place, honour to be paid to some great man, etc. etc. Again, the Final Cause of a clock is to mark time. "As soon as a certain combination of wood, brass, etc., fulfils this condition, we call it a clock, and thus ' marking time' may be said to be the cause of its being a clock rather than anything else.
(4) The Final Cause (an expression familiar to us from the theological ' Argument from Final Causes,' or 'Argument from Design'), is the Purpose, End, or Object-in-View of anything. In all cases however we may trace (as Aristotle shows, B. I. c.i. and c. ii. init.) both proximate and ultimate purposes. All purposes if traced far enough resolve themselves into this one, that there is some good to be gained by the action; or, in more technical language, all ends ultimately converge to the Chief Good or $\tau \delta \dot{d} \gamma a 06 \nu$. Thus, strictly speaking, there is only one really Final Cause. The term however is applied to any subordinate end or inducement to act. (See further s.v. $\tau \in \lambda o s, \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \circ$.)

It will further follow that the Efficient Cause must be prior to, but need not be simultaneous with, its Effect; the Formal Cause must be simultaneous but need not be prior; the Material Cause must be both. (Cf. Post. Anal. II. xi.)

## $\theta$ धòs— $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s$.

It may be worth while to explain very briefly once for all Aristotle's conception of God and Nature in relation to the world, as several passages

[^1]Who in this For the Mau
Who in this spirit communes with the Forms Of Nature,
i.e. the great essential types of Nature's varicd operations.
in the Ethics would mislead those who adopted without some precaution the two English words in question.

Aristotle's philosophical conception of God excludes the ideas of the Creation, the Moral Government, and even the Providential Government, of the world. Creation and providential government are excluded, since Aristotle maintains that the world is eternal, and distinctly asserts (in X. viii. 7) that $\pi$ oinoıs (creative energy) of any kind is unworthy of God, and also in B. X. and elsewhere, that God is absolutely unmoved, unchangeable, unaffected by anything external to himself; his existence consisting in thought thinking upon itself ( $\nu \delta \eta \sigma \iota s \nu 0 \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s \nu \delta \eta \sigma \iota s$ ), or in a conscious fruition of perfect knowledge (see above 8.v. $\theta \in \omega \rho(a)$. Moral government is excluded, partly for the same reasons; and also because $\pi \rho a ̈ \xi c s$ (or moral action) is likewise in the same passage of B. X. distinctly stated to be unworthy of the divine nature. Also in VII. i. 2, it is asserted that the condition of excellence in $\theta \epsilon \dot{o}$ s is $\tau \iota \mu \iota \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$ a $\rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$. (Cf. the distinctions made in I. xiii.)

In short, any kind of agency was held by Aristotle to be unworthy of the Divine perfection. Such action would be avarkaios, $\beta$ latos $\tau$ is (see note on I. v. 8). This was the main point of the much misunderstood theory of Epicurus as to the gods. (See Grote's Aristotle, ii. p. 436.)

Yet Aristotle maintains that God, though unmoved, is the cause and source (altıov кal d $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ ) of all motion. This paradox is thus explained : God is not the efficient but the final cause of all motion (see above, s.v. 'The Four Causes'). In other words, the universe moves under the attraction of, and by striving after, the supreme Good, which is God: in its endeavours thereafter, it for ever, so to speak, circles and revolves about God as a centre, who thus, himself unmoved, becomes

The one far-off divine Event To which the whole Creation moves.

## So Dante :-

(Dio) Solo ed Eterno che tutto il cielo move
Non moto, con amore e con disio.
(Parad. xxiv. 131.)
Still it should be noted that Aristotle often speaks popularly of Divine agency, feelings, etc., in a manner quite inconsistent with his formal theóries, e.g. Eth. I. ix.; X. viii. 13; ix. 6. Cf. Rhet. II. ix. 2, roîs $\theta \epsilon o i$ is $d \pi \delta \delta \delta \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \delta \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \hat{q} \nu$. It should be added also that Aristotle like Plato), following the popular usage, speaks indifferently of 'God' and 'Gods' (e.g. I. xii. 3; X. viii., etc.), yet his conception of the nature of God, taken strictly, excludes altogether the idea of plurality of Gods.

The precise meaning of $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$, and its relation to $\theta \in \delta s$, in Aristotle, is perhaps as difficult to define as it would be to formulate accurately our own conception of Nature. Aristotle doubtless would not, in strict speaking, regard Nature as a personal or rational agent (see Eth. III. iii. 7, note), though he often (as we do) uses language which would imply it. Confining our attention chiefly to such passages as occur in the Ethics, or obvious illustrations of them, we may note :-
(1) Optimism in Nature. See Eth. I. ix. 5, $\epsilon \mathfrak{l} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau$ d̀ $\kappa a \tau \grave{d}$ фú $\sigma \iota \nu$, $\dot{\text { us }}$



 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi 0 v \sigma a$.]

In regard to this Optimism we may observe :-
(a) It is assigned to a conscious and intelligent purpose in Nature in

 $\beta o u ̀ \lambda \epsilon \tau a l, \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \delta \delta \delta \omega \sigma \omega \nu$, and many others, are frequently applied to $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \iota$.
( $\beta$ ) Nature, like Art, often falls short of its aim, being thwarted by Necessity, or Chance, or the Matter it has to work upon. Hence the limitation $\dot{\omega}$ oitb $\tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \not \epsilon_{\chi \epsilon \iota \nu}$ above. Cf. De Caelo, II. v. 3,

 the exquisite simile of Dante-

```
'La natura . . .
    Similmente operando all' artista,
    C'ha l'abito dell' arte e man che trema.'-(Par. xiii. 75.)
` Nature . . .
    Resembling thas the Artist in ber work,
    Whose faltering hand is faithless to his skill.
```

(2) \$úvis is the source of order, fixity, and regularity in the Universe (e.g.seeds and animals reproducing their like), being intermediate to Necessity on the one side (implying the impossibility of any variation), and Chance on the other (implying the absence of any law). Cf. De Caelo,


Hence we may perhaps explain $\tau \grave{a}$ ф́v́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon a$, I. viii. 11, and $\phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ $\beta 0 v \lambda \eta \tau \delta \nu$, III. iv., as contrasted with the irregular tastes of individuals. [Comp. Rhet. I. xi. 3, where $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \in \alpha \dot{\partial} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, and $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \in \xi \theta \epsilon \iota$ are contrasted,


$\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \delta s, D e A n$. I. iii. 3.) See also the distinction between $\phi$ vбккд $\nu$ and $\nu о \mu \iota \kappa \delta \nu \delta i \kappa \alpha u \nu \nu$, as explained in Eth. V. vii. 1; the former, however, not being rigidly invariable (as though due to d $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$; see note on III. iii. 7), though exceptions are so comparatively rare as 'to prove the rule'; just as (Aristotle adds) the right hand is $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ stronger than the left in spite of the existence of $\alpha \mu \phi \iota \delta \xi \xi \iota \iota$. So again, $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ elsewhere occurs as synonymous with $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \not \rho a \dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \hat{s}$ in Eth. I. iv. 5. Under this

(3) $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota s$ and $\theta e \dot{s}$ seem sometimes almost identified, as our own usage might lead us to expect; e.g. in Eth. X.ix. 6, that which belongs to us
 elsewhere in which direct creative and providential functions are attributed to $\phi$ ucts.
(4) $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ s is often used in reference to the operations of Nature in a limited sphere, such as the constitution of Man, or of some other Animal, or class of Objects. Though it is difficult to discriminate such a usage precisely, yet something like 'human nature' seems to be the prominent idea in the following:-Eth. II. i. 3, etc., $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ á $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ neither $\phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ nor $\pi a \rho d \dot{d} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$; III. v. 18, 19, whether our end and aim in action $\phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \eta$

 pare further with this usage the sense in which some moralists have held that Human Virtue consists in 'following Nature.'
(5) фúous and $\tau \in ́ \chi \chi \eta$ are frequently put into relation and comparison, e.g. Eth. I. ix. 6 ; II. vi. 9. These passages may be illustrated by others in which it is more definitely laid down that Art follows and supplements Nature; and also that the mode of their operations is similar, e.g $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$. . . $\tau \dot{d} \pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \epsilon i \pi o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ фи́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ 及oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$ à $\nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \nu$ (Pol.
 $\sigma a \sigma 0 a \iota, \tau a ̀ j \grave{\text { à }} \mu \iota \mu \epsilon i \tau a \iota$ (Phys. II. viii. 8). Dante, referring to the Physics by name (Inf. xi. 101), amplifies this Aristotelian idea by making Nature the child of God, and Art the child of Nature (si che vostr' arte a Dio quasi è nipote), and therefore includes in the same punishment those who have offered violence to God, or Nature, or Art.
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## Chap. I.—Explanation of Terms, 'End,' 'Good,' 'Chief Good' -Different kinds of Ends, and their degrees of finality.

I All human action, of whatsoever kind, implies an end Thesummum or purpose, i.e. the attainment of some good. The Chief be described as the ulti-

The main purpose of the Science of Ethics is, in Aristotle's conception, the discovery of the Chief Good, or Final End of all man's actions and aspirations, the attainment of which would leave him nothing to desire: He commences, therefore, in this chapter with first laying down broadly the conception of the Chief Good, and points out the prima facie difficulty in accepting such a conception of it. Next (in ch. ii. and iii.), having asserted that it is a reality notwithstanding (i.e., that there is a Chief Good or Final End), he settles some preliminary points as to the utility, scope,
method, etc., of its investiga- mate end of tion. Then, in ch. iv., he passes tions. on to the question, What is the Chief Good? and finding that the general agreement that it is Happiness vanishes as soon as we further ask, In what does Happiness consist? he usually puts the main question thenceforth in the modified form, What is Happiness?

1. $\mu \epsilon ́ \theta o \delta o s$ is strictly a method or process of science, and is therefore contrasted with $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$, which stands for a process of art. (See Glossary, s. v. Art and Science). $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota s=$ action, and especially moral action. $\pi \rho o a i-$ $\rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s=$ purpose or resolve which




Good is well described as the ultimate end of all our But it ap- 2 actions and desires. Ends differ from one another in a
pears that there is a vast difference of ends;
precedes action. The opening sentence then amounts to this:Whether we are working to produce anything ( $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ ), or to know anything ( $\mu \epsilon$ ' $\theta o \delta o s$ ), or to $d o$ anything ( $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota s$ ), or even are forming resolutions to act ( $\pi \rho o a i$ $\rho \epsilon \sigma(s)$, in all these cases we must have an end or purpose (in other words, some good), in view.
 good,' i.e. the chief good, 'or 'summum bonum.' 'End' and 'good' are nearly synonymous. The 'end' of an action is the 'good' we hope to secure by the action; it being obvious that every end must at least appear good or desirable, at the time it is chosen, to the person choosing it. ''Tis real good or seeming moves us all.'Pope. See III. iv. and III. v. 17. The first words of the next chapter assert the identity of the Final End (the conception of which is developed in this chapter) and the Chief Good, the definition of which is the main object of the whole treatise.

1. $\Delta \iota a \phi о \rho a ̀$ $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ \phi a i v \epsilon \tau a \iota ~$ к.т.入.] Though the general conception of a Chief Good can be readily explained, as has just been done in the words ov $\pi a ́ v \tau^{\prime}$
$\mathcal{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi i \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, yet the variety of our ends and aims ( $\delta \iota a \phi o \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \tau \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) is such that we cannot assume that all things do converge to any one such end ; in other words, that there is a Chief Good, much less say what it is. The former point is established in ii. l; the solution of the latter is the subject, more or less, of the whole treatise. See especially, however, iv. 1 and vii. l.
2. If we take a walk simply for the sake of walking, or to
 of walking is itself so far the end that we look for no ulterior result ( $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \sigma \nu$ ). If we walk to get an appetite, or for the sake of health, then the appetite or health is a further end beyond the action of walking, and is therefore, as Aristotle proceeds to point out, an end of higher value to us than the act of walk. ing. In this passage, however, Aristotle is thinking chiefly of acts of $\pi$ oin $\quad \iota$, which are distinguished from acts of $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi}$ ıs by having definite and tangible products resulting from the action. (See Glossary.)
3. T $\epsilon$ 白 $\eta$ obviously correspond
 $\gamma \in i a l$, in the previous sentence.











variety of ways. (a) They differ in kind: sometimes the (a) In kind, action is itself the end; sometimes a definite result beyond the action. And notice that when there is such an end beyond the action it is obviously something better than the

3 action itself, which is subordinate to it. ( $\beta$ ) But further, the character of ends is as various as the character of the
${ }^{(\beta)}$ in general character; actions of which they are ends : e.g. health, victory, wealth, a boat, a house, the equipments of a horse, etc. etc., are all ends of different actions, and differ inter se accordingly. $4(\gamma)$ In the midst of this variety, however, we may trace a re- ( $\gamma$ ) but many ration of subordination, or degrees of finality, in ends. One of these are art often embraces a variety of others, and their ends being ${ }_{\text {subordina- }}^{\text {the }}$ way subservient to the production of its end are of inferior value; ion. for the ends of the higher and more comprehensive arts are
6. $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu / s$ is here equivalent to $\tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \eta$. Art, differing from Science in that it supplies the power to produce practical resalts, is not infrequently described as $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu$ s. See in next ch. §3. tivos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\hat{\eta} \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \nu$, ie. ' of which of the sciences or arts.' (See Glossary
under $\delta$ v́vauts, and also under Art and Science.)
10. à $\rho \chi \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau о \nu \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}]$ ie. masterscience, or arch-science, if we allowed such a compound. $\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota^{-}$ тékt $\omega \nu$ is literally a ruler or director of workmen. (See next chapter, $\& 4$, and esp. the expression $a \tilde{̃} \tau \eta$ ס $\iota a \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ in § 5.)

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$,




5 obviously more final than those of the subordinated arts. In regard to this relation of subordination, it will make no ifference whether the ends compared together are actions themselves or the results of actions.

Chaps. II. and III. -There is such a thing as a final end of action, i.e. a Chief Good. If so,
(a) It will be practically useful to define it.
( $\beta$ ) The Political (or Social) Science is the Science which treats of it.
( $\gamma$ ) This is not an exact Science.
( $\delta$ ) Its study requires special training and conditions.

However, this subordination must stop somewhere; ie. there must be some Final End.
] Now we may safely infer that this subordination of ends cannot go on ad infinitum, because we should in that case be endued with a desire (viz. of finality) which would be objectless and useless: in other words, we may infer that there is
3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ appears to be used here, as elsewhere sometimes, for $\tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu \eta$. (See Glossary.)
7. $k \in \nu \eta$, empty, ie. objectless. mataía, vain and useless. This passage resembles what is called the argument from design. The major premiss is (as Aristotle elsewhere phrases it) out $\delta \grave{\iota} \nu \mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ $\dot{\eta}$ фúaıs motcî, ' Nature does no-
thing in vain;' or, as we read

 ' All things are by nature ordered in the best possible way.' The fact that human nature is created with a desire for some final good proves that such a good must exist. That it should not exist is as inconceivable as that nature should have created an animal re-






 $\because$ - tucket is o
one supreme and Final End, to which all other ends converge ; if so 2, 3 and that is, in fact, the Chief Good. (a) If this be so, it of it must be useful to define it, because we shall be more likely to be pr pr 4 hit the mark when we have a distinct view of it. ( $\beta$ ) The ally u science to which pertains the knowledge of the Chief Good is It is is , naturally the supreme of sciences, and this is the Science of Social)
5 Social Life. We argue this supremacy on two grounds-(1) of it,
quiring a particular sort of food, and then have placed it where that sort of food could not be procured. Take as another illustratin the precisely similar argoment for a future state (which is still often regarded as the strongest, apart from revelation) based upon the aspirations of mankind for immortality, and for a higher ideal than can be reached in this life. 'It is not at all probable (says Dr. Clarke) that God should have given men appetites which were never to be satisfied, desires which had no objects to answer them, and unavoidable apprehensions of what was never really to come to pass.'
 upon life.' These words are emphatic. The knowledge of the Chief Good might perhaps be thought to be theoretically
interesting, but not practically important-just as Optics and Acoustics increase our knowledge, but do not help us to see and hear better. The objection here implied may be compared with that sometimes made to the utility of the study of Logic, viz., that men do reason correctly without it. The answer would be similar to that given in the text.
5. $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \nu$ ] See note on i. 4.
6. kípıos $=$ authoritative or supreme-as explained by the first argument in $\S 5$.
 i. 4). This epithet is justified by the second argument in $\S 6$. § 7 merely sums up the two pereceding arguments, inverting their order.
7. $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ is difficult to translate, because both 'Politics' and











 ova.
this this science regulates the study of all the other sciences in a $\stackrel{y}{7}$ be 6,7 community; and (2.) it employs their results, even in the
be the supreme since. case of the most esteemed of them, in its own service, and 8 thus their ends are subordinated to its end. (If it be argued that the good of society, which is the end of this science, is only another name for the good of the individual men who constitute society, we reply that the science which secures 9 this good on a large scale is still the supreme science.) Hence the Science of Ethics is a branch of the Science of Social Life.
'Social Science' have acquired a technical and inappropriate meaning. Paraphrased, it means the science which investigates the conditions of the perfection of social life, or of $\bar{m}$ an living as a member of a well-ordered community. Aristotle remarks elsewhere, Man is created by nature a social animal, and
therefore unless he lives in a society a portion of his nature is undeveloped. We cannot therefore treat of the well-being of man without considering him as a member of a society, nor therefore without also considering the conditions of the wellbeing of society. See further. note on vii. 6.
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our premisses, such must also be our conclusions, in respect of exactness.
rca-requiin the int of science
( $\delta$ ) The aims of the teacher being thus qualified, so also must be the demands of the learner. He must neither require too rigid accuracy, nor be content with any needless generality. Such exactness as is admitted by the subject-matter, neither 5 more nor less, should he demand. But this discrimination

1. $\omega \rho-\epsilon \in \pi i ̀-\tau \grave{o}-\pi o \lambda \grave{\nu}$ is equivalant to one word, and means 'general' or 'variable.' tà $\omega s$. $\epsilon \epsilon \pi i-\tau \grave{\prime}-\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v} \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ are things which happen as a general rule in such and such a way; 'generealities' as opposed to 'certainties.'
2. ad $\pi o \delta \dot{\delta} \chi \chi \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota$ means 'to allow,' in the old English sense of 'to approve' (eeg. 'The Lord alloweth the righteous'); hence h.l. 'to accept as satisfactory,' 'to acquiesce in.' See IV. vi. 3 , where $\dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \in \tau a l$, 'he will allow,' stands in opposition to $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho a \nu \epsilon \hat{,}$, 'he will disapprove.'
 к.т. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ It would be equally absurd to be satisfied with playsidle arguments from a mathematician, as to insist upon rigid
demonstration from an orator. Mathematics being an exact science, no considerations of the probability of a theorem being true, however great, are of the slightest use. Rhetoric being the 'art of persuasion,' the logycal value of its arguments is entirely subordinate to their persuasiveness.
 ' Cuique perito credendum est in sud arte.' The right of criticism in any subject depends on special training in that subject. We bow to the dictum of the painter in painting, to that of the musician in music. In general matters we look, in like manner, to the man of general knowledge and cultivation. This is evidently the sense re-

 $\pi \hat{a} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \rho$. $4 \hat{⿺}$

 5





 implies special education and special qualities in the learner, else he will have neither the right nor the power of exercising such a judgment. The young therefore are not fit students of (1.) mature
6 Ethical Science, partly from their ignorance of life and its experiences, and partly from the strength of their passions, which
7 they have not yet learned to master. And we must further (2.) wellexclude all who, however old in years, are but children in passions.
quire; and so the following passage would certainly be clearer if it read thus:- $\mathrm{Ka} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\circ}$
 $\pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \in v \mu \epsilon \mathcal{L} \rho \varsigma, \dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\epsilon}$ к.т. $\lambda .$, the words in brackets being supplied. Some critics suppose this to have been the original reading.
3. The young should-be taught the practice of morals before they attempt the theory. Their duty is to obey, without asking questions, without criticising the principles of what they have to obey, until the habit of obedience is gained. Then they may be trusted to
look into the theory and painciples of conduct, and indeed, unless they would always be children, they ought to do so. - Oportet discentem credere, edotum judicare' (Bacon). For the latter point see the end of $\S 7$, where cióćval is emphatic; for the former see X. X., where Aristotle maintains that moral teaching will be lost labour unless either parental authority or State laws can be relied on to enforce this preliminary work of training and discipline.
4. àкратє́ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ] The following explanation of terms may be useful:-


 $\theta_{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, тєфроинıáб $\theta \omega$ тобаи̂та.


8 character; all, that is, who live under the sway of passion and not reason. For Ethics is a science in which right knowledge profits nothing unless it is accompanied by right practice; while right practice will ever derive the greatest advantage if supplemented by right knowledge.

## Chap. IV. -What is the Chief Good?-Conflicting opinionsDetermination of the method to be adopted.

All allow 1 After these preliminary explanations we recur to the questhat' Hap- mines is ion, What is the aim of this Science of Social Life? or, the Chief Good.
áкрat̀̀s is a man who acts wrongly after a struggle between good and bad desires.
є' $\gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \grave{\eta} s$ is a man who acts - rightly in a similar case.
$\dot{\text { a nco }} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{a \sigma \tau o s}$ is one in whom vice has become a habit, and the desire of good is eradicated; he does wrong without a struggle.
$\sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ is one in whom virtue has become a habit; bad desires are conquered; he does right without an effort; or, as Bishop Butler expresses it, 'particular affections become absolutely coincident with the moral principle.' Anal. p. 101 (Angus's edit.).

See, in illustration, I. xiii. 15 ; III. ii. 4. Hence the ad ${ }^{2}$ a precisely in the case described in the text: he knows right but does wrong.
IV. Compare Pope, Essay on Man, iv. 1 :-
Oh Happiness ! our being's end and aim ! Good, Pleasure, Ease, Content, whate'er thy name-
That something still which prompts the eternal sigh,
For which we bear to live, or dare to die.
Or again, line 21, etc.
Some place the bliss in action, some in ease,
Those call it Pleasure, and Contentment these;
Some, sunk to beasts, find pleasure end in pain ;
Some, swelled to gods, confess even virtue vain:






 diver







2 as we put it at first, What is the Chief Good? In name all alike agree that it is Happiness: but when we further ask 3 What is Happiness? one says one thing, and one another, and even the same person says differently at different times. Pleasure, wealth, honour, health, some abstract ideal of good,

Or indolent, to each extreme they fall, To trust in everything, or doubt of all. Who thus define it, say they more or less Than this, that Happiness is Happiness?
2. $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is emphatic. See note below on line 13.
4. $\chi a \rho i \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ] 'men of culture.'
6. This divergence of opinion may be illustrated by the fact that an ingenious writer (Varro) claimed to have counted 285 different theories on this subject.
10. $\sigma v \nu \in \iota$ ót $\kappa$ к.т. $\lambda$.] We always value that most which for the time we want. In sickness we think no good can compare with health; in poverty we think nothing would make
us so happy as money; when conscious of ignorance ourselves we are dazzled by a display of knowledge which is beyond us.
13. This is Plato's theory of the 'Idea' of Good, criticised by Aristotle at length in chapter vi. The Chief Good, according to Plato, is the Quality or Conditron invariably present in everything Good, the possession of which causes the same term 'Good' to be applicable in each case. We speak of a good man, or horse, or poem, or poison, or antidote, etc. etc. We should not apply the same term 'Good,'

But there is endless variety of olinions as to what Happiness consists. in

 5 є́ $\pi \iota \pi \frac{}{}$







4 are some of these various answers. We shall not attempt to do more than investigate the more obvious or more reason-
5 able of these conflicting views. At the very outset we must

We determir ie the method of determine the method of our inquiry. Shall we start a prior from general principles, or shall we start a posterior from observed facts? One thing is clear: we must argue from the known to the unknown; and that in reference to our human knowledge, not in reference to any abstract or ideal system of knowledge. Now, in the order of our knowledge, facts come
unless the same idea were peresent in all these various cases. Whatever that be which is thus the one cause of Goodness, whereever it is found, is itself the Chief Good of all. This Plato termed the 'I $\delta$ 'ea of Good. Notice the contrast between the search for this 'Idea' of Good, and Aristotle's carefully limited inquiry for the Chief Good for man, the Chief Good of human action, etc.
3. ' $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi 0 \lambda \bar{\eta}$ is a 'surface' or 'superficies'; $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi \iota \pi o \lambda a ́ \zeta \omega$ is 'to lie on the surface; hence hel. either 'obvious' (the reverse of 'recondite'), or ' widely-spread.' (See Suppl. Note.)
4. See the Glossary on the terms a prior i and a posterior, and also s.v. atp $\chi$ !́.
9. $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu \omega \nu$. . . . . $\delta \iota \tau \tau \hat{\omega} s]$ Aristotle elsewhere explains that general laws are better known than particular facts in the perfest or ideal order of knowledge ( $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho a \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ or $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \omega \hat{\omega})$, but particular facts are better known than general laws in the order of human knowledge ( $\gamma \nu \omega$ $\rho \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho a \quad \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu)$. We are more familiar with the fall of an apple, or the motion of a particular star than with the law of gravitation. A being with more perfect knowledge would be more familiar with the $\mathrm{ge}_{\mathrm{n}}$ era


before general laws. We must therefore start from facts. 6 But seeing that the very facts of moral science are unintel-
laws governing the universe, than with particular instances of their application. As we ascend in the scale of intelligence 'the individual withers and the world is more and more.' Hence, practically, $\gamma \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \mu a$ $\phi \tilde{v} \sigma \in$ come to be Laws, Principles, Universals; $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \mu a \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, Facts, Particulars.

1. Observe the emphasis on $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \gamma \in$. 'Perhaps then we at any rate must begin from what is known to us.' Aristotle does not assert that there may not be a more ideally perfect way of approaching the subject. Compare the two methods of ethical teaching explained by Bishop Butler (Introd. to Sermons): 'There are two ways in which the subject of Morals may be treated. One begins from inquiring in to the abstract relations of things ( $\mathfrak{a} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ), the other from a matter of fact, namely, what the particular nature of man is, its several
 first seems the most direct formal proof: . . . the latter is, in a peculiar manner, adapted to satisfy a fair mind, and is more easily applicable to the several particular relations and circumstances in life.' So also Hume (General Principles of Morals, p. 221, ed.
1800): 'As this is a question of fact, not of abstract science, we can only expect success by following the experimental method, and deducing general maxims from a comparison of particular instances ( $\lambda$ óyou $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ ià̀s ả $\rho \chi a ́ s$ ). The other scientific method, where a general abstract principle is first established ( Aóyot $\left.\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}{ }^{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$, and is afterwards branched out into a variety of inferences and conclusions, may be more perfect in itself, but suits less the imperfection of human nature' ( $\bar{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \gamma \epsilon$
 To begin with $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \mu a \quad \eta \mu i \nu$ is, of course, to proceed $\epsilon \pi i ̀$ tàs $\dot{a} \rho \chi a ́ s$, and not $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$.
2. $\Delta \iota o \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тoîs $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \chi \theta a \iota]$ The facts of morals (in the sense of this passage) are the notions (in their most simple and rudimentary form) of right, wrong, just, unjust, duty, etc. The study presupposes that these notions are, at least to some degree, intelligible to us; that when the terms are used they convey some sort of meaning to us ( $\tau$ ò ö ö $\iota$ ), though we may not be able to define them accurately, or to say what constitutes rightness, wrongness, etc. ( $\tau \grave{d}$ ठóótı), or even to prove that there are any real distinctions in the


ligible without some preliminary training in good habits, we 7 must further presuppose such a training. Nor is it necessary
nature of things corresponding to the notions expressed by these and similar words. Still, even such a dim appreciation as this implies some training in good habits, and it would scarcely be found in a perfectly untutored savage. Nay more, even in civilized life it is only experience of a virtue (roís $\left.\vec{\epsilon} \theta \in \sigma \iota \nu \quad \tilde{\eta}_{\chi} \theta a \iota\right)$ which can make it intelligible to us individually. Hence the idea of 'humility' was unintelligible to the Greeks; it was never practised, and so their language had no word for it. Missionaries find it impossible to explain or express to savages some of the fundamental ideas of the Christian religion for the same reason. The condition ' $\tau 0 i \bar{s}{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \nu \quad \eta \chi \theta a \iota$ ' has not been fulfilled.
3. 'A $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ रà $\rho$ тò ồtı] 'For the fact is a starting point ;' or, 'the assumption of moral facts (without their reason or theory) will enable us to make a start.' All that we need presuppose, and that much we must presuppose, is the primd facie fact of moral distinctions, and a capacity for their recognition in the learner, though he may not at first know how to apply them to the details of action. Without this much, Ethics would have noraison d'être
(seeIntrod. p.xvi); there would be no subject-matter for the science to treat of, or faculties to which it could appeal. This will appear plainly if we look at the case of one or two other sciences. The Science of Painting (1) assumes, or does not question, the existence of colours; and (2) presupposes that the learner is able to distinguish colours-that he is not blind. The Science of Music (1) assumes the existence of harmonious and discordant sounds; and (2) presupposes that the learner can appreciate the differencethat he is not deaf. That would be the meaning of $\dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ tò ót $\begin{gathered}\text { as } \\ \text { applied in these two }\end{gathered}$ cases. Similarly the Science of Morals assumes (1) a distinction between Right and Wrong; and (2) a capacity in the learner to recognise that distinction (hence $\delta \in i$ тois $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \quad \bar{\eta} \chi \theta a \iota)$. The grounds in Nature which constitute differences of colours, or harmony and discord of sounds, or the essential distinction between Right and Wrong,-these lie not at the threshold, but in the inmost shrine of the respective sciences. These questions would correspond with $\tau \grave{\text { ò }} \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota$, with which, as Aristotle says, we have nothing to do at the commencement.
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3 contemplation. As to the first, it is the life of mere animals, though the ignorant have the example of the great and 4 powerful to justify their choice. As to the second, we object : ${ }^{\beta}$ ) Honour; - (1) Honour is precarious, being dependent upon others, 5 who may refuse it however well it be deserved; and (2) Honour is only sought as a kind of recognition of merit, and on the ground of virtue. And if so, Virtue is, according to the principles already laid down, a more final end than
4. Sardanapalus was the last king of Nineveh, whose name became proverbial for luxury and effeminacy.
5. $\pi \rho а к \tau \iota к о$ ] 'of an active turn.'
6. '̇ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \imath \pi \rho \lambda a \iota o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu]$ ' too superficial.' See note on iv. 4.
7. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ roîs $\tau[\mu \bar{\omega} \sigma t]$ Compare Pope
What's fame? A fancied life in others' breath,
A thing beyond us, e'en before our death.
And with the next clause com-pare-
All fame is foreign, but of true desert.
9. oikeióv $\tau \ell$ ]'something peckliarly one's own.'
10. Compare Bacon's Essay on Praise, which commences,' Praise is the reflection of Virtue
 rival), but it is as the glass or body which giveth the reflection. If it be from the common people it is commonly false and naught, and rather followeth vain persons than virtuous' ( $\zeta \eta \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ yoû $\nu i \pi$ od $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ф $о \nu \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.
12. ' $\left.\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}\right]$ ' on grounds of merit.' We do not care to be II held in honour by worthless i



 т







6 Honour, which cannot therefore be the Chief Good. If it be further asked, Is Virtue itself the Chief Good? we reply, No: ${ }_{(\gamma)}$ Virtue; because a man may be virtuous and yet through various accidents lead a life of forced inactivity or of positive suffering, and this could not without paradox be called a happy
7 life. As to the third, we reserve what we have to say for the
8 present. We ought perhaps to add that wealth cannot be the Chief Good, because wealth is obviously a means and not
(8) Philosphical contemplation: (є) $\mathbf{H}_{\text {Riches }}$
men, or upon trivial or discreditable grounds. Cf. IV. iii. 17.
6. $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \iota \nu$ ס $\iota a \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ refers to the discussions in the rhetorical schools, where, a subject or thesis ( $\left.\theta^{\prime} \sigma / s\right)$ being proposed, the pupils took different sides of the question to defend ( $\delta c a \phi u \lambda a ́ \tau-$ $\tau \epsilon(\nu)$ as an exercise, irrespective of their own views on the subject.
 'Popular treatises,'-such as might be met with in the ordinary round of life.
9. є̇тíбкє $\psi<s$ ] 'a thorough investigation.' This will be found in B. X .
10. Bíatós dis may be explained either (l) 'under a sort of constrains,' opposed to fkoúvoos (as in III. i., etc.), because no one would toil for wealth if he could secure the luxuries etc. which wealth procures without this toil ; (àvaүкaios is used to express the same idea in X . vi. 2) ; or (2) 'unnatural ' ( $=\pi a \rho a ̀$ фv́ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ), because it is a perversion of the nature of things to make an end of wealth, which is essentially a means, as much as it would be (e.g.) to accumulate railway. tickets without any intention of travelling.


 $\kappa а т а \beta \epsilon ́ \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau a \iota .<$






an end, and, as such, men seek it of compulsion and not of free choice, in order to secure the results to which it leads.

Al the mir Got Finally, the Chief Good has ven held o consist na'transendental lea' of cod.

## Chap. VI.-Criticism of the Platonic Theory that the Chief Good is the abstract 'Idea' of Good.

I We proceed to the last of the important theories as to the nature of the Chief Good above mentioned (iv. 3), viz., that it is some one abstract ideal entering into each several manifestation of 'good.' Respect for the authors of this theory makes the discussion unwelcome, but the love of truth renders
4. катаß' $\beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau a \iota$ ] 'have been constructed.' The metaphor is probably from катаßád入 $\epsilon \iota \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda a$, 'to lay down the foindations of a building.'

Chap. VI. -This chapter simply continues the proposed examination of the theories of the Chief Good selected in ch. iv. as being the most important and worthy of notice. See note on iv. 3 for a brief explanation of the theory criticised in this chapter.
5. to кaOó $\lambda_{o v}$ ] literally 'the Universal,' ie. the theory of one abstract and universal Good present in all particular manifestations of Good, and yet separable from them. This was called by Plato the 'Idea' of Good.
8. Tad $\epsilon$ " $i \delta \eta]$ much the same as tàs idéas, ie. the theory of 'Ideas.'
$\phi i \lambda o u s \quad \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \delta \rho a s]$ Especially, Aristotle's own master and teacher. Plato.

## 









2 it necessary. We argue against it as follows :-(i) There can be no one abstract 'Idea' of several objects of which This - Good some are necessarily prior or posterior to others. (This is is is predicated allowed by the authors of the theory, who on this account jets prior denied its application to numbers.) Now this is evidently the and porto one case with the numerous objects called ' Good,' since we have Good in Substance and Good in Relation, etc. Therefore 3 there cannot be one abstract-Idea of 'Good.' (ii) If all Good

1. Hence the well-known saying, 'Amicus Plato, se mages amice veritas.'
2. $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$.] Axistote (Categ. xii. l, 2) distinguishes $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ катà $\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu$ and $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ in the following sense (which practically amounts to $\pi \rho_{o}^{-}$$\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \ell):$ When two things, A and B , are so related that the existence of $\mathbf{B}$ necessarily implies the existence of A , but not vice versa, then A is $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ 'in the order of Being' as compared with B. He gives as an instance the numbers 1 and 2 , which stand in this relation to one another. 'On this ground (he argues in the text) the Platonists made no 'Idea' of Numbers, such an
interdependence in respect of gories; essential priority and posteriority being out of the question among phenomena partaking of one 'Idea' (see further note on 8 6). Thus the major premiss would be granted by his opponets. In the minor premiss Aristotle contends that such an essential priority ( $\pi \rho \frac{0}{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ र in $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon l$ ) belongs to Substance as compared with Accident or Relalion, and as Good is predicated of each, there cannot be a common Idea of Good in these cases.
3. This second argument is little more than a repetition of the first, clothed in more technocal Aristotelian phraseology, and worked out into more detail.
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were included under one ' Idea,' it ought to be predicated under one Category only: but it can be predicated under all and each of the Categories. Hence again it cannot be reduced (3) of ob-4 to one 'Idea.' (iii) The knowledge of things reducible to one jects treated of by varionus divisions of knowledge. Idea must be one and indivisible, whereas of things Good there are many divisions of knowledge, and that even of Goods
4. i $\sigma a \chi \hat{\omega} s \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \in \tau a \iota \quad \tau \hat{\varphi}$ on $\left.\nu \tau \iota\right]$ ' can be predicated in as many ways as Being itself.' The expressions which follow are taken from the phraseology of Axistote's Categories. Only the first six out of the ten usually given are mentioned in the text. They have been variously held to be a classification of things, words, or thoughts. For a clear and succinct account of Axistote's Categories, and the controversy respecting their nature, the student is referred to Dean Mansel's edition of Aldrich's Logic. Note B. in the Appendix (Ed. iii.) The argument in the text is, that as 'good' may be predicated of each and all of the
several modes of existence classitied in the Categories, such variety cannot be reduced under one 'Idea.'
 argues that the divisions of Knowledge relating to the various manifestations of Good indicate a still further subdivision even than the distinction of Categories. It should be remembered that $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ in Aristotle refers rather to a mental state (see VI. ii., and Glossary, s.v. Art, Science) than to a concrete body of know. ledge. He argues therefore that if the various manifestations of Good were reducible to one 'Idea,' the knowledge of one would be the knowledge of all.









5 which can be brought under one Category. (iv) Again, What is this abstract ' Idea' of anything? and how does its definition differ from that of any object in which it is embodied? and if there is no difference how can the 'Idea' lay 0 claim to a separate existence? We cannot admit the answer that the 'Idea' is eternal, while the objects in which it is which it. embodied exist only in time, for mere length of duration does 7 not alter the intrinsic nature of anything. In short we pre-
 totle now argues, 'There is no difference between the Definition of anything and the Definition of its 'Idea,' and conceptions with one and the same definition are not to be distinguished.
 anything,' or more literally, 'the abstract-anything.'
6. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ áíóoov $\left.\epsilon^{i} \nu a l\right]$ Aristotle here assumes that 'duration of time' and 'eternity' are identical. This at any rate would be repudiated at once by Plato, who held that time and eternity were different in kind, and that time was created, so to speak, when the material world was created. Moreover, he held the Abstract Ideas to be eternal and uncreated,
and therefore prior to and independent of all relations of time. They existed independently of the Deity himself, and were voluntarily adopted by Him as the types which the created world should embody. Another, but later, view of the Platonists was, that they existed only in the Divine Mind, as His ideas (in the modern sense) of what creation should be. In either case, however, they would be independent of relation to Time.
8. ПıӨà'́тє $о \boldsymbol{\nu}$ к.т.入.] There is not exactly a logical opposition between the theory of the Pythagoreans and that of Plato. They deal with the question of the relation between Unity and Goodness from somewhat differ-







fer the Pythagorean formula (apparently adopted even by Speusippus) that 'All Unity is Good,' rather than that of (5) If the 8 Plato, that 'All Good is one.' (v) If our opponents take
theory be limited to Goods desired per se only, we deny that even they can be reduced to nne Definition. the ground of distinguishing 'Goods' into two classes, according as they are (1) desired for their own sake, (2)
ent, though not hostile, points of view. Aristotle merely says that if he had to choose one of them, he would rather adopt the Pythagorean formula.

1. $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \tau o l \chi i a\right]$ $\sigma v \sigma \tau o c x i a$ is literally ' $a$ standing together in a row' ( $\sigma$ oíxos), then a 'co-ordinate arrangement,' a 'series' (L. and S.) Some Pythagoreans held that all the variety of Existence in the Universe might be divided into two antithetical or opposed classes, and that in ten different ways, the one set being the $\sigma v \sigma \tau o r \chi^{i} a$ of Good, the other set the $\sigma v \sigma$ rocxia of Evil: in other words, they held that the Universe exhibits everywhere a conflict or opposition between Good and Evil, and that ten various forms of each may be distinguished. Among the manifestations of Good ( $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma v \sigma \tau o \iota x i ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{a} \gamma a-$
$\theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) is found Unity ( $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu\right)$; among those of Evil is found Multiplicity ( $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s}$ ). All that is One is Good; all that is multiplex, that lacks unity, is Evil. The former involves the idea of order, the latter of confusion.
2. Speusippus was Plato's nephew, and his successor as head of the Academy, and yet even he (kai $\Sigma \pi \epsilon v \sigma \iota \pi \pi o s)$ abandoned the theory; which is a strong point against it.
3. $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{~} \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma \tau \nu]$ Aristotle now deals with an objection that might be taken to his previous arguments, that they assume an extension of the Ideal theory to relative Goods, whereas it was meant by its author to apply only to absolute Goods.
4. rov̀s $\lambda o ́ y o u s ~ a p p a r e n t l y ~$ refers to Plato's language or arguments. кaO' $̂$ é ciỏos means 'in one class or species' (Grant).
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the case, we conclude that there cannot be one 'Idea' even (6) If asked 12 of this limited class of Goods. (vi) If asked ourselves to
for a theory
for a theory ourselves, we prefer to say that Goods are called by a common name, by analogy. account for the application of the one term 'Good' to such a variety of objects (which of course cannot be a mere coincidance), we should suggest that it is in virtue of a certain 13 analogy between them, though we cannot now pause to fully investigate or justify such a theory. (vii) Finally, such a
 words represent a supposed attempt on the part of the Platomist to shift the burden of establishing a theory on his opponent. 'If you reject my theory, how do you account yourself for the acknowledged
fact of a unity of name for the diverse manifestations of Good?'
3. $\delta \mu \omega \nu \dot{v} \mu o s s]$ When the same word was applied to differant objects in more than one sense, they were termed $\delta \mu \omega \mu \nu \mu a$. The following classification of $\delta \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu a$ is implied in the text:


The last-named abound in every language as a means (inter aria) of economizing the number of words-e.g. 'foot' of an animal and of a mountain, 'hand' of a man and of a clock, etc. etc. Aristotle here asserts his preferonce for some such explanation as this in reference to the various applications of the word 'Good.'
 In short, a theory respecting ar ı abstract Ideal of good belongs to Metaphysics (ar $\lambda \lambda \eta s$ $\phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a s)$, and its truth or falsehood is indifferent to Ethics, which deals with the practical well-being of Man. We may therefore dismiss the subject as far as this treatise is concerned.













theory as this, whether true or false, may be dismissed from further consideration in a treatise which is rigidly limited to the inquiry into that which is practically useful to and attain14 able by man. And if it be argued that the knowledge of the abstract Idea of Good will advance us towards the knowledge of human good, we reply that this argument though plausible is unsupported by experience. The Sciences, pursuing each the knowledge of some special good for man, know nothing of 16 this abstract 'Idea' of Good.

Still more striking is it that
3. тoloûtóv tl Ґŋтєєital] See ii. 1 note.
6. $\pi a \rho a ́ \delta \subset \epsilon \succ \mu a]$ 'model,' or ' exemplar.' Compare Aristotle's own argument in ii. 2. Plato frequently maintains the practical utility of the 'Idea' as a $\pi a \rho a ́ \delta є \iota \gamma \mu a-$ egg. Rep. p. 484 C, p. 501 B , etc. etc. As a question of fact, Plato and Axistote would of course admit that men do not avail themselves of these abstract Ideals in wrac-
tine. As to whether they might do it, Aristotle asserts that it would be impossible, but Plato maintains the reverse, and declares that all real progress is hopeless until this shall be the case.
 'seeking to supply that which is lacking.' Science is ever seeking to supply defects of knowledge, Art defects of practical power. (See Glossary, s.v. Art, Science.)







the Arts，with their practical aims，derive no help from it，nor， as far as we can see，could they ever do so．

Chap．VII．－Construction of the Definition of the Chief Good．
§§ I－8．－Certain positive characteristics of the Chief Good stated with a view to its Definition．
§§ 9－16．－A Definition constructed out of another such characteristic．
§§17－21．－The Definition not to be treated as mathemati－ cally exact．

In seek－ ing now to build up a Defini－ tion of the Chief Good we observe－ （1）That it is $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \iota-$ óтато⿱亠䒑

1 After these refutations of others we must now endeavour ourselves to answer the question，What is the Chief Good？
 only does the physician disregard the abstract＇Idea＇of health， but he does not aim at produc－ ing even health in any general sense，but the health of the one particular individual whom he has in hand．This，it must be admitted，is captious．Indeed， here and elsewhere in the Chapter， in spite of the profession of § l， Aristotle shows little sympathy with，scarcely even fairness to，the theory he is criticising．This argument，if it proved anything，
pgainst scientific knowledge．
Chap．VII．－Here commences the constructive part of the treatise．Ch．iv．having set forth the conflicting theories on the sub－ ject before us，and chapters v ．and vi．having been destructive，i．e． having shown which of these theories are not true，or in other words，What the Chief Good is not，we now proceed to inquire What the Chief Good is．The chapter naturally falls into three divisions－（1）$\S$ 1－8，（2）$\S \S 9-16$,










One characteristic of it is this: The good of any art, science, action, or purpose, is always the end in each several case, however many other points of difference there may be between them. So that the Chief Good of action will also be the Final 2 End of action. This however is the same conception as that
(3) $\$ 8$ 17-21. [ $\$ 81-8]-$ In this part Aristotle enumerates certain positive characteristics, or conspicuous qualities, of the Chief Good, which any Definition must embody, in order, if possible, to construct a Definition upon them. Three such characteristics are suggested, which however prove too vague for this purpose.

In the ed Division [\$§ 9-16] another more specific characters. tic is found, upon which Aristotle then builds his own Definition of Happiness or the Chief Good.

In the $3 d$ Division [ $\$ \S$ 17-2l] he renews the protest of ch. iii. against demanding mathematical exactness in such a Definition.

This chapter, and especially the $2 d$ portion of it, is one of the most important in the treatise. It contains, in fact, the answer
to the main question with which $\langle$ the Book opened. All that follows is simply the confirmation and defence of the Definition here given.
9. Мєтаßaìш к.т.入.] 'By a different course then the argumont has come round to the same point,' viz. the same point as in ch. i. The conclusion in each case is the identity of the Chief Good and the Final End. The slightly different courses are as follows :-In this passage we argue, The 'good ' and the 'end' are identical in all individual cases, and therefore the Chief Good and the Final End will be identical also. Thus éкá $\sigma \tau \eta s$ in 1. 3 and $\dot{a} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in 1.8 are the emphatic words. In ch. i. we argued, Every action, etc., aims at some Good, and therefore the















with which we started. We may however further explain 3 this idea of Finality. Any End which is itself but a means to a further End, or indeed which ever can be such a means, 4 cannot be final. And hence we obtain this conception of the Chief Good, that it is something always desired for its own 5 sake, and never with a view to anything beyond it. Obviously, Happiness fulfils this condition, and we can think of nothing

Chief Good is what all things aim at, ie. it is the ultimate aim or Final End of all things; and hence again the Chief Good and the Final End are identical.
5. We cannot adequately translate $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \circ$, which combines the meanings of 'perfect' and 'final.' (See Glossary s. v. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota o s$.$) That the Chief Good$ fulfils this positive condition follows from the primary con.
ception of it given in the opening words of the Book, and in the beginning of ch. ii.
11. Toюồtoע $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ к.т.入.] Thus the steps of the argument are :-
 then (after the notion of $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon$ ót $\eta$ s has been expounded) Hapbines is shewn to fulfil this condition : the result of which is, that Happiness, as before, is found to constitute the Chief








 yoveîs кaì toùs ar áoyóvovs кaì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фì $\omega \omega \nu$ тoùs $\phi i \lambda \lambda o v s ~ 10$
else which does. This however will not help us to a clearer

$$
6 I
$$ another characteristic of the Chief Good, viz. that it is entirely Self-sufficient, by which we mean that it needs nothing besides itself to make life all that we could desire. (We must not however understand this to mean that it would enable a man to be independent of his fellow-men and live happily in isola-

7 tin. That would be a spurious self-sufficiency, being a mutilation, not an elevation, of human nature.) Happiness

Good, but we are not as yet any nearer to a Definition of it. In $\$ 7,8$ similar steps occur.
4. Є̇к $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ aùrapкєias] That the Chief Good fulfils this condiction as it is defined in $\$ 7 \mathrm{fin}$., follows again from ii. l. For if we desire everything else only for the sake of Happiness, the possession of it would render all such minor desires superfluous:

5. тò $\delta^{\circ}$ aũ̃apкєs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ к.т.入.] 'When we use the term "à̇таркѐs," we do so not in reference to a man's self alone, in the case of one living a life of isola-
timon, but also in reference to his parents, etc.'
8. фú $\sigma \in \iota \quad \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{s} \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s]$
' Man is by nature a social andmeal.' Nature intended man for society as much as she intended him to use two hands or to walk on two feet. A man who should accustom himself to live out of all relation to his fellow-creatures would not have gained in real independence any more than one who should go always on one leg, or should use only one hand. The error thus protested against by Aristotle was conspicuous in the teaching of the Cynics.







 $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \cup \cup \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i ́ a, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ova $\sigma a \tau \epsilon \lambda о \varsigma$.


 again fulfils this condition of Self-sufficiency, but we cannot yet advance to a Definition of the Chief Good. Once more,
(3) It is sui genepis.
(4) But more particularly, it consits in the futof Man's proper function. 8 the Chief Good is sui generic. If it were only the chief good of a class, the addition to it of any other good in the class, however small, would make it better, which is inconsistent with the supposition that it is itself the Chief Good. Happiness is then perfectly Final and Self-sufficient, and is the end of all human action.

All this, however true, is too vague to construct a definitimon upon. Another consideration may perhaps serve this io purpose. Could we ascertain the proper function of man
5. $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \nu a \rho \iota \theta \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ к.т.入.] ' provided it be not counted in the same class with other Goods ; but if it be so counted in,' etc. The expression 'Chief Good,' by which we are obliged to translate the simple to a ja ${ }^{2} \dot{\partial} \nu$ is misleading. raja ${ }^{2}$ od $\nu$ must be held to include in itself all other Goods, such as health, wealth, honour, etc., in the most perfect degree, ie. the utmost amount of them really desirable. Clearly if $A$
be only the principal Good of a class made up of the Goods $A$, $B, C, D$, etc., then $A+B$, or even $A+Z$, is a greater good than A alone. Therefore no single member of the Class can ever be the Chief Good. It must be something sui generis, in a class by itself.
12. The conception now started, that Happiness consists in the fulfilment of man's proper funcdion (or as we might say, the
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peculiar to, and characteristic of, man. This excludes that mere existence which he shares with the animal and vegetable world, and also that conscious life (or life endued with sensaFrom this ' 3 tion) which is common to the brute creation. There remains view we may now defin. it as then what we may call a life of action belonging to the rational part of our nature; including by the term 'rational' that which recognises the sway of Reason as well as that which exerts Reason. This being the function of man, we now seek the perfection of that function. First it must be in active operation. Hence the Chief Good from this point of view 14 opposed to, Reason.' But further, such an active condition
4. $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ is easier to explain than translate; ' moral,' 'active,' 'practical,' being in different ways misleading. Man is sometimes said to be the only animal that can form a conception of actions as distinguished from events. $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ is co-extensive with 'action' in the sense here indicated. 'Tıs' implies that the expression in the Greek is felt to be not quite satisfactory.

Toútov $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ к.т.入.] This distinction is more fully explained in ch. xiii. Its relevancy here has been thought so questionable, that some Editors have
treated the sentence as an interpolation, though against ms. evidence.
 to кãà $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu \iota \nu$ or $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \tilde{\epsilon} \xi \underline{\xi} \nu$. Sec Glossary, p. xlvi., also viii. 9. The various steps by which each term of the Definition is gained should be carefully noted.
7. $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ '̇ $\left.\sigma \tau i \nu\right]$ The apodosis to this $\epsilon i$ is found in $\S 15$ init., $\tau$ ò à $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \iota \nu \circ \nu$ à $\gamma a \theta_{\grave{\prime}} \nu$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. We are reminded that the sentence is still unfinished by the twica repeated $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ oũ $\tau \omega$ in l. 6 and l. 9 of the next page.
8. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ is translated 'soul'









admits of various degrees of excellence. The function of a good or of an ordinary artist is generically the same, only by one it is well performed, and by the other not necessarily so.

in the Analysis for want of a better word (see Glossary s. v. $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta})$. It seems to stand here as a sort of substitute for $\pi \rho a \kappa$. $\tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ above, because $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \zeta \omega \grave{\eta}$ must belong to this part of man (as Aristotle plainly states in viii. 3), in contrast with $\theta \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ and aí $\theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \zeta \omega \grave{\eta}$, which belong to the body. Similarly кaтa $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ corresponds to toû $\lambda$ ójov ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{ }^{\prime}$ Ходтоs above.

1. $\tau \hat{\omega} \gamma^{\prime}(\nu \epsilon \epsilon]$ This is the emphatic idea in the sentence. ' The work of anyone and of a good anyone are the same in kind.' . . . the superiority in respect of excellence being (in the latter case) added to the description of the work. e.g. If the ' anyone ' be a musician, 'to play.' in the former case, 'to play well' in the latter case, would describe the work of each. 'Well' is the
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \cap \chi \grave{\eta}$ кат' ä $\rho \epsilon!\grave{\eta} \nu$ which is added.
2. $\delta \grave{\eta}=$ as it obviously is. Supply $\epsilon i$ again before $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$.
3. oikeia à $\rho \in \tau \bar{\eta}]$ 'appropriate excellence.' It should be remembered that $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ is simply 'excellence,' though it is most frequently applied to a particular sort of excellence, viz. moral excellence, and so is translated Virtue: just as $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi}$ ıs and $\pi \rho a \kappa$ $\tau \iota \kappa \grave{j}$ come to be similarly restricted in meaning (see Glossary under $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ and $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi(s)$. This general meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ is explained by Plato's Definition, that the appropriate excellence (oikєia $\dot{a} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta})$ of anything is that quality by which it is able to perform its own function well. Aristotle's account of $\dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ in II. vi. 2 should also be referred to.
 $\epsilon^{i}$ ठ̀̀ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime}$

 єúסaímova $\mu i ́ a ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$ ova on òlyos хрóvos.




Definition; and as there are various kinds and degrees of excellence, we must also specify the highest excellence. And so the Chief Good becomes 'An active condition of the soul in 16 accordance with its highest excellence.' One other condition: it must be a permanent settled state, the habit of a life, not the accident of a moment. We add then the words 'in a
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\beta} i \varphi \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \lambda \epsilon i \varphi$. complete life,' and so our Definition stands thus: Happiness is 'An active condition of the soul in accordance with its Too much 17 highest excellence in a complete life.' Let this serve as an outline sketch at any rate of our conception of the Chief Good
3. Bios te leos] 'a complete life,' not necessarily 'a completed life;' or 'life as a whole,' though not? necessarily 'a whole life;' else we get into the difficulty raised at the beginning of ch. $x$. ' Can we not call a man happy till his life is completed?' We should not describe a man as having good spirits, or excellent health, unless we had known him for a certain time, and had had opportunities for observing him under various circumstances; in fact, till we can judge of his life as a whole. Sometimes even a few observations enable us to form such a judgment, and for pactical purposes these represent
$\beta_{\text {ios }}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon$ os. So it is in the case of Happiness. All we need is a sufficiently complete period of duration to be sure that it is a settled habit of life, and not a momentary or transient gleam of joy. It is a well-known point of distinction between 'pleasure' and 'happiness,' that pleasure is perfect at any moment, whereas happiness implies duration and permanence.
7. $\dot{v} \pi о \tau v \pi \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ is a metaphor from sculpture, à $\nu a \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a l$ from painting : but it should be remembered that ancient statues were frequently painted.
8. taviòs is emphatic here and in l. 3, next page. In Morals, as in
not to be expected in such a Definition.








- or Happiness. Time and individual experience will fill in further details in a subject like this, just as they advance our 18 knowledge of the Arts. We make however two provisos: 1. Exactness of treatment (as we have already said) must not be indiscriminately demanded. 2. The reason, as well ${ }_{7}{ }^{2}$ the fact, must not in all cases be required. As to the firs l, let us not forget that two considerations limit the amount of precision to be required in any case. (1) The nature of the subject-matter, of which we have spoken already (iii. 2) ; and 19 (2) our immediate object in handling it: as for instance a car-
the Arts (both being [1] practical, and [2] not, like Mathematics, exact ), every one's experience may contribute something to their proores, and every additional fact adds something in confirmation of their very principles; and moreover, every one is interested in their progress, and in the subjects of which they treat. In the exact science of Mathematics, on the other hand, though propress in the knowledge of fact. and the efficiency of methods is continually being made, nothing can ever add to the clearness and certainty of its fundmental Definitions and Axioms. Besides, it is not every one ( $\pi$ a ( ròs) who can understand or
feel interest in $y$ ch a subject.
 - dependent or the subject-matter;' e.g. a model in cork or deal could never be finished like one in ivory.

7. oik io $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta$ oi $\delta \omega]$ ] 'suitable to the process in hand ;' e.g. it would be possible perhaps to make the corner of a deal table precisely $90^{\circ}$, but there would be no object gained by such exactness. It would not be oik cion $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \in \theta_{o}^{\prime} \delta \bar{\varphi}$. Cf. restrictions on discussion of $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ in c. xiii. $\S \S 8,10,16$.

Both these considerationsapply to the case of morals. The sub-ject-matter does not admit of exactness, and the practical pourpose in view does not require it.




 al

venter does not always make the most perfect square even 20 that the wood admits of, if he is engaged on rough work. As to our second proviso, some propositions, e.g. certainly first principles, are exempt from the necessity of demonstrative As fá eth former, we may ca lnpare Bp. Butler, Analogy, [p. 105 (ed. Angus): ' Observations of this kind cannot be supposed to hold universally in every case. It is enough that they hold in general.'

1. $\left.\dot{o}_{\rho} \theta \dot{\eta} \nu\right]$ Understand $\gamma \omega \nu i a \nu$, ie. a right angle.
2. to öть (the fact that a thing is so and so) is constantly opposed to to $\delta$ бótı (the reason why it is so) ; ecg. In Euclid's Axioms and Definitions the fact alone is stated ( $\tau \grave{0}$ on $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota)$; in his $\operatorname{Pro}-$ positions the reasons for asserting the fact are given ( $\tau$ od $\delta$ oof $\iota$ ).

тò $\delta^{\prime}$ öть $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ каì $\left.{ }^{2} \rho \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right]$ 'The fact is something primary and a starting-point.' It is so at any rate in Morals, where the fact that we feel sentiments of approbation or disapprobation on retain occasions forms the start-ing-point of the inquiry. See
 and Introduction, n. xiv. Trans-
cation fails to preserve the full meaning of $\dot{a} \rho \chi \bar{\eta}$, including not only 'first principle,' but also 'beginning' or 'starting. point' (see Glossary). Indeed all sciences require to make assump. lions independent of demonstralive proof (as Aristotle points out elsewhere), at both the higher and the lower ends of the scale of knowledge. General pinciples rise above, and facts of observation fall below, the limits of such proof; and both are sometimes called $\dot{a} \rho \chi a i$. eng. the Mathematician assumes the Axioms on the one hand, and on the other assumes the existence of tiangles, circles, and other figures, the properties of which he inesligates. In the latter case, to öтı $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu$ каì à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ applies.
7. Axioms or first principles rest upon such grounds as the universality of their belief, the necessity of their belief, and the greater certainty attaching to





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \eta \tau o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
proof, and the primary facts themselves of any science are in 1 some sense first principles. Now first principles rest upon evidence of different kinds in different cases; and though never demonstratively proved, they must be, each in its own appropriate way, fully established and clearly defined. This is of the utmost importance, and it is just an instance in which ' well begun is half done.'
them than to any other principles that could be alleged in their support (Sir W. Hamilton). If any higher principles could be found (the necessary condition of demonstrative proof), the others would ipso facto cease to be first principles.

1. $\left.{ }^{\prime} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}\right]$ h.l. probably $=$ 'by appeal to experience': ie. (as VI. iii. 3 seems to show) 'that amount of experience which is the condition, not the cause, of necessary truths' (Grant's note l.c.) : egg. in Mathematics we need some experience to comperehand what is meant by straight lines, right angles, etc.; but the adp $\alpha a i$, or Axioms relating to them, are not (like Physical Laws) proved by such experience.
2. ai $\left.\sigma \theta \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon l\right]$ 'by perception'; probably referring to the facts
of Physics, which are 'the truths we start from' (atp $\alpha a i$ ) in such subjects.
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \theta \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \nu i\right]$ 'by a kind of habituation.' We become so familiar by frequent repetition with some truths, that by a sort of 'unconscious induction' (Grant h. l.) we come to believe them as axioms. This is especially common in the case of principles of conduct. 'Numberless little rules of action and conduct, which we could not live without, . . . are learned so insensibly and so perfectly as to be mistaken perhaps for instinct, though they are the effect of long experience and exercise' ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \theta_{1} \sigma \mu o ̀ s\right)$ Butler, Anal. pt. i. ch. v. p. 95 (ed. Angus). In all these three cases, it will be observed, there is no demonstrative proof.





 Chap. VIII.-Other views, :popular and philosophical, on the
subject of 'Happiness compared with the above Definition.

Certain familia generah beliefs about Happines fall in with our Definition ; alg.

I We will now compare our Definition with the views held by 2 others, and test it by the facts of experience. (a) It is a
ias $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{v} к а \sigma \iota]$ 'We must endeavour to investigate them, each in its natural way.'

Chapters viii.-xii. form a sort of parenthetical section, in which Aristotle compares his Definition just found with various received opinions on the subject, and considers its bearing upon certain popular difficulties and questions of the day (esp. ch. ix. x. and xi.). His object throughout is to show how much there is in common between his own theory and others, while he asserts the superiority of his own. The latter consideration justifies anew treatment of the subject; the former not only conciliates iposition, but is itself an argument in favour of any new theory on the ground explained in $\S 7$. This is a truth generally forgotten in controversy. The following are the contents of the five chapters:-ch. viii. Sundry popular and philosophical notions about Happiness compared with Aristotle's Definition; ch. ix.

Common views as to the acquisition of Happiness, on what it depends; ch. x. xi. The popular difficulty whether a man cannot be called happy (as Solon said) while still living, with questions arising therefrom, considered from the point of view of Anistote's Definition ; ch. xii. The relation of Happiness, upon Acis. tote's theory, to another familiar classification of Goods.

 only consider this question from the point of view of our conclusion and of our premisses.' We had similar expressions m ch. iii. § 4.
4. тà ínápXovtá] h.l. 'all facts' from $\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \tilde{\chi} \epsilon i \nu$ in the sense of 'to exist.' If a general theory is true, all the facts of experience in detail must be consistent with it.
5. The words, $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\omega} \omega$ . : . àaOA state the popular opinion which is to be compared with Aristotle's Definition given
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under mimi-
rations, in it is Virtue, Prudence, Wisdom, Pleasure, or that it cannot with our Definition : such as (a) That Happiness consists in Virtue: 7 exist -without external prosperity. Now all these views, whether popular or philosophical, are likely to have some element of truth in them. We will therefore consider some 8 of them. (a) That Happiness consists in Virtue. Without going so far as this, our Definition asserts that it implies 9 Virtue, and it adds the important condition that that Virtue
2. фןóv $\eta \sigma \iota s$ is practical, and бoфía speculative, wisdom. $\sigma o-$ $\phi_{i}^{\prime}$ is in fact nearly = philosophy. The distinction is fully explained by Aristotle in B. VI. These two theories are not referred to again in the discussion which follows here.
6. ova $\delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ] 'neither of them (the many or the philosophers) are likely to be entirely at fault, but rather to be right in some one point at least, or even in most points.' There is no
error but it contains some germ of truth, however distorted or obscured.
11. $\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \hat{\eta} \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. . . $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \in \iota$
 Though at the Olympian games there may be better men among the spectators than among the combatants, yet they are not crowned, because their prowess is not proved or exhibited. It is latent, it exists $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu c i$ and not $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \in \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ a$.












Io must be not dormant, but in active exercise. (b) That Hap- (8) That vines implies Pleasure. This we agree to, and moreover ${ }_{\text {Pleasure }}^{\text {temples }}$ claim that our Definition asserts it in a far higher and more real sense than that usually intended. (1) Because a virtu-
 one of pleasure, seeing that every one who is really virtuous takes pleasure in acting virtuously, and so the pleasure is 11 inherent in the very actions themselves. (2) Because the
5. §§ 10-12] The emphatic words are Ka $\theta^{\circ}$ aíтд̀ (l. 6) and $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ (l. 11). The superiority of the pleasures derived from Mirtue to other pleasures is argued, because (1) the former are intrinsic or inherent in the acts themselves (1.5-10), and (2) they are natural and not artificial (l. 10 , to l. 3, on next page). But in the statement of his conclusion in p. 42, l. 3-5, having repeated
 Aristotle recurs to his former argument, stating it, however, more strongly, and then again summing up in p. 43, l. 4.

 $\psi \nu \chi<\kappa \omega \nu]$ ] 'For the feeling of pleasure is something internal,' ie. it is not separable from the occasion which causes it, as two external objects might be searated. The pleasure and the act which is its source are separable in thought but not in fact ( $\lambda$ of $\gamma \varphi$ vo ar $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \neq a \quad \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa o ́ t a$, as Ar. says in xiii. 10). Hence the pleasure of Virtuous acts is inherent in, and inseparable from, the acts themselves. $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ (cf. $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa a ̀ s$, §2) clearly refers to $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \in \bar{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \rho^{-}$ $\gamma \in L a$ in the Def. of Happiness.





pleasures of Virtue, being natural pleasures, never clash or interfere with one another, as our artificial pleasures do; and further, being both natural, and also, as we just now said, 12 inherent in the very actions themselves, there is no need of any adventitious pleasure besides (as the theory we are con-
4. $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{a}$ átrov] literally 'something fastened round'; so an appendage, a charm, or amulet. It here indicates an arbitrary reward (which Hegel irreverently described as a 'Trinkgeld') for Virtue.
 This touches upon a very importent question in Morals, the relatin of Virtue to the Pleasure or Satisfaction which its practice involves. The view in the text is admirably expressed by Seneca: ' We do not love Virtue because it gives us pleasure, but it gives us pleasure because we love it' (Non qua delectat placet, sed qua placet delectat) ; and again, 'Pleasure is not the motive, but the accompaniment of virtuous action' (Non dux sed comes voluptas). Again, 'Honesty is the best policy, but he who is governed by that maxim is not an; honest man' (Whately). We must carefully distinguish between the conscious aim and the actual tendency of actions.

Happiness (according to Arsistote) must be the actual tendency of Virtue, but it cannot be its conscious aim. In fact, when it is the conscious aim, we run the risk not only of destroying the Virtue of the act, but even of losing the Happiness. The pleasure of Virtue is one which can only be obtained on the express condition of its not being the object sought. There are many other things which exhibit the same phenomenon (see some good remarks on this in Ace Homo, ch. x. p. 113, 3d ed.) Just as in speculation, 'Wisdom is ofttimes nearer when we stoop than when we soar,'so in pactine, Happiness is best secured by those who least consciously aim at it. Aristotle discusses at length in III. ix. an apparent exception to the statement of the text which occurs in the case of Courage, the exercise of which is accompanied by pain and loss.
5. Пןòs тoîs ci $\eta \mu$ évoıs] The addition to the former statement
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 ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \imath^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a$ ．










（ $\gamma$ ）That it cannot dispense with external prosperity．

14 by the judgment of the best among men，and also that in Happiness this combination is found．The last opinion we shall 15 consider is this ：－（c）That external prosperity is a condition of Happiness．This we are also disposed to agree to，up to I6 a certain point，partly because many noble actions cannot be performed without means or appliances；and partly because （as we have already admitted）the absence of certain con－
appeals in a similar way to the decision of the $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i o s$ as final in III．iv． 5 ，and still more em－ phatically in X．vi．5，and to the decision of the фoóvinos in his Definition of Virtue，II．vi． 15．（See note in each case．）

7．$\mu i a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \nu]$ Though they are all inseparably united in Happiness，yet if one be more prominent or characteristic than ．the rest we might select it alone for the purpose of Definition．
 ．．ひีт $\kappa$ ．т．入．）．

10．àхоคグ $\gamma \eta \tau \circ \nu$ ］lit．＇unfur－ nished with a chorus，＇－and so generally＇without appliances．＇ The state provided the chorus for dramatic performances．This duty（called $\chi o \rho \eta \gamma^{\prime} a$ ）was one of the $\lambda$ eitovopial at Athens．（See note on IV．ii．ll．）Cf．con－ versely $\kappa є \chi о \rho \eta \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$ in $\times$ ． 15 ： The same statement is－more fully illustrated in X．vii． 4.

14．єídaıuодıкòs $\overline{7}$ Observe the force of the termination－＇adapt－ ed for happiness．＇Compare тгак－ tukòs，＇apt to do＇in ix． 8.







ditions of prosperity is enough to mar Happiness．Some have even identified Happiness with external prosperity just as others have identified it with Virtue．It will be seen that we cannot go so far as this in either case．

Chap．IX．－On what does the acquisition of Happiness depend：
I Such being our views as to the connexion of Happiness with various external circumstances and internal conditions of character，

4．Some degree then of ex－ ternal prosperity is demanded on two grounds，（l）because it assists towards the active exec－ cise of Virtue．From this point of view too much of it is almost as great a hindrance as too little，and indeed always it is more or less a source of danger（as Aristotle explains elsewhere，egg．X．viii．6）． Compare Bacon on Riches：＇As the Baggage is to an Army，so is Riches to Virtue：it cannot be spared or left behind，but it hindereth the March．＇（2）The other ground is，that the total absence of it in important parti－ culars is obviously enough ．to interfere with Happiness．See x．12，where the same two ra－
sons are repeated（ $\lambda u ́ \pi n a s ~ \tau \in \gamma$ à $\rho$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ к．т．入．）．Also ix． 7 （T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ठѐ $\lambda o \iota \pi \omega \nu$ к．т．入．）．

7．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \theta \epsilon \nu$ каì к．т．入．］As Hap－ piness has just been shown to imply both Virtue and also ex－ ternal prosperity in some degree， the former consideration would imply that its acquisition was in our own power（ $\mu a \theta \eta \tau \grave{\partial} \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \theta \iota \sigma \tau \dot{o} \nu$, $\dot{a} \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \dot{\partial} \nu)$ ，the latter that it was independent of ourselves（kaтà $\theta \epsilon i a \nu \mu o i ̂ \rho a \nu, ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ t u ́ \chi \eta \nu) . ~ T a k-~$ ing the latter first，Aristotle indicates somewhat hesitatingly that $\theta$ cia $\mu$ ipa cannot be the immediate cause of human Hap－ vines，apart from all effort or conduct of our own．He then excludes $\tau \dot{u} \chi \eta$ at once，on the
causes have been slug－ bested for the acqui－ sition of Happiness


 $\epsilon \dot{v} \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i ́ a \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ \sigma \delta o \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i ้ \nu a \iota, ~ \kappa a i ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega-$





it is natural to inquire upon what its acquisition depends:whether it be on learning ; on moral, or other, training; on

Relation of Happiness to Divine Providence. Divine dispensation ; or on chance. The best of all human goods is certainly the most likely of all to be the gift of 3 Heaven; and whether thus given directly, or through the medium of instruction or discipline, to be of all human things
ground that it is clearly better that the Chief Good should not depend on chance. Arguments are then adduced in favour of considering Virtuous action as the main cause, or at least as an indispensable condition, of Hapvines.
l. $\mu a \theta \eta \tau o ̀ \nu$ refers to intellectual teaching; $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \theta_{\iota} \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ to moral training ; $\dot{a} \sigma \kappa \eta \tau o ̀ \nu$ to any sort of training or practice.
5. $\left.{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta s \quad \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \omega s\right]$ ie. it is a question rather for Theology than Ethics. The Science of Ethics only notes the observed fact that Happiness depends in different degrees both on our own efforts and on external circumstances. It leaves to Theology the question whether theories of
'Natural Laws' or 'Special Providence' will best explain the facts.
 $\theta \in เ o \tau a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ] The interventi ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ of natural laws does not exclude Divine agency, which, having first established the laws, works through them as means. 'If He thunder by Law, the thunder is yet His Voice' (Tennyson).

This paragraph seems added to conciliate religious prejudices, which might be shocked by the bare statement that Happiness is secured by our own efforts, to the apparent exclusion of Divine help. It need be none the less a gift of God, though He wills only to 'help those who help themselves.'
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ance with Excellence or Virtue, though we do not deny the necessity of other goods as aids and instruments even towards (2) in our 8 that excellence. (2) Our original conception of the Chief
original conception of Ethical Science : Good as the End of the Science of Social Life points in the same direction, the primary object of that Science being to secure the virtuous life of all the members of society. (3) $\left.{ }^{(3)}\right)^{\text {and }} \operatorname{in} 9$ Lastly, we derive an argument from the use of language, which ${ }_{\text {language }}^{\text {the }}{ }^{\text {en }} \mathrm{m}_{\text {mon }}$ does not apply the term Happiness (in its full and proper men. Io sense) either to the lower animals or to children, both being

1. $\lambda_{o o \pi} \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] ie. Goods other than Virtue just mentioned. These it is true are not wholly under our control. Observe the same two grounds as before (viii. 15, 16) for the need of some measure of external Goods.

2. Hence $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ has a wider sense than the 'science of government,' because it aims at making good men, as well as good citizens. See note on xiii. 3. The point of the argument here is that $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ aims at securing Happiness through the means of Virtuous conduct and character, and this implies that. the acqui-
sition of Happiness depends mainly on ourselves.
3. If it sound strange to say that neither the lower animals nor children can be called happy, we must remember the full meaning attached to the term in the Definition of ch. vii., and not be misled by the popular apply. cation of the word 'happy' in English. See further, X. vi. 8 (note).
4. тoauv́t $\eta s]$ viz. $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \kappa \kappa \eta ̂ s$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ from l. 6.
out $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a i ̂ s]$ 'not even a child.' This is a stronger case than that of the lower animals just cited, because a child has Happiness $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$







 $\omega \mathrm{s}$ oúdeis єú $\delta a \iota \mu o \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota$.

characterized by incapacity for Virtuous practice, -the former absolutely, the latter temporarily : for both Virtue and Happi1 I ness are imperfect unless exhibited in 'a complete life '; the changes and chances to which life is exposed being so many and so various.

Chaps. X. XI.—What is the relation of Happiness to the varying fortunes of life, especially in reference to a well-known dictum of Solon's.
I Hence arises the question, Must we (as Solon used to say) Solon's diewait till we see the end of a man's life before we can call him turn stated.
$\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \in \iota$ though not $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ a$ (See Glossary, p. xiv.), and hence
 whereas a brute has it not in any sense, either $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$ or $\grave{e ́ v \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ a . ~}$

Chaps. X. and XI. -The mentin of $\beta$ ios $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \cos$ at the end of the last Chapter, and the statemont made in reference to it, suggests the popular question said to have been first raised by Solon, 'Can we not call a man happy till his life is completed?' In $\S \S$ 1-5 Aristotle points out
the difficulties involved in every solution or interpretation of this question, especially as it cannot be separated from the wider question of the condition of the departed. In § 6 he returns to the consideration of the dictum of Solon, proposing afterwards to apply its solution (gained by the help of his own theory of Happyness) to that of the wider queslion just mentioned. It is so applied in ch. xi.














It int iolves the firthe: question of the condition of the dead in reference to the living, which is full of difficulties.

2 happy? Those who say this mean, either that he is happy when dead-which is absurd, especially if happiness consists in activity ( $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a)$ as our Definition asserts, and indeed Solon pro-
3 bably never meant this;-or that we can then safely apply the term 'happy' to him, as being now beyond the reach of trouble. But are we so sure that he is beyond its reach? Do not the fortunes of the family or friends that he has left behind affect him 4 still? But this again opens another difficulty. If we suppose
any other than $\dot{\delta}$ Прıалıкаis $\xi v \mu$ $\phi$ opais $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \dot{\pi} \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu$. This might be thought an exceptional case, and so the question is put, 'Can we not then call even any ordinary man happy while he lives, by reason of the changes and chances of life?'
9. סoкєi] 'It is supposed,' see note on iii. 2.

ठокє $\boldsymbol{\imath}$ रà к.т.入.] 'It is thought that both good and evil may occur to the dead, if indeed they can to the living without his being conscious of it.' Edi!us for instance woull not have
been considered a happy man even if he had never discovered his incestuous marriage, but had gone on till death in 'happy' ignorance of it. His 'ignorance' would not have been 'bliss' from the Greek point of view This at least is assumed in the text, and the argument drawn from it is, that it is equally natural to suppose that the happiness of a dead man, even though he be unconscious, is marred by misfortunes occurring to his family on earth. Aristotle however is only stating a popular beliê̂.
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The diffi-

culty ts due man happy while still living? Now surely if we can ever say with truth that a man has been happy, it must have been possible at some time or another to say that he is happy. clanging | cit in- |
| :--- |
| stances the | test of Happiness which ${ }_{i s}$ most staple,

9 stable, and the chances of Fortune most variable. The solution is obvious. These chances ought never to be made the test of Happiness at all. The fact is that external prosperity, however necessary a condition of Happiness (and this we have amply admitted before), cannot be its cause. We say again, as we said in our Definition, that Virtuous Actions are the true cause of Happiness, as Vicious actions are of misery.
which question arose out of attempts to explain that dictum. 4,5 . $\delta \iota a ̀$ three times repeated is somewhat awkward. It will be seen that the first $\delta i a ̀ ~ e x p l a i n s ~$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau a t:$ the second and third explain $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{d} \dot{\mu} \grave{\eta}$ ßoú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$


13, 14. Observe the contrast between $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \sigma \delta i \tau a \iota=$ ' has further need of ' (i.e. this is not a primary condition of Happiness) and кu. plat $\epsilon i \sigma i=$ they 'test' or 'determine.' Compare $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta є о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, viii. 15 , and $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a t$ in vii. 17 ant iv. 7.









 $\kappa а \grave{~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau u ́ \chi a s ~ o ̈ ̆ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ к a ́ \lambda \lambda ı \sigma \tau a ~ к а \grave{~} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \eta ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega ऽ ~}{ }^{\epsilon} \mu$ -

Io $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ the truth of that Definition in this respect, the very if ficulty that has now arisen bears witness. It is the recognised stability of Happiness that makes us so cautious in our application of the term. But what is there so stable as Virtuous action? Not intellectual knowledge. This may be forgotten. But active Virtue vi nominis must be in continual practice, and is thus necessarily permanent and stable, and the more so
II as it exists in its noblest forms and highest degree in perfect Happiness. How then will a man who thus lives stand in
 which asserts Happiness to be $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho \in ́ \tau \eta \nu$. The present diffcully ( $\tau$ ò $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ठ̀ $\iota a \pi o \rho \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ) turns upon the universally believed stability of Happiness, which makes us reluctant to apply the name where change may come. But this stability is intelligible if Happiness depends on Virtue, as our Definition asserts, because Virtuous practice is more stable than anything else, as the reasons now to be adduced sufficiently prove. Thus the difficulty
itself is a support to the Defineion.

4-8. This may suggest one reason among others why 'Knowledge ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \mu a \iota)$ shall vanish away, but charity (év $\bar{\ell} \rho$ $\gamma \in i a \iota ~ к a \tau^{\prime}$ a $\left.\rho \in \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\right)$ never faireth.'

 Virtues in active exercise,' ' |  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  | $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$.

S. $\tau \grave{o}$ $\zeta \eta \tau o u \quad \mu \in \nu o \nu$ ] 'the quality we are seeking for,' viz. stability.
10. Happiness being according to the Definition кат ${ }^{2} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ápíarq $\nu$.















How then 12 relation to the gifts of Fortune? If they be small, whether man stand related to the changes of fortune? good or bad, they will not affect the balance of his life. If they be great, and also good, they will naturally add a lustre to his happiness; but if evil, they will mar it, inflict on him pain, and impede his activity in virtue. Still the very greatness of such troubles affords scope for nobleness of character, when they are keenly felt and yet complacently endured.
${ }_{13}$ Hence we conclude that if (as we have said) Virtue and Vice
 'a cube without flaw,'-a mathmetical metaphor to express perfection. Squares, cubes, circles, spheres (ie. 'regular' figures and solids), are familiar metaphors to express perfection in various languages.
7. au $\dot{a}$ ] ' of themselves.' This parenthesis is explained by viii. 15. For the converse statement in 1. 8 [àvía
$\theta \lambda i \beta \epsilon \iota$ каì $\lambda \nu \mu a i \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota$ к.т.入.] see viii. 16.
9. $\lambda \dot{\prime} \pi \pi a s ~ \tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\rho$ к.т.入.] See these two reasons expounded in viii. 15,16 (note).
 $\lambda o s$ in IV. vi. 9.
12. $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ à $\left.\nu \lambda \lambda \gamma \eta \sigma i a \nu\right]$ Such was the view of the Stoics. Aristotle on the contrary maintains that natuval feelings, though under control, are not to be crushed or eradicated.
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 'ous $\delta$ à $\nu \rho \rho \bar{\omega} \pi o v s$.

moved; and that as it will take a great deal to mar happiness, so it will take a great deal to restore it if once disturbed.
Solpr's 15 answered. We can call a man still living 'happy.' a sufficient supply of external goods, and both these for an adequate duration of time, why may we not call a man happy while he still lives? Some may desire that in view of the uncertainty of the future, and the perfect finality of happiness, we should add, provided such a life be crowned by a fitting 16 death. Still it must be remembered that in calling men happy, we of course mean only happy as mortal men can be.
How far I Chap. XI.-Now we can return to the solution of the other then is the condition of the dead affected by the fortunes of the living?
3. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \beta o \lambda o s] \quad(\dot{\epsilon} \pi i, \quad \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega)$ 'having hit upon.' $\epsilon \nu$ aùv $\hat{\omega}$, viz. $\chi \rho \delta \dot{\nu} \omega$.
9. 'Thus then the question raised by Solon is answered. We can call men happy while still alive, but happy as men, and not as gods; being as men still liable to the dangers incident to humanity; and this being understood, we need not explicitly add ' 'кai. $\beta \iota \omega \sigma \sigma_{\mu} \mu \nu=\nu$
oũ $\omega$ к.т.入.' (l. 6), when we apply the term 'happy,' any more than when we apply the terms 'healthy,' 'rich,' 'wise,' etc.; any of which conditions are similarly liable to the possi: bility of disturbance. $\tau \grave{a} \lambda \epsilon \chi^{-}$ $\theta \epsilon \in \tau a$ are the three conditions specified at the beginning of $\S 15$, viz. Virtue, External Goods in sufficiency, and Stability.

Chap. XI. -In this Chanter







 difficulty；viz．whether the happiness of the dead can be altered by＇the fortunes of the living．To suppose the dead wholly untouched by these fortunes seems cold，and runs counter to 2 received beliefs．To estimate accurately the various degrees of influence exercised by such occurrences great and small would be endless．This then may serve as a general solution． 3 －In life itself，different circumstances affect us in very different

Aristotle returns to the question of the condition of the dead in relation to the fortunes of the living．He applies to its solu－ ton（as he promised in x．6）the results arrived at in，reference to Solon＇s problem thus：－if the fortunes of life are no，obstacle to our calling a man happy while still alive and still exposed to their full force，a fortiori they cannot seriously interfere with the happiness of the dead who are removed from their immedi－ ate influence．

7，to l． 8 next page．Et ${ }^{\text {in j }}$ ．．． $\left.\ddot{a} \nu \tau \iota \kappa \in \not \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu\right]$ The sentence is somewhat complicated．Two conditions are stated ：－（1）If misfortunes even in this life differ in degree when they concern ourselves，and similarly when
they concern our friends（ $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta}$ ．．．ảrávtas）；（2）If absence from the actual scene of their occurrence in this world，and $a$ fortiori if removal to another world altogether，dull their effect upon us（ $\delta \iota a \phi$＇$\rho \in \iota . . . \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma$－ $\theta a \iota$ ）；then the result（the apodo－ sis of the sentence）is，－These points，and especially the latter （ $\tau a ⿱ 亠 乂 \not \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta \iota a \phi o \rho a \grave{\nu})$ ，must be taken into consideration（ $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o$－ $\gamma \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ ）in determining the question before us．Unless in－ deed we go further still，and make the question not one of degree but of fact，i．e．not How far are the dead affected？but Are they affected even at all？（ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta \epsilon$
 $\delta \iota a \pi o \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota=$＇the utter doubt and uncertainty．＇


 $\nu \epsilon \iota \nu \pi о \lambda \grave{u} \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu \grave{\eta} \tau a ̀ ~ \pi а \rho a ́ v o \mu a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о и ̈ \pi a ́ \rho \chi є \iota \nu ~$
 5











degrees, when they concern ourselves, and naturally also when 4 they concern our friends. After our death, such circumstances, being acted on another stage, must affect us infinitely less. 5 We must then make full allowance for this difference, even supposing we grant the general question that they do affect us 6 somewhat. Hence we conclude that the dead are influenced by such occurrences, if at all, only slightly, and certainly not to such a degree as to change Happiness into Misery, or vice versâ.
5. The lines in Hor. A. $P$. 180-2 will occur to every one:Segnius irritant animos demissa peraures Quam quae sunt oculis subjecta fidelibus, et quae Ipse sibi tradit spectator.
(See Supplementary Notes.)
 in itself, or to them.' Referring
to the two conditions respectively in $\S \S 3$ and 4 . The influence of these occurrences, if they do reach the dead, must be trifling anyhow, cither in itself (as explained in §3), or at least trifling in the effect produced upon the dead (as explained in $\S 4$ ).
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 in reference to their results, and because they are well adapted 3 to produce these results. Hence it is applied to justice, courage, strength, etc. Hence also we cannot employ the term 'praise,' involving this notion of commendation, to the 4 Gods, nor is it applicable to the highest goods, which are not desired for their results, but for themselves; nor consequently 5 can it be applied to Happiness. When Eudoxus claimed that
2. ${ }^{\text {En}} \pi$ aulos involves the idea of commendation. In this sense it is clear we cannot 'praise' the Deity.
6. $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu o ̈ \tau \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] If praise is$ always applied with a view to results, and if results are necessarily higher than the actions or means which lead to them (see i. 2), then there must be something better than praise to apply to the results themselves. For we must suppose some results to be final (otherwise $\pi \rho o ́ \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ out $\tau \omega$ $\gamma \in \epsilon i s a \vec{a} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$ ii. 1), and these at any rate cannot ex hyp. be subjects for praise.
8. Observe the distinction between $\mu а к а р i a$ and $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \epsilon \mu о \nu i a$, 'felicity' and 'happiness.' See x. 14 (note). Both belong to the Gods, the former only in rare instances to men.
9. ' $0 \mu o i ́ \omega s$ б̀̀ к.т.入.] The same remark applies to the best among good things. 'A $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ is in the gen. after some superl. understood from toùs $\theta_{\text {foo }}$ áto vs, perhaps the word $\theta \epsilon$ cótata itself, as it is so applied in ix. 3.
 have put in a good claim for the first place.' He was right in supposing that the fact of praise

CHAP. xII.] ARISTOTLE'S ETHICS.









 таứtךs $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \chi^{a} \rho!\nu \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi a ̆ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \pi \rho a ́ т \tau о \mu \epsilon \nu, ~$

Pleasure was the Chief Good because though good it was not praised, as being above praise, the principle at least of his ar6 gument was sound. 'Praise' then is peculiarly appropriate to virtuous habits, in consideration of the results to which they lead, just as 'panegyric' is appropriate to great deeds. But these 7 refinements of language are carrying us too far. We decide then that Happiness belongs to the third class mentioned 8 above, viz. things admirable, and this we might have at once
not being applied to some acknowledge good indicated a high degree of excellence : but wrong in supposing that only God and the Chief Good (l, 3) corresponded to that description.
5. Thus ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \iota \nu$ belongs to noble acts; "̈́naıvos to virtuous habits, which result from, and tend $\cdot$ to reproduce, such acts; $\mu а к а \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ t o ~ H a p p i n e s s, ~ w h i c h ~$ results again from those virtuous habits.
5. $\pi \rho а к \grave{\tau} \iota к o \grave{~} \gamma$ à $\rho$ ] This reason is explained by the first words of $\$ 2$.
10. $\left.{ }^{2} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}\right]$ This sense of the
word is a little unusual. It is here almost the same as $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} o s$, just as in English we can speak indifferently of a primary or an ultimate principle in the same sense. The ultimate motive is also the primary motive of an action. If we desire money with a view to obtain a certain luxury, that luxury is the ultimate, and also the primary, motive for the effort to procure money. (See Glossary, s.v. $\dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta})$. 'A $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ is in fact here equivalent to 'final cause.' (See Glossary, s.v. The Four raises.)
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{i} 0 \nu$ тí $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$.



inferred from the consideration of its being an ultimate principle of action, not chosen for its results, but itself the motive and result for which all else is chosen.

Chap. XIII.-Commencement of the elucidation of the several terms in the Definition of Happiness, and especially of the word Soul ( $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta})$.

The Definition of Happines:s implies the knowledge (1) of the nature of Virtue,

1 We now proceed to a detailed analysis of our Definition of Happiness. Happiness was said to involve the highest degree of Virtue. We cannot therefore fully understand Happiness without a complete investigation of Virtue. We premise one

Chap. XIII.-It is worth while now to review the position we have reached. Chaps. i-iii were introductory ; ch. iv-vi criticised the principal existing theories about Happiness; ch. vii. constructed a new Definition of Happiness, which, if accepted, would close the treatise at once with a Q.e.d. All that follows now is the defence of that Definition. Ch. viii-xii contrast it with the principal received opinions on the same subject, in order to claim as much accordance with them as possible. Ch. xiii. commences a more formal analysis of the Definition itself. Two words in that Definition require special elucidation, à $\rho \in \tau \grave{\eta}$ and
$\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} . \psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ is explained, as far as is practically necessary, in this chapter. It is found to contain two parts at any rate which are capable of degrees of excellence (ápєтウ̀), viz. an appetitive and a rational part. The excellence of the former is Moral ( $\grave{\eta} \bullet \kappa \grave{\eta} \quad \boldsymbol{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ ). The excellence of the latter is Intellectual ( $\delta \iota a$ $\nu 0 \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ à $\rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta})$. These two kiuds of excellence are discussed at length, the former in Bks. IIV., the latter in Bk. VI.
3. Є̇ $\sigma \tau i \nu \hat{\eta}$ єỉסaıuovía . . . $\tau \in \lambda \in i a v]$ This is simply a recapitulation of the Definition in ch. vii. omitting only 'e่v $\beta$ ice тe入єíc.'
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both how- 8 the Science of Social Life, provided the inquiry be confined
ever within the practical limits which we have already mmposed on The Soul is commonly divided into a Rational $\mathrm{an}^{\mathrm{l}^{2}}$ an Irrational part. within the limits of what is practically necessary to throw 9 light upon the subject of Virtue. For our present object the ordinary popular treatises will suffice. We there find it stated that the Soul consists of two parts, a rational part and an 10 irrational part. Whether these parts be literally separate, like the limbs of the body, or separate in thought only, like the concave and convex sides of a curve, is indifferent for our

1. After $\pi \hat{a} \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ understand again the words ' $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \epsilon i \delta \in ́ v a l$ $\pi \omega$ s.' As the Oculist must study also to some extent the conditins of health of the whole body, so must the social philosopher acquaint himself in some degree with the whole $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, though his own practice is limited to a porlion of it.
2. $\chi a \rho i \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ ' accomplished.' Opp. to oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ oi in iv. 2, and somewhat similarly in v. 4.
3. ${ }^{\prime} \xi(\omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota k o ̀ s ~ m e a n s ~ w h a t ~ i s ~$ adapted for the world outside ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \omega\right), \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \frac{s}{s}$ what is adapted for the inner ( ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \omega$ ) circle of philosophic students. Hence 'exoteric' 'esoteric' refer to 'popular' and 'scientific' methods respectively. Some have
 refer to a division of Aristotle's own works. It is more probable, however, that they denote ordinary popular treatises.













 14 фаעтáб

${ }^{11}$ present purpose. 1. Let us first consider the irrational part.(a) One portion of this is the source of nutriment and growth which is found wherever there is life, in all creatures, and even in plants, in the foetus as well as in the full-grown animal. 12 There can be no specially human Virtue in this part. In fact $I_{3}$ it acts with most vigour in sleep, when good and bad men
(1) The irrational part is also twofold, including(a) the
source $o$ source o
nutrition nutrition
and
growth 14 differ not at all, or else in a manner which is of no conses-
4. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s]$ Observe the wide use of $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$, which makers it so difficult a word to translate. We should scarcely regard the 'soul' as the seat of physical life, growth, and nutriment. '(Be Glossary on $\psi v \chi \eta$.)
5. There is no difference in that which is the source of growth and nutrition in the embryo and in the full-grown animal. If there
were, when did the change occur? Aristotle insists upon this identityin order to show that this part of our nature is out of all relation to Virtue, Moral or Intellectual (see § 14), as there can of course be nothing of the kind in the embryo. This absence of change or progress cannot be asserted of the other two parts of the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, the Appetitive and the Rational.















the petites 1 desires, ich are thy and some use tonal.

15 quince to our present inquiry. ( $\beta$ ) There is however another division of the irrational part, which seems to partake of reason in some degree. This is evidenced by the phenomena of Continence and Incontinence, terms which we technically apply to cases where either right or wrong is done after a conscious inward struggle. This struggle occurs between Reason and something opposed to Reason. In the continent man we applaud the triumph of Reason. In the incontinent man, though Reason directs one course, there is something in
16 him which causes him to do the reverse, just as a paralysed limb.refuses to obey the control of the Will. We conclude therefore from this that there is something in the Soul distinct
17 from Reason (though in what precise way distinct we need

1. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s]$ to throw light upon that being the sole object of this inquiry about $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} . \quad$ See $\S 8$.
 See the precise meaning of these terms explained in note on iii. 7.
2. $\begin{array}{llllll}\lambda \lambda \lambda o & \tau & \kappa . \tau . \lambda .] ~ ' W e ~ f i n d ~\end{array}$ another law in our members, warring against the law of our mind' (Rom. vii. 23).
3. Lóyov đè кaì тоиิтo к.т.入.] Otherwise it could not even oppose Reason, as it does in the case
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 wholly irrational, the latter only partially so, because it is at any rate amenable to Reason.

Hence (2)
the Rational 9 part also may be regad ${ }^{d}{ }^{e}$ d as twofold if the Appemites should be rather refired to it.

Correspond- 20 ing to the division of the Soul into Rational and Appetitive is the twofold division of Virtue into Intelle $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{l}$ and Moral.
2. Let us now consider the rational part. -Here we have simply to determine the degree of strictness with which we will use the word 'rational.' If we use it so as to include the partially-rational appetites, then this part of the Soul may be considered as twofold, viz.-(a) The Reason itself; $(\beta)$ The appetitive part. Thus the assignment of the appetifive part to the Rational or to the Irrational division of the Soul is a question of words, or of arrangement merely.
Now to apply this to the question it was intended to elucidate,
 $\mu \dot{\eta} \tilde{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tau \nu$. He adds that the lower animals (like to фutikò $\nu$ in the


The result is, that it becomes to some extent a question of words to which of the two divisions of the Soul, Rational or Irrational,
we assign the Appetites. The main point is that, in either case, we recognise the three distinct parts $\phi v \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\partial} \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v, ~ \lambda o-~$ qt $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$. The following scheme will exhibit the two methods of arrangement by which this result may be reached:-
I.



8. We speak of Intellectual equally for both. (See Glossary, Excellences and Moral Virtues. s.v. al $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$.) In Greek ar $\rho \in \tau \bar{\eta}$ could be used




 $\lambda$ є́ оо $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$.
viz. the nature of Virtue. The part of the Soul relating to nutriment, etc., has nothing to do with Virtue, as we have already seen. The perfection of the purely Rational part gives rise to Intellectual Virtues or Excellences, egg. Wisdom, Prudence, Intelligence. The perfection of the Appetitive part gives rise to Moral Virtues, such as Gentleness, Liberality, Self-restraint. The term 'Virtue' we apply to any ermanent state or habit which is praiseworthy.

1. $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma$ ts is inadequately translated by 'Prudence,' which indicates more or less a Moral Virtue. It is explained in B. VI. to be the intellectual element of right judgment which is essential to all moral virtue: ' essential,' because mere blind 'earnestness' without a reasonable exercise of judgment is not Virtue: or (as Dr. Johnson phrased it) 'intellectual imbecility is no excuse for moral pervarsity.' Aristotle thinks that we are bound to have ' 2 right judgment in all things,' and would have no sympathy with 'imbecile virtue.' In B. IV. he frequently insists on the necessity of applying intellectual judgment to the details of moral action (e.g.
esp. in $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon a, \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \lambda i ́ a$, etc.). For proof that фоóvioıs itself is an Intellectual and not a Moral quality, see Supplementary Notes, hel.
2. катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \xi(\nu]$ 'in reference to his state,' ie. if his wisdom is a settled state or habit.

Thus the essential or fundamental difference between Intellectual and Moral excellence is, that they belong to different. parts of the Soul; the former being the perfection of the Rational, and the latter of the Appetitive, part. Upon, this follows a practical difference in the manner of their acquisition or cultivation, which is pointed out in the beginning of the next Book.






Chap. I.-Moral Virtue is not implanted in us by Nature.

Moral Virtue 1 differs from Intellectual Excellence, in that it is not innate : because-

IT is an essential difference between Intellectual Excellence and Moral Virtue, that the former is acquired and developed mainly by instruction, and the latter (as its name in Greek indicates) by practice. Dismissing the former, we proceed

1. See note at the beginning of I. xiii. for the connexion of the argument. The divisions of $\psi v \times \dot{\eta}$ led us to a corresponding division of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \grave{\eta}$ into Moral and Intellectual (I. xiii. 20). These further exhibit an essential dif. ference in the mode of their acquisition, which is first positively stated, and after this statement, the subject of Intellectual Excellence is tacitly dropped (to be resumed in B. VI.), and the discussion proceeds to establish the assertion just made so far as it relates to Moral Virtue, viz. that it is not im. planted in us by nature.
2. Tò $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu]$ 'for the most part.' This qualification is meant to allow for the exceptional case of great natural genius.
3. This etymological argument is of course untranslatable. $\ddot{\epsilon}$ Oos (Lat. mos) is a habit or custom. $\quad \dot{\theta}$ ois (Lat. mores) is character which is the result of habits. The value of this and similar arguments, such as that derived from the practice of men in legislation in $\S 5$ and III. v. 7, is simply this:-They show the general belief of mankind as reflected in language, but they do not prove that the belief in
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(2) Its existence does not precede its exercise in practice.

4 (2) In the case of natural faculties (erg. the senses), we have them before we use them. In the case of Moral Virtues (as in artistic skill), we develope them by use, ie. by trying to practise them: eng. Temperance is acquired by acting tempe(3) Practical 5 ratels, Courage by acting bravely and so on. (3) The action legislation proceeds on the assump- 6 lion that Moral Virtue is not innate. of legislators bears witness to the general belief of mankind that Moral Virtue is to be acquired by practice. (4) While
7. $\tau \omega \nu \mid a \lambda \lambda \omega\langle\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \bar{\omega} \nu]$ Virtue nomen in that, in the former is often regarded by Plato and case, the antecedents being the Aristotle as a kind of Art (egg. iii. 10 , iv. 3 , vi. 9 ).
14. т̀̀ $\beta$ oùд $\eta \mu a$ к.т.入.] See note on I. xiii. 3 .
 ait $\omega \nu$ ] 'from the same causes and by the same means.' The argument is, that Natural phenomen differ from Moral the-
same, the consequent are always the same, whereas in Moral phenomen, from the same antecedents, so far as outward circumstances go, opposite results follow. This difference then must arise from something contributed by the moral agent himself.















 in nature the same causes invariably produce the same results, in the case of Moral Habits, as in the Arts, the same circumstances and courses of action produce opposite results; ie. they produce both good artists and bad, just men and unjust, 7 brave men and cowards. This difference of results then must be due to a difference in ourselves, in fact to the different ways in which different people act under the same circumstances. In short, as are oumacts, so are the habits which 8 spring from them. Hence it important what sort of acts
7. ex $\gamma$ ivovio is emphatic ${ }^{\text {of }}$ a good or bad craftsman,' and so all apprenticeship and practice would have been useless:
16. 'All habits have their origin in courses of action similar to themselves.' Habits are simply the result of repeated acts. Why acts from repetition
should become easier we cannot say. What is the precise change that has taken place in us when (e.g.) the laborious acts of spelling out each word have grown into the easy habit of reading we cannot explain. We really know little more of the phenomona of the formation of habits than Aristotle here states; as as
(4) Out of the same cir-
cumstances are leveloped aposite results in respect of Moral Virtue and
Vice. Vice.






we become familiar with from earliest youth; for to the character of the resulting habits it makes simnlv all the difference in the world.

## Chap. II.-Some general characteristics of such Habits as are Virtuous.

Virtuous habits differ $\mathrm{fr}^{00 \mathrm{I}}{ }^{1}$ others in being in accordance with Right lieason:

I In a practical treatise like ours we at once follow up what has now been proved by asking, What is the definite character
fact, all habits grow from the repetition of acts similar to themselves.
$\delta \mu o i \omega \nu]$ There is no contradiction between this and the statement in § 6 init., viz. That similar acts produce opposite results. Acts and circumstances may be externally the same and yet very different to different people. And it is on the latter consideration, viz. their relation to the individual doing them, that their influence on resulting habits depends. e.g. A subscription of precisely the same amount would be liberal in one man and mean in another. Thus the same act has a tendency to form a habit of liberality in the one case, and of stinginess in the other.

Chap. IL-This Chapter holds a somewhat similar position in the investigation of a Definition
of Virtue to that of I. vii. 1-8, in the investigation of the Definition of Happiness. Aristotle feels his way towards a Definition in each case by first laying down certain broad and general characteristics of the thing to be defined. Two such are arrived at in this Chapter. Next, Chapters iii. and iv. consider questions arising out of the statements here made. Then Chapters v. and vi. contain the systematic construction of the Definition of $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$, and so far may be compared with the formal construction of the Definition of Happiness in I. vii. 9-16.
6. ai äd $\lambda \lambda a c$ ] Either 'the rest of treatises on this subject,'-it being a complaint of Aristotle's elsewhere that this is a general fault of the systems of his time ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau a ́ \nu о v \sigma \iota$,
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5 cially in matters of detail. With this proviso we may now make two general statements which hold good of Virtuous Habits.
but, more definitely, like good bodily habits, (1) in their formation, they involve an avoidance of excess and defect, and aim at moderation :

6 Both are suggested by the analogy of bodily habits, such as health and strengtb. (i) The first is:-Excess and defect are injurious, moderation is beneficial, to the formation of such habits. This is true of bodily health in reference to the amount of
vii. 17, etc., also (and esp. in reference to the words of 1.10 , p. 75) see I. iii. 2-4.
2. $\pi a \rho a j \gamma \in \lambda i a]$ ' body of rules.' aùtoùs in the next line is of course emphatic.
8. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ к.т.入.] This is the clue to the line of thought pursued in this Chapter. It is the analogy existing between the Body and Soul in respect of their babits or acquired capacities. In cll. vi., where the formal Definition of Virtue is investigated, the argument proceeds from another analogy, viz. that existing between Virtue and Art. The words in the parenthesis express very well the principle of what is generally called. 'the Argument from Analogy.' e.g. In.

Butler's 'Analogy' the ' $\phi а \nu \epsilon \rho a ̀$ ' are the obvious arrangements of the Natural world, the ' $\dot{a} \phi a v \hat{\eta}$ ' the arrangements of the Moral world, as indicated to us by Religion, Natural or Revealed. The point of similarity is that the arrangements in both cases proceed from the same Author, and the 'Argument from Analogy' is, that they are therefore likely to resemble one another. So in the present passage the $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho a ̀$ are bodily habits, the $\dot{a} \phi a \nu \hat{\eta}$ habits of the Soul: the point of similarity that they are parts of the same complex Being: and the 'Argument from Analogy' is that they are likely to resemble one another in their nature and growth.















 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ т $\rho о ф \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \in \iota \nu$ каі̀ $\pi о \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ v o v s ~ i ́ \pi о \mu \epsilon '-~$

7 food, drink, and exercise; and so it is of Temperance in respect of pleasure, and of Courage in respect of fear, and similarly of other Virtues, in respect of the subject-matter 8 with which each is concerned. (ii) Our second point is:- (2) when Virtuous habits when formed reproduce the acts by which formed $\begin{aligned} & \text { forties } \\ & \text { red rod } \\ & \text { the }\end{aligned}$ they were formed. Bodily habits suggest this law also: e.g. the acts Strength is gained by taking food and exercise, and when which form Strength is gained by taking food and exercise, and when them.
9. à $\gamma \rho o i ̂ k o l]$ perhaps 'ascetics,' or 'boors,' with probable ref. to the Cynics. àvaíäntós iris, 'A sort of insensible man.' Tots is a kind of apology for the term 'insensible,' because, as stated elsewhere, such phenomenon scarcely exists. See vii. 3 and III. xi. 7.
12. out $\mu o ́ v o \nu ~ a i ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s ~ к . т . \lambda] ~]$.

Observe that the former characteristic of Virtuous Habits 'hall reference to their formation and growth ( $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ кaì ai $\xi^{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ ), the present characteristic has reference to their operation

14. фavє $\omega \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ] is explained by the parenthesis in $\S 6$ above.

$$
9
$$









9 gained enables us to take more food and exercise. So Temperance is acquired by resisting pleasure, and when acquired exhibits itself in the resistance of pleasure. Similarly of Courage and other Virtues.

Chap. III. -The test of the formation of Habits is the pleasure or pain by which acts are accompanied.
A habit is is We can judge at once whether a habit is already formed
formed whenever we do the acts related to it with pleasure. or is only in process of formation, by the pleasure or pain

Chap. III. -If the statement at the end of the last Chapter be true, how can we tell when a habit is formed? If we become brave by doing brave acts, and when we have become brave we still do brave acts, how are we to know whether our brave acts are tending to form the habit, or are results of the formed habit? Are they in the relation of cause or effect to the habit of bravery, and when do they pass the line from cause to effect? The answer is simple. If the acts are done with pain and difficulty the habit is not yet formed. If they are done with pleasure and ease they are the result of a habit already formed. When
then we feel pleasure in doing any act, if it be a right act we have formed a habit of Virtue, if a wrong act we have formed a habit of Vice. When we do any act, right or wrong, with pain or without pleasure, we have not yet formed a habit either Virthous or Vicious in that respect. It would thus appear that Moral Virtue is an affair of pleasure and pain : that Virtue and Vice may be resolved into a question of feeling pleasure and pain when we ought. And so after $\S 1$ the discussion proceeds upon this text as it were, the immediate question with which the Chapter opened having been sufficiently answered.
8. $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a l]$ the middle voice
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4 by pleasure or pain. (iii) The infliction of punishment by means of pain (all remedies being through the medium of contraries) proves that it is intended to remedy an excess of pleasure : in other words, that vice consists in pleasure out of 5, 6 place. (iv) As we saw in ch. ii., whatever promotes or hinders the formation of a Virtuous habit is the sphere of its operation when formed. Now Moral habits are formed (not, as some suppose, by indifference to pleasure and pain, but) by feeling
 these,' viz. pleasure and pain, though the latter only is strictly speaking referred to. The use of $\delta \dot{a}$ with the ascus. $=$ ' because of' must not be confused with this. It occurs just below in l. 6.

On кó入acıs see note III. v. 7.
4. $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ viz. in c. ii. § 8.
10. ad $\pi a \theta \in \dot{a} a s ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \eta ̀ \rho \epsilon \mu i a s] ~$ The Cynics and Stoics held this view. Cf. Pope, Essay on Man, ii. 101 :-

In lazy apathy let Stoics boast Their virtue fix'd : 'tic fix'd as in a frost.
12. ínóкєєтаı ar $\rho а$ к.т.入.]‘Virtue
therefore is established to be,' etc. This seems to close the discussion, but Aristotle adds three supplementary arguments.
$\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \in \tau \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ тolaút $\eta$ ] This collocation of article, adjective, and substantive always denotes an epithet added emphatically, or by way of limitation, to the substantive. Transl. 'Virtue, at least Virtue of this kind,' ie. Moral Virtue ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\eta} \theta_{\iota \kappa} \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta}\right)$, for the statement here made would not be true of $\delta \iota a \nu o \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$. Compare a similar limitation in vi. 10.









 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \in \rho \rho a \pi \tau a \iota \cdot$ ठıò $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{o} \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \pi о \tau \rho i \not \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$
 pleasure and pain when we ought. Hence the exercise of five a Moral habits when formed (ie. of Moral Virtue) will be ex- 1 , hibited in feeling pleasure and pain when we ought. In the case of Vicious habits this just relation to pleasure and pain 7 is reversed. We may further add the following arguments: -(v) We choose things because they are either good, useful, or pleasant. We avoid things because they are either bad, harmful, or painful. Now though Virtue goes right and Vice goes wrong in all these motives, yet this is pre-eminently the case in regard to pleasure and pain, which in some sense in8 claude all the other motives. (vi) Regarded as feelings pleasure
3. Observe the position of the articles, making $\tau \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ the predicate. 'The inducements for choosing being three in number, and the inducements for avoiding also three.'
9. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ тoîs íviò к.т.入.] 'accompanies all the motives which come under the head of choice.' For both the 'good' and the 'useful' are also 'pleasant.' In.III. ix. 2-5, the argument mm-
plies that $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ on кa入ò $\nu$ is a kind, and indeed a mostexalted kind, of $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$.
12. Observe the antithesis between тovito to $\pi$ á $\theta o s$ and kaì $\tau a ̀ s \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s,-\pi a ́ \theta \eta$ and $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ being, as we were reminded in $\S 3$, the sphere of the operation of Moral Virtue. Also kail ràs $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon s=$ 'even our actions,' or 'our actions also,' the continual presence of pleasure and pain as regulating action, being at first
$\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu a \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ oi $\delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\imath}$







 єै $\sigma \tau а \iota, \dot{o} \delta є \frac{\kappa}{\epsilon} \kappa а \kappa \hat{\omega} \varsigma \kappa а \kappa o ́ s . ~$




and pain have been more ingrained into our lives, so to speak, by familiarity than any others; and regarded as motives for action also they are constantly present, though in varying 9 degrees, with all of us. With them therefore our whole to treatise must be concerned. (vii) Finally, nothing is so hard to contend with as pleasure ; nothing is therefore more meritorious, and consequently more virtuous, than to bring pleasure and pain under due control.
in On all these grounds therefore we argue that Moral Virtue consists in the proper regulation of the feelings of pleasure and pain.
sight less obvious than the fact of our constant susceptibility to them as mere feelings.
5. Heraclitus was an Ionian philosopher who flourished at

Ephesus about 150 years before Aristotle.
6. $\pi \ddot{\epsilon} \rho \grave{\imath} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \quad \tau \grave{o} \quad \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu]$ This principle is again applied by Aristotle in III. ix. 2, IV. i. 8, 9.
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 $4 \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ тà סі́каıа каì $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho о \nu a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma і \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota$. Tà $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu 10$


But in Moral Excellence we further require in the agent him-

Special conditions are required to constitute an act as moral. self, ( $\alpha$ ) Knowledge of what he is doing. ( $\beta$ ) Deliberate choice so to act, and moreover a pure and disinterested choice. ( $\gamma$ ) Resolute and unflinching purpose. Of these conditions knowledge is of the least weight, while it is the first and only requisite in the case of the Arts. The two latter conditions, on the other hand, are everything, and they can only be secured 4 by often doing acts of justice, temperance, etc. Hence neither to actions nor to individuals can the terms 'just,' etc., be

1. So Pope (Moral Essays):Not always actions show the man: we find Who does a kindness is not therefore kind.
2. Taû̃a $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ к.т.入.] The artistic or technical merit of a work of art is not affected by the motive of the artist, whether good or bad, eeg. whether his work may have been done with a religious or charitable purpose, or from jealousy or spite. In judging of a moral act, such considerations would be all-importent.
3. With $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ s a ̀ ~ a ́ \rho \epsilon . ~$ ràs supply ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ from l. 6.
4. Knowledge, though an essential requisite, of itself advances us but little in the way of virtuous character. This is explained by $\S \S 5$ and 6 below. See also ii. 1.
$\left.\tau \grave{a} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a\right]$ ie. the other conditions mentioned, viz. deliberate choice and unflinching purpose.
5. $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ is the emphatic word. See § l, above. Also $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ סíkata (just acts) in the next line stand in contrast with Sika os $\delta \grave{E}$ (just character) in the following clause.













strictly applied, unless there be, beside the outward act, the I inward spirit and purpose of the formed habit in the doing of 5 it. We were right then in saying that only by doing just acts Above all, 6 can we become just. Mere theories of Virtue without practice $\begin{gathered}\text { knowledg } \\ \text { without }\end{gathered}$ can no more form virtuous habits, than physicians' prescrip- practice is, tions if not followed can restore health. And yet this truth in neless. is very commonly forgotten.
6. This is well expressed by Bp. Butler, Anal. ch. v. (p. 91 Angus's ed.), 'Habits of the mind seem to be produced by repeated acts, as well as habits of the body. And in like manner as habits belonging to the body are produced by external acts, so habits of the mind are produced by the exertion of inward practical principles, i.e. by carrying them into act, or acting upon them.
But going over the theory of virtue in one's thoughts, talking
well, and drawing fine pictures of it; this is so far from necessarily or certainly conducing to form a habit of it in him who thus employs himself, that it may harden the mind in a con: trary course, and render it gradually more insensible to all moral considerations.'
7. тò̀ $\lambda$ óroo (in contrast with où $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota$ ) means theory as opposed to practice.
8. $\phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon s]$ The word $\phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a$ in Greek has a much wider siguificance than that



## Chap. V.-The Genus of Virtue determined.

All attri- I We have now to investigate the formal Definition of Virtue, butes of the Soul (including therefore Virtue) are either $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$, §uдáucts, or ת§еся. and first, in natural order, to determine its Genus. It is evidently connected with the soul and not with the body.
which 'Philosophy' would convey to us. In the absence of any revealed Religion, and the admitted inadequacy of the popularly received system of Religion, Philosophy would to a thinking Greek supply to some extent the place of Religion. To it alone he could look not only for theories of morality, but for practical rules for the guidance of life. Thus when Plato speaks

 he would convey nearly the same notion, as if we should say 'men who have lived a life of morality without religion.'

Chap. V.-We now commence the formal construction of the Definition of Virtue. And as all Logical Definition consists in assigning the Genus and the Differentia, we first ascertain the Genus of Virtue ( $\tau \boldsymbol{i} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ) in ch. v., and then its Differentia (roión $\tau \iota$ in ch. vi. Now there are two ways in which we may hunt ( $\theta \eta \rho$ $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \in \epsilon \nu\right)$ for a Definition, according to Aristotle. (1) We may take a wide Genus or class which is sure - to include the object to be defined besides a good deal more,
and'then narrow that class by adding qualities or conditions till it becomes co-extensive with the thing to be defined; or we may exclude one by one such members of the class as are obviously beside our purpose. e.g. In this Chapter to define Virtue Aristotle takes the wide Genus tà ćv $^{\nu}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$ —and since all attributes of the soul may be divided into $\pi a ́ \theta \eta$, $\delta v \nu \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \iota s$, and $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota s$, and as Virtue cannot (for reasons assigned) be either $\pi a ́ \theta o s$ or $\delta \dot{v} v a-$ $\mu l s$, we thus obtain $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi(s$ as the proper Genus of Virtue. (2) The other method of 'hunting' for a Definition is to take a number of concrete instances in which the quality to be defined is found, and then ascertain what it is which they have in common. e.g. On this plan Aristotle's course would have been to take the several virtues and find what they have in common in the midst of their various distinc. tions and individual peculiarities, and that common element would account for their being called by the common name Virtue, and would in fact constitute the Definition of Virtue.
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4 we obviously do apply them to virtue and vice. ( $\beta$ ) There is no deliberate choice in Emotions, as we have already stated (ch. iv.) that there is in Virtue. ( $\gamma$ ) We are said to be 'moved' by our Emotions, but 'disposed' by virtue and vice, and this difference of language implies a difference of fact. nor sivans. 5 ii. Virtue is not a mere 'Capability,' because-(a) The argoment above as to the application of praise, blame, etc., applies to Capabilities as well as to Emotions. ( $\beta$ ) Capabilities come

1. ar $\pi \rho \rho a \_\rho \rho^{\prime} \tau \omega s$ ] ='without burpose,' 'spontaneously.' The objects corresponding to particular passions or emotions being peresent, the emotion must be felt (though not necessarily encouraged or indulged), as necessarily as heat must be felt on approaching a fire. No reason or deliberaton can prevent this.'

Compare Butler's Analogy, pt. i. ch. v. (p. 98, ed. Angus). 'The principle of Virtue can neither excite them (viz. such affections) nor prevent their being excited. On the contrary, they are naterally felt when the objects of them are present to the mind, not only before all consideration whether they can be obtained by lawful means, but after it is
found they cannot. For the natural objects of affection continue so.' . . And again, 'Partitular propensions (by which name Butler describes such affeclions) from their very nature must be felt, the objects of them being present' (p. 100).
2. тоoaı $\rho^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ] The authority for this statement at present is iv. 3. It is afterwards embodied in the formal Definition of Vir. tue in vi. 15.
4. on кıขєíӨaı ả入入à סiakєí$\sigma \theta a t]$ Not 'moved' but 'disposed.' The latter word implying a more permanent affection. The distinction being made in language is a proof that such a distinction is commonly believed to exist. See note on i. l.








by nature, Virtue does not, as we have fully proved in ch. i.
6 iii. Hence we argue that if Virtue is neither an Emotion nor Therefore a Capability it must be a Habit.

Virtue is a $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi$ es.

Chap. VI.-The differentia of Virtue determined, and thus its full Definition arrived at.

I The next point will be to show what sort of a Habit Virtue We next ask, 2 is. Now speaking generally Excellence ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ ) of whatever of a habit kind perfects that of which it is the excellence, and causes

Chap. VI. -In this Chapter Aristotle discovers what sort of a Habit Virtue is, as follows. First he lays down the broad general conception that Excellance (dj $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$ ) of any kind perfects the work of that of which it is the Excellence ( $\S 8$ 1-3). Next he asks, In what then consists the perfection of Man's works? (§ 4). Having noted that all things capable of division at all can be taken in excess, defect, or moderation, he states that the perfecting of all human work, scientific, artistic, and therefore still more, moral, consists in securing the mean or moderate
amount (neither too much nor too little) of that with which it has to deal ( $\S 5-9$ ). He then explains that this is true only of Moral and not of Intellectual Excellence ( $\$$ § 10-13). After another argument pointing to the same conclusion derived from there being in all cases only one right and many wrong courses (§ 14), the formal Definition of Virtue is enunciated ( $\$ 15$ ), and the Chapter concludes with removing two possible misconceptions of, or objections to, the theory that all Virtue is a 'mean 'state ( $\$ 16$, etc.).









 5 భє

3 its peculiar function to be well performed. Hence Moral Virtue (ie. human Excellence) will perfect human nature and cause the proper function of human nature to be well 4 performed. How this will be has been already hinted at (in ch. ii.) from the analogy existing between the functions of the body of man and of his soul and of their respective Excellances, but we now proceed to discuss the question on more

Whenever different degrees of a thing are possible, Excellence consists in securing a mean or moderate amount, and that judged in relation to circumstances.
 general principles. In everything which is capable of devision at all, whatever be the nature of the connexion of its parts, we can have an excessive, a defective, and a just amount. These amounts may be taken either absolutely or relatively, and the just amount is always a mean in respect of the excess and defect, ie. it lies somewhere between them. An 5 'absolute' mean then is that which is precisely half-way

4. кai marks the conclusion of the argument.
9. $\xi v \nu \epsilon \chi \dot{\eta} s$ is applied to that whose parts are continuous or in close connexion, e.g. a stick or stone; $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \in \tau$ òs to that whose parts are not so connected, e.g. a heap of stones, a handful of sand
or gravel. In either case it is possible to take varying amounts of the things in question. Another interpretation explains $\xi v \nu-$ $\epsilon \chi \eta$ 's of geometrical magnitudes, line, figure, etc.; $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \in \tau o ̀ s ~ o f ~$ arithmetical numbers.
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neither excessive nor defective, but in due moderation, it is this relative mean that is always intended. And since then to attain to this relative mean is the end of every art. or practical science, much more will it be so in the pre-eminent practical science of morals. Therefore it will be the characteristic feature of Moral Virtue that it perfects the work of man by aiming at a relative mean (in other words, at moderation) in all that it is concerned with. Moral Virtue, be it observed (for all this does not apply to Intellectual Excellence),
tate excellence in the other sort of work (Moral). This analogy must be carefully distinguished from that between the body and the soul of man in ii. 5-7.
8. $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ каї $\dot{\eta}$ фú $\sigma \iota s]$ ie. Virtue is better than Art, just as Nature also is better than Art. Virtue is often regarded by Plato and Aristotle as a species of art, as has been noticed before, and indeed as its most perfect examplification.
9. The argument' only applies to $\grave{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$, because it alone
comes within the general case upon which the whole argument is based (see $\left.\S^{\prime} 4, \epsilon \in \nu \pi a \nu \tau i \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right)$, as being concerned with something (viz. $\pi a ́ \theta \eta$ and $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \in \epsilon(s)$ admitting of excess, mean, and defect. Such is clearly not the case in regard to Intellectual Excellence. That this is so with $\pi a ́ \theta \eta$ is proved in $\S \S 10$ and 11 , and similarly ( $(\dot{\rho} \mu i(\omega s)$ it is asseated of $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ in $\S 12$. $\pi \dot{d} \theta \eta$ and $\pi \rho \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \epsilon s$ are again thus united as forming the groundwork of Virtue in § 16 and also in iii. 3.












 for Moral Virtue has for its object emotions and actions, both 11.12 of which admit of excess, defect, and moderation. This moderation will consist in a due regulation of time, occasions, objects, motives, manner, etc., in regard to emotions and actions; and such regulation of emotions and actions is con13, I4 fessedly a characteristic of Virtue. Once more, it is possible That Wrong to go wrong in many ways, right in one way only: just as we is manifold, may miss a mark in any and every direction and can hit it
9. $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a \quad \ddot{a} \mu \phi \omega]$. viz. both praise and success ( $\epsilon \pi \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ k a i ̀ ~$ катор $\theta$ ov̀тat are characteristics of Virtue, as they have just been shown to be of moderation ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu$ ). Compare on $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \rho \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ar $\rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ above in 1.4 , where or $\pi \epsilon \epsilon$ similarly refers to excellence (äpıбтov) as being a characterstic of virtue.
12. The Pythagorean ex: pressed their teaching on Moral and other subjects by mathematical metaphors, which however have been often taken lite-
rally. The doctrine quoted in the text is a specimen. All that is infinite (involving the notion of indefinite) is bad; the finite is good. Aristotle's inference from this, that right lies intermediate to the various courses of wrong, somewhat resembles the argument which is, called the ' Principle of Sufficient Reason' in Mathematics, as it is applied, ecg. to establish what is called the first Law of Motion. See furthar, note on I. vi. 7.












Fence it is 0 with Moral Ex:cllence or virtue.
.his applies 10 o Moral excellence inly, not to intellectual.
neither excessive nor defective, but in due moderation, it is this relative mean that is always intended. And since then to attain to this relative mean is the end of every art. or practical science, much more will it be so in the preeminent practical science of morals. Therefore it will be the characteristic feature of Moral Virtue that it perfects the work of man by aiming at a relative mean (in other words, at moderadion) in all that it is concerned with. Moral Virtue, be it observed (for all this does not apply to Intellectual Eivxcellence),
tote excellence in the other sort of work (Moral). This. analogy must be carefully distinguished from that between the body and the soul of man in ii. 5-7.
 Virtue is better than Art, just as Nature also is better than Art. Virtue is often regarded by Plato and Aristotle as a species of art, as has been noticed before, and indeed as its most perfect examplification.
9. The argument only applies to $\grave{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ ad $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$, because it alone
comes within the general case upon which the whole argument is based (see $\S^{\prime} 4, \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \pi a \nu \tau i{ }_{k}$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. .), as being concerned with something (viz. $\pi a ́ \theta \eta$ and $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon s$ ) admitting of excess, mean, and defect. Such is clearly not the case in regard to Intellectual Excellence. That this is so with $\pi a ́ \theta \eta$ is proved in $\S \S 10$ and 11 , and similarly ( $\delta \mu o i(\omega s)$ it is asseated of $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ in § 12. $\pi \dot{a} \theta \eta$ and $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \in \epsilon s$ are again thus united as forming the groundwork of Virtue in § 16 and also in iii. 3.
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 ढै $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ova

itself and in the abstract that Virtue is a 'mean.' Considered in a special aspect and in reference to a special standard, viz. that of Excellence or Goodness, it is no longer a mean but extreme, i.e. it includes not a moderate, but the greatest
2) Not all 18 moderation a Virtue. possible, amount of good. (2) Conversely it must not be thought that because Virtue consists in moderation that a mean or moderate amount of anything is good simply because it is moderate. In respect of things essentially bad the right
means the 'essence' (ie. the simplest form, or notion, of the existence) of anything.
 essence of anything?'
(3) $\tau$ ò $\tau i-\eta \nu-\epsilon^{i} \nu a t=$ ' the what the essence [of anything] was'; or 'that which the essence was'; or in other words again, 'the essence' [ of anything].
 $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $о \nu \tau a$, 'the definition stating the essence' ; ie. the 'essential' or 'logical' Definition of anything : quite literally 'the Definition which says what [the] essence [of a thing] was.' Thus the whole expression is nearly equivalent to o vi $\sigma i{ }^{\prime}$ occurring just before, which also means the
'being' or 'essence' of anything, 'essential' being the exact Latin equivalent of ovioía.

It remains to explain $\hat{\eta} \nu$ and not é $\sigma \tau \iota$ being used. This is done to indicate that the Essence of a thing is prior to the existence of the thing itself. egg. Before any individual man existed the essence of man, ie. humanity, or the ideas constituting humanity, existed as the type after which man was created, just as the idea of a house exists on paper or in the architect's mind before the house itself is built.
3. $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{v} s ~ \grave{\nu} \nu o ́ \mu a \sigma \tau a \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \mu$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ к.т.入.] 'involve the notion of badness the moment they are named.'










 $\kappa a i$ er $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi \iota \varsigma$.


amount is no longer a mean or moderate amount, but the least 19 possible amount or rather none at all. If a thing is in itself bad, it is already, according to our theory, in excess or in defect, and therefore we cannot again have excess mean and
20 defect of it. If it is itself good, it is, according to our theory, already a mean, and therefore we cannot again have excess mean and defect of it.

## Chap. VII.- $A$ Table or Catalogue of Virtues with their related Vices.

1 In order to prove that our Definition holds good of all the List of Virtues in detail, we proceed to classify them, shewing the 踉焐dues
8. $\pi \omega s$ ] 'in some sense,' ie. as of this Definition of Virtue, by is explained in $\S 17$ fin., Virtue if regarded in its special relation to the standard of excellence is an extreme and not a mean.

Chap. VII.-Aristotle's plan now is to prove the correctness
showing it to apply in the case of every individual Virtue in detail. This is clearly expressed
 With a view to this it is neceswary to have an exhaustive Cata-






subject-matter in reference to which each Virtue is a mean state, together with its related Vices of excess and defect. Arranging them in the order of -1. Excess, 2. Mean, 3.
i. Courage. 2 Defect, we have-i. In reference to Confidence and Fear
logue of the Virtues. This is therefore given in the present Chapter, and it forms a sort of 'Table of Contents' for the discussion which follows to the end of Bk. IV.; though the actual order here indicated is not always observed.
2. ar $\lambda \eta \theta_{\iota} \nu \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota=$ ' more_real,' not to be confused with $\bar{a} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma$. $\tau \epsilon \rho a \iota=$ ' more true.'
4. $\delta \iota a \gamma \rho a \phi \bar{\eta} s$ ] the table or catalogue. This will be found in an Appendix at the end of this Book, together with a note on the probable principle of classification upon which it is constructed.

Пєрì фóß ops каì Өá $\rho \rho \eta]$ Observe that in each case Aristotle first lays down the morally-indifferent (ie. neither good nor bad in itself, see note on vi. 17) subjectmatter, upon the excess, defect, or mean amount, of which the related Virtue and Vices in each case depend. Observe further that this subject-matter is in several cases described by a pair
of words converse to one another, erg. Confidence and Fear, Pleasure and Pain, Giving and Receiving, etc. Now of either member of these pairs we may have excess, mean, or defect, and therefore theoretically there would be two Virtues, each with two related Vices, belonging to each pair. But as excess of confidence is much the same as defect of fear, and vice-versa, (and similar. by in the case of the other pairs), the subdivision in each case is a needless refinement, like the distinction between $D$ and $E b$ commouly in Music. Hence it is only carried out in two cases, viz. Courage and Liberality, and no stress is laid upon it in the fuller discussion of Bks. III. and IV.
6. $\left.\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \grave{\iota} \dot{a} \nu \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \nu \mu a\right]$ It must often be the case that re. finements of theory are not of sufficient practical importance to be represented by distinct words in common language, egg. though in theory excess of confidence lद̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Oappéiv $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu)$ and
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 ßavavoía, ë̀ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \psi \iota s$ ठє $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota a \cdot$ סıафє́ $\rho о v \sigma \iota$






Wealth on a large scale-(1) Vulgar Display, (2) Magnifiv. High-
mindedness.
7 cence, (3) Paltriness. v. In reference to honour and dishonour on a grand scale-(1) Vaingloriousness, (2) Highri. Laud- 8 , 9 mindedness, (3) Littlemindedness. vi. In reference to the able Ambition. same in ordinary matters language supplies us only with the terms 'Ambition' and 'Ambitious' on the one hand, and 'Want of Ambition' and 'Unambitious' on the other. As

1. ä $\left.\lambda \lambda a \iota \delta^{\prime} a \theta \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s\right]$ The distinction between Liberality and Magnificence, and between Highmindedness and Laudable Ambitin respectively, derives its value partly from the political or social aspect in which the Virtues were regarded by Greek philosophers. Socially the difference is considerable; morally (in the proper sense of the word, i.e. in reference to the character of the agent), the difference, though not perhaps wholly unreal, is less important. The term $\delta \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime}-$ $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ is here used as equivalent to $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi / s$, though it is sometimes distinguished from it as indicating a disposition or tendency only, in contrast with a formed
habit ( $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi(s)$, egg. $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \rho a \dot{\tau} \epsilon \epsilon a$ is a $\delta i a ́ \theta \in \sigma \iota s$ related to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma \nu \dot{\nu} \eta$ as a $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \stackrel{ }{ }$ (see note I. iii. 7).
2. à $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho о к а \lambda^{\prime}$ aaa] 'bad taste ;' literally 'inexperience of what is beautiful.' $\beta a v a v \sigma^{\prime} a=\beta a v \nu a v \sigma^{\prime} a$
 burn), 'the practice of a mechanical art,' and hence 'vulgarity' generally. (Liddell and Scott.)
3. Translate 'As we said that Liberality was related to Magnificence, differing from it in that it is on a small scale, so also there is a certain Virtue related to Highmindedness, the latter being concerned with great honours, while the Virtue in question deals with small honours.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ova $\sigma a \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu$, au $\bar{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu ~ o v ̊ \sigma a \cdot$ єै $\sigma \tau \iota$








 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda о \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ катà тòv $\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu \tau \rho о ́ \pi о \nu$,






however excess and defect are thus recognised there must clearly be a mean state, though, in the absence of a settled name, either of the above pairs of terms are, according to 10 circumstances, applied to it. vii. In reference to the regular- vii. Meektimon of the Temper-(1) Passionateness, (2) Meekness, (3) ness. Impassionateness (if there be such a word to describe a II state which rarely exists). Three Virtues follow relating to $\begin{gathered}\text { Three Social } \\ \text { Virtues. viz., }\end{gathered}$
4. $\delta$ ia $\left.\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s\right]$ 'The habits as well as the individual characters corresponding are nameless; (or, the abstract as well as the concrete terms are nameless)-exsept the term "ambition," corresponding with "ambitious".' Similarly in English we have no word ' unambition,' to correspond with ' unambitious.'
5. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ in Composition has a reciprocal force, e.g. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \mu o i \beta a \delta i ́ s$, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu a \boldsymbol{x}^{i} \dot{a}$ (offensive and defensive alliance) (see Suppl. Note), etc.
 IV. iv. 4.
6. ảópy ${ }^{\circ}$ obs $\left.\tau / s\right]$ A sort of 'impassionate', man. ' $\tau \iota$ ' $^{\prime}$ as it were apologizes for the uncouthness of the term employed: as it



















our conduct in and towards Society-and here we must apologize for having to employ somewhat inadequate names viii. Truth- 12 to describe our meaning. viii. As regards Truth-(1) Boast-
foulness. ix. Teniaits.
$\because$ Frienddines.
${ }_{13}$ fulness, (2) Truthfulness, (3) Self-Depreciation. ix. As regards pleasantness in times of relaxation -(1) Buffoonery, (2) Geniality, (3) Boorishness. x. As regards general
does elsewhere for its inadequacy, when it does not express the precise shade of meaning desired: egg. $\chi$ av vót $\eta s$ т is in $\S 7, \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s$ is in § 12 , à $\gamma \rho 0$ î́ós fris in § 13 ,

 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$.
7. $\epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \epsilon i a=$ dissimulation, ie. a concealment of what you
 pretension to what you are not. cipaveia is a difficult word to translate; see further note on IV. vii. 3.
8. $\phi i \lambda o s$ and $\phi i \lambda i a$ are not to
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ci. Sense of Shame.

## :ii. Resent-

 rent.rinally,iii. Justice n its several ypes.
pared with their opposites: viz. xi. In reference to shame felt at our own conduct-(1) Excessive Bashfulness, (2) Sense of 15 Shame, (3) Shamelessness. xii. In reference to indignation felt at the success, deserved or undeserved, of others-(1) 16 Envy, (2) Resentment, (3) Malevolence. xiii. Finally, Justice is a, word of such various meanings that we must quss

 account of é $\pi \iota \chi$ аияєкакia is very confused. (1) There is no real, but only a verbal, opposition between grieving at the prosperity of others ( $\phi \theta^{\prime}$ óos) and rejoicing at the misfortunes of
 two habits are related like those which arise from excess of confidence or defect of fear, which are not really two but one, and are called by the common name Oparírns, as was explained in 8.2. (2) The mean ( $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau s)$ consisting in grieving at the prosperity of others, when it is undeserved, and the excess ( $\phi \theta$ óvos) in grieving at the prosperity of others in all cases, whether deserved or undeserved, -the defect ought to consist in never grieving at the prosperity
of others in any case, but in either rejoicing at it or being indifferent to it. But in order to make any sense of the words as they stand, we must understand with $\chi a i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ in l. $7 \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ тois $\kappa a \kappa \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota \nu$ or some similar words, about which nothing has been said or im. plied. (See Suppl. Noles.)
 referring to the end of B. IV. it will be seen that the subject of $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ is not referred to in the fuller discussions which follow. Otherwise the confusion of the present passage would probably have been corrected in some way.
סıkalooiv is a difficult word to translate. It not only means 'justice' in the limited sense (though even this, as Aristotle shows in Bk. V., is used in two or three distinct applications),

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \bar{\nu}$.





hereafter distinguish them, and then show of each kind separately how the law of the mean is applicable to it. The discussion on Intellectual Excellence will follow after that.

Chap. VIII.- The nature and degrees of the opposition existing between Virtues and the Vices related to them.
1 Excess, mean, and defect are all opposed to one another in The oppo2 various degrees. Compared with the excess, the mean appears
but it has also the general sense of 'uprightness' or 'righteousness,' divested of the religious or theological savour attaching to these words. In this application, Aristotle in Bk. V. describes it as $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \beta \delta \eta \nu \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ a j \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta}, ~ i . e . ~$ Virtue in the aggregate. Bk. V. is occupied with distinguishing and defining these several senses of $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma \dot{v} \eta \eta$, and, as is promised here, showing how to each of them separately the law of the 'mean' is applicable in different ways.
2. $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mu$ oí $\omega$ s к.т.入.] The words in brackets are probably interpolated by some copyist who thought it might be well to give the contents of Bk. VI., as well as those of Bks. IV. and V.

The objections to them are: (1) $\dot{\delta} \mu i^{i} \omega \mathrm{~s}$ is not true; for the Intellectual Excellences are in no sense 'mean' states, and Aristotle never suggests or attempts to prove anything of the kind (see note on vi. 10). (2) Aristotle never speaks of $\lambda$ oyıкai $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau a i$ in this sense, but always of ठıavoŋтıкаì à $\epsilon \in \tau a ̀$, e.g. see I. xiii. 20 , II. i. l, etc. If we retain the words we must attach a very loose sense to $\dot{\delta} \mu o i \omega s$ and trans-late-' similarly we shall speak about the Intellectual Excellences also :' in fact understanding $\bar{\epsilon} \rho o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ only, and not $\epsilon \in \rho \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\pi \omega \bar{s} \mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ t \eta \tau \epsilon ́ s \in i \sigma \iota \nu$.

Chap. VIII.--The fact that Virtue is a relative and not an absolute mean (i.e. not always

















in defect; compared with the defect, it appears in excess. 3 A man who is in either extreme, reserving to himself the title of the mean, applies to the true mean the name of the ex4 treme opposite to his own. Obviously however the opposition between the extremes (excess and defect) is greater than that between the mean and either of them; -partly because the interval between them is greater in actual distance, as we nearer sometimes to the excess, someexcess,somot
to the defect.
half-way between the extremes to which it is related) implies that it will sometimes be nearer to one extreme than the other, and hence that the degrees of its opposition to them will differ in different cases. The main resuits of this chapter are ;-(1) There is a greater opposition
between the extremes inter se than between either of them and the mean. (2) Sometimes the excess and sometimes the defect is more opposed to the mean. (3) The degree of this divergence may depend either upon the nature of the case or upon our own dispositions in reference to it.

## Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page













' errors on the right side,' and therefore nearer the mean. ii. Our own 8 ii. Our own dispositions. -If our natural bent or inclinedispositions and inclinatons. cion is to one extreme rather than the other, then that extreme appears more opposed to the mean than the other. Its indulgene would carry us further from the Virtuous mean than would the practice of the opposite extreme.

Chap. IX. -The difficulty of Virtue-Practical rules for attaining the Virtuous Mean-The liberty of private judgment in points of detail.
The various points now established concerning Virtue
4. $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \mu \mu \nu \quad a \dot{\imath} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu]$ This fol-- lows because virtue is a relative mean ( $\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ t \eta \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s)$. Theories of the most absolute morality (see Untrod. p. xxi.) must allow some variation in its application to practical details. Within certain limits what is right for one man is not neceswarily right for another. The fallacy of Casuistry is that it is. mores the considerations pointed
out in this section. Conversely it might be said that theories which make moral distinctions purely relative err in overlooking the considerations of § 7 .
9. '́ $\pi$ íठools] lit. 'increase' or 'addition,' hence, probably, ' bias' or 'inclination.'

Chap. IX.-Another result from the mean in Virtue being relative and dependent on circumstances, and also from the

 $\sigma \tau o \chi a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ धे $\nu \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi a ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~$















2 plainly show that it is difficult to become Virtuous, and a complicated matter to attain the accurate mean. Three Three pules 3 practical rules are obvious. i. Avoid the extreme most for the meant. 4 opposed to the mean in the nature af things. If we must Rule i . err, it is at any rate best to choose the lesser of two evils.
varying degrees of opposition between it and its related Vices explained in the last Chapter, is that it is hard to hit the exact mean in all cases. Hence (1) practical rules for this purpose are suggested, and (2) the right of individual liberty of action within certain moderate limits is maintained.
12. $K a \lambda \nu \psi \grave{\omega}]$ There is a slip of memory here. Advice similar to this was given to Dlysses by Circe (Od. xii. 108). The actual words, however, occur in the subsequent admonition of Ulysses to his pilot (Od. xii. 219).
17. $\delta \in i j \tau \rho \circ$ g $\pi \lambda$ ours corresponds to our expression 'a second-best course,' or a ' pis-aller.'












Rule ii. ii. Avoid the extreme to which our natural inclination 5 tends. The pleasure we derive from actions affords a simple Rale iii. 6 test of this inclination. iii. Beware above all af allowing the pleasure of actions to bias our judgment respecting them.

1. aùroi] Thus for example although as a general rule the Virtue of Courage would be gained rather by acts of Rashness than by acts of Cowardice, yet there may be fearless and hotbrained persons who would arrive at it best by acts of what would seem to them cowardice. Again, in order to arrive at the just mean in the way of spend-
 would probably direct a Scotchman to aim at prodigality ( $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$. tia), but an Irishman to practise what he would consider sordidness ( $\mathfrak{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \in \rho i a)$.
2. $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ к.т.入.] Straightening a roll of paper by rolling it the opposite way would be another familiar illustration.
 this from $\delta \epsilon \kappa a ̀ s$, a body of ten),
$=$ decuriare, to tamper with the 'decurix,' and so genèrally 'to bribe.' ar $\delta$ ©́кабатоя therefore $=$ 'impartial,' literally 'unbribed.'
3. $\delta \eta \mu о \gamma \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ] The refer. ence is to $I l$. iii. 158 :-
" On Ilion's towers
Sat the sage chiefs and councillors of Troy.
Helen they saw, as to the tower she came;
And, 'is no marvel, one to other said,
The valiant Trojans and well-greavèd Greeks
For beauty such as this should long endare
The toils of war; for goddess-like she seems;
And yet, despite her beauty let her ge, Nor bring on us and on our sons a curse."-Lord Derby's Translation.

Similarly, says Aristotle, we must dismiss pleasure from our consideration, while we are deliberating, else unbiassed judyment will be out of the question.
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Tile Catalogue of Virtues and Vices referred to in B．II．c．vii．

| Indifferent subject－matter neither good nor bad in itself． | Excess． （Vice）． | Mean． （Virtue）． | Defect． （Vice）． | Remarks． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \theta d \rho \rho \dot{\eta} \pi \alpha l \phi \delta \beta o u s$ <br> ii．$\pi \epsilon \rho l \grave{\eta} \delta o \nu \grave{\eta} \nu(\kappa a l \lambda u \pi \grave{\eta} \nu)$ <br> iii．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\chi} \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta \delta \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha i \lambda \hat{\eta} \psi \iota \nu$ <br> iv．$\pi \epsilon \rho l \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \gamma a ̂ \lambda \alpha$ ． <br> v．$\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu(\kappa \alpha i \dot{a} \tau \iota \mu l a \nu)$ <br> vi．$\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu$ <br> vii．$\pi \epsilon \rho l \delta \rho \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ ． <br> viii．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau d$ a $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon s$ <br>  <br> x．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \dot{\partial} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta l \boldsymbol{\psi}$ |  | $a ́ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota a$ $\not \approx \nu \delta \rho \in \iota a$ $\sigma \omega \phi \rho 0 \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\delta}{\tau} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\delta}{\tau} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \alpha$ <br> $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \psi \nu \chi i a$ （ $\left.{ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \nu u \mu o s\right)$－ $\pi \rho a b \tau \eta s$ $\alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ $\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \lambda i a$ <br> $\phi i \lambda o s(\phi i \lambda i a)$ <br> $a l \delta \eta \eta^{\mu} \mu \nu$ <br> $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta{ }^{\prime} s$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \delta \sigma \eta s$ （ $\left.{ }^{2} \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \mu \nu s\right)$ àvaı $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma$ la $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho l a$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \tau i a$ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о \pi \rho \in ́ \pi \pi \epsilon \iota a$ <br> щєкрочихіа dфı入oтьцia ad́ $\rho \gamma \eta \sigma i a$ єip $\omega \nu \in i a$ ajpoıkia <br> $\delta u ́ \sigma \epsilon \rho \iota s$ каi бú́кко入os <br> aं ${ }^{2}$ al $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau$ <br> $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi a \iota \rho \epsilon \in \kappa а к о s$ | In regard to $\theta a \rho \dot{\rho} \eta$ only． In regard to $\phi \delta \beta o i$ only． In regard to $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \dot{\eta}$ only． In regard to $\delta \delta \sigma \iota s$ only． In regard to $\lambda \hat{\eta} \psi \iota$ s only． In regard to $\delta \delta \delta \iota \iota s$ only． <br> In regard to $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ only． Also in regard to $\tau \tau \mu \dot{\eta}$ only． <br> viii．ix．x．are grouped as social virtues－$\pi \epsilon \rho l \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$ $\kappa a l \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu\{\alpha \nu$. <br> In the case of $x$. the names of the cess and defect are given only in the adjectival form．The former is $\rho$ 位 $\sigma$－ кos，if his duct be disin－ terested；$\kappa 6 \lambda a \xi$ ，if it arise from－med motives． Occasional feelings rather $\int$ than sled habits． |

The principle of Classification in the Catalogue on the opposite page appears to be (for Aristotle never The principle of Classification in
explicitly states it) the degree of relationship to society implied by the different Virtues : a natural principle
in a treatise which regards Ethics as a branch of the Science of Social Life ( $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota k \eta$ tıs, I. ii. 8). From
. Fatalogue breaks up into five divisions:this point of view the Catalogue breaks up into five divisions :They might be practised on a desert island. They belong to the lowest part of our nature, which we have in common with the brutes, who are incapable of Society. (In III. x. 1 Aristotle hints that this is his reason for treating of tese two Virtues first.) lin and yet they dhy rather wih Benevolence and ush feelings are scarcely, if at all, recognised. qnal element still predominates.
 ach emats in this case are nearly balanced.
V. (viii-x) Three Social Virtues which derive their whole force and meaning from society, and relate simply
to our conduct in and towards society. The social element now preponderates over the personal.
V. (xi-xii) Supplementary. Two virtuous states which (as is explained in the text) are not in the fullest sense Virtues, but yet under certain circumstances are commended.



Сhap. I.—Voluntary, Involuntary, Mixed and Non-Voluntary Actions distinguished and discussed.
1 The discussion of the difference between Voluntary and Involuntary actions is important (1) in reference to the

Recalling the Definition of Virtue in II. vi. 17 ( $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi / s \pi \rho o a t-$ $\rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ द̀ $\nu \mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota$ ov̉ $\sigma a$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \grave{s}$
 $\phi \rho o ́ \nu(\mu o s$ ó $\rho(\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu)$, we shall obtain the clue to the plan of what follows to the end of Bk.VI., the whole of which portion of the treatise consists of the illustration of this Definition in detail.
(1) $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \iota \varsigma-T h i s ~ w a s ~ s u f f i c i e n t l y ~$ explained in II. v.
(2) $\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ - This has been rather assumed than proved as yet (see II. iv. 3 and v. 4, etc.). Consequently the nature of $\pi \rho o a i \rho \in \sigma \iota s$ is now discussed at length in its relation to Moral action, ch. i-v.
(3) $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ t \eta \tau \iota ~ o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \operatorname{t\eta } \pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s$ —This point is next proved
of each of the Virtues in the list given in II. vii. in detail, from III. vi. to end of IV., and of $\delta \iota k a \iota o \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ in each of its various senses (for which see II. vii. 16) in Bk. V.
 The intellectual powers by which the variable relative mean is to be determined form the subject of Bk. VI., and this completes the discussion of the various terms in the Definition of Virtue.

The discussion of $\pi \rho o a i \rho \in \sigma \iota s$ or Deliberate Choice is approached by determining first the more comprehensive notion of voluntariness ; since all that is deliberately chosen must of course be Voluntary, though not vice versa (see ii. 16). The contents of the
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6 some greater evil, or the hope of some greater good. But such actions are, so to speak, mixed, being neither purely Such mixed voluntary nor purely involuntary. They are rather voluntary
acts are rather voluntary than involuntary, however, (1) because they are deliberately chosen at the moment of performance ; and (2) because it is always physi-
motive cause of the action : speaking technically, the Efficient Cause (see Glossary p. xlvii.).
9. тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т tee los к.т. ..] The object or motive of an act is to be determined in reference to the time of its performance; so ( $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ) whether the action were voluntary or involuntary is to be determined in reference to the moment of action. If a conscious motive determined the action then, the action itself must have been volun. tare, and that fact cannot
be altered by regrets or afterthoughts when the danger is past. That such is the case in the actions we are considering is evident, because the movement of the limbs at least is perfectly free at the moment of action (see 1. 12).
12. on $\rho \gamma a \nu ı$ à $\mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \eta$ ] the limbs which are instrumental in the performance of the act.
15. $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s]$ ie. simply or abstractedly, ie. considered apart from surrounding circumstances.
















7, 8 ally in our power to abstain from them. Their moral and their character is various. We praise, blame, or make allowance $\begin{gathered}\text { moraral char- } \\ \text { after varies }\end{gathered}$ 9 for them, according to circumstances; but it is impossible to with circum

1. Regarded in their moral aspect these mixed actions fall into three classes. (Note, it is due to their mixed character, and so far as they have an element of voluntariness about them, that they admit of a moral aspect at all.)
(1) Praise is accorded, when something painful or humiliating (ai $\sigma \chi \rho o ̀ \nu$ ) is endured from a noble motive, egg. the case of martyrdom, and the legends of Scævola, Regulus, Lady Godiva, etc.
(2) Blame, when shame or disgrace is accepted without ade-
quale reason, egg. the conduct of a traitor who betrays his country or friends to secure his own release from prison
(3) Allow
the pain or danger is such as to overstrain (vinepteivel) human endurance, eeg. confessions or revelations wrung out by toriure. Aristotle adds that there are some acts so disgraceful that no torture could secure allowance for them, egg. matricide.
 $\left.\nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma a \iota\right]$ viz. his father Amphiaraus's injunctions to do so, under pain of his displeasure.















10 lay down any general rules on such a subject. We reserve then the term Involuntary for cases of physical compulsion. The violent II Under no circumstances, however, must the violent desire pleasure of actions is in no case to be considered as a source of compulsion which can excuss them. for what is pleasurable or honourable be regarded as causing such compulsion as would make an act involuntary, for (1) This would make all our actions compulsory, and so would prove too much; (2) Such actions are pleasurable, while compulsion is painful. The fault really rests with those who allow themselves to be so easily 'compelled,' who wish to escape the responsibility of their bad actions and yet retain
10. No emphasis is to be laid on rà ка入à here, because practically, no one does argue against the voluntariness of noble acts on the ground that the intense pleasure to be derived from them forces us on. (This is clear from the concluding words of this ch., and also from ch. v.) Logically, however, the higher pleasure of

тò $k a \lambda \grave{o} \nu$ and the lower pleasure of $\tau \dot{o} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ stand on the same footing, so far as they affect the voluntariness or involuntariness of actions. Indeed, as Ar. argued in II. iii. 7 (fin.), $\tau \grave{o}$ кàò $\nu$ as a motive for action is in some sense
 reference also illustrates what follows, $\tau 0 u ̛ \tau \omega \nu$ үà $\rho \chi^{\alpha} \rho ı \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$.
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 principles of conduct, but only to the details, or acts: else a $\mathcal{f}$ drunkard or a passionate man, or indeed any one who does wrong, might plead ignorance in some sense, and hence involuntariness. Therefore, for the sake of distinction again, we shall say that one who acts in ignorance of the general principles of conduct, or of what is befitting, or in ignorance affecting the deliberate choice of his actions, acts 'ignorantly,' 16 but not 'through ignorance,' nor involuntarily. But one who acts in ignorance of some of the details or circumstances accompanying his action, we shall say acts 'through ignorance,' and involuntarily. eeg. Ignorance of 'fact' or of
voluntary. If the ignorance could have been avoided at the outset, the agent is fully responsible for it, and also for all and any consequences that it may lead to.
2. $\delta \iota a ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu]$ ie. $\mu^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \eta$ or $\dot{\theta} \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$ understood from

 the object upon which or. whom the act takes place, e.g. a man
slaying his son or his father in battle unwittingly. The murder of Laius in ignorance did not make Edipus, morally speaking, a parricide.
 818) has not its usual meaning of 'motive' (of which ignorance would be out of the question), but that of 'tendency,' as the examples show.














'detail' may apply to the agent, the act itself, the object, 17 the instrument, the tendency, or the manner of the act. Of all of these at once, and especially of the first, none but a 18 maniac could be ignorant. But ignorance of one or more of such details, and especially of the object or of the tendency of the act, may well occur, and cause the act to be an in-
4. oiov к.т. .] 'as men in conversation say that they made a slip ('let the cat out of the bag'), or else that they did not know that it was any secret.' These are of course two different excuses, either of which would illustrate what is meant by ignorance of the act itself. So also would the other case mentioned, viz. when a gun goes off accidentally and kills some one.

 to be rounded at the end when
it was actually pointed,' ie. like a foil with a button for fencing.
9. кía $\sigma \eta \rho \iota \nu]$ pumice-stone, and therefore not likely to hurt any one. This illustrates igorance of the instrument.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a]$ ' with a view to save;' e.g. if William Tell had hit his son, when aiming at the apple. (In ref. to ë̃єка tiros above.)
11. а̇крохєєь८̧́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota$ ] 'sparring.' This example explains ignorance of the manner or degree of force of an act ( $\pi \hat{\omega} s$, olio $\dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \in \mu a \hat{\eta} \dot{\sigma} \phi \phi o ́ \delta \rho a, \S 16)$.
 áкоvбíov $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v . ~ \not ้ \tau \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota \nu ~ \lambda \nu \pi \eta \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~$ $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \mu \lambda \epsilon i ́ a$.










I9 voluntary one 'through ignorance'; provided always that subsequent sorrow attends the discovery of what has been thus done through ignorance.
Voluntary 20 Having now explained the nature of both kinds of involunacts defined. try actions, we may define Voluntary acts conversely to be 'those originating from the agent himself, he having a full knowledge of the circumstances under which he is acting.'
Arguments 2 I This definition must be defended against the false view (which to prove that acts done through anger or strong desire are Voluntary. it in fact condemns) that acts done from anger or desire are involuntary, though originating in the agent himself. (1) They 22 are not so, because all the acts of the lower animals and 23 even children would then be involuntary. (2) Take this dilemma :-Either it is meant that all acts of anger and desire are involuntary, or that the bad ones are involuntary and the good voluntary. The latter supposition is absurd, because the motive cause (anger and desire) is the same in both cases. 24 The former is absurd because there are occasions when we
6. ${ }^{*}$ I $\left.\sigma \omega s{ }^{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\right]$ The force of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is to indicate that the following class of actions (viz. ta $\delta ı a ̀ ~ \theta v \mu \grave{\nu} \nu{ }_{\eta} \delta_{i} \imath^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi(\theta v \mu i a \nu)$, which are intentionally excluded by the Definition just given from
involuntary actions, are rightly so excluded. (See Suppl. Notes.)
12. $\delta \in \hat{l}$ is of course the emphatic word. The sense of 'duty excludes the notion of involuntrines. If we 'ought.' to do.
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1. It is not ${ }^{\text {m er }}$ ely an impulse, because-
(1) It is not the same as Desire.

3 ously is a particular case of voluntary action. i. Some con. sider deliberate choice to be a matter of impulse. If so, it must be either Desire, Anger, or Wish, this being admitted as a complete list of our Impulses. (1) It is not the same as Desire, because-(a) Irrational animals have desires but do
chapter is to establish the compound character of $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota$ or deliberate choice, as consisting of an element of impulse and an element of judgment. This is done by proving that it is not identical with any sort of inpulse singly, or of judgment singly. If it were identical with impulse, it must be either desire, spirit, or wish (these being assumed as an exhaustive classiccation of impulse ( $\partial \rho \in \xi / s$ ), as Aristotle elsewhere ( $D e A n$. II. iii. 2) explains). That it is not any of these, is shown in $\S \S 3-9$. If it were identical with judge. mont ( $\delta \delta \xi a$ ), or the expression of an opinion merely, it must be either judgment generally ( $\delta o ́ \xi a$ $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \bar{\omega} s)$, or judgment when exercised in a certain sphere, viz. matters of practical interest ( $\delta o ́ s \neq a$ res). That this is not so is
shewn in $\S \$ 10-15$. It is then affirmed to be a choice resulting from deliberation, thus combining both impulse and judgment.

1. oikctótatov $\gamma$ ad $\rho$ ] 'It (viz. $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma(s)$ appears to be very closely connected with Virtue, and to be a better test of moral character than actions.' The question whether the intention or the outward act is more inportent in morals is again re. fared to, X. viii. 5.
2. $\tau \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a i \phi \nu \eta s]$ Acts done 'on the spur of the moment.'
3. The principal difference between émıӨv ia (for which 'desire' is too wide, and 'appetite' too narrow) and $\beta$ oui $\lambda_{\eta}$ cs, or 'wish,' is that $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu i a$ is in connexion with a body, while $\beta$ our $\eta \eta \sigma \iota s$ is not. A spirit could experience $\beta$ oú $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$, but not $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu i ́ a$.






 $7 \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa а т \grave{a} \pi \rho о а \iota ́ \rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ єîva८ סокєî. 'A $A \lambda \grave{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ оưס̀̀



not act with deliberate choice. (This argument applies to 4 Anger also.) (b) The incontinent act in accordance with their desires, but against their deliberate choice; the con5 tinent, vice versa. (c) Desire is not opposed to desire, but to something else, viz. deliberate choice, which checks or resists it. (d) Desire is limited to what is pleasurable and 6 painful, but deliberate choice is not. (2) Still less can it be Spirit, for impetuous actions are the very last, we should 7 describe as done through deliberate choice. (3) It is not the same as Wish, though not very dissimilar to it. (a) We may wish for impossibilities, but we cannot deliberately choose
4. The third argument seems to rest on the notion (found also in Plato) that conflict or oppositimon can only occur between two different parts of our constitution, e.g. between desire and reason, between impulse and resolution, etc., but that no department, whether that of reason or desire, or any other, can be 'divided against itself.' In fact, it follows from the 'law of contradiction' that nothing can do or suffer - ntraries at the same
time in reference to the same part of itself, etc. A similar argument was employed in I. xiii.' 15 , etc., to show the distinctness of the appetitive and rational parts of the soul. Also it should be remembered that $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu i a$ (as was explained above), like 'appetite,' implies a physical or bodily affection, such as thirst, hunger, etc., of which the statement in the text is clearly true.
5. For $\theta v \mu$ òs see Suppl. Note.
(2) nor
spirit (3) nor





 троаípєбıs т $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda о \varsigma, ~ o i ̂ o \nu ~ ن ́ \gamma \iota a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a, ~$









8 them. (b) We may wish for things which, though not in9 possible, are out of our own power. (c) Wish refers to ends, deliberate choice to means. Hence deliberate choice is no ii. It is not 10 sort of impulse singly. ii. Secondly, it is not judgment, or merely a judgment or opinion, either generally, expression of opinion, merely. (a) Judgment or opinion may be on all subjects, whether in our power or out of it. (b) The excellence, or the reverse, of judgment consists in its being true or false to fact; that of deliberate choice in its being or limited 1 I morally good or bad. It might however be thought to be
to the to the sphere of morals and practice.

1. ar ${ }^{2}$ aváias] ' exemption from death.' This, like vi. 6 (see note), is an allusion of too passing a kind to bear on the question of Aristotle's belief in a future state.
2. kaì $\phi a \mu \grave{\iota} \nu]$ 'we use the expression wish to be happy ;' an appeal to common language.
3. סóga here stands for an intellectual decision, the mere pronouncing of an opinion as to a
fact, apart from any impulse or desire for action. Though it would be hardly supposed that $\pi \rho o a i \rho \in \sigma \iota s$ could be identical with this generally ( $\$ 11$ ), yet it might be thought identical with such an expression of opinion on practical or moral subjects. This is the $\delta o ́ \xi a$ dis or particular application of opinion referred to in $\$ \$ 11$, etc.
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I 6 only maintain that they are not identical. We have then advance thus far. Deliberate choice is voluntary and some17 thing more. In fact, as the name indicates, it is 'a choice following upon deliberation.'

$$
\cdot \lambda .
$$

Chap. III. -The proper objects of Dëlibeikation ( $\beta$ our $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ ).
Proper 1,2 We now inquire what are the proper objects for deliberation, which is, as we have seen, the first stage in deliberate choice. (1) Negatively, we do not deliberate about (a) Things eternal 4 and immutable; (b) Things changeable, which change accord-
5. Thus the compound charaster of deliberate choice is established, choice implying an element of impulse, deliberation an element of intellect or judymint.

Chap. III. -Deliberate Choice having been shown in the last chapter to consist in choice after deliberation, we now inquire (1) what are the proper objects and limits of deliberation, and (2)
how its objects are related to, or distinguished from, those of the compound, deliberate choice?
12. $\delta \iota a \mu$ éт $\rho o v$ к.т.入.] We do not deliberate about the incommensurability of the side and diameter of a square, because we cannot alter it. The diameter $=$ the side $\times \sqrt{2}$, and as $\sqrt{2}$ can. not be exactly found, the iameter and side are incommensur. able.








 5 ing to a fixed law; (c) Things changeable, which change according to no discoverable law; (d) Things depending on 6 pure chance where there can be no law; (e) In short anything whatever which is not in our own power. (2) Positively, we 7 do deliberate (a) about things in our own power; and each
3. $\tau \rho \circ \pi \bar{\omega} \nu$ ] 'solstices.' The accent shows that it comes from $\tau \rho o \pi \grave{\eta}$, not т $\rho o ́ \pi \pi о$.
9. aïrıa үà $\rho$ סокойб८ к.т.入.] This must be considered as a popular. classification of causes familiar to his hearers (such current opinions being often introduced, as we have seen, by the verb סoкeip-see note on I. iii. 2), rather than one for which Aristotle would hold himself responsible.

With this proviso, we may suppose the classification to have originated from the observation that causes naturally distinguished themselves as either irrational or rational. The former were further divided into $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s$, $\tilde{a}^{\nu} \nu a^{\prime} y \kappa \eta$, $\tau \dot{\prime} \chi \eta$, perhaps on some such notion as the following-
i. Some phenomena, varying
within fixed limits, seem to imply the existence of law, yet fempared, as it were, by some power behind it ( $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s$ ), regulating and modifying its applications: eng. The relations between seed and crop ; the variations of hot, cold, wet or dry seasons, subject to the invariable distinction between the seasons themselves; the preservation of the species in the reproduction of animals, notwithstanding endless minor differences in the individuals. Such operations would probably be assigned to Nature ( $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota s$ ).
ii. Some events seem to recur under a law invariable and inviolable, as if it worked itself mechanically: e.g. The rising and setting of the sun, the surcession of summer and winter, day and night. Such phenomena









man about what is in his own power; (b) about the practical 8 arts and about some sciences, though not all (the amount of 9 deliberation being in inverse proportion to their precision), and,
would appear to be caused by Necessity (ảvá $\gamma \kappa \eta$ ).
iii. In other cases no law or reason or method can be traced by us in the sequence of events, eng. a 'windfall,' or a 'godsend,' as we term it, or the production of 'monsters.' Such occurrences would be referred to Chance ( $\tau \dot{\prime} \chi \eta$ ). Anaxagoras in fact defined $\tau u ́ \chi \eta$ to be and $\eta \lambda$ os alicia $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega$ soy $\sigma \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$. Compare Pope, 'All chance (is) direction which thou canst not see.'

It is scarcely necessary to point. out that such distinctions are unphilosophical, being liable to disturbance upon every addition to our physical knowledge. (For Aristotle's own view of фúvıs see Glossary p. live.)

The class of rational causes is subdivided into vov̂s and $\pi \hat{a} \nu \tau \dot{o}$ $\delta_{\iota}{ }^{\prime} \dot{d} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \tau=0$. The former apparently refers to Intelligence or Design as displayed in the phys-
cal world, which in a modern system would be described as Providence, or, still more personally, as God. $\pi a \hat{\nu}$ to $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu$ $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v ~ i n c l u d e s ~ a l l ~ r e s u l t s ~$ brought about by human agency. This last group alone falls within the sphere of Deliberation.
4. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ The word is used loosely for knowledge generally, including arts, for strictly speaking it would follow from what is said throughout the Chapter that Deliberation is only concerned with practical and not theoretical subjects, and therefore strictly speaking not with Sciences but Arts only (see Glossary, Art and Science). The instances given by Aristotle of such $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma$ $\tau \bar{\eta} \mu a \iota$ as we do deliberate about,
 $\tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$, are evidently in the strict sense not $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a \iota$ but $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu a \iota$.
àкрıßєîs каì aùtaркєîs] àкрь$\beta \grave{\eta}$ s means 'accurate' or 'pere-
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and so on as long as may be necessary, until we arrive at some
12 means in our own power. This last step in the deliberation is the first in the practical effort of securing the end desired. If some necessary means prove impossible to secure, the
13 deliberation ceases and the project is abandoned. If on the other hand the means prove feasible, then tog deliberation
 geometrical figure. We might take for an instance Eucl. i. 10. It is desired to draw a perpendicular to a given line from a given point in the line ( $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ $\tau \in \lambda o s \tau \iota$.
(1) Asking ourselves what conditions will secure this ( $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}$ кaì $\delta i \grave{~} \tau i \nu \omega \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a i)$, we observe that making the adjacent angles equal would do so.

(2) Next, how can we make the adjacent angles equal ( $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ סıà $\tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ढ̈ $\sigma \tau a \iota)$ ? By causing them to be parts of two triangles
either with two sides and the included angles equal (Prop. 4), or with all three sides equal (Prop. 8).
(3) Choosing the latter as preferable ( $\delta \grave{a} \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon o ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \phi a \iota \nu o-$ $\mu$ févov dià tivos $\mathfrak{a ̣ a ̃} \sigma \tau a$ к.т.入.), how can we secure a triangle with equal sides (ка̉кєìvo $\delta \grave{a}$ tívos)? We see this to be in our power by taking any points in $A B$, viz. $D$ and $E$, equidistant from $P$, erecting on DE an equilateral triangle DFE (by Prop. 1) and joining FP.

The problem being thus brought back to steps within our
 " $\rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ aítıov), our investigation
 тóv є́ $\sigma \tau \iota$ ), and we at once proceed with the construction of the Proposition as given by Euclid, and





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu^{\bullet} \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \beta о \nu \lambda \eta$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ait $\hat{\omega} \pi \rho a \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ai 5












14 ceases and action begins. Sometimes deliberation seeks to I 5 discover instruments, sometimes the way to employ them (in all cases implying, as we have maintained before, that a man is the originating cause of his actions about which he delibe16 rates), and it is concerned with means and not with ends; nor finally does it deal with questions of fact, which are matters of observation. Deliberation cannot of course be prolonged indefinitely, but must be terminated by decision or choice of 17-19 means. The object of Deliberation and of Deliberate Choice
4. ${ }^{\text {єогкє }} \delta \dot{\eta}$ ] The process of deliberation affords another proof that man is the originating cause of his actions.
 Aristotle is of course speaking of such actions only as form subjects of deliberation.
14. $\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho o v \tilde{v}^{\mu} \in \nu=\nu$ is of course middle and not passive; 'that which chooses.'
16. The kings determine upon action, the people carry it out without further deliberation. So when $\pi \rho \circ a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ or $\tau \grave{o} \pi \rho o a \iota \rho o u ́-$ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ which leads ( $\boldsymbol{r} \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{u} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ) distinguish
Deliberation Deliberation
and Delibes rate Choice.


 $\kappa \rho i ́ \nu a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ on $\rho \in \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ті̀ $\nu \beta o \imath ̂ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota \nu$.




are therefore the same, except that the latter is already resolved upon as the result of the deliberation. When the choice is made, the deliberation ceases.
20 Thus we define deliberate choice to be 'a choice following upon deliberation of something in our power.'

$$
\text { CHAP. IV.-The proper objects of Wish ( } \beta \text { oú } \lambda \eta \sigma t s) .
$$

The proper objects of Wish ( $\beta \circ u ̛ \lambda \eta \sigma เ \varsigma)$ have been thought to be either what is really good or whatever pro temp. appears good.

As we should not deliberate about means, unless we had first conceived of some end as desirable, we next inquire into the nature of /that faculty, viz. 'wish' or 'desire,' which sets
'in this little kingdom, man,' has made its decision, deliberation is over and action succeeds.

1. $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \tau o \hat{v}$ ob $\rho \epsilon к \tau o v ̄] ~ T h i s ~$ recalls the compound character of $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ described in ch. ii., $\beta$ au $\overline{\epsilon v \tau o u}$ indicating the intellectual, and ob $\rho \in \kappa$ кой the impulsive, element of the compound process.

Chap. IV. -In this Chapter we inquire into the nature of the faculty which sets up the end in the first instance as desirable, with a view to which end delibesration ( $\beta$ our $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ ) discusses the means, and deliberate choice ( $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ) decides upon them.

In other words we ask what are the proper objects of wish ( $\beta$ oi$\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s)$ ? Thus every deliberate act implies the three stages $\beta$ oi. $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s, \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$, and $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$. See Glossary, s.v. $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$.

This Chapter contains a criticism of the two extreme theories, (1) that the objects of wish are things really good, and so, ultmately, the Absolute or Chief Good (Plato) ; (2) that they are any things that appear at the time good (the Sophists), and also a solustion of the question by Aristotle upon an intermediate ground, since the former theory contradiets facts, and the latter, feelings.
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 ávaӨòv, тخ̀v $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda u ́ \pi \eta \nu \dot{\omega}$ s какò̀ $\phi \in u ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$.

5 desirable, and that in the midst of the aberrations and perversions of individual men, who simply follow pleasure and 6 avoid pain, the desires of the good man are an index to us of what is thus naturally and per se desirable.

## Chap. V.-A refutation of the theory that Virtue is voluntary, but Vice involuntary.

Virtue
and Vice
I are equally voluntary. is both voluntary and a matter of deliberate choice, conse-

1. $\tau a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \grave{s}$ à̇tề $\phi a i v \in \tau a \iota]$ Thus the real standard is an absolute and not a relative one.
 standard, but his known conformity to the standard enables us to use him as a substitute for it. Similarly it is not the barometer but the pressure of the atmosphere which regulates the weather; the barometer is only a convenient index of the phenomena which it does not itself influence. It is in this restricted sense, therefore, that the $\sigma \pi$ ovoaios is said in l. 4 to
 Compare, 'He that is spiritual judgeth all things.'

Chap. V.-A aristotle concludes
this part of his subject with a sort of supplementary Chapter. to refute a theory which, owing to Plato's advocacy, had obtained considerable prominence, viz. that Virtue is voluntary but Vice involuntary. The theory seems to have arisen thus:-In all cases of right or wrong action where a conscious struggle takes place, the two alternatives are aresented to us, present pleasure involving future pain and regret, or present pain (of self-denial) with subsequent pleasure and satisfaction. Thus it becomes a matter for calculation, Is the present pleasure so great as to counterbalance the future pain? Is it so great as to make it worth

 2




quently the practice of Virtue involving (as we have seen) a 2 deliberate choice of means must be voluntary, and so likewise we maintain must Vice be voluntary. This latter point being
while to risk the consequences? If a man. decides that it is, and does wrong accordingly, he has simply (it is argued) made a mestake in his calculation, he has committed an error of judgment merely, and all wrongdoing, since it arises out of such a misstake, is therefore involuntary. No one ever deliberately chooses anything but what at the time appears to him the better choice
 kat $\rho o ́ \nu$ '̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ as we read in i. 6), and what is more, he cannot help its so appearing to him (see § 17 of this Chapter) any more than he can help an object's appearing red or green to him. Thus when a man chooses the right he chooses knowingly and voluntarily for the best: when he chooses the wrong he chooses it still under a mistaken impression that he is choosing for the best : he acts under an illusion and therefore involuntarily.

There seem to be four main arguments in the Chapter :-
(1) $\S \S 2$-4. An argumentum ad
hominem against the position of those half-necessitarians who maintain that though Vice is involuntary, Virtue is voluntary.
(2) $\S \S 5-16$. Against the more logical and thorough-going necessitarians who argue that all our actions, virtuous as well as vicionus, are merely the necessary result of causes and circum. stances external to ourselves.
(3) $\S \S 17,18$. Against the principal argument by which the half-necessitarians supported their position.
(4) $\S \S 19,20$. Against a modiffed form of the same argusment.

1. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тaûta in l. I obviously refers to 'means' ( $\tau \grave{a} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́-~$ os). re pi tav̂ta in l. 3 must have the same reference, and the argument is, that as the exercise of Virtue involves the choice of means, it must be voluntary. This, however, is generally admitted, and the purpose of the Chapter is rather to show that the same inference applies to Vice.




 4 Єỉval. To $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ © $\varsigma$




i. 'That Virtue is voluntary and Vice involuntary' refuted. (§§ 2-4). 3, 4
disputed, we prove it as follows :-i. If it is in our own power to act, it must also be in our own power not to act (else our action was not really in our power but was compulsory), and vice versa. Now if acting (or not acting) in any case be 3,4 right, the reverse would be wrong. Consequently if to do right is in our power, so also is to do wrong: in a word, if general postlion 'That our actions originate in causes beyod our control ${ }^{\circ}$ refuted (§§5-16)

5 Virtue is voluntary, so is Vice. ii. If, in order to escape this conclusion, it be denied outright that man is himself the
4. $\bar{\eta} \nu=$ 'this was admitted to constitute our being good or bad.' ad $\gamma \mathrm{a} \theta$ iss каì kakoís is in attraction with '́ $\phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i \bar{\nu}$. See another instance of ${ }^{\boldsymbol{j}} \nu$ thus used in viii. 14.
9. тoîs $\gamma \in \nu \hat{v} \nu$ є ip $\rho \mu \epsilon$ ย́voıs refers to the previous conclusions about the voluntary nature of $\beta$ out $\lambda \epsilon v$ $\sigma \iota s$ and $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, with the assertion of which this Chapter opens.

Aristotle now turns to the more thorough-going and more logical position that all our actions, good and bad alike, are the necessary result of our conditron and circumstances; in other
words, that we are not free and responsible agents at all. His first argument against it consists in what is called 'shifting the burden of proof.' It is not for those who accept, but for those who deny, what is prima facie true, to bring arguments in support of their position. The prime facie truth in this case is that man himself originates his own acts, and until some other origin for them can be proved, we have a right, without further argument, to maintain that he does so originate them. Hence $\phi a i \nu \epsilon \tau a l$ is emphatic.
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 $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$. ' $A \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \tau о \iota о и ́ \tau o v s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a v ̀ \tau o i ̀ ~ a i ̆ \tau \iota o \iota ~ \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~$


 iI $\sigma \iota \nu$. Toûto $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ ढ́к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \omega \prime \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \nu o \hat{v} \nu$




 ing ignorance as rendering Vice involuntary and therefore excusable, that they even punish for ignorance itself, when-. ever it is such as could have been avoided. e.g. (a) Double penalties for offences committed in drunkenness. (b) Punishments for not knowing an offence to be forbidden by the law.

$$
9,10
$$ (c) Or for any other sort of careless ignorance. (d) Or even for ignorance through incapacity if the incapacity be the result of previous Vice; for single acts repeated form perma-

[1, 12 nent habits. Every one who is not a downright idiot must know 13 this much from daily experience, and it cannot avail to say; 14 that he did not wish it to be so in his case : nor does it follow.

1. tois $\mu \epsilon \theta_{\text {viovot к.т. } \lambda .] \text { a law }}$ of Pittacus of Mytilene.
2. Compare the maxim 'Ig. noratio juris nocet, ignoratio
facti non nocet.'
3. ai $\gamma$ à $\rho \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\text { к.т. }}$.].] 'Actions of any kind make us similar in character.' See II. ii. 8.










 $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau о$, ais каі є́ $\pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu^{\circ}$. тоîs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu$






that he can arrest the formation of the habit at any step after the first. The first steps of moral, as often of physical, disease are voluntary, and though its progress soon passes out of our power, yet as we are responsible for its beginning, we are also 15 answerable for all that it afterwards becomes. (e) The same remarks apply to bodily defects, which we pity if of natural or accidental growth, but visit with reproach if traceable to 16 neglect, excess, or any other avoidable causes, and we may reasonably suppose that defects of body and of soul are blamed on the same principle, viz. when men believe them to be
4. out $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́ád $\gamma \in \kappa$ к.т.入.] 'It does not however follow that if,' etc.
5. $\pi \rho о є \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \underset{\sim}{\omega}]$ 'When he has
thrown away his health ' (Grant). $\pi \rho o \iota \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta a$ is the word used for squandering money in IV. i., etc.









iii. The argu-I 7 ment that we are not responsible for the impressions which external objects make upon us, nor therefore for acting accordingly; ${ }^{\prime}$ is refuted
(1) by denying its truth;
(2) by showing that it proves too much, as it applies to Virtue as well as Vice.
voluntary. iii. It is sometimes argued, ' We all desire what appears to us good, and we are not responsible for the appearance presented, or impression made ùpon us, by external objects.' To this we reply (1) that if we are responsible for our general condition, we must be so in some sense for the impression which things make upon us, for this depends upon our condition to a great extent; (2) if we are not so responsible, then all that our opponents say is true: we are not
6. $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \epsilon t \iota \kappa$ к.т.入.] The argument now returns to the first class of opponents who maintain that Virtue is voluntary and Vice involuntary, and it attacks the favourite argument. on which they mainly relied. This was explained in the note at the commencement of this Chapter.
7. фavtaбia here has its original signification of 'appearance,' and is little more than a repetition of $\phi a \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon \mathcal{L}_{\nu} v$ just before.
8. Observe the alternatives $\epsilon i$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu o u ̛ \nu \quad . . \epsilon \epsilon i \notin \grave{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta}$. The consequences following on the latter supposition are enumerated as far as the end of $\$ 17$, and the results of those consequences as
bearing on the argument in hand are introduced by $\epsilon i$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ rav̂ ${ }^{\prime}$ є́ซтì à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ in $\S 18$.
9. $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi$ ıs has the simple meaning of 'state' or 'condition.' The impression which things make on us, morally as well as physically, depends very much on our condition, and for this we are in some degree ( $\pi \omega s$ ) responsible. Compare Butler : 'When we say that men are misled by external circumstances of temptation, it cannot but be understood that there is somewhat within them. selves to render those circum. stances temptations, or to render them susceptible of impression from them' (Anal. p. 78, ed. Angus).
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voluntary in the choice of means even if we are not responsible for the end, is shown to apply equally to Vice.

Recapitulation.
that while the end (or the appearance of things to us as desirable) is fixed for us by natural causes, whether it be good or bad, yet that there is scope for the voluntariness of Virtue in the right choice of the means. To this we reply at once that 20 the wrong choice of means, which would constitute Vice, is equally voluntary. Our position is now proved, that if Virtue is voluntary so also is Vice voluntary.
21 The point we have now reached is this :-We have asserted Virtues to be mean states; we have shown how they are formed, and that they are in our own power and voluntary, 22 and under the guidance of reason. The states or habits it is
vulgarism 'along of himself.' Cf. vi. 11. $\pi a \rho \grave{a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ a \nu$ 'depending on their experience.'

1. $\phi \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ ' fixed by nature.' $\tau \grave{a}$ خoumà 'all the rest,' i.e. the means to the end.
2. ovvaítiol] ' partly responsible for.' Notice that Aristotle admits that our habits are to some extent the result of causes over which we have no control.
3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi o \iota o i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s \in i ̄ v a \iota ~ к . \tau . \lambda]$. 'The condition in which we are regulates the character of the end which we set before us.'
 'they are on the same footing.'
4. $\left.\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \in \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu 0 \nu \tau a \iota\right]$ This refers to such passages as II. i. 6, II. ii. 8, etc.
 tàs] Explained by II. ii. 8.










 true are not voluntary in the same sense as the single acts which form them. As however their beginnings, though not the subsequent stages of their growth, are in our own power, the habits themselves are really in our own power.

Chap. VI.-The proper sphere and objects of Courage.
I Proceeding now to the consideration of the Virtues in 2 detail, we commence with Courage. This we have already described as a mean state in regard to Fear and Confidence. Fear may be defined as the anticipation of Evil of any kind,

Chap. VI. -We now return to a discussion of the Virtues in detail as given in the (presumed) exhaustive Catalogue of II. vii., in order to show how the law of the relative mean is applicable to every Virtue in detail, and so to justify its prominent positron in our Definition of Virtue as a whole.

The discussion of Courage occupies four Chapters, of which the subjects are as follows:-
vi. The proper sphere and objects of Courage.
vii. Courage considered as a ${ }^{\text {oboe, }}$ mean state, and in reference to its motive, together with the related Excess and Defect.
viii. The distinction between genuine and spurious Courage, of which latter five types are described.
ix. Courage, though involving pain and loss, is no exception to the rule that all Virtue has pleasure in itself.
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$6 \mu a \sigma \tau \iota \gamma o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$, ar $\bar{\delta} \rho \epsilon i ̂ o s . ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi o i ̂ a ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ т \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \phi o ß \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$


3 but we speak of Courage in reference to some only of the 4 objects of fear. eeg. We do not call a man courageous for 5 having no fear of disgrace, poverty, sickness, insults to himself or his friends, envy, or even bodily chastisement. 6 Though the term Courage may sometimes be applied to these cases, yet, strictly speaking, it has reference only to the
5. '̇̇াєடкク̀s] 'a man of proper feeling.'
16. $\mu a \sigma \tau \iota y o v \sigma \theta a \iota]$ This is in allusion to the Spartan custom of whipping their youths to make them fearless of pain, and so brave soldiers.
17. After iv $\pi о \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$

18. $\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ] This passage is
sometimes quoted as a proof that Aristotle had no belief in any sort of life after death. He seems however here to be speaking popularly in reference to the circumstances and prospects of ordinary life, and therefore the passage cannot fairly be pressed into the above controversy.
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Courage in its objects, degrees, and luccasions is regulated by Reason and stimulated by desire for 3,4 the ideally noble ( $\boldsymbol{\text { or }}$ ка入óv). (§§ 1-6.)

I The objects of terror and its degree differ with different individuals, though some things there are which no human being 2 in his right senses could regard without terror. Within these limits of human endurance the truly brave man is unshaken; 4 his confidence as well as his fears, in respect of their objects, degrees, and occasions (in all of which points error is possible), being regulated by Reason, and his motive being always (as in 5 all the other virtues) the ideally noble. Such are the charac-
to be a sort of a fortiori comparison of cal ad $\nu \delta$ рí̧ovtaı with the more passive condition add $\bar{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{s} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ in the last section. Observe also that some force is due to the connexion both in etymology and thought between $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i \zeta o \mu a \iota$ and au $\delta \rho \in i ́ a$.
 ' where there is opportunity for the display of prowess :' or (as
in the analysis) 'some defence or security for others,' which is the case in war when a man dies to defend his country: in fact $=\beta o \dot{\eta} \theta \in \iota a$, as elsewhere in Anistole.
5. $\dot{\omega} s \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s]$ ie. within human limits, as far as a man can be. Cf. $\mu$ akapious $\delta \grave{e}$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega_{-}$ mows in I. x. 16, and the note on $\omega s a^{\wedge} \lambda a \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu$ in IV. vii. 11.









6 teristics of Courage. And not only the formed habit, but also each individual act of Courage, will be guided by this one motive, the attainment of the ideally noble.

Nowboth confidence and fear admit of excess. Excess of fear- The Excess lessness (if we may so speak) i.e. a total absence of fear under
2. The following points should be noticed in explaining this difficult section. (1) There is a marked opposition between $\epsilon \in \epsilon ́ \rho$ $\gamma \in \iota a$ (act) and $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \iota s$ (habit) on which the argument turns. Compare IV. ii. 6 for a similar antithesis and somewhat similar
 $\tau 0 \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ is a parenthetical argument (or prosyllogism) supporting one of the premisses of the main syllogism. The main argument is:-
(The end of the formed habit ( $\tau \grave{o}$ кãà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \xi \iota \nu \quad(\tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s)$ ) is also the end of each indivi-
 rò ka入ò ${ }^{2}$ is the end of the formed habit of Courage (тoוoûtoע ס̀̀̀ kaì тò té入os). Therefore it is the end of

* each act of Courage ( $\kappa a \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$


The parenthesis supports the Cowardice. minor premiss thus :-
(That which characterizes anything is its end ( $\delta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota$
 characterizes the habit of Courage ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \omega \dot{\nu} \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \nu$ $\delta \rho \epsilon i a$ кад̀̀ $\nu)$. Therefore $\tau \grave{o}$ $\kappa a \lambda o \partial \nu$ is the end of the habit of Courage ( $\boldsymbol{\tau o l o u ̂ t o \nu ~} \delta \dot{\eta}$ tò $\tau \in ́ \lambda o s)$.
6. The complication of the extremes here is rather confusing. In theory four (viz. Excess of Confidence, Defect of Confidence, Excess of Timidity, Defect of Timidity), in fact they reduce to two. For Excess of Confidence and Defect of Timidity are the same, and constitute Rashness; while Defect of Confidence and Excess of Timidity are also identical, and constitute Cowardice. See further, note on II. vii. 2.















all circumstances, exists rather in idea than in fact. Excess of 8 confidence gives rise to the extreme of Rashness. The Rash man has also a tendency to swagger, and he makes an ostentadion of Courage. To secure the reputation of Courage, for which he is anxious, he imitates its external signs as far as he can. 9 In real danger however such characters are often found wantIo ing. Excess of timidity (which implies defect of confidence) gives rise to the other extreme of Cowardice, which is maniII fasted by oversensitiveness to pain and by despondency. 12 Thus Rashness, Cowardice, and Courage relate to the same objects and circumstances; but Rashness and Cowardice manifest excess and defect, while Courage is a mean state, respecting them. We might add that before the danger comes.
4. ékeivos, ie. ả̀ $\delta \rho \in i ̂ o s . ~ o v ̃ t o s, ~$ ie. $\delta \theta \rho a \sigma u ́ s$.
6. Opacv́סєi $\lambda o l]$ Falstaff would be a familiar example. See especially Henry IV., Part I. Act ii. Sc. 4; Act v. Sc. 4, etc.

9. 'The coward is also deviclient in confidence, but his charactor is more usually displayed by an excessive sensibility to pain.' Cf. x. 1 (fin.), xi. 5.
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 $\mu \eta^{\prime} \delta \eta \nu$ каі̀ тò̀" ${ }^{\text {Ектора. }}$




faces death not because it is noble, but because he regards death as a less evil than that from which he seeks to escape.

Chap. VIII.-Spracinuivs forms of Courage described.
Five spuri- I In contrast with genuine Courage now described, there ous forms or Courage arise severally from i. Fear of society. This may be due to either moral compulsion, are five spurious forms which must be distinguished from it. 1. The courage of compulsion, which may perhaps be called 2 'Social' courage, because it arises from fear of society. Its nobler type is that which is due to fear of loss of character, or of the good opinion of those among whom we live, or even 3 to the influence of the rewards and punishments by which
'forbids a man to desert his post without the order of his commander, who is God.'
(Several other quotations from ancient moralists will be found in Lecky, Hist. Eur. Morals, i. p. 223, etc.)
3. ímopévєє] Understand $\theta a ́ v$ arov from the general sense of the context. See vi. 12.
15. The whole line runs, $I l$. viii. 149 :

Thus the Courage of Dio-









5 Kaì oi $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \kappa a ̂ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu a \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \tau u ́ \pi \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ 10 ~$




3 civil society encourages Bravery, and thus, the motive being noble, in some cases this type approximates very nearly to 4 the genuine virtue. A baser form may be seen in the courage or physical 5 of troops who are driven to battle with the lash, or drawn up 5 in positions where retreat is impossible. 2. The courage of ii. Expert-
mede is represented as due to the fear that Hector would friumph over him, if defeated. Conversely to the case in the text it has been said, 'Perfect Courage is doing without witnesses all that one could do if the world were spectators' (La Rochefoucauld, Max. 216).

1. Aristotle here touches upon a question of the greatest interest in moral science, How far does a system of rewards and punishments destroy the character of Virtue by reducing it to a calculation of self-interest? It depends greatly on the character of the rewards and punishments
themselves. If they consist in physical pleasure or pain, no true virtue can be developed by them. If however they be themselves moral (e.g. testimony of a good conscience, dread of shame or self-reproach, etc.), the stimulus to action which they afford is but a form of the love of Virtue and hatred of Vice in themselves. The case described in $\S 3$ would illustrate the latter case, that in $\S \S 4$ and 5 the former.
2. tíntiovtcs] egg. as Herodotugs (vii. 223) says was the case with the Persian soldiers at the invasion of Greece.







experience, which Socrates thought the truest type of Courage. Experience enables soldiers, for example, so to estimate the real danger that they are not alarmed by circumstances that 7, 8 would terrify the inexperienced. Thus experience as it were
3. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ a$ $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\epsilon}$ є̃кабта] 'Experience in any special subjects.' This is further explained
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ doıs in 1. 3. Aristotle shows that experience cannot constitute courage-for if the danger be unreal, experience, which tells us that it is so, takes away the sphere for the exercise of courage ( $£ \S 7,8$ ) ; while if the danger be real, experience, which reveals this, tends to make cowards of those who know it (§ 9).
4. Socrates defines Courage (in Plat. Rep. p. 429) as 'the power of preserving in danger the right opinion as to what is to be feared and what is not.' Or again in the Protagoras, 'Courage is the knowledge of what is terrible and what is not,' $\dot{\eta}$ ooфía $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ каì $\mu \bar{\eta}$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a$ є́бтiv.
5. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \kappa \epsilon \nu a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v]$ i.e. many dangers in war are unreal, e.g. the ferocious aspect and
savage cries of barbarians, which are most alarming to the inexperienced, but which make no impression on the veteran. It was said that at the commencement of the war between France and Prussia, there were served out to the young German troops pictures of the Turcos and their mode of fighting, in order to give them that $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a$ which would render them proof against such terrors. Another reading is kalvà, i.e. there are many 'surprises' in war; but this evidently spoils the sense.
 This would be further illustrated by the example introduced in vi. 11. The indifference of sailors in an ordinary gale is regarded by a landsman as courage (ötı
 whereas in truth their $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho_{i}^{\prime}$ reveals that there is no danger, and therefore no occasion for courage.
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 $\sigma \theta \epsilon \operatorname{vos} \stackrel{y}{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon \theta v \mu \hat{\varphi}$
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 $12 \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \delta i \grave{a} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu i ́ a \nu$ to $\mu \mu \rho \grave{a}$ mo $\pi \lambda \grave{a} \quad \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$. Out $\delta \eta \eta^{\prime}$


${ }_{\text {iii sh }}$ 10, II Courage. 3. The courage of high spirit. -It is true that the Spirit. courageous are high-spirited, and that the outward signs of courage and high spirit are similar, and also that high spirit 12 is a stimulus to courage. But they are not identical, else
 connected with $\epsilon^{\top} \mu($ (ibo) through the verbal ir ceo.
24. фvбık $\omega \tau$ át 7 ] 'more purely physical than other sorts of Courage.'
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 є̀ $\nu$ тоîs ai фvıסioıs фóßoıs ä́фоßov каi áтápaरov єîvaı


some of the lower animals, or men of violent passions, would afford the highest examples of courage. High spirit appears to be the natural substratum of courage, and requires only deliberate choice and a right motive to transform it from mere
${ }^{1} 3$ pugnacity to true courage. 4. The courage of a sanguine disposition. -This results from a confident belief in success;
 in other words, from a belief that there is no serious danger 14 to fear. A drunken man exhibits this sort of courage. It ${ }^{1} 5$ fails when danger appears contrary to expectation. Hence
11. тoloûtov $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ आoloûбı к.т.入.] This would be an instance of what is sometimes called ' Dutch courage.' Falstaff's en. comium on 'Sherris' as the source of Courage in Henry IV.

Part II. Act iv. Sc. 3, may be quoted, under the influence of which ' the heart great and puffed up . . . doth any deed of corage; and this valour comes of sherrie.'








1 IX. Пєрi $\theta^{\prime} a \rho \rho \eta$ db каì фóßovs $\dot{\eta}$ ar $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} a$ ova $\sigma a$ ova




v. Igno-
v. Ign
rance. 16, 17 form, which results from ignorance of the existence of danger, is not unlike the last mentioned, but is inferior to it as not
implying any self-reliance. Such courage vanishes at once if is not unlike the last mentioned, but is inferior to it as not
implying any self-reliance. Such courage vanishes at once if the ignorance on which it depends is dispelled.

Chap. IX. -How can the exercise of Courage, which involves pain and loss, have a 'pleasure in itself'?
Courage I Thus Courage is a due regulation of confidence and fear, relates to objects of which are foreseen. 5. The courage of ignorance. -This but more especially of the latter, because Courage implies
sudden dangers are a better test of real courage than those
4. $\dot{a} \xi ' \omega \mu a]$ 'self-reliance,' literally 'estimate of themselves.' The sanguine rely so strongly upon the estimate which they have formed of their own prowass or good fortune, that they can face danger in the strength which it gives them.
6. ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oi' $\mathrm{A} \rho \gamma \epsilon i o \iota ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ T h i s$.
incident is described by Kenophon (Hell. iv. 10). The Argive attacked with contemptuous boldness certain Spartans whom they mistook for Sicyonians owing to the Spartans having assumed some Sicyonian armour. The Argives fled at once on the discovers of their mistake.
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nary man), deliberately preferring to it the glory of death in 5 battle. In such cases then pleasure is possible only so far as the attainment of the end and ideal of his being is felt and 6 realized. Nor need we deny that a more reckless, though less brave, man might perhaps make a better rank-and-file soldier.

Jeremy Taylor says 'A great man is naturally a coward, as indeed most men are, knowing the value of life; but the power of reason enables him when required to conduct himself with uniform courage and hardihood.' This passage like that in the text would go far to excluding mere animal spirit ( $\theta v \mu o ̀ s ~ c h . ~ v i i i) ~.(~) ~$ from the highest form of courage. The recent successes of the civilian soldiers of Germany over the professional soldiers of France, with the further supposed advantage of natural elan on the part of the latter, would support Aristotle in assigning more importance than is popularly allowed to the rational or calculating element in true Courage.
4. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu]$ i.e. because the sacrifice is greater and mor edifficult. See note on §2. Grant quotes Wordsworth, whose 'Happy Warrior' is

[^2] to lose.'
 understand this we must recall what was said in I. i. about the 'final end' of all human efforts and aspirations, and its identification with 'Happiness.' So far as the brave man secures for himself a result so eally noble (compare vii. 6), heid attains to something of the final end' ( $\tau 0 \bar{v}$
 existence, and therefore to Happiness; but only so far, because the accompanying circumstances of his actions are otherwise painful. He has what a modern religious writer would call 'a foretaste of heaven,' in this supreme act of self-sacrifice. We may even compare the language of the Apostle of a yet higher Ideal 'who for the joy set before Him endured the cross, despising the shame.'
7. Observe the emphatic position of $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau a s$, 'As mere rank-and-file soldiers the most

 oùтои $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \grave{s ~ к \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o v s, ~ к а i ̀ ~ т o ̀ ̀ ~ \beta i ́ o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \iota к \rho \ddot{a}}$ $\kappa \epsilon ́ \rho \delta \eta ~ к а \tau а \lambda \lambda a ́ т \tau о \nu \tau a \iota$.



Chap. X. -The proper objects of the Virtue of Temperance.
1 The other Virtue of our lower and irrational nature is Temperance Temperance. Theoretically, it is a mean state in reference
truly brave may not be so good as those who have little or nothing to lose by death.' Mere recklessness of life is not courage. The savage Turcos may be the most serviceable soldiers in a bloody war, or for certain operatins of war, but no one would say that they were therefore the bravest men.

Chap. X. -The discussion of the Virtue of Temperance, with its related vices, occupies three Chapters (x-xii).

In ch. $x$. the proper objects of Temperance are determined by a method precisely similar to that employed in the case of Courage in ch. vi. It is first broadly stated that Temperance deals with Pleasures, and then by successive limitations we arrive at the precise class of Pleasures to which it properly refers.

In ch. xi. the excess and de-
fact are described and contrasted sures. with the mean state.

In ch. xii. the comparative voluntariness of Cowardice and Intemperance is discussed; and some supplementary remarks added concerning the nature of Intemperance as illustrated by its etymology.
7. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ is usually, though inadequately, translated by 'temperance.' 'Self-control' or 'self-mastery' would perhaps be nearer to it. The derivation of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$, or $\sigma a o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$, from $\sigma \hat{\omega} s$ ( $\sigma$ áos) and $\phi \rho \bar{\eta} \nu$, shows that the original idea of the word was that of a man who never 'loses his head,' but keeps his mind clear and calm, however assaulted by pleasure or passion. Conversely the äко́へaбтos is one subject to no restraint: кó入aбıs (see note on v. 7) being the technical word for chastisement, or punishment for the purpose of



 $\pi o i ́ a s ~ o u ̉ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \omega \nu, \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{a} \phi o \rho i ́ \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu . \quad \Delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \sigma a \nu \quad 5$ סє ai $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa a i ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ a i ~ \sigma \omega \mu а т ь к а i, ~ o i ̂ o \nu ~ \phi ı \lambda о т \iota \mu i ́ a, ~ \phi ı \lambda о-~$










to pleasures and pains (as we have already said); but, practi2 cally, its operation is limited to pleasures. Next, we limit it

Nothowever of mental pleasures.

Nor all bodily pleasures. further to certain kinds of pleasures. First, pleasures being either mental or bodily, we exclude the whole of the former 3 from the sphere of Temperance, as well as certain others, such as love of gossip, idling, love of money or friends, .which, though not exactly mental, are not at any rate bodily pleasures. 4 Secondly, among bodily pleasures, it is not concerned with
reformation. The derivation of the word $\boldsymbol{a}$ колабia is discussed by Aristotle in xii. 5 , etc.

1. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \quad \mu \in \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ] This (as was remarked in II. vii.) is almost the only hint as to the principle of arrangement in the Catalogue of Virtues.
2. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau r o \nu]$ This was noticed by

Aristotle in passing in II. vii. 3. où $\boldsymbol{o} \mu o i \omega s$ will be found explained in the next Chapter, $\$ 5$. Intemperance is shown not so much in avoiding pain, as in feeling pain at the loss of pleasure, or in excessive sensibility to pain.
7. éкáтєроs yà $\rho]$ i.e. both $\dot{n}$ $\phi i \lambda o ́ \tau \iota \mu o s$ and ó $\phi i \lambda o \mu a \theta \dot{\eta} s$.

## Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

















 or Taste 9, io prey; ( $\delta$ ) nor pleasures of taste, except to a slight extent,
(except slightly), but only those of Touch, and the lower types even of these. viz. so far as by prolonged or artificially-stimulated contact of the food with the throat the sense of Touch is excited. ( $\epsilon$ )
II The sense of touch alone remains. We have thus limited Temperance to the regulation, and Intemperance to the indulgence, of the pleasures of the sense of Touch, and we must 12 further and finally limit it to the commonest and most ignoble
6. Aristotle regards the primary function of taste (as of the other senses) to be the discrimination of objects; the transmission of information to the mind concerning things external to it, rather than the communication of pleasure :-in a word, he looks at their powers of perception rather than their powers of sensation. Hence to judge of wine (as a trader), or of seasoned
dishes (as a cook), would be the most proper function of the sense of taste as such; but it is not in such an exercise of it that pleasure is conveyed. That depends, according to Aristotle, upon the prolonged contact of the thing tasted with the throat; ie. upon a particular application of the sense of Touch. It is of course true (though not quite in the sense intended by Aristotle) that

```
CHAP. xi.] ARISTOTLE'S ETHICS. 165
```




 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \iota \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$.






kinds of pleasures even of this, which is itself the lowest and most animal of all our senses.

Chap. XI. -The excess and defect related to the Virtue of Temperance.
1 The pleasures spoken of in the last chapter admit of a These pleafurther division into those which are common and natural, further are such as the desire of food generally; and those which are divided into peculiar and acquired, such as the desire of some particular Acquired. 2 kind of food; the latter depending (within certain broad
the sense of Taste depends upon Touch, but such is also the case with all the other senses.

1. ${ }^{\wedge} \lambda \epsilon v \theta_{\epsilon \rho \iota} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \tau a \iota$ ] 'the noblest pleasures,' a converse metaphor to $\mathfrak{a} \nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ in $\S 3$ and elsewhere.

Chap. XI.-This Chapter treats of (1) the Excess of Intemperance (a) in reference to natural and artificial Desires ( $8 \mathbb{S}$ 1-4), $(\beta)$ in reference to Pleasure and Pain generally $(\$ \$ 5,6)$; (2) The Defect of Insensitiveness
(§ 7); and (3) adds a few words on the Mean of Temperance in contrast with both.
6. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \iota \omega \bar{\nu}]$ Plato (Rep. p. 558) makes a similar distinction of $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu i a \iota$, and adds that the gratification of the natural or necessary desires is always beneficial, that of the artificial desires not generally so.
7. '̇ $\pi$ i $\theta \epsilon \tau о \iota]$ 'acquired' or ' artificial'
8. $\xi \eta \rho a ̂ s ~ \hat{\eta}$ iv $\hat{\gamma}$ âs roo ф $\bar{\eta} s]$ 'either solid or liquid food.'






 $4 \stackrel{a}{\nu} \delta \rho a \pi \sigma \delta \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s . \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\delta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau a ̀ s ~ i \delta i ́ a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀$



$\underset{\substack{\text { Errors in the } \\ \text { former are }}}{ } 3$ natural limits) upon individual taste. Now in natural desires
former are always in the direction of excess : in the latter, common and various in character. error is rare, and must always take the form of supplying in excess what is in itself a natural want; and this, when it is 4 found, indicates a degraded and almost brutish nature. In the case of acquired desires, error is very common and multiform, extending to the object, manner, degree, etc., of the
2. Є゙vıa $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'Some things there are which give every one more pleasure than things ordinary and indifferent.' ie. However much individual tastes differ, there are still some things naturally more pleasant than others to every one.
3. ob $\lambda$ íyou к.т.入.] egg. Exesside eating is not likely to occur in regard to bread, or any simple food which is desired merely to supply a natural appetite, and not for any special pleasure to be derived from eating it, but rather in regard to some patticular viand or favourite
' Gluttony on oatmeal porridge ' out $\pi a ́ v v$ yivetal.
9. ar $\nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \iota s$ ] 'degraded,' a metaphor converse to $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \theta^{\prime}-$ pos, both words having passed from a social to a moral signification. See last Ch. § 12, note.
11. $\hat{\eta}$ es oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i]$ In some editions $\dot{\omega} s$ is omitted, and if so, $\hat{\eta}=$ 'than,' after the comparative $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$. If $\omega s$ be retained, the sense may be explained by what is said in I. v. 3 about the excelssine and exclusive devotion of oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̀$ to Pleasure. See also the concluding words of this section.
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bility to pleasure, is only imaginary, for not only all men, but even all animals, must have some tastes and preferences.

Tree mean 8 stands in contrast with both. The Temperate man, as we have seen, holds a mean position between excessive devotion and utter insensibility to pleasures. He enjoys them in moderation, and with due regard to the various considerations as to objects, degree, occasions, and consequences which right reason suggests.
be rather a physical than a moral defect. The practical non-existence of $\dot{a} \nu a \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma i a$ and of $\dot{a} \phi \circ \beta i a$ (see vii. 7) is a comment on the statement of $x .1$, that the vartues of Courage and Temperance relate to feelings which are purely animal and instinctive (belonging to ar $\lambda o \gamma_{o \nu} \mu$ é pos). In the case of all the other virtues of the catalogue (except perhaps to some extent $\dot{\rho} \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$-see the sims-
lar difficulty about atop $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} a_{a}$ in IV. v. 5), it is perhaps conceivable that a man might be without the feelings, or be altogether removed from the circumstances, in which the sphere of the exercise of the virtues lies. In sensibility to fear and to pleasure a man could hardly be wanting without ceasing to be human.
13. out $\tau \omega s]$ ie. $\hat{\eta}$ tapà $\tau o ̀ ~ к a \lambda \grave{n}>$ $\hat{\eta}$ vi nt $\rho \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ov̉бíav.









Chap. XII.-(a) Is the external compulsion stronger in Cowardice or in Intemperance?
( $\beta$ ) The nature of $\dot{\alpha} к о \lambda a \sigma i a ~ i s ~ i l l u s t r a t e d ~ b y ~ i t s ~$ etymology.
I The question may be asked, Which is more voluntary (and Intempertherefore more blameable), Intemperance or Cowardice? We voluntary reply, Intemperance :-(1) because the pressure arises from
 cause it is both easy and safe to practise resistance against single acts, temptations to Intemperance; while the reverse is the case taps the re-
3 with temptations to Cowardice. A distinction however must be
verse is the
regard the settled ha-

Chap. XII. -We have seen in ch. $v$. that no vice is really involuntary, still the degree of external pressure, though it never amounts to compulsion, varies in different cases. It is naturally greatest in regard to these two Virtues which relate to those feelings of our animal nature (x. 1) which are ever present, and must be excited under given external circumstanaces whenever they arise. The object of this Chapter is to determing in which of these two cases there is more external
pressure, and, so far, less of bits. voluntary action.
6. cai $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ] 'and what is more,' introducing, as usual, a fresh argument.
aủzà from the context, though it has no grammatical antecedont, evidently refers to pleasurable objects, or temptations to Intemperance.
8. $\delta o ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ ar $\nu$ к.т.入.] egg. A man may resolve that he will give up his property, and offer himself as a prisoner, or indeed do anything, rather than face the enemy in fight. That would











drawn according as we regard the single acts, or general habits. In the case of acts of Cowardice the violence of the present pain (of which there is none in Intemperance) is often such that a man hardly knows what he is doing. But looking at these Vices as habits, a man never de4 liberately resolves to be habitually intemperate, as he does sometimes to be an habitual coward. Thus in Cowardice the general habit is more voluntary than the single acts,

The etymology of the Greek word а́колабі́а throws light upon the nature of the vice.

5 but in Intemperance the reverse is the case.
The Greek term for Intemperance (áкод asia), or, as we might translate it, Wantonness, involves the idea of absence of restraint, and it is also familiarly applied to the errors of childhood. Without deciding which is the primary meaning of the term, we may assert that its application is in both cases appropriate. 6 No things need restraint more than desires of pleasure, and
imply a deliberate and voluntary habit of cowardice. Another may resolve to fight to the last, but when he sees actual bloodshed be overpowered with horror and throw down his arms. That would be the half-involuntary cowardice of particular acts. (See Supplementary Notes.)

1. aủ $\grave{\eta}$ ] ie. $\delta \in i \lambda(a \quad$ 'Cowardice
in itself.' $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$, ie. $\tau \grave{a} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ є́кабтоע ' the surroundings.'
 gov] not 'the latter from the former,' but 'the later in concepton from the earlier.'
2. Two conditions are noted as requiring kó入acıs, viz. tendency to what is vicious, and capacity for rapid growth. Both
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 $\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma \tilde{\nu} \nu \eta$.
of self-control is formed, reason and desire are in harmony, 10 and both tend towards one goal, the ideally noble. So much then for the Virtue of Temperatice or Self-control.








Chap. I.—On Liberality.
1 Our next subject is the Virtue of Liberality. Prodigality, Use of terms Liberality, and Sordidness relate simply to the giving and defined. 2 taking of property, but chiefly the former. By 'property' 3 we understand whatever can be exchanged for money. The term 'sordid' is generally restricted to the sense just indi-

Chap. I. -The discussion of the Virtues in detail proceeds as in the order given in II. vii. Likerality occupies the next place. Refer to note on II. vii. for the principle of this arrangement.

This Chapter falls under three heads:-

1-5. Preliminary-The use of terms explained.

6-27. Liberality described in its various practical details.

28--45. Prodigality and Nordidness described.
4. $k \rho i \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ] 'decisions,' in reference apparently to the Virtue of $\delta$ ckaıocív discussed afterwards, as the words $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{y}$
 refer to the two Virtues already treated of in the last Book.
7. $\dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a]$ I have, after some hesitation, adopted 'Sordidness' rather than 'Illiberality' for $\dot{a}^{\nu} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a$, as being more applicable to the various types of $\boldsymbol{a} \nu \in \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a ~ d i s t i n-~$ guished in $\$ 838-45$.










 cated; but 'prodigal' is often used in a wider sense, and applied to the intemperate generally, who do in fact spend 4 money upon their lusts. Hence it is a comprehensive term 5 of reproach. We prefer however to use the word in its strict Liberality 6 and limited sense. Now whatever admits of being used may be used well or ill, and a virtue related to any such object
4. 'Prodigal' is commonly so applied in English (eeg. The Prodigal Son), but scarcely the abstract term 'prodigality.' Reasons are given for this connexion between àко入aбia and $\dot{a} \sigma \omega \tau i a$ in $\S 35$ of this Chapter. ' Profligate' has a similar double meaning.
7. ßoú入є val] 'means'; like the French 'vent dire.' Much of the force of this section depends on the etymological connexion of $\vec{a} \sigma \omega \tau 0 s$ and $\dot{a} \sigma \omega \tau i a$ with $\sigma \omega \zeta \epsilon \tau \nu$, and is consequently difficult to reproduce in a translatron.
12. 'The very essence of propetty is its use.' This would be
explained by what is said in I. v. 8, or by Plato's remark in Rep. p. 333 B , that money laid by is as useless as a pilot on shore or a physician in health. Wealth is an instrument as much as a spade or any other tool, and in like manner, when not being used is for the time useless. The following passage from Bacon's Essay on Riches offers several points of comparison with this and the following Chapter: 'Riches are for spending, and spending for Honour and Good Actions ( $\tau<\hat{v} \kappa a \lambda o v ̂ ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \nu \in \kappa a, ~ § 12)$. Therefore extraordinary expense must be limited by the worth of the occasion (ii. 11, 15, etc.), but
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10 than to decline to take wrongly. Those who give rightly are called liberal; those who refrain from taking wrongly are called honest and just, but not liberal; white those who merely
II take or receive rightly are scarcely praised at all. ( $\gamma$ ) Liberality is one of the most popular of virtues; -and that because of its usefulness, and this consists in giving, not in taking.
Yet liberal 12 However, as all virtue has a noble end in view, mere giving freely is not enough to constitute Liberality. Regard must be had to certain conditions, of which we specify three :-

1. A noble motive. 2. Due consideration of the recipients, I 3 the amount, and the occasion of the gift. 3. Cheerfulness on
kindest cut of all.' Translate, 'Men are less inclined to spend their own money, than merely to refrain from taking that which belongs to others.' In other words, ' it is easier to be honest than to be generous.' Many men who are very reluctant to part with their money, and anxious to hoard, would yet scorn to gain anything by dishonest or suspicious means. Avarice is not necessarily accompanied by dishonesty.
2. oui $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\left.\pi a \iota \nu o u ̀ \nu \tau a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu v\right] ~ T h e ~$ virtue is in fact too common and
easy to deserve commendation. Praise on such grounds would be almost derogatory (фoptıкòs od ढ̈тalvos, as Aristotle says in X. viii. 7).
3. oi $\gamma$ à $\rho$ $\delta \in i ̂ ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ T h e s e$. words are explanatory of $\dot{o} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$.
 dition is explained by such passages as I. viii. 10-12 (No one is virtuous unless he takes pleasure in virtuous actions) ; II. iii. 1 (The test of the formation of any habit ( $\xi^{\xi}(s)$ is that the actions to which it is related are done with pleasure) ; or by the












/ the part of the giver. There is no grudging or hesitation in 14 true liberality. The absence of any of these conditions would 15 destroy the liberality of the act. Taking however as well as so also giving is subject to certain conditions: for-(1) The truly must be liberal man does not care so much for money as to be indef- taking of
16 ferment to the source from which it comes. (2) He will monty. 17 be reluctant to ask for this as for other favours. (3) His mofive in taking is to secure not the money itself but the means of giving. Hence he will not neglect his own affairs,
distinction regularly drawn between ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \rho \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \epsilon a$ and $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$, the outward acts of which are the same; for this see note on I. iii. 7.
4. $\dot{\delta}$ रu$\lambda \eta \rho \bar{\omega} s]$ Understand סióoús.
 ch. iii. 24-26 for this trait in the character of the $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$.
5. out $\chi$ iss кало̀ к.т.д.] Cf. $\S 20$ just below. There is no-
thing noble in taking or receiving, but it is none the less necessary with a view to giving; for liberality is one of those virtues which cannot be execcased without appliances, 'ax$\rho \eta \gamma \eta \tau=\nu$ on $\nu \tau a$, as we read in I. viii. 15. (See further on this point X. viii. 4.) With the statement in the text compare, ' It is more blessed to give than to receive.'














18 nor scatter his gifts indiscriminately (though his tendency would be rather in this direction than the opposite), for thus he would cut himself off from the power of giving on proper The relative 19 occasions. Liberality is always to be measured, not by the lute amount given is to be considered. absolute amount given, but by the proportion which it bears to the means of the giver. We conclude this part of our subject with some general considerations upon Liberality. Sundryprac-20 Liberality is more often found in those who have inherited, tical points of detail about Lubereality ( $8820-27$ ).
Liberality is more common with inherited than with acquired wealth.
 portion to one's means.'
6. $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon]$ 'the disposition of the giver.' Cf. 'Non donum wed dantis animum.'
oi $\theta \grave{\iota} \nu \quad \delta \grave{\eta}$ к $\omega \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \quad$ к.т. $\lambda$.] The ' widow's mite ' affords a familiar illustration of this.
11. $\vec{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$ is used in the sense of 'productions.' So Bacon speaks of the children of men who have first founded a family, as being 'both Children and Creatures ( $\epsilon \rho \gamma a)$, a continuation not only of their kind, but of their work.' than in those who have made, their money. This is partly because the former do not know what it is to want money, and partly because they have not that sort of parental love to it which men feel for anything which they have themselves
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and that both in giving and taking.
The liberal man may make occasional mistakes, especially as he is not keen in driving a bargain or in measuring the precise amount he spends.
such proprieties must not be disregarded either in giving or taking, in great matters or in small: and though we have admitted propriety in giving to be the more important, yet 25 the two habits will naturally be found together. If the liberal man should have made a mistake in any of these points, he will feel regret in due measure and moderation. And such 26 mistakes may occur, for the liberal man will not be hard to 27 deal with in money matters, nor is he by any means proof against fraud, partly on account of his low esteem for money, and partly because he will always regret more keenly having
5. $\overline{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau а \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ к . т . \lambda .] ~ L i b e-~}$ rality, though mainly concerned with giving, cannot exist comlined with dishonesty in taking. If money were gained unfairly, it would not be liberality to spend a part, or even the whole |i of it, in charity.
7. $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a l\right]$ sc. $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi_{\epsilon \iota S}$ or $\mathfrak{a} \rho \epsilon \tau a i$.
 For this see II. iii.
12. єن̇коเขต́vทтos] 'an easy man to have dealings with.'
14. $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{a}_{\chi} \theta_{o ́ \mu}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} o s$ к.т. $\left.\lambda.\right]$ Hence he will rather cheat himself than cheat others even involuntarily. Or again, he would rather find that he has given money to an impostor than that he has turned a deaf ear to a case of real distress. This of course might arise from a true








28 spent too little than having spent too much. This and other Prodigality characteristics of the liberal man are wanting in the prodigal. $\begin{gathered}\text { under two } \\ \text { types } \\ \text { (88 } \\ 28\end{gathered}$
29 Both in giving and in taking he will err, and so will the ${ }^{36}$ ). sordid man. Strictly speaking, the former exceeds in spend-
feeling of benevolence, but the assertion in the text probably has reference to the same sort of feeling which makes the mag. nanimous man prefer giving to accepting benefits (iii. 24). The error on the side spoken of has more of to кa入òv in it. It accords better with that selfesteem, not to say pride, which forms so large an element in an ideal Greek character. Benevolence occupies a very subordinate place in the character of Aristotle's liberal man. There is a strong vein of self-consciousness running through all the manifestations of this strictly speaking unselfish virtue.

1. Simonides was the type of a courtly poet, a sort of emboliwent of common-sense worldly wisdom. He figures thus in the introduction to Plato's Republic. Among his recorded sayings we find one that 'it is better to be rich than to be wise, because
philosophers are dependent upon the patronage of the rich, and not vice versa.'
2. Two types of prodigals are described. One exceeds in giving and falls short in taking; the other exceeds both in giving and' in taking. The former perhaps may be styled the liberal prodi. gal, and the latter the mean prodigal. The former is an indolent laisser-faire sort of character, who spends freely, but is either too careless or thoughtless to trouble himself about replenishing his wasting resources : ecg. the typical Irish landlord of the close of the last century. The latter is a selfish and unpincipled man who cares not how or whence the money comes so long as he has it to spend. This is said in $\S 33$ to be the commoner type, because indiscriminate giving soon necessitates unscrupulous receiving.
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 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ o u ̀ ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota, ~ o u ̛ \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ \omega ̧ ~ \delta ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~$
 ing (i.e. giving) and falls short in taking, the latter exceeds 30 in taking and falls short in spending. True, both these conditions are not generally united in prodigality. Should they be so, prodigality under this type becomes vastly superior to sor3 Ididness, for (1) it tends to work its own cure as life advances and means fail; and (2) its outward actions resemble those of liberality, and judicious training may complete the resem-
3. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota} \mu$ ckoois ] 'only in reference to small matters.' Similar conduct on a large scale is otherwise characterized; see § 42.
4. The first $\gamma$ à $\rho$ explains ou $\pi a ́ \nu v \sigma v \nu \delta v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a l$, the second $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ (in line 5) explains où fádoov. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \quad \gamma \in(1.7)$ appeals to the consideration that such prodigality as should unite both characteristics would be vastly superior to the opposite vice of sordidness, and that it would be a very little way removed from liberality itself. This, however, is not usually the case in actual
life. See § 33, etc. Consequently the statement où $\pi a ́ v v$ $\sigma v \nu \delta \cup v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ h o l d s ~ g o o d ~ o f ~$ prodigality generally speaking.
5. oït $\frac{1}{}$ кai к.т.入.] 'who (i.e. $i \delta i \omega \hat{\tau} a \iota)$ are in point of fact prodigal.' This is explained by the exclusion of $\tau \dot{p}$ auvoc from the class, for which see $\S 23$.
6. $\left.\dot{\eta} \lambda_{c k i} i a s\right]$ It is a matter of common observation that avarice (i.e. the reverse of prodigality) is the characteristic vice (or as Simonides is said to have called it, 'the proper pleasure') of old age.
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 à $\nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a ~ a ́ \nu i ́ a \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma т \iota \nu \cdot ~ \delta о к є i ̂ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̂ \rho a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~$

concern themselves as little where the money comes from as 35 they do where it goes to. They are neither honest nor generous; for money spent at hazard or squandered on pleasures, flatterers, and other unworthy objects, may be spent lavishly, but not liberally. Hence it is not difficult to see how a prodigal in the proper and limited sense of the word becomes a prodigal in the wider sense noted at the beginning of the $3^{6}$ chapter. This in fact is what prodigality comes to if it runs its course unchecked, though, as we have pointed out, it is a of Sordidness however is incurable: for-(1) Age and want of means, so far from curing the habit, tend to produce it; (2) It seems in some way a more natural vice among men

1. ò $\lambda \iota \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \rho \omega s$ ] thoughtlessly, indifferently, unscrupulously.
2. тoìs $\mu \in \tau \rho i o s s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \grave{\eta} \theta \eta$ ] this being opposed to кö̀a $\boldsymbol{\xi} \iota \nu$ appa-
rently means 'persons of a fair disposition.'
3. ádvvauia corresponds to
 the prodigal (see §31).
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38 than prodigality; (3) It is also widespread, and has many forms. (a) Its complete development implies (as in the case (a) Excess in of prodigality) error both in giving and taking. In taking it tafeect in 39 exceeds, in giving it falls short. But besides this perfect giving both growth of the vice, we have two other forms of it. (b) We (b) Defect in find Sordid men who are niggardly in spending, without being giving only.
5. of óóк $\lambda \eta \rho o s$ ] 'in completeness.' The same expression occurs in $\mathbf{v .} 7$ in reference to various types of Anger. Cf. James i. 4, $\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota o \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ o ́ \lambda o ́ k \lambda \eta \rho o \iota ~$ 'perfect and complete.' Add 1 Thess. v. 23.
8. Oi $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ corresponds to oi $8 \varepsilon$ in the first line of $\S 40$. The class introduced by this oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is subdivided (and the subdivision marked by another oi $\mu \epsilon \bar{\nu}$ and oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ in l. 1 and 1. 6, p. 186)
before we come to the oi $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ belonging to it. The sentence is further complicated by an explanatory parenthesis attached to the first of these subdivisions, $\Delta o \kappa o v ̄ \sigma \iota ~ . ~ . ~ a ̂ ̀ ~ \delta o v ̂ v a \iota . ~$ The following analysis may be found useful:-

Full-blown Sordidness ( $\boldsymbol{o} \lambda \mathbf{o l}^{-}$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s$ ) implies both (a) falling short in giving and ( $\beta$ ) excess in taking. There are two imperfectly developed types :
(a) only is found in $\left.\left\{\begin{array}{l}\phi \in \iota \delta \omega \lambda o i \\ \gamma \lambda i \sigma \chi \rho o \iota \\ \kappa i \mu \beta \iota \kappa \in s \\ \kappa v \mu \iota \nu 0 \pi \rho i \sigma \tau \alpha \iota\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{c}\text { who do not neces- }\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}\text { some from natural } \\ \text { shame, others from } \\ \text { of }(\beta)\end{gathered}$









 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau о \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a i ̀ \pi a ̂ \nu$, oîov oi $\tau a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ 10$
 тои, каì токьбтаі̀ ката̀ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \grave{\imath} \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$. Пávтєs үà $\rho$



unprincipled in taking, money :-some from a natural sense of shame, others from fear of reprisals. This class we characterize as stingy, close, niggards, cheeseparers, and by other
Excess in 1 similar appellations. (c). We have again another class of (c) Excess in
taking only. taking only.
 sort of honesty may accompany meanness and excessive devotion to money. See note on § 9 .
4. kv $\mu \nu \nu 0 \pi \rho i \sigma \tau \eta s$ ] ie. a man so stingy that he would split a cummin seed. Compare our metaphors 'skinflint,' 'cheeseparer,' and Juvenal's 'one who counts the fibres of a leek' (Sat. xiv. 133).
6. $\delta i \grave{a}$ фóßov k.т.入.] This according to the Sophists was the sole ground and principle of

Justice between man and man, and the cause of the very existence of society. See Plato, Rep.


12. токıбтаі катà̀ $\mu \iota к \rho \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \overline{]}$ 'Money-lenders in small sums at a large rate'; cf mi with dative expressing the conditions of the act.
15. $\mu l \kappa \rho o \hat{v}$ is no contradiction to $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{\imath} \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ above, for though the rate of interest is very large, yet the absolute amount is small.
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какòv т $\hat{\varsigma}$ ar $\sigma \omega \tau i ́ a s, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ a ́ \mu a \rho \tau a ́ v o v-~$ - $\sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\grave{\eta}}{\kappa} \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \nu$ à $\sigma \omega \tau i ́ a \nu$.
 $\kappa а \kappa \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ тобаи̂т' $\epsilon і р \eta \quad \theta \theta$.
 5

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \pi a ́ \sigma a s$ тàs $\stackrel{\text { civ }}{ }$ र $\chi \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{a} \tau a ̀ s$






Liberality than Prodigality is, as being both more mischievous 45 and more common. So much then for the Virtue of Liberality.
Chap. II. - On the Virtue of Magnificence.

Use of terms
explained (88 1-4).

Magnificence, as the name implies, differs from Liberality 2 in the largeness of the sums with which it deals. Its general 3 characteristic is magnitude, but this must be in relation

1. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ er $\pi \grave{\imath} \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu]$ Obviously men in general are more ready to take than to give.
2. tàs $\delta a \pi a \nu \eta \rho a ̀$ as $\mu o ́ v o \nu]$ 'only the expensive ones,' ie. those in which the expenditure is grand: this being the point of difference between $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon a$ and ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \tau$ $\theta \epsilon \rho ⿺ o ́ t \eta s$. Here and elsewhere throughout the Chapter the argument turns upon the etymology of the word $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon a$, which implies a combination of greatness and propriety. (See Supplementary Note.)
3. $\tau \rho ı \eta \rho a ́ \rho \chi \varphi$ ] The duty of equipping a trireme, and (as was usual) commanding it in person, was the most important of the $\lambda_{\text {eırovpliaı at Athens. Cf. note }}$ on § 11 below.
$\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\varrho}] \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a$ was a state embassy or deputation to a festival or public games. ad $\rho \chi$ t$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o ̀ s$ was the head of such an embassy, who defrayed its expenses. This duty was one of the lesser $\lambda$ גıтovpyíal. See further § 16.













to three things:-the person who gives, the circumstances of the gift, and its object. Hence every magnificent man is 4 liberal, but not every liberal man is magnificent. The vice of defect is Paltriness. The vice of excess, which we describe as Bad Taste and Vulgarity, errs not in the greatness of the amount spent, but in the inappropriateness in different ways 5 of the expenditure. But of these hereafter. There is a sort conditions of scientific skill implied in Magnificence. This is needed to $\begin{gathered}\text { required for } \\ \text { the exercise }\end{gathered}$ 6 decide under what various circumstances, as they actually

casion and accompanying circumstances, $\pi \epsilon p i \hat{a}$ al the objects; but the distinction is not very marked in itself, nor carefully retained in the text. Another reading is $\hat{i}$ instead of $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath} \hat{a}$, ie. the amount spent.
4. The widow's mite was an act of liberality but not of manificence, Mr. Peabody's donations an example of both. The Viceroy of Egypt's gift of a doll, with dress, jewels, etc., valued at $£ 2000$, to the Sultan's child,
was neither one nor the other, for the reasons explained in § 11, etc.
5. ßavavoía каі̀ àmє!poка入ía] see note on II. vii. 6.
6. ' $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ] 'harmoniously,' literally 'in tune' ( $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \nu, \mu \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \lambda s\right)$, just as $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \bar{\epsilon} s\left(\pi \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu, \mu \bar{\epsilon} \lambda o s\right)$ is what is out of tune (cf. I. ix. 6, etc.).
 Tat] 'The habit is determined by its outward acts, and by the objects on which it is exercised.'

Ai $\delta \grave{\eta}$ то̂̀ $\mu \in \gamma а \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi о \hat{s} \delta а \pi a ́ \nu a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ к а і ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́-~$







There must be a scientific appreciation of the just relation between expense and 7 its object ; the motive must be noble; and the action ready 9 action ready 9
occur (for action is the only real test of disposition in this as in other Virtues), great expenditure is befitting and appropriate. The occasion must be worthy of the expenditure, and 7 the expenditure of the occasion. There must also be the same motive as in all the other virtues, viz. the desire for 8 what is noble. Again the magnificent act must be done cheerfully and ungrudgingly : there must be no close calculations; no considerations of 'How much, or how little, will it cost?'

In the following sentence the $\delta a \pi a ́ v a l$ correspond to the $\epsilon \in \notin \rho-$ $\gamma \in \iota a l$, and the $\epsilon \rho \gamma a$ to the $\tilde{\omega}^{\prime \prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad($ which $=\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \quad \hat{a}$ of $\S 2)$ in the particular case under consideration, viz. Magnificence. Compare a similar passage in III. vii. 6 (and note there); and in explanation of the necessity of action ( $\epsilon \cdot \nu \dot{c} \rho \gamma \epsilon t a)$ for the perfect determination of a moral habit ( $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi(s)$ see further X . viii. 4, 5. The $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in 1.1 and 1.2 marks the application of the general painciple to the particular case. Divested of technical language the passage in $\S \S 5$ and 6 means: ' Magnificence, to be determined and recognised as such, must be actually put in practice on ertain definite occasions. It con-
sits, as we have seen, in large expenditure on a befitting occasion. Hence there must actually occur both the expenditure and the occasion : and to form a correct judgment of these in pactie implies a sort of scientific skill.'
2. $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a\right]$ the 'works' or 'resuits.'
5. We had similar conditions insisted on in the case of likereality, i. 12-14.
6. Here, as in the case of liberality, we miss any recognitin of benevolence or the desire to do good. See note on i. 27.
7. àkрıßодоүía $\mu<к \rho о \pi \rho е т е ́ s] ~$ as is explained in $\S 31$. $\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$
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parts a special lustre to the acts of a magnificent man beyond what would be achieved by mere liberality even with the same expenditure. For a work and a possession are not to be estimated in the same way. In the latter case there is only a question of intrinsic value; in the former we must take into consideration the grandeur and the moral effect produced on the beholders. The perfection of any work or action is its magnificence, and that must be exhibited on a grand scale.
11 We pass on now to the occasions which are fitting for the display of Magnificence. We notice first, the service of re-
words oiov $\mu \notin \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os . . . ova $\sigma \eta$ s. The sense will then be, 'The greatness of the magnificent man, being a sort of greatness of Limerality (or Liberality on a large scale),-Liberality having referonce to the same objects,-even from an equal expenditure,' etc.

This however seems rather to mar the force of kail ai $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \stackrel{\imath}{i} \sigma \eta s$ $\delta a \pi a ́ v \eta s$. It is probable that there is some corruption in the text. ion $\mu^{\prime} \gamma \in \theta$ Os looks like a gloss.
 the ideas of 'magnificence' indirated in its etymology, and
'munificence' implied by its technical limitation to money matters in this Chapter. As we have no one word exactly co-extensive with this, we may adopt 'munificence ' or 'magnificence,' according to the idea most prominent in the context, but see Suppl. Notes, p. 289.
9. катабкєvai] probably refers to the adornment and permanent furniture of the temples: kava$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \eta$ denotes permanent, and $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \in v \grave{\eta}$ temporary and moveable, decorations. Compare ка́та$\sigma \kappa \in v a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ just below, '§ 16.

CHAP. II.] ARISTOTLE'S ETHICS.















12 ligion, and next, great public or patriotic services. In all Occasions these cases however regard must be had to the social position, appropriate and to the means, of the doer, as well as to the work done. ficence
13 It would be out of place for a man of small or moderate means are chiefly 14 to aspire to be magnificent. It is a virtue reserved for those of great wealth, inherited or acquired, good birth, high station, 15 and so forth. To these cases we may add great and rare the state:
 laudable ambition.'
 ec $\boldsymbol{\tau} \stackrel{a}{ } \nu$ ] These $\lambda_{\text {eırovpyial at }}$ Athens resembled High Sheriffs' duties among ourselves, being imposed without remuneration on the rich citizens. kaì joined with éctıâ $\nu$ implies that this office of providing a feast for the citizens was less costly than the others. See $\S 2$ for other references to these offices, and note there.
4. to groups the words that follow into one idea forming grammatically a sort of nominafive to àva申́ $\rho \in \tau a l$. (Compare to $\tau i-\eta \eta \nu$ - ${ }^{3} v a l$ in II. vi., etc.) 'There is also a reference made to the agent, viz. who he is, and what are his means.'

11. |  |
| :---: |
| $\nu$ |
| av̇тoîs $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu]$ ' their | relations or connexions.'

 der and dignity.'
14. Tooovíoos] ie. the two classes of objects already men-
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bat also some occur in private life. occasions in private life, such as a wedding, works of public or general interest, entertaining strangers, making and return16 ing presents, and so on: or again, the furnishing and ornaments of one's house, and generally, permanent, rather than 17 perishable, objects. In all cases however the expenditure must be fitting to the occasion, whatever it be. There is a greatness in any work when it is perfect of its kind, even in
tioned, viz. the service of Religion and the service of the State, as contrasted with the less striking cases which follow, viz. great and rare occasions in private life.
2. ö $\sigma a$ ai $\sigma a ́ \pi a \xi]$ Compare 'A man ought warily to begin charges, which, once begun, will continue; but in matters that return not he may be more magnificent' (Bacon).
3. $\left.\epsilon i \frac{\delta}{} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \iota \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \dot{a} \dot{\eta} \pi o ̂ \lambda \iota s\right]$ The entertainment of the Viceroy of Egypt by Lord Dudley in

1867 would be an instance in point.
 men in it.'
6. $\tau \grave{a}$ à $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \delta \hat{\omega} a]$ 'presents have something of the nature of offerrings,' which have been specified already in § 11 as occasions fitting for Magnificence.
14. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau a \hat{v} \theta a$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ к.т.入.] 'It is possible to do a thing handsomely though it be no great matter in itself : but the handsomest actions are naturally those
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attention to himself. When he ought to spend much, he will spend little; and when he ought to socnd little, he will spend lin Difect, 21 much. On the other hand, the Paltry man always spends too Paltriness. little. If ever he does spend largely, he will spoil everything by some petty economy. He will be always hesitating and calculating how cheaply he can get off, and will be continually
entrance. The emphatic word here is $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta o i s$, comedy naturally requiring less splendour than tragedy.
4. ô̂ $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ T h i s$. follows naturally, because his only object being to display himself and his riches, he pays no regard to the proprieties of circumstances and expense, which it needs a careful scientific discernment (§5) to observe properly. Consequently if a proper occasion for great expense happens to be one for little personal display, the $\beta$ ávavaos holds aloof.
 This is a point of difference between $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a$ and $\mu \iota к \rho о \pi \rho \epsilon$ '$\pi \epsilon \iota a$. The latter being the defect where great expenditure is in question, the Paltry man is one who tries to combine cheap-
ness and display. He wishes to make a show and yet hates to part with his money. The Sor$\operatorname{did}(a \dot{\nu} \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \in \rho o s)$ cares only for keeping his money on any terms.
 If a man should make a handsome donation to a Charity and send in the bill for the carriage and packing. Or, as Theophrastus says, 'He will give a grand feast and stint the supply of wine, and the dishes will hardly go round; or 'when he is celebrating a marriage feast, he will hire the waiters on condition that they find their own food,' and so on. He is the sort of man who cannot feel that in reference to such cases it is better 'to do the thing well, or not at all.'
7. $\mu \in \hat{\in} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ] 'with hesitation or reluctance.'








22 grumbling that whatever he does spend is excessive. Still, vices as these are, they are not of the worst dye, for they are neither very injurious, nor very offensive, to society.

Chap. III.—On the Virtue of Highmindedness or Self-Esteem.
1 The very name Highmindedness, which we give to the Highvirtue of well-grounded Self-esteem, implies that there is mindedness 2 something great about it- (whether we consider the habit in ousness the abstract or portray an individual character in the concrete mindedness 3 is indifferent)-and that greatness may be described as great
 another very difficult word to translate. The exact etymological equivalent 'Magnanimity' has by the usage of language acquired too restricted a sense. Perhaps we must content ourselves with the awkward compound, 'Highmindedness.' On the inadequacy of this and the related terms see further the Supplementary Note at the end of this Book.

The groundwork of this and the related types of character described in this Chapter is the amount of, and the relation between, a man's merits and his own estimate of them. A
more tangible and practically $\begin{gathered}\text { between a } \\ \text { man's merits }\end{gathered}$ applicable test is substituted in and his own § 10, viz. his relation to Honour estimate of ( $\tau \iota \eta)$ ).

The Chapter falls under the following divisions:-
§ 1-8. Terminology ex. plained.
§§ 9-17. Highmindedness described generally as the desire to $\}$ deserve, and to secure, Honour.
\$8 18-34. The characteristics of Highmindedness in reference to sundry practical details of life.
$\$ 35-37$. The related vices of Excess and Defect.
6. $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ к.т.入.] In this case the latter method is con-












self-esteem based upon great merits. In the absence of great 4, 5 merits such self-esteem would be mere folly: and in such a case an adequate estimate of ourself, being necessarily a low 6 one, is not Highmindedness, but rather sober judgment. A too high estimate of self is Vaingloriousness, provided it be 7 not only too high but also high absolutely. Conversely a lower estimate than facts would warrant, be it small or great in itself, is Littlemindedness, and above all when a man's merits are really great, because then the contrast is more
spicuously adopted. We have almost an individual portraiture of a $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$ in this Chapter. Pericles has even been suggested as the original.
6. According to the Greek estimate beauty implied bulk. Perhaps our word 'handsome,' as used in contrast with 'beautiful,' conveys the same idea. Comp. Pol. IV. iv. 8, тò ka 入̀̀̀ ç̀

 man may estimate himself at a
low rate and yet more highly than he deserves, in which case he would hardly be called 'vain.' egg. Whately says of his tutor at College that 'he would be generally described as an eminently modest man. He never rated himself high either in abilities or attainments, and yet he overrated himself to a great degree, else he never would have undertaken the office of a Colloge tutor.' This is just the case described in the text. See
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 estimate of himself both in reference to his own merits and 13 also in reference to the standard of the Highminded．In reference to that standard the Vainglorious man on the other hand cannot exceed，but in reference to his own merits he

High－ mindedness implies all other virtues in the high－ est degree．

14 does so．Highmindedness，being based upon merit，implies the possession of the other virtues，and that in the highest 15 degree．Undignified flight，for example，or injustice of any kind，would be utterly incompatible with a well－merited self－ 16 respect．True Highmindedness is，as it were，＇the head and

2．$\left.{ }^{2} \xi i \omega \mu a\right]$ The vainglorious man＇s estimate of himself cannot of course exceed the highminded man＇s estimate of himself，but it does exceed the estimate which his own merits warrant．

7．Kail $\delta o ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \epsilon$ к．т．入．］He not only possesses every Virtue，but every one on a grand scale，just as the $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \pi \rho \in \pi \eta$ 立s was explained （in ii．10）to possess the particular virtue of Liberality on a grand scale．

9．$\pi a \rho a \sigma \epsilon i \sigma a \nu \tau \iota]$ understand tàs $\chi \epsilon i \rho a s$, ie．＇swinging the hands in precipitate flight．＇
out $\delta$＇ảdıкєî̀ к．т．入．］His high sense of the dignity of his moral nature is such（ $\pi$ á $\mu \pi a \nu \quad \gamma \in \lambda o i ̂ o s$
 scorns to do an unjust or base action．This has sometimes been censured as if it was mere pride， but we should not forget that mutatis mutandis Christianity












 crown' of all the virtues. Need we wonder that it is rare and 17 difficult to attain to? The Highminded man, when he re- The Highceives high honour from good men, will feel pleasure, though in a moderate degree, for he knows that be is obtaining his due, or rather, less than his due, but still the best it is in their power to give, and as such he is willing to accept it. The paltry homage of ordinary men he will despise as unworthy of him, and so he will also their contempt, which he 18 knows is undeserved. With the same dignified attitude will
appeals to a somewhat similar motive, e.g. Rom. vi. 2, 11, 21, etc. etc. So Plat. Rep. p. 486 a.

1. $\mu \in i \zeta$ Sous . . . $\pi$ oleic Highmindedness is not so much a separate virtue as a combination of all virtues in one perfect charactor, each and all being enhanced by the full consciousness of their possession, or (as a modern might phrase it) 'the testimony of a good conscience' in respect of them. (See Suppl. Note.)
2. калокауa日ias] 'Nobility' seems to hit the double signifivance of this word. калокáyaDos, if it has not (like ' optimates' in Latin) passed from a moral to a social significance, yet implies the latter in combination with the former.
3. $\mathfrak{u r o ̀}$ t $\uparrow \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \pi o v \delta a i ́ \omega \nu] \mathrm{He}$ only cares 'laudari a laudatis viris.' Comp. I. v. 5.
4. '̇ $\pi$ ic $\mu$ ckpoís] 'on trivial grounds.'
minded man
is sober and discriminating in regard to the honour paid him by others.

Minor pactical charao teristics of

каì $\delta \nu \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \epsilon u ̛ \tau v \chi i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ’ \tau v \chi i ́ a \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \omega s ~$








the Highminded man (§s $18-34$ ). His estimate of riches, power, prosperity, etc. Conversely the influence of these on Highmindedness.
he regard riches, power, and prosperity and adversity generally. Riches and power are but means to honour, and he who estimates it so soberly will not be dazzled by them. Hence I9 men think him supercilious. Indeed these very advantages are thought to tend to Highmindedness because they secure
8. Men expect to receive, and do receive, honour in respect of riches, power, or good birth. Hence the possession of these advantages will in fact help the Highminded man to that honour which is his due, though he deserves it on higher grounds. Hence too, as honour intensifies self-respect, Highmindedness itself is thought to be fostered by any of those external advantages which in the opinion and practice of mankind entitle their possessor to honour. In strict truth, however, goodness, and goodness alone, is the proper ground for self-respect, or for the esteem of others. In § 21 it is added that superciliousness, which is an external accompaniment of Highmindedness, is also a result of the
possession of such advantages as these.

It is interesting to notice that the Greek words for moral excellence are generally derived from those which express outward beauty, good birth, strength, ability, etc. The primitive import of such words is generally found in Homer, and their ethical meaning can scarcely be said to be fixed before Socrates. e.g. ка入òs and aï $\sigma \chi \rho o ̀ s$ (cf. $\delta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ i $\delta \in ́ a \nu$ тavaí $\chi \eta$ ๆ, I. viii. 16), $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i ̂ o s, ~$
 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ s$. This bears witness to the confusion noticed in the text between material prosperity and moral worth. The other side of the picture appears in the dictum of Tennyson's Farmer, 'The poor in a loomp is bad.'
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not court danger, but if it be great and worthy of him he will face it without regard to his life, which he does not think

His behaviour in respect of conferifug or accepting benefits 24 worth preserving at the cost of honour. He loves to confer and is ashamed to receive benefits, and he hastens to requite 25 them with increase. In fact men are apt to remember those
haps a corollary to the somewhat over-conscious self-respect inculcated as the basis of the Virtue under consideration. The following passage from an Essay of Archbishop Whately on 'Generosity' perhaps exhibits this trait in the more favourable aspect in which it appeared to Aristotle:-'If a man who feels himself capable of generous and exalted conduct, measures others by his own standard, he must be first disappointed, and then dissatisfied' (from which 'con-: tempt' would be an easy step) 'with almost all the world: for very few have even any conception of real heroic generosity. As a celebrated ancient once said, "As he never excused a fault in himself, he could not tolerate any in others."'
6. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \circ \phi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \dot{o} \quad \dot{\tau} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \xi a s]$ 'the one who began it will be left in his debt besides'; and so
debtor and creditor will change places.
7. Dokovo $\sigma \iota$ used thus impersonally seems to refer to mankind generally, not to the $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v-$ रos in particular, though he so far shares the feeling as to hasten to requite benefits received, and so to wipe out the feeling of obligation.

So remarks Thucydides, II. xi. § 7 , 'He who has conferred a benefit is glad to keep alive the obligation by renewed acts of kindness : while he who has received one is less keen about it, knowing that any service he may render will be regarded as payment of a debt, and not as an act of favour.' The point is further worked out by Aristotle himself in IX. vii. In the same spirit remarks La Rochefoucauld (Maximes 238), 'It is not so dangerous to do harm to the majority of men, as to go too far in doing








whom they have benefited，but when they have received a benefit they are glad to forget it，because such a position is 26 one of dependence and inferiority．He is reluctant to ask a favour，though ：ready to confer one．With great men he His com－ carries his head high，while with ordinary men he is unaffected，貫隹位ment
others.
them good．＇＇There is scarcely any one who is not ungrateful for great benefits＇（Max．299）． An Eastern despot is said to have beheaded a man who had saved his life in order to avoid remaining under an obligation which nothing could ever re－ pay．

Again notice the absence of the recognition of Benevolence， or any desire to benefit others． （See Introduction，p．Xxxv．）Both Aristotle and Thucydides look mainly at the pleasurable sense of superiority on the part of one who confers a benefit．

3．ठıò каì тウ̀ $\nu$ Ө $\epsilon \tau \iota \nu]$ Passing illustrations of this sort are ap－ parently introduced by Aristotle from memory，and are not un－ frequently incorrect．This would not be unnatural if they occurred to the author during an extem． pore Lecture．（See Introduction，
p．xxxvii．）Thetis（Homer，Il． i．503）seems to do the reverse－
 －on $\sigma \nu \nu$ on $\eta \eta \sigma a$

（See Supplementary Note．）
The reference in the case of the Lacedæmonians is uncertain． A case is related by Ken．Hell． VI．v．33，in which however benefits conferred as well as re－ ceived by themselves are men－ toned by the Spartans．

6．$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ here means，＇to ask for，＇not＇to stand in need of，＇as we judge from the High－ minded man being said to do so reluctantly（ $\mu$ ó $\gamma \iota s$ ）and also from the natural contrast between seeking and conferring favours （ $(\tilde{\pi} \eta \rho \in \tau \epsilon i \nu)$ ．Compare $\delta \in \eta \tau \iota k o ̀ s$ in § 32.

7．roùs $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \xi \iota(\omega \mu a \tau \iota]$＇men of repute．＇See § 15 of the last Chapter．






 тò үàp $\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \phi o \beta o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v . ~ K a i ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon є \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~$


 29 тoùs тод入oús. Kail $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta v ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \zeta ท ิ \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$


for there is nothing grand in giving one's-self airs before them.

He is only roused to action on great occasons.

His plainspokenness.

His ingependence, undemonstrativeness,

27 He is not roused to exertion by any but the greatest objects of ambition, and is therefore generally in a state of dignified 28 inaction. He is open in his hatreds and his friendships, cares for truth more than the opinion of men, scorns concealment in words or actions, and speaks the plain truth except when he shrinks from asserting bis full rights, as he does in fact 29 with the majority of people. He cannot worm himself to
4. $\left.{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \iota \mu a\right]$ 'objects of common esteem.' ad $\rho \gamma$ ò $\nu$ каї $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \eta$. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu=$ 'inactive and hesitating.'
11. єiрஸ́vєьa is explained afterwards in ch. vii. to be a conscious depreciation of one's own merits or powers, and must not be mistaken here for 'irony.' A man of such preeminent dignity and merit as the $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$ must 'let himself down' with the majority of those he meets. He therefore consciously lowers his
own pretensions on most occasons, and this would be ci po$\nu \epsilon \iota a$. The word $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s$ is of course to be supplied again after ${ }^{\circ} \sigma a \mu \dot{\eta}$.
14. $\theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa o i] ~ ' s l a v i s h ' ~(\theta \grave{\eta} s)$, татєıvoi= 'mean' or 'gravelling.' The word (as has been noted elsewhere) has a bad meaning in classical Greek, though no better word could be found by Christian writers to express the new idea of 'humility' as a virtue.
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his voice, and his manner of speech will be grave, dignified, and deliberate. Such is the Highminded man. The related characters who are in excess and defect in the matter of selfestimation are, as we have seen, the Vainglorious and the Littleminded. They are misguided, rather than actively vicious.
4. There is an obvious contrast between какотоьоi (actively vicious) and како̀ ${ }^{\text {en }} \chi є \iota \nu \tau \iota$ (having something wrong about them). Aristotle means to say that men would hardly form so low an estimate of themselves unless there was something to partially justify it. 'There cannot be so much smoke without some fire.'
9. ókг $\left.\rho \rho \frac{1}{}\right]$ 'wanting in energy,' 'diffident.'
10. $\dot{\eta} \tau 0 \overline{c_{z}}, \tau \dot{\tau} \eta$ бóga к.т.入.] In other words, the absence of moral aspiration is most injurious. The moral influence of a man's estimate of himself is very ioportant. Witness the elevating effect of a conscious feeling that a man has powers beyond the perhaps humble sphere in which he finds himself placed, and con-
versely the depressing effect of the feeling (whether due to constitutional indolence, despondency, etc.), that one will never accomplish the task in hand. Many thus fail, simply because they have made up their minds that they cannot succeed. It is remarked by Nassau Senior in his Notes on Turkey, that the general spread of corruption among Turkish officials seems to date from the time when an oathof office was first imposed, in which the strictest integrity was promised; and he accounts for this by the supposition that the officials, unable to keep the oath completely, became reckless when they had once broken it. In other words, the conscious degradation of perjury (leading









 $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \not \nu$.

We note however that there is probably some ground at the bottom of even undue self-depreciation; and also that such characters have a tendency to sink to their own standard.
36 The Vainglorious man is conspicuous by his ignorance of him- and Vainself, and seeks by a vulgar display of such external advantages as he does possess to secure for himself that admiration to
37 which his merits do not entitle him. Littlemindedness is Littlemore opposed to Highmindedness than Vaingloriousness is. It is a worse error, and also a commoner one.
to $\mu i к \rho \circ \psi v \chi i a$, or a low moral estimation of one's-self), extinguished all scruples as to minor offences, and all desire to avoid them, and so the whole character settled down to the level of the estimate of itself already formed. We may extend the remark to the moral influence of the estimation of society on the character of individuals. Recovery from some sins is rendered all but hopeless, out of all proportion to their relative guilt, simply by the arbitiary ban of society upon them. The offonder in fact
acquiesces himself in this estimate of his degradation and soon comes to deserve it. Thus $\dot{\eta}$
 This is familiarly expressed in the proverb, 'Give a dog a bad name,' etc.
5. roîs èvtínoıs] See note on § 27.
10. $\chi \epsilon i ́ \rho o \nu$ éatív] Though Aristotle gives no reasons for this statement, we may suggest, (1) Its tendency to make men grow worse (§ 35), and (2) Its outward aspect being the reverse of that of Highmindedness. Both








## Chap．IV．－On Ambition．

The proper objects of Ambition and its relation to High minded－ ness．

1 We may now descend to the level of ordinary life，and describe another Virtue which，with its related Vices，has for 2 its object Honour on a moderate scale，just as we before dis－
these reasons were given in ch．i． for preferring Prodigality to Sordidness．

Further，$\chi$ av vót $\eta s$ and $\mu$ ккро－ quxia must be carefully dis－ tinguished from ả入a̧óveca and єipóveєa which are discussed in ch．vii．Inter alias，note that while $\mu$ ck $\rho o \psi v \chi i a$ is here said to be worse than $\chi$ avvórクs， Aristotle regards cipóveta as a less evil than ả入aऍóvєıa．See vii． 17．Hence too we must not con－ fuse $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о \psi v \chi i a$ with Humility， though it is true that the char－ acter of Highmindedness as de－ scribed in this chapter shows that Humility would find no place as a Virtue in Aristotle＇s system．
$\left.\gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu\right]$ The deficiency of moral aspiration is much more common than vaingloriousness． The dignity of our moral nature， the worth（ $\dot{a} \xi^{\prime}(a)$ that belongs to man as man，and the motive for moral action supplied by such a reflection，is totally unrecognised
by the majority of mankind． ［See further a Supplementary Note，too long to be introduced here，on the character of the $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$, p．234．］

Chap．IV．－In this Chapter habits are discussed differing from those in the last chapter in degree rather than in kind ；just as Liberality was related to，and yet differed from，Munificence． We must recollect that the real subject－matter to which High－ mindedness refers was explained to be＇Self－Esteem in relation to merits＇（last ch．§ 3）；but that practically it might be viewed as concerned with the pursuit of honour on a grand scale（§ 10）． In this chapter Aristotle takes the latter point of view at once as his starting－point，with the proviso that only honour on a moderate and ordinary scale is now in consideration．

3．द̀v тoís $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \tau o \iota s$ ］Referring （as in $\S 4$ below）to II．vii． 8.
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 $\mu a ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu ' ́ \sigma o \nu . ~$




 (and the latter in both directions) in fact, though our phraseo6 logy may not sufficiently indicate it; and this defect of langage is the sole cause that we have apparently in this case the opposition of two extreme habits inter se, without a settled mean state in contrast with both of them.

## Chap. V.-On the regulation of the Temper.

 exists in regard to this Virtue.I Due moderation in the regulation of the Temper may be termed Meekness. There is no one term in settled use to describe this virtue, nor indeed the related vices. We may perhaps employ the term ' Meekness,' though it suggests rather a 2 deficiency in this respect. The excess we may describe as a

Chap. V. -See what was said in the note on the Catalogue of Virtues, at the end of B. II. on the position occupied in the list by $\pi \rho a \delta ́ \tau \eta s$, as being intermediate between the personal virtues that precede and the social virtues which follow it.
10. ópyı入ótクs ts] 'Passionateness' and 'impassionateness' seem to express the ideas re-
quired, and their somewhat uncouth character reproduces that of the Greek originals for which Aristotle apologizes by adding $\tau \iota s$ here and in § 5 .
 It will be remembered that all Virtue and Vice are held by Aristotle to consist in the moderate, excessive, or defective indulgence of some feeling in












 $\pi \rho о \pi \eta \lambda а к \iota \zeta^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ ar $\nu \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ каì тоùs oiкєíous $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho a ̂ \nu$
sort of Passionateness, Anger being the feeling in itself morally 3 indifferent in which the excess or defect takes place. We General shall then apply the term 'Meek' to a man who, though he characteris roused to anger on right occasions and in due measure, is naturally of a tranquil disposition, and never allows his 4 anger to get the better of his reason. His leaning is towards a deficiency in the feeling of anger, and forgiveness of injuries 5 comes more naturally to him than revenge. That deficiency, impassionateness (if we may venture so to call it), is a fault. 6 It leads to a neglect of self-defence, and a submission to insult
itself morally indifferent, neither good nor bad. See note on II. vii. 2. That feeling is in this case Anger. We are accustomed to give a bad sense to 'Anger,' and to describe the nobler forms of the passion by 'Indignation.' That 'Anger' had not always this restricted sense in English may be seen from such passages
as ' Be ye angry and sin not,' and S. Mark. iii. 5 , where 'anger' is attributed to our Lord.

1. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \phi$ ' oi] 'on right occasions' ( ${ }^{\prime} \pi \grave{\prime}$ with dative as usual expressing the conditions of the action).
2. oi $\delta \in \hat{i}]$ ' with right persons' (dative of reference).










 directed against one＇s－self or one＇s friends，which is slavish．

The excess falls under four types （§§ 7－11）：

The pas－ sionate．

The quick－ tempered．

7 The vice of excess is exhibited in every variety of detail，e．g． in the objects，the occasions，the degree，the amount of pro－ vocation，the endurance of the feeling，etc．Errors in all these respects would scarcely be united in one instance，and if so， would be intolerable．Hence we have several types of the 8 excess in question．（1）The passionate，who are soon angry， without due cause，and in too violent a degree，but soon come round．Their passion，being utterly unrestrained，speedily ex－ The sulky． 10 （3）The sulky，who are hard to appease；and their anger，

5．ô入óк久 $\eta \rho o \nu$ ］see note above on i． 38.

6．The four classes described in $\S \S 8$－ll have naturally many points in common．Their char－ acteristic features seem to be respectively，（l）Violence and ungovernableness of temper （ópyi入oc）－（2）Extreme irrita－ bility and touchiness（ảk oó $\chi o \lambda o \iota$ ）， －（3）A sulky and irreconcilable temper（rıкроí）－（4）General ill－
nature and revengefulness（ $\chi a$－ $\lambda \in \pi o i ́)$ ．

8．ô каї $\beta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ 光 $\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu]$＇and that is the best point about them．＇

10．$\hat{i} \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \circ i \in i \sigma \iota]$＇in a way that one may see，＇i．e．＇openly；＇ as opposed to $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} ~ \epsilon ́ \pi ı \phi a \nu e ̀ s ~$ in § 10 ．

11．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ．．．$\dot{o} \xi \in i s]$＇The quick－tempered are also excessive in their irritability ：＇taking $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$－ $\beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ as qualifying ó $\xi \in i=$ ．
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to lay down precise rules as to the right objects, degree, duratimon, etc., of anger. Small errors on either side are not serious, and indeed often gain our approbation on account of
14 the element of good which may be traced in them. That there however is a virtue to be cultivated and that there are vices to be avoided in the regulation of temper is abundantly clear. The practical details must be left to individual feeling and judgment.
where nearly the same words occur. $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$, 'from what we are now saying.'

9. ai $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon]$ ' individual feeling.' Questions of casuistry such as these.$^{\text {annot }}$ be determined by scientific rules. So much depends upon the infinite variety of circumstances bearing upon
any given action, and even granting all such circumstances could be taken into accurate account, so much still depends on the physical and moral constitution of the agent, that individual feeling (ai $\sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ) or, as a modern writer might say, 'each man's conscience,' must in the last resort decide such points.













## Chap．VI．－On Friendliness，or Amiability．

1 In their conduct and deportment in society some men，whom Phraseology we may perhaps describe as＇obsequious，＇shrink under any and ind gener of circumstances from making things unpleasant；they would the habit rather sacrifice a principle than say or do anything disagree－ $\begin{gathered}\text { explained } \\ (8) 1-5) \text { ．}\end{gathered}$
2 able．Others again seem to enjoy running counter to every one and every thing，and care not how much pain they cause．
3 These we may call＇cross－grained and quarrelsome．＇In an intermediate position are those whose approbation and dis－ approbation are regulated upon principle，who love to give pleasure，though they do not shrink from inflicting pain when 4 it is needful ：characters whom we may describe as＇friendly，＇

Chap．VI．－We now come to the group of Virtues，three in number，which relate to our con－ duct in and towards society．The order of the Catalogue in II．vii， is departed from．There it was $\grave{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a$－$\epsilon \grave{\tau} \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \lambda i ́ a$－$\phi i \lambda i ́ a$. Here it is $\phi i \lambda i a-\tilde{d} \lambda^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon} a^{\prime}-\epsilon \dot{u}-$ $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \lambda i a$ ．The order is not of much importance，but it seems
unnatural to separate $\epsilon \dot{j} \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i ́ a}^{a}$ and $\phi$ i入ía（both dealing with $\tau \grave{o}$ $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v})$ ，by interposing between them $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a$（dealing with тò $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \grave{s} s)$ ．This would appear from Aristotle＇s own summary in viii．12，below．

9．à $\left.\pi 0 \delta \delta^{\prime} \xi \in \tau a \iota\right]$＇to approve．＇
See note on I．iii． 4.
11．тоюồтоs $\gamma$ á éбт兀ข к．т．入．］







 $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \quad \sigma \tau o \chi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda u \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma v \nu \eta \delta u ̛ \nu \epsilon \nu \nu$.


5 and their disposition as 'friendliness.' That disposition differs from 'friendship,' because it has not its root in affection, but in a natural inclination to give pleasure and avoid giving pain: and moreover because it is not limited to particular persons, but is felt towards all in due measure and proportion.
6 This natural tendency to please is controlled however by 7 several considerations, such as the following :-(1) Can it be

For he that holds the mean position is just such a man as we should wish to call 'a good friend,' if the element of affection were superadded. Friendlyness + Affection $=$ Friendship. In Greek, however, there are no two words exactly corresponding to this distinction between 'friendliness' and 'friendship,' and so $\phi \iota \lambda_{i} a^{\text {h }}$ has to be employed for both.
3. T̂̂ tooov̂tos rival] 'because it is his nature to do so.' He makes himself generally pleasant and agreeable (or if necessary the reverse), not because he likes (or dislikes) you, but because it comes naturally to him under certain circumstances, and it
makes no difference whether he knows you personally or not, except so far as acquaintanceship introduces some element of feeling (see $\S 8$ below, and cf. $\S$ vii. of next Chapter, $\tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \iota \nu \tau 0 \iota-$ oûtos rival). See also Bacon's Essay on 'Good Nature' throughout, and especially 'Neither is there only a habit of goodness directed by right reason (cf. $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \iota s$ . . . катà тò̀ on $\rho \theta$ oi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ ), but there is in some men, even in Nature, a disposition towards it: as on the other side there is a Natural Malignity. The lighter sort of malignity turneth to a crossness or frowardness' (cf. бv́бєрıs каì $\delta v ́ \sigma к о \lambda о s) . ~$
9. $\sigma v \nu \eta \delta \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'to contribute
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$\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ aipoú $\mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \eta \delta u ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda \nu \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon u ̉ \lambda a \beta o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma$,







(3) Ulterior consequences must always be taken into consideration. Great subsequent pleasure or profit may some-

The Excess and Defect. Of the former there are two types, Obsequiousness and Flattery. 9 times be secured by slight momentary pain. The Excess has two types, distinguished by their motives. If it be merely an exaggerated and disinterested desire to please, we call it 'Obsequiousness.' If it be adopted from motives of selfinterest, we term it ' Flattery.' The Defect has been sufficiently characterized already. 0 wing to the want of a definite
2. тoîs $\delta^{\circ}$ ar $\pi o \beta$ aìvovocv к.т.入.] 'but regulating his conduct by the consequences if they be on a larger scale,' as compared, that is, with the present circumstances (see Analysis).
3. $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s . . . ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \epsilon i \sigma a v ̂ \theta ı s$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s$ ] ' for the sake of a pleasure that will presently be a considerable one.'
6. $\ddot{a} \rho \in \sigma \kappa о s$ ] The $\ddot{a} \rho \in \sigma$ коз what we should call an insincere or unreal man: one who professes to take the greatest interest in you, and uses the most friendly and even affectionate language, when he really cares nothing about you. Theophrastaus graphically describes him as ' a man who when he enters a house at once asks to see the
babies; the moment he sees them he declares that they are the very image of their father, and kisses and fondles them, though he cares nothing about them.'
8. кo$\lambda a \xi]$ The ancient 'Parasite' and the Mediæval Courtier would be typical instances. eeg. Polonius and Osmic in Hamlet, Act III. Sc. ii. (1. 393), and Act V. Sc. ii. (1. 98, etc.). It is recorded that one of the courtiers of Philip of Macedon wore a shade over his left eye and walked lame, because the king had lost the sight of his left eye and been wounded in the leg. The modern servility of 'the Alexandra limp' shows that the race is not extinct.





 $\tau a ̀ s ~ a ́ \rho \epsilon \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma a \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ à $\nu$ ，é $\pi \grave{i} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ oú $\tau \omega$ s eै $\chi o \nu$



and recognised name for the mean state，the excess and defect sometimes appear to be opposed to one another immediately．

## Chap．VII．－On Straightforwardness or Truthfulness．

Turning now to the behaviour of men in regard to the pre－
 к．т．入．］So it was also in the case of $\phi \iota \lambda о \tau \iota \mu i a, ~ i v . ~ 6 . ~$

Chap．VII．＊－Wenext proceed to consider the virtue of Truth－ fulness or Straightforwardness in words and actions considered out of any relation to the plea－ sure or pain caused to others．

The excess and defect here must not be confused with $\chi$ av． $\nu o ́ \tau \eta s$ and $\mu<\kappa \rho o \psi v \chi i a$ in ch．iii． See further note on iii． 37 and also that on $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$, p． 235.

4．àvஸ́vข $\mu$ кs к．т．入．］otherwise Aristotle would hardly have had recourse to the strange descrip－ tion ả入aऍoveías $\mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ t \eta s$ ，＇mode－
ration in respect of boastfulness．＇ In II．vii． $12 \dot{a} \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ and $a \lambda \eta \eta \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ tis were suggested（the＇ris＇show－ ing some doubtfulness about the application of the word）．$\vec{a} \lambda \dot{\eta}$－ $\theta$ eca，however，is＇truth＇rather than＇truthfulness．＇à $\lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu \grave{o} s$, i．e．＇genuine，＇＇real，＇would more nearly express what we want in the adjectival form at any rate， but there is no abstract substan－ tive to correspond．

Oú $\chi \epsilon i ́ \rho o \nu$ к．т．入．］This is be－ cause the habits described are none the less real and definite， though language may not supply words to mark their distinctions． （See note on II．vii．2．）

[^3]General ex－
planation of the Habits in question and their phraseology （§§ 1－6）．








2 tensions which they make in society, we observe that the Braggart lays claim to qualities which he does not possess at 3 all, or possesses in a degree below his claims; the Dissembler 4 disclaims or depreciates his own merits; the Truthful man, with a genuineness that embraces his whole life and conversation, 5 represents himself just as he is, neither more nor less. The Simulation or Dissimulation thus described may be practised with or without a special motive; but, generally speaking, men's words, acts, and lives are a true reflex of their character and disposition, unless there be some special motive for

1. $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi o i ́ \eta \mu a]$ 'pretensions.'
2. $\epsilon \ddot{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ is a very difficult word to translate. As ar $\lambda a \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu$ is one who boastfully lays claim to qualities that do not belong to him, so $\epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$ is the reverse of this, and ci $\rho \omega \nu \in \iota a$ therefore is a conscious and intentional concealment or disclaiming of good qualities that really belong to one. 'Irony' is too wide, it may take this form among others. 'False Modesty' and 'Reserve' are too unconscious and often unintentional. 'Dissembler' and 'Dissimulation' are too closely allied with deceit, at least in modern English, though it does not seem that they were always used with this bad connotation:
egg. in Bacon's Essay on 'Simula. tron and Dissimulation.' Perhaps on the whole either 'DissimulaLion' or 'Self-Depreciation' come nearest to what we want: but the word in Greek itself is used in different senses, as we see from § 14-16 of this Chapter.
3. This distinction is further discussed in $\S 10$, etc. With some persons the habits of brag. sing or of self-depreciation are so ingrained that they are exhibited even when it is impossible to imagine a motive, and where detection seems inevitable, and, as Aristotle proceeds to remark, except there be a definite motive such conduct is a true index of a character corresponding.
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coming naturally as it were to a man. In that case it is
It rather foolish than actually vicious. ( $\beta$ ) It may be assumed with a view to secure honour, or with a view to make, gain; 12 the latter being the worse form. And observe that Boastfulness is a moral state, the character of which is determined mainly by its motive or purpose. For the force of
 man in some sense.' This is in natural contrast with the statement in § 8, $\delta \delta^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \delta^{\circ}$ à к.т. $\lambda$.
4. $\grave{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \quad \dot{\sigma} \lambda \alpha \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu]$ As the $\grave{a} \lambda a \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu$ is the character whose $\therefore$ different types Aristotle is now distinguishing, it seems out of place to give as an example of one of them ' $\delta$ à $\lambda a \zeta \dot{\zeta} \dot{\omega} \nu$.' Two other readings are proposed (a) $\dot{\propto} \dot{s} \quad \grave{a} \lambda a \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu$ (omitting ó), i.e. 'he is not very much to be blamed, for abraggart' (= considering that he is a braggart) : ( $\beta$ ) ó ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} \lambda a \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu$ (omitting $\begin{gathered}\text { s }\end{gathered}$, i.e. 'He who does it for the sake of honour is not very much to be blamed-he . who boasts, I mean.' Thus the words supply the place of the participle $\pi \rho_{0} \sigma \pi o \iota o v ́ \mu \in \nu o s$ which must be understood with $\boldsymbol{\delta}$, and if the sentence were written in full would follow $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$. It is most probable however that the words $\omega s$ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{a} \lambda a \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu$ represent a marginal gloss that has crept into the text.
 Boastfulness as a reprehensible habit consists not so much in the mere capacity ( $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu / s$ ) or propensity to boast. That may arise in a manner from natural constitution ( $\tau \hat{Q}$ тoıóv $\delta \in \epsilon \in \mathfrak{i v a l - ~}$ with which compare a similar statement as regards Friendliness, vi. 5 , t $\hat{\omega}$ toっov̂tos єỉval к.т.入.), or from force of habit (kavà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi(\nu-$ with which again compare
 above). The moral depravity of Boastfulness depends rather upon the motives for which it is adopted ( $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ), the distinction between some of which motives has just been pointed out. The distinction is in fact the same as that which discriminates ${ }^{a} \rho \in \sigma \kappa о s$ and кó $\lambda a \xi$ in the last Chapter.

The remark is introduced in the text to show that the classification just made of boasters according to their motive indicates a real moral difference.













habit or natural disposition may make a man boastful, just as some men have a natural propensity for lying, and others adopt it for a special purpose. In the case of Boastfulness the special purpose is the main point by which we judge the 13 habit. To return to the two last-mentioned types of Boastfulness. The manner in which they are displayed varies with the difference of motive. If the motive be honour, pretension is made to qualities which are praised or envied by men. If it be gain, pretension is made to qualities that are useful, and the absence of which is not likely to be detected; e.g. quackery
14 and fortune-telling. This is the commoner type. The Dis- The Defect, semblers, on the other hand, disclaim their own merits, and dion, falls this in moderation is not altogether unattractive, as in the under the 15 case of Socrates. The same habit in an extreme form is very
7. oiò $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota \nu$ бофò $\nu$ к.т.入.] ecg., weather-prophets, fortunetellers, quack-doctors, etc.
 'wishing to avoid (the appearnance of) giving themselves airs.' dүкпро̀s means literally 'bulky'or
'swollen,' and thence 'pompous' (L. and S.).
13. The $\epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \epsilon i a$ of Socrates is well known. It consisted in a profession of ignorance, doubt, and a desire to be instructed, by which unwary opponents were






 $\rho \omega \nu$ rá $\rho$.



contemptible，and is often nothing but Boastfulness in dis－ 16 guise，in short＇the pride that apes humility．＇In moderation 17 however it is not（as we said）offensive，and in any case is preferable to the other extreme of Boastfulness．

## Chap．VIII．－On Geniality．

Explana－I Some part of life being necessarily spent in recreation，
tion of terms． there must be in that part also a propriety of conduct，and this will apply，though in different degrees，both to speakers
lured on to discomfiture in argument．

1．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi o \iota o v \mu \in \nu 0 \iota]$ This clause stands in contrast with $\tau \dot{a} \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \xi a$ àmapvov̂vtal，and therefore we may understand some such words as $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \nu \mathbf{v a \sigma \theta a \imath}$ to com－ plete the sense．＇Those who disclaim small merits，and such as they obviously possess．＇

Thus we have two types of єiршขєia distinguished：－（1）the more favourable type of＇Self－

Depreciation，＇of which Socrates is an instance，and which is exhibited also by the $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \delta^{-}$ $\psi v \chi^{\circ}$（see iv．28，note）；and（2） the more unfavourable type of ＇affectation，＇which often is a mere disguise of＇Boastfulness．＇
ßavкота⿱亠乂口̂ิүot］＇affected knaves．＇$\beta$ av̂kos＝＇prudish or affected．＇
 graceful way of conducting one＇s－ self in society．＇
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is another characteristic, which insures that its possessor, whether speaking or listening, shall never forget what it is becoming for a gentleman and a man of refinement, even in 6 the way of recreation, to speak or to listen to. As an obvious instance of the application of such 'tact,' we note what a difference there is between coarseness and innuendo.
7 Whether then he draws the line at what is becoming to a gentleman, or at what will give pleasure, or at least no pain, 8 to his hearers, is perhaps not easy to define. But in any case he will not willingly listen to anything which he would shrink 9 from saying himself. For though law does not restrain ridi-
2. ${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta_{\epsilon} \rho \cos$ here means 'a gentleman,' just as conversely $\dot{a} \nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \omega \delta \eta s$ means 'a low and vulgar man.'
7. aï $\left.\chi \rho o \lambda o \gamma_{i} a\right]$ 'outspoken obscenity,' ítóvola 'innuendo.' The difference would be'well illustrated by the contrast between Rabelais and Sterne, or
between the coarseness of Aristophanes and the 'intrigue' of a modern French play.
9. єì $\sigma \chi \eta \mu 0 \sigma \tilde{\nu} \nu \eta \nu]$ 'decency.'
13. $\pi$ otєiv . . . $\pi$ oin $\sigma \epsilon 1$ ] in the sense of $\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ or $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota$. As there are certain jokes which he would not himself make, so he will also refuse to listen to them.











cule as it does personal abuse, yet a true gentleman is a law 10 to himself in such matters. The Buffoon however can never The Execss resist a joke. No consideration for persons or regard for foonery. proprieties ever restrains him. The Boor on the other hand The Defect, is quite useless in social intercourse. He contributes nothing ${ }^{\text {Boorishness, }}$
II to it himself, and acts as a continual damper: and yet some rest and recreation is a real necessity in life.
12 This concludes our account of the three Social Virtues.
2. $\sigma \kappa \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ ] Understand ' $\epsilon ้ \nu I a$ $\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ' from the preceding.
oṽ $\tau \omega$ s] i.e. as if actually restrained by law.
 $\left.\pi \in \lambda o s \lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \in \tau a l\right]$ There being no settled name for this Virtue, Aristotle hesitates by which of its two principal characteristics (see §§ 3-5) he shall describe it.
7. Observe the emphatic contrast between où $\theta \in ̇ \nu$ and $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu L a$, because there are some things which a man of refinement (xapteis) would not say himself, which however he would not think it necessary to protest
against if he heard them (see § 1 סıoí $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ठѐ к.т.入.)
8. äypıos corresponds with à $\boldsymbol{y}$ оíкos in the Catalogue of II. vii. It describes a man who is deficient in humour and the sense of theludicrous, and onewho acts as a sort of kill-joyinconvivial society. In the former aspect he resembles Sydney Smith's Scotchman who needed a surgical operation to get a joke into his head; and in the latter he recalls Thackeray's description of the 'usual English expression of suppressed agony and intense gloom.'
$\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i \quad \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu i ́ a \nu . \Delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о v \sigma \iota \delta$ óтє $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}$ ả $\lambda_{\eta}^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota a ́ \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$, ai $\delta \in \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\dot{\eta} \delta u ́ v$, T $\omega \nu$
 $\kappa а т \grave{a} \tau о ̀ \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda о \nu \beta$ iov ó $\mu \iota \lambda$ íaıs.

 $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ a ̉ \delta o \xi i ́ a s, ~ a ̀ ~ \pi т о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тà $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{a} \phi o ́ \beta \varphi$ $\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota \circ \nu \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho v \theta \rho a i ́ \nu o \nu \tau a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o i ~ a i \sigma \chi v \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$, oi $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$







## Сhap. IX.-On the quasi-virtue, 'Sense of Shame.'

The 'Sense $\mathbf{I}$ Shame cannot strictly be called a Virtue, for (1) it is an of Shame' is ${ }_{2}$ occasional feeling rather than a permanent state. It may be not properly ${ }^{2}$ a Virtue for several reasons. defined as 'a fear of disgrace,' and its outward marks resemble those of fear. Shame makes us blush, Fear makes us pale, 3 and these are similar physical and transient effects. (2) It

Chap. IX.-The subject of this concluding Chapter is the Sense of Shame. The Chapter is evidently fragmentary, for we hear nothing of the Excess of the feeling, the embodiment of which was described as $\delta$ $\kappa a \tau a \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \xi$ in II. vii. 14. In fact the discussion ends abruptly at the words $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda a ́ \quad \tau \iota s \mu \kappa \tau \dot{\eta}$ in $\S 8$, after which a few words have been added to connect this Book with the Books that follow.
which are thought to be not Aristotle's, or at any rate not to belong to this treatise.
6. $\pi \dot{a} \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \iota]$ If so, not properly a Virtue. See II. v .
$\phi \dot{\beta} \beta$ os $\tau t s$ áookias] aiò̀̀s has a variety of meanings in Homer, but in all cases it is ' a sentiment which has ultimate reference to the standard of public opinion' (Gladstone, Juv. Mundi. p. 384). In Odyss. ii.
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 $\sigma u ́ \nu \eta s \epsilon \not \epsilon \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$.

8 to call Shamelessness a Vice. We do not describe even Continence as a Virtue, because of the mixture of bad desires which it necessarily implies. But of this hereafter. We now proceed to discuss Justice.
though he will not regard him as virtuous on the strength of this. To do so would be to encourage 'doing evil that good may come,' or ' continuing in sin that grace may abound.'
2. ov $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ є́ $\left.\gamma \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon ⿺ a\right]$ For an explanation of द́ $\gamma \kappa \rho$ átєıa see note on I. iii. 7. The point here is that as not even є́ $\gamma \kappa \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \iota a$ is. called a Virtue because itimplies strong bad desires, though they
are successfully combated, $a$ fortiori Shame cannot be called a virtue, which presupposes actual wrong-doing.
3. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu$ toîs $v \ddot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu]$ viz. in B. VII.
 not only is the subject of aid $\dot{\omega}$ s unfinished, but that of $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi a \iota \rho \epsilon к а к і$ a, of which we had so confused an account in II. vii. 15 , is not even alluded to.

## NOTE ON CHAPTER III.

the character of the $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$.

Several questions arise in reference to this important character, some of which are inserted here to avoid making the notes too bulky. We have in this chapter Aristotle's conception of a perfect and ideal character (see especially § 16), combining the full social and moral conditions of калокảja甘ía.

The definition from which the whole discussion starts is that the Virtue of $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \psi v \chi i a$ consists in ' $a$ well-grounded self-
 $\ddot{a} \xi$ וos $\ddot{\omega} \nu, \S 3)$, and hence the whole character is, according to our modern ideas, somewhat disfigured by self-consciousness. It may be worth while to state some of the principal objections which are commonly made against it.
(1) The pervading selfishness of the character. $\overline{\mathrm{He}}$ has no thought for others (§ 29): even his Benevolence is referred to himself and resolved into a desire for superiority (§ 24). (Compare Hobbes's celebrated theory that Benevolence is simply the love of power and the desire to exercise it.) His courage is based upon a somewhat selfish calculation likewise (§ 23). His love of Truth is similarly quali-
fied (§28) : so also is his forgiveness of injuries (§ 30 ).
(2) The conspicuous pride of his character, and the total absence, not to say of humility (on which see note $\S 37$ ), but even of modesty ( $\$ \$ 18,22,28$ ).
(3) That such a man would be practically an offensive, unamiable, unsociable character (§§ 27, 29, 31).

Such are some of the criticisms commonly made on the character before us. We may feel sure however, after making all allow. ance for the difference between the ancient Greek and the modern Christian point of view, $\}$ that a character so palpably defective and repulsive could never have appeared to Aristotle, not to say admirable, but ideally perfect. Hence, without attempting a defence of all its details, it may be worth while to endeavour to arrive at a somewhat more sympathetic view of this ideal character.

1. The first point would seem to be, as we have already hinted, that it is an ideal character. It 1 . implies the combination of all the virtues in such perfection as never is actually found ( $\tau \dot{o}$ 白 $\nu$
 as Plato and others have con.
structed ideal States, so Aristotle has here delineated an ideal Man. In both cases alike some allowance must be made for the difference between theory and fact in a world where things, as they are, are not ideal. Within certain limits we may say, 'tant pis pour les faits.'
2. Aristotle had a strong sense of the dignity of Human Nature; of the grandeur and worth of Man as Man, in contrast with all the rest of animate and inanimate creation. He felt something of what a modern writer has called 'the Enthusiasm of Humanity.' (See further, Ecce Homo, 3d ed. p. 162, etc.) This seems to be the key to his conception of the $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$. The $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$ is one who is deeply conscious of the dignity of his Human Nature, and penetrated by this consciousness is elevated thereby to live a life in. all respects worthy of such an ideal. 'He becomes a law unto
 as we read in viii. 10). Doubtless this intense self-reliance of the $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$ appears from our modern Christian point of view an inadequate foundation on which to build the whole structure of the moral character. But it may well be asked whether, apart from revelation, any nobler or more effective stimulus to Virtue can be suggested than the feeling that any other conduct is unworthy of the dignity of human nature. We may also remember that S. Paul
appeals in a very similar manner to the feeling that sin is unworthy of, and inconsistent with, our Christian profession and renewed nature, and argues that we should therefore scorn to commit it. A well-known saying of Goethe's recognises the value of this self-estimate: 'If you would improve a man, it is best to begin by persuading him that he is already what you would have him to be.'

In contrast with the character we have described, the $\chi$ av. vos is one who unworthily lays claim to such a dignity. He desires 'the loaves and fishes' of virtue and worth. So long as he can secure the honour and glory accorded to merit, he is more or less indifferent to the grounds on which he obtains it (§ 36), since it is obvious that it can be obtained from men on secondary and morally indifferent grounds (see $\S \S 19,20$ ). The $\mu c \kappa \rho o ́ \psi u \chi o s$ on the other hand is one who has no noble aspirations at all. He is quite content with low and grovelling aims, and has therefore no chance of moral elevation. Hence Aristotle declares Littlemindedness to be a worse type of character than Vaingloriousness. The Vainglorious man does not shrink from grand and difficult tasks, he rather courts them, and his unbounded self-confidence may sometimes even carry him through (e.g. Cleon at Sphacteria, if we accept the estimate of him in Thucydides and A ristophanes).
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root of personal Vanity，by which he is so blinded in his estimate of things，so＇clouded with his own conceit，＇that he regards all occasions merely as opportuni－ ties for self－display；and this of course especially applies to great occasions－these being a prelim－ inary condition of रav⿻ótクs，$\mu \epsilon-$ रa入o $\psi v \chi i a$ and $\mu$ ккро $\psi v \chi i ́ a$.

It should be further observed that Aristotle attributes frequent єiршขєia to the $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$（IV． iii．28，，which plainly shows that $\epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \epsilon^{\prime} i a$ is widely different from $\mu$ ккро $\psi v \chi^{i}$ ．

2．It has sometimes been ar－ gued（e．g．by Aquinas and others） that $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \psi v \chi i a$ is not incon－ sistent with Christian Humility． Without going so far as this， we ought at any rate to take into consideration the following points ：
（a）$\mu$ ккрочvхia must on no account be confounded with Humility，which has nothing in common with it，as has been al－ ready sufficiently explained．See note on IV．iii． 37.
$(\beta)$ The modern popular notion of Humility is a very false one， in two ways especially ：－
（i）Humility is generally thought to consist in a conscious （not to say，often insincere）self－ depreciation．In that sense it somewhat resembles єipตvєía both in its better and worse phases（see note on IV．vii．15）． Now the Humility of true great－ ness is a just estimate of its power，not a depreciatory one． If it be consciously depreciatory，
it is simply the＇pride of mo． desty＇（see IV．vii．15）．It only appears depreciatory to those who are lost in admiration of a standard above their own reach or aspirations．When Sir Isaac Newton said that in his highest efforts he felt as if he were only a child picking up pebbles on the shore of the boundless ocean of knowledge，that was a humble and yet a just estimate of the powers of human genius，though to an ordinary man it might seem unduly depreciatory．The Greeks，on the other hand，not having yet learnt how limited are man＇s powers in the universe， could not understand how a low estimate of unusual powers could still be a just one．
（ii）The popular notions of Conceit and Humility are simply a high or a low self－estimate， withoutany regard to the relation between the estimate and the merits：just as Liberality and the reverse are often popularly judged by the amount spent，without re－ gard to the relation which it bears to the means of the giver．

At the same time，looking at several expressions in the chap－ ter under consideration，we must admit that the modern notion of Humility as a Virtue was foreign， and perhaps necessarily so，to the Greek mind．As we have said，a low estimate，which is also a genuine and sincere one， of human power and human vir－ tue，can come only from the con－ sciousness of defeat and failure ； and it would be as much out of
place amidst the first daring flights and as yet unbaffled efforts of the Greek mind, as melancholy would be in the sanguine years of childhood, which have not yet been sobered by aisappointment. ${ }^{1}$

At the same time some sort of recognition of a feeling akin to humility occurs in the commendation allowed to the better type of $\epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \epsilon i a$ in IV. vii. 14.

It is interesting to contrast with this picture what has not inaptly been described as $S$. Paul's delineation of an ideal character in 1 Cor. xiii., especially vv. 4, 5, and 7. Also the total divergence of the ancient and modern conceptions of a perfect character is curiously illustrated by the following statement of a recent moralist, whether we accept it or not:-- Were the perfect man to exist, he himself would be the last to know it; for the highest stage in advancement is the lowest descent in humility' (Archer Batler).

It may be worth while to compare with Aristotle's ideal sketch an actual instance of a character embodying many of the traits here depicted. Lord Macaulay in his Life of Pitt, p. 181 (Bio-

[^4]graphies, edition 1867), observes that Pitt may be considered as in many respects a noble embodi. ment of Aristotle's conception of the $\mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \mathcal{U}^{\prime} u \chi o s$. We may compare the following traits or incidents with the portraiture in this chapter :
' No person could hear Pitt without perceiving him to be a man of high, intrepid, and com. manding spirit, proudly conscious of his own rectitude and of his own intellectual superiority, incapable of the low vices of fear and envy, but too prone to feel and show disdain (§§ 18, 22). Pride pervaded the whole man, . . . was marked by the way in which he walked, in which he sate, in which he stood, and above all, in which he bowed ( $\S 34$ ). Several men of note ( $\S$ $26,28)$ who had been partial to Pitt . . . were so much irritated by the contempt with which he treated them that they complained in print of their wrongs. . . . His ambition had no mixture of low cupidity. There was something noble in the cynical disdain with which the mighty minister scattered riches and titles to right and left among those who valued them, while he spurned them out of his own way' ( $\S 18$ fin., 33, etc.). At the age of twenty-two he was offered ' one of the easiest and most highly paid places in the service of the Crown. The offer was at once declined, for the young statesman had resolved to accept no post which did not entitle him
to a seat in the Cabinet, and announced that resolution in the House of Commons,' and that at a time when the Cabinet was usually restricted to about seven members, and even Burke was not included in it ( $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{a}$ è $\neq \tau \tau \iota a$
 §§ 27, 33).

Lastly, it should be noted (and this perhaps increases our difficulty in taking an appreciative view of Aristotle's sketch in this chapter), that now-a-days the habit of mind indicated by $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \psi v \chi i a$ is far less common than formerly, and even in comparatively recent times, and that anything approaching to selfassertion is viewed with increasing repugnance. Mr. Mill in his Essay on Liberty protests against the English dislike foreccentricity or conspicuousness of any kind, and deplores the tendency to a dull and dead level of mediocrity which society at present fosters. But be the cause what it may, the fact is undeniable. What would be thought now-a-days of such a title-page to a book, once so common, as ' A most learned and edifying discourse by . . .'? The late Lord Dalling writes, ' One of the absurdities of the English character of the present day, is that no one has an estimate of his intrinsic value.' Yet it may well be doubted whether any great reform, religious or political, has been effected, or any deep impression left in the world's history or literature, by any one who did not display the
self-confidence and even self-assertion of Aristotle's $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s$ in a considerable, and often, to our modern notion, a somewhat distasteful degree. There is no more remarkable instance of this than that of Dante. This spirit breathes throughout the whole of his Divine Poem. He promises immortal fame to those who are named by him in it: to be mentioned there, even for censure, is no small argument of distinction : he fears lest a timid statement of truth, though perhaps increasing his present fame, should injure it with those ' who shall call these days ancient': he boldly ranks himself among the six great poets of the world; and so on in innumerable other passages. Nor was this bold self-reliance limited to mere flights of poetry. Boccaccio relates that when appointed to go from Florence on an embassy to Boniface VIII., Dante hesitated, and assigned as his reason, ' If I go, who remains? and if I remain, who goes?' So in the Convito (I. x.) he does not shrink from saying, 'fidandomi di me più ched'un altro.' Whatever may have been thought of this self-reliance at the time, the verdict of posterity has fully justified it; and may we not apply the reflection of Aristotle in a similar case, and ask, If this be so, $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oủk

 (I. x. 7.) 'There was a time' (says Dean Church) when great men dared to claim their great-
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## APPENDIX.

## BOOK X. CHAPTERS VI-IX.

Aristotle now reverts to the subject of Happiness, the various questions arising out of the Definition in I. vii. having been disposed of. In two respects especially the following discussion differs from that in B. I. (1) The object now is not so much to give a formal Definition of Happiness, as to prove its general character to be contemplative ( $\theta \in \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ) $)$. (2) Happiness is here considered in the abstract and in its highest ideal development, as it is found in the life of the gods, and no longer under the practical limitations by which it is modified in the life of man (vii. 8). Thus in B. I. we were frequently reminded that it was not ideal Happiness and ideal Virtue but human Happiness and human Virtue that we were in search of. (See especially I. vi. 13 and xiii. 5, 6.) Now however it is argued that human Happiness is not the highest form of Happiness (viii. 1-3, etc.). Hence while Happiness is still affirmed to consist in an active state of
 Excellence ( $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ ) may be either Moral or Intellectual, it is proved (ch. vii. and viii.) that the latter is superior to the former on various grounds, and especially because Moral Excellence (implying, as it does, imperfection and the liability to evil) cannot be attributed to the gods (viii. 7). Consequently the Happiness of the gods, which is naturally the highest and most perfect, must depend on Intellectual activity. Hence we conclude generally that the perfection of Happiness consists in Intellectual activity ( $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho^{\prime} \alpha$-for which see Glossary, p. xli.). Practically none but the best of men, and these onl.
imperfectly, can ever approach to such perfection of Happiness. Most men can never rise above that which constitutes peculiarly human Happiness ( $\epsilon \mathfrak{v} \delta a \iota \mu o v i \alpha a \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota v \grave{\eta}$, I. xiii. 5), viz. the Excellence not of the higher portion ( $\tau$ ò $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v{ }^{\prime} \in \chi \circ v$ ) of the Soul, but of the subordinate part ( $\tau \grave{\varrho} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v)$.

Let this distinction then be borne in mind in reading these Supplementary Chapters. Aristotle has shown in the previous Books that man's Happiness in this world consists in the due regulation of his actions and passions under the control of Reason. His purpose now is to show that the most perfect Happiness consists in the full development and activity of Reason itself, unfettered by the necessity of exercising any such control over the lower nature. In a word, if we might venture on such a modernism, we might say that hitherto he has discussed the Happiness of earth; now he is describing the Happiness of heaven. (See Supplementary Notes.)



Chap. VI.-Happiness does not consist in Amusement, but in Active Excellence.

Happi- 1,2 We have before proved (1) that Happiness is not a passive ness is a condition which
is
(1) active, but an active condition; and (2) that it is a condition which

Chap. VI.-The following is a brief outline of the argument in the next three Chapters. (Ch. vi.) Happiness having been already shown (I. vii.) to be something sought for its own sake, and it being conceivable that either Amusement or active Virtue might be alleged as answering to that description, ch. vi. is devoted to proving that not Amusement, but Active Virtue, constitutes Happiness. (Ch. vii.)

This Active Virtue, or rather Excellence, being either Moral or Intellectual, Happiness is is shown to belong to the latter. (Ch. viii.) Several reasons are given for thus asserting the superiority of Intellectual over Moral Excellence ; and the Chapter concludes with some remarks of practical detail.

1. à $\rho \in \tau$ às, viz. Books II-VI. $\phi i \lambda i a s$, Books VIII. and IX. そ̇ठovàs, Appendix to Book VII.
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 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̀$, סì mapà тoîs $\tau v \rho a ́ p \nu o \iota s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \delta o \kappa \iota \mu о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$









But Happiness cannot consist in Amusement, because(a) Those who say so are incompetent judges, knowing no higher pleasure than Amusement.
sarily, or even usually, beneficial; and partly because princes and their associates, whose happiness the world envies, devote their lives to amusement. Our first object then must be to 4 show that Happiness cannot consist in Amusement. (a) First, those who, as we have said, find their happiness in Amusement have no experience of any higher pleasure. They are no more fit to judge therefore what pleasures are the highest than children are, who for the very same reason prefer Amuse-
tised merely as a duty, e.g. because necessary to health, as when they are prescribed to an overworked student by physicians, in which case there is an ulterior result in view and the remarks which follow would not apply. (See Supplementary Note.)
3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \tau \mathcal{\delta} \delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu \zeta \bigcirc \rho \bar{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o l]$ 'The majority of those who are commonly reputed happy.' This was noticed also in I. v. 3.
5. oi $̇ \nu$ taîs k.т.入.] ‘Those who are skilful in such pastimes,'
i.e. those who have the art of ministering to their amusement, e.g. courtiers, poets, musicians, court-fools, or worse characters.
 the nominative is oi rípapyol. To $\pi a \rho$ é $\chi o v \sigma \iota$ the nominative is

10. $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ a \iota ~ \grave{e} \nu$ ¢́ $\left.\rho \gamma \epsilon \_a \iota\right]$ 'active states of excellence,' which may be-eithèr Moral or Intellectual (as we have often seen before). $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ is here named as the source of the former, $\dot{\nu} 0 \hat{s}$ as that of the latter, condition of activity.















ment to anything else．And as there is a difference between the objects held in esteem by childhood and manhood，so there is naturally a difference between the objects held in esteem by 5 good and bad men．The decision of good men（to whom，as often before，we appeal）is，that Happiness depends not on Amusement，but on Virtuous Action，and therefore we con－ 6 clude that it does so depend．（ $\beta$ ）Besides，is it not absurd to say that we labour and toil all life long for the sake of Amuse－ment $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}$ witt． ment，as would be the case if Amusement were Happiness（or the Chief Good）？It is far more rational to regard Amuse－ ment as existing for the sake of work（relaxation being some－ times necessary）than work as existing for the sake of Amuse－

4．то入入áкıs є $\ell \rho \eta \tau a \iota]$ e．g．I． viii．13，and passages quoted in note there．

10．＂A $\pi a \nu \tau a$ ү̀̀ $\rho$ к．т．入．］Since we may say that Happiness only is desired for its own sake，and everything else ultimately for the
sake of Happiness，it will follow that if Happiness and Amuse－ ment are identical，everything we do is with a view to Amusement， which seems a reductio ad ab－ surdum．







ment: and if so Amusement ceases to be itself a final end.
(y) Amuse${ }_{12}$ ent has no necessary connexion with what is most noble in man. $(\gamma)$ Again Happiness has been shown to be dependent on Virtue. ' Now Virtue is a matter not of Amusement but of Earnestness, and every one admits that what is earnest is better than what is amusing, and if it be better, its practice must be nobler and more likely to lead to Happiness. This applies not only to our better, as distinguished from our lower, nature, but also to the better natures among men as dis8 tinguished from the inferior. It is clear however that if Happiness should consist in Amusement, the lowest of man-

1. oủ $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ténos $\dot{\eta}$ à $\nu a ́ t a v a \iota ı s] ~$ Thus Amusement is in fact after all not even an end desired for its own sake. Recreation is needed for the sake of work, and (as Aristotle says elsewhere) the busier we are the more we need amusement.
 that we may be able to work.'
2. $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \sigma \pi o v \delta \bar{\eta} s=\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ o s$, in its literal sense, i.e. 'serious,' or 'earnest'; see note on I. viii. 13. Compare Archbp. Whately :'Happiness is no laughing matter, gay spirits and love of amusement ( $\pi a \iota \delta i \grave{a}$ ) are commonly spoken of as if a proof of Happiness, whereas the reverse is very often, perhaps generally, the case.' They
are in fact rather an indication of the absence of repose either of Body or Mind, for which, as Aristotle has just pointed out, Amusement acts as a sort of compensation. This does not apply to the case of children, to whom $\pi a i \delta i a ̀$ is natural, as is admirably expressed by the etymology of the word. Compare also Addison in the Spectator (No. 381) : 'I have always preferredCheerfulness to Mirth. The latter I consider as an act, the former a habit, of the mind. Mirth is short and transient, Cheerfulness fixed and permanent.' (Cf.

3. $\eta$ 渞 $\eta$ here almost $=i p s o$ facto.
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Excellence of the noblest part of our nature in its highest developement, whether we call it Intellect or whatever else it
2 be which is most divine in man. Hence to prove that the
(a) It is the excellence of the noblest part of man (кратíтл). highest Happiness consists in Intellectual activity we have the following arguments :-(a) Intellect is the noblest part of our
4. катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oìkєià ảpєтウ̀ $\nu$ ] As Aristotle said in I. vii. 14, fin. є̈кабтоע є $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{3} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau a l$.
5. $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}]$ The student must endeavour to form a clear conception of what Aristotle means by $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a, ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$, $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$, etc., before proceeding further. No one word in English adequately represents the idea. See Glossary under $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a$, p. xli.
$\epsilon i p \eta \tau a \iota]$ The nominative is
 reference is very doubtful. Possibly, speaking from memory, Aristotle may be referring to B . VI., where the functions of pous and of Intellectual Excellence generally are described.
6. кaì тoîs $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ perhaps refers to I. v. 7, where $\beta$ ios $\theta \in \omega-$ $\rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta$ s is mentioned as one of the chief types of life to which Happiness has been held to be-
long. Though Aristotle does not there assert that this is true, and in fact expressly reserves the consideration of the question, yet as he proves in that chapter that Happiness cannot belong to any of the other types of life enumerated, it may by implication be assigned to this type, viz. Bios $\theta \in \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s . ~ A t ~ a n y ~$ rate it is only said that the statement in the text is 'consistent with' (ó $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda_{0}$ ouv $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ) what was said before.
8. voûs has been explained in ${ }^{\cdot}$ B. VI. to be the faculty by which we are capable of seeing intuitively the truth of Axioms or General Principles. These are the principles from which all demonstrative proof starts, and they are the foundations upon which all scientific knowledge rests. Thus voûs is the highest of our intellectual faculties, because it deals with the highest







3 nature，and its objects are also the noblest．（ $\beta$ ）Intellectual（ ${ }^{(\beta)}$ It is activity is able to be more continuous than any other form of tenuous in activity．（ $\gamma$ ）Pleasure is confessedly an ingredient of Happi－its activity ness，and the palm among pleasures，for purity and parma－rover）．
（ $\gamma$ ）It has the
and most important of all truths． Moreover it does not discover or prove them，but it recognizes them，it sees into them（ $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \in i)$ ． Hence the statement made above （see the note on cup $\quad$ rail，§ 1）that the operation of $\nu 0 \hat{s} s$ is $\theta \in \omega-$ $\rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ．

1，2．$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ and $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ are emphatic and in contrast．

3．$\pi a \rho a \mu \epsilon \mu i \chi \theta a \iota]$ It was explained in I．viii．10－14 in what sense Aristotle considers pleasure to be an ingredient in Happiness．
 the contrast between oo申ia and $\phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a$ ．The pleasures of philosophy，or the pursuit of Truth，are commonly thought （ $\delta 0 к \in i$ ）to be of a very high order：confessedly，therefore， the pleasure of the possession of Truth（ $\sigma o \phi i a$ ）must be higher still；for possession（as he pro－ ceeds）must be better than pursuit；fruition better than aspiration．Фi入óvoфos was a title first assumed by Pythagoras
as being a more modest one than most lasting oobós．He would not call pleasure himself＇a wise man，＇but a（i si（i天y）＇ ＇lover of wisdom．＇
 ＇It is reasonable to suppose that those who have attained know－ ledge pass their time more plea－ santly than those who are still seeking it．＇This，however，is a point much disputed，and the balance of general opinion is per－ baps the other way．The saying of Lessing is well known ：＇Did the Almighty，holding in his right hand，Truth，and in his left，Search after Truth，offer me the choice，I should prefer in all humility，but without hesi－ tation，Search after Truth．＇ Pascal（Pensées，I．ix．34）com－ pares the pleasures of the acqui－ sition and the pursuit of know－ ledge to the pleasures of having， won a game，and of actually playing the game．Similarly Butler，（Sermons，xv．），＇Whoever will in the least attend to the thing will see that it is the gain－







 5 op $\bar{\circ}$
neuce, is allowed to belong to the pleasure of the pursuit of knowledge. Still greater then must be the pleasure of the con-
( $\delta$ ) It is most 4 independent of circum. stances (аїтаркеббrátq). scious possession of knowledge. ( $\delta$ ) Intellectual activity is most self-sufficient and independent of external appliances. $5(\epsilon)$ This is the only sort of activity which can be truly said to
ing, not the having of it (knowledge), which is the entertainment of the mind.' Shakespeare again,-

- All things that are, Are with more pleasure chased than enjoyed.'
Superior as the pleasures of knowledge are, it may perhaps be true of them as of lower pleasures, that 'pleasure unattained is like the hare we hold in chase, . . pleasure attained is the same hare hanging up in the sportsman's larder, disregarded, despised, dead' (Horace Smith). Or, once more, in the familiar words of Pope,
('Man never is, but always to be, best.'
It should, however, be remembered that Aristotle uses the words 'possession of knowledge' here in reference to his own doc-
trine of $\theta \in \omega \rho i a$, ie. an active fruitin not a passive possession of it. See this fully explained in the Glossary under $\theta \in \omega \rho i a$.

3. $\sigma o \phi o ̀ s$ is taken as the type
 oi $\lambda o \iota \pi o \grave{~ r e p r e s e n t ~} \grave{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ ar $\rho \epsilon \tau \eta$.
4. кєХо $\eta \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ] See note

5. The cases of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ and ad $\nu \delta \rho \in i=s$ are further explained in $\S 4$ of next chapter.
6. oo dos is not 'wise' in the popular sense, but one who has attained $\sigma o \phi_{i}^{\prime} a$ in the technical sense of the last section, one who has reached the goal of philosophy. The full fruition of oo ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a$ is that $\theta \epsilon \omega$ pic already explained.
7. In $\S \S 5-8$ Aristotle in the last place argues the superiority of intellectual activity to all
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self or one's country power, honour, or in short Happiness. Happiness then, if an ulterior aim of political life, cannot be 7 identified with it. Intellectual activity then unites all the qualities now enumerated, and, if it be life-long, is the perfect

1. $\gamma \epsilon$ draws attention to $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ єن̉סaluovíav. If Happiness itself is an ulterior end of the Statesman's activity, we have the clearest proof that it is not identical with it.
 $\kappa \hat{s} s$ ] explained by $\pi a \rho$ ' aù $\quad$ ò $\tau \grave{o}$ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ in 1. 13, p. 249. The prosperity which is secured by Statesmanship is obviously something different from the practice of Statesmanship itself : even as peace, the object of war, is different from war. In $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a$ or intellectual activity there is no such result separate from the activity itself.
2. $\S 7$ is a recapitulation. Ei $\delta \dot{\eta}$ must be understood with each
clause up to that which commences with каì $\tau$ à aüтаркєs $\delta \grave{\eta}$, which is the apodosis of the sentence.
3. $\sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta}]$ 'earnestness,' or ' intensity.'
 'This (i.e. the pleasure of it) helps to intensify the activity itself.'
4. $\sigma \chi o \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu]$ 'capability 0 f affording repose,' in reference to $\S 6 ; \stackrel{a}{ } \quad \tau \rho v \tau 0 \nu$ (from $\tau \rho v(\omega$, to wear) 'freedom from weariness.' See $\S 3$ (init.) and last chapter § 6 (fin.). $\omega s{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$, 'so far as is possible for man.' Compare the limitation at the end of I. x. дакарíovs $\delta$ ' $\dot{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi$ ovs, ' $h a p p y$ as men.'













8 ideal of Happiness. True, such a life is beyond man's reach. Such a i life It is as much beyond such Happiness as he can attain to, as pure Intellect is beyond our composite and imperfect human human nature. Still we must strive after that perfection which we can never hope fully to reach, for the life of the Intellect is the life of that which is not only most divine in man, but 9 which also constitutes each man's true and proper self. From $\qquad$ Still it is most truly
5. $\mu \hat{\eta} k o s$ Biol tent $\epsilon \iota o \nu$ ]. See note on I. vii. 16.
6. $\tau 0 \hat{v} ~ \sigma v \nu \theta$ '́tov] 'the whole compound nature of man,'-including not only the divine alement of intellect, but the animal body, with its passions, appetites, etc. See next chapter § 3, where this argument is more fully worked out.
7. A favourite maxim of Greek writers: among others Cf.


8. $\dot{a} \theta a \nu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon[\nu]$ ' to act the immortal.' The termination -i $\left({ }^{( }\right)$
often has the force of acting or human. imitating, without becoming, what the root of the verb implies, e.g. M $\eta \delta i \zeta \omega$,' to take the side of the Cedes'; $\Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi i \zeta \omega$, 'to join Philip's party '; $\sigma o \phi i \zeta \omega$, 'to set up to be $\sigma 0$ obs.'.
9. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ö $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \omega \mu$ $\mu \kappa \rho \stackrel{\partial}{\nu}]$ literally 'small in its bulk.' This need not necessarily imply that Anistote believed the intellect ( yous) to have 'bulk' at all, ie. to be material, any more than Horace's 'divine particula aura.' It is a popular way of speaking.
10. rival $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\text {c }}$ кaбtos] ie. 'to be
char o













this point of view no other life could be so truly natural to man, nor, by consequence, so truly happy.

## Chap. VIII.-Secondary position of Moral Excellence.

Conversely,
we may show Thus far we have shown how Intellectual Excellence holds
that Moral Excellence holds a secondary place, for(i) It is essentially human, and never can be more than this. the first place. We can also bring positive arguments to prove that Moral Excellence as compared with it holds a secondary place in regard to Happiness. (i) First, it is essentially human, and bound up with all the imperfections of man's composite nature. In proof of this-(a) Justice, Courage, and other Moral Virtues in detail, have for the sphere of their action the circumstances of ordinary human 2 life. ( $\beta$ ) Some Moral Virtues would have no meaning apart
each man's self,' 'to constitute personality.' Not a man's fatares, or his body, or his appetites, or his passions, but his intellect, is his proper self, which distinguishes him from all other men, and all other beings whatsoever.
 rims the same meaning.
2. $\tau \iota \nu o s \tilde{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v$ is neuter, 'of something else.'
3. тò $\lambda \epsilon \chi$ Ө̇̀̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \in \rho o \nu]$ viz. I. ix. 5.
7. $\Delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ ס̀̀ . . . à $\rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu]$

13. $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \quad \sigma v \nu \omega \kappa \epsilon \iota \omega \sigma \theta a \iota$ roîs $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma c]$ 'has many points of connexion with the feelings,'
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 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o ̈ \sigma a ~ \tau о \iota a v ̂ \tau a \cdot \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho \stackrel{้ ้ \nu, ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta \iota a \phi e ́ \rho о \iota \cdot ~}{\text { ó }}$








lence can never be more than merely human Happiness.
(ii) It is more or less dependent on external circumstances. 4 ii. While under no circumstances can the body and its welfare be wholly neglected, yet Moral, as compared with Intellectual, Excellence has much greater need of external circumstances, regarding at any rate the active exercise of each. Moral Virtue cannot be practised, nor Moral Character mani5 fested, without favourable circumstances; and the more ex-
2. This is the converse aspect of the argumentin 44 of thelast ch.
 their respective activities, there will be a great difference,' viz. for those of Moral and Intellectual Excellence.
11. $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'power' or 'strength,' e.g. a cripple or paralytic could not display active
 à $\rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu)$.
 ' license to indulge.' There would be-no outward difference
between the teetotaller and the drunkard if there were nothing but water to be had.
14. ${ }^{\mu} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \tau \epsilon і$ íval $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ к.т.ג.] The importance of intention ( $\pi \rho 0 a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ) was insisted on in III. ii. $1, \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a ̀{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta$ $\kappa_{\rho} i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$. '(Intention) is a greater test of character than actions are.' So also in II. iv. 3, where the conditions necessary to a virtuous act were enum. erated; and among them is a deliberate resolution arising from pure motives, etc.












cellent the Virtue, the more numerous are the circumstances 6 required for its full development. All such circumstances are to Contemplation only hindrances, even when they cannot 7 be dispensed with. iii. Finally, only Intellectual Excellence (iii) It cancan be attributed to the gods: for-(a) There are no circum- not be attributed stances under which they could exercise some of the Moral to the gods
4. Observe the limiting and emphasizing force of $\gamma \epsilon$ here and in l. 6.
 actual human life intellectual activity cannot rightly be severed from moral practice. So that the philosopher, like others, stands in need' of these external appliances for the exercise of Virtue. He does not however need them as a philosopher, but as a man among men, nor with a view to his peculiar work, intellectual activity. On the contracy, though otherwise necessary, to it they are only impediments.
8. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 'to be a man,'
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ' to act one's part as a man.' The same difference exists between the active and middle of many similar words, eng. סou入єív, $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$, $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$, etc. So in III. vi. 12 $\dot{a} \nu \delta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ' to play the man.'
9. $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \theta \epsilon \nu\right]$ The outline of the argument is-All activity must be either $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$, or $\pi o \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$, or $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$. The two former cannot be assigned to the gods who are supremely happy, and yet they live, and live actively too. Hence their activity must be $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$, an intellectual or " contemplative activity.










Virtues: $(\beta)$ They have none of those moral imperfections which others presuppose : ( $\gamma$ ) If moral activity, and a fortiori productive activity, be excluded, there is only intellectual activity left. Therefore the activity of the gods, whose life is essentially a most blessed one, is contemplative or intellectual.
5. фортıкòs] 'gross,' or 'degrading'; see note on I. vel.
6. $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \iota \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ठè $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a$ к.т.入.] Of the precise sense in which these Virtues are exercised in our experience the statemont in the text is obviously true, Virtue being, as has been said, 'goodness in a state of warfare.' Whether there may not be a higher sense and a different sphere of action in which analogous Moral Virtues may be attributed to the Deity is another question, which the argument here leaves untouched. After all it is to some extent a question depending ( 1 ) on the precise meaning of the Greek words סíkalos, $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$, etc., as was the case in reference to ertanvos being inapplicable to the gods-see I. xii. 3. Also (2) still
more on the Greek conception of the Divine nature, for which see Glossary s.v. $\theta$ è̀s and $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota$ s. We may well compare Butler's argument, Anal. i. c. v. (p. 97, Angus's edition). 'Nor is our ignorance what will be the employments of that happy community, nor our consequent ignorance what particular scope or occasion there will be for the exercise of veracity, justice, and charity amongst the members of it with regard to each other any proof that there will be no sphere of exercise for those virtues. Much less, if that were possible, is our ignorance any proof that there will be no occasion for that frame of mind or character which is formed by the practice of those particular virtues and which is a result of it,' etc
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Happiness and Intellectual activity. And therefore again we assert that the highest Happiness is Intellectual.

IIuman Happiness cannot dispense with a moderate degree of external prosperity.

9
To descend once more to practical details. For man this continuous activity of Intellect only is a practical impossibility. He cannot be independent of some amount of external advanro tages. That that amount, however, is not excessive, but modeI rate, theory, experience, and the teaching of the wisest among to belong to Happiness also in I. xii.
§ 9-13. The concluding Sections recur to some practical questions connected with the conclusion now reached: the relation of Happiness to external circumstances; the concurrence of Aristotle's theory with those of previous philosophers, and, what is still more important, with facts; the superiority of

Happiness as now defined, owing to the good-will of heaven favouring that life which is 'likest God's.'
3. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a \nu=$ 'the other care that the body needs,' besides the securing of mere health and sustenance. $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ in the next sentence is corrective. We must not take this ' $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon i a$ ' to include too much.
6. $\dot{\tau} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}]$ Understand $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$


 $\pi \lambda o u ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ o u ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\delta v \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ in $\pi \sigma \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ̛ \delta a i \mu o \nu a, ~ \epsilon i m \grave{\omega} \nu$













12 men, combine to show. Still whatever weight we may assign to the authority of the wise, the last appeal must be to facts, and to the practical experience of life. To this tribunal we would refer all that has been asserted in the course of this 13 treatise. One more practical consideration we subjoin. If, as is generally believed, the gods regard the affairs of men, they will naturally love and favour those who are most like

Those whom the gods love best, viz. the wise, are naturally the happiest
2.'A $\nu a \xi a \gamma o ́ \rho a s ~ o u ̉ \pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu]$ Anaxagoras of Clazomenæ, in Ionia, resigned all his property to his relations and gave himself up to philosophical study for some thirty years at Athens.
6. lois $\lambda$ ójoıs $=$ our defini. tins or theories.
7. $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ out, as usual, fixes a strong emphasis on the preceding word, and thus marks a contrast between $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ and $\tau \grave{a} a^{\prime} \lambda_{n} \theta \epsilon ́ s$.
'Some ground for belief may be afforded by such a consensus, but the test of actual truth is to be derived in practical subjects from facts and from life.' Cf. Shakespare, Henry V. Act. I. Sc. i. :
So that the art and practice part of life Must be the mistress to this theoric.
12. 入óyous in $\pi 0 \lambda \eta \pi \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu]$ 'we must take them to be mere theories.'





 $\kappa a ̂ \nu ~ o u ̂ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon i ้ \eta ~ o ̀ ~ \sigma o ф o ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau ’ ~ \epsilon u ̛ \delta a i ́ \mu \omega \nu . ~$




themselves. Such are those in whom Intellect and Wisdom are most developed. And all will admit that the greatest Happiness will be found in those whom the gods love best. Therefore from this practical point of view also, the highest Happiness is linked with Wisdom or Intellectual Activity.

## Chap. IX.-Conclusion of the Treatise on Ethics, and transition to the Complementary Science of Politics.

In conclusion we must apply our theories to practice.

I This sketch of Virtue and subjects akin to it might now be concluded, except that no theory on such matters can be con-

Chap.IX.—This Chapter forms a general conclusion to the whole treatise. When we turn from theory to practice, Ethics must look for some authority to enforce its injunctions on those who will not hear. Failing the intervention of the State, Parental authority must take its place. In either case a scientific study of Politics or of the principles of Statesmanship is a necessary sequel to that of Ethics, if theory is to be carried on to practice
at all. In the absence of any accessible means for such a study Aristotle proposes to write a treatise on the subject himself, and the concluding words of the Book lead us at once to the commencement of his treatise on Politics.
 к.т.入.] Compare I. iii. 6, то̀ т́́ $\lambda o s$ oủ $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ à $\pi \rho \hat{a ̂ \xi ı s: ~}$ also II. ii. 1, and many other passages.
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The acquisi- 6 lion of Dirtue depends on
Disposition, Training, Teaching.

Now there are three courses, as it is commonly held, by which men arrive at Virtue. (1) Natural disposition ; (2) Moral training; (3) Intellectual teaching. The first is clearly beyond our control. As to the last, its influence varies in different cases, and depends on the hearer's mind having been previously prepared,
7 like soil for the seed. Passion when supreme will not hear, and indeed cannot understand, any argument but that of force.
' firmly fixed in the character.' For a similar statement cf. II. iii. 8, $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi о т \rho i ́ \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota ~}$ $\pi a ́ \theta o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma к є \chi \rho \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta i \varphi$. Also compare the expression
 cos in II. vi. 18.
4. $\left.\phi \dot{v} \sigma \in \iota \ldots{ }^{\prime} \ldots \theta \epsilon \iota \ldots \delta \iota \delta a \chi \hat{\eta}\right]$ We might compare the various causes suggested in I. ix. for the acquisition of Happiness: $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon$ ро́v $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \mu a \theta \eta \tau \grave{\nu} \nu(=\delta \iota \delta a ́ \chi \eta), \hat{\eta}$



 'those who are in the truest seise fortunate.' Compare III.

จ. 17, $\tau \grave{o}$ є $\pi \epsilon \emptyset v \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a$ каì à $\lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$

7. $\dot{\eta} \delta \iota \delta a \chi \dot{\eta}]$ Aristotle is perbaps led to lay stress on the inadequacy of mere intellectual teaching in Morals, on account of the undue prominence given to it by Plato, who held all Virtue to be (1) intellectual, (2) סוסakтóv. The words $\mu \dot{\eta}$ п $\pi o \tau^{\prime}$ out introduce the statement in a sag. gestive form, and almost = 'perhaps,' or 'it would seem that.' ${ }^{\text {. }}$
 is similarly used by Theophrastus for the 'tilling' of land.
11. ovid $\delta^{\circ}$ av ̉ $\left.\sigma v \nu \epsilon i n\right]$ 'he could





 $\grave{\eta} \delta \grave{v}$ тoîs $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s, ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon o i s . ~ \Delta i o ~ \nu o ́ \mu o ı s ~$









8 The second of the courses above named therefore alone remains : Moral training is our necessary starting-point in the We must formation of anal matter; such training moreover must begin with begin in childhood, and it can only be secured by the authority ins, and that of Law; for it must always be a painful process till we become mist bed by 9 accustomed to it, and especially so in youth. Moreover the external majority of men (who yield only to force and to the fear of punishment) need to have their conduct and occupations thus regulated for them not in youth only but all through life. 10 Hence it has been thought to be the duty of a legislator to not even understand.' See note đò jj $\theta o s$


 this Chapter.
13. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \pi a ́ v \tau a$ тòv $\beta i o v]$ For, as Aristotle says in I. iii. 7, $\delta$ ia-


14. סıóтєр ő้оעтаí тıvєs к.т.入.] Ancient and modern views of legislation are in marked contrast in this respect. See note on I. xii. 3, and cf. V. xi. l,















appeal to the nobler instincts of those in whom nature or good training has implanted such instincts, but to compel obedience from all others by pains and penalties, and, speaking generally, by inflicting such pains as are most opposed to the
II offending pleasures. All this implies a guiding Intellect, with power to enforce its decrees. Where then is this to be

Parental authority cannot adequately enforce it.

I2 found? Parental authority, and indeed that of any single individual, except he be an absolute monarch, lacks that
$\rho \epsilon$ v́є८, i.e. 'quod lex non jubet vetat.'
2. ко入áбєєs $\tau \epsilon$ каі̀ $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a s]$ For this distinction see note on III. v. 7.
7. aî $\left.\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu a \nu \tau \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau a \iota ~ к . \tau . \lambda.\right] ~$ Compare the argument in II. iii.
 $\pi \in ф \dot{v} \kappa a \sigma \iota \quad \gamma i \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
11. $\left.\tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu o l \tau ' ~ a ̂ ̀\right] ~ \delta \grave{~}$ marks the apodosis or conclusion of the sentence.
 declaration proceeding as it were from wisdom and intelligence.' i.e. Law expresses in an imper. sonal form the conclusions of human wisdom. As expressing such conclusions, it commands our obedience, as doing so impersonally and in the abstract, it does not excite our resentment. Aristotle in the Politics describes
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act on the same principles as tho ${ }^{\text {se }}$ which $^{\text {ich }}$ should guide State legislation.
The special adrantages so netimes claimed for private instruction do not supersede the use of such general knowledge.
that the moral training of society-the main object of all good legislation-and that of individuals must be guided by the same principles, and also that parental authority is to the family what laws are to the State. The former has indeed the advantage of resting upon natural affection and mutual I 5 good-will; and this home-training has the further merit that it can adapt its treatment better to the special circumstances of individuals, and that it can enter into minuter details. But even so it is best dealt with by those who have studied the problem in its general form ; just as the scientific physician sur16 passes the empiric, although the latter may occasionally effect
 $\left.\theta_{\eta} \sigma_{l}\right]$ ' does not impart the same style of fighting.' $\Pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \in \nu a \iota$ is
similarly used in the sense of ' conferring' or 'imparting,' with крátos, $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu, ~ \epsilon ̀ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a \nu$, etc.
















17 startling cures. On the same principle then we maintain that the best educator in private life is he who understands the 18 general principles of legislation. Next we ask-How is such These prinknowledge to be acquired? At first we should be inclined $\begin{gathered}\text { ciples can- } \\ \text { not be lear } \\ \\ \\ \text { n }\end{gathered}$ to answer-From Statesmen : but strange to say in Politics at present theory and practice are dissevered. Those profess to teach Sther from, who do not practise, viz. the Sophists: others practise but do who arel $\begin{gathered}\text { wirict, }\end{gathered}$
7. тò̀ $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \theta_{\text {énta] ' any given }}$ case that may be put before you.' This is the great point of difference between scientific and empiric knowledge. The latter may chance to achieve great success in one or two single cases (see § 16 init.), the former alone can deal with any case.
10. é $\boldsymbol{\pi} \subset \mu \bar{\wedge} \lambda \in \iota a]$ 'attention' or 'practice.' See I. ix. 4, 8九á тıvos $\mu a \theta_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ каі $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s$.
$\Phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ also is specially practical wisdom. See note on II. vi. 15 and B. VI. c. v. throughout.
13. $\epsilon$ ćóḱкı] The nominative apparently is $\nu 0 \mu_{0} \theta \epsilon \sigma$ ia understood from $\nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \tau<\kappa \dot{o} s$, and the reference is probably (as Grant suggests) to 1 . ii. 7, or it may be to VI. viii. 2.
14. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\delta v \nu a ́ u \epsilon \omega \nu]$ See note on I. i. 4.















 not profess to teach，viz．Statesmen．As to the latter，they seem to act by a sort of instinct and from experience rather than on fixed principles；they never write or speculate upon Politics；they cannot even train their children and their 19 friends in their own profession，as they doubtless would if they could．Still we would not depreciate the value of ex－ perience，which is an essential condition of the knowledge of
or from professed teachers（the Sophists）， who are charlatans． 20 Statesmanship．As to the former（viz．the Sophists），they

4．After oi $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ under－ stand $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

6．каítoı кá入入ıov к．т．入．］Not improbably a sneer at the states－ man and orator Demosthenes，all of whose writings are oratorical and not political．

12．où $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ цıкоóv $\gamma \epsilon$ к．т．入．］ This is to correct the apparent depreciation of the value of ex－ perience involved in the above
censure of practical statesmen．
14．$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} \rho \sigma v \nu \eta \theta \in i a s]$＇fami－ liarity with political life．＇The fact that this，apart from a body of fixed and conscious principles （l．4），makes men statesmen，is a proof of the importance of prac－ tical experience．

15．$\pi \rho 0 \sigma \delta \epsilon i \nu]$ ．On the force of this compound see note on I．x． 9.
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laws can never make a man a Statesman. The most we can say is that such a study may be useful to those who have already gained something of the Statesman's mind.

Hence we propose to investigate the subject of Politics for ourselves.

22 The field then is still open: a fresh and independent investigation of the true principles of Statesmanship is called for to complete the subject of the Science of Human Life. We propose therefore to undertake such an investigation, 23 availing ourselves of the labours of our predecessors in that

1. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \mu \dot{\mu} a^{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ ] 'treatises,' -not, as it is sometimes translated, 'prescriptions'-as is clear from what follows.
 telligent.' Though the stuady of medical treatises, or of collections of laws, can never make men physicians or statesmen, it may make them more intelligent and 'appreciative' in such sub. jects respectively.
2. $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ à $\nu \theta_{\rho} \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \iota \nu a$ $\phi \iota \lambda o-$ ooфia] This term was considered by Aristotle to include Ethics, Economics, and Politics-three practical Sciences dealing with the life and conduct of man in reference to himself, to his family, and to society respectively. The subject of Economics, though not mentioned here, occupies the first Book of the so-called 'Politics' of Aristotle.

 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ т $\mathfrak{a}$ тоîa $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ каì $\phi \theta \epsilon i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s$




 $\mu \in \nu o u$.
field, as well as of the experience supplied by constitutions that have already existed. Hence we may perhaps gather what is the most perfect form of government, and also what laws and customs are best suited to each particular form.
3. $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}]$ ' $\mathrm{col}-$ elections of constitutions;' in reference to such $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma a i$ as are mentioned above in \& 21 ; or else,
as some suppose, in reference to a collection framed by Aristotle himself. Fragments said to belong to such a work still exist.
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Hence in IV. ix. 8 Aristotle denies that $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \epsilon a$ is, strictly speaking, a Virtue, but only da $\rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \tau / s \mu / \kappa \tau \dot{\eta}$.

The distinction given in the Notes between ákb入a $\sigma \tau o s$ and $\dot{a} \kappa \rho a \tau \grave{\eta} s$ may be further illustrated by the statement in VII. viii. 1, $\dot{\dot{j}} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \dot{a} \kappa \delta \lambda a-$
 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda a \sigma l a$ is described as $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \grave{\eta} s \pi o \nu \eta \rho i a$ like consumption, etc., àкpaбla as oú $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi$ ク̀s like epilepsy, etc.
P. 12, I. iv. 4. ėnımoda 0 ov́ $\sigma a s]$ The former of the two explanations given in the Notes seems preferable, viz., that which is 'obvious,' or 'on the surface,' = Latin 'in promptu esse.' This suits the two other places where the expression occurs in the Ethics, viz., I. v. 4, IV. viii. 4. Also the phrase $\epsilon \pi \iota \pi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \tau \nu a \iota$ occurs in Rhet., etc., in the sense of 'to be obvious.'

- 5. What is stated in the note on $\gamma \nu \omega \rho / \mu \omega \nu$. . . $\delta \iota \tau \tau \hat{\omega} s$ is not only true of 'a being of more perfect knowledge,' but also of ourselves in the higher and more advanced stages of our knowledge. As Grote says, ' Even facts are then employed, directed, modified, by an acquired intellectual capital, and by the permanent machinery of universal significant terms in which that capital is invested.' Compare the distinction in the text with that drawn by Pope, Essay on Man, iv. 361-2, between Human and Divine Love:-

> 'God loves from whole to parts: but human soul Must rise from individual to the whole.'
P. 13, I. iv. 6. As a further illustration of the necessity of personal experience for the appreciation of Moral facts or ideas, add John vii. 17, ' If a man will do ( $\theta \in \lambda \eta$ roceiv) his will he shall know of the doctrine.'
P. 15, I. iv. 7. ${ }^{\Omega} \Omega \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon p o v$, i.e. neither the of $\tau \iota$ nor the $\delta \iota b \tau \omega$ The lines from Hesiod which follow are embodied by Livy (xxii. 29), in a speech of Minucius when acknowledging his bad treatment of Fabius.
P. 16, I. v. 3. $\beta о \sigma \kappa \eta \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ ßiov т $\rho \circ a \iota \rho o u ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota]$ Compare Hamlet, Act iv. Sc. iv.-

> 'What' is a man,
> If his chief good and market of his time Be but to sleep and feed?-a beast, no more.'

P 17, I. vi. 5. $\theta \in \sigma \iota \nu \delta \iota a \phi u \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \nu]$ Perhaps it would be more correct to give $\theta \in \sigma$ os $h . l$. the more technical sense of a 'paradox,' which is assigned to it by the Definition in Topics, I. ii., $\theta \in \sigma$ cs $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{u} \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \psi / s$

 the ordinary daily duties of slaves.
P. 37, I. vii. 20. The explanation of ' $a \rho \chi$ al derived from experience '
given in the notes, is different from that adopted in the earlier edition, and, I believe, more correct. Under any circumstances, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} h . l$ is not to be confused with the logical process of Induction, which (1) itself starts from d $\rho \chi a l$, and does not give them; and (2) is a process to which $\theta \epsilon \omega p o \hat{u} r \tau a \iota$ (denoting immediate apprehension) would not apply. 'A $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ here is simply 'what one starts with,' not necessarily (as in I. iv. 5) 'a general principle' (see Glossary, s.v. á $\rho \chi \eta$ ). It may be 'a general principle,' as in Mathematics, which a reference to (sometimes) a single fact of experience is sufficient to establish without further or formal proof.
 passage. Or it may be a simple fact, as the facts of observation in Physics and other a posteriori sciences, where again no further proof is required, e.g. 'This body falls with a definite accelerating velocity;' or as in Morals (so this passage asserts), e.g. 'This action is right,' or - This approves itself to me,' or vice versa.
 ness of this word (lit. 'to go after'), as also of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$ ('viewed' or 'perceived '), and of $\alpha \lambda \lambda a \iota \delta^{\prime}$ a $\lambda \lambda \omega s$. Aristotle's object here is not to enter upon the thorny subject of the nature of the evidence on which d $\rho \chi \alpha a$ rest, but only to insist on the negative point, that at any rate there is never demonstrative proof or a direct establishment of the $\delta / 6 \tau \iota$. Grote (Fragments, p. 131) translates, 'We ought in all our investigations to look after the $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ in the way which the special nature of the subject requires, and be very careful to define it well.'
P. 42, I. viii. 12. It may be worth while to quote at length the passage in Ecce Homo referred to in the note :-'Those who think that we should not make pleasure our chief object, yet commonly maintain that he who lives best will actually attain the greatest amount and the best kind of pleasure. . . . The practical objection to Epicureanism is not so much that it makes pleasure the summum bonum, as that it recommends us to keep this summum bonwm always in view. For it is far from being universally true that to get a thing you must aim at it. There are some things which can only be gained by renouncing them. . . . Now a practical survey of life seems to show that pleasure in its largest sense-a true and deep enjoyment of life-is also not to be gained artifcially. . . .' So Mill, Autobiography, p. 142: 'Those only are happy (I thought) who have their minds fixed on some object other than their own happiness. . . . Aiming at something else, they find happiness by the way. . . . Ask yourself whether you are happy and you cease to be so.' [The same is true of bodily health, etc.]
P. 54, I. x. 11. Td̀s $\tau u ́ \chi a s ~ o i ̂ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho d ́ \gamma \omega \nu o s] ~ C f . ~ D a n t e, ~ P a r . ~$ xvii. 24, 'Ben tetragono ai colpi di ventura.'
-12. $\delta \iota a \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \delta \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \nu$ ] Cf. a similar metaphor in Shakespeare's Taming of the Shrew, Act Iv. Sc. iii.-
'And as the sun breaks through the darkest clouds, So honour peereth in the meanest habit.'
P. 58, I. xi. 4. $\pi \rho o u ̈ \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. . . $\bar{\eta} \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ This corresponds with the distinction in Hor. A. P. 179, 'Aut agitur res in scenis aut acta refertur.' Compare the use of $\pi \rho o i ̈ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \not \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ in IV. ii. 14, also in Rhet. I. ii. 2. Aristotle describes nnartificial proofs as being $\delta \sigma a \mu \dot{\eta} \delta i \dot{\eta} \mu \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \pi b \rho \iota \sigma \tau a \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ$ ö $\pi \eta \rho \chi \in \nu$. In the Poetics Aristotle several times refers to incidents which are $\xi \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta l a s$, or $\xi \xi \omega \tau 0 \hat{0} \delta \rho \alpha \mu a \tau o s$ (cf. esp. the phrase $\delta \sigma a \operatorname{rr\rho \delta } \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon v$, in $x v .7$ ), and gives precepts for the management of such incidents, which rest on the fact noticed in this passage, viz., that they make a less distinct impression upon us; e.g. in reference to such incidents, a deus ex machina is less objectionable (xv. 7): improbability generally is more admissible, e.g. the circumstances connected with the murder of Laius and the marriage of Jocasta by CEdipus (xv. 7; cf. xiv. 6).

- $5 . \sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \circ \nu]$ Owing to the almost invariably technical use of this word in Aristotle for a logical conclusion or inference, this passage is frequently translated, 'we must conclude.' This does not however suit the general context, or the combination of particles $\delta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{kal}$. It should be rather, 'we must take into our calculation then this difference also,' viz., the difference resulting from our being present to, or absent from, the scene of action, as well as the difference in weight among troubles themselves, even when we are present. On this latter difference cf. x.
 still more closely parallel in Dem. de Fals. Leg. p. 356, Ė $\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{d} \nu$ toìs

P. 59, I. xii. 1. Thus these three classes of Goods correspond to those in vii. 4, $\delta v \nu d \mu \epsilon \epsilon s$ being good as means; $\tau i \mu \mu$ good always per se as ends; $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ good per se as ends, and also good as means. In § 2, $\tau \delta$ $\pi 0 i ̂ b \nu \tau \iota \epsilon \tau \nu a \iota$ refers to the former condition of $\epsilon \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \tau d$, and $\tau 亠 \pi \rho b s \tau \iota$ $\pi \bar{\omega}{ }^{\text {s }}$ é $\chi \in \iota$ to the latter.
P. 62, I. xiii. 8. $\epsilon \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \delta s]$ Besides the explanations of this word given in the note two others deserve notice-(1) It has been thought to mean simply any discourse or treatise other than that in hand. (2) Grote (Aristotle, i. 69) maintains the view that it means outside the regular method of Philosophy; i.e. discussion conducted in the method
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Thro' life 'tis followed, ev'n at life's expence: The merchant's toil, the sage's indolence; The monk's humility, the hero's pride; All, all alike, find reason on their side.'

Also by La Rochefoucauld (quoted by Pattison, l.c.), 'Il n'y a pas de violente passion qui n'ait sa raison pour s'autoriser.' Again, the 'socalled dictates of conscience and reason are sometimes only passions in the form of a syllogism' (Ugo Foscolo). In B. VII. c. iii. Aristotle explains at length how Reason.may help a man to go wrong selon les règles.
P. 95, II. vi. 17, etc. The refutation of the misconceptions of Aristotle's theory contained in these sections affords an answer also to another objection sometimes brought against it, that it makes the difference between Virtue and Vice to be quantitative only, and not qualitative; a question of degree merely, and not of kind; so that Virtue is a little more or less of Vice, and Vice a little more or less of Virtue; or, as it has also been put, that 'Virtue is only Vice a little exaggerated or a little controlled.' Take the following illustration :-Excess or defect of temperature will (so to speak) destroy Water by converting it either into Steam or Ice; a moderate degree (though within considerable limits) will preserve it in the form of Water : but Water is not a little more Ice or a little less Steam. The difference, though quantitative in respect of temperature, is qualitative in respect of the resulting material. So Virtue differs from Vice qualitatively, and is not Vice increased or Vice diminished, though in respect of the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$ and $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \epsilon$, with which they deal, the difference may be mainly, or even wholly, quantitative.
P. 97, II. vii. This proof of the Definition of Virtue (as explained in the Notes) is a good instance of 'Inductio per Enumerationem Simplicem,' or (as it is sometimes called) 'Perfect Induction': since if the Catalogue of Virtues is complete, all the possible cases to which the Conclusion can refer have been examined in the Premisses. By the same method, any general proposition relating to a limited and ascertainable number of cases may be established, as, e.g., ' all the Popes (until the present) have reigned less than twenty-five years.'
 and $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \iota a$, like $\alpha \kappa о \lambda \alpha \sigma l a$ and $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$, are defective in a third related term, and for a similar reason.
P. 101, II. vii. 8. $\epsilon \pi \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \alpha j_{0 \nu \tau a l] ~ A ~ l e g a l ~ t e r m, ~ r e l a t i n g ~ t o ~ a ~ d o u b l e ~}^{\text {a }}$
 a $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \alpha$ aкра. Still it is clear that if there is Excess and Defect there must be a Mean, else how could the transition occur from the one
to the other of these extremes? If the balance has turned, there must have been a point when it was even. This is admirably put by Pope ( $E_{88}$ ay on Man, ii. 207, etc.), when, speaking of 'extremes,' he says-

> ' Tho' each by turns the other's bound invade, As in some well-wrought picture light and shade, And oft so mix, the diff'rence is too nice, Where ends the virtue or begins the vice. Fools I who from hence into the notion fall, That vice or virtue there is none at all.'
 Aristotle corrects himself on this point in Rhet. II. ix. 5, '0 $\gamma$ d̀ $\rho$ aúrós $\epsilon \in \tau \tau \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \chi a \iota \rho \notin \kappa a \kappa o s ~ \kappa a l ~ \phi \theta o \nu \epsilon \rho b s$. In fact, they are (to bcrrow his illustration in c. xiii.) like the convex and concave sides of a circumference,
 would be a sort of moral indifference, such as is typified in the popular (though perverted) conception of 'a Gallio.' Also we might illustrate the feeling implied in $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ by Ps. lxxiii. 3, etc., 'I was grieved at the wicked; I do also see the ungodly in such prosperity.' In the present day the recognition of the virtuous side of Resentment ( $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau s$ ), as well as that of Anger, Self-Esteem, and perhaps Ambition, has rather fallen into the background. (See further, note p. 238.) The following passage from Dr. Abbott's most suggestive Bible Lessons (p. 175), on the Virtue of Resentment, is worth quoting:-'Anger is indifferent, being sometimes right and sometimes wrong; vindictiveness gives a selfish character to anger, and is always wrong. But there is an anger that is always right, such as one feels at the sight of cruelty, injustice, and oppression, a moral recoil of sentiment from evil.' After pointing out the etymological significance of Resentment as 'recoil of sentiment,' he proceeds, ' Resentment then is a Virtue, and a man who feeis no resentment at the sight of injustice is destitute of a true sense of sin. There is almost as great a deficiency of resentment in the world as there is an excess of vindictiveness.'

It may be worth while to compare the $\nu \notin \mu \epsilon \sigma$ ts of Aristotle with Resentment as depicted by Bishop Butler, and to contrast both with Anger in its legitimate manifestation by the $\pi \rho \hat{a} o s$, as in Eth. IV.c. v.

N $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, both in Eth. and Rhet., is emphatically connected with the undeserved prosperity of the wicked, rather than with the mere fact of their turpitude. See esp. Rhet. II. ix. 1, 7. Hence (1) it 'marches with ' Envy ; (2) it is in some sense the converse to Pity, which is aroused by undeserved adversity (Rhet. II. ix. 1).

Reseutment is (according to Butler) of two kinds, 'Sudden Anger,' and 'Settled Resentment.' The latter is Resentment proper, and in that aspect it is aroused ' not by natural but moral evil,' not by suffering, pain, or loss, but by injury; 'it is never occasioned by harm as distinct from injury:' So again, 'its natural object is one who has been in a moral sense injurious to oneself or others.'

Anger, on the other hand, (1) may be aroused (as Butler says) by mere harm as distinct from injury;' [though no doubt the 'harm ' is often spontaneously assumed to be also 'injury.' Cf. Eth. V. viii. $10, \notin \pi \imath \phi a \iota \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \ell \nu \eta$

 $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i \nu \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi a(\nu \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \dot{\partial} \theta \dot{\prime} s$ ] ; (2) it is more of a personal feeling [Aris-


 Sermon viii. p. 437, ed. Angus] ; (3) 'its reason and end (says Butler) is to prevent and resist sudden force, violence, and opposition, considered merely as such.' Similarly, Aristotle (Eth. IV. v. 6) notes that in its absence a man is not $\dot{a} \mu \nu \nu \tau \iota \kappa \delta$ s.

In Rhet. II. iv. 31, etc., Aristotle describes the feeling of $\mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma o s$, in contrast with bpỳ, in terms which bring it into close resemblance to



 ơ, к.т. $\lambda$.
P. 106, II. viii. 2. $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i o s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi \rho \partial े s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \rho a \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \lambda 6 s$ ] As, for instance, Fabius in the estimate of Minucius: 'Pro cunctatore segnem, pro cauto timidum, affingens vicina virtutibus vitia, compellabat' (Livy, xxii. 12 fin.)
P. 107, II. viii. 7. Speaking generally, we may say that the Excess is better when the Virtue mostly relates to the encouragement of the Feeling with which it is concerned, and the Defect when the Virtue mostly relates to its repression.
 sion applied in Athenæus to Chæremon, that he was $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa a \pi d \phi o p o s ~ \epsilon \pi i$ $\tau d \alpha \nu \theta \eta$, i.e. 'fond of dwelling on descriptions of flowers.'
P. 111, II. ix. 8. $\dot{\delta} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \kappa \kappa \delta \partial \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Hence it follows that the Virtu-$ ous mean is not like a straight line without breadth, but a moderately wide path, not to be too closely defined, although after all-
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detinite and positive than that of a Voluntary act. Hence Involuntary is investigated first (as in Book V. Injustice is. discussed before Justice, cf. V.i. 8), and this Definition of 'Voluntary' simply excludes the two conditions which have been shown to constitute Involuntariness, viz,
 the former, and $\epsilon l \delta b \tau \iota \tau \grave{a} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \xi_{\kappa} \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{\ell} \nu \nu$ ots $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota s$ to the latter. In V. viii. 6, 7, Involuntary acts $\beta i \underline{a}$ are described as $\dot{\alpha} \tau \cup \chi \eta \mu \mu a \tau a$, those $\delta \iota$ $\alpha_{\gamma \nu o u}$ as $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$.

The supplementary $\S$ 21, etc., contain an argument similar to that in $\S \$ 11$, etc. : as it was there shown that the violence of pleasure is not such as to constitute the involuntariness of compulsion, so it is contended here that the blindness of passion or desire does not constitute the involuntariness of ignorance.
P. 123, III. i. 25. á $\kappa о \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \alpha$ $\lambda v \pi \eta \rho \grave{d}]$ This is true in all cases, for

 we have done.

- 27. $\phi \epsilon \cup \kappa \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu]$ The converse of the argument from $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ in § 24. In that case we ought to do something; in this case we ought to avoid something.
"Avotov $\delta \grave{\eta}$, к.т. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ The reason for this assertion seems to be that so many of our actions proceed rather from unreasoning impulse than conscious and deliberate purpose, that we should have to relegate too large a proportion of our lives to the sphere of involuntary action on the supposition in question. The opponent's contention would prove too


P. 124, III. ii. 3. Compare I. xiii. 18, тд $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \theta v \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ каl $\delta \lambda \omega s$ $\delta \rho \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$, which shows that $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu l a$ falls under $\delta \rho \epsilon \xi \iota s$ as its genus.
P. 125, III. ii. 6. It is difficult to find a precise equivalent for $\theta u \mu \delta s^{\prime}$, but we can gather its meaning (1) from Plato's use of $\theta v \mu \partial s$ or $\tau \delta$ $\theta v \mu o \epsilon i \delta{ }^{\prime} s$ as the element of Spirit, or Will, or Resolution, or whatever it may be called, which gives practical effect to the abstract decisions of Reason, in its conflict with the $\epsilon \pi i \theta v \mu l a$, and causes the man's action to follow it rather than them; (2) From Aristotle's use of the word elsewhere, e.g. the description of the Spurious Courage of $\theta v \mu \partial_{s}$ (High Spirit or Impetuosity) in III. viii. 10, etc.; also the opposition of impulsive to deliberate action, $\tau \grave{d} \epsilon \kappa \kappa v \mu o \hat{v}$. . . $\tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \rho o \nu o l a s$, Eth. V. viii. 9; also from its occasional interchange with $6 \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$, e.g. Rhet. I. x. 8 , etc.,

Eth. III. i. 24, V. viii. 9 ; also from the greater evil of ákpacia $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\theta \nu \mu o \hat{\nu}$ as compared with $\dot{\alpha} \rho \rho a \sigma \ell \alpha \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu, E t h$. VII. vi. (cf. II. iii. 10, $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta}$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \geqslant \theta \partial \mu \hat{\varphi})$. Hence I have ventured, though with much hesitation, to translate it h.l. 'Spirit,' in the sense of an impulsive and resolute, but unreflecting, source of action. St. Hilaire, though translating the word by 'colère' in i. 27, paraphrases it in this chapter 'la passion que le cour inspire.'
P. 131, III. iii. 11-13. $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \tau \in \lambda$ os $\tau \iota$. . . áфıбтavтal] Shakespeare has described the process similarly in 2 Henry IV. Act 1. Sc. iii.-
> ' When we mean to buld,
> We first survey the plot, then draw the model; And when we see the figure of the house, Then must we take the cost of the erection; Which if we find outweighs ability, What do we then, but draw anew the mocel In fewer offices; or, at least, desist To build at all ?'
 $\tau \dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon}$ s as the causa cognoscendi, not the causa essendi. Such a relation is similar to that claimed by the Vatican Council towards Papal Infallibility, as declaring, but not constituting, the Popes infallible.
P. 137, III. v. 1. As a further illustration of Plato's theory of the involuntary error of Vice, we might say that he regards a vicious choice as like that of a man who should take poison mistaking it for wholesome medicine. At the time he takes what he thinks is good for him, though it is in reality bad. He does not however choose it as such, and so he commits not a 'crime,' but a 'blunder,' which, in Plato's estimate at any rate, was better. Aristotle, in V. ix. 6, adopts language very similar to
 otovoaiov. So also in Rhet. I. x. 8.
P. 138, III. v. 4. oú $\delta \epsilon i s$ éк $\dot{\nu} \nu \pi o \nu \eta \rho \partial s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] It should be noted that$ $\pi \quad$ rovppos has the double sense of 'wretched' and 'wicked' (compare' 'cattivo' in Italian),-language, in this and many other words, reflecting the natural tendency to connect physical and moral imperfections. The former sense was doubtless intended by the unknown author of the line quoted in the text, as the antithesis with $\mu$ áка $\rho$ would show.
P. 139, III. v. 7-15. The general argument of these sections is that legislators never punish except for what is voluntary, and they are so careful about this as to follow up to their sources vicious acts, which might seem prima facie involuntary, and if they can trace them, however remotely, to an avoidable cause, they treat them as voluntary, and
punish accordingly. So fully, therefore, do mankind generally hold the voluntariness of Vice, that we are treated as responsible not only for our immediate actions, but also for all the demonstrable and inevitable results of our actions, however little we may have contemplateds those results. If we fire a train of gunpowder, we are responsible for the damage done at the other end, though it may be far beyond our reach.
P. 143, III. v. 17. $\delta \pi a \rho^{\prime}$ è $\left.\tau \notin \rho o v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \epsilon ' ̇ \phi v t a\right] ~ C o m p a r e ~ P o e t . ~ c . ~ x x i i . ~$
 єúgutas $\tau \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{b} \nu \quad$ Ė $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
P. 147, III. vi. 10. ínbyuca $\left.{ }^{8 \nu} \tau a\right]$ Lambinus translates, 'iis impendentibus atque instantibus quæ mortem afferunt.' The following illustrations are in favour of the interpretation 'handy,' or ' close at hand,' rather than 'sudden.' In Pol. VII. (VI.) viii. 3, Commerce is said to be
 securing independence. And Rhet. II. iii. 12, кєХроviкbтєs, кal $\mu \grave{\eta}$
 'while they are still close at hand to the feeling of anger': 'quum non
 $\kappa \epsilon \ell \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$, Thuc. III. $x \times x v i i i .1$.

Twice in the Rhet. (I. i. 7, II. xxii. 11) the adverbial phrase $\epsilon \xi$ $\dot{v} \pi$ orviov occurs = 'suddenly,' ' on the spur of the moment.' It seems probable that Aristotle would have employed it here if that had been the sense intended. His meaning seems rather to be that courage is exercised not only when death actually occurs, but also in dangers like those of war, when it appears imminent or close at hand, even if it be ultimately escaped. Thus a prisoner may be actually led out $\dot{\omega} s \epsilon \pi i$ $\theta \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau o \nu$, or $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \nu o \nu$, and even if he were released unhurt, might have displayed courage as genuine as if he had actually died. The passage will thus be very similar to that in Rhet. II. v. 2, where, after defining those things which are, strictly speaking, $\phi \circ \beta \epsilon \rho d$, Aristotle adds, кal $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\phi о \beta \epsilon \rho 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma c a \sigma \mu 6$ s.
P. 148, III. vii. 1, 2. Compare Macbeth, Act I. Sc. vii.-

- I dare do all that may become a man : Who dares do more is none.
P. 150, III. vii. 7, 8. "Observe the two characteristics of the $\theta \rho a \sigma$ os here indicated-(1) his excess of confidence (§7); (2) his desire to display his courage; he wishes 'to appear unto men' to be brave (§8). So
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of facility of retreat in diminishing the courage of soldiers: ' Et propinqua Cremonensium mœnia, quanto plus spei ad effugium, tanto minorem ad resistendum animum, dabant.'
——6. $\kappa \epsilon \nu \AA$ dov̂ $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ v]$ Another illustration of this might be found in the strange and dazzling costumes adopted by the Samnites, in s.c. 308, to strike terror into the Romans. The Dictator Papirius Cursor forewarned his troops of the unreality of such a display, 'horridum militem esse debere . . . illa prædam verius quam arma esse,' etc.(Livy ix. 40.)
 Shakespeare, Ant. and Cleop. Act III. Sc. xiii.-

- To be furious

Is to be frighted out of fear; and in that moch, The dove will peck the estridge; and I see still, A diminution in our captain's brain Restores his heart.'
—— 12. $\phi v \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \tau d \tau \eta, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] In reference to the comparative amount$ of the elements of $\theta v \mu \partial s$ and $\pi \rho o a l \rho \in \sigma \iota s$ in Courage, Professor Mahaffy (Rambles in Greece, p. 146) remarks that the ordinary Greek Courage involved more $\theta u \mu \partial s$ than accords with our notions, but that these again seem to allow more of that element than Aristotle's ideal of Courage. [See Introduction, p. xxxvi, etc., and note on ix. 4.] Greek generals, instead of advising coolness, specially incite to rage, $\delta \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \mu \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, etc., as if a man not in this state would be sure to estimate the danger and run away.
P. 158, III. viii. 16. $\mathfrak{a} \xi(\omega \mu a]$ In Pol. II. v. 25, oi $\mu \eta \delta \not ̇ \nu \dot{d} \xi(\omega \mu a \alpha \in \kappa \tau \eta \mu \notin \nu 06$ ['peu jaloux de leur dignité ' (St. Hilaire)] are opposed to $\theta v \mu о \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon i$ is кal
 the $\chi$ aûvos in this respect.
 in Horace Ep. II. ii. 26-40.
P. 162, III. х. 3. $\phi \iota \lambda o \mu \dot{\theta} \theta$ ous кal $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \tau \iota \kappa o \dot{s}, \kappa . \pi . \lambda$.$] This seems to$ be precisely the type of character assigned to the Athenians in Acts xvii. 21 , 'who spend their time in nothing else but to hear and tell some new thing.'
P. 169, III. xii. 3. $\delta 6 \xi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \delta \nu \nu, \kappa . \tau_{\text {. } .] ~}$ ] The former of the cases mentioned in the note would, in fact, be exactly that of Falstaff in his wellknown soliloquy on Honour, 1 Henry IV. Act v. Sc. 1; or again in Sc. 3 (fin.), 'Give me life : which if I can save, so; if not, honour comes uniooked for, and there's an end.'
P. 171, III. xii. 6. The nbsence of $\kappa 6 \lambda a \sigma t s$, indicated by the word aкó入artos, is expressed by the Latin 'improbus.' Compare with this passage I. iii. 7, where those who live $\kappa a \tau d \dot{d} \pi \dot{d} \theta$ os are described as children in character.
P. 182, IV. i. 30-32. A good illustration of this better type of a $\sigma \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ os will be found in Timon of Athens, as depicted by Shakespeare in the first two Acts of the play. Compare especially with § 31 fn . Timon's reflection in Act II. Sc. ii. -

> ' No villainous bounty yet hath pass'd my heart ; Unwisely, not ignobly, have I given.'

Daste also recognises two somewhat similar classes of Prodigals, whose moral turpitude he considers to be so different that he places those corresponding to Aristotle's better type (§31) in the fourth circle of Hell, but the latter in the seventh circle, ranking them, in fact, with the

 former with $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho a \sigma i a$, that of the latter with какia (Inf. xi. 70, etc.)
P. 188, IV. ii. M $\epsilon \gamma{ }^{\alpha} \lambda 0 \pi \rho \xi \pi \epsilon \epsilon a$. I am inclined now, on the whole, to prefer the translation 'Magnificence' for $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon a$. That word is not, it is true, in our usage limited to the expenditure of money; but, on the other hand, it is not so restricted to the notion of mere amount as Munificence would seem to be. It is important to observe that the conspicuousness and grandeur of the expenditure and its occasion is the essential point of difference between $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon a$ and $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon \rho \iota 6 \tau \eta s$. Naturally, greatness of amount is an almost necessary accompaniment of such conditions. Still it is only one form of the grandeur implied in $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda 0$ -
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta a \pi \alpha \nu \eta \dot{\mu} a \tau \iota(\S 18)$. We see also in both the Excess and Defect that display and showiness are an essential element in this group of habits. The $\beta$ ajvavoos thinks most of the display, and that in reference to himself chiefly (§ 20). The $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi$ 市s thinks worthily and adequately of the display, and not exclusively in reference to himself. (Contrast $\xi \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ in
 $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi a \tau o \nu, \S 9$, etc. etc.) The $\mu<\kappa \rho о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \bar{\eta} s$ does not rise to a grand occasion at all. He is 'paltry' rather than merely 'sordid.' Note that he too is described as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{a} \nu a \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma a s$ sometimes (which could scarcely be said of the 'Sordid' man), but that he wishes to make a display and keep his money too; and so $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \mu \kappa \rho \hat{\varphi}$ тд калдд $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ (§ 21). He lacks that almost 'scientific instinct' (see $\S \S 5,10$ ) by
which the pegeiorperis seta of with a "gravd styie' (S 19) all that be does
 Stakenpoare's Heary FIII. Act i So i.-
'Fripind ins anosstor, wiose crace Chana moomeres thetr wxe:"
P. 193, IT. $\bar{n}$. 19 oir einotenchtror] Ci. ini. is It is related of Larenso de' Medici surnamet "ite Nagnifioost' (mepulerperis), that eren in his childhood, haring received as a present a borse from Sicily, be at once cent the dinor in return a gitt of moch griuter valoe, remart. ing, when reproved for profusedens, that there was nothing mere moth Shan to overcome cthers in acts of gepensity.- (boroce's Lieie)
 into the Greet idoul eren of female bearty, es Homer, 022 xiii. 259,
 puonurär cibbs te méyelts re (a sort of S. Barhara after Pahma Vecchio).
 picjetios.
 yrxia to the other rirtaes is some what like that of the Chief Grod to the ather Gronds as descrited by the words raotio ciperwordory nd onvaph moopuév (L. vï. S). Megalogencia maites and inctodes them all, and it also gives them an adifitional lostre, 'olem abopes ras' very moch as


- 17. We might Illostrate this lofty indifference of the pejadiynxus to the opinions of others, by a saring of Angelo Politiono ( $E$ p in. 24), ${ }^{4}$ I sm no mare nised or dejected by the finttery of my friends or the socusations of wy enemies, than I am loy the shadow of my own body; for although that shadow may be somewhat longer in the morning and the evening than in the middle of the dar, I do not thint myself a tsller man at those times than I am at noon.'
 Mare 25: 'Il fant de pias groodes vertos pour sontenir la boano for tume que la marraiso.'
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 does in any degree conform bis words or actions to suit another, it will be for the sake of a friend.
P. 206, IV. iii. 30. oú $\delta \varepsilon$ è $\left.\mu \nu \eta \sigma \ell_{\kappa} \alpha \kappa o s\right]$ Compare Coriolanus, Act v. Sc. iii.-
'Thinkst thou it honourable for a noble man
Still to remember wrongs?'
P. 207, $i b$. 31. oú $\delta^{\prime}$ ầ $\left.a v \theta \rho \omega \pi o \lambda b \gamma o s\right]$ Contrast the $\chi a \hat{v} \nu o s, \S 36$ ( fn .), $\lambda$ '́rovaı $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ aúr $\hat{\nu} \nu$. Wordsworth's well-known four sonnets on 'Personal Talk' may also be referred to in illustration.
oú ${ }^{\prime}$ a $\hat{u}$ é $\left.\pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \delta s\right]$ Compare what Thackeray says of Addison, ' He did not praise, because be measured his compeers by a higher standard than most people have.' Pope describes the same trait in Addison, 'Alike reserved to blame or to commend,' but with a cynical imputation. -(Prologue to Satires, 1. 205.)
$\delta \iota \quad v \beta \rho \iota \nu]$ Compare the account of $v \beta \rho \iota s$, given in Rhet. II. ii. 5, as



 coarsely comic treatment of his subject adopted by Dante in that part of Hell called Malebolge (where various types of fraud are punished) is an indication of his utter contempt for sinners of that class. He is како入б $о$ os $\delta \iota^{\prime} \quad \geqslant \beta \rho \nu$.
 Aristotle would apparently regard as a mark of rank 'Philistinism.' Cf.
 тoîs $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o \psi u ̛ \chi o i s ~ к a l ~ e ̀ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho o c s . ~$
 Twelfth Night, Act im. Sc. iv., proposes to assume 'a sad face, a reverend carriage, a slow tongue, in the habit of some sir of note.'
P. 208, IV. iii. 35. є́avtס $a \pi \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \hat{i}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.] ~ C o m p a r e ~ S h a k e s p e a r e, ~$ Merchart of Venice, Act m. Sc. vii.-
' And yet to be afeard of my deserving Were but a weak disabling of myself.'

Or again, Henry V. Act m. Sc. iv.-
'Self-love, my liege, is not so vile a sin As self-neglecting.'

So Dante on the demoralising effects of 'viltate' ( $\mu$ ккрочuхia), lnf. ii. 45, etc. (Cary's translation), -

\author{

- Which oft
}

So overcasts a man, that he recoils
From noblest resolution, like a beast At some false semblance in the twilight gloom.'
And La Rochefoucauld similarly of 'faiblesse :'—' La faiblesse est le seul défaut que l'on ne saurait corriger ' (Max. 130). 'La faiblesse est plus opposée à la vertu que le vice' (Max. 445).
 Aristotle (Rhet. II. xiii. 9) states that a too great regard for self is a mark of $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \circ \psi v \chi l a-\mu \iota \kappa \rho \circ \psi v \chi l a \quad \gamma d \rho \tau \iota s \kappa a l a \forall ̋ \tau \eta$ [sc. $\dot{\eta} \lambda l a \nu \phi i \lambda a v \tau l a]$.
P. 213, IV. v. 3. With this definition of $\pi \rho a b \tau \eta s$ compare Dante's conception of it as being 'not so much unresisting gentleness to evil as the righteous indignation which repels it without any feeling of personal irritation.'-(M. F. Rossetti.) [See Supplementary Note on IV. iii. 31.] So in the Convito (iv. 17) he gives as a description of the $\pi \rho a b \tau \eta s$ of Aristotle, 'The Virtue which moderates our anger and our too great patience against our external ills.' If we look only at the former aspect of it (see § 6, fin.), the $\pi \rho$ âos might degenerate to Hamlet's description (Act II. Sc. ii.), and become

## - Pigeon-livered and lack gall, To make oppression bitter.'

Further, Dante punishes this vice of defect (Accidia) in the same Circle with the vice of Excess (Iracundia). These habits are distinguished from $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ with its related vices, in that the former involve the notion of personal injury (including that of friends, $\delta$ dà $\rho$ $\phi(\lambda o s$ Ërepos aúros); also that they include what Bishop Butler calls 'harm' as well as injury. See also Supplementary Note on II. vii. 15.
 Act i. Sc. i.-

> 'Anger is like
> A full-hot horse, who being allowed his way Self-mettle tires him.'
 - 8, 9] The first two classes here mentioned resemble the 'passionate' and the 'peevish' of Bishop Butler's eighth Sermon (p. 440, ed. Angus):-'As to the abuses of Anger, which, it is to be observed, may
 irst which occurs is what is commonly called passion. . . . This dis-
temper of the mind seizes men upon the least occasion in the world, and perpetually without any reason at all, and by means of it they are plainly every day, every waking hour of their lives, in danger of running into the most extravagant outrages (§8). Of a less boisterous but not of a less innocent kind is peevishness (cf. of akpbxodoc $\delta \xi \in i(s)$, which I mention with real pity for the unhappy creatures who . . . are obliged to be in the way of it (cf. rois $\mu \mathrm{d} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \phi(\lambda o c s, \S 10)$. That which, in a more feeble temper, is peevishness, and languidly discharges itself upon every-
 principle in a temper of greater force and stronger passions becomes rage and fury.'
P. 217, IV. vi. 2. $\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma \kappa 0 \lambda o s]$ Equivalent to dificilis in Horace's description of Old Age, A.P. 173. Conversely, Dante mentions 'Affability' (by which word he translates Aristotle's $\phi \lambda \lambda(a)$ as one of the four Virtues peculiarly appropriate to Old Age (Conv. iv. 27).
P. 220, IV. vi. 8, fin. With this characteristic of $\phi i \lambda l a$ compare ' Let the righteous rather smite me friendly, and reprove me' (Ps. cxli. 5). Also Shakespeare, Two Gentlemen of Verona, Act in. Sc. i.-

> 'Thus for my duty's sake, I rather choose To cross my friend in his intended drift, Than, by concealing it, heap on your head A pack of sorrows,' etc.
IV. vi.9. (As another illustration of the habits of the $\kappa \delta \lambda a \xi$ )-Swift in his Journal to Stella writes: 'Did I ever tell you that the Lord Treasurer hears ill with the left ear, just as I do? I dare not tell him that I am so, for fear he should think that I counterfeited to make my court.' A striking, though exaggerated, illustration of the Churl ( $\delta \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho a l \nu \omega \nu$ ) may be found in Apemantus (Timon of Athens), who stands in vivid contrast with the herd of к6лaкes who surround Timon in his prosperity.
 may intervene as a disturbing force, and then the resulting act may not be a true index of general character, of what the man is $\epsilon \nu \beta i \varphi \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \varphi$.
 transformed by self-interest into a $\kappa \delta \lambda a \xi$.
 one 'who sweareth to his neighbour and disappointeth him not, though it were to his own hindrance,' would be classed as dicalos rather than $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s$.
${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{z}$ ois . . . $\left.\bar{a} \lambda \eta \theta \in \dot{\varepsilon} \in \epsilon\right]$ There is an abrupt change of construction here
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La Rochefoucauld attributes to human nature generally this charac-

P. 227, IV. viii. 3. єौт $\rho \circ \pi \ll]$ This quickness of intellectual movement in the $\epsilon \dot{i} \tau \rho d \pi \epsilon \lambda$ os stands in contrast with the afterthought-wit so happily described in the French phrase, ' l'esprit de l'escalier;' and it is similar in kind to the power of employing metaphor ( $\tau \delta \gamma d \rho \epsilon \dot{\Delta} \mu \epsilon \tau a \phi \varepsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\tau \delta \tau \delta \mu_{0 \iota o \nu} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau(\nu)$, stated by Aristotle (Poet. xxii. §9) to be a mark of genius.
P. 228, IV. viii. 6. $\tau \ddot{\omega} \nu \kappa a / \nu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ This expression (as in the Poetics) does not refer to what is technically known as the 'New,' but the 'Middle' Comedy. The 'New' had not yet arisen. (See Donaldson, Theatre of the Greeks, sixth ed., pp. 63, etc.)
$\pi \rho \partial s \in \dot{v} \sigma \chi \eta \mu 0 \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu]$ It does not, however, therefore follow that the latter method has the advantage from the point of view of morality. It is quite possible to maintain the reverse. Speaking of Shakespeare's occasional alo $\chi \rho 0 \lambda$ oria, Coleridge writes :-' It may sometimes be gross, but I boldly say that he is always moral and modest. (?) In our day, decency of manners ( $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ \sigma^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ) is preserved at the expense of morality of heart, and delicacies for vice are allowed ( $\dot{u} \pi 6 v o r a$ ), whilst grossness against it is hypocritically, or at least morbidly, condemned.'

- 7. $\tau \delta \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \nu \tau a]$ Compare with this expression the definition of $\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \lambda l a$ in Rhet. II. xii. 16, as $\pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \ddot{v} \beta \rho \iota s$.
P. 229, IV. viii. 9. $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\quad \sigma \omega s$ каl $\left.\sigma \kappa \omega ̈ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\right]$ Juvenal (iii. 153) regards liability to ridicule as the hardest part of the lot of poverty; and La Rochefoucauld remarks, 'Le ridicule déshonore plus que le déshonneur' (Max. 326).
—— 10. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho a[\nu \epsilon \iota]$ Compare Merchant of Venice, Act i. Sc. i.-
' And other of such vinegar aspect That they 'll not show their teeth in way of smile, Though Nestor swear the jest be laughable.'
P. 240 (Introductory Note to Book x.) The same distinction, derived doubtless from Aristotle, between the Active and the Contemplative Life, constantly reappears in Mediæval writers. With Dante especially it is a favourite subject. He symbolises the antithesis in the Commedia by Leah and Rachel, and also (in a somewhat different aspect) by Matilda and Beatrice, and in the Convito by Martha and Mary. The following passage especially may be quoted in illustration,-'In truth it should be known that we can have in this life two kinds of Happiness, according as we follow two different good and excellent paths which lead us thither;
the one is the Active Life and the other the Contemplative. The latter (though by the Active we arrive, as has been said, at true Happiness) leads us to the highest Happiness and Felicity [compare eúdalp $\omega \nu$ and $\mu$ aкápıos in I. x. 14], as the Philosopher proves in the tenth Book of the Ethics.'-Convito, iv. 17.
P. 243, X. vi. 3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \iota \delta \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ ai $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon i a l]$ Such recreations as are sug. gested in the Note would fall under the head of $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \circ \sigma_{c}$ rather than $\dot{\eta} \delta \in \mathfrak{a}$ (see II. iii. 7). A higher class still might deserve the title of кa入d (see VII. iv. 5), and such the $\sigma \pi$ ovoaios would take pleasure in (§5), since he, like all men, needs d̀ámavaıs (§ 6). To such Aristotle

 $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta \nu]$, and he would consider Music as fulfilling such a condition. The whole passage in Pol. V. (VIII.) v. 10-13, should be comparei where Aristotle again explains why $\pi \alpha i \delta i d$ is often thought to be $\tau \in \lambda=s$, and why it is not really so.
P. 246, X. vi. 6. oú $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda$ os $\grave{\eta}$ á $\nu a \pi a v \sigma \iota s]$ Cf. Pol. V. (VIII.) v. 10,


P. 253, X. vii. 8. Ó̇ $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ кãà, к.т.ג.] This standard of Happiness, though superhuman ( $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau \omega \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ ), is still human, in the same way that the Christian standard of moral perfection is a true standard to set before men, even though the highest human efforts can never be otherwise than an asymptote in reference to it.
 dкрati)s cannot be $\phi$ pholuos, though he may be $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta s$, see VII. x. 1 .

 фрогıuos.
 for Measure, Act i. Sc. i.-

> 'For if our virtues
> Did not go forth of us, 'twere all alike As if we had them not.'

[^5] Oompare Merchant of Venice, Act II. Sc. ix.-
"What many men desire! that "many" may be meant .
By the fool multitude, that choose by show,
Not learning more than the fond ege doth teach;
Which pries not to the interior.'
 Sc. i.-

- If there's a power above us
(And that there is all nature cries aloud Through all her works), he must delight in virtue, And that which he delights in must be happy.'

The following scheme will show at a glance the connection of Ch. vi.-viii.
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are not acquainted with, neither is it the proper object of valour.' Comp. inf. c. ix. § 6.
P. 151, III. vii. 12. Add to the illustrations in the note the graphic contrast drawn by Livy (vii. 10) between the Gaul and Torquatus before engaging in single combat. He says of the latter, ' pectus animorum iraeque tacitae plenum, omnem ferociam in discrimen ipsum certaminis distulerat.' Conversely, Tacitus ascribes both to the Gauls and Britons the habit censured by Aristotle in the text: ' in deposcendis periculis eadem audacia, et ubi advenere, in detractandis eadem formido.' (Agricola, c. xi.)

Pp. 152-3, III. viii. 2, 3. In the following passage Dante recognises this form of courage due to al $\delta \dot{\omega} s$ :

But shame soon interposed her threat, who makes The servant bold in presence of his lord.

Inf. xVii. 89, 90. (Cary's Translation.)
P. 176, IV. i. 11. $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s\right]$ We may compare with this periphrasis the following expressions in the Epistle to the Romans:


Pp. 205, 291, IV. iii. 25. Compare further Tac. Ann. v. 18 ( fn. ): ' Nam beneficia eo usque lacta sunt dum videntur exsolvi posse : ubi multum antevenere, pro gratia odium redditur': which is thus commented on by Oldbuck in Scott's Antiquary : 'from this a wise man may take a caution not to oblige any man beyond the degree in which he may expect to be requited, lest he should make his debtor a bankrupt in gratitude.' In Germ. xxi. (fin.) Tacitus mentions as a proof of the generosity of the German barbarians, ' nec data imputant, nec acceptis obligantur.'
 єipwveia (auct. Grant) which certainly avoids the great difficulty of

P. 214, IV. v. 8. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a \pi o \delta \iota \delta b a \sigma \iota \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda] ~ T h u s ~ C l e o n ~.(a p . ~ T h u c y d . ~$ III. xxxviii. l) remarks that summary vengeance is always most effective and satisfactory ; and conversely (in illustration of § 10 ) Tacitus says of Domitian (Agricola, c. 42) that he was 'praeceps in iram, et quo obscurior eo irrevocabilior.'
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See II. vii. 16.
    3 As perhaps in I. viii. 10
    2 This applies chiefly to other Books than I.-IV. etc., I. ix. 4.
    \& See II. iii., v. ; III. ii.

[^1]:    1 In this sense Bacon speaks of the Form of Light and of Heat. Compare Wordsworth's use of the word in the passage :-

[^2]:    'More brave for this, that he hath much

[^3]:    ＊See Supplementary Notes on this Chapter，passiin．

[^4]:    1 Compare the grounds on which Arist. (Rhet. II. xii. 11) states that the young are $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o \iota, — u ̌ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ~ \beta i ́ o v ~$ oü $\pi \omega \tau \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu \tau a \ell \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$
     for the converse reason (II. xiii. 5). Also Mansel (Gnostics, pp. 22-24) notes the little attention paid in Greek Philosophies to the problem of Evil, and accounts for the fect somewhat similarly.

[^5]:    5. The dispute as to the relative importance of intention or act, 'will' or 'deed,' in Morals, twice referred to by Aristotle, may remind us of the later theological controversy respecting the rival claims of Faith and Works.
