Novel 3-D macrophage spheroid model reveals reciprocal regulation of immunomechanical stress and mechano-immunological response

Alice Burchett¹, Saeed Siri¹, Jun Li², Xin Lu³, and Meenal Datta^{1,*}

¹Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Notre Dame, IN, USA ²Department of Applied and Computational Mathematics and Statistics, University of Notre Dame, IN, USA

³Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, IN, USA

* Address correspondence to: mdatta@nd.edu

11 Abstract

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8 9 10

Purpose: In many diseases, an overabundance of macrophages contributes to adverse outcomes. While numerous studies have compared macrophage phenotype after mechanical stimulation or with varying local stiffness, it is unclear if and how macrophages themselves contribute to mechanical forces in their microenvironment.

Methods: Raw 264.7 murine macrophages were embedded in a confining agarose gel, where they proliferated to form spheroids over time. Gels were synthesized at various concentrations to tune the stiffness and treated with various growth supplements to promote macrophage polarization. The spheroids were then analyzed by immunofluorescent staining and qPCR for markers of proliferation, mechanosensory channels, and polarization. Finally, spheroid geometries were used to computationally model the strain generated in the agarose by macrophage spheroid growth.

Results: Macrophages form spheroids and generate growth-induced mechanical forces (i.e., solid stress) within 22 confining agarose gels, which can be maintained for at least 16 days in culture. Increasing agarose concentration 23 24 restricts spheroid expansion, promotes discoid geometries, limits gel deformation, and induces an increase in iNOS expression. LPS stimulation increases spheroid growth, though this effect is reversed with the addition of 25 IFN-y. Ki67 expression decreases with increasing agarose concentration, in line with the growth measurements. 26 **Conclusions:** Macrophages alone both respond to and generate solid stress. Understanding how macrophage 27 generation of growth-induced solid stress responds to different environmental conditions will help to inform 28 treatment strategies for the plethora of diseases that involve macrophage accumulation. 29

30

31 **Keywords:** solid stress, inflammation, microenvironment, myeloid cells, polarization

32 Acknowledgments

Funding for this work was provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH/NCI K22CA258410 and NIH/NIGMS) 33 R35GM151041), the Indiana Clinical Sciences and Translational Institute, and the Berthiaume Institute for 34 Precision Health (all to M.D.). Other funding support included National Institutes of Health grants 35 R01CA248033 (to X.L.) and R01CA280097 (to X.L., J.L.) and Department of Defense grants W81XWH2010312, 36 W81XWH2010332, HT94252310010 and HT94252310613 (to X.L.). Histology was performed at the Notre Dame 37 Histology Core, and multiphoton imaging was performed at the Notre Dame Integrated Imaging Facility, with the 38 39 assistance of Dr. Sara Cole. The authors thank Ms. R'nld Rumbach for technical assistance, and Prof. Donny Hanjaya-Putra and his laboratory for imaging assistance. 40

42 Statements and Declarations

- 43 **Competing Interests:** The authors have no financial or non-financial interests to disclose.
- 44

41

45 Author Contributions

M.D. and A.B. conceived of and designed this study, A.B. performed experiments and analysis of experimental
data, S.S. performed computational analyses, data curation and image processing, A.B., S.S., J.L., X.L., M.D.
contributed to data analysis and interpretation, A.B., S.S., and M.D. wrote the manuscript, and all authors
reviewed and approved the final version.

- 50
- 51

52 Introduction

From atherosclerotic plaques to solid cancerous tumors, macrophages play important roles in immune effector 53 54 function and orchestration, and as active constituents of the mechanical microenvironment [1]. As innate immune cells, they are not only part of the first line of defense against pathogens, they also contribute to tissue repair 55 and help coordinate the broader immune response. Macrophage phenotype is highly plastic and ebbs between 56 57 pro- and anti-inflammatory states, as these cells sense and correspondingly respond to diverse and dynamic 58 microenvironments [2]. Macrophages present in tissues are either resident or derived from circulating monocytes 59 that differentiate into macrophages upon vascular extravasation and tissue penetration [3]. During an 60 inflammatory response, an injured or diseased site will accumulate macrophages, both through the recruitment of circulating monocytes and local proliferation of bone marrow and embryonic-derived macrophages [4]. For 61 62 example, upon tissue injury, vascular endothelial cells upregulate adhesion molecules that allow patrolling monocytes to adhere to the vessel wall, where they withstand shear stress from blood flow, and eventually enter 63 the tissue between endothelial junctions [5]. 64

While macrophage phenotype and function, known also as polarization, is traditionally thought to be either proinflammatory ("M1-like") or anti-inflammatory ("M2-like"), their dynamic cell state can lie on a continuum between inflammation-accelerating and inflammation-inhibiting responses [6]. Macrophages adopt and shift between these polarizations in any tissue; generally, equilibrium between the two ends of the spectrum is essential for homeostatic tissue maintenance and repair. However, an over- or under-active macrophage response can disrupt this tenuous balance, particularly in cases where macrophages accumulate in large quantities.

Elevated macrophage populations in diseased tissue are often correlated with worse prognosis, particularly in 71 diseases where altered tissue mechanics contribute to the pathophysiology [7]. Cancer, wound healing, bacterial 72 infections, and other disease settings involve altered tissue mechanics, which impact immune surveillance [8]. 73 74 For example, atherosclerotic plaques physically disrupt blood flow, and their mechanical stability determines if they will rupture, leading to downstream ischemic events [9]. Macrophages accumulate in plagues, where they 75 contribute to this mechanical instability, increasing the risk of life-threatening events such as a stroke. 76 Macrophages infiltrate tumor microenvironments in high numbers, with the density of tumor-associated 77 macrophages correlating with worse prognoses in cancers including glioblastoma, ovarian cancer, and breast 78 79 cancer [10–14]. In glioblastoma, macrophages can comprise up to 50% of the tumor bulk, promoting tumor progression and treatment resistance [15–17]. Macrophages also contribute to increased collagen deposition in 80 hypertrophic scars and heart attack scarring, leading to diminished tissue function [18, 19]. 81

82 In addition to classical biochemical cues, macrophages also respond to mechanical stimuli such as shear stress, tissue viscoelasticity, cyclic compression or stretching, and hydrodynamic pressure changes [20]. This response 83 84 has been characterized in prior studies, particularly in the context of the cardiovascular and skeletomuscular 85 systems [21-24]. Macrophages experience a wide range of tissue mechanical properties, with Young's moduli on the order of single kilopascals in the brain to tens of gigapascals in bone [25, 26]. In vitro experiments show 86 87 that macrophages have a stronger inflammatory response when cultured on stiffer 2-D substrates [27-31]. However, the opposite effect is observed when cells are cultured in a 3-D matrix. Macrophages in stiffer matrices 88 in vitro and in vivo have a more immunosuppressive. M2-like phenotype [32–34]. Thus, a physiologically relevant 89 90 in vitro model is essential to understanding how macrophages respond - and contribute - to mechanical stimuli in the body. 91

Studies on macrophage mechanical responses largely neglect the impact of growth-induced mechanical forces – including solid stress [35], generated by solid tissue components (cells and matrix) – on macrophage proliferation. Further, the degree to which macrophages directly contribute to mechanical stress through their physical presence, accumulation, and proliferation in tissue remains unexplored. Here, we aimed to characterize the solid stress that macrophages generate through 3-D growth in a confining agarose gel, simulating the mechanics of the tissue microenvironment independent of confounding biochemical cues or matrix degradation.

Macrophages (RAW264.7) were embedded in agarose gels of varying substrate concentrations to span a range of physiologically-relevant stiffnesses. As the agarose-embedded cells proliferated to form spheroids, they displaced the surrounding gel, in a similar manner to a cancerous tumor generating and exerting solid stress on the surrounding tissue [36]. Spheroids in softer gels reached much larger sizes and caused larger displacements of the surrounding gel compared to spheroids in stiffer gels. Pro-inflammatory stimulation with lipopolysaccharide

(LPS) also led to an increase in spheroid size, though this effect was reversed with the addition of IFNγ. The
 mechanosensitive ion channels Piezo1 and transient receptor potential vanilloid 4 (TRPV4) both decreased in
 trend with increased stiffness. Markers of both pro- and anti-inflammatory functional status both showed a
 trending increase with stiffness, though only the pro-inflammatory marker reached statistical significance.
 Overall, this work highlights a novel, tunable, and high throughput method of interrogating macrophage
 immunomechanics and mechano-immunology, with relevance to a wide range of diseases.

109 Materials and Methods

110 Cell culture, gel formation, and macrophage activation/polarization

111 RAW264.7 murine macrophages were purchased from ATCC (TIB-71). They were grown in a complete culture 112 medium consisting of DMEM (Corning, 10-013-CV) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco, 113 26140079) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Corning, 30-002-CI). They were maintained in a humidified incubator 114 at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cells were grown as adherent monolayers and passaged using a cell scraper for 115 mechanical detachment.

116

To create agarose-embedded 3-D cultures, single-cell suspensions were mixed with low-gelling temperature 117 agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, A0701-25G). First, a 4% agarose solution was made in a complete culture medium and 118 heated in a microwave until dissolved. The liquid agarose was maintained at 48°C until use. Single-cell 119 suspensions of RAW264.7 cells in medium were made ranging between 1000 cells/mL to 10,000,000 cells/mL 120 and mixed with a proportional amount of the 4% agarose to create gels of 0.5%, 1%, or 2% agarose. The cell-121 122 agarose solution was pipetted into 2 mm-deep cylindrical molds and left at room temperature to set for 10 minutes. The gels were then removed from the molds, submerged in culture medium, and maintained under 123 standard culture conditions. 124

For macrophage-stimulation-treated gels, the medium was supplemented with 200 ng/ml LPS. For M1-125 polarization-treated gels, the medium was supplemented with 20 ng/ml IFN-y (BioLegend, 575302) and 200 126 ng/ml LPS (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. sc-3535), For M2-polarization-treated gels, the medium was 127 supplemented with 20 ng/ml IL-4 (Pepro-Tech, 214-14). The volume of the gel was included in the total solution 128 129 volume to achieve the final concentrations. Gels cultured under hypoxic conditions were placed in a Tri-Gas hypoxia incubator with 5% CO₂ and 1% O₂. Compressed gels were placed on a 0.4 µm pore size transwell cell 130 culture insert (CellQART, 9310402) and a 3-D printed PLA weight was placed on top to apply 0.15 kPa of 131 compression to the gel to simulate the solid stress measured in murine glioblastoma models [17, 37, 38]. A 1% 132 agarose cushion was placed between the cells and the weight to serve as a media reservoir and protect cells 133 from direct contact with the rigid weight. 134

135 Staining whole agarose gels

For live/dead staining, the gels were incubated with 2 µg/ml Calcein-AM (BioLegend, 425201) and 1 µg/ml Propidium Iodide (MP Biomedicals, 0219545810) in complete medium for 30 minutes at 37°C. These were imaged on a point-scanning confocal microscope (Nikon AXR). To obtain 3-D images of the spheroids for strain quantification, the gels were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (Thermo Fisher, J19943.K2) at 4°C, then rinsed with PBS and incubated with CellMask™ Orange Actin Tracking Stain (1:1000, Thermo Fisher, A57244) and DAPI (2 µg/ml Sigma-Aldrich, D9542) for 48 hours at 4°C. Image stacks were acquired using a multiphoton microscope (Leica Stellaris 8 DIVE).

143 Immunofluorescent staining

After fixation as described above, gels were immersed in 30% sucrose overnight at 4°C, then transferred to a 1:1 solution of 30% sucrose and OCT (VWR, 95057-838) overnight at 4°C. The gels were then frozen in OCT and sectioned into 5 µm thick slices. The sections were incubated with primary antibodies for Ki67 (1:100, Novus Biologicals, NB110-89719) or Piezo1 (1:100, Proteintech, 15939-1-AP) overnight at 4°C. The following day, the slides were incubated with an anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:500, abcam, ab150077) and DAPI and imaged (Leica DMi8).

150 Image analysis

151 Spheroid contour plots were generated in Autodesk Inventor using surface files generated by ImageJ. For size

152 comparison, spheroids were identified manually from brightfield images and analyzed using ImageJ's Analyze

Particles function. For immunofluorescent-stained sections, the spheroid area was identified by CellProfiler using the combined antibody and DAPI channels, and the average intensity within the spheroid area was recorded.

155 **PCR**

156 RNA was collected from agarose-embedded cells after 48 hours in culture. For each sample, a small piece of 157 gel (~100 μ l volume) was dissolved in 400 μ l TRI-reagent (Zymo Research, R2050-1-200) and the RNA was 158 purified using an RNA isolation kit (Zymo Research, R2051). Gene expression was analyzed using TaqMan 159 primers for Piezo1 (Mm01241549_m1), TRPV4 (Mm00499025_m1), Ki67 (Mm01278617_m1), Caspase 3 160 (Mm01195085_m1), and GAPDH (Mm99999915_g1). Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH reported as 161 $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$.

162 **Computational modeling**

To investigate the distribution of solid stress around the spheroids, a computational modeling approach was 163 employed using COMSOL Multiphysics. Agarose gels with concentrations of 0.5%, 1%, and 2% were modeled 164 as linear elastic materials (E = 2000, 19830, and 99596 Pa respectively). The spheroid geometry was obtained 165 through image processing techniques and subsequently implemented in COMSOL. The initial geometry of the 166 spheroid was considered as a sphere with a 5-micrometer radius. Through the application of prescribed 167 displacement boundary conditions, the spheroids were expanded to their final geometry within the agarose gel. 168 This approach allowed for the exploration of the solid stress and displacement distribution around the spheroids. 169 The modeling simulations were conducted in 2D, providing a comprehensive analysis of the mechanical stress 170 distribution within the agarose gel environment. This methodological approach enables a detailed examination 171 of the impact of agarose gel concentration on the mechanical behavior of macrophage spheroids in a controlled 172 and reproducible manner. 173

174 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses and data visualization were done using Graphpad Prism. For spheroid viability and size analysis, datasets were compared using the Kruskall-Wallace one-way analysis of PCR data was compared with unpaired two-sample t-tests. variance Error bars indicate standard deviation, and asterisks indicate statistical significance (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001).

179 **Results**

180 Agarose-embedded macrophages form spheroids with long-term viability

To determine if macrophages alone can generate solid stress, we seeded single cells in an agarose hydrogel. 181 182 As a plant-derived material, agarose is biologically inert to mammalian cells, and is also physically and chemically stable, with suitable biocompatibility [39, 40]. The mechanical properties of agarose are also easily tunable, as 183 Young's modulus increases exponentially with molar concentration [41]. Based on previous mechanical 184 characterization by others and our own unconfined compression testing, we estimate that the Young's moduli of 185 0.5%, 1%, and 2% agarose gels to be 2 kPa, 20 kPa, and 100 kPa, respectively [41]. These approximately 186 187 correspond to the stiffness ranges measured in the brain, healthy heart, and fibrotic scar tissue, respectively [26, 188 42, 43]. Because the embedded macrophages cannot degrade the surrounding matrix, any cell growth must cause solid stress, as the cells must displace the elastic material in order to proliferate and form 3-D spheroids. 189

We observed that RAW264.7 murine macrophages readily form spheroids when embedded in agarose at 190 concentrations between 0.5% and 2%. Gels with lower than 0.5% agarose content were excluded due to 191 manipulation challenges. The majority of cells seeded in gels between 0.5% and 4% were still viable 24 hours 192 after seeding, with the only significant difference being between 0.75% and 3% agarose, as guantified by calcein 193 194 AM (i.e., live cells) and propidium iodide (i.e., dead cells) staining (Fig. 1a). However, gels with more than 2% agarose content failed to produce spheroids; thus 2% agarose was used as the maximum concentration 195 condition. Agarose-embedded macrophage spheroids display excellent long-term viability, with live spheroids 196 observed at least 16 days after seeding (Fig. 1b). The size and topography of these spheroids are sensitive to 197 agarose gel concentration (Fig. 1c). This maximum time in culture was limited only by the over-proliferation of 198 cells present on the gel surface or in the surrounding media, rather than those embedded within the gels, but it 199 is likely these constructs could be maintained for much longer periods. Macrophages grown in 0.5% agarose 200 grew notably faster than those in 1% agarose, which were correspondingly faster than those in 2% agarose. This 201 202 effect was visible by eye as the aggregates became large enough to see over time. This was also apparent by the increased rate of media consumption in lower percentage gels. 203

204 Macrophages generate solid stress in confining agarose gels

3-D imaging reveals that many spheroids adopt a flattened discoid shape, rather than a spherical shape (Fig. 205 2). Because macrophages cannot alter the plant-derived agarose matrix, any increase in spheroid size must 206 cause displacement of the surrounding gel, thereby generating solid stress. Given an estimate of the spheroid 207 geometry and the mechanical properties of the gel, we generated a simulation of the stress field in the gel 208 surrounding the spheroids (Fig. 2a). Simulations of spheroid displacement during growth are shown based on 209 fluorescent images. In Fig. 2b from left to right, the first three simulations for a spheroid embedded in 0.5%, 1% 210 211 and 2% agarose gels assume no microcrack developments in the gels and an initial uniformly spherical geometry with a 5 µm radius, while the simulation for the spheroid in the 2% agarose gel with a discoid shape assumes 212 formation and propagation of a microcrack in the gel during initial spheroid growth. The greatest solid stress-213 induced deformations are observed in 0.5% gels (with maximum gel displacements of ~250 µm), compared to 214 stiffer 2% gels with spherical (40 µm) and discoid (42 µm) shapes. However, solid stress propagates further into 215 the surrounding matrix with increasing gel concentrations. These data demonstrate that increasing the rigidity of 216 the surrounding agarose matrix by elevating gel concentration significantly restricts spheroid expansion and 217 modulates growth morphology. The decreased gel displacement and altered shape in stiffer gels indicates that 218 219 the increased mechanical resistance of the matrix impedes outward growth. In contrast, the more mechanically permissive and compliant 0.5% agarose gel allows for greater spheroid expansion which maintains a rounded 220 221 shape.

222 Spheroid size decreases with increasing agarose concentration and increases with pro-inflammatory 223 stimulation

Varying the concentration of agarose, as illustrated above, drastically impacts spheroid size without significantly 224 225 altering viability within the range of 0.5% and 2% agarose, as determined by the ratio of propidium iodide-positive to calcein AM-positive cells. We also tested other biologically relevant stimuli, including addition of pro-226 inflammatory (M1-like) and anti-inflammatory (M2-like) polarizing cytokines, LPS stimulation, and hypoxic 227 228 environments. For each of these conditions, we compared the spheroid area observed by brightfield microscopy. As agarose concentration decreases, the average spheroid size increases significantly (Fig. 3a). In 0.5% 229 agarose, spheroids are much less regular in shape than those in 1% and 2% as is apparent in images of 230 fluorescently labeled actin at day 4 after seeding (Fig. 3b). Treating the agarose-embedded macrophages with 231 200 ng/ml LPS resulted in significantly increased spheroid size compared to untreated, for both 0.5% and 1% 232 aels However, treatment with 20 ng/ml IFNv in addition to 200 ng/ml LPS (i.e., M1-like polarization) decreased 233 spheroid size. Treatment with 20 ng/ml of IL-4 (i.e., M2-like polarization) trended towards increased spheroid 234 size. Interestingly, hypoxia did not significantly alter spheroid size. 235

236 Stiffer gels reduce proliferation and mechanosensing protein expression in spheroids

As apparent in Fig. 3b, macrophage spheroids do not grow equally in all directions depending on their 237 microenvironmental conditions, resulting in large-scale irregularity (e.g., ellipticity), and cell-scale differences in 238 boundary uniformity (i.e., solidity). While we assumed in the simulation in Fig. 2b that discoid morphology is 239 mechanically-driven (e.g., by micro-crack formation in the agarose gels), an alternative hypothesis could be 240 tested that the irregular shape is biologically-driven (e.g., by anistropic proliferation). Therefore, we opted for a 241 histological approach to capture any spatial differences in relevant biological markers that could be driving the 242 asymmetry. Ki67 staining intensity decreased with increasing agarose concentration (Fig. 4a), corresponding to 243 the decrease in spheroid size quantified above (Fig. 3a). The distribution of Ki67 cells throughout the spheroid 244 did not clearly favor an edge versus central position (Fig. 4b). This indicates that differential rates of growth in 245 different spatial directions may not be the result of variations in proliferation. This suggests that there may be a 246 purely mechanical driving force behind the observed discoid shapes, such as the formation of cracks in the 247 agarose gel and propagation of the spheroid into the crack space. 248

We also stained Piezo1, a mechanosensitive ion channel known to be involved in mechanotransduction in myeloid cells [44, 45]. Expression was distributed throughout the spheroid, with a slight peak at the center (**Fig. 4b**). In 2% agarose, Piezo1 expression was diminished, with a statistically significant decrease in average intensity between 1% and 2% agarose conditions (**Fig. 4a**). This result contrasts with prior studies showing that Piezo1 expression increases with stiffness in 2-D culture [46]. Piezo1 is a stretch-activated channel, but because mammalian cells cannot bind to the agarose, increased stiffness would not directly cause increased traction forces that are known to activate Piezo1 [47]. Piezo1 activation has been shown to promote myeloid cell

expansion, so the concurrent increase in Piezo1 and Ki67 expression with reduced agarose concentration could be part of a causative relationship [44].

258 **Macrophages in 3D gels display altered mechanical and inflammatory transcriptional responses to** 259 **varied mechano-chemical stimuli**

We next compared the transcriptional activity of macrophages in various conditions. *Ki*67 decreased significantly between 1% to 2% agarose (**Fig. 5a**). This aligns well with the spheroid size data (**Fig 3a**), implicating reduced proliferation behind the observed reduced spheroid size in stiffer gels. *Casp3*, an apoptosis marker, increased between 1% and 2%, but not between 0.5% and 1%. So, apoptosis is likely not responsible for the reduced size with increased stiffness, at least between 1% and 2% gels. Externally applied compression also decreased *Ki*67 expression. M1-like polarization, M2-like polarization, and LPS stimulation all significantly decreased both *Ki*67 and *Casp3* expression.

In addition to *Piezo1*, we also quantified *Trpv4*, another stretch-activated ion channel known to be involved in 267 myeloid mechanotransduction [31, 48, 49]. Trpv4 expression decreased between 0.5% and 1% agarose, and 268 decreased with both M1 and LPS treatments (Fig. 5b). Piezo1 expression also decreased with both M1 and LPS 269 270 treatment. This potentially indicates that a decreased sensitivity to confining solid stress may play a role in the 271 increase observed spheroid size between untreated and LPS-treated gels (Fig. 3a). Finally, we quantified canonical markers of pro-inflammatory macrophage activation (Nos2) and anti-inflammatory polarization (Arg1) 272 273 (Fig. 5c). We observed a significant increase in Nos2 with increasing gel stiffness as well as a trend towards increased Arg1 with increasing gel stiffness. As expected, Nos2 increased with M1 and LPS treatment, and 274 decreased with M2 treatment. However, Arg1 unexpectedly increased with all three stimulation/polarization 275 treatments, potentially indicating altered phenotypic response to these stimuli in 3-D compared to previously 276 observed 2-D culture results. 277

278

279 **Discussion**

280 This 3-D model of macrophage spheroid formation and the accompanying computational modeling of stresses and strains and downstream cellular and molecular biology readouts on polarization combine to make a novel 281 platform for investigating the immunomechanics and mechano-immunology of macrophages in varying 282 283 biochemical and mechanical microenvironments. The agarose gel constructs are simple and inexpensive to create, making them attractive for high-throughput applications, such as drug screening. The model is also highly 284 amenable to more complex co-culture or organoid experiments, as any number of cell types and treatments can 285 be applied. It could therefore be used to model solid stress in other disease settings, such as within tumors or 286 tuberculosis granulomas where macrophages dominate [50]. Additionally, the agarose gels can be processed 287 identically to tissues for histological analysis. In this work, we cryopreserved the samples for immunofluorescent 288 staining, but we have also successfully processed agarose-cell constructs for paraffin embedding and 289 290 immunohistochemical staining. By increasing the density of cells initially seeded, we were also able to easily 291 obtain sufficient RNA for multiple gPCR analyses.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of successful spheroid formation, long-term viability. 292 and solid stress generation by macrophages alone. While many aspects of macrophage responses to various 293 forms of mechanical stress have been studied, relatively little has been shown of the reciprocal regulation of 294 macrophages and solid stress [7]. Our simulation results suggest that the mechanical microenvironment can 295 override intrinsic growth programs to control spheroid expansion. Lower stiffness gels allow for a greater 296 297 displacement of the gel around the spheroid compared to higher stiffness gels. However, in stiffer gels, the stress propagates further into the surrounding gel. This work also reveals an interesting 3-D growth pattern, as 298 macrophages often adopt a flattened discoid shape, rather than a spheroidal shape. This could indicate either a 299 physical process, such as the formation of a planar crack in the gel, or a biological process, such as differential 300 proliferation or tip/leader cell migratory behavior in different regions of the spheroid [51]. Further work to 301 characterize the agarose gel surrounding a spheroid and potential crack propagation is underway. A limitation of 302 the computational model is that it provides an estimate of the displacement and solid stress of the agarose 303 304 adjacent to the spheroid, but not within the spheroid itself. Future work to characterize the mechanical properties 305 of macrophage spheroids would inform computational modeling of stress distribution within the spheroids.

306 The most potent biochemical cue that increases macrophage spheroid size and stress generation at all tested agarose concentrations is treatment with LPS, an immunostimulatory bacterial fragment used to mimic the effect 307 of bacterial infection [52, 53]. Interestingly, the addition of IFNy along with LPS, a standard M1-like polarizing 308 regime, reversed the effect of LPS entirely. IFNy and LPS are generally thought to synergize to induce an M1-309 like phenotype, but this 3-D stress-generation model seems to have identified a way in which the two oppose 310 one another [54]. Further mechanistic studies utilizing this model will help to elucidate the nuances of LPS-311 stimulated versus canonically M1-like polarized macrophages. Prior studies have shown an inflammatory 312 313 response when macrophages are cultured on stiffer 2-D substrates, but a more immunosuppressive phenotype when in a 3D matrix [27–34]. However, our results show an increase in an M1 marker with increased stiffness, 314 indicating potential similarity with 2D observations. 315

In line with observations by others, TRPV4 expression decreased with stiffness as part of an M1-like response [31]. However, we also observed a large but not statistically significant increase in an M2 marker with stiffness. Piezo1 expression by macrophages has been shown to increase on stiffer surfaces [46, 55]. However, we observed a trend towards decreased Piezo expression with increased stiffness. Cells in a confining gel such as agarose that does not support cell adhesion may not experience the membrane tension that activates stretchactivated channels such as Piezo1 [56]. Further work will elucidate this 3D-specific mechanosensing response.

Macrophages have increasingly been a subject of interest as targets for disease treatments. A meta-analysis 322 reports more than 25 clinical trials targeting tumor-associated macrophages in a range of different cancer types 323 324 [57]. In atherosclerosis, reducing macrophage mass within plagues is a promising strategy, as is increasing the numbers of anti-inflammatory macrophages and decreasing pro-inflammatory macrophages in damaged heart 325 tissue after myocardial infarction [18, 58]. Depleting macrophages in models of skin wounding reduces 326 hypertrophic scar formation [19]. As previously shown, targeting either macrophage or solid stress in 327 glioblastoma improves outcomes [59-61]. Thus, understanding factors that contribute to macrophage expansion 328 or reduction via a novel 3-D system could inform future treatment strategies. 329

Overall, this work demonstrates a novel platform to study previously unexplored aspects of macrophage mechanics. Because many diseases involve both altered macrophage content and altered mechanics, this model may elucidate new and targetable pathological interactions between macrophages and solid stress. Understanding how macrophages generate stress, and how they respond to external cues under chronic solid stress, will inform strategies to target or reprogram macrophages in the plethora of diseases that involve macrophage accumulation. This platform also has promise for screening macrophage-targeted drugs and is highly tunable to apply to a range of diseases.

337 Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Macrophage spheroids embedded in agarose form aggregates with sustained viability (a)

Fraction dead cells 24h after seeding in agarose gels of varying concentration (**b**) Projections of macrophage spheroids 16 days after seeding show viable cells throughout the spheroid, in three different concentrations of agarose. Live cells are shown in green, dead cells shown in red, scale bar represents 50 μ m. (**c**) Contour plots of the spheroid surface

Fig. 2 Agarose concentration modulates mechanical interactions and spheroid expansion. (a) Fluorescent imaging reveals that spheroids embedded in 0.5% agarose remain spherical, while those in 1% and 2% agarose become increasingly elongated and discoid. (b) Computational modeling visualizes the displacement field around expanding spheroids, with the greatest displacement seen in 0.5% agarose gels. Taken together, these results indicate that increasing agarose concentration restricts spheroid expansion and deformation, likely due to the increased mechanical rigidity restricting growth. The combined imaging and modeling approach provides visual evidence that agarose stiffness impacts spheroid morphology and expansion dynamics.

Fig. 3 Spheroid size varies with agarose stiffness and macrophage stimulation/polarization (a) Spheroid area, as quantified from brightfield images. (b) Representative images of maximum intensity projections of actin-stained spheroids (red)

Fig. 4 Immunofluorescent staining of spheroids sections for Ki67 and Piezo1. (a) Quantification and representative images of spheroid sections stained for Ki67(magenta) and Piezo1 (green). (b) Radial plots of

the normalized integrated staining intensity of representative spheroids, with a relative position of 1 representing the spheroid edge

Fig. 5 Macrophages show transcriptional response to both mechanical and chemical stimuli. qPCR

- analysis of genes related to proliferation and apoptosis (**a**), mechanosensitive ion channels (**b**), and
- macrophage polarization (**c**). Asterisks indicate comparisons which pass the false-discovery rate analysis

360 361 **References**

- Park MD, Silvin A, Ginhoux F, Merad M (2022) Macrophages in health and disease. Cell 185:4259–4279.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.10.007
- Locati M, Curtale G, Mantovani A (2020) Diversity, Mechanisms and Significance of Macrophage Plasticity.
 Annu Rev Pathol 15:123–147. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012418-012718
- 366 3. Yona S, Kim K-W, Wolf Y, Mildner A, Varol D, Breker M, Strauss-Ayali D, Viukov S, Guilliams M, Misharin A, Hume DA, Perlman H, Malissen B, Zelzer E, Jung S (2013) Fate mapping reveals origins and dynamics 367 of monocytes tissue macrophages homeostasis. and under Immunity 38:79-91. 368 369 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.12.001
- Davies LC, Rosas M, Jenkins SJ, Liao C-T, Scurr MJ, Brombacher F, Fraser DJ, Allen JE, Jones SA, Taylor
 PR (2013) Distinct bone marrow-derived and tissue resident macrophage-lineages proliferate at key stages
 during inflammation. Nat Commun 4:10.1038/ncomms2877. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2877
- 5. Gerhardt T, Ley K (2015) Monocyte trafficking across the vessel wall. Cardiovascular Research 107:321– 374 330. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvv147
- Katkar G, Ghosh P (2023) Macrophage states: there's a method in the madness. Trends in Immunology
 44:954–964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2023.10.006
- 7. Meli VS, Veerasubramanian PK, Atcha H, Reitz Z, Downing TL, Liu WF (2019) Biophysical regulation of 377 in health and disease. Journal of Biology 106:283-299. 378 macrophages Leukocyte https://doi.org/10.1002/JLB.MR0318-126R 379
- Mittelheisser V, Gensbittel V, Bonati L, Li W, Tang L, Goetz JG (2024) Evidence and therapeutic implications
 of biomechanically regulated immunosurveillance in cancer and other diseases. Nat Nanotechnol 1–17.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-023-01535-8
- Husain T, Abbott CR, Scott DJA, Gough MJ (1999) Macrophage accumulation within the cap of carotid atherosclerotic plaques is associated with the onset of cerebral ischemic events. Journal of Vascular Surgery 30:269–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0741-5214(99)70137-0
- 10. Montemurro N, Pahwa B, Tayal A, Shukla A, De Jesus Encarnacion M, Ramirez I, Nurmukhametov R, 386 Chavda V, De Carlo A (2023) Macrophages in Recurrent Glioblastoma as a Prognostic Factor in the 387 **Synergistic** System the Tumor Microenvironment. Neurol Int 15:595-608. 388 of https://doi.org/10.3390/neurolint15020037 389
- Yuan X, Zhang J, Li D, Mao Y, Mo F, Du W, Ma X (2017) Prognostic significance of tumor-associated
 macrophages in ovarian cancer: A meta-analysis. Gynecologic Oncology 147:181–187.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.07.007

Zhao X, Qu J, Sun Y, Wang J, Liu X, Wang F, Zhang H, Wang W, Ma X, Gao X, Zhang S (2017) Prognostic
 significance of tumor-associated macrophages in breast cancer: a meta-analysis of the literature.
 Oncotarget 8:30576–30586. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.15736

- Kloepper J, Riedemann L, Amoozgar Z, Seano G, Susek K, Yu V, Dalvie N, Amelung RL, Datta M, Song JW, Askoxylakis V, Taylor JW, Lu-Emerson C, Batista A, Kirkpatrick ND, Jung K, Snuderl M, Muzikansky A, Stubenrauch KG, Krieter O, Wakimoto H, Xu L, Munn LL, Duda DG, Fukumura D, Batchelor TT, Jain RK (2016) Ang-2/VEGF bispecific antibody reprograms macrophages and resident microglia to anti-tumor phenotype and prolongs glioblastoma survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:4476–4481. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525360113
- 14. Datta M, Coussens LM, Nishikawa H, Hodi FS, Jain RK (2019) Reprogramming the Tumor
 Microenvironment to Improve Immunotherapy: Emerging Strategies and Combination Therapies. Am Soc
 Clin Oncol Educ Book 165–174. https://doi.org/10.1200/EDBK_237987
- Andersen BM, Faust Akl C, Wheeler MA, Chiocca EA, Reardon DA, Quintana FJ (2021) Glial and myeloid
 heterogeneity in the brain tumour microenvironment. Nat Rev Cancer 21:786–802.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-021-00397-3
- 16. Rashidi A, Billingham LK, Zolp A, Chia T, Silvers C, Katz JL, Park CH, Delay S, Boland L, Geng Y, Markwell 408 SM, Dmello C, Arrieta VA, Zilinger K, Jacob IM, Lopez-Rosas A, Hou D, Castro B, Steffens AM, McCortney 409 K. Walshon JP. Flowers MS. Lin H. Wang H. Zhao J. Sonabend A. Zhang P. Ahmed AU. Brat DJ. Heiland 410 DH, Lee-Chang C, Lesniak MS, Chandel NS, Miska J (2024) Myeloid cell-derived creatine in the hypoxic 411 promotes 412 niche glioblastoma growth. Cell Metabolism 36:62-77.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2023.11.013 413
- Nia HT, Liu H, Seano G, Datta M, Jones D, Rahbari N, Incio J, Chauhan VP, Jung K, Martin JD, Askoxylakis
 V, Padera TP, Fukumura D, Boucher Y, Hornicek FJ, Grodzinsky AJ, Baish JW, Munn LL, Jain RK (2016)
 Solid stress and elastic energy as measures of tumour mechanopathology. Nat Biomed Eng 1:0004.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-016-0004
- Simões FC, Cahill TJ, Kenyon A, Gavriouchkina D, Vieira JM, Sun X, Pezzolla D, Ravaud C, Masmanian E, Weinberger M, Mayes S, Lemieux ME, Barnette DN, Gunadasa-Rohling M, Williams RM, Greaves DR, Trinh LA, Fraser SE, Dallas SL, Choudhury RP, Sauka-Spengler T, Riley PR (2020) Macrophages directly contribute collagen to scar formation during zebrafish heart regeneration and mouse heart repair. Nat Commun 11:600. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14263-2
- Feng Y, Sun Z-L, Liu S-Y, Wu J-J, Zhao B-H, Lv G-Z, Du Y, Yu S, Yang M-L, Yuan F-L, Zhou X-J (2019)
 Direct and Indirect Roles of Macrophages in Hypertrophic Scar Formation. Frontiers in Physiology 10:
- Adams S, Wuescher LM, Worth R, Yildirim-Ayan E (2019) Mechano-immunomodulation:
 Mechanoresponsive changes in macrophage activity and polarization. Ann Biomed Eng 47:2213–2231.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-019-02302-4
- 428 21. Fahy N, Menzel U, Alini M, Stoddart MJ (2019) Shear and Dynamic Compression Modulates the
 429 Inflammatory Phenotype of Human Monocytes in vitro. Front Immunol 10:383.
 430 https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00383
- 431 22. Grottkau BE, Noordin S, Shortkroff S, Schaffer JL, Thornhill TS, Spector M (2002) Effect of mechanical
 432 perturbation on the release of PGE2 by macrophages in vitro. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research
 433 59:288–293. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.1244
- Seneviratne AN, Cole JE, Goddard ME, Park I, Mohri Z, Sansom S, Udalova I, Krams R, Monaco C (2015)
 Low shear stress induces M1 macrophage polarization in murine thin-cap atherosclerotic plaques. Journal
 of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology 89:168–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2015.10.034
- 437 24. Matheson LA, Maksym GN, Santerre JP, Labow RS (2006) Cyclic biaxial strain affects U937 macrophage438 like morphology and enzymatic activities. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A 76A:52–62.
 439 https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.30448

- Rho JY, Ashman RB, Turner CH (1993) Young's modulus of trabecular and cortical bone material: Ultrasonic
 and microtensile measurements. Journal of Biomechanics 26:111–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021 9290(93)90042-D
- Budday S, Nay R, de Rooij R, Steinmann P, Wyrobek T, Ovaert TC, Kuhl E (2015) Mechanical properties
 of gray and white matter brain tissue by indentation. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 46:318–330.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2015.02.024
- 446 27. Hsieh JY, Keating MT, Smith TD, Meli VS, Botvinick EL, Liu WF (2019) Matrix crosslinking enhances
 447 macrophage adhesion, migration, and inflammatory activation. APL Bioeng 3:016103.
 448 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5067301
- Adlerz KM, Aranda-Espinoza H, Hayenga HN (2016) Substrate elasticity regulates the behavior of human
 monocyte-derived macrophages. Eur Biophys J 45:301–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-015-1096-8
- Meli VS, Atcha H, Veerasubramanian PK, Nagalla RR, Luu TU, Chen EY, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Yamaga K,
 Pandori W, Hsieh JY, Downing TL, Fruman DA, Lodoen MB, Plikus MV, Wang W, Liu WF (2020) YAPmediated mechanotransduction tunes the macrophage inflammatory response. Science Advances
 6:eabb8471. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb8471
- Blakney AK, Swartzlander MD, Bryant SJ (2012) The effects of substrate stiffness on the in vitro activation
 of macrophages and in vivo host response to poly(ethylene glycol)-based hydrogels. J Biomed Mater Res
 A 100:1375–1386. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.34104
- 458 31. Dutta B, Goswami R, Rahaman SO (2020) TRPV4 Plays a Role in Matrix Stiffness-Induced Macrophage
 459 Polarization. Frontiers in Immunology 11:
- Friedemann M, Kalbitzer L, Franz S, Moeller S, Schnabelrauch M, Simon J-C, Pompe T, Franke K (2017)
 Instructing Human Macrophage Polarization by Stiffness and Glycosaminoglycan Functionalization in 3D
 Collagen Networks. Advanced Healthcare Materials 6:1600967. https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201600967
- Taufalele PV, Wang W, Simmons AJ, Southard-Smith AN, Chen B, Greenlee JD, King MR, Lau KS, Hassane
 DC, Bordeleau F, Reinhart-King CA (2023) Matrix stiffness enhances cancer-macrophage interactions and
 M2-like macrophage accumulation in the breast tumor microenvironment. Acta Biomater 163:365–377.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2022.04.031
- 467 34. Larsen AMH, Kuczek DE, Kalvisa A, Siersbæk MS, Thorseth M-L, Johansen AZ, Carretta M, Grøntved L,
 468 Vang O, Madsen DH (2020) Collagen Density Modulates the Immunosuppressive Functions of
 469 Macrophages. J Immunol 205:1461–1472. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1900789
- 470 35. Nia HT, Munn LL, Jain RK (2020) Physical traits of cancer. Science 370:eaaz0868.
 471 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz0868
- Stylianopoulos T, Martin JD, Chauhan VP, Jain SR, Diop-Frimpong B, Bardeesy N, Smith BL, Ferrone CR,
 Hornicek FJ, Boucher Y, Munn LL, Jain RK (2012) Causes, consequences, and remedies for growthinduced solid stress in murine and human tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:15101–15108.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213353109
- Nia HT, Datta M, Seano G, Huang P, Munn LL, Jain RK (2018) Quantifying solid stress and elastic energy
 from excised or in situ tumors. Nat Protoc 13:1091–1105. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2018.020
- 47838.Community SNP and M (2020) In vivo compression and imaging in the brain to measure the effects of solid479stress.In:SpringerNatureProtocolsandMethodsCommunity.480http://protocolsmethods.springernature.com/users/419568-meenal-datta/posts/in-vivo-compression-and-481imaging-in-the-brain-to-measure-the-effects-of-solid-stress.Accessed 28 Jul 2022

- 39. Zucca P, Fernandez-Lafuente R, Sanjust E (2016) Agarose and Its Derivatives as Supports for Enzyme
 Immobilization. Molecules 21:1577. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21111577
- 484
 40. Suzawa Y, Kubo N, Iwai S, Yura Y, Ohgushi H, Akashi M (2015) Biomineral/Agarose Composite Gels
 485 Enhance Proliferation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells with Osteogenic Capability. Int J Mol Sci 16:14245–
 486 14258. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160614245
- 487 41. Normand V, Lootens DL, Amici E, Plucknett KP, Aymard P (2000) New Insight into Agarose Gel Mechanical 488 Properties. Biomacromolecules 1:730–738. https://doi.org/10.1021/bm005583j
- 489
 42. Berry MF, Engler AJ, Woo YJ, Pirolli TJ, Bish LT, Jayasankar V, Morine KJ, Gardner TJ, Discher DE,
 490
 490
 491
 491
 491
 492
 492
 493
 494
 494
 494
 495
 495
 495
 496
 496
 497
 498
 498
 498
 499
 499
 499
 490
 490
 490
 490
 491
 491
 491
 491
 492
 492
 492
 493
 494
 494
 494
 495
 495
 495
 496
 496
 497
 497
 498
 498
 498
 499
 499
 490
 490
 490
 491
 491
 491
 492
 492
 492
 493
 494
 494
 494
 495
 495
 496
 497
 497
 498
 498
 498
 499
 499
 490
 490
 491
 491
 491
 492
 492
 492
 493
 494
 494
 494
 494
 495
 495
 496
 497
 497
 498
 498
 498
 498
 498
 499
 499
 499
 490
 490
 490
 491
 491
 491
 492
 492
 492
 492
 493
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 494
 4
- 43. Hang J, Chen J, Zhang W, Yuan T, Xu Y, Zhou B (2021) Correlation between elastic modulus and clinical
 severity of pathological scars: a cross-sectional study. Sci Rep 11:23324. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598021-02730-0
- 44. Aykut B, Chen R, Kim JI, Wu D, Shadaloey SAA, Abengozar R, Preiss P, Saxena A, Pushalkar S, Leinwand
 J, Diskin B, Wang W, Werba G, Berman M, Lee SKB, Khodadadi-Jamayran A, Saxena D, Coetzee WA,
 Miller G (2020) Targeting Piezo1 unleashes innate immunity against cancer and infectious disease. Science
 Immunology 5:eabb5168. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abb5168
- 45. Janssen E, van den Dries K, Ventre M, Cambi A (2024) Mechanobiology of myeloid cells. Current Opinion
 in Cell Biology 86:102311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2023.102311
- 46. Atcha H, Jairaman A, Holt JR, Meli VS, Nagalla RR, Veerasubramanian PK, Brumm KT, Lim HE, Othy S,
 Cahalan MD, Pathak MM, Liu WF (2021) Mechanically activated ion channel Piezo1 modulates
 macrophage polarization and stiffness sensing. Nat Commun 12:3256. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-02123482-5
- Pathak MM, Nourse JL, Tran T, Hwe J, Arulmoli J, Le DTT, Bernardis E, Flanagan LA, Tombola F (2014)
 Stretch-activated ion channel Piezo1 directs lineage choice in human neural stem cells. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 111:16148–16153. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1409802111
- 48. Du H, Bartleson JM, Butenko S, Alonso V, Liu WF, Winer DA, Butte MJ (2022) Tuning immunity through tissue mechanotransduction. Nat Rev Immunol 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-022-00761-w
- 511 49. Michalick L, Kuebler WM (2020) TRPV4—A Missing Link Between Mechanosensation and Immunity. 512 Frontiers in Immunology 11:
- 50. Datta M, Via LE, Kamoun WS, Liu C, Chen W, Seano G, Weiner DM, Schimel D, England K, Martin JD,
 Gao X, Xu L, Barry CE, Jain RK (2015) Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor treatment normalizes
 tuberculosis granuloma vasculature and improves small molecule delivery. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 112:1827–1832. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1424563112
- 51. Carey SP, Starchenko A, McGregor AL, Reinhart-King CA (2013) Leading malignant cells initiate collective
 epithelial cell invasion in a three-dimensional heterotypic tumor spheroid model. Clin Exp Metastasis
 30:615–630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10585-013-9565-x
- 52. Fang H, Pengal RA, Cao X, Ganesan LP, Wewers MD, Marsh CB, Tridandapani S (2004)
 Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Macrophage Inflammatory Response Is Regulated by SHIP1. The Journal of Immunology 173:360–366. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.1.360

- 53. Liu X, Wang N, Zhu Y, Yang Y, Chen X, Fan S, Chen Q, Zhou H, Zheng J (2016) Inhibition of Extracellular
 Calcium Influx Results in Enhanced IL-12 Production in LPS-Treated Murine Macrophages by
 Downregulation of the CaMKKβ-AMPK-SIRT1 Signaling Pathway. Mediators Inflamm 2016:6152713.
 https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6152713
- 527 54. Müller E, Christopoulos PF, Halder S, Lunde A, Beraki K, Speth M, Øynebråten I, Corthay A (2017) Toll-Like
 528 Receptor Ligands and Interferon-γ Synergize for Induction of Antitumor M1 Macrophages. Frontiers in
 529 Immunology 8:
- Tang Z, Wei X, Li T, Wu H, Xiao X, Hao Y, Li S, Hou W, Shi L, Li X, Guo Z (2021) Three-Dimensionally 55. 530 Printed Ti2448 With Low Stiffness Enhanced Angiogenesis and Osteogenesis by Regulating Macrophage 531 532 Polarization via Piezo1/YAP Signaling Axis. Front Cell Dev Biol 9:750948. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.750948 533
- 534 56. Yao M, Tijore A, Cheng D, Li JV, Hariharan A, Martinac B, Tran Van Nhieu G, Cox CD, Sheetz M (2022)
 535 Force- and cell state-dependent recruitment of Piezo1 drives focal adhesion dynamics and calcium entry.
 536 Science Advances 8:eabo1461. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abo1461
- 537 57. Wang H, Wang X, Zhang X, Xu W (2024) The promising role of tumor-associated macrophages in the 538 treatment of cancer. Drug Resistance Updates 73:101041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2023.101041
- 539 58. Sansonetti M, Al Soodi B, Thum T, Jung M (2024) Macrophage-based therapeutic approaches for 540 cardiovascular diseases. Basic Res Cardiol 119:1–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-023-01027-9
- 59. Peterson TE, Kirkpatrick ND, Huang Y, Farrar CT, Marijt KA, Kloepper J, Datta M, Amoozgar Z, Seano G,
 Jung K, Kamoun WS, Vardam T, Snuderl M, Goveia J, Chatterjee S, Batista A, Muzikansky A, Leow CC,
 Xu L, Batchelor TT, Duda DG, Fukumura D, Jain RK (2016) Dual inhibition of Ang-2 and VEGF receptors
 normalizes tumor vasculature and prolongs survival in glioblastoma by altering macrophages. Proc Natl
 Acad Sci U S A 113:4470–4475. https://doi.org/flores-to
- Flores-Toro JA, Luo D, Gopinath A, Sarkisian MR, Campbell JJ, Charo IF, Singh R, Schall TJ, Datta M, Jain
 RK, Mitchell DA, Harrison JK (2020) CCR2 inhibition reduces tumor myeloid cells and unmasks a
 checkpoint inhibitor effect to slow progression of resistant murine gliomas. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
 117:1129–1138. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910856117
- 61. Datta M. Chatteriee S. Perez EM. Gritsch S. Roberge S. Duquette M. Chen IX. Naxerova K. Kumar AS. 550 Ghosh M, Emblem KE, Ng MR, Ho WW, Kumar P, Krishnan S, Dong X, Speranza MC, Neagu MR, 551 lorgulescu JB, Huang RY, Youssef G, Reardon DA, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ, Suvà ML, Xu L, Jain RK 552 (2023) Losartan controls immune checkpoint blocker-induced edema and improves survival in glioblastoma 553 120:e2219199120. models. Proceedings the National Academy of Sciences mouse of 554 555 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2219199120
- 556









