1 Extensive patient-to-patient single nuclei transcriptome heterogeneity in

2 pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas

3

Peter Brazda^{1,2}, Cristian Ruiz-Moreno^{1,2}, Wout Megchelenbrink^{3, 1}, Henri J L M
 Timmers⁴, Hendrik G. Stunnenberg^{1,2,*}

6

⁷ ¹ Princess Maxima Centre for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, The Netherlands

8 ² Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Science, Radboud University,

9 Nijmegen, The Netherlands

³ Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy

⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

13 14

¹⁵ ^{*}Corresponding author: <u>H.G.Stunnenberg@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl</u>

16 **ABSTRACT**

17 Pheochromocytomas (PC) and paragangliomas (PG) are rare neuroendocrine tumors of varied genetic makeup, associated with high cardiovascular morbidity and a 18 19 variable risk of malignancy. The source of the transcriptional heterogeneity of the 20 disease and the underlying biological processes determining the outcome in PCPG 21 remains largely unclear. We focused on PCPG tumors with germline SDHB and RET 22 mutations, representing distinct prognostic groups with worse or better prognoses, 23 respectively. We applied single-nuclei RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq) on tissue samples from 11 patients and found high patient-to-patient transcriptome 24 25 heterogeneity of the neuroendocrine tumor cells. The tumor microenvironment also 26 showed heterogeneous profiles mainly contributed by macrophages of the immune 27 cell clusters and Schwann cells of the stroma. Performing non-negative matrix 28 factorization we identified common transcriptional programs active in RET and SDHB 29 as well as distinct modules? including neuronal development, hormone synthesis and 30 secretion, and DNA replication. Comparison of the SDHB and RET transcriptomes 31 with that of developmental stages of adrenal gland formation suggests different 32 developmental stages at which PC and PG tumors appear to be arrested.

33

34 KEYWORDS

Pheochromocytoma, neuroendocrine tumor, single cell RNA-sequencing,
 transcriptome, heterogeneity, SDHB, RET

37 INTRODUCTION

Pheochromocytomas (PC) and sympathetic paragangliomas (PG) are rare neuroendocrine tumors, originating from chromaffin cell-related populations located inside or outside the adrenal glands, respectively. PCPG is associated with significant morbidity and mortality [1]. The current therapy of choice is surgical resection; however, the disease can be associated with a lifelong risk of tumor persistence or recurrence [2].

44 A plethora of genes has been reported to be responsible for a diverse hereditary 45 background in up to 40% of PCPG [3, 4]. Based on the bulk transcriptional and genomic profiles, PCPG has been divided into two major classes. Tumors in class 1 46 47 are predominantly extra-adrenal and display germline mutations in the succinate dehydrogenase complex (SDHB, SDHC, SDHD collectively referred to as SDHx), the 48 49 most common form of PCPG. SDHx tumors have the worst prognosis with a 30-70% 50 risk of metastasis or recurrence [5]. Class 2 PCPG detected in 5% of hereditary 51 PCPGs comprise amongst others germline and/or somatic mutations of the RET 52 proto-oncogene and have a better prognosis.

In this study, we exploited recent advances in single-nuclei RNA-seq to compare the gene expression landscapes of PCPG with SDHB and RET germline mutations and explore the transcriptional heterogeneity and to gain insight into the molecular basis

56 of their different prognosis.

57 MATERIALS AND METHODS

58

59 Preparation of Single-Nuclei Suspensions

60 Previously selected tissue blocks were transferred for the RadboudUMC biobank and 61 stored at -80°C. Nuclei were prepared from frozen tissue under RNAse-free conditions. Briefly, samples were cut to ~7 mm pieces, while kept on dry-ice. The 62 63 pieces were minced in a pre-cooled douncer in 500uL ice-cold Nuclei EZ Lysis buffer 64 5x with pestle-A and 10x with pestle-B. The suspension was passed through a 70 µm 65 cell strainer and washed with 1.5 mL cold Nuclei EZ Lysis and incubated on ice for 5'. The lysate was washed in Nuclei wash/resuspension buffer (1xPBS completed with 66 67 1% BSA and 0.2U/ul RNAsin Plus (Promega, #N2611) and passed through a 40 µm cell strainer. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. To exclude doublets and debris from the 68 69 final mix and to precisely determine the number of loaded nuclei, we applied FACS. 70 15000 nuclei were sorted into a pre-cooled tube containing the RT-mix (RT-reagent + 71 TSO + Reducing agent B), right before loading the mix to one lane of the Chromium 72 chip, 8.3 ul RT-enzyme was added to the mix, according to the standard protocol of 73 the Chromium Single Cell 3' kit (v2). All the following steps for the library preparation 74 were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Paired-end sequencing 75 was used to sequence the prepared libraries using an Illumina NextSeq sequencer.

76

77 Single-Cell RNA-seq Data Processing and Quality Control (QC)

78 Raw sequencing data were converted to FASTQ files with bcl2fastq. Reads were 79 aligned to the human genome reference sequence (GRCH38) and counted with 80 STAR. The CellRanger (10X Genomics) analysis pipeline was used to sample 81 demultiplexing and single cell gene counting to generate the gene-cell expression 82 matrix for each library. The gene expression matrix was then processed and analyzed by Seurat package in R. To filter out low-guality cells, we first removed cells 83 (nuclei) for which less than 300 or more than 4000 genes were detected. The cell 84 85 count and gene count information for single cell datasets of the PCPG samples are 86 listed in Table1.

87

88 **Dimensionality Reduction, Clustering and Visualization**

89 Data were normalized by sequencing depth, scaled to 10000 counts, log-90 transformed, and regressed against the UMI-counts and percentage using the 91 ScaleData function of the Seurat package. Principal components analysis was 92 performed on the scaled data with the 4000 most variable genes. Using 15 first 93 principal components, we calculated a UMAP representation of the data for visualization and calculated clusters using the FindNeighbors and FindClusters 94 95 functions with the resolution parameter set to 0.3. Marker genes differentiating 96 between the clusters were identified with the FindAllMarkers function. Before running 97 a second round of clustering after sub-setting the original dataset to a cell type of 98 interest, we applied the DietSeurat function to collect the unmodified expression 99 matrix of the subset of cells, without any transformations.

100 To identity cell types, we used sets of well-established marker and annotated each 101 cell type based on their average expression. Cluster (or clusters) marker genes were 102 determined with the *FindAllMarkers* function and required to be expressed in at least 103 25% of the cells in a cluster with a minimal log expression difference of 0.25 between 104 clusters.

105 Inferred CNV Analysis from snRNA-seq

Large-scale copy number variations (CNVs) were inferred from single-nuclei gene expression profiles using the *inferCNV* package [6] using the i3 HMM parameter, a window size of 101 genes and the "cluster_by_groups" parameter is true. To identify the distinct chromosomal gene expression pattern of neuroendocrine cells, all other cell were set as the "reference" cells. CNVs in the reference cells would still be detectable.

112

113 Expression Programs of Intra-tumoral Heterogeneity

114 We applied non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) via the RunNMF function of the 115 swne [7] package to extract transcriptional programs of malignant cells of each 116 sample. We set the number of factors to 28 for each sample. For each of the 117 resulting factors, we considered the top 50 genes with the highest NMF scores as 118 characteristics of that given factor. We used the AddModuleScore function in the 119 Seurat package to evaluate the degree to which individual cells express a certain 120 pre-defined expression program, and thus determine the scores. All tumor cells were 121 then scored according to the 280 NMF programs. Hierarchical clustering of the 122 scores for each program using Pearson correlation coefficients as the distance metric 123 and Ward's linkage revealed 10 correlated sets of metaprograms. The gene list of 10 124 meta-programs can be found in TableS5.

125

126 Logistic Regression for Similarity Calculation

To measure the similarity of a target single-cell transcriptome to a reference singlecell dataset, we used the logistic regression method described in [8]. Briefly, we trained a logistic regression model with elastic net regularization ($\alpha = 0.6$) on the reference training set. We then used this trained model to infer a similarity score for each cell in the query dataset for each cell type in the reference data. Predicted logits were averaged within each cluster or sample group in the query dataset.

133 **RESULTS**

We performed single-nuclei transcriptomic profiling (snRNA-seq) on resected tumor tissues from 11 treatment-naïve patients to generate a comprehensive PCPG atlas. Molecular diagnoses revealed germline RET and SDHB mutations in 5 and 6 patients, respectively (**Table S1**). All RET-PCPG samples were retrieved from the adrenal gland, while the SDHB-PCPG tumors are from various locations, including the bladder, the adrenal gland, the retroperitoneal- and the mediastinal area.

140

141 Cell Type Composition of PCPG Tissue

Stringent quality filtering yielded 50,868 nuclei with an average of 1,800 genes detected per nuclei (**Methods**, **Table S1**). The merged expression profiles were compressed into a 2D-coordinate system using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction (UMAP). The cells were grouped into 20 clusters and were annotated by their location, mutation group as well as patient ID (**Fig1A**, **Table S2**).

148 Based on canonical marker genes, we identified three major groups of cell types: 149 neuroendocrine (NEs (markers TH, DBH, CHGB)), immune (PTPRC, CD163, 150 CD247), and stromal (COL4A1, COL1A2) cells (Fig1B). The analysis of cluster 7 151 revealed that it originated almost exclusively from one donor (P370) and was 152 hallmarked by elevated expression of typical adrenocortical rather than 153 adrenomedullar marker genes, such as CYP11A1 and CYP11B1 (Fig. 1B-C, Fig. 154 **S1B-C).** Hence, cells from donor P370 were considered non-representative and 155 excluded from downstream analysis. Neuroendocrine cells (NEs) represented the 156 largest cell fraction (63%, Clusters 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 16, 19), followed by the 157 stromal (16%, Clusters 9, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18) and the immune cells (16%, Clusters 5, 158 8, 14, 16) (Fig. 1C). Most NE clusters consisted largely of cells from single patients 159 (Fig. S1A-C). Cells of the tumor microenvironment (TME), however, occupied shared 160 UMAP territories (Fig. 1D). Based on these observations we decided not to apply 161 batch correction in subsequent analyses to maintain the biological heterogeneity.

162 To obtain a more detailed insight into the cellular complexity of the TME, the immune 163 and stromal cells were sub-selected separately for deeper analyses. Annotation of 164 the immune cells (Fig. S2A) resulted in the assignment of macrophages being the 165 major component of the immune TME [9] (expressing CD163, CDSF1R, TGFBI), followed by T-cells (CD247, IL7R, TCF7) and B-cells (MS4A1, BLK, BANK1) (Fig. 166 167 **S2B**). The macrophages were the most heterogenous immune cells which could be 168 related to tissue-specific transcriptional programs as macrophages are widely known 169 to exert context specific functions [10, 11] (Fig. S2A, arrows). However, the adrenal 170 macrophages (colored green) that are derived from the same location but from 171 different tumor samples are very different. (Fig. S2A, blue and red arrows). This 172 suggests that the macrophage transcriptome not only has a strong locational but also 173 a tumor type-specific component. The T- and B-cells originating from different 174 locations and mutation groups appear rather similar as they clustered together. 175 Finally, annotation of the stromal group (Fig. S2C-D) revealed Schwann cells 176 (expressing SOX6, CDH19, NRXN1), endothelial cells (FLT1, PECAM1, PTPRB) and 177 fibroblasts (TAGLN, ACTA2, COL1A1).

178 The numbers of individual immune and stromal cell populations were deemed too

180 **RET and SDHB Tumor Cells Display Chromosomal Aberrations**

181 We explored inferred Copy Number Variation (iCNV) to determine large-scale 182 somatic chromosomal changes (Fig. 2A). Immune- and stromal cells served as 183 'reference' in the assumption that large CNVs do not occur in the non-malignant. In 184 agreement with published whole-genome sequencing profiles of PCPG tissue [12-185 15], segmental loss in the p-arm of chromosome 1 (1p) was present in all examined 186 tumors regardless of the mutation type. Loss of 1p was not found in the TME cells 187 confirming the assignment of the neuroendocrine cells as PCPG tumor cells. In 188 addition, we observed widespread loss in other chromosomes for example the 3g 189 and 6p arms as well as patient-specific aberrations such as loss in chr21 and gain in 190 1q, 3q, 13q and 14q (Fig. 2A). Apart from a few exceptions (RET-PCPG P66) we 191 found different iCNV patterns in chr13 and chr15 in a subset of the tumor cells; in 192 P227 (SDHB-PCPG) we identified small variations in chr3 and chr17 but observed 193 few intra-individual heterogeneities.

In contrast to the extensive inter-individual and tumor-specific genomic aberrations,
 the inferred genomic profiles of tumor cells within each tumor population were largely
 homogeneous, suggesting that the genome remained largely stable following an
 initial catastrophic event, the genome remained largely stable.

198

Transcription Programs Separate RET- and SDHB PCPG Tumor Cells

200 To assess the inter-tumoral heterogeneity between RET and SDHB PCPG tumor 201 cells, we selected and re-clustered the tumor cells. With this finer grained resolution, 202 we identified UMAP-clusters that consisted of cells mostly from one patient. This 203 impinged on both the UMAP-plots annotated by patient IDs (Fig. 3A) and the 204 heatmap annotation of the hierarchical clustering of top20 cluster markers (Fig. 3B, 205 Table S3), reinforcing the strong inter-individual heterogeneity observed in the iCNV 206 analysis. Selecting the tumor cells gave us the opportunity to determine the genes 207 that are differentially expressed between the mutation groups (Fig. 3C, Table S4). 208 The newly identified markers were associated with either overlapping KEGG 209 pathways ('nervous system development') or with gene ontology terms related to the 210 secretory function of chromaffin cells ('ion channel activities', data not shown)[16].

211 We wished to determine the transcriptional programs that are active across the tumor 212 cells and then identify the programs that are differentially enriched between RET and 213 SDHB tumors. We applied non-negative matrix factorization (NMF)[7] over the sub-214 selected tumor cells to determine the full transcriptional spectrum behind the 215 intratumoral heterogeneity and to extract the most representative biological 216 processes in the tumor cells. Firstly, we identified 28 active transcriptional programs 217 in PCPG tumor cells of each sample, based on their transcriptional profiles at the 218 single cell level. The signature enrichment of these 280 programs was calculated in 219 every individual tumor cell of the whole dataset. Next, based on the enrichment 220 scores, we hierarchically clustered the programs and identified 10 metaprograms 221 (Fig. 4A). Genes were ranked according to their frequency of being present within 222 one metaprogram. The metaprograms spanned a narrow range of functions (Table 223 **S5**) including neuronal development (M1: BMPR1B, ROBO1; M2: NRG1, NTNG1; M3: FGF14, ROBO1; M8: SYT1, CTNNA2; M10: HDAC9, RORA), ion channel 224 225 activity (M4: RYR2, PDE4B; M5: CACNA2D3, CHRM3), hormone synthesis (M9: TH, 226 GCH1) and proliferation (M6: BRIP1, HELLS). Metaprogram seven (M7) could not be 227 associated with a significant ontology term.

The hierarchical clustering of the metaprogram-scored cells unveiled two major clusters separating RET from SDHB tumor cells (**Fig. 4B**). The subclusters within the RET-branch segregated along the patient samples. In the SDHB-branch, however, solely sample P313 formed a discrete subcluster, while the tumor cells of other SDHB patients formed mixed subclusters. Surprisingly, the SDHB tumor cells (originating from various anatomical locations) are less heterogenous than their RET counterparts (originating from the adrenal gland).

235 The most pronounced differences in the average enrichment scores between the 236 RET (cluster 1) and the SDHB (cluster 2) cluster were evident at metaprograms M2, 237 M3, M4 and M5 (Fig. 4C). The 'ion channel activity' of the M4-M5 metaprograms is 238 highly enriched among the RET tumor cells indicating a high secretory activity of the 239 adrenal RET-pheochromocytoma tumor cells. The M9 'hormone synthesis' program 240 was more enriched among the SDHB tumor cells, but mainly due to patient P313. A 241 very small fraction of cells scored high for the 'proliferation' (M6) metaprogram, 242 revealing a low but appreciable proliferative capacity of the PCPG tumor cells. 243 Several metaprograms were associated with 'neuronal development' ontology terms 244 and were shared in both branches of the tumor group separations.

In sum, the NMF analysis revealed two main transcriptional programs in PCPG that separated RET from SDHB tumor cells. Genes associated with ion channel activities (secretion) were more enriched in RET tumors. We also observed that 'neuronal development' was a highly represented transcriptional program in both PCPG tumor cells.

250

251 PCPG Tumor Cells Display Early Adrenal Developmental Signatures

252 The NMF analysis revealed several metaprograms that were associated with 253 neuronal development but showed different enrichments scores among the mutation 254 groups. This implies the developmental signature as an important element of the 255 tumor cells' transcriptome, but the differences between the mutation groups were not 256 reflected in the ontology terms. To shed light on the developmental aspects of the 257 SDHB and RET-PCPG tumors, we compared the transcriptome of the cell types 258 identified in the developing human adrenal gland (8-21 weeks [17] with the PCPG 259 tumors. We applied logistic regression and calculated probability scores for cell type 260 matches (Fig. 5A). The analysis revealed that tumor cells were most similar to the 261 cells at the junction to sympathoblast and chromaffin cells, called the 'bridge cells' 262 [18]. The cell types of the PCPG microenvironment showed high similarity with their 263 normal cell counterparts in the developmental adrenal gland dataset.

The difference between the SDHB and RET-PCPG became even more evident when the tumor cells of each patient were compared to the chromaffin developmental cell types (**Fig. 5B**). The logistic regression confirmed that the RET-PCPGs were more similar to the reference chromaffin cells, while the SDHB-PCPGs scored highest with both the chromaffin and the bridge cell types, suggesting an earlier developmental state.

270 **DISCUSSION**

We performed snRNA-seq and mapped the transcriptional landscape of PCPG to investigate the tumor heterogeneity and to identify the transcriptomic programs that are associated with the mutation group of the tumor. We explored the transcriptional heterogeneity by the analysis of the transcriptomic profiles of 50,868 single nuclei from 11 patients (counting all cell types from 5 RET- and 6 SDHB PCPG tissue samples). This is the first study unveiling the PCPG heterogeneity and the consequences of germline mutations at the single-cell level.

278 Neuroendocrine cells, the largest population in the dataset, were identified as tumor 279 cells on the basis of marker genes and in particular by inferring copy number 280 variations from gene expression levels [19]. The iCNV profiles revealed two important 281 features: firstly, the lack of tumor cell sub-clusters within patients suggests a single 282 initial catastrophic event that led to the birth of the tumor cells. Secondly, apart from 283 very few recurring aberrations, we identified rather patient-specific iCNV patterns, 284 marking the level of inter- and intratumoral heterogeneity in PCPG cellulome that 285 provided a challenge for tumor classification.

286 To identify the patterns of the single-nuclei transcriptomic profiles based on the tumor 287 cells, we applied NMF, an unsupervised learning approach that is employed to 288 approximate high-dimensional datasets in a reduced number of meaningful 289 components [7, 20, 21]. The analysis of single nuclei transcriptomes of >30,000 290 tumor cells resulted in 10 metaprograms across the entire tumor set. The 291 transcription programs related to ion channel activity (transmembrane transport) 292 separated SDHB and RET tumor cells. Based on biochemical analysis of plasma. 293 urinary and tissue samples, we previously [22] found that RET tumors produce (and 294 contain) higher concentrations of catecholamines but secrete them at a lower rate 295 than SDHB tumors. Our cohort was not split by the hormone synthesis metaprogram, 296 moreover (due to a single patient) it showed a higher mean enrichment in the SDHB 297 subset. However, it was split along the ion channel (transmembrane transport) 298 programs that we associated with secretion [23]. Metaprograms linked to neuronal 299 development were found to be active throughout the tumor cells irrespective of their 300 mutational groups.

To explore the developmental status of the tumor, we utilized published datasets of the developing adrenal gland as reference. Applying logistic regression revealed that the RET-PCPG tumor cells are transcriptionally more similar to developed adrenal chromaffins, while SDHB-PCPG tumor cells appear to be in an earlier phase of adrenal development. Our results suggest that PCPG tumor cells had a primarily chromaffin-like phenotype suggesting that the chromaffin cell development state maybe related to the mutation-associated prognosis.

In summary, we revealed extensive levels of heterogeneity among PCPG tumor cells and identified transcriptional programs related to neuronal development as key processes active in these tumor cells. We speculate that in RET-PCPG, the mutation caused a development block during late chromaffin development as compared to the 'more immature' SDHB-PCPG tumors. To differentiate this developmental block from alternative transformative events that could also lead to the modified transcriptomes of the tumor cells, investigation of larger cohorts is needed. Understanding the origin 315 of the tumor and the sources of its heterogeneity may help the development of

316 targeted therapies.

317 DATA AND MATERIAL'S AVAILABILITY

318 Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Corresponding author.

321322 Materials Availability

323 This study did not generate new unique reagents.

324325 Data and Code Availability

The high-throughput datasets have been deposited in the European Genomephenome Archive. The accession number for the single cell expression data of PCPG tumor samples reported in this study is EGAS00001006230. This study did not generate any unique code. All software tools used in this study are freely or commercially available.

331

332 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the patients, their families for supporting this research. Our thanks are extended to Igor Adameyko (*Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden*) for discussions.

336337 FUNDING

338 The present work was funded by the Paradifference Foundation under the 339 coordination of Peter M T Deen (*Radboud University*, *Nijmegen*, *The Netherlands*). 340 P.B. is supported by the Princess Maxima Center and the Paradifference Foundation. 341 C.R.M. is supported by the Princess Maxima Center and the European Union's 342 Horizon 2020 Skłodowska-Curie Actions (project AiPBAND) under grant #764281. 343 W.M. is supported by the Italian National Operational Programme on Research 2014-344 2020 (PON AIM 1859703-2). H.G.S. is supported by the Princess Maxima Center 345 and KiKa (Kinderen Kankervrij).

346

347 ETHICS DECLARATIONS

348 **Ethical Statement**

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Radboud University Medical Center and informed consent was obtained from each patient (protocol no 9803-0060)

352

353 Human Tumor Samples

Tumor tissue samples of patients with a biochemically / histologically proven diagnosis of pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma were investigated. Fresh samples were snap frozen, usually within 1 hour after resection.

357 **REFERENCES**

- 358
- [1] P.M. Szabo, M. Pinter, D.R. Szabo, A. Zsippai, A. Patocs, A. Falus, K. Racz, P. Igaz,
 Integrative analysis of neuroblastoma and pheochromocytoma genomics data, BMC Med
 Genomics 5 (2012) 48.
- 362 [2] L.D. Scriba, S.R. Bornstein, A. Santambrogio, G. Mueller, A. Huebner, J. Hauer, A.
- Schedl, B. Wielockx, G. Eisenhofer, C.L. Andoniadou, C. Steenblock, Cancer Stem Cells in
 Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma, Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 11 (2020) 79.
- 365 [3] K. Koopman, J. Gaal, R.R. de Krijger, Pheochromocytomas and Paragangliomas: New
- Developments with Regard to Classification, Genetics, and Cell of Origin, Cancers (Basel)
 11(8) (2019).
- [4] L. Fishbein, K.L. Nathanson, Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: understanding the
 complexities of the genetic background, Cancer Genet 205(1-2) (2012) 1-11.
- 370 [5] A.P. Gimenez-Roqueplo, J. Favier, P. Rustin, C. Rieubland, M. Crespin, V. Nau, P. Khau
- Van Kien, P. Corvol, P.F. Plouin, X. Jeunemaitre, C. Network, Mutations in the SDHB gene
 are associated with extra-adrenal and/or malignant phaeochromocytomas, Cancer Res 63(17)
 (2003) 5615-21.
- 374 [6] CTAT, inferCNV of the Trinity CTAT Project, 375 https://github.com/broadinstitute/inferCNV.
- 376 [7] Y. Wu, P. Tamayo, K. Zhang, Visualizing and Interpreting Single-Cell Gene Expression
 377 Datasets with Similarity Weighted Nonnegative Embedding, Cell Syst 7(6) (2018) 656-666
 378 e4.
- 379 [8] M.D. Young, T.J. Mitchell, F.A. Vieira Braga, M.G.B. Tran, B.J. Stewart, J.R. Ferdinand,
- 380 G. Collord, R.A. Botting, D.M. Popescu, K.W. Loudon, R. Vento-Tormo, E. Stephenson, A.
- 381 Cagan, S.J. Farndon, M. Del Castillo Velasco-Herrera, C. Guzzo, N. Richoz, L. Mamanova,
- 382 T. Aho, J.N. Armitage, A.C.P. Riddick, I. Mushtaq, S. Farrell, D. Rampling, J. Nicholson, A.
- 383 Filby, J. Burge, S. Lisgo, P.H. Maxwell, S. Lindsay, A.Y. Warren, G.D. Stewart, N. Sebire,
- N. Coleman, M. Haniffa, S.A. Teichmann, M. Clatworthy, S. Behjati, Single-cell
 transcriptomes from human kidneys reveal the cellular identity of renal tumors, Science
 361(6402) (2018) 594-599.
- [9] N.A. Farhat, J.F. Powers, A. Shepard-Barry, P. Dahia, K. Pacak, A.S. Tischler, A
 Previously Unrecognized Monocytic Component of Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma,
 Endocr Pathol 30(2) (2019) 90-95.
- [10] K.M. Summers, S.J. Bush, D.A. Hume, Network analysis of transcriptomic diversity
 amongst resident tissue macrophages and dendritic cells in the mouse mononuclear phagocyte
 system, PLoS Biol 18(10) (2020) e3000859.
- [11] U. Brykczynska, M. Geigges, S.J. Wiedemann, E. Dror, M. Boni-Schnetzler, C. Hess,
 M.Y. Donath, R. Paro, Distinct Transcriptional Responses across Tissue-Resident
 Macrophages to Short-Term and Long-Term Metabolic Challenge, Cell Rep 30(5) (2020)
- 396 1627-1643 e7.
 397 [12] J. Crona, S. Backman, R. Maharjan, M. Mayrhofer, P. Stalberg, A. Isaksson, P. Hellman,
- P. Bjorklund, Spatiotemporal Heterogeneity Characterizes the Genetic Landscape of
 Pheochromocytoma and Defines Early Events in Tumorigenesis, Clin Cancer Res 21(19)
 (2015) 4451-60.
- 401 [13] J. Sandgren, T. Diaz de Stahl, R. Andersson, U. Menzel, A. Piotrowski, H. Nord, M.
- 402 Backdahl, N.B. Kiss, M. Brauckhoff, J. Komorowski, H. Dralle, O. Hessman, C. Larsson, G.
- 403 Akerstrom, C. Bruder, J.P. Dumanski, G. Westin, Recurrent genomic alterations in benign
- 404 and malignant pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas revealed by whole-genome array
- 405 comparative genomic hybridization analysis, Endocr Relat Cancer 17(3) (2010) 561-79.

- 406 [14] N. Burnichon, L. Vescovo, L. Amar, R. Libe, A. de Reynies, A. Venisse, E. Jouanno, I.
- Laurendeau, B. Parfait, J. Bertherat, P.F. Plouin, X. Jeunemaitre, J. Favier, A.P. Gimenez Roqueplo, Integrative genomic analysis reveals somatic mutations in pheochromocytoma and
- 409 paraganglioma, Hum Mol Genet 20(20) (2011) 3974-85.
- 410 [15] S.L. Carter, K. Cibulskis, E. Helman, A. McKenna, H. Shen, T. Zack, P.W. Laird, R.C.
- 411 Onofrio, W. Winckler, B.A. Weir, R. Beroukhim, D. Pellman, D.A. Levine, E.S. Lander, M.
- 412 Meyerson, G. Getz, Absolute quantification of somatic DNA alterations in human cancer, Nat
- 413 Biotechnol 30(5) (2012) 413-21.
- 414 [16] D.H. Vandael, S. Mahapatra, C. Calorio, A. Marcantoni, E. Carbone, Cav1.3 and Cav1.2
 415 channels of adrenal chromaffin cells: emerging views on cAMP/cGMP-mediated
 416 phosphorylation and role in pacemaking, Biochim Biophys Acta 1828(7) (2013) 1608-18.
- 417 [17] G. Kildisiute, W.M. Kholosy, M.D. Young, K. Roberts, R. Elmentaite, S.R. van Hooff,
- 418 C.N. Pacyna, E. Khabirova, A. Piapi, C. Thevanesan, E. Bugallo-Blanco, C. Burke, L.
- 419 Mamanova, K.M. Keller, K.P.S. Langenberg-Ververgaert, P. Lijnzaad, T. Margaritis, F.C.P.
- 420 Holstege, M.L. Tas, M. Wijnen, M.M. van Noesel, I. Del Valle, G. Barone, R. van der
- 421 Linden, C. Duncan, J. Anderson, J.C. Achermann, M. Haniffa, S.A. Teichmann, D. Rampling,
- 422 N.J. Sebire, X. He, R.R. de Krijger, R.A. Barker, K.B. Meyer, O. Bayraktar, K. Straathof, J.J.
- 423 Molenaar, S. Behjati, Tumor to normal single-cell mRNA comparisons reveal a pan-424 neuroblastoma cancer cell, Sci Adv 7(6) (2021).
- 425 [18] A. Furlan, V. Dyachuk, M.E. Kastriti, L. Calvo-Enrique, H. Abdo, S. Hadjab, T.
- 426 Chontorotzea, N. Akkuratova, D. Usoskin, D. Kamenev, J. Petersen, K. Sunadome, F. Memic,
- 427 U. Marklund, K. Fried, P. Topilko, F. Lallemend, P.V. Kharchenko, P. Ernfors, I. Adameyko,
- 428 Multipotent peripheral glial cells generate neuroendocrine cells of the adrenal medulla,
 429 Science 357(6346) (2017).
- 430 [19] T.I. Tickle, Georgescu, C., Brown, M. & Haas, B. inferCNV of the Trinity CTAT
 431 Project, <u>https://github.com/broadinstitute/inferCNV</u>, (2019).
- 432 [20] F. Gandolfi, A. Tramontano, A computational approach for the functional classification
 433 of the epigenome, Epigenetics Chromatin 10 (2017) 26.
- 434 [21] J.P. Brunet, P. Tamayo, T.R. Golub, J.P. Mesirov, Metagenes and molecular pattern
 435 discovery using matrix factorization, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101(12) (2004) 4164-9.
- 436 [22] G. Eisenhofer, K. Pacak, T.T. Huynh, N. Qin, G. Bratslavsky, W.M. Linehan, M.
- 437 Mannelli, P. Friberg, S.K. Grebe, H.J. Timmers, S.R. Bornstein, J.W. Lenders, Catecholamine
- 438 metabolomic and secretory phenotypes in phaeochromocytoma, Endocr Relat Cancer 18(1)439 (2011) 97-111.
- 440 [23] W.W. Douglas, T. Kanno, S.R. Sampson, Influence of the ionic environment on the
- 441 membrane potential of adrenal chromaffin cells and on the depolarizing effect of
- 442 acetylcholine, J Physiol 191(1) (1967) 107-21.
- 443

444 FIGURES 445 Figure1. 446 A. UMAP visualization of all the 50,868 cells grouped according to their cluster 447 annotation and colored by their clusters, location of origin, mutation group or 448 patient ID 449 B. Violin plots displaying the expression levels of canonical markers of 450 representative cell types 451 C. Distribution of cell types across the merged dataset and per sample 452 D. UMAP visualization of all the 50,868 cells highlighting the cells annotated to 453 the main cell types. The UMAP clusters of NEs are also marked by their most 454 representative patient IDs 455 456 FigureS1. 457 A. UMAP visualization of the merged dataset separately annotated by patient 458 (blue: 'SDHB group', red: 'RET-group') 459 B. Fraction of cells per sample populating the UMAP-clusters 460 C. Fraction of cells per UMAP-clusters found per sample 461 FigureS2. 462 463 A. UMAP visualization of the PCPG immune cells subcluster after re-clustering 464 (no batch-correction). The arrows point at the cells annotated as 465 macrophages, found in tumors from similar anatomical locations. (blue: from 466 an SDHB-tumor, red: from a RET-tumor) 467 B. UMAP visualization and the relative expression levels of canonical cell type 468 markers across the PCPG immune cells subcluster 469 C. UMAP visualization of the PCPG stromal cells subcluster after re-clustering 470 (no batch-correction) 471 D. UMAP visualization and the relative expression levels of canonical cell type markers across the PCPG stromal cells subcluster 472 473 474 Figure2. 475 A. Heatmap of inferred CNVs of NE cells (immune clusters and stromal clusters 476 were applied as reference). The patient IDs are colored by the mutation 477 groups. 478 479 Figure3. 480 A. UMAP visualization of the PCPG tumor cells subcluster after re-clustering (no 481 batch-correction), annotated by patient ID, tumor location, mutation group and 482 cluster 483 B. Hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed genes for UMAP-clusters 484 across the PCPG tumor cell subclusters 485 C. Hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed genes for RET and 486 SDHB mutation groups (sn-markers) across the PCPG tumor cells 487

488 **Figure4**.

 489
 490
 A. Heatmap showing the correlation and hierarchical clustering of the 280 factors 490 calculated in our NMF-analysis of the tumor cells individual samples, across all 491 mutation groups. Metaprograms are numbered M1-M10 and annotated by492 their representative ontology terms.

- B. Heatmap showing scores of PCPG tumor cells for the 10 metaprograms
 identified from the NMF analysis of individual samples.
- C. Violin-plots showing scores of PCPG tumor cells for the 10 metaprograms
 identified from the NMF analysis grouped per mutation group (black dots mark
 mean, *Wilcoxon p*<2.2e-16 within each Metaprogram).

499 **Figure5**.

498

503

504

505

- 500A. Heatmap showing similarity scores (logistic regression and logit scale) of the
signatures of developing cell types from [17] (fetal adrenal dataset) (x axis) to
502502PCPG cells (y axis)
 - B. Heatmap showing similarity scores (logistic regression and logit scale) of the signatures of developing adrenal cell types from [17] (fetal adrenal dataset) (x axis) to PCPG tumor cells, by patient (y axis)

506 507 Additional Data

508 **Table S1**. Clinical information and snRNAseq quality parameters of 509 processed/analyzed samples

510 **Table S2**. Top50 differentially expressed markers of the 20 clusters in the complete 511 merged dataset

512 **Table S3**. Top50 differentially expressed markers of the tumor cell sub-clusters

513 **Table S4**. Differentially expressed markers of the of mutation groups based on the 514 tumor cells

515 **Table S5**. Gene lists of the 10 metaprograms identified in the tumor cells. The cells

516 with unique markers (across the metaprograms) are colored blue.

was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure1



D



was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. Supplemental Figure1







С



- F -

was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **Supplemental Figure2**





was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure3







Figure4



was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure5

