

## MIXED NORM ESTIMATES FOR CERTAIN MEANS

LENNART BÖRJESON

ABSTRACT. We obtain estimates of the mean

$$F_x^\gamma(t) = C_\gamma \int_{|y| < 1} (1 - |y|^2)^\gamma f(x - ty) dy$$

in mixed Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. They generalize earlier estimates of the spherical mean  $F_x^{-1}(t) = C \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty) dS(y)$  and of solutions of the wave equation  $\Delta_x u = \partial^2 u / \partial t^2$ .

**Introduction.** For  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\gamma > -1$  we define the mean

$$F_x^\gamma(t) = \frac{2^{-\gamma}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma)} \int_{|y| < 1} (1 - |y|^2)^\gamma f(x - ty) dy,$$

$x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function. A computation of the Fourier transform of  $F^\gamma(t)$  gives (see [SWe, p. 171])

$$\hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} F_x^\gamma(t) dx = m_\gamma(t\xi) \hat{f}(\xi),$$

where the multiplier

$$m_\gamma(\xi) = |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|).$$

$J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}$  is the Bessel function of order  $\frac{n}{2} + \gamma$ . (For more details about Bessel functions consult [E or W].) But since the multiplier  $m_\gamma$  is well-defined for all complex  $\gamma$ , we can extend the mean  $F^\gamma$  to these  $\gamma$ 's.

The same letter  $C$  will be used to denote various constants, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

For some values of  $\gamma$  the mean  $F^\gamma$  has a special meaning.

If  $\gamma = 0$ , then

$$F_x^0(t) = C \int_{|y| < 1} f(x - ty) dy = \frac{C}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} f(y) dy$$

the mean of  $f$  over the ball  $B(x, t)$  of radius  $t$  with its centre in  $x$ .

If  $\gamma = -1$ , then

$$F_x^{-1}(t) = C \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty) dS(y)$$

the mean of  $f$  over the sphere of radius  $t$  with its centre in  $x$ .  $dS$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$ .

Received by the editors February 12, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 42B15; Secondary 44A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Mixed norm, spherical mean, wave equation.

If  $\gamma = -\frac{n-1}{2}$ , then  $u(x, t) = CtF_x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}(t)$  solves the following Cauchy problem for the wave equation.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}(x, t) = \Delta_x u(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = f(x)$$

In this case the multiplier is given by

$$m_{-\frac{n-1}{2}}(t\xi) = |t\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_{\frac{1}{2}}(|t\xi|) = C(\sin t|\xi|)/t|\xi|.$$

If  $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2}$ , then  $u(x, t) = CtF_x^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}(t)$  solves the wave equation with Cauchy data

$$u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = 0.$$

The multiplier is then  $m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}}(t\xi) = |t\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(|t\xi|) = C \cos t|\xi|$ .

Estimates of spherical means which are related to the results in this paper can be found in [B1–B3, OB, PS, Sj1–Sj5, St2, STW, SWa and Str]. Related results of regularity properties of the solution of the wave equation are found in [Ma, Mi, Pr, Ss, St2 and Str]. [Sj2] also contains an application to convergence of Fourier integrals.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  be the functions in  $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  with compact support in  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

The operator  $J^\alpha$  is defined by the relation  $(J^\alpha \varphi)^\wedge(s) = (1 + s^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{\varphi}(s)$ , and the norm in the Bessel potential space  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R})$  is defined by  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p} = \|J^\alpha \varphi\|_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Cf. [St1].  $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}$ .  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  is the space obtained by complex interpolation between  $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{[\beta]}^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{[\beta]+1}^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , where  $[\beta]$  is the integral part of  $\beta$ ,  $[\beta] \leq \beta < [\beta] + 1$ . The norm is denoted  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}$  and coincides, by definition, with the norm of  $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2$  when  $\beta$  is an integer. Properties of the spaces  $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  can be found in [LM].

$BMO(\mathbf{R})$  is the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation normed by

$$\|\varphi\|_{BMO} = \sup_I \left[ |I|^{-1} \int_I |\varphi(t) - |I|^{-1} \int_I \varphi(s) ds| dt \right],$$

where  $I$  is a bounded interval. Cf. [St1, p. 164].

$\Lambda_\delta(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , is the Lipschitz space with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_\delta} = \|\varphi\|_\infty + \sup_{t,y} y^{k-\delta} \left| \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial y^k}(t, y) \right|$$

where  $u(t, y)$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $y > 0$ , is the Poisson integral of  $\varphi$  and  $k$  is the smallest integer greater than  $\delta$ . See [St1].

The Hardy space  $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ , is defined to be the set of all temperate distributions  $f$  such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \left\| \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |f * \psi_\varepsilon| \right\|_p < \infty,$$

where  $\psi$  is some fixed element of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (the Schwartz class) with  $\int \psi(x) dx \neq 0$  and  $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n}\psi(x/\varepsilon)$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $H^p$  is defined to be equal to  $L^p$  with norm  $\|f\|_{H^p} = \|f\|_p$ . Cf. [FS].

Our results are the following.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ ,*

- (i)  $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$ ,
- (ii)  $\frac{n}{n+\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} \leq p \leq 2$ ,
- (iii)  $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ , and
- (iv)  $\alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$ ,

then

$$(1) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p},$$

where  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . For  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$  coincide. (1) is best possible in the sense that we cannot have  $\alpha > \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$ .

**REMARK 1.** When  $\gamma = -1$  and  $\varphi$  is a fixed function in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  with compact support in  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}$  is replaced by  $C_\varphi$  in (1), then the result was obtained by P. Sjölin in [Sj2]. In [Sj4] this was extended to a larger class of means, viz.

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty)\rho(x, y) dS(y),$$

where  $\rho(x, y)$  satisfy certain differentiability properties.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\gamma, p$  and  $\beta$  satisfy (i) and (iii) of Theorem 1,  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .*

*If (v)  $\frac{n}{n+\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} \leq p < \frac{n}{n+\gamma}$  and  $q = -(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma)^{-1}$ , then*

$$(2) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{L^q}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

*If (vi)  $p = \frac{n}{n+\gamma}$ , then*

$$(3) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{BMO}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

*If (vii)  $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$  and  $\delta = \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$ , then*

$$(4) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\Lambda_\delta}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

*It is not possible to take  $q > -(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma)^{-1}$  in (2). The BMO-norm in (3) cannot be replaced by a Lipschitz-norm and (4) is no longer true if  $\delta > \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$ .*

**REMARK 2.** For  $-1 \leq \gamma < 0$ , set

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-n-\gamma} \left( \log \frac{1}{|x|} \right)^{-1}, & \text{if } 0 < |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in L^{\frac{n}{n+\gamma}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , but  $F_x^\gamma(|x|) = \infty$ . This shows that the *BMO*-norm in (3) is not replaceable by the sup-norm. If  $p > 1$ ,  $\gamma > -1$  and  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , then (1)–(4) is valid for  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . (The case  $\gamma = -1$  is contained in [Sj2].) The details are carried out at the end of the proof of Corollary 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume that  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .*

*If (viii)  $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$ , (ix)  $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$ ,  $p \leq r \leq p'$ , and (x)  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$  (or (ix')  $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma} = \left(\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}\right)'$ ,  $r = p$ , and (x')  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1$ ), then*

$$(5) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

*If  $\gamma$  satisfies (viii) and is equal to an integer or is such that  $\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$  is equal to an integer, then the conclusion still holds, if  $r = p > 1$  and if  $<$  is replaced by  $\leq$  in (ix), (x), (ix') and (x').*

**REMARK 3.** We conjecture that Theorem 2 is still true if we also allow  $p = \frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}$  in (ix) and equality in (x) and (x'), since the conclusion holds for the endpoints  $\gamma = -1$  and  $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2}$  and for some values in between.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ ,  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$ .*

*If (xi)  $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} < p \leq \frac{n}{n+\gamma} \leq 2$ ,  $p \leq q < -\left(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma\right)^{-1}$ ,  $p \leq r \leq p'$  (or (xi')  $2 \leq -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma} \leq p < -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma}$ ,  $p \leq q < -\left(\frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1\right)^{-1}$ ,  $r = p$ ), then*

$$(6) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_q^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

*If (xii)  $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$ ,  $p \leq r \leq p'$  (or (xii')  $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma}$ ,  $r = p$ ), then*

$$(7) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{BMO}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

*If (xiii)  $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$ ,  $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$ ,  $p \leq r \leq p'$  (or (xiii')  $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma}$ ,  $0 < \delta < \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1$ ,  $r = p$ ), then*

$$(8) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\lambda_\delta}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

**REMARK 4.** Here we also have the corresponding better estimates when  $\gamma$  or  $\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$  are integers. A combination of the methods and results of this paper with the estimates of  $F_x^\gamma(1)$  given by Strichartz [Str] should give more mixed norm estimates.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ . Then it is possible to replace  $\varphi(t)$  by  $\varphi(t)|t|^\eta$  in (5)–(8), if*

$$\eta > \frac{n}{r'} + \gamma, \quad p \leq 2,$$

or

$$\eta > \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1, \quad p \geq 2.$$

REMARK 5. Corollary 3 is contained in [Sj4, Theorem 4] in the case  $\gamma = -1$  and  $p \leq 2$ , where it is also shown that the value  $\frac{n}{r} - 1$  is best possible.

EXAMPLES. The estimate (3), for  $n = 2, \gamma = -1$ , can be seen as an endpoint result of Theorem 2 in [St2] and Theorem 1 in [B3].

Let  $p = 1$  and  $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then it is easy to see that the  $H^1$ -norm in (1) cannot be replaced by the  $L^1$ -norm. However, we have that  $F_x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t)$  maps  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  to weak  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (since  $(1 - |y|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is in weak  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ), i.e.

$$|\{x; |F_x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t)| > \lambda\}| \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left( \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \right)^2.$$

This also shows that the estimate

$$\|F^{-1}(1)\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$$

cannot be extended to

$$\|F^{-1+i\mu}(1)\|_1 \leq C(\mu)\|f\|_1, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R},$$

where  $F^{-1+i\mu}(1)$  and  $C(\mu)$  satisfy the hypothesis of the interpolation theorem of Stein [SWe, p. 205]. For it would then be possible to interpolate with

$$\|F^{i\mu}(1)\|_\infty \leq Ce^{\pi|\mu|}\|f\|_1, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R},$$

to get

$$\|F^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1)\|_2 \leq C\|f\|_1,$$

but this is false.

### 3. Proofs.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We start with the case where  $\alpha = 0$  and prove a somewhat better estimate than (1). Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ ,  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\gamma = k + i\mu - \frac{n+1}{2}$ , where  $k$  is a nonnegative integer and  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ . With Fubini's theorem and Plancherel's identity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (9) \quad &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) F_x^\gamma(t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) \hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) |t\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n+1}{2} - k - i\mu} J_{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n+1}{2} + k + i\mu}(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) |t\xi|^{\frac{1}{2} - k - i\mu} J_{-\frac{1}{2} + k + i\mu}(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to invoke the asymptotic estimate of Bessel functions for large arguments, i.e.

$$\left| J_{-\frac{1}{2} + k + i\mu}(r) \right| \leq C_k r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi|\mu|},$$

where  $r > 0, k \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . See [W, pp. 217–218] or [Bö]. So (9) can be majorized by

$$\begin{aligned} & C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t)| t |\xi|^{-k} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t) t^{-k}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)| |\xi|^{-k}|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we make use of the assumption that  $\varphi^{(k)}(0) = 0$  and Hardy’s inequality, to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t) t^{-k}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C_k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi'(t) t^{-k+1}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \dots \leq C_k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_k \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

See [T, p. 262]. This gives

$$(10) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|\hat{f} \cdot |\cdot|^{-k}\|_2,$$

for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Consider the function  $G_x^\gamma(t)$ , defined by

$$(G^\gamma(t))^\wedge(\xi) = |\xi|^{k+i\mu} \hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t).$$

Then (10) becomes

$$\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi G_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|\hat{f}\|_2 = C_k e^{2\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2,$$

where  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Using complex interpolation (see [CJ, Theorem 2]) between  $k$  and  $k + 1$ , we obtain

$$\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi G_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \|f\|_2,$$

for  $-\frac{n+1}{2} + k \leq \gamma \leq -\frac{n+1}{2} + k + 1, \beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , or equivalently

$$(11) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \|\hat{f} \cdot |\cdot|^{-\beta}\|_2,$$

for  $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ . This is the improved inequality in the case  $\alpha = 0$ .

We now consider the case  $\alpha = \beta$ , i.e.  $p = 2$  in (iv). Let  $\varphi, f$  and  $\gamma$  be as in the proof of the case  $\alpha = 0$  and set  $D^l = \frac{d^l}{dt^l}$ . In this proof we use the following

LEMMA 1. *If  $\nu \in \mathbf{C}, l \in \mathbf{N}, r > 0$  and  $\Re \nu \geq l - \frac{1}{2}$ , then*

$$|r^l D^l (r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r))| \leq C e^{3\pi|\Im \nu|}.$$

*C depends only on  $\Re \nu$  and  $l$ .*

We postpone the proof of the lemma.

The  $L^2(\mathcal{L}_k^2)$  norm of  $\varphi F^\gamma$ ,  $\gamma = k + i\mu - \frac{n+1}{2}$ , is split into two  $L^2$  norms.

$$\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left[ \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|D^k(\varphi F_x^\gamma)\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

The first one is easily estimated ( $l = 0$  in the lemma).

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= C \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) \hat{F}_\xi^{-\frac{n+1}{2} + k + i\mu}(t)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t) |t\xi|^{\frac{1}{2} - k - i\mu} J_{-\frac{1}{2} + k + i\mu}(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_2 \|\hat{f}\|_2 \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Set  $B(r) = r^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(r)$  and estimate the second term again by Hardy's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|D^k(\varphi F_x^\gamma)\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\| \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{k-l} D^{k-l} \varphi D^l F_x^\gamma \right\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|D^{k-l} \varphi D^l F_x^\gamma\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |D^l F_x^\gamma(t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |D^l \hat{F}_\xi^\gamma(t)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |D^l(B(|t\xi|)) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| |\xi|^l (\text{sgn } t)^l (D^l B)(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t) t^{-l}|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| |t\xi|^l (D^l B)(|t\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t) t^{-l}|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \sum_{l=0}^k \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |D^{k-l} \varphi(t) t^{-l}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\hat{f}\|_2 \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|D^k \varphi\|_2 \|f\|_2 \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\Re(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma) = k - \frac{1}{2} \geq l - \frac{1}{2}$  and the condition in the lemma is fulfilled. So

$$\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^2} \|f\|_2,$$

for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , and as before we interpolate between the  $k$ 's. Using again the extension of Stein's interpolation theorem for the complex family  $\varphi F^\gamma$  we get

$$(12) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|f\|_2,$$

for  $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ . See [CJ, Theorem 2]. For interpolation of the spaces  $L^2(\mathcal{L}_k^2)$ , see [BL, pp. 107 and 153]. This proves the theorem in the case  $\alpha = \beta$ .

We end up by interpolating between (11) and (12) with the following result.

$$\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \|\hat{f} \cdot |\cdot|^{\alpha-\beta}\|_2,$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ . But from the boundedness of fractional integrals on  $L^p$  spaces and its extension to  $H^p$  spaces we also get that

$$\|\hat{f} \cdot |\cdot|^{\alpha-\beta}\|_2 \leq C \|f\|_{H^p},$$

if

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{n} = 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma - \alpha}{n}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma.$$

See [BL, p. 168 or P, p. 50].

Hardy's inequality carries over from  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  to  $\mathcal{L}_k^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  if the derivatives are to be understood in the weak sense. Consequently, the proof for  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  holds also for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_k^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ .

We continue with the proof of the identity  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is enough to show the identity  $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$ , since  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  if  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$  (see [LM, p. 64]).

Take a  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and let  $\{\varphi_i\}_1^\infty$  be a sequence in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  converging to  $\varphi$ . Extending the sequence to the whole real line by  $\varphi_i(0) = 0$ , for all  $i$ , we obtain a sequence in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  that converges to  $\varphi$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$ .

Now take a  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R})$  and a sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_1^\infty$  in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\|\varphi - \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From this sequence we shall construct another one in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ , with supports in  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and converging to  $\varphi$  thus showing that  $\mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathcal{L}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ .

Let  $\psi(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  be equal to 0, if  $|t| < \frac{1}{2}$ , and equal to 1, if  $|t| \geq 1$ . For given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $i$  such that  $1/i < \varepsilon$  and  $\|\varphi - \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} < \varepsilon/2$ . We claim that it is possible to choose, for each  $i$ , an  $R = R(i) > 1$  such that

$$\|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} < \frac{1}{2i}.$$



Here  $\psi_R(t) = \psi(Rt)$ . Then  $\{\varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\}_1^\infty$  is the desired sequence, because

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \leq \|\varphi - \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} + \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_{R(i)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2i} < \varepsilon.$$

Now to the proof of the claim. For  $\beta = 1$  we estimate the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_R\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} &= \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 + \|(\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R))'\|_2 \\ &= \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 + \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)'\|_2 + \|\varphi_i'(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\varphi_i\|_\infty \|1 - \psi_R\|_2 + \|\varphi_i\|_\infty \|(1 - \psi_R)'\|_2 + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty \|1 - \psi_R\|_2 \\ &\leq (\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)(2\|1 - \psi_R\|_2 + \|(1 - \psi_R)'\|_2) \\ &= (\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)(2\|1 - \psi_R\|_2 + R\|(1 - \psi)'\|_2). \end{aligned}$$

A dilation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_R\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} &\leq (\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)(R^{-\frac{1}{2}}2\|1 - \psi\|_2 + R^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(1 - \psi)'\|_2) \\ &\leq R^{\frac{1}{2}}2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|1 - \psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2}, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose  $R \geq 1$ . Setting  $\psi_1 = 1 - \psi$  this can be rewritten as

$$(13) \quad \|\varphi_i \psi_1(R \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}}2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|\psi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2}.$$

For  $\beta = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_i - \varphi_i \psi_R\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2} &= \|\varphi_i(1 - \psi_R)\|_2 \leq \|\varphi_i\|_\infty \|1 - \psi_R\|_2 \\ &= \|\varphi_i\|_\infty R^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|1 - \psi\|_2 \leq R^{-\frac{1}{2}}2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|1 - \psi\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$(14) \quad \|\varphi_i \psi_1(R \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2} \leq R^{-\frac{1}{2}}2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|\psi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_0^2}.$$

Interpolating between (13) and (14) yields

$$(15) \quad \|\varphi_i \psi_1(R \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \leq R^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}}2(\|\varphi_i\|_\infty + \|\varphi_i'\|_\infty)\|\psi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}.$$

Since  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$  it is possible to choose  $R > 1$  so that the right-hand side of (15) becomes less than  $\frac{1}{2i}$ .

We finish the proof of Theorem 1 by showing that it is impossible to have  $\alpha > \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$  in (1).

Take a fixed  $a \geq 1$ . Set  $T_t^\gamma f(x) = F_x^\gamma(t)$  and  $g_a(x) = g(ax)$ . Computing the Fourier transform of  $g_a$  yields  $\widehat{(g_a)}(\xi) = a^{-n}\widehat{g}(\xi/a)$ . With these identities we get

$$\begin{aligned} (T_t^\gamma(f_a))^\wedge(\xi) &= m_\gamma(t\xi)\widehat{(f_a)}(\xi) = m_\gamma(t\xi)a^{-n}\widehat{f}(\xi/a) \\ &= m_\gamma(at\xi a^{-1})a^{-n}\widehat{f}(\xi/a) = a^{-n}(T_{at}^\gamma f)^\wedge(\xi/a) = ((T_{at}^\gamma f)_a)^\wedge(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

That is  $T_t^\gamma(f_a)(x) = (T_{at}^\gamma f)(ax)$ . Applying (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi_a T^\gamma(f_a)(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi(a \cdot)(T_a^\gamma f)_a(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\varphi(a \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}\|f_a\|_{H^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\psi_a(t) = \psi(at) = T_a^\gamma f$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_a \psi_a\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2} &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |((\varphi\psi)_a)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1+s^2)^\alpha ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |a^{-1}(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(s/a)|^2 (1+s^2)^\alpha ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= a^{-1} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(t)|^2 (1+a^2t^2)^\alpha a dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(t)|^2 (a^2t^2)^\alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(t)|^2 t^{2\alpha} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

A change of variables in the integral defining the  $H^p$ -norm gives  $\|f_a\|_{H^p} = a^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{H^p}$ .

We introduce the space

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \left\{ \varphi; \varphi \in \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}), \|\cdot\|^{|\beta|-\beta} D^{[\beta]}\varphi \in L^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) \right\}$$

with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^\circ = \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} + \|\|\cdot\|^{|\beta|-\beta} D^{[\beta]}\varphi\|_2.$$

With this space we have a description of  $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , viz.

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \begin{cases} \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}), & \text{if } \beta - [\beta] = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See [LM, p. 66]. Thus  $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(a\cdot)\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} &\leq \|\varphi(a\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} + \|\|\cdot\|^{|\beta|-\beta} D^{[\beta]}\varphi(a\cdot)\|_2 \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |a^{-1}\hat{\varphi}(s/a)|^2 (1+s^2)^\beta ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| |t|^{|\beta|-\beta} a^{[\beta]} (D^{[\beta]}\varphi)(at) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |a^{-1}\hat{\varphi}(u)|^2 (1+a^2u^2)^\beta a du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| a^\beta |v|^{|\beta|-\beta} (D^{[\beta]}\varphi)(v) \right|^2 a^{-1} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\hat{\varphi}(u)|^2 (a^2(1+u^2))^\beta du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| |v|^{|\beta|-\beta} (D^{[\beta]}\varphi)(v) \right|^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \|\varphi\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the estimates gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi_a(T_a^\gamma f)_a(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= a^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi_a T_a^\gamma f(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq a^{-\frac{n}{2}} a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} C = C a^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{J}$  does not depend on  $a$ . But we also have that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\varphi_a T^\gamma(f_a)(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi_a\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^2} \|f_a\|_{H^p} \leq a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} C a^{-\frac{n}{p}} C = C a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta-\frac{n}{p}},$$

$\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2$ . With the  $a$ 's on the left-hand side

$$a^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\beta+\frac{n}{p}} = a^{\alpha-\beta-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n}{p}} \leq C$$

or

$$\alpha - \beta - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 0,$$

because  $a \geq 1$ . But this implies

$$\alpha \leq \beta + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p} = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p} = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma.$$

So it is impossible to have

$$\alpha > \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. We use the formula

$$2D^1 J_\nu(r) = J_{\nu-1}(r) - J_{\nu+1}(r)$$

and the fact that

$$|J_\nu(r)| \leq C e^{2\pi|\Im\nu|r^{-\frac{1}{2}}},$$

if  $\Re\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $r > 0$ . Here  $C$  depends only on  $\Re\nu$ . See [W, pp. 45 and 217–218] or [Bö]. The first identity repeated  $j$  times gives

$$D^j J_\nu(r) = \sum_{i=-j}^j a_i J_{\nu+i}(r).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} r^l D^l (r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r)) &= r^l \sum_{j=0}^l b_j r^{-\nu-(l-j)} D^j J_\nu(r) = r^l \sum_{j=0}^l b_j r^{-\nu-l+j} \sum_{i=-j}^j a_i J_{\nu+i}(r) \\ &= r^l \sum_{j=0}^l \sum_{i=-j}^j b_j a_i r^{-l+j+i} r^{-\nu-i} J_{\nu+i}(r) = \sum_{j=0}^l \sum_{i=-j}^j b_j a_i r^{j+i} r^{-(\nu+i)} J_{\nu+i}(r). \end{aligned}$$

The case  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ :  $r^{\nu+i} \leq 1$ , since  $j+i \geq 0$  and

$$|r^{-(\nu+i)} J_{\nu+i}(r)| \leq C e^{2\pi|\Im\nu|},$$

since  $\Re(\nu+i) \geq \Re\nu - j \geq \Re\nu - l \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and as a consequence the double sum is bounded by  $C e^{3\pi|\Im\nu|}$ , because  $b_j$  have only polynomial growth in  $\Im\nu$ .

The case  $r \geq 1$ . We have that

$$|r^{-(\nu+i)} J_{\nu+i}(r)| \leq C e^{2\pi|\Im\nu|r^{-\frac{1}{2}-\nu-i}},$$

since  $\Re(\nu + i) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |r^l D^l (r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r))| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^l |b_j a_j r^{-\nu+j}| C e^{2\pi|\Im\nu|} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\Im\nu|} \sum_{j=0}^l r^{-\Re\nu+j-\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{3\pi|\Im\nu|}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $-\Re\nu + j - \frac{1}{2} \leq -\Re\nu + l - \frac{1}{2} \leq 0$ . This shows the lemma.  $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. If  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2(\mathbf{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbf{R})$  with corresponding norm inequalities. Thus (2) follows if

$$0 \leq \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \left( \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma \right),$$

but this is (v).

$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(\mathbf{R})$  is continuously embedded in  $BMO(\mathbf{R})$ , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$$

which is (vi) and then (3) follows.

If

$$\delta = \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma > \frac{1}{2},$$

then  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2(\mathbf{R}) \subset \Lambda_\delta(\mathbf{R})$  and as a consequence we have (4) if (vii) holds.

Compare with the proof of Corollary 2.

In the homogeneity argument showing the necessity of

$$\alpha = \frac{n}{p'} + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$$

in (1), we used that

$$\|\varphi_a\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2} \geq C a^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}.$$

Here  $C$  is independent of  $a$ . In the same way it is easy to see that (2), (3) and (4) can not be improved using

$$\|\varphi_a\|_q = a^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|\varphi\|_q$$

and, for  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_a\|_{\Lambda_\delta} &= \|\varphi_a\|_\infty + \sup_{|t|>0} \frac{\|\varphi_a(u+t) - \varphi_a(u)\|_\infty}{|t|^\delta} \\ &\geq \sup_{|t|>0} \frac{\|\varphi(au+at) - \varphi(au)\|_\infty}{|t|^\delta} = a^\delta \sup_{|t|>0} \frac{\|\varphi(v+at) - \varphi(v)\|_\infty}{|at|^\delta} = C a^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

When  $\delta \geq 1$  the argument is similar but involves higher order differences. See [St1, Chapter V, §4].

Next we prove the extension of  $f$  to  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  if  $p > 1$ ,  $\gamma > -1$  and  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ .

Assume that  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Let  $\{f_k\}_1^\infty$  be a sequence of functions in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  converging to  $f$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $F_{x,k}^\gamma(t)$  be

the mean of  $f_k$ . Estimating the Fourier transform of  $\varphi(t)(F_x^\gamma(t) - F_{x,k}^\gamma(t))$  in the  $t$ -variable gives

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varphi(F_x^\gamma - F_{x,k}^\gamma))^\wedge(s)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-ist} \varphi(t)(F_x^\gamma(t) - F_{x,k}^\gamma(t)) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-ist} \varphi(t) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (f(x - ty) - f_k(x - ty))(1 - |y|^2)_+^\gamma dy dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-ist} \varphi(t) \int_0^1 \int_{S^{n-1}} (f - f_k)(x - tr y') (1 - r^2)^\gamma dS(y') r^{n-1} dr dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1 - r^2)^\gamma \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (f - f_k)(x - ry) e^{-is|y|} \varphi(|y|) |y|^{1-n} dy dr \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\varphi_1(y) = \varphi(|y|) |y|^{1-n}$  and change variables,  $y = \frac{z}{r}$ , in the inner integral. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varphi(F_x^\gamma - F_{x,k}^\gamma))^\wedge(s)| &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \varphi_1\left(\frac{z}{r}\right) \right| |(f - f_k)(x - z)| dz (1 - r^2)^\gamma \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| \varphi_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{r}\right) \right\|_{p'} (1 - r^2)^\gamma \frac{dr}{r} \|f - f_k\|_p \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality. Here

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \varphi_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{r}\right) \right\|_{p'} (1 - r^2)^\gamma \frac{dr}{r} \leq C,$$

if  $p > 1$ ,  $\gamma > -1$ , and  $\|f - f_k\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . An application of Fatou's lemma now shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\alpha}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\varphi F_x^\gamma)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1 + s^2)^\alpha ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \underline{\lim} |(\varphi F_{x,k}^\gamma)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1 + s^2)^\alpha ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\varphi F_{x,k}^\gamma)^\wedge(s)|^2 (1 + s^2)^\alpha ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} C \|f_k\|_p = C \|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

and (1)–(4) can be extended to  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

This also applies to  $\varphi$  such that  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (-\infty, 0)$ , and therefore all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  by splitting the support in two.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** The proof is divided into two parts. In the first one we prove (5) in the case  $\alpha = 0$ . The second part contains an interpolation argument, where the result proved in the first part is interpolated with the  $L^2$  case of Theorem 1.

Assume that  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Consider the mean for  $\gamma = -1 + \varepsilon + i\mu$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ .

$$F_x^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu}(t) = \frac{C 2^{-\varepsilon-i\mu}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon + i\mu)} \int_{|y|<1} (1 - |y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu} f(x - ty) dy.$$

$C$  depends on the dimension  $n$  only. Taking  $t = 1$ , the  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  norm of the mean can be estimated:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu}(1)\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{C2^{-\varepsilon-i\mu}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)} \int_{|y|<1} (1-|y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon+i\mu} f(x-y) dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{C2^{-\varepsilon}}{|\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|} \int_{|y|<1} (1-|y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x-y)| dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{C2^{-\varepsilon}}{|\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|} \int_{|y|<1} (1-|y|^2)^{-1+\varepsilon} dy \|f\|_1 \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon |\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|^{-1} \|f\|_1 = C_\varepsilon e^{\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the gamma function can be found in [E, Volume 1, p. 47].

We continue with the  $L^2$  estimate of the mean when  $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2} + i\mu$  and  $t = 1$ . In this case the multiplier

$$m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(\xi) = |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}-i\mu} J_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mu}(|\xi|)$$

and as we have seen in Lemma 1 it can be estimated, i.e.

$$\left| m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(\xi) \right| \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|}.$$

$C$  depends only on the dimension  $n$ . Therefore by Plancherel’s identity

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(1)\|_2 &= C \|\hat{F}^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}(1)\|_2 = C \|m_{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu} \hat{f}\|_2 \\ &\leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\hat{f}\|_2 = C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The operator  $F^\gamma(1)$  is of “admissible” growth, so we can perform the complex interpolation of Stein (see [SWe, p. 205]) to get the following:

$$(16) \quad \|F^\gamma(1)\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

where  $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1 + \varepsilon$  and  $p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$ . By duality we also have (16) if  $p' = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$ . Now the standard dilation argument shows that (16) can be replaced with

$$\|F^\gamma(t)\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

The constant  $C$  does not depend on the variable  $t$ . Now by Fubini’s theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t) F_x^\gamma(t)|^p dt dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)|^p \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |F_x^\gamma(t)|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)|^p C^p \|f\|_p^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C \|\varphi\|_p \|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $\varphi, f, \varepsilon,$  and  $\mu$  as before and define  $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$ . This gives

$$\|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \varphi(t) \frac{2^{-\varepsilon+1-i\mu}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1-|y|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu} f(x-ty) dy \right| dt.$$

A change of variable  $z = ty$  makes this equal to

$$\frac{2^{1-\varepsilon}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{|\Gamma(\varepsilon+i\mu)|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \varphi(t) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu} f(x-z)|t|^{-n} dz \right| dt.$$

Again using the asymptotic expansion of the gamma function and Fubini's theorem we get that

$$\|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 \leq C_\varepsilon e^{3\pi|\mu|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x-z)| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n}(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt dz.$$

If we can show that the kernel

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n}(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt$$

of the convolution of the right-hand side is bounded, then

$$(17) \quad \sup_x \|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 \leq C_\varepsilon e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_1.$$

But by the trivial estimate  $(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} \leq (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1}$  and splitting the integral defining the kernel in two parts, we can find a bound of the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|t|\geq|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n}(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt \leq \int_{|t|\geq|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n}(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt \\ & = \int_{|t|>2|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n}(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt + \int_{|z|\leq|t|\leq 2|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n}(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt \\ & \leq \int_{|t|>2|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n} 2^{1-\varepsilon} dt + \int_{|z|\leq|t|\leq 2|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n+1}|t|^{-1}(1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt \\ & \leq 2^{1-\varepsilon} \int_{|t|>2|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n} dt \\ & \quad + \left( \sup_{|z|\leq|t|\leq 2|z|} |\varphi(t)||t|^{-n+1} \right) \int_{\frac{1}{2}\leq|z/t|\leq 1} (1-|\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} |t|^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Changing variable  $s = \frac{|z|}{t}$  in the second integral makes it equal to

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}\leq|s|\leq 1} (1-|s|)_+^{\varepsilon-1} \left| \frac{s}{z} \right| \frac{|z|}{s^2} ds \leq 4 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-s)_+^{\varepsilon-1} ds = \frac{4 \cdot 2^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}.$$

Summing up we see that the kernel is bounded if  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$ . We now use an extended version of Stein's interpolation theorem for a complex family of operators (see [BP, p. 313]) to get

$$(18) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p' dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

$p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$ , from (17) and the earlier used  $L^2$  estimate

$$(19) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+i\mu}\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|\varphi\|_2 \|f\|_2 = C e^{3\pi|\mu|} \|f\|_2.$$

Let  $\gamma$  be fixed in  $[-\frac{n+1}{2}, -1]$ . Using Riesz-Thorin's theorem for vector-valued functions (see [BL, p. 107]) we can interpolate between

$$(20) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_p \|f\|_p,$$

where  $p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} > 1$  and (1) for  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ , i.e.

$$(21) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2} \|f\|_2$$

and obtain

$$(22) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^\theta \|f\|_p.$$

Here  $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} = p_0 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$  and  $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ .

Using the same argument we can interpolate between (20) with  $p$  such that  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1} = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} < \infty$  and (21) to obtain (22) with  $2 \leq p \leq p'_0 = -\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{1+\gamma-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p'})$  and  $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ .

We now continue with the interpolation of (18),  $p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$ , and (21). This yields

$$(23) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p$$

for  $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} \leq p \leq 2$  and  $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$ . Another application of the above type of Riesz-Thorin's interpolation theorem, now applied to (22) and (23), gives

$$\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C_\varphi \|f\|_p.$$

Here  $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $p \leq r \leq p'$  and  $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$  ( $\gamma$  fixed). But since the spaces  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R})$  decrease when  $\alpha$  increases, the conclusion still holds if we allow  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$  (or  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p'})$  if  $p \geq 2$ ). So, for an arbitrary  $p$  such that  $\frac{n-1}{p'} < p \leq 2$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$  we choose a small positive  $\varepsilon$  so that  $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} < \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} \leq p \leq 2$  and  $\alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p}) < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$ . The same thing is done for  $2 \leq p < -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma}$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1$ .

This proves (5) under the conditions (viii), (ix) and (x) (or (viii), (ix') and (x')).

We now prove (5) under the assumption that  $\alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$  and the restriction  $r = p$  and  $\gamma$  an integer.

Let  $M(A, B)$  be the class of multipliers that give bounded operators from  $A$  to  $B$ , and set  $M_p = M(L^p, L^p)$ . The estimate  $\|f * dS\|_1 \leq C \|f\|_1$  is easy, since



the convolution with a finite measure is bounded in  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . This shows that the corresponding multiplier

$$m(|\xi|) = (\widehat{dS})(\xi) = C|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(|\xi|) \in M_1.$$

Now a computation (see [Pr and E, Volume 2, p. 11]) of the derivative of the multiplier gives

$$\frac{dm}{dr}(r) = -r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}J_{\frac{n}{2}}(r) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n R_i(x_i dS(x)) \right)^\wedge(r),$$

where  $r = |\xi|$  and  $R_i$  are the Riesz transforms, defined by  $(\widehat{R_i f})(\xi) = \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . So the new operator looks as follows:

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n R_i(x_i dS(x)) \right) * f = \sum_{i=1}^n ((R_i(x_i dS(x)) * f) = \sum_{i=1}^n ((R_i f) * (x_i dS(x))).$$

The convolution of a function with the measure  $x_i dS(x)$  is bounded on  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , since it is finite. The Riesz transforms are bounded on  $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (see [St1, p. 232]). Thus the operator  $\sum_{i=1}^n ((R_i f) * (x_i dS(x))$  from  $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  to  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is bounded, or equivalently  $|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}J_{\frac{n}{2}}(|\xi|) \in M(H^1, L^1)$ .

LEMMA 2. Assume that  $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$ ,  $p(\gamma) = \frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}$  and  $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ .

If

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)},$$

then

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma-\lambda)}.$$

If

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma+1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}, \quad \gamma < -1,$$

then

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma}J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma+1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma-\lambda)}.$$

Assume for a moment the truth of this lemma. We use induction to prove our assertion. The induction hypothesis is

$$(24) \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+k}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-k}(r), \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+k}J_{\frac{n}{2}-k}(r) \in M_{p(-k-1)},$$

where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  and  $m \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ . We shall show that (24) holds true even for  $k = m$ .

With use of the recursion formula  $J_{\nu-1}(r) = \frac{2\nu}{r}J_\nu(r) - J_{\nu+1}(r)$  (see [E, Volume 2, p. 12]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-m}(r) \\ &= r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m} \left[ \frac{2(\frac{n}{2}+m)}{r}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r) - J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \right] \\ &= (n+2m)r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r) - r \cdot r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)}J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \end{aligned}$$

The first term belongs to  $M_{p(-m)}$  according to the assumption, but  $M_{p(-m)} \subset M_{p(-m-1)}$  by duality and interpolation. See [BL, p. 133]. The second term

belongs to  $M_{p(-m-1)}$ , because

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \in M_{p(-m)}$$

by the assumption and this together with the lemma gives

$$r \cdot r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-2)}(r) \in M_{p(-m-1)}.$$

Therefore,  $r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-m}(r) \in M_{p(-m-1)}$ .

Consider now

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+m} J_{\frac{n}{2}-m}(r) = r \cdot r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r).$$

But according to the assumption

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1+(m-1)} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1-(m-1)}(r) \in M_{p(-m)}$$

and a multiplication with  $r$  puts the multiplier in the class  $M_{p(-m-1)}$  by the lemma. Thus (24) is true for  $k = m$ . This proves the induction step. To conclude the starting point  $k = 1$ , we observe that it follows from the fact that

$$(25) \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(r) \in M_1 \quad \text{and} \quad r^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} J_{\frac{n}{2}}(r) \in M(H^1, L^1).$$

Applying the above argument and the inclusions  $M_{p(-1)} = M_1 \subset M(H^1, L^1) \subset M_{p(-2)}$ . (25) can be interpreted as step  $k = 0$ . But the assumption (24) implies that  $\|F^\gamma(1)\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ , for integral  $\gamma$ . By the same homogeneity argument as before one easily sees that

$$\|F^\gamma(t)\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p, \quad p = p(\gamma),$$

with  $C$  independent of  $t$ , and as a consequence, for  $r = p$ ,

$$\left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_p^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)|^p \|F^\gamma(t)\|_p^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_p\|f\|_p.$$

Thus (5) follows from the above mentioned interpolation with (1) ( $\alpha = \beta$ ).

We next assume that  $\alpha \leq \frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1$ ,  $r = p$  and  $\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$  is equal to an integer. For such  $\gamma$ 's  $J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}$  becomes a "spherical" Bessel function and the remainder term in its asymptotic expansion vanishes (see [E, Volume 2, p. 78]), i.e.

$$J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) = \sum_{i=0}^k \left( c_i e^{i|\xi|} + d_i e^{-i|\xi|} \right) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}-i}.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a cut-off function in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\phi(|\xi|) = 1$  for  $|\xi| < 1$  and  $\phi(|\xi|) = 0$  for  $|\xi| > 2$ . Then

$$\phi(|\xi|)|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_p, \quad p \geq 1,$$

since  $|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|)$  is  $C^\infty$  and bounded for small  $\xi$  if  $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$ . It will be enough to find an estimate for the first term  $(1-\phi(|\xi|))(c_0 e^{i|\xi|} + d_0 e^{-i|\xi|})|\xi|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\gamma}$  in

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\phi(|\xi|))|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\gamma}(|\xi|) \\ &= (1-\phi(|\xi|)) \left( c_0 e^{i|\xi|} + d_0 e^{-i|\xi|} \right) |\xi|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\gamma} \\ &+ \dots + (1-\phi(|\xi|)) \left( c_k e^{i|\xi|} + d_k e^{-i|\xi|} \right) |\xi|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\gamma-k}, \end{aligned}$$

since the others decay faster.  $k$  is a number depending on  $\frac{n}{2} + \gamma$  only. But such an estimate falls under the scope of Theorem 1 in [Mi] and as a consequence we get that the first term belongs to  $M(H^p, H^p)$  if

$$(n - 1) \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{n + 1}{2} + \gamma.$$

This means that  $\|F^\gamma(1)\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , if  $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$  and  $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} \leq p \leq 2$  (or  $\frac{n-1}{n+\gamma} \leq p' \leq 2$ ).  $\|F^{-1}(1)\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$  is contained in the above case where  $\gamma$  is an integer. From this we obtain, as before, (5) under the desired conditions by interpolation with (1) ( $\alpha = \beta$ ).  $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. It is known that  $|\xi|^{i\mu} \in M(H^1, H^1) \subset M(H^1, L^1)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ , with

$$\| |\xi|^{i\mu} \|_{M(H^1, L^1)} \leq C(1 + |\mu|)^{n+1}.$$

( $|\xi|^{i\mu}$  satisfies Hörmander's hypothesis for the Mihlin multiplier theorem and gives rise to an operator bounded on  $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . See [FS, p. 159].) This implies that

$$|\xi|^{i\mu - \frac{n}{2} + 1} J_{\frac{n}{2} - 1}(|\xi|) \in M(H^1, L^1),$$

because

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} + 1} J_{\frac{n}{2} - 1}(|\xi|) = C(\widehat{dS})(\xi) \in M_1.$$

We have that  $M(H^1, L^1) \subset M_{p(\gamma)}$  if  $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq -1$ , and as a consequence

$$|\xi|^{i\mu - \frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}$$

if

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}.$$

In the other endpoint we have that

$$\left| |\xi|^{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma + i\mu} |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \right| = \left| |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \right| \leq C,$$

if  $\gamma \geq -\frac{n+1}{2}$ . Cf. Lemma 1 ( $l = 0$ ). Thus

$$|\xi|^{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma + i\mu} |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_2,$$

with the  $M_2$ -norm independent of  $\mu$ . Interpolating the complex family of operators, defined by the multipliers  $|\xi|^{\lambda + i\mu} |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|)$ , between the endpoints  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$  gives

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma - \lambda)},$$

$0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ . If

$$|\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma)}, \quad \gamma < -1, \quad \text{or} \quad |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} + 1} J_{\frac{n}{2}}(|\xi|) \in M(H^1, L^1),$$

we replace  $J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}$  ( $J_{\frac{n}{2} - 1}$ ) by  $J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1}$  ( $J_{\frac{n}{2}}$ ) in the previous discussion and obtain

$$|\xi|^\lambda |\xi|^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} J_{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1}(|\xi|) \in M_{p(\gamma - \lambda)},$$

for  $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. As in the proof of Corollary 1 we use that  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p$  is continuously embedded in  $L^q$ ,  $BMO$  and  $\Lambda_\delta$  for certain values of  $p$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $q$  and  $\delta$ .

For  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha$  and  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$  we have the embedding  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbf{R})$ . See [BL, p. 153]. So for  $\gamma, p$  and  $\alpha$  satisfying (vii), (ix) and (x) this becomes true if

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n-1}{p'} - \gamma - 1 = -\left(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma\right) \geq 0,$$

which is (xi). If  $p$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy (ix') and (x') instead of (ix) and (x),  $q$  is then forced to satisfy

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n-1}{p} - \gamma - 1 = -\left(\frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1\right) \geq 0.$$

This is (xi') and proves that (xi) or (xi') is sufficient for (6).

If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{p}$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , the space  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R})$  embeds continuously in  $BMO(\mathbf{R})$ . See [St1, p. 164]. This substitutes the endpoint  $q = \infty$  in the previous case, and by the same reasons (7) is true if

$$-\left(\frac{n}{p'} + \gamma\right) \geq 0 \quad (p \leq 2) \quad \text{or} \quad -\left(\frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1\right) \geq 0 \quad (p \geq 2)$$

which is contained in (xii) and (xii').

In the proof of (8) we need the following embedding  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbf{R}) \subset \Lambda_\delta(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} + \delta$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , which can be obtained from the chain of embeddings

$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^p \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{p2} \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{p\infty} \subset \Lambda_\delta^{\infty\infty} = \Lambda_\delta, \quad p \leq 2,$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^p \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{pp} \subset \Lambda_{\frac{1}{p}+\delta}^{p\infty} \subset \Lambda_\delta^{\infty\infty} = \Lambda_\delta, \quad p \geq 2.$$

(The definition of the Lipschitz-Besov spaces  $\Lambda_\delta^{pq}$  and the embeddings can be found in [St1, Chapter V, §5-6].) With  $\alpha$  satisfying (x) we have

$$\frac{1}{p} + \delta = \alpha < \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1$$

and therefore  $\delta < \frac{n}{p'} + \gamma$  so that if  $\frac{n}{n+\gamma} < p \leq 2$  the conditions for the embedding are satisfied. This proves (8) in the case (xiii). For  $\alpha$  satisfying (x') we get that

$$\delta < \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1$$

and (5) if

$$2 \leq p < -\frac{n-2}{1+\gamma}. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 3. We recall the estimates in the proof of Theorem 2 and take a closer look at the dependence of  $\varphi$ .

Another estimate of the kernel

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi(t)| |t|^{-n} (1 - |\frac{z}{t}|^2)_+^{\varepsilon-1} dt$$

gives

$$C(\|\varphi\| \cdot |\cdot|^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi\| \cdot |\cdot|^{-n+1}\|_\infty)$$

as an upper bound. Therefore (17) can be rewritten to yield

$$\sup_x \|\varphi F_x^{\varepsilon-1+i\mu}\|_1 \leq C_\varepsilon e^{3\pi|\mu|} C(\|\varphi \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty) \|f\|_1.$$

Interpolating with the  $L^2$  estimate (19) of the endpoint  $\gamma = -\frac{n+1}{2} + i\mu$  gives

$$(26) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{p'}^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C(\|\varphi \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi\|_2^{\theta_1} \|f\|_p,$$

where

$$p = \frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\theta_1 = \frac{2}{p'} = -2 \frac{1+\gamma+\varepsilon}{n-1+2\varepsilon}.$$

We continue, as in the proof of Theorem 2, by the interpolation between (26) and (21) with the following result (replacing (23)):

$$(27) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{p'}}^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C((\|\varphi \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi\|_2^{\theta_1})^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2} \|f\|_p.$$

Here  $\frac{n-1+2\varepsilon}{n+\gamma+\varepsilon} = p_0 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - \frac{2}{p})$ ,  $\beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma$  and  $\theta_2 = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ . Finally, we interpolate (27) with (22) ( $\theta = \theta_2$ ) in the case when  $p \leq 2$  and obtain

$$(28) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\varphi F_x^\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^r}^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C((\|\varphi \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi\|_2^{\theta_1})^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2})^{1-\theta_3} \times (\|\varphi\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2})^{\theta_3} \|f\|_p.$$

Where  $p \leq r \leq p'$  and

$$\theta_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1}.$$

Choose  $\rho_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$  so that  $\text{supp } \rho_0 \subset \{t; \frac{1}{2} < |t| < 2\}$  and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \rho_0(2^k t) = 1, \quad t \neq 0.$$

Set  $\rho_k(t) = \rho_0(2^k t)$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \rho_0(2^k t),$$

then  $\rho(t) = 1$  for  $0 < |t| \leq 1$ . It is sufficient to prove that (5) holds with  $\rho(t)|t|^\eta$  instead of  $\varphi(t)|t|^\eta$ . We first obtain (5) with  $\rho_k(t)|t|^\eta$  and then the full result by summing them up. Here is where the above estimates come in. Replacing  $\varphi$  by

$\rho_k| \cdot |\eta$  in (28) gives that

$$\left( (\|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\varphi| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty)^{1-\theta_1} \|\varphi|_2^{\theta_1} \right)^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2} \right)^{1-\theta_3} (\|\varphi|_{p_0}^{1-\theta_2} \|\varphi|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2}^{\theta_2})^{\theta_3}$$

can be estimated and an upper bound is a constant times a power of  $2^{-k}$ . If  $\eta$  is chosen so that the exponent becomes positive, then the geometric series converges and we obtain (5).

Therefore, we proceed with the estimates of the norms of  $\rho_k| \cdot |\eta$

$$\|\rho_k| \cdot |\eta| \cdot |^{-n}\|_1 + \|\rho_k| \cdot |\eta| \cdot |^{-n+1}\|_\infty = C(2^{-k})^{\eta-n+1},$$

$$\|\rho_k| \cdot |\eta\|_{p_0} = C(2^{-k})^{\eta + \frac{1}{p_0}}$$

and

$$\|\rho_k| \cdot |\eta\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\beta^2} \leq C(2^{-k})^{\eta-\beta+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So the considered exponent becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left[ (\eta - n + 1)(1 - \theta_1) + \left( \eta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_1 \right] (1 - \theta_2) + \left( \eta - \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_2 \right] (1 - \theta_3) \\ & + \left[ \left( \eta + \frac{1}{p_0} \right) (1 - \theta_2) + \left( \eta - \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_2 \right] \theta_3 \\ & = \eta + \left[ \left[ (1 - n)(1 - \theta_1) + \frac{\theta_1}{2} \right] (1 - \theta_2) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2 \right] (1 - \theta_3) \\ & + \left[ \frac{1}{p_0} (1 - \theta_2) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2 \right] \theta_3 \\ & = \eta + \left[ (1 - n)(1 - \theta_1) + \frac{\theta_1}{2} \right] (1 - \theta_2)(1 - \theta_3) \\ & + \frac{1}{p_0} (1 - \theta_2) \theta_3 + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since we only consider positive exponents we can take  $\varepsilon = 0$  where it appears above.

Performing the substitutions

$$p_0 = \frac{n-1}{n+\gamma}, \quad \beta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma, \quad \theta_1 = -2 \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1},$$

$$\theta_2 = \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1}$$

in the exponent gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta + \left( (1-n) \left( 1 + 2 \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1} \right) \\
 & + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right) \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{\frac{2}{p} - 1} - \left( \frac{n}{2} + \gamma \right) \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\
 & = \eta + \left( 1 - n - 2 - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \frac{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma - \frac{n-1}{p'} - \gamma - 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\frac{2}{p} - 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} + 1}{2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
 & + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma - \frac{n-1}{p'} - \gamma - 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)} - \left( \frac{n}{2} + \gamma \right) \frac{\frac{n-1}{p'} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\
 & = \eta + \left( -1 - n - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right)}{2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
 & + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \frac{(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1}{2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)} - \frac{n-1}{2} (n+2\gamma) \frac{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1}}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n-1}{n+2\gamma+1} \left[ \left( -1 - n - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) - (n+2\gamma) \left( \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \right] \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n-1}{n+2\gamma+1} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1}{p} \left( -1 - n - 2\gamma - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} + n+2\gamma \right) \right]}_{=0} \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{r} \left( 1 + n + 2\gamma + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} + \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \right) - \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \\
 & \quad - (n+2\gamma) \left( 1 + \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \right) \Big] \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n-1}{n+2\gamma+1} \left[ \frac{n}{r} \cdot \frac{n+2\gamma+1}{n-1} - (n+2\gamma+1) \frac{n+\gamma}{n-1} \right] \\
 & = \eta + \frac{n}{r} - (n+\gamma) = \eta - \frac{n}{r'} - \gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Which is positive if  $\eta > \frac{n}{r'} + \gamma$ .

For  $p \geq 2$  we repeat the argument for (22) ( $\theta = \theta_2$ ), but now putting  $p_0 = -\frac{n-1}{1+\gamma}$  and

$$\theta_2 = \frac{\frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma}.$$

The exponent becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( \eta + \frac{1}{p_0} \right) (1 - \theta_2) + \left( \eta - \beta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta_2 \\
 & = \eta + \frac{1}{p_0} (1 - \theta_2) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) \theta_2
 \end{aligned}$$

and with the substitutions

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta - \frac{1+\gamma}{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right) - \left( \frac{n}{2} + \gamma \right) \frac{\frac{n-1}{p} + \gamma + 1}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \\ &= \eta + \frac{1}{p} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1+\gamma - (n-1)(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma}}_{=-n+2} \\ & \quad + (\gamma + 1) \underbrace{\left( -\frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1+\gamma}{(n-1)(\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma)} - \frac{\frac{n}{2} + \gamma}{\frac{n+1}{2} + \gamma} \right)}_{=-1} \\ &= \eta - \frac{n-2}{p} - \gamma - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\eta > \frac{n-2}{p} + \gamma + 1$  gives a positive exponent. This ends the proof of Corollary 3.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [BP] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, *The spaces  $L^p$ , with mixed norm*, Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 301–324.
- [BL] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976.
- [Bö] L. Börjeson, *Estimates for the Bochner-Riesz operator with negative index*, Reports, Dept. of Math., Univ. of Stockholm, No. 5, 1984.
- [B1] J. Bourgain, *Estimations de certaines fonctions maximales*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **301** (1985), 599–502.
- [B2] —, *Averages in the plane over convex curves and maximal operators*, preprint.
- [B3] —, *On the spherical maximal function in the plane*, preprint.
- [CJ] M. Cwikel and S. Janson, *Interpolation of analytic families of operators*, Studia Math. (to appear).
- [E] A. Erdélyi (Editor), *Higher transcendental functions*, Vols. 1, 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- [FS] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. **129** (1972), 137–193.
- [LM] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications*, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
- [Ma] B. Marshall,  *$L^p - L^q$  multipliers of anisotropic wave equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33** (1984), 435–457.
- [Mi] A. Miyachi, *On some estimates for the wave equation in  $L^p$  and  $H^p$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **27** (1980), 331–354.
- [OS] D. M. Oberlin and E. M. Stein, *Mapping properties of the Radon transform*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), 641–650.
- [P] J. Peetre,  *$H_p$  spaces*, Lecture notes, Lund, 1974.
- [Pr] J. C. Peral,  *$L^p$  estimates for the wave equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **36** (1980), 114–145.
- [PS] J. Peyrière and P. Sjölin, *Regularity of spherical means*, Ark. Mat. **16** (1978), 117–126.
- [Sj1] P. Sjölin, *Lipschitz continuity of spherical means*, Linear spaces and approximation, Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, 1977, Ed. by P. L. Butzer and B. Sz.-Nagy, Birkhäuser, 1978, pp. 229–234.
- [Sj2] —, *Regularity and integrability of spherical means*, Monatsh. Math. **96** (1983), 277–291.
- [Sj3] —, *Regularity and integrability properties of spherical means*, Proc. of the Nineteenth Nordic Congress of Mathematicians, Reykjavík, 1984, pp. 215–218.
- [Sj4] —, *Regularity properties of spherical means*, Proc. A. Haar Memorial Conference (Budapest, 1985) (to appear).
- [Sj5] —, *Norm inequalities for spherical means*, Monatsh. Math. **100** (1985), 153–161.
- [Ss] S. Sjöstrand, *On the Riesz means of solutions of Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **24** (1970), 331–348.



- [St1] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
- [St2] ———, *Maximal functions: Spherical means*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **73** (1976), 2174–2175.
- [STW] E. M. Stein, M. H. Taibleson and G. Weiss, *Weak type estimates for maximal operators on certain  $H^p$  classes*, Rend. Mat. Circ. Palermo Suppl. **2** (1981), 81–97.
- [SWa] E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 1239–1295.
- [SWe] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis in Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971.
- [Str] R. Strichartz, *Convolutions with kernels having singularities on a sphere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (1970), 461–471.
- [T] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, and New York, 1978.
- [W] G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1944.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM, BOX 6701, S-113 85 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN