# Cecil County Department of Public Works Engineering & Construction Division



#### 2016 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT March 18, 2016



# BRIDGE NO. CE0079001

BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD

## OVER

**BIG ELK CREEK** 

Prepared by



SABRA, WANG & ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Cecil County** 

Department of Public Works Engineering & Construction Division 2016 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

# BRIDGE NO. CE0079001

#### BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD

OVER

#### **BIG ELK CREEK**

Prepared by



SABRA, WANG & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Inspection Team Leader: THOMAS SCHILPP

6/10/16

Date

Quality Assurance:

Dustin Schilpp, P.E.

Professional Engineer. David D. Wang, P.E.

6/10/16 Date Date

I hereby certify that these documents were prepared or approved by me, and that I am a duly licensed professional engineer under the laws of the state of Maryland, License No. 14714, Expiration Date: December 11, 2017.

## CECIL COUNTY 2016 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

## **BRIDGE NO. CE-0079**

## **BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD OVER BIG ELK CREEK**

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Bridge Sketches
- 3. Report Summary
- 4. Elements
- 5. Photographs
- 6. Structure Inventory and Appraisal
- 7. Federal SIA
- 8. Soundings
- 9. Base Soundings
- 10. 2013 Load Ratings



Ν

LOCATION MAP Not to Scale

> Copyright ADC The Map People Permitted Use Number 03152016









## **REPORT SUMMARY**

#### **BRIDGE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY**

Bridge No. CE0079001 is a three-span, multiple steel-beam bridge constructed in 1972 (See Photograph Nos. 1-6). The structure has span lengths of 52'-2", 62'-2", and 52'-2" for an overall length of 166'-3". The out-to-out superstructure width is 37'-5" and the clear roadway width is 34'-0" between parapets. The superstructure in all spans consists of six painted W30 x 99 steel beams, spaced at 6'-8" on-center, that support a 10" thick reinforced concrete deck. The substructure consists of reinforced concrete stub abutments, concrete wing walls, and two solid-stem hammerhead piers. The structure is a two-lane bridge carrying two-way traffic. The traffic barrier system on the bridge consists of reinforced concrete parapets with steel W-beam approach traffic barriers. The bridge abutments are skewed approximately 13° to the roadway, and are parallel to the waterway.

Big Elk Creek flows from north to south. The streambed consists primarily of sandy silt, gravel, small to medium cobbles, and a few boulders. There is concrete slope protection in place at both abutments.

The east and west approaches are straight with minor downhill grades. The structure is near the bottom of a vertical sag curve. Sight distance is adequate and no speed reduction is required. W-beam approach traffic barriers are in place at all four corners of the bridge. The bridge is currently not posted.

#### **CONDITION SUMMARY**

Bridge No. CE-0079 was inspected by Thomas Schilpp and Erin Collins of Sabra, Wang & Associates, Inc. on March 18, 2016. The numbering convention for reporting purposes is from the north and west. Overall, the bridge is in satisfactory condition. The following is a summary of the bridge inspection findings:

1. The deck is in overall satisfactory condition. There are multiple patches, hollow sounding areas and random cracking throughout the deck. There is a failing patch with up to 3/4" deep spalling in the westbound lane in Span No. 2 (see Photograph No. 7). The spall with exposed reinforcement in the westbound lane in Span No. 2 has been patched since the previous inspection (see Photograph No. 8). There is a 1'-0" diameter x 1/2" deep spall in the eastbound lane over Pier No. 2 (see Photograph No. 9).

2. The painted steel beams are in satisfactory condition. The beams typically exhibit areas of paint failure along the edges of the top flange plates in all spans (see Photograph No. 10). There are random areas of flaking and peeling paint on the webs and bottom flanges throughout (see Photograph No. 11). There is graffiti on the beams in Span Nos. 1 and 3. There are random areas of minor web pitting on the exterior beam fascia in a few locations (see Photograph No. 12).

There is minor sag in Beam No. 1 at Splice No. 2 and in Beam No. 6 at Splice No. 1 in Span No. 2 (see Photograph No. 13). Beam No. 6 exhibits a 1/2" diameter hole in an area of painted over pitting at the west abutment.

There are random areas of paint failure on the splice plates throughout, resulting in minor surface corrosion (see Photograph No. 14). There are a few missing nuts and/or bolts at the diaphragm connections.

3. The piers are in good condition. There are a few small spalls and hairline cracks throughout both pier caps.

4. The concrete abutments are in good condition. The abutment stems exhibit random up to 1/16" wide cracks. At the east abutment, there is a 1/16" wide horizontal crack and a 1/16" wide vertical crack with rust staining in the south face of Pedestal Nos. 3 and 4 (see Photograph No. 15).

5. The concrete slope protection slabs are in fair condition. There is typical vegetation growth in the joints between the concrete panels. Both slope protections exhibit up to 4" of settlement with adjacent panels, particularly adjacent to the abutments (see Photograph No. 16). There are up to 1/4" wide cracks and spalling throughout both slope protections (see Photograph No. 17).

The bottom panel where the slope protection for the west abutment and southwest embankment meet has heaved up to 5" out of alignment (see Photograph No. 18). Adjacent to the northeast wing wall, the slope protection is cracked up to 1/2" wide, undermined, and there are hollow sounding areas at the lower edge of the slope protection adjacent to the wing wall (see Photograph No. 19). The east abutment slope protection exhibits a 2'-0" wide x 1'-6" high imminent spall at the top of the south embankment (see Photograph No. 20).

The slope protection settlement appears to have stabilized overall, but should continue to be monitored.

6. The bearings at the abutments are in good condition. Bearing No. 6 at the east abutment exhibits minor to moderate corrosion (see Photograph No. 21). The bearings at Pier 1 are in satisfactory condition. A few bearing components at Pier No.

1 exhibit scattered paint failure with light surface corrosion.

7. The compression joints are in good condition. Both joint seals exhibit minor areas of up to 1/2" depression (see Photograph No. 22).

7. The roadway approach transitions are in satisfactory condition. Both transitions have settled up to 1" and exhibit up to 1/2" wide cracking (see Photograph No. 23). Both approach roadways exhibit up to 1/4" wide map cracks (see Photograph No. 24).

8. The severe area of erosion along the southwest approach embankment has been filled with rip rap (see Photograph No. 25).

9. The northeast and southwest approach traffic barrier end treatments do not meet current MDSHA standards. The approach traffic barrier transitions at all four corners of the bridge are not adequately stiffened (see Photograph No. 26).

10. The scuppers have been cleared since the previous inspection. The second scupper from the west end has a broken grate.

11. The stream channel is in satisfactory condition. At the east bank, about 100' upstream, there is a vertical cut up to 10'-0" high with exposed tree roots (see Photograph No. 27). The east slope behind Pier No. 2 exhibits heavy ongoing erosion. Rip rap is partially displaced and coverage is not adequate.

For a more detailed description of the condition of each bridge element, see the Bridge Elements form.

#### **REVIEW OF PREVIOUS REPORT**

The 2014 Bridge Inspection Report was available and used for comparison purposes. The overall condition of the structure is similar to that noted in the previous report with the following exceptions:

- 1. There are additional spalls and cracks in the concrete deck.
- 2. The spall with exposed reinforcement in the westbound lane in Span No. 2 has been patched since the previous inspection.
- 3. There is a 1/2" diameter hole in Beam No. 6 in an area of painted over pitting at the west abutment.
- 4. The peeling paint and minor corrosion of the beams has progressed.
- 5. There is noticeable sag in Beam No. 1 at Splice No. 2 in Span No. 2.
- 6. Horizontal cracks are evident in Pedestal No. 1 at the west abutment.
- 7. The cracking and spalling of the concrete slope protections has progressed.
- 8. Both compression joint seals exhibit minor areas of up to 1/2" depression.
- 9. Bearing No. 6 at the east abutment exhibit minor to moderate corrosion.
- 10. The settlement of both approach transitions have progressed from 3/4" to 1".
- 11. The cracking in the approach roadways has progressed.
- 12. The scuppers have been cleared since the previous inspection.

#### **REVIEW OF ITEM 113 - SCOUR POTENTIAL RATING**

Item 113 was originally rated 6U. The rating has been changed to 5A. This implies that foundations determined to be stable due to assessment. No scour has been found during any inspection of this bridge and no actions are planned over the monitoring.

#### LOAD RATING SUMMARY

Maryland Legal Vehicle	Gross Vehicle Weight (Tons)	Gross Vehicle Inventory Rating Weight (Tons) (Tons)			
H-15	15	20	34		
HS-20	36	37	62		

Type 3	33	43	72
Type 3S2	40	45	75

Green Denotes Pass, Red Denotes Fail.

The load ratings summarized above are based on load rating calculations performed by others and supplied by Cecil County. After a review of these ratings, we determined that no significant changes in condition have occurred since the load ratings were prepared; therefore, revising the load rating results for the structure is not warranted. Our review of these ratings was not a check of the means or methods used to determine the load ratings, but was limited to a comparison of the structure condition. Sabra, Wang & Associates, Inc. assumes no responsibility for the correctness or accuracy of these previous load rating calculations.

#### **REPAIR RECOMMENDATIONS AND ESTIMATED COSTS**

DESCRIPTION	PONTIS ITEM	UNITS	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
Immediate Repairs					
Replace/reinstall the traffic barrier transitions in accordance with SHA standards.	8322	EA	4	\$2600	\$10,400
Install MDSHA Type C approach W-beam end treatments at the northeast and southwest approaches.	8322	EA	2	\$2800	\$5,600
Repair spalls and failed repair patches on the deck riding surface.	12	LS	1	\$1000	\$1,000
		Subtot	al for Immedia	ate Repairs =	\$17,000
Short Term Repairs					
Patch the spalls and seal the cracks at least 1/16" wide in the substructure units.	210	LS	1	\$2500	\$2,500
	1	Subtota	al for Short Te	rm Repairs =	\$2,500
Long Term Repairs					
Repair the cracked and undermined concrete slope protection slabs around abutments and wing walls.	8260	LS	1	\$8000	\$8,000
Install adequate erosion countermeasures around Pier No. 2.	8365	LS	1	\$2000	\$2,000
Zone paint areas of paint failure at the beam splices.	107	LS	1	\$1000	\$1,000
Mill and repave both roadway approaches.	8322	TON	30	\$450	\$13,500
	·	Subtota	al for Long Te	rm Repairs =	\$24,500
Routine Repairs					
Monitor the waterway channel widening and deepening trend.	8365		0		\$0

slopes.		Sub	total for Rout	ine Repairs =	\$0					
Total for Maintenance Repairs =\$44,000										

Note: Estimated Costs include labor, equipment and material.

#### VISUAL INSPECTION NOTE

The condition ratings and evaluation presented herein are based upon a visual inspection of accessible portions of the existing structure. Sabra, Wang & Associates, Inc. assumes no responsibility for the presence of latent structural defects that cannot be detected by such visual inspection.

#### **BRIDGE SKETCHES NOTE**

The bridge sketches and location map included in this report were previously prepared by others and are reproduced herein from materials furnished by Cecil County. No responsibility is assumed by Sabra, Wang & Associates, Inc. for the accuracy of these sketches, location map and the correctness of any detail dimensions.

Bridge No: CE0079001							Inspectio	on Date: (	3/18/2016
BREWSTER BRIDGE R	OAD OVER BIG ELK CREI	EK					Milepoin	t: (	0000710
(58) Deck	6	(59	) Superstruct	ure 6	]	(6	0) Substru	cture	6
		(02	, our off						
				-	1			<b></b>	
Element			Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Cor	ncrete Deck		1 - Ben.	6214	sq. ft.	5664	400	150	0
Eng Req	□FYI		District	🗌 Ir	nacces	sible?		Eng Con	nments

The deck is in overall satisfactory condition. The deck surface exhibits uniform general wear with random fine to hairline cracking throughout. There is a 12'-0" long x 6'-0" wide hollow sounding area in the eastbound lane, in Span No. 1, adjacent to the south parapet between the scuppers. There is a failing patch with up to 3/4" deep spalling in the westbound lane in Span No. 2. The spall with exposed reinforcement in the westbound lane in Span No. 2 has been patched since the previous inspection. There is a 1'-0" diameter x 1/2" deep spall in the eastbound lane over Pier No. 2. The repair patches adjacent to the east backwall are failing in the westbound lane.

The soffit is not visible due to SIP forms. The SIP forms are generally in satisfactory condition. The exposed soffit (at the overhangs) is in satisfactory condition and exhibits random hairline transverse cracks with light efflorescence in several locations. The concrete end diaphragms exhibits hairline map cracks and 1" diameter pop out spalls with exposed reinforcing steel in Bay Nos. 3 and 5 at both abutments.

107 - Steel Open Girder/Beam		1 - Ben.	996	ft.	946	50	0	0	
Eng Req	FYI	D	istrict	□ Ir	nacces	sible?		Eng Com	ments

The painted steel beams are in satisfactory condition. The end 10' at the abutments have been painted prior to the 2014 Bridge Inspection. The beams typically exhibit areas of paint failure along the edges of the top flange plates in all spans. There are random areas of flaking and peeling paint on the webs and bottom flanges throughout, particularly in Span No. 2. There is graffiti on the beams in Span Nos. 1 and 3 and there are random areas of minor web pitting on the exterior beam fascia in a few locations.

West Abutment: Previously reported south face of the web on Beam 1 with a 1'-0" long x 6" high area of heavy corrosion up to 50% section loss: north face of the web on Beam 6 with a 6" wide x full-height area of heavy surface corrosion up to 25% section loss has been painted over. Previously reported up to 1/16" section loss from the end of the interior beams to about 2'-0" beyond the centerline of bearing, including the bearing stiffeners has been painted over. The paint is in good condition with no active corrosion.

East Abutment: Previously reported south face of the web on Beam 1 with a 4" wide x 6" high area of heavy corrosion adjacent to the concrete end diaphragm up to 1/8" section loss; the north face of the Beam 6 web with a 6" wide x full-height area of heavy corrosion up to 1/8" section loss has been painted over. Previously reported Beam 5, 1'-0" wide x 6" high area of minimal section loss on both sides of the web below the concrete end diaphragm has been painted over. The paint is in good condition with no active corrosion.

Bridge No: CE0079001							Inspectio	on Date: 0	3/18/2016
BREWSTER BRIDGE R	OAD OVER BIG ELK CRE	EK					Milepoin	<b>t:</b> 0	000710
(58) Deck	6	(59) Sup	erstructur	e 6	]	(60	0) Substru	cture 6	i
(61) Channel	6	(62) Cul	vert	Ν					
There is minor sag areas of paint failur diameter hole in an	in Beam No. 1 at Splice e on the splice plates the area of painted over pitt	No. 2 and i oughout, re ing at the v	in Beam N esulting in vest abutm	o. 6 at S minor si ient.	Splice No urface c	o. 1 in Spa orrosion. I	n No. 2. Beam No.	There are 6 exhibits	random a 1/2"
There are missing the intermediate dia bolts may not be a	nuts and/or bolts at the d aphragm connection; and concern since the full he	iaphragm c I Bay Nos. ight of the c	connection 3 and 4 at channel is	s in the the Pier welded	following r No. 2 c to the b	g locations liaphragm eam web.	: Span No . The miss	o. 3, Bay N sing nuts a	o. 5 at and/or
515 - Steel Protective (	Coating			7449	sq. ft.	2234	2980	2235	0
Eng Req	FYI	Distric	t	🗌 lr	naccess	ible?		]Eng Com	ments
210 - Reinforced Co	oncrete Pier Wall	1	- Ben.	38	ft.	38	0	0	0
Eng Req	FYI	Distric	t	⊡ Ir	naccess	ible?		]Eng Com	ments
The piers are in good condition. The stems exhibit random hairline cracks.									
215 - Reinforced Co	oncrete Abutment	1	- Ben.	78	ft.	73	5	0	0
Eng Req	□FYI	Distric	t	🗌 lr	naccess	ible?		Eng Com	ments
The concrete abutr cracks in the east a 5 at the east abutm Pedestal No. 1 at th vertical crack with r	nents are in good conditi abutment stem below Bay pent and Pedestal Nos. 2 ne west abutment. At the rust staining in the south	on. The sto / No. 3. Pa , 3, 4, and s e east abuti face of Peo	ems exhibi artial pedes 5 at the we ment, there destal Nos	t randor stal reco est abutr e is a 1/ 3 and 4	n hairlin instructio nent. T 16" wide 1.	e cracks. on is evide here are h horizonta	There are ent at Pede airline hor I crack an	e up to 1/16 estal Nos. izontal cra d a 1/16" v	5" wide 1, 2, and cks in vide
234 - Reinforced Co	oncrete Pier Cap	1	- Ben.	72	ft.	70	2	0	0
Eng Req	FYI	Distric	t	🗌 lr	naccess	ible?		]Eng Com	ments
The piers caps are in satisfactory condition. There is random hairline map cracking on both sides of Pier No. 1. There is a 4" long x 2" wide x 1/2" deep spall with exposed reinforcement on the pier cap at the north end of Pier No. 2 at Bay No. 1. There is a 5" diameter x 1" deep spall at mid-height of the west face of the Pier No. 2 cap, along with a diagonal hairline crack below Bay No. 1.									
The bearing pedes wide vertical cracks	tals are in satisfactory co s on the north and south	ndition. At faces.	Pier No. 1	, the be	aring pe	edestals ty	pically exh	nibit up to '	I/16"
302 - Compression	Joint Seal	1	- Ben.	78	ft.	58	20	0	0
Eng Req	<b>□</b> FYI	Distric	t	🗌 lr	naccess	ible?		]Eng Com	ments
The compression jo	pints are in good conditio	n. Both joi	nt seals ex	hibit miı	nor area	is of up to	1/2" depre	ession. Bo	th joint

Bridge No: CE0079001							Inspectio	n Date:	03/18/2016
BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD	OVER BIG ELK CREE	ΞK					Milepoint	:	0000710
(58) Deck 6	7	(59	) Superstructu	ure 6	]	(6	0) Substrue	cture	6
(61) Channel 6		(62	) Culvert	N	]				
armors exhibit gouges t several up to 6" long an	hroughout with mino eas of adhesion failu	r corre re.	osion for a 4'-	0" length a	at each	end. The	east abutn	nent joint	seal has
311 - Movable Bearing			1 - Ben.	18	each	14	3	1	0
Eng Req	<b>□</b> FYI	D	istrict	⊡ Ir	naccess	sible?		Eng Cor	nments
The bearings at the abutments are in good condition. The bearings at the abutments have been cleaned and painted prior to the 2014 Bridge Inspection. The bearing plates at both abutments have previously reported up to 3/16" section loss that has been painted over. Bearing No. 6 at the east abutment exhibits minor to moderate active corrosion. Several of the anchor bolts for the west abutment bearings are bent to the east. The bearings at Pier No. 2 are in satisfactory condition. A few bearing components at Pier No. 2 exhibit scattered paint failure with light surface corrosion.									
515 - Steel Protective Coat	ing			32	sq. ft.	22	4	0	6
🗌 Ena Rea	_ □FYI					sible?		Ena Cor	nments
313 - Fixed Bearing			1 - Ben.	6	each	0	6	0	0
Eng Req	□ FYI	D	istrict	□ Ir	naccess	sible?		Eng Cor	nments
The bearings at Pier No failure with light surface	<ul> <li>are in satisfactory</li> <li>corrosion.</li> </ul>	y cono	dition. A few b	pearing co	ompone	nts at Pier	No. 1 exhi	bit scatte	red paint
515 - Steel Protective Coat	ing			12	sq. ft.	8	2	0	2
Eng Req	□ FYI	D	istrict	⊡ Ir	naccess	sible?		Eng Cor	nments
330 - Metal Bridge Raili	ng		1 - Ben.	332	ft.	332	0	0	0
Eng Req	FYI	D	istrict	□ Ir	naccess	sible?		Eng Cor	nments
The single-strand aluminum parapet railings are in good condition. There are a few surface gouges and scrape marks throughout both railings. There is minor impact damage at the 8th post from the west end and the front bolts at Post Nos. 8 and 9 are bent at the south railing.									
331 - Reinforced Concr	ete Bridge Railing	J	1 - Ben.	332	ft.	332	0	0	0
Eng Req	<b>□</b> FYI	D	istrict	⊡ Ir	naccess	sible?		Eng Cor	nments

The concrete parapets are in satisfactory condition. There are random hairline cracks throughout. There are a few scattered pop-outs and a few repaired pop-outs, some of which are failing. The epoxy coating is deteriorated and failing on the south parapet.

Bridge No: CE0079001							Inspection	on Date: 0	3/18/2016
BREWSTER BRIDGE RO	DAD OVER BIG ELK CR	REEK					Milepoin	i <b>t:</b> 0	000710
(58) Deck	6	(59)	) Superstruct	ure 6	]	(6	0) Substru	cture 6	<b>j</b>
(61) Channel	6	(62)	) Culvert	Ν	]				
8251 - Wingwalls, R	einforced Concrete	•	1 - Ben.	70	Ft.	70	0	0	0
Eng Req	□ FYI	D	istrict	□Ir	naccess	sible?		]Eng Com	iments
The concrete wing	walls are in good cond	ition. Al	l wing walls e	xhibit hair	line ma	p cracking	throughou	ut.	
8257 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment Backwall			1 - Ben.	78	Ft.	78	0	0	0
Eng Req       FYI       District       Inaccessible?       Eng Comments									
The concrete abutment backwalls are in good condition. Both backwalls exhibit random hairline cracks with rust staining. Minor spalling was observed along the top of the east abutment backwall adjacent to the joint and approach armor angles.									
8260 - Slope, Protec	ted		1 - Ben.	2	Each	0	1	0	1
Eng Req	<b>□</b> FYI	D	istrict	⊡ Ir	naccess	sible?		]Eng Com	iments
The concrete slope concrete panels.	protection slabs are in	n fair con	dition. There	e is typical	vegeta	tion growt	h in the joi	nts betwee	en the
There are random at a joint in the wes abutment. There is and the north emba	1/4" wide cracks in the t slope protection unde up to 3" of differential inkment slope protection ment meet has heaved	west ab er Bay N settleme on. The I up to 5'	utment slope o. 5. The slo ent between t bottom pane " out of alignr	protectior pe protect he main s I where the nent.	n. There tion exh lope pro e slope	is a 9" wi ibits minin otection in protection	de x 4" hig nal settlem front of the for the we	h x 3" dee lent at the e west abu est abutme	p spall west itment nt and
The east abutment slope protection exhibits up to 3 1/2" of general uniform settlement which is evident at the upper slab section joints, adjacent to the abutment stems. The north embankment slope protection at the east abutment exhibits differential settlement of up to 4" in the vertical (upslope) joint between adjacent slab panels. Adjacent to the northeast wing wall, the slope protection is cracked up to 1/2" wide, undermined, and there are hollow sounding areas at the lower edge of the slope protection adjacent to the wing wall. The east abutment slope protection exhibits a 2'-0" wide x 1'-6" high imminent spall at the top of the south embankment.									
The slope protection settlement appears to have stabilized overall, but should continue to be monitored.									
8322 - Roadway Ap	proach Transition		1 - Ben.	2	Each	0	0	2	0
Eng Req	□FYI	D	istrict	□Ir	naccess	sible?		]Eng Com	iments

The roadway approach transitions are in satisfactory condition. The west approach transition has settled up to 1". There are up to 1/4" wide map cracks in the west approach roadway pavement. The east approach roadway transition has settled up to 1" with adjacent up to 1/2" wide transverse cracks in the roadway pavement. The eastbound shoulder

Bridge No: CE0079001	L				Inspecti	on Date: 0	3/18/2016	
BREWSTER BRIDGE R	OAD OVER BIG ELK	CREEK			Milepoir	n <b>t:</b> 0	000710	
(58) Deck	6	(59) Superstru	ucture 6	(	60) Substru	ucture 6	3	
(61) Channel	6	(62) Culvert	Ν					
of the east approad	ch roadway exhibits	a 1'-6" wide area of u	p to 1/4" map crac	king, startin	ng 10'-0" fro	om the brid	lge.	
The severe area of erosion along the southwest embankment has been filled with rip rap since the previous insepction.								
The northeast and southwest approach traffic barrier end treatments do not meet current MDSHA standards. The approach traffic barrier transitions at all four corners of the bridge are not adequately stiffened. Post No. 8 of the northeast approach traffic barrier is twisted. There is minor impact damage at the southwest approach traffic barrier.								
8344 - Drainage De	vices	1 - Ben.	1 Entii Bridg	e 0	0	0	0	
Eng Req	□FYI	District		essible?		]Eng Corr	iments	
The scuppers have grate.	been cleared since	the previous inspecti	on. The second s	cupper from	n the west	end has a	broken	
8345 - Stream Char	nel	1 - Ben.	1 Entii Bridg	e 0 Je 0	0	0	0	
Eng Req	<b>□</b> FYI	District		essible?		Eng Corr	ments	
The stream channel is in satisfactory condition. The streambed consists of sandy silt, gravel, small to medium cobbles, and a few boulders. At the east bank, approximately 100' upstream, there is a vertical cut up to 10'-0" high with exposed tree roots. There is an accumulation of timber debris at the upstream west embankment and under Span No. 2.								
The channel has a foundations is occu	lso exhibited a wider urring at this time. T	ning trend toward the he channel behavior	east over the pas should continue to	t 10 years. be monitor	No undern ed.	nining of th	e pier	
8363 - Slope Erosic	<b>n</b>	1 - Ben.	1 Eac	h 1	0	0	0	
Eng Req	□FYI	District		essible?		]Eng Com	iments	
The east along the b		ite heeve en en ele er er e		المتعلقي والمتعار	ا- سمامه م			

The east slope behind Pier No. 2 exhibits heavy ongoing erosion. Rip rap is partially displaced and coverage is not adequate.

#### BRIDGE NO. CE-0079 - BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD OVER BIG ELK CREEK



## 1. West Approach Looking East



2. East Approach Looking West

#### BRIDGE NO. CE-0079 - BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD OVER BIG ELK CREEK



3. North Elevation



4. South Elevation

#### BRIDGE NO. CE-0079 - BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD OVER BIG ELK CREEK



## 5. Looking North (Upstream)



6. Looking South (Downstream)



7. Failing patch in westbound lane of Span No. 2



8. Patched spall in westbound lane of Span No. 2



9. Spall in eastbound lane over Pier No. 2



10. Typical peeling paint along the top flange (Beam No. 3, Span No. 1 shown)



11. Typical flaking and peeling paint of beams (Beam No. 6, Span No. 1 shown)



12. Typical peeling paint with minor corrosion on exterior fascia (Beam No. 6 shown)



13. Sag in Beam No. 6 at Span No. 2



14. Typical minor corrosion and pack rust at beam splices (Beam No. 1, Splice No. 1 shown)



15. Cracking at Pedestal No. 4 of the east abutment



16. Typical settlement of the concrete slope protection (east slope protection shown)



17. Typical cracks and spalls in slope protection (west slope protection shown)



18. West slope protection heaved at the southwest embankment



19. Cracked and undermined slope protection at the northeast wing wall



20. Imminent spall at the top of the southeast embankment slope protection



21. Corrosion of Bearing No. 6 at the east abutment



22. Typical roadway joint (east joint shown)



23. East approach transition settlement and adjacent cracking



24. Cracking in the west approach roadway



25. Erosion filled with rip rap at the southwest approach embankment



26. Inadequately stiffened approach traffic barrier transition (northwest approach shown)



27. Northeast embankment erosion

# STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

#### BRIDGE NUMBER: CE0079001

IDENTIFICATION			FORM 1 OF 13
(8) STRUCTURE NUMBER:	2 00000 Major Structure C	E 0079 01 Major St	ructure > 20' 0" 0 Single Structure
(8) FHWA NUMBER:			
(7) FACILITY CARRIED:	BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD		
(6) FEATURE INTERSECTED:	BIG ELK CREEK		
(255) FEDERAL SUBMITTAL INDICA	TOR: N No		
(262) NAME OF STRUCTURE:			
(27) YEAR BUILT:	1972 (106) YE	EAR RECONSTRUCTED:	0000
(263) ADDITIONAL RECONSTRUCT	ION YEARS: N N		
(1) STATE CODE:	243 Maryland (2) DIST	RICT CODE:	02 02
(3) COUNTY CODE:	015 CECIL (4) PLA	CE CODE:	00000
(5) INVENTORY ROUTE:	I         Route carried "on"         4         County           the structure         (Route Prefix)	(Level of Service)	00184 0 Always (Number) (Direction)
(9) LOCATION:	0.71 MI E OF ELK MILLS RD		
(11) MILEPOINT:	0000710		
(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK:	0 Inv. Route is NOT on the Base Net	work	
(266) GIS ROUTE ID:	07000CO00184 01EE*******	*****	
(267) GIS MILEPOINT:	0.7		
(268) SCENIC ROUTE: N			
(13) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE, SUBI	ROUTE NUMBER:		
(16) LATITUDE: (A)	<b>(B)</b> 39400368 <b>(B)</b> 3940	0331 (C) 3940036	7 <b>(D)</b> 39400403
(17) LONGITUDE: (A)	075493411 (B) 0754	93411 (C) 0754932	04 <b>(D)</b> 075493204
(28) LANES ON: 02 LANES U	NDER: 00		
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE ON: 1	Highway		
TYPE OF SERVICE UNDER: 5	Waterway		
(98) BORDER STATE:	]BC	RDER STATE'S SHARE %:	
(99) BORDER STATE'S NUMBER:	J		
CLASSIFICATION			FORM 2 OF 13
(104) HWY SYSTEM:	No, Inventory Route is not on the	(103) TEMPORAY STRUCTU	JRE:
(105) FEDERAL LANDS HWYS:	0 Not applicable	(110) NATIONAL NETWORK	No, the inventory route is not part of the national network for trucks.
(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS:	08 Rural Minor Collector	(20) TOLL:	3 On free road
(100) DEFENSE HWY:	0 The inventory route is not a STRAHNET route	(21) MAINTENANCE:	02 County Highway Agency
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	N No parallel structure	(22) OWNER:	02 County Highway Agency
(102) DIRECTION:	2 2-way traffic	(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFIC	ANCE: 5 Not eligible

#### TRAFFIC

# (19) DETOUR: 03 (109) TRUCK ADT %: 05 (29) ADT: 001400 (30) ADT YEAR: 2015 (114) FUTURE ADT: 002000 (115) FUTURE ADT YEAR: 2035

#### STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL

**FORM 4 OF 13** 

(43) STRUCT TYPE:	D	Steel Continuous		02 Stringer	/Multil	beam or Girder	
(44) STRUCT TYPE - APPR:	0	Not Applicable		00 Other			
(232) BOX CULVERT ON PILES:	0	None					
(208) STRUCT TYPE - WIDENED/EXTENDED: (219) SLOPE PROTECTION:	N 1	Concrete	N		N		
(228) FOOTING - ABUTMENT:	3	Other	8	Other	0	Entire Structure	
(229) SUBSTRUCT ABUTMENT:	1	Concrete	1	Pedestal	0	Entire Structure	
(230) FOOTING - PIER:	3	Other	4	Steel Pipe/Cylinder	0	Entire Structure	
(231) PIER TYPE:	1	Concrete	6	Hammerhead	0	Entire Structure	
(242) BEARING TYPE:	С	Steel curved plates	N	None or N/A	N	None or N/A	
(108) WEARING SURFACE:	0	None	0	None	0	None	
(243) JOINT TYPE:	E	Compression Seal	Ν	None	Ν	None	
(206) STRUCT SUBTYPE - MAIN:	Ν	Not Applicable		(207) STRUCT S	UBT	YPE - APPR:	Not Applicable
(257) SCOUR PROTECTION:	9			(270) CONC. DEC	CK S	PECIAL TYPE:	Not Applicable
(221) STRUCTURAL STEEL:	02	A 36		(233) DECK - CO	MP/	NON-COMP:	1 Composite
(107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE:	1	Concrete Cast-in-Place		(259) STAY-IN-P	LACI	E FORMS:	Υ
(235) PARAPET:	01	Jersey/F-Shape					
(236) RAILING:	4 Alum	inum 5	]- One (struc	e Strand 0	None	•	) - None
(237) FENCING:	0 None	· 0	- Nor	ne			
(278) PAINT SYSTEM:	N Not J	Applicable	Not A	Applicable	]		
(344) PAINT COLOR/NUMBER:	N	Not Applicable			]		
(345) YEARS PAINTED:	2013						

#### **FORM 3 OF 13**

#### BRIDGE NUMBER: CE0079001

GEOMETRICS					<b>FORM 5 OF 13</b>
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Υ	(49) STRU	CTURE LENGTH:	0001660	
(210) NUMBER OF SPANS:	0003	(45) # SPA	NS IN MAIN UNIT:	003	
(46) # APPROACH SPANS:	0000	(209) CON	TINUOUS SPANS:	Y	
(48) LENGTH MAX SPAN:	0062	(238) # STI	RINGERS - ORIGINAL:	06	
(240) SPACING - ORIGINAL:	4	(239) # ST	RINGERS - WIDENED:	00	
(241) SPACING - WIDENED:	Ν	(33) BRIDO	E MEDIAN:	0	
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:	000 000	(205) MED	AN WIDTH:	000	
(51) DECK CURB-CURB WIDTH:	0340	(32) APPR	OACH ROAD WIDTH:	00 023	6 00
(52) DECK OUT-OUT WIDTH:	0374	(10) INVEN	T ROUTE, MIN VERT C	LEAR:	9999
(53) BRIDGE ROADWAY, MIN VI	ERTCLEAR: 9999	) (47) INVEN	T ROUTE, TOTAL HOR	IZ CLEAR:	340
(54) MIN. VERT. UNDERCLEARA	NCE: N	Feature not a highw	ay or a railroad	В	10' to < 20'
(55) MIN. LAT. CLEARANCE (RIC	SHT): N	Feature not a highw	ay or a railroad	999	
(56) MIN. LAT. CLEARANCE (LE	FT): 00	00 (342) HOR	Z CLEARANCE (ON):		03400
(34) SKEW, IN DEGREES:	13	(280) HOR	Z CLEARANCE (UNDE	R):	Ν
(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	Ν	(253) NUM	BER OF CELLS:		Ν
(256) SPAN OF CELLS:	Ν	(254) RISE	:		Ν
		(258) EAR	TH FILL:		Ν
		(343) CEN	FERLINE LENGTH (Cul	verts/Pipes):	Ν
(223) SHOULDER WIDTHS:	N N	N N			
(264) TYPE AND SPAN:	B 62', 62', 62'				

## LOAD RATINGS AND POSTINGS

(41) STATUS:	A	Open, no restriction	(224) WEIGHT POSTED:	N	
(31) DESIGN LOAD:	5	HS 20		(New Split)	
(398) PEDESTRIAN LOADING:	N	]	(66) INVENTORY RATING:	370	
(399) RAILROAD LOADING:	Ν		(64) OPERATING RATING:	620	
(70) POSTING:	5	Equal to or above legal loads	(400) DATE OF RATING:	10 2013	
(65) METHOD USED TO DETERMINE INVENTORY RATING: 1 1 Load Factor (LF)					

(63) METHOD USED TO DETERMINE OPERATING RATING:

1 1 Load Factor (LF)

**FORM 6 OF 13** 

	INVENTORY RATING		OPERA	TING RATING
HL-93 Vehicle	(402)		(401)	
H-15 Vehicle	(404)	205	(403)	345
T3 (Dump Truck) Vehicle	(406)	435	(405)	725
T4 Reduced Lift Axle Vehicle	(408)	445	(407)	740
HS Vehicle	(410)	370	(409)	620
3S2 Vehicle	(412)	450	(411)	750
150K Vehicle	(414)	445	(413)	745
90K Permit Combination Vehicle	(416)	440	(415)	730
90K Mobile Crane Vehicle	(418)	520	(417)	865
90K Cargo Vehicle	(420)	460	(419)	770
80K Cargo Vehicle	(422)	505	(421)	840
120K Vehicle	(424)	450	(423)	750
108K Mobile Crane Vehicle	(426)	505	(425)	845
120K Mobile Crane Vehicle	(428)	530	(427)	880

#### (225) SPEED LIMIT ON STRUCTURE:

(226) MIN VERT CLEARANCE OVER ROADWAY POSTED:

(227) MIN VERT UNDERCLEARANCE POSTED:

N X Posting signs not required

Х

Posting signs not required

## **CONDITION INSPECTION**

## **FORM 7 OF 13**

	Inspection Month	(91) Frequency	Due Date	(90) Inspection Date	(290) Inspection Report Completion Date
Routine Inspection	03	24	03/18/2018	03/18/2016	06/03/2016
Critical Feature Inspections	(291) Inspection Month	(92) Frequency	Due Date	(93) Critical Feature Inspection Date	]
(A) Fracture Critical Members		Ν			
(B) Underwater Inspection		N			
(C) Special Inspection		N			
(D) Hands-on Railroad		N			
(E) Confined Space		N			
(F) Ultrasonic Testing (UT) Pin		N			
(G) Ultrasonic Testing (UT) Ancho	r L	N			
(H) Post Tensioning Bar		N			
(I) Cathodic Protection		N			
(J) Consultant		N			
(K) Movable Bridge		N			
(L) Suspension Bridge		N			
(M) Cable					
		IN			
$(\Gamma)$ Flood (O) Damages					
(R) Inquires					
	C Satisfactory Co	ndition (50) S			sfactory Condition
	6 Satisfactory Co	ndition (61) (			k slump widespread
(60) SUBSTRUCTORE:	6 Salislacioly Co		JANNEL/PRU		or damage
(62) CULVERTS:	N Not Applicable				
(310) INSPECTION DATA UPDATE	DATE: 03/28/2014	ų (312)	LEAD INSPEC	TOR: THOMAS SO	CHILPP
(311) INSPECTION TEAM:	YSWA	(313)	BRIDGE INSPE	ECTOR: ERIN COLLI	NS
(314) HOURS TO INSPECT: 016	(316) DECK P	LANKING %:	00 (31	15) DECK PUNCTURE	<b>S %:</b> 00
(317) DECK PATCHING %: 01	(318) BLOCK	ING:	00 (31	9) POWER WASHING	: N
(320) IDENTIFICATION NO.: N	(321) INVENT	ORY DIRECTION:	EAST (32	23) PERMIT:	Ν
(324) NIGHT WORK:	(325) WEEKE	ND WORK:	N		
(322) LOOKING TOWARD:	APPLETON ROAD				
(326) MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC STANDARDS: 104.02-10					
(327) MOT COMMENTS:					
(328) LOCATION OF MIN. VERT. UNDERCLEARANCE:					
# BRIDGE NUMBER: CE0079001

(329A) CRITICAL FINDINGS: N (329B) CRITICAL FINDINGS DATE:	
(330) CRITICAL FINDINGS COMMENTS:	
(331) CAUTION COMMENTS:	
(332) UNDERCLEARANCE POSTING SIGNS: X Posting signs not required	
(340) INSPECTION EQUIPMENT:	
L Ladder	
W Waders	
S Snooper	
(333) MHOI: N (334) MHOI LOCATIONS:	
(335) ADVANCED NOTIFICATION: N	
(336) ADVANCED NOTIFICATION COMMENTS:	

APPRAISAL					FORM 8 OF 13				
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION:	6	BSR	(68) DECK GEOM	IETRY:	6				
(69) UNDERCLEARANCE:	N	98.7	(72) APPROACH	ALIGNMENT:	7				
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY:	7		]						
(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY RAI	LINGS: 1	Meets Standa	ards						
FEATURES TRANSI	TIONS: 0	Does NOT meet Standards							
APPROACH BA	RRIER: 1	Meets Standa	ards						
APPROACH BARRIER	ENDS: 0	Does NOT m	eet Standards						
(113) SCOUR EVALUATION:	7	Countermeas	sures have been installed to mitig	gate a previously existing probler flood event.	m with scour and to reduce the risk				
(DT) DEDUCT CODE:	Z		- ,						
(STAT) STATUS:	0	Not Defici	ent						
NAVIGATION (38) NAVIGATION CONTROL: (40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEARAN	0 CE: 00	] 00_	(39) NAV V	/ERT CLEARANCE:	<b>FORM 9 OF 13</b>				
(110) MIN NAV VERT CLEARANCE			(248) PUN						
(249) DRAINAGE AREA		]	(240) KUN (250) STRI	UCTURE IN TIDAL AREA:	N No				
(251) HIGH WATER ELEVATION		]	(200) 0111						
(, ·									
HISTORY AND PROPOS		ROVEME	NTS		FORM 10 OF 13				
(201) CONTRACT NUMBERS:									
(203) SHA SPEC- YEAR:	1968	N N	N N						

(203) SHA SPEC- YEAR:	1968	N	N N	
(204) AASHTO SPEC-YEAR:	1969	Ν	N N	
(75) TYPE OF PROPOSED WORK:	38	2	(76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMEN	NT: 000200
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVE COST:	000012		(95) ROADWAY IMPROVE COS	<b>T</b> : 000005
(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST:	000017		(97) YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT:	01

(556) FACING:

(558) WITH NOISE BARRIER:

MISCELLANEOUS	FORM 11 O	F 13
(244) SIGNS ON STRUCTURE:	(245) BRIDGE ROADWY LIGHTING: N No	
(246) PROVISION FOR ROADWAY LIGHTING: No		
(260) UTILITIES - ON:	(261) UTILITIES - UNDER:	
0 Not Applicable	0 Not Applicable	
0 Not Applicable	0 Not Applicable	
0 Not Applicable	0 Not Applicable	
0 Not Applicable	0 Not Applicable	
0 Not Applicable	0 Not Applicable	
REMARKS:		
	EOPM 12 O	E 12
		- 13
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH: (508) NUMBER OF SPECIAL PANEL(S): (510) FACING (Acoustic Treatment): (512) PANEL COLOR: (514) STACKED PANEL S: (514) STACKED	
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	F 13
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	F 13
(505) FOUNDATION TYPES:   (507) PANEL WIDTH:   (509) PANEL MATERIAL:   (511) PANEL FINISH:   (513) FEDERAL COLOR:   (513) FEDERAL COLOR:   (515) NOISE BARRIER POST MATERIAL:   (517) FIRE HYDRANTS: <b>RETAINING WALL</b> (550) TYPE:   (552) SEGMENT LENGTH(S):	(506) FOUNDATION LENGTH:	F 13

36

(557) WITH FENCE OR RAIL:

(559) PURPOSE:

# **Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet**

	NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY
(1)	STATE NAME: Maryland CODE 243
(8)	STRUCTURE NO: 2-00000-CE-0079-01-0
(5)	
(3)	
(2)	STATE HIGHWAT DEPARTMENT DISTRICT
(3)	COUNTY CODE: 015 (4) STATE CODE:. 00000
(6)	FIR INTRS:BIG ELK CREEK
(7)	FACILITY CARRIED: BREWSTER BRIDGE ROAD
(9)	LOCATION: 0.71 MI E OF ELK MILLS RD
(11)	MILEPOINT:
(12)	BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK:
(16)	LATITUD 39400368. (17) LONGITUDE: 075493411
(98)	BORDER BRIDGE STATE % Share
(99)	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT NO
	STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL
(43)	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL
	TYPE CODE D 02
(44)	STRUCTURE TYPE APPR: MATERIAL
	TYPE CODE 0 00
(45)	NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT:
(46)	NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS:
(107)	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE 1
(108)	WEARING SURFACE/PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:
	A) TYPE WEARING SURFACE: CODE: 0
	B) TYPE MEMBRANE: CODE: 0
	C) TYPE DECK PROTECTION: CODE: 0
	AGE AND SERVICE
(27)	YEAR BUILT:
(106)	YEAR RECONSTRUCTED
(42)	TYPE OF SERVICE: ON:
	UNDER CODE 1 5
(28)	LANES: ON STRUCT 02 UNDER STRUCT: 00
(29)	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:
(30)	YEAR OF ADT: 2015 (109) TRUCK ADT: 05
(19)	BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH: 03
	GEOMETRIC DATA
(48)	I ENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN: 0062
(49)	STRUCTURE LENGTH 0001660
(50)	CURB/SIDEWALK' LET 000 ET RGT' 000 ET
(50)	
(51) (E2)	
(52)	UECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 0374 FT
(32)	APPR RDWY WIDTH:         00         023         00         FT
(33)	BRIDGE MEDIAN:
(34)	SKEW: 13 DEG (35) STRUCT FLAREE N
(10)	INV RTE MIN VERTICAL CLEAR:
(47)	INV RTE TOT HORIZONTAL CLEAR: 340 FT
(50)	
(53)	MIN VERTICLEAR OVER BRDG RDW 9999 FT

------ STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL

SUFFICIENCY RATING = 98.7

# STATUS = 0

	CLASSIFICATION	
(112)	NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Y
(104)	HIGHWAY SYSTEM:	N
(26)	FUNCTIONAL CLASS:	
(100)	DEFENSE HIGHWAY:	0
(101)	PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	N
(102)	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:	2
(103)	TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	
(110)	DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK:	N
(20)	TOLL:	3
(21)	MAINTENANCE:	02
(22)	OWNER:	02
(37)	HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE:	5

CONDITION							
(58)	DECK:	6					
(59)	SUPERSTRUCTURE:	6					
(60)	SUBSTRUCTURE:	6					
(61)	CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION:	6					
(62)	CULVERTS:	Ν					

## LOAD RATING AND POSTING

. 5	DESIGN LOAD:	(31)
620	OPERATING RATING:	(64)
370	INVENTORY RATING:	(66)
5	BRIDGE POSTING:	(70)
. Α	STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED, OR CLOSED:	(41)

## APPRAISAL

				STRUCTURAL EVALUATION:	(67)
				DECK GEOMETRY:	(68)
. I				UNDERCLEARANCES, VERT AND HOR:	(69)
•				WATERWAY ADEQUACY:	(71)
				APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT:	(72)
1	0		. 1	TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES:	(36)
		••••		SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES:	(113)

## PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

(75)	TYPE OF WORK:	38	2
(76)	LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT:	000	200
(94)	BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST:	<b>12</b> ,(	000
(95)	ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST:	<b>5</b> ,0	000
(96)	TOTAL PROJECT COST:	<b>17</b> ,0	000
(97)	YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST EST:	. 0'	1
(114)	FUTURE ADT:	. 002	000
(115)	YEAR OF FUTURE ADT:	. 3	5

Bridge No. CE-0079 (Brewster Bridge Road over Big Elk Creek)

U	(All measurements are in feet)									
	Clearance Location: Bottom of Beam No 1 at midspan of Span No. 2 Clearance is the distance measured from the water surface to the clearance location.								TS/EC	
	30 ft	20 ft	10 ft		Flo	OW		10 ft	20 ft	30 ft
	0.0	0.0	0.0		West A	outment		0.0	0.0	0.0
				<b>0.0</b> 0.0	0.0 0.0 Mid-3	<u>0.0</u> 0.0 Span	<b>0.0</b> 0.0			
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.9	$\frac{0.0}{1.0}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	<u>2.1</u> 2.1	<u>2.3</u> 2.0	<u>2.2</u> 1.9	<u>2.0</u> 1.8	<u>2.0</u> 1.8	<u>1.9</u> 1.7	1.8 CI	<u>2.1</u> 1.9	2.0	<u>2.0</u> 1.8
	4 4	00	0.0	0.0	1.0	<u> </u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1.8	0.0	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	0.0 0.0	er 2 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0			Jument		0.0	0.0	0.0

Streambed composition: Rocks and silt

Legend: X.X = Current soundingsX.X = 2001 Base Year Sounding (Adjusted for difference in water surface elevation)

CL. - Clearance X.X

- Edge of Stream

\* - Dry during Base Year Sounding



# Base Year SOUNDING SHEET



The bottom is rocky and hard with some soft, silty areas.

# LOAD RATING CALCULATION FOR BRIDGE CE0079001

Vahiala	INV.	OPR.
venicie	(Tons)	(Tons)
HL-93	-	-
H-15	20.5	34.5
T-3	43.5	72.5
T-4	44.5	74.0
HS-20	37.0	62.0
382	45.0	75.0
150K	44.5	74.5
90K COMB.	44.0	73.0
90K CRANE	52.0	86.5
90K CARGO	46.0	77.0
80K CARGO	50.5	84.0
120K SPEC.	45.0	75.0
108K CRANE	50.5	84.5
120K CRANE	53.0	88.0

Date: October, 2013

	Load Rating Standard Summ	ary Sheet		
Bridge No.:CE00	079001 on Brewster Bridge Rd.	over Big Elk	Creek	
Date of Rating: _	10/31/2013 LARS Program: Yes 🛛 No 🗌 Progr	am Used:		
Rating Method:	LRFR LFR ASR LFR LFR Load	ng HMA	A Wearing ace (in.)	0
Rating Type: As	Built As Inspected Condition Report Date	: 06/25/2012	N/A if r type is	ating As-Built
Comments/Defec	ets/Assumptions:			
*All legal and permit vehi completed, regardless of the The HL-93 is only rated for	<pre>cles must be ne rating method. or LRFR. **For LRFR there is no Inventory Rating for Legal State in the Inventory column for Legal Loads, set *LRFR Design/Load Rating Vehicle (List *Comparison of the set o</pre>	and Permit Loads. En Inventory to zero for P imit States are St	nter the Operating Lir Permit Loads. Frength I for all	nit
materials	Service II for Steel only, or Service III for prestressed con	crete Inventory	only)	
	Rating Details	Inventory	<b>Operating</b>	
Truck/ Axle/ Tons	Controlling Member	Limit State	Limit State	
	Controlling Stress (Moment, Shear, Service)	<b>Rating Factor</b>	Rating Factor	
		Limit State	Limit State	
HL-93/3/36 Tons	Select the Controlling Stress			
	Select die Condoning Succes			
Lecol Leode (E	an I DED the Limit States and Strongth Lfor all motorials	on Courtoo II for	ate al. ambri)	If rating
Legal Loads (F	or LKFK the Limit States are Strength 1 for all materials	or Service II for s	steel only)	in LRFR,
Tunals ( Asula / Tana	Controlling Member	or Limit State	Operating	enter
TTUCK AXIC/ TOUS	Controlling Stress (Moment Shear Service)	Tons (XX X)	Tons (XX X)	Oper.
	Three Span Cont. Int. Beam	205	10115 (22.2.2.)	Limit
H-15 / 2 / 15	Mamont	205	345	State.
		125		
T-3/3/33	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam	435	725	
	Moment			
T-4 / 4/ 35	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam	445	740	
1 17 17 00	Moment		7.10	
HS-20/3/36	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam	370	620	
115-207 57 50	Moment		020	
382 / 5 / 10	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam	450	750	
3527 3740	Moment			
		· · · · · ·		,
	Permit Loads - (For LRFR the Limit State is Streng	th II)	set inv. to zero.	
Truelt/ Artle/ Tong	Controlling Member	**Inventory	Operating	
TTUCK/ AXIE/ TOIIS	<b>Controlling Stress (Moment, Shear, Service)</b>	Tons (XX.X)	Tons (XX.X)	
15012 / 9 / 75	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam	115	745	
150K/8//5	Moment	443	/45	
	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam		520	
90K Comb./ 5 / 45	Moment	440	730	
	Three Span Cont., Ext. Beam			
90K Crane/ 4 / 45	Moment	520	865	
	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam			
90 Cargo/ 5 / 45	Moment	- 460	770	
<u> </u>	Three Span Cont., Int. Beam			
80 Cargo/ 5 / 40	Moment	505	840	
 	Three Span Cont. Int. Ream			
120K Spec./ 5 / 60	Moment	450	750	
_	Thurs Soon Cont. Fort Dears			
108K Crane/ 5/ 54	I nree Span Cont., Ext. Beam	505	845	
	Moment		_	
120 Crane/ 5 / 60	Three Span Cont., Ext. Beam	530	880	
	Moment	220	000	



H-15 VEHICLE 30,000 POUNDS



**TYPE 3 VEHICLE** 66,000 POUNDS



**TYPE 4 VEHICLE** 70,000 POUNDS



HS-20 VEHICLE 72,000 POUNDS



**3S2 VEHICLE** 80,000 POUNDS



150,000 POUND VEHICLE



# 90,000 POUND PERMIT COMBINATION VEHICLE



# 90,000 POUND MOBILE CRANE VEHICLE



# 90,000 POUND CARGO VEHICLE



80,000 POUND CARGO VEHICLE

# LOAD RATING VEHICLES

(All numbers in circles are axle loads in 1,000 lbs.)



# 120,000 POUND COMBINATION VEHICLE



# **108,000 POUND MOBILE CRANE VEHICLE**



# 120,000 POUND MOBILE CRANE VEHICLE









# **Assumptions**

- 1. Plans are not available for this bridge. The rating is based on the 2012 Bridge Inspection Report, previous load rating by Wallace, Montgomery & Associates dated 2-26-86, and field measurement of beam bottom plates by Gannett Fleming, Inc.
- 2. The bridge is rated as existing condition. The exterior beams are in fair condition as per the 2012 inspection report with flaking paint, heavy corrosion and section loss near the beam ends for the exterior beams 1 and 6. Detailed section losses for the exterior beams are estimated in the following pages. Interior beams are in satisfactory condition with paint failures and minor section loss. As the section loss is minimal, no defect is taken into account for the interior beams.
- 3. Load Factor Design method followed in the rating calculation.
- 4. Span lengths are taken from the 2012 bridge Inspection Report.
- 5. Plates are present at top and bottom of the beam at the pier locations. The dimension of the plates and their extents are not available. Hence, bottom plates near the piers are field measured. Top plates could not be measured in the field as it is inside the deck. It is assumed that the top plates are similar as bottom plates.
- 6. The girders are assumed to act compositely with the deck from previous rating and SI&A Item No. 233.
- 7. Weight of metal railing on top of concrete parapet (each side) assumed as 10 plf (Previous rating took the weight as 9 plf).
- 8. Detailed dimension of the concrete parapet is not available. Previous rating took parapet weight as 468 plf which is same as the weight of a 34" straight back F-shape parapet (load calculation attached). Considering the available dimensions, this weight seemed reasonable and used for the rating analysis.
- 9. SIP forms are present from photos and sketches from the Inspection Report. A load of 15 psf is used for analysis.
- 10. Future wearing surface (FWS) is not present and not applied in the LARS analysis.
- 11. The yield strength of the steel,  $F_Y = 36$  ksi is assumed for the analysis which is consistent with the previous rating calculation and SI&A Item No. 221 (A-36 steel).
- 12. Concrete f'<sub>c</sub> is assumed as 3 ksi from previous rating.
- 13. Slab thickness of 8" is used from previous rating calculation. A haunch thickness of 1" and integral wearing surface of <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" is assumed for analysis.
- 14. Diaphragm spacing is unknown. The diaphragm spacing is assumed as 17.39' (three equal spaces) for Spans 1 & 3 and 20.72' (three equal spaces) for span 3.
- 15. Deck reinforcement (details and extents) is unknown and is not taken in the LARS analysis.







# SUBJECT Cecil Co. Bridge Mattings SHEET NO

Bridge CE0079

<u>SHEET NO.</u> JOB NO. OF







	PROJECT CECIL CO	UNTY LOAD RA	TING						
	SUBJECT Bridge No.	CE079							
	JOB 54759	TASK 2							
	BY AB	DATE 10/31/	2013 REV.		DATE				
	CHK.	DATE	CHK.		DATE				
G01	Girder	G01 In	nt (I) or Ext (E)	? E					
	Girder in plan	1							
	Length	166.50 ft.	(52.17'+62	2.16'+52.17')					
	Girder Description	Rolled Beam with	C.P.	For Rolled	Beams with	or withou	t Cover Plate	<u>es:</u>	
	Girder Weight	105.0 lbs/ft.			Width	Thk.	Length	Area	Weight
	Left Parapet Wt.	478 lbs/ft.		Beam	W 30x99	-	-	-	99.0 lb/ft
	Right Parapet Wt.	478 lbs/ft.		Beam				-	0.0 lb/ft
	No. of Girders	6		Cov Pl. 1	9	0.625	48	5.625	6 lb/ft
	Misc. Steel Percent	10 %		Cov Pl. 2				0	0 lb/ft
	SIP	15 psf		Cov Pl. 3				0	<u>0</u> lb/ft
	FWS	0 psf							105.0 lb/ft
	Eff. Length Left	2.021 ft. (OH	I)						
	Eff. Length Right	3.333 ft.							
	Slab, f'c	3000 psi		<u>Diaphragm</u>	Spacings:				
	Steel, Fy	36000 psi		(Assumed)					
	t <sub>slab</sub> , total	8 in.		S	pans 1 & 3	17.39	ft.		
	t <sub>integral</sub> wearing surface Stage I Load	0.5 in.			Span 2	20.72	ft.		
	SIP	50.00 lbs/ft.							
	Misc. Steel	10.50 lbs/ft.							
	Σ	60.50 lbs/ft.							
	=	65 lbs/ft.	(say)						
	Super Imposed Dead L	load							
	FWS	0 lbs/ft.							
	Parapet	159.3 lbs/ft.							
	Σ	159.33 lbs/ft.							
	=	<u>160</u> lbs/ft.	(say)						
	$DF_{INT} =$	N/A							
	$DF_{EXT} =$	1.176							



section 3 section 4

section 5

section 6

 $t_f = Top Flange Thickenss, in.$ 

 $b_f = Top Flange Width, in.$ 

D = Dist. From top of slab (effective) to top of web, in.

 $t_p$  = Thickness of top flange plate (if any), in.

x = Extension of concrete fillet on one side of top flange, in.

	PROJECT CECIL CO	UNTY LOAD RATIN	G					
	SUBJECT Bridge No.	. CE079						
	JOB 54759	TASK 2						
	BY AB	DATE 10/31/2013	REV.	DATE				
_	СНК.	DATE	CHK.	DATE				
G02	Girder	G02 Int (I)	or Ext (E)? E					
	Girder in plan	6						
	Length	166.50 ft.	(52.17'+62.16'+52.17')					
	Girder Description	Rolled Beam with C.P	. For Rolled	Beams with	or withou	it Cover Plate	<u>s:</u>	
	Girder Weight	105.0 lbs/ft.		Width	Thk.	Length	Area	Weight
	Left Parapet Wt.	478 lbs/ft.	Beam	W 30x99	-	-	-	99.0 lb/ft
	Right Parapet Wt.	478 lbs/ft.	Beam				-	0.0 lb/ft
	No. of Girders	6	Cov Pl. 1	9	0.625	48	5.625	6 lb/ft
	Misc. Steel Percent	10 %	Cov Pl. 2				0	0 lb/ft
	SIP	15 psf	Cov Pl. 3				0	0 lb/ft
	FWS	0 psf						105.0 lb/ft
	Eff. Length Left	3.333 ft.						
	Eff. Length Right	2.021 ft. (OH)						
	Slab, f'c	3000 psi	<u>Diaphragm</u>	Spacings:				
	Steel, Fy	36000 psi	(Assumed)					
	t <sub>slab</sub> , total	8 in.	S	pans 1 & 3	17.39	ft.		
	t <sub>integral wearing surface</sub> Stage I Load	0.5 in.		Span 2	20.72	ft.		
	SIP	50.00 lbs/ft.						
	Misc. Steel	10.50 lbs/ft.						
	Σ	60.50 lbs/ft.						
	=	<b>65</b> lbs/ft.	(say)					
	Super Imposed Dead L	Load						
	FWS	0 lbs/ft.						
	Parapet	159.3 lbs/ft.						
	Σ	159.33 lbs/ft.						
	=	160 lbs/ft.	(say)					
	$DF_{INT} =$	N/A						
	$DF_{EXT} =$	1.176						



section 5 section 4

section 5

section 6

 $t_f = Top Flange Thickenss, in.$ 

 $b_f = Top Flange Width, in.$ 

D = Dist. From top of slab (effective) to top of web, in.

 $t_p$  = Thickness of top flange plate (if any), in.

x = Extension of concrete fillet on one side of top flange, in.

	PROJECT CECIL CO	UNTY LOAD RA	TING						
	SUBJECT Bridge No.	. CE079							
	JOB 54759	TASK 2							
	BY AB	DATE 10/31	/2013 REV.		DATE				
	СНК.	DATE	CHK.		DATE				
G03	Girder	G03 I	nt (I) or Ext (E)?	· I					
	Girder in plan	6							
	Length	166.50 ft.	(52.17'+62	.16'+52.17')					
	Girder Description	Rolled Beam with	n C.P.	For Rolled	Beams with	or withou	t Cover Plate	<u>s:</u>	
	Girder Weight	105.0 lbs/ft.			Width	Thk.	Length	Area	Weight
	Left Parapet Wt.	478 lbs/ft.		Beam	W 30x99	-	-	-	99.0 lb/ft
	Right Parapet Wt.	478 lbs/ft.		Beam				-	0.0 lb/ft
	No. of Girders	6		Cov Pl. 1	9	0.625	48	5.625	6 lb/ft
	Misc. Steel Percent	10 %		Cov Pl. 2				0	0 lb/ft
	SIP	15 psf		Cov Pl. 3				0	<u> </u>
	FWS	0 psf							105.0 lb/ft
	Eff. Length Left	3.333 ft.							
	Eff. Length Right	3.333 ft.							
	Slab, f'c	3000 psi		<u>Diaphragm</u>	Spacings:				
	Steel, Fy	36000 psi		(Assumed)					
	t <sub>slab</sub> , total	8 in.		S	pans 1 & 3	17.39	ft.		
	t <sub>integral wearing surface</sub> Stage I Load	0.5 in.			Span 2	20.72	ft.		
	SIP	2 100.00 lbs/ft.							
	Misc. Steel	10.50 lbs/ft.							
	$\Sigma$	110.50 lbs/ft.							
	=	115 lbs/ft	(say)						
	Super Imposed Dead L	Load							
	FWS	0 lbs/ft.							
	Parapet	159.3 lbs/ft.							
	Σ	159.33 lbs/ft.							
	=	<u> </u>	. (say)						
	$DF_{INT} =$	1.212							
	1141	-							



section 3 section 4

section 5

section 6

 $t_f = Top Flange Thickenss, in.$ 

 $b_f = Top Flange Width, in.$ 

D = Dist. From top of slab (effective) to top of web, in.

 $t_p$  = Thickness of top flange plate (if any), in.

x = Extension of concrete fillet on one side of top flange, in.



## LOAD ANALYSIS AND RATING SYSTEM -- LFD v5.00.06.09

### BRIDGE / MEMBER DATA

### SUMMARY REPORT

Bridge	ID	CE0079
2		

Facility	Carried	BREWSTER	BRIDGE	ROAD	

Feature Intersected BIG ELK CREEK

Material of Construction	CSC
Year of Construction	1972
Roadway Width	0.000
Number of Spans	3
Live Load Distribution Factor	1.176
Shear Live Load Dist. Factor	0.750

Comments:

Member ID	G01	EXT. BEAM 1			
Symmetry:					
Span Length:	Span 1 52.170	Span 2 62.160	Span 3 52.170	Span 4 0.000	Span 5 0.000

				Moment	
C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	H15 1.58	н 23.68	23.7
1.400	INV.	Truck:	T-3 1 47	0.00	48 6
1.400	INV.	Truck:	T-4 1 45	0.00	50.6
2.000	INV.	Truck:	HS20		10.0
2.000	INV.	Truck:	1.18 M3S2	HS 23.68	5 42.6
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	H15	0.00	51.5
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	2.63 T-3	Н 39.46	39.5
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	2.45 T-4	0.00	81.0
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	2.41 HS20	0.00	84.3
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	1.97 M3S2	HS 39.46	71.0
			2.15	0.00	85.9

#### C.P. Rating Factor Rating Value Load Capacity (-) (+) INV. Truck: H15 2.000 7.25 309.64 HS108.81 108.80 INV. Truck: T-3 2.000 4.18 177.40 0.00 137.90 2.000 INV. Truck: T-4 4.03 171.32 0.00 141.20 Truck: HS20 2.000 INV. HS 82.45 4.12 177.60 148.40 Truck: M3S2 3.000 INV. 5.48 66.78 0.00 219.40 2.000 OPER. Truck: H15 12.09 516.07 HS181.35 181.40 OPER. Truck: T-3 2.000 6.96 295.67 0.00 229.80 OPER. Truck: T-4 2.000 6.72 285.53 0.00 235.30 2.000 OPER. Truck: HS20 6.87 295.99 HS137.42 247.40 3.000 OPER. Truck: M3S2 9.14 111.29 0.00 365.60

Shear

#### Serviceability

C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	H15		21.0
1.400	INV.	Truck:	2.12 T-3	HS 31.83	31.8
1 400	TNIV	Truck ·	1.31 T-4	0.00	43.3
1.400	TIN V .	ILUCK:	1.29	0.00	45.1
1.400	INV.	Truck:	HS20	110 20 07	E 2 0
2.000	INV.	Truck:	M3S2	пр 20.07	JZ.U
2 000	ODED	Truck ·	1.73	0.00	69.3
2.000	OF ER.	ILUCK.	3.54	HS 53.05	53.0
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	T-3 2 19	0 00	72 2
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	Z.15 T−4	0.00	12.2
1 400	OPER	Truck ·	2.15 HS20	0.00	75.1
1.100	01 11(.	iruck.	2.41	HS 48.12	86.6
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	M3S2 2.89	0.00	115.4

## LOAD ANALYSIS AND RATING SYSTEM -- LFD v5.00.06.09

### BRIDGE / MEMBER DATA

### SUMMARY REPORT

Bridge	ID	CE0079	9

Facility	Carried	BREWSTER	BRIDGE	ROAD	

Feature Intersected BIG ELK CREEK

Material of Construction	CSC
Year of Construction	1972
Roadway Width	0.000
Number of Spans	3
Live Load Distribution Factor	1.176
Shear Live Load Dist. Factor	0.750

Comments:

Member ID	G02	EXT. BEAM 6			
Symmetry:					
Span Length:	Span 1 52.170	Span 2 62.160	Span 3 52.170	Span 4 0.000	Span 5 0.000

				Moment	
C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	H15	11 22 69	
1.400	INV.	Truck:	T-3	п 23.00	23.1
1.400	INV.	Truck:	1.47 T-4	0.00	48.6
			1.45	0.00	50.6
2.000	INV.	Truck:	HS20 1.18	HS 23.68	42.6
2.000	INV.	Truck:	M3S2	0.00	) 51 5
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	H15	0.00	51.5
3.600	OPER.	Truck:	2.63 T-3	н 39.46	39.5
1 400	0000		2.45	0.00	81.0
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	2.41	0.00	84.3
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	HS20	UC 30 /6	71 0
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	M3S2	115 59.40	, ,,,,
			2.15	0.00	85.9

## Shear

C.P.			Rating (-)	Factor (+)	Rating Value	Load Capacity	
1.000	INV.	Truck:	H15				
			45.07	4.57	HS 68.47	68.50	
1.000	INV.	Truck:	T-3				
			27.16	2.42	0.00	79.90	
1.000	INV.	Truck:	T-4				
			26.11	2.38	0.00	83.20	
1.000	INV.	Truck:	HS20				
			26.71	2.51	HS 50.14	90.20	
1.000	INV.	Truck:	M3S2				
			37.26	3.49	0.00	139.70	
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	H15				
			75.12	7.61	HS114.12	114.10	
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	T-3				
			45.27	4.04	0.00	133.20	
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	T-4				
			43.52	3.96	0.00	138.70	
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	HS20				
			44.52	4.18	HS 83.56	150.40	
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	M3S2				
			62.10	5.82	0.00	232.80	

## Serviceability

2.000 INV. Truck: H15 2.12 HS 31.83 31	0
2.12 HS 31.83 31	0
T + 100 T + 10 T + 0	• 0
1.31 0.00 43	.3
1.400 INV. IFUCK: 1-4 1.29 0.00 45	.1
1.400 INV. Truck: HS20	0
2.000 INV. Truck: M3S2	• 0
1.73 0.00 69 2.000 OPER Truck: H15	.3
3.54 HS 53.05 53	.1
3.600 OPER. Truck: T-3 2.19 0.00 72	. 2
1.400 OPER. Truck: T-4	. –
2.15 0.00 75 1.400 OPER. Truck: HS20	• 1
2.41 HS 48.12 86	.6
2.000 OPER. IFUCK: M352 2.89 0.00 115	. 4
### BRIDGE / MEMBER DATA

### SUMMARY REPORT

Bridge	ID	CE0079

Facility	Carried	BREWSTER	BRIDGE	ROAD

Material of Construction	CSC
Year of Construction	1972
Roadway Width	0.000
Number of Spans	3
Live Load Distribution Factor	1.212
Shear Live Load Dist. Factor	1.500

Member ID	G03	INT. BEAM			
Symmetry:					
Span Length:	Span 1 52.170	Span 2 62.160	Span 3 52.170	Span 4 0.000	Span 5 0.000

				Moment	
C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	Н15		
2.000	INV.	Truck:	1.38 T-3	H 20.76	20.8
			1.32	0.00	43.6
2.000	INV.	Truck:	T-4 1 27	0 00	11 5
2.000	INV.	Truck:	HS20	0.00	11.0
2 000	T N157	Transler	1.04	HS 20.76	37.4
2.000	INV.	ILUCK:	M352 1.13	0.00	45.2
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	H15		
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	2.31 T-3	Н 34.59	34.6
			2.20	0.00	72.7
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	T-4 2 12	0 0 0	74 1
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	HS20	0.00	/ 1 • 1
2 000	ODED	Truck	1.73	HS 34.59	62.3
2.000	UFER.	ILUCK:	1.88	0.00	75.3

C.P.			Rating (-)	Factor (+)	Rating Value	Load Capacity	
2.000	INV.	Truck:	H15				
			3.52	158.03	HS 52.84	52.80	
2.000	INV.	Truck:	T-3				
			2.03	90.54	0.00	66.90	
2.000	INV.	Truck:	T-4				
			1.96	87.44	0.00	68.50	
2.000	INV.	Truck:	HS20				
			2.00	90.64	HS 40.04	72.10	
3.000	INV.	Truck:	M3S2				
			2.67	34.09	0.00	106.70	
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	Н15				
			5.87	263.39	HS 88.06	88.10	
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	T-3				
			3.38	150.90	0.00	111.60	
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	T-4				
			3.26	145.73	0.00	114.20	
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	HS20				
			3.34	151.07	HS 66.73	120.10	
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	M3S2				
			4.45	56.82	0.00	177.90	

C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	Н15		
1.400	INV.	Truck:	1.91 T-3	HS 28.66	28.7
1 400	TNV	Truck ·	1.23 T-4	0.00	40.4
1.100	±1 <b>4V</b> •	II dek.	1.20	0.00	42.1
1.400	INV.	Truck:	HS20 1.35	HS 26.97	48.6
2.000	INV.	Truck:	M3S2	0.00	<u> </u>
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	1.56 H15	0.00	62.4
1 400	OPER	Truck ·	3.18 T-3	HS 47.77	47.8
1.100	or bit.	ii uon.	2.04	0.00	67.4
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	T-4 2.01	0.00	70.2
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	HS20	UC 11 06	000
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	Z.25 M3S2	NS 44.90	00.9
			2.60	0.00	104.0

### BRIDGE / MEMBER DATA

### SUMMARY REPORT

Bridge	ID	CE0079
2		

Facility	Carried	BREWSTER	BRIDGE	ROAD	

Feature Intersected BIG ELK CREEK

Material of Construction	CSC
Year of Construction	1972
Roadway Width	0.000
Number of Spans	3
Live Load Distribution Factor	1.176
Shear Live Load Dist. Factor	0.750

Member ID	G01	EXT. BEAM 1			
Symmetry:					
Span Length:	Span 1 52.170	Span 2 62.160	Span 3 52.170	Span 4 0.000	Span 5 0.000

				Moment	
C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	150K	0.00	C 1 1
2 000	TNV	Truck ·	00K 0.8T	0.00	61.1
2.000	1100.	II dek.	1.12	0.00	50.2
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.16	0.00	52.1
2.000	INV.	Truck:	CG90		
			1.18	0.00	53.0
2.000	INV.	Truck:	CG80		
			1.44	0.00	57.7
2.000	INV.	Truck:	120K	0 00	F 1 C
2 0 0 0	T N157	<b>T</b>	0.86	0.00	51.6
2.000	INV.	Iruck:	0.04	0 00	50 9
2 000	TNV	Truck ·	C120	0.00	50.0
2.000	±100.	if dek.	0 88	0 00	53 0
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	150K	0.00	00.0
			1.36	0.00	101.8
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	90K		
			1.86	0.00	83.7

1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.93	0.00	86.8
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG90		
			1.96	0.00	88.3
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG80		
			2.40	0.00	96.2
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K		
			1.43	0.00	86.0
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	C108		
			1.57	0.00	84.7
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	C120		
			1.47	0.00	88.3

С.Р.			Rating (-)	Factor (+)	Rating Value	Load Capacity
3.000	INV.	Truck:	150K			
			2.91	33.38	0.00	218.20
3.000	INV.	Truck:	90K			
		_ ,	4.20	52.88	0.00	188.90
2.000	INV.	Truck:	CR90	101 00	0.00	142.00
2 000	T N157	Taught	3.18	131.88	0.00	143.20
3.000	INV.	If uck:	LG90 4 37	58 41	0 00	196 60
2.000	TNV.	Truck:		30.11	0.00	190.00
2.000		114011	4.12	177.56	0.00	164.70
3.000	INV.	Truck:	120K			
			3.50	45.71	0.00	210.00
2.000	INV.	Truck:	C108			
			2.70	110.52	0.00	145.70
2.000	INV.	Truck:	C120			
2 2 2 2		<b>—</b> 1	2.59	106.11	0.00	155.30
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	150K			262 70
3 000	OPFR	Truck ·	4.00 90k	55.64	0.00	363.70
5.000	01 11(.	iluck.	6 99	88 13	0 0 0	314 80
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CR90	00.10	0.00	011.00
			5.30	219.80	0.00	238.60
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG90			
			7.28	97.35	0.00	327.70
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG80			
			6.86	295.93	0.00	274.50
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K	76 10	0.00	
2 000	ODED	Trueste	5.83	/6.19	0.00	350.00
2.000	OPER.	Iruck:	LIU8 4 50	19/ 21	0 00	242 80
2 000	OPER	Truck·	C120	104.21	0.00	242.00
2.000	OT DIC.	II dek.	4.31	176.84	0.00	258.80

C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	150K 0.92	0.00	68.7
1.400	INV.	Truck:	90K		
			1.44	0.00	64.6
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.03	0.00	46.4
3.000	INV.	Truck:	CG90		

			1.58	0.00	71.2
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CG80		
			1.52	0.00	60.9
2.000	INV.	Truck:	120K		
			1.16	0.00	69.4
1.400	INV.	Truck:	C108		
			0.88	0.00	47.8
1.400	INV.	Truck:	C120		
			0.89	0.00	53.4
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	150K		
			1.53	0.00	114.5
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	90K		
			2.39	0.00	107.7
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.72	0.00	77.4
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG90		
			2.64	0.00	118.7
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CG80		
			2.54	0.00	101.4
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K		
			1.93	0.00	115.6
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	C108		50 0
			1.47	0.00	79.6
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	C120		
			1.48	0.00	89.0

### BRIDGE / MEMBER DATA

### SUMMARY REPORT

Facility	Carried	BREWSTER	BRIDGE	ROAD	

Feature Intersected BIG ELK CREEK

Material of Construction	CSC
Year of Construction	1972
Roadway Width	0.000
Number of Spans	3
Live Load Distribution Factor	1.176
Shear Live Load Dist. Factor	0.750

Member ID	G02	EXT. BEAM 6			
Symmetry:					
Span Length:	Span 1 52.170	Span 2 62.160	Span 3 52.170	Span 4 0.000	Span 5 0.000

				Moment	
C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	150K	0 00	61 1
2.000	INV.	Truck:	90K	0.00	01.1
			1.12	0.00	50.2
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.16	0.00	52.1
2.000	INV.	Truck:	CG90	0 00	F2 0
2 000	TNV	Truck ·	1.18 CC80	0.00	53.0
2.000	TIN V •	iiuck.	1.44	0.00	57.7
2.000	INV.	Truck:	120K		
			0.86	0.00	51.6
2.000	INV.	Truck:	C108		
			0.94	0.00	50.8
2.000	INV.	Truck:	C120	0 00	52 0
2 000	ODED	Truck	U.88 1507	0.00	53.0
2.000	UPER.	ILUCK:	1.36	0.00	101.8
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	90K	0.00	101.0
			1.86	0.00	83.7

1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.93	0.00	86.8
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG90		
			1.96	0.00	88.3
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG80		
			2.40	0.00	96.2
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K		
			1.43	0.00	86.0
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	C108		
			1.57	0.00	84.7
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	C120		
			1.47	0.00	88.3

С.Р.			Rating (-)	Factor (+)	Rating Value	Load Capacity
1.000	INV.	Truck:	150K			
			20.10	1.89	0.00	141.60
1.000	INV.	Truck:	90K			
1 0 0 0			31.31	2.54	0.00	114.10
1.000	INV.	Truck:	CR90	1 0 1	0.00	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
1 0 0 0	T N157	Transler	20.19	1.91	0.00	86.20
1.000	INV.	ILUCK:	21 31	2 77	0 00	124 60
1 000	TNV	Truck ·	CC80	2.11	0.00	124.00
1.000	±10V•	if dek.	26.03	2.64	0 - 0 0	105.60
1.000	INV.	Truck:	120K	2.01		100.00
			24.65	2.26	0.00	135.70
1.000	INV.	Truck:	C108			
			16.96	1.65	0.00	89.10
1.000	INV.	Truck:	C120			
			15.92	1.64	0.00	98.50
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	150K			
		_ ,	33.50	3.15	0.00	236.10
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	90K	4 0 0		100.00
1 0 0 0	ODED	Truck .	5Z.17	4.23	0.00	190.20
1.000	OPER.	ILUCK:	33 61	3 1 9	0 00	143 60
1 000	OPER	Truck ·	CG90	5.19	0.00	143.00
1.000	01 11(.	if dek.	52.24	4.61	0 - 0 0	207.60
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG80	1.01		207.000
			43.39	4.40	0.00	176.00
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K			
			41.08	3.77	0.00	226.20
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	C108			
			28.26	2.75	0.00	148.60
1.000	OPER.	Truck:	C120			
			26.53	2.74	0.00	164.20

C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	150K 0.92	0.00	68.7
1.400	INV.	Truck:	90K		
			1.44	0.00	64.6
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.03	0.00	46.4
3.000	INV.	Truck:	CG90		

			1.58	0.00	71.2
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CG80		
			1.52	0.00	60.9
2.000	INV.	Truck:	120K		
			1.16	0.00	69.4
1.400	INV.	Truck:	C108		
			0.88	0.00	47.8
1.400	INV.	Truck:	C120		
			0.89	0.00	53.4
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	150K		
			1.53	0.00	114.5
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	90K		
			2.39	0.00	107.7
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.72	0.00	77.4
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG90		
			2.64	0.00	118.7
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CG80		
			2.54	0.00	101.4
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K		
			1.93	0.00	115.6
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	C108		
			1.48	0.00	79.6
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	C120		
			1.48	0.00	89.0

### BRIDGE / MEMBER DATA

### SUMMARY REPORT

Bridge	ID	CE0079
2		

Facility	Carried	BREWSTER	BRIDGE	ROAD	

Feature Intersected BIG ELK CREEK

Material of Construction	CSC
Year of Construction	1972
Roadway Width	0.000
Number of Spans	3
Live Load Distribution Factor	1.212
Shear Live Load Dist. Factor	1.500

Member ID	G03	INT. BEAM			
Symmetry:					
Span Length:	Span 1 52.170	Span 2 62.160	Span 3 52.170	Span 4 0.000	Span 5 0.000

					Moment	
C	С.Р.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
	2.000	INV.	Truck:	150K	0 00	11 0
	2.000	INV.	Truck:	90K	0.00	44.0
	1 400	TNV	Truck·	0.98 CB90	0.00	44.0
	1.100	11	ii don.	1.16	0.00	52.3
	2.000	INV.	Truck:	CG90 1 03	0 00	46 4
	2.000	INV.	Truck:	CG80	0.00	10.1
	2.000	TNV.	Truck:	1.26 120K	0.00	50.6
				0.75	0.00	45.2
	1.400	INV.	Truck:	C108 1.00	0.00	53.9
	2.000	INV.	Truck:	C120		
	3.000	OPER.	Truck:	0.94 150K	0.00	56.5
				1.00	0.00	74.7
	2.000	OPER.	Truck:	90K 1.63	0.00	73.3

1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.94	0.00	87.2
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG90		
			1.72	0.00	77.4
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG80		
			2.11	0.00	84.3
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K		
			1.26	0.00	75.4
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	C108		
			1.66	0.00	89.8
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	C120		
			1.57	0.00	94.1

С.Р.			Rating (-)	Factor (+)	Rating Value	Load Capacity
3.000	INV.	Truck:	150K			
			1.42	17.04	0.00	106.20
3.000	INV.	Truck:	90K			
			2.04	27.00	0.00	91.90
2.000	INV.	Truck:	CR90	65.04		co. 50
2 2 2 2	<b>T 1 1 1 1</b>		1.54	67.31	0.00	69.50
3.000	INV.	Truck:	CG90	20.02	0.00	05 70
2 000	T NI 7	Truck .	2.13	29.82	0.00	95.70
2.000	INV.	ILUCK:	2 00	90 62	0 00	80.00
3 000	TNV	Truck ·	120K	50.02	0.00	00.00
5.000	1100.	if den.	1.70	23.34	0 - 0 0	102.20
2.000	INV.	Truck:	C108	20.01		100.00
			1.31	56.41	0.00	70.70
2.000	INV.	Truck:	C120			
			1.26	54.15	0.00	75.40
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	150K			
			2.36	28.41	0.00	176.90
3.000	OPER.	Truck:	90K			
			3.40	44.99	0.00	153.10
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CR90	110 10		
2 2 2 2			2.58	112.18	0.00	115.90
3.000	OPER.	Iruck:	CG90 2 F4	40 71	0.00	1 5 0 4 0
2 000	ODED	Truck .	3.54	49.71	0.00	159.40
2.000	OF ER.	iluck.	3 33	151 04	0 00	133 30
3 000	OPER	Truck ·	120K	101.04	0.00	100.00
3.000	01 11.	ii aon.	2.84	38.90	0.00	170.30
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	C108			
			2.18	94.01	0.00	117.90
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	C120			
			2.10	90.26	0.00	125.70

C.P.			Rating Factor	Rating Value	Load Capacity (tons)
2.000	INV.	Truck:	150K 0.82	0.00	61.9
1.400	INV.	Truck:	90K		
			1.34	0.00	60.4
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CR90		
			0.96	0.00	43.4
2.000	INV.	Truck:	CG90		

			1.42	0.00	64.1
1.400	INV.	Truck:	CG80		
			1.42	0.00	56.9
2.000	INV.	Truck:	120K		
			1.04	0.00	62.5
1.400	INV.	Truck:	C108		
			0.83	0.00	44.6
1.400	INV.	Truck:	C120		
			0.83	0.00	49.9
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	150K		
			1.38	0.00	103.1
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	90K		
			2.23	0.00	100.6
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CR90		
			1.61	0.00	72.3
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	CG90		
			2.38	0.00	106.9
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	CG80		
			2.37	0.00	94.8
2.000	OPER.	Truck:	120K		
			1.74	0.00	104.1
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	C108		
			1.38	0.00	74.4
1.400	OPER.	Truck:	C120		
			1.38	0.00	83.1