## **Appeal Decision Report**

## 4 October 2013 - 31 October 2013

## WINDSOR RURAL



**Appeal Ref.:** 13/60080/REF **Planning** 13/01025/FULL **Plns** APP/T0355/D/13/2202143

Ref.: Ref.:

Appellant: Mr And Mrs Papachrysou c/o Agent: Mr Christian Leigh Leigh And Glennie Ltd 6 All Souls Road

Ascot Berkshire SL5 9EA

**Decision** Delegated **Officer** Refuse

Type: Recommendation:

Description: Two storey side extension

Location: 45 Park Drive Ascot SL5 0BB

Appeal Allowed Decision Date: 24 October 2013

Main Issue: The Inspector concluded that the proposed development would not materially harm the character

and appearance of the area.

and appearance of the area.

 Appeal Ref.:
 13/60089/REF
 Planning
 13/00817/FULL
 Plns Ref.:
 APP/T0355/A/13/2200267

Ref.:

Appellant: Mr Jason Bartlett c/o Agent: Mr S Saxena 67A Elthorne Avenue Hanwell London W7 2JZ

**Decision Type:** Delegated **Officer** Refuse

Recommendation:

**Description:** Construction of a detached house and garage following demolition of existing bungalow

Location: 17 Orchard Road Old Windsor Windsor SL4 2RZ

Appeal Withdrawn Decision Date: 15 October 2013

Decision:

**Decision:** 

Appeal Ref.: 13/60088/COND Planning 13/00868/RLAX Plns APP/T0355/D/13/2203051

Ref.: Ref.:

Appellant: Mr Yasser Hussein c/o Agent: Mr Chris Hall Longsands Campus Longsands Road St Neots

Cambridgeshire PE19 1TE

**Decision** Delegated **Officer** Refuse

Type: Recommendation:

**Description:** Relaxation of condition 3 of planning permission 472612 to allow permitted development rights

Location: Burfield Grange 34 Burfield Road Old Windsor Windsor SL4 2LG

Appeal Dismissed Decision Date: 24 October 2013

Decision:

Main Issue: The main issue was whether the cancellation of a planning condition on a 1994 planning

permission, which removed permitted development rights for the property, would result in harm to the openness of this part of the Green Belt. The Inspector found that the exercise of permitted development rights could result in a detrimental impact on openness, and that the purposes of

condition 3 remain valid.

**Appeal Ref.:** 13/60091/REF **Planning** 13/00995/FULL **Plns** APP/T0355/D/13/2203372

Ref.:

Mr Alastair Hardie c/o Agent: Mr Stuart Keen SKD Design Stoneleigh House 29 Highfield Lane

Ref.:

Maidenhead Berkshire SL6 3AN

**Decision** Delegated **Officer** Refuse

Type: Recommendation:

**Description:** Erection of wrought iron railings to front boundary, including 2 brick piers and wrought iron

entrance gates

Appellant:

Decision:

Location: Seawas 2 Chanctonbury Drive Ascot SL5 9PT

Appeal Dismissed Decision Date: 28 October 2013

Main Issue: The Inspector considered that the railings and brick piers would have a harsh and over dominant

appearance, which would harm the leafy and verdant character of the area.

**Appeal Ref.:** 13/60094/REF **Planning** 13/01876/PDXL **Plns** APP/T0355/D/13/2204250

Ref.: Ref.:

Appellant: Mr G Saini 17 Park Crescent Ascot SL5 0AX

**Decision** Delegated **Officer** Prior Approval Required and

Type: Recommendation: Refused

**Description:** Single storey rear extension no greater than 5.0 m depth, 2.82m high and an eaves height of 2.7m

Location: 17 Park Crescent Ascot SL5 0AX

Appeal Dismissed Decision Date: 30 October 2013

Decision:

Main Issue: The Inspector found that the proposed extension would be visually intrusive and overbearing, to an

extent that the amenities currently enjoyed by occupants of No.18 would be harmed. In addition

the extension would result in an increase in overshadowing.