# HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF RADIAL AND ESCAPING POINTS FOR TRANSCENDENTAL MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

## JANINA KOTUS AND MARIUSZ URBAŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We consider a class of transcendental meromorphic functions  $f: \mathbb{C} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  with infinitely many poles. Under some regularity assumption on the location of poles and the behavior of the function near the poles, we provide explicite lower bounds for the hyperbolic dimension (Hausdorff dimension of radial points) of the Julia set and upper bounds for the Hausdorff dimension of the set of escaping points in the Julia set. In particular, the Hausdorff dimension of the latter set is less than the Hausdorff dimension of the former set. Consequently, the Hausdorff dimension of the set of escaping points is less than 2, and the area of this set is equal to zero. The functions under consideration may have infinitely many singular values, and we do not even assume them to belong to the class  $\mathcal{B}$ . We only require the distance between the set of poles and the set of finite singular values to be positive.

## 1. Introduction and general preliminaries

The Fatou set F(f) of a meromorphic function  $f: \mathbb{C} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  is defined in exactly the same manner as for rational functions; F(f) is the set of points  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that all the iterates are defined and form a normal family on a neighborhood of z. The Julia set J(f) is the complement of F(f) in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Thus, F(f) is open, J(f) is closed, F(f) is completely invariant while  $f^{-1}(J(f)) \subset$ J(f) and  $f(J(f) \setminus \{\infty\}) = J(f)$ . For a general description of the dynamics

C2009 University of Illinois

Received August 28, 2007; received in final form August 08, 2008.

The first author is partially supported by a grant Chaos, fraktale i dynamika kon-foremna - N N201 0222 33 and by EU FTP6 Marie Curie Tok Spade2 and CODY at IMPAN.

The research of the second author was supported in part by the NSF Grant INT-0306004. 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 37F35. Secondary 37F10, 30D05.

of meromorphic functions, see e.g., [3]. It follows from Montel's criterion of normality that if  $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  has at least one pole which is not an omitted value then

(1) 
$$J(f) = \overline{\bigcup_{n \ge 0} f^{-n}(\infty)}$$

(cf. [2]). By  $\operatorname{Sing}(f^{-1})$ , we denote the set of singular values of f i.e.,  $c \in \operatorname{Sing}(f^{-1})$  if  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and c is a critical or an asymptotic value of f. We want to point out that we do not consider multiple poles as critical points. We also recall that  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  if  $\operatorname{Sing}(f^{-1})$  is bounded. Let

$$I_{\infty}(f) := \left\{ z \in J(f) : \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(z) = \infty \right\}$$

be the subset of the Julia set consisting in the points escaping to infinity under iterates of f. We also define the radial Julia set  $J_r(f)$  as the set of points z in J(f) for which there exists a family of neighborhoods  $B(z,r_j), r_j \to 0$ , which can be mapped by f with bounded distortion until the diameter of the image reaches a fixed size. The Hausdorff dimension of  $J_r(f)$  is called the hyperbolic dimension of the Julia set J(f), which we denote by HypDim(f). Let H<sup>h</sup> and  $l_2$  denote the h-dimensional Hausdorff measure and the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure, respectively, HD(X) denote the Hausdorff dimension of the set X.

It was shown by Baker [1] that, if f is a transcendental entire function, then J(f) must contain continua and so the Hausdorff dimension of J(f) satisfies  $1 \leq \text{HD}(J(f)) \leq 2$ . The result of Baker was extended recently by Stallard and Rippon to the class  $\mathcal{M}_F$  of meromorphic functions with finitely many poles. In [8], they showed that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}_F$  then J(f) contains continua, so  $1 \leq \text{HD}(J(f)) \leq 2$ . Note that, for transcendental meromorphic functions with infinitely many poles, the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set is positive but can be arbitrarily small, see [12]. If f is in the class  $\mathcal{B}$ , then one can get a better estimate on the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set. First, in [11], Stallard proved that for entire  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  one has HD(J(f)) > 1, next Stallard and Rippon proved the same for  $f \in \mathcal{M}_F \cap \mathcal{B}$  (see [9]).

Restricting the class of functions considered, further progress has been done in [4], [5], and in [6]. In [4] and [5], explicite estimates for lower bounds of HypDim(f), the hyperbolic dimension of the Julia set and upper bounds for the Hausdorff dimension of  $I_{\infty}(f)$ , the set of escaping points in the Julia set, have been obtained for the class of elliptic functions. Mayer in [6] has also obtained the explicite lower bound for HypDim(f). In the present paper, developing the methods from [5] and getting rid of periodicity assumptions, we provide explicite bounds for a much wider class of meromorphic functions. It follows as an immediate corollary that for this class of meromorphic functions HD( $I_{\infty}(f)$ ) < 2, which in turn readily implies that  $\infty$  is not a metric attractor, meaning that the area of  $I_{\infty}(f)$  vanishes. Let

$$\mathcal{P} := f^{-1}(\infty)$$

be the set of poles. For every pole a of f, by b(a), we denote the residuum of f at a. The following theorems are the main results of our paper.

THEOREM A. Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order  $\rho > 0$  satisfying the following.

- (a)  $\infty$  is not an asymptotic value of f,  $\mathcal{P}$  is infinite and
  - (i) there exist  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that for  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  one has  $|b(a)| \asymp |a|^{-\alpha}$ ,
  - (ii) there exist  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\kappa \in [0, \alpha/M]$  such that for all  $a \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$|f'(z)| \approx \frac{m(a)|b(a)|}{|z-a|^{m(a)+1}}$$
 and  $|f(z)| \approx \frac{|b(a)|}{|z-a|^{m(a)}}$ 

for  $z \in B(a, r(a))$ , where  $m(a) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \le m(a) \le M$  and  $r(a) \ge |a|^{-\kappa}$ . (b) dist $(\overline{\operatorname{Sing}(f^{-1})}, a) > 2r(a)$  for all but finitely many poles  $a \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then

Lnen

$$\operatorname{HD}(I_{\infty}(f)) \leq \frac{\rho M}{\alpha + M + 1}$$

The comparability sign e.g.,  $|b(a)| \simeq |a|^{-\alpha}$  means that

 $C^{-1} \le |b(a)|/|a|^{-\alpha} \le C$ 

for some constant C > 0 and all  $a \in \mathcal{P}$ . The signs  $\leq$  and  $\succeq$  have analogous one-sided meaning. Roughly speaking, the condition (ii) enables us to replace f' by its principal part in the r(a)-neighborhood of a pole a uniformly with respect to  $a \in \mathcal{P}$ . The condition on f given in (ii) implies that

$$f(z) \asymp c(a) + b(a)(z-a)^{-m(a)} + \cdots$$

in B(a, r(a)) with c(a) bounded uniformly in a. It says that when we reconstruct f from f' in B(a, r(a)) the 'constants of integration' are not too large. Recall that  $m: \mathcal{P} \to \{1, 2, \ldots\}$  is the function which assigns to each pole a, its multiplicity m(a). In particular, for every  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m^{-1}(k) =$  $\{a \in \mathcal{P} : m(a) = k\}$ . For any  $t \geq 0$ , consider the series

$$\Sigma(t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{P}} (1 + |a|)^{-t}.$$

Borel's theorem states that  $\rho$ , the order of the meromorphic function f, coincides with the exponent of convergence of this series, i.e.,

$$\Sigma(t) \begin{cases} < +\infty & \text{if } t > \rho, \\ = +\infty & \text{if } t < \rho, \end{cases}$$

provided  $\infty$  is not a Picard exceptional value. Since the function  $m: \mathcal{P} \to \{1, 2, \ldots\}$  takes in fact only finitely many (at most M) values, there thus exists a largest  $1 \leq M^* \leq M$  such that

$$\Sigma_*(t) = \sum_{a \in m^{-1}(M^*)} (1+|a|)^{-t} \begin{cases} < +\infty & \text{if } t > \rho, \\ = +\infty & \text{if } t < \rho. \end{cases}$$

We call the function f of maximal divergence type if  $\Sigma_*(\rho) = +\infty$ .

Now, we give the lower bound on the hyperbolic dimension of Julia set for the functions under consideration.

THEOREM B. Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  be a transcendental meromorphic function satisfying the assumptions of Theorem A except for that concerning  $\infty$ . Then

$$\operatorname{HypDim}(f) \ge \frac{\rho M^*}{\alpha + M^* + 1}.$$

If, in addition, the function f is of maximal divergent type, then this inequality becomes strict.

The proof of Theorem B does not depend on the assumption that  $\infty$  is or not an asymptotic value of f. Theorems A and B imply the following corollary.

COROLLARY. Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  be a transcendental meromorphic function satisfying the assumptions of Theorem A, and let h := HD(J(f)). If f is of maximal divergence type and  $M^* = M$ , then  $\text{H}^h(I_{\infty}(f)) = 0$ , and consequently  $l_2(I_{\infty}(f)) = 0$ .

The transcendental meromorphic functions considered in Theorem A are not entire nor have finitely many poles. In those cases,  $\infty$  is an asymptotic value, so there is an asymptotic tract associated with  $\infty$ . Therefore, if z escapes to infinity, its forward trajectory stays in that tract. In our case, the escaping points must come arbitrarily close to poles. This difference is reflected in the estimates of the Hausdorff dimension of escaping points. For entire functions of finite order, e.g., the exponential or cosine family, McMullen proved  $HD(I_{\infty}(f)) = HD(J(f)) = 2$ , while in our case,  $HD(I_{\infty}(f)) < HD(J(f)) \leq 2$ .

In Section 2, we prove Theorem A. In Section 3, we prove Theorem B. In Section 4, we provide some examples of nonperiodic functions for which the assumptions of Theorems A and B are satisfied.

In the sequel,  $f^{\sharp}$  and diam<sub>s</sub> denote the derivatives and diameters defined by means of the spherical metric. By B(x,r) and  $B_s(x,r)$ , respectively, we mean the open ball centered at x and with the Euclidean (resp. spherical) radius r.

## 2. Proof of Theorem A

Let 
$$B_R = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : |z| > R\}$$
. Take  $R_0$  such that  
(2)  $R_0 > 2 \max\{r(a) : a \in \mathcal{P}\}.$ 

The hypothesis (ii) implies that the sets B(a, r(a)) are mutually disjoint. Let  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $z \in B(a, r(a))$ , then

(3) 
$$|f(z)| \approx \frac{|b(a)|}{|z-a|^{m(a)}}$$
 and  $|f'(z)| \approx \frac{m(a)|b(a)|}{|z-a|^{m(a)+1}}$ ,

where  $m(a) \leq M$ ,  $b(a) \approx |a|^{-\alpha}$  and  $r(a) \approx |a|^{-\kappa}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{P}$ . A straightforward calculation based on (3) shows that  $f(B(a, r(a)) \supset B_R)$  for all except finitely many poles. Indeed, since  $\kappa \leq \alpha/M$ , we have  $|b(a)||r(a)|^{-m(a)} \approx$  $|a|^{\kappa m(a)-\alpha} \leq R_0$ . Thus, there exists  $R_1 > R_0$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}(\overline{\operatorname{Sing}(f^{-1})}, a) >$ 2r(a) and  $f(B(a, r(a))) \supset B_{R_0}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{P} \cap B_{R_1}$ . For every  $a \in \mathcal{P}$ , denote by  $B_a(R)$  the connected component of  $f^{-1}(B_R)$  containing a. Thus, if  $R \geq R_1$ , then for all a with  $|a| > R_1$ , we have

$$(4) B_a(R) \subset B(a, r(a)).$$

Also, (3) implies that there is a constant  $L \ge 1$  such that for all poles a and all  $R \ge R_0$ , we have

(5) 
$$\dim(B_a(R)) \le LR^{-\frac{1}{m(a)}} |a|^{-\alpha/m(a)}, \\ \dim_s(B_a(R)) \le LR^{-\frac{1}{m(a)}} |a|^{-2-\alpha/m(a)}.$$

If

$$U \subset B_R \setminus \{\infty\} \cap \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{P}} B(a, 2r(a))$$

is an open simply-connected set, then all holomorphic inverse branches  $f_{a,U,1}^{-1}$ ,  $\ldots, f_{a,U,m(a)}^{-1}$  of f are well-defined on U. For every  $1 \le j \le m(a)$  and all  $z \in U$ , we have

(6) 
$$|(f_{a,U,j}^{-1})'(z)| \asymp |z|^{-\frac{m(a)+1}{m(a)}} |a|^{-\frac{\alpha}{m(a)}}$$

Therefore,

(7) 
$$|(f_{a,U,j}^{-1})^{\sharp}(z)| \asymp |z|^{-\frac{m(a)+1}{m(a)}} |a|^{-\frac{\alpha}{m(a)}} \frac{1+|z|^2}{1+|(f_{a,U,j}^{-1})(z)|^2} \asymp \frac{|z|^{(m(a)-1)/m(a)}}{|a|^{2+\alpha/m(a)}},$$

where the second comparability sign we wrote assuming, in addition, that |a| is large enough, say  $|a| \ge R_2 > R_1$ . Let K be an upper bound of the ratios of  $|(f_{a,U,j}^{-1})^{\sharp}(z)|$  and  $|z|^{\frac{m(a)-1}{m(a)}}/|a|^{2+\alpha/m(a)}$  with a, U, j as above. Given two poles  $a_1, a_2 \in B_{2R_2}$ , we denote by  $f_{a_1,a_2,j}^{-1} : B(a_2, 2r(a_2)) \mapsto \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \ldots, m(a_1)$ , all holomorphic inverse branches of f. It follows from (2) and (4) that

(8) 
$$f_{a_2,a_1,j}^{-1}(B(a_1,r(a_1))) \subset B_{a_2}(2R_2-r(a_1)) \subset B_{a_2}(R_2) \subset B(a_2,r(a_2))$$

for  $j = 1, ..., m(a_1)$ . Set

$$I_R(f) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \forall_{n \ge 0} | f^n(z) | > R \}.$$

Since the series

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{P}} |a|^{-u}$$

converges for all  $u > \rho$ , given  $t > \frac{\rho M}{\alpha + M + 1}$ , there exists  $R_3 > R_2$  such that

(9) 
$$MK^t \sum_{a \in \mathcal{P} \cap B_{R_3}} |a|^{-t(\frac{\alpha+M+1}{M})} \le 1,$$

where a constant K > 0 comes from the comparability signs in (7). Consider  $R_4 > 4R_3$ . Define  $I = \mathcal{P} \cap B_{R_3}$ . It follows from (4) and (8) that for every  $l \ge 1$ , and  $R > 2R_4$  the family of sets

$$W_{l} := \left\{ f_{a_{l},a_{l-1},j_{l}}^{-1} \circ f_{a_{l-1},a_{l-2},j_{l-1}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{a_{2},a_{1},j_{2}}^{-1} \circ f_{a_{1},a_{0},j_{1}}^{-1} \left( B_{a_{0}}(R/2) \right) : a_{i} \in I, 1 \le j_{i} \le m(a_{i}), i = 0, 1, \dots, l \right\}$$

is well-defined and covers  $I_R(f)$ . Applying (7) and (5), we may now estimate as follows.

.

$$\Sigma_l =$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{a_{l} \in I} \sum_{j_{l}=1}^{m(a_{l})} \cdots \sum_{a_{1} \in I} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m(a_{1})} \sum_{a_{0} \in I} \operatorname{diam}_{s}^{t} \left( f_{a_{l},a_{l-1},j_{l}}^{-1} \circ f_{a_{l-1},a_{l-2},j_{l-1}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \right) \\ &\circ f_{a_{2},a_{1},j_{2}}^{-1} \circ f_{a_{1},a_{0},j_{1}}^{-1} \left( B_{a_{0}}(R/2) \right) ) \\ &\leq \sum_{a_{l} \in I} \sum_{j_{l}=1}^{m(a_{l})} \cdots \sum_{a_{1} \in I} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m(a_{1})} \sum_{a_{0} \in I} \left\| (f_{a_{l},a_{l-1},j_{l}}^{-1} \circ f_{a_{l-1},a_{l-2},j_{l-1}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \right) \\ &\circ f_{a_{2},a_{1},j_{2}}^{-1} \circ f_{a_{1},a_{0},j_{1}}^{-1} \right|_{B_{a_{0}}(R/2)}^{\sharp} \left\| \int_{\infty}^{t} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{s}^{t} \left( B_{a_{0}}(R/2) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{a_{l} \in I} \sum_{j_{l}=1}^{m(a_{l})} \cdots \sum_{a_{1} \in I} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m(a_{1})} \sum_{a_{0} \in I} K^{lt} \left( \frac{|a_{l-1}|^{(m(a_{l})-1)/m(a_{l})}}{|a_{l}|^{2+\alpha/m(a_{l})}} \right)^{t} \\ &\times \left( \frac{|a_{l}|^{(m(a_{1})-1)/m(a_{1})}}{|a_{1}|^{2+\alpha/m(a_{1})}} \right)^{t} L^{t} \left( \frac{R}{2} \right)^{-\frac{t}{m(a_{0})}} \frac{1}{|a_{0}|^{(2+\alpha/m(a_{0}))t}} \\ &\leq L^{t} \left( \frac{2}{R} \right)^{\frac{t}{M}} K^{lt} \sum_{a_{l} \in I} \sum_{j_{l}=1}^{m(a_{l})} \cdots \sum_{a_{1} \in I} \sum_{j_{l}=1}^{m(a_{l})} \sum_{a_{l} \in I} \sum_{j_{l}=1}^{m(a_{l})} \sum_{a_{l} \in I} |a_{l}|^{-t(2+\alpha/M)} \\ &\times \left( |a_{l-1}|^{-t\frac{\alpha+M+1}{M}} \cdots |a_{0}|^{-t\frac{\alpha+M+1}{M}} \right) \end{split}$$

1040

$$= L^{t} \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{\frac{t}{M}} K^{lt} \\ \times \sum_{a_{l} \in I} \sum_{j_{l}=1}^{m(a_{l})} \cdots \sum_{a_{1} \in I} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m(a_{1})} \sum_{a_{0} \in I} \left(|a_{l}|^{-t \frac{\alpha+M+1}{M}} |a_{l-1}|^{-t \frac{\alpha+M+1}{M}} \cdots |a_{0}|^{-t \frac{\alpha+M+1}{M}}\right) \\ \leq L^{t} \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{\frac{t}{M}} K^{lt} \left(\sum_{a \in I} |a|^{-t \frac{\alpha+M+1}{M}}\right)^{l} M^{l} \\ \leq L^{t} \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{\frac{t}{M}} \left(MK^{t} \sum_{a \in I} |a|^{-t \frac{\alpha+M+1}{M}}\right)^{l}.$$

Applying (9), we, therefore, get  $\Sigma_l \leq L^t (2/R)^{t/M}$ . Since the diameters (in the spherical metric) of the sets of the covers  $W_l$  converge uniformly to 0 when  $l \searrow \infty$ , we infer that  $\mathrm{H}_s^t(I_R(f)) \leq L^t(2/R)^{t/M}$ , where the subscript *s* indicates that the Hausdorff measure is defined with respect to the spherical metric. Consequently  $\mathrm{HD}(I_R(f)) \leq t$ , and if we put

$$I_{R,e}(f) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \liminf_{n \mapsto \infty} |f^n(z)| > R \right\} = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} f^{-k}(I_R(f)),$$

then also  $\operatorname{HD}(I_{\infty}(f)) \leq \operatorname{HD}(I_{R,e}(f)) = \operatorname{HD}(I_{R}(f)) \leq t$ . Letting now  $t \searrow \frac{\rho M}{\alpha + M + 1}$  finishes the proof.

#### 3. Proof of Theorem B

Let 
$$R_2$$
 be a constant defined above. Set

$$I = B_{R_2} \cap m^{-1}(M^*).$$

Fix a pole  $a_0 \in I$ . For every  $a \in I$ , fix inverse branches of f:

$$f_{a,a_0,1}^{-1}: \overline{B(a,r(a))} \mapsto \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{a_0,a,1}^{-1}: \overline{B(a,r(a))} \mapsto \mathbb{C}.$$

In view of (8), we have

$$f_{a,a_0,1}^{-1}(\overline{B(a,r(a))}) \subset \overline{B(a_0,r(a_0))} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{a_0,a,1}^{-1}(\overline{B(a_0,r(a_0))}) \subset \overline{B(a,r(a))}.$$

The family

$$S = \{ f_{a_0,a,1}^{-k} \circ f_{a,a_0,1}^{-1} : \overline{B(a_0,r(a_0))} \mapsto \overline{B(a_0,r(a_0))}; a \in I \}$$

forms a conformal infinite iterated function system in the sense of [7]. We set

$$\phi_a = f_{a_0,a,1}^{-1} \circ f_{a,a_0,1}^{-1}$$

and, given  $\omega \in I^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , we write  $|\omega| = n$ , and refer to  $|\omega|$  as the length of  $\omega$ . We put

$$\phi_{\omega} = \phi_{\omega_1} \circ \phi_{\omega_2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{\omega_n}.$$

The set

$$J_S = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} \sum_{|\omega| = n} \phi_{\omega}(\overline{B(a_0, r(a_0))})$$

is called the limit set of the iterated function system S. It was proved in [7] that  $J_S$  is contained in the closure of all fixed points of  $\phi_{\omega}$ , where  $\omega \in \bigcup_{n\geq 1} (\mathcal{P}\cap B_{2R_2})^n$ . Since these periodic points are repulsive, we conclude that  $J_S \subset J(f)$ . Given  $t \geq 0$ , we consider the Poincaré series associated to the system S,

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{a \in I} \|(\phi_a)^{\sharp}\|_{\infty}^t,$$

where  $\|(\phi_n)^{\sharp}\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|(\phi_n)^{\sharp}(z)| : z \in \overline{B(a_0, r(a_0))}\}$  and the number  $\theta_S = \inf\{t \ge 0 : \psi(t) < \infty\}.$ 

We shall prove that  $\theta_S < \frac{\rho M^*}{\alpha M^* + 1}$  and  $\psi(\theta_S) = \infty$ . In view of (7), we can write

$$\psi(t) \asymp \sum_{a \in I} \left( \frac{|a|^{(M^*-1)/M^*}}{|a_0|^{2+\alpha/M^*}} \right)^t \left( \frac{|a_0|^{(M^*-1)/M^*}}{|a|^{2+\alpha/M^*}} \right)^t$$
$$\asymp \sum_{a \in I} |a|^{-t(\alpha+M^*+1)/M^*}.$$

It follows from the definition of  $\rho$  that the series  $\sum_{a \in I} |a|^{-t \frac{\alpha+M^*+1}{M^*}}$  converges whenever  $t \frac{\alpha+M^*+1}{M^*} > \rho$ . Therefore, the equalities  $\theta_S < \frac{\rho M^*}{\alpha+M^*+1}$  and  $\psi(\theta_S) = \infty$  are proved. It follows from Theorem 3.20 in [7] that  $\text{HD}(J_S) \ge \frac{\rho M^*}{\alpha+M^*+1}$ . Since  $J_S \subset J(f)$ , we are done. If, in addition, f is of divergent type, then for  $t \frac{\alpha+M^*+1}{M^*} = \rho$  the series  $\sum_{a \in I} |a|^{-t \frac{\alpha+M^*+1}{M^*}}$  diverges. It implies that  $\theta_S = \frac{\rho M^*}{\alpha+M^*+1}$  and  $\psi(\theta_S) = \infty$ . Therefore, invoking again Theorem 3.20 in [7], we obtain that  $\text{HD}(J_S) > \frac{\rho M^*}{\alpha+M^*+1}$ .

#### 4. Examples

EXAMPLE 1. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z \sin z}.$$

So, f is a meromorphic function with infinitely many poles

$$\mathcal{P} = \{0\} \cup \{n\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}^*\},\$$

where all of them except for 0 are simple. Notice that  $\infty$  is not an asymptotic value of f. Thus, we have  $\kappa = 0$ , m identically equal to 1,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\rho = 1$ . Sing $(f^{-1})$  consists of one asymptotic value 0 and infinitely many critical values  $c_n \simeq \pm ((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^{-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and satisfies the hypothesis (b) of Theorem A. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{HD}(I_{\infty}(f)) \leq \frac{1}{3} < \operatorname{HypDim}(f).$$

1042

EXAMPLE 2. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z \cos \sqrt{z}}$$

So, f is a meromorphic function with infinitely many poles

$$\mathcal{P} = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \pi^2; n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},\$$

where all of them are simple. Notice that  $\infty$  is not an asymptotic value of f. Thus, we have  $\kappa = 0$ , m identically equal to 1,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ . Sing $(f^{-1})$  consists of one asymptotic value 0 and infinitely many critical values  $|c_n| = |\frac{1}{z_l \cos(z_l)}| \approx |\frac{1}{z_l}| \to 0$ , where  $z_l = l\pi + \frac{1}{l\pi}, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and satisfies the hypothesis (b) of Theorem A. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{HD}(I_{\infty}(f)) \leq \frac{1}{5} < \operatorname{HypDim}(f).$$

EXAMPLE 3. Let

$$f(z) = R(e^z),$$

where R is a rational function such that  $R(0) \neq \infty$  and  $R(\infty) \neq \infty$ . So, f(z) is a simply-periodic meromorphic function with finitely many poles at each strip of periodicity. This class of functions contains for example, the tangent family  $\lambda \tan(z), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Since  $\operatorname{Sing}(f^{-1})$  is finite, the hypothesis (b) of Theorem A is always satisfied. It is easy to see that  $\kappa = 0, \rho = 1$ , and  $\alpha = 0$ , so

$$\operatorname{HD}(I_{\infty}(f)) \leq \frac{M}{M+1}$$
 and  $\operatorname{HypDim}(f) > \frac{M^*}{M^*+1}$ .

In this case, one can get a better estimate on  $\operatorname{HypDim}(f)$ . It follows from [10] that  $\operatorname{HypDim}(f) > 1$ .

EXAMPLE 4. As we mentioned before, Theorems A and B can be applied to elliptic fictions ( $\kappa = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ) (see [5]).

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for the referee of our paper whose comments and suggestions substantially reshaped the final version of our article.

#### References

- I. N. Baker, The domains of normality of an entire function, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 1 (1975), 277–283. MR 0402044
- [2] I. N. Baker, J. Kotus and Y. Lü, *Iterates of meromorphic functions I*, Ergodic. Theory Dynam. Systems **11** (1991), 241–248. MR 1116639
- W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1993), 151–188. MR 1216719
- [4] J. Kotus, On the Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of meromorphic functions II, Bull. Soc. Math. France 123 (1995), 33–46. MR 1330786
- J. Kotus and M. Urbański, Hausdorff dimension and Hausdorff measures of Julia sets of elliptic functions, Bull. London Math. Soc. 35 (2003), 269–275. MR 1952406
- [6] V. Mayer, *The size of the Julia set of meromorphic functions*, preprint, 2005, to appear in Mathematische Nachrichten.

- [7] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański, Dimensions and measures in infinite iterated function systems, Proc. London Math. Soc. 73 (1996), 105–154. MR 1387085
- [8] P. J. Rippon and G. Stallard, Escaping points of meromorphic functions with a finite number of poles, J. Anal. Math. 96 (2005), 225–245. MR 2177186
- [9] P. J. Rippon and G. Stallard, Dimensions Julia set of meromorphic functions with a finite number of poles, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 26 (2006), 525–538. MR 2218773
- [10] B. Skorulski, The existence of conformal measures for some transcendental meromorphic functions, Contemp. Math. 396 (2006), 169–201. MR 2210775
- [11] G. Stallard, The Hausdorff dimension of Julia set of entire functions II, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 119 (1996), 513–536. MR 1357062
- [12] G. Stallard, The Hausdorff dimension of Julia set of meromorphic functions, J. London. Math. Soc. 49 (1994), 281–295. MR 1260113

JANINA KOTUS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, WARSAW UNI-VERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WARSAW 00-661, POLAND

E-mail address: J.Kotus@impan.gov.pl

MARIUSZ URBAŃSKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS, 1155 UNION CIRCLE #311430 DENTON, TX 76203-5017, USA