



$$J = \frac{1}{2}$$

## $\mu$ MASS

The mass is known much more precisely in u (atomic mass units) than in MeV. The conversion from u to MeV,  $1 \text{ u} = 931.494013 \pm 0.000037 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  (MOHR 99, the 1998 CODATA value), involves the relatively poorly known electronic charge.

Where  $m_\mu/m_e$  was measured, we have used the 1986 CODATA value for  $m_e = 0.51099906 \pm 0.00000015 \text{ MeV}$ .

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>105.6583568 ± 0.0000052</b>	1 MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
105.658389 ± 0.000034	2 COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
105.658386 ± 0.000044	3 MARIAM	82	CNTR	+
105.65836 ± 0.00026	4 CROWE	72	CNTR	
105.65865 ± 0.00044	5 CRANE	71	CNTR	

<sup>1</sup> The mass is known much more precisely in u:  $0.1134289168(34)$  u.

<sup>2</sup> The mass is known more precisely in u:  $m = 0.113428913 \pm 0.000000017$  u. COHEN 87 makes use of the other entries below.

<sup>3</sup> MARIAM 82 gives  $m_\mu/m_e = 206.768259(62)$ .

<sup>4</sup> CROWE 72 gives  $m_\mu/m_e = 206.7682(5)$ .

<sup>5</sup> CRANE 71 gives  $m_\mu/m_e = 206.76878(85)$ .

## $\mu$ MEAN LIFE $\tau$

Measurements with an error  $> 0.001 \times 10^{-6}$  s have been omitted.

VALUE ( $10^{-6}$ s)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG
<b>2.19703 ± 0.00004 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
2.197078 ± 0.000073	BARDIN	84	CNTR
2.197025 ± 0.000155	BARDIN	84	CNTR
2.19695 ± 0.00006	GIOVANETTI	84	CNTR
2.19711 ± 0.00008	BALANDIN	74	CNTR
2.1973 ± 0.0003	DUCLOS	73	CNTR

## $\tau_{\mu^+}/\tau_{\mu^-}$ MEAN LIFE RATIO

A test of *CPT* invariance.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.000024 ± 0.000078</b>	BARDIN	84	CNTR
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1.0008 ± 0.0010	BAILEY	79	CNTR Storage ring
1.000 ± 0.001	MEYER	63	CNTR Mean life $\mu^+/\mu^-$

$$(\tau_{\mu^+} - \tau_{\mu^-}) / \tau_{\text{average}}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. Calculated from the mean-life ratio, above.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
<b>(2±8) × 10<sup>-5</sup> OUR EVALUATION</b>	

### $\mu/p$ MAGNETIC MOMENT RATIO

This ratio is used to obtain a precise value of the muon mass and to reduce experimental muon Larmor frequency measurements to the muon magnetic moment anomaly. Measurements with an error > 0.00001 have been omitted.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3.18334539±0.00000010</b>	<sup>6</sup> MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.18334513±0.00000039	LIU	99	CNTR	+ HFS in muonium
3.18334547±0.00000047	<sup>6</sup> COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
3.1833441 ±0.0000017	KLEMPPT	82	CNTR	+ Precession strob
3.1833461 ±0.0000011	MARIAM	82	CNTR	+ HFS splitting
3.1833448 ±0.0000029	CAMANI	78	CNTR	+ See KLEMPPT 82
3.1833403 ±0.0000044	CASPERSON	77	CNTR	+ HFS splitting
3.1833402 ±0.0000072	COHEN	73	RVUE	1973 CODATA value
3.1833467 ±0.0000082	CROWE	72	CNTR	+ Precession phase

<sup>6</sup> CODATA values fitted using their selection of data, plus other data from multiparameter fits.

### $\mu$ MAGNETIC MOMENT ANOMALY

The CODATA value (MOHR 99) comes from the current theoretical expression, based on the Standard Model and implicitly assuming that corrections beyond the Standard Model are negligible at the level of the quoted uncertainty. See reviews HUGHES 99 and FARLEY 90.

In all cases ratio  $R$  is the angular frequency difference between the spin precession frequency and the orbital frequency to the free proton Larmor precession frequency. The result is converted to the  $\mu$  magnetic moment anomaly via the  $\mu_\mu/\mu_p$  magnetic anomaly. Either the CODATA 1998 (MOHR 99) value (3.183 345 39(10)) was used, or the result is insensitive to the improvement of  $\mu_\mu/\mu_p$  from earlier CODATA values.

$$\mu_\mu/(e\hbar/2m_\mu) - 1 = (g_\mu - 2)/2$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10 <sup>-6</sup> )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1165.9160 ±0.0006 OUR EVALUATION</b>	From MOHR 99 (theoretical)			
<b>1165.921 ±0.005 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1165.9191 ±0.0059	BROWN	00	CNTR	
1165.925 ±0.015	CAREY	99	CNTR	+ Storage ring
1165.910 ±0.011	<sup>7</sup> BAILEY	79	CNTR	+ Storage ring
1165.936 ±0.012	<sup>7</sup> BAILEY	79	CNTR	- Storage ring

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1165.91602 $\pm$ 0.00064	MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
1165.9230 $\pm$ 0.0084	COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
1162.0 $\pm$ 5.0	CHARPAK	62	CNTR +	

<sup>7</sup> BAILEY 79 values recalculated by HUGHES 99 using the COHEN 87  $\mu/p$  magnetic moment. The improved MOHR 99 value does not change the result.

### $(g_{\mu^+} - g_{\mu^-}) / g_{\text{average}}$

A test of *CPT* invariance.

VALUE (units $10^{-8}$ )	DOCUMENT ID
<b>-2.6 <math>\pm</math> 1.6</b>	BAILEY 79

## $\mu$ ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT

A nonzero value is forbidden by both *T* invariance and *P* invariance.

VALUE ( $10^{-19}$ e cm)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>3.7 <math>\pm</math> 3.4</b>	<sup>8</sup> BAILEY	78	CNTR $\pm$	Storage ring
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
8.6 $\pm$ 4.5	BAILEY	78	CNTR +	Storage rings
0.8 $\pm$ 4.3	BAILEY	78	CNTR -	Storage rings

<sup>8</sup> This is the combination of the two BAILEY 78 results given below.

## MUON-ELECTRON CHARGE RATIO ANOMALY $q_{\mu^+}/q_{e^-} + 1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>(1.1 <math>\pm</math> 2.1) <math>\times 10^{-9}</math></b>	<sup>9</sup> MEYER	00	CNTR +	1s-2s muonium interval

<sup>9</sup> MEYER 00 measure the 1s-2s muonium interval, and then interpret the result in terms of muon-electron charge ratio  $q_{\mu^+}/q_{e^-}$ .

## $\mu^-$ DECAY MODES

$\mu^+$  modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$	$\approx 100\%$	
$\Gamma_2 \quad e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$	[a] $(1.4 \pm 0.4)\%$	
$\Gamma_3 \quad e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-$	[b] $(3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	

### Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes

$\Gamma_4$	$e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$	<i>LF</i>	$[c] < 1.2$	%	90%
$\Gamma_5$	$e^- \gamma$	<i>LF</i>	$< 1.2$	$\times 10^{-11}$	90%
$\Gamma_6$	$e^- e^+ e^-$	<i>LF</i>	$< 1.0$	$\times 10^{-12}$	90%
$\Gamma_7$	$e^- 2\gamma$	<i>LF</i>	$< 7.2$	$\times 10^{-11}$	90%

[a] This only includes events with the  $\gamma$  energy  $> 10$  MeV. Since the  $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  and  $e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$  modes cannot be clearly separated, we regard the latter mode as a subset of the former.

[b] See the Particle Listings below for the energy limits used in this measurement.

[c] A test of additive vs. multiplicative lepton family number conservation.

### $\mu^-$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
<b>0.014 ± 0.004</b>	
	CRITTENDEN 61
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	CNTR $\gamma$ KE $> 10$ MeV
862	BOGART 67 CNTR $\gamma$ KE $> 14.5$ MeV
0.0033 ± 0.0013	CRITTENDEN 61 CNTR $\gamma$ KE $> 20$ MeV
27	ASHKIN 59 CNTR

$\Gamma(e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
<b>3.4 ± 0.2 ± 0.3</b>	
7443	10 BERTL 85 SPEC + SINDRUM
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
2.2 ± 1.5	7 11 CRITTENDEN 61 HLBC + $E(e^+ e^-) > 10$ MeV
2	1 12 GUREVICH 60 EMUL +
1.5 ± 1.0	3 13 LEE 59 HBC +

<sup>10</sup> BERTL 85 has transverse momentum cut  $p_T > 17$  MeV/c. Systematic error was increased by us.

<sup>11</sup> CRITTENDEN 61 count only those decays where total energy of either ( $e^+$ ,  $e^-$ ) combination is  $> 10$  MeV.

<sup>12</sup> GUREVICH 60 interpret their event as either virtual or real photon conversion.  $e^+$  and  $e^-$  energies not measured.

<sup>13</sup> In the three LEE 59 events, the sum of energies  $E(e^+) + E(e^-) + E(e^+)$  was 51 MeV, 55 MeV, and 33 MeV.

$\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
Forbidden by the additive conservation law for lepton family number. A multiplicative law predicts this branching ratio to be 1/2. For a review see NEMETHY 81.	
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>CL%</i>
< 0.012	90
14 FREEDMAN 93 CNTR + $\nu$ oscillation search	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.018	90	KRAKAUER	91B	CALO	+	
< 0.05	90	15 BERGSMA	83	CALO	$\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e$	
< 0.09	90	JONKER	80	CALO	See BERGSMA 83	
-0.001 ± 0.061		WILLIS	80	CNTR	+	
0.13 ± 0.15		BLIETSCHAU	78	HLBC	±	Avg. of 4 values
< 0.25	90	EICHTEN	73	HLBC	+	

<sup>14</sup> FREEDMAN 93 limit on  $\bar{\nu}_e$  observation is here interpreted as a limit on lepton family number violation.

<sup>15</sup> BERGSMA 83 gives a limit on the inverse muon decay cross-section ratio  $\sigma(\bar{\nu}_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\sigma(\nu_\mu e^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu_e)$ , which is essentially equivalent to  $\Gamma(e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  for small values like that quoted.

### $\Gamma(e^- \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE (units $10^{-11}$ )	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
< 1.2	90	BROOKS	99	SPEC	+
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 4.9	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+
< 100	90	AZUELOS	83	CNTR	+
< 17	90	KINNISON	82	SPEC	+
< 100	90	SCHAAF	80	ELEC	+

### $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

### $\Gamma(e^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE (units $10^{-12}$ )	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
< 1.0	90	16 BELLGARDT	88	SPEC	+
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 36	90	BARANOV	91	SPEC	+
< 35	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+
< 2.4	90	16 BERTL	85	SPEC	+
< 160	90	16 BERTL	84	SPEC	+
< 130	90	16 BOLTON	84	CNTR	LAMPF

### $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

<sup>16</sup> These experiments assume a constant matrix element.

### $\Gamma(e^- 2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE (units $10^{-11}$ )	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
< 7.2	90	BOLTON	88	CBOX	+
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 840	90	17 AZUELOS	83	CNTR	+
< 5000	90	18 BOWMAN	78	CNTR	DEPOMMIER 77 data

### $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

<sup>17</sup> AZUELOS 83 uses the phase space distribution of BOWMAN 78.

<sup>18</sup> BOWMAN 78 assumes an interaction Lagrangian local on the scale of the inverse  $\mu$  mass.

## LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ CONVERSION

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

$$\sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^- {}^{32}\text{S}) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu {}^{32}\text{P}^*)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7 \times 10^{-11}$	90	BADERT...	80	STRC SIN
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<4 \times 10^{-10}$	90	BADERT...	77	STRC SIN

$$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^- \text{Cu}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \text{capture})$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<1.6 \times 10^{-8}$	90	BRYMAN	72	SPEC

$$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^- \text{Ti}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	90	DOHMHEN	93	SPEC SINDRUM II
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<4.6 \times 10^{-12}$	90	AHMAD	88	TPC TRIUMF
$<1.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	BRYMAN	85	TPC TRIUMF

<sup>19</sup> DOHMHEN 93 assumes  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$  conversion leaves the nucleus in its ground state, a process enhanced by coherence and expected to dominate.

$$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow e^- \text{Pb}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Pb} \rightarrow \text{capture})$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	HONECKER	96	SPEC SINDRUM II
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<4.9 \times 10^{-10}$	90	AHMAD	88	TPC TRIUMF

## LIMIT ON $\mu^- \rightarrow e^+$ CONVERSION

Forbidden by total lepton number conservation.

$$\sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow e^+ {}^{32}\text{Si}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{32}\text{S} \rightarrow \nu_\mu {}^{32}\text{P}^*)$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9 \times 10^{-10}$	90	BADERT...	80	STRC SIN
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	90	BADERT...	78	STRC SIN

$$\sigma(\mu^- {}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow e^+ {}^{127}\text{Sb}^*) / \sigma(\mu^- {}^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow \text{anything})$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3 \times 10^{-10}$	90	ABELA	80	CNTR Radiochemical tech.

<sup>20</sup> ABELA 80 is upper limit for  $\mu^- e^+$  conversion leading to particle-stable states of <sup>127</sup>Sb. Limit for total conversion rate is higher by a factor less than 4 (G. Backenstoss, private communication).

$\sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Co}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Cu} \rightarrow \nu_\mu \text{Ni})$ 

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-------	-----	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.6 \times 10^{-8}$	90	BRYMAN	72	SPEC
$<2.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	CONFORTO	62	OSPK

 $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^+ \text{Ca}) / \sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$ 

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-----	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

$<3.6 \times 10^{-11}$	90	1	21,22	KAULARD	98	SPEC — SINDRUM II
------------------------	----	---	-------	---------	----	-------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	1	22,23	KAULARD	98	SPEC — SINDRUM II
$<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	90		23	DOHMHEN	93	SPEC SINDRUM II
$<8.9 \times 10^{-11}$	90		21	DOHMHEN	93	SPEC SINDRUM II
$<1.7 \times 10^{-10}$	90		24	AHMAD	88	TPC TRIUMF

21 This limit assumes a giant resonance excitation of the daughter Ca nucleus (mean energy and width both 20 MeV).

22 KAULARD 98 obtained these same limits using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98.

23 This limit assumes the daughter Ca nucleus is left in the ground state. However, the probability of this is unknown.

24 Assuming a giant-resonance-excitation model.

**LIMIT ON MUONIUM → ANTIMUONIUM CONVERSION**

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

$$R_g = G_C / G_F$$

The effective Lagrangian for the  $\mu^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+$  conversion is assumed to be

$$\mathcal{L} = 2^{-1/2} G_C [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] [\bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e] + \text{h.c.}$$

The experimental result is then an upper limit on  $G_C/G_F$ , where  $G_F$  is the Fermi coupling constant.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-----	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

$< 0.0030$	90	1	25	WILLMANN	99	SPEC + $\mu^+$ at 26 GeV/c
------------	----	---	----	----------	----	----------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 0.14$	90	1	26	GORDEEV	97	SPEC + JINR phasotron
$< 0.018$	90	0	27	ABELA	96	SPEC + $\mu^+$ at 24 MeV
$< 6.9$	90		NI		93	CBOX LAMPF
$< 0.16$	90		MATTHIAS		91	SPEC LAMPF
$< 0.29$	90		HUBER		90B	CNTR TRIUMF
$< 20$	95		BEER		86	CNTR TRIUMF
$< 42$	95		MARSHALL		82	CNTR

25 WILLMANN 99 quote both probability  $P_{MM} < 8.3 \times 10^{-11}$  at 90% CL in a 0.1 T field and  $R_g = G_C/G_F$ .

26 GORDEEV 97 quote limits on both  $f = G_{MM}/G_F$  and the probability  $W_{MM} < 4.7 \times 10^{-7}$  (90% CL).

27 ABELA 96 quote both probability  $P_{MM} < 8 \times 10^{-9}$  at 90% CL and  $R_g = G_C/G_F$ .

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

## $\mu$ DECAY PARAMETERS

### $\rho$ PARAMETER

( $V-A$ ) theory predicts  $\rho = 0.75$ .

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>0.7518±0.0026</b>		DERENZO	69	RVUE	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.762 ± 0.008	170k	<sup>28</sup> FRYBERGER	68	ASPK	+ 25–53 MeV $e^+$
0.760 ± 0.009	280k	<sup>28</sup> SHERWOOD	67	ASPK	+ 25–53 MeV $e^+$
0.7503±0.0026	800k	<sup>28</sup> PEOPLES	66	ASPK	+ 20–53 MeV $e^+$

<sup>28</sup>  $\eta$  constrained = 0. These values incorporated into a two parameter fit to  $\rho$  and  $\eta$  by DERENZO 69.

### $\eta$ PARAMETER

( $V-A$ ) theory predicts  $\eta = 0$ .

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>-0.007±0.013 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
-0.007±0.013	5.3M	<sup>29</sup> BURKARD	85B	FIT	+ 9–53 MeV $e^+$
-0.12 ± 0.21	6346	DERENZO	69	HBC	+ 1.6–6.8 MeV $e^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.012±0.015±0.003	5.3M	<sup>30</sup> BURKARD	85B	CNTR	+ 9–53 MeV $e^+$
0.011±0.081±0.026	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR	+ 9–53 MeV $e^+$
-0.7 ± 0.5	170k	<sup>31</sup> FRYBERGER	68	ASPK	+ 25–53 MeV $e^+$
-0.7 ± 0.6	280k	<sup>31</sup> SHERWOOD	67	ASPK	+ 25–53 MeV $e^+$
0.05 ± 0.5	800k	<sup>31</sup> PEOPLES	66	ASPK	+ 20–53 MeV $e^+$
-2.0 ± 0.9	9213	<sup>32</sup> PLANO	60	HBC	+ Whole spec-trum

<sup>29</sup> Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

<sup>30</sup>  $\alpha = \alpha' = 0$  assumed.

<sup>31</sup>  $\rho$  constrained = 0.75.

<sup>32</sup> Two parameter fit to  $\rho$  and  $\eta$ ; PLANO 60 discounts value for  $\eta$ .

### $\delta$ PARAMETER

( $V-A$ ) theory predicts  $\delta = 0.75$ .

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>0.7486±0.0026±0.0028</b>		33 BALKE	88	SPEC	+ Surface $\mu^+$ 's
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.752 ± 0.009	490k	<sup>34</sup> VOSSLER	69		
0.782 ± 0.031		FRYBERGER	68	ASPK	+ 25–53 MeV $e^+$
0.78 ± 0.05	8354	KRUGER	61		
		PLANO	60	HBC	+ Whole spec-trum

<sup>33</sup> BALKE 88 uses  $\rho = 0.752 \pm 0.003$ .

<sup>34</sup> VOSSLER 69 has measured the asymmetry below 10 MeV. See comments about radiative corrections in VOSSLER 69.

**|( $\xi$  PARAMETER) $\times(\mu$  LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION)|**(V-A) theory predicts  $\xi = 1$ , longitudinal polarization = 1.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.0027±0.0079±0.0030</b>		BELTRAMI	87	CNTR	SIN, $\pi$ decay in flight
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
1.0013±0.0030±0.0053		35 IMAZATO	92	SPEC +	$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
0.975 ± 0.015		AKHMANOV	68	EMUL	140 kG
0.975 ± 0.030	66k	GUREVICH	64	EMUL	See AKHMANOV 68
0.903 ± 0.027		36 ALI-ZADE	61	EMUL +	27 kG
0.93 ± 0.06	8354	PLANO	60	HBC +	8.8 kG
0.97 ± 0.05	9k	BARDON	59	CNTR	Bromoform target

<sup>35</sup> The corresponding 90% confidence limit from IMAZATO 92 is  $|\xi P_\mu| > 0.990$ . This measurement is of  $K^+$  decay, not  $\pi^+$  decay, so we do not include it in an average, nor do we yet set up a separate data block for  $K$  results.

<sup>36</sup> Depolarization by medium not known sufficiently well.

 **$\xi \times (\mu$  LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION)  $\times \delta / \rho$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&gt;0.99682</b>	90	37 JODIDIO	86	SPEC +	TRIUMF
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
>0.9966	90	38 STOKER	85	SPEC +	$\mu$ -spin rotation
>0.9959	90	CARR	83	SPEC +	11 kG

<sup>37</sup> JODIDIO 86 includes data from CARR 83 and STOKER 85. The value here is from the erratum.

<sup>38</sup> STOKER 85 find  $(\xi P_\mu \delta / \rho) > 0.9955$  and  $> 0.9966$ , where the first limit is from new  $\mu$  spin-rotation data and the second is from combination with CARR 83 data. In V-A theory,  $(\delta / \rho) = 1.0$ .

 **$\xi'$  = LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION OF  $e^+$** 

(V-A) theory predicts the longitudinal polarization =  $\pm 1$  for  $e^\pm$ , respectively. We have flipped the sign for  $e^-$  so our programs can average.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.00 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.998±0.045	1M	BURKARD	85	CNTR +	Bhabha + annihil
0.89 ± 0.28	29k	SCHWARTZ	67	OSPK -	Moller scattering
0.94 ± 0.38		BLOOM	64	CNTR +	Brems. transmiss.
1.04 ± 0.18		DUCLOS	64	CNTR +	Bhabha scattering
1.05 ± 0.30		BUHLER	63	CNTR +	Annihilation

 **$\xi''$  PARAMETER**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.65±0.36</b>	326k	39 BURKARD	85	CNTR +	Bhabha + annihil

<sup>39</sup> BURKARD 85 measure  $(\xi'' - \xi \xi') / \xi$  and  $\xi'$  and set  $\xi = 1$ .

**TRANSVERSE  $e^+$  POLARIZATION IN PLANE OF  $\mu$  SPIN,  $e^+$  MOMENTUM**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
0.016±0.021±0.01	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR +	Annihil 9–53 MeV

## TRANSVERSE $e^+$ POLARIZATION NORMAL TO PLANE OF $\mu$ SPIN, $e^+$ MOMENTUM

Zero if  $T$  invariance holds.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.007±0.022±0.007</b>	5.3M	BURKARD	85B	CNTR	+

### $\alpha/A$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.4± 4.3</b>	40	BURKARD	85B	FIT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

15 ±50 ±14 5.3M BURKARD 85B CNTR + 9–53 MeV  $e^+$

40 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

### $\alpha'/A$

Zero if  $T$  invariance holds.

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>- 0.2± 4.3</b>	41	BURKARD	85B	FIT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-47 ±50 ±14 5.3M 42 BURKARD 85B CNTR + 9–53 MeV  $e^+$

41 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

42 BURKARD 85B measure  $e^+$  polarizations  $P_{T_1}$  and  $P_{T_2}$  versus  $e^+$  energy.

### $\beta/A$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3.9± 6.2</b>	43	BURKARD	85B	FIT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2 ±17 ±6 5.3M BURKARD 85B CNTR + 9–53 MeV  $e^+$

43 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

### $\beta'/A$

Zero if  $T$  invariance holds.

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.5± 6.3</b>	44	BURKARD	85B	FIT	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

17 ±17 ±6 5.3M 45 BURKARD 85B CNTR + 9–53 MeV  $e^+$

44 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

45 BURKARD 85B measure  $e^+$  polarizations  $P_{T_1}$  and  $P_{T_2}$  versus  $e^+$  energy.

### $a/A$

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<15.9	90	46	BURKARD 85B FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<15.9 90 46 BURKARD 85B FIT

46 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

## **a'/A**

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---------------------------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.3 \pm 4.1$                     47 BURKARD    85B FIT

47 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

## **(b'+b)/A**

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---------------------------------	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.04$                     90                  48 BURKARD    85B FIT

48 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

## **c/A**

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---------------------------------	------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<6.4$                     90                  49 BURKARD    85B FIT

49 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

## **c'/A**

This comes from an alternative parameterization to that used in the Summary Table (see the "Note on Muon Decay Parameters" above).

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
---------------------------------	--------------------	-------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.5 \pm 2.0$                     50 BURKARD    85B FIT

50 Global fit to all measured parameters. Correlation coefficients are given in BURKARD 85B.

## **$\bar{\eta}$ PARAMETER**

( $V-A$ ) theory predicts  $\bar{\eta} = 0$ .  $\bar{\eta}$  affects spectrum of radiative muon decay.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

### **0.02 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE**

$-0.014 \pm 0.090$                   EICHENBER... 84 ELEC +  $\rho$  free

$+0.09 \pm 0.14$                   BOGART        67 CNTR +

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$-0.035 \pm 0.098$                   EICHENBER... 84 ELEC +  $\rho=0.75$  assumed

## $\mu$ REFERENCES

BROWN	00	PR D62 091101R	H.N. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(BNL/G-2 Collab.)
MEYER	00	PRL 84 1136	V. Meyer <i>et al.</i>	
BROOKS	99	PRL 83 1521	M.L. Brooks <i>et al.</i>	(MEGA/LAMPF Collab.)
CAREY	99	PRL 82 1632	R.M. Carey <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E821 Collab.)
HUGHES	99	RMP 71 S133	V.W. Hughes, T. Kinoshita	
LIU	99	PRL 82 711	W. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF Collab.)
MOHR	99	JPCRD 28 1713	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
Also	00	RMP 72 351	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
WILLMANN	99	PRL 82 49	L. Willmann <i>et al.</i>	
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins	
KAULARD	98	PL B422 334	J. Kaulard <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM-II Collab.)
GORDEEV	97	PAN 60 1164	V.A. Gordeev <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
		Translated from YAF 60	1291.	
ABELA	96	PRL 77 1950	R. Abela <i>et al.</i>	(PSI, ZURI, HEIDH, TBIL+)
HONECKER	96	PRL 76 200	W. Honecker <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM II Collab.)
DOHMEN	93	PL B317 631	C. Dohmen <i>et al.</i>	(PSI SINDRUM-II Collab.)
FREEDMAN	93	PR D47 811	S.J. Freedman <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E645 Collab.)
NI	93	PR D48 1976	B. Ni <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF Crystal-Box Collab.)
IMAZATO	92	PRL 69 877	J. Imazato <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, INUS, TOKY+)
BARANOV	91	SJNP 53 802	V.A. Baranov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 53	1302.	
KRAKAUER	91B	PL B263 534	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, UCI, LANL)
MATTHIAS	91	PRL 66 2716	B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDP, WILL+)
Also	91B	PRL 67 932 erratum	B.E. Matthias <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDP, WILL+)
FARLEY	90	Quantum Electrodynamics F.J.M. Farley, E. Picasso Ed. by T. Kinoshita (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999) p. 479		
HUBER	90B	PR D41 2709	T.M. Huber <i>et al.</i>	(WYOM, VICT, ARIZ+)
AHMAD	88	PR D38 2102	S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, VICT, VPI, BRCO+)
Also	87	PRL 59 970	S. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, VPI, VICT, BRCO+)
BALKE	88	PR D37 587	B. Balke <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, COLO, NWES+)
BELLGARDT	88	NP B299 1	U. Bellgardt <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BOLTON	88	PR D38 2077	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also	86	PRL 56 2461	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also	86	PRL 57 3241	D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC, LANL, STAN+)
BELTRAMI	87	PL B194 326	I. Beltrami <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
BEER	86	PRL 57 671	G.A. Beer <i>et al.</i>	(VICT, TRIU, WYOM)
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
Also	88	PR D37 237 erratum	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
BERTL	85	NP B260 1	W. Bertl <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BRYMAN	85	PRL 55 465	D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, CNRC, BRCO+)
BURKARD	85	PL 150B 242	H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
BURKARD	85B	PL 160B 343	H. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
Also	81B	PR D24 2004	F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
Also	83B	PL 129B 260	F. Corriveau <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN, MANZ)
STOKER	85	PRL 54 1887	D.P. Stoker <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
BARDIN	84	PL 137B 135	G. Bardin <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, BGNA, FIRZ)
BERTL	84	PL 140B 299	W. Bertl <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
BOLTON	84	PR 53 1415	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, CHIC, STAN+)
EICHENBER...	84	NP A412 523	W. Eichenberger, R. Engfer, A. van der Schaff	
GIOVANETTI	84	PR D29 343	K.L. Giovanetti <i>et al.</i>	(WILL)
AZUELOS	83	PRL 51 164	G. Azuelos <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, TRIU, BRCO)
Also	77	PRL 39 1113	P. Depommier <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, BRCO, TRIU+)
BERGSMA	83	PL 122B 465	F. Bergsma <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
CARR	83	PRL 51 627	J. Carr <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
KINNISON	82	PR D25 2846	W.W. Kinnison <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, STAN, LANL)
Also	79	PRL 42 556	J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, EFI, STAN)
KLEMPPT	82	PR D25 652	E. Klemppt <i>et al.</i>	(MANZ, ETH)
MARIAM	82	PRL 49 993	F.G. Mariam <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, HEIDH, BERN)
MARSHALL	82	PR D25 1174	G.M. Marshall <i>et al.</i>	(BRCO)
NEMETHY	81	CNPP 10 147	P. Nemethy, V.W. Hughes	(LBL, YALE)
ABELA	80	PL 95B 318	R. Abela <i>et al.</i>	(BASL, KARLK, KARLE)
BADERT...	80	LNC 28 401	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
Also	82	NP A377 406	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
JONKER	80	PL 93B 203	M. Jonker <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
SCHAAF	80	NP A340 249	A. van der Schaaf <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, ETH+)
Also	77	PL 72B 183	H.P. Povel <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, ETH, SIN)

WILLIS	80	PRL 44 522	S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, LBL, LASL+)
Also	80B	PRL 45 1370	S.E. Willis <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, LBL, LASL+)
BAILEY	79	NP B150 1	J.M. Bailey	(CERN, DARE, MANZ)
BADERT...	78	PL 79B 371	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
BAILEY	78	JPG 4 345	J.M. Bailey	(DARE, BERN, SHEF, MANZ, RMCS+)
Also	79	NP B150 1	J.M. Bailey	(CERN, DARE, MANZ)
BLIETSCHAU	78	NP B133 205	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
BOWMAN	78	PRL 41 442	J.D. Bowman <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, IAS, CMU+)
CAMANI	78	PL 77B 326	M. Camani <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, MANZ)
BADERT...	77	PRL 39 1385	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(BERN)
CASPERSON	77	PRL 38 956	D.E. Casperson <i>et al.</i>	(BERN, HEIDH, LASL+)
DEPOMMIER	77	PRL 39 1113	P. Depommier <i>et al.</i>	(MONT, BRCO, TRIU+)
BALANDIN	74	JETP 40 811	M.P. Balandin <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from ZETF 67 1631.		
COHEN	73	JPCRD 2 663	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
DUCLOS	73	PL 47B 491	J. Duclos, A. Magnon, J. Picard	(SACL)
EICHEN	73	PL 46B 281	T. Eichten <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
BRYMAN	72	PRL 28 1469	D.A. Bryman <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
CROWE	72	PR 55 2145	K.M. Crowe <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, WASH)
CRANE	71	PRL 27 474	T. Crane <i>et al.</i>	(YALE)
DERENZO	69	PR 181 1854	S.E. Derenzo	(IFI)
VOSSLER	69	NC 63A 423	C. Vossler	(IFI)
AKHMANOV	68	SJNP 6 230	V.V. Akhmanov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
		Translated from YAF 6 316.		
FRYBERGER	68	PR 166 1379	D. Fryberger	(IFI)
BOGART	67	PR 156 1405	E. Bogart <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
SCHWARTZ	67	PR 162 1306	D.M. Schwartz	(IFI)
SHERWOOD	67	PR 156 1475	B.A. Sherwood	(IFI)
PEOPLES	66	Nevis 147 unpub.	J. Peoples	(COLU)
BLOOM	64	PL 8 87	S. Bloom <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
DUCLOS	64	PL 9 62	J. Duclos <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
GUREVICH	64	PL 11 185	I.I. Gurevich <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
BUHLER	63	PL 7 368	A. Buhler-Broglin <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
MEYER	63	PR 132 2693	S.L. Meyer <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
CHARPAK	62	PL 1 16	G. Charpak <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
CONFORTO	62	NC 26 261	G. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(INFN, ROMA, CERN)
ALI-ZADE	61	JETP 13 313	S.A. Ali-Zade, I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky	
		Translated from ZETF 40 452.		
CRITTENDEN	61	PR 121 1823	R.R. Crittenden, W.D. Walker, J. Ballam	(WISC+)
KRUGER	61	UCRL 9322 unpub.	H. Kruger	(LRL)
GUREVICH	60	JETP 10 225	I.I. Gurevich, B.A. Nikolsky, L.V. Surkova	(ITEP)
		Translated from ZETF 37 318.		
PLAN	60	PR 119 1400	R.J. Plano	(COLU)
ASHKIN	59	NC 14 1266	J. Ashkin <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BARDON	59	PRL 2 56	M. Bardon, D. Berley, L.M. Lederman	(COLU)
LEE	59	PRL 3 55	J. Lee, N.P. Samios	(COLU)

---