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## To the Congress of the United States:

We face enormously greater economic problems, as I transmit this fifth annual Economic Report, than at any time since the end of World War II. Although our economic strength is now greater than ever before, very large new burdens of long duration are now being imposed upon it.

The United States is pledged and determined, along with other free peoples, to check aggression and to advance freedom. Arrayed against the free world are large and menacing forces. The great manpower under the control of Soviet communism is being driven with fanatic zeal to build up military and industrial strength. We invite disaster if we underestimate the forces working against us.

The economic strength of the free peoples of the world is, however, superior to that of their enemies. If the free nations mobilize and direct their strength properly, they can support whatever military effort may be necessary to avert a general war or to win such a war if it comes. The resources are on our side. The only question is whether they will be used with speed and determination. The answer will depend upon unity of purpose and of action-unity among the free nations, unity here in the United States.

Unity is imperative on the economic front. On this front, under the American system, everybody is involved-every businessman, worker and farmer; every banker and scientist and housewife; every man and woman. We can win our way through to ultimate triumph if we all pull together. Decisive action, essential to our safety, should not be halted by controversy now.

It is in this spirit that I transmit this Economic Report to the Congress.

## The Nature of the Task

We must understand the nature of our defense effort here at home. Our job has three parts.

In the first place, we must achieve a large and very rapid increase in our armed strength, while helping to strengthen our allies. This means more trained men in uniform, and more planes, tanks, ships, and other military supplies. Second, we must achieve, as rapidly as possible, an expansion of our capacity for producing military supplies. Thus must be substantially greater than would be required to achieve our present targets for armed strength; it must be large enough to enable us to swing rapidly into full-scale war production if necessity should require. And third, we must maintain
and expand our basic economic strength-important both to military production and to our civilian economy-so that we can continue to grow stronger rather than weaker if it should prove necessary to continue a defense effort of great size for a number of years.

The first part of this task-the primary military build-up-imposes the major immediate burden on the economy.

For the fiscal years 1951 and 1952 combined, new obligational authority enacted or anticipated for our primary national security programs-for our military forces, for economic and military aid to other free nations, for atomic energy and stockpiling, and for related purposes-will probably total more than 140 billion dollars. Actual expenditures on these programs in the fiscal year 1950, the last full year before the Korean outbreak, totaled about 18 billion dollars. At the present time, they are running at an annual rate of somewhat more than 20 billion dollars. By the end of this calendar year, they should attain an annual rate between 45 and 55 billion dollars, or from 25 to 35 billion dollars above the present rate. The actions we are taking should enable us, within twelve months, to expand this rate of expenditure very rapidly if necessity should require.

Current expenditures for these purposes now represent about 7 percent of our total national output. By the end of this year, this proportion may rise to as much as 18 percent. This compares with the roughly 45 percent of our total output that we were devoting to defense during the peak year of World War II. While the present program is thus very substantially short of the requirements imposed by full-scale war, it nonetheless requires a major diversion of effort. Furthermore, there will be a much more severe drain on some particular supply lines. By the end of the year, our expanding defense programs, including stockpiling, may be absorbing up to a third or more of the total supply of some of our basic commoditics, such as copper, aluminum, and natural rubber. While direct defense requirements for steel may not iotal more than 10 percent of total output, the needed expansion of our essential industrial capacity will require a much greater diversion of steel from ordinary civilian uses.

In terms of manpower, our present defense targets will require an increase of nearly one million men and women in the armed forces within a few months, and probably not less than four million more in defense production by the end of the year. This means that an additional 8 percent of our labor force, and possibly much more, will be required by direct defense needs by the end of the year.

These manpower needs will call both for increasing our labor force by reducing unemployment and drawing in women and older workers, and for lengthening hours of work in essential industries. These manpower requirements can be met. There will be manpower shortages, but they can be solved.

The second part of the job is to build up our capacity for producing military supplies-our military production base. For example, our present
aircraft program calls for capacity to produce 50,000 planes a year. Our present program for tanks calls for the capacity to produce 35,000 tanks a year. We are not now placing orders for that many planes or tanks, but we are getting ready to produce them if we need them.

There are many cases where our immediate production needs will require the diversion of plants now devoted to civilian production, but we cannot be satisfied with this solution alone. We must increasingly create new capacity to meet defense production targets. This will give us more economic strength, which means more power in reserve for any contingency. The job is made easier because we still have substantial reserve plant and equipment, as a result of the industrial reserve policy instituted at the close of World War II. It is a great program, but we can meet it.
The third part of the job is to increase our basic industrial strength-to build up our facilities for the production of steel, aluminum, power, and other basic commodities and services. This ability should be brought to a level where it can carry the present defense burden without the necessity for irksome controls extending over a long period. This will also increase our ability to meet any requirements for a greater military effort.

In the case of steel, for example, we must raise the capacity of the industry from its present level of about 103 million ingot tons a year by enough to support our defense effort and to sustain our civilian economy. The Council of Economic Advisers estimates that this will require an increase in capacity to about 120 million ingot tons in the next three or four years. This estimate is not necessarily final. But it suggests the kind of growth we are working for in our economy in the years immediately ahead.

To increase our steel supply, we must also increase our supplies of iron ore. Output of the high-grade Lake Superior ore fields can be maintained at present levels for only a few years longer. Thereafter, we shall have to rely more and more on lower-grade domestic ores and on imported supplies. Expansion of domestic plants for treating low-grade ores, and of ore production facilities in Labrador and Venezuela, together with related transportation facilities, is essential.

Electric power is another field in which we must expand capacity promptly. At the present time, electric power is in short supply in the Pacific Northwest, in the Tennessee Vallcy area, and in some other regions. The supply is expected to become increasingly short throughout the country, as demands will increase faster than the expansion of capacity now planned. Reserves will fall more and more below safe and desirable margins. Already the reserve margin has practically disappeared, in areas where the power shortage is most acute. Yet, expansion of capacity now planned in atomic energy, chemicals, and aluminum and other metals related to the defense effort, will impose an additional load of 4 to $41 / 2$ million kilowatts on our power facilities.

In the face of this situation, we should plan to increase our generating capacity by well over 20 million kilowatts during the next three years. The
major share of this expansion must come from private utility enterprises. The large public hydroelectric projects take more time, but I am recommending the development of additional public power capacity in the Pacific Northwest, in the Tennessee Valley area, at Niagara Falls, along the St. Lawrence River as a part of the seaway and power project, and elsewhere, to contribute needed additions to the power supply as quickly as they can be built.

These are but two examples of the need to build up our productive capacity. If we were now engaged in full-scale war, we could not afford to devote manpower and materials to these longer-range programs. But to fail to do so under present circumstances would be short-sighted and potentially costly. Action now is essential, to make us stronger year by year in all of the components which enter into any military strength that we may need in future.

## The Power of the American Economy To Perform the Task

There is no question that our economy can sustain the great exertions outlined above, and still remain strong and grow stronger. The past performance and present condition of the American economy make this plain.

In the ten years since this Nation decided that fascist aggression had to be stopped, the growth of our economic power has been prodigious. Comparing 1950 with 1940, our total output, in actual units of goods and services, is more than 50 percent higher. Farm production is up 25 percent. The total labor supply has increased by 9 million, and civilian employment by 13 million. In addition, we have more and better tools and equipment. Steel capacity is up more than 20 percent; oil refining capacity up 40 percent; electric power capacity up 70 percent. On our farms, there are two or three times as many tractors, trucks, and power-driven machines. Farm use of electric power has gone up three or four times.

It is sometimes thought that most of this economic growth occurred during World War II. True, under the dire necessity of wartime, we expanded with very great speed. But during the past five years, we have added greatly to the productive strength attained before V-J Day. More than 90 billion dollars (in 1950 prices) have been invested by private enterprise in plant and equipment. Total manufacturing capactty has increased by between 25 and 30 percent. Steel capacity is 12 percent higher; that of our chemical and machinery industries, 60 to 70 percent higher. Civilian employment late in 1950 was 8 million above the peak year of World War II, and output per man-hour for the economy as a whole has advanced by about 10 percent.

There has been very recent demonstration of our economic power, and of our capacity for further growth. In the first half of 1950, the upsurge of business recovery from the mild recession of 1949 was swift and com-
prehensive. This demonstrated the soundness of our economic structure. In the second half of the year, the pace of economic expansion became more rapid. Every part of the economy responded to the challenge of international developments. During these six months, private investment in construction, equipment, and additions to inventory reached the record annual rate of 53 billion dollars. Taking the year as a whole, industrial production was 14 percent higher than in 1949, and by June had exceeded the 1948 peak. The total output of goods and services during the year 1950 was 7 percent higher, in real terms, than during the previous year. It is now running at an annual rate more than 10 percent above the average for 1949. Civilian employment increased by about 1.3 million from 1949 to 1950, and there were more people in civilian jobs at the peak of 1950 employment than ever before.

Our economic history shows that we have risen to our greatest heights in the face of our greatest dangers. From the beginning of World War II to the time of our peak effort, we stepped up farm output by 20 percent, and industrial production by nearly 90 percent. Our total national output rose by more than 60 percent. If it had been necessary, we could have done much more.

We may not be able to add to our production so rapidly in the years immediately ahead. We had more unused resources of manipower, plant, and materials in 1940 than we have now. There are now some relative shortages of raw materials. On the other hand, as long as we avert a total war, we can devote a larger part of our total resources to building up our economy than we did after Pearl Harbor.

The accompanying Annual Review by the Council of Economic Advisers estimates, after careful examination of our economic resources, that we can and should achieve an annual rate of total output more than 7 percent above the current level by the end of this year. The estimates made by the Council at the start of 1950, concerning how much the economy could grow in real terms during the year, were realized. I believe that this progress will continue. We must plan and work together, to increase the total productive strength of our economy by at least 25 percent within the next five years.

We have not reached, and cannot foresee reaching, any final ceiling on our productive power. Throughout the years we have grown, despite ups and downs, and we will continue to grow. We have a growing population. We have business initiative and daring. We have workers of great skill and energy. We have the ability to make practical use of new scientific discoveries and inventions. We have, despite some shortages, bountiful natural resources. Above all, we have faith, justified by accomplishment, in our economic system.

This great vitality of our economy provides the answer to the question of whether we can sustain the burden of our defense program. In relative terms, this burden at present is much less than it was in World War II.

At the peak of World War II, we were devoting about 45 percent of our national output to defense. By the end of this year, we will be devoting about 18 percent to defense. During World War II, even when defense production was at its highest, we maintained a strong economy. Civilians made sacrifices, but they did not suffer.

Our total output today has reached approximately the 1944 peak. More important, we are now maintaining this rate of production with much shorter hours and less strain upon facilities. Our productive potential is not as fully mobilized as at the height of World War II. If we approached the same degree of economic mobilization, our total output would be very much greater, and so would our output of defense items. Our contemplated defense program, even if it were doubled, would still be clearly within our capabilities.

## The Inflationary Danger

While it is clear that we have the productive ability to meet even far greater defense demands on our economy, we must not be misled into thinking that we can make the change to a defense economy easily. It will require effort, restraint, and sacrifice by all of us.

The character of our economy must now be changed rapidly to meet the new challenge. Those types of production which support the expanding defense effort must be greatly enlarged. The part of our total national output going into defense should rise from 7 percent to nearly 18 percent, during the year 1951. By the end of the year, the expanding defense program may be absorbing one-third or more of some basic materials.

In some respects, it will be harder to convert to defense production than it was in 1940. Then, there were idle plants and men and materials which could be channeled into the defense effort. Since our economy has recently been running full blast, the defense program will have to pull men and materials, as well as plants, away from existing peacetime uses. This will pull millions of people away from normal peacetime production.

Although we can increase production, we cannot do it quickly enough to expand the defense program, and at the same time still have as much left over for other purposes. We must put heavy restraints upon nonessential business activity. During the past few years, nearly 70 percent of our growing national output has gone into consumption. This has led to higher standards of living, which is the ultimate purpose of a peacctime economy. But the total supply of consumer goods cannot be increased this year, and many types of goods must be sharply curtailed. Yct the population will continue to grow; new families will continue to be formed; and more incomes for practically all groups will be generated by more production, more employment, and longer hours. The excess of consumer demand over available goods will rise by many billions of dollars.

This will cause intense and mounting inflationary pressures, which must be counteracted.

During 1950, even before the defense expansion gathered speed, inflation started to march. Wholesale prices rose almost $151 / 2$ percent, and passed the previous all-time peak in the second half of the year. Particular price increases were even more spectacular, with chemicals rising by $21 / 2$ percent, and textiles by 24 percent. The average price of goods to consumers rose over 6 percent; and there was over a $41 / 2$ percent rise to a new all-time peak in the six months from June to December.

Incomes also started to rise sharply. During the year, real weekly earnings in manufacturing, after adjusting for changes in prices, rose by 10 percent. Corporate profits before taxes rose by about 6 billion dollars above the previous record year. Most types of income, particularly in the second half of the year, rose faster than production.

If inflation continues to gain cumulative force, it will multiply the cost of the defense program. It will undermine production, destroy confidence, generate friction and economic strife, impair the value of the dollar, dissipate the value of savings, and impose an intolerable burden upon fixed income groups. This must not happen.

To fight inflation, demand must be held down until supplies can catch up. This is why we must have a stringent stabilization program. It will mean sacrifices by everybody. But under the conditions now facing us, restraints will serve the interest of all.

## Principles for Action

As we prepare ourselves for the stern task which confronts us, we must keep in mind five basic principles. These are: (1) all of us must plan; (2) all of us must serve; (3) sacrifices must be shared fairly; (4) we must develop all our resources wisely; (5) we must work with our allies in the common cause.

## All of us must plan

A defense emergency requires far more planning than is customary or desirable in normal peacetime. The military build-up is a planned effort. The mobilization of industrial support for this military build-up is a planned effort. The industrial cutbacks and civilian restraints, necessary to achieve military and economic mobilization, are planned efforts. The major decisions as to how much goods and services must be left over for consumers, to maintain a strong base for the whole undertaking, also require planning. In a defense emergency, all of these problems are interrelated.
In these critical times, it is recognized that Government must assume leadership in this planning. It has the prime responsibility for national security. It has access to the basic information. The most important opcration toward this end is the broad programming of various major requirements; the balancing of these requirements against supply; and the development of policies to satisfy needs according to priority of purpose.

But the Government cannot develop these basic plans alone. The necessary experience and know-how are to be found throughout our whole economic system. Through constant consultation, these talents should be drawn into the whole planning effort. After the basic economic plans are outlined, most of them will have to be carried out by businessmen, workers, and farmers. They will be able to carry out these plans better, if they have had a chance to participate in creating them from the start.

These basic economic plans set general targets or goals. The details must be filled in by people all over the country. It may become necessary for the Government to indicate that longer hours of work are desirable. But working arrangements are made between employers and employees. The Government may indicate that more steel is needed. But steel production is in private hands. The Government may indicate that more cotton will be needed. But cotton is grown by farmers.

Businessmen always plan; and now they must plan how they can best help to make their country stronger. Labor organizations always plan; and now they must plan their contribution to the defense effort. Farmers also plan; and they, too, must now plan to play their full role in the national security effort. Government plans can aid, but cannot substitute for, this individual and group planning. To neglect this, would be to undermine one of the greatest sources of our economic strength.

## All of us must serve

In a defense emergency, all those on the home front should serve, to the limit of their ability, in the kind of work for which they are best fitted.
Businessmen should serve, by employing their financial resources and managerial skills to produce the greatest possible amount of the goods which the Nation needs. In the period ahead, businessmen will have responsibility for a much larger part of the investment program than during World War II, when a very high percentage of investment in new capacity was made by the Government. Our ability to reach production goals will depend in large measure upon how effectively businessmen do their job.
Farmers should serve, by increasing their output. They have less manpower than before World War II, but far more machinery and fertilizer, and far better scientific methods. They can also serve, by making shifts in output which are responsive to the needs of the defense economy.

Workers should serve, by helping to improve productivity. They should seek out jobs which are essential to the defense effort. They should cooperate by working longer hours wherever it will help the defense effort. More people should seek work than in normal times.

Millions of others, in addition to businessmen, industrial workers, and farmers, are now called upon to do their jobs more efficiently, and to readjust their efforts to the needs of national defense.
For all to serve in full measure, it must be in a common cause and not primarily for personal gain. This does not mean that we should undermine
the incentives which lead to more production. But the rewards for increased production cannot be as great under a defense program as they are in normal peacetime. This is because most of the increased production must go into national defense, and consequently cannot be used to improve incomes or lift standards of living.

Each group and individual will be more willing to put forth greater effort, if it appears clearly that everybody is doing the same. Businessmen, workers, and farmers will be willing to work harder, to the extent that they feel that they are working harder to serve their country, and not just to benefit somebody else. There should be a sense of equality of service in the defense program. Public policies must help to assure this.
Service by all is even more important than sacrifice, because it is work, and more work, that increases production.

Sacrifices must be shared fairly
No matter how efficiently we do our jobs, all of us must make sacrifices.
Businessmen must make sacrifices. They must pay much higher taxes. While profits should not be taxed to the extent which would jeopardize production or destroy incentives, businessmen cannot expect to retain profits on the scale which would be expected during normal peacetime prosperity. They must also accept restraints and controls upon many of their business practices-including price policy and the use of materials and manpowerwhich are not customary in peacetime. They must be willing to withdraw from enterprises which are nonessential and wasteful during a national emergency.

Workers must make sacrifices. They must seek the jobs which need doing, in the locations where these jobs must be done, instead of the jobs which may be most pleasant in the locations which are most convenient. They must accept restraints and controls upon wages, designed to prevent the wage increases which would be attainable if more goods were being produced for wage earners to buy. While the right to bargain collectively will be preserved, workers-along with management-must find ways to settle disputes without stopping essential production.

Farmers must make sacrifices. They should receive their fair share of available national income. But they cannot expect to avoid their fair share of the cost of national defense. Over the past two decades, farm standards of living have risen substantially, and they needed to rise, because farmers had lagged far behind others in sharing the national income. But that rate of progress cannot be continued in these perilous times.

All economic groups must pay much higher taxes.
American families must make sacrifices. They can expect very sharp curtailments in the supply of durable equipment which brings convenience and entertainment to the home. They will have to make their household goods last longer, their automobiles and appliances, their linen and clothes. They must save a larger portion of their incomes. Many of them must postpone buying a new house.

These sacrifices will not prevent us from maintaining a strong and growing economy, capable of supporting the current defense program or any greater program that we may need to undertake. On the contrary, these sacrifices will make for a stronger nation by curbing inflation. They will make us stronger, not only by augmenting our military strength, but also by enabling us to increase the productive facilities which can lighten the economic burden in the long run.

It is essential that the sacrifices which are necessary in these critical times be shared fairly by all groups. Businessmen will be more cooperative in sacrificing peacetime profit objectives and paying more taxes, if it is clear that this is not being done just so farmers and workers can have more income. Farmers will be more cooperative in sacrificing peacetime farm income objectives, if it is clear that this is not being done just so workers can get more wages and businessmen can get more profits. Workers will be more cooperative in sacrificing peacetime wage objectives, if it is clear that this is not being done just to provide more profits for business or more farm income. Professional people, civil servants, office workers, and those living on fixed incomes, will be willing to accept their share of necessary sacrifices, to the extent that it is clear that this is not being done just to provide for other people more profits or wages or farm income. All will be willing to make far more sacrifices for national defense and to keep our economy strong, if the burden is shared on a fair and equitable basis.

We must develop all our resources wisely
The rapid expansion of the defense program must be the first objective in all that we do. But military strength does not depend upon guns and armed forces alone. These forces must be equipped by our industry, fed by our farms, and supported by all the people. There must be a continuing balance between the build-up of military strength and the build-up of cconomic strength.

In a total war, this balance would be very different from what it should be now. In a total war, we would have total military mobilization, accomplished by considerable depletion of other kinds of strength. In the current situation, we must place considerable stress upon economic strength, or run the danger of being weak at some future time if total military strength should then be required.

With these purposes in mind, we must apportion materials and manpower carefully among military needs, stockpiling, and industrial needs. We must divide industrial supply carefully, so as to expand in some areas while contracting in others. We must divide total civilian supply carefully between industry and consumers, so that we do not weaken manpower while improving tools.

The handling of our natural resources is a vital aspect of this problem. Many projects must be cancelled or deferred, but those necessary for defense and essential civilian needs must go forward. If we allow our agricultural
and range lands and our forests to deteriorate, and if we misuse critically needed minerals and supplies of water, we shall become weaker each year instead of stronger. If we do not expand the use of some of these re-sources-as, for example, through carefully selected power developmentswe cannot expect to reach the full potential of our industrial strength. We can cut down enough on the private and public use of materials and manpower for nonessentials to accomplish these essential projects.

Our human resources are our main economic strength. When we finally win in the contest between freedom and slavery, it will not be primarily because of our superior technology. It will be primarily because we value human beings, and because the free man can outproduce the oppressed man.

No danger could be greater than to concentrate so blindly upon building up our military strength that we neglected and impoverished the ultimate sources of that strength. Three examples will illustrate this principle.

First, we cannot afford in the immediate future to devote as large a part of our resources to the improvement of health services and facilities as we had planned to do in normal peacetime. But we cannot maintain a sound base for whatever military mobilization may be needed in the months or years ahead, if we let sickness and inadequate health standards continue to take their heavy toll. We must devote somewhat more of our resources toward improving the health of the general public. Whether the children of today will be the soldiers or civilians of tomorrow, they must grow to a strong and healthy maturity.

Second, we cannot in the immediate future find the materials and manpower to build as many new schools and provide as many new teachers as we had planned to do in prosperous peacetime. But whether the youth of today is to become a soldier or a civilian citizen tomorrow, he must receive the general education for citizenship and the technical training which a modern army, a modern factory, and a modern farm all require.

Third, we cannot expect in the immediate future to achieve all of the expansion of social security which we had planned for in prosperous peacetime. But some of the hazards which social security is designed to guard against are increased by the mobilization effort. Increased protection against these hazards will make the mobilization effort more effective. In addition, the expansion of some contributory social security programs can be an important factor in meeting the stabilization problems we will face during this period, because their immediate effect would be anti-inflationary.

In these three matters, we should give vigorous attention to meeting human needs in such a way as to increase our economic and military strength.

A strong America must be strong throughout.

## We must work with our allies in the common cause

To meet the present danger, we must help to strengthen our allies, and they must help to strengthen us.

The effort must be made by the community of free nations, working together, and contributing their common strength in accordance with their ability to do so. As the single most powerful member of the community of free nations, our country has the special responsibility of leadership. We must help other free nations to do their share effectively.
In two world wars, this country has been spared the ravages of war on its own soil. Partly as a consequence, the United States has grown stronger, while some of the other free nations have become relatively weaker. Under these circumstances, it would be wrong for us to shrink from bearing a larger part of the burden now. We are able to bear it. We must bear it.

Since the Korean outbreak we have sharply shifted the emphasis in our economic assistance programs toward supporting the defense programs of the free countries associated with us, and we have greatly enlarged our military assistance program.

There is no water-tight distinction between military assistance and economic assistance. Our friends abroad need both. For their military efforts to be strong, their economies must be strong. When we contribute to their military strength, we leave more of their own resources free to improve their economic strength. When we contribute to their economic strength, we leave more of their own resources free to build up their military strength. The relationship between the two types of assistance should be determined realistically on grounds of efficiency, and not by arbitrary labels.

The programs of economic assistance thus far undertaken have added greatly to the strength of other nations friendly to us-nations believing in freedom and justice. This gain could be dissipated, if the military build-up which they must now undertake should weaken their economics.

The close connection between a nation's economy and its military efforts makes it impossible for peoples to be allies on one front and strangers on other fronts. When we join together for military purposes, we must also cooperate for economic purposes. When we consider jointly the distribution of armed forces, we must consider cooperatively the use of strategic economic assets.

In this whole process of cooperation, the strongest must do the most, but all must do their part. While our resources are great, they are not unlimited. As we make a portion of our resources available for use by others, we expect them to use this aid well and efficiently in the common purpose. In-addition, our aid will enable them in many cases to increase their production, for their use and ours, of materials which we do not have, or do not have in sufficient quantity.

## Government Economic Policies

The actions of the Government are being redirected to meet the overriding demands of national security. The Budget I shall transmit next week
provides only for urgent needs for Government activities and services in this defense period. Many Government programs are being sharply curtailed. The departments and agencies of Government are moving rapidly ahead with their part in the defense effort, and deferring wherever possible any work which is not immediately necessary.
The same principle should guide the Congress in enacting legislation at this time. We must all put first things first.
Certain immediate tasks will confront the Congress at this session, as it enacts the legislation necessary to carry us forward. I mentioned these briefly in my Message on the State of the Union earlier this week. Some of them are discussed more fully in this Economic Report, and in the Budget Message to follow. In a number of cases, however, details are still being worked out, and I shall transmit recommendations in later special messages.

The first priority, of course, attaches to the support of our own military services and of our combined efforts, with other free countries, to build up the strength of the free world. In both cases, we are concentrating on the urgent task of preparing stronger defenses against aggression. At the same time, we are building a foundation for continuous growth in the ability of free men, in our country and elsewhere, to advance the cause of freedom.

Toward these ends, the economic policies of the Government should now be directed.

## Expansion of production

Industrial production. Under the Defense' Production Act, enacted last September, we have taken the first essential steps to give priority to defense requirements out of current industrial production. In the field of priorities and allocations, where Government action has already been vigorous, steps have already been taken (a) to insure that defense requirements for production materials and facilities are met on schedule; (b) to distribute the remaining available supply of critical materials and products equitably among other users after defense requirements have been satisfied; (c) to provide materials for needed expansion of productive capacities; and (d) to promote conservation of scarce materials and the development of substitutes. The commodities affected have included aluminum, cobalt, cadmium, copper, nickel, rubber, steel, tin, zinc, and other basic materials. Selective rather than general curtailment is now being put into effect, and a comprehensive materials control plan is being prepared for use when necessary. Priorities and allocations powers will have to be renewed by the Congress this year, if our production control program is to be continued. It is, of course, essential that this be done.

In placing orders, the Defense Department and the other agencies concerned are adjusting contracting and subcontracting policies to broaden the supply base and to bring in more small producers. Procurement is being related more closely to geographic availability of manpower, materials, and equipment resources. Procurement cost-price policies are being
centered upon efficiency problems. Greater uniformity of standards is being developed for all procurement activities.

In planning and carrying out the military procurement program, the Department of Defense is giving major emphasis to obtaining productive capacity broad enough to support a much larger military procurement program than the one now under way. Thus, the Department is spreading orders among as many contractors as practicable, and tooling-up plants with reserve capacity, so that military procurement can be further enlarged on short notice if necessary.

In addition, we have begun the work of obtaining increased plant capacity in key industries, among them steel and aluminum. Two main types of assistance are now being furnished by the Government to help private industry expand: accelerated amortization under the new tax laws, and long-term Government loans under the Defense Production Act. These aids will help to secure much of the needed expansion.

Under the authorization of the Defense Production Act, Government agencies have received requests for direct loans totaling more than 830 million dollars, and are processing requests for accelerated amortization involving outlays for plant expansions totaling nearly 4 billion dollars. Of those on which approval has already been recommended, 66 from the steel industry alone represent capital outlays of more than $11 / 4$ billion dollars.

Our loan program for expansion of productive capacity and supplies will soon require more funds than have so far been made available. The program will of course need to be continued in operation beyond June 30, 1951, the current expiration date. In addition, our present aid programs will need to be backed up by legislative authority for direct government construction of industrial facilities, in those special cases where private enterprise cannot undertake the job even with the government assistance available.

These and other aspects of our economic mobilization laws are now under review by the Director of Defense Mobilization. After he has completed his investigations, detailed recommendations will be made to the Congress for appropriate revision of the Defense Production Act and other related statutes.

In addition to our efforts to expand industrial capacity, steps are being taken to increase production of essential raw materials, both here and abroad. Through financial aids for exploration and development and long-term expansion loans, authorized under the Defense Production Act, and through our stockpiling and foreign economic aid programs, we are stepping up the production and procurement of critical materials, both at home and abroad.

Yron ore constitutes one of our most serious potential shortages. As the Annual Economic Review points out, we should be recciving large shipments from the new Venezucla and Labrador developments by 1954 or 1955. This is urgently needed to meet the expected decline in ore production from the Lake Superior region. But to avoid extremely high-cost
transportation, and hence high-cost steel operations, we should start at once on the St. Lawrence Seaway and Pōwer Project, so that imported iron ore can be shipped efficiently by water to the great steel producing centers of the Middle West.

The St. Lawrence project is vital also to bring in a new source of power for industry in the Northeast. We must have more power, in this and other areas, if we are not to place sharp limits on our industrial capacity.
Agricultural producion. Our farms are no less involved in the production effort than our factories and mines. The demand for farm products has increased greatly since the Korean outbreak. Military needs for cotton and wool have risen sharply. Military food requirements are also rising, as more men come into military service. There has been an exceptionally high civilian demand for meats and many other frods, and this is expected to continue.

In the face of these rising demands, we now have low supplies of cotton and wool. Our food supplies, while entirely adequate for the time being, will clearly have to be increased. The Government is moving now to help meet the need for increased production, especially of cotton, corn, wheat, wool, and livestock. Acreage allotments and marketing quotas have been set aside. Price supports at 90 per cent of parity have been announced for cotton and wheat for the 1951 crop year. Every effort is being made to bring the new cotton crop to a level 60 per cent above that of last year.

Our farms are now more mechanized than cver before. To get out the increased crops, they will need a steady supply of farm machinery and spare parts. Fertilizers will be equally necessary to meet expanding production goals. Our farmers are using much more fertilizer than befere the war, and will need still more to get the yields that we are after. Many of the things the farmers need will be in short supply. Farm and industry requirements will have to be balanced very carefully. But we will do our best to see to it that the essential farm needs are met.

Manpower. We cannot produce in industry or agriculture without the trained workers to do the job. As the defense production job speeds up, we will have to be increasingly careful about the distribution and use of the skilled labor we have available. We will have to train more and more new workers who are not now in the labor force. Major emphasis should be upon training and recruitment of unmarried women and married women without young children. Support should be extended to nursery schools as an aid to mothers who want jobs.

Additional shifts and longer hours in some defense industries are being encouraged. Industry hiring standards are being reviewed, to provide suitable jobs for more workers. Arrangements are under study to protect the pension and seniority rights of workers who shift to defense jobs. Health, education, rehabilitation, and training programs are being reshaped to concentrate upon problems of defense workers. Existing housing, com-
munity facilities, and service programs are being modified, and construction is being shifted to defense areas.
We are already setting up voluntary labor-management committees to work with the United States Employment Service in the principal defense areas. These committees will help to shift workers into essential industries, and will gain cooperation in installing the most efficient hiring practices and promoting the best use of skilled workers on the job. To provide better protection of workers who leave their communities to take defense jobs in other States, the unemployment insurance system should be improved.
It is now quite clear that, just as in World War II, we will need special legislation to provide housing and community facilities and services for defense workers in areas where adequate quarters are not now available.

We will need to encourage private construction of rental housing in these areas. We will need publicly financed construction of housing and related facilities where private enterprise is unable to handle the job. We will need additional aid to community facilities and services in defense production centers. The Housing and Home Finance Administrator has submitted recommendations for legislation to accomplish these purposes. I hope that prompt action will be taken by the Congress.

## Health services and education

It is clear that we cannot neglect the education and health of our people, without the most serious results for a long-run defense effort. Obviously, we will not now have available the resources to build or staff as many schools and clinics and hospitals in as many places as we hoped to do in normal times. But the quality of essential services must be maintained and improved, as fast as can be managed. This is imperative for the success of the defense job.

It is not enough to train people as workers-or as soldiers. They have to be healthy enough to get a job and do it effectively. Right now, sickness is keeping about a million workers off the job every day. Right now, failure to meet health standards is making about a quarter of our young men unavailable for military service. During World War II, about six million men were rejected by the armed services for physical or mental disabilities.

We cannot afford this waste of manpower, our most vital resource. As a first step, we must obtain more doctors, more dentists, and more nurses. The growing needs of the armed forces, piled on top of civilian nceds, threaten the most dangerous shortages unless prompt action is taken by the Congress.

At the same time, we must expand our local public health services. They are essential to our civil defenses, and to the maintenance of safe health standards in our growing production centers.
As we move into a period when we will have an urgent need for all our trained men and women, we must face the fact that nothing can make
up for faulty basic education in our primary and secondary schools. This is as true for the men in military service, as for the factory worker or the farm hand.

Our public school system faces the greatest crisis in its history. More than ever before, we need positive action by the Federal Government to help the States meet their educational tasks. We simply cannot afford to let overcrowding, or lack of equipment or staff impair the basic education of our young people.

Under legislation passed last year, the Federal Government is stepping up its aid to school districts overburdened as a result of Federal activities. But special aid of this type to particular school districts will not come anywhere near meeting the general crisis which exists. Therefore, it is vital that the Congress act now to give the States general aid for school maintenance and operation.

## Economic stabilization

The Government has been moving ahead in several ways to stabilize the cost of living and hold down inflation.

Taxation. We should make it the first principle of economic and fiscal policy ir these times to maintain a balanced budget, and to finance the cost of national defense on a "pay-as-we-go" basis.

The Ciongress is to be commended upon the successful completion of two vitally irnportant pieces of tax legislation since the middle of the calendar year 1950. But it was commonly acknowledged that these were only the first steps. We must now, as rapidly as possible, take the next step, and it must be a very big step, in view of the size of the new defense funds which have necessarily been appropriated and the required additions to these funds which will be set forth more fully in the Budget Message. Legislation should be enacted, at this session of the Congress, to increase taxes by very much more than they were increased by the last two major tax bills which the Congress enacted.
These new taxes are required to finance the defense effort; and to help keep total spending within the capacity of current production, so that inflation does not reduce the purchasing power of the defense budget, reduce the real value of people's savings, generate speculative buying and hoarding, and impede essential production. The real economic cost of this defense effort is that we must work harder, reduce consumption, and forego improvements in farm, business, and household equipment. This cost cannot be put off into the future. It must be paid by the people now, one way or another, and it should be paid through taxation, in the manner consciously determined by the Congress and not by the uncontrolled and inequitable incidence of inflation.

The new tax increases, now required, must press harder upon every source of available revenue. Corporations should pay much higher taxes. Individuals should pay much higher taxes. Excise taxes should be higher
and more extensive. Many loopholes in the tax laws should be closed. In the near future, after further consultation with legislative leaders, new tax proposals will be transmitted to the Congress.

Taxation must be supplemented by greatly increased saving. Every dollar saved means a dollar less of inflationary price pressure. The alternative to saving is not buying more goods now, because more goods are not now available. The saving will give a nest egg with which to buy the goods at a later time when they again become plentiful. The alternatives to more saving are either more taxes or inflation.

Savings help most in the defense effort, and do the most to hold down inflation, when they are invested in Government bonds. The Treasury will continue its policy of reducing the amount of debt held by banks and placing the maximum proportion of Government securities in the hands of the public, particularly individuals. The savings bond program supports this goal and encourages saving.

Credit controls. Controls over business and consumer credit also help hold down inflation.

Regulations W and X, issued by the Federal Reserve Board, have established higher down payments and shorter repayment periods for those who buy durable goods and new one- and two-family houses on credit. Multifamily housing is now being brought under Regulation X. As the detailed requirements for the defense program and other vital purposes become clearer, it may be necessary to make further changes in these regulations. These regulations are well suited to help deal with moderate reductions in supply. If circumstances force acute reductions, more direct measures will be needed to assure equitable distribution. In the meantime, the authority to control housing credit through Regulation X should be enlarged by the Congress to include credit for the purchase of existing homes exempt under the present law.

The Federal Reserve Board has also taken steps to restrain excessive bank lending, by raising bank reserve requirements and allowing short-term interest rates to rise.

Price and wage controls. We must use direct controls, as well as these tax and credit measures, in order to deal with the problem of inflation.

In the case of prices and wages, considerable work has been done. In addition to the mandatory order affecting automobilc prices, substantial progress has already been made through negotiations towards securing effective price stabilization in such basic materials as stecl, copper, lead, zinc, and certain basic industrial chemicals. Negotiations to secure effective price stabilization are under way with producers of other basic products. A number of regulations for mandatory action are in preparation.

We must achieve general stability as rapidly as possible, and hold it for the duration of the present emergency. This will require the broad exten-
sion of price and wage controls to hold down the upward spiral. The staffs to apply broader controls are now being rapidly gathered.

In the case of prices, the general policy must be to hold the price line with utmost vigor, as the instances are rare indeed where further price increases are needed, either to stimulate production or to provide adequate profit incentives. In these rare cases, some price adjustments subsequent to stabilization may become necessary to stimulate vital production.

It is my confident belief that price adjustments, after stabilization, will not be only in an upward direction. In many industrial lines, extensive additional production, made possible in many instances by military orders added to civilian orders, will result in lower costs, which can be passed on both to civilian buyers and to the Defense Department.

To prevent excessive speculation in farm products, and wide fluctuations in their prices, the Department of Agriculture should be granted authority to control speculative trading and to strengthen its regulation of commodity exchanges.

Price and wage stabilization must both be undertaken, because of the economic connection between prices and wages. It follows from this that neither price action nor wage action can be decided upon in isolation. The decisions must be reconciled. They must be subject to central direction. But it does not follow that prices and wages are precisely similar, or can be treated identically. Prices are only one factor in the incomes of business, which may rise or fall independently of prices. But wages are the very livelihood of millions of families. This makes wage stabilization the more difficult part of the task. But it must be undertaken if prices are to be stabilized.

A more rapid rise of total wages āvailable for spending than of the production of goods which workers can buy will not make more goods available, but rather will add to inflationary pressures. Since the amount of goods available for consumers cannot be increased in the near future, and many types of goods must be severely contracted, the objective should be to limit correspondingly total spending of wages. Strong tax and savings programs are required, but stabilization of wage rates is also necessary. This is particularly necessary because, even with no wage rate increases, there will be an expanding volume of total wages. Hundreds of thousands of new workers will be employed, and hours of work will be longer. Moreover, there are a few kinds of situations where adjustments in wage rates will be necessary and desirable. But this should be done only upon a clear showing of necessity in exceptional circumstances. The predominant general rule should be to achieve stable wage rates until the flow of consumer goods can be increased.

It would be impossible to achieve lasting wage stabilization without holding the line on the cost of living. This makes it all the more important to stabilize the price level. Unless this line is held, it will not be practical to avoid some "cost of living adjustments" in wages in some cases. Howcver, there are many groups which could not be protected in this way. And
to extend such adjustments without limitation, even in all those cases where it could be done, would add to the process of wages chasing prices and prices chasing wages. The only way out of this dilemma is to stabilize the cost of living, and to do it quickly.

Wage stabilization also involves the problem of incentives. Without incentives, it would be harder to sustain longer hours of work in defense industries, and to spur on workers toward their participation in efforts to improve productivity. As we look forward to years of constantly increasing effort to strengthen our economy, this problem of incentives cannot be overlooked. Yet the peacetime increases in wages, which normally provide incentives, would under current conditions add to inflationary forces. Consideration should be given to the suggestion that, where some wage adjustments become necessary over the long pull to provide incentives, the increased potential spending power should be diverted from the actual spending stream until inflationary pressures become less serious. Various constructive proposals may be developed to obtain this deferred effect. Wage adjustments related to increased social security contributions would be one method. Other effective savings programs should also be considered.
I firmly believe that effective wage stabilization must draw heavily upon the experience and viewpoint of workers and employers with practical experience. That is the principle underlying the Wage Stabilization Board. The Board is to be commended for its policy of consultation with representatives of labor, management, and the public. I. earnestly trust that a sound and fair wage stabilization policy will quickly result. Such a policy will provide the best foundation for effective wage stabilization in detail. The principles which I have outlined can be the starting point for a wage stabilization policy which will reccive the cooperation of those who would be affected and which will serve the best interests of the Nation in this emergency.

In the interest of economic stabilization all groups should consider what they receive before taxation. Of course, heavier taxes will make it harder for everybody. But for any group to seek to adjust its income upward, to counteract the higher taxes which the defense program is making necessary, would tend to relieve that group from its share in the cost of achieving national security.

I am sure that every group will be willing to accept the necessary sacrifices in this emergency, if the whole stabilization program is fair and equitable. Effective price and wage controls, much higher taxes on business profits, along with many other restrictions which will affect the whole population, are all aspects of a comprehensive stabilization program in which everyone will do his part.

It is already plain that the present rent control law has been made obsolete, in the light of the necessary curtailment in the rate of housing construction and the current inflationary pressures. Since rents are such a key element in the cost of living, I recommend that the Congress extend and strengthen the rent control law.

## International economic programs

Our program of military and economic aid for the strengthening of the community of free nations, including our programs for underdeveloped areas, are of vital importance. They are closely related to other aspects of our foreign economic policy. The defense program increases the importance of strategic raw materials, and we are already working with other free nations to increase the supply of these materials, and to distribute them fairly. We should take cooperative action with the free nations, to make sure that critical materials are used to strengthen the common defense of freedom, and are not diverted to other purposes. In a short time, I shall send to the Congress detailed recommendations on our international economic programs.

Use of export controls and allocations will enable the United States to carry out more effectively its part of international allocations agreements, and to distribute more efficiently other commodities in short supply.

The power to control exports, now scheduled to expire June 30ih, should be extended.
International trade policies should be adjusted to joint requirements. While the defense effort will require a wide increase in trade controls, a large part of the world's trade will continue to be conducted in normal channels. The common defense objective can be furthered by the reduction of tariffs, quotas, and other trade barriers. To this end, the Trade Agreements Act should be extended, our customs laws and procedures should be simplified, and the import tax on copper should again be waived.

## Surnmary of Economic Developments in 1950

By June 1950, the economy had almost fully recovered from the mild recession of the previous year. Employment and production were high, and prices were rising. The anticipated expansion of our defense program, following the Korean outbreak, led to still further increases in employment and production. It created strong inflationary pressures.
In this situation, many economic indicators reached record highs, and most of them are still rising. Higher employment, longer hours of work, and overtime payments raised wages and salaries and swelled the already high demand of consumers. On all fronts, strong demand raised prices, and in some shortage areas the price advance was rapid. Increased volume at higher prices boosted business profits. The obvious need for greater productive capacity stimulated business investment. Rising living costs and high business profits led to increasingly successful efforts to obtain wage increases.
The record levels of employment, production, and business investment have demonstrated the vigor of our economy. But the spiraling rise in prices, wages, and profits is a warning that inflation endangers our economic prospects and our defense efforts.

Civilian employment averaged almost 60.0 million persons in 1950 , compared with 58.7 million in 1949. The gain in nonagricultural employment was about 1.8 million, but farm jobs declined by about 500 thousand. Employment increased steadily throughout the year, except for a small seasonal drop in the fall months. At the end of the year, employment was at an all-time record for December.

Unemployment, after reaching a peak of 4.7 million persons in February, dropped markedly through most of the year. It reached a low of 1.9 million in October, and then rose slightly in the last two months of the year. At the end of 1950, only 3.6 percent of the labor force was unemployed. This is near a practical minimum for a peacetime economy, but is not irreducible under present conditions.

Production of gcods and services as a whole in 1950 was 7 percent greater than in 1949. This was a record for any postwar year, and apparently was close to the record reached in World War II. In the fourth quarter of 1950 , total production was 10 percent higher than for the year 1949.

The physical production of goods alone (not including services) was 11 percent greater than in 1949, despite a 2 percent drop in agricultural output. Industrial production increased 14 percent. Gains were especially marked in the case of durable goods. More stecl was produced in 1950 than in any previous year. The automobile industry operated at a record rate during most of the year. Electric power rose 13 percent over 1949. Construction, measured in physical terms, reached an all-time high, and averaged 17 percent above 1949.
Prices moved upward throughout 1950, the pace of the advance increasing sharply after the Korean developments at midyear.

Wholesale prices in December were at an all-time high, 10.9 percent above the June level and 15.4 percent above December 1949. The price increases were not limited to a few commodities, nor to a few groups. For a few weeks after the Korean outbreak, farm and food prices rose sharply. The rise in industrial prices, while at first less spectacular, was steady and persistent. At times, the violent gyrations in prices of imported raw materials have been in the spotlight. But now the rise in wholesale prices has been quite general, affecting all major categories of goods.

Consumers' prices rose over 6 percent during 1950, the major part of the rise occurring in the latter half of the year. They ended the year at a record level. Rising living costs absorbed a considerable part of the gains in consumer incomes.

Wages and salaries and other labor income rose continuously in 1950, reflecting wage rate increases, longer hours of work, and increased employment. By the fourth quarter, they had reached a record high of 155.9 billion dollars, 16 percent above the level a year earlier. Weekly earnings in all manufacturing industries rose from $\$ 54.43$ in November 1949 to $\$ 62.06$ in November 1950, a gain of 14 percent.

## PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN SELECTED ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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Wage increases were widespread and substantial in the second half of the year, being accelerated by a continued rise in the cost of living and by expectations of wage and price controls.
Profits of American business, before taxes, exceeded all records in 1950, reaching 40.2 billion dollars, or 46 percent above the level of 1949. They attained a peak annual rate of 48.0 billion dollars in the fourth quarter. The previous peak rate was 35.3 billion in the third quarter of 1948. The higher profits reflected increased output, greater sales, and higher prices.

Corporate profits after taxes, and net incomes of unincorporated business, also made new records. The net income of farm proprietors rose in the latter half of the year. By the fourth quarter, it was 9.4 percent above the fourth quarter of 1949, although 25 percent below the postwar peak in the second quarter of 1948.

Money and credit expanded with the growth of the Nation's output and the rise in prices. Many components of business and consumer debt reached new highs.

The housing boom stepped up the growth in residential mortgage debt, from an 11 percent increase during 1949 to 17 percent during 1950. Consumer instalment credit increased 22 percent in the first nine months of 1950, compared to a rise of 15 percent in the same period of 1949. Government policies helped restrain the rate of consumer credit expansion during the last quarter of the year.

Total privately-held bank deposits and currency increased by 6.4 billion dollars, reaching 176 billion by the end of the year.

Personal income, at an annual rate of 233 billion dollars in the final quarter of 1950, was 14 percent greater than a year earlier, and more than 8 percent above the second quarter of 1950. Although the annual rate of personal taxes increased by over 2 billion dollars from the first half to the second half of the year, personal income after taxes rose by 11 billion. The rise in prices partly offset this increase, but there was a gain of about 2 percent in consumer purchasing power.

Consumption expenditures in the second half of the year far surpassed those of any previous period, nearing an annual rate of 200 billion dollars. The increase was especially marked in the purchase of durable goods. A substantial part of the increased consumer expenditures was a reflection of higher prices.

Personal net saving dropped from a rate of 6.5 percent of disposable income in the first half of the year to a rate of 3.1 percent in the third quarter, reflecting the first wave of post-Korean buying. Then it rose to 6.4 percent in the final quarter.

Private domestic investment in construction, equipment, and additions to inventory rose very sharply, increasing 19 percent from the first half of 1950 to the second half, and increasing 67 percent from the second half of 1949 to the second half of 1950. In the second half of 1950, this investment reached an all-time record of 53 billion dollars at a seasonally adjusted
annual rate. The increase during 1950 was most marked in the case of producers' durable equipment.

The investment trend was already upward before the Korean attack led to an expansion of the defense program, and to a rapid further rise in investment. Private and Government surveys indicate that business plans to spend more for plant and equipment in 1951 than in 1950.

The resumption of inventory accumulation during the first half of 1950 was a factor contributing to business recovery. Economic developments made it difficult for business to build up inventories immediately after midyear; the strong demand forced some reduction in the third quarter. But in the fourth quarter, inventory accumulation was marked.

The use of capital funds by nonfinancial corporations was almost 24 billion dollars above 1949. Three-fifths of these funds were obtained internally from retained earnings and depreciation allowances.

Construction put in place in 1950 was 23 percent higher than for the year 1949, and greater than in any previous year. The sharpest increase was in housing. A peak of 149,000 new dwelling units was started in May, and approximately that level was maintained through August. In the fourth quarter, starts fell sharply, partly as a result of credit restrictions.

International developments greatly stimulated domestic demand and production, but the pressure of foreign purchases lessened. Exports in 1950 were nearly 1.9 billion dollars less than in 1949. The surplus of exports over imports fell from 6.2 billion dollars in 1949 to an annual rate of 3.0 billion in the first half of 1950. An increase in our imports, after we decided to speed up the rebuilding of our defenses, brought this annual rate down to the probably transitory level of 600 million in the second half.

Both the volume and prices of imports increased substantially. In 1950 our export surplus was less than our foreign aid, but this aid declined substantially from the 1949 level.

Government transactions showed a close balance between receipts and expenditures for the year as a whole. Cash reccipts increased while expenditures fell in the second half of 1950 . After a cash deficit of 4.2 billion dollars (annual rate) in the first half, there was a surplus of 2.9 billion in the second half. But the effect upon business operations of the anticipated increase in the military program more than offset any counterinflationary impact of the cash surplus.
An increase of 1.1 billion dollars in Federal cash receipts from calendar year 1949 to calendar year 1950 was due to higher economic activity, to increased employment tax rates, and to higher withholding tax rates on individuals in the closing months of the year. Collections from corporate income taxes will increase substantially this year, reflecting high profits in 1950 and increased tax rates.

January 12, 1951.

HARRY S. TRUMAN.
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## I. The Broad Features of 1950

THE AMERICAN ECONOMY at the end of 1950 presented a far different picture from that which would have been projected from trends at the start of the year. Twice there occurred the sharply defined changes in direction or speed which come from the shock of armed conflict. The outlook for 1951, and the appropriate national policies, will rest to a greater extent than for some years past on Federal activity stemming largely from international developments. Whatever occurs, however, will start from the economy at the close of 1950, and the policies to be followed should make full use of the experience already gained.

The economic history of 1950 divides into three distinct parts: the period of recovery from the recession of 1949; the period of expansion of the defense program following the Korean outbreak on June 25; and the more intense period following the beginning of major Chinese hostilities in late November.

## Recovery From the Business Recession

The hesitant business recovery, which began in August 1949, grew into a vigorous and sustained upward movement early in 1950. Industrial production increased more than 10 percent from February to June, and by then surpassed the postwar record level established in 1948. Employment followed a parallel course. It furnished sufficient jobs, not only to absorb more than one-fourth of the 4.7 million who were without work in February, but also to match increases in a labor force which rose to new levels.

The final part of this Review discloses in detail the degree to which each important factor in the economy supported the rapid recovery to a high prosperity level in June. Personal incomes, enlarged by the veterans' insurance refund of 2.6 billion dollars and by increasing wage payments, fed a growing volume of consumers' expenditures. Residential construction starts, which had declined less than seasonally during the winter, sprang upveard in March beyond the peak month of other postwar years and proceeded at an unprecedented pace throughout the second quarter. Investment in new plant and equipment, after a larger than seasonal decline in the first quarter, expanded briskly in the second quarter. Businessmen both reflected and contributed to the ebullience by indicating their purpose to undertake still greater programs of plant expansion.

This rising level of business activity in the early months of 1950 was not based upon transitory causes which might have been expected to
terminate expansion toward midyear. The payment of the veterans' dividend, which some observers overstressed, contributed to the recovery, but was not the basic factor in recovery. Nor did the upward movement have the ephemeral quality of an inventory boom. Producers and merchants were cautious about accepting the bounding market as a firm foundation for a reversal of their inventory policies. Manufacturing inventories (scasonally adjusted) did not exceed the September 1949 level until May 1950, and the increase in value from January to June was only 3 percent. The increase in value of retail inventories during the same period was 5 percent. In both cases, inventories were expanded less rapidly than sales.

Rising prices have often accompanied sustained periods of business expansion following recessions. They were not to be interpreted as a portent of coming inflation, when the price rise was within the moderate limits recorded during the first half of 1950. It was not until the end of April that the weekly wholesale price index began to mount with any vigor. The total rise, from 151.1 in the first week in January to 157.4 in the last week in June, was about 4 percent. The index of industrial prices rose less than 3 percent during the same period. The volatile index of wholesale prices of farm and food products opened the year near the lowest point since 1946, and these prices increased more during the first half-year than the wholesalc prices of manufactured goods. The slower-moving index of consumers' prices rose only 2 percent.

The changes in moncy supply and in bank credit during the first half of 1950 were moderate. The increase in the privately-held money supply was inconsequential, and the addition of 1.8 billion dollars to the loans of all commercial banks was a rise of only 4.2 percent. There was, however, an increase of 11 percent in outstanding instalment credit. Nearly all of this occurred in the second quarter, indicating one point in the economy where an inflationary potential might be developing. Residential mortgage credit was also rising rapidly.

This rapid business recovery brought a speedy change in the fiscal condition of the Government. Treasury revenue from excise taxes and from taxes upon the wages of the growing body of employed workers began to expand. Improving conditions also reduced demands upon the Treasury for the farm-price-support program and for unemployment insurance benefits. In combination, these shifts resulted in a srnall budget surplus in the first half of the year.

## Thiz Period of Partial Mobilization

The shock of the initial involvement in Korea fell upon an economy which was experiencing a high level of prosperity, with few slack resources or signs that the boom forces were leveling off. There was prompt and universal recognition by the American people that a great defense effort must be made. This meant that there would be imposed, upon an extended
economy, military demands for goods and for manpower which had to be met by diverting factors of production from civilian uses.

The first result took the form of those mass movements which occasionally upset economic analysis based solely upon statistical data or assuming a regular pattern of economic behavior. There was a rush by consumers to buy fantastic quantities of certain goods, even though such goods were being produced under conditions which assured the continuance of ample supplies for many months. Local stocks of sugar were exhausted; merchants were forced to limit purchases of nylon stockings; household linens flowed out of the shops and into the homes; automobile tires were eagerly seized; meat was crowded into deep-freeze units. Such movements, however shortlived they may be, continue to influence the economy long after the originating incident has disappeared.

Although the supply situation did not warrant such panic buying, consumers were not wholly irrational. The goods they bought were important household commodities, the limitations upon which had been most sharply felt during the days of World War II shortages. The buying did not represent any flight from the dollar; rather it was an effort to anticipate the needs of the future. Such buying would probably soon subside, and sales would settle down when consumers found ample supplies in the shops and began to use up what they had bought beyond their immediate needs.

The outburst of consumer buying was neither sufficiently great nor sustained to require Government intervention to prevent demoralization of markets. Nevertheless, it had lasting effects upon economic conditions. The consumers' price index made the unusually large jump of 1.4 percent between June 15 and July 15, as retail food prices moved upward. The rise in consumers' prices was followed by a round of wage adjustments, many of them voluntary, that before the end of the year gave wage increases to millions of workers in manufacturing and other nonagricultural industries.

The July bulge also caused merchants to flood manufacturers with orders for goods to replenish inventories which had been held at conservative levels. (See chart 2.) Manufacturers in turn entered the markets for raw materials with large demands. Speculative buying of futures at rising price levels began in the commodity exchanges. The upward pressures on raw material prices became a persistant inflationary factor throughout, the second half-year.

While the heavy buying of nondurable goods was running its course, a more enduring trend of buying appeared in the markets for consumers' durable goods, especially automobiles and household appliances. Incidental to this, there were heavy liquidations of personal savings and an accelerated growth of instalment credit. Instalment credit continucd to increase rapidly until action was taken to curb it.

Building operations were at a very high level when the Korean blow was struck. Then every builder began frantically to search out and huy all

## MANUFACTURERS' SALES AND ORDERS

New orders ran well ahead of sales in the latter part of 1950. The accumulation of order backlogs was especially rapid in the durable lines.

'mot adjustiofor zeasomal vaniation.
SOURCE: SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
materials needed for the completion of his 1950 operations, most of which he would normally have purchased as the work progressed. The multiplied demand for building materials drove the regular market prices upward rapidly, and generated irregular markets in which commodities exchanged hands at still higher prices. This excited buying eventually subsided. But it left its mark in the continuance of much higher prices of building materials generally, although lumber prices declined temporarily.

Other business investment was immediately affected by the booming markets, and by the expectation that a greatly enlarged defense prograin would assure their continuance. Expenditures for new plant and equipment in the third quarter were substantially larger than those in the second quarter, while plans were hastily enlarged for far greater expansion in the fourth quarter of 1950 and in 1951. The effect upon additional investment in inventories was immediate. Between July and October, manufacturing and retail inventorics increased 5 billion dollars.

The financing of new business investment by bank loans is a normal process in our economic structure. It has contributed greatly to our economic growth, but may at times lead to difficulty by contributing to inflation. In the four-month period, July to October, the loans of all commercial banks increased more than 5 billion dollars, or 11 percent.

The central bank authorities became concerned over inflationary dangers, and in the latter part of August increased discount rates at the Federal Reserve Banks and initiated open-market operations to make it less attractive to the banking system and other lenders to sell Government securitics for the purpose of expanding loans. The responsibility of the Federal Reserve to support the operations of the Treasury in refinding large maturing issues of securities limited the use of the general central banking instruments of credit zestraint, and business loans of banks and other lenders continued to increase to new high records under the pressure of the strong inflationary forces in the economy.

The fiscal operations of Government did not contribute to inflationary forces in the third quarter of the year, except insofar as anticipations influenced the plans and conduct of consumers, workers, and businessmen. The third quarter is one of relatively low revenue receipts by the Treasury, but there was a modest budget surplus in that period of 1950. Budget expenditures for the Defense Department were less than in the third quarter of 1949,- and were slow in rising despite large increases in appropriations. Government contracts to purchase have economic effects long before payments are ultimately made by the Treasury. But even these effects were limited, since the business world received far fewer orders than it had been led to anticipate from speculations in the daily press and trade publications.

The force which directly sustained the rapid advance in economic activity during the third quarter was civilian demand, buttressed by rising civilian income, but without any extraordinary increment from Government sources. Producers were making goods for civilians, and not for defense, when they pushed up the industrial production index 6.6 percent between July and August, and continued to carry it forward, although less rapidly, during the next two months.

The effect of the Korean outbreak, and of the resulting burst of buying by consumers and by business firms, was a sharp rise in the prices of industrial raw materials, farm products, and foods. Many of these prices became more stable by the end of July. But a general advance of the index of wholesale prices of industrial products continued, although with decreasing rapidity, until Thanksgiving Day, when they were 9 percent above the level of the last week in June. Retail prices advanced more slowly, but this was merely a postponement of inflation, since the retail price level ultimately reflects earlier changes in wholesale prices.

In considering at midyear appropriate domestic economic policies to meet the new situation, assumptions had to be made about the size and character
of the defense program. The assumption then adopted was that the defense program would move forward in stages, with defense expenditure reaching a peak rate in two years. It followed that, for the first twelve months, the diversion of productive resources would not require drastic readjustment of the civilian economy. The initial program of the President to stabilize the economy was based upon this hypothesis.

The principal legislation requested and enacted to deal with the new outlook included additional military appropriations, higher taxes, and increased control powers. Additional appropriations of more than 15 billion dollars for building up the armed forces, increasing military assistance to Europe, and enlarging stockpiles were requested in the course of the summer and were approved. The President, in his first legislative proposal in July, recommended an increase in taxes of 5 billion dollars. In response to this request, the Congress enacted a tax measure imposing additional rates on individuals and corporations to add about 4.7 billion dollars to the annual revenue. In November, the President recommended an excess profits tax, and signified his purpose to request broader tax legislation in January.

The principal powers requested by the President, in recommending the enactment of the Defense Production Act of 1950, were to allocate materials and limit their use, to limit credit to consumers and for new construction, and to furnish direct and indirect financial assistance to business expansion. Following the passage of the Defense Production Act of 1950, including authority to control prices and wages, official action was centered upon establishing selective controls. These have the great advantage of striking directly at the point where it is desirable to apply restraint. The Federal Reserve Board moved immediately to require larger down payments upon automobiles and other consumers' durable goods than those in many instalment contracts, and to shorten the period for full payment. A month later the Board tightened its requirements. The affected goods were largely those in the manufacture of which it is necessary to use raw materials required for the defense program.

The Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Housing and Home Finance Administrator established regulations requiring larger down payments and shorter periods of amortization in the construction and sales of new houses. The Secretary of Commerce promulgated rules limiting exports of specific commodities in short supply which were either directly required in defense production or were of great importance to the domestic economy. The National Production Administrator prohibited the use of building materials in structures for amusement and for a few other purposes. One of the first orders of the Administrator prohibited the accumulation of excess inventories of certain essential commoditics. Vigor was shown in the exercise of authority to establish priorities. A basic regulation established priorities for purchases for defense. Such regulations, however, have the defect that they take a part of an inadequate supply and leave all other users to battle more furiously for the remainder. 'To remedy this defect
with respect to some important scarce raw materials, orders were issucd to limit the amount which manufacturers might use in their operations.

Evaluation of the group of controls established during the five months following the attack in Korea runs into the difficulty that causal relations are very hard to establish in the economic world. Of some controls, such as those restricting exports, it may be said with confidence that they had positive effect in reducing the total demand for certain goods. Of others, such as the regulation of the terms of instalment credit, it can be said that the expansion of instalment credit was virtually halted. But one cannot know how far this was caused by the tighter credit terms, and how far it was due to other influences affecting the purposes of consumers.

Price increases of raw materials and commodities at wholesale, which got under way in the summer of 1950, were checked near the end of the third quarter. For six weeks before the blow from China, the wholesale price index advanced very slowly. The course of prices of basic raw materials changed from an upward rush to a series of fluctuations. Wages, in contrast, continued to rise as new contracts were signed in many industries. A large number of these contracts included provisions for adjustment of wages to further changes in the cost of living:

## The Period of Intensified Mobilization

The initiation of heavy hostilities by Cihina in late November blasted the hope that the Korcan campaign could be brought quickly to a close. 'The support of China by the Soviet Union disclosed dangers of incalculable magnitude, and made necessary a vigorous increase in the speed and size of defense preparations.

The most important of the immediate changes in the Government defense program was an increase of almost 100 percent in the draft calls ordered by the Department of Defense for January and succeeding months. The plan for procurement for the armed services required substantial upward revision. Additional funds were requested of the Congress in December to finance the initial phase of the revised procurement program, and were provided. There was no great change in the placement and scheduling of orders before the end of 19.50 .

Industrial production in December remained close to the level established during October and November. Employment, when scasonal adjustment is made for the customary additions to the labor force and jobs incident to the holiday trade, was likewise steady. Yet national income and consumers' income continued to rise under the impact of rising prices and rising wages.

Wholesale prices of industrial products, which had moved slowly for nearly two months, immediately pressed upward at the same fast rate at which they had advanced in July and August. In the four weeks
following the Chinese intervention the index rose more than in the preceding eight weeks, and more than in the four weeks following the Korea attack. Wholesale prices of farm and food products, after a sharp rise in the first week in December, were stable for two weeks and then bounded upward again.

The market conditions under which wholesale prices advanced rapidly in December were very different from those of July and August. Christmas buying by consumers was little if any greater, in unit volume, than in 1949. Wholesale price rises in December seem to have been very largely the result of two factors: first, an effort by sellers to increase prices before they could be frozen by price controls and, second, the evaluation by businessmen of the pressure on prices which would flow from an accelerated defense program, and a continuing upward trend of money incomes. An inflationary spiral of rising costs of production, rising prices, rising wage rates, and still again rising costs was soon under way. (See chart 3.)

This new impetus to inflationary forces in December was not related to any deficit financing by the Treasury. A substantial budget surplus and a larger cash surplus was accumulated during the month. This rounded out a period of five months over which there was a net surplus, and a calendar year in which the Budget was close to a balance. Bank credit, on the other hand, continued to add to the inflationary pressure at an accelerating rate. Outstanding business loans of commercial banks in 94 leading cities, which had expanded at an average weekly rate of 160 million dollars since the end of June, increased more than 700 million dollars in the three weeks following the China attack.

The important positive measures taken by Government during December to stabilize the economy were quick action upon a corporate excess profits tax bill, which was made ready for final enactment before January 3; an extersion of the rent control act; an order of the Federal Reserve Board increasing member bank reserve requirements; additional allocation and limitation orders by the National Production Administrator affecting specific materials, and in some cases specific uses; and an order establishing a Government monopoly in importing rubber.

Other measures were preparatory. The President issued a proclamation on December 16 declaring a national emergency, and immediately thereafter established the Office of Defense Mobilization to which he assigned control and direction of the exercise of the powers given to the President by the Defense Production Act. Before the end of the month, the Director of the new agency was coordinating the plans and operations of the several government offices under his jurisdiction.

The Economic Stabilization Administrator hastened the work of organizing a staff to administer price and wage controls, the need for which promised to be far greater under the accelerated defense program than before. When the major automobile companies increased prices on their

## WHOLESALE PRICES

Wholesale prices, ofter a moderate advance in the lst half of 1950, rose rapidly and on a wide front in the 2nd half of the year to new record levels. During the year farm prices scored the largest increases, with food and industrial prices not far behind.


PERCENTAGE CHANGES

new models, the Administrator issued his first mandatory order fixing prices at the December 1 level until March 1, 1951. This was followed by an order, in compliance with the statute, prohibiting wage increases for the same period. These orders had limited effect upon the inflationary forces that were affecting wholesale prices and the cost of living. But they were in a sense preparatory to much more extended action. The Administrator scheduled a series of conferences with producers of a number of basic industrial commodities to consider means of holding down prices of their products until his organization was prepared to administer more extensive price and wage controls. This procedure closely followed the early course of action to control prices in 1940-41. The precedent was further adopted in appeals to businessmen to follow, voluntarily, a price policy which the Administrator outlined in a formal statement.
At the end of 1950, the national cconomy was subject to forces of inflation far more powerful than those which arose following the original outbreak in Korea. Originally the advance in prices, which had slowed down during the mid-autumn, was accelerated under pressures which promised to continue until curbed by positive action. The outlook is that to these pressures there will soon be added the direct effect of the rapidly expanding military program, with its increasing draft upon productive resources which have thus far continued to serve civilian needs. Clearly it will be necessary to resort to more direct action than has thus far been taken to prevent inflation from continuing its destructive spiral. The policies now needed are treated more extensively in a later part of this Review.

## II. Guides From the Past

THE ECONOMY at the end of 1950 is the foundation on which we must build for the task ahead. But this economy was not built in a day; and the experience of more than one year can contribute much to our understanding of the job to be done. The five years between 1940 and 1945 witnessed a gigantic conversion from peace to war. The work immediately before us now, measured by defense goals already defined; is of smaller magnitude. These goals are not based upon the prospect of inevitable total war; they are a part, rather, of our continuing efforts to achieve a durable peace. Nonetheless, as the Nation now embarks upon a program for conversion to defense, it would be folly to ignore an experience into which so much effort was poured only a few short years ago.

The record of economic development between the cessation of hostilities in 1945 and 1950 is also of large significance today. That record exceeded expectation in reconverting from war to peace, without the prolonged dislocation of postwar periods in earlier times. The managerial art labor skills, the private financial mechanisms, and the public policies which smoothed and accelerated this transition, are national assets which should embolden us now as we face an even harder task. Moreover, these five years have brought our economy to unprecedented levels of strength, judged by every index of business activity and by all of the human and other resources which enter into our total power. We are far abler than a decade ago to assume the heavy burdens now confronting us. Yet the very fact that our resources are now being more fully used than they were in 1940, makes more difficult in some respects the problem of redirecting a large part of our resources to national defense.

Thus the history of the past decade, in war and in peace, should encourage and guide us in the months and years ahead. If we evaluate this experience carefully, it can contribute immensely to the shaping of policies for the future.

Conversion From Peace to War, 1940-45

## Magnitudes of expansion

The first major United States defense appropriation was requested in Junc 1940, at the time of the fall of France. The estimates presented to the Congress in January 1941, were described by the President as a budget for "total defense." The total of defense spending authority requested by that time was 29 billion dollars, or nearly 30 percent of the total national
output of the preceding twelve months. During the following year, 46 billion dollars were added, bringing the total to 75 billion dollars over a period of 18 months in terms of the prices then prevailing. In 1950 prices, this was roughly equivalent to about 115 billion dollars. The budget presented in January 1942, one month after Pearl Harbor, was called "a budget for a Nation at war in a world at war." From that date forward, the only limitations on our war efforts were the intensity of our purpose, the skill of our planning, and our physical capabilities. The dollars were made available as rapidly as they could be used.

The results were stupendous. The dollars were used to train, feed, house, and clothe 12 million men in uniform, and to provide them and the armed forces of our allies with munitions of war. Victory was the final measure of success. The economic dimensions of this effort, however, were shown by the growth of Federal war expenditures. The 1941 rate was nearly $21 / 2$ times the second half of 1940 rate, and the rate in 1942 was over 3 times the 1941 rate. Total war expenditures in 1944 were 135 billion dollars, or nearly 13 times greater than the rate during the second half of 1940. These comparisons are all stated at the 1950 price level, in order to make them more meaningful.

Expenditures for munitions production more than doubled in 1941, and in 1942 were $31 / 2$ times their 1941 level. In 1944, they stood at about 85 billion dollars (in 1950 prices), or more than 13 times the annual rate in the second half of 1940. This 1944 outlay represented production of 96,000 aircraft, 18,000 tanks (nearly 30,000 had been produced in 1943), more than 30,000 ships and nearly 2 million tons of aircraft bombs. It supported the landings on the Normandy beaches and on the Pacific islands, and made good the tremendous attrition of full-scale combat. Had necessity dictated, it could have been still further increased.

But understanding of what took place would be superficial, if one looked at the military effort alone. Behind this effort was a build-up of the economic strength which underlies striking power. This build-up was ample in scope and unparalleled in sizc. Total national production in 1941 was about 15 percent greater than in 1940. (See appendix table A-10.) In 1942, it increased by nearly 15 percent again. In 1944, the peak year of war production, total national output was about 60 percent above the 1940 level. The surge of industrial output was even greater. The index of industrial production rose 30 percent in 1941 above the previous year, and rose a further 23 percent in 1942. It reached its peak in 1943. In that year, and again in 1944, it stood about 90 percent above the 1940 level. Agricultural output increased nearly 20 percent between 1940 and 1944, despite a substantial reduction in the farm labor force and continued shortages of many farm supplies. The rise in total output not only supplied the primary military effort. It was also sufficient to service vital industrial expansion, and actually to achieve some general improvement in the basic consumption standard of those employed at home. In short, a
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major productive effort enabled us to grow stronger, not only on one vital front, but on several vital fronts. This conclusion is clear, even though there are statistical difficulties in comparing peacetime output with war output. (See charts 4 and 5.)

## Sources of expansion

The mainspring of this growth was an economy which possessed great power and resiliency. Although total production rose by 60 percent between 1940 and 1944, the level from which it started was very high by the standards of any other country in the world. The years of common action to combat depression, and to help those groups and areas which had been hardest hit, had built new sources of strength into the economic structure.

From 1940 onward, the basic sources of expansion were three: the absorption of unemployed manpower and other idle resources into production; the expansion of productive capacity through enlarging plant and facilities; and the recruitment of new workers-for example, more women--into the labor force. Each of these three sources played a major role in the final result.

## TOTAL OUTPUT

Total production of goods and services in 1944 reached a peak about 60 percent above the 1940 level. In 1950, it was about 50 percent higher than in 1940.


They operated concurrently, and their contributions to the expansion of output cannot be separately measured.

Between 1940 and 1944, the total number of people in the armed forces and civilian employment combined rose by 17.3 million, or about 35 percent. Of this increase, 10.9 million went into the armed forces, and 6.4 million were drawn into civilian employment. 'Io supply this incré.c, 9.9 million, or well over half, came from the increased participation of the population in the labor force and normal growth, while 7.4 million were absorbed from the pool of unemployed which existed in 1940. Thus the expansion of the labor force was more important than the reduction of unemployment in mecting our manpower needs.

From the standpoint of timing, the major increases in civilian employment came during the years 1940-42. The largest growth in the armed forces occurred during the next two years, particularly during the twelvemonth period from mid-1942 to mid-1943, when 5 million men, or over 40
percent of the total increase, were put in uniform. This timing eased the jeb of preparing for all-out war production.

The enlargement of labor effort did not come only from increases in the number of workers. Between 1940 and 1944, average working hours in manufacturing industries rose from 38.1 to 45.2 hours a week, or by about 19 percent. In construction, they rose from 33.1 to 39.6 , or nearly 20 percent; and in bituminous coal mining, they rose from 28.1 to 43.4 , or nearly 55 percent.

This tremendous increase in labor input was devoted largely to the production of finished munitions, civilian goods, and essential supporting services. A substantial fraction, however, especially up to mid-1943, was devoted to enlargement of plant and facilities for the production of munitions and basic materials. During the five-year period from mid-1940 to mid-1945, investment in industrial and industrial-scrvice facilities totalled nearly 57 billion dollars (in 1950 prices), or nearly $41 / 4$ percent of total national output. Of this total, over 16 billion dollars worth were put in place in 1942 alone, the peak year. This represented nearly 7 percent of total national output.

The great emphasis in this investment program was on facilities for the production of ordnance, aircraft, ships and chemicals (including synthetic rubber). These categories alone accounted for almost 60 percent of the total outlays for war industry facilitics during the five-year period. The factory space devoted to aircraft production was increased 13 -fold from January 1940 to March 1944.

Other very large programs were undertaken for the expansion of basic capacity in metals and metal products, machincry and electrical equipment, and petroleum and coal products; these categories, accounted for another 24 percent of the total. Rough estimates indicate that, between 1939 and the end of 1945, the total capacity of all manufacturing industrics was increased by as much as 30 percent. In individual industries, the increase in capacity was 12 percent for stecl, over 50 percent for nonelectrical machinery, and 70 to 75 percent for chemicals and electrical machinery. Electric generating capacity increased by more than one-fourth. In an even shorter period, aluminum capacity increased nearly six-fold. In a number of less essential industries there was little or no increase in capacity, and there were serious lags in maintenance and modernization.

As shown in chart 6 , these expansions of capacity supported very large increases in output. Between 1940 and 1944, steel production rose by nearly one-fourth; power output by about one-half; and aluminum production nearly quadrupled.

Direct Government financing played a major role in the World War II expansion program. Nearly 72 percent of the total war industrial facilitics of the period were built with public funds. The major reason for this was that such a high proportion of the facilities constituted special types needed for direct war matericl output, offering only limited prospects of economical

postwar use. The facilities built for ordnance, aircraft, and shipbuilding, for example, accounting in all for a little over half of total public and private outlays, were more than 90 percent Government-financed. In the expansion of basic industries such as stecl and machine tools, Government and private industry put up about equal shares, while the transport and utility expansions were financed predorninantly from private sources.

Policies were developed to support expansion in ways which were orderly, least disruptive of community life, and most compatible with our enterprise system. Recruitment and training programs, special transportation arrangements, day nurseries, special arrangements for part-time workthese and related policies were devised to secure maximum participation in the labor force. Investment policies, including direct Federal loans, loan guarantees, and accelerated amortization, were devised to secure maximum participation by private business in the needed expansion of capacity.

## Shifts in resource use

Despite the great increase in total output between 1940 and 1944, it was not possible merely to superimpose the war program on our civilian economy. Massive shifts in the use of resources were required. The size and difficulty of these shifts, were only partially indicated by changes in the broad components of the labor force or of total national output, hecause many shifts were within major components, and virtually all major segments of the economy showed some expansion.

In 1940, Federal defense expenditures absorbed about 2 percent of the total national output of 184 billion dollars (all of these figures are based on computations in terms of 1950 prices). In the first quarter of 1941, the percentage was about 7 ; in the first quarter of 1942, it was about 20 ; and in the first quarter of 1943, it was over 40 percent, or close to the wartime peak. In the peak war year 1944, war outlays absorbed about 45 percent of a total output of nearly 300 billion dollars. Civilian consumption in the aggregate rose somewhat in every war year except 1942, being nearly 15 percent higher in 1944 than in 1940. Per capita food consumption grew considerably, although the more equitable distribution meant declines in consumption for many. Nonetheless, there were marked and unfavorable changes in the range and quality of available consumer goods, which cannot be adequately accounted for in the statistics. Furthermore, there were many individuals and families whose tax payments rose substantially more than income, and whose standards of living declined markedly. As a proportion of total output, consumption declined from about 70 percent in 1940 to about 50 percent in 1944.

War demand for particular types of materials, facilities, and skilled manpower was great enough to require the virtual elimination of production of a number of durable consumer goods. Total production of all consumer durable goods was cut by about one-half between 1941 and 1944. From relatively small quantities at the beginning of 1940 , direct military consumption of steel rose to more than 40 percent of total supply in 1944, and of copper and aluminum to two-thirds or more.

On the manpower side, only agricultural employment and domestic service showed major and steady declines between 1940 and 1944, falling by nearly 2 million. Construction employment rose by nearly a millionalmost doubling-between 1940 and 1942, and then was cut back even more as the expansion of facilities was sharply contracted. All other major areas of employment remained fairly stable, or showed increases, throughout the five-year period. By far the major increase was in the metals, metal-working, and other durable-goods industries chicfly devoted to munitions production. Employment in the durable-goods industries expanded from 5.3 million in 1940 to 10.9 million in 1944. This was equivalent to nearly 60 percent of the total increase in nonagricultural wage and salary workers between 1940 and 1944. (See chart 7.)
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## Inflationary pressures

These very great increases in employment, working hours, and production generated similarly large increases in incomes-even with adjustment for increases in prices and wage rates. Disposable personal income (in 1950 prices) rose by nearly 45 percent, or roughly 55 billion dollars, between 1940 and 1944; income before taxes rose even more. (See table 1.) During the same period, the supply of consumer goods and services increased by less than 15 percent, for while total production expanded by 60 percent, or nearly 115 billion dollars, Federal war expenditures absorbed the whole of the increase, and the declines in private investment and net foreign investment were not sufficient to permit a greater expansion of consumption.

The inflationary pressure caused by incomes rising so much faster than civilian supplies was only partially counteracted by taxation. ${ }^{\text {* With gov- }}$ ernment expenditures rising much faster than revenues, and with restricted civilian supplies, there was necessarily a very great increase in personal savings. They rose from about 5 percent of disposable personal income in 1940 to nearly 25 percent in 1944. In 1944 private saving, both personal and business, totalled more than 60 billion dollars (in terms of 1950 prices).

Table 1.-Production and private income and expenditure, 1910 and 1914
[Billlons of dollars, 1950 prices]

| Item | 1940 | 1944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production: |  |  |
| Total production of goods and services (gross national product) | 184.4 4.6 | 298.6 135.0 |
| Income and expenditures: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Disposable personal income. | 133.0 | 189.9 |
| Retained business receipts : | 21.2 | 27.8 |
| Total retained private income. | 154.2 | 217.7 |
| Personal consumption expendituras. | 127.2 | 144.3 |
| (Iross private domestio Investmont. | 27.6 | 12.1 |
| Total private domestlo expenditures. | $1 \mathrm{LH}$. | 156. 4 |
| Total excess of private income ( $f$ ) or expenditures ( - ) | -. 6 | +01.3 |

${ }^{1}$ For deflnition, see appendix table O-3.
Source: Based on data in appendix table A-10.
At no time during the war period, however, were taxes and funds earmarked for savings sufficient to offset inflationary pressures. Between 1940 and 1944, the index of consumer prices rose by 25 percent, and the index of wholesale prices by nearly one-third. The bulk of these increases occurred before the inauguration of general price control in 1942, but strong upward pressures nonctheless persisted, making the task of maintaining stable prices one of continuing difficulty. Furthermore, when the war ended and controls were removed, the very large volume of liquid savings accumulated during the war was a major inflationary factor in the reconversion period.

## The relevance of World War II experience

This résumé of World War II experience has points of relevance to the large though different defense effort now being undertaken. It indicates that the economic aspects of mobilization, whether partial or complete, are not confined solely to servicing the military front. Policies must be directed toward achieving that balance between strength on the home front and strength on the fighting front which provides the greatest total strength in terms of the strategy of the contest. The résume underscores the importance of expanding production. But it also shows clearly that a magnificent increase in production could not avert the necessity for cutbacks and reallocations of manpower, materials, and equipment to new purposes. And although the Nation started its defense effort at a time when there were many slack resources, this did not prevent the rapid gathering of inflationary forces.

To meet these problems, many and varied policies were adopted and made effective. Government expenditures, financial aids, and tax incentives contributed to the rapid expansion of total output. By the end of 1943 they were setting the pattern of final demand for over 40 percent of national output, and largely guided the necessary shifts in manpower. Direct allocations of materials, and prohibition of nonessential uses, assured the availability of resources to meet these primary needs. Increased tax rates, savings campaigns, and rationing helped to restrain the pressure of civilian incomes on limited supplies. Direct price control and wage stabilization gave necessary support to these policies, and at the same time kept the remaining pressures from breaking out of bounds. It is a major question, however, whether inflationary pressures could have been contained successfully over a longer period without higher taxation.

It is of high significance that, even with this necessary intensification of public controls and centralized action, the great records of wartime production were achieved in large measure without destroying-and in fact, by enlivening-the dynamic initiative of enterprise all over the country. This not only helped us to win the war; it also left us in a strong position to move forward when hostilities ceased.

The value of this experience is not lessened because the problems we now face are somewhat different from those which confronted us after 1940. Experience is useful, not only in dealing with identical situations, but also in dealing with new variations. Besides, there are numerous important points of similarity between what we must now do and what we did after 1940. 'That experience should be studied and drawn upon now. More detailed reference to its pertinence will be undertaken in the policy section of this Review.

## CHANGES IN PRODUCTION \& EMPLOYMENT SINCE 1944



Conversion From War to a Pracetime Egonomy, 1945-50
In the Midyear Economic Review of last July, the Council undertook an analysis of developments during the preceding five years. This survey outlined the unparalleled height of peacetime productive power to which the American economy had ascended. The survey also revealed new magnitudes and modes of private economic behavior and public policy, which suggested new limitations on the applicability of traditional cycle analysis. The mildness of the 1949 business recession, and the speed and vigor of the recovery, led the Council to suggest that new factors of stability had been built into the structure of our system, and that this would contribute to further dynamic growth.

The tasks now confronting the Nation suggest a further appraisal of these five years in a somewhat different perspective. The cconomic situation now is vastly different from what it was in 1940, when we began so vigorously to build up military strength and to adjust the rest of our economy to this primary task. In consequence, the Nation is now in a novel situation as it
faces a defense emergency. This, in turn, has great bearing upon what we now need to do, and upon the speed and facility with which we can adjust to the accomplishment of new goals and the assumption of new burdens.

## The general pattern of developments

The dominant feature of the beginning of the reconversion period was a precipitate decline in war expenditures. From their peak of about 135 billion dollars in 1944 (in 1950 prices), these expenditures dropped to only about 25 billion dollars in 1946, or by more than 80 percent. They declined still further in 1947.

Despite this drastic contraction of the major single segment of demand, the total output of the economy fell by only about 15 percent between 1944 and 1946. By the end of 1950, after the moderate setback in 1949, total output stood close to the 1944 level. (See chart 8.)

Industrial production, weighted so heavily during the war by-munitions output, has varied much more sharply than total output. From a 1943 peak of 239, the index of industrial production fell to 170 in 1946; or by nearly 30 percent. Since 1946, except for the 1949 setback, the index has climbed steadily, and by the end of 1950 was within 10 percent of its wartime peak year. (See chart 9.) Except for the industries which had concentrated on munitions production, virtually all types of industrial output at the end of 1950 were substantially above wartime peaks. In view of the record of expansion and modernization of industrial facilities during the postwar years, basic industrial capacity is now well above the 1944 level.

Agricultural production as a whole did not decline at all from its wartime levels, and in both 1949 and 1950 was well above those levels. Furthermore, there were substantial shifts in types of farm output, toward higher-value livestock products more nearly in accord with the pattern of consumer demands at high levels of incomes.

The physical volume of total new construction in 1950 was more than 15 percent above its 1942 wartime peak.

Thus in some areas of basic importance to long-term economic growth and to the steady improvement of living standards, production in 1950 was substantially above its wartime levels. Even though total output was probably no greater, it was attained with far less strenuous utilization of plant and manpower. The capacity to produce was much greater.

The pattern of output in 1950 was predominantly adjusted to the needs of a prosperous peacetime economy. Total civilian consumption was onethird above the 1944 level. It absorbed about 70 percent of aggregate production, compared with about 50 percent in 1944. (See chart 10.) Residential construction in 1950 totalled 12.5 billion dollars, or nearly ten times the 1944 level (in terms of 1950 prices). About $61 / 2$ million new passenger cars, or 11 billion dollars worth, were produced in 1950, compared with practically none in 1944. A completely new product, television,

## INDUSTRIAL OUTPUT

Total industrial output rose about 90 percent from 1940 to the wartime peak in 1943, when it was very heavily weighted by munitions production. During 1950, although below the wartime peak, it was 60 percent above the 1940 level, and was rising rapidly.


## CHANGING SHARES IN NATIONAL OUTPUT

The record of the past decade shows the growth and changing pattern of the economy under the impact of World War II, the conversion to peacetime, and the postwar resumption of expansion. Real consumption has expanded steadily to new all-time highs, while total output in 1950 was only moderately below the wartime peak.
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was being produced at a rate in the neighborhood of 2 billion dollars a ycar. Throughout the civilian economy, new peacetime records were being established.

## Labor participation and business investment

Between 1944 and 1946, the total labor force (including the armed forces) declined from 65.9 to 60.8 million, or by about 5 million. Over this same period, the decline in the armed forces was about 8 million. Thus there was an increase of about 3 million in the civilian labor force, although many women and older people left the labor force, and many veterans resumed their education instead of looking for jobs. After 1946, both the total labor force and the civilian labor force steadily increased. By late in 1950, the total labor force was close to the World War II peak year, 1944. The civilian labor force was higher than in 1944 by well over 8 million, or more than 15 percent; and civilian employment was also higher by more than 6 million, or more than 10 percent.

Throughout most of the postwar period, with the exception of 1949 and the first half of 1950, unemployment was little if any above what might be regarded as a normal peacetime average, between 2 and $21 / 2$ million, or $31 / 2$ to 4 percent of the labor force. This level of unemployment, however, was substantially above the 1944 average of 670,000 or 1.2 percent of the labor force.
Average weekly working hours, however, have declined substantially. In manufacturing, they fell from their 1944 peak of 45.2 to 40.4 in 1946, or by about 10 percent. After that, they remained between 39 and 41. In other industries, declines of smaller magnitude took place. The eight-hour day and the five-day week became widely established as an acceptable balance between work and leisure under going wage rates and aggregate demand for labor. Thus there was a substantial decline in the intensity of labor effort during the postwar period, measured by degree of participation in the labor force by women and older people, by volume of unemployment in relation to the total labor force, and by length of the work week.
With continuing high employment and intensive investment in modemization and expansion of productive facilities, there has almost certainly been a substantial improvement in productivity. Although statistical measurements in this field are necemarily lacking in precision, it seems likely that output per man-hour for the economy as a whole has increased by at least 10 percont during the past five years.

Business investment has been a major cause of this rise in productivity. Outlays during the postwar years for nonfarm plant and equipment have totalled over 90 billion dollars, or 7 percent of total national output. (See chart 11.) These expenditures rowe substantially in every year until 1949, when there was a slight drop, and again increased charply in the second half of 1950. This very large volume of investment was devoted not only to the

## NONFARM PLANT AND EQUIPMENT OUTLAYS

The total physical volume of private and public facilities investment reached a wartime peak in 1942, which was equalled in 1948 by private investment alone. Government outlays for productive fucilities accounted for a large share of the total from 1941 to 1943. Private outlays in 1950 were 90 percent of the wartime peak for total outlays, and 52 percent above 1940.


[^0]enlargement of plant and equipment, but also to extensive modernization programs. These activities have established a base for further increases in productivity, and have greatly enlarged our capabilities for the tasks ahead.

Steelmaking capacity is about 8 percent greater now than it was at the end of 1944, despite the retirement of much obsolete capacity just after the war. Capacity for refining petroleum has increased about 28 percent in the same interval, and electric utility generating capacity has risen nearly 40 percent. Much more rapid growth has occurred in some important industries such as chemicals and electrical machinery, which are estimated now to have capacities about two-thirds larger than at the end of the war.

Changes in the production of individual basic industries have differedmarkedly. (See chart 12.) Output of crude petroleum at the end of 1950 was more than 75 percent above the 1944 level; of electric power, more than 50 percent; and of steel, nearly 15 . Aluminum production, however, was moderately below the wartime peak, and coal production had fallen nearly 20 percent.

Some estimates indicate that the over-all capacity of private manufacturing industry increased about 27 percent between the end of 1945 and the end of 1950, compared with 31 percent during the period 1939-1945. In absolute terms, the expansion was probably larger in the five postwar years than during the war.
Since the Federal Reserve Board index of manufacturing production is only now approaching the peak registered during the war, it might appear that present capacity could support very much more than the present level of output. But these possibilities should not be overrated in the face of the mobilization task before us. The over-all estimate of expansion of capacity during 1945-50 refers to the pattern of output of the past few years, and is less relevant to the considerably different pattern of output needed in the build-up of economic mobilization. Moreover, a significant part of the reported postwar increase in private industrial capacity for production of civilian-type goods did not represent a net addition to total capacity; it was secured by reconversion of war facilities. Finally, some existing capacity of the less essential types may not be fully utilized in the next few years, as manpower and materials are necessarily diverted elsewhere.

## Incomes and demand

In the years from 1945 to 1950, the volume and pattern of private demand again became the main influence upon the volume and pattern of production. This was true although, by prewar standards, government programs remained large. Civilian consumption and private investment accounted for about 86 percent of total national output in 1950, compared with about 52 percent in 1944.

The deficiencics accumulated during a decade of depression and incomplete recovery followed by five years of war, and the new wants generated by high employment and high incomes, were tremendous. These high
incomes, together with more than 150 billion dollars of wartime liquid savings, gave an additional impetus to an economy released from the needs of war, comparable to the impetus imparted by the great volume of defense appropriations from 1940 onward. As peacetime incomes and consurner spending continued to rise, business adjusted its investment plans to what were gradually recognized as permanently greater markets.

The expanding scope of business investment in productive facilities has already been outlined. The production of housing and durable goods for consumers was even greater. The still larger output of nondurable goods and services showed a slight but steady upward trend, despite the increasing absorption of consumer savings and incomes by housing and durable goods. By 1950, total consumer expenditures, together with residential construction, amounted to about 203 billion dollars, or in the neighborhood of 40 percent above the 1944 level.

During 1946-50, residential construction and output of durable consumer goods together totalled roughly 160 billion dollars (in 1950 prices), or 12 percent of total national output over the whole period. Some 21 million passenger cars were produced, and the total number of passenger cars on the road rose from about 26 million at the end of 1945 to about 40 million by the end of 1950 . Between $41 / 2$ and 5 million new housing units were put up, raising the total stock of housing by nearly the same amount.

The high and rising level of total production was necessarily accompanied by high and rising incomes. Both in the aggregate and in cornposition, the output available to consumers after 1945 was much more nearly in accord with the demand arising from those incomes than it had been during the war. By the first half of 1950, there were reasonable grounds for believing that we could look forward to a period of orderly economic expansion.

True, there had been considerable periods of time between 1945 and 1950 which were characterized by strong inflationary pressures, notably after the hasty abandonment of controls in 1946. This inflationary experience stemmed from many causcs. But particularly, it indicated that financing too large a proportion of a war burden through borrowing, and too small a portion through taxation, leads to dangerously excessive purchasing power in the postwar period when the cashing-in of wartime savings adds to the purchasing power generated by current production.

There were times when the consequences of these inflationary pressures seemed to threaten a major and sustained economic reversal. But even when the first manifestations of deflation appeared in 1949, the underlying factors of strength appeared to be predominant. Many stabilizing factorsboth private and public-had been developed to make the economy more shock-resistant. The Council accordingly urged business to move ahead confidently with its investment planning, and did not advocate the sharp shift in Government policies which a more pessimistic appraisal of the situation would have called for. The recovery in the first half of 1950 , before
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the stimulating effect of the developments in Korea, was vigorous and extensive.

## The implications of our current prosperity

Having reviewed five years of war and five years of peacetime prosperity, the central question arises: What economic strength, actual and potential, do we now have to throw into the scales on the side of human freedom? This question may be approached, first of all, by comparing our economic situation now with our situation in 1940 when we commenced in carnest to serve as the arsenal of democracy.
We now stand at the close of a decade of intensive economic growth, while in 1940 we were just emerging from ten years of depression and partial recovery. Our total national output, in real terms, is more than 50 percent higher than it was ten years ago. Our industrial output is 70 percent higher. Our agricultural production is 2.5 percent higher. Our labor force is larger by more than 8 million people, and the actual number
at work and consequently absorbing training and skill is nearly 13 million higher. (See chart 13.)

Production in the durable goods industries, from which the bulk of munitions output comes, has increased more than 80 percent. Steel capacity is about 103 million ingot tons, compared with about 84 million at the end of 1940; aluminum capacity is about $21 / 2$ times as great; electric power capacity has expanded by 70 percent; and oil refining capacity by 40 percent. Actual production in certain of these industries has expanded even more: steel by more than 50 percent, power by about 130 percent, and petroleum by about 120 percent. (See chart 14.) We have 4 percent more freight cars and nearly 80 percent more trucks in service, and both these types of transport equipment have improved markedly in effective carrying capacity. Stocks of consumer durable goods are substantially greater in proportion to the population. At the same time, however, it must be recognized that with respect to certain natural resources, such as high-grade iron ore, copper, sulphur, mercury, and high-grade saw timber, we are not in so favorable a position as we were ten years ago.

CHART 13
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By almost every available measurement, our current productive strength has far surpassed that of a decade ago. Total per capita output of consumption goods in 1950 was roughly 30 percent higher than in 1940; in the case of consumer durables alone, per capita output was probably about three-fourths higher.

We are also far better equipped than ten years ago in our supply of many of the facilities for maintaining our national security or for fighting if we must. We have a substantial reserve of plants and equipment for increased production of military items. The privately-owned United States merchant fleet now totals about 1,200 vessels of about 14 million dead-weight tons, compared with a tonnage of about 10 million at the beginning of 1940. In addition, there are 2,200 Government-owned vessels, most of which are in the national defense reserve fleet. Our military bases and training facilities are far greater than in 1940. These provide a source of greater immediate strength; in addition, they mean that the resources which we had
to devote to the expansion of such facilities during the period after 1940 are now free for other uses.

Not only is our economy enormously stronger than ten years ago, but on top of this we are in an excellent position to expand our strength. It is true that we have fewer unutilized resources of manpower and basic industrial plant than in 1940; but this disadvantage-if it be called that-is at least partially compensated for by the fact that present plans do not call for so gigantic a military build-up as was undertaken successfully during World War II. Thus we have a larger proportion of our resources available, as well as larger absolute amounts, to devote to the further build-up of our economic strength in the years ahead.

Moreover, there is still substantial slack in our economy when measured against the efforts which we should now exert. In addition to the roughly 600,000 to 700,000 persons per year who will be arriving at working age through normal population growth, we can increase the effective strength of the civilian working force substantially by recruiting and training more secondary workers, and by lengthening the work week by several hours without jeopardizing health or morale.
More important than manpower, in which we cannot exceed in number the forces arrayed against us, we have reserves of technology and inventiveness which have been the major sources of our superior industrial strength throughout this century. No one can calculate precisely how much we can add to production through the further practical application of already achieved scientific knowledge and already demonstrated ingenuity. But no one can doubt that our resources in this direction are enormous, if we use them to the full in the factory and on the farm.

We expanded total output by about 60 percent during the war years between 1940 and 1944. Expansion by about 25 percent over the next five years-which would represent a less intensive effort, although starting from a period of less slack-would give us the supplies for both an enormous defense effort and a strong supporting economy, if these supplies were distributed efficiently according to priority of need. We could do far better than this, with an intensified effort on all fronts.

So much for our assets. Yet it cannot be denied that the conversion to defense problerns is ir some respects more complicated than in 1940. This is because we are now so much closer to the full utilization of our currently available productive capacity than we were ten years ago. For example, it was easier in some respects after 1940 to bring unemployed people into defense work, than it will be in the months ahead to shift them from peacetime employment to defense work (although even here the skilled and currently employed worker will almost certainly prove more productive than one who had been idle for a long time). The slack in the economy, for a substantial period of time after 1940, meant that the step-up of over-all production resulted in a widespreàd improvement in living standards, especially among those who had been partially or completely idle. On the contrary
now, because of the fullness of the living standards which we have recently been enjoying, the vast increase in the defense "take" will certainly mean for a considerable period of time the abandonment of yearly gains to which the population had rightfully been accustomed in an expanding peacetime economy. Further, there will be need for sacrifice of some benefits now enjoyed, as well as of others that had been held in prospect. In short, we must now make a sharp and drastic switch from some types of highly rewarding activity to other types of activity. This is more difficult in some ways, and calls for more drastic policies of some types, than the drawing of idle resources of plant and manpower into defense production.

But despite all this, one salient fact remains of transcendent importance: Our total resources and productive power are far more adequate to serve whatever task may confront us than they were in 1940. The expanding defense program, now getting under way, will require sacrifices of goods and services by the people, measured against what they have recently been enjoying. But a defense program of this size, or considerably greater size, would still leave the people with a higher general standard of living than they had before World War II or during the course of that war. If, under these circumstances, the fact that we have less slack in the economy than in 1940 is used to support the argument that we consequently can less afford to meet whatever burdens our national security may require, that would be tantamount to saying that the great prosperity and economic strength which we have achieved is a handicap rather than an asset.

A few years ago, it was said correctly that the Soviet Union would gain immensely if there should be a depression in the United States. Such an event would have weakened us immeasurably. It would be a grim irony indeed if our prosperity had made us weaker for the tasks ahead than if we were in a recession or mild depression. It is true that we must. now show the courage and vigor to convert employed strength to new purposes instead of drawing upon uniemployed strength. But our prosperity could be a liability rather than an asset only if we had become soft and fat in becoming prosperous. That has happened to other civilizations before; but we cannot afford to let it happen to the United States. We must beat many of our plowshares into swords; but let us start with the confident realization that we have more real power than ever before to do so.

# Part III. The Magnitude of the Task Ahead 

The General Nature of the Task

IN 1940, the array of our enemies included the two greatest industrial nations in Europe and the Far East. In winning the war against them, some people say that we may have overestimated their economic potential and consequently their military strength. But even if this be true, it is of small significance compared with the gains we derived, in setting our production targets, from not underestimating our opponents.

It is true that, at the present time, the aggressor nations do not even approximate the actual and potential industrial strength of the United States alone-and far less, of the United States and the free nations associated with us. Steel capacity is often cited as a vivid illustration. The current capacity of the Soviet Union and its satellites is estimated to be about 25 to 30 million tons. That of the United States is about 103 million; and that of Western Europe about 60 million. Undoubtedly, measured by many other indexes as well, and by skilled manpower and technical know-how, our margin of current superiority is large.

But the economic strength of the Soviet Union is both great and increasing. It is concentrated upor building up those sectors of the cconomy which support military effort. Toward this end, the Soviet Union is willing and able to compress and degrade the people's living standards, below levels conccivable in this country. Technical ability has been revealed to do new and difficult things. And because the Soviet Union demobilized to a far lesser extent than did the Western Nations at the close of World War II, its present striking power is formidable.

Confronted by this threat, the general nature of our economic task in support of our primary defense build-up is three-fold. In the first place, and of first priority, our cconomy must provide the manpower and materials to achieve a large and very rapid increase in the immediate military capabilities of ourselves, and to aid in building up the strength of our allies. Second, we must achieve as rapidly as feasible an expansion of our industrial mobilization base, sufficently large to enable us to swing rapidly into full-scale war production if necessity should require. And third, really as a corollary of the other two propositions, we must service our industrics and our civilian population with enough goods and services, not to maintain them in peacetime grooves, but at least to maintain them strong enough
to support quickly or in the indeterminate future any intensification of the military effort which circumstances may demand.
These three objectives cannot all be fully served. Consequently, the allocation of great but nonetheless limited resources among these three purposes is the task of economic and military mobilization-whether partial or complete. This task is in some respects more difficult than in a total war, because the outbreak of hostilities of that kind removes some of the imponderables from the scene. In the current situation, these imponderables still remain. We do not know whether the ultimate test will come, or when it will come, if it comes. Yet in apportioning our total resources among these three purposes, there must be some guiding strategy as to which among various contingencies should be given most weight. This is true because the apportionment of our resources which would be best on one hypothesis, would not be best on another. While we must retain enough flexibility to adjust our strategy rapidly if conditions change, there is no such thing as getting fully ready for a variety of conflicting hypotheses.

The initial hypothesis must result from an appraisal of the international situation, which in turn governs the speed and scope of the primary military build-up. That must be determined by those directly responsible for international and military policy, subject of course to the President and the Congress.

While that basic military determination in the very nature of things can never be complete or final, its status at any particular time provides the frame of reference within which economic policies must be formulated. In this framework, the effects of the military effort must be analyzed and programs formulated for the best use of resources to mesh our economic strength with imperative military needs.

The size and scope of the primary military build-up, which provides the central frame of reference for economic analysis and programs, has now been determined, at least for the time being. This permits the Council to turn to an examination of the economic aspects of the new job before the nation.

## The Size of the National Securrty Build-Up

New obligational authority already granted or anticipated for national security programs at the time of the President's Budget Message this January will probably total much closer to 150 than to 100 billion dollars for the fiscal years 1951 and 1952 combined. The amounts for each of the two fiscal years will probably be roughly equal. These funds are intended to achieve as rapidly as possible a virtual doubling of our strength on the ground and in the air, and an increase of more than one-half in our naval strength. The funds are intended also to provide military and economic aid to friendly nations abroad; to enlarge the atomic energy programs; to expand our industrial mobilization base; and for stockpiling and other purposes.

The very large volume of obligational authority already granted or in

## NATIONAL SECURITY EXPENDITURES AS PERCENT OF GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT


prospect has already had a major impact upon the economy-far more than would be indicated by the moderate increase in expenditures which has so far occurred. Expenditures, however, are the most useful indication of the size and speed of the continuing procurement effort, and of the proportion of total output going to defense.

Federal expenditures on all these national security programs totalled about 18 billion dollars in the fiscal year 1950, the last full year before the Korean outbreak. At the present time, they are running at an annual rate of somewhat more than 20 billion dollars, and by the end of the calendar year 1951 they should attain an annual rate between 45 and 55 billion dollars, or from 2.5 to 35 billion above the rate a year earlier. The procurement effort, twelve months from now, should enable this volume of expenditures to be expanded very rapidly if necessary. In addition to these direct Federal expenditures, there will be major investment programs by private industry to build or convert the facilities necessary for defense production.

These dollar figures represent present plans. Depending on events, it
may become necessary to increase them substantially. Attainment of present plans would mean that, between the end of calendar 1950 and the end of calendar 1951, the proportion of total national output devoted to expenditures on national security programs would increase from less than 7 percent to possibly as much as 18 percent. This compares with an increase from about 4 percent in the last quarter of 1940 to 16 percent by the last quarter of 1941, and to about 38 percent by the fourth quarter of 1942. In terms of relation to total output or absolute increases in defense expenditures, the acceleration of effort now under way is substantially below that achieved in the first year after Pearl Harbor. (See chart 15.)

This effort will nonetheless impose a substantial strain on manpower, materials and facilities. In terms of manpower, the present target is to increase the total strength of the armed forces by nearly 1 million men within a few months. Procurement objectives have so far been scheduled in detail sufficient only to permit the roughest appraisal of the total number of administrative and industrial workers that may be required. But this total is unlikely to be less than 4 million persons by the end of this year, and may well be considerably more. Thus the minimum manpower now estimated to be required by the additions to our national security programs totals about 5 million, or nearly 8 percent of the average 1950 labor force; and this total may be very much increased. A considerable proportion of this additional personnel must, of course, be drawn from other industries.

Because military procurement schedules have not yet been developed in full detail, and because of the need to relate purchases of basic materials for the strategic stockpile not only to our own military procurement and essential civilian need, but also to those of friendly nations abroad, assessment of the probable drain on basic materials over the coming twelve months is very difficult at the present time. In the case of steel, for which there is no stockpile objective and where supplies are far less tight internationally than they are for a number of other basic materials, the direct requirements of national security programs as presently known are unlikely to exceed 10 percent of total supply by the end of this year. Indirect needs for the expansion of essential industrial and transportation facilities, however, will increase this percentage substantially. In the case of materials like copper, aluminum, rubber and wool, however, national security requirements are likely to be substantially greater, ranging up to one-fourth, one-third, or more of total supplies.

The direct requirements of our national security programs do not, of course, include the total additional burdens that will be thrown on the economy by our present needs. There must be an expansion of productive facilities not only for the production of munitions, but also for the production of basic industrial materials and services. The creation of an industrial mobilization base which would on the one hand be adequate for a very rapid expansion to all-out military production if that should become necessary, and on the other hand support a long-continuing effort of the present size
without impairing our strength, is a task which is as challenging as the direct defense effort itself. The size and character of this task is discussed in the following section.

## Expanding Production To Meet the Strain

In earlier Reviews, the Council has stressed that even in peacetime the effective operation of the American economy is based on continued growth; and that when growth does not occur, it is because of undesirable influences which threaten stability as well. These influences require corrective action in ordinary peacetime, and the principle of growth is even more applicable in times like these when we urgently need every bit of our strength.

The basic sources of our capacity to grow are two: manpower and productive facilities. In neither case is sheer quantity the only consideration. Training, quality, and the skill with which manpower and facilities are organized to achieve our objectives, are also vitally important in the job ahead.

## Increased labor participation

The size of the present targets for national security programs is not sufficiently great to call for an all-out labor effort of the peak World War II magnitude, nor to give absolute guides as to the extent to which we should seek to draw into the labor force additional people beyond those who would enter on the basis of normal population growth. Nor is the extent to which we should rely on lengthened working hours, as an alternative to expansion of numbers, determined in the present situation. If, however, our national security programs are to be fulfilled, and if, in addition, we are to increase our productive strength and maintain civilian consumption at reasonable levels, it is clear that a labor input substantially above the level of the past few years will be required.
In the present situation, it appears generally desirable to place greater emphasis on increased numbers rather than on lengthened working hours. This would mean a larger trained working force should full mobilization be forced upon us, and would forestall the fatigue and lowered productivity that come from working many extra hours over prolonged periods. But at least in the case of highly skilled labor in important industries, it is clear that longer hours will be required in order to produce essential goods. The balance which will in fact be struck among these two sources of increased labor effort, and the degree to which the total labor effort will in fact be increased over the coming year, cannot be forccast, nor influenced by government policy with precision.

It is useful, however, to examine alternatives as a basis for appraising our productive capabilities. An average increase, for example, of 2 hours in weckly working hours throughout the economy, which would be feasible, could lay the basis for an increase in total output in the neighborhood of 5 percent, apart from any changes in productivity. Likewise, an

## LABOR FORCE UTILIZATION

The total labor force in 1950 was within 2 percent of the peak year in World War II, when over II million men were in the armed forces. Total civilian employment, however, was 10 percent above the wartime peak year.


A larger percentage of the population is in the labor force today than in 1940; however, it is considerably lower than the prevailing rate during World WarII.
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increase of 2 million persons in civilian employment would permit an expansion of output by roughly 3 percent. A substantial fraction of such an increase could come from a reduction in unemployment, and from the normal annual growth of 600,000 to 700,000 in the labor force. Changes such as these in the intensity of labor participation in production would still be substantially below the wartime peaks. Average weekly working hours in 1944 were 45.1. The average labor force participation rate then was 63 percent, compared with 58.5 percent in 1950, and with the roughly 60 percent for the end of 1951 implied above.
The lengthening of working hours and rapid expansion of civilian employment, together with conversion difficulties, would tend to have an unfavorable impact on productivity. Such evidence as is available, however, suggests that there would probably still be some rise in over-all productivity, although perhaps at a somewhat lower rate than in past peacetime periods. (See chart 16.)

## Expansion of productive facilities

As a result of the programs to expand capacity during World War II and during the postwar period, and because our present defense production targets are smaller than those of World War II, we find ourselves in 1951 facing a quite different set of needs for facilities expansion than was the case in 1940. While the creation of an adequate industrial mobilizaton base will call for a considerable amount of new and enlarged capacity to produce munitions, that type of investment will probably be substantially smaller than in the years 1940-43, when we had to build up our munitions capacity from scratch. Short of total war, investment of other types should remain on a much higher level than during World War II. This is necessary if we are to carry a very heavy burden of primary defense for an indefinitely long period, and at the same time maintain our productive capital for necessary growth of output.

The high desirability of expanding general industrial strength for the long pull, however, should not prevent us from putting first things first. For some time to come, we cannot afford unrestrained and indiscriminate use of resources for investment any more than for consumption. Over the coming year, the prospective shortages of materials, skilled manpower, and specialized facilitics call for a selective pattern of investment, giving major emphasis, in addition to munitions facilities, to the expansion of capacity for the production of those basic industrial materials and services which are of crucial importance both to a wartime economy and to an expanding peacetime economy. Where expansion programs require several years to complete, it is even more important to start at once.
The steel and electric power industries present two outstanding examples of the problem under discussion.
Iron and steel. The determination of objectives for the expansion of iron and steel production illustrates the extent to which economic issues
turn on the general strategy of the national defense program. For example, if total war in 1951 were regarded as inevitable, it would probably be an inefficient use of resources to start further substantial increases in steel capacity now. It would be better, under that assumption, to use the available steel for making weapons instead of for building more capacity; and to rely on enormous cutbacks in civilian use of steel to release enough steel for war purposes.

But our primary defense strategy does not now rest on the inevitability of a total war in 1951 or in any other year. On the contrary, that strategy rests on a sufficiently rapid build-up of military strength-substantially short of total mobilization-to deter aggression, while at the same time creating an industrial mobilization base which would facilitate rapid achievement of maximum military effort if that should become necessary. This strategy, which contemplates a substantially increased military burden of indefinite duration, must also allow for the servicing of industrial and consumer needs at a considerably higher level than would be permissible in an all-out war emergency.

The principal drain on resources involved in an expansion of steelmaking capacity is the use of steel itself. If the quick adjustability of our economy to full-scale mobilization in case of need would of necessity be impaired by plowing some steel back into expansion of steelmaking facilities, such expansion would be at best risky. But if the necessary steel can be borrowed from uses not essential to mobilization, there is a strong case for the expansion of capacity. If we do become involved in a major conflict, it seems certain that a larger steel capacity would stand us in greater stead than the equivalent amount of steel in the form of additional automobiles or commercial buildings.

The prospective steel requirements for munitions production and essential industrial uses are not so large as to call for elimination of so many of the major ordinary peacetime uses as was the case during World War II. While we shall have to reduce drastically the production of automobiles and other consumer durable goods over the next several years, and similarly curtail less essential types of public and private investment in construction and equipment, we still shall be able to devote sizable quantities of steel to such uses. The cost of a steel expansion program is a slightly greater reduction of such types of output over the next few years. On the other hand, the consequences of not expanding capacity adequately would be the indefinite prolongation of a serious steel shortage requiring elaborate and irksome controls.

The rate at which we ought to expand steel capacity cannot be precisely determined. In part it must depend on the maximum rate at which iron ore supply can be assured-a problem discussed below. It depends also on the balance between major types of steel consumption-notably public and private investment, and consumer durables production-and other
activities which we should like to achieve. The cost in terms of resources of the expansion of capacity must also be considered. We should not propose as an objective the meeting of all demands for steel four or five years hence on an unrestricted basis, even if we could determine just how much steel that would take. It would be an extravagant use of resources to build up a capacity capable of eliminating in short order the backlogs of steel demand which will have been incurred in the next few years, in addition to meeting the long-run normal demand. In the type of long-run economic situation we must contemplate, all resources will be scarce; for the present we should attempt only to bring steel production up to the point where it will not be a principal deterrent to economic expansion, nor require the indefinite continuation of comprehensive controls.

Our analysis indicates that we should aim to bring steel capacity up to about 120 million ingot tons within 3 or 4 years, or sooner if feasible. This takes account of such considerations as the increase in aggregate production we should be able to achieve; the amount of output that would be available for other uses; the probable use of steel in defense production; and the rate at which it would be feasible and desirable, given the probable volume of future demand, to increase stocks of goods and equipment in the hands of consumers, business, and government. Some types of requirements, notably steel for automobile production, are projected at rates somewhat below 1950; while other types, like industrial machinery, exceed the 1950 level.

The cost of the additional blast furnaces, steel furnaces, and finishing facilities would total about 2 to $21 / 2$ billion dollars, in terms of present prices. This amounts to about 12 percent of total business plant and equipment expenditures in 1950. The finished steel requirements for the expansion would total 3 to 4 million tons, or $1 / 3$ to $1 / 4$ as much steel as was used in automobile production in 1950, or two to three weeks' output of the stecl industry at the present rate of production. The copper requirements would represent about 5 percent of current annual supply, and aluminum requirements 2 to 3 percent. An expansion of steel capacity from the present 103 million tons to 120 million, therefore, does not appear to involve any drain on our resources which we could not fairly easily offset by moderate further curtailment in some less essential uses over the next few years. The stecl industry has already made. application for accelerated amortization on approximately this amount of expansion.

A much more difficult and pressing problem than expanding stecl capacity itself is that of maintaining and expanding the supply of iron ore without which steelmaking facilities are useless.

There is, first, the immediate need for more ore-carrying boats on the Great Lakes. Stocks of ore at lower Lake points are so dangerously low that some blast-furnace shutdowns may occur this winter and next. Several of the necessary boats are already under construction, and additional ones should be started as rapidly as the ways are vacated. In view of
prospective shifts in ore sources, these vessels should be convertible for use on the salt water of the lower St. Lawrence River.
Recent estimates indicate that we shall also be hard pressed, for several years at least, to mine and import enough iron ore to keep our expanding steel industry operating near capacity. In 1950, our ore consumption was about 109 million long tons. A steelmaking capacity of about 120 million tons would call for about 130 million long tons of ore a year to keep it busy. Output of the Lake Superior mines can be maintained at present levels for only a few years longer. 'Thereafter, supplies from that source will fall off fairly rapidly, and we shall have to rely more and more on imports (principally from Venezuela and Labrador, and to a much lesser degree from Chile, Brazil, and Liberia) and on refined low-grade ores such as taconite. The St. Lawrence seaway project must be begun immediately if imported iron ore is to be economically available in quantity in our inland steel centers by 1956, when the flow of Mesabi ore will almost certainly have begun to dwindle.
Electric power. The case for accelerated expansion of electric power capacity is even more compelling than in the case of steel and iron ore. Present supply falls even more seriously below the demands that would arise in a full-scale war, and any major curtailment of less essential civilian uses is more difficult than in the case of steel.

The over-all national power generation capacity, under average hydroelectric conditions, was about 67.5 million kilowatts at the end of 1950 , representing an increase of almost 10 percent during the year. The reserve margin came up to about 12 percent of load in 1950, having been restored during the past few years from a dangerously low level. According to recent estimates of planned public and private expansion, about $71 / 2$ million kilowatts of new capacity will be added during each of the next three years, representing a somewhat faster rate of expansion than has prevailed since the end of World War II. The annual rate of investment outlays involved will approach 3 billion dollars, covering generating equipment and also new and enlarged transmission and distribution facilities.
At the present time, electric power is in short supply in the Pacific. Northwest, in the Temnessec Valley area, and some other regions. The supply is expected to become increasingly tight throughout the country as demands will increase faster than the expansion of capacity now planned. Reserves will fall more and more below safe and desirable margins. Already the reserve margin has practically disappeared in the areas where the power shortage is most acute. Expansion of programs in atomic energy, chemicals, aluminum and other metals related to the defense effort will impose an additional load of 4 to $41 / 2$ million kilowatts on our power facilities.

In the face of this situation, government policies must be adjusted to the need to make capital, materials and workers available to the utility enterprises to which we must look for the major expansion of electric power capacity. Public hydro-electric projects take more time, but devel-
opment of additional public power capacity in the Pacific Northwest, in the Tennessee Valley area, at Niagara Falls, along the international section of the St. Lawrence River as a part of the seaway and power project, and elsewhere, can provide more than 6 million additional kilowatts of capacity within 5 or 6 years.
Relationship between military and industrial planning. The foregoing effort to specify investment and expansion needs is sketchy. More precision will emerge through the more comprehensive study and planning now under way as to the gencral size and major classifications of investment and capacity expansion. This will result in programs compatible with maximizing our total strength by achieving the wisest allocation of our resources between military and civilian use, and among various segments of the civilian economy. This more comprehensive industrial planning is linked with the current effort to develop primary military requirements in more detail. For only to the extent that these primary requirements emerge, can the rest of the economy be most effectively enlisted in their support.

## Obstacles to expansion

The preceding discussions of labor effort and investment in productive facilities have necessarily taken little account of the bottlenecks in individual materials, in key facilities and in skilled manpower that will certainly occur over the coming twelve months. These and other difficulties of a conversion period will tend to slow down the rapidity of expansion. Such bottlenecks could become serious in the case of individual items and in individual localities. There is no way, however, in which to measure in broad terms their impact on production. Nor docs the experience of World War II, or the present size of military plans, offer any basis for believing that individual bottlenecks will become of such general importance as to impede the broad expansion of output.

## Production goals for the end of 1951

Under the Employment Act of 1946, the Council is called upon to define "needed levels of production" for the economy as a whole, as a primary guide to economic policy. This we have donc each year. In the face of current circumstances and problems, we feel that maximum production for 1951 should bring an annual rate of output of about 310 billion dollars, at 1950 prices, by the end of the year. While this rate of growth is much higher than the average rate of growth sustainable in more normal times, it is not above the reach of our resources as we now should use them.

The total increase would be about 20 billion dollars, or about 7 percent, above the annual rate at the end of 1950 . Roughly 15 billion dollars of this total gain could be expected to come from increases in employment and working hours, and the remainder from increases in productivity. The degree to which over-all productivity is likely to increase during a period of conversion, with major shifts in type of output and with many new
workers being brought into the labor force, is necessarily uncertain. The amount here assumed is somewhat lower than appears to have occurred in past peacetime periods.

## Impact on Givilian Supplies

Even with the large increase in total output which has been estimated as attainable, the expanding defense program will have a major impact upon consumption and living standards. If the total output and national security programs discussed in the preceding section are realized, some reduction in total per capita consumption may take place, and very sharp cuts in the production of individual items of consumption will be required, at a time when total employment and working hours had increased substantially.

Defense needs for basic materials and skilled manpower can be expected to force particularly sharp cutbacks in some areas. Production of passenger cars and other metal-using durable goods may well have to be cut by percentages ranging frorn one-third to well over one-half below the record levels of the second half of 1950.

It will be impossible, from the viewpoint both of total resources and particular supplies, to avoid sharp cutbacks in many types of private investment in order to service: the defense program. Already, steps have been taken to cut back investment sharply in certain less essential areas. During this year, housing will have to be cut back substantially and less essential types of construction by much more. These cutbacks, and others which must follow, should lead to a considerably lower level of total investment in 1951 than in 1950. In short, both consumption and general investment must be restrained to service the primary defense program more rapidly than total output can be expanded. The pattern of investment in 1951 must be very selective, so that cutbacks in less essential areas can be combined with the maintenance and in some cases expansion of investment required for the achievement of an adequate mobilization base. If private judgment and Government policies are used with sufficient skill to effectuate this balanced realignment of investment, even a larger defense effort in future years would be more bearable. Thus the problem of mobilizing our economic strength for the long pull rests very heavily upon the programming and execution of realistic investment goals geared to priority of needs. Allocations, tax programs, and various incentives should be shaped to the nature of this problem.

## Inrlationary Pressures

The expansion of our total national output, with selective emphasis upon vita! lines of production, will help to ease the immediate burden of our erlarged defense objectives. In the longer run, production, and more production, is the most fundamental economic remedy.

But production alone will not be enough to solve immediate problems. In the course of this year, as already indicated, national security programs will increase their take of the total output of the economy from about 7 percent to possibly as much as 18 percent. The increase will be much greater in the case of particular types of materials, skilled manpower, and facilities. Since it is manifestly impossible to increase production at this rate, and according to this pattern, there must be a large-scale diversion of resources from less essential uses to those having the highest priority. The total volume of goods available for private consumption and investment must be cut. This gives rise to major problems of materials control, and to intense inflationary pressures.

While the supply of goods that buyers want will be cut back, consumer and business incomes will be sharply rising. During the course of 1951, there will be a general expansion of income resulting from the increase in production required to meet our defense objectives. Longer working hours and more employment will result in an equivalent expansion in wage and salary income, cven without allowing for overtime pay, upgrading, and wage and salary increases. Gross farm income will also rise as production increases, apart from any allowance for upward price movements, as will corporate profits. While, even under the current tax structure, increased taxes will absorb some of the increase in incomes generated, disposable personal incomes would, without prompt and substantial new tax action, increase much more than the supply of consumable goods.

Further increasing the dangers arising from the pressure of expanding incomes against limited civilian supplies is the fact that consumers already have more than 150 billion dollars in liquid assets, which can in large measure at their discretion be thrown into the markets for goods; and corporations have almost as high a volume of liquid assets as at the end of the war. Actual and anticipated shortages of particular materials and goods, and concern about continuing price rises, will, unless counteracted, greatly reinforce the desire to spend money and to acquire tangible goods.

These facts would represent a very seriously inflationary prospect for the coming year even if the past six months had been a period of reasonable economic stability. Yet the economy is now, and has been for some time, in the throes of a serious inflation. Between June and December of 1950, this general inflation raised wholesale prices 11 percent and consumer prices by about $41 / 2$ percent. Average hourly earnings rose about 4 percent between June'and November, and corporate profits before taxes were about 28 percent higher in the fourth quarter than in the second. The rise in consumer prices occurred despite a generally adequate supply of consumer goods. Price rises, in considerable measure speculative, spread out from the primary commodity markets through the cost structure generally, communicating upward price tendencies to a wide variety of products. Wage increases were granted in many industries in amounts substantially above the rise in the consumer price index. Neither the increase in primary commodity
prices nor the wage increases of 1950 have fully worked themselves out through the price structure of intermediate and final commodities; and many classes of workers have been left behind in the wage advances of the past six months.

If price and wage increases such as have characterized the last six months were permitted to continue, consumers would be induced to draw heavily on their holdings of liquid assets-either to protect their standard of living and the real value of their savings, or for speculative reasons. The ability and incentives of business concerns to enter such a movement to convert liquid assets into goods would be even greater.

This leads to the conclusion, which will be amplified in the policy section of this Review, that anti-inflation weapons must be employed more widely and more rapidly than in the period from 1940 to 1942. The measures now being planned and put into effect by the Economic Stabilization Agency are a reflection of the urgency of the task.

The main reason for the seriousness of the inflationary problem, however, is not that we are now weaker but rather that we are now stronger than we were then. Being more fully prosperous now, we have less room to undertake new burdens without generating inflationary pressures. If we were unwilling to convert the strength underlying this greater prosperity to meet the new challenge now confronting us, that strength would become a liability rather than an asset. But if we are willing to channel that strength-of tools and manpower and skills-we shall find ourselves now better able than ever before, and far more able than in 1940, to measure up to the responsibilities imposed upon us by world events.

## How Mugh Defense Can Our Egonomy Support?

While a defense effort of the size now under way will sharply alter the character of the functioning economy, there can be no question that this effort to achieve national security is well within the power of our resources and our skills. It is a far smaller effort than we have made in the past with far fewer resources and skills; and it is a far smaller effort than we could safely and effectively make in the future if our national safety should so requirc. It is an effort of a magnitude which will involve sacrifices and restraints and changes in customary ways on the part of all of the people. These changes may be great, when measured against the habits of peacetime. But they are mild indeed when measured against the issues at stake, or against what the American people have done in their earlier history at times when the national interest has taken clear and universal precedence over personal pursuits.

Decp as some of the needed cuts may appear, they could hardly be described as austerity. Per capita consumption at the end of 1951 would be far greater, perhaps by more than one-fourth, than in 1940, and even further above 1929. (See chart 17.) By the standards of any other country

## PERSONAL CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURES <br> 1950 PRICES

TOTAL. CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURES


PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURES


SOURCE: COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS.
in the world, such consumption levels could only be described as luxurious. Moreover, consumers are currently better stocked with household equipment and automobiles than ever before. At the present time, there is one automobile for every 3.8 persons in the United States, compared with a ratio of one to 4.8 in 1940. Our housing standards, though far from being fully satisfactory, are substantially better than at the beginning of World War II.

Although over-all consumption levels will be high, many individuals and families will suffer much sharper cuts in their standards of living than would be indicated by the aggregate figures; and the general range of consumption goods will be much more restricted than in recent years, and less in accord with consumer wants. This is the necessary meaning of higher taxes and restrictions on the use of basic materials.

The defense program will impose many restraints upon economic activity as well as upon personal consumption. But the restraints upon consumption will require sacrifice, not real hardship, and the restraints upon business activity will have no results justifying the complaint that the program is beyond the capacity of our economy.

The expanding defense effort which has thus far been defined may, of course, have to be greatly expanded in future, or level off. Later on, this Review will make some reference to the economic consequences of a larger defense effort. At this point, suffice it to say that a leveling off of the rate to be reached at the end of the calendar year 1951 would impose in succeeding years lesser and not greater strains upon the economy. This is because the economy would gradually "build up" to meet the new burden. By way of rough illustration, if the labor and investment efforts which will be essential to accomplish the targets for 1951 are achieved and maintained, total national production at an annual rate should rise by about 25 percent within five years. This would bring us about 60 billion dollars above the current level, which would be enough to absorb a yearly defense effort at the levels to be reached at the end of this year, to maintain and expand our productive plant, and at the same time to bring per capita consumption for a growing population at least up to the level achicved in 1950.

Under current international conditions, however, cconomic analysis cannot stop short with an appraisal of the impact of the present defense targets. It must also help to appraise what the economy can sustain and support in. terms of its actual and potential resources, and to shed light upon what variations in this program--whether upward or downward--would still be compatible with the maintenance of the strong economy required to support the military effort.
'This further responsibility is particularly' apparent at a time when there is an entirely appropriate debate throughout the nation on this very question of what our economy can afford to do. The viewpoint is sometimes expressed that if the military build-up should advance above some stated size,
it would within a few years "bleed our economy white," and thus accomplish through our own actions the purposes of the Soviet Union.

On this point, we can draw upon our own experience in World War II. We devoted as much as 45 percent of our total output to defense purposes and, far from wrecking our economy, we continued to build its basic productive capacity. The consequences were revealed when hostilities ended. In the light of this, the American people can certainly not take the position that the allocation of as much as 18 percent of our much larger resources to national security will reach or even near the limits of what we can do if necessity demands. Economies have not been wrecked because the people decided to do with fewer new pleasure cars and elaborate mechanical amusements, or wear their topcoats for longer or get healthier by eating less.

This point is so important that the illustration needs to be made more concrete. Let us suppose that, by the end of the calendar year 1952, international conditions made it desirable for us as a nation to be devoting 25 percent of our total output to national defense. It should be feasible with increasing efforts to expand total output by the end of 1952 by close to 15 percent, or roughly 40 billion dollars above the present level. At this level of production, the allocation of one-fourth of our total output to national security-if this should become necessary-would by no means be inconsistent with maintaining our fundamental economic strength and productive power. Marked changes in the composition of output would be required, but nonetheless it would permit the maintenance of satisfactory standards of living, although production of housing and many types of consumer goods would have to be more sharply restricted than presently contemplated. However, a large number of families and individuals would undergo a more marked reduction in living standards than would be indicated by the over-all figures.

To achieve these results, if this should become necessary, would require harder efforts and more sacrifices than we are now being called upon to make. But this larger effort, if it should prove imperative, would neither wreck nor bankrupt the American cconomy. It would still represent a much smaller effort than was achicved during World War II. Yours of work would not be as long; the percentage of the population of working age serving in the labor force would be smaller; and the output of civilian durable goods and of housing would be restricted to a much smaller degree.

An effort of this size would still leave us with enough resources to improve and enlarge our industrial capacity, and to maintain reasonable standards in education, health, and housing. The over-all level of consumption would be lower than the 1950 peak level, but it would still represent a high standard of living. Even on a per capita basis, the level of consumption by the end of 1952 , under these assumptions, would be far higher than in 1944.

Much of the discussion of "what our economy can stand" has involved a misuse of cconomic analysis, and has led to dangerously erroneous conclusions. Every economy, even the most powerful, has ultimate limits upon
what it can support; but in the case of our economy, these ultimate limits are not threatened.
The tests now confronting us are not so much tests of our economy as they are tests of our moral fiber and cohesiveness as a nation. They are tests not so much of our material capacity as of our courage and vision.

We have what it takes to purchase reasonable security in a troubled world, but we cannot buy it cheaply. The way to protect ourselves is to build up our strength; and there are no bargain price tags on aircraft, tanks or guns. Giving up other things will be involved, because we cannot have our cake and eat it too. But we must stop eating so much cake, when the aggressors are arming so many divisions.

# IV. Economic Policies for Defense 

Three Requisites for Economic Mobilization

THERE are three indispensable requirements for an efficient economic mobilization, whether partial or total. The first need is for a thoroughly comprehensive and unified programming operation, to balance competing requirements against available supplies. Such programming is essential to all policy, whether designed to direct resources to the highest priority uses or to avoid disruptive inflation. The second need is for utmost speed in accomplishing defined objectives. The third need is to conduct the economic mobilization program with a determination and fairness which elicit general support from a public kept fully informed of the nature of the program and its implications.

## The need for comprehensive programming

Economic mobilization means a vast and rapid shift in the use of our resources, to which every policy must be attuned. This shift is executed throughout the economic system. But in the very nature of a defense emergency, the Government must outline the basic needs and project the major policies to satisfy them. The essentiality of a complete and always current programming operation does not depend upon "full mobilization." The importance of a clear definition of what needs to be done does not depend upon how much needs to be done. In some respects, partial mobilization requires an even greater clarification of objectives than full mobilization.

The definition of all major requirements necessarily draws its frame of reference from the primary military program, because it is the military build-up which is at the core of the whole effort. More and more precision should be sought, as rapidly as feasible, in the definition of what our primary defense goals are at any particular time. And for the purposes of economic adjustment to these primary goals, requirements in terms of manpower and materials are obviously more important than requirements in terms of dollars. This emphasis is not inconsistent with realization that primary military objectives must remain reasonably fluid, and that for security and other reasons they cannot be revealed in full even where they have become crystallized.

But it would be dangerous to assume that all other aspects of economic programming can be delayed until primary military programming reaches any particular point. There is an interrelationship between the two, which
requires to a considerable degree that they move forward simultaneously towards ever-improving clarification. Nor is it true that the other aspects of economic programming consist solely in saying that whatever is not taken for military purposes is available for other uses. These other uses must in turn be subjected to constant programming, because some of them promote our security and others do not. Our security in the long run depends upon how we divide available resources between maintenance and improvement of our national plant and tools on the one hand, and ultimate consumer needs on the other. Within the industrial structure, it depends upon what kind of activitics we carry forward vigorously and what kind we cut back. Above all, there should be a balance of how much we seek to achieve through cutbacks and restraints, as against how much we seek to achieve through expansion of total production.

The carly experience during World War II afforded eloquent proof that the greatest obstacle to over-all efficiency was the slowness in developing a useful and comprehensive programming operation, and locating it ultimately at one point of authority. The Council now urges that the first steps already taken in this direction be carried as quickly as practicable to their logical conclusion. This does not mean that detailed operations cannot be parcelled out; but it does mean that the ultimate "budgeting" of our resources for defense should be centralized. Budgeting, which is a process of reconciliation of competing requirements, cannot be done in several separate places.

## The need for speed

Speed is of the essence in economic mobilization. This sound principle should not be confused with the question of the size of targets. Speed does not mean, for example, that we should as rapidly as possible achicve total mobilization; that is a matter of grand strategy. Nor does it mean that mobilization should not proceed in an orderly fashion, with speed concentrated first on the earlier steps and later on the subsequent steps of the program. But speed does mean that decisions as to targets should be reached as quickly as possible, and thai every effort should be made to attain whatever targets are decided upon as rapidly as the basic program calls for.

In economic mobilization, tardiness has a cumulative effect because of the interrelationship among all the parts. Delay at one point generates even more delay at succeeding points. Indecision at one point promotes apathy at another. As an apt illustration, there may be debate as to when the Government should apply price controls over a given commodity. But once the Government has announced or intimated that it is going to do so, delay in accomplishing the result can only add fuel to inflation, speculation, and an enervating let-down on the part of the gencral public. Conversely, demonstrated celerity at one point awakens others more fully to the urgency of the situation and consequently accelerates their own necessary actions.

Since our nation is now undertaking to catch up with the mobilized military strength of the aggressors, every day is precious.

## The need for public support

The necessary concentration, during a defense period, of more authority and responsibility in the Government than is customary in peacetime should not blind us to the fact that nationwide understanding and cooperation must be achieved. The very severity of the departure from customary methods, and from the level of peacetime enjoyment of goods and services, makes such public understanding even more essential.

The whole American people should consider the extent of this departure from normalcy, as it affects our economy and our economic problems.

The objective of maintaining maximum employment, production, and purchasing power, established by the Congress in the Employment Act of 1946, should be considered today in the light of circumstances very different from those of peacetime. In the months to come, there will be no general problem of unemployment, but instead one of encouraging the entry of more men and women into a labor force too small to meet all our national needs. There will be no problem of inducing the expansion of purchasing power, but instead one of restraining the growth and the use of purchasing power. Maximum production will be even more vital than in peacetime. But the major problem here will not be to devise national econcmic policies to furnish incentives, markets, or capital to private enterprise, in general, but instead to channel our limited resources of manpower and of materials into lines of production which are most essential.

In the first months after the outbreak in Korea, it was perhaps justifiable in the formulation of national programs to give considerable wcight to maintaining our standards of living or even pushing them forward. It was also hoped that we might progress, although at a slower pace, with national policies of long-range social and economic value. It is still necessary to seck balance between these longer-range objectives and the requirements of national security, remembering that many of these objectives themselves are essential to defense. But in a national emergency, the weight to be given to the defense program grows vastly. The weight to be given to other objectives becomes correspondingly smaller.

The new effort will call for sacrifices not limited to those matters which the citizen himself directs, such as the buying of goods, the settlement of wages, and the making and saving of profits. During the past generation, our people have greatly expanded the role of their Government toward adding to the supply of services which in our civilization make up a substantial part of the requirements of comfortable living. The needs of the American family for education, for highways, for libraries, and for many health services, have been largely reflected in community action. We have steadily enlarged the responsibility of the State for the conservation and development of natural resources, as sources of future goods and scrvices.

The demand for sacrifice now falls upon many of these operations of Government, each of which may compete with defense requirements. Each of these operations must justify itself thoroughly under present conditions, before money, manpower, or scarce materials may properly be allocated to it.

Next to the primary need to meet defense requirements, the policies and programs of greatest importance at this time are those which counteract or curb inflationary forces, and those which assist the expansion of productive capacity. The trend of the economy at the end of the year was highly inflationary, despite the progressive imposition of controls of an increasingly rigorous character. The accelerated defense program will now intensify these inflationary forces. The people must now choose between permitting serious inflation to proceed, and accepting sterner restrictions.

The American people are realistic enough to accept and help to implement these restraints. But by the same token, they are realistic enough to be slow in doing so, unless they know what greater purposes will be served by the surrender or suspension of the lesser but nonetheless important purposes toward which they were oriented before the defense emergency.

Moreover, the Government can only to a limited extent, even in an emergency, tell the pcople what to do; for the most part, it must ask for their action and their cooperation. And their cooperation will be given most enthusiastically if they feel that the burden is being shared equitably by all.

## Maximizing Our Productive Strength

This Review has constantly reiterated that production is at the heart of our economic strength. Only by more total production year by year can we gencrate the enormous power which we will need. This is not negated by the necessity to cut back sharply some lines of production, for the ultimate purpose even of these cutbacks is to get more vital production elsewhere and to enlarge the total. We have also indicated why accent on production may be even more vital, for the long hard pull which now seems to confront us, than in the event of total hostilities. And we have taken a resolute stand against the proposition that there is but little new productive potential within the economy, although we were near maximum production by peacetime standards in 1950. The American economy has not reached an absolute ceiling prohibiting further progress.

The following discussion of production problems is somewhat general. This is in part because a general cconomic staff like the Council can highlight problems, but must leave detailed decisions and execution to operating agencies. It is also in part because many precise decisions on the allocation of our resources for various productive purposes must await the further development of a comprehensive programming and priority operation.

## Industrial expansion

Selective industrial expansion. The need to devote a substantial portion of our resources to the primary military build-up limits our ability to expand productive facilities of all types. The expansion, for the time being, must be highly selective, but it is nonetheless urgent. While highly selective, it should be on a broader base than during World War II. This is true because we are not so short now as we were then of certain critical facilities; and also because, in the absence of total war, we can justifiably put more emphasis upon the development of general strength for the long pull.

Legislation enacted after the Korean outbreak authorizes the use of many of the same types of Government stimuli to selective private expansion which proved useful and essential in World War II.

Up to the end of 1950, 1,014 applications had been filed for the accelerated amortization privilege, covering 3.9 billion dollars of plant and equipment investment. Accelerated amortization had been approved by the National Security Resources Board in 149 cases on a total of 1.0 billion dollars of outlays, or about three-fourths of the total investment contemplated under these 149 applications.

Under the direct Government loan provision of the Defense Production Act, 136 applications had been filed by the end of the year for 822 million dollars of loans; two loans had been granted, for a total of about 1 milion dollars.

At the end of 1950, after the initial three months of operations under the Ican guarantee program authorized by the Defense Production Act, the Federal Reserve Bariks had received a total of 157 applications for this type of assistance, totaling 82 million dollars. All of these requests were for working capital fer firms supplying the Defense Departments directly. Fifty-eight applications had been approved, for a total of 30 million dollars, while the remainder were pending.
Private enterprise and funds can and should play a larger part in plant and equipment investment than was the case in World War II. The expansion program now needed places much less emphasis on temporary needs for war materiel facilities than the World War II program. During the next few years at least, the major part of facilities investment must go into expansion of basic industrial capacity, which will remain useful when peacetime conditions return. Private industry is currently in a much better financial position than it was at the outset of World War II to carry this load.

In most cases, the role of the Government should be limited to a programming of basic needs which will provide industry with a full consciousness of priorities of purpose, and to such controls over materials as will enable high-priority industrial expansion projects to get what they need to do the job.- Government financial assistance and incentives should be centered upon projects required in the national interest which, because of
type, location, or other special cost factors, do not offer a prospect of reasonable long-term returns to private investment.

The incentives offered to private investors can be based on proper economic considerations only if the Government has possible recourse to the alternative of direct construction. This was demonstrated in World War II. The effectiveness of Government policies for encouraging crucial types of expansion should be improved by legislation empowering the Government to finance and construct facilities itself in special cases where reasonable available inducements to private investors are insufficient.
It is becoming increasingly important that we develop and apply standards of security dispersal regarding the location of new plants. In the present situation, very little can be done to alter the location of existing industrial plants and associated community facilities, or to affect plant expansion which is mainly a rounding-out of present facilities. New locations, certainly those aided by the Government, should be established with security in mind. Decentralization may be promoted locally within the commuting radius of metropolitan and industrial centers, or may involve the establishment of new plants in small or medium-sized cities beyond this radius. There is also the broad decentralization which stems from plans to develop regional economic strength.

The economic effects of the first type of decentralization are more localized, and involve mainly labor supply, transportation and communications, a variety of community and industrial facilities, and housing. Wherever possible, expenses of such decentralization should be borne privately; in some cases, governmental aid will be necessary.

The large regional type of decentralization affects the whole economic location patern of the Nation. It is desirable that new industry important to security be located on the basis of careful consideration of the kind of defense and industrial expansion which each region can best support in view of cost and market as well as defense considerations.

Electric power. The electric power outlook, as discussed earlier in this Review, indicates a serious shortage of power-especially in some regions. The further development of power at Niagara Falls would bring in about a million and a quarter kilowatts of very low-cost generating capacity by 1955. The St. Lawrence seaway and power project would mean an additional 940,000 kilowatts of installed capacity, which will be needed in the area within a few years. A speeding-up of public power projects in the Pacific Northwest, plus the starting of several new ones, could add about $1,150,000$ kilowatts in a region of critical shortage by 1954. The TVA program is being expanded greatly, so that some 3 million additional kilowatts should be available in that region by the end of 1953. These projects should be carried forward promptly, to mest the inevitable loads of defense production and other essential uses.

Increases in public power capacity will be much smaller than the increases in private power, which now are expected to total almost 20 million
kilowaits over the next three years, and probably will have to be stepped up. Necessary supplies of critical materials will have to be made available if these power expansions are to be realized.

Atomic energy. In terms of human resources, the atomic energy enterprise constitutes one of the largest bodies of scientific and technical personnel in the country today. In terms of plant and equipment, it represents one of our largest specialized productive assets, ranking with the plant investment of the nation's leading industrial enterprises. Recently industry has shown an increasing interest in participating on a profit and risk basis in developing nuclear power. The Atomic Energy Commission has received exploratory proposals from industry, looking toward the development of dual-purpose nuclear reactors designed to produce power for private use and fissionable material for sale to the Government. If such development should appear technically and economically feasible, a number of new questions would be raised relating to such matters as pricing, patents, and the coordination of the private and public roles. These questions would become the joint concern of the Congress, the President, and the Atomic Energy Commission, as provided by the Atomic Energy Act.
It is too early to calculate the contributions which this source of energy may add to our general productive strength. But certainly, in time, their contribution will be significant. This is another reason why, in the future as in the past, we may expect technological developments to add even more than increases in manpower utilization to the productive power of the United States.

## Basic resources

The prospect of a rapid build-up in national defense during the next few years, followed by an indefinitely long period during which we must maintain and improve our defenses, emphasizes sharply the need for maximizing the productive capacity of our natural resources and the services our transportation system can yield, both for the short run and the long run. In a very real sense, the long-run strength of the economy, for peace or for war, will be measured by the availability of natural resources, their quality, and the care with which we handle them. At this time, when the more strictly civilian expenditures of Government must be held in check, it is essential that we be highly selective in our minerals, soil, forestry, transportation, and water programs. While they must be affected by our lack of resources to do all the things which are important, those which are most essential should be included in the program for industrial expansion.
This calls for intense planning to get the most efficient utilization of available facilitics. It also warns us that, if we are not to be penny wise and pound foolish, we may need to make some highly selective additions to these facilities despite the additional strain upon resources, and to be even
more ruthless in slashing nonessentials. Roads, for example, range all the way from essential feeder lines for military and industrial mobilization to roads which add only to the pleasure or convenience of the peregrinating public.

Minerals. In contrast to our stronger position now than before World War II with respect to manpower and plant and equipment, we are less well off with respect to domestic supplies of the major strategic minerals. Net imports in metal equivalent, expressed as a percent of total U.S. consumption, increased from 1939 to 1949 by 4 percent for iron ore, 13 percent for bauxite, 23 percent for zinc, and 40 percent for lead. For: copper, we moved from net exports equal to 36 percent of U.S. consumption in 1939 to a 1949 net import position of 38 percent. This points conclusively to the need for developing for these metals new sources which are as safe as possible from enemy attack.

Both private and public policies should be directed toward assuring additional supplies of iron ore as quickly as possible. Private companies are going ahead with the Labrador and Venezuela developments, so that by 1954 or 1955 the steel industry will be getting large tonnages of iron ore from these sources. The St. Lawrence seaway and power project should be started immediately, to make additional iron ore available in quantity in the great steel centers of Pittsburgh and the Great Lakes area. Demands on construction materials and manpower for this project would not be large during the next year and a half. If we move swiftly, the seaway could be in operation for the 1956 season, when Lake Superior ore production is expected to be falling off. Increased emphasis should also be given to research into technological methods for refining and using low-grade ores such as the taconites of the Lake Superior region.

Military and stockpile programs require large amounts of aluminum, copper, and many other metals, running in certain instances to a large percentage of total supply. Selective capacity expansion programs should be carefully related to the supplies of ores, electric power, and transport facilities which can be made available. Strenuous efforts should continue to be exerted to increase our imports of certain minerals and metals without harming friendly nations. Careful use of technical and capital assistance can lead to substantial increases in imports of some strategic minerals.

Discoveries of new petroleum reserves have been keeping pace with increased production during recent years, but we cannot expect this to continue indefinitely. Prudence requires that we encourage imports of petroleum and petroleum products, especially from nearby sources, while at the same time giving our full assistance to the development of foreign oil fields to supply friendly nations as well as ourselves. In addition, processes for the production of synthetic liquid fuels from oil shale and coal are rapidly approaching the point where they can be developed privately.

Land and water conservation and development. The pattern of expenditure in these areas which should be followed in the next few years is influ-
enced greatly by the time schedule of the defense program. The rapid build-up of defense expendituren, with the accompanying draft upon materials and other productive resources, will bring the defense part of the national effort to a peak within two years under present plans. Thereafter, if widespread warfare does not occur, and as general production increases, more of our productive resources would be available for civilian needs and for long-range programs of national development. This would give a hue of good fortune to a backlog of internal development projects, which are now well advanced in construction and, having been suspended or continued at minimum pace, will then be ready for immediate resumption on a speedy schedule.
In economizing on natural resources programs, we must be selective in what we curtail and what we allow to proceed. During the period of defense build-up, it will be necessary to curtail progress on certain development projects and programs for flood control, navigation, irrigation, and rural electrification. However, those uncompleted projects which will yield substantial amounts of hydroelectric power or municipal and industrial water supply needed for defense should be brought to completion as soon as feasible. Other developmental projects now well under way, and whose benefits can be made available within about the next year and a half, in general should be completed so that the substantial investments already made can be utilized. Other projects, whether started or only planned; which will make substantial additions to productive capacity, should receive careful consideration.

In this period of selective curtailment of resource development programs, it is important for defense that a variety of basic-data gathering, survey, investigation and experimental activities be continued in the various river basins and other regions. Increasing pressures on our forest, range, and agricultural land resources resulting from the defense program require adequate land management and conservation programs as basic supports for both military and industrial strength.

## Manpower

The objective of full employment takes on new and broader meaning as a result of the defense program. Our manpower resources are a vital factor limiting the ultimate expansion of our mobilization effort. It, therefore, becomes even more important to make full use of persons normally in the labor force. This means that unemployment and underemployment should be reduced to a minimum. To this point, our objectives remain the same as they were prior to the defense program.

In addition, however, defense requirements now make it essential for us to take steps to assure that the members of the civilian labor force are employed in ways in which they can individually contribute most to the total defense program. It means that every effort must be made to use the highest skills which each worker possesses, since the high quality of our labor force is a prime advantage.

The demands of the defense program mean not only that we must use our normal work force fully and efficiently, but also that we must expand the labor force by calling upon persons who do not normally seck employment. It is especially vital to secure complete public understanding of our manpower needs and active community cooperation.
Expanding the labor force. In expanding the labor force, the most important source is women, especially nonworking married women who do not have the responsibility of caring for young children. Women who work in defense jobs and who have children should be assisted, by means of nursery schools and other child care and community facilities, to care for their families and their homes.
Another important group is the aged; they should be encouraged to join the labor force by stepped-up recruiting efforts, and by a relaxation of those hiring standards which bar older workers from jobs which they are capable of performing. In the present state of partial but long-sustained mobilization, we do not believe that teenagers should be encouraged to leave school and join the civilian labor force. We should carry on our vocational rehabilitation work to enable a large number of disabled men and women to become employable; we should train older women, younger persons not in school, and others. Vigorous programs should assist members of minority groups to be utilized to the maximum of their capacities.
In addition to recruiting additional workers, we should utilize all of our present workers. It should be possible to gain about a million more workers by a reduction in the present level of unemployment. Moreover, there are about a million part-time workers who are willing and able to accept fulltime jobs.
Longer hours. Some selective increases in the work week are needed immediately. In certain defense industries, where it is necessary to expand production without waiting for expanded capacity, additional shifts should be instituted. In other defense industries, shortages of critical ukills indicate the need for longer hours for skilled workers. There is not yet a clear and urgent need for adopting a general increase in the work week for the economy as a whole. We should be ready to adopt such an increase as soon as it is needed, and in the meantime effort should be concentrated upon expanding the present labor force so that we will have more workers with experience and training.

Improved utilization of the work force. Attracting workers to the jobs where they are now most needed is at the heart of an effective manpower program. Basic responsibility for this problem must continue to be borne by informed workers in their individual employment decisions. But these decisions must be guided and supported by more positive governmental action.
First, there should be further development of the management-labor committees, now being established in many of the more acute labor market areas. These can help to provide essential local cooperation. Second, arrange-
ments will be necessary to remove the impediments to voluntary transfers of workers from less essential to essential activities, and protect workers affected by the curtailments in nonessential production. Such arrangements should safeguard seniority and pension rights, and offer better protection on a broader basis during conversion unemployment. Third, we must make certain that workers are most productively utilized while on the job. Here employers must assume basic responsibility for necessary training and upgrading, for taking all possible steps to improve the supervision, mechanization, and other factors contributory to maximum productivity, and restrict their hiring to the absolute minimum needed to meet production goals. The Government should encourage and facilitate these actions.

Health, education, and security. One of the thorniest questions confronting the whole defense effort is how to reappraise and redirect the public services whose necessary growth was resumed after World War II, and for which further growth had been appropriately planned before the defense emergency.

In education, for example, we cannot remedy the shortage of school buildings at the pace which seemed eminently desirable a year ago. On the other hand, there is a high priority for promoting education and training in the health professions. Also the vocational education program, which complements within-industry training, must be redirected toward greater emphasis on training for defense jobs. General education, which modern elementary and high school training affords, no less than specialized skills, is essential to the maintenance of a vital citizenry, whether in the civilian labor force or in the military. It would be wasteful beyond description, by any test, to deprive those not yet of military age of decent opportunities for such training, and to force them, by lack of equipment or staffing, into the streets instead of the schools. This would hardly make them more serviceable in the event that an even larger military establishment should become essential by the time they will have reached the age of service.

In the case of health, it is obvious that widespread deficiencies in health care do not make for maximum national strength at any time. Obviously we do not now have resources to build as many hospitals in as many places as we would like to do. On the other hand, certain features of health progress are now more vital than before and should be accelerated. Federal aid should be extended to local health units, with special emphasis on units in the more rapidly growing defense areas. As a part of a community facilities and housing program for rapidly growing defense areas, adequate health facilities and services, as well as schools, will be necessary.

In the case of social security, there are types of expansion which would constitute an immediate drain upon our resources, and which are less urgent by the test of national priorities than they seemed a year ago. But other types of social security expansion, such as old-age and survivor insurance, máy be undertaken without an immediate drain upon our resources, and in fact over the next few years would be anti-inflationary by collecting more
funds than they disbursed. Moreover, since the fight agrinst inflation will make it necessary to reduce the incentives which flow from wage increases available for spending, some incentives may be substituted by granting some wage increases where necessary but withholding them from the purchasing power stream by :ocial security deductions which are a form of compulsory saving. In any event, even without increases in wage rates, there will be an enormous increase in total wages earned, through additions to the laior force and through longer working hours, at a time when it will be impossible to increase the supply of consumer goods. Increased social security deductions might provide one highly useful approach to this inflationary problem.
Because many of these services now under discussion are public rather than private in their nature, it may seem easier to curtail them than to curtail nonessential private activity and spending. But in a national emergency, the question of who spends the dollar, while not unimportant, should be subordinated to the larger question of what use of resources will do most to maximize our total strength. Nonessential Government outlays should by all means be cut; but essential public outlays should have priority over nonessential private demand. This whole problem calls for a kind of "budgeting" process more discriminating than that practiced in peacetime. In terms of the over-all programming of requirements and needs upon which the Council has placed so much stress, the amount of materials, manpower, and money which we can and should devote to education, to health services, and to other factors in human efficiency and morale, should be carefully and constantly weighed.

Housing and community facilities. The World War II experience brought into sharp focus the close relationship between industrial production and sufficient housing of adequate quality. Despite the nearly 5 million new nonfarm housing units which have been built since 1945, we have not yet caught up with the requirements of a nation of our population, incomes, and living standards. This was illustrated by the unprecedented and rising level of house construction in 1950. The defense emergency requires that we now set aside the praiseworthy targets for an even larger number and more varied types of housing which were projected last year and earlier. If the housing goals set forth in connection with the recent credit restrictions do not need to be reduced, they will permit a level of housing output at least sufficient to keep up with new family formations and even to allow some reduction of overcrowding.

In areas of defense expansion, on the other hand, the housing supply will need to be enlarged considerably. The emphasis should be upon rental housing serving the needs of middle- and lower-income families, along with necessary community facilities. While private industry should be encouraged to do as large a part of this job as it can, the experience in World War II makes it clear that publicly-financed housing must serve a larger portion of this type of need than in ordinary times. More easily than
privately-financed housing, such housing can be developed on a rental rather than a sale basis, and is more suited to migrating defense workers. Such housing is also more easily susceptible to occupancy standards. And such housing can save somewhat more by way of critical materials, because its utility after the defense period need not be taken so largely into consideration, and because it may even be built on a temporary or mobile basis if necessary-although previous experience casts some doubt upon large-scale resort to temporary housing in the current situation. Previous experience also demonstrates that, wherever possible, such housing should be built by local agencies, with Federal aid when needed, rather than by direct Federal construction. The latter method has always seemed superficially to promise greater speed; but actually, it has usually proved slower because of the high degree of interdependence among housing structures, the selection of sites, and municipal and other services which cannot be provided by the Federal Government.

The scheduling of housing for defense workers needs to be integrated more closely with other aspects of the defense program. In addition, the general determination of what volume of total housing can be permitted in the near future, throughout the country, will be made most wisely in the framework of the complete resource programming operation which the Council has identified as a first need of the whole defense effort. Housing is so essential to our general strength, that decisions affecting housing should rest upon the general strategy of the relative emphasis being placed upon immediate military needs and long-range economic strength.

## Adjustment of agricultural production

The defense situation has significantly changed the farm outlook. Surpluses, which seemed difficult last June, present few problems today. While our agricultural policy continues to encourage abundance of farm products in general, it is now concentrated on specific items which are in short supply.

The demand for farm products has increased markedly in recent months. Military needs for cotton and wool have greatly enlarged the markets for these products. In addition, there is an exceptionally strong consumer demand for meats and many other foods. Coupled with these high demands, we have low supplies of cotton and wool-although fairly liberal stocks of foods. And we will need to maintain large stocks of food and feed as an insurance against unpredictable demands and against the hazards of weather.

In World War II, our food and fiber needs were met by a great increase in agricultural production. Total output of farm commodities increased by almost 20 percent from 1940 to 1944. This was made possible by good weather, by the rapid adoption of hybrid corn, and by using much more fertilizer and machinery. Since the war, output has remained at high levels, despite gradual reduction in the number of persons working on farms.

It will doubtless be more difficult to increase farm output in the future than it was after 1940. Yet substantial gains are feasible. Programs are being readjusted to help bring about the highest possible total output, and especially to encourage the production of the most needed commodities, such as cotton, wool, corn, and livestock products. In the case of cotton, there will be no 1951 acreage allotments or marketing quotas, and every effort is being made to produce a crop of 16 million bales, or 60 percent more than the 1950 crop. On January 5, it was announced that there will be no acreage allotments for wheat and corn in 1951. In the case of cotton and wheat, prices will be supported at 90 percent of parity. On the other hand, there are now no supports on potatoes or on eggs.

Farmers are using less manpower than before the war. But they are using three times as many tractors, twice the number of trucks, two and one-half times as much fertilizer, and two to three times as much electric power and power-driven machinery. The increased use of steel in the defense programs, and possibly the increased use of nitrogen in explosives, make it difficult to avoid some bottlenecks in food production. But our experience with this problem in World War II indicates that these bottlenecks can be kept at a minimum by the careful scheduling of requirements and by appropriate measures of allocation.

Although using less manpower, farmers are more dependent on skilled labor than in prewar times. This could rapidly become a difficult problem if the defense program continues to expand. For the present, it is less serious than the problem of assuring needed materials and facilities.

## Promotion of Economic Stability

The diversion of large amounts of resources to the defense effort tends powerfully to upset the economy by causing inflation, dislocating production and employment, and distorting the distribution of essential goods among the population. Such instabilities, if not brought under control; would undermine production and the whole defense effort by imposing hardships and inequities on the home front. The vigorous promotion of economic stability thus supports our basic production effort just as exparision of production buttresses economic stabilization.

Inflation is the principal threat to economic stability. Earlier in this review, we depicted the enormous inflationary forces which grow out of the expanding defense program, and which have already begun to march even before that program has gotten into high gear. The control of inflation is much more difficult than the expansion of production. The release of productive effort is gratifying in itself. The preponderant bulk of American production and production expansion will come through private initiative with no more than general guidance from government. But the imposition of harsh restraints goes against the grain and requires all of us to do things we would very much rather not do. The reasons for a mighty productive
effort are more or less self-explanatory; but to succeed with controls requires a constant effort toward the enlargement of public understanding.
There are three main ranges of controls available: (1) the indirect controls, such as tax and credit measures, which absorb or restrict the growth of excess purchasing power; (2) direct controls over production and distribution, such as allocations and limitation orders, which distribute resources among necessary purposes; and (3) direct price and wage controls, which are designed to suppress the price-wage spiral.

All three of these types of control are now clearly needed. In effective combination, they can provide a sound platform of economic stability upon which an abundant and balanced production program can build. Each is necessary to the other two and must be supported by the other two; in large measure, they are complements, not alternatives. This will be clearer if we examine their interrelations.

Allocations and limitation orders help to place goods where they are most needed. An inevitable result is to reduce the supply available for other uses. But allocations and limitation orders do not reduce consumer demand. If nothing else is done, prices will rise and some consumers may fail to receive essential supplies. Accordingly it is necessary to make use of taxes and other financial measures which reduce total purchasing power, and thereby reduce civilian demand for scarce supplies. These measures can be aided further by imposing selective credit restrictions and specific excise taxes, to curtail civilian demand for those products which are scarce because materials or plants are concentrated on defense production.

Even the most rigorous tax program designed to keep disposable incomes in line with available production requires the assistance of price and wage controls. If tax increases were followed by compensatory price and wage increases, the effects of the tax program in holding down spending would be largely nullified. Moreover, tax increases may be inadequate to check spending if there is a large volume of liquid savings which both business and consumers can use to buy goods: For the public to continue to hold these savings and for it to save at the needed higher rate in the future, requires confidence in the stability of prices. Only broad, direct price and wage stabilization can now convincingly provide this confidence.
Also, effective stabilization of prices is necessary to make our allocation and priority system work effectively. As long as prices are not controlled, the orderly flow of materials to the most needed places would be disrupted and our production schedules disorganized. This makes all the more urgent the need to stabilize prices if we are to achieve our production program.

It would be unwarranted, however, to embrace direct controls of prices and wages in the hope that they offer a less painful and more palatable remedy than do higher taxes. Price and wage controls prevent incomes from rising and thus help limit the growth of demand. But they do not in themselves reduce demand; on the contrary, the lower the prices, the
greater the demand; and this provides a breeding ground for black markets and evasion if purchasing power is not restrained. Disposable income must be reduced through taxation and other financial measures, or price and wage controls may be seriously undermined. Further, price controls must be supplemented by some direct controls over the kinds of civilian goods produced, if sellers are not to be able to sidestep price controls by varying their products and shifting to higher-priced and higher profits lines.
It should be recognized that controls, particularly direct controls, would place obstacles in the way of production unless the controls were carefully designed and competently administered. For example, delay in granting a priority may hold up a defense order; or, wages in less vital industries may be set relatively so high as to impede the recruitment of workers into the more vital ones; or, an error in price regulation may make it impossible to produce and sell certain goods except at a loss. The risk of these and similar mistakes are costs which we must expect in using direct controls. If the inflationary forces in the economy were minor, these costs of controls would be likely to far outweigh the benefits which they contribute to production. But when the inflationary forces are powerful, the losses to production resulting from direct controls are likely to be far smaller than the losses to production if instability develops. It is the latter kind of situation which we face in a major defense mobilization. We must do everything within our power to make the controls work smoothly, so as to interfere as little as possible with production.

While the interdependence and necessity of the different kinds of controls are clear, the emphasis in the last analysis must be placed upon the financial measures which drain off excessive purchasing power. These are basic for any long-run program, and without them, the others are bound to fail. This statement must be emphasized repeatedly because taxation seems to most people to be the most painful of stabilization measures, and, as a result, there is a tendency to seek in the direct controls an easy substitute. No economic mistake could be more serious. Indirect financial control measures, notably taxation, must be at the center of stabilization policy in the defense economy.

## Mobilizing our financial resources

The distinguishing mark of indirect controls is that they operate through their effects on people's income and on their financial assets and liabilities. Their purpose is to mobilize the Nation's financial resources for the promotion of defense mobilization.
Defense mobilization requires the redirection of physical resources. To some extent this is done by direct order, as when men and women are drafted into the armed services. But to a major extent, financial measures are relied on to facilitate the redirection of resources. Financial mobilization is thus the rechanneling of the flows of incomes and expenditures, receipts and disbursements, in order to guide production in line with the require-
ments of defense and to distribute equitably that part of the product available for the civilian population.

The instruments of financial mobilization include, on the one hand, government expenditures whereby resources are commanded for the defense effort, and, on the other hand, a variety of measures for maintaining economic stability by reducing the competition of private demand for resources w. hich are needed for defense.

Foremost among these measures of financial mobilization is taxation, which withdraws spending power by compulsion from private hands. The effect of taxation in reducing consumer and business spending is limited by the fact that it ordinarily does not impinge on past accumulations of funds.
A second measure is borrowing by government. To have an immediate effect on private demand, borrowing must be accompanied by an increase in net saving or a reduction in real investment, or both. Borrowing also helps to reduce demand by absorbing liquid funds which would otherwise be spent. Campaigns to sell government securities to the public promote this objective. A sort of "induced saving" also results when goods subject to price controls are in short supply, since people are thereby encouraged to save until the goods can be purchased at a later date. Saving as an instrument of financial mobilization is voluntary, and therefore more subject to unpredictable fluctuations.

A third measure of financial mobilization is credit control, whereby private borrowing is reduced or restrained from rising. The impact of credit control is limited, since it cannot prevent persons from spending their own assets.

It has previously been pointed out that financial measures and direct controls supplement each other in the maintenance of price stability. Thus, increased taxation is the basic financial measure on which government must place its greatest reliance if the gap between demand and supply is not to undermine price and wage controls. On the other hand, price and wage controls supplement taxation, since in their absence tax increases can be largely nullified through çompensatory price and wage increases.

In one respect, the problem of financial mobilization, at least for the defense effort now contemplated, is not so serious as it was in World War II, since the present defense program does not require nearly so large a proportion of the national product as that war did. In several respects, however, the problem of financial mobilization is far more serious today than it was during World War II.
First, a longer period of mobilization is anticipated than was the case at the beginning of World War II. Accordingly, the measures to be adopted must be adequate not merely for a few years, but possibly for an indefinite period of years.

Second, World War II mobilization was undertaken when the country was still in a period of incomplete recovery, while the present defense mobilization is being added to a business boom which even before the Korean attack had carried industrial production to postwar record levels and
was being reflected in higher prices. In World War II, there was little credit expansion for busines or consumptiot purposes, while thus far in the defense mobilization nearly the whole expansion of credit has been for private uses.
Third, the country is entering the present defense mobilization at a time when there is a tremendous volume of accumulated liquid assets. A substantial portion of the liquid assets is held in the form of Government debt. At the end of 1950 the debt outstanding not held by Federal Government agencies and trust accounts totaled 217.5 billion dollars, compared with 41.1 billion dollars at the beginning of 1940. This debt has a high degree of liquidity, so that for many persons and businesses it serves the function of cash. The large volume of liquid funds makes it more difficult than it would otherwise be to hold down demand through taxation. The large amounts of savings bonds held by the public, resulting from the successful savings campaign of World War II, add to the importance of present savings programs. This is accentuated by the fact that a large part of the Federal debt which was incurred during the war will mature over the next few years. Moreover, if and to the extent that the budget is not balanced through current tax collections, further borrowing will be nezessary.

Fourth, the country is entering the defense program with far higher tax rates already on the books than was the case in 1940. For example, for 1939 there were less than 4 million taxable individual income tax returns; the exemption of a married couple was $\$ 2,500$, and the starting tax rate was 4 percent. Today there are approximately 43 million taxable returns; the exemption of a married couple is $\$ 1,200$, and the starting tax rate is 20 percent. In 1939, the top corporate income tax rate was 19 percent; today it is 47 percent, with an additional tax of 30 percent on excess profits. Clearly, it would be more difficult to obtain large additional revenues from increases in these taxes than was possible from the starting point of low rates and high exemptions at the beginning of World War II.

Fifth, effective stabilization measures need to be in operation more quickly and more completely than was necessary at the beginning of World War II. This results, in part, from the tightness of the economy since increased spending is reflected immediately in price increases. Moreover, the public is more conscious of the threat of rising prices than it was in 1940. Then, mobilization followed half a decade in which price increases had been accompanied by recovery and the increase in employment, and in which deflation and price decreases seemed more probable and more dangerous than price increases. Since then, the public has experienced substantial price rises which have affected not only their personal budgets, but also the financial assets upon which they rely for economic security in later life. People's willingness to save more and spend less is dependent largely on their being convinced that price stability will be achieved and maintained.

For this reassurance to be effective, it is imperative to stabilize prices as part of the mobilization effort. This presents the old problem of the hen and the egg. A high level of saving is important if prices are to be stabilized, but it is going to be difficult to achieve that saving unless prices are stabilized. Clearly, it will be necessary to move on this problem from every practical direction. In doing so, it should be with regard to both the immediate defense period and the more distant time when resources and funds can be shifted back again into their more customary uses. An important goal for mobilizing financial resources for defense is to reduce to a minimum the inflationary and other distorting effects on the economy in later years, as well as in the immediate period ahead.

The three segments of policy most important to financial mobilizationtaxation, debt management, and credit policy-are discussed in the immediately following paragraphs. Other measures which directiy reduce spending or prevent the enlargement of incomes are discussed in later sections dealing with priorities and allocations, and price and wage controls. While not in themselves financial measures, they are necessary for the success of financial policies, under present or prospective conditions.

## Taxation

The Council believes it to be of great importance to the future of the country that the firm and continuing policy of the Government be to pay through taxation for the entire cost of the defense program at present and contemplated levels. The reasons are clear and convincing. First, paying for the defense program out of current taxes largely offsets the inflationary pressures resulting from increased government expenditures. Taxation is superior to other methods of holding down spending by consumers and businesses. It does not rely on voluntary action. It does not interfere with the flexibility of market adjustments. It avoids the increase of debt, and obviates the danger that inflationary pressures of expenditures will not be offset at the time of borrowing or may arise at some later date.

Second, paying through current taxes distributes the burden immediately in a manner in which the Congress determines it should be distributed, not unpredictably and unfairly through inflation. When we pay as we go, those who serve in the armed forces will not have to pay taxes for increased debt service and debt retirement after they return to civilian life.

Third, there is no economic advantage in postponing taxes through borrowing, for the economic burden of the defense effort must be borne currently regardless of the manner in which the expenditures are financed. It is not the imposition of taxes to pay for defense that creates the economic burden of defense, and it is an illusion to think that through borrowing, the Nation can escape or postpone paying the bill.

Fourth, the tax load required to finance a defense program of the size now contemplated would not be unduly heavy at anticipated economic levels. Federal cash revenues collected during the fiscal year 1945
amounted to about 27 percent of the national income. To pay for Federal expenditures at the level projected for the end of the calendar year 1951 would not require a much higher percentage of national income. Such a tax load is heavy but not unbearable.

It is not to be concluded that a balanced budget could necessarily be maintained during a major war. But the magnitude of the present and planned defense effort is far from being as heavy a charge on the production of the country as was made during World War II. In general, a defense program that does not require a diversion of productive resources too large to be sustained over a long period of time is not too large to be financed through current taxation. We believe that from an economic point of view, a defense effort of present and contemplated size can and should be financed through taxation during the period of the effort.

This is not the same as saying that during the relatively short build-up period when expenditures are mounting rapidly month after month, tax collections can be kept equal to expenditures at all times. There are time lags in the passage of tax legislation, and time lags in the collection of taxes under new tax legislation. The shock of the tax bite on the economic system must also be considered. The adjustment to drastically higher taxes of the taxpayer's personal budget, as well as his attitude, outlook, and expectations, takes time. With due recognition of this, which means simply that taxes should be raised just as fast as possible, the point must be everlastingly stressed that there is to be no giving up the policy and goal of the balanced budget. There must be no let-up in tax increases until balance is achieved and maintained.

It must be recognized that to balance the defense budget will require taxes at rates substantially higher, perhaps even drastically higher, than have ever been imposed before in the United States. The regular corporation income tax is already substantially above the wartime level. Excise tax rates are at their wartime peak. Individual income rates are 3 percentage points below the wartime peak, although, due largely to income splitting, tax liabilities for married couples are substantially lower. The fact that the rates will have to exceed the wartime levels is regrettable, but should not be discouraging. It is generally recognized that taxes were much lower than they could and should have been during the last war.

The importance of reducing private spending influences the forms of taxes which should be used in a tax program, as well as its size. Other considerations are also important. A maximum productive effort should not be discouraged. The tax burden should be distributed in an equitable manner. The higher the tax burden becomes, the more important it is to avoid inequities which permit some taxpayers to escape paying their full share.

The individual income tax. We should continue to rely on the individual income tax for a large part of the additional revenue which will be required
for the defense effort. Drastic increases in rates will be necessary, which will make it more important than ever that the base be an equitable one.
There are numerous special provisions in the law which need to be changed, in order that the base of the tax may be a fair one on which heavy burdens can be appropriately levied. Thus, the rates on capital gains are too low in relation to the rates on other incomes, while the holding period is so short that the hiding of ordinary income under the capital gains cloak is encouraged. The depletion allowed under the percentage depletion treatment is so excessive for some industries as to constitute a major inequity, and percentage depletion has been extended to industries where it has no justification whatsoever. The split-income provisions of the Revenue Act of 1948 removed an inequity among certain married couples in different States, but introduced an extremely favorable concession for married couples generally. If this concession cannot be removed, it should be taken into account when considering the increase of income taxes. The exemption of interest on State and local securities provides the anomaly that States and localities can sell securities at lower interest rates than the Federal Government. More important, a large part of the tax benefit accrues to the security holder rather than to the State and local government. The defense period would be an excellent time to remove this old-time anomaly from the tax law.

Income tax increases should be imposed at all levels, emphasizing the progressive character of the tax structure; but by far the largest part of the additional revenue must come from the middle and lower tax brackets. These are the brackets in which the great bulk of the income is located. Of the total net income shown on all taxable returns, 86 percent of the amount remaining after Federal income taxes is estimated to be received by taxpayers with net incomes of less than $\$ 10,000$. To hold down consumption, which is vital to the control of inflation, the bulk of consumers must be affected directly by the tax increases.
Estate and Gift Taxes. The estate and gift taxes have less direct antiinflationary effects than most other taxes, but in the interests of distributing the defense burden fairly, these taxes need to be overhauled and strengthened. The structure of the estate and gift taxes permits large amounts of transfers to be made with no tax or at a very low tax rate. These defects were accentuated by the Revenue Act of 1948 . The defective structure of the taxes should be corrected to make them fairer and more productive, and the rates and exemptions should be set at such levels as to give their proper and more adequate place in the tax structure.
Corporate taxes. Taxes on corporate profits were raised substantially in 1950, but there is room for further increase. Corporate profits before taxes in the second half of 1950 have been approximating a rate of about 47 billion dollars. Federal, State, and local corporate taxes, including the excess profits tax, will absorb at this rate of profits on a full year basis approximately 24 billion dollars, leaving 23 billion dollars after taxes. Several
billion dollars of this can be taken through further taxation and still permit corporations to maintain reasonable dividend payments, and to continue necessary programs of expansion without impairment of their financial position (see chart 18). Heavy corporate taxes contribute to the anti-inflation program, because they limit the amounts corporations have available for dividends and for investment. Moreover, without a further substantial in-
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## CORP'ORATE PROFITS

Corporate profits rose spectacularly during 1950 to reach the highest levels on record, as sales and prices climbed to new highs. Undistributed profits were the second highest on record and the volume of dividends swelled to new all-time highs.

crease in corporate tax rates, there may be an accelerated rise in security prices, which feeds inflationary consumer spending and could easily spread to other markets and run directly counter to the goals of the stabilization program. Increasing the tax burden on corporations is essential also from the point of view of equity. It is not convincing to tell the public that consumption must be reduced and personal taxes greatly increased, while corporations maintain profits at very high levels. Wage earners can hardly
be expected not to demand higher wages, if they see that corporations have profits that would permit substantial wage concessions without making necessary an increase in prices.

The excess profits tax, which was passed by the recent Congress, makes a major contribution to the corporate tax structure. It appears probable, however, that some of the allowances made are unnecessarily generous and remove from the excess profits tax some profits which should be taxed at the higher rate.

Excise taxes. There is a particularly good reason for heavy increases of excise taxes on civilian goods which compete for materials and facilities with the defense effort, and the supply of which will very likely have to be drastically reduced. In the absence of the most rigorously enforced price control, the price of such goods is almost certain to rise. There is no justification for such price increases going to producers or dealers, and the effect would be to inflate business incomes and to stimulate workers to demand increased wages. By means of heavy excise taxes on such goods, the price increase would be diverted into the Federal Treasury in the form of tax revenue to the extent that the goods were available. Such taxes would be particularly appropriate on durable goods which are not essentials of life.

The rates of many of the other excise taxes might be increased somewhat. New items might be taxed, but to add substantially to the excise tax base would involve the taxation of commodities of mass consumption. These are, in general, necessities of life, and to tax them would place an inordinate burden on low-income families. Circumspection will be required also in increasing taxes on business-cost items, since these would most likely be passed on in the form of higher prices and affect the cost of living and of the defense program.

Increases in some excise taxes, which are reflected in the price structure, are a necessary device under current conditions. Yet it cannot be overlooked that such measures, in their very nature, bear more heavily on families with limited means than upon families in higher income groups. To a degree, this may be unavoidable, because an economic mobilization program cannot attempt to remove all of the differentials in real incomes and in consumption which are inherent in our economic system. Nonetheless, a defense program calls for sacrifices so great that there must be even more emphasis upon considerations of equity than in normal times. Towards this end, should the situation become more critical and some shortages become acute, there would need to be relatively more emphasis than thus far upon rationing and other devices, outside the price and tax structure, to promote equitable distribution of certain types of goods.

State and local taxes. Since tax policies are not solely a matter of Federal legislation, it may be added that the State and local governments also can help to reduce inflationary pressures. With the existing State and local tax structure, advancing prices and personal incomes tend to produce surplus revenues, particularly for the States. To the extent that these surpluses
occur, the State and local governments should build up reserves for later use rather than reduce the level of tax rates. In their expenditure policies, likewise, these governments should take all possible steps to conserve manpower and materials. By adhering to such policies during the last war, the State and local governments made a noteworthy contribution to economic stabilization. They can help again during the present emergency.

## Debt management

Policies of debt management can make an important contribution to the success or failure of our stabilization objective for the defense period and afterwards. The public debt today is more than five times as large as it was at the beginning of the defense financing period prior to World War II. It comprises approximately one-half of the total debt of the country, and constitutes a large portion of the assets of all the major investor classes of the country. Operations affecting the public debt have repercussions which are felt throughout every sector of the economy.

It is of major importance for the maintenance of economic stability that the public debt be bought and held by nonbank investors. Bank purchases of government securities are accompanied by an expansion of bank credit. Under present conditions, it is even more important than during World War II that the maximum amount of debt, including both new and refunding issues, be sold to and held by the nonbank public. We believe that the Treasury should continue to use every effort to expand Government security holdings of nonbank investors, particularly individuals.

An important objective of debt management policy has been the maintenance of an orderly public market for United States securities. A stable and confident situation in the market for Federal securities is particularly irnportant during a period of large military expenditures when new and heavy demands will have to be made on the Nation's financial system.

The accumulated savings of the wartime period eased to a large extent the reconversion of business in the postwar years and supported postwar prosperity. The highly liquid condition of the Nation's financial assets, however, also made for excessive demand and contributed to the postwar inflation. The achievement of monetary stability in the future will be made easier if the proportion of debt held in readily monetizable forms can be reduced.

## General credit policy

Three purposes of credit policy which are particularly important in the period ahead are, first, to facilitate the smooth transition of business and industry to meet the requirements of defense mobilization; second, to assist Government debt management; and third, to minimize inflationary pressures. To achieve all three purposes at the same time presents something of a dilemma, since smooth economic transition may xequire easily available credit, while minimizing the pressure on prices calls for restrict-
ing the volume of credit at many points. The problem is pointed up by the fact that, during the second half of 1950, the rapid expansion of bank loans contributed to the inflationary pressures built up during that period. There is a real danger that, for a time at least, consumer and business buying, based on credit, will continue to be of a magnitude which will aggravate inflationary pressures. Because of the needs of debt management, however, general credit policy cannot be expected to be a major anti-inflationary instrument during the coming period of intensive mobilization.

Finding the solution to this problem of credit policy will call for a careful appraisal of the applicability under present and prospective conditions of such traditional central banking techniques as changes in rediscount rates, reserve requirements, and open-market operations. It will undoubtedly be necessary, at least in the immediate period ahead, to rely more on selective than on general credit controls. It may be found feasible to extend selective controls to other kinds of loans than those to finance the purchase of consumers'. goods, real estate, and securities, and to secure voluntary cooperative action on the part of banks to attain this objective. It is possible, and it may be found desirable, to regulate and limit the issue of new private securities.

One hopeful element in the picture is suggested by the experience of World War II, which supports the view that, if price controls and controls over inventories and facilities expansion are vigorously used, the problem of inflationary growth of bank credit will be mitigated. Price control, combined with the diversion of materials from civilian production, may be expected to restrict new credit to that used for the promotion of productive capacity in the defense program.

## Selective credit controls

At the present time, two major selective credit controls are in operation: Regulation W, which requires minimum down payments on purchases of the principal types of consumer durables; and Regulation X, which regulates terms on residential mortgages. Regulation $W$ was in effect during the war and during most of the postwar period until June 30, 1949, at which time legislative authority expired. It was reinstituted on September 18, 1950. Less than a month after the Korean outbreak, the President asked the Government housing agencies substantially to tighten terms on all mortgage loans insured or guaranteed by them-roughly half of all mortgage loans. In October, a more comprehensive regulation covering conventional loans on new one- and two-family dwellings, as well as Government-insured or guaranteed loans, was put into effect. At the present time, the regulation is being extended to multi-family structures, principally by the requirement of maximum loan values. During World War II, the substantial reduction of private residential construction by direct controls minimized the need for credit controls, although it would have been desirable to curb demand and
limit the price rise on existing dwellings. In the postwar period, previous to the Korean outbreak, overcoming the acute housing shortage was regarded as a primary aim of public policy, and accordingly, credit measures were designed to provide positive encouragement to residential construction.

Regulation W has had some immediate effect in curtailing demand, but to date the effect has been small, in comparison to the reduction in sales which will be necessary in the future. In the case of Regulation X, the effects of the regulation cannot yet be appraised, to determine whether further tightening of terms will be warranted. The large volume of work under way, and commitments made prior to the date of the regulation, will assure the continuance of a relatively large volume of residential construction well into the spring months. This has delayed the full impact of the regulation.
The restriction of consumer credit and of housing credit inevitably bears harder on the lower income groups which have used these forms of credit most extensively. The restraint upon their buying imposed through the present regulation should not be considered a serious and unreasonable hardship. If, however, much more severe restrictions on the supply of consumer durable goods and the construction of housing should become necessary, it would no longer be feasible nor equitable to achieve the needed restraint exclusively through the device of making credit unavailable. This would mean that the drastically reduced supply would go exclusively to those who have the ready cash to pay for appliances or houses. In the case of such drastic restrictions, other forms of allocating the remaining supply would have to be considered. Such drastic curtailments are, however, not coniemplated at the present time. The sacrifices imposed on consumers under present regulations are not great in comparison with the benefit they derive from a successful policy of price stabilization.

In the case of housing, the adaptation of the program to a defense economy will require modification of the over-all approach taken in Regulation X. Terms applicable to some housing construction may have to be further tightened. In general, a more selective approach will be required. Measures will be needed to focus housing construction upon defense areas. Other measures will be needed to adjust the character of this housing-as to prices, rents, and size-to the needs of defense workers. Still other measures may be needed with respect to the general housing program, so that throughout the country the limited supply of new houses may be made to serve first needs first. To encourage a larger volume of rental housing, legislation should provide the FHA with a special type of insurance authority, differing in terms from the current legislation and concentrating mainly upon defense needs. A substantial volume of public housing will also be needed, with reshaping to meet defense requirements.

One serious defect of the Defense Production Act of 1950 is that it does not contain authority to prescribe credit terms on the sales of existing homes,
except on mortgages insured or guaranteed by the Federal Government. Exemption of these homes from credit regulation may result in a large rise in the price of existing homes, thus adding credit-created capital gains to the spending stream. A rise in the price of existing homes would intensify inflation also, by affecting prices on new homes.

## Materials controls

Priorities and allocations. Under the Defense Production Act enacted last September, the National Production Authority quickly put into force a basic regulation under which the materials and components needed to fill defense orders were given priority over other business. Subsequently, recognition of the urgency of protecting certain other essential programs led to special assistance in providing steel for the accelerated building of freight cars and of Great Lakes vessels, primarily iron ore carriers. Steps have also been taken to insure more equitable and orderly distribution of priority-rated orders, in a number of industries, by establishing maximum percentages of output beyond which individual producers need not accept further rated orders.

Orders have been issued cutting back nonpriority uses of aluminum, copper, zinc, rubber, tin, nickel, cadmium, columbium-bearing steel, and cobalt. The first purpose of these orders was to distribute the necessary curtailment fairly evenly over the various nonpriority users, to avoid drastic dislocations of production and employment. These restraints also afford incentive to the directly-affected users to conserve scarce material, by redesign or substitutions, while maintaining their output.

By the end of the year, a number of orders had been issued which selectively curtail or prohibit certain less essential uses of the material in question, rather than curtailing all non-rated uses uniformly. For one material, cobalt, complete allocation was ordered.

The development of priorities and allocation controls since the Korean outbreak, as outlined above, has been patterned on methods employed immediately before and during the early stages of U.S. participation in World War II. Some shortcomings in these types of controls are already becoming evident. For one thing, it has been impossible as yet to build effective machinery for insuring compliance with the increasingly complex pattern of rules-a defect which should be remedied as rapidly as possible. Also, the system of curtailing non-priority uses of scarce materials by uniform percentages at the level of primary shapes and semifinished products often fails to give the producer of finished products an adequate incentive to substitute, where feasible, components containing less of the scarce material. The basic shortcoming of the uniform horizontal-cut approach is that it makes no distinction between more and less essential civilian uses. Thus it does not really maximize the production of the most necessary goods, and quite possibly does not even maximize total production.

The use of direct controls has thus far been limited almost entirely to
aiding the direct defense programs, and the proportions of supply eligible for rated orders are still low. But these proportions are rising rapidly as the pace of mobilization is stepped up. It should now be possible to progress to the necessary next stage of more comprehensive and effective control, with far less lost motion than a decade ago. The exigencies of current mobilization call for all speed in staffing and other preparations for instituting complete allocations of a few key materials, along the lines of the Controlled Materials Plan, at the earliest possible date. In the interim, there will be increased pressure for development and authorization of essential civilian programs eligible for priorities assistance, on the footing now represented by the freight car and Great Lakes vessels programs. It has been realized from the start that a proliferation of such priority programs leads rapidly to a breakdown of the priority system. Advancing the date for instituting allocations would shorten the interval to be bridged by a priorities system, and thus remove the danger of such a breakdown.

Even before complete allocations are in operation, it will be necessary to impose further and more drastic cutbacks and prohibitions of selected least essential end uses. The control agencies are moving in this direction. In the initial phase of the mobilization effort, the preference for uniform percentage rather than selective cutbacks on civilian uses could be justified to some extent, on grounds of maintaining production and employment in general. But in the phase of mobilization which we have now entered, this justification loses weight progressively.

An improved system of direct control of materials should entail a careful program for conserving scarce materials by all those who process, use, or handle them in any way. Many of the practices evolved during World War II to stretch supplies of scarce materials are being put to use again. Various sales-appeal features, such as chromium trim and nonessential parts, can be removed from equipment. In the production of metal parts and alloys, use of substitutes for the more essential materials will bring great savings of scarce materials. The useful life of clothing, tools, and other commodities can be lengthened by the use of protective coatings and treatments. Holding styles of articles to a limited number would reduce the need for maintaining large and varied inventories, and permit greater economies of production.

The search for substitutes, already under way, should be intensified. The military research program is seeking substitutes for the copper used in cartridge cases, for scarce alloy metals used in jet engines, and for many other commodities. Federal agencies are conducting research in such fields as new and substitute agricultural materials, new techniques of minerals exploration, and new sources of minerals and substitutes. Programs are presently being conducted to standardize descriptions and unify terminology and classification systems for supply items.

Procurement. With the prospect that, during this year, the Federal Government will be buying an increasingly substantial share of the total na-
tional product for defense purposes, procurement policies become a major factor in the mobilization of productive resources. Without effective policies, there would inevitably be loss of output through disruption of production schedules, and inefficient and unbalanced utilization of the Nation's resources of manpower and facilities. The policies followed in large-scale military procurement have lasting effects on the competitive and geographical structure of our industries, and on their ability to expand and adapt themselves to the demands which will be made on them.
The most important general principle of sound procurement policy, at this stage in the program, is that the supply base should be broadened as far as practicable. Contracts should not be piled on a few large and familiar suppliers, but spread widely; and subcontracting should be actively encouraged. Broad distribution of defense business will give many firms the basic experience needed for subsequent rapid step-ups in war goods production. There are, of course, special cases in which a large procurement contract could not be split up without excessive loss of time or efficiency; and as the mobilization proceeds, many plants should for technical reasons devote themselves exclusively either to military or nonmilitary output. But the basic objective of broadening the base of supply will remain valid.

Such a policy requires a major continuing effort to hunt out and develop hitherto unused suppliers, particularly among the smaller firms. These firms in the aggregate have much to contribute, but they are normally at a disadvantage in securing business where large quantities of goods are being procured in great urgency. The extra time and effort required for their utilization will pay off increasingly as the pressure grows. Maximum practicable latitude in specifications will be helpful.

To promote better utilization of facilities and manpower, to ease the burden on transportation, and to make essential supply systems less vulnerable to disruption by attack or sabotage, it is vital that procurement policy take account of the relative availability of resources in different communities and plants. For the most part, there should be reliance upon cooperation by business, supplemented in exceptional cases by the use of Government powers to requisition facilities or to place mandatory contracts.

The pricing policies followed in procurement importantly affect efficiency. Although excess profit taxation and contract renegotiation are both useful in recapturing some of the windfall gains of the emergency, neither takes the place of a careful drawing of the original contracts in such a way as to encourage the cutting of production costs. Both in the initial letting of contracts and in subsequent renegotiation, the principle should be that the supplier has an opportunity to secure extra profits by finding additional economies, but not to retain extra profits which he may receive without constructive effort on his part.

It is clear that, in order to implement a procurement policy which meets the needs sketched above, central formulation of standards and coordination
of procurement activities are essential. Procurement policy must be closely tied to the other phases of the effort to expand production, and to avoid disruptive price rises.

## Policy for profts, prices, and wages

In a defense emergency, more widespread use of controls than in peacetime is essential. But controls obviously do not remove the need to formulate policy. On the contrary, controls make this even more essential, because the very purpose of controls is to achieve policy objectives with more rapidity and certainty than they could be accomplished without controls. Although taxation is an authoritative indirect control action on the part of Government, one seldom hears the proposition advanced that there is no need for tax policy to guide tax action. But there is more frequent expression of the fallacy that the imposition of extraordinary or emergency controls upon profits, prices, and wages does not equally depend upon sound policy for their success. In these areas, consequently, the Council feels that it can be most helpful by outlining policy guides, rather than by treating those detailed questions of formulae, timing, and application which must necessarily rest with specialized agencies charged with segments of the stabilization effort.

In peacetime, the function of profits, prices, and wages is to contribute to the most efficient use of our total resources for the purposes which the Nation deems desirable. Prices serve to allocate products among buyers and to guide producers toward the most desirable products and the most economical methods of production. Profits provide incentives and direction to enterprise in making business invesiments and help supply funds for that purpose. Wages serve to provide purchasing power and work incentives to a large part of the consuming public and lead to the most effective use of labor.
In early 1950 the Council sought to outline profit, price, and wage policies for peacetime stability and growth. We found the key to stability and growth in a continuing "balance" between investment and consumption. This balance on the one hand would enable business to make full utilization of the labor force and of new technology toward the end of maximum production; and on the other hand would provide wage earners and other consumers with enough purchasing power to consume the goods produced, without excess demand leading to inflation or inadequate demand leading to recession or depression.

The economy seemed to be in fairly good balance in early 1950, although some further adjustments were needed. This led to the question of how this balance might be maintained in future years, as the total output of the economy increased three percent a year on the average. It seemed clear that the ratio of profits to the volume of business activity was in general rewarding, and that the further growth of the economy would keep these profits rewarding (and even increase them in absolute terms) without the
aid of further price increases. This disposed of the only argument that could legitimately be advanced for a rise in the general price level, namely, that business was not receiving sufficient funds to exercise its function in a vigorous and growing economy.

The next question was whether the large share of increasing production, which over the years must find its way into ultimate consumption andhigher living standards, should be passed on in the form of a general declining price level or a generally rising wage level. We observed that, while either approach was theoretically obtainable, the dynamics of the American economy argued strongly for a fairly stable price level and a rising level of incomes measured by increases in production and productivity. Thus, in early 1950, we looked with general favor upon the new wage formulae which gave reflection to this economic philosophy. In all matters of profits, prices, and wages, however, we recognize the need for individual variations to promote efficient operations in a complex and variegated economy.

The time has now come to reconsider this entire formulation of profit-price-wage policy, in the light of new facts which drastically change the use to which we must put our resources. The central character of this change has been discussed fully in other sections of this Review. We must strive even harder to lift total production, although we must drastically alter its composition. But since so large a part of this total production will be absorbed in the primary defense effort, the level of consumption, during the next few years at least, cannot rise as in normal peacetime and may have to move downward. Cutbacks in some types of investment must be sufficiently drastic to permit expansion essential to the defense effort to move forward even faster.

What do these new facts mean for profit policy? They indicate that the current general level of profits, which is much higher than the rewarding level in carly 1950, can be reduced further through taxation without impairing the initiative or types of investment which are now urgently nceded. This is demonstrated more comprehensively in other parts of this Review, including the discussion of tax policy. A further reason for compressing profits after taxes below the unprecedented high levels which they have now attained is that, unless this is done, it will be futile to attempt to achieve wage stabilization. We cannot afford a soft tax policy, in order to take care of the exceptional instances where further incentives may be needed to stimulate some types of business investment. These isolated instances should be taken care of by selective policies, such as tax amortization, selective price allowances, or financial aid.

These conclusions about profits have an important bearing upon price policy under current and foreseeable circumstances. Every argument which was advanced a year ago against increases in the general price level applies with multiple force now. The price level is already much higher than a year ago, and inflationary forces are mounting. Further price in-
creases in general can serve no economic function, and if these increases go much further they will do irreparable damage. There is no proposition of economics on which there is more general agreement than this. Consequently, the imposition of price controls having been initiated, this activity should move forward with speed and decisiveness. It should seek, as rapidly as possible, along with other controls both indirect and direct, to achieve and maintain general price stability. Clearly, the determination of the items on which price controls should next be imposed, or in what order, or to what exact scope, is an operational function for the Economic Stabilization Agency, subject to the general direction of the Office of Defense Mobilization.

Drastic action on the price front-and it should be drastic-does not mean the use of only one technique of price control as has been urged in some quarters. We must be flexible in the use of pricing methods. The pricing techniques, whether of the freeze, "dollars-and-cents", or margin control variety, must be skillfully and closely attuned to the peculiarities of individual industries, labor markets, and distributive levels. It is necessary to deal with wide differences among profit and cost conditions. It is necessary to encompass a vast variety of commodities, some standardized and others highly differentiated.
Any nation-wide program must sacrifice some desirable refinements in the interest of speed, uniformity, administrative feasibility, and public acceptance. In fact, more stress should be placed upon these latter considerations than upon the minutiae of differential treatment, because it is more important to put brakes upon inflation than to get a perfect system of controls. Nonetheless, discretion and discriminating judgment must be exercised, lest the objective of effective price control cut across other equally important objectives. The most important of these other objectives is to maintain maximum production, and this requires, among other things, some flexibility in dealing with specific prices.

The prices of speculative farm products, such as cotton, wheat, and soybeans are affected substantially by trading in futures contracts on the commodity exchanges. In an inflationary period, excessive speculation in farm commodities would make price stabilization difficult, if not impossible. One of the most effective ways of limiting speculative trading, without hindering legitimate hedging operations, is by regulating the minimum margins required for speculative deals. No Government agency now has authority to require adequate margins for this purpose. Such authority should be granted by the Congress without delay.

The experience of World War II revealed clearly that price controls, particularly in the case of low-priced lines and commodities subject to frequent changes in style and model, must be reinforced by and meshed with production and distribution controls. Since we will be diverting nearly one-fifth of our production to the defense effort, it becomes all the more necessary to ensure that resources available for civilian output are devoted
to the most urgent civilian needs at least cost. With the imposition of controls to prevent an inflationary price spiral, we mast recognize that a price structure geared to peacetime patterns of demand cannot be expected to bring about the pattern of output necessary to an advanced defense economy. While price and wage controls must be operated in such a manner as to provide adequate incentives to maintain maximum output, they cannot, except in rare cases, be used to determine the composition of output. Such a task is not administratively feasible. It is more simply and properly the task of the appropriate production controls.

In view of the particularly inflationary price performance of many of the scarce raw materials in international trade, and because of the inability of the United States to cope with this problem unilaterally by means of simple domestic price controls, every effort should be made to hasten the development of international agreements to allocate such materials and restrain their price movements. Meanwhile, the United States purchases of some commodities should be centralized in the hands of the Government, which, in turn, would resell them to domestic users. This has already been done in the case of rubber, and the immediate impact of this action has been a drop in its price.

The stabilization of wages, since it affects the very livelihood of millions of families, depends for its success upon three pivotal points. First, holding the line on wages depends basically upon holding the line on the cost of living. When a ceiling is placed upon prices in a particular industry, it follows that wages in that industry must take account of this price ceiling from the viewpoint of business costs and adequate profit margins. But the establishment of price ceilings in a particular industry is not a sufficient foundation for holding the line on wages in that industry, if the prices of things which workers must buy continue to rise. Efforts to achieve wage stabilization cannot await a complete or perfect stabilization of the cost of living, because no practical aspect of economic stabilization can be delayed until some other aspect of the problem is completely solved. Nonetheless, success in holding the line on wages cannot be expected without practical success in holding the line on the cost of living. This requires vigorous action on the price front, on.the tax front, and on all fronts designed to achieve price stability both by indirect and direct controls. It requires much tighter rent control, reinforced by adequate legislation for that purpose, because rent is so large an item in the cost of living of middle income and low income families.

The second requisite for successful wage stabilization is a rounded program of economic stabilization which recognizes that excessive purchasing power must be restrained in all major sectors in the economy, and that the imposition of sacrifices must be equitable. The Council feels that its recommendations with respect to corporate and other taxes, with respect to price controls, and with respect to other types of restraints, provide a fair guide to the achievement of this balanced stabilization program through legislative
and administrative action. Wage earners are an important segment of the income-earning population; but there are other important segments-office workers, professional people, farmers, and business groups. Each will be willing to do more in support of the defense program, if all are doing their share. Much toward this end has already been accomplished.

A third consideration in effective wage stabilization is that there be consultation between Government and representatives of workers both in the formulation of policy and with regard to its execution. The Council has frequently expressed the high value which it places upon such conferences.

With these three pivotal points as a foundation, the economic objective of wage stabilization should be to prevent the total of all wages available for spending from rising greatly, during a period when the availability of goods for consumption cannot rise and, on a per capita basis, is likely to fall:

There are factors which will make it extremely difficult to achieve the objective of holding total wages available for spending in line with the availability of consumer goods. The obstacles are fairly obvious. In the first place, the great numbers of new workers called for by the defense effort, and the longer hours, will result in more total wage earnings even without any increase in wage rates. In the second place, there will be a few instances where some wages must be raised to facilitate the recruitment of workers for defense production, by modifying some of the wage differentials which now exist. In the third place, there is the problem of ensuring that some incentives exist, particularly to effectuate shifts toward defense production. It may not be possible to achieve completely the objective of holding wages available for spending in line with the availability of consumer goods, but every effort should be made to get as close to it as feasible. Doubtful questions should be resolved in favor of stabilization.

In seeking to achieve this objective of wage stabilization as rapidly as possible, two of the most difficult problems involve "cost of living" adjustments and "productivity" or other adjustments designed to provide incentive for increased effort. The principal adjustments to cover increases in the cost of living can not be entirely set aside, for to do so would make a particular sector of the population liable for any inability of the Government to hold the line on the most important front of all. The gaps have not yet been closed in the defense line against increases in the cost of living; and if these increases continued at a fast pace, the buying power of workers would decline relative to the available supply of the consumer goods, instead of tending to maintain a constant relationship to it. On the other hand, only a small percentage of middle income and low income families in United States are now protected by "cost of living" adjustments; and to afford full protection to one group alone would be at the expense of others and would not represent equality of sacrifice. There is no hard and fast rule which can be applied to this problem. Insofar as the Government is successful in holding the line on the cost of living, the problem reduces itself to nominal proportions. So long as this effort is not successful,
it is practical to expect that adjustments will have to be made in many cases, but that the relation and speed of these adjustments should be restrained to avoid the spiral which results when prices and wages and costs start chasing each other.

The problem of wage increases to reflect increased effort, whether by "productivity" allowances or other methods arrived at by collective bargaining, is different from the "cost of living" adjustment problems. In ordinary times, as the foregoing discussion has indicated, such adjustments are a desirable way of passing along part of the benefits of increasing output. But during the defense emergency, over the next year or two at least, the defense program will be absorbing the increased output and it will not be available for civilian use. Under these circumstances, one approach to help to keep total wages available for spending as nearly as possible in line with the available supply of consumer goods, would be to restrain increases in wage rates. A second approach to this same objective would be to permit some increases in these wage rates, in return for increased productive results, but to prevent these increases from finding their way into the spending stream, by means of higher taxes, or larger social security deductions. Each of these two approaches has points of desirability. The first makes for more uniformity, the second for more flexibility. From the viewpoint of a smooth wage controls operation, and the promotion of harmonious industrial relations, one approach will wörk better in some instances and the other approach will work better in other instances. The second approach, to a degree, furnishes an incentive factor which might prove valuable for the long-sustained effort which we nust now make to increase production and to work harder and longer. This incentive factor, as applied to the individual, may prove very important. In view of all these considerations, perhaps some combination of the two approaches discussed in this paragraph may prove necessary. In addition, labor can help in the stabilization effort by encouraging the purchase of bonds, as one of the means by which excess purchasing power can be kept away from the markets for goods.

In the case of wages even more than prices, some flexibility must be maintained. Some wage adjustments may become necessary to correct clear inequities among rates for comparable work; to allow for legitimate job reclassifications within given firms; to correct "substandards" of living; and, in certain instances, to assist in the recruitment of scarce labor skills urgently needed by defense industries. But these adjustments should be carefully screened and held to a minimum. Otherwise, they will cumulate the pressures which at best will make it very hard to hold average wage receipts in line with the availability of goods or to prevent the total volume of wages (including those paid to new workers and for longer hours) from rising dangerously above the availability of goods.
From the time the OPA issued its first formal price schedule until the institution of general price controls in A.pril 1942, fourteen months elapsed
and the agency had developed an organization of 20,000 employees. Authority to stabilize wages was not granted for still another six months. This time, whatever the ultimate goal, the pace of action should be much more rapid. The Economic Stabilization Agency has power to put ceilings on wages as well as prices. It is already moving to hold basic industrial prices, to control selectively the prices and wages of some products; and to develop general price and wage standards to serve as a framework for price and wage policy and to identify the areas where controls become urgent because these standards are violated. The Agency should strive to accelerate action, in view of the inflationary potential now so clearly apparent, and in view of the fact that control action anticipated but not made effective in itself stimulates speculative inflation.

## International Economic Policy

In framing the economic programs to build up our national strength, we must bear in mind that this strength is bound up with that of other free nations, immediately as well as in the long run. The requirements of defense call for the adoption of some new economic programs in the international field, for changes of emphasis in some of our present ones, and for close coordination of our international and our domestic economic programs. Certain broad principles imposed by these requirements can be stated at the present time.

## Economic aid to foreign countries

With our economic resources strained by the burden of defense, every use of these resources implies the sacrifice of some alternative use. Under these conditions, our foreign as well as our domestic programs must be designed so that they are effective and efficient in furthering the purposes which now have highest priority. In determining what we can afford by way of aid to other countries, we must take into account that such aid limits the expansion of goods available for domestic use. Against this consideration, we must balance the contribution that aid to friendly countries will make in sustaining or increasing our joint strength, taking into account the combined military, political, and economic aspects of our security interests.

The fact that our economy is operating under forced draft means not only that forcign aid programs must be screened to serve high priority purposes; they must all be under continuous survey to see that such purposes are carried out, and that the programs are adjusted to changing conditions.

Aid to Western Europe should be related to the high priority requirement for a rapid rebuilding of common defensive strength. This calls for the fullest possible use of resources outside as well as inside the United States. In Western Europe is to be found the largest industrial potential in the world outside the United States, and a skilled labor force exceeding in number either our own or that of the Soviet Union. It would be a formidable asset in the hands of a potential enemy. Western Europe
can and should provide the major part of the resources for its own rearmament. In some countrics, notably Germany and Italy, the labor force and plant capacity are not being fully utilized; production can be expanded by placement of orders, if adequate raw materials and certain needed ancillary facilities are made available. Hours of work and participation in the labor force will have to be increased in Western Europe, as they will in the United States. But resources needed for defense production will also have to be provided in Western European countries, as in the United States, by restricting both consumption and normal improvement of the capital stock.

Even this will not provide enough labor, material, and plant capacity. In order to obtain the most rapid possible build-up of defensive strength, we, with a much larger industrial potential in relation to population, shall have to provide large amounts of military equipment and supplies to our North Atlantic Treaty partners.

Achievement of the necessary defensive strength in Western Europe will also require provision of economic aid. Although most of these countries had been making substantial progress toward self-support, indicating that their resources were becoming more nearly adequate to the demands being made upon them at that time, these demands are now being much increased. Their own rearmament will greatly intensify the pressure on their economic resources. The rise in the prices of their raw material imports, more rapid than that of their export prices, has already increased the volume of exports required to pay for a given quantity of imports. This has put some additional pressure on their resources. The full impact of this rise has probably not yet been felt. More important, the increase in their armed forces and in theirown armament production will reduce the resources available to produce goods for export, and for their own consumption and investment. Economic aid for recovery purposes, the need for which has been declining rapidly, must give way to aid needed to support a strengthening of the common defenses.

The degree to which our aid takes a military or nonmilitary form does not indicate its essentiality to the defense effort. If one country can produce military goods most efficiently; the most economic use of common resources may make it desirable for that country to produce more of these goods than its own military establishment requires. If this forces it to cut its production of civilian goods below its requirements, a larger proportion of the aid it requires may take a nonmilitary form. Similarly, one country may make its greatest contribution in the form of manpower for the common armed forces, and, as a result, have to cut its civilian production. Provided that a country is making its maximum contribution to production and to curtailing nonessential use of civilian goods, such aid as it may require in making this contribution is essential to the common defense effort, whether it takes a military or economic form.

In reappraising foreign aid programs and shifting their emphasis, we must continue to recognize that Soviet-dominated aggression is neither solely military nor of short-run character. Some parts of the world are threatened by Communist penetration but are not subject to direct military threat. In many areas where there is a direct military threat, this threat exists or is particularly dangerous because political, social, and economic conditions provide a fertile soil for penetration of Soviet-Communist ideology. In some of these cases, well-designed assistance in expanding production, even of goods unrelated to military strength, can contribute to the essential aspects of common security.

The productivity of some of the underdeveloped countries is also an important element in the defense of the free world. Not only do these areas produce the raw materials upon which essential production in the United States and other industrial countries depends; in addition, they must be able to provide for the essential needs of their peoples if they are to be kept free from Communist domination. While there is a great production potential in these countries, the difficulties are primarily inefficient techniques of production, inadequate capital to develop the resources, and in many cases institutional obstacles. The large contribution which many of these countries can nevertheless make to the common strength must be fully taken into account. Increased demand for their products has already substantially improved their financial position and their ability to contribute. Present plans to help them increase their productive capacity are based on a recognition that such increases contribute to our national security, both directly and indirectly, by improving the economic strength of these countries and reducing their vulnerability to subversion.

But the common danger and the greatly increased strain on our own resources call for reappraising and, if necessary, altering the character and time focus of such programs. Greater emphasis must be put on production of raw materials which will be scarce in relation to important demands. Increased production of food in these countries would reduce the drain on the United States. It will also be necessary to shift emphasis to programs which produce results quickly.

Expansion of productive capacity calls for private capital investment, and public investment by the Export-Import Bank and the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development. Grants for technical assistance and, in some critical areas, capital development will be necessary. Despite present international tensions, measures to facilitate private investment can still be effective in certain areas and are particularly needed to expand raw material production. It is desirable to enact legislation authorizing guarantees to private capital against certain risks peculiar to foreign investment, and to continue efforts to negotiate investment treaties.

A carefully selective expansion of public investment is also required to support the security effort. The burden of lending to develop production, especially where security interests primarily of the United States are in-
volved, requires the Export-Import Bank to play an active role. The Bank's uncommitted lending authority is now only about 500 million dollars. This seriously restricts it. Its lending authority should be raised by 1 billion dollars, from the present 3.5 billion dollars to 4.5 billion.

## Control of commodities in world trade

Even vigorous efforts to expand foreign and domestic production of the major raw materials cannot achieve results in time to prevent some important cases of severe shortages, sharp price rises, and maldistribution of supplies. For some commodities, these effects have already occurred. In a few cases, greatly increased United States demand or, as in cotton, reduced supply, has in fact threatened to cut essential production abroad. International collaboration is needed to improve the distribution of important products in acutely short supply, so that nonessential uses in some countries do not deprive essential uses in others. The major concrete problems are to identify the commodities requiring such collaboration, to work out the policies which should govern their distribution, and to work out and put into effect the mechanisms best suited for the purpose, both internationally and within the countries affected.

It will become increasingly necessary to control the export of materials in short supply in the United States which are necessary to our defense program, and to direct exports of some commodities to countries where they are most urgently needed. For these reasons, as well as for obvious security reasons, the power to control exports, which is scheduled to expire on June 30, 1951, should be extended.

In view of emergency shortages of raw materials, and to implement international arrangements for their distribution, the power to control imports, which also expires on June 30, 1951, needs to be extended.

It will also become increasingly urgent to secure close coordination between the day-to-day operation of domestic and of international commodity control programs. Where there is excess demand for commodities which we import or export, we must limit domestic use, not only to avoid taking more than our agreed-upon share of world imports, but also to assure that goods are available for necessary exports. Fiscal and credit policies can help by restraining demand for the final products which use the particular raw materials. For example, controls which cut the demand for automobiles also indirectly cut the demand for rubber. But such measures probably cannot suffice; international allocation of a commodity implies domestic priority or allocation as well. The administration of these domestic controls must take into account the needs of other countries, not only for goods used in defense production, but also for essential civilian goods and services.

Fiscal, credit, and allocation measures will exert some restraining influence upon prices of the major commodities in world trade. But this influence is likely to be limited. Violent price rises in these commodities create balance of payments difficulties in the importing countries and, through
their effects upon costs, reinforce existing inflationary pressures. For the exporting countries, they raise real incomes but may also introduce a considerabie olement of inflation and lay the basis for future dislocations. The advisability of attempting to control them by international action depends on the extent to which the higher prices elicit increased production, which depends largely on the technical conditions of production for the particular commodity and on how long the price increase is expected to last. In general, it seems desirable to place primary emphasis on vigorous measures to reduce or eliminate nonessential uses.

## Commercial and financial policies

With the virtual certainty of widespread labor and material shortages for an indefinite period, we must use all methods of increasing our available supplies which do not prevent friendly countries from satisfying their own needs. Commercial and financial practices which divert demand from foreign to United States production put piessure on our resources; their relaxation can help to counter inflationary pressures.

First, various statutes require, with certain exceptions, that the Federal Government, and State and local government authorities receiving Federal funds, buy only goods manufactured or mined in the United Statcs or produced from domestic materials. The defense effort generally, and especially the stockpile program, will require increasing Government purchases of imported materials. Such purchases will, in addition, relieve shortages and inflationary pressures in this country. The Congress has just given the President emergency and temporary power to authorize Federal procurement in connection with national defense without regard to these and other restrictive provisions of existing law, when such procurement is in the interest of national defense. Under these new powers, the fullest possible use should be made of foreign as well as domestic sources of commodities needed for defense purposes, including stockpiling.

Second, the diminishing availability of goods in this country makes more desirable a reduction of tariff barriers. Despite duty reductions under reciprocal trade agreements, many United States imports are still subject to high duties. For reasons which have often been explained, our long- as well as short-run policies require that we extend the Trade Agreements Act, which expires on June 12, 1951, and that customs procedures, which now also impede the entry of foreign supplies, be simplified by enacting the proposed Customs Simplification Act. But further action is desirable in the present inflationary situation. It would be desirable to enact temporary legislation, authorizing the President to make unilateral reductions or suspensions of tariff duties and import restrictions on commodities in short supply, as long as emergency conditions exist. This is desirable, not only in connection with materials for further processing, such as copper, but may also be desirable in connection with finished commodities.

Third, we place an additional strain upon our resources, and add to domestic inflationary pressures, when we require that Government loans or grants to foreign countries be spent on goods produced in the United States. Such aid is generally intended to supplement the recipient country's total economic resources, not to promote United States exports. If the recipient can obtain the particular commodities it needs more cheaply from another source, it should in generral be left free to do so. Until recently, it was probable that the "tying" of loans or grants affected primarily the composition rather than the total amount of United States exports; if the recipient of dollar assistance did not spend the proceeds in the United States, the country in which it did spend the dollars would probably do so. Even under these conditions, there would be good reasons why it should be our general policy not to tie our aid to our exports. Now, however, it is probable that a smaller portion of aid spent in foreign countries would be re-spent here. A general policy of not tying dollar aid to United States goods would, therefore, help ease the inflationary strain of exports on our cconomy. At the same time, it would give other countries a greater chance to earn dollars by exporting to the recipients of our aid. Since some of these countries may themsclves be receiving aid from us, this policy may reduce their need for such aid. These principles were recognized in the Mutual Defense Assistance Act, which provided that United States military assistance for foreign countries may be used to obtain equipment, materials, and services from any source.

In general, all measures to reduce artificial barriers to imports and artificial stimuli to exports tend to ease inflationary pressures in this country. Present circumstances offer the opportunity for taking these measures with little, if any, disturbance to related domestic, industries, and with maximum benefit to the American public as a whole.

# Part V. Details of Economic Trends in 1950 

Employment and Production

## Employment

DURING the past year, there have been significant labor market changes. The civilian labor force averaged 63.1 million in 1950 , compared with 62.1 in 1949. For the year as a whole, almost 60.0 million persons were employed in civilian occupations, or about 1.3 million more than in 1949 and 0.6 million above the average of 59.4 in 1948. Nonfarm employment increased by 1.8 million. Farm employment decreased by about 500,000; part of this decline may be attributable to the availability of better paying jobs in industry, which tended to attract workers away from the farm. Unemployment averaged 250,000 less than in 1949. (See chart 19.)

From 56.9 million in January 1950, with seasonal activity at a low point, civilian employment increased rapidly to an all-time high of 62.4 million in the summer. It then declined seasonally to 61.1 million in the fourth quarter, or about 2.1 million above the average in the fourth quarter of 1949. During the first half of 1950, this steady increase in the number of people at work reflected the growing momentum of economic recovery. Employment was given an additional push in the third quarter, when the outbreak in Korea led to anticipatory buying of consumer durables, when expenditures for expansion of plant and equipment increased, and when manufacturers began to build up their work forces.

Average manufacturing employment in 1950 exceeded that in 1949 by about 700,000 , with the largest gains in establishments manufacturing durable goods. In November 1950, 15.7 million persons were engaged in manufacturing, compared with 13.8 million in November 1949. Between June and November, 683,000 employees were added to the payrolls of durable goods establishments. The most important rises came in electrical and nonelectrical machinery, primary and fabricated metals, instruments, and aircraft. As a result, employment in durable goods manufacturing reached 8.6 million, climbing above the high level of 8.4 million in November 1948. There was also a significant increase in employment in nondurable industries, with the November 1950 figure at 7.1 million, compared with 6.8 a year earlier. Employment in nondurable manufacturing increased by 358,000 between June and November. Employment in the production of textiles, apparel, and foods

## CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE

Civilion employment averoged 60.0 million persons in 1950, 1.3 million higher than in 1949. The increase was entirely in the nonagricultural segment of the economy, agricultural employment dropping about a half million.


During 1950, unemployment overaged about 250,000 less than in 1949. There was a striking improvement during the year. The December level of 2.2 million, or 3.6 percent of the civilian labor force, was more than a million below December a year ago, when 5.6 percent of the labor force was unemployed.
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expanded seasonally; chemicals, paper, and rubber products made significant gains. Compared with November 1949, important gains in employment also appeared in contract construction, where there was a rise of about 290,000; in trade, where there was a gain of about 270,000; and in the Federal Government, where a pronounced upturn in employment toward the end of the year reflected the impact of the defense program.
The general tightening which took place in the labor market is shown by the change in labor market classifications between late 1949 and 1950. In November 1949, out of 139 major labor market areas surveyed, only five were classified as having a tight or balanced labor supply (under 3 percent unemployed). By November 1950, out of 152 areas, 56 had been given this classification. In addition to this general tightening in the labor market areas, serious shortages of certain skills had developed by the end of the year in some parts of the country. In addition, nationwide shortages have emerged of certain professional workers who are of prime importance to the defense effort. This is particularly noticeable in the science and engineering professions.

## Unemployment

The general economic recovery during the first half of 1950, and inflationary pressures in the second half, were mirrored in a marked improvement in the unemployment situation. Beginning with March, the number of unemployed persons decreased almost steadily and more than seasonally, until in October unemployment was down'to 1.9 million. The December figure of 2.2 million unemployed is more than a million below a year ago. The average number of persons unemployed for the year 1950 as a whole was only about 250,000 less than for 1949. (See chart 19.)
At the end of the year 1950, 3.6 percent of the civilian labor force was unemployed. Such a small percentage of unemployment indicates that we are nearing what is ordinarily considered to be the minimum for a peacetime economy. It is not irreducible, however, and the employment of more persons now unemployed can help to meet pressing needs for a larger number of workers and greater production. Production may also be increased by a fuller utilization of the 1.1 million persons who at the end of the year were working part-time, but who were able and willing to accept full-time jobs. (See appendix tables A-11 and A-12 for further statistics of employment and unemployment.)

## Production

Last January, the Council stated that to achieve maximum employment it would be necessary to increase total production of goods and services by about 7 percent over 1949. As a result of the strong demand following the Korean outbreak, the economy actually produced 7 percent more goods and services in the year 1950 than during 1949, and by the fourth quarter had reached an annual rate 10 percent higher than for the year 1949.

These measurements of production of goods and services are based on gross national product adjusted for price changes. (See appendix tables A-1, A-9, and A-10.)

Production of goods. Total physical production of goods during 1950 was 11 percent above 1949, and reached a record high for any peacetime year, according to the physical production index which includes agricultural and nonagricultural production. Comparison with war years is difficult, but apparently the 1950 output was close to the record reached in World War II. (See appendix table A-16.)

Total agricultural output in 1950 was 2 percent below 1949, and 3 percent below 1948. Although the production of farm crops in 1950 was the fourth largest on record, it was $41 / 2$ percent below 1949 , due mainly to a large drop in the output of cotton. The drop in crop production was partly offset by an increase of 2 percent in the output of livestock products. Per capita food consumption in 1951 is likely to be about 3 percent higher than last year.

Industrial production recovered early in 1950 from the 1949 drop and continued to increase throughout most of the year. For the year as a whole, the industrial production index was about 200 percent of the 1935-39 average. This represented an increase of 14 percent above 1949, and more than 4 percent above 1948. (See chart 20 and appendix table A-17.)

Gains in output occurred during 1950 in most industries. The output of durables at the end of the year was 31 percent above that of a year earlier. Steel production was about 96.5 million tons, almost 25 percent more than in 1949, and an all-time record. The automobile industry produced at a record rate during most of the year, turning out 8 million cars and trucks for the year as a whole. Production was hampered by work stoppages early in the year, but reached a peak in June.

Production of such consumer durable goods as household appliances, radios, and television sets leveled off toward the end of the year, after increasing sharply in earlier months.

As the defense program got under way in the second half, industries related to the defense program, such as machinery, aircraft, shipbuilding, and railroad equipment, increased their output.

Total production of nondurable goods for the year was about 11 percent above the 1949 level. The output of nondurable goods increased throughout the first three quarters of the year, reached a peak in the early part of the fourth quarter, and declined very slightly during the rest of the year.

Mineral production was hampered in the early part of 1950 by the work stoppage in the coal mining industry, but then moved up to a level above the highest prevailing rate during 1949. Bituminous coal stocks held by industrial consumers and retail dealers at the end of 1950 were estimated to be only 700,000 tons below the postwar peak reached a year and a half ago.

## INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION

Total industrial production rose throughout 1950, and averaged 14 percent above 1949. Gains occurred in most industries, but were especially marked in industries manufacturing durable goods.
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Higher output was also registered by several important industries which are not included in the industrial production index. Electric power output showed a steady cxpansion in the last half of the year, averaging about 13 percent above 1949 for the year as a whole.

In physical terms, construction reached an all-time peak during the third quarter of the year; for the year as a whole it was 17 percent over 1949.

Production of services. Detailed figures are not available to show changes in the output of most services. There was an increase of 4 percent in real (constant prices) personal expenditures for services from 1949 to 1950, suggesting a roughly similar change in the total output of services. (See appendix table A-10.)

## Priges, Wages, and Profrts

## Prices

Commodity prices as a whole moved upward throughout 1950, but the pace of the advance, particularly in wholesale markets, picked up sharply after the outbreak of the Korean hostilities at midyear. The prices of
most commodities followed a rough pattern of moderate strength in the first half of 1950, followed by rapid increases in the second half, which brought most indexes to all-time highs.

The general upsurge of prices in the second half of the year was not regular. It developed in a series of partially overlapping waves, the first of which reached a crest and flattened out with the temporarily good news from Korea in September. Following the intervention of the Chinese in late November, price increases accelerated again.

The speed and force with which industrial prices responded to the new international dangers were exceptional when compared with most previous inflations. There was a good deal of price-raising by industries in anticipation of the announced expansion of the defense program and of price control.

Wholesale prices. In December 1950 wholesale prices were 10.9 percent above their level last June, and 15.4 percent higher than in December 1949. The all-commodity index exceeded the previous postwar 1948 peak for the first time in November 1950. Of the three major categories, farm products scored the greatest over-all gain during the year, but foods and industrial products did not lag very far behind, and all three groups recorded price increases of over 10 percent during the second half of the year. The timing of their movements differed. (See chart 3 on page 41, and table 2.)

The 4 percent rise in wholesale prices during the first six months of the year was mainly the result of increases in farm and food prices. Industrial prices rose 2.3 percent during the period in a-slow but steady gain which reflected the major recovery of the domestic economy.

Immediately after the Korean outbreak, the monthly rate of increase in wholesale industrial prices, which had averaged less than one-half percent during the first half-year, increased almost five-fold. The rate of increase averaged more than 2 percent per month during the third quarter, and much less during October and November. Following the China attack, the rate of increase immediately accelerated.

The swiftness of the response of manufacturers' and other industrial pricing to the Korean situation exceeded what might have been expected from past experience. It was not confined to a few industries. By mid-October, at the end of the "first wave," the prices of over 75 percent of the industrial commodities in the BLS weekly wholesale price index had increased; 44 percent had risen 10 percent or more, and 26 percent were up 20 percent or more over their pre-Korean levels. These increases, in a great many cases, were not forced by cost increases already incurred. In part, they were a reflection of the enormous wave of consumer buying and of business orders in the third quarter. And in considerable measure, no doubt, they represented efforts to jockey into a favorable position in the event a price freeze were ordered.

The rise in industrial prices during the second half of 1950, while notable for its generality, was by no means uniform among industrial groups. Textiles, recording the largest increase, rose 24 percent; chemicals and

## WHOLESALE PRICES

## OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS

Industrial prices, which were comparatively stable in the lst half of 1950, rose with exceptional vigor in response to the Korean outbreak. All major groups odvanced substantially, and most groups reached new postwar highs.
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allied products 21.5 percent; and metal and metal products 7.1 percent. Prices of building materials reached a peak in September, receded in October and November because of a sharp drop in some lumber prices, and then moved to an all-time high in December. (See chart 21.)

The inflation was marked, moreover, by the violent gyrations in the generally upward course of prices of imported raw materials directly demanded for defense production. Tin, natural rubber, and wool were the notable instances. Such prices were particularly sensitive to the military news from Korea. In the case of rubber, the institution of centralized purchasing late in December resulted in a substantial drop in prices.

For a few weeks immediately after the Korean outbreak, there was a precipitous upshoot in wholesale farm and food prices. Thereafter, farm prices moved unevenly before beginning to rise again in late October, and wholesale foods roughly paralleled their course. Livestock and meats were the principal moderating factors in the agricultural sector, reaching their post-Korean highs in the last week of August and thereafter entering a seasonal decline as hog prices dropped sharply. By late November, prices of these products began to rise again. Other farm prices were much more buoyant during the last four months of the year, with cotton, as a result of a short supply and the sudden expansion in domestic and export demand, reaching all-time highs. Currently, beef cattle, veal calves, lambs, rice, wool, cotton, and cottonseed are the major farm commodities selling at prices above the minimum permissible ceilings provided for in the Defense Production Act. (Further details on wholesale prices may be found in appendix table A-24. Prices received and paid by farmers are shown in appendix table A-25.)

Table 2.--Changes in wholesale prices

| Commodity group | Percentage change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1949 \text { to } \\ & \text { June } 1950 \end{aligned}$ | June 1950 <br> to Decernber 1950 | December 1049 to December 1950 | Pre-Korean peak to December 1950 |
| All commoditles. | +4.0 | $+10.9$ | +15.4 | +2.8 |
| Farm products. | +7.1 | +12.9 | +20.0 | -6.0 |
| Foods.-......................... | +4.1 +2.3 | +10.4 | +14.9 | -5.8 |
| Other than larm products and foods | +2.3 | +11.5 | +14.1 | +8.0 |
| Tides and licather products. | +1.8 | +18.2 | $+20.0$ | $+6.1$ |
| Textlle products .-....-ir | -1.2 | $+24.0$ | $+22.6$ | +12.5 |
| Fuel and lighting materials. | +1.8 +2.4 | +2.2 +7.1 | +4.0 +0.7 | -1.5 +4.8 |
| Building materlais......... | +6.1 | +8.9 | +8.7 +16.5 | +4.8 +7.8 |
| Chernicals and allled product | -. 7 | +21.6 | +20.7 | +. 2 |
| Housefurnishing goods...... | +1.9 | +14.3 | +16.4 | +13.1 |
| Miscellancous.......... | +3.6 | +22.5 +2 | +20.8 | +13.7 |
| Special groups: |  |  |  |  |
| Raw materials. | +5.1 | $1+10.0$ | $1+15.6$ | $1+.1$ |
| Beminanufactured articles | $+2.6$ | $1+16.6$ | $1+19.6$ | $1+7.3$ |
| Manulactured products. | +-3.7 | $1+7.4$ | $!+11.4$ | $1+.2$ |

[^1]Consumers' prices. The consumers' price index by November 1950 was 4.8 percent over December 1949, and 3.2 percent above the June 1950 level. It reached a record high in October, and continued to climb in the last two months of the year. Preliminary indications are that the index rose sharply in December. (See appendix table A-23.)

A sharp rise in retail food prices after April accounted for much of the over-all increase in consumers' prices in the first half-year, and continued to lead the index upward in July. Thereafter, however, because of a seasonal decline in fresh vegetable prices and, more importantly, because of some weakening of meat prices, the food component of the index leveled off for several months. The other components, particularly apparel and housefurnishings, supplied the principal upward force. Fuel and utility costs also rose substantially, and rents continued to edge higher. (See chart 22 and table 3.)
However, in the second half of November, food prices began to move up again sharply and by December 15 were 3.2 percent above the November level and 5.7 percent above the pre-Korean level. Particularly spectacular was the rise in egg prices which advanced 66 percent over the June level, accounting for more than half of the rise in retail food prices. Fats and oils, beverages, and dairy products also scored substantial gains. While complete data for December are not yet available, it should be noted that the estimated increase in retail food prices of 3.2 percent between November and December will alone raise the consumers' price index for December by about 1.4 percent above November. Since other retail prices have undoubtedly also advanced, the total increase in consumers' prices in December will be substantially above 1.4 percent. This indicates a rise of over 6 percent in consumers' prices during 1950, and of over $41 / 2$ percent during the second half of 1950 .

Table 3.-Changes in consumers' prices

| Item | Percentage change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1949 \text { to } \\ & \text { June } 1950 \end{aligned}$ | June 1950 <br> to November 1950 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ \text { 1019 to } \\ \text { November } \\ 1050 \end{gathered}$ | Pre-Korean peak to November. 1950 |
| All itoms. | +1.6 | $1+3.2$ | $1+4.8$ | $1+0.6$ |
| Food.. | +3.7 | $9+5.7$ | $2+9.6$ | $1-.2$ |
| Apparel.. | +14 | +5.4 | $+5.0$ | -3.3 |
| Fuol, electricity, and reirigerat | +1.4 +.6 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { + } \\ +1.2 .5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | +2.6 +2.9 | $\pm 1.2$ |
| Housefurnlshings ............ | -. 1 | +9.2 | +9.1 | +1.8 |
| Miscollaneous..... | -. 1 | +3.3 | +3.2 | +3.2 |

[^2]
## Wages

Wages and salaries, which had remained relatively steady throughout 1949, rose continuously in 1950, as wage rate increases, longer weekly hours,

## CONSUMERS' PRICES

Consumers' prices reached new high levels. in 1950. A sharp increase in food prices began in the 2nd quarter of the year, but the rise was not steady. Most other components were stable in the Ist half of the year but advanced steadily and rapidly in
the 2 nd half.


## PERCENTAGE CHANGES
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and increased employment were reflected in workers' incomes. In the fourth quarter of 1949, aggregate wages and salaries and other labor income (see appendix table A-5) were at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 134.6 billion dollars. By the fourth quarter of 1950, they had risen by over 21 billion, to a new high of 155.9 billion. About half of the increase during the year may be attributed to increased employment. The other half may be attributed to increased hours, higher wage rates, and increases in other labor income.

In November 1949, average hourly earnings in all manufacturing industries were $\$ 1.392$. By November 1950, they had risen about 12 cents, to a new high of $\$ 1.510$. The increase has been especially pronounced since August. In the durable goods manufacturing segment, there was an increase of about 13 cents between November 1949 and November 1950, compared with an increase of about 9 sents in nondurable goods manufacturing.

Average weekly earnings showed an even sharper increase, due largely to the increase in the length of the work week in many industries, with consequent overtime pay. Such earnings in all manufacturing were $\$ 54.43$ in November 1949, and by November 1950 they had risen to $\$ 62.06$. The increase in durable goods manufacturing was sharper than in nondurable goods; average weekly earnings in durable manufacturing increased by about $\$ 9.50$ during the period, while those in nondurable manufacturing increased by half this amount. Real weekly earnings in all manufacturing, measured by changes in the consumers' price index, rose by almost 10 percent between November 1949 and November 1950.

Avcrage weekly hours in all manufacturing increased from 39.1 hours in November'1949 to 41.1 hours in November 1950, a gain of 2.0 hours. The upward trend was markedly greater in durable goods manufacturing, where the rise was 2.8 hours to 41.8 hours a week, than in nondurable goods manufacturing, where the rise was 0.9 hour to 40.2 hours a week. (Statistics on wages, hours of work, and earnings are shown in appendix tables A-13, A-14, and A-15.)

Wage negotiations. The pattern of wage negotiations and contract settlements during 1950 divided into two distinct parts. During the first half of the year, contract settlements were generally moderate by postwar standards, with emphasis on supplementary benefits. After September, a landslide movement developed for sizable wage increases, accompanied in some instances by fringe benefits.

During the first half of the year, con'ract settlements with general wage advances were made most frequently in nonmanufacturing industries, particularly in construction. The most important settlement was the renewal of the General Motors contract, with a provision for a five-year term with cost-of-living adjustments, "productivity" increases of 4 cents each year throughout the life of the contract, and a pension plan and increased social insurance benefits.

After the Korean development, pressures built up for wage increases as the labor market tightened, the cost of living began to increase, and profits reached new highs. Late in August and in September, a movement for rapid and sizable wage increases developed in the mass production industries, led by a round of wage increases in the automobile industry. Some of the wage increases were based in part on cost-of-living adjustments, within the terms of existing contracts. General Motors production workers, for example, received a 5 cents cost-of-living adjustment at the beginning of September, in consequence of the rise in the consumers' price index between April and July and another increase of 3 cents in December. In August, Chrysler employees were granted an increase of 10 cents an hour, without the formality of a wage reopening, and without a change in the terms of the three and a half month old contract. However, the contract was changed in December to include the General Motors wage arrangement, bringing an additional increase of one cent. On September 4, Ford and the UAW-CIO set aside a contract four months before a permissive reopening date to negotiate a new agreement with wage provisions similar to the General Motors arrangement, and with an immediate cost-of-living increase of eight cents an hour which was later raised to 11 cents. Other automobile companies quickly fell into line.

The wage-increase movement spread rapidly during the last four months of 1950, accelerated by the continued rise in the consumers' price index, and by expectations of wage and price controls. Most increases were granted in manufacturing industries. Through formal or voluntary wage reopenings, adjustments became widespread in meat'packing, textiles, apparel, petroleum, rubber, glass, and the major metalworking industries (including basic steel, aluminum, aircraft, electrical machinery, and agricultural implements). A substantial number of workers in nonmanufacturing industries (particularly maritime, telephone, and the railroad industries) also received wage increases. In addition, a large number of unorganized clerical and production workers have received wage increases since midyear 1950. Even when all of these groups are included, it is probable that somewhat less than half of the workers in private nonagricultural employment have participated in the wage adjustments which have been made since the Korean outbreak:

During the past few months, second adjustments were common which supplemented increases negotiated earlier in the year. Many of these second adjustments were prompted by a desire to revise agreements which were concluded before the Korean outbreak. In many cases, wage increases were granted by voluntary action on the part of employers, either by consenting to discuss wage matters with union representatives prior to formal wage reopening dates, or by offering increases prior to formal negotiations. This willingness of many employers to consider wage increases made it possible for so many organized workers to receive increases within such a short period of time.

During 1950, two devices to cover future adjustments under long-term contracts came into prominence: the first, cost-of-living escalator clauses which tie wage rates or cost-of-living allowances to changes in the BLS Consumers Price Index; the second, stipulations regarding adjustments to be made in 1951 or later without reference to price developments. A large number of workers are covered by contracts which provide one or both arrangements. According to BLS estimates, about $11 / 2$ million organized workers are now covered by cost-of-living escalator clauses. Close to the same number, but not always the same workers, have been promised a specified increase or increases in 1951 or later. The combination of the two devices, commonly identified as the General Motors formula, appears in many contracts.

As a result of these developments, wage increases in the second half of 1950 have contributed materially to the underlying inflationary situation. In many instances, increases in wage rates have been followed by price increases. In other instances, price increases have encouraged demands for wage increases. Between the second and fourth quarters of 1950, the total increase in aggregate wages and salaries, which resulted from more employment, longer hours, higher wage rates, and other increases in labor income, amounted to almost 15 billion dollars.

## Profits

The year 1950 witnessed the largest total profits in American business history, reflecting record levels of sales and prices. (See chart 18 on page 105.) For 1950 as a whole, corporate book profits before taxes (not adjusted for inventory valuation) were 40.2 billion dollars, compared with 27.6 billion dollars in 1949, and 33.9 billion in 1948, the previous record year. (See appendix tables A-32 through A-36 for statistics on profits.)

The recovery movement in the first half of 1950 had, by the second quarter, already brought profits to new record levels and with the tremendous expansion in business during the second half of the year, profits rose to even higher levels. By the fourth quarter, corporate book profits were at an estimated annual rate of 48 billion dollars before taxes, compared with an annual rate of 27.6 billion in the fourth quarter of 1949, a rise of almost 75 percent. Prior to 1950, the previous peak was reached in the third quarter of 1948 , with corporate book profits at an annual rate of 35.3 billion dollars.

Corporate book profits after taxes also reached new highs. (The tax liabilities reflect the higher income taxes already passed, including the effects of excess profits taxes.) In the fourth quarter of 1950, corporate book profits after taxes (not adjusted for inventory valuation) were running at an estimated annual rate of 24.5 billion dollars, compared with 16.9 billion dollars in the fourth quarter of 1949, and with the previous peak of 21.9 billion in the third quarter of 1948 . Corporate book profits after
taxes in 1950 were 21.9 billion dollars, compared with 17.0 billion in 1949, and 20.9 billion in 1948. The 1950 profits after taxes represented about 5 percent on sales, and over $91 / 2$ percent on net worth, compared with under $41 / 2$ percent and $\&$ percent, respectively, in 1949.

Net income of nonagricultural unincorporated businesses and the professions (not adjusted for inventory valuation) also made new records. In the fourth quarter of 1950, it was running at an annual rate of 25.9 billion dollars before taxes, compared with 20.3 billion in the fourth quarter of 1949, a rise of 28 percent. For 1950 as a whole, net income of ünincorporated business amounted to 24.7 billion dollars, compared with 20.3 billion in 1949. (See appendix table A-4.)

Net income of farm proprietors in the second half of 1950 increased in response to higher farm prices. By the fourth quarter of 1950, net income of farm proprietors before taxes was at an annual rate of 14.0 billion dollars, compared with 12.8 billion dollars in the fourth quarter of 1949. How. ever, the fourth quarter level was about 25 percent below the postwar peak annual rate of 18.6 billion in the second quarter of 1948. For 1950 as a whole, net income of farm proprietors was 13 billion, slightly below the 1949 level.

Although profits rose generally throughout 1950, the rate of rise differed sharply among different industries. During the first half of 1950, and particularly in the second quarter of 1950, the increăse in profits was most marked for manufactirers of durable goods. This reflected the relatively greater level of output and demand for these industries. During the second half of the year, the rise in profits was most marked for producers of nondurable goods, where prices rose relatively more than for the durable goods producers. But, during the third quarter, profits before taxes were higher than in the two previous quarters for every major manufacturing group.

In addition, the sharp rise in over-all profits was reflected in a striking improvement in the position of small firms. In the third quarter of 1950, the smallest manufacturing corporations, those with assets of less than 250,000 dollars, showed the largest relative improvement in their profits after taxes, compared with the corresponding quarter of 1949. However, on a before tax basis the return on net worth and on sales for these firms continued to be below that of the larger corporations. Particularly in the case of return on sales, the smallest firms in the third quarter earned 6 percent on sales before taxes, compared with 16 percent for those firms with assets of 100 million dollars and over. On an after tax basis, the smallest firms in the third quarter had a return on net worth somewhat above that for the larger firms, while their return on sales continued to be substantially below that for the larger firms.

Table 4.-Corporate profits as a source of funds after allowing for changes in costs of replacing inventories
[Billions of dollars, snnual rates, senconally sdjusted]

| Perlod | Corpornte prosts |  | Changes in costs of replacing inventorise ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Betore taxes | After taxes |  |  |
| 1946. | 23.5 | 13.9 | 5.2 | 8.7 |
| 1977 | 39.5 | 18.6 | 8.8 | 12.7 |
| 1988 | 33.9 | 20.9 | 2.0 | 18.9 |
| 1949 | 27.6 | 17.0 | -2.2 | 10.2 |
| 1950 \% | 40.2 | 21.8 | 4.7 | 17.2 |
| First hall. | 33.3 | 19.7 | 1.6 | 18.1 |
| Second hat ${ }^{2}$.. | 47.0 | 24.0 | 7.7 | 16.3 |

1 Inventory valuation adjustment with sign reversed.
1 Estimates based on Incomplote data; by Connell of Economic Advisers. ProAts after taxes inctude an estimate for the effects or higher income tares, including excess pronts taxes.

Source: Department of Commerce (except as noted).
In appraising the availability of profits as a source of funds, account must be taken of the effects of changes in prices, particularly in the replacement costs of inventories. (See table 4.) In periods of rapid price increase such as 1950, more funds are needed to finance inventories even without any increase in the physical volume of stocks held. Such requirements reduce the availability of profits for such purposes as expansion of plant, dividends, the financing of accounts receivable, and the building-up of liquid assets.

The net availability of funds from corporate profits after taxes, after allowing for the change in costs of replacing inventories was 17.2 billion dollars in 1950, about 10 percent below 1949, the previous peak. There was also a large use of bank credit, as well as considerable flotation of security issues, to finance the tremendous expansion in business activity. (See appendix table A-37 and "Corporate Finance" in the section on Business Investment and Finance.)

## Money and Credit

The expansion of outstanding public and private credit during 1950 reflected the speed, dimension, and directions of growth in the nation's output. The movement was almost entirely in business, consumer, and State and local government indebtedness. Several types of private credit increased with extraordinary rapidity, especially during the third quarter, and many reached new highs during the year. On the other hand, the gross Federal debt declined slightly.

The housing boom stepped up the growth in the outstanding volume of residential mortgage debt, from an annual rate of 11 percent in 1949 to 17

percent in 1950. Consumer instalment credit, which helped implement the strong demand for automobiles and other durables, increased 2.4 billion or 22 percent during the first nine months of 1950, compared to 1.3 billion or 15 percent during the same period of 1949 . During the third quarter of 1950, when buying of consumer durables reached its peak, the instalment debt of consumers expanded almost as much as during the first three quarters of 1949. (See chart 23 and appendix table A-26.)

Total loans and investments of commercial banks expanded not much more in 1950 than in 1949, but there were marked differences in the movements of the various components. In 1949 the rise of 5.9 billion dollars, or 5.2 percent, in the earning assets of banks was mainly in holdings of Government securities and other investments, which increased 5.4 billion or 7.5 percent, while the increase in loans was but 0.5 billion or 1.2 percent. In contrast, the increase of 7.0 billion, or about 5.8 percent, in loans and investments of all banks during 1950 resulted entirely from new loans, chiefly to business. Loans to all classes of borrowers jumped nearly 10 billion dollars, or 22 percent, while investments in Government and private securi-
ties dropped about 2.7 billion or 3.5 percent. (See appendix table A-27.) Business loans of commercial banks, after a somewhat less than usual seasonal decline in the first half of the year, moved upward more than seasonally during the second half. These loans attained the record high of more than 22 billion in December, about 30 percent above the December 1949 total. During 1949 business loans outstanding had dropped nearly 10 percent.

Total bank deposits and currency held by individuals, business firms , and State and local governments increased by about 6.4 billion dollars in 1950, the largest expansion since 1946, to reach an all-time high of more than 176 billion at the end of the year. Though some factors such as gold exports and a net drop in the ownership of Government securities by the banking system as a whole, tended to pull the volume of deposits and currency down, these factors were far more than counterbalanced by the growth of loans and by bank investments in State and private securities. (See table 5.) Adjusted demand deposits, which had increased only slightly between December 1948 and December 1949, declined 0.7 billion during the first half of 1950, partly because of seasonal tax payments. During the latter half of the year demand deposits climbed more than 7.0 billion dollars, principally because of loan expansion, to about 92 billion, which was more than 7 percent above the December 1949 level. During the first half of 1950 time deposits increased 1.1 billion, but they were drawn down 0.8 billion in the second half. The net increase in time deposits in 1950 was 0.3 billion compared with 1.1 billion in 1949. (See appendix table A-28.)

Table 5.-Factors changing the volume of deposits and currency
[Billions of dollars]

| Factors | Changes in volume 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1948, } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | 1949 |  |  | 1950 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | First half | Second hall | Total ${ }^{\prime}$ | First halt | Second halr ${ }^{2}$ |
| Loans of commercial and mutual savings banks. <br> securties of U.B. Government held by bank. Ing system: | +5.2 | +1.4 | -1.1 | +2.5 | +11.3 | +2.6 | +8.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -6.0 | -. 2 | -3.3 | +3.1 | -3.8 | $-1.7$ | -2.3 |
| held by commercial and mutual savings |  | +1.2-5 | +.8+.8 | $+.7$ | +1.9 | $+1.0$ | +. ${ }^{+1}$ |
|  | +.7 -1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary gold stock |  | $\begin{array}{r} +.0 \\ -1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +3 \\ +.7 \end{array}$ | -. 17 | $\begin{array}{r} T .0 \\ -1.8 \\ -1.8 \end{array}$ | -.2-.7 | 1.2-1.1-1.1 |
| Other factors, net.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in deposits and currency '-- | $-.9$ | +. 7 | $-3.5$ | +4.2 | +6.4 | $+.2$ | +6.2 |

[^3]During the first half of 1950, credit expansion supported consumer and business demand to a degree that was not generally excessive for a recovery period, though it contributed to higher prices for some commodities. After the Korean outbreak, with the accelerated growth of business and consumer credit, heavy liquidation of accumulated savings and rising personal income, private demand surged to an inflationary level. In the second half of 1950 the growth of credit was subjected to several restraints. Federal and State bank supervisory authoricies pressed banks to restrict their loans and investments. In August, the Federal Reserve System raised rediscount rates from $11 / 2$ to $13 / 4$ percent, and at the same time modified its program of open market operations. The purpose of the increase in discount rates was to make borrowing additional reserves more costly for member banks. The objective of the new open market policy, without which the discount rate rise would have little effect, was to limit sales of Government securities by banks and others and thus restrict creation of new bank reserves which could be used as a basis for further credit expansion. At the end of December, the Federal Reserve Board announced increases, effective in January and February, 1951, in the cash reserves required of member banks.

Though interest rates, in general, rose only slightly during the first half of 1950, the policy adopted by the Federal Reserve System in August brought sharp increases in short-term tates. The rate on Treasury bills, which rose from 1.09 percent at the beginning of the year to 1.17 percent at the end of July, reached 1.38 percent by the enid of December. The yield on 9-12 month Government issues averaged 1.44 percent in the fourth quarter of 1950, compared to 1.09 percent in the fourth quarter of 1949. Rates on short-term open market loans to private borrowers moved with the rates on Government obligations of like maturity. (See appendix table A-31.)

Selective controls on consumer and real estate credit were also imposed. In September the Federal Reserve Board applied regulations to consumer instalment credit which required minimum downpayments and set maximum maturities on instalment loans for the purchase of automobiles and other durables. In October, terms vere substantially tightened. As a result of the regulation, and the subsidence of late summer buying which began before the regulation was put into effect, total instalment credit increased less than 2 percent during the fourth quarter of 1950, compared to 10 percent during the same period of 1949.

Action to restrict residential construction was first taken on July 19, when terms were tightened on Government insured or guaranteed real estate loans. In October, under authority granted by the Defense Production Act, a more comprehensive regulation was put into effect. Minimum downpayments and maximum loan maturity periods were prescribed for new conventionally financed one- and two-family houses, and the same terms, with some preference for veterans, were applied to new and existing houses insured by the Federal Housing Administration and the

Veterans Administration. On all types of housing the severity of the terms increased progressively with the price of the house. In general, the terms required by the October regulations were substantially more stringent than typical terms charged by lenders previously. The actions taken to tighten housing credit terms have contributed to a large drop in the volume of applications for Government insured or guaranteed loans. At the present time multi-family structures are being brought under a credit regulation. But because of the large construction backlog not affected by the new regulations it will be a number of months before the regulations can result in substantial decline in housing production.

## The Flow of Goods and Purchasing Power

## Personal income, consumption expenditures, and saving

Personal income. Personal income attained an annual rate of 233.4 billion dollars, seasonally adjusted, in the fourth quarter of 1950. This was 14 percent higher than during the same period of 1949 , and more than 8 percent higher than the second quarter of 1950 . The rise was most impressive between the second and third quarters when increases in economic activity and prices pushed the total up from 215 to 225 billion dollars (annual rate), despite a 3 billion decline in transfer payments. From the third to the fourth quarter there was a further rise of about $81 / 2$ billion dollars in total personal income. (See chart 24.)

Wage and salary receipts and other labor income rose rapidly in the second half of 1950, attaining a peak level of 156 billion dollars in the fourth quarter, or more than 20 billion dollars above a year earlier. A gain of 7.3 billion dollars between the second and third quarters resulted for the most part from longer hours and rising employment. A further gain of 7.5 billion dollars from the third to the fourth quarter was due largely to rising wage rates.

Farm income, which had dipped to a postwar low in the second quarter of 1950, gained over 2 billion dollars (annual rate) between the second and fourth quarters, rising to the level of 14 billion dollars. Rising farm prices were largely responsible for the increase. However, while all of the other major components of income were at higher levels in the fourth quarter of 1950 than in 1948, farm income was only 79 percent of its 1948 level.

Business and professional income advanced 2.4 billion dollars between the second and third quarters of 1950 to a peak of 24.7 billion dollars (seasonally adjusted annual rate). Profits in retail trade showed the greatest gain, owing to the greatly increased volume of consumer purchases. In the fourth quarter there was some decline. Dividends increased substantially in the second half of this year, reflecting a 14 billion dollar increase in corporate earnings.

The volume of Government transfer payments fell, from an annual rate of 17.6 billion in the first half of the year to the more normal level of 11.2

## PERSONAL INCOME

Personal income increa ied by 16 billion dollars between 1949 and 1950, with all categories except farm proprietors' income participating in the rise. The increase was most marked in the 2 nd half. Total income reached a record high of over 233 billion dollars (seasonally adjusted annual rate) in the final quarter.
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billion in the third quarter, as payment of the National Service Life Insurance dividend was completed. In the fourth quarter, the statutory increase, about 70 percent, in the average benefit paid recipients of Old-Age and Survivors' Insurance was offset by declines in benefits to the unemployed and other payments.

Personal tax payments rose by 2 billion dollars (annual rate) from the first to the second half, due partly to rising incomes and partly to the increase in withholding rates on October 1. The rise in tax liabilities was considerably greater than the increase in collections, and there will be a sharp step-up in collections in the first half of this year.

Owing to rising tax payments, the increase in personal income after taxes from the first to the second half was only 11.0 billion dollars, compared to an increase of 13.3 billion in total personal income. A considerable fraction of the rise in income was offset by a rise in prices of consumer goods, but when disposable income is adjusted for changes in prices, there was a gain from the first to the second half of about 2 percent in purchasing power. This places the second half about 8 percent above the 1948 level. On a per capita basis, real income in the second half of this year (annual rate) was about 4 percent above 1948. (Appendix tables A-5 through A-8 give further detail on personal income.)

Personal consumption expenditures. The annual rate of consumption expenditures almost reached the 200 billion dollar mark in the third quarter of 1950, and receded only slightly in the fourth quarter as purchases of durables and nondurables reached heights surpassing those of any previous period. A spurt in consumer purchasing took place between the second and thiird quarters, when news of the crisis in Korea transformed a moderate upward trend into a buying spree for durable and semi-durable commodities. The quarterly rise of 13 billion dollars (annual rate), or 7 percent, was the greatest on record, both in dollar terms and percentage-wise. In 1946, when similarly large increases were recorded, a larger part of the rise was the result of higher prices. (See appendix table A-2.)

Over one-half of the increase from the second to the third quarter was accounted for by purchases of durable goods. Even in the first half of the year, durable goods purchases had accounted for a higher percent of disposable income than in any previous period.' This may be attributed, at least partially, to the distribution of the veterans' insurance dividend. In the third quarter, durable goods expenditures rose to 16.9 percent of income, or an annual rate of 33.5 billion dollars. (See chart 25.)

The fourth quarter rate of total consumption expenditures was somewhat below that in the third quarter. Increases in the nondurable goods category and in services partially compensated for a moderate decline in purchases of durable goods.

The first buying wave for durable and household goods prospectively in short supply began in July and had apparently subsided somewhat before the Regulation W credit restrictions were imposed on September 18. Con-

## CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURLES

## FOR DURABLE GOODS

Expenditures for durable goods in the last half of 1950 were 5 billion dollars (seasonally adjusted annual rate) cibove the Ist half of the year and 7 billion above the last half of 1949.


The proportion of disposable income spent for durable goods rose to over 16 percent, an all-time high, in the 3rd quarter of 1950.
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trols were tightened on October 14 to restrict demand further. Nevertheless, durable goods purchases in the fourth quarter were still in excess of any previous period except the immediately preceding quarter.

The general rises in the prices of consumer goods in the second half of 1950 were probably sufficient to account for about half of the increase in dollar volume, limiting the increase in the physical volume of consumption to about $31 / 2$ percent, between the first and second half of the year.
Personal saving. The volume of personal saving dropped from an annual rate of 10.4 billion dollars in the second quarter to 6.4 billion dollars in the third quarter during the post-Korean wave of consumer spending. (See appendix table A-7.) Saving in the first half of the year, in which personal income was augmented by the National Service Life Insurance dividend, had averaged 6.5 percent of disposable income, a fairly high rate for peacetime. In the third quarter, consumer instalment credit mounted rapidly, savings accounts were drawn down, and redemptions of Series $\mathbf{E}$ bonds ran considerably in excess of sales. Unspent portions of the NSLI dividend were in many cases added to spending. As a result, savings dropped to 3.1 percent of income in the third quarter. (See chart 26.) However, in the final quarter of the year, despite a rise in tax collections, saving rose to a rate of 6.4 percent of income.

The distribution of income, expenditure, and saving. There was an increase of 2 million spending units receiving money incomes of less than $\$ 2,000$ from 1948 to 1949 , despite the fact that total personal income declined only about $11 / 2$ percent. Higher unemployment, a substantial drop in farm income, and greater frequency of farm and business losses were mainly accountable for the increase in the number of spending units with very low incomes. While unemployment averaged only $51 / 2$ percent of the labor force in 1949, three out of every ten employees in the major occupational groupings were unemployed one month or more during the year.
In 1950, expanded economic activity benefited many lower-income families. However, many other families at the lower end of the income scale, particularly fixed income recipients, do not benefit from a rise in economic activity, while they do suffer from concomitant price rises. According to the Survey of Consumer Finances, sponsored by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, pensions, allowances, annuities, or contributions are the chief source of income for about one-fifth of all units having annual money incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$. In October, a long-recommended step was taken to raise the income of one large group of fixed income receivers, the recipients of benefits from old-age and survivors' insurance. The average benefit for aged couples was raised from $\$ 41$ to about $\$ 75$ per month, and the eligibility requirements were relaxed.
In 1950, as in previous ycars, the experiditures of the two-fifths of the population with lowest incomes probably exceeded their incomes. In 1949, as shown in table 6, the lowest one-fifth of the nation's spending units, those with incomes below $\$ 1,280$, dissaved an amount equal to over one-half of

## PERSONAL INCOME, SPENDING,.AND SAVING

Personal consumption expenditures declined somewhat in the 4 th quarter of 1950 after reaching a peak of over 198 billion dollars (seasonally adjusted annual rate) in the 3rd quarter. Disposable income continued to rise.


The rate of saving dropped sharply immediately after the Korean outbreak but increased again in the 4 th quarter.


1/ preciminary estimates or council of economic adovisens.
SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (EXCEPT AS NOTEO).
their income. Only the top two-fifths, those with incomes in excess of $\$ 3,200$, saved an appreciable amount.
The dissaving in the lowest income quintile is attributable to only about 40 percent of the spending units in the quintile; about 20 percent of the units just "make ends meet," and an additional 35-40 percent have positive savings. A high proportion of the dissaving in the lowest income brackets is done by a relatively few units with low or negative incomes but large assets. The exceptionally heavy dissaving on the part of lower income groups in 1949 to some extent reflects the fact that many farmers and businessmen suffered income declines during the year, which brought them into income brackets below their accustomed level.

The acquisition of durable goods on a wide scale in the postwar period has been the major cause of dissaving by many families. In 1949, 66 percent of families with negative saving also purchased consumer durables, which is considered a consumption expenditurc. The volume of consumer debt has risen consistently, while many families have reduced their holdings of liquid assets in the postwar period. The median size of liquid asset holdings (Government bonds, bank deposits, and saving and loan shares) per tamily has declined each year since 1947, and there has been an increase in the number of units with no assets. Owing to the fact that the number of spending units has been increasing, total liquid asset holdings have grown somewhat. According to the most recent Survey of Consumer Finances, the median liquid asset holding in early 1950 was about $\$ 250$. (Statistics on the distribution of income and saving may be found in appendix B.)

Table 6.-Proportion of income spent or saved, by income groups, 1949

| spending units ranked by size of annual money income | Income range | Percent of income of each fith used for- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All uses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Federal } \\ \text { income } \\ \text { ttax } \\ \text { Habllity : } \end{gathered}$ | Selected durable goods expenditures ${ }^{2}$ | All other expenditures | $\underset{\text { saving! }}{\text { Net }}$ |
| lowest firth. | Under \$1,280 | 100 |  | 10 | 139 | -67 |
| Second fifth................. | \$1,281-2,289 | 100 | 4 | 11 | 91 |  |
| Third fifth. | \$2,290-3,199 | 100 | 6 | 11 | 84 |  |
| Fourth fith. | \$3,200-4,499 | 100 | 6 | 10 | 79 | 6 |
| Highest filth.................. | \$4.600andover | 100 | 12 | 0 | 63 | 16 |
| All spending unlts...- |  | 100 | 8 | 11 | 70 | 8 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimated personal tax llability on Income, apart from capital gains and losses. Other taxes are included in "all ot her expenditures."
${ }_{2}$ Includes automobiles, furniture, radios, television sets, and household appllances.
2 The definition of saving used here is not ldentical with that of personal net saving as defined for the national income accounts. Sce 1050 Suroey of Consumer Finances, Part IV, Appendix I.
4 Iess than one-half of 1 percent.
Bource: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

## Business investment and finance

During the second half of 1950, gross private domestic investment in construction, equipment, and additions to inventory rose to the all-time record level of nearly 53 billion dollars at a seasonally adjusted annual
rate. (See table 7 and chart 27.) This was an increase of 19 percent from the first half of 1950 and 67 percent from the second half of 1949. The corresponding increases in consumer expenditures were only 8 and 10 percent, respectively.

Table 7.-Gross private domestic investment ${ }^{1}$
[Billions of dollars, annual rates, seasonally adjusted]


1 Bee appendix table A-3 for further detalls.
2 Estimates based on incomplete data; fourth quarter by Councl of Economlc Advisers.
Notz.-Detail will not necessarly add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce (except as noted).
In the fourth quarter of 1950, gross private domestic investment was running at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 57 billion dollars, or 19 percent of the total national output of goods and services. This is the highest proportion yet on record.
The most dynamic major component of business investment in the latter half of 1950 was the purchase of producers' durable equipment, which rose abruptly to a record rate nearly 32 percent higher (after allowance for normal seasonal variation) than that of the first half of 1950 and 47 percent above the second half of 1949. 'At the end of the year, equipment purchases were at or near all-time record levels, and the inflow of new orders for machinery still exceeded deliveries.

Government restrictions imposed in the summer and fall curtailed some types of construction, primarily housing, although the momentum of previous starts kept house building at the year's end above the level of a year earlier. Nonresidential building activity rose steadily through the year, to reach a record level of outlays in the fourth quarter.

Inventory accumulation proceeded at a moderate rate during the first half of 1950, in contrast with the sharp liquidation that had prevailed through most of 1949. The sudden post-Korean upsurge of consumer and

## BUSINESS INVESTMENT

Private outlays for producers' equipment, housing, and nonresidential construction all rose to record levels in 1950. Inventory accumulation was only briefly interrupted by the post-Korean buying rush.

business demand temporarily depleted inventories, but by the end of the third quarter inventories were growing again. During the fourth quarter, there was a rapid accumulation of inventories as sales leveled off and production continued to rise.

Plant and equipment. A significant revival in business investment in productive facilities became evident during the second quarter of 1950. This played an important part in the general upsurge of production, employment, and income after the 1949 recession. By the sccond quarter, the rate of outlays for producers' construction and equipment was nearly back to peak 1948 levels, and was rising rapidly. (See appendix tables A-3 and A-19.) The dollar value of new orders for machinery already exceeded that of any previous time since World War II, and contracts for nonresidential building, in terms of floor space, were at a new high since late 1947.

The stimulus of the expanding defense program in the second half of 1950 was thus superimposed on an already buoyant investment trend. As it became clear that for a considerable period existing capacity would be inadequate to meet demands and that the construction and equipping of facilities might become progressively more costly and difficult, business hastened to step up its plans and commitments for investment. The major emphasis was on purchases of machinery and other equipment, which rose from 19.9 billion dollars (seasonally adjusted annual rate) in the first quarter, and 22.3 billion in the second quarter, to 28.7 billion in the fourth.

* Even after allowing for price increases, this rate of private investment in equipment far exceeded the previous peak reached in 1948. New orders for machinery, by August, were being placed at more than double the rate of a year previous. Though ordering slackened off slightly after the initial post-Korea rush, it continued through the rest of 1950 to run well ahead of the rate of deliveries. (See chart 2 on page 36.) The unfilled-orders backlogs of machinery producers, which had touched a postwar low at the end of 1949, rose gradually in the first half of 1950 and very rapidly in the second half, to levels not experienced since early 1948.

Foremost in the investment boom of the latter half of the year were the manufacturing industries. Purchases of railroad and highway transport equipment also rose rapidly and the expansion of utilities continued at a rapid pace.

As the year ended, business was planning to continue investment in plant and equipment at a high rate. Private and Government surveys of investment plans for 1951, made late in 1950, indicated a substantially larger total outlay in 1951 than in 1950, particularly in the industrial sector. (Sec appendix table A-19.) With continued high carnings and readily available outside capital, business scemed likely to continue spending on plant and equipment at very high rates, subject to the influence of materials shortages and Government controls.

Nonfarm inventories. The resumption of inventory accumulation during the first half of 1950 was an important factor contributing to business
recovery. By the second quarter of the year, nonfarm inventories were being accumulated at an annual rate of 4.0 billion dollars, compared with a rate of liquidation of 4.7 billion dollars a year in the fourth quarter of 1949. There was thus a net change of nearly 9 billion dollars in terms of seasonally adjusted annual rates. (See appendix tables A-3, A-20, and A-21, and chart 27.)

Economic developments following the outbreak in Korea increased the desire of business to build up inventories, but made it more difficult to do so. Despite the sharp rise in output during the third quarter and the enormous increase in new orders, consumer buying was so great that stocks fell off slightly. During the third quarter, nonfarm inventories were being reduced at an annual rate of about a billion dollars.

This reduction was primarily in manufacturers' stocks of finished goods. The book value of finished-goods inventories held by manufacturers declined by more than 9 percent during July and August. During the same interval, there were increases of about the same percent in stocks of raw materials and goods in process. These increases were in part necessary to maintain the higher levels of output, though the sharp rise in materials prices provided additional inducement to accumulate materials.

The book value of retailers' inventories was higher at the end of the third quarter than at the beginning, but the rise was not steady. (See appendix tables A-20 and A-22.) In July, inventories declined; in August, the mounting tide of deliveries overtook the rate of consumer buying, and inventories began to rise again. The increase was greater in nondurable than in durable goods lines.

In the last five months of the year, with the leveling-off in consumer buying from the summer peak and the continued rise in output, inventory accumulation was resumed in both trade and manufacturing. In the fourth quarter it reached a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 6.0 billion dollars, the highest since 1948. (See appendix tables A-3 and A-20.) Particularly noteworthy was the increase in nondurable goods inventories.

Corporate finance. In 1950, the use of capital funds by non-financial corporations was higher than in any previous year, and almost 24 billion dollars above 1949. Plant and equipment outlays, which by the end of the year had reached the 1948 peak rate, accounted for nearly half of this total. The other half was required for a sizable expansion of inventories and customer accounts and for a substantial addition to corporations' liquid asset holdings. The shift from a 5-billion-dollar liquidation of inventory book values and accounts receivable in 1949 to an expansion of 13 billion dollars in 1950 involved an 18-billion-dollar increase in the need for funds. At the end of the year, corporations' total holdings of Government securities were about as large as at the end of World War II.

Corporations were able to finance more than half of their total requirements internally, from retained earnings and depreciation allowances. Despite record disbursements of dividends, accounting for about 40 percent

## SOURCES AND USES OF CORPORATE FUNDS

In 1950, total corporate expenditure on fixed and working capital was at a record level. More than half of the expenditure was financed from retained earnings and depreciation reserves.

$y_{\text {profit estimates fon isso ar coumcil of ecomomic advisene. }}$

SOUNCES: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ESTIMATES BASED ON SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION AND OTHER FINANCIAL DATA (EXCEPT AS NOTED),
of earnings after taxes in 1950, compared with 45 percent in 1949 and about 35 percent in 1948, 12.5 billion dollars of earnings were retained for investment, an amount which was only slightly exceeded in 1948. Because of the increase in tax rates and sharply rising corporate profits, corporation tax liabilities rose 7.0 billion dollars.

External sources of funds provided about 19 billion dollars, the largest amount in any postwar year. Bank loans increased by about 2.5 billion dollars, and trade debt by about 3.5 billion, much larger expansions than occurred in 1948. This was in sharp contrast to 1949, when corporations were retiring both bank and trade debt. (See chart 28 and appendix. table A-37.)

Total net new issues of securities in 1950 were about 1.5 billion dollars smaller than in 1949, and nearly 2 billion smaller than 1948 . The volume of stock issues, on the other hand, was larger than in either of the two previous years, due mainly to the more favorable market for floating new stock issues. However, corporations were still not using the stock market as a major source of capital.

Construction. Total new construction in 1950 reached a record level of 27.7 billion dollars, compared with 22.6 billion in 1949, the previous high. This was an increase of 23 percent. The pattern was one of expansion through most of 1950, with declining tendencies beginning to appear toward the end of the year. (See appendix table A-18.)

In December 1950, total new construction was running at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 29.7 billion dollars, or 21 percent above the level of a year carlier. Private construction was at an annual rate of 21.2 billion dollars, a rise of 20 percent, while public construction was at an annual rate of 8.5 billion, an increase of 24 percent.

The trends of major categories were sharply different in 1950. Private new construction increased greatly through October, thereafter declining slightly as a substantial drop in residential construction was not wholly compensated by large increases in industrial and commercial construction. Public construction fluctuated within a narrow range through August, but then rose sharply in each succeeding month. Total construction increased steadily until December, when it fell off slightly, with the increase in public outlays more than offsetting the decrease in private outlays during the last few months.
More nonfarm housing units were started in 1950 than in any previous year. The total was over 1.3 million, more than 30 percent higher than the slightly more than 1 million started in 1949, the previous peak year. But there was a sharp reversal of trend during the year. The peak of 149,000 monthly starts was reached in May and a level of over 140,000 starts was maintained through August. Thereafter, in part under the impact of the credit restrictions on residential construction, housing starts declined sharply and in the last three months of the year fell below the levels of the corresponding months in 1949.
Beginning in the spring of 1950, private nonresidential construction climbed steadily. Increases in industrial and commercial construction accounted for much of the increasc during the final months of the year. New recreational construction tapered off following the National Production Authority's October order designed to eliminate most types of such construction, but this category represents only a very small fraction of total construction. Electric and gas utility construction totaled about the same in 1950 as in 1949; construction of railroad and telephone and telegraph facilities declined.

The largest increases in new public construction between 1949 and 1950 were for schools, highways, and for military and naval construction which

## EXPORTS AND IMPORTS OF GOODS AND SERVICES

A rapid growth in imports practically eliminated the U. S. export surplus in the 2nd half of 1950.

had begun to reflect the impact of the defense program by the end of the year. A major step-up in military and naval construction will appear during this year.

During 1950, the Department of Commerce composite index of construction costs rose about 9 percent. The increase in the wholesale price of lumber was about 20 percent. In the hourly carnings of construction workers, it was about 4 percent.

The seasonally adjusted composite index of the production of building materials increased by about 19 percent during 1950. For the year as a whole, nearly all such materials were produced in record volume.

## International transactions

Although the expansion of domestic economic activity and the rise of prices in the second half of 1950 were the result of international developments, these developments made their influence felt on the cconomy mainly
by stimulating domestic demand, rather than by increasing foreign purchases here or by reducing the supplies available to us from abroad. In fact, 1950 saw a reduction in the net demands upon American output arising from international transactions.

In early 1950, exports of goods and services were at the lowest levels since termination of the Lend-Lease program after World War II. Although a rise began late in the summer of 1950, such exports were nearly 1.9 billion dollars less for the year as a whole than they were in 1949. Imports of goods and services, on the other hand, which had been rising steadily since the summer of 1949, rose even more sharply in the second half of 1950 than in the first half, and for the year as a whole were 2.6 billion dollars higher than in 1949. As a result of these changes, the surplus of United States exports over imports of goods and services, which had amounted to 6.2 billion dollars in 1949, fell to an annual rate of 3.0 billion in the first half of 1950, and to an estimated annual rate of 600 million dollars in the second half of the year. (See table 8 and chart 29; also appendix tables A-38 through A-47 for detailed statistics on international transactions.)

Table 8.-United States exports and imports of goods and services
[Billions of dollars]

| Porlod | Exports of goods and services 1 | Imports of goods and services 1 | Surplus of exports of goods and services 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1946. | 14.7 | 7.0 | 7.8 |
| 1947. | 10.8 | - 8.3 | 11.5 |
| 1948. | 17. 1 | 10.4 | 6.7 |
| 1949 | 16.0 | 0.7 | 6.2 |
| $1050{ }^{2}$ | 14.1 | 12.3 | 1.8 |
| Annual rates: |  |  |  |
| 1940-First half. | 17.5 | 9.7 | 7.6 |
| Second half | 14.4 | 9.8 | 4.9 |
| 1950-First half | 13.6 | 10.6 | 3.0 |
| Second half ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14.7 | 14.1 | . 6 |

I Includes income on investments.
${ }^{2}$ Estimates by Councll of Economic Advisers; based on incomplete data.
Note.-Detail will not neccssarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce (except as noted).
There were substantial increases both in the quantity of goods brought into the country and in their prices. Although merchandise imports had been rising steadily throughout the first half of the year as domestic business activity rose, they were given a sharp impetus, following the aggression in Korea, by the decision of the United States and its North Atlantic Treaty partners to strengthen their defenses. These developments gave rise to large purchases abroad to meet the needs of current and expected increases in production, and for stockpiling purposes. Imported raw materials such as rubber, wool, tin, wood pulp, burlap, and other products rose sharply in price, in some cases to twice their June levels. These price rises have not yet been fully reflected in the dollar value of our imports. (See chart 30.)

Foreign countries were able to get along with a smaller volume of net
goods and services from the United States, in large part because of continued increases in Western European production. For Western Europe itself, this made possible a reduction in its tctal imports of certain major products and an expansion of exports without impairment of domestic consumption or investment. For countries in other areas, it made possible a shift of imports from the United States to Western European sources of supply.

The changes in our merchandise trade, which brought it into virtual balance in the second half of the year, greatly reduced the dollar difficulties of foreign countries which had characterized earlier postwar years. The expanded United States demand for foreign products has vastly increased foreign earnings in this country. Many of the Western European countries and Japan have been able not only to increase their direct sales to the United States, but also to improve their competitive position in other areas because of the relative fall in their export prices resulting from devaluation, the rise in our export prices, and the tighter supply situation in the United States. South America, the Far East, and Africa were able to earn dollars on balance in their merchandise trade with the United States. Western Europe was able to cut its trade deficit with us drastically between the first and second halves of the year. Moreover, the financial position of some Western European countries, the United Kingdom in particular, was greatly aided by the increase in dollar earnings of raw-material-producing countries whose monetary reserves they hold or in which they have investments.

In the first half of 1950, the United States export surplus was less than our foreign aid. In the second half of 1950, the export surplus fell still further below the volume of our aid, although this aid itself was reduced during the course of the year. (See appendix table A-38.) Partly because our aid exceeded our export surplus, but also partly because of a speculative outflow of capital in the third quarter of the year, foreign countries in the aggregate were able to accelerate greatly the process of rebuilding their depleted gold and dollar reserves. The main increases were in the sterling area and Canada. Japan and a number of raw material exporting countries outside the sterling area, chiefly in Latin America, also made large relative gains or were able to pay off short-term debts. The rebuilding of these reserves proceeded during the second half of 1950 at an even more rapid rate than it had in the nine months between the widespread devaluations of foreign currencies and the middle of 1950 . The rapidity of im provement in the financial position of the United Kingdom was so great that it was possible to suspend aid to it under the European Recovery Program.

The decision to increase the defensive strength of the Western World, coming on top of a recovery of economic activity in the United States, has created a new problem of inflationary pressures on a world-wide scale. In many countries a considerable degree of internal financial stability had been achieved, though often the stability was a precarious one. In the

## PRICES OF IMPORTS

Prices of U.S. imports (average unit value) have risen 20 percent since the currency devaluations of September 1949, and 13 percent since June .1950, when hostilities broke out in Korec.

 SOURCE: DEPARTMEMT OF COMMERCE.
second half of 1950 , expanded defense needs were beginning to reduce supplies available for civilian use by absorbing manpower, materials, and industrial facilities. At the same time, actual and anticipated increases in defense; expenditures were increasing effective money demand in countries accounting for the bulk of the world's consumption. Thus, inflationary pressures were again being activated throughout the world.

## Government transactions

Total cash payments of Federal, State, and local goveruments in the second half of the calendar year 1950 were running at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of almost 60 billion dollars. This was about 3 billion dollars lower than in the first half of the calendar year. Cash receipts were running at an annual rate of about 4 billion dollars higher than in the first half of the year. The reduction in public payments changed a total cash deficit of more than 4 billion dollars for the first half of 1950 into a surplus of around 3 billion dollars for the second half. (See chart 31 and table 9.)

| Recoiptor payment | Calendar year. 1949 | Calendar year 1050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | First halt | Second hall ${ }^{1}$ |
| Cash receipts: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | 41.3 | 42.4 | 41.1 | 43.8 |
| State and local | 16.3 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 18.9 |
| Total cash recelpts. | 67.6 | 60.8 | 58.8 | 62.7 |
| Cash payments: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal. | 42.6 | 41.9 | 44.0 | 39.9 |
| State and local | 17.6 | 19.5 | 18.0 | 19.9 |
| Total cash payments. | 60.2 | 61.4 | 63.0 | 69.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surplus }(+) \text { or deficit ( }- \text { ): }: ~ \end{aligned}$ | -1.3 | $+.5$ | -2.9 | +3.9 |
| 8 state and local. | -1.3 | -1.1 | -1.3 | -1.0 |
| Total, surplus ( + ) or deficit ( - ) | -2.6 | -. 6 | -4. 2 | +2.0 |

1 Estimates based on incomplete data.
Notr.-Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: See Appendir 0 .
The counter-inflationary impact of the surplus on the expansion in economic activity was lost in the effect of the large amounts of contracts placed both by Government and by consumers and business acting in anticipation of an enlarged defense program. The accelerated pace of economic activity after the cutbreak of the Korean war was in fact largely due to the actual and anticipated increase in defense activitics of the Government. Government expenditures have not yet reflected much of the increase in these defense activities, but a rapid increase in expenditures must be expected to occur during the course of 1951.

Cash payments by the Federal Government. The decrease in Federal cash payments to the public, between the first and the second half of the calendar year 1950 was due to the large National Service Life Insurance dividend paid out in the first half of the year. Excluding the NSLI dividend, cash payments were running at an annual rate of about one billion dollars higher in the second half of the year than in the first half. Significant declines took place in expenditures for the price support program, in payments to veterans and international programs, and in the net purchase by the Federal National Mortgage Association of government-insured and guaranteed mortgages. Increases occurred in social security payments, particularly because of the increased benefits under the amended Social Security law.

Expenditures by the military services, which declined in the first half of the calendar year, increased by an annual rate of 3.5 billion dollars in the second half of the year. But until the beginning of the fourth quarter, they were running only about the level of the year 1949.

## GOVERNMENT CASH RECEIPTS FROM AND PAYMENTS TO THE PUBLIC

Federal cash payments to the public in the 1st half of 1950, including the National Service Life Insurance dividend, exceeded receipts by 2.9 billion dollars (seasonally adjusted annual rate). In the 2nd half of the year receipts were 3.9 billion larger than payments. State and local governments had cash deficits in both periods.

FEDERAL
billions of oollars, annual rates, seasonally aojusteo


STATE AND LOCAL
billlions of dollars, annual rates, seasomally adjusted


SOURCE: SEE APPENDIX C.

Table 10.-Federal cash payments to the public by function
[Billions of dollars, annusi rates, sesconally adjusted]

| Function | Calendar <br> year 1910 | Calendar year 1050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - Total ${ }^{1}$ | First half | Becond half |
| Military mervices.............................. | 12.9 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 15.4 |
| International security and lorelgn relations. | 6.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| Veterans' services and benents.-.......................... | 7.1 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 6. 1 |
| Bocial security, welfare and health......................... | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
|  | 3.0 4.3 | 1.3 4.1 | 2.4 4.2 | 4.1 |
| Other. | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.6 |
| Deductions from Federal employees' salaries for retirement | $-.3$ | -. 4 | -. 4 | -. 4 |
| Olearing account for outstanding checks and talegraphic reports | -. 2 | $-.1$ | -. 7 | +. 6 |
| Total Federal cash payments to the public........ | 42.6 | 41.9 | 44.0 | 39.9 |

! Estimates based on incomplete data.
Nots.-Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: See Appendix 0.
A month by month comparison shows that the expenditures for defense began to rise appreciably only during the last quarter of the year. Expenditures by the military services were 1.6 billion dollars in December 1950, compared with about 1.0 billion dollars in June 1950, the last month before the Korean outbreak. The increase in expenditures does not, however, fully reflect the actual progress in military programs. During the five-month period from July through November, obligations incurred by the Defense Department for military purposes exceeded comparable expenditures by about 7.5 billion dollars. Procurement has an impact on the economy when contracts are placed as well as when payments are made. In the initial period, work on contracts is financed largely by business funds, and is only later reflected in Government expenditures. (See tables 10 and 11.) Table 11.-Federal cash payments to the public by type of recipient and transaction [Billions of dollars, annual rates, seasonally adjusted]

| Claselfication of payment | Calendar year 1040 | Calendar year 1050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | First half | Second ball |
| Direct cash payments for goods and marvices; excluding military services: |  |  |  |  |
| To individuals for services rendered.-................ | 3.3 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| To buadness and international institutions for goods |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and transfer paymenta to individuals... | 3.0 11.3 | $\begin{array}{r}3.4 \\ 13.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3.3 16.4 | 3.6 10.6 |
| Loans, investments, ubsidiles and other transfers to buslnees and agriculture. | 6.9 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 3.6 |
| Loans and transer payments to foreign countries and | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 3.0 |
| international institutions.............................. | 8.8 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| Military servicou-cash paymenti for goods and servioes ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Olearing account for outstanding ohecks and telo- | 12.6 | 13.1 | 11.4 | 14.8 |
| graphlo reports. | -. 2 | -. 1 | -. 7 | +. 8 |
| Total Federal cash payments. | 42.6 | 41.9 | 44.0 | 39.9 |

[^4]Federal cash receipts. The increase in cash receipts from calendar year 1949 to calendar year 1959, and especially from the first to the second half of 1950 (seasonally adjusted), largely reflected the increase in economic activity. In addition, the increase in rates of employment taxes that became effective January 1, 1950, added to cash collections. Because of lags in collection, the additional revenue resulting during the last few months of the year from the Revenue Act of 1950 was only a modest amount, collected through increased withholding tax rates. The 1950 collections of the corporate income tax reflected the lower profits of the recession year 1949, and collections from corporate income taxes will increase substantially this year, reflecting both the high profits of 1950 and the increases in tax rates. (See table 12.)

Table 12.-Federal cash receipts from the public
[Billions of dollars, annued rates, seasonally adjusted]

| Source of cash receipts | 1949 | 1950 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | First half | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seoond } \\ & \text { half } \end{aligned}$ |
| Direct taxes on indiplduals... | 18.4 | 19.3 | 18.4 | 20.1 |
| Direct taxes on corporeiclons. | 12.0 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 8.9 |
| Employment taxes....... | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 8.4 |
| Excises and customs. | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| Surplus property recelpts.-.......-- ${ }^{\text {Deposits }}$ by States, unemplo............ | 1.8 | .$^{.2}$ | . 8.1 | -1 |
| (emposits by states, unemployment insurance............-. | 1.0 | 1.2 .6 | 1.15 | 1.8 .8 |
| Other | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Refunds of receipts. | -2.8 | -2.2 | $-2.2$ | -2.2 |
| Total Federal cash recolpts from the publio....... | 41.3 | 42.4 | 41.1 | 43.8 |

1 Estimates based on incomplete data.
Notr.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Bee Appendix 0 .
The Federal budget deficit, the cash surplus, and changes in the national debt. The foregoing analysis has been in terms of the consolidated cash statement of Federal cash payments and Federal receipts. While the consolidated cash statement is a preferable means of measuring the impact upon the economy of government transactions on the flow of funds and incomes, it is also important to consider the conventional budget. (See table 13.) Surpluses or deficits in the conventional budget decrease or increase the national debt held by the public and Government trust accounts. The conventional budget is the basis of the appropriations recommended by the President and voted by Congress.

In calendar 1950 the budget, measured in conventional terms, showed a deficit of 422 million dollars. There was an excess of cash receipts from the public over cash payments to the public of about 500 million dollars. Though there was a deficit in the conventional budget, the gross puolic debt dropped 423 million dollars in calendar 1950 because of a surplus of trust and clearing account receipts, and a drop in the Treasury's general

Table 13.-Fedéal receipts and expenditures: Budget totals and consolidated cash totals
[Billions of dollars, annual rate]

| Recelpt or expenditure | Calendar year 1949 | Calendar year 1950 \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | First hall | gecond half |
| Budget totals, not adjusted for seasonal variation: Recelpts (net) Expenditures. | 38.1 41.7 | 37.8 38.3 | 38.7 38.4 | 36.9 38.1 |
| Budget surplus ( + ) or deficit ( - ) | -3.6 | -. 4 | +. 4 | -1.2 |
| Consolldated cash totals: <br> Not adjusted for seasonal varlation: Cash receipts from the public.. Cash payments to the public... | 41.3 42.6 | 42.4 41.8 | 43.0 43.7 | 41.8 40.1 |
| Cash surplus ( + ) or defficit ( - ) | -1.3 | +. 5 | -. 8 | +1.7 |
| Adjusted for seasonal variation: Cash recelpts trom the public. Cash payments to the public.. | 41.3 42.6 | 42.4 41.9 | 41.1 44.0 | 43.8 39.9 |
| Cash surplus ( + ) or deficit ( - ) | -1.3 | +. 6 | -2.9 | +3.9 |

1 Estimates based on incomplete data.
Nors.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Treasury Department and Bureau of the Budget.
fund. In the calendar year 1949 the public debt had increased 4.3 billion dollars. (See appendix tables A-29 and A-30.)
State and local transactions. State and local government expenditures, after a very rapid rise in the immediate postwar years, increased at a slower pace in 1949 and 1950, although demands for additional school, highway, and other facilities remained pressing. To a large extent, this flattening of the trend was forced by a reversal of the favorable financial position in which most State and local governments emerged from World War II. (See table 9 above.)
The expansion of general business activity, beginning in 1950 and accelerating sharply after the Korean invasion, had a marked effect upon many types of State and local revenue. The sales tax, an important revenue source in many States, showed rapidly increasing yields. During the second half of calendar year 1950, State and local cash expenditures advanced moderately above the level of the previous year or of the first half of 1950 despite delay in some projects because of increasing costs of construction. Cash deficits of State and local governments for the second half of the calendar year 1950 are estimated at an annual rate of 1.0 billion dollars, somewhat smaller than the deficit of the preceding half-years.

## Summary: The Nation's Economic Budget

In order to form a statistical picture of the total domestic economy, it is necessary to bring together in a single statement the description of the flow of receipts and expenditures within the separate segments of the economy, under the influence of the forces and events which have been discussed in this part of the Review with respect to each segment.

This comprehensive statement, The Nation's Economic Budget, is set forth and treated in great detail in Appendix C. Being a double entry statement, it must always be in balance, and for every change in any item there must be compensating changes in one or more of the other items. It is presented in three divisions, for the year 1949, for the first half of 1950, and for the second half of 1950. Sharp changes in economic currents have taken place between each of these periods, and the Economic Budget shows how these changes have affected, in quantitative terms, the money flow within and among the principal sectors of the economy. It also indicates how the necessary balancing changes have actually occurred during the past year. (See chart 32 and table 14.)

Table 14.-The Nation's Economic Budget, calendar years 1949 and 1950 [Billions of dollars, annual rates, seasonally adjusted]

|  | 1949 |  |  | 1850, first half |  |  | 1950, second half ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic group | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Re}- \\ \text { ceipts } \end{gathered}$ | Ex-pendItures | Excess of recelpts (+) or expend. $\underset{(-)}{\text { itures }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re- } \\ \text { ceipts } \end{gathered}$ | Ex-pend- | Excess of recelpts $(+)$ or expend. (-) | $\underset{\text { ceipts }}{\mathrm{Re}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ex- } \\ \text { pend. } \\ \text { ftures } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| CONSUMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposable income. | 187.4 |  |  | 188.6 |  |  | 207.6 |  |  |
| Consumption expenditures Personal net saving ( + ) |  | 178.8 | +8.6 |  | 183.8 | +12.7 |  | 197.7 | +10.0 |
| - BUSINESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained recelpts...---.---.-...- | 30.2 |  |  | 30.1 |  |  | 28.4 |  |  |
| Gross private domestio investment |  | 33.0 |  |  | 44.3 |  |  | 62.7 |  |
| Excess of recelpts ( $t$ ), or $\ln$ vestment ( - ). |  |  | -2.8 |  |  | -14.2 |  |  | $-24.3$ |
| INTERNATIONAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash loans abroad Not forelgn investment | 1.1 | .4 |  | -. 2 | -1.8 |  | . 1 | -3.4 |  |
| Excess of receipts ( + ), or in. vestment ( - ). |  | . 4 | +. 7 |  | -1.8 | +1.6 |  | -3.4 | +3. 6 |
| GOVERNMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash recolpts from the publlo..... Cash payments to the publlo. | 57.6 | 60.2 |  | 88.8 | 63.0 |  | 62.7 | 69.8 |  |
| Cash surplus ( $t$ ), or deficit (-) |  |  | -2.8 |  |  | -4.2 |  |  | +2.0 |
| ADJU8TMMENTS (To arrive at gross national product) | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For recolpts ${ }^{\text {2 }}$-...-................. | -20.7 |  |  | -18. 5 |  |  | -8.2 |  |  |
| For expenditures $\begin{gathered}\text { Bi................... } \\ \text { Difference between adjust. }\end{gathered}$ <br> ments. |  | -16.8 | -4.0 |  | -22. 6 | +4.1 |  | -16.2 | +7.9 |
| Total groes national product. | 255.6 | 255.6 |  | 260.8 | 268.8 |  | 290.6 | 290.6 |  |

[^5]
## THE NATION'S ECONOMIC BUDGET

Business and consumer expenditures rose more than receipts from the lst to the 2nd half of 1950, while the Government cash deficit gave way to a small surplus.


For the second half of 1950 as a whole, gross national product, at a seasonally adjusted annual rate, which appears as the total figure in the Nation's Economic Budget, reached a record level of about 290 billion dollars. This was nearly 9 percent above the rate of 267 billion dollars in the first half of the year. About half of this rise was due to price increases; the growth in physical volume of output was less than 5 percent.

The decision of the United States to undertake a large-scale preparedness program had its impact on the economy, in 1950, largely through anticipations of businessmen and consumers. In terms of actual Government expenditures, national defense, and international programs rose only 3 billion dollars (at an annual rate) in the second half of the year. Total Government payments even showed a decline, while receipts rose.

The increase in activity and in inflationary pressure between the first and the second half of the year was thus primarily attributable to private spending. While all categories of consumer expenditures increased, expenditures for durable goods, which were already at unparalleled high rates, rose 20 percent, compared with a rise of 5 percent for nondurable goods and services. Expenditures for producers' durable equipment advanced 32 percent. Total private domestic investment reached a level of nearly 53 billion dollars (annual rate) in the second half of the year, an increase of nearly 20 percent over the first half.

The rise in private incomes, while impressive, was less rapid than that in expenditures. The rate of personal net saving declined from an annual rate of 12.7 billion dollars in the first half of 1950 to 10.0 billion in the second half, partly as a result of expanding consumer credit and cashing of Government bonds by many families. In the business sector, investment expenditures exceeded retained receipts by 24.3 billion dollars (annual rate) in the second half of 1950, compared with 14.2 billion in the first half.

The Nation's Economic Budget, in summary, portrays an economy which is undergoing a boom in expenditures for both producers' and consumers' durable equipment, stimulated by anticipation of a large and expanding defense program.
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# Statistical Tables Relating to Employment, Production, and Purchasing Power 

Table A-1. Gross national product or expenditure, 1929-50
[Bililans of dollars]

| Period | Gross national product | Personal consumption ex. penditures | Gross private Comestic investment | Net foresgn investment | Government pur. chases of goods and services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1029. | 103.8 | 78.8 | 15.8 | 0.8 | 8.5 |
| 1830. | 90.9 | 70.8 | 10.2 | . 7 | 0.2 |
| 1931 | 75.9 | 61.2 | 8.4 | .2 | 0.2 |
| 1032. | 88.3 | 49.2 | . 9 | . 2 | 8.1 |
| 1933. | 68.8 | 48.3 | 1.3 | .2 | 8.0 |
| 1034 | 64.9 | 51.9 | 2.8 | .1 | 9:8 |
| 1935. | 72.2 | 68.2 | 6.1 | -. 1 | 9.9 |
| 1036. | 82.8 | 62.5 | 8.3 | -. 1 | 11.7 |
| 1937 | 00.2 | 67.1 | 11.4 | . 1 | 11.6 |
| 1938. | 84.7 | 64.5 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 12.8 |
| 1939. | 01.3 | 67.5 | 9.9 | . 9 | 13.1 |
| 1940 | 101.4 | 72.1 | 13.9 | 1.5 | 13.9 |
| 191. | 126.4 | 82.3 | 18.3 | 1.1 | 24.7 |
| 1942 | 161.6 | 91.2 | 10.9 | $-2$ | 60.7 |
| 194. | 194.3 | 102.2 | 6.7 | -2. 2 | 88.6 |
| 1944 | 213.7 | 111.6 | 7.7 | -2.1 | 88.6 |
| 1945 | 215.2 | 123.1 | 10.7 | -1.4 | 828 |
| 1046 | 211.1 | 146.9 | 28.7 | 4.6 | - 30.9 |
| 1947 | 233.3 | 185.6 | 30.2 | 8.9 | $\because 28.6$ |
| 1988 | 259.1 | 177.4 | 33.1 | 1.9 | 88.6 |
| 1840. | 285.6 | 178.8 | 33.0 | . 4 | 43.3 |
| $1050{ }^{1}$ | 278.8 | 100.8 | 48.6 | -2.6 | 42.1 |
|  | Annual rates, seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |
| 1040-First hall | $\begin{aligned} & 287.0 \\ & 254.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 177.9 \\ & 170.8 \end{aligned}$ | 84.431.6 | 1.2-.8 | 43.843.0 |
| Second half. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1050-First half | $\begin{aligned} & 288.8 \\ & 200.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183.8 \\ & 197.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.3 \\ & 52.7 \end{aligned}$ | -1.8-3.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 40.6 \\ 43.6 \end{array}$ |
| second hall ${ }^{\text {1- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1040-Firat quartar. <br> gecond quarter <br> Third quarter <br> Fourth quarter | $\begin{aligned} & 258.8 \\ & 255.2 \\ & 25.4 \\ & 253.4 \end{aligned}$ | 177.4 | 37.8 | 1.0 | 42.944.3 |
|  |  | 178.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 179.0180.6 | 32.131.2 | -. 7 | 43.242.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1050-First quarter. <br> second quarter <br> Third quarter. <br> Fourth quarter ${ }^{1}$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 268.4 \\ & 270.3 \\ & 284.3 \\ & 207.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188.4 \\ & 185.2 \\ & 188.4 \\ & 187.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.7 \\ & 46.9 \\ & 48.4 \\ & 67.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.7 \\ & -2.0 \\ & -3.8 \\ & -3.5 \end{aligned}$ | 41.040.240.846.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6][Billions of dollars]

| Perlod | Total 0x-pendstures | Durable goods |  |  | Nondurable goorls |  |  |  | Serrices |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anto- <br> mes <br> biles <br> and <br> parts | Other | Total | Frodi | Oloth. ing ${ }^{2}$ | Other | Tota) | Housing | Other |
| 1929. | 78.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 6.1 | 37.7 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 31.7 | 11.4 | 20.2 |
| 1030. | 70.8 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 5. 1 | 34.1 | 18.1 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 29.5 | 11.0 | 18. 5 |
| 1031 | 61.2 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 39.0 | 14.8 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 26.6 | 10.2 | 16.4 |
| 1932 | 49.2 | 3.7 | . 9 | 2.8 | <2. 7 | 11, 4 | 6.0 | 6. 4. | 22.8 | 9.0 | 13.8 |
| 1833 | 46.3 | 3. 5 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 22.3 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 20.6 | 7.8 | 12.7 |
| 1034. | 51.8 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 28.7 | 14.3 | 5.6 | 8.9 | 20.9 | 7.6 | 13.4 |
| 1235. | 86.2 | 5. 2 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 29.4 | 16.3 | 6. 9 | 7.2 | 21.7 | 7.6 | 14.1 |
| 1936 | 62.5 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 32.9 | 18.6 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 23.3 | 7.9 | 15.4 |
| 1937 | 67.1 | 7.0 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 35.2 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 24.9 | 8.4 | 16.6 |
| 1938. | 64.5 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 34.0 | 19.0 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 24.7 | 8.7 | 18.0 |
| 1839. | 67.5 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 36.3 | 19.3 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 23.6 | 8.0 | 10.5 |
| 1940. | 72.1 | 7.9 | 2.7 | B. 1 | 37.6 | 20.7 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 28.6 | 9.2 | 17.4 |
| 1941 | 82.3 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 44.0 | 24.4 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 28.5 | 9.9 | 18.7 |
| 1942. | 91.2 | 7.1 | . 7 | 6.4 | 62.9 | 30.5 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 31.2 | 10.6 | 20.6 |
| 1943 | 102. 2 | 6.8 | . 8 | 6. 0 | 61.0 | 33.3 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 34.4 | 11.1 | 23.3 |
| 1941. | 111.6 | 7.1 | . 9 | 6.2 | 67.1 | 38.9 | 15.3 | 12.9 | 37.4 | 11.7 | 25.7 |
| 1945 | 123.1 | 8.6 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 74.9 | 43.0 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 39.7 | 12.2 | 27.6 |
| 1946 | 146.9 | 16.6 | 4.2 | 12.4 | 85.8 | 80.3 | 18.6 | 16.9 | 44.8 | 13.0 | 31.4 |
| 1047 | 165.6 | 21.4 | 6.6 | 14.8 | 95.1 | 88.6 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 49.1 | 14.6 | 34.5 |
| 1948. | 177.4 | 22.9 | 7.6 | 16.4 | 100.9 | 80.7 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 63.7 | 16.1 | 37.6 |
| 1040. | 178.8 | 23.8 | 9.6 | 14.4 | 88.5 | 88. 6 | 18.6 | 21.3 | 68.4 | 17.2 | 39.2 |
| 19804 | 190.8 | 29.4 | 12.1 | 17.1 | 101.8 | c0. 6 | 19.0 | 22.4 | 89.6 | 18.3 | 41.3 |
|  | Annual rates, reasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1049-First half | 177.9 | 22.7 | 8.7 | 14.0 | 09.8 | 88.9 | 10.2 | 21.2 | 55.9 | 16.8 | 38.9 |
| Becond half. | 179.8 | 25.0 | 10.3 | 14.7 | 97.8 | 68.3 | 18.1 | 21.4 | 87.0 | 17.5 | 39.6 |
| 1950- First half | 183.8 | 28.8 | 10.9 | 15.9 | 08.4 | 58.7 | 18.1 | 21.6 | 58.6 | 18.0 | 40.7 |
| Second half ${ }^{1}$ | 197.7 | 32.0 | 13.2 | 19.0 | 105. 2 | 62. 6 | 10.8 | 23.0 | 60.4 | 18.6 | 41.8 |
| 1940-Flrst quarter. | 177.4 | 22.1 | 8.2 | 14.2 | 09.4 | 69.1 | 19.3 | 21.0 | 65.6 | 16.8 | 38.8 |
| Becond quarter | 178.4 | 23.0 | 9.1 | 13.0 | 99.2 | 68.7 | 19.1 | 21.4 | 66. 2 | 17.1 | 39.1 |
| Third quartor. | 179.0 | 24.7 | 10.2 | 14.6 | 97.6 | 68.4 | 18.0 | 21.2 | 86.6 | 17.3 | 39.3 |
| Fourth quarter.-......-- | 180.6 | 28.3 | 10.1 | 14.9 | 07.9 | 88.3 | 18.1 | 21.5 | 67.4 | 17.6 | 39.8 |
| 1950-First quarter.- | 182.4 | 28.9 | 10.8 | 16. 2 | 97.4 | 88.2 | 17.7 | 21.4 | 88.1 | 17.9 | 40.3 |
| Gecond quarter | 185.2 | 28.7 | 11.0 | 18. 6 | 99.3 | 80.1 | 18.4 | 21.9 | 60.2 | 18. 1 | 41, 1 |
| Third querter .......-.-. | 168.4 | 33.5 | 13.6 | 20.0 | 104.9 | 62.6 | 19.7 | 22.8 | 60.9 | 18.4 | 11.5 |
| Fourth quarter ${ }^{\text {f }}$. $\ldots . . . .$. | 197.0 | 30.6 | 13.0 | 17.5 | 105.6 | 02.6 | 19.8 | 23.1 | 61.0 | 18.7 | 12.3 |

[^7]Table A-3.-Gross primate domestic investment, 1929-50
[Bllilons of dollars]

| Perlod | Total gross privatedones tle Investmont | Nonfarm producers' plant and equipment |  |  | Farm equipment and construction |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Resi- } \\ \text { dentlal } \\ \text { cont- } \\ \text { struc. } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { (non- } \\ \text { (arm) } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Other } \\ \text { prl- } \\ \text { vate } \\ \text { con- } \\ \text { struc- } \\ \text { tion } \end{array}\right\|,$ | Net change in buslness inventorles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | Equip; ment | Con-struction ${ }^{14}$ | Total ${ }^{\prime}$ | Equip ment | Con-struction |  |  | Total | Non- farm aattor revalu atlon adjust ment | Farm |
| 1029. | 18. 8 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | -0.3 |
| 1030. | 10.2 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 9 | . 7 | . 2 | 1.4 | . 5 | $\cdots$ | (1) | $-.2$ |
| 1031 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 1.8 | . 5 | . 4 | 1 | 1.2 | .4 | -1.4 | -1.7 |  |
| 1032. | ${ }^{-9}$ | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | .3 | $\cdot 3$ | (3) | . 5 | $\cdot 2$ | $-2.6$ | -2.6 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| 1933. | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.6 | .7 | . 3 | .$^{3}$ | (1) | . 3 | . 1 | $-1.6$ | $-1.3$ | $-3$ |
| 1034. | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.2 | . 9 | .4 | . 3 | . 1 | .4 | . 1 | -1.1 | . 2 | -1.3 |
| 1835. | 6.1 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.0 | . 6 | . 5 | . 1 | . 7 | .1 | . 0 | . 4 | . 5 |
| 1836. | 8.3 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | . 8 | . 6 | .2 | 1.1 | . 1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | -1.1 |
| 1937 | 11.4 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | . 2 | 1.4 | . 2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | . 5 |
| 1838. | 6.3 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | . 8 | . 6 | . 2 | 1.5 | . 2 | $-1.0$ | -1.1 | . 1 |
| 1839. | 9.9 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 1.7 | . 8 | . 6 | . 2 | 2.7 | . 2 | .4 | . 3 | 1 |
| 1940 | 13.9 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 2 | 3.0 | . 2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2 |
| 19,1 | 18.3 | 9.3 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 | . 3 | 3.4 | . 3 | 3.9 | 3.4 | . 5 |
| 1942 | 10.9 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 3 | 1.8 | . 1 | 2.1 | . 8 | 1.3 |
| 1043 | 5. 7 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 1.1 | . 0 | . 6 | . 3 | 1.0 | (1) | $-.9$ | -. 6 | -. 4 |
| 1944 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 1,2 | . 9 | . 3 | . 8 | . 1 | -. 8 | -. 3 | -. 5 |
| 1045. | 10.7 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | . 3 | 1.1 | . 2 | $-.7$ | -. 6 | -. |
| 1946 | 28.7 | 15.5 | 10.7 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 1.6 | . 9 | 4.0 | . 6 | 6.1 | 6.3 | $-.2$ |
| 1947 | 30.2 | 20.3 | 14.6 | 5. 7 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 6.3 | . 7 | $-8$ | 1.4 | -2.2 |
| 1948 | 43.1 | 23.4 | 16.7 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 1.2 |
| 1919 | 33.0 | 22.5 | 16.1 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 8.3 | 1.3 | -3.7 | -3.1 | -. 8 |
| $18.50{ }^{3}$ | 48. 5 | 23.0 | 20.2 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 1.) | 12.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.9 | -. 8 |
|  | Annual rates, seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: <br> list half <br> $2 d$ half | 34.4 | 22.9 | 16.2 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 7.7 | 1.2 | -2.5 | -2.2 | -. 3 |
|  | 31.6 | 22.0 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 1.4 | $-5.0$ | -4.0 | $-1.0$ |
| 1050: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st half. | 44.3 | 23.6 | 17.4 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 11.6 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 3.4 | -. 6 |
| 2d hall $1 . .$. | 62.7 | 30.0 | 23.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 13.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.5 | -. 6 |
| 1049: |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st guarter.. | 37.13 | 23.2 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 7.8 | 1.2 | . ${ }^{3}$ | . 1 | . 2 |
| 2d quarter.... | 31.3 | 23.6 | 16.0 | 6. 6 | 5.1 | -3.8 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 1.3 | $-5.3$ | $-4.5$ | $-8$ |
| 3 d quarter. | 32.1 | 22.2 | 10.1 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 8.2 | 1.3 | $-4.2$ | $-3.2$ | -1.0 |
| 4th duartor... | 31.2 | 21.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 9.6 | 1.1 | $-6.7$ | -4.7 | -. 0 |
| 1050: 1st quartor... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartor.... | 41.7 40 | 22.6 | 10.4 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 11.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.7 4.0 | $\cdots$ |
| 3d quarter.... | 48.4 | 29.2 | 22.4 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 13. $\%$ | 1.6 | --1.6 | -1.0 | 0 |
| 4th quarter ${ }^{\text {c-- }}$ | 87.0 | 30.0 | 23.0 | 7.3 | 6.2 | \%. 1 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 1.6 | 6. 6 | 0.0 | $-.6$ |

[^8][Billions of dollars]


[^9]Norz.-Detall will not nocessarlly add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

Table A-5.-Prisonal income, 1929-50
[Billions of dollars]

| Perlod | Total personal income | Balaries, pages, and other labor ficomel | Propriotors' and rental income? | Diviriands and personal interest income: | Transfor payments | Nonagre cultural personal income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 85.1 | 80.5 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 1.6 | 78.8 |
| 1030. | 76.2 | 46.3 | 15.7 | 12.6 | 1.8 | 70.0 |
| 1031. | 64.8 | 39.2 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 2.7 | 60.1 |
| 1932. | 49.3 | 30.5 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 48, 2 |
| 1933. | 46.6 | 29.0 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 43.0 |
| 1034. | 83.2 | 33.8 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 2.2 | 40.5 |
| 1036. | 50.9 | 36.8 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 53.4 |
| 1836 | 68.4 | 42.1 | 12. 6 | 10.1 | 8.6 | 62.8 |
| 1837 | 74.0 | 48.9 | 16.4 | 10.3 | 2.4 | 68.5 |
| 1838. | 68.3 | 42.8 | 14.0 | 8.7 | 2.8 | 68.1 |
| 1839. | 72.6 | 45.7 | 14.7 | 9.2 | 3.0 | 68.3 |
| 1940. | 78.3 | 49.6 | 16.3 | 9.4 | 3.1 | 71.8 |
| 1041 | 95.3 | 61.5 | 20.8 | 9.9 | 3.1 | 86.1 |
| 1942 | 122.7 | 81.4 | 28.4 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 109.4 |
| 1943 | 150.3 | 104.5 | 32, 8 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 155.2 |
| 1044 | 165,9 | 116.2 | - 35.8 | 10.6 | 3.6 | 150.6 |
| 1945 | 171.9 | 116. 9 | 37.5 | 11.4 | 6.2 | 156.7 |
| 1046 | 177.7 | 111.1 | 42.0 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 168.8 |
| 1047 | 191.0 | 122.3 | 42.4 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 170.8 |
| 1048 | 209.8 | 134.3 | 47.3 | 16.1 | 11.2 | 187.0 |
| 1949. | 206.1 | 134.9 | 41.7 | 17.2 | 12.3 | 188.2 |
| $1900{ }^{6}$ | 222.4 | 146. 2 | 43.5 | 18.6 | 15.1 | 2062 |
|  | Annoal rates, seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1040-First halt | 297.7 | 135. 4 | 43, 0 | 17.1 | 12.2 | 188.7 |
| Besond half. | 204.6 | 134.8 | . 40.4 | 17.3 | 12.5 | 187.8 |
| 1950-First halif.e. | 816.8 | 138.3 | 41,4 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 199.8 |
| Becond half i.............-. -- | 220.1 | 158,2 | 46.7 | 19.4 | 11.9 | 211.0 |
| 180---First quarter............- | 208.6 | 135.7 | 43.8 | 17.1 | 11.9 | 189.0 |
| Second quarter........... | 24.8 | 135.2 | 42.2 | 17.1 | 12.4 | 188.4 |
| Third quartor.............. | 203.8 | 134.4 | 40.1 | 16.8 | 12.5 | 187.8 |
| Fourth quartor............ | 205, 4 | 134.6 | 40.7 | 17.8 | 12.5 | 188. 2 |
| 1000--Fisht quartor............. | 210.4 | 135. 5 | 41.8 | 17.7 | 21.6 | 199.3 |
| Befaind quarter.-........... | 218. 1 | 141.1 | 41.2 | 17.9 | 14.9 | 109.3 |
| Third quartor............ | 224.8 | 148.4 | 45.1 | 19.1 | 11.9 | 207.2 |
| Fourth quarter \%........ | 233.4 | 185.9 | 4.0 | 10.6 | 11.9 | 214.8 |

1 1) iffers from "compensation of employeer" In appendix tablo A-4, In that it excludes omployer and em. plogeo contributlons to social insuranco. Includes wage and salary recoipts and other labor incomo-componsatlon for injuries, employer contributlons to private jansion and welfare funds, pay of milltary reser vists not onfull-tlimeactive duty (pay for full-tlme aotlve duty Included In milltary wages and eslarles), directors foes, fury and witnnss fees, compensallon of pilson Inmates, (lovernment payments to enerny prisoners of war marrlego fexs to justicos of the perde, and merchant marino wat-risk ilfa and Lnjury chalms.
2 beo appendlx tablo A-4, for melor empponents.
: Soo appendix tablo A-32, for dividend paymonts.
4 Nonagricultural Income is pexionid licome oxclisive of net income of unincorporated farm ontarprises, farm wagos, agrloultural net renti, agricultural not interest, and net dividends patd by agricultural corporallons.
1 Estinates bamed on invomplote data; fourth quarter by Councll of Econoinlo Aclvisera.
Norr.--1Detall will not necossarily add to totals because of rounding.
Bource: Departrient of Commerco (excopt as noted).

Table A-6. Relation of national income and personal income, 1929-50
[Bullions of dollars]

| Period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nation- } \\ & \text { al } \\ & \text { incomo } \end{aligned}$ | Less• |  |  | Plus: |  |  |  | Equals: <br> Personal income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Corporato prolits and in-ventory valu. ation adjustment | Contri- butions to soolal insur- ance | Uxcess of wage act cruals over dis- burse- ments | Gov- ern- ment trans- fer pay- ments | Net inter. est pald by Gov-ernment | $\xrightarrow[\text { Divi- }]{\text { dends }}$ | Busi- ness trans- fer pay- ments |  |
| 1929. | 87.4 | 10.3 | 0.2 |  | 0.9 | 1.0 | S. 8 | 0.6 | 85.1 |
| 1930. | 78.0 | 6, 6 | . 3 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5. 6 | . 8 | 76.2 |
| 1031. | 88.9 | 1.6 | . 3 |  | 2.0 | 1.1 | 4.1 | . 6 | 64.8 |
| 1932. | 41.7 | -2.0 | . 3 |  | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.6 | . 7 | 49.3 |
| 1933. | 39.6 | $-2.0$ | . 3 |  | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | . 7 | 46. 6 |
| 1034. | 48.6 | 1.1 | . 3 |  | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.6 | . 6 | B3. 2 |
| 1935. | 66.8 | 3.0 | . 3 |  | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 | . 6 | 59.9 |
| 1936. | 64.7 | 4.9 | . 6 |  | 2.9 | 1.1 | 4.6 | . 6 | 68.4 |
| 1837. | 73.6 | 6.2 | 1.8 |  | 1.9 | 1.2 | 4.7 | . 6 | 74.0 |
| 1938. | 67.4 | 4.3 | 2.0 |  | 2.4 | 1.2 | 3.2 | . 4 | 88.3 |
| 1939. | 72.5 | 6.8 | 2.1 |  | 2.6 | 1.2 | 3.8 | . 5 | 72.6 |
| 1040. | 81.3 | 0.2 | 2.3 |  | 2.7 | 1.3 | 4.0 | . 4 | 78.3 |
| 1941. | 103.8 | 14.6 | 2.8 |  | 2.6 | 1.3 | 4.6 | . 5 | 05.3 |
| 1942. | 137.1 | 19.8 | 3.8 |  | 2.7 | 1. 5 | 4.3 | . 5 | 122.7 |
| 1943. | 169.7 | 24.3 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 4.8 | . 5 | 150.3 |
| 1944 | 183.8 | 24.0 | B. 2 | $-.2$ | 3.1 | 2.8 | 4.7 | . 5 | 165.9 |
| 1945. | 182.7 | 19.2 | 6.1 | (1) | 8. 6 | 3.7 | 4.7 | . 5 | 171.9 |
| 1946. | 180.8 | 18.3 | 6.0 | (1) | 10.9 | 4.4 | 6.8 | . 6 | 177.7 |
| 1047 | 188.7 | 24.7 | 8.7 | (1) | 11.1 | 4.4 | 6.6 | . 7 | 191.0 |
| 1948 | 223.3 | 31.8 | 6.2 | (1) | 10.8 | 4.6 | 7.5 | . 7 | 209.6 |
| 1949. | 216.8 | 29.9 | 6.7 | (1) | 11.6 | 4.7 | 7.8 | . 7 | 206.1 |
| 1850: | 236.2 | 35.5 | 6.9 | (1) | 14.4 | 4.8 | 8.9 | . 7 | 222.4 |
| $\cdots$ | Annual rates, seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1040 - First half. | 218.3 | 29.6 | 6. 6 | $-1$ | 11.4 | 4.6 | 7.8 | . 7 | 207.7 |
| Becond half. | 215.4 | 30.1 | 6. 6 | (1) | 11.8 | 4.7 | 7.8 | .7 | 204.6 |
| 1050-First half. | 223.0 | 31.6 | 6.8 | (1) | 17.6 | 4.7 | 8.2 | . 7 | 215.8 |
| Becond half ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 240.2 | 38.3 | 7.1 | (1) | 11.2 | 4.8 | 9.6 | .7 | 229.1 |
| 1010-First quarter. | 218.8 | 28.8 | 6. 7 | . 1 | 11.2 | 1.6 | 7.9 | . 7 | 208.6 |
| Becond quarter. | 217.8 | 30.4 | B. 0 | $\cdots$ | 11.7 | 4.6 | 7.7 | . 7 | 200.8 |
| Third quartor. | 216.7 | 31.8 | B. 6 | (1) | 11.9 | 4.7 | 7.4 | . 7 | 203.8 |
| Fourth quarter. | 214. 2 | 28.4 | 6.7 | (1) | 11.8 | 4.7 | 8.2 | . 7 | 205.4 |
| 1050-- Fint quarter.. | 216.0 | 28.2 | 0.7 | (1) | 20.9 | 4.7 | 8.1 | .7 | 216. 4 |
| Becond quartor. | 220.1 | 35.0 | 6.8 | (1) | 14.2 | 4.7 | 8.2 | . 7 | 215. 1 |
| 'Third quartor' | 243.7 | 38.1 | 0.0 | (1) | 11.2 | 4.8 | 0.4 | . 7 | 224.8 |
| Fourth quarter | . 264.7 | 40.6 | 7.3 | (1) | 11.2 | 4.8 | 0.8 | . 7 | 233. 4 |

1 Yess ihan 80 mililon dollars.
Estimates based on incomploto data; corporate profits and total national income for third quartor and all Items for fourth quarter by Coundil of Economic fadvieors.

Note,--Detall will not nocossarlly add to totals bocause of rounding.
Bource: Department of Commerce (excopt as noted).

Table A-7. Disposition of personal income, 1929-50


1 Estlimates based on incomploto data; fourth quartor by Counch of Economfo Advisers.
Note.-Detall will not necessarlly add to totals becauso of rounding.
Source: Dopartment of Commerco (oxcopt as noted).

Table A-8.-Tohal and per capita disposable personal income in current and 1950 prices, 1929-50

| Period | Total disposable personal Income (bullons of dollars) |  | Per capita disposable income (dollars) |  | Population(thou:sands): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current prices | $\begin{gathered} 1050 \\ \text { prices } \end{gathered}$ | Current prices | $\begin{gathered} 1950 \\ \text { prices } 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1029. | 82.8 | 115.0 | 678 | 952 | 121,770 |
| 1030. | 73.7 | 108.6 | 609 | 882 | 123,077 |
| 1931. | 63.0 | 103.8 | 508 | 838 | 124,040 |
| 1932. | 47.8 | 89.6 | 333 | 717 | 124, 840 |
| 1933 | 48.2 | 88.6 | 360 | 706 | 125,679 |
| 1034. | 61.6 | 25.6 | 408 | 756 | 126, 374 |
| 1935. | 58.0 | 104.9 | 456 | 82 | 127, 250 |
| 1936. | 60.1 | 118.0 | 516 | 921 | 128, 053 |
| 1937. | 71.1 | 122.4 | 852 | 950 | 128,825 |
| 1038 | 6, 6 | 118.8 | 505 | 888 | 120,825 |
| 1930. | 70.2 | 124.7 | 836 | 953 | 130,880 |
| 1940. | 78.7 | 133.0 | 674 | 1,008 | 131, 070 |
| 1911. | 92.0 | 152.3 | 691 | 1,143 | 133, 203 |
| 1942 | 116.7 132.4 | 172.4 179.4 | 867 970 | 1,280 1,314 | 134,685 136,497 |
| 1944 | 147.0 | 189.9 | 1,035 | 1,375 | 138,083 |
| 1945 | 151.1 | 188.4 | 1,082 | 1,350 | 139,888 |
| 1940. | 158.9 | 183.9 | 1,125 | 1,302 | 141, 238 |
| 1977. | 160.8 | 178.8 | 1,177 | 1,241 | 144,024 |
| 1048 | 188.4 | 188.6 | 1,285 | 1,287 | 146, 871 |
| 1940 | 187.4 | 190.1 | 1,258 | 1,274 | 149,215 |
| $1950{ }^{2}$. | 202.1 | 202.1 | 1,332 | 1,332 | 151, 772 |
|  | Annual rates, seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |
| 1040-First half Becond half | $\begin{aligned} & 189.0 \\ & 186.0 \end{aligned}$ | 180.0 189.4 | 1,272 1,240 | 1,284 | $\begin{aligned} & 148,639 \\ & 149,047 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1050-\text { First half } \\ & \text { Second halt } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 198.6 \\ 207.6 \end{array}$ | 200.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,300 \\ & 1,381 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,326 \\ & 1,338 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161,188 \\ & 152,511 \end{aligned}$ |

1 Dollar estimates in current prices divided by the price index of personal consumption expenditures. Thils ipice Indox was basod on the Department of Commerce data, shlited from 1039 base.

- Esilmated population of contInental United States including armod forces overseas; annual data as of Jily $i$ and zomlannuid data as of April 1 and Ootobor 1. Population of continental Unitod States on April 1, 1050 , induding armed forces overseas was 181,132,000, according to the 1050 Consus. Estimatas made prior to the 1050 Census and used in this table put total population, including armed forces overseas, at $161,188,000$ on April 1, whloh $\$ 9168,000$ higher than the oficlal results of the 1050 Oensus. Intercensal eetlinates used here for 1941 throuph 1950 will be adjusted later to take care of this small difference.
- Estimates based on Incomplete data; fourth quarter by Counoll of Economlo Advisors.

Bources: Department of Commeree and Douncll of Economlo Aúvisers.

Table A-9.-Gross national product or expenditure in 1939 prices, 1929-501
[Billons of dollars, 1839 prices]

| Period | Total gross national produot | Personal consumption expendilures |  |  |  | Grose private domestio invertment |  |  |  | Net torelgn In. vestment | Government purchases of roods and sarvices |  |  | Prf-rategroesnattanalprod;uct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \text { Dur- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { goods } \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | Nondur. gooda | Serv- | Total | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { struc } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \\ & \text { ers } \\ & \text { dur- } \\ & \text { able } \\ & \text { oquip- } \\ & \text { ment } \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Ohangs } \\ \text { In } \\ \text { busi } \\ \text { ness } \\ \text { nnvin: } \\ \text { torles } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |  | Total | Federal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { State } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { local } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1020. | 85.9 | 02.2 | 8.0 | 29.1 | 28.1 | 14.9 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 81.6 |
| 1930. | 78.1 | ${ }^{68.6}$ | 0.4 | 27.7 | 24.8 | 10.1 | 8. 4 | 4.8 | -. 2 | . 6 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 73.5 |
| 1931. | 72.3 | 86.6 | 5.3 | 27.5 | 23.9 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 | -1.1 | .3 | 9.4 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 67.7 |
| 1032. | 61.9 | 81.8 | 3.9 | 25.2 | 22.7 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | -3.0 | . 2 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 67. 4 |
| 1033 | 81.5 | 81, 1 | 3.8 | 24.9 | 22.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | -1.8 | .1 | 8.7 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 86.5 |
| 1034 | 07.9 | 84.0 | 4.4 | 27.0 | 22.8 | 3.5 | 1.7 | $2 . \%$ | -. 8 | . 3 | 10.1 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 62.0 |
| 1035 | 73.9 | 87.2 | b. 4 | 28.6 | 23.2 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 3.6 | . 9 | $-.1$ | 10.1 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 67.6 |
| 1936 | 83.9 | 62.8 | 6.6 | 31.8 | 24.4 | 9.3 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 1.4 | -. 2 | 11.9 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 78.4 |
| 1937. | 87.9 | 65.0 | 7.0 | 32.9 | 25.1 | 11. 4 | 3.8 | 6. 5 | 2.1 | . 1 | 11.4 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 80.9 |
| 1933. | 84.0 | 63.9 | 5.7 | 33.4 | 24.8 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 3.9 | -1.0 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 70.4 |
| 1039. | 91.3 | 67.5 | 6.7 | 35.3 | 25.6 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 | . 4 | . 9 | 13.1 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 83. 7 |
| 1940 | 100.0 | 71.3 | 7.7 | 37.1 | 26.5 | 13.7 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 13.8 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 02.1 |
| 1941. | 115. 5 | 76.6 | 8.9 | 40.1 | 27.6 | 17.1 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 3.8 | . 7 | 21.1 | 13.8 | 7.3 | 108.2 |
| 1942. | 129.7 | 75.8 | 8.7 | 41.3 | 28.8 | 9.3 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 1.6 | $-4$ | 45.0 | 38.3 | 6.7 | 110.5 |
| 1943 | 145.7 | 78.0 | 6. 0 | 42.6 | 30.1 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 3.6 | $-.1$ | -2. 1 | 04.3 | 88.2 | 6.1 | 125.8 |
| 1944 | 156.9 | 81.1 | 4.6 | 44.6 | 32.0 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 8. 1 | -. 6 | -2.2 | 71.3 | 65.4 | 6.0 | 133.0 |
| 1915 | 153.4 | 86.3 | 5.3 | 47.9 | 33.2 | 8.3 | 2.6 | $6.7-$ | -1.0 | -1.8 | 00.6 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 120.7 |
| 1946 | 138.4 | 85.7 | 10.4 | 60. 3 | 35.2 | 20.3 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 19.6 | 12.8 | 6.8 | 128.6 |
| 1977 | 138.6 | 98. 3 | 12.3 | 49.6 | 36.1 | 19.3 | 6.9 | 11.8 | . 6 | 4.8 | 16.1 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 128.8 |
| 1048 | 143.1 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 49.7 | 37.7 | 22.8 | 8.0 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 19.0 | 10.3 | 8.2 | 133.2 |
| 1949. | 142.3 | 102.0 | 12.9 | 50.4 | 38.8 | 17.7 | 7.9 | 11.9 | -2.1 | . 8 | 22.0 | 12.3 | 9.2 | 132.0 |
| 1050 '.... | 162.4 | 107.4 | 18.8 | 81.4 | Sn 2 | 26. 1 | 9.2 | 14.6 | 1.4 | -. 1 | 20.4 | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 142.0 |

[^10]Notr.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce.

Table A-10.-Gross national product or expenditure in 1950 prices, 1910-501
[Bllions of dollars, 1950 prices]

| Period | Total gross na. tlonal product | Personal consumption expenditured |  |  |  | Gross private domestic Investment |  |  |  | Net foreign investment | Governmentpurchases of goodsand servlces |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Dur. able goods | Non-durgoods | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Serv- } \\ & \text { ices } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { struc- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Pro. ducers durable equipment | Ohange In business inventorles |  | Total | Fersl- | 8tate and local |
| 1940. | 184.4 | 127.2 | 14.4 | 73.6 | 39.2 | 27.6 | 12.0 | 10.1 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 28.5 | 12.7 | 15.8 |
| 1941 | 216.0 | 137.1 | 16.7 | 79.6 | 40.8 | 34.6 | 14.6 | 12.2 | 7.9 | (1) | 43.6 | 28.6 | 15.0 |
| :942. | 245.7 | 135. 1 | 10.6 | 81.9 | 42.6 | 19.4 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 4.1 | -1.8 | 93.0 | 79.3 | 13.7 |
| 1043.- | 277.1 | 138.7 | 0.3 | 84.4 | 45.0 | 10.6 | 4.4 | 6. 2 | $-1$ | $-5.3$ | 133.2 | 120.7 | 12.5 |
| 1944.- | 208.4 | 144.3 | 8.6 | 88.3 | 47.4 | 12.0 | 4.7 | 8.6 | -1.3 | -6. 6 | 147.7 | 135.5 | 12.2 |
| 1945.- | 299.1 | 163.8 | 9.9 | 94.8 | 49.1 | 16.0 | 6.1 | 11.2 | -2.3 | -5. 2 | 1:25. 5 | 113.2 | 12.3 |
| 1946 | 254.0 | 170.7 | 19.3 | 99.3 | 52.1 | 39.2 | 14.3 | 16.6 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 40.5 | 20.6 | 14.0 |
| 1947. | 252.3 | 176.1 | 23.0 | 98.1 | 54.0 | 36. 6 | 16.2 | 20.0 | . 3 | 7.4 | 23.3 | 17.7 | 15.6 |
| 1948.- | 263.0 | 177.7 | 23.4 | 98.5 | 65.8 | 45.3 | 18.8 | 21,3 | 6.2 | . 8 | 39.2 | 22.3 | 16.3 |
| 1949.- | 260.0 | 181.1 | 23.8 | 90.8 | 67.4 | 34.5 | 18.6 | 20.2 | -4.3 | $-1.0$ | 45.4 | 20.5 | 18.0 |
| 1050 - | 278.8 | 190.8 | 29.1 | 101.8 | 69, 6 | 48.5 | 21.7 | 24.6 | 2.4 | $-2.6$ | 42.1 | 22.7 | 10.4 |

[^11]Norz.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Councll of Economic Advisers.

Table A-11.-Labor force, employment, and unemployment, 1929-50


[^12]Table A-12.-Number of wage and salary workers in nonagriculturaliestablishments, 1920-501
[Thousands of employees]

| Perlod | Total wage and salary workors | Manufacturing |  |  | MinIng | Contract construc thon | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trans- } \\ \text { porta- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { publlo } \\ \text { utill- } \\ \text { ties } \end{gathered}$ | Trade (3) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fi. } \\ \text { nance } \end{gathered}$ | Berv. ice: | Gov. ernment (Federal, Btate, andi local) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dura- } \\ & \text { ble } \\ & \text { goods } \end{aligned}$ | Non-dursble goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly avarage: $1029$ | 31,041 | 10,634 | (8) | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 1,078 | 1,497 | 8,907 | 6, 401 | 1,431 | 3,127 | 3,006 |
| 1030 | 29, 143 | 0,401 | (a) | () | 1,000 | 1,872 | 73,678 | 6, 064 | 1,398 | 3,084 | 8,149 |
| 1931 | 28, 383 | 8,021 | (3) | (3) | 804 | 1,214 | 13,243 | 6, 631 | 1,333 | 2,013 | 8,204 |
| 1832 | 23,377 | 6,797 | (8) | (3) | 72 | 070 | [2,804 | 4,907 | 1,270 | 2,682 | 3,225 |
| 1833 | 23,403 | 7,268 | (3) | (8) | 735 | 800 | 2, 660 | 4,900 | 1,225 | 2,614 | 3, 167 |
| 1034 | 25,699 | 8,340 | (3) | (3) | 874 | 862 | [2,736 | B, 562 | 1,247 | 2,784 | 3,298 |
| 1036 | 28,792 | 8,807 | ( | () | 888 | 012 | 12,771 | 8, 692 | 1,262 | 2, 883 | 3,477 |
| 1038 | 28, 802 | 9,653 | (\%) | (d) | 037 | 1,146 | [2,050 | P6,076 | 11;313 | 8, 060 | 3, 682 |
| 1087 | 30,718 | 10,606 | (8) | (3) | 1,000 | 1,112 | [2,114 | 16, 843 | 1,385 | 8,233 | 8,740 |
| 1038 | 28, 002 | 9,283 | (1) | (8) | 1, 882 | 1,006 | '2,840 | 6, 463 | 1,347 | 3, 186 | 8,876 |
| 1930 | 30,287 | 10, 078 | 4,683 | 5,394 | 845 | 1,160 | 2,912 | (6, 612 | 1,382 | 3,321 | 8,087 |
| 10 | 32,031 | 10,780 | B, 337 | 5, 443 | 916 | 1,204 | 3,013 | 70,940 | 1,419 | 8,477 | 4, 102 |
| 1041 | 36, 164 | 12,974 | 6,946 | 6, 028 | 947 | 1,790 | 8, 248 | 77,416 | 1, 462 | 3,705 | 4,022 |
| 1942 | 39, 697 | 15, 061 | 8, 804 | 6,247 | 983 | 2,170 | 3, 433 | 17, 333 | 1, 440 | 3, 857 | 8, 431 |
| 194 | 42, 042 | 17, 381 | 11,077 | 6,304 | 017 | 1,587 | 3, 619 | 7, 180 | 1, 401 | 3, 918 | 6, 040 |
| 104 | 41,480 | 17, 111 | 10,888 | 6,283 | 883 | 1,004 | 3,798 | 7, 260 | 1,374. | 3, 034 | 6,028 |
| 1045 | 40,069 | 16, 302 | 9,079 | 6, 222 | 828 | 1, 132 | 3,872 | 7, 822 | 1,394 | 4,085 | 8, 887 |
| 1946 | 41, 112 | 14, 461 | 7, 739 | 6,722 | 882 | 1,661 | 4,023 | 8, 602 | 1, 680 | 4,821 | 8, 007 |
| 1047 | 43,371 | 16, 247 | 8,373 | 6,871 | 943 | 1,082 | 4,122 | 9, 108 | 1, 641 | 4,786 | 6,454 |
| 1948 | 44, 201 | 16, 286 | 8,315 | 6,970 | 981 | 2,166 | 4,151 | 9,491 | 1,716 | 4,790 | 6, 613 |
| 104 | 43,006 | 14, 146 | 7,465 | 8,681 | 932 | 2,166 | 3,870 | 0,438 | 1,763 | 4.782 | 6,811 |
| 1060 | 44,080 | 14,854 | 7,980 | 6,878 | 905 | 2,317 | 4,009 | 0,819 | 1,813 | 4,770 | 8, 004 |
| 1940-Firat half...... | $42,003$ | 14,307 | 7,712 | 6,805 | 081 | 2,044 | 4,016 | 9,358 | 1,752 | 4,760 | 6,776 |
| second half... | 43,019 | 13, 888 | 7,218 | 6,768 | 883 | 2,267 | 3,042 | 9,813 | 1,772 | 4,803 | 6,847 |
| 1950-Kirst half. | 42,710 | 14,220 | 7, 668 | 6, 053 | 870 | 2,070 | 3,903 | 9,281 | 1,797 | 4,746 | 6,822 |
| Eecond half ".- | 45, 467 | 16, 487 | 8,391 | 7,095 | 939 | 2,663 | 4,114 | 9,757 | 1,828 | 4,703 | 6,980 |
| 1040-Janu | 43, 149 | 14,782 | 8,044 | 6,738 | 991 | 2,016 | 4,054 | 0, 388 | 1,731 | 4,723 | 8,764 |
| Februar | 43,081 | 14, 649 | 7,023 | 6, 728 | 080 | 1,926 | 4,024 | 9,292 | 1,735 | 4,712 | 6,787 |
| March.. | 42,918 | 14, 475 | 7,819 | 6, 0.68 | 981 | 1,947 | 3,975 | 9,310 | 1,749 | 4,720 | 6,761 |
| Apri. | 42,906 | 14, 177 | 7, 656 | 6, 821 | 984 | 2,036 | 3,801 | 9,478 | 1,757 | 1,708 | 6,775 |
| May. | 42,731 | 13, 877 | 7,441 | 6, 436 | 974 | 2,137 | 4,021 | 9,342 | 1, 763 | 4,804 | B, 813 |
| June. | 42,635 | 13,884 | 7,392 | C,402 | 908 | 2,205 | 4,031 | 0,336 | 1,774 | 4,834 | 8,803 |
| July | 42, 873 | 13, 787 | 7, 265 | 0,802 | 013 | 2,277 | 4,007 | 0, 220 | 1,780 | 4,851 | 8,738 |
| August | 42,094 | 14,114 | 7,302 | 6,812 | 956 | 2,340 | 3,002 | 0,213 | 1,780 | 4,836 | 8,783 |
| Geptamb | 43, 460 | 14,312 | 7,400 | 6,003 | 948 | 2,341 | 3,950 | 9, 409 | 1,771 | 4,833 | 6,893 |
| Ootober | 42, 601 | 13,802 | 6,883 | 6,908 | - 393 | 2,313 | 3,871 | 0, 805 | 1,767 | 4,794 | 6,860 |
| November | 42,784 | 13,807. | 7,050 | 6,757 | 917 | 2,244 | 3,892 | 9,607 | 1,760 | 4,768 | 8,783 |
| Devember | 43,604 | 14,031 | 7,803 | 6,728 | 940 | \%,058 | 3,930 | 10,160 | 1,770 | 4,738 | 0,041 |
| 1050-January | 42, 126 | 13,880 | 7.342 | 6, 638 | 8861 | 1,019 | 3,800 | 9,246 | 1,772 | 1,701 | 8, 777 |
| Februar | 42, 601 | 13, 907 | 7,324 | 6,673 | - 895 | 1,881 | 3,841 | 9,162 | 1,777 | 4,690 | 8,742 |
| March.. | 42, 290 | 14, 103 | 7,418 | 6, 085 | 038 | 1,907 | 3,873 | 9,206 | 1,701 | 4,708 | 6,760 |
| April. .-........ | 42,026 | 14, 162 | 7,848 | 6, 614 | 030 | 2,070 | 3,928 | 0,346 | 1,803 | 4,757 | 8,916 |
| May | 43,311 | 14,413 | 7,809 | 6,601 | 840 | 2,248 | 3,885 | 9,326 | 1,812 | 4,790 | 8,900 |
| June. | 43, 015 | 14, 660 | 7,884 | 6,702 | 946 | 2, 414 | 4,023 | 0, 111 | 1,827 | 4,826 | 6,832 |
| July. | 44,000 | 14, 777 | 7,978 | 6,799 | 922 | 2, 132 | 4,062 | 0,300 | 1,831 | 4,841 | 6,741 |
| August....... | 45, 000 | 16,450 | 8,294 | 7, 168 | 950 | 2,629 | 4,120 | 9, 474 | 1,837 | 4,827 | 6, 793 |
| Boptomber 4. | 45, 680 | $1{ }^{16}, 682$ | 8, 425 | 7,237 | 940 | 2,615 | 4,138 | 9,680 | 1,827 | 4,817 | Q,004 |
| October ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 45, 890 | 18, 810 | 8, 812 | 7,207 | 911 | 2,020 | 4,135 | 9,760 | 1,822 | 4,787 | 6,039 |
| November ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 45, 766 | 16,707 | 8,647 | 7,000 | 036 | 2, 537 | 4, 114 | 0, 280 | 1,821 | 4,724 | 6,037 |

[^13]Source: Depertment of Labor.

Table A-13.-Aowage gross weekly carnings in selected industries, 1929-50


I Monoy payments only; additional value of room, board, uniforms, and tipe not included.
${ }^{1}$ Not avallable.

- Not avalable. series ieginuing April 1915 Includes only omployees aubleot to provisions of the Falr Labor Btandards Aot and is not comparable with precoding wortes which Includes all omployeea. Heginning June 1940, data relato to nonsuporvisory omployees.
4 Not strictly comparable with preylous data.
${ }^{1}$ Proliminary avorago; does not include any retroactive wage payments.
- Estimstes baesd on Incomplete data.

1 Data reflect work stoppages, or 3 -day workweez.
Note,-Data are for production workers in manufacturing and mining, hourly-rated employers in rallroads, and for all nonsupervisory employeas in other industriee. Data are for payroll periode ending closest to the middle of the month excapt in rallogads where monthly dats are used.
Adjuatments havo bean mode to lovels Indicated by data of unemployment insurance agencles and the Bureat of Old-Age and Surylvora Insurance through i947, and have been carried forward from 1047 benchmark levela, thereby providing consistent series,
The hall-year data are stralght arlthmetle arerages of the monthly tigures and not strictiy comparable with the annual averages Fhlch have been welghted by data on man-hours.
Source: Department of Labor.

Table A-14.-Average hourly earnings in selected industries, 1929-50

| Period | Manufacturing |  |  | Bituml-nouscoalmining | Bulldtigg contlon | Olass I steam rallroads | Telephone | Wholesale trade | Retall trade | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hotels } \\ \text { (year) } \\ \text { round) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dara- } \\ & \text { ble } \\ & \text { goods } \end{aligned}$ | Nondurable goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly average: 1929. | \$0. 560 | () | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | \$0.681 | () | \$0.636 | (3) | () | () | () |
|  | . 852 | (1) | (2) | . 684 | (1) | . 644 | (3) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1031 | . 815 | (3) | (3) | . 647 | (3) | . 681 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (2) |
| 1932 | . 448 | \$0. 497 | \$0. 420 | . 620 | (2) | . 600 | 3 | (2) | (3) | (3) |
| 1833 | . 42 | . 472 | . 827 | . 601 | $0{ }^{(3)}$ | . 6908 | (2) | (9) | (3) | (3) |
| 1834 |  | . 656 | . 518 |  | \$0.795 | . 602 |  |  | (3) | (3) |
| 1835. | . 850 | . 677 | . 830 | . 745 | . 816 | . 651 | (1) | 8 | (1) | ( ) |
| 1833 | . 655 | . 686 | . 629 | . 794 | . 824 | . 659 | (3) | 8 | (3) | (3) |
|  | . 624 | . 674 | . 677 | . 8888 | . 003 | - 678 | \$0.774 | (3) | 3) | 3) |
| 1838 | . 627 | . 6808 | . 688 | . 8888 | . .008 | . 712 | . 8182 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| 1940 | . 601 | . 724 | . 602 | . 883 | . 058 | . 717 | . 827 | (1) | (b) | () |
| 1941 | . 729 | . 808 | . 640 | . 803 | 1.010 | . 761 | . 820 | (3) | (3) | (8) |
| 1042 | . 853 | . 947 | . 723 | 1.059 | 1.148 | . 824 | . 813 | 3 | (3) | (3) |
| 1943 | . 961 | 1.059 | . 803 | 1. 139 | 1.252 | . 897 | . 870 | (3) | (3) | (2) |
| 1944 | 1.019 | 1.117 | . 881 | 1.180 | 1.319 | . 838 | . 011 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| 1945 | 1.023 | 1.111 | . 004 | 1. 240 | 1.379 | . 912 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ( ${ }^{2}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1943 | 1.088 | 1.168 | 1.016 | 1. 401 | 1.478 | 1.110 | 1.124 | (3) | (3) | (3) 80 |
| 1947 | 1.237 1.350 | 1.292 1.410 | 1.171 | 1. 1.898 | - $\begin{array}{r}1.681 \\ 1.848 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.170 1.309 | 1.197 1.248 | \$1.278 | \$1.009 1. \% | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 0.650 \\ \hline 709\end{array}$ |
| 1949 | 1.401 | 1.460 | 1.325 | 1.941 | 1.635 | 1.410 | 1.345 | 1.414 | 1.137 | . 743 |
| 10504 | 1.400 | 1.829 | 1.372 | 2.000 | 2.017 | 11.647 | 1.304 | 1.473 | 1.176 | . 718 |
| 1919-Mirst hall. | 1.402 | 1. 488 | 1.324 | 1.043 | 1.928 | 1 1.348 | 1.325 | 1.408 | 1. 132 | . 738 |
| Second half | 1.401 | 1,470 | 1.327 | 1.041 | 1.941 | 11.482 | 1.367 | 1.419 | 1.141 | . 749 |
| 1050-First hall | 1. 432 | 1. 487 | 1.354 | 1.091 | 1.900 | '1.844 | 1.382 | 1. 458 | 1. 150 | . 788 |
| second hali | 1. 483 | 1, 660 | 1.380 | 2.008 | 2.044 | -1.649 | 1,405 | 1.488 | 1. 104 | 776 |
| 1040-January. | 1. 405 | 1, 467 | 1.327 | 1.947 | 1.918 | 1.333 | 1. 298 | 1. 303 | 1. 132 | . 736 |
| February | 1.401 | 1. 468 | 1.323 | 1.041 | 1.030 | 1.343 | 1.317 | 1.403 | 1.123 | . 738 |
| March | 1.400 | 1. 484 | 1.323 | 1. 938 | 1.033 | 1.318 | 1.327 | 1.401 | 1.121 | 731 |
| April | 1.401 | 1. 487 | 1.321 | 1.934 | 1.034 | 1.350 | 1.324 | 1.407 | 1.127 | . 732 |
| May | 1.401 | 1. 467 | 1.323 | 1.948 | 1.830 | 1.367 | 1.343 | 1.421 | 1.141 | 738 |
| June. | 1.405 | 1. 478 | 1.324 | 1.951 | 1.924 | 1.354 | 1.340 | 1.416 | 1. 147 | . 745 |
| July. | 1.408 | 1. 477 | 1.332 | 1.910 | 1.922 | 1.369 | 1. 348 | 1.428 | 1.148 | . 748 |
| August | 1. 390 | 1.473 | 1.319 | 1.897 | 1.032 | 1. 354 | 1.343 | 1. 403 | 1. 148 | . 745 |
| Soptomber | 1. 407 | 1.482 | 1.328 | 1.943 | 1.938 | 1.640 | 1. 363 | 1.409 | 1.160 | . 746 |
| October.. | 1.392 | 1. 458 | 1.325 | 1.978 | 1.944 | 1. 637 | 1. 377 | 1. 427 | 1. 140 | . 743 |
| November. | 1.392 | 1.157 | 1.325 | 1. 099 | 1.947 | 1.843 | 1. 402 | 1.425 | 1. 138 | . 763 |
| Decomber.... | 1, 408 | 1.478 | 1.334 | 1. 910 | 1.964 | 1.647 | 1.367 | 1. 423 | 1. 128 | . 789 |
| 1950-January | 1.418 | 1. 1885 | 1.343 | 1.033 | 1.976 | 1. 850 | 1.380 | 1. 432 | 1.163 | . 763 |
| Februar | 1. 420 | 1.483 | 1.380 | 1. 082 | 1. 1.888 | 1. 567 | 1.391 | 1.448 | 1. 145 | . 76.5 |
| March | 1.124 | 1.488 | 1.353 | 2. 009 | 1.095 | 1.632 | 1.376 | 1. 453 | 1.148 | . 785 |
| April | 1.434 | 1. 499 | 1.355 | 2.022 | 1. 988 | 1. 648 | 1.381 | 1. 468 | 1.188 | . 760 |
| May | 1. 442 | 1. 509 | 1.358 | 2.005 | 1.998 | 1. 638 | 1. 381 | 1. 463 | 1.162 | 756 |
| Juno. | 1.463 | 1.622 | 1.365 | 2.016 | 1.995 | 1. 632 | 1.388 | 1. 476 | 1.175 | . 761 |
| July. | 1. 162 | 1. 633 | 1. 375 | 2.014 | 2.006 | 1. 653 | 1. 398 | 1. 494 | 1. 189 | . 705 |
| August. | 1. 464 | 1. 639 | 1. 374 | 2.001 | 2. 021 | 1. 633 | 1.392 | 1. 489 | 1.192 | . 771 |
| Soptemiser ${ }^{\text {d }}$.. | 1. 480 | 1. 683 | 1. 381 | 2. 011 | 2. 083 | 1. 560 | 1.408 | 1. 178 | 1. 200 | . 779 |
| October ${ }^{\text {Novomber }}$ | 1.501 1.610 | 1.877. 1,886 | 1.408 1.413 | (2) ${ }^{2.007}$ | 2. ${ }^{2}$ (1) | (3) | 1.428 | (1.494 | ${ }_{(1)}^{1.197}$ | 01 |

1 Monoy paymonts only; additional value of room, board, unlforms, and tlpe not included.
${ }^{3}$ Not availablo.

- Not available. Beries beginning Aprll 1015 Includes only employees sublect to provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act and is not comparable with preceding serles which includes all omployces. Beginning June 1049 data rolate to nonsuper visory employeos.
- Not strictly comparable with prevlous data.
- Preliminary avorage; doos not Include any retroactive wage paymonts.
- Estimates based on Incomplete data.

Nore.-Data are for production workors in manufacturing and mining, hourly rated employecs in rallroads, and for all nonsupervisory employees in other industrics. Data are for payroll perlods ending closest to the middle of the month except in raliroads where monthly data are used.
Adjustments have been made to levels Indicated by data of unemployment insurance ageneles and the Bureau of Old-Age and Burvivors Insurance through 1977, and have been carrled forward from 1047 benchmark levels, thereby providing consistent serles.
The hall-year data are stralght arithmetle averages of the monthly figures and not strictly comparable with the annusl averages wblch have beon welghted by data on man-hourt.

Source: Department of Labor.

Table A-15.-Average weekly hours in selected industries, 1929-50

| Period | Manufacturing |  |  | Bltuml. nous coal mining | Bullding con-struction | Class I steam railroads | Telephone | Whole 8810 trade | Retall trade | Hotels (year round) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Durable goods | Non. durable goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montbly average: 1929. | 44.2 | (1) | (1) | 38.4 | (1) | 44.8 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1030. | 42.1 | (1) | (1) | 33.5 | (1) | 33.1 | (1) | (1) | (1) | () |
| 1031. | 40.5 | (1) | (1) | 28.3 | d | 41.1 | 3 | (1) | (1) | , |
| 1032 | 38.3 | 32.6 | 41.9 | $\stackrel{27.2}{ }$ | (1) | 38.9 | , | (1) | , | 13 |
| 19334. | 38.1 34.8 | 34.8 33.0 | 40.0 35.1 | 29.8 27.0 | (1) 28.0 | 38.8 40.4 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1035. | 36.6 | 37.3 | 30.1 | 26.4 | 30.1 | 41.1 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1036 | 30.2 | 41.0 | 37.7 | 28.8 | 32.8 | 42.5 | (1) | (1) | c) | (1) |
| 1037 | 38.6 | 40.0 | 37.4 | 27.9 | 33.4 | 43.2 | 38.8 | (1) | 3 | 1 |
| 1838 | 35.6 | 35.0 | 36.1 | 23.6 | 32.1 | 42.5 | 38.0 | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1030. | 37.7 | 38.0 | 37.4 | 27.1 | 32.6 | 43.4 | 30.1 | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1040. | 38.1 | 39.3 | 37.0 | 23.1 | 33.1 | 44.0 | 39.5 | $(1)$ | (1) | (1) |
| 1941 | 40.6 | 42.1 | 38.9 | 31.1 | 34.8 | 45.6 | 40.1 | (1) | , | (1) |
| 1012 | 42.9 | 45.1 | 40.3 | 32.9 | 36.4 | 46.9 | 40.5 | (1) | 1 | (1) |
| 1943 | 44.9 | 48.6 | 42.5 43.1 | 36.6 43.4 | 38.4 39.6 | 48.7 40.1 | 41.9 42.3 | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1914 | 45.2 | 46.0 | 43.1 | 43.4 | 39.6 | 40.1 | 42.3 | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1045. | 43.4 | 44.1 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 39.0 | 48.5 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1046 | 40.4 | 40.2 | 40.5 | 41.6 | 38.1 | 46.9 | 30.4 | (1) | (1) |  |
| 1947 | 40.4 | 40.6 | 40.1 | 40.7 | 37.6 | 46.3 | 37.4 | 41.0 | 40.3 | 45.2 |
| 1048. | 40.1 | 40.5 | 39.6 | 38.0 | 137.3 | 48.1 | 30.2 | 40.9 | 40.3 | 44.3 |
| 1940. | 30.2 | 39.5 | 38.8 | 32.8 | 36.7 | 43.5 | 38.5 | 40.7 | 40.4 | 44.2 |
| 10504. | 40.5 | 41.1 | 39.7 | 33.8 | 36.3 | 40.7 | 39.1 | 40.7 | 40.6 | 43. 9 |
| 1040-First halt. | 39.0 | 39.4 | 38.4 | 36.5 | 36.7 | 44.9 | 38.4 | 40.6 | 40.2 | 44.3 |
| Second half | 39.4 | 30.4 | 39.3 | 28.3 | 36.6 | 41.4 | 38.6 | 40.8 | 40.6 | 44.1 |
| 1950-First halt. | 39.8 | 40.5 | 30.1 | 32.3 | 35.4 | 40.6 | 38.7 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 43.9 |
| Scoond hall 1 : | 41.0 | 41.7 | 40.2 | 35.5 | 37.1 | 40.9 | 30.4 | 40.9 | 40.8 | 43.8 |
| 1010-January | 30.8 | 40.1 | 38.7 | 39.2 | 37.0 | 45.2 | 38.4 | 40.8 | 40.2 | 44.1 |
| Fobruary ...- | 39.4 | 39.9 | 38.8 | 37.9 | 36.5 | 45.9 | 38.6 | 40.5 | 40.2 | 44.0 |
| March. | 39.1 | 39.5 | 38.6 | 138.4 | 36.1 | 45.5 | 38.3 | 40.6 | 40.1 | 44.6 |
| April........... | 38.1 | 30.0 | 37.6 | 37.4 | 36.4 | 48.0 | 38.2 | 40.6 | 40.2 | 41.2 |
| May... | 38.6 | 39.0 | 38.1 | 37.5 | 37.2 | 44.4 | 38.6 | 40.7 | 40.3 | 44.7 |
| Juno. | 38.8 | 39.2 | 38.5 | 830.7 | 37.1 | 42.3 | 38.4 | 40.6 | 40.8 | 44.1 |
| July.. | 38.8 | 38.8 | 38.7 | -25. 1 | 37.1 | 44.1 | 38.8 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 44.1 |
| August | 39.1 | 39.3 | 38.9 | ${ }^{6} 28.1$ | 37.2 | 46.4 | 38.1 | 40.7 | 40.8 | 44.2 |
| Soptomber | 39.6 | 30.6 | 38.6 | 27.0 | 36.5 | 30. ${ }^{8}$ | 38.8 | 40.7 | 40.8 | 44.1 |
| October-. | 39.7 | 39.8 | 30.6 | - 31.9 | 36.9 | 38.3 | 38.7 | 40.9 | 40.4 | 44.2 |
| November..- | 39.1 | 38.0 | 39.3 | 34.1 | 30.1 | 40.0 | 38.8 | 40.6 | 40.1 | 44.0 |
| December.... | 30.8 | 40.1 | 39.5 | + 25.4 | 35.8 | 39.9 | 38.4 | 40.9 | 40.7 | 43.8 |
| 1850-January | 30.7 | 40.0 | 39.4 | -24. 5 | 34.8 | 30.8 | 38.5 | 40.6 | 40.4 | 43.9 |
| Fobruary -..- | 30.7 | 40.1 | 39.3 | - 25.4 | 33.7 | 39.8 | 38. 6 | 40.3 | 40.4 | 43.8 |
| March.. | 30.7 | 40.2 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 34. 6 | 41.6 | 38.6 | 40.3 | 40.3 | 43.8 |
| Aprll. | 30.7 | 40.7 | 38.5 | 36.0 | 35.6 | 30.9 | 38.7 | 40.1 | 40.2 | 44.0 |
| May. | 30.0 | 40.8 | 38.9 | 34.1 | 36.5 | 40.2 | 38,0 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 44.1 |
| Juno. | 40.5 | 41.3 | 30.5 | 34.7 | 37.0 | 41.8 | 30.1 | 40.6 | 40.9 | 43.8 |
| July. | 40.6 | 41.1 | 30.8 | 34.6 | 36.9 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 40.9 | 41.2 | 43.8 |
| August.-. | 41.2 | 41.8 | 40.6 | 35.5 | 37.6 | 42.7 | 30,3 | 40.0 | 41.1 | 44.0 |
| Soptember 4. | 41.0 | 41.7 | 40.2 | 35.7 | 36.6 | 40.8 | 39.0 | 40.8 | 40.4 | 13.6 |
| October ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nover ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 41.3 | 42.1 | 10.3 | 30.2 | 37.1 | (1) | 30. 5 | 41.0 | (1) ${ }^{3}$ | (1) 43.0 |
| November ${ }^{\text {- }}$ - | 41.1 | 41.8 | 40.2 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (I) |

1 Not avallable.
${ }^{2}$ Averago for year not avallablo boanso now sorles was startod in Aprll 194b. I3eginning with Junt 1049 data relate to nonsujervisory employeds only.

- Not strlatly comparablo with provious data.
- Estimates based on Incompleto data.
- Data relloct work stoppages, or 3-day workwook.

Note.-Data are for production workers in manufacturing and mining, hourly-rated employees in rall. roads, and for nonsupr risory employees In other Industrics. Iata are for payroll perlods ending closest to the mlddle of the month except in rallroads where monthly data are used.
Adjustmonts have bcen mado to levels Indicatod by data of unemployment insarance agencles and the Hureats of Old-Ago and Survivors Insurance through 197, and havo been carrled forward from 1847 bench. mark lovols, thereby providing conslstent sorles.
Tho half-year data aro stralght arlthmetlo averages of the monthly figures and not strletly comparable with tho annual averages which have beon wolghted by data on man-hours.
Source: Department of Labor.

Table A-16.-Physical production index of soods and selacted services, 1929-50
[1035-39=100 1]

| Period | Production of goods |  |  |  |  |  | Production of selected serviose |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Agri-cultural cuitura duction - | Nonagricultural produotion |  |  |  | Trans-portation |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Construo tlon | Elometrio and zas utilitles |  |  |
| Welghts: ${ }^{1}$ <br> Total. <br> Nonsgricultura | 100.0 | 19.5 | 78.0 100.0 | 65.6 81.6 | 9.0 11.1 | 8.8 7.2 |  |  |
| 1929. | 110 | 97 | 113 | 110 | 157 | 88 | 117 | 110 |
| 1030. | - 95 | 98 | 95 | 91 | 132 | 87 | 104 | 106 |
| 1031 | 84 | 104 | 78 | 75 | 109 | 84 | 89 | 101 |
| 1032. | 68 | 101 | 60 | 68 | 68 | 76 | 73 | 91 |
| 1033. | 72 | 93 | 67 | 69 | 50 | 77 | 76 | 84 |
| 1934 | 74 | 79 | 73 | 75 | 50 | 81 | 83 | 86 |
| 1935 | 87 | 98 | 85 | 87 | 70 | 87 | 88 | 90 |
| 1936 | 99 | 85 | 103 | 103 | 102 | 97 | 101 | 98 |
| 1937 | 110 | 108 | 111 | 113 | 103 | 104 | 110 | 102 |
| 1838 | 03 | 106 | 90 | 89 | 103 | 100 | 95 | 102 |
| 1939. | 109 | 108 | 110 | 109 | 121 | 111 | 108 | 108 |
| 1940 | 122 | 110 | 125 | 125 | 127 | 123 | 117 | 115 |
| 1911. | 163 | 114 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 141 | 148 | 126 |
| 1912 | 184 | 128 | 197 | 109 | 168 | 188 | 188 | 135 |
| 1943 | 208 | 125 | 225 | 239 | 95 | 183 | 220 | 143 |
| 1944 | 201 | 130 | 218 | 235 | 61 | 191 | 230 | 147 |
| 1045 | 178 | 129 | 190 | 203 | 63 | 187 | 217 | 188 |
| 1946 | 161 |  |  | 170 | 115 | 188 | 198 | 182 |
| 1977 | 174 | 129 | 188 | 187 | 133 | 214 | 208 | 198 |
| 1948 | 183 | 141 | 193 | 192 | 157 | 243 | 209 | 207 |
| 199. | 174 | 140 | 182 | 176 | 168 | 247 | 100 | 212 |
| 10504. | 194 | 137 | 208 | 200 | 197 | 278 | 208 | () |
| 1040-First half. | (3) | (4) | 186 | 181 | 182 | 248 | 107 | (\%) |
| Second hall | (3) | (1) | 170 | 171 | 173 | 248 | 183 | (1) |
| 1050-First halt. | (3) | (8) | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & 218 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 189 \\ & 211 \end{aligned}$ | 104 109 | 269 283 | 187 214 | (1) |

1 All half.year data have been seasonally adjusted except the electric and gas utillties for which no satisfactory adjustment factor is available.
: Computed from the Department of Commerce national income data. The wolght factors are percentages of the national income for each industry to the total for the 5 Industrles. The agriculture weight excludes net rents pald by landiords living on farms, imputed rents, and subsidy payments. The welght for construction has been adjusted to Include force account and other construction done outside of the contract construction industry, the welghts for other Industry groups to exclude such construction. Manufactures and minerals of the industrial production index were welghted into the total indexes separately but only the total industrial production Index is shown here. Sec appendix table A-17 for the individual components of the index of industrial production.
Not avallable.

- Because of the extreme seasonal nature of agricultural crop production, only an anuual index has been somputed.
- Estimates based on Incomplete data.

Note,-A composite Index of production of goods and sarvices has not been complled berause of the inadequate data lor measuring the production of services. The only service production data used were for transportation and for communications hy telephone and telegraph. Data for measuring such seryices as wholesale snd retall crade, Inance, insurance, real estate, Qovernment, and communlcation other than tolephone and tolegraph were Inadequate for separate indexes and for an index for all services othor than transportation, telephone, and telegraph.

## Bources: Based on the following data;

Agricutiural production: Departinent of Agriculture index of farm output which measures the physical volume of larm production for human ase.

Induarial proiluction. Federal Reserve index of industrial production.
Conatruction: Dopartment of Commerce value of new construction activity defiated by their index of construction costs and converted into relatives with 1035-39 as to0.

Electric and gas utitites: Based on the lollowing series: Electric power produced by utilities as reported by the Federal Power Commission, and sales of manufactured and mixed gas to consumers as reported by the Amerlcan Gas Aseoctation. The two seriea are converted Into relatives with the average for the period $1935-30$ as 100 . The relative series are combined into an index with electrio power given a weight of 85 and gas 15, the reapective percentages of the revenues of each of the utilitles to the total revenues produced by both in tho bees period 1835-39.

Trameportation: Department of Commerce index of transportation.
Telephone and lelcoraph: Based on Department of Labor production Indexes for 1035-49 and on a series of Works Progres Administration for $1929-34$. These Indexes are for class A tolephone carriers and the princlpal wrotelegraph and ocean-cable carriors which ile annual reports with the Federal Communications Commission.

Table A-17.-Industrial production index, 1929-50
[1035-39=100, adjusted for seesonal variation]

| Perlod | Total Industrial production | Manufactures |  |  | Minerals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Durable | Nondurable |  |
| Monthly average: <br> 1929 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1030 | 91 | 00 | 88 | 84 | 03 |
| 1931...... | 75 | 34 | 67 | 79 | 80 |
|  | 58 | 87 | 41 | 70 | 67 |
| 1033............ | 69 75 | 68 74 | ${ }_{65}^{84}$ | 79 81 | 76 80 |
| 1035. | 87 | 87 | 83 | 90 | 86 |
| 1936 | 103 | 104 | 108 | 100 | 99 |
| 1837. | 113 | 113 | 122 | 106 | 112 |
| 1938. | 89 | 87 | 78 109 | ${ }^{95}$ | 87 108 |
| 1839. | 109 | 109 | 109 | 109 | 106 |
| 1940. | 125 | 128 | 130 | 115 | 117 |
| 1941. | 162 | 168 | 201 | 142 | 125 |
| 1912. | 199 | 212 | 279 | 158 | 129 |
| 1943. | 239 | 2288 | 380 | 176 | 132 |
| 1944. | 235 | 252 | 353 | 171 | 140 |
| 1945. | 203 | 214 | 274 | 168 | 137 |
| 1940 | 170 | 177 | 102 | 185 | 1134 |
| 1947. | 187 192 | 194 | 2220 | 172 <br> 177 | 149 155 |
| 1940 | 176 | 183 | 202 | 188 | 135 |
| 1950 I . | 200 | - 209 | 226 | 187 | 149 |
| 1049-First hall. | 181 | 188 | 214 | 167 | 143 |
| Becond haif. | 171 | 178 | 189 | 170 | 128 |
| 1950-First halt. | 189 | 198 | 220 | 181 | 138 |
| Second hall | 211 | 220 | 254 | 183 | 169 |
| 1949-January.. | 191 | 198 | 227 | 175 | 149 |
| February.. | 189 |  | 225 | 173 | 149 |
| - March..... | 184 | 183 | 223 | 168 | 136 |
| Aprll | 179 | - 184 | 212 | ${ }_{181}^{162}$ | 148 |
| May........ | 174 169 | 179 175 | 201 | 161 | 148 133 |
| July..... | 161 | 168 | 185 | 154 | 123 |
| August. | 170 | 178 | 193 | 165 | 129 |
| Soptember. | 174 | 184 | 199 | 172 | 119 |
| Ootober.... | 166 | 178 | 178 | 177 | 112 |
| Novernber.. | 173 179 | 179 | 181 | 177 178 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-January... | 183 | 192 | 209 | 179 | 130 |
| February... | 180 | 192 | 207 | 180 | 118 |
| March..... | 187 | 194 | 211 | 181 | 144 |
| Aprli....... | 100 | 190 | 222 | 180 | 140 |
| May.......... | 195 | 208 | 231 | 181 | 151 |
| July... | 196 | 208 | 235 | 181 | 144 |
| August..... | 209 | 218 | 247 | 195 | 189 |
| September. | 211 | 220 | 251 | 194 | 164 |
| October.... | 217 | 226 | 282 | 196 | 160 |
| November ${ }^{\text {Necember } 1 .}$ | 215 216 | 225 | 220 208 | 195 194 | 162 162 |

[^14]Table A-18.-New construction activity, 1929-50
[Value put in plece, militions of dollare]

| Period | Total new con- <br> strua tion 1 | Private construction |  |  |  | Public construction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total pri. vate | Reniden. tial building tarm) | Non-resi-dential building (non- farm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { pri- } \\ & \text { vato } \end{aligned}$ | Total public | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mul- } \\ & \text { tary } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { naval } \end{aligned}$ | Non-resi-dential build. ing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & \text { ways } \end{aligned}$ | Other pub110 ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 1929. | 10,703 | 8,307 | 3,625 | 2,694 | 1,088 | 2,488 | 19 | 659 | 1,266 | 842 |
| 1930 | 8,741 | 6, 883 | 2,076 | 2,003 | 1,805 | 2,858 | 29 | 660 | 1,516 | 653 |
| 1931 | 6,427 | 3,768 | 1, 565 | 1,099 | 1, 104 | 2,859 | 40 | 612 | 1,355 | 652 |
| 1932. | 3, 638 | 1, 676 | 630 | 502 | 544 | 1,862 | 34 | 415 | 958 | 465 |
| 1933 | 2,879 | 1,231 | 470 | 408 | 355 | 1,648 | 36 | 230 | 847 | 635 |
| 1934. | 3,720 | 1,509 | 625 | 456 | 428 | 2,211 | 47 | 363 | 1,000 | 801 |
| 1835 | 4,232 | 1,999 | 1,010 | 472 | 517 | 2,233 | 37 | 328 | 845 | 1,023 |
| 1936 | 6, 197 | 2,981 | 1,563 | 713 | 703 | 3,616 | 29 | 701 | 1,362 | 1,424 |
| 1937 | 6,099 | 3, 003 | 1,875 | 1,085 | 943 | 3,008 | 37 | 550 | 1, 228 | 1,283 |
| 1988 | 6, 980 | 3,500 |  | 788 | 806 | 3,420 | 62 | 672 | 1, 421 | 1,285 |
| 1939. | 8,188 | 4,380 | 2,080 | 786 | 923 | 3,809 | 128 | 970 | 1,381 | 1,333 |
| 1940 | 8, 682 | 5, 051 | 2, 085 | 1,025 | 1,044 | 3,628 | 385 | 615 | 1,302 | 1,328 |
| 191. | 11,957 | 6, 208 | 3, 510 | 1, 482 | 1,214 | 8, 751 | 1,620 | 1,646 | 1,068 | 1, 419 |
| 192 | 14,073 | 3,418 | 1,716 | 635 | 1, 085 | 10,600 | 6, 016 | 3, 685 | 731 | 1,225 |
| 1043 | 8,301 | 1, 079 | 885 | 233 | 1861 | 6,322 | 2, 650 | 2,010 | 446 | 1,316 |
| 1944 | 6,250 | 2,186 | 815 | 381 | 1,020 | 3,073 | 837 | 1,361 | 362 | 613 |
| 1945 | 8, 633 | 3, 235 | 1,100 | 1,020 | 1,115 | 2,398 | 600 | 037 | 398 | 373 |
| 194 | 12,000 | 9, 638 | 4,016 | 3,341 |  |  | 188 | 354 |  |  |
| 1048 | 21, 672 | 10, 665 | 8, 588 | 3, 621 | 4,461 | 4,907 | 158 | 1,301 | 1,856 | 1, 1.692 |
| 1919 | 22, 504 | 16, 204 | 8,200 | 3,228 | 4,688 | 6,300 | 137 | 2,050 | 2,120 | 2,068 |
| 1950. | 27,718 | 20,648 | 12,500 | 3,767 | 4,381 | 7,067 | 180 | 2,310 | 2,425 | 2,152 |
|  | Annual rates, seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1940-First half. | 22, 104 | 16, 912 | 7, 712 | 3, 418 | 4,782 | 6, 192 | 128 | 1,832 | 2,142 | 1,982 |
| Becond half | 23,084 | 16, 406 | 8,868 | 3,038 | 4, 580 | 6, 688 | 148 | 2,180 | 2,108 | 2,154 |
| 1050-First halt | 28, 324 | 10,680 | 11,760 | 3, 372 | 4,428 | 6,764 | 128 | 2,160 | 2, 250 | 2,222 |
| Second hall | 29, 108 | 21, 736 | 13, 240 | 4,162 | 4,334 | 7,370 | 234 | 2,460 | 2,604 | 2,082 |
| 1040-January. | 22, 092 | 16, 128 | 7,908 | 3. 600 | 4,620 | 8, 964 | 120 | 1,888 | 2,160 | 1,788 |
| February | 22, 344 | 16, 184 | 7, 824 | 3, 564 | 4,776 | 6,180 | 132 | 1, 032 | 2.220 | 1,898 |
| March | 22, 280 | 16, 104 | 7740 | 3, 480 | 4.884 | 6,166 | 120 | 1,056 | 2, 124 | 1,956 |
| April. | 21, 878 | 15,708 | 7.500 | 3, 420 | 4,788 | 6, 168 | 120 | 1,896 | 2,124 | 2,028 |
| Mung. | 21, 988 | 16, 680 | 7, 572 | 3, 312 | 4,776 | 6,338 | 120 | 1,944 | 2,184 | 2,088 |
| July. | 22, 208 | 16, 828 | 7,998 | 3, 3132 | 4,788 4,762 | 6,388 6,180 | 132 | 1,968 | 2,100 | 2,136 |
| August | 22, 236 | 15, 864 | 8, 112 | 3,048 | 4,704 | 8, 372 | 144 | 1, 092 | 2,136 | 2,100 |
| Beptember | 22,761 | 16, 098 | 8, 472 | 2,916 | 4,608 | 6. 768 | 144 | 2,376 | 2,028 | 2, 220 |
| October. | 23, 208 | 16, 800 | 9, 024 | 2,940 | 4.836 | 6,708 | 156 | 2, 412 | 1,920 | 2,220 |
| November | 23, 820 | 17, 136 | 9, 604 | 3,098 | 4, 536 | 6,684 | 160 | 2,268 | 2,076 | 2, 184 |
| December | 24,468 | 17, 652 | 10, 104 | 3,144 | 4.404 | 6,816 | 156 | 2,136 | 2,364 | 2,160 |
| 1950-Japuary. | 24,048 | 18, 216 | 10, 500 | 3, 252 | 4,464 | 6,732 | 132 | 2,112 | 2.108 | 2,292 |
| Februar | 25, 008 | 19, 208 | 11, 880 | 3,324 | 4,392 | 6,312 | 144 | 2,196 | 1,836 | 2,136 |
| March. | 28, 148 | 10, 104 | 11, 400 | 3,300 | 4,404 | 7,044 | 120 | 2,172 | 2, 656 | 2,196 |
| April. | 20,724 | 12,778 | 12.000 | 3,348 | 4, 428 | 0, 948 | 120 | 2, 136 | 2,418 | 2, 244 |
| May. | 27, 000 | 20, 220 | 12,300 | 3, 480 | 4,440 | 6,780 | 108 | 2, 172 | 2. 228 | 2,232 |
| June. | 27, 516 | 20, 748 | 12,780 | 3, 528 | 4, 440 | 6,768 | 132 | 2, 172 | 2,232 | 2,232 |
| July.. | 27, 8096 | 21.432 | 13, 320 | 3, 680 | 4. 452 | 6, 864 | 120 | 2,100 | 2,292 | 2,052 |
| August | 28, 650 | 21, 888 | 13, 880 | 3,780 | 4. 128 | 6,768 | 188 | 2, 172 | 2,400 | 2,028 |
| 8optomber | 29, 124 | 22, 032 | 13,740 | 3, 972 | 4,820 | 7,09 | 216 | 2,328 | 2,498 2 | 2,052 |
| November | 20, 448 | 22, 760 | 12,840 | 4, 282 4 | 4, 4208 | 7, 714 | 288 | 2,782 | 2,400 2,772 | 2,088 2,160 |
| December | 20, 064 | 21, 204 | 12,360 | 4,606 | 4.188 | 8,400 | 338 | 2,808 | 3,204 | ${ }_{2}^{2}, 112$ |

[^15]Table A-19.--Business expenditures for now plant and equipmont, 1929-51
[Milions of dollars]


[^16]Table A-20.-Inventories and sales in manufacturing and trade, 1939-50
[Adjusted for seasonal variation]

| Perlod | Total manufacturing and trade |  |  | Manufacturing |  |  | Wholesale trade |  |  | Retail trade |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Millions of dollars |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Millions of } \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Millions of dollars |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{J} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{8}{0} \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1939. | 20, 172 | 11,109 | 1.73 | 11, 465 | 6, 100 | 2.11 | 3,175 | 2,505 | 1.21 | b, 632 | 3, 504 | 1. 83 |
| 1940 | 22, 184 | 12, 520 | 1.68 | 12,819 | B, 852 | 2.08 | 3,325 | 2,802 | 1.16 | 6,040 | 3,888 | 1.47 |
| 1941 | 28, 772 | 16, 412 | 1.63 | 16,960 | 8, 168 | 1.78 | 4,182 | 3,620 | 1.03 | 7,630 | 4,624 | 1.46 |
| 1942 | 31, 013 | 19,240 | 1.60 | 10, 287 | 10, 425 | 1.77 | 3,858 | 4,012 | 1.02 | 7,868 | 4,803 | 1.71 |
| 1043 | 31, 143 | 22, 372 | 1.36 | 20, 098 | 12,822 | 1.61 | 3, 684 | 4,273 | . 86 | 7,361 | 5, 277 | 1.38 |
| 1944 | 30, 887 | 22, 081 | 1.30 | 19, 507 | 13, 788 | 1.45 | 3,980 | 4,681 | . 88 | 7,400 | 6,735 | 1.31 |
| 1945. | 30,571 | 24, 181 | 1.27 | 18,300 | 12, 883 | 1.48 | 4, 638 | 4,083 | . 82 | 7, 643 | 6,315 | 1. 20 |
| 1946 | 42, 389 | 27, 576 | 1.29 | 24, 488 | 12, 617 | 1.68 | 6,665 | 6, 601 | . 81 | 11, 228 | 8,358 | 1.11 |
| 1047 | 50,794 | 33, 881 | 1, 41 | 28,920 | 18, 918 | 1.71 | 8.653 | 7,784 | 1.03 | 13, 221 | 9,909 | 1.22 |
| 1948. | 56, 780 | 37, 003 | 1.46 | 32, 278 | 17,811 | 1.72 | 9, 611 | 8,355 | 1.09 | 14, 969 | 10,837 | 1.32 |
| 1949. | 61, 608 | 34, 848 | 1.58 | 28, 879 | 16,608 | 1.85 | 9,031 | 7, 509 | 1.23 | 13, 698 | 10, 682 | 1.34 |
| 1940-First half .- | 64, 402 | 35, 230 | 1.60 | 31, 218 | 16,859 | 1.91 | 9,002 | 7,662 | 1.22 | 14, 182 | 10, 716 | 1.35 |
| Second half. | 51, 008 | 34, 53.5 | 1. 63 | 28, 878 | 16,527 | 1.79 | 0,031 | 7,360 | 1.24 | 13, 698 | 10, 648 | 1.33 |
| 1950-First half | 64, 241 | 36,724 | 1.43 | 30,028 | 17,874 | 1.64 | 9,493 | 7,652 | 1.20 | 14,720 | 11, 193 | 1.26 |
| 1940-Januar | 66, 758 | 35, 120 | 1.62 | 32, 235 | 16,786 | 1.93 | 9,464 | 7,723 | 1.23 | 14, 659 | 10,611 | 1.40 |
| Februar | 56, 604 | 35, 418 | 1,60 | 32, 646 | 17, 032 | 1.82 | 0, 478 | 7,680 | 1.23 | 14, 470 | 10, 706 | 1.36 |
| March | 86, 438 | 35, 973 | 1. 57 | 32, 445 | 17, 359 | 1.87 | 0,293 | 7,890 | 1.19 | 14,700 | 10, 724 | 1,36 |
| Aprll | 85, 052 | 34, 84B | 1.61 | 32, 164 | 16, 009 | 1.94 | 9,330 | 7, 422 | 1.25 | 14, 458 | 10, 814 | 1.35 |
| May. | 85,025 | 34, 834 | 1. 69 | 31.733 | 16, 538 | 1. 83 | 9, 153 | 7, 539 | 1.23 | 14, 138 | 10, 759 | 1.33 |
| June.. | 64, 402 | 35, 233 | 1, 65 | 31, 218 | 16,831 | 1.87 | 9, 002 | 7,718 | 1.18 | 14, 182 | 10, 681 | 1.33 |
| July. | 63, 361 | 33,750 | 1.60 | 30, 408 | 16, 044 | 1. 82 | 0,001 | 7,167 | 1.29 | 13, 862 | 10, 849 | 1.33 |
| Augusi | 82, 736 | 35, 011 | 1.48 | 29.743 | 17,720 | 1.70 | 9,081 | 7.622 | 1.21 | 13, 032 | 10, 688 | 1.30 |
| September | 62, 861 | 35, 905 | 1. 47 | 29,320 | 17, 621 | 1.68 | 9, 188 | 7,518 | 1.21 | 14, 355 | 10, 856 | 1.30 |
| October- | 52, 639 | 33, 693 | 1.57 | 28, 827 | 15, 708 | 1.84 | 0, 137 | 7,120 | 1. 29 | 14, 475 | 10, 678 | 1.35 |
| November.- | 82, 114 | 34, 404 | 1.62 | 28, 635 | 16, 221 | 1.78 | 0, 113 | 7,663 | 1.21 | 14,336 | 10, 630 | 1.36 |
| December..- | 81, 608 | 33, 650 | 1. 55 | 28, 879 | 15,756 | 1.83 | 9,031 | 7, 291 | 1.24 | 13, 698 | 10, 503 | 1.33 |
| 1950-January | 52, 024 | 34, 244 | 1.51 | 29,035 | 16, 210 | 1.79 | 8,091 | 7,173 | 1.26 | 13,998 | 10,855 | 1.28 |
| February | 51, 825 | 35, 308 | 1.47 | 28, 990 | 16, 877 | 1.72 | 9,035 | 7,327 | 1.23 | 13,800 | 11, 101 | 1.25 |
| March | 62, 484 | 38, 699 | 1.43 | 29, 073 | 17, 797 | 1,83 | 9,129 | 7,677 | 1.18 | 14, 282 | 11, 125 | 1.26 |
| April. | 52, 900 | 35, 645 | 1.48 | 29,384 | 17, 200 | 1.70 | 9,384 | 7,359 | 1. 28 | 14, 138 | 11, 080 | 1.28 |
| May. | 63,553 | 38, 652 | 1,38 | 29, 689 | 19, 309 | 1,53 | 9,478 | 8, 016 | 1.18 | 14, 416 | 11, 327 | 1.28 |
| June. | 64, 241 | 39,898 | 1.35 | 30, 028 | 19, 838 | 1. 50 | 0, 493 | 8,350 | 1,13 | 14,720 | 11, 699 | 1. 25 |
| July | 83, 243 | 41, 882 | 1.28 | 20,830 | 20, 209 | 1.48 | 9,238 | 9,013 | 1.04 | 14, 125 | 12,700 | 1.14 |
| August | 64, 488 | 45,278 | 1.19 | 29, 858 | 22, 056 | 1.30 | 9, 802 | 9,637 | . 98 | 15, 076 | 12, 682 | 1.15 |
| Septembert. | 86, 401 | 42, 142 | 1.32 | 30, 732 | 21, 154 | 1.43 | 9,870 | 8, 855 | 1.10 | 18, 793 | 12, 133 | 1.27 |
| October ${ }^{\text {6 }}$... | 68, 405 | 41,828 | 1.37 | 31, 784 | 21, 229 | 1.47 | 10, 141 | 8,840 | 1.13 | 16, 640 | 11,759 | 1.37 |
| November ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 50, 775 | 41, 830 | 1.42 | 32,700 | 21, 200 | 1,52 | 10,419 | 8,840 | 1.15 | 16, 050 | 11,390 | 1.46 |

TBook value, end of pertod.
1Monthly average shown for year and half year and total for month.

- Average inventorles based on centered averages of end-of-pariod figures.
- Estlmates based on Incomplete data.

NOTE.-The Inventory figures in this table do not agree with the estimates of "change in businesa inven. torlea" included in the gross national produot since they cover only manufacturing and trade rather than all buslness, and show inventorles in terms of current book value without adjustment for revaluation.
Source: Department of Commerce.

Table A-21. Manufacturers' inventories by stage of fabrication and as ratios to sales, 1946-50
[Not adjusted for seasonal vartation]

| Perlod | Total manufacturing |  | Durable goods industries |  |  |  | Nondurable goods industries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Book value of inventories at end of period (billions of dollars) |  | Book: value of inventories at end of period (billions of dollars) |  | Ratio of average inventories to monthly sales ! |  | Book value of inventories at end of period (billions of dollars) |  | Ratio of average Inventorles to monthly sales : |  |
|  | Materials and goods in process | Finished goods | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Mato- } \\ \text { rials } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { goods } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { process } \end{array}\right\|$ | Fin. ished goods | Mate rlals and goods in process | Fin: ished goods | Materials and goods in process | Finishod goods | Materials and goods In process | Finished goods |
| 1046 | 17.4 | 7.2 | 8.8 | 2.7 | 1.58 | 0.50 | 8.6 | 4.6 | 0.94 | 0.47 |
| 1947 | 19.8 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 3.7 | 1.81 | . 52 | 9.7 | 5.6 | . 95 | . 64 |
| 1948 | 20.8 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 4.6 | 1.41 | . 66 | 9.9 | 7.1 | . 94 | . 60 |
| 1949 | 17.6 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 1.44 | . 68 | 8.7 | 7.0 | . 03 | . 73 |
| 1910-FIrst halt .... | 18.7 | 12.3 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 1.51 | . 69 | 8.7 | 7.2 | . 89 | . 75 |
| Second hall... | 17.7 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.37 | . 67 | 8.7 | 7.0 | . 87 | . 71 |
| 1950-First hall..... | 18.0 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 4.8 | 1.17 | . 60 | 8.7 | 7.2 | . 89 | . 70 |
| 1040-January...... | 20.9 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 1.08 | . 67 | 9.9 | 7.2 | 1.03 | 74 |
| February.... | 20.8 | 12.1 | 11.2 | 4.9 | 1.59 | . 69 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 1.04 | . 76 |
| March....... | 20.4 | 12.2 | 11.0 | ${ }^{5} .0$ | 1.42 | . 61 | 9.4 | 7.2 | . 03 | . 76 |
| May... | 19.4 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 6. 1 | 1.56 | .76 | 9.0 | 7.1 | .88 | . 77 |
| June... | 18.7 | 12.3 | 10.0 | 6. 1 | 1.43 | . 71 | 8.7 | 7.2 | . 85 | . 77 |
| July.... | 18.6 | 11.9 | 9,8 | 4.9 | 1.61 | .81 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 1.00 | . 82 |
| August....... | 18.1 | 11.6 | 9.6 | 4.6 | 1.32 | . 65 | 8. 6 | 7.0 | . 84 | . 68 |
| September... | 17.8 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 4.4 | 1,25 | . 61 | 8.5 | 6.9 | . 81 | . 66 |
| October-..... | 17.5 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 4.3 | 1.30 | . 68 | 8.6 | 8, $\theta$ | . 82 | . 66 |
| November... | 17.4 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 4.3 | 1.37 | . 86 | 8.6 | 6.8 | . 87 | . 70 |
| December.. | 17.6 | 11.3 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 1.33 | . 84 | 8.7 | 7.0 | . 92 | . 74 |
| 1050-January...... | 17.8 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 4.5 | 1.34 | . 68 | 8.9 | 7.0 | . 94 | . 75 |
| February .... | 17.8 | 11.4 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 1.32 | . 68 | 8.8 | 6.9 | . 94 | . 73 |
| March... | 17.8 | 11.4 | 9.1 | 4.6 | 1.11 | . 57 | 8.8 | 6.8 | . 84 | . 65 |
| April......... | 17.9 | 11.4 | 9.1 | 4.6 | 1,20 | . 01 | 8.8 | 6.8 | . 92 | . 71 |
| May......... | 18.0 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 1.10 | . 65 | 8.7 | 6.9 | . 85 | . 67 |
| June.......... | 18.0 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 1.03 | . 51 | 8.7 | 7.2 | . 83 | . 68 |
| July .-......... | 18.5 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 4.4 | 1.18 | . 66 | 9.0 | 6.9 | . 82 | . 68 |
| August....... | 18.0 19.7 | 10.7 <br> 10.8 <br> 1 | $\begin{array}{r}9.7 \\ 10.0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.1 | 1.87 1.03 | . 43 | 9.3 | 6. 6 | . 71 | . 63 |
| September ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - October | 18,7 20.7 | 10.8 10.8 | 10.0 10.3 | 4.0 | 1.03 .90 | .42 .30 | 9.7 10.4 | 6. 6 6.8 | . 78 | . 68 |

1 Average inventories based on contered averages of end-ol-period flgures.
3 Estimates based on incomplete data.
Notr.--Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce.

Table A-22.-Sales, stocks, and outstanding orders at 206 dopartment stores, 1939-50

| Period | Mmions of dollars 1 |  |  | Ratio of stocks to sales | Ratio of orders to males | Ratlo of orders to stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | gales (total for month) | 8tocks (end of month) | Outstanding orders (end of month) |  |  |  |
| Monthly average: 1939 | 128 | 344 | (1) | 2.60 | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |
| 1040. | 136 | 363 | 108 | 2.60 | 0.79 | 0.31 |
| 1941 | 156 | 419 | 104 | 2.69 | 1.24 | . 46 |
| 1942 | 179 | 699 | 283 | 3.35 | 1.47 | . 44 |
| 1943 | 204 | 809 | 530 | 2. 50 | 2.60 | 1.04 |
| 1944. | 227 | 535 | 560 | 2.36 | 2.47 | 1.05 |
| 1945. | 255 | 563 | 729 | 2.21 | 2.86 | 1.20 |
| 1946. | 318 | 715 | 909 | 2.25 | 2.86 | 1.27 |
| 1947 | 337 | 826 | 652 | 2.45 | 1.64 | . 67 |
| 1048 | 352 | 912 | 465 | 2.60 | 1.32 | . 61 |
| 1949. | 333 | 801 | 360 | 2.60 | 1.05 | . 41 |
| $1950{ }^{1}$ | 323 | 944 | 471 | 2.92 | 1.40 | . 60 |
| 1910-First half. | 304 | 863 | 302 | 2.84 | . 99 | . 35 |
| Becond hall | 362 | 860 | 308 | 2.38 | 1.10 | . 46 |
| 1050-First half. | 298 | 871 | 333 | 2.92 | 1.12 | . 38 |
| 8econd hali | 352 | 1,031 | 637 | 2.93 | 1.81 | . 62 |
| 1940-January. | 287 | 797 | 389 | 2.98 | 1.46 | . 49 |
| February. | 258 | 858 | 381 | 3.34 | 1.49 | . 44 |
| Maruh.. | 321 | 924 | 313 |  |  |  |
| April. | 318 | 914 | 239 | 2.83 | . 69 | . 28 |
| May... | 322 | 880 | 207 | 2.73 | . 64 | . 24 |
| June. | 313 | 807 | 283 | 2.58 | . 90 | . 35 |
| July.-. | 234 | 769 | 390 | 3.24 | 1.67 | . 51 |
| August.-. | 2383 | 803 864 | 413 | 2.84 2.69 | 1.46 <br> 1.48 <br> 1 | . 51 |
| Oeptember | 334 344 | 884 954 | 494 | 2. 69 | 1.48 1.29 | . 87 |
| November | 397 | 990 | 350 | 2. 49 | . 88 | .35 |
| December. | 883 | 788 | 298 | 1.35 | . 51 | . 38 |
| 1950-January. | 256 | 787 | 390 | 3.07 |  |  |
| F'ebruary | 247 | 854 | 393 | 3. 46 | 1.69 | . 46 |
| March.. | 320 | 920 | 326 | 2.88 | 1.02 | . 35 |
| April. | 319 | 928 | 271 | 2.90 | . 85 | . 29 |
| May.. | 330 | 006 | 248 | 2.76 | . 75 | . 27 |
| June. | 317 | 833 | 369 | 2.63 | 1.16 | . 44 |
| July.. | 292 | 789 | 603 | 2.70 | 2.37 | . 8 |
| August. | 331 | 918 | 758 | 2.77 | 2.28 | . 88 |
| Oeptember.. |  | 1,029 1,207 | 702 | 2.78 3.34 | 1.90 | . 68 |
| Ontober ${ }^{\text {November }}$ | 381 408 | 1,207 1,214 | 693 144 | 3.34 2.09 | 1.6 1.09 | . 37 |

[^17]Source: Board of Covernors of the Federal Reserve Bystam.

Table A-23.-Consumets' price index, 1929-50
For moderato-income familiee in large edtice
$[1035-39-100]$

| Pariod | All | Frod | Apparel | Rent | Fual, electricity. and re-ifigeration | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eouso- } \\ \text { fur- } \\ \text { nleh. } \\ \text { Ings } \end{gathered}$ | M1:001. <br> laneous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1829. | 122.8 | 132.5 | 115.3 | 141.4 | 112.8 | 111.7 | 104.6 |
| 1830. | 119.4 | 126.0 | 112.7 | 137.5 | 111.4 | 108.9 | 105. 1 |
| 1031 | 108.7 | 103.9 | 102.6 | 130.3 | 108.9 | 98.0 | 104.1 |
| 1832 | 97.6 | 86.5 | 90.8 | 116.9 | 103.4 | 85.4 | 101.7 |
| 1933 | 92.4 | 84.1 | 87.9 | 100.7 | 167.0 | 84.2 | 98.4 |
| 1834. | 05.7 | 03.7 | 98.1 | 94.4 | 101.4 | 92.8 | 97.9 |
| 1935 | 98.1 | 100.4 | 88.8 | 94.2 | 100.7 | 94.8 | 08.1 |
| 1936 | 99,1 | 101.3 | 97.8 | 06.4 | 100. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 06.3 | 88.7 |
| 1937 | 102.7 | 105.3 | 102.8 | 100.9 | 100.2 | 104.3 | 101.0 |
| 1838. | 100.8 | 97.8 | 102.2 | 104. 1 | 99.9 | 103.3 | 101.6 |
| 1839. | 99.4 | 05.2 | 100.6 | 104.3 | 99.0 | 101.3 | 100.7 |
| 1940 | 100.2 | 98.6 | 101.7 | 104.6 | 99.7 | 100.5 | 101.1 |
| 1941 | 105. 2 | 105.8 | 108.3 | 106. 2 | 102.2 | 107.3 | 104.0 |
| 1942 | 116.5 | 123.8 | 124.2 | 108.5 | 105.4 | 122.2 | 110.9 |
| 1943 | 123.6 | 138.0 | 129.7 | 108.0 | 107.7 | 125.6 | 115.8 |
| 1944. | 125.5 | 138.1 | 138.8 | 108. 2 | 109.8 | 136.4 | 121.3 |
| 1945. | 128.4 | 139.1 | 145.9 | 108.3 | 110.3 | 146.8 | 124.1 |
| 1946 | 138.3 | 159.6 | 160.2 | 108.6 | 112.4 | 159.2 | 128.8 |
| 1047 | 159.2 | 193.8 | 185.8 | 111.2 | 121.1 | 184.4 | 139.9 |
| 1848 | 171. 2 | 210.2 | 108.0 | 117.4 | 133.9 | 105.8 | 149.9 |
| 1949 | 169.1 | 201.9 | 190.1 | 120.8 | 137.8 | 189.0 | 164.6 |
| 19501. | 170.6 | 204.2 | 187.2 | 123.9 | 140.8 | 189.6 | 186.7 |
| 1940-First half | 169.6 | 202.6 | 103.3 | 120. 2 | 137.4 | 192.4 | 164.8 |
| Second half | 168. 6 | 201. 2 | 187.0 | 121.4 | 137.6 | 185.8 | 185.0 |
| 1250-First half. | 167.8 | :98. 0 | 185.0 | 123.1 | 140.0 | 185.3 | 165.1 |
| Becond hall 1 | 173.9 | 210, 4 | 189.9 | 124.8 | 141.8 | 104.6 | 158,6 |
| 1040-January 15 | 170.8 | 204.8 | 198.5 | 119.7 | 138. 2 | 198.8 | 184. 1 |
| February 16. | 169.0 | 190.7 | 195. 1 | 119.9 | 138.8 | 195.6 | 164. 1 |
| March 15. | 169.5 | 201. 6 | 193.9 | 120.1 | 138.9 | 193.8 | 184. 4 |
| April 15. | 160.7 | 202, 8 | 192.8 | 120, 3 | 137. 1 | 191.9 | 164.6 |
| May 15. | 169.2 | 202.4 | 191. 3 | 120.4 | 135.4 | 180.5 | 154.8 |
| June 16. | 169. 6 | 204.3 | 190.3 | 120.6 | 135.6 | 187.3 | 164.2 |
| July 16 | 168. 5 | 201.7 | 188.6 | 120.7 | 135.6 | 180, 8 | 154.3 |
| August 15. | 168.8 | 202.6 | 187.4 | 120.8 | 135.8 | 184.8 | 164.8 |
| geptomber 16 | 169. 6 | 204. 2 | 187. 2 | 121. 2 | 137.0 | 185.0 | 165.2 |
| October 16. | 168, 6 | 200.6 | 186.8 | 121.8 | 138.4 | 188. 2 | 185, 2 |
| November 15 | 188.6 | 200.8 | 188.3 | 122.0 | 139.1 | 185, 4 | 164.9 |
| December 15 | 167.6 | 197.3 | 185.8 | 122.2 | 139.7 | 185.4 | 165. 5 |
| 1950-January 15. | 166.9 | 106.0 | 185. 0 | 122. 6 | 140.0 | 184. 7 | 165. 1 |
| February 15. | 160. 6 | 194.8 | 184.8 | 122.8 | 140.3 | 185. 3 | 185. 1 |
| March 16. | 167.0 | 190.0 | 185.0 | 122.0 | 140.9 | 188. 4 | 156.0 |
| April 15. | 167.3 | 190.6 | 185. 1 | 123. 1 | 141.4 | 185.6 | 184.8 |
| May 15. | 168.8 | 200.3 | 185, 1 | 123.8 | 138,8 | 185.1 | 185.3 |
| June 15. | 170, 2 | 204.6 | 185.0 | 123.9 | 138.9 | 185. 2 | 185.3 |
| July 16. | 172.6 | 210.0 | 184.7 | 121.4 | 139.6 | 188. 4 | 188.2 |
| August 15. | 173.0 | 200.0 | 185.9 | 124.8 | 140.9 | 189.3 | 188, 1 |
| Septomber 15 | 173.8 | 208. 5 | 190.5 | 124,8 | 141.8 | 106. 4 | 168,8 |
| October 18. | 174.8 | 209.0 | 103.4 | 124.0 | 143, 1 | 199.8 | 180.5 |
| November 15 | 175, 6 | 209.6 | 188.0 | 125.4 | 143.7 | 2028 | 160.8 |
| December 15. | (i) | 216.8 | (3) | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) |

1 Estimates based on data avallable through November 15, except for food.

- Not avallable.

Source: Department of Labor.
[1028=100]

| Period |  |  |  | Other than farm products and foods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苋 } \\ & \text { 年 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly average: 1929. | 95.3 | 104. 8 | 98.9 | 91.6 | 109.1 | 00.1 | 83.0 | 100.6 | 95, 4 | 94.0 | 04. | 82.6 |
| 1930 | 86.4 | 88.3 | 90.6 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 80.3 | 78.6 | 92. 1 | 89.8 | 88.7 | 92. |  |
| 1931. | 73.0 | 64.8 | 74.8 | 75.0 | 86.1 | 66.3 | 67.6 | 84.6 | 79.2 | 72.3 | 84.9 | 69.8 |
| 1932 | 64.8 | 48.2 | 61.0 | 70.2 | 72.8 | 64.0 | 70.3 | 80.2 | 71.4 | 73.4 | 76.1 | 64.4 |
| 1933. | 05. 9 | 51.4 | 60.5 | 71.2 | 80.9 | 64.8 | ${ }^{66.3}$ | 70.8 | 77.0 | 72.1 | 76.8 | 62.5 |
| 1934 | 74.8 | 65.3 | 70.6 | 78.4 | 86.6 | 72:9 | 73.3 | 86.8 | 86.2 | 75.3 | 81.6 | 69.7 |
| 1935. | 80.0 | 78.8 | 83.7 | 77.9 | 80.6 | 70.0 | 73.6 | 86.4 | 85.3 | 79.0 | 80.6 | 68.3 |
| 1938 | 80.8 | 80.9 | 82.1 | 79.6 | 95.4 | 71.6 | 76.2 | 87.0 | 86.7 | 78.7 | 81.7 | 70.6 |
| 1937. | 86.3 | 86. 4 | 85.6 | 85.3 | 104.6 | 76.3 | 77.6 | 95. 7 | 95. 2 | 82.6 | 89.7 | 77.8 |
| 1938 | 78.6 | ${ }^{68} 5$ | 73.6 | 81.7 | 92.8 | ${ }^{68.7} 7$ | 76.5 | 95. 3 | 90.3 | 77.0 | 86.8 | 73.3 |
| 1939. | 77.1 | 65.3 | 70.4 | 81.3 | 95.6 | 69.7 | 73.1 | 94. 4 | 90.6 | 76.0 | 86.3 | 74.8 |
| 1910 | 78.6 | 67.7 | 71.3 | 83.0 | 100.8 | 73.8 | 71, 7 | 95.8 | 94.8 | 77.0 | 88.6 | 77.3 |
| 1941 | 87.3 | 82.4 | 82.7 | 80.0 | 108.3 | 84.8 | 76. 2 | 99.4 | 103. 2 | 84. 4 | 04.3 | 82.0 |
| 1942 | ${ }_{1038}^{88}$ | 105. 8 | ${ }^{09.6}$ | ${ }^{95.5}$ | 117.7 | 96.9 | 78.6 | 103.8 | 110.2 | 95.5 | 102.4 | 89.7 |
| 1943 | 103.1 | 122.6 | 1006. 6 | 96. 9 | 117.6 | 87.4 | 80.8 | 103.8 | 111.4 | ${ }^{94.9}$ | 102. 7 | 92.2 |
| 1944 | 104. 0 | 123.3 | 104.9 | 98, 5 | 116. 7 | 98.4 | 83.0 | 103.8 | 116.6 | 95. 2 | 104.3 | 93.6 |
| 1945 | 105. 8 | 128.2 | 108.2 | 99.7 | 118.1 | 100.1 | 84.0 | 104.7 | 117.8 | 95, 2 | 104.8 | 94.7 |
| 1946 | 121. 1 | 148.9 | 130.7 | 109.6 | 137.2 | 118.3 | 90.1 | 115. 5 | 132.6 | 101. 4 | 111.6 | 100, 3 |
| 1947 | 152.1 | 181, 2 | 168.7 | 135.2 | 182.4 | 141.7 | 108.7 | 145.0 | 179.7 |  | 131.1 | 115.5 |
| 1948 | 165.1 | 188.3 | 179.1 | 151.0 | 188.8 | 149.8 | 134.2 | ${ }^{163 .} 6$ | 199.1 | 135. 7 | 144. 8 | 120. 5 |
| 104 | 165.0 | 185.6 | 161.4 | 147.3 | 180.1 | 140.4 | 131.7 | 170.2 | 103.4 | 118.6 | 145.3 | 112, 3 |
| 19501 | 161.4 | 170.6 | 161.6 | 162.3 | 191.8 | 147.7 | 133.3 | 173.5 | 205.9 | 122.6 | 152.8 | 121.1 |
| 1940-First half | 157.5 | 170.8 | 183.2 | 149.6 | 180.9 | 142.8 | 133.3 | 172.6 | 197.6 | 120.4 | 147.3 | 114.7 |
| Second hali | 152.6 | 100.6 | 159.7 | 145.1 | 180.0 | 138.2 | 130.1 | 167.8 | 189.3 | 117.0 | 143.2 | 109.8 |
| 1050-First hall | 163.8 | 100.5 | 157. 4 | 146.8 | 180.2 | 137.2 | 131, 7 | 169.3 | 105. 6 | 115.9 | 145.8 | 112.1 |
| Becond hall | 169.0 | 180.5 | 178, 0 | 159.5 | 203.6 | 168.2 | 134.9 | 177. 7 | 216. 2 | 129.3 | 160.0 | 130.0 |
| 1042-January | 160.7 | 173.0 | 165.8 | 152.8 | 184.8 | 146. 1 | 137.0 | 17b. 4 | 202.3 | 126.3 | 148.2 | 117.3 |
| Februe | 168.4 | 188.9 | 161.6 | 152.1 | 182.3 | 145. 2 | 136.2 | 176.3 | 201.5 | 122, 8 | 148. 6 | 115.3 |
| March | 158.6 | 171.8 | 162. 9 | 151.0 | 180.4 | 143.8 | 134.4 | 178. 4 | 200.0 | 121.1 | 148. 2 | 116.7 |
| April | 157.1 155.8 | 170.8 171.5 | 162.8 | 149.0 | 179.9 179 | 142, 1 | 131, ${ }^{130}$ | 172.4 168.8 | 196.5 183.8 | 117.7 118.1 | 147.1 146 | 115.6 |
| May | ${ }_{155}^{155.8}$ | 171.5 168.8 | 163.8 162.4 | 148.9 145.8 | 179.2 178.8 | 140.4 139.1 | 130.1 130.0 | 168.9 167.1 | 103.9 191.4 | 118.1 116.7 | 146.3 145.3 | 113.5 111.0 |
| July | 153.6 | 168.2 | 161.3 | 145.1 | 177.8 | 138.0 | 130.1 | 187, 8 | 189.0 | 118.0 | 143.0 | 110.3 |
| August | 162.8 | 102.3 | 100.6 | 146.0 | 178. 9 | 138. 1 | 129.6 | 168.2 | 188.3 | 119.6 | 142.9 | 109.8 |
| Septemb | 163.6 | 103.1 | 162.0 | 145.3 | 181.1 | 139.0 | 129.9 | 168.2 | 188.4 | 117.6 | 142.9 | 109. 6 |
| October | 152.2 | 159.6 | 169.6 | 145.0 | 181.3 | 1388 | 130.6 | 167.3 | 189.3 | 115.9 | 143.0 | 109.0 |
| Novermb | 151.6 151.2 | 156.8 154.9 | 165, ${ }^{168}$ | 145.0 | 180.8 179.9 | ${ }^{1388} 8$ | 130.2 130.4 | 167.3 167.8 | 189.6 190.4 | 115.8 | 143.4 | 100.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110.7 |
| 1050-January | 151.6 | 154.7 | 154.8 | F145, 8 | 179,3 | 138.8 | 131.4 | 168.4 | 191.6 | 116.7 | 14.9 | 110.0 |
| Februar | 152.7 | 189.1 | 156.7 | 146.8 | 179.0 | 138. 2 | 131.3 | 168.6 | 1928 | 118. 2 | 145.2 | 110.0 |
| March | 152.7 | 159.4 | 185.6 | 148.1 | 179.6 | 137.3 | 131.5 | 168.8 | 194.2 | 116.3 | 145.6 | 110.7 |
| April | 152.9 | 160.3 | 155.3 | 146.4 | 179, 4 | 136. 4 | 131,2 | 188.7 | 194.8 | 117.1 | 145.8 | 112.6 |
| May. | 185.9 157 | 164.7 | 189.8 | 147.6 | 181.0 | 136. 1 | 1321 | 169.7 | ${ }_{108}^{108} 1$ | 111.4 | 1468 | 111.7 |
| June. | 187.3 162.9 | 165.9 176.0 | 162.1 171.4 | 148.8 151.8 18 | 182.6 187.2 | 136.8 <br> 142 | 132.7 133,4 | 171.8 1724 | 202.1 207.3 | 114.6 118. 1 | 148.9 148.7 | 114.7 119.0 |
| Augu | 168.4 | 177.6 | 174.0 | 155.8 | 108.6 | 149.8 | 134.4 | 174.3 | 213.0 | 122.8 | 138.9 | 124.3 |
| Septem | 169.8 | 180. 4 | 177.2 | 150.2 | 2029 | 188.3 | 135.1 | 178, 7 | 219.6 | 128.6 | 160.2 | 127.4 |
| October | 169.1 | 177.8 | 172.5 | 161.5 | 208.8 | 163.1 | 135.4 | 178.6 | 218.8 | 132.2 | 163.8 | 131.3 |
| November | 171.6 | 188.7 | 178. 2 | 183.8 | 211.6 | 168.0 | 135.6 | 180.3 | 217.2 | 138.8 | 186.8 | 137. 6 |
| December | 174.5 | 187.3 | 178.9 | 165.9 | 218.8 | 160.7 | 136.6 | 184.1 | 220.0 | 139.1 | 107.9 | 140.5 |

1 Eatimates based on Incomplete data.
Source: Department of Labor.

Table A-25.-Indexes of prices recrived and prices paid by farmers, and parity ratio, 1929-50
[1010-14=100]

| Period | Prices recelved | Prices paid (includinginterest, taies, and wage rates) | Parlty ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly average: 1929. | 148 | 160 | 92 |
| 1930.... | 125 | 161 | 83 |
| 1931.. | 87 | 130 | 67 |
| 1832... | 65 | 112 | 58 |
| 1833 | 70 | 109 | 64 |
| 1034.-........ | 90 | 120 | 75 |
| 1935. | 109 | 124 | 88 |
| 1936. | 114 | 124 | 92 |
| 1037.- | 122 | 131 | 93 |
| 1938. | 97 | 124 | 78 |
| 1939... | 95 | 122 | 77 |
| 1940 | 100 | 124 | 81 |
| 1941 | 123 | 132 | 93 |
| 1942. | 158 | 161 | 104 |
| 1943 | 2192 | 170 | 113 |
| 1944. | 2108 | 182 | 108 |
| 1945. | ${ }^{2} 200$ | 189 | 109 |
| 1946. | 1234 | 207 | 113 |
| 1947. | 275 | 239 | 115 |
| 1948 | 285 | 259 | 110 |
| 1949. | 249 | 250 | 100 |
| 1950. | 258 | 256 | 100 |
| 1940-First half. | 256 | 254 | 101 |
| Second half | 242 | 248 | 88 |
| 1050-First half. | 241 | 251 | 96 |
| 8esond half.... | 272 | 260 | 105 |
| 1940-January 15.. | 285 | 255 | 104 |
| February 16 | 255 | 252 | 101 |
| March 15.... | 258 | 255 | 101 |
| April 15..... | 256 | 254 | 101 |
| May 16...... | 253 | 253 | 100 |
| June 15...... | 249 | 252 | 99 |
| July 16..... | 246 | 250 | 98 |
| August 15. | 244 | 249 | 88 |
| 8eptember 15. | 247 | 248 | 100 |
| October 15.1. | 242 | 246 | 98 |
| November 16. | 237 | 246 | 96 |
| December 16..... | 233 | 246 | 95 |
| 1950-January 15. | 235 | 249 |  |
| February 15... | 237 | 248 | 96 |
| March 16.... | 237 | 250 | ${ }^{98}$ |
| April 15..... | 241 | 251 | 98 |
| May 15... | 247 | 254 | 97 |
| June 16... | 247 | 255 | 97 |
| July 15... | 203 | 256 | 103 |
| August 15...... | 287 | 258 | 103 |
| September 15. | 272 | 280 | 105 |
| Ootober 15... | 228 | 281 | 103 |
| November 18. | 276 | 283 | 105 |
| December 15... | 286 | 285 | 108 |

1 Ratio of prices recoived to prices pald (Including interest, tares, and wage rates).

- Includes subsldy payments betweon October 1043 and June 1046.

Source: Department of Agrioulture.
[Milions of dollars]

| End of period | Total consumer credlt | Instalment credit |  |  | Oharge accounts | Other consumer credit ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Automobile sole credit | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| 1829. | 6,252 | 3,158 | 1,318 | 1,840 | 1,749 | 1,345 |
| $1030 .$. | B, 570 | 2,688 | 028 | 1,760 | 1,611 | 1,271 |
|  | 4,638 | 2, 204 | 637 | 1, 667 | 1,381 | 1,051 |
| 1032 | 3,403 | 1,518 | 322 | 1, 198 | 1,114 | 801 |
| 1033 | 3,439 | 1,888 | 450 | 1,129 | 1,081 | 770 |
| 1034. | 3,846 | 1,860 | 578 | 1,284 | 1,203 | 783 |
| 1935. | 4,773 | 2,622 | 940 | 1,682 | 1,292 | 859 |
| 1938. | 6, 933 | 3, 518 | 1,289 | 2,229 | 1,419 | 998 |
| 1837. | 6, 613 | 3,960 | 1,384 | 2,576 | 1,459 | 1,094 |
| 1938 | 6,128 | 3,595 | 870 | 2,625 | 1,487 | 1,046 |
| 1039. | 7,031 | 4,424 | 1,267 | 3,157 | 1,544 | 1,063 |
| 1940 | 8,163 | 8, 417 | 1,729 | 3,688 | 1,650 | 1,096 |
| 1911 | 8,826 | 反, 897 | 1,942 | 3,945 | 1,764 | 1,175 |
| 1942 | 8,692 | 3,048 | 482 | 2, 566 | 1, 513 | 1,131 |
| 193 | 4,600 | 2,001 | 176 | 1,828 | 1,488 | 1, 101 |
| 194. | 4,970 | 2,061 | 200 | 1,861 | 1,768 | 1,157 |
| 1948. | 8,627 | 2,364 | 227 | 2,137 | 1,081 | 1,282 |
| 1946 | 8,677 | 4,000 | 644 | 3, 158 | 3, 054 | 1,623 |
| 1947. | 11, 862 | 6,434 | 1,151 | 8, 283 | 3, 812 | 1,816 |
| 1948. | 14,366 16,809 | 8,600 10,890 | 1,981 | 6,639 7,746 | 3,854 3,909 | 1,012 2,010 |
| 1050 '. | 20,000 | 13,600 | 4,200 | 9,300 | 4,100 | 2, 400 |
| 1019-January. | 13,796 | 8, 424 | 1,085 | 6,459 | 3,457 | 1,015 |
| February | 13,409 | 8,339 | 1,998 | 6, 343 | 3,168 | 1,001 |
| March... | 13,400 | 8,429 | 2, 105 | 6, 324 | 3,121 | 1,910 |
| April. | 13, 764 | 8,630 | 2,241 | 6, 389 | 3, 232 | 1,902 |
| May. | 14,037 | 8, 888 | 2,388 | 6, 502 | 3,235 | 1,914 |
| June. | 14,313 | 9, 123 | 2.490 | 6, 624 | 3,274 | 1,916 |
| July... | 14,379 | 8, 335 | 2, 810 | 6, 725 | 3,123 | 1,021 |
| August.- | 14, 611 | 9, 022 | 2,761 | 6,881 | 3, 064 | 1,025 |
| September | 14,957 15,338 | 9,889 10,168 | 2, 8786 | 7,023 7,180 | 3,123 3,197 3 | 1, 11.973 |
| October-.. | 15,336 <br> 16,884 <br> 1 | 10,168 10,441 | 2,088 3,085 3,181 | 7,180 7,356 | 3,197 3,454 3, | 1,973 1,989 |
| December. | 16,809 | 10, 890 | 3, 144 | 7,746 | 3,809 | 2,010 |
| 1050-January | 16,368 | 10,836 | 3,170 | 7,657 | 3,506 | 2,026 |
| February | 16,159 | 10, 884 | 3, 256 | 7,623 | 3,233 | 2,042 |
| March. | 16,338 | 11, 077 | 3,355 | 7,722 | 3, 211 | 2,050 |
| April. | 16,639 | 11, 322 | 3,470 | 7,852 | 3,241 | 2,076 |
| May. | 17,077 | 11, 687 | 3,600 | 8,087 | 3,200 | 2.120 |
| June.. | 17,651 | 12, 105 | 3,790 | 8,315 | 3,382 | 2,154 |
| July. | 18,295 | 12,698 | 3, 204 | 8, 604 | 3,527 | 2,170 |
| August. | 18,842 | 13,009 | 4,107 | 8,902 | 3, 836 | 2,197 |
| September | 19,329 | 13, 344 | 4,213 4,227 | 9,131 9,186 | 3,741 3,703 | 2,244 2,305 |
| October ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 10,401 10,412 | 13,303 13,319 | 4,227 4,179 4 | 9,186 9,140 | $\begin{array}{r}3,703 \\ 3,738 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,305 2,354 |
| December ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20,000 | 13, 800 | 4,200 | 0,300 | 4,100 | 2,400 |

1 Includes other sale credit and loans including repalr and modernization loans insured by Federal HousIng Administration.
${ }^{3}$ Includes loans by pawnbrokers, service credit, and single-payment loans under $\$ 3,000$ made by commercial banks. The single-payment loan item was revised In November to exclude loans over $\$ 3,000$. See Federal Reserve Bulletln for November 1950, pages 1465-6.

- Estlmates based on Incomplete data; December by Councll of Economle Advisers.

Norz.-Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (except as noted).

TABLE A-27.-Loans and iwosestonts of all commercial banks and rookly mperting momber bainks, 1929-50
[Billows of dollars]

| End of period : | All commercial banks |  |  |  |  | Weekly reporting member banks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total loans and Inveatments | Loans | Investments |  |  | Total loans (net) | Commerchal, tndue trial, and agricultural loans |
|  |  |  | Total | U.8.Gorernment obligations | Other securtles |  |  |
| 1920-June ${ }^{\text {: }}$... | 48.4 | 36.7 | 13.7 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 16.7 | (1) |
| 1930-June ${ }^{\text {2 }}$. | 48.9 | 34. 5 | 14.4 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 17.0 | (1) |
| 1931-Jane: | 44.9 | 29.2 | 18.7 | 6.0 | 9.7 | 14.7 | ( 4 |
| 1932-June? | 36.1 | 21.8 | 14.3 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 11.3 | d |
| 1033-June: | 30.4 | 16.3 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 88 | ( |
| 1934-June: | 32.7 | 18.7 | 17.0 | 10.3 | - 6.7 | 8.8 | (d) |
| 1935-June ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 34.6 | 14.9 | 19.7 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 8.0 | (4) |
| 1036. | 39.5 | 10.1 | 23.1 | 15.3 | 7.8 | 0.2 | (4) |
| 1037 | 88.3 | 17.1 | 21.2 | 14.2 | 7.1 | 9.4 | 4.6 |
| 1038. | 38.7 | 16.4 | 22.3 | 15.1 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 3.8 |
| 1839. | 40.7 | 17.2 | 23.4 | 16.3 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 4.4 |
| 1940. | 43.8 | 18.8 | 25.1 | 17.8 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 8.0 |
| 191. | 60.7 | 21.7 | 29.0 | 21.8 | 7.2 | 11.4 | 6.7 |
| 1942 | 67.4 | 19.2 | 48.2 | 41.4 | 6.8 | 10.3 | 6. 1 |
| 1943. | 85.1 | 19.1 | 68.0 | 89.8 | 6.1 | 10.8 | 0.1 |
| 1944. | 105. 6 | 21.0 | 83.9 | 77.6 | 0.3 | 13.0 | 0.5 |
| 1945. | 124.0 | 28.1 | 97.9 | 90.6 | 7.3 | 15.8 | 7.3 |
| 1946 | 114.0 | 31.1 | 82.9 | 74.8 | 8.1 | 19.4 | -11.8 |
| 1047 | 116.3 | 38.1 | 78.2 | 69.2 | 9.0 | 23.3 | 14.6 |
| 1948 | 114.3 | 42.8 | 71.8 | 62.6 | 0.2 | 25.6 | 15.6 |
| 1949 | 120.2 | 43.0 | 77.2 | 67.0 | 10.2 | 24.0 | 13.9 |
| 1950 \%. | 17.2 | 82.7 | 74.8 | 62.8 | 12.2 | 81.6 | 17.8 |
| 1040-January. | 114, 6 | 42.8 | 72.1 | 03.0 | 9.1 | 28.8 | 18.4 |
| February | 113.3 | 42.0 | 71.3 | 62.2 | 9.1 | 24.9 | 16. 2 |
| Maroh. | 112.6 | 42.4 | 70.2 | 00.0 | 9.3 | 25.0 | 14.9 |
| April. | 112.8 | 41.3 | 71.2 | 02.0 | 0.2 | 24.0 | 14.2 |
| May. | 113.4 | 40.8 | 72.6 | 03,2 | 0.3 | 23.7 | 13.6 |
|  | 113.8 | 41.0 | 72.7 | 63.2 | 9.6 | 23.8 | 13. 2 |
| July...- | 114,7 | 40.6 | 74.2 | 64.4 | 9.8 | 23.0 | 12.9 |
| August- | 117.9 118.5 | 41.2 | 70.7 | 66.7 | 10.0 | 23.6 | 13.0 |
| Soptomber | 118.5 119.5 | 41.7 41.8 | 76.9 77.7 | 60.7 07.0 | 10.2 10.1 | 24.0 23.9 | 18.4 13.7 |
| November | 110.7 | 42.7 | 77.0 | 68.9 | 10.1 | 24.6 | 13.8 |
| December. | 120.2 | 43.0 | 77.3 | 67.0 | 10.2 | 24,9 | 12.9 |
| 1060-January. | 121.2 | 42.9 | 78.3 | 68.0 | 10.3 | 24.6 | 13. 9 |
| Pobruary | 120.6 | 43.1 | 77.8 | 67.1 | 10.4 | 24.6 | 13. 9 |
| March. | 120.8 | 48.7 | 76.6 | 65.8 | 10.8 | 24.9 | 13.8 |
| Aprli. | 120.3 | 43.8 | 76.8 | 65.8 | 11.0 | 24.0 | 13. 6 |
| May. | 121.2 | 44.1 | 77.1 | 68.1 | 11.0 | 25.0 | 13.4 |
| June-- | 121.8 | 44.8 | 77.0 | 65.8 | 11.2 | 25.6 | 13. 6 |
| July | 122.3 | 46.0 | 76.4 | 65.0 | 11.4 | 28.4 | 13. 8 |
| Aucust ${ }^{\text {Beptember }}$ | 123.3 123.7 | 17.3 49.0 | 78.0 74.0 | 64.2 62.8 68 | 11.8 12.1 | 27.3 28.5 | 14.7 18.7 |
| Oeptomber | 123.7 124.8 | 49.0 40.9 | 74.6 74.6 | 62.8 62.5 | 12.1 12.1 | 28.5 20.1 | 18.7 16.8 |
| November | 125. 6 | 81.7 | 73.9 | 61.8 | 12.1 | 30.6 | 17.1 |
| December ${ }^{\text {4. }}$ | 127.2 | 62.7 | 74.6 | 62.3 | 12.2 | 31.6 | 17.8 |

[^18]Nozm.-Detall will not necemerily add to totala because of rounding.
Souren: Board of Governori of the Federal Bewrye Byitem (except at noted).

Table A-28.-Depasits and curroncy, 1929-50
[Mulions of dollare]

| End of period 1 | Total deposits and currency | Yorelgn hank deposits (net) | United States Govern. ment balances? | Deposits adjusted and currency (privatoly-held money supply) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Demand deposits adjusted | Time | Currency outsid. banks |
| 1929. | 65, 621 | 863 | 403 | 54, 555 | 22,809 | 28, 189 | 3, 657 |
| 1930. | 64, 439 | 658 | 635 | 53, 248 | 20, 987 | 28,676 | 3, 005 |
| 1931. | 49, 004 | 403 | 740 | 47, 881 | 17,412 | 25,070 | 4,470 |
| 1932 | 46, 811 | 169 | 788 | 44,854 | 15,728 | 24,457 | 4,669 |
| 1033 | 42,813 | -22 | 1,303 | 41, 632 | 16,036 | 21,716 | 4, 782 |
| 1934 | 61, 122 | -13 | 4,865 | 46,270 | 18, 459 | 23,158 | 4,655 |
| 1935. | 65, 718 | 428 | 4,019 | 81, 273 | 22,116 | 24, 241 | 4,917 |
| 1936 | 60, 150 | 479 | 3,611 | 86,360 | 25, 483 | 25, 361 | 8, 616 |
| 1937 | 60, 984 | 664 | 4, 885 | 68,815 | 23, 959 | 26, 218 | 6, 638 |
| 1938 | 63, 181 | 607 | 4, 518 | 88, 066 | 25, 886 | 26,305 | 6,775 |
| 1839. | 68, 359 | 1,217 | 3,889 | 63, 253 | 29,793 | 27,059 | 6, 401 |
| 1940 | 75, 238 | 1,896 | 3,334 | 70,008 | 34,945 | 27,738 | 7,325 |
| 1941 | 82, 811 | 1,498 | 4,977 | 76, 336 | 38,092 | 27, 720 | 9,615 |
| 1042 | 104,306 | 1,616 | 11,392 | 91, 299 | 48,922 | 28,431 | 13,948 |
| 1943 | 127, 959 | 2, 285 | 13,306 | 112, 388 | 60, 803 | 32,748 | 18,837 |
| 194 | 185, 960 | 2,157 | 23, 678 | 130, 225 | 66, 830 | 30,780 | 23, 605 |
| 1945. | 180, 806 | 2,141 | 27,872 | 150, 793 | 75, 851 | 48,452 | 26, 190 |
| 1946 | 171, 657 | 1,885 | 6,768 | 164, 004 | 88,314 | 63, 980 | 26,730 |
| 1947 | 175, 348 | 1, 682 | 3, 658 | 170, 008 | 87, 121 | 68, 411 | 28.476 |
| 1948 | 176, 121 | 2, 103 | 4,899 | 169, 119 | 85, 520 | 57, 520 | 20,079 |
| 1049 | 177,313 | 2,150 | 5,382 | 160, 781 | 85, 750 | 68, 016 | 25,416 |
| 19501. | 183, 500 | 2,400 | 4,900 | 176, 200 | 92, 100 | 68, 000 | 25,200 |
| 1940-January | 174, 000 | 2, 200 | 4,500 | 168, 200 | 88, 400 | 67,600 | 25,200 |
| February | 174,400 | 2,200 | 6,900 | 166, 300 | 83,400 | 67, 800 | 25,100 |
| March | 172,600 | 2, 100 | 6,400 | 164, 200 | 81, 100 | 68,000 | 25,100 |
| April | 172,000 | 2,000 | 4,600 | 165, 500 | 82,400 | 68,100 | 24,000 |
| May | 171,300 | 1,800 | 3,900 | 165,600 | 82,500 | 88, 200 | 25,000 |
| June. | 171,602 | 1,927 | 4,049 | 135, 628 | 81,877 | 88, 483 | 25, 206 |
| July- | 171, 500 | 1,900 | 3, 200 | 160, 300 | 83,100 | 58, 400 | 24, 900 |
| August. | 173, 800 | 1,900 | 8, 000 | 186, 900 | 83, 400 | 58,400 | 25, 100 |
| Septomb | 174, 400 | 1,900 | 6, 200 | 168, 300 | 83,100 | 58,400 | 24,900 |
| October | 174, 900 | 2, 000 | 6,300 | 167, 700 | 84,300 | 88, 400 | 24,900 |
| Novembe | 175, 300 | 2,100 | 8,100 | 168, 100 | 85,000 | 88,000 | 25, 100 |
| Decomber | 177,313 | 2,150 | 8,382 | 169, 781 | 85,760 | 88, 616 | 25, 415 |
| 1050-January | 177, 100 | 2,200 | B, 200 | 169,700 | 86,400 | 88,700 | 24,800 |
| February | 176, 200 | 2,200 | 6, 000 | 168, 200 | 84, 600 | 59,000 | 24,700 |
| March. | 176, 000 | 2,300 | B, 600 | 187, 100 | 83, 200 | 69,300 | 24,600 |
| April | 178, 100 | 2,400 | 6,400 | 168, 400 | 84,300 | 89, 600 | 24, 600 |
| May. | 176, 700 | 2,400 | 8,100 | 169, 200 | 85, 000 | 89, 500 | 24,700 |
| June | 178, 688 | 2,555 | 8,049 | 169, 984 | 85, 040 | 69, 739 | 25,185 |
| July ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 178, 200 | 2,500 | 8,400 | 170, 200 | 88, 600 | 69, 400 | 24,400 |
| August ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 179, 200 | 2,400 | 8,800 | 171, 000 | 87, 400 | 50,100 | 24, 500 |
| September | 180, 000 | 2,300 | 6,100 | 171, $700^{-}$ | 88,100 | 69,000 | 24,500 |
| October ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nover Nor | 180, 300 |  | 4,800 | 173,000 | 89,400 | 69,000 | 24, 600 |
| November | 181, 300 | 2,300 | 4,800 | 174, 200 | 90, 700 | 68, 700 | 24,800 |
| December | 183, 000 | 2,400 | 4,900 | 178, 200 | 92, 100 | 88, 800 | 25, 200 |

1 Reporting date nearest end of period.
3 Includes Treasury cash and balances at commercial, saplngs, and Federal Reserve banks.
Includes demand dejosits, other than interbank and U. B.' Government, less cash items in process of oollectlon.

IIncludes deposits In commercial banks, mutual savings banks, and Postal Savings System.

- Estimates based on Incomplete data; Decomber by Councll of Economio Advisers.

Note.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Resarve System (except as noted).
[Billions of doliars--par ralocei']

| End of period | - Grose debt and cramenteed obligations catatandtus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Held by } \\ & \text { U.g. } \\ & \text { Govern- } \\ & \text { ment } \\ & \text { agenclea } \\ & \text { and trust } \\ & \text { funds } \end{aligned}$ | Held by pablio |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total beld by public | Btate and boenl governments | Com. mercial banks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Federal } \\ \text { Reserve } \\ \text { benks } \end{gathered}$ |  | Indl- |
| 1089. | 47.6 | 6.5 | 41.1 | 0.4 | 15.9 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 10.1 |
| 1940. | 60.9 64.3 | 7.6 | 43.3 64.7 | .8 | 17.8 | 2.2 2.3 2.8 | 12.8 | 10.6 13.8 |
| 1012. | 112.5 | 12.2 | 100. 2 | 1.0 | 41.1 | 6. 2 | 10.8 | 23.7 |
| 1943 | 170.1 | 16.9 | 153.2 | 2.1 | 89.0 | 11.5 | 42.0 | 87.6 |
| 1944. | 232.1 | 21.7 | 210.5 | 4.3 | 77.7 | 18.8 | 86.8 | 62.9 |
| 1945. | 278.7 | 27.0 | 251.6 | 6.8 | 90.8 | 24.3 | 68.4 | 68.7 |
| 1946. | 259.5 | 30.9 | 228. 6 | 6.3 | 74.5 | 23.3 | 60.6 | 63.8 |
| 1947 | 257.0 | 34.4 | 222.6 | 7.3 | 88.7 | 22.6 | 68.8 | 65.3 |
| 1948. | 252.9 | 37.3 | 215.5 | 7.9 | 62.5 | 23.3 | 86.8 | 65.4 |
| 1949 | 257.2 | 39.4 | 217.8 | 8.0 | 68.8 | 18.9 | 68.0 | 68.2 |
| 1050 | 258.7 | 139.2 | '217. 5 | 18.0 | ' 61.0 | 20.8 | 160.6 | 167.2 |
| 1040-January. | 252.7 | 37.4 | 215.2 | 7.8 | 62.7 | 22.1 | 56.9 | 65.7 |
| February | 252.7 | 37.5 | 216.2 | 7.9 | 62.1 | 22.3 | 68.8 | 6.1 |
| March. | 251.7 | 37.7 | 214.0 | 7.9 | 60.5 | 21.7 | 87.6 | 60.2 |
| April. | 251.6 | 37.5 | 214.0 | 7.8 | 61.8 | 21.1 | 66.9 | 60.3 |
| May.. | 251.9 | 37.5 | 214.4 | 8.0 | 62.7 | 19.7 | 57.6 | 60.4 |
| June.. | 252.8 | 38.3 | 214.5 | 8.0 | 63.0 | 19.3 | 57.8 | 68.6 |
| July-. | 253.9 | 38.5 | 215.4 | 8.0 | ${ }^{64,6}$ | 18.5 | 57.6 | 68.7 |
| August | 255.9 | 38.9 | 217.0 | 8.1 | 68.4 | 17.5 | 68.2 | 68.8 |
| 8eptember | 258.7 | 39.4 | 217.3 | 8.0 | 68.8 | 18.0 | 88.0 | 68.8 |
| Oolober... | 256.8 | 39.3 | 217.5 | 8.0 | 67.3 | 17.3 | 68.8 | 68, 6 |
| November | 257.0 | 39.3 | 217.7 | 8.0 | ${ }^{66.8}$ | 17.7 | 68.7 | 68.6 |
| December. | 257.2 | 39.4 | 217.8 | 8.0 | 66.8 | 18.9 | 88.0 | 68.2 |
| 1950-January | 258.8 | 39.0 | 217.9 | 8.0 | 67.4 | 17.8 | 88.4 | 66.3 |
| February | 258.4 | 38.4 | 218.0 | 8.0 | 68.4 | 17.7 | 89.2 | 68.6 |
| March... | 255.7 | 37.6 | 218.1 | 8.4 | 64,9 | 17.6 | 60.6 | 66.6 |
| April | 255.7 | 37.3 | 218.4 | 8.4 | 65, 2 | 17.8 | 60.2 | 66.8 |
| May. | 258.4 | 37.4 | 219.0 | 8.3 | C5. 8 | 17.4 | 60.6 | 67.0 |
| Juno. | 257.4 | 37.8 | 219.5 | 8.2 | ${ }^{651} 8$ | 18.3 | 60.3 | 67.2 |
| July. | 257.6 | 38.0 | 219.6 | 8.3 | 64.6 | 18.0 | 61.3 | 67.4 |
| August. | 257.9 | 38.1 | 219.8 | 8.3 | 64.0 | 18.4 | 61.8 | 67.5 |
| Beptember | 257.2 | 38.9 | 218.4 | 8.2 | 62.1 | 19.6 | 61.2 | 67.3 |
| Octobar. | 257.0 | 39.0 | 217.9 | 8.1 | 62.1 | 19.3 | 81.2 | 67.3 |
| November. December. | 257.1 | $\begin{array}{r}39.2 \\ \hline 39.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 217.9 1217.8 | ${ }_{7}^{8.1}$ | 61.3 .61 .0 | 19.7 | +61.8 | $\begin{array}{r}67.3 \\ \hline 67.2\end{array}$ |
| Decomber. | 208.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 United States savings bonds, series A-D, E, and F, are included at current redemption values.
${ }^{2}$ Securities issued or guaranteed by the U. 8, Government, exoluding guaranteod securities held by the Treasury.
${ }^{2}$ Includes trust, sinking, and investmentyunds of State and local governments and their agencles, and Territories and insular possesslons.
-Includes commercial banks, trust companles, and stook savings banks"InTthe United States and in Territories and insular possessions; excludes securitles held in trust departments.
Includes insuranco companles, mutual savings banks, savings and loan assoclations, dealers and brokers and forelgn accounts in this country. Beginning with Becember 1946, the forelgn accounts include investments by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the International Monetary Fund in special non-Interest-bearing notes lsaed by the U. B. Government; beginning with June 30, 1947, they Include holdings of Federal land banks.

- Includes partnershipe and personal trust accounta.

1 Estimates basod on incomplete data; by Councli of Economie Adpisers.
Note,-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Sourco: Treasury Department (excopt as noted).

Table A-30.-United States Goormmont debb-oolume and kind of sacurities, 1929-50
[Billions of dollars]

| - End of pertod | Groes pablio debtand guaranteod tesues 1 | Intorest-bearlug pabllo dobt |  |  |  |  | Nonintarest bearing debt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fully } \\ & \text { suar } \\ & \text { spteed } \\ & \text { socurt- } \\ & \text { tiee } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Marketable public issues |  | Nonmarketable pablia tsones |  | Special tisues 1 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bhort- } \\ & \text { term } \\ & \text { tasues } \end{aligned}$ | Treasury bonds | United States seving bonds | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Treas } \\ \text { ury } \\ \text { tax and } \\ \text { savings } \\ \text { note } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |
| 1929. | 16.3 | 3.3 | 11.3 |  | -.-*----- | 0.6 | 0.3 |  |
| 1930. | 16.0 | 2.9 | 11.3 |  |  | . 8 | . 3 |  |
| 1031. | 17.8 | 2.8 | 13.5 |  |  | .4 | .3 |  |
| 1932. | 20.8 | 6.9 | 13.4 |  |  | . 4 | .4 |  |
| 1933. | 24.0 | 7.6 | 14.7 |  |  | . 4 | . 4 | 0.2 |
| 1934.- | 31.6 | 11.1 | 16. 4 |  |  | . 6 | . 6 | 8.1 |
| 1935. | 35.1 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 0.2 | ---.----- | . 7 | 1.0 | 4.8 |
| 1036 | 39.1 | 12.5 | 19.5 | . 8 |  | . 6 | . 7 | 4.7 |
| 1037. | 41.9 | 12.5 | 20.5 | 1.0 |  | 2.2 | . 6 | 4.6 |
| 1938. | 44.4 | 9.8 | 24.0 | 1.4 |  | 3.2 | . 8 | 5. 0 |
| 1939. | 47.6 | 7.7 | 28.9 | 22 |  | 4.2 | . 6 | 6. 7 |
| 1940. | 60.9 | 7.8 | 28.0 | 3.2 |  | 5.4 | . 6 | 6.9 |
| 1041. | 64.3 | 8. 0 | 33.4 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 7.0 | .8 | 6.3 |
| 1942. | 112.5 | 27.0 | 49.3 | 15. 0 | 6.4 | 9.0 | . 9 | 4.3 |
| 1943. | 170.1 | 47.1 | 67.9 | 27.4 | 8.6 | 12.7 | 1.4 | 4.2 |
| 1044. | 232.1 | 69.9 | 91.6 | 40.4 | 9.8 | 16.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| 1845. | 278.7 | 78.2 | 120.4 | 48. 2 | 8.2 | 20.0 | 2.4 | . 6 |
| 1846. | 259.5 | 67. 1 | 119.3 | 49.8 | 6.7 | 24.6 | 1.6 | .3 |
| 1947. | 257.0 | 47.7 | 117.9 | 52.1 | 6. 4 | 29.0 | 27 | . 1 |
| 1948 | 252.9 | 45.9 | 111.4 | 66. 1 | 4.6 | 31.7 | 22 | . 1 |
| 1940. | 257.2 | 60.2 | 104.8 | 68.7 | 7.6 | 33.9 | 21 | (1) |
| 1950... | 256.7 | 88.3 | 04.0 | 68.0 | 8. 6 | 33.7 | 2.4 | (b) |
| 1949-January | 252.7 | 45, 4 | 111.4 | 85.4 | 4.6 | 31.8 | 2.2 |  |
| February. | 252,7 | 45.2 | 111.4 | 85.7 | 4.6 | 31.8 | 21 | (b) |
| - March.... | 251.7 | 44.0 | 111.4 | 85. 9 | 4.4 | 31.9 | 21 | 30 |
| April. | 251.6 | 43.8 | 111. 4 | 68. 0 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 20 | 3 |
| May. | 251.9 | 43.9 | 111.4 | 68.1 | 4.7 | 31.0 | 20 | (6) |
| June. | 252.8 | 44.6 | 110.4 | 66.3 | 4.9 | 32.8 | 20 | (0) |
| July. | 253.9 | 44.4 | 110.4 | 86.5 | 8.7 | 33.0 | 20 | (d) |
| Aup,ust. | 256.9 | 46. 0 | 110.4 | 68.5 | 6.8 | 33.4 | 1.9 | (3) |
| September. | 256.7 | 46.4 | 109. 1 | 86.6 | 6.9 | 33.9 | 1.9 | (b) |
| October... | 256.8 | 48.1 | 100. 1 | 68.7 | 7.3 | 33,8 | 1.9 | (d) |
| Navember | 287.0 | 46.1 | 109, 1 | 86.7 | 7.8 | 33.8 | 1.9 | (3) |
| Decomber. | 257.2 | 60, 2 | 104.8 | 60.7 | 7.6 | 33,9 | 21 | (1) |
| 1960-January. | 256,9 | 49.9 | 104.8 | 67, 0 | 7.9 | 33.8 | 2.0 |  |
| February | 258.4 | 49.8 | 104.8 | 57.2 | 8.0 | 32.9 | 2.0 | 36 |
| March... | 258, 7 | 81.8 | 102.8 | 67.3 | 8.0 | 32.1 | 22 | (d) |
| April. | 255, 7 | 81.6 | 1028 | 67. 4 | 8.1 | 31.8 | 22 | (0) |
| May. | 256.4 | 82.0 | 102.8 | 67.5 | 8.3 | 31.9 | 22 | (6) |
| June. | 257.4 | 62,4 | 102.8 | 87.8 | 8.5 | 32.4 | 22 | () |
| July... | 257.6 | 82.2 | 102.8 | 87.6 | 8.6 | 32.8 | 21 | () |
| August | 257.9 | 82.2 | 102.8 | 57.5 | 8.9 | 32.7 | 21 | (b) |
| Boptember | $257.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 68,9 | 96. 7 | 87. 4 | 8.9 | 33.4 | 2.2 | (3) |
| October.... | 257.0 | 68.0 | 88.7 | 68, 0 | 9.0 | 33.8 | 22 | (0) |
| November | 287.1 | 58.9 | 98.7 | 58.0 | 8.9 | 33.7 | 2.2 | (3) |
| Degember... | 286.7 | 88.3 | 94.0 | 88.0 | 8.6 | 33.7 | 24 | (b) |

1 Includes pootal savings bonds, depoaitary bonde, Armed Forces leave bonds, and 24 percent Treasury invertment bonds, not shown separately.
Includes amounts held by Government agencles and trust funds whloh aggregated 5,4 bllion dollars on December 30, 1050.
i Includes Treasury bille, cortificates of indebtednem and Treasury notes.

- Isued to United States inveetment acooiunta.
- Lees than 50 million dollers.

Norts,-Detall will not neccesarlly add to totals becauks of rounding.
Bource: Treasury Department.

Table A-31.-Bond yields and interest rates, selected years, 1929-50
[Parcent per aniaum]

| Period | U. B. Government security |  |  | High grade corporate bond yields (Moody's) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bank } \\ & \text { rates on } \\ & \text { short. } \\ & \text { tormm } \\ & \text { business } \\ & \text { loans } \end{aligned}$ | Bankers accept. ances 90 ${ }^{\text {dasy }}$ York | Federal Resorvo Bank discount rateNow York |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { o-12 } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { Issues } \end{gathered}$ | Long-term bonds |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aas } \\ \text { bonds } \end{gathered}$ | Bas |  |  |  |
|  |  | Partlally tax. exempt 1 | 15 years and over, taxable |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1829 average. | (1) | 3.60 |  | 4.73 | 8.90 | () | 6. 03 | 6. 16 |
| 1933 a verage. | (2) | 3.31 |  | 4.49 | 7.78 | (2) | . 63 | 2.66 |
| 1935 average. | (1) | 2.79 |  | 3. 60 | 6.75 | (8) | . 13 | 1.50 |
| 1037 average. | (3) | 274 |  | 3. 23 | 8.03 4.08 | (b) | . 43 | 1.33 1.00 |
| ! 039 average. | (2) |  |  | 3.01 | 4.98 | 21 | . 44 | 1.00 |
| 1941 average. | (7) | 2.05 |  | 2.77 | 4.33 | 2.0 | . 44 | 1.00 |
| 1943 average............... | 0.75 | 1.88 | 2.47 | 2.73 | 3.91 | 2.6 | . 44 | 11.00 |
| 1945 average. | . 81 | 1.68 | 2.37 | 2.62 | 3.29 | 2.2 | . 44 | -1.00 |
| 1946 average................ | . 82 | (8) | 2.19 | 2.63 | 3.05 | 2.1 | . 61 | 41.00 |
| 1947 average. | . 88 | (3) | 2.25 | 2.61 | 3.24 | 2.1 | . 87 | 1.00 |
| 1948 average. | 1.14 | (\%) | 2.44 | 282 | 3.47 | 2.5 | 1.11 | 1.34 |
| 1949 average. | 1.14 | ( $)$ | 231 | 2.68 | 3.42 | 2.7 | 1.12 | 1.50 |
| 1850 average '.............- | 1.20 | (1) | 2.32 | 2.62 | 3. 24 | (1) | 1.16 | 1.60 |
| 1949-First quarter. | 1.22 | (1) | 2.40 | 2.71 | 3.46 | 2.70 | 1.19 | 1. 60 |
| 8econd quarter.... | 1.20 | (3) | 2.38 | 2.71 | 3. 46 | 2.74 | 1.19 | 1. 60 |
| Third quarter..... | 1.06 | (8) | 2.24 | 2.63 | 3.41 | 2.63 | 1.06 | 1. 60 |
| Fourth quarter...- | 1.09 | ( $)$ | 2.20 | 2.60 | 3.34 | 2.65 | 1.08 | 1. 50 |
| 1950-First quartor...... | 1.14 | () | 2.24 | 2.58 | 3. 24 | 2.60 | 1.08 | 1. 50 |
| gecoud quarter.... | 1.19 | \% | 231 | 2.81 | 3. 25 | 2. 68 | 1.06 | 1. 80 |
| Third quarter...... | 1.27 | (8) | 2.34 | 2.63 | 3. 25 | 2.63 | 1. 18 | 1. 61 |
| Fourth quarter '... | 1.44 | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.38 | 2.67 | 3.22 | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.31 | 1.75 |

[^19]Table A-32.-Profits before and after tax, all privat: corporations, 1929-50
[Bililons of dollars]

| Perlod | Corporate profts before tax | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Corporate } \\ & \text { tax } \\ & \text { labuly } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Corporate profits after tax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Dividend payments | Undis. tributod profits |
| 1929. | 9.8 | 1.4 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 2.6 |
| 1930. | 3.3 | . 8 | 2.5 | 5. 5 | -3.0 |
| 1831. | -3.8 | .5 | $-1.3$ | 4.1 | $\cdots 5.4$ |
| 1832. | -3.0 | . 4 | -3.4 | 2.6 | -6.0 |
|  | 1.2 | . 7 | $\bigcirc{ }^{-1.0}$ | 2.1 2.6 | $-2.4$ |
| 1935.. | 3.2 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.9 | -. 6 |
| 1936 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 4.6 | $-.3$ |
| 1837 | 6. 2 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| 1938. | 3.3 0.8 | 1.0 1.5 | 2.3 8.0 | 3.2 3.8 | -1.9 |
| 1930.-. | 0.6 |  |  |  | 1.2 |
| 1940. | 9.3 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 2.4 |
| 1911 | 17.2 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 4.6 | 4.9 |
| 1942. | 21.1 | 11.7 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 6. 1 |
| 1943. | 25.1 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 4.5 | 6.2 |
| 1944. | 24.3 | 13.5 | 10.8 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| 1945. | 19.7 | 11.2 | 8.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 |
| 1946 | 23.6 | 9.6 | 13.9 | 8.8 | 8.1 |
| 1947. | 30.5 | 11.9 | 18.6 | 6.6 | 12.0 |
| 1948. | ${ }^{33.9}$ | 13.0 | 20.9 | 7.8 | 13.4 |
| 1949 | 27.6 | 10.6 | 17.0 | 7.8 | 9.2 |
| 1950 ². | 40.2 | 18.3 | 21.9 | 8.9 | 13.0 |
|  | Annual rates, seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |
| 1049-First half. | 27.4 | 10.4 | 16.9 | 7.8 | 9.1 |
| Second hall. | 27.9 | 10.7 | 17.1 | 7.8 | 0.4 |
| 1950-First half. | 33.3 | 13.6 | 19.7 | 8.2 | 11.6 |
| Second halr ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 47.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 9.8 | 14.4 |
| 1940-First quarter. | 128.3 | 10.9 | 17.4 | 7.9 | 9.5 |
| Second quarter | [26.4 | 10.0 | 16.4 | 7.7 | 8.7 |
| Third quarter. | 28.2 | 10.8 | 17.3 | 7.4 | 10.0 |
| Fourth quarter. | 127.6 | $\bigcirc 10.6$ | 16.9 | 8.2 | 8.7 |
| 1950-First quarter. | 29.2 | 12.0 | 17.2 | 8.1 | 0.1 |
| 8econd quarter. | 37.4 | 15. 1 | 22.2 | 8.2 | 14.0 |
| Third quartor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 48.0 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 9.4 | 14.2 |
| Fourth quarter ${ }^{2}$. | 48.0 | 23.8 | 24.5 | 9.8 | 14.7 |

1 Federal and'State corporate income and excess profts taxec.

- Minue 8 million dollars.
- Estimates based on Incomplete data; thlrd and fourth quarters by Councll of Economio Advisers. Cor porate tax llablility for the second half of the year includes an estimate for the effect of the higher taxea in. cluding the excess prodits tax.
Norf.-No allowance has been made for Inventory valuatlon adjustment. See appendix table A-4 for profita before tax and Inventory valuation adjustment.
Detall will not necessarlly add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce (except at noted).

Table A-33.—Sales and profits of large manufacturing corporations, 1939-50


[^20]Table A-34.-Relation of profits before and after taxes to stockholders' equity, prioate manufacturing corporations, by industry group, 1948-50


8ourem: Foderal Trade Commlaion and Securitice and Exchange Comminion.

Tasle A－35．－Relation of profis before erd ofter taxas to sales，private manufacturing corporations， by industry group，1948－50

| Induatry croup | Profts in conts per dollar of mices |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1948 \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | 1940 |  |  | 1050 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Third quarter | Fourth quarter | $\begin{gathered} \text { Firat } \\ \text { quartor } \end{gathered}$ | Second | Third quarter |
| All private manufuoturing corporations． | Before Federal taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.1 | 9.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 12.8 |
| Food． | 6.6 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 7.5 |
| Tobaceo manutacture | 8.8 18.8 18 | 8.2 6.9 | 8.6 8.9 | 8.2 7.8 | 7.4 8.0 | 8.1 | 10.1 |
| Apparel and finlebod textice | ${ }^{2.1}$ | 8.7 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 6．3 |
| Lamber and wood perdiocte | 18.4 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 15．2 | 18.5 |
| Furniture and fixtuen． | 0.2 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 9.8 |
| Paper and allied periduota | 12.8 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 15．6 |
| Printing and pablisalig（axoept newepapera）． | 8.8 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 9.4 |
| Ohemicals and allied producta．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 18.9 17.4 | 13.2 12.0 | 14.5 11.6 | 14.4 10.9 | 16.6 10.7 | 17.1 13.8 | 20．6 |
| Rabber producte． | 8.2 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 7.8 | 11：4 |
| Lesther and leather products | 8.6 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 7.4 |
| 8tone，clay，and glass products | 13.9 | 13.9 | 16.7 | 13.6 | 14.1 | 18.0 | 20.6 |
| Primary nonferrous metal industrie | 14.2 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 11.8 | 13.6 | 15.9 | 17.1 |
| Primary iron end ateel industrice．．． | 12.2 | 10.9 | 0.9 | 9．i $i$ | 12.7 | 16.1 | 16.7 |
| Fabricatod motal products． <br> Mschilnery（excopt electrical and tranaporta． | 11.8 | 8.7 | 0.8 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 11.4 | 13.0 |
| tion）－．．．．．－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－－ | 12.0 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 0.7 | 10.7 | 12.6 | 18.6 |
| Trunsportation equipment（oxcopt niolor－ | 10.1 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 14.0 |
| vohiclec）－－．．．－．．．－．－ | 7.0 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 8.6 | 9.8 |
| Motor volicles and parts．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－ | 12.0 | 13.8 | 16.4 | 14.8 | 18.3 | 17.8 | 18．0 |
| Instrumants；photocraphlo and optical goods； Tatches and clocks． Mlecellaneous manntacturing（inctiving ord． | 12.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 14.8 | 16.8 |
| n⿴囗十介）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 9.8 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 0.7 | b． 6 | 7.7 | 12.5 |
| All private manuiacturing corporations． | After Federal taxem |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.0 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| Food． | 8.8 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 4.3 |
| Tobeceo manutaotures | 6.1 | 8． 1 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| Taxtlio mill produota ．．－． | 8.2 | 4.1 | 8.5 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 6． 8 |
| Apparel and Anlahed toxtiles | 8.0 0.8 | 2.1 6.0 | 2.5 8.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 0.7 | 8.8 11.1 |
| Furniture asd Axtures． | 6.6 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 6. |
| Paper and allied produotr． | 8.4 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 9.0 |
| Printing and publishing（excopt nowspapera）． | 8.2 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 8.6 |
| Obmionls and allied producta． | 8.8 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 11.7 |
| Products of petroloum and coal．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 12.9 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 10.6 |
| Rubber producta | 4.7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 6.6 |
| Leather and leather products | 8.3 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 4.8 |
| 8tone，clay，and slan products．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 8.6 | 8.6 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 11.7 | 11.6 |
| Primary nonforrous motal industrics．．．．．．．．．． | 9.0 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 10.2 |
| Primary fron and ateel indurtriee ．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 7.6 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
|  | 7.1 | 6.1 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.8 |
|  | 7.8 8.8 | 6.1 8.6 | 6.2 4.8 | 8.7 7.8 | 6.4 6.7 | 7.7 7.0 | 7.6 |
| Transportation equipment（azoept motor <br> rablela）． <br> Motor vabioien and parts． | 4.0 | 8.8 7.8 | 8.7 0.0 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 6.1 10.6 | 8.8 |
| Instramentas photographio end option soods； <br> watcben and clocies． | 7.8 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 2.4 |
|  | 4.6 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 20 | 4.5 | 7.0 |

[^21]Table A-36.-Relation of profits before and after taxes to stockholders' equity and to sales, all private manufacturing corporations, by size class, 1948-50

| Assets clase (thousands ofdollari) | $\begin{aligned} & 1948 \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | 1940 |  |  | 1960 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Third quarter | Fourth quarter | - First quarter | Becond quarter | Third quarter |
|  | Ratio of pronts before Federal taxes (annual rate) to stockholders' equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }_{\text {a }}$ 200s. | 28.6 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.0 | 10.6 | 24.8 | 81.2 |
| 1 to 249. | 18.8 | 9.8 | 14.0 | . 4 | 8.8 | 15.2 | 28.4 |
| 250 to 909. | 23.8 | 14.1 | 16.0 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 21.2 | 20.4 |
| 1,000 to 4,009 | 24.8 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 21.6 | 28.8 |
| 8,000 to 99,090. | 28.4 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 18.4 | 23.6 | 81.2 |
| 100,000 and over.. | 20.1 | 23.2 | 30.8 | 20.8 | 21.6 | 27.2 | 82.0 |
|  | Profits before Federal taxes in conts per dollar of salea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All sizes. | 11.1 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 13.6 |
| ${ }_{2}^{1}$ to $240 \ldots$ | 4.0 7.4 | 2.7 8.2 | 3.8 6.0 | 3. 8 | 2.5 5.1 | 4.2 7.4 | 0.2 9.8 |
| 1,000 to 4,900. | 9.0 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6. 7 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 10.3 |
| 8,000 to 09,990. | 11.8 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 13,3 |
| 100,000 and over. | 13.2 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 14.4 | 26.0 |
|  | Ratlo of profts after Federal taxes (annual rate) to stockholders' equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All sizes. | 16.1 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 15.6 | 17.6 |
| 1 to 249 | 8.8 | 4.9 | 8.4 | -2.0 | 4.0 | 9.6 | 19.2 |
| 250 to 009. | 14.2 | 7.8 9.0 | 9. 2 | 8. 6 | 4.3 10 | 12.8 | 18.8 |
| 1,000 to 4, $09,990$. | 14.8 16.1 | 9.0 10.8 | 9.2 10.4 | 8.0 11.2 | 10.0 11.2 | 13.2 14.8 | 16.4 17.2 |
| 100,000 and over.. | 16.9 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 17.6 |
|  | Profitsjafter Federal taxes in cents per dollar of sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All sizes. | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| 1 to 249. | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.3 | $-6$ | 1.1 | 2.7 | 4.5 |
| 250 to 909. | 4.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 6.0 |
| 1,000 to 4,009... | 4.8 | ${ }_{8}^{3.8}$ | 4.0 | 3.3 8.8 | 4.2 8.8 | 6.2 70 | 8.9 |
| 100,000 and over... | 8.6 | 8.8 7.6 | 8.6 | 8.8 8.4 | 8. 1 | 7.0 9.2 | 7.18 |

Sources: Federal Trade Commisbion and securities and Exchange Commission.

Table A-37.-Sources and usces of corperate funds, 1946-501
[Bilifons of dollars]

| Source or use of fands | 1946 | 1047 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant and equipment outlays.- | 11.6 | 15.0 | 17.8 | 16.1 | 17.0 |
| Inventories (change in book value) | 11.2 | 7.1 | 8. 0 | -4. 8 | 6.5 |
| Change in customer recelvables...--- | 4.8 | 7.8 | 2.4 | -. 5 | 6. 5 |
| Cash and U. S. Government securitles. | -4.7 | 1.0 | . 5 | 3.0 -2 | 6.6 |
| Total uses. | 22.2 | 30.5 | 25.4 | 13.8 | 37.5 |
| Sources: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intarnal: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained profts'andjdepletion allowances. . | 7.6 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 8.6 | 12.5 |
| Depreciation allowances.................... | 4.3 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
| Total internal sources. | 11.9 | 16.8 | 18.8 | 15.3 | 19.5 |
| External: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohange in trade debt... | 4.0 | 4.4 | . 9 | -2. 2 | 3.5 |
| Ohange in Federal income tax liability | -1.6 | 2.3 |  | -2.1 | 7.0 |
| Other current liablilices.. | 1.8 | . 4 | (3) | -1 | 1.0 |
| Ohange in bank loans. | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.1 | -1.8 | 2.6 |
| Ohange in mortgrges. | .8 2.3 | 4.8 | 1.6 8.8 | B. ${ }^{7}$ | 1.0 4.0 |
| Total external sourco. | 10.4 | 14.9 | 9.3 | -. 4 | 19.0 |
| Total sources. | 22.3 | 31.7 | 28.1 | 15.0 | 38.0 |
| Discrepanoy (sources less uses). | +. 1 | +1.2 | +2.7 | +1.2 | +. 5 |

1 Excludes banks and Insurance companies.
2 Estimates based on incomplete data; by Oouncll of Economio AdFisers. Total sources and usos are derived from unraunded figures while the components have been rounded to the nearest 0.5 billion dollars.

- Less than 50 million dollars.

Notr.-Detall will not necessarlly add to totals because of rounding.
sources: Department of Oommerce estimates based on Securities and Exchange Commission and other inanclal data (except as noted).

Tasle A-38.-The international transactions of the United States, 1947-50
[Mulition of doliars]

| Type of transaction | $\begin{aligned} & 1047 \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1048 \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1040 \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | 1000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total 1 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Firat } \\ \text { quartor } \end{array}\right\|$ | 8econd quarter | Third quarter | Fourth quarter |
| Exports of goode and sarvices: <br> Recordind goods ${ }^{2}$ <br> Other goods ${ }^{2}$ $\qquad$ <br> Total goode $\qquad$ <br> Bervices. <br> Income on inveetments <br> Total exports. $\qquad$ | 15, 340 | $\text { 12, } 683$ | $12,012$ | 10,233 | 2,377 71 | 2, 810 | 2,440 | (3) ${ }^{600}$ |
|  | 15,977 2,673 1,146 | $\begin{array}{r}13,427 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,375 \\ \hline 17\end{array}$ | 12,337 2,296 1,323 | (4) | $\begin{array}{r} 2,448 \\ 488 \\ 338 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,604 \\ 639 \\ 379 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,518 836 425 | (8) |
|  | 19,796 | 17,092 | 16,956 | 14,142 | 3,271 | 3, 622 | 3,474 | 3,875 |
| Imports of goods and services: Recorded goods. Other goode: | 8,756 344 | 7,124 | 6,622 | (1) ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ | 1,888 <br> 73 | 1,027 67 | 2,386 | ${ }_{(1)}{ }^{\text {(1) }}$ |
| Total goods.......... Sorvices .-.-. Income on invertment | 6,100 1,940 240 | 7,833 2,239 294 | 7,144 2,242 320 | (4) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,901 \\ \mathbf{1} 829 \\ 77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,094 \\ \text { S02 } \\ 125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,816 \\ \hline 889 \\ \hline 88\end{array}$ | (d) |
| Total Impor | 8,290 | 10,356 | 9,716 | 12,327 | 2,667 | 2,711 | 8,403 | 3,646 |
| Surplus of exports of goods and services: <br> Recorded goods. <br> Other goods | 9,684 | 5,629 | 8,420 -227 | 1,282 | 489 -2 | 683 27 | $\begin{array}{r}60 \\ -63 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $(1)^{180}$ |
| Total goode | 9,877 | 8,504 | 6, 193 | (1) | 487 | 610 | -3 | (4) |
| Servicen. $\qquad$ Income on Inventments | 733 807 | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 1,091 \end{array}$ | 64 904 | (d) | -418 | -538 | -283 327 | (4) |
| Total surplus of expor | 11,507 | 6,736 | 6, 241 | 1,815 | 704 | 811 | 71 | 229 |
| Means of Anancing surplus of exports of goods and nervices: 1 <br> Liquidation of sold and dollar <br> amseta by forelgn countrice. <br> Dollar disbursemente by: <br> International Monetary <br> Fund... | 4,462 | 780 | 2 | -8, 873 | -485 | -070 | -1,879 | -870 |
|  | 402 | 203 | 99 |  | -12 |  | 8 |  |
| International Bank. <br> United States Government eonrces: | 300 | 176 | 88 |  | 17 | 11 | 2 |  |
| Unilateral transters.........-- Long- and short-term loans. | 1,947 3,805 | 4, 1607 | 8,804 | 8, 0887 | 1,021 78 | 1,131 39 | 802 | 818 82 |
| Onited states private sourcen: Romilttances. Lonet and shortitorm capi- | 2, 0008 758 | 607 662 809 | 618 616 | 100 435 1,094 | 70 100 76 | 118 114 |  | 118 208 |
| Total means of Anancing.- <br> Errors and omindons................... | 12, 488 | 7,748 $-1,012$ | 7,217 -978 | ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2}, 008$ | 831 -127 | 729 82 | -90 | 48 -214 |

[^22]Bource: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

Table A-39.-United States exports and imports of goods and seroices, by area, 1937 and 1917-50
[Billions of dollars]

| Area | 1037 | 1977 | 1948 | 1049 | 1050 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\prime}$ | First quar ter | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Secondd } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ter } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Third } \\ & \text { quar- } \end{aligned}$ | Fourth quarter 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual rates |  |  |  |
| Exports of goods and services: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ERP dependenciee | 1.08 .18 | . .23 | . 88 | . 03 | 3 | 4.88 | . 62 | 4.64 | (3) |
| Europe, except ERP countries... | . 13 | . 56 | $\therefore 27$ | . 21 | (3) | . 18 | . 18 | $\cdot .20$ | 3 |
| Canada and Newfoundland...-: |  | 2. 69 | 2.49 | 2.57 | 3 | 2.09 | 2.89 | 2.72 | 3 |
| Letin American republico. | 2.64 | 4.82 | 4.27 | 3. 61 | (3) | 3.30 | 3. 57 | 3.89 | (3) |
| Other 4-..................... |  | 3.68 | 3.28 | 8.24 | (3) | 2. 68 | 2.52 | 2.39 | (3) |
| Total exports. | 4.55 | 19.80 | 17.09 | 18.86 | 14,14 | 13.08 | 14.00 | 13.90 | 15.50 |
| Imports of goods and services: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ERP dependencies | 1.35 .60 | 1.80 | 2.76 .76 | 2.73 | (3) | 2.85 | . 82 | . 90 | (3) |
| Europe, except ERP countries | . 15 | . 23 | . 24 | . 18 | (3) | . 21 | . 21 | . 23 | (3) |
| Canada and Newfoundland.... |  | 1. 62 | 2.05 | 2.02 | 3 | 1.88 | 2.34 | 2.84 | (3) |
| Latin A merican republics.. | 2.29 | 3.73 | 3. 10 | 2.95 | (3) | 3.40 | 2.99 | 4.16 | (3) |
| Other ${ }^{1}$. |  | 1.43 | 1.94 | 1.67 | (3) | 1.66 | 1.79 | 2.46 | (a) |
| Total imports | 4.27 | 8.29 | 10.36 | 9.72 | 12.33 | 10.27 | 10.84 | 13.61 | 14. 68 |
| Export surplus of goods and services: 2 ERP countries. ERP dependencies Europe, except ERP countries. | 0.28 | 5.43 | 3.65 | 3.14 | (8) | 2.08 | 1.74 | 1.02 |  |
|  | -. 31 | . 34 | . 10 | . 20 | 3 | -. 27 | -. 31 | -. 26 | 3 |
|  | -. 03 | . 33 | . 03 | . 03 | 3 | -. 03 | -. 04. | -. 02 |  |
| Canada and Newfoundland......- |  | 1.17 | . 44 | . 88 | 3 | -.21 | . 84 | -. 12 | 3 |
| Latin American republlos. | . 34 | 2.08 | 1.17 | . 68 | (3) | -. 09 | . 58 | -. 27 | 3 |
| Other 4.................... |  | 2.15 | 1.34 | 1.67 | (3) | . 92 | . 73 | -. 06 | (3) |
| Total export surplus............- | 0.28 | 11.51 | 6. 74 | Q. 24 | 1.82 | 2.82 | 3.24 | . 28 | . 92 |

[^23]Table A-40.-United States Government grants, other unildeteral transfors, and loans to foreign countries, 1947-50
[Mulions of dollars]

| Type of ald | ${ }_{\text {total }}^{1047}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1048 \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | 1049 | 1250 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total 1 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { quarter } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Second quarter | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { Tharter } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{array}\right.$ | Fourth quarter |
| A. Unilateral payments: UNRRA and post-UNRRA. Clililsa suppilea distributed by the armed forces. | $\begin{array}{r} 761 \\ 1,009 \\ 1,01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \\ 1,48 \\ 130 \\ 188 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}  \\ 1,059 \\ 203 \\ 109 \\ 30 \\ 1 m 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8) \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121 \\ 39 \\ 9 \\ 22 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 138 \\ 27 \\ 3 \\ 18 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 36 \\ \mathbf{3} \\ 2 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (3) \\ & (3) \\ & (0) \\ & (8) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to Pblipplnes-..--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corean ald program.............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oreek-Turk l S hald .............- | 73 | 348 | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 |  | 71 | (3) | 18 | 17 | 8 | (1) |
| Interim ald |  | 846 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Recovery Pro- |  | 1,398 | 3,732 | (3) | 770 | 850 | 648 | (3) |
| Mutual Delense Assistance Program....................... |  |  |  | (3) | 5 | 66 |  | (3) |
|  | 288 | i33 | 182 |  | 43 | 40 | 50 |  |
| Total unilateral payments. Less unilateral recelpts. | $2,250$ | 4,344 183 | b, <br> 258 <br> 258 | (3) | 1,062 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,173 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{929}$ | (3) |
| Equals: Net unilateral pay- ments.... | 1,947 | 4,161 | B, 304 | 3,857 | 1,021 | 1,131 | 892 | 913 |
| B. Iong.term loans and investments: Lend-lease credits. |  | 2 | 4 | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 1 |  |  | (3) |
| Surplus property including shlp sales | 273 | 192 | 24 | (3) |  |  |  | (8) |
| Export-Import Bank loans.-. | 797 2880 | 464 300 | 163 | (3) | 60 | 88 | 40 | (3) |
| Bubscriptilons to: ${ }^{\text {International }}$ Bank | 217 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intornatlonal Monetary | 2,78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Recovery Program. | 2,748 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other----.-.--- | 161 | 18 | 69 | (r) | 18 | 28 |  | ( $)$ |
| Total long-term loans and investments. Less repayments...................... | $\begin{array}{r}7,143 \\ \hline 294\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,442 \\ & 413 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 675 \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | (n) | 123 61 | 118 97 | ${ }_{86}^{95}$ | (\%) |
| Equals net long.term loans and invertments, ipcluding International Mopetary Fund $\qquad$ | 6,840 | 998 | 470 | 162 | 72 | 19 | 39 | 32 |
| Less subseriptiona to International Bank and International Monetary Fund.. | 3,062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equals net long-term loans and Investmonts, excluding and International Ban Monctary Fund | 3,787 | 999 | 470 | 162 | 72 | 19 | 39 | 32 |
| O. Outhow of short-term capital (net). | 108 | -92 | 173 | 28 | 4 | 20 | 4 |  |
| Total net unilateral payments, loans and investments, oxcluding Interna. clional Monetary Fund $(A+B+C)$. | 8,842 | 8,068 | 8,047 | 4,147 | 1,007 | 1,170 | 835 | 945 |

[^24]'Гable A-41.-IInited Siates merchandise export surplus, by area, 1936-38 quarterly average and 1947-50

| Period | Total mer-chandise export surplus | Can. ada 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { Westarn } \\ & \text { Hemi- } \\ & \text { sphere } \end{aligned}$ | ERP coun. tries ${ }^{2}$ | Other Europe | Asia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Australld and Occania | Africa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: <br> $1936-38 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 20$\quad 27$ -7 130 1 -61 13 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947. | 2,398 | 246 | 449 | 1,150 | 73 | 313 | 41 | 123 |
| 1948 | 1,382 | 88 | 214 | ' 802 |  | 183 | -3 | 98 |
| 1949 | 1,354 | 102 | 113 | 807 |  | 237 | 17 |  |
| 1950 | ${ }^{3} 30$ | (4) | (1) | (1) | (4) | (4) | (1) |  |
| 1919-First quarter. | 1,548 | 94 | 174 | 910 | 8 | 283 | 20 | 60 |
| gecond quarter | 1,773 | 185 | 159 | 999 | 13 | 290 | 11 | 112 |
| Third quarter. | 1,216 | 125 | 108 | 688 | 1 | 217 | 25 | 70 |
| Fourth quarter | 883 | 2 | 11 | 654 | 3 | 160 | 13 | 41 |
| 1050-First quarter... Becond quarter. Third quarter ${ }^{6}$ Fourth quarter ${ }^{2}$ | 489 | -7 | -85 | 847 | -12 | 97 | -12 | -39 |
|  | 883 | 62 | 24 | 521 | -11 | 18 | -15 | -7 |
|  | ${ }^{60}$ | (1) 4 | -206 | 258 | -12 | (8) ${ }^{81}$ | -18 | ${ }^{-58}$ |
|  | 150 | (4) | (1) | (1) | (4) | (1) | (1) | (4) |
|  | Percentage of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947. | 100 | 10.3 | 18.7 | 48.0 | 3.0 | 13.1 | 1.7 | 6. 1 |
| 1948 | 100 | 6.4 | 15.5 | 88.0 |  | 13.2 | $-2$ | 7.1 |
| 1949. | 100 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 59.6 | . 4 | 17.8 | 1.3 | 6. 2 |
| 1049-First quarter.Becond quarteThird quartarFourth quarte | 100 | 6.1 | 11.2 | 68.8 | . 8 | 18.3 | 1.3 | 3.9 |
|  | 100 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 68, 3 | . 7 | 18.4 | . 6 | 8.3 |
|  | 100 | 10.3 | 8.9 | 64.9 | . 1 | 17.8 | 2.1 | 6.8 |
|  | 100 | . 2 | $1.2{ }^{\prime}$ | 74.1 | . 3 | 18.1 | 1.6 | 4.8 |
| 1950- $\begin{aligned} & \text { First quartor } \\ & \text { Second } \\ & \text { Tuartor } \\ & \text { Third quartor }\end{aligned}$ ( | 100 | -1.4 | -17.4 | 111.9 | -2. 5 | 19.8 | -2.5 | -8.0 |
|  | 100 | 8.9 | 4.1 | 89.4 | -1.9 | 3.1 | -2.8 | -1.2 |
|  | 100 | 6.7 | $-343.3$ | 430.0 | $-20.0$ | -135.0 | $-30.0$ | -96.7 |

1 Includes Newfoundland and Labrador.
3 Turkey is included with ERP countries and excluded from Asla. Exports to and imports from Germany are included with those of ERP countries and, in the postwar period, relate almost wholly to trade with the three weatern zones.
Estimatee based on incomplete data; fourth quarter by Coundll of Economic Advisers.

- Not available.
"Data by area exclude "special category" exports of 172 million dollars in the third quarter of 1050, which are included in total exports. Thus, the export or import surplus by ares will not add to the total export surplus in this period.

Nots.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding. See also footnote b.
Source: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

Table A-42.-United States merciandise exports, including reexports, by area, 1936-38 quarterly average and 1947-50

| Period | Total exports Includ. ing reexports | Canada 1 | Other <br> Western Hemisphere | ERP <br> Coun- <br> tries ${ }^{2}$ | Other Europe | Asia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Austraila Oceania | Africa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917. | 3,835 | 828 | 1,017 | 1,324 | 118 | 562 | 80 | 205 |
| 1948 | 3, 163 | 486 | ${ }^{1} 811$ | 1,046 | 49 | 507 | 38 | 196 |
| 1949 | 3, 010 | 490 | 72 | 1,018 | 41 | 633 | 49 | 155 |
| 1950 : | 2,658 | (1) | (4) | (1) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (1) |
| 1940-First quarter. | 3,337 | 472 | 836 | 1,160 | 42 | 611 | 64 | 163 |
| Becond quartar | 3, 374 | 571 | 739 | 1,189 | 46 | 592 | 60 | 186 |
| Third quarter. | 2,693 | 473 | 670 | 843 | 35 | 482 | 47 | 142 |
| Fourth quarter | 2,638 | 444 | 652 | 881 | 39 | 448 | 44 | 130 |
| 1050- First quarter .... Becond quarter.. Third quarter 'Fourth quarter 3 | 2,377 | 397 | 641 | 787 | 32 | 309 |  |  |
|  | 2, 510 | 530 | 668 | 764 | 33 | 381 | 38 | 96 |
|  | 2,446 | 806 | 705 | 682 | 36 | 336 | 30 | 78 |
|  | 2,900 | (4) | (1) | (1) | (4) | (1) | (1) | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |
|  | Percentare of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917. | 100 | 13.8 | 28.6 | 34.5 | 3.1 | 14.7 | 2.1 | 5.3 |
| 1988. | 100 | 15.4 | 26.6 | 33.1 | 1.8 | 18.0 | 1.2 | 6.2 |
| 1949 | 100 | 16.3 | 24.1 | 33.8 | 1.4 | 17.7 | 1.6 | 8.1 |
| 1940-First quarterSocond quarteThird quarterFourth quart | 100 | 14.1 | 25.1 | 34.8 | 1.3 | 18.3 | 1.6 | 4.9 |
|  | 100 | 16.9 | 21.9 | 35.2 | 1.4 | 17.5 | 1.5 | 3. 5 |
|  | 100 | 17.8 | 24.9 | 31.3 | 1.3 | 17.9 | 1.7 | 8.3 |
|  | 100 | 16.8 | 24.7 | 33.1 | 1.5 | 17.0 | 1.7 | 4.9 |
| 1050-First quarter.- | 100 | 16.7 | 27.0 | 33.1 | 1.3 | 16.8 | 1.5 | 3.5 |
|  | 100 | 21.1 | 26.6 | 30.4 | 1.3 | 15.2 | 1.5 | 3. 8 |
| Third quarter ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 100 | 20.7 | 28.8 | 23.8 | 1.5 | 13.7 | 1.2 | 3.2 |

[^25]Source: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

Table A-43.-Wnited Slates donestic merchandiss exports, by econamic class, 1930-38 quarterly aperage and 1947-50

| Perlod | Total domestio axports | $\begin{gathered} \text { Orude } \\ \text { materlals } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Orude } \\ \text { roodstufis } \end{array}\right\|$ | Manu. factured foodstatit | Somimanu. tactures | Finished manutactares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | $\begin{array}{r} 731 \\ 3,791 \\ 3,133 \\ 2,138 \\ 2,522 \end{array}$ | 167400372(1) | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 347 \\ 316 \\ \text { (y) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 439 \\ 328 \\ 222 \\ \text { (8) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 446 \\ 343 \\ \text { (v) } \end{array}$ | ( $\begin{array}{r}358 \\ 2,168 \\ 1,773 \\ 1,041 \\ \text { (2) }\end{array}$ |
| 1948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1050{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1040-First quarter. Third quarter Fourth quarter. | $\begin{aligned} & 3,302 \\ & 3,344 \\ & 2,686 \\ & 2,815 \end{aligned}$ | 460849329436 | $\mathbf{3 9 6}$$\mathbf{3 4 9}$$\mathbf{3 2 5}$ | 256270 | 386387 | 1,7981,789 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 174 | 310 | 1, 529 |
|  |  |  | 270 | 188 | 272 | 1,450 |
| 1050-First quartSecond quaThird quarFourth qua | 2,3502,4782,4112,850 | $\begin{gathered} 431 \\ \\ (y 06 \\ ()^{424} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & { }^{180} \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { (i) } \begin{gathered} 140 \\ 151 \\ 156 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 271 \\ & 271 \\ & (\mathrm{y}) \end{aligned}$ | 1,3241,3701,388(1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1936-38....... | 100 | 228 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 17.8 | 49.0 |
| 1948 | 100 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 11.6 10.6 | 11.8 | 68.6 |
| 1949 | 100 | 14.9 | 11.2 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 65.0 |
| 1040-First quarter. | 100 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 54.8 |
| Becond quarter | 100 | 16.4 | 10.4 | 8.1 | 11.6 | 63.5 |
| Third quarter. | 100 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 6.5 | 11.6 | 57.4 |
| Fourth quarter | 100 | 16.7 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 10.4 | 55.4 |
| 1000-Pirst quarter. |  |  |  |  | 10.9 |  |
| Second quartor | 100 | 20.4 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 10.9 | 85.3 |
| Third quartar. | 100 | 17.6 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 57.6 |

1 Estimates based on incomplete data; fourth quarter by Council of Economio Advisers.
1 Not avallablo.
Norz, - Data in this tabie cover all domestio morchandise shlpped from the United States customs area so fordign countries including, in 1947 to 1950 , goods destined to United States armed forces abroad for dis. tribution in occupled areas as civilian supplies.

Detall will not necoesarily add to totale because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commarce (except as noted).

Table A-44.-Indexes of quantily and unit value of United States domestic merchandise exports by economic class, 1936-38 quarterly average and 1947-50
[1038-38=100]

| Perlod | Total domestic exports | Crude materials | Orude loodstuffs 1 | Manufactured foodstuffs 1 | Seml-manufactures | Finlshed manufactures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity indexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947. | 275 | 123 | 397 | 478 | 203 | 332 |
| 1949. | 221 | 128 | 435 | 297 | 150 | 253 |
| 1950 3.- | 187 | 128 | 279 | 230 | 124 | 218 |
| 1940-First quarter. | 233 | 129 | 495 | 317 | 162 | 204 |
| Becond quarter | 243 | 155 | 438 | 366 | 167 | 288 |
| Third quarter... | 200 | 93 | 439 | 235 | 144 | 236 |
| Fourth quarter. | $20{ }^{2}$ | 125 | 368 | 271 | 128 | 228 |
| 1950-First quarter. | 181 | 125 | 287 | 215 | 121 | 208 |
| Becond quarter. | 194 | 143 | 275 | 251 | 128 | 221 |
| Third quarter... | 184 | 112 | 266 | 228 | 125 | 220 |
| October. | 106 | 123 | 304 | 218 | 127 | 235 |
|  | Unit value Indexes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947... | 188 | 195 | 248 | 218 | 169 | 182 |
| 1948 | 200 | 223 | 255 | 223 | 184 | 193 |
| 1049 | 185 | 212 | 225 | 177 | 174 | 181 |
| $1950{ }^{2}$ | 178 | 217 | 193 | 156 | 167 | 177 |
| 1040-First quarter.... | 193 | 216 | 233 | 191 | 184 | 190 |
| Second quarter. | 188 | 212 | 233 | 175 | 179 | 186 |
| Third quarter .- | 182 | 212 | 216 | 175 | 165 | 181 |
| Fourth quarter. | 179 | 208 | 214 | 163 | 164 | 177 |
| 1950-First quarter... | 177 | 206 | 202 | 155 | 164 | 178 |
| Second quarter | 174 | 212 | 180 | 143 | 168 | 174 |
| Third quarter.. | 179 | 220 | 188 | 163 | 168 | 176 |
| October...... | 187 | 240 | 190 | 174 | 178 | 182 |

I Indexes of crude and manufactured foodstuffs, partlcularly those of unit value in 1950, are influencod by large shlpments of surplus food products. These shipments vary in kind and quantlity from month to month and are sold at prices considerably below market quotations.
${ }^{2}$ Average of ten months.
NOTE. -The Indexes of quantity are a measure of the volume of tradeafter the influence on value of changes in average prices has been eliminated. The indexes of unit valuo provide a measure of change in the average prlces at which trade transactions are reported In officlal foreign trade statistics, including change In average prices that result from changes in the commodity composition of trade. The Indexes for 1947 to 1950 are based on data whigh include goods destined to the United States armed forces abroad for distribution to clvillans in occupled areas.

Source: Department of Commerce.

Table A-45.-United States general merchandise imports, by area, 1936-38 quarterly average and 1947-50


1 Includes Newfoundland and Labrador.
${ }^{1}$ Turkey is included with ERP countries and excluded from Asla. Imports from Germany are Included with those of ERP countries and, in the postwar perlod, relate almost wholly to imports from the three western zones.
${ }^{2}$ Estimates based on incomplete data; fourth quarter by Councll of Economio Advisars.

- Not avallable.

Note. Data in thls table cover all merchandise recelved In the United States customs area from forelgn countrias. General imports include merchandise entered immediately upon arrival into merchandising channels, plus entries into bonded çustoms warehouses.
Detail will not necassarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce (excopt as noted).

Tasle A-46.-United States merchandiss imports for consumption, by aconomic class, 1936-38 quartarly average and 1947-50

| Pertod | Total Importa for consamption | Crade matariale | Crude toodstatis | Manutaoroodstast | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sami- } \\ & \text { mana- } \\ & \text { facturee } \end{aligned}$ | Finisbed manufactures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Militions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: <br> 1036-38..................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1936-38 | $\begin{array}{r} 616 \\ 1,416 \\ 1,773 \\ 1,648 \\ 2,205 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 41 \\ 657 \\ ()^{663} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 204 \\ 818 \\ (7)^{833} \end{array}$ | (\%) $\begin{array}{r}\text { 93 } \\ \\ 184 \\ 183 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | (7) $\begin{array}{r}188 \\ \text { 311 } \\ 408 \\ \hline 85\end{array}$ | ( ${ }^{120} \begin{array}{r}246 \\ \text { 327 } \\ \text { 311 } \\ \end{array}$ |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918........................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950 i-............................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1040- First quarter...............Second quarter...........Third quarter.........Fourth quarter.......... | $\begin{aligned} & 1,757 \\ & 1,600 \\ & 1,801 \\ & 1,744 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 503 \\ & 419 \\ & 424 \\ & 478 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 340 \\ & 302 \\ & 287 \\ & 403 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 182 \\ & 198 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 308336306 | 336305 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |
|  |  |  |  | 167 | 381 |  |
| 1950- First quarter. gecond quarter Third quarter. Fourth quarter | $\begin{aligned} & 1,872 \\ & 1,904 \\ & 2,344 \\ & 2,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 836 \\ & 813 \\ & 631 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 423 \\ 346 \\ 816 \end{array}$ | 185 | 416 <br> 480 <br> 8 | 312352 |
|  |  |  |  | 213 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{275}$ | (9) ${ }^{6}$ | (1) 380 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: <br> 100 <br> 10030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947............... | 100 | 81.1 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 220 | 17.4 |
| 1948. | 100 | 80.3 | 17.9 | 10.8 | 23.0 | 18.4 |
| 1949. | 100 | 28.1 | 20.2 | 11.2 | 21.8 | 18.9 |
| 1949-First quartor-............. Beoond quarter Third quarter. Fourtin quarter........... | 100 | 28.6 | 19.4 | 10.4 | 22.5 | 19.1 |
|  | 100 | 28.2 | 19.0 | 12.5 | 21.1 | 19.2 |
|  | 100 | 28.2 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 20.4 | 10.3 |
|  | 100 | 27.4 | 23.1 | 0.6 | 21.8 | 18.1 |
| 1050-First quarter. Second quarter Third quarter. | 100100100 | 28.6 | 22.6. | 9.9 | 22.2 | 16.7 |
|  |  | 28.9 | 18.2 | 11.2 | 25. 2 | 18.5 |
|  |  | 22.9 | 22.0 | 11.7 | 23.1 | 16.2 |

I Estimates baved on Incomplete data; fourth quarter by Council of Economic Advisers.
2 Not avallablo.
Norz. - Imports for consumption Include merchandise entered Immediately upon arrival into merchandisIng or consumption channels, plus withdrawals from bonded customs warehouses for consumption.
betall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

Table A-47.—Indexes of quantity and unit oalue of United Slates merchandise imports for consumption, by economic class, 1936-38 quarterly average and 1947-50
(1830-38-100)

| Perlod | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { imports for } \\ \text { consumpr } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Crude materials | Crude tndstuas | Manufactured foodstufis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beml- } \\ \text { manufac- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ | Finished manulaotures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity Indexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarterly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1917.... | 108 | 129 | 86 | 83 | 130 | 84 |
| 1018. | 123 | 139 | 109 | 91 | 149 | 103 |
| 1949 | 120 | 125 | 119 | 97 | 143 | 101 |
| 1950 1... | 145 | 161 | 114 | 118 | 213 | 121 |
| 1949-First quarter... | 121 | 120 | 121 | 03 | 140 | 106 |
| Second quarter. | 116 | 118 | 116 | 105 | 129 | ${ }^{108}$ |
| Third quarter.. | 111 | 116 | 104 | 100 | 130 | ${ }^{94}$ |
| Fourth quarter | 131 | 136 | 135 | 88 | 169 | 106 |
| 1950-First quarter. | 137 | 152 | 121 | 98 | 188 | 107 |
| Second quarter | 135 | 139 | ${ }^{94}$ | 113 | 213 | 119 |
| Third quartar.- | 154 | 165 | 125 | 143 | 219 | 126 |
| October.- | 170 | 169 | 122 | 132 | 266 | 185 |
|  | Unit value Inderes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947... | 213 | 180 | 311 | 208 | 191 | 245 |
| 1848... | 235 | 203 | 343 | 212 | 217 | 286 |
| 1949 | 224 | 195 | 330 | 202 | 198 | 258 |
| $1050{ }^{1}$... | 236 | 202 | 448 | 201 | 187 | 250 |
| 1940-First quarter. | 235 | 206 | 330 | 205 | 225 | 267 |
| Second quarter | 224 | 200 | 306 | 109 | 208 | 281 |
| Third quarter | 220 | 193 | , 324 | 205 | 187 | 258 |
| Fourth quarter | 217 | 185 | '352 | 201 | 180 | 249 |
| 1050-First quarter. | 223 | 185 | 410 | 109 | 176 | 245 |
| Second quarter | 229 | 194 | 433 | 109 | 179 | 248 |
| Third quarter.. | 248 | 215 | 485 | 203 | 197 | 283 |
| October......... | 203 | 238 | 498 | 210 | 216 | 258 |

${ }^{1}$ Average of ten months.
Notr.--The indexes of quantity are a measure of the volume of trade after the influence on value of changes in average prices has been eliminated. The indexes of unit value provide a measure of change in the average prices at which trade transactions are reported in official forelgn trade statistics, including changes in average prices that result from changes in the commodity composition of trade.

Bource: Department of Commerce.

Table A-48.-Changes in selected economic series since 1939 and 1949 and during 1950

| Source: <br> Appon- <br> table <br> No. | Economio sarien | 1039 $=100$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percantacge } \\ & \text { change it } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1080 |  |  | 1060, |
|  |  | . | Total ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { First } \\ & \text { half } \end{aligned}$ | Second half | 10502 | to 1050 , second half |
| A-1 | Grose national product ...................... | 230 | 305 | 292 | 318 | +0.1 | +8.9 |
|  | Personal consumption oxpenditares. | 225 | 283 | 272 | 203 | +6.7 | +7.6 |
|  | Gross privato domestio investment...... Net forelgn lnvestment.............. | 333 44 | ${ }^{400}$ | (J) 4 | ${ }_{\text {(3) }}{ }^{332}$ |  | +19.0 +88.9 |
|  | Government purchases of goods and servicee | 331 | 321 | 310 | 333 | () | -88.9 +7.4 |
| A-4 | National income | 299 | $\begin{aligned} & 328 \\ & 318 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \\ & 304 \end{aligned}$ | 334 | +8.9 | +11.7 |
|  | Oompensation of employe |  |  |  |  | +8.3 | +9.7 |
| A-7 | Personal Income........- | $\begin{aligned} & 284 \\ & 267 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 288 \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 297 \\ & 280 \\ & 470 \end{aligned}$ | 316296370 | +7.9 | +6.2 |
|  | Disposable personal income |  |  |  |  | 778 +314 | +5.6 |
| A-8 | Por capita disposable personal income: Current prices. 1950 prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 234 | 249140 | 243 | 254 | +6.1 | +4.7 |
|  |  |  |  | 139 | 140 | +4.6 | +. 9 |
| A-10 | Grosa natlonal product, 1050 prices: Total. Personal consumption expenditures. Gross private domestic investment... Government purchases of goods and services. | $\begin{aligned} & 186 \\ & 151 \\ & 179 \end{aligned}$ | 167169254 | (\%) | (4) | +7.1 | (8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 168 | 158 | () | (1) | -7.3 | (1) |
| A-11 | Labor force, Including armed forces........... | 114 | 116 | 115 | 118 | +1.0 | $+2.6$ |
|  | Ofrillan labor force... | 112 | 114 | 113 | 115 | +1.6 | $+^{2.1}$ |
|  |  | 128 140 | 131 | 128 142 | 134 | +2.1 +3.5 | -4.8 |
|  | Nonagricultural.................. | 140 84 | 148 78 | 142 75 | 148 81 | +3.5 -6.8 | +4.4 |
|  | Unemployment. | 36 | 33 | 41 | 25 | $-7.8$ | -37.8 |
| A-13 | Average gross weokly earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Manufacturing. | 230 | 247 | 239 | 255 | +7.4 | +6.6 |
|  | Bltuminous cosi mining.. | 208 233 | 284 | 270 231 | 209 250 | +7.3 +3.0 | +10.8 +7.8 |
| A-16 | Physical production Index of goods: Total Agricultural. <br> Nonagricultural. | 100132165 | 178178189 | (4) | (4) |  | (1)$+10.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -2.1 +14.3 |  |
| A-17 | Industrial production: Total Durable manufactures. Nondurable manufacturea Minerals. | 181185184127 | $\begin{aligned} & 183 \\ & 217 \\ & 172 \\ & 141 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 173 \\ & 202 \\ & 166 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \\ & 233 \\ & 177 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | +13.6+16.8+11.3+10.4 | +11.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +16. 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +6.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +16.2 |
| A-18 | New construction: Total <br> Private. <br> Renidential <br> Nonresidential. <br> Publlc. <br> other private. | $\begin{aligned} & 270 \\ & 369 \\ & 309 \\ & 411 \\ & 508 \\ & 168 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2388 \\ & 470 \\ & 460 \\ & 479 \\ & 476 \\ & 186 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 321 \\ & 440 \\ & 399 \\ & 429 \\ & 180 \\ & 178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 356 \\ & 495 \\ & 494 \\ & 430 \\ & 470 \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +22.7 \\ & +27.4 \\ & +10.8 \\ & +16.7 \\ & -6.6 \\ & +10.6 \end{aligned}$ | +10.6+10.1+12.8+23.4+2.1+0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-19 | Business expenditures for now plant and equipment | 348 | 340 | 309 | 388 | +. 1 | +28.8 |
| A-20 | Inventorles: Total. <br> Manufacturlng. <br> Wholesale trade. <br> Retall. | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 252 \\ & 284 \\ & 248 \\ & 248 \end{aligned}$ | (4)(4)(4)( | $\begin{aligned} & 269 \\ & 262 \\ & 209 \\ & 206 \end{aligned}$ | ( $3_{4}$ | (4) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Balea: Total. <br> Manufacturing. <br> Wholesale trade <br> Retall. | $\begin{aligned} & 314 \\ & 337 \\ & 300 \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { ( } \\ \text { ( } \\ \text { ( } \\ 6 \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 331 \\ & 350 \\ & 305 \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | (4) | (8) | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-23 | Oonsumers' price Index: All items. Food. <br> Apparel <br> Rent. | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & 212 \\ & 180 \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172 \\ & 214 \\ & 186 \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | 100208184118 | 178221189120 | $\begin{array}{r} +.8 \\ +1.1 \\ -1.8 \\ +2.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm_{8.6}^{8.3} \\ & \text { for }_{2.6}^{6} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tamle A-48.-Changes in seloctal acomomic series since 1930 and 1909 and dering 1950Contimued

| Bource: 4 ppantable No. | Economio series | 1980-100 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { change? } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 149 | 1080 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1049 \text { to } \\ & 1960 \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1050, \\ \text { hrat } \\ \text { half, } \\ \text { to } 1050, \\ \text { socond } \\ \text { half } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Total 1 | Frst hali | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seovad } \\ & \text { haly: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| A-24 | Wholeeate price index: All commoditice..... | 201 | 200 | 190 | 219 | +4.1 | +9.9 |
|  | Farm producta.............................. | 263 | 201 | 246 | 276 | +3.0 | +12.8 |
|  | Foods............................................... | 220 | 215 | 24 | 240 | -6.1 | +11.2 |
|  | Othor than farm products and foods...... | 181 | 187 |  | 186 | +3.1 |  |
| A-25 |  | 202 | 210 | 204 | 288 | +2.8 | +12.9 |
|  | Prices pald by farmers (including interest, taxen, and wage rates) | 205 |  |  | 213 | +2.4 | +3.6 |
| A-28 | Consumer credilt outstanding, end of period. Instalment credit. | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \\ & 240 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | 251 274 | 384 | +19.0 +24.0 | +18.3 +11.8 |
| A-32 | Corporato prents: <br> Proats before tax. <br> Pronts after tax. $\qquad$ <br> Diridend payments. <br> Undistributed profts. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 425 \\ & 8400 \\ & 206 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 618 438 | ${ }_{891}^{812}$ | 723 480 | +48.78 | + ${ }^{41.1}$ |
|  |  |  | 234 | 216 | 253 | +14.1 | f17:1 |
|  |  |  | 1,083 | 007 | 1,200 | +41.3 |  |
| A-30 | International transactions in goods and services: <br> Exports. <br> Imports.. <br> Export aurplus |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1811 \\ & 1289 \\ & 1600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8298 \\ 6247 \\ 61,088 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1823 \\ & 8330 \\ & 1571 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -11.4 | +8.2 +33.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{+20.8}$ | +47.2 |
| A-42 | Merchandiso exports, Including reexports. .. | - 400 | - 345 | - 320 | - 300 | $-18.0$ | +9.1 |
| A-45 | General merchandise Imports.................. | - 200 | - 880 | -307 | 1413 | +88. 1 | +34.6 |

[^26]
## Appendix B

## The Distribution of Income and Saving in the United States

## CONTENTS

Page
The distribution of income ..... 223
The distribution of saving and liquid assets . ..... 225
Statistical tables:
B-1. Distribution of spending units and total money income before and after Federal income tax, by income groups, 1949 ..... 226
B-2. Distribution of characteristics of low and high income groups, 1949 ..... 227
B-3. Percentage of spending units, within various income groups having income from selected sources, 1949. ..... 227
B-4. Proportion of spending units owning various types of assets, by income groups, early 1950. ..... 228
B-5. Summary of saving of positive and negative savers, 1948 and 1949 ..... 228
B-6. Money income and positive, negative, and net saving of each fifth of spending units, 1948 and 1949. ..... 229
B-7. Major types of expenditures of spending units reducing liquid assets during 1949, by income groups. ..... 229
B-8. Median liquid asset holdings by income level, early 1947, 1948, 1949, and 1950 ..... 230

## The Distribution of Income and Saving in the United States

## The distribution of income

As the people of the United States are called upon to devote an increased proportion of productive effort to defense, they can consider themselves fortunate that the period just ended was one of great progress in raising living standards. Not only has total personal income increased, but, as compared with the prewar period, a higher proportion of income now goes to the middle and lower income groups. Disparities between the quality and style of goods available to low and higher income groups have been narrowed. The outstanding example is perhaps automobiles, where low-priced cars are in elements of serviceability and many elements of style equal to those in high-priced ranges. The clothing of the average working girl is neat and modish, and to the casual observer little different from that of her wealthier sister; the variety of foods on sale in working-class neighborhoods rivals that of high income localities.

Nevertheless, there are still large numbers of families in the United States with small or inadequate incomes. According to the 1950 Survey of Consumer Finances, ${ }^{1}$ in 1949, 33 percent of the spending units in the economy had less than $\$ 2,000$ money income before taxes, and an additional 21 percent had between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,000$ income before taxes. ${ }^{2}$ (See table B-1.) Allowing for Federal income taxes, the proportion of spending units below $\$ 3,000$ is raised to 59 percent. State and local incomes taxes are not included in these computations, but in general they are not very important for lower income groups.

Table B-2 summarizes some of the data with regard to characteristics of low income families which have been assembled by the Survey of Consumer Finances. These data indicate that there are some factors which tend to mitigate the degree of disparity between the status of the very low and

[^27]middle income groups. For example, as compared with higher income groups, a greater percentage of the under- $\$ 2,000$ group are one-person units, a larger fraction are retired persons, who in some cases have adequate aavings, and a greater proportion live in rural areas, where costs of living may be somewhat lower. Of those living in rural areas, a considerable fraction are farm families, who may produce food and fuel for their own consumption.

After these qualifications are made, however, it is apparent that there still remains an economic and social problem of great magnitude. For example, 54 percent of the under- $\$ 1,000$ group and 65 percent of the under$\$ 2,000$ spending units have heads between the ages of 25 and 64 years, a period of life in which earnings should be adequate. Over half live in metropolitan or other urban areas. A lack of adequate education is indicated in a large number of cases, since only 29 percent of the under- $\$ 1,000$ group progressed beyond grammar school. The position of families who must depend on the earnings of a woman head is particularly unfortunate, due to the pressure of family responsibilities and the discrimination against women in gainful employment. In the under- $\$ 2,000$ family income group, according to statistics of the Bureau of the Census for 1948, 26 percent of nonfarm families of two or more persons (whose heads were between 21 and 64 years old) were headed by women, as compared with only 6 percent in higher income groups. ${ }^{1}$

Table B-3 shows that in 1949 a much smaller proportion of the under$\$ 2,000$ income units received wages and salaries than in higher income groups, while a relatively high proportion received pensions and allowances. The large number of units at all income levels receiving pensions and allowances as compared to interest, dividends, and rents is one of the more interesting findings of the consumer survey. In the $\$ 7,500$-and-over group, a surprisingly small proportion, 60 percent, received wages and salaries, while 43 percent received interest and dividends. A relatively large number in the upper income groups also received entrepreneurial income.

The fact that expenditures of the lower income groups exceed the income of those groups by large amounts year after year indicates that some low income units have previously been in a more favorable position. Family income tends to rise with age of the head until about 45 or 50 , after which it declines. Temporary business reverses, fluctuations in farm prices, sickness, and chance factors also contribute to shifting families from one income level to another. More data are needed on the degree of shifting up and down the income scale which families undergo over a period of years. The Survey of Consumer Finances shows that around 67 percent of the under- $\$ 2,000$ income group in 1949 were in the same or lower income group in the preceding year.'

[^28]About half the spending units in the under- $\$ 2,000$ income group have assets worth at least $\$ 1,000$, while one-fourth have assets worth $\$ 5,000$ or more. As shown in table B-4, 44 percent of the under- $\$ 1,000$ group have liquid assets, as compared with 69 percent for all income groups, and 24 percent own automobiles. The fact that a larger proportion of this income group own homes or farms than in the population at large indicates a disproportionate number of units with heads in older age classes.

## Distribution of saving and liquid assets

In 1949 about 60 percent of spending units had net savings, about 35 percent had expenditures in excess of income, and the remainder about broke even. Spending units with incomes in excess of expenditures saved 23 billion dollars, compared with 14 billion of negative saving on the part of spending units with deficits, as shown in table B-5. There was thus a net saving of 9 billion dollars in 1949 as compared with 11 billion in 1948. ${ }^{1}$ The mean saving of all units in 1949 was $\$ 180$ as compared with $\$ 220$ in 1948.

As shown in text table 6, the lowest one-fifth of income units spent an amount equal to one-and-one-half times their incomes in 1949. The middle fifth broke even and the upper income fifth saved about 16 percent of income. The saving of this fifth, however, more than counterbalanced all the dissaving done by the lower segments of the population. Thus the upper income groups accounted for 131 percent of total net saving of all units in 1949, as shown in table B-6.

Sixty-two percent of positive saving (i. e., saving of all families with net positive saving) in 1949 was concentrated in the top one-fifth of income receivers, while negative saving was more widely distributed. However, the lowest fifth of income receivers not only dissaved much more heavily in relation to their incomes than did upper income receivers, but actually performed a disproportionately large amount ( 30 percent) of total negative saving.

The purchase of durable goods (which is not rucluded in saving) was an important reason why saving was low or negative for many families in the last two or three years. In 1949, 66 percent of spending units with negative saving purchased durable goods, in comparison with only 46 percent of positive savers. Of the negative savers, 54 percent increased their indebtedness and 58 percent decreased their holdings of liquid assets (bank deposits, saving and loan shares, and U. S. Government bonds). Table B-7 shows the major reasons given for reducing liquid assets in 1949 by spending unit respondents. Medical expense was the most frequent reason given, being cited by 43 percent of units reducing assets. Purchase of automobiles or other durable goods was given as a reason for reducing assets in 26 percent of cases. In some cases, liquid assets were converted

[^29]into other types of tangible assets, such as homes, or into business equities. While a large proportion of spending units have dissaved in each postwar year, in early 1950 most units were fornd to have assets greater than outstanding liabilities, indicating that in all probability the same units did not dissave year after year.

The last table (B-8) shows the postwar decline in median liquid asset holdings by income level. Not only has the median holding declined fairly steadily for all income groups, but the percentage of units with no liquid assets (outside of currency) has grown. Since there has been a steady growth in the number of spending units, total holdings of liquid assets have grown somewhat since the end of the war.

Table B-1.-Distribution of spending units and total money income before and after Federal income tax, by income groups, 1949
[Percent]

|  | - | Bpending unite ' |  | Total money incoms ${ }^{8}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income groups |  | Before <br> Federal <br> income tax | After Fed. eral income tax (disposable inIncome) : | Before <br> Federal <br> income tax | Alter F'ederal income tax (disposable in. income) |
| Under \$1,010. |  | 14 | 15 | 2 | 2 |
| \$1,000- $11,099 .$. |  | 19 | 21 | 9 | 11 |
| 12,000-2,900... |  | 21 | 23 | 16 | 19 |
| \$3,000-8,900 ... |  | 19 | 18 | 19 | 21 |
| 4,000-4,999... |  | 11 | 11 | 15 | 16 |
| \$5,000-77,499.. |  | 11 | 8 | 10 | 16 |
| $\$ 7,500-9,900 . .$. 10,000 and 0 ver |  | 2 3 | 2 | 20 | 16 |
| All came |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Median Income ${ }^{\text {f. }}$ |  | \$2,700 | \$2, 600 |  |  |
| Mean income '.... |  | \$3,270 | \$3,000 | -..........- |  |

1 The spending unit consists of all persons related by blood or marriage who live in the same dwelling unlt and pool their income for major items of expense.
I Income data for each year are based on Interviews during January, February, and March of the following year.
1 Money income alter doduction of estimated Federal personal income tax liability. See Appendix to Part III, 1950 Surocy of Consumer Finances, for method of estimating disposable income. Money income Agures exclude capital gains or lowes and tax estimates make no allowance for such gains or losses.
TThe median amount of Income ts that of the middle apending unit when all units are ranked by size of tncome.

- The mean amount is the average obtained by dividing aggregate income by the number of spending units.

Source: 1950 Survey of Consumer Finances, sponsored by the Board of Covernors of the Federal Reserve System, and conducted by the Survey Reaearch Center of the University of Michigan.

Tabsi B-2.-Distribution of charactoristics of low and high income groups, 1949
[Percent]

| Oharacteristio of speading unit | Money lncome belore tares |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000- \\ & \$ 1,009 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 2,000-$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$, 000 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Bleo: |  |  |  |  |
| One person. | 44 | 37 | 24 | 0 |
| Three of mist parions | $\stackrel{29}{7}$ | 28 28 | 27 | 29 |
| Rece: 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Whito. | 83 | 80 | 92 | 97 |
| Negro.. | 15 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Place of residence: |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan area. |  |  |  |  |
| Other urban area. | 35 | 41 | 38 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of income recelvera: |  |  |  |  |
| Nono...... |  |  |  |  |
| One........... | 84 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 81 19 | 68 82 |
| Age of head: |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 years. |  |  |  |  |
| 25-64 years. | 54 | 65 | 77 | 80 |
| 65 years and over. | 35 | 14 | 8 |  |
| Occupation of head: |  |  |  |  |
| Retired. | 14 | 8 | 4 | ${ }_{5}^{2}$ |
| Farmill | $\stackrel{14}{24}$ | 18 | 17 | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ |
| All other occupations.......... | 47 | 63 | 72 | 83 |
| Education of head: |  |  |  |  |
| No education......... | 9 |  | 2 |  |
| Grammar school |  | 47 48 | 45 63 | ${ }_{69}^{29}$ |
| All spending units ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1 Lees than one-half of 1 percent were "other" race.
1 Detail for each characteristic will not necessarily add to 100 because of a small percentage of non-ascertalnable cased.
Source: Same as appendix table B-1.
Table B-3.-Percentage of sperding units within various income groups having income from selected sources, 19491
[Percent of unds within each income group]

| Money income before taxes | Belected source of Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wagos and salaries | Pensions and allowance | Interest and dividends | Rent |
| Under \$1,000.. |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,009... | 76 | 38 | 7 | 8 |
| \$2,000-82,990.. | 88 | 28 | 8 | 8 |
| \$3,000-83,099.. | 91 | 23 | 11 | 9 |
| \$1,000-81,009.. | 91 | 24 | 12 | 12 |
| \$5,000-\$7,409.. | 87 | 18 | 20 | 13 |
| \$7,600 and over | 60 |  | 43 | 23 |
| All spending units. | 78 | 28 | 12 | $\theta$ |

[^30]Table B-4.-Proportion of spending units owning various types of assets, by income groups, early 1950
[Percent]

| Previous year's money income before taxes | Liquid assets 1 | Auto mobile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Home or } \\ & \text { farm ? } \end{aligned}$ | Other real estate: | Business interest: | Corporate stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$1,000. | 44 | 24 | 60 | 9 | 3 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,999. | 54 | 37 | 32 | 11 |  | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999. | 68 | 64 | 40 | 12 | 6 | 5 |
| \$3,000-83,999. | 74 | 63 | 46 | 18 | 6 | 7 |
| \$4,000- $\$ 4,999$. | 86 | 74 | 55 | 18 | 10 | 10 |
| \$5,000-87,499. | 94 | 82 | 62 | 28 | 15 | 10 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 99 | 89 | 68 | 44 | 36 | 30 |
| All income groups. | 69 | 65 | 46 | 16 | 8 | 7 |

1 Includes all types of U. S. Government bonds, checking accounts, savings accounts in banks, postal sayings, and shares in saving and loan assoclatlons and credit unions. Exaludes currency.
${ }_{2}$ Owner-occupled home or farm.
3 Real estate other than home or farm on whleh owner is living. Includes lots, one- or two-famlly houses, apartment houses, summer or week-end homes, commercial or rental property, farms owned by nonfarmers and additional farms and land owned by farmers, and other types.

- Full or part interest in a nonfarm unincorporated business or privately held corporation.
- Common and preferred stook of corporations open to investment by the general public. Excludes stock of privately held corporations, U. S. Government securities, and bonds of corporations and State, local, and forelgn governments.
- Source: Same as appendix table B-1.

Table B-5.-Summary of saving of positive and negative savers, 1948 and 1949


[^31]1Vorm.--Detall will not necossarily add to totals because of rounding.
Source: Same as appendix table B-1,

Table B-6.-Money income and positive, negative, and net saving of each ffth of spending units, 1948 and 1949

| Spending units ranked by sles of income | Percentage of total accounted for by each fifth : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Money income : |  | Positive saving ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Negative saving ${ }^{4}$ |  | Net saving ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  | 1948 | 1949 | 1948 | 1949 | 1948 | 1949 | 1948 | 1949 |
| Lowest fith. | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 28 | 30 | -22 | -41 |
| Second fitth. | 11 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 18 | -4 | -12 |
|  | 17 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 8 | 1 |
| Fourth fifth. | 22 | 23 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 21 | 21 |
| Highest fith --........................ | 46 | 45 | 60 | 62 | 25 | 18 | 97 | 131 |
| All spending units.............. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1 Income and saring data for each year are based on interviews in January-March of the succeeding year. The figures in this table cannot be used to measure precisoly changes in income and saring because of the limited size of the sample. However, it is believed that the data show with reasonable accuracy the nature of certain broad changes which oocurred in the pattern of income and saving during these years.
The surveys for 1948 and 1049 differ somewhat in their definitions of saving, as discussed in the 1060 Survey of Consumer Finances, Part IV, Appendix I.
"Annual money income before taxes; revised from data presented in the "Annual Economic Review, January $1950^{\prime \prime}$ by the Councll of Economic Advisers, tables B-4 and B-5, p. 144.
${ }^{3}$ Positive saving comprises the saving of all spending units with monev, incomes in excess of expenditures.

- Negative saving comprises the dissaving of all spending units with expendlfures in excess of money income.
- Net saving (plus or minus) is positive saving less negative saving for the combination of all units in each income quintile.
Source: Same as appendir table B-1.
Table B-7.-Major types of expenditures of spending units reducing liquid assets during 1949, by income groups ${ }^{1}$
[As percent of spending units reducing liquid assets in each incornc group]

| Typo of oxpendture | 1940 income of spending unlts reducing liquid assets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { All income } \\ \text { groups } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 8,000-9$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Medical. | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 34 \\ & 38 \\ & 18 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 114913131835 | 463130301638 | 381717852567 |
| Food, clothing, and nondurabie goods |  |  |  |  |
| Investments and reductlon of debt ${ }^{\text {a }}$... |  |  |  |  |
| Other large outlays -................... |  |  |  |  |

[^32]Table B-8.-Mcdian liquid asset holdings by income level, early 1947, 1948, 1949, and 1950

| Inoome before taxes | Percent of spending units at each in. come level, 1949 | Median Ilquid asoot holdings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1947 | 1948 | 1040 | 1950 |
| Under \$1,000.. | 14 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,000- 11,090 | 19 | \$40 | \$80 | \$80 | \$10 |
| \$2,000- $\mathbf{2}, 909$ | 21 | 480 | 240 | 150 | 100 |
| 3, $3,000-3,099$ | 19 | -900 | 490 | 270 | 350 |
| 14,000-4,990 | 11 | 1,400 | 840 | ${ }^{600}$ | 800 |
| \$5,000-17,409. | 11 | 2,750 | 1,760 | 1,350 | 1,130 |
| \$7,600 and over | 6 | 7,250 | 6,290 | 4,500 | 4,270 |
| Median holdings of all units. |  | \$470 | \$350 | \$300 | \$250 |
| Median holdings of those with liquid assets. |  | $\$ 890$ | \$820 | \$790 | \$810 |

[^33]
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## The Nation's Economic Budget
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## The Nation's Economic Budget

The Nation's Economic Budget provides a comprehensive view of national economic activity by major economic groups. Such a summary is given in table C-1. It shows the total gross national product or expenditure as well as the receipts and expenditures of the major economic groups and the net additions or absorption of saving of these groups for calendar year 1949 and the first and second halves of 1950.
Column 1 indicates the major flow of receipts or income. This column contains not only income arising from current production but also receipts of government transfer payments and interest. The total of incomes from current production, shown in roman type, adjusted for the statistical discrepancy between total receipts and expenditures, gives a total equal to the gross national product. Transfers to individuals, government interest, and net cash loan transfers abroad are not included in this total. Total government cash receipts, which differ from receipts arising from current production by the amount in line 13, are also shown.

In column 2 of this same table are expenditures for current output by the four major economic groups: personal consumption expenditures, gross private domestic investment, net foreign investment, and government expenditures for goods and services. These, shown in roman type, are equal to the gross national product. Total government cash transfer payments are also shown.

Government cash transfers, on the expenditures side in column 1, are shown as receipts by consumers, and by foreign countrics and international institutions. The sum of these transfer receipts, however, does not exactly equal government transfer payments. This is due to the use of somewhat different bases for measurement of various components of receipts and payments. For example, government interest payments are recorded on a cash basis; interest receipts in the consumer account are recorded on a net accrual basis and include interest paid by government corporations. The difference resulting from the two methods of estimating is included in the adjustment item (line 20).

Column 3 shows the excess of receipts ( + ) or expenditures ( - ) for the various accounts. These items include personal net saving, the government cash surplus or deficit, the excess of international receipts or investment, and the excess of gross investment over business receipts. The total excess of receipts must equal the total excess of expenditures, since national income
and product are conceptually equal. Personal net saving, for example, which is an excess of receipts, must be matched by an excess of investment by business or a government deficit, or both. But the adjustments made in the receipts items (column 1) must also be made in column 3 in order to balance the positive and negative items.

While the summary table on the Nation's Economic Budget gives a comprehensive view of the economy, additional detail is needed for analytical purposes. Such detail is shown in the tables that follow, the "accounts" for the major economic groups. More complete statistics on national income and product and their constituents are published in the "Survey of Current Business," July 1950. Some of this detail can be found in appendix A of this review. Another source of the data relating to the Federal Government is the Budget of the United States. The Council's Review of January 1950, appendix A, contains a more extended discussion of the Nation's Economic Budget.

Table C-1.-The Nation's Economic Budget, calendar years 1949 and 1950
[Billions of dollars, annual rates, seasonally adjusted]


[^34]Lines 1-5: See table C-2, Consumer account.
Lines 6-8: See table C-3, Business account.
Lines 9-11: See table C-4, International account.
Lines 12-18: See table C-5, Government account.
Line 19: The adjustments bring the estimates on the receipts side into agreement with those on the expenditure side of the accounts. They include the statistical discrepancy less "subsidies less current surplus of government enterprises." The statistical discrepancy represents the difference between the two independent estimates of gross national product: income received from current output and expenditures for this output. "Subsidies less current surplus of government enterprises" are included in national income, but not in the gross national product.
Line 20: Other adjustments are net and are the amount necessary for balancing the excess of receipts ( + ) and excess of expenditures ( - ). They are required because some items of government cash payments are either not recorded in private receipts at all (such as purchases of existing assets), or they are recorded in a different time period from that in which payment is made. Government cash receipts also include some items not deducted from private incomes, or deducted in a different period.

Table C-2.-Consumer acoount, calender years 1949 and 1950
[Billions of dollers, anoual rates, ranally adjusted]


[^35]Nots.-Datall will not ntomerily mdd to totals beceseo of rounding.

Table C-3.-Buriness accound, colendar years 1909 and 1950
[Bilions of doliars, annual ratos, mestonally edjusted]

| Receipts or investment |
| :--- |

${ }^{1}$ Eetimates besed on incomplete deta; thind quarter profits and an of fourth quarter data by Councll of Economic Adviecrs. Corporate tax Habdity for weond hall inctudes an eatimate for the elleet of the eccews profits tas
${ }_{2}$ Inctide capital consamption allownices on poneorporate cajitial, inctuding rusidences.
 tories, ratoed at arerame prices during the period, over the change in the book value of nonfiarm toventorlen. - For additional dotall, see appondir table A-s.

Nork.--Detall vill not necemerly sad to totals becanse of roandione.
Table C-4.-International account, calender years 1949 and 1950
[BMitions of dollara, annual ratee]

| Receipts or investment | 194 | 1050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Firat } \\ & \text { half } \end{aligned}$ | 8econd hall 1 |
| U. 8. Government cash bongterm boans (not) 9 <br> Plus: Cash payments to International Monetary Fund and Intermational Bank | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ .2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.2 -.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ -.8 \end{array}$ | 0.1 |
| Equals: U. 8. Government cach tranders on loans (recefinta)..... | 1.1 | -. 1 | -. 2 | 1 |
| Surplus of exports of coods and merrices. Lest: Net unilateral transters | Q. 2 | 1.8 | 3.0 | . 6 |
| Oovernment ${ }^{\circ}$. Privato. | 8.3 .8 | 1.8 4.4 | 4.3 .4 | 2.6 .4 |
| Equals: Net forelen in | . 4 | -2.6 | -1.8 | -2.4 |
| Exceen of rocelpts ( $t$ ) or in veetment ( - ) | +. 7 | +2.8 | +1.6 | +2. 8 |

[^36]Table C-5.-Government account (Federal, State, and local), calendar years 1949 and 19501
[Billions of dollars, annuad ratea, measonally adjusted]

| Receipts or expenditures | 1949 | 1950 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | First half | Second hal! ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| Receipts: <br> Tax and nontax payments or liablititiee: ${ }^{8}$ <br> Federal. <br> State and iocal | 39.2 17.0 | 60.1 10.1 | 43.7 18.4 | 56.4 19.8 |
| Total. <br> Adjustment to cash basis: <br> Noncash recolpts ${ }^{4}$ <br> Excess of cash receipts over tax iiabilitios or payments i. | $\begin{array}{r}66.2 \\ -1.8 \\ +3.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 69.2 -1.2 -7.2 | 62.2 -1.3 -2.1 | $\begin{array}{r}76.2 \\ -1.2 \\ -12.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Cash recolpts from the publlo. | 87.6 | 60.8 | 88.8 | 62.7 |
| Expenditures: <br> Purchases of goods and services: Federal. <br> Btate and local $\qquad$ | 25.3 18.0 | 22.7 19.4 | 21.8 18.8 | 23.6 20.0 |
| Total <br> Other government payments: | 43.3 | 42.1 | 40.6 | 43.6 |
|  | 11.6 | 14.4 | 17.6 | 11.2 |
| Cash intereat payments to the publio -................... <br> ternational Bank and International Monetary Fund ' All other ${ }^{1}$. | 1.3 1.1 | 4.2 -.1 .8 | 4.2 -.2 .8 | 4.1 .1 .8 |
| Total | 16.9 | 19.3 | 22.4 | 16.2 |
| Cash payments to the public. | 60.2 | 61.4 | 63.0 | 59.8 |
| Cash surplus ( + ) or deficit ( - ) | -2. 5 | -. 6 | -4.2 | +2.9 |
| addendum <br> Federal: <br> Cash recelpts $\qquad$ <br> Cash payments $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 41.3 12.6 | 42.4 41.9 | 41.1 44.0 | 43.8 39.9 |
| Surplus ( + ) or deficit ( - ). | -1.3 | +. 5 | -2.9 | +3.9 |
| State and local: Cash recelpts. Cash payments | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \\ & 17.5 \end{aligned}$ | 18.4 19.5 | 17.7 19.0 | 18.9 19.9 |
| Burplus ( + ) or deficit ( - ). | -1.3 | -1.1 | -1.3 | -1.0 |

1 This table reconciles cash receints and payments to the publio with estimates of government receipts and expenditures included in the natlonal Income and product accounts. Cash recelpts or payments represent the consolldated cash accounts of the Federal Government, Including the trust funds, and Statelocal governments. All intragovernmental transzotions are excluded. The recelpts of government corporatlons and the Post Oftice are offset agalnst expenditures and the net expendlture included as a cash payment. Grants-In-ald to State and local governments are included as a cash payment of the Federal Covernment and not included as elther a recelpt or payment of the States or localltles.
${ }^{2}$ Estimates based on Incomplete data.

- Personal and Indireet business tax payments, oorporation tax liabilities, and contributions for somal Insurance. Estimate for second half of year Inoludes an estimate for the effect of the excess profts tax.
'Consista of deductlons from government employees' salaries for rotirement funds, and government contributions to retirement funds, National service Life Insurance and U. B. Covernment IIfo Insurance funds.
includen excess of corporation tax recolpts over Ilabilitites and excess of perzonal tax receipts over payments. Cash receipts also include some items of miscollaneous receipts not included in tax and non. tax payments, suoh as recelpts from sales of surplus property.
- 1) oos not agree with net interest pald by government (appendix table O-2) which is on a net acerual basis and includes interest pald by goverament corporations.
1 Bee appendix table C-4, International account.
I Includes all other cash payments leas nonoash payments for goods and services. Other cash payments Include net payments by government corporatlons (exoept capital formation), net prepayments, and the excess of cheoks pald over checka issued. Noncash purchases of goods and servicos lncludo deductlons from government employeas' salaries for retirement funds and the government contribution to such funds.

Norm.-Detall will not necessarlly add to totals because of rounding.

Table C-6.-Federal cash receipts from the public other than borroving, calendar years 1949 and 1950
[Billions of dollars, annual rates, seasonally adjusted]

| Cash recelpts |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

1 Estimates based on Incomplete data.
Note.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Table C-7.-Federal cash payments to the public by function, calendar years 1949 and 1950 [Billions of dollars, annual rates, seasonally adjustod]

| Function | 1949 | 1950 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { First } \\ & \text { half } \end{aligned}$ | Second half 1 |
| Military services. | 12.9 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 15.4 |
| Internatlonal security and forelgn relations. | 6.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3. 9 |
| Soclal security, welfare, and health. | 2.7 | 8.9 3.3 | 11.8 | 3. ${ }^{6}$ |
| Agriculture and agricultural resources. | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.4 | . 2 |
| Interest.-................................. | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| Other. | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.6 |
| Deductions from Federal employees' salaries for retirement....- | -. 3 | -. 4 | -. 4 | -. 4 |
| Clearing account for outstanding chocks and telegraphio reports. | -. 2 | -. 1 | -. 7 | . 5 |
| Total Feder 1 cash payments to the publlo. | 42.6 | 41.8 | 44.0 | 39.9 |

1 Estiman: 2 an id on incomplete data.
Nork.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Table C-8.-Federal cash payments to the public by type of recipient and transaction, calendar years 1949 and 1950
[Billions of dollara, annual rates, semonally adjusted]

| Cash payments | 1949 | 1050 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 1 | $\underset{\text { First }}{\text { half }}$ | Becond hall I |
| Direct cash payments for goods and sarvices, excluding milltary services: ${ }^{2}$ <br> Payments to individuals for services rendered: <br> Civilian wages and salaries (excluding Post Office): Federal ${ }^{2}$. <br> Grants- and loans-in-ald for periormance of specifled services, net | 2.5.8 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment to business for goods and sarvices: Public works: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal .-......-................... | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Granta-In ald and loans for pablic works. | . 4 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |
| Other goods and servlees '............................- | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 9 | 1.2 |
| Payments to forelgn countries and intornational institutlons for goods and tervices. | . 1 | . 1 | .1 | 1 |
| Total | 8.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Social insurance and public assistance: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Unemployment tisurance benent paymenta.. | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | . 9 |
| Grants-In-ald for public assutance............ | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Readjustment benents, penajons, and other pasments to veterans ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5.8 | 7.9 | 10.5 | 5.3 |
| Loans to home owners, n | $-.2$ | $-2$ | $-3$ | -. 1 |
| Interest '....... | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Other | . 5 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 |
| Total. | 11.3 | 13.5 | 16.4 | 10.6 |
| Loans, Investments, subsidies and other_transfers to business and agriculture: <br> Farmers: |  |  |  |  |
| Farmerice support, net (Including supply program)......... | 1.8 |  | 1.0 | -1.0 |
| International Wheat Agreement................ | (1) | 1 | .1 | . 1 |
| Other loans and direct subsidies to farmers. | . 6 | . 7 | . 8 | . 6 |
| Business: |  |  |  |  |
| Home mortgage purchases from financlal institutions... | . 6 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Dulrect subsidy payments | (7) | (1) ${ }^{2}$ | (2) |  |
| Subsidy arising from the [watal defic |  |  |  |  |
| Interest '............................ | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Total. | 6.9 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 3.6 |
| Loans and transfer payments to forelgn countries and interna. tlonal institutions: |  |  |  |  |
| European Recovery Program loans and grants <br> Other lonns (net withdrawals) <br> Other grants : <br> Subscriptions to the Internationni Bank and Monetary <br> Fund (net cash withdrawals) | 4.1 | -2.8 | 3.3 |  |
|  | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) 1.2 | . 1 | () 1.2 |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 |  |
|  | . 2 | -. 3 | $-.8$ |  |
| Total | 8.8 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| Total Federal cash payments to the publio | 42.6 | 41.8 | 44.0 | 39.8 |

See footnotes on following page.
${ }^{1}$ Estimates based on tncomplete data.
2 Difiers from the national income concept of "rovernment purchases of soods and services" by excluding, In addition to military servicoe, farm price scupport expenditures and unilateral aid to foreign countries. Grants to States and localities for pubilo wortse here included as a Federal expenditure, would be included in the national inoome accounts as a Stato end local expenditure. There are other leas significant differences between the two concepts.
' Excludes payroll deductions for Pederal employees' retirement.
4 Includes all grants-in-aid and lons to pabiso bodies for purpoos other than publio works and pablic assistance. Includes, in addition, one-third of Federal expenditures for veterans' tuition, books, and supplies.
This Agure is obtained as a resdiual by deducting all other expenditures from total cash payments to the public. Owing to the fact that data are incomplete for calendar year 1060, the residual is subject to a high margin of error.
-Includes cashing of terminatleavo bonds, mustering-out pay, and National Service and Covernment $11 f$ insurance refunds and benefts in addition to veterans' pensions and readjustment benefits. Includes only one-third of payments for veterans' tuition, books, and sapplics.
I Includes a small amnunt of interest on tax refunds in addition to interest on the public debt. In addition, It includes payment of about 150 million dollars to business and about 50 million dollara to individuals in 1949 resulting from a nonrecurring change in the method of reporting interest papments. Interest pald to business includes over 100 million dollars of interest paid each year by the Federal Government to State and local governments. Intereat in appendix tablo $\mathrm{C}-2$ is net, and is on an accrual rather than a cash basis;
it includes interest pald by state and local governments and by zovernment corporations.
a Less than 50 mililion dollars.

- Includes expenditures for Mintual Defense Asslstance Program.

Note.-Detall will not necessarily add to totala because of rounding.


[^0]:    * public cutlays not available after igas, butane beligved to mepheseny only aveay gmall PORTION OF THE TOTAL.
    SOURCES: COUNCIL OF EGONOMIC AOVISEAS: BASEO ON DATA FROM OEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, ANO GOARO OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEOERAL RESERVE SYSTEM.

[^1]:    1 November 1050 used.
    Source: Department of Labor. (See appendix table A-24.)

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Incomplete data for December Indicate a substantial further rise in that month. ${ }^{2}$ December 1950 used.
    Source : Department of Labor. (See appendix table A-23.)

[^3]:    1 gigns preceding figures in columns indicate change from the previous period. An increase is denoted by a positive figure and a decteaso by a negative figure for all factors excopt Treasury deposits, where the reverse is true.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimates based on Incomplete data; second hall by Councll of Economic Advisers.
    : Includes commerclal banks, mutual savings banks, and Federal Reserve banks.
    4 See footnote 1 above.

    - see appendix table $A-28$ for aggregate money supply and its components.

    Norr.-Detall will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
    Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (except as noted).

[^4]:    1 Estimates based on Incomplete data.
    ${ }^{2}$ Differs from the entimato of military serylce paymants in table 10 above by the exolusion of cortain transfer itoms hers included with loans and trausfer paymants to individuals.

    Nore.--Detall will not necossarily add to totale because of rounding.
    Bource: Beo Appendix 0 .

[^5]:    1 Estimater based on incomplete data.
    I Includes recelpts whioh do not artse from current production and hence are not a part of the netional Income: Transfers to Individuals, Qovemment interest, cash loans abroad, and the difference between tax liabilities and cash recelpts. Also includes statistical discrepaney.
    ${ }^{\text {'Includes all cash payments which are not payments for goods and services and hence are not included }}$ In the gross national product.

    Norr.-Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.
    Source: Beo Appendix O for more detalled treatment of the Nation's Economic Budget.

[^6]:    1 Estimatws based on Incomplete data; fourth quarter by Councll of Economic Advisers.
    Norr.-Detall will not neccssarily add to totals because of rounding.
    Bource: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

[^7]:    Includes alcohollo boveragos.
    2 Includes shoes and standard elothing lssucd to mbltary personnoi.
    3 Includes imputed rental value of owner-occupled dwolingg.

    - Estimates basod on lncomploto data; ourth quarter by Councll of Economic Advisors.

    NOTE.--Detall will not nocessarly mid to totals bycause of rounding.
    Hourca: Department of Comnierce (except as noted).

[^8]:    I Items for 1045 and earller years are not comparable with those for later years, nor with figures shown in nppondix table $\mathrm{A}-18$.
    1' 'Lotal producors' durable equipment loss "farm machinery and equipment" and farmers' purchases of "tractors" and "bustness motor vehlcles." 'These ngures pssume that farmers purchase 88 and 18 percent, respectlvely, of all tractors and motor vehicles used for productive purjoses.

    IIndustrlad bulldings, puble utilites, gas. and oll-woll drilling, werehouses, offlee and loft bulldings, stores, restaurants, and garages. Kncludes hotel construction prior to 1940 only.
    "Farm construction (resldential and nonresidentla) plus "farm manhlnery and oquipmant" and farmers' purchases of "tract)ri"" and "business motor vohiches." (See lootnote 2.)
    I Includes constrinction of hotels, tourlst cablis, snotor couris, and dormitorles since 1040 only.

    - Includes religlous educational, soolal and recreationa, hospital and lnstitutlonal, miscellancous nouresldentlas, and all other privete.

    I Less than 60 million dollars.

    - Estimates based on Incompleto data; fourth quarter by Councll of Economlo Advisers.

    Notr.-Dotall will not necessarily add to totals becauss of rounding.
    bource: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

[^9]:    1 Natlonal income is the total nat income camed in production by individuals and businessas. The concopt of national inyome ourrently used diftern from the concopt of groes national preduot in that it axciudes deprectation charges and other allowances for business and listitutlonal consumption of durable capltal roods.
    Includes weze and salary recolpts ond other labor income (see appeadix table A- $\delta$ ), and employer and eraployee contributlons for sordal Insaranco (eee appendix tablo A-6).
    See appendix tajio A-32 for corporato tax llability (Federal and state focome and excose profts taxes) and corporate pronis altor taxas.

    - Less than 60 mililion dollari.
    - Estimates based on incomplete dats; corporate profits and total natlonal income for thild quarter and all Itams for fourth quarier by Councll $r^{\prime}$ Economlo Advisers.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ see "Survey of Current Business," January 1951, for explanation of conversion of estimates in terms of current prices to those in terms of 1039 prices.
    ? Total gross natlonal product less compensatlon of general government employees.
    Estimates for 1950 are tentativo, based on published fgures for frrst three quarters and forecast of fourth quarter.

    - Not available.

[^11]:    1 These estimates represent a rough conversion of the Department of Oommerce earles in 1939 prices to 1050 pricas. (8ee appendix table A-9.) This was done by major components, using the implicit prico indexes for 1950. Although it would have been preferable to redefiete the series by minor components, this would not substantially change the results except possibly for the war years, and for the series on changes in business inventories.
    ${ }^{2}$ Less than 60 milition dollars.
    a Exstimater based on incomplete data.

[^12]:    1 Data for 1940-50 exclude about 160,000 members of the armed forces who wore outside the continentas United States in 1010 and who were therefore not enumaratod in the 1040 census. This figuio is dedtected by the Census Bureau from its current estimates for comparablity with 1040 data.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes part-timo workers and those who had jots but were not at work for such retsons as vacation, Ilness, bad weathor, temporary lay-oll, and industrial disputos.
    Nota.-Iabor force data are based on a survoy made during the weok which Inolules the 8th of the month.
    Dotail will not nexessarily add to totnls because of rounding.
    Sourcas: Department of Labor (1020-39) and Department of Commerco (1030-50).

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all full. and part-time wape and salary workors in nouagrloulturel establishments who workek or recelvod pay durius the pay period onding nearost the 10 th of the month. Excludes propidetors, self: omployed persons, domestlo sarvants, and personnel of the armod forces. Not compara ble with eatimates of nonagricultural amployment of the clvillan labor force reported by the Department of Commerce (ap. peadix table A-11) whloh include propriotors, self-employgu persons, and domestlo fervants; whloh oount persons as omployed when thoy are not at work hecause of industrlal disputes, bad weather, or fomporary lay-offs, and whloh are based on an onumeratlon of population, whereas the estimates in this table are based on roports from employing establishments.

    8 Data for the trade and servico divisions, beglanlng with 1039, are not comparable with data shown for corligt yeara boasuse of the shift of the automotive repair service industry from the traile to the sarvice divishon.

    Not avallable.

    - Estimates based on incomploto data.
    - Data roilect wort atoppages in bltuminous coal mining.

    Nore.--Delall will not necessarily add to totals bocause of rounding,
    Adjustmant have been made to levels indlcated by data of unomployment insurance agencles and the Buraut of Old-Age and Burvivors Insurance throngh 1947, and have been carrlod forward from 1947 benchmark levels, thereby providing conslstent sarles.

[^14]:    1 Estimates based on Incomplete data,
    Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Bystem.

[^15]:    1 Exoludes construction expenditures for crude petroleum and natural-gas drilling, and therofore does not acree with the Dew construction expenditures lucluded in the grown national product.
    Indudes pablle utility, farm and other private construotion, not soparately shown.

    - Includes residentha, seFror and water, miscellaneous pubilo gervios enterpilses, conservation and devel opmont, and all other publlo construction not soparataly sbown.

    Bourcen: Department of Commerce and Department of Labor.

[^16]:    1 Excludes agriculture and outlays charged to current acoount.
    ; Oommerciar and miscellaneous include trado, servico, Ananco, and communication for all yeare shown. Prior to 1030, miscellaneous also Included transportation other than rallroad, and electrio and gas ntilltion Which are not avallable esparately for thee yearn.
    I Not avallable meparatoly for years prior to 1039.

    - Included in commerclal and mlscellaneous prior to 1039.
    - Estimates for fourth quarter of 1050 and arst quarter of 1951 are baeod on anticipated capltal expendl. tures reported by bualnces in a marroy made botwean mid-October and mid-November; antiolpated axpenditures for the year 1051 are baed on atervey made in Decomber.
    Nors.--Thee Agures do not agree with thoos shown in column 2 of appandix fablo A-8 and included in the grose national product eatimates of the Department of Oommerce, prindpally because the latter cover corr. tain equipment and construction outlays charged to current oxpense. Figures for $1020-44$ are Federal Reserve Board estimatos based on Becurlties and Exchange Oommission and other data.
    Detall will not nesemarily add to totals because fisuree are rounded to the neareet 10 milition dollars.
    Sourcea: securitiee and Exchange Commision and Departmeat al Commerce (except as noted),

[^17]:    1 Not adjusted for seasonal variation.

    - Not avallable.
    - Eitimates based on incomplete data.

    Nors.-These figures represent retall sales, stocks, and outstanding orders as reported by a sample of 298 of the larger department stores located in varlous olties throughout the country and are not eatimatem of total males, atockn, and oftstanding orders for all department stores In the Unlted States. Data are not avallable prior to 1039.

[^18]:    1 Exatude mutual matmes banks.
    : Roporting dato nearest end of period.

    - Jupe data are used bectuse completo end-of-year data prior to 1038 are not avalleble for U. S. Govermment obligations.
    - Not avallable prifor to May 12, 1037, when the loan clavedtication was revised.
    - Series revised to extend coverage. Previous agures not strictly comparable.
    - Eftimatet for all commerctal banke based on mcomplete data; Decomber by Oouncll of Economio Advisors.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ A verage of ylelds on all outstanding partially taxexempt Government bonds due ot callable after 12 years, in 1920 and 1933; and altor 16 years, from 1936.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not avallable before August 1042,

    - Not avallable.
    - From October 30, 1942, to April 24, 1946, a preferential raie of 0.50 percent was in effect for advances secured by Government securities maturing in 1 year or less.
    - No partially tax exempt bonds due or callable in 15 years and over.
    - Estimates based on incompleto data.

    Sources: Treasury Department, Moody's Investors Bervice, and Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve 8ystem.

[^20]:    1 See Federal Reserve Bulletin, June 1949, and subsequent issues, for similar data for the following industiy groups: primary metals and products, machinery, automobiles and equipment, foods and kindred products, chemicals and alled products, and petroleum refining.
    a Estimatos based on incomplete data.
    Nore,-Detall will not necessarlly add to totals because of rounding.
    8ource: Complled by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Bystem and based on published reports of various industrial corporations.

[^21]:    Soursea：Federal Trade Comminaton and Becuritive and Exchange Oommision．

[^22]:    1 Entimates iband on Incomplete data; fourth quarter by Councli of Economle Advisers.
    ; Fisures for recorded exports of goods in 1947 have been sdjafted to Include goods ahipped to United states armed forces abroed for distribation to divilians in occupled areas in order to mate thom comparable with fures for subeoqueat years. Such shlpments are included in oxports as reeorded by the Bureau of the Ceneus betinning in 1048 bat were not 80 included in prior years.
    Includes zoods sold to or bousht from otber countries that have not been shipped from or into the United 8tate curtoms area and other adjustmonts.

    - Not avaliable.
    - All agures for means of ananctug are on a not bacta.
    - Excludes subecriptions to the capital of the Intermational Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the Internatlonai Monstary Fund. For dotall see eppendix table A-40.
    IExcludee net purchates of notes trined or guaranteed by the International Bank constating of 7 million dolisis of long-term and 1 million dolinrs of short-term noten 1048,1 million dollers of lonsterm notes in ardit quartex of 1050, and net maven of 1 mililion dollart of lonsterm notes in ench of second and third quarteris of 1060

[^23]:    1 Estimates based on incomplete data; fourth quarter by Counoll of Economio Advisers.
    : Includes Income on Investments.

    - Not avallable.

    4 Includee International institutions.
    Norm,-Detail will not neoessarily add to totals because of rounding.
    Sourco: Department of Commerce (except as noted).

[^24]:    1 Estimates based on incomplete data; Burth quarter by Councll of Economic Advisers.
    Includes ald to Indonesia of 16 million dollara in first quarter, 21 million dollari in second quarter, and 1 million dollars in third quarter of 1960.

    - Not arallable.

    Bource: Depertment of Commerce (except as noted).

[^25]:    1 Includes Newfoundland and Labrador.
    ${ }^{2}$ Turkey is included with ERP countries and excluded from Asia. Exports to Cermany are included with those of ERP countries and, In the postwar period, relate almost wholly to exports to the three western zones.
    : Estimates based on incomplete data; fourth quarter by Council of Economic Advisers.

    - Not avallable.

    Data by area exclude "special category" exports of 172 million dollars in the third quarter of 1950, which are included in total exports. Thus, exports by area will not add to total exports in this period.
    Note.-Data in thls table cover all merchandise, including reexports, shipped from the United States oustoms area to foreign countries Including, in 1947 to 1950 goods destined to United States armed forces abroad for distribution in occupled areas as clvilian supplies.

    Detail will not neoossarily add to totals because of rounding. See also footnote 5 .

[^26]:    1 Changen are computed from data as reported and tharefore may diffor alightly from changes computed from the lndexes shown hero.

    Estimates baeed on Incomplete data.
    I Indexee and percentere chenge not computed because data change from poaltive to nesative valuee.

    - Not avallable.
    - 1097-100.
    - 1936 -38 a verage 100.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Buroey of Oomowmer Pmanoes has been conducted annually in postwar feari for the Board of Govermors of the Federal Rewerve Bystem by the Burvey Rowarch Center
     Federal Demerve Bulketin.
    The Burean of the Cenimas data on family Income in 1049 colleeted in commeetion fith the Current Popolation Surrey and the 1950 Censue of Popalation will be pabliahed in the mear fature.
    a A spending unit conesta of all permons related by blood, marriage, or adoption who Hwe together and pool their income for major items of expence. A fanally may eontala zort than one speading valt; for example, an aged couple dwelliag with childrem may mavo
    
    
    

[^28]:    " "Materialn on the Problem of Iow-Income Families," assembled by the staf! of the Subcommittee on Low-Income Familie: of the Jolnt Committee on the Economic Report. 81st Cong., 2d seas. Table 6, p. 13.
    ${ }^{2}$ Owing to a relatively large number of casen in which previoue income was not ascertainable, thewe proportion are not exact.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ This concept of saving alffers from that of net saving in the national income and product accounts. See Appendix I to Part IV, 1950 Survey of Oonsumer Pinanoes for a discussion of diferences.

[^30]:    In addition 9 percent of spending units had income from farming and 9 percent from unincorporatod nonlarm businewa,
    Bource: same an appendix table B-1.

[^31]:    I Not saving does not entirely correspond conceptually with personal net saving as deflned in the national income and product statlstics. (See chart 20, pp. 147 and 149, and table C-2.) For an explanation of the differences, see Appendix I to Part IV of the 1860 Survey of Consumer Finances, Federal Reservc Bulletin, November 1950.
    ${ }^{3}$ Agregate and mean saving of units with positive or negative saving rather than of all items of positive or negative saving.

[^32]:    1 Data are not comparable to the 1949 survey findings on reduction of liquid assots because of changes in the wording of the questlonnalre. Thls table is based on answers to two questlons asked of gpendling units reporting reductions in liquid assets: "What sort of things did you use this money for"" and "Did you have any large expenses we did not talk about, for instance, dector and hospital bills, expensos for moving or trips or the ilke?"
    ? Reported as reasons for reluction of liquid assets.

    - Investments include purchases of securlties and real estate and inveatmont in privately owned business.
    - Includes repalrs and additions to houses, travel, amusement, education, taxes, car rejairs, moving, and farm operating expenses.
    Source: Same as appendix table B-1.

[^33]:    Sourco: Same as appendir table B-1.

[^34]:    1 Istimates based on incomplete data; third quarter profts and an fourth quarter data by Conncil of Economic Advisers.
    Notr-Items relatias to current production of goode and sorvicos are shown in roman type. Tranafor payments and recolpts and anbtotals including them are in italics; they are not inchuded to the groes national product.

    Detall will not necuestrily add to totals because of rounding.
    Sources: Bayd on the national meome and product statistics of the Department of Commorce and on Federal cash recolpts from and payments to the public compised by the Barean of the Budget. see also footnote 1 .

[^35]:    Fstimaten baed on Incomptete deta; fourth quarter by Cousell of Eoonomile Adriars.
    1 Isoludes adfuetromat for invontory veluetion.
    F For detall, geo appendix tablo A-2.

[^36]:    1 Estimates based on Incompleto data; fourth quarter by Coumell of Boonomic Adreern.
     lend-keace and surplus property credits.
    Bash parreents on sabsorlptions. In the trant hak of 1050 the Internaticaal Monetary Fund returoed over $\sin$ milition dollars of ceat (annual rate) to the U .8 . Treemiry In erchange for Unitted Btatex notes.

    - Net unilateral tranelers are matuded with goverameat or pitrate erpoaditurres for moods and arvicas.
     included with consumer expenditurvs. Government aid in the form o mernta le tootuded ta government purchaces of soods and servioes. Thas, net unlateral tranders mant be dedneted frocd the export surplus to a rold doable comattua.
    - Unilateral ald Inciuded in appendir tabio 0 -s is oa a Daily Tremary Statoment bede and is grome.

    Nort.,-Detall will not necomerlly add to totala becanse of roanding.

