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## PREFACE

THIS book lays little claim to be considered as more than a compilation, checked where possible by original research. It is an attempt to bring together the very various authorities on the district of Asia Minor with which it deals, and to digest the mass of available information into a convenient form. The district, crossed and re-crossed by numerous travellers, is comparatively well known, and consequently affords greater opportunity than most parts of Asia Minor for a treatise which may serve as a more or less permanent basis for future workers. At the same time new discoveries-and the output of inscriptions seems inexhaustible-may at any moment refute (or less probably justify) the conclusions here put forward as hypotheses.

Hitherto no excavation worthy of the name has been undertaken on the site of Cyzicus. Private plundering was rife in Cyriac's time and has continued to our own day. Some attempt at more serious investigation seems to have been made about 1844 by Lord Eastnor, who, according to MacFarlane, "spent a considerable time at Cyzicus and made some excavations, but unfortunately his notes and drawings have been lost or destroyed through the foundering of the vessel in which they were embarked ${ }^{1}$."

In more recent times Mr Frank Calvert of the Dardanelles opened tombs on the site', and kindly permits me to print the following notes respecting the modes of burial:
"My archaeological researches at Cyzicus were limited to the excavation of some tombs. The results were a fine blue oenochoe

[^0]and dish, a small moulded aryballos-amethyst colours-(this I believe is in the British Museum) and a number of other glass objects and terra-cotta lamps: a much-worn stele, which I believe to be of the 2nd or 3 rd century B.C., and a sepulchral inscription (Christian) shew the necropolis was successively used for several centuries. In another locality I found a built chamber roofed with long pieces of marble with a slab for a door of the same material. The chamber was paved with large tiles. A number of skeletons lay on the floor, but nothing of interest was discovered. The tomb was free of soil. Another form of interment was the adaptation of an enormous earthen pipe, with flat tiles closing the ends as tbe pipe lay horizontally buried in the ground. In other parts of the city itself I picked up a head of Pallas, the lower half of a statue, a dolphin and other fragments."
M. Tito Carabella's excavations in 1876 were confined to the opening of trial trenches on the Acropolis bill, and the result was considered so disappointing that they were soon abandoned. Mr de Rustafjaell's attempt in 1901 - 2 was still more abortive, though both these expeditions brought to light important inscriptions.

The site, considered as a whole, is indeed so large and land so costly as to unfit it for private excavation, though certain areas, eg. the theatre region within the walls and the so-called agora of the temple of Hadrian without, are attractive. It is greatly to be hoped that the work will in the end be systematically undertaken by one of the archaeological scbools. Whichever of them it may be, our own can fairly claim to have contributed much pioneer work, being responsible for the only reliable archaeological map of the site, as also to a large extent for tbe present publication.

From future excavation we may reasonably hope to fill some of the lacunae in tbe history of Cyzicus; at present records are sadly deficient, especially during the Hellenistic period when Cyzicene prosperity seems to have reached its climax: this, too, in spite of a vast increase in epigraphical material. From the excavator's point of view it is a hopeful sign that the two tentative excavations are responsible for so large a proportion of the important Cyzicene inscriptions of late years;
and it is probably to the deeper levels of the site itself that we must look for the most valuable monuments in the future.

My own connection with the site dates from 1902, when I assisted Mr Henderson with the survey, under the auspices of the British School: later it seemed imperative to extend the field of rescarch to the surrounding eountry, and my yearly journcys (1903-6), though not without epigraphic and numismatic results, were made with the primary object of gaining a general knowledge of the district and a more vivid impression of the sites and existing remains than is to be gained from books.

I fecl that some explanation is needed for the ill-defined boundarics of the tract of country of which I have treated. It represents in the main the territory of Cyzicus as laid down by Strabo, to which have been added (i) southward, the plain of Balukiser and the middlc Macestus valley, which belong geographically to Northern Mysia, and have an especial strategic importance for the Cyzicene district in the Byzantine period; and (2) westward, Priapus, as possibly a colony of Cyzicus and later the most important harbour of the district, and, for its religious associations, the Homeric eity of Adrastcia.

The plan of the essay is as follows: the first part has been devoted to the topography of the wholc distriet, together with the scanty details which have reached us of the individual history of the outlying townships: after the deseription of the Chersonese and the Islands, and a bricf discussion of general physical points on the mainland, the order adopted is that of a circular tour, castward from the isthmus to Triglia and Apollonia, south to Balukiser, north and west to Karabogha and so east to the Manyas plain: the territory is roughly divided into distriets, and smaller sites are grouped around the main centres of population; by this method it is hoped to secure such continuity in the history of these districts as is possible, and to shew the ancient and modern conditions side by side: a separate chapter has been assigned to the discussion of the road system.

The second part treats of the history of Cyzicus, from its mythical foundation down to its last appearancc, together with such events affecting the province as can reasonably be associated with it.

The third section deals with the religion of the city and district, the fourth with Cyzicenc government, including a section on the gymnasia and games. To this has been appended a classified list of inseriptions from the district: inscriptions are referred to in the text by their numbers in this list.

It remains to express my gratitude to my many teachers and in particular to those who have assisted mc directly in this work. The debt of any later writer on Cyzicus to Marquardt is obvious and felt: no less so are my obligations to Professor Ridgeway's lectures and Professor Ramsay's writings-I would that Cyzicene sculpture had given me more dircct cause to express my indebtedness to Professor Waldstein!-while to the constant stimulus and encouragement of Professor K. C. Bosanquet, I may truthfully confess, this book owes its very cxistence.

To these names I would add those of Messrs Ernest and John Thomson of Constantinople, who have in many ways lightened for me the difficulties of travel, and of their faithful servant, the companion of all my peregrinations, Ali Ibrahim.

I have further gratefully to acknowledge loans of blocks and photographs from the German Archaeological Institute, the British School at Athens, and the Society for the Promotion of Hellenic Studies (sec List of Illustrations). Figs. 19 and 24 arc reproduced by courteous permission of the Directors from photographs in the possession of the Imperial Museum at Constantinople.

The proof-readers have my thanks and sincere sympathy.

F. W. H.
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## PART I.

## CHAPTER I.

CYZICUS.
Towards the western end of the Sea of Marmora, where

Kapu Dagh (Artionnesus). it begins to narrow to the Dardanelles, lies the quondam island of Kapu Dagh, now a peninsula connected by threc-quarters of a mile of marshy land with the southern shore of the little sca. It is an imposing mountainous mass rising at several points to a height of 2500 feet, and roughly triangular in shape: the base, which has an extreme length of seventeen miles, faces the Thracian shore, and the two sides taper in towards the isthmus: from north to south the "island" measures about nine miles. Of this triangle the western corner-west, that is, of a line drawn from Gonia to Vathy-is taken up by the peak of Klapsi ( 2530 feet), while the corresponding eastern corner consists of low rolling country capable of supporting the considerable village ports of Mihaniona and Peramo. The intermediate section is almost entirely mountainous and contains the chief range of the island, running roughly north-east and southwest, with the twin peaks of Dédé Bair and Adam Kaya, from which the Turkish name of tbe island, Kapu Dagh or Gate Mountain, is perhaps derived.

On the gentle slope facing the Asiatic shore at the narrowest point of the original channel, stand the last remnants of the once important maritime city of Cyzicus, commanding to the west the bay of Artaki ${ }^{2}$, to the east the gulf of Pandcrma.
${ }^{1}$ Called Port S. Pierre on Lechevalier's map (which I surmise to be a bad reading of Porto Spiga on one of the carlicr Italian porfoleni, ef. Golfe de Spiga on the Catalan) and Sin. Aidine on the map of Has (1743).

The question whether the Kapu Dagh was originally an island or a peninsula has been much discussed ${ }^{3}$. Th. Reinach especially has been at pains to prove that the The fathmus. severing of the isthmus was artificial. This is, however, contrary not only to tradition but to the evidence afforded by the site? The isthmus of to-day is a dead level of swampy land some three-quarters of a mile broad, contrasting both with the low cliffs of the mainland and the fertile slopes of the peninsula. Narrow strips of sand along the sea on each side, heaped into dunes of a slight elevation on the east by the action of the prevalent north-easterly winds ${ }^{9}$, enclose a marsh, inundated in winter, which is being gradually reclaimed to cultivation. On the side of the island, too, beyond the actual isthmus, a good deal of the land outside the western walls is flat, and has every appearance of a recent formation.

This coincides with the general opinion of antiquity: Apollonius^, who drew, as we know, on earlier and local authorities, despite his ambiguities, calls it vijoos, and the scholiast explains his mention of the istbmus " by annotating
 Argonaut myth shews that tradition regarded Cyzicus as an island at least in prchistoric times, since the Argonauts evidently sailed through the strait which divided it from the mainland: we sball discuss Apollonius' topography at length in connection with the Argonaut myth.

The passage of Scylax ${ }^{4}$ mentioning the isthmus, on which Reinach lays stress as being our earliest record, is no evidence for the original condition of the island, and the date is at most but a few years before Alexander to whom Pliny ${ }^{7}$ attributes

[^1]the connection of the town with the mainland. Anaximenes, quoted by Strabo ${ }^{2}$, calis the Arctonnesus an island.

Of writers subsequent to Scylax, Mela ${ }^{2}$ places Cyzicus "on.the neck of the peninsula," Stephanus " on the peninsula," while Strabo", Plinys and Frontinus ${ }^{5}$ call it an island joined to the mainland, quite harmonising with the rhetorical ambiguity of Aristides" who calls it "both island and peninsula." In addition to these authors we have threc inscriptions ${ }^{8}$ rclating to the restoration of the port in the first century after Christ, shewing that there was then a passage through the bridges which could be blocked at will.

It remains from these data to construct a consistent history of the isthmus. I suppose that the original island always approached the mainland most closcly at the point of the present isthmus, and that this point and that of S. Simeon were the $\dot{\mu} \mu \phi i \delta \nu \mu о \iota \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau a l$ forming the original harbour Panormus: in the eastern corncr of this bay was the built harbour of Chytus. This represents the half-imaginary state of things pictured by Apollonius. Some time in the fourth century, probably before Alcxander', and very possibly when the city gained her independence (which as we.shall see was the starting point of the Cyzicene empirc, both on land and sea), the point abovementioncd was connected with the mainland by a causeway and bridge-Frontinus insists that there was but one bridge at the time of the Mithradatic siege ${ }^{10}$. By Strabo's timc a second bridge, west of the first, had been added, enclosing the sheet of water represented by the prosent marsh and retaining the name of Panormus, though popularly called the

[^2]Pool ( $\left.\lambda^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta\right)$. A waterway was secured through the isthmus by cuttings (evpetırot) ${ }^{1}$ in the embankments, presumably spanned by drawbridges. In the first century after Christ these passages were deliberately blocked to assure communication with the mainland and with the Roman forces in the event of a raid from the pirates who infested the Hellespont at this time?. Natural processes, aided by neglect, were responsible for the silting up of the now entirely enclosed harbour; a thorough dredging was undertaken by Tryphaena in the reign of Tiberius, and the channels were kept open as late, apparently, as the third century (when Syncellus mentions the móp $\theta \mu t o \nu$ of Cyzicus ${ }^{1}$ ), while a century later Procopius was compelled to attack the town by sea. The last hint of the "island " is Clavijo's mention of "a cape on the Turkish side called Quinisco, and they say that when Timour Beg defeated the Turk, certain troops who were in the battle fled to this cape and converted it into an island ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ "

The harbour mentioned by Marcellinus ${ }^{\text {s }}$ as closed by a chain I take to be the northern portion of the Pool which was protected by projections of the city wall. By this time, however, the connection of the two seas was not essential, as Cyzicus bad little importance except as a purveyor to Constantinople. The earthquake of Justinian's reign was practically the end of the city, and the natural result of its decadence would be the substitution of the obvious roadsteads of Panderma and Artaki as the shipping ports of the Cyzicus district.

[^3]Beyond the Pool with its eanals, an inseription ${ }^{2}$ mentions "harbours and projecting moles." Strabo ${ }^{2}$ speaks of two closed harbours, and Apollonius in addition to Panormus ${ }^{3}$, which is fairly certainly the Pool, from its description as "having two entrances ${ }^{4}$ " speaks of harbours known as Chytus ${ }^{5}$ and Threicius ${ }^{0}$. Chytus was an artificial harbour in contradistinetion to Panormus, and may probably be identified with the small western marsh. Of the Thracian harbour nothing further is known, but it is possibly represented by the small eastern marsh; in spite of the symmetrical form of the latter, the irregular line of the wall over quite level ground in this quarter suggests a change in coast line, and the harbour may have originally extended further north. The entrances to both these smaller harbours were protected by moles, of which ruins remain".

The site of Cyzicus itself is now devoted to vine and cyzicus. mulberry culture, and sharcd by the inhabitants of Hammanli, Yappaji Keui, Yeni Keui and Ermeni Keui ; it extended, as is shewn by the remains of the city wall, practically from sea to sea, "bloeking the isthmus"." The spot is popularly known as Bal-Kiz ("Honey maiden") probably, as Hamilton ${ }^{2}$ suggests, originally a corruption of Ma入aud Kú̧ıcos, but associated by popular etymology with the Queen of Sheba, who is held by tradition to have had a palace there ${ }^{30}$. The town, as Strabo says ${ }^{11}$, lay partly (the north-east
${ }^{1}=$ Inscr. 3v. 5\%. $\quad{ }^{3} 575 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Sch. 5. $934{ }^{\circ}$
${ }^{-}$Sch. Ap. Rlı. 1. 936, 940. Et. Mag. s.v. Auфiduноs.
${ }^{0}$ Ap. Rh. 1.987 and schol. Ef. Mag. s.v. Xucods.

- Ap. Rh. I. inio. The scholinst is vaguely erudite. It was probably the port patronised by the 1raders from Byzantium and the Thracian ports; cf. the Egyptian harbour al Tyre (Str. 787) and Aristides' allotment of the 1hree harbours of Rhodeg. I. 797, Dind.
${ }^{7}$ f.H.S. Xx11. 182, 185. G. Cyzicenus gives the following account of the western



 Lambros).
${ }^{6}$ Scylax 70. 0 31. 102.
${ }^{10}$ Texier (11. 169) notes the occurrence of the name in scveral other parts of Asia Minor. For the Queen of Sheba legend see below, p. 204.
${ }^{21} 575$.
corner) on the hill called Bear Mountain (apparently as late as Meletius ${ }^{1}$ and Sestini ${ }^{2}$ ), either from the alleged metamorphosis of the nurses of Zeus into bears, or because there were bears on it, or because it was so high that it approached the stars (!) ${ }^{3}$ : the second is probably the true explanation, the other two being pedantic fictions of grammarians4. This north-east corner of the enceinte probably represents the seat of the Pelasgian Kings and the later Acropolis.

The larger half of the city (the southern and western portions) lay on the low ground of the isthmus and the small plain on the Artaki side, where a large suburb probably grew up in imperial times outside the walls about the temple of Hadrian. The existent ruins are meagre and comparatively uninteresting. The city walls can be traced with few breaks throughout their circuit and stand in some places to a considerable heigbt. Inside them is the shapeless remnant of the theatre, overgrown with brushwoods, and outside the substructures of the temple of Hadrian and a few gaunt piers of the Amphitheatre-a subject rather for the artist than the archaeologist.

The remains of the walls are naturally of various dates. Perrot' assigns the eastern to the middle of the fourth century, when the eity seems to have been re-walled after the Spartan
${ }^{1}$ Bithynia 4. ${ }^{3}$ vi. $53 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Seh. Ap. Rh. 1. 936.
*The whole peninsula is similarly called Arctoanesus by Stephanus and Pliny (v. 40. Cf. Ap. Kh. 1. 941, 1150). Bears, according to De Rustafjaell, are still to be found on the mountains.
${ }^{3}$ Pococke says (p, 116) that in his time the stones were already removed and the building overgrown: he was informed by one well acquainted with the place that there were originally 27 seats. West of it he saw the marble seats of the eastern end of a "circus." Texier (p. 174) in 1835 saw two or three seats of the theatre still in place, the brushwood having been burnt off. The prosecnium had nearly disappeared but enough remained to shew that it was at right angles to the sapporting walls of the cavea, and had been faced with marble. The same author gives the diameter of the theatre as 100 metres. From the mass of shapeless rains south of the theatre we may conjecture that it was an important point in tbe Hellenistic and Roman city. Texier (p. 174) distinguished in this quarter an agora, a portico and a temple, with semenos, orientated N. and S., of Roman date. The temple was faced with Synnada marble, and had red columns with white veins: from it may have come the beautiful supports for a table of offering foand in the vicinity by Mr Henderson in 2903. Such objects have been found in silu at Priene.
-Golatic 1. 6g. Cf. Inscr. I. 21 and perhaps 1. 22.
occupation, and the upper courses of the southern ramparts to late imperial date. In 1902 we found little of distinctive Hellenic type: large portions, certainly, of the eastern wall are identical in style with the obviously Roman south-western towers, while Roman and even Byzantine detail is not infrequently built in. Much may, howevcr, be allowed for repeated restoration down to the fourteenth century, and subsequent piling of stones from neighbouring vineyards in front of the line of the wall makes it difficult to recognise the original structure. History shews that the town was unwalled in 411, walled again before the attempt of Memnon and continuously, with the exception, perhaps, of local demolitions for convenience' sake in the peaceful Antonine period, down to the siege by Procopius; and that the isthmus wall at least was maintained to protect the Chersonese against the Turks, right down to their final conquest.

The styles of building found in the existing remains of the enceinte may be roughly classified as follows:-
I. Granite blocks laid in irregular courses, frequently with diagonal jointing : interstices filled with clay-mortar or small stones. This is the construction of the great south-eastern bastion. Perrot gives a measured drawing of a section of this wall, which he assigns to good Greek date : his opinion was borne out by a fourth century inscription, relating to the building of a tower, which was discovered by Carabella clamped to the base of the wall in this neighbourhood. The wall has evidently suffered since, and it is now difficult to distinguish it from the stones which have been gathered from the vineyards and piled against it. We found no architectural detail built in except a large Doric drum of brown sandstone.
II. Facing of rectangular dark granite blocks slightly bossed and laid in regular courses about 40 m . deep: the blocks are disposed alternate "headers and stretchers," the exposed surface of one stretcher equalling about that of two headers : the jointing is fair in this and the succeeding style (III.); the core of the wall is generally of whitish ccment.

The best examples of this style are to be found (a) in the stretch of wall between Demir Kapu and the central
harbour, where both facings are preserved, giving a thickness of about 1.50 m ., and (b) in the fragment immediately south of the Upper Road, where the stretchers have disappeared so as to shew the headers tailing into the coment; (c) this is also the construction shewn at the west postern gate.

This style is possibly to be referred to the first century B.C.
III. Facing of very long stretchers (somctimes as much as 2.20 m .) of various granites: headcrs only a few centimetres in thickness and often of marble; courses vary from 0.50 to 0.30 m . deep:

The best examples are:-(a) The hexagonal towers and the curtain wall between them: the towers stand to a height of some 500 m ., their upper parts being of unfaced rubble set in coarsc red cement. This may be a later addition to the substructure, but inside the western tower only the quoins are of squared stone, the rest rubble-faced. The wall between the towers is about 140 thick:-(b) A long stretch south of the conspicuous fragment below the Upper Road standing to the height of about 2.00 m . and well preserved. The style of masonry in (b) is better than that in (a) and is certainly of Hellenistic date.
IV. Massive but irregular white granite facing with coarse joints, filled with white cement, which is daubed carclessly over the face of the wall. This is shewn (a) in the stretch of wall adjoining the Erdek road (where many architectural remains and fragments of tile are built in) and (b) in the square tower opposite the head of the aqueduct. This construction may well date from the fourteenth century defences of the isthmus.
V. Rough rubble building with facing of small stones is found in the wall and buttress towcrs running from Demir Kapu towards the sea. This seems to be a late addition to the enceinte probably along the line of the original harbour defences. The building is entirely without character and may be late Roman or Byzantine.

The space enclosed by the walls is irregular in shape, as is natural on a hilly site; there is, however, a certain amount of symmetry in the plan of the southern portion. Thus, the recess of the great harbour cuts into the town about the middle of the
southern wall, to the extremitics of which, i.e just east of the Erdek road and at the south-castern tower called Demir Kapu', ran the causeways from the mainland : the extensions of the south wall are of late date, though not contemporary with each other; both may lie along the old foundations of the harbour defences?

The south-castern and south-western corners of the enceinte are occupied by the two smaller ports, protected in each case by the seaward curve of the wall running north, and provided with moles at their entrances. The arrangement of this portion bears a striking resemblance to that of the harbours of ancient Rhodes ${ }^{3}$, which was laid out during the Peloponnesian wars by the architect of the Piracus': the central harbour with its enclosed annexe, the flanking harbours, and even the position of the theatre under the acropolis hill are identical.

The subscquent course of the eastern and western walls takes advantage of the valleys of two streams, the so-called Cleite ${ }^{5}$ on the west and an inconsiderable brooklet on the east, which pass each other not more than a quarter of a mile apart, where the northern wall connects the valleys. The western wall, however, crosses the "Cleite" stream on reaching the plain, in order to enclose a portion of the level country in the direction of the Hadrian temple, while the eastern keeps inside of its brook.

Of the gates spoken of by de Stochove "and Cyriac ${ }^{7}$ only one, a postern overlooking the "Cleite" ravine", is still extant. That at Demir Kapu is said to have been standing within living memory, and the name is preserved in the possible harbour gate of Balkiz Kapu. Perrot's southern

[^4]postern is doubtful, though there was evidently an aperture in the wall here. The "Thracian Gate" of Pliny is to be referred to Byzantium.

On the plain outside the western walls stand the vaults

Temple of Hadrian. of the famous temple of Hadrian, first mentioned till Perrot, by all subsequent travellers. The ruins are called "Bezestan," or "Magara ${ }^{1 "}$ in allusion to their vaulted passages. Michaud ${ }^{3}$ records the tradition that the vaults are haunted by demons who guard the treasure concealed in them, and were formerly a resort of brigands?

Cyriac visited the site of Cyzicus twice, in 1431 and in 1444; on the first occasion he speaks in general terms of the ruins of vast buildings which covered the sitc, the amphitheatre, walls and gates. Most of all was he impressed by the ruins of the splendid temple of Jupiter, of which the walls (parietes) and thirty-three columns with their epistyles still stood erect, while the statues of the gods were still in place in the pediment ${ }^{\text {* }}$.

The second visit seems to have been largely devoted to obtaining drawings (unfortunately missing) and measurements of the temple : to the latter we shall refer later. In the interval between his two visits the cella wall and four of the columns with a great part of the epistyle had been carried off by the Turks. No later author mentions so much as a single column standing.

The temple is to-day represented only by the substructures of the podium. A general view shews a great mound, or rather agglomeration of mounds, measuring about $120 \times 180 \mathrm{~m}$, rising four to six metres above the surrounding country and overgrown with stunted holly-bushes. While the marble of the

[^5]temple has been consigned piecemcal to the kiln, the substructures, being of baser material, have escaped.

The mound is traversed by scven parallel tunnels running east and west, for the most part built of rubble and very dilapidated. The best preserved portion, measured and planned by Pcrrot, probably supported the cella, and is somewhat west of the centre of the mound: it occupics the breadth of the three central tunncls, and its outer walls are carefully built of squared blocks, now stripped of their metal clamps; the walls of the contral nave and the vaults throughout are of rubble set in coarse pink cement. In the southern wall of the central nave is contrived a stairway (now ruinous) opening at right angles to the nave, but running parallel to it. Nearly opposite in the corresponding wall is a short passage leading to a domed well chamber: the entrance to this passage is nearly blocked by fallen debris.

The remains, such as they are, rather tempt one to doubt the corrcctness of Cyriac's description, and consequently of Reinach's restoration from these data.

Cyriac's account of the ruins gives us the following measurements:
(a) Length (amplitudo pro columnarum spatio) 240 cubits. Breadth Height IIO ,"
. . . . . 70 feet.
(b) Dimensions of cella . . . . I $40 \times 70$ feet.

Number of columns on sides . . . 30.
Intercolumniation and distance of peristyle from wall . . . . 14 feet.
Number of columns between the side ranges :
In front, five rows of four . . . 20.
Behind, three rows of four . . . 12.
Total number of columns . . . 62,
exclusive of ten, in two rows of five, inside.
From (b) it is possible to plan, as Reinach has done, a hexastyle temple, fiftcen columns a side, with long porches at each end. This is evidently what Cyriac intended, but the cxtreme dimensions of such a temple are quite at variance with those he gives in (a), even in the proportion of side to front.

The extreme simplicity of the dimensions given in (b), all derived from the diameter of the column and uniformly in feet, not cubits, gives a mathematical rather than an architectural harmony to the plan: the cella front and back, for instance, do not align with any range of columns.

Now Cyriac is not infallible (the plan of the temple was obscured by debris, he himself says) and his good faith even is not beyond suspicion ${ }^{3}$. The evidence of the ruins is first hand and, as we shall see, does not bear him out.

Apart from the evidence of Cyriac we should expect the temple (a) to have been octastyle instead of hexastyle, and (b) to bave had a broad central intercolumniation.
(a) A temple of this enormous size would be naturally octastyle : all the imperial coins shewing the Neocorate temple of Cyzicus represent it as such; and the ruins, which consist of seven parallel vaults, convinced Perrot, before the appearance of Cyriac's Ms., that they were intended for an octastyle building. Beyond this, Cyriac's own extreme measurements of the façade are quite inconsistent with a hexastyle building.
(b) A large central intercolumniation is shewn on many coins ${ }^{2}$, including the largest and most carefully engraved specimens: where the ruins are best preserved the central vault is much broader than the flanking ones ( $3.50: 1.90 \mathrm{~m}$.).

At Aezani on the Rhyndacus are considerable remains of a temple having remarkable likenesses to the Cyzicene ${ }^{2}$, though built on a much sinaller scale: the cella is consequently supported on a single vault, but this has the same arrangements for ventilation and the same communication by staircase with the cella above as we find at Cyzicus. The two temples are not far removed in date4.

[^6]The Aezani tcmple is octastyle pseudodipteral, with fifteen columns a side, and has a wide centre intercolumniation; the normal intercolumniation is about onc and a half diametcrs.

For the Cyzicus temple our only fixed dimension, on which all authorities are agreed, is the column diametcr of about seven feet.

From Perrot's measured drawing of the vaults we find that the width of tbe contral nave of the cclla above must have been about fourtecn feet, or two diamcters: there is no reason why this should not represent the central intercolumniation of the facade. The aisle measurements give a width of about ten and a half feet (one and a half diametcrs) for the intercolumniation of the columns flanking the entrance.

Again, the passage into the central vault from the cast (front), including the thickness of the western foundation wall, measures 13.50 m .: this dimension, supposing it to represent the length of the pronaos above, suits a porch in antis of a depth of two intercolumniations, if the lesser façade intercolumniation of one and a half diameters is adhered to. We thus obtain a side intercolumniation equal to the lesser intercolumniation of the façade.

Applying this dimension to the length of the galleries, we shall find that the cella wall, exclusive of antae, accounts for six columns and their five intcrcolumniations-again as at Aezani. Following out the Aezani plan (it has fifteen columns a side as has the smaller Baalbek temple, and the temple at Magnesia) we shall add a front porch in antis of two intercolumniations depth (which we have deduced indcpendently above), and a corresponding back porch in antis, of which part is taken up by the stairway from the vaults: this will account for ten of the fifteen lateral columns. Of the remaining five, three go to the front and two to the back. The disposition of the front and back colonnades will vary according as we lay most stress on correspondence (a) with the Aezani temple, or (b) with Cyriac's description: (a) three full rows in front and the two columns in antis give Cyriac's twenty extra columns in front. A similar treatment of the back, however, gives.fourteen extra columns instead of Cyriac's twelve, unless
we suppose that the two back columns in antis were included, as at the Parthenon, in a Byzantinc apse.
(b) By reducing the depth of the back porch in antis to one intercolumniation, and leaving the lateral colonnades open from end to end, Cyriac's computation of the columns remains intact.

For comparison with Cyriac's figures, the corresponding dimensions of our hypothctical restoration are appended :

Extreme length:
15 columns ( 105 fect) +14 intercolumniations ( 147 feet) $=252$ feet.

## Breadth:

8 columns ( 56 feet) +6 intercolumniations ( 63 feet), central do. $(14$ fect $)=133$ fect.
Cella length including antae:
Io columns $(70$ fect $)+9$ intcrcolumniations $\left(94 \frac{1}{2}\right.$ feet $)=164$ fect.
Do. Breadth:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { columns }(28 \text { fect })+2 \text { intercolumniations }(21 \text { feet })+\text { central } \\
& \text { do. }(14 \text { feet })=72 \text { feet. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We need not, with Reinach, dispute the two internal ranges of five columns mentioned by Cyriac. They may have enclosed niches for the twelve Olympians of whom Hadrian was the thirteenth", and have supported a gallery: "parictibus annexae," again, does not necessarily imply engaged columns: indeed the evidence of the ruins rather points to the inncr ranges having continued the alignment of the central intercolumniation of the façade.

The temple seems to have adjoined the south side of a long rectangular enclosurc, perhaps an agora, some $450 \times 100 \mathrm{~m}$., whose western termination, with most of the southern wall, is clearly traceable. The ground at the westem end has every appearance of having been artificially levelled, and the bank running along the north side, where cven now broken monolithic shafts of red-veined $S$. Simeon marble may be seen, possibly represents a portico: The eastern end of the en-

[^7]closure, if we allow that the temple stood in the middle of its long side, abutted on the city wall.

The amphitheatre stands in the Cleite valley beneath the Amphitheatre. Acropolis: its shattered piers rising from the thickly wooded slopes of the old cavea are the most striking relic of the Roman city ${ }^{2}$ : as at Pergamon ${ }^{2}$ the stream flows through the building, presumably for use in naval spcctacular displays (naumachiae) as well as for the cleansing of the arcna. The amphithcatre is of oval form, and was surrounded by two tiers of arcading. There were originally, according to Texier ${ }^{3}$, thirty-two vomitoria. The material used is for the most part granite in squared blocks: the poor detail and the fact that second century inscriptions have been recovered from the building date it at earliest late in the Antonine pcriod. It is probably the "Balkiz Serai" or "Tamashalik" mentioncd by Seaddin and Hadji Kalfa in connection with the legend of Suleiman Pasha. Grelot specially remarks that both seas were visible from the amphitheatre, which is mentioned of the "palace of Balkiz" by Seaddin.

De Rustafjaell ${ }^{s}$ reports the ground outside the eastern walls Necropaleis. "honeycombed with ancient tombs," and Sorlin Dorigny seems to have cxcavated "post-Macedonian" graves in the same quarter. The sarcophagus outside the western walls ${ }^{7}$ suggests a cemetery, perhaps a street of tombs, in that direction also: I saw, too, in 1906, a large marble sarcophagus near the S.W. corner of the isthmus, and in 1903 several rifled slab-graves by the mainland end of the aqueduct: there was a suburb on this side according to Strabo, and coins and worked marblcs are commonly found there.

[^8]
## CHAPTER II.

ARTAKI AND THE KAPU DAGH.


Fig. i. Artaxi Road'.
Artaki, in turn a sister-colony, a suburb, and a successor of Cyzicus, has maintained its name and position
Artak. on the south-eastern shore of the Arctonnesus with varying fortune since its colonization by Miletus if not longer.
${ }^{2}$ From Admiralty Chart, 1649, Sea of Marmora (1go2).

The modern town (Erdek) is a busy little port, communicating by stcamer twice a week with Constantinople, Karabogha and the Thracian ports. It has a good deep anchorage close in shore, sheltered from the prevailing north-easterly wind, between the rocky promontory of S . Simeon, south-east of the town, and the low point on which the town is partly built : the chief export is a heady white wine which now, as in Leunclavius' day ${ }^{1}$, enjoys a considerable repute.

The town is the seat of a Kaimakam, and is inhabited by a mixed population of Turks and Greeks'. The latter have twelve churches, of which all, except the new cathedral, are small bare buildings without architectural pretensions: little of interest, indeed, has survived the disastrous fire in $1854^{3}$, when according to the folk-song :-

Of the ancient city there remains not a trace: the following from Georgius Cyzicenus ${ }^{3}$ is given for what it is worth:-
"Artaki appears to be built on the ruins of the ancient city whose ancient walls are preserved here and there among the houses. The inhabitants have a tradition that these walls, starting from the shore wherc the mosque is, continued nearly in a straight linc into the town and descended to a spot facing 'Tzioura" as they call it: and from therc, stretching along the shore, they united at the mosque; so that they seem to have enclosed a roughly triangular space. Some of the inhabitants, however, are of opinion that the ancient Artaki was built on

[^9]that portion of the site which is between the hill of S. Simeon and the modern Artaki; this space is now known as Kanáva and is vincyard land. They think this because when they dig there they find ruins of dwellings and workshops. For instance, not many years ago there were found in the vincyards a wine-shop (катг $\lambda_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}}$ ), a barber's, and a coppersmith's; for in one were found scraps of iron, in the other razors and other barber's tools, and in the third broken glass cups, one only being perfect, which I have still. . . . . This, then, is the evidence which makes some place the site of the ancient Artaki there."

Immediately in front of the town lies the rocky islet of Panagia, with ruins of a Byzantine church, baths and ayasnua. Lucas ${ }^{1}$ saw there springs of hot and cold water and a quantity of glass mosaic : he adds, "La tradition des Grecs dit que sous les ruines est ensevelie une des plus belles églises du monde," but excavation has failed to justify the tradition. Tbe island church is noticed by Uzzano?.

South-east of the port is the conical hill of S. Simeon or Mourad Bair, connected by a low rocky isthmus with the Kapu Dagh. The promontory is called àкpotท́pıò Mểavos by Strabot. Across the landward slope about half way up the hill run the ruins of a fortification wall with six square towers, two of which flank the gate; the wall is noticed by most travellers and called by Hamilton Seidi Ghazeh Kalehs.

The wall, which is in some places as much as 6.00 m . thick, stands to a considerable height, though never above the interior level of the fortress. It is built of rubble with tile mortar, and when Pococke and Hamilton saw it was still faced with squared

[^10]blocks of granite and marble in alternate courses: of this facing only a few granite blocks remain. The towers are rectangular in plan and, as far as they exist, built solid; they project about 5.20 m . from the curtain, and are fairly regularly spaced about 78.00 apart. The wall is not carried through the isthmus on the side furthest from the town, but breaks off abruptly as if never finished after the second gate-tower. Hamilton refers it to the Roman period on account of the construction, and the planning seems to refcr it to an early date in this period: it is probably the oppidum mentioned by Pliny. The fortification is locally attributed to the Genoese. On the extreme summit are remains of a small apsidal chapel, in the middle of which has been built a Turkish dédé.

South-west of the promontory, divided from it by a narrow channel, is the island of Tavshan Ada, where Prokesch ${ }^{2}$ found two cisterns and a ruined castle. The latter he describes as a long rectangular fortification similar in construction to the Gencese castle on the Bosporus: it had three large towers and one small onc on the long sides, the side of entrance and the back being also defended each by two towers.

The castle of Mouchlia', an hour and a half north of Artaki, stands on a lofty projecting spur of the mountains which bound the fertile plain between the town and Gonia. It has no strategic importance but is merely feudal in character-a small impregnable fortress overawing the plain-and may have been the seat of the feudal lord to whom Baldwin of Flanders allotted the Chersonnese: Georgius Cyzicenus ${ }^{4}$ notes that the castle was known in his day as Kepapiסâs, so that it would appear to
 Brienne in $1233^{\circ}$. It is locally attributed, like S. Simeon, to the Genoese.

[^11]The castle walls stand to a considerable height and enclosed a roughly oblong space (about 200 m. by 40 m .) lying N.N.E. and S.S.W. They are two metres thick and built of rubble and tile faced with irregular granite blocks. Eight towers (of which seven are semicircular) remain, and one entrance can be distinguished on the long S.E. side.

In the Argonaut saga we have no mention of a settlement at Artaki, only of a "fountain of Artace" (identified with a cheshme half an hour out of the town) and of a certain Artaceus slain in the fight who obviously represents the eponymous hero of the deme. This personage is probably a Hellenistic fiction if he does not represent a memory of a tribal god, for a Thracian tribe Artacii is mentioned by Stephanus Byzantinus and others', and 'Apraкпpin' is a Thracian epithet of Hera ${ }^{3}$ : Ptolemy mentions a place of the same name in Bithynia ${ }^{4}$, so the name is probably Thracian in origin.

The place was colonized by the Milesians ${ }^{b}$ and gave its name to the mountain behind and an island a stade from the shore " (Tavsban Ada? or Panagia?). Herodotus mentions it as a town in the story of Aristeas of Proconnesus', and it was one of the places burnt by Darius' fleet after the Ionian revolts. Later it appears in the Hellespontine tribute lists as a member of the Delian confederacy. Any importance the town may have had was absorbed by the rise of Cyzicus. Scylax is content
 $\nu \dot{j} \sigma \varphi$, and Pliny's "portus ubi oppidum fuit" shews that it had sunk to the position of a mere port to the larger town. Munro", indeed, identifies its rock-strewn harbour with the "port of Chytus," whicb, however, hardly suits the topography of Apollonius: nor is the harbour artificial.

[^12]With the decline of Cyzicus must have come the rise of Artaki: we have no evidence as to the date of this change, and the process may well have been a gradual one. The transference of importance depended on the silting up of the Cyzicene ports which had shewn a tendency in that direction as early as the first century. It was probably avertcd for a century or tivo after Constantine for the bencfit of the new capital, but the decay of Cyzicus had evidently begun ${ }^{2}$ at the time of the earthquake when Justinian spoiled it of its marbles for S. Sophia. The Byzantines cling-as does the church to-day-to the old name, and it is significant that Pachymeres in his account of the Catalans refcrs throughout to Cyzicus ${ }^{2}$, when Muntaner's account shews that Artaki was the real capital, and even gave its name to the peninsula at that date (early fourtcenth century); while but a hundred years earlier the Franks knew it as Eskisia. The form 'Үрти́кєоע"-apparently from the Homeric hero Hyrtacus' of Arisbe-seems generally used for the peninsula rather than the town.

The town appears to have been an important station of the Italian traders, certainly from 1265 on, at which datc Michael Paleologus conccded the Venetians special facilities for traffic there ${ }^{5}$. The date of the final conquest of the place by the Turks is not known to history, but a curious oral tradition is preserved to the effect that the citizens headed by their clergy marched out and surrendcred voluntarily to Orkhan, and were rewarded by special privileges secured them by a firman*.

[^13]The only ancient site which now remains to be sought on the peninsula is that of the temple of Cybcle Dindymon. on Mount Dindymon. The name is variously derived (1) from the whirling dance of the Galli ( $\delta, \nu \epsilon \in \omega):(2)$ from the twins born to Bacehus by Aura-a purely litcrary fable ${ }^{1}$, (3) from its two headlands ${ }^{3}$, or (4) from its two peaks ${ }^{3}$. It should be noticed that (2), (3) and (4) all assume that Dindymus = Didymus; Pliny indeed ealls the mountain Didymus and Catullus uses the same form (Didyma) of the Pessinuntine Dindyma ${ }^{\circ}$.

Of the derivations (4) is certainly the correct onc ; this is bornc out by the Byzantine name of the Milesian Didymi
 neighbourhood (near Aboulliond) of a double-peaked hill still named Didymos: the "holy mount" of Didyma in Thessaly" and Didymi in Argolis with its sanctuary of Demeter ${ }^{8}$ are other instances of religious associations of the double hills.

The name Dindymus seems to have been properly applied to a particular mountain of the system, which from its prominence, physical or religious, gave its name to the whole island or peninsula. Appian ${ }^{20}$ certainly speaks of the whole as Dindymon, while Pliny ${ }^{13}$ differentiates between Didymis, the whole mass, and Didymus the mountain of Cybcic.

[^14]It is possible that the modern name Kapu Dagh ("Gate Mountain") refers under another image to the double peak, in which case we should look for traces of the shrine between the peaks of Adam Kaya and Dédé Bair ${ }^{1}$. On the other hand, Kapu Dagh may be a corruption of Cabo Artaki. The derivations are not mutually exclusive.

We are justified, ccrtainly, in seeking the important prehistoric shrine outside the limits of the city, and the details of the Argonautic legend are quite in harmony with this idea.

Between Cyzicus and Peramo there stands a double-peaked mountain, called to-day by the Greeks Didymos=, which harmonises well with the little we know of the ancient holy mountain. It is comparatively isolated, which may account for Strabo's $\mu 0 \nu \circ \phi \nu^{\prime} \varsigma^{3}$, there is a spring (called marmaráki) half-way up' and a wood in the saddle between the two peaks? On the easternmost are slight traces of a small building roughly built of unhewn granite blocks: the site commands a fine vicw on both sides, extending in clear weather to Constantinople. The spot is marked Dcrvis on the map of Pococke, perhaps referring to the wild dancing mentioned below.

That so famous a shrine should leave no trace of marble or worked blocks may be accounted for if we suppose that Jason's temple remained in its primitive crudeness (Apollonius is peculiarly reticent as to the temple itself), like the early temple of Apollo at Delos, when the worship was transferred to a more magnificent shrine lower down, perhaps in the city itself. Certainly any site with conspicuous remains would be recogniscd by the inhabitants of the peninsula, who know their ground very thoroughly owing to their continued searches for the reputed buried treasures of the pirate Manoules?.

[^15]The Byzantine accounts of the Argonaut legend tell us that tradition regarded a certain church of the Virgin Mother of God, founded by Zeno, as occupying the site of Jason's temple ${ }^{1}$. At the foot of Didymos, in a fertile little plain surrounded by wooded hills, stands the important monastery of the Mavaria Eeqoóros Фavepwuév, which, owing to the miraculous healing powers of its picture, reputed a work of S. Luke, is the religious centre of the island. Malkotzes speaks of the church as a bone of contention between the Archbishopric and Peramo on account of its revenues, and scandals in connection with it were the ostensible cause of a late Latin mission to Peramo ${ }^{2}$. The picture is a very large and ordinary looking eikon of the Virgin and Child with the usual clumsy votive haloes and hands affixed: according to one tradition it was stolen by a Turk from the monastery of Kurshunlu and lost by him: it came into the hands of a villager of Yappaji Keui, who handed it over to the monastery. The present church is modern and garish, but replaces a humbler ancient structure ; outside it lie several large Byzantine capitals, perhaps survivals from a still earlier church of some pretensions, while on the outer wall hang the discarded crutches of cured cripples. The church stands within a court round which are rooms for the accommodation of patients. Even before the construction of this Malkotzes assessed the yearly number of pilgrims at 2,000 .

The cures of the Panagia Phaneromene are associated, as is usual at such healing shrines, with certain forms of religious

[^16]hysteria; but these hysterical phenomena seem also even in modern times to have occurred quite independently of healing or need for healing, and to some extent to have perpetuated the ecstatic traditions of the old Cybele worship. Georgius Cyzicenus, writing in 1825, speaks of these occurrences as a scandal to the church, but gives us no hint that any sickness was supposed to be healed by them. The custom was, he says, for a person to take the picture on his shoulders and run like one possessed over steep and difficult country, the rest following him with pious enthusiasm. Tbere was great competition for the privilege of carrying the picture, but not everyone was hysterically affected by the process. This curious passage, as elsewhere unpublished, is transcribed below.

At present, as far as I could learn, the cburch is frequented at all times of the year, and especially at the panegyris (Aug. 23), by sick people of all kinds for the cure. It is considered especially effective for lunatics, who are chained when violent, and remain in the church for an indefinite period, generally forty days, on a fasting diet. The cure is effected, both at such times and at the panegyris, by placing the sacred picture in the hands of the patient, he being in a sitting position. The picture is a large and heavy one, but patients, no matter how weak, are always able to support it. The patient is sprinkled with

[^17]holy water and portions of the gospel are read over him; it is then, if at all, that the cure takes place. The picture sways him about and strikes him ( $\sigma \tau \rho a \beta \hat{a}, \kappa \tau \nu \pi \hat{a}, \boldsymbol{a}, \delta \epsilon ́ \rho \nu \epsilon \iota$ ) but never does injury. One of my informants had tended a violent Iunatic in the church for as much as three months; in such cases patients are sprinkled and exorcised twice a week. Another informant saw a crooked woman cured at the fourth or fifth visit to the church. The cure is only occasionally patronised by Turks, but one known to my second informant made the pilgrimage yearly in gratitude for the cure of his son: the boy had a violent squint and saw nothing, though his eyes were open.

These are, of course, cited as specimen cases, especially for comparison with parallel phenomena at ancient healing shrines ${ }^{1}$. Dr Macris of Artaki, who has frequently visited the panegyris, told me he saw nothing which could not be attributed to natural causes, and another of my informants, a highly educated man, whose experience dates back some forty years, described the miracles of his day as scandalous impositions; the "swaying" of the patient by the picture, which is to the illiterate the most tangible part of the miracle, he attributed to the natural effect of the weight in a feeble person's hands. In his day miracles unconnceted with healing also occurred: the Panagia "refused" to be carried in procession outside the church, "lifted" devout belicvers into the air, and "beat" a heretic Armenian who dared to touch the picture. All these phenomena he attributed to natural, if sordid, causes. The refusal of the picture to be carried out was devised to evoke vows from the rustic pilgrims, and was sufficiently accounted for by the people anxious to touch it crowding about the door. This same anxiety was answerable for the "lifting" of the worshippers, who stood on tiptoe and jumped up to touch the picture, whilc the Armenian was said to have been subsidised to knock against the picture with his head. In bad years, I was told, the picture was mysteriously lost, and, when a sufficient number of vows had been elicited, triumphantly found

[^18]hidden in a tree. This last I could not hear of as practised at the present day; it is particularly interesting as a simple explanation of the ancient ceremonies connected with the hiding of Hera ${ }^{1}$. The healing can be dismissed with the usual comment, viz. that all the ailments cited as cured are intimately connected with nervous disorders, though the procedure is tried by the ignorant for such material injuries as broken bones?. I may here remark that I have as yet heard of no case of the saint's appearing to the patient in the incubation shrines of this district (Kapudagl2, Ulubad, Syki) or at others where I have made enquiries.

It is probably to this church and picture that Cantacuzenus ${ }^{3}$

 dependent on the bishopric of Cyzicus mentioned in the Acta

 тротаtoфópov $\Gamma \epsilon \omega \rho$ you $)^{4}$ : the latter is probably the once important monastery of S. George Egri Déré near Longada ${ }^{\text {b }}$, which has only comparatively lately fallen into ruin". It is now only one of the many unpretentious monasteries, generally quite simple cells of rough stone, with which the Kapu Dagh, especially around Peramo and Mihaniona, is crowded: many of them, e.g. the Panagia Galatiané (Kalami) near Castelli, and Decapedistrias at Katatopo, are said to have been important foundations, and all traditionally owe their ruin to the Crusaders. The quaint epithets of the H. Triada Atzépotas, Panagia

[^19]Leventiana (near Vathy) ${ }^{2}$ and Panagia Kapudagiótissa (near Langada), perhaps deserve recording.

The villages of the peninsula are without a history, and their general condition has probably never been mueh other than it is now. The mountains, whose fantastic roek forms are evidently the foundation of the carly giant legends, are useless for cultivation and obstructive to intercommunication.

Save for Hammamli, a foundation of Bayezid II.', Tcheltik Keui or Kueulo', and a small portion of the population of Ta Rhoda and Diavathy, the inhabitants are Christian: those of Yappaji Keui and its offshoot Yeni Keui are Maecdonian settlers of a hundred and fifty years standing', and Ermeni Keui, first mentioned by Prokesch ${ }^{4}$ (183I), is as its name implies, Armenian.

The eoast villages are barely supported by their tiny plains between the spurs of the hills, and cke out a living by fishing and silk-worm culture. The granite quarrying at Gonia and Ermeni Keui is in Italian hands. Ta Rhoda is mentioned by Uzzano ${ }^{7}$ as a place of call for coasters, probably on aecount of its good water supply, and there are slight remains of ancient walls on the shore.

Harakhi' was evidently a Greek and Byzantine village site. It possesses remains of a castle, and inscriptions and other worked blocks are occasionally found there ${ }^{30}$. The age of these eoast villages is unknown, and the reputed Cretan origin of

[^20]Harakhi and Mihaniona are probably due to nothing more than local schoolmasters' philology. ${ }^{1}$. Mihaniona and Peramo are first mentioned by Gerlach, and the traditions of Pcramo go back at least as early as the Turkish wars, when the inhabitants are said to have moved temporarily away from the coast.

[^21]
## CHAPTER III.

THE ISLANDS ${ }^{1}$.

NORTH and west of the Cyzicene peninsula the Propontis is studded with islands of various sizes: of these the

## Marmara.

 largest and most important is Marmara (the ancient Proconnesus) which gives its name to the group.It is roughly oval in shape, measuring about eleven miles long by six-and-a-half broad, and is administered from the village of Marmara on the south-west coast. Its population, like those of the other islands, is almost entirely Greek :

The island is steep and rugged, especially at the western end, the picturesque village capital lying under the highest part: the lower eastern portion, however, affords some scope for the culture of the vine. The chief wealth of the island consists in the marble of which its mountains are composed. This is described as a soft white stone, sometimes white with gray banded streaks closely resembling gray carystian ${ }^{3}$. It is still quarried at Palatia, and Buondelmonti's map shews the stone pier (pons Lapideus) from which the marble was shipped. Proconnesian marble was used in classical times not only for buildings of Cyzicus", but further afield for the palace of Mausolus ${ }^{5}$ at Halicarnassus and for a temple at Heraclea Pontica ${ }^{\circ}$ : it retained its repute into Byzantine times and was used for many of the

[^22]buildings of Constantinople ${ }^{1}$, including S. Sophia, and later still by the Turks for the Ahmediych and other buildings ${ }^{\text {: }}$ : it was also a favourite material for the sarcophagi of the Byzantine emperors ${ }^{3}$.

The name Proconnesus is variously derived:
(I) From $\pi \rho \circ \xi^{4}$ or $\pi \rho о к а \varsigma^{5}$ a kind of deer identical with $\nu \in \beta$ pós-this is probably the right derivation as the island was also called Elaphonnesus ${ }^{8}, N e \beta{ }^{\prime} i^{7}{ }^{7}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\epsilon} \beta_{\rho} i^{2}{ }^{8}$, and the formation is similar to that of Arctonnesus.
(2) From $\pi \rho o ́ \chi o o s$ a pitcher, commemorating an omen given to the settlers by a woman of the country'.

Hence the coins of Proconnesus bear either a deer or a pitcher as "types parlants."
(3) From $\pi \rho o \sigma \chi \chi^{\circ} \omega$ and $\nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma s^{10}$-a mere subtlety of the Grammarians, on the assumption, doubtless backed by a giant legend, that it had not always been an island.

The Byzantines generally write $\Pi_{\rho o \kappa \kappa o ́ \nu \nu \eta \sigma o s, ~ a s ~ f r o m ~}^{\pi \rho o i ̆ \xi, ~}$ rроикos, which is apparently the derivation favoured by the Etymologicum Magnum on the ground that the island furnished to all other islands a dowry of marble! It is possible that the real explanation is to be found in some forgotten myth analo-

[^23]gous to the Cyzicene legend of the dowry of Persephone ${ }^{1}$. The modern name Marmara, which has been taken over by the Turks, is used consistently in the early Italian navigators? In the Crusading period the names were both in vogue: Procconiso is used in the Partitio Romaniae, Marmara by Villehardouin ${ }^{3}$.

To return to history: the island was colonized from Miletus; and served like Cyzicus as a stepping-stone to the Euxine. The Milesian colony was ruled by a tyrant Metrodorus under Darius", took part in the Ionian revolt, and was sacked by the Phoenician fleet ${ }^{8}$. Later it became a member of the Delian league. Commercial jealousy may have been the reason for the deportation of its inhabitants by Cyzicus? in the fourth century. In the Byzantine age it was cruelly exposed to every invader of the capital ${ }^{8}$, and was used like the Principo Islands chiefly as a place of banishment ${ }^{8}$, especially for refractory priests ${ }^{30}$.

Many of the banished saints are still commemorated in Marmara and the other islands. The calendar published by Gedeon includes panegyreis in honour of S. Nicolas of Studium (Marmara, Feb. 4) ${ }^{12}$, S. Macarius of Pelecete (Aphisia, Apr. 1) ${ }^{13}$, S. John of Kathara (Aphisia, Apr. 27) ${ }^{13}$, S. Hilarion of Dalmata (Aphisia, June 6) ${ }^{14}$, S. (botos) Timotheus (all islands,
${ }^{1}$ App. Bell. Mith. 1. 75.
Tumaschek, 3 ; cf. Uzzano 236. 243 , Ducange.
${ }^{4}$ Str. 387. Theoph. Cont. ( +37 B.) is probably in error as 10 the Samians. Etym. Magr. (s.v. Ifpourbunjoos) speaks of Milesians in the same legend.
${ }^{3}$ Hdl. 1v. 138 . ${ }^{3}$ Hdt. vi. $33 . \quad{ }^{7}$ l'aus. vili. 46.
${ }^{1}$ Theoph. Cont. 196 (Russians in the reign of Theophilus) ; ibid. 299 ; Cedr. 11. 227 (Saracens from Crete in 866); G. Pachy. 11.529 (Catalans in 1307).

- Siephanus (son of Romanus Lacapenus), A. D. 945 (Cedr. 11. 325, Zon. 111. 48 r , Theoph. Cont. 437, Leo Gran. 330, Sym. Mag. 753-4), and Basilins Peteinos (Cedr. II, 342), Theophano, A.D. 970 (Zon. 111. 52 1).

10 The patriarchs, Nieephorus, 815 (Cedr. 11. 56, Zon. 111. 32 5), Michael Cerularius, 1058 (Scyl. 644), Arsenius, 1258 (G. Pechy. 1. 271 -for the monastery of Suda see Gedeon p. 12-cf. II. 83. Niceph. Greg. I. 95), and of the saints noticed below: Nicolas, Macarius, John, Hilarion, Theodore, Slephanus and Philetaerus; all bus the last were exiled during the iconoclastic period. Hierocles' eqople and Photius 82, Bekker (ef. Vita Chrysostomi zxxv. 22, Migne) shew that this was the recognised use of the island. Philetaerus is said by the Symax. C'potitamums 10 have been sent 10 the quarries.
${ }^{31}$ Under Leo Armenus (Migne, P.G. Cy. 912).
${ }^{22}$ Under Michael Balbus ; ef. Anal. Boll. xvi. 140 ff.
${ }^{28} \mathrm{c} .733 . \quad 14 \mathrm{c}$.845 .

Aug. 1)1, S. Bassa (Halone, Aug. 21), S. Theodore Graptos (Marmara, Oct. 11)², S. Stcphanus, jun. (Marmara, Nov. 28)", S. Philetaerus (Marmara, Dec. 30)*.

Proconnesus was the seat of a Byzantine bishop, and became an independent archbishoprie as early as the ninth eentury ${ }^{\text { }}$, a metropolis in $1824^{\circ}$.

The alleged granting of the island by Emmanuel Comnenus in 1115 to a John Comnenus is backed only by a forged deed, purporting to be the renewal of the grant in 1224 by Manuel Comnenus to George Marmora and his suecessors*.

Under the Latin Enipire Marmara fell to Pierre de Braiecuel' and became a Latin bishoprice. The Catalans made an attempt on it in 1307, and in 1315 it is mentioned among the islands granted by Philip of Tarentum, prince of Achaia (as titular Emperor of Constantinople), to Martin Zaccaria ${ }^{\text {20 }}$ : we have, however, no evidence that the deed was ever carried into effect. No tradition has come down to us of the eapture of the island by the Turks. Under their administration it was tributary to the Voivode of Galata'.

[^24]Marmara now possesses six villages, Marmara (the capital),
 coast, Palatia on the north, and Galimi on the west ${ }^{2}$. There are said to be mediaeval castles at Marmara, Palatia (presumably the large marble and brick ruin figured by Texier ${ }^{2}$ ), and above Galimi". The latter is mentioned by Pachymeres as Гa入ךvo$\lambda \mu \mu \dot{\eta} \nu^{4}$, while the northern harbour of Petali is mentioned as a stage on the journey from Constantinople to Jerusalem by the Abbot Daniel (1106)'. Klazaki is said by Gedeon ${ }^{6}$ to be a miserable place owing to the curse laid on it by a bishop: the cause was probably the apostasy of the inhabitants who hoped by this means to avoid paying k/haratchit: "the Porte," Dallaway continues, "unwilling to encourage them at the expense of the revenuc, and fearing the prevalence of example, imposed a double tax on them in future." The Turkish remedy explains the efficacy of the episcopal cursce. Aphtone is of Albanian origin (which Covel claims for all the Marmara villages except the capital) and the language is still spoken by the older people. Gedeon refers the settlement to the early years of the 18th century', but Covel already, in 1677, calls it 'A $\beta \beta$ anlro ${ }^{\omega}{ }^{\omega} \rho \iota$ (Albanian village).

Of the monasteries in the island most have fallen into a decayed state, except S. Nicholas (between Aphtone and Palatia), which seems from Gedeon's account to be of considerable dimensions, the extreme length being over 15 metres ${ }^{16}$. In-

[^25]scriptions are surprisingly numerous, and smaller remains are found in many localities. I shall perhaps be pardoned for inserting among the antiquities of the island the following account of a ßрочкó入акая in Marmara ${ }^{1}$ :-
"One Yané oippaa peis, of the Ile Marmora, severally excoicated, at last coming home suspected his wife's chastity, stampt her on the belly and broke her neck down staires; her mother excoicated him a new; he dyes, being protected by the Turkes, whome he served in many things. At last, 2 years after, his freinds, fearing so many excoications upon him hindered his dissolution, digg'd him up, found him intire, hair, nayles, elc., onely very black. They got an ovyépmotr from the Abp. : it would not serve turn, for a yeare after they found him still entire: al last came the mother of his wife and desir'd his pardon likewise, saying she was now satisfyed that God had testifyed the innocence of her daughter: upon the Arp's fresh ourxúpyoty he was dissolved in a very little time. This was asserted to me by several men of credit, especially Sr D. T., Sr D. P., \& Sr D. H."

Of the other islands, Pasha Liman, opposite the western point of the Kapu Dagh, retains also its ancient Paaha Liman. name, Halone, certainly not, as Gedcon would have it, a corruption of Avincvia, but rather "so called of the forme of a yard in which oxen use to grinde corne or beate it small2." The island is mentioned by Pliny (Halone cum oppido ${ }^{2}$ ), by Pachymeres as 'A入aivtov', and in the legend of S. Bassa'. The island has three villages, Pasha Liman and Halone on the well-sheltered western bay, and Vory ( $B \hat{\omega} \rho v$ ) to the north. Halone is the seat of the Archbishop of Proconnesus". The island is said by Palerne to have been settled by Albanians under a rencgade pasha ${ }^{7}$ : it is low-lying and has a considerable export wine-trade, mentioned as early as Mottraye.

In Pasha Liman Gedeon found ruins at Khoukhlia which he conjectures to represent the oppidum mentioncd by Plinys, and at the same place a very ancient boustrophedon inseription. As in the other islands there are here many remains of monasteries,
${ }^{1}$ From Covel, M5. 22,912, f. 465 . For the superstition see Polites' II apa $\delta \delta \sigma$ ets.
${ }^{2}$ Fynes Moryson. ${ }^{2}$ v. 40.
*11. 585 . Uzzano mentions the island as Andarurs, p. 226.
${ }^{3}$ Syuararion, Aug. 21. Bassa was martyred under Maximinn: it is, however, uncertain whether she died in Halone or al Cyzicus: according to local legend her remains were washed ashore at Halone. Her dylarua is still to be seen lhere (Gedcon, p. 37).

- For al least two eenturies (Gedeon, p. 194).
${ }^{7}$ ch. xcix. Gedeon ( 55 ) remarks that the names in Halone are curious and foreign-sounding. The island is somelimes called Botpyapa, from a Bulgarian colony.
${ }^{6}$ p. 28.
${ }^{3}$ Il. A, 3.
mostly ruined or meanly rebuilt within the last 150 years: Paradeision has still eight or ten monks. At Vory is a church of S. Anna with a hermit's cell, the former a foundation, the latter the dwelling of S. Stephen the younger ${ }^{1}$. The church of S. Anna is frequented as a healing shrine ${ }^{2}$.

Aphisia or Arablar (the latter name from a colony of Arabs on the eastern bay ${ }^{3}$ ) appears to be Scylax'
Aphisla. Elaphonnesus, "an island with a good harbour cultivated by the Proconnesians ${ }^{\text {" }}$ : the anchorage between it and Pasha Liman is protected on the north by the small island of Kutáli. Aphisia is probably also the old Proconnesus of Strabo, possibly the Ophiussa of Pliny: but Diogenes of Cyzicus ${ }^{\circ}$ mentions an island Physia distinct from Ophiussa. In the Byzantine writers the name is spelt Aphousia', and the island is mentioned most frequently as a place of banishment ${ }^{\text {º }}$.

The condition of the island is backward owing to lack of boats, church lands, and damage done to the vines. It has two villages, Arablar on the east and Aphisia (Greek) on the west coast. At the latter are ruins of a Church of the Trinity, of which Gedeon records a curious superstition. It was believed that if a sailor was detained by adverse winds on his homeward voyage, the wind could be changed if his relations at home made the circuit of the ruins burning incense the while ${ }^{\text {s }}$.

[^26]The ancient name of Kutali is unknown: the modern,
Kutid. derived from its skyline ${ }^{1}$, is already of respectable antiquity: The island is mostly under cultivation, and the one village, with its large and well-built houses, has a very prosperous appearance: many of the inhabitants are deep-sea sailors. Kutali contains several churches, none of importance. According to tradition the Franks in the 13th century sacked the one great monastery ${ }^{2}$. Small antiquities are said to have been found on the site of the old (but restored) church of the 'Póסov 'A $\mu a ́ p a \nu \tau o \nu$, which contains an ancient relief ${ }^{4}$.

Le Bruyn ${ }^{5}$ and Castellan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ give Gadaro among the four larger islands. This appears from Pococke's map to be incorrect, Gadaro being there identical with the islet called Khersizada.

The other names given in Pliny's list ${ }^{7}$.-Acanthus, Phoebe ${ }^{3}$, Scopelos, Porphyrionc, Delphacie, and Polydora - cannot be attributed to individual islands of the Cyzicus archipelago, which includes many satellites of the larger islands, west of the peninsula, and a small group of rocky islets-the Mola islands ${ }^{10}$ off the castern point of the same. Gedeon ${ }^{12}$ found traces of ancient occupation even on Gerà and Koyun-adassi.

Under the Turks the inhabitants of the islands supplied recruits for the flect ${ }^{2 s}$, and their fishermen were required to make an annual journey to the Black Sea to fetch a particular kind of sand, which, being deposited off Seraglio point, bred oysters for the Grand Signior's table ${ }^{23}$. The almost unmixed character of the population preserves the islands from many of the disadvantages of the Turkish Government. From the records published in M. Gedeon's monograph, it appears that the inhabitants, owing to their vineyards, quarries, and fisheries, were

[^27]fairly prosperous in the middle of the eighteenth century: their trade began to decline with the opening of the nineteenth, and this depression brought about the mortgaging of the church lands, which form a great part of the available tillage, to the great monasteries of Athos, the $\Lambda \epsilon \tau \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ in Lesbos, and S. Nicholas of Andros, and elscwhere. The result has been that in the case of Marmara one-third of the cultivated land has been thus alienated, while the coming of steam has still further handicapped the petty commerce of the islands.


## CHAPTER IV.

## THE MAINLAND: PHYSICAL FEATURES ${ }^{1}$

THE mainland behind Cyzicus from Karabogha to Mudania

General Contours. is for the most part a plateau cut off by hills from the sea, and backed by others forming the second step to the main watershed. It may be conveniently divided into the basins of the Bigha, Gunen, and Mihallitch rivers, which flow through the only gaps in the coast hills. The Mihallitch river, whose valley is physically and commercially one of the great arteries of the country, accounts with its tributaries for more than two-thirds of the land under discussion; from the west it receives the water of the undulating plateau which, centring in the lake of Manyas, forty fect above sealevel, extends eastwards to the barely perceptible boundary of the Gunen river basin: from the east it carries off the water of the corresponding plain, draining into the lake of Aboulliond, and of the Nilufer valley, which lies between this plain and the sea.

Between the point of Kara-Bogha and the isthmus of Cyzicus there are but two rivers worthy of the name-the Bigha Chai, with its tributaries, and the Gunen Chai. Both spring from the ridge of Ida called Cotylus by Demetrius of Scepsis² and take a general north-easterly direc-

[^28]tion towards the Marmora. Strabo's description of the coast

Bigha Cbal (Granicua). shews us that we must recognise in these rivers the of history, so called from Homer ${ }^{1}$ and Hesiod ${ }^{2}$ downwards.

Both the Granicus and its eastern tributary pass through a good deal of plain country ${ }^{8}$ as they approach the sea, and the main stream enters the sca through a broad gap in the line of the coast hills. Its ancient lower course, according to Kiepcrt, passed slightly west of the present, through what is now the small and rapidly vanishing lake of Edje Gueul. The western tributary of the Granicus, called Kara Atly Chai or Khodja Bashi Chai, is identified by Demetrius of Scepsiss with the Homeric Rhesus ${ }^{5}$.

The upper waters of the Aescpus ${ }^{6}$ How through a broad and Gunen chal well-tilled upland valley-the modern department (Aesepua). of Avunia; after leaving the mountain country, the river passes through the plain of Gunen, where it receives a fair-sized tributary from the west and then bores itself a tortuous way through the barrier of hills; it enters the sea, forming an extensive coast-plain at its mouth, about half-way between the Granicus and Cyzicus.

By the Crusaders of Barbarossa's expedition ${ }^{7}$ Granicus is called Diga (for Pega) and Aesepus Aveloaica, Anelonica, Avelonica, probably corruptions of Av̉ $\omega \omega \iota \tau \iota \kappa \delta^{5}{ }^{5}$.

[^29]The third river of this district, called indifferently Kara Su, Kara-déé
(Tarilus?). Kara-déré Su , or Ak -Chai, rises in the same ridge as the Granicus and Aescpus, and flows like them in a gencral north-easterly direction till it enters the lake of Manyas towards the south-eastern extremity; at a point only slightly east of its entrance it leaves the lake and makes its way first east, then north-east, and again east, across the plain to join the great river at Mihallitch : the only important tributary is the stream flowing south-east from behind Panderma, which I have called conjecturally Stribos ${ }^{1}$.

The Kara Su thus conveys into the Mihallitch river the whole of the water of the castern plateau. This latter is cut off from the sea by hills which risc in some cases, as for cxample Delikli Bair, opposite the isthmus, and the Kara Dagh range, to a considcrable altitude.

The plain of Manyas is conspicuously devoid of scenic attractions; the lake is muddy, and the hills never approach it near enough to diversify the skylines: its shores are dull and flat and the rolling down-country which surrounds it, though fertile enough where cultivated, is treeless except on the southern side. This southern shore is frequently flooded and affords rank pasture and water-meadows for the herds of buffalo which graze it. Behind it rise the moderate heights of the Souaryah Dagh (bchind Manyas) while to the south-east are visible the three peaks of Tchatal ${ }^{3}$-too far off however to be a conspicuous feature-mand to the east on clear days a gleam of misty white marks the distant snows of the Mysian Olympus.

The Kara-déré river has been identified with Strabo's Tarsius.
(Tomaschek, 93) and by Niger to Granicus, has crept in from the variously misspelt and misplaced Lartacho (三Artakl) of the Portolani. Aesepus is very variously named by travellers, Boclew by Chishull (59), Outsvola Su by Lechevalier (r. 23), Satalcéré and Dermen by Texier (164). Discusvion is unprofitable, since the frequent variation may be due not only to incorrect maps and identifications, but to the practice of naming rivers after villages, each community giving its name to that part of the river with which it is acquainted. ${ }^{1}$ See below, p. $4^{8 .}$
2 The ancient name of this striking mountain is unknown: To tol Tpadidecos opos is mentioned in the Life of Joannicius (Synax. Eccl. Cp. Nov. 4), the scene of which seems to be the Brasa district, and the name is distinctly appropriate.

3 The name is apparently of Thracian origin. The name Tarsas occurs in Thracian inscriptions: Dumont, 446 ( 110 b. 17), 447 ( 110 b. 31), and Tarsia, Tarsiatae in Porphyrogenitus' account of Bithynia (pp. 15, 27 B.).

Chishull ${ }^{1}$ and apparently Kiepert ${ }^{9}$ (though he does not print it on his map) have heard it called Tarza or Tarssa Su, which sounds like a survival of the ancient name. Beyond this there is little positive evidence for the identification. Against this generally accopted view must however be weighed the following considerations: (a) Strabo ${ }^{2}$ speaks of the Tarsius, a river remarkable for "twenty fords in the same road, like the Heptaporus of the poet," as about Zeleia, which the Kara-déré is certainlynot: (b) and the same author, quoting Demetrius, the local authority, say's that (Homer's) Heptaporus is "the river they also call Polyporus, for it is crossed by the road as you go from the villages about the Fair Pine to the village of Melaenae and the Asclepieum founded by Lysimachus., ${ }^{\circ}$ This seems to identify Heptaporus, Polyporus and Tarsius. The Fair Pine can be roughly located near the headwaters of the Scamander, Aesepus and Granicus-nowhere near the Kara-déré. Now the road followed by Tchihatchcff from Bigha up the Khodja Bashi crossed the latter many times, from which circumstance the river is called "Kirk getchid" ("forty fords"). This route curiously enough passed a village called Mâpes" which at least in name corresponds with the old Mélauvai. There is at any rate some justification for the assumption that the Kodja Bashi = Heptaporus-Polyporus, whether or not Strabo rightly identified Heptaporus with Tarsius.

A curious geographical digression in Anna Comnena ${ }^{8}$ to explain the local name Barenus informs us that

Barenua, Empelus, Angelocomltet. from a mountain Ibis (Strabo's Cotylus) flowed the rivers Scamander (inserted perhaps for its Homeric interest), Barenus ${ }^{7}$ (connected with Baris which seems to have stood on the Aesepus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ), Empelus and Angelocomites.

[^30]Now we have record of a cult of a river Enbeilus (an earlier spelling of the name) in a votive inscription found at Panderma ${ }^{1}$, and the occurrence of an exactly parallel inscription at Alexa on the lower Kara-deré cnables us to finally identify EnbeilusEmpelus with the latter river. If Barenus is Aesepus, which is probable, and Empclus is the Kara-déré, which is certain, it is logical to suppose that Angelocomites is represented by Granicus. But I more than suspect that it was rcally identical with Empelus, on the banks of which stood the Civitas Archangelos?

The great river flowing from the Lake of Simav and draining

> Macestus. by its tributaries all the country around and above the lakes is variously named at different points of its long course, Simav Chai, Susurlu Chai, Mihallitch Chai. It is obviously to be identificd with Strabo's ${ }^{4}$ Mecestus, Pliny's Macestus ${ }^{5}$, and the Megistus of Demetrius of Scepsis. The name is probably non-Greek ${ }^{7}$, which would account for the variation: and the form Megistus is perhaps a popular etymology.

Its upper waters run almost due west, but above Bigaditch it takes a sharp turn to the north which is its gencral direction henceforward. Above Kebsud it receives from the west the streams of the Balukiser plain, in particular the Uzunja-déré (possibly the mediacval ' $\mathrm{O} \nu 0 \pi \nu \iota \kappa \tau \eta s^{8}$ ), and makes a short bend eastwards. After this it continues to flow almost due north down a narrow vallcy which opens to the plains below Susurlu: then, bending north-east, and receiving near Mihallitch the Kara-dére from the west, and the Ulubad Chai and Nilufer Chai from the east, it flows again through a comparatively narrow valley into the sea opposite the island of Kalolimno".
${ }^{1}$ Inscr. 1v. 77.
${ }^{2}$ Inscr. IV. 78. The name also occurs 25 a man's name in Instr. v. 83. Aesepus, like Enbeilus, was honoured with a cult (Aristid. 503 Dind.) and is the name of a Cyzicene in Inscr. 1. נ. ${ }^{3}$ See P. га1. ${ }^{576 .}{ }^{5}$ N.H. V. ${ }^{2}$. - Ap. Schol. Ap. Kh. 1. 1163 where it is identified with Rhyndacus. Cf. also Polyb. v. 77, 8 7. It is called Meras llorapos in Theoph. 11. 7 (de Boor).
${ }^{7}$ Cf. Mexactupos a local epithel of Apolio (Inscr. 1V. 11 $^{1}$ ), Macestis, a name in Le Bas $\boldsymbol{1}$ I27.
${ }^{8}$ Sec below, p. 133.

- The words of Valerius Flaccus (111. 35) "Teque eliam medio flaventem, Rhyndace, ponto" are said by Tchihatcheff in his account of the river ( 1.200 ff ) to be literally Irue: "Vers son embouchure...it devient lellement limoneux que ses ondes jaunissantes forment dans to mer une large bande colorée." So also says Covel.

During the early days of the Sultan-Chair boracite mine the rivcr was utilized for steam transport', and there is again talk of making it navigable up to Kebsud.

As the western plain is drained by the Kara-déré and the lake of Manyas, so is the eastern by the Edrenos
Rhyndacus. river and the lake of Aboulliond. The plain is
smaller, since the hills approach close up to the southern shore of the lake, and on the north it is divided from the sea by two ranges of hills between which flows the Nilufer river: the lake gains in picturesqueness from the proximity of the hills, and from the wooded isicts with which its surface is studded. The Edrenos Chai, called also at its exit from the lake Ulubad Chai, is to be identified with the Rhyndacus?, which the ancients curiously considered the main stream rather than the Macestus. Pliny ${ }^{3}$ and Strabo" both speak of its "receiving" the Macestus as a tributary, whereas, compared with the latter, its course is short and its valley unimportant: its upper waters (Edrenos Chai) pass in a narrow bed through sparsely-populated mountain country communicating with no important pass, while the lower river (Ulubad Chai) issuing from the western end of the lake of Aboultiond by Ulubad flows after a fcw miles between ideally dull banks into the main valley of the Macestus. The river is navigable up to the lake, which is fished by the inhabitants of Apollonia.

Plinys mentions Lycus as an ancient name of the Rhyndacus;
 Lampes. Niger calls it Lartachus which is explained by the juxtaposition of the river and Artaki on the early maps. Other authorities of this date ${ }^{3}$ give Lopadium (Ulubad Chai) as the name of the river.

The Nilufer Chai, flowing due west, parallel with the coast

## Odrysen.

 and the road from Cius to Lopadium, is again comparatively unimportant. It is not navigable, and its valley has nevcr served as a highway for more than its[^31]own villages. It has been identified on the strength of Hecataeus' description ${ }^{1}$ with the Odryses (perhaps the Horisius of Pliny) ${ }^{2}$ which flows through the Mygdonian plain into the Rhyndacus, passing out of the lake of Dascylium at its western end, but the identification is at least uncertain ${ }^{3}$. The course of the river has been explored by Dr Ruge ${ }^{4}$. Its modern appellation, Nilufer, is said to have been the name of the daughter or wife of Orkhan, who built a stone bridge over it ${ }^{5}$.

In our description of the rivers of the Cyzicene we have had

> The Lakes. occasion to mention the lakcs now called after Manyas and Aboulliond. The question of their ancient names has been discussed by Texier ${ }^{6}$ and Perrot ${ }^{2}$ but no final conclusion has been reached, owing to the discrepancies between our various authorities. Chief among these is Strabo whose testimony is so explicit as to seem unmistakeable, though in reality, probably, based on no personal knowledge of the country.
(a) Strabo mentions three lakes, each of which was given its name by an adjacent town, thus:

1. Dascylitis near which was Dascylium.
2. Miletopolitis " " Miletupolis.
3. Apolloniatis " " Apollonia callcd ad Rhyndacum. Thesc are mentioned between Olympus and Cyzicus; the two latter lakes are said to "lie above" (iँтєркєíन者al) Dascylitis. Milctopolitis and Apolloniatis are elsewhere expressly mentioned apart, the latter being apparently the further from Cyzicus. In another passage a lake Aphnitis, near Zeleia, is mentioned, which is identified with Dascylitis ${ }^{10}$.

[^32](b) Pliny ${ }^{2}$ places a lake Artynia near Miletupolis, certainly the lake of Aboulliond since the Rhyndacus is said to flow through it.
(c) Plutarch ${ }^{2}$ speaks of Lucullus bringing a great boat overland ${ }^{1}$ to Cyzicus from Dascylitis during the Mithradatic siege. No lake but that of Manyas was on Lucullus' way from Phrygia, so that this must be regarded as confirmation of Strabo's identification of Aphnitis and Dascylitis.
(d) Stephanus ${ }^{4}$ identifies Aphnitis and Artynia.

From Strabo, apart from his general statement which affords no clue, we gather that

$$
\text { Aphnitis }=\text { Dascylitis }=\mathrm{L}_{.} \text {of Manyas }
$$

From Pliny that Artynia $=\mathrm{L}$. of Aboulliond.
From Plutarch that Dascylitis $=\mathrm{L}$. of Manyas.
[From Stephanus that Artynia $=$ Aphnitis.]
Hitherto the usual explanation of the problem raised by these discrepant statements is that :
(1) Lake of Aboulliond $=(a)$ Artynia $=(b)$ A polloniatis.
(2) Lake of Manyas $=(a)$ Aphnitis $=(b)$ Miletopolitis.

Artynia and Aphnitis are presumably names existent before the foundation of Apollonia and Miletopolis.
(3) Unknown lake on the Nilufer $=$ Dascylitis.

With (1) no quarrel is possible, the statement of Pliny being sufficiently explicit as to (a) ${ }^{8}$ and the known site of Apollonia ${ }^{\text {d }}$ with the modern name of the lake attesting the truth of $(b)$.

In (2), the equation (a) may be regarded as proved by the

[^33]association based on Homer ${ }^{1}$ of Zelcia with Aphnitis: (b) is more doubtful now that we know certainly that Miletopolis was not only much nearer the lake of Aboulliond but on the Aboulliond side of the Macestus. Its proximity to the lake of Aboulliond even suggests that Strabo was misled by a desire of parallelism and that there were in reality only two lakes, i.c. that Apolloniatis bore also the name Miletopolitis. This solution would be particularly welcome in view of the fact that one of the chief difficulties of the lake question is that there are only two existent lakes as far as can be ascertained. This leaves only one interpretation for (3), viz that Dascylitis is Manyas.
(3) The position of the vanished lake on the Nilufer ${ }^{3}$ rests on the assumption that Nilufer $=$ Odryses (for which there is no direct evidence); Hecataeus distinctly says that lake Dascylitis was traversed by the Odryses, but equally distinctly that the Odryses flowed from the zvest into the Rhyndacus4. The position of Dascylium (though a Dascylium undoubtedly stood on the coast near the vanished lake) is very doubtful, and a certain amount of positive evidence may be gleaned from Strabo's statement that Aphnitis = Dascylitis and Plutarch's apparently independent implication that Dascylitis was lake Manyas.

I therefore regard as tenable, pending further evidence, the theory that:

Lake Aboulliond $=$ Artynia $=$ Apolloniatis and Miletopolitis. Lake Manyas $=$ Aphnitis $=$ Dascylitis ${ }^{\text {b }}$.

${ }^{2}$ The lake of Apollonia, generally called in Tis ' $A \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega u d d \delta s$ Byzantines, is called after Lopadium in Chalcondyles, p. 225 B . It is generally Aboulliond Gueul in Turkish, but Hadji Khalfa 11. 477, 479, 481 names it after Utubad.
${ }^{2}$ For a possible site see Kuge's paper in Petermann's Afiths. 38.224.

 are associated in Str. 576).
s An alternative position for Dascylitis may be suggested north of Brusa, where are traces of a lake on a tributary of the Nilufer.

## CHAPTER V.

## COAST SITES-AIDINJIK TO TRiGLIA.

Fronting the isthmus of Cyzicus rise the rather barren slopes Adrateia of Delikli Bair, which is certainly the Mons AdrasMons. teia (named after a temple of that goddess ${ }^{2}$ ) where Lucullus took up bis position behind Mithradates*, so as to intcrcept all supplies coming to the besieging army from the landward side. The single narrow approach to the position spoken of by Appian ${ }^{3}$ is possibly to be found in the bed of a stream flowing from the neighbourhood of Aidinjik to the lake.

Slightly west of the isthmus, in a saddle of the coast hills, which are here beautifully wooded, lies Aidinjik, a large village, with a mixed population of Turks, Tartars, Armenians and Greeks; the latter have a church of S. Gcorge. Aidinjik was formerly a place of some importance, and the seat of a local governort. The name is said to mean "Little Moonlight," in allusion to the moonlight adventure of Suleiman Pasha"; but the place is mentioned by Seaddin before the conquest of Karassi. Aidinjik is full of ancient remains plundered from the ruins and contains a picturesque mosque raised on wooden pillars, each supported by a reversed Corinthian capital.

[^34]It is near Aidinjik that we must locate the Poketos of the Philetaerus legend ${ }^{1}$. Philetaerus was on his way under escort from Nicaea to his place of banishment in Proconnesus, and was apparently to take ship at Cyzicus: his route is given in some detail from the crossing of the Rhyndacus to Poketos where he died. According to the Vita, when the party was already near the $\beta$ ouvá ${ }^{2}$, a term elsewhere applied to the Kapu Dagh, the saint persuaded his escort to diverge by a cross-road to Poketos, whence Cyzicus could be gained with little loss of time. At Poketos there was a small Christian community owing its foundation to S. Paul. Ramsay in St Panl the Traveller' identifies a sanctuary of Artemis, mentioned in the Vita as near Poketos, with the Artemea of Hierocles and with the thermae of Artemis at Gunen. This identification he uses to substantiate his argument that S. Paul passed Gunen on his way to Alexandria Troas; but the extreme frequency of Artemis cults in the neighbourhood as evidenced by monuments and by the Vita itself makes the theory very doubtful, and the route to Cyzicus by Gunen involves a considerable circuit. Further the Vita refers to the lofty position of Poketos ${ }^{3}$, which does not suit Gunen, while the reference to the sacred grove of cypresses ${ }^{6}$ is quite in harmony with their luxuriant growth to-day in the cemeteries which surround Aidinjik.

The rest of the details of the journey from the Rhyndacus. fit well. Serou kome would be somewhere on the Macestus ("the river" is mentioned), the river Koaste the Kara Su, the Stribos the stream flowing from behind Aidinjik to the latter river, and the village of Cleodes perhaps about Debleki, which is

[^35]© ${ }^{\text {\& }} 28$.
an ancient site ${ }^{2}$ identified conjecturally with Scyrmus ${ }^{2}$ by Kiepert.

Just east of the isthmus (in the neighbourhood of the modern Thracia. Mahmun-Keui) must have stood the "Thracian Come. village, ${ }^{3,1}$ where Lucullus pitched his camp during the siege of Cyzicus". This would be the only point where the camp would be in full view of the besieged, and I am now told that Mahmun-Keui was the provenance of the stele bearing the name of the village.

The town of Panormus (Panderma) is not mentioned before Panormus. the thirteenth century : the name, indeed, is given by the author of the Etymologicum Magmum ${ }^{5}$ to the harbour of Cyzicus, but his description of it as a harbour with two entrances hardly fits the open roadstead east of the isthmus. It is possible that the name, which is a common one all over the Greek world, represents a Greek colony absorbed like Artaki by the growing power of Cyzicus-like Artaki, it has gained its prosperity at Cyzicus' expense.

Villehardouin is the first to mention* "un chasteau qu'on appelle Palorme" which the Crusaders fortified in 1204 as the base of their incursions upon the territory of Lascaris. This seems, however, the only part the town has played in history. Ruins are non-existent if we.except some scanty traces of an insignificant church on the shore at the western extremity of the town.

To-day Panderma is the most fourishing port of the district and the seat of a Kaimakam; it communicates with Constantinople by sea, and by tolerable roads with Balukiser and Brusa.

[^36]The town possesses five mosques, the largest, that of Haidar Tchaoush, a pleasing building on the quay, and five Greek churches, the chicf being those of the Virgin and S. George: the monastery of the Trinity is picturesquely situated on the shore and boasts a sacred well of repute. The streets are wide, and in some cases well paved, and the quays modern and extensive. A stone pier has been commenced. Among the exports are the maize of the Mysian plains and the boracite of Susurlu: large numbers of lambs are also shipped to Constantinople in the season. The population is Greek, Turkish and Armenian, the latter element being specially important ${ }^{1}$. De Stochove writing in 1650 talks of it as entirely inhabited by Armenians ${ }^{2}$, and the settlement may, like those in the Troad ${ }^{3}$, be as early as the Crusaders, and have influenced their choice of Panderma as their head quarters. Gerlach, however, lays stress on the Greek population. Panderma figures as a port on the carly maps, and offered obvious advantages to the Italian traders of the middle ages. Villehardouin's and Gerlach's use of the Italian form of the name (Palorme, Palormus) points to an important settlement of Franks, as does du Chastel's mention of a Latin chapel so late as the 17 th century.

Placia and Scylace lay on the coast between Cyzicus and

Placia and Scylace. the Rhyndacus' mouth. They were reputed colonies of the Pelasgians, and in Herodotus' day still spoke a non-Greek dialect ${ }^{5}$. It is, however, curious that both names are quite Greek in sound. Both seem derived from natural features, Placia from a flat-topped acropolis, Scylace from

[^37]some fantastic rock form like the modern Dévé Burnu ("Camel Cape") near Ycnije. The "Scylaceion" of Valerius Flaccus ${ }^{1}$ seems to refer to a lieadland, possibly this one.

The two towns have no recorded history, but Placia has left autonomous coins dating from about 300 B.C. ${ }^{2}$, which fact points to its having been the more important of the two. Scylax ${ }^{3}$, too, mentions Placia but not Scylace. Placia was famous as the seat of the Mêtêr Placiané whose worship was important enough to be transferred to Cyzicus, probably when Placia was absorbed by her powerful neighbour, just as the Proconnesian goddess was removed from Marmara.

Considering their small importance Placia and Scylace are placed accurately enough by Mela. The site of the Placiane shrine may be indicated by that of the mediaeval and modern religious centre of the Kara Dagh-the monastery of the Virgin at Kurshunlu, called indifferently Panagia Kara Dagh and rov̂ Meүáдou "Aypou.

I found at Kurshunlu not only a Byzantine church with remains of a once magnificent marble tessellated pavement, a massive precinct wall on the scaward side and a ruined gateway of some pretensions ${ }^{3}$, but many ancient remains; these included several large fragments of marblc lions, which suggest that the monastery occupied the site of the temple of Placiané. At the samc time I should hesitate to place Placia at Kurshunlu, which, lying under the highest point of the Kara Dagh range", does not possess land enough for its own support, but lives by the cxport of charcoal to Constantinople. It is much more probable that Placia was at Venije, where the mountains fall away towards the lower ground about Panderma, and that its territory embraced the mountain country and the shrinc of the mountain goddess. If the Panagia is indeed the successor of

[^38]Cybele, we find a curious repetition of history in the legend that the great picture now preserved at the monastery of the Phaneroméné in Kapu Dagh was stolen from the monastery of Kurshunlu, to the great prejudice of the latter, which is now in ruins, while the picture brings a large revenue to its rival.

The monastery of Kurshunlu was known to the Byzantines
 ( $\left.\Sigma_{\text {cyptavi }}\right)^{2}$ being applied to the mountainous region of the Kara Dagh. The monastcry was founded by Theophanes in the 9th century and figures largely in various Vitae Theophanis ${ }^{3}$ : according to one account the saint was buried here'. The decay of the monastery is as usual attributed to "the Pope" (i.e: the crusaders), but it is mentioned evidently as a house of some importance under Andronicus Palacologus, when it was temporarily given over to the bishopric of Alexandria ${ }^{\text {b }}$.

Opposite the mouth of the Rhyndacus ${ }^{\sigma}$ lies the long rocky island of Besbicus, rising at each end to a considerable elevation and forming a conspicuous object on the skyline when Panderma Bay is cleared. Its peculiarities made it the subject of various legends which attempted to account for it by supernatural means. All of these connected it with the giants. Stephanus quotes one myth which represented it as a loose rock with which the giants attempted to block the mouth of the Rhyndacus: their attempt was frustrated by Persephone, who fixed the island fast and gave it the name of a giant. The introduction of Persephone and the obvious debt to the story of the blocking of Chytus stamp this version as of comparatively late origin.

The original legend, of a simple form common in volcanic

[^39]countries, is related by the scholiast on Apollonius ${ }^{1}$, who says that the $\mu^{\prime}$ 'ya ÿptov Aivaı̂vos marked the place where Acgaeon was overwhelmed (кaтєтоитiбө $\eta$ ) by Poseidon: Aegaeon is considered by the scholiast as identical with Briareus or (according to Demetrius of Scepsis) a Mysian hero. Arrian² says that the tomb of Briareus, a hill which was also callcd after Aegaeon, was shewn by the Rhyndacus: from it flowed a hundred springs which were called the arms of Briareus.

This makes it clear that the "tomb of Aegaeon" is identical with the island Besbicus, a theory antecedently probable from the conspicuous position of the island to ships sailing east from Cyzicus. The name Besbicus (Bysbicus in the tribute lists) was given to the island later from a Pelasgian hero who settled there ${ }^{3}$, and with the help of Heracles conquered the rest of the giants!

The presumably Pelasgian inhabitants of Besbicus participated in the Dclian league, after which history fails us till Theophanes in the middle of the 8th century colonized the island with monks from the mainland: it was then apparently called Calonymus ${ }^{\text {s }}$, and later authors waver betwcen this and the usual modern appellation Calolimno ${ }^{\circ}$, Calolimiona.

The island was taken in $1308^{7}$ by Kara Ali, whence its Turkish name Emir Ali Adassi. It is said by Buondelmonti to have been entirely uninhabited in his time (1420), but in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century Luke and Covel speak of it as fairly prosperous and as having two little towns, Arnaout Keui ${ }^{8}$ and Kalolimno. It would thus appear that it was colonized like Marmara by Albanians. Its revenues went to the Shahzadeh Mosque in

[^40]Constantinoplc. Covel enumerates four monasteries on the island dedicated to the Saviour ${ }^{2}$, the Virgin, Panteleëmon and S. John the Divine. The island is counted to the vilayet of Brusa and to the bishopric of Nicomedia.

Some eight miles east of the Rhyndacus' mouth is the road-
Datacylum. stead of Eskil-liman, protected on the east by the bold headland formerly called Dascylium². Remains of an ancient town on this headland are said to exist, and from it juts out a mole of massive unhewn limestone blocks, roughly heaped together to form a tiny port. The modern village, a small place inhabited by Turks, is half an hour inland, but its inhabitants till the fertile slopes which stretch between the village and the sea. Eskil is on the road from Mudania to Mihallitch, the corresponding Greek village of Yali-chiftlik lying on higher ground to the south-east.

The roadstead of Eskil-liman is still known by the Greeks as $\Delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \ell$, and the existence of the place can be traced into classical times. Meletius mentions it as $\Delta a \sigma \kappa \in \ell t$, the Portolani as Diasquilo, Diaschilo, Dascoli ${ }^{3}$, and Boucicaut as "un gros villaige qui sied sur le goulphe de Nicomedic bien deux lieues loing de la marine," where he found "moult de beaux manoirs et un riche Palais qui estoit a Bajazct!", Dascylium was the seat of a Bithynian bishopric ${ }^{s}$, and we have cited the Byzantine allusions
 $\Delta a \sigma \kappa v \lambda^{\prime}$ soy in the territory of Bryllion (Triglia ?), and Mela "Dascylos in ora" among the coast towns of Bithynia. Further, a town Dascylion paid a small contribution to the Delian league.

[^41]All these allusions can be definitely associated with Eskilliman, but it is very far from certain whether the latter represents the seat of the Hellespontine satraps ${ }^{2}$ as is usually held. There were a number of places called Dascylium, and it will be necessary to collect the meagre records of them in order to gain a clear idea of the evidence for and against Eskil-liman.

The name was of Lydian origin, Dascylus being the father of Gyges². Stephanus enumerates the following five towns called Dascylium:





5. Tîs Aiokídos kai Фpuyias. "

The Bithynian Dascylium can alone concern us: Stephanus, who perhaps used Strabo as his source, is here very vague as to its position, but mentions it further:




This reference is probably to the obscure coast town represented by Eskil-liman, though the identification of Bryllion with Cius by Ephorus is worthy of note. The following tend to connect Dascylion with the region of Nicaea:
 $\lambda^{\prime} \omega$.

 Аабкидєlov каї тท̂s 'A


 Mualas etc.

[^42]With these are to be compared:



The contrast is great between a town which gives its name to the surrounding region, and one which is itsclf included in so obscure a canton as Bryllis.

We turn now to the well-known description of the palace of Pharnabazus by Xenophon?. We may say at the outset that it is almost impossible to conceive of this place as on the sea: the Grecks had evidently no idea of the position of Pharnabazus' palace, and therc is no mention even of proximity to the coast. On general grounds, too, the Persians, like the Turks, did not select maritime centres of government. Xenophon was chiefly impressed by the luxuriant fertility of the place, its river full of fish and its woods of gamc, its rich villages, and its royal parks and chases.

Our only clue to the position of the Dascylium of the Helles. pontine satraps is the fact that Alexandcr, turning south after Granicus, despatched Parmenio, presumably east, to Dascylium.

Two theorics have been put forward:
(1) That Eskil represents the satraps' capital.
(2) That Dascylium was in the plain of Manyas.
(I) I regard as the solution of the desperate, Eskil being at least a fixcd point. It certainly cannot be the well wooded and watered district which roused Xenophon's enthusiasm ${ }^{3}$, and its position on the sea is vcry much against it.
(2) is backed by Plutarch's' very obvious identification of the

[^43]lake of Manyas and Dascylitis. The site, if in this direction at all, must be sought on the south of the lake where there is pleasant rolling country with wooded hills behind, and a beautiful river valley (the Kara-déré). The rest of the plain is far from harmonising with Xenophon's enthusiastic description.
(3) A third theory is suggested by the passages which connect Dascylium with the Nicaea district : it is at least possible that Dascylium occupied the approximate site of the modern provincial capital, Brusa, whose environs, more than any other region for miles, deserve the eulogies of Xenophon. Nothing moreover is known of this district previous to the foundation of Prusa by the Bithynian kings. The identification has the additional advantage of providing a possible $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \Delta a \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda i \tau \iota s$ in the remnant of a lake traversed by the Nilufer just cast of Brusa.

Scven miles east of the promontory of Dascylium lies the
Triglia. village of Triglia ${ }^{1}$. It is a large place ${ }^{2}$, inhabited almost entirely by Greeks, and situated in a niche of the coast hills two hours west of Mudania. It is backed by a fertile valley planted with vines', olive, and mulberry trees. There is no port, but steamers occasionally call on their way to Mudania: the new chaussle from Mudania to Mihallitch turns inland after passing through the village.

Triglia is mainly remarkable for the number of its monastic foundations dating from the eighth and ninth centurics A.D. ${ }^{4}$ Most of these are decayed and none are tenanted by more than one monk. Some of them however preserve some relics of their

[^44]ancient magnificence. On account of these foundations the village belongs, or belonged, not to the diocese of Brusa but to the patriarchate ${ }^{1}$.

The parish church of Pantobasilissa holds its panegyris on August 15 , and is specially famed for its cures of cripples: patients incubate three days fasting. The church measures about $20.00 \times 9.00 \mathrm{~m}$. and consists of a nave and aisles, five columns a side, but the three western bays, have been restored since the earthquake of 1855 . The columns are of marble and granite, and the caps, though Byzantine, are older than the church, some being ignorantly reversed to form bases. The panel of opus sectile pavement mentioned by Covel still remains. The original church was of the cross-in-square type, with three apses and a nave extending two bays west of the dome. The exterior has some fair decorative tile work: the south wall is buttressed by arches spanning the adjacent street. The church is identified by Evangelides with the Movì Thिs Tprydeias of
 Leo Armenus: the building does not seem earlier than the 12th century.

Much more remarkable is the church (now a mosque) called by Evangelides Naòs tov̂ églou Eteфáyou' and identified with the
 Muphelas was founded about $720-30$ by S. (\% $\sigma$ tos) Stephen, a monk of Palestine ${ }^{3}$ : a second S. (äyos) Stephen (under Leo the Armenian, 813-820) was abbot of Triglia؛. Evangelides quotes no record to back the identification and the name X $\eta \nu 0-$

 given hy Evangelides, p. 283. The bishop of Brusa, however, takes the title of Tocylas in 1658 (Evangelides, loc. cit.), but the freedom of the monasteries of Pelecete and Medicion is vindicated by Jater sigillia, Sisthas, op. cif. 594 (1658), 601 (1675)

 the Evangelistria.
 The monastery roí Xpvoldxxov is also mentioned in the Vita S. Methodii (Migne), Patr. Grace. c. 1247, and in the Vifa Michatis Palcologi (XPHCTIAIICKOE पTEHIE, $188 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p} .547$ ). John and Thomas of Xpphaxsos were present at the second conncil of Nicaea. Its tykalvia was the 14 Jan. (Buy. 'Eoprod.).

4 Acta SS. etc. Mer. 26. A סotos Mapros Tprydivos is commernorated on Nov. 24.
$\lambda$ а́ккои seems inappropriate to the site of the church, which is on a hillside. : The present mosque is certainly associated with a S. Stephen, and is the largest, and probably the earliest, church in the neighbourhood: it may therefore be the original Mom $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Tpıyд/as rather than the Mov̀̀ тov̂ X пиода́ккои.

Of the history of the building nothing is known save that it was converted into a mosque in $166 r^{2}$ and damaged by the earthquake of 1855. The present mosque is a large and once beautiful church measuring 28.00 or (with the forecourt and colonnade) $36.00 \times 14^{\circ} 00$ metres: the plan is of the "cross-insquare" type, with three apses (the central rectilinear, the southern destroyed), and a large central dome, resting on four massive marble monolithic columns, and decorated externally with eight simple blind arcades in the circular drum. Triple arcades, which gave access to side chapels (now destroyed), still remain built up in the north and south walls ${ }^{2}$. Two stringcourses ran round the building at the levels of the caps of these arcades and of the spring of the major arches. The capitals of the four great columns (which enclose a square of about five metres a side) are all of one type-a cushion-shape with deeplypierced leaf patterns and elaborate abaci; those of the transept arcade are of similar form but less shapely, and adorned with decorative carving in a delicate low relief. The western end of the church is prefaced by a simple narthex, which had originally three doors into the church; two are now blocked. The external doorway is a plain round arch of tile. The narthex opens on to a narrow court, on the further side of which is a colonnade of four (originally five?) columns, one anta being in situ, with caps of the same type as the inner four, though less delicately carved.

In the valley, about a quarter of an hour above the village; stands the monastery of the Holy Fathers ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{d} y / \omega \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ ) or rov̂ Mnঠtxious founded by Nicephorus ${ }^{4}$ who became patriarch

[^45]in 806 , and was succeeded by Nicetas ${ }^{1}$ : under him the monastery was inhabited by a hundred monks.

The monastery is a large and poor building, burnt in 1770 and again in 1801 . It was rebuilt with the court surrounding it at the beginning of the igth century: over the gate is:-1801
 Minduclou. The church consists of nave and north aisle divided by plain built arcades: the apse retains its semicircular seats, and a south chapel has remains of a tessellated marble (opus sectile) pavement. The staff of the monastery, which had 25 monks in $1676^{3}$, is reduced to an abbot, but it still possesses a good deal of land.

A third ancient and decayed foundation is the monastery of S. John the Divine ${ }^{3}$ called $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ חeגeк $\eta \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma^{4}$ : it is beautifully situated on the wooded undercliff close down by the shore about two miles west of Triglia. The monastery was founded in 709 A.D., and burnt in 766 under Constantine Copronymus ${ }^{\text {B }}$ when there were 38 monks. Hegoumeni were:-Theosterictus 766 , Hilarion junior (of Cappadocia) 787, Macarius 805-820 ${ }^{6}$ and Sabbas. The monastery is now badly off and tenanted only by one priest and his family. The church was restored after 1855, but burnt in 1880: it is only interesting for the ancient detail built into it-fragments of a marble pavement, a Byzantine cornice and some old capitals. Covel shews it as a domed church with four columns and triple apse: the central apse had semicircular seats. In his day there were twenty monks, and the monastery was $\sigma$ raupori $\eta \gamma$ aкó $\nu^{\top}$ : it was given in 1880 to the Hiera Schole in Chalce, now removed to Xyloporta. A rock-hewn hermit's cell near the church perhaps explains the name Ме $\lambda_{\epsilon \kappa \eta т \eta \text {. }}$

[^46]Behind the village of Triglia on a wooded hill stands the rccently restored monastery of the Saviour called rov̂ Baté $\omega$ s 'Púakos'. Its foundation is attributed by the Byzantinc hagiographers to S . Basil${ }^{2}$ : he was succeeded as abbot by (1) Peter, called ${ }^{\dot{c}}$ є ${ }^{3} \lambda a \beta \grave{\eta}$ s, of Cappadocia ${ }^{3}$, (2) Lucas of Lycaonia ${ }^{3}$, and (3) Ignatius of Cappadocia': the latter lived under Nicephorus Phocas and Zimisces (963-975).

Ignatius in his turn founded the monasteries of S . Elias Thesbites", the Holy Apostles ${ }^{\text { }}$, and the Taxiarch Michael. The two former, which were adjacent foundations for monks and nuns respectively, have disappeared, but the name Eí $^{\prime} \beta \eta$ (for $\Theta_{\epsilon \sigma \beta i}$ itms), applied to a spot on the shore between Triglia and Mudania, marks the site.

Evangelides identifies the third with the church of S. Michael at Syke, but the date in the church, if correct, is prohibitive.

The church of S. Michael at Syke ${ }^{*}$ still exists: the village is about half way between Triglia and Mudania and has a mixed population. The church has been much restored and added to at various dates. It is entered through an irregular quadrangular exonarthex opening south which dates from 1818. At the end facing the entrance is a grated door which gives access to a chamber wherc violent lunatic patients are confined. In this narthex is a new picture of $S$. Michael. The old narthex, which opens west but not in the axis of the church, is square and domed, the dome being supported by four arches borne on en-

[^47]gaged columns : on its northern wall are the miraculous pictures of SS. Michael and Gabriel. This inner narthex opens into the main body of the church, which is square, unencumbered with columns and covered by the great dome. There are mapek$\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma t a$ north and south on the upper floor, dedicated to SS. Charalambos (N.) and Nicholas (S.). The northern opens on the church by a triple arch supported on columns.

The church was built in 780 , restored in 1448, and again in 1818 , on the faith of the following inscription ${ }^{2}$ which is built into the south wall of the narthex :-









 обкขขךтой Xaтíov кат亢̀ тò $\chi \iota \lambda \iota о \sigma т о ̀ \nu ~ о к т а к о-~ \mid ~$

 áyiov Прои́бךs Kvpiov Пavapérov סui $\sigma \nu \nu \delta \rho \circ \mu \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \mid$
$\chi \rho เ \sigma \tau \iota a \nu \omega ิ \nu$.

The picture of S . Michael has a great reputation for curing the insane and the panegyris (Sept. 6) is much frequented. Incubation is practised, forty days (fasting) being the regular term. A leather suit (the $e x$ voto of a grateful patient) hangs in the church and is said to be worn by the saint when he appears to sufferers ${ }^{2}$.

[^48]Of other churches in the neighbourhood of Triglia, Evangelides mentions:
(1) S. Spyridon, half an hour from Triglia, where rags and cocks' heads are offered, especially by the deaf.
 out, where is held the feast of Athenogenes, martyred under Diocletian ${ }^{2}$; the monastery is alluded to in the local couplet:
"'бтà Mouvtouvetà 'vai 'va $\delta e \nu \delta \rho i$ каi ' $\sigma \tau \eta$ ミuỳ̀ $\mu i ́ a ~ \beta \rho u ́ \sigma \eta ", ~$

(3) S. Paraskeve, newly restored, inhabited by a fortunetelling hermit; panegyris, July 26.
(4) S. Athanasius, near Medicion (ruined), with panegyris Jan. 18.

Of this saint Hergès remarks ${ }^{8}$ that "his grave was distinguished by a cypress which God made to grow out of the the scene of an annual festival which lasts several days; it is more famous all over the country even than the ehurch at Lubat. Miraeles are performed in it and above all it is noted for its miraculous eures of insanity. Aecording to the priests who shewed it to us, if you lost your wits your friends had nothing to do but to carry you to the church, lay you down on a mattress on the floor before the screen of the altar, and there leave you for one or two nights under the care of the saints and priests. A square antechamber, through which we passed before entering the body of the ehurch, was piled up with mattresses and coverlets from the floor to the ceiling, ready 10 be let out to mad patienls. It looked like a hedding. warchouse rather than the porch of a temple. The priesi told us that when husiness was brisk they made a good pernny by their mattresses and covers, and that the Turks, as well as the Greeks, brough1 their mad people to the ehureh to be cured! This last eurious and rather startling assertion was confirmed by our guide, ...who had seen more than one Turk, as mad as March hares, esmied to the miracle-working spol; and he bad known others who were witless enough to believe that they had recovered their wits by being laid upon their backs in the Ghizour Teke. Perhaps it is owing to this Turkish faith in the miracsla laci that the charch has been preserved from Mussulman fury during nearly eleven hundred years. In a remote part of Asiatic Turkey Bishop Southgate visited another church where madness was said 10 he cured in the same miraculous manner; but in that church the Greeks had ehains and iron collars wherewith to secure the maniacs, and here there was nothing of the sort He asked the priest how they managed with their obstreperous visitors, he said there was a holiness in the air which instantly calmed the mad, and that when they hung out the picture of St George of Cappadocia no madman could possihly rave. I heard rather a different story from another quarter.
${ }^{1}$ Acta SS. July 17.
${ }^{2}$ Presumably the Ayasma mentioned by Covel, which is just east of the village of Syki.
${ }^{2}$ p. 15.
heart of Athanasius. This miracle attracted crowds, and many who uscd with faith twigs broken from the tree were cured." This is presumably the cyprcss of Triglia mentioned in the couplet.

I hcard also from the priest of Pelecete of S. Tryphon's well, half an hour west of Pelecete, the water of which is considered sovereign against rats and worms (тоутькоѝs каi бкк$\lambda \eta^{\prime} \kappa(a)$ if taken and sprinkled on the Saturdays of May.

Two local traditions mentioned by Evangelides are worth noticing: the first refers to a supposed human footprint (IIaтоט́رдta $\tau 0 \hat{\nu}^{\circ}$ Eג入ךvos, inland from Triglia) referred to a giant ${ }^{1}$ who, standing with one foot there and the other at Pelecete, bent down and drank in Besbicus: the second is the story of S. Elias' shipwreck, and the divine command to found a church among a people who "knew not the oar." The first is remarkable as preserving the ancient connection between giants and Besbicus, the second a curious parallel to the Odysseus cpisodc, though, as Evangelides remarks, singularly inappropriate as applicd to a church of Elias on the sea: but the tradition may refer to the older church of Elias mentioncd in the Vita Macarii.

Having spoken of Triglia we are bound to discuss the

## Caebarea Germanlee.

 position of Caesarea Gcrmanice which most authorities place in the immediate neighbourhood: we may say at the outset that there are few sitcs about which the available evidence is so conflicting. Our most profitable course is obviously to enumerate the passages which concern it.(1) Pliny (N.H. v. 143) gives it the names Helgae, Booscete, and Germanicopolis, placing it inland. "Dein flumen Gelbes, et intus Helgas oppidum quac Germanicopolis alio nominc Booscete."
(2) Ptolemy (V. I. 14) cnumcrates it also among the inland cities of Bithynia.
(3) Dio Chrysostom (Or. 47, p. 546R.) calls it a neighbour city of his native Brusa, much smaller than it, and commends its zeal for building.
(4) Hierocles places it in his list between Brusa and Apollonia in the Eparchia Pontices.

[^49](5) The route of S. Quadratus ${ }^{1}$, who was scourged through the cities of Asia under Decius, places Cacsarea between Apamea (Mudania) and Apollonia?.
(6) The life of S. Nicetas (c. 824) ${ }^{3}$, a native of Caesarea, mentions a river to the south of the town: the monastery of Medicion (Triglia) was on the saint's way towards the sea.

We turn now to the evidence of
(7) the coin-types. The series of coins with Kavoapeias「epuavıкฑ̂s is now attributed with certainty to the Bithynian city ${ }^{4}$, on the evidence of certain pieces reading Kaı
 "Onv $\mu \pi \sigma^{5}$, and type of a mountain-god. These types are quite in harmony with an inland city between Brusa and Apollonia to which all our evidence hitherto points.

But we have further to reckon with later coins with the type of a galley ${ }^{7}$ under sail or in harbour ${ }^{9}$ which imply that Caesarea was a port.

The most natural solution, pending positive evidence, is to suppose that Caesarea itself lay inland, perhaps at Tachtali ${ }^{\text {, }}$, and that it had a port at Triglia ${ }^{10}$, whence indeed we have a fair number of inscriptions.

Of the history of this obscure provincial town we know nothing: we may surmise that it was founded by Germanicus on his eastern tour in A.D. 18, the year after the great carthquake:

[^50]a coin with $\Gamma$ ер $\mu a v \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \kappa r \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} s$ is known ${ }^{1}$. The coin-types, considering the small importance of the town, include a great variety of divinities, Artemis, Apollo, Aphrodite and religious types, caduceus, serpent, etc., which is quite in harmony with the religious importance of the modern Triglia and its immediate neighbourhood.

[^51]
## CHAPTER VI.

## APOLLONIA.



Fig. 2. Plan of Aboulliond [Lebas].
Apollonia on the Rhyndacus preserves its ancient name ${ }^{1}$ and

Apollonia on the Rhyndacus. curious site towards the north-western extremity of the lake of Aboulliond : the town has a population of 500 Greek and 130 Turkish families, chiefly engaged in fishing and the production of silk. It is situated on a long tongue of rock running far into the lake from the northern shore: this tongue narrows at two points to a width of no more than a few yards. Its extreme end is a low hill, nearly circular in shape, and entirely cut off from the mainland when the lake is high On it is situated the greater part of modern Apollonia,
${ }^{1}$ Aboulliond, Apolloninda. The latter name is usual in the Byzantine historians.
a dirty town with steep, narrow, and tortuous streets, and tall, projecting timber-framed houscs. The buildings on the shore of the lake are almost all built on the solid foundation of the ancient wall and towers, which alone could justify their dangerously ambitious height of four and five storics. The walls can be traced right round the island, and in some places stand to a


Fig. 3 -
ABOULLIOND: TOWER CALLED "KAStro" ANO HILL OF S. GEORGE.
considerable height ${ }^{2}$. They seem to date chiefly from the late Roman period, and are roughly built of squared stones, derived in many cases from earlier buildings. Their most striking feature is undoubtedly the squarc tower, called "Kastro," standing free to the left of the foot bridge, into which are built the inscribed epistyle blocks of a stoa presented to the town by Hadrian².

[^52]Just beyond it a recent fire has disclosed a postern gate and the coping of a quay ${ }^{\text {l }}$.

Access to the town is gained by an entrance-tower of Byzantine date, oblong in plan, and originally barrel-vaulted and furnished with a gateway at either end. Inside of this two blocks projecting from the wall on cither side of the strect seem to mark the site of the inner gate of the Roman wall. Hamilton saw and sketched another gateway in the south-western tower, presumably that shewn in Lebas' plate.

Inside the walls Lebas placed the site of a temple of Apollo. Though the assumption may be correct, the evidence he adduces is too fanciful to give the theory any support?

The second division of the peninsula is the rocky hill of S. George, irregularly oval in shape and of slight clevation: it contains or contained remains of a rock-cut theatre and stadium ${ }^{3}$. The dark spires of the well-grown cypresses which crown its summit contrast prettily with the red tiles of the irregular line of houses which straggles along the road out of the town; while the view from S . George back to the piled-up town on the island, with its lichened roofs and white minaret, all backed by the hills on the further shore of the lake, goes far to make one forget the surpassing filthiness of the town itself.

The isthmus joining S. George with the mainland is defended by a Byzantine wall', of which considerable remains are still standing; in Hamilton's day it was still faced with marble.

[^53]Beyond the isthmus cultivation-chiefly mulberry orehardsbegins: in this quarter Hamilton saw substructures of ancient tombs. North of this point, at a slight distance from the shore, is the low island called Kuz Ada ${ }^{1}$, still preserving in part the masṣive quay walls of a Hellenistic temenos. By these walls the island has been formed into a rectangle some $70 \times 45$ metres, with a hemieycle and steps let in to the western end (faeing the town): the supporting wall stood originally about two metres above low-water level, and was provided with three sets, at different heights, of pierced corbels for the mooring of boats. Within the wall Lebas found traces of substructures, two fragments of Ionic columns, and one of entablature from which (apparently) he restores ${ }^{2}$ a hexastyle temple within a colonnade and surrounded by exedrac. If this was the site of the temple of the great Apollo it should be remarked that the building is shewn on coins as tetrastyle. The fragments are said by Lebas to come from a building not later than the third century B.C., which is not out of accord with the Sauroktonos type of cultus image shewn in the temple.

Strabo's reference to the possessions of Cyzicus in the Odryses country includes a vague mention of Apollonia, but the evidence of an early and continuous autonomous coinage makes it improbable that Cyzicus exercised more than a nominal hegemony ${ }^{3}$. The first literary mention of the town is no earlier than the first century B.C. in connection with Lucullus' eapture of Mithradates' convoy on the Rhyndacus؛. Pliny mentions that it belonged to the conventus of Adramyttium and so to the province of Asia, in spite of its position beyond the Rhyndacus, as also Stephanus reckons it to Mysia, not Bithynia. It used the Sullan era as appears from an inseription of Domitians.

[^54]${ }^{5}$ Inscr. 111. 2.

The imperial coinage begins with the latter emperor and cnds with Gallienus: no magistrates' names occur on coins (owing to the length of the town name, which occupies all the available space) but Inscr. III. 2 shews that the town was governed by a body of archons or stratcgi and mentions also an imperial procurator.

We gather from coin types, which represent Apollo in a varicty of poses, that the chief cultus image was of the Sauroktonos type, this being often represented within a temple? The god is frequently associated with Artcmis, to whom is probably to be attributed an interesting votive inscription ${ }^{2}$ (JV. 45) recording a dedication of "ears" evidently to a healing goddess. The usual triad is complcted by Zcus Hypsistus who is known at Apollonia from Inscrr. IV. 7, IV. I3.

Apollonia became under the Byzantine empire a bishopric ${ }^{3}$ of Pontus with the name of Theotokiana': an undcrground church of the Panagia Pantocratissa is still one of the curiosities of the town, but the chief church is that of S. George. The natural defences of the town fitted it, as we have seen, for a Byzantine rallying-place Villehardouins calls it ("Le Pulinach") "un des plus forts et mcilleurs chastiaux on peut querre, situé sur un lac de l'eau douce." The datc of its final capture is not known, but may be placed in the early years of the 14th century.

A small ruin at Karagatch ${ }^{\circ}$ on a promontory a few miles
Metopz. west of Apollonia may represent the "castrum Apolloniadis lacui vicinum, cognomenti "Metopa"'"

2B.M. Cat. 26, 27, 29, 30. A slele built into the wall of a house on the hill of S. George shews the god as Citharoedu.
${ }^{2}$ It is tempting to connect the hill of S. George the dragon-slayer with the shrine of Apollo Pythoctonus and the shrine of Kuz Ada ("Maiden Island") with a temple where Artemis was the presiding goddess. Dallaway saw architectural details and foundations on the hill of S. George ( $p .182$ ).
${ }^{3}$ Lequien 1. 6r3. It is now in the ecelesiastical jurisdiction of Nicomedia, together with the surrounding villages, and the seat of the bishop's representative.
${ }^{4}$ Lequiens ad lor. cif. vi., vil.
${ }^{5} 170$.

- Karagatch and Goulios are in reality identical though Kiepert marks them separately. Perrot could not find "Ullio" (Galatio, p. 91).
${ }^{7}$ Mitwroy was the name of a promontory opposite Byzantiuna. See P. Gyllius quot. ing Dionysius (frg. 27) "Nomen invenit a figura: nam ex continentis parte plamam est ...ex parte maris declivis et prasceps." Cf. also Kplou $\mu$ titwrov in Crete and elsewhere.
in Acta SS. Feb. 4, p. 548. Perrot found ancient remains there", and Fontanier "restes de fortifications d'un genre severe ${ }^{3}$." Kiepert, however, places Metopa on the south shore, where the passage between the hills and the lake is easily blocked ${ }^{4}$.

The islands of the lake were in former times occupied by monasteries of Constantine, Paraskevé, and Daniel. In one of these the patriarch Arsenius was educated ${ }^{3}$. Gerlach ${ }^{6}$ mentions that in his time there were six or seven monks at S. Constantine and a metropolitan at Apollonia.

[^55]
## CHAPTER VII．

## MILETUPOLIS，LOPADIUM，MIHALLITCH．

There have been hitherto two claimants for the site of

Miletupoils． （MAクroúzalsr， Mei入そreÚn rohtr．） Miletupolis，Mihallitch and Melde near Kermasti． The former has been accepted till recently with some confidence，even by Kiepert，while the latter has recently produced evidence which seems in－ contestable．Mihallitch is a large straggling town situated on a low hill sloping gradually down to the plain except on the abrupt western side，at the junction of the Tarsius，Macestus， and Rhyndacus，and about four miles from the bridge over the latter at Ulubad．The site is well adapted for a town，and it is difficult to believe that there was no ancient settlement on the site；it is also near Lopadium，with which Miletopolis is asso－ ciated on the ecclesiastical lists，and many inscriptions，including one with the name of Miletopolis ${ }^{1}$ ，have been discovered there． The two objections were the absence of any ruins on the site and the distance from the lake of Manyas，which is generally considered the ancient Miletopolitis．

The alternative site Melde，which was identified by local tradition with Miletopolis apparently so early as Sestini ${ }^{2}$ ，and preserves traces of the name，lies on the low hills south of the plain of Mihallitch，some ten miles south of that town，two miles west of the Rhyndacus，and three from the market town

Kermnet．（Thursdays）and Kaza of Kermasti＇．The latter， we may remark in passing，is extremely pictu－ resquely situated on both banks of the Rhyndacus，here crossed

[^56]by a wooden bridge. On the right bank are remains of a castle and a mosque which is said to have been a church: it still retains much elegant stone detail, and bears the name of Lala


Fig. 4. Kermasti: Grille in Turbeh of Lala Shahin.
Shahineh ${ }^{3}$. The identification of Kermasti with Hiera Germe rests merely on the name (which Kiepert interprets with much more probability as Cremaste), and does not agree with Ptolemy ${ }^{2}$.

[^57]I find no mention of it carlier than Scaddin's account of the conquest of Karassi'.

At Meldc therc are few ruins above ground, but an illicit
Melde. excavation, opposite the fifth kilometre stone from Kermasti on the Mihallitch road, has lately brought to light massive marble architrave blocks and other detail. Kermasti is always full of coins of Miletupolis, which are rarely seen at Mihallitcl. The identification is further supportcd by the cvidence of the bridge at Sultan-Chair, which is the link between Miletupolis and Hadrianutherae on the Cius-Pergamon road.

The topographical evidencc of the authors is slight. Stcphanus places the town between Cyzicus and Bithynia, by the Rhyndacus, while he spcaks vaguely of Aphncion, probably through the confusion about the lakes, as near Cyzicus or Miletupolis'. Pliny mentions Miletopolitae in his account of Mysia and speaks of the lake Artynia (= Apolloniatis) as ncar it ${ }^{4}$. I am inclined to believe that the lakes of Milctupolis and Apollonia-it fell between the territories of both cities-were identical, which accounts for the great confusion and does away with the remoteness of Miletupolis from its lake. Apollonia is evidently the lake referred to as near Milctupolis ( $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda$ ทícov $\lambda \nu^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta$ (sic)) in the Vita S. Partheniis. The connection of Miletupolis and Lopadium in the ecelesiastical lists suits Melde as well as Mihalitch.

Sources for the early history of Miletupolis are almost non-existent. Its foundation was attributcd to an eponym Miletus', and the autonomous coins, which datc from the fourth century, arc of Athenian types ${ }^{7}$, though the town is not mentioned in the Delian confedcration accounts. This founder Miletus is evidently the son of Melas, who fled from Sadyattes to Dascylium and Proconnesus ${ }^{3}$, though he is probably of much
${ }^{2}$ Ed. Bralulli.

*N.H. v. 123. "ib. 143. "ith Feb., p. 38.
*The spelling of the lown'a name varies between Meinytoírohes and Mencroomodss. The hero is called Meidntoc on coins (Nwm. Chros. 1900). The earliest coin appears to be one published in N.C. 1904, 299.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. ${ }^{\text {A }}$ truxan atpa in an inscription (v. 56).
${ }^{8}$ Nic. Damasc. fr. 63.
earlier origin, and essentially identical with the mythical eponym of Miletus ${ }^{2}$.

Demetrius of Scepsis ${ }^{2}$ says that the inhabitants of Miletupolis were deported by "the Kings" (i.e. Antigonus or Lysimachus?) to their foundation of Gargara, so that the latter had become lalf barbarous; there must, therefore, in spite of the boasted Athenian descent, have been a large native population.

The trade of the great road down the Macestus probably passed mainly dircct to Cyzicus in Greek times; under the improved communications of the Roman empire, however, Milctupolis waxed in importance and issued a large series of coins from Vespasian to Philip II.: the types include Athena, Artemis, Hermes, and Caduceus. The inscription of a Milctopolitan athicte who dedicated a statue at Cyzicus to his кupia $\pi a^{\prime} \rho \iota^{3}$ may suggest that the town was largely under the influence of Cyzicus, which indeed we should expect from Strabo's account of her territory.

The Byzantinc bishopric of Miletupolis is represented as existing as early as Constantine by the Acta S. Parthenit. Later Notitiae ${ }^{\text {s }}$ connect it with Lopadium, and Miletupolis was, according to local tradition, destroyed by an earthquake "before the Turkish wars!"

[^58]Lopadium is first mentioned in a letter of Theodorus Studites', but merely as a stopping place where there was a caravanserai; with this it is intcresting to compare a contemporary Byzantine scal of the Xenodochus of Lopadium ${ }^{2}$. An earlier settlement may have existed; 1 was told of a terra cotta group or relief of "a man, woman, and snakc" (Asklepios and Hygeia ?) found within the walls: the "monastery" of S. Michael is still used for incubation. It is possible that the
 37 and Stephanus.

Of the bridge, a notable strategic point in Byzantine history, Lopadium a good many piers are still visible, east of the Bridge.
present wooden structure and near the north-east corner of the fortification; they are built of squared blocks, but the ruins arc too much damaged for a study in detail. The original structure was probably built by Constantine, after the choice of the new capital, to connect the Hellespontine province with Cius and Nicaea. S. Philetaerus' journeying from Nicaea to Cyzicus (under Maximian) mentions the Rhyndacus but not Lopadium, and there was apparently no bridge in 258 A.D. when the Scythians were turned back from Cyzicus by the flooded river ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : Anna Comnena further tells us that the bridge was called in her day the bridge of Constantine, from a chapel upon it " dedicated to him by Helena.

The fortified town built by John Comnenus?, who used it as the base of his campaigns on the Sangarius, is represented by

[^59]the modern village of Ulubad ${ }^{2}$ situated on the left bank of the Rhyndacus just below the lake. It consists of 13 Greek families, inside the walls, possessing two humble churches of S. Michael, and a large Circassian settlement, mostly outside the walls towards the west. The place has evidently decayed steadily, as the accounts of succeeding travellers shew: in Gerlach's time there were six churches, the chief being of the Panagia ${ }^{2}$.

MacFarlane mentions that it was still a great religious centre till the coming of the Circassians in 1845 rendered the panegyris of S. Michael (Sept. 6th) insecure for the Greeks. Of the present churches (both dedicated to S. Michael) the larger bears the inscription:









In the west wall of the church is built a low relief of the saint, said to have been found during the building, and then engraved with its present inscription.

The second church, which stands near the first, is reputed of great age and superior sanctity; around it is a cloister of sheds for the accommodation of pilgrims. The building itself is of the meanest, but contains a small miracle-working picture of great age painted on canvas ${ }^{3}$ : it is in this church that incubation is practised. At the time of his visit MacFarlane saw two children lying before the altar, one in a high fever, the other suffering from a damaged kneecap. Lunatics are tied to a beam at the west end of the church: the usual period of incu-

[^60]bation is $40-60$ days. A replica of the picture is sent out to patients unable to come in person, and is hung over their beds: the ayasma in the church is also said to have healing properties, but is applied externally only.

The Byzantine enceinte, which has decayed very much even since Landron's sketch ${ }^{1}$, is a trapezium about $475 \times 150$ metres, protected on its long northern side by the river, and on the east


Fig. 5. Sketch Plan of Ulubad.
by a small tributary: the wall facing the river has almost completcly disappeared; the other three are preserved in some places to almost their full height; they are solidly built of rubble and tile, about 3.00 metres thick, and studded with towers; there seem to have been twelve on the long, and six on the short sides.

The best-prescrved portion is that adjoining the S.-E. corner. Inside the corner itself are two ruined stairways, parallel with

[^61]the walls and supported each on an arch and a half-arch, which led to the footway along the top of the walls.

Earlier descriptions of the ruins' are somewhat vaguc. Spon says the towers were round and pentagonal, Egmont three-, four-, and five-sided, Prokesch ${ }^{2}$ round, hexagonal and octagonal ; one well-preserved round tower projecting considerably more


Fig. 6. Ulubad: Tower on the South Wall
than its width from the curtain remains, and ruins of several which appear to have been of a narrow pentagonal form.

Gerlach saw five gates with crosses and rosettes on the

[^62]lintels. The simple gateway at the north-west corncr figured by Le Bas is almost unrecognisable.

As a strategic point, commanding the Rhyndacus bridgeit could only bc avoided by a ditour round the lakc, three days' rough march ${ }^{3}$-Lopadium figures largely in the history of the centuries succeeding its construction. Edrisi (1117) ${ }^{2}$ calls it "a considerable town with divers buildings and markets situated on the banks of a river fitted for great ships and surrounded by vineyards, gardens and villages," and again", "a great fortified town Lubadhia." Its military importance as the key of the westcrn defences of the Hcllespontine province was equalled by its commercial facilities as the head of the great Macestus valley road, and a secure walled town in troubled times. In the second crusade Conrad and Louis meet at the "chateau de Lupart" and proceed up the valley. Villehardouin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ calls it "Le Lupaire, une des meilliors cités de la terre."

The ecclesiastical importance of Miletupolis passed naturally to the fortified town: a bishop of Lopadium is mentioned at the time of the town's revolt from Andronicus Comnenus (II84) ${ }^{6}$ and the Franks made the place the seat of a Latin bishop during their supremacy?. In the Greek episcopal lists Lopadium is used as early as 1256 as the only title", though the earliest record of the archbishopric (1315) includes Miletupolis ${ }^{8}$ : later Lopadium only is mentioned ${ }^{10}$. The archbishopric would seem
stein eingemauret and ein Creutz daran gehauen. Nahe bey diesem Thor, an einem Thuma der Mauer zwei unterschiedliche Zeichen wie Rosen oder andere Kriuter an der nifichsen Porten 3 Creutze an der dritten Pforten sitzet eine Fmo in einem Stuhl."
${ }^{1}$ Ducas 867 B.
${ }^{2}$ In the Rersuil de lo Soc. de Glog. נJ. 305 ; his map is published by Llewel.
${ }^{2} 195$ recto.

- Odo de Diogilo ed. Guizot xxiv. 346. Cf. the Lalin bishopric (Lequien 111. 94.3) Libarensis.
${ }^{2}$ Ducange, Par. $1 ; 0$.
* Nicet. Chon. $3^{63}, 374$ B.; but there is reason to beliere that the bishop of Hadriani is meant, as in some mss. (see Lambros).
${ }^{7}$ Libarensis, Lequien נJ. 942.
Bet. Patr. Consf. J. II9; cf. 1. 164 (1331).
- 18. I. 3.

10 lb. 1. 144 ( 1327 ) ; 1.147 ( 1329 ) ; 1. 164 ( 1331 ) ; Notit. X1. (1346). The double
to date from the restored Greek empire. After 1327 the archbishop of Lopadium has the additional title mpó $\delta \delta \rho o s \Gamma^{\circ} \rho \rho^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta$ s which, according to Lambros, implies that the bishopric was in partibus and perhaps gives a clue to the final conquest by, the Turks.

In Turkish history Lopadium or Ulu-abad (great city) appears under the first Sultan : according to Seaddin, Othman made a compact with the (Karassian ?) governor of Lopadium, in return for services rendered, never to cross the bridge-the historian narvely adds that "in times of need" the passage was made in boats-but on the reduction of Karassi, Orkhan destroyed it ${ }^{1}$ and erected a wooden one in its stead. The walls of Lopadium were razed in return for the treachery of the governor ${ }^{2}$, and Orkhan built a caravanscrai in its place. The bridge continued to be an important strategic point. Here in $1403^{3}$ the generals of Mohammed and Isa, the rival claimants for the throne at the death of Bayezid, met for the first time and decided the struggle in favour of Mohammed. Here again Mohammed I. reviewed his troops on his way from Brusa to Pergamon ${ }^{4}$, and Murad in 1421 defeated the pretender Mustafas; and so late as $160 \%$, in the rebellion of Kalenderoghlus, the bridge was garrisoned against the rebels on their way from Brusa ${ }^{7}$. The only recorded Lopadian "worthy" is the gigantic Hassan who was conspicuous at the siege of Constantinople ${ }^{5}$.
bishopric may be the explanation of Lequien's Rhyndacene diocese, but cf. Rhundaca in Acta SS., May 9, and Steph. Byz 5.v. Rhyndaces, both earlier than the Byzantine fortress.
${ }^{1}$ This is a possible interpretation of the tradition preserved by Prokesch III. 192, which attributes the destruction of the bridge to Osman.
${ }^{2}$ Seaddin 5 .
${ }^{3}$ Bratutti s. 274. Von Hammer I. 269-2\%0.

- Ducas 85 B., Von Hammer 1. 27 .
${ }^{5}$ Chalc. 225 B., Ducas 167 B., Von Hammer I. 315, quoting Bratutti II. 5. Cantemir (tr. Tindal, p. 81) represents Murad on the Adrianople side of the bridge. In answer to his prayers Mustafa was seized with a violent bleeding at the nose and taken at Karagatch.

6 Von Hammer 11. 710 , Naima (Or. Trans. Fund 348).
7 The sequel varies in our authors. Von Hammer says that the rebels were defeated by Silistrian reinforcements (yid Gallipoli) in the plain of Manyas, Naima that the rebels were victorious over those reinforcements at Gunen.

- Phrantzes.

The caravanserai is probably represented by the ruined but Jasiz Khan. still imposing Issiz Khan ${ }^{3}$, half an hour from Ulubad on the further side of the Rhyndacus. This building is mentioned by many travellers between Ulubad and Brusa,


Fig. \%. Issiz Khan : Sketch Plan.
and best described by Turner². Gerlach ${ }^{3}$ (on the evidence of the inscription over the doorway) says it was built (or rebuilt?) by Murad II. (1422-1450). This may refer to the chambers flanking the entrance which are separated by a straight joint from the main building. The khan is an oblong building with a low grable; it measures about 44 yards by 22, and is solidly built of brick and squared stone in regular courses. Two courses of stone blocks about 0.40 m . deep alternate with bands of brickwork of a depth of 0.35 m . The quoins are of stone throughout. The deeply-recessed entrance, on the south side, is flanked by two small chambers; it opens on a great hall divided by piers with plain chamfered capitals into a nave of six bays and slightly elevated side aisles. The segmental pier-arches and plain barrel vaults are of brick, the central vault being stilted to correspond

[^63]with the increased height under the gable; between the second and fourth pairs of piers are open hearths, from which chimneys, each supported by four columns, carry off the smoke'.


Fig. 8. Issiz Khan.
Between the khan and Ulubad is the Gypsy fountain (Tchengen Tcheshme) probably, as Munro suggests ${ }^{2}$, to be
 Comnena xy. i.

After the destruction of Lopadium its place was taken by Mihallitch. Mihallitch, mentioned first by Seaddin in connection with the conquest of Karasi. It is represented as governed like Kermasti by a Greek prince, a vassal of Orkhan. Chalcondyles ${ }^{2}$ mentions the town (Miरa $\lambda$ iкıoy) in his account of the severing of the bridge in $1421^{\text {* }}$.
${ }^{1}$ An illustration of the interior is published in Sifob. Berl. Actad., 1898, $3_{4}$.
${ }^{2}$ P. 162.
${ }^{3} 325$ B. The first occurrence of the name is in a Frankish sarcophagus inscription at Pera (1397. See B.S.A. xı. p. 57).

4The name finds parallels in Symeon Magister's porì roî Mexayntrg (643),

Fortification was now not indispensable, and Mihallitch had the advantage of a small port, Mihallitch Iskalesi, two hours down the Macestus, whence goods were shipped to Constantinople. The town stood thus between Brusa, Constantinople and Smyrna'. Caravans from the latter split at the opening of the valley, part going to Brusa, and part to Constantinoplc ${ }^{2}$. The modiaeval importance of this route is shewn by the massive Turkish causeway and ruined bridge over the Macestus just north of Mihallitch.

The place is now inhabited by a large Turkish and Albanian ${ }^{3}$ population and about 900 Greek and Armenian familics ${ }^{\text {s }}$ : it covers a large area and is rendered picturesque by its dilapidated houses with projecting upper storcys, built of wood with tile filling, and frequent cypress-trees and minarets. Several of the mosqucs are old, dating presumably from the prosperous period of the town's history when it stood at the head of the Smyma road. The place gained an unenviable notoricty in 1846 owing to a massacre of Christian Albanian immigrants: their story is given by Mordtmann ${ }^{5}$.

Of the mosques the Imaret, a once magnificent building ruined by the earthquake of 1855 , was built by Karadja Pasha, Beylerbey of Rumili, who fell before Belgrade in 1456 ${ }^{\text {: }}$ : his turbeh is in the western bay of the porch. The plan of the building is a simplified version of the contemporary Yeshil Jami at Brusa, i.e. four domed compartments arranged as a headless

Mikhailly ocar Thyateirn (Sitzb. Preuss. Acad., 1894, p. 900), Mikhayil near Prym. nessus (Rans. Phrys. 31), and Mixadris near Nicomedia (Meletius, Bithynic, §7). There is a villoge of the same name in Epirus near Preven, and it is not improbable that the town was settled with slaves by some early pasina (cf. below, p. 154). Seaddin derives the name from a Christian prince Michael who held the place in the reign of Orkhan, Kermasti being held by his sister Kermastoria, but this is probably merely fantasy.

[^64]Greek cross, with a porch along the long (northern) sidc. The domes and pendentives are of brick, the rest of the building of a coarse brownish sandstone. Brick is inserted in the joints except in the minaret which is of stone throughout. The north porch, which consisted of five domed bays and rested on pillars of breccia and marble in alternate blocks', has almost disappeared. From the central bay an elegant portal in breccia and marble ${ }^{2}$ leads dircctly to the central and southern domes: the latter is entirely ruined. Immediately inside the entrance passages run east and west, each leading directly to a spiral staircase (the western is that of the minaret) and opening south to the subsidiary east and west domes.

The Tumbekli Djami is a small simple building, orientated about east-north-east and constructed of stone and tile in courses: tile is used for the arches of the windows and for the projecting cornices. The building consists of three parts; (a) the narthex, divided from the main body by a colonnade of three arches, the central slightly pointed; (b) the main body, a square roofed by a rather high dome resting on an octagonal drum; (c) a northern annexe opening from the narthex, and apparently contemporary with the main building, though the roofs are clumsily joined.

Though both buildings contain ancient fragments, 1 see no reason to believe that either was formerly a church ${ }^{3}$.

The present Greek church ( S . Demetrius) is a plain structure rebuilt in 1805.

[^65]
## CHAPTER VIII.

## HADRIANUTHERAE, BALUKISER.

Hadrianutherae stood on the road from Cyzicus and

Hadrianutherac. Miletupolis to Pergamon, about its middle point. Its site has consequently long been placed in the neighbourhood of Balukiser, whicl occupies a similar central position on the roughly corresponding modern route from Panderma and Brusa-Ulubad to Soma.

Balukiser stands under a low hill near the north-west corner of its well-watered plain, which drains east into the middle course of the Macestus (about Kebsud), and communicates northwards by the valley of that river, and by the pass of Demir kapu (slightly west of it), with the lower lying coast levels; south and west of the plain the passes of the main watershed afford it communication with Smyrna and Adramyt respectively.

The town itself is a large and picturesque market centre (Tuesday) with well-stocked bazaars: a yearly fair, lasting a month and frequented by merchants from Adramyt, Brusa, and Smyrna, is held there on the 5 th September and following days? The population includes some two hundred Greek families, with a school and church of the Koi $\mu \eta \sigma t s$ and a considerable Armenian element ${ }^{2}$.

Administratively it is the seat of the Mutessarif of Karassi, originally an independent Seljuk principate governed by its eponymous founder and his descendants, and taken over by the Osmanlis under Orkhan. Of the Scljuk princes of Karassi we

[^66]know little: the territory ${ }^{1}$ was acquircd by Karassi, or by his father Kalami ${ }^{2}$ who was succeeded by his son Demir Khan: the latter ruled in Balukiser while Orkhan held Brusa ${ }^{3}$.
「 $a \xi \xi \hat{\eta}$ who made terms with the Greck cmperor at Pegae in 1328. The country under him is described in enthusiastic terms by Schihab-ed-din who mentions its maritime power and exports of silk and laudanum. Demir Khan was probably succeeded by Seaddin's Aglan-beg, at whose death intrigue brought the principality to Orkban ${ }^{6}$.

Karassi was enlarged by the addition of the Sanjak of Bigha in 1876 (when the Dardanelles ceased to be the capital of the Archipelago) and remained a vilayet till 1888 when it was joined to Brusa, and the Bigha Sanjak placed under the central government". Balukiser was already, in Seljuk times, a "large and beautiful towns," and was embellished under the Osmanlis with the usual pious works. Bayczid Yilderim founded the mosque and medressch near the river ${ }^{\text {: }}$ : the mosque has a rather quaint interior divided into nave and aisles by two ranges of stilted arches resting on short columns: some of the latter have Roman and Byzantine caps. The mosquc and turbeh of Zaganos Pasha the vizir of Mahommed II. ${ }^{10}$ have only lately been pulled down and rebuilt by the last governor after sustaining great damage in the recent earthquake. Hadji Kbalfa mentions an aqueduct built by the same Zaganos Pasha and a mosque and tekkeh founded by a certain Lutf-Ullah Bairam who was himself buried there". To Zaganos are also attributed the foundation of

[^67]a medresseh and the still existing bath, a many-domed building containing accommodation for both sexes.

The name Balikesri, given to the town by Seaddin in his account of the conquest of Karassi ${ }^{1}$, is, as the early maps shew, a corruption of Palaeo-Castro', though it is rapidly developing into the quite inappropriate Balukhissar ("Fish-Castle").

From the name, therefore, we should expect an ancient site on the spot, which it would be convenient to identify with Hadrianutherae, but the town, though it naturally acts as a focus for inscribed stones and other portable antiquities, has no ruins to shew.

We have thus no strong casc for the identification of Balukiscr with Hadrianutherae, but a certain amount of reason to suppose that the Roman town lay somewhere in the neighbourhoodthe plain is ill-known and we can point to no definite site with confidence-since
(1) The extent and fcrtility of the plain are natural reasons for the existence of a large country town in it at all ages: the more so as
(2) This plain is on the natural road between Miletupolis and Yergamon, and an ancient road on the lines of the present choussle was traced by Munro down to the plain.
(3) Besides the evidence of the name we can point to a certain number of inscriptions and worked stones as evidence for the existence of an ancient site in the district.
mentions a peristyle formed of 12 superl) colvmns of granite at the principal mosque (ef. also Puekler-Muskaut 395). Another mosque (whicb Laborde says he drew) is described as an old Arab mosque adorned with several columns and pilasters in white marble. This is possibly the foundation of Lutf-Ullah Bairam, which was also destroyed in the earthquake and rebuilt in the plainest style. Cuinet says tbat Balukiser boasted before the disnster "9r mosques and mesjids, an old clock-lower roucb admired, one Imaret, 1 wo monumental fountains, 31 medressehs, 6 public baths of Seljuk date and a vaulted bazaar built doubtless about lbe same period." It has now scarcely an old bailding of interest.
${ }^{1}$ Tr. Bratulti I. 52 (A.D. $132 \%$ ): Schihab-ed-din appears to call it indifferently Balikesri or Akhara ( $=$ 'Axupoious?) Not. et Extr. xill. 339, 353, 365. Malikesri and Akbara are evidently Arabielsms.

2 Policastro appears at least as carly as the map of Gastaldi (c. 1545, published in Sathas, Mrmueia 111.) and is copied by much later map-makers. Rameay's conjecture, Balyk Hisear, is put oun of court by Seaddin and Ibn Batuah wbo wrile بالي S-S this is still, I believe, the official spelling.
(4) Coins of Hadrianutherae, rare elsewherc, are common at Balukiser.

In 1901 Munro found an important Greek site with numerous remains at Beykeui, south-west of Kebsud, a small town on the right bank of the Macestus from which a long series of inscriptions has come: this site he at once identified with Hadrianutherae ${ }^{1}$. In support of the attribution he urges
(I) that the site is much more important than any known in the Balukiser plain :
(2) that, being close under the hills, it is a suitable location for the Royal Chase of Hadrian :
(3) that it is near to Bigaditcl which he identifies with Achyraius (sec below):
(4) that it lies on one great route to the Caicus valley.

It is only since a visit to Kcbsıd and Beykeui that I have ventured to dissent from these conclusions. In answer to the arguments above 1 submit:
(1) that a scries, even a long series, of sepulchral monuments, is not sufficient evidence of the site of a town of Hadrianutherac's importance :
(2) that the hill-country south and west of the plain is, or was, heavily wooded, and the Kaza of Balukiser has more forest land than any other in the Sanjak ${ }^{3}$ :
(3) that the site is, as Munro himself held in $1895^{2}$, too far east for the road between Miletupolis and Pergamon, while the modern road down the valley between Kebsud and Susurlu is not passable for wheeled traffic, and the ancient road las been traced to within a few miles of Balukiser. Nor, except on the assumption that Achyraiis = Bigaditch, can I see any evidence that the great road to the south passed by these points.

Kebsud is indeed in a remote position, and communicates, as we have said, only by a very narrow valley with the Balukiser plain.

I continuc, therefore, to look for the site of Hadrianutherae in the plain of Balukiser, preferably towards its south-western

[^68]corner. Its discovery hangs, so to speak, on the turn of a spade, for the site may lic hidden in some still untilled plot of ground. Tchaoush-keui, near which remains werc noted by Fabricius ${ }^{1}$, is up to the present too insignificant, and Baindyr, whence I have a small bronze statuctte of Asklepios, seems too far west.

Of the history of Hadrianutherac nothing is known but the story of its foundation by Hadrian after a successful bear-hunt, mentioned by Cedrenus and others? The etymology has been thought to be a popular one: certainly the spellings 'Aסpavou$\theta \dot{v} a^{5}$ and Hadrianutcba ${ }^{4}$ suggest the termination teira (as in Temenothyra, Thyateira), but the imperial coinage, which begins with Hadrian himself and continues to Philip, gives consistently 'Aסpavoonpurwiv. Among its types are the bear's heads (commemorating the hunt of Hadrian), and of the gods Zeus, Dionysus, Asklepios, Telesphorus", the bull Apis and the "ciyaOos "p $\rho \mathrm{s}^{"}$ Antinous. From the great prominence given by Aristides to the temple of Zeus Olympius on the neighbouring hill of Atys we may surmise that Hadrian's foundation took the place of an old village centre of the indigenous religion. The gods of this shrine, probably the male divinity of universal powers and his youthful emanation, were possibly first Grecised as Zeus and Dionysus, and later equated indifferently to the Pergamenc couple Asklepios and Telesphorus, the Egyptian Serapis and Apis, or the imperial Hadrian and Antinous. The incvitable female third party seems here, as at Poemanenum, to have been of less importance.

In Byzantine times Hadrianutherae was the seat of a bishopric under Cyzicus ${ }^{7}$ and was later eclipsed in importance

[^69]by the neighbouring fort Achyraüs, built by John Comnenus ${ }^{1}$ to guard the important southern roads. Consequently the bishops, as at Lopadium and elsewhere, took the double title, or even the later one alone ${ }^{2}$.

The name of the fort is very variously spelt, which suggests Achyralds. that it was not Greek in origin. Most usually called ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'A $\chi$ uprious', it is Grecised to $\dot{\eta}$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \chi \cup \rho \hat{a}^{3}$, ai 'O $\chi$ upai', while the crusadcrs call it Esscron ${ }^{7}$ or Sycheron ${ }^{8}$, and the valley in which it stood Vallis Ascaratana. Theodore Studites mentions it in the 9th century as к $\omega \dot{\prime} \mu \eta$ ' $\AA \chi \in \iota \rho a \omega^{10}$.

The name was evidently given to the district, which was apparently distinct from the Opsician themc. It is called Provincia Acherau in the Privilegium Alcriii 199 ${ }^{11}$, and Provincia Achcrari in the Partitio Romaniae's.

In the treaty of Theodore and the Latins it is the frontier of the latter party, and Calamus (Gelembe) is neutral ground ${ }^{\text {³ }}$.

The eastlc of Hodja Kalesi agrees well with what we know of Achyrauis: it stands about 21 miles S.S.E. of the village of Eftele on a high grassy spur bounded on three sides by the right bank of the stream of Hodja-déré, which two hours and a half lower down passes the village of Mendoura. The spur slopes steeply enough even on its landward (S.) side and affords an ideal site for a castle. The site enclosed by the walls is an irregular trapezium, the cxtreme length perhaps 200 metres: the walls are best preserved on the landward side, where the two massive semicircular towers which flanked the entrance
${ }^{1}$ Nic. Chon. 44 B.
= Notit. N., xnti. and two unpublished, quoted by Ramsay, Geog. $155^{\circ}$
${ }^{3}$ Act. Patr. Const. I. 119 ( 1256 ). Notit. 111.
${ }^{4}$ Acrop. 30, Pachy. 11. 423 and Notitiae (x11t. has 'Axupaovius), Cantac. 111. 29 ; cl. Theod. Scutar. xi. то0 кáatpou tŵy 'OXupû̀ 8 kal 'Axupdous rapi turl Meyetaz: the ethnic is 'Axupatons in Cantac. 11. 180.
${ }^{5}$ Eph. 7750.
${ }^{6}$ Nicel. 44 B. Ephr. 7431, 7512, 7991.
${ }^{7}$ Odo de Diogilo, p. 250 (Guizol). ${ }^{3}$ Ansbert.

- Cf. Sagara in Hierocles and 'Aorapprds, an Anatolian ethnic in P.A.S. int. p. 271 . Kieperi identifies the plain with the Apia Campus of Polyb. v. 77.

10 Vita cvr. (Migne).
${ }^{11}$ Tafel and Thomas Lxxxv. i. p. ${ }_{24} 6 \mathrm{ff}$.
${ }^{12}$ ib. cxxi. i. p. 453 ff. Cf. the Episcepseis of Apollonia and Lopadium.
${ }^{13}$ Acrop. 30; cf. Eph. 7750.
stand six or seven metres high; they are solidly built of rubblc and tile, the lattcr inserted both perpendicularly and horizontally in the joints. Portions of two other towers survive on this side: the river walls have all but disappeared.

On the low ground beneath the castle a roofless eleven-sided teike carefully built of squared stones shew's that the sitc was not deserted in early Turkish times, while the proximity of the village Eftele (Pteleae?) is in itself strong evidencc for the idcntification of the castle with the Byzantine fortress of Achyraüs'. It is indeed the only Byzantine building in the district worthy to rank with Eski Manyas and Ulubad as a firstclass fortress.

The only other claimant for the site is the important mediaeval castle of Bigaditch ${ }^{2}$ : this stands above the small town of the same name ${ }^{3}$ on the right bank of the upper Macestus and is described by Hamilton as a "circular wall enclosing about two acres, strengthened by several square and round towers of no great antiquity." This identification will hardly stand after the discovery of a castle so much nearer the great road and Balukiser as Hodja Kalcsi.

The mountain near Achyraüs was called Cyminas or Ciminas", and is mentioned with Olympus as a haunt of monks ${ }^{8}$ : it is probably to bc identified with Sivri-tepe which is a conspicuous peak from the site of the castle Munro found a rock-cut hermit's cell at Persi near by, and the monastery т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \Lambda$ 八iккк $\nu^{e}$, whose abbot was present at the second Council of Nicaea, may have been one of the religious houscs of the district.

[^70]
## CHAPTER IX.

## THE LOWER GRANICUS PLAIN.

THE considerable plain through which the Granicus flows is
Adrasteia. capable of supporting a large population. It was occupied in Homeric times by the city of Adrasteia, from which it took its name ${ }^{1}$. Apollonius ${ }^{2}$ identifies it with the $\pi \epsilon \in \delta \iota 0 \nu \mathrm{~N} \eta \pi \eta^{\prime} \bar{i} \nu$ which others apparently associated with Olympus, king of the Mysians and presumably of Mysia Olympene : this second name ( $\nu \eta \pi n^{\prime} i o \nu$ ) was evidently connected with a local lcgend of the birth and infancy of Zeus.

The city was said to take its name from Adrastus, son of Mcrops of Percote': who first established here the worship of the goddess Adrastea': the marriage of his daughter Cleite to the hero Cyzicus is evidently an attempt, aided by the existence of a cult of Adrastea at Cyzicus, to bring Cyzicene legend into line with the Homeric cycles.

The city had decayed in Strabo's time, and its ancient oracular shrine of Apollo Actaeus and Artemis кatì тìv חuкát $\nu^{\text {b }}$ (?) removed to Parium. Other towns in the plain were

[^71]Sidene ${ }^{1}$ on the Granicus of which we know no more than that it was destroyed by Croesus, and Didymateiche, whose name may be preserved in the modern Dimetoka, on the eastern tributary of the Granicus: it is only mentioned as a humble member of the Delian league.

From these slight records we may surmise that Adrasteia and Sidene were the political centres of the district in the Homeric and Lydian periods respectively: this centre shifted naturally in Greek, Roman and mediaeval times to the coast (Priapus, Pegae), and has now, under the Turkish occupation, reverted once more to the plain.

Bighashehr ("Boghazshehr" = "city of the gorge" by popular

> Bighashehr. etymology and the well-known partiality of the Turks for broad vowels) is the modern centre of this district. It is prettily situated at the opening of the valley of the Bigha-Chai but has suffered much of late years from fire. The quarter about the bridge is still picturesque, especially when viewed from the grassy space on the further side where the camel trains are pastured and the yearly fair is held. The population is assessed at 8395 Mohammedans, 1445 Greeks, 160 Armenians: there seems to be a large Bulgarian (Pomak) element both in the town and its neighbourhood and the plain is being gradually filled by immigrants. The town, once the capital of the important Sanjak now governed from the Dardanelles*, is at present the seat of a Kaimakam. It is connected with the port of Karabogha by a newly-built road and by horse-tracks through Avunia and Tchanbazar with Adramyt. At Tchanbazar a yearly fair is held of which Cuinet' gives the following account:
"The number of persons who frequent this fair is estimated at $20-25,000$. They flock to it in picturesque caravans protected by the local gendarmerie; man and beast camp in the open air, while the dealers in stuffs, embroideries, colonial products, etc., take their places under great sheds run up for the

[^72]purpose, and divided into small compartments. The money which changes hands at this fair amounts to a very considerable sum : the chief merchandise consists in cattle, copper kitchen utensils, stuffs of all sorts, and the rich Turkish costumes which are made and embroidered at Bigha and Brusa. The sellers come chiefly from the Dardanclles, Rhodosto, Brusa, and even Smyrna. After the third day the fair at Tchan is removed to Bigha...wbere it goes on for six days."

If, as is possible, Bighashehr is on the site of Adrasteia or Sidene, ancient monuments are surprisingly few and ruins nonexistent.

The history of the town is obscure: it scems to have existed beside the maritime settlement of Pegae and to have bonne the same name ${ }^{2}$, of which the modern one is a corruption: von Hammer mentions it as the administrative centre of Karassi under Suleiman, son of Orkhan².

The plain was in Strabo's time divided between Cyzicus, Priapus and Parium. On the marches of the Cyzicene and Priapene territory stood the village of Harpagia ${ }^{3}$, associated with the legend of the rapc of Ganymede?

Priapus, a colony either of the Milesians or the Cyziceness,
Priapus. occupied the low promontory of Eski Kaleh Burnu just west of the mouth of the Granicus. The shape of this promontory, Judcich has suggested ${ }^{\text {', }}$, pcrhaps accounts for the dedication of the colony to the rude nature-god of Lampsacus ${ }^{7}$. This god, a son of Dionysus by Aphrodite or the nymph Chione (or even, as some authorities held, a form of Dionysus himself), was intimately connected with the culture of

[^73]the vine, for which the surrounding country is peculiarly adapted ${ }^{2}$, and had all the narvely gross characteristics of a rustic god unacquainted with city refinements.

Of the town's history we know little or nothing ; it appears in the Delian tribute lists as one of the Hellespontine allies of Athens, but never rose to any importance, being over-shadowed by Parium; the latter encroached on its territory with the connivance of the Attalids, to whom Priapus fell with the rest of Hellespontine Phrygia ${ }^{2}$. Two Latin inscriptions found near Karaboghas, mentioning Hadrian as "founder of the colony," have suggested that Parium and Priapus together formed the "colonia Gemella" whose coins are so frequent in the Cyzicus district. In support of this theory it is worthy of note that, while a number of inconsiderable towns in the district possessed mints in the second and third centuries A.D., imperial coins of Priapus depend on the dubious evidence of Vaillant: and that Priapus and Parium were later joined in a bishopric.

In the neighbourhood of Priapus Stephanus mentions a place "Iym of which nothing further is known.

In the later middle age the site was occupied by the Italian

> Peg\#e. trading station ${ }^{4}$ of Pegae, which the chroniclers of Barbarossa's march mention already in 1190 as "(Spigast)" civitas Latinis inhabitata ${ }^{6}$." In 1204 Nicetas ${ }^{7}$ describes it as a "city of the Hellespontine Latins," and Villehardouin ${ }^{\text {B }}$ likewise "Espigal, une cité qui sor mer siet et ére poplée de Latins." It played an important part in the history of the Frankish empire "beyond the arm of S. George"," remained the

[^74]seat of a Byzantine bishopric together with Parium ${ }^{1}$ as late as the fourteenth century, and was one of the last Greek strongholds in Asia to fall. Even after the conquest by the Turks it was still an important Italian trading station ${ }^{2}$.


Fig. 9. Site of Pegae: Sketch Plan.
To-day its successor, Karabogha, on the shore below the headland is without importance save as the landing-place for Bighashchr. It is served by a steamer from Constantinople twice a week. On the site of the ancient city are considerable remains of mediaeval walls extending all round the headland ${ }^{2}$. The wall and towers on the landward side are still in fair preservation and form a conspicuous sea-mark. They are built on the slight slope of a depression severing the peninsula from the
${ }^{1}$ The hishopric of Pegae and Parium is first mentioned in 1336 (Act. Patr. 3. lxvii.). It was in farrions apparently after 1334 (ibid. s. civ.) when the signature is Inyciew кal Maplov кal rpbeठpos Гdyov: cf. Lambros on the Archbishopric of Lopadium.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Uzzano and the Portolani.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Von Richter, p. 423 ff. and the account of the taking of the Acropolis by John de Brienne (Acrop. xxx.).
continent: the slope scems to have been increased by artificial embankment. The best preserved towers are at the northern end of the fortification which is the lighest point of the defended area. These towers are pentagonal (four sides projecting from


Fig. to Tower at Karabogha.
the curtain) and built entirely of tile: the interior plan is round, the upper storcy domed and the lower strengthened by additional thickness added from within: angular breastworks of rubble give additional stability to the bases of the towers. The wall was of rubble and seems to have been restored in Turkish times. Within the fortifications are traces of a cross-wall cutting off the high north-western corner, and several large cisterns.

## CHAPTER X．

THE AESEPUS PLAIN．
On one of the outlying spurs of Ida－the range here extends
Zeiela． to the barrier of coast hills，through which the Aescpus forces its way to the sca－stood Zelcia， the furthest outpost in this direction of the Trojan civilisation， and characteristically remote from the sea．The site is identifed by Strabo＇s ${ }^{1}$ accurate＂ 190 stades from Cyzicus and about 80 from the nearest sea＂with the large but squalid village of

Sarikeul． Sarikeui on a western affluent of the Aescpus， a couple of hours below Gunen：it is inhabited largely by Rumelian immigrants，only forty of its thousand families being Greek．A small conical hill above the village may represent the Homeric acropolis．

The name（Z $\lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon L a,} Z_{\epsilon} \dot{\lambda} \eta^{2}$ ）is variously derived by the ancients Zelela． from a hero Zelys or Zeleius ${ }^{3}$ or from そう̆ $\lambda o s^{4}$ ．The hero Zelys is mentioned ${ }^{3}$ in the Argonaut myth and probably belongs to the genus of Hellenistic fietions，if he does not represent the original sun－god of the town ${ }^{\text {．}}$

The foundation of the town is attributed by the Scholiast on Il．1V． 90 to Carnabas the Perrhaebian，who fled to the Troad and settled down under Tros at Zeleia ${ }^{7}$ ：the people are called
1586.
－St．Byz．s．vy．Zidera，＇Aviupera．The ethnic is Zedeltys in App．I．17．Inscr． Y． 20 A．C．J．A． 111.2893 etc．Zehecíms in the tribute lists．The name Zelys occurs in Polyb．v．79；df．also the Thracinn town－name Selymbria
${ }^{3}$ Etym．Mag．，Stcph．Byz．s．v．Zenesa．


${ }^{5}$ Ap．Rh．I． $10+2$ and the account of Valcrius Flaceus．
－Cf．Shas Ee入try；Marquardt considers the word Lydian．



Trojans ${ }^{1}$ and the town, lying west of the Aesepus, was reckoned to the Troad. The inhabitants fought in the Trojan war ${ }^{5}$ under Pandarus, son of Lycaon, a "Lycian" (the territory of Zeleia was called Little Lycia ${ }^{5}$ ) who was evidently a native of the place, on good terms with his god or ancestor".

At Zeleia, Apollo had an oracle, renowned in its days, which had, however, ceased to work in Strabo's time'. The god was worshipped as Pythius and probably associated with Artemis? There is evidence that the ancient shrine was by some authors made to figure in the legend of the Argonauts: the version preserved by Malalas ${ }^{8}$ makes Jason enquire at the Pythia Therma as to the dedication of the newly-made temple, while Valerius Flaccus makes the Aesepus the scene of the purificatory rites. Pythia Therma to a Byzantine meant the hot springs at Yalova in Bithynia", but the Zeleian Apollo-oracle makes the name equally applicable to the thermae of Gunen, which were within easy reach of Cyzicus. It seems probable that the shrine of Apollo was at the bot springs of Gunen, where Artemis Thermaia was, in Aristides' day, the presiding deity. She is already in the fourth century inscription the goddess by whom the public oath is taken, and a head crowned with a low polos, apparently representing an oriental Artemis, not radically dissimilar from the Magna Mater, appears on the autonomous coins.

Of the history of Zeleia little is known. Thougb, unlike most

[^75]inland towns, it became a member of the Dclian confedcracy, we have no record of the liberation from the Persian yoke; the town is mentioned as the headquarters of the satraps before the battle of the Granicus, and as having under compulsion assisted the Persian army ${ }^{1}$. A native tyrant, Nicagoras ${ }^{3}$, is said to have ruled Zcleia, "about the time of Alexander," and a Zeleian inscription, dating from the middle of the fourth century, refers to the seizure of the acropolis by the citizens. The brief accounts of Alcxander's relations with the town after Granicus do not mention or imply a tyrant in 334 ; such petty tyrannies sort better with Persian methods of government than with those of the Diadochi, so that we may place Nicagoras about 350 B.C. In the Hellenistic period Zeleia must have fallen under the sway of its powerful neighbour; Zelys appcars amongst the Cyzicene heroes in Apollonius, and the town is mentioned as an outpost of Cyzicus by Diogenes3. A Hellenistic boundary-stone marking the limit of Cyzicene territory in the hills west of Gunen has been published by Dr Wicgands, and Strabo speaks of Zelcia itself as Cyzicenc in his day ${ }^{5}$ : its ancient frontiers extended to the Tarsius" and the hills about Carcsene, a ruined city in the upper valley of that river?

The modern centre of the lower Aesepus valley is Gunen, a Ouncn. small town and kaimakamlik inhabited by a mixed population estimated at about $5400^{\circ}$. The Greek community has a modern church dedicated to $S$. George: the old Turkish mosque and bath are simple but picturesque buildings.

Gunen is to-day chicfly important for its hot springs, which attract visitors from so far away as Constantinople in the summer months. The modern bath is situated just outside the town, on the right bank of the river, a few yards above the ruins of the ancient Thermae, which have been largely carried away by the stream : remains of walls, pavements and water pipes are,

[^76]however, visible. The waters have an unpleasantly sulphurous smell and are extremely hot. A certain amount of traffic passes tbrough the place to Panderma and Balia: between Gunen and Balia there is no road for vehicles. The river, here spanned by a rickety wooden bridge, is a swift stream with a stony bed which permits of its being forded in the summer months.

Turkish history mentions the town only in connection with the rebellion of Kalenderoghlu ${ }^{2}$; it was defended in mediacval times by the fort called Baba Kalessi, which crowns a low height on the left bank of the river. Another strong but somewhat isolated fortress (Chinar Bunar-Kale) lies in a nook of the mountains two and a half hours west-south-west of Gunen It is described and planned by Wiegand?.


Fig. 11. Sketch Plan of Chinar Bunar.Kale (Wiegand).

The great commercial event of the year at Gunen is the horse-fair which takes place in the broad valley of Elbislik on the loth-1 $3^{\text {th }}$ of June ${ }^{3}$. On the opposite (south) side of the
${ }^{1}$ 16ir. Naima 348.
${ }^{2}$ Alth. Misth. xxix. 338.
3 This fair has also a religious aspect, and (though reputed to bave been founded by a Turk, and called the Hadji) is associated with S. Nicholas, to whom belong a muined church and ayasma in the neighbourbood of the Circassian village where it is held. Chishull, p. 39, speaks of a fair ten days long at George-tide much frequented.
valley is the large Greek village of Elbislik with its＂monastery＂ （a mere hut）of S．Michael．

The baths of Gunen were evidently the objective of Aristides＇ journey in search of health ${ }^{1}$ ，but he gives us no hint as to the name of the village or town which must have existed there，if only for the accommodation of patients：he refers merely to the baths of Artemis Thermaia on the Aesepus．

More than one ancient town has been put forward as a claimant for the site，none by any means certain as yet．

Ramsay ${ }^{2}$ confidently identifies Gunen with the Artemea of Hierocles on the evidence of tbe Life of S．Philetaerus．This seems rash on account of the wide diffusion of the cult as evidenced by the Life itself，and the uncertainty as to the exact route taken by the saint ${ }^{3}$ ．

Kicpert＇in some maps places Pocmanenum on this site，on the strength of the inscription mentioning $\mu_{\nu \sigma \tau \alpha i} \Pi_{\eta \mu a \nu \eta \nu \omega \nu}$（？） above alluded to ${ }^{5}$ ．

A fair case might also be made out for Hiera Germe，which is placed by Ptolemy between Cyzicus and Scepsis ${ }^{6}$ and by Stephanus near Cyzicus＊，more especially if as Kiepert holds the name Germae is the Phrygian equivalent of Thermae ${ }^{\text {：}}$ ：and the name Gunen may be a corruption of Гep $\mu \eta \nu o{ }^{2}$ ．

The most likely claimant，if not to the site，at least to the gencral position and importance of Gunen，seems to me the elusive town and bishopric＇of Baris ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ，on which some fresh
${ }^{2}$ Sacr．Serm．Iv．j02－3，Dind．
${ }^{3}$ St Paul the Traveller，p．197；Fist．Geog． 155.
${ }^{3}$ See above，p．49．${ }^{2}$ Formae Orbis Ant．Ix（note）．
＊p． 180 （ v．2， 14 ．${ }^{2}$ s．v．Teppal．
${ }^{5}$ See Formac Orbis Ant．1x．（note），and the episcopal signalure Orpuaniv in Lequien 1． 768 ．Kiepert，having idenlified Gunea with Poemanenum，identifies Germe with Ilidja conjecturally：the old identification of Germe with Kermnsti is based only upon the resemblance of the names．Beyond its approximate position nothing is known of the Hellespontine Gerne．The coins with 「epatyoû are now assigned by Imhoof（Lyd．Stadfers．p．66）to the Lydian Germe on the Caicus，and with it， probably，should be identified the bishopric of 「eppal（Socr．Hisf．Ecel．1v．11； Notitiae etc．）．The Germian mountains of Anna Comnena xvi，are probably the lills south of Olympus and north of the Turkish province of Germian．
－Lequien 1． 769 and Notitice．
is The name seems to have signified a house or seltement in some Thracian－ Pelasgian dialect；ef．Etygn．Mag．s．v．Bdpus 入érecat ỳ otxia karà Mesoanlovs：Steph．
light has been thrown by the Milesian inscription published by Haussoullier.

Our meagre notices of Baris arc derived from the following sources, arranged chronologically :-
(I) The Milesian inscription recording the delimitation of the estate of Laodice wife of Antiochus II. (253 B.C.) ${ }^{2}$.

Dr Wiegand's reading of the boundaries as referring to a district west, not east, of the Aesepus must be accepted in view of the newly discovered Cyzicene boundary-stone: but the positions of the villages and even the roads must still be regarded as hypothetical. The text of the inscription relating to the boundarics runs as follows:-





 Alaウ่тov.

From another passage in the inscription it appears that Baris and Pannukome were included in the estate.
(2) Possibly, the inscription from Brusa ${ }^{2}$ relating to a defeat of Mithradates катà тウ̀v Bápıv. The Cyzicenes certainly harried the king's army in retreat towards Lampsacus at the crossings of the Acsepus and Granicus?.
(3) The subscription of the Athena of Aristides: 'Apıo-
 $\lambda e^{\prime}$ кal $\mu \eta \nu o o_{s . ~ T h i s ~ K e i l d ~ f i n d s ~ t o ~ b e ~ c o n t e m p o r a r y ~ w i t h ~}^{\text {w }}$ Aristides' sojourn at the temple of Zeus-consequently therefore with his journey to the Aesepus springs.

[^77]- Revoe de Philol. 1gor, 123-4.
(4) Hierocles' list of cities, which places Baris between Cyzicus and Parium ${ }^{1}$.


Fic. 12.
Sketch Map shewing the Estate of Laodice (Wiegand).

[^78](5) Probably Theophanes' Banes, Darenus in the account of the defeat of the Byzantines by the Arabs in $774^{1}$ should be read (as Ramsay suggests) Baris, Barenus.
(6) Anna Comnena mentions a river Barenus crossed on the way from Cyzicus to Parium ${ }^{2}$.
(7) Nicctas identifies Baris and Aulonia: (under Manuel


(8) Acropolita mentions Baris and Aulonia in the list of fortresses taken by the Latins ${ }^{\text {b }}$.

We thus infer from (2), (5), (7), and (8) that Baris was a strategic point, and from (4) and (6) that it was close enough to an important river to give its name to that river. The river must be Aesepus or one of the Granicus system, the balance of evidence being very much in favour of the former. The Aescpus is in the first place a much more important river and strategically a greater obstacle: moreover Baris is connected by ( I ) with Aesepus and the Zeleitis and by (3) with Gunen itself.

We shall probably not be far from the truth if we assume that Baris was the chief town of the lower Aesepus valley, possibly dating its rise from the decline of Zelcia.

The sistcr-town Aulonia, which also gave its name to the Aesepus, seems from Nicetas' $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \kappa \epsilon$ ' $\lambda \in \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ 'Eג $\lambda_{\text {r. }}$. $\sigma \pi о \nu \tau о \nu$ Avilovia to have been, as Haussoullier suggests, the port of Baris, i.e. at the mouth of the Aesepus. We havc also to remember the possibility that its name survives in the modern department of Avuntia on the upper waters of the same river. Aulonia is only mentioned in the passage above quoted and by Acropolita with Baris as a point occupied by the Latins?.

Below Zeleia and a little above the mouth of the Aesepus,

Village of Memnon. Strabo notices the K $\dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ Mé $\mu \nu o \nu o s$, and near it a tumulus reputed his tombs . The legend of the birds who came yearly and fought about the mound (Memnoniae

| ${ }^{2} 706 \mathrm{~B}$. |  | ${ }^{2}$ Hist. Geog. 159. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3}$ xiv. 5. | + 121 B . | ${ }^{13} 13 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| ${ }^{5} 711 \mathrm{~B}$. | ${ }^{7} 13 \mathrm{~B}$. |  |



aves) is quoted by many mythological authors. There is an apparently artificial tumulus on the left bank of the river opposite the Roman bridge which may mark the site of the village.
${ }^{3}$ Q. Smytn. N. 642; Aelian, N.A. v. i.; Paus. X. 33. 6 and Frazer's note; Isid. Origs XII. 7, 30; Plin. N.K. x. 37; Ov. Act. Xill. 376 f. See also R. Holland, Heroenzedgel in der gr. Alythologic, pp. $1-5$.

## CHAPTER XI.

## THE UPPER AESEPUS VALLEY.

The upper valley of the Aesepus, chiefly comprised in the modern department of Avunia, is ill known and historically of no great importance. Its remote position evidently retarded its development, though its fertility allowed a large village population ${ }^{3}$. Our only ancient authority on the district is Demetrius of Scepsis, whose Homeric learning, if we may judge from the excerpts from his work which have come down to us, rendered both obscure and unreliable what might else have been a valuable contribution to the history of his own country. Strabo ${ }^{3}$ quotes Demetrius at length on the Ida district: in this account the following points are mentioned:
(a) On the west bank of the Aesepus:
(1) Polichna, a "walled village," also mentioned by Pliny as belonging to the conventus of Adramyttium and by Hierocles.
(2) Palaescepsis, identified by Kicpert with the existing ruins of Assar-Kale. Of these ruins Mordtmann has published a plan and description', from which I quote the following:
"The hill-top is levelled, and certainly by all appearances artificially: the plateau preserves the remains of an ancient town and acropolis, with walls, towers, aqueducts ctc. The walls are constructed of squared blocks; one of the largest was 0.80 cm . long and 0.50 cm . broad. The wall of the acropolis is six feet thick, that of the town three: it is constructed of black porphyry which is the material of the whole hill. An

[^79]oak-tree, the circuinfcrence of whose trunk was 5.30 cm ., had grown out of the wall: this may serve as proof of the long period during which the town has lain descrted. The whole arrangement of the walls testifies to their extremc antiquity. The towers, which arc irregularly spaced, are placed at all four gates on the right of the entrance... By the southern gate can still be seen the pipes in the wall which doubtless served as an aqueduct. Outside the walls on the north, a little below the lovel of the town, is a quadrangular space which probably held a temple or place of sacrifice; of which however nothing more is visible."

He refers them to a vcry early date, and it was doubtless on the ground of his description that Kiepcrt accepted the identification. Wiegand, however, assigns the remains to the Byzantine period: "the reputed Palacscepsis above Kuyun Eli is a great strong Byzantine castle (about $150 \times 50 \mathrm{~m}$.) with rubble walls about $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}$. thick, faced outside with squarcd trachyte blocks. Two entrances and some cisterns and towers may be made out. Hill and castle are now ovcrgrown with thick oak scrub. The fortification Assar, right above the Aesepus by Tschirpilar, which has bcen taken for Scepsis, is also Byzantine but more insignificant. The hill is barc and level, about $300 \times 150 \mathrm{~m}$., and was surrounded by a wall, apparently without towers, which has now almost entirely fallen."

The question of Scepsis and Palaescepsis is difficult, since Strabo places them 30 stades apart in the upper Aesepus valley, while Judeich, on excellent independent evidence backed by an inscription, finds Scepsis at Kurshunlu Tepe in the Scamander valley. Strabo himself is not consistent since in another place ${ }^{1}$ he locates Palaescepsis 60 stades above Scepsis, above Kebren (and therefore in the Scamander valley), and about the highest point of Ida. Both sites however are said to be near Polichna. For the history and full discussion of Scepsis we may refer to Judeich's articlc in Kiepert's Festschrift, which seems quite conclusive in favour of Kurshunlu Tepe.
(3) Karesus on or near a river of the samc name which we

[^80]can with some show of probability identify with the western tributary of the Aesepus, though Dcmetrius' ideas of its source are vague. The village names Upper and Lower Karasu (for which no physical explanation is apparent) near the junction of the streans are suggestive as, in connection with Eustathius' note ${ }^{1}$, is that of Kiresun further south.

The territory of Karesus extended to the borders of Zeleia and included a good deal of the hill-country west of the Aesepus: the town was ruined in Strabo's day?
(b) In the same district on the left bank of the Aesepus between Polichna and Palaescepsis are mentioned:
(4) Nea Come.
(5) Argyria.

Our only fixed point in this district is Argiza which may possibly be identical with Argyrias': it is located by epigraphical evidence at Balia Bazarkeuis, where Wiegand ${ }^{5}$ found remains of a Doric temple, probably of Asklepios.

The Fair Pine mentioned by Demetrius in this neighbour-hood-twenty miles north of Adramyttium, at the head-waters, that is, of the Scamander and Aesepus-was evidently an important natural landmark of the watershed from which roads radiated down the valleys!.

Of the corresponding district on the upper valley of the Kara-déré we are equally ill-informed by ancient writers, and monuments are scanty.

The Byzantine bishopric of $\tau \grave{d}$ Maגalà has been identified Upper Tersius on the strength of the name with the mining town valley. and Kaimakamlik of Balia, on the Deirmendere, a western affluent of the Kara-dére. The place is devoid of

[^81]

Fig. 13. Plan of lericharaxis (Wiegand).
archaeological (as to a large extent, thanks to the mines, of scenic) attractions and is unhealthy and uninteresting. The lead-mines were worked in ancient times', and are still in a flourishing state: a certain amount of silver is obtaincd, in spitc of Strabo's scepticism as to the mines of Ida': the operatives are for the most part Greek islanders, and the metal is sent down to Akchai for shipment ${ }^{3}$.

We knew nothing of the ancient town save the name Ergasteria till an inscription ${ }^{s}$ revealed the cxistence of a $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ$ s каi $\beta o u \lambda \eta े т \hat{\eta} \varsigma \Pi \epsilon \rho \imath \chi a \rho a \xi \in \omega \varsigma$, and its history is still a scaled book. A local Zeus K $\rho a \mu \psi \eta \eta{ }^{\circ} s^{e}$ is the only trace of its religion.

Three miles below the town on a long and lofty spur of rock at the junction of the Kara-deré and Deirmendere stand the imposing ruins of a once impregnablc Byzantine castle, overlooking a small and ruinous Roman bridge across the larger stream. The castle rock is almost sevcred from the adjoining hills, and on the Kara-déré side drops almost sheer, so that little fortification is there necessary. The Balia side is also stecp, but is defended by a massive wall and towers of roughly squared blocks, supported by a projecting breastwork on a lower level. Dr Wiegand detected Hellenic work in the lower courses.

Somewhere in this hill-district, probably, stood Antigonia Antigonila. "a fortress of the Cyzicene fifty stades distant from the western sea". Our only authority for it is Stephanus, whose mention of the "western sea" and the Cyzicene is inconsistent with the distance of 50 stades. The "western sea" is defined by Strabos as the Aegean and the outer Hellespont, but Cyzicene territory never, so far as we know, cxtended to within this distance of it. Radet, keeping the distance, places Antigonia at Deblcki, but mere figures are always likely to be carelessly transcribed by a person ignorant of the locality.

[^82]
## CHAPTER XII.

POEMANENUM.

FOR the topography of the Cyzicus district, the site of Poemanenum. Poemancnum is a most important point, on which no clinching evidence is as yet forthcoming. It is important firstly for the elucidation of the Roman road system in Asia, and secondly for the geography of the Frankish and Turkish wars.

The name, which is very variously spelt ${ }^{2}$, is obviously an ethnic:, and like so many in this district has affinities in North Greecc ${ }^{3}$. The simplest and most obvious derivation is from $\pi o t \mu \eta \eta y$ though in imperial times an cponymous hero Poemes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ was as usual invented, whose art type follows that of Cyzicus.

Wc have practically no rccords of the carlicr history of the places. There are autonomous coins bearing the types of Zeus and a thundcrbolt, whilc a small imperial coinage testifies to the worship of Asklepios, which is mentioned by Aristides: we may perhaps assume from the general character of local religious monuments that the Zeus was of the $\theta$ oos ü४cotos type, often connccted with the healing art and naturally identified latcr with the more human Asklepios?.

We may imagine the placc, then, as the ксно́то入ıs of an

[^83]essentially village folk, with the "holy and celebrated " temple of Asklepios as its centre-point, enjoying a nominal autonomy under the suzerainty of Cyzicus.

Stephanus Byzantius, some centuries later, speaks of Poema-
 Ku乡ixou. Since no site proposed hitherto has succeeded in satisfying the conditions of both the Roman road-post and the Byzantine fortress, it seems preferable to take these descriptions as referring to separate places within the territory of the Poemaneni-we know that this was extensive from a boundarystone' which shews that they were neighbours of the Miletopolitans.

We should, therefore, look for two sites, one strategic and the other religious in character ${ }^{2}$. The fortress is the Poemanenum mentioned so frequently in the Byzantine historieswbile the village temple, once sacred to Asklcpios, became a church of S. Michael ${ }^{3}$ (wbo has certain affinities with the Pergamene god ${ }^{4}$ ), and the seat of a Byzantine bishopric of which we have record as late as $1380^{3}$.

Hamilton' was the first to identify the ruins at Eski Manyas, The certe. nine miles north of the lake, with Poemanenum. Eski Msnyas. His argument rests mainly on the similarity of name-a form Motuaviou occurs in several episcopal listsand his attribution seems to me correct so far as concerns the fortress: it is followed by Dorigny", by Kiepert in his Westliche Kleinasien, and lately by Dr Wiegand. Ramsay accepts the identification, but on account of tbe road difficulties, which concern the village, doubts the correctness of the position of Manyas on the map.

The castle of Eski Manyas occupies an immensely strong
${ }^{1}$ Inscr. vi. 7.
1 Ramsay quotes a somewhat similar case from Phrygin, p. 588.
' G. Acrop. B. 37, ch. xxii.
 Syki and Tepejik, are still slept in by sick and insane people: see also M. Hamilton, Incudation, p. 139 ff. for instances of S. Michel's succession to Asklepios
${ }^{3}$ Acta Patr. Cemst. I. 18 : an abbot of S. Peter's at Pocmanenum is also mentioned in Conc. Nic. 11.


- Rev. Arck. 1877, 102.
position on a steep and lofty spur of the Manyas Dagh, shewing a conical front covered with brushwood towards the plain and lake. This spur is separated by steep valleys from the surrounding hills, and joined to them behind only by a low and narrow isthmus. $U_{p}$ the westernmost of the two ravines goes a horse-path in the direction of Balukiser. Fortifications are traceable all the way round the top of the castle hill, enclosing an oval space some 300 yards in length, though the side of the isthmus (north) is alone defended by considerable ruins of the cnccinte : cven here the approach to the castle from the isthmus is steep. This part of the wall is defended by five square towers, solidly built, though cracked and tottering through carthquakes. Their lower courses are of granite and old marble blocks, including several rows of small columns built in endways: the upper portions are of rubble. The two westernmost towers appear to have flanked the only gate. Outside the fortification, on the north side of the isthmus, are plentiful remains of a settlement, including a mosque, according to Mordtmann built by Murad I..$^{1}$, and a turbeh, with three dédés, traditionally erected to the memory of the faithful who fell in the last assault on the fortress. The modern village, a humble settlement mainly composed of Circassians, lies beneath the castle on the foot hills above the plain.

Munro says ${ }^{2}$ : "the fortress is admirably placed to command both the road westwards between the lake and the hills and the road southwards up the Macestus valley, and to dominate the whole plain between the Kara Su and the Macestus ${ }^{3}$ : it must have been one of the most important of the ring of strongholds with which the Byzantine emperors encircled the great plain... the regular mustering ground of their forces and the base of their operations in the Turkish wars."

This description suits Anna's фpoúpıov épu $\mu \nu$ ótatov, Ville-

[^84]hardouin's "moult fort Chastiau vers la Plaine" and the general importance of Poemanenum much better than Lentiana, with which Munro identifics Manyas.

Lentiana was never a fortress of capital importance. The first notices of it (in Anna Comnena) speak of it Lentiank. as a district rather than a town or fort-the Turks march $\delta$ tà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\Lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \iota a \nu \omega \bar{\nu}$ from Cyzicus to Poemanenum ${ }^{2}$ and
 Котогракias ${ }^{3}$ калоиде́ $\nu \eta s^{2}$. Villehardouin, whose accounts of the earlier campaigns of the Crusaders in Asia is much more detailed than Nicctas', does not mention Lentiana at all, so Acropolita's recital of the places which fell into the hands of the Latins' should, therefore, be taken "the Lentiana country up to Lopadium," whether or not it includes the hills of Poemanenum as Ramsay's map implies. The character of the name suggests a large estate in the district-perhaps "(praedia) Lentianas", which may have occupied the eastern part of the Manyas plain.

It is first mentioned as a fortress ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ à $\sigma \tau v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Aevtiav $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ) in Acropolita's account of the siege in $1214^{\text {e }}$, and after the recapture by Vatatzes it does not appear again. It may well have been built during the Frankish occupation or by the Byzantines during the truce as a link between Poemanenum and Lopadium, for this was evidently its position?

The only known ruin which coincides with what we know of Lentiana is the castle of Top-Hissar ${ }^{8}$, two hours west of Mihallitch, which guards the ford of the Kara-déré, and the bridge over its tributary which took the road from Cyzieus castwards. This ruin occupies a small knob

[^85]of rock above the marshes at the junction of the rivers. Inside the walls is a cistern lined with cement. On the south front three towers, the curtain between them, and traces of a breastwork in front can be madc out. The walls are built of rubble faced with small stones badly jointed: the conspicuous eastern tower is decorated with friezes of tile arranged in simple patterns. The construction resembles that of the castle commanding the Macestus bridge at Tash Kapu.

I incline to associate this site, "between two rivers," with the scene of Roger the Catalan's victory over the Turks ${ }^{1}$, though the distance from Cyzicus (two leagues) is inadequate. Pacbymeres ${ }^{2}$ speaks of a "Tower of William" (an obviously Frankish name) as the scene of the battle. Tò ă $\sigma \tau v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Aevtıav $\hat{\omega} \nu$ is of course rather a description than a name.

If a suitable site can be found for Lentiana, the importance of Poemanenum, and the great strength of the fort at Manyas, leave no doubt of the identification of Poemanenum. Poemancnum and Eski Manyas. The identification harmonises with all our Byzantine authorities for Poemanenum, for the loose writing of Nicetas, who seems to represent it as on the road of the Crusaders from Pegae to Lopadium ${ }^{3}$, is cleared up by Villehardouin: the lattcr tells us that they made their headquarters at Panderma, and that it was an excursion thence which resulted in the battle beneath Poemanenum: it is connected in exactly the same way with Cyzicus in Anna Vi. 13 (where a forlorn hope is sent thence to recapture it) and in XIV. 5 (when the Turks retreat from Cyzicus to Poemanenum).

- So much for the fortress. I am, as I have hinted, inclined to disagree with Dr Wiegand's estimate of the importance of Eski Manyas in classical times: the ruins are all Byzantine and Turkish, and the inscriptions may well have been carricd. Manyas is not, it seems to mc, a natural road-centre: its direct communication south with Balukiser is a little used horsetrack, while of the two high roads shewn passing through it, in Wiegand's map', the Pergamon-Cyzicus would more naturally pass west of the lake, while the dip才aia $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}$, as the later

[^86]Turkish road-book shews, need never rise so far into the hills. I therefore look elsewhere for the village on the Pergamon road and the Phemenio of the Peutinger Table ${ }^{1}$. From its connection with the road Poemanenum has been placed on the Aesepus at Gunen, an inscription' from which place has been restored with the name of the village. The characteristic letters are, however, wanting, and even if the restoration is correct it can only prove that the boundaries of the Poemaneni extended west to Gunen as they extended east to Miletupolis. Aristides again does not identify the "hot springs on the Aesepus" with the village of Poemanenum, which lay on his way thither.

Now it has long been remarked that the plain of Manyas is full of inscriptions, and the walls of Manyas castle especially have been a happy hunting ground of the epigraphist. These inscriptions and the other worked blocks in the castle walls are assigned to Cyzicus, to which theory the important character of one inscription at least ${ }^{3}$ gives colour: but the cross-country transport from Cyzicus involves labour and expense ${ }^{4}$, and the river route is very circuitous; whereas the rough building of the castle walls gives the idca of a haphazard erection rather than of one where no trouble or expense was spared. I believe that the inscriptions from the plain come from village communities, and especially from the village of the Poemaneni possessing the temple of Asklepios, which may have been a shrine of political importance; another inscription from Manyas commemorates the family of the Asclepiadae ${ }^{\text {b }}$,

[^87]and a member of it, who lived in the first century B.C., is called oiktotทrs; there is mention also of a temple of Asklepios and Apollo. Asklepios worship could not but have been established at Cyzicus itself in the period of Pergamene influence: but it may well have been introduced to the village community living round the temple of the $\theta$ eos $\ddot{v} \psi \iota \sigma \tau o s$ (whose place Asklepios took) and Apollo in the first century B.C. If this Manyas inscription is indeed from the Poemanenum shrine that shrine cannot be far off the castle.

The chroniclers of Frederick Barbarossa's expedition ${ }^{1}$ throw a fresh light on the relative position of the fortress and the village. The army, setting out from Lampsacus by Pegae to the great road about Susurlu, marched from Pegae in two days to the great river Anelonica (Aesepus) and so passing a "palus undique stagnans" to their camp" "inter oppidum Ypomenon et civitatem Archangelon," evidently the castle of Poemanenum (Manyas) and the town of S. Michael's Church; the writer probably saw both from the camp, and on this assumption I would even hazard the suggestion that the camp was pitched on the low hill of Yeni Manyas which commands both the Kara-déré valley and the fortress of Eski Manyas. That the Crusaders kept to the plains is proved by the "via vallosa et lutosa" of Ansbert and the mention of the lake. They followed the reverse of the route followed by Chishull, who passed through Manyas and Hammamli on his way to Sari Keui. The village is then to be sought west of Manyas and near the lake, probably on the Kara-déré. Ramsay, quite apart from this evidence, has placed Poemanenum on the same river, while Munro ${ }^{2}$ working from the journeys of Aristides opines that if the latter was on his way to Gunen, Poemanenum would fall about five miles
be celebrated at a shrine of the Saviour Asklepios, and Aristides refers 10 the Poemanene god by this citle.
${ }^{1}$ Ansbert (ed. Dobrowsky). Tageno (Freher, Scriptorts Rer. Germ.). Anon. Canisii (Thesaucrus 111. 527, ed. 1728, Antwerp).
"Ansbert has: "inter civilalem Archangelon et castrum quoddam." The name Archangelos oecurs again in Dacas jot, in the K $\delta \mu \pi 0$ Marsperos, and, with Angelochori, Angelocome, is evidence of the popularity of Michael in Asia. Cf. Ramsay's notes on his Phrygian inscriptions 404, 427, 678.
${ }^{8}$ P. 168.
north-west of Ilidja and again on the Kara-déré. Cramer ${ }^{1}$ quite independently placed Poemanentum at Hammamli by Manyas, and Nicodemus of Cyzicus² placed Miletupolis near the same spot on account of the ruins and numerous inscriptions and coins found there and at Hadji Pavon or Pagon near by: Some such position harmonises well with what we know of the road system.

The village of Alexa, on the left bank of the lower Karadere about an hour below Suleimanly, still seems to me the most likely site: Alexa is one of many settlements which have been attracted by the rich grasslands of the broad valley, here separated from the plain and lake of Manyas only by the low ridge on which Hadji Pagon stands. Overlooking the valley just west of the village is a hill crowned by a grove of small but wellgrown oaks, a peculiarity shared by none of the surrounding hills: though the valley at this point is said to be full of ancient remains right down to and even beyond the river, this particular hill is considered the best place for stones, and rubble foundations are visible in a clearing among the trees. Such a site, facing due south, and enjoying, as I was told, immunity from the fevers of the lake plain, is perfectly suitable for a temple of Asklepios, whatever truth there may be in the villagers' story of an ancient hammam discovered on the slope of the hill: the grove of oaks, again, may well be referred to the ancient Zeus who appears on the autonomous coinage, while the inscriptions of the river-god Enbeilus' perhaps point to a still earlier period of religious thought.

The comparative paucity of inscribed stones-worked marble blocks are common in the village and at the neighbouring Tchaoush-keui-is accounted for by the newness of the settlement and earlier plundering of the site by the villagers of the plain, possibly also by the builders of the castle. The assembly of the god may survive in the horsefair held in the valley five days before the great fair of Manyas.

[^88]I may here mention that just above the modern ferry are said to be the remains of an ancient bridge: the river being high at the tine of my visits, the piers were not visible and I was only shewn very questionable remains of the northern abutment. The ancient main road probably crossed above the village to take advantage of the low way across the hills by Chakyrja and Hadji Pagon-the course of the modern route to Balia.

The coins shewn me in the village included several imperial coins of Cyzicus and a much worn autonomous brass of Poemanenum itself, which, though no evidence alone, is of some rarity and serves to confirm my theory as to the site.
.Later than the twelith century prudence may have dictated a removal of the settlement and perhaps the bishopric to the shadow of the castle (as in the case of Miletupolis and Hadrianutherae), where the ruined mosque now stands. The town of Manyas, mentioned among the towns of Karassi taken by Orkhan, evidently refers to the hill settlement, whose decay has only recently transferred the seat of local government once more to the plains (Yeni Manyas). Dorigny records that within living memory there were 800 houses at Eski Manyas. The great horsefair held at Manyas in the early part of June ${ }^{1}$ has now at any rate no religious character, but, like that at Sari-keui, keeps to the place consecrated by tradition; and in each case this place is near the site of a famous ancient shrine.

[^89]
## CHAPTER XIII.

ROADS.
Having settled so far as possible the sites of the towns, we will attcmpt to apply to them the Roman road system, taking as our text the Peutinger Table, which represents the reformed routes of Constantiue and his successors, and making such digressions as are necessary to illustrate the conditions of travelling before and after this epoch. We may postulate at the outset that unless valid reasons are forthcoming to the contrary the direction of modern "araba roads" is regarded as the best evidence of that of the ancient highways ${ }^{1}$. The figures of the Table are so corrupt throughout that they can hardly be admitted as evidence.

The high road between Lampsacus and Cius is thus laid 1. The const down by the Table: Yarium, 22-Priapus, 15-

Rond. Granicus, 27 -Cyzicus, 48 -[Lamasco], 23 Prousias, 20-Cius, 25.

With this we may compare (1) the "mansiones" on the route of Theodore of Studium in $796^{2}$ - $\tau a \mathbf{~ K a \theta a \rho a ̀ , ~} \Lambda \iota \beta t a \nu \dot{a}$,

 "Aßuסos-and (2) Hadji Khalfa's itinerary ${ }^{2}$ between Brusa and the Dardanelles, which runs:

Beylik (plain) 3 hrs, Karagatch Baglari 6, Ulubad bridge $2 \frac{1}{2}$, Saribey (province of Kermasti) 4, Saldcr [Salyr] near Belgik [Boljak, Hamilton's Beuljas], province of Manyas, river [Aesepus]

[^90]Körpe (Agatch)' and wooden bridge near Vedjan [Yurtan ?], province of Kunan [Gunen] 4 $\frac{1}{2}$, Geserkukergenlik [cf. Yazili Gulgen Dagh at the head of the pass in Kiepert's map] 6 6 , Dimetoka 6t , Couroudere $4 \frac{1}{2}$, Goregi [Güredje] by the sea 6, Tchardak, Bergas 4, Sultaniyeh [Dardanelles] 5.

In the century between the first crossing of the Turks into Europe and their establishment at Constantinople, this road was especially important, as connecting Brusa with Adrianoples. To this period belongs the khan at Chardak opposite Gallipoli, which, according to Turner ${ }^{3}$, strongly resembles that at Ulubad.

In the first section it will be noted that Theodore's route only reaches the sea at Parium, omitting Priapus: the Crusaders under Barbarossa who crossed at Gallipoli and, abandoning their vehicles, marched in three days through "wooded and mountainous country" to the plain of the Granicus, passed inside of Parium ${ }^{4}$ also, while the Turkish route does not strike the coast before Gürelje: so that the Table is the only evidence of the inclusion of Priapus on the main road, and that evidence is somewhat impugned by (1) the site of Didymateiche (which is earlier evidence for an important crossing of the river near this point) and by (2) the only relic of this section of the Roman road-the bridge of Ak-Kupru near Bigha.

Chishull ${ }^{6}$ contents himself with a bare mention of this bridge (which he crossed on his way from Smyrna to Ak-Kupru. Adrianople), attributing the building to Mohammed IV.; "here," he continues, "are to be observed the marks of a

[^91]Royal way denoted by two equal and regular barrows on each sidc, by which lies the Grand Signior's road to the wars."

The best description of the bridge, which has been steadily disappearing, is Turner's', who calls it "a very magnificent Roman bridge built with brick and small stones and cased with large squares of fine marble. It consisted of eight arches, four large ones over the river, and four small ones, two at each end, at the extremities on land: the largest arch was of eighteen paces' span and eight in width: it was irregular, for it was one of four with none large enough to correspond with it. The pressure on the bridge was lightened by small arches built immediately under the pavement."

Tchihatcheff in 1847 noted "restes d'un très-beau pont antique...à l'endroit où la route conduit de Guendje à Dimotica: ce pont repose sur trois arcs et il s'est écroulé à sa moitié?."

Janke speaks of the bridge in the following terms: "Am linken Ufer stehen noclı mehrere Bogen mit runden Gewölben aus Ziegel, während die Pfeiler auf schön behauenen, I in. langen, $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}$, hohen, Steinen ruhen. Oben ist die Strassenanlage eingestürzt. Auf dem rechten Ufer steht noch ein Pfeilerrest dessen Unterbauten besonders regelmässig scheinen."

The most noteworthy relic of the bridge in 1906 (when I passed it) was a small arch of the western abutment with the adjoining pier. The span of the arch was 2.70 m ., and the width of the roadway, which was traceable by its bounding walls for some yards, 740. The outer voussoirs of the arch with the whole face of the bridge had been stripped off, revealing a vault of brick. A few of the lower courses inside the arch were of stone, but the upper part of the bridge so far as it existed was of very rough rubble with tile carelessly used. This may have led Kiepert to consider the bridge Turkish—he like Chishull ascribes it, presumably on local tradition, to Mohammed IV. (1648-1687)-and it may well be that it was extensively rcpaired in Turkish times on account of the importance of the road. But Turner's description of the remains in his day seems

[^92]to be good evidence that we have here a bridge of the same period as those at Sultan-Chair and on the Aesepus.

The valleys of the Granicus and its tributaries are the natural outlets of the thickly populated hill country on this side of the satershed, and the natural roads to the passes. One of these-connecting the Kale Peuke at the head of the Scamander with the plain-is mentioned by Strabo ${ }^{1}$, and the modern routes to Adramyt-viâ Avunia and Tchanbazar re-spectively-shew their general direction. Theodore's ivayeroaرцévol (sc. $\sigma \tau \hat{\nu} \lambda o \iota ?$ ) may refer to some sign-post marking the distances to various points on the routes which drew together in the plain.

From here eastwards to the bridge at Lopadium the road is double, the northern branch passing by Cyzicus, the southern behind the lake. Already in Hellenistic times we have record of the northern as óסos Baai入ıcŋ̀ écs Aionjou and of the southern as óסos $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \eta ̀ \eta$ í $\dot{\rho} \chi a i a-p r o b a b l y ~ t h e ~ o l d ~ P e r s i a n ~$ road to Dascylium?

The official route in the Table is the northern, while the southern is given by Hadji Khalfa in whose time Sultanyeh (Dardanelles) was the objective and Cyzicus no longer of importance.
(a) The northern route probably followed the coast throughout as far as Cyzicus: its modern substitute-the araba-road between Bigha and Panderma-does so up to Musatcha, where it climbs by easy gradients to the level of the plain, and crossing the head of the Sazli-dere, forks shortly after to Aidinjik and Panderma. The only known ancient milestones are those found at Aidinjik and behind Tchaoush Keui, rather implying that the Roman road adhered to the coast and, picking up the line of the modern road below Aidinjik, passed over the western mole into the city.

The only fixed point on the Roman road is the crossing of

Ouvertchin Kupru. the Acsepus about $3 \frac{1}{2}$ miles above the mouth. Here are still to be scen considerable remains of the Roman bridge (Guvertchin Kupru) which carried this road across the river. Its direction is about E.S.E. by E. and though

[^93]no main arch is preserved in its entirety, the remaining piersonly one has fallen-still stand to their full height and even preserve the sections of the roadway intact.


Fig. 14. Aesepus Bridge. Sketch Ei.evation.
The main stream is spanned by four arches solidly built of rubble faced with granite ashlar and vaulted with the same matcrial. The westernmost, which was the only one accessible at the time of my visit, has a span of 12.20 metres, the height of the pier (footing-course to roadway) being about 8.00 m . The roadway was borne on four slab-roofed vaults parallel to the direction of the bricige. The third pier from the west bank has fallen. The piers are planned with sharp triangular cut-waters against the stream, while on the lower side they are furnished


Fig. 15. Aesepus Bridge View from North.
with blunt buttresses of hexagonal plan presenting a flat face outwards.

The stream at this point passes close under the west bank, so
that the western abutment is short. It is pierccd by a small arch and half-arch with tile vaults, the outer voussoirs being alternately stones and groups of tiles; this is the construction used throughout in the less massive bridge at Sultan-Chair.

The eastern abutment is much longer than the western, and is well preserved (though much overgrown) right up to the main stream, a distance of 58 metres. The westernmost pier (4) is of a different type to the others, having a low, squat cut-water with sloping profile; both this and the next westernmost are relieved by vaults running across the bridge : these vaults are completely masked on the stream side, but on the down side are made conspicuous by the alternate tile and stone voussoirs we have beforc alluded to. The arch between (span 12.20 ) is treated in the same manner, and this construction is continued in the culvert arches, gradually decreasing in size, which support the extremity of the abutment. One of these is completely overgrown and is conjecturally indicated on the key-sketch.


Fig. 16. Aesepus Brioge. Detail of Piers.
The roadway is built of large stones, only occasionally squared, and is about 5.60 metres wide: at the end of the eastcrn abutment are remains of an exedra in brick (paralleled at the Sangarius bridge near Sophon ${ }^{2}$ ) round which the road

[^94]forks. An upright cylindrical stone 0.80 m . high and 0.40 m . in diameter stands beside it and may have been intended to record repairs.

The road from betwcen the bridge and Cyzicus is still to some extent the original Roman way. It is paved with small round stones to a depth of 5 or 6 inches, well pounded or rolled together in carth. The road commands magnificent views of the Aesepus embouchure and the peninsula of Cyzicus; it was till quite lately the usual route between Panderma and Bighashehr: a lower route fording the Aesepus at its mouth and striking inland at Musatcha is now prcferred.

Two hours cast of the bridgc, behind Tchaoush-keui, are remains of an old Turkish khan ${ }^{1}$ near which in a cemetery stands the $13^{\text {th }}$ milestone from Cyzicus.

From Cyzicus the road struck inland, avoiding the hill country of the Karadagh (which leaves no room for a road between it and the sea), so that the bracketed (Lamasco), obviously intcrpolated from the heading, should probably be supplied by Lopadium.

This section (Cyzicus-Lopadium) allows of some choice of route, as the plain country is easy: the present post-road makcs for the gap by Debleki and in general avoids villages; the old Turkish highway, and probably the Byzantine before it, passed through Akchebunar and over a low hill to the northern tributary of the Kara Su, which it crossed just before the junction of the streams by thc bridge beneath the fort of Top-Hissar²; thence to Ulubad, probably crossing the Macestus at Tchamandra ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (the Mandrae of Hierocles?) where Perrot found the 25 th milestone, and whence a road still runs to Top Hissar.

The southern branch of the loop crosses difficult mountain

[^95]country between the Granicus and Aesepus (which it passed near Sarikeui), as is evidenced by the difference of a single hour in the standard times between Bigha-Gunen (12) and BighaPanderma ( $\left.{ }^{3}\right)^{1}$.

This was presumably the route followed by Alexander and Barbarossa ${ }^{2}$, both making for the southern roads probably by way of the Macestus valley (see p. 121).

From the Aesepus the Turkish road passed south of the Manyas lake, crossed the Macestus some six miles south of Mihallitch and rejoined the northern branch of the loop at Lopadium.

Beyond Ulubad a straight road over first plain, then fertile rolling country, leads to Brusa. Karagatch and Apollonia are left on the right, and nearly opposite the latter a new road branches to Mudania, reaching the sea at Triglia. Of the khan on this road, and near Ulubad, we have spoken above?

The Macestus valley road, connecting Cius (and Cyzicus)
11. Macestus Valley Road. with (1) Pergamon and (2) Thyatira and Smyrna, is laid down by the Table as follows: Apollonia, Miletupolis (20), Hadrianutherae (33), Pergamon (8). The gencral line of this road is that marked out by Nature for the intercommunication of the northern and western ports of Asia Minor: it has varied comparatively slightly from age to age in accordance with the changes in market centres and especially of shipping ports.

In ancient times, as in modern, the branches serving the lake Ancient. plains joined where the valley narrows: the road from Cius was identical with the coast-road as far as the bridge at Lopadium, where it turned south over the low hills by Melde to cross the river at Tashkapu above Susurlu.

Ruins of the bridge, guarded by a small castle of mediaeval Sultan-Chair bridge. date, on the cliff of the western bank, still remain, though in a very dilapidated state. Earthquakes are probably in part responsible, while subsequent blasting

[^96]operations, with a view to the canalization of the river for the transport of boracite ${ }^{1}$, have removed the centre portions almost completely. The bridge was a substantial structure of fifteen arches, built of rubble faced with granite blocks; and measuring with abutments about 300 metres: the piers are provided with long cut-waters on the stream side, and lightened by transverse vaults immediately below the footway. The vaults are of brick from about a foot above the spring, the outer voussoirs above this point being of alternate tile and stone: the spandrels are relieved by smaller transverse vaults with voussoirs of stone and tile alternately, giving a very decorative effect ${ }^{3}$.

The Cyzicus section, which must in Greek times have been
Guzel Kupru. far the most important, is represented by a small bridge of two arches (called Guzel Kuprus) just south of Debleki, and some hundred yards west of the present chaussée. This bridge lies nearly north and south and measures with abutments about 52 metres by 4.30 wide; it is built of irregularly shaped stones: the upper portion dates evidently from Turkish times, and the arches are slightly pointed. The central pier with its cut-waters is faced with rusticated blocks. At the north end stands a Roman road-mark, possibly in situ, which may have served rather as a record of road repairs than as a milestone.

From here the joint-road again kept slightly west of the present, avoiding the pass of Demir Kapu. Remains of it were seen above Omerkeui and on the Balukiser side of the pass by Munro ${ }^{4}$

Arrived in the plain the road forked, (a) to Pergamon, inevitably by the pass of Kiresun, and (b) to the south to Calamus and Thyatira. For the course of this road in mediaeval times between Calamus (Gelembe) and the Balukiser plain we have only one authority. S. Theodore of Studium on his way from Smyrna to Constantinople in 819 performed sundry

[^97]

Fig. 17. Elevations and Plan of Sultan. Chair lbridik: (Wiblianis.)

miracles among the villages on this part of his route，the follow－ ing points，in no very distinct order，it is truc，being mentioned：

1．Tótтоs то仑 Аáккоv in the district Meтáтa：in the neigh－ bourhood was the hill district of $\Xi \eta \rho \delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{2} \circ \phi \circ$ ．

2．Mтє $\overline{\text { éat，}}$ ，village suffering frequently from the floods of


3．In the neighbourhood was a к由́ル｀A $\chi \in \rho \rho^{\alpha} \omega$ ．The second Life mentions not＇A $\chi є \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \omega$ but Metєшрís，which was ＂beside the high road．＂

1．Tótos то̂ $\Lambda$ áккou has been conjecturally identified by Tomaschek with the modern Courouguculjuk（＂Dry－lake＂）on the old Smyrna－Constantinople road．Miтáтa（＝metata）is known from the account of the founding of Hadrianutherac to refer to the Royal Chase of Hadrian．

2．Mтe入éaı may plausibly be connected with the name of the modern Eftele in the broad valley of the Hodja－déré（＇Opo－ $\pi \nu \iota \kappa \tau \eta \eta_{s}$ ），while

3．K $\omega \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \chi \in \iota \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ can hardly be other than the later military centre called＇Axupáous．Other considerations tempt us to identify this with the castle of Hodja Kalesi within three miles of Eftele ${ }^{\text {？}}$ ．

The line thus given varies but slightly from the mediaeval and modern route，and the coincidence of so many minute points gives some weight to the argument．The road to Soma may have turned off at or near the castle，and have taken the modern line by Kircsun；since we find that Achyraüs was a stage not only on the road to Calamus ${ }^{2}$ ，but also on that to Germe－Soma ${ }^{3}$ ．

The crossing routes given by Hadji Khalfa shew an almost exact correspondence with the ancient roads．They run as follows：
（1）Mihallitch－Magnesia．Ulubad，Susurlu Chai crossing， pass，Mendoura，Kurugüljuk，Bash Gelembe，Belamut Manisa ${ }^{5}$ ．

[^98](2) Brusa-Borgama. Karagatch, Ulubad, Tcheltikli, Balukiser, Bardakji, Tanhala, Gjaudir (Tchavdyr ?), Belugik, Bergama ${ }^{1}$.

In Turkish times the great road had two termini, Brusa and Mihallitch. The branch from the former took the line of the old road (Cius-Hadrianutherae) as far as the bridge of Ulubad: the Mihallitch branch was served by the small port on the left bank two hours below the town which took the bulk of the considerable traffic between Constantinople and Smyrna: the crossing of the Macestus just north of Mihallitch was effected by a massive early Turkish bridge, replaced alrcady in Ouscley's time by one of wood, and now by a ferry: the abutments of this bridge and the long causeway of rubble with tile-arched culverts which formed its southern approach still remain, and parts of the road are roughly paved.

Outside Mihallitch the two roads joined, and proceeded up the left bank of the river, crossing its tributary at Tash-Kapu immediately above Adakeui by a stone bridge. This bridge has been utilised for the new road now building between Kermasti and Susurlu, and much of the causeway has been destroyed for material. The bridge consists of one large arch flanked by two smaller: the arches are segmental and the woussoirs well cut and fitted, the rest being of rubble.

The main river was crossed by "a bridge of six arches, or rather cheekes of stone, the covering flat and wood," threequarters of an hour below the junction of the Hatab-déré and Susurlu Chai: the new (1906) bridge occupies the same position".

At Susurlu came in two secondary routes, from Panderma and the Dardanelles respectively. The latter passed through Eski Manyas and must have joined the Brusa-Dardanelles
${ }^{1}$ This route was followed by Ibn Batutah (p. 7t). An old Turkisb road from Kutaya through Balat and Balukiser to the Dardanelles is mentioned by Sir Charles Wilson ( $p$. 59): it is probably identical with Ibn Khordadbeh's Kutaya-Abidous route (ed. de Goeje, 78) and the roand from Kutaga to "Troy" between the south and west poinls seen by Jertrandon de li Brocquière on leaving the former city.
${ }^{2}$ Covel, 260: Wheler (p. 225) places it one or two miles from Susuriu. A bridge is also mentioned by De Thevenot (172), Tournefort (11. 487) and Egmont (188).
${ }^{3}$ It is part of the new Kermasti-Susurlu post road: another bridge is in course of construction immediately above Susurla.

- Chishull's route, p. 38. Cf. Tournefort, 1. 463.
road in the Manyas plain; the village of Eski Chatal ("Old Fork") perhaps commemorates the point of junction.

The great road then passed over the high ground just east of Omerkeuil, and so through the pass of Demir Kapu-a noted haunt of highwaymen-down to the plain of Balukiser ${ }^{2}$; the Smyrna route avoided the latter town in favour of the village of Mendoura, where it crossed the Hodja-déré. The bridge of Mendoura ${ }^{3}$ consists of ten plain piers of rubble masonry provided with cut-waters against the stream and bridged by a rough wooden platform: it measures between abutments about 8o metres. There are traces of an older bridge cutting in at an angle on the left bank.

From Mendoura the road crossed the plain of Balukiser and passed over the hills through Courouguculjuk to Gelembe, thence through Magnesia to Smyrna.

This route has naturally lost all its importance since the introduction of steam. Traffic from Balukiser southwards goes to Soma, the nearest point on the rail, while on the northern side Panderma is the port of shipment, not Mihallitch. Mendoura has sunk from a large village of 2600 inhabitants to a squalid hamlet, and Mihallitch is only concerned with the meagre traffic between Panderma and Brusa.

In the days of sailing ships the overland route was, if slow, of more or less certain duration, and in point of safety the sea was no better than the land. There was a regular weekly caravan service between Constantinople and Smyrna in the seventeenth centurys, and a score of Frankish pens have described the route between then and now. The road was well provided with khans,

[^99]though none are constructed on the elaborate scalc of the Seljuk caravanserais of the south. As these buildings are hastening to decay some particulars of them are herc put on record.
(1) Between Ulubad and Susurlu; "ein alter, viel bcsuchter, mit guten Brunnen verschener Khan ${ }^{1}$."
(2) At Susurlu'; the khan at Susurlu is a rectangular building of rough stone about $40 \times 15$ metres, with a hip roof of low pitch supported by king-posts and an claboratc arrangement of struts. It is divided by a central row of pillars of timber and stone (resting on stone bases) into nine bays, of which the four at the northem end are partitioned off by a rough cross wall: on either side are narrow aisles slightly raised above the floor level, and divided off by rows of rough wooden posts. The walls are provided with numerous slit windows alternating with simply-corbelled chimney-breasts of tile or stone. The entrance from the street is in the middle of the eastern side, and is prefaced by a simple square porch flanked by low benches; this porch is domed, and covered with a hip roof. Above the inner door (a low segmental arch with joggled voussoirs) is an Arabic inscription mentioning Hafiz Mustafa Effendi and Bagtche Han.

The smaller and less pretentious khan at Omerkeui is very similar in plan but lacks the porch.
(3) Ruined khan at Demir Kapu; Covel says of it (folio Ms. 260 verso):
"In the lowest bottom of the valleys just over the spring to the left hand stands an old building: it contains two pretty big vaults parallell one to the other with their wall of partition at right angles with a third, all of a bignesse, and doores to pass from one to other: the great door of entrance is in the third, two chimncys in every vault: they count them as common Khanes, and often they prove so for

lchnog. theives, or they may have been made for some other designs."

[^100]This is probably the "Byzantine ruin" mentioned by Prokesch (p. 188). I saw very slight remains of it in 1906 just below the guardhouse of Chinarli at the opening of the Demir Kapu vallcy.
(4) At Mendoura: "a fair capacious Kane where are seven rude porphyry pillars thought to be of Trojan original!"; again "a large and convenient Kane which is more to be noted for its seven large pillars of course porphyry now employed to support the roof of this barbarous edifice but might possibly once stand in some Fabric of antient Troy from whence Mendoura is distant about ten hours." Wheler ${ }^{9}$ is less complimentary, calling the khan " no better than a large Barn with a Soplac or Bank round the Wall of it within...and every eight, or ten foot distance a little chimney...this Khan is held up in the middle by Marble Pillars set confusedly on their Corinthian capitals of very curious Work?" Hadji Khalfa and Covel speak of two khans here. The khan at Mendoura has been destroyed by earthquakes. I only saw (1904) one or two of the "rude pillars" and small remains of the walls.
(5) At Sguimleskeui (between Courougueuljuk and Mendoura) "een groote Chan in het midden door acht groote ronde pilaren ondersteunt": Egmont attributcs it probably on the warrant of an inscription to "Sultan Amurat," perhaps the second of the name (1422-1450).
(6) At Gelembe Luke speaks of two khans: he describes them as (i) "A very strong building of stone with a partition wall through the length of it rebated at the ends that you may go round it, raised by Sultan Aladine. (2) Anothcr hane of meaner Fabrick near the river more frequented by travellers ${ }^{\text {s." }}$.

The scant remains of the second khan are now used as a warehouse. The old khan (Kara Khan) stands almost entirc in the village street. It is entered from the south by an oblong porch, ashlar-faced, dome-vaulted, and measuring about 7.50 m .

[^101]broad by 4 .50 long : the porch communicated with the street by a large slightly-pointed arch, and with the main building by a low segmental-headed doorway with joggled voussoirs: this is recessed in a larger arch of alternating tile and stone. The main hall measures about 26 m . long by 12.50 broad. It is built of rubble with squared quoins and a simply moulded string-course at the roof level : it had a low gable. The interior is divided into two barrel-vaulted aisles by a wall extending nearly from end to end in the axis of the building. Into this are built four pilasters with elaborately moulded capitals which correspond with pilasters in the outer walls: the wall is pierced by a doorway in the middle of the central bay. The half bay at the S . end is curiously vaulted with a small central dome flanked by two oblong quadripartite vaults.

If Luke read the (now vanished) inscription above the inner doorway correctly, it should refer to the Seljuk sultan who died 1301.

The course of the road is at present the crowning difficulty in. Pergamum of Cyzicene topography: the country is difficult and -Cyzleus. insufficiently known, and any attempt at a solution of the problem must be considered as tentative. There is no official modern route for wheeled traffic across the watershed of Ida, the choussée from Adramyt ending at Balia.

The Table lays down the road as follows: Pergamon, 35 Argesis, 30 Phemenio, - Cyzicus.
(1) Argesis is certainly Argiza, the site of which is definitely fixed by Dr Fabricius' inscription ${ }^{1}$ at Balia Bazar on the upper Aesepus.
(2) Phemenio is evidently Poemanenum, and probably at this date the village settlement, which we have identified with Alexa, on the left bank of the lower Tarsius.
(3) Beyond this Galen ${ }^{2}$ mentions a mining village on the road from Pergamum to Cyzicus (440 stades from the latter) called Ergasteria.

The most important mining town in this district is Balia, which is about the right distance from Cyzicus; its mines were

[^102]worked in antiquity ${ }^{1}$. The town lies on the head waters of the Tarsius, here quite a small stream and flowing in a comparatively open valley.

Argiza, however, also corresponds fairly well to the description, and on the evidence of the Table alone I should certainly place Poemanenum at Gunen, since it is obvious that, oncc at Argiza, the road must follow the Aesepus, just as, once arrived at Balia, it would naturally keep near the Tarsius vallcy: this latter is indeed the natural direct route from Pergamum to Cyzicus by way of the pass at Ivrindi; and there is some evidence of its having been adopted.

Two ancient bridges ${ }^{2}$ are marked on Kiepert's map,
(a) below Ivrindi, and
(b) below Balia.

There are castles at
(a) Gumenidjs, and
(b) a few miles below Balia.

We will assume, then, that an ancient road from Pcrgamum to Cyzicus passed through Balia. At the present time there are two chicf lines of traffic between Panderma and Balia',
(1) by Gunen,
(2) by Ilidja.

Of these (1) is preferred by most travellers on account of the comparative comfort of a first stage by waggon and a night in Gunen: the second day's journey of 12-16 hours is made on horscback ${ }^{5}$, cither
(a) by Hodja Bunar, or
(b) by Urchanlar:
the whole journey (Panderma-Balia) can just be made in one long summer day.

[^103]Routc (2) skirts the western shore of lake Manyas, passes through Hadji Pagon into the Kara-déré, crossing the river by ferry or ford according to season, and leaves the valley at Boghazkeui, just before it closes to the gorge: thence by Assar Alan, Ilidja, and Karlar to rejoin the valley above the gorge, and so beside the river to Balia. This road is throughout passable for vchicles, the only difficulties being the ascent from the valley at Boghazkeui and the descent into it at Kaylar. From Hadji Pagon to Balia is reckoned twelve hours, while from Hadji Pagon to Panderma is said to take but four in fine weather.

An equally practicable road to Balukiser, much used by camels returning from Panderma, branches from the Hadji Pagon-Balia road at Assar Alan (three hours from Hadji Pagon), passes through Shamly and Dudar Chiftlik and reaches Balukiser in twelve hours from Hadji Pagon ${ }^{1}$.

Evidence of an old route along these lincs is to be found in the ruinous Byzantine castle at Assar Alan, and the series of Turkish stone bridges, resting very probably on earlier foundations, over the streams of the Manyas plain: The road also connects the ancient sites at Alexa, Assar Alan and Ilidja

The road on which Argiza stood was probably the route from Cyzicus to Adramyttium, by way of the Aesepus valley, which road survives in the "constantly used " track from Edremit through Bazar Kcui by way of the lower Aesepus. Even waiving the Poemanenum difficulty we are unable to combine the remains of the road about Balia with Argiza, the country between the two rivers being at this point almost impassable4. We can only conclude that Argiza was connected with the Pergamon road by a branch westward before Balia*.

[^104]The journeys of Aristides may most appropriately be disArtatides. cussed in the section devoted to roads: the starting point of such a discussion is naturally the location of the orator's Mysian estates, whence his pilgrimages were made.

The whereabouts of two of these can be determined with His entates. some certainty: these are
(I) His ancestral home, which was
(a) near the temple of Zeus Olympius (1. 499), and
(b) since he passed it on his way from the south to sacrifice on the top of the hill called Atys (1. 537), on the south sidc of this hill.
(2) An estate called Laneum, also south of the hill of Atys (I. 499) and near the temple of Zeus (I. 532). It was distinct from (I) since its recent purchase is specially mentioned (I. 532).

We may well assume that these two cstates are the adjacent properties on a river mentioned in 1. 546-7.

Further, (a) they were close to Hadrianutherae, which lay on Aristides' routc south: (b) the road thither was liable to flood (1. 458), and probably, therefore, lay across the plain.

So much for the estates: we now turn to the journeys.
(1) Aristides' journey to Cyzicus ( 55 miles) is made in the Route to
Cyzicus. following stages (1. 537): Cyzicus.
(a) to a village with hot springs, 35 ;
(b) to a village " by the lake" (of Manyas), 5.
(c) to Cyzicus, 15.

The hot springs, thereforc, were tiventy miles from Cyzicus and five from the lake: the only springs known to me satisfying these conditions ${ }^{1}$ are those just south of the crossing of the

[^105]Kara-déré on the Panderma chaussée (near Ilidja Kcui), marked Hammam in Kiepert's map ${ }^{1}$.

I visited these springs in 1904, and found two ruinous old Turkish bath-houses a few hundred yards apart and a quarter of a mile from the road. They are plain square buildings with vaulted porches, constructed of squared stones with tile joints, and roofed with brick domes resting on octagonal drums. The furthest from the road is still in use, though very dirty: it is supplied by springs of very hot water which bubbles up also outside near the entrance: near by arc remains of rubble foundations.

From the position of this spring it is apparent that Aristides' normal route to Cyzicus lay down the Macestus valley road.
the ante-chamber; by the siream, on the iefl bank of whicb the bath stands, are very extensive and massive rubhle substructures. The bath is said to be much frequented in May, and a rough shanty has been construcled for bathers beside the bath house.
(b) Aesepus valley:
(1) Gunen (see p. 103, wrongly placed by Cuinet).
(2) Khydyrlar (J.K.S. xx1. 335).
(c) Karadere valley:
(t) Dagh Ilidja, with ancient remains. Cf. Inscr. III. 25.
(a) Spring at Balia, destroyed by mining operations.
(d) Macestus valley district:
(i) Singherli, near Manyas This spring is mentioned by Texier vaguely in Asie Minenre, $16_{4}$, as "a la Jatitude du lac Manyas," and in Univo. Pitr. as "sur la route d'Edrenos (Hadrianutherae?) \& Cyzique," and is presumaly tbe one mentioned and described above.
(2) Omerkeui (Munro, 164), with Byzanline remains.
(3) Between Yildiz and Sultan Chair: ancient remains (cf. Munro, 260).
(4) Cheikler, near Gelxsoun (tbe tepid spring near Esheyler, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ hours west of K"ebsud?).
(3) Yilanlar, near Yurukova, 30 k . from Balukiser.
(6) Near Eftele; the bath-house is an oblong rubble building about a mile from the village, roofed with two domes, and having an apse at the end opposite the door to accormmodate the bathers' recessed seat. At the time of any visit the basin was flooded, as it commonly is when the river is bigb: consequently the waler was cold and I could not examine the basing for marble. This spring is not mentioned by Cuinet. I visited it in 1906.
(7) Kirat, uahik of Avunia (east of Ivriddi on R. Kiepert's map ?).
(8) At Hissar near Bigaditch.
(e) Artaki. On the island of Kyra Panagin
${ }^{2}$ Mentioned also by Mordunann (Ausiard 1855, 538).

A (liberal) 35 miles south from the Hammam brings us to the neighbourhood of Mendoura, which lies
(1) on a river,
(2) on the south side of a hill (Yilanly Dagh ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) and
(3) in the plain of Balukiser.

Evidence points, then, to Mendoura or thereabouts as the position of these two properties.

We have next to deal with the scanty details of three other Other
journeys. journeys:
(2) To "the springs" and back, one day's journey of 30 miles (1. 489-90).
(3) From Cyzicus to a villa, evidently a third property, 50 miles: whence next day to Laneum (1. 538).
(4) From the temple of Zeus, two days' journey to the hot springs on the Aesepus (presumably at Gunen) by Poemancnum (I. 502-3). The only stage recorded is (from a point unknown) to Poemanenum, a long half day of twenty miles: from Pocmanenum (Alexa) to Gunen is only about fifteen miles, and easy going, so that the first day's journey is evidently omitted. The natural route from the Balukiser plain to the Kara-déré is by the cross-road passing Shamly and joining the main Pcrga-mum-Cyzicus road at or near Hissar Alan. "Twenty miles from Poemanenum" gives us a spot near Kiepcrt's "Dudar Chiftlik" as the starting place of Aristides' sccond day: this is also fifty miles from Cyzicus and fifteen from Ilidja, which is a hot spring known and used in antiquity, and apparently dedicated to Zeus Soter ${ }^{2}$.

If, then, we assume that Aristides' villa was near Dudar

A third pro. perty. Chiftlik-the fact that there is still a chiftlik on this site ${ }^{3}$ removes all inhcrent improbability-we shall understand his calculating his journey thence to Poemanenum

[^106]without troubling to mention the first stage between his two homes, a distance which he also omits in describing his journcy from Cyzicus by way of the villa to Laneum (1. 538). This villa is described again in I. 499, as "fairly near" the Aesepus springs. However risky the argument, we shall by this assumption obtain a consistent hypothesis for Aristides' journeys, agreeing with what is known of the road-system.

## PART II

## HISTORY.

## CHAPTER XIV.

POPULATION.
The population of the southern shore of the Propontis has always been of a mixed character: owing to its natural resourccs, especially suited for an agricultural folk, and its position separated from Europe by only a few miles of sea, the country has attracted, and attracts to-day, immigrants from many parts of both continents; so that the earliest possessors whose names have come down to us, if we except the purely fabulous giants-a creation naturally suggested by the fantastic outlines of a volcanic country -have the reputation of being settlers from elsewherc.

Thus the Doliones of the country about Cyzicus were Thessalian Pelasgians; the Mysians, from whom the grcater part of the country under consideration took its name, were reputed of Thracian descent, though they had already in the heroic agc of the Telephus myth penetrated to the Caicus valley: the Phrygians, whose settlements were among those of the Mysians, and the Bithynians of the country beyond the Rhyndacus, were again Thracians, and the Greeks were comparatively new-comers when they planted their great colonies in the eighth century B.C. The Roman dominion, opening up the world by its road system, and thus cncouraging intercommunication and travcl, added to the confusion of races not only Latin blood, but the mixed stock of its numerous slave and freedman class.

Constantine Porphyrogenitus in his account of the themes
still recognises Mysians, Phrygians and Graeci in this portion of the Obsequian, and the crusading writers shew that Armenians were already in the Troad, and Italians on the coast of the Propontis (noticeably at Pegae) ${ }^{3}$, by the opening of the thirteenth century. The rule of Islam has brought still more heterogeneous clements together; to-day within a few hours of Cyzicus are settled Turks, Greeks, Jews, Armenians, Circassians, Rumelians, Macedonian and Bulgarian Christians, Cossacks and Tartars; newly settled Yuruks may be found in the upland villages, and wholly-nomad Gypsies are always to be seen on the roads.

Of the earliest inhabitants-the Pclasgian Doliones-we Dollones. know little or nothing, save that they were generally regarded as a Thessalian tribe which immigrated under pressure of Acolian invasion to the Hellespont ${ }^{2}$. One of their settlements was founded by Cyzicus (or his father) on the south shore of the then island and took his name. Another account seems to have reckoned them anong the Mysians of the Olympus country ${ }^{3}$.

The Dolionis or Dolionia is defined by Strabo' as extending from the Aesepus to the Rhyndacus and the lake of Dascylium, bcyond which was the country of the Mygdones. Alexander Aetolus" defines it as "the country about Cyzicus as you go to Miletupolis." Cyzicus was included in it and Stephanus mentions a town of Scyrmus in the Dolionid: it was probably the Doliones who founded the Pelasgian colonies of Placia, Scylace, and Besbicus. We have record also of a tribe called Macries ${ }^{8}$ who were Pclasgian neighbours of the Doliones claiming Euboean origin?. Strabo says that the Doliones were not to be distinguished from the Mysians, Bithynians and Phrygians, and were probably of Thracian descent. All these were evidently village folk, and never attained a high degree of civilisation.

[^107]The Mysians ${ }^{1}$ again were commonly regarded as northern Myulana. immigrants and the Mysian Olympus was pointed out as their earliest home in Asia. Homer ${ }^{2}$ certainly associates them with the Thracians, while Herodotus ${ }^{3}$ represents the southern Mysians who shared the Carian temple as kinsmen of the Lydians and Carians; which shews at least that they early passed into southern Asia Minor and had religious ideas in common with the Asiatics. Their language was a mixture of Lydian and Phrygian'. We are concerned, however, only with that portion of their territory which lay along the southern shore of the Propontis (from Bithynia to the Aesepus) to quote Strabo's ${ }^{5}$ limits, and was practically, therefore, co-extensive with the Dolionid. Here, too, they were so intermingled with the Phrygians that "the boundaries of the Mysians and Phrygians" had become a proverb". The cause of the confusion was, as Strabo says, that they were still in a semi-nomadic state as well, probably, as immigrants of various dates. They would probably have no definite territory but rather scattered allotments, as the Rumelians and Circassians have to-day, in the various districts where the land lay open to them.

The vagueness extends also to the naming of the different parts of the country under discussion. Thus Phrygia Epictetus, though generally to be referred rather to the Bithynian end of the Propontis ${ }^{7}$, is occasionally identified with Lesser (Hellespontine) Phrygia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ or includes it ${ }^{\text { }}$; while Hellespontine Phrygia may be extended to include the Troad ${ }^{10}$ and the Olympene ${ }^{22}$.

[^108]The co-extensive lesser Mysia ${ }^{3}$ (as opposed to the Mysia of the Caicus valley) is called indiffcrently Hellespontine ${ }^{2}$ or Olympene ${ }^{2}$.

West of the Aesepus, which is generally reckoned the boundary of the Troad, we are in the territory of the old Trojan civilisation; the $\bar{e} u \kappa \tau i \mu e \nu=\nu \pi \tau о \lambda i \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$ of Zelcia is the first of the wallicd burgs. Here, too, we are on the outskirts of the reputed Aeolian colonization: it was said" that Archclaus with his followers had prospected first in the Rhyndacus country, and Gras about the Granicus, to which he gave its name: he then crosscd with the greater part of his force to Lesbos. There is no tangible cvidencc to be found of an Acolian settlement in cither place: the idea may have been suggested by the similarity of certain names, apparcntly native, but pcculiar to the Cyzicus district and to the Aeolid ${ }^{5}$.

So much for the ancient inhabitants. Of the modern

## Modert:

(i) Greeke. population the Greeks, generally speaking, occupy the islands and coast, though small trading communities are settled in all the considerable towns.

In contradistinction to these there is a considerable inland area about Brusa with a Greek village-population; this population is divided into Turkish- and Grcek-speaking villages", the former being reputed the oldest. The Grcek-speaking communities in many cases preserve traditions of their immigration from Europe: they seem to be settlements dating from early Turkish times devised to reinforce the depleted population of the district after the long wars. In many cases they appear to have been introduced as serf or mettayer populations on imperial or other estates, but their history depends as a rule on oral tradition alone. That

[^109]of Kouvouklia is typical and the obtainable information at least coherent.

Kouvouklia is a very large village about ten miles west of Brusa containing 430 families, all Greek and Greek-speaking : it is the site of a Byzantine castle mentioned once by Pachymeres ${ }^{2}$ and now entircly destroyed. The villagers are said to be the descendants of Peloponnesian immigrants settled in the time of Sultan Suleiman the Magnificent ( 1520 -66) as serfs on the lands of the local derebey Karadja Oghlu. The serfs gradually acquired land, and at the death of the last derebey (about sixty years ago²) without a direct heir were left in possession after a long lawsuit, the lands of the derebey being not mulk or freehold but vakouf or mosque-property let out ${ }^{3}$. The mosque in the case of Kouvouklia is that of Khudavendkiar at Brusa, to which the villagers still pay 960 kilés of wheat yearly'.

West of Brusa the Greek village of Yalichiftlik claims a similar origin. It is said to be the youngest of the settlements and to have been founded by prisoners taken after Orloff's expedition: the last is extremely doubtful, but the change from farm (chiftlik) to village has evidently come about as in the other places. According to some accounts the chiftlik was an Imperial property, possibly the grand palais qui etait a Bajazet mentioned by Boucicaut in $1399^{\text {s }}$.
${ }^{1}$ Pachy. vil. 9, p. 580 (1308).
: The Karadja Oghlu are mentioned as a powerful Brusa family in the early 19th century by von Hammer (Reise nach Brussa, p. t).
s The Turkish law regarding vahouf allows the holding of such lands at a nominal rent only so long as the lessee's family continues direct within ecrtain degrees: these are indicated in Young's Corps de droit Olloman, I. 318, xix.

- These details were supplied me by M. P. Papadopoulos, a native of the village. He tells me the documents recording the setelement are preserved in the library of Bayezid II.'s mosque at Constantinople; further that in the compilation of a vocabulary of the dialect he has found the dialect of Gortynia (Aresdia) remarksbly like that of his native place. Some of the specimen words he gave me, however $(x \sim \hat{\omega}=d o, x i \mu \nu \omega$
 Peloponnesian tradition, while others (e.g. xplve, кpirspoon for кoußerridjw, коußivтa) are common throughout the district. The strongly-marked pronunciation of k before issounds as $c h$ is usual in the district and, I believe, not known in Peloponnese outside Maina.
${ }^{5}$ Ed. Buchon §824, cf. Delaville le Roulx, La Frame en Orient az XIV. sizcle, p. 370 and above p. 55.

The large village of Demirdesh one hour north of Brusa, though not strictly within our area, is noteworthy as a similar foundation. The villagers say they were inported to work the lands of a certain Demir-Tash, pasha of Brusa and vizir. These lands also were originally vakouf but have long become mulk. The Demirdesiotes have various traditions as to their origin; Kleonymos says they are from various parts of Greece chiefly Peloponnese? ${ }^{\text {. }}$ I was told by the schoolmaster (1907) that they were originally from Agrapha, their numbers being added to by a later influx of Epirotes; the oldest inhabitant affirmed that they were Mainotes from the Sparta neighbourhood and gave the date of the settlement as 380 years ago. The dialect is conspicuous in the district, and confirms the north-Greek rather than the Peloponnesian tradition. If, as is probable, Demirtash Pasha is the historical Timourtash, vizir of Murad I., the village may be descended from captives of his various campaigns in Macedonia and Peloponnese? ${ }^{2}$.

Two further groups of villages west of Brusa come under the same category, the Agraphiotika and the Pistika. Of the former there are three or four villages about the Nilufer*. I have heard little of them except that their women still wear skirts while all their neighbours wear shalvars. They are as their name implies from the Agrapha district of Thessaly; their dialect is said to be much corrupted by Turkish.

The Pistika ( $\tau$ à Mıのтıкà $\chi$ (ipıa) are nine in number and lie between Brusa and Mihallitch; the inhabitants claim Mainote origin but have little idea where Maina is. The names of the villages with their present populations ${ }^{4}$ are:

## Bashkeui or Boudyapáto،

Karajobba or X $\omega p$ oûठa

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 150 \text { houses } \\
& 50 \%
\end{aligned}
$$


${ }^{1}$ See Hammer-Heller 1.268, 1. 160. He campaigned in Macedonia (ib. 249) and in 1397 took Argos (is. 516) taking 30,000 prisoners to Asia (Chron, Broue): in 1385 he carried of many prisoners from the district of Arta (Chronicic of fannina in Leake, N.G. IV. 558).
${ }^{3}$ Kleonymos (p. 98) gives Tchambaz, Tchamba, Tcheshneir (Treverip) and Akchebounar. The schoolmaster at Tchatal gave me Tchambaz ( 30 houses), Tchamlidja ( 520 ) and Tchesbneir ( 90 ) only.

- From the schoolmaster at Tehatal.

| Tchatal-aghil or Kevatavtıyáto | 60 | houses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 120 | " |
|  | 150 |  |
| Karakodja or Kúdıa | 200 |  |
| Subashi or Пe入aঠárol | 150 | * |
| Serian or ミ̇pırırivun | 50 |  |
| Kermikir or Прıдıкйрьоу | 40 |  |

Of these the first five lie about the Brusa road not far from Apollonia, the remaining four nearer Mihallitch. They are subject ecclesiastically to the bishop of Nicomedia: whose representative resides at Aboulliond.

Constantinati is mentioned already in 1577 by Gerlach ${ }^{3}$, the commune of nine villages first by Covel ${ }^{\text {dust }}$ a hundred years later: as to their origin he was informed by the landlord at Tchatal that "at the first conquest of these places by the Turke nine villages all hereabouts were made a Beghiluck [Beylik] to provide cattle and sheep for the Seraglio, and they were under the $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{r}}$ immediate protection, yet they all pay haratch. They were ordered to wear a particular sort of hat or cap and none were to molest them : they enjoy their privilege much still but want the Emperour's presence and the court."

The nine villages are barely mentioned by Turner at the beginning of the 19th centurys, but MacFarlane in $1847^{\circ}$ devotes a good deal of attention to tbern. He first heard of thern through a Greek pedlar who told him the original villages had been settled each by a Mainote rebel, transported to Constantinople for execution and there pardoned by the good

[^110]offices of the Sultan's mother ${ }^{1}$, who gave each family 200 sheep from which they paid a yearly tribute in lambs or money. The settlement is dated to the reign of "the unfortunate Sultan Selim [1787-1807] about the beginning of the present century," which is of course impossible?

The second account he had from a priest at Bashkeui who said the Pistikoi were Mainotes from the Sparta district: the original families werc transported under Sultan Achmet ${ }^{3}$ about 150 years ago: thcy still refused to intermarry except with their own people, and derived the name $\Pi_{\imath \sigma \tau \iota k o s ~ f r o m ~}^{\text {ritatos }}$ (i.e. Christian). MacFarlane himself remarks on their skill in agriculture, sedate manncrs, and the wearing of petticoats by their women.

Kleonymos and Pappadopoulos write of the Pistika in $1867^{4}$ as follows:
"Pistikos is the name given by the inhabitants of Maina in the Peloponncse to shepherds. These villages were so called on account of the shepherds who about three centuries ago migrated into the district of the Rhyndacus and Apollonia. These people herded the flocks of a Turkish bey, and even now they call the district T$\zeta_{0} \beta \dot{a} y-\kappa \eta \rho i^{5}$. The immigration from Peloponnesus is attested not only by the elder men among them, but also by their customs and dialect. As time went on they grew numerous and founded villages, paying taxes to succcssive Beys, till the time of the lamented Sultan Mahmoud, since which they have been recognised as Turkish subjects."

To the question of date we shall return. Both MacFarlanc's dates are proved wrong by Gerlach and Covel's references, and the correct one lies between Covel and Kleonymos.

The Mainote origin of the Pistika is hard to substantiatc. The name, though rightly interpreted by Kleonymos as shepherd,

[^111]is far from being an exclusively Mainote word ${ }^{3}$. The language seems very corrupt, the only song I was able to collect was nearly half Turkish, and the Mainote songs which Tozer found current both at Gythion and in the Corsican Mainote colonics ${ }^{2}$ were unknown. The characteristic Mainotc chfor $\kappa^{3}$ does not occur, which is the more remarkable as it is common in the surrounding villages. The loud voice characteristic of Maina was conspicuously absent and the people as MacFarlane remarked are more "dour" than the average Greck.

Further the names of the villages are by no means characteristic of Maina, and point rather to North Greece: -íral is a common termination in Suli ${ }^{4}$ and -áтa in Kephallenia, where almost exclusively occurs the family name in -átos ${ }^{8}$.

The modern costume gives us no help, being but a very slight variation of that worn by neighbouring villages-dark blue or brown braided jacket and baggy knee breeches, broad red belt, coarse white stockings and sandals: the villagers are recognisable by their physique and often distinguished by a black rag worn round the fez: the women wear shalvars even on state occasions, and marriage outside the nine villages is not unusual. A further argument against the Mainote origin is to be found in the custom of "churching" women the second Sunday (fifteen days) after marriage, not the first as is the custom in this district and in Maina itself.

I believe the supposed Mainote descent based on an ignorant use of the word Roumania. In a version of the tradition obtained

[^112]for me by Mr E. Gilbcrtson, H.M.'s vice-consul at Brusa, who knows the people wcll, the founders of the colony were said to be brigands from Roumania apprehended in the neighbourhood of Brusa. The modern Rommania is of course not necessarily implied; the Turkish Rumili is meant. The confusion with Maina, and the intrusion of the name of Sparta, a new town and not really in the Mainote district, are probably subsequent to Orloff's expedition if not to the Revolution. The probabilities are that the villages we have been discussing were all founded under the early Sultans to replace the losses suffercd by the country in the later Byzantine period: a settled and well-tilled countryside was especially necessary when the court was at Brusa. Moreover the transference of unruly populations was a policy of the early Sultans ${ }^{3}$.

Other rcputed immigrant Greek villages are Kurshunlu (Kara-dagh) said to be partly Macedonian (a few families still speak a Bulgarian dialect) and partly from Aivali (refugees of 1821 ?), and Vatica or Musatcha"-presumably with the similarly isolated Hautcha-Chavutzi-on the Aesepus. These are said by M. Philendas, himsclf a native of their market-town Artaki, and by Professor M. Constantinides to be colonists from the Laconian Vatica (now Neapolis) and to speak the Tzakonian dialect. If the latter statement is true the villages must be of considcrable age (a church at Chavutzi bears the date 1675) since Tzakonian has long ceased to be spoken so far south as Vatica?. Others, however, have told me that they speak "the dialect of Hydra" which implies Albanian descent. "Musatcha" seems indecd to be the Albanian name for a marshy plain such as the village actually occupies, and St Blancard's note further strengthens this view.

[^113]The Armenians are the bankers, substantial merchants and

## (a) Armentans.

 shopkeepers in the towns, and have, so far as I know, only one distinct village-Ermeni-kcui in the Cyzicene peninsula. It is first mentioned by Prokesch (1831) and not marked in Pococke's map. The Armonian colony in Panderma is partly at least from Smyrna; though tradition has it that the greatcr part is of Gipsy (Tchengen) origin. Armenians are mentioned in the Troad by the chroniclers of Barbarossa's expcdition.The Macedonian ${ }^{1}$ and Christian (Greek-speaking) Bulgar ${ }^{2}$
(s) Macedontans and Bulgarians. settlements are said to be I 50 years old ${ }^{3}$; the latter retain their picturesque national dress. Their women, who are remarkable for their fine figures and free carriage, still wear embroidered petticoats, not shalivars, to the great scandal of the Turks. The Pomak villages date from the war of 1878.

The Cossack colonies on the lake of Manyas", of which MacFarlane gives a long and interesting account, are about a hundred years old. They are themselves the offshoot of a colony on the Danube, retain their native (Russian) language and dress, and are Christians by religion.

The Rumelians (Muhajirs') and Circassians, who constitute
(5) Rumetiana. (6) Clicasstans. (7) Atbanians. the roughest and least civilised element, are yearly increasing: they are located either in separate villages or in outlying quarters of towns. The Albanian (Gheg) shepherds are settled about Mihallitch in
${ }^{1}$ Yappaji keni, Yeni keui in the Cyzicene peninsula, Hadji Pagon on the Kara-déré.

- Hodja Bunar, Yeni Keui on the Kam-déré: at the former a few familics are said still to use a Bulgarian dialect.
${ }^{3}$ This is probably a mere griess. Villages of "unbelievers" in the district of Manyas are mentioned in the Kamun-nameh given by Hammer, Ofh. Staatserff. 1. 281 .
- In the plains of Bigha and Gunen: they are Mohammedan Bulgars.
${ }^{5}$ The lake at Sardis is also fished by Cossacks.
${ }^{6}$ Hamilion dales the immigration afier the Russian capture of Ismail (1790 or 1813?), Tumer in 1810, MaeFarlane 39 years before his visit, i.s. 1808 , a second eolony having come in 1833 ( p .480 ).

T The word in itself signifies merely inmigrants, but is applied especially to the Rumelians. The town-dwelling Muhajirs form a large proportion of the local araba-drivers.
force, and employed elsewhere on sheep farms ${ }^{1}$. There are traces also of an older immigration of Christian Albanians, especially in the islands. Palerne ( 1600 ) mentions them in Halone, and Covel says that the whole of the island of Marmora except its chief town was peopled by them. This is borne out by Buondelmonti's account of the island: in his time there was only one town (Marmara) in the island, the rest being waste, while Kalolimno, where in Covel's day at least there was a village 'A $\beta \beta a v i \tau 0 \chi \omega$ ' $\rho$, is described as without population. The village of the same name near Mudania is however no earlier than the eighteenth century*.

The following figures (from Cuinct) give some idea of the distribution of the races forming the population, though the Musulman element must have increased disproportionately lately owing to immigration:

| Cazas | Moham. | Grcek | Arm. | Bulg. | Jows | Foreignerss and various |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihallitch | +3,953 | 16,051 | 3,218 | - | 73. | 149 |
| Kernisati | 36,429 | 1,148* | $887^{\circ}$ | - | $80{ }^{*}$ |  |
| Ralukiser | 103,624 | 2,351 | 1,94 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | ${ }^{1577}{ }^{+}$ | - | 36* |
| Erdek | 5,418 | 54.467 | 706 | - | 4927 | 196 |
| Gunen | 25,001 | 5,854 | 13 | 15 | + |  |
| Panclerma | 50,59+ | 5.914 . | 5,860 | - | - | ,180 |
| Bipaditch | 12,771 | $34^{\circ}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Bigha | 40,749 | 3.745 | 241 | - | -- | - |

- All in the chief town.
$\dagger$ Musulman refugces (Muhadjirs).
* Chielly in the village of Marmara.
\$ The term inciudes of course many natives who have foreign paspports for convenience.

[^114]
## CHAPTER XV.

THE ARGONAUTIC LEGEND.
The foundation of the city by the eponymous King Cyzicus and his Thessalian followers is dated by the Clironicon Paschale ${ }^{2}$ "thirty-four years after the foundation of Ilium." In spite of this traditional date and the attempts, which we shall notice in passing, to bring the history of Cyzicus into the Trojan cycle, neither the town of Cyzicus nor the Doliones appear as Trojan allies in Homer. King Cyzicus is however the central figure in an episode of the Argonautic expedition. Of this episode we have no very ancient account, that of Apollonius ${ }^{2}$ being the oldest and the most valuable. He drew, like his scholiasts, on earlier writers, notably on Deiochus of Proconnesus ( $\pi \epsilon \rho i$
 important to remark that both authorities are local, which accounts for Apollonius' detailed topography, a feature not found in the later authors: we may also rely on the inverse application of his aetiological explanations to throw some light on the Cyzicene archaeology and topography of Hellenistic times.

[^115]Apollonius calls the Kapu Dagh an island ${ }^{2}$ yet twice refers to an isthmus ${ }^{2}$, by which he probably means the long spit of land stretching towards the shore wherc the causeway was afterwards to be made", for the Argonauts evidently sailcd through the channel. Between the isthmus and the promontory of S. Simeon (the áxтai á $\mu \phi(\delta \nu \mu 0 t$ of the poet) lay the harbour and town of Cyzicus.

On the Arctonnesus dwelt two races in harmony, on the mountains the monstrous six-handed giants, on the isthmus and the plain the Doliones rulcd by their King Cyzicus, son of Aeneus and Aenete daughter of Eusorus, King of Thrace. The Argo first touchcd at the western side of the island, where by the Artacian spring they left their anchor stone ${ }^{5}$. Cyzicus and his folk welcomed them and bade them moor their ship in the harbour of the city, Chytus, where they built an altar and sacrificed to Apollo". Food was set before them by Clcitc, the newly-married wife of Cyzicus, who is represented as the daughter of Mcrops of Percote, a Homeric hero whose sons ruled in Adrasteia and fought in the Trojan war?. They then ascended Dindymon, "by the way called Jasonian to this day," leaving the Argo drawn up on the beach in charge of Heracles. An isolated episode follows, of no value to the story, but perhaps accounting for natural features in the harbour of Cyzicus, to the effect that in the heroes' abscnce the giants came and tried

[^116]to block the mouth of the harbour with stones, but Heracles slew them with his arrows.

The heroes on their return put to sea with a fair wind: but in the night it changed and they were unwittingly carried back to the island, but naturally to the eastern side: there is no mention of Artaki or of Chytus, only of a rock callcd Sacredpossibly the point beyond Yeni Keui, where there is a small landing-place-to which they moored. The Doliones, taking them for their neighbours, the Malrics ${ }^{1}$, attacked them, and the Argonauts in the dark slew Cyzicus ${ }^{2}$ and scveral of his chiefs. The mistake was discovered at dawn : the Argonauts mourned with the Doliones, instituted games in Cyzicus' honour, and built him a tumulus "on the Leimonian plain"-perhaps the tumulus just south of the road from Panderma to Aidinjik ${ }^{3}$.

Cleite in her grief hanged herself4, and from her tears the nymphs made a spring, afterwards called Cleite, after her-not, I think, the stream so called by Perrot, which rises far out of the city, above Yappaji-keui: streams, too, are almost invariably personified as males. Cleite may have been identical with the Fons Cupidinis of Pliny ${ }^{5}$, which, being a reputed cure for love, is appropriately associated with a love tragedy.

For twelve days after the Argonants were wind-bound, till Mopsus by his augury ${ }^{\text {d }}$ forctold that they must appease the

[^117]Great Mother: they then loosed from the Sacred Rock and rowed to the Thracian harbour, whence they ascended the mountain. Argos carved the image ( $\beta$ р́'тas) of the goddess and set it up on a hill', while the herocs called on Mother Dindymene and Titias and Cyllenus with her, and beat their swords upon their shields ${ }^{z}$ to drown the ill-omened wailing for Cyzicus in the town below. Dindymene as a sign that her anger was appeased made a spring (afterwards called Jasonian) come forth from the ground, and sent them a favouring wind.

Conon's account' is coloured by the politics of Hellenistic Grecee. Cyzicus, here a son of Apollo, was driven with his people from his Thessalian home by Aeolians. In Asia he contracted a politic marriage with Cleite, daughter of Merops, king of the Rhyndacus country; when the Argonauts landed, his people set on them as soon as thcy knew the ship was from Thessaly, and Cyzicus, attempting to stop the battle, was slain by Jasont. Therc is no mention of Cybele. Cyzicus leaving no heir, the government passed to an aristocratic oligarchy, who were evicted by the Tyrrhenians, and these in turn by the Milesians.

The account of Valcrius Flaccus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ is thoroughly romanized and has no local colour. The story is briefly-The Argonauts are welcomed by Cyzicus and Cleite, with Vergilian rhetoric and properties, and entertained for three days; after which they set sail. Cyzicus incurs the anger of Rhea, by slaying one of her lions, a piece of stage machinery regularly employed for this purpose, and convenient as justifying the death of Cyzicus. Meanwbile the Argonauts set sail, and are driven back to the island; the Cyzicenes, who take them for Pelasgian enemies, attack and are slain in large numbers before the mistake is discovered. Cyzicus himself is killed by Jason, and Cleite bewails him in the words of Andromache. Cyzicus is awarded a sumptuous funeral and the Argonauts give themselves up to

[^118]grief till, on the advice of Mopsus, the "ignota numina divum" (the gods of the underworld) are appeased by the sacrifice of two black ewes and a lustramen is performed on the Aesepus, whither Jason apparently walks from Cyzicus.

In the account of the pscudo-Orpheus (4th c. A.D.?) the circumstances of the death of Cyzicus are again slightly dificrent, and the construction is clumsy. The Argonauts land, dedicate the anchor-stonc to Athena, and are welcomed by Cyzicus: the mountain folk, who are six-handed monsters like the Cyclopes and giants, attack the Argo by night ; the hcroes beat them off with great slaughter-apparently a fusion of the Heracles' adventure of Apollonius, with the fight of the Pelasgians: Cyzicus, for an uncxplained reason, is slain among the Giants by Heracles? The Argonauts then put to sea, but Rhea will not let them go. Athena appears to Tiphys and explains: at her command they propitiate the ghost, and bury the body in a slab-grave under a tumulus, while Argos carves the image and builds a stone temple. Rlea sends a fair wind, they give thanks to her as Пeiouarin, and set forth.

For Codrenus", the king of the Doliones is the "toparch of the Hellespont," nor is there any subterfuge about his death. He opposes the Argonauts in a sea-fight, and is killed. The town, characteristically described as the "metropolis of the Hellespont," is taken by the heroes. What little epic incident remains-the discovery of the Argonauts' kinship with the deadman, and the consequent building of the temple and enquiry of Apollo as to its dedication, merely leads up to the oracle of the latter given at the Pythia Therma-an elaborate prophecy of the birth of Christ and the redemption of mankind. The temple is to belong to the Virgin Mother of God; Jason (not unnaturally) dedicates it to the Mother of the Gods, writing the oracle over the lintel of the door: "but afterwards in the time of the emperor Zeno the name was changed and the house after the holy Mother of God."

The traditional chronology of this early period, though naturally fanciful, is interesting as showing the supposed rclative

> 2 Orphica, 490-823.
> ${ }^{2}$ irg B., also in Joh. Malal. 1v. 94, Johannes Antioch. fr. I5.
antiquity of Troy and Cyzicus, and in connection with the later attempts to join the two cycles of legend. The first foundation by King Cyzicus is placed in the year of the world 4152 , thirtyfour years after the foundation of Troy', and threc ${ }^{2}$, four ${ }^{2}$, or thirty-four ${ }^{4}$ years before the Argonautic expedition; further, despite Cyzicus' marriage with Cleite, whose brothers fought in the Trojan war, the fall of llium is computed no less than ninety-five years after the foundation of Cyzicus?
${ }^{1}$ Chron. Pasch. 148 B. ${ }^{1}$ Some Mss. of Eusebids (ed. Schoene 11. 45).
${ }^{1}$ Hieron. (Eusebius, Schoene 13. 47). These two dates are more in accordance with the local legend which regards Cyzicus as a young newly-married man.

4 Eusebius 11. 46. Eusebius 15. 52.

## CHAPTER XVI.

## THE MILESIAN COLONY.

FOR the Milesian foundation the date 756 is gencrally accepted as at least approximately correct ${ }^{1}$. To Ol. 6, 3 is attributed the maritime supremacy of the Milesians (implying a successful trade-war with the Phoenicians) and the colonization of Naucratis. Four years after planting their opposition colony in Egypt, i.e. in 75 I B.C., they turned to the Hcllespont, and, ousting their rivals (the "Tyrrhenians" of Conon?), planted colonies at Cyzicus and Proconnesus on their way to the Euxine. The year, according to Eusebius, is the 29th of the Lydian Ardys, and the third of Romulus. The colony was as usual directed by an oracle of Apollo, which predicted in no measured terms its future prosperity ${ }^{\text {. }}$

A second colonization is recorded in $675^{3}$, about the period of extreme Lydian expansion. The Lydian cmpire then extended certainly to the Hellespont, and has left traccs in the name Dascylium, and perlaps also Sidene and Zelcia. This second date corresponds also to that period of development characterized in many of the Greek states by the rise of oligarchies, succeeded gencrally by tyranmies. In most of these states the political conditions bred discontent and stimulated emigration: at Corinth the rulc of the Bacchiadae and Cypselus is a conspicuous instance, and, as we know that there was a tyrant at Miletus

[^119]contemporary with Cypselus², we may assume that the Ionian city had developed in the same way. The date is also well within the chronological limits of Milesian colonizing activity in this direction. We need thus have no hesitation in rejecting Joannes Lydus' ${ }^{3}$ suggestion of a Megarian colonization of Cyzicus, of which we find no trace in the language or institutions of the city.

The Lydian monarchy collapsed in the middle of the sixth century, and the Persian empire took its place, without greatly changing the status of the semi-dependent Greek colonies. The Persian, like most oriental administrations, admitted readily of the establishment of local "tyrants" responsible only to the central government, and, save for the matter of tribute, independent: under Cyrus, a Cyzicene Pytharchus, not content with the seven cities granted him by his royal master, made an armed attempt on the liberty of his native city ${ }^{3}$. The Cyzicenes resisted him with spirit and beat him off, but in the succeeding reign we find tyrants of Cyzicus (Aristagoras), and of Proconnesus (Metrodorus), taking part, with their colleagues from the other cities of the Propontis, in the Thracian campaign of Darius؛. The latter, or his lieutenant, seems to have been a harder master than Cyrus ${ }^{5}$. Not only did he exact the last penny of his tributc, but the fairest maidens of Cyzicus were selected for a present to his daughtere.

[^120]
## CHAPTER XVII.

## RELATIONS WITH PERSIA AND GREECE, 502-362.

In the Ionian revolt the city, like most of her neighbours, made a bid for independence, but when Proconnesus and Artace were burnt by the Phoenician fleet after the battle of Lade and the fall of their parent Miletus, Cyzicus avoided their fate by a timely submission to Oebareus, the Satrap of Dascylium. Later, in the expedition of Xerxes against Greece, the Hellespontine Grecks supplied the Persian with a fleet of a hundred ships ${ }^{2}$.

The struggle between Darius and Xerxes and the Greeks was partly at least a revenge for the interference of Athens between the Great King and his subjects: the result of the unexpected success of the Greeks, who had never so nearly attained to unity and genuine Panhellenic enthusiasm, was to turn their eyes once more to their still enslaved compatriots in Ionia. After the decisive victory of Mycale, the combined Greek fleet made for the Hellespont, and after besieging and taking Sestos, passed through to Byzantium. Cyzicus very probably came over the same year (478), and was henceforward a member of the Delian confederation. The table published in the Corpus of Attic inscriptions, which gives an interesting view of the relative importance of several towns concerned in the history of Cyzicus in the latter half of the fifth century, assesses them as follows:

| Artace | 2000 dr. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Besbicus | 3000 dr. |  |
| Cyzicus | 9 talents |  |
|  |  | 2 Hdt. v11. 93. |


| Dascylium | 500 dr. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Didymoteichus | 1000 dr. |
| Harpagium | 300 dr. |
| Lampsacus | 12 talents, decreasing to 10 t .2700 dr. |
|  | $\quad$ in the period B.C. 425 ff. |
| Priapus | 500 dr. |
| Proconnesus | 3 talents |

while the Byzantines begin with 15 talents, and risc to over $21^{1}$.
The Hcllespontine tributaries with the rest of the Asiatic allies were ripe for defection after the humiliation of their suzerain in Sicily. They had been apathetic ever since the danger from Persia was no longer immediate, while the misappropriation of the common funds had shewn them that Athens could no longer be trusted to maintain her legitimatc position with regard to her frce allies: in her present straits her defcats would have to bc made good by additional contributions from themselves, in return for which they could expect no adequate defence, should need arise. The oligarchic factions embraced the opportunity to intrigue with Sparta, disregarding in characteristic fashion the fact that the latter was now pledged in return for supplies of money to forward the Great King's claim to the cities of Asia : the danger was for the moment averted by a changc in the political relations of the volatilc Alcibiades, who, disowned by the Spartans, turned against them such influence as he possessed with Tissaphernes. The Spartan admiral Mindarus, thereforc, decided to act without waiting for help from Pcrsia. In the Hcllcspont Abydus, Byzantium and Cyzicus* had already deserted Athens at the instigation of Clearchus, and Mindarus hoped to win over the other citics to his cause.

In this he was disappointed: the decisive action at Cynossema (411) opened the Hellespont to the Athenians, who sailed through, and captured eight ships of the revolted Byzantium. which they found at anchor in the roadstead of Priapus; they

[^121]then made a successful descent on Cyzicus, which was unwalled ${ }^{2}$, recalled it to its allegiance, and exacted large arrears of tribute from the inhabitants ${ }^{2}$. In the ensuing season, however, Mindarus anticipated them and took the city by storm.

Alcibiades, however, hearing that Mindarus was at Cyzicus,

## Battle of

 Cyzlcua ${ }^{3}$. 410. sent his ships forward to Sestus, where he was joined by Thrasyllus, and thence to Proconnesus. After waiting there two days, he crept upon Cyzicus unawares during a rain-storm: the ships of Mindarus were exercising in the bay, and, seeing the hostile fleet approaching, retreated to the land and stood on the defensivc. Alcibiades with his squadron attacked, and by a simulated flight tempted them out to sea, till they were far enough to be cut off by the wings under Thrasyllus and Theramencs. The fleet of Mindarus was completely defeated, and retired in disorder to the shore, to concert with the land force of Pharnabazus. But Alcibiades had also landed troops ${ }^{4}$, and desperate fighting took place on the beach, in the course of which Mindarus was slain. Alcibiades finally towed off his prizes in triumph to Proconnesus. On his return, he was wcll received by the Cyzicenes, and, beyond exacting large sums of money, took no vengeance for their defection. They acknowledged their obligation, for Athenacus ${ }^{5}$ tells us that whenever Alcibiades took a journcy they undertook to provide him with sacrificial animals.1 Thuc. val. 107. Diod. Sic. xill. to. This detail, insisted on by both authors, needs explanation, for the evilence of the stater-coinge shews that Cyzicus whe already an important commercial town, surely implying that it must have been walled before this. Morcover, the description of the siege by Mindarus (xâoan rip suvaum
 wall may have been dismaniled on the triumph of the Philo-Sparian party as at Teos (Thuc. Va11. 16). Froutinus (11.9.6) insists that the city was walled when Alcibiades took it in 410 : but his accounl quite ignores the naval engagement, and has no poins in common with the other authors. "Alcihiades," he says, "attacked by night, and sounding his trumpets at one point of the fortifications sent his storming party 10 anolher part, which was lefl undefended by the rush of the citizens to the threatened point." Frontinus object being to illustrate strategy rather than history, it may reasonably be doubted whether the story is correctly applied to Cyzicus.
${ }^{2}$ Diod. Sic. x111. 49.
${ }^{3}$ Xen. Hell. 1. 1. 10 ; Diod. Nit11. 49, 50; Plut. Vit. Allc. 28 ; Polyaen. 1. 40.9. Aristides t. $26_{4}$, Dind.

6 Diodorus. ${ }^{5}$ XIs. 334.

The battle of Aegospotami ( 405 ) made an end of the pretensions of Athens to empire ; the cities of Asia were occupied by Spartan Harmosts and governed by Philo-Spartan oligarchies, the Spartans being still hand and glove with the Persian ${ }^{1}$. Cyzicus was among the number of the Spartan conquests, as casual hints in Xenophon ${ }^{2}$ shew. The Spartan rule was detested with far more reason than the Athemian by the Asiatic Greeks : not to mention specific autocratic acts, the supremacy of the oligarclic faction was at variance with the traditions of the trading communities of Ionia. From it they were saved by the growing jealousy between Persia and Sparta, culminating in the victory of the Athenians, obtained only by Persian aid, at Cnidus (394). Athens again endeavoured to assert her hegemony, and a new naval league, including Byzantium and probably the rest of the Hellespontine cities ${ }^{3}$, was initiated by Thrasybulus. This league came to an end with the disgraceful peace of Antalcidas (386), which resigned the cities of Asia to Artaxerxes.

The Ionian cities had been granted a provisional freedom by Pharnabazus and Conons; nor have we evidence that Cyzicus ever received a Persian garrison during the succeeding period. A definite break with Persia occurred about 364 , when the city was besieged, evidently by the Hellespontine satrap, and relieved by the Athenian Timotheus", who enlisted it as an ally of Athens: but a few ycars later a wanton insult by an Athenian official was sufficient pretext for a rupture. The notorious Midias, on a privateering expedition, fell in with a Cyzicene merchant vessel, attacked it, and relieved it of upwards of five

[^122]talents. The Cyzicenes brought the matter before the Athenian government, and Midias actually managed to justify his course of action to the ecclesia. Henceforward Cyzicus threw off her allegiance and began to take up an independent position as one of the important commercial states of Asia. In 362 Athens was humiliated by the conquest of Proconnesus, and the transportation of its inhabitants (her allies) to Cyzicus², and the latter state was fairly embarked on her imperial policy.

[^123]
## CHAPTER XVIII.

## THE HELLENISTIC PERIOD.

STRABO has comparcd the autonomous government of Cyzicus with that of Rhodes, and the two cities have many other points of similarity, which invite the comparison. Both, rising into prominence when the decay of the old Greck political ideals was already far advanced, belong essentially to the Hellenistic age, whose practical levelling tendencies blot out the original racial distinctions between Dorian and Ionian colonies. In history and politics both are island states, rich in trade and sea-power, and largely independent of continental affairs: both with farseeing shrewdness court the rising star, and ally themselves in turn with the dynasts of Pergamon and with the growing power of Rome, thus maintaining their prosperity continuously into imperial times.

As commercial and naval powars Rhodes and Cyzicus, with the latter's neighbour and rival Byzantium, are supreme in their corner of the world throughout the Hellenistic period. Rhodes was the broker between Rome on the one hand and Egypt and Syria on the other, while Byzantium stood inevitably on the route of every ship passing out of the Black Sea: to her, already in the fifth century the most prosperous city of the Hellespontine tribute, fell the lion's share of the Thracian and South Russian trade and of the Black Sea fisheries.

Cyzicus' position as regards the Hellespont, especially during her alliance with Pergamon, resembles that of Byzantium with regard to the Bosporus: her native resources were by no means scanty: the territory on the mainland afforded her corn, meat and wine enough for home consumption, whilc the mines and forests of Ida supplied her builders and her shipwrights with metals and timber.

As regards exports, the marble of Proconnesus, wine, saltfish and the unguents ${ }^{1}$ of Cyzicus, had indeed a name outside her borders, but these were a poor set-off against the trade of the Black Sea-the electrum of the Urals, from which the Cyzicene staters were coined, and thc wheat of the South Russian plains. The remote Hellenism of the Euxine demanded, as was natural, manufacturcd goods in return for its raw produce, and it was her supcrior facilities for supplying these which allowed Cyzicus to hold her own even against Byzantium. Situated as she was on an island which had bccome at her will a peninsula, the city secured to a large extent the advantages of both conditions, and in times when the risks of sea transit were manifold it is hard to overestimate the value to Cyzicus of the Macestus vallcy road, which connected her with Smyrna and the southern ports, 130 less than with the manufacturing inland towns of Asia.

In point of time Cyzicus had some years start of Rhodcs, for her staters ${ }^{2}$ werc a standard modium of intcrnational exchange at the time of the Anabasis of Xcnophon, and bear witness to her connections not only with Ionia, Thrace, and Greece Propcr, but even with Magna Graecia and Sicily beforc the middle of the fourth century ${ }^{3}$.

The inscriptions of the autonomous period attest also the friendly public and private relations of her citizens with Rhodes, Panticapaeum, Paros, Ceos, Tanagra, Orcus and 1 lion, and of her official participation in the cultus of Delos and Delphi, Branchidae and most of all Samothraces, with whose mysterious gods she was possibly associated by some lost tradition of the Argonauts. The Hellenistic period also, as the compilation of evidence in Marquardt's Book MI. 3-4 shews, is responsible for her grcatest literary and artistic output. Cyzicene artists and authors would be naturally attracted by the intellectual atmosphere of Pergamon, and our scant evidence does not permit us

[^124]to attribute any independent school of thought to the essentially commercial city.

In the history of Alcxander's conquests Cyzicus plays but a passive part: the measure of her autonomy under the Persian refgime at this period is shewn by the preliminary episode of the attempt of Memnon.

When the news of Alexander's preparations for his Asiatic

## Memnon the

 Rhodian, 335 B.C. campaigns came to the ears of Darius III., the latter determined on an effort to hold the Hellespontine province against him, and deputed a small body of 5000 mercenaries under Memnon of Rhodes to surprise the free city of Cyzicus². The isthmus was evidently bridged, or at least practicable for a land force, already, for Memnon (owing, Polyaenus says, to his disguising his force as Macedonians) all but succeeded in his enterprise of capturing the city. Failing in this, he sat down before it, wasting and spoiling the land, till the advent of the Macedonians diverted his attention. Alexander himself crossed the Hellespont in 334 and, receiving the submission of Priapus on his way, met the Persian satraps on the Granicus? So great was the moral effect of his victory tbat he advanced no further east, but sent Parmenio to take possession of the satrapy and himself turned south on his career of conquest. Parmenio took Dascylium without resistance, and the satrapy was administered on the old lines: Zeleia, which had taken part against Alexander under compulsion, was pardoned, while Cyzicus retained her freedom ${ }^{3}$.On Alexander's death the satrapy of Lesser Phrygia fell to Leonnatus, and in 321 by the partition of Triparadisus to Arrhidacus. The latter, anxious to secure a strong base in his province, immediately bethought him of Cyzicus as the largest and best defended place in the satrapy. He first ' attempted

[^125]to win her over to his side by means of her own political divisions, and to this end subsidised a citizen of repute, by name Timacus, to gain the affection of the proletariat by distributions of corn and money; but the scheme was discovered in time by the government, and Timaeus ended his days in dishonour'.

Arrhidaeus next turned to arms ${ }^{2}$. With a force of 10,000 footmorcenaries, 1,000 Macedonian cavalry, 500 Persian slingers and archers and a sicge-train, he sct out for Cyzicus. His unexpected advent found the Cyzicenes quite unprepared, and for the most part scattered over the open country of the mainland: interposing himself between it and the city, he called on the inluabitants to surrender and submit to the imposition of a garrison. The citizens hastily manned the walls with boys and slaves, and made such show of resistance as they could, conscious, howcver, of the impossibility of sustaining a siege. They promised to accede to Arrhidaeus' demands except in the mattcr of the garrison, and when he still insisted, replied that the question must be laid in due form before the people. By thus temporising they gained a respite of twenty-four hours, during which they launched ships, sent hastily to Byzantium for men and stores, and under cover of night ferried across their fellow citizens from the mainland. Arrhidaeus, who had not counted on their control of the sca, was completely disconcerted and eventually retired with loss.

Antigonus, who had hoped to take advantage of the siege to rescue the city from Arrhidacus and bind it to himself, now appeared with a very considerable force, but finding Arrhidacus alrcady disposed of, set out after him, with many protestations of his goodwill towards Cyzicus and his determination to uphold the liberties of the free cities; which sentiments ware doubtless assessed at their true value by the hard-headed traders behind the walls.

The position of such towns as Cyzicus under the Diadochi was anomalous : as naval powers they were naturally the object of conciliatory overtures from the satraps of the mainland, while their own commercial interests were all for peace. Cyzicus at this period possessed land on the continent which must surely

[^126]have been recognised as part of the "Hellespontine satrapy" of the Diadochi ${ }^{1}$. Refusal to submit to a nominal suzerainty would entail loss of this territory, and it is reasonable to suppose that a compromise was agreed upon, the relations of Cyzicus with the mainland authoritics varying to a certain extent with the state of parties within her walls. The agricultural proletariat which stood to lose immediatcly in the cvent of hostilities would be naturally more inclined to make concessions to the dynasts.

The usual party bitterness of a Greek city is revealed by the incident related by the Pseudo-Aristotle', when the plutocrats are seen in eclipse, banished from the city, and deprived of their property, while the striking of coins with the Cyzicene mintmark by Lysimachus and Antiochus I. and II.3 may be evidence for a Seleucid ascendancy contemporaneous with the beginning of the Pergamene alliance. The incident of the Cyzicene mercenaries sent to the relicf of Byzantium, who refused to obey orders unless in accordance with home instructions ${ }^{4}$, may, if referred to the siege of Byzantium by Antiochus II. ${ }^{5}$, be part of the same policy: but the history of the period is as fragmentary as the circumstances of the story are vague.

The alliance of Cyzicus with the princes of Pergamon gives

## The

 a continuity to her history which has hitherto been Pergamenes. lacking. The connection dates from the early years of Philetaerus the founder of the dynasty, an ally of Antiochus $I_{\text {., and ends only with the last of the line, after whose }}$ death the city came into immediate relations with Rome.One of the few important records of Cyzicus discovered during recent years ${ }^{\text {a }}$ testifies to Philetaerus' personal benefactions to the city, which were evidently a part of his known policy of conciliation towards the Asiatic states: they bcgin very soon after, if not before, his seizure of the throne. The inscription being dated by a brief mention of the Gallic invasion, Dr Cecil Smith has very plausibly argued that the king's gift of

[^127]eorn in the year of the invasion of the Troemi ${ }^{2}$ implies that the Cyzicenes saved themselves on that oceasion by severing their communieation with the mainland and so from their home corn supply. In other years his gifts consist aceording to cireumstances in oil and money for the games, horses, fiseal privileges or military aid, shewing him to be a praetieal friend to the eity.

Cyzieus remained in alliance with his suceessors, and Attalus I. eemented the growing friendship by marrying Apollonis, the beautiful and exemplary daughter of a Cyzieene:, whose greatest pride, Plutareh ${ }^{2}$ tells us, though she had risen from private estate to be queen of the now flourishing realm of Pergamus, was in the loyalty of her other sons to their elder brother Eumenes II.

In the reign of the latter, the Roman grant of Phrygia ad Hellispontum to the Kingdom of Pergamon brought the two states into still eloser contaet, and in the sueeeeding wars with Prusias II. of Bithynia, Cyzicus provided Athenaeus with twenty out of the eighty ships, with whieh he harried the Bithynian eoast ${ }^{5}$. At the conelusion of the war the eity was honoured by a state visit from Apollonis ${ }^{0}$ who was eseorted by her sons through the eity of her birth. The Cyzicenes were so much struek by the devotion of her sons that they likened them to Cleobis and Biton, the Greek models of filial affection, and the temple erected to Apollonis in Cyzieus after her death, the Argive legend, and many others of the same character from Greek and Roman myth, were represented in relief on the bases of the columns ${ }^{7}$.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Liv. xxxvıII. 16. ${ }^{2}$ Str. 624 . ${ }^{3}$ Fraf. Amor. 3.
${ }^{4}$ B.e. 188. Liv. xxxyn1. 39. This seltement was the consequence of the aggressions of Antiochus III., who appears actually 10 have occupied Cyzicus with a garrison about 196. The place is nol mentioned by name, but the occupation

 ridoves aírwi mporert日eyto [Lampsacus and Smyrna are mentioned as exceplions in
 among the cities Antiochus proposes to surrender.
' Holyb. Xxxt11. 132. Cf. Inscr. Iv. fo (if this is nol Milctopolitan), where rà кат $\dot{\phi} \phi \rho \alpha$ кra are mentioned.

- Polyb. xair. 20.
${ }^{7}$ Anth. Pal. 11 .

In this worship of Apollonis the Roman imperial cultus finds its prototype: it must have been just as important a political asset to the Pergamenes ${ }^{2}$ : the benefactor Philctaerus was already commemorated by games", as the Roman Muciea precede the Imperial cultus. The worship of Apollonis, we gather from parallels at Teos ${ }^{2}$, included also the rest of the royal house, who through her had Cyzicene blood in their veins, though until their death they were not recognised as gods; while Apollonis' Teian epithet of Apobateria appears to identify her with the Marine Aphrodite ${ }^{4}$, just as Livia was later associated with Athena, and Faustina assimilated to Kore.

The connection with Pergamum also brought to Cyzicus the cult of Asklepios as well as the worship (inaugurated by the dynasts) of Athena Nikephoros ${ }^{5}$, while in the reign of Attalus III. Athenaeus, a Cyzicene citizen of Apollonis' family, was presented to the important pricsthood of Dionysus Kathegemon at Pergamum'. Artistically also the inclusion of a Cyzicene Stratonicus ${ }^{7}$ among the sculptors of the battle groups commemorating the victories of Attalus and Eumenes is significant.

In external politics as a whole the town plays a passive part during this period. Her policy, like that of Rhodes and other commercial states, was peaceful, and unless forced, she avoided war in the intcrests of trade. The citizens witness the treaty between Eumenes II. and Pharnaces in $179^{8}$ and appear

[^128]to have been on good terms with Antiochus Epiphanes who gave magnificent presents to the Prytaneum ${ }^{1}$ at Cyzicus as to the city at Rhodes. Antiochus IX. was even sent to Cyzicus for his education ${ }^{2}$ as his brother Grypus was to Athens, and apparently raised troops there to fight against his rival: he is the first of several foreign princes who were brought up in the city, it being apparently famous for its educational institutions.
${ }^{1}$ Liv. XLI. 20.
${ }^{2}$ App. Syr, 68. Joseph. Ant. Jud. xin. 10. 1. Euseb. Chron. t. x]. rg.

## CHAPTER XIX.

## EARIY RELATIONS WITH ROME.

The extinction of the royal house of Pergamon brought Cyzicus into immediate relations with the Romans, who respected her freedom, and found in her a loyal and powerful ally. The rising of Aristonicus did not shake her loyalty, and his attempted siege was rendered abortive by the appearance of Nicomedes of Bithynia in answer to a summons of the Romans?.

Mithradates was equally unsuccessful. In 85 his son was dcfeated on the Rhyndacus near Milctupolis ${ }^{2}$ by Fimbria, who, being encamped opposite him, crossed the river by night and surrounded the hostile camp; he entrenched his own position and awaited attack at dawn ; when it came, his wings immediately closed and the enemy was completely out-manœuvred. The Cyzicenes opened their gates to the conqueror, who disgusted them by his insolence and cruelty: he killed two of their prominent citizens and threatened the lives of the others if they did not pay him a substantial ransom ${ }^{3}$. Nothing could be more calculated to alienate an ally.

Cyzicus, howcver, remained loyal: the third Mithradatic

The Mithra. datic Slege, 73 B.C. ${ }^{4}$ war opened with the successful operations of the king before Chalcedon, where he shut up Cotta, and, bursting the chain which defended the harbour mouth, burnt four and towed out sixty ships. Of these ten

[^129]were from Cyzicus, and three thousand of her citizens fell alive into his hands. He determined to make use of this suceess and marched on the eity: Lueullus met him near by, but Mithradates eluded him in the night, and took up his position before the walls with an immense army of 300,000 men and a fleet of 400 ships, meaning to make it his headquartcrs for the ensuing winter.

Lucullus with five legions followed hard on his heels, and grasping at once the weak point in his opponent's plans, which lay in the difficulty of supplying his huge force with food, took up his position immediately behind him on the mainland, at the Thracian Village. Mithradates, relying on false information to the effect that the Fimbrian legions, which formed part of Lucullus' force, were ripe for dcscrtion, carelessly abandoned his strong outpost on Adrasteia, whose immediate occupation by Lucullus effectually cut off his supplies from the mainland.

Mithradates then gave his whole attention to the siege: he blocked the passage through the isthmus; his flect closed the mouth of the war port with a double stockade, while his army surrounded the town with a chain of ten forts. The Cyzicenes at first despaired: Mithradates paraded the prisoners of Chalcedon before the walls, and the citizens were assured that the army of Lucullus, which they could see on the high ground about the Thracian Village, was merely a reinforcement sent to Mithradates by his Armenian ally. So convinced were they of this that the nessenger passed through the hostile fleet by Lueullus was discredited, and only the obstinate attitude of the governor, Pisistratus, prevented a surrender. The defenders were at length convineed of Lucullus' presence by the testimony of a prisoner, and a small body of men which slipped into the town under cover of darkness in a boat brought overland from the lake of Manyas encouraged them to continue the resistance.

The king now determincd at all costs to storm the town: his grain ships were already, owing to the lateness of the season, becoming few and far bctwcen, the continental roads were held against him, and he counted on the granaries of the city for the winter. He commenced to throw up a series of earthworks and
to construct immense siege engines. In particular he built a tower on two quinqueremes for an assault on the harbour walls. An attempt with this great bridging tower was so far successful that the defenders were driven back, but the storming party did not follow up their advantage, and the four men who made good their entrance were killed by the rallying citizens: the flect was beaten off from the walls. A further attempt was ruade from the land, and towards evening the wall was breached by fire: the still smoking aperture was, however, for the moment impracticable, and in the ensuing night the citizens made good the damage.

The gods themselves fought on the side of the besieged: at the feast of Persephone, the Cyzicenes, despairing of obtaining the offering demanded by usage, were about to sacrifice a cake made in the shape of a heifer, when the selected victim of the goddess swam unscathed from the mainland to the city and offered itself for sacrifice: Persephone herself appeared to the town clerk, promising in mysterious words "to send the fluteplayer of Libya upon the trumpeter of Pontus," and next morning the siege engines of the king were prostrated by a violent south wind. At Ilium Athena appeared in dishevelled dress saying that she came from the fight at Cyzicus?

Mithradates' advisers warned him to give up the siege, after these repeated evidences of divine disfavour. He consented only to send away his baggage train, taking advantage of an attack by Lucullus, into Bithynia: but it was intercepted at the Rhyndacus and cut to pieces. The king ventured a last throw, and spent time and labour on a new series of earthworks from the side of the island. Winter now came on in earnest, and disease and famine made ravages in the besiegers' camp. The new earthworks were mined, and the king himself, by the strategy of a Roman centurion in charge of the sappers, with whom he attempted to negotiate ${ }^{3}$, all but captured ; the besieged, whose food supplies still held out, encouraged by the miserable condition of the enemy, made frequent sallies.

[^130]Mithradates, finding that his position was untenable and having no hopes of bettering it, at last decided to retire. The army made the best of its way by land to Lampsacus, but lost hcavily at the flooded crossings of the Acsepus and Granicus which were held by Lucullus' troops. The king himself set out by sea to Parium ; the besieged took advantage of the confusion during the embarkation to make a sally, and it was only after desperate fighting on the shore that a portion of the fleet was able to get away.

Lucullus entered the gates in triumph, hailcd as the saviour of the city, and many years after his services were commemorated by the games called Lucullea instituted in his honour. The relief of the city counted for one of his finest services, whilc full credit was given to the Cyzicenes for their gallant defence.

The Romans in recognition of her loyalty awarded her the titlc of a free city ${ }^{1}$, and added to her borders a great deal of the surrounding country; in Strabo's ${ }^{1}$ time hor territory cxtended westwards to the Aesepus and the plain of Adrasteia, southwards to the lake of Manyas, eastward to the Rhyndacus and the lakc of Apollonia, and even beyond the river to the country about the Odryses.

This great dramatic event in the history of a city famed hitherto rather for her commerce than her arms, brought about a curious revival of the Epic spirit. To the citizens of a later day it was a heroic episode, one of those occasions when Homer's gods came down to fight with men for Hellenism against barbarism, and we are justified in supposing that the Roman Lucullus was enrolled as a city hero in official cultus. The incident of the siege thus became a link with Rome, welded not only by sentiment but by facts.

[^131]
## CHAPTER XX.

## THE ROMAN PERIOD.

In the succecding period we find Cyzicus one of the most energctic naval allies of Rome, lending ships freely to her great ally. She sent a contingent to the aid of Caesar in the Alexandrian war', and again to the Libyan campaign against the rallying Pompeian party ${ }^{2}$.

After Caesar's death, Cyzicus was selected by the tyrannicides as the headquarters of their fleet during the short-lived resistance to the young Augustus'. The honours decreed to Herostratus, the emissary of Brutus (in which decree Cyzicus was evidently concerned, if indeed Herostratus was not a Cyzicene'), and Brutus' choice of the city as an asylum for his young protégé the Thracian prince Satala ${ }^{\text {s }}$, argue no great attachment to Caesar's memory: but on the other hand it may be contended that the tyrannicides' appointment at least was perfectly legal, that it was hard enough even in the capital to distinguish the constitutional party, and that the presence of Brutus would naturally count for a good deal.

[^132]After Philippi, the eastern half of the empire fell to Antony: and it was by the aid of a contingent of gladiators stationed within her walls by the latter that Cyzicus was enabled to beat off the attack by land and sea of Sextus Pompeius in 35, during the last bid for power made by that adventurer in Asia ${ }^{1}$.

It may be that Augustus bore Cyzicus a grudge for the part she had taken in the civil wars, and that this helped Augustus. to secure their disfranchisement in B.C. $20^{2}$ : but the charge of scourging and killing Roman citizens is obviously one which could not for the sake of precedent be overlooked by the government: one can at the same timc well imagine that any assumed superiority on the part of the resident Romans would easily incur the resentment of the free citizens, and thus lead to

























${ }^{1}$ App. Bell. Civ. v. 137 . The gladiators, we are cold by Dio (LI. 17), were being trained by Antony for the games by which he insended to signalise his victory over Octavianus. After Actium they made for Egypl overland, bul were intercepted in Cilicia.
${ }^{2}$ Dio Cass. luv. 7. Sueton. Aug. 47. Zon. X. 34. Syncellus 593 B. Augustus probably visited Cyzicus in person, cf. inscr. and note in Beilr. z. Alt. Gesch. v. 300.
a serious disturbance warranting a curtailment of their privileges ${ }^{\text {. }}$. For general reasons "free cities" in Asia werc not desirable, as hindering the consistent government of the province as a whole, and a maritime state especially had a dangerous amount of power. Rhodes was similarly disfranchised by Claudius.

The freedom of the city was restored ${ }^{2}$ by Agrippa during his eastern progress ${ }^{3}$ (17-13 B.C.), as appears from an inscription of the early part of Caligula's reign, mentioning the favour of Agrippa and implying that he was considered the second founder of the city ': a temple of Augustus was at the same time begun. The empress Livia, probably to the disgust of Tiberius, was associated in the cult of the Pergamene Athena Polias Nikephoros", and ber priesthood was assumed by Tryphaena, wifc of Cotys of Thrace, a benefactress of the city and a conncction of the imperial house: an attempt was made to popularise the loyal cult by the celebration of the Panatbenaea in honour of "Livia Sebaste Nikephoros and the greatest god Tiberius" with unusual splendour, and so of attracting to the festival by the proclamation of a free market the trade of the other cities of Asia. Tbis is quite in accordance with that principle of Imperial Government which aimed at breaking down the barriers of local prejudice by making the cult of the emperors the rallying point of its heterogeneous empirc: athletic festivals bringing international commerce in their train were a powerful factor.

Tiberius found reason to deprive the city once more of its Tiberius. privileges in 25 A.D., on account of an alleged maltreatment of Roman citizens. A second charge was brought forward-rather characteristic, if we may believe Tacitus' accounts of Tibcrius' punctilio on this point-imputing to the citizens neglect of the rites of the Divine Augustus, or, more specifically, failure to complete the heroön they had begun in

[^133]his honour ${ }^{2}$. It is significant that in the following year when fourteen Asiatic cities, including several not of first-class importance, petitioned for leave to erect a temple to Tiberius, Cyzieus is not among them².

The death of Tiberius lifted the cloud. We have no definite mention of a second restoration of the franchise, but an inscription of the first year of Caligula ${ }^{3}$, couched in excessively loyal terns, in which moreover the young emperor is named as eponymous hipparch, warrants us in supposing that he was responsible for a restoration of some at least of the lost privileges: we may even surmise that this favour was obtained through the good offices of Tryphaena; her influence at court, as Professor Ramsay has remarked, really dates from Caligula, who was a kinsman of hers through their common ancestress Antonia. Gaius Caesar is at once hipparch and god, no new combination for the Cyzicencs, who had already conferred the magistracy on Poseidon. As god the cmperor is styled the new Sun', while his deceased sister Drusilla figures as the New Aphrodites, and is honoured like Livia with games.

In the same reign Tryphaena, who, probably owing to her husband's ancestral connection with the town through Satala', evidently took the keenest interest in it, undertook a thorough dredging and reconstruction of the harbours ${ }^{7}$, including the reopening of the channels through the bridges; these latter had been purposely blocked in the previous reign, probably with a view to securing communication with the mainland when pirates were rife in the Hellespont ${ }^{6}$.

From Caligula to Hadrian, history fails us completely".

[^134]Tryphaena's family apparently continued their interest in the city for several generations ${ }^{1}$. The joint dedication of the Roman residents and the Cyzicenes to Claudius ${ }^{1}$ and the honorary appointment of the practor Fuscus under Hadrian to the office of strategus points to an outward harmony at least between the natives and Romans ${ }^{3}$.

The period of unsurpassed prosperity for the Roman provinces which opened with the second century A.D. was naturally unproductive of historical events in the ordinary sense, and we have as yct, curiously enough, no record at Cyzicus of munificent and public-spirited citizens such as are characteristic of the age. Almost the only events are the occasional visits of the emperors, and the great festivals connccted with their worship in the Asiatic cities. The position of Cyzicus in this period does not seem vitally different from that of the other great cities of Asia, and it is not apparent that she had regained the special privileges of a free city: the status of all cities was evidently equalised as much as possible under the imperial rule, on the one hand by the appointment of the imperial accountant ( $\lambda 0-$ yto $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y} \\ &\mathrm{s})\end{aligned}$ in the frec cities, thus placing them in a direct relation to the government, and on the other by the extension of the privileges of the ordinary provincial towns. This equalising process culminates in the extension of the franchise to the whole Roman world under Caracalla.

The senatorial rulers of the province are fairly often found occupying the magistracics of Cyzicus, among them the young Antoninus ${ }^{4}$, proconsul in $120^{\circ}$, who evidently visited Cyzicus during his term of office: it was here that he was given the

[^135]rather obscure omen of his future career, "the crown of Jupiter (Hadrian?) was transferred to his statue ${ }^{1}$."

In $124^{2}$ Hadrian himself on his Asiatic progress left abundant traces of his visit in the city and neighbourhood of Cyzicus. Hadriani, Hadrianeia, Hadrianopolis, and Hadrianutherae, took his name, while the numerous inscriptions, common also to the rest of Asia, naming him "Olympian ${ }^{3}$ Saviour and Founder"," hint at his activity cspecially in the matter of building: this is excmplified at Apollonia by the still cxistent architrave blocks ${ }^{5}$ of a building erected by him, and at Cyzicus itsclf by the immense temple associated with his name. The time of his visit was opportune, for the cities of the district had but a year before suffered severely from one of the pcriodical earthquakcs.

The history of Hadrian's templc has been rendercd compli-

## Hadrtan's Temple.

 cated by the assumption that Aristides' speech in 167 A.D.' was made at the actual dedication of the temple; this complication is avoided if we suppose (and I find nothing in the words of the speech or its lemma to contradict the supposition) that it was made at the dedication anniversary -naturally the day on which the games were held: the era of the Olympia at Cyzicus is shewn by Bocckh ${ }^{7}$ to have been 139 A.D. Thus it would not be necessary for Aristidcs to allude to the vicissitudes of the temple's history as it would be in an inaugural speech.The history of the temple then becomes easily understood. The building was bcgun, as wc know from a scholiast on Lucian", some centuries before the time of Hadrian, but, like

[^136]the Olympieum at Athens, discontinued for want of funds. It may possibly have been the temple mentioned by Pliny ${ }^{1}$ in the walls of which a gold thread was inlaid-a peculiarity noticed by Cyriac" in the ruins he saw at Cyzicus-and in which "was to be dedicated" (significantly) a group of statuary representing Zeus crowned by Apollo. An earthquake in $123^{2}$ called forth Hadrian's munificence during his visit to Cyzicus in the following year'. He gave large gifts to the city, began the temple, and paved an agora, most likely the one adjoining the temple. It was probably inaugurated, as we have said, in 139. It was apparently seriously damaged by an earthquake in the time of Antoninus', when a speech before the Senate by the young Aurelius secured further subsidics for the city"; the temple was still standing in the time of Anastasius ${ }^{7}$, and, in spite of the later earthquakes, thirty-one columns still remained in the middle of the fifteenth century. There is every probability that the life of the temple was prolonged by its use as a church in Byzantine times. A hint of this is given by the name "Hodja Kilisseh," given by the Turks to its ruins.

Before or besides the temple of Hadrian stood the great altar of Persephone. The features of the latter, as numismatists have remarked, are on coins frequently assimilated to those of Faustina the younger, and it is quite possible that the restored altar was dedicated to her: as a reference to her parentage may

[^137]underlic the obscure "nympharum a Jove productarum" of the inscription preserved by Cyriac.

Of the later Antonines we have a hint at the worship of Commodus as the Roman Heracles' and a mention of the games called Commodea?

The peace of Asia was interrupted at length by the civil war Scverus and between Septimus Severus and Pescennius .Niger. Niger. Cyzicus, unlike her rival Byzantium, was fortunate enough to choose the winning side, and saw with equanimity the defeat outside her walls of Aemilianus, the general of Niger, followed by his apprehension and death ${ }^{3}$.

Caracalla, perhaps in consideration of the loyalty of Cyzicus to his father, granted the city the honour of a second Neocorate together with the title Antoniniane ${ }^{4}$ and probably games called Antoninea ${ }^{5}$. Th. Reinach ${ }^{4}$ has shewn that the attribution of the second neocorate to Severus ${ }^{7}$, based on the testimony of a coin published by Mionnet ${ }^{8}$ after Sestini, is more than doubtful. Caracalla, besides being especially prodigal of neocorates, is known to have been at Nicomedia ${ }^{\natural}$, after visiting Pergamon and Ilion in $214^{10}$, so that Cyzicus would lie naturally on his way. The temple would very appropriately be designed for the worship of the family of Caracalla, including his father Severus and his mother Domna".
${ }^{1}$ Coin in Mionn. 208. Cf. Supp. $32 \%$ a C.I.C. Ital. 738 (Naples).
${ }^{3}$ So Xiphil. Lxxiv. it, Herod. III. 3, who represent the campaign as fought out in the Taurus. The Vitae (Severi 54 Pescennii 8, 9, cf. Oros. vil. 1\%, Paul Diac. X., Aur. Victor. xx.) make the battle of Cyziens the final engagement, and place after it the aight of Pescennins 10 the "palus" (the lake of Manyas?) and his execution. A coin (Mionn. Supp. 365, B.M. 247) representing Severus with a trophy beside a river-god labelled Aesepus, gives some clue to tlie site of the battlefield. The comparalive raxity of the name Pescennius tempts one to connect C.I.G. 3669, a dedication of Pescennius Onesimus to the Highest God, with this event, though, unless we regard Onesimus as a deserted slave or freedman, it is hard to understand the expression exxapeoriptop vicns.

4 Mionn. 316 - 220 and Supp. 377 ff.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. C.I.G. ${ }^{44}$, 248 (Athens). Coins of Byzantium B.M. 76, 78, 98. Perrol and Guillaume Exploration do la Galatie I. $3^{1}$ (25).
${ }^{6}$ Rov. Num. vilt. 244.
${ }^{7}$ Büchuer de Necoria p. 106.
${ }^{5}$ Supp. 368. Cf. 369, 370.

- B.C.K. X. 405. Dio Cass. Exxvil. 19. Herod. 1v. 7-10.
${ }^{10}$ C.I.L. VI. 2103.
${ }^{11}$ The obseure reference in Dio $2 x x i x$. 7 , to an attempt by an adventurer to

The cmpty honour of the neocorate, however, was more than counterbalanced by the material loss sustained by Cyzicus when Sevcrus dismantled the fortifications of Byzantium in rcvenge for that city's support of Pescennius. This deprived Cyzicus and the Propontis of a very neccssary protection, as was seen when some half century later the barbarians of the Black Sea shore began to sweep without hindrance through the Bosporus to ravage Bithynia and the Hellespontine province. Though the city appears never to have been actually sacked, it became-the objective of rcpeated Scythian expeditions. We hear of at least three in the pages of Zosimus, Trebellienus Pollio and Synccllus. The discrepancy bctween the accounts of Zosimus, who expressly states that the barbarians were kept from the city by the flood of the Rhyndacus, and that of Trebellienus, who mentions Cyzicus alone of the cities of Asia that suffered in one of the many incursions, can hardly be left unexplained, and the most natural explanation is that there were two separatc attempts on the city of which the second only was successful. Trebellienus gives us under the reign of Gallienus ( $c, 4$ ) a short notice of the campaign which culminated in the burning of Nicomedia. Zosimus' more circumstantial account mentions the frustrated attempt on Cyzicus, dating it evidently before the capture of Valcrian (260), for it is Valerian who sends troops to the rescue of Bithynia while himself at Antioch on his way to the Persian war.

Latcr invasions of Asia are mentioned in Trebellienus' chapters $6,7,11$; and in chapter 13, after Gallienus has sent help to Byzantium to repair the damage inflicted by the Goths on the Danube provinces, comes the definite statement that the barbarians "wasted Cyzicus" (vastaverunt Cyzicum): this is preferably considered as referring to the island or peninsula of Cyzicus, for the Scythians were normally repulsed before walled towns where resistance was offered : the acccss of prestige given

[^138]them by the purely lucky capture of Trapezus probably accounts for the abandonment of Chalcedon and Nicomedia, nor is the storming of the other Bithynian cities mentioned: a hundred years later, too, Cyzicus had an enceinte considered impregnable ${ }^{1}$ : Ammianus Marcellinus, in his short summary of the Gothic wars of Gallienus' reign ${ }^{2}$, only notices a siege of Cyzicus (circumsedit multitudo) and Thessalonica, which latter, we know, was not taken. The death of Gallienus (268) which took place a little later, indeed after defeating these same Scythians in Illyria, gives some cluc to the date.

A third descent on Cyzicus occurred during the reign of Claudius Gothicus. A mixed horde of Scythians and Herules passed the Bosporus, and, their ships becoming unmanageable in the mouth of the Hellespont, such as escaped disaster turned on Cyzicus, where, however, they were repulsed ${ }^{3}$. Syncellus apparently includes a version of this affair among the Scythic campaigns of Gallienus, as he mentions a descent of Scythians and Herules on the móp $\theta \mu$ ov $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{K} v \xi^{i} i \kappa o \nu^{*}$.

[^139]
## CHAPTER XXI.

## CONSTANTINE AND HIS SUCCESSORS.

The reorganisation of the province of Asia under Diocletian, about 297 A.D. ${ }^{1}$, made Cyzicus the capital of the Constantine. province of Hellespontus (which included roughly Troas and Lesser Phrygia), the seat of a consular governor ${ }^{2}$, and the head-quarters of a legion ${ }^{3}$ : the division remained, after the introduction of the themes, as the ecclesiastical province of Hellespont under the archbishop of Cyzicus.

Constantine's choice of Byzantium as the new capital of the East was necessarily a great blow to Cyzicene prosperity, meaning as it did the diversion not only of the entire Black Sea trade, but also that of the Mediterranean, to her ancient rival, and reducing her to the position of a mere purveyor, by the land routes reorganised in this reign, to the needs of the new capital4. Protection from the north was indeed secured, but the danger was soon to come from the other quarter. Henceforward we shall find the history of Cyzicus but a feeble echo of that of events in the capital.

A bare hundred years after the invasion of the Goths the

Procoplus, 365 A.D. city was again involved in a civil war ${ }^{1}$. The pretender Procopius, who had already been proclaimed at Constantinople, occupied Bithynia in defiance of Valens, and sent a force under Marcellus against the metropolis of the Hellespontine provincc; the latter was held by Serenianus with a body of imperial cavalry and some irregular troops. We have

[^140]seen from Syncellus' account of the Gothic raids that the city could still be severcd from the mainland: the enceinte was considered impregnable, but Marcellus chose the harbour mouth as his point of attack. The walls were probably (as at Constantinople) continued as moles so as to enclose the port, leaving only the necessary entrance, which was blocked by a chain. An officer of Marcellus' force, advancing under cover of a testudo formed upon three ships lashed together, severed the chain with an axe: the harbour once forced, the town was at the invaders' mercy. Procopius appeared in person and granted an amnesty to the defenders, with the exception of Serenianus, who was sent to Nicaea ${ }^{1}$. With the strongly fortified town he obtained possession of the military treasury. The revolt was put down by Valens in 366.

In the seventeenth year of Justinian ${ }^{2}$ an earthquake destroyed half the city ${ }^{3}$, and to this, probably, Justinian owed the marbles he carried away for the building of S. Sophia ${ }^{4}$ : the example had been set by Constantine, who removed the Dindymene image ${ }^{\text {s }}$ to the forum at Constantinople, and was followed by other rulers, both Greek and Turkish. This earthquake may also have been the beginning of the migration to Artacc of which we shall speak later.

From Heraclius ( $610-641$ ) dates the reorganisation of Asia on the military basis of Themes ${ }^{\text {d }}$, and under him the mint of Cyzicus as of most provincial Byzantine mints is abandoned. The Obsequian theme?, to which Cyzicus belonged, had Nicaea for its capital and included, besides the whole of the Hellespontine province, parts of Bithynia, Galatia and Phrygia: Cyzicus is eighth on the list of its cities as enumerated by Porphyrogenitus.
${ }^{2}$ Zosimus says he escaped but was taken and killed in Lydia, iv. 6.
A A sedition at Cyzicus in this reign, resulling in the murder of the archbishop (Joh. Malal. $4^{80}$ 8, Procop. Bell. Pers. 135-6 в, Mist. Are. sos 8), seems from our scanty aecounts to have been merely the continustion of a Conslantinople party quarrel by John the Cappadocian, who was relegated after the Nika riots to a monastery of the Kapu Dagh: the lalter, Jike Marmara, was a not unusual place of banishment (cf. Theoph. 287 B).
${ }^{3}$ Cedren. 656 ม. Zon. xiv. 6. ${ }^{4}$ Codinus de Stract. S. Sophiae 65.
${ }^{s}$ Possibly also the bronze sundial mentioned as of Cyzieene origin by Codinus de Aed. C. P. 75 日.
${ }^{6}$ Consl. Porph. de Them. p. 25 ม.
${ }^{\text {P }}$ O $\ell \mu a$ rov̂ 'Oџixiov. The Islands counted with the Aegean theme.

Many causes were contributing to her gradual decay；the lack of municipal activity characteristic of provincial towns in the Byzantine period，led to neglect of the channel through the isthmus on which her commercial prosperity depended；though the Byzantine aqueduct across the old harbour shews that the site was not abandoned at once，the activity of the port must have shifted to Artace，where there is a good natural anchorage，quite sufficient，probably，for the reduced shipping． We do not know when this occurred．There is no mention of a town suffering in $1063^{3}$ ，when serious damage was done to the temple of Hadrian by an earthquake，and the site was probably abandoned by this time：the Byzantines use both Cyricus and Artace ambiguously of the island and the town，and so late as the early fourteenth century，when we know from other sources that the old city lay in ruins，Paclymeres refers consistently to ＂Cyzicus＂when his contemporaries speak of Artaki，and even of the Cape of Artace for the Kapu Dagh．

The place，whetherCyzicus or Artace，lay open to any invaders of Constantinople，and felt the first invasion by the Saracens severely．The latter，repulsed with their flect from the sea walls of the capital，retired to Cyzicus for the winter of 668 and seven succeeding years？．Under Justinian II a Cypriote colony took refuge here from the ravages of the same Saracens ${ }^{3}$ ，who are later frequently found ravaging the coasts of the Propontis with impunity＂．Niccphorus Bryennius in 1078，like the Saracens， used the port as a naval basis for his attempt on the capital，and extorted revenues from the inhabitants of the peninsula ${ }^{5}$ ．There is no mention of an action or of a town，and the inhabitants are referred to significantly as ér $\chi$ 由́ptot not mo入irat．

[^141]
## CHAPTER XXII.

TURKS AND FRANKS.

We stand now upon the threshold of the troubled period marked by the first sporadic Turkish invasions of the Hellespontine province. The brunt of their attack fell naturally upon the outlying forts of Apollonia, Lopadium and Poemancnum, rather than on Cyzicus, which, lying far from the fronticrs, has for the Turkish wars no strategic importance till the last act of the drama, when, as we shall see, it formed one of the last rallying places of the Byzantines in Asia.

The reign of Alexius Comnenus is remarkable for a series of

Alexius Comnenus. Turkish raids on Bithynia and the Hellespontine province, still more for the energetic reprisals takcn by the Byzantines; the troops Alexius used for his coup detat were placed under his command by Botaniates for the purpose of avenging the Turkish capture and sack of Cyzicus ${ }^{2}$, but we hear nothing of a recapture, and may conclude from the nature of the subsequent wars that this was a foray rather than an attempt at conquest, and that the invaders dispersed with their booty after the sack.

In 1085 Cyzicus, Apollonia and Pocmanenum were taken and held by the Turkish chieftain Elkhan'. The fleet despatched by Alexius up the river to Apolionia after capturing the outer town, was forced to retire at the news of approaching Turkish reinforccments: the Turks occupied the Lopadium bridge at the outict of the lake and the fleet was annihilated. An army under Upus met with better success, taking Cyzicus by assault: from here a small body of picked troops retook Poemancnum, whereat Apollonia surrendered.

[^142]$$
x-2
$$

In $1113^{1}$ a combined raid of the Emirs devastated Bithynia, and Apollonia fell again into the hands of the Turks. The governor of Cyzicus fled in panic, and the invaders, perhaps concerting with a fleet", captured it "from the side of the sea," the isthmus wall being probably by this time the only defence of the peninsula. The land force then dispersed, one portion taking the coast road by Parium to Adramyttium and Chliara, the other making southwards through the Lentiana to Poemanenum. Camytzes was despatched against them from Nicaea with strict orders not to fight. The Turks, thinking that Alexius was himself on their heels, dispersed to the hills, leaving a great part of their booty in the hands of Camytzes at a place called Aorata. The latter, elated by his success, lingered at Aorata instead of making his way to Poemanenum, where he could have maintained himself pending the arrival of reinforcements. The Turks rallied, defeated his army, and took him prisoner, after which they continued their homeward march. Alexius marched round the eastern spurs of Olympus in order to intercept the retreating Turks further south, and confronting them at Acrocus defeated them with great loss, but was himself severely handled by the second army which had now completed its circuit of the Troad ${ }^{3}$.

A third invasion took place in $1117^{4}$. Alexius, hearing that the Turks were in the plain of Manyas ${ }^{5}$, encamped just short of the Lopadium bridge at the "Spicer's Fountain," intending to

[^143]attack next day. The Turks lighted numerous watch-fires, so as to give a false idea both of their numbers and position, and made off towards Poemancnum in the darkness, perhaps intending to disperse into the hill country of the Kyrmaz Dagh or to retreat south by the Pergamon road; Alcxius, unable to catch them, encamped near Poemanenum with his main body and sent out a small light-armed force which defeated the Turks at Cellia. The succceding emperors consolidated the work of Alexius by the building of Lopadium and Achyraous. A satisfactory comprehension of such guerrilla campaigns is only to be obtained from a first-hand writer as is shewn by the discrepancies between the professional historians and the writers on the spot in the succeeding wars of the Crusaders.

The capture of Constantinople by the Latins and the The Franks. division of the Byzantine empirc among the various fcudal lords was followed by an attempt to extend the Frankish rule into Asia. By the Partitio Romaniae ${ }^{1}$ the emperor received the greater part of north-west Asia Minor, including Nicomedia, Achyraous, Neocastron, Adramyttium, Chliara and Pergamum; Bithynia, where Theodore Lascaris occupied the throne of Nicaea, and the Hellcspontine region suffered severcly ${ }^{2}$ in the struggle for mastery.

In our district lands were allotted to Pierre de Braiecuel, Payen d'Orleans, Anseau de Caycux, and Eustace, brother of the emperor. The first named scems to have obtaincd the lordship of the Kapu Dagh ${ }^{2}$, of which under the name of "terre d'Équise" Villchardouin makes frequent mention: he describes it as "unc terre que la mer clooit tote, sors que unc part, et a l'entrée par où on entroit avoit eu anciennement fortresce dc murs, dc tors, dc fosses."

The first expedition crossed to Pegae, already a colony of Italian traders, in November 1204, and marched cast. Panormus

[^144]was chosen as the headquarters of the Franks, whence they sallied out and ravaged the surrounding country.

Theodore met them on S. Nicholas' day in the plain below Poemanenum ${ }^{3}$ with a much superior force and was defeated with great loss?. Within a week the Crusaders were in possession of Poemancnum (Le Pumenienor) "a very strong castle," Lopadium (Le Lupaire) "one of the best cities of the land," and Apollonia (Le Pulinach) "situated on a lake of fresh water, one of the strongest and best castles one could seek."

They thus held the keys of the Province from the side of Nicaea. Henry of Flanders had meanwhile secured the Troad. The Franks were then recalled by troubles on the European side and forced to abandon all their conquests but Pegae.

Two years later ${ }^{3}$ however they again ravaged the country in revenge for Theodore's alleged neglect of terms. This time the site of Cyzicus was chosen as the headquarters of the army. De Braiecuel began to repair the dilapidated walls and to build two castles at the entrances, and the guerrilla warfare was renewed till Theodore contrived, by intriguing with the Wallachs, to secure the recall of the Franks for home defence (1207). He seized tbe opportunity to attack the isthmus wall and blockade Cius by sea and land. Henry of Flanders came gallantly to the rescue and the relief of Cius was probably the signal for the withdrawal of the Greek troops from Cyzicus also. No sooner, however, had Henry turned thus back than a new attack was made on Cyzicus by sea and land, while the inhabitants of the peninsula and of Marmara revolted against their feudal lords. Henry once more fitted out an expedition and drove the Byzantine fleet down the Hellespont: the army retired and Cyzicus was relieved, but the same year the Greeks insisted on the dismantling of the fortifications in return for a two years' truce.

Pcace did not last long. Bickering began as early as 1208; and in 1211 Henry crossed in person to Pegae and was challenged before its walls by the Greek army ere his whole

[^145]forcc was disembarked': in spite of his opportunity Theodore was defeated. The Franks then reverted to their old tactics, making cavalry raids as far as Lopadium, and probably taking Lentiana on their way? Theodore kept to the hills and contented himself with cutting off supplies till the inhabitants demanded his active interference. He drew Henry into battle as he lay encamped near Lopadium ${ }^{2}$, but the Franks defeated him without the loss of a man. The moral effect of this action was so great that Theodore's troops dared not meet the Franks again, and having retaken most of his old possessions, Henry retired into winter quarters at Pergamon. By the terms of the ensuing peace', Henry's frontier was marked eastwards by Lopadium and southward by Mount Cyminas: the village of Calamus (Gelembe) was neutral, while Pergamus and Chliara were restored to Theodore.

The Latin supremacy was short-lived. In 1220 John Vatatzcs retook Poemanenum, Cyzicus, and almost all the Asiatic conquestss. Pegae alone remained, and this last remnant was surrendered in $1225^{6}$. Except for the unsuccessful campaign of John de Brienne in 12337, who took only the fort called Ceramidas, besides Pegae, and effected nothing permanent, the Crusaders interfcred no more in the history of the Hellespont, and the Turks come again into prominence.

[^146]
## CHAPTER XXIII.

THE TURKISH CONQUEST.
The restored emperors of Constantinople, by fomenting the quarrels of the west, succeeded in recovering from the Franks some part of their ancient dominions in Europe. Asia meanwhile was left to the Turks, and the rise of the Osmanlis was marked by their permanent establishment at Brusa at the opening of the fourteenth century. About the same time the Seljuks of Karassi overran western Asia Minor with fleet and army right up to the shores of the Propontis. In 1303, when the Grand Company under Roger de Flor appeared at the court of Andronicus, the inhabitants of the raided Hellespontine province had fled with their possessions within the wall of the isthmus of Cyzicus, now newly fortified by the energy of the metropolitan Niphon. The emperor, fearing that the accumulation of treasure within the isthmus wall would tempt the cupidity of the Turks, resolved to get rid of his dangerous guests by despatching them to winter quarters at the threatened spot, whence they were to begin the campaign in the following spring.

The two accounts of the occupation of the peninsula by the Catalans differ considerably. Pachymeres ${ }^{1}$ is biassed by a natural jealousy of the foreign troops, shewn also by the emperor Michael, who was imprudent enough to refuse Roger audience in Pegae, and fined the inhabitants for admitting him ${ }^{2}$, and by the Greek troops in general, who constantly refused to concert with the Catalans. The Greek author, therefore, represents the Franks as monsters of iniquity: they spent the whole

[^147]winter carousing, and lcft the fighting to the small Greck contingent under Marules, to whom he assigns the whole credit of the one cngagement near the "Towcr of William": not only this, but the Catalans, aftcr taking no part in the action, insisted on sharing the booty of their Grcck comrades.

Muntaner, the other authority, writes first-hand, and from the standpoint of a Catalan: he considered that Michael had abandoned the dcfence of Cyzicus from shecr cowardice ${ }^{2}$, and was conscquently madly jealous of the Catalans; for the latter within a week from the timc they left Constantinople defeated the Turks, who had been making spasmodic attacks on the isthmus wall, with a loss of 5,000 . A hard-fought battle took place at a spot two leagucs out between two rivers, where the Turks wcre encamped. The engagement must surely be identical with that described by Pachymeres, since each author relates but one notable battle during this winter.

It is worthy of remark that Muntaner always refers to Artaki and the Cape of Artaki, rather than to Cyzicus, of which his only hint is containcd in the description of Artaki as a part of the city of Troy'. Pachymeres, on the other hand, speaks throughout of Cyzicus. Muntaner's description of the situation is very similar to Villehardouin's: "All this cape is defended by a wall built across the cape on the side of the continent of Asia, where it is not more than half a mile across from one sea to the other."

Pachymeres' accounts of the excesses of the soldiery in Cyzicus is perhaps overdrawn, but must havc had a considerable basis in fact. A quartcring of mercenaries on citizens such as Muntaner describes was sure to provoke friction, and the generosity of Roger, so much lauded by his follower, in excusing his soldiery all payments for provisions is less admirable if, as we cannot doubt, the expense came ultimately out of the citizens' pockets.

Mutual jealousies between the leaders of the Alan and Catalan contingents led to disturbances which culminated in

[^148]the murder of the son of the Alan, and it was late spring ${ }^{1}$ when Roger at last set out up the Macestus valley road, by Achyraous to the liberation of Asia; though his subsequent successes, notably at Philadelphia, were conspicuous, the loyalty of the Grand Company was naturally bounded by the extent of the emperor's treasury. When pay failed the usual defects of the mercenary system became obvious, and Roger's troops became the terror of Asia and Greece in turn. Amongst other raids they descended on Proconnesus and Artaki, but the inhabitants, no doubt cherishing bitter menories of their former sojourn, offered an obstinate resistance and beat them off?

From the incident of the Catalans onwards the greater part

Turkith Perlod. of the history of the Hellespont is naturally derived rather from Turkish than from Greek sources, and, owing to the interval between the events described and the literary period of the Turks, the accounts are somewhat inconsistent. Comparatively few, moreover, of the Turkish historians are accessible to any but an Orientalist.

At the opening of the fourteenth century the Hellespont was, as we have seen, practically in the hands of the Seljuks from the south, who overran the country to the very coast, while their frequent naval expeditions rendered both shores of the Propontis insecure. So early as I288 the Scljuk Alaeddin III. had defeated the Tartars in the plain of Pegae ${ }^{3}$. The rising power of the Osmanlis, with its capital at Brusa, adjoined the territory of Karassi on the east. In 1307 Osman fixed his boundary at Ulubad in consideration of service done him by the governor of the latter': an agreement then made forbade the crossing of the bridge by Osman's troops, though the condition did not prevent their crossing "in times of need" by boat. Besbicus was taken by Kara Ali' in 1308, and there seems to have been a temporary occupation of Pegac, one of the last possessions of the Greeks, between 1304 (when Michael was there) and 1328: it may have been retaken by the Catalans:

[^149]In 1328 the Greek towns still remaining in the district werc again harassed by the Karassians, and when the emperor made a pilgrimagc to the Virgin of Artaki, he took the opportunity of interviewing the emir of Karassi at Pegae ${ }^{1}$; the latter received bim with all courtesy and promised to dcsist. A year later the Osmanlis under Orkhan renewed their career of conquest. Nicaea was besieged and Andronicus dcfeated at Pelekanon: the battle was followed by the reduction of Nicaea and the subsequent fall of Nicomedia and Cius.

Orkhan next proceeded to the conquest of Karassi ${ }^{2}$ which scems to have been effected as much by intrigue as by force of arms. Durmis Bey, son of the prince of Karassi, had been brought up at the Osmanli court, and at his father's death took advantage of his brother's unpopularity to offer Orkhan the towns of Aidinjik, Manyas, Balukiser ${ }^{*}$, Bergama, and Edremid if he might retain his own hereditary fiefs in the Troad.

Orkhan marched through Ulubad, receiving the submission of its governor, as of the Greek governors of Kermasti and Mihallitch; and besieged the elder brother of Durmis in Bergama. An attempt at a peaceable settlement was followed by the murder of Durmis, and his brother, the author of the crime, was promptly surrendered by the citizens of Bergamas.

The conquered province was given by Orkhan to his son Suleiman Pasha, who had hitherto ruled in Nicomedia and now chose Bigha as his capital ${ }^{6}$. Orkhan now ruled both sides of the Macestus, and Ulubad perhaps seemed to him more dangerous than useful. The governor of the town was executed on suspicion of treachery, the walls were breached, and Orkhan built a caravanscrai on the site of the city ${ }^{7}$.

The year 1356 marks the first permanent settlement of the Turks in Europe-another step to the fall of Constantinople-

[^150]and with the romantic enterprise of Suleiman pasha, resulting in the capture of Tsympe, near Gallipoli, are associated the ruins of Cyzicus ${ }^{1}$. The dream of the conquest of Europe came to him by chance, say the Turkish legends, when, reviewing his newly acquired territory, he came for the first time to "those strange ruines and marvellous buildings of Solomons Pallace now known by the name of the Fair Prospect, being the place (as they say) to which the throne of Belkis was transported. From the time of the most Excellent Solomon till now the marble stones and mighty pillars of the high fabrick have been transported thence to the edifices of Great Princes and Potentates : and to this very day the Ottoman Kings (whose offspring let God establish on the throne of peace) do bring from thence such wonderfull Stones for their Magnificent Churches and lofty Pallaces that the description of them would be a large subject²."

[^151]Seven years after Suleiman's crossing, Pegae was besieged by Murad I on his way to Europe; isolated on land, and cut off from the capital by the ships from Aidinjik and Gallipoli, it fell an easy prey and with it ended the Greek dominion in Asia ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ Von Hammer 1. $150-151$.

## PART III.

RELIGION.

## CHAPTER XXIV.

## general characteristics, CHRISTIANITY.

IN considering the religion of the Cyzicene district we shall

Rellgion (general). be compelled to make a distinction between Hellenic and native cults, though we can draw no hard and fast line. Speaking broadly, the Hellenic cults, of which that of Kore is the most conspicuous example, were perpetuated in the city, where the Milesian element was strongest, and where there was constant communication with the Hellenic world; while in the country round about survived the immemorial gods of the native rural population.

In Cyzicus certain local deities-especially Cybele and the hero eponymous-received official recognition, it heing understood that they had on the spot a prescriptive right to worship even from aliens. It is this admixture of local cult which gave an individual character to the religion of every town in Hellenism. On the other hand, though the worship of Kore, Athena, Poseidon and others never penetrated to the villages and remained a badge of Hellenism, the Hellenic Zeus, Artemis, and Apollo were everywhere identified in name and art-type with the generally nameless village gods.

From the analogy of similar communities and the general conditions of life we may well suppose that the local gods of a village were few in number but possessed of wide functions: belonged to the Chthonians, rather than the Olympians: concerned themselves with the health of man and heast, the fertility of the soil, and the control of the elements: revealed their will
by oraeles given in dreams': and possessed a ecrtain primitive moral aspect as avengers of blood and of perjury. Very intimate with their worshippers, they accepted sacrifices from their flocks and herds and delighted in their rustic dances and erude, or even gross, religious plays: these concerned themselves for the most part with the mysteries of generation, birth, and death considered with regard to men, beasts and crops.

Characteristic of the village cults are the numerous religious societies or thiasi ${ }^{2}$, not only or even generally, as we shall see, connected with the worship of Dionysus: they are commonly organized under a leader who probably gave his pupils ${ }^{3}$ instruction in ritual, and duly initiated them into the mysteries: the religious banquet, which connects the worship of the gods with that of the dead, is evidently an important fcature of these societies, and the records of them may well be collected here: the type occurring on reliefs resembles a reduplicated "funcral banquet""; it occurs
(I) On the "Nicaean" stele (III. 38 A ) in conncetion with (Zeus) Cybele and Apollo.
(2) On a dedication to Artemis and Apollo (IV. 57).
(3) On a dedication to Zeus Hypsistos, with relicf of Zeus, Apollo, and Artemis (IV. I3).
(4) On a stele where the god's name is absent (Iv. 89).
(5) Members' subseriptions in money and kind are commemorated on a Sari Keui stele of Zeus (IV. 30). Musicians are also represented on (I) and (3).
All these features we have enumerated are characteristic of normal, low-culture, village religion on both sides of the Acgean; we associate it with Thrace and Asia rather than with Greece proper, simply because the village conditions are more in evidence there, and the gods of Homeric literature had not eneroached with the refinements of city life.

The crude and orgiastic side of the Eleusinians or Dionysus is undoubtedly reinforced from Thrace and Asia, but the cult

[^152]had originally that character before it was affected by the less primitive ideas of townsfolk.

The villagers around Cyzicus, almost entirely Hellenic in their nomenclature, preserved the same forms of religion at least till the coming of Christianity. Philetaerus, late in the third century, saw them go up to dance before their daemons, remarked their regard for sacred trees, and vanquished for the moment their miraculous pictures and images: but to this day the dervish and the dedé remain to Islam, and the sacred well, often with the attendant tree ${ }^{1}$, and the wonder-working eikon to Christianity ${ }^{2}$.

Of the early Church in the Cyzicus district we have scant record. Inscriptions-the oldest are the two illiterate gravestones
 no information, and the Ottoman conquest has destroyed the churches and with them their traditions, save in the mountainous coast-districts of the Kapu Dagh and the Kara Dagh and in the islands. Even there records are non-existent and the inhabitants retain little but a vague idea of the destruction "by the Pope" of once important foundations.

The life of S. Philetaerus ' attributes the origin of the Christian community of Poketos to S . Paul on his journey from Galatia to Assos's and represents the new religion as existing both there and at Cyzicus before Constantine. Miletupolis and Apollonia were also reputed early bishoprics. Julian notices ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a persecution of "so-called heretics" at Cyzicus, perhaps the Novatians whose church he ordered to be erected by the bishop?.

Wonder-working relics of S. Philetaerus and of Theogenes

[^153]and the seven martyrs of April $29^{\circ}$ werc preserved at Cyzicus, and the well that sprang from the blood of S. Tryphaena ${ }^{2}$-a curious parody of the Cleite legend-had miraculous effects. Other local martyrs were Fausta and Evilasius, martyred under Decius ${ }^{3}$ and Myron ${ }^{4}$, while of the bishops, Germanus ${ }^{5}$, Acmilianus ${ }^{\circ}$, and Proclus ${ }^{7}$ were canonised. The favourite dedications of churches in the district arc the Virgin (espccially Koí $\mu \eta \sigma$ os भुิ Mavarias), S. George and S. Michael ${ }^{1}$.

The name "Kodja Kilissch" given to the ruins of Hadrian's temple perhaps imply that it was uscd as a church, which would cxplain its late survival. The memory of Hadrian was ticated kindly by the Christian churches and his bust was still allowed to crown the pediment of the temple in the sixth century?.
${ }^{1}$ Theogenes was buried in, the villa of Adamantus near Cyzicus; the place was later visited as a healing shrine. The head of John the Baptist was said to have been brought from Cyzicus to Constantinople by Theodosius (Chron. Paseh. 564).

2 Jan. 3 t.
${ }^{3}$ Sept. 20. ${ }^{4}$ Ang. $57 .{ }^{3}$ May $52 . \quad{ }^{6}$ Aug. 8.
${ }^{7}$ Oct. 24 . See also Asseman, Acta Martyrum I, Acta SS. Siratonicac ct Seleuri.
${ }^{6}$ Many local saints, including S. Philctacrus, are honoured with panegyrcis in the islands. Cf. above, p. 33.

Jo. Malal. 279.

## CHAPTER XXV.

## KORE.

ApPIAN" tells us that "the Cyzicenes honoured Kore above all the gods." He speaks of course of the Milesian colony, who like their fathers in Milctus and Athens held fast the Hellenic traditions of Eleusis. Kore has no part in the Argonaut legend, and we hear of no ancient shrine or image; there is not a trace of her outside the city, where the native goddesses are replaced rather by Artemis as Hellenism advances.

An aetiological legend, to account for the presence of Besbicus ${ }^{2}$, relates that Kore interfercd on behalf of Cyzicus when the giants were blocking the Rhyndacus' mouth. Another tradition, however, ascribed the island to the agency of Poseidon.

The island of Cyzicus was reputed her dowrys but this honour was claimed by several cities", while the rape-lcgend was located, not to mention Eleusis and Sicily, in many parts of Asia: the only record of this in connection with Cyzicus is Propertius's
"Raptorisque tulit qua via Ditis equos."
One is inclined to associate this with a later version of the Harpagia myth. All known sources, however, connect the locality with the Ganymede legend.

In the later cycle of myth, which gathered round the siege of Mithradates, Korc is characteristically prominent: a black heifer is said to have swum from the mainland through the opposing fleet to be sacrificed at her festival ${ }^{4}$ and the goddess appeared in person to Aristagoras and encouraged the citizens with

[^154][^155]promises of aid：the following day the engines of Mithradates were blown down by a supernatural wind attributed to her agency ${ }^{1}$ ．


Fig．18．Coin of Cyzicus with Head of Kore Soteira．
The only title of Kore known at Cyzicus is that of Sotcira， which is excessively frequent on impcrial coins ${ }^{2}$ ，and may have had a special reference to the divine interposition during the siege．

Her festivals were called Фєрефаттєia³，Kopeiá，ミ゙штク́pıa，


The symbol of the torch，which is closely connected with the The altar of mysteries，appears on numerous autonomous coins Persephone． of Cyzicus，including the pieces struck with the types of Alexandcr and Lysimachus ${ }^{7}$ ．On imperial coins the torch is encircled by a serpent，and one of the more remarkable types，certainly as early as Trajan，shews two of these torches flanking a great altar with a door and frieze of bucrania，sur－ mounted by three figures．This altar is also represented on several stelae of Cyzicus found at Samothrace；the Cyzicencs were on very intimate terms with the sanctuary of the Cabiri during the republican period ${ }^{3}$ ，perhaps from its association with Jason．A．sketch of the same altar，seen by Cyriac apparently in Samothrace，is published by Rubensohn ${ }^{10}$ ．At Cyzicus
－ 1 Plui．Lwewll．io．

${ }^{*}$ Plul．Lucull． $10 . \quad$＇Str．98．＇${ }^{\circ}$ Dittenberger，Sy／l．798．
${ }^{3}$ Dumont，Inscre．de Thrace， 392.
7 Muller，p． 223.
${ }^{5}$ C．I．G．${ }^{2158}$ ．Ath．Mitth．xvill． 355 （2），（3）．
${ }^{0}$ C．I．G． 2157,2158 ．Ath．Mith．xvill． 355 （1），（4），（5）．
${ }^{10}$ Misr．Heiligth．166；a strikingly similar altar occurs on a coin of Pergamon， B．M．Catal．pl．xxix． 8 （Faustina 11．）．

Cyriac copied an inscription of which he gives us the following translation:
> "llustrissimi heroes et optimi Cyzicenorum civitatis cives maximae inferiali et coclesti dearum gloriosae nympharum a Jove productarum Persephonae talem construxerunt aram'."

Reinach considers that the inscription is an invention of Cyriac's, based on a misapprchension of the word $\eta p \omega s$ and the occurrence of the name Kóp in the inscription he copied below: But Cyriac, after describing the inscription as "epigramma ad Proserpinae templum" (i.e at the temple of Hadrian", which perhaps this epigram made him attribute to Persephone") conscientiously inserts aram in the inscription copied. Now certain imperial coins ${ }^{8}$ shew the altar standing beside the temple of Hadrian. The phraseology of the inscription, "heroes" especially, points to a late datc, so the great altar may have bcen built first in the republican period-the Hellenistic age is remarkable for several such buildings, e.g. at Pergamon and, nearer home, at Parium ${ }^{6}$ and restored under the Antonines, very likely in connection with the imperial cult; the last line runs easily: חероєфóvp $\beta \omega \mu$ ò̀ тоюи̂тоу धंт $\varphi \kappa о \delta \circ \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \sigma a \nu$. The third referred perhaps to Faustina's descent from the "Olympian Saviour" Hadrian.
"The great Mysteries of the Saviour Maid"" are evidently, so The Mysterica. far as the city of Cyzicus was concerned, the mysteries, which were given official recognition and formed an integral part of the state worship.

Strabo tells us that at Miletus the ancient royal race of Athens retained the title of King, certain kingly honours, and the control of the Eleusinian rites. Now "Basileus" and its abbreviations are among the commonest of the titles which occur in the Cyzicene Prytany lists. We find also in inscriptions $\mu v \sigma \tau a \rho-$


[^156]
 II. 20, C.I.G. 2158 ), as well as $\mu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \eta s$ which occurs in nearly every list.

It may well be that the кá $\lambda \lambda \iota \frac{1}{}$ superintended by the Prytaneis in their second month of office was the precinct of the Elcusinian goddcsses. In this case the officers would be necessarily Basileis. The hero Basileus slain by Telamon ${ }^{2}$ was probably a mythological eponym of the clan. The name occurs also in the list of archontes mentioned on coins.
${ }^{1}$ Ap. Rhod. I. $10+3$.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

## THE MOTHER OF THE GODS.

Unlike the Hellenic Kore, the essentially Phrygian Mother of

Mother of the Oods. the Gods was worshipped all over the Cyzicus region under many names, most of which are obviously of local signification. Characteristically she is only once called Cybele ${ }^{1}$ in inscriptions: she is usually called by the vague "Mother" (of the Gods) and her local adjective. The gencral character of her worship is well known: it was considered barbarous by the Greeks, who were in their best times averse to the religious frenzy, sclf-mutilation and noisy ritual wbich were inscparable from it ${ }^{2}$. Some idea of the cycle of myth connected with her cult may be glcaned from the wild farrago of obscenity and fetishism, not without a tincture of poetic idea, handed down to us by Pausanias?. It was probably a religion for the natives throughout the history of Cyzicus: and the Mother was always a foreigner to the Cyzicenes, though a foreigner that must be conciliated. She had no part in their later heroic period, nor in their imperial cultus.

The Dindymene mother is the great goddcss of the Cyzicene

> Dindymenc. peninsula. The epithet is probably local and derived, as we have said ${ }^{〔}$, from a double hill on which the first temple was placed.

The establishment of her worship was ascribed to the Argo-

[^157]nauts ${ }^{1}$, though she is represented as the powerful goddess of the region before this: the legend, of whatever date its origin, is evidence for the extremely early foundation of the cult. From it we gather that the original image was of vinewood? that the tympana of Cybcle were here first devised ${ }^{8}$, and that in the neighbourhood was a sacred grove. In conncction with these evidences of tree-worship it is interesting to note the frequent occurrence of a trec resembling a fig-trce on the votive stelae of the district, especially on 1V. 3, where a tree with cymbals on it takes the place of the goddcss, and the statement in the Life of S. Philetaerus that certain cypresses were intimately associated with the pagan worship at Poketos, so latc as the third century A.D.

The goddess was worshipped together with two Curetcs of supcrior rank.

Titias is represented ${ }^{6}$ as a local hero and a son of Zeus; he is probably a Phrygian ancestor-god and a form of Attis, who was regarded later on as a Zeus Hades, and the mate of the Great Mother-the only inscription of Dindymene ${ }^{7}$ pairs her with Zcus. Of Cyllcnus we know nothing more, but the name connects him with the Arcadian Cyllene and he probably took the form of Hermes Psychopompus or Cadmilus ${ }^{8}$.

The feminine element is obviously the most important in the trinity of Dindymon, a rclic perhaps of a matriarchal system.

The image attributed to the Argonauts was carried off by

[^158]Constantine to adorn his new capital; he altered its pose to suit his taste to that of a woman praying', from which Amelung ${ }^{1}$ conjectures with great probability that the image was a standing figure flanked by lions (the "Oriental Artemis" or mótvıa Onpêv type), not the usual throned figure?

The worship of Dindymene at Proconnesus may have been founded from Cyzicus: if there was not a Dindymon there also, the name is widely spread, and Agathocles ${ }^{4}$ mentions a legend that the stone of Rhea came from Proconnesus; popular deities, e.g. Andeiris below, Placiane and Adrasteia, werc frequently introduced in this manner. The image, which was of gold and hippopotamus ivorys, was stolen by the Cyzicenes on their acquisition of the island, so Constantine's plundering comes as poetic justice on the Cyzicenes.

Two interesting inscriptions of the first century B.c. ${ }^{6}$ com-
Placlate. memorate a "Placian Mother of the Gods" perhaps introduced into Cyzicus after the absorption of the little town of Placia: at any rate we have a clear case of the adoption by the metropolis of a country cult, with which we may compare the centralising of the deme cults in Athens.

The Placian mother was served by "hieropoei called thalassiae," recalling the maritime origin of the deity, and probably connected with the ritual washing of the image ${ }^{7}$ : by a second body called ovvтeגoûбat ròv кó $\sigma \mu \circ \nu$, probably the makers of

[^159]some ritual robe for the image like the peplus of Athena ${ }^{1}$ : and by a third body of priestesses without a special name. The priestess of the Placian mother, whom the inscriptions commemorate, was also chief priestess of Artemis Munychia, and


Fig. 19. Stele dedicated to Tolupiane (Inscr. iv. 4).
${ }^{1}$ Cf. C.K.G. 2869 (Miletus), C.I.G. 395 (Athens).
of the Mother and the Maid. The festival apparently fell on the 5th Taureon'. The curious incidental mention of the "parthenon" of the Placian Cybele, involving the mystic paradox of the virginmother, is not inconsistent with the Asiatic or pre-Greek use of the word $\pi a \rho \theta$ évos as equivalent to unmarried?


Fig. 20. Stele dedicated to Andeiris (Inscr. 1v. 5).
Kotyana, a third name of the Mother, betrays its Thracian origin. The name occurs in full on one inscription (1. 2), and is perhaps to be restored in IV. 3. Kotys was the name of a Thracian goddess', of several Thracian kings, of a hero slain by the Argonauts at Cyzicus4. It occurs again in Cotyaeum and Cotyora, a village in Pontus ${ }^{\text {s }}$.

Tolupiane is the title given to the goddess on a large stele

[^160] from Debleki", where there are said to be ruins ${ }^{7}$, dedicated apparently by a village corporation. The name is connected with a process used in the preparation

[^161]of wool', which may have been the chief industry of the village?

Andciris, on a stele found in Cyzicus itself3, is a local adjective derived from Andeira in the Troad, where the goddess had a sacred cave. The figure differs from the ordinary types of Cybele, being represented rising from the ground and accompanied by Hermes. This shews the practical rclation of Cybele to Demeter. The provenanee of the similar stele of Andirene ${ }^{4}$ is unknown, but it is very probably from the Troad: the epithet is perhaps to be restored in a fragment from the lower Kara-deré".

Lobrine. Lobrinc is only known from Nicander's verse ${ }^{\text {© }}$
...n̄í ve 'Peins


Kiepert ${ }^{\text {y }}$ considers that the mountain Lobrinon mentioned by the scholiast can only be that opposite Cyzicus (=Adrasteia), but on his map of Western Asia he gave the name to Klapsi conjecturally. The scholiast seems to me to be no wiscr than oursclves as to the topographys. The passage is important as our only direct evidence for the cult of Attis in the Cyzieus district ${ }^{9}$ with which perhaps the boar-type on the autonomous silver coins may be connected. The favourite form of the youthful male god as we shall see was Apollo.

Domna is a name given to Cybele or Kore by Marquardt on the evidence of a coin in Mionnet ${ }^{10}$. But the word Domma. is only a misreading for Athena ${ }^{21}$.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Suidas, Ef. Mag. s.v. Todöry.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Poemaneni and the modern Yappaji Keui, Tchoban Keui, etc., all indicating the occupation of the villagers.
${ }^{3}$ IV. 5.
${ }^{3}$ 1v.6. Alexiph. 7. $\quad$ Notes on Forwae Orbis, ix



- But cf. Attou Kome, Inscr. v. as, Dionysus Altoudenos, iv. $6_{t}$, and the hill of Atys near Hadrianutherae mentioned by Aristides. [Since the above was written a torso of Attis from Cyzicus has been pulalished in the Brusa Muscum Calalogue (Mendel, 8).]
${ }^{10}$ No. 168. $\quad 11$ Imboof, Monnaies Grecques, p. 244, No. 86.

Adrasteia has since Marquardt's time been gcnerally acknowledged' as a form of Cybelc confused by a false Adrastela. etymology of Greek mythographers with Nemesis "whom nonc may escapc": the two were worshipped together in Cos?. We may consequently ignore from the point of view of Cyzicus all passages wherc Adrasteia is used as a synonym for Nemesis without specific reference to the divinity of the Troad and Mysia.

The name Adrastus was associated with the Homeric city Adrasteia on the Granicus plain; where no doubt Adrasteia and the hero Adrastus ${ }^{3}$ were worshipped together like Aeneas and Aphrodite Aeneas: the prominence of the female elcment denotes an originally matriarchal cult, and is exactly paralleled by the Cybelc and Attis legend: their essential identity is shewn by the cult of Mother Adrastos and Attes at Attouda in Phrygia:

Adrasteia, then, may bc rcgarded as thc home of this particular form of Cybele: there was, howcever, no shrine there in Strabo's time; one existed, he says, near Cyzicus", evidently on the hill ovcriooking the isthmus and the peninsula which bore the name of the goddess ${ }^{\text {s }}$ : the existence of this ancicnt temple was probably seized upon eagerly as a link between Cyzicus and the Homeric cycle, though it may have no connection with the city on the Granicus any more than with Adrastus the Argive. The existence of the temple would bcheld tangible evidence for the legend that King Cyzicus married a lady of Homeric descent instead of a mere Thessalian.

The Mysian goddess appears in three forms, divine, scmidivine and heroic'.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Preller-Plew, Gr. Myth. p. 538. Farnell, p. 499, Note 138 A. Pauly. Wissowa, s.v. Ramsay, Phrys. 11. +32. The identification was reached (i) by a derivation from a. and dodporrce, and (z) by connection with the fate of the (Argive) Adrastus (Zenob. 1. 30, and Leutsch's note in Paroem. Gr. Cf. also Hesych., s.v.). Demetrius of Scepsis' identification of Adrasteia with Artemis only shews the essential identity of the Asiatic Artemis and the Mother.
${ }^{2}$ Paton and Hicks, 137 , No. 104. Nemesis was worshipped near Brusa, Alh. Milth. xxix. 3 It.
${ }^{3}$ Hesychius (s.v. 'Adpágrou dpûs) mentions a place on the Granicus called 'the oak of Adrastus."
${ }^{4}$ Ramsay, Phryg. 166, 169. ${ }^{5}$ Str. 575 . Plut. Lukull. 9.
${ }^{7}$ Cf. Titias above, who is at once a son of Zeus, Idacan dactyl, and Mariandyne lero.
(1) As a goddess obviously identical with Cybele and associated like her with the Idaean Dactyls?
(2) As one of the mountain nymphs who nursed the infant Zeus on Ida?. The childhood of Zeus was cvidently connected with the $\pi \in \delta i o \nu N \eta \pi \eta i o \nu$ around the Granicus ${ }^{3}$ and the sisters of Adrastcia, Helice and Cynosura werc said to have been changed into bears on the Aretonnesust.

Mention of a society of Bacchi named after Cynosura ${ }^{\text {B }}$ inclines onc to the belief that the latter was also a local goddess, while Helice is connected with Thessaly and with the Arcadian Lycaon ${ }^{6}$. It is quite possible that these two along with Adrasteia fell, after the canonisation of Rhea Cybele by the literary religion, from their position of local Mothers of God to the subordinate catcgory of nurses of Zeus, who necd not logically be limited in number.
(3) Adrasteia is reduced a second time to a merely heroic figure-the daughter of Melisseus-parallcl with Adrastus himself; probably by a Euhemeristic interpretation of the myth assisted by the fact that these primitive Phrygian deities were earth-gods and so not to be sharply distinguished from heroes; indeed a tribal hero and a tribal god are essentially identical.

Of Demeter we find only slight traces at Cyzicus, in spite of the national importance of Kore. The priestess of
Demeter. the Placian Mother was also pricstess of the Mother and the Maid, and a sacrifice to Poseidon Asphaleios and Ge Karpophoros is prescribed by the Delphic oracle of B.C.H. Vr. 454; her head also appears frcquently on coins, as does the running figure with torches in either hand: it is obvious that she

[^162]must have been important in the Mysteries, though perhaps beyond this connection her sphere was limited by the power of the aboriginal Mother-goddess, more especially outside the Greek city itself.

Isis, who according to late Greek ideas was only another avatar of Demeter, will be discussed in connection with Serapis.

## CHAPTER XXVII.

## ZEUS AND ASKLEPIOS.

Zeus is known to us at Cyzicus as Soter (on coins)d, an
Zews Soter. epithet of such extremely widc application that we cannot decide as to the aspect considcred, and "A ros ${ }^{2}$, of which we have no particulars, though we may conjecture with much probability that it refersed to an Avenger of Blood.

The Zeus of the villages was a deity with close affinities to the Phrygian-a chthonian type with an clemental side, and probably, like most rural deities, a "god of all work" invoked under various epithets in various circumstances. Most characteristic is the epithet Hypsistos ${ }^{3}$, which, so far from having any Olympian connection, designates a (chthonian) healing god as the reliefs from the Pnyx ${ }^{4}$, Cyprus ${ }^{8}$ and elsewhere shew. This god was variously identified with Zcus or the Sun', and sometimes left quite vague ( $\theta$ còs $\tilde{v} \psi$ toros $)^{7}$, from which we may conclude that Hypsistos is a dim and early conception later identified with Zeus, but capable also of being identified through its solar aspect with Apollo, and through its healing side with Asklepios. The identification would depend probably on whether the elemental (Zeus), prophetic (Apollo) or healing (Asklepios) side was most strongly pronounced; also upon the period when the god was Hellenised, and possibly on the existing type of cultus-image.

This god is commonly represented standing, with a mantle

[^163]wrapped about his middle, holding the hasta pura in his left hand and extending the patera with his right: he is often associated with a tree, in the branches of which, or on the ground, an eagle sits. The central object on most votive reliefs is an altar, on the right side of which stands the god, while from the left his worshippers approach with sacrifice.


Fig. 2i. Stele dedicated to Zeus Chalazios (inscr. iv. 23).
Of this type of relief we have examples:
(1) From Sari Keui (Zeleia). IV. 27.
(2) From Panderma. IV. 27.
(3) From "Nicaea." III. 20A.
(4) From Triglia. IIr. 36.
(5) From Thrakia Kome. Iv. 23 (Fig. 21).
(6) At Hodja Bunar. IV. 28.
(7) At Harakhi. (J. H. S. Xxiv. 29.)
（8）At Kazak Keui（figured in J．H．S．xxiv．22）．
（9）At Kermasti．III． 26.
（10）At Hissar Alan．Ath．Mitth．XxiN． 300.
（11）From near Gunen（Iv．21？Z．Olbios ？）．Coins of Cyzicus（Zeus Soter）and Hadrianutherae（Num． Chron．1895，p．98，17）reproduce the type ${ }^{\text {5 }}$ ．
Of these（5）alone preserves the epithet（Xa入áそios इ゙ $\omega \zeta \omega \nu$ ） shewing that on the occasion of this dedication，the elemental aspect，as sender of，or protector from，hail＇，was uppermost， though iv． 9 （Mihallitch）shews by its double epithet＂Hypsistos Brontaios ${ }^{3 "}$ that this need not debar us from considering the Zeus Chalazios also a Zeus Hypsistos．We have nothing by which to estimate the character of Zeus Olbios（presumably similar to Plousios）；the Zeus Crampsenus of Balia ${ }^{8}$ or the Zeus Orneus of Halone ${ }^{6}$ ．

The same type of Zeus is shewn also on the Van Branteghem fragment ${ }^{7}$ ，and the Panderma trinity stele ${ }^{8}$ where he is definitely Hypsistos．We have thus the following trinities：
（Dindymon）Titias，Cyllenus，Cybele？
（Van Branteghem）Zeus，Hermes，Cybele．
（Panderma）Zeus，Apollo，Hekate－Artemis．
（＂Nicaea＂）Zeus，Apollo，Cybele ${ }^{10}$ ．
and possibly
（Zeleia）Zeus（ef．above），Apollo，Artemis．
All of these are composed of two male and one female deity， as is the Phrygian trinity of Zeus，Apollo，and Hecate ${ }^{11}$ ．I believe
${ }^{1}$ This is very possibly the ancient god of Aristides＇aeighbourhood．The title of Olympius，with the accompanying seated cultus－image，need not be earlier than Hadrian＇s foundation．Here alone，in Aristides＇dedication（Inscr．1v．3r）do we find a trace of Hera．
${ }^{2}$ For hail－charms sce Frazer＇s notes on Paus，11．15，13． 34.
${ }^{2}$ Two sypes of Thunder Zeus occur on Imperial coins．B．Af．Catal．zSO， 88 r．
${ }^{4}$ iv．17－30．${ }^{8}$ 1v．8，9． 1 F .140
${ }^{3}$ Aish．Mitth．XVI．191．．Iv．s3．Apoll．Rhod．s． 1136.
${ }^{50}$ The two＂Nicaean＂stelae are obviously from one shrine．
${ }^{11}$ Kamsay，Phrygia，il． 566 （ 468 ），though Ramsay conjecturcs the Mother－ daughter－and－son conjunction for the rplerevue mentioned I． 357 （ 5 j1）．Cf．also the coin of Germe with Asklopias，Apollo，Artemis－Hecnte（B．M． $32=$ Mionn． 278 ，Sufp． 53\％），and Prean，Mên and Hecate at Assarlar（／．／J．S．xvit． 382 （48）），all of which go to prove that the usual trinity was of two males and one female divinity．
that the prominence of the Mother-and-daughter conception was Eleusinian merely. The relationship does not occur among the pairs we have found about Cyzicus, which are:

Zcus and Cybele. IV. I.
Hermes and Cybele (Andiris). IV. 5.
Apollo and Cybele. III. 38 A, IV. 70.
Attis and Lobrine, (besides Apollo and Artemis)
which are composed of one male and one female; and
Asklepios and Apollo. L. Io,
(perhaps formerly Zeus Hypsistos and Apollo,)
Zeus and Apollo'. (Pliny, Xxxvi. 22.)
Apollo and Hermes. 1V. 74.
Zeus Soter and Heracles. IV. 26.
with which we may compare the usual conception of the Cabiri as Hades and Dionysus: here we are justified in supposing a female element, just as at Elcusis, where Demeter and Kore are supreme, the male element is indispensable for the divine reproduction which is an essential part of these cults.

Uf Asklepios we have only one late stele from Cyzicus ${ }^{2}$, but we may date his advent during the Pergamene period. The transition from the $\theta$ còs $\tilde{v} \psi \iota \sigma \tau o s$ is slight, and we have suggested that the great temple of Asklepios at Poemanenum was originally a healing shrine of Zeus and Apollo.

Asklepios figures frequently on the imperial coins not only of Cyzicus, but of Apollonia, Hadrianutherae, and Poemanenum, and we have record of games called Meyá $\lambda a{ }^{\text {'A }} \mathrm{A} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi t \epsilon i a$ in Inscr. III. 40. Remains of a temple, among which a base of a Telesphorus statue was found, vouch for Asklepios' presence at Balia-Bazar².

[^164]Serapis and Isis, the Egyptian gods of death and the under-

Scrapis and Isis. world, arc eminently adapted for equation with the native gods we have just noticed: indeed Serapis was himself originally of Northern Asiatic descent ${ }^{3}$, though coloured by his residence in Egypt.

In a port like Cyzicus foreign cults would naturally gain a footing earlys. A terra-cotta plaque of Isis in snake form riding on the waves has been published by Dr Mordtmanns. We find also two dcdications to the divine pair, dating from the second or first century B.C., crected by bodies of Therapeutae: such lay organizations, characteristic of the date, are indispensable in mystic cults where much depends on instruction in ritual and sacred lore, and we have frequent mention of societies owning a spiritual head in the other country cults of the district: all the names on the Serapis stelae, including those of the instructors, are pure Greek.

Another interesting monument of the cult is the hymn to Serapis and Isis discovered on the site of Cyzicus by Carabella ${ }^{8}$; it is written in a lyrical metre, and in excessively crabbed Greck though neither illiterate nor of late date, and finds an exact parallel in the contemporary hymn from Ciuse.

Relics of the cult are also to $b c$ found in a grave-stone from Bcsbicus; with relicf of the two Egyptian death divinitics, and in a second dedication to Isis, in her agricultural faculty as Karpophoros*, found so far inland as Hammamli-by-Manyas"; this shews that the cult penetrated naturally to the village communities, probably mingling with the crudc and amorphous religion of the rustic population ${ }^{50}$.
${ }^{2}$ Tac. Hisf. Iv. 84.
${ }^{2}$ Zeus Ammon appears on two staters (Greenwell 3, 4 : cf. Inscr. r. 24), perhaps in compliment to Cyrene.
${ }^{3}$ Ner. Arch. 1879, 257.
*iv. 3t, 35. Cf. IV. 37, a small dedication to Isis.
8 sv. $36 . \quad$ C.I.G. 37 2. 7 v. 214 . iv. 3 8.

- In $100+$ I procured in Panderma a bronze statuette of Osiris sait to come from Manyas ; it is of Plolemaic datc, and not remarkable except for its provenance.
${ }^{30}$ Other relics of the cult in Northem Asia Minor, especially numismatic, are collected by Drexler in Num. Leilschr. 1889, p. 48 ff .


## CHAPTER XXVIII.

## APOLLO AND ARTEMIS.

Apollo, as we should expect in an Ionian colony, especially

Apollo and Artemis. of Miletus, makes his appearance early in the history of Cyzicus. He is reprcsented, we have seen, as the grandfather or even the father of the eponymous hero, and was probably worshipped in this connection as Patroüs: of this cult we have but a hint in the funeral oration of Aristides over Eteoneus², when Apollo Patroils is associated with King Cyzicus as one of the protecting daemons of the state.

The Argonauts, again, sacrifice at Cyzicus to Apollo= 'EкBários, on which the Scholiast comments as follows: "Deilochus says the shrine was not of Apollo Ekbasios but Apollo Iasonius; Socrates...says it was called after Apollo Cyzicenus." The three accounts do not of course contradict one another, the two being merely refinements on the fairly common epithet Ekbasios. One can well imagine a cult patronized by sailors to which a mythological origin was later assigned. The above is, however, not strong evidence for a pre-Milesian shrine of Apollo in Cyzicus, and it seems probable that the earliest seat of Apollo in the Cyzicus district was not in the city itself but at Zeleia, which was for a great part of its history within the Cyzicene territory.

Of the other titles of Apollo at Cyzicus, Aristides ${ }^{3}$ lays quite

Archegeten.
 by no means so unique as he appeared to suppose. Marquardt takes this merely as equal to oiktotis, referring to the Didymean Apollo of Miletus who had sanctioned the colony. We know indeed from various inscriptions that the connection between Cyzicus and the Milesian shrine lasted down into


[^165]do not bear out this interpretation: at Hierapolis for instance the Apollo Lairbenos had apparently nothing to do with a colony, and it is not in this sense that Sipylene is called $\dot{c} \rho \chi^{\eta}{ }^{\eta}$ yétıs in C.I.G. 3387. The word is probably an expression of the ultimate fatherhood of the Phrygian ancestor-god. It is given to the Venus Genetrix claimed by the Julii as the foundress of their clan, and is thus an equivalent of Patroüs; it belongs to the characteristic elass of divine names which stand between the name proper and the epithet. It was this reticence as to the real name of the god which rendered the Anatolian deities peculiarly liable to a nominal Hellenism.


Fig. 22. Relief of Apollo as Citharozdus (Aidinjik).

We have already spoken at some length in Part I. of the Zeleian Apollo, giving some reason for supposing that his shrine
was actually at the hot springs of Gunen; this would account for his healing side; the scholiast on Iliad V. 103 attributes three other functions to the god-prophetic .power, which is his most prominent attribute, and skill in archery-as patron of archers he gives the bow to Pandarus-and in music. His musical side is accentuated by the fact that all the monuments from the Cyzicene district represent him in the robes of the "citharoedus," except, curiously enough, a fragment of a stele built into the church at Sari Keui itself where he is shewn naked.

A particularly beautiful tetradrachm of Cyzicus ${ }^{1}$ shews him with the lyre and omphalos, and a long series of autonomous copper adopt the tripod type. A prophecy given to Olympias "in Cyzicus" appears to prove that he had an oracular shrine there': but it is at least possible that the reference is to the Zeleia -Gunen oracle. It is rather the rule than the exception to find the most important shrines outside cities, and an oracle especially depends on physical conditions.

In the villages of the district Apollo was especially popular4, and a fair number of votive stelae have come down to us.

The commonest of his epithets is Krateanos, a name with
Krateanos. Bithynian affinitiess, which occurs on a number of stelae from two distinct localities ${ }^{4}$. One series comes from a spot three hours from Manyas and nine from Balukiser? and the other from a hill above Artakis : most of this latter find, Dr Mordtmann tells me, are now in the Imperial Museum. The two stelae at Bebek may probably be attributed to one series or the other; one of them (IV. j0) has the epithet Krateanos, the

[^166]

Fig. 23. Stele dedicated to Apollo Krateanos (Inscr. IV. 43).
other (IV. 5I) Mecastenus, which suggests at once the Macestus vallcy ${ }^{1}$.

Apollo Tadocomeites (perhaps " of the village of Tatas") is known only from one stele, the exact provenance of which seems to be unknown.

All these, as we have said, represent Apollo as Citharoedus with lyre and patera, generally receiving a sacrifice from more or less numerous worshippers. A trec is very frequently placed behind the altar; and a second relief referring to the religious banquet is characteristic (IV. 50, 57).

Apollo is associated with Artemis in IV. 56 A, 5\%. 59, with Cybele on the relief of the Poseidon and Aphrodite stele ${ }^{3}$, as also on one the "Nicaean" votives ${ }^{2}$ : and once with Artemis-Hekate and Zeus Hypsistos:

The stele of the cataphracti from Ulubad ${ }^{\text {I }}$ I attribute to Kazeol. Apollo rather than Poseidon (- $\omega \nu$ alone is left of the god's name) in spite of the subject, since 1 believe it to be a village dedication. The contingent is too small to be that of Cyzicus, and the epithet suggests Phrygian affinities (the name "Kasios" is very frequent in Sterrett's inscriptions ${ }^{7}$ which are more suitable for an indigenous god.

The solar side of the conception is represented by a dedication to Helios ${ }^{8}$ and a relief of a radiated bust ${ }^{\text {e }}$.

We have spoken of Artemis Thermaea ${ }^{30}$ at Zelcia, and menArtemis tioned her name as associated with Apollo's on Thermaea. several stelae. Few other epithets of the goddess are known.

In Cyzicus she was Munychia, a title probably derived
Muayebla. through Miletus from Athens, though a sanctuary of hers at Pygela was reputed a foundation of Agamemnon ${ }^{n}$. The type inclined, as appears from such scant

[^167]notices of Munychia as havc come down to us ${ }^{1}$, to that of Hekate, which, it will be shewn, may be regarded as the normal type of Artemis outside Cyzicus.

Artemis Pediane (a local epithet) is shewn in a short chiton with the torch, on a stelc from Pcramo ${ }^{2}$. Another stelc from Sari Kcui shcws her in a long robe enthroned with a dog at her side ${ }^{3}$, receiving her worshippers at an altar with tree bchind. A relief of a similar figure, standing with the torch, I saw at Harakhi, whence comes also an inscription to the "light-bearing goddcss!." Perrot and Guillaume publish a relief of Hekate Artemis ${ }^{6}$ from Ermeni Kcui and a small and much mutilated triple Hckate was brought to De Rustafjaell in 1901.

The mention of a thiasus of Artemis ${ }^{\text {s }}$ called $\Delta \dot{o} \lambda \omega \nu$ shews again her inclination to the orgiastic side. Its name may have been connected with a ritual similar to that of the Panathenaic ship at Athens: from which we should suppose a seamen's cultus of Artemis as $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon \nu о \sigma \kappa о ́ \pi о$ or possibly Munychia. Her worship is prominent, too, in the description of S. Philctaerus' journey ${ }^{7}$, much more so, indeed, than that of Apollo: this predominance of the female element in late classical times which we have remarkcd elsewhere, is possibly due to a rccrudescence of the aboriginal and matriarchal element in the population.

The typical Artemis of the Cyzicus district is to be regarded as a goddess of distinctly chthonian attributes, with healing powcrs (cf. the goddess of the springs and IV. 63) as at Miletus", and closely allicd to, if not identical with, the mother goddesses.

The Artemis of Ephesus occurs as a coin type, possibly as a compliment to that city, under Antonius, Lucius Verus, and Commodus?

Dionysus, of whom we should expect frequent mention at

## Dionysua.

 Cyzicus as a chthonic and orgiastic god closely allied to the Thraco-Phrygian cycle, occurs curiously seldom both in the authorities and the monuments. Apart from${ }^{2}$ Farnell 11. $5^{64}$, note 30. ${ }^{2}$ 1v. 59. ${ }^{2}$ iv. 62. ${ }^{4}$ 1v. 6t.
${ }^{6}$ Galatie 11. pl. ${ }^{4}$, Inscr. 1v. 58 , is from the same village, but as the inhabitants till part of the site of Cyzicus, it is not necessary to suppose a village shrine.
${ }^{6}$ Suidas s.v. $\Delta \delta \lambda$ cy. $\quad 7$ Acta SS. May 19. ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Strabo, 635.
(10nn. (1) Supp. 229, (2) 203 and Supp. 302, (3) Supp. 303. Cf. also C.I.G. 5944.
the Aura myth, which is purely literary', we have casual mention of a tauromorphic Dionysus ${ }^{2}$ (evidently connected with the кat $\eta$ $\gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\omega} \nu$ of Pergamons and the $\beta$ ovкó $\lambda o t$ ), a dedication without epithet by a priest of Kore', which suggests a chthonian god connected with the mysteries, and a reference to a thiasus called трйто九 Ва́кхоь Kvдобоирєíтаı. ${ }^{5}$.

A bare hint of a Dionysus in the marshes occurs in the sepulchral inscription of Dionysodorus, who is described as $\lambda_{\mu} \mu$ -


The month-names Lenaeon, Anthesterion, also recall the Athenian cult of Dionysus: and the festival Anthesteria (Dionysia) ${ }^{8}$ was evidently connected as at Athens with dramatic contests.

A village $c x$-voto from Yali Chiftik gives Dionysus the titles
 dedicated to Dionysus Attoudenus (?) seems to connect him with the Phrygian Attis-cult and the Great Mother; it is probably with a Dionysus-Attis that we must conncct certain coin-types of the Antoninc period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ representing a young male figure dressed in a spangled oriental costume reclining with the left arm on a cista, extending a patera with his right, and approached by a figure playing the lyrc. In the exergue are a bull and an altar recalling the votive stelae with a double register of reliefs ${ }^{10}$.

[^168]
## CHAPTER XXIX.

OTHER GODS AND HEROES.

POSEIDON, though the reputed anccstor of the Doliones ${ }^{1}$, can
Poseidon. hardly in fact be an carlier immigrant than the Milesian eolony. As an Ionian god-his sanctuary at Miletus was the centre of the Ionian decapolis-a god of seafarers and a god of earthquakes, his position at Cyzieus was assured, though he is essentially a god of tbe town introduced at a comparatively late date into the villages?.

He is appropriatcly designated Isthmius ${ }^{3}$, with which epithet we may perhaps associate the coin type resembling the Lysippean statue at Corinth, and Asphaleius as protector of the port ${ }^{4}$. The same epithet seems to be given him as god of earthquakes in the Delphian inscription ${ }^{5}$ where his conneetion with Ge Karpophoros seems designed to protect the welfare of the country side negatively by avoiding carthquakes, and positively by securing good erops: though the pair reminds us of the old Arcadian eults, we must remernber that the formula is preseribed by Delphi and probably to mect the needs of a definite time.

In a dedication by a company of merchant-adventurers or a fishers' guild, he is paired as often with Aphrodite ${ }^{0}$ in her aspect of Euploia. The ex-voto of the marines ${ }^{7}$, dedieated to a god with the epithet "Kaseos" (in spite of its distinctly naval character) I prefer to attribute to Apollo.

Of other monuments we have a dedication from "near Miletupolis," i.e. Hajji Pavon ${ }^{\text { }}$, and a statue elassed as a youth-

[^169]* 1v. 70, cf. Farnell, p. 749, note 106 , especially Q. (Panticapaeum).

7 1v. $\ddagger 0$ IV. 67.
ful Poseidon is to be found in the British Museum; Cyriac ${ }^{1}$ mentions a "magnum terram quatientis Neptuni simulacrum" which he saw at Cyzicus in 1431, and Georgius Cyzicenus? a relief of Poseidon with the trident in the Armenian church at Artaki.

Athena laid claim to an ancient establishment in Cyzicus,
Athena. which boasted to be the oldest in Asia ${ }^{3}$, and Apollonius (1.955) says that the anchorstone of the Argonauts was dedicated by the Milesian colonists ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ in the temple of Jasonian Athena.

The cult received new impetus from Pergamon-we hear of Athena Polias Nikephoros and Panathenaea in an early imperial inscriptions, and the Pergamenc type occurs on imperial coins ${ }^{\text {b }}$, as does the title of Soteira' which the goddess may have earned for her defence of Cyzicus in the Mithradatic war:.

Aphrodite was worshipped as a maritime goddess (Pontia) Aphrodite. with Poseidon, and apparently as Artacia or Artacene at Artace. A fine stater-type ${ }^{20}$ is evidently copied from a statue of Aphrodite and Eros. Drusilla, and possibly before her Apollonis, were identified with the goddess.

Hermes is known from the monuments only as 'E $E \epsilon \omega \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \varsigma^{\text {nh }}$
Hermes. (probably a guild god) and इаккофópos ${ }^{13}$ (not on a cultus monument) in reference to the purse which is his regular attribute in Roman times. There are only two votive inscriptions of Hermes, one from Bigaditch, where he is connected with Apollo ${ }^{13}$, and another of simple type from Pasha Liman ${ }^{24}$.

We have noticed him in his chthonic form as an attendant daemon of Andirene ${ }^{15}$ and of Dindymene and Zeus in the Van
${ }^{1}$ B.C.F. XIV, $\mathbf{5}_{4}{ }^{2}$.



- Anfs. Pol. v1. 343.
- The Orphica (536) attributes the dedication to the Argonauts themselvesApollonius is obviously to be followed.
${ }^{5}$ Inscr. I. 12.
${ }^{5}$ e.g. B.M. ${ }^{6} 62,263$.
${ }^{7}$ Imhoof, Gr. Af. $\sigma_{14}$ (I68) ; this is the coin on which Mionnet read $\triangle O M N A$ for AOHNA.


Branteghem fragment, perhaps also in the form Cyllenus in Apollonius Rhodius. Dcdications to Hermes are rare, but the caduccus is an cxtremely frequent symbol on the coins of both Cyzicus and Miletupolis; from the latter too comes a bearded herm of imperial date and archaising style dedicated by the townclerk to the pcople ${ }^{1}$.

Pan, according to one restoration of a fragmentary inscrip-

Pan. tion ${ }^{2}$, was honoured with a statue in the harbour in attributed to his agency. The inscription dates from the early


Fig. 24. Riflify dedicatiso to Heracles (Inscr, iv. 76).
fourtli century ${ }^{3}$ and is couched in the formal terms of a proxeny decree; as the only record of Pan at Cyzicus it is unsatisfactory, for Perdrizet ${ }^{+}$conjectures with the greatest probability that the

[^170]inscription is a proxeny decree of an Antandrian, whose town arms, the goat walking, appear at its head. The stone has however disappeared.

Heracles figures, as we have seen, in the Argonaut myth; he

Heracles. is the subject of a sixth century relief ${ }^{1}$ and apparently of a series of famous works in the round (signa) alluded to by Propertius ${ }^{2}$, and perhaps representing the Twelve Labours.

The only monuments of his cult are (a) the votive relief of the third century B.C. ${ }^{3}$ dedicated by the strategi and phylarchs perhaps after the Gallic invasion, (b) a much-damaged relief at Kulafy of Heracles reclining, and (c) a dedication to Heracles and Zeus Soter from Omar Keui ${ }^{4}$.

On coins of Domitian, resting on the authority of Sestini and Vaillants, he (or Domitian?) is called the founder of the city. Cyzicene medallions of Commodus frequently bear the title of the Roman Heracles, and the emperor was evidently worshipped under this style.

Castor and Pollux are known at Cyzicus only from certain castor and coins in Nionnet ${ }^{6}$, on which they are represented as Pollux. horsemen, and a curious terra-cotta?. They certainly had a heroic cult in Cyzicus on account of their connection with the Argonaut legend, and their general marine functions: they were perhaps associated here as elsewhere with the Cabiri.

The city goddess (Tyche) of Cyzicus is frequently represented
Tyche. on coins, and resembles her prototype of Antioch in pose". The Tychaeum is mentioned in the life of Philetaerus ${ }^{\circ}$, and formal dedications to the Matpis, especially agonistic, are fairly frequent. An inscription on the architrave of the Tychaeum of Miletupolis has also come down to us ${ }^{20}$.

[^171]Homonoia is a personification connected especially with the "Alliance" coins or medallions of the Antoninc period: Cyzicus formed these alliances with Ephesus (under Antoninus) ${ }^{1}$, Smyrna (under Commodus) ${ }^{2}$ and Nicaea (under Septimius Sevcrus) ${ }^{3}$. A statuc of her was set up probably on one of these occasions by one Flavius Aristagoras?

Aristides relates that he composed hymns to Aesepus, cvidently regarding him as a healer ${ }^{\text {s }}$, on his way to the River-Oads. springs, and a conventional river-god type represents him on the imperial coinage": a dedication to the river Enbcilus was copied by Pococke at Panderma ${ }^{7}$, which is evidently, like the following inscription, originally from the Kara-déré. Rhyndacus was probably worshipped at Apollonia in the same way.

Of the heroes worshipped at Cyzicus we have alrcady

Heroes: Cyzjeus. mentioned the eponymous founder in the Argonaut myth. In the Antonine period he was much in evidence, appearing frequently on coins, where his head, down to Gallienus, often takes the place of that of the emperor. Several heads also on the electrum staters' bear a strong resemblance to the later ideal portraits. A statue of him was set up in the theatre by the archon Julius Scleucus ${ }^{10}$, possibly in the reign of Hadrian, and after this date a nude full-length figure of the young hero is a frequent reverse type: he stands with the right foot slightly forward, holding a spear upright in his right hand, and a chlamys on his bent left arm: the pose reminds one of the figure of Themistocles on the fourth-century coins of Magnesia: this figure occurs apparently for the first time under Domitian ${ }^{31}$, before which date such reverse types are not to be expected.

[^172]Cleite was also, apparently, worshipped in Greek times ${ }^{1}$, as were the slain Pelasgians, Artakeus of Artace, Zeleus of Zelcia, Basileus, eponym of the Basileis, Gephyrus, perhaps the patron of the suburb at the bridge end, Promeus, Hyacinthus, Megalosakes, Phlogius and Itymoncus?.

We have already spoken of Poemes of Poemanenum and Miletus of Miletupolis who belong to the same order.

Philetaerus, Lucullus, Agrippa ${ }^{3}$ and Antinous were also probably worshipped with heroic honours, the latter perhaps taking his place among the imperial family.

The title of heros is frequently given to hipparchs ' and other officials ${ }^{\text {b }}$-once even to a lady in an honorary inscription ${ }^{6}$. Are we to consider it as an epithet of the apotheosised dead'-it occurs several times in sepulchral inscriptions ${ }^{3}$-or merely a title of honour? If the hipparch Eteoneus and the Eteoneus of Aristides" are one, the former would seem to be the case, for Aristides lays stress on the fact that his Etconeus of Cyzicus died young, and no mention is made of his having held office; his apotheosis is taken quite literally by the orator who refers to him as Ku̧ixov mápeסpos, and we sbould naturally suppose that the hipparchate was a posthumous honour which he shared with the god Poseidon and the New Sun Caligula. On the other hand Chaereas, wbo enjoyed at least cleven hipparchates, was certainly a "hero" as early as his sixth: six posthumous magistracies seem rather excessive even for Roman Asia.
tional youthful ashectie type, oceasionally bears a marked resemblance to the coarser portraits of Alexander.
${ }^{1}$ Ap. Rh. I. 1075.
${ }^{3}$ Ap. Rh. I. 1040 sqq. The scholiast objects to Telecles and Megabrontes as " inventions," inc. not in Apollonius' sources
${ }^{2}$ I. 14. "See list. ${ }^{14}$ eg. the strategus Euneos 1 11. 26.
${ }^{8}$ 111. 20. ${ }^{7}$ As Ramsay, Phris. 1. $3,38_{4}$.



1. 131, Dind. but the pame occurs also (T. Ael. Eteoneus) on a coin (B.M. 331).

## CHAPTER XXX.

FUNERAL MONUMENTS'.
From the city-heroes we turn very naturally to the sepulehral monuments. These range from the sixth century B.C. down to very late Byzantine times and shew little affinity with the Phrygian: the characteristic designation of the monument as Ovipa does not oecur in the Cyzicus neighbourhood, and though the cippis form is not uneommon, it is only thrice referred to as $\beta \omega \mu o ̀ s$, three examples* being from the Bigha district and the other the tomb of a foreigner ${ }^{3}$. The altar form of monument is usual in the districts of Kebsud and Balukiser, the analogous cippous form being distributed over a wider area.

The earlier funcral monuments are for the most part stelae with reliefs of scenes from the life of the deceased, and the "funeral-banquet" type is common till style and orthography are alike extinct. This elass of monument bears seldom more inseription than the name and patronymic ${ }^{4}$ of the deceased, followed by $\chi a i \bar{p} e$ or the usual short salutations to the passerby, thrown into a rough metrical forms. A fair number of monuments add a short metrical inseription of a more personal character.

[^173]

The age of the deceased is rarely mentioned' but the date of death is commonly added in the Kebsud distriet? One funcral monument of Cyzieus ${ }^{3}$ is dated by the hipparch's name.

The seulpture is rarely of merit, such refinement as is shewn by the relief of $v .149$ being quite exceptional. The work inelines generally to be flat and coarse, and the banquet-stelae are often overloaded with aceessories: they shew obvious affinities to the votive stelac and would naturally come from the same workshops. The frequent double register ${ }^{4}$-in banquet-stelae the lower relief often has the figure of a horseman ${ }^{3}$-is common to both series, as are the ineised subordinate figures.

The only hint of the idea of absorption in the godhead is the solitary inscription from 13esbicus ${ }^{6}$ where the relief represents Isis and Serapis. This may be merely due to carelessness on the part of customer or engraver, like the relief of Cybele dedicated to Poseidon, and it is impossible to predicate anything of an isolated example: but the same idea of ultimate identity between the village god and his worshippers is shewn by such dedications as IV. 13 (to Zeus Hypsistos and the village) and IV. 20 (to Zeus and the villagers): while the remarkable stele of Soterichus, Artemon and Meidias (v. 269), with its type of a sacrifice to three horsemen, evidently implies the apotheosis of the deceased.

Later inseriptions are most frequently engraved on sarcophagi: a curious example of the preference for this form is the diminutive marble coffin at Tchinily Kiosk ${ }^{7}$ containing a marble bust of an emaciated boy. The use of the word $\dot{\forall \pi} \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \eta \mu a$, which has oceurred also earlier on the stelae", becomes almost invariable on the sarcophagi. It is so characteristic of the distriet that unless reason be shewn to the contrary, it is considered sufficient evidence for the attribution of inseriptions of


```
\({ }^{4}\) Cf. v. 38, 52, 154, 172, 176, 186, 193, 195 A, 238.
\(5 v .24,65 \mathrm{~A}, 16 \mathrm{r}, 184,228,253\) (Dumont (p. 544 ) quotes seven cases from Thrace), cf. v. 62,256 : the horseman appears alone in \(v .18\). The type is also used on the stelae dedicaled to Enbeiles and the Hero.
```

${ }^{6}$ v. $2 \mathrm{IA}_{4}$. $\quad 7$ No. 46 (74).
${ }^{8}$ e.g. V. 27, 108, 142 A, 193, 222, 235, 250.
uncertain provenance ${ }^{1}$ : outside Cyzicus and the neighbourhood (the word is regular at Gunen, not uncommon at Apollonia, and extends south to Kebsud) ${ }^{2}$ few examples of its occurrence are known ${ }^{3}$ : the somewhat similar $\dot{v} \pi \mu_{\nu}$ eia appears once in Thracet. The long vogue enjoyed by the Cyzicene term is shewn by its occurrence on a Byzantine sarcophagus at Kurshunlu".

The usual formula for sarcophagus inscriptions from the



 open to any variations which personal taste or illiterateness might suggest. The largest scope for originality is offered by the threat at the end, which though often merely legal, is sometimes joined with an appeal to superstition. The fine may be made payable not only to the treasury, but to the fiscus (i59, 223), the city ( $58,71,159^{*}, 263$ ), or to a trade-guild (iepaitatoy $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \delta p i o v, 100,140,182,207,244$ ), or the executors (308). The additional precaution of placing a copy of the epitaph among the archives is mentioned in 289 , while the appeal to the law of $\tau v \mu \beta \omega \rho v \chi^{l a}$ is made in $100,244,323$.

The religious curse, with its more or less elaborate protasis, varies still more: in 243 , we have remains of the old formula $\mu \eta \delta e ̀ ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \beta a \tau \eta ̀ \nu \nu \mu \delta e ̀ ~ \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu \pi \lambda \omega \tau \grave{\eta}$, etc.; in 27x ôs ầv тoûтo

 A direct appeal to the gods occurs in 324:- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \sim \nu a \nu i \omega \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$
 etc. This class of curse culminates in the triumph of vindietive-



[^174]

 $\pi a v i \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ all of which has not prevented the breaking up of the sarcophagus, and the insertion of its inscription in a fountain.
 $\theta$ co $\nu^{2}$, are to be found in V. $+9,+10$; both are characteristically illiterate. The other Christian inscriptions are sufficiently described in the Index ${ }^{3}$.
${ }^{1}$ Is it fanciful to consider this an indirect appeal to Men Katachthonios, often invoked in similar circumstances on Phrygian tombs?
${ }^{2}$ Sce Rumsay, Phryg. 1. (2) 468 . $\%$ v. b.

## CHAPTER XXXI.

## NOMENCLATURE.

We have at Cyzicus an unusual opportunity for drawing such results as may be obtained from local nomenclature, since several of the longest inscriptions are mere lists of names.

Characteristic of the region, and shewing its affinities with

[^175]Phrygia, is the frequency of names derived from though we have as yet no record of Mên so farnorth, compounds of his name are unusually common and varied in the Cyzicus neighbourhood; thus we find Menodorus, Menodotus, Menias, Menophanes, Menophantus, Menophilus, Menicetes ${ }^{2}$, Menothea ${ }^{2}$, Menothemis ${ }^{3}$, while the old form Manes occurs in the earliest Cyzicene inscription ${ }^{4}$ and again in a Hadrianic list ${ }^{5}$. Similarly, the corresponding names, Metrodorus, Metrodotus, Metrophancs, Metrobius ${ }^{\bullet}$ are extremely common.

The same applies also to Artcmis and Apollo compounds, as Artemon, Artemas, Artemus, Artemisius, Artemidorus (Mendas, for Bendas, occurs once ${ }^{7}$ ), and Apollonius, Apollonias, Apollodorus, Apollodotus, Apollophanes, Apollonides ${ }^{8}$.

Demetrius, Epaphroditus, and derivatives of Athena, Poseidon, Hormes and Dionysus occur frequently here as everywhere. Bacchius is a favourite name and Euius occurs in II. 6.

Of the Egyptian cults we find traces in Scrapion, Scrapiacus ${ }^{\text { }}$, Isidorus ${ }^{10}$, Isarchus ${ }^{11}$.


Of river-names Aesepus ${ }^{2}$, Embilus ${ }^{2}$, Rhyndacus ${ }^{3}$ and Sagarius ${ }^{4}$
Ol Rivers. occur once each, Maeandrius is curiously more common ${ }^{8}$ while Potamon and Potamantus are common and characteristic.

We may refer to the Pergamene pcriod, the common Attalus, the rare Attales ${ }^{8}$ and Eumenes, as also the excessively common Asclepiades, with the other Asclepiad names, Asclas?, Asclepas, Asclepiacus, Asclepias, Asclepiodorus, Asclepiodotus, and Telesphoruss, Telesphorion?.

Other common and characteristic Greek names are Adimantus, Hestiaeus, Midias, Perigenes, Zopyrus and Zotichus. Of Roman names it is interesting to remark Mucius in a pre-imperial inscription ${ }^{10}$ and Pescennius in IV. 2 I.

The Imperial family-namcs Iulius, Claudius, Aelius, and most of all, after the extension of the franchise, Aurelius, are naturally common, Flavius comparatively rare.

In Phrygia, Professor Ramsay has remarked on the frequency of Epic names, partly perhaps owing to the number of slaves and freedmen. The same holds good for the Cyzicus district, not only in Imperial times, but as early at least as the fourth century B.C.

The following names occur:-

Achilles, 111.17
Adrastus, 11. 5
Aeneas, Iv. 76
Acolus, 1. 13
Aesepus (ce. Hisad vi. 21), 1. 1
Alexander (passim)
Amphitryon, 11. I
Andigone (sic), v. 20
Andromache, v. 16
Antiope, v. 27
Apsyrtus, v. 34
Arius, v. 43, 44
Auge, v. 181

| ${ }^{2}$ 1. r . | ${ }^{2}$ v. 109. |
| :---: | :---: |
| - vi. 30. | ${ }^{5}$ I. i. etc. |
| \% 1 y . 77 | ${ }^{8} 11.5$ etc. |

Callisto, v. 71
Chrysothymis (sic), v. 24
Codrus, v. 80
Cretheus, v. 86
Cyzicus, in. 9
Danaus, v. 93
Daphnis, v. 94
Dardanus, 11. 12
Eteoneus, I. 24
Euneos, 1. 6, 111. 26
Euphrosyne, v. +13
Glaucus, II. 8, ill. 10
Helena, v. 155

Helenus, II. 4
Hylas, in. 6
Idomeneus, v. 31
Jason, iv. 56A, Vi. 44
Linus, v. 172
Meleager, 111. 33, 1v. 31, 32, 89, v. 187

Memnon, iv. 4
Menclaus, I. 8, 11. 17, 111. 10; cf.
v. 195 A.

Menestheus, 1. 8, il. 5, IV. 51
Miletus, 11. 12, v. 186
Minos, I1. 6
Narcissus, II. 5

Nestor, 111. 35
Olympus, I1. 8, v. 129, IV. 79
Orestes, iv. 64
Parthenopaeus, v. 103
Pelops, 11. 10
Persens, 11. 5
Phoenix, 1. 23, il. 8, Iv. 76
Phrixus, iv. 29
Polyeidos, Iv. 70
Polymedon, IL. 6
Tclephus, 1I. 8
Teucer, I. 21 ; cf. Suidas s.v.
Theseus, I1. 4, 8, 1v. 22
Tlepolemus, v. 63

Non-Grcek names arc comparatively rare: the common Phrygian Tatas, Tata, and its derivative Tatias occur once each ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ : the word is probably contained in the ethnic Tadocomites ${ }^{2}$ and we may also connect with it the ZeusTitias of Dindymon, whom we know better as Attis or Attes. A simple root ta-meaning "father" probably forms the base of all. A root pa- with similar meaning reduplicates to Papas, a Bithynian namc of Zeus Attis ${ }^{3}$, and forms the name Papias ${ }^{4}$, of which Apphias ${ }^{5}$ is another form (cf. Attis, Attcs, and Titias, Tatias ${ }^{4}$ ), while Ammias, Ammia, Ammion' are similarly derived (through Ammas, a name of Cybele quoted by Hesychius) from the simple root ma-. So that these names fall also under the category of derivatives from divine names.

Daos ${ }^{8}$ is also a Phrygian name, signifying a wolf". It is very common further south, and is used as an cpithet of a native god (cf. Apollo Lycius) in Ramsay's inscr. 468. Nana ${ }^{20}$ was the name of the daughter of Sangarius, who gave birth to Attis ${ }^{11}$.

I v. + 3J, 2 J , iot. IV . 50.
3 Arr. frag. 30, cf. Hdt. IV. 59, Zeus Papacus in Scythia.
${ }^{6} 11.5$, v. 104, v. $226 . \quad$ V. 104, etc. Cf. Apphion, v. 191.

- Attalus and Papylus $(v .+32)$ are perhaps diminutive forms, cf. Ramsay, Phry's., 1. 382.
: v. 7, 13, 104, Ammiane, v. 22.
${ }^{0}$ v. 105, Forcign Inscr., Mifichus 2, cf. Deos, v. 5 J ; Amma, V. 2\$5. (Mamas is another form corresponding exactly to Papas.)
${ }^{2}$ Suidas, s.v. ${ }^{10}$ V. 214.
${ }^{11}$ Arnob. adv. Genh., v. 6.

A few native names recall the Thracian element in the population e.g.: Rhymetalces ${ }^{1}$, Docdalses ${ }^{2}$, Aulouzelmeus ${ }^{3}$ and possibly Zela': Mamouges ${ }^{6}$ has affinitics further south ${ }^{8}$ and with Scopancs' Mordtmann connects the Armenian (? Turkish) Tchoban (shepherd).

Other Non-Greck names are Theibas', Bocedes"(?), Bospon ${ }^{18}$, Katomarus ${ }^{11}$, Akatyllis Algoumis ${ }^{13}$, Medite ${ }^{\text {³ }}$. Manes and Embilus we have mentioned above.

It will be noticed that many of the barbarous names belong to persons who possessed a Greek name as well, and who for the most part required a second name to distinguish them from their fathers ${ }^{16}$ : thus we find:-

3. Greck and Lation names.
Gaius (II.), qui et Pistus (III. 38). Hermas, Q. Laenas, Unio,
111.7.
${ }^{2} 111.35$. The name occurs further South in the forms Na, Nana, Ena, Anaa (Ramsay, Pirg. Thstro, 184, 97,91, 175). C\%. Strabo, 563 ; Photius, 228; Le Bas, 1782 , all of which are Bithyrian.
${ }^{3}$ 1v. 44. Cf. Dumont, p. 545 , for many similar names.

- 11. 12. Kotes (if my reading is correct) in wo. 11. Diliporis in v. 196 A, Moxâr.

v. 173.
${ }^{10}$ I. 11, cf. Bospes, ช. 155
${ }^{11}$ v. 169.
${ }^{13}$ v. 298.
${ }^{14}$ Ramssy discasses these double names in Phrygia $I_{2}$. 637.

Also

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Dionysit, } \\
\text { P. Aelius cognomine }
\end{array} & \text { qui et Paterion (v. 219). } \\
\text { Sosias, } & \text { qui et Crissimus (11. 6). }
\end{array}
$$

The only mention of the mother's name is in II. 7 (Apollonius Prepusae ${ }^{3}$ ).

1 The rare name Paterion suggests that it was a translation of Papias.
 p. $\$ 00$, a mark of Christianity : though the inscr. is a public list, the mane Kpioguos is suspicious, cf. кplot $\mu$ os $\eta \mu t \rho \&$ in a Christian inscr. (Ramsay, 353-4).
[We may here notice that the name'A $\mu$ efenvos (suspected as Christian by Kamsay ad inscr. $\{65-6$ ) occurs in our inscr. 11. 17. (See also foreign inser. Heraciea, 11.)]
${ }^{3}$ Cf. v. $21+$ (?).

## PART IV.

## CHAPTER XXXII.

## GOVERNMENT.

The government of Cyzicus, as we have seen, was normally democratic, or perhaps more truly plutocratic, and only by exception, as during the Spartan supremacy, oligarchic. Tyrannis was a phase in every Greek state normally forming the transition from oligarchy to democracy, but occasionally due also to the bitterness of Greek factions, no less than to the lust of power in individuals. Our earliest record (late sixth century) ${ }^{1}$ is of democracy.

Legislation was carried on by the national assembly aided Tribes. by its committee the Boule: the nation was divided for purposes of government into six tribes, of which four are the Ionian Argadeis, Hopletes, Aegicoreis and Geleontes; the other two, Ocnopes and Boreis, are known also at Miletus the mother city of Cyzicus?

To these six tribes were added in early Imperial times, two new ones called Juleis and Sebasteis, probably composed of the resident aliens who had been attracted during the republican period on account of the commercial prosperity of the city.

Over the tribes presided the phylarchs: in late times, when ornamental titles were much sought after, it is not unusual to find more than one phylarch to each tribe in the prytany lists. Boeckh suggests that there were

[^176]normally threc, one for each trittys, but this was evidently not the case in the third century H.C. ${ }^{3}$, when six only are cnumerated, and another inscription ${ }^{2}$ is some evidence for the single acting official in the Antonine period. The honorary title was probably retained by ex-phylarchs. We have, morcover, no record of

## Meact.

 trittyes at Cyzicus, beyond the occurrence of the obscure $\mu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\eta}^{3}{ }^{3}$ which has been supposed to signify "of the middle trittys." The corresponding terms for the first and third trittyes do not, however, occur, and in C.I.G. 3657 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta$ 號 may perhaps be explained by the opening clause $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \boldsymbol{i}$ 'Apiotavסpós $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu-\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{e}$. the originator did not propose his motion in person, but employed a "middle-man"."The association of phylarchs and strategi in IV. 56 and I. 21 (the wall inscription) where each body has its president, shews that the phylarehs had general duties, outside the registration and organisation of the tribes.

A comparison with the formulae in VI. 13 and C.I.G. 2981

## Archonter.

 (Apollonia ad Rh.), where again two officials are mentioned as representatives of the $\alpha \rho \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, suggests that the boards of phylarchs and strategi together were designated by the general term.The Boule sat in the Bouleuterion ${ }^{8}$ (in which was kept

Bouleu. terion. the anchor stone of the Argonauts ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and appointed its clerk, whose name is fairly regularly cited in the preambles of public documents.

The monthly inner council or Prytanis ${ }^{7}$ of the Boule was
Prytancis. composed of (probably fifty) members of each tribe in succession ${ }^{s}$. They sat in the Prytaneum, a building coinstructed, Pliny tells us ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, without iron nails, evidently for superstitious reasons ${ }^{10}$, where also the public dinner was given to those who had deserved well of the state ${ }^{12}$. By a later

[^177]arrangement ${ }^{3}$ ，this committee was composed of fifty members chosen from pairs of tribes in rotation：this plan evidently dates after the formation of the two new tribes，and was devised to give an equal number of months in the year to each tribe， which would of course have been impossible with eight tribes serving alternatcly：the members were presumably，as the division between the two tribes is generally unequal，chosen from the candidates of those tribes taken together，either by lot，or possibly in proportion to the strength of the tribes con－ cerned．The pairs arc（1）Boreis and Aegicorcis，（2）Argadeis and Geleontes，（3）Oenopes and Hoplctes，（4）Sebastcis and Juleis．

The president of the prytany as a whole is called pry－ tanarches，the daily president as at Athens epistates ${ }^{2}$ ．

In a second month of office the ex－prytanis presided over Kallies． the кaid $\lambda_{t} \nu$, which we have identified witl the at Athens is described as a law court ${ }^{3}$ ，which was also ap－ parently a precinct＇，like the court called té $\mu$ evos Mintió ${ }^{2} v^{5}$ ． The Cyzicene rith loon may have united both religious and juridical functions：the intimate connection of religion and justice especially in cases of homicide and perjury is obvious． At Athens homicide was investigated before the Basileus and $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta \eta_{\varsigma} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\circ} \sigma i \omega \nu$ ，and＂Basileus，＂and occasionally $\epsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \eta \tau \grave{\eta}^{\circ}$ ， figures among the titles of the prytanies in the Cyzicene lists．

The prytanarch remained as president of the кa入入єá̧ovtes， with the title of calliarch or ap $p \chi \omega \nu$ то仑 кa入入iou．
Prytanarch． The latter title is always the one quoted in the lists of prytanies．

The Cyzicene calendar is not yet fully known，but the months may be disposed with tolerable certainty

> Calendar． in the following order ${ }^{7}$ ：

[^178]
## Cysicone.

1. (Boedromion) ${ }^{3}$
2. Cyanepsion, II. 2
3. Apatureon, 11. I
4. Poscideon', II. 8, etc.
5. Lenaeon, It. 8, etc.
6. Anthesterion', II. 8, etc.
7. Artemision, 1. 5
8. Taureon, I. 5
9. Thargelion, 11. 4
10. Calamacon, 1\%. 3
11. Panemus, II. 3
12. (Cronion)

Attic.

1. Boedromion
2. Pyanepsion
3. Maemacterion
4. Poscideon
5. Gamelion
6. Anthesterion
7. Elaphebolion
8. Munychion
9. Thargelion
10. Scirophorion
11. Hecatombacon
12. Metageitnion

The bracketed month-names do not occur in the Cyzicene records. Inscriptions vouch for the sequences (1) Poseidon-Lenaeon-Anthestcrion: (2) Artcmision-Taureon: (3) Cala-maeon-Panemus, while the Samian Calcndar' vouchcs for the sequence of (4) Panemus and Cronion, though Cronion is originally equivalont to Attic Hecatombacons.

Three months of the Zeleian Calendar-Acatallus, Heraeus and Cecyposius (?)-are mentioncd in I. 16.

Subsequent evidence has shewn that, contrary to Boech'h's supposition, the order in which the tribes scrved was not regular: thus the prytaneis for Calamaeon in II. 3 arc Aegicoreis and Geleontes, in II. 5 Hopletcs (and Oenopes?). We may, therefore, suppose that precedencc was assigncd them by lot, certainly till the new arrangement was introduced by which two tribes shared cach prytanis. Beforc this arrangement it may have been felt inconvenient that, with cight tribes and twelve months, each tribe did not serve an equal number of terms in the year. By the new arrangement each of the four pairs would serve

[^179]thrice. The date of the change falls between Hadrian and Caracalla (i.e. within the period of the first Neocorate).

No era is mentioned on any monument of known Cyzicene provenance: reeords are dated by the hipparch. The Sullan era was used at Apollonia ${ }^{1}$ and apparently also in the Kebsud distriet. The Bithynian era(?) of the "Nieaean" stelae" may be compared with that of the similar stele from Triglia".

The Gerousia is mentioned only twice, both times in funeral

## Oerousia.

 inseriptions ${ }^{4}$; this is negative evidence in favour of Ramsay's idea that it was merely a social elub, analogous to that of the Neoi, and quite devoid of political significance.The eponymous magistrate at Cyzicus was in early times, as at Athens, called ăp $\rho \omega \nu$. Our earliest inseription Archon. (sixth eentury ${ }^{6}$ ) B.C. does not mention the title, the heading being simply $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ Mazavopiou, but an early fourth century inscription" is prefaced by the words émi 'Ep $\mu \circ \delta \dot{\omega} \rho o u$ äp ov occurs in the wall inscription ${ }^{8}$ dated by Perrot about the middle of the fourth century.

The importance of the Hipparch's office must have grown up ніррягес. at the time of the Cyzicene conquest of the mainland (of which we have unfortunately no details) and perhaps implies a system of mounted gendarmerie'. From this period onwards we have uniformly hipparchs (the title is sometimes omitted; but between dates which presuppose a hipparch), while the title archon seems to be used in its more general sense, as for instance in the preambles of 1. 2, 13, 15 and in the common use of ${ }^{\circ} p \chi \omega \nu$ in the prytany lists, where то仑́ кад入iou is to be supplied. The strategi especially are
${ }^{1}$ inl. 2. Cf. v. 337. See Ramsay, Phrgz. i. 1. 203.

"Nol only for the general securily of the country, but for the protection of the trade-routes. The Ilian decree shews that native troops were posted at Poemanenum even in Roman republican times. Sec Ramsay, Phrygia i. I. 258 ff. on the фuharirat.
alluded to under the more general word archon, while the plural archontes, as we have suggested above, may include also the board of Phylarchs.

The list of known hipparchs is arranged in roughly clronological ordcr, but hardly one can be dated with certainty: the formula $\epsilon \pi i$ i $i \pi \pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \omega$ seems generally earlier than imrapXoûvros, the usual form in imperial inscriptions, though both occur together in I. 11 and $\epsilon \pi i$ im $\pi a \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon \omega$ sporadically as late as Caligula. The office secms to havc become in the Roman period almost purely honorary: it was held by Poscidon as early as the third century B.C., and under the Antonincs it is duplicated, and bestowed on a woman or cven two womcn.

> Village government. The ultimate responsibility of the hipparch for the government of the whole territory of Cyzicus in rcpublican times is shewn by the occurrence of his name on scveral inscriptions obviously from the villages ${ }^{1}$ and one from the islands ${ }^{2}$. The country districts were divided into boroughs ${ }^{3}$ each governed by a magistrate called $\delta$ ८oккทгク̀s, aided by a staff consisting of a clerk, five diaconi, and a cellarer. Directly under the diocetes were probably the $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \kappa \omega \mu \eta \tau a i^{4}$ or village headmen of his district. The $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho o \iota$, which are distinct from the $\kappa \omega \mu a i^{s}$, may be compared to the modern nahie, the diocetes and protocometes being perhaps equivalent to the Kaimakam and the village mutitar respectively.

The great difference between the hipparch and the strategus (who in other countrics, e.g. Acarnania, Actolia,

> Strateguti. takes precedence of him) is that the authority of the latter does not extend outside the city limits. The hipparch is mentioned before and apart from the strategi in I. 21, IV. 76, 1I. 20; in the latter case the normal five strategi with their co-opted colleague, probably an extraordinary member of the board, are all given their full title of strategi $5 \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega$ in 1. 14, the similar title of strategus кaтii mó $\lambda \ell \nu$ is given to one man, probably the senior.

[^180]In accordance with their limited sphere of influence we find the senior strategi ${ }^{1}$ placing their names on the coinage, exccpt in a single instance. These names are added not for dating purposes, the primary use of a hipparch's name, but as a guarantee of the coin. So in the coinage of mediaeval Europe the moneycr's name or mark appears many hundreds of years before the date.

The nauarch', mentioned only in Inscr. IV. 40, was probably

## Neuarch.

 a yearly magistrate, if we may judge by Abydos, where he was eponymous'. The nesiarch mentioned in Inscr. 1. 5, though a Cyzicene, must be referred to the confederacy of the Cyclades'.An imperial accountant ${ }^{3}$ ( $\lambda$ oyı $\sigma \dot{\eta} s$ ) of Cyzicus, M. Ulpius

Imperial officials. Logistes. Carminius Claudianus, is mentioned in an inscription of Aphrodisias', where he had served in many capacities; Cyzicus in her turn provided an accountant for Ilion, with which city she seems to have been on good terms since the Mithradatic wari, in A. Claudius Caecina Pausanias", who bad served as strategus in his native city ${ }^{\text { }}$, probably under Antoninus ${ }^{11}$, and was there honoured with the dedication of a statue by his clerk ( $\left.\pi р a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}\right)$ Metrodorus ${ }^{11}$. Ti. Claudius Severus (another strategus of Cyzicus? but apparently a Galatian by birth) was sent as an accountant to Bithynia ${ }^{22}$ : all three cases conform to Ramsay's rule that imperial accountants serve in the second century outside their native cities.

[^181]An impcrial procurator (e่ $\pi$ ípotos) was stationed at Apol-
Procurator. lonia ${ }^{2}$, possibly to collect the harbour dues of that port for the fiscus:
Of civic officials, we have noticed the strategus of the city,

Civic officlals. who took probably a general responsibility for the Government within the municipal boundaries extending to the coinage and including the police.

Three architects, according to Straboz, were regularly Architectl. appointed during the republican period for the supervision of the public buildings and the engines of war: it may have been one of these official architccts who
 thrace!.

An extraordinary official of the same character was the $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \frac{\chi 0 t o s^{5}}{}$ who was appointed when the city walls were bcing built in the fourth century. He was cntrusted with the general supervision of the work, which was carried out by contract, each contractor undertaking a definite portion. The position of the architect in charge of Tryphaena's harbour works" scems to have bcen similar: he was certainly appointed for the purpose. It may be that the permanent architects formed a Board of Public Works, reporting on dilapidations, and deciding what measures should be taken.

A עє由тo८òs т $\hat{\nu} \Sigma_{\epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}} \nu^{*}$ must have held temporary office only.

Over the food supply-Strabo ${ }^{8}$ mentions the public granaries,

## Sitophylax.

 which did the city good service during the Mithradatic siege-presided the sitophylakes ${ }^{\circ}$ : over the regulation of the market-a market of men ${ }^{10}$ is mentioned
## Agoranomi. Stephanephorl.

 besides that built or enlarged by Tryphaena ${ }^{31}$-the agoranomi, who are associated with the stephanephori (rcligious officials as appears from 1. 2 (b)) for the maintenance of public order in Inser. I. 14.```
'C.IC. 298r.
3 5%%.
8 %. 2r.
```

${ }^{2}$ 113. 49 . ${ }^{8} 572$.
10 I. $8 . \quad 11$ !. 14 .
${ }^{2}$ Cf. A.E.AT., XIX. 27.

* C.J.G. 2158 , but the reading is uncertain.
- IV. 68.
- Dumont Inscr., Thmec, 378,642, I1. 17.

The limenarch ${ }^{1}$ had presumably charge of the customs and of the port in gencral, while finance was

Limenarch. Tamias. Trapesites. in the hands of the tamias ${ }^{2}$ (treasurcr) and trapezites ${ }^{3}$ (banker).
Trade guilds known at Cyzicus are:-

| Trade | (1) The harbour porters (V. 182); |
| :--- | :--- |
| gulide. | (2) The weigh-house porters (V. 422); |
|  | (3) The fishers (V. 100); |
|  | (4) The fullers (v. 140); |

while a guild of oil sellers may perhaps be inferred from the Hermes Eleopoles of IV. 75.

A company of merchants including two directors ( $\dot{\mu} \rho \chi \omega \hat{\nu} a \iota$ ), two financial managers ( $\dot{\pi i}$ тои̂ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{v}$ ), cleven shareholders ( $\mu \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{\imath} \chi \circ \iota$ ), and two travellers ( $\dot{e} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ i)$ is mentioned in a stele of republican date dedicated to their patron gods, Poseidon and Aphrodite Pontia ${ }^{4}$.

Another hicrarchy of officials-the Education Department of a Greek state-dealt with the gymnasia and Gymnasis. the other institutions connected with the athletic games. Cyzicene visitors appear at most of the famous athletic contests of antiquity. At Olympia they won the stadium at the one hundred and twenty-seventh, one hundred and fiftyninth, one hundred and sixtieth and two hundred and forty-sixth celebrations ${ }^{\text {s }}$, and a successful boxer carried off the prize at the Olympia, Nemea and Isthmia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : the city's representatives appear also at Thespiae, Orchomenus and Delphi.

Their training began in their childhood under the pacdo-. nomus?. The education of the ephebi secms to

Paedo. namut. Ephebi. have been in particularly good repute, for Tcucer of Cyzicus made it the subject of a book ${ }^{2}$ : this may in part account for the choice of Cyzicus as a place of education for several youthful princes.

The ephebi were placed in charge of an ephebarch ${ }^{\circ}$ and
${ }^{1} 111.3^{6}$.
${ }^{3}$ Iv. 85.
${ }^{3}$ Eusebius, cf. Pars. v1. 137.
${ }^{7}$ 1. 13 , cf. 1. 1a.
8. 1. 19. II. 17.

[^182]hypcphebarch ${ }^{1}$, who were assisted in their duties by a monitor ( $\delta$ เouк $\left.\eta \tau \eta)^{3}\right)^{3}$ chosen from the number of the ephebi.

Of the Neoi, who were probably superintended by the
Neol. gymnasiarch ${ }^{3}$ and xystarch ${ }^{4}$ we have a quaint rccord in the curious series of inscriptions ${ }^{3}$ commemorating various pairs of youths who had complcted their coursc: the device is uniformly a pair of human feet on which the names of the dcparting scholars are engraved, with the
 $\nu \in o i$. These are of course not official monuments, but partake of the nature of Graffiti.

The S.C. de Corpore Neon ${ }^{7}$ alludes to the social side of the organisation ${ }^{8}$ for which the jus counndi was necessary: the Neoi formed a club for younger men as the Gerousia for their elders.

Beyond the officials mentioned above we know of the
Colacretae. existence of a panegyriarch (perhaps also of an agonothctcs) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ and of colacretae; the functions of the latter are unknown, but they are connected with the games of Philetaerus in II. 19, the sole mention of the office. At Athens their original religious duties became financial.

The games with which these officers are connected are

Games. intimately bound up not only with the religion and education of the Greek states, but also with the politics. The great festivals of the republican period, especially of coursc the Olympia, werc one of the few Panhellenie influences to countcract the narrowness of city and party patriotism.

A yearly gathering of some sort ${ }^{10}$, accompanied naturally by a fair, was a usual feature even in small local cults; it survives in the panegyris still held at the smallest Greek churches on their saints' days: games and dramatic contests of a rudc sort were almost universal.

It is obvious that the Romans (perhaps using the example of the Attalids before them) did all in their power to make

these gatherings the rallying point of the philo-Roman policy from the commencement of their rule in Asia. To the temples of Apollonius and the ganes of Philetaerus succeed the Muciea ${ }^{1}$, in honour of Mucius Scaevola, the organiscr of the province, which are celebrated, certainly at Pergamon, that old focus of the philo-Roman idea, in connection with the religious games of Asklepios Soter. With the Mucica, as the Manyas inscription shews, was associated the common council of Asia.

Such an assembly evidently made for the levelling of local prejudices and the cultivation of the imperial ideal. At Cyzicus, while it was still a free city, a similar bond certainly existed, after the sicge of Mithradates, in the Lucullea. In Tibcrius' reign we find the Panathenaea in honour of Athena, Livia, and Tiberius joined with a free market for the popularising of the cult, Gaius probably freakishly institutes the games of Drusilia, while the culminating point of the continuous Roman policy is the participation of Cyzicus in the great games called Hadriana Olympia ${ }^{3}$, inaugurated in $139^{3}$ and comprising not only athietic but musical and poetic and dramatic contests, and attracting competitors from all parts of Asia. With these games are connected the Temple of Hadrian and probably the marketplace paved by him in its neighbourhood. In virtue of this temple Cyzicus is admitted to the ranks of the Neocorate cities of Asia, their common imperial cultus and their common council. The Olympia wcre continued at least as late as Gallienus ${ }^{4}$.

With the Ncocorate cities Cyzicus takes her turn in the celebration of the Pan-Asiatic games, which are marked by the designation коьуiv 'Arias, and were evidently celcbrated on a more lavish scale than the ordinary Olympia. On these occasions also Cyzicus became the seat of the Council. My own opinion is" also that the "high priest of Asia in Cyzicus" took in these years the style of Asiarch.

[^183]Much has been written on the question of the Asiarchate, its

Aslarch and Archiercug. relation to the high priesthood of Asia and to the Common Council, and much of the cvidence used is so equivocal that it is used by all parties for their own purposes. Doctor Brandis ${ }^{3}$, so far from allowing the identity of the Asiarchate and high priesthood, considers that the Asiarchs had no religious duties, and were simply deputies of the various cities who took part in the Council. But the two offices have evidently much in common. Thus wealth is insisted on as a necessary qualification both for the asiarchate ${ }^{3}$ and for the high priesthood ${ }^{3}$, and as the asiarch in III. 22 and elsewhere cxhibits gladiators, so does the high priest in C.I.G. 3942. Dio Chrysostom ${ }^{4}$ evidently identifics the two offices. Modestinus ${ }^{8}$ counts the Asiarchate among the national priesthoods.

The titlc of "highpriest of Asia, of the temple in Cyzicus" is again exactly paralleled by the expression "asiarch of the temples in Ephesus" which is against any theory depriving the asiarch of religious functions.

The contentions (I) that more than one Asiarch might exist in the same city at the same time, and (2) that Asiarchs held civil magistracies contemporaneously ${ }^{4}$, are both met by the assumption that all who had been Asiarchs retained the honorary title, which, if we bear in mind the Asiatic love of titles, is an casy assumption'. The Cyzicene inscription III. 27 shews that the wife of the Asiarch only retained her title of high priestess: she is of course, in Cyzicus, high priestess of Asia, if her husband is Asiarch. I suppose, then, that Asiarch was the older title, since Asiarchs had existed at the time of the Muciea, before the development of the impcrial cult and the high priests of Asia : that one Asiarch was elected ycarly:

[^184]that he was specially chosen for his wealth, which would enable him to fulfil his part with credit in the provision of games on a sumptuous scale: that, as high priest of the imperial cultus and organiser of the games and festival, he served for one year in whatever city was chosen for the meeting of the кoוvò very frequently, as Dr Brandis observes, a forcign city ${ }^{1}$ : that after his term he retained the title and the honours pertaining: further, that in later times the distinction between the offices was not always strictly observed.
${ }^{1}$ This again applies in many cases to the high-priest of Ania.

## PART V

## LIST OF INSCRIPTIONS:

Class I. Decrees and other Public Records.
Class II. Official Lists.
Class III. Honorary Inscriptions.
Class IV. Votive and Religious Inscriptions.
Class V. Scpulchral Inscriptions.
CLASS VI. Miscellanea (landmarks, inscriptions from architecture, graffiti from gymnasia, small objects, etc.).
Supplement: Foreign Inscriptions relating to Cyzicus and Cyzicenes.
Indices of (1) Provenance, (3) Latin and bilingual inscriptions, (3) metrical inscriptions, (4) suggested new readings, (5) Cyzicene games and festivals, (6) foreign slates and citizens, and (7) foreign games mentioned in Cyzicene inscriptions.

## Class I. Decrees and other Public Records.

1. Decree of Proxeny granted to Medices and the heirs of Aesepus, VI. cent. B.C.: the original text $(a)$ is written boustrophedon and is followed by (b) a copy of later date, headed ent Maundpiou. Hermes Xv. 92 (with bibliogr.), Michel 532, Dittenberger Syll. 312, Rochl Xvi. 6, Cauer 488, Bechtel 108. Cyzicus?
2. (a) Decree of Proxeny granted to a citizen of Panticapacum (iv. cent. B.C.) and bearing the arms of that city (a head of Pan) in relief above the

 to record an oracle of the Milesian Apollo (cf. Klio v. 299). Ath. Mitth. vi. 121 (t), B.C.H. XtII. 5t5, pl. ix, Berl. Sitzb. 1887, 122, pl. x., Goold 17, Tch. K. Sculp. 114 (Goold 17). Cyzicus.
3. Fragment of similar decree granted to NN. Zopyri (?) and bearing the arms of Cyzicus. J.H.S. xxiv. 38 (62). Yeni Keui ${ }^{3}$.

[^185]4. Similar decree, c. 390 B.C., with relicf of a goat (the arms of Antandrus?):

 Syllogos Mopópr. тov̀ $15^{\prime}$ тó $\mu$ ov, 4, Michel 533, Num. Chron. 1899 (1). Has Keui.
5. Decree thanking the Parians for conferring a crown on the nesiarch

 Marb. $\mathbf{2 8 5 9}$, Homolle, Arch. Int. 45, Michel 534, Wilhelm, Beiträge, 218. Cyzicus.
6. Honorary decree of Rhodes in favour of a Cyzicenc embassy, II. cent. RC: headed 'Eni' 'Aptoraivòpov. C.I.G. 3656 . Cyzicus.
7. Proxeny decree (of Miletupolis)?) in favour of Machaon Asclepiadae for his services in the war against Andronicus (c. 130 b.C.) : headed,
 xv. 6. Ulubad.

See also Foreign Inserr., Brusa.
8. Honorary decree sanctioning the erection of a statue of Cleidice priestess of Placiane (1. cent. R.c.): headed, 'Eлi 'Hygoiov, 'Apтeprotûvos
 8ápon Aiykopeís hions iri Meveotíus eitev. 'Erei 'Apiaravópós $\phi$ фбtv к.r.ג. C.I.G. 3657, Michel 537. Artaki.
9. Decree in two portions approving the picture and inscription prepared in honour of Cleidice and assigning a site for their erection:
 152, 251, (a) Res. Arch. N.S. xxxil. 269 (4), (b) Berl. Afonatsb. 1860, 494, Michel 538. Cyzicus.
10. Honorary decree in favour of Demetrius Oeniadae, c. 25 b.c., Ath. Mith. Ix. 28, B.P.W. 1892, 740, cf. J.H.S. xxill. 89. Eski Manyas ${ }^{1}$.
11. Decree providing for settlement of accounts with one Theognetus

 C.I.G. 3658. Cyzicus.
12. Decree in favour of Antonia Tryphaena in return for her benefactions

 164. Berl. Monatsb. 1874, 16 (3), Ath. Mith vi. 55, B.C.H. vi. 613, cf. 'E $\phi$. Apx. 1890, 157, Wilhelm, Beilräge 197. Tcharik Keui?
13. Decree in honour of Tryphaena on the occasion of her visit to the city with her three sons (reign of Caligula): headed, 'Eni raiou Kniáapos



[^186]Miŋvoфต̂vtos єitєу. Berl. Monatsb. 1874, 16 (4), Syllogos locc. citt., Philol. Obresnija 1895, 113, Dittenberger Syll. 365 . Tcharik Keuil.
14. Decree of similar date regulating the new market of Tryphaena:

 Өєرєтஸ்актоя сітєข. Ath. Milth. xvi. 141, R.E.G. VI. S, cf. B.S.A. xil. 183, Dittenberger Syll. 366 . Cyzicus*.

For the works of Antonia Tryphaena, cf. also Inscrr. 111. 23, w. 68, 69.
15. Fragment of contemporary decree. J.IJ.S. xxiv. 25 (4). Ulubad.
16. Decree (of Zeleia) regulating the administration of public lands after

 Dittenberger Sy/l.g 154, Michel 530. Sari Kicui.
17. Decree regulating sale of exiles' property (similar date): headed,

18. Decrecs of proxeny, similar date, headings as (16), in favour of (b) Nicon of Thurij, (c) Demophanes of Ephesus, (c) Cleander of 1'roconnesus and others. Ath. Millh. IX. 58, (1)-(5), Michel 53I, Bechtel ir4. Sari Keui.
19. Honorary decrec of the Council of Asia in favour of Herostratus Dorcalionis (42 n.c.). Re\%. Arch. N.S. xxxiv. 106 (3), Ath. Mitth. xv. 156 , J.H.S. xvil. 276 (27), Syllogos חapipт. той и' тодоv, 64-7. Eski Manyas.
20. Senatus Consultum de Corpore Neon, C. 150 A.D. Rev. Arch. N.S. xxxI. 350, Eph. Ep. III. 156, C.I.L. III. 7060. Cyzicus.
21. Contract for building a towcr (c. 350 B.C.), hipparchate of Euphemus Leodamantis. Rev. Arch. N.S. XxX. 93, Michel 596, Bechtel 111. Cyzicus.
22. Contract for building a wall, similar date. J.H.S. XxIv. 39 (63). Cyzicus.
23. Stele recording gifts of Philctaerus I. to Cyzicus arranged cluronologically under hipparchs. J.H.S. X.II. 193 (3), see R.E.G. I902, 302 ff., Dittenberger Or. Gr. Inscrr. 748, Wilhelm, Beiträge 322. Cyzicus.
24. Acceptance of a crown by Cl. Eumenes, hipparchate of Cl. Eteoneus, B.C.H. xiv. 537 (3), Ǩlio v. 299. Cyzicus.
25. Mutilated inscription relating to customs. Ath. Mitth. IX. 15. Ermeni Keui.
26. Fragment relating to tribal accounts ${ }^{3}$. Gedeon 16, pl. i. 5. Kouklia.
27. Indeterminate fragment. Ath. Aitth. XXIX. 315. Kebsud.
${ }^{1}$ Said to be near Artaki.
${ }^{2}$ Now in the Imperial Muserm, Constantinople.


## Class II. Catalogues.

1. List in Prytaneis for Apaturion, hipparchate of Terentius Donatus and Vibius Amphictyon (reign of Hadrian ?). C.I.G. 3661. Cyzicus.
2. List of Callieis for Pyanepsion (first Neocorate). C.I.G. 366z. Cyzieus.
3. List of Prytaneis for Calamacon and Callieis for Panemus (first Neocorate). C.J.G. 3663 . Cyzicus.
4. List of Prytaneis for Thargelion, sixth hipparchate of Chaereas (first Neocorate). Alh. Mitth. vi. 42 (t). Cyzicus.
5. Lists of Prytaneis: (a) for Calamaeon, seventh hipparchate of Chaereas: (b) for Artemision (Callieis for Taureon), hipparchate of Claudia Bassa, (c) remains of a list of late republican dare. Ath. Alitith. vi. 43 (2), Perrot I. 87 (49). Cyzicus.
6. List without heading. Ath. Mitth. xinl. 304. Cyzicus.
7. Three fragments of similar list. Perrot 1. 87-8 (50-2). Cyzicus.
8. List of Prytancis for Poseideon, eleventh hipparchate of Chaereas (first Neocorate). Ath. Afitth, Xxvi. 131. Yeni Keui.
9. List of Prytaneis for Poseideon, Lenneon, Anthesterion. C.I.G. $366{ }_{4}$ Cyzicus.
10. List (of Prytareis?), imperial period. J.H.S. xxi1. 204 (13). Cyzicus.
11. Similar list. B.C.H. xiv. $538^{\text {(3). Cyzicus. }}$
12. Similar, used later for illiterate sepulchral inser. J.H.S. xxin: 34 (52). Peramo.
12A, List of names (all Greek). C.J.G. 68 yr. Cyzicus ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ?
13. Worn stele with list of Creek date, hipparchate of Cyano (?). J.H.S. xxil. 207. Cyzicus.
14. Worn and broken triangular stele with remains of a list hy tribes. J.H.S. xxir. 207. Cyzicus.
15. Fragment of a list of names: Gerlach p. 44. Panderma.
16. Fragmentary list of names, inperial date. Ath. Afitth. IX. 16 (2). Artaki.
17. List of Ephehi by tribes, hipparchate of Aur. Iulia Menelais ${ }^{3}$. C.I.G. 3605. Cyzicus.
18. Heading of a list of honorary citizens, hipparchate of Iulius Maior. J.H.S. xxill. 83 (30). Aidinjik.
19. List of Colacretae headed by Gymnasiarch, Ephebarch and Hypepheharch (pre-imperial?). C.I.G. 3660. Cyzicus.
20. List of Hieromnemones, headed by archon Hermodorus (iv. cent. B.C.?). B.C.H. xiv. 525.1 . Cyzieus.

[^187]21. List of officials dated probalbly by hipparchs. B.C.H. XVIf. 530 (30). Sari Keui.
22. List of strategi (?) including the name of G. Iulius Ariobarzanes. Ath. Mitth. Ix. 58 (2), Klio v. 293 fi., cf. J.H.S. xxvir. 67 (14n). Chavoutzi.
23. Fragmentary inscription (heading of a list ?). C.J.G. 3666. Artaki.
24. List of priests of the Imperial cultus. Gedeon 90, /.H.S. x.xvi. 29f., Marmara.
25. List of names ${ }^{1}$. Gedeon 9 . Marmara.
26. Fragment of list (II. cent. D.c.?). J.H.S. Xxvi. 25 (2). Alexa.

## Class III. Honokary.

## A. Imperiala

1. Dedication of triumphal arch by Koman residents and Cyzicenes to Augustus, 'Tiberins and Claudius, A.D. 51. Nees. Arch. N.S. x.x.x. 100, Eph. Epig. Iv. 53, C.I.L. III. 706 ı. Cyzicus.
2. Dedication of a statue of Domitian by the nrchons A.D. 84. Le Bas 1069, Berl. Sitzb. 1889, 365 (1). Aboulliond.
3. Dedication to Hadrian, Olympian, Saviour and Founder. Syllogros vir. 173 (7). Cyzicus.
4. Similar. Rev. Arch. N.S. ixxif. 269 (3). Cyzicus.
5. Similar (on small column). Ath. Mitth. IN. 30 (12). Artaki.
6. Similar. Perrot I. 98 (59). Mihallitch.
7. Similar: Ath. Mitth. Xx1X. 309, Mendel 402 . Melde.
8. Similar (small base). J.H.S. xvil. 270 (1i). Aboulliond.
9. Similar. J.H.S. XXIv. 26 (I8), Ath. Mitth. xxix. 310 (3). Aboulliond.
10. (Latin) dedication to Hadrian, Olympian, Founder of the Colony. Anth. dell' Inst'. 1842, 151, Le 13as 1750, C.I.L. I11. 374. Karabogha.
11. Similar. B.C.H. xvit. 549 (see C.I.L. 111. 374). Karabogha.
12. Dedication to Antoninus. Hamilton 329, Le Bas 1765, R.E.G. III. 68. Bigaditch.
13. Dedication to Artoninus, Dionysus, and Mystac ${ }^{2}$. Gedeon Iol, pl. ii. II. Prastcio.
14. Dedication of Cyzicenes and Roman residents to Tiberius (?) ${ }^{3}$. Ath. Mitth. IX. 20 (II), Emmeni Keni.
15. Dedication of a statue of Augustus by Aristander Eumenis. Ath. Mitth. IX. 19 (9), Klio v. 300 . Cyzicus.

[^188]16. Dedication of the city of Argiza to Valentinian. Berl. Sitzo. 1894, 904. C.I.L. 111. 7084. Balia Bazar.

See also C.I.A. iv. 77 (dedication of Cyzicenes to Hadrian at Athens) : and a statue of Hadrian from Cyzicus (Tch. K. Sculp. 46 (Goold 1) = Gas. Arch. IX. 1884, 207, pl. $28=$ Bernoulli Icon. Rom. It. 110 (20) $=$ Reinach, Repertoirc 580, cf. 579 ).

## B. Various.

17. Achilles (C. Iulius), flute-player of Magnesia, victorious at Cyzicene Olympia, etc. Ath. . Hitth. vin. 255 (26). Cyzicus.
18. Aphasius (Aur.) honoured by the city of Pericharaxis. B.C.H. xvm. 541, Ath. Witth. xx. 236, A.EE. Witth. xvill. 228. Balia Maden.
18t. Apollodorus Apollodori'. B.C.H. xvil. 548 (43). Stengel Keui.
19. Artemidorus Artemidori (grammateus). Alh. Afith. xxix. 305 (cf. J.H.S. xxv. 58), Arch. Ahs. 1905, 56, Mendel 2. Kavak Keui.
20. Artemo Philetoris. B.C.H. xiv. 539 (4). Cyzicus.

20t. Asclepiades? (T. Flavius). J.H.S. xxvin. 64 (6). Melde.
20 A . Asclepiades Melidori: relief of sacrifice to Zeus dedicated by a thiasus in honour of A. ${ }^{2}$ Conze Lesbos 62, pl. xviij, B.C.H. xxurf. 592 (bibliography). "Nicaea."
21. Caecina (A. Claudius, Pausanias), statue of, dedicated by Metrodorus?. C.I.G. 3680 , Hamilton 316, Ath. JItith. Ix. 19. Cyzicus.

21t. Comutus'. J.H.S. xvin. 268 (1), B.S.A. xinf. 299. Tachtali.
22. Corus (M. Aurelius), boy-athlete of Thyatira, victor at Cyzicene Olympia. C.I.G. 3674. Cyzieus.
23. Cotys (?) (S. Iulius). Ath. .IVitth. v. 40 , see J.H.S. xxin. 131, xxill. 91, B.S.A. xil. 177. Cyzicus.
Cretheus Hestiaci, see v. 85.
24. Cydicles (T. Marcius), dedicator of a statere of his (anonymous) uncle. Tch. K. Sculp. 85. Cyzicus.
Cyzicus, see vi. 13 .
25. Doedalses (athlete victorious at Pergamon): stele, with metrical inscr., dedicated to \%eus in his honour. Alh. Mifth. xiv. 249 (19). Kermasti.
26. Euncos (Ti. Claudius). Alf. Mfith vit. 254 (24). Yeni Keui.
27. Gratus (Plotius Aurelius, Asiarch), dedication of gladiators!. C.I.G. 3677. Cyzieus.
${ }^{1}$ Engraved on a sitele apparently representing a sacrifice of an ox to Cybele, cf. 1II. $20 \mathrm{~A}, 38,38 \mathrm{~A}$.
${ }^{2}$ This stele and $1 t 1.38$ A, both now in Athens (von Sybel 371 and $\varsigma 70$ ), are closely connected by their reliefs with the Cyzicene series and by their formulae with III. 33 (Triglia): cf. Mordtmann in Sidh. MithA. X. 205.
${ }^{3}$ See Foreign Inserr. (Ilion), and list of strategi. +Cf. below ill. 35.
${ }^{5}$ See list of strategi. 'Gladiators are also mentioned in v. 133.
28. Hippias Asclepiadac. Hamilton 318, Le Bas $1761_{1}$ Re\%. Arch. N.S. XXXIV. 107 (4). Eski Manyas.

Homer, see vi. 14.
29. Lysagoras Simi. J.H.S. xxil. 201 (5). Cyzicus.
30. Magnilla Magni, philosopher 1. J.H.S. xvir. 269 (6). Aboulliond.

30t. Magnus (Cn. Pompeius). J.H.S. xxvir. 64 (6). Melde.
31. Maximus of Apamea, poer, winner at the Olympia, statue inser. in elegiacs. C.I.G. 3672 , Hamilton 313 , Kaibel 881 . Aidinjik.
32. Medeus Myrmecis; relief of Zeus dedicated in his honour by a thiasus. B.C.H. Xvir. 345 (32), Xxilı. 595 (2). Triglia.
33. Meleager Alcinachi. Ath. Mitth. Xxix. 299. Eski Manyas.
34. Metrodorus (Aur.) of Cyzicus, pentathlete. C.f.G. 3676. Cyzicus.
35. Nestor, poet ${ }^{2}$ : metrical inscr. from statue set up by Cornutus ${ }^{3}$ in the precinct of Kore C.I.G. 3671 , Kaibel 882. Cyzicus.
36. Paulinus (Lucilius), limenarch. Ath. Mitth. IX. 18 (6). J'anderma. Pausanias, see Caecina 111. 31.
37. Pistus (qui et Gaius) pancratiast of Cjme, victor in Asiatic games. C.I.G. 3675. Cyzicus.

Pompeius, see III. 30 t.
38. Secundus (C. Aelius), rheror. J.H.S. xiri. 269. 5. Aboulliond.

38 A. Stratonice Meneclis: stele with relicf of Cybele and Apollo sel up in her honour by a thiasusi. Conze Lesbos 6i, pl. xix., R.C.H. xxull. 593. "Nicrea."
39. (Timosthenes (T. Flavius): base of statue erected by, in honour of anonymous person.) Le Bas 1070. Aboulliond.
40. Trophimus, victor in the Asclepica. J.H.S. xxint. 77 (8). Cyzicus.
41. Vettianus Vettii, xystarch of Miletupolis. C.I.G. 3673. Cyzicus.
42. Conclusion of statue inscr. in honour of a mystarch. C.J.G. 3678, Hamilton 306, Alh. Mitth. 1x. 19 (10), J.H.S. xvit. 275 (25). Aidinjik.
43. Relief of wrestlers inscribed $\Delta i 0$ s ajpirn (sic). Le Bas $1764 b$, Perrot 1 . 102 (65). Kermasti.
44. Fragment of agonistic inscription ". J.H.S. Xxil. 201 (4). Cyzicus.
45. Similar. Le Bas 1071. Aboulliond.
46. Similar. Gedeon pl. iv. $23^{\circ}$. Marmara 1.
47. Heading of honorary inscription. Af/h. AIVth. Xxrx. 275. J.H.S. xxv. 61 (25). Gunen.
48. Inscription from statue of philosopher? Rev. Arch. N.S. Xxxrv. 108 (7), J.H.S: XXIv. 27, cf. Alh. Mitth. XXIX. 299. Eski Manyas.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. 211,48 and 5.173 f.
${ }^{2}$ Laryandensis? so Kaibel. ${ }^{3}$ Cf. above III. 21 f.
the stone is now in Athens (von Sybel 570), cf. above 111. 20 A, 32. The name occurs on v. 95 (Gunen).

${ }^{7}$ Cf. above III. 30 and Mendel 72.
49. Fragment of honorary inscription. J.H.S. xvil. 272 (12). Ulubad.
50. Fragment of inscribed statue-base. J.H.S. xxill. 76 (6). Yeni Keui.
51. Honorary inscr. of an officer of Corbulo. J.H.S. Xxvir. 64 (7). Kermasti.
52. Fragmentary honorary inscription. f.H.S. xxvil. 63 (4). Alpat Keui.
53. Base with wreath and worn inscription. J.H.S. Xxvir. 65 (9). Yeni Manyas.
See also for other inscriptions of an honorary character the decrees 1. $1-10,13,18,19,24$, and v. 20 A , and the Supplement of Foreign Inscrr.
Victors in the games are also mentioned in v. 17, 87,93, 188. Reliefs of cbariotecrs from Cyzicus Tch. K. Sculs. $135=$ B.C.H. xvil. 493*, Arch. Ans. 1905, 65= . lendel 1.

## Class IV. Votive and Religious.

(a) Kore and Demeter.
(b) Cybele.
(c) Zeus, Asklepios, Scrapis.
(d) Apollo and Artemis.
(c) Dionysus, Poseidon, Aphrodite.
(f) Athena, Hermes, Pan, Heracles, etc.
(Imperial dedications are classed as honorary in Class 111.)

## (a) Kore and Demeter,

See inscr. 111. 29 (temenos of Kore): 1. 9 (Priestess of Kore and Demeter): Iv. 84 (Priest of Kore): 1. 24, IV. 65 (of K. Soteira): 1.24 (Great Mysteries of K. Sotcira): Iv. 81 ( $\Delta$ eбrovat?): vı. 24 (Өeà): vI. $28+\dagger$ (epigram from altar): B.S.A. vinl. 193, pl. v. (Statue of Kore?): Inscr. I. 3 (relief of head of Kore): Tch. KN. Sculp. i3r (relicf of Demeter in scrpent chariot).

## (b) Cybele

1. Stele dedicated to Dyndymene $\left\langle s i^{\circ}\right\rangle$ and Zeus in the hipparchate of Hestiacus. B.C.H. XII. 187 (1). Artaki.
2. Dedication to Kotyana. B.C.H. Xvif. 520 (33). Aidinjik.
3. Dedication of Soterides to Ko[tyana?] in the hipparchate of Bulides. C.J.G. 3668, Lowwre Marb. 2850, Dittenberger Syll. 248 . A.-E. Mitth xx. 74 ${ }^{1}$. Cyzicus.
4. Dedication to Tolupiane by the dioecetes and corporation of a villagedistrict in the hipparchate of Aristagoras. Ath. Mitth. X. 203 (29). Tch. K. Sculp. ${ }^{2}$ 117. Debleki.

[^189]5. Dedication to Andiris with relief of A. and Hermes Cadmilus ${ }^{1}$. J.H.S. xx11. 190 (1) ${ }^{*} . \quad$ Cyzicus.
6. Fragment of stele inscribed to ?Andi]rene. J.H.S. xxv. 60 (20). Boghaz Keui.
7. Stele with relief of Cybele and fragmentary inscription. J./I.S. xxilr. 86 (28). Aidinjik.
See further: lnscrr. 1. 8, 9 (Placiane), 111. 32A, $38 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{v} .70$ (reliefs of Cybele and Apollo): uninscribed reliefs (1) of Cybele (Rcv. Arch. XVI1. 1891, 12 (5), (6), cf. B.M. Sculp. 1. 782): (2) of Cybelc, Zeus, Hermes and Curetes, A/h. Mitth. xv1. 191*, R.C.H. xxul. 592 (6) and pl. vii. For Attis Monuments see below, p. 278.
(c) Zeus, Asklepios, Serapis, etc.
8. Dedication of Otacilii to Z. Crampsenus. Ath. Mitth. 刃iv. 90, B.C.H. xvill. 541, Bcrl. Sitsb. 1894, 902, see J.H.S. XxI. 293 (73) note. Balia Maden.
9. Similar of Theudamus Gallionis. J.K.S. xxi. 293 (73). Balia Maden.
10. Dedication of Pauseros to Z. Hypsistos. Le bas 1067. Aboulliond.
11. Dedication of Sogenes? to Z. Hypsistos. J.H.S. x.xir. 207 (14). Cyzicus.
12. Stele with two reliefs dedicated by Syntrophus to Z. Hypsistos Bron. taios. Le Bas 1099 (Mon. Fig. $133^{*}$ ) p. 115 , Rev. Phil. 1. $3^{8,}$ Ath. Mitth. Iv. 21, Tch. K. Sculp. 126. Mihallitch.
12t. Dedication of the sons of Protomachus to Z. Brontaios. J.KI.S. XXV11. 66 (12). Tchakyrdja.
13. Stele with reliefs of (a) Zeus, Artemis, and Apollo, (b) religious banquet, dedicated to Zeus Hypsistos and the $\chi \hat{\omega}$ pos. B.C.H. XIII. 592, pl. v., Rev. Arch. IJIS. xvi1. 10, B.C.H. Xv11. 193, B. M. Sculp. 1. 8ı7, Ath. Mitth. XXx. 444-6, cf. Ziebarth, Gr. Vercinsw., 66. Pan. derma.
 Panderma.
15. Small relief of an ox dedicated to $\theta$ eds susaros? B.C.H. XV11. 523 (7). Panderma.
15t. Dedication to 'the god' кar' intrayinv. Ath. Mitth. XXX 412. Aboulliond.
16. Relief of eagle dedicated to Bcòs üytoros'. J.H.S. XVIr. 270 (10). Aboulliond.

[^190]16t. Stele dedicated by Evodion to Z. Olbios. B.C.H. xxxil. 520, pll. v., vi. Kavak.
17. Altar dedicated to Zeus Olbios. J.H.S. Nxv. 56 (64). N゙r. Gunen!.
18. Dedication of Q. Longinus to Geos ôßßuos. J.H.S. Xxv. 56 (2). Nr. Gunen.
19. Similar of Heraclides. J.K.S. $\times x v .56$ (1). Nir. Gunen.
20. Altar dedicated to Geds $\overline{2}$ §sos. J.H.S. XXv. 57 (6). Nr. Gunea.
21. Relief of Zeus dedicated by sons of Attalus. J.H.S. xxv. 56 (5). Nr. Gunen.
22. Dedication of Theseus to Z. Megas. R.C.H. Xvil. 548 (40). Dimetoka.
23. Relief dedicated by the Thracian village to Zeus Chalazios Sozon in the hipparchate of Dionysius. J.H.S. Xxiv. 2I (4) cf. XXv1. 29. Mahmun Keui (ß).
24. Dedication of Heracleote sailors to Z. Orncos ${ }^{2}$. Gedeon, pl. iv. 30. Halone.
25. Altar of Z. Soter. Ath. Mitth. Xxix. 280. Ilidja.
26. Dedication of ...nes Midiae to Z. Soter and Heracles. Ath. Mitth. XxIX. зoI. Omar Keui.
27. Relicf of Zeus dedicated by Onesimus. J.H.S. גixin. 80 (19). Pan. derma.
28. Stele with relief of Zeus dedicated in the hipparchate of Drusus Caesar. J.H.S. xxiv. 28 (z8), cf. xxv. 60 . Hodja Bunar.
29. Similar stele dedicated by Varius Phrixus l'ollio. J.H.S. xxvi. 28 (6). Panderma.
30. Stele with relief of Zeus and inscription recording the contributions of a religious society. Ath. Mith. IN. 58 (3), Reri. Arch. III. s. XVil. 2, B.M. Sculp. 111. 215 I. Sari Keui.

See also Inscr. IV. I (Zeus and Dyndymene), note on Cybele (Zeus, Cybele, Hermes), reliefs of nos. [1I. $20 \mathrm{~A}, 25,32,43$, and below Iv. 3I, 83.
31. Dedication by Aelius Aristides of a statue of Hera in the temple of 7eus. Ath. Mith. XXIX. 280. Balukiser.
32. Fragment of relief dedicated to Asklepios. J.H.S. xxill. 79 (14). Panderma.
33. Dedication of Primigenes to Asklepios. Ath. Mitth. .xxix. 273. Balia Bazar.
 and Goold 87 (statue of Hygieia in Tchinily Kiosk).
34. Dedication of therapewfae to Serapis and Isis. Rev. Arch. A.S. xxxvrr. 237. Cyzicus.
35. Similar (the names missing). Syllogos Vil. 173 (6). Ermeni Keui.
36. Hymn to Serapis and Isis. Rev. Arch. Xxxı1. 271 (5). Cyzicus.
${ }^{1}$ i.e. Kavak'? so probably Nos. 18-21.

37. Dedication of Lygdamis to Isis. Ath. Mitth. IX. 18 (5). Cyzicus.
38. Dedication of Apollodorus to 1. Karpophoros. B.C.H. Xis. I94 (4). Hammamli M.
See also relief (v. 214) and terracotta published in Rev. Arch. N.S. xxxvir. 257, Goold $13=T \mathrm{ch}$. R. Sculp. 71 (bust of Z. Serapis from Cyzicus).

## (d) APOLIO AND ARTEMIS.

39. Dedication of Asclepias to Apollo. Ath. Mitth. IX. 18 (4). Cyzicus.

39 A. Relicf of horseman, trec, and snake dedicated by Aur. Domitius to Apollo. Ath. Mitth. X. 209 (34). Cyzicus(?)'.
40. Relief representing a naval battle ${ }^{3}$ dedicated to Apollo (?) ${ }^{3}$ Kaseos in the hipparchate of Demetrius Lysiclis*. B.C.H. XII. 188 (2). Ulubad.
41. Relief dedicated by Andromachus to A. Krateanos. Arch. Zcit. 1875, 162 (5), A.-E. Mitth. XIX. 59, Mez. Phil. 1898, 164 (4), R.E.G. 1906, 305 (c). Nr. Manyas.
42. Do., dedicated by Apollodorus. Arch. Zeit. l.c. (3). A.-E.. Mi/th. L.c., Rev. Phil. l.c. 163 (3), R.E.G. l.c. (c). Nr. Manyas.
43. Do., dedicated by Glaucias. Arch. \%cit. l.c. (6), A.-E. Mitth. l.c., Rev. Phil. l.c. (6), R.E.G. l.c. (d), Afh. Mith. xxx. 329\%. Nr. Manyas.
44. Do., dcdicated by Mcnophilus Aulozelmeos. Arch. Ziál. l.c. (4), A.-E. Mith. l.c., Benndorf Lykien 154*, Rev. Phil. I.c. (6), R.E.G. l.c. (d). Nr. Manyas.
45. Do., dedicated by Metrophanes. Arch. Zeït. l.c. (1), A.-E. Mitth. I.c., Rev. Phil. l.c. (1), R.E.G. l.c. (a). Nr. Manyas.
46. Do., dedicated by Theagenes. Arch. Zcit. l.c. (2), A.-E. Millh. L.c., Rev. Phil. l.c. (2), R.E.G. l.c. 304 (b). Nr. Manyas,
47. Similar dedicated by Meander. Bull. Soc. Ant. 1873, 55, Bull. des Aut. 1893, 184, Lowひre Mard. 2864. Nr. Manyas.
48. Do., dedicated by Menodotus. Bull. Soc. Ant. L.c., Bull. des Ant. l.c., Louvre Mard. 2865. Nr. Manyas.
49. Dedication of Apollodorus to A. Krateanos. "AOqvâ vi. 470*, B.C.H. Xvil. 521 (2), Ticu. Phil. 1898, 164 (9). Panderma.
50. Do., of Mcdeus and Diodorus. Syllogos vill. 172, J.H.S. Xxiri. 87 (39), R.E.G. 1906, 305 (g). Cyzicus ${ }^{4}$.
51. Relié dedicated to Apollo Mekastenos. /.H.S. Xxiv. 20 (1). Cyzicus5.
52. Relief dedicated by Asclepiodotus to A. Tadokomites. Rev. Arch. IIIS. Xvill. 10 (3), B.BH. Scalp. 1. 777. Cyzicus.
${ }^{1}$ The provenance is doubtful, ef. note on v. 9 A.
${ }^{2}$ Relief resembles Le Bas-Reinach Mor. Kig. pl. 13I. \# See above p. 232.

- For formula of inscr. cf. Le Bas, 1766.
${ }^{5}$ Nos. $£ 0$ and gI $^{1}$ were brougbt by Dr Long from Cyzicus (Panderma?) and are now in the Museum of Robert College.

53. Relief dedicated by Heliodorus to Apollo. J.H.S. xxv .61 (1). Panderma.
54. Relief of sacrifice to Apollo with fragmentary inscr. J.H.S. xxv. 58 (53). Susurlu.
55. Dedication of Timotheus to Helios ${ }^{2}$. Gedeon pl. iii. 30. Marmara
56. Relief of Helios. J.H.S. xxv. 56 (3). Nr. Gunen.

See also Ath. Mitth. xxix. p. 29t, fig. 24* (Colossal head of Helios at Panderma) and Inser. I. 13 (Gaius Caesar the new Helios).
56t. Relief of sacrifice to Apollo dedicated by Timochares' B.C.H. Xvin. 548 (42). Bighashehr.
56 A . Stele with relief of sacrifice to Apollo dedicated by Menophanes to Apollo and Artemis. Tch. $K$. Sculp. 131 [189]. (Unknown ${ }^{2}$.)
57. Stele with relief of sacrifice to Apollo and Artemis dedicated by twelve persons. The (round) pediment is occupied by a 'religious banquet' scene. C.I.G. 3699, Syllogos vit. 171 (5). Ath. Mitth. 1 x . 25 (26). Panderma.
58. Dedication to Artemis by Glycon. Ath. Mithh. X. 209 (31). Ermeni Keui.
59. Dedication of relief of Artemis and Apollo to Artemis IPediane J.H.S. xxiv. 34 (51). Yeramo.
60. Dedication to Artemis Sebaste Baiiane (Iulia Titil) of acmple and baths ${ }^{3}$ by an imperial freedman. C.I.G. 3195 c. Boyuk Tepe Keui.
61. Dedication to Geà фwoфúpos. C.I.G. 3167, Ath. Mitth. IX. 63 (8). Porto Paleo.
62. Relief of Hecate dedicated by Asclepas. J.H.S. xxint. 86 (38). Sari Ǩcui.
63. Dedication of 'the cars(?) and the altar' to Artemis(?). J.H.S. xvil. 270 (8), B.C.H. xxv. 326 (4). Aboulliond.
Sec also Inscrr. Ill. 38 A, iv. 70 (reliefs of Apollo and Cybele), Iv. 74 (Hermes and Apollo), 1. io (temple of Asklepios and Apollo), iv. 13 (Arteniis and Apollo with 7eus), I. 8, 9 (Artemis Munychia), 1. 2, cf. Foreign inscrr. Miletus (Cyzicus and Milesian Apollo), vi. 38 (Artemis Ephesia), and J.H.S. xxill. 88* (relief of Apollo Citharoedus), Perrot II. pl iv. $=$ Lonvre Marb. 2849 (do. of Artemis Hecate), B.C.H. xvil. $54^{8}$ (43), (do. of saerifice to Artemis and Apollo ?), Rev. Arch. III. s. xxv. 282-4, pll. xvii. xvili. (Artemis head at Dresden.

: Erobably from the Cyzicenc area, cf. J.H.S. Xxilt. 87. My copy reads $\theta_{\text {copdrms }}$
 харитtipor.

3 For the connection cf. Artemis Thermaia of Gunen.
(c) Dionysus, Poseidon, Aphrodite, etc.
64. Stele with relief of sacrifice dedicated by Demetrius to Dionysus Attudenus. Le lias 1100 , Mon. Figg. p. 113 , pl. 133, Tch. K'. Sculp. 119, cf. Perrot 1. 101. Mihallitch.
 J.H.S. Xxvil. 6 ; (8). Yalichiftlik.
65. Dedication to Dionysus by priest of Kore. Ath. Mitth. IX. I7 (3). Panderma.
66. Dedication to Dionysus. J.H.S. X.xy. 57 (7). Nr. Gunen.

See also Inscrr. ILI. 13 (dedication to Antoninus, Dionysus, and Mystae), IV. 85 (Bák $\chi^{\circ}$ Kvyooovpaitat), V. 15 (Bpopiov みv́arクs): and the Cyzicene monuments, $T c h . K^{\prime}$. Sculp. 130 (Bacchic frieze) ${ }^{2}$, Reinach Ritpertoirc 1.117 (5) (Colossal head of Dionysus), 141 (2) (do. of Satyr), II. 471 (Jakobsen head of. Attis), Mendel 8 (torso of Attis).
67. Dedication of altar to P'oseidon. Syllogos Vir. 171 (1), J.H.S. XXVI. 38. Hadji Pagon (?).
68. Dedication of Bacchius to Poseidon Asphaleius. R.E.G. vit. 45, B.C.H. XVII. 453 (2), Dittenberger Syll. $\pm 543$. Cyzicus.
69. Dedication of base (and statue) by Antonia Tryphaena to Poseidon Isthmius, (a) prose, and (b) versc. J.H.S. XXII. 126, xXill. 91. Cyzicus.
70. Dedication of stele (with reliefs of Cybele and Apollo) to loseidon and Aphrodite Pontia by a merchants' guild in the hipparchate of Menestheus. Ath. Mitth. X. 204 (30). Cyzicus.
71. Fragmentary inscr. mentioning temple of Aphrodite. Ath. Mitth. vil.

See also Foreign inscrr., Delphi (ı.), (P. Asphaleius); and Reinach R\&pertoire 30. $5=$ B.M. Sculp. IIt. 1538 (statue of Poseidon?).
Inscr. II. 3 (priest of Aphrodite), 1. 13 (Aphrodite Drusilla); and Reinach, l.c. 3. 1036 (Statue of Aphrodite), Monatsb. f. K'unstwiss. I. pl. i. (Bronze statuette of A.).

## (f) Athena, Hermes, Pan, Heracles, etc.

72. Altar inscribed 'AӨŋ̀äs. Perrot 1. 102 (64). Kermasti.

See also Inscr. I. 12 (Athena Polias Nikephoros, Panathenaea), cf. vt. I3.
73. Dedication of Persicrates Hegesagorae to Hermes. Gedeon, 36, pl. i. 6. Pasha Liman.
74. Dedication of Asclepiodorus to Hemnes and Apollo. C.I.G. 3568. Bigaditch.
 See also Inscr. 14i. 19 (Hermes dedicated at Miletupolis), Iv. 5 (Relief of Hermes and Andiris), note on Cybele (Hermes, Cybele, and Zeus) and vi. 37.
For Pan, see Inscr. 1. 2, and cf. 1. 4.

2 See also Jahro. 1888, 396, pl. IX. 29, Jahresh. 1910, 154 (Group of Satyrand Nympls).
76. Dedication of relief to Heracles by strategi and phylarchs in the bipparchate of Phoenix. Ath. Mitth. X. 200 (28), R.E.G. v1. 13, Tch. K. Sculp. 125, Michel 1224, J.H.S. xxin. 199, cf. xxi. 201. Cyzicus. See also B.S.A. vili. 190, pl. iv. (archaic relief), Arch. ZciS. 1851, 306, pl. xxvii (Vase).
77. Dedication of Asclas to river Enbeilus. C.J.G. 3699. Panderma.
78. Similar of Herennius priscus. J.H.S. xxv. 60 (22). Alexa.
79. Dedication of Olympus to the Hero. Ievoфáyns 1. 327 ( 2 ), B.C.H. xxis: 874 (14). Kalolimno.
80. Dedication to ... Hellenia. C.J.G. 3670 Cyzicus.
81. Fragment of marble patera with votive inscription סeontovporv. Chandler x1. 15, C.J.G. 3695, Roehl 501. Cyzicus.
82. Votive relief dedicated by Demochares' (?) in the hipparchate of Eumenes Aristandri. C.I.G. 3695 (b), K'lio v. 30 . Gunen.
83. Stele dedicated by Apollonius Deiaptianos (?) ${ }^{2}$ кat intmyinv. Loutire Marb. 2851 (Tnsirr. 11), Bull. Arch. de T'Alh. Fr. 1855, 60 (5). Cyzicus.
84. Dedication of stalue of Hononoia by Fl. Aristagoras priest of Kore. Alh. Mithl. vi. 130 ( 15 ). Aidinjik.
85. Dedication of cancclli by Auxanou tparȩ̧irns rท̂s móhews. C.J.G. 3679, Berl. Monatst. 1874, 2 (1). Cyzicus.
86. Votive inscription of S. Fulvius Atticus Arois. Aht. Millh. IX. 19 (7). Cyzicus.
87. Dedication of Artemus. J.H.S. Xxiv. 25 (13). Ulubad.
88. Relief dedicated by a religious society in the hipparchate of L. Vettius Rufus. B.C.H. xil. 195 (5). Hammamli M.
89. Relief of religious banquet dedicated by a thiasus. J.H.S. xxir. $3^{6}$ (57). Yenije K. D.

For Religious Societies sec also Inserr. iv. 85 (Báx $\chi^{\text {or }}$ Kyvogoupeitau),

 IV. $13,30,88,89$.
90. Fragmentary dedication. Gedeon pl. it. 1g. Marmara I.
91. Fragmensaly inscr. relating to priests and sales. B.C.H. xvil. 526 (20). Aphthone.
92. Worn inscr. of 35 lines perhaps relating to a cure at the local thermac. Berl. Sizzb. 1894, 919. Ilidja.
93. Fragment mentioning ẵu入ov. Eєvoфávŋs, 1. 329 (10). Kalolimno. Altar possibly votive, see v. 78 .
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## Class V. Sepulchral.

(a) Pagan.

1. Abascantus. J.H.S. NXIv. 31 (36). Langada.
2. Accis Cleophontis. R. B.C.H. xvir. 596 ( 36 ). Elbislik.
3. Achillas (Scribonius). S.T. C.I.G. 3688. Artaki.
4. Agatharchus Heraclidae. B-R. J.H.S. Xxiv. 34 (50). Pcramo.
5. Aglaüs (P. Aelius). Y. B.C.FI. Xvil. 523 (9). Panderma.
6. Alexander (Alexandreus). 13-R.M. B.C.H. xvir. 532 (34). Aidinjil.,
7. Alewander Leonidae. R.T. Perrot J. $101^{*}$. Ath. \$1/t/h. XIV. 25 I . J.H.S. Xvir. 277 (28). Kermasti.
8. Alexander. Y. J.FT.S. xxill. 87. Artaki.
9. Alexander, Y.T. Ath. Mitth. xxix. 305. Kavalii.

9 A. Alexander (Aurclius). Tch. K. 123 (185). "Cyzicus."
10. Algoumis Moschiani ${ }^{3}$. Ath. Milth. xxix. 269 . Balukiser.
11. Amarantus (Ulpius). C.Y. J./K.S. Xxiv. 28 (27). Hodja Bunar.
12. Ambrosius (Silins). C.J.G. $3691^{4}$. Artaki.
13. Ammia. Berl. Sitwb. 1894,900 (4). Balukiser.
14. Andr...dori. /.H.S. xxvi. 25 (1). Alexa.
15. Andromache. R. Alh. Mitil. ix. 22 (17). Cyzicus.
16. Andronicus Onesimus. Ath. Mitth. xxix. $316, \mathrm{xxx} .476$. Nusrat.
17. Anicctus Euhemeri. צ. J.HS. XXV. 59 (18), Alh. Mithh. Xxix. 300. Assar Alan.
18. Anniani Nicomedensis filia. Hamiton 322, Le Bas 1768. Kebsud.
19. Antheus Gauri. Ath. Mitth. xxix. 310 (2). Aboulliond.
20. Antigone ${ }^{5}$. S. J.H.S. Xxill. 85 (35). Yappaji Kcui.
21. Antoniniane (?). Le Bas 1079. Aboulliond.

21 t. Apantis. Bull. Soc. Amt. 1883, 218. Cyzicus.
22. Aphrodisia. D. Hamilton 323, Le Bas 1769. Syllogos, Mapápr. zô̂ ú то́цоч $6 \$(4)$. Kebsud.
${ }^{1}$ These are armaged as far as possilbe alphabetically by the names of the decensed, failing them by such names as occur; fmgments which eontain no name are placed at the end of each division. Christian inscriptions are grouped separately. "The character of the monument is roughly shewn by the following initials: $\mathrm{C}=$ Cippus, $\mathrm{R}=$ relief ( $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R}=$ Banquet relien), $\mathrm{S}=$ sarcophagus; the character of the inscription is shewn by the initials $\mathrm{T}=\dot{\operatorname{vin}} \pi \delta \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha, \theta=\theta<\sigma t s, \mathrm{M}=$ metrical, $\mathrm{T}=$ Threat, $\mathrm{D}=$ Datc.
${ }^{2}$ The old management of the Museun is said (Ath. Mitfl. VI. 134) to have assigned all objects of unknown provenance to Cyzicus or Salonica. This stone is really from IIemclea Perinthus, see $\mathrm{Qpaxaxh}^{\text {' }} \mathrm{Er}$ (rypls 1. 1897, 13.

3 The stone also bears a previous inscription of Apollonius.

- The two fragments seen by Pococke have been republished as new (a) in B.C.H. xvil. 528 (22), (b) in Ath. Mitth. 1N. 25 (30).
${ }^{5}$ The name is written Andigone as if the writer were more accustomed to the Latin values of the letters.

23. Apollinarius. S. J.H.S. xxiv. 38. Yenije.
24. Apollodorus (Aurelius). C.R.Y. J.H.S. xxin. 84 (34)*. Cyzicus.
25. Apollodorus Thyrsi. M. (Unpublished.) ${ }^{1}$ Aksakal.
26. Apollonides. M. Ath. Mitth. vi. 123 (5), Rev. Phit. 1898, 256. Cyzicus.

26 A. Apollonides Asclepiadae. B-R. Le Bas 1534, A.E. Mitth. XX. 73, Berlin Cat. Sculp. 835. Smyrna ${ }^{3}$.
27. Apollonides Asele piadae. B.C.H. xvil. 533 (35). Aidinjil.
28. Apollonis. R. Ath. Mitth. XV. 342, B.C.H. Xvil. 544 (29). Triglia
29. Apollonis Prasiae. J.H.S. xxvi. 298 (8). Aboulliond.
30. Apollonius Diogenis. 13-R. J.H.S. Xxiv. 20 (2). Panderma.
31. Apollonius Idomeneos ${ }^{3}$. Gedeon pl. iii. 17. Prasteio.
32. Apollonius Theonis (and two others). B-R. J.H.S. xxiv. 27 (23). Ergileh.
33. Apollonius (Claudius). R. J.H.S. xxill. 76 (5). Yeni Keui. Apollonius, see Algoumis v. 10.
34. Apo[lonius ?] Ascle[pae ?]. R. J.H.S. xvil. 270 (9). Aboulliond.
35. Apphion (Aurelius). Berl. Sitzb. 1894, 901 . Bigaditch.
36. Apsyrtus Logismi. Alh. Mithl. xxix. 337 n. Chinar Bunar Kaleh.
37. Aquinus Pollianus Augustianus. B-R.Y. Ath. Mitht. vir. 254 (25). Cyzieus.
38. Arehippus Arehestrati. R. Ath. Mith . IN. 201 (13). Panderma.
39. Aria (Iulia). R. C.I.G. 3692. Cyzicus.
40. Aristides. R. Ath. Mith. xi. 342, B.C.H. xvil. 545 (31). Triglia.
41. Aristides (Aurelius). Y. J.H.S. $\times \times \mathrm{x} .62$ (b). Mihallitch.
42. Ariston Aristi? R.Y. Ath. Mitth. xvi. 144, B.C.H. xvil. 547 (33). Gunen.
43. Arius Artemonis. R. B.C.H. xvil. 522 (4). Panderma.
44. Arius Cer(y)cionis. R. J.H.S. xxiII. 82 (25). Aidinjil.

44t. Artemeis Antipatri. B.R. J.H.S. xxvil. 66 (14). Pomak Keui.
45. Artemidorus Artemidori. B-R. J.H.S. xxiv. 40 (65). Hadji Pagon.
46. Artemidorus (G. Claudius Galicianus). Y. Syllogas vir. 17I (3). Panderma.
47. Artemon Menecratis. B.R. J.H.S. xxiv. 27 (21). Ergileh.
48. Artemon Hermae. B-R. J.H.S. xxiv. 24 (II). Miballitch.
49. Artemon Aremonis qui et Seymnus. Y. J.H.S. xxill. 84 (34)- Yappaji Keui.
50. Ascl]apon Asclepiadac. B.P.W. 189z, 707 (2). Sazli Déré.
51. Asclas et Apollonius DEi4. B-R. B.C.H. xviI. 525 (17). Gunen.

[^192]52. Asclepas Menandri. J.H.S. xxiv. 27 (24). Ergilch.
53. Asclepas Metrodori. Le Bas iror. Mihalliteh.
54. Asclepiades. Hamilton 331, Le Bas 1764, Rcu. Arch. N. S. xxxiv. lob (1). Eski Manyas.
55. (a) Asclepiades and Ammia. T.D. (b) Meidias Asclepiadae M. Hamilton $324-5$, Le Bas 1771. Syllogos, Mapipt. roū ué rópov 63 (3): (b) only in Kh. Mus. .x. 250 (26), Kaibel 340, J.F.S. xxi. 291 (69), Ath. Mitth. xxix. 312 f. Kebsud.
56. Asclepiades Miletopolites. M. B.C.//. xxv. 426 (4). Kermasti.
57. Asclepiades (Aurelius). S. J.H.S. xxiv. 35 (54). Kurshunlu.
58. Asclepiadac (family). T. R.C.H. xvir. 547 (37). Aivalu Déré.
59. Asclepias Asclepiadac Pergamena. R. J.H.S. xxili. 81 (23)*. Aidinjik.
60. Asprus (Remigius). B.C.H. xil. 192 (3). Mihallitel.
61. Athenaeus. Le Bas 1073. Aboulliond.
62. Attalus Asclepiodori. 13-R. Louvre Inscrr. 170*, Marbres 2854, Bull. Arch. de A Ath. Fr. 1855,60(1), Jahresh. Ocst. Inst. xi. 19I ${ }^{*}$. Cyzicus.
63. Attinas Menophili. S.Y. J.H.S. Xxv. 57 (9). Mahmun Keui.
64. Auas? Myrrhinae ${ }^{1}$. Gedeon 89, pl. i. 8. Marmara.
65. Aviania, P. ${ }^{2}$ Afl. Mitth. xiv. 248 (17). Kermasti.
66. Bargus (Vedius). C.I.G. 3683 . Cyzicus.
67. Bassus (family tomb). Ath. Mitth. xv. 15 (6). Eskil Keui.
68. Bassus (L. Iulius and family). Y. Ath. Mitth. Ix. 22 (19). Aidinjik.
69. Bus. M. Ath. Mith. xxix. 297. Debleki.
70. Callisthencs Callisthenis. C.Y. J.H.S. xxiv. 28 (29). Sari Keui.
71. Callisto. T. Ath. Mitth. in. 23 (18). Cyzicus.
72. Campter Apollonii. J.H.S. xxill. 81 (22). Aidinjik.
73. Carpus and Apollonius. Berl. Sitsb. 1894, 900 Balukiser.
74. Catulla Lucilla. B.C.H. xvir. 550 (46). Karabogha.
75. ...ches (Eutyches?). J.H.S. xxi. 233. Kebsud.
76. Chrestus Numisii Nicomedensis ${ }^{3}$. Gedeon, pl. iv. 24. Marnara 1.
77. Chrysampelos Laodiceus. M. Ath. Mithh. vi. 128, i1. Cyzicus.
78. Cbrysochoüs. M. Berl. Sitsb. 1894, 900 (1). Chaoush Keuj.
79. Cleander. T. Berl. Monatsb. 1860, 495 (2), Ath. Mitth. vil. 253 (20). Ermeni Keui.
80. Clcon Tlepolemi. B-R. J.H.S. xxiv. 31 (38). Langada.
81. Clcopatra (Servilia) R.E.G. v. 509 (4). Susurlu.
82. Codrus (P. Annaeus'). T. Le Bas 1078. Nr. Aboulliond.

83. Cornelia ${ }^{5}$. Zeyoфáyps 1. 329 (II), B.C.H. xxiv. 375 (19). Kalolimno.

[^193]84. Cornelii (family tomb) with bilingual inscr. C.I.G. 3789, C.I.L. 372. Nr. Aidinjik.
85. Cretheus Hestiaei. J.H.S. Xxir. 193 (3). Cyzicus.
86. Crispina (Secunda). R. J.H.S. xxill. SI (24). Aidinjik.
87. Crispinus (Ravennas). R.M. C.I.G. 3694, Welcker 337, Kaibel 337. Cyzicus.
88. Crispus (Q. Calvinus). Berl. Siľb. 1894, 919. Ilidja.
89. Crispus (Otacilius). S. B.C.H. xvil. 545 (19) Syllogos, Mapápr. poũ $\Omega^{\prime}-\kappa \beta^{\prime}$ rimov, 14. Alh. Mitth. xxxiv. 401. Palatia
90. Ctesias Bianoridae Athenaeus. J.H.S. xxiv. 36 (57). Yenijc.
91. ...Cyzicenus. R. Ath. Mitht. X. 27 (30). Katatopo.
92. Damianus. S. Ath. Mith X. 211 (40). Kurshunlu.
93. Danaus (boxer). B.R.M. Hamilton 3H, Le Bas 1757, Ath. Mitlls. vl. 130 (6), Rev. Arck. in. (1846) 84, pl. 46. Aidinjik ${ }^{1}$.
94. Daphnis. C.I.G. 3654 h, B.P.W. 1897, 707 (4). Bigluashchr.
95. Demerrius and Stratonice Meneclis and two others. B-R. B.C.H. xvil. 525 (18), Mendel 66*. Gunen.
96. Demetrius Menodori. B.R. J.H.S. xxill. 75 (1), Mendel 58. Cyzicus.
97. Demetrius Menophanis. B-R. Louvre Inscrr. 183, Mavbres 2856, Bull. Arch. de CAth. Fr. 1855,60 (3). Cyzicus.
98. Demetrius Xenonis. B.R. J.H.S. xxiv. 28 (26). Hodja Bunar.
99. Demetrius... $\mu$ a...viou C.I.G. 3695 d. Gunen.
100. Democr[itus ?] (Claudius). T. J.H.S. Xxiv. 32 (43). Kalami.
101. Demopolis Demopoleos. Le Bas 1087. Aboulliond.
102. Diitrephes Hippiae. J.H.S. xxv. 57. Ermeni Keui.
103. Diocleia and Sympheron. Y. Ath. Mithh ix. 33 (20), Stark 376 (12). Cyzicus.
104. Diodorus. C.I.G. 3697. Marmara,
105. Diodorus and Embilus Dai. B-R. J.H.S. xxiv. 33 (49). Mihaniona. Diognetus, sec v. 111 .
106. Dion C.I.G. 3568. Bigaditch.
107. Dionysius qui et Unio. M. C.I.G. 3685 , Welcker Sy/L. 46, Kaibel 339 (Caylus, pl. 1xxv*). Cyzicus.
108. Dionysius Candionis and three others. R. Ath. Mitith. X. 207 (37). Cyzicus.
109. Dionysius Dionysii. R. Conze Gr. Grabr. p. 15, pl. ii* (Calvert Collection). Cyzicus.
110. Diognius Diogneti Athenaei. Ath. Mitth. x. 209 (35). Cyzicus.
111. Dionysodorus Pytheac. R.M. C.I.G. 3684, Rh. Mus. 1886, 346, B. M. Sculp. 1. 736. Cyzicus.
112. Doryphorus and two others. Alh. Mitth. vi. 124 (6).
113.
114. Elcacius (?). C.I.G. 3654 g. Karabogha.

114t. Elpis (Gellia Tertia) and family. R. 玉evopánjs 1. 332. Syge.
115. Epaphroditus. J.H.S. XXII. 201 (6). Cyzicus.
116. Epaphroditus Hermioneus. J.H.S. XxIv. 39 (64), Mendel 415. Cyzicus.
117. ...Epaphroditi. B.C.H. xvir. 533 (38). Aidinjik.
118. Eplicseis Ephesii. B-R. J.H.S. xxıv. 26 (20). Ergileh.
119. Epigone (Plotia). Y. J.H.S. X.iII. 203 (8). Yeni Ǩeui.
120. Epigonus Epigoni. Ath. Mitth. xxix. 310 (4). Aboulliond.
121. ...er,...ocus. Hamilton 327 , Le Bas 1772 . Kiebsud.
122. Eros ${ }^{1}$. Gedeon, pl. i. I5. Marmara I.
123. Erycia ${ }^{2}$ (Iulia). B-R. Le Bas 1105 , Perrot I. 99. Mihallitch.
124. Euarestus. J.H.S. xxv. 58. Nr. Kermasti.
125. Eubulus Theophili. Gerlach, p. 257, J.H.S. xxvir. 67 (I5). Chatal Aghil.
126. Eucarpia. J.H.S. X.IV. 46 (67). Hammamli M.

128. Engnomon. D. Ath. Mitth. xxix. 215 . Bey Keui.
129. Eumenes Olympi. R. Alh. Witth. IX. 20 (15), XXIX. 289*. 1'anderma.
130. Euopus (Antonius) and family. S.x. J.H.S. Xxiv. 32 ( 42 ), Ath. Jitth. xxix. 396. Kalami.
131. Euphemus Midiac. J.H.S. xvil. 175 (23). Hammamli K.D.
132. Euphrosyne Aphrodisiadae. M. Ath. Mithh. Xxix. 314. Kebsud.
133. Euprepes provocator. R. Perrot 1.89 (56), Ath. Mitth. VI. 124 (7), Goold Io5. Artaki.
134. Eusebes and Eutychius. C.I.G. 3568 d. Bigaditch.
135. Eutychas (Bacbius). B.R.Y. J.H.S. Xxiv. 20 (2). Panderma.
136. Eutyches. R.Y. B.C.H. XVII. 545 (34). Cbaoush Keui.
137. Eutyches (Aurelius ${ }^{2}$ ). C.I.G. 3695 c. Gunen.
138. Eutyches (Aelius Lollius Lolljanus). C.I.G. 3686. Artaki.
139. Eutyches*(?). B.C.H. XVII. 531 (32). Sari Keui.
140. Eutychia. T. Ath. Mitth. VIL 252 (19). Cyzicus.
141. Eutychia (parents of). An\%. dill' Just. 1852, 196, Le Bas 1780. Assar Keui.
142. Eutychia (Aelia Servia) and family. C.I.G. 3702, Le Bas iog6. Ulubad
143. Eutychion. Le Bas 1102 , of. Perrot 1. 98. Mihallitch.
144. Fausta (Boulcacia) and family. B.C.H. xvil. 453 (1). Cyzicus.
145. Faustinus (Aurelius). S. J.H.S. XXIV. 25 (12). Ulubad.
146. Fronto (Gaius ${ }^{5}$ ). B-R. B.C.H. xvil. 535 (16), cf. Aith. Mitih. Xv. 342. Ermeni Keui.
147. Fronto (G. Mamilius). R. B.C.H. xvII. 544 (28). Triglia.
148. Fronto and Politia. M. Anth. Pal. vil. 334-335. Cyzicus.
${ }^{1}$ Epwas broas t'vy of $\mid$ xaîpe.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Berl. Cat. .Scmith. 836 , which I suspect is also from the neighbourhood.


149. ...gaetho Polemarchi. Afh. Miffl. 1x. 22 ( 16 ), B.S.A. V111. 195, pl. v*. Aidinjik.
150. Gaius (husband of Musa Sosthenis). B.C.H. Nit. 197 (7). Aboulliond.
151. Gaius In.... C.I.G. 3658 e. Assar Keus.
152. ...ges Attou.... R. B.C.H. XII. 195 (6). Eski Chatal.
153. Glyconianus (Annius). J.H.S. Xiv. 59 (16). Balukiser.
154. Gordius A. Hamilton 317, Le Bas 176 , C. $1 . L$. 370 Eski Manyas.
155. ILelena Bospac R. Ath. Miffk. X. 211 (41). Cyzicus.
156. Heraclides. R. J.H.S. xxill. 80 (21). Aidinjik.
157. Heraclides Polynicis. Ath. Mifth. IX. 25 (25). Artaki.
158. Heracus Heraci. B.C.H. Xvil. 545 (15). Yeni Keui.
159. Hermaphilus Stratonis. Y.T. C.I.G. 3693 . Cyzicus.

160. Hermodorus (Mucius). R. Tch. K. 133 (255). Artaki.
161. Hermocrates. R.M. Alh. Miffh. VI. 128 (13). Cyzicus.
162. Hermogenes and Epictesis. Amn. dell Inst. 1852, 196, Le Bas 1774. Elles Keui.
162A. Herodorus. Y. Déthier, Epig. Byæ. $; 6$, pl. $x x^{*}$. (Galata)
163. Herodotus ( $P$. Annaeus ${ }^{2}$ ). Y. Le Bas 1077. Aboulliond.
164. Hippocrates and Iunia Grapte. 玉̄evoфíngs t. 327 (5) B.C.H. XXiv. 874 (13). Kalolimno.
164 +. ...ii f. /.H.S. xxv. 26(3). Alexa.
165. Irene Antigoni. R. B.C.H. XViI. 522 (3). Panderma.
166. Ire[ne ?] Aris... Perrot 1. 89 (54). Hamamli K.D.
166. Isauricus (Fadius). B.S.A. xill. 306 (2). Kouvouklia,
167. Iulius (Gaius). Hamilton 319, Rev. Arch. N.S. xxxiv. 10S. Eski Maayas.
167†. Iulia Polybii. 13-R, Mendel 67. Kermasti.
168. Iustus. Le Bas 1092. Aboulliond.
169. Katomaros. B-R. J.H.S. Xxv. 58 (12). Kermasti.
170. Laenas (Q., qui et Lysimachus). Afh. Mitth. XYı. 342, B.C.H. .ivi1. 544 (30). Triglia.
Leonidas, see V. 22.
171. Licinius (Lucius). B.C.H. xV11. 529 (28). Artaki.
172. Linus (M. Valerius). Y. Le Bas 1081 . Aboulliond.
173. Lucilianus (A. Sattius). B.C.H. Xvil. 528 (4). Dimetoka.

173A. Lucius. B-R.Y. Berl. Cat. Sculp. 837. (Smyma..)
174. Lychnis. Y. J.H.S. Xxv. 62 (a). Cyzicus.
175. Lysander Aristomenis. R. Ath. Mitth. Xvi. 144, R.E.G. V. 509 (3). Cyzicus.
175t. Magnus (philosophus). R.M. Mendel 71*. Kermasti.
176. Macandria Bacchii. M. Berl. Monatsb. 1874, 4 (2), Alh. Mfiffh. V1. 53 (2), Syllogos vili. 174 (11), Kaibel 244 Cyzicus.

```
& Cf.abore v. 33. * Cf. above v. 26 A.
' Cf. I11. 30, 48.
```

177. Maior (Aurelius). J.H.S. X.xiv. 36 (56). Kurshunlu.

Mandron Mnesiptolemi, see vs. 52.
178. Marcellus (C. Urbanius) ${ }^{1}$. B.C.H. xv11. 549 (44), B.P. W. 1897,707 (3). Chaoush Keui (Bigha).
178A. Marcellus. Y. C.I.G. 6958. (I'adua.)
179. Marcus (Flavius). C.J.L. Il1. 37 1. Cyzicus.
180. ...mare ${ }^{3}$. Ath. Mitth. vil. 253 (22). Ermeni keui.
181. Matrodorus and Auge'. Gedcon 101, pl. i. 13. Prasteio.
182. Matrone (and family). T. Sylfogros Vth. 171 (4). Cyzicus.

182 t. Medeus Medei and Marna. B-R. Mendel 63. Kermasti.
183. Melissa Ascladis (?) C.I.G. 3707. Nihallitch.
184. Menander Menandri and three others. R.M. Ath. Mfitth. vi. I4 (2). Cyzicus.
185. Menander Midiae. Syllogos, Mapópr. тov̄ เy' то́pov, 20. Aksakal.
186. Menander Mileti. B-R. B.C.H. Xvil. 522 (6). l'anderma.
187. Menander Protomachi and Meleager Menandri. B-1R. J.H.S. Nxıv. 40 (66). Hadji Pagon.
188. Menander (?) (T. Claudius). B-R. J.H.S. xvil. $292(71)$. Nr. Balukiser.
189. Menander, P. Aelius. Hamilon 308, Le Bas 1754, Syllogos vil. 173 (S). Aidinjik:
190. Menebius(?). B-R. J.H.S. xxv. 61 (23). Alexa.
191. Menecrates and Appbion. Allh. delf Jitst. 1852, 196, Le Bas 1781. Assar Keui.
192. Menecrates Andronici4. Y. Ath. Mith\%. 1×. 28 (33). Nr. Gunen.
193. Menecrates Cleonteos. B-R. Berl. Sitzo. 1804,900 (3). Balukiser.
194. Menecrates Hagiae B.R. J.H.S. XXIV. 26 (19). Chamandra.
195. Menecrates Hermo[timi?]. B-R. J.H.S. xvil. 273(17). Yenije.

195A. Menelaus Menelai. B-R. C.I.G. 6982 (Chandler Livi.). (Oxford ${ }^{5}$.)
195+. Menestheus Theodori. B-R. Mendel 62. Kermasti.
196. Menias. R. B.C.H. xvil. 528 (23). Artaki.

196A. Menius Diliporeos. C. Ath. Mitlh. v. 84, Cauer 490. Cyzicus ${ }^{0}$ (?).
196B. Menodons Andronis. Y. C.J.G. 970. "Athens."
197. Menodorus Menodori. C.X. J.HX.S. XXiv. 29 (30). Hammamli K.D.
198. Menodorus Midiae. Le Bas 1103, Rct. Phil. 1. 39. Mihallitch. Menogenes(?), see V. 311.
199. Menophanes Aristophanis. ABA. Mitth. 1X. 204 (4). Panderma.

199t. Menophanes Menophanis. B.C.H. xvif. 545 (33). Diaskeli.

[^194]200. Menophanes Poseid(ippi ?). B.R. Alh. Millh. 1 x .25 (27). Panderma.
201. Met.... Ahh. Sillth. xxix. 316. Tekke Keui.
202. Melinna Protagorae. B.C.H. xvil. 533 (37). Aidinjik.
203. Menophile Asclepiadae. B-R. Loutre Marb. 2838 . Cyzicus.
204. Menophilus Menothemidis. B-R. J.H.S. x.xyl. 26 (5). Chaoush Keui.
205. ...menos. J.H.S. xxi. 233 (2). Kebsud.
206. Metrodorus. J.K.S. xxv. 59 (17). Ilidja.
207. Metrodorus Metrodori. J.H.S. xxiv. 23 (6). Aidinjik.
208. Metrophilus (and two others). R. J.H.S. xxili. 78 ( 13 ). Cyzicus.
209. Micce. M. Rrv. Arch. N.S. xxı. 350 (4), Alf. Mitlh. iv. 17, Kaibel 338. Cyzicus.
210. Micce Apollophanis. B.R. Black cund While, 1897, 207*, J.H.S. .xxv. 58 (10). Debleki.
211. Micce Menandri. C.Y. B.C.H. xvin. $53^{1}$ (3t). Sari Keui.

Midias Asclepiadae, s.z\%. Asclepiades, V. 55.
212. Midias Bokiठous. Le Bas 1072 (Archil. pl. ii. 2*), Rev. Phil. 1. 44 Aboulliond.
213. Minucius (M.). J.H.S. Xxx. 293 (74). Ingeji.
214. Moschion Athenodori. R. C.J.G. 370t, 玉evoфárys 1. 328 (9), cf. пде்ту X. 249. Kalolimno.
215. Moschion. J.H.S. xxiv. 38 ( 61 ). Yenije.
216. Moschion Diodori. B•R. J.H.S. Xxiv. 27 (22). Ergilch.
217. Moschion Menodori. R. B.P.W. 1892,707 (1). Karadagh.
218. Moschion Moschii. Hamilton 309, Le Bas 1753. Aidinjik.
219. ...Moschii and...Menandri. B-R. J.H.S. xxill. 80 (18). Panderma.

219+ ${ }_{1}$. Moschus (L. Baebius) ${ }^{1}$. Rec. Arih. N. S. xxxir. 268 (1). Cyzicus.
219t, Myrrhine (Aurelin). S.T.D. Alt. ATith, xxix. 312. Yildiz.
$219 \dagger_{3}$ Myrrhinus (tax-collector). C. B.C.H. xvin. 530 (29). Sari Keui.
219 ${ }_{1}$. Naevia ${ }^{2}$. Gedeon, pl. ive 23. Marmara 1.
$219 t_{s .}$ Nana Cleonices. Le Bas 1083 . Aboulliond.
$219 \dagger_{\text {. }}$. Nice. R. Perrot 1. 89 (5). Cyzicus.
$219 \dagger_{\mp}$. Nicephorus Moschii. Y. J.H.S. $x x y .61$ (24). Gunen.
219†年0 Nicetas. J.H.S. Xxill. 75 (3). Cyzicus.
219 $\mathrm{t}_{0}$. Nicias (Aurelius). R. J.H.S. xxı. 234. Nr. Kebsud.
$219 t_{100}$...ni. B-R. Rev. Arch. III. S. xvil. 10 (4). Cyzicus.
220. Niger (L Modius). Y. Alh. Witth xxic. 294. Peramo.
221. Nympheros (qui et Nicanor) Nicopolites ${ }^{3}$. T. J.F.S. xvil. 275 (24). Aidinjik.
$221 t$. Onesimus (Pergamenus). Mendel 54*. Kavakli.

223. Onesimus (L. Iulius). Y. Ath. Mitth. x. 209 (38). Cyzicus.

[^195]223 t. Onesimus. R. B.S.A. xill. 307 (5). Kouvouklia.
224. Onesiphorus ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ? Y. Gedeon, p. 63. Aphysia.
225. Ophelime (Scpt. Aurelia). R.Y. J.H.S. xvil. 274? (20). Panderma.
226. Papias Papiae. B-R. B.C.H. xvis. 533 (36). Aidinjik.
227. Pasinices. B-R.Y. B.C.H. xvit. 533 (39). Aidinjik.
228. ...qui et Paterion Dionysii. J.H.S. xvt1. 274 (22). Panderma.
229. Paula. M. (fragmentary). J.H.S. xxiv. 30 (35). Langada.
230. Paula. Rev. Arch. N. S. xxxtv. 108 (6), Ath. Mitth. xxix. 299. Eski Manyas.
231. Paulus? . J.H.S. xxiv. 32 (46). Kalami.
232. Pemate. J.H.S. xxv. 59 (15). Balukiser.
233. Peplus Secundae. Le Bas 1074. Aboulliond.
234. Perigenes (Aur.). Y. J.H.S. xxil. 204 (10). Kazak Keui.
235. Phaeex Isagorac. C.I.G. 3682, Bechtel Io9. Cyzicus.
$235+$. Philaenium Tyranni. R. Mendel 72. Kermasti.
235A. Philemation (Aelia ?). Y. C.I.G. 7007. (Padua.)
236. Philistas? Herocratis. J.IJ.S. xxtv. 24 (10). Mihalliteh.
237. Philocalus Lollii. C.Y. Berl. MTonatsb. 1860, 496 (3), Perrot 1. 89 (54). Ermeni Kcui.
238. Philoctetes. J.H.S. xxv. 58 (11). Aboulliond.
239. Phitomelas. S.Y. Berl. Sitzb. 1889 , 18. 554. Kurshunlu.
240. Philonice. D. J.H.S. xxt. 333. Kebsud.
241. Phylarchus (Aur.), Y. Berl. Monatsb. 1860, 496 (4). Ermeni Keni.
242. Phyllis(弓) S. J.H.S. xxiv. 31 (39). Langada.
243. Plotia. T. Ath. Mitth. vit. 253 (21). Ermeni Keui.
244. Pollianus. T. f.H.S. xxiv. 33 (47). Diavati.

245. Polycarpia (lulia). Le 13as to8o, Rev. Phit. t. 42. Aboulliond.
246. ...Poseidonii'. Gedcon, pl. iv. 25. Marmara I.
$246+$. Polydamas. B.S.A. xili. 306 (3). Kouvouklia.
247. Potamon Asclepae. B-R. J.H.S. ©xiv. 24 (9). Mendel 59. Mihallitel.

247 A. Potamon Alexandri. R.Y. C.I.G. 6978. Constantinople.
248. Primilla ${ }^{3}$. Gedeon 36, pl. i. 7. Pasha Liman.
249. Protogonus. At/L Mith/ xxix. 305. Chorduk.
250. Publius.... Y. J.H.S. xxv. 62 (26). Gunen.
251. Publius...nei. Hamilton 303, Le Bas 1777. Aboulliond.
252. Pyrthus (Aur.). Le Bas 1076. Aboulliond.
253. Pythodorus Pythae and Pythes Pythodori. R. Ath. Mitth. vtt. 254(23). Ermeni Keui.
254. Rufus (C. Sepullius). Hamilton 315, Le Bas 1759, C.I.L. $373^{4}$. Artaki.
255. Rufus G. Koudx $\cos$ (?) ${ }^{5}$. Gedeon, pl. iv. 29. Marmara I.

[^196]256. ...rus (? Zopyrus) heros. Lorcure Insirr. 263, Marb. 2853, Bull. Arch. de हAff. Fr. 1895, 60 (4). Cyzicus.
257. Rutilianus (Stlaccius). C.I.G. 3654. Kambogha.
258. Sabinus (L). Le Bas 1760, C.I.L. 369. Mihallitch.
259. Sacerdos. J.H.S. Xxint. 80 ( 20 ). Aidinjik.
260. Sapricius (Aur.)1. R.C.H. xxiv. 874 (16). Kalolimno.
261. Scorpus, T. Hamilton 314, Le Bas 1756 . Aidinjik.
262. Sejrvilius (G.). J.H.S. xxvi. 26 (4). Chaoush Keui (Kara-déré).
263. Secunda (Hortensia). J.H.S. xxilit. 78 (10). Cyzicus.

263 t. Secundus. B.R. B.S.A. xiII. 307 (6). Kouvouklia.
264. Sccundus Corgine. Ath. Mitth. IX. 27 ( 32 ), xxix. 295 b. Lingada
265. Severus (Aur.) and family. Gedeon 29 , pl. i. 4. Houklia
266. Snıo... (Aur.) Gerousiast. C. I.G. $368 \%$ Artaki.
287. Sosibius Capitonis. R. B.M. ${ }^{s}$ Cyzicus.
263. Soteris. R.Y. Ath. Aithl. IX. 25 (29). Panderma.
269. Sote]richus, Artemon and Midias. R. Movo. кal Brpג. 11.g $\sigma$ ta', Stark 375 (9). Cyzicus.
270. Soterius (A. Flavius). C.I.G. 3698. Marmara
271. Stacte Mnestoris. Hamilton 305 , Le Bas 1083 . Aboulliond.
272. Stephanephorus. M. Hamilton 326, Le Bas 1767, Rh. Mius. 1842, 251 (27), Kaibel 342. Kebsud.
273. Stephanus. J.H.S. Xxt. 232 Kcbsud.
274. Stephanus Dii. Le Bas $108_{4}$. Aboulliond.
275. Strato...Menophanis. M. Ath. Mith. xiv. 248. 16, J.H.S. xvir. 272 (14). Mihallitch.
276. Struthis Heraclidae. B.C.H. X1v. 540 (7). Cyzicus.
277. Syncletice Artemidori. Ann. deld Inst. 1852, 196, Le Bas 1778. Chai Keui.
278. Synetus. B-R.M. B.C.H. xvil. 523 (5). Panderma
279. Syntyche. Y.R. Perrot i. 88 (53). Yeni Keui.

279 t. Tadoutos Dionysii. R. Mendel 37. Mihallitch.
280. Teleos Speusippi. Ath. Mith. xiv. 352 (21). Kermasti.

280A. Telesphorus. B-R.Y. C.I.G. 3383 , Lowvre Inscrr. 252, Marb. 2857. (Choiscul.)
281. Tertulla (Q., Apollodori). B.C.H. xII. 69 (3). Debleki.
282. Theodorion. Ath. Mitth xxix. 272. Balia Maden.
283. Theophila (Sebia). J.H.S. xxv. 62 (27). Bighashehr.
284. Timoclea Dioclis. R. B.C.H. xviI. 543 ( 27 h, cf. Ath. Mitth. xv. 342. Triglia
285. Timolaus Seleuci and Dionysius Timolai. B.R. J.H.S. $\times \times$ III. 79 (15)*. Panderma.

[^197]285 t. (Timothei frater.) B.S.A. xiri. 306 (4). Kouvouklia.
286. Trieteris. R. B.C.H. xvil. 538 (24). Artaki.
287. Trophime Sosibii. B-R. J.H.S. xVII. 274 (21). Panderma.
288. Trophimus (Faustus). Hamilton 312, Le Bas 1752. Aidinjik.
289. Tryphaena Charixeni'. T. Ath. Mitth. vi. 127 (10). Cyzicus.
290. Tryphaena. T. Le Bas ino4. Mihallitch.
291. Tryphosn Cleandri. R. J.H.S. xxin. 80 (17). Panderma.
292. Tychice. J.H.S. xXv. 59 (4). Mendoura.
293. Tyrannis (Domitia ?), R. B.C.H. XII. 198 (8). Aboulliond.

293A. Unicus (D.). Y. J.H..S. xxv. $63(d)$. Brusa.
Unio, see Dionysius, v. 107.
294. Urbicius (C. Flavius). Berl. Monatsb. 1860, 496 (4). Ermeni Keui.
295. Varia ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ Y. B.C.H. xvil. 528 (25). Artaki.
296. V...(Aur.). S. J.H.S. xxiv. 3 I (40). Katatopo.
$296+$. ?Va]lentianus. Y. J.H.S. xxv. 62 (c). Mihallitch.
$296+\dagger$. Valerius (Decimus). Le Bas 1075. Aboulliond.
297. Zeno. Hamilton 310, Le Bas 1755. Aidinjik.
298. Zitharus. Ath. Mitth. xxix. $3^{11}$. Nusrat.
299. Zoimus (? Zosimus). J.H.S. Xxiv. 30 (33). Katatopo.
300. Zopyrus ${ }^{3}$ ? S. B.C.H. xvis. 523 (8). Panderma.
301. Zosime. C.I.G. 3706. Mihallitch.

301 A. Zosimus. R.y. C.I.G. 6937. (Verona.)
302. Zosimus Tychici. Y4. Ath. Millh. Xxix. 312. Yildiz.
303. Zotiche Onesimi. J.H.S. xxv. 57 (8). Panderma.

303A. Zotiche Potamonis. J.H.S. xxill. 75 (2). Cyzicus.
Anonymons and fragmentary sepulchral inscriptions:
(a) Stclac with reliefs.
304. Relief of seated woman, servant, and horseman with mutilated inscription. Tch. K. 122 ( 263 ). Cyzicus.
305. Relief of hunter and dog. Louvre Inscrr. 262, Marbres 2852, Bull. Arch. ste CAth. Fr. 1855,60 (6). Cyzicus.
306. Relief of Graces and Erotes with metrical 'salutation.' J.H.S. xxiv. 29 (32). Katatopo.
307. Reliefs of banquet and horseman with 'salntation' distich. J.M.S. xvil. 274 (19), cf. ibid. Xxv. 58. Aksakal.
1 Hipparchate of ? Victorjina and Nonia Quarta.
 кal tauṭ̂.



- Probably Xt. The monument is designated naptopuoy.


## (b). Metrical fragments.

308. Elegiac fragment חov̀ ซoфifs, etc. Ath. Mitth. ․x.x. joz, cf, xגx. 329. Demir Kapu.
309. Beginnings of four lines. Ath. Mitth. 1x. 24 (24). Cyzicus.
310. Similar. Ath. Mitth. X. $209(36 a)$. Cyzicus.
311. Portions of five lines ${ }^{1}$. Ath. Mitth. X. 209 (360). Cyzicus.
312. Portions of seven lines. Eevoфxivgs 1. 330 (12), B.C.H. Xxiv. 874 (20). Beychiftlik.
313. Metrical fragment. J.H.S. xxv. 60 (21). Yeni Bulgar Keui.
314. Similar. Ath. Mitth. 1v. 21, cf. v1. 257. Cyzicus.
315. " Le Bas 108j. Aboulliond.
316. " Le Bas $10 g 0$ "
(See also above, v. 258, 263.)

## (c) Fragnents of sarcophagi, cte.

317. Fine to tameion. Le Bas log1. Aboulliond.
318. " fiscus. J.H.S. xvil. 272 (15). Mihallitch.
319. Similar. Eevoфávgs 1.327 (4), B.C.H. x.xiv. 375. Kalolimno.
320. Fine to heirs. Perrot 1.29 (61), J.H.S. x.xiv. 34(15). Mihallitch.

321, Fine. J.H.S. xxII. 204 (12). Cyzicus.
322. Similar. Ath. Mith. xxix. 300 , J.H.S. xxv. 60 (19). Assar Alan.

323. Law of rupßopuxin. J.H.S. xvil, 272 (15). Mihallitcl.
$323+$. $n$ J.H.S: xxvit. 66 (11). Chakyrdja.
324. Curse, émoupaviav, etc. J.H.S. xain: 33 (48). Diavati.
325. Carse. Ath. Mitth. $1 \times .24$ (21). Artaki.
326. Fragment. Syllogros, Парápr. тoù ィé rópov, 73 (53). Yalichiftlik.

328. Three fragments. J.H.S. xxiv. 32 (44). Kalami.
329. Fragment with un ópumpa. C.I.G. 3704, Le Bas 1098. Ulubad.
330. Fragment. J.H.S. xxiv. 32 (41). Langada.
331. " ${ }^{2}$ Gedeon 103, pl. ii. 14. Klazaki.

332 . ${ }^{3} \quad, 16$, pl. i. г. Yera.
333. n J.H.S. xx11.204 (11). Cyzicus.
$333+$. $\quad$ Zevoфárys $1.3 \geq 8$ (7). Kalolimno.
$333+4$. $\quad$ I. 328 (8). 』
334. Fragmentary epitaph of a legionary. Ath. Aitth. xix. 303. Balukiser.
335. Similar ${ }^{4}$. Ath. Mitth. Xxix. 316. Aboulliond.
336. Fragment of bilingual inscr. Ath. Mitth. xiv. 249 (18), Ulubad.

[^198]337. Fragment with date $7 \kappa \beta^{\prime}$. Ath. Mitth. Xxix. 315. Kebsud. $338 . \quad " \quad$ ткє'. Hamilton 328, Le Bas 1770. Kebsud.

$\begin{array}{llll}339 . & " & \quad \text { ov } \theta^{\circ}, \text { Alh. Millh. xxix. 313. Redzeb. } \\ 340 . & " \\ \text { 341. }\end{array}$ 341. " " " yע5". Le Bas 1o88. Aboulliond.
342. Indeterminate fragment. Le l3as 1086 . ",
344.
345.

Sce also II. It (a). "

## (b) Christian.

果1. Alexander ${ }^{2}$. D. Ath. Mith. X. 209 (39), Cumont 270 (11). Cyzicus.
2. Alexandria. E. J.H.S. Xxiv. 37 ( 60 ). Yenije K.D.
3. Anastasius and Euphemia. ©. R.C./I. XVII. 523 (10). Panderma.
4. Anna Varii. J.H.S. xxili. 78 (12). S. George I.
5. Antiochus(?) $\Theta$. J.H.S. xxilt. 82 (27). Aidinjik.

5 t. " $\quad$. J.H.S. xxvir. 65 (10). Chakyrdja.
6. Aphthonetus. Ө. J.H.S. xxiri. 76 (7). Yeni Keui.
7. Arcadius. J.H.S. xxil. 203 (9), Mendel 430. Cyzicus.
8. Aurelins.... Ө. J.H.S. xxiv. 30 (34). Egri Déré.
9. Auxanon ${ }^{3}$. J.H.S. xxili. 75 (4), see Wilhelm, Beitrdge, 203. Cyzicus. 9t. " Gedeon, pl. iv. 29. Marmara I.
10. Chrestus (Aclius) 4. Y. C.I.f. 3690 , Perrot I. 89 (58), Cumont 270 ( 8 ). See Ath. Mitth. Ix. 20. Artaki.
11. Cyriacus. E. B.C.K. xvil. 528 (26). Artaki.
12. Domitius. ©. J.H.S. xxiv. 23 (5). Aidinjik.
13. Euphrosyne. E. J.H.S. xxiv. 35 (53). Koum Liman.

13t. Eunice (?)s. ©. Gedeon 103, pl. j. 12. Prasteio.
14. Eupractus ${ }^{\text {® }}$. Afh. Mitth. vi. 128 (12), Cumont 270 (10). Cyzicus.
15. Eusebia and Lampros. J.Y.S.S. xxill. 86 (36). Yappaji Keui.
16. Gen[tianus? (Iulius) ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Y. J.H.S. xxiII. 79 (16). Panderma.
17. Heraclea. ब.T. Syllogos viil. 173 (10). Cyzicus.
18. Hermogenes and Sminthia. ©. Ath. Mitth. IX. 27 (2). Langada.

18 t . Loannes episcopus. J.H.S. xvil. 269 (3), cf. R.S.A. xili. 209. Tachtali.
19. Ioannes presbyter. J.H.S. xxili. 84 (33). Hammamli K.D.


Ocdy ; Auscávap, a rather characteristic Xt. name, occurs also in $v .253$.

${ }^{5}$ atocs Eutylotry[s | acر....

${ }^{7}$ Fragmentary and possibly not Xt.
20. Ioannes and Macidilla(?). Ө. J.H.S. XxXII. 86 (37). Yappaji Keui.
21. Iulianus and Antiope. Ө. Ath. Mitt/L IX. 27 (32), XXIN. 294. Langada.
$21+$. Martinianus. S. Ath. Mitth. xxix. 292*. Cyzicus.
21 tt. Nicephorus. Alh, Mitth. xxix. 306. Kavakli.
22. Octaverius ${ }^{\text {I }}$ ? Ath. Mitth. vi. 125 (8), Cumont $270(9)$. Cyzicus.

22†. Patricius ${ }^{2}$. E. Gedeon, pl. iii. 21. Marmaral.
23. Paulus. S.x. J.SI.S. Xxiv. $3^{6}$ (55). Kurshunlu
24. Pegasius ${ }^{3}$. B.C.H. Xvil. 524 (13) Deblcki.

24†. Petrus. J.H.S. xxI. 234* Balukiser.
25. Phili...ia. Hamilton 307 , Le Bas 1758 . Aidinjik.
26. Sergius. C.J.G. 8908, Le Bas I 106, Cumont 271 (8). Mihallitch.
27. Symeon. J.H.S. XXIv. 3I (37). Langada.
28. Theodulus Aquilinus. Ath. Witth. IN. 24 (23), Movg. xai B4 8 . H. . 14 (on). (Calvert Coll.) Cyzicus.
29. Theodora diaconissa. E. J./J.S. Xxiv. 24 (8). Mihallitch.
294. Theoktistus (?). B.S.A. XIII. 305 (1). Tachtali.
30. Trophimus4. Ө. J.C.H. גvil. 524 (14). Yeni Keui.
31. Tatas (?) and Tryphaena'. E.C.Kf. Xvir. 523 (II). Panderma.
$31+$. Tryphon of Vatopedion". Gedcon 61. Aphysia.
32. Tryphon Papyli. ©. J.H.S. xxill. 82 (26). Aidinjih.

Zosimus, see above V. 302 note.
33. Anonymous iamhic epitaph dated 6500 (991) with relicf of orb between

 271 (19). Ulubad.
35t. intip cievx[ns. Ath. Mith㢈. XXIX. 302. Assar Kaleh.
Other Christian inscriptions (not sepulchral) are Vi. $10,11,12,14,15$, $28,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,62,63,64$.

[^199]
## Class VI. Miscellanea.

(a) Landmarks.

1. Milestone with titles of Trajan and name of proconsul Vettius Proclus ( 115 -6 A.D.). B.C.H. XII. 63. Aidinjik.
2. Similar of Severus, proconsul Lollianus Gentianus (209 A.b.) : later emperors below. B.C.FI. XI. 66, C.I.L. 7179, 7180. Debleki.
3. Similar road mark with inserr. of 334-7 and 364-75. B.C.H. xvil. 523. Nr. Debleki.
4. Eighth milestone with inscr. of (a) Constantine and (b) Valentinian. J.H.S. Xvir. 273 (18). Omar Kcui.
5. Twenty-fifth do. with similar inscrr. Perrot 1.99 (62). Chamandra.
6. Thirteenth do. with inscrr. of lovianus'. B.C.K. XvII. 546, 35. "Bclow Chaoush Kcui.'
6t. Boundary-stone of Cyzicus beyond the Aesepus. Ath. witth. XXIX. 277. 'Porta.'
7. Boundary-stonc of Miletupolis and Poemanenum. Ath. Mitth. XIV. 247, J.H.S. XVII. 271 (3), cf. Xxıv. 24 . Mihallitch.
8. Boundary of Argiza (?) and Skepsis. Berl. Sitzb. 1894, 204. Nr. Koghanjik.
9. Boundary of Mandrae and Cannatenum. Le Bas 5075 , Rev. Phil. 1. 202. Akcheler.
10. Boundary-stone of quarry ${ }^{2}$ ? Gedcon 114-5, pl. iii. 19. Papas.
11. Boundary-stone (indecipherable) ${ }^{3}$. J.H.S. XVil. 269 (4). Akcheler.
12. Boundary-stone of Hydreac" (?). J.H.S. xvil. 276, 26 . Chepre.





 IV. 23, 82 (yeoxreitas), 1. 16 (public lands).
13. Boundary of Athena (?). J.H.S. xxiv. 29 (31). Haraki.
14. Private boundary of Macedonius and Andreas. Ath. Mitth. Iv. 25 (28). Panderma.
15. Similar of Parthenius and.... Ath. Mit/h. Xxix. 306. Kara Oghlan.
16. Three blocks with FC'T. Ath. Mitth. XXIX. 36. 'Tekke Keui.
[^200]
## (b) Inscriptions from Statues, Arcilitectlre, enc.

17. Inseription from statue of Cyzicus set up in the theatre. C.I.G. $366 \%$ Cyzicus.
18. Elegiac couplet from statue of Homer. Ath. Sisth. V1. 129 (14). Arıaki.
19. Fragment of couplet. J.H.S. Xxint. 84 (32). Cyxicus.
20. Signature of Sosigenes Eucratis on statuc-base. R.C.H. xiv. 540 (5), Locwy 281. Cyzicus.
21. Inscription from the megaron of Baebius ${ }^{1}$. Rew. Arch. N. S. xxxil. 268 (2). Cyzicus.
22. Inscription on frieze of stoa (?) built by Hadrian. Hamiltorn 30+. I.e Bas 1068 (Rev. Phil. 1. 40), cf. Mon. Fig. pl. 48. Aboulliond.
23. Inscription commemorating arehitectural works of Celer and Hermas., C.I.G. 3705. Abouliond.

23 t. Inscribed architrave of Tychacum at Miletupolis. J.H.S. xivil. 78 (11), Mendel 91, 92. Kermasti.
24. Inscription on architrave of small temple. J.H.S. Xxilr. 78 (11). Cyzicus.
25. Dedication ${ }^{3}$ of 1 emple (?). Gedcon, pli iv. 22. Marmara 1.
26. Inscribed arcbitrave with name of G. Calvisius. J.H.S. XVII. 292 (71), XXI. 237. Balukiser.
27. Fragment relating to the building of a stoa (?). J.H.S. Xxiv. 26 (17), xxv. 58. Aboulliond.
28. Byzantine inscription on door-jamb. Ath. Mitth. 1א. 27 (31), cf. J.H.S. XxIV. 30. Katatopo.

Among the architectural inscriptions should also be ranged the scries of epigrams from the temple of Apollonis (Anth. Pal.111. 1-19) and the two seen by Cyriac (B.C.H. xiv. 535 fi.) :
$28+$. (a) on the iemple of Hadrian :

'A $\phi$ бovin $\chi[a] i p a y$ sios 'Apuotevíro[ ${ }^{4}$ '.
$28+\dagger$. (b) 'ad...Pcrsephoriae Iemplum.'
${ }^{\text {'Illustrissimi heroes et optimi Cyzicenorum civitatis cives inaximae }}$ caelesti et inferiali dearum gloriosae nympharum a love productarum Proserpinae talen construxerunt arams.'

[^201]Other inscriptions relating to buildings are 1.21 (tower of city wall, cf. 1. 22), IV. 68, 69 (barbour-works), IV. I (triumphal arch).

Public buildings are mentioned in 1. 10 (temple of Asklepios and Apollo), 1. 12 (of Athena Polias), 1V. 71 (of Aphrodite), 1. 9 (Parthenon of Placia), 111. 35 (Precinct of Kore), 11. 9 (the Kallion), 1. 10 (the Heroön), 1. 8 (Market of the Men), 1. 4 (of Tryphaena), 1. 5 (Doric Portico and Tables), 1. 10 (Portico and Karadpopì), 1. 5, v1. 17.

## (c) Graffiti from the Gymnasia'.

29. Dethicr, Epig. Byz. 73 ff. pl. vii., cf. Ath. Mitth. v1. 122 (4). Cyzicus.
30. Dethier, loc. cit. pl. vini.* Cyzicus.
31. Dethier, loc. cif. pl. Ix. Cyzicus.
32. Ath. Mitth. vi. 122 (4), Goold 124. Cyzicus.
33. Syllogos vill. 74 (9), Ath. Milth. vil. 252 (186). Ermeni Keui.
34. Ath. Mitth. V11. 252 (18 a), Rcv. Arch. III S. 11t. 395. Ermeni Keui.
35. Ath. Mittls. X. 207 (33). Ermeni Keui.

35 A. Mus. Worsl. I. 41, C.I.G. 6845, Conze Lisbos 32, pl. xiti. (9). Cyzicus. 36. J.H.S. xxvi. 28 (7). Panderma.
(d) Small Objects (various).
37. Fragment of cylindrical vessel with reliefs of Hermes, etc. inscribcd. Ath. Mitth. x. 208. Cyzicus.
38. Bronze lamp dedicated to Artemis Ephesia by strategus of Miletupolis. C.I.G. 5944, Elworthy, Evil Eyc, 213*. (Rome.)
39. Inscribed sundial. B.C.H. XV11. 547 (39). Gunen.
40. Inscribed mina weight ${ }^{3}$. Arch. Zcit. Xlil. 146. (B.M.)
41. Similar double-stater weight. C.I.G. 3681 , Bronses Bibl. Nat. 2242.
42. $"$ stater $"$ Branses Bibl. Nat. 2243.

42†. Weight inscribed with name of hipparcb Onesimus. Pernice, Gr. Gewichte, No. 624. (Athens.)
43. Inscribed intaglio gem. Cliss. Rev. iv. 2824. Cyzicus.
44. Handle of amphora with round stamp of the Cyzicenes Iason and Callippus. 'Aoŋุvaíov III. 452.
44t. Byzantine lamp stamped \$ês Xporvoû фaivat mẫav (unpublished). Panderma.

[^202]- Now in BM.

45. Byzantine marble jar inscribed 'Eגfor ̧ecroū NA'. Ath. Mith. xxix. 293*. Nr. Cyzicus.
45 t . Inscribed amulct. R.E.G. 1891, 287. Yappaji Keui.

## (e) Byzantine Seals.

46. Ioannes commerciarius of the Hellespont (and Cyzicus?). Schlumberger 197.
47. Nicolaus metropolitan of Cyzicus ${ }^{1}$. Ibid. 199.

47 t . Ignatius ", ". Journ. Int. Numn. 1906, 70 (1225).
47tt. Demetrius cpitropos of Cyzicus. Ibid. 70 ( 122 f ).
$47 \mathrm{tt+}$. Symeon protiros of Cyzicus. Fiid. 70 ( $122 \mathrm{\zeta}$ ).
48. Leontius archbishop of Proconnesus?. Schlumberycr 198.

48t. Loannes bishop of Dascylium. Fbid. 732.
49. Epiphanes Xenodochus of Lupadium. Fbid. pp. 246, 38 I .

## (f) Byzantine Glass Weights.

50. Eparch Theopemptus. Bys. Zcilschr. vil. 604. Cyzicus.
51. " Droserius. R.E.G. vill. 1895, 65 (2), cf. Byy. Zcitschr. /.c. Cyzicus.
(g) Unclassified and Fragmentary.

52 Boustrophedon fragment ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Gedeon, pl. i. 3. Houklia.
53. Collection of Aphorisms. J.H.S. xxvil. 62 (3), B.P.W. 1907, 765. Mendel 401 . Kermasti.
54. Rock-cut inscription ${ }^{5}$. Gedeon II4, pl. iii. 18. Papas.
$54+$. Inscription regulating cutting of tree (?). J.H.S. xxviI. 66 (13). Pomak Keui.
55. Frisment of inscription relating to philosophers. Ath. Milth. XXIX. 299, J.H.S. xxiv. 27 (25). Eski Manyas.
56. Latin fragment ${ }^{6}$. Gedeon 89, plo ii. 10. Marmara.
57. Votive (?) inscr. of Gabilla. Perrot I. 98 (60). Mihallitch.

 N. S. xxxiv. 108 (5). Eski Manyas.

58 A. Fragment of doubtful provenance. C.J.G. $3659^{7}$.
${ }^{1}$ Lequien, Oriens Xt. Xxill.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{X}$ - -xl . cent. This bishop is unknown to Lequien, nor does he figure in the fuller list dram up by Nicoremus.

[^203]59. Indecipherable. C.I.G. 3696. Pasha Liman.
60. Fragment relating to mysteries(?). J.H.S. xxill. 77 (9). Cyzicus.
61. (трш̄тos | тexecus.) J.H.S. Xvıı. 270 (7). Aboulliond.
62. Byzantine frigment. J.H.S. xxiv. 24 (7). Mihalliteh.
63. " J.H.S. xxiv. 24 (15). Ulubad.
64. "n Sestini, Li/f. Odop. 1. 86, J.H.S. Xxiv. 24 (16).
65. Fragment. Rcz. Arch. N. S. xxiv. to6(2). Eski Manyas.
66. ", Gedcon 38. Gera
67.
68.

${ }^{1}$ ot d $\delta=\lambda \phi[0$.

## INDICES

## I．Provenance of Inscriptions．

Aboulliond（Apollonia）：1II． $3,8,9,30,38,39,45 ; 1 \mathrm{iv} .10,15$ t，16， 63 ；v．19， 31，34，61，82，101，120，150，163，168，172，212，219 to，333，238，245，251， $252,371,274,293,296+t, 315,316,317,335,341-5$ ；V1．23，33，27，61．
Aidinjik：II．18；111．31， 42 ；Jv．2，7， 84 ；v．6，27，44，59，68，72，84，86，93， 117，149，156，189，202，207，218，221，226，227，259，261，288，297，337；出 $5,12,25,32$ ；v．1．
Aivalu Déré（near Sari Keui）：v． 58.
Akcheler（near Ahoulliond）：Vi．g，II．
Aksakal（near Panderma）：v．25，185，307．
Alexa（near Manyas）：11． 36 ；1v． 78 ；v．15，164t， 190.
Alpat Keui（near Kermasti）：sll． 52.
Aphtoni（Marmara）：IV．g！．
Aphysia，I．：v． 224 ；必3If．
Artaki：J．8；11．16，23；111． 5 ；1v．1， 75 ；V． $3,8,12,133,138,157,160,171$ ， 196， $254,266,286,295,325$ ；此10， 11 ；vi． 18.
Assar Alan：V．14， 322.
Assar Kalch（near Omar Keui，2）：v．承34t．
Assar Keui（near Bigaditch）：111． 22 ；V．141，151，191．
Balia Bazar（Argiza）：III．16；Iv． 33.
Balia Maden：1II．I8；Iv．8， 9 ；v． 282.
Balukiser：IV． 31 ；V． $10,13,73,82$ t，153，188，193，232， 334 ；24 24 t；v1． 26 ．
Beychiftik（Yalichiftlik ？）：v． 312.
Bey Keui（near Kchsud）：v． 128.
Bigaditch；111．12；IV．74；v．35，106，134．
Bighashehr：IV．56t；v．94， 283.
Boghaz Keui（Kara－déré）：IV． 6.
Boyuk Tepe Keui（Upper Granicus）：Iv． 60.
Chai Keui（near Kehsud）：v． 378.
Chakyrdja（near Manyas）：Iv．12†；V．323t；翟5t．
Chamandra（near Mihallitch）：v．194；v1． 5.
Chaoush Keui（near Gunen）：v．6；v．136， 178.
＂＂（near Balukiser）：V1． 78.
$n$＂（Kara－déc）：v．204， 262.
Charik Keui（near Artaki）：I．12， 13 ．

Chatal Aghil： r ： 124 ．
Chavutzi（lower Aesepus）：II． 22.
Chepne（near Manyas）：Vi． 10.
Chesli Keui（near Mihallitch）：v． 136.
Chinar Bunar Kaleh（ncar Gunen）：v． 36.
Chorduk（near Kermasti）：v． 249.
Cyzicus：1．1，3，5，6，9，11，14，20，22，23，24；11．1－7，9－11，12A？，13，14， $17,19,30$ ；111． $1,3,15,30-24,27,29,34,35,37,40,41$ ；IV． $3,5,34,36$ ， $37,39,39 \mathrm{~A}$ ？ $50,51,52,68-70,76,80,81,83,85,86 ;$ V．9A，16，21t， 24 ，， $26,37,39,62,66,71,77,85,87,96,97,103,107-111,115,116,140,155$ ， $159,161,174-6,179,182,184,1964$ ？，203，208，209， $219 t_{1}, 219 t_{6}, 219 t_{\text {h，}}$, $219 t_{10}, 232,223,235,256,263,267,269,276,289,303+, 305,309-11$ ， 314， $321,322,333$ ；学1．7，9，10，14，17，21t，28；V1．17，19－21，24， 28t， $28+t, 39-32,35 \mathrm{~A}$ ？ $37,45,60$.
Debleki（near Panderma）：1v． 4 ；V．69，210，28I；24；VI．2， 3.
Demir K゙apu：v． 308.
Diaskeli（＝Eskil Keuỉ q．$\quad$ ．）．
Diavati（Kapu Dagb）：V．244，324
Dimetoka：1v．22；v． 173.
Egri－déré（Kapu Dagh）：v．童8．
Elbislik：v． 2.
Elles Keui：v：162．
Erdek，see Artaki．
Ergileh（near Manyas）：v．32，47，52，118， 216.
Ermeni Keui（Kapu Dagh）：1．25；111．14；1v．35，58；V．79，102，146，180， 237，241，343，253，294；V1．33－35．
Eskil Keui（＝Diaskeli q．iv．）：v．67，199t．
Eski Chatal（near Manyas）：v． 152.
Eski Manyas：I．10，19；111．28，33， 48 ；1v． 47,48 （？）；V． $54,154,167,230$ ； VI． $55,58,65$.
Gera，I．：v． $33^{2}$ ；V1． 66.
Gunen：111．47；IV．17－21， $51,56,66,82$ ；V．42，51，95，99，137，192，219t．， 250；V1． 39.
Hadji Pagon（near Manyas）：v．45， 187 （see Iv．67）．
Halone，I．（－Pasha Liman）：1．26；1v．24，73；v．248， 265 ；V1．52， 59.
Hammamli（Kapu Dagh）：v．131，166，197，芘19． ＂（near Manyas）IV．38， 88 ；V． 126.
Haraki（Kapu Dagh）：IV．6i；Vi． 13.
Haskeui：l． 4 ．
Hodja Bunar：IV． 28 ；vil． 98.
Houklia（Halone）：1．26；V． 265 ；vi． 52.
Ilidja Keui（Kara－déré）：IV．25，92；v．88， 206.
Ingeji（Avunia）：V． 213.
Issiz Khan：Vi． 64.
Kalami（Kapu Dagh）：v．100，130，231，269，319， 328.

Kalolimno, I.: Iv. 79, 93; v. 83, 164, 214, 260, 319,333 t, $333 \dagger$ t; vı. 67.
Karabogha: IIl. Io, 11; v. 74, 114, 257.
"Kara Dagh" (=Kurshunlu?): v. 217.
Kara Oghlan (near Aboulliond); vi. 15.
Katatopo (Kapu Dagh): v. 91, 296, 306; vı. 28.
Kavak (near Gunen): IV. 16t, cf. 17-21 incl., 56 (?), 66 (?).
Kavak Keui (ncar Kermasti): mil 19; v. 9; es21tt.
Kazak Keui (near Manyas): v. 334
Kebsud; 1. 27; v. 18, 22, 55, 75, 121, 127, 132, 205, 219 to, 240, 272, 273. 337, 338.

Kermasti : III. 25, 43, 51; 1v. 72; v. 7, 56, 65, 124, 167t, 169, 175 t, $182+$, 195 t, 235 t, 280 ; v. 23 t, 53.
Klazaki (Marmara): v. 321.
Kogbanjik (Ida): vı. 8.
Koum Liman (Kapu Dagh): v. Wı.
Kouvouklia (near Aboulliond): v. 166t, 223t, 246t, $263 \mathrm{t}, 285 \mathrm{t}$.
Kurshunlu (Kara Dagh q.v.): v. 57, 92, 177, 239, 23.
Langada (Kapu Dagh): v. 1, 80, 329, 342, 264, 396, 330, 戌18, 21, 26.
Mabmun Keui (near Panderma): iv. 23 (?); v. 63.
Manyas (near) : iv. 4i-49. See also Eski M., Yeni M.
Marmara: II. 24, 25; IV. 55; v. 64, 104, 159t; v1. 56, 57 t.

$$
\text { n I.: ill. } 46,76,90,122,219 t_{4}, 246,255,270 \text {; 29, } 22+\text {; vI. } 25 \text {. }
$$

Melde (Miletupolis): inI. 7, 20t, 30t, 51, 53; v. 38?
Mendoura (near Balukiser): v. 292.
Mihallitch: :1m. 6; 1v. 12, 24, 64, 67?; ․ 41, 48, 53, 60, 123, 143, 183, 198, $236,247,258,275,290,296 \dagger, 301,318,320,323$; 266,29 ; vi. 7,57, 62.

Mibaniona (Kapu Dagh): 1v. 71; v. 105.
"Nicza ${ }^{n}$ : 111. 20A, 38 A.
Nusrat (near Kebsud): v. 17, 298.
Omar Keui (near Panderma): Y. 4.
" " (near Susurlu): iv. 26; v. 530; vl. 80.
Palatia (Marmara): v. 89.
Pandema: 1. 10; If. I5; ill. 36; Iv. 13-15, 27, 29, $\mathbf{j}^{2}, 49,53,65,77$; v. 5, $30,38,43,46,129,135,165,186,199,200,216,219,225,228,268,278$, $285,287,291,300,303$; $3,16,30$; vi. $16,31,44$ t.
Papas (Marmara): vi. 10, 53.
Pasha Liman: see Halone.
Peramo (Kapu Dagb): 11. 12; IV. 59; v. 4, 220.
Pomak Kevi (near Gunen): v. 44 t, vI. 54 t.
"Porta" (Ida): vi. 6 t.
Porto Paleo (Kapu Dagh): iv. 6I.
Prasteio (Marmara): III. 13; v. 31, 181; 枈13 .
Redzeb (near Kebsud): v. 339.
Sari Keui (Zeleja): 1. 16, 17, 18; 11. 21; IV. 30, 62; v. 70, 139, 211, $219 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

Sazli－déré（near Panderma）： 1.50.
S．George，I．：V．咩4．
Stengel Keui（ncar Bighashchr）：1v． $18 \dagger$ ．
Susurlu：IV．54；v．81．
Syge：v． 114 t．
Tachrali（Cacsarea？）：III． $21 t$ ；V．次18t，29t；VI． $68+$ ．
Tckke K゙cui（near Kebsud）：V． 201 ；vi． 16.
Triglia：III． 32 ；v．28，40，147，170， 284
Ulubad：1．7，15；III．49；IV． 40,87 ；v．142，145， 329,$336 ;$ v．＋34；vI． $63,64$.
Yalichiftlik（near Triglia，see also Beychiftlik）：rv． 64 t；v． 244 t， 326.
Yappaji Keui（Kapu Dagh）：v．20， 49 ；将15，20；vl． 45 t．
Yeni（Bulgar）Keui（Kara－dére）：V． 313 ．
Yeni Manyas：III． 53.
Yenije（Kara Dagh）：Iv． 89 ；v．23，90，215；喚2，33．
＂．（near Panderma）：v． 195.
Yeni Keui（Kapu Dagh）：1．3；II．8；III．2G，50；V．33，119，158，279；承G， 30；vI． 52.
Yera，I．：v． 332 ；vi． 66.
Yildiz（near Susurlu）：v． $219 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}, 302$.
Uncertain：
（a）Site between Manyas and Balukiser：Iv．32－38（39，40？）．
（b）Unknown or disputed provenance：II．12A；III．20A，26A，38A；IV．48A， 56 A ；V． $9 \mathrm{~A}, 26 \mathrm{~A}, 162 \mathrm{~A}, 173 \mathrm{~A}, 178 \mathrm{~A}, 195 \mathrm{~A}, 196 \mathrm{~A}, 196 \mathrm{~B}, 235 \mathrm{~A}, 247 \mathrm{~A}$ ， $280 \mathrm{~A}, 293 \mathrm{~A}, 301 \mathrm{~A}$ ；V1． $38,58 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$ ．

## 2．Latin and Bilingual Inscriptions．

20；III．1，IO， 11,51 ；v．84，89，154，219t $, 254,258,336$ ；vI． $2,3-6,16,56$ ． （Greek inscr．in Latin characters：V．178．）

## 3．Metrical Inscriptions．

III． 21 t， $25,31,35$ ；IV． $36,69,71$ ；v． $6,25,26,56,69,77,78,87,93,107$ ， 111，132，148，161，175t，184，209，272，275，278，306－16；哒33；V1．18， 19， $29 \dagger$ ．

## 4．Suggested New Readings．

II． 15 ；III． $14,44,46$ ；IV． $5,15,16,40,56$ ；V． $51,64,65,76,139,146,180$ ， $219 t$ t，221，224，246，265，295，300，311，335；誛13t，22，22t，24，30，31， 3I†；vi．11， 12.

[^204]5. Cvzicene Games and Festivals.

Anthesteria, 1. 5.
Asclepiea, III. 40.
Commodea, Forcign Inscrr. Neapolis (1).
Commune Asiae, III. 22, 37, Foreign Inserr. Aphrodisias (2), Ephusus(1), Karabaulo, Neapolis (1), Rome (2).
Dionysia, 1. \%.
Drusilla (games of), 1. 13.
Hadrian (games of, in Proconnesus), il. 24.

Heroa, i. 10.
Olympia, 11. 17; 111. 17, 31, 34, 37 ; Foreign Inscrr. Horaclea (2).
Panathenaea, 1. 12.
Philetacrea, II. Ig.
Sotcria (of Kore), Foreign Inscrr. Dilos, cf. Heraclea (2), iepòv Köpms iooriもtoy.
Sotcria and Muciea, 1. 19.

## 6. States and Citizens mirntioned in Cizicene Inscriptions.

Abdera, III. 5It.
Alexandreus, v. 7.
Alexandria, I. 10.
Antandrius, 1. 3.
Apameus, 111. 3 r.
Apri, v. 107.
Argiza, ill. I6.
Armenia, III. 51.
Athenienses, v. 90, 110.
"Caesariani," v. 139.
Cardiani, v. 26.
Cymaeus, III. 37.
Cyzicene, v. wiy.
Cyzicenus, III. 46.
Cyzicus, I. $18 d^{\prime}(?)$; III. 46, 47; IV. 28, 95; v. 95, 159 t.
Ephesius, 1. $18 c$.
Hermioneus, v. 95.
Laodiceus a Lyco, v. 6I.
Libya, 1. 11.
Macedonia, 111. 7.
Miletopolites, III. 20, 49, V1. 33 t.
Miletupolis, v. 56; vi. 7.

Mirupolis, v. 誛22†.
Nicomedensi, v. 18, v. 76.
Nicopolites, V. 22 I .
(Panticapaceus, 1. 2?)
Parii, 1. 5 .
['ergamenus, v. 59, 221 t.
Pericharaxis, II. 18.
Pericharaxites, v. 10.
Phryges, 1. 16.
Poemaneni (?), v. 192.
Poemanenum, vi. 7.
Praconnesius, I. $\mathbf{1}$ \&e.
Proconnesus, v1. 57.
Kavennas, v. 87.
Rbodii, I. 6.
Romani, III. 1, 14, 24.
Selcuciar Isauriae, V. 95.
Smyrnaea, iv. 63.
Syras, V. 219te.
Thurieus, 1. $18(b)$.
Thyatirenus, III. 22, cf. IV. 32.
Zeleitae, V. 26A.

Honorary citizenships enjoyed by athletes, 1II. 17, 22, 37, 41. Villagenames are collected above, p. 291. Relations with the Thracian royal house, 1. 12, 13, 14; 111. 24; 1V. 69: with the kings of Cappadocia (?), 11. 22.
7. Foreign inscriptions relating to Cyzicus and Cyzicenes,

Aphrodisias. ( 1,2 ) C.I.G. $3782-3$, $\operatorname{lnscrr}$. mentioning M. Ulpius Carminius Claudianus logistes at Cyzicus ${ }^{1}$; (3) C.I.G. 2810 , Asiatic games at Cyzicus.
ATHENS. (I) J.G. 1. 37, 234 ff., Hellespontine tribute lists; (2) I.G. 11. 434, Proxeny of (anon.) Cyzicene; (3) J.G. 11. 448, Eumenes Stratii (boxer) of Cyzicus, victorious at Thesea; I.G. II.; Tombstones of (4) (2803) Dexicrates Dexiae of Zeleia, (5) (3106) Aphrodisins Pai, (6) (3107) Nicon Heraci, (7) (3108) Theodorus Demetrii, of Cyzicus, (8) (3278) Carcinus Aeneti, (9) (3279) Molpothemis Hecatoclis of Proconnesus, cf. 2825, 2826 Apollonians (? a Rhyndaco); (10) J.G. III. 77, Inscr. from statue of Hadrian erected by Cyzicenes; (II) I.G. HII. I29, Athlete of Sinope, victor at Cyzicene Olympia; (12) /.G. If1. Tombstones of (13) (2530) Alexander Dionysii, (14) (2531) Eveteria Asclepiadae, (15) (2532) [anon.], of Cyzicus.
Attouda. B.C.H. xi. 348, Inscr. mentioning Carminius Claudianus?.
Carthala (Ceos), B.C.H. xxx. 101, Proxeny of (anon.) Cyzicenc.
Ceos. Ath. Mitth. 1X. 275, Proxeny of (anon.) Cyzicenes and Proconnesian.
Chios. Movб. x. Bi夂ㄱ.11. 37, $\rho v^{\prime}$, Tombstone of Dionysodorus Hephaestionis of Cyzicus.
CORINCII. A./.A. vil. 29 (3), (anon.) Cyzicene.
Delos. Dittenberger, Syll. 791, Oracle of Apollo to Cyzicenes, prescribing festival of Kore Soteira.
Delphi. B.C.H. vi. 454, Oracle of Apollo to Cyzicenes, prescribing sacrifice to Poseidon Asphaleius and Ge Karpophoros.
Demetrias. I. G. 1183 , Sepulchmal inscr. of Perigenes Perigenis.
EPHESUS. (1) C.I.G. 2981, Honorary inscription of (anon.) procurator set up by the sovernment of Apollonia ad Rhyndacum; (2) B. M. Inscrr. vCXI. (Wood 60 (I4)) Asiatic games at Cyzicus.
Heraclea Perinthus, (1) Dimont 378 ( 64 a), Honorary inscr. of M. Ulpius Senecio Satuminus set up on behalf of Cyzicus by the Sitophylax M. Aur. Amerimnus ${ }^{3}$; (2) ibid. $392(7+5)=$ Ath. Mitih. vin. 219 (49), Games of Kore and Hadrian at Cyzicus.
ILION. (1) Dörpfeld, Ilion II. 466 (32)4, Vote of thanks to troops sent from Poemanenum to Ilion $80 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$., under Nicander Menophili; (2) ibid. 465 (24) = C.I.G. 3598, Honorary inscription of A. Claudius Caecina (Pausanias?) of Cyzicus, logistes at llion'.
Karabaulo. P.A.S. 111. 413 , Asiatic games at Cyzicus.

[^205]LartsA. (1) I.G. 528 , (Anon.) Cyzicene victorious in boys' stadion; (2) ibid. 776, Sepulchral inscr. of Apollodorus Aglaophontis.
Magresia Mae. Kern. 180, P. Aelius Aristomachus xystarch at Cyzicus. Messans. C.I.G. 405 (Kaibel 643), Epigram on Cyzicenes buried by Aur. Eutyches!.
Miletus. (1) C.I.G. 2355, 2858, Votive offcrings of Cyzicenes to Milesian Apollo ${ }^{2}$; (z) Dittenberger, Syll., 225, Estates of Laidice on the Aesopus.
Neapolis. C.I.G. Ital. 738 (cf. 755 c), Asiatic games and Commodea at Cyzicus.
Olbia. C.I.G. 2059 (Latyschev 18), Cyzicencs and other foreign communities crown Theocles.
Olympia. Inscr. 463, Honorary inscr. of P. Aclius Crispinus Metrotimus, (honorary?) Cyzicenc.
Orchomenus. C.I.G. 1583, I.G. vir. 3195, Perigenes Heraclidae fluteplayer of Cyzicus victorious at Charitesial.
Oreus. Dittenberger, Syll. 1494 , Proxeny of Cyziccoe.
Pergamon. Frinckel, 248(Dittenberger, Syll.3, 33r). Letters of Attalus III to Cyziccoes.
Philadelphia. C.I.G. 34z8, Olympia at Cyzicus.
Prusa. Rh. Mus. xxvir. 32j-A.-E. Mitht. vil. 170, Inscription relating to a siege by Mithradates and mentioning his defeat at Baris ${ }^{4}$.
Riodes. (I) I.G. xil. 1t, List cootaining the name of ...odotus of Cyzicus; (2) J.G. XII. I27, Nicasion of Cyzieus, a bencfactor; (3) I.G. XII. S70, Tombstone of Menodorus Menodori of Cyzicus.
Rome (1) I.G. Ital. I 297 , Chronological table mentioning Mithradatic war; (2) J.G. Ital. 1111, Agonistic inscr. mentioning tragie and comic competitions nt Cyzicus.
Sastothr.see C.I.G. 15 57, 2158 , Monuments commemorating the relations of Cyzieus with the sanctuary of the Cabiris.
Shleceta (Ciliciae). Michel 555, Cyzicene proxeny-decree in favour of a Scleucian.
Sigecim. C.I.G. 8, ctc., Stele dedicated by a Proconnesian.
Tanagra. I.C. vil. 523, Proxeny of Diodotus Heraclidae Cyzicene.
Thespiaf (i) I.G. vil. 1760, Victory of I'erigenes Heraclidac ${ }^{6}$ Cyzicene flute-player; (z) I.G. VII. 1765, Victory of Apollodorus Apollodori Cyzicene in boys' stadium.
Thyattra. C.I.G. 3497, Antonius Claudius Arignotus, neocorus of Cyzicus.
Trallef. (i) B.C.H. xxvili. 86 (7), Hadrianea at Cyzicus; (z) ibid. (if), Games (anon.) at Cyzicus.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Inser. v. $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}$. also for Nilesina relations of C. Dittenberger, Sy/is. 763.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cl}$. below (Thespiace).
+The stone is very probably of Cyziocne origin: Cyicene marbles were used as building materials at Brusi in the fifteenth century (Cyrizc).

- Cf. also Berl. Ahter. 185s. G16, Ath. Aithth. xwill. $355 . \operatorname{l-s.s.}$
- See above (Orchowenas).

8. Foreign Games mentioned in Cyzicene Inscriptions.

Athens: Hadrianea, ill. 22.
Byzantiun : Sebasta, III. 34.
Chalcedon: Pythea, III. 34.
Ephesus: Barbillea, iII. 37.
Ephesca, III. 17, 44.
Nicopolis (?): Areia (?), v. 221.
Perganion: Augustea, iII. 17.
" Olympia, III. 34 .
(1) Sota,

3
(?) Soteria and Muciea, I. 19 .

Pergamon : cf. also ili. 25.
Perinthus: Pythia, III. 34
PISA: Olympia, ill. 34.
Rome: Capitolia, int. 34 .
" Epinicia, III. 22.
Smyrna : Commune Asiae, hir. 17.
" Olympia, ill. 1\%.
Tralles: Olympia, ill. 22.

## LIST OF EPONYMI AT CYZICUS'

(a) Republican Pertod.

1. ARCHONs. Maeandrius (VI. cent. B.C.) i. 1. Hermodorus, (Early Iv. cent.) ii. 20.
2. Hipparchs. Euphemus Leodamantis?. (Late tv, cent.) i. 21.

Gorgippides Apollonii ${ }^{3}$.
Buphantides.
Phocnix (also iv. 56). (Early Itt. cent.) i. $20^{3}$.
Poseidon.
Diomedon.
Cyano? ii. $\mathrm{I}_{3}$.
Antigenes Hermagorae ${ }^{y}$. II.-I. cent. R.C. C.I.G. $2 t 57$. Alh. Mith Xviti. 363 (4).
Aristander Apollophanis, i. 6.
Demetrius Lysiclis? ${ }^{2}$. iv. 40 .
Dionysius. iv. 23, i. 33 (?).
Eumencs Aristandri ${ }^{2}$. iv. 82, cf. ii. 22.
Hestiaeus Poseidonit! iv. 1.
Hetacrion Eumnesti ${ }^{3}$. C.I.G. 2158.
Hipponicus Lysagorae. Conze, Samothraki, pl. L.xx.
To these are probahly to be added :
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Heraclides. } \\ \text { Apollonius. } \\ \text { Nicomedes. }\end{array}\right\}$ ii. 21.
And possibly
Stratius Stra[tii (?)]
C. Iulius, C. f., Ariobarzanes (second term of office). Polyeidus [Aristagorae ?]. Stratius Stratii (second term of office).
Polycidus [Aristagorae] (second term of office). Eumenes Aristandri.
Pytheas Pytheac.
Eubius Diod[ori] (third term of office).
Polyeidus Arista[gorae].
Olympiodorus Antig[enis].

Bacchius.
Apollodorus. $\}$ ii. 28.
Theognetus ${ }^{\text { }} 46$ n.c. ? iv. 3.
Bulides Metrodori. iv. 3 .
Bospon ${ }^{8}$. i. 11.
Hegesias. i. 8.
Peis(istratus ?). i. 9.
Aristagoras Arignoti (about 40 B.C.). iv. 4 .
Menestheus Polyeidi. iv. 70.
L. Vettius Rufus ${ }^{2}$. iv. 89.
(b) Imperial Period.

Tiberius. Pausanias Eumenis. i. 12.
Drusus Caesar (Germanici f. ?). iv. 28.
Caligula. Gaius Caesar (Caligula). i. 13. Hestiaeus Themistonactis ${ }^{1}$. i. 14 .
(1st cent. A.D.) Claudia Ptolemals ${ }^{2}$. vi. 30.
Hadrian? Terentius Donatus and Vibius Amphictyon'. ii. 1. Claudius Decianns (Euneos?). Hermodorus Apollonii Theocritus Theocriti. Antoninus? Caesar. ii. 24

Antoninus. Claudius Hestiaeus ${ }^{1}$ (second hipparchate). Imhoof, Kl. M. 25 (5).
M. Aurelius? Claudius Eteoneus ${ }^{9}$ (cf. Aristid. i. 126). i. 24.
(1st Neocorate.) Claudius Chaereas ${ }^{2}$ (sixth hipparchate). ii. 4 . (seventh hipparchate) ii. 5. (eleventh hipparchate). ii. \&.
Alex. Severus. Claudia Bassa\% ii. 5. Aurelia Menclais ${ }^{\text {8 }}$. ii. 17.
(Her father, Aur. Menelaus, the Asiarch, is strategus on a coin of Alexander.)
(2nd cent. A.D.) Ti. Claudius Eumenes. i. $2 b$.
Iulius Maior ${ }^{2}$. ji. 18.
? Victo]rina (fifth hipparchate) and Nonia Quarta ${ }^{2}$. v. 289.


## LIST OF STRATEGI MENTIONED ON IMPERIAL COINS:

## A. Cyzicus.

Domitian. Ti. Claudius Hagnias. 13M. 211. M. 162, 164. MS. 208. Trajan. Fuscus (proconsul, sec Waddington Fastes 111 A.v.C. 7i2). BM. 212. N. 166. MS. 218-20.

Iulius Glaucus. M. 167. MS. 216-7 (in my collection).
Hadriax. L. Aurelius Antoninus (proconsul, 135 A.d. sec Waddington, Fistes, 135, Vit. Anton. 3). Coll. Wadd. 730.
Ti. Claudjus Euneôs (cf. Inscr. iii. 35). BM. 214. Coll. Wadd. 730 IK゙M. p. 25 (II) M. 174. MS. 222, 227.
Apu(leius) Sabinus. BM. 213. M. 170? MS. 224.
G. Iulius? Selcucus (cf. Inscr. vi. 13). M. 172 (APXHAEYKOC).
Severus? (see below). M. 172.
Antoninus Aulus ${ }^{ \pm}$(Claudius Caccina Pausanias?, strategus in Inscr. j. 24, cf. iii. 21 and Foreign inscrr. (llion)). BM. 216 ( $\mathrm{AY} \triangle \mathrm{OY}$-a wom coin) M. 18 a.
Claudius Hestiaeus (hipparch in MS. 243. (1КM. 25 (13).)). Severus (sec below). M. 179. ETTI CTPA CEB.
M. Aurelrus. L. Aurelius ${ }^{3}$, Asiarch. MS. 28s, cf. Commodus.

Claudius Hestiacus. B.M.
Naevius Quintus. 13M. 293. M. 195 (cf. Commodus).
M (?) Claudius Mu(cianus?) Severus. (? cf. Waddington Fastes 143, C.I.G. 4033, 4034.) M. 196. MS. 266, 284-7, $283-8$.
${ }^{1}$ BM. $=$ British Museuin Catalogue, Mysia. Colt. Wadd, a Inrentaire de to Collection Waddingtor. IKM. $=$ Imhoof, K7einasiafische AIÏneen. IMG. $=$ Imhoof, Monsaies Grergues. M. $=$ Mionnet. MS. $=$ Mionnet, Supplement.
${ }^{2}$ Strategi place the praenomen only on coins in at least two cases at Miletupolis q.v.
${ }^{3}$ Veres?

COMMODUS. Q. Naevius (Maximus ?). BM. 24a. NAIBIOYKYINTOY. MS. 350.
T. Aelius Eteoneus. BM. 237.

Caecilianus Alupianus. BM. 236. Coll. Wadd. 748.
Aur(elius) Meidias. MS. 349. Mionnet suspeets this (which I have seen) as a bad reading of
L. Aurelius, Asiarch. MS. 348. (Cf. above under M. Aurelius.)
Sliverus. Iulius Euporus. MS. 366.
D. Alfius Modestus. BM. 247. MS. 365 .

Caracalla. Aelius Onesiphorus. Coll. Wadd. 753. M. 216-7. MS. 380. Numi(eius?) Zoilus. Coll. Wadd. 752.
Macrinus. T. Varius? Phoebus. IBM. 259. M. 223 (CTPOYAPOY). MS. 385.
Eligabalus. Aurelius Sophistes. M. 226.
Lepidus (ef. Gordian I11. below). MS. 398.
Sev. Alexander. Aurelius Aristiades. BM. 263.
(Aurelius) Menelaus (ef. Inser. ii. 17) in my eollection. Aurelius Prodicus. M. 228.
Iulius Seeundus. Coll. Wadd. 755.
Socrates. MS. 412.
G. Flavius Trophimus. BM. 264.

Gordian III. P. Aelius Artemidorus (Asiarch). Coll. Wadd. 762. MS. 427-8.
Lepidus. 13M. 271-2. Coll. Wadd. 759. M. 232, 237. MS. $43^{-1}$ 1, ef. 432.
(Iulius) Seeundus. MS. 429.
Num(ieius ?) Seleueus. IKM. 27, 19. Coll. Wadd. 760-1.
Philap. Aurelius Severus Agathemerus. BM. 274-5. M. 239. MS. 436. Aurelius Alexander. Coll. Wadd. 763.
Aurelius Iu... MS. 436 .
Valerian ${ }^{1}$. Apolloniades. M. 240. Wadd. Coll. 764. (Cf. MS. 444 AEONI $\triangle O Y$ and below Apollonides temp. Gallieni.) Soerates. MS. 446. Cf. $445^{\circ}$.
Gallienus. Asclepiades. MS. 460, 461. Coll. Wadd. 770. (pscudauton. BM. 203. M. 140 MS. 193-4.) Cf. M. 142 ACKAH. TlO $\triangle$ תPOY.
Apollonides. MS. 466. (Pseudauton. 13M. 20.4. M. 141.)
Basileus. (Cra...) BM. 276, 278, 282. Coll. Wadd. 767-9. Cf. MS. $459,467,468 \mathrm{KN}, 470 \mathrm{AKIN}, 471$. (Pseudauton. BM. 205. Wadd. Coll. 714, 715. M. 146?)
Aurelius Hermolats. BM. 275. Coll. Wadd. 765. M. 243. MS. 463-5.

[^206]Aurelius Menophilus Andronici. MS. 472.
Sept(imius) Ponticus. I3M. 289. Coll Wadd. 774
Aelius? Paulus. IK M. 26 (17?). Coll. Wadd. 765. (Pseudauton. IKM. 27, 17? Coll. Wadd. 7I3. M. J44)
Socrates. MS. 478. Perbaps misread for
Sostratus. M. 241. (Pseudauton. Coll. Wadd. 712.)
L. Severus (Mas...). MB. 280. IMG. 613, 171. Wadd. Coll. 771-773. (Pseudauton. M. 143. Coll. Wadd. 717, 721.)

Claudius Gothicus. Septimius? Ponticus. BM. 289. Coll Wadd. 774 M. 232. MS. 489 and 490.

## B. Miletupolis.

Vespasian. Ti. Volu(sius ?). MS. 620.
Trajan. P. Licinius Balbus. BM. 8. (Cf. ПО BM. 9. IKM. 29 (2). Mionn. 382. MS. 620, 622.)
Hadrian. Q. Iulius Bassus? M. 357. (Cf. KO IKM. 29 (3))
S. Attilius Milo? M. 356.

Antoninus. S. Claudius Flavius Diphilus. BM. II, Coll. Wadd. gog. M. 358.

Aurelius and Verus. Eutyches Alexardri. M. 359. MS. 626. Cf. C.I.G. $5944{ }^{\circ}$

Conmodus. Eutyches Alexandri. BM. 14 M. 363. (Crispina.)
Sot(erich)us. M. 364. (Crispina.)
Macrinus. Claudius Nicostratus. M. 365.
Eingabalus. Philippus. M. 366 .
Gordian III. Aurelius Hermes. M. 368 (in my collection; cf. $N Z$. xxxifi. 34, 54).
Philip. Aurclius Crispus. Coll. Wadd. 9i2. M. 371.

## C. Poemanenum.

Trajan. P...Arfisto)n? Coll. Wadd. 725-6. Cf. Inscr. v. 42 (Cunen). Commodus. Claudianus Ascle(piadae) Pausanias (cf. Inscr. iv. 67). Coll. Vadd. 996. Num. Chron. 1907, 441. Pseudauton. Z.f.N. iii. 12 ${ }^{2}$.

## D. HADRIANVUTHERAE.

Severus. Horatius? Diogenes. BM. 5, 6. Coll Wadd. 849. M. 147-8. MS. 251-4.
Moschianus. BM. 4 .
Caracalwh. Moschianus. M. 149.

Philip. Aurelius Socrates. Coll. Wadd. 852. IKM. 21 (I). M. I 50 (Pseudauton. Mner...(Num. Chron. vi. 91).)
Of later Roman officials we have scant record; under Maxinian Flavius Laodicius, dioecctes of the Hellespont, and Leontius, proconsular governor of Cyzicus, are mentioned in the Acfa $S$. bassered, and under Licinius Poseidonius, governor (praepositus) of Cyzicus, and Zelicinthius, tribune of Leg. II. Traiana, in the Acha S. Theogenis?. A few names of eparchs and others are mentioned on Byzantine seals and weights ${ }^{3}$.

The Bishops of the Hellespontine province are catalogued by Lequien and Ganss. The Cyzicene and Proconnesian lists have been since considerably augmented by the rescarches of Nicodemus and Gedeon respectively.
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Castellan, A. de. Lettres sur la Morde, l'Hellespont, et Constantimople. Paris, 1820 , 11. 19 ff. Marmara Islands.
Catalan Atlas in Not. ct Exfr. Niv. 2, 101 ff .
Caylus, Comte de. Recuctil d'Antiquits. Paris, 1752-67, 11. Pll. LV.-LXXV. (Inscriptions from Cyzicus), LVIII.* (Map of S. of Marmara), LVIII.** (Amphitheatre). Cf. also Guys, Voy. Litt. à la Grice, Lettre 37.
Chastel. du. Sec Savary de Brèves.
Chatraubriand, F. A. de. Itinéruire de Paris a Férusalem. Paris, 1811 , 11. 39-60. Smyrna by Kirkagatch to Mihallitch.

Chishuli, E Travels in Turkey. London, 1747, 51 ff.
(1) Brusa to Smyrna by Macestus Valley road.
(2) Smyrna to Gallipoli overland.

ChOISEUL-GOUFFIER passed through Erdek and Cyzicus to Mihallitch (see map in Voy. Piltoresque, Paris, 1842) but did not publish this journey.
Cichorius, C. Zur Dchoturg von Catulls Phaselusgadiche in Festschrift f. O. Hirschfeld ( 1903 ), 467-83. (ls Apolloniatis the lacus of Catullus?)

Clavijo, R. G. de. Embassy to Timm Beg. London (Hakluyt Soc.) 1859, p. 28. Kapu Dagh, Marmara, Kalolimno.

Colquhoun, P. The site and identity of the ancient Cysicus and the medineval Esquise in Trans. R. Soc. Lit. 11 s. Iv. 349-360.
Coquand, H. Notice géologigue sur les envirous de Panderma in Bull. de la Soc. Géol., 1878, 347.
CORANCEz, L. A. O. de Itintraire d'une partic peu connue de l'Asic Mincure. Paris, 1816, 437 ff . Macestus Valley road.

Coronellt, V. Isolario. Venezio, 1696, 281. Marmara ls., Cyzicus.
(CosTume) The Costume of Turkey. London (Miller), 1802. Pl. XIII. Cosium of woman of Marmara.
Covel, J. (MS. Journal of histravels in B.M. ${ }^{2}$ ) Add. 22,914f. 29f. Cyzicus, Islands, Karabogha. 22,9t2 f. 254 ff. Constantinople to Smyrna overland, by Macestus Valley, return by Brusa, Triglia etc.
Crawshay, A. Travels in Asia Minor in Field, Aug. 13-Sept. 3, 1904 (on an excursion to Balukiser); Summer Cruises in the Sco of Murmora in Ficld, Sept. 16 and 23, 1005 (Marmara, Pasha Liman.)
Cuiner, V. La Turquie d'Asie, Glographic administrative. Paris, 18901900. The Vilayet of Brusa is described in IV. x., xi.; the Sanjak of Bigha in uII. ix.
Cyriacus (Anconitanus). Description of Cyzicus (1431, 1433), ed. Th. Reinach in B.C.H. xv. 520, cf. Colucci, Ant. Picene xv. lxxxiv. ff. Visit to Marmara, cf. J. B. de Rossi, Inscry. Xt. II. 1. 369 Nos
 i. d. Hamburger Stadtbibliothek. Hamburg (Progr.) $1903,14$.

CYZICENUS, G. 'Avaypa申' rins Ku̧ixav, 1825 , MS. 4 to ppr. ff. 81 (with folding maps of Cyzicus and the Propontis) in Library of the Chamber of Deputies, Athens. Extracts are published with a commentary by

Dallaway, J. Constandinople Ancient and Modern. London, 1797, 183ff. Aboulliond, Ulubad, Macestus Valley; 367 , Marmara Is.
Dapper, O. Description exacie des lies de l'Archipel. Amsterdam, 1703 (Flemish ed. 1688), 489 fi. Marmira Is., Kalolimno (compilation).
Diest, W. von. Karte von Wistlichen K'lintusish, 1: 500,000. Berlin, 1903.

Dorigivy, A. S. Pocmamios in Rev. Arch. N.S. xxxiv. (1877) 102-9. Eski Manyas.
——Obole funtraire ac Cyzigue in R'v. Num. 1888, 1-7.

- Phylactire alcixautribe contre les epidaris in R. E. G. IV. 1891, 286-296.
Douglas, Hon. F. N. Travelled overland Constantinople-Smyrna, 1811. Cf. Essay on Certain Resimblances, etc. London, $1813,17$.
Du Fresne Chave, P. Voyare du Levand [1577], ed. Hauser. Pari5, 1897, 153-4 Panderma.
*EdHeal Bey. Reliefvodif du Musce Imperiul Ottoman in B. C. H. xxxir. 1008, 520-8, Pll. V., VI.
Edrist, ir. Jaubert in Recuctil Soc. Géogr. 1840, II. 306. Ulubad, Aghraoh (Acbyraus?).
Egmont, J. A. van. Reizen door een gedeelte van Europa en Kleinasien Leyden, 1757 (Engl. edn. 1759) 183 ff. Smyma to Brusa by Macestus Valley.

[^209]Evangelidets T. E.




 (c) are on Triglia and its monasterics, which are again referred to in the author's ol Biot rãy 'Ayiay (Athens, 1895 ).


Fabricius, E. Archäologische Untcrsuchungen int Kleinetsicn in Sitab. Berl. Akad. 1894, 909-904. Bigaditch and Ida districts.
Frrzner, R. Aus Kleinasich unt Syrich. Rostock, 1904, 70 ff. Statistics of Panderma, Artaki, Karabogha.
Fontanier, V. Voyages en Orient. Paris, 1839, 95 f. Brusa to Smyrna by Macestus Valley.
Foullon, H. v. Mineralogische und petrographische Notizen tuber Eruptive. sesteine aus der Provinz Kurassi in Kleinasien in fahrb. d. kk. geolog. Anstalt. Wien, 1882, 32.
[FULLER, J.] Narrative of a Tour through some parts of the Turkish Empire. London, 1829, 50-63. Smyrna to Brusa by Macestus Valley.
Gedeon, M. J. Mpotxúrvクros. Constantinople, 1895. The Marmara Islands


Gemelli-Carrri, G. F. 16 Giro del Mondo. Napoli, 1699-1700, 81. Smyrne to Brusa by Macestus Valley, 83. Marmara. (Engl. trans. in Churchill's Voyages, IV.)
Gerlach, S. Tïrckisches Tagebuch. Frankfurt, 1674, 43 ff. Panderma, Cyzicus; 255 ff. Panderma to Brusa (1574). Cf. D. Chytraeus, De Stat. Eccl. Frankfurt, 1580, 107.
Greenweld, W. The Electrum Coinage of Cyzicus in Num. Chrons. III s. VII. (1887), 1 -127.

Grelot, J. Relation d'sun Voyage de Constantinople. Paris, 1680. 55, Cyzicus. 61-2, Marmora Is.
GREY, (Capt.) F. W. Remarks on the Navigution between...the Dardanelles and the Sea of Marmora in Nantical Mag. 1834, 577-8. Cyzicus. (Reprinted in F. Smith's translation of Marmont's Turkish Empire (1839), 150-2.)
Griffiths, J. Travels ing Europe, Asia, Minor and Arabics. London, 1805, 210. Afesia.

Hamilton, M. Incubation. St Andrews, 1906, 208-213. Notes on Incubation in the Cyzicus district.
${ }^{2}$ On the political significance of a German colony of $400-300$ families established near Triglia. I was unable to obtain any confirmation of its existence locally.

Hamilton, W. J. Researches in Asia Minor. London, 1842, 1. 77 fi. Brusa to Kermasti, Kestelek etc. It. 84 ff. Mudania to Aboulliond, Ulubad, Cyzicus, Manyas, Susurlu, Kebsud, Bigaditch. Cf. also f. R. G. S. 1837, 35 f.
Hasluck, F. W. The following is a summary of my own routes in the district:
1902. Cyzicus site and neighbouring villages.
1903. (a) Panderma, Mihallitch, Aboulliond, Karagatch, Top Hissar, Panderma
(b) Panderma, Ergileh, Eski Manyas, Susurlu, Omer Keui, Balukiser, Ilidja, Hodjabunar, Gunen, Panderma.
(c) Villages of Kapu Dagh.
1904. (a) Panderma, Gunen, Hadji Pagon, Panderma.
(b) Panderma, Aksakal, Beykeui, Kermasti, Susurlu, Kcbsud, Balukiser, Asar-Alan, Kara-déré, Ilidja, Balia, Hodjabunar, Alexa, Panderma.
(c) Erdek, Karabogha, Panderına, Brusa.
1905. (a) Panderma, Alexa, Panderma
(b) Panderma, Mihallitch, Triglia, Brusa.
1906. (a) Mudania, Triglia, Daskeli, Tchatalaghil, Brusa.
(b) Panderma, Mihallitch, Ulubad, Kermasti, Susurlu, Eski Manyas, Yeni Manyas, Alexa, Panderma.
(c) Panderma, Erdek, Karabogha, Bighashehr, Gunen (coast road), Panderma (coast road).
(d) Akhissar, Gelembe, Soma, Kiresun, Balukiser, Susurlu, Mihallitch, Triglia, Brusa
The inscriptions resulting will be found in f.H.S. xxit., גxint, xxiv., xxv., גxvi, xxvit. and B.S.A. xill.

- Sculphurcs from Cyzicus in B.S.A. vill. 190-196, Pll. IV.-VI. Cf. ib id. XI. $15 \mathrm{I}-2$.
- Pocmanenum in 7.H.S. xxvi. 23-31, Pl. V1.
- *Billyniaz in B.S.A. xutl. 285-308. Triglia, Syge, Caesarea, Katoekia, Koubouklia, Kalolimno.
-- *The Mamara Islands in 7. H.S. xxix. 6-18, Pll. 11.-IV.
- Notes on Coin-Collecting in Mysia in Num. Chron. 1906, 26-36. Cf. ibich 1907, 440-1.
- A Roman Bridge on the Aeseprus in B.S.A. xil. 184-189.
- and Henderson, A. E. On the Topograghy of Cyzicus in F.H.S. xxiv. 135-143, Pl. V1.

Hawkins (John). Visited Aboulliond (c. 1786-7 with Sibthorp?). Cf. Walpole, Mentoirs, 457 and Ditt. Nat. Biog.
henderson, A. E. The Survey of Cysicus in Recorts of the Past, III. 1904 (Photographs of Isthmus, W. postern, hexagonal tower, E. wall etc.)

- See olso Hasluck and Henderson.

Herges, A. Les Monastires de Bithymic, Mediciou, in Bessnrionc, v. 1899, 9-21.
Hobhouse, J. C. A Fonmey througlt Albania. London, 1813, 819. Marmara.
JANKE, A. Auf Alcanuders des Grossen Pfaden. Berlin, 1904, chh. ix-x. On the topography of the battle of Granicus (views and plan).
Jerninghas, H. E. H. To and from Constantinople. London, 1873, ch. iv. A trip to Cyzicus. (Uscless.)
JUDeich, W. Dericht juber ciue Reisc ist Nordwestlichest Relciunsicn, in. Von Tchanak Kalessi nach Brwsa in Sitsర. Berl. Akad. I898, 11. 544-555. Priapus, Cyzicus, Mihallitch, Ulubad. (Illus.)

- Die Schlacht amt Granicus in Klic, vill. 1908, 372-397.
—— Skepsis in Festschriff für H. Kicpert (1898), 225 ff.
Kandis, B. 'Il Hpoūar. Athens, 1883, 143, 220 f., 531. Syge, Triglia.
KEIL, 13. Kysikenisches in Hermes, 1897, 505 ff . Routes and chronology of Aristides.
- Baris in Rev. de Philol. 1901, 123-4.

Kersten, G. Dc Cymico...guncstioucs cpigraplicae. Halle (diss.), I886.
Kiepert, H. Mcmoir über die...Karte worl Kleinasier. Berlin, 1854, 55, 82. Population statistics.

- Das Schlachtfeld am Granicus in Glohus, 1877, XXXII. 263-4. (Map.)
- Formae Orbis Antiqui, IX. 1894.
——Specialkarte von Westlichen K'leinasten, 1896.
Kiepert, R. Die Lage der Bithynischen Stadt Dascylion uud des Dascylitischen Sees [map] in K7io, v. 1905, 241-3.
Kinneir, J. M. Travelled overland from Smyrna to Constantinople (itinerary only) in fourncy through Asin Minor, 1818.
Kinsbergen, J. H. van. (Tr. Sprengel.) Beschrcibung des Archipclagus, 1792, 154 Marmara Is.
Kleonymos, M., and Papadopoulos, Ch. Be日vviká. Constantinople, 1867. Notes on Triglia, Syge, Pistica.
Knanck, G. Dc Nommllis Fabulis Cyzicemis in Comment. Philol. in non. - Sodnlit. Gryphiswaldi, 1887,33-41.

Kyzikenos. Sce Cyzicenus.
Laborde, A. and L. de. Voyage ch Asie Mineure. Paris, 1838, 17 ff . Gelemhe, Ulubad, Brusa.
la Boullaye le Gouz, F. de. Voyages ef Obseruations. Paris, 1653, 1. 24. Marmara.


 ग. 1904, 373-4, 498-500. Cf. 99, 239; 111. 126-8.
La Mottraye, A. de. Voyages. London, 1727, 1. 470 ff. Marmara Is., Artaki, Cyzicus (1710).
Leary, E. Memorande of a Visit to the Site of the Ruins of the Ancient

City of Sizicus (sic) in Asiatic Turkey in Proc. R. Geog. Soc. 1858, 376.
Lebss, P. Voyage Archóologigue. See Reinact, S. and Reo. de Philol. 1. 39 ff. (Aboulliond, Ulubad), and ibid. 21 :-216 (Poemanenum).

Lebruyi, C. See Bruin, C. de.
Lechevalier, J. B. Voyage de la Propontide et dit Pont Euxim Paris, 1800, 1. jv. Marmara, Cyzicus.
Legrand, E. Routes followed by in 1889 :
(a) Daskeli, Mihallitch, Panderma, Bigha, Kemer.
(b) Troad, Tchanbazar, Inova, Gunen, Panderma. (Radet, École Francaise, 356 note $\ddagger$. Cf. inscriptions in B.C.H. 1893. )
Lucas, P. Voyage dans lu Gi'ce ct dans I'Asic Minenre, 1714, 1. iv. Artaki, Cyzicus.
——Voyrge...fait cn diDCCXIV. Amsterdam, 1724, 1. 179-189. Mudania to Gelembe.
LUKE, J. MS. Journals' in B.M. Sloant 3945 (2), 3985 (2) (4), 2720 (2). Smyrna to Brusa (1683). The journal of the return journey (by sea) contains notes on Kalolimno, given more fully in Harl. 7021, f. 419.
Macearlane, C. Turkey and its Distiny. London, 1850, 1. 405 ft. Brusa to Mihallitch, Panderma, Erdek, Kapu Dagh, Kazakli', Kermasti, Aboulliond [1847-8].
_The Doom of Turicy. London, IS55. App. 9. On the massacre of Albanians at Mihallitch. App. io. On the Imperial sheep-farm near Kermasti.
Macgill T. Travils in Turkey, Italy and Russia. London, 1808, i. 128-138. Smyrna to Mihallitch by Macestus Valley.
Manden, R. R. Travcls in Turkey, Essypt, etc. (1824-1827). London, 182g, 1. 194 fi. Macestus Valley road.


 xviII. ( $1883-4$ ) 25 ff.
 $26 j$ (chiefly colucational statistics). An anonymous paper, rewypaфsxà upha rins ku乌ixov is printed on 251-217 of the same periodical.
Marcellus, Vicomte de. Souvenirs de l'Orient. Paris, 1839, 1. 167. Note on costume at Marmara; 11. 495-502. Magnesia to Mudania by Macestus Valley.
Marquardt, J. Cyzicus und sein Gebict. Berlin, 1836. [A Greek trans* lation by Kyrillos Gregoriadis (Coastantinople, 1879, pp. 206) is cited by Bursian and by Miliarakis, Neof $\lambda \lambda$. Гeory. \$indoria, 104.]
MaURICE, J. L'Atelier Montiaire de Cysique pendant la periode constanfinicmue in Zeitschr. f. Num. אxv. 1005, 129-180.
${ }^{1}$ See B.S.A. XII. $20 g$ f.
${ }^{2}$ At p. +73 ff . a full account of the Russian villages of the lake of Manyas.
*Mendel, G. Cettalogue des Montments Grecs, Romains, et Bysantins du Musec Tmperrial Ottoman de Broussc in B.C.H. xxxur. (1909) 245-442, Pll. VII.-V11I. Sculptures 1*, 2*, $8^{*}, 10^{*}, 11,12,13,15^{*}, 24,25,32$, 33, 37, 43, $54^{*}, 58,59,60,61,62,63,64^{*}, 65,66,67,70^{*}, 71,72,91,92$, 94, 97, 100 ; Inscriptions 401, 402, 415, 430 .
Michaud, J. F., and Poujoulat, J. J. F. Correspondance de l'Orient. Paris, 1833, II. 95 ff. K゙arabogha, Artaki, Cyzicus.
*Michon, E. Ex-voto il Apollon K゙ratíanos in R.E.G. xix. 1906, 304-17.
Mining (Balia, Sultan Chair, etc.). See Consular Reports, Anmual Series, 2813 (Constantinople and District, igoi), and s.u. Sultan Chair.
Monconvs, - de. Fournal des Voyages de M1. de M. Lyon, 166j, 1. 42 I ff. Mihallitch to Smyrna.
Mordtmann, A. D. Skiswen aus Klcimasien in Ausland:
(a) 1855, 553 eic. Mudania, Syge, Triglia, Yalichiftik, Mihallitch, Manyas, Kebsud, Balat etc.).
(b) 1856,649 etc. (Besbicus, Cyzicus, Manyas, Dudar Chiftlik, Balukiser, Pergamus).
(c) 1857, 110 etc. (Gemlek, Brusa, Rhyndacus Valley, Balat, Balukiser, Upper Aesepus, Bigha, Karabogha, Chardak, with plans of Assardagh and Karabogha).
——Ruines de Scepsis ent Troude in Nev. Arch. XI. (1855) 767-770. (Assardagh with plan.)
—_Recherches sur la wille antique de Priaphs et sur celle d'Exquise, ibid. X1I. 757 ff . (with plan). (Reprinted from + Fourr. Asiat. de Constantinople, 1852. Cf. Miliarakis, No. I?02.)

- (P'opulation statistics of the vilayer of Brusa) in Petermann's Mitth. 1858, 89.
Mordtmann, J. H. Le Culle disis it Cyzique in Rev. Arch. N.S. xxxvit. 1879, 257 ff .
Morgan, C. Dreissig Tage in K'leinasien, Keiseskiszen. Wien, 1886, 33-33 Ein Tag in Artaki; 39-44 Ein Tag am See von Mihallitch. [Useless.] MORYSON, Fynes. An Jtincrary etc. Glasgow; 1907-8, II. 88. Halone ; 11. 89, IV. 120, Panderma ( $1596-7$ ).

Moustier, A. de, in Le Tour di Mondi; 1. 1864, 250 etc. Aboulliond, Ulubad, Kermasti. (Hllus.)
Mueffling, Baron von (-Fr. von Weiss). Narrative of Missions to Constantinople, etc. London, 1855, 31-2. Smyma to Mudania.
Munro, J. A. R. Explorations in M1ysia in J.R. G.S. $1897,150 \mathrm{ff}$., 256 ff. Aboulliond, Susurlu, Balukiser, Manyas. (Map and illus.) Cf. Athenacnm, 1894 (II.) 361 (No. 3490 ) and 536 (No. 3495 ).
——Gleanings from Mysia in Y.H.S. XX1. 229-237. Ida district.
Murhard, F. Gemilde des griechischen Archipelagus, 1.61-81. Marmara (folk-tale) and Cyzicus.
${ }^{1}$ A set of 35 photographs illustrating the expedition is deposited at the library of the R. Geogr. Soc. (No. 287).

Mustafa-ien-Abd-Allah (Hadji Khalfa). Gihan Numuz (17th cent.), trans. Norberg, 1818, 11.477 ff. Mihallitch, Ulubad, Balukiser, Cyzicus etc.; II. gia. Road system.

Naumann, E. Vom Goldnen Horn nach den Quellen des Euphrat. Berlin, 1893, 73-75. Brusa, Kcrmasti, Susurlu, Kebsud.
Neumayr, M. Ueber Trias- und Kohlenkalkversteinerungen aus dem Nordzestlichen Kleinasicns in Ans. d. 1. Ahad. d. Wiss. Wien, 1887, Pt. XXIL. 241-3.



Olivier, G. A. Voyage dans PEmpire Oftoman. Paris, 1801, 1. 228-9. Marmara Is.


Ouseley; W. Travels in the East. London, 1821 , ill. 528 f. Macestus Valley road (1812).
Palerne, J. Péregrinations. Paris, 1606 , chh xeviii.-xcix. Marmara Is.
Palmer, J. (the Orientalist), travelled overland from Smyrna to Brusa in 1806. His ms. notes (at St John's, Cambridge, No. 5 of the Levant Series) contain nothing of value for the roadt.
Pashley, R. Travels in Crate. Cambridge, 1837, 11. 70 note: description of Karabogha in 1833. Cf. 1. 187, note 7 (Palatia).
(Paxo. Voyage dans lat Marmariguc, 1827 (mentioned by Marquardt as inaccessible to him) deals with the Lilbyan Mamarice.]
Perrot, G. Souvenirs d'un Voynge en Asie Mineure. Paris, 1864,89 ff. Karagatch, Ulubad, Panderma, Artiki, Kermasti.
and Guintauary, E. Exploration de la Galatie et...de la Bithynic, 1. 73 ff. Mihallitch, Cyricus, Kermasti, PII. III., IV. (map of Cyzicus, plan of temple of Hadrian, detail of wall).

- Le Temple d"Hudricn à Cyziguc in Rev. Arch. 1854, IX. 350-360.
peyssonnel travelled in the district c. 1735. Cf. Minn. de I'Acid. xxix. 340.

Pruml, E. Das Buituerk auf den Ostyricchischen Grabreliefs in Fahrb. des Iush .x. 47-96. Abb. 1, 2, 4 are of Cyzicene monuments.
Pococke, R. ${ }^{2}$ Discription of the East. London, 1745, 11. 2. 114 ff. Artaki, Cezzicus, Mihallitch, Ulubad, Islands, with maps of Cyzicus and the Sca of Marmara ${ }^{3}$.

[^210]Prokesch, A. von. Erimberungen aus Aegypten und X̌leinasicn. Wien, 1831, 111. :82 ff. Smyrna to Brusa by Macestus Valley; 111. 251 ff. Cyzicus, Artaki, Kapu Dagh, Gunen.
[Pueckler-Muskau, H. L. H.von.] Dic Riöckieler (posthumous). Berlin, 1846, III. 376-403. Akhissar to Brusa
Ravet, G. De Coloniis a Macedonibus in Asian cis Taurum deductis, Paris, 1892, 10-11. Poemanenum, Antigonia, Apollonia.
-_ and Lechiat, H. Route followed in 1887 :-Edremid, Baliabazar, Gunen, Panderma, Cyzicus, Sarikeui, Mihallitch, Brusa. (Radet, École Frangaisc, 356, note 3. Cf. inscriptions in B.C.H. 1888, 1893.)
$\dagger$ RisGEL, W. Ueber die Stadt Dascylos nhd den Dascylitischen See in Fourn. ncs \&. Russ. Mimisteriums ner Volksaufklärumg. In Russian: quoted by Ruge in Pauly-Wissowa s.v. Daskylion, 13ursian, Fahrbuch, 1887. The author visited Kurshunlu (Sigriane) in 1885. (Vis. Vrem. 1. 1895,238 .)

Reinach, S., and Le l3as, F. Voyage Archdologigue. Paris, 1888 (a new edition of Le Bas with additional plates). On the Cyzicus district see 38 ff . in the text and Plates: Itim. $41,42,44$ (view of Ulubad); 45 (do. of Lake of Aboulliond); 46-48 (maps of lake and town); 48, 49 (views of walls): Archit. 11. 1, 2 (restoration of the island temple); Morr. Fig. 133. The first series of plates was published by Le Bas, P11. 44-49.

- Tite d'Artsmis en Marbre decouverie al Cysigue in Rev. Arch. 1894, II. 282-4, Pll. .XVII., XVII.
_- Une Easrelief de Mysie in R.E.G. 1900, 10-13, Pl. I.
Reinach, T., on the Temple of Hadrian, see s.\%. Cyriacus and Acad. des Irascry. C. R. 1890, 117, 119; Rev. Critique, 1890, 240, 260.
-_Sur l'Epoque et le Nombre des Neocorats de Cyzique in Rev. Num. ViII. (I8go) 244-252.
_-Ile ou Presquifle? (the isthmus question) in R.E.G. VIl. (1894) 48-51.
Richter, O. F. von. Wallfahrten im Morgenlande. Berlin, 1822, 410 ff . Aboulliond, Mihallitch, Cyzicus, Karabogha (1815), with engraving of the Amphitheatre.
RIguET, A. de. MS. Journal (B.M. Add. MS. 34,197) ff. 14-15. Akhissar to lirusa by Macestus Valley.
RUge, W. Beiträge su Topographic Kleinasiens in Petermanns Mitth. XXXVIII. (1892) 224 ff . Odryses, Cyzicus, Bigha (maps). (Tbe same journey is described in fatiresber. d. Vcreins f. Errliunde (Dresden), XXill. 1893, 22-24, 86-89.)
Rustafjaell, R. de. Cyzicus in 7.H.S. Xxil. 1902, 174 ff., Pl. Xi. (Sketcb-map and photographs.)

Sandys, G. Relation of a Voyage. London, 1615, 27. Marmara, cf. 88.
Sathas, C. N. Publishes list of monastic documents relating to Triglia etc.


Savary de brivers, F. Relation des Voyages de M. de Brives [1605]. Paris, $1630,4-7$. Panderma, Cyzicus ${ }^{1}$.
Seetzen, P. U. His route (Constantinople to Smyrna by Macestus Valley) is given in Zach's Monatliche Correspondene (Gotha), vill. (I803) 478 note.
Sestini, D. Lettere Odoporiche, osia Viaggio per la penisola di Cisico clc. Livorno, 1785. Letter VI. Marmara, Artaki, Cyzicus, Brusa.

- Viaggi e Oposcoli Diversi. Berlin, 1806, 135-i39. Soma to Brusa by Macestus Valley (1782).
Sibthorp. See Walpole, Hawkins.
Smith, Eli. Researches in Armerict. Boston, 1833, I. 50-52. Macestus Valley to Mihallitch.
Spon, J. Voyage de l'ratic et ds Lecvant. Lyon, 1678, 1. 284 fi. Brusa to Smyma by Macestus Valley.
Spratt, T. A. B. On the Freshrwater Deposits of the Levant in 2. Fourn. Geol. Soc. London, 1858,212 f. Marmara 1 ls .
Stamaty and Collier. Their geographical observations on the Macestus Valley road are published in Bull. Soc. Glogr. xiv. 1830, 194-6.
Stark, K. B. Nack dem Grichischen Orient. Heidelberg, 1882, 374 ft Description of Cyzicene antiquities in the Calvert Collection.
Sтосноve, V. de. Voyagedu Levant. Bruxelles, 1650, 177-184. Pcramo, Panderma, Cyzicus.
тсhimatcheff, P. Asic Minenre, Describlion, Physique, Stafistique et Archeologique. Paris, 1853-69. Of his routes, edited by Kicpert in Petcrmamns Mitth, Ergainzungsheft 20, 1867, the following concern us: 1847, Oct 12.-Tchanak, Dimetoka, Bigha, Balia, Balukiser, Gelembe, Akhissar. Dec, 11.-Tchanak, Dimetoka, coast to Acsepus, Mihallitch, Mudania 1848, Dcc. 11.-Soma, Kiresun, Balukiser, Balia. I849, May 13-Pergamus, Ivrindi, Balukiser, Mendoura, Balat.
+Teplow, W. Ueber die Oerthichkcit der Schlacht ant Grauikus in Fourn. Russ. Minist. \%. Volksulfklänung, 1889 , 102-112. [Bursian.]
+ -- (French translation.) Paris, 1890, pp. 21 and map. [Bursian.]
- Recherches sur l'emplacenent dn champ de bataille au passage du Grinique in Mem. Acad. Inscrr., Ser. I. Tom. X. (1893), Pt. I. 217$233^{2}$.
Texier, C. Description de I'Asie Mineure. Paris, 1839-49, 11. 156 ft . Manyas, Cyzicus, Islands. PL. 102 Amphitheatre. L'Asic Mineure (L'Univers, Histoire et Description de tous les Penples). II. xxxi. ff.-III. ii.-ix. contain notices of Besbicus, Dascylium, Apollonia, Lopadium, Kermasti, rivers and lakes, Proconnesus, Cyzicus. PL. XLIll. (not in the Descripfion de I'Asic Mineure) Ruins at Palatia.

[^211]Tinevenot, J. de. Relation d'un Voyage fast au Levant. Rouen, 1665, 1. 72 ff. Brusa to Smyrna by Macestus Valley.

Tomaschek, W. Zur Historischen Topographic won Kleinasien im Mittelalter in Sitsb. Akad. Wien, Cxxiv. (1891) Ph.-Hist. Cl. vinl. IIff., 93 fi. Tournefort, P. de. Voyage au Levant. Paris, 1717, II. 482. Brusa to Smyrna by Macestus Vallcy.
TURNer, W. Fournal of a Tour in the East. London, 1820, 111. 146 ff. Gelembe to Brusa; III. 189 ff. Mihallitch, Ulubad, Panderma, Dimetoka.
Uzzano (1442). Sailing Directions for Sea of Marmara in Pagnini, Della Decima di Fircuze. Lisbon, 1766, IV. 226.
Vaillant, J. F. (the numismatist), travelled overland from Mihallitch to Smyrna. Cf. de Num. Imp. Gr. (1700) 349.
Walpole, R. Voyages. London, 1820 , 48. Sibthorp (on Marmara). 140 ff. W. G. Browne ${ }^{1}$ (on the Macestus Valley route from Smyrna to Mihallitch).
Wheler, G. A Fourney into Greece. London, 1682, III. 224 ff. Brusa to Smyrna by Macestus Valley.
Wiegand, T. Reisen in Mysicn in Ath. Milt. Xxix. 1904, 254-339. PII. XXIV.-XXVI. and illus. in text. Pericharaxis, Aescpus Valley, Aphnitis, Kapu Dagh, Cyzicus, Eski Manyas, Pergamus, Hadrianutherae, Miletupolis, by Macestus Valley to Angora, Byzantine fortresses in Mysia.
Wilson (Sir C. W.) in Murray's Handbook 10 Asia Minor, 60 (route 25), Brusa to Balukiser; 62 (route 26), Panderma to Susurlu (Kermasti in route 24).
—— in Murray's Handbook to Constantinople, I19. Marmara Is. 137 fi. Panderma and Karabogha.
Vinnefeld, H. in Winkelnannsfestprogram (Berlin), LXViII. On silver reliefs from Miletupolis. Sec also Aemtl. Bericht aus den Kgl. Kurstsamm/ungen, XXX. 1908, 65-7.
+WRONTSCHENKo quoted in Kiepert's Memoir.
 404-412.
Zolotas, G. See Lambros.

## ADDENDA.

* Bu rada, T. 0 Cajetorie la Romania diu Bitinia, Jassy, 1893 (on the Wallachian origin of the Pistika villages).
*Fritze, H. von, and Gaebler, H. Der Altiskull in Kyyikos in Nomisma, 1909, pp. 33 ff.
${ }^{1}$ His determination of some points on this road is given by Leake (Asia Ainor, preface $x x$ ).


## INDEX

Achyrats, 93, 133, 197
Acrocus, 190
Adrasteia (city), 95, 158 ; (goddess), 220;
(mountain), 48, 79
Aegacon, 54
Acolians, 148
Aesepus, R., 40
Agora; sec Market
Agoranomus, 257
Agraphiorika, 150
Agrippa, $18_{4} 1240$
Atoinjik, 48,203 f
Alaeddin, Sultan, 137, 202
Albanians, 34, 35, 54, 86, 154, 155
Alcibiades, 167
Alexa, 133
Alexander the Great, 3, 173
Aliases. 348 f
Amphitheatre, 6, 15
Anastasius, 188
Anctor of the Argonauts, 158
Andeiris, 215
Angelocomites, R., 42
Antigonia, 114
Antoninus, 186, 188
Aorata, 196
Aphisia, I., $3^{6}$
Aphnitis, L., 46
Aphrodite, 335 f
Apollo, 102, 338 ff
Apollonia ad Rhyndacum, $68 \mathrm{ff}, 194$
Apolloniatis, Le. 44
Apollonis, 175 f
Apollonius Rhodius, 156 ff
Archangelos, 121
Architects, 257
Archon, 25I, 254
Arctonnesus, 1,6 , 21 ff
Argiza, 138
Argonauts, $157 \mathrm{ft}, 215$
Arpyria, 112
Aristagoras, 164
Aristides, I4I ff, 187
Armenians, 5t, 155

Arrhidaeus, 172 f
Artaki, $16 \mathrm{ff}, 165,202$; fountain of, 20 , 158
Artemer, 49, 105
Artemis, 232 ff
Artynia, Lo, 46
Asiarch, 26If
Askicpios, 175, 132, 176, 236
Assar Alan, 140
Assar-Kalé, 110
Athens, $180,184,306,336$
Attis, 219, 234
Augustus, 183
Aulonia, 35, 108
Aurelius, 188
Baba Kalessi, 104
Balia, 112, 139, 236
Balkiz, 5, 15
Balukiser, 88 ff, 203
Barbarous names, $24{ }^{\circ}$
Barenus, R., 42, 108
Baris, 105
Basiletas, 212
Bayezid I, 55
Bayceid II, 28
Besbicus, 53, 210, 237
Beykeui, 91
Bigaditch, 91,94
Bighashehr, 96, 203
Bwuss in epitaphs, 241
Boule, 350
Bouleuterion, 251
Braiectuel, P. de, 33
Bridges at Cyzicut, 3, 172 ; at Lopa. dium, 78 ; near Milaalliteh, 86. 134; at Alexa, 123 ; near Bighashelir, 125 ;
on the Aesepus, 128; at Sultan-Chair
131; near Debleki, 132 ; near Balia, 139
Broukolakas, 35
Bpeírs roí кapukéar, 85, 196
Bratus, 183
Bryllion, 56

Bulgars, 155
Caesarea Germanice, 63 ff
Calimus, 93, 199; see also Acrocus
Calendar, 253 !
Caligula, 184
Calonymus ( $=$ Besbicus), 54
Calvert, F., vii
Carabella, T., viii
Caracalls, 189
Catalans, 300 fi
Ceirentes, 161
Cemeterics, 15
Ceramidas, 19, 199
Cbristian epitapbs, 344
Christianity, early, 208
Chytus, harbour of, 3, 5, 30
Cippus, 341
Circassians, 79, 153
Cleite, wife of Cyzucus, $1 \mathrm{~g} 8 \mathrm{f}, 3 \neq 0$
"Cleite" stream, 9
Colacretae, 359
Commodus, 189
Comnenus, Alexius, 194 ff
Conon, 160
Constantine, 216
Cossacks, 155
Cybele, ${ }^{114}$ fi; see also Dindymenc
Cyminas, M., 94, 199
Cyriac of Ancons, 11 禹 118,311 f
Cyzleus, site of, 1 fi ; king, 157 ft, 239 ;
batte of, 167
Dascylitis, L., 45 ff, $5^{8}$
Dascylium, 55 f, 173
Debleki, 50
Demeter, 221
Demirdesh, 150
Demir Kapu (gate), 9 ; (pass of, 132, 135 f
Didymateiche, 96
Dindymene, $160,314 \mathrm{ff}$
Dindymon, M., 32 ft
Dionysus, 333 f
Dioscuri, $33^{8}$
Doliones, $145,158 \mathrm{ff}$
"Domna," 319
Drusilla, 185
Earthquakes, 4, 77, 187, 188, 193, 194
Eastnor, Lord, vii
Embilus, R., 42, 339
Ephebi, 258
Epic names, 347
Eras, 71, 354
Erdek; see Artaki
Ergasteria, 114, 138
Eski Manyzes 186
Eteoneus, 340
Euripi, 4

Fairs, 88, $96,104,133$
Fauslina 11, 188, 212
Flactus, Valerius, 160
Fons Cugidinis, 159
Games, 359, 300, 303
Gauls, invasion of the, 174
Germanicus Caesar, 66
Germe, 75, 105
Gerousia, 354
Goths, invasion of the, 191
Granicus, R., 39 f, 172
Guilds, 258
Gumenidj, 139
Gunen, 49, 103, 139
Guzel Kıpru, 132
Gymnasia, 258
Hadrian, 187 ; see also Temple of H .
Hadrianutherac, 88 ff
Ialone, I., 35
Harakbi, 38
IIarhours of Cyzicus, 4 fi
Harpagia, 97, 310
Henderson, A., ix
Heptaporus, R., 42
Heracles, 161
Hermes, 236
Ilipparch, 354, 304
Homonoia, 339
Isis, 327
Issiz Khan, 84
Isthmus of Cyzicus, iff, 158; ef. 197
"Jasonian way," I5§
Justinian, 193
Kalenderoghlu, 83, 104
Kallion, 313, 252
Kıpu Dagh; see Arctonnesus
Kara Ali, $5_{4}, 303$
Karabogha, 99
Kara Dagh, 52
Karadja Oghlu, 149
Karadje Pasha, 86
Kism.dert, R.; see Tarsius, Embilus
Karassi, 88
Karesus, 112
Kaseos, 232, 235
Kebsud, 91
Kermasti, 74 ff, 303
Khans, 84, ${ }^{136}$
Koaste, R., 49
Kore, 180, 188, 310 fif
Kouvouklia, 149
Kurshuslu, ${ }^{2}$
Kutali, I., 37
Kuz Ada, 71

Lakes, the Mysian, 45 ffi 50 ff
Lala Shahin, 75
Laneum, 141
Laodice, estates of, 106 f
Lascaris, Theodore, 197 ff
Lentiana, 118
Limenarch, 258
" Limne," 415
"Little Troy," $30+$
Livia, $18_{4}$
Lobrinon, M., 219
Logistes, 186, 256
Lopadium, 78 ff, 195 ff
Lucullus, 179 ff, 340
Macestus, R., \& 3
Mainotes, 150 fi
Manoules, 23
Manyas; see Eski Manyas, Poemanenum;
lake of, +1ff, 179
Markle, Proconnesian, 30 ff
Markets, If, $18{ }_{4}, 257$
Marmara, $1 .=$ Proconnesus, $30 f f, 76$, 198, 202, 2:6
Marmora, Gcorge, 33
Medicion, 66
Megarians at Cyzicus, $16_{+}$
Melainai, 42
Melanos prom. 18
Melde, $7^{6}$
Memnon, of Rhodes, $\mathbf{1 7 2}$; village of, 108
Mendours, 135, 143, 157
Meotis, 251
Metata, 92, 133
Metopa, 72
Metrodonts, 32, 164
Miehael, S., 62, 78, 116
Midias, 168
Mihallitch, 85 ff, 203
Mileslan colonization, 30, 32, 163 A
Miletopolitis, $L_{0,} 45$ ff, 76
Miletupolis, 78 ff, 178,138
Miletus (herol, 76
Mithradates, 178
Mohammed I, 83
Monasteries ; see Beshieus, Cyminas, Kapu Dagh, Kara Dagh, Triglia
Months, Cyzicene, 252 f
Mouchlia, 19; see Ceramidas
Muhajirs, 155
Muntaner, 201
Murad I, I 16,150
Murad 11, 83, 84
Mysians, 147
Mysteries, 212
Naurch, 356
Neos, 259
Neตтods rज̂y इȩaot0y, 357

Nepelon Pedion, 94
Nomenclature, 245 if
Odryses, R., 44
Omenkeni, 135
Onopnictes, K., 43, 133
Orkhan, 83, 203
Orphica, 161
Palnescepsis, 110
Pan, 2.37
Panagia, I., 18, 20
Panagia Karc Dagh, 52
Panagia Phaneromene, $a_{4}$
Panderma, sof, 197
Panormus (harbour), 3, 5 ; town, see Panderma
Pasha Liman, I.; sce Halone
Paul, S., 208
Pegrae, $9^{8} \mathrm{ff}, 197 \mathrm{ff}, 202 \mathrm{f}, 205$
Yelaggians, 51, 54, 145
Pelecete, 62
Pergamon, relations with, $1 / 445$
Pericharaxis, 114, 193 管
Persephone: see Kore
Pescennius, Niger, 189
Philetacres of Pergamon, 174
Philetaerus, S., 49 ff, 208
Phrygia, 147
Phrygians, 147
Ploylarch, 250
Pisistratus, 179
Pistika, 150
Placin, $\overline{5}$ If
Placiane, aybf
Poemanenum, $105,115 \mathrm{ff}, 138,143$
Poketos, 49
Pompeius, Sextus, ${ }^{183}$
Poseidon, $185,206,221,235$
Priapus, 97, 166, 172
Proconnesus; sce Marmara, I.
Procopius, 192 f
Procusator, 72, 257
Prytanis, 251
"Pseudartoninus," 190 mote
Preleae, 133
Pytharchus, $1_{4}$
Pythia Therua, 102, 161
River-gods, 239
Rhyndacus, R., 44, 180
Rumelians, 155
Rastaljacl!, K. de, viii
Sacred Rock, 159
Saints, local, 32
S. Simeon, hill of, 18

Samothrace, 171, 211.
Sarcophagi, 342
Sarikeui, 101

Satalin 88
Scylace, $3!\{$
Scythians, invasions of, 190, 198
Sernpis, 227, 242
Serou kome, 49
Severas, Septimius, 189
Sidene, 96
Sigriane, 53
Sitophylax, 257
Solomon, 204
Siephanephoras, 257
Sirategus, 253, 306
Suleiman Pasha, 203 f
Suleiman the Magaificent, 149
Susurlu, 134, 136
Syke, 62 ff
Tachtali, 66
Tamarhalik, 204
Tamias, 258
Tarsius, R., 4 I
Tavshan Adz, 19
Tchatel, M. 14
Tchinar Bunar Kale, 104
Teıxoroubs, 257
Temple (at Cyzicus) of A pollonis, ${ }^{7} 75$ :
Hedrian, soff, 87 fif (at Apollonia)
of Apollo, 70 f
Theatre at Cyricus, 6
Theophanes, 53
Oedi Eifrotos, 222 ff
Thermal Springs, 841 ; see also Gunen, Pythia Therma


Thiasi, 207
Thracian Harbour, 5; village, 879, 234
Tiberius, 1841
Timacus, 173
Tinotheus, 168
Timotheus, S., 33
Titias, 210
Top Hisser, 118
"Tower of Willian," 119, 201
Trapezites, 258
Tribes, 250, 252 f
Triglia, 58 ff
Trinities, 225
Trocmi, invasion of, 173
Tryphaena, Antonia, 4, 184, 257
Tryphacna, S., 209
Tumuli, 109, 159
Tyche, $23^{8}$
Ulubad; see Lopadium

Village-administration, 255
Walls of Cyzicus, $6 \mathrm{ff}, 167$ note
Yali-cbiftitk, 35,149
Zaccaria, Martino, 33
Zaganos Pasha, 89
Zeleja, roiff, 253
Zeus, 222 ff



Please help us to keep the book clean and moving.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Turkey and her Dostiny, 1. 4.32, note. Cf. Ch. White's Constantinople (1844) 111. 160 (note).
    ${ }^{2}$ The bare fact is mentioned in Murray's Asia Minor, p. 345. Some of the resultant antiquitics are catalogued by K. B. Stark.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mannert v1. 3, 532. Th. Reinach (R.E.G. Vil. 1894, 48).
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Perrot, Galatie et Bithyaic 1. 49. Judeich, Sísb. Kön. Preuss, Akad 1898, 11. 351. Kiepert, Lshrbuch 107. Texier, Asie Minture 1. 164. Kuge, Petermann's Mfisth. 1892, 226. Marquarth, Cyzicus 10.
    ${ }^{3}$ Consequently soundings average $\frac{1}{2}$ fathom close in shore on the eastern, as against it on the western side of the isthmus.
    -Arg. 1. 936, and scholiast.
    ${ }^{3}$ Str. 682 uses the word twice of the long headlands of Cyprus, which are not isthmi in the modern sense.
    ' ${ }^{\prime} 70=$ Geog. Min. 1. 68. $\quad$ I N.H. v. $3^{2}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Str. $635=$ Frag. 4. ${ }_{5}^{2}$ Inscr. 1. 19. ${ }^{2}$ s.v. Kígucor.
    ${ }^{6} 575$.
    ${ }^{7}$ 1. 386, Dind. Cf. also Ov. Trist. 1X. 29, haerentem Propontiacis oris. Inscr. (Inscr. 1v. 69 в) vpoaly Kúskos in Ank. Pal. 7. 868. Slrabo 656 describes Cnidus in almost the same words. Cf. also $75 \%$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Inscrr. 1. 14, Iv. 68, 1v. 69.
    ${ }^{0}$ Cf. the attempt of Memnon: the moles may be falsely attributed to Alexander on the analogy of Tyre (cf. Str. 757). Alexander seems to have had little enough to do with Cyzicus.
    ${ }^{10}$ Frontin. 1v. 13. 6, anus et angustus introitus. Cf. also Plut. Lucrull. 9, róv ámd
     inscription, $\chi$ ẃpara a al yeфúpas in Aristides 1. 386 (Dind.).

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ 575, 74中upais but oupartouipy. Phaselis also had three harbours and a pool. 13. 666 ; cf. also 673.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. C.I.G. 3613. I cannot believe with Ruge (loc. cit. p. 226) that the passage had remained closed ever since the Mithradalie war.
    ${ }^{2}$ But this may refer rather to the channel between the Kapu Dagh and Marmara.
    'Hakluyt Sociey's ed. p. 38. There is no inherent improbability in the story,
    
    
    
     The Hisiory of Tamerlane describes these mids in general terms.
    ${ }^{3}$ Amm. Marc. xxxvi. 8, 382 A.D.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Duchastel, I find since writing my article on the Topography of Cyzicus, saw the arch of Demir Kapu standing beside the "grosse tour quarree."
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Xiphilinus' description of the harbours of Byzantium, ExXy. 10 , which were enclosed by moles defended with towers: and the mediaeval and modern harbours of Rhodes and Candia.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Newton's map and Droysen's in Hellenismus 1. 4 \%\%
    ${ }^{4}$ Str. 654.
    ${ }^{s}$ See below, on the Argonauts: this stream is evidently the one represented at the feet of the Tyche of Cyzicus on coins (cf. e.g. B.M. 222).
    $184 . \quad 7$ B.C. ${ }^{18}$. XIV. 532.
    ${ }^{8}$ Mistaken hy Perrot for remains of an arcaded theatre. J.H.S. xxil. 185.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The "Barar," the "Caves,"
    ${ }^{2}$ Michand calls these ruins the Areopagas, 107, 11I. They are also said to be called Kodja Kilisse, suggesting that the temple was used in Christian times as a church. Limnios gives this name 10 the ruins of the theatre; similarly "Bezestan" is applied also to the Byzantine ruin at the N.E. corver of the central harbour.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Michaud 125 , Turner 198; there is a grave reputed that of a man killed by brigands on the Artaki road.
    *Ornatissima in fronte diversa deorum simulacra. In 1444 "insigni ejus et mirabili in frontispicio eximia deum et praeclarissima illa de marmore simalacra Iove ipso protectore suaeque eximiae celsitudinis patrocinio inlaesae et intactae suo fere prisco splendore manent."

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ A. E. Milth. vill. 102.
    2 Coin types alone are notoriously bad evidence for architecture, but the balance of evidence tis in favour of the irregularity, when the central intercolumniation is not widened (as often) to shew the cultus statue within. Thus at Apollonia, Reinach restores the Apollo temple as hexastyle, while coins shew it tetrastyle with a very wide central intercolumniation, which is still possible in a temple of comparatively small size, and Reinach's hexastyle temple with only nine columns a side is rather anomalous.
    ${ }^{1}$ See Reinach, Voy. Arch. pl. xxs.

    - See Koerte in Fostscir. f. O. Benndorf 309-214.

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ Socrat. Hist. Escles. 111. 23.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Pococke, p. 115, "The Piazza probably had a portico ronnd it, because in

[^8]:    digging for stones they found at the west end sixteen very large square picces of marble which were probably the foundations of as many columns."
    ${ }^{1}$ The ruins are figured by Von Richter, Caylus (Recwei), Texier and Brassey, and a photograph by De Rustafjacll appears in J.H.S. XX11. 18r.
    ${ }^{2}$ Texier 11. $238 . \quad{ }^{3}$ P. \% $_{7}$.

    - Von Richrer calls it Mahmun Kalessi.
    ${ }^{5}$ J.K.S.S. x.11. 18 r.
    7 Rustafjaell, p. 181. The contents of a tomb excavaled by Carabella are described in Reu. Arch. Xxxvi1. 203. The locality is not slated.
    ${ }^{8} 575 \mathrm{ad}$ fin.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Liber Singularis, $\$ 16$, Cyrici vina nobilia quibus cum voluptate Constantinopoli salubriter utebamur. Cf. Hamilton 11. 98. J.A.S. xxrr. 199. See also Marquardt, pp. 32 ff , for ancient references to wine of Cyzicus.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cuinet gives ihe population as 807 M. and 3,635 G., Malkotzes ( 1896 ) 12,850 , of which a quarter Turkish and Circassian, Fitener ( $190_{4}$ ) 6,500, nearly all Greek, while statistics lately to hand (Bulletin c'Orient, Jan. 19, 1906) assess the whole population al 8,825 , of which 6,5 II Greek and 2,248 Turkish.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is the date given by Nicodemus: Perrot (Souvenir) mentions a fre about 1860.
    
    3p. 85. Ms. f. 35. Texier ( 169 ) mentions a wall of marble blocks above the cown, but does not make the locality clear.
    *The island mentioned below.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1} 1.27$.
    2In lis description of the porl of "La Rocca" (xiv.c), p. 226, "da Paris alla citti di Spigara a Palanois alla Rocea \& 6 miglia entro greco e Tramontana, e qui ha buono porto e supra lo porto à ung Isoletta, che $v^{\prime}$ \& una chiesa all' entrata del porta." Ia Rocca, Lacora seem to be perversions of Lartacho, cf. the Latin bishopric Lacorensis mentioned by Mas Latrie, Treser.
    ' Halkotzes gives il the appropriate name Miáф؛.
    ${ }^{1}$ 576. Cf. 383. Perhaps from Melas, father of Miletus (Nic. Damasc. frg. 63), or from Melas, son of Phrixus. Kiepert gives the name to the promontory of Karabogha: Strabo, however, mentions the dxpormpoov Meגayos, immediately after describing Artaki, as in the track of coasters sailing from Cyzicus to Priapus.

    - Von Richter calts it simply Palaeo Castro or Balikesri, p. 419.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Pococke and Prokesch.
    ${ }^{3}$ p. 256. Cf. also Lucas 29.
    "Sestini 22; called Palaco Castro in Prokesch (256). "Mouchlia" I was 1old means merely "ancien."
    
     in his map.

    - Acrop. xxiui.

[^12]:    'Apoll. Rh. 1. 957. Orph. Arg. 499 : another xptim'Apraxim is mentioned in the conntry of Laestrygones by Homer (Od, X. 10S).

    - Patria Artacta occurs in an inscription at Sofia. Kalinka, Denkmäler in Bulgurien, 428.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dumont, Inserv. de la Thrace, 33. Cf. the lost epithet of Aphrodite in Steph. Byz. q.v. 'Apráxy.

    4 v. 1. ${ }^{-1 u a x i m e n e s ~ f r a g . ~} 4=$ Str. 635. Steph. Byz.

    - Steph. Byz. Cf. Plin. v. 40 Artacaeon cum oppido. ${ }^{7}$ Hdt. iv. 14.
    - IV. vi. 33. Cr. Soph. frag. ap. Steph. Byz. sv. "Apráxp.
    - 594. ${ }^{50} 583$.

[^13]:     still.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. 11.529 B. where Artakl is called the port of Cyzicus, Dut Kópios is used by Stephanus (s.v. Arctonnesus) for the island as a whole, and the passage of Procopius ciled sbove significantly adds adher aftes Kujgros.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cantace 11.6 ; Act. Patr. Const. 11. 110; bus in the Treaty of Michael Palacologus, 1265 (Sber. Bayr. Akad. 1850, p. 184. Miklosich and Mulles, Alfa at Diplomata Greeca, 111. 79), the town is called ' P praxtoy, Ritachio. For the form cf. Theodorus Hyrfacenus (Boissonade, Anec. 11. 407). 'Apróky is used of the peninsula perhaps as early as 610. Theoph. I. 250.
    ${ }^{4}$ /2. 11.837.

    - Miklosich and Muller, Acta et Diplomata, Int. 79.
    
    
    

[^14]:    
    
    
    
    
     slightly different form by G. Cyzicenus (r. 66), who says that the Artacenes hrought the leys of the castle (') to Brusa. He, however, denies that either firman or privileges existed in his day (1825).
    ${ }^{1}$ Etyms. Mag. s.v. The legend is too seriously treated by Panoksa in Anm. dell Intt. V. 1833, 284.
    ${ }^{3}$ Philosteph. ap. Sch. Ap. Rb. I. 28 g. 70.
    
    ${ }^{7}$ Str. 647. Cr. Steph. Byz. ${ }^{6}$ Frazer, Paus. 11. 263.
     Leodices (at Konia, A.-E. Müth. xix. $3^{1}$ and J.H.S. xxil. $34^{1}(64), 34^{2}(65,65$ A), where there was a mountain Didyma (Steph. Byz. s.v.). See also Ramsay, Hist. Gefg. 227 note, and Class. Rer. 1905, p. 367 E.

    10 Bell. Mith. 75, 76.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are indeed traces of a rough stone building in the saddle, locally called Yurukides, and thought to be an ancient Turkish cemetery. Dédé Bair, with its enims and boulders, is decidedly more $\delta x p u o ̈ s t s$ than Didymos: but the continued religious associations of the latter are in favour of its identification with the ancient Dindyraus.
    ${ }^{2}$ The name may not be genuine, buil never heard Dindymos, which is so far in favour of it.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. ${ }^{376 .}$ - Cf. Ap. Rh. 1. $1149 . \quad$ Cf. ib. 1117.

    - 7b. 1. 1114, фаlveто 8' ウंерiey ordua Booxbpou etc.
    ${ }^{7}$ Dr Constantinides tells me that the already mythical Manoules really flourisbed only some fifty years ago. He ls possibly the famous Manoli of Kasos, of whom an

[^16]:    interesting account is given by Newton (Trovels I. 323 f.). E. J. Davis also speaks of a Greek islander who "practised" in the Brusa and Smyrna district about this time. I was shewn marks indicating a cache of Manoules on Tsavli itself, and to some localities on the mountain an atmosphere of Manoules imparts a cerlain religions awe. For the benefit of future travellers I may remark that though the scattered treasures are reputed to be found more ofen by foreigners than by natives, no one has yet succeeded in the quest without the aid of magic. The tradition is interesting as suggesting the crude myth of the Argonautic episode. Another legend of the district, to the effect that the pictures in the monastery church of Kalami were despitefully used by pirates, suggests a very reasonalile cause for Cybele's anger against the original Jason. (Cf. also similar legend in Aphysia, Gedeon 63.) $_{3}$ )
    ${ }^{1}$ Another Jasonian temple was ze-dedicated as a church of Michael by Constantine, Joh. Anl.frag. 15. Cf. Mela s. 101.
    
     the Constantinople Neodbror, July 16, in the same year.

[^17]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^18]:    ${ }^{2}$ On this subject see M. Hamilton, Incubation, where ancient, mediaeval, and modern procedures are compared.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Esp. Hera Lygodesma of Samos.
    ${ }^{2}$ See below, p. 78 (Ulubad).
    ${ }^{3}$ 11. 6.
    411. 108 (1387).

    - Gedeon (p. 65) mentions a monastery in Aphysia with an idenlical title: bul this would surely have belonged 10 the diocese of Marmara.
    * A marble well-head still on the spot was dedicated in 1772. This suggests thal the Monasterics of the Kapu Dagh, whose min is always attributed to the Crusaders or the Pope, really flourished, like those of Marmara, till the second half of the eighteenth century, and were then eaten up by their co-religionists of Athos and clsewhere.
    " Locally derived from the " milkstone," which is the ateraction of this monastery. The name occurs also in the environs of Constantinople: see Mordimann's note on the Venetian map, reprinted at Pera, 1889.
    - From ber festival, the 1 gth August. She is also called II. KXyopois according 10 Ath. Miteh. 1X. 27, 3a. Local tradition has it that a great golden rood was carried off from the church (by the Crusaders ?) and taken to Constantinople.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gedeon (p. 35) mentions it as Mavala тêv Aeßevilouy, quoting from a docur ment "Leventi" is an equivalent of "Pallicari" in the folk songs, and was the name given to the (langely Greek) sailors of the Turkish fleet (ef. Touraefort, p. 478 ; Cantemir, 1r. Tindel, p. 403, notc).
    ${ }^{2}$ J.H.S. xxti. 877.
    1 Sestini 54. Lechevalier 1. 26. Prokesch 234.

    - They are said by Malkotzes to speak a Bulgarian dialect. There are several Cappadocian Greek families in the lower village.
    ${ }^{3}$ p. 234. Sald by De Rustafjaell to be 150 years old (J.H.S. xini. 176): Malkotzes says 100.
    *Kiepert's map gives only the Turkish names of these villages: his Sheitonly= Gk Katatopo, Kodja Burgas = Langada, Sham Burgas = Dinvathy, Kestel $=$ Kestelli. Cuinet (iv. 280) says that antiquities are often found at the latter.
    ${ }^{7}$ 1. 226. ${ }^{2}$ See Sailing Directions, 1867, $\mathrm{I}_{4}$.
    - The name suggests Xapdx.oy. De Rustafjaell boldly calls it Heraciea U.H.S. xxif. 175), Kiepert Karakioi.
    ${ }^{20}$ Cf. Michaud n. 31. Texier II. ras.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on the resemblance of the names to 'Hpdxietov and Xávia.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ The extent of my debt to M. Gedeon's monograph will be easily perceived: future travellers in Marmara will probably reap a rich harvest of inscriptions which they will owe to their precursor's zeal in Impressing on the islanders the ralue of such monuments.
    ${ }^{2}$ That is Greek-speaking. There is possibly an admixture of Albanian hlood; see below, P. 34. Zachariades mentions also a Jewish colony in the capital.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lethaby and Swainson, S. Sophia, p. 237. Caryophilus, de Ant. Morms. p. 18.
    ${ }^{4}$ Str. sS9. B Vitr. 11. 8. Cf. x. \%.

    - Phot. p. 329, Bekk.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zos. II. 30 ; Theoph. Cont. I4t, 145, 146, 147; Euagrius, /Fist. Ecrles. III. 28. Cf. Migne, Pat. Gr. xxxv. 281; Paul. Silent. 576, 606, 664. Cf. Lethaby and Swainson, S. Sophia, p. 237; Strzygowsky, Wasserbehabler, p. 253, who traces the marble by masons' marles to Ravenna. The quarries are mentioned in the second of the Letters of Brutus (see p. 183 n.) and in the Cod. $7^{7}$ heodos. XI. 28.
    ${ }^{3}$ Sandys 27; Thos. Smith (Notilia Cp.) p. 118; Hobhouse 8ig. Cf. Hunt p. 87. The quarrics were in Turkish times worked by comsf, the quarrymen receiving in return certain privileges (Dallaway 368, La Moltraye 472. Cf. Sandys 27).
    ${ }^{2}$ Codinus, IIepl tay td申uy passim; Const. Porph. de Cacrim. 1. 6.43 ff. Procon. nesian marble is mentioned at the material of sarcophagi in the funerary inscriptions, C.I.G. $3^{268,} 3282,3386$; Dumont, Inscrr. do la Thrace, jo.
    ${ }^{4}$ Etyn. Mag. s.v. IIposkony
    ${ }^{2}$ Sch. Ap. Rh. 11.279.

    - Plin. v. \&0, but Scylax (94) distinguishes them ns two islands.
    ${ }^{7}$ Plin. Neuris. ${ }^{8}$ Theoph. Cont. 437 B.
    
    
    
    
     einburgoy.
    ${ }^{10}$ Schol. an Apoll. Rhod.; Etym. Mag.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ S. Timothers is said to have come to the islands under Justinian and to have converted the inhabitants from their barbarous manner of life-they lived by plunder from wreeks and from boats which put in during stormy weather. The Life of Timotheus is commented on by Gedeon, who pronounces it most untrustworihy and even devoid of truth in local colour. The cell of S. Timotheus is still shewn (Gedeon, Pp. 120-123).
    ${ }^{2}$ c. 834. Migne, P.G. cxvi. 669-72. ${ }^{2}$ Migne, P.G. c. 1178.

    * May 19 in .Acta SS. (under Maximian).
    ${ }^{3}$ Ignatius (879) is the first arehbishup in Gedeon's list.
    - Gedeon, p. 14.
    ${ }^{7}$ Printed in the preface of Andrca Marmora's Historia di Corfiu, 1672 (Gk and Lat.) and Dapper, p. 49: (French). It is discredited by Hopf. (' Veneto-Byzantinische Amalecten" in Sifsher. k. K. Akad. sus Wien, 1860, xxxir. p. 508). Cf. Gedeon, p. 152. Finlay's copy of the Historia di Corfa has the following ms. note:- "This is a forgery: the title proves it. It may lave been framed on some document of Manuel of Epirus, Emperor of Thessalonica 1230-1232. The indiction would really be XII. 16."
    - Villehardouin, g\% 245 .
    ${ }^{9}$ Lequien 111.945 (Marmorensis); cf. the 1 3th c. Provincial In Mas Latrie, Trisor. A rgth century Latin Mission to Marmara is mentioned by Carayon (ed. Legrand, p. 57).
    ${ }^{10}$ L. de Gongora, Reat Grandesa de Ia Ropublica di Genova (Madrid and Genova, 1665-7), Tit. vifi. No. 22 (May 26, 1315).
    ${ }^{11}$ Gedeon, p. 219: the revenues of Marmara were sold for 5 purses (C350), those of Aphisia and Kutali for 400-600 dollars (Pococke).

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pococke's map marks a seventh, Gamialo, between Aphtone and Palatia, and Gedeon (Pp. 257, 219), a village Terparywoy, which, he says, is mentioned In Turkish records down 101760 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Pl. 43, where it is called the Palace of Justinian. Schweigger ( 1576 , ia Feyerabend's Neyssbuch 11. 92) has the following curious note:-"In Proeconniso ist vor Zeiten ein schön Amphitheatrum, Schauhauss oder Spiclhauss von lauter Marmor gewesen eines aus der sieben Wunderwercken der Welt"-presumably a confusion with Cyzicus.
    ${ }^{3}$ Gedeon, p. 155. Cf. Texier 11. 267 and the Admiralty chart.
    4. 288. Cf. 286 and Gecieon $128 . \quad$ ed. Noroff, pp. 5,6.
    ${ }^{6}$ p. $103 . \quad{ }^{7}$ p. 367.

    - Zachariades (409) refers the curse 10 S . Timotheus withoul giving the cause: the effect, he says, was an earthquake, since which lime the village has never grown beyond 39 houses: when a new one is buill an old one falls down.
    " p. 209, but cp. p. 159, where he says this is the traditional date, but that he found Albanian aames on pictures at S . Nicholas, dating from the r th century.
    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{p} .115$.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ He was exiler to Proconnesus, founded a monastery of S. Anna, and lived in 2 cave called Kıroỗa: see Vita S. Stophani juntioris (Migne, Patr. Grcc. C. p. 1178). The effigy of S. Stephen appears on a Byzantine bishop's seal (Schumberger, Sigillograpicie 199, P. 732).
    ${ }^{3}$ Zachariades ( p .105 ) describes it as $\lambda$ Aay tavjaroupybs, adding that many pilgrims
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Le Brayn 67.
    $+94$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ap. Steph. Byz., s.v. Blopixos.
    
    7 Theoph. 1. 774. Sons of Constantine VI., 812 A.D. Acta SS. and Synaxaria, June s (Hilarion of Dalmata, e. $3_{34}$; for his dylaopa, ef. Gedeon, p. 73) ; Apr. s (Macarius of Pelecete, e. B29) ; Apr. 17 (John of Kalhara, c. 713); Dec. 26 (Theodore Graptos; cf. Migne, Patr. Gr. cxvs. 669-672).
    
    
    
    
    

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kourd ${ }_{c}=$ sppon.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Niceph. Greg. Lxxrvile. ; Cantac. I. 25t, 3 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gedeon, p. 83. - 16. p. 79-
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{p} .67 . \quad$ 11. ch. 22.
    ${ }^{7}$ v. 40 . Cf. Sleph. Byz. s.v. Besbicus.

    - Cf. Steph. Byz s.v.
    ${ }^{\text {to }}$ Of these only S. Andreas is cultivaled (Malkotzes, p. 255) and none are in-
    
    
    ${ }^{11}$ p. 317.
    ${ }^{22}$ Gedeon 54 etc.
    ${ }^{13}$ Dapper 49 I.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a more detailed acoount of the physical geography of the district reference may be made 10 Tchihatcheff's work on the nalural history of Asia Minor. Of earlier travellers, Wheler, Covel, Pococke and others notice botany, and Texier and Hamilton geology. Of Dr Alfred Philippson's geological toar only a Vorläzfiger Bericht has as yet appeared (Sils. Berl. Acad. 1902, 68 f.).
    ${ }^{2}$ Ap. Strab. 602.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ /7. x11. 19.
    ${ }^{3}$ Theog. 342. The name of Granicus was, however, inconsistently derived from an Aeolian settler (Str. 382).
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Ap. Str. 602 : Demetrius is uncertain, putting fonward also the possibility of the identification Rhesus= Rhoeites, an unknown river.

    - Kiepert identifies the Khodja Bashi with the Homeric Caresus. Cf. however Str. 603, where Caresus is said to flow into Aesepus. The whole question is a barren one, since Demetrius' uncertainty shews that the names were no longer in use in classical times and consequently that our own guesses are as good or as bad as his. Demetrias' 'hodius, for instance, which rose 60 stades from the Fair Pine and fell into the Aeneus (l) war certainly not the Rhodius of the Ahydene coins, bet possibly the Galle Chai (Tx. Gulle, from gul "rose," literally='Pbser) of the Granicus system: the narne 'Posios occurs in an inscription of Bighashehr (v. 94).

    6 Modern Gunen Chaj, the upper waters At Kayassi Su (Horse-rock-water), Kexdagh-Su (Goose-mountain-water), Tchihat. 3. 210.
    ${ }^{1}$ Anshert, Tageno, Anou. Canisii.
    So Tomaschek. Lassara, the name given by early map-makers to Aesepus

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ p. 58.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mem. Sarte K7inas., p. 56.
     Tî abry ij dsî. Pliny mentions Heptaporos (v. 23) but nol Tarsios.
    ${ }^{4}$ p. 603. $\quad$ Fem. plur. from $\mu$ aüpos $=$ black.

    - xiv. s.

    7 The identification in the Notific of Earenus with an unknown Monolyens (see Ramsay, Geog. 437) is rightly explained by Tomaschek, p. 18, as a misapprehension of this same passage in Anna Comnena.
    ${ }^{3}$ See p. 108 below, but the identification is by no means certain.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cuiner, p. 69.
    2 The name nay be of Persian origin. A bird called by the Persians Rbyndacot is mentioned in Photius, p. 44, Bekker.
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Ortelius, s.v. Rhyodacus, and authorities there quoted.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ap. Strath. 550. Cf. Pliny's Horisius.
    ${ }^{2}$ The name is undoubtedly of Thracian origin. Cf. Hdt. iv. 92, etc.
    ${ }^{3}$ See below on the Mysian lakes. Tomaschek idenilies it with Soloeis (1)utarch, Themisf. 36), of which, be suggests, Pliny's Gelbes is a corruption.

    + Petermann's S/illh. 1892، 22q.
    ${ }^{5}$ IIndji Khalfa II. 482. Evliya Effendi trans. Von Hammer 11. 25. The former confuses it with the Edrenos Chai. Nilufer is said to be Turkish for Nymphaea Alha. *Ase Mineure 11. 163. ' 1. 91. 375.
    
    
    
    

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ v. 40 (142) [Rhyndacus] oritur in stagna Artynia juxta Miletopolim.
    ${ }^{2}$ Vita Lsuculli 9 .
    ${ }^{2}$ It is to day the practice of the Cossack fishermen of Lake Manyas to cart their boats overland to the sea at Panderma on Irolicys built for the purpose, rather than to navigate the Kara-deré to the Macestus, wben the Black Sea fishing season comanences.
    
    
    *Stephanus' statement that Artynia=Aphnitis is perhaps based on (f) Pliny's mention of Artynia as jusfa Mifetopolim, and (2) vagueness as to the position of Miletrpolis which has in our own day been sought in the Manyas plain. Stephanus, professedly a compiler, is very vague as 10 relative positions of places in the Cyzione.
    'Suidas' statement, s.v. 'Amolluwlats $\lambda / \mu \mathrm{m}$, that the lake was named after Apollonis is ubviously due to confusion with the lown of that name near Pergamum.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Str. 588. Kiepert's Formac Orbis 1 x . identifies Adrasteia with Lobrinion.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plut. Luczll. g. ${ }^{\text {g De Bell. Mith. } 72 .}$

    - The Greeks in Sestini's time called it Passa-li. It remains a mudirate.
    ${ }^{3}$ A second etymology derives it from an Emir Aidin, one of whose comrades built the village from the ruins of Cyzicus, Cuinet 1v. 294.
    ${ }^{6}$ Brataiti, 1.5 s.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Acta SS. May 19, ch. iii. For the name ef. Pecetum, a vicus of Philippopolis (Dumont 1579 ), and IIuxdryr near Parium, Str. 588.
    ${ }^{3}$ Par. 26. The Fourd r $\hat{y}$ s Kughoou are shewnl by Acrop. xxiii, to be the hills of the Kspu Dagh, since we know the position of Kepapusas (see p. 19).

    - The Visa Eubiati in Synax. Cp. (Dec. 18) mentions at Poketos 方 $6 \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a \eta \eta y$
    
    ${ }^{4}$ p. ${ }^{5} 3^{8}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ My old fellow-traveller, Mr Henderson, tells me he found an old Turkish cemetery with Byzantine and other remains "on a plategu near the top" of the Adrasteia.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Alh. Mithth. X. 200 (29).
     mentions it.
    ${ }^{3}$ Inscr. 1v. 23. ${ }^{3}$ Plut. Lucull. 9.
    ${ }^{6}$ s.v. Máropuos. Munro idenufies it with the eastern port, on the strength of the modern name. An island Panormus (?Monastir in Pandermn Bay) is mentioned by Theophan. 1. 773.

    - Panderma, like all the places in the vicinity of Cyzicus, is full of old marbles, but these are brought from places so far distant as Manyas and are no warrant for a Greek setclement.
    ' Ducange 170. Cf. the name 「eúpytos Mavopuquds in Act. Patr. Consf 11. 36 (1381).

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cuinet's figures are $7,000 \mathrm{M} ., 1515$ G., 1516 A., Fitzner's practically identical. The former's account of modern condilions is especially interesting.
    ${ }^{3}$ p. 183. It is worthy of remark that Panderma is the only place in the district where Armenians speak their native tongue, but local tradition holds the Armenians of Panderma for descendants of gypsies who had adopted the Armenian religion and language.
    ${ }^{8}$ Acrop. Villeh., $816 \pm$ "li Ifemin de la terre dont il y en avoit moult commincierent à tourner de vers lui qui haioent moult les Grex." Cf. Ansbert $3^{6}$ (Armenians in Threce).

    4Steph. Ryz. s.v. IIdaxy. Plagaca et Scydace, P. Mela 1. 31, followed by Plin. V. 40.
    ${ }^{3}$ I. 57.

[^38]:    ${ }^{2}$ Arg. inl. 36, Spumosumque legunt fracta Scylaceion unda.
    ${ }^{2}$ Head, Mist. Num. 465 . Cl. N.C. vi. 188, B.M. Cat. (Mysia) : the usual types are arro. head of Mêter Placiane, rev. lion tearing prey.
    ${ }^{6}$ Inscr. 1. 8 , 9.
    "Cf. Rev. Arch. N. S. xxxvil. 202, where Carabella mentions remains of a temple of Neptune beneath ruins of $n$ monastery, with numerous archilectural fragments.
    "This must surely be what Mela means hy the Mysian Olympus "imminens a tergo." His mistake is copied by Pling.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ G. Pachy. 111. $5=11.303$ B. Conc. Nic. 11. thoósever Mordypov.
    The name is also found west of Cyzicus ( E ryph Acrop. xxviii.) and in Lesbos (Efyptay, Steph. Byz.). It seems to be connected with atyphts (?) a species of wild boar (Etym. Mag. s.v. बrypal).
    *Theophanes, ed. de Boor, vol. 11.; another life is given in M. J. Gedeon's Bus. 'Eoprokóvov. Cf. also Const. Porph. 35 B., Zonaras 1i1. 325.
    
     Mar. 12.
    s G. Pachy. 11. 203 B.
    EAnte fapces Rhyndaci (Plis. V. 40).

[^40]:    ${ }^{1} 1.1165 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Frag. 42.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aegaeon was also said to have come from Pelasgian Euboea. Sch. Ap, Rh. I. 1165.
    ${ }^{4}$ Steph. Byz., Sch. Ap. Rh. It is curioas to find the island still associated with
     in his account of Triglia.
    \$Vita Theophanis, ef. Nicetas 475, Buondelmonti, Clavijo.

    - Uzrano has Calamento, the Portolani Calolimene, Calamineo, etc. The Sailing dirctions for Marmara mention the name Papa ("the Pope's Island " In Covel) evidently from the monks.
    ${ }^{7}$ Von Hammer 1. 180.
    ${ }^{5}$ This village, called Bavîrat ('Appravirat) by Evangelides, has disappeared.

[^41]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Both bay (Niceph. Greg. I11. 559) and headland (Const. Porph. 25) were so called.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tomaschek, p. II.

    + Buchon, § 249, ch. xxx. 11. Cf. J. Delaville le Roulx, La France en Orient au XIV. sizcle, p. 370. The distance from the sea is an over-statemen, nuless we suppose that Yali-chiflik is meant. According to some local informants it was originally an imperial estate settled by Greeks deported after Orloff's expedilion: the last is very questionable.
    - Lequien 629. To his lis1 must be added a bishop John, whose seal, with device of S. Thomas, is figured in Schlumberger's Sigillographic 732.
    - s.v. Bpalioy (quoted below; p. 56).
    ${ }^{9}$ 1. 99. Cf. Plin. v. 40 ( 143 ). Ptol. v. 14 ?

[^42]:     ки久íts garparela. Dion. Hal. 1. 47. 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hdt. \%. 6. Paus. iv. 21. Cf. Anth. Pal. vit. 709.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare also Apollod. Bid. 2. 5. 9. j where Lycus, son of Dascylus, king of Mysia, is attacked by the Bebryecs. Another vague mythological reference which gives tu no help is Nic. Damasc. frag. 63 where Miletus flees from Sadyattes to Dascylium and thence to Proconnesus.
    
    
    
     " Auslard $1855, \mathrm{p} .556$, "In Yali Tchiflik," says Mordimann, "und in Iskele (Eskil) sah ich weit und breit kein Baum ausgenommen die gekappten zwerghaften Maulbeerbäume ": there is no river and no woods.

    - Vifa Lucullig. Cf. also Hecatacus (ap. Str. 551) 'Od ovoचs pon did Muydovivs
     of a hunter in Persian costume found in the Karadere valley, see J. K.S. Xxvi., pl. Vi. Stephanus, s.v. Aakarla (quated above), again cornects Dascylium, Ascania, and Kdc.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tomaschek, P. 13, says "Gewiss bestand schon in antiker Zeil an dieser Stelle eine nach der Seebarbe benannte Station, mit einem Heiligthum der Hekate." The name may be derived, is he suggests, from roi $\gamma \lambda \eta$, a mullet: the fish was; according to Athenaeus (V11. 125, cf. Anth. Pal. V1. 105), sacred 10 Hekate, but this is hardly sufficient evidence for a temple of hers in the district. The place is first mentioned in Cantac. 1. 230, 275 and in the Portolasi.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimated at 1,000 houses, of which only 25 are Turkish. The Turks of Triglia and Syki are bilingual.
    *The wine of Triglia is mentioned in the accounts of the Geaoese at Pers ( ${ }^{3} 390$ ), Afti Sac. Ligure xist. 153, alum and wine as exports of the place by Pegolotl.

    - Much of my information on the monasterics of Triglia is derived from Evangelides' sccount in Ewripp XII. 1889. I have myself visited the churches of Pantobasilissa, S. Stephen, the Holy Faihers and Pelecete at Triglia and S. Michael at Syke.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ A. H. I03g. This is the date read by Evangelides on the minder (Bfor 'Ayfar;; 85: in $\sum$ ardp it is given erroncously as $16_{13}$ ).

    In the soffit of the southern are remains of mosaic.
     Sathas, Mro. Brph. 111. $601(16 / 4)$, v. $263=$ Mich. Pselli ep. 29, cf. 77. The history of the monastery is discussed by. Herges.

    - Acta SS. May \& Herges proposes 780 as a likely date.for the foundation. :

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Acta SS. Apr. 3. Cf. Theod. Studit. 1317 (MIgne).

    - Covel.
    ${ }^{3}$ Not the Baptist as Kleonymos; ef. Sathas, Mer. Biph. III. 594.
    - Theodori Shad. Ep. 11. 1 $\ddagger$ 6. Acfa SS. and Busayturby 'Eoprodorvor, Synaxaria etc. Mar. 17 (Theosterictus), Mar. 38 (Hilarion), Apr. I (Macarius), Anal. Boll. xvi. (1897), 140 sqq. (Acta S. Macari).
    - Migne, Patr. Gr. c. 1165 (Vika S. Stephanijunioris).
    - Macarius was hanished to Aphysia, where a pancgrris is celebrated in his honour, Apr. 1.
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. Sathas, Mer. Btph. 111. 594 (1658).

[^47]:    ${ }^{2}$ Mentioned by Cedr. 11. 310 B. Cf. Bujavrapo ${ }^{\circ}$ Eopzodoycor Jan. 13. Td
     трофтrô 'HiNou rijs poris rô BdOcws 'Pbesos. These probably refer to a chapel of S. Elias or possibly to the daughter monastery mentioned belou.
    ${ }^{2}$ July f. Bagieion roo boion roo oustyoautyou ring sarin rod Babicus 'Púaras (Buy. 'Eoprah.).
    ${ }^{3}$ Sept. 7 in the Symaxarion Cons'folitanams ( $\mu$ n'f y y rồ bolou IItrpou).
    ${ }^{4}$ Sept. 27 (Acta SS., Biy.' Eopro八. ete.).
    ${ }^{3}$ Sept. 27. The order is given from the Symaxarion of Sirmond quoted in Anal.
    
    
    ' Orowrin in Buy. 'Eoproh. Sepl. 27. Some church of S. Elias had however existed a century before (ef. Acta S. Macarii in Aral. Boll. xvi. 152).
    
    ${ }^{8}$ The correct name is Evki, but the word has an obscene significance in Turkish and Eu m is the form in use.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Is is said to be based on an older inscription now lost.
    ${ }^{2}$ The local tradition as to the foundation of the monastery is that some ehildren of Constantine, then at Brusa, lost their way and were set right by monks at Syke : Constantine built the monastery out of gratitude.

    * MacFarlane 11. 87 gives the following interesting account of this cburch:

    The church, built by a Greek emperor towards the end of the eigbth century, is a solid, massive, stone edifiee. It is a place of pilgrimage and general resort; it is

[^49]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Solöcis? (cf. Menecrates ap. Plut. Thestus, 26). So Tomaschek 11.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Acta SS. May 9.

    - The stager given are: Nicaea, Apamea, Caesnrea, Apollonia, Rbyndaca.
    ${ }^{3}$ Acta SS. Apr. 3. Another Life published by Evangelides has the following:
    
    
    
    - The coins run from Aagustus to Valerian, and were formerly altributed to
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Imhoof, Gr. M. p. 73 [597] 115 (Augustus).
    ${ }^{3}$ Imhoof, M. Gr. 439, there attributed to Germanicia Comm. which view is corrected in Gr. M. p. 73 \{597].
    ${ }^{9}$ B. M. 7 (Valerianus). B. M. 2 (Sept. Severus).
    - This village was visited by Hamiton, by Munro, and by myself. There is a castle and inscriptions, amongst them one of a bishop John (cf. the list of Caesarean bishops). The village is in a healthy position aad overlooks an extensive plain.
     oanaraiwy ưóruv: : a port at Triglim must needs be a built port.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Anh, de Num, 1882, :06. C. C. I.L. 111. 334 (Mudania).

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Lebas-Reinach, Jitr. pls. 48 , 49 for illustrations of the walls.
    ${ }^{2}$ Jnscr. V1. 22 (Le Bas, Inscr. 1068) Aútorpaitup Ka]zoap Tpaitards "Åpejards AD [rov] A measured drawing of one block is shewn in Lebas-Reinach's Voyage Archiologigue.

[^53]:    + Hamition 11. 89 mentions substructions of terraces or of a cella of a lemple west of the bridge.
    ${ }^{2}$ Reinach, Voy. Arth p. 89 "Dans la ville actuelle plusieurs preuves subsistens de l'existence d'un temple d'Apollon. Ainsi, dans la tour d'un maison Grecque...on voit un fragment de sculplure qui répresente la tête d'Apollon radiée au-dessus d'un efrapxay....Tout pres de lik on voit enoore le conduit souterrain auquel fait allusion l'inscription rapportéc par Sestini[Inser. vi. 23], et près de là par conséquent doit se trouver la place dont cette même lnscription fait mention, place qui très-probablement Etait situke en avant du temple."
    *Reinach, p. 39 "On voit encore...l'emplacement d'en theitre indiqué par quelques gradins et par is disposition semi-circulaire du sol: un stade ciont il reste une grande partie de l'hémicycle oriental, plusicurs assises encore en place qui doivent avoir appartenu à l'enceinte primitive, etc."
     1. 720 B. Local tradition holds that S. George was entirely occupied by houses before the Turkish wars.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ So IIamilton. Also Vasili Chori according to Lebas: I did nol hear this name.
    ${ }^{2}$ Voyger Archéologigue, Architecture, ph. 11.
    ${ }^{3}$ Radel's suggestion that Apollonia was a Pergamene foundation is disproved by the earliest coins and rests at best on the mistake of Suidas, s.v. 'Anolluwlas.

    * Plup. Lucull. if. It is called in the authors Apollonia-trl'Yus\&
     coins.

[^55]:     - Mitwãa ка入еîtat.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sourvenir, p. SG.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 99.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Munro, p. 155.
    
     pnotठtur. Georgios Limniotes (Buక̧. Boprohbyton Aug. 24), a monk of Olympus, wax probably from Apolloniatis, though Ramsay claims him for Pisidia (B.S.f. ix. 25z).
    
    
    

[^56]:    1 Inser．V1． 7.
    2 Lett．Viri．＂Melet，＂wrongly stated to be on the Lake Majas（Manyas）．Muno now pleces Miletupolis certainly at Melde．J．H．S．XXI．237．Cf．XV11． 272.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cuinet gives the population as 2685 M．， $114 \$$ G．， $887 \mathrm{~A} ., 80 \mathrm{~J}$ ．

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Seaman's Orchar, p. 11t, irz. "Lala Shahin tutor of Orchan...built in Kermasty a bridge and a dervishes' convent." (Cf. Bratutti 81, Leunclavius, p. 18, and Lib. Sirg. \& 30, Chalcon. ( $\mathbf{a}$ arms) 36 , and Von Hammer 1. Ir3.)
    ${ }^{2}$ See notes on Hiera Germe. Some authors call the piace Kirmazli (Red-place), a Turkish perversion similar to Sivasli ( $=$ Sebaste) in formation.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ He is said to have been a grandson of Minos by Sch. Ap. Rh. 1. 18s, and was generally thought to be of Cretan origin. Another example of the mythie hero god with a Lydian counterpart is to be found in Attis and Atys (Golden Bough :11. 135). Considering the very various spelling of the name we may perhaps conneet it with the name of the river worshipped at Smyran, and possibly with Meles, king of Sardis (Hdt. 1.84). Melas, the name of Miletus' father, is also a common river name; the connection is euriously paralleled by the river name Lycus in Pontus: Lycus was said to be a son of Dascylus (the son of the Lydian Tantalus) and a nymph, daughter of the river Lycus (Sch. Ap. Rh.11. 752; cf. 724: the pedigree of Rhesus is similar : ef. the legend of Aeness and Nunicius). The type is that of an armoured warrior with spear and round concave shield stepping from a prow; it occhrs on coins of several other A siat ic towns.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ap. Str. 6i1. Orosius mentions the town in connection with the Mithradatic war, vi. 2, 10.
    ${ }^{5}$ Inscr. 111. 51. ${ }^{\text {4 }} 7$ Feb., p. 37.
    ${ }^{3}$ No. X. Cf. also unpuhlished Notitia quoted in Kamsay's Gcography 160 . The conjunction occurs as late as 1315 (Ath. Patr. Const. \&. 3).

    - P. Gyllins (de Top. Const. 1.) mentions "Miletopolis juxta Rhyndacum quamt squidem vidi funditus eversam, lacui Apolloniati propinguam, adhuc nomen retinentem."

[^59]:    
     (passink), Aapaidon (G. Pachy. Andron. Pal. Iv. 236), Aurddoo (Nosit. X1.). It may perhaps be connected with the oyster trade, for which shellish Cyzicus at least vas famous. (Plin. xxxil. ar; cf. Priaf. 76 ostreosa.) Cf. Lopadoussa in Libya. Sir. 834 .

    - Schlumberger, Sigillograplicic Byzantine, p. 24б, Aovaałou.

    3 'Apraîos is said to be Persian for jipes, cf. Hdt. vir. 61, Hesych. s. v., and Steph. Byz. s.v. 'Aprala. A recent tromaille of Persian sigli at Kermasti suggests however that the Persian post was on the upper Rhyndacus.

    - Aeta SS. 19 May. ${ }^{5}$ Zosimus 1.35 .
    
    ${ }^{7}$ Cinn. 11. 5 ( 38 B.), Nic. Chon. 24 B. Cinnamus says that John Comnenes restored
    

[^60]:    ${ }^{2}$ The name Ulu-abad means great city, a popular elymology of the ancient Lopadium. Aeça\&oxwiproy in the inscription below is an altempt to derive the word from the popular Greek $\lambda_{r t}$ Bd $\delta s o r=$ meadow.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 25\%.
    ${ }^{3}$ A second picture, said to be of inferior antiquity, bears the date 1533, but has been much repainted.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ Itin. PI. 44.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prokesch 102, Spon 1. 289, Daliaway 157, Egmont 189. Morstier's sketch is, I think, untrustworthy.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 194.
    ${ }^{3}$ The fourth, counting westward, from the south-east corner.
    4 256-7 "Lupata ist eine alte Stadt, die Mauren theils niedergeworffen, theils noch gantz: hat noch bey 5 Thor daran wie auch an Christenzeichen geschen werden. Am ersten Thor dabey ein starckes Wasser durch eine steinerne Briicken voruber ficisst: bat es ein anders verworfenes Thor, ober welchem Uberzwerch ein Marmel.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Colled (1) Massiz Koban by Sestini 85, (2) Kiz Khan Ly Hamilton 11. 93, (3) Kirsiz Khan by Perrot and Guillaume $1 ; 6{ }^{\text {"t through }}$ its being made a receptacle for Rogues," Covel, (4) Issiz Khan by Munro, p. 5 I.
    ${ }^{2}$ 111. 189.
    ${ }^{3}$ p. 257 "Von Sultan Murat dem II. erbauet wie ober dem Thor auf Turkisch eingebauen stehet."

[^64]:    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Le Bat, Reto. Phil. 1. 39. ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Von Egmont 189.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mahommedan dependents of Ghalib Pasha: the remnant of the persecuted Christian imnigrants of 18,6 passed on to the Brasa district.

    - Cuinet assesses the population at $600 \mathrm{M} ., 678 \mathrm{I}$ G., 400 A., but the Mahommelan element has probably increased.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ausland 1858 , 556 ff; cf. also MacFarlane.
    - Von Hammer 1. 44 2, Laon. Chalc. 42 B . Karaja Pasha seems to be confused locally with Karaji Achmet, a sheikh of the reign of Orkhan, buried near Akhissar (Seaman's Orchan, p. s15; cf. Ramsay in IX. Congr. of Orisnealists 11. 382).

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mordmann in Austand 1855 , p. 556, who curiously calts the huilding "eine prächtige Griechische Kirche welche ${ }^{4}+57^{7}$ in eine Moschee verändelt wurde," possibly on the authority of the inscription, but the building is throughout Turkish, though old blocks were used.
    ${ }^{2}$ Illusirated in Sher. Berl. Ak. 1898, 553, 553.
    ${ }^{3}$ Local Iradition attributes this origin to the Tumbekli, and Mordtmann affirms the same of the Imaret (Auslanut, 1855; 556).

[^66]:    1 Mordtmann, Auslard, 1834, p. 736; cf. Walpole 11. 143 where the date is given as the 2nd Safir. Laborde, p. ig.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cuinet's figures are $9875 \mathrm{M.} ,\mathrm{1266} \mathrm{G.} ,\mathrm{1941} \mathrm{A}$.

[^67]:     Avelas loze Mualay (Chale. 15 B.).
    ${ }^{5}$ So Chalcondyles.
    ${ }^{2}$ Schihab-ed-din, Ibn Batutah.

    - Cantac. I. 339. 「iat̂j=Iakdji, brosher of Demir Khan and prince of Mermere in Schihab-ed-din.
    ${ }^{5}$ Pp. 3.39. 353.
    A list of the princes of Karassi is given by Mas Latrie (Trisor, col. r795).
    ${ }^{7}$ Cuinet III. Goz.
    - Ibn Batuta, p. 73. Cf. Hadji Khalfa, p. 54.

    10 Von Hammer 1. 422; Chalcondyles 383 etc.
    ${ }^{11}$ p. 482. I $52 W_{1}$ in 1904 , several granite shafts and a capital of very elegant arabesque design on the site of the new mosque of Zagrnos, then building. Laborde

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ J. H.S. Xx1. 132.
    2 Consular report on tho Vilayst of Brusa 1897.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 165.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Situb. Acod. Berl. 1894, 901 "Zahlreiche Bauglioder aus Trachyl, Säulen, altarơormige Poslamente aus Trachyt and Marmor, alles von spater, roher Arbeit, auch Stucke cines byzantioischen Flachreliefs mit rohen Thierfiguren aus Marmor."
    ${ }^{2}$ Cedren. 1. 437 B. Scriph. Mist. Alug. Hadr. 20. Xiphil. Lxix. 10. Cedrenus' iv rois $\mu$ ureiros (ef. Thead. Stud. Vita il.) is explained by Tomaschek as equivalent to in metatis (i.e., within the confnes of the Royal Chase?). Mirara is used in the Mod. Gr. of Crete as equivalent to Mayopl (sheepfold) wilh the same root idea of 'enclosure' (G. Meyer, Nerger. Studien).
    ${ }^{3}$ Conc. Nic. n.
    4 Tab. Peut.; cf. Ramsay, Geog. ISS and 437.
    ${ }^{0}$ N.C. vi. 91.
    ${ }^{r}$ Lequien I. 769.

[^70]:    
    ${ }^{3}$ Bigaditch is a kase of Balukiser with a population of nearly 4000 almost entirely Turkish. Its chief industries are tanning, opium and cotton.
     $=\mathrm{p} .30 \mathrm{~B}$. (cf. Ephr. 7751). It is there mentioned as the boundary of the Latins. The identification was first made by Munro.
    ${ }^{2}$ Theoph. Contin. 419 B. Genes. 82. Acta SS., July $g=$ p. 247; cf. Enpor in Theod. Studitae Vita cul. Migne. L. Petit in Anal. Boll. xxv. 18 (nott) identifies Cyminas with Dikmendagh in Paphlagonia, referring to his Vie de Afichel Maleinos, p. 57, note 17, which I have not been able to consult, but (without rejecting Acropolita absolutely) it seems a difficult theory to substantiate.
    "Mansi xill. isf; cf. tb̂tos rov̂ Adxaov in Theod. Studitae Víra Cil. Migne, anpallum in Visa sfich. Maleind.

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ Str. 587, 588. Its situation between I'riapus and Parium (cf. Steph. Byz.) may be understood if we suppose the road to avoid the coast here.
    ${ }^{2}$ 1. it16 and Schol. See also Part 111 .
    ${ }^{3}$ Hom, /l. 11.828
    
    
     тte ठón Méporos Hepxwelov....
    

    - Another version (Steph. Byz. s.v.) derived the name from Adrasteia, daughler of Melisseus. Stephanus mentions a village Melissa in the Cyzicene terrilory.
    

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Str. 587,601 . Marquardt, p. 81, gives it Lydian origin on the strength of the name. Steph. Byzu mentions a $\Sigma_{1}$ t敌 $\eta$ in Lydin.
    2. "Bigha Sanjak" may be the explanation of the name Bersnngi", Becsangial given by the $16 \mathrm{th}-8$ th century cartographers to the country west of the Macestus.

    3 III. 954.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tomaschek (p. 14) "Uzzano spricht deutlich von einer cited di Spigua ama Meere, ebenso Pachymeres von der rapa0alaoola módıs Inpal neben einer zweiten mebr inländischen Stadt gleichen Namens" [i.e. the "Spigast" of Barbarossa's expedition].
    ${ }^{2}$ 1. 135 -
    ${ }^{2}$ Thue. vilr. 107. Str. 587. Steph. Byz. Hellespontine tribute lists.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Suidas, s.v. Mivos, Athen. X11. Gor.
    ${ }^{3}$ Str. 587. $\quad$ Sitab. Berl. Acad. 1898, 11. 551.
    7 For the god Priapus sce Preller-Robert 735. Cf. 323, note 2. Athen. 1. 34. Schol. Theocr. 1. 2 r. Arrian frag. 33. Str. 587. Sch. Ap. Kh. 1. 932. B.C.H. 1. 409 (relief from Gallipoli).

[^74]:    1 Str. 587. ${ }^{2}$ Str. $588 . \quad$ Inscre. 111. 10, 11.

    - Hierocles has Mrs $[\gamma \alpha c]$ (?) after Baris.
    - I.e. ENs II $\eta$ Yàs like Isnik, Ismid.

    6 Cf. the license to trade granted by Manuel Comnenus to the Genoese in 1178 (Sauli, Dcila colonis dei Genowesi If. 188 (I4)) and the concession of Michnel Palacologns to the Venetians (1265) which mentions Pegae (Sber. Bayr. Akad. Phil. Kist. Cl. 1850 , pp. 180, 203), and for the whole sabject Heyd, Gesch. des Levaniehandels im Miflelatier, and the documents in Tafel and Thomas.
    
    "A itular (7) Sire de Las Pigas (1261) is mentioned in the Chronique at Norle (p. 31 in Panthton Littiraire), and in the Familles d"Outremer (p. 545, Rey): a Latin bishop, P. Gasparo Gasparini di Spiga, was buried in S. Francisco at Galatu (de Burgo, p. 350).

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ If. II. 817 and Schol. ; Steph. Byz. 5 Il. 11. 827.
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Tzetz. Lyc. 315 . Sch. ad IV. IV. 101, 103 dyeodiotaroy lepdy.
    ${ }^{6}$ Str. $588 . \quad{ }^{7}$ Inser. 1. 16.
    ${ }^{8}$ 1v. 94. Cf. Joh. Ant. frag. 1g. Cedren. 119.
    *So Makris in Td Karıp入lfollowed by Gedeon in Al0ot кal Kepdرsia. Ramsay (Fist Geg. P. 180) confuses the Bafihexd Oeprad of Brusa with the Pythia: curiously Prusa is identified with Zeleia by Niger, ef. Ortelius s.v. Prusa "Zelliam olim appellatam tradit Marinus Niger'' (Grog. Comment. 1557, p. 417 Prusias quae et Zelia dicta est, but cf. Zelia Propontis, Pp. 423 and 427). Cf. also the note in Mercator's Proleny. The mistake may arise from a confusion with Zielas, father of the founder of Presian ad Hypium.

[^76]:    ${ }^{3}$ App. 1. 17. ${ }^{2}$ Athen. 289 日. Clem. Alex. Protr. 4. 54.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ap. St. Byz.
    2 Athen. 289 日. Clem. Alex. Protr. 4. 54.
    ( Inscr. vi. 6.
    
    ${ }^{58}$ Str. 587. ${ }^{7}$ ib. 603.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cuinet gives the figures at $\$ 690 \mathrm{M}$. and 680 G . The Greck community is said to number 140 families, and there are a few Armenians.

[^77]:    
    
     and of a village in Astypalaia, Inscrr. Mor. Aeg. III. 181.
    ${ }^{1}$ Haussoullier in Rev, de Philol. 1901, pp. 5 fr.; Wiegand in Alh. Afilth. xxix.
    ${ }^{2}$ Arch. Epig. Mitth vit. 170 etc. ; see list of inscriptions, p. 302.
     rohiv rion rohemioy maui: cf. Floris 1. 40 ut Graniens et Aesepus cruenti redderentur.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ramsay therefore places Baris on the site of Priapus, where the mediacval Pegae stood. He regards tbe reading of the mss. BAPIミПHПAPION as due to dittography, but possibly BAPIEПНГАIПAPION sboald be read, which would have the advantage of giving a town each to the plains of Aesepus and Granicus. Wesseling emends BAPIE[APIS]ПH ПAPION, but Arishe was near Abydos (Str. $635 . \quad V i / a$ S. Parthenii Feb. 7, p. 40).

[^79]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 603.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ausland, 8851,853 , with plan in Rev. Arch. 3854, 767-70.

[^80]:    
    

[^81]:    
     фабis.
    ${ }^{3}$ Str. 602, 603; ef. Plin. N.H. v. 30 intereidit Karene.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Argissa in Thessaly, later called Argoura (Str. 470, Steph. Byz. s.v.), but Kiepert places Argyria at Karaidin Maden.
    ${ }^{4}$ Berl. Siseb. 1894, p. 904. CIL. 111. 7084. Argesis in Tab. Peut. See also Hierocles and Notiliae.
    ${ }^{3}$ p. ${ }^{273}$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cl. the lines of projected roads in Cuinet's map of Bigha Sanjak.

[^82]:    ${ }^{2}$ Manto 50.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 603.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mordtmann (Ausland, 1831, p. 831) gives some interesting particulars of the primitive conditions of mining at Balia fity years ago.

    - Galen de Medic. Simpl. 1x. 127; cf. Ificrocles.
    ${ }^{3}$ A.E. Afith. xy111. 228 etc. $=$ Inscr. 111. $18 . \quad$ Inscy. Iv. 8, 9.
    ' Steph. Byz. s.v. 'Aytcyovela.
     $\pi$ तגapos.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mouraumbdr ( $\chi$ 仓̂pos ?) Aristides, Hierocles. Phemenio Tab. Peut., Pomenion
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin. N.H. v. 32, Poemaneni.

    * Stephanus mentions a mountain Poemenium and a tribe Poemenii in Macedonin.
    - Zeisschr. fïr Num. ili. 123.
    ${ }^{3}$ Radet, however (p. 10), with Raoul Rochette (IV. 214 ) considers it a Hellenistie Macedonian eolony, relying on the juxtaposition of Poemaneni Macedoncs in Pliny.
    ${ }^{3}$ I. 303 (Dindor). Imperial eoins bear also the types of Telesphorns, Eros, and Zeus.

    7 The Zeus of Hadrianuberae seems to have andergone a similar development.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ausland, 1835,587 . Dorigny took it for a Byzantine church ; it is an ill-built struclure about 15.00 m . long and consisting of four domes arranged as a headless cross; of a second mosque on the isthmus only the minaret remains.
    ${ }^{1}$ p. 160.

    - It also hlocked the crossing of the hills to the plain of Balukiser, a tempting route for the Turkish raiders, if not for a regular army.

[^85]:    1 xiv. 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tomaschek, p. 94, conjectures Tor8oypauxia, comparing Theoph. 385 「orôorpaixor and Const. Porph. de Thens. Obsry., who connects the Ppaikes with the Granicus-100 far west for the present operations.
    ${ }^{3}$ Anda Comnena xv. 1 . Ch. vii.
    ${ }^{B}$ The Zinofis xpankin (Sathas Mer. Bußג. vir.), though following Acropolita closely, calls the place eonsistently Oialeyriayd.
    "Ch. xvi.; cf. фpoipros in eh. xivi. ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Acrop. vii.
    "The "Doulocai" identified by Mannert (vi. iii. 543) with Poemanenum; Mnnoofound Byzantine remains there, and late detail is built into the mosque below the castle.

[^86]:    ${ }^{2}$ Muntaner. 203.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pose caplame urbem 8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Andr. Pal. v. $21=11$. p. +17 .

    - See above, Fig. 12.

[^87]:    1 We need not, perhaps, insist on the rood having actually passed through it, only near enough for the village to have given its name to the stage. Such was evidently the case with the coast rand which left Parium on the left hand (veterem Troiam linquentes a laeva. Anor, Canis. $\mathbf{j a}^{17}$ ), and must sarely have passed south of Delikli Bair, never less than three miles from Cyzicus: nor can the Cius-Pergamon road have entered Apollonia ad Rhyndacum. Yet all these are stages in the road-book.
    ${ }^{2}$ Inscr. v. 58.
    ${ }^{3} 1.19$.

    - I have, however, found isolated stones at Panderma said to have come from Eski Manyis.
    ${ }^{3}$ Inscr. 1. to. Cf. also I11. 28. Rev. Arch. 34. 102 (4). Perhaps a local centre of the Commune Aslac in republican times, when Cyzicus, the natural eentre, was still a free city. The Asiatic games called Soteria and Muciea might appropriately

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Asia Minor, 1. 37.
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Hadji Bunar on Kiepert's map.
    ${ }^{4}$ Inscr. 1v. 78.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Called Kushu Panair from a root meaning to run, commemorating the horseraces which used 10 be held in connection with the fair, not (as Cuinet) from gush $=$ bird.

[^90]:    ${ }^{2}$ The modern post-roads are (i) Panderma-Balukiser; (2) (a) Panderma and (b) Erdek, Aidinjik, Mihallitch, Brusa, with a branch from opposite Aboulliond to Triglin and Mudapia; (3) Mihallitch, Kermasti Susurlu (the last section nearly finished); (4) Karabogha-Righashehr; (5) (in construction) Balukiser-Soma.
    ${ }^{2}$ Letiers, I. 3. 11.530.

[^91]:    T I have transferred "agatch" from the preceding line: the French translation of Hadji Khalfa (p. 736) has it in both places.

    * Cf. the routes of Barbarossa, Schiltberger (p.6) and Cyriae (Colucci Lxxxiv.) to Bruse, and Chishull's from Smyroa. The importance of Gallipoli fand consequently of the ferry between it and Chardak) is dwelt on by Clavijo, p. 28. The ferry is noticed by Zosimus ( $1+19-25$, in Itin. Russes), p. 207 ; Belon, it. ii. ; De Lannoy, p. 119; Sandyw, 26; Toumefor1, 1. 463, and Pococke, 11. 111.
    ${ }^{3}$ 111. 212, cf. Castcllan, 1. 276; Walpole, 91 ; Chishull, 59.
    4 "Ad laevam noslram Troiam relinquentes," Ansbert. "Veterem Troiam linquentes a laeva," Anon Canis. No milestones are known from the section Lamp-sacus-Granicus, and Alexander's route from Abydos (Ainab. 1. 3. 6) by Percole (Bergaz), Lampsacus?, Colonae, (Arabadurah ? Judeicb) and Hermacum (Gasmelyderessi? Judcich) does not help us until the two latter points are definitely fixed.
    ${ }^{8}$ p. 60.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. 106 : the bridge is mentioned also by Texier (Univers 111. 155) and as yt申upa
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Asic Mineurs, I. 212.

[^93]:    ${ }^{2}$ p. 6o3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Alh. Millh. 1904, 278 f .

[^94]:    ${ }^{2}$ Texier, Asis Mineurc, pl. Iv.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ It seems to date frons the XV.-Xvi. cent.: the walls are ashlar faced and about 1.00 m . thick: they stand to a height of about 3.00 m . and seem to have enclosed a rectangular space about $20 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$. divided by areades in the long side walls into 6 compartments: every other pier sapported a transverse arch which took the vaulting.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Gerisch, 256, and Texier, Univ. Pits. Xil., 115. :63: the latter remarks traces of the old causeway.
    ${ }^{3}$ A possible ancient crossing near Beykeni (perhaps that of the southern road) snay be suggested: a causeway built with exceedingly hard cement and leading to the river was found there some years ago.

[^96]:    ${ }^{2}$ The route preferred for wheeled traffic hetween Bigha and Gunen keeps to the coast up to the Aesepus and then ascends the valley.
    ${ }^{2}$ Probably also by Theodore as he makes no mention of a stop at Cyricus.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 84 .

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Cuinet, iv. 69.

    - A plan and elevations of the brldge are slewn in Wiegand's pl. xxiv. (see Fig. 17).
    ${ }^{2}$ See Wiegand's fig. 29, p. $296 . \quad{ }^{4}$ 165, cf. Puickler-Muskau, 396.
    - See Vita S. Throd. (Migne, Patr. Gracc. xc1x., (a) p. 203, 8211 fi., (d) p. 303, § $4^{8}$ f. 1 .

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above，p． 93.
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf．the Catalans＇march to Germe（G．Pachy．11． 43 B．）．${ }^{4}$ p． 531.
    6 Edrisi＇s route（ p .312 ）Lubadhia－Naria－Kalamata（Djelmata）river－is，ac－ cording to Tomaschek，idenlical，Naria being for Akira．

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Prokesch and Munro, and the French edition of Hadji Khalfa. The course of the new chazsste has deprived Omerkeul of all importance and transierred the Mudirate of Firt to Susurlu.

    - Dr Covel's account (the most detailed) shews that the old rond passed through the gorge which the present road skirts at Demir Kapu. Lucas (1724, 1. 184) remarks of Demir Kapu: "On avoit eu soin de le fortifier, non seulement d'un bon Château, dont on voit encore les ruines; mais d'enfermer le passage avec une bonne porte bitic de fort grosses pierres \& sottenuck d'une voate sous laquelle il falloit passer. Il paroft que cette vô̂te, dont il reste encore plus de 40 pieds de long, étoit un rempart assoré pour fermer l'entrée de la Misie." This presumably refers to the castle at Tash-Kapu and the vaulted khan at Demir Kapu.
    ${ }^{3}$ Prokesch 187, also mentioned in the French edition of Halji Khalfa.
    4 Prokesch.
    ${ }^{5}$ La Boullaye, p. 60.

[^100]:    1 Prokesch 391. I know of no khan in this situation.
    *Toumefort ${ }^{2} 8$ \%, Hamilton rog, Texier ${ }^{157}$, Cuinet, rv. 267. Egmont speaks of "two khans joining each other, one for horses and mules, the other for camels," as does Hamilton, adding that they had "rlch doorways in the Saracenic style." This can hardly be taken of the two divisions of libe existing khan, so one has probably been destmped.
    ${ }^{3}$ Egnanl 887 , Tournefort 488.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chishull, 52. ${ }^{2}$ p. 225. Cf. Tournefort, 487.
    ${ }^{4}$ p. 189. Egmont was travelling with an Orientalist, so that the date may rest on something more than $\&$ local tradition.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hadji Khalfa, p. 483, also mentions two: the older building is noted by Chishull, p. 57, Prokesch, p. 182, Ouseley, p. 53.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ 111. 16. Cf. Wiegand, p. 273.
    = De Medicamentis Simplicious, 1x. 127. Cf. Hierocles.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ Munro, 169. There was a lown near called Pericharaxis (A.•E. Aiflh. xymb. 328, ete.). Balia itself perhaps represents the Byzantine bishoprie of Palaea (Ramsay, Hisf. Geog. 438).
    ${ }^{2}$ There are modern bridges at Hodja Afshar and Ismail bey (Cuinet, 7o).
    ${ }^{3}$ J./IJS. XX1. 234
    4t must be remembered that Akehai, not Panderma, is the shipping port of Balia.
    ${ }^{5}$ The road is not impossible for vehicles, but the driver who hat traversed it takes great credit to himself, his horses, and his conveyanee.

[^104]:    ${ }^{2}$ This and the chaussse are the only arabe roads between Panderma and Balu. kiser: there are horse paths by Eski Manyas and by Euren (Dere Yuruk Keui).

    * Between Kazakkeui and Kulafly.

    3 J.H.S. xx1. $=34$. The course of the aneient road may be marked by the mediacral ruins at Assar and Armudjuk Maden.
    d.H.S. xxl. 234

    - Professor Ramsay (Hist. Gcog. $43^{8}$ ) arrives at nearly the same conclusion, supposing a confusion of two roads both calculated from Pergamon to Cyzicus, viz.
    (1) Perganum, Ergasteria, Argizh, Poemanenum, Cyzicus, .
    (2) Pergamum, Adramytiam, Argiza, Poemanenum, Cyzicus, i.e., that the roads from Argisa to Cyzicus were identical.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other hol springs in the district (enumerated by Cuinet, III. 756 and 1 iv, q $^{2} \mathrm{ff}$, who adds several analyses and temperatures) are
    (a) Granicus valley:
    (r) Buyuk Tepe Keui (remains, sec also Kieper!'s map and Inscr. Iv, 60 ).
    (2) Tcham Razar Keui (Kicpert).
    (3) Kara Ilidja; two and a balf to three hours from Bighashebr on the road to Inova. The bath house is primitive and of recent construc. tion: no cold water is laid on and the spring which supplies the bath is intolerably hot. II lrickles from a tile-vaulted prossage in

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is perhaps appropriate to mention here the 10 mb of Aine Ali (Afh. Mil/h. xxix. $3^{16}$ ) as shewing the continued religious associations of the spot.
    ${ }^{2}$ Inscr. 111. $35=$ Ath. Mithk. 1904, 280. But it seems unnecessary to suppose with Dr Wiegand that this shrine was identical with Aristides' favourite temple of Zeus Olympius. The orator's epigram, dedicated in the precinct of Zeus, was found at Balukiser itself, and Zeus Olympius figures on the coins of Hadrianutherae.
    ${ }^{3}$ It is now ruined, but Mordtmann was entertained there by the local dere-bey.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Ansbert, p. 80, Niect. Chon. 795 B. See also Sauli, Delle Colonia dei Genoresi in Galata, 11. 181, 188.

    2 Conon. ap. Phot. ${ }^{3}$ 39. Bekker. Alex. Aetolus ap. Str. 366, 68 t.

    - 375. 

    ${ }^{3}$ Ap. Str. 681.
    'Ap. Rh. 1. 1024, Schol.; cf. 8. 1112,11. 396. ${ }^{7} 564$.

[^108]:    1 The authorities for the Mysians in general are collected by Cramer, Geog. 1. 30. The race spparently kept its identity in the wilder parts till the second century at least, for Aristides mentions them near Hadrianutherac (1. 532, Dind.). Porphyrugenitus assigns to them the inland parts, south of Olympus, and the coast plains to the Phrygians and Graeci (De Them. p. ${ }_{2} 5$ B.).
    $2 / 1 . \times 111.5$.
    ${ }^{2}$ I. 17s. Cf. Plin. v. 41?

    - Sieph. Byz. s.v. Muria. Cf. also Hdt. vif. 74, where they are called Auserv aroxat.
    ${ }^{5}{ }^{564}$. Str. $56_{4}$; ef. Photius 345, Bekker.
    7 Sir. $534,567,576,625$-in 564 it is said not to souch the seaboard.
     13,72 3.
    - Sir. $57 \mathrm{I} . \quad 20 \mathrm{Ib} .129$; ef. Ptol. v. $2 . \quad 11$ Sir. 543.

[^109]:    I For ILellespontine Phrygia included the Hellespontine and Olympene Mysians (Ste. 366).
    ${ }^{3}$ Ptol. v. 3. ${ }^{2}$ Str. 37 1. ${ }^{\text {. Str. } 582 .}$

    - We may cite Sigriane, Sigrene in the Troad, Sigrion in Lesbos, Eresi in Mysia (11in. v. 33) and Eresos in Lesbos, Perpetina near Parium (Theodor. Stud. Lett. 1. 3) and Perperene, doss MaN/wv (Wit. Srti Philefaeri, 19 May), in the Sigriane and Maliz (cf. Malaus) in Lesbos, Macestum in Lesbos and Macestus in Mysia. Arisbe is also common jo the Troad and Lesbos.
    - To the former class belong Derekeui, Tachtali, Tepejik, Kilessen, Susurlu, '「ansara, Ainesi: 10 the latter Demirdesh, Kouvouklia, Misopoli, Anachori.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are marked as separate villages by von Dies1 (Karse des Westlichen Kleinasiens, 1903), but the position of A. Kyriaki is "uncertain," being derived from the map in Kandis' Ilpovea.
    ${ }^{2}$ The fromier line of the Nicomedian tract is roughly shewn on Kandis' map. The country would naturally belong of course to Brusa: I could obtain no explanation of the anomaly from the bishop's representative at Nieomectia.
    ${ }^{3}$ Thürkisches Tagxbuch $(1674)$ 253. " 3 kleinen Griechischen Dürfern nur von Leynen, Stroh, und wenig Holz ausgefuhrt, Constantinati, Typota, S. Theodoro [Tachtali?]."

    4 Add. Ms. 22.912 f. 263 vso. *Tour in the East (1820), 111. 149.

    - Turkey and her Destiny, 1. $405,11.537$. The book is full of information of this kind derived from the author's friend J. Zohrab of Brusa.

[^111]:    'This romantic episode occurs in all versions of the story I have read or heard except that of Kleonymos.

    2 In the author's diary (Add. Ms. 22,430 ) only the Sultan's name appears: Selim the first reigned $\mathbf{1 5 5 1} \mathbf{1 5 2 0}$, a much more likely date.

    - Kawit io the Ms.
    - Buevixd, p. 97.
    ${ }^{3}$ Tk. Chobas $=$ shepherd.

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hoverods, Mrufuxds is at least as old as the $13^{\text {th }}$ century (cf. Ducange, Glossarium, s.v.) and not a local word at all.
    ${ }^{1}$ J.H.S. III. $3548 q 9$, Journ. Phil. vi. Ig6 ff. These colonies dale from 1673 , sce Finlay, Hist. of Greces, v. 1:6-7. and for a bibliography Meliarakis' Neoe入入nrekit
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Tozer in J. H. S. 111. 360. "Cargese and Vitylo pronounce dreê as efchi, as far as my observation goes, nol found in Peloponnese outside Maina." The "Pistikos" have a peculiarity in pronouncing of before sas shefroshs, Taversh ("Alavioros) etc.

    4 Leake, Northern Grese, I. 502.

    * From material kindly supplied me by Dr Klon Stephanos I am able to state that no village name in -drot exists in Free Greece. Family names in -aros occur, but very rarely, in Maina. Curiously enough there was in Byzantine times a village called
     cf. Dec. 15: Vila Paudi junioris ol tol Mapuxátou tórou).

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cl. the transportation of the population of Argos to Asia, Chalcon. 30, and conversely Kowápides settled in Thessaly, Leake, North. Greces, 1. 144, 111. 1741 357, iv. 327, 429. St Blancard (in Charrière, Nejo de le France, 8.) writes in 1538 of the country round Bigha ("lequel pays estoit inhabité"); "le grand seigneur y a mis et faict venir d'Esclavons, Albanois, el Serviens quand les eust conquestes; il faict ainsy en plosieurs conirées pour mémoire de ses victoires et pour mesler les langues."
    ${ }_{2}$ The Avatha (rd Bdiuxa) of Pococke's map.
    ${ }^{2}$ See W. M. Leake, Researches, P. 196, who quotes Crusius. The dialect is now restricted to the immediate neighbourhood of Leonidi.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many are summer migrants from European Turkey, who cross into Asia from Gallipoli and fatten their flocks on the Mysian plains for the Constantinople markct, shipping eventually from Panderma.
    ${ }^{2}$ von Hammer, Reise nach Brussa, p. s.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ p. 80.
    ${ }^{2}$ Argonamica 1. 956-1153. Of the other accounts those of Conon, Valerius Flaccus, the Orphica, and Cedrenus are discussed below. Cf. also Apollod. Bibl. 1. 9. 18. 30 ; Hygin. Fab. xvı.; Parthen. Erot. xxvilt.; Ov. Trist. 1. 10. 30; Sil. Ital. 12. 398 ; Cramer, Anced. Paris. 11. 194; Joh. Ant. frag. 15; Cyzicus and Jason? on sarcophagus; Kobert, Aw. Sarkophag-Reliefs, 11. 213, pl. Lxiv.; Berl. Sculp. $8+3 \mathrm{~b}$, p. 331 ; Heracles and Cyzicus on vase? Arch. Zeit. 1X. 306, pl. 27 ("ein molernes Machwerk," Pauly, Real-Encyclopaedie, s.v. "Argonautae," p. 779); G. Knaack, De Fabulis nonnullis Cyziennis, and R. Walther, De Ap. Rh. Arg. rebus Geogr. (Wiss.) Halle, 188, pp. 37-48; Myres in J.H.S. xxvil. 332 ff.
    ${ }^{7}$ For all that is known of these two writers see Marquardt, p. 163 ff. Neanthes wrote under Attalus I.

[^116]:    ${ }^{1} 936 . \quad$ 938, 947.
    ${ }^{2}$ Strabo (68z) uses the same word of the headlands of Cyprus.

    - This I believe to be not the well above Artaki (U.II.S. xxir. 179) but the spring which flows from between the tro bexagonal towers.
    ${ }^{3}$ It was afterwards preserved in the Prytaneum (Plin. xxxvi. 23), and seems from the care with which iss attempts to mun away were frustrated, to bave been some kind of a fetish stone with which the luck of the city was bound up. There was another "Argonauts' anchor" at Ancyraeum (Dionys. Byz. Anoplus Bosp. Frag. 54). Such remnants of barbaric cultus are commonly associated for propriety's sake with orthodox legend, cf. the stone of Rhea at Proconnesus and the Zeus Kappotas of Laconia (Paus. 111. 21). Mooring stones, like Fetish stones, were frequently conical in shape (see Dragatsis in Congr. /ntern. Archtol. Athens, 1905, p. 202).
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. 1. 1185 , and Dionys. Byz. Amaphur Bosp. Frag. 8.
    ${ }^{7}$ Aconrding to another account, Cyzicus' wife was I Aarisa, daughter of Pipsus, a Thersalian. Parthenias, loc. cif. §\% 28, see Euphorion ap. Sch. Ap. Rh. r063, who says that Larisa was betrothed to Cyzicus. Neanthes (ibidem) said he left a son of the same name.

[^117]:    1 The Makries were supposed to be Pelasgians from Euboen, the same race that had ousted the Thessalian folk of Cyzieus. Sch. Ap. Kh. 1024. Sch. I. 1037 5ays that this was Deilnchus' version. Callisthenes says that the Cyzicenes attacked the Argonauts out of liatred. Cf. Conon.
    "He fell by the hand of Jason. Others said (r) of the Dioscuri (Sch. Ap. Rh. t. 1040) or (2) of Heracles (Orphica, 527). Cf. Hyginus, Fab. xvs. and the forged vase from Chiusi (.frch. Zcit. 1x. 306).
    ${ }^{3}$ Figured hy Wiegand, $\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathbf{2 8 5}_{3}$. There are many more of these ln the disirict, e.g. Kurshunlu-tepe on 1he Kara Dagh, Ishem-bair near Ergileh, and several in the neighbourhood of Kazakll. They are said to contain slab-built chambers. Such must
     Milesian inscription. Relies of the prehistoric period may be found in the poltery from l'anderma figured by Wiegand; I procured a fine neolithie axe, now in the Fitzwilliam Museum, at the same place.

    4 Deilochus said she died of grief, Seh. Ap. Rh. 1. 1063. Euphorion said Larisa was hauged by her father. Mbid.
    © Plin. xxx. 16. Isid. Orige. xin. 13. 3. Meletius, Bith. 1v. 4 .
    ${ }^{6}$ Other accounts (Cedrenus) say the Apollo of Пïөa Өepui.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is no definite mention of a temple.
    The origin, according to Apollonius, of the tympana used in the workhip of Rhea. Cf. Propert. 111. 23. 3.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ap. Phol. Bibh. J39, Bekker.

    - Cf. Deilochus and Ephorus and Kallisthenes, ap. Sch. Ap. Rh. 1. 1037.
    ${ }^{6}$ ATg. 11. $6_{35}$-114. 459.

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ Clinton, Fast. W'll. p. 156, cf. Euseb. 11.88. Syncellus 402 B. The Milesian origin is attested by Str. 656, Scl. Ap. Rh. 1. 107\%, Plin. N./I. V. 33.
    ${ }^{*}$ Aristid. 1. 383 , Dind. Cf. Sch. Ap. Rh. 1. 955, 959.
    ${ }^{2}$ Clinton, Fast. Hell. p. 186. Hieron. places il 673 with Locri, which however is certainly an earlier foundation. Eusebius (11.87) in OI. גxv., possibly therefore in the reign of Gyges who seems to have encouraged Greek colonization (Str. 520).

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hdi, 1. 20.
     Kúhxer olxloayres (al. ofkpoarces) Mcyapeis. The last word may have slipped in from above.
    ${ }^{3}$ Athen. I. 30 \& Hdt. 1v. 138.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Hdt. 111. 8g.
    

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ The amount of the Zeleian comtribution has not come down to us, though the name of Zeleia figures.
    ${ }^{2}$ Diod. Sice x111. 40.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ During the period $411-394$ Pharnabazus struck money in Cyzicus (B. M. Cat. Lonia, 325, 12, pl. xxxi. 5).
    ${ }^{2}$ HNl. III. 4, 10, 11. Anab. vil. 2. A Cyzicene Apollophanes is also mentioned as negotiating between Phamabazus and Agesilaus. Sfell. IV. 1, 29. Plut. Ages. 12.
    ${ }^{3}$ Xen. Hell. 1v. 8, 26. CC. Mdianges de Numismatigue 11. 7, where the Samian type of Heracles and the serpents is shewn to occur on coins of Rhodes, Cnidus, Ephesus, Lampsacus and Cyzicus, perhaps implying that these were all members of the new league.

    - Xen. Hell. Iv. 8. 1, 2.
    ${ }^{-1}$ Diod. Sic. Xv. N'epos, Tins. 1. Cf. J. P. Six in Num. Chron. 1898,18 (on a stater with supposed head of Timotheus).

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ Demosth. in Mid. 570, par. 173 , and Schol. ad loc.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. in Polych. 1207 (Paus. vilf. 46). Spite may hare had something to do with the Cyzicene interference with the Black Sea corn-ships. The incident is dated by the archonship of Molon.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ Athen. xv. 688. Plin. xill. 2. Panks 1v. 35.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the Cyzicene staters see Lenormant in Kív. Num. 1856, and Greenwell, The clectrum coinage of Cyzicus. Eupolis frag. 5 is particularly valuable evidence for their early repute.
    ${ }^{3}$ Greenwell, p. $28 . \quad{ }^{\circ}$ C.I.G. $2557,2158 \mathrm{ctc}$.

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diod. xvir. 7. Polyuen. v. 44 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Arrian 1.12 ff. Plut. Alex. 16. Diod. Sie. Xvil. 15. Ah. Alex. X1X. Xxı1.
    ${ }^{3}$ The coins struck in the name of Alexander with m-m. torch date after his time (see Müller, Monnaties d"Alexandre, 223).

    - I have reversed Marquardt's order for the doable attempt of Arrhidaeus, since it seemed (1) that treachery was the first and most obvious method to occur to a Greek politician: and (3) that the downfall of Arrhidaens followed close on the heels of his retirement from Cyzicus.

[^126]:    ${ }^{2}$ Demochares sp. Ath. x1. 509.
    2 Diod. xVill. 51,52.

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Michel, Recueil, 35 . Occon. 2. 18.
    ${ }^{3}$ Muller, Monnaies dAlexanilre, 233. Monn. de Lysim, 381.

    - Aen. Tact. x11. 'Droysen, II. 286.
    - Inscr. 1. 33 (/.H.S. xx11. 193. 3). See also R.E.C. 1g03, 302-10.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ They used it certainly to cement their relations with Milelus. Arch. Ans. 1904, 1. 9.
    ${ }^{2}$ Inscr. 11. 19.
    ${ }^{3}$ Le Bas 88. C.I.G. $3067,3068,3070$. The latter are connected with the Ionian and Hellespontine Dionysiac artists.

    - Cf. also Stratonice at Smyrna. The temple of Apollonis may have stood near the north-west comer of the central harbour, where there are ruins (De Rustafjaell marks "Temple"?). This is a very suitable place if the queen wes "Eebateria" and the Philetaerus stele is from the immediale neighbourhood. The temple seems from Anth. Pal. 111. to have been recognisable in comparalively late times.
    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. B.C.H. Iv. 573. Fränckel, Inschr. v. Pergamon 167. Sirabo 624.
    - Frinckel 248.
    ${ }^{7}$ Overbeck, Sehrifiguellen 1994. Other Cyzicene artists are collected by Marquardt, Book 111. 3. 7. Most of them are, however, little more than names to us, and in general serve only 10 illostrate the prosperity and consequence of the city in the Hellenistic age: nor can any detail be added to the minute account of Marquard.
    ${ }^{3}$ Polyh xxv. 2. 13.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inscr. 1. 7: but this ngain may refer to Miletupolis.
    ${ }^{2}$ Oros Y1. 2 § ro. Memnon 3t. Frontin. 111. 17. 5. C.I.G. 6855.
    ${ }^{2}$ Diodor. frag. xxxvill. 8. 3.

    - Plut. Vit. Lucsill. 9; Appian, de Bell. Mishr. 72; Sallust, fragg. 111. 308, 1v. 315, v1. 337 (Valpy); Strabo xil. 575 ; Diod. Sic. frag. (ap. Fr. /Fist. Gr. 11.) xxiv. 8 33; Memnon 40; Florus L. 40 ; Liv. Epit. XCv.; Frontin. Inl. 136, iv. 5. 21 ; Aur. Vietor. vl. $7 t$; Amm. Mrare. xxill. 256 ; Sid. Apoll. xix. 163 fi., Xxil. 5 II f.; Orosius y1. 2. 14; Suidas s.v. Eirpula=Ael. frag. 12; Cicero, pro Manih. 8, pro Archia. 8; Pliny xvi1. 244; Porph. de Abst. 1. 25; Paul. Diac. VI. 4. 6; Th. Reinnch, Mifh. Ewfator, 325 , and Inscr. p. 303 below.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ C. the intervention of Isis on behalf of Rhodes in the Mithradatic siege. App. Bell. Aith. 27.
    ${ }^{3}$ Diodorus. Cf. Strabo.

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ Str. 376. Suet. Tib. $37 \cdot$
    ${ }^{2}$ 376. Cf. $551,582$.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inscr. 1. 10. ${ }^{2}$ Inser. 1. 11. C.I.G. 366 g.
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Inscr. 1. 19.

    * App. Bell. Cio. Iv. 75.
    - To this period ostensibly belong the curious "Letters of Bratus" of which I print the Cyzicene series (after Westermann's edition) below, as not easily accessible. They are presumably based on the passage of Plutarch quoted above.
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^133]:    ${ }_{1}$ The behariour of Verres at Lampsacus (Cic. in Vorr. 1. 34) was probably not an isolated instance.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dio Cass. L1v. 23.
    ${ }^{3}$ This was perhaps the occasion when Agrippa bought the pictures mentioned by Pliny Xxxy. 25 : his presence can be traced at Itium (C.J. G. 360 ), where he was in 16, Lampacus (Str. 50 ${ }^{2}$ ), and Parium (coin in B. Mt. 85).

    - Inscr. I. I4.
    - At Pergamon Julia Livilla is the companion of Athena. Fraenkel II. 497, 498.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tac. Ann. iv. 36. Suet. Tiberius, 37. Dio Cass. Lv1. 24.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tac. Annn. 1v. 25.
    ${ }^{3}$ Inscr. 1. 14 .

    - Ineer. 1. 13. Suet. 22 tells us that he was worshipped in Rome unofficially as Jupiter Latiaris, but had also a lemple of his own.
    ${ }^{5}$ Inscr. 1. 13. She was worshipped in Ronse wilh the allributes of Aphrodite and the name of Panthea (Dio Cass. Lix. 1I) and at Smyrna with the altrihutes of Persephone (B. Mf. Catal. Tonia, 271. Pl. xxxvilt. 9. Cf. Miletus, B. M. 1\&3,
    
    ${ }^{6}$ Efh. Efig. 11. $2 \mathrm{~g} 1 . \quad{ }^{7}$ J. H.S. xxil. 132 f. ete.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. C.I.G. $3^{612}$.
    - To this period belongs a stray notice (in a scholion on Aristides, quoted by Keil, Hermes, 1897) of a palace built at Cyzicus by Vespasian.

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Inscr. 111. 26. S. Julius [Cotys?], perhaps a greal-grandson of Tryphaena, contemporary with C. Julius Cotys temp. Titus at Laodicea (Coll. Wadd. 6271, 6272).

    Inscr. 111. I. Here the Romans take precedence of the Cyzicenes. In Inscr. III. It (a Greel inscription) the reverse is the case.
    ${ }^{2}$ The reverse Herasles Kiutes on a coin of Domitian (Mionn. 663, Supp. 263) rests on the doubfful authority of Sestini nad Vaillant. Domitian was certainly worshipped as Heracles in Rome (Martial $1 \times .64,65,101$ ) and Ktistes was a title easily eamed in Asia hy the foundation of games and institutions. Our only inscription of Domitian is from Apollonin (tIt. 2). The dedication to Artemis Sebaste Baitane at Buyuk tepe keui on the upper Granicus (iv. 60 ) seems to me another relic of local Flavian cultus. The goddess is perhaps Julia Titi.

    - Coin in Waddington Collection (Inventaire 726).
    ${ }^{5}$ Waddington, Fasfes, 724.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vic. Ansonini 111 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Dürr, Reisen des K’aisers Fadrian, pp. \$9, 67.
    ${ }^{3}$ Socrates 111. 23 (ad fin.) snys that Hadrian was reckoned the hirteenth Olympian at Cyzicus.

    - Inscrr. 111. 3-9 incl. 『 Inscr. Vf. 22.
    - So Masson, Coll. ad vitam Aristidis.
    ${ }^{7}$ Adnot. in C.I.G. 3674 . The chronology of Aristides and the temple is diseussed by Keil in J/ermes, 8997 , 497. His very ingenions deductions from the Elconers speech as to damage done to a temple of Persephone do not, I think, warrant the complete separation of this temple from that of Hadrian: it is perfectly in accord with what we know of Imperial Asia to suppose that Hadrian and Persephone were associated as ofvepoyo, especially as a Persephone-Faustina appears on the coinage.
    ${ }^{3}$ flarom. 24 (Reitz). The fact that the temple is built over a spring rather suggests that the site was old.

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ N.H. xxxvi. ${ }^{23}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ B.C.S. xiv. 540. Cf. Comples Retudus Aead. Inscr. 1800, p. $11 \%$
     ty aivin matciar lotpase nappapors.

    - Dirr, Raisen des K. Hadrian, pp. 34, 69.
    ${ }^{3}$ Xiphilinus Lxx. 4. Cf. Zonaras xil. I (for the earliqquake Boissonade, Anecd. 1v. 467). Keil puts the date between $150-155$ A.D. (l.c. p. 502).
    "Fronto, letf. ad Anf. I. 2, 162 A.D.? M. Antoninus as Cassar is concerned in the S.C. de Corpore Neon (C.I.G. 7060). Mommsen says "Patrociniam quoddatw Cyzicenorum apud eum, domumgae eius fuisse non sine veri specie conicietur" ( $E_{p}$. Epis. 111. 1;6).
    ${ }^{7}$ Anth. Pal. $1 \times .656$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cyriac, see B.C.H. xiv. 540. When Cyriac was at Cyzicus the building was already being plundered for building material, and du Chastel saw the machines by which marble was raised for the turbeh of Mohamened III. The process has continned till very little marble remains on the spot.
    - So coins, but the great altar is usually placed at the west end of a temple.

[^138]:    seize a fleet lying in the port of Cyzicus "when Pseudantoninus wintered in Nicumedia" is best relegated to a footnote. Pseudantoninus was a name given by Elagabalus in derision to Diadumenian (Vir. Elagab. 8), but we have no record of the latter wintering in Nicomedia, though Elagabalus did so on his way to Rome (Iferod. V. 5): his doubtul birth makes the name quite as appropriate to him as to his risal.

[^139]:    1 Amm. Marc, xxxyt. 8.
    ${ }^{2}$ xxx1. 5, 16, "circumsedit multitudo.' ${ }^{3}$ Zos. 1. 43 . * 717 B .

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wadd. Fortes, Preface In. 661. ${ }^{3}$ Hierocles
    ${ }^{3}$ Legio 11. Trajann is mentioned under Licinits in the Life of S. Theogenes ficta SS. Jan. 3.

    - Cf. Schlumberger Sigill. Byz. p. 197 (temp. Heraclii) 'I wirnou urndrou xal
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Amm. Marc. Xxxvi. 8, Z05. 1V. $6=180$ B. ( 365 A.D.).

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zonaras xvitt．9．Joh．Seyl． 816 B. Mich．Attal． 90 ．In the Oracula of Opsopoens，ed． 160 ，141．pp．251，252，the fall of Cyzieus is attributed to the sea and the Rhyndacus；in IV．292，to earthquakes．
     Chon． 22 B．Ephr．1045．Of the Arab historians Al Tabari refers to the＂capture （in 6if A．D．）by Gunada，the son of Abu Umaya，of an island in the sea near Kustantiniyya called Arwad，and Mabomet，son of＇Unar，retords that the Moslems remained in it for a space，as he says，of seren years．＂They reached Constantinople in this year and wintered in the land of the Romars（J．H．S．xvin．187）．
    ${ }^{2}$ Cons．Porph．iac．ait． 47 ．${ }^{2}$ Theoph．Cont．Iv．22，v． 60.
    ${ }^{5}$ Zoa． 111.717 日．Mich．Attal． 258 घ．

[^142]:    
    2 Anna vil. 13.

[^143]:    ${ }^{2}$ Anam xiv. 5.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$. v .
    ${ }^{5}$ This campaign, rendered exceedingly diffecult by the lack of topographical knowledge, has been explained by Ramsay (Geog. 208) and Muno (p. 170 sqq.). The former assumes that the Turks were making for Dorylaeum and consequently places the scene of the eampaign east of the Macestus. The latter who has since, I believe, altered his views on Poemanenum, placed Aomea near Kebsud. Anns's mentioo of Philadelphia and Acrocus logether (xiv. 6) seems to imply that the great road due south (so Roger de Flor marches by Achyraous to Philadelphia, Pachy. 243 日) is concemed, and the mention of the reed bed in the account of the battle may associate it with the later Calamus (the Kalamor of the Crusaders, modern Gelembe). The Turks are said to come from Carmé, which Ramsay identifies with Germe (near Soma ?).

    - Anaa xv. I.
    
    

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ Muratori xiI. 328 ff. $=$ Tafel and Thomas cxxi. (I, p. 453 ).
    ${ }^{2}$ See Villehardouin's detailed account of the first campaigns and N'icetas' summary of the whole ( 388 B ).
    a Viltehardouin 236.

    - Cf. Albericus Trium Fontum scciv. "Insula ultra Brachium quae vocatur Cyzicum id est Eskisia," and Lequien's bishops Xv. and XL11. "Quizicinensium, Quisicensis."

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Villehardouid, par. 170.
    ${ }^{2}$ Nicetas (795-6 B) represents the battle as an incident in a continuous march from Pegae and Lopadium. Cf. J.G.S. 1897, 258.
    ${ }^{2}$ Villehardouin 236 ( 1200 ).
    4 Es. Jrnoc. /IJ. xi. 47.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ Letter of Henry in Recucil xvint, 530, dated 1212 from Pergamum. De Muralt gives 8214 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Acrop. xvi. 3 "juxta Luparci fiuvium." Acrop. XV. (8214).
    © Alberic A.D. 1220. Acrop. XX11.
    6 Acrop. xxisi. ${ }^{7}$ Acrop. xxx.

[^147]:    ${ }^{2}$ v. ${ }^{71}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Pachy. v. 17 (405-415 8).

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ch. 303. Cf. Pachy. V. 10, 391 8,
    2 8824. This is the earliest mentioo of the tradition discussed below. Moncada (x.) sueaks of Artaki as "not far from the ruins of Cyzicus."

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ April 1 (Muntaner 205). Cf. Pachy. 422 B.
    ${ }^{2}$ Muntaner 215 . Pachy. 529 B, 3307 . Artaki is here called the port of (the island ?) Cyzicus.
    $\begin{array}{ll}1 \text { Von Hammer 1. 71. } & \text { - Von Hammer 1.80. Bratuli } 15 . \\ \text { Von Hammer 1. 80. } & \text { Von Hammer 1. } 150-151 .\end{array}$

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cantac. 1. 339 s.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ducas 13 B. Von Hamerer 1. 110. Bratutti 1. 51, 52. Cantemir, p. 36. Leunclavius, Annales 23.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hadji Khalfa gives 737 as the date of the surrender of Balukiser.

    - So Seaddin. Cantemir speaks of Ulubad also as a Greek tributary town.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cantemir.
    ${ }^{6}$ Von Hammer I . 135.
    ${ }^{7}$ Leunclavius Xxill. makes the treachery of the govemor the cause of the subjection of Karnssi.

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ Von Hammer 1. 131. Bmatuti t. 60. Leunclavius, Pamd. par. 23. Cf. Hadji Khalfa t. 497.
    ${ }^{2}$ Seaman, The Reign of Swhan Onchan. Another version in the historian Jemiali, but omitted as irrelevant by Leanclavius in his translation, aseribed the Palace to the agency of Djinns working on behalf of Shemseh, daughter of Ankur, king of Ferengistan, and wife of Solomon. (B. M. Cafal. Turkisk MSSS, p. 47, note on Add. 3069 .) The name of Aidinjik, "little moonlight" (Von IIammer I. 152), is connected by the Turks, with the moonlight night of Saleiman's adventore, and the "palace of Solomon" or "Tamashalik" (probally the ruined amphitheatre), with the place of his dream. The throne of Balkiz may have been the imposing ruins of the IIadrian Temple, of which thirty-one columns were standing when Cyriac visited the site in $\mathrm{t}_{4}$ " (B.C. $/$. XIs. 540). The devastations of the "potentates since Solomon," who is of course a synonym for extreme antiquity, are exemplified by Justinian's removal of materials for St Sophia (cf. Evliya efiendi 1. 55), while the columns of the Suleimanyeh as Constantinople (Goold, Cas. Mrus. Imp. p. I note), and much of the building materials of Brusa (Cyriac) were hrought from Cyzicus by the Osmanlis.

    As the Turks ascribed the ruins to Solomon, so the later Greeks, with equal ignornnce, associated them with Truy. Gerlach (p. 255) says that in his time the Greeks called Cyzicas "Little Troy"; as Alexandria Troas, and Parium (Ansbert, "ad lacvam nostram Trojam relinquentes," cf. Muntaner ${ }^{3} \mathrm{r}_{4}$ ) also claimed the name, fabulous idess as to the extent of the city were common: the Sieur des Hayes (p. 139 and map p. 338) mentions a wall which cut off the corner of Asia including the three cities. Duchastel (who recognised the absurdity of the idea) has handed down the name "Palsee of Priam" as in his day applied to the ruins of the Temple of Hadrian. Fynes Moryson says, "On the way (from Gallipoli to Marmora) they shewed me a castle towards the En upon the shore of Asia, which they say stands on the confines of the Trojan dominion and thereof hath the name till this day." The same idea underlies Meletius' note on Karabogha (IIbroos tv. 4).

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ This was a function even of Cybele, IV. 3.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Perdrizet in B.C.H. xxxill. 592.
    4 Musicians are added on Nos. 1 and 3.

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ A bencficent "devil," inhnbiting a tree in a graveyard al Balukiser, still cures boils, when appeased by the offering of an onion. Hobhouse ( $90_{4}$ ) quotes a similar instance from the Dardanelles.
    a For the whole question of Phrygian and Anatolian religion it is sufficient 10 refer to Professor Kamsay's chapter (1it.) on Hieropolis. In Phrygia the late survival of native customs has permitted the existence of writen monuments selting forth in plain terms what we can only infer from Phrygian analogies in the case of outwardly Hellenised Mysia.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ramsay, Phrygia, $\mathrm{I}_{2} \cdot$ Pp. 496 \%. attribules the formula to the 3rd century.

    - Acta S. May 19. Aets xvi. 8. See Ramsay, St Paul, 197.

    1 Epist. 51. ${ }^{7}$ Socrates 111. 11.

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bell. Nith. Lxxv.
    ' App. Bell. Mith. Lexiv.
    : ill. 23; cf. also Priap. 76.

[^155]:    ${ }^{2}$ Steph. Byzu s.r.

    - Marquardt, p. 121.
    - Plut. Lacidl. ro, etc.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ B.C.H. xiv. $5+1$.
    2 This is refuted, and the inscriptions commeuted on by Keil in Fiermes xxv. 1890, 305. ${ }^{\text {y Cf. p. 343. }}$
    "Similntly in his first journey the "aurel fili signs" made him attribute the temple to Iupiter.
    ${ }^{8}$ Of Gallienus. $\quad$ 'Str. $588 . \quad{ }^{7}$ Inscr. 1.24.
    635. Cf. the eponymotrs Basileis of Samothrace (C.I.G. 2151-8), Chalcedon (C.I.G. 3794), and Megara (C.I.G. 1052).

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inser. 111. 38 A . This also may be a local epithet. Cf. Str. 567.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cl. the story of Anachassis, Hdt. 1v. 56, Clem. Alex. Protr. 20.
    ${ }^{3}$ Vif. 17, and Frazer's notes. Cf. also Arnob. Adv. Gent. v. 5. ff. Sallnstius Philosophus, De Blundo Iv.; Julian, Hyorn. in Mat. Deor.; Frazer, Golders Bough 11. 13 sqq . These traditions all refer to the Pessinontine eult which, lying far inland, was doubtless little affected by Hellenism.

    - Above, P. 22.

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. above and Str. 45, quoting Neanthes: the Argonauts sacrificed to her as Heiन $\mu a 7 \mathrm{ly}$, Orphica $4^{23}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Acta SS. Stratornicat at Seleuci (Asseman I. 97) the image of Berecyntia at Cyzieus is described as "Caelatum lignum."
    \$ This must be the explanation of Propertius' incredibly clumsy line (11, 22. 3), "Dindymus et sacrae fabricala inventa Cybellae."

    4 May 19, 111. 828.

    - Apoll. Rhod. r. 1126 . So also at Miletus, Schol. ad loc. and ef. Cybele's connection with the Dioscuri (Cabiri ?) in Ath. Mitth. xiv. 22, 50.
    

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zosirous 12. 31. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Röm. Mith 1899.8.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Rev. Arch. 1891.10 (5) (6); /.K.S. xxil1. 83 (28). *Frag. 7.

    - Paus. vin1. 46. Marquard suspects that this had a ritual significance, as the animal is figured also on a coin. (Mionnet, Supp. 307.) But this probably refers to the games; such subjects are frequent on Roman coins in connection with the Ludi Saeculares.
    1.8.9.
    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Cf}$. Lobeck: Aglaophamzes rott, note. The juxtaposition of C5bele and the ship's prow on the Van Branleghem fragment shews that Cybele and her assessors were to some extent mariners' gods, like the Samothracians. This function depends of course on the locality of the shrine (ce. Isis Pharia) and was not an essential part of the conception of Cybele. Placiane and Dindymene, the latter especially afler her connection with the Argonaul myth, mighe certainly be credited with it. This aspect of the Dindymene or Samolhracian triad may be perpetuated at the monastery of the Trisity on the edge of the sea at Panderma: at Aphisia, too, a procession with censers round the ruins of the church of the Trinity is, or was, supposed to change the wind and bring absent kinsfolk safe home from sea (Gedeon 63 ).

[^160]:    Toluplane.

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ The eoincidence of Taureon with the Athenian Munychion suggests that the Placian Mother and Artenis Munchia shared a temple.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Fiamell, Cults 11. 6ig.
    ${ }^{3}$ Str. 4\%. 4Val. Flace ilo. ${ }^{3}$ Steph. Byz. ${ }^{6}$ 1v. 4 (Fig. 19).
    ${ }^{7}$ Ath. Mith. X. 200 (29).

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ Phoronis ap. Sch. Ap. Rh. \&. 1326 . Acschylus frag. ap. Str. 580. Cf. also Sch. ad Eur. Nhcsmm, $34^{2}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Diogen. Cyz. ap. Steph. Ibsz. s.v. 'Aסpaotela Apoll. Rhod. 111. 133.
    ${ }^{3}$ Apoll. Rhod. 3. 1186.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sch. Apoll. Rhod. 1. 936.

    - Inscr. :V. 85. Cf. Loleck, Nelaophamms, 1318.
    - Lycaon again brings us back to Zeleia: it is profitless to attempt to follow up these hints hased on the nomenclature of kindred peoples, and confused by wellmeaning literary unification.

    7 Charax, ap. Steph. Byz. Cf. Schol. Rhes. 342, where she is connected with Crete, naturally after the localization of the birth of Zeus on the Crctan Ida.

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mionnet, Supp. 185 . The type is the usual one of the district. (See below.) Cf. Inser. 15. 26. An altar of Zeus Soter has been found at Ilidja, iv. 25.

    2 Bekker, Anecd. 1. $338 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Inscr. 1v. 13, 11, $15 . \quad{ }^{1}$ C.I. G. 49:-206.
    
    ${ }^{7} 1 \mathrm{iv}, 14,16$ (Apollonsia).

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ For this combination of the Branchidae shrine (Steph. Byz. s.v. Dityma), where the feminine element is supplied by Artemis with very developed bealing powers; but C.f.G. 8864 , calls the trinity Apollo, Asklepios, and Hygicia. The autonomous coins of Apollonia ad Rh, exhibit heads of a similar triaity: (I) Zeus, (a) Apollo, (3) Artemis.
    ${ }^{3} 18.32$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ath. ATith. Xxix. 273.

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ I. 133, Dind.
    ${ }^{3}$ Or. ad Cyz 1. 383 , Dind.
    : Apoll. Rhod. 1.960. Cf. is. 1286.
    ${ }^{4}$ C.I.G. $2855,2858$. Inscr. I. 2 (b).

[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coins of the Ancients, Pl. xxix. 27. We may bere notice that an Aprollo of the late Smistheus type (cf. Collignon Scrlprure II. 245) occurs on coins of Cyzicus (B.M. 239), and Apollonia (B.M. 27), in the time of Commodus. He may have beto introduced to meet a special oeed, possibly during a plague of mice (cf. Frazer's note on Paus. X. (2).
    ${ }^{2}$ Anth. Pal. x1v. 114.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pythian games are commemorated on Cyzicene coins (Zeisschrift für Numismatik xv. 12).

    - Sir. $55 \mathrm{I} . \quad{ }^{6}$ Cf. Krateia in Bithyaia, Arch. Leil. 18, 76, 113.

    1 M. Michoo has lately republished all the koown stelae of Apollo Krateanos.
    ${ }^{7}$ Iv. 41-48, of which 47-8 are attributed by the Louvre authorities 10 the "environs de Poemanenus"; as coming from M. Dorigny this evidenily means Eski Manyes.
    *Sylloger, V71. p. 164.

[^167]:    ${ }^{2}$ I have il on the authority of Mr Bunning of Susurlu, that many of the Branteghem antiquities vere discovered near Omar heui. This neighbourhood may be the provenance of the first series of Krateanos stelae.
    
    7 Cf. Papars Amer. Sch. II. Nos. 40 B1 43,50 D, 59 , ete. IV. 55. ' iv. 56.
    ${ }^{10}$ The goddess was Thermin in Lesbos, B.C.H. iv. 430 , $14-16$. Cf. also the
     Shehr, Ath. Mifith. xxvil. $27 \mathrm{I}, 1.8$, 9.
    ${ }^{11}$ Str. 639.

[^168]:    ' It is given greater importance then it deserves in Ann. dell' Inst. 1883, 277 .
    ${ }^{1}$ Athen. XI. 476 A . Cf. Hesych. s.v. Tavpopidia. \&oprin iv Kvsius, and the month Teupés.
    *See Fraenckel, IL. 317-320.
    4v. 63.
    ${ }^{5}$ iv. 85 . Cf. also Bpouiou $\mu$ orrve in V. 35.
    © 1. 5. ${ }^{7}$ iv. 64 f. iv. 64 . B.M. 175, 236.
    14 An almost exaetly similar type occurs at Thyateira (B.M. 23, pl. vil. 2) where the vase shews that a river god is intended. There was evidently a elose relation belween Atlis and the river gods; he is the grandson of Sangarius, and elosely connecled, or even idenical, with the river Gallus (ef. Julian, Or, in Mrat. Deor.); his priests took the name of Gallus or of Altis (ef. Mordtmann's inser. in Sife. Bayer. Acad. 1860). We have hinted at a similar relation between Miletns and Meles. The star-spangled dress of the Attis on the coin may be connected with his physical aspect and the "hat of stars" given him by Cybele in the Pessinuntine legend (Julian, Or. in Mfat. Deor. ; Sallustius, Mepl te©̂r iv.).

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ap. Rh. $1.95^{2}$.
    ${ }^{8}$ 1v. 69.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. 1 v .67 , where a special reason is evident. - 1 v .68.
    ${ }^{5}$ B.C.SK. v1. 454 .

[^170]:    2 Mendel, No. 2.
    ${ }^{2} 1.4$
    3 Swoboda, Volksbeschliisse, 1 Io.
    4 Nuns. Chron. 1899, 1.

[^171]:    ${ }^{1}$ B.S.A. vill. 190, pl. 1V. $111.21,7$ f.
    ${ }^{2}$ IV. $76 . \quad+1 \mathrm{IV} .26$.
    (Mionn. 163, Supp. 213.
    Supp. $281,347,349$ (?).
    T Arrh. Zeid. 1865 , cxcix. See further Ath. Mfilk. I 88 y, 81.
    *The personification of the "Cleite" stream is plainly shewn at her feet on B.M. 222, corresponding to the figure of Oronas in the Antiochene statue.

    - Acta SS. May t9.

    20 VI. 23 f .

[^172]:    ${ }^{1}$ B.M. 290, $291 . \quad{ }^{3}$ B.M. 294.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mionn. Supp. Got, quoting Vaillant. ${ }^{1}$ iv. 84 .
     xal ybose тeravuivov.
    ${ }^{6}$ B.M. 247, Mionn. 102, Supp. 191, 378.
    ${ }^{8} 1 \mathrm{v} .77 . \quad$ 1v. 78.

    - Greenwell 79. 80, and most of all 82. ${ }^{10}$ V'1. 17.
    ${ }^{11}$ Mlona. 20S, and Supp. 162, he represents the city on alliance medallions: a seated figure oceurs under Antoninus, Supp. 232. The head, generally of a conven-

[^173]:    ${ }^{1}$ See §o v. of the Catalogue of Inscriptions.
    'v. 74, 100, $78 . \quad$ v. 231.

    - Occasionally also गipur, and in 151 кapohy, which I take to be an illiterate rendering of the Homeric кhu OGuos, an epithet of somewhat similar type, occurs twice, 242); both inscriptions are probably from Miletupolis. For the diction ef. the purely Homeric \&parinp, which occurs Iwice further south, J.H.S. XViI. 185 (5i), v. 73.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ So $v, 146 \mathrm{~A}, 250 \mathrm{~A}$, are accepted as Cyzicenc hy the editors of the new Corpus and V .334 A , by the Louvre authoritics.
    ${ }^{2}$ v. $211,298,302$.
     86 c (Thrace, Cbristian).

    + C.I.G. 2032.
    ${ }^{5}$ V. 23.

[^175]:    Names of the Gods.

[^176]:    1 Michel. $\$ 32$ (t. i.).
    : Sber. Berl. Alad. 1904, xIX. ; both Büpers and Obwres survived as subdivisions of the tribes at Ephesus. B.M. Inser. cccclvill., cccclexi., dlxxvili, cccclxi., DLXXYII.

[^177]:    1 1v. 76
    2 II. 18.
    ${ }^{3} 1.8,13,14$.
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Aristid. Or. Sac. V. (r. $\$ 38$ Dind.).

    - Plin. xxxvi. 23.

    7 Compare 11. (lists) in the catalogue of inscriptions.
    
    11 Liv. XLI. 20.

[^178]:    ＇We have no record earlier than Hadrian，and the Aegicoreis serve alone on a stele of that date，11．5．
    ${ }^{2}$ 1．3．Cf．1．3．${ }^{3}$ Bekk．，Anerd．1． 170 ．10．1．271．
    ${ }^{3}$ Ib．1．309．Cf．Polf．vill．131，where it is called to Mprixou xdduco and the name derived from its architect Mintixos．
    ${ }^{7}$ See W．Kersten，De Cysico guasth．egismaph．Halle dissert．1886．See also Ahrens（Khein．Afus．，xvit．335）．Lolling（Ath．Mithh．）x1ti．307．Clodius Fasti Ionici，Halle dissert． $\mathbf{1 8 8 3}$ ．

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ Boedromion occurs at Olbia, a colony of Miletus, where all known months (viz. Anthesterion, Apatureon, Kalamacon, Lenaeon, Panersus) coincide with the Cyzicene (see Latyschev, Inscrr. Or. Sept. Alaris Eurini, 1.). Taureon in Herondas vil. 86.
    ${ }^{2}$ I. 16.
    3 Anthesterion is known also at Apollonia, v. 233?
    ${ }^{4}$ Ahrens, loc. cit. 329.
    ${ }^{3}$ With regard to the subdivision of the months, the tripartite scheme (ci. $\phi \theta$ inouros Inscr. 1. 8, 9, dxiontos 1. 10) seems to have been given up in Imperial times in favour of the simpler system of our own day (cf. 1. 13, Өapphiĉ̀vos $\theta$ : 1. 14, A

[^180]:    ${ }^{2}$ Inscr. 1V. 4, 23, 82, $88 .{ }^{2}$ 11. 24. ${ }^{1}$ Stompoets, cf. Str. 629, Inser. IV. 4, 23.
    ${ }^{4}$ v. 127. The word is discussed at some length in $/ . A . S .$, xxil. 359, in connection with a possibly Christian inscription mentioning a female dadrovos.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. especially v. 26 A.

[^181]:    ${ }^{1}$ Strategi and archontes are identical on coins. Cf. B. M. Caf. : Lydia preface C. I. and Le Bas W. 1044 otparrilas Aúp Thuoxparou a' apxorros. Imhoof, K7. M.,
    
    ${ }^{2}$ IV. 40 . ${ }^{2}$ C.I.G. 2160.
    ${ }^{4}$ See B.C.EJ., XV111., 1894 , p. 400 ff.
    
    
    ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Cf. the apparition of Athena, the (Cyzicene?) troops sent from Poemanenum, and the honorary decree. C.I.G. $3598=$ Dörpf. p. $465,37$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Arch. Ziti. 1873, 57 Mavaular appears as AION, which, being corroborated by Dörpf. ( x . $\mathbf{5 8} 8$ ), is perhaps an engraver's crror.

    - 1.24.
    ${ }^{10}$ Mionn. $180=$ B. M. $2167{ }^{11}$ C.I.G. 3680.
    ${ }^{25}$ C.S.G. 4033, 4034.

[^182]:    ${ }^{2}$ 1. 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ 1v. 70.
    © Paus. vi. 4, 6, ef. also v. 21, 3 .

    * Suidss, s.v. Tevxpos.

[^183]:    ${ }^{1}$ 1. 19, ef. 1. ro. It is curious that both inscriptions are from Eski Manyas, where there is stijl a great yearly gathering. Can Poemanenum (with its Asclepius temple) have been a preimperial centre, Cyzicus as a free city not being available?
    ${ }^{2}$ For records of these and other Cyzicene games see index "Games and Festivals" after 1v. in the Catatogue of Inscriptions.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Boeckh ad C.I.G. 3674- ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Inv. Coll. Wadd. jr 5. ${ }^{\text {W. Ir. 4, } 8 .}$

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Pauly, Real-Encyclopadie, where all available evidence is collected.
    ${ }^{3}$ Str. 649. ${ }^{3}$ Philostr. Vir. Sophist. 1. 11.2.
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ In Digest Xxvil. $1,6$.
     $\pi a r p l d o s$ (sc. dpxieptus), and Ramsay Phryg. 600.

    7 The formula "Aciapxys $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ of course refers to an actual second term of office.

[^185]:    ${ }^{1}$ An asterisk after a reference to a publicalion indicates that the monument in quesion is there illustrated.
    ${ }^{2}$ Now in the courtyard of the $\Phi$ indohoyuds $\Sigma u \lambda \lambda o y o s ~ a t ~ C o n s t a n t i n o p l e . ~ . ~$
    ${ }^{3}$ See Vignetie: now in the possession of MrA. E. Henderson.

[^186]:    ${ }^{2}$ The lower half is now at A. Triada, Penderma.

[^187]:    ${ }^{1}$ Strangford Coll. Unknowa provenance, but many of the names are characteristic of Cyzicus.
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Daughter of Nenelaus the Asiarch, strategus under Alex. Severus.

[^188]:    
    
    
    
    

[^189]:    ${ }^{1}$ The relief is figured in Clarac a1 4 , pl. 356, Daremberg \&. v. Arbre.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above P. 217, fig. 1g.

[^190]:     $\left.\delta_{\pi}\right] \lambda_{0} y$, the dedicator's name having been lost with the lower part of the atele.
    
    
     v่ไxำ.

[^191]:    ${ }^{2}$ For the (restored) name cf. Inser. III. $\mathbf{z}_{7}$. The stele was probably dedicated to Apollo and Artemis.
    ${ }^{2}$ The name of Zeus and an epithel are probably disguised in this extraordinary word.

[^192]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have these particulars from M. Th. Makris of Panderma. The stone is said to be in Brusa, 50 it seems worth while 10 record its true provenance.
    ${ }^{2}$ Le Bas saw this inseription in Spiegelthal's possession writh v. 173 A (q. v.), and the Zekitas and Syceni are mentioned in it.
    
    

[^193]:    
    
    

    1. I Nilka(y)[8pos M]arraydoou? 1. 7 тt]s тyrßopuxos?
[^194]:    ${ }^{2}$ Greek inscription in Latin character.
    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Boeckh connects this with. C. J.G. 3383 (v. 280 A), and the name is a favourite at Cyzicus.
    *The provemance is doubtful.
    8"Athenis Cons"polim delata"; the same is said of C.J.G. 975.

[^195]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is probably not sepulchral, ef. vi. 17 .
     (Last line) X $\beta \phi^{\circ}$.

[^196]:    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ пресиддаа хаípe.
    ' (bilingual).
    

[^197]:    
    
    
    'In Mansoleum annexe, no number ("Presented by Col. F. Warren, R.A.').

[^198]:    
    
    
    

[^199]:    
    
    
    
    
     cf. below (35) and /.G. Nir. 911.
    
    
    

[^200]:    ${ }^{1}$ C. J.L. 7178 (Eph. F.p.11. 351) 'between Musatcha and Pasha Chitlik' seems to be a fuller reading of the same stone.
    
     Inscr. 173.
    
    

[^201]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. v. $219 \dagger_{1}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ For siracráotov ef. Ramsay Phryg. 11. 647: juyootárỵ oceurs in Mour. kal
    
    

    - So Preger, Epigr. Gr. 47. Cf. Keil in Hermes 1897, 505 (note) and 507.
    
    
    

[^202]:    ${ }^{1}$ These inseriptions are usually accompanied by ineised outlines of human feet, often in pairs, each foot being inseribed with the name of an athlete. A second inscription exhorts the neoi to 'remember for good' their departed comrades: cf. outside Cyzicus Frinckel /ruschrr. Pery. 574, C.I.G. 4945 -6.
    ${ }^{2}$ No. 30 is dated by the name of the hipparch Claudia Ptolemais.
    ${ }^{*}$ Many varieties of these weights are exhibited at the British Museum (ef. Cat. Brontes 3000) and Dr Mordtmann of Constantinople has a large collection from Cyzicus so far as I know unpublished.

[^203]:    - Leo in Lequien (vir.).
    ${ }^{4}$ Sepuichral of Mandron Mnesiptolemi, Wilamowitz Nordion. Steine 63 (23)*.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'A ${ }^{2} \mathrm{a}$
    - Kal. Ianuar. Sengio Sirturnino et Aurel... (consuls?).
    ${ }^{7}$ Lucas prints this under Cyzicus and Eski Shehr.

[^204]:    ${ }^{1}$ See also Foreign Inserr．Brusa，FIeraclia．

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. below (Altouda). ${ }^{2}$ Cf. above (Aphrodisias (I)).
    ${ }^{3}$ See Inscr. 11. $1_{7}(=$ C. F.G. 366 ) for this person, and cf. C.J.G. 6837 (Venice).
    The Perinthus inscription is given to Cyzicus by Dessau, Prsspfographia, $33^{38}$.
    ${ }^{1}=$ Schliemann, Mios, 636, Arch. Zrit. xNX, 57, A.-E. Afith. xv. 8.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Inser. III. 21.

[^206]:    ${ }^{1}$ The fabric of the coins of Valerian aud Gallienus is so bad that I have had little compunction in fusing many of Mionnet's variations.

[^207]:    1 Acta SS. Aug. 21.
    2 Ibid. Apr. 39.
    ${ }^{1}$ Inscrr. V1. 46 ff.

[^208]:    1 I have here aimed at a complete list of published travellers in the country; including also such papers on History, Monuments, Numismatics, ctc, as seemed useful additions. The sources quoted in the section on Roads give some idea of the relative value of the various travellers' accounts, many of which are very slight and archaeologically valueless, A dagger ( $\dagger$ ) preceding the author's name denotes works I have been unable to consult, an asterisk (*) those that bave come to my hands too late for incorporation in the text.

[^209]:    ${ }^{1}$ See B.S.A. xil. 211.

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ See J. B. Pearson, Absfnat of the Diary of John Patmer, Cambridge, 1899.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ifis letters (B. M. Add. MSS. $22,99^{\circ}$, ff. $98-100$ ) contain a brief journal of his tmevels in this district but no archaeological details.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pococke's map of the Sea of Marmara is a very detaited plece of work, much in advance of contemporary maps. The original was obtained from the chaplain at Constantinople (Thos. Lisle) and is probably the one mentioned by D'Anville (Mem. Soc. Inserr. xxvni. 318) as made by a resident. It was used again by Lechevalier.

[^211]:    ${ }^{1}$ The description is by $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{C}$ (hastel) the netual author of the book. It is the clearest and fullest early descriptioo except Cyriac's.
    ${ }^{2}$ The author attempts to locate the battle on the upper Granicus.

