

KEY ISSUES IN Glaucoma Management

A CONTINUING EDUCATION REVIEW FOR OPTOMETRISTS FROM THE NEW ENGLAND COLLEGE OF OPTOMETRY



ISSUE 2

Current Medical Treatment of Glaucoma

BRUCE E. ONOFREY, RPH, OD, FAAO

With a wide range of medical therapies for glaucoma, it is imperative to assess both the efficacy and safety of an agent to make the best choice for the individual patient.

Medical therapy is the cornerstone of disease management for the majority of glaucoma patients. In the developed world, the standard initial intervention for glaucoma is a topical ocular medication, typically a prostaglandin analog (PGA). Non-pharmaceutical

treatments, including laser therapies and surgery involving blebs, stents, and/or filtering devices, are typically withheld as options to fall back on when initial medical therapy fails.

Untreated glaucoma can cause progressive visual loss potentially leading to severe visual disability. Once the decision to begin medical treatment is made, the goal is clear: to reduce progression risk by preventing, or at least slowing, glaucomatous damage to the optic nerve. To this day, lowering intraocular pressure (IOP) has been the only means to accomplish this goal, regardless of the stage of the disease.

BENEFIT OF IOP REDUCTION

Elevated IOP has been established as the main risk factor for disease evolution in glaucoma, and there is strong evidence that strict IOP control can delay progression of the disease.¹⁻⁶ In the Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial, each 1-mm-Hg decrease in IOP was associated with a roughly 10% reduction in the risk of visual field or optic disc progression.⁶ In the treated group (mean IOP reduction: 25%), progression risk decreased by half compared to untreated controls. In the Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study, patients whose IOP was under 18 mm Hg at every visit over 6 years had almost no visual field progression, while patients whose IOP was over 18 mm Hg on half

or more of their visits had worsening of about 0.63 units in visual field defect score.⁵

Commonly used IOP-lowering drugs can be divided into four major classes: PGAs, beta-blockers, alpha-agonists, and carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (CAIs). Also available are fixed combinations of glaucoma drugs—usually from different classes and with additive mechanisms of action. With two or more agents combined into a single bottle, fixed-combination formulations aim to maximize efficacy and improve compliance.

PROSTAGLANDIN ANALOGS

Because they are relatively safe and can lower IOP by more than 30% with once-a-day dosing, PGAs are the most popular first-line agents for glaucoma in the US and much of the rest of the world.⁷⁻⁹ The introduction of PGAs almost 20 years ago revolutionized the management of glaucoma; at the time, only filtering surgery could reliably produce that degree of IOP-lowering. PGAs quickly became the drugs of choice for glaucoma, while the use of other medications, as well as surgery, dropped dramatically.

In addition to efficacy, the PGAs are notable for a paucity of systemic (cardiovascular or pulmonary) side effects because these compounds are rapidly cleared from the bloodstream. Mild ocular side effects, however, are not uncommon, including darkening of periocular skin, irreversible darkening of the iris, growth of lashes,

TARGET AUDIENCE This educational activity is intended for optometrists.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES Upon completion of this activity, participants will be able to:

1. Select appropriate medical therapy for the individual patient by evaluating both the efficacy and safety of glaucoma drugs.
2. Identify ocular perfusion pressure as a risk factor for glaucoma progression.
3. Identify barriers to medication adherence among their patients.
4. Take steps to reduce nonadherence among glaucoma patients in their practices.

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Baharak Asefzadeh, OD, MS, FAAO, is an adjunct assistant professor of optometry at the New England College of Optometry and director of the VA Boston Optometric Research Fellowship.

Tony Cavallerano, OD, FAAO, is an adjunct professor of optometry at the New England College of Optometry, where he is also the director of professional relations and the executive director of clinical training and patient care.

Mark T. Dunbar, OD, FAAO, is the director of optometric services and optometry residency supervisor at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine.

Key Issues in Glaucoma Management: A Review for Optometrists is sponsored by the New England College of Optometry and supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Bausch + Lomb, Inc. This publication is administered by an independent editorial committee.

© 2015 Candeo Clinical/Science Communications, LLC. All rights reserved. Neither the New England College of Optometry nor Candeo Clinical/Science Communications, LLC, assumes any responsibility for injury or damage to persons or property arising from the use of information or ideas contained in this publication.

COURSE DIRECTOR

Tony Cavallerano, OD, FAAO
New England College of Optometry
Boston, MA, USA



More INSIDE:

Improving Adherence to Glaucoma Medications

Baharak Asefzadeh, OD, MS, FAAO

periorbital fat loss, stinging, and conjunctival hyperemia. Although most of these effects are cosmetic, some patients find them worrisome or unacceptable, making it important to counsel patients about the potential ocular effects of PGAs beforehand. Also, caution should be used when these medications are used in only one eye because of potential for asymmetric ocular side effects.

BETA-BLOCKERS

Beta-blockers were once the main-

stay medical treatment for glaucoma. These agents lower IOP by decreasing aqueous production; the effect—at least a 25% pressure reduction—occurs primarily during the day.¹⁰ Although highly effective and generally well tolerated, in susceptible individuals beta-blockers can produce cardiovascular and respiratory side effects, including bradycardia, arrhythmia, heart block, and bronchiolar constriction. They can also cause sexual dysfunction. Adverse central nervous system effects are also common, ranging from weakness to

depression to hallucinations. In people with diabetes, use of beta-blockers can mask hypoglycemic signs and symptoms, sometimes resulting in dangerously low blood sugar. Additionally, beta-blockers have the potential to raise serum triglycerides, and thereby increase the risk of cardiovascular disease. If used in patients who are highly allergic to substances like peanuts or insect venom, beta-blockers can reduce the efficacy of injected epinephrine.

Clinically, it is vital to identify patients who may be susceptible to these potential dangers. Contraindications to beta-blocker use include asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, bradycardia, and congestive heart failure. A careful clinical history is often helpful in recognizing patients at risk. When a topical beta-blocker is prescribed, patients should be told of its potential systemic side effects and instructed to measure blood pressure and pulse regularly.

SELECTIVE ALPHA AGONISTS

Alpha-2 agonists lower IOP by about 20–25%, but the dosing schedule for monotherapy—three times daily—is inconvenient. These agents can, however, be used in combination with other drugs, allowing for twice-a-day dosing. Alpha-2 agonists are generally well-tolerated but may stimulate alpha-2 receptors of the central nervous system and produce adverse systemic reactions such as low blood pressure and orthostatic hypotension. Alpha-2 agonists can also cause allergic responses, at rates ranging from 12% to 25%.¹¹ They should be avoided or used with caution in children due to the potential for central nervous system depression.

The two selective alpha-agonists in clinical use today are apraclonidine and brimonidine. Apraclonidine, the first relatively selective alpha-2 agonist available, was initially used to treat open-angle glaucoma. Allergy and diminution of therapeutic effect with repeated use (tachyphylaxis) have limited its usefulness to short-term applications, such as preventing pressure spikes after anterior segment laser pro-

KEY ISSUES IN GLAUCOMA MANAGEMENT — Issue 2

STATEMENT OF NEED

Glaucoma, a group of ocular diseases characterized by progressive damage to the optic nerve, is the second leading cause of blindness worldwide. It affects a significant and growing portion of the US population.¹²

As primary eyecare providers, medical optometrists are well positioned to identify patients at risk and to diagnose, monitor, and treat glaucoma. However, given that the expanded scope of practice incorporating glaucoma treatment is relatively new, many optometrists lack confidence in their ability to treat this potentially blinding disease. In order to instill confidence and help optometrists make sound clinical judgments about the care of glaucoma patients, *Key Issues in Glaucoma Management* will help optometrists better understand the various aspects and nuances of the disease, including our current understanding of the role of intraocular pressure (IOP) in glaucomatous optic nerve damage. Course content will also include current rationale on glaucoma diagnosis and evidence-based strategies for reducing IOP.

Each installment of *Key Issues in Glaucoma Management* will look at an important topic in glaucoma diagnosis or therapy. Each issue will build from a basic level to instill understanding and confidence in medical optometrists. *Key Issues in Glaucoma Management* aims to support optometrists' clinical reasoning and decision-making abilities and help them turn medical management of glaucoma into a vital segment of their practices.

REFERENCES

1. Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Etya'ale D, et al. Global data on visual impairment in the year 2002. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2004 November;82(11):844-51.
2. Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group. Prevalence of open-angle glaucoma among adults in the United States. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2004;122:532-8.

OFF-LABEL USE STATEMENT

This work may discuss off-label uses of medications.

GENERAL INFORMATION

This CE activity is sponsored by the New England College of Optometry and is supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Bausch + Lomb, Inc.

Directions: Circle the best answer to each question in the exam (questions 1–10) and in the evaluation (questions 11–16). The New England College of Optometry designates this activity for a maximum of 1 hour of COPE-approved continuing education credit. There is no fee to participate in this activity. In order to receive CE credit, participants should read the report and then take the post-test. A score of 70% is required to qualify for CE credit. Estimated time to complete the activity is 60 minutes. On completion, tear out or photocopy the answer sheet and send it to:

New England College of Optometry
ATTN: Ms. Margery Warren
424 Beacon Street
Boston, MA 02115

DATE OF ORIGINAL RELEASE December 2015. Approved for a period of 24 months.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

This activity has been planned and implemented through the joint sponsorship of the New England College of Optometry and Candeco Clinical/Science Communications, LLC. The New England College of Optometry is accredited by The Council on Optometric Practitioner Education® (COPE®), created by the Association of Regulatory Boards of Optometry (ARBO) to accredit continuing education on behalf of optometric licensing boards.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

The New England College of Optometry designates this activity for a maximum of 1 hour of COPE-approved continuing education credit. Clinicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

Baharak Asefzadeh, OD, MS, FAAO, is an adjunct assistant professor of optometry at the New England College of Optometry and director of the VA Boston Optometric Research Fellowship. She has no financial disclosures related to this activity.

Tony Cavallerano, OD, FAAO, is an adjunct professor of optometry at the New England College of Optometry, where he is also the Director of Professional Relations and the Executive Director of Clinical Training and Patient Care. He has no financial disclosures related to this activity.

Mark T. Dunbar, OD, FAAO, is the director of optometric services and optometry residency supervisor at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine. He states that in the last 12 months, he has been a consultant for Allergan and has participated in advisory boards for Carl Zeiss, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bio-Tissue, ArcticDx, and B&L Nutrition.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Bruce E. Onofrey, RPh, OD, FAAO, is a clinical professor at the University of Houston College of Optometry in Houston, TX. He is a founding member of the Optometric Glaucoma Society and author of two textbooks, the *Ocular Therapeutics Handbook* and *Clinical Optometric Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. He has no financial disclosures related to this activity.

DISCLAIMER

Participants have an implied responsibility to use the newly acquired information to enhance patient outcomes and professional development. The information presented in this activity is not meant to serve as a guideline for patient care. Any procedures, medications, or other courses of diagnosis or treatment discussed or suggested in this activity should not be used by clinicians without evaluation of their patients' conditions and possible contraindications or dangers in use, applicable manufacturers' product information, and comparison with recommendations of other authorities.

COMMERCIAL SUPPORTERS

This activity is supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Bausch + Lomb, Inc.

cedures. Brimonidine, which is more alpha-2 selective than apraclonidine, is more appropriate for chronic therapy.¹²

CARBONIC ANHYDRASE INHIBITORS

Reducing IOP by about 20%, CAIs have less IOP-lowering efficacy than PGAs.^{13,14} Because they reduce IOP by decreasing aqueous production, these sulfonamide agents are often used adjunctively with PGAs, which lower IOP by increasing non-trabecular aqueous outflow (uveoscleral outflow). Like PGAs, topical CAIs have no effect on blood pressure, heart rate, or pulmonary function.

But because they are sulfonamides, CAIs can cause allergic reactions in sensitive patients. Oral CAIs (eg, acetazolamide) are also associated with a number of systemic side effects, including dehydration, metabolic acidosis, renal calculus formation, paresthesias, taste disturbances, hematologic abnormalities, and sickle cell crisis in susceptible patients. Since topical CAIs (dorzolamide and brinzolamide) have become available, the use of oral CAIs today is generally limited to angle closure glaucoma and secondary forms of glaucoma such as uveitic glaucoma.

MEDICATION SELECTION

There are two key factors in selecting any medication: efficacy and safety. The PGAs are today's preferred choice for initial therapy owing to their combination of greater IOP-lowering efficacy and systemic safety. Before initiating treatment, clinicians should obtain a thorough history and determine whether their drug of choice is safe for that particular patient. In the case of a PGA, the side effects are, as noted, mainly local and cosmetic. But when an alternative or a second agent is warranted, systemic risks such as cardiovascular or pulmonary disease or allergy become important considerations. Patients who take more than one topical eye medication at the same time of day must be reminded to wait at least 5 minutes between medications, so that each medication has suf-

ficient time to penetrate into the eye.

A review of clinical evidence and expert opinions suggests that a PGA coupled with a topical CAI may be the best combination to lower IOP.^{15,16} The pair synergistically reduces IOP with minimal systemic risk. My own primary choice of drug is a PGA, followed by a topical CAI. After that I would add either an alpha-agonist or a beta-blocker. If three medications cannot bring the patient to target IOP, the patient should probably be referred for laser or surgical intervention.

Patient compliance is critically important to the success of chronic medical therapy for glaucoma. Patients must understand that glaucoma is a lifetime disease, that the damage from open-angle glaucoma is usually imperceptible from one day to the next, and the success of therapy requires commitment to the medication regimen and continuing assessment. In addition to teaching the importance of adherence, clinicians can help patients by choosing a simpler medication regimen with as few doses per day as possible, and by selecting agents that are safe and comfortable to use.

Most glaucoma eye drops, especially preserved ones, can cause minor disruptions in the ocular surface and can exacerbate dry eye signs and symptoms. Treating pre-existing dry eye and other ocular surface conditions may help improve tolerability and prevent noncompliance. Of course, if a topical lubricant is used at the same time as glaucoma medications, it should be applied last and at least 5 minutes after any glaucoma medication.

OCULAR PERFUSION PRESSURE

Recent clinical studies have provided strong evidence that low perfusion pressure is connected to glaucoma damage and progression.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ A reflection of vascular status at the optic disc, ocular perfusion pressure is defined as the difference between the mean arterial blood pressure and IOP. It is believed that perfusion pressures lower than 50 to 55 mm Hg are associated with increased risk of glaucoma

CORE CONCEPTS

- The goal of glaucoma treatment is to stop or slow disease progression. Current glaucoma medications achieve this almost exclusively through reduction of IOP.
- Glaucoma medications lower IOP by either decreasing aqueous humor production or increasing aqueous outflow.
- The success of medical glaucoma therapy is determined by not only IOP-lowering efficacy but also by absence of side effects and patient compliance.
- Highly effective in lowering IOP, and having virtually no significant cardiovascular or pulmonary side effects, PGAs are the most often selected first-line agents for initial treatment of glaucoma.
- Ocular perfusion pressure is a newly recognized risk factor for glaucoma progression.
- Compliance is a critical aspect of the medical management of glaucoma. Educating patients about glaucoma and available therapeutic choices can help improve compliance with treatment and follow-up.

progression.²⁰

Maintaining ocular perfusion pressure at appropriate levels may be beneficial in the treatment of glaucoma. By definition, the perfusion pressure increases as blood pressure increases and as IOP drops. The PGAs and CAIs, with little effect on blood pressure or cardiac output, may enhance perfusion pressure by lowering IOP alone. The alpha-agonists and beta-blockers, on the other hand, have a greater potential to reduce blood pressure and cardiac output. As a result, they may lower perfusion pressure and thus increase the risk of progression, which may to some degree undermine their IOP-lowering effect.

One new agent, latanoprostene bunod, which is not approved in the US but is in phase III clinical trials,

combines the PGA latanoprost with a nitric acid donating moiety and may have a positive impact on perfusion pressure as well as on IOP.

At present, blood pressure is not routinely measured in patients with glaucoma. Including this parameter in glaucoma management will allow us to evaluate the perfusion pressure at follow-up visits and perhaps improve the efficacy of glaucoma treatment. In cases where a glaucoma patient is also being treated for high blood pressure, IOP reduction may be helped by a discussion with the primary care physician of the possibility of lowering the dose of blood pressure medication or changing the dosing schedule from the evening to the morning.

The clinical significance of ocular perfusion pressure, though in need of further elucidation, highlights the impact of other factors on the disease process of glaucoma. In the end, medical management of glaucoma is not just about pharmacology. It is also about our knowledge of all the variables that contribute to the onset and progression of the disease.

Bruce E. Onofrey, RPh, OD, FAAO, is a clinical professor at the University of Houston College of Optometry in Houston, TX. He is a founding member of the Optometric Glaucoma Society and author of two textbooks, the Ocular Therapeutics

Handbook and Clinical Optometric Pharmacology and Therapeutics. He has no financial disclosures related to this activity. Medical writer Ying Guo, PhD, MBBS, assisted in the preparation of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson DR. Glaucoma: the damage caused by pressure. XLVI Edward Jackson memorial lecture. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1989;108(5):485-95.
2. Quigley HA. Neuronal death in glaucoma. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 1999;18(1):39-57.
3. Collaborative Normal-Tension Glaucoma Study Group. Comparison of glaucomatous progression between untreated patients with normal-tension glaucoma and patients with therapeutically reduced intraocular pressures. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1998;126(4):487-97.
4. Collaborative Normal-Tension Glaucoma Study Group. The effectiveness of intraocular pressure reduction in the treatment of normal-tension glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1998;126(4):498-505.
5. The AGIS Investigators. The Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS): 7. The relationship between control of intraocular pressure and visual field deterioration. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2000;130(4):429-40.
6. Leske MC, Heijl A, Hussein M, Bengtsson B, Hyman L, Komaroff E; Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial Group. Factors for glaucoma progression and the effect of treatment: the early manifest glaucoma trial. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2003;121(1):48-56.
7. Alm A, Stjernschantz J. Effects on intraocular pressure and side effects of 0.005% latanoprost applied once daily, evening or morning. A comparison with timolol. Scandinavian Latanoprost Study Group. *Ophthalmology.* 1995;102(12):1743-52.
8. Watson P, Stjernschantz J. A six-month, randomized, double-masked study comparing latanoprost with timolol in open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. The Latanoprost Study Group. *Ophthalmology.* 1996;103(1):126-37.
9. Camras CB. Comparison of latanoprost and timolol in patients with ocular hypertension and glaucoma: a six-month masked, multicenter trial in

- the United States. The United States Latanoprost Study Group. *Ophthalmology.* 1996;103(1):138-47.
10. Liu JH, Kripke DE, Weinreb RN. Comparison of the nocturnal effects of once-daily timolol and latanoprost on intraocular pressure. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2004;138(3):389-95.
11. Blondeau P1, Rousseau JA. Allergic reactions to brimonidine in patients treated for glaucoma. *Can J Ophthalmol.* 2002 Feb;37(1):21-6.
12. Burke J, Schwartz M. Preclinical evaluation of brimonidine. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 1996;41 Suppl 1:S9-18.
13. Lippa EA, Carlson LE, Ehinger B, et al. Dose response and duration of action of dorzolamide, a topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitor. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1992;110(4):495-9.
14. Strahlman E, Tipping R, Vogel R. A double-masked, randomized 1-year study comparing dorzolamide (Trusopt), timolol, and betaxolol. International Dorzolamide Study Group. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1995;113(8):1009-16.
15. Singh K, Lee BL, Wilson MR; Glaucoma Modified RAND-Like Methodology Group. A panel assessment of glaucoma management: modification of existing RAND-like methodology for consensus in ophthalmology. Part II: Results and interpretation. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2008;145(3):575-81.
16. Bateman DN, Clark R, Azuara-Blanco A. The effects of new topical treatments on management of glaucoma in Scotland: an examination of ophthalmological health care. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2002 May;86(5):551-4.
17. Leske MC, Wu SY, Hennis A, et al. Risk Factors for Incident Open-Angle Glaucoma: The Barbados Eye Studies. *Ophthalmology.* 2008;115:85-93.
18. Leske MC, Heijl A, Hyman L, Bengtsson B, Dong L, Yang Z, EMGT Group. Predictors of long-term progression in the early manifest glaucoma trial. *Ophthalmology.* 2007; 114(11):1965-72.
19. Hulsman CA, Vingerling JR, Hofman A, et al. Blood pressure, arterial stiffness, and open-angle glaucoma: the Rotterdam study. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2007;125:805-12.
20. Leske MC. Ocular perfusion pressure and glaucoma: clinical trial and epidemiologic findings. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* 2009 Mar;20(2):73-8.

Improving Adherence to Glaucoma Medications

BAHARAK ASEFZADEH, OD, MS, FAAO

Medication nonadherence is one of the most important issues in glaucoma care. Understanding its complex origins is the first step in helping steer patients toward greater control of their disease.

As eyecare providers, our most diligent efforts to identify and treat patients with glaucoma are thwarted when patients fail to take their medications. Proper adherence requires that patients fill their prescriptions, instill the drops with appropriate technique and timing, and do so with daily consistency.¹ A breakdown in any step compromises adherence and threatens efficacy.

Improving adherence requires that we acknowledge glaucoma patients' central role in their disease management and use the most effective means available for supporting them. Good communication is key to uncovering barriers to adherence and providing practical strategies for eliminating or reducing those barriers.

SCOPE OF THE PROBLEM

Medication nonadherence is highly prevalent among patients being treated for glaucoma.²⁻⁴ A 2005 literature review showed that up to 80% of patients deviate significantly from their prescribed antihypertensive treatment regimen. Roughly one in 13 newly diagnosed patients never fills their first prescription for anti-glaucoma medication.⁵ Among newly diagnosed patients who fill their prescriptions, persistence (defined as starting and continuing therapy as prescribed for a certain period of time) has been estimated at 50% at 6 months and about 31% at 12 months.^{3,4,6}

Successful therapy initiation and persistence in the early months is critically important for successful long-term adherence. According to one study using insurance records, patients with “persistently good adherence”

(defined as possessing the correct amount of medication at least 80% of the time) within the first 12 months of ocular hypotensive therapy continued to have at least moderately good persistence over the course of the subsequent 3 years. Conversely, patients with persistently “very poor” or “declining” adherence in year 1 rarely achieved good adherence in later years.⁵

Barriers to adherence vary widely, and most patients have multiple barriers. In one survey, 10% of glaucoma patients reported a single barrier to adherence, and 61% reported multiple barriers.⁷ Common barriers to medication adherence include polypharmacy/complex regimens, forgetfulness, cost, and difficulty instilling drops due to arthritis or other physical comorbidities. Poor health literacy—for example, poor understanding of what is required for appropriate disease management—may lower patient engagement and medication adherence.¹ In focus groups, patients cite insufficient knowledge of glaucoma as a leading barrier to optimal adherence to glaucoma treatment.⁸ Even patients with a good understanding of their disease and strong motivation may be frustrated by the lack of identifiable payoff to taking their drops.

Patients' perceptions and beliefs directly affect their willingness to engage meaningfully in their care.⁹ Patients who are not aware that their condition is serious or do not believe

that their medications will help are less likely to adhere to therapy, particularly if they are experiencing side effects or have other justification for stopping. In contrast, believing that you have control over your disease—called “self-efficacy”—increases engagement and likelihood of success.⁹

IDENTIFYING NONADHERENCE

Patients often report much higher adherence than found when electronic monitoring is used in studies.¹ In general, physicians are poor predictors of patient adherence.¹⁰ A useful way to gain better insight into patient prac-



FIGURE 1 Good communication is often the doctor's best weapon against nonadherence.

tices is to ask questions that are both open-ended and specific (eg, “How often do you take your drops?”), rather than closed (answerable by yes or no; eg, “Are you taking your drops?”) or just skimming the surface (eg, “How are you doing with your drops?”). A patient's loved one or caregiver may provide information that the patient will not; when they accompany the patient to the office, I involve them in the conversation and inquire about the patient's medication-taking and any barriers to adherence (Figure 1).

Begin to suspect nonadherence when IOP does not decrease as expected in a new patient or when previously controlled IOP starts to creep back up. In the latter case, waning medication effectiveness should also be considered. In some settings, such as a Veterans Administration hospital

system, pharmacy data is accessible to clinicians, providing a useful means for uncovering discrepancies between patient reporting of medication usage and refill frequency. In most instances, though, refill information is not available to providers, and we depend on patients to tell us the truth.

COMMUNICATION MATTERS TO PATIENTS

Managing glaucoma can be frustrating for both patient and doctor. Rather than performing a clearly positive function for patients, like alleviating suffering or restoring lost vision, ocular hypotensive medications typically counter a silent process. In some ways, patients are taking a leap of faith when they follow our recommendation for lifelong medication.

Considering the level of trust required, it is not surprising that many patients place a high value on the quality (and quantity) of interactions they have with their eye care provider. One of the simplest and most meaningful things we can do to build trust with patients is to listen. In one survey, when patients were asked about perceived barriers to effective glaucoma control, the most common responses related to pitfalls in the doctor–patient relationship. Specifically, patients lamented doctors not taking time to talk with them, ask questions, or listen to their concerns.⁷

One study showed that, in a 16-minute office visit, only 49 seconds were devoted to proper use of medications. That’s clearly inadequate! A separate study showed that physicians who communicate well have 19% higher adherence rates compared with those who communicate poorly.¹¹

ADHERENCE STRATEGIES

Another strategy to help patients stay on track is to keep medication regimens as simple and as convenient as possible. A well-known tenet of prescribing, one that is particularly important to glaucoma care, is to use once-a-day medication whenever possible.

At the time of diagnosis, patients

should be counseled on what to expect from their disease and its treatment, including side effects. When prescribing prostaglandin analogs, for example, an upfront conversation about the potential for lash lengthening, iris changes, or loss of periorbital fat can prepare patients, should any of these occur. Also, providing guidelines for notifying the office can help patients keep minor side effects in perspective: for example, explain to patients that a bit of stinging with medication is normal, but anything worse should prompt a phone call.

To assess their understanding, sometimes asking surprise questions is helpful—for example, saying to the patient, “You tell me: what is glaucoma?” A surprising number of patients confuse glaucoma with cataracts. When they do, they are less inclined to worry about it because they wrongly presume that an outpatient surgery will fix it down the road. By simply asking the question, misunderstandings that may be contributing to nonadherence have a chance to surface, providing an opportunity to remedy that by educating the patient on the spot.

CAREFUL LISTENING

Listen for implied or explicitly stated barriers to success so that you can tailor a solution. For example, a patient who forgets to take her medication needs a way to remember, such as a visual reminder or linking her bedtime dose to an already ingrained habit such as brushing their teeth. By contrast, a patient who holds the belief that his medication is of no importance will not benefit from a discussion about reminder systems. Rather, this patient needs to be invited to express the underlying reasons for his cynicism so they can be addressed.

When time is limited, it is valuable to have a staff member—such as a nurse, nurse practitioner, technician, or coach—available to counsel patients who are at risk for nonadherence. Adjunctive communications such as pamphlets (eg, containing disease and treatment information as well as answers to commonly asked ques-

CORE CONCEPTS

- Helping patients adhere to medical therapy can save their vision.
- Nonadherence is widespread among glaucoma patients; its origins may relate to psychological, circumstantial, or treatment-related barriers.
- Patient beliefs about their disease and their treatment impacts medication adherence.
- Good communication can help identify and eliminate barriers to adherence.
- Effective communication includes asking specific, open-ended questions and listening carefully and respectfully to responses.
- To remedy nonadherence, address individual patients’ specific barriers to success.
- More research is needed to understand how much adherence is necessary for good outcomes.

tions) and/or waiting room videos can be useful in reinforcing verbal messages. Using point-of-care tools to help patients visualize their disease can help ground conversations and make abstract ideas more real to patients.

Even for patients who are not very forgetful, reminders may improve adherence. One study showed that the use of telephone and text reminders significantly improved medication adherence among patients on once-daily medical treatment for glaucoma.¹³ The intervention was inexpensive, about \$20 per patient per year, and was well received by patients. However, not all patients stand to benefit from technology-based reminders. One survey of glaucoma patients concluded that email or text-based reminder systems were generally best suited to the under-40 demographic.¹⁴

Smartphone apps that remind patients to take their medications may also be useful. Dozens of phone and tablet apps are available for help-

ing patients stay on course with their therapies, including several specifically for managing eye drop regimens.

FUTURE STUDIES

Surprisingly, no studies show conclusively that improved adherence improves outcomes in glaucoma. As providers, we may agree that adherence is important, but we do not fully understand how the degree of adherence (eg, taking 50% of doses vs 70%) affects visual function. Are different levels of adherence needed at different stages of disease? Research in these and related areas will help us advise patients more effectively.

The impact of nonadherence will be easier to study as IOP-monitoring technology evolves. In addition, I look forward to the day we can prescribe drug-eluting contact lenses, punctal plugs, and other devices that not only improve adherence, but improve drug delivery as well. Effortless adherence plus continuous IOP monitoring will usher in a new era in the medical treatment of glaucoma and render nonadherence a nonissue.

CONCLUSION

The doctor-patient relationship can be the difference between adherence and nonadherence. Spending

more time with patients, nonjudgmental listening, recruiting help from staff and caregivers, and employing patient-specific strategies for overcoming barriers and incorporating eye drops into daily life all can help improve adherence.

Baharak Asefzadeh, OD, MS, FAAO, is an adjunct assistant professor of optometry at the New England College of Optometry and director of the VA Boston Optometric Research Fellowship. She has no financial disclosures related to this activity. Medical writer Noelle Lake, MD, assisted in the preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Muir KW, Lee PP. Glaucoma medication adherence. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2011;129:243-5.
2. Olthoff CM, Schouten JS, van de Borne BW, et al. Noncompliance with ocular hypotensive treatment in patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension: an evidence-based review. *Ophthalmology*. 2005;112:953-61.
3. Reardon G, Kotak S, Schwartz GF. Objective assessment of compliance and persistence among patients treated for glaucoma and ocular hypertension: a systematic review. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2011;5:441-63.
4. Nordstrom BL, Freidman DS, Mozaffari E, et al. Persistence and adherence with topical glaucoma therapy. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2005;140:598-606.
5. Newman-Casey PA, Blachley T, Lee PP, et al. Patterns of Glaucoma Medication Adherence over Four Years of Follow-Up. *Ophthalmology*. 2015 Aug 4. E-pub ahead of print.
6. Waterman H, Evans JR, Gray TA, et al. Interventions for improving adherence to ocular hypotensive therapy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;4:CD006132.
7. Newman-Casey PA, Shtein RM, Coleman AL, et al. Why patients with glaucoma lose vision: the patient perspective. *J Glaucoma*. 2015 Aug 27. Epub ahead of print.
8. Newman-Casey PA, Robin AL, Blachley T, et al. The most common barriers to glaucoma medication adherence: a cross-sectional survey. *Ophthalmology*. 2015;122:1308-16.
9. Morse AR. Improving Medication Adherence to reduce vision loss in patients with glaucoma: low hanging fruit? *Ophthalmology*. 2015;122:1280-2.
10. Schwartz GF, Quigley HA. Adherence and persistence with glaucoma therapy. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2008;53:S57-68.
11. Tarn DM, Paterniti DA, Kravitz RL, et al. How much time does it take to prescribe a new medication? *Patient Educ Couns*. 2008;72(2):311-9.
12. Zolnieriek KBH, DiMatteo MR. Physician communication and patient adherence to treatment: a meta-analysis. *Medical Care*. 2009;47:826-34.
13. Boland MV, Chang DS, Frazier T, et al. Electronic monitoring to assess adherence with once-daily glaucoma medications and risk factors for non-adherence: The Automated Dosing Reminder Study. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2014. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2014.856.
14. Saeedi OJ, Luzuriaga C, Elish N, et al. Potential limitations of e-mail and text messaging in improving adherence in glaucoma and ocular hypertension. *J Glaucoma*. 2015;24:e95-102.

This CE activity is sponsored by the New England College of Optometry and is supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Bausch + Lomb, Inc. **DIRECTIONS:** Circle the best answer to each question in the exam (questions 1–10) and in the evaluation (questions 11–16). The New England College of Optometry designates this activity for a maximum of 1 hour of COPE-approved continuing education credit. There is no fee to participate in this activity. In order to receive CE credit, participants should read the report and then take the posttest. A score of 70% is required to qualify for CE credit. Estimated time to complete the activity is 60 minutes.

1. Which of the following is NOT a potential contraindication to topical beta blocker use?
 - A. Asthma
 - B. Rheumatoid arthritis
 - C. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
 - D. Bradycardia
2. Which of the following characteristics of prostaglandin analogs is most likely to support adherence?
 - A. High efficacy
 - B. Once-daily dosing
 - C. Potential for iris color change
 - D. Over-the-counter availability
3. Which of the following is NOT a potential barrier to adherence?
 - A. Sourcing accurate information about glaucoma from the Internet
 - B. Not understanding the disease
 - C. Distrusting the provider
 - D. Leading a very busy life
4. Which of the following is NOT a potential side effect of PGAs?
 - A. Change of eye color
 - B. Growth of lashes
 - C. Hyperemia
 - D. Sulfonamide allergy
5. Among newly diagnosed glaucoma patients who fill their first prescription, the percentage who remain on the medication at 6 months is approximately:
 - A. 95%
 - B. 80%
 - C. 50%
 - D. 15%
6. In addition to IOP, which one of the following measurements is required to determine ocular perfusion pressure?
 - A. Blood pressure
 - B. Trabecular meshwork status
 - C. Aqueous humor prostaglandin level
 - D. None of the above, perfusion pressure is measured directly
7. Which of the following factors can potentially compromise compliance in glaucoma treatment?
 - A. Failure to communicate the importance of treatment and follow-up visits
 - B. Side effects of glaucoma eye drops
 - C. Ocular surface conditions
 - D. All of the above
8. Effective communication helps achieve which of the following glaucoma-care objectives?
 - A. Identifying medication nonadherence
 - B. Identifying barriers to adherence
 - C. Preventing nonadherence
 - D. All of the above
9. Glaucoma drugs from which class may mask hypoglycemic signs and symptoms in diabetics?
 - A. PGAs
 - B. Beta-blockers
 - C. CAIs
 - D. Alpha-agonists
10. The term “self-efficacy” refers to:
 - A. Patients’ sense of control over their disease
 - B. Patients’ ability to select their medication
 - C. Patients’ ability to instill eye drops properly
 - D. Study design based on self-report

EXAMINATION ANSWER SHEET — KEY ISSUES IN GLAUCOMA MANAGEMENT — Issue 2

This CE activity is sponsored by the New England College of Optometry and is supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Bausch + Lomb, Inc. Directions: Circle the best answer to each question in the exam (questions 1–10) and in the evaluation (questions 11–16). In order to receive CE credit, participants should read the report and then take the posttest. A score of 70% is required to qualify for CE credit. On completion, tear out or photocopy the answer sheet and send it to:

New England College of Optometry, ATTN: Ms. Margery Warren, 424 Beacon Street Boston, MA 02115

CE exam expires November 30, 2017.

ANSWERS:

- | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1. A B C D | 6. A B C D |
| 2. A B C D | 7. A B C D |
| 3. A B C D | 8. A B C D |
| 4. A B C D | 9. A B C D |
| 5. A B C D | 10. A B C D |

EVALUATION:

1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Satisfactory 4=Good 5=Outstanding

11. Extent to which the activity met the identified:
 - Objective 1: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Objective 2: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Objective 3: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Objective 4: 1 2 3 4 5
12. Rate the overall effectiveness of how the activity:
 - Related to my practice: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Will influence how I practice: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Will help me improve patient care: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Stimulated my intellectual curiosity: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Overall quality of material: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Overall met my expectations: 1 2 3 4 5
 - Avoided commercial bias/influence: 1 2 3 4 5
13. Will the information presented cause you to make any changes in your practice? Yes No
14. If yes, please describe: _____
15. How committed are you to making these changes? 1 2 3 4 5
16. Are future activities on this topic important to you? Yes No

If you wish to receive credit for this activity, please fill in the following information. Retain a copy for your records.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	DEGREE
ORGANIZATION/INSTITUTE		
ADDRESS LINE 1		
ADDRESS LINE 2		
CITY	STATE	ZIP
PHONE	FAX	
E-MAIL ADDRESS		