

AD-A241 521

AD UCRL-21008 Vol. 3 Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

91-10 '9 102

# **Evaluation of Military Field-Water Quality** Volume 3. Opportunity Poisons

# V. J. Ciccone and M.-B. Carmer

J. I. Daniels (Editor)

# December 1987

Supported by U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012 Project Order 82PP2817 Project Officer: Dr. Stephen A. Schaub



#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

.

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

AD UCRL-21008 Vol. 3 Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

# **Evaluation of Military Field-Water Quality** Volume 3. Opportunity Poisons

V. J. Ciccone and M.-B. Carmer

J. I. Daniels (Editor)

December 1987

BOPY NSPECTED

Supported by Access U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21761-5012 Project Order 82PP2817 Project Officer: Dr. Stephen A. Schaub Dric Dric Detrick

10049		
NTIC	GPSAL	N
DTIC	Táb	E.
Uationa	orpored	[_ '
Jasti	risarion	موالسياسيان السياري
		- بەتھەتى ، مەھ
<b>\$</b> 7		44-مەمەرىيەر بارايارى
111814	10.11 Luni/	
(, → ● )	Daitite	<b></b>
• • •	Annth a	10/01 ····
D1.55	: stact.	. L
01		
11-1		

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied; or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completencies, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

.

.

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

.

# UNCLASSIFIED

.

	REPORT	DOCUMENTATIC	N PAGE			
TA REPORT SECURITY CLAS	SIF CATION		TO ASSTRICT VE MAN	IKINGS		
UNCLASSIFIED				المربية أرجرت الرجيعة المحطر بمحلان		
20 SECURITY CLASSIFICATIO	AUTHORITY		J DISTRIBUTION AU	AILABILITY OF H	PORT	
28 DECLASSIFICATION 700	NNGRADING SCHEDU	ile internet	distribution	unlimited	east i	
UCRL-21008 VOT	CON REPORT NUMB	E#(\$)	S MONITORING ORG	ANIZATION REPO	AT NUMBER	(\$)
So NAME OF PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	TEN OFFICE SYMEOL	To NAME OF MONIT	ORING ORGANIZA	TION	
Lawrence Livermore	e National	(IT applicable)	U.S. Army Biom	edical Rese	arch and	1
Laboratory		L	Development La	coratory	يطالعان وعقاوري	
ec. ADDRESS (City, State, an	nces Division	1	ATTN: SCORESS (CIN, SI	rate, and 24 Code 7.4C	"	
P.0. Box 5507 (L	-453)	•	Fort Detrick	(Bida. 568)		
Livermore, CA 9455	50-0617		Frederick, MD	21701-5010		
A. NAME OF FUNDING SPC	PISORING	AD OFFICE SYMBOL	S PROCUREMENT INS	TRUMENT DENT	FICATION N	UMBER
ORGANIZATION U.S.	Army Medical	(If applicable)	Army Dratant	Order 23	002017	
Research and Develo	pment Command	L	Army Project			
AC. ADDRESS (City, State, and	( ZiP Code)		10 SOURCE OF FUNC	DING NUMBERS		
FORT DETRICK	101_5012		ELEMENT NO.	DIECT TTA	3K )	ACCESSION M
Frederick, MU 21/	2102-10		62787A 62	7874975	AG	DA30088
11 TITLE /makuta Consister	Tage fire second		1		بناره کر زنگاه به دار	
Evaluation of Mili	tary Field-Wa	cer Quality				
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	inity Poisons		والمتعالية والمتعالية المتعالمة والمتعالمة والمتعالمة والمتعالمة والمتعالمة والمتعالمة والمتعالمة والمتعالم			
Volume 3. Opportu 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M	inity Poisons 18. Carmer			کی در محمد المحمد ا	······································	
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final	Inity Poisons IB. Carmer 135 TIME CC FROM B-	5vered 83 ro <u>12-87</u>	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987	Yeer, Manth Jary	) 19. PAG	count 52
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3e. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT	IB. Carmer IB. Carmer 135 TIME CC FROM 8- NON	overed 83 ro <u>12-87</u>	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987	Yeer, Month, (Joy)	115. PAG	52
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3e. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI END	IB. Carmer 1B. Carmer 135 TIME CC FROM 8- 10N COOES	TO 12-87	14. DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987	Year, Manth, (Jay) necessary and Ide	1 15. PAG	COUNT 52
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI FIELD GROUP 24 07	IB. Carmer 1B. Carmer 135 TIME CC FROM B- TON COOES SUE-GROUP	10. SUBJECT TERMS ( Opportunity	14 Date of Report ( December 1987 Commune on reverse of poisons, milita	reer, Adanth, (397) necessary and ada ry, water,	I 15. PAG	COUNT 52 ct number) S ,
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI C FIELD GROUP 24 07 06 11	IB. Carmer 1B. Carmer 135. TIME CC FROM B- 10N CODES SUB-GROUP	vereo 83 ro 12-87 16. subject ferms ( Opportunity reverse osmo	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987 Continue on reverse of poisons, milita sis water purif	recement and de ry, water, ication uni	i 15. PAG Numy by bie scenario t (ROWPU	COUNT 52 ct: number) S , ) .
Volume 3. Opportu 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 13. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI 7. COSA	IB. Carmer 1.3. TIME Co FROM 8- TON CODES SUB-GROUP	Te subject tenns ( Opportunity reverse osmo	14 DATE OF MEADET ( December 1987 Contrue on reverse if poisons, milita sis water purif	Press, Adamath, (299) necessary and rds ry, water, : ication uni	i 15. PAG	ct number) S . ) .
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI 7. COSATI	IB. Carmer 1.3. TIME Co FROM 8- 10N CODES SUB-GROUP CODES SUB-GROUP	Te subject terms ( Opportunity reverse osmo	Contrue on reverse of poisons, milita sis water purif	Peer, Month, 299 necessory and de ry, water, : ication uni: "opportuni:	i 15. PAG North by bio scenario t (ROWPU	ct number) 52 s., ).
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI 7. COSATI	IB. Carmer 135 TIME CO FROM 8- 100 COOES SUB-GROUP Coverse of necessary hat in milita	verse <u>83 ro 12-87</u> 18 subject terms ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by block of ry situations m t such contact	Contrue on reverse of 1987 December 1987 Contrue on reverse of 1 poisons, milita sis water purif under) The term ight be intention	Veer, Month, Day, necessory and de ry, water, : ication uni: "opportuni onally addee	) 15. PAG Scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie	Count 52 ct number) s, ). n <sup>H</sup> refers 1d water
Volume 3. Opportu 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI 7. COSATI	IB. Carmer 136. TIME CO FROM 8- 1000 COOES SUB-GROUP Coverse of necessary hat in milita t implies tha p as part of	verse <u>83</u> <u>ro</u> <u>12-87</u> <b>18. SUBJECT TERMS</b> ( Opportunity reverse osmo end identify by block of ry situations m t such contamin. a preconceived	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987 Continue on reverse of 1 poisons, milita sis water purif under) The term ight be intentio ation will be in olan. Thus the	Year, Manch, 2397 necessory and de ry, water, : ication uni: "opportunii onally adden ntroduced a:	) 15. PAG Scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ	ct number) 52 n <sup>H</sup> refers 1d water taneous
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION FIELD GROUP 24. 07 06. 11 5. ABSTRACT (Continue on to any substance to to deny its use; i action, rather tha substances in mili	Inity Poisons IB. Carmer 135 TIME CC FROM B- 100 COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SUB-GROUP Nat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor	The subject terms ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by black of ry situations m t such contaminia a preconceived p ies and the civ	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987 Commune on reverse of ( poisons, milita sis water purif under) The term ight be intentio ation will be in plan. Thus, thu ilian marketola	Year, Manch (297) necessory and dat ry, water, : ication uni: "opportunii onally addee ntroduced a: ere are many ce that bec:	ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ ause of	ct number) 52 n <sup>H</sup> refers 1d water taneous ent their
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI 7. COSAT	Inity Poisons IB. Carmer 135 TIME CC FROM B- 100 COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SUB-GROUP Coverse if necessary hat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor oxic or organ	The subject terms ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by black of ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived ies and the civ oleptic propert	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987 Commune on reverse of poisons, milita sis water purif under) The term ight be intentio ation will be in plan. Thus, thu ilian marketplac ies (e.g., tast	Year, Manch (297) necessory and de ry, water, : ication uni: "opportunii onally addee ntroduced a: ere are man ce that bec: e, odor. or	ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ ause of appeara	Count 52 ct number) S. ). n <sup>H</sup> refers ld water taneous ent their nce) can be
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI 7. COSAT	Inity Poisons IB. Carmer 135 TIME CC FROM 8- 1000 COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SUB-GROUP Towerse if necessary hat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor oxic or organ al opportunit	verse <u>83</u> <u>ro</u> <u>12-87</u> <b>18. SUBJECT TERMS</b> ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by block of ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived p ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f	Commune on reverse of poisons, milita sis water purif ight be intentio ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplac ies (e.g., tasto ield water. To	Year, March (297) recessory and de ry, water, f ication unit "opportunit onally addee ntroduced as ere are many ce that beck e, odor, or identify ti	ty poiso d to fie sa spon y differ ause of appeara	Count 52 ct number) S. ). n <sup>m</sup> refers ld water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 30. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATI 7. COSAT	IB. Carmer IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CC FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SUB-GROUP Nat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor oxic or organ al opportunit, ative importa	The subject terms ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by Meet m ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived p ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a mili	Commune on reverse of poisons, militar sis water purif ight be intention ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplac ies (e.g., tast ield water. To tary perspective	Year, Adamak, 2399 recessory and adde ry, water, s ication unis "opportunis opportunis tonally addee ntroduced as ere are many ce that beco e, odor, or identify til e, we preses	ty poiso d to fie scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ appeara hese sub nt a cat	Count 52 ct number) 5. ). n <sup>4</sup> refers 1d water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization
Volume 3. Opportu 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 30 TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION FIELD GROUP 24 07 06 11 5. ABSTRACT (Commune on to any substance t to deny its use; i action, rather that substances in mili availability and t considered potenti indicate their rel matrix in which cl	IB. Carmer IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CC FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP TOUSING IN PREMIER SUB-GROUP TOUSING IN PREMIER NAT IN MILITA T as part of tary inventor oxic or organ al opportunit ative importa asses of comp	The subject reads ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by Meet of ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived r ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a mili ounds are ranked	Commus on reverse of poisons, militar sis water purif ight be intention ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplac ies (e.g., taste ield water. To tary perspective d according to	Peer, Adaret, 2397 recessory and ade ry, water, s ication unit "opportunit onally adden ntroduced as are are many ce that become a, odor, or identify the a, we present their militi	ty poiso d to fie scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ ause of appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign	Count 52 ct number) 5. ). n <sup>m</sup> refers 1d water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as
Volume 3. Opportu 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 30 TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION FIELD GROUP 24 07 06 11 5. ABSTRACT (Commune on to any substance t to deny its use; i action, rather that substances in mili availability and t considered potenti indicate their rel matrix in which cl potential opportun	IB. Carmer IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CC FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP TOUSING IT RECEMPTY hat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor oxic or organ al opportunit ative importa asses of comp ity poisons.	verse <u>83</u> ro <u>12-87</u> <b>18. SUBJECT TERMS</b> ( Opportunity reverse osmo and idonary by alect of ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived p ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a mili ounds are ranked The categoriza	Commus on reverse if poisons, militar sis water purif ight be intentio ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplac ies (e.g., tasto ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was	Peer, Adaret, 2397 recessory and ade ry, water, s ication unit "opportunit onally adden ntroduced as ere are many ce that beck e, odor, or identify the e, we present their militit assembled i	ty poiso d to fie scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ ause of appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by consi	ct number) 52 s, ). n <sup>w</sup> refers ld water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(3) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION FIELD GROUP 24 07 06 11 5. ASSTRACT (Commune on to any substance t to deny its use; i action, rather that substances in mili availability and t considered potenti indicate their rel matrix in which cl potential opportun (1) the probable a	INITY Poisons IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CO FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP Toverse if necessary hat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor oxic or organ al opportunit ative importa asses of comp ity poisons. vailability f	verse <u>83</u> ro <u>12-87</u> <b>16. SUBJECT TERMS (</b> Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by alect m ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived p ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a mili ounds are ranked The categoriza rom military or	Commue on reverse if poisons, militar sis water purif ight be intentio ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplac ies (e.g., tasto ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was civilian source	Peer Adaret (29) recessory and adar ry, water, s ication unit "opportunit onally adden ntroduced as ere are many ce that beck e, odor, or identify the their militic assembled i es, (2) the	ty poiso d to fie scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by consi possibl	Count 52 ct number) 5, ). n" refers 1d water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering e
Volume 3. Opportu 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(3) V.J. Ciccone and M 30 TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION FIELD GROUP 24 07 06 11 9. AdSTRACT (Continue on to any substance t to deny its use; i action, rather that substances in mility availability and t considered potentiti indicate their rel matrix in which clipotential opportun (1) the probable a water-related heal	IB. Carmer IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CC FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SUB-GROUP Now Towerse if necessary hat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor oxic or organ al opportunit ative importa asses of comp ity poisons. vailability f th or aesthet	verse <u>83</u> ro <u>12-87</u> <b>16. SUBJECT TERMS (</b> Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by alect m ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a mili ounds are rankee The categorizat rom military or ic effects, and	Continue on reverse if poisons, militar sis water purif ight be intentio ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplac ies (e.g., tasto ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was civilian source (3) the potent	Peer Adoret (299) recessory and adde ry, water, s ication unit "opportunit onally adden ntroduced as are are many ce that beck are are are many ce that beck are are are many ce that beck are	ty poiso d to fie scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by consi possibl on wate	Count 52 ct number) 5, ). n" refers 1d water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering e r-treatment
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION FIELD GROUP 24 07 06 11 5. AdSTRACT (Continue on to any substance t to deny its use; i action, rather that substances in mility availability and t considered potenti indicate their rel matrix in which clipotential opportun (1) the probable a water-related heal equipment of the p	INITY Poisons IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CO FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP Toverse if necessary hat in milita t implies tha r as part of tary inventor oxic or organ al opportunit ative importa asses of comp ity poisons. vailability f th or aesthet rincipal cons	is subject ferms ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by alect m ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a mili ounds are rankee The categorizat rom military or ic effects, and tituents of eacl	Continue on reverse if poisons, militar sis water purif ight be intentio ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplac ies (e.g., tasto ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was civilian source (3) the potent h class of compo	Peer Adoret (299) ry, water, s ication unit "opportunif onally adden ntroduced as are are many ce that beca are are many ce that beca are are militan assembled i es, (2) the ial impacts ounds. On 1	ty poiso d to fie scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by cons possibl on wate the basi	Count 52 ct number) 5, ). n" refers 1d water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering e r-treatment s of this
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT FIELD GROUP 24 07 06 11 5. AdSTRACT (Continue on to any substance t to deny its use; i action, rather tha substances in mili availability and t considered potenti indicate their rel matrix in which cl potential opportun (1) the probable a water-related heal equipment of the p (continued on next	IB. Carmer IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CC FROM B- TON COOES SUE-GROUP Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now Now Now COOES SUE-GROUP Now Now Now Now Now Now Now Now	is subject terms ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by bleck m ry situations m t such contamina a preconceived ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a milit ounds are ranked The categorizat rom military or ic effects, and tituents of eacl	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987 December 1987 poisons, militan sis water purif under) The term ight be intention ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplace ies (e.g., taste ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was civilian source (3) the potent h class of compen-	Peer Adoret (299) recessory and adde ry, water, s ication unit "opportunit onally added ntroduced as are are many ce that beca er are many ce that beca e, odor, or identify the their militat assembled h es. (2) the ial impacts ounds. On the	ty poiso d to fie scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by cons possibl on wate the basi	Count 52 ct number) s, ). n" refers ld water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering e r-treatment s of this
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(3) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION FIELD GROUP 24. 07 06. 11 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on to any substance t to deny its use; i action, rather tha substances in mili availability and t considered potenti indicate their rel matrix in which cl potential opportun (1) the probable a water-related heal equipment of the p (continued on next 0. OSTRIBUTION / AVAILABIN	INITY Poisons IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CO FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP Now COOES SUB-GROUP Now Now COOES SUB-GROUP Now Now COOES SUB-GROUP Now Nov Nov COOES SUB-GROUP Nov Nov Nov Sub-GROUP Nov Nov Nov Sub-GROUP Nov Nov Nov Sub-GROUP Nov Nov Nov Sub-GROUP Nov Nov Nov Nov Sub-GROUP Nov Nov Nov Nov Sub-GROUP Nov Nov Nov Nov Nov Nov Nov Nov	is subject teams ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by block in ry situations in t such contamina a preconceived ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a milit ounds are ranked The categorization ic effects, and tituents of eacl	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987 December 1987 poison3, militan sis water purif under) The term ight be intention ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplace ies (e.g., taste ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was civilian source (3) the potent h class of compo 21. ABSTRACT SECURE UNCLASSIFIED	Person Adoret, 1299 recommend of the ry, water, so ication unit "opportunit onally added ntroduced as are are many ce that beca are are many ce that beca are are many ce that beca are are many ce that beca as present their militat assembled he cs. (2) the ial impacts ounds. On the ry classification	ty poiso scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by cons possibl on wate the basi	Count 52 ct number s, ). n" refers ld water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering e r-treatment s of this
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION 7. COSATIONAL 7. COSATION 7. COSA	INITY Poisons IB. Carmer I.B. Carmer I.B. TIME CC FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SUB-GROUP AND IN COMP COOES SUB-GROUP C	svered 83 ro 12-87 16. Subject TERMS ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by block of ry situations mit t such contamina a preconceived ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a militory ounds are ranked The categorizat rom military or ic effects, and tituents of eacl	14 DATE OF REPORT ( December 1987 December 1987 poison3, milita sis water purif under) The term ight be intention ation will be in plan. Thus, the ilian marketplan ies (e.g., taste ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was civilian source (3) the potent h class of comper- 21 ABSTRACT SECURE UNCLASSIFIED 225 TELEPHONE (met-	Pecessory and rde ry, water, s ication unit "opportunit onally added ntroduced as ere are many ce that beca s, odor, or identify the their milita assembled h es, (2) the ial impacts ounds. On the ry classification	ty poiso scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by consi possibl on wate the basi	Count 52 ct number s, ). n <sup>H</sup> refers ld water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering e r-treatment s of this
Volume 3. Opportu 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) V.J. Ciccone and M 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTAT 7. COSATION 7. COSATI	INITY Poisons IB. Carmer I.B. TIME CO FROM B- TON COOES SUB-GROUP COOES SU	is subject terms ( Opportunity reverse osmo and identify by bleck in ry situations mit such contamina a preconceived ies and the civ oleptic propert y poisons for f nce from a milit ounds are ranked The categorizat rom military or ic effects, and tituents of each	14 DATE OF REPORT December 1987 December 1987 poison3, milita sis water purif under) The term ight be intentio ation will be if plan. Thus, the ilian marketpla ies (e.g., taste ield water. To tary perspective d according to tion matrix was civilian source (3) the potent h class of compe 21 ABTRACT SECUR UNCLASSIFIED 22b TELEPHONE (mode 301-663-7325	Pecessory and rde ry, water, s ication unit "opportunit onally added ntroduced as ere are many ce that beca s, odor, or identify the their milita assembled h es, (2) the ial impacts ounds. On the ry classification	ty poiso scenario t (ROWPU ty poiso d to fie s a spon y differ ause of appeara hese sub nt a cat ary sign by cons possibl on wate the basi	Count 52 ct number s. ). n <sup>H</sup> refers ld water taneous ent their nce) can be stances and egorization ificance as dering e r-treatment s of this

#### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

analysis, recommendations are made for U.S. military forces to meet the threat of opportunity poisons by instituting guidelines and training programs that will (1) alert field forces to situations likely to involve the use of opportunity poisons and (2) define appropriate procedures for dealing with such situations. Quantifying the effects of the most important opportunity poisons (e.g., petroleum products) on field-water treatment equipment, particularly the reverse osmosis water purification unit (ROWPU), also is advised so that contingency plans can be made for operating and maintaining the equipment in the presence of such opportunity poisons.

This report is the third volume of a nine-volume study entitled <u>Evaluation</u> of <u>Military Field-Water Quality</u>. Titles of the other volumes are as follows: Vol. 1, <u>Executive Summary</u>; Vol. 2, <u>Chemical Constituents of Military Concern</u>; Vol. 4, <u>Criteria and Recommendations for Standards for Chemical Constituents of</u> <u>Military Concern</u>; Vol. 5, <u>Infectious Organisms of Military Concern Associated</u> with Consumption: Assessment of Health Risks, and Recommendations for Establishing <u>Related Standards</u>; Vol. 6, <u>Infectious Organisms of Military Concern Associated</u> with Nonconsumptive Exposure: Assessment of Health Risks, and Recommendations for <u>Establishing Related Standards</u>; Vol. 7, <u>Performance Evaluation of the 600-GPH</u> <u>Reverse Osmosis Water Purification Unit (ROWPU)</u>: <u>Reverse Osmosis (RO) Components</u>: Vol. 8, <u>Performance of Mobile Water Purification Unit (MWPU) and Pretreatment</u> <u>Components of the 600-GPH Reverse Osmosis Water Purification Unit (ROWPU) and</u> <u>Consideration of Reverse Osmosis (RO) Bypass, Potable-Water Disinfection, and</u> <u>Water-Quality Analysis Techniques</u>; Vol. 9, <u>Data for Assessing Health Risks in</u> <u>Potential Theaters of Operation for U.S. Military Forces</u>.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED

# **Evaluation of Military Field-Water Quality** Volume 3. Opportunity Poisons

## V. J. Ciccone\* and M.-B. Carmer\*

## J. I. Daniels (Editor)

Environmental Sciences Division Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory University of California P. O. Box 5507 Livermore, CA 94550-0617

December 1987

Supported by U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012

Project Order 82PP2817

Project Officer: S. A. Schaub

## Principal Investigators at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory: L. R. Anspaugh, J. I. Daniels, and D. W. Layton

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

<sup>\*</sup>V. J. Ciccone & Associates, Inc., 14045 Jefferson Davis Highway, Woodbridge, VA 22191

#### FOREWORD

This report is the third volume of a nine-volume study entitled Evaluation of Military Field-Water Quality. Titles of the other volumes are as follows: Vol. 1, Executive Summary; Vol. 2, Constituents of Military Concern from Natural and Anthropogenic Sources; Vol. 4, Health Criteria and Recommendations for Standards; Vol. 5, Infectious Organisms of Military Concern Associated with Consumption: Assessment of Health Risks, and Recommendations for Establishing Related Standards; Vol. 6, Infectious Organisms of Military Concern Associated with Nonconsumptive Exposure: Assessment of Health Risks, and Recommendations for Establishing Related Standards; Vol. 7, Performance Evaluation of the 600-GPH Reverse Osmosis Water Purification Unit (ROWPU): Reverse Osmosis (RO) Components; and Vol. 8, Performance of Mobile Water Purification Unit (MWPU) and Pretreatment Components of the 600-GPH Reverse Osmosis Water Purification Unit (ROWPU) and Consideration of Reverse Osmosis (RO) Bypass, Potable-Water Disinfection, and Water-Quality Analysis Techniques; Vol. 9, Data for Assessing Health Risks in Potential Theaters of Operation for U.S. Military Forces.

As indicated by the titles listed above, the nine volumes of this study contain a comprehensive assessment of the chemical, radiological, and biological constituents of field-water supplies that could pose health risks to military personnel as well as a detailed evaluation of the field-water-treatment capability of the U.S. Armed Forces. The scientific expertise for performing the analyses in this study came from the University of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) in Livermore, CA; the University of California campuses located in Berkeley (UCB) and Davis (UCD), CA; the University of Illinois campus in Champaign-Urbana, IL; and the consulting firms of IWG Corporation in San Diego, CA, and V.J. Ciccone & Associates (VJCA), Inc., in Woodbridge, VA. Additionally a Department of Defense (DoD) Multiservice Steering Group (MSG), consisting of both military and civilian representatives from the Armed Forces of the United States (Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marines), as well as representatives from the U.S. Department of Defense, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency provided guidance, and critical reviews to the researchers. The reports addressing chemical, radiological, and biological constituents of field-water supplies were also reviewed by scientists at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in Oak Ridge, TN, at the request of the U.S. Army. Furthermore, personnel at several research laboratories, military installations, and agencies of the U.S. Army and the other Armed Forces provided technical assistance and information to the researchers on topics related to field water and the U.S. military community.

.ii

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The principal investigators at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), Drs. Jeffrey Daniels, David Layton, and Lynn Anspaugh, extend their gratitude and appreciation to all of the participants in this study for their cooperation, assistance, contributions and patience, especially to Dr. Stephen A. Schaub, the project officer for this monumental research effort, and to his military and civilian colleagues and staff at the U.S. Army Biomedical Research and Development Laboratory (USABRDL). A special thank you is extended to the editors, secretaries, and administrative personnel of the Environmental Sciences Division at LLNL, particularly to Ms. Barbara Fox, Ms. Yvonne Ricker, Ms. Penny Webster-Scholten, Mr. E.G. Snyder, Ms. Gretchen Gallegos, Ms. Angelina Fountain, Ms. Sherry Orman, Mr. David Marcus, Ms. Martha Maser, and Ms. Sheilah Hendrickson, whose efforts, support, and assistance included the typing and editing of over 2500 pages of text.

1

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword		• • • •	iii
Acknowledgments		• • • • .	iv
List of Tables			vi
Abstract			1
Introduction			2
Methodology		• • • • •	3
Potential Scenarios for Use of Opportunity Poisons			4
Probable Opportunity Poisons			7
Defense Against Opportunity Poisons			12
Summary	<i>.</i>		18
Recommendations			19
References			20
Appendix A. Bibliography			21
Technical Reports			21
Military Technical Manuals			33
Military Field Manuels			34
Appendix B. Military Organizations and Individuals Contacted	1		36
Appendix C. Calculation of the Maximum Volume of Water N to be of Military Concern from the Perspective of Opport	lost Likely unity		
Poisoning		••••	38
Distribution		• • • • • •	41

## LIST OF TABLES

1.	Water sources of minimal concern as targets for contamination by opportunity poisons	•	•	•			•	•	5
2	Water sources of reasonable concern as targets for contamination by opportunity poisons	on •	•	•		•	•		6
3.	Categorization matrix: opportunity poisons to deny a water source to military personnel	•	•	•		•	•	•	8
4.	Classes of potential opportunity poisons, listed in descending order according to probable availability, possible water-related health or aesthetic effects, and impacts on water-treatment equipment	•	•	•	•			•	12
5.	Available, commonly encountered potential opportunity poisons	•		•		•	•	•	13
6.	Effects of incendiaries on water supplies	•	•	•				•	14
7.	Effects of screening smokes on water supplies	•	•	•			•	•	15
8.	Examples of acutely toxic chemical and biological compounds that could be obtained from civilian sources and used as opportunity poisons for field-water supplies	•	•	•	•		•	•	15

#### ABSTRACT

The term "opportunity poison" refers to any substance that in military situations might be intentionally added to field water to deny its use; it implies that such contamination will be introduced as a spontaneous action, rather than as part of a preconceived plan. Thus, there are many different substances in military inventories and the civilian marketplace that because of their availability and toxic or organoleptic properties (e.g., taste, odor, or appearance) can be considered potential opportunity poisons for field water. To identify these substances and indicate their relative importance from a military perspective, we present a categorization matrix in which classes of compounds are ranked according to their military significance as potential opportunity poisons. The categorization matrix was assembled by considering (1) the probable availability from military or civilian sources, (2) the possible water-related health or aesthetic effects, and (3) the potential impacts on water-treatment equipment of the principal constituents of each class of compounds. On the basis of this analysis, recommendations are made for U.S. military forces to meet the threat of opportunity poisons by instituting guidelines and training programs that will (1) alert field forces to situations likely to involve the use of opportunity poisons and (2) define appropriate procedures for dealing with such situations. Quantifying the effects of the most important opportunity poisons (e.g., petroleum products) on field-water treatment equipment, particularly the reverse osmosis water purification unit (ROWPU), also is advised so that contingency plans can be made for operating and maintaining the equipment in the presence of such opportunity poisons.

#### INTRODUCTION

Deliberate contamination of water supplies can effectively deny a water source to a military force. Contaminants can be lethal poisons or substances that impart adverse organoleptic characteristics (i.e., turbidity, color, odor, or taste) to a potential source of field water. Such purposely introduced contaminants may also affect the efficiency of water-treatment equipment. Those contaminating substances that are available in military inventories and the civilian marketplace and that can be easily introduced into water supplies without special equipment or prior training represent potential opportunity poisons.

Although opportunity poisons are substances that may be used either overtly (i.e., military forces of the U.S. and its allies suspect its use) or covertly (i.e., military forces of the U.S. and its allies do not suspect its use) in a tactical manner to deny water, the word "opportunity" implies an extemporaneous action. Therefore, the concept involving opportunity poisons is not classic, planned sabotage, but a more immediate, spontaneous action.

As previously mentioned, the substances considered to be opportunity poisons include those that induce sickness; make water unpalatable (i.e., produce objectionable taste, odor, color, or turbidity); or severely reduce the effectiveness of water-purification equipment. Substances producing organoleptic effects include a variety of readily obtainable chemical products, such as fuels, solvents, pesticides, and drugs. Nuclear, biological, or chemical (NBC) threat agents are not considered to be opportunity poisons because (1) generally they are not readily available from military inventories, (2) their utilization most likely will be part of a preconceived tactical plan, and (3) their application usually requires trained personnel and special equipment.

There have been very few documented cases of deliberate contamination of water supplies in a military theater of operation. However, at least four cases were investigated in South Vietnam in the 1960's. According to the personal experience of Kenison<sup>1</sup> two incidents involved deliberate contamination of water supplies that were being processed by an ERDLator water-purification device. In one case empty bottles that were found near-by contained residues of the pesticide endrin. In the second case the fish in the water source were found dead at the surface and a pesticide was suspected as the opportunity poison as this water source also was being processed by an ERDLator. Kenison<sup>1</sup> noted that in two other instances small water-treatment facilities being operated by civilian contractors were purposely contaminated. The substance was never identified but the automatic detection system at these two facilities registered

erratic changes in the pH of the water they were processing, and at one facility a bag was found that appeared to be designed to break up as it entered the plant intake so that it could release its contents. A more recent incident took place on the island nation of Grenada in 1983 just after U.S. military operations were completed. According to Phull,<sup>2</sup> it was reported that an individual attempted to contaminate a drinking water reservoir on the island by dumping a pesticide or herbicide into it.

The prospect of opportunity poisons being used to deny water to military forces would appear to be even greater today than in the past. With increased activity by international terrorist groups and dissident political groups, it is reasonable to assume that opportunity poisons may be included as a contingency plan in the operational doctrines of such groups.

The objective of this study is to identify and indicate the relative importance from a military perspective of the opportunity poisons that could be used to deny water to U.S. field forces. As part of our investigation, we compiled a bibliography identifying the publications that contain information about the use of opportunity poisons for denying field-water supplies to U.S. military personnel (see Appendix A). We also conducted interviews with (1) civilian and military personnel familiar with the production, storage, and distribution of field water and (2) people in the intelligence community knowledgable about foreign science and technology (see Appendix B). Thus we hoped to obtain the most recent consensus as to the opportunity poisons of most concern from the perspective of denving field water to military personnel. We reviewed the information obtained from the literature, and from our interviews identified the candidate classes of compounds considered to be suitable opportunity poisons for field-water supplies and predicted reasonably feasible scenarios for their use. Our assessment of the availability of the classes of compounds from military and civilian sources; the toxic and organoleptic properties of the principal constituents of each class of compounds; and the impact of each class of compounds on the effectiveness of water-treatment equipment led to the development of a categorization matrix in which the candidate classes of compounds are organized according to their relative importance from a military perspective.

### METHODOLOGY

The methodology for studying opportunity poisons began with a literature search of military documents. This search was conducted to identify candidate classes of potential opportunity poisons, their environmental fate and effects, and any previous use of these

substances as opportunity poisons against field troops of the U.S. and other nations. The literature search resulted in the retrieval of publications that provided an initial basis for this study. A bibliography of selected references is contained in Appendix A of this report.

To gather additional information about opportunity poisons, we interviewed people from many military organizations with an interest in field-water quality. These organizations included those responsible for operations, logistics, research, intelligence, and training. Within these organizations we selected interviewees with extensive background and experience in field-water supplies and treatment; the individuals and their organizations are listed in Appendix B. Significant information was obtained during this interview process.

### POTENTIAL SCENARIOS FOR USE OF OPPORTUNITY POISONS

The information that we obtained from our literature review and interviews confirmed our intuitive reasoning that field-water supplies can be intentionally contaminated in innumerable locations, from source to point of use, and in a variety of situations. Thus, it was necessary to reduce the possible combinations of locations and situations to a manageable number of scenarios. First we eliminated scenarios where the use of opportunity poisons would be impractical, such as attempts to deliberately contaminate very large bodies of field water. Generally, in such cases the quantity of an opportunity poison needed to adversely impact exposed military personnel or water-treatment equipment is considered prohibitive because of the effect of dilution or the fact that personnel and equipment can readily move to another shoreline area, away from the source of contamination. For example, the potential for a tactical force to deny water from an ocean, a Great Lake, or a swift-flowing river is very low, principally because of the comparatively small quantity (relative to the volume of water) of opportunity poisons quickly and easily available from military and civilian sources. Alternatively, opportunity poisoning of a groundwater well is a more probable scenario because the volume of water is far smaller and more isolated than that in large bodies of surface water.

To better define the maximum volume of natural water likely to be of concern to U.S. military forces in most situations from the perspective of opportunity poisoning, we calculated the amount of water that could be denied to field forces by the introduction of a readily obtainable quantity (55-gal drum) of the organic solvent, trichloroethylene (TCE), even in the presence of water-treatment equipment capable of removing up to 99% of the TCE from the source water. This solvent is representative of those widely

 Table 1. Water sources of minimal concern as targets for contamination by opportunity poisons.

Oceans, bays, seas, and inlets

Large bodies of water with daily volumes exceeding  $2 \times 10^6$  gal (e.g., large lakes)<sup>a</sup>

Large rivers and swift-flowing streams with flow rates exceeding  $3.1 \text{ ft}^{3}/\text{s}^{b}$ 

<sup>a</sup> Volume calculated on the basis of diluting 55 gal of trichloroethylene (TCE) to a concentration equivalent to its odor-detection threshold (0.5 mg/L) in the effluent of water-treatment equipment capable of removing 99% of the TCE from the source water (see Appendix C).

<sup>b</sup> Flow rate corresponds to a daily volume of  $2 \times 10^6$  gal (see Appendix C).

available opportunity poisons that produce adverse effects at low concentrations; the odor-detection threshold for TCE in water is 0.5 mg/L.<sup>3</sup> Our calculation (see Appendix C) reveals that natural waters with daily volumes up to  $2 \times 10^6$  gallons and streams flowing at rates up to 3 ft<sup>3</sup>/s (the equivalent of a daily volume of  $2 \times 10^6$  gallons) are suitable targets for effective contamination with opportunity poisons. Therefore, waters with volumes or flow rates greater than those just mentioned are of minimal concern (unless little or no water treatment was performed). Table 1 lists the water sources that are likely to be of minimal military concern as targets for contamination by opportunity poisons. In summary, these waters fall into this category because the amount of contaminant required would not be easily and quickly available. As mentioned previously, if a hostile force did manage to contaminate a very large water source, such as a lake or river, personnel responsible for water production could move the treatment equipment upstream to an uncontaminated area, or wait for dilution to occur.

Table 2 presents our assessment of the water sources of reasonable concern as targets for contamination by opportunity poisons in terms of the climatic regions defined by the U.S. Army in its Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) Pamphlet.<sup>4</sup> Our assessment revealed that lakes and rivers with daily volumes over  $2 \times 10^6$  gal or flow rates exceeding 3 ft<sup>3</sup>/s generally are of minimal concern as targets for opportunity poisoning, particularly in temperate and tropical climates. However, in arid and arctic regions these waters could represent possible targets for deliberate contamination,

		Climatic	regions	
Water source	Arid	Arctic	Temperate	Tropic
Wells	x	X	x	x
Ponds	x	0	x	x
Lakes >2 x 10 <sup>6</sup> -gal/d capacity	x	X (below frost line)	Ο	0
Rivers >3-ft <sup>3</sup> /s flow rate	x	X (below frost line)	0	Ο
Streams	0	x	x	x
Irrigation canals	x	0	x	x
Municipal systems (reservoirs)	x	x	x	x
Military tactical water- storage tanks and distribution systems	x	x	x	x

Table 2. Water sources of reasonable concern as targets for contamination by opportunity poisons.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> X = opportunity poisons are of probable concern for corresponding water source.

O = opportunity poisons are of minimal concern for corresponding water source.

primarily because there is only a limited number of field-water sources available in these regions, and therefore the choice of targets is also limited. Streams in arid regions, and ponds and irrigation canals in arctic climates are of minimal concern as targets because of their low probability of occurrence.

Generally, the sources of field water most susceptible to deliberate contamination by opportunity poisons are (1) groundwater wells, (2) surface ponds, and (3) small lakes and municipal reservoirs. Furthermore, as indicated in Table 2, the water-storage tanks and water-distribution systems of military forces are also targets for contamination by opportunity poisons. In fact, water-storage tanks and distribution systems are excellent choices for opportunity poisoning because relatively small quantities of easily obtainable substances can contaminate the comparatively small volume of water in a storage tank or distribution system and deny its use. For example, foreign substances such as oil, gasoline,

and a variety of commercial chemicals (e.g., solvents) can be introduced into water-tank trucks and trailers. Even hose-line distribution systems, such as the Tactical Water Distribution System (TWDS), can be contaminated by opportunity poisons. A syringe might be used to inject hallucinogenic drugs (e.g., LSD or PCP) into such systems, and these drugs could have a devastating effect on military units exposed to this water. Furthermore, it would be difficult to detect such contamination before the occurrence of adverse health effects.

#### PROBABLE OPPORTUNITY POISONS

As with the broad range of water sources representing potential targets for opportunity poisoning, the wide spectrum of compounds available to field troops for use as opportunity poisons required a selection process so that a manageable number of compound classes could be attained. First, four categories of opportunity poisons for water supplies were established: Category I - substances that potential enemy nations have in their military inventories that are analogous to substances in the U.S. Army inventory; Category II - substances that potential enemy nations have in their military analogous to any substances in the U.S. Army inventory; Category III - substances that are not general-issue items, but are provided to specialized military units; and Category IV - substances that can be obtained easily by military forces from civilian sources.

Next, we developed a list of the classes of compounds that might be used as opportunity poisons and divided the principal constituents of each class into the four categories as applicable. The list of classes of compounds was derived from information in the literature that we reviewed, interviews, and a U.S. Army Master Data File of inventoried stock items.

Table 3 presents a categorization matrix of the classes of compounds and their principal constituents. The relative ranking in Table 3 of each class of compounds is based on consideration of probable availability from military and civilian sources of the principal constituents, their possible water-related health or aesthetic effects, and their impacts on water-treatment equipment. Because opportunity poisons are not truly sabotage agents or NBC agents, few compounds are identified in Category III. Furthermore, the potential adverse effect of each class of compounds on health, aesthetics, or water-treatment equipment is indicated. The major classes of compounds are summarized in Table 4, ranked in descending order of availability and potential effects.

	y		Cataoner of commen	q	·	Health or	
ranking <sup>è</sup>	compounds	1		III	N	aestnetiic effect	limpact on ROUPU <sup>C</sup>
-	Petroleum products and additives:						
	fuels and oils	Motor gas, diesel fuel, kerosene, aviation fuel transmission fluid	Tetraethyl lead, tetramethyl lead, ethylene dibromide		Motor gas, diesei fuel, kerosene, aviation fuel transmission fluid	×	×
	Greases	Phosphol i pids, col loids					×
	Hydraulic fluids				PCB	×	×
5.	Solvents	Thinners, tri- chloraethylene, methylene chlo- ride, phenols	Carbon tetrachlo- ride, dry-cleaning agents (trichloro- ethylene)		Carbon tetrachloride	×	×
э.	Coolants	Ethylene glycol			Electrical equipment containing PCB's	×	
4	Insecticides, rodenticides, and repellents	Diazinon, lindane, strychnine, wa <i>r-</i> farin, ethylene dibromide, kero-	Methyl bromide, DDT, sodium fluoro- acetate		Carbaryl, malathion	×	×

Table 3. Categorization matrix: opportunity poisons to deny a water source to military personnel.

•

8

sene

an de server de la constant de la server de la constant de la constant de la constant de la constant de la cons La constant de la cons

Construction and the second

- 2<u>1</u> - 1

Table 3. (Continued)

anite la	j			4		Health or	
anking <sup>a</sup>	compounds	Ι	Lategory or com	III	IV	aesthetic effect	limpact on NOMPU <sup>C</sup>
j.	Herbicides and defoliants (not warfare agents)	2,4-D, 2,4,5-T	Dioxin		Dioxin, etc.	×	*
é	Water-treatment Chemicals (in- cluding water test-kit Chemicals)	Ferric chloride, formaldehyde, alum, chlorine, polyelectrolytes			Sodium fluoride, silver	×	×
۲.	Munitions, propellants, and thickeners	INT, thickener, ammonium nitrate, nitroglycerin, mercury fulminate	Liquid propellants (with amines), iso- propyl nitrite, hydrazine			×	×
œ	Pyrotechnics, obscurants, and smukes	Smoke grenades, white phosphorus, and titanium tetrachloride				×	
.6	Detergents	Laundry, kitchen degreasers			Soaps, etc.	×	×
<b>10</b> .	NBC decontan- ination com- pounds	STB, DS–2, BPL, caustics	·			×	 ×

Table 3. (Continued)

Relative	Class of		Category (	of compounds <sup>b</sup>		Health or aesthetic	Impact of
ranking <sup>a</sup>	compounds	<b>H</b> 4	11	H	ΛI	effect	ROMPUC
Ë	fire retardants	Aqueous film- forming foam (AFF) (glycols, surfac- tants, fluorocarbons)			Methyl bramide (fire extinguisher)	×	×
12.	Riot-control agents	Tear gas, CS, chloro- picrin				×	×
13.	Paints, coat- ings, and anti- foulants	Antifouling paints and coatings			Antifouling paints and coatings	×	×
14.	Dyes	Smoke grenades	Laundering substar (Soviets)	ıces			×
15.	Pharmaceuticals and disinfec- tants	Betadine, ammonia, Merthiolate	Iodine	LSO, PCP ("angel dust")	Sulfur, sulfates, laxatives, LSO, indole, skatole	×	×
J6.	Preservatives (mainly for construction materials)	Creosote, tar, sealants, penta- chlorophenol, dimethoxane			Antifouling paints and coatings	×	×
. <i>t</i> ı	Construction materials	Adhesives (resins, solvents), caulking compound, antidust agents			Adhesives (resins), sealants, asbestos products, paints	×	×

Table 3. (Continued)

Relative	Class of		Category of	compounds <sup>b</sup>		Health or aesthetic	
rankinga	compounds	I	II	111	١٧	effect	BORD C
18.	Spoiled food and food supplies	Springers <sup>d</sup>				×	×
.61	Dead and decay- ing organic materials				Discarded corpse and composting material	×	
20.	Industrial gases and chemicals	Acetylene, ammonia, various indus- trial chemicals			Industrial chemicals	×	×

<sup>à</sup> Based on probable availability and consideration of possible water-related health effects and impacts on water-treatment equipment by the principal constituents of each class of compounds.

that are <u>not</u> analogous to substances in the U.S. Ar<del>m</del>y inventory; III – substances that are not general inventory it**ems**, but are organic analogous to substances in the U.S. Army inventory; II - substances that adversary nations have in the inventory of their armed forces <sup>b</sup> The categories are defined as follows: I – substances that adversary nations have in the inventory of their armed forces that are to specialized military units; and IV - substances that can be easily obtained by adversary forces from civilian sources.

and the second second

1953 1973

17

19

C ROMPU = reverse osmosis water purification unit.

<sup>d</sup> Military jargon describing poorly sealed food stuffs, particularly vacuum-sealed canned goods which have b<del>ee</del>n contaminated by microorganims. Table 4. Classes of potential opportunity poisons, listed in descending order<sup>a</sup> according to probable availability, possible water-related health or aesthetic effects, and impacts on water-treatment equipment.

Petroleum products Solvents Coolants Insecticides, rodenticides, and repellents Herbicides and defoliants Water-treatment chemicals Munitions, propellants, and thickeners Pyrotechnics, obscurants, and smokes Detergents NBC decontamination compounds Fire retardants **Riot-control agents** Paints, coatings, and antifoulants Dves Pharmaceuticals and disinfectants Preservatives (mainly for construction materials) **Construction materials** Spoiled food and food supplies Dead and decaying organic materials Industrial gases and chemicals

<sup>a</sup> Descending order corresponds to decreasing order of relative importance in terms of military significance as potential opportunity poisons (see relative ranking in Table 4).

Table 5 contains potential opportunity poisons commonly encountered in military settings and available in the inventory of a military field force. In the table potential poisons are listed by type of compound and the chemical content of each type of compound is summarized. Tables 6 and 7 present the effects of incendiaries and screening smokes, respectively, when introduced into a field-water supply. The toxic effect of some of these compounds is small, but the physical effect (e.g., adverse appearance, odor, or taste) can be great, thus potentially inhibiting the production of potable, palatable water. Table 8 lists examples of acutely toxic chemical and biological substances that could be obtained from civilian sources, especially industrial and medical manufacturing and research facilities, and used as opportunity poisons for field-water supplies.

## DEFENSE AGAINST OPPORTUNITY POISONS

Protection against the use of opportunity poisons in water-supply systems is very difficult. Nevertheless, certain measures should be taken to defend against their use and/or mitigate their effects. The primary defense against opportunity poisons is to

Potential opportunity poisons	Chemical content
Acids	
Pickling liquor Battery acid Acidic chemical cleaners Spent acid	Chromic-sulfuric acid mixture, hydro- bromic acid, hydrochloric acid, hydro- fluoric acid, nitric acid, perchloric acid, sulfuric acid
Alkalies	
Miscellaneous caustic products Alkaline battery fluid Caustic wastewater Cleaning solutions Lye	Ammonia, lime (calcium oxide), potassium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, sodium silicate
Nonhalogenated organics	
Capacitor fluids Chemical cleaners and solvents Chemical-toilet wastes Laboratory chemicals Paint removers	Aromatic compounds, organic amides, mer- captans, organonitriles, nitrobenzene, phosgene, thioureas
Halogenated organics	
Cleaning solvents Laboratory chemicals Paint and varnish removers Capacitors and transformers containing PCB's Mildew agents	Carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, methylene chloride, polychlorinated biphenyls, zinc naphthenate, coyper naphthenate, dichloro- phen
norganics	
Catalysts Laboratory chemical wastes Paint sludge Plating solutions Paints Fluxes Aluminum cleaning agents Obscurants	Aluminum chloride, ammonium fluoride, ammonium silicofluoride, antimony salts, arsenic salts, asbestos products and fibers, beryllium compounds, barium salts, cadmium salts, chromium salts, cyanide compounds, inorganic halides (KBr, Nal), lead compounds, mercury salts, selenium salts, sodium silicofluoride, vanadium compounds, zinc chloride
Explosives	
Illegal explosives Laboratory wastes Obsolete explosives Track torpedoes Blasting caps Detonators Rocket fuel	Aluminum, ammonium nitrate, ammonium nitrate/fuel oil mixtures (ANFO), dynamite, ethylene glycol monomethyl ether, hydrazine mercury fulminate, nitroglycerin, titanium compounds, TNT, water-gel explosives

Table 5. Available, commonly encountered potential opportunity poisons.<sup>5</sup>

## Table 5. (Continued)

Potential opportunity poisons	Chemical content
Gases	
Welding gases Laboratory gas cylinders	Acetylene, ammonia, carbon monoxide, chlorine, ethyl chloride, hydrogen, hydrogen sulfide, oxygen, and other gases under high pressure

Table 6. Effects of incendiaries on water supplies.<sup>6</sup>

		A	zent	
Characteristics in water	Crude oil	Magnesium	Thermite	White phosphorus
Solubility	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble, but soluble as oxide
Physical				
Turbidity	Present	Present	Present	Present
Color	Brownish	None	Present	None
Taste, odor	Oily	Acid	Acid	Acid
Physiological	Production o elemental ph nonpotable du	f toxic water ve osphorus is a pos le to physical chara	ery unlikely ( <u>r</u> sible exception) acteristics.	presence of insoluble ). Water likely to be

remain alert to clandestine contamination of water sources, storage tanks, and distribution systems. In particular, military personnel directly trained in the treatment, distribution, and storage of water should be cautioned about using or processing water with an abnormal appearance, odor, or taste.

Whenever possible, water sources used by U.S. forces should be located in protected areas. In fact, where water sources are extremely limited (e.g., in desert regions), tight physical security should be maintained at all times. Likewise, water distribution and storage equipment should be under close military protection when not in use.

Table 7.	Effects of	screening	smokes on	water	supplies.
----------	------------	-----------	-----------	-------	-----------

	Agent			
Characteristics in water	FS mixture <sup>a</sup>	Titanium tetrachloride	HC mixture <sup>b</sup>	White phosphorus
Solubility	Very soluble	Insoluble, forms the hydroxide	Some con- stituents	Insoluble, but soluble as oxide
Physical				
Turbidity	None	Present	Present	Present
Color	None	None	Slight, black	None
Taste, odor	Very acid	Acid	Slight, metallic	Very acid
Physiological	Production of	toxic water verv	v unlikely (pr	esence of insoluble

elemental phosphorus is a possible exception). Water likely to be nonpotable due to physical characteristics.

<sup>a</sup> FS mixture - sulfur trioxide in chlorosulfonic acid.

<sup>b</sup> HC mixture = hexachloroethane - zinc oxide mixture.

Table 8. Examples of acutely toxic chemical and biological compounds that could be obtained from civilian sources (e.g., industrial and medical manufacturing and research facilities) and used as opportunity poisons for field-water supplies.

#### LSD

Staphylococcus enterotoxin

Arsenic

Cyanide

Fluoride

Sodium fluoroacetate

An awareness of opportunity poisons, not necessarily concern about specific compounds, should be common to the field soldier and to specialists involved in the production, storage, and distribution of potable water. The first line of defense is the water-point operator, who should understand the concept of opportunity poisons and be prepared to deal with them in a responsible manner. In general terms, the response to opportunity poisons by responsible field personnel should occur in the following sequence:

- Suspect water contamination.
- Halt water-treatment and supply operations.
- Notify command.
- Conduct local checks.
- Take immediate corrective action, if possible.
- Notify medical personnel.
- Conduct medical evaluation.
- Locate a new water source.

Figure 1 is a simplified decision tree for dealing with contamination of a water source by an opportunity poison. Its inclusion in field manuals (e.g., TB MED 577)<sup>7</sup> and water-purification technical manuals is recommended.

Furthermore, training guidelines and exercises must be prepared and conducted for U.S. military forces to effectively handle opportunity-poison situations. Within the spectrum of tactical and troop-support responsibility, Engineer, Quartermaster, and Medical Corps commanders and personnel have the most immediate need for intensive training. These personnel have specific tasks in water procurement and distribution. Engineers are responsible for reconnoitering and reporting water sources. Quartermaster personnel are charged with equipment setup and production, storage, and distribution of potable water. Medical personnel are responsible for quality control and assurance of potable water. Their evaluations are critical in command decisions regarding the ultimate use of a water source because commanders must make the final decision based on the tactical situation, available support functions, and troop health and welfare.

Each of these groups requires training on the concepts and mechanisms of opportunity poisons. Of the four groups identified, we believe that quartermaster and medical personnel require the most intensive training. Quartermaster personnel must operate the equipment to produce the potable water, while medical personnel must know how to appropriately evaluate a contaminated water source. Medical personnel must decide whether contaminated water can be treated with the available equipment to



1 T I L 1 P /

Figure 1. Decision tree describing recommended response sequence for military personnel to follow if opportunity poisons are suspected to be present in field water.

produce potable water of acceptable quality and quantity. Therefore, they must recognize the potential health impact of the contaminant, as well as its potential impact on the water-purification equipment.

Certain basic principles should be incorporated in the training programs to make key personnel aware of the contamination process and of its impact on water supplies.

At the level of water-treatment specialists (Quartermaster Corps), the training should stress the concept of opportunity poisons to make the operator aware of potential, intentional contamination of the source or treated waters. Operators should become aware of odor, taste, color, and changes in routine chemical test results, as indications of water contamination. Emphasis should be placed on development of accurate identification skills and immediate, appropriate responses to suspected contamination.

At the medical surveillance level (i.e., preventive-medicine technician, sanitary engineer, environmental science officer, and surgeon), the training emphasis should be twofeld. First, the training should stress an awareness of potential situations involving opportunity poisons and indications of possible contamination. The geographic theater of operations and the nature of the enemy force are critical in evaluating opportunitypoisoning situations. Secondly, the training should enhance the capability of personnel to evaluate a contaminant's impact on troops and equipment. This involves identification of the class of compound, and quantification of the impact of that compound. Factors such as water-use requirements, water-source type and volume, and water-treatment equipment efficiency should be examined closely to quantify the impact of contamination with the compound.

One method to introduce training about opportunity poisons and their impacts (i.e., at the service-school level) is to develop selected scenarios in which the student must identify, quantify, evaluate, and make recommendations concerning use of a water source contaminated by an opportunity poison.

#### SUMMARY

This report shows that there is an ample number of commonly available substances with the potential for quick and easy use by an enemy to deny utilization of a field-water supply. The most important of these substances from the perspective of use as opportunity poisons are petroleum products and solvents because they are readily available and possess both toxic and organoleptic properties. The report also shows that the water sources most threatened by opportunity poisons are small bodies of surface water, wells, cisterns, storage tanks, tactical pipelines, and/or distribution systems. The training of commanders, specialists, and troops to be aware of potential water

contamination with opportunity poisons is the best defense against the problem. By being cognizant of the threat and situations where a threat is most likely to occur, and the substances most likely to be used, a military field force may protect itself and its water supply, one of its most important resources.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

The following are our recommendations to meet the threat of opportunity poisons:

- Develop and distribute guidelines for use by U.S. military field forces on the threat of opportunity poisons. Technical bulletins, training manuals, and field manuals are appropriate publications for this information.
- Develop and implement in existing training programs for U.S. Engineer, Quartermaster, and Medical Corps personnel and troop commanders appropriate literature, practical exercises, and detailed training scenarios for opportunity poisons and appropriate responses.
- Conduct research quantifying the effects of the various identified classes of opportunity poisons on military water-treatment equipment, particularly the reverse osmosis water-purification unit (ROWPU). The suggested priority for further research is to examine the potential impacts of (1) petroleum products, (2) solvents, (3) coolants, (4) insecticides, rodenticides, and repellents, and (5) herbicides and defoliants, as possible opportunity poisons.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Kenison, C. B., Commander, U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, private communication (December 1987).
- 2. Phull, K., U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Water Quality Engineering Division, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, private communication (December 1987).
- 3. Zoeteman, B. C. J., <u>Sensory Assessment of Water Quality</u> (Pergamon Press, Inc., New York, NY, 1980), pp. 147-148.
- 4. Department of the Army, <u>U.S. Army Operational Concept for Water Support in a</u> <u>Theater of Operations</u>, TRADOC Pamphlet 525-32, (September 12, 1983).
- 5. U.S. Army Belvoir Research and Development Center, "Letter of Instruction," Fort Belvoir, VA (August 25, 1984).
- 6. Sanchis, J. M., "Chemical Warfare and Water Supplies," <u>J. Am. Water Works Assoc.</u> <u>38</u>, 1179-96 (1946).
  - 7. Department of the Army, <u>Sanitary Control and Surveillance of Supplies at Fixed and</u> <u>Field Installations</u>, Department of the Army, Washington, DC, Technical Bulletin TB MED 229 (1975).

## APPENDIX A BIBLIOGRAPHY

This bibliography is a compilation of military and other publications containing information about the use of opportunity poisons to deny a water source to a military force in the field. Many of the publications deal with potential contaminants, water-purification equipment and their treatment/removal of various contaminants, and the types of water-purification equipment and supplies that a potential enemy force might take into the field. Technical reports are listed first, followed by military technical manuals, and finally, military field manuals.

This bibliography was compiled by Mary-Bert Carmer of V. J. Ciccone & Associates, Inc. (VJCA). The sources of these documents are the VJCA library, local military libraries, and other military agencies.

## TECHNICAL REPORTS

Adams, L. M., and L. Jordan. Operational Test of Near Term Water Support System. AD B070 334L. U.S. Army Airborne Board, 1982.

Aleksandrov, I. Chemical Weapons. FTD-TT-65-68, AD 614 392. Translation of Voennye Znaniya, USSR. U.S. Air Force, Foreign Technology Division, 1965.

American Water Works Association. Emergency Planning for Water Utility Management. (M19). American Water Works Association, Denver, CO, 1973.

Anders, S. Water Supply – Containers and Methods. FSTC-HT-23-419-73, AD 922 234L. Translation of Arme Nytt. Sweden, 1972. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1974.

Antonov, A. F., Y. Y. Belli, <u>et al</u>. Reference Manual for Construction on Permafrost Soils. FSTC-HT-254-78, AD B042 325L. Translation of monograph Spravochnik po Stroitel'stvy na Vechnomerzlykh Gruntaki. Leningrad, 1977. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1979.

Bailey, A. Technical paper. CDE-TP-266, AD C950 880L. British Ministry of Defence, Chemical Defence Establishment Porton Down, U.K., 1980.

Baker, D. B., K. A. Krieger, et al. The Concentrations and Transport of Pesticides in Northwestern Ohio Rivers, 1981. TR-20, AD A108 835. Water Quality Laboratory, Heidelberg College, Tiffin, OH, 1981.

Barkley, J. J., Jr., <u>et al</u>. Water Pollution Sampler Evaluation. Technical Report 7501. U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1975.

Bauer, U. Behavior of a Series of Plant Protectives (Pesticides) in Ground Water Supplementation Through Slow Sand Filtration. USAMIIA-K-8790, AD B026 348L. Translation of Schr. Reihe Ver. Wass.-Boden-Lufthyg. West Germany, 1972. U.S. Army Medical Intelligence and Information Agency, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1978.

Baychev, A. Establishment of Water Supply Stations. FSTC-HT-1107-78, AD B039 321L. Translation of Armeyski pregled. Bulgaria, 1975. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1979.

Brunswick Corporation. Steam/Hot Water/Chemical Solution Decontamination System. Revision A. BR900054-REV-A. AD B042 316L. Brunswick Corporation, Defense Division, De Land, FL, 1979.

Burrows, E. P., and S. A. Schaub. Identification of Xenobiotic Contaminant of Field Water Supply System Designed for Rapid Deployment Force. USAMBRDL-TR-8301. AD A127 387. U.S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1983.

Cameron, B. G. Technical paper. DRES-TP-494, AD C950 737L. Canadian Defence Research Staff, Defence Research Establishment, Suffield Ralston, 1979.

Carnahan, R. P., A. R. Anzzolin, and R. G. Ross. Treatment of Brackish, Sea and Chemically Contaminated Fresh Water by Reverse Osmosis. AD A125 386. U.S. Army Mobility Equipment and Research Development Command, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1979.

Chekalin, V. S., and B. V. Varenyshev. Field Fortifications and Equipment. AST-1100I-005-75, FSTC-1819-75, AD B019 375L. Translation of monograph Voyenizdat. Moscow, 1974. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1976.

Chekalin, V. S., and B. V. Varenyshev. Terrain Fortification. FSTC-HT-23-972-75, AD B010 379. Translation of monograph Voennoye Izdatelstvo. Moscow, 1974. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1975.

Christova, A. S., and N. N. Stoyachev. Potable Sanitary – Epidemiological Kit. Trans-169, AD 677 078. Translation of Voenno-Meditsinskii Zhurnal. USSR, 1956. U.S. Army Biological Laboratories, 1968.

Chubar, T. V., V. N. Vysotskaya, <u>et al</u>. Cleaning Oil and Oil Products from Water Bodies by Means of Absorbents. FSTC-HT-1398-78, AD B039 423L. Translation of Transport I Khraneniye Nefti I Nefteproduktov. USSR, 1977. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1979.

Ciccone, V.J., and Associates, Inc. Expedient Water Conservation Techniques in a Base Water Supply Situation. V. J. Ciccone & Associates, Inc., Woodridge, VA, 1982, for U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory.

Clapper, D. P., and J. D. Sitar. 600 GPH Reverse Osmosis Water Purification Unit, Operation Test II. AD B022 344L. U.S. Army Armor and Engineer Board, 1977.

Cluster, A. B. Development Test II (Service Phase) of Water Quality Analysis Sets. AD 921 217L. Final Report, 1974. U.S. Army Armor and Engineer Board, 1974.

Crane, J. Desert Water Supply. NCEL-TN-1637, AD B068 233L. U.S. Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory, 1982.

Crews, J. E., and J. Tang. Selected Works in Water Supply, Water Conservation and Water Quality Planning. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Institute on Water Sources, 1981.

Davis, E., and D. J. L. Forgie. Removal and Detoxification of T-2 Toxin in Distilled Water by Water Treatment Methods: A Screening Study. AD B080 668L. Saskatchewan University, Saskatoon Department of Civil Engineering, 1983.

Defense Documentation Center. Water Purification and Decontamination. Report Bibliography May 1945 through August 1973. DDC-TAS-74-15, AD 778 910. 1973. Defense Documentation Center, Alexandria, VA, 1974.

Demek, M. M., D. H. Rosenblatt, <u>et al</u>. Removal of Toxic Chemicals from Water by Reverse Osmosis. Technical Report EA-TR-4356, AD 866 631L. 1968. U.S. Army Edgewood Arsenal, Edgewood, MD, 1970.

Demineralization of Water by Reverse Osmosis (Extract). AST-1820I-005-76, FSTC-0176-76, AD B014 852L. Translation of Bulletin Technique du Genie Militaire. France, 1975. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1976.

Dennis, W. H., Jr., A. B. Rosencrance, C. W. Wade, T. M. Trybus, and E. A. Kobylinski. Treatment of Pesticide-Laden Wastewaters from Army Pest Control Facilities by Activated Carbon Filtration Using the Carbolator Treatment System. USAMBRDL-TR-8203, AD A138 399. U.S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1983.

Doan, D. B., and P. F. Narten. Water Supply for Counterinsurgency Operations in Northeast Thailand. RAC-TP-284, AD 675 959. Research Analysis Corporation, 1968.

Drachev, S. M., and S. D. Zamyslova. Potable Laboratory Kit for Water Testing under Field Conditions. Trans-654, AD 683 052. Translation of Gigiena i Sanitariya. USSR, 1950. U.S. Army Biological Laboratories, 1952.

Epstein, J., V. E. Bauer, and C. M. Weiss. Evaluation of Compound 1370 as a Water Contaminant. RR322, AD 046 813. U.S. Army Chemical Corps Medical Laboratories, 1954.

Fedorov, N. F., and O. V. Zaborshchikov. Handbook on the Design of Water Supply and Sewer Systems in Regions with Permafrost Soils. FSTC-HT-1082-80, AD B070 708L. Translation of monograph Spravochnik po Proyektirovaniyu Sistem Bodosnabzheniya i Kanalizatsii v Rayonakh Vechnomerzlykh Gruntov, Leningrad, 1979. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1982.

Ford, A. Jr., and M. Pressman. Removal of f2 Virus from River Water by Army Water Purification Units. USAMERDC-2109, AD A005 557. Final Report, 1973. U.S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1974.

Ford, J. P. C-5 Crew and Troop Compartment Water Systems Contamination Tests. MAC-OT/E-5-21-74, AD B003 891L. U.S. Air Force, Military Airlift Command, 1975.

Gainey, R. J., E. H. Sieveka, and J. Epstein. Field Purification of Water Contaminated by G Agents. AERDL-1150, AD B958 539L. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1949.

Geissler, A. East German WFS-1 Backpack-Type Portable Water Filtration Unit. FSTC-HT-976-78, AD B036 693L. Translation of Militartechnik, Germany, T. M. Hill, Editor. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1978.

Geiscler, A. Water Filtering Station WFS 2 for Field Water Supply. FSTC-688-76, AST-1340I-139-76. AD B020 034L. Translation of Militartechnik. East Germany, 1976. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1976.

Goodwin, G. Field Water Supply – Foreign. DST-1150S-029-81. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1981.

Greene, J. M. A Study of How Water Quality Factors Can Be Incorporated into Water Supply Analysis. AD 782 870. Final Report. Ernst and Ernst, Washington, DC, 1973.

Gromoglasov, A. A., A. S. Kopylov, <u>et al</u>. Water Treatment. Processes and Equipment. FSTC-HT-1485-78, AD B044 880L. Translation of monograph Vodopodgotovka Protsessy i Apparaty. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1979.

Grune, W. N., H. S. Atlas, and G. J. Hamel. Evaluation of Fallout Contamination of Water Supplies. AD 466 691. Division of Engineering, Merrimack College, North Andover, MA, 1965.

Hanes, H. D. Soviet Logistics (Supply). AD E751 005, AD B063 685L. U.S. Army Command and General Staff College, 1981.

Health Aspects of Chemical and Biological Weapons. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1970.

Heller, C. A. Trinitrotoluene Detection in Water. Design of an On-Stream, Automated Instrument Based upon Fluorescent Ion-Exchange Resins. NWC-TR-6035, AD B029 319L. U.S. Naval Weapons Center, 1978.

Hereim, A. T. Covert Use of Biological Warfare. DPG-FR-C425A, AD C002 348L. U. S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, UT, 1975.

Hill, R., Water Purification Device. FTD-ID(RS)T-0913-78, AD B036 362L. Edited translation of Znamenosets. USSR, 1977, R. Hill, Editor. U.S. Air Force Foreign Technology Division, 1978.

Hog, A. Treatment of Polluted or Contaminated Water. FSTC-HT-948-79, AD B052 907. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1980.

Horstkamp, H. M. Water Purification System. AD B041 146L. U.S. Marine Corps Development and Education Command, 1979.

Kamenik, P. H., J. L. Nook, and R. T. Muse. Development Test II (Engineering Phase) of Water Quality Analysis Sets, Engineer, Preventive Medicine, and Medical Laboratory. APG-MT-4472, AD 921 216L. Materiel Testing Directorate, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1974.

Karpekin, P., and P. Profatlov. TSVK-A911 Engine Starting Unit. FSTC-HT-23-1553-72, AD 760 397. Translation from Avtomobilnyi Transport. USSR, 1971. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1973.

Kemp, K. H., and A. Wetherell. The Subjective Detection of CR Contamination in Drinking Water. CDE-TN-238, AD B007 581L. British Ministry of Defence, Chemical Defence Establishment, Porton Down, U.K., 1975.

Keyes, R. Steam/Hot Water/Chemical Solution Decontamination System. BR-900062, AD B047 005L. Brunswick Corporation, Defense Division, DeLand, FL, 1980.

Khalid, R. A., R. P. Gambrell, <u>et al</u>. Environmental and Water Quality Operational Studies: Literature Survey of Reservoir Contaminant Problems. WES/TR/E-83-13, AD A130 371. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, 1983.

Kichenkp, M. G., and Yu. G. Talaeva. A Method for Rapid Identification of Typhoid and Dysentery Bacteria in Water. FTD-HT-23-519-74, AD 773 902. Edited translation of monograph Voprosy Sanitarnoi Bakteriologii i Virusologii. V. Mesenzeff, Editor. 1965. U.S. Air Force Foreign Technology Division, 1974.

Krasnanya Zvezda, USSR. Rear Services and Supply of the Soviet Armed Forces, Number 3, 1975 (Tyl i Snabzheniye Sovetskikh Vooruzhennykh Sil). FSTC-HT-23-1338-75. AD B006 008L. Translation from Krasnaya Zvezda, USSR, 1975. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1975.

Krause, H. Bacteriological Inspection of Drinking Water. FSTC-HT-23-1431-73, AD B000 698L. Translation of Truppenpraxis, 1972. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1973.

Kucherenko, D. I., and V. A. Gladhov. Circulating Water Supply. FTD-ID(RS)T-0249-82, AD B065 167L. U. S. Air Force Foreign Technology Division, 1982.

Kunert, J. Radioactive Control of Waters in the Territory of the German Democratic Republic (DDR)-1967. USAMIIA-K-0944-E, AD B050 307L. Translation. U.S. Army Medical Intelligence and Information Agency, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1972.

Ladesic, J. Steam/Hot Water/Chemical Solution Decontamination System. BR-900054, AD B047 359L. Brunswick Corporation, Defense Division, DeLand, FL, 1980.

Lamothe, J. D. Water Quality of the Soviet Union. MIO-1-71, AD 728 516. U.S. Army Medical Intelligence Office, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1971.

Lee, H. Vulnerability of Municipal Water Facilities to Radioactive Contamination from Nuclear Attacks. AD 434 091. Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, CA, 1964.

Lindsten, D. C. ROWPU-NBC Post Treatment. Eskelund Memorandum No. 35. U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1983.

Lindsten, D. C. 3000/20000 GPH ROWPU (Reverse Osmosis Water Purification Unit). AD A125 660. U.S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1983.

Lindsten, D. C., and V. E. Bauer. Decontamination of Water Contaminated with VX. AERDL-1630, AD 239 310. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1960.

Lindsten, D. C., and P. R. DesRoches. Decontamination of Water Containing Chemical and Radiological Warfare Agents by Reverse Osmosis. Report 2211. U.S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1977.

Lindsten, D. C., and R. P. Schmitt. Removal of Chemical, Biological, and Radiological Contaminants from Water with Corps of Engineers Field Water Supply Equipment. AERDL-1702, AD 274 300. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1961.

Linthicum, E. S., and W. A. Eldridge. The Removal of H. S. Contamination in Potential Field Water. EA-TR-47, AD B955 998. U. S. Army, Edgewood Arsenal, Edgewood, MD, 1930.

Loginov, V., O. Ktatorov, <u>et al</u>. Rear Services and Supply of the Soviet Armed Forces Number 8, 1974. FSTC-HT-23-524-75, AD B002 588L. Translation of Russian Language Report. Moscow, 1974. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1974.

Malarkey, A. T., W. P. Lambert, J. W. Hammond, and P. J. Marks. Installation Restoration General Environmental Technology Development. Task 1. Solvent and Heavy Metals Removal from Groundwater. AD B075 932L. Roy F. Weston, Inc., West Chester, PA, 1983.

Maloney, J. R. Principles and Practice of BW Decontamination. 21. Review of Literature on BW Defense of Drinking Water Supplies. Unclassified Report. AD 262 867. U.S. Army Biological Laboratories, 1955.

Martens, P. Incidences of the Use of Agricultural Pesticides on Water Pollution. USAMII-K-3882, AD B048 826L. Translation of Annales de Gembloux. France, 1971. U.S. Army Medical Intelligence and Information Agency, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1973.

Michael, E. D. Problems of Military Water Supply Systems in the Republic of Viet Nam. AD 874 454L. Control Data Corporation, 1970.

Military Medical Journal, Number 4 (Voyenno-Medisinskiy Zhurnal). USAMIIA-K-2577, AD B056 118L. Translation of Krasnaya Zvezda, USSR, 1972. U.S. Army Medical Intelligence and Information Agency, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1972.

Nahas, N. C. Chemical and Biological Agent Challenges of Water Purification Unit, Trailer Mounted, 600 GPH. DTC-R-EB915, AD 860 160L. U.S. Army Deseret Test Center, 1969.

Nahas, N. C., and G. J. Capone. Engineering Test of Chemical Agent Permeation of Drum, Fabric, Collapsible, Potable Water. DTC-R-E932, AD 871 246L. U.S. Army Deseret Test Center, 1970.

National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council Committee on Safe Drinking Water. Drinking Water and Health. Volumes 1-5. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 1977-1982.

National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council Committee on Safe Drinking Water. Summary report: Drinking Water and Health. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 1977.

Owens, E. J., J. T. Weimer, <u>et al</u>. Toxicity of 'Detoxified' GB, VX, and HD to Animals and Aquatic Organisms. Technical Report EA-TR-4755, AD 765 428. 1972. U.S. Army Edgewood Arsenal, Edgewood, MD, 1973.

Parker, J. M., and W. J. Watson. Oral Toxicity of T2274 in Water. Report No. 47-5, AD 088 992. Canada Defence Research Medical Laboratories, 1955.

Pavlovich, D. Chemical Contamination and Control of Water Bodies. FSTC-HT-23-387-71, AD 894 448L. Translation of Vojnotehnichki Glasnik, Yugoslavia. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1971.

Pennsyle, R. O. Chemical Warfare Challenge to Water Decontamination. ARSCL-TR-80029, AD C022 360L. U.S. Army Armament Research and Development Command, 1980.

Perry, R. G. Evaluation of the KMU-450/F Mod Kit During TAC Project 77G-016T. CEEDO-TR-77-24, AD B021 162L. U.S. Air Force, Civil and Environmental Engineering Development Office, 1977.

Perry, R. G. Removal of Trichloroethylene Contamination from Drinking Water at a USAF Installation. CEEDO-TR-78-48, AD A066 792. Interim Report, 1978. U.S. Air Force Civil and Environmental Engineering Development Office, 1978.

Pizzino, J. F., and M. W. Titus. Rejection of Selected Chemical Contaminants by Reverse Osmosis Desalination Modules. DTNSRDC/PAS-83-43. AD B080 844L. U. S. Navy David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center, 1983.

Pressman, M., and R. G. Grodt. Decontamination of Water Containing <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> <u>var. niger</u> Spores by U. S. Army Field Water Purification Processes and Equipment. AERDL-1791, AD 610 091. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1964.

Pressman, M. Tactical Water Hoseline Investigation. MERADCOM-2190, AD B017 744L. U.S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command, Fort Belvoir, VA, 1976.

Quintrell, W. N. Water Purification Capability of the German Federal Armed Forces. TRADOC-T-35-81, AD E751 041. U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command Liaison Office, 1981.

Rabinovich, A. L. Development of Methods for Recovering a New Rolling Oil from the Waste Water of Sheet Metal Cold Rolling Shops. FTD-HT-23 1262-71, AD 739 224, Edited translation of Ochistka Proizvodstrennykh Stochnykh Vod. Koolbeck, D., Editor. USSR, 1969. U.S. Air Force Foreign Technology Division, 1971.

Sim, V. M., C. McClure, Jr., F. J. Vocci, L. Feinsilver, and W. A. Groff. Tolerance of Man to VX-Contaminated Water. Report No. 3231, AD 449 722. U.S. Army Chemical Research and Development Laboratories, Edgewood, MD, 1964.

Snoeyink, V. L., and F. I. Marcus. USAF Mobility Program Water Treatment System. AD 766 656. Technical Report, 1972. University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, 1973.

Solonin, B. N. Brief Manual on the Planning and Drilling of Water Wells. FSTC-HT-1923-78, AD B037 871L. Translation of monograph Kratkiy Spravochnik po Proyektirovaniyu i Bureniyu Skvazhin na Voć 1977. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1979.

Solonin, B. N. Brief Reference Book on the Planning and Drilling of Water Wells. FSTC-HT-596-79. AD B048 806. Translation of monograph Kratkiy Spravochnik po Proyektirovaniyu i Bureniyu Skvazhin na Vodu. Moscow, 1977. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1980.

Szewczyjk, J. E., and H. C. Eichhorn. Environmental Toxicology Assessment, CS-1 and CS-2. USAEHA-32-24-0293-81, AD B055 371L. U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Edgewood Arsenal, Edgewood, MD, 1981.

U.S. Air Force. Mobile Buildings. Sanitary-Engineering Equipment. General Specifications. FTD-ID(RS)T-1004-81, AD B060 575L. Edited translation of Russian State Standard, Weisenbarger, G. M., Editor. GOST 23345-78, 1979. U.S. Air Force Foreign Technology Division, 1981.

U.S. Army, Field Sanitation Team Training. Training circular no. 8-3. U.S. Army, Washington, DC, 1978.

U.S. Army. Medical Concerns RAPID DEPLOYMENT FORCE'S Water Requirements in the Arid Environment. Volumes I through IV. Compiled by Cpt. Paul R. Thies. Prepared for Water Resources Management Office, U.S. Army Logistics Center, October 1980 through March 1981.

U.S. Army. The Study of Army Logistics. 1981. Section 22 of 28. Water. AD B953 790L. Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics, U.S. Army, Washington, DC, 1981.

U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center. Protective Measures Against the Effects of Chemical and Biological Warfare Agents. FSTC-HT-23-1230-71, AD 526 262L. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottsville, VA, 1972.

U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center. Radiological Decontamination Unit. FSTC-HT-23-561-75, AD B003 319L. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center Charlottesville, VA, 1975.

U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center. Water Purification System Manufactured by BWW Filter, GmbH. FSTC-HT-1245-78, AD B040 642L. Translation from BWW Filter GmbH. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA, 1979.

U.S. Army Medical Intelligence and Information Agency. Reverse Osmosis. Pilot Plant Begins Operation on Heligoland. USAMIIA-K-8396, AD B022 447L. Translation from VDI Nachrichten. West Germany, Vol. 31 (11) 1975. U.S. Army Medical Intelligence and Information Agency, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, 1977.

U.S. Marine Corps. Family of Water Supply Equipment. USMC-ROC-LOG-1.05, AD B024 453L. U.S. Marine Corps, 1970.

U.S. Marine Corp. Required Operation Capability (ROC) Number NBC 1.10 for a Detector Kit for Waterborne Chemical Warfare Agents. USMC-ROC-NBC-1.10, AD B052 375L. U.S. Marine Corps, 1980.

U.S. Public Health Service (USPHS). LSD and Water Supply. <u>J. Am. Water Works Assoc.</u> <u>59</u>(1):120-122, 1967.

Vancheri, F. J. Advanced Development of a Detector Kit for Chemical Agents in Water. A-100-A004, AD B066 840L. Final Report on Phase 3, September 1981 to May 1982. Mine Safety Appliances Co., Murrysville, PA, 1982.

Vancheri, F. J. Advanced Development of a Detector Kit for Chemical Agents in Water. A-100-A003-2, AD B062 508L. Contractor Report on Phase 2, February to August 1981. Mine Safety Appliances Co., Murrysville, PA, 1982.

Vashkov, V. I. Achievements of Soviet Disinfection. Trans-2390, AD 683-042. Translation of Zhurnal Mikrobiologii Epidemiologii i Immunobiologii. USSR, 1967. U.S. Army Biological Laboratories, 1969.

Vind, H. P., and C. W. Mathews. Biodeterioration of Navy Insecticides in the Ocean. NCEL-PO-1-0020, AD A007 568. Annual Report No. 4, 1974. Civil Engineering Laboratory U.S. Navy, 1975.

Wilson, W. R., and J. L. S. Hickey. Color Removal from Surface Waters by Hypochlorite: Field Tests on Water at Kotzebue Air Force Site. TDR-64-18, AD 614 995. Arctic Health Research Center, Anchorage, AK, 1965.

الأقلا أهدا

Zarubin, G. P., and Yu. V. Novikov. Present Methode of Purification and Disinfection of Drinking Water. FSTC-HT-103-79, AD B041 464. Translation of monograph Sovremennyye Metody Ochisthi I Obezzararazhivaniya Pityevoy Vody. Moscow, Meditsina, 1976. U.S. Air Force Foreign Technology Division, 1979.

a a l'internet a construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la

#### MILITARY TECHNICAL MANUALS

U.S. Army. Chemical, Biological, and Radiological (CBR) Decontamination. TM 3-220. U.S. Army, 1967.

U.S. Army. Engineering and Design, Water Supply – Emergency Construction. TM 5-884-2. U.S. Army, 1965.

U.S. Army. Environmental Health Technician. TM 8-250. U.S. Army, 1974.

U.S. Army. Field Behavior of Chemical, Biological, and Radiological Agents. TM 3-240. U.S. Army, 1969.

U.S. Army. Field Water Supply. TM 5-700. U.S. Army, 1967.

U.S. Army. Firefighting and Rescue Procedures in Theater of Operations. TM 5-315. U.S. Army, 1971.

U.S. Army. Military Entomology Operational Handbook. TM 5-632. U.S. Army, 1965.

U.S. Army. Operator's and Organizational Maintenance Manual for Water Quality Analysis/Sets; Preventive Medicine - NSN 6630-00-140-7826, Engineer - NSN 6630-00-140-7820. TM 5-6630-215-12. U.S. Army, 1981.

U.S. Army. Operator's Manual: Water Testing Kit, Chemical Agents. TM 3-6665-308-10. U.S. Army, 1975.

U.S. Army. Storage, Shipment, Handling, and Disposal of Chemical Agents and Hazardous Chemicals. TM 3-250. U.S. Army, 1969.

U.S. Army. Technical Manual, Operator's Manual. Water Testing Kit, Chemical Agents: M272. NSN-6665-01-134-0685. TM 3-6665-319-10. U.S. Army, 1983.

U.S. Army. Treatment of Chemical Agent Casualties and Conventional Military Injuries. TM 8-285. U.S. Army, 1974.

U.S. Army. Water Purification Units. Reverse Osmosis, 600 Gallon. TM 5-4610-215-10. U.S. Army, 1982.

U.S. Army. Water Purification Units. Reverse Osmosis, ROWPU: 600-1. TM 5-4610-214-24. U.S. Army, 1983.

U.S. Army. Water Purification Units. Reverse Osmosis, Trailer Mounted, MET-PRO: 600C. TM 5-4610-222-20P. U.S. Army, 1971.

U.S. Army. Water Supply, General Considerations. TM 5-813-1. U.S. Army, 1965.

U.S. Army. Water Supply - Water Storage. TM 5-813-4. U.S. Army, 1965.

U.S. Army. Water Testing Kit, Poisons, M4. TM 3-427. U.S. Army, 1956.

#### MILITARY FIELD MANUALS

U.S. Army. Army Water Transport Operations. FM 55-50. U.S. Army, 1976.

U.S. Army. Chemical Accident Contamination Control. FM 3-21. U.S. Army, 1978.

U.S. Army. Chemical Reference Handbook. FM 3-8. U.S. Army, 1967.

U.S. Army. Chemical Smoke Generator Units and Smoke Operations. FM 3-50. U.S. Army, 1967.

U.S. Army. Commander's Handbook for Water Usage in Desert Operations. FM 10-52-1. U.S. Army, 1983.

U.S. Army. Employment of Chemical and Biological Agents. FM 3-10. U.S. Army, 1966.

Contraction for

U.S. Army, Explosives and Demolition. FM 5-25. U.S. Army, 1971.

5 12 11 5

U.S. Army. Field Hygiene and Sanitation. U.S. Army FM 21-10; U.S. Air Force AFM 161-10. U.S. Army and U.S. Air Force, 1970.

1985 yr 1879.

 $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$ 

U.S. Army. Military Chemistry and Chemical Compounds. FM 3-9. U.S. Army, 1975.

U.S. Army. Military Police Combat Support, Theater of Operations. FM 19-4. U.S. Army, 1977.

U.S. Army. Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical (NBC) Reconnaissance and Decontamination Operations. FM 3-87. U.S. Army, 1980.

U.S. Army. Technical Escort Operations. FM 3-20. U.S. Army, 1981.

## APPENDIX B

#### MILITARY ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED

Organization Individual(s) contacted Col. J. Herndon 1. U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General Lt. Col. H. McAlear Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310 Lt. Col. J. Stratta U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency 2. Aberdeen Proving Ground (Edgewood Area) Capt. W. Andrews Edgewood, MD 21010 Capt. M. Dougherty Mr. S. Kistner U.S. Army Quartermaster School Lt. Col. J. McIlrath 3. Fort Lee, VA 23801 Mr. J. Marigliano Mr. G. Eskelund 4. U.S. Army Belvoir Research and **Development Center** Mr. D. Lindsten Petroleum and Environmental Technology Capt. R. Thompson Division Fort Belvoir, VA 22060 Col. C. Kenison 5. Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences Dr. L. Legters Bethesda, MD 20014 6. 18th Airborne Corps and Fort Bragg MEDDAC Maj. M. Moore Capt. J. Curtis Fort Bragg, NC 28307 M. Sgt. J. Hooks Sgt. R. Kerr M. Sgt. T. Rivera 7. U.S. Marine Corps Engineer School Camp Lejeune, Jacksonville, NC 28542 Mr. D. Dinger 8. U.S. Army Foreign Science and Ms. S. Gropen Technology Center, Charlottesville, VA 22903 Maj. R. Knight Mr. G. Vayvada Mr. H. Sheinfeld Ms. J. Jacobsen Mr. K. Cheves Mr. J. Busi

Ms. M. Smith Ms. R. Eoyton Dr. V. Green Dr. F. Puleski Dr. K. Creiling Mr. J. Jacoby Mr. R. Veetkarm

( ...? ; ,

## APPENDIX B (Continued)

	Organization	Individual(s) contacted
9.	U.S. Army Belvoir Research and Development Center Foreign Intelligence Office Fort Belvoir, VA 22060	Mr. C. Malden Mr. J. Jacobs
10.	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Drinking Water Washington, DC 20460	Mr. F. Bell
11.	U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701	Dr. S. Schaub
12.	U.S. Armed Forces Medical Intelligence Center Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701	Dr. B. Erlich Dr. S.Watson
13.	U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground (Edgewood Area) Edgewood, MD 21010	Lt. Col. J. Soyak

### APPENDIX C

## CALCULATION OF THE MAXIMUM VOLUME OF WATER MOST LIKELY TO BE OF MILITARY CONCERN FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF OPPORTUNITY POISONING

There are some lakes and other bodies of water so large and some rivers and streams so swift flowing that they do not represent a reasonable target for opportunity poisons. To estimate the maximum volume of water that is most likely to be of reasonable concern to military personnel as a target for opportunity poisons, we examined the use of trichloroethylene (TCE) as an opportunity poison. This is a common solvent that produces adverse organoleptic effects at very low concentrations in water. It is also readily obtained in 55-gal drums. Thus, we chose to use TCE for our calculation because it is representative of the widely available opportunity poisons that at low concentrations can make field water aesthetically objectionable and thereby preclude its use; the odor-detection threshold for TCE is 0.5 mg/L.<sup>1</sup> For purposes of this estimate we assume 100% solubility, perfect mixing of the TCE in the source water, and the availability of water-treatment equipment capable of removing up to 99% of the TCE. Therefore, the volume of water contaminated by the introduction of 55 gal of TCE so that a concentration of 0.5 mg/L will be present in the water after treatment to remove 99% of the contaminant is estimated to be approximately  $2 \times 10^6$  gal according to the following equation:

V = TCE x D x T x 
$$\frac{1}{OTC}$$

where

- volume of field water contaminated to a concentration equal to the organoleptic-threshold concentration for TCE (gal);
- TCE volume of TCE introduced into the field-water supply as an opportunity poison (55 gal);
- D = density of TCE at 20°C (1.47 x  $10^6$  mg/L);
- T fraction of TCE remaining in water after treatment (1 0.99); and
- OTC = odor-threshold concentration for TCE (0.5 mg/L).

Thus,  $2 \times 10^6$  gal is a gross approximation of the maximum size of field-water sources that are of possible concern as potential targets for opportunity poisons. Equivalently, we can calculate the flow rate corresponding to a daily volume of  $2 \times 10^6$  gal as follows:

2,000,000 
$$\frac{\text{gal}}{\text{d}} \times \frac{\text{d}}{86,400 \text{ s}} \times \frac{\text{ft}^3}{7.5 \text{ gal}} = 3 \frac{\text{ft}^3}{\text{s}}$$

We recognize that these estimates are based on only one opportunity poison and an assumed level of treatment efficiency and have a large amount of uncertainty associated with them. However, we believe that given the available information, they are somewhat realistic and with further research the uncertainty can be reduced substantially.

.

1. Zoeteman, B. C. J., <u>Sensory Assessment of Water Quality</u> (Pergamon Press, Inc., New York, NY, 1980), pp. 147-148.

.

## DISTRIBUTION

, .**·** 

~

.

\*

.

26 copies	Commander U.S. Army Biomedical Research and Development Laboratory ATTN: SGRD-UBZ-C Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21701-5010
2 copies	Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-RMI-S Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21701-5010
2 copies	Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
1 сору	Dean School of Medicine Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814-4799
1 сору	Commandant Academy of Health Sciences, U.S. Army ATTN: AHS-CDM Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100
1 <b>сору</b>	Commander U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCEN-A 5011 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333-000
1 copy	Commandant U.S. Army Quartermaster School ATTN: ATSM-CD Fort Lee, VA 23801-5000
1 <b>сору</b>	Commander U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCCR-CBM Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423 and
1 <b>сору</b>	Commander U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCCR-RST Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

# DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

1 сору	Commander U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCGS-O Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
1 сору	Commander U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency ATTN: HSHB-EW-R Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5422 and
1 copy	Commander U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency ATTN: HSHD-AD-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5422
1 сору	Commander U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency ATTN: HSHB-OM Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5422
1. сору	Commander/Director U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory ATTN: CERL-EN Champaign, IL 61820-1305
1 сору	Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research ATTN: SGRD-UWK Washington, DC 20307-5100
1 copy	Commandant U.S. Army Academy of Health Sciences ATTN: HSHA-CDS Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100
1 сору	Commander U.S. Army Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: STRBE-FS Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606
1 <b>сору</b>	Commander U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: DRDNA-YE Natick, MA 01760-5020
1 сору	Commander U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine ATTN: SGRD-UE-HR Natick, MA 01760-5007

# DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

۷

4

r

1 copy	Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-PLC Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012
1 copy	HQDA OTSG ATTN: DASG-PSP-E 5111 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22041-3258
1 сору	NAVMED COM Code MEDCOM 02C Washington, DC 20372-5120
1 сору	HQ, USAF, Bolling AFB ATTN: SGES Washington, DC 20332-5000
1 сору	U.S. Navy Environmental Health Center Code 64 Norfolk, VA 23511
1 copy	HQ, U.S. Marine Corps Office of the Medical Officer Code Med Washington, DC 20380–5000
1 сору	Commander U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Chemical Defense ATTN: SGRD-ZS Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5425
1 <b>сору</b>	U.S. Air Force Engineering Services Center ATTN: AFESC/DEOP Tyndall AFB, FL 32403
1 copy	Naval Sea Systems Command Theater Nuclear Program Office ATTN: PMS-423-M Washington, DC 20362-5101
1 <b>сору</b>	Commander U.S. Army Nuclear and Chemical Agency ATTN: DONA-CM 7500 Backlick Road, Bldg. 2073 Springfield, VA 22150-3198

.

A.

## DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

1 сору	Dr. Kris Khanna U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Drinking Water (WH-550) Washington, DC 20460-5101 and
1 сору	Mr. Frank Bell U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Drinking Water (WH-550) Washington, DC 20460-5101
1 copy	Dr. Vincent J. Ciccone, President V.J. Ciccone & Associates, Inc. 14045 Jeff Davis Hwy (Suite 5) Woodbridge, VA 22191
1 copy	Dr. Robert C. Cooper, Director Sanitary Engineering and Environmental Health Research Laboratory (Bldg. 112) University of California, Richmond Field Station 47th & Hoffman Boulevard Richmond, CA 94804
1 сору	Dr. John A. Dellinger Department of Veteranary Biosciences University of Illinois, Urbana Campus 2001 S. Lincoln Avenue Urbana, IL 61801
1 copy	Dr. Lawrence B. Gratt, President IWG Corp. 1940 Fifth Avenue (Suite 200) San Diego, CA 92101
1 сору	Dr. Dennis P.H. Hsieh Department of Environmental Toxicology University of California, Davis Davis, CA 95616
1 <b>COPY</b>	Dr. Robert Scofield ENVIRON Corporation Bay Center Building C, Suite 408 6475 Christie Avenue Emeryville, CA 94608
1 с <b>ору</b>	Dr. Robert E. Selleck Environmental Engineering Department School of Engineering (Davis Hall Rm. #635) University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720