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$A_{R}$ - Recoil rod area. in ${ }^{2}$
$A_{C}$ - Control rod area. in ${ }^{2}$
$A_{D}$ - Floating piston area. in ${ }^{2}$
$A_{N}$ - Total area of floating piston $=A_{C}+A_{D}$. in ${ }^{2}$
$A_{1}$ - Area of orifice between recoil and recuperating chamber. in ${ }^{2}$
$A_{2}$ - Area of orifice between recuperating and control chamber. in ${ }^{2}$
$A_{3}(x)$ - Variable area of the groove in the floating piston at position $x$. in ${ }^{2}$
$B(t)$ - Breech force at time $t$. lbf
$C_{1}$ - Discharge coefficient for orifice $A_{1}$.
$C_{2}$ - Discharge coefficient for orifice $A_{2}$.
$C_{3}$ - Discharge coefficient for orifice $A_{3}$.
$C_{q}$ - Equivalent friction coefficient for frictional loss at orifices
$F_{R}$ - Dry friction at recoil piston.
$F_{P}$ - Dry friction at floating piston.
$F_{q}$ - Equivalent dry friction.
$g_{1}$ - Position feedback gain.
$g_{2}$ - Velocity feedback gain.
$g_{3} \cdot g_{4}$ - other feedback gains for nonlinear feedback.
$J$ - objective function
$\left.\begin{array}{l}J_{3} \\ J_{4}\end{array}\right\}$ - Components of objective function
$\mathrm{J}_{5}$
$m_{\rho}$ - Mass of the floating piston lbf $\sec ^{2} /$ in
$m_{R}$ - Mass of the recoiling parts lbf $\sec ^{2} / i n$
$m_{q}$ - Equivalent mass $1 b f \sec ^{2} / i n$
$P_{0}$ - Initial gas pressure $\mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$P_{G}$ - Gas pressure at time $t \quad \mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
R - Gas constant
$\operatorname{RDPL}(t)$ - Rod pull at time $t$ lbf
$\operatorname{RDPLD}(t)$ - Desired Rod pull at time $t$ lbf
T - Recoil time sec
$U(t)$ - Open area of servo valve $\mathrm{in}^{2}$
x - Position of recoiling parts with respect to recoil rod in
$\dot{x}$ - velocity of recoiling parts with respect to recoil rod in/sec
$\ddot{x}$ - Acceleration of recoiling parts
$y$ - Position of floating piston with respect to recoiling parts
w - Total weight of the recoiling parts.
$w_{q}$ - Equivalent weight of recoiling parts.

$\alpha$ - The angle of elevation of the gun karrel radians $p$ - Mass density of the hydraulic fluid.

Mathematical model for a conventional hydropneumatic recoil mechanism is developed from physical laws. This mathematical model is simulated on a digital computer by Phase-Plane-Delta method. The time histories of all pertinent parameters such as position of recoiling parts, hydraulic pressures in different chambers, rod pull are available and can be plotted.

A linear state feedback control system is proposed to adapt this conventional recoil mechanism to perform satisfactorily for all firing zones. The control and optimization problem is formulated. An objective function with direct relations to the performance and constraints of the problem is formulated. Davidon-Fletcher-Powell variable metric method of nonlinear optimization is used to design the feedback gains of the state feedback control law.

The above optimiaztion procedure is applied to M-37 recoil mechanism for zone 5 through 8. This recoil mechanism originally was designed for zone 7. One set of feedback gains is designed for each zone and switching of control laws is suggested. A significant improvement in the recoil force time trajectory shape and reduction in peak force of 2.5 to 25 percent is obtained.

## INTRODUCTION

Recoil mechanisms dissipate energy of the reaction of gunfire at a controlled rate so as to minimize the recoil force transferred to the carriage of a weapon system without exceeding available recoil length. Nerdahl and Frantz [ 9] have developed three degrees of freedom nonlinear models of hydropneumatic recoil mechanisms and a procedure to design a variable area orifice to control the energy dissipation. The procedure defines a control function or desired control recoil force-time trajectory for a design firing charge and with the help of digital simulation of the model, computes the orifice area at different positions of the recoil mechanism. This design performs satisfactorily for the designated firing charge, but far from optimum for other firing charges. Thus, a control system which. can adapt to different firing zones is desirable.

A linear state feedback control system with variable gains is proposed in the report. A separate control law is designed for each firing charge and the control law corresponding to the charge being fired is selected from this predesigned set. This control scheme can be implemented by adding a servo valve operating in
tandem with the variable area orifice. The feedback gains for the servo valve can be selected from a predesigned set by identifying the charge being fired by sensing signals such as acceleration with the help of a microprocessor or special purpose digital electronics. The design of the optimal control law is complicated by the highly nonlinear nature of the model induced by turbulent flow through orifices, dry friction at pistoncylinder surfaces and adiabatic gas compression. The nonlinear second order model is simulated on a digital computer using Phase-plane-delta method. A multifactor objective function with direct physical interpretation is developed as a function of the variables computed in the simulation. Davidon-Fletcher-Powell variable metric quasi-Newton nonlinear optimization algorithm is used to minimize the objective function. The procedure is applied to a M-37-105 mm recoil mechanism. Also, velocity feedback for lower zones 1,5 , and 6 is evaluated.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR HYDROPNEUMATIC RECOIL MECHANISM

A schematic diagram for a conventional hydropneumatic recoil mechanism is shown in Fig. 1. A mathematical model based on the physical laws is developed with the following assumptions.

1) Flow through orifices is a potential flow.
2) Temperature variations do not affect the discharge coefficients for the orifice.
3) The recoil mechanism is secured to the carriage through a rigid link called the rod and the carriage rests on a rigid support.
4) Only translation of the recoil parts in direction of firing is considered.
5) There is no cavitation in any chamber.



## PRESSURE RELATIONS

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{R}-P_{1}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\left(\frac{A_{R}}{A_{1} C_{1}}\right)^{2} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \\
& =T_{1} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { where } T_{1}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\left(\frac{A_{R}}{A_{1} C_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
& P_{1}-P_{2}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\left(\frac{{ }^{A_{C}}}{A_{2} C_{2}}\right)^{2} \dot{y}^{2} \text { sgn } \dot{y} \\
& =\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\left(\frac{A_{C} C^{A}}{A_{2} C_{2}{ }^{A} N}\right)^{2} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \\
& =T_{2} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}  \tag{2}\\
& \text { where } T_{2}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \quad\left(\frac{A_{C} A_{R}}{A_{2} C_{2} A_{N}}\right)^{2} \\
& p_{1}-p_{3}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \quad\left(\frac{A_{D}}{C_{3} A_{3}}\right)^{2} \dot{y}^{2} \text { sgny } \\
& =\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \quad\left(\frac{A_{D} A_{R}}{C_{3} A_{3} A_{N}}\right)^{2} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \\
& =T_{3} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}  \tag{3}\\
& \text { where } T_{3}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\left(\frac{A_{D} A_{R}}{C_{3} A_{3} A_{N}}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The gas pressure relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}=P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x}\right)^{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the pressure equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{R}=P_{1}+T_{1} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}  \tag{1}\\
& P_{2}=P_{1}-T_{2} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}  \tag{2}\\
& P_{3}=P_{1}-T_{3} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}  \tag{3}\\
& P_{G}=P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x}\right)^{R} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Force balance for floating piston

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) \dddot{x}= & m_{p} g \sin \alpha+A_{D} P_{3}+A_{C} P_{2}  \tag{5}\\
& -A_{N} P_{G}-F_{p} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $P_{R^{\prime}}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ and $P_{G}$ from equations 1, 2, 3, \& 4 in 5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) & \ddot{x}=m_{p} g \sin \alpha+A_{D}\left(P_{1}-T_{3} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}\right) \\
& +A_{C}\left(P_{1}-T_{2} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}\right)-A_{N} P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x^{\prime}}\right){ }^{R}-F_{p} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \\
& =m_{p} g \sin \alpha-\left(A_{D} T_{3}+A_{C} T_{2}\right) \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \\
& +\left(A_{D}+A_{C}\right) P_{1}+m_{p} g \sin \alpha-A_{N} P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x^{x}}\right)^{R}-F_{p} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{N} P_{1}= & m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) \ddot{x}-m_{p} g \sin \alpha-\left(A_{D} T_{3}+A_{C} T_{2}\right) \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \\
& +A_{N} P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x}\right)^{R}+F_{p} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Force balance for recoil mass

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{R} \ddot{x}= & B(t)+m_{R} g \sin \alpha-A_{D} P_{3}-A_{C} P_{2}+A_{N} P_{G} \\
& +F_{p} \operatorname{sgn} x-A_{R} P_{R}-F_{R} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding Equations (5) and (7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)\right] \ddot{x}=} & \left(m_{p}+m_{R}\right) g \sin \alpha+B(t)- \\
& A_{R} P_{R}-F_{R} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $P_{1}$ in Eq. (8) from Eq. (6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)\right] \ddot{x}=\left(m_{p}+m_{R}\right) g \sin \alpha+B(t)} \\
& -F_{R} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}-A_{R} T_{1} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}-\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\left[m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) x-m_{p} g \sin \alpha\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(A_{C} T_{2}+A_{D} T_{3}\right) \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+A_{N} P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x}\right)^{R}+F_{p} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left[m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)\right] g \sin \alpha+B(t)-\left(F_{R}+F_{p} \frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}
$$

$$
-\left[A_{R} T_{1}+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\left(A_{C} T_{2}+A_{D} T_{3}\right)\right] \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}-A_{R} P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x}\right)^{R}
$$

$$
-\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}} m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) \cdot \ddot{x}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left[m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)^{2}\right] \ddot{x}+\left[A_{R} T_{1}+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\left(A_{C} T_{2}+A_{D} T_{3}\right)\right] \dot{x}^{2} \text { sgn } \dot{x} \\
& \quad+A_{R} P_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{0}-A_{R} x}\right)^{R}+\left(F_{R}+F_{p} \frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} \\
& =  \tag{9}\\
& {\left[m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)\right] g \sin \alpha+B(t)}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{q} & - \text { equivalent mass } \\
& =m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)^{2} \\
C_{q} & - \text { equivalent damping coeffienct } \\
& =\left[A_{R} T_{1}+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\left(A_{C} T_{2}+A_{D} T_{3}\right)\right] \\
F_{q} & - \text { equivalent dry friction } \\
& =\left(F_{R}+F_{p} \frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right) \\
W_{q} & - \text { equivalent weight } \\
& =\left[m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)\right] g \sin \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus equation (9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{q} \ddot{x} & +C_{q} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+F_{q} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+A_{R^{\prime}} P_{0}\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{A_{R}}{V_{0}} x}\right) R \\
& =W_{q}+B(t) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Note $-C_{q}$ is not a constant and is a function of the position of the floating piston due to the variable orifice area $A_{3}$.

The rod pull is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R D P L=P_{R} A_{R}+F_{R} \text { sgn } \dot{x} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (8) and (11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R D P L=-\left[m_{R}+m_{p}\left(1+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\right)\right] \ddot{x}+\left(m_{p}+m_{R}\right) g \sin \alpha+B(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus equation (10) is the final model of the form

$$
m \ddot{x}+(a+f(x)) \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+k\left(1-a_{0} x\right)^{-R}=w+B(t)
$$

and equations $1,2,3,4$, and 12 are relations for all pertinent variables.

## II

DIGITAL SIMULATION OF RECOIL MECHANISM MODEL

The nonlinear second order model developed in Section I Equation (10) can be simulated on a high speed digital computer by phase-plane-delta method. This method transforms a forced nonlinear model into a linear oscillator for a short interval of time and is explained in detail in Appendix $I$. The simulation results in time histories of all the pertinent variables such as position, velocity, rod pull, and all the pressures. This method is computationally very efficient.

The model in equation (10) can be reformulated in phase-plane-delta format as follows

$$
m_{q} \ddot{x}+c_{q} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+A_{R} P_{0}\left(1-\frac{A_{R}}{V_{0}} x\right)^{-R}+F_{q} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}=W_{q}+B(t)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+p^{2}(x+\delta x)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta x=-x & +\frac{1}{p^{2} m_{q}}\left\{C_{q} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} x+A_{R} P_{0}\left(1-\frac{A_{R}}{V_{0}} x\right)^{-R}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \left.+F_{q} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}-W_{q}-B(t)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

From initial conditions $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0, \dot{x}_{0}=0$, the simulation is started and run until the recoil mechanism starts counter-recoil.

A fortran program is written to simulate the recoil
mechanism based on equations (13) and (14).
An example simulation was run for $M-37$ recoil mechanism zone 7 firing charge. The phase plane, $x, \dot{x}, P_{3}, P_{G}$, and rod pull are plotted in Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 .

The simulation results are in agreement with a digital simulation run by the Ware Simulation Division at Rock Island Arsenal using Continuous System Modeling Program (CSMP).







## III <br> FORMULATION OF ADAPTIVE CONTROL AND OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

The control groove machined in the floating piston is designed for a designated zone and performs satisfactorily when used for the design zone. The purpose of this research is to adapt the recoil mechanism such that it adapts to the charges being fired so as to perform satisfactorily without violating the system constraints. A modified design of the recoil mechanism with a servo valve operating in tandem with the variable area groove is shown in Figure 8. The area of the servo valve that is open for the flow of hydraulic fluid is controlled by a feedback law. The feedback law can be changed by sensing the zone being fired. This adds the flexibility to change and adapt to the firing zone.

### 3.1 Linear State Feedback

A linear state feedback control is proposed to control the area of the servo valve and is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=g_{1} x+g_{2} \dot{x} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are feedback gains and $u$ is the area of the servo valve. The corresponding modification in the mathematical model is in the equivalent damping coefficient $C_{q}$ as follows


$$
c_{q}=A_{R} T_{1}+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\left(A_{C} T_{2}+A_{D} T_{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{3}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\left(\frac{A_{0} A_{R}}{C_{3} A_{N}\left(A_{3}+u\right)}\right)^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modified form of the model is

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \ddot{x} & +[a+f(x)+u(x, \dot{x})] \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+k\left(1-a_{0} x\right)^{-R}+\text { Fsgn } \dot{x} \\
& =W+B(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The task of finding optimal values $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ of the feedback gains is formulated as follows.

Find optimal values of $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ such that an objective function $J\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ is minimized subject to the following constraints. The system follows the model

1) $m_{q} \ddot{x}+C_{q} \dot{x}^{2} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+F_{q} \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}+A_{R} P_{0}\left(1-\frac{A_{R}}{V_{0}} x\right)^{-R}=W_{q}+B(t)$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{q}=A_{R} T_{1}+\frac{A_{R}}{A_{N}}\left(A_{C} T_{2}+A_{D} T_{3}\right) \\
& \left.T_{3}=\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\left(\frac{A_{0} A_{R}}{C_{3} A_{N}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\left(A_{3}+g_{1} X+g_{2} X\right.}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $X_{\text {max }}$, the maximum recoil length

$$
\leq X_{m} \text {, the available recoil length }
$$

3) There is no cavitation in chamber 3, i.e.,

$$
P_{3}(t) \geq P_{3} \min
$$

4) The maximum servovalve area $u=g_{1} x+g_{2} \dot{x}$

$$
U_{\max } \leq U_{m}
$$

3.2 Development of an Objective Function

The basic performance criterion is that the actual rod pull trajectory should follow as closely as possible the desired control trajectory for every zone. So let the control trajectory be RDPLD ( $t$ )

Then,

$$
J\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{T}[\operatorname{RDPLD}(t)-\operatorname{RDPL}(t)]^{2} d t
$$

will represent the integrated error or least square error criterion. To be able to use unconstrained optimization techniques, penalty functions can be added to take care of constraints and hence the penalty functions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{2}=\int_{0}^{T} w_{2}\left(P_{3}(t)-P_{3} \min \right)^{2} d t \\
& J_{3}=\int_{0}^{T} w_{3}\left(U(t)-U_{m}\right)^{2} d t \\
& J_{4}=\int_{0}^{T} w_{4}\left(x-x_{m}\right)^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
w_{2}=0 & P_{3}(t)>P_{3 \min } \\
w_{3}=0 & U(t)<U_{m} \\
w_{4}=0 & x<x_{m}
\end{array}
$$

So the composite objective function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)= & \int_{0}^{T}\left\{w_{1}(\operatorname{RDPLD}(t)-\operatorname{RDPL}(t))^{2}+w_{2}\left(P_{3}(t)-P_{3 \min }\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+w_{3}\left(U(t)-U_{m}\right)^{2}+w_{4}\left(x-x_{m}\right)^{2}\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}$ large postive numbers, the constraint violations can be avoided.

The VAlOA optimization routine availible on UNIVAC 1110 at UWMACC which uses Davidon - Fletcher - Powell (Appendix III) method was used to find optimal values of $g_{1}, g_{2}$ for zone 7 and results are shown in figure 9, 10. The control trajectory was chosen arbitrarily. Figure 9 is with no feedback and 10 is with optimal feedback gains of $g_{1}=.2742 \mathrm{E}-3$ and $g_{2}=.354 \mathrm{E}-7$. The actual trajectory follows the desired trajectory in Fig. 10 more closely than in Fig. 9.

The procedure was repeated for other zones with arbitrary control trajectory. The optimization procedure is very sensitive to the definition of the control trajectory and thus redefinition of the objective function without the control trajectory is necessary. The main objective can be reiterated as the minimization of rod pull and a flat trajectory to avoid sudden or sharp changes which induce: fatigue failure. The first derivative represents the smoothness or flatness of the trajectory to some extent and hence, a penalty for large first derivatives can be added to the objective function.



The new composite objective function can be written in five parts as

$$
J_{1}=\int_{0}^{T}(\operatorname{RDPL}(t)-C)^{2} d t
$$

where C is a constant $=4200$.
Penalty for non-smoothness

$$
J_{2}=\int_{0}^{T}\left\{\frac{\partial}{d t} \operatorname{RDPL}(t)\right\}^{2} d t
$$

Penalty for constraint violation for cavitation

$$
J_{3}=\int_{0}^{T}\left(P_{3}(t)-P_{3 \min }\right)^{2} d t
$$

Penalty for maximum servo-valve area constraint

$$
J_{4}=\int_{0}^{T}\left(U(t)-U_{m}\right)^{2} d t
$$

Penalty for maximum recoil length constraint

$$
J_{5}=\int_{0}^{T}\left(x-x_{m}\right)^{2} d t
$$

The composite objective function being

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=w_{1} J_{1}+w_{2} J_{2}+w_{3} J_{3}+w_{4} J_{4}+w_{5} J_{5} \\
& \text { with } \quad w_{3}=0 \text { if } P_{3}(t)>P_{3} \min \\
& w_{4}=0 \text { if } U(t) \leq U_{m} \\
& w_{5}=0 \text { if } \quad x<x_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The weighting factors $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ provide a trade off between the minimum error and flatness of the rod pull trajectory. Large $w_{1}$ with respect to $w_{2}$ will result in sharp trajectory with a peak in initial stage of recoil.

Large $w_{2}$ with respect to $w_{1}$ will result in a sharp peak at a later stage in recoil and also possibly will result in cavitation at variable area orifice 3 . By choosing $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in between these extremes, a satisfactory shape of the trajectory can be achieved.

The weighting factors $w_{3}, w_{4}$, and $w_{5}$ emphasize or de-emphasize the penalty functions for constraint violations. If any constraint is violated, the corresponding weighting factor can be increased so as to force the optimization algorithm to choose a feasible solution.
3.3 Results for M-37 Recoil Mechanism

The linear state feedback control system and optimization procedure discussed in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 was applied to $\mathrm{M}-37$ recoil mechanism. M-37 was designed for a firing charge zone 7. The design data and breech forces for zones 1, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are tabulated in Appendix III and was provided by the Ware Simulation Division at Rock Island Arsenal. The breech forces used are from simulated breech forces. The breech force for zone 8 was arrived at by multiplying breech force for zone 7 by 1.2 due to unavailability of data.

The feedback gains for zones 5, 6, 7, and 8 and other parameters are presented in Table 1. The rod pull characteristics are plotted in Figures 11 through 20 with no feedback and with optimal feedback.

Figures 11 and 13 portray the time history of the rod pull for no feedback and optimum linear feedback control for zone 8. Zone 8 was arrived at by multiplying zone 7 breech force by 1.2. Though the trajectory for no feedback looks very satisfactory, the Figure 12 which plots the pressure $P_{3}(t)$ reveals that there is cavitation and hence the model is no longer valid. Figure 13 shows trajectory not very flat but there is no cavitation as shown in Fig. 14. This is achieved through optimization with penalty for cavitation. With Random
Table 1 Results of Optimum Feedback for Zones 5, 6, 7 and 8

| Zone | Gains |  | Recoil <br> Length <br> (in) | Recoil Time (sec) | Rod Pull Maximum (lbs) | Servo Value Area Maximum (in ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 27 | . 126 | $\begin{aligned} & 25,200 \\ & \text { Cavitation } \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 8 | . $81 \mathrm{E}-4$ | . $884 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 27.6 | . 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 25,800 \\ & \text { No Cavitation } \end{aligned}$ | . 005 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 27 | . 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max }^{m} 21,500 \\ & \text { Flat } 21,000 \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 7 | . $1803 \mathrm{E}-3$ | . $532 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 27.6 | . 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max }{ }^{m} 21,000 \\ & \text { Flat } 20,500 \end{aligned}$ | . 0054 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 25.8 | . 17 | 14,700 | 0 |
| 6 | . $17 \mathrm{E}-3$ | . $376 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 27.2673 | . 158 | 12,900 | . 0134 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 24 | . 19 | 11,360 | 0 |
| 5 | .6591E-3 | . 1E-3 | 28.1647 | . 194 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max }^{m} 8,800 \\ & \text { Flat } 8,500 \end{aligned}$ | . 032 |
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Search technique $P_{3}$ min was increased up to 20 psi. With reasonable $P_{3}$ min' cavitation can completely be eliminated.

Figures 15 and 16 are rod pull trajectories for zone 7 with no feedback and with optimal feedback. The improvement is not significant. The improvement was significant as shown in Figures 9 and 10 even for zone 7 with the first objective function. The relative improvement in peak force is about $2.5 \%$ and recoil length is longer by 0.6 inches. There is no change in the total recoil time of .13 seconds.

The rod pull trajectory for zone 6 with no feedback is a more triangular with maximum rod pull of 14,700 lbs. (Figure 17). The optimal feedback control law results in a very flat trajectory with maximum force of 12,900 which is a significant reduction of about $12 \%$ (Figure 18). The recoil length is increased from 25.8 inches to 27.27 inches but recoil time is reduced from .17 seconds to .158 seconds.

The rod pull trajectory for zone 5 with no feedback is a sharp triangular one with peak of 11,360 lbs. (Figure 19). The optimal feedback control law reduces this force to 8500 lbs with a flat and trapezoidal trajectory. The recoil length and time are both increased. The percentage reduction in recoil force is $25 \%$.






3.4 Tachometer Feedback

To investigate different control strategies, only velocity feedback of the form $u=g_{1} \dot{x}$ was studied. In general, it worked very well for lower zones 6,5 and 1 but failed to improve the trajectories for zone 7 and made it worse for zone 8. The optimum feedback gain was arrived at by trial and error.

Trajectory for zone 7 with tachometer feedback gain $g_{1}=.006 \mathrm{E}-3$ (Fig. 21) is not much of an improvement over one without feedback (Fig.15). Fig. 22 for zone 6 with $g_{1}=.025 E-3$ shows a substantial improvement over Fig. 17, the maximum force being 13,200 lbs.; though it is not better than linear state feedback (Fig.18). Fig. 23 for zone 5 with $g_{1}=.07 \mathrm{E}-3$ is trapezoidal and significant reduction in maximum rod pull to $9,400 \mathrm{lbs} .$, but is not better than linear state feedback of Fig. 20. Trajectory for zone 1 with $g_{1}=.35 \mathrm{E}-3$ (Fig. 24) is also very good with reduction to 5,350 lbs. over Fig. 25 (24\%).

Non-linear feedback control laws of the form

$$
u=g_{1} x+g_{2} \dot{x}+g_{3} x^{2}+g_{4} \dot{x}^{2}
$$

were investigated for zone 7. The trajectory obtained were with no improvement and sometimes were worse than those with no feedback.
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A mathematical model for a conventional hydropneumatic recoil mechanism was developed and simulated on a digital computer by method of Phase-Plane-Delta. A linear state feedback control system was proposed which can be implemented by retrofitting the present designs with a servovalve to operate in tandem with the variable area groove in the floating piston.

An objective function with direct relation to performance and physical constraints of the system was developed. Davidon-Fletcher-Powell nonlinear optimization algorithm was chosen to optimize this objective function. The procedure was applied to $\mathrm{M}-37$. recoil mechanism with reduction in peak recoil forces from 25 to $2.5 \%$ for lower zones and cavitation was avoided for zone 8. Tachometer feedback was shown to be effective for low zones.

The concept of feedback control system coupled with optimization procedure to design recoil mechanisms was demonstrated to be an efficient and very effective tool. The flexibility of feedback control is added retaining robustness of design.

The techniques of feedback control and optimization procedures are recommended for design of counter recoil and other design problems in recoil mechanism.
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Phase-plane-delta simulation of non-linear systems
Phase-plane-delta method is an efficient and fast simulation method for non-linear second order differential equations. A generalized phase plane method is also suitable for higher order non-linear differential models; for details see "Mechanical Systems Analysis" by A. Seireg [ 1 ]. The procedure is discussed below for second order equation reproduced with some variation from Prof. Seireg's book.

Consider a linear homogeneous second order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+w_{n}^{2} x=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to this equation being

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=A \sin \left(w_{n} t+\phi\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \dot{x}=A w_{n} \cos \left(w_{n} t+\phi\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation 2 and 3 , it can be seen that

$$
x^{2}+\left(\frac{\dot{x}}{w_{n}}\right)^{2}=A^{2}
$$

which represents a circle in the $x$ versus $\frac{\dot{x}}{w_{n}}$ plane. This type of plot is known as the phase plane and is a very powerful and useful tool in the analysis of dynamic problems. It could be seen from Fig. 26 that the horizontal projection of the motion on a time scale will give the velocity curve plotted to a scale $w_{n}$. The


Figure 26 Phase Plane Method


Figure 27 Phase Plane Delta Method
starting point $X_{0}$ on the circle is defined by initial conditions at $t=0$, say $x=x_{0}$ and $\dot{x}=\dot{x}_{0}$; the amplitude of motion being

$$
A=\sqrt{x_{0}^{2}+\left(\dot{x}_{0} / w_{n}\right)^{2}}
$$

The complete procedure is detailed step by step as follows

1) Calculate the natural frequency $w_{n}$ of the system
2) Plot the two perpendicular axes representing $x$ and ( $\dot{x} / w_{n}$ ) respectively. These two axes represent the phase plane.
3) The origin 0 of the phase plane represents the equilibrium condition of the system.
4) Knowing the initial conditions of the motion in terms of initial displacement and velocity, a point $X_{0}$ can be plotted in the phase plane.
5) The free vibration of the system is represented by a circle. The center of the circle is the equilibrium point 0 . The radius of the circle is the distance $\mathrm{OX}_{0}$ joining the origin to the initial point $X_{0}$.
6) The conditions of motion at any time $t_{1}$ are represented by the coordinates of the point $X_{1}$ on the circle at a radial angle $w_{n} t_{1}$ from $X_{0}$. in the counterclockwise direction.
7) The projection of the phase-plane plot on a time scale in the direction of $x,\left(\frac{x_{w}}{w_{n}}\right)$ gives the dis. placement and velocity functions respectively.
8) All information concerning the time history of the motion can be obtained fron the phase-plane plot.

This scheme can be implemented on a digital computer

The phase-plane-delta method
The phase plane method previously discussed is used to study free vibrations of a linear system. With a slight modification, phase-plane method can be used for forced non-linear vibration problems as follows.

Let the system be

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}+k f(x, \dot{x}, t)-F(t)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting the equation

$$
\ddot{x}+\frac{k}{m}[\dot{x}+f(x, \dot{x}, t)-x-F(t) / k]=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+p^{2}[x+\delta x]=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta x=[f(x, \dot{x}, t)-x-F(t) / k] \& p=\sqrt{k / m}$ and is a known function of $x, \dot{x}, t, F(t)$. Equation 5 takes the same form as equation 1 where $p^{2}$ takes the place of $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}$ and x has to be continually modified by an amount $\delta x$ which is a function $x, \dot{x}, t$, and $F(t)$.

Therefore, at any instant of time the nonlinear equation can be represented in the phase-plane as a free vibration of a linear system with a continually changing datum. The procedure is outlined step by step as follows.

1) Write the equation in the form

$$
\ddot{x}+p^{2}(x+\delta x)=0
$$

where $p^{2}$ is a constant.
2) Express $\delta x$ as a function $x, \dot{x}, t, F(t)$.
3) Plot the phase plane axes to represent $x,(\dot{x} / p)$

Fig. 27.
4) Knowing initial conditions $x_{0}, \dot{x}_{0}$, a point $x_{0}$ can be plotted in the phase plane.
5) The value of $(\delta x)_{0}$ is also calculated for the initial condition at time $t=t_{0}$.
6) The center $O_{0}$ of the instantaneous linear vibration at the initial phase of the motion is therefore located on the $x$-axis at a distance $(\delta x)_{0}$ from the origin. When $\delta$ is positive, the distance is taken on the negative $x$-axis and vice-versa.
7) The instantaneous free vibration at the initial phase of the motion can be represented by a small arc of a circle with center $O_{0}$ and radius $O_{0} X_{0}$ in the counter-clockwise direction.
8) A new condition $X_{1}$ is reached after a small increment of time $t_{1}$ where the displacement $x_{1}$ and the velocity is $\dot{x}_{1}$.
9) The value of $(\delta x)_{1}$ corresponding to this new condition can be calculated, and a new center of oscillation $O_{1}$ is determined.
10) The new condition $x_{2}$ corresponding to an additional increment of time $t_{2}$ can be obtained from the arc of a circle with center $O_{1}$, having a radius $O_{1} X_{1}$ and subtending an angle $\mathrm{pt}_{2}$ in the counterclockwise direction.
11) This procedure is then repeated until the time required is reached.
12) It should be noted here that the accuracy of this procedure depends on the magnitude of the angular displacement pt. Better accuracy can be attained also by iteration. This means that after $X_{1}$ is determined, a value of $\delta x$ is calculated which corresponds to a point midway between $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$. Using this new value of $\delta x$, a better approximation for the new position $X_{1}$ after a small increment of time $t_{1}$ can be obtained. This procedure can be repeated until a desired accuracy is achieved.
13) This procedure is suitable for programming on a high-speed digital computer.

## APPENDIX II

ALGORITHMS FOR OPTIMIZATION
OF NON-LINEAR FUNCTIONS

Three algorithms for non-linear optimization are discussed:

1) Davidon-Fletcher-Powell unconstrained optimization with cubic interpolation.
2) Davidon-Fletcher-Powell unconstrained optimization with
linear search by golden section.
3) Constrained optimization by Random search.

A short introduction to gradient methods of optimization is presented.
The problem of non-linear optimization can be stated as
Find a vector $\underset{\sim}{x}$ of parameters to minimize
$J(x)$, an objective function subject to certain con-
straint of type

$$
c_{i}(x) \leqslant,=\text { or } \geqslant b_{i}
$$

We can express $J(x)$ in Taylor series expansion as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x+\Delta x)=J(x)+\Delta x^{\prime} g+\frac{1}{2} \Delta x^{\prime} G \Delta x+\ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta x$ is a vector of increment in $x$
$g$ is the gradient vector
$G$ is the Hessian Matrix of second order partial
derivatives
The first order approximation is

$$
J(x+\Delta x)=J(x)+\Delta x^{\prime} g
$$

The reduction in the function $J(x)$ for moving to $x+\Delta x$ is $\Delta x^{\prime} g$ and is maximum if we move in the negative direction of gradient vector $g$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \Delta x=-\lambda \frac{g}{|g|} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimum value of $\lambda$ is found by univariate linear search in the direction $-\frac{g}{|g|}$.

This is called the steepest descent method. This method converges very slowly. The extension of this method is the conjugate gradient method. Assuming the objective function is quadratic of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} G x+b x+c \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can find a sequence of search directions $u_{1}^{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i} G u_{j}=0 \quad i \neq j \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By moving sequentially in the directions $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$ with linear univariate searches we can reach the optimum

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\star}{ }_{\min }=x_{0}+{\underset{i=1}{n} \lambda_{i} u_{i}, ~}_{i=1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The steepest descent and conjugate gradients are illustrated in
Fig. 28 for two parameter case


Steepest Descent


Figure 28a


Figure 28b
Concept of Conjugate Gradient

The conjugate gradient method transforms concentric elliptic contours into concentric circular contours. The circular contour has the desirable property of the normal to the tangent at any point passes through the center. Once the direction of normal is found in this plane, it can be transformed back to the original plane and direction of the increment in x can be found out and this will pass through the minimum for the quadratic function.
(3) Newton-Raphson Method

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x+\Delta x)=J(x)+\Delta x^{\prime} g+\frac{1}{2} \Delta x^{\prime} G \Delta x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

differentiating with respect to $\Delta x$

$$
\frac{\partial J(x+\Delta x)}{\partial \Delta x}=g+G \Delta x
$$

for $x+\Delta x$ to be a optimum point

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial J(x+\Delta x)}{\partial \Delta x}=0 \\
& g+G \Delta x=0 \quad, \quad \Delta x=-G^{-1} g \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This method is called Newton-Raphson and is a very efficient method if $G$ and $g$ are available.

The problem for non-quadratic function minimization is to find $G$ which is positive definite and the question of how good an approximation of second order Taylor expansion is. The combination of conjugate gradient method for fast movement when away from minimum can be utilized with the conjugacy being with respect to the Hessian $G$. The Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) algorithm does exactly that.

The DFP algorithms continuously updates $H$, the inverse of the hessian matrix with linear search in direction of conjugate gradient by cubic interpolation. The step by step procedure given below is reproduced with variation from [4]. The details can be found in [2,3,4 \& 5].

1) Set $H_{i}=I$ and let $k$ be the current iteration number, then set

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=-H_{k} g_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $d_{k}$ is the direction of search from the current point $x_{k}$
2) Perform a linear search to find $\lambda_{k}^{*}(>0)$, where $\lambda_{k}^{*}$ is the value of $\lambda_{k}$ that minimizes $J\left(x_{k}+\lambda_{k} b_{k}\right)$
3) Set $\Delta x_{k}=\lambda^{*}{ }_{k} d_{k}$
4) Set $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\Delta x_{k}$
giving the new current point
5) Evaluate $J\left(x_{k+1}\right)$ and $g_{k+1}$
6) Set $\Delta g_{k}=g_{k+1}-g_{k}$
7) $H_{k+1}=H_{k}+\frac{\Delta x_{k} \Delta x_{k}^{\prime}{ }_{k}}{\Delta x^{\prime}{ }_{k} \Delta g_{k}}+\frac{H_{k} \Delta g_{k} \Delta g^{\prime}{ }_{k} H_{k}}{\Delta^{\prime} g_{k} H_{k} \Delta g_{k}}$
8) Set $k=k+1$ and return to step 1 .
9) Stop when either $\left|d_{k}\right|$ or every component of $d_{k}$ is smaller than some prescribed amount.

The linear search in step 2 can be performed in two ways with cubic interpolation or golden section search.

## Cubic interpolation procedure:

1) Evaluate $J_{0}=J\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $G_{0}=g_{k}^{\prime} d_{k}$
check $G_{0}<0$. Compute $\alpha$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\min \left[2,-\frac{2\left(J_{0}-J_{e}\right)}{G_{0}}\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J e$ is the estimated values of $J\left(x_{k}+\lambda^{*}{ }_{k} d_{k}\right)$.
2) Evaluate $J_{\alpha}=J\left(x_{k}+\alpha d_{k}\right)$ and $G_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha}=g^{\prime} d_{k}$
3) If $G_{\alpha}>0$ or if $J_{\alpha}>J_{0}$, proceed to rule 5 otherwise go to rule 4.
4) Replace $\alpha$ by $2 \alpha$, return to rule 2 .
5) Interpolate in the interval $[0, \alpha]$ for $\lambda^{*}{ }_{k}$ using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{k}^{*}}{\alpha}=1-\frac{G_{\alpha}+w-Z}{G_{\alpha}-G_{0}+2 w} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\left(z^{2}-G_{0} G_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
Z=\frac{3}{\alpha}\left(J_{0}-J_{\alpha}\right)+G_{0}+G_{\alpha}
$$

6) Return to rule 5 to repeat the interpolation in the smaller interval $\left[0, \lambda_{k}\right]$ or $\left[\lambda_{k}, \alpha\right]$ accordingly as

$$
g_{k+1}^{1} d_{k} \geqslant 0 \text { or }<0
$$

stop when the interval of interpolation has decreased to some prescribed value.

The complex method of M.J. Box [6], [7], with random search generates a simplex of $n+1$ points where $n$ is the number of parameters to be optimized. The function is computed at each of the vertexes and the worst vertex is discarded. The reflection of this discarded vertex into the centroid of the remaining vertices is taken as a new vertex and search is carried out in two dimensions, the nrocedure is as follows:


Three initial vertices are $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$. Let $x_{0}$ be the worst vertex and $x_{c}$ be the centroid of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Then $x_{3}$ is computed by the relation

$$
x_{3}=(1+\alpha) x_{c}-\alpha x_{\min }
$$

where $x_{\min }$ is vertex with minimum function value. The procedure is repeated until minimum is obtained.

## Appendix III

Design Data for M-: 37 Recoil Mechanism

M-37. recoil mechanism is designed for firing zone
7. All the pertinent parameters necessary for simulation of recoil mechanism model developed in Chapter 1 are given below. The variable area of the groove machined in the floating piston is tabulated in Table 2. Breech forces are tabulated in Tables 3 through 7 for zones 1, 5 through 8. These breech forces are simulated breech force data rather than actual test data. All the design data listed here was provided by Control and Stabilization group of General Rodman Laboratory, Army Weapons Command at Rock Island, Illinois.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{R}-\text { Mass of recoiling parts }=3.6658 \mathrm{lbf} \mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{in} \\
& m_{\mathrm{P}}-\text { Mass of floating piston }=.06735 \mathrm{lbf} \mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{in} \\
& \rho-\text { Mass density of hydraulic fluid }=.78 \mathrm{E}-4 \\
& P_{0}-\text { Initial gas pressure }=1153 \mathrm{psi} \\
& V_{0}-\text { Initial volume of gas }=513 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& R-\text { Gas constant }=1.68 \\
& A_{R}-\text { Recoil piston area }=2.9906 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& A_{C}-\text { Control rod area }=2.4053 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& A_{D}-\text { Floating piston area }=11.781 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& C_{1}=C 2=C 3-\text { Discharge coefficients }=.8 \\
& A_{1}-\text { Area of orifice } 1=1.25 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& A_{2}-\text { Area of orifice } 2=.4536 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 2- AREA OF VARIABLE ORIFICE A3

| X | A3 ( X ) | $X$ | A3(x) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00000 | . 08680 | 15.12600 | . 07900 |
| . 50420 | . 09370 | 15.63020 | . 07710 |
| 1.00840 | . 09410 | 16.13440 | . 07520 |
| 1.51260 | . 09630 | 16.63860 | . 07320 |
| 2.01680 | . 09950 | 17.14280 | . 07130 |
| 2.52100 | .10110 | 17.64700 | -06940 |
| 3.02520 | . 10210 | 18.15120 | . 06750 |
| 3.52940 | . 102.50 | 18.65540 | - $\cap 6540$ |
| 4.03360 | . 20270 | 19.15960 | -06320 |
| 4.53780 | .10290 | 19.66380 | . 06100 |
| 5.04200 | .10280 | 20,16800 | . 05880 |
| 5.54620 | . 10250 | 20.67220 | . 05630 |
| 6.05040 | .10190 | 21.17640 | . 05380 |
| 6.55460 | .10120 | 21.68060 | . 05120 |
| 7.05880 | .10060 | 22.18480 | . 04870 |
| 7.56300 | .09960 | 22.68900 | .04580 |
| 8.06720 | . 09870 | 23.19320 | . 04290 |
| 8.57140 | . 09770 | 23.69740 | . 03980 |
| 9.07560 | . 09670 | 24.20160 | . 03630 |
| 9.57980 | . 09540 | 24.70580 | - 02270 |
| 10.08400 | .09410 | 25.21000 | . 02870 |
| 10.58820 | . 09290 | 25.71420 | . 02410 |
| 11.09240 | . 09150 | 26.21840 | .01910 |
| 11.59660 | . 09000 | 26.72260 | . 21330 |
| 12.10080 | . 08850 | 27.22680 | . 01620 |
| 12.6050 n | . 08720 | 27.73100 | 0.00000 |
| 13.10920 | . 08570 | 28.23520 | 0.00000 |
| 13.61340 | . 08410 | 28.73940 | 0.06000 |
| 14.11760 | .08250 | 29.24360 | 0.00000 |
| 14.62180 | .08090 |  |  |

table 3-fiqeech firce for 2tre 1

| T | B (T) | T | E (T) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00000 | 9.0 | . 03800 | 1757.0 |
| . 00050 | 5.0 | . 14000 | 1526.0 |
| -0010: | 6.0 | -044200 | 1329.0 |
| -00157 | 7.0 | . 04400 | 1159.0 |
| .0020.7 | 8,0 | . 04600 | 1013.0 |
| . 00250 | 9.0 | . 04800 | 887.0 |
| - 00300 | 10.0 | . 05000 | 778,0 |
| .00350 | $1 \therefore .0$ | . 05200 | 6E3.0 |
| . 20400 | 12.0 | . 05400 | 6C2.0 |
| -00450 | 10.0 | . 0.5600 | 530,0 |
| - 00500 | 72.0 | . 05800 | 468.0 |
| - $01055^{\circ}$ | 1177.0 | , "6000 | 414.0 |
| -0060? | 2041.0 | . 06200 | 367.0 |
| . 00659 | 3287.0 | . 116400 | 326.0 |
| . 007001 | 5272.0 | . 06600 | 2.29.0 |
| . 00750 | 3393.0 | .06800 | 2.57.0 |
| - 20802 | 13220.0 | .07000 | 229.0 |
| - 00650 | 20477.0 | . 07200 | 2C5,0 |
| - 00300 | 30924.0 | . 27400 | 283.0 |
| - 0 C25) | 44997.0 | .07600 | 163.0 |
| - 01000 | C2180.0 | .07802 | 146.0 |
| . 11050 | 30403.0 | - 2800 | 131.0 |
| . 011001 | 76098.0 | . C 820 | 188.0 |
| -1)115\% | 105714.0 | . 08400 | 1с6.0 |
| .01187 | $1 \sim 7588.0$ | . 08600 | 55,0 |
| -0125:1 | 102620.0 | -08800 | 86.0 |
| . 01300 | O3260.0 | . 09000 | 77.0 |
| - $0135{ }^{\circ}$ | 82047.0 | . 09200 | 70.0 |
| - 01400 | 70792.0 | . 09400 | 63.0 |
| -0145 | 60482.0 | . 19600 | 57.0 |
| - 01502 | $5150 n .0$ | .99800 | 52,0 |
| - 01557 | 43889.0 | .10000 | 47.0 |
| .01600 | 37532.0 | .10200 | 43.0 |
| .0165 | 32252.0 | .10400 | 29.0 |
| .0170 | 27872.0 | .10600 | 25.0 |
| . 01750 | 24231.0 | .10800 | 32.0 |
| . 01808 | 21192.0 | . 11000 | 29.0 |
| - 21850 | 13643.0 | .11200 | 27.0 |
| -01900 | 16493.0 | .11400 | 24.0 |
| . $0125 \sim$ | 14669.0 | .11600 | 22.0 |
| . 02000 | 13113.0 | .11800 | 20.0 |
| . 02050 | 11770.0 | .12000 | 19.0 |
| . 22100 | 10626.0 | .12200 | 17.0 |
| . $0215 \%$ | 3626.0 | .12400 | 16.0 |
| . 02200 | 8755.0 | . 12600 | 14.0 |
| . $0225{ }^{\circ}$ | 7992.0 | .12800 | 13.0 |
| . 0230 , | 7320.0 | .13000 | 12.0 |
| - 02350 | 9726.0 | . 132.00 | 11.0 |
| - 02400 | 6199.0 | . 13400 | 10.0 |
| - 02457 | 5729.0 | .13600 | 9.0 |
| . 02500 | 530n. 0 | .13800 | 9.0 |
| -0255 |  |  |  |
| . 02607 | 4593.0 | .142.00 | 7.0 |
| - 12657 | 4286.0 | . 14400 | 7.0 |
| .0270n | 4009.0 | . 14600 | 6.0 |
| .02724 .02759 | 3384.0 | - 14800 | 6.0 |
| .0280.? | 3803.0 366.5 | .15000 .152 .00 | 5.0 5,0 |
| .0300) | 3147.0 | . 20000 | 0.0 |
| - 03200 | 2712.0 |  |  |
| . 03607 | 2342.0 |  |  |

TABLE 4. EREECH FITRCE FIR ZTAL 5

| T | $B(T)$ | T | $E(T)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00000 | 159.0 | .03600 | 2426.0 |
| . 00050 | 246.0 | . 03800 | 2069.0 |
| . 00100 | 513.0 | . 04000 | 1769.0 |
| . 00150 | 938.0 | .04200 | 1517.0 |
| . 00200 | 1724.0 | . 04400 | 1364.0 |
| . 00250 | 3113.0 | .04600 | 1123.0 |
| . 00300 | 5642.0 | . 04800 | 9 ¢9.0 |
| .00350 | 10127.0 | . 05000 | 839.0 |
| . 00400 | 18001.0 | . 05200 | 727.0 |
| . 00450 | 31434.0 | . 05400 | 632.0 |
| . 00500 | 53257.0 | . 05600 | 550.0 |
| . 00500 | 85873.0 | . 05800 | 480.0 |
| . 00600 | 128386.0 | . 06000 | 419.0 |
| . 00650 | 173036.0 | . 06200 | 367.0 |
| . 00700 | 206:42.0 | . 06400 | 322.0 |
| . 00748 | 217035.0 | . 06600 | 283.0 |
| . 00750 | 217008.0 | .08800 | 249.0 |
| .00800 | 205962.0 | .07000 | 220.0 |
| . 00850 | 181687.0 | .07200 | 194.0 |
| . 00900 | 153385.0 | .07400 | 172.0 |
| .00950 | 126693.0 | .07600 | 152.0 |
| .01000 | 103872.0 | . 07800 | 125.0 |
| .01050 | 85258.0 | . 08000 | 120.0 |
| . 11100 | 70386.0 | . 08200 | $1 C 7.0$ |
| -01200 | 49196.0 | . 08400 | 55.0 |
| .01250 | 41695.0 | . 08600 | 85.0 |
| . 01300 | 35654.0 | . 08800 | 76.0 |
| .01350 | 30747.0 | . 09000 | E8.0 |
| . 01400 | 26725.0 | . 09200 | 61.0 |
| . 02450 | 23400.0 | . 09400 | 54.0 |
| . 01500 | 20628.0 | . 09600 | 49.0 |
| . 01550 | 18298.0 | .09800 | 44.0 |
| . 01600 | 16324.0 | .10000 | 39.0 |
| . 01650 | 14641.0 | .10200 | 36.0 |
| . 01700 | 13195.0 | .10400 | 32.0 |
| . 01734 | 12315.0 | .10600 | 29.0 |
| . 01750 | 12135.0 | .10800 | 26.0 |
| .01800 | 11575.0 | .11000 | 24.0 |
| . 02000 | 9605.0 | . 11200 | 21.0 |
| . 02200 | 7998.0 | .11400 | 19.0 |
| . 02400 | 6683.0 | .11600 | 18.0 |
| . 02600 | 5602.0 | .11800 | 16.0 |
| . 02800 | 4711.0 | .20000 | 0.0 |
| . 03000 | 3973.0 |  |  |
| . 03200 | 3361.0 |  |  |
| . 03400 | 2852.0 |  |  |

TABLE 5- BREECH FIIRCE FMR ZINE 6

| T | R(T) | T | E(T) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00000 | 384.0 | . 03500 | 2545,0 |
| . 20050 | 706.0 | . 03700 | 2135.0 |
| . 00100 | 1491.0 | . 03900 | 1757.0 |
| .00150 | 2937.0 | . 04100 | 1517.0 |
| . 00200 | 5300.0 | . 04300 | 1285.0 |
| - 00250 | 11343.0 | . 04500 | 1091.0 |
| . 00300 | 21947.0 | - 04700 | 929.0 |
| -0035 | 41614.0 | . 04900 | 793.0 |
| . 00400 | 76017.0 | - 05100 | 678.0 |
| . 00450 | 130033.0 | .05300 | 582.0 |
| . 00500 | 200301.0 | .05500 | 5 C 0.0 |
| . 00550 | 267029.0 | . 05700 | 431.0 |
| .0060n | 302300.0 | . 55900 | 373.0 |
| . 00616 | 304395.0 | .06100 | 323.0 |
| . 00650 | 295349.0 | . 06300 | 280.0 |
| . 00700 | 259560.0 | . 06500 | 243.0 |
| . 00750 | 214473.0 | . 10700 | 212.0 |
| . 00800 | 172277.0 | . 06900 | 185.0 |
| .00850 | 137329.0 | .07100 | 162.0 |
| . 00900 | 109863.0 | . 07300 | 142.0 |
| .00950 | 88691.0 | . 07500 | 124.0 |
| .01000 | 72415.0 | .07700 | 159.0 |
| . 11050 | 59835.0 | . 07900 | 96.0 |
| .01100 | 50018.0 | .18100 | 85.0 |
| .01150 | 42274.0 | . 08300 | 75.0 |
| .91400 | 2088\%.0 | . 08500 | 66.0 |
| .01431 | 19380.0 | . 08700 | 59.0 |
| . 01450 | 18986.0 | . 18900 | 52.0 |
| . 01500 | 17983.0 | .09100 | 46.0 |
| .0170n | 14514.0 | . 09300 | 41.0 |
| . 01900 | 11769.0 | . 09500 | 27.0 |
| .0210n | 9586.0 | . 09700 | 33.0 |
| - 22300 | 7841.0 | .09900 | 29.0 |
| - 02500 | 6440.0 | .10100 | 26.0 |
| - 02700 | 5310.0 | .10300 | 24.0 |
| . 22900 | 4395.0 | .20000 | 0.0 |
| . 3100 | 3651.0 |  |  |
| . 03300 r | 3043.0 |  |  |

TABLE 6- BREECII FORCE FOR, ZOIIE 7

| T | B(T) | T | 3(T) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00000 | 2809.6 | . 01700 | 13971.0 |
| . 00020 | 4589.6 | . 11740 | 13354.0 |
| . 00060 | 9056.6 | . 01780 | 12765.0 |
| . 00100 | 18069.0 | .01820 | 122C4.0 |
| . 00140 | 35565.0 | .01860 | 11668.0 |
| .00180 | 68543.0 | .01900 | 11156.0 |
| . 00220 | 126940.0 | .01940 | 10674.0 |
| . 00260 | 219070.0 | .01980 | 10214.0 |
| . 00300 | 337720.0 | . 02020 | 9775.1 |
| . 00340 | 446170.0 | . 02060 | 9355.5 |
| . 00380 | 496900.0 | .112100 | 8954.5 |
| .00420 | 476070.0 | . 02140 | 8576.1 |
| . 00460 | 411400.0 | .02180 | 8215.1 |
| . 00500 | 335660.0 | . 02220 | 7869.6 |
| . 00540 | 267300.0 | . 02226 | 7538.8 |
| . 00580 | 211870.0 | . 023200 | 7222.5 |
| . 90620 | 168900.0 | . 02340 | 6924.1 |
| . 00660 | 136050.0 | . 02380 | 6638.1 |
| . 00700 | 110950.0 | . 02420 | 6364.5 |
| $.0074 n$ | 91613.0 | . 02460 | 6102.9 |
| . 00780 | 76562.0 | . 02500 | 5852.1 |
| . 00820 | 64706.0 | . 22540 | 5615.3 |
| . 00860 | 55253.0 | . 02580 | 5389.0 |
| . 00900 | 47627.0 | . 02620 | 5171.7 |
| . 00940 | 41322.0 | . 22660 | 4963.0 |
| . 00980 | 36281.0 | .02700 | 4763.3 |
| . 01020 | 32030.0 | .02740 | 4574.0 |
| - 11060 | 29784.0 | . 02780 | 4383.7 |
| -01100 | 28358.0 | . 02820 | 4220.4 |
| .01140 | 27013.0 | . 02880 | 4054.0 |
| .01180 | 2.5736 .0 | . 22900 | 38\%4.2 |
| .01220 | 24521.0 | . 02940 | 3743.0 |
| . 01260 | 23366.0 | . 02980 | 3558.0 |
| -01300 | 22263.0 | . 03020 | 3458.6 |
| .0134n | 21237.0 | . 04020 | 1361.8 |
| -11380 | 2.0257.0 | . 05020 | 578.4 |
| -02420 | 19324.0 | .06020 | 263.3 |
| - 01460 | 18435.0 | .07020 | 128.1 |
| . 021500 | 17588.0 | . 08020 | ¢3.5 |
| . 01540 | 16794.0 | . 29020 | 0.0 |
| . 01580 | 16036.0 | . 20000 | 0.0 |
| .01620 | 15314.0 |  |  |
| . 01660 | 14626.0 |  |  |

TABLE 7 - BPEECU FDRCE FIR ZOUE 8

| T | $8(T)$ | T | B ( $T$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00000 | 3090.6 | . 02700 | 15368.1 |
| -0002. | 5048.6 | .01740 | 14689.4 |
| . 00000 | 9964.5 | . 01780 | 14041.5 |
| .00100 | 1987.5 | .01820 | 13424.4 |
| - 00140 | 39121.5 | .01860 | 12834.8 |
| . 00180 | 75397.3 | . 11900 | 12271.6 |
| . 00220 | 139634.0 | . 01940 | 11741.4 |
| -00260 | 240977.0 | .01980 | 11235.4 |
| . 00300 | 371491.9 | .02020 | :0752.6 |
| . 00340 | 490786.9 | .02060 | 10251.0 |
| . 00380 | 546589.9 | .02100 | 9849.9 |
| . 00420 | 523676.9 | .02140 | 9433.7 |
| - 00460 | 452539.9 | .02180 | 9036.6 |
| - 00500 | 369225.9 | -(2220 | 8656.6 |
| .00540 | 294029.9 | . 02226 | 8292.7 |
| .0058 | 233057.0 | . 02300 | 7944.7 |
| -0062n | 135790.0 | - 02340 | 7616.5 |
| .00660 | 149655.0 | . 02380 | $73 C 1.9$ |
| .00700 | 122045.0 | . 02420 | 7 CO 0.9 |
| - 00740 | 100774.3 | . 02461 | 6713.2 |
| .00780 | 84218.2 | . 02500 | 6437.3 |
| .00820 | 71176.6 | . 02540 | 6176.8 |
| .00867 | 60778.3 | - 22580 | 5927.9 |
| . 00900 | 52389.7 | .02620 | 5688.9 |
| . 00940 | 45454.2 | . 02680 | 5459.3 |
| .00980 | 39909.1 | . 02700 | 5239.6 |
| - 11020 | 35233.0 | -. 2740 | 5031.4 |
| .01060 | 32762.4 | . 12780 | 4833.1 |
| -0110n | 31193.8 | . 02820 | 4642.4 |
| -01140 | 29714.3 | .02860 | 4459.4 |
| - 91180 | 28300.6 | . 02900 | 42.83 .6 |
| - 01220 | 26973.1 | . 029417 | 4117.3 |
| - 01260 | 25902.6 | . 22980 | 3957.8 |
| . 01300 | 24494.8 | . 03020 | $38 \mathrm{C4.5}$ |
| . 01347 | 2336.0 .7 | . 04020 | 1458.0 |
| . 01380 | $2228 \% .7$ | . 25020 | 636.2 |
| . 01420 | 21256.4 | . 06020 | 289.6 |
| - 12460 | 2.0278 .5 | . 07020 | 140.9 |
| . 02500 | 19346.8 | . 08020 | 69.8 |
| -01540 | 18473.4 | . 09020 | 0.0 |
| -01580 | 17639.6 | . 20000 | 0.0 |
| - 01620 | 16845.4 |  |  |
| -0166.) | 15088.6 |  |  |

## DISTRIBUTION

Copies
Defense Documentation Center ATTN: TIPCR ..... 12
Cameron Station Alexandria, VA ..... 22314
Commander
US Army Harry Diamond LaboratoriesATTN: AMXDO-EDC1
AMXDO-SA ..... 1
Washington, DC ..... 20438
Commander
US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEM ..... 1
Rock Island, IL ..... 61201
Commander
US Army Armament Research \& Development CommandATTN: DRDAR-LCW
Mr. R. Wrenn ..... 1
DRDAR-LCW
Mr. M. Barran ..... 1
DRDAR-SCW-T
Mr. E. Larrison ..... 1
Picatinny Arsenal
Dover, NJ 07801
CommanderUS Army Research Office
ATTN: Information Processing Office ..... 1
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC ..... 27709
Commander
US Army Tank-Automotive Research \& Development CommandATTN: Technical Library1
DRCPM-60 ..... 1
Warren, MI 48090
Commander
Project Manager
Cannon Artillery Weapons systemsATTN: DRCPM-CAWS1
Dover, NJ 07801
CommanderRock Island ArsenalATTN: SARRI-ENW10SARRI-ENSARRI-ADL2
Rock Island, IL ..... 61201
University of Wisconsin-MadisonDepartment of Mechanical EngineeringATTN: Dr. S. Wu5
Madison, WI 53706

