Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

SERVICE CONTRACT NO: EDO/01/2017

ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE -**ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS**

UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT NO. EP-513/2016

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT

MARCH 2019

CLIENTS:

Civil Engineering and Development Department

PREPARED BY:

Lam Environmental Services Limited

11/F Centre Point 181-185 Gloucester Road, Wanchai, H.K.

Telephone: (852) 2882-3939 Facsimile: (852) 2882-3331 E-mail: info@lamenviro.com

Website: http://www.lamenviro.com

CERTIFIED BY:

Sam Lam

Environmental Team Leader

DATE:

11April 2019



Civil Engineering and Development Department

New Territories East Development Office

Suite 1213 Chinachem Golden Plaza

77 Mody Road

Tsim Sha Tsui East

Kowloon

Your reference:

Our reference:

HKCEDD12/50/105676

Date:

11 April 2019

Attention: Mr Leung Siu Kau, Kelvin

BY POST

Dear Sirs

Agreement No. EDO/04/2017

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site

- Road Improvement Works

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (March 2019)

We refer to the emails on 4 and 10 April 2019 from Environmental Team, Lam Environmental Services Limited attaching a Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report (March 2019) for the captioned project.

We have no further comment and hereby verify the abovementioned Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit Report (March 2019) in accordance with Clause 3.4 of the Environmental Permit no. EP-513/2016.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or our Ms Angie Chan on 2618 2831.

Yours faithfully
ANEWR CONSULTING LIMITED

Independent Environmental Checker

LYMA/CWA/lhmh

cc AECOM head office – Mr Ivan Tsang (email: ivan.tsang@aecom.com)
AECOM – Mr Brad C W Chan (email: c3-srec4@arqaecom.com)
Lam Environmental Services Limited – Mr Sam Lam (email: kclam@lamenviro.com)

ANewR Consulting Limited

Unit 517, 5/F, Tower A, Regent Centre 63 Wo Yi Hop Road, Kwai Chung, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2618 2831 Fax: (852) 3007 8648

Email: info@anewr.com Web: www.anewr.com





Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INT	RODUCTION	6
	1.1 1.2	Scope of the ReportStructure of the Report	
2	PRO	JECT BACKGROUND	8
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Background Scope of the Project and Site Description Project Organization and Contact Personnel Construction Activities	8
3	STA	TUS OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	. 11
	3.1 3.2	Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project Status of Submission under the EP-513/2016	
4	MONIT	ORING REQUIREMENTS	. 14
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Noise Monitoring Air Monitoring Water Quality Monitoring	. 16
5.	MONIT	ORING RESULTS	. 23
	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Noise Monitoring Results Air Monitoring Results Water Quality Monitoring Results Waste Management	. 23
6.	COMPL	IANCE AUDIT	. 26
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	Noise Monitoring	. 26 . 26 . 26
7.	ENVIRO	ONMENTAL SITE AUDIT	. 27
8.	COMPL	AINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	. 28
n	CONCI	UCION	20



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INT	RODUCTION	6
	1.1 1.2	Scope of the ReportStructure of the Report	
2	PRO	JECT BACKGROUND	8
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Background Scope of the Project and Site Description Project Organization and Contact Personnel Construction Activities	8
3	STA	TUS OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	. 11
	3.1 3.2	Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project Status of Submission under the EP-513/2016	
4	MONIT	ORING REQUIREMENTS	. 14
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Noise Monitoring Air Monitoring Water Quality Monitoring	. 16
5.	MONIT	ORING RESULTS	. 23
	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Noise Monitoring Results Air Monitoring Results Water Quality Monitoring Results Waste Management	. 23
6.	COMPL	IANCE AUDIT	. 26
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	Noise Monitoring	. 26 . 26 . 26
7.	ENVIRO	ONMENTAL SITE AUDIT	. 27
8.	COMPL	AINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	. 28
n	CONCI	UCION	20



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Schedule 2 Designated Projects under this Project
Table 2.2	Contact Details of Key Personnel
Table 3.1	Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project
Table 3.2	Summary of submission status under EP-513/2016
Table 4.1	Noise Monitoring Station
Table 4.2	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 4.3	Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring
Table 4.4	Air Monitoring Station
Table 4.5	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 4.6	Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring
Table 4.7	Marine Water Quality Stations for Water Quality Monitoring
Table 4.8	Water Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 4.9	Action and Limit Level for Water Quality Monitoring
Table 5.1	Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials
Table 5.2	Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes
Table 7.1	Summary of Environmental Inspections
Table 7.2	Summary of Lanscape Inspections
Table 8.1	Cumulative Statistics on Complaints
Table 8.2	Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions
Table 9.1	Construction Activities and Recommended Mitigation Measures in Coming Reporting 2 Months

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Project Layout
Figure 2.2	Project Organization Chart
Figure 4.1	Locations of Noise Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)
Figure 4.2	Locations of Noise Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3)
Figure 4.3	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)
Figure 4.4	Locations of Air Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3)
Figure 4.5	Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)
Figure 4.6	Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3)

LIST OF APPENDICES

LIGI OF ALL	МЫОСЭ
Appendix 3.1	Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule
Appendix 4.1	Action and Limit Level
Appendix 4.2	Copies of Calibration Certificates
Appendix 4.3	Wind data extracted from HKO Automatic Weather Station
Appendix 5.1	Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Month
Appendix 5.2	Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix 5.3	Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix 5.4	Water Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations
Appendix 5.5	Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table
Appendix 6.1	Event and Action Plans
Appendix 6.2	Summary for Notification of Exceedance
Appendix 8.1	Complaint Log
Appendix 9.1	Construction Programme of Individual Contracts

am Lam E

Lam Environmental Services Limited

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- This is the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Monthly Report March 2019 of Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works under Environmental Permit no. EP-513/2016 (Hereafter as "the Project"). The construction works of the Project was commenced on 2 November 2018 and the tentative completion date is end of 2023. This is the 5th EM&A report presenting the environmental monitoring findings and information recorded during the period of 1 March 2019 to 31 March 2019. The cut-off date of reporting is at the end of each reporting month.
- ii. In the reporting month, the principal work activities conducted are as follow:
 - Trees felling at Portion B (excluding 22nos. Aquilaria Sinensis at Portion B) and partial Portion C;
 - Excavate trial pit;
 - Setup Temporary Traffic Arrangement (TTA) on the road;
 - Utilities mapping on RIW3
 - Remove works of central median along Clear Water Bay Road of Traffic Sign diversion;
 - ELS works for footing construction at PC-System A;
 - Excavate works for footing construction at BBI Public Toilet;
 - Tree felling works and tree transplant works.

Air Quality Monitoring

- iii. 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) monitoring was conducted at eight monitoring stations. The sampling frequency is 3 times in every 6 days in the reporting month.
- iv. No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.

Noise Monitoring

- v. Noise monitoring was conducted at five noise monitoring stations once per week in the reporting month.
- vi. No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.

Water Quality Monitoring

- vii. Water monitoring was conducted at four monitoring stations three days per week in the reporting month.
- viii. No water can be collected at Station E on 1, 4, 6, 18 and 27 March 2019 as the station was dried out during the monitoring scheduled in the reporting month.

3



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

ix. Four (4) suspend solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 15, 18, 22 and 25 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.

Four (4) turbidity limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 18, 22, 25 and 27 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.

Two (2) pH limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 18 and 20 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.

One (1) suspended solid action level exceedance was recorded at Station F on 15 March 2019. After investigation, the exceedance was concluded as non-project related.

One (1) pH, one (1) turbidity and one (1) suspended solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 25 March 2019. After investigation, the exceedance was concluded as non-project related.

Site Inspections and Audit

x. The Environmental Team (ET) conducted weekly site inspections for the Contract on 7, 14, 21 and 28 March 2019. IEC attended the joint site inspection on 7 March 2019. No non-compliance was found during the site inspection while reminders on environmental measures were recommended.

Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

xi. No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.

Reporting Changes

xii. There are no particular reporting changes.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

Future Key Issues

xiii. In coming reporting 2 months, the scheduled construction activities and the recommended mitigation measures are listed as follows:

Key Construction Works		Recommended Mitigation Measures			
•	Setup Temporary Traffic Arrangement	Dust control during dust generating works;			
	(TTA) on the road (all area);	Implementation of proper noise pollution control;			
•	Erect hoarding and construct haul	and			
	road at RIW1, RIW2 and RIW3;	Provision of protection to ensure no runoff out of			
•	Aquilaria Sinensis root pruning at	site area or direct discharge into public drainage			
	Portion B;	system.			
•	Socketed H-pile works at PC-E11				
•	ELS works for footing construction at				
	PC-System A;				
•	Excavate works for footing				
	construction at BBI Public Toilet				
•	Tree felling works and tree transplant				
	works at RIW1, RIW2, RIW3,				
•	PC-E8;				
•	Utilities mapping on RIW3.				



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope of the Report

- 1.1.1. Lam Environmental Services Limited (LES) has been appointed to work as the Environmental Team (ET) under Environmental Permit (EP) no. EP-513/2016 to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme as stipulated in the EM&A Manual of the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for Development of Anderson Road Quarry site Road Improvement Works (Register No.: AEIAR-195/2016).
- 1.1.2. In accordance with Clause 3.4 stated in EP-513/2016, four hard copy and one electronic copy of the monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of each reporting month throughout the entire construction period.
- 1.1.3. In accordance with Section 11.3.1 of the Project EM&A Manual, the first Monthly EM&A Report should be prepared and submitted to EPD within a month after the major construction works commences with the subsequently Monthly EM&A Reports due in 10 works day of the end of each reporting month.

1.2 Structure of the Report

- **Section 1** *Introduction* details the scope and structure of the report.
- **Section 2** *Project Background* summarizes background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details of key personnel during the reporting period.
- Section 3 Status of Regulatory Compliance summarizes the status of valid Environmental Permits / Licenses during the reporting period.
- **Section 4** *Monitoring Requirements* summarizes all monitoring parameters, monitoring methodology and equipment, monitoring locations, monitoring frequency, criteria and respective event and action plan and monitoring programmes.
- **Section 5** *Monitoring Results* summarizes the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
- **Section 6 Compliance Audit** summarizes the auditing of monitoring results, all exceedances environmental parameters.



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

Section 7 Environmental Site Audit – summarizes the findings of weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period, with a review of any relevant follow-up actions within the reporting period.

Section 8 Complaints, Notification of summons and Prosecution – summarizes the cumulative statistics on complaints, notification of summons and prosecution

Section 9 Conclusion

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

2 Project Background

2.1 Background

- 2.1.1. The Development of Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site is to provide land and the associated infrastructures for the proposed land uses at the existing ARQ site at the north-eastern of East Kowloon.
- 2.1.2. In addition to the site formation and infrastructure works within the ARQ site, a new bus-to-bus interchange (BBI) at the toll plaza of Tseung Kwan O Tunnel and a series of associated off-site road improvement works and pedestrian connectivity facilities are also proposed to mitigate the potential cumulative traffic impact arising from the proposed ARQ development.
- 2.1.3. The Project under Environmental Permit (EP) (EP No. EP-513/2016) is for the three associated of-site road improvement works which comprises: (i) improvement of junction of (J/O) Lin Tak Road / Sau Mau Ping Road (RIW3) (ii) widening and improvement of sections of Clear Water Bay Road and On Sau Road (RIW2); and (iii) widening and improvement of sections of New Clear Water Bay Road and Shun Lee Tsuen Road (RIW1). The location of the Project is shown Figure 2.1.

2.2 Scope of the Project and Site Description

2.2.1. The project contains various Schedule 2 Designated Projects (DPs) that, under the EIAO, require EPs to be granted by the DEP before they may be either constructed or operated.
Table 2.1 summarises the DPs under this Project.

Table 2.1 Schedule 2 Designated Projects under this Project

Item	Designated Project	EIAO Reference
DP2	A road which is an expressway, trunk road, primary	Schedule 2, Part I, A.1
	distributor road or district distributor road including new	
	roads, and major extensions or improvements to existing	
	road	

2.3 Project Organization and Contact Personnel

2.3.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the overall project controllers for the Project. For the construction phase of the Project, Project Engineer, Contractor(s), Environmental Team and Independent Environmental Checker are appointed to manage and control environmental issues.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

2.3.2 The proposed project organization and lines of communication with respect to environmental protection works are shown in <u>Figure 2.2.</u> Key personnel and contact particulars are summarized in **Table 2.2**:

Table 2.2 Contact Details of Key Personnel

Party	Role	Post	Name	Contact No.	Contact Fax
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Senior Resident Engineer	Mr. Brad Chan	5506 0068	2473 3221
Chun Wo – China Metallurgical Group	Contractor	Site Agent	Mr. Chris Lam	9801 9974	3965 9854
Corporation Joint Venture		Environmental Office	Miss Tiffany Tsang	5117 9020	
ANewR Consulting Limited	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Mr. Adi Lee	2618 2836	3007 8648
Lam Environmental Services Limited	Environmental Team (ET)	Environmental Team Leader (ETL)	Mr. Sam Lam	6178 3179	2882 3331

2.4 Construction Activities

- 2.4.1 In the reporting month, the principal work activities conducted are as follow.
 - Trees felling at Portion B (excluding 22nos. Aquilaria Sinensis at Portion B) and partial Portion C;
 - Excavate trial pit;
 - Setup Temporary Traffic Arrangement (TTA) on the road;
 - Utilities mapping on RIW3
 - Remove works of central median along Clear Water Bay Road of Traffic Sign diversion;
 - ELS works for footing construction at PC-System A;
 - Excavate works for footing construction at BBI Public Toilet;
 - Tree felling works and tree transplant works.
- 2.4.2 In coming reporting 2 months, the scheduled construction activities are listed as follows:
 - Setup Temporary Traffic Arrangement (TTA) on the road (all area);
 - Erect hoarding and construct haul road at RIW1, RIW2 and RIW3;
 - Aquilaria Sinensis root pruning at Portion B;
 - Socketed H-pile works at PC-E11



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

- ELS works for footing construction at PC-System A;
- Excavate works for footing construction at BBI Public Toilet
- Tree felling works and tree transplant works at RIW1, RIW2, RIW3,
- PC-E8;
- Utilities mapping on RIW3.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

3 Status of Regulatory Compliance

3.1 Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting under the Project

3.1.1. A summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project is shown in *Table 3.1*.

Table 3.1 Summary of the current status on licences and/or permits on environmental protection pertinent to the Project

Permits and/or Licences	Permit. No. / Account No.	Valid From	Expiry Date	Status
Notification pursuant to Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Form NA submitted to EPD on 29 May 2018.			
Environmental Permit	EP-513/2016	20 Jul 2016	N/A	Valid
Construction Noise Permit (CNP)			1	
CNP for a section of Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road in Area RIW3 for loading / unloading of construction material or tree felling works	GW-RE0058-19	18 Feb 2019	17 May 2019	Valid
CNP for a section of Sau Mau Ping Road in AreaRIW3 for loading / unloading of construction material	GW-RE0131-19	26 Feb 2019	25 May 2019	Valid
Billing Account for Disposal				
Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	7031075	20 Jul 2018	End of the Project	Valid
Chemical Waste Registration				
Registration as a Waste Producer for Sau Mau Ping Road to Lin Tak Road	5213-294-C4239-04	6 Aug 2018	N/A	Valid
Registration as a Waste Producer for Sau Mau Ping Area between Him Tat House and Sau Mau Ping Salt Water Service Reservoir	5213-293-C4239-05	6 Aug 2018	N/A	Valid
Registration as a Waste Producer for New Clear Water Bay Road (Start from 46 Clear Water Bay Road, End at Shun Lee Tsuen Road and San Lee Street	5213-291-C4239-02	13 Aug 2018	N/A	Valid
Registration as a Waste Producer for South Part of Hiu Ming Street Playground	5213-294-C4239-03	6 Aug 2018	N/A	Valid

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

Permits and/or Licences	Permit. No. / Account No.	Valid From	Expiry Date	Status
Registration as a Waste Producer for Clear Water Bay Road and New Clear Water Bay Road (From the intersection of Fei Ngo Shan Road to Tai Pan Court) and on Sau Road (From the intersection of New Clear Water Bay Road to 9 Anderson Road	5213-831-C4239-08	6 Aug 2018	N/A	Valid
Registration as a Waste Producer for Sau Mau Ping Area Between Anderson Road and On Sau Road, next to Oi Tat House	5213-292-C4239-06	6 Aug 2018	N/A	Valid
Water Discharge Licence				
Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for Lin Tak Road to Sau Mau Ping Road including Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Toll Plaza	WT00032742-2018	18 Jan 2019	31 Jan 2024	Valid
Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for Sau Mau Ping Area between Anderson Road and On Sau Road, next to Oi Tat House	WT00033223-2019	31 Jan 2019	31 Jan 2024	Valid
Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for Sau Mau Ping Area at south part of Hiu Ming Street playground	WT00033224-2019	21 Mar 2019	31 Mar 2024	Valid
Water Pollution Ordinance Licence for intersection of Fei Ngo Shan Road to Tai Pan Court and on Sau Road (From the intersection of New Clear Water Bay Road to 9 Anderson Road	WT00033299-2019	5 Mar 2019	31 Mar 2024	Valid

3.2 Status of Submission under the EP-513/2016

3.2.1. A summary of the current status on submission under EP-513/2016 is shown in *Table 3.2*.

Table 3.2 Summary of submission status under EP-513/2016

EP Condition Submission		Date of Submission
Condition 1.12	Notification of Commencement Date of Works	24 September 2018
Condition 2.10	Management Organization of Main Construction Companies	27 September 2018
Condition 2.11	Submission of Design Drawing(s) of the Project	28 September 2018
Condition 2.12	Submission of Landscape and Visual Mitigation Plan(s)	28 September 2018



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

EP Condition	Submission	Date of Submission
Condition 2.14 (a) and 2.15	Submission of Detailed Vegetation Survey Report (2nd submission)	7 December 2018
Condition 2.14 (b) and 2.15	Submission of Transplantation Proposal	7 December 2018
Condition 3.3	Submission of Baseline Environmental Monitoring Report (2nd submission)	18 December 2018



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

4 Monitoring Requirements

4.1 Noise Monitoring

NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

4.1.1. The noise monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.1* and *Figure 4.1*& 4.2.

Table 4.1 Noise Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station ID	Monitoring Location	Measurement Type	Level (in terms of no. of floor)
NMC01	Kei Shun Special School	Façade	G/F
NMC02	Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters Block 6	Façade	3/F podium
NMC03	Sienna Garden Block 6	Free-field	G/F
NMC04	Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House	Free-field	3/F podium
NMC05	Hong Wah Court Block B Yee Hong House	Façade	G/F

NOISE MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.1.2. Noise monitoring shall be carried out at all the designated monitoring stations. The monitoring frequency shall depend on the scale of the construction activities. The following is an initial guide on the regular monitoring frequency for each station on a weekly basis when noise generating activities are underway:
 - One set of measurements between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays (six consecutive Leg/5min readings);
 - One set of measurements between 1900-2300 hours;
 - One set of measurements between 2300-0700 hours of next day; and
 - One set of measurements between 0700-2300 hours on holidays (three consecutive Leg/5min readings).
- 4.1.3. For the latter 3 sets of measurements specified in Section 4.1.2 above, one set of measurements shall at least include 3 consecutive Leg (5min) results.
- 4.1.4. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L10 and L90 shall also be obtained for reference.
- 4.1.5. If a school exists near the construction activity, noise monitoring shall be carried out at the monitoring stations for the schools during the examination periods. The ET leader shall liaise with the school's personnel and the examination authority to ascertain the exact dates and times of all examination periods during the course of the contract.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.1.6. Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at the designated monitoring locations. The sound level meters shall comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator shall be deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model	Series Number	
	NTi XL2		
Integrated Sound Level Meter	B&K 2236	2100736	
	HONGLIM HLES-01	201692154	
Acoustic Calibrator	Larson Davis CAL200	13098 13437	

4.1.7. The calibration certificates of the noise monitoring equipment are attached in Appendix 4.2.

SAMPLING PROCEDURE AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.1.8. Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The monitoring station shall normally be at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receiver's building façade and be at a position 1.2m above the ground.
- (b) Façade measurements were made at the monitoring locations. For free-field measurement, a correction factor of +3 dB (A) would be applied.
- (c) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- (d) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
- (e) Frequency weighting: A, Time weighting: Fast, Measurement time set: continuous 5 mins
- (f) Prior and after to the noise measurement, the meter was checked using the acoustic calibrator for 94dB (A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than ±1 dB (A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (g) Noise measurements shall not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

15

EP-513/2016



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

4.1.9. Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The sound level meter and calibrator were calibrated at yearly intervals.

EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

4.1.10. Noise Standards for Daytime Construction Activities are specified under EIAO-TM. The Action and Limit levels for construction noise are defined in **Table 4.3** and <u>Appendix 4.1</u>. Should non-compliance of the criteria occurs, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in <u>Appendix 6.1</u> shall be carried out.

Table 4.3 Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring

		Limit Level (dB(A))			
Monitoring Action Station Level		0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	Slindays); and		
NMC01		65 / 70 ¹			
NMC02	When one	75			
NMC03	documented complaint is	75	60 / 65 / 70 ³	45 / 50 / 55 ³	
NMC04	received	75			
NMC05		75			

Remark 1: Limit level of NMC01 - Kei Shun Special School reduce to 65 dB (A) during examination periods if any.

Remark 2: Construction noise during restricted hours is under the control of Noise Control Ordinance Limit Level to be selected based on Area Sensitivity Rating.

Remark 3: Limit Level for restricted hour monitoring shall act as reference level only. Investigation would be conducted on CNP compliance if exceedance recorded during restricted hour noise monitoring period.

4.2 Air Monitoring

AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

4.2.1. The air monitoring stations for the Project are listed and shown in *Table 4.4* and *Figure 4.3* & 4.4.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

Table 4.4 Air Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Location	Level (in terms of no. of floor)
NCWBR_AMS-1	Shun Lee Fire Station	2/F Roof
NCWBR_AMS-2	Shun Lee Estate Lee Hang House	G/F
NCWBR_AMS-3	Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters (Block 6)	4/F podium
NCWBR_AMS-4	Sienna Garden	G/F
NCWBR_AMS-5	Shun Chi Court Shun Fung House	Roof
LTR_AMS-1	St Edward's Catholic Primary School	G/F
LTR_AMS-2	Environmental Protection Department's Restored Landfill Site Office	G/F
LTR_AMS-3	Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House	3/F podium

AIR MONITORING PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.2.2. One-hour TSP levels should be measured to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality.
- 4.2.3. The sampling frequency of at least three times in every six-days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.

SAMPLING PROCEDURE AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

4.2.4. Monitoring Procedures

- (a) Check the calibration period of portable direct reading dust meter prior to monitoring (The direct reading dust meter was calibrated at 2-years interval and checked with High Volume Sampler (HVS) yearly.)
- (b) Record the site condition near / around the monitoring stations.
- (c) Install the portable direct reading dust meter to the monitoring location.
- (d) Slide the power switch to turn the power on.
- (e) Check of portable direct reading dust meter to ensure the equipment operation in normal condition.
- (f) Select the period of measurement to 60mins.
- (g) Check and set the correct time.
- (h) Select the appropriate unit display for the equipment.
- (i) Slide the power switch to turn the power off when the monitoring period ended (3 times 1 hour TSP monitoring per day).
- (j) Uninstall the portable direct reading dust meter



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

- (k) Collected the sampled data for analysis.
- (I) Remark: Procedures (c) to (h) may be different subject to the brands and models of portable direct reading dust meter

4.2.5. Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The direct reading dust meter was calibrated at 2-years interval and checked with High Volume Sampler (HVS) yearly to determine the accuracy and validity of the results measured.
- (b) Checking of direct reading dust meter will be carried out in order to determine the conversion factor between the direct reading dust meter and the standard equipment, HVS. The comparison check is to be considered valid based on correlation coefficient checked by HOKLAS laboratory.
- 4.2.6. The 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed by using portable direct reading dust meters at each designated monitoring station. The brand and model of the equipment are given in **Table 4.5**.

Table 4.5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and model	Series Number
Portable direct reading	Met One BT- 645	X19299 X19296 X19297 X19298
dust meter	Met One AEROCET 831	W14016 W15448 W15449 W16848

4.2.7. The calibration certificates of the air quality monitoring equipment are attached in Appendix 4.2.

WIND DATA

4.2.8. The representative wind data from Tate's Cairn HKO Automatic Weather Station and Tseung Kwan O HKO Automatic Weather Station were obtained covering the 1-hr TSP monitoring periods. The wind data were extracted and shown in Appendix 4.3.

EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

4.2.9. The Action and Limit levels for construction air quality are defined in **Table 4.6** and <u>Appendix 4.1</u>. Should non-compliance of the air quality criteria occur, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in <u>Appendix 6.1</u> shall be carried out.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

Table 4.6 Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Locations	1-hour TSP Level in μg/m3		
	Action Level	Limit Level	
NCWBR_AMS-1	284.4	500.0	
NCWBR_AMS-2	282.4	500.0	
NCWBR_AMS-3	287.9	500.0	
NCWBR_AMS-4	281.6	500.0	
NCWBR_AMS-5	270.0	500.0	
LTR_AMS-1	272.1	500.0	
LTR_AMS-2	281.1	500.0	
LTR_AMS-3	285.1	500.0	

4.3 Water Quality Monitoring

WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

4.3.1. Water quality monitoring was undertaken at 4 monitoring stations in the reporting month. The proposed water quality monitoring stations of the Project are shown in *Table 4.7* and *Figure* 4.5 & 4.6.

Table 4.7 Marine Water Quality Stations for Water Quality Monitoring

Inland Water Stations		Description	Easting	Northing	
Channelized nullah	E	Upstream Control Station	841329	821753	
across the Project site	F	Downstream Impact Station	841469	821635	
Ma Yau Tong Stream	Н	Upstream Control Station	843008	819880	
Ma raa rong onoam	I	Downstream Impact Station	842652	819573	

WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS, FREQUENCY AND DURATION

- 4.3.2. The levels of dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and pH shall be measured in situ while suspended solids (SS) is determined by laboratory analysis at all the designated monitoring stations.
- 4.3.3. In association with the water quality parameters, other relevant data shall also be recorded, such as monitoring location / position, time, water temperature, salinity, DO saturation, weather conditions, and any special phenomena underway near the monitoring station.
- 4.3.4. The sampling frequency of at least three days per week should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs. Upon completion of the construction works, the monitoring



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

exercise at the designated monitoring locations should be continued for four weeks in the same manner as the impact monitoring.

- 4.3.5. The interval between two sets of monitoring should not be less than 36 hours except where there are exceedances of Action and/or Limit Levels, in which case the monitoring frequency will be increased.
- 4.3.6. Replicate in-situ measurements should be carried out in each sampling event.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Dissolved Oxygen And Temperature Measuring Equipment

- 4.3.7. The instrument should be a portable, weatherproof dissolved oxygen measuring instrument complete with cable, sensor, comprehensive operation manuals, and use a DC power source. It should be capable of measuring:
 - a dissolved oxygen level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation
 - a temperature of 0-45 degree Celsius
- 4.3.8. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. Sufficient stocks of spare electrodes and cables should be available for replacement where necessary. (e.g. YSI model 59 meter, YSI 5739 probe, YSI 5795A submersible stirrer with reel and cable or an approved similar instrument).
- 4.3.9. Should salinity compensation not be build-in in the DO equipment, in-situ salinity shall be measured to calibrate the DO equipment prior to each DO measurement.

Turbidity Measurement Instrument

4.3.10. The instrument should be a portable, weatherproof turbidity-measuring instrument complete with comprehensive operation manual. The equipment should use a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU and be complete with a cable (e.g. Hach model 2100P or an approved similar instrument).

Sampler

4.3.11. Due to low water level as mentioned in Section 6.4.3 of the EIA report, bucket sampler (Approximate 1L) will be use instead of water sampler in order to obtain surface water sample without disturb the stream sediment and collect representative results.

Salinity

4.3.12. A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0-70 ppt shall be provided for measuring salinity of the water at each of monitoring location.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

MONITORING METHODOLOGY

4.3.13. Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The condition near the monitoring stations shall be observed and recorded on the data log sheet.
- (b) Check of sensors and electrodes with certified standard solutions before each use.
- (c) Wet bulb calibration for a DO meter should be carried out before measurement.
- (d) Sample would be taken using bucket sampler at surface level.
- (e) Transfer the sampled water carefully into cleaned water bottles (2x 1000ml) provided by the laboratory at the spot after the collection of the water sample for the subsequent laboratory Suspended Solid testing.
- (f) Transfer the sampled water from the bucket sampler to the rinsed water container for in-situ measurement (In case of the in-situ measurement cannot be carried at spot due to safety and adverse weather condition, sampled water from the bucket sampler will be transfer to cleaned water bottles provided by laboratory. Then, In-situ measurement will be conducted at a safe location which sampled water inside cleaned water bottle will be transfer to the rinsed water container for in-situ measurement) In-situ measurement shall be measured in duplicate.
- (g) Parameters including Water Temperature (°C), pH (units), Salinity (ppt), DO (mg/L), DO saturation (%) will be measured by the Multifunctional Meter and Turbidity (NTU) will be measured by turbid meter. (Water Temperature and Salinity will be measured as reference parameters)
- (h) Record the result on the data log sheet and record any special finding during / after in-situ measurement.
- (i) The water sample bottles will be stored in a cool box (at cooled to 4°C without being frozen), which shall be delivered to HOKLAS laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) for further testing to determine the level of SS.

4.3.14. Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The responses of sensors and electrodes of the water quality monitoring equipment were cleaned and checked at regular intervals.
- (b) DO meter (Multifunctional Meter) and turbid meter was certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme, and subsequently re-calibrated at three monthly intervals.

4.3.15. Brand and model of the equipment are given in **Table 4.8**.

Table 4.8 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and model	Series Number
Multifunctional Meter	YSI Professional Plus	14M100277 17F100236
Turbid meter	Xin Rui WGZ-3B	1309192 1801077

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

4.3.16. The calibration certificates of the water quality monitoring equipment are attached in **Appendix 4.2**.

LABORATORY MEASUREMENT / ANALYSIS

4.3.17. Analysis of suspended solids has been carried out in a HOKLAS accredited laboratory, which is ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

4.3.18. The Action and Limit levels for construction water quality are defined in **Table 4.9** and <u>Appendix 4.1</u>. Should the monitoring results of the water quality parameters at any designated monitoring station exceed the water quality criteria, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in <u>Appendix 6.1</u> shall be carried out.

Table 4.9 Action and Limit Level for Water Quality Monitoring

Monitoring	Surfa	се рН	Surface DO Surface		Surface SS				
Station	on		(m	(mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		(mg/L)	
	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	
	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	
E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
F	Beyond the range of 6.6-8.4	Beyond the range of 6.5-8.5	5.8	5.5	24.4	32.7	17.0	23.8	
н	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
I	Beyond the range of 6.6-8.4	Beyond the range of 6.5-8.5	5.5	5.4	206.9	214.2	172.8	201.4	

^{*}Remarks:

The value of 1.0mg/L was taken as the value for measurement with suspended solid level of <1.0mg/L for Action and Limit level calculation.

It is recommended that upstream monitoring station (monitoring station E and H) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only. Action and limit level would not be establish using the baseline data.

am

Lam Environmental Services Limited

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

5. Monitoring Results

- 5.0.1 The environmental monitoring will be implemented based on the division of works areas of each designed projects. Overall layout showing work areas and monitoring stations is shown in <u>Figure 2.1</u> and Figure 4.1 4.6 respectively.
- 5.0.2 The environment monitoring schedules for reporting month and coming month are presented in **Appendix 5.1.**

5.1 Noise Monitoring Results

- 5.1.1 All noise monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 5.1.2 On 4, 12 and 18 March 2019 at NMC03, the average noise level was greater than the limit level, but after considering the baseline level, the construction noise level calculated was lower than the limit level. Therefore, no action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 5.1.3 There was no examination period for NMC01 during the reporting period.
- 5.1.4 Noise monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized.
 Details of noise monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in <u>Appendix</u>
 5.2.


5.2 Air Monitoring Results

- 5.2.1 All 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 5.2.2 No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 5.2.3 Air quality monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized.

 Details of air monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in **Appendix 5.3**.

5.3 Water Quality Monitoring Results

- 5.3.1 All water quality monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month.
- 5.3.2 No water can be collected at Station E on 1, 4, 6, 18 and 27 March 2019 as the station was dried out during the monitoring scheduled in the reporting month.
 - Four (4) suspend solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 15, 18, 22 and 25 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.



Four (4) turbidity limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 18, 22, 25 and 27 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.

Two (2) pH limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 18 and 20 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.

One (1) suspended solid action level exceedance was recorded at Station F on 15 March 2019. After investigation, the exceedance was concluded as non-project related.

One (1) pH, one (1) turbidity and one (1) suspended solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 25 March 2019. After investigation, the exceedance was concluded as non-project related.

5.3.3 Water quality monitoring results measured in this reporting period are reviewed and summarized. Details of water quality monitoring results and graphical presentation can be referred in **Appendix 5.4**.

5.4 Waste Management

5.4.1 The quantities of waste for disposal in the Reporting Period are summarized in **Table 5.1** and **Table 5.2**. The Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in <u>Appendix 5.5</u>. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 5.1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

Waste Type	Quantity (this month)	Quantity (Project commencement to the end of last month) Cumulative Quantity-to-Date		Disposal Location		
Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete (Inert) (in '000m3)	rge Broken ncrete (Inert)		Broken 0 0 0		0	Nil
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000m3)	ract (Inert) 0		0	Nil		
Reused in other Projects (Inert) (in '000m3)			0	Nil		
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000m3)	0.672	1.052	1.724	TKO137		

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

Table 5.2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

Waste Type	Quantity (this month)	Quantity (Project commencement to the end of last month)	Cumulative Quantity-to-Date	Disposal Location
Metals (in '000kg)	0.001	0.025	0.026	Nil (waste recycle was arranged)
Paper / Cardboard Packing (in '000kg)	0.084	0.341	0.425	Nil (waste recycle was arranged)
Plastics (in '000kg)	0.002	0.032	0.034	Nil (waste recycle was arranged)
Chemical Wastes (in '000kg)	0	0	0	Nil
General Refuses (in '000m3)	0.005	0.012	0.017	SENT

am

Lam Environmental Services Limited

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

6. Compliance Audit

- 6.0.1. The Event Action Plan for construction noise, air quality and water quality are presented in *Appendix 6.1*.
- 6.0.2. The summary of exceedance is presented in *Appendix* 6.2.
- 6.1 Noise Monitoring
- 6.1.1 No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 6.2 Air Quality Monitoring
- 6.2.1 No action or limit level exceedance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 6.3 Water Quality Monitoring
- 6.3.1 No water can be collected at Station E on 1, 4, 6, 18 and 27 March 2019 as the station was dried out during the monitoring scheduled in the reporting month.
- 6.3.2 Four (4) suspend solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 15, 18, 22 and 25 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.
 - Four (4) turbidity limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 18, 22, 25 and 27 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.
 - Two (2) pH limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 18 and 20 February 2019. After investigation, the exceedances were concluded as non-project related.
 - One (1) suspended solid action level exceedance was recorded at Station F on 15 March 2019. After investigation, the exceedance was concluded as non-project related.
 - One (1) pH, one (1) turbidity and one (1) suspended solid limit level exceedances were recorded at Station F on 25 March 2019. After investigation, the exceedance was concluded as non-project related.
- 6.4 Review of the Reasons for and the Implications of Non-compliance
- 6.4.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month.
- 6.5 Summary of action taken in the event of and follow-up on non-compliance
- 6.5.1 There was no particular action taken since no non-compliance was recorded in the reporting period.

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

7. Environmental Site Audit

- 7.0.1. Within this reporting month, weekly environmental site audits were conducted on 7, 14, 21 and 28 March 2019. IEC attended the joint site inspection on 7 March 2019.
- 7.0.2. No non-compliance was found during the site inspection while reminders on environmental measures were recommended. Results and findings of these inspections in this reporting month are listed below in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Summary of Environmental Inspections

Date		Item	Reminder(s)/ Observation(s)	Action taken by Contractor	Outcome
21-3-20	19	20190321_01	The contractor was reminded to clear the U-channel at RIW3 regularly to maintain the function of it.	U-channel has been cleared.	Completion as observed on 28 Mar 2019.

- 7.0.3. Within this reporting month, biweekly landscape site audits were conducted on 14 and 27 March 2019.
- 7.0.4. No non-compliance was found during the site inspection while reminders on environmental measures were recommended. Results and findings of these inspections in this reporting month are listed below in Table 7.2.

Table 7.2 Summary of Landscape Inspections

Date	Item	Reminder(s)/ Observation(s)	Action taken by Contractor	Outcome
27-3-2019	20190327_01	Horticultural debris and fallen tree (R-T01390(F)) should be removed from the site.	Pending for contractor's follow up action	Pending for contractor's follow up action

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

8. Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecution

- 8.0.1. No environmental complaint was received in the reporting period.
- 8.0.2. The details of cumulative complaint log and updated summary of complaints are presented in **Appendix 8.1**.
- 8.0.3. Cumulative statistic on complaints and successful prosecutions are summarized in **Table 8.1** and **Table 8.2** respectively.

Table 8.1 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints

Reporting Period	No. of Complaints	
March 2019	0	
Project commencement to the end of February 2019	0	
Total	0	

Table 8.2 Cumulative Statistics on Successful Prosecutions

Environmental Parameters	Cumulative No. Brought Forward	No. of Successful Prosecutions this month (Offence Date)	Cumulative No. Project-to-Date
Air	-	0	0
Noise	-	0	0
Water	-	0	0
Waste	-	0	0
Total	-	0	0



Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site Road Improvement Works Monthly EM&A Report (March 2019)

9. Conclusion

- 9.0.1. The EM&A programme was carried out in accordance with the EM&A Manual requirements, minor alterations to the programme proposed were made in response to changing circumstances.
- 9.0.2. The performance of the environmental management system of the previous three months (quarter) was generally satisfied. Mitigation measures according to the environmental mitigation implementation schedule and the EIA were generally implemented by the Contractor. Hence, the EM&A programme was considered effective and shall be maintained. The status of the water quality station shall be kept in view, as station E usually was dried out.
- 9.0.3. The scheduled construction activities and the recommended mitigation measures for the coming 2 months are listed in **Table 9.1**. The construction programmes of the Project are provided in **Appendix 9.1**.

Table 9.1 Construction Activities and Recommended Mitigation Measures in Coming Reporting 2 Months

Key Construction Works		Recommended Mitigation Measures		
•	Setup Temporary Traffic Arrangement	•	Dust control during dust generating works;	
	(TTA) on the road (all area);	•	Implementation of proper noise pollution control;	
•	Erect hoarding and construct haul		and	
	road at RIW1, RIW2 and RIW3;	•	Provision of protection to ensure no runoff out of	
•	Aquilaria Sinensis root pruning at		site area or direct discharge into public drainage	
	Portion B;		system.	
•	Socketed H-pile works at PC-E11			
ELS works for footing construction at				
	PC-System A;			
•	Excavate works for footing			
construction at BBI Public Toilet				
Tree felling works and tree transplant				
	works at RIW1, RIW2, RIW3,			
•	PC-E8;			
•	Utilities mapping on RIW3.			

Figure 2.1

Project Layout



Figure 2.2

Project Organization Chart

Project Organization Chart






Figure 4.1 to Figure 4.6

Locations of Monitoring Stations




Monitoring Location ID	Description
Noise Monitoring	Station (Construction Phase)
NMC01	Kei Shun Special School
NMC02	Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters Block 6
NMC03	Sienna Garden Block 6

Figure 4.1
Location of Noise Monitoring Station
(Construction Phase)
(for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)




Monitoring Location ID	Description
NMC04	Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House
NMC05	Hong Wah Court Block B Yee Hong House

Figure 4.2
Location of Noise Monitoring Station
(Construction Phase)
(for Road Improvement Work 3)




Monitoring Station ID	EIA ID	Location					
NCWBR RIW							
NCWBR_AMS-1	_AMS-1 ASLF-1 Shun Lee Fire Station						
NCWBR_AMS-2	ASLE-21	Shun Lee Estate Lee Hang House					
NCWBR_AMS-3	ASLD-10	Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters (Block 6)					
NCWBR_AMS-4	AFNS-3	Sienna Garden					
NCWBR_AMS-5	ASCC-05	Shun Chi Court Shun Fung House					

Figure 4.3
Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
(for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)




Monitoring Station ID	EIA ID	Location				
LTR RIW						
LTR_AMS-1	R_AMS-1 ASECP-2 St Edward's Catholic Primary School					
LTR_AMS-2	R_AMS-2 AEPD-01 Environmental Protection Dep Restored Landfill Site O					
LTR_AMS-3	APTE-14	Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House				

Figure 4.4
Location of Air Quality Monitoring Station
(for Road Improvement Work 3)




Inland Water	Stations	Description
Channelized	Е	Upstream
nullah across the		Control Station
Project site	F	Downstream
		Impact Station

Figure 4.5
Location of Water Quality Monitoring Station
(for Road Improvement Work 1 & 2)



Inland Water	Stations	Description
Ma Yau Tong	Н	Upstream
Stream		Control Station
	I	Downstream
		Impact Station

Location of Water Quality Monitoring Station (for Road Improvement Work 3)



Appendix 3.1

Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule

APPENDIX C - IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OF MITIGATION MEASURES

Introduction

This chapter presents the implementation schedule of mitigation measures for the Project. **Table C.1** summarizes the details of the recommended mitigation measures for all works areas. For each recommended mitigation measure, both the location and timing for the mitigation measures have clearly been identified as well as the parties responsible for implementing the mitigation measures and for maintenance (where applicable).

Table C.1 Implementation Schedule of Mitigation Measures

	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Location of the	Implementation	Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾				Relevant
EIA Ref.		Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
Air Quality	Impact (Construction Phase)							
4.7.1	Hourly watering with intensity of 0.0455 L/m ² (tentatively) on the active construction area so as to achieve a dust removal efficiency of 87.5%.	Active works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM, AQOs
4.7.2	To minimize the dust impact to the surrounding ASRs, dust suppression measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be incorporated to control dust emission from the site. Major control measures relevant to this Project are listed below, and they are recommended to be included in relevant contract documents.	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		√			Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
	 Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; 							
	 Any dusty material remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; 							
	 A stockpile of dusty material should not extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; 							
	 The load of dusty materials on a vehicles leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak form the vehicle; 							

		Location of the	Implementation	Impl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	 Where practicable, vehicles washing facilities including a high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; 							
	 When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; 							
	 The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; 							
	 Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; 							
	 Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet; 							
	 Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding; 							
	 Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; 							
	 Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the three sides; 							

	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Location of the	Implementation	Impl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.		Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	 Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed; and 							
	 Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shortcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. 							
Air Quality	Impact (Operational Phase)	1				I		1
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					N/A
Noise Impa	act (Construction Phase)							
5.8.1 – 5.8.4	Adoption of Quiet PMEs To reduce the noise impacts at the affected NSRs during normal daytime working hours, mitigation measures such as adopting quiet PME and construction noise barriers are recommended.	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		√			EIAO-TM
	Construction Noise Barriers							
	To alleviate the construction noise impact on the affected NSRs, construction noise barriers or enclosures would be erected to provide screening from the construction plant.							
Noise Impa	act (Operational Phase)					•		
5.8.5	Direct mitigation measures in the form of Vertical Noise Barriers, Cantilevered Noise Barriers, Semi-Enclosures and Full Enclosures are proposed on the Project Roads such that the noise level would be reduced to fulfil the EIAO requirements for RIW sites at:	Project roads	CEDD/Contractor			✓		EIAO-TM
	Sau Mau Ping Road and Lin Tak Road,							
	J/O Clear Water Bay Road and On Sau Road and							
	New Clear Water Bay Road and Shun Lee Tsuen Road							

	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Location of the	Implementation	Imp	lementa	tion St	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.		Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	•							
Water Qua	lity Impact (Construction Phase)	1	1					1
6.9.1 -	Construction Site Run-off and General Construction Activities	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			ProPECC PN 1/94
6.9.13	Boring and Drilling Water							Construction Site Drainage
	 Water used in ground boring and drilling for site investigation or rock / soil anchoring should as far as practicable be re-circulated after sedimentation. When there is a need for final disposal, the wastewater should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. 							TM-DSS Water Pollution
	Wheel Washing Water							Control Ordinance
	 All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before they leave a construction site to minimize the deposition of earth, mud, debris on roads. A wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit if practicable and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out or removed before discharging into storm drains. The section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfill to reduce vehicle tracking of soil and to prevent site run-off from entering public road drains. 							
	Rubbish and Litter							
	 Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the site area. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. 							
	Construction Site Run-off							
	 The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed as far as practicable to minimise surface run-off and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impact. 							
	Surface run-off from construction sites should be discharged into storm drains via adequately designed sand/silt removal facilities							

	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.		Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation basins. Channels or earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to properly direct stormwater to such silt removal facilities. Perimeter channels at site boundaries should be provided on site boundaries where necessary to intercept storm run-off from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site. Catchpits and perimeter channels should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks.							
	 Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes should be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to prevent local flooding. Any practical options for the diversion and re-alignment of drainage should comply with both engineering and environmental requirements in order to provide adequate hydraulic capacity of all drains. Minimum distance of 100m should be maintained between the discharge points of construction site run-off and the existing saltwater intakes. No effluent will be discharged into typhoon shelter. 							
	• Construction works should be programmed to minimize soil excavation works in rainy seasons (April to September). If excavation in soil cannot be avoided in these months or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, for the purpose of preventing soil erosion, temporary exposed slope surfaces should be covered e.g. by tarpaulin, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Intercepting channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest / edge of excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place in such a way that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm.							
	 Earthworks final surfaces should be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms. Appropriate drainage like intercepting channels should be provided where necessary. 							
	 Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of rainwater into trenches. If excavation of trenches in wet seasons is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections. Rainwater 							

	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.		Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	 pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. Construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill material) on sites should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and to prevent storm run-off from getting into foul sewers. Discharge of surface run-off into foul sewers must always be prevented in order not to unduly overload the foul sewerage system. Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the site area. It is recommended to clean the 							
	construction sites on a regular basis. Site Effluent There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge licence for							
	discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent for other on-site activities such as dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning etc., can minimise water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO licence which is under the ambit of regional office (RO) of EPD.							
6.9.14 - 6.9.16	Accidental Spillage and Potential Contamination of Surface Water and Groundwater Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			Waste Disposal Ordinance
	wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations							Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste)

		Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	ige ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes.							(General) Regulation
	 Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. 							The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes
	 Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance details the requirements to deal with chemical wastes. General requirements are given as follows: 							
	 Suitable containers should be used to hold the chemical wastes to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport; 							
	 Chemical waste containers should be suitably labelled, to notify and warn the personnel who are handling the wastes, to avoid accidents; and 							
	 Storage area should be selected at a safe location on site and adequate space should be allocated to the storage area. 							
6.9.17 -	Sewage Effluent from Construction Workforce	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			Water Pollution
6.9.18	 The construction workforce on site will generate sewage. It is recommended to provide sufficient chemical toilets in the works areas. A licensed waste collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. 							Control Ordinance
	 Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the surrounding environment. Regular environmental audit of the construction site will provide an effective control of any malpractices and can encourage continual improvement of environmental performance on site. It is anticipated that sewage generation during the construction phase of the project would not cause water 							

		Location of the	s Agent Des C O D	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant			
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	pollution problem after undertaking all required measures.							
6.9.19	Construction Works in Close Proximity of Inland Waters The practices outlined in ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 "Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works" should also be adopted where applicable to minimize the water quality impacts upon any natural streams or surface water systems. Relevant mitigation measures from the ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 are listed below:	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			Water Pollution Control Ordinance
	 Construction works close to the inland waters should be carried out in dry season as far as practicable where the flow in the surface channel or stream is low. 							
	The use of less or smaller construction plants may be specified in areas close to the water courses to reduce the disturbance to the surface water.							
	 Temporary storage of materials (e.g. equipment, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction materials should be located well away from any water courses during carrying out of the construction works. 							
	 Stockpiling of construction materials and dusty materials should be covered and located away from any water courses. 							
	 Construction debris and spoil should be covered up and/or disposed of as soon as possible to avoid being washed into the nearby water receivers. 							
	 Proper shoring may need to be erected in order to prevent soil or mud from slipping into the watercourses. 							
Water Qua	lity Impact (Operational Phase)							
6.9.20 - 6.9.23	Best Management Practices (BMPs) to reduce storm water and non-point source pollution have been proposed for the RIW as follows:	All works areas	CEDD/HyD	✓		✓		Water Pollution Control Ordinance
	Design Measures							
	Exposed surface shall be avoided within the RIW sites to minimize soil erosion. The development site shall be either hard paved or							

		Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion St	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	covered by landscaping area where appropriate.							
	 The streams and channelized nullahs near the RIW sites will be retained to maintain the original flow path. The drainage system will be designed to avoid flooding. 							
	 Green areas / tree / shrub planting etc. will be introduced along roadside amenity strips and central dividers as far as possible, which can help to reduce soil erosion. 							
	Evergreen trees species, which in general generate relatively smaller amount of fallen leaves, should be selected where possible.							
	Devices/ Facilities to Control Pollution							
	 Screening facilities such as standard gully grating and trash grille, with spacing which is capable of screening off large substances such as fallen leaves and rubbish should be provided at the inlet of drainage system. 							
	 Road gullies with standard design and silt traps and oil interceptors should be incorporated during the detailed design to remove particles present in stormwater runoff, where appropriate. 							
	Administrative Measures							
	 Good management measures such as regular cleaning and sweeping of road surface/ open areas are suggested. The road surface/ open area cleaning should also be carried out prior to occurrence rainstorm. 							
	 Manholes, as well as stormwater gullies, ditches provided at the Project sites should be regularly inspected and cleaned (e.g. monthly). Additional inspection and cleansing should be carried out before forecast heavy rainfall. 							
Waste Mana	agement Implication (Construction Phase)							
7.6.1 – 7.6.3	Good Site Practices	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			Waste Disposal Ordinance
	 Appropriate waste handling, transportation and disposal methods for all waste arising generated during the construction works for the Project should be implemented to ensure that construction wastes do not enter the nearby streams or drainage channel. 							DEVB TCW No. 6/2010, ETWB
	It is anticipated that adverse impacts would not arise on the							TCW No. 19/2005

EIA Def		Location of the	e Implementation	lmpl	Implementation Stag			
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	construction site, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:							
	 Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility. 							
	 Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures. 							
	 Provision of sufficient waste reception/ disposal points, of a suitable vermin-proof design that minimises windblown litter. 							
	 Arrangement for regular collection of waste for transport off- site and final disposal. 							
	 Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers. 							
	 Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors. 							
	 A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed. 							
	 A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 for details. 							
	 In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at landfills and public filling areas, as appropriate, and to control fly tipping, a trip- ticket system should be included as one of the contractual requirements to be implemented by an Environmental Team undertaking the Environmental Monitoring and Audit work. One may take reference to DEVB TCW No.6/2010 for details. 							
7.6.4 – 7.6.5	Waste Reduction Measures Good management and control of construction site activities/	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor	✓	✓			Waste Disposal Ordinance

		Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	processes can minimise the generation of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:							ETWB TCW No. 19/2005
	 Segregate and store different types of construction related waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. 							
	 Provide separate labelled bins to segregate recyclable waste such as aluminium cans from other general refuse generated by the work force, and to encourage collection by individual collectors. 							
	 Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled. 							
	 Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D materials. 							
	 Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill. 							
	 Adopt proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage to, or contamination of, construction materials. 							
	 Plan the delivery and stock of construction materials carefully to minimise the amount of waste generated. 							
	 Minimize over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering. 							
	In addition to the above measures, other specific mitigation measures are recommended below to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of wastes.							
7.6.6 – 7.6.8	Construction and Demolition Materials The CSD materials generated from site glearance, demolition of	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			Waste Disposal Ordinance
	The C&D materials generated from site clearance, demolition of existing roads, slope excavation works, and construction of new							Waste Disposal

		Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	ige ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	roads, retaining wall and piling works should be sorted on-site into inert C&D materials (that is, public fill) and C&D waste. To minimise the impact resulting from collection and transportation of C&D							(Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation
	materials as far as practicable. C&D waste, such as wood, plastic, steel and other metals should be reused or recycled and, as a last resort, disposed to landfill. A suitable area should be designated within the site for temporary stockpiling of C&D materials and to facilitate the sorting process. Within the stockpile areas, the following measures should be taken to control potential environmental impacts or nuisance:							Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) - Public Cleansing and Prevention of
	 Waste such as soil should be handled and stored well to ensure secure containment; 							Nuisances Regulation
	- Covering material during heavy rainfall;							Land
	 Stockpiling area should be provided with covers and water spraying system to prevent materials from wind-blown or being washed away; 							(Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance
	- Locating stockpiles to minimise potential visual impacts; and							Code of Practice on the Packaging,
	- Minimising land intake of stockpile areas as far as possible.							Labelling and
	General Refuse							Storage of Chemical Wastes
	 General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separate from C&D materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from C&D materials. An enclosed and covered area is preferred to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material. 							Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes
	<u>Chemical Wastes</u>							
	• If chemical wastes were to be produced at the construction site, the Contractor would be required to register with the EPD as a Chemical Waste Producer, and to follow the guidelines stated in the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the							

		Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	corresponding chemical characteristics of the waste such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor shall use a licensed collector to transport the chemical wastes. The licensed collector shall deliver the waste to the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi, or other licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.							
Waste Mar	nagement Implication (Operational Phase)							
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Land Cont	amination (Construction Phase)							
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Land Cont	amination (Operational Phase)			•				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Ecological	Impact (Terrestrial) (Construction Phase)			•				
9.13.2- 9.13.5	Measures to Avoid/ Minimize Impacts to Flora Species of Conservation Importance Within the Project Site boundary, two flora species of conservation importance (Incense Tree and Luofushan Joint-fir) would be subject to direct impacts. A detailed vegetation survey should be conducted by a qualified ecologist / botanist within the Project Site boundary.	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		√			EIAO-TM
	• A Transplantation Proposal should be prepared by a qualified ecologist / botanist with detailed findings of the vegetation survey (i.e. number and locations of the affected individuals, assessment of the suitability and / or practicality of the transplantation) and locations of receptor site(s), transplantation methodology, implementation programme of transplantation, post-transplantation monitoring and maintenance programme. The proposal should be submitted to and approved by AFCD prior to commencement of any works (including ground investigation. The approved transplantation works should be supervised by a qualified botanist / horticulturist / Certified Arborist with relevant experience in transplantation, a 3-year monitoring and maintenance programme							

		Location of the Implementation		lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	of the transplanted species should be conducted to ensure the establishment of the transplanted trees. • Hoarding or fencing should be erected around the works areas during the construction phase to restrict access, to adjacent habitats supporting flora species of conservation importance, by site workers and to reduce human disturbance.							
9.13.6- 9.13.8	Measures to Avoid/ Minimize Habitat Loss to Woodland and Plantation Habitat loss could be avoided in the first instance by retaining existing vegetation wherever possible, particularly mature and semi-mature trees present within the works areas. Any trees retained should be adequately protected during construction phase to promote their health and longevity. Areas which would be temporarily affected by construction activities (i.e. slope works) should be reinstated after completing the construction works. Hoarding or fencing should be erected around the works areas during construction phase to restrict access to natural habitats adjacent to works areas by site workers.	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor	*	·			EIAO-TM
9.13.9- 9.13.12	 Measures to Minimise Disturbance from Construction Activities Construction dust should be suppressed to avoid and minimize the dust covering leaves of plants that would affect their photosynthesis, and thus their health and growth: Regular spraying of haul roads. Proper storage of construction materials. Covering trucks or transporting wastes in enclosed containers to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste. Noise impact during construction phase should be avoided and minimized to reduce the disturbance to the habitats adjacent to the works areas: Machines and plant (e.g. trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. Machines and plants known to emit strong directional noise 	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM

		Location of the	Implementation	Agent Des C O Dec	Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾			Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby habitats.							
	 Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities. 							
	 Using Quiet Mechanical Plant (QMP) to limit noise emissions at source. 							
	 QMP and other machines and plants (e.g. air compressors, concrete pumps) should be covered by noise enclosure to further reduce noise impact. 							
	Through night-time lighting control during construction phase, glare disturbance to wildlife would be controlled.							
9.13.13	Measures to Minimise Pollution to Watercourses	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		√			EIAO-TM
	 Good site practices should be adopted to avoid any pollution from entering the watercourses. Practices to minimize surface runoff and to reduce suspended solid levels should be undertaken. 							
	 Drainage arrangements should include sediment traps to collect and control construction run-off. 							
	 All works and storage area should be restricted to the site boundary. 							
	 General refuse and construction wastes should be collected and disposed of in a timely and appropriate manner. 							
	 Regular check of the construction boundary to avoid unmitigated impacts imposed on nearby watercourse. 							
Ecological	I Impact (Terrestrial) (Operational Phase)		1			l	I	l
9.13.14	Measures to Minimize Impacts from Noise Barriers	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor			✓		EIAO-TM
	 During the operational phase, the road networks and associated noise barriers may result in bird collision and mortality. Mitigation measures such as use of tinted materials and superimposing dark patterns or strips on the barrier, as per EPD / Highways Department requirements would be employed to minimise incidents 							

		Location of the Implementation			lementa	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant	
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures Agent		Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	of mortality from collision.							
Landscape	e and Visual (Construction Phase)							
10.10.4 (Table 10.9)	All existing trees to be retained shall be carefully protected during construction.	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor	*	✓			DEVB TC (W) No.10/2013
10.10.4	Tree Transplantation	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor	✓	✓			ETWB TCW No.
(Table 10.9)	Detailed transplanting proposal will be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 29/2004,							29/2004 DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015
	DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 and "Guidelines on Tree Transplanting", GLTMS of DEVB.							Guidelines on Tree Transplanting, GLTMS of DEVB
10.10.4	Erection of decorative screen hoarding for reducing visual impacts	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor		✓			EIAO-TM
(Table 10.9)								
10.10.4	Measures to avoid / minimize impacts to flora species of conservation	All works areas	CEDD/Contractor	✓	✓			EIAO-TM
(Table 10.9)	importance.							
Landscape	e and Visual (Operational Phase)							
10.10.4 (Table	Compensatory tree planting for loss of existing trees (Compensation for loss of road side amenity)	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD	√	✓	✓		DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015
10.10)			Operational Stage – HyD/LCSD					GEO publication No. 1/2011
10.10.4 (Table	Compensatory woodland planting	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage -	✓	✓	~		DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015
10.10)			CEDD Operational Stage – HyD/ArchSD					GEO publication No. 1/2011

		Location of the	Implementation	lmp	lementa	ation Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Compensatory shrub mix planting	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD	✓	√	✓		DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 GEO publication No. 1/2011
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Hydro-seeding planting with shrub seed mix	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD	✓	✓	✓		DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 GEO publication No. 1/2011
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Tall buffer advance screen tree / shrub / climber planting	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD	✓	√	✓		DEVB TC (W) No.7/2015 GEO publication No. 1/2011
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Planting of road verges, central divider and around structures	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - HyD, LCSD	✓	✓	✓		ETWB(W) No. 2/2004 Subject to ACABAS approval
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Reinstate modified watercourse	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - DSD	✓	✓	√		EIAO-TM
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Provision of visually pleasing aesthetic treatment on noise barriers (with climbers provided if space available) and enclosures	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - HyD	✓	✓	✓		ETWB(W) No. 2/2004 Subject to ACABAS approval
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Hard Landscape Treatment Carriageway, Structures and Roadside Furniture (for example, pleasing aesthetic finishing of retaining wall)	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD	√	√	√		ETWB(W) No. 10/2005 Subject to

		Location of the	Implementation	Imp	lementa	ation Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
			Operational Stage – HyD/LCSD/ArchSD					ACABAS approval
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Planting of toe planters for slope enhancement	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage - LCSD	✓	√	✓		EIAO-TM GEO publication No. 1/2011
10.10.4 (Table 10.10)	Planting of berm planters/ planting strips for slope enhancement	All works areas	Design and Construction Stage - CEDD Operational Stage – HyD	√	√	√		EIAO-TM GEO publication No. 1/2011
Landfill Ga	s Hazard (Construction Phase)							
11.9.2 - 11.9.4	 Contractors shall note the possible presence of landfill gas in the ground (even if it is unlikely) and shall take this into account in the design, construction of the proposed works. A Safety Officer or an appropriately qualified person, trained in the use of gas detection equipment, landfill gas related hazards and the appropriate actions to take in the event of adverse circumstances, shall be present on site throughout the works, in particular, when works are undertaken below ground. The contractor shall take cognizance of the presence of surface water and leachate management system and landfill gas management systems near the proposed works area. The contractor shall take all reasonable care to avoid any damage, loss, injury, interruption or impairment of the integrity of the landfill facilities within the works limits, storage area and across road area. The contractor shall also liaise and seek EPD and their landfill contractor – Hong Kong Landfill Restoration Group Limited (HKLRG) agreement on site arrangement before carrying out the proposed work. 	Works areas within landfill consultation zones	CEDD/Contractor		*	•		EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note
11.9.5 - 11.9.11	Safety Measures The contractor shall be aware of, and inform all workers accordingly, that methane and carbon dioxide is always likely to be	Works areas within landfill consultation zones	CEDD/Contractor		✓			EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment

		Location of the	Implementation	lmpl	ementa	tion Sta	age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant	
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines	
	 Present in the soil voids. All personnel working on site and all visitors to the site be informed of the nearby landfill site and the possibility of landfill gas in the vicinity of the proposed works area. Safety warning notices shall be posted. No worker shall be allowed to work alone at any time inside the trenches or joint bays or near to any excavation. At least one other worker shall be available to assist in a rescue in an emergency case. Smoking and naked flames shall be strictly prohibited within the site or confined space if any. 'No Smoking' and 'No Naked Flame' notices shall be posted prominently at the site entrance and other conspicuous locations. All electrical equipment, such as motors and extension cords, shall be intrinsically safe. Adequate safely equipment shall be available at all times. This includes but is not limited to fire extinguishing equipment, breathing apparatus and personal protective equipment. In the event of working inside a confined space is required, sufficient approved resuscitation equipment, breathing apparatus and safety torches shall be available. Persons involved in or supervising such work shall be trained and practiced for the use of such equipment. A permit-to-work system for entry into confined space shall be established by an approved qualified person and consistently enforced. All relevant Ordinances, Legislations, Guidelines and Codes of Practice pertaining to work in confined space must be strictly adhered to. 							Guidance Note Labour Department's Code of Practice for Safety and Health at Work in Confined Space	
11.9.12- 11.9.16	Monitoring The works area shall be monitored periodically during construction for the presence of methane, carbon dioxide and oxygen using gas detection equipment. The gas detection equipment shall be an intrinsically safe portable instrument, appropriately calibrated and capable of measuring the following gases in the ranges indicated below: — Methane 0 – 100% LEL and 0 – 100% v/v;	Works areas within landfill consultation zones	CEDD/Contractor		*			EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard Assessment Guidance Note	

		Location of the	Implementation	Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾			age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant
EIA Ref.	Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	C	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines
	− Carbon dioxide 0 − 100%; and							
	− Oxygen 0 − 21%.							
	During construction, monitoring of excavations shall be undertaken as follows:							
	For excavation deeper than 1 m, measurements shall be made:							
	 At the ground surface before excavation commences; 							
	 Immediately before any worker enters an excavation; 							
	 At the beginning of each working day for the entire period the excavation remains open; and 							
	 Periodically through the working day whilst workers are in the excavation. 							
	For excavation between 300 mm and 1 m deep, measurements shall be made:							
	 Directly after the excavation has been completed; and 							
	 Periodically whilst the excavation remains open. 							
	 For excavation less than 300 mm, monitoring may be omitted at the discretion of the Safety Officer or other appropriate qualified person. 							
	The monitoring frequency and area to be monitored shall be set down prior to commencement of ground works either by the Safety Officer or by an appropriately qualified person.							
	 Monitoring should be undertaken by the Safety Officer or by an appropriately qualified person. The monitoring results shall be recorded and kept on site and shall be readily available at all times for inspection by the relevant authority. 							
	 Depending upon the results of measurements, actions will vary. Actions shall be set down by the Safety Officer or other appropriately qualified person prior to commencement of occupancy of the proposed works area. 							

			Location of the	Implementation	Implementation Stage ⁽¹⁾			age ⁽¹⁾	Relevant		
EIA Ref.		Recommeded Mitigation Measures	Measures	Agent	Des	С	0	Dec	Legislation and Guidelines		
11.10.2 – 11.10.3	•	The presence of landfill gas should be assumed at all times by maintenance workers.	Works areas within landfill consultation	Maintenance contractor/Utility			✓		EPD's Landfill Gas Hazard		
•	•	All maintenance workers inspecting any manhole should be fully trained in the issue of landfill gas hazard.	zones	companies					Assessment Guidance Note		
	•	Any manhole which is large enough to permit to access to personnel should be subject to safe entry procedures.							Labour Department's		
	•	Working in confined spaces is controlled by the Factories and Industrial Undertakings (Confined Spaces) Regulations of the Factories and Industrial Undertakings Ordinance. Following the Code of Practice on Safety and Health at Work in Confined Spaces (Labour Department, Hong Kong) maintains compliance with the above regulations.							Code of Practice for Safety and Health at Work in Confined Space		
	•	A strictly regulated "work permit procedure" should be implemented and the relevant safety procedures must be rigidly followed.									
	•	Adequate communication with maintenance staff should be maintained with respect to landfill gas hazard.									
	•	Utility companies should undertake a landfill gas surveillance exercise at the utility manholes/inspection chambers.									
	•	Undertaken using an intrinsically safe portable instrument, appropriately calibrated and capable of measuring the following gases in the ranges indicated:									
		 Methane 0 − 100% LEL and 0 − 100% v/v; 									
		− Carbon dioxide 0 − 100%; and									
		− Oxygen 0 − 21%.									
	•	Undertaken for the duration of the site occupancy, or until such time that EPD agrees that surveillance is no longer required.									
	•	Depending on the results of the measurements, actions required will vary and should be set down by appropriately qualified person.									

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Des = Design; C = Construction; O = Operation; Dec = Decommissioning

Appendix 4.1

Action and Limit Level

Action and Limit Level

Action and Limit Level for Noise Monitoring

		Limit Level (dB(A))					
Monitoring Station	Action Level	0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays	0700-2300 hrs on holidays (including Sundays); and 1900-2300 hrs on all days ²	2300-0700 hrs of all days ²			
NMC01		65 / 70 ¹					
NMC02	When one	hen one 75					
NMC03	documented complaint is	75	60 / 65 / 70 ³	45 / 50 / 55 ³			
NMC04	received	75					
NMC05		75					

Remark 1: Limit level of NMC01 - Kei Shun Special School reduce to 65 dB (A) during examination periods if any.

Remark 2: Construction noise during restricted hours is under the control of Noise Control Ordinance Limit Level to be selected based on Area Sensitivity Rating.

Remark 3: Limit Level for restricted hour monitoring shall act as reference level only. Investigation would be conducted on CNP compliance if exceedance recorded during restricted hour noise monitoring period.

Baseline Level for Noise Monitoring (For reference and calculation of Construction Noise Levels (CNLs))

		Baseline Level (dB(A))				
Monitoring Station	Action Level	0700-2300 hrs on holidays (including Sundays); and 1900-2300 hrs on all days		2300-0700 hrs of all days		
NMC01		69.3	69.0	66.6		
NMC02	When one	72.0	66.3	68.6		
NMC03	documented complaint is	78.2	77.9	73.8		
NMC04	received	66.6	64.0	62.1		
NMC05		61.8	59.8	57.9		

All the Construction Noise Levels (CNLs) reported in this report were adjusted with the corresponding baseline level (i.e. Measured Leq - Baseline Leq = CNL), in order to facilitate the interpretation of the noise exceedance.



Action and Limit Level for Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring Locations	1-hour TSP Level inµg/m3				
	Action Level	Limit Level			
NCWBR_AMS-1	284.4	500.0			
NCWBR_AMS-2	282.4	500.0			
NCWBR_AMS-3	287.9	500.0			
NCWBR_AMS-4	281.6	500.0			
NCWBR_AMS-5	270.0	500.0			
LTR_AMS-1	272.1	500.0			
LTR_AMS-2	281.1	500.0			
LTR_AMS-3	285.1	500.0			

Action and Limit Level for Water Monitoring

Monitoring Station	Surfa	ce pH		ce DO g/L)	Surface Turbidity (NTU)		Surface SS (mg/L)	
	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit	Action	Limit
	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level	Level
E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Beyond	Beyond						
F	the range	the range	5.8	5.5	24.4	32.7	17.0	23.8
	of 6.6-8.4	of 6.5-8.5						
Н	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Beyond	Beyond						
I	the range	the range	5.5	5.4	206.9	214.2	172.8	201.4
	of 6.6-8.4	of 6.5-8.5						

*Remarks:

The value of 1.0mg/L was taken as the value for measurement with suspended solid level of <1.0mg/L for Action and Limit level calculation.

It is recommended that upstream monitoring station (monitoring station E and H) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only. Action and limit level would not be establish using the baseline data.



Appendix 4.2

Copies of Calibration Certificates



Calibration Certificate

The calibration results on this report certify that this instrument complies with the product specifications at the time of calibration. Calibration was performed according to accepted industry methods using equipment, procedures, and standards that are traceable to NIST and ISO.

Instrument Model#	Aerocet 831		Instrument Serial#	W16848	
Date of Calibration	8/3/2018		AZS	Sensor # 16574	
Darleen Best	7		A) 25		
Calibration Technicia	an		Quality Check		
Temper	ature 23.5	_ °c	Relative Humidity 4	1%	

ure: Aerocet 831-6100

PSL Size (µm)	Test Results	Test Spec.	Lot# NIST	Expiration
0.3	Pass	± 10%	183039	03/31/2020
0.5	Pass	± 10%	180556	02/28/2020
1.0	Pass	± 10%	169240	5/31/2019
2.5	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
4.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
5.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
7.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
10.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA

Standards	Model	SN	Cal Due
Particle Counter	GT-526	M1760	10/9/2018
Flowmeter	DCL-M	103751	1/29/2019
DMM	289	32270055	9/21/2018
RH/TEMP SENSOR	083E-1-6	R20313	9/18/2018

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Met One Instruments Inc.

Test Procedure:



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME

: HK1810827 : PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

DATE OF ISSUE

CUSTOMER : LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

ADDRESS : 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO. : HK1810827 PROJECT ITEM NO. : HK1810827-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

: PARTICULATE MONITOR **MANUFACTURER** MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. BT 645 SERIAL NO. X19296 EQUIPMENT NO. RECEIPT DATE : 16/8/2018 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 16/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

CODE	Calibration Parameter	Method Procedure	Reference Method
Dust PC/CAL	Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter	CAL003	General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager)

Issue Date:

16/8/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PROJECT NAME PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

DATE OF ISSUE 16/8/2018 REPORT NO. HK1810827

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

PARTICULATE MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. BT 645 SERIAL NO. X19296 EQUIPMENT NO.

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 16/8/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER


MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO. TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp Mean Pressure (Standa (hPa)		Concentration in ug/m³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis)	
Zero Check ¹	16/8/2018,8:30:00 AM	27.8	1000	0	0	
1	16/8/2018,2:16:00 PM	27.8	1000	36	39	
2	16/8/2018,3:21:00 PM	27.8	1000	35	31	
3	16/8/2018,4:24:00 PM	27.8	1000	6	7	

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K- factor)

Correlation Coefficient Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited. 2.

Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received. 3.

Operator:	Lau, Natalie	Signature:	Local	Date:	16/8/2018

Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature: Date: 16/8/2018



Certificate of Calibration

BT-645

Particulate Monitor

Recommended calibration interval is 24 months from first day of use.

Unit Info Model:	BT-645	81865-1 Fin	rmware Rev:	1.1.0
Serial Number:	X19296		-	1.0.1
Calibrated By:	R. von Krohn		Cal. Date:	7/27/2018
Quality Inspector:	Rope		Date:	7.27-2018
Calibration Hz/μg/m³:	6.1			
Final Test				
Flow (2.0 L/M): Pa	ass	Ambi	ent T (C) <u>24.8</u> RH, % <u>3</u>	
Serial Communication: Pa	ass			
BT-645 Conc.:	6.59	Standard Conc:	412.2	2

Calibration Standards

Standards	Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal Due
DMM Multimeter	Fluke	189 Multimeter	94060816	8/28/2018
RH &TEMPERATURE	Met One Instruments	083E-1-35	R17149	July 28, 2018
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	Met One Instruments	092	P22757	April 2, 2019
Primary Flow Meter	BIOS	DC-Lite	R537	May 29, 2019
LD-3B	SIBATA	LD-3B	6X7759	Nov 17, 2018

The standards used for this calibration have accuracy equal to or greater than the instrument tested. These standards are on record and traceable to NIST to the extent allowed by the institute's calibration facility. Unless otherwise stated, all instruments are calibrated to meet the manufacturer's published specifications. The Calibration system complies with MIL-STD-45662A.



Certificate of Calibration

BT-645

Particulate Monitor

Recommended calibration interval is 24 months from first day of use.

Unit Info Model:	BT-645	81865-1 Firm	ware Rev: _	1.1.0
Serial Number:	X19297			1.0.1
Calibrated By:	R. von Krohn		Cal. Date:	7/27/2018
Quality Inspector:	Ry	Andre W	Date: _	7-27-2018
Calibration Hz/µg/m ³ :	5.8			
Final Test				
Flow (2.0 L/M): P	'ass	Ambient	t T (C) _24.8	
		1	RH, % <i>39</i>)
Serial Communication: P	ass			
BT-645 Conc.: 42	Sta Sta	andard Conc:	413.04	1

Calibration Standards

Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal Due
Fluke	189 Multimeter	94060816	8/28/2018
Met One Instruments	083E-1-35	R17149	July 28, 2018
Met One Instruments	092	P22757	April 2, 2019
BIOS	DC-Lite	R537	May 29, 2019
SIBATA	LD-3B	6X7759	Nov 17, 2018
	Fluke Met One Instruments Met One Instruments BIOS	Fluke 189 Multimeter Met One Instruments 083E-1-35 Met One Instruments 092 BIOS DC-Lite	Fluke 189 Multimeter 94060816 Met One Instruments 083E-1-35 R17149 Met One Instruments 092 P22757 BIOS DC-Lite R537

The standards used for this calibration have accuracy equal to or greater than the instrument tested. These standards are on record and traceable to NIST to the extent allowed by the institute's calibration facility. Unless otherwise stated, all instruments are calibrated to meet the manufacturer's published specifications. The Calibration system complies with MIL-STD-45662A.



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE : HK1810828

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

22/8/2018

CUSTOMER : LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

ADDRESS : 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO. HK1810828 PROJECT ITEM NO. HK1810828-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE PARTICULATE MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. : BT 645 SERIAL NO. : X19297 **EQUIPMENT NO.** RECEIPT DATE 16/8/2018 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 17/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

CODE	Calibration Parameter	Method Procedure	Reference Method
Dust PC/CAL	Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter	CAL003	General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

22/8/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER **PROJECT NAME**

22/8/2018 DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. HK1810828

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

PARTICULATE MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. BT 645 SERIAL NO X19297 EQUIPMENT NO. PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 17/8/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

TYPE HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER


MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis)
Zero Check ¹	17/8/2018,7:20:00 AM	28	1005	0	0
1	17/8/2018,8:24:00 PM	28	1005	51	51
2	17/8/2018,9:26:00 PM	28	1005	24	19
3	17/8/2018,10:28:00 PM	28	1005	19	14

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K-factor)

Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Operator:	Lau, Natalie	Signature:	lon	<u>au</u>	Date:	17/8/2018
			V	1-0		

1 ti

Date: 22/8/2018 Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature:



Certificate of Calibration

BT-645

Particulate Monitor

Recommended calibration interval is 24 months from first day of use.

Unit Info Model:	BT-645	81865-1 Fir	mware Rev: _	1.1.0
Serial Number:	X19298			1.0.1
Calibrated By:	R. von Krohn	- Bookey	Cal. Date:	7/27/2018
Quality Inspector:	Rh		Date: _	7-27-2018
Calibration Hz/μg/m ³ :	7.7			
Final Test				
Flow (2.0 L/M): P	ass	Ambi	ent T (C) <u>24.8</u> RH, % <u>39</u>)
Serial Communication: P	ass			
BT-645 Conc.:41	3.48	Standard Conc:	412.22	?

Calibration Standards

Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal Due
Fluke	189 Multimeter	94060816	8/28/2018
Met One Instruments	083E-1-35	R17149	July 28, 2018
Met One Instruments	092	P22757	April 2, 2019
BIOS	DC-Lite	R537	May 29, 2019
SIBATA	LD-3B	6X7759	Nov 17, 2018
The second secon	Met One Instruments Met One Instruments BIOS	Met One Instruments 083E-1-35 Met One Instruments 092 BIOS DC-Lite	Met One Instruments 083E-1-35 R17149 Met One Instruments 092 P22757 BIOS DC-Lite R537

The standards used for this calibration have accuracy equal to or greater than the instrument tested. These standards are on record and traceable to NIST to the extent allowed by the institute's calibration facility. Unless otherwise stated, all instruments are calibrated to meet the manufacturer's published specifications. The Calibration system complies with MIL-STD-45662A.



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

: HK1810829

REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

22/8/2018

CUSTOMER

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

ADDRESS

: 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO.

HK1810829

PROJECT ITEM NO. PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

HK1810829-01

TYPE MANUFACTURER PARTICULATE MONITOR MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. SERIAL NO.

: BT 645

EQUIPMENT NO.

: X19298

RECEIPT DATE

: 16/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 17/8/2018 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

CODE	Calibration Parameter	Method Procedure	Reference Method
Dust PC/CAL	Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter	CAL003	General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

22/8/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PROJECT NAME : PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

 DATE OF ISSUE
 22/8/2018

 REPORT NO.
 HK1810829

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE : PARTICULATE MONITOR MANUFACTURER : MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

 MODEL NO.
 : BT 645

 SERIAL NO.
 : X19298

 EQUIPMENT NO.
 : --

 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE
 : 17/8/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

TYPE : HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER

 MANUFACTURER
 : TISCH

 MODEL NO.
 : TE-5170

 EQUIPMENT REF NO.
 : PTL_HV002

 LAST CALIBRATION DATE
 : 25/7/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:


Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis)
Zero Check ¹	17/8/2018,4:50:00 PM	28	1005	0	0
1	17/8/2018,5:52:00 PM	28	1005	22	18
2	17/8/2018,6:58:00 PM	28	1005	17	15
3	17/8/2018,8:00:00 PM	28	1005	9	8

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K- factor)

Correlation Coefficient

Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record

1.2000 0.9988 17/8/2019



Notes: 1. Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Operator:	Lau, Natalie	Signature:	foller	Date:	17/8/2018
			1		



Lam Environmental Services Limited

Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Record

Portable Dust Meter

Type Particulare Monitor

Manufacturer MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

Model Number BT-645

Performance Check Date 10-Jan-19

Standard Equipment

Serial Number

High Volume Sampler Type

Manufacturer TISCH

Model Number TE-5170

Equipment Number HVS018

Last Calibration Date 4-Dec-18


Portable Dust Meter Performance Check Results

Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis)	Concentration in ug/m ³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis)
Zero Check	10/1/19 07:00	19	1020	0	0
1	10/1/19 08:05	19	1020	109	74
2	10/1/19 09:25	19	1020	88	62
3	10/1/19 10:27	19	1020	76	53

X19299

Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope (K- factor)
Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record 0.9995 10/1/2020



Operator:	Henry Lau	Date:	14/1/19	
Checked by:	Chan Ka Chun	Date:	14/1/19	

^{*} Filter paper weighting was conducted by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.



Certificate of Calibration

BT-645

Particulate Monitor

Recommended calibration interval is 24 months from first day of use.

Unit Info Model:	BT-645	81865-1	Firmware Rev:	1.1.0
Serial Number:	X19299			1.0.1
Calibrated By:	R. von Krohn		Cal. Date:	7/27/2018
Quality Inspector:	RiTh		Date:	727-2018
Calibration Hz/μg/m ³ :	5.81			
Final Test				
Flow (2.0 L/M): F	ass	An	nbient T (C) <u>24.8</u> RH, %	
Serial Communication:	Pass			
BT-645 Conc.:	13.52	Standard Cond	o: <u>412</u>	2.22

Calibration Standards

Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal Due
Fluke	189 Multimeter	94060816	8/28/2018
Met One Instruments	083E-1-35	R17149	July 28, 2018
Met One Instruments	092	P22757	April 2, 2019
BIOS	DC-Lite	R537	May 29, 2019
SIBATA	LD-3B	6X7759	Nov 17, 2018
	Fluke Met One Instruments Met One Instruments BIOS	Fluke 189 Multimeter Met One Instruments 083E-1-35 Met One Instruments 092 BIOS DC-Lite	Fluke 189 Multimeter 94060816 Met One Instruments 083E-1-35 R17149 Met One Instruments 092 P22757 BIOS DC-Lite R537

The standards used for this calibration have accuracy equal to or greater than the instrument tested. These standards are on record and traceable to NIST to the extent allowed by the institute's calibration facility. Unless otherwise stated, all instruments are calibrated to meet the manufacturer's published specifications. The Calibration system complies with MIL-STD-45662A.



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE

HK1810447 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

CUSTOMER **ADDRESS**

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

: 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO.

HK1810447

PROJECT ITEM NO.

: HK1810447-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE **MANUFACTURER** AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO.

AEROCET - 831

SERIAL NO.

W14016

EQUIPMENT NO.

RECEIPT DATE

9/5/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 11/5/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

CODE	Calibration Parameter	Method Procedure	Reference Method
Dust PC/CAL	Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter	CAL003	General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

13/5/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER **PROJECT NAME**

DATE OF ISSUE 13/5/2018 REPORT NO. HK1810447

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. AEROCET - 831

SERIAL NO. W14016

EQUIPMENT NO. 11/5/2018 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE

STANDARD EQUIPMENT


TYPE HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER

MANUFACTURER TISCH TE-5170 MODEL NO. EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 27/4/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis)
Zero Check ¹	11/5/2018,9:00:00 AM	24	1014	0	0
1	11/5/2018,10:05:00 AM	24	1014	78	208
2	11/5/2018,11:29:00 AM	24	1014	71	206
3	11/5/2018,12:35:00 AM	24	1014	19	28

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K- factor) Correlation Coefficient Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited. 2.

Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received. 3.

11/5/2018 Date: Signature: MA Ching Him, Jackey Operator:

13/5/2018 Date: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature: Checked by:



The calibration results on this report certify that this instrument complies with the product specifications at the time of calibration. Calibration was performed according to accepted industry methods using equipment, procedures, and standards that are traceable to NIST and ASTM and JIS.

Recommended calib	ration interval is 12 mont	hs from the first day of use.	
Instrument Model#	Aerocet 831	Instrument Serial#	W14016
Date of Calibration	4/19/2018	59	Sensor # 16206
Darleen Best	7	421	
Calibration Technicia	ın	Quality Check	
Temper	ature 23 °C	Relative Humidity 3	1%

Aerocet 831-6100

7.0

10.0

Pass

Pass

Test Results Test Spec. PSL Size (µm) 03/31/2020 183039 0.3 Pass ± 10% 02/28/2020 180556 Pass ± 10% 0.5 169240 5/31/2019 ± 10% 1.0 Pass 181944 3/31/2020 ± 10% Pass 2.5 4.0 Pass ± 10% REF NA NA 5.0 **Pass** ± 10% REF

± 10%

± 10%

Lot# NIST

REF

REF

Expiration

NA

NA

Standards	Model	SN	Cal Due
Particle Counter	GT-526	M1762	7/31/2018
Flowmeter	DCL-M	103751	1/29/2019
DMM	289	27720071	6/15/2018
RH/TEMP SENSOR	083E-1-6	R20313	9/18/2018

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Met One Instruments Inc.

Test Procedure:



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME

HK1811049 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

DATE OF ISSUE

24/10/2018

CUSTOMER ADDRESS

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD : 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO.

HK1811049

PROJECT ITEM NO.

HK1811049-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE MANUFACTURER

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MET ONE INSTRUMENTS AEROCET - 831

MODEL NO.

SERIAL NO.

W15448

EQUIPMENT NO.

RECEIPT DATE

18/10/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 18/10/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

CODE	Calibration Parameter	Method Procedure	Reference Method
Dust PC/CAL	Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter	CAL003	General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

24/10/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PROJECT NAME PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. 24/10/2018 HK1811049

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

TYPE

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS MODEL NO. AEROCET - 831

SERIAL NO W15448 EQUIPMENT NO. PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 18/10/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

TYPF HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER

MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO. TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:


				Concentration in ug/m ³	Concentration in ug/m ³
Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	(Standard equipment)	(Performance Check / Calibrated equipment)
			(=/	(Y - Axis)	(X - Axis)
Zero Check ¹	18/10/2018,9:05:00 AM	22.5	1015	0	0
1	18/10/2018,2:16:00 PM	22.5	1015	31	44
2	18/10/2018,3:18:00 PM	22.5	1015	30	44
3	18/10/2018,4:21:00 PM	22.5	1015	26	35

Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope (K- factor) Correlation Coefficient

Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record

0.7000



Notes: 1. Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Operator:	Lau, Natalie	Signature:	John	Date:	18/10/2018
			q		
			tont !		

Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature: Date: 24/10/2018



The calibration results on this report certify that this instrument complies with the product specifications at the time of calibration. Calibration was performed according to accepted industry methods using equipment, procedures, and standards that are traceable to NIST and ISO.

Recommended calibration interval is 12 months from the first day of use.

OC

Instrument Model#

Aerocet 831

Instrument Serial# W15448

Relative Humidity 38

Date of Calibration

6/14/2018

Sensor # 16438

Darleen Best

Calibration Technician

Temperature

Quality Check

%

Test Procedure:

Aerocet 831-6100

23.5

PSL Size (µm)	Test Results	Test Spec.	Lot# NIST	Expiration
0.3	Pass	± 10%	183039	03/31/2020
0.5	Pass	± 10%	180556	02/28/2020
1.0	Pass	± 10%	169240	5/31/2019
2.5	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
4.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
5.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
7.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
10.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA

Standards	Model	SN	Cal Due
Particle Counter	GT-526	M1762	7/31/2018
Flowmeter	DCL-M	103751	1/29/2019
DMM	289	27720071	6/15/2018
RH/TEMP SENSOR	083E-1-6	R20313	9/18/2018

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Met One Instruments Inc.



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE

HK1811054 PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

24/10/2018

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD CUSTOMER

: 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG **ADDRESS**

REPORT NO. HK1811054 PROJECT ITEM NO. HK1811054-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR MANUFACTURER MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. SERIAL NO. AEROCET - 831 W15449 EQUIPMENT NO. 18/10/2018 RECEIPT DATE

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 23/10/2018

CODE	Calibration Parameter	Method Procedure	Reference Method
Dust PC/CAL	Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter	CAL003	General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

24/10/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER 24/10/2018 PROJECT NAME

DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. HK1811054

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR **MANUFACTURER** MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO. AEROCET - 831

SERIAL NO. W15449

EQUIPMENT NO.

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 23/10/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

TYPF HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER

MANUFACTURER TISCH MODEL NO. TE-5170 EQUIPMENT REF NO. PTL_HV002 LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018


EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis)	Concentration in ug/m ³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis)
Zero Check ¹	23/10/2018,9:05:00 AM	25.3	1017	0	0
1	23/10/2018,10:20:00 AM	25.3	1017	45	51
2	23/10/2018,11:22:00 AM	25.3	1017	32	39
3	23/10/2018,12:29:00 PM	25.3	1017	12	19

Linear Regression of Y on X

Slope (K- factor) Correlation Coefficient

Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record 23/10/2019



Notes: 1. Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate.

2. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

3. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Operator:	Lau, Natalie	Signature:	lotter	Date:	23/10/2018

Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline Signature: 24/10/2018 Date:



The calibration results on this report certify that this instrument complies with the product specifications at the time of calibration. Calibration was performed according to accepted industry methods using equipment, procedures, and standards that are traceable to NIST and ISO.

Recommended	calibration	interval is	12 months	irom the	irst day	or use.	

Instrument Model#	Aerocet 831		Instrument Serial#	W15449
Date of Calibration	10/4/2018	1	_	Sensor # 16439
Darleen Best	7		A 25	
Calibration Technici	an		Quality Check	
Temper	rature 23	°C	Relative Humidity	6.5 %

Test Procedure: Aerocet 831-6100

PSL Size (µm)	Test Results	Test Spec.	Lot# NIST	Expiration
0.3	Pass	± 10%	183039	03/31/2020
0.5	Pass	± 10%	180556	02/28/2020
1.0	Pass	± 10%	169240	5/31/2019
2.5	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
4.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
5.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
7.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA
10.0	Pass	± 10%	REF	NA

Standards	Model	SN	Cal Due
Particle Counter	GT-526	M1760	10/9/2018
Flowmeter	DCL-M	103751	1/29/2019
DMM	289	27720071	6/29/2019
RH/TEMP SENSOR	083E-1-6	R20313	9/18/2019

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Met One Instruments Inc.



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

REPORT NO. PROJECT NAME DATE OF ISSUE

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER

16/8/2018

CUSTOMER **ADDRESS**

: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD

: 11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185 GLOUCESTER ROAD, WAN CHAI, HONG KONG

REPORT NO.

HK1810819

PROJECT ITEM NO.

HK1810819-01

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR

MANUFACTURER

MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

MODEL NO.

AEROCET - 831

SERIAL NO.

W16848

EQUIPMENT NO.

RECEIPT DATE PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE : 15/8/2018

14/8/2018

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION Information

CODE	Calibration Parameter	Method Procedure	Reference Method
Dust PC/CAL	Performance Check / Calibration of Dust Meter	CAL003	General Technical Requirements of Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Monitoring & Audit Guidelines for Development Projects in HK

Notes: 1. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited.

2. Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received.

Approved Signatory

Wong Po Yan Pauline (Assistant Laboratory Manager) Issue Date:

16/8/2018



REPORT OF PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION OF DUST METER PROJECT NAME

16/8/2018 DATE OF ISSUE REPORT NO. HK1810819

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATED EQUIPMENT

AEROSOL MASS MONITOR TYPE

MET ONE INSTRUMENTS **MANUFACTURER** AEROCET - 831 MODEL NO.

W16848 SERIAL NO.

EQUIPMENT NO.

PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION DATE 15/8/2018

STANDARD EQUIPMENT

HIGH VOLUME AIR SAMPLER TYPE

MANUFACTURER TISCH TE-5170 MODEL NO. PTL_HV002 EQUIPMENT REF NO. LAST CALIBRATION DATE 25/7/2018


EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION RESULTS:

Trial no. in 1-hr period	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Standard equipment) (Y - Axis)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Performance Check / Calibrated equipment) (X - Axis)
Zero Check ¹	15/8/2018,9:05:00 AM	28.2	999	0	0
1	15/8/2018,10:20:00 AM	28.2	999	37	85
2	15/8/2018,11:22:00 AM	28.2	999	35	83
3	15/8/2018,12:29:00 PM	28.2	999	9	27

Linear Regression of Y on X Slope (K- factor)

Correlation Coefficient
Validity of Performance Check / Calibration Record

0.4400 0.9988



Zero check conducted as per CAL003 SOP and manufacturer's manual as appropriate. Notes: 1.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior approval from Pilot Testing Limited. 2.

Performance Check / Calibration result relates to performance check / calibration item(s) as received. 3.

Operator:	Lau, Natalie	Signature:	fo	tier	Date:	15/8/2018
			/			
			. 1	1-		

16/8/2018 Date: Signature: Checked by: Wong Po Yan, Pauline



綜 合 試 驗 有 限 公 司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com

Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

18CA1114 02

Page

2

Item tested

Description:

Sound Level Meter (Type 1)

Microphone

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: **B&K** 2236

B&K

2100736

4188 2288941

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

Item submitted by

Customer Name:

Lam Environmental Service Ltd.

Address of Customer:

Request No .: Date of receipt:

14-Nov-2018

Date of test:

15-Nov-2018

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:

Model:

Serial No.

Expiry Date:

Traceable to:

Multi function sound calibrator Signal generator Signal generator

B&K 4226 DS 360 DS 360

2288444 33873

61227

23-Aug-2019 24-Apr-2019 23-Apr-2019

CIGISMEC **CEPREI CEPREI**

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

20 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity: Air pressure:

50 ± 10 % 1000 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 1, and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.

The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and 2, replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.

3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.

Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Fend Junq

Approved Signatory:

Date:

15-Nov-2018

Company Chop:

The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and Comments: carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-1/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港 黄竹坑 道 3 7 號 利 達 中 心 1 2 樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533





CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

18CA1114 02

Page

of

2

1, Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

			Expanded	Coverage
Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Uncertanity (dB)	Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	
con generated noise	C	Pass	1.0	2.1
	Lin	Pass	2.0	2.2
Linearity range for Leg	At reference range , Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
Frequency weightings	Α	Pass	0.3	
. , , ,	С	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Time weightings	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ³ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 ⁴ at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leg	Pass	0.4	

2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertanity (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5	

3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Date:

- End

Fung Chi Yip

15-Nov-2018

Checked by:

She

Shek Kwong Tat Date: 15-Nov-2018

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP152-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/02/2007



Certificate No. 805497

3 Pages Page

Customer: Pilot Testing Limited

Address: Room B12, Block B, 5/F, Tonic Industrial Centre, 19 Lam Hing Street, Kowloon Bay, Kowloon

Order No.: Q81813

Date of receipt

1-Jun-18

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer: Honglim Co., Ltd

I.D.

: SLM002

Model

: HLES-01

Serial No.

: 201692154

Test Conditions

Date of Test:

7-Jun-18

 $(23 \pm 3)^{\circ}$ C

Supply Voltage : --

Relative Humidity: (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Ambient Temperature:

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure: Z01, IEC 61672.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 61672 Type 1 specification.(where applicable)

The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

Equipment No. Description

Cert. No.

Traceable to

S017

Multi-Function Generator

C170120

SCL-HKSAR

S240

Sound Level Calibrator

803357

NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant. The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by :

Approved by:

Kin Wong

This Certificate is issued by: Hong Kong Calibration Ltd.

Date: 7-Jun-18

Unit 8B, 24/F., Well Fung Industrial Centre, No. 58-76, Ta Chuen Ping Street, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.



Certificate No. 805497

Page 2 of 3 Pages

Results:

1. Self-generated noise: 16.6 dBA

2. Acoustical signal test

	UUT S	Setting		* ** ***
Range (dB)	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)
25-95	A	F S	94.0	94.1
65-135	C	F	94.0	94.1 94.4
		S		94.4
	A	F	114.0	114.5 114.5
	C	S F		114.5

IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec. : \pm 1.1 dB

Uncertainty: $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$

3 Electrical signal tests of frequency weightings (A weighting)

Frequency	Attenuation (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
31.5 Hz	-41.0	- 39.4 dB, ± 2 dB
63 Hz	-26.8	- 26.2 dB, ± 1.5 dB
125 Hz	-17.0	- 16.1 dB, ± 1.5 dB
250 Hz	-8.9	- 8.6 dB, ± 1 dB
500 Hz	-3.4	- 3.2 dB, ± 1.4 dB
1 kHz	0.0 (Ref)	0 dB, ± 1.1 dB
2 kHz	+1.3	+ 1.2 dB, ± 1.6 dB
4 kHz	+1.0	+ 1.0 dB, ± 1.6 dB
8 kHz	-2.0	- 1.1 dB , $+2.1 \text{ dB} \sim -3.1 \text{ dB}$
16 kHz	-7.8	- 6.6 dB , + $3.5 \text{ dB} \sim -17.0 \text{ dB}$

Uncertainty: $\pm 0.1 \text{ dB}$



Certificate No. 805497

Page 3 of 3 Pages

4. Frequency & Time weightings at 1 kHz

4.1 Frequency Weighting (Fast)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
A	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.4 dB
C	94.0	94.1	+0.1	_ 0.4 dB

4.2 Time Weighting (A-weighted)

UUT Setting	Applied Value (dB)	UUT Reading (dB)	Difference (dB)	IEC 61672 Type 1 Spec.
Fast	94.0	94.0 (Ref.)		± 0.3 dB
Slow	94.0	94.0	0.0	± 0.5 dD
Time-averaging	94.0	94.0	0.0	

Uncertainty: ± 0.1 dB

Remarks: 1. UUT: Unit-Under-Test

- 2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.
- 3. Atmospheric Pressure: 1 004 hPa.
- 4. Power Supply Check: OK
- 5. The UUT was adjusted with the supplied sound calibrator at the reference sound pressure level before the calibration.

----- END -----



• Device Type:

Stamp:

Manufacturer Calibration Certificate

XL2 Audio and Acoustic Analyzer

The following instrument has been tested and calibrated to the manufacturer specifications. The calibration is traceable in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025 covering all instrument functions.

3.	<u> </u>	
Serial Number:	A2A-15269-E0	
Certificate Issued:	19 February 2019	
Certificate Number:	43515-A2A-15269-E0	
• Results:	PASSED (for detailed report see next page)	
		_
Tested by:	M. Frick	
Signature:		

LI 9494 Schaan

Calibration of: XL2 Audio and Acoustic Analyzer

Serial Number: A2A-15269-E0
Date: 19 February 2019

Detailed Calibration Test Results:

					actual	XL2	calibration
		reference	actual	unit	error	tolerance	uncertainty ²
RMS Level @ 1kHz, XLF	? Input	0.1	0.100	V	≤0.1%	±0.5%	±0.10%
		1	0.999	V	-0.1%	±0.5%	±0.09%
		10	9.978	V	-0.2%	±0.5%	±0.09%
Flatness, XLR Input ¹	20 Hz	1	0.995	V	-0.5%	±1.1%	±0.09%
	20 kHz	1	1.003	V	0.3%	±1.1%	±0.09%
Frequency		1000	999.99	Hz	≤0.003%	±0.003%	±0.01%
Residual Noise	XLR		< 2 uV			<2 uV	±0.50%
THD+N @ 0 dBu, 1 kHz,	XLR Input		-100.4	dB		typ100 dB	±0.50%

•	Test Conditions:	Temperature:	23.4	°C
		Relative Humidity:	32	%

• Calibration Equipment Used:

 Agilent Multimeter, Typ 34401A, Serial No. MY 5300 4607 Last calibration: 15.08.2018, Next calibration: 15.08.2019 Calibrated by ELCAL to the national standards maintained at Swiss Federal Office of Metrology. SCS 0002

FX100 Audio Analyzer, Serial No. 10408
 Last Calibration: 27.04.2018, Next Calibration: 27.04.2019
 Manufacturer calibration based on Agilent 34410, Serial No. MY47014254,
 Last Calibration: 11.05.2018, Next Calibration: 11.05.2019
 which is calibrated by ELCAL to national standards maintained at Swiss Federal Office of Metrology. SCS 002

 $^{^{1}}$ The specified tolerance +/-0.1 dB @ 1V = +/- 1.1%

² The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with the regulations of the GUM.



Manufacturer Calibration Certificate

The following instrument has been tested and calibrated to the manufacturer specifications. The calibration is traceable in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025 covering all instrument functions.

• Device Type: M2230 Measurement Microphone

consisting of

MA220 Serial Number: 6830 Capsule Serial Number: A14232

• Certificate Issued: 19 February 2019

• Certificate Number: 43515-6830-M2230

Results: PASSED

(for detailed report see next page)

Tested by: M.Frick

Signature:

Stamp: NTi Audio AG
Im alten Riet 102


Ll√ 9494 Schaan ww.nti-audio.com Date: 19 February 2019


Calibration of: M2230 Measurement Microphone

MA220 Serial Number: 6830 Capsule Serial Number: A14232

Detailed Calibration Test Results:

Frequency response:





calibration actual tolerance uncertainty¹
Sensitivity @ 1 kHz, 114 dBSPL 42.8 mV/Pa 34-53 mV/Pa ±2.85%

Test Conditions: Temperature: 23.8 °C ±0.5 °C Relative Humidity: 47.6 % ±2%
 Air Pressure: 96.96 kPa ±0.25 kPa

Calibration Equipment Used:

Norsonic Sound Calibrator, Type 1251, S/No. 30930
 Last Calibration: 05.12.2018, Next Calibration: 05.12.2020
 Calibrated by Metas, Switzerland

NTi Audio FX100, S/No. 11094
 Last Calibration: 14.08.2018, Next Calibration: 14.08.2019
 Calibrated by NTi Audio meeting product specifications

- MTG MV203, S/No. 0630 / Mic Capsule, MK221 S./No. 16502 Last Calibration: 08.12.2017, Next Calibration: 08.12.2019 Calibrated by MTG, Germany

¹ The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with the regulations of the GUM.

Certificate Number 2018010851

Customer: LAM Environmental Services Ltd 11/F Centre Point 181-185 Gloucester Road Wanchai, , Hong Kong

Model Number	CAL200	Procedure Number	D0001	.8386	
Serial Number	13098	Technician	Scott I	Montgo	mery
Test Results	Pass	Calibration Date	29 Oc	t 2018	
	Inoperable	Calibration Due			
Initial Condition	moperable	Temperature	23	°C	± 0.3 °C
Description	Larson Davis CAL200 Acoustic Calibrator	Humidity	34	%RH	± 3 %RH
		Static Pressure	101.2	kPa	± 1 kPa

Evaluation Method The data is aquired by the insert voltage calibration method using the reference microphone's open

circuit sensitivity. Data reported in dB re 20 µPa.

Compliance Standards Compliant to Manufacturer Specifications per D0001.8190 and the following standards:

IEC 60942:2017 ANSI S1.40-2006

Issuing lab certifies that the instrument described above meets or exceeds all specifications as stated in the referenced procedure (unless otherwise noted). It has been calibrated using measurement standards traceable to the SI through the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), or other national measurement institutes, and meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2005. Test points marked with a ‡ in the uncertainties column do not fall within this laboratory's scope of accreditation.

The quality system is registered to ISO 9001:2008.

This calibration is a direct comparison of the unit under test to the listed reference standards and did not involve any sampling plans to complete. No allowance has been made for the instability of the test device due to use, time, etc. Such allowances would be made by the customer as needed.

The uncertainties were computed in accordance with the ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM). A coverage factor of approximately 2 sigma (k=2) has been applied to the standard uncertainty to express the expanded uncertainty at approximately 95% confidence level.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, unless permission for the publication of an approved abstract is obtained in writing from the organization issuing this report.

Standards Used				
Description	Cal Date	Cal Due	Cal Standard	
Agilent 34401A DMM	09/06/2018	09/06/2019	001021	
Larson Davis Model 2900 Real Time Analyzer	04/10/2018	04/10/2019	001051	
Microphone Calibration System	03/07/2018	03/07/2019	005446	
1/2" Preamplifier	09/20/2018	09/20/2019	006506	
Larson Davis 1/2" Preamplifier 7-pin LEMO	08/07/2018	08/07/2019	006507	
1/2 inch Microphone - RI - 200V	05/10/2018	05/10/2019	006510	
Pressure Transducer	07/18/2018	07/18/2019	007368	









綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黃竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.:

18CA1023 02

Page:

of

2

Item tested

Description:

Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)

Manufacturer: Type/Model No.: Larson Davis CAL200 13437

Serial/Equipment No.: Adaptors used:

134

Item submitted by

Curstomer:

Lam Geotechnics Ltd.

Address of Customer:

-

Request No.:

-

Date of receipt:

23-Oct-2018

Date of test:

24-Oct-2018

Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description: Lab standard microphone Preamplifier	Model: B&K 4180 B&K 2673	Serial No. 2412857 2239857	Expiry Date: 20-Apr-2019 27-Apr-2019	Traceable to: SCL CEPREI
Measuring amplifier Signal generator	B&K 2610 DS 360 34401A	2346941 33873 US36087050	08-May-2019 24-Apr-2019 23-Apr-2019	CEPREI CEPREI
Digital multi-meter Audio analyzer Universal counter	8903B 53132A	GB41300350 MY40003662	23-Apr-2019 23-Apr-2019 24-Apr-2019	CEPREI CEPREI

Ambient conditions

Temperature:

20 ± 1 °C

Relative humidity:

50 ± 10 %

Air pressure:

1005 ± 5 hPa

Test specifications

- The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B
 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2. The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Feng Junqi

Approved Signatory:

Date:

24-Oct-2018

Company Chop:

Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd.

Form No.CARP156-1/Issue 1/Rev.D/01/03/2007



綜合試驗有限公司 SOILS & MATERIALS ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

香港黄竹坑道37號利達中心12樓 12/F., Leader Centre, 37 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Aberdeen, Hong Kong. E-mail: smec@cigismec.com Website: www.cigismec.com Tel: (852) 2873 6860 Fax: (852) 2555 7533



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.:

18CA1023 02

Page:

2

of

2

1. Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

			(Output level in dB re 20 μPa)
Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	94.00	93.77	0.10

2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz

STF = 0.015 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.005 dB

3, Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

Actual Frequency = 1000.2 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.1 Hz

Coverage factor k = 2.2

4, Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz

TND = 0.5%

Estimated expanded uncertainty

0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

End

Checked by:

,

Shek Kwong Tai

Date:

Fung Chi Yip

24-Oct-2018

Date:

24-Oct-2018

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

© Soils & Materials Engineering Co., Ltd

Form No.CARP156-2/Issue 1/Rev.C/01/05/2005



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR CHAN KA CHUN HK1901812 WORK ORDER:

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL LTD

11/F, CENTRE POINT, ADDRESS: SUB-BATCH:

> 181 - 185 GLOUCESTER ROAD LABORATORY: HONG KONG WAN CHAI DATE RECEIVED: 10-Jan-2019

DATE OF ISSUE: 18-Jan-2019

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Salinity and Temperature Scope of Test:

Multifunctional Meter Equipment Type:

Brand Name: YSI

Professional Plus Model No.:

Serial No.: 17F100236

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 18 January, 2019

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Si

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

WORK ORDER: HK1901812

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 18-Jan-2019

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL LTD

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus Serial No.: 17F100236

Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 18 January, 2019 Date of Next Calibration: 18 April, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Dissolved Oxygen Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500-O: G

	Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
ĺ	2.65	2.45	-0.20
	6.02	5.92	-0.10
	8.88	8.94	+0.06
		Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20

pH Value Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.03	+0.03
7.0	7.08	+0.08
10.0	10.16	+0.16
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20

Salinity Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)		
0	0.00			
10	10.20	+2.0		
20	19.68	-1.6		
30	29.74	-0.9		
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0		

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Ain

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

WORK ORDER: HK1901812

SUB-BATCH: 0

DATE OF ISSUE: 18-Jan-2019

CLIENT: LAM ENVIRONMENTAL LTD

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name: YSI

Model No.: Professional Plus Serial No.: 17F100236

Equipment No.: --

Date of Calibration: 18 January, 2019 Date of Next Calibration: 18 April, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Temperature Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
10.0	9.5	-0.5
22.0	21.3	-0.7
41.5	42.3	+0.8
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ma Sign

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044 | F: +852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:

MR CHAN KA CHUN

CLIENT:

LAM ENVIRONMENTAL LTD

ADDRESS:

11/F, CENTRE POINT,

181 - 185 GLOUCESTER ROAD

WAN CHAI, HONG KONG WORK ORDER:

HK1900006

SUB-BATCH:

0

LABORATORY:

HONG KONG

DATE RECEIVED:

31- Dec- 2018

DATE OF ISSUE:

10- Jan- 2019

COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:

Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Salinity and Temperature

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name:

YSI

Model No.:

Professional Plus

Serial No.:

14M100277

Equipment No.:

- -

Date of Calibration:

10 January, 2019

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Si

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

WORK ORDER:

HK1900006

SUB-BATCH:

0

DATE OF ISSUE:

10- Jan- 2019

CLIENT:

LAM ENVIRONMENTAL LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name:

YSI

Model No.:

Professional Plus

Serial No.:

14M100277

Equipment No.:

- -

Date of Calibration:

10 January, 2019

Date of Next Calibration:

10 April, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500-O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
2.67	2.47	- 0.20
6.20	6.28	+ 0.08
8.88	8.83	- 0.05
	Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20

pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	3.97	- 0.03
7.0	6.84	- 0.16
10.0	10.03	+ 0.03
	Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	± 0.20

Salinity

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2520B

Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.00	
10	10.36	+ 3.6
20	18.90	- 5.5
30	27.77	- 7.4
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Sign

WORK ORDER:

HK1900006

SUB-BATCH:

0

DATE OF ISSUE:

10- Jan- 2019

CLIENT:

LAM ENVIRONMENTAL LTD

Equipment Type:

Multifunctional Meter

Brand Name:

Model No.:

Professional Plus

Serial No.:

14M100277

Equipment No.:

Date of Calibration: 10 January, 2019

Date of Next Calibration:

10 April, 2019

PARAMETERS:

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical

Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
10.5	11.3	+ 0.8
21.0	19.8	- 1.2
40.5	39.4	- 1.1
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	± 2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

> Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganic

Ma Sin



Information supplicONTACT: CLIENT: DATE RECEIVED DATE OF ISSUE: ADDRESS: PROJECT:	MR. CHAN KA CHUN LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED	JOB REFERENCE NO.: 22787053-B23V2601 LOUCESTER ROAD,
METHOD OF PER Ref: APHA22nd ed 2	FORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATIO	ON:
1	and calibration frequency stated in the re-	has been calibrated/checked by corresponding calibrated port, unless otherwise stated, the internal acceptance criteria o
Scope of Test:		I
Equipment Type:		Turbidity
Brand Name:		Turbidimeter
Model No.:		Xin Rui
		WGZ-3B
Serial No.:		1807077
Equipment No.:		The Control of the Co
Date of Calibration: Remarks:		31/01/2019
This is the Final Report for release.	t. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted	l. All pages of this report have been checked and approved
	HO Lai Sze Senior Chemist	Issue Date:31/01/2019

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from FT Laboratories Ltd. Form No.: HG022-002 Rev 0 20190101

Page 1 of 2



WORK ORDER:

22787053-B23V2601

DATE OF ISSUE:

31/01/2019

CLIENT:

LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED

Equipment Type:	Turbidimeter	
Brand Name:	Xin Rui	
Model No.:	WGZ-3B	
Serial No.:	1807077	
Equipment No.:		
Date of Calibration:	31/01/2019	
Date of next Calibation:	30/04/2019	
Lab ID:	H190048-01	

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 22nd ed. 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Display Reading (NTU)	Tolerance
0	0.00	
4	3.88	-3.0%
10	9.44	-5.6%
10	41.24	3.1%
100	100.00	0.0%
100	400	0.0%
.000	996	-0.4%
	Tolerance Limit (±)	10%

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from FT Laboratories Ltd. Form No.: HG022-002 Rev 0 20190101

Page 2 of 2



		CALIBRATION		
Information supplied				
CONTACT:	MR. CHAN KA CHUN	JOB REFERENCE NO.:	22787053-B23V2603	
CLIENT:	LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED		22 / 0 / 033-1323 ¥ 2003	
DATE RECEIVED:				
DATE OF ISSUE:	31/01/2019			
ADDRESS:	11/F, CENTRE POINT, 181-185, G	LOUCESTED DOAD		
	WANCHAI, HONG KONG	COCCESTER ROAD,		
PROJECT:				
METHOD OF PERF	ORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATIO	N:		
Ref: APHA22nd ed 21	30B			
COMMENTS				
It is certified that the it	em under performance check/calibration	has been calibrated/abooked by		
equipment in the labora	atory.	has been cantilated/enecked by	corresponding calibrated	
Maximum Tolerance a	nd calibration frequency stated in the rep	out unloss otherwise state 1 41		
FT Laboratories Ltd wi	ill be followed	our, unless otherwise stated, the	internal acceptance criteria of	
	iii oo lohowed.			
Scope of Test:		T. 1111		
Equipment Type:		Turbidity		
Brand Name:		Turbidimeter		
Model No.:		Xin Rui		
		WGZ-3B		
Serial No.:		1309192		
Equipment No.:				
Date of Calibration:		31/01/2019		
Remarks:				
This is the Final Report	. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted	l. All pages of this report have be	en checked and approved	
for release.			11	
	1111.1-			
Certified By:	MWW.	Issue Date:	31/01/2019	
Ī	HO Lai Sze		01.01.2017	
S	Senior Chemist			

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from FT Laboratories Ltd.

Form No.: HG022-002 Rev 0 20190101

Page 1 of 2



WORK ORDER: 22787053-B23V2603

DATE OF ISSUE: 31/01/2019

CLIENT: LAM GEOTECHNICS LIMITED

Equipment Type:	Turbidimeter	
Brand Name:	Xin Rui	
Model No.:	WGZ-3B	
Serial No.:	1309192	
Equipment No.:		
Date of Calibration:	31/01/2019	
Date of next Calibation:	30/04/2019	
Lab ID:	H190048-03	

Parameters:

Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 22nd ed. 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Display Reading (NTU)	Tolerance		
0	0.00			
4	3.96	-1.0%		
10	9.30	-7.0%		
40	39.50	-1.3%		
100	100.00	0.0%		
400	400			
000	903	-9.7%		
1 (7)	Tolerance Limit (±)	10%		

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration/checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from FT Laboratories Ltd. Form No.: HG022-002 Rev 0 20190101

Page 2 of 2


Address: Lot No. DD77 Section 1552 S.A. ss 1RP, Ng Chow South Road, Ping Che, N.T., H. K.. Tel: 27584861, Fax: 27588962



Appendix 4.3


Wind data extracted from HKO Automatic Weather Station


A. Wind Direction extracted from Tate's Cairn HKO Automatic Weather Station







B. Wind Speed extracted from Tate's Cairn HKO Automatic Weather Station







C. Wind Direction extracted from Tseung Kwan O HKO Automatic Weather Station





D. Wind Speed extracted from Tseung Kwan O HKO Automatic Weather Station







Appendix 5.1

Monitoring Schedules for Reporting Month



SERVICE CONTRACT NO. EDO/01/2017 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS

Impact Water Quality, Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule

March 2019

	March 2019					
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
24-Feb	25-Feb	26-Feb	27-Feb	28-Feb	01-Mar <mark>WQM</mark>	02-Mar
03-Mar	04-Mar WQM NM AQM	05-Mar	06-Mar <mark>WQM</mark>	07-Mar	08-Mar	09-Mar
10-Mar	WQM	12-Mar	13-Mar <mark>WQM</mark>	14-Mar	15-Mar	16-Mar
17-Mar	WQM	19-Mar		21-Mar	22-Mar	23-Mar
24-Mar	25-Mar		27-Mar WQM NM AQM	28-Mar	29-Mar	30-Mar

Remark:

WQM: Water Quality Monitoring
 AQM: Air Quality Monitoring

NM: Noise monitoring is scheduled at the beginning of each week

2. Monitoring Location:


Inland Water	Station	Description
Channelized nullah	E	Upstream Control Station
across the project site	F	Downstream Impact Station
Ma Yau Tong Stream	Н	Upstream Control Station
Ivia rau rong Stream	I	Downstream Impact Station

3. The interval between $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ sets of monitoring should not be less than 36 hours



SERVICE CONTRACT NO. EDO/01/2017 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS

Tentative Impact Water Quality, Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Schedule



Remark:

1. WQM: Water Quality Monitoring

AQM: Air Quality Monitoring

NM: Noise monitoring is scheduled at the beginning of each week

2. Monitoring Location:

Inland Water	Station	Description
Channelized nullah	E	Upstream Control Station
across the project site	F	Downstream Impact Station
Ma Yau Tong Stream	Н	Upstream Control Station
ivia rau rong Stream	ı	Downstream Impact Station

3. The interval between 2 sets of monitoring should not be less than 36 hours



Appendix 5.2

Noise Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-01 - G/F, Kei Shun Special School

			Measur	ement Noi	se Level	Average Noise Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
Date	Weather	Time	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	Leq	Leq
			Unit	: dB(A), (5	-min)		Unit:	dB(A), (30-min)	
		15:05	67.5	70.5	63.4				
		15:10	67.4	70.4	63.4				
4 Mar 2019	Cloudy	15:15	67.7	70.4	62.8	67	69.3	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>70</td></baseline>	70
4 Wai 2015	Cloudy	15:20	67.4	70.2	62.9	07	05.5	Chaseinic Ecver	70
		15:25	67.5	70.2	63.1				
		15:30	67.4	70.6	63.3				
		09:01	67.3	70.1	63.6				
		09:06	67.5	70.4	63.2			<baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="4">70</td></baseline>	70
12 Mar 2019	Cloudy	09:11	67.3	70.6	63.1	67	69.3		
12 Wai 2013	Cloudy	09:16	67.3	70.3	63.2	07			
		09:21	67.1	70.7	62.8				
		09:26	67.0	70.3	63.0				
		13:25	67.4	70.1	62.1				
		13:30	68.0	70.4	63.2			<baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="2">70</td></baseline>	70
19 Mar 2019	Fine	13:35	67.0	69.2	63.1	68	69.3		
13 Wai 2013	11110	13:40	67.0	69.4	62.9	00	05.5	Chaseinic Ecver	70
		13:45	68.4	69.8	63.4				
		13:50	67.2	69.7	62.4				
		16:05	68.5	70.0	64.5				
		16:10	67.9	70.5	64.0				
27 Mar 2019	Fine	16:15	68.2	70.5	64.5	68	69.3	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>70</td></baseline>	70
27 10101 2019	1 1110	16:20	68.8	70.5	64.0		55.5	Spaconilic Ecvol	, 0
		16:25	68.0	70.5	63.5				
	16:30	68.4	71.0	63.5					



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-02 - 3/F podium, Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters Block 6

			Measure	ement Noi	se Level	Average Noise Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
Date	Weather	Time	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	Leq	Leq
			Unit:	: dB(A), (5	-min)		Unit:	dB(A), (30-min)	
		16:12	71.2	74.6	67.2				
		16:17	70.7	74.0	66.8				
4 Mar 2019	Cloudy	16:22	71.0	73.4	67.6	71	72.0	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75
4 Mai 2013	Oloudy	16:27	70.8	73.8	67.0	''	72.0	Chaseinic Ecver	75
		16:32	70.7	74.4	66.5				
		16:37	71.2	74.0	66.3				
		09:35	70.3	73.9	66.6				
		09:40	70.7	74.6	66.3			<baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="4">75</td></baseline>	75
12 Mar 2019	Cloudy	09:45	70.7	74.3	66.4	71	72.0		
12 IVIAI 2013	Oloudy	09:50	71.0	74.9	67.1	''			
		09:55	70.2	74.3	66.1				
		10:00	71.2	74.6	67.5				
		13:23	71.2	75.0	65.5				
		13:28	71.5	74.0	66.0			<baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="2">75</td></baseline>	75
19 Mar 2019	Fine	13:33	71.3	74.0	66.0	72	72.0		
13 Wai 2013	1 1110	13:38	71.0	73.0	67.0	12	72.0	Chaseinic Ecver	75
		13:43	73.0	74.0	67.0				
		13:48	71.6	74.5	66.0				
		14:15	69.8	72.4	65.8				
		14:20	69.4	72.0	64.8				
27 Mar 2019	Fine	14:25	70.7	73.6	64.8	70	72.0	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75
2	0	14:30	69.8	72.0	65.8	. •	. 2.0	1240010 20101	.0
		14:35	70.3	73.8	64.9				
		14:40	69.6	72.0	65.6				



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-03 - G/F, Sienna Garden Block 6

			Measur	ement Noi	ise Level	Average Noise Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
Date	Weather	Time	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	Leq	Leq
			Unit: dB(A), (5-min)			Unit:	dB(A), (30-min)		
		14:00	77.0	80.8	61.2				
		14:05	77.3	80.8	62.1				
4 Mar 2019	Cloudy	14:10	77.0	80.5	61.5	77	78.2	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75
4 Wai 2013	Cioddy	14:15	77.1	80.7	61.9] ''	70.2	Chaseinic Ecver	75
		14:20	77.6	87.7	61.8				
		14:25	77.0	81.2	61.0				
		10:13	77.4	80.8	62.0				
		10:18	77.2	80.1	61.9			<baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="4">75</td></baseline>	75
12 Mar 2019	Cloudy	10:23	77.5	80.6	61.1	77	78.2		
12 IVIAI 2013	Cioddy	10:28	77.4	80.4	62.1	''			
		10:33	77.2	81.1	62.3				
		10:38	77.4	81.0	61.7				
		11:20	75.9	80.1	61.1			<baseline level<="" td=""><td></td></baseline>	
		11:25	77.6	80.8	62.4				75
18 Mar 2019	Cloudy	11:30	76.3	80.2	62.0	77	78.2		
10 Wai 2013	Cioddy	11:35	76.9	81.3	61.0] ''	70.2	Chaseinic Ecver	75
		11:40	78.8	82.5	61.5				
		11:45	76.4	80.3	59.4				
		13:01	75.4	79.6	59.4	75			
		13:06	74.5	78.8	58.6				
27 Mar 2019	Fine	13:11	73.4	78.2	55.0		78.2	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75
27 Wai 2019	1 1110	13:16	74.6	79.2	57.1] '	70.2	daseline Level	7.5
		13:21	76.0	79.6	58.8				
		13:26	76.1	80.0	60.2				



Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)

Location: NMC-04 - 3/F Podium, Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House

			Measure	ement Noi	se Level	Average Noise Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level
Date	Weather	Time	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	Leq	Leq
			Unit:	Unit: dB(A), (5-min)			Unit:	dB(A), (30-min)	•
		13:13	64.3	65.4	62.6				
		13:18	64.4	66.2	61.4				
4 Mar 2019	Cloudy	13:23	64.3	65.7	62.2	64	66.6	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75
4 Wai 2013	Cioddy	13:28	63.9	64.2	61.3	04	00.0	Chaseinic Ecver	75
		13:33	64.2	65.1	62.4				
		13:38	64.4	65.4	63.0				
		10:50	61.1	63.8	59.8				
		10:55	62.3	63.5	59.7			<baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="4">75</td></baseline>	75
12 Mar 2019	Cloudy	11:00	62.3	63.7	58.9	62	66.6		
12 Wai 2010	Cloudy	11:05	61.5	63.9	58.7	02			
		11:10	61.9	64.0	59.1				
		11:15	62.3	63.8	59.9				
		09:55	61.2	62.2	60.0			<baseline 75<="" level="" td=""><td></td></baseline>	
		10:00	61.4	62.5	59.3				75
21 Mar 2019	Fine	10:05	61.3	63.1	60.1	61	66.6		
21 Wai 2010	1 1110	10:10	61.2	62.8	59.5		00.0	VDUCOINIO ECVOI	70
		10:15	61.4	63.3	60.4				
		10:20	61.1	63.3	59.9				
		10:12	61.9	63.2	59.0	62			
		10:17	61.7	63.0	58.7				
27 Mar 2019	Fine	10:22	61.7	63.2	59.0		66.6	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75
		10:27	62.6	64.6	60.0] "-	55.5	OU.U CDASeillie Level	
		10:32	63.6	65.6	60.2				
	1	10:37	62.8	65.6	59.4				




Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)


Location: NMC-05 - G/F, Hong Wah Court Block B Yee Hong House

			Measure	ement Noi	ise Level	Average Noise Level	Baseline Level	Construction Noise Level	Limit Level		
Date	Weather	Time	Leq	L10	L90	Leq	Leq	Leq	Leq		
			Unit:	Unit: dB(A), (5-min)			Unit: dB(A), (30-min)				
		17:04	57.9	59.6	55.3						
		17:09	58.2	60.1	56.3						
4 Mar 2019	Cloudy	17:14	57.9	59.9	55.6	58	61.8	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75		
4 Wai 2013	Cloudy	17:19	57.8	60.3	55.4	30	01.0	Chaseinic Ecver	75		
		17:24	58.4	60.6	56.1						
		17:29	58.2	60.9	55.4						
		11:27	57.3	60.1	54.9						
		11:32	57.5	61.6	54.7			<baseline level<="" td=""><td rowspan="4">75</td></baseline>	75		
12 Mar 2019	Cloudy	11:37	58.1	61.2	55.5	58	61.8				
12 IVIAI 2013	Cloudy	11:42	58.0	61.5	55.2	30					
		11:47	57.8	61.7	54.3						
		11:52	57.5	61.2	54.6						
		13:10	57.3	59.4	55.8			<baseline level<="" td=""><td></td></baseline>			
		13:15	57.3	60.0	55.4				75		
21 Mar 2019	Fine	13:20	58.1	60.2	54.6	58	61.8				
21 Wai 2010	1 1110	13:25	57.7	59.9	54.2		01.0	ADAGOMIC EGVO	70		
		13:30	57.5	61.3	55.1						
		13:35	57.4	60.8	54.6						
		10:56	60.5	62.0	58.4						
		11:01	59.5	61.6	57.4						
27 Mar 2019	Fine	11:06	59.9	61.8	57.2	60	61.8	<baseline level<="" td=""><td>75</td></baseline>	75		
27 Wai 2019	1 1110	11:11	60.4	63.2	56.6		01.0	CDASCINIC LCVGI	7.5		
		11:16	58.8	59.8	57.4						
	11:21	59.3	61.0	57.2			1				




Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)









Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)







Graphic Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result Day Time (0700 - 1900hrs on normal weekdays)





Appendix 5.3

Air Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-1 - Shun Lee Fire Station

Action Level (μ g/m3) - 284.4 Limit Level (μ g/m3) - 500.0

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:10	17.7
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:11	22.2
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:12	39.2
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:20	19.5
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:21	18.7
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:22	19.2
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	26.3
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	24.7
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	22.8
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	08:14	29.2
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:15	26.0
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:16	22.3
27-Mar-19	Fine	08:57	37.4
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:58	36.9
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:59	35.1



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-2 - Shun Lee Estate Lee Hang House

Action Level (μ g/m3) - 282.4 Limit Level (μ g/m3) - 500.0

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:52	25.2
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:05	20.0
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:06	25.9
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:40	25.4
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:41	28.1
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:42	25.6
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	67.2
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	62.8
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	67.2
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:02	29.7
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:03	28.0
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	23.0
27-Mar-19	Fine	08:35	40.2
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:36	33.8
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:37	32.1



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-3 - Shun Lee Disciplined Services

 Quarters (Block 6)

 Action Level (μg/m3) 287.9

 Limit Level (μg/m3) 500.0

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:08	19.1
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:09	23.7
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:10	51.9
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:30	48.5
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:31	47.5
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:32	48.6
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	76.3
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	66.7
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	68.0
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:01	26.3
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:02	23.2
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	21.5
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:30	34.6
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:31	31.6
27-Mar-19	Fine	13:05	33.0



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-4 - Sienna Garden

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Action Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 281.6 \\ \text{Limit Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 500.0 \\ \end{array}$

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:28	25.9
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:29	35.1
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:30	83.3
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:10	40.3
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:11	40.8
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:12	41.8
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	59.5
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	55.5
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	64.3
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	08:11	17.9
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:12	16.9
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:13	20.7
27-Mar-19	Fine	08:50	42.4
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:51	41.1
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:52	38.0



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at NCWBR_AMS-5 - Shun Chi Court Shun Fung

House

Action Level (μg/m3) - 270.0 Limit Level (μg/m3) - 500.0

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:03	13.3
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:04	28.8
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:05	29.0
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:15	30.7
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:16	31.2
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:17	26.1
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	37.7
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	34.5
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	38.9
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:05	46.6
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:06	16.8
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	14.5
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:10	25.7
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:11	25.9
27-Mar-19	Fine	13:00	32.8



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at LTR_AMS-1 - St Edward's Catholic Primary School

Action Level (μ g/m3) - 272.1 Limit Level (μ g/m3) - 500.0

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:40	7.8
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:41	12.3
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:42	25.3
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:25	71.9
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:26	67.0
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:27	68.0
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	28.4
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	28.8
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	27.9
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	08:09	13.6
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:10	12.2
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:11	12.3
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:55	21.6
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:56	20.4
27-Mar-19	Fine	13:00	20.5



Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at LTR_AMS-2 - Environmental Protection Department's Restored Landfill Site Office Action Level (μ g/m3) - 281.1 Limit Level (μ g/m3) - 500.0

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:45	12.0
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:46	18.1
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:47	31.5
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:45	17.4
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:46	23.1
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:47	18.1
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	13.3
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	12.0
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	13.5
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	08:15	18.5
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:16	16.4
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:17	15.5
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:43	16.2
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:44	15.6
27-Mar-19	Fine	13:00	20.5





Report on 1-hour TSP monitoring at LTR_AMS-3 - Po Tat Estate Tat Kai House

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Action Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 285.1 \\ \text{Limit Level } (\mu g/m3) \text{ -} & 500.0 \\ \end{array}$


Doto	Maathar Candition	Times	Mass Consentration (up/m2)
Date	Weather Condition	Time	Mass Concentration (µg/m3)
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:34	22.6
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:35	32.8
04-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:36	82.4
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	8:50	57.8
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	9:51	57.0
09-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:52	56.3
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	13:00	54.3
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	14:01	50.7
15-Mar-19	Cloudy	15:02	57.0
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	08:17	20.7
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	09:18	20.6
21-Mar-19	Cloudy	10:19	20.5
27-Mar-19	Fine	09:34	49.1
27-Mar-19	Fine	10:35	46.8
27-Mar-19	Fine	13:00	46.4


Graphic Presentation of TSP Result








Graphic Presentation of TSP Result
















Appendix 5.4

Water Quality Monitoring Results and Graphical Presentations



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station E - Channelized nullah across the Project site (Upstream Control Station)

Date	Time	Weater Condition	Sampling Depth	Wat	ter Temp	perature		pН			Salini ppt	ty	D	O Satur	ation		DO mg/L			Turbid NTU		Suspend	ded Solids
		Condition	m	Va		Average	Va		Average	Va		Average	Va		Average	Va	lue	Average	Va		Average		Average
01-03-19	-	- Cloudy	Surface	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	-			-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
04-03-19	-	Fine	Surface	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	-			-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	<u> </u>
06-03-19	-	Cloudy	Surface	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	
	-			-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
08-03-19	9:43	Cloudy	Surface	19.30	19.30	19.25	7.84	7.84	7.9	1.34	1.34	1.35	105.8	106.0	105.28	9.69	9.71	9.6	7.70	7.69	7.7	2.4	2.7
	9:45			19.20	19.20		7.87	7.87		1.35	1.35		104.6	104.7		9.58	9.59		7.69	7.68		3.0	
11-03-19	16:45	Fine	Surface	19.70	19.70	19.75	7.56	7.56	7.5	0.03	0.03	0.03	104.0	103.8	103.03	9.49	9.47	9.4	10.07	10.00	10.0	2.0	2.2
	16:47			19.80	19.80		7.47	7.47		0.03	0.03		102.3	102.0		9.32	9.30		9.94	9.94		2.4	
13-03-19	16:00	Fine	Surface	19.80	19.80	19.80	7.99	7.99	8.0	0.03	0.03	0.03	107.6	107.2	105.80	9.80	9.76	9.6	10.95	10.93	10.9	1.6	1.9
	16:02			19.80	19.80		7.98	7.98		0.03	0.03		104.3	104.1		9.49	9.46		10.92	10.92		2.2	
15-03-19	15:00	Cloudy	Surface	19.50	19.50	19.50	8.19	8.19	8.2	0.04	0.04	0.04	76.9	76.0	75.45	7.05	6.98	6.9	10.37	10.37	10.4	1.9	2.0
	15:02			19.50	19.50		8.19	8.19		0.04	0.04		75.0	73.9		6.88	6.77		10.37	10.37		2.0	
18-03-19	-	Cloudy	Surface	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_
	-	,		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
20-03-19	17:00	Cloudy	Surface	21.80	21.80	21.85	8.40	8.40	8.40	0.49	0.49	0.50	102.0	101.8	101.93	8.92	8.90	8.91	14.70	14.68	14.68	17.9	18.2
20 00 10	17:02	Cioudy	Sunass	21.90	21.90	21.00	8.40	8.40	0.10	0.51	0.51	0.00	102.1	101.8	101.00	8.92	8.88	0.01	14.68	14.66	11.00	18.5	10.2
22-03-19	9:40	Cloudy	Surface	21.80	21.80	21.85	7.80	7.80	7.8	0.10	0.10	0.10	96.4	96.6	96.25	8.45	8.46	8.4	10.96	10.97	11.0	11.4	11.0
22 00 10	9:42	Cioudy	Sunass	21.90	21.90	21.00	7.77	7.77	7.0	0.10	0.10	0.10	95.8	96.2	00.20	8.39	8.43	0	10.95	10.95		10.5	11.0
25-03-19	16:20	Cloudy	Surface	20.90	20.90	20.90	7.60	7.60	7.6	0.05	0.05	0.05	102.5	102.4	101.40	9.14	9.13	9.0	22.10	22.04	21.6	9.9	10.1
	16:22			20.90	20.90		7.57	7.57		0.05	0.05		100.3	100.4		8.93	8.94		21.25	21.18		10.3	
27-03-19	-	Cloudy	Surface	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	_	-	
	-			-	-		•	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
29-03-19	10:00	Fine	Surface	21.80	21.80	21.95	8.03	8.03	8.0	0.03	0.03	0.04	94.4	94.1	94.00	8.25	8.21	8.2	9.89	9.89	9.9	4.9	5.0
25-03-15	10:02	FILE	Juliace	22.10	22.10	21.90	8.02	8.02	0.0	0.04	0.04	0.04	93.6	93.9	94.00	8.16	8.18	0.2	9.89	9.88	9.9	5.1	3.0

Remarks

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.

Upstream Monitoring Station (Monitoring Station E) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station F - Channelized nullah across the Project site (Downstream Impact Station)

Date	Time	Weater Condition	Sampling Depth	Wat	er Temp	erature		pH -			Salini	ty	С	O Satur	ation		DO mg/L			Turbid		Suspend	ded Solids
			m	Va	lue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Va	alue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Va	alue	Average	Value	Average
01-03-19	9:15	Cloudy	Surface	19.90	19.90	20.00	8.17	8.17	8.1	0.40	0.40	0.41	112.1	111.3	110.73	10.15	10.07	10.0	6.35	6.36	6.3	2.4	2.6
	9:17			20.10	20.10		8.10	8.10		0.42	0.42		110.0	109.5		9.92	9.90		6.26	6.27		2.7	
04-03-19	14:55	Fine	Surface	21.70	21.70	21.70	7.73	7.73	7.7	0.18	0.18	0.18	88.8	91.0	90.70	7.88	8.09	8.1	6.52	6.52	6.5	4.6	4.7
	14:57			21.70	21.70		7.73	7.73		0.18	0.18		91.2	91.8		8.10	8.16		6.44	6.44		4.8	
06-03-19	9:45	Cloudy	Surface	20.10	20.10	20.20	8.22	8.22	8.2	0.83	0.83	0.85	111.1	110.1	109.10	10.00	9.90	9.8	6.15	6.17	6.1	5.1	5.1
	9:47			20.30	20.30		8.23	8.23		0.86	0.86		108.0	107.2		9.71	9.63		6.09	6.06		5.1	
08-03-19	9:56	Cloudy	Surface	17.90	17.90	17.90	7.74	7.74	7.7	0.10	0.10	0.10	102.1	101.4	101.53	9.66	9.62	9.6	7.06	7.04	7.0	4.6	4.7
	9:58			17.90	17.90		7.68	7.68		0.10	0.10		101.3	101.3		9.60	9.56		7.00	7.00		4.7	
11-03-19	17:00	Fine	Surface	19.10	19.10	19.15	8.14	8.14	8.2	0.08	0.08	0.08	107.1	106.4	105.38	9.86	9.82	9.7	8.21	8.19	8.2	4.2	4.3
	17:02			19.20	19.20		8.17	8.17		0.08	0.08		104.1	103.9		9.56	9.55		8.16	8.17		4.3	
13-03-19	16:15	Fine	Surface	19.50	19.50	19.60	7.56	7.56	7.5	0.07	0.07	0.08	108.2	107.6	107.05	9.91	9.84	9.8	6.35	6.37	6.4	3.4	3.6
	16:17			19.70	19.70		7.46	7.46		0.08	0.08		106.2	106.2		9.71	9.70		6.44	6.41		3.7	
15-03-19	11:15	Cloudy	Surface	19.50	19.50	19.35	8.20	8.20	8.2	0.52	0.52	0.50	99.4	100.7	98.48	9.18	9.29	9.1	13.54	13.41	13.4	20.4	<u>20.6</u>
	11:17			19.20	19.20		8.19	8.19		0.48	0.48		97.8	96.0		9.02	8.85		13.31	13.32		20.7	
18-03-19	11:10	Cloudy	Surface	19.70	19.70	19.80	7.58	7.58	7.5	0.27	0.27	0.27	111.2	110.3	109.15	10.13	10.04	9.9	6.00	6.06	6.1	3.7	4.0
	11:12			19.90	19.90		7.45	7.48		0.27	0.27		107.7	107.4		9.79	9.76		6.08	6.08		4.3	
20-03-19	10:40	Cloudy	Surface	21.80	21.80	21.85	7.97	7.97	8.0	0.57	0.57	0.58	99.9	99.9	100.08	8.73	8.73	8.7	6.05	6.00	6.0	3.2	3.5
	10:42			21.90	21.90		7.97	7.97		0.58	0.58		100.3	100.2		8.76	8.75		5.99	5.98		3.8	
22-03-19	9:30	Cloudy	Surface	22.40	22.40	22.60	7.78	7.78	7.8	0.10	0.10	0.11	96.4	96.6	96.50	8.30	8.31	8.3	5.05	5.00	5.0	3.7	3.6
	9:32			22.80	22.80		7.76	7.76		0.11	0.11		96.5	96.5		8.30	8.30		4.96	4.97		3.4	
25-03-19	16:40	Cloudy	Surface	20.40	20.40	20.50	9.96	9.96	10.0	0.22	0.22	0.22	95.5	95.5 93.9	94.08	8.57	8.57 8.42	8.4	112.30		112.2	86.1 85.3	<u>85.7</u>
	16:42			20.60	21.00		8.22	8.22		0.22	0.22		93.7	93.9		8.20	8.42		112.10	10.98		3.2	
27-03-19	12:52	Cloudy	Surface	21.00	21.00	21.03	8.22	8.22	8.2	0.15	0.15	0.15	93.7	93.0	93.08	8.22	8.24	8.3	10.91	10.98	10.9	4.6	3.9
	10:20			22.10	22.10		8.09	8.22		0.15	0.15		85.2	85.4		8.22	8.30		4.41	4.40		2.2	
29-03-19	10:20	Fine	Surface	22.10	22.10	22.15	8.09	8.09	8.1	0.16		0.16	85.4	85.4	85.28	8.28	8.27	8.3	4.41	4.44	4.4	2.2	2.2
	10:22			22.20	22.20		8.02	8.02		0.16	0.16		85.4	85.1		8.29	8.27		4.42	4.44		2.1	

Remarks

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.



Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station H - Ma Yau Tong Stream (Upstream Control Station)

Date	Time	Weater Condition	Sampling Depth	Wat	er Temp	erature		pН			Salini		С	O Satur	ration		DO ma/L			Turbid			led Solids a/L
		Condition	m	Va	llue	Average	Va		Average	Va		Average	Va	alue	Average	Va		Average	Va	alue		Value	
04.00.40	9:45	Olevetic	Overfor a	20.30	20.30	00.05	7.69	7.69	7.7	0.91	0.91	0.04	107.0	106.8	400.00	9.60	9.58	0.5	9.52	9.51	0.5	5.6	5.0
01-03-19	9:47	Cloudy	Surface	20.40	20.40	20.35	7.66	7.66	7.7	0.91	0.91	0.91	106.0	105.1	106.23	9.50	9.40	9.5	9.54	9.56	9.5	5.5	5.6
04-03-19	11:30	Fine	Surface	21.30	21.30	21.40	8.12	8.12	8.1	0.56	0.56	0.56	90.2	91.1	90.30	7.88	8.09	8.1	6.39	6.50	6.4	7.7	7.5
04-03-19	11:32	Fille	Surface	21.50	21.50	21.40	8.11	8.11	0.1	0.55	0.55	0.50	90.4	89.5	90.30	8.10	8.16	0.1	6.43	6.43	0.4	7.2	7.5
06-03-19	10:35	Cloudy	Surface	20.40	20.40	20.45	8.48	8.48	8.5	0.41	0.41	0.41	110.0	109.6	107.85	9.89	9.87	9.7	21.74	21.82	21.8	16.6	16.4
00-00-10	10:37	Oloudy	Curiaco	20.50	20.50	20.40	8.54	8.54	0.0	0.41	0.41	0.41	106.1	105.7	107.00	9.59	9.50	0.7	21.75	21.76	21.0	16.1	10.4
08-03-19	12:52	Cloudy	Surface	17.90	17.90	17.90	7.32	7.32	7.3	0.43	0.43	0.43	105.0	104.8	104.53	9.93	9.92	9.9	54.14	54.14	54.1	45.3	45.3
	12:54	Oloudy	Carraco	17.90	17.90	11.00	7.31	7.31	7.0	0.43	0.43	0.10	104.5	103.8	101.00	9.89	9.82	0.0	54.14	54.14	0	45.2	10.0
11-03-19	15:55	Fine	Surface	20.60	20.60	20.70	7.54	7.54	7.5	1.45	1.45	1.46	105.5	105.7	104.95	9.37	9.39	9.3	29.38	29.38	29.4	26.6	27.3
	15:57			20.80	20.80		7.47	7.47		1.47	1.47		104.3	104.3		9.26	9.26		29.38	29.38		27.9	
13-03-19	15:10	Fine	Surface	21.40	21.40	21.45	7.89	7.89	7.9	1.68	1.68	1.69	105.9	105.6	104.93	9.26	9.26	9.2	6.87	6.96	6.9	6.4	6.2
	15:12			21.50	21.50		7.83	7.83		1.69	1.69		104.7	103.5		9.14	9.03		6.95	6.91		5.9	
15-03-19	13:50	Cloudy	Surface	19.10	19.10	19.15	8.48	8.48	8.5	0.65	0.65	0.65	99.2	99.6	100.15	9.14	9.18	9.2	52.71	52.71	52.7	49.0	50.5
	13:52	,		19.20	19.20		8.48	8.48		0.64	0.64		101.6	100.2		9.35	9.23		52.71	52.71		52.0	
18-03-19	10:30	Cloudy	Surface	20.10	20.10	20.20	7.48	7.48	7.4	0.75	0.75	0.75	101.3	101.4	100.28	9.13	9.13	9.0	4.82	4.74	4.8	4.9	4.5
	10:32			20.30	20.30		7.34	7.34		0.75	0.75		99.2	99.2		8.92	8.92		4.80	4.81		4.1	
20-03-19	12:30	Cloudy	Surface	22.20	22.20	22.35	7.83	7.83	7.8	1.03	1.03	1.03	104.3	103.1	101.70	8.99	8.88	8.7	3.81	3.78	3.8	1.4	1.7
	12:32	,		22.50	22.50		7.83	7.83		1.03	1.03		100.2	99.2		8.59	8.52		3.88	3.84		1.9	
22-03-19	10:30	Cloudy	Surface	22.50	22.50	22.65	7.47	7.47	7.4	0.50	0.50	0.50	91.6	92.0	91.75	7.88	7.91	7.9	6.93	6.99	7.0	6.6	6.2
	10:32	,		22.80	22.80		7.41	7.41		0.50	0.50		91.6	91.8		7.86	7.89		6.99	6.98		5.8	
25-03-19	15:20	Cloudy	Surface	20.80	20.80	20.85	7.75	7.75	7.7	0.62	0.62	0.63	93.7	93.8	94.18	8.52	8.53	8.5	46.23	46.02	46.2	38.3	37.8
	15:22			20.90	20.90		7.74	7.74		0.63	0.63		94.6	94.6		8.41	8.41		46.26	46.27		37.2	
27-03-19	10:20	Cloudy	Surface	20.80	20.90	20.88	8.46	8.48	8.5	0.79	0.79	0.79	92.9	91.8	92.23	8.25	8.15	8.2	10.91	11.08	11.0	10.1	10.4
	10:22			20.90	20.90		8.48	8.49		0.79	0.79		92.3	91.9		8.19	8.15		11.04	11.05		10.6	
29-03-19	9:45	Fine	Surface	22.60	22.60	22.70	7.91	7.91	7.9	1.15	1.15	1.15	87.5	87.6	87.10	7.49	7.50	7.5	6.84	6.82	6.8	2.6	2.7
	9:47			22.80	22.80		7.89	7.89		1.15	1.15		86.2	87.1		7.38	7.45		6.81	6.81		2.7	

Remarks:

Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.

Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.

Upstream Monitoring Station (Monitoring Station H) would be taken as control reference for exceedance investigation only.




Water Monitoring Result at Monitoring Station I - Ma Yau Tong Stream (Downstream Impact Station)


Date	Time	Weater Condition	Sampling Depth	Wat	ter Temp	perature		pН			Salini ppt	ty	D	O Satur	ation		DO mg/L			Turbid NTU			ded Solids
		Condition	m	Va	lue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Va	lue	Average	Value	Average
01-03-19	10:05	Cloudy	Surface	20.30	20.30	20.35	7.80	7.80	7.8	0.34	0.34	0.34	113.7	113.2	112.10	10.20	10.19	10.1	7.24	7.13	7.2	10.8	11.0
	10:07	·		20.40	20.40		7.80	7.80		0.34	0.34		110.7	110.8		9.97	9.97		7.19	7.19		11.2	
04-03-19	12:45	Fine	Surface	21.20	21.20	21.20	7.61	7.61	7.6	0.27	0.27	0.27	96.0	93.3	94.48	8.51	8.26	8.3	2.81	2.81	2.8	1.8	1.7
	12:47			21.20	21.20		7.61	7.61		0.27	0.27		96.4	92.2		8.26	8.16		2.86	2.86		1.6	
06-03-19	10:50	Cloudy	Surface	20.40	20.40	20.40	8.14	8.14	8.1	0.26	0.26	0.26	111.5	110.9	109.93	10.04	9.98	9.9	6.92	7.08	7.0	6.1	5.8
	10:52			20.40	20.40		8.08	8.08		0.26	0.26		108.9	108.4		9.78	9.76		6.99	7.04		5.5	
08-03-19	13:15	Cloudy	Surface	18.30	18.30	18.25	7.30	7.30	7.3	0.20	0.20	0.20	107.7	107.5	107.05	10.14	10.12	10.1	53.96	54.10	53.9	74.5	70.3
	13:17			18.20	18.20		7.25	7.25		0.20	0.20		106.6	106.4		10.03	10.02		53.79	53.67		66.1	
11-03-19	16:25	Fine	Surface	20.20	20.20	20.25	7.46	7.46	7.5	0.30	0.30	0.30	106.6	106.5	104.73	9.61	9.60	9.4	10.97	10.93	10.9	9.9	9.5
	16:27			20.30	20.30		7.45	7.45		0.30	0.30		102.9	102.9		9.27	9.28		10.92	10.91		9.0	
13-03-19	15:35	Fine	Surface	20.70	20.70	20.80	7.81	7.81	7.8	0.31	0.31	0.31	104.0	104.2	103.68	9.28	9.30	9.2	8.91	8.99	8.9	11.0	10.7
	15:37			20.90	20.90		7.87	7.87		0.31	0.31		103.2	103.3		9.20	9.21		8.93	8.94		10.3	
15-03-19	14:05	Cloudy	Surface	19.20	19.20	19.25	8.03	8.03	8.0	0.30	0.30	0.30	95.8	98.2	98.10	8.82	9.04	9.0	28.25	28.23	28.4	12.4	13.2
	14:07			19.30	19.30		8.00	8.00		0.30	0.30		99.4	99.0		9.15	9.11		28.22	28.91		14.0	1
18-03-19	10:05	Cloudy	Surface	20.80	20.80	20.85	7.53	7.53	7.5	0.44	0.44	0.45	105.2	104.8	104.23	9.38	9.34	9.3	5.78	5.79	5.8	7.4	7.5
	10:07			20.90	20.90		7.54	7.54		0.45	0.45		103.9	103.0		9.23	9.17		5.79	5.82		7.5	
20-03-19	12:50	Cloudy	Surface	22.40	22.40	22.45	7.89	7.89	7.9	0.30	0.30	0.30	103.1	102.5	101.80	8.91	8.85 8.69	8.8	1.90	1.90	1.9	7.2	7.3
	10:45			22.90	22.90		7.87	7.87		0.30	0.30		101.0	100.6		8.72	8.75		12.30	12.31		7.6	
22-03-19	10:45	Cloudy	Surface	22.90	22.90	22.90	7.48	7.48	7.5	0.29	0.29	0.29	99.9	99.8	101.23	8.53	8.52	8.6	12.29	12.29	12.3	7.0	7.3
	15:00			21.40	21.40		8.18	8.18		1.00	1.00		96.4	96.3		8.44	8.43		72.14	71.98		62.7	
25-03-19	15:02	Cloudy	Surface	21.40	21.40	21.40	8.16	8.16	8.2	1.01	1.00	1.01	95.5	95.5	95.93	8.37	8.35	8.4	72.09	71.98	72.0	61.9	62.3
	11:15			21.30	21.30		8.24	8.21		0.33	0.33		95.7	95.2		8.44	8.39		7.01	7.00		3.3	+
27-03-19	11:17	Cloudy	Surface	21.30	21.30	21.30	8.23	8.20	8.2	0.33	0.33	0.33	95.5	94.7	95.28	8.41	8.34	8.4	6.96	6.98	7.0	3.6	3.5
	9:30			23.60	23.60		8.07	8.07		0.66	0.66		98.3	98.5		8.27	8.29		16.91	16.95		9.8	+
29-03-19	9:32	Fine	Surface	23.80	23.80	23.70	8.08	8.08	8.1	0.68	0.68	0.67	98.3	98.1	98.30	8.26	8.25	8.3	16.97	16.96	16.9	10.1	10.0

Remarks


Single underline denotes exceedance over Action Level.


Double underline denotes exceedance over Limit Level.
























































Appendix 5.5

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Contract No.: NE/2017/03

Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works and Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Works Phase 2A

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2019(year)

		Actual Quanti	ties of Inert C&D	Materials Generate	ed Monthly			Actual Quantities of	C&D Wastes G	enerated Monthly	
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)
Jan	0.514	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.514	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005
Feb	0.419	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.419	0.000	0.010	0.103	0.020	0.000	0.004
Mar	0.672	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.672	0.000	0.001	0.084	0.002	0.000	0.005
Apr											
May											
Jun											
Sub-total	1.605	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.605	0.000	0.010	0.187	0.022	0.000	0.014
Jul											
Aug											
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	1.605	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.605	0.000	0.010	0.187	0.022	0.000	0.014

Contract No.: NE/2017/03

Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works and Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Works Phase 2A

	Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract*												
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse			
(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m ³)			
7.000	0	0	0	7.000	0	100.000	2.000	0.300	1.000	3.500			

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 6.14.
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material and waste will be collected by recycler for recycling
- (4) Use the conversion factor, density of general refuse (1 t/m³) and inert C&D materials (2 t/m³).
- (5) Use the conversion factor for chemical waste (0.88kg/L)

Service Contract No: EDO/01/2017 Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works

Appendix 6.1

Event Action Plans



Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

EVENT		ACTION	
	ET	IEC ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level being exceeded	Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	 Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the ER accordingly; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. Review the investigation failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	Submit noise mitigation proposals to ET Leader / ER; Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level being exceeded	Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly. Supervise the implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.




Event and Action Plan for Construction Air Quality

EVENT		ACTION		
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for one sample	Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; and Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	Notify Contractor.	Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; and Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; Advise the Contractor and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with Contractor, IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; and 4. Amend proposal as appropriate.



Event and Action Plan for Construction Air Quality (Con't)

FVENT		ACTION		
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
LIMIT LEVEL				
1. Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	 Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; and Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; and If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.



Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

EVENT		ACTI	ON	
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
ACTION LEVEL				
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	 Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, ER and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Action level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	 Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC and Contractor; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily; Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance. 	Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with ET, IEC and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	 Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, ER and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.



Event and Action Plan for Water Quality (cont'd)

EVENT		ACTI	ON	
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
LIMIT LEVEL				
Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day	 Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level. 	Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures.
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	 Repeat in situ measurement to confirm findings; Identify reasons for noncompliance and source(s) of impact; Inform IEC Contractor and EPD; Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods; Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Ensure mitigation measures are implemented; Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit level for two consecutive days. 	Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on the mitigation measures; Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.	Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures; Request Contractor to critically review the working methods; Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit level.	Inform the ER and confirm notification of the noncompliance in writing; Rectify unacceptable practice; Check all plant and equipment; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within three working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures; As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities.



Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual

EVENT		ACT	TON	
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
LIMIT LEVEL				
Nonconformity on one occasion	 Identify source(s); Inform the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor; Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed 	Check inspection report; Check contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures; Check implementation of remedial measures	Confirm receipt of notification of non-conformity in writing Review and agree on the remedial measures proposed by the Contractor; Supervise implementation of remedial	Identify source and investigate the non- conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement
Repeated Nonconformity	Identify source(s) Inform the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss inspection frequency Discuss remedial actions with IEC, ER and Contractor Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; If non- conformity stops, cease additional monitoring	Check inspection report Check Contractor's working method Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measures Supervise implementation of remedial measures	Notify the Contractor In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented Supervise implementation of remedial measures	Identify source and investigate the non- conformity Implement remedial measures Amend working methods agreed with ER as appropriate Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. Stop relevant portion of works as determined by ER until the non- conformity is abated.




Appendix 6.2

Summary for Notification of Exceedance



Lam Environmental Services Limited

Ref no.	Date	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_18RIW2_009	15-Mar-19	F	Turbidity (NTU)	13.4	24.4	32.7	Possible reason:	Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of water abstraction location for the water quality monitoring station.
			рН	8.2	6.6-8.4	6.5-8.5		quality monitoring station.
			SS (mg/L)	20.6	17.0	23.8	Action taken/ to be taken:	Checking with contractor for the construction activities conducted on 15 March 2019. Reviewing the suspended solid results on 18 March 2019, no exceedances were recorded.
			DO(mg/l)	9.1	5.8	5.5		
							Remarks/ Other Observations:	Construction of inspection pit was commenced at RIW2 construction site area under contract no. NE/2017/03 on the monitoring date, however, no surface runoff and no effluent discharge from construction works area into the concerned waterbody was observed during monitoring. In view of the above, it is considered that there were no evidence to suggest the exceedances were related to Project
								works at RIW2.
X_18RIW2_010	25-Mar-19	F	Turbidity (NTU)	112.2	24.4	32.7	Possible reason:	Natural variation in water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station or suspected contribution from other construction site activities at the upper of Fei Ngo Shan Road.
			pН	10.00	6.6-8.4	6.5-8.5		Softenbullot from other constitution site activities at the appeal of the riggs charmond.
			SS (mg/L)	85.7	17.0	23.8	Action taken/ to be taken:	A repeated in-situ measurement (Turbidity = 111.65 NTU, pH = 9.99) had been conducted immeditately to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor for the construction activities conducted on 25 Mar 2019. Increased the monitoring frequency to daily on 26 Mar 2019, no exceedance was recorded. For suspended solid, no exceedance was recorded on 27 Mar 2019. Data sheet are attached for reference.
			DO(mg/l)	8.4	5.8	5.5		
							Remarks/ Other Observations:	Slighty milky water was observed at the upstream of monitoring station F during water quality monitoring, meanwhile, other existing construction site at the upper of Fei Ngo Shan Road was observed at upstream. Inspection pit and central median removal were commenced at RIW2 construction site area under Contract No. NE/2017/03 on the monitoring date, however, no surface runoff and no effluent discharge from construction works area into the concerned waterbody was observed during monitoring and afterward daily monitoring. In view of the above, it is considered that there were no evidence to suggest the exceedances were related to Project works at RIW2. Daily programme and site condition photos are attached for reference.



Appendix 8.1

Complaint Log




Environmental Complaints Log


Complair Log No.	t Date of Complaint	Received From and Received By	Location of Complainant	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Status



Appendix 9.1

Construction Programme of Individual Contracts





Activity ID	Activity Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2019					
						Feb		Mar	Apr	May
Order admirations Wester		128	24 lon 10 A	04 101 10	-	14	-	15	16	1/
Sub-structure Works			24-Jan-19 A	04-Jul-19						
CON500420	Excavate & install support at SYA-F1 (+144 to +130.5mPD, 2321m3, 40m3/d +	84	24-Jan-19 A	15-May-19			:			:
CON500510	Construct footing SYA-F1 (+130.5 ~ +134mPD)	41	16-May-19	04-Jul-19						
Pedestrian Connectivity Facility System B (SYB)		131	21-Feb-19	01-Aug-19						
Construction Works		131	21-Feb-19	01-Aug-19						
Preliminary Works		51	21-Feb-19	25-Apr-19						
CON50188	Install monitoring & instrumentation (PC-SYB)	24	21-Feb-19	20-Mar-19						
CON50200	Erect hoarding at portion K lower area (near slope side)	24	25-Feb-19	23-Mar-19			-			
CON50220	Form haul road	24	25-Mar-19	25-Apr-19						
CON51110	Erect hoarding at portion L lower area (near existing footbridge side)	24	25-Mar-19	25-Apr-19			:			
Foundation Works	Foundation Works		26-Apr-19	18-May-19						
CON50280	Moblisation of construct socket H-pile works to SYB-PC2	18	26-Apr-19	18-May-19						
CON50260	Moblisation of socketted H pile works to SYB-PC3	18	26-Apr-19	18-May-19						
Earth Works		80	26-Apr-19	01-Aug-19						
CON50240	Slope works - slope B2	23	26-Apr-19	24-May-19						
CON50230	Cut slope works - slope B1 (3900m3, 50m3/d)	77	30-Apr-19	01-Aug-19						