

# Transition Edge Sensor Bolometers for CMB Polarimetry

**Dominic J Benford**

Observational Cosmology Laboratory, NASA / GSFC, Greenbelt, MD 20771 USA  
Phone: +1.301.286.8771

E-mail: [Dominic.Benford@nasa.gov](mailto:Dominic.Benford@nasa.gov)

**Abstract.** A superconducting transition-edge sensor (TES) bolometer is a highly sensitive detector of light that can resolve individual photons at energies above the near-infrared and can operate at all wavelengths between the radio and gamma rays. The TES is a thermal sensor that measures a total power rate or energy deposition by measuring with great precision the increase in the resistance of a superconducting material that is biased within the superconducting-to-normal transition region. (1) Two to five pages describing the technology, including a technical description, a description of its state of maturity, and a discussion of its benefits and disadvantages, from a statistical and systematic viewpoints. (2) A one or two page analysis of the technological readiness level of this option (see attached description of NASA's TRL definitions), and of the cost and timescale that would be required for the community to bring the technology to TRL 5, which would be a level appropriate to respond to an Announcement of Opportunity for a satellite mission.


## 1. A Broad History of TES Bolometers

Going back into history, the bolometer can be said to have originated a very long time ago. Arguably, the Greeks<sup>1</sup> and Incas<sup>2</sup> understood how to extract heat from light, so perhaps bolometry has existed since antiquity. (The more pedantic might argue that, first of all, the above examples are not designed to measure the heat in light in any precise way, and hence the “-metry” portion of the term is invalid, and additionally, it appears likely that the ancients believed that mirrors and lenses could be used to ignite fires by capturing and concentrating fire received from the sun, hence implying that there was no concept at the time of measuring the heat content of light to begin with.) I will begin this didactic history of the bolometer by referencing Frederick William Herschel [1], who in the waning days of the 18th century figured out

<sup>1</sup> Archimedes is said to have used mirrors to concentrate sunlight onto Roman warships during the siege of Syracuse (214-212 BC) to have set them on fire; while the veracity of this story has long been in dispute, the Greeks were certainly aware of the heat content of sunlight, and this is mentioned by Aristophanes in his play *The Clouds* of 424 BC: “Good chance but you have noted / A pretty toy, a trinket in the shops, / Which being rightly held produceth fire / From things combustible – / A burning glass, / Vulgarly call'd” (translation of Thomas Mitchell, 1822).


<sup>2</sup> For instance, at the Feast of Raymi, a fire was ignited by using a concave metal mirror focused onto cotton wool; the failure of the sun, a key deity of the Incan religion, to ignite said fire was taken as an ill omen (see, e.g., *History of the Conquest of Peru* by William Prescott, 1855). In a fictional account (*Prisoners of the Sun*, 1949), Hergé suggests that the pyres of the executed might be ignited by mirror, thereby placing the responsibility for carrying out executions on the sun god.

that the infrared exists by means of no more simple equipment than a prism and a thermometer. Of course, the quantitative measurement of the solar spectrum was quite inaccurate since he was unaware of the change in dispersion with wavelength in the infrared, but the idea of using a thermometer to measure the total amount of energy in a beam of light was still pretty clever. This led, the better part of a century later, the scientific polyglot Samuel Pierpont Langley to invent the bolometer [2] for his investigations of the solar spectrum (a problem not fully solved during the intervening eighty years). His bolometer design is shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Bolometric instrument of S.P. Langley.

The next major advances in bolometry keep the core of Langley’s original principles: an absorber converting light into heat, and a thermistor converting heat into an electrical signal. Superconducting bolometers made an early appearance, separately suggested by Goetz [3] and developed by Andrews et al. [4], with a composite structure consisting of a blackened aluminum foil absorber attached to a tantalum thermistor. It is of historical note that the Andrews group [5] found excess noise in their CbN (now known as NbN) superconducting bolometer for which they could ascertain no cause; this problem seems to plague researchers still today. However, no excess noise was reported in the more systematic study of Fuson [6] at the same institution. An important but logical improvement (see, e.g., Boyle and Rodgers’ 4 K carbon resistor bolometer [7]) was made by Low [8] in 1961 by cooling the bolometer with liquid helium, increasing the sensitivity tremendously (at least a factor of ten, from an noise equivalent power (NEP) of  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  [7] to  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  [8]). It is in 1977 that the term “transition edge” makes its way into the literature [9], with the seminal works of Clarke et al. [10] (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Superconducting bolometer demonstrated by Clarke et al. [9].

The idea of multiplexing large arrays of TES bolometers [11] goes back at least as far as 1990, although that line of research apparently ended shortly thereafter. The modern – an imprecise word, to be sure – TES bolometer period begins, in my opinion, with the mid-1990s work of the Berkeley group on voltage-biased superconducting bolometers [12], although the salient ideas

were presented first by Kent Irwin [13] for particle detection. I therefore end the history of superconducting bolometers in 1996.

No introduction to bolometers would be complete without at least some mention of the role of fabrication techniques and operational approaches. For instance, the idea of making bolometers by sputtering metals onto thin films has been around for at least eighty years [14]. A bolometer operating below the thermodynamic limit for 300 K radiation existed in 1946 [6]. Co-deposition of patterned thermistor and absorber films on substrates goes back at least forty years [15]. An array of bolometers – five pixels – was described by De Waard at the same time [16]. Pushing to subKelvin temperatures [17] brought another order of magnitude improvement in the noise equivalent power (NEP) of a factor of ten over the previous [8] work to  $\sim 3 \times 10^{-14}$  W/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ . The concept of the monolithic bolometer – entirely produced by microlithographic techniques – was a breakthrough in 1984 by Downey et al. [18], although much of that had been done earlier [19]. Cooling bolometers with an adiabatic demagnetization refrigerator (ADR) was put forth by Britt and Richards in 1981 [20] with an eye to space flight use. The performance of a 200 mK bolometer was another two orders of magnitude better [21], at an NEP of  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-16}$  W/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ . The Berkeley group proposed the TES-based hot electron bolometer [22] – an antenna-coupled device – which would provide a 100 mK NEP of  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-18}$  W/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ , yet another two orders of magnitude and below the photon limit for most imaging applications except for the darkest sky in space.


There is also a wealth of historical discussion of the theory of bolometer performance. The WWII-era work of Milatz and Van Der Velden [23] on the noise components of bolometers is familiar even today. Billings et al. [24] worked out the sensitivity and temporal properties of metallic bolometers in 1947. The prolific R. Clark Jones developed explicit treatments for semiconducting bolometers [25] the ultimate sensitivity of Lambertian detectors [26], figures of merit for detectors [27], and culminating in his description of nonequilibrium bolometer performance in 1953 [28], three decades before the similar well-regarded work by John Mather [29]. This dynamic impedance view was extended by Y. Urano [30], who established a thermoelectric dynamic version resulting in stability predictions. At a similar time, investigations into superconducting bolometers pursued still-relevant topics such as the effect of bias current on bolometer behavior [31] and the excess noise on the transition [32]. An equivalent-circuit technique for superconducting bolometers was given by Maul and Strandberg [33], who were also involved in a noise-mitigation technique using external magnetic fields [34], and who claimed to have reached the thermodynamic limit by that means. Bertin and Rose [35] compared superconducting bolometers to the then-state-of-the-art semiconducting bolometers and concluded that superconducting bolometers were superior. Too bad this information was largely disregarded. An effort was made to model  $1/f$  noise [36] and phase-slip shot noise [37] in bolometers. The award for not-quite-insightful-enough goes to the ironically-named Sherlock and Wyatt for their general analysis of self-heating in bolometers which mentioned in passing that for superconducting bolometers, the positive electrothermal feedback induced by the current bias could be reduced to near zero if the load resistor were set to a value of roughly the TES resistance [38].

## 2. A Brief Theoretical Description of the TES Bolometer

A very thorough discussion of the theory of TES bolometers, in particular derivations of or at least lists of relevant equations, has been written by Irwin and Hilton [39]. I will not try to reproduce that weighty tome here, but will rather summarize the equations using their notation to facilitate comparison. The linear differential equations for the electrothermal behavior of a TES bolometer are:

$$L \frac{dI}{dt} = V - IR(T, I) \text{ and } C \frac{dT}{dt} = P_{\text{bath}} + P_J + P, \quad (1)$$

where the electrical parameters refer to the inductance  $L$ , the Thevenin equivalent bias voltage  $V$ , the measured detector current  $I$ , the Thevenin equivalent bias load resistor  $R_L$ , and the TES resistance  $R(T, I)$ , and the thermal parameters are the heat capacity  $C$  and TES temperature  $T$ ,  $P_{\text{bath}}$  is the power cooling the TES to the heat bath,  $P_J$  is the Joule power dissipation from the bias, and  $P$  is the optical signal power to be found. In detail, the resistance function depends on other physical variables such as the external magnetic field, but for simplicity it is easier to consider the constant-field case. The shape of  $R(T, I)$  can be modeled theoretically and measured directly (Figure 3).



**Figure 3.** (Left) A modeled resistance function  $R(T, I)$ , showing the path followed during a bias sweep; adapted from a figure by Blas Cabrera [40]; (Right) Measurements of the  $R(T, I)$  surface by Lindeman et al. [41], showing the shape of the transition in detail; note the similarity to the gross features of the model, given that the data have bipolar current.

The resistance can be expanded about nominal values of  $R$ ,  $T$ , and  $I$  in the small-signal limit:

$$R(T, I) \approx R_0 + \left. \frac{\partial R}{\partial T} \right|_{I_0} \partial T + \left. \frac{\partial R}{\partial I} \right|_{T_0} \partial I \quad (2)$$

which has terms reminiscent of the dimensionless sensitivity of the resistance with temperature and current:

$$\alpha = \frac{T_0}{R_0} \left. \frac{\partial R}{\partial T} \right|_{I_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{I_0}{R_0} \left. \frac{\partial R}{\partial I} \right|_{T_0} \quad (3)$$

Substituting in a large number of similar small-signal approximations providing linear perturbations about equilibrium, the overall coupled differential equations relating the current and temperature to the voltage and power become:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \partial I \\ \partial T \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{el}}} & \frac{\mathcal{L}_I G}{I_0 L} \\ -\frac{I_0 R_0 (2 + \beta_I)}{C} & \frac{1}{\tau_I} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \partial I \\ \partial T \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial V}{L} \\ \frac{\partial P}{C} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where the variable  $\tau_{\text{el}}$  and  $\tau_I$  refer to the electrical and thermal time constants, respectively, and the DC loop gain  $\mathcal{L}_I$  under constant current  $I$  is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \frac{P_{J_0} \alpha_I}{G T_0} \quad (5)$$

Solving Equation 4 and integrating yields two eigenvalues for the reciprocal of the time constants involved in the TES bolometer response:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_+} = \frac{1}{2\tau_{\text{el}}} + \frac{1}{2\tau_I} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{el}}} - \frac{1}{\tau_I}\right)^2 - 4 \frac{R_0}{L} \frac{\mathcal{L}_I(2 + \beta_I)}{\tau}} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_-} = \frac{1}{2\tau_{\text{el}}} + \frac{1}{2\tau_I} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{el}}} - \frac{1}{\tau_I}\right)^2 - 4 \frac{R_0}{L} \frac{\mathcal{L}_I(2 + \beta_I)}{\tau}} \quad (7)$$

When a varying power is applied to a bolometer, the measured response will follow the power, but with a responsivity that varies with frequency according to the two poles defined by the time constants in Equations 6 and 7. If a small, sinusoidal power is applied to the bolometer, the solution to Equation 4 can be explicitly solved, and contains the time constants  $\tau_+$  and  $\tau_-$ . Resubstituting, one can derive the small-signal response in current to a given power:

$$s_I(\omega) = -\frac{1}{I_0 R_0} \left[ \left( \frac{L}{\tau_{\text{el}} R_0 \mathcal{L}_I} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{R_I}{R_0} \right) + i\omega \frac{L\tau}{R_0 \mathcal{L}_I} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_I} - \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{el}}} \right) - \frac{\omega^2 \tau L}{\mathcal{L}_I R_0} \right]^{-1} \quad (8)$$

Typically, a TES bolometer is run with a strong voltage bias ( $R_L \ll R_0$ ) and strong electrothermal feedback (high  $\mathcal{L}_I$ ), and so the DC responsivity simplifies to:

$$s_I(0) = -\frac{1}{I_0 R_0} \quad (9)$$

In a similar fashion to the above, the complex impedance of a TES bolometer can be calculated from Equation 4 by applying a voltage  $V(\omega)$  and a response current  $I(\omega)$ . The result is a total circuit impedance of

$$Z(\omega) \equiv \frac{V(\omega)}{I(\omega)} = R_L + i\omega L + R_0(1 + \beta_I) + \frac{R_0 \mathcal{L}_I}{1 - \mathcal{L}_I} \frac{2 + \beta_I}{1 + i\omega \tau_I} \quad (10)$$

which features the impedance of the bias circuit and the TES, and hence we can write

$$Z_{\text{TES}}(\omega) = R_0(1 + \beta_I) + \frac{R_0 \mathcal{L}_I}{1 - \mathcal{L}_I} \frac{2 + \beta_I}{1 + i\omega \tau_I} \quad (11)$$

In addition to the performance parameters above, a prediction of the noise of a TES bolometer is an absolute necessity. This has been done by many in the past, and Kent Irwin has added a nonlinear, nonequilibrium, first and second order calculation [42] to the existing theory [39]. The total noise is a combination of the thermal fluctuation noise in the bolometer thermal isolation, Johnson noise in the thermistor, noise from the bias circuit, noise from the readout amplifier, and excess noise arising from one or more of a variety of potential nonideal performance aspects. In this order, the total noise is:


$$S_{\text{total}}(\omega) = 4k_B T_0^2 G F_{\text{thermal}} + 4k_B T_0 R_0 I_0^2 (1 + 2\beta_I) \frac{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2}{\mathcal{L}_I^2} + \quad (12)$$

$$4k_B T_0 R_L I_0^2 \frac{(\mathcal{L}_I - 1)^2}{\mathcal{L}_I^2} (1 + \omega^2 \tau_I^2) + \frac{S_{I_{\text{amp}}}}{|s_I(\omega)|^2} + S_{\text{Excess}}(\omega)$$

The thermal link function  $F_{\text{thermal}}$  can be calculated in the specular phonon or scattered phonon limit for a thermal link with temperature dependence  $T^n$  [43]:

$$F_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{\left(\frac{T_{\text{bath}}}{T_0}\right)^{n+2} + 1}{2} \text{ (specular) or } F_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{n+1}{2n+3} \frac{\left(\frac{T_{\text{bath}}}{T_0}\right)^{2n+3} - 1}{\left(\frac{T_{\text{bath}}}{T_0}\right)^{n+1} - 1} \text{ (scattered)} \quad (13)$$

The thermal link function represents the behavior of fluctuations in a thermally isolating structure where a significant conductivity gradient exists across the structure. The conductance  $G$  is calculated at the warm end ( $T_0$ ), and hence  $F_{\text{thermal}}$  reaches a maximum of unity at that point.



**Figure 4.** Thermal link functions as given by equations for specular- or scattering-dominated links in Dan McCammon’s review [43].



Figure 4 shows the functional dependence for a reasonable range of the thermal conductivity index  $n$ . In Equation 12, there are two analytic unknowns. One is the amplifier noise, which, while it can be subjected to some amount of analysis, is more likely to be a quantity of known (measured) value in current noise units, hence the notation  $S_{I_{\text{amp}}}(\omega)$ . The other is a quantity of excess noise in power units  $S_{\text{Excess}}(\omega)$ . This is a subject of much investigation, and will be summarized later.

### 3. State of Maturity of TES Bolometer Development (*or, how did we get here?*)

My thesis advisor, Tom Phillips, once told me that the best papers to write are those that are the first in their field or those that are the last in their field. In keeping with this notion, and in the earnest expectation that the last papers on TES bolometer aspects are far from written, this section will summarize developments in TES bolometers in the first decade following the advent of the voltage-biased approach, what I will term the Decade of Development.


Since the Berkeley group introduced the voltage-biased superconducting bolometer [12] (although with a nod to Stanford [13]), this is a good point of departure to discuss the decade of 1996-2006. Their early efforts led to useful theory and experiment in the strong-feedback limit [44]. They also introduced a TES bolometer manufactured entirely with photolithography [45] – see Figure 5 – a step forward in fabrication technique that enables very large format arrays – which turned out to be the next thing they demonstrated, all though only mechanically [46]. This same trio of authors also developed a theoretical treatment of the excess thermal fluctuation noise arising from undercoupled or distributed heat capacities on the bolometer [47].

The Stanford group achieved one early and notable milestone: the first astronomical application of TES bolometers. Using a small number of tungsten TES hot electron bolometers, Romani et al. [48] used photon-counting pixels that produced arrival time ( $\delta t = 100 \text{ ns}$ ) and energy ( $\delta E_\gamma \leq 0.15 \text{ eV}$ ) resolved measurements of the Crab pulsar from the near-IR through the near UV. This result is such a good indicator of the novel aspects of TES bolometers that it



**Figure 5.** (Left) The layout of an early voltage-biased superconducting bolometer (e.g., [12]) is reminiscent of that in Figure 2 . (Right) The all-lithographic version (e.g., [45]) made large-format arrays possible.

represents an entirely new (although not CMB-related) approach to astronomical observations. Figure 6 shows the resultant photon count rate vs. phase and wavelength. As an aside, it




**Figure 6.** The first astronomical application of TES bolometers was to measure the brightness of the Crab Pulsar as a function of wavelength and phase [48].

is worthy of mention that this may be the only astronomical instrument yet fielded using



TES bolometers without a multiplexed SQUID readout. The NASA/GSFC group has been developing TES bolometers for long wavelength purposes since 1998 [49], in strong collaboration with NIST/Boulder. Developments intended for Herschel resulted in the first demonstration of a TES bolometer system operating using SQUID multiplexers [50] including the optical detection of light while multiplexing. Staguhn et al. [51] demonstrated that TES bolometers could be used with SQUID multiplexers and still retrieve Johnson-noise-limited readout. Later, ongoing efforts resulted in a robust design for near-phonon-noise-limited bolometers [52, 53]. Benford et al. fielded the first astronomical instrument using multiplexed TES bolometers [54] and produced the first astronomical images using an array of multiplexed TES bolometers [55]. This is shown in Figure 7, since it may be the first astronomical demonstration relevant to CMB polarimetry.



**Figure 7.** TES bolometer array 350 m image of the high-mass star formation region G34.3+0.2 (Benford et al. [55]).

The closing of the Decade of Development at Goddard was summarized by the realization that the TES capability available at the close of 2005 was robust enough to pursue astronomical instruments, rather than technology developments. In Section 4, I will cover the issue of technology readiness level – but in advance, I note that this decision marked the completion of TRL 4 (“Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment”). A separate group at Goddard engaged in the development of TES-based X-ray microcalorimeters pursued the precise measurement and theoretical understanding of detector parameters. These can be typified by, for example, the interesting plot of  $\beta(V)$  by Saab et al. [56], the hinting-at-some-underlying-physics of the excess noise vs. resistance of Lindeman et al. [57] and the optimization modeling of Bandler et al. [58].

NIST/Boulder has had a strong history in the SQUID multiplexers used by many groups, in particular the time-domain devices that have been incorporated into past and ongoing instrument developments. As a result, most of their focus was on the maturing of the readouts, resulting in the 8-element [59] 32-element [60], and 1,280-element [61] time domain SQUID



multiplexers. They also investigated the excess noise problem in TES bolometers using both geometry (in conjunction with NASA/GSFC [62]) and magnetic fields to mitigate the effect [63].

In contrast to the statement a few paragraphs ago, the Decade of Development did see the beginning of several TES-based millimeter-wavelength instruments. One of them was developed at the University of Pennsylvania, a 3 mm camera for the Green Bank Telescope [64] started under the name “Penn Array Receiver.” (At the time of first observations, it was renamed to MUSTANG, but that was a later event). This camera is based on an  $8 \times 8$  TES array [65] in a fully planar, close-packed format – the first such array designed for long wavelength astronomy. At the same time, the Princeton group began work on the Atacama Cosmology Telescope [66], which featured a three-band camera in the millimeter wavelength range. In a far-reaching vision, each band would feature a  $32 \times 32$  array for true multikilopixel operation [67], based on the NASA/GSFC pop-up-detector architecture [68]. During the middle part of the 2000s, detector array development and, perhaps more importantly, detector system development proceeded on these two instruments. However, it was a time of much promise and little actual use of TES arrays in actual astronomical applications.

At Caltech/JPL, attention to TES bolometers started late (in part because of that august institution’s well-established semiconducting bolometer array work receiving the majority of the focus), and so their most notable contribution – and quite relevant to CMB polarimetry – concerns antenna-coupled TES bolometers. One notable example is a membrane-isolated TES bolometer coupled via a microstrip to a twin-slot antenna [69]. While not strictly TES-related, the wideband or dual-polarization antenna networks of Goldin et al. [70] have definite application to them, as a TES version appeared the following year [71].


I will close this section with a return to the Berkeley group, who in addition to pursuing technology development (e.g., their own twin-slot TES bolometer [72], took the first step into the next generation: large-scale engineering of TES bolometer arrays. Their APEX-SZ camera achieved first light during an engineering run in December 2005 [73], with a small fraction of their bolometer complement (13%) operational. This instrument features monolithically-fabricated superconducting TES bolometer arrays in a hex-packed spiderweb geometry, read out by frequency-domain SQUID multiplexers. The first light results (Figure 8) point the way to mature, kilopixel-scale TES instruments, and are therefore a suitable closing to the Decade of Development of the TES bolometer.

## 4. Recent Papers and Developments (*or, here is where we are.*)

### 4.1. TES Excess Noise and Geometry

Over the last few years, the quantification of “excess noise”<sup>3</sup> has become a burgeoning field. One source of such, “ $\beta$  noise” [74], is a consequence of rigorous nonequilibrium theory [42], and manifests as an increased Johnson noise. An similar but unrelated “ $\beta$  noise” is postulated by Ullom [63], but not duplicated by Hoeffers [75]. Instead, they consistently find an effective white voltage noise [76, 77] Other noise sources include phase slip shot noise or flux-flow noise [78, 79], percolation noise [80], internal thermal fluctuation noise (ITFN) [44, 81], and the rather empirical-sounding fluctuation superconductivity noise [82] A technique for reducing ITFN (high resistivity TES with high conductivity substrate) appeared also to suppress the white voltage noise [83]. This makes it seem like a valid source of noise. On the other hand, an analysis on several points led Brandt et al. to conclude that both phase slip and percolation noise are present [84]. The detailed thermal models of Kinnunen et al. show that white noise and ITFN are both present [85]. As an assignment to reflect on, note that the electron-phonon-decoupling device of Barrentine et al. [86] might show no excess noise and, more importantly, a virtually featureless

<sup>3</sup> I shall continue to use this term despite what Kent Irwin said in 2006 [42], tongue presumably lodged firmly in cheek, that there is no such thing as excess noise, just “noise” and the ratio between it and what you expected.



**Figure 8.** TES bolometer array 2 mm wavelength image of Jupiter using APEX-SZ (from Dobbs et al. [73]).

spectrum from 10 Hz – 1 kHz. Several groups have approached the excess noise as a geometrical problem. In this view, the reason(s) for the noise can all be reduced to a TES geometry problem, such as edge effects, percolation state space, etc. Adding or changing boundary conditions such as normal metal bars, stripes, or dots can change these effects and influence the excess noise. Among the first efforts of this sort were bars and stripes [52, 53, 63]. Dots are preferred by others [77]. A very clever circular geometry<sup>4</sup> has been in use for several years [87]. All of these appear to have excess noise, and where it is suppressed, other detector parameters have also changed. I would therefore conclude that to first order, geometry is not the determining factor in excess noise. In summary, while there are many competing and apparently valid (both theoretically and experimentally) models for the “excess noise”, the only robust conclusion that can be drawn is that what gives rise to it is a poorly understood function of many (perhaps hidden) variables in the design of a TES bolometer.

#### 4.2. Antenna-coupled devices

Antenna-coupling provides a convenient method for controlling the solid angle of response of a TES bolometer, and hence limits the stray light it receives. There are additional benefits that can be realized in packaging (such as space to run wiring), although a price is paid relative to the efficiency of a filled array for wide-field mapping [88]. The simplest antenna-coupled TES bolometer array would be the effective equivalent of a bow-tie antenna array [89], but more sophisticated approaches are possible. Waveguide coupling includes feedhorns that can


<sup>4</sup> While I have called it a circular geometry, it is in fact annular. Due to its relation with the geometry of the Corbino effect (the Hall effect in a circular plate, named for Orso Mario Corbino), this is referred to as the Corbino TES geometry.

significantly improve the sidelobe response [90, 91]. The microstrips that are often used in an antenna-coupled TES bolometer can be used to define the wavelength response in an array, even allowing multicolor arrays [92]. Alternatively, the microstrip can be used to combine the power of multiple antennas, such as in making a beam-forming antenna in two polarizations [93, 94]. Or, in a more integrated approach, functions such as polarization switching, band defining, and beam defining can be achieved simultaneously [95, 96].

The figures of merit for arrays of antenna-coupled TES bolometers are fairly straightforward. Depending on which of these functions are being defined by the antenna-coupling approach, they include: the repeatability of band definition and out-of-band suppression; the forward efficiency and sidelobe rejection of the spatial response function; the degree of cross-polarization and its repeatability; and the efficiency of using on-chip polarization modulation as opposed to full aperture modulation. For each approach, there is an increasing maturity of the numerical techniques for predicting antenna-coupled performance, but there is as yet a dearth of well-analyzed experimental effort on large data sets to extract the precise proof of their function.

### 4.3. Sensitivity


Given the brightness of the CMB, it could be claimed that the sensitivity of the TES bolometer is not a technologically challenging aspect. This is, of course, a relative measure as compared to the sensitivity required for the detectors on a cryogenic space telescope operating at wavelengths of around 200-400  $\mu\text{m}$ . A calculation of the components contributing to sky brightness in near-Earth space is shown in Figure 9, along with an estimate for the optical power and photon NEP that would be likely for a CMB polarization experiment in space. The NEP requirement for a CMB polarization detector is around  $2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ . Prototype far-infrared TES bolometers such as those for SAFIRE with an NEP requirement of  $10^{-19} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  [97], BLISS [98] on SPICA with a best NEP of  $\sim 6 \times 10^{-20} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  [99], SAFARI on SPICA with an NEP of  $\sim 10^{-18} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  [100], and even technology developments for the SAFIR observatory with an NEP approaching  $10^{-20} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  [101, 102].



**Figure 9.** (Left) The calculated components of the celestial background shows that the CMB bands are significantly brighter than the far-infrared bands, and so sensitivity will be driven by far-IR instruments. (Right) A calculation of the optical power and photon NEP for a representative CMB polarization mission shows that the detector NEP requirement is not extreme.

#### 4.4. Instruments



As mentioned above, the Atacama Cosmology Telescope features in its Millimeter Bolometer Array Camera [103] one of the largest TES array sets currently in production or in use. Featuring three arrays of 1,024 pixels each, it has only recently been operated in its complete configuration. At the time of this writing, the first light images consisted only of the data from one array (145 GHz) [104] from late (October-December) 2007. A photo of an ACT array and a map of the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect in the Bullet Cluster are shown in Figure 10.



**Figure 10.** (Left) a photo of the  $32 \times 32$  array of TES pop-up detectors produced by Princeton and NASA/GSFC. (Right) A 6 minute exposure at 2mm resulting in a Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect map of the Bullet Cluster [105] proves the power of large arrays.



The MUltiplexed Superconducting TES Array at Ninety Gigahertz (MUSTANG), a collaborative effort between the University of Pennsylvania, NASA/GSFC, NIST/Boulder, NRAO, and Cardiff, is an instrument designed to take advantage of the high angular resolution possible at 3 mm wavelength at the Green Bank Telescope (GBT). The pixels in its  $8 \times 8$  array subtend around  $4''$  each, which, combined with the large scan patterns possible (indeed, necessary) on the GBT, produces large maps with fine detail. This can be seen in Figure 11, where the map width of  $\sim 400''$  contains around 3,000 individual beam areas. MUSTANG achieved its first light image on Saturn on September 26, 2006, making it the first operational fully-sampled array at a telescope, and is one of the first to produce astronomical results [106]. Also, while not relevant for satellite or balloon-borne applications, it is worth pointing out that MUSTANG was also the first instrument to collect scientific data with a TES array cooled by a pulse tube cooler.

In August 2005, the NASA/GSFC group kicked off work on a new camera, the Goddard IRAM Superconducting 2 Millimeter Observer (GISMO), a large-field-of-view imager for the 2 mm wavelength band. Optimized for operation at the IRAM 30m telescope [107], it could achieve greater sensitivity to very high redshift sources than cameras operational or proposed



**Figure 11.** (Left) a photo of the  $8 \times 8$  array of planar, close-packed TES bolometers fabricated at NASA/GSFC for the U. Penn MUSTANG instrument. (Right) An image at 3mm wavelength of the Crab nebula shows a wealth of structure revealed by the high angular resolution of the GBT.

at shorter wavelengths [108]. Its  $8 \times 16$  array of close-packed TES bolometers [109] covers an extent of roughly  $2' \times 4'$  by using fast optics providing near-optimal survey speed and source position/flux recovery [110]. The bolometer array is the first fielded array of the backshort-under-grid (BUG) architecture [111, 112, 113, 114], a technology developed to enable and mature versatile, space-qualifiable kilopixel TES arrays with NIST large-format SQUID multiplexers. GISMO achieved first light in November 2007([see Figure 12).




**Figure 12.** (Left) a photo of the  $8 \times 16$  array of planar, close-packed TES bolometers fabricated at NASA/GSFC for the GISMO instrument. (Right) An IRAC image with 1.3 mm MAMBO contours shown to the left of the GISMO 2 mm image of the same field: a dense core known as IRDC43.

The South Pole Telescope is another Sunyaev-Zel'dovich-optimized telescope like ACT, but rather larger (10 m as opposed to 6 m) but with fewer detectors (960 as opposed to 3,072). Its first light on a cluster, in April 2007, narrowly beat ACT; however, significant data analysis remains before achieving the theoretical performance [115]. A similar future instrument is CLOVER, again a tri-band system, but aimed at polarimetry of the CMB. CLOVER's compact design



[116] is better-suited to detecting B-mode polarization. Its TES bolometer array [117] is made up of individual detectors that are microstrip-coupled to antennas, providing the possibility of packing the array rather differently than would be possible with a monolithic array.



**Figure 13.** (Left) photos of the 39-element horn-coupled array of TES bolometers fabricated at IPHT/Jena for the MPIfR SABOCA instrument. (Right) A  $350\ \mu\text{m}$  image of the NGC6334 star forming region.

A group led by MPIfR at Bonn has developed the SABOCA  $350\ \mu\text{m}$  camera, using TES arrays produced at the Institute of Photonic Technology (IPHT) of Jena. Using 39 bolometers coupled to a conical horn array, the instrument saw first light at APEX in May 2008. Photos of its design and an early image are shown in Figure 13. One notable feature of SABOCA relevant to CMB polarimetry is its measured stability (after subtracting correlated sky noise): a noise power spectrum shows no  $1/f$  drift down to  $0.0025\ \text{Hz}$  [118]. Stability on minute timescales makes it possible for even very slow mechanical polarizers to complete a cycle within a bolometer’s stability time.

In addition to the above, there are several TES array-based instruments currently in development. I present briefly<sup>5</sup> some sense of what is to come in the next few years, since these may push the technology readiness level (see next sections) better than anything else could before 2010. The most advanced of these is SCUBA-2 [119], which is already at the JCMT and awaiting first light [120]. While it has only single commissioning-grade  $32 \times 40$  TES arrays in it currently, a set of science-grade arrays is expected in early 2009. The EBEX [121] balloon experiment will fly its  $\sim 1500$  TES bolometers for engineering in 2008 and for science by 2010 [122]. Similarly, SPIDER [123], with its  $\sim 2600$  TES bolometers [124], is scheduled for its first flight in April 2010 [125, 126] Finally, in around 2012, the SAFIRE instrument for SOFIA [127], with its low background kilopixel bolometer arrays [128] for suborbital far-infrared spectroscopy [129], will demonstrate detectors that have passed some of the rigors of design for space flight.


## 5. Technology Readiness Level Assessment (*or, where is here anyway?*)

This section will cover the Technology Readiness Level (TRL<sup>6</sup>), a minefield of perceptions. Since this assessment is mine and mine alone, it is incumbent upon me to explain in detail why I rate

<sup>5</sup> When I say “briefly”, I mean that I give almost no details – this is what the cited references are for, if you’re curious – and that I cover only a fraction of what is likely to be extant in the coming five years or so. If I tried to be more complete, it would only increase the offense to the ever-shrinking group of experiments that I had omitted, forgotten, was unaware of, or had decided belligerently to ignore.

<sup>6</sup> Note: in speaking, people often refer to the ‘TRL level’ of a technology. At the risk of arrogant pedantry, I point out that the acronym ‘TRL’ already contains the word ‘level’. Hence, the appropriate phrase is to refer to ‘the TRL of a technology.’

things as I choose to do. A representative definition of the TRL scale is given in Figure 14. The definitions of mid-level TRLs as put forth by John Mankins [130] and as interpreted by this author are provided in Table 1. My scale can be illustrated by the following example: if you intend to produce, starting from scratch, a working large-format TES bolometer array camera for a major project, then your TRLs can be defined as follows: TRL 3 occurs when you have your first working TES devices in your lab cryostat; TRL 4 is reached when a prototype of the flight array is working in the lab; TRL 5 is marked by successfully operating the prototype array on a ground-based telescope and understanding the details of its performance; TRL 6 can be achieved when your completed suborbital instrument flies and/or when your engineering models of the flight array have undergone qualification testing.



**Figure 14.** This TRL chart gives the flavor of technology readiness, but interpretation of readiness allows for a latitude of around  $\pm 1$  when technologies are evaluated by different people. Chart is from NASA's HRST Technology Assessments Technology Readiness Levels [131]

To summarize the positive aspects of what has been achieved to date, I will offer the following off-the-cuff statements that pull together the most important achievements. First of all, several instruments (e.g., APEX-SZ, ACT, MUSTANG, GISMO, SABOCA) exist. That, in the space of less than a decade, the instrumental community has been able to go from barely-proof-of-principle detectors to kilopixel arrays operating near fundamental noise limits is astonishing. People should feel rightly proud of this phenomenal progress. Part of this success concerns the pixel count: SCUBA-2 engendered the 1,280-pixel multiplexer, so an array of around this size is now both state-of-the-art and surprisingly commonplace. Furthermore, this pixel count is probably close to what is needed for a CMB Einstein Inflation Probe. The sensitivity of the detectors listed above is not fabulous (close to an NEP of  $10^{-17} \text{ W}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  for all of them), because it doesn't need to be. However, this is approaching what is needed for CMB polarimetry from a balloon, and there are efforts ongoing to reach the greater sensitivities needed for CMB polarimetry from space. Finally, one other positive aspect of recent work is that the readouts – varieties of SQUID multiplexers – all seem to function well. Their performance is excellent, and they are generally easy to interface to detector arrays (at least, at the  $10^2$  pixel level.) In the near-infrared, multiplexers seem to have their own unique quirks that change from generation to generation. My experience with the NIST time domain multiplexers is



TRL Number & Name	Description	TES Examples
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>3</b></p> <p>Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof-of-concept</p>	<p>At this step in the maturation process, active research and development (R&amp;D) is initiated. This must include both analytical studies to set the technology into an appropriate context and laboratory-based studies to physically validate that the analytical predictions are correct. These studies and experiments should constitute "proof-of-concept" validation of the applications/concepts formulated at TRL 2.</p>	<p>Conducting TES noise modeling and experiments.</p> <p>Determining scaling functions of performance parameters.</p> <p>Produce small TES arrays and verify dark performance in the lab.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>4</b></p> <p>Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment</p>	<p>Following successful "proof-of-concept" work, basic technological elements must be integrated to establish that the "pieces" will work together to achieve concept-enabling levels of performance for a component and/or breadboard. This validation must be devised to support the concept that was formulated earlier, and should also be consistent with the requirements of potential system applications. The validation is relatively "low-fidelity" compared to the eventual system: it could be composed of ad hoc discrete components in a laboratory.</p>	<p>Develop working system for a ground-based instrument and operate it in a laboratory environment.</p> <p>Demonstrate scalability for large-format arrays.</p> <p>Conduct detailed performance tests and evaluate performance under a variety of conditions.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>5</b></p> <p>Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment</p>	<p>At this, the fidelity of the component and/or breadboard being tested has to increase significantly. The basic technological elements must be integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements so that the total applications (component-level, sub-system level, or system-level) can be tested in a simulated' or somewhat realistic environment. From one- to-several new technologies might be involved in the demonstration.</p>	<p>Deploy a TES instrument to a ground-based platform and produce scientific results.</p> <p>Produce a working integrated large-format detector array system in a laboratory environment.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>6</b></p> <p>System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment (ground or space)</p>	<p>A major step in the level of fidelity of the technology demonstration follows the completion of TRL 5. At TRL 6, a representative model or prototype system or system which would go well beyond ad hoc, patch-cord' or discrete component level breadboarding would be tested in a relevant environment. At this level, if the only relevant environment' is the environment of space, then the model/prototype must be demonstrated in space. Of course, the demonstration should be successful to represent a true TRL 6. Not all technologies will undergo a TRL 6 demonstration: at this point the maturation step is driven more by assuring management confidence than by R&amp;D requirements. The demonstration might represent an actual system application, or it might only be similar to the planned application, but using the same technologies. At this level, several-to-many new technologies might be integrated into the demonstration.</p>	<p>Produce an engineering / flight qualification model of a large format TES bolometer array.</p> <p>Successfully qualify mounts, electronics, etc. in appropriate facilities for shock, vibe, EMI, radiation, etc.</p> <p>Operate TES instrument in a fully-remote or autonomous mode.</p> <p>Deploy a TES instrument to a suborbital platform and produce scientific results.</p>

**Table 1.** Mid-Level Technology Readiness Levels as applied to TES Bolometers

that they generally continue to become more sophisticated while retaining the same footprint, interfaces, etc. Perhaps future generations of multiplexers will be different, but for the time being, upgrading has been nearly transparent for around five years.

Rosy as the picture above may be, there are significant tasks yet to be accomplished. None of the list I give here is a Herculean task, but often recently it has felt as if the funding available was equivalent to a being given a whiskbroom to clean the Augean stables. The first item in priority is the most basic: the maturity of observing with TES bolometers needs to be significantly advanced. When there are facility instruments with TES bolometers, a new community of astronomers will learn to rely on them. When experiments produce reams of data to be analyzed by a science team that has little direct contact with the instruments producing the data, they will learn to extract the best result despite peculiar effects. The wealth of experience with cryogenic semiconducting bolometers (dating back almost 40 years [8]) was a major contributor to the decision to use them on Herschel/SPIRE.

From a theoretical and experimental standpoint, it is essential that the excess noise problem be worked out. That ITFN exists and is calculable is fairly well-established. Other sources of noise such as phase slip or white voltage noise are less well-understood and well-characterized. This should continue to be investigated until some quantifiable prediction of which combination of effects makes up “excess noise”.

Another nascent field is that of detector polarimetry. On-chip polarimeters that read out both polarizations, and which might even be able to switch them, are not a mature technology. A ground-based or balloon-borne camera with an appropriate polarimeter using such TES bolometers would be a big step forward.

Finally, there is the issue of space flight qualification. Suborbital experiments such as SAFIRE on SOFIA or the balloon-borne experiments EBEX or SPIDER go a long way to ensuring that a technology is robust enough to be used in a harsh and remote environment, but they do not compare to the rigors of qualification for space flight. This includes designing a detector subsystem for a demanding set of requirements and then conducting a variety of EMI, thermal, and vibration testing. This is expensive work, and probably will not happen without directed CMB polarimetry funding. However, it will eventually be necessary and the sooner it can be done, the better.

Summarizing, my perception is that the TES bolometer array for CMB polarimetry is a technology (really a combination of many technologies) that is close to achieving a TRL of 5. If allowed to use TRL as a continuous scale, I would rank TES bolometer arrays as TRL=4.8. I note that large arrays (kilopixel scale) are just now being fielded, and very little scientifically useful data from TES arrays has been acquired. We have not yet achieved a thorough understanding of the noise properties of real TES bolometers. However, advances are rapid and making headway on all fronts, and perhaps TRL=5.0 will be achieved as the first astronomical data publications emerge from the ACT and SPT collaborations [132, 133].

## **6. TES Detectors in Context (*or, what else is here?*)**

Despite the focus devoted to the transition edge sensor bolometer, it should not be inferred from the above that this is the only detection technology – nor necessarily the best – for a CMB polarization application. It is worth considering the context of other technological approaches, and indeed the overall systems aspects of TES detectors. In considering other technologies, it is important to remember some guiding points about detectors for the CMB. Most importantly, at frequencies near  $\sim 100$  GHz, several competing technologies are close to or below the detector noise equivalent power requirement set by the CMB photon noise in space. Mapping speed is thus improved principally by increasing the number of detectors that can be feasibly deployed in a focal plane array. The detector response time is also important, as is its stability, inasmuch as these constrain the viable scan patterns and polarization modulation schemes that will be


used to limit systematics.

The highest maturity direct detector for CMB astrophysics is the semiconducting bolometer, which has been used for decades in the measurement of the spectrum and anisotropy of the CMB, including in the Nobel-prize-winning space-flight COBE/FIRAS instrument [134]. Semiconducting bolometers are discussed elsewhere in this volume [135]. Their thermal and electrical properties are well-established both experimentally and theoretically (e.g., [29, 136],). The most recent semiconducting bolometers for long wavelength applications have employed advanced absorbers such as the well-known spiderweb bolometers [137, 138]. Large semiconducting arrays exist in ground-based instruments such as SHARC II [139] and BOLOCAM [140], and the balloon-borne BLAST [141]. However, with few exceptions, large scale multiplexing is not possible for such arrays, and hence individual JFET amplifiers must be connected to each pixel, with the large power dissipation and high temperatures inherent from such amplifiers. The low magnitudes of  $\alpha$  for semiconducting thermistors result in slower bolometers for a given design than would be the case with a TES thermistor. Also, methods for optical coupling with semiconducting detectors are essentially limited to absorber-coupled (as opposed to antenna-coupled) methods. While none of these are insuperable obstacles, the combination enhances the attractiveness of TES bolometers.

Coherent amplifiers provide another competitive alternative for CMB detection, at least at frequencies up to  $\sim 100$  GHz. The quantum noise of such devices dominates at shorter wavelengths, and in fact extant devices have best performance several times the quantum limit. Thus, there is certainly some cause to consider a mission that has direct detectors in the focal plane as well. As one consideration, the foreground removal requires spanning the wavelength range where synchrotron, free-free, CMB, and dust emission change significantly relative to each other. From the recent summary of Dunkley [142], this will require detectors operating at  $\lambda = 1.5$  mm or less, where coherent detectors have a noticeable raw sensitivity disadvantage compared to direct detectors. However, in favor of coherent detectors, it must be admitted that common amplifiers need to be cooled only to  $\sim 20$  K rather than the  $\sim 100$  mK required for bolometers. Mitigating this advantage, however, is the need to provide a much (orders of magnitude) larger cooling power for amplifiers at the higher temperature than would be required for the refrigerators cooling bolometers. Other aspects in favor of coherent detectors are operational simplifications such as wide dynamic range, low nonlinearity, and insensitivity to cosmic rays and microphonics. One disadvantage is the difficulty of producing large format arrays of coherent amplifiers, although this may now have been solved to some degree by the QUIET experiment [143]. It has been noted that coherent amplifiers offer great flexibility in signal processing after amplification, when both amplitude and phase are available, but before power detection, when only amplitude is available. However, TES bolometers can readily be fed by antennas through microstrip (e.g., [92, 93, 94, 95, 96]), permitting many of the same capabilities. Overall, given that covering all the frequency bands necessary for CMB polarization measurement with a sufficient number of pixels at sufficient sensitivity is of paramount importance, it seems that coherent detectors require further development to enable a CMB polarization space mission.

On the topic of further development, it is worthwhile to note that bolometers as a whole have made great strides in sensitivity over a very long history (Figure 15). Even within the limited realm of NASA-relevant work, there are non-CMB applications for bolometers (the far-infrared has been noted above in several instances, and the closely-related x-ray microcalorimeter detectors are fundamentally little different). As a result, per-pixel sensitivity has now achieved the sensitivity requirement of CMB (Figure 15) applications in space. Further strides in overall mapping speed are now being made by the investment in large format bolometer array development. This is where CMB-targeted technology maturation is needed.

To summarize some of the advantages of TES bolometers as compared to other relevant



**Figure 15.** (Left) The NEP of bolometers has improved a factor of two every two years for more than half a century (figure courtesy of Jonas Zmuidzinas). (Right) The natural sky backgrounds in space require detectors of a sensitivity that is now easily reached by bolometers. Larger format arrays continue to improve the effective mapping speed of fielded instruments where background-limited sensitivity has been achieved.

technologies, there are relatively few points. Their sensitivity permits background-limited operation at all wavelengths needed for CMB polarization measurements. Large format arrays have been demonstrated using SQUID multiplexers, which yield excellent mapping speed. The accelerated response time permits a range of viable scan patterns and polarization modulation timescales. Some improvement may be realized in dynamic range and stability. Multiple groups now have experience in fabricating, testing, and fielding TES bolometer arrays, and so their heritage is substantial enough that development for space applications is appropriate.

### 7. TES Development Plan (or, From Here to Launch!)

This highly-speculative section approaches the task of how to prepare and mature the TES component technology for the cosmic microwave background polarimetry. Thus far, very little has had any focus on this one mission, instead being an overview of the field as it related to superconducting bolometers at long wavelengths in general. Measurements of CMB polarization promise to allow us to distinguish among models of the first instants of our universe. Advancing detector array technology is a stepping-stone to the goal of arrays of polarization sensitive detectors required for missions such as the Einstein Inflation Probe (when used with specific coupling and modulation structures under development elsewhere). Further in the future, even larger arrays are a requirement for the efficient use of large cryogenic telescopes in space such as SAFIR [144] and SPIRIT [145].

In my consideration, I take it as a governing assumption that TES bolometer arrays must be perceived to be mature in order for them to form the basis of a CMB polarization Einstein Probe mission. While Probe-class missions ( $\sim$  \$600 M cost class) could, in principle, provide their own technology development initiatives, it seems equally likely that an insufficiently mature technology could, conversely, hinder the initiation of funding for a Probe. Hence, the perception of TRL=6 may be required in order to bring about a successful Einstein Inflation Probe. How can this be achieved?

The most effective way to rapidly increase the perceived maturity of TES bolometers will be to deploy larger, higher performance detector arrays in existing instruments or on existing telescopes. These will then need to be used regularly for major experiments or facility instruments. Through scientific use, we discover the interactions of the detectors with

other elements of the experiments, and techniques for their mitigation. The technologies to build and read out multi-kilopixel arrays are just now well-established enough that there are multiple possible approaches and facilities for their production. Having a wealth of astronomical data and – more importantly – understanding of TES bolometers by astronomers<sup>7</sup> is critical. Facility instruments on ground-based telescopes both (1) allow a bigger credibility pool’ for the technology and (2) bring more minds to bear on teasing out effects in the data.

A second, and I would hope simultaneous, path is to pursue the theoretical explanations for excess noise. This issue does not appear to be firmly settled, and a combination of theorists (to quantify predictions, estimate observables, and explain how to distinguish between the original causes) and experimentalists (whose job it is to provide high quality – and by that I mean both accurate and precise – data in order to disagree robustly with as many theories as possible) should continue this work. Before proposing a major space mission, it is certainly a good idea and might effectively be required, that we know how to predict the performance of a given TES bolometer correctly ab initio.

As a third item – in sequence rather than priority – is that it is imperative a collaboration successfully conducts a suborbital CMB investigation using a TES-based instrument. This is not news to anyone, but it’s worth pointing out that while this is the venue that might provide TRL=6 for TES bolometers, modification of the array design approach that is flown on a balloon reduces this to the extent that there is an insufficiently rich body of knowledge at the TRL=1 to 5 level. Thoroughly prosecuting the above two elements will result in a more concrete estimate of the technology readiness – and will, truly, mature the technology.

## 8. Acknowledgements

In the writing of this article, I found help and guidance from many publications and people, the latter class containing: William Duncan, Lyman Page, Giorgio Siringo, Harvey Moseley, Adrian Lee, Jamie Bock, and Nikhil Jethava. I trust Kent Irwin and Gene Hilton to such a degree that I pretty much lifted equations from their paper to write my TES theory section. This research has made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

<sup>7</sup> By “astronomers”, I mean here those people derisively referred to as “God damn user astronomers” by a notable Caltech professor, by which he meant that class of astronomers that can acquire great skill at observing using an instrument about which they understand next to nothing.

- [1] F. Herschel, "Experiments on the Refrangibility of the Invisible Rays of the Sun," *Philosophical Transactions*, p. 294, 1800.
- [2] S. Langley, "The Bolometer," *Nature*, vol. 25, p. 14, 1881.
- [3] A. Goetz, "The Possible Use of Superconductivity for Radiometric Purposes," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 55, p. 1270, 1939.
- [4] D. Andrews, J. Bruksch, W.F., W. Ziegler, and E. . Blanchard, "Superconducting Films as Radiometric Receivers," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 59, p. 1045, 1941.
- [5] D. Andrews, R. Milton, and W. DeSorro, "A Fast Superconducting Bolometer," *JOSA*, vol. 36, no. 9, p. 518, 1946.
- [6] N. Fuson, "The Infra-Red Sensitivity of Superconducting Bolometers," *JOSA*, vol. 38, no. 10, p. 845, 1948.
- [7] W. Boyle and J. Rodgers, K.F., "Performance Characteristics of a New Low-Temperature Bolometer," *JOSA*, vol. JOSA, no. 1, p. 66, 1959.
- [8] F. Low, "Low-Temperature Germanium Bolometer," *JOSA*, vol. 51, no. 11, p. 1300, 1961.
- [9] J. Clarke, P. Richards, and N.-H. Yeh, "Composite superconducting transition edge bolometer," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 30, p. 664, 1977.
- [10] J. Clarke, G. Hoffer, P. Richards, and N.-H. Yeh, "Superconductive Bolometers for Submillimeter Wavelengths," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 48, no. 2, p. 4865, 1977.
- [11] S. Wentworth and D. Neikirk, "The Transition-Edge Microbolometer (TREMBOLE)," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 1292, p. 148, 1990.
- [12] A. Lee, P. Richards, S. Nam, B. Cabrera, and K. Irwin, "A superconducting bolometer with strong electrothermal feedback," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 69, p. 1801, 1996.
- [13] K. Irwin, "An application of electrothermal feedback for high resolution cryogenic particle detection," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 66, p. 1998, 1995.
- [14] H. Dewhurst, "A Rapid Bolometer Made By Sputtering On Thin Films," *Proc. Phys. Soc.*, vol. 39, no. 1, p. 39, 1926.
- [15] W. Cannon and M. Chester, "Fast Carbon Bolometer for Observing Thermal Pulses," *Rev. Sci. Inst.*, vol. 38, no. 3, p. 318, 1967.
- [16] R. De Waard and S. Weiner, "Miniature Optically Immersed Thermistor Bolometer Arrays," *Ap. Opt.*, vol. 6, no. 8, p. 1327, 1967.
- [17] H. Drew and A. Sievers, "A  $^3\text{He}$ -Cooled Bolometer for the Far Infrared," *Ap. Opt.*, vol. 8, no. 10, p. 2067, 1969.
- [18] P. Downey, A. Jeffries, S. Meyer, R. Weiss, F. Cahner, J. Donnelly, W. Lindley, R. Mountain, and D. Silversmith, "Monolithic Silicon Bolometers," *Ap. Opt.*, vol. 23, no. 6, p. 910, 1984.
- [19] R. Bachmann, H. Kirsch, and T. Geballe, "Low Temperature Silicon Thermometer and Bolometer," *Rev. Sci. Inst.*, vol. 41, no. 4, p. 547, 1970.
- [20] R. Britt and P. Richards, "An Adiabatic Demagnetization Refrigerator For Infrared Bolometers," *IJIMW*, vol. 2, no. 6, p. 1083, 1981.
- [21] L. Lesyna, T. Roellig, and P. Kittel, "Bolometers Operated At 0.1 K And 0.2 K Cooled By Adiabatic Demagnetization," *IJIMW*, vol. 5, no. 6, p. 755, 1984.
- [22] J. Mees, M. Nahum, and P. Richard, "New Designs for Antenna-coupled Superconducting Bolometers," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 59, no. 18, p. 2329, 1991.
- [23] J. Milatz and H. Van Der Velden, "Natural Limit of Measuring Radiation with a Bolometer," *Physica*, vol. X, no. 6, p. 24, 1943.
- [24] B. H. Billings, W. L. Hyde, and E. E. Barr, "An investigation of the properties of evaporated metal bolometers," *Journal of the Optical Society of America (1917-1983)*, vol. 37, pp. 123+, Mar. 1947.
- [25] R. C. Jones, "Steady-state load curves for semi-conductor bolometers," *Journal of the Optical Society of America (1917-1983)*, vol. 36, pp. 448+, Aug. 1946.
- [26] —, "The ultimate sensitivity of radiation detectors," *Journal of the Optical Society of America (1917-1983)*, vol. 37, pp. 879+, Nov. 1947.
- [27] R. Jones, "Factors of Merit for Radiation Detectors," *JOSA*, vol. 39, no. 8, p. 344, 1949.
- [28] —, "General Theory of Bolometer Performance," *JOSA*, vol. 43, no. 1, p. 1, 1953.
- [29] J. Mather, "Bolometer noise: nonequilibrium theory," *Ap. Opt.*, vol. 21, no. 6, p. 1125, 1982.
- [30] Y. Urano, "An Equivalent Circuit of a Bolometer," *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, vol. 10, no. 10, p. 864, 1966.
- [31] N. Fuson, "The Effect of Current Magnitude upon the Behavior of a Superconducting Bolometer in Its Transition Region," *J. App. Phys.*, vol. 30, p. 59, 1949.
- [32] B. Lalevic, "Criteria for the Choice of a Superconducting Bolometer," *J. App. Phys.*, vol. 31, no. 7, p. 1234, 1960.
- [33] M. Maul and M. Strandberg, "Equivalent Circuit of a Superconducting Bolometer," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 40, no. 7, p. 2822, 1969.

- [34] M. K. Maul, M. W. Strandberg, and R. L. Kyhl, "Excess Noise in Superconducting Bolometers," *Physical Review*, vol. 182, pp. 522–525, Jun. 1969.
- [35] C. Bertin and K. Rose, "Comparison of Superconducting and Semiconducting Bolometers," *J. App. Phys.*, vol. 42, no. 1, p. 163, 1971.
- [36] S. Tinchev, L. Blik, and V. Kose, "A Consistent Model For 1/f-Noise In Thin-Film Devices Such As Bolometers, Josephson Junctions And Squids," *Phys. Lett.*, vol. 94A, no. 8, p. 381, 1983.
- [37] C. Knoedler, "Phase-Slip Shot Noise Contribution to Excess Noise of Superconducting Bolometers," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 54, no. 5, p. 2773, 1983.
- [38] R. Sherlock and A. Wyatt, "The effect of self-heating on the dynamical response of bolometric detectors," *J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrum.*, vol. 16, p. 669, 1983.
- [39] G. C. Irwin, K. D. & Hilton, "*Transition-Edge Sensors*", C. Enss, Ed. Springer-Verlag, 2005, vol. Cryogenic Particle Detecion.
- [40] B. Cabrera, "Introduction to TES Physics," *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, vol. 151, p. 82, 2008.
- [41] M. Lindeman, K. Barger, D. Brandl, S. Crowder, L. Rocks, D. McCammon, and H. Hoervers, "The Superconducting Transition in 4-D: Temperature, Current, Resistance and Heat Capacity," *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, vol. 151, p. 190, 2008.
- [42] K. Irwin, "Thermodynamics of nonlinear bolometers near equilibrium," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, vol. 559, p. 718, 2006.
- [43] D. McCammon, "*Thermal Equilibrium Calorimeters – An Introduction*", in *Cryogenic Particle Detection*, C. Enss, Ed. Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [44] S.-F. Lee, J. M. Gildemeister, W. Holmes, A. T. Lee, and P. L. Richards, "Voltage-Biased Superconducting Transition-Edge Bolometer with Strong Electrothermal Feedback Operated at 370 mK," *Applied Optics*, vol. 37, pp. 3391–3397, Jun. 1998.
- [45] J. Gilemeister, A. Lee, and P. Richards, "A fully lithographed voltage-biased superconducting spiderweb bolometer," *APL*, vol. 74, no. 6, p. 868, 1999.
- [46] —, "Monolithic arrays of absorber-coupled voltage-biased superconducting bolometers," *APL*, vol. 77, no. 24, p. 4040, 2000.
- [47] —, "Model for excess noise in voltage-biased superconducting bolometers," *Ap. Opt.*, vol. 40, no. 34, p. 6229, 2001.
- [48] R. Romani, A. Miller, B. Cabrera, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, and S. Nam, "First Astronomical Application Of A Cryogenic Transition Edge Sensor Spectrophotometer," *Ap.J.*, vol. 521, p. L153, 1999.
- [49] D. Benford, C. Allen, J. Chervenak, E. Grossman, K. Irwin, A. Kutyrev, M. J.M., S. Moseley, R. Shafer, and C. Reintsema, "Superconducting Bolometer Arrays for Submillimeter Astronomy," in *APS Conference*, vol. 217, 2000, p. 134.
- [50] D. Benford, "Multiplexed Readout of Superconducting Bolometers," *IJIMW*, vol. 21, no. 12, p. 1909, 2000.
- [51] J. Staguhn, C. Allen, D. Benford, J. Chervenak, M. Freund, S. Khan, A. Kutyrev, S. Moseley, R. Shafer, S. Deiker, E. Grossman, G. Hilton, K. Irwin, J. Martinis, S. Nam, D. Rudman, and D. Wollman, "TES Detector Noise Limited Readout Using SQUID Multiplexers," in *AIP Conference proceedings*, vol. 605, 2001, p. 321.
- [52] J. Staguhn, S. Moseley, D. Benford, C. Allen, J. Chervenak, T. Stevenson, and W.-T. Hsieh, "Approaching the fundamental noise limit in Mo/Au TES bolometers with transverse normal metal bars," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, vol. 520, p. 336, 2004.
- [53] D. Benford, S. Moseley, J. Staguhn, C. Allen, J. Chervenak, T. Stevenson, and W.-T. Hsieh, "Parameter Comparison for Low-Noise Mo/Au TES Bolometers," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, vol. 520, p. 270, 2004.
- [54] D. Benford, C. Ames, T.A., E. J.A., Grossman, K. Irwin, S. Khan, B. Maffei, S. Moseley, F. Pajot, T. Phillips, J.-C. Renault, C. Reintsema, C. Rioux, R. Shafer, J. Staguhn, C. Vastel, and G. Voellmer, "First Astronomical Use of Multiplexed Transition Edge Bolometers," in *AIP Conference proceedings*, vol. 605, 2001, p. 589.
- [55] D. Benford, J. Staguhn, T. Ames, C. Allen, J. Chervenak, C. Kennedy, S. Lefranc, S. Maher, S. Moseley, F. Pajot, C. Rioux, R. Shafer, and G. Voellmer, "First astronomical images with a multiplexed superconducting bolometer array," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6275, p. 62751C, 2006.
- [56] T. Saab, E. Apodacas, S. Bandler, K. Boyce, J. Chervenak, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, F. Finkbeiner, C. Hammock, R. Kelley, M. Lindeman, F. Porter, and C. Stahle, "Characterization and Modeling of Transition Edge Sensors for High Resolution X-ray Calorimeter Arrays," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 520, p. 281, 2003.
- [57] M. Lindeman, S. Bandler, S. Brekosky, J. Chervenak, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, F. Finkbeiner, T. Saab, and C. Stahle, "Characterization and Reduction of Noise in Mo/Au Transition Edge Sensors," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 520, p. 348, 2003.



- [58] S. Bandler, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, C. Stahle, K. Boyce, R. Brekosky, J. Chervenak, F. Finkbeiner, R. Kelley, M. Lindeman, F. Porter, and T. Saab, "Design of Transition Edge Sensor Microcalorimeters for Optimal Performance," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 520, p. 285, 2003.
- [59] J. Chervenak, K. Irwin, E. Grossman, J. Martinis, C. Reintsema, and M. Huber, "Superconducting multiplexer for arrays of transition edge sensors," *App. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 74, no. 26, p. 4043, 1999.
- [60] K. Irwin, "SQUID Multiplexers for Transition-Edge Sensors," *Physica C*, vol. 368, p. 203, 2002.
- [61] K. Irwin, M. Audley, J. Beall, J. Beyer, S. Deiker, W. Doriese, W. Duncan, G. Hilton, W. Holland, C. Reintsema, J. Ullom, L. Vale, and Y. Xu, "In-Focal-Plane SQUID Multiplexer," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 520, p. 544, 2004.
- [62] J. Staguhn, D. Benford, J. Chervenak, J. Moseley, S.H., C. Allen, T. Stevenson, and W.-T. Hsieh, "Design techniques for improved noise performance of superconducting Transition Edge Sensor bolometers," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 5498, p. 390, 2004.
- [63] J. Ullom, W. Doriese, G. Hilton, J. Beall, S. Deiker, W. Duncan, L. Ferreira, K. Irwin, C. Reintsema, and L. Vale, "Characterization and reduction of unexplained noise in superconducting transition-edge sensors," *App. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 84, no. 21, p. 4206, 2004.
- [64] S. R. Dicker, P. A. Ade, D. J. Benford, M. J. Devlin, K. D. Irwin, P. R. Jewell, B. S. Mason, S. H. Moseley, M. P. Supanich, and C. Tucker, "A 90-GHz array for use on the Green Bank Telescope," in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, J. M. Oschmann, Jr., Ed., vol. 5489, Oct. 2004, pp. 1221–1229.
- [65] D. Benford, S. Dicker, E. Wollack, M. Supanich, J. Staguhn, S. Moseley, K. Irwin, M. Devlin, J. Chervenak, and T. Chen, "A planar two-dimensional superconducting bolometer array for the Green Bank Telescope," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 5498, p. 208, 2004.
- [66] A. Kosowsky, "The Atacama Cosmology Telescope," *New Astronomy Reviews*, vol. 47, p. 939, 2003.
- [67] M. Niemack and the ACT Collaboration, "Measuring two-millimeter radiation with a prototype multiplexed TES receiver for ACT," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 62750C, p. 62750C, 2006.
- [68] D. Benford, J. Staguhn, G. Stacey, L. Page, S. Moseley, K. Irwin, J. Chervenak, and C. Allen, "Design and Fabrication of Two-Dimensional Superconducting Bolometer Arrays," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 5498, p. 647, 2004.
- [69] C. Hunt, J. Bock, P. Day, A. Goldin, A. Lange, H. LeDuc, A. Vayonakis, and J. Zmuidzinas, "Transition-edge superconducting antenna-coupled bolometer," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 4855, p. 318, 2003.
- [70] A. Goldin, J. J. Bock, A. E. Lange, H. Leduc, A. Vayonakis, and J. Zmuidzinas, "Antennas for bolometric focal plane," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, vol. 520, pp. 390–392, Mar. 2004.
- [71] P. Day, H. LeDuc, A. Golding, C. Dowell, and J. Zmuidzinas, "Far Infrared/ Submillimeter Imager-Polarimeter Using Distributed Antenna-Coupled Transition Edge Sensors," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 5498, p. 857, 2004.
- [72] M. Myers, W. Holzapfel, A. Lee, R. O'Brient, P. Richards, D. Schwan, A. Smith, H. Spieler, and H. Tran, "Arrays of Antenna-Coupled Bolometers using Transition Edge Sensors," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 520, p. 424, 2003.
- [73] M. Dobbs, N. Halverson, P. Ade, K. Basu, A. Beelen, F. Bertoldi, C. Cohalan, H. Cho, R. Güsten, W. Holzapfel, Z. Kermish, R. Kneissl, A. Kovács, E. Kreysa, T. Lanting, A. Lee, M. Lueker, J. Mehl, K. Menten, D. Muders, M. Nord, T. Plagge, P. Richards, P. Schilke, D. Schwan, H. Spieler, A. Weiss, and M. White, "APEX-SZ first light and instrument status," *New Astronomy Reviews*, vol. 50, no. 11-12, p. 960, 2006.
- [74] K. D. Irwin, "The Thermodynamics of Nonlinear Bolometers Near Equilibrium," in *TES III, Gainesville, FL*, August 17-18, 2006.
- [75] H. Hoevers, "Thermal physics of transition edge sensor arrays," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 702, 2006.
- [76] M. Bruijn, A. Germeau, H. Hoevers, P. de Korte, J. van der Kuur, and M. Ridder, "Steepness, noise and instabilities of Ti/Au transition edge thermometers," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 709, 2006.
- [77] H. Hoevers, M. Bruijn, L. Dirks, B.P.F. adn Gottardi, P. de Korte, J. van der Kuur, A. Popescu, M. Ridder, Y. Takei, and D. Takken, "Comparative Study of TiAu-Based TES Microcalorimeters with Different Geometries," *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, vol. 151, p. 94, 2008.
- [78] G. W. Fraser, "On the nature of the superconducting-to-normal transition in transition edge sensors," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, vol. 523, p. 234, 2004.
- [79] M. Galeazzi, "Flux Flow Noise in Transition Edge Sensors," in *TES III, Gainesville, FL*, August 17-18, 2006.
- [80] M. Lindeman, M. Anderson, S. Bandler, N. Bilgri, J. Chervenak, S. Gwynne Crowder, S. Fallows, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, F. Finkbeiner, N. Iyomoto, R. Kelley, C. Kilbourne, T. Lai, J. Man, D. McCammon,

- K. Nelms, F. Porter, L. Rocks, T. Saab, J. Sadleir, and G. Vidugiris, "Percolation model of excess electrical noise in transition-edge sensors," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, vol. 559, p. 715, 2006.
- [81] H. Hoevers, A. Bento, M. Bruijn, L. Gottardi, M. Korevaar, W. Mels, and P. de Korte, "Thermal fluctuation noise in a voltage biased superconducting transition edge thermometer," *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 77, p. 4422, 2000.
- [82] A. Luukanen, K. M. Kinnunen, A. K. Nuottajärvi, H. F. Hoevers, W. M. Bergmann Tiest, and J. P. Pekola, "Fluctuation-Limited Noise in a Superconducting Transition-Edge Sensor," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 90, p. 238306, 2003.
- [83] S. Crowder, M. Lindeman, M. Anderson, S. Bandler, N. Bilgri, M. Bruijn, J. Chervenak, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, F. Finkbeiner, A. Germeau, H. Hoevers, N. Iyomoto, R. Kelly, C. Kilbourne, T. Lai, J. Man, D. McCammon, K. Nelms, F. Porter, L. Rocks, T. Saab, J. Sadleir, and G. Vidugiris, "An investigation of excess noise in transition-edge sensors on a solid silicon substrate," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 721, 2006.
- [84] D. Brandt, G. Fraser, and S. Smith, "Excess Noise in TESs – A Comparison Between Theories," in *TES III, Gainesville, FL*, August 17-18, 2006.
- [85] K. Kinnunen, A. Nuottajärvi, and I. Maasilta, "A Transition-Edge Sensor with Two Excess Noise Mechanisms," *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, vol. 151, p. 144, 2008.
- [86] E. Barrentine, P. Timbie, T. Stevenson, S. Ali, J. Chervenak, E. Wollack, S. Moseley, C. Allen, W. Hsieh, T. Miller, D. Benford, and A. Brown, "Sensitivity Measurements of a Transition-Edge Hot-Electron Microbolometer for Millimeter-Wave Astrophysical Observations," *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, vol. 151, p. 173, 2008.
- [87] K. M. Kinnunen, A. K. Nuottajärvi, J. Leppäniemi, and I. J. Maasilta, "Reducing Excess Noise in Au/Ti Transition-Edge Sensors," *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, vol. 151, p. 119, 2008.
- [88] M. Griffin, J. Bock, and W. Gear, "Relative performance of filled and feedhorn-coupled focal-plane architectures," *Ap. Opt.*, vol. 41, no. 31, p. 6543, 2002.
- [89] M. Ukibe, B. Belier, P. Chamus, C. Cobrea, L. Dumoulin, B. Fernandez, T. Fournier, O. Guillaudin, S. Marnieros, and S. Yates, "Fabrication of large NbSi bolometer arrays for CMB applications," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. A*, vol. 559, p. 534, 2006.
- [90] A. Orlando, M. Bruijn, H. Hoevers, P. Mauskopf, P. de Korte, E. Krouwer, and M. Ridder, "A waveguide-coupled millimetre-wave TES bolometer suitable for 2-D arrays," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 534, 2006.
- [91] M. D. Audley, D. Glowacka, D. J. Goldie, V. Tsaneva, S. Withington, P. K. Grimes, C. E. North, G. Yassin, L. Piccirillo, P. Ade, and R. V. Sudiwala, "Performance of microstrip-coupled TES bolometers with finline transitions," in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol. 7020, Aug. 2008.
- [92] M. Myers, P. Ade, K. Arnold, G. Engargiola, B. Holzappel, A. Lee, R. O'Brient, P. Richards, A. Smith, H. Spieler, and H. Tran, "Antenna-coupled bolometer arrays using transition-edge sensors," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 531, 2006.
- [93] H. LeDuc, M. Kenyon, P. Day, M. Yun, and J. Bock, "Fabrication of antenna-coupled transition edge sensors for polarimeter applications," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 459, 2006.
- [94] C. Kuo, J. Bock, J. Bonetti, J. Brevik, G. Chattopadhyay, P. Day, S. Golwala, M. Kenyon, A. Lange, H. LeDuc, H. Nguyen, R. Ogburn, A. Orlando, A. Transgrud, A. Turner, G. Wang, and J. Zmuidzinas, "Antenna-Coupled TES Bolometer Arrays for CMB Polarimetry," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 7020, p. 702011, 2008.
- [95] T. Stevenson, W.-T. Hsieh, G. Schneider, D. Travers, N. Cao, E. Wollack, M. Limon, and A. Kogut, "Building blocks for a polarimeter-on-a-chip," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 611, 2006.
- [96] T. Stevenson, D. Benford, C. Bennett, N. Cao, D. Chuss, K. Denis, W. Hsieh, A. Kogut, S. Moseley, J. Panek, G. Schneider, D. Travers, K. U-Yen, G. Voellmer, and E. Wollack, "Cosmic Microwave Background Polarization Detector with High Efficiency, Broad Bandwidth, and Highly Symmetric Coupling to Transition Edge Sensor Bolometers," *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, vol. 151, p. 471, 2008.
- [97] D. J. Benford, G. M. Voellmer, J. A. Chervenak, K. D. Irwin, S. H. Moseley, R. A. Shafer, and J. G. Staguhn, "Design and fabrication of a 2D superconducting bolometer array for SAFIRE," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 4857, p. 125, 2003.
- [98] C. Bradford, M. Kenyon, W. Holmes, J. Bock, T. Koch, and the BLISS study team, "Sensitive far-IR survey spectroscopy: BLISS for SPICA," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 7020, p. 702010, 2008.
- [99] M. Kenyon, P. Day, C. Bradford, J. Bock, and H. LeDuc, "Electrical Properties of Background-Limited Membrane-Isolation Transition-Edge Sensing Bolometers for Far-IR/Submillimeter Direct-Detection Spectroscopy," *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, vol. 151, p. 112, 2008.
- [100] P. Mauskopf, D. Morozov, D. Glowacka, D. Goldie, S. Withington, M. Bruijn, P. DeKorte, H. Hoevers,

- M. Ridder, J. Van Der Kuur, and J.-R. Gao, "Development of transition edge superconducting bolometers for the SAFARI Far-Infrared spectrometer on the SPICA space-borne telescope," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 7020, p. 70200N, 2008.
- [101] B. Karasik, D. Olaya, J. Wei, S. Pereverzev, M. Gershenson, J. Kawamura, W. McGrath, and A. Sergeev, "Record-Low NEP in Hot-Electron Titanium Nanobolometers," *IEEE Trans. App. Superconductivity*, vol. 17, no. 2, p. 293, 2007.
- [102] J. Wei, D. Olaya, B. Karasik, S. Pereverzev, A. Sergeev, and M. Gershenson, "Ultra-Sensitive Hot-Electron Nanobolometers for Terahertz Astrophysics," *astro-ph*, p. 0710.5474, 2007.
- [103] T. Marriage, J. Chervenak, and W. Doriese, "Testing and assembly of the detectors for the Millimeter Bolometer Array Camera on ACT," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 551, 2006.
- [104] M. Niemack, Y. Zhao, E. Wollack, R. Thornton, E. Switzer, D. Swetz, S. Staggs, L. Page, O. Sytrzak, S. Moseley, T. Marriage, M. Limon, J. Lau, J. Klein, M. Kaul, N. Jarosik, K. Irwin, A. Hincks, G. Hilton, M. Halpern, R. Fowler, J.W. and Fisher, R. Dünner, W. Doriese, S. Dicker, M. Devlin, J. Chervenak, B. Burger, E. Battistelli, J. Appel, M. Amiri, C. Allen, and A. Aboobaker, "A Kilopixel Array of TES Bolometers for ACT: Development, Testing, and First Light," *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, vol. 151, p. 690, 2008.
- [105] J. Fowler, "The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: 2007 Initial Observations," in *Aspen Conference on the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation*, January 28, 2008.
- [106] P. Korngut, S. Dicker, B. Mason, P. Ade, J. Aguirre, T. Ames, D. Benford, J. Chervenak, T. Chen, M. Compiegne, W. Cotton, M. Devlin, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, K. Irwin, S. Maher, P. Martin, M. Mello, S. Moseley, C. Tucker, J. Staguhn, B. Werner, and S. D. White, "High Resolution Continuum Observations Of The Orion Nebula At 3.3mm With MUSTANG And The GBT," *BAAS*, vol. 53.01, no. 40, p. 249, 2008.
- [107] J. Staguhn, C. Allen, D. Benford, E. Sharp, T. Ames, R. Arendt, D. Chuss, E. Dwek, A. Kovacs, S. Maher, C. Marx, T. Miller, S. Moseley, S. Navaroo, A. Sievers, G. Voellmer, and E. Wollack, "GISMO, a 2 mm Bolometer Camera Optimized for the Study of High Redshift Galaxies," *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, vol. 151, p. 709, 2008.
- [108] J. Staguhn, D. Benford, C. Allen, S. Moseley, E. Sharp, T. Ames, W. Brunswig, D. Chuss, E. Dwek, S. Maher, C. Marx, T. Miller, S. Navarro, and E. Wollack, "GISMO: A 2-Millimeter Bolometer Camera for the IRAM 30 m Telescope," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6275, p. 62751D, 2006.
- [109] C. Allen, D. Benford, T. Miller, S. Moseley, J. Staguhn, and E. Wollack, "Design and Fabrication Highlights Enabling a 2 mm, 128 Element Bolometer Array for GISMO," *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, vol. 151, p. 266, 2008.
- [110] G. Bernstein, "Advanced Exposure-Time Calculations: Undersampling, Dithering, Cosmic Rays, Astrometry, and Ellipticities," *PASP*, vol. 114, p. 98, 2002.
- [111] C. Allen, D. Benford, J. Chervenak, D. Chuss, T. Miller, S. Moseley, J. Staguhn, and E. Wollack, "Backshort-Under-Grid arrays for infrared astronomy," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 522, 2008.
- [112] J. G. Staguhn, C. A. Allen, D. J. Benford, J. A. Chervenak, D. T. Chuss, T. M. Miller, S. H. Moseley, and E. J. Wollack, "Characterization of TES bolometers used in 2-dimensional Backshort-Under-Grid (BUG) arrays for far-infrared astronomy," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, vol. 559, pp. 545–547, Apr. 2006.
- [113] C. Allen, J. Abrahams, D. Benford, J. Chervenak, D. Chuss, J. Staguhn, T. Miller, S. Moseley, and E. Wollack, "Far infrared through millimeter Backshort-Under-Grid arrays," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6275, p. 62750B, 2006.
- [114] T. Miller, J. Abrahams, and C. Allen, "Fabricating interlocking support walls, with an adjustable backshort, in a TES bolometer array for far-infrared astronomy," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 559, p. 548, 2006.
- [115] J. Vieira, "The South Pole Telescope," in *Aspen Conference on the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation*, 2008.
- [116] A. Taylor, "Clover – A B-mode polarization experiment," *NAR*, vol. 50, p. 993, 2006.
- [117] M. Audley, R. Barker, M. Crane, R. Dace, D. Glowacka, D. Goldie, A. Lasenby, H. Stevenson, V. Tsaneva, S. Withington, P. Grimes, B. Johnson, G. Yassin, L. Piccirillo, W. Pisano, G. and Duncan, G. Hilton, K. Irwin, C. Reintsema, and M. Halpern, "TES imaging array technology for CLOVER," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6275, p. 627524, 2006.
- [118] G. Siringo, A. Weiß, E. Kreysa, F. Schuller, and A. Kovacs, "SABOCA Technical Verification Report," APEX memo., Tech. Rep., 2008.
- [119] W. Holland, M. MacIntosh, A. Fairley, D. Kelly, D. Montgomery, D. Gostick, E. Atad-Ettedgui, M. Ellis, I. Robson, M. Hollister, A. Woodcraft, P. Ade, I. Walker, K. Irwin, G. Hilton, W. Duncan, C. Reintsema, A. Walton, W. Parkes, C. Dunare, M. Fich, J. Kycia, M. Halpern, D. Scott, A. Gibb, J. Molnar, E. Chapin, D. Bintley, S. Craig, T. Chylek, T. Jenness, F. Economou, and G. Davis, "SCUBA-2: a 10,000-pixel submillimeter camera for the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6275, p. 62751E, 2006.
- [120] (2008) JCMT Newsletter #28 (Spring '08). [Online]. Available:

- <http://www.jach.hawaii.edu/JCMT/publications/newsletter/n28/jcmt-n28.pdf>
- [121] P. Oxley, P. Ade, C. Baccigalupi, P. deBernardis, H.-M. Cho, M. Devlin, S. Hanany, B. Johnson, T. Jones, A. Lee, T. Matsumura, A. Miller, M. Milligan, T. Renbarger, H. Spieler, R. Stompor, G. Tucker, and M. Zaldarriaga, "The EBEX experiment," *Proc.SPIE*, vol. 5543, p. 320, 2004.
- [122] B. Reichborn-Kjennerud, "EBEX: E and B EXperiment: A CMB Polarization Experiment," in *Aspen Conference on the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation*, 2008.
- [123] T. E. Montroy, P. A. R. Ade, R. Bihary, J. J. Bock, J. R. Bond, J. Brevik, C. R. Contaldi, B. P. Crill, A. Crites, O. Doreacuta, L. Duband, S. R. Golwala, M. Halpern, G. Hilton, W. Holmes, V. V. Hristov, K. Irwin, W. C. Jones, C. L. Kuo, A. E. Lange, C. J. MacTavish, P. Mason, J. Mulder, C. B. Netterfield, E. Pascale, J. E. Ruhl, A. Trangsrud, C. Tucker, A. Turner, and M. Viero, "SPIDER: a new balloon-borne experiment to measure CMB polarization on large angular scales," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6267, p. 62670R, 2006.
- [124] C. Hunt, J. Bock, P. Day, A. Goldin, A. Lange, H. LeDuc, A. Vayonakis, and J. Zmuidzinas, "Transition-edge superconducting antenna-coupled bolometer," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 4855, p. 318, 2003.
- [125] [Online]. Available: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spider\\_CMB](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spider_CMB)
- [126] C. MacTavish, "Spider Optimization: Probing the Systematics of a B-Mode Experiment," January 2008, Aspen Conference on the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation, January 29, 2008.
- [127] D. Benford, S. Moseley, G. Stacey, R. Shafer, and J. Staguhn, "Far-infrared imaging spectroscopy with SAFIRE on SOFIA," *Proc.SPIE*, vol. 4857, p. 105, 2003.
- [128] C. Allen, D. Benford, T. Miller, J. Moseley, S.H.and Staguhn, and E. Wollack, "Technology developments toward large format long wavelength bolometer arrays," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6678, p. 667806, 2007.
- [129] D. J. Benford, J. G. Staguhn, S. H. Moseley, C. A. Allen, J. A. Chervenak, K. D. Irwin, S. R. Dicker, M. J. Devlin, T. Nikola, T. E. Oberst, and G. J. Stacey, "Superconducting Bolometers for Submillimeter Spectroscopy from Ground-Based, Airborne, and Space Platforms," in *ASPC:From Z-Machines to ALMA: (Sub)Millimeter Spectroscopy of Galaxies*, vol. 375, 2007, p. 217.
- [130] J. Mankins, "Technology Readiness Levels," NASA Office of Space Access and Technology, Tech. Rep., 1995.
- [131] [Online]. Available: <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/codeq/tr1/tr1chrt.pdf>
- [132] F. Menanteau and J. P. Hughes, "Southern Cosmology Survey II: Physical Properties of SZE-Selected Galaxy Clusters," *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2008.
- [133] Z. Staniszewski, P. A. R. Ade, K. A. Aird, B. A. Benson, L. E. Bleem, J. E. Carlstrom, C. L. Chang, H. . Cho, T. M. Crawford, A. T. Crites, T. de Haan, M. A. Dobbs, N. W. Halverson, G. P. Holder, W. L. Holzapfel, J. D. Hrubes, M. Joy, R. Keisler, T. M. Lanting, A. T. Lee, E. M. Leitch, A. Loehr, M. Lueker, J. J. McMahon, J. Mehl, S. S. Meyer, J. J. Mohr, T. E. Montroy, C. . Ngeow, S. Padin, T. Plagge, C. Pryke, C. L. Reichardt, J. E. Ruhl, K. K. Schaffer, L. Shaw, E. Shirokoff, H. G. Spieler, B. Stalder, A. A. Stark, K. Vanderlinde, J. D. Vieira, O. Zahn, and A. Zenteno, "Galaxy clusters discovered with a Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect survey," *ArXiv e-prints*, Oct. 2008.
- [134] J. C. Mather, E. S. Cheng, D. A. Cottingham, R. E. Eplee, Jr., D. J. Fixsen, T. Hewagama, R. B. Isaacman, K. A. Jensen, S. S. Meyer, P. D. Noerdlinger, S. M. Read, L. P. Rosen, R. A. Shafer, E. L. Wright, C. L. Bennett, N. W. Boggess, M. G. Hauser, T. Kelsall, S. H. Moseley, Jr., R. F. Silverberg, G. F. Smoot, R. Weiss, and D. T. Wilkinson, "Measurement of the cosmic microwave background spectrum by the COBE FIRAS instrument," *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 420, pp. 439–444, Jan. 1994.
- [135] S. H. Moseley, Jr., "Semiconducting Bolometers," *This Volume*, 2009.
- [136] J. Mather, "Bolometers: ultimate sensitivity, optimization, and amplifier coupling," *Ap. Opt*, vol. 23, p. 584, 1984.
- [137] J. J. Bock, D. Chen, P. D. Mauskopf, and A. E. Lange, "A Novel Bolometer for Infrared and Millimeter-Wave Astrophysics," *Space Science Reviews*, vol. 74, pp. 229–235, Oct. 1995.
- [138] Y. D. Takahashi, D. Barkats, J. O. Battle, E. M. Bierman, J. J. Bock, H. C. Chiang, C. D. Dowell, E. F. Hivon, W. L. Holzapfel, V. V. Hristov, W. C. Jones, J. P. Kaufman, B. G. Keating, J. M. Kovac, C.-L. Kuo, A. E. Lange, E. M. Leitch, P. V. Mason, T. Matsumura, H. T. Nguyen, N. Ponthieu, G. M. Rocha, K. W. Yoon, P. Ade, and L. Duband, "CMB polarimetry with BICEP: instrument characterization, calibration, and performance," in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol. 7020, Aug. 2008.
- [139] C. D. Dowell, C. A. Allen, R. S. Babu, M. M. Freund, M. Gardner, J. Groseth, M. D. Jhabvala, A. Kovacs, D. C. Lis, S. H. Moseley, Jr., T. G. Phillips, R. F. Silverberg, G. M. Voellmer, and H. Yoshida, "SHARC II: a Caltech submillimeter observatory facility camera with 384 pixels," in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, T. G. Phillips and J. Zmuidzinas, Eds., vol. 4855, Feb. 2003, pp. 73–87.

- [140] J. Glenn, P. A. R. Ade, M. Amarie, J. J. Bock, S. F. Edgington, A. Goldin, S. Golwala, D. Haig, A. E. Lange, G. Laurent, P. D. Mauskopf, M. Yun, and H. Nguyen, “Current status of Bolocam: a large-format millimeter-wave bolometer camera,” in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, T. G. Phillips and J. Zmuidzinas, Eds., vol. 4855, Feb. 2003, pp. 30–40.
- [141] M. J. Devlin, P. A. R. Ade, I. Aretxaga, J. J. Bock, J. Chung, E. Chapin, S. R. Dicker, M. Griffin, J. Gundersen, M. Halpern, P. Hargrave, D. Hughes, J. Klein, G. Marsden, P. Martin, P. D. Mauskopf, B. Netterfield, L. Olmi, E. Pascale, M. Rex, D. Scott, C. Semisch, M. Truch, C. Tucker, G. Tucker, A. D. Turner, and D. Weibe, “The Balloon-borne Large Aperture Submillimeter Telescope (BLAST),” in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, C. M. Bradford, P. A. R. Ade, J. E. Aguirre, J. J. Bock, M. Dragovan, L. Duband, L. Earle, J. Glenn, H. Matsuhara, B. J. Naylor, H. T. Nguyen, M. Yun, and J. Zmuidzinas, Eds., vol. 5498, Oct. 2004, pp. 42–54.
- [142] J. Dunkley, A. Amblard, C. Baccigalupi, M. Betoule, D. Chuss, A. Cooray, J. Delabrouille, C. Dickinson, G. Dobler, J. Dotson, H. K. Eriksen, D. Finkbeiner, D. Fixsen, P. Fosalba, A. Fraisse, C. Hirata, A. Kogut, J. Kristiansen, C. Lawrence, A. M. Magalhaes, M. A. Miville-Deschenes, S. Meyer, A. Miller, S. K. Naess, L. Page, H. V. Peiris, N. Phillips, E. Pierpaoli, G. Rocha, J. E. Vaillancourt, and L. Verde, “CMBPol Mission Concept Study: Prospects for polarized foreground removal,” *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2008.
- [143] D. Samtleben and for the QUIET Collaboration, “Measuring the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMBR) polarization with QUIET,” *ArXiv e-prints*, Feb. 2008.
- [144] D. Benford and S. Moseley, “Cryogenic detectors for infrared astronomy: the Single Aperture Far-Infrared (SAFIR) Observatory,” *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A*, vol. 520, p. 379, 2004.
- [145] D. Benford, S. Rinehart, D. Leisawitz, and T. Hyde, “Cryogenic Far-Infrared Detectors for the Space Infrared Interferometric Telescope (SPIRIT),” *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 6687, p. 66870E, 2007.