

## Other National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service Reports

\*Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1972-73 (annual)

\*Historical Statistics on Expenditure and Employment for the Criminal Justice System, 1971 to 1973

\*Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1974 (2nd ed.)

\*Criminal Justice Agencies in Regions 1-10 (10 volumes)

\*National Survey of Court Organization, 197

\*National Survey of Court Organization: 1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems

#### Children in Custody:

\*Advance Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1972-73

\*A Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1971

#### National Prisoner Statistics:

\*Capital Punishment 1974

\*Capital Punishment 1973

\*\*Capital Punishment 1971-72

\*Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions on December 31, 1971, 1972, and 1973

\*Census of State Correctional Facilities 1974, Advance Report

#### Victimization Surveys:

\*Criminal Victimization in the U.S.: 1973 Advance Report

- \*Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities: National Crime Panel Surveys in Boston, Buffalo, Cincinnati, Houston, Miami, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, New Orleans, Oakland, Pittsburgh, San Diego, San Francisco, and Washington, D.C.
- \*Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities: National Cime Panel Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia
- \*\*Crime in Eight American Cities: National Crime Panel Surveys of Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis-Advance Report
- \*\*Crimes and Victims: A Report on the Dayton-San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization

## Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 1974

Issued February 1976

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Edward H. Levi, Attorney General

Law Enforcement Assistance Administration National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service SD-EE No. 7 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Elliot L. Richardson Secretary

Bureau of the Census State and Local Government Special Studies, No. 77

<sup>\*</sup>Available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

<sup>\*\*</sup>Available from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Washington, D.C. 20531

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION

Richard W. Velde Administrator

Harry Bratt, Assistant Administrator National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service

> Benjamin H. Renshaw, Director Statistics Division

#### BUREAU OF THE CENSUS Vincent P. Barabba Director

Robert L. Hagan, Deputy Director

Shirley Kallek Associate Director for Economic Fields

Sherman Landau, Chief Governments Division

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This report was prepared jointly by the Bureau of the Census and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was supplied by Charles R. Kindermann, assisted by Sue A. Lindgren and Marilyn Marbrook, all of the National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service.

In the Bureau of the Census, Governments Division, general supervision was provided by Alan R. Jones. Carolyn Y. Thompson directed the project. Significant contributions were made by Victoria E. Campbell, Purnell E. Johnson; Helen M. Kneeland, Edward C. Malloy Jr., Joan B. Taylor; Operations units under the supervision of Howard S. Sales and Richard Neach; the Publication unit under the supervision of Helen D. Files including Corrine Davis; and the Data Preparation Division.

Library of Congress Card No. 71-609542

#### Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

United States, National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service.

Expenditure and employment data for the criminal justice system, 1974

(State and local government special studies; no. 77) "SD-EE no. 7"

Supt of Docs. No: J1.37: 7

- 1. Criminal justice, Administration of-United States-Finance-Statistics.
- 2. Criminal justice, Administration of-United States-Statistics.

I. Title. II. Series: United States, Bureau of the Census. State and local government special studies; no. 77 JK2403,A35 no. 77 HV8138 338.4'7

### **CONTENTS**

		그는 회사는 마음을 살아 있다면 하다 하는 아무를 하셨다. 말이 들었다.	Page
ı	troduction		1
	Table A.	Total criminal justice expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973, and 1974.	2
	Table B.	Total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees and percent change, by level of government, October 1971, October 1972, October 1973, and October 1974	2
	Table C.	Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1974	· -
	Table D.	Percent of full-time equivalent employment in the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, October 1974.	<b>6</b> 3
	Figure 1.	Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure by level of government, fiscal year 1974	4
	Figure 2.	Percent distribution of criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment by level of government, October 1974	4
	Figure 3. Figure 4.	Total Federal Government expenditure for criminal justice, fiscal year 1974	5 5
	Table E.	Percent distribution of Federal full-time equivalent employment and payroll, October 1974, by activity	6
	Table F.	Average monthly salaries for Federal full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974	
	Table G.	Percent distribution of State full-time equivalent employment and payroll, October 1974, by activity	7
	Figure 5. Figure 6.	Total State government expenditure for criminal justice, fiscal year 1974	8 8
	Table H.	Average monthly salaries for State full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974.	9
	Table I.	Percent distribution of county full-time equivalent employment and payroll, October 1974, by activity	10
	Table J.	Percent distribution of municipal full-time equivalent employment and payroll, October 1974, by activity	10
	Figure 7. Figure 8.	Total local government expenditure for criminal justice, fiscal year 1974	11 11
	Figure 9.	County direct expenditure for criminal justice, fiscal year 1974	12
	Table K.	Average monthly salaries for county full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974	13
	Table L.	Average monthly salaries for municipal full-time employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974	
	Figure 12.	Municipal direct expenditure for criminal justice, fiscal year 1974	
	Table M.	Total Criminal justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1974	15

#### CONTENTS—Continued

#### Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

Table		rage
1.	Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1974	19
	Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA	
2.	Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government,	
3,	fiscal year 1974	21 21
4.	government, October 1974	22
5. 6.	year 1974	
7.	State and type of government, fiscal year 1974  Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government,	34
	fiscal year 1974  Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974	42
9.	Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1974.	46
10	Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government,	EΛ
11	October 1974	54 62
12	Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1974	66
	Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental)	70
	Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal	82
15	Total criminal justice system expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974	
16	. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of fuil-time equivalent employees	100
17	of 384 large city governments, October 1974  Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974	
18	Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental)	
19	Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments,	
	fiscal year 1974	144
21	Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974	
22	2. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974	11 July 15
	Section III, POLICE PROTECTION	
	3. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974	. 170
2	4. Police protection expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974	
2	5. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974	

### CONTENTS—Continued

#### Section IV. JUDICIAL

Table		Page
27. 28.	Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974  Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments, fiscal year 1974  Judicial expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974  Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 312 large county governments,	189 194 195
31.	fiscal year 1974  Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974  Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1974  Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 312 large county governments, October 1974	201 207 214 216
	Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION	
34.	Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974.  Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974.  Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974.  Section VI. INDIGENT DEFENSE	227 232 238
<b>~</b>		
	Indigent defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974.	246
	Indigent defense expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974	251
50.	year 1974	257
	Section VII. CORRECTIONS	
	Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974  Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments, fiscal year	265
41. 42.	1974	270 271
43.	fiscal year 1974	277 283
	Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974	290 293
46.	Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 312 large county governments, October 1974  Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments, October 1974	296 306
	Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE	
48.	Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974	321
Appe	endix 1: Exhibit Tables A and B	327 337 343

e de la companya de l

 $-\Omega$ 

#### TABLE FINDING GUIDE

#### Subjects by Type of Government and Table Designation

This guide lists all subjects covered in this report, but does not indicate all the detail shown in some tables, e.g. percent distributions, capital outlay, employment by full-time and full-time equivalent, etc. Unless otherwise noted, expenditure data are for FY 1974 and employment and payroll data for October 1974. Symbols appear with some table designations to indicate that only U.S. summary data are shown. A guide to the symbols appears at the end of the matrix. appears at the end of the matrix.

경기 기업, 이 시에 없는 사람이 하다		Federal	0444	Local governments				
) Subject	Total U.S.	govern- ment	State govern- ments	Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	312 large counties	384 large cities	
CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM		1 (1.50) 221						
Total expenditure: FY '71, '72, '73, '74	Ą	A	A*	<b>A</b> *				
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,8	2*,6,8		18,22	13,15	
Total expenditure from own sources (variable pass-through)			1	1				
Direct expenditure only	С	С	C*,7	C*,M*,7	M**,11	19	14	
Number of employees: FY '71, '72, '73, '74	В	В	В*	B*				
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,	D,*G*,3*,	D*,1†,J‡, 3*,9	12	20	16	
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	G*,3*,10	I†,J‡, 3*,	12	21	17	
POLICE PROTECTION <sup>1</sup>						1, 7,4 %		
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,23	2*,6,23		18,24	13,25	
Direct expenditure only	, c	С	C*,7	C*,M*,7	M**,11	19	14	
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,	D*,G*,3*,	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	20	16	
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*,3*,10	1†,J‡,3*,10	12	21	17	
Average monthly salaries		F	H*	K†,L‡				
JUDICIAL							"	
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6, <b>26</b>	2*,6,26		18,28	13,30	
Direct expenditure only	С	С	C*,7,27	C*,M*,7	M**,11	19,29	14	
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,	D*,G*,3*, 9,31	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	20,32	16	
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*,3*, 10,31	I†,J‡,3*, 10	12	21,32	17	
Average monthly salaries		į F	H*	K†,L‡				
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll, by type of court and miscellaneous			27,31			29,32		

		Fortagel	Chata	Local governments				
Subject	Total U.S.	Federal govern- ment	State govern- ments	Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	312 large counties	384 large cities	
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION Total expenditure: direct and						•		
intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,33	2,6,33		18,34	13,35	
Direct expenditure only	С	С	C*,7	C*,M*,7	M**,11	19	14	
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*, 3*,9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	20	16	
Payrol!	3	E,3,5	G*, 3*, 10	1†,1‡,3*,10	12	121	17	
Average monthly salaries		F	Н*	K†,1‡				
INDIGENT DEFENSE Total expenditure: direct and inter-				=				
governmental	2	2,4	2*,6.36	2*,6,36		18,37	13,38	
Direct expenditure only	C	С	C*,7	C*,M*,7	M**,11	19	14	
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*, 3*,9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	20	16	
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*, 3*, 10	I†,J‡,3*,10	12	21	17	
Average monthly salaries		F	Н*	K†,L‡				
CORRECTIONS Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,39	2*,6,39				
						18,41	13,43	
Direct expenditure only	С	С	C*,7,40	C*,M*,7	M**,11	19,42	14,44	
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,	D*,G*,3*, 9,45	D*,1†,J‡, 3*,9	12	20,46	16,47	
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*,3*, 10,45	I†,J‡,3*, 10	12	21,46	17,47	
Average monthly salaries		F	Н*	K†,L‡	100 100 100			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll for correctional institutions, by type, and for administration, pro-								
bation/parole/pardon, and miscel- laneous			40,45			42,46	44,47	
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE Total expenditure: direct and inter-								
governmental	2	2,4	2*,6,48	2*,6,48		18	13	
Direct expenditure only	С	С	C*,7	C*,M*,7	M**,11	19	14	
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,	D*,G*, 3*,9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	20	16	
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	G*, 3*, 10	1†,J‡,3*,10	12	21	17	
Average monthly salaries		F	Н*	K†,L‡				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibit Tables A and B in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for large special police forces which are part of independent school districts or special districts. These data are not included in other policy protection data in this report.

\*Table shows total data for combined state or local governments only; it does not give data for individual state or local

governments.

† Table shows data for combined county governments only; it does not give data for individual counties,

† Table shows data for combined municipal governments only; it does not give data for individual municipalities,

\*\*Table shows data for 17 SMSA's combined; it does not give data for individual SMSA's.

#### **IMPORTANT**

We have provided a User Evaluation Questionnaire at the end of this publication. It will assist us in improving future reports if you will complete and return it at your earliest convenience. The questionnaire is a self-mailing form and requires no postage.

#### INTRODUCTION

This publication is the eighth in a series of annual reports that present public expenditure and employment data on criminal justice activities in the United States. In this report, expenditure data cover the fiscal year 1974, and employment data refer to the month of October 1974.

As in previous years, specific data are supplied for the Federal Government, each of the 50 State governments, and the aggregate local level of government within each State. Survey coverage was designed to produce reliable estimates for each State of the percent of State and local law enforcement expenditure funded and expended by units of general local government. These estimates, shown in table 1, are necessary for compliance with the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended by the Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1970 (further discussion with table 1). For the fourth year, data are published separately for the aggregate county governments and the aggregate municipal governments (cities and townships) within each State, and for each of 312 counties with a 1970 population of 100,000 or more, as well as 384 cities with a 1970 population of 50,000 or more. Also, data are shown for the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's). Reports for fiscal year 1970 through fiscal year 1972 displayed data for the 15 largest SMSA's.

The presentation of the tables is organized into two major parts—the summary "Criminal Justice System" section showing the interrelationship of the various sectors of the system, and the six "Sector" sections providing, where possible, a further breakdown of data on a particular criminal justice activity. The six sectors of criminal justice activity covered in this report are: police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, indigent defense, corrections, and "other criminal justice." In addition, appendix 1 presents two tables that show expenditure and employment data for special police force activities in selected school districts and special districts not included in the summary totals.

Definitions for concepts, categories and terms used in this report are contained in appendix 2.

#### Historical overview

Although this annual series of reports began in fiscal year 1967, caution should be exercised in comparing data in the FY 1971 and later volumes with data in earlier volumes. Beginning with FY 1971, the survey was greatly expanded: The survey sample of governmental units was increased by a third, and the number of units for which data are compiled in the field by specially trained agents was more than doubled. Data collection further benefited from a growing familiarity with the criminal justice systems of the various States and of the individual units within the States.

Data from the FY 1971, 1972, 1973, and 1974 volumes are essentially comparable; these data were collected in each year from the same sample of governments and were edited and processed in a uniform fashion. (See the section on Survey Methodology for more detail.) Table A shows the steady rise in expenditure for criminal justice activities at all levels of government over the 4 years.

The number of full-time equivalent criminal justice employees also increased at all levels of gove.nment but at a much slower rate than expenditure (see table B). A report entitled <u>Historical Statistics on Expenditure and Employment for the Criminal Justice System 1971 to 1973</u>, which summarizes data from the annual publications, is available. A second historical report, covering fiscal years 1971 to 1974, is being prepared.

#### General, fiscal year 1974

Local governments continued to spend more than the Federal and State governments combined for all criminal justice activities. Figure 1 shows that local governments accounted for 60.8 percent of all direct criminal justice expenditure, while State governments contributed 26.1 percent and the Federal government 13.1 percent. However, when each activity is examined separately, the proportion accounted for by the different levels of government varied throughout the criminal justice system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This series of reports is based on an annual fiscal period that ends June 30 for most governments. In the first seven volumes, the designation for this fiscal period used in the title and tables included the two calendar years involved; e.g., Fiscal Year 1971-72. In this volume and subsequent volumes, the designation will refer only to the year in which the fiscal period ended; e.g., Fiscal Year 1974 instead of Fiscal Year 1973-74.

Table A. Total criminal justice expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973, and 1974

	Amour	it (million	ns of doll	Percent increase				
Level of government	1974	1973	1972	1971	1973 to 1974	1972 to 1973	1971 to 1972	
Federal <sup>1</sup>	\$2,603 4,546 9,130	\$2,261 3,855 8,094	\$1,910 3,342 7,373	<sup>2</sup> \$1,449 2,921 6,663	15.1 17.9 12.8	18.4 15.4 9.8	<sup>2</sup> 31.8 14.4 10.6	

<sup>1</sup>Federal Government data for fiscal years 1971, 1972, and 1973 have been revised upward to reflect expenditures of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration inadvertently excluded. See table 4. footnote 5.

The Federal expenditure amount shown for 1971 does not include data for the Office of Building Security Services for the Federal Reserve System, the Judicial, Prevention and Enforcement Services Division of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency at the National Institute of Mental Health, as these agencies were not canvassed in the 1971 survey. These agencies were canvassed in the 1972, 1973, and 1974 surveys and their expenditure is reflected in the data. The 31.8 percent increase from 1971 to 1972 is, therefore, overstated to the extent that these agencies, which expended 31.3 million in 1972, were not included in the 1971 base year.

Table B. Total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees and percent change, by level of government, October 1971, October 1972, October 1973, and October 1974

		Number of	employees	Percent increase			
Level of government	Oct. 1974	Oct. 1973	Oct, 1972	Oct. 1971		to	Oct. 1971 to Oct. 1972
FederalState	93,755 252,588 664,862	87,139 232,299 625,871	85,222 216,603 596,480	<sup>1</sup> 77,523 205,859 578,394	7.6 8.7 6.2	2.2 7.2 4.9	<sup>1</sup> 9.9 5.2 3.1

The Federal employment figure shown for October 1971 does not include employees of the Office of Building Security Services for the Federal Reserve System, the Judicial, Prevention and Enforcement Services Division of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency at the National Institute of Mental Health, as these agencies were not canvassed in the 1971 survey. These agencies were canvassed in the 1972, 1973, and 1974 surveys and their employees are reflected in the data. The 9.9 percent increase from October 1971 to October 1972 is, therefore, overstated to the extent that these agencies, which had 2,005 full-time equivalent employees in October 1972 were not included in the October 1971 base.

As seen in table C, three of the activities-police protection, judicial, and legal services and prosecution-were supported mainly by local governments. For the first time in 1974, local governments also expended the largest amount for indigent defense; in previous years, the Federal government had been the principal supporter in this sector. State governments contributed the largest amounts for corrections and those activities included in the "other criminal justice" sector.

Relationships similar to those found for expenditure among the levels of government also apply to the employment situation. Figure 2 shows that almost two-thirds of the total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees of all governments were employed by local governments. As seen in table D, the proportion of workers employed by the three levels of government in each sector generally approximated the proportion of expenditures made in the sector. An exception to this

pattern is seen in the indigent defense sector, where the Federal government accounted for 37.5 percent of the total expenditure, but only 2.5 percent of the employees. This is because the Federal government makes more extensive use of court-appointed counsel systems whereby fees are paid to private counsel to defend indigent clients accused of crimes than do State and local governments, which more frequently hire employees directly to provide indigent defense services.

#### Federal Government (tables 4 and 5)

General expenditure by the Federal government totaled \$223,483 million in fiscal year 1974. Of this amount, \$2,603 million, or 1.2 percent, was expended on criminal justice activities. The \$2,603 million represents an increase of \$342 million, or 15.1 percent, of the \$2,261 million expended in FY 1973. This is somewhat less than the 18.4 percent increase from 1972 to 1973.

The police protection sector accounted for the largest portion of the \$342 million overall increase: \$135 million or 39.4 percent. Substantial expenditure increases occurred in the U.S. Capitol Police unit, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Internal Revenue Service Intelligence Division, and the Postal Inspection Service. The Hospital Protective Services Staff in the various Veterans Administration hospitals more than doubled its expenditure, from \$7.1 million in FY 1973 to \$15.0 million in FY 1974, a 109.3 percent increase. Expenditure in the "other criminal justice" sector increased by \$145.9 million, 42.6 percent

of the total Federal expenditure increase. The Law Enforcement Assistance Administration accounted for virtually all of the increase, representing increased grants to State and local governments and contractual services with other Federal agencies and private organizations.

While the police protection and "other criminal justice" sectors showed the largest increases in absolute dollars expended, the fastest growing sector was corrections: expenditure rose from \$189 million in FY 1973 to \$237 million in FY 1974, a 25.5 percent increase. Only the legal services and prosecution sector showed a decrease; \$5.7 million less, a 4.8 percent decrease. The decrease reflected a widespread reorganization in the Department of Justice offices and divisions that comprise this sector. Percent increases in expenditure in the other sectors were: police protection, 12.4 percent; judicial, 15.0 percent; indigent defense, 1.3 percent; and "other criminal justice," 12.5 percent.


Figure 3 shows that as in previous years, the largest share of Federal criminal justice money was expended for police protection activities, including those agencies that enforce Federal law and those that provide security and police services to Federal property and buildings. Of the \$2,603 million in total Federal criminal justice expenditure, nearly half, \$1,225 million, was for agencies in the police protection sector, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation (\$372 million), the Bureau of Customs (\$232 million), the Postal Inspection Service (\$98.1 million), and the Drug Enforcement Administration (\$92.9 million). As noted earlier,

Table C. Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1974


Level of government	Total Police protection activities		protection Judicial		protection Judicial cution		activities cution defense		cution defense rections		Other activities
Total.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Federal State Local	13.1 26.1 60.8	14.3 15.4 70.3	7.6 24.4 68.0	15.3 23.1 61.6	37.5 21.1 41.4	6.6 55.9 37.5	46.3 28.2 25.5				

Table D. Percent of full-time equivalent employment in the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, October 1974


Level of government	Total	Police protection activities	Judicial activities	Prose- cution activities	Indigent defense activities	Cor- rections activities	Other activities
Total,	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal State Local	9.3 25.0 65.7	11.3 14.8 73.9	5.4 19.1 75.5	13.1 22.6 64.3	2.5 42.9 54.6	4.7 56.8 38.5	23.4 49,3 27.3




1 Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to total shown.



U.S.DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Bureau of the Census



Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to total shown.



the second largest block of Federal criminal justice expenditure was reported by agencies in the "other criminal justice" sector, \$796 million or 30.6 percent, primarily LEAA with FY 1974 expenditure of \$770 million. The other four sectors accounted for the remaining 22.4 percent of Federal criminal justice expenditure.

If Federal grants to State and local governments are not included, the increase in Federal criminal justice direct expenditure (current operating expenses and capital outlay) was 15.7 percent.

The number of full-time equivalent Federal employees in criminal justice activities increased 7.5 percent from October 1973 to October 1974. There were 93,755 full-time equivalent employees in October 1974, including 68,504 (73.1 percent) in the police protection sector; 9,967 (10.6 percent) in the corrections sector; 6,845 (7.3 percent) in the legal services and prosecution sector and 6,734 (7.2 percent) in the judicial sector (see figure 4). Indigent defense and "other criminal justice" together accounted for only 1,705 full-time equivalent employees (1.8 percent) although these two sectors accounted for more than 34 percent of the total Federal criminal justice expenditure. As previously mentioned, the greatest portion of the indigent defense money was paid to court-appointed counsel who are not considered regular public employees, and the greatest portion of the "other criminal justice" expenditure was in the form of intergovernmental grants.

The 93,755 Federal employees drew an October 1974 payroll of \$130.8 million; each sector's percentage of the total payroll was generally proportionate to its percentage of total employees (see table E). There is a slight disparity in some sectors because of a concentration of higher paid employees. For example, the judicial sector with only 7.2 percent of the employees, received 8.0 percent of the payroll; similarly, the legal services sector drew 8.0 percent of the payroll with only 7.3 percent of the employees. Average monthly salaries by sector are shown in table F.

Table F. Average monthly salaries for Federal full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974

Activity	October 1974		
Police protection	\$1,382		
Judicial	1,562		
Legal services	1,523		
Indigent defense	1,442		
Corrections	1,293		
Other criminal justice	1,322		

#### State governments (tables 6-10)

The total general expenditure of State governments in FY 1974 was \$120 billion. Of this amount \$4.5 billion, or 3.8 percent, was expended on criminal justice activities. This represents an increase of \$691 million, or 17.9 percent, in criminal justice expenditure from the previous fiscal year, slightly more than the 15.4 percent increase from 1972 to 1973.

From FY 1971 to FY 1973, the indigent defense and "other criminal justice" sectors each year made substantially larger proportionate increases than the other four sectors. In the case of indigent defense, the increases reflected the expansion of existing programs and the initiation of new programs to provide counsel for the poor, In some States, also, expanded efforts are underway to provide counsel not only to those accused of crime but also to those convicted persons still in need of an attorney's services, either in the appellate process or in disputed situations within the penal institutions. The indigent defense sector at the State level continued to expand in FY 1974, showing a 38.8 percent increase: but this increase was considerably less than the 63.6 percent increase in FY 1973 and the 48.1 percent increase in FY 1972.

Table E. Percent distribution of Federal full-time equivalent employment and payroll,
October 1974, by activity

	Full-time	October	Percent distribution		
Activity	equivalent employees	1974 payroll	Full-time equivalent employment	October 1974 payroll	
Total	93,755	\$130,802	100.0	100.0	
Police protection	68,504	94,705	73.1	72.4	
Judicial	6,734	10,517	7.2	8.0	
Legal services	6,845	10,422	7.3	8,0	
Indigent defense	154	222	0.2	0.2	
Corrections	9,987	12,885	10.6	9.8	
Other criminal justice	1,551	2,051	1.6	1.6	

In the case of the "other criminal justice" sector, the large proportionate increases in previous years reflected expanding intergovernmental payments to local governments for criminal justice purposes. Again, as with indigent defense, the "other criminal justice" sector continued to expand, but at a much slower rate, a 23.3 percent increase in FY 1974 compared to a 48.6 percent increase in FY 1973 and a 104.3 percent increase in FY 1972. The rate of expansion over the 4 years, in this sector more than any other, reflects the growth pattern of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration: several years of rapid growth followed by a gradual leveling off as the program matured. Intergovernmental grants to local governments continued to increase, \$94.6 million more in FY 1974 than in FY 1973 for all criminal justice sectors, but at a less spectacular rate.

Two other sectors, legal services and corrections, showed fast growing rates of expansion. Legal services expenditure increased by 24.5 percent in FY 1974 compared to a 14.0 percent increase in FY 1973. Corrections increased by 17.5 percent in FY 1974 compared to a 9.9 percent increase in FY 1973. The 16.5 percent increase in police protection and the 13.5 percent increase in judicial were more typical of increases in previous years.

While indigent defense, legal services, and "other criminal justice" were the fastest growing areas of State criminal justice expenditure, the correction sector, as in past years, accounted for the largest portion of State criminal justice expenditure (\$1,895 million or 41.7 percent); these expenditures included the operation of State prison systems and, in many States, the operation of the probation and parole systems as well. The balance of State criminal justice expenditure was distributed as follows: police protection, \$1,383 million, or 30.4

percent; judicial, \$476 million, or 10.5 percent; legal services and prosecution, \$182 million, or 4,0 percent; indigent defense, \$58 million or 1.3 percent; and "other criminal justice," \$552 million or 12.1 percent (see figure 5).


Increases in expenditure were accompanied by increases in criminal justice employment and payroll in all sectors. The number of full-time equivalent employees in State criminal justice agencies increased 8.7 percent, and the payroll increased 15.4 percent, between October 1973 and October 1974. The 252,588 employees (8.5 percent of the total 2,968,020 full-time equivalent employees on State payrolls) received \$252 million in salaries, wages, fees, and commissions in the month of October 1974. The percentage of total employees in each sector was generally proportionate to the percentage of total expenditure in each sector (see figures 5 and 6).

Indigent defense, which showed the greatest increase in expenditure, also showed the greatest proportionate increase in full-time equivalent employees, from 2,102 employees in October 1973 to 2,625 employees in October 1974, a 24.9 percent increase. The other sectors experienced considerably more modest increases in employment, ranging from a 4.2 percent increase in judicial employees to a 12.3 percent increase in legal services and prosecution employees.

The October 1974 payroll of \$252 million was divided among the six criminal justice sectors roughly in proportion to the number of employees in the sector (see table G). For those sectors characterized by higher-paid employees (see table H), namely judicial, legal services, and indigent defense, the sector's share of payroll, of course, exceeded the sector's share of employees

Table G. Percent distribution of State full-time equivalent employment and payroll,
October 1974, by activity

	Full-time	October	Percent distribution		
Activity	equivalent employees	1974 payroll	Full-time equivalent employment	October 1974 payroll	
Total  Police protection Judicial Legal services Indigent defense Corrections Other criminal justice	252,588 89,822 23,939 11,776 2,625 121,160 3,266	\$252,214 88,426 33,220 13,579 2,950 110,710 3,329	100,0 35.5 9.5 4.7 1.0 48.0 1.3	100.0 35.0 13.2 5.4 1.2 43.9 1.3	



1 Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to total shown.




Table H. Average monthly salaries for State full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974

Activity	October 1974
Police protection	\$ 984
Judicial Legal services	1,388
Indigent defense	1,153
Corrections	1,124 914
Other criminal justice	1,019

Local governments: counties and municipalities (tables 6-10 and 13-22)

Total expenditure for criminal justice by local governments in fiscal year 1974 was \$9,130 million, a \$1,036 million or 12.8 percent increase from FY 1973, slightly higher than the 9.8 percent increase from 1972 to 1973. As in past years, approximately two-thirds of this expenditure occurred in the police protection sector (\$5,984 million or 65.6 percent). The judicial sector and the corrections sector each accounted for slightly more than 13 percent of expenditure, while the other three sectors accounted for relatively smaller percents (see figure 7). Direct criminal justice expenditure by local governments (i.e., all expenditure except intergovernmental payments) amounted to \$9,092 million in fiscal year 1974, a 12.9 percent increase from FY 1973. Direct expenditure of local governments was distributed among the six criminal justice sectors in virtually the same proportions as total expenditure.

Total local full-time equivalent employment was also distributed among the six sectors roughly in the same proportions as total expenditures (see figures 7 and 8). Two slight exceptions to this generalization are the indigent defense sector, where payments are frequently made to private court-appointed counsel who are not direct employees of the government, and the "other criminal justice" sector, where expenditures are frequently grant funds used to assist with operations of private agencies connected with criminal justice activities or used for construction of criminal justice facilities. In both instances, large expenditures may be made without the governments involved directly employing the workers.

Analyzing local criminal justice expenditure in total, however, tends to obscure important differences between the county level of government and the municipal level. Municipal governments (cities and townships)

outspent county governments on criminal justice by almost 2 to 1 overall (\$5,867 million to \$3,226 million), but the difference can be attributed almost exclusively to the police sector, where the municipal governments outspent the county governments by better than a 4 to 1 margin. Municipal governments spent \$4,885 million (or 83.3 percent of all their criminal justice expenditure) on police protection, more than county governments spent on all six sectors altogether. On a sector-by-sector basis, however, county governments spent substantially more than municipal governments for judicial activities, indigent defense, and corrections. No one sector dominates county criminal justice expenditure as police protection does municipal expenditure (see figures 9 and 11).

County criminal justice expenditure increased for all activities over FY 1973. Proportionately the greatest increase occurred in the "other criminal justice" sector, \$16.9 million or 63.0 percent. As last year, counties received and expended increasing amounts of grant funds. Additionally, for the past few years, expenditure in the "other criminal justice" sector have reflected significant capital outlay for the construction of multifunctional criminal justice buildings, e.g., a police-jail facility or a police-court facility. In FY 1974, \$19.4 million of the \$43.8 million spent in the "other criminal justice" sector, by counties, was for construction of such buildings. Expenditure increases were smaller for the other five sectors, ranging from 11.2 percent in the police protection sector to 28.8 percent in the indigent defense sector.

At the municipal level, also, the largest proportionate increase year to year was in the "other criminal justice" sector, 56.1 percent. Construction played a less significant role than at the county level. Only \$4.4 million of the total \$55.1 million spent for "other criminal justice" at the municipal level was for construction purposes. The increase generally reflected new programs and grants being administered by the cities, Municipal expenditure for the other sectors ranged from a 10.6 percent increase in police protection to a 24.6 percent increase in the indigent defense area. However, indigent defense represented only a small portion of municipal criminal justice expenditure, 0.4 percent, and the increase reflected expansion of programs in a limited number of large cities having significant judicial responsibilities (primarily consolidated city-county governments) rather than a general trend.

At both the county and municipal levels, employment and payrolls were distributed throughout the various sectors in nearly the same percentages as expenditure (see tables I and J). There were 239,171 full-time equivalent county criminal justice employees in October 1974 drawing a monthly payroll of \$216 million. As at the State level, in those sectors with a concentration of higher-paid employees, such as legal services and indigent defense (see table K), the percentage of total

payroll exceeded the percentage of total employees. There were 425,691 full-time equivalent municipal criminal justice employees in October 1974 with a monthly payroll of \$444 million. At the municipal level, the "other criminal justice" employees received the highest average monthly salaries (see table L) but their numbers were limited—only 1,190 employees. Among the sectors with a significant number of employees, the legal services and police sectors paid the highest average salaries.

Overall, the number of county full-time equivalent employees in October 1974 increased by 19,277 or 8.8 percent over October 1973; the judicial sector added the

greatest number of employees, 6,913 (an 11.2 percent increase) and the "other criminal justice" sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 24.9 percent (124 employees). The number of municipal full-time equivalent employees increased by 4.9 percent compared with October 1973. The police sector added the greatest number of employees, 15,956 (a 4.6 percent increase) and the legal services sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 8.5 percent (974 employees) among the sectors with significant numbers of employees. Indigent defense employees increased by 23.4 percent, but their numbers were very small—145 employees in October 1973, 179 employees in October 1974.

Table I. Percent distribution of county full-time equivalent employment and payroll,
October 1974, by activity


(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Full-time	October	Percent distribution			
Activity	equivalent employees	1974 payroll	Full-time equivalent employment	October 1974 payroll		
Total	239,171	\$216,430	100.0	100.0		
Police protection	83,068 68,727	78,380 56,425	34.7 28.8	36.2 26.1		
Legal services	21,112	22,198 3,849	8.8 1.3	10.3 1.8		
Corrections Other criminal justice	62,482	55,027 551	26.1 0,3	25.4 0.2		


Table J. Percent distribution of municipal full-time equivalent employment and payroll,
October 1974, by activity


Dollar amounts in thousands)

	** **	<b>^</b>	Percent distribution				
Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1974 payroll	Full-time equivalent employment	October 1974 payroll			
Total	425,691	\$443,859	100.0	100.0			
Police protection	366,519	384,101	86.1	86.6			
Judicial	25,729	24,655	6.0	5.6			
Legal services	12,486	13,386	2.9	3.0			
Indigent defense	179	180	0.04	0.04			
Corrections	19,588	19,840	4.6	4.5			
Other criminal justice	1,190	1,497	0.3	0.3			




<sup>1</sup> Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to total shown.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to total shown.



Separate data are presented in tables 18-22 for the 312 county governments with a 1970 population of 100,000 or more and in tables 13-17 for the 384 city governments with a 1970 population of 50,000 or more. Only 57.1 percent of the total United States population lives in the 312 largest counties, but these counties accounted for more than three-fourths (\$2,494 million) of the total direct expenditure for criminal justice activities at the county level. These counties employed 71.9 percent of all county level full-time equivalent criminal justice employees (171,965), and paid these employees 78.9 percent of the total county criminal justice payroll for October 1974. These figures, of course, reflect the greater need for criminal justice services and the larger salaries in densely populated areas.

Table K. Average monthly salaries for county full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974

Activity	October 1974		
Police protection	821		

Table L. Average monthly salaries for municipal full-time employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1974

Activity	October 1974
Police protection	\$1,048
andicial.	958
Legal services	1,072
Indigent defense	1,005
Other criminal justice	1,013
Justice	1,258

Direct criminal justice expenditure by the 384 large city governments amounted to \$4,026 million, and full-time equivalent criminal justice employees numbered 273,401. These 384 cities have slightly over one-


third (35.6 percent) of the total United States population, but accounted for more than two-thirds of the municipal criminal justice expenditure, 68.6 percent. These same 384 cities employed 64.2 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent employees working in the criminal justice system and paid them 69.7 percent of the total municipal criminal justice payroll. Again, as with the large counties, the proportion of payroll exceeded the proportion of employees reflecting the generally larger salaries in the large metropolitan areas.

The distribution of expenditure and employment throughout the various criminal justice sectors in the 312 counties and the 384 cities reflects the distribution for all county governments and for all city governments respectively.


It should be noted that in the individual city tables, certain cities are either independent, being wholly outside any county area, or operate wholly or in part as a consolidated city-county. In general, these cities are more similar to large counties than to other large cities in the scope of their criminal justice responsibilities; that is, in addition to police protection which is the primary criminal justice function in most cities, these cities also operate extensive court and corrections systems and may have significant indigent defense expenditures. The independent cities are: Washington, D.C.; Baltimore, Md.; St. Louis, Mo.; and all displayed cities in Virginia. The consolidated city-county governments are: San Francisco, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Columbus, Ga.; Honolulu, Hawaii; Indianapolis, Ind.; Baton Rouge, La.; New Orleans, La.; Boston, Mass.; New York City, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; and Nashville-Davidson, Tenn.

Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's (tables 11 and 12)

Data are presented on criminal justice expenditure and employment of all governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) and within the individual SMSA for each of its component county areas. The county area data include aggregate figures for the county government and for all municipalities within that county. In a few instances, data for individual cities are listed separately in the SMSA tables because the city is either the only government within a county area, e.g., consolidated city-county governments such as Philadelphia or New York City, or the city is organizationally independent and within no recognized county boundary, e.g., St. Louis, Mo. or Washington, D.C. The State Economic Area (SEA) rather than the SMSA is shown for Boston because the SMSA's in New England are made up of the towns crather than the counties, a deviation from the general SMSA concept. The SEA, on the other hand, is made up of counties.



1 Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to total shown



As seen in table I, the 17 largest SMSA's expended \$4.454 million for criminal justice activities in FY 1974. Almost half (49.0 percent) of the Nation's total local criminal justice direct expenditure was made by governments in these areas where 30.3 percent of the United States population resides. On a sector-by-sector basis, governments in these SMSA's accounted for 49.0 percent of all local government direct expenditure for police protection; 48.1 percent of local expenditure for judicial activities; 44.1 percent of local expenditure for legal services and prosecution activities; 50.1 percent of local expenditure for indigent defense activities; 51.3 percent of all local expenditure for corrections activities; and 53.7 percent of local expenditure for "other criminal justice" activities (see table M).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's employed 286,897 full-time equivalent personnel in criminal justice, or 43.2 percent of the total number of local government employees working in criminal justice. The percentage of all local government employees working in each criminal justice sector in these SMSA's was: police protection, 43.3 percent; judicial, 41.2 percent; legal services and prosecution, 40.2 percent; indigent defense, 42.5 percent; corrections, 45.7 percent; and "other criminal justice," 58.6 percent,

#### SURVEY METHODOLOGY-SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

#### General

Survey coverage and collection methods are described in detail later in the text, and the definitions applied in the collection of data are presented in appendix 2.

As in previous editions of this annual series, several tables show comparisons of criminal justice expenditure and full-time equivalent employment with the total general expenditure and full-time equivalent employment for all functions of the particular government or level of government. Prior to the FY 1971 report, these figures, total expenditure and full-time equivalent employment, included data for independent school districts and special districts.

The "variable pass-through" provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1970, however, required that the concept of "local" government be confined to units of general local government only, i.e., counties, cities, and townships, in determining the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure. Beginning with the FY 1971 report, therefore, the same concept was applied consistently throughout the publication to reflect the intent of the law, and the total general expenditure and total full-time equivalent employment figures shown for the "local" level of government in these comparisons include data for units of general local government only and do not include data for independent school districts or special districts. This change may result in significant differences from the percentages shown in years prior to FY 1971 in those States where education is largely the responsibility of independent school districts, or where there are large special districts. Criminal justice expenditure and employment data in this series, however, have always been for units of general local government only, i.e., no data on the criminal justice activities of independent school districts or special districts have ever been included. (As in previous reports, however, appendix 1 provides supplementary data for those independent school districts and special districts having significant special police expenditure and employment.)

Table M. Total criminal justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1974

(Millions of dollars)

Local government	Total	Police protection activities	Judicial activities	Prose- cution activities	Indigent defense activities	Cor- rections activities	Other activities
All local governments.	\$9,092	\$5,982	\$1,223	\$475	\$101	\$1,213	\$99
Local governments in 17 largest SMSA's Percent of all local govern- ments <sup>1</sup>	4,454 49.0		588 48.1		51. 50.1	322 51.3	53.7 53.7

<sup>1</sup> Percentages based on unrounded figures shown in tables 7 and 11.

#### Survey coverage

The survey through which basic figures for this report were collected covered the Federal government, all State governments, and a representative sample of local governments within each State. Data were collected for all county governments (regardless of size), for all municipalities (including townships in the New England and Middle Atlantic States) having a 1970 population of 10,000 or more, and for a sample of the remaining cities and townships with less than 10,000 population, selected according to the relative size of their annual expenditures as reported in the 1967 Census of Governments. The survey panel therefore included the Federal government, the 50 State governments, and 9,036 local governments (the 3,044 county governments, and 5,992 municipalities, including 1,706 townships).

#### The survey period

Federal Government data are shown for FY 1974, which ended June 30, 1974.

The State expenditure data presented in this report cover the fiscal year ending June 30, 1974, for all States except three whose fiscal years end as follows: New York, March 31, 1974; Texas, August 31, 1974; and Alabama, September 30, 1974. However, there are some State agencies that operate on a different fiscal year basis from the rest of the State government. In such instances, figures shown are for the agency's fiscal year that ended within the State's regular fiscal year.

For local governments, the 1974 fiscal years reported are those that closed between July 1, 1973, and June 30, 1974. Most municipalities and counties ended their fiscal years on December 31, 1973, or June 30, 1974.

Employment data shown for Federal, State, and local overnments are for October 1974.

#### Data collection

The survey was accomplished using two methods of data collection: field compilation and mail canvass. Trained field representatives compiled expenditure and employment data from the governments' own records for the 50 States, 312 largest counties, 384 largest cities, and selected smaller units. The compilation work was done between July 1974 and June 1975 in accordance with the definitions presented in appendix 2. All other units in the sample were canvassed by mail for expenditure and employment data, over a 5-month period beginning in January 1975 and ending in May 1975. Response for field-compiled units was 100 percent. The response from mail canvass units was 92.4 percent. The mail canvass questionnaires are included as appendix 3.

The field survey efforts and mail canvass were supplemented by reference to a variety of published government documents such as budgets, financial statements, and audit reports. In some cases, such sources were the basis for breakdowns of totals into more detailed employment and expenditure figures.

The expenditure and employment data for the Federal Government were collected through a special mail survey, with telephone followup, of Federal criminal justice agencies, in accordance with definitions used for State and local governments (see appendix 2). For reports prior to 1971, expenditure data were derived from the Budget of the United States Government, and employment figures were obtained from the U.S. Civil Service Commission.

#### Data limitations

The sample selected to develop local government figures is one of all possible samples of the same size that could have been chosen using the same sampling design. Estimates derived from these different samples would differ from each other and also from a complete census using the same data collection procedures. This variation among all possible estimates is sampling error.

Since all State and county governments were included in the survey, State and county figures are not subject to sampling error. The local government sample was designed to produce an estimate for each State of the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure with a sampling error of less than one-half of 1 percent at the 95 percent confidence level.

The relative sampling error of the State-by-State estimates of local government criminal justice expenditure has also been calculated at the 95 percent confidence level. All States had a relative error of less than 1 percent except Wyoming (with 2.5 percent). The implication of this calculation is that there is a 19 out of 20 probability that the estimated State-by-State criminal justice total for the local level of government (except in Wyoming) is within 1 percent of the total that would result from a complete enumeration of local governments.

The estimated data are also subject to the inaccuracies in classification, response, and processing that would occur if a complete census had been conducted under the same conditions as the sample. Every effort was made to keep such errors to a minimum through care in examining, editing, and tabulating the data submitted by government officials. Followup procedures were used extensively to clarify inadequate and inconsistent survey returns.

Readers should be generally cautious in comparing governments, since other differences in functional responsibilities from State to State and government to government can also affect the comparability of expenditure and employment data. For example, some State governments directly administer certain activities that elsewhere are undertaken by local governments, with or without fiscal aid, and the same variation in the division of responsibilities exists for counties and cities.

The available source documents did not consistently provide full itemization of expenditure or employment for the sector subcategories presented in this report. As a result, sector breakdowns may be incomplete for particular governmental units.

Because of rounding, the detail data in some tables may not add precisely to the totals shown.

#### Changes in survey methodology

As discussed earlier, this series of annual reports dates back to FY 1967. The survey originated in the Bureau of the Census as a special in-house study in which police protection, judicial, and corrections data were extracted for selected large governments from data compiled in the Bureau's regular annual finance and employment sample surveys. In the FY 1969 survey, prosecution and indigent defense were added to the original three criminal justice categories, coverage was extended to the entire regular annual survey sample of counties and municipalities, and a mail canvass questionnaire designed specifically to elicit criminal justice data was used for all but the largest governments, which were canvassed by field agents. These changes must be taken into account in making year-to-year comparisons from FY 1967 through FY 1970.

In the FY 1970 report, individual unit data were published for 128 large counties (as compared to 55 counties in earlier reports) and for 158 large cities (compared to 48 cities in earlier reports). The Federal expenditure data presented in all reports through FY 1970 were extracted from the Budget of the United States Government, and the employment data was provided by the U.S. Civil Service Commission.

For the FY 1971 survey, a new and enlarged sample of local governments was drawn specifically for the criminal justice expenditure and employment survey, to produce more reliable estimates for use by LEAA in the allocation of block grant funds between State

and local governments. The data presented in reports since FY 1971 are therefore essentially comparable, because they are derived from the same sample using the same methods of data collection and processing. The only significant variations occurred in classification of certain expenditure items discussed in detail in the following section. In each year since 1971, as noted earlier, all States had a relative error for local criminal justice expenditure estimates of less than 1 percent except Wyoming (with 2.5 percent).

Since FY 1971, Federal data have been collected by a special mail canvass.

#### Changes in classification

In the FY 1971 report, police protection expenditure included amounts expended for traffic safety and related traffic engineering. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these expenditures were excluded from the police protection figures. Also, data for special police forces administered by general purpose governments (such as airport police, park police, housing police, etc.) were included in the police protection figures if the force employed 10 or more full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, data for these forces were included regardless of size.

In the FY 1971 report, corrections expenditure figures covered a number of drug and alcohol abuse facilities and programs administered by assorted agencies, departments, and private organizations receiving public funding. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these institutions and programs were included only if administered by a corrections agency of the criminal justice system.

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of major trial courts. In the FY 1971 report, an attempt was made to count these judges and their total payroll only at the State level. However, this effort was not uniformly successful. Therefore, beginning with the FY 1972 report, judges actually receiving a check from both the State and local governments were counted as part-time employees at both levels.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Bureau of the Census is indebted to the many Federal, State, and local government officials who provided the information and gave other assistance for the preparation of this report.

#### Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

The data in this table were developed to comply with the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended, which requires that the block grants made by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to each State be allocated between the State and local governments according to the ratio of State-to-local law enforcement expenditure from their own revenue sources.

The concept of "expenditure from own sources" specified in the law and used in the data collection means that the expenditure figures from which the State-to-local ratio was developed do not include amounts expended from revenue received from other governments. For example, State or local government expenditure from sales or property tax revenue are included but not amounts expended from intergovernmental revenue, such as Federal grant monies. (It should be noted that this is the only table in this publication based on this concept. All other expenditure tables reflect expenditure from all sources;)

Specifically for local government, and expenditure from revenue received from another local government, or received directly from the Federal Comment or from the State government must be excluded. Likewise for State governments, expenditure from revenue received from local governments or from the Federal Government must be excluded.

The following items were deducted from total criminal justice expenditure of local governments to arrive at local government expenditure from own revenue sources: Revenue received directly from the Federal Government for criminal justice purposes, State payments to local governments for criminal justice purposes, and payments from local government(s) to other local government(s) for criminal justice purposes. The same procedure was followed to arrive at State government expenditure from own revenue sources. Criminal justice revenue received directly from the Federal Government and local criminal justice payments to the State government were deducted from the total State expenditure for criminal justice. This procedure assumes that all intergovernmental payments received by a government will be expended during the same fiscal year they were received. While this may not be the case in a particular year and discrepancy will cancel out over time.

#### VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

Table 1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1974

(Amounts in thousands of dollars)

		Expendi	tures from own so	urces	Percent distribution			
Item		Total State-local	State	Local	State	Local		
UNITED STATES, TOTAL		11 900 140	3 725 706	8 174 434	31.3	68.7		
ALABAHA		107 500 45 149 135 615 43 981 1 826 838	37 586 37 406 43 444 19 988 485 081	69 914 7 743 92 171 23 993 1 341 757	35.0 82.9 32.0 45.4 26.6	65.0 17.1 68.0 54.6 73.4		
COLORADO	• • •	130 100 167 527 33 706 171 601 480 707	52 451 83 113 24 293 168 848	77 649 84 414 9 413 171 601 311 839	40.3 49.6 72.1 35.1	59.7 50.4 27.9 100.0 64.9		
GEORGIA		211 825 52 753 29 133 669 479 179 599	78 839 16 270 13 106 176 005 63 129	132 986 36 483 16 027 493 474 116 470	37.2 30.8 45.0 26.3 35.1	62.8 69.2 55.0 73.1 64.9		
IOWA		95 339 92 211 100 963 176 375 37 202	33 310 41 212 43 885 63 902 19 827	62 029 50 999 57 078 112 473 17 375	34.9 44.7 43.5 36.2 53.3	65. 55. 56. 63. 46.		
MARYLAND	200 mg/s / 100 mg/s /	280 901 355 088 546 413 159 920 64 708	153 076 104 073 129 609 45 119 29 508	127 825 251 015 416 804 114 801 35 200	54.5 29.3 23.7 28.2 45.6	45. 70. 76. 71. 54.		
MISSOURI		210 507 28 431 55 426 54 349 27 170	53 273 11 873 20 126 14 454 9 907	157 334 16 558 35 300 39 895 17 263	25.3 41.8 36.3 26.6 36.5	74. 58. 63. 73. 63.		
NEW JERSEY		493 284 47 \\28 1 786 \\95 221 599 17 722	134 948 22 702 370 995 125 991 6 085	358 336 24 826 1 416 000 95 608 11 637	27.4 47.8 20.8 56.9 34.3	72. 52. 79. 43. 65.		
ONTO	• • •	450 540 85 936 122 579 597 843 42 939	147 193 34 395 47 135 192 271 23 951	303 347 51 541 75 444 405 572 18 988	32.7 40.0 38.5 32.2 55.8	67. 60. 61. 67. 44.		
SOUTH CAROLINA SOUTH DAKOTA TENNESSEE TEXAS UTAH		95 510 20 556 157 831 442 588 39 750	45 023 8 896 51 348 124 272 19 002	\$9 487 11 660 106 483 518 316 20 748	47.1 43.3 32.5 28.1 47.8	52.9 56.7 67.1 71.9 52.2		
VERMONT		22 817 220 358 188 794 46 729 212 194 15 232	17 065 104 844 70 654 22 246 76 934 7 043	5 752 115 514 118 140 24 683 135 260 8 189	74.8 47.6 37.4 47.4 56.3 46.2	25.2 52.4 62.6 52.6 63.7 83.8		

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	_	Amou	nt	Por	cent distribution	on
Activity	All Roveraments <sup>1</sup>	Federal Government <sup>1</sup>	State Local government	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM <sup>3</sup> . DIRECT EXPENDITURE INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	 14 953 661 14 953 661 (*)	2 602 958 1 961 333 641 625	4 546 345 9 129 86 3 899 958 9 092 37 646 387 115 39	0 13.1	(x) 26.1 (x)	(x) 60.8 (x)
POLICE PROTECTION* DIRECT EXPENDITURE INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	 8 511 676 8 511 676 (1)	1 224 586 1 221 510 3 076	1 382 931 5 984 07 1 308 455 5 981 73 74 476 52 98	1 14.3	(x) 15.4 (x)	(x) 70.3 (x)
JUDICIAL DIRECT EXPENDITURE. INTERGOVER WENTAL EXPENDITURE	 1 798 153 1 798 153 (*)	136 135 136 135	475 992 1 227 39 439 456 1 222 56 36 536 11 72	2 7.6	(x) 24.4 (x)	(x) 68.0 (x)
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION <sup>3</sup> DIRECT EXPENDITURE	 770 762 770 762 (1)	117 798 117 798	181 537 476 79 178 355 474 60 3 182 2 63	9 15,3	(x) 23.1 (x)	(x) 61.6 (x)
INDIGENT DEFENSE <sup>2</sup>	 244 593 244 593 (°)	91 629 91 629	58 055 101 44 51 683 101 26 6 372 52	37.5	(x) 21.1 (x)	(x) 41.4 (x)
CORRECTIONS	 3 240 396 3 240 396 (°)	237 300 214 529 22 771	1 895 434 1 240 81 1 812 529 1 213 33 82 905 46 48	6.6	(x) 55.9 (x)	(X) 37.5 (X)
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE*	 388 081 388 081 (°)	795 510 179 732 615 778	552 396 99 34 109 480 98 86 442 916 1 0	9 46.3	(x) 28.2 (x)	(x) 25.5 (x)

Table 3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal justice system, by level of government, October 1974

	All Federal				Porcent distribution			
Activity	governments	Government	State governments	Local governments	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments	
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM: TOTAL EMPLOYEES	1 093 609	95 252	262 735	735 622	8.7	24.0	67.3	
	980 230	93 234	247 356	639 640	9.5	25.2	65.3	
	1 011 205	93 755	252 588	664 862	9.3	25.0	65.7	
	1 043 104	130 802	252 214	660 088	12.5	24.2	63.3	
POLICE PROTECTION: TOTAL EMPLOYEES	653 380	69 420	97 224	486 936	10.6	14.9	74.5	
	594 209	68 257	87 026	438 926	11.5	14.6	73.9	
	607 913	68 504	89 822	449 587	11.3	14.8	73.9	
	645 612	94 705	88 426	462 481	14.7	13.7	71.6	
JUDICIAL: TOTAL EMPLOYEES	141 094	6 804	24 560	109 730	4.8	17.4	77.8	
	116 597	6 700	22 582	87 315	5.7	19.4	74.9	
	125 129	6 734	23 939	94 456	5.4	19.1	75.3	
	124 817	10 517	33 220	81 080	8.4	26.6	65.0	
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION: TOTAL EMPLOYEES FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES OCTOBER PAYROLL	58 582	7 091	12 381	39 110	12.1	21.1	66.8	
	47 374	6 749	11 408	29 217	14.2	24.1	61.7	
	52 219	6 845	11 776	33 598	13.1	22.6	64.3	
	59 585	10 422	13 579	35 534	17.5	22.8	59.7	
INDIGENT DEFENSE: TOTAL EMPLOYEES. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES. COTOBER PAYROLL.	6 687	154	2 710	3 823	2.3	40.5	57.2	
	5 655	154	2 575	2 926	2.7	45.5	51.6	
	6 119	154	2 625	3 340	2.5	42.9	54.6	
	7 201	222	2 950	4 029	3.1	41.0	55.9	
CORRECTIONS: TOTAL EMPLOYEES	226 794	10 126	122 560	94 108	4.5	54.0	41.5	
	209 906	9 893	120 519	79 494	4.7	57.4	37.9	
	213 197	9 967	121 160	82 070	4.7	56.8	38.5	
	198 462	12 885	110 710	74 867	6.5	55.8	37.7	
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE: TOTAL EMPLOYEES	6 872	1 657	3 300	1 915	24.1	48.0	27.9	
	6 489	1 481	3 246	1 762	22.8	80.0	27.2	
	6 628	1 551	3 266	1 811	23.4	49.3	27.3	
	7 427	2 051	3 329	2 047	27.6	44.8	27.6	

(Thousands of dollars)

		Direct expenditure		Intergov	ernmental expen	diture	
Iton	Total	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL EXPENDITURE, ALL FUNCTIONS	223 483 000	180 621 000	166 784 000	13 837 000	42 862 000	30 708 000	12 154 000
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	2 602 958	1 961 333	1 860 839	100 494	641 625	609 535	32 090
OLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL	1 224 586	1 221 510	1 181 191	40 319	3 076	-	3 07
THE CONGRESS: U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	13 759 979	12 675 979	12 400 979	275	1 084	-	1 08
FEDERAL JUDICIARY: SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE	641	641	631	10	_		
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, U.S. FOREST SERVICE: COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM .	2 719	727	715	12	1 992	_	1 99
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE: U.S. PARK POLICE. U.S. PARK RANGERS <sup>1</sup>	9 925 13 856	9 925 13 856	9 290 13 628	635 228			
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	92 869 371 565	92 869 371 565	89 310 355 820	3 559 15 745	=		
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE: U.S. BORDER PATROL. INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION	40 346 20 997 13 512	40 346 20 997 13 512	37 898 20 906 13 331	2 448 91 181			
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION: AIRPORT POLICE	2 586 15 064	2 586 15 064	2 495 15 064	91		-	
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY: BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS	68 297 2 465	68 297 2 465	67 643 1 866	654 599		-	
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION. OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE. U.S. SECRET SERVICE	79 910 10 215 404 231 608 66 398	79 910 10 215 404 231 608 66 398	74 874 10 113 404 229 089 64 032	5 036 102 2 519 2 366		-	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION: OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT	49 046	49 046	46 768	2 278	_	-	
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION: NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK FOLICE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE	375 3 845	375 3 845	373 3 802	2 43	-	_	
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE: POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	98 175	98 175	94 917	3 258	•		
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION: HOSPITAL PRITECTIVE SERVICES STAFF	15 030	15 030	14 843	187			
DICIAL, TOTAL	136 135	136 135	124 238	11 897	_		
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>2</sup>	10 730	10 730	10 586	144	_		
FEDERAL JUDICIARY: ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S.							
COURTS	4 128 1 493 4 824 1 873	4 128 1 493 4 824 1 873	4 097 1 466 4 223 1 864	31 27 601 9	:		
APPEALS. U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS. U.S. CUSTOMS COURT. U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. U.S. TAX COURT.	606 15 287 2 115 82 120 12 959	606 15 287 2 115 82 120 12 959	602 15 005 2 101 80 411 3 883	282 14 1 709 9 076			
GAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL	117 798	117 798	114 278	3 520	-		
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:  ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION . ANTITRUST DIVISION . BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS . CIVIL DIVISION . CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION . CRIMINAL DIVISION .	8 146 12 007 546 8 972 7 192 12 716	8 146 12 007 546 8 972 7 192 12 716	7 594 11 964 546 8 969 7 188 12 715	552 43 3 4			

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Item		Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure			
	Total	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State	To local	
				*X.		<u> </u>		
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTIONCONTINUED			1	· 월	Ì			
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICECONTINUED								
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5 558	- 400	5 555	3		_		
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	738 414		738	-		.]		
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1 059		409 971	5 88	-	•   -		
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL3.  EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS3.	564 47 271	1	544	20				
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICES	23		44 621 213	2 650		-		
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL OFFICE OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION	1 334	1 334	1 333	22				
FUNCE	2 419	2 419		· · · · · ·				
TAX DIVISION.	8 627		2 294 8 624	125		·  -		
INDIGENT DEFENSE, TOTAL	91 629	2.00		_	-	1		
en e	91 029	91 629	91 529	100	-	- 1		
FEDERAL JUDICIARY: COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS			<i>iq.</i>		1974年			
FEUERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS .	1 352 2 392		1 352	. <del>.</del>		-		
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED		1 - 72	2 292	100	-	-		
COUNSEL	13 965	13 965	13 965	-	_	.		
OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY:		1	· .					
LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAM	73 920	73 920	73 920	_				
CORRECTIONS, TOTAL	237 300	214 529	170 177	(V <u>.</u> )		1 7	* 1	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND		137 329	170 133	44 396	22 771	-	22 77	
WELFARE,				13		1		
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE:					t all th			
ADDICTION RESEARCH CENTER (LEXINGTON, KY.)		_		<b>*</b>		[		
	3 055	3 055	2 365	690	-	-		
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:			}					
BOARD OF PAROLE	1 858 196 006	1 858	1 660	198	-			
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY	155	173 235 155	130 608 153	42 627	22 771	-	22 771	
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE2	10 730	10 730	10 586	144		<u>-                                   </u>	-	
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:			1.0					
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE	25 496	25 496	24 761	735 1	trail World		40.00	
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL	795 510	170 770				-[	- <del>-</del>	
	755 510	179 732	179 470	262	615 778	609 535	6 243	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE,								
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH								
CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND DELINQUENCY.			1	-				
The state of the s	5 620	4 963	4 963		657	334	323	
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,		1						
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS: DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES.	11 000					* * ;		
	11 844	11 844	11 675	169		-	- i	
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE								
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE	2 456	2 456	2 450	6	-	-1	· .	
ADMINISTRATION*	770 428	155 307	155 290	17	615 121	(00.00)		
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE2.	4 769	4 769	4 705	64	012 121	609 201	5 920	
TEMPORARY STUDY COMMISSIONS	393	393	387	6			47.	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

\*\*Istimated criminal justice activities only.

\*\*Total expenditures allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

\*\*Service.

\*\*Total expenditures for all three lines combined are comparable to total for Office of the Deputy Attorney General in previous reports of this series since 1970-71.

\*\*Siffective January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Service.\*

\*\*Service.\*

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

\*\*Strictive January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transforred to newly created Community Services Administration data previous reports of the Deputy Attorney Community Services Administration of the U.S. Marshall January 4, 1975 the U.S. Marshall January 4, 1975 the U.S. Marshall

#### Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government, October 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	October payroll
FUNCTIONS	
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM . 95 252 93 234 93 755  OLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL	
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	3 294 2
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:   U.S. PARK POLICE   U.S. PARK PAILOR   U.S. PAILOR	130 8
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	94 7
SPECIAL JUDICIARY: SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE	1 1
SUPPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE	i
U.S. FOREST SERVICE: COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE: U.S. PARK POLICE. 1.5. PARK RANGERS. 1	
U.S. PARK POLICE	
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	7
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION	6 8 28 2
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION: AIRPORT POLICE	3 0 1 9
AIRPORT POLICE	
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY: BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS	2
CONSOLIDATED FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER.  INTER[IAL.REVENUE SERVICE;	5 3
1876LUIGENCE DIVISION	1
OFFICE OF LAW EMPORCEMENT	5 9
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE	7
ENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION: OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT	19 3
MITHSONIAN INSTITUTION: NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	3 9
ADMITTISUATION INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE	
ASA POSTAL SERVICE:	4
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	7 7
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION: HOSPITAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES STAFF	1 2
TCTAL, TOTAL , ,	10 5
EPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>2</sup> ,	
EDERAL JUDICIARY:	6
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS, .* 265 265 265	3
SUBREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,	3
u.s. court of CLAIMS	1
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT APPEALS	1 7
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT	1 3
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	7 0

### Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government, October 1974—Continued

	Item		1	Number of employees	•	m. t
	ltem		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total October payroll
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROS	ECUTION TOTAL					77
		• • • • • •	7 091	6 749	6 845	10 42
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVI ANTITRUST DIVISION. BOARD OF IMMIGRATIC CIVIL DIVISION. CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISI CRIMINAL DIVISION. LAND AND NATURAL RE OFFICE OF LEGAL COU	SION		757 661 28 494 378 670 248	705 613 28 463 374 656 232 33	728 620 28 467 374 658 236	92 1 06 4 74 51 1 1 1
OFFICE OF CRIMINA	NEY GENERAL.  Y ATTORNEY GENERAL.  OF U.S. ATTORNEYS.  L JUSTICE.  ITOR GENERAL.  SPECIAL PROSECUTION	FORCE	23 35 36 3 123 20 41 89 455	23 35 2 989 20 41 77 422	23 35 36 3 032 20 41 866 428	33 55 55 4 45 70 133
INDIGENT DEFENSE, TOTAL	• • • • • • • • • •		154	154	154	692
	NDER ORGANIZATIONS . DURT-APPOINTED COUNSE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(x) 154 (x)	(x) 154 (x)	(x) 154 (x)	22; (x) 22; (x)
OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OP LEGAL SERVICES PROG	PORTUNITY:		(x)	(x)		
CORRECTIONS, TOTAL			10 126	9 893	(x)	(x)
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, NATIONAL INSTITUTE (	EDUCATION AND WELEA		108		9 967	12 885
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: BOARD OF PAROLE			108	102	105	126
BUREAU OF PRISONS . OFFICE OF THE PARDON		: : : : : :	139 7 384	136 7 229	139 7 277	190 9 423
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	E <sup>2</sup> .		9 591	8 523	8 543	9 425 14 637
FEDERAL JUDICIARY: FEDERAL PROBATION SE	RVICE					
THER CRIMINAL JUSTICE,			1 895	1 895	1 895	2 495
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, NATIONAL INSTITUTE O	FOUCATION AND WELEA		1 657	1 481	1 551	2 051
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTE	RTOR		473	444	486	20
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: COMMUNITY RELATIONS LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSI U.S. MARSHALS SERVIC	STANCE ADMINISCEDATION		109 762 262	107 662 232	107 676 241	400 179 1 126
TEMPORARY STUDY COMMIS	S10NS		40	25	30	283
	·				20	43

X Not applicable.

1Estimated criminal justice activities only.

2Total employment and payrolls allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

3Total employment and payrolls for all three lines combined are comparable to total for Office of the Deputy Attorney General in previous reports of this series since 1970-71.

4Effective January 4, 1975 the Legal Services Program transferred to newly created Community Services Administration, successor agency to OEO.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	ustice system	Police pro	tection	Judic	ial
State and type of government	Total general expenditure <sup>1</sup>	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>1</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	147 302 752 119 891 358 74 214 112 28 878 814 47 135 024	4 546 345 9 129 866 3 276 735	8,8 3,8 12,3 11,3 12,6	7 290 166 1 382 931 5 984 077 1 102 695 4 932 001	56.1 30.4 65.6 33.7 83.2	1 662 018 475 992 1 227 391 861 244 373 046	12.8 10.5 13.4 26.3 6.3
ALABAHA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	1 890 454 1 808 667 642 499 214 383 469 975	81 794	6.8 3.0 12.7 14.1 11.1	76 054 19 419 56 671 9 989 46 689	59,4 35,2 69,3 33,2 89,3	18 7#5 5 377 13 381 11 631 2 133	14.6 9.8 16.9 38.6 4.1
ALASKA. STATE . LOCAL, TOTAL	842 897 665 521 323 999 185 511 139 799	9 981	5,8 6,0 3,1 1,0 6,6	19 329 11 728 7 608 1 105 7 576	39.8 29.3 76.2 62.0 81.7	9 483 9 229 355 68 287	3,0
ARIZONA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	1 287 204 1 160 845 599 130 262 032 351 947	49 742	12.0 4.5 18.0 19.0 16.6	92 497 25 704 66 793 14 463 52 375	59.7 49.0 61.9 29.1 89.7	18 590 2 374 16 278 13 621 2 671	
ARKANSAS. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	885 888 946 459 254 686 113 313 142 326	11 979	6.1 2,9 12,4 10.6 14.0	31 273 8 890 22 568 4 852 17 748	57,6 31,8 71,3 40,5 88,9	6 132 1 996 4 158 3 323 1 036	7. 13. 27.
CALIFORNIA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	16 026 294 13 702 881 9 411 427 5 980 014 3 543 503	1 950 509 561 017 1 464 575 850 940 632 952	12.2 4.1 15.6 14.2 17.9	1 007 459 210 219 807 913 240 747 585 245	51.7 37.5 55.2 28.3 92.5	224 943 25 168 199 836 190 331 9 669	13.
COLORADO. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	1 561 170 1 328 270 715 935 253 028 471 409	146 739 65 324 88 589 19 613 69 247	9.4 4.9 12.4 7.8 13.7	79 027 13 122 65 912 9 308 56 680	53.9 20.1 74.4 47.5 81.9	22 092 16 293 5 806 1 232 4 574	
CONNECTICUT STATE LOCAL, TOTAL HUNICIPALITIES	2 866 001 1 697 745 1 599 178 1 620 462	182 629 94 023 93 738 93 919	5.4 5.5 5.9 5.8	109 064 19 617 90 163 90 342	59.7 20.9 96.2 96.2	23 324 23 288 264 265	12. 24. 0. 0.
DELAWARE, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	439 194 465 736 109 420 32 318 76 664	38 496 27 553 12 275 3 986 8 289	8.8 5.9 11.3 12.3 10.8	18 591 8 469 10 448 2 922 7 526	48.3 30.7 85.1 73.3 90.8	7 502 6 569 1 394 932 462	19. 23. 11. 23. 5.
STATE STATE	1 240 625	178 246	14,4	38 002	49,4	17 734	9.
MUNICIPALITIES.	1 240 625 1 297 857	178 246 178 246	14,4 13,7	88 002 88 002	49.4	17 734 17 734	9. 9.
EORIDA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	4 088 400 3 904 324 1 752 318 849 347 913 820		12.4 4.9 18.5 17.9 18.9	262 388 37 538 224 881 73 649 151 350	51,6 19,5 69,2 48,4 87,5	75 159 25 265 49 909 42 660 7 275	
GEORGIA	2 512 905 2 503 496 830 801 465 728 458 541	106 360 152 393 80 388	18,3 17,3	23 609	48,4 22,2 61,6 36,4 89,7	31 507 5 904 25 604 22 828 2 795	1à. 28.
HAWAIL. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	1 142 264 921 144 247 581 63 036 184 545	38 720 9 823	4,9 2,0 15,6 15,6 15,7	195 33 877 8 788	61.0 1.1 87.5 89.5 86.8	7 813 7 813	14. 42.
IDAHO	942 127 957 680 120 670 82 957 57 385	17 975 19 236 9 798	11.9	9 085	49,5 22,2 67,6 91,7 93,1	4 940 2 723 2 217 2 157 166	22.0

Ree fontnotes at and of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and turn of a		rvices and cution	Indige	mt defense	Cor	rections	Other oris	inal justice
State and type of government	Amcunt <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>4</sup>	Percent of total crimina justice syste
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	652 964 181 537 476 793 271 531 205 705	5,0 4,0 5,2 8,3 3,5	152 964 58 055 101 445 79 296 22 507	1.3 1.3 2.4 2.4 0.4	3 025 867 1 895 434 1 240 815 917 427 342 367	23.3 41.7 13.6 28.0 5.8	208 350 552 396 99 343 44 542 55 402	1,6 12,1 1,1 1,4 0,9
ALABAMA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	5 205 2 467 2 749 1 544 1 205	4.1 4.5 3.4 5.1 2.3	1 161 1 067 102 70 41	0,9 1,7 0,1 0,2 0,1	25 573 18 302 8 672 6 789 2 074	20.0 33.2 10.6 22.5 4.0	1 304 8 491 220 104	1.0 15.4 0.3 0.3
ALASKA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. BOROUGHS. HUNICIPALITIES.	4 352 3 374 1 165 599 566	9.0 8.4 11.7 33.6 6.1	1 026 1 000 28 28	2.1 2.5 0,3	13 827 13 680 804 11 793	28,5 34,2 8,1 0,6 8,6	534 953 22 22	1.1 2.9 0.2
RRIZONA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	7 765 1 249 6 516 4 343 2 173	5.0 2.4 6.0 8.7 3.7	2 337 2 337 2 132 206	1,5 2,2 4,3 0,4	32 766 16 893 15 874 15 183 780	21.1 32.2 14.7 30.5 1.3	976 6 286 165 181	0.5 12.0 0.2 0.2
RKANSAS. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES	2 457 799 1 665 1 067 598	4.5 2.9 5.3 8.9 3.0	301 27 274 270 4	0.6 0.1 0.9 2.3 (Z)	13 730 12 348 3 001 2 467 564	25,3 44.2 9,5 20,6 2,8	426 3 855 3	0,8 13,8 (2)
ALIFORNIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES NUNICIPALITIES	118 099 18 389 99 710 76 375 23 355	6.1 3.3 6.8 9.0 3.7	33 090 775 33 094 31 983 1 111	1.7 0.1 2.3 3.8 0.2	550 947 260 371 317 932 306 373 12 539	28.2 46.4 21.7 36.0 2.0	15 972 46 095 6 090 5 130 1 033	0.8 8.2 9.4 0.6 0.2
DLORADO. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	8 380 716 7 664 4 232 3 597	5.7 1.1 8.7 21.6 5.2	2 650 2 148 502 502	1.8 3.3 0.6 (Z)	33 639 25 652 8 437 4 728 3 739	22,9 39,3 9,5 24,1	950 7 393 268 114 154	0.6 11.3 0.3 0.6
NNECTICUT STATE LOCAL, TOTAL MUNICIPALITIES	7 559 4 448 3 111 3 111	4.1 4.7 3.3 3.3	1 621 1 585 36 36	0.9 1.7 [Z]	39 775 39 731 44 44	21.8 42.3 (2) (2)	1 285 5 354 120	0.2 0.7 5.7 0.1 0.1
LAWARE, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	1 529 1 096 433 132 302	4.0 4.0 3.5 3.3 3.6	#30 #30	1.1	9 662 9 662 -	25.1	783 1 327	2.0 4.8
STATE OF COLUMBIA.  OCAL, TOTAL  MUNICIPALITIES.	11 328 11 328 11 326	6.4 6.4	1 902 1 902 1 902	1.1	57 730 57 730 57 730	32.4 32.4 32.4	1 550 1 550 1 550	0.9
ORIDA STATE COLL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	28 982 17 451 11 531 5 646 5 890	5.7 9.0 3.5 3.7 3.4	8 608 7 501 1 108 902 226	1.7 3.9 0.3 0.6 0.1	125 058 93 252 31 806 24 941 7 006	24.6 48.3 9.8 16.4 4.1	7 930 11 904 5 628 4 407 1 221	1,6 6,2 1,7 2,9 0,7
RGIA TATE TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 251 3 146 6 105 4 412 1 693	3.8 3.0 4.0 5.5 2.3	1 964 924 1 120 1 063 58	0.8 0.9 0.7 1.3 0.1	80 421 55 771 25 250 22 428 3 042	33.2 52.4 16.6 27.9	1 911 17 006 430 386 44	0.8 16.0 0.3 0.5
A11. TATE COLL, TOTAL COUNTIES. HUMICIPALITIES.	5 133 1 481 3 652 784 2 868	9.2 8.1 9.4 8.0 9.9	750 750	1.3	7 520 6 353 1 167 227 940	13.5 34.5 3.0 2.3	541 1 803 24 24	0.1 1,0 9,8 0.1 0.2
HO TATE OCAL, TOTAL CCUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	1 961 446 1 515 1 081 447	5.7 2.5 7.9 11.0	598 598 598	1.7 3.1 6.1	9 617 7 751 1 900 1 873	26,1 43,1 9,9 19,1	209 3 058 11	0.5 17.1 0.1 (Z)

Hed Tootsotes at end of tab

# Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	rotection	Judic	ini.
State and type of government	Total general expendituro <sup>1</sup>	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	6 660 628 6 079 399 2 626 774 828 191 1 849 366	717 012 210 594 536 487 151 116 390 906	10.8 3.5 20.4 18.2 21.1	468 340 60 283 410 697 35 137 375 944	28.6 76.6 23.3	82 115 28 629 53 486 53 100 404	11.5 13.6 10.0 35.1 0.1
INDIANA	2 497 103 2 124 371 1 128 600 488 982 650 534	195 493 79 016 129 300 39 764 89 724		117 932 29 405 88 554 12 801 75 797	37.2 68.5 32.2	1) 394 5 180	10.5 5.8 12.7 28.7 5.8
TOWA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	1 607 366 1 494 560 735 250 385 405 360 998	107 564 43 649 69 275 29 725 41 008	2.9 9.4 7.7	57 697 13 229 44 656 7 839 37 35	30,3 64,5 9 26,4	5 467 10 493 9 931	14.8 12.6 15.1 33.4 2.9
KANSAS	562 554	100 819 48 007 58 744 21 742 37 302	4.6 10.4 7.8	39 30 6 76	19.8 8 66.9 9 31.1	3 738 9 071 7 782	35.8
KENTUCKY	1 766 726 1 698 250 477 768	125 093 59 634 78 877 34 827 45 118	3.5 16.5 20.5	54 14 13 35	2 42.3 1 68.6 9 38.4	4 402 10 143 8 971	12.9 25.8
LOUISIANA	2 161 863 2 237 167 665 156	193 642 78 911 128 023 54 243 73 973	3.5 19.2 18.9	31 05 89 65 34 05	6 70.0 6 62.0	6 108 20 078 11 006	7.7 15.7 20.3
MAINE	743 927 563 183 291 249	23 345 19 150 5 58	5 4.1 5 6.6 7 47.6	7 32 14 96 1 73	19 31. 33 78. 33 31.	2 170 2 136	14.3 11.3 38.3
MARYLAND,	4 135 846 2 628 506 2 612 184 1 750 862	167 01 167 20 83 16	3 6.4 8 6.4	60 37 127 39 60 86	72 36. 95 76. 51 73.	1 18 29. 2 14 450 2 9 220	8.6 11.1
MASSACHUSETTS	5 967 395 3 823 694 3 174 392 109 839	125 15 283 02 52 17	6 3. 4 8. 3 47.	30 45 204 6	54 24. 79 72. 33 1.	3 10 80 3 38 91 8 27 62	4 8.6 9 13.8 4 52.9
MICHIGAN	6 416 360 5 702 035 2 812 79	173 16 455 45 165 23	7 3. 1 16. 14.	0 65 7 2 290 9 6 34 5	10 37 48 63 90 20	9 14 91 9 72 23 9 55 33	8 6 6 2 15 9 3 33 5
MINNESOTA	2 724 51 2 630 42 1 503 07 859 83	6 61 12 0 128 59 8 59 43	20 2. 95 8. 94 6.	3 21 1 6 80 9 9 17 9	40 34 30 62 95 30	6 4 31 9 19 73 18 84	7.1 9 15.2 7 31.7
MISSISSIPPI	1 250 64 1 290 07 420 91 242 04 182 48	8 36 41 6 39 60 6 15 65	18 2. 00 9. 53 6.	8 16 5 4 28 8 5 6 5	187 45 106 72 127 41	5 2 41 7 6 67 7 6 22	3 6.6 3 16.8 37 39.8
MISSOURI	2 197 96 1 918 60 879 07 251 25 651 43	76 8 8 178 1 47 4	28 4, 10 20 04 18	0 21 0 3 125 1 9 17	038 27 171 70 181 36	3 30 96 4 10 99 3 20 6 9 13 3	97 14. 32 11. 17 28.
MOHTANA	455 66 403 37 149 10 142 7	16 14 7 18 5 19 9 9	36 3 08 12 64 7	.0 4 :	109 27 312 66 538 45	9 5 2 8 5 2 5	07 6. 37 15.

See footnotes at end of table

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		ervices and ecution	Indige	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other ori	minal justice
State and type of government	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOSS. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	31 460 8 956 23 983 15 849 8 139	4.4 4.3 4.5 10.5 2.1	5 695 1 373 4 322 4 322 5	0.8 0.7 0.8 2.9 (Z)	115 008 75 389 41 662 40 932 5 435	16.0 35.8 7.8 27.1 1.4	14 395 35 964 2 337 1 776 979	2.0 17.1 0.4 1.2 0.3
INDIANA STATE , LOCAL, TOTAL , COUNTIES , MUNICIPALITIES ,	9 353 3 769 5 613 2 960 2 653	4.8 4.8 4.3 7.4 3.0	2 270 205 2 065 1 099 966	1.2 0.3 1.6 2.8 1.1	42 421 28 638 15 235 10 977 4 258	21.7 36.2 11.8 27.6 4.7	2 735 12 439 1 403 532 870	1.3
IOWA.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES.	5 707 1 410 4 297 2 811 1 513	5.3 3.2 6.2 9.5 3.7	1 184 1 164 1 237 20	1,1 1,7 4,2 (Z)	25 818 19 216 7 894 7 682 405	24.0 44.0 11.4 25.8 1.0	1 189 4 307 751 225 533	
KANSAS	6 417 2 327 4 093 2 699 1 395	6.4 4.8 7.0 12.4 3.7	1 294 1 049 245 233 14	1.3 2.2 0.4 1.1 (2)	29 925 24 994 4 932 4 034 928	29.7 52.1 8.4 18.6 2.5	1 640 6 398 1 095 225 876	1.9
KENTUCKY. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	5 525 1 607 3 919 2 332 1 587	4.4 2.7 5.0 6.7 3.5	960 1 512 490 487 6	0.8 2.5 0.6 1.4 (Z)	25 966 18 462 7 506 7 032 895	20.8 31.0 9.5 20.2 2.0	3 936 8 399 2 678 2 647 34	3.4 7.6
LOUISIANA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL PARISHES MUNICIPALITIES	9 499 4 820 4 679 2 474 2 205	4.9 6.1 3.7 4.6 3.0	1 115 1 115 609 506	0.6 0.9 1.1 0.7	35 138 22 937 12 201 6 039 6 311	18,1 29,1 0,5 11,1 8,5	1 066 13 996 204 59 235	17.7
MAINE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 657 1 180 477 187 290	4.0 5.1 2.5 3.4 2.1	378 145 233 233	0.9 0.6 1.2 4.2	10 677 9 422 1 255 1 265	26.0 40.4 6.6 22.6 0.1	727 1 931 52 29 52	0.5
MARYLAND. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	10 985 1 502 9 483 5 178 4 305	3.6 0.9 5.7 6.2 5.1	4 972 4 936 36 36	1.7 3.0 (Z) (Z)	82 532 69 604 13 081 6 297 6 786	27.4 41.7 7.8 7.6 8.1	7 112 12 306 2 759 1 563 1 196	1.7
MASSACHUSETTS STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	13 373 5 292 8 061 2 083 6 028	3.4 4.2 2.9 4.0 2.6	2 615 2 061 554 549 7	0.7 1.6 0.2 1.1 (Z)	86 753 58 624 28 152 20 984 7 207	21.9 46.8 9.9 40.2 3.1	8 635 17 921 2 639 2 639	2.2 14.3 0.9 1.1
MICHIGAN. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	29 466 6 505 22 961 14 153 8 828	4.9 3.8 5.0 8.6 3.0	8 101 5 010 7 569 5 766 1 805	1.3 2.9 1.7 3.5 0.6	117 698 62 285 59 162 54 638 6 912	19.6 36.0 13.0 33.1 2.3	5 457 18 743 2 578 752 1 830	0.9 10.8 0.6 0.5 0.6
MINNESOTA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 728 1 696 8 032 4 518 3 515	5.5 2.8 6.2 7.6 4.9	1 457 210 1 247 1 306 55	0,8 0,3 1,0 2,2 0,1	41 554 25 089 16 994 16 492 1 525	23.4 41.0 13.2 27.7 2.1	2 726 8 675 1 653 275 1 380	1.5 14.2 1.3 0.5 1.9
MISSISSIPPI STATE LOGAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 910 1 596 1 314 691 623	4.0 4.4 3.3 4.4 2.6	599 599 562 37	0.8 1.5 3.6 0.2	13 855 11 706 2 149 1 645 561	18,9 32.1 5.4 10.5 2.3	1 546 4 146 60 1 59	
MISSOURI. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 606 1 042 8 564 4 357 4 206	4.0 1.4 4.8 9.2 3.2	1 741 1 645 96 51 45	0.7 2.1 0.1 0.1 (Z)	46 179 25 410 20 853 10 773 10 129	19.4 33.1 11.7 22.7 7.7	3 592 16 696 2 794 1 425 1 369	21.7
MONTANA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 040 638 1 402 1 068 334	6.4 4.3 7.6 10.7 3.9	278 278 269 9	0.9 1.5 2.7 0.1	8 663 7 090 1 573 1 468 109	27.2 48.1 8.5 14.7 1.3	715 1 992 106 77 29	0.6 8.0

See footnotes at end of table

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands),

		Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	otection	Judio	ini
State and type of government	Total general expenditure <sup>1</sup>	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	880 001 674 117 396 295 195 520 214 028	62 115 26 107 38 702 14 090 24 920	7.1 3.9 9.8 7.2 11.6	34 063 8 239 25 826 4 378 21 572	31.1 86.6	4 999 4 586 3 633 955	15.4 19.1 11.9 25.8 3.6
NEYADA	472 859 359 489 234 082 169 684 85 447	57 338 16 985 42 253 30 948 20 878	12.1 4.7 18.1 18.2 24.4	30 963 3 577 27 386 18 574 18 236	21.1 64.8 60.0	1 167 4 310 3 432	11.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	490 883 356 435 205 513 26 230 179 635	32 665 13 364 20 614 4 324 16 320	16.5	20 237 6 097 15 410 1 245 14 171	45.6 74.8 28.8	1 336 2 513 1 507	10.0 12.2 34.9
NEW JERSEY	5 327 133 3 741 324 2 996 281 1 186 242 1 833 265			321 773 51 539 276 737 17 08 260 510	31.67.7 67.7	15 904 49 001 38 325	9.7 12.0 30.5
NEW MEXICO	676 449 756 133 210 664 74 955 136 757	28 536 28 944 7 211	13.7	32 92: 9 66: 23 52: 4 55 19 07:	33. <sup>1</sup> 9 81. 1 63.	996	15.6
NEW YORK	21 790 434 14 115 653 15 775 888 3 324 932 12 630 058	428 449 1 509 041 325 523	3.0 9.6 9.8	1 077 70 97 41 981 76 159 12 828 94	22. 2 65. 3 48.	7 50 010 1 206 96 51 16	11. 4 13. 5 15,
NORTH CAROLINA	3 588 569 2 606 344 2 163 620 1 720 441 481 326	138 449 111 958 41 361	5,3 5,2 2,4	125 01 34 04 91 64 22 50 69 40	6 24. 5 81. 6 54.	6 24 40 9 5 41 4 5 41	7 17.0
NORTH DAKOTA	417 188 411 729 122 462 70 15 67 69	8 610 13 080 5 740	2.1 10.7 4 8.2	10 67 1 68 8 99 2 13 7 00	0 19. 8 68. 7 37.	5 1 02 8 2 40 2 2 14	9 12. 1 18. 3 37.
OHIO	5 544 68 4 608 50 2 770 97 1 051 79 1 765 53	199 44 361 52 125 28	1 4.3 1 13.0 1 11.9	46 62 232 14 32 13	23 64 67 25	4 11 15 2 64 49 7 45 82	5 5. 5 17. 2 36.
OKLAHOMA	1 568 02 1 408 57 528 72 176 62 368 49	9 49 45 9 58 41 5 17 39	8 3.5 2 11.0 1 9.8	16 70 41 22 5 15	)3 33, 23 70, 53 29,	8 5 41 6 7 56 6 6 30	8 11. 00 12. 36.
OREGON		5 59 53 0 84 48 9 45 31	7 4.6 8 17.4 4 18.0	18 80 51 4 16 2	31 35 60 51 35	6 4 65 9 11 96 9 11 06	7. 59 14. 57 24.
PENNSYLVANIA	6 878 77 6 949 30 2 299 62 691 30 1 753 83	9 260 05 2 483 14 0 112 04	2 3, 3 21.0	87 5 291 2 9 4	89 33 23 60 19 8	7 41 50 78 20 4 42 4	57 16. 56 16. 37 37.
RHODE ISLAND	822 27 570 51 366 03 368 51	2 50 00 19 27 01 13 25 20	6.	7 6 2	60 23 02 95	.0 7 0 .0 2	70 26 92 1 92 1
SOUTH CAROLINASTATE	1 474 49 1 547 1 372 2 235 4 140 0	14 60 0° 19 62 9° 12 34 8°	77 3. 08 16. 98 14.	9 17 3 9 40 2 8 14 6	54 28 04 63 12 41	.9 1.5	06 2 45 18 14 29

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		ervices and ecution	Indige	ent defense	Cor	rections	Other cri	minal justico
State and type of government	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Porcent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	3 676 348 3 528 2 139 1 390	6.2 1.3 9.1 15.2 5.6	689 689 689	1.1	12 700 9 133 3 580 3 246 515	20.4 35.0 9.2 23.0 2.1	1 211 3 388 494 5 469	1.9 13.0 1.3 (Z) 2.0
NEVADA. STATE LCCAL, TOTAL. CQUNTIES.	4 406 932 3 474 2 494 981	7.7 5.5 8.2 8.1 4.7	899 142 793 784 10	1.6 0.8 1.9 2.5 (Z)	14 910 9 144 6 277 5 653 772	26.0 53.8 14.9 18.3 3.7	683 2 023 12 12	
NEW MAMPSHIRE	1 259 625 634 199 435	3.9 4.7 3.1 4.6 2.7	213 209 4 2 2	0.7 1.6 (Z) (Z) (Z)	5 655 4 087 1 587 1 371 230	17.3 30.6 7.7 31.7	1 475 1 010 466 466	4.5 7.6 2.3 -
NEW JERSEY	36 219 5 928 30 291 21 006 9 285	6,6 3,6 7,4 16,7 3,3	8 992 8 820 278 191 87	1.6 5.4 0.1 0.2 (Z)	110 659 62 747 47 936 47 853 167	20.2 36.4 11.7 36.1 0.1	6 659 18 363 4 415 1 028 3 387	1.2 11,2 1.1 0.8 1.2
NEW MEXICO	2 875 2 013 863 211 656	5.3 7.1 3.0 2.9 3.0	1 318 1 300 18 18	2.4 4.6 0.1 0.1	10 454 7 319 3 135 1 734 1 487	19.3 25.6 10.8 24.0 6.8	1 190 3 794 404 404 19	2.2 13.3 1.4 5.6
NEW YORK	84 407 20 641 63 972 21 061 42 912	4.6 4.8 4.2 6.5 3.6	20 021 2 939 17 082 6 699 10 383	1.1 0.7 1.1 2.1 0.9	373 619 206 798 203 430 74 405 129 040	20.2 48.3 13.5 22.9 10.8	50 166 50 647 35 830 13 070 22 761	2.7 11.8 2.4 4.0 1.9
NORTH CAROLINASTATE LOCAL, TOTAL	6 595 4 514 2 081 880 1 201	2.7 3.3 1.9 2.1 1.7	4 786 4 786 - -	2.0	71 131 60 852 10 293 10 231 126	29.5 44.0 9.2 24.7 0.2	3 442 9 844 2 525 2 329 201	7.1
NORTH DAKOTA	1 588 532 1 056 879 177	7.8 6.2 8.1 15.3 2.4	161 161 161	7.8 1.2 2.8	4 097 3 638 459 424 56	20.1 42.3 3.5 7.4 0.7	423 1 731 6 -	2,1 20,1 0,1 (2) 0,1
OHIO. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	25 175 7 226 17 949 8 898 9 111	4.8 3.6 5.0 7.1 3.8	3 245 55 3 190 2 870 325	0.6 (Z) 0.9 2.3 0.1	135 338 98 847 37 303 32 822 8 956	25.8 49.6 10.3 26.2 3.7	8 263 35 531 6 435 2 733 3 704	1.6 17.8 1.8 2.2 1.5
OKLAHOMA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	5 591 4 039 3 603 2 219 1 393	5.6 8.2 6.2 12.8 3.4	65 <u>1</u> 651 618 33	0.7 1.1 3.6 0.1	20 693 16 424 4 270 3 096 1 185	20.9 33.2 7.3 17.8 2.9	1 821 6 874 1 105 2 1 103	1,8 13.9 1.9 (Z) 2.7
OREGON. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	12 016 5 550 6 467 5 127 1 340	8.8 9.3 7.7 11.3 3.4	1 826 155 1 674 1 634 41	1.3 0.3 2.0 3.6 0.1	34 662 23 263 11 413 11 172 296	25.3 39.1 13.5 24.7 0.7	2 436 7 115 1 530 61 1 469	1.8 12.0 1.8 0.1 3.7
PENNSYLVANIA	26 880 4 194 22 686 10 000 12 686	4.0 1.6 4.7 8.9 3.4	6 852 3 337 3 515	1.0 1.4 3.0 0.9	146 698 84 261 81 948 46 818 35 503	22.0 32.4 17.0 41.8 9.5	5 790 42 441 2 169 32 2 138	0.9 16.3 0.4 (2) 0.6
RHODE ISLAND STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. MUNICIPALITIES	1 825 963 862 862	3.6 3.6 3.4 3.4	399 399	0.8 1.5	10 343 10 343	20.7 38.3	660 1 981 106 111	1,3 7,3 0,4 0,4
SQUTH CAROLINA	2 539 1 198 1 341 811 531	2.2 2.0 2.1 2.3 1.9	697 401 296 291 5	0.6 0.7 0.5 0.8 (2)	39 247 30 211 9 218 8 756 482	34.1 50.3 14.7 25.1 1.7	2 235 9 407 103 4 99	1.9 15.7 0.2 (Z) 0.4

See footnotes at end of table

33

# Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justica system	Police pr	otection	Judi	cinl
State and type of government	Total general expenditure <sup>1</sup>	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	427 256	24 038	5.6	12 765	53.1	3 231	13.4
	356 482	11 912	3.3	3 678	30.9	818	6.9
	134 776	14 090	10.5	9 087	64.5	2 413	17.1
	58 933	6 749	11.4	2 077	30.8	2 326	34.5
	79 711	7 684	9.6	7 049	91.7	262	3.4
TEN/ESSEF.  S/AYE  OCAL, TOTAL,  COUNTIES,  HUNICIPALITIES,	2 870 495	170 114	5.9	91 486	53.8	23 244	13.7
	1 810 114	61 856	3.4	14 900	24.1	4 217	6.8
	1 612 995	117 813	7.3	76 760	65.2	19 028	16.2
	877 452	42 030	4.8	11 742	27.9	13 650	32.5
	864 641	77 007	8.9	65 078	84.5	5 905	7.7
TEXAS STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	5 437 882	491 798	9.0	290 193	59.0	64 173	13.0
	4 788 737	164 630	3.4	60 803	36.9	10 937	6.6
	2 088 614	352 487	16.9	229 442	65.1	54 569	15.5
	704 955	138 627	19.7	36 573	26.4	46 505	33.5
	1 398 334	215 006	15.4	193 346	89.9	8 071	3.8
UTAH. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES.	657 153	46 020	7.0	25 620	55.7	5 197	11.3
	680 716	23 698	3.5	7 398	31.2	2 176	9.2
	174 737	26 866	15.4	18 485	68.8	3 127	11.6
	80 677	11 006	13.6	4 744	43.1	1 666	15:1
	95 674	15 923	16.6	13 756	86.4	1 466	9.2
VERMONT	366 297	25 059	6.8	11 761	46.9	3 272	13.1
	368 451	19 208	5.2	6 047	31.5	3 101	16.1
	67 817	6 113	9.0	5 716	93.5	171	2.8
	404	249	61.6	67	26.8	165	66.0
	67 944	5 954	8.8	5 720	96.1	19	0.3
VIRGINIA	3 968 160	236 771	6.0	130 154	55.0	28 784	12.2
STATE TOTAL.	2 618 183	122 061	4.7	41 501	34.0	11 717	9.6
LOCAL TOTAL.	2 201 592	133 221	6.1	90 309	67.8	18 843	14.1
COUNTIES.	1 099 586	48 340	4.4	30 442	63.0	7 954	16.5
MUNICIPALITIES,	1 121 688	65 540	7.6	60 003	70.1	10 949	12.8
WASHINSTON. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	2 370 656	202 793	8,6	105 385	52.0	22 596	11.1
	2 230 443	80 078	3,6	22 858	28.5	4 376	5.5
	429 933	129 662	15,6	22 557	63.7	18 246	14.1
	406 650	62 763	15,4	23 365	37.2	15 440	24.6
	488 432	69 016	14,1	60 601	87.8	2 924	4.2
MEST VIRGINIA STATE TOTAL LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 053 890	53 634	5.1	26 596	53.3	6 738	12.6
	1 116 214	27 055	2.4	10 943	40.4	2 325	8.6
	193 187	29 536	15.3	17 658	59.8	4 916	16.6
	64 510	15 712	24.4	4 367	27.8	4 724	30.1
	130 192	14 042	10.8	13 293	94.7	192	1.4
WISCONSIN	3 435 005 3 065 699 2 014 141 899 432 1 158 305	241 590 91 105 167 552 67 320 100 977	7.0 3.0 8.3 7.5 8.7	141 475 28 610 122 251 26 644 95 800	58.6 31.4 73.0 39.6 94.9	26 609 9 789 20 094 19 573	11.0 10.7 12.0 29.1
WYOMING STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	255 813	16 977	6,6	8 988	53.0	2 155	12.7
	245 848	8 672	3,5	2 643	30.5	974	11.2
	82 146	9 141	11,1	6 350	69.5	1 182	12.9
	72 067	3 919	5,4	1 702	43.4	1 042	26.6
	30 945	5 312	17,2	4 721	88.9	140	2.6

### Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

State and type of government	Legal s	ervices and ecution	Indig	ent defense	Cor	rections	Other and	
	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal
SOUTH DAKOTA.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 365 1 188 1 177 940 276	9.8 10.0 8.4 13.9 3.6	292 - 292 297 -	1.2 2.1 4.4 (Z)	5 049 3 928 1 121 1 110	21.0 33.0 8.0 16.4	337 2 300	Justice system
TENNESSEE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES. TEXAS	6 185 3 669 2 516 896 1 620	3.6 5.9 2.1 2.1 2.1	1 136 638 498 344 153	0.7 1.0 0.4 0.8 0.2	46 232 30 978 18 022 14 557 4 102	27,2 50,1 15,3 34,6	1 832 7 454 990 1 840	.0.1 1.1 12.1 0.8 2.0
STATE . LOCAL, TOTAL . COUNTIES . HUNICIPALITIES .	26 854 5 659 21 200 14 975 6 226	5.5 3.4 6.0 10.8 2.9	2 999 2 999 3 002 15	0.6 0.9 2.2 (Z)	88 399 49 852 38 548 34 290 4 899	18.0 30.3 10.9 24.7	150 19 180 37 379 5 729 3 281	0.2 3.9 22.7 1.6 2.4
STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	2 778 1 015 1 973 1 368 605	6,0 4,3 7,3 12,4 3,8	378 378 377 10	0.8 1.4 3.4 0.1	11 350 8 897 2 904 2 852 86	24.7 37.5 10.8 25.9	2 448 699 4 212	1.1 1.5 17.8
STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	1 323 1 112 211 2 212	5.3 5.8 3.5 1.0 3.6	536 536 -	2.1 2.8 - (Z)	7 833 7 818 15	0,5 31.3 40.7 0.3 6.2	334	1.3
VIRGINIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES,	8 972 3 260 5 832 2 312 3 531	3.8 2.7 4.4 4.8 4.1	3 191 2 924 267 122 145	1.3 2.4 0.2 0.3	63 345 51 548 17 730 7 277	26.8 42.2 13.3 15.1	2 325 11 111 240 233	1.0 9.1 0.2
WASHINGTON. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	12 417 4 355 8 393 5 525 2 880	6.1 5.4 6.5 8.8 4.2	2 186 129 2 057 1 980 160	0.2 1.1 0.2 1.6 3.2	10 900 58 502 42 253 17 583 15 825	12.7 28.8 52.8 13.6 25.2	1 707 6 107 826 628	0.5 (Z) 0.8 7.6 0.6
WEST VIRGINIA	3 174 820 2 354 1 970 384	5,9 3,0 8,0 12,5 2,7	72 72 72	0.2 0.1 0.2 0.5	2 252 14 488 9 963 4 527 4 569	3.3 27.0 36.8 15.3 29.1	564 3 004 9	1.0 0.3 1.1 11.1 (2)
WISCONSIN STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	12 504 3 701 9 525 5 476 4 061	5.2 4.1 5.7 8.1 4.0	2 177 269 1 909 1 899	0.9 0.3 1.1 2.8	53 965 41 114 13 586 13 616	1.2 22.3 45.1 8.1 20.2	4 855 7 622 187 113	2.0 8.4 0.1
MYCMING STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 415 653 762 564 199	8.3 7.5 8.3 14.4 3.7	152	0.9 1.7 3.8 (2)	56 4 047 3 384 664 458	0.1 23.8 39.0 7.3	220 1 018 30 3	0.2 0.1 1.3 11.7 0.3

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

The relation of criminal justice total expenditure to total general expenditure is based on data for general purpose clided data for independent school districts or special districts.

For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the comb data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative interpresental expenditure amounts. This infinitum which would result it an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted ment(x) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

### Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justice system	Police pro	tection	Judi	cial
State and type of government	Total direct expenditure <sup>1</sup>	Amount	Porcent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	147 302 752 73 950 247 73 352 505 27 083 120 46 269 377	12 992 327 3 899 958 9 092 369 3 225 727 5 866 642	8.8 5.3 12.4 11.9 12.7	7 290 166 1 308 455 5 981 711 1 096 451 4 885 260	56,1 33,6 65,8 34,0 83,3	1 662 018 439 456 1 222 562 855 750 366 811	12,8 11,3 13,4 26,5 6,4
LABAMA STATE , LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES,	1 890 454 1 253 654 636 800 181 175 455 624	128 041 46 315 61 727 30 110 51 616	6.8 3.7 12.8 16.6 11.3	76 054 19 419 56 635 9 989 46 645	59.4 41.9 69.3 33.2 90.4	18 745 5 377 13 368 11 631 1 737	14.6 11.6 16.4 38.6 3.4
LASKA. STATE . LOCAL, TOTAL . BORGUGHS . MUNICIPALITIES . ,	842 897 518 898 323 999 184 381 139 618	48 551 38 738 9 813 631 9 182	5.8 7.5 3.0 6.6	19 329 11 724 7 605 32 7 574	39.8 30.3 77.5 5.0 82.5	9 483 9 229 254 254	19.5 23.8 2.6 2.8
RIZONA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	1 287 204 690 140 597 064 245 756 351 308	154 930 47 031 107 899 49 660 58 239	12.0 6.8 18.1 20.2 16.6	92 497 25 704 66 793 14 447 52 346	59.7 54.7 61.9 29.1 89.9	18 590 2 374 36 216 13 558 2 658	5.0 15.0 27.3
RKANSAS, STATE LOCAL, TOTALL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	885 868 631 816 254 072 112 235 141 838	54 319 22 747 31 572 11 923 19 649	6.1 3.6 12.4 10.6 23.9	31 273 8 773 22 500 4 835 17 665	57.6 38.6 71.3 40.6 89.9	6 132 1 996 4 136 3 286 850	13.1
ALIFORNIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	16 026 294 6 001 073 9 225 221 5 734 709 3 490 512	1 950 509 486 842 1 463 667 849 200 614 468	12.2 7.2 15.9 14.8 17.6	1 007 459 199 607 807 852 240 684 567 168	51.7 41.0 55.2 28.3 92.3	224 943 25 168 199 775 190 107 9 669	11.5 5.2 13.6 22.4 1.6
DLORADO, STATE, LOCAL, TOTÁL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	1 561 170 845 535 715 635 246 153 469 482	146 739 58 174 88 565 19 426 69 139	9.4 6.9 12.4 7.9 14.7	79 027 13 118 65 909 9 308 56 602	53.9 22.5 74.4 47.9 81.9	22 092 16 293 5 799 1 225 4 574	15.1 28.0 6.5 6.3 6.6
NNECTICUT STATE LOCAL, TOTAL MUNICIPALITIES	2 866 001 1 268 734 1 597 267 1 597 267	182 629 89 599 93 029 93 029	6.4 7.1 5.8 5.8	109 064 19 605 89 459 89 459	59.7 21.9 96.2 96.2	23 324 23 063 261 261	12.8 25.7 0.3 0.3
LAHARE. STATE. LOOPS TOTAL. JUNITES. JUNICIPALITIES.	439 194 330 868 108 326 32 193 76 132	. 38 496 26 222 12 275 3 986 8 289	8.8 7.9 11.3 12.4 10.9	18 591 8 143 10 448 2 922 7 526	48.3 31.1 85.1 73.3 93.8	7 502 6 108 1 394 932 462	23.3 11.3. 23.4
STRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 240 625	178 246 178 246	14.4 14.4	88 002 88 002	49.4 49.4	17 734	9.9
	1 240 625	178 246 508 125	14.4 12.4	88 002 262 388	49,4 51,6	17 734 17 734 75 159	
ORIDA STATE LOCAL, TÔTÂL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIËS	2 344 019 1 744 381 832 958 911 422	183 309 324 816 152 \\55 172 662	7.8 18.6 18.3 18.9	37 538 224 850 73 649 151 201	20.5 69.2 48.4 87.6	25 265 49 894 42 619 7 275	14.8 13.8 15.4 28.0 4.2
CRGIA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 512 905 1 683 358 829 547 380 502 449 044	242 509 90 155 152 354 78 656 73 698	9.7 5.4 18.4 20.7 16.4	117 455 23 509 93 846 27 623 66 224	48.4 26.2 61.6 35.1 89.9	31 507 5 904 25 603 22 608 2 795	13.0 6.5 18.8 29.0 3.8
WAII. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES	1 142 264 899 403 242 861 62 917 179 944	55 829 17 109 38 720 9 823 28 897	4,9 1,0 15,9 15,6 16,1	34 072 195 33 877 8 788 25 089	61.0 1.1 87.5 89.5 86.8	7 813 7 813	14.6 45.7
DAHO	442 127 321 836 120 291 62 994 57 296	34 273 15 073 19 200 9 759 9 441	7,8 4,7 16,0 15,5 16,5	16 949 3 955 12 994 4 082 8 912	49.5 26.2 67.7 41.8 94.4	4 940 2 723 2 217 2 157 60	14.4 18.1 11.5 22.1

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

State and type of government		rvices and ocution	Indigo	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other cr	iminal justico
1	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system	Amount	Percent of total crimina
UNITED STATES, TOTAL STATES. LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	652 964 178 355 474 609 269 088 205 521	5.0 4.6 5.2 8.3	152 964 51 683 101 281 76 951 22 329	1,2 1,1 2,4 0,4	3 025 867 1 812 529 1 213 338 881 726 331 612	23.3 46.5 13.3 27.3 5.7	208 350 109 480 98 869 43 760 55 109	1.6 2.8 1.1
ALABAMA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	5 205 2 467 2 738 1 544 1 194	4.1 5.3 5.4 5.1 2.3	1 161 1 067 94 67 27	0.9 2.3 0.1 0.2 0.1	25 573 16 901 8 672 6 776 1 897	20.0 36.5 10.6 22.5 3.7	1 304 1 084 220 104	£.0 £.0 £.0
ALASKA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. BOROUGHS. HUNICIPALITIES.	9 352 3 187 1 165 599 566	9.0 8.2 11.9 95.0 6.2	1 026 1 000 26 26	2.1 2.6 0.3	13 827 13 086 741 741	28.5 33.8 7.6 8.1	534 512 22	5,0 5,1 5,0 5,0
ARIZONA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	7 765 1 249 6 516 4 243 2 173	5.0 2.7 6.0 8.7 3.7	2 337 2 337 2 131 206	1.5 2.2 4.3 0.4	32 766 16 893 15 873 15 180 692	21.1 75.9 14.7 30.6	976 911 165 165	0.2 0.6 1.7 0.2
ARKANSAS. STAT2 LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES. CALIFORNIA.	2 457 799 1 658 1 067 591	4.5 3.5 5.3 8.9 3.0	301 27 274 270 4	0.6 0.1 0.9 2,3 (2)	13 730 10 729 3 001 2 465 535	25.3 47.2 9.5 20.7 2.7	426 423 3	0.3 0.8 1.9 (Z)
LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	118 099 18 389 94 710 76 375 23 334	6.1 3.8 6.8 9.0 3.8	33 090 33 090 31 979 1 111	1.7 2.3 3.8 0.2	550 947 233 788 317 159 304 933 12 226	28.2 48.0 21.7 35.9 2.0	15 972 9 890 6 082 5 122 960	(Z) 0.8 2.0 0.4 0.6
COLORADO. STATE LOCAL TOTAL. COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	8 380 716 7 664 4 067 3 597	5.7 1.2 8.7 20.9 5.2	2 650 2 148 502 502	1.8 0.6 (Z) 0.7	33 639 25 217 8 422 4 713 3 709	22.9 43.3 9.5 24.3 5.4	950 682 268 114	0,2 0,6 1,2 0,3 0,6
CONNECTICUT STATE LOCAL, TOTAL HUNICIPALITIES	7 559 4 448 3 111 3 111	4.1 5.0 3.3 3.3	1 621 1 565 36 36	0.9 1.8 (Z) (Z)	39 775 39 731 44 44	21.8 44.3 (2) (2)	1 205 1 167 1 18	0.2 0.7 1.3 0.1
DELAWARE. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	1 529 1 096 433 132 301	4,0 4.2 3.5 3.7 7.7	430 430	1,1	9 662 9 662	25.1 8.8 5.8	783 783	0.1 2.0 3.0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, MUNICIPALITIES.	11 328 11 328 11 328	6.4 6.4 6.4	1 902 1 902 1 902	1.1	57 730 57 730 57 730	32.4 32.4 32.4	1 550	0.9
FLORIDA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	26 982 17 451 11 531 5 641 5 890	5.7 9.5 3.6 3.7 3.4	8 608 7 551 1 107 899 209	1.7 4.1 0.3 0.6 0.1	125 058 93 252 31 805 24 940 6 866	24.6 50.9 9.8 16.4	1 550 7 930 2 302 5 628 4 407	0.9 1.6 1.3 1.7 2.9
GEORGIA STATE LQCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 251 3 146 6 105 4 412 1 693	3.8 3.5 4.0 5.6 2.3	1 964 844 1 120 1 062 58	0.8 0.9 0.7 1.4 0.1	80 421 55 171 25 250 22 365 2 885	4.0 33.2 61.2 16.6 28.4	1 221 1 911 1 481 430 386	0.7 0.8 1.6 0.3 0.5
AWAII. STATE . LOCAL, TOTAL . COUNTIES . MUNICIPALITIES .	5 133 1 481 3 652 784 2 868	9.2 8,7 9.4 8.0 9.9	750 750	1.3	7 520 6 353 1 167 227	3.9 13.5 37.1 3.0 2.3	541 517 24 24	0.1 1.0 3.0 0.1 0.2
DAHO STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 961 446 1 515 1 081 434	5.7 3.0 7.9 11.1 4.6	598 598 598	1.7 3.1 6.1	940 9 617 7 751 1 866 1 838	3.3 28.1 51.4 9.7 18.6	209 198 11	0.6 1.3 0.1 (2)

### Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Total direct	Total criminal	justice system	Police pro	tection	Judicial		
Atata dad typy af govoriment	exhemitate;	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Ажоиці	Porcent of fotal criminal justice system	Amount	Pritent of total crimina justice system	
ILLINOIS. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES,	6 660 628 4 036 346 2 624 282 794 769 1 829 513	717 012 180 545 536 466 146 010 390 456	10.8 4.5 20,4 18.4 21.3	468 340 57 662 410 678 35 099 375 579	65.3 31.9 76.6 24.0 96.2	82 115 28 629 53 486 53 082 403	11. 15. 10. 36.	
MDIANA STATE LOCAL, YOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 497 103 1 370 696 1 126 407 479 979 646 428	195 493 67 752 127 741 38 502 69 239	7.8 4.9 11.3 8.0 13.8	117 932 29 405 88 527 12 742 75 785	60.3 43.4 69.3 33.1 84.9	20 781 4 399 16 382 11 202 5 180	10. 6. 12. 29. 5.	
OWA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	1 607 366 910 212 697 154 339 080 358 074	107 564 39 159 68 406 28 234 40 172	6,7 4,3 9,8 8,3 11,2	57 697 13 044 44 653 7 824 36 829	53.6 33.3 65.3 27.7 91.7	15 969 5 487 10 482 9 391 1 090	14, 14, 15, 33,	
ANSAS. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	1 288 565 744 311 544 254 191 314 352 940	100 819 42 155 58 664 21 625 37 039	7.8 5.7 10.8 11.3 10.5	48 781 9 501 39 280 6 724 32 556	48.4 22.5 67.0 31.1 87.9	12 761 3 738 9 023 7 727 1 296	12. 8. 15. 35.	
ENTUCKY.  STATE  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES.  HUNICIPALITIES.	1 766 726 1 293 543 473 183 163 077 310 106	125 093 46 253 78 840 34 212 44 628	7.1 3.6 16.7 21.0 14.4	74 207 20 098 54 109 12 798 41 311	59.3 43.5 68.6 37.4 92.6	14 499 4 356 10 143 8 971 1 172	11. 9. 12. 26. 2.	
OUISIANA	2 161 863 1 505 855 656 008 254 850 401 158	193 642 65 630 128 012 54 183 73 829	9.0 4.4 19.5 21.3 18.4	120 637 30 990 89 647 34 030 55 598	62.3 47.2 70.0 62.8 75.3	26 186 6 108 20 078 10 999 9 079	13. 9. 15. 20. 12.	
AINE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES	743 927 453 843 290 084 11 589 278 494	41 106 21 959 19 147 5 574 13 573	5.5 4.8 6,6 48.1 4.9	22 292 7 329 14 963 1 733 13 230	54,2 33,4 78,1 31,1 97,5	5 376 3 208 2 168 2 136 3 32	13. 14. 11. 38. 0.	
ARYLAND, 97ATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTYES, HUMICIPALITIES	4 135 846 1 536 695 2 599 151 1 719 333 879 818	301 339 134 131 167 208 83 101 84 107	7.3 8.7 6.4 4.0 9,6	162 994 35 596 127 398 60 804 66 594	54.1 26.5 76.2 73.2 79.2	32 743 18 293 14 450 9 225 5 225	10. 13. 8. 11. 6.	
ASSACHUSETTS	9 967 395 2 907 450 3 059 945 106 132 2 953 812	395 637 112 625 283 012 52 116 230 896	6,6 3,9 9,2 49,1 7,8	235 084 30 407 204 677 933 203 744	59.4 27.0 72.3 1.8 88.2	49 178 10 260 38 918 27 619 11 299	12. 9. 13. 53.	
ICHIGAN. STAYE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	6 416 360 3 629 506 2 786 854 1 087 743 1 699 110	600 138 147 639 452 499 160 328 292 171	9.4 4.1 16.2 14.7 17.2	353 714 62 782 290 932 34 578 256 354	58.9 42.5 64.3 21.6 87.7	85 702 13 768 71 934 54 816 17 118	14. 9. 15. 34. 5.	
INNESOTA	2 724 516 1 239 244 1 485 274 2 436 651 838	177 674 49 293 128 381 57 726 70 654	6,5 4,0 8,6 6,9	98 175 17 354 80 821 17 930 62 892	55.3 35.2 63.0 31.1 89.0	24 034 4 310 19 724 18 369 1 355	13. 8. 15. 31.	
ISSISSIPPI STATE . LOCAL, TOTAL	1 250 647 830 519 420 128 238 516 181 612	73 364 33 788 39 576 15 635 23 941	5.9 4.1 9.4 6.6 13.2	45 372 16 587 28 785 6 509 22 276	61.8 49.1 72.7 41.6 93.0	9 083 2 413 6 670 6 227 443	12. 7. 16. 39.	
ISSOURI.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIÉS.	2 197 969 1 319 728 878 241 237 649 640 593	238 252 60 272 177 980 47 180 130 800	10,8 4,6 20,3 19,9 20,4	146 147 20 978 125 169 17 447 107 722	61.3 34.8 70.3 37.0 82.4	30 987 10 395 20 592 13 238 7 354	13, 17, 11, 28,	
COUNTIES.  HUNICIPALITIES.	455 661 311 842 143 810 70 249 64 571	31 794 13 303 18 492 9 962 8 530	7.0 4.3 12.9 12.6 13.2	16 364 4 059 12 305 4 537 7 768	51.5 30.5 66.5 45.5 91.1	3 735 907 2 828 2 543 285	11. 6. 15. 25.	

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

State and type of government	Legal so pros	rvices and ecution	Indigor	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other ori	minal justico
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Anount	Percent of total criminal	Azount	Percent of total criminal
ILLINOIS. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES. INDIANA STATE	31 460 7 477 23 993 15 845 8 139	4.# 4.1 4.5 10.9 2.1	5 695 1 373 4 322 4 317 5	0.8 0.8 0.8 3.0 (Z)	115 008 73 346 41 662 36 280 5 382	Justice system 16.0 40.6 7.8 24.8 1.4	14 395 12 058 2 336 1 388 948	2.0 6.7 0.9 1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 353 3 769 5 584 2 931 2 653 5 707	4.8 5.6 4.4 7.6 3.0	2 270 205 2 065 1 099 966	1.2 0.3 1.6 2.9 1.1	42 421 28 638 13 783 9 998 3 785	21.7 42.3 10.8 26.0 4.2	2 735 1 336 1 399 529 870	0,2 1,4 2,0 1,1 1,4 1,0
IOWA. STATE LOCAL.TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES KANSAS. STATE	1 410 4 297 2 811 1 486	5.3 3.6 6.3 10.0 3.7	1 184 1 184 1 184	1.1	25 818 18 734 7 084 6 809 275	24.0 47.8 10.4 24.1	1 189 1 484 705 215 491	1.1 1.2 1.0 0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	6 417 2 327 4 090 2 699 1 3y1	6.4 5.5 7.0 12.5 3.8	1 294 1 049 245 231 14	1.3 2.5 0.4 1.1 (Z)	29 925 24 994 4 931 4 025 906	29,7 59,3 8,4 18,6 2,4	1 640 546 1 095 219 876	1.2 1.6 1.3 1.9 1.0 2.4
LOGAL, TOTAL: COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	5 525 1 607 3 918 2 331 1 587	3.5 5.0 6.8 3.6	960 472 488 482 6	0.8 1.0 0.6 1.4 (2)	25 966 18 462 7 504 6 987 517	20.8 39.9 9.5 20.4	3 936 1 258 2 678 2 644 34	3.1 2.7 3.4 7.7
LGCAL, TOTAL PARISHES HUNICIPALITIES	9 499 4 820 4 679 2 474 2 205	4.9 7.3 3.7 4.6 3.0	1 115 609 506	0.6 0.9 1.1 0.7	35 138 22 937 12 201 5 993 6 208	18.1 34.9 9.5 11.1	1 066 775 291 59	0.1 0.6 1.2 0.2 0.1
MAINE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	1 657 1 180 477 187 289	4.0 5.4 2.5 3.4 2.1	378 145 233 233	0.9 0.7 1.2 4.2	10 677 9 422 1 255 1 255	26.0 42.9 6.6 22.5	727 675 52 29	0.3 1.8 3.1 0.3 0.5
MARYLAND. STATE LOCAL TOTAL. COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	10 985 1 502 9 483 5 178 4 305	3.6 1.1 5.7 6.2 5.1	4 972 4 936 36 36	1.7 3.7 (Z) (Z)	82 532 69 451 13 081 6 294 6 786	27.4 51.8 7.8 7.6	7 112 4 353 2 759 1 563	0,2 2,4 3,2 1,7 1,9
MASSACHUSETTS	13 373 5 292 8 081 2 053 6 028	3.4 4.7 2.9 3.9 2.6	2 615 2 061 554 547	0.7 1.8 0.2 1.0 (Z)	86 753 58 609 28 144 20 965 7 179	21.9 52.0 9.9 40.2	1 196 8 635 5 996 2 639	2.2 5.3 0.9
MICHIGAN, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES. MINNESOTA	29 466 6 505 22 961 14 153 8 806	4.9 4.4 5.1 8.8 3.0	8 101 533 7 568 5 765 1 803		117 698 61 173 56 525 50 266 6 259	19.6 41.4 12.5 31.4	2 639 5 457 2 878 2 578 749	1.1 0.9 1.9 0.6 0.5
SIATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 728 1 696 8 032 4 517 3 515	5.5 3.4 6.3 7.8 5.0	1 457 210 1 247 1 192 55	0.8 0.4 1.0 2.1 0.1	41 554 24 651 16 903 15 446	23.4 50.0 13.2 26.8	2 726 1 072 1 653 273	0.6 1.5 2.2 1.3 0.5
MISSISSIPPI STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES	2 910 1 596 1 314 691 623	4.0 4.7 3,3 4.4 2.6	599 599 562 37	0.8 1.5 3.6 0.2	1 458 13 855 11 706 2 149 1 645 504	2.1 18.9 34.6 5.4 10.5	1 546 1 486 60	2.0 2.1 4.4 0.2 (Z)
MISSOURI. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES	9 606 1 042 8 564 4 357 4 206	4.0 1.7 4.6 9.2 3.2	1 741 8 645 96 51 45	0,7 2,7 0,1 0,1	46 179 25 410 20 769 10 662 10 107	2,1 19,4 42,2 11,7 22,6	59 592 802 2 790 1 425	0.2 1.3 1.6 3.0
MONTANA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES  See feetnetes at end of table.	2 040 638 1 402 1 068 334	6.4 4.8 7.6 10.7 3.9	278 278 269 9	0,9 1,5 2,7 0,1	8 663 7 090 1 573 1 968 105	7.7 27.2 53.3 8.5 14.7 1.2	1 365 715 609 106 77 29	1.0 2.2 4.6 0.6 0.8

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Annual transfer and the second	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED IN	Thirt Givening)	justice exeten	Police P	rotautioa	Phy.	aldj
neura are each or kandrowers	Axbebiltata, Lotal strock	Asount	Percent of total direct expenditure	<b>V=</b> Omus	Mattee aretem total outsins; borcent or	Auount	matica exatem total criminal becout of
MEBRASKA, 1 + + 1 + 4 + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	580 001 497 743 465 266 173 766 648	62 115 23 436 38 679 13 976 24 702	7,9	34 09 4 23 25 62 4 35 21 47	9 35,2 66,8 5 31,2	\$ 577 3 625 954	15.4 21.4 25.6 35.6 3
MUNICIPALITIES,	240 470 240 470 252 429 150 016	15 122 42 216 70 021	19.2	30 96 3 57 27 38 18 57 8 81	23 54 54 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	1 197 1 2 4 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17.4 17.4 2.61
HUNICIPALITIES  NEW HAMPSNIRS  LUCAL TOTAL  COUNTIES  HUNICIPALITIES	297 295 287 285 297 295 25 25 178 97	12 09 20 57 4 31	10.	14 1	28 39, 28 39, 15 28, 57,	1 236 2 1 501 2 1 501	1,0 18,1 34,9
HRW JEY STATE COCALA COUNTIES MINICIPALITIES	5. 327 13 2. 376 15 2. 950 98 1. 139 67 1. 811 39	547 85 139 32 408 52 125 36	6 13.	200	36 87 13.	3 70 es 20 30 35 10 es	10.4 12.0 30.6
MEM MEXICO &	67A 44 484 86 57 89 136 32	25 29 25 29 26 90 27 19	7 9 14 14 12	2 23 4	55 63	24 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 4	17.00 3.4 4.4 3.1
COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES, HEW YORK  STATE COAL, TOTAL  LUCAL  COUNTIES.	21 790 41 6 201 29 15 589 1	4 1 853 56 5 352 31 10 1 501 21	10 8. 15 5. 10 10	5 1 077 6 981 159 2 252	596 65	206 3	73 13.7 18 13.7 16.0 18 13.1
COUNTIES  MINICIPALITIES  NORTH CARREINA  STATE  LOCAL TOTAL	7 564 X 7 588 5 1 426 X 2 162 2	240 7 49 129 0 20 111 7	89 6 19 9 29 2	7 125 0 33 2 91	515 26 457 81 282 59	29 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	03 18.3
COUNTERS NUNCTERALITEES NORTH DAKOTA STATE LOGAL TOTAL COUNTES MUNICIPALITES	207 2 119 9	86 20 3 29 7 3 59 13 0	72 4 94 2 78 19	10 5	126 203 603 603 603	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
MUNICIPALITIES,  OHIO STATE TOTAL LOCAL TOTAL HUNICIPALITIES,		105 524 105 105 120 761	180	5 278 46 232 7 232	627 2 603 2 803 2	3.1 73 8.6 9 4.2 64 5.7 45	7,0 192 17,8 138 154 7,0
CKLAMONAL	1 568 1 040 528 160	023 99 012 43 011 55 757 14	750 203 203 4 4 8 8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	6.3 57	607 215 153	3.8 7	418 418 061 756 305 312
COUNTIES	1426 944 481 228	278 126 854 52 524 84	574	9.6 69 5.6 19	178	4.6	973 7.5 908 24.2 975 24.2 497 4.2
RUNICIPALITIES,  FRUNSYLVANIA  STATE LOCAL TÖTÄL  COUNTES,  KUNICIPALITIES	6 878	776 666 403 197 363 469 796 102	820	9.7 37 4.7 39 17.8 29	7 767 6 550 1 217 9 417	.5" A 78.	827 12.5 12.5 12.7 12.7 11.2 11.2 12.8
Municipalities,  RHODE ISLAND.  STATE LOCAL TOTAL HUMICIPALITIES	822	272 50 244 24 026 25 028 25	262 262 262	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	9 416 5 414 4 002 4 002	21.0 05.0 05.0	262 14.7 070 28.6 292 1.2 292 1.2
IQUIN CARCLINA	1 1 1 1 1 1	011 34 487 6	191 191 191 191 191 191	16.8	17 244 17 254 18 890 14 207 23 284	63.9	246 11. 240 18. 240 30. 340 4.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

The appropriate in the Albert Combination of the Combine Section (1994) in the Combine Combine Section (1994)		escution	Indigo	nt defense	£u!	Fortions	Other cr	asitent, feetel
Stato and tabe of eventualint	Авоция	Partant of total criminal Justica system	Angunt	total criminal total crime are to a contract	Аяонц‡	total criminal total artical a	Amount	Parcont of total criminal instica system
MEDRASKA	3 476 3 524 2 139	2,1 1,8 7,31	689 689 689	1 4 4 5 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4	007 51 762 8 762 E 761 E 108	0,9,0 8,2 7,25	1 2 1 7 1 7 1 9 1 2 1 2 1 8 8 1 8 8 1	127
NEVADA. STATE LOCAL TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES,	581 3 484 7 434 675 4 400	6.2 8.3	998 757 767	8' # 1'8' 1'8'	018 PL 758 D 569 R 569 R	57.1 14.9 18,3	176 176 180 180	11.11 (2)
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 259 625 624 199 425	7.1 7.6	£ 18 908 18 18 18	0.7 1.7 (2) (2) (2)	5 655 4 087 1 568 1 360 208	33,8 7,6 31,5	1 975 1 010 460 100	8,3 8,3
NEW JERSEY,	456 9 456 9 162 0 900 12 908 8	7,4 16,8	508 8 250 6 571 68 78	ξ, α (5)	110 659 747 53 47 913 47 829 83	45.0 11.7 38.8	6 659 8 244 4 416 1 088 3 387	1,6 1,1 0.4
NEW MEXICO	275 2013 2013 2014 2016 2016	3,0	1 318 1 300 18 18 18	0,1 0,1 2,1 2,4	10 454 7 319 3 135 1 700 1 435	10.8	1 190 786 909 909	1,1
NEW YORK,	24 424 27 624 27 624 20 436 20 436 20 436 20 436	6.6	10 184 869 9 866 2 12 08 120 08 120 08	1,1 0,8 1,1 2,1 0,0	373 619 177 331 196 288 67 579 128 708	50.3 13.1 21.2	50 166 11 336 50 66 670 61	1,1 2,1
NORTH CAROLINA,	1 501 880 8 2 4 1 514 6 595	3,5	4 786 4 786 4 786	2.0 3.7 *	71 131 60 852 10 279 154 01 136	2.2	3 448 945 2 497 2 298 199	0.7 2.2
NORTH DAKOTA, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 588 1 058 874 1 77	7.8 7.3 8.1	191 191 191	0,8 1,2 2,8	7 097 3 638 459 422 77	7,4	923 915 7	2.1
OHIO. STAYE LOCAL TOTAL COUNTES. HUNICIFALITIES.	5 710 8 835 13 546 13 556 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	5.0	3 245 55 3 190 2 870 320	0,6 (X1 0,9 0,4 0,4	125 338 120 89 145 75 357 89 358 89	25.8 60.1	263 129 1429 1429 1427 1427 1427 1427 1427 1427 1427 1427	1,6 1,1 1,8 2,3
OKLAHOMA. STATE LOCAL, TÖYÄL, COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES,	5 591 4 039 1 552 159 1 393	1.1	651 651 618	0,7 1,8 4,2 0,1	20 693 16 423 4 270 2 096 1 175	20.9 38.0 7.6 20.9	1 101 1 100 1 101	2.0 (Z)
OREGON. STATE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	7 228 6 464 6 464 7 22 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23	10.6 7.7 11.4	1 826 155 1 671 1 632 29	1.3 2.0 2.6 0.1	34 662 23 263 11 399 11 140 259		1 1169 006 10 110 111 111 111 111 111 111 111	1.8 1.7 1.8 0.1
PENNSYLVANIA, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES KUNICIPALITIÉS,	26 980 10 000 12 686 10 686	2.1 4.8 9.7	6 852 6 652 3 337 3 515	1.0 1.5 3.2 1.0	196 698 78 033 68 665 37 698 30 967	22.0 39.6 14.6 36.6	5 700 5 659 2 131 2 099	0,9 1,9 0,5
RHODE ISLAND, STATE LOCAL TOTAL HUNICIPALITIES,	1 025 202 862 862	1,6 2,0 3,0 3,4	990 990 -	o.a	10 343 245 01	1 1	660 559 106 106	1,3 2,2 0,4
SQUTH CAROLINA, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	271 870 1 768 1 768 2 276	2.4	697 101 296 291	0.6 0.8 0.5 0.6 0.6	39 247 30 211 9 036 8 573 462	34.1 57.2 14.5 24.9 1.7	2 235 2 102 92 4	0.1

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal j	ustice system	Police pro	tection	Judi	oial
Weste and type of government	Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATE	427 256 293 503 133 753 54 110 79 642	24 038 9 949 14 089 6 513 7 576	5.6 3.4 10.5 12.0 9.5	12 765 3 678 9 087 2 077 7 009	53.1 37.0 64.5 31.9 92.5	3 231 818 2 413 2 157 255	13.4 8.2 17.1 33.1 3.4
TENNESSEE	2 870 495 1 264 569 1 605 926 754 438 851 488	170 114 52 495 117 619 41 782 75 837	5.9 4.2 7.3 5.5 8.9	91 486 14 900 76 586 11 580 65 006	53.8 28.4 65.1 27.7 85.7	4 217 19 027 13 650 5 377	8.0 16.2 32.7 7.1
TEXAS	5 437 882 3 355 639 2 082 243 697 749 1 384 494	491 798 139 801 351 997 137 966 214 031	9.0 4.2 16.9 19.8 15.5	290 193 60 800 229 393 36 486 192 907	59.0 43.5 65.2 26.4 90.1	64 173 9 732 54 441 46 395 8 046	7.0 15.5 33.6 3.8
UTAH	657 153 482 974 174 179 79 590 94 588		7.0 4.0 15.4 13.8 16.8	25 620 7 135 18 485 4 737 13 748	37.2 68.8 43.1	5 19° 2 07' 3 12' 1 66 1 46'	10.8 11.6 15.1 9.2
VERHONY STATE LOCAL, TOTAL GOUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES MUNICIPALITIES	366 297 298 831 67 466 402 67 064	18 948 6 112 249	6.8 6.3 9.1 61.9 8.7	11 761 6 047 5 714 5 647	31.9 93.5 26.8	1	1 16.4 1 2.6 5 66.6 6 0.1
VIRGINIA	3 968 166 1 773 266 2 194 906 1 079 76 1 115 13	105 423 131 348 47 312	6.0	130 15 <sup>3</sup> 39 84 <sup>3</sup> 90 30 <sup>3</sup> 30 44 <sup>3</sup> 59 86	7 37.8 68.8 64.3	7 19 9 87	5 11. 9 13. 6 15.
WASHINGTON	2 370 65 1 558 62 812 03 339 97 472 06	202 793 73 152 4 129 641 1 62 580	16,0 18,4	23 36	1 31.2 4 63.7 3 37.3	18 24 15 4	5. 16 14. 36 24.
WEST VIRGINIA	1 053 89 861 31 192 58 63 04 129 53	0 53 634 0 24 106 0 29 526 1 15 652	2.8 15.3 2 24.8	10 94 17 65 4 36	3 45.4 3 59.8 3 27.9	4 9 4 7	27 7. 11 16. 19 30. 92 1.
HUNICIPALITIES,	3 435 00 1 478 42 1 956 57	5 241 590 6 75 90 165 68 6 65 30	7,0 7,5,1 2,8,5 8,5	141 47 19 39 122 08 26 43	92 25. 33 73. 31 40.	7 4 19 1 18 5	98 11 11
MUNICIPALITIES,  WYONING	255 8 176 4 79 3 48 5	13 16 97 12 7 84 71 9 13	7 6.4 4 4.3 3 11.3	8 9 2 6 5 6 3 1 6		7 5 1 1	74 12

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		ervices and secution	Indigen	t defense	Corr	octions	Other crit	unal justica
State and type of government	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total oriminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	2 365 1 188 1 177 901 276	8.4 13.8	292 292 292	2.1	5 049 3 928 1 121 1 086 34	21.0 39.5 8.0 16.7 0.5	337 337	1.4 3,4
TENNESSEE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	6 185 3 669 2 516 896 1 620	7.0 2.1 2.1	1 136 638 498 344 153	1.2 0.4 0.8	46 232 28 223 18 009 14 478 3 531	27.2 53.8 15.3 34.7 4.7	1 832 848 983 833 150	1.1 1.6 0.8 2.0 0.2
TEXAS	26 854 5 659 21 195 14 973 6 221	4.0 6.0 10.9	2 999 2 999 2 999	0.9	88 399 49 852 38 547 34 137 4 410	18.0 35.7 11.0 24.7 2.1	19 180 13 758 5 422 2 975 2 447	3.9 9.8 1.5 2.2 1.1
UTAH, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 778 305 1 973 1 368 605	4.2 7.3 12.5	378 378 377 1	1.4 3.4	11 350 8 446 2 904 2 838 66	24.7 44.1 10.8 25.8 0.4	699 699 -	1: 3.
VERHONT STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 323 1 112 211 2 209	5.9 3.5 1.0	536 536		7 833 7 818 15 15	31.3 41.3 0.3 6.2	334 334	1. 1.
VIRGINIA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	8 972 3 212 5 760 2 292 3 477	3.0 4.4 4.8	3 191 2 924 267 122 145	0.2 0.3	63 345 45 640 17 705 7 042 10 663	26.8 43.3 13.5 14.9 12.7	2 325 2 085 240 228 12	0.
MASHINGTON. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	12 417 4 024 8 393 5 525 2 868	5.5 6.5 8.8	2 186 129 2 057 1 977 80	0.2 1.6 3.2	58 502 40 920 17 582 15 650 1 932	28.8 55.9 13.6 25.0 2.9	1 707 888 819 628 190	0. 1. 0. 1.
WEST VIRGINIA	3 174 820 2 354 1 970 384	3.¢ 8.0 12.6	72 72 72	0.2	14 488 9 963 4 525 4 518 8	27.0 41.3 15.3 28.9 0.1	564 555 9	1. 2. (2 0.
WISCONSIN STATE LOCAL, TOTAL STATE LOCAL, TOTAL STATE COUNTIES.	12 504 2 979 9 525 5 465 4 06.1	5.7 8.4	2 177 269 1 908 1 898 11	0.4 1.2 2.9	53 965 41 094 12 871 12 871	22.3 54.1 7.8 19.7	4 859 4 675 184 110 74	2. 6. 0. 0.
MYOHING . STATE . LOCAL, TOTAL . COUNTIES . HUNICIPALITIES .	1 415 653 762 564 199	8.3 8.3 14.4	152 152 150	1.7 3.8	4 047 3 384 663 457 206	23.9 43.1 7.3 11.7 4.0	220 190 30 3	1.2.4 0.1 0.1

w Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z loss than half the unit of measurement shown,

The relation of criminal justice direct expenditure to total direct expenditure is based on data for

the relation of criminal justice direct expenditure to total direct expenditure is based on data for

the data for independent school districts or special districts,

## Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

		//ire	ct expenditure		Intergove	rnmental expe	nditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	12 992 327 4 546 345 9 129 866 3 276 735 5 931 029	12 992 327 1 3 899 958 9 092 369 3 225 727 5 866 642	12 240 597 3 658 627 8 581 971 3 010 613 5 571 357	751 732 241 331 510 401 215 114 295 287	761 782 646 387 115 395 51 009 64 386	37 496 (X) 37 496 28 412 9 084	724 285 646 387 77 898 22 596 55 302
ALABAMA	128 041 55 123 81 794 30 127 52 258	128 041 46 315 81 727 30 110 51 616	118 554 42 127 76 427 27 989 48 438	9 488 4 188 5 300 2 121 3 179	9 467 8 808 659 17 642	67	
ALASKA	48 551 39 964 9 981 1 784 9 271	38 738 9 813 631	43 939 34 436 9 503 627 8 876	4 612 4 302 310 306	2 469 1 226 1 243 1 153 89	(X) 169 79	1 226 1 074 1 074
ARIZONA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	107 962	47 031 107 899 49 660	133 441 40 711 92 730 41 683 51 046	21 489 6 320 15 169 7 976 7 193	5 704 5 475 229 83 146	(X) 63 62	5 475 166 21
ARKANSAS	54 319 27 915 31 669 11 979 19 953	22 747 31 572 11 923	47 452 18 809 28 643 10 845 17 798	6 867 3 938 2 929 1 078 1 851	5 528 5 168 360 57	(X) 97 16	5 168 26.
CALIFORNIA.  STATE.  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES.  HUNICIPALITIES.	1 950 509 561 017 1 464 575 850 946 632 95	486 842 1 463 667 849 200	804 950	89 225 22 124 67 101 44 250 22 851	94 400 74 175 20 225 1 740 18 485	(X) 908 951	74 17: 19 31 88
COLGRADO		58 174 9 88 565 19 426	56 839 80 667 16 507	9 233 1 335 7 898 2 920 4 978	29 18	(X 5 2' 7 2	7 15
CONNECTICUT	94 02 93 73	3 89 599 8 93 029	78 498 89 361	14 770 11 101 3 669 3 669	4 42 88	4 (X 9 70	) 4 42 9 18 9 18
OELAWARE		3 26 222 5 12 275 6 3 986	24 676 12 059 3 814	1 762 1 546 216 172 44	1 33	1 (X	1 33
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA STATE	178 24 178 24 178 24	6 178 246	166 287	11 959 11 959 11 959	;	1	<u>.</u>
FLORIDA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	508 12 192 91 324 86 152 20	5 508 125 1 183 309 14 324 816 14 152 155	474 254 169 356 304 899 144 036	33 870 13 953 19 91 8 110 11 790	9 60 7 35 9	2 (X 6 4 10 1	8 9 9 1 9 61 18 31 6 2 2
GEORGIA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	242 50 106 30 152 30 80 30 73 80	50 90 155 23 152 354 38 78 655	76 175 4 139 423 6 71 221	26 91 13 98 12 93 7 43 5 49	0 16 20 1 1 9 4 1 7	)5 ()  7	10 1 8 31 1 7 8 1
HAMAII	55 8 18 7 38 7 28 8	95 17 10° 20 38 72° 23 9 82	9 16 82C 0 37 770 3 9 453	37	9 1 2 1 1	36	1 2 2

See footnotes at end of tublo.

## Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		Dia	rect expenditu	ro	Intorgo	vornmental exp	endituro
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local govornments
IDAHO	34 273 17 975 19 236 9 798 9 678	34 273 15 073 19 200 9 759 9 441	28 859 11 494 17 365 8 292 9 073	5 414 3 579 1 835 1 467 367	3 178 2 902 276 39 238	36 (X) 36 34 2	7 142 2 902 240 5 235
ILLINOIS	717 012 210 594 536 487 151 116 390 906	717 012 180 545 536 466 146 010 390 456	682 698 175 086 507 611 135 002 372 609	34 314 5 459 28 855 11 008 17 847	35 605 30 049 5 556 5 106 450	18 50 (X) 50	35 584 30 049 5 535 5 104 432
INDIANA,	195 493 79 016 129 300 39 764 89 724	195 493 67 752 127 741 38 502 89 239	182 029 60 469 121 560 36 340 85 220	13 464 7 283 6 181 2 162 4 020	13 010 11 264 1 746 1 262 484	1 559 (X) 1 559 1 087 472	11 451 11 264 187 175 12
IOWA	107 564 43 649 69 275 29 725 41 008	107 564 39 159 68 406 28 234 40 172	102 611 37 739 64 872 26 932 37 941	4 953 1 420 3 533 1 302 2 231	6 817 4 490 2 327 1 490 836	869 (X) 869 827 42	5 948 4 490 1 458 663 794
KANSAS	100 819 48 007 58 744 21 742 37 302	100 819 42 155 58 664 21 625 37 039	94 873 39 682 55 192 20 279 34 913	5 945 2 473 3 472 1 346 2 126	6 232 5 852 380 118 263	81 (X) 81 49 32	6 152 5 852 300 69 231
KENTUCKY STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	125 093 59 634 78 877 34 827 45 118	125 093 46 253 78 840 34 212 44 628	112 241 43 192 69 050 29 358 39 692	12 851 3 061 9 790 # 854 # 936	14 486 13 381 1 105 615 490	37 (X) 37 5 32	14 449 13 381 1 068 610 458
LOUISIANA	193 642 78 911 128 023 54 243 73 973	193 642 65 630 128 012 54 183 73 829	182 525 62 241 120 284 52 033 68 251	11 117 3 389 7 728 2 150 5 577	13 485 13 281 204 60 144	12 (X) 12 6 5	13 474 13 281 193 54 139
MAINE. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	41 106 23 345 19 150 5 587 13 678	41 106 21 959 19 147 5 574 13 573	38 355 20 199 18 156 5 269 12 887	2 751 1 760 991 304 687	1 504 1 386 118 13 105	(X)	1 501 1 386 115 11 105
MARYLAND STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	301 339 167 013 167 208 83 163 84 297	301 339 134 131 167 208 83 101 84 107	288 238 127 918 160 320 78 133 82 187	13 101 6 213 6 888 4 968 1 920	33 134 32 882 252 62 190	(X)	33 134 32 882 252 62 190
MASSACHUSETTSSTATELOCAL, TOTAL	395 637 125 156 283 024 52 173 231 436	395 637 112 625 283 012 52 116 230 896	379 435 108 777 270 658 48 441 222 217	16 202 3 848 12 354 3 676 8 678	13 130 12 531 599 56 543	11 15 (X) 15	13 118 12 531 587 55 532
MICHIGAN	600 138 173 167 455 451 165 232 296 797	600 136 147 639 452 499 160 328 292 171	566 769 134 584 432 184 152 544 279 640	33 370 13 055 20 315 7 784 12 530	35 059 25 528 9 531 4 904 4 627	2 953 (X) 2 953 2 641 312	32 106 25 528 6 578 2 263 4 315
MINNESOTA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	177 674 61 120 128 595 	177 674 49 293 128 381 57 726 70 654	171 390 47 565 123 824 55 440 68 384	6 284 1 728 4 556 2 286 2 270	15 046 11 827 3 219 1 707 1 512	214 (X) 214 128 86	14 832 11 827 3 008 1 579 1 426
MISSISSIPPI. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	73 364 36 448 39 600 15 653 24 051	73 364 33 788 39 576 15 635 23 941	67 273 30 079 37 194 15 182 22 012	6 091 3 709 2 382 452 1 930	2 788 2 660 128 18 110	24 (X) 24 20	2 765 2 660 105 14 90

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		( i nousand	s of dollars	)			
		D1:1	rect expenditu	re	Intergove	rnmental expe	nditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total g	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATE	238 252 76 828 178 110 47 404 131 204	238 252 60 272 177 980 47 180 130 800	224 242 57 152 167 091 44 356 122 734	14 010 3 120 10 890 2 824 8 066	17 183 16 556 627 223 404	130 (X) 130 125 5	17 053 16 556 497 99
GNTANASTATELOCAL, TOTAL	31 794 14 736 18 508 9 964 8 583	31 794 13 303 18 492 9 962 8 530	29 485 12 077 17 408 9 416 7 992	2 310 1 226 1 084 546 538	1 486 1 433 55 2 53	16 (X) 16 1 15	1 472 1 433 3 34
EBRASKA	62 115 26 107 38 702 14 090 24 920	62 115 23 436 38 679 13 976 24 702	58 889 21 846 37 043 13 154 23 888	3 226 1 590 1 636 822 814	3 002 2 671 331 113 218	23 (X) 23 16 7	2 979 2 67: 308 99
EVADA	57 338 16 985 42 253 30 948 20 878	57 338 15 122 42 216 30 917 11 299	54 482 13 461 41 021 30 248 10 773	2 856 1 661 1 195 669 526	11 472 1 863 9 609 31 9 579	37 (X) 37 27 10	11 43 1 86 9 57 9 56
EW HAMPSHIRE	32 665 13 364 20 614 4 324 16 320	32 665 12 095 20 570 4 313 16 258	31 228 11 508 19 720 4 082 15 638	1 437 567 850 231 619	1 343 1 269 74 11 63	44 (X) 44 2 42	1 29 1 26 3
EW JERSEY	547 853 163 301 408 657 125 490 284 118	547 853 139 326 408 527 125 360 283 167	527 609 127 624 399 986 121 556 278 429	20 244 11 702 8 542 3 804 4 738	25 056 23 975 1 081 130 951	130 (X) 130 130	24 92 23 97 95
EW MEXICO	54 197 28 536 28 944 7 211 21 944	54 197 25 291 28 905 7 073 21 832	50 790 23 988 26 802 6 284 20 518	3 406 1 303 2 103 789 1 314	3 495 3 245 250 138 112	39 (X) 39 -	3 45 3 24 21 13 7
EW YORK	1 853 580 428 449 1 509 041 325 523 1 190 103	1 853 580 352 325 1 501 255 318 410 1 182 846	1 745 935 340 561 1 405 375 292 927 1 112 448	107 644 11 764 95 880 25 483 70 397	90 495 76 124 14 371 7 113 7 257	7 786 (X) 7 786 7 058 728	82 70 76 12 6 58 5 6 53
ORTH CAROLINA	240 789 138 449 111 958 41 361 70 932	240 789 129 019 111 769 41 029 70 741	221 118 121 010 100 107 34 750 65 357	19 671 8 009 11 662 6 278 5 383	9 954 9 430 524 333 191	189 (X) 189 51 138	9 76 9 43 33 28 5
ORTH DAKOTA	20 372 8 610 13 084 5 744 7 508	20 372 7 294 13 078 5 729 7 349	19 524 6 699 12 825 5 700 7 124	848 595 253 29 224	1 490 1 316 174 15 160	6 (X) 6 5	1 48 1 31 16 1 15
HIO. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES,	524 480 199 441 361 521 125 281 242 795	524 480 163 140 361 339 119 711 241 628	492 415 157 004 335 411 107 490 227 921	32 064 6 136 25 928 12 221 13 707	43 037 36 301 6 736 5 569 1 167	182 (X) 182 65 117	42 85 36 30 6 55 5 50 1 05
KLAHOMA	99 057 49 458 58 412 17 391 41 095	99 057 43 203 55 854 14 783 41 070	94 408 40 916 53 491 14 350 39 141	4 649 2 287 2 362 433 1 929	8 888 6 255 2 633 2 608 25	2 558 (X) 2 558 2 551 7	6 33 6 25 7 5
REGON	136 934 59 537 84 488 45 314 39 938	136 934 52 551 84 383 45 139 39 244	130 819 50 283 80 536 42 279 38 257	6 115 2 268 3 847 2 860 987	7 854 6 986 868 174 694	105 (X) 105 52 52	7 75 6 98 76 12 64

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		(Thousand	ls of dollars	) i '' .			
State and type of government		Di	lrect expenditu	ire	Intergove	rnmental expe	nditure
seare and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
PENNSYLVANIA	666 820 260 052 483 143 112 043 372 441	566 820 197 003 469 817 102 922 366 895	640 346 191 257 449 088 93 789 355 299	26 474 5 746 20 728 9 132 11 596	77 716 63 049 14 667 9 122 5 546	13 327 (X) 13 327 8 772 4 555	64 -390 63 049 1 341 350 991
RHODE ISLAND	50 005 27 016 25 262 25 269	50 005 24 743 25 262 25 262	48 001 23 890 24 110 24 110	2 005 853 1 152 1 152	2 280 2 273 7	(X)	2 280 2 273 7 7
SOUTH CAROLINA	115 208 60 077 62 908 34 888 28 113	115 208 52 812 62 395 34 391 28 004	97 505 41 395 56 110 30 656 25 454	17 702 11 417 6 285 3 735 2 550	7 871 7 265 606 497 109	513 (X) 513 446 67	7 358 7 265 93 52
SOUTH DAKOTA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	24 038 11 912 14 090 6 749 7 684	24 038 9 949 14 089 6 513 7 576	21 395 8 045 13 350 6 085 7 265	2 644 1 904 740 429 311	2 307 1 963 344 236 108	(X) 1 1	2 306 1 963 343 236 107
TENNESSEE. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES	170 114 61 856 117 813 42 030 77 007	170 114 52 495 117 619 41 782 75 837	154 042 48 103 105 938 37 056 68 883	16 072 4 392 11 680 4 726 6 954	10 779 9 361 1 418 248 1 170	194 (X) 194 172 23	10 585 9 361 1 224 76 1 148
TEXAS. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	491 798 164 630 352 487 138 627 215 006	491 798 139 801 351 997 137 966 214 031	461 899 131 299 330 600 129 581 201 019	29 899 8 502 21 397 8 385 13 012	26 464 24 829 1 635 661 974	490 (X) 490 421 69	25 974 24 829 1 145 240 905
UTAH	46 020 23 698 26 866 11 006 15 923	46 020 19 155 26 866 10 981 15 885	42 727 17 699 25 029 10 254 14 775	3 293 1 456 1 837 727 1 111	4 607 4 543 64 26 38	(X)	4 606 4 543 63 25 38
VERMONT. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES	25 059 19 208 6 113 249 5 954	25 059 18 948 6 112 249 5 862	21 821 16 060 5 762 191 5 571	3 238 2 888 350 58 292	351 260 91 91	(X) 2 -	350 260 90 - 90
VIRGINIA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	236 771 122 061 133 221 48 340 85 540	236 771 105 423 131 348 47 312 84 036	225 094 101 962 123 132 42 852 80 281	11 677 3 461 8 216 4 461 3 755	19 170 16 638 2 532 1 028 1 504	1 873 (X) 1 873 771 1 102	17 297 16 638 659 257 402
NASHINGTON STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	202 793 80 078 129 662 62 763 69 016	202 793 73 152 129 641 62 580 67 061	193 965 70 666 123 299 57 884 65 415	8 828 2 486 6 342 4 696 1 646	9 064 6 926 2 138 184 1 955	21 (X) 21 1 21	9 043 6 926 2 117 183 1 934
WEST VIRGINIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	53 634 27 055 29 536 15 712 14 042	53 634 24 108 29 526 15 652 13 874	47 931 20 690 27 241 14 078 13 162	5 703 3 418 2 285 1 573 712	3 176 2 947 229 60 168	(X) 11 11	3 165 2 947 216 50 168
WISCONSIN. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	741 590 71 105 167 552 6 320 100 977	241 590 75 907 165 682 65 308 100 374	233 679 73 482 160 197 63 407 96 790	7 910 2 425 5 485 1 901 3 584	17 813 15 198 2 615 2 012 603	1 870 (X) 1 870 1 866 4	15 943 15 198 745 146 599
WYOMING. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.  Represents zero or rounds to zero.	16 977 8 672 9 141 3 919 5 312	16 977 7 844 9 133 3 913 5 220	16 456 7 762 8 694 3 818 4 876	523 82 441 95 346	926 828 98 6 92	8 (X) 8 5	918 828 90 1 89

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

'For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

### Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

*******************			Total	criminal ju	stice syst	em.	1	Police ;	rotection			Judi	cial	
number	State and type of	Total full-time	Numbe	er of emplo	yees	Percent	Humb	er of emp	loyees	Percent	Numbe	or of emp	loyees	Percent
Line mm	govornment	idil-fime aquivalent employees1	Total	Full- time only	Pull-timo oquiva- lont	total full- time equiva- lent omploy- ees	Total	Full- tima only	Full-time Equiva- lent	total crimi- nal justice system employ- ees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	total crimi-
12345	UNITED STATES TOTAL, STATES, LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	6 458 562 2 968 020 3 490 542 1 336 787 2 153 755	998 357 262 735 735 622 268 434 467 188	886 996 247 356 639 640 228 180 411 460	917 450 252 583 664 862 239 171 425 691	17.9	88 753	87 026 438 926 80 964	89 822 449 587 83 068	35.6 67.6 34.7 86.1	24 560 109 730 78 323	22 562 87 315 64 087	23 939 94 456	12.9 9.5 14.2 28.7 6.0
6 7 8 9	ALABAMA	89 931 49 926 40 005 14 391 25 614	12 498 3 151 9 347 3 536 5 811	10 668 2 847 7 325 2 868 4 957	11 328 3 073 8 255 3 068 5 187	12.6 6.2 20.6 21.3 20.3	7 541 1 355 6 186 1 171 5 015	6 958 1 321 5 637 1 025 4 612	7 132 1 328 5 804 1 056 4 748	63.0 43.2 70.3 34.4 91.5	2 178 317 1 861 1 478 383	108 1 247 1 127	296 1 394 1 232	14.9 9.6 16.9 40.2 3.1
11 12 13 14 15	ALASKA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL BOROUGHS. MUNICIPALITIES.,,	24 178 13 068 11 110 6 596 4 514	2 179 1 503 676 23 653	2 068 1 441 627 20 607	2 108 1 460 648 21 627	8.7 11.2 5.8 0.3 13.9	1 090 529 561 2 559	1 012 484 528 2 526	1 032 495 537 2 535	49.0 33.9 82.9 9.5 85.3	. 372	370 19	371 23	18.7 25.4 3.5
16 17 18 19 20	ARIZONA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES,	56 611 29 083 27 728 12 180 15 548	10 556 2 794 7 762 3 811 3 951	10 115 2 630 7 485 3 617 3 868	10 315 2 697 7 618 3 718 3 900	18.2 9.3 27.5 30.5 25.1	6 193 1 436 4 757 1 185 3 572	6 058 1 367 4 691 1 153 3 538	6 080 1 374 4 705 1 162 3 544	58.9 50.9 61.8 31.3 90.9	1 459 147 1 312 1 108 204	1 184	132 1 267 1 079	13.5 4.9 16.6 29.0 4.8
21 22 23 24 25	ARKANSAS, STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.,	48 508 29 063 19 445 10 577 8 868	5 677 1 546 4 131 1 540 2 591	4 632 1 517 3 115 1 059 2 056	4 935 1 520 3 415 1 227 2 188	10.2 5.2 17.6 11.6 24.7	3 521 730 2 791 618 2 173	3 147 707 2 440 538 1 902	3 232 709 2 523 561 1 962	65.5 46.6 73.9 45.7 89.7	866 85 801 552 249	320 255	85 483 364	11.5 5.6 14.1 29.7 5.4
26 27 28 29	CALIFORNIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	551 257 212 840 338 417 198 551 139 866	118 007 27 514 90 493 51 343 39 150	110 812 26 252 84 560 47 669 36 891	113 180 26 976 86 204 48 809 37 395	20.5 12.7 25.5 24.6 26.7	62 273 12 264 50 009 13 793 36 216	59 451 12 138 47 313 13 095 34 218	13 250	53.0 45.2 55.5 27.1 92.4	12 894 835 12 059 11 420 639	356 10 794 10 228	835 11 335 10 741	10.8 3.1 13.1 22.0
31 32 33 34 35	COLORADO. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	72 663 39 120 33 543 12 434 21 109	11 977 4 055 7 922 1 896 6 026	11 051 3 873 7 178 1 544 5 634	11 287 3 912 7 375 1 652 5 723	15.5 10.0 22.0 13.3 27.1	7 056 1 116 5 940 987 4 953	6 762 1 069 5 693 890 4 803	6 821 1 086 5 735 915 4 820	60.4 27.8 77.8 55.4 84.2	1 807 1 169 638 108 530	92	1 067 505 94	13.9 27. 6.8 5. 7.2
36 37 38 39	CONNECTICUT	122 830 39 394 83 436 83 436	12 525 5 597 6 928 6 928	11 500 5 424 6 076 6 076	11 736 5 491 6 245 6 245	9.6 13.9 7.5 7.5	8 114 1 371 6 743 6 743	7 301 1 338 5 963 5 963	7 424 1 346 6 078 6 078	63.3 24.5 97.3 97.3	1 052 1 042 10 10	1 026		8.1 18.1 0.1
40 41 42 43 44	OELAWARE, STATE, LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	22 215 16 507 5 708 1 775 3 933	2 845 1 938 907 288 619	2 716 1 900 816 284 532	2 741 1 906 835 287 548	12.3 11.5 14.6 16.2 13.9	1 395 679 716 182 534	1 295 641 654 182 472	1 312 647 665 182 483	47.9 33.9 79.6 63.4 88.1	604 454 150 94 56	129	454 133 93	21.1 23.6 15.9 32.4
5 16 17	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, . STATE	49 480 49 480 49 480	10 681 10 681 10 681	10 681 10 581 10 681	10 681 10 681 10 681	21.6 21.6 21.6	6 132 6 132 6 132	6 132 6 132 6 132	6 132 6 132 6 132	57.4 57.4 57.4	1 004 1 004 1 004	1 004	1 004	9.
19	FLORIDA , STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES . NUNICIPALITIES .	199 206 91 234 107 972 50 279 57 693	13 431	40 492 14 349 26 143 12 580 13 563	41 007 14 357 26 650 12 713 13 937	25.3	6 808	21 539 2 577 18 962 6 665 12 297	19 215	53.1 18.0 72.1 52.7 89.8	4 529 3 877	1 301 4 228 3 709	1 301 4 339 3 762	13.8 9.1 16.3 29.6 4.1
54 55 56 57 56	LOCAL, TOTAL.	120 592 70 928 49 664 20 517 29 147	14 996	19 025 5 783 13 242 6 339 6 903	19 488 5 792 13 696 6 601 7 095	32,2	11 641 1 755 9 886 2 830 7 056	10 806 1 743 9 063 2 682 6 381	1 746 9 247	56.4 30.1 67.5 41.3 91.9	2 816 410 2 406	1 904 1 684	410 2 051 1 801	12.6 7.1 15.0 27.3
59 60 61 62	STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, GOUNTYES, HUNICIPALITIES,	28 926 9 191 2 347 6 844	2 452 615	3 463 1 030 2 433 599 1 834	100	3.6 26.5 25.6	2 244 10 2 234 553 1 681	2 232 10 2 222 541 1 681	2 223 542	91.3	-	:		14.5
64 65 66 67 68	IDAHO STATE LOGAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	4./47	807 2 239 1 115			22,5	1 604		1 423 518	51.5	175 281 266	396 162 234 229	166 248 241	24.0

## equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1974

regal	entarde s	nd prosecut	<del></del>		Indigen	t defense			Cor	rections	Witness Control of the last of	C	ther cri	minal just	ico	Ţ
Numb	er of emplo	oyeas T	Percent of total	Numb	or of emp	loyees	Percent of	Numb	er of emp	loyees	Percent			mployegs	Percent	
Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	crimi- pal justice system employ- ees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	total crimi- nal justice system employ- ces	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	total crimi- nal justice system employ- ses	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	total crimi- nal justice system employ-	le m
51 49 12 38 39 11 23 03 16 07	1 11 408 0 29 217 9 19 555	11 776 33 598 21 112	4.9 4.7 5.1 8.8 2.9	6 533 2 710 3 823 3 613 210	5 501 2 575 2 926 2 799 127	5 965 2 625 3 340 3 161 179	0.7 1.0 0.5 1.3 (2)	122 560	200 013 120 519 79 494 60 192 19 302	121 160 82 070 62 482	22,2 48.0 12.3 26.1 4.6	5 215 3 300 1 915 706 1 209	5 008 3 246 1 762 583 1 179	5 077 3 266 1 811 621 1 190	0.6 1.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	3
62 19 43 20 23	134 172 123 123 49	424 166 258 157 101	3.7 5.4 3.1 5.1 1.9	10 3 7 4 3	7 3 4 3	7 3 4 3	0,1 0,1 (2) 0,1 (2)	2 102 1 246 856 681 175	2 003 1 240 763 590 173	2 036 1 243 793 620	18.0 40.4 9.6 20.2 3.3	39 37 2	39 37 2	39 37 2	0.3 1,2 (2)	6789
189 136 55 21	133 49 18 31	187 133 54 19 35	8.9 9.1 8.3 90.5 5.6	39 39	39 39 - -	39 39	1.9	451 418 33 -	435 405 30 30	445 412 33	21.1 28.2 5.1	12 11 1	11 10 1	11 10 1	0.5 0.7 0.2	13 14
611 94 517 361 156	87 477 340 137	586 88 498 347 151	5.7 3.3 6.5 9.3 3.9	119 118 118	117 117 117	118 118 117 1	1.1 1.5 3.1 (2)	2 141 1 084 1 057 1 039 18	2 079 1 063 1 016 1 000	2 099 1 070 1 029 1 013	20.3 39.7 13.5 27.2 0.4	33 33	33	33	0,3	16 17 18 19 20
293 58 235 119 116	52 104 67 37	190 53. 137 82 55	3.9 3.5 4.0 6.7 2.5	33 1 32 32	19 18 18	24 1 23 23	0.5 0.1 0.7 1.9	915 643 272 219 53	876 643 233 181 52	892 643 249 197 52	18.1 42.3 7.3 16.1 2.4	29 29 -	29 29 -	29 29 -	0.6	22224
7 400 942 6 458 5 165 1 293	7 061 942 6 119 4 973 1 146	7 224 942 6 282 5 021 1 261	6,4 3,5 7,3 10,3	1 463 1 463 1 408 55	1 432 1 432 1 379 53	1 438 1 438 1 385 53	1.7	33 589 13 257 20 332 19 435 897	31 384 12 613 18 771 17 912 859	31 996 12 807 19 189 18 321 868	28,3 47.5 22.3 37.5 2.3	388 216 172 122 50	334 203 131 82 49	349 209 140 91 49	0.3	26 27 28 29
815 40 775 488 287	555 40 515 290 225	643 40 603 360 243	5.7 1.0 8.2 21.8 4.2	154	151 151 -	152 152	1.3	2 100 1 544 556 310 246	2 036 1 533 503 269 234	535 230 238	18.2 39.2 7.0 16.9 4.2	45 32 13 3	44 32 12	46 32 14 3	0.4 0.8 0.2 0.2	31 32 33
462 302 160 160	348 253 95 95	431 282 149 149	3.7 5.1 2.4 2.4	100 98 2 2	83 81 2 2	93 91 2 2	0.8 1.7 (Z) (Z)	2 746 2 742 4	2 688 2 686 2	2 703 2 701 2 2	23.0 49.2 (Z)	51 42 9	9 49 40 9	11 49 40 9	0.4 3 0.7 3 0.1 3 0.1 3	36 37 38
116 75 41 12 29	108 75 33 11 22	75 37 12 25	4.1 3.9 4.4 4.2 4.6	29	29 29	29 29 -	1.1	683 683	683 683	683	24.9 35.8	18 18	18 18	18	0.7 4	0 1 2 3
179 179 179	179 179 179	179 179 179	1.7		-	-		3 333 3 333 3 333	3 333 3 333 3 333	3 333 3 333	31.2 31.2 31.2	33 33 33	33	33 33 33	0.3 4	7
1 987 1 480 507 161 346	1 815 1 480 335 137 198	1 911 1 480 431 155 276	4.7 10.3 1.6 1.2 2.0	689 649 40 21	673 649 24 20 4	677 649 28 21 7	1.7 4.5 0.1 0.2 0.1	11 345 8 308 3 037 2 475 562	10 772 8 269 2 503 1 973 530	10 816 8 274 2 542 1 999 543	26.4 57.6 9.5 15.7	177 73 104 89	164 73 91 76	168 73 95 80	0.4 40 0.5 50 0.4 50 0.6 50	g
815 184 - 631 453 178	555 167 388 314 74	622 173 449 354 95	3.2 3.0 3.3 5.4 1.3	93 90 86 4	43 40 36 4	63 3 60 56 4	0.3 0.1 0.4 0.8	5 409 3 427 1 982 1 754 228	5 273 3 427 1 846 1 622 224	5 315 3 427 1 888 1 662 226	27.3 59.2 13.8 25.2 3.2	34 33 1	34 33 1	15 34 33 1	0.2 54 0.6 55 (2) 56 (2) 57	4 5 6 7
223 93 130 38 92	220 93 127 38 89	220 93 127 38 89	6.3 9.0 5.2 6.3 4.9	40 40 -	40	40 40	1.2	456 372 84 20 64	452 372 80 16 64	453 372 81 17	13.1 36.1 3.3 2.8	16 12 4 4	16 12 4 4	16 12 4	0.5 59 1.2 60 0.2 61 0.7 62	9
224 33 191 135 56	168 32 136 114 22	191 32 159 121 38	6.7 3.6 8.1 12.0	38 38 38	17 17 17	23 23 23	0.8	495 370 125 122 3	459 362 97 94 3	469 364 105 102	3.5 16.5 41.4 5.4 10.1	31	31 31	7) 7) 3) 11	- 63 1.1 64 3.5 65 - 66 - 67 - 68	

## Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

****		And an and a supply of the sup	Serak	cereinet 5	untice syst	et.	OLEY POLICE BUTTON	Police p	otection	********	A STATE OF THE PARTY NAMED IN	16til	cial	*****
CLASTING	from act seen of	Cords C/119-tikb	Nanta	e es esplo	}#6a	Percent of total	Numb	er of map	loyeea	Percent of total	Numbe	ir of the	loyees	refrent or total
Cass cas		neplosees*	teta;	Pall- time valy	ioli-time equiva- lent	full- time equiva- lent emptoy- ves	(Bib)	Full- lina unly	Pull-Elme Begulya- lent	eribi- bal Juatice System Suploy- bes	fotal	fulla find only	Tuit-lim Aquiva- lent	colul- nal Justice system employ- cos
2	SCHOOL STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	110 100	51 886 10 863 11 283 12 733 28 550	47 100 10 428 36 672 11 379 25 293	11 541	21,1 9,2 32,3 31,6 32,5	34 444 30 65 30 636 2017 2017	3 493 27 580 2 828	32 802 3 313 29 689 2 899 28 390	6811 3313 7419 2218 9811	6 663 1 336 5 345 5 331 14	8 030 1 323 4 705 4 896	1 328	1218 1217 1217 1218 4118 4118
* * * * * * *	CCAL TOTAL	117 ALE 54 304 53 312 50 214 33 694	18 367 4 629 13 738 4 246 9 492	15 974 4 391 11 363 3 368 8 199		14,4 6,4 19,5 12,5 25,6	10 004 1 975 2 529 1 374 7 555	1 915 6 103 1 189	10 182 1 928 8 254 1 228 7 028	80,2 42,1 67,0 32,3 82,2	2 471 205 2 166 1 278 888	1 803 127 1 676 1 044 1 632	305 318 £	13.1 8.7 19.8 21.2 8.8
***	CONTRES.	88 781 34 891 33 100 17 973 18 127	9 866 2 640 7 226 2 990 4 236	9 748 2 411 3 337 2 243 3 094	2 461 5 767	12.0 5.9 17.4 13.3 22.1	8 900 864 3 036 955 4 081	4 622 849 3 773 783 3 020	4 882 830 4 012 779 3 233	5941 345 866 324 9618	1 385 370 1 018 1 004 11	946 18) 765 758	1 047	12.7 9.1 14.3 33.5 0.3
3000 3000 3000 3000	COUNTRES.	63 467 34 373 28 373 28 296	\$0 337 5 322 7 005 2 761 4 224	8 884 3 218 8 468 2 198 3 272	9 032 3 270 5 802 2 389 3 443	18.0	3 255 319 4 476 503 3 371	738 3 718 897	106 P 157 256 E 356 546 E	51,0 23,3 66,4 32,6 89,9	1 583 202 1 381 1 033 1 034	1 131 179 982 899 103	1 037	13,8 3,6 17,9 37,9 4,2
98 88 88 88 88	COUNTRES.	70 240 31 454 27 788 31 888 30 200	11 274 3 364 7 903 3 579 4 326	\$ 337 3 245 6 692 2 892 3 500	8 542	1214 613 2313 2418 2218	5 545 1 563 4 565 1 363 1 365 5 676	4 334	4 438	50.7 45.3 57.6 38.4 90.9	1 825 141 1 884 1 345 335	1 121 141 980 883 97	1 122 1 122 999	12.9 4.3 17.2 34.7 3.4
から なな なな なな なな	ACCAL, TOTAL,	50 261 51 467 37 467 12 505 24 862	\$2 911 8 919 13 992 8 220 7 772	15 383 4 405 10 978 4 368 4 610	16 516	32.8 32.8 40.0 40.0 23.8	3 405	7 598	3 011	61.4 45.3 68.3 59.7 74.4	3 194 385 2 639 1 988 1 084	2 202 305 1 703 975 927	2 249 1 231	14.7 5.1 18.4 24.4 14.2
350 350 350 350	LOCAL TOTAL	26 687 19 068 14 421 762 18 859	4 242 1 515 2 527 725 1 952	3 188 1 371 1 309 401 1 208	1 755 482	9,1 9,3 8,9 63,3 6,8	2 757 389 2 168 2 255 1 673	1 335 138	1 411	2918 3619 8019 3218 9819	423 206 217 215 2	129 130 130 130	100	11:0 12:8 9:5 34:0 0:2
THE RES	ECCELS SCHALL	101 874 61 117 127 757 80 357 41 408	20 954 8 723 12 231 5 694 8 337	20 428 8 605 11 823 5 476 6 353	8 638	10.7	11 953 2 414 9 539 4 163 5 376	2 406 9 344 4 110	2 409	87.1 27.5 78.4 74.2 82.2	245 1 111 1 108 5 506	t show	1 1170	12.3 12.3 12.3 10.3
在在我的教育	iting their and	241 425 60 725 173 700 8 293 120 407	27 405 6 845 20 650 3 987 16 663	24 093 8 769 18 224 3 380 14 864	18 893	10.0 9.7 10.9 38.7 9.1	17 424 2 479 14 945 49 14 898	\$ 412 13 078 33	13 207 59 (1 5 472	32.0 35.8 71.5 1.0 88.6	3 469 331 2 888 2 055 853		3 253 579 2 679 1 846 633	12.7 8.4 14.2 50.0 5.5
おり	TOTAL TOTAL	\$45 491 106 595 109 697 41 561 68 632	10 005 11 021 10 192 11 021 12 021		10 398	25.7	2 618	5 249 19 010 3 541	2 616	25.2	5 890 5 265 5 265 4 056 1 309	325 4 819 3 662	5 083 3 824	15,8 6,9 18,1 36,8 7,1
11.9 11.1 11.1	COUNTRY OF THE COUNTR	76 818 48 103 48 719 24 499 24 222	14 172 2 929 11 243 4 838 6 403	4 101 6 997 8 996	2 845 518 4 519	19.5	1 127	0 013	1 102 5 184 1 383	38.7	1 583 1 589	1 278	1 547 127 1 420 1 348 72	12.5 4.8 14.9 31.0 1.4
大き	ANTAL TOTAL	15 359	2 203 2 381 4 842 1 437 3 005	3 814	3 560	13.4	3 563	1 137 2 984 3 23	3 112	67.2 48.8 77.6 47.6 47.6	797	458 108 352 315 37	627 107 520 469 51	9,9 4,6 13,0 34,2 1,9
等。 等是 表现	LOCAL TOTAL	35 924	4 982	14 713 14 399 980 #	4 725 14 948 4 401	30.3	1 818	1 731 10 129 1 554	1 744 10 438 1 633	61.8 36.6 89.8 37.1 83.5	2 599	1 881 1 881	1 357	14.6 17.7 13.6 36.8 6.4
\$1 \$1 \$1	LOTALE TETALS	44 447	2 290	347	1 821	7.1	1 357	345 1 132 455	1 176	46.3	420	264 243	47 315 287	12.8 4.7 17.3 27.2 3.7

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

Legal so	ervices a	id prosecut	on	نائد میدوند. میاند میدوند.	Indigent	veneteb			1,811	ctions		ji)	her eti	inal Justs	ni tinkanaa Piji
Minber	of empty	yees	Persona di total	Musik.	体叫 棚	lojees	Peireut of total	Himbe	r of empl	uyees	Pairent es total	Mulabi	er of en	playens	raregio of total
utal	Full- 1350 Only	full-time equiva- lent	enploy- bystem justics bystem crimi- crimi-	intal	Full- time culp	1046 1411-6128 1411-6128	crimi- hai jualice system ampley- eas	1968]	FUII- FIMB ONIP	Full-libe Bullin- leut	rini- nai justice system ropicy- nus	Ludut	Full- time raly	kull-finn kuulva- lent	rea lustice lustice nal nal
2 836 685 1 851 1 256 595	2 105 636 1 467 1 153 1 153	2 339 857 1 852 1 205 1 477	4.7 6.3 4.3 10.1	505 EV 414 414	413 93 320 320	474 93 381 381	1:0 0:1 0:1 3:5	7 592 7 767 8 825 2 807 18	7 356 4 767 2 589 2 575 14	7 437 4 767 2 670 2 634 16	15:1 45:5 28:2 28:2	154 155 154 154 154	123 112 114 114 114	123	1,1 1,1 1,1 1,1 1,1 1,1 1,1
1 340 303 1 037 397 346	303 476 262 214	989 303 888 398 290	5.6 5.6 3.5 10.5 3.4	181 142 148 108	59 19 40 40	138 116 116 22 23	0.4 6.0 6.4 6.4 6.0	3 386 1 965 1 417 967 960	3 222 1 253 1 253 838 415	1 264 1 315 1 315 1 315 1 35 1 35	19:4 43:0 10:7 23:3 8:1	52 41 98 703	73 55 55 75 75 75 75	95 58 37 14 23	0.6 1.3 0.3 0.4
101 101 132 127	456 46 005 085 08	278 81	5.4 5.2 11.5 2.4	27 27 27 27	11 11 11 2	20 20 20	012 013 018	1 245 1 277 669 661 8	1 739 1 259 170 162 868	1 808 1 271 535 527 8	21,9 51,6 9,5 21,7	11 50 50	#6 28 18 9	19 19 10	0,3 0,3 0,3
716 146 570 242 228	196 146 250 275 275	577 148 131 128	6.5 T. 10.5 T.	10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18 15 3	18 15 3	0:1 0:1 0:1	2 670 2 126 844 481 63	2 538 2 114 424 368 86	2 570 2 114 436 400 56	2815 6514 719 1710	74 57 57 47	14 57 51 44	47 24 23 4 19	0.9 0.7 0.4 0.2 0.6
012 120 192 275 217	304 63 239 174 65	443 71 272 230 142	4.6 2.2 5.7 8.0 7.7	74 37 37	46 12 12	26 26 26	0;9 0;9	2 158 1 448 710 619 91	1 475 1 448 527 473 54	\$20 \$ 844 1 884 716 76	20:7 14:3 8:9 18:0	55	58 58 2	88 28 4	U.6
1 698 376 720 422 298	740 357 383 185 228	919 361 858 296 202	8:3 6:8 4:6 5:9	72 72 39 33	36 35 29	46 18 31	013 014 013 014	1 124 1 2 2 2 1 2 4 2 5 1 2 6 5 1 2 6 1 2 6 5 1 2 6 5 1 2 6 5 1 2 6 1	1 091 2 139 952 953 447 505	1 707 2 707 2 707 2 716 3 716	18:0 40:5 8:2 9:7 7:2	68 61 7	68	58 61 7	0,1 0,1 0,1
151 103 88 21 27	129 103 25 16 10	141 28 20 20 18	4.00 5.10 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5	6 2 6 8	# # #	an is anims to	0.1 0.1 0.4	867 679 188 188	781 663 118 118	006 068 138 138	20.6. 12.27 24.12	38 38 2	35 35 ""	36 36 2	1.1
818 105 114 384 330	728 82 043 385 288	749 76 662 765 293	3,7 1,0 5,6 6,6 4,6	268 268 4	242	249 249 *	1.2	186 0 766 P 65P 65P 65P 95P	5 512 4 682 530 591 439	5 522 4 663 639 400 439	20.9 54.2 7.1 7.2	151 151 3	143 143	151 151 183	0:7 1:7 (2) (2)
352 352 377 177 412	784 352 932 156 276	899 352 747 763 163	3.50	158 140 10 10 10	185 148 16 16	158 148 10 10	2:5 1:0 2:5	5 334 3 188 2 148 2 606 1 606	5 249 5 187 2 062 1 019 445	5 273 3 187 2 006 1 640 446	40.9 11.0 44.4 2.9	169 97 72 72	164 97 67 87	165 97 68 68	0.6 1.4 0.4
1 051 200 1 391 945 946	1 432 005 271 1 275 279	1 589 260 1 329 918 411	24.5.4.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	139 83 86 88 1	70 20 20 82 755	124 03 46 48 1	0,4 1,1 0,2 0,4 (Z)	6 933 3 369 3 544 3 173 371	6 447 3 267 3 160 2 048 312	100 c 100 c 100 c 100 c	10.6 43.8 11.8 20.6	70 20 24 24 15	10 21 12 12 14	70 50 50 70 70 70	0.7 0.7 0.1 0.2
104 174 925 925 353	125 127 129 129	670 98 574 383 191	5,4 5,0 6,6 7,2	152 11 141 141	95 95 10 95	104 94 94	0.8 0.4 1.0 2.2	2 754 1 469 1 265 1 190 75	2 576 1 426 1 100 1 075 70	2 635 1 442 1 193 1 118 75	21.3 50.7 12.5 25.7	128 70 50 26 32	114 00 01 14 25	77 60 122 122	1.0 2.0 0.0 0.5
101 101 102 103 101 113 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	186 101 85 82 32	580	4.0 4.4 5.7 6.9	26 26 17	9 9 9	20 20 12 8	0.5 0.5 0.5	1 163 940 223 163 60	1 106 722 184 130 130	1 122 924 198 142 56	17:0 39:5 0:0 10:4 2:1	54 54	54 54 **	54 54 **	0.9 2,5
1 094 76 1 018 511 507	749 73 676 426 250	851 74 777 466 311	4,3 1,6 5,2 10,6 2,9	104 101 2 2	100	1 1 100 105	(2) (2) 5'7 0'2	3 952 2 044 1 960 1 155 753	3 549 1 938 1 611 060 751	3 869 1 972 1 697 944 753	10,6 41,4 11,4 21,4 7,1	30 22 2	30 28 2 2 2	5 54 50 20	0.2 (2)
209 226 161 05	163 23 120 120 12		8.2 9.3 13.6 3.3	26 26 25 1	17 17 17	22 22 21	0.8 2.0 0.8	673 508 165 161	575 177 98 94 4		21.5 48.7 6.5 10.9	#1	35 35 *	37 37	3,7

### Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

SACON S	A STATE OF THE STA		701#1	criminal j	stice syst	c et		Police p	rotection			Judi	cial	
And the passion of th	Ginta and type of	Total foll-time	Number	r of employ	1808	Porcent of	Numbe	or of emp	loyees	Percent	Kusba	r of emp	loyces	Perce;
	A THE REPORT OF	equivalent employees	Tista 1	Yull- time only	Pull-time equiva- lens	total full- timo squiva- lent employ- ems	Total	Full- time only	Pull-time dduiwn- lent	total crimi- nai justice system caploy- cos	Tot#1	Full- time only	Full-timo equiva- lent	crimi
	negraeka, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	46 722 26 313 20 409 11 096 9 313	5 912 1 910 4 002 1 430 2 572	4 978 1 846 3 132 1 100 2 032	5 299 1 862 3 382 1 197 2 165	11,2 7,1 16,6 10,8 23,5	3 283 574 2 709 512 2 147	2 778 558 2 220 431 1 789	2 940 560 2 380 455 1 925	56.1 30.1 70.4 38.0 88.1	877 477 400 296 104	762 451 331 235		15. 24. 10. 20.
•	MEYADA.,	10 754 8 786 10 968 7 946 3 522	3 915 845 3 070 2 178 892	3 752 819 2 933 2 092 841	3 809 824 2 985 2 126 859	19.3 9.4 27.2 28.6 29.4	2 150 218 1 972 1 271 701	2 097 207 1 890 1 218 672	2 105 209 1 896 1 222 674	55.3 25.4 63.5 57.5 78.5	397 62 335 253 82	332 54 278 207	361 56 305 225 80	9. 6. 10. 10. 9.
	MEN MAMPSHIRE	24 535 11 862 12 653 2 329 10 324	3 563 754 2 509 366 2 441	2 310 747 1 563 311 1 252	2 526 752 1 776 338 1 438	10.3 6.3 14.0 14.5 17.9	2 642 313 2 329 123 2 206	1 574 306 1 268 106 1 162	1 724 311 1 413 111 1 302	68.2 41.4 79.6 32.8 90.5	329 73 256 89 167	215 73 142 76 66	8.3	10 10 24
	STATE LOCAL, TOTAL: COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES,,,	211 035 69 513 141 222 44 308 96 914	#2 233 8 767 33 466 10 694 22 772	36 520 8 415 30 105 10 263 19 842	39 649 8 557 31 692 10 391 20 701	18.8 12.3 22.0 23.5 21.4	25 097 3 353 21 744 1 283 20 461	22 976 3 132 19 844 1 233 18 611	23 353 3 218 20 135 1 251 18 884	58.9 37.6 64.8 12.0 91.2	5 643 802 4 841 3 245 1 596	4 848 799 4 049 3 082 967	799 4 399 3 121	13 9 14 30
	NEW MEXICO	36 225 23 873 12 352 4 637 7 715	4 715 2 092 2 623 634 1 989	4 533 2 027 2 506 597 1 909	4 575 2 035 2 540 606 1 934	12.6 8,5 20.6 13.1 25.1	2 951 783 2 168 481 1 687	2 854 722 2 132 463 1 669	2 871 729 2 142 468 1 674	62.8 35.8 84.3 77.2 86.6	577 417 160 39 121	523 415 108 28 80	537 415 122 29 93	11 20 4 4
	STATE TOTAL , PARISHES , PUNICIPALITIES ,	734 200 188 416 545 788 77 320 488 464	128 489 22 563 105 926 29 303 76 623	113 390 22 383 91 007 19 996 71 011	115 438 22 432 93 006 20 447 72 559	15.7 11.9 17.0 21.0 16.2	74 537 6 692 67 845 10 235 57 610	71 312 6 656 64 656 9 886 54 770	9 981	62.4 29.7 70.3 48.8 76.3	16 265 1 823 14 442 5 640 8 802	1 814	1 815 10 863 3 496	11 6 11 17 10
	HERTH CAROLINA. STATE STATE LOGAL, TÖYÄL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	220 049 77 047 143 002 115 660 27 342	20 393 10 256 10 137 3 406 6 731	19 350 10 195 9 155 3 076 6 079	19 595 10 20J 9 392 3 168 6 224	8.9 13.2 6.6 2.7 22.8	11 302 2 497 8 805 2 159 6 646	10 490 2 436 8 054 2 018 6 036	10 657 2 444 8 213 2 049 6 164	54,4 24.0 87.4 64.7 99.0	2 516 2 122 394 394	2 478 2 122 356 356	2 486 2 122 364 364	12. 20. 3. 11.
	GRTH OAKOTA, STATE LDCAL, TOTAL COUNTED MUNICIP/LITIES	16 513 10 338 6 175 3 213 2 962	2 529 523 2 006 923 1 063	1 632 504 1 128 515 613	1 819 508 1 311 629 682	11.0 4.9 21.2 19.6 23.0	1 367 163 1 204 328 876	962 156 806 210 596	1 032 157 875 232 643	56.7 30.9 66.7 36.9 94.3	571 55 516 386 130	254 54 200 189 11	320 54 266 246 20	17 10 20 39
	ONID. STATE LOGAL, YÖYÄL. COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITES.	230 384 99 399 135 985 137 137 848 87	48 952 10 729 38 223 11 952 26 271	39 025 9 962 29 063 10 140 18 923	41 847 10 404 31 443 10 974 20 469	18.2 11.0 23.1 19.2 26.0	28 430 3 046 25 384 2 971 22 413	21 680 2 948 18 732 2 512 16 120	22 854 2 971 19 883 2 715 17 168	54.6 28,6 63.2 24.7 83.9	7 983 435 7 548 5 270 2 278	6 244 121 6 123 4 389 1 734	7 256 400 6 856 4 920 1 936	17, 3, 21, 44,
	CKLAMONA, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTES, WUNICIPALITIES,	77 359 48 950 32 469 12 269 20 140	10 370 3 913 6 457 1 654 4 803	9 724 670 670 454 438 1 438	9 669 1 883 5 766 1 536 4 250	12.5 8.6 17.9 12.5 21.1	6 123 1 470 4 653 625 4 028	5 682 1 427 4 255 581 3 674	5 849 1 440 4 409 596 2 813	60.5 37.1 76.2 38.8 69.7	1 427 405 1 022 650 372	1 068 405 663 544 119	1 161 405 756 605 151	12, 10, 13, 39,
	Dregon, Brate Local, Yutal, Counties, Hunicipalities,	60 897 36 755 24 142 13 080 11 062	10 100 2 232 6 768 5 560 2 568	9 032 3 149 5 883 3 059 2 824	9 304 3 194 6 110 3 172 2 938	13,3 8,7 25,3 24,3 26,6	5 525 1 303 4 222 1 272 2 950	5 108 1 258 3 850 1 142 2 708	5 153 1 262 3 891 1 164 2 727	55.4 39.5 63.7 36.7 92.8	1 325 249 1 076 892 184	1 021 183 818 766 52	1 119 196 923 818 105	12. 6. 15. 25.
	Prinstlyania.  State  Cocal, total.  Counties.  Municipalities.	241 702 126 426 115 276 36 592 76 689	51 489 11 250 40 119 10 031 20 208	46 J91 11 022 J5 J69 8 6JJ 26 7J6	47 728 11 066 36 662 9 120 27 542	19.7 8.8 31.8 24.9 35.0	Jo 834 5 820 25 014 932 24 082	27 347 5 508 21 839 809 21 030	28 041 5 538 22 503 841 21 662	58.0 50.0 61.4 9.2 78.7	8 774 1 072 7 702 4 492 3 210	3 819	4 063	17, 9, 19, 44,
	AVAYE TÖTÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÄL TÖNÄL TÖ	30 973 17 535 22 438 22 438	3 789 1 605 2 184 2 184	3 567 1 582 1 985 1 983	3 624 1 585 2 039 2 039	9.1 9.0 9.1 9.1	2 000 2 000 2 000 2 000	2 204 288 1 416 1 916	2 231 289 1 942 1 942	61.6 18.2 95.2 95.2	496 449 47 47	475 446 29 29	481 447 54 39	13. 28. 1.
-	Bouth Carolina Staye Local, Total Local, Total Local, Total Hurzcipal Fire	72 298 40 407 24 991 40 773 10 173	9 964 2 577 6 387 6 301 7 3 301	2 735 2 735 2 735 2 735 2 735	9 227 3 566 5 661 2 932 2 729	12.6 7.4 22.7 19.8 26.8	5 460 1 276 4 184 1 377 2 807	5 066 1 267 3 799 1 281 2 518	5 154 1 268 3 886 1 310 2 576	55.9 35.6 68.6 44.7 94.4	1 469 88 1 331 1 208 173	1 032 68 944 860 69	1 071	12. 2. 18. 33.

hen footbases at cos of lable

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

Legalise	ervices n	nd prosecu	rion		Indigen	t dofensø		1.00	Corre	otions		01	her crim	inal justi	Çe	Γ
Manber	of emplo	/00#	Percent of total	Numbe	er of emp	Joyce#	Percent of total	Numbe	er of ampl	эуеов	Porcent of total	Numi	ao ko roc	ployees	Percent of total	
mtol	full- time only	Full-timo oquiya- lent	crimi- nal justica system employ- cos	Total	Full- time only	Pull-time equiva- lent	crimi- nul justice system employ- cos	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lont	nnl justice system employ- ees	Total	full- tize only	Full-timo oquiva- lont	crimi- phl justice system omploy- ons	
493 33 460 256 204	313 33 280 199 81	359 33 326 229 97	6.8 1.8 9.6 19.1 4.4	91 91 91	40 40	49 49 49	0.9 1.4 4.1	1 093 794 299 272 27	996 775 221 195 26	1 022 780 242 216 26	19.5 41.9 7.2 18.0	75 32 43 3 40	69 29 40 40	70 30 40	1.3 1.6 1.2	
305 54 251 184 67	290 52 238 179 59	296 52 244 180 64	7,8 6,3 8,2 8,5 7,5	64 58 58	60 5 55 55	62 5 57 57	1.6 0.6 1.9 2.7	970 478 492 450 42	947 477 470 431 39	958 477 481 440 41	25.2 57.9 16.1 20.7	29 27 2 2	26 24 2 2	22222	0.7 3.0 0.1 0.1	
105 40 65 19 46	56 40 16 10 6	72 40 32 18 14	2.8 5.3 1.8 5.3 1.0	111		-		470 311 159 137 22	448 311 137 119 18	457 311 146 123 20	18.1 41.4 8.2 37.3 1.4	17 17 -	17 17	17 17	0.7	
2 750 397 2 353 1 694 659	2 276 393 1 883 1 646 237	2 546 393 2 153 1 660 493	6.4 4.6 6.9 16.0	695 686 9	5 608 610	641 637 4 	1.6 7.4 (Z)	7 823 3 417 4 406 4 391 15	7 603 3 371 4 232 4 232	7 700 3 398 4 302 4 287 15	19,4 39,7 13,8 41,3 0,1	225 112 113 81 32	207 112 95 70 25	211 112 99 72 27	0.5 1.3 0.3 0.7 0.1	
259 196 63 7 56	238 196 42 5 37	246 196 50 6 44	5.4 9.6 2.0 1.0 2.3	42 40 2 - 2	42 40 2 - 3	2 2	0.9 2.0 0.1	842 618 224 101 123	832 616 216 95 121	835 617 218 97 121	18,3 30,3 8,6 16,0 6,3	44 38 6	44 38 6 6	44 38 6 6	1.0 1.9 0.2 1.0	-
5 944 1 190 4 754 1 613 3 141	5 198 1 190 4 008 1 466 2 542	5 492 1 190 4 302 1 554 2 748	4.8 5.3 4.6 7.6 3.8	195 195 194 1	133 133	175	0.2 0.2 0.9 (Z)	30 024 12 054 17 970 11 591 6 379	23 331 11 920 11 411 5 033 6 378	23 550 11 959 11 591 5 213 6 378	20.4 53.3 12.5 25.5 8.8	1 524 804 720 30 690	1 515 803 712 29 683	1 519 803 716 29 687	1.3 3.6 0.8 0.1 0.9	
438 287 151 73 78	343 287 56 20 36	383 287 96 43 53	2.0 2.8 1.0 1.4 0.9	103 103	103 103	103 103	0.5	5 971 5 196 775 768 7	5 677 5 196 681 674 7	5 905 5 196 709 702 7	30.1 50.9 7.5 22.2 0.1	63 51 12 12	59 51 8 8	61 51 10 10	0.3 0.5 0.1 0.3	
272 35 197 125 72	120 35 85 82 3	152 35 117 101 16	8.9 8.9 16.1 2.3	<b>ង</b> ១ ១ ២ ។	3	# #	0.2 0.3 0.6	338 254 84 79	278 244 34 31 31	296 247 49 46 3	16.3 48.6 3.7 7.3 0.4	16 16	15 15	15 15	3.0	
2 484 595 1 889 936 953	1 762 527 1 235 763 472	2 145 554 1 591 836 755	5.1 5.3 5.1 7.6 3.7	51 5 46 32 14	23 5 18 12 6	38 5 33 22 11	0.1 (Z) 0.1 0.2 0.1	9 892 6 561 3 331 2 736 595	9 211 6 277 2 934 2 360 574	9 447 6 389 3 058 2 476 582	22.6 61.4 9.7 22.6 2.8	112 87 25 7	105 84 21 4 17	107 85 22 5 17	0.3 0.8 0.1 (2)	
639 403 236 4 232	465 403 62 2 60	524 403 121 3 118	5.4 10.4 2.1 0.2 2.8	50 50 48 2	25 25 23 2	34	0.4 0.6 2.2 (Z)	2 036 1 603 433 327 106	1 989 1 603 386 288 98	2 004 1 603 401 298 103	20.7 41.3 6.9 19.4 2.4	95 32 63 63	95 32 63 63	95 32 63 63	1.0 0.8 1.1 1.5	
780 280 500 394 106	561 264 397 357 40	719 267 452 371 81	7.7 8.4 7.4 11.7 2.8	21 12 9 8 1	15 9 6 6	16 10 6 6	0.2 0.3 0.3 0.2	2 398 1 456 942 933	2 180 1 403 777 768	2 248 1 427 821 812 9	24.2 44.7 13.4 25.6 0.3	51 32 19 1	47 32 15	49 32 17 1	0.5 1.0 0.3 (Z) 0.5	
2 377 277 2 100 1 218 882	1 833 277 1 556 990 566	2 081 277 1 804 1 064 740	4,4 2,5 4,9 11,7 2,7	367 387 387	353 353 353	355 355 355	0.7 1.0 3.9	8 944 4 008 4 936 3 002 1 934	8 634 4 008 4 626 2 692 1 934	8 739 4 008 4 731 2 797 1 934	18,3 36.2 12.9 30.7 7.0	173 173	173 173	173 173	0.4	
137 69 68 68	100 69 31 31	123 69 54 54	7.4 4.4 2.6 2.6	27 27 -	27 27 -	27 27	0.7	745 745	738 738	739 739	20.4	23 14 9	23 14 9	23 14 9	0.6 0.9 0.4 0.4	
775 89 186 126 60	164 89 75 63 12	208 89 119 92 27	2,3 2,5 2,1 3,1	13 13 12 1	9 9	11	0.1 0.2 0.4	2 665 2 048 617 578 39	2 583 2 048 535 502 33	2 616 2 048 568 531 37	28.4 57.4 10.0 16.1	82 76 6	77 71 6	79 73 6	0,2 0,1 5,0 0,9	

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

بيسنو			Total	crisinal j	untice syst	est		Police p	rotection			Jud	cial	
necking.	Bists and type of	Total full-time	Kunk	or of emplo	усер	Porcent of total	Numb	er of emp	loyees	Percent of total	Numbe	r of em	loyess	Percent of total
11 start	government.	equivalent amployeen <sup>1</sup>	Total	Fuli- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	full- time equiva- lent employ- ees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	crimi- nal justico system employ- ees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	crimi- nal justice system employ- ees
1 20 2 5	SOUTH DAKOTA	18 293 11 321 6 972 3 526 3 446	2 351 541 1 810 765 1 045	1 783 501 1 282 539 743	1 918 508 1 410 601 809	10.5 4.5 20.2 17.0 23.5	1 362 187 1 175 262 913	1 109 184 925 212 713	1 151 184 967 216 751	60.0 36.2 68.6 35.9 92.8	336 45 291 221 70	215 43 172 150 22	43 208 177	13.1 8.5 14.8 29.5 3.8
6 7 8 9	TENNESSEE	179 307 55 221 124 056 63 602 60 484	15 894 4 271 11 623 3 882 7 741	14 274 4 178 10 096 3 245 6 851	14 707 4 205 10 502 3 434 7 068	8.2 7.6 8.5 5.4 11.7	9 134 1 274 7 860 1 347 6 513	8 232 1 199 7 033 1 172 5 861	8 438 1 217 7 221 1 215 6 006	57.4 28.9 68.8 35.4 85.0	2 429 313 2 116 1 458 658	2 054 311 1 743 1 232 511	312 1 841 1 291	14.6 7.4 17.5 37.6 7.8
12 12 14 15	STATE LOCAL, TOTAL.	271 581 135 707 135 874 50 273 85 601	45 300 10 535 34 771 14 344 20 427	41 883 10 336 31 547 12 488 19 059	42 966 10 392 32 574 13 116 19 458	15.8 7.7 24.0 26.1 22.7	27 530 5 438 22 092 3 877 18 215	26 394 5 286 21 108 3 583 17 525	26 687 5 329 21 358 3 671 17 687	62.1 51.3 65.6 28.0 90.9	7 144 450 6 694 5 468 1 226	5 708 447 5 261 4 456 805	5 753 4 822	14.4 4.3 17.7 36.8 4.8
167 18 19 26	STATE	35 644 24 991 10 653 5 584 5 069	4 367 1 512 2 855 1 164 1 691	3 702 1 381 2 321 983 1 338	3 907 1 419 2 488 1 071 1 417	11.0 5.7 23.4 19.2 28.0	2 562 560 2 002 607 1 395	2 271 535 1 736 556 1 180	2 341 540 1 801 590 1 211	59.9 38.1 72.4 55.1 85.5	469 127 340 144 196	319 108 211 90 121	113 259 109	9.5 5.0 10.4 10.2 10.6
22222	VERMONT SYATE LOCAL, YOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES.	13 234 9 841 3 393 28 3 365	1 983 1 145 838 34 804	1 590 1 084 506 17 489	1 645 1 095 550 24 526	12.4 11.1 16.2 65.7 15.6	1 209 413 796 8 788	886 396 490 4 486	922 397 525 5 520	56.0 36.3 95.5 20.8 98.9	213 189 24 24	189 177 12 12	180 17	12.0 16.4 3.1 70.8
20 27 20 20 20	VINGINIA, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, PARISHES, HUNICIPALITIES,	231 089 78 324 152 765 79 670 73 095	22 252 10 779 11 473 4 376 7 097	16 270 7 351 8 919 2 761 6 158	18 780 8 859 9 921 3 456 6 465	8.1 11.3 6.5 4.3 8.8	12 920 5 030 7 890 2 708 5 182	8 886 2 034 6 852 1 871 4 981	10 696 3 396 7 300 2 245 5 055	57.0 38.3 73.6 65.0 78.2	2 161 1 033 1 148 613 535	1 780 1 031 749 387 362	1 031 889 473	10.2 11.6 9.0 13.7 6.4
71 72 73 75	MASHINGTON, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. GOUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	91 562 56 636 34 926 15 277 19 649	15 537 4 485 11 052 5 353 5 699	13 492 4 344 9 148 4 571 4 577	13 949 4 378 9 571 4 805 4 766	15.2 7.7 27.4 31.5 24.3	8 199 1 570 6 629 1 879 4 750	7 374 1 491 5 883 721 4 162	7 483 1 502 5 981 1 747 4 234	53.6 34.3 62.5 36.4 88.8	2 160 229 1 931 1 437 494	1 537 223 1 314 1 086 228	224 1 479 1 217	12.2 5.1 15.5 25.3 5.5
36 37 84 84 97	MEST VIRGINIA	374 493 361 705 12 788 5 145 7 643	5 224 1 891 3 333 1 720 1 613	4 654 1 836 2 818 1 453 1 365	4 845 1 850 2 995 1 549 1 446	1,3 0,5 23,4 30,1 18,9	2 954 940 2 014 517 1 497	2 736 914 1 822 483 1 339	2 809 918 1 891 495 1 396	58.0 49.6 63.1 32.0 96.5	810 95 715 658 57	626 92 534 518	93 585 561	14.0 5.0 19.5 36.2 1.7
#12 #23 #45	WISCONSIN STATE LOCAL, TOTAL,	142 811 50 438 92 373 33 421 58 952	18 717 4 768 13 949 5 127 8 822	16 211 4 500 11 711 4 353 7 358	16 908 4 570 12 338 4 612 7 726	11.8 9.1 13.4 13.8 13.1	12 018 1 437 10 581 2 239 8 342	10 447 1 265 9 182 1 961 7 221	10 737 1 303 9 434 2 016 7 418	63.5 28.5 76.5 43.7 96.0	1 991 419 1 572 1 369 203	1 571 398 1 173 1 164	1 316	10.2 9.0 10.7 27.4 0.7
47 47 50	WYOMING STATE LOCAL, YOTAL. COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES.	12 541 7 417 5 124 3 240 1 884	1 782 610 1 172 531 641	1 440 549 891 381 510	1 535 561 974 432 542	12.2 7.6 19.0 13.3 28.8	951 182 749 223 546	839 161 678 189 489	853 167 686 195 491	55.6 29.8 70.4 45.1 90.6	294 48 196 149 47	152 47 105 96	47 136	11.9 8.4 14.0 26.6 3.9

### equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

legal s	ervices a	nd prosecut	tion		Indigent	defense			Corre	ctions		Ot	hor crin	inal justi	ço	
Number	of employ	yees	Percent	Numbe	er of empl	oyees	Percent of	Humb	er of emp	Joyees	Percent of	Numbe	or of on	ployees	Percent	١,
Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	total crimi- nal justice system employ- eos	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	total crimi- nal justica system employ- oos	Total	full- tima only	Full-time equiva- lent	total crimi- nal justice system employ- ees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time oquivn- lent	total crimi- nal justice system employ- ces	1-
258 65 193 135 58	149 54 95 87 8	184 56 126 103 25	9.6 11.0 9.1 17.1 3.1	24 24 24	3 3 3	9	0.5 0.6 1.5	359 232 127 123 4	296 209 87 87	312 214 98 96 2	16.3 42.1 7.0 16.0 0.2	12 12 -	11	* 11 11 2	0.62	
575 257 318 148 170	369 257 112 27 85	437 257 180 64 116	3.0 6.1 1.7 1.9 1.6	59 11 48 31 17	56 9 47 39 17	58 10 48 31 17	0.4 0.2 0.5 0.9	3 646 2 386 1 260 888 372	3 512 2 372 1 140 774 366	3 570 2 379 1 191 823 368	24.3 56.6 11.3 24.0 5.2	51 30 21 10	51 30 21 10 11	21	0.3 0.7 0.2 0.3 0.2	
2 566 415 2 151 1 500 651	2 170 414 1 756 1 350 406	2 323 414 1 909 1 397 512	5.4 4.0 5.9 10.7 2.6	50 50 50	7 7 7	17 17 17	0.1 0.1	7 733 4 154 3 579 3 255 324	7 327 4 111 3 216 2 904 312	7 460 4 124 3 336 3 019 317	17.4 39.7 10.2 23.0	283 78 205 194 11	277 78 199 188 11	201	0.5 0.8 0.6 1.4 0.1	
316 92 224 127 97	222 70 152 116 36		6.5 5.5 7.1 11.5 3.8	9 1 9 9 1		3 3 3	0.1 0.1 0.3	975 695 280 277 3	856 634 222 221 1	901 653 248 246 2	23.1 46.0 10.0 23.0 0.1	36 36	34 34 -	35 35 -	2.5	
92 76 16	76 73 3	74	4.9 6.8 1.1	- 1 1	4 4 -	4	0.2	443 441 2 2	413 412 1 1	420 418 2 2	25.5 38.2 0.4 8.3	55 55	22		2.0	
1 052 466 586 287 299	363 62 301 141 160	647 201 446 235 211	3,4 2,3 4,5 6,8 3,3	11 11 -	11 11	11 11 -	0.1	6 007 4 178 1 829 748 1 081	5 158 4 152 1 006 351 655	5 430 4 159 1 271 488 783	28.9 46.9 12.8 14.1 12.1	81 61 20 20	72 61 11 11	61	0.4 0.7 0.2 0.4	1
1 048 234 814 451 363	727 215 512 399 113	610 421	6.0 5.0 6.4 8.8 4.0	42 42 42	38 38 38	39 39 39	0.4 0.8	4 041 2 426 1 615 1 523 92	3 776 2 392 1 384 1 310 74	3 851 2 408 1 443 1 362 81	27.6 55.0 15.1 28.3	47 26 21 21	40 23 17 17	24 19	0.3 0.5 0.2 0.4	
323 61 262 204 58	258 60 198 188 10	223 198	5.8 3.2 7.4 12.8 1.7	-	-		- - -	1 102 760 342 341	999 735 264 264	1 040 744 296 295	21.5 40.2 9.9 19.0 0.1	35 35	35 35 -	35	0.7	
893 179 714 437 277	629 141 488 360 128	413	4.8 3.2 5.4 9.0 3.3	14 15	15 14 1 1	19 14 5 5	0.1 0.3 (2) 0.1	3 747 2 682 1 065 1 065	3 515 2 648 867 867	3 571 2 657 914 914	21.1 58.1 7.4 19.8	39 37 2 2	34 34 -	37 35 2 2	0.2 0.8 (2) (2)	ft
169 48 521 78 43	109 45 64 56	141 46 95 69 26	9.2 8.2 9.8 16.0 4.8	8 8	2 2	3 3	0.2 0.3 0.7	397 319 78 73 5	325 283 42 38 4	342 288 54 50	22.3 51.3 5.5 11.6 0.7	13	13 13		0,8	1

<sup>&</sup>quot; Represents agree of rounds to zero.

I has than half the unit of measurement chosm,

The relation of criminal justice full-time equivalent employees to total full-time equivalent employees is based on data for general purpose governments only and down not include data for locally operated utility systems or for independent school districts and special districts.

## Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	ptection	Jadi	eial
dista and type of government	total October payroll <sup>1</sup>	Gatober psyroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criming justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	5 434 558 2 397 376 3 037 282 1 051 271 1 986 011	912 303 252 214 660 088 216 430 443 659	16.8 10.5 21.7 20,6 22,3	550 907 80 426 462 481 75 380 384 101	60,4 35.1 70.1 36.2 86.6	\$14 300 33 220 81 080 56 425 24 655	12. 13. 12. 26. 5.
Ladaha State LGCAL, Total. Counties, Municipalities,	65 506 40 741 24 665 8 116 16 749	8 433 2 456 5 977 7 074 903	12,9 6,0 24.0 25.6 23,3	5 366 1 041 4 325 760 3 565	63,6 42,4 72,4 36,6 91,3	1 251 341 910 791 119	
ASKA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. BCROUGHS, HUHICIPALITIES,	30 459 15 965 14 494 8 680 5 814	2 033 2 091 942 75 707	10.0 17.1 6.5 0.4	1 546 750 796 3 793	51.0 35.9 84.5 9.1 87.5	502 483 20 20	1
IZCHA . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	53 107 29 042 24 066 9 701 14 365	9 882 2 675 7 207 3 280 3 927	18.6 9.2 29.9 33.8 27.3	6 042 1 399 4 643 1 077 3 566	61.1 52.3 64.4 32.8 90.8	1 160 189 971 807 163	11 12 24
MANSAS, STATE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, STATE COUNTIES, STATE HUNICIPALITIES, STATE	30 806 21 244 9 562 4 584 4 978	3 225 1 171 2 054 680 1 374	10.5 5.5 21.5 14.8 27.6	2 050 524 1 526 296 1 229	63.6 44.8 74.3 43.5 89.5	398 139 259 190 68	2
LIFORNIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	609 677 242 287 367 390 206 595 160 795	136 606 31 494 105 113 57 484 47 629	22.4 13.0 28.6 27.8 29.6	74 002 13 218 60 784 16 671 44 113	54.2 42.0 57.8 29.0 92.6	14 429 1 940 12 488 11 804 684	1
LORADO, STATE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	64 134 37 943 26 191 7 897 18 294	10 570 3 900 6 670 1 197 5 473	16.5 10.3 25.5 15.2 29.9	6 153 965 5 188 625 4 563	58.2 24.7 77.8 52.2 83.4	1 686 1 249 437 63 374	
NRECTICUY  SYATE  LOCAL, TOTAL  HUNICIPALITIES	118 002 36 216 81 786 81 786	11 861 5 389 6 472 6 472	10.1 14.9 7.9 7.9	7 577 1 270 6 307 6 307	63.9 23.6 97.4 97.4	1 057 1 054 4	1
LANAME. STATE LOGAL, TOTAL COUNTRES. MUNICIPALITIES.	16 229 11 580 4 648 1 363 3 286	2 621 1 778 844 304 540	15.4	1 307 613 693 229 464	49.8 34.5 82.2 75.6 85.9	502 345 107 64 #3	1 2 1 1 2 1 2
STRICT OF COLUMBIA	51 629 51 629 51 629	12 513 12 513 12 513	24.2 24.2 24.2	7 547 7 547 7 547	60.3 60.3 60.3	1 178 1 178 1 178	
ORIGA STATE LEGAL, TOTAL LEGAL, TOTAL HUNICIPALITIES	169 555 81 670 87 926 40 489 47 477	38 050 13 901 29 149 10 608 13 541	22.4 17.5 27.5 26.2 28,5	20 852 2 529 18 323 5 951 12 371	54.8 18.2 75.9 56.1 91.4	5 170 1 978 3 192 2 772 420	1. 1. 1. 2.
CRGIA  OTAYE  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES.  MUNICIPALITIES,	50 403 56 403 73 162 13 446 19 716	15 108 4 807 10 302 4 847 5 454	16,9 8,5 31.1 36.0 27,7	8 454 1 456 6 998 1 997 5 001	56.0 30.3 67.9 41.2 91,7	2 016 482 1 535 1 335 200	1. 10 2.
MAII. STATE LCCAL, TOTAL LCCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	39 570 30 475 9 094 2 272 6 623	3 867 1 141 2 725 713 2 013	3,7 30,0 31,4 29,5	2 467 9 2 458 637 1 821	63.8	528 528 -	1) 4)
SHAT. STATE LCTAL TOTAL CONTEST  KUNICEPALITIES  KUNICEPALITIES	2 612 5 206 5 206 10 526	2 120 839 1 281 578 703	12.8 7.4 24.6 22.3 26.9	1 235 273 961 296 665	58,2 32,6 75,0 51,3 94,6	359 227 132 128	11 27 10 23

## Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		rvices and ecution	Indiger	t defense	C01%	octions	Other orig	inal justice
State and type of government	October payroll	Porcent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October, payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL STATES, TOTAL LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	49 163 13 579 35 584 22 198 13 386	5.4 5.4 5.4 10.3 3.0	6 979 2 950 4 029 3 849 180	0.8 1.2 0.6 1.8 (Z)	185 577 110 710 74 867 55 027 19 840	20.3 43.9 11.3 25.4 4.5	5 376 3 329 2 047 551 1 497	0,6 1,3 0,3 0,3 0,3
ALABAHA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	382 188 194 110 84	4,5 7,7 3,2 5,3 2,1	5 2 2 1	0.1 0.1 (Z) 0.1 (Z)	1 393 850 544 411 132	16.5 34.6 9.1 19.8 3.4	36 34 2 2	0,4 1,4 (Z) 0,1
ALASKA	333 245 88 32 56	11.0 11.7 9.3 90.9 6.2	62 62 -	3.0	571 534 37 37	18.8 25.5 3.9 4.1	18 17 1	0.6 0.8 0.1 0.1
ARIZONA	683 106 577 393 184	6.9 4.0 8.0 12.0 4.7	147 147 147 1	1,5 2,0 4,5 (2)	1 811 943 868 856 13	18.3 35.2 12.1 20.1 0.3	38 38 -	0.4
ARKANSAS. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES.	168 61 107 65 42	5.2 5.2 5.2 9.6 3.1	51 51 55 55	0.7 0.1 1.0 3.1	561 420 141 107 34	17.4 35.8 6.9 15.7 2.5	25 25 1	0.8 2.2
CALIFORNIASTATE	9 746 1 188 8 558 6 752 1 806	7.1 3.8 8.1 11.7 3.8	2 279 2 279 2 193 86	1.7 2.2 3.8 0.2	35 768 14 913 20 655 19 963 892	26.2 47.4 19.8 34.7	382 234 148 100 48	0.3 0.7 0.1 0.2 0.1
COLORADO. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	641 47 594 322 272	6.1 1.2 8.9 26.9 5.0	159	1.5	1 884 1 443 441 184 258	17.8 37.0 6.6 15.3 4,7	47 37 10 4 6	0.4 1.0 0.1 0.3 0.1
CONNECTICUT	523 373 150 150	4.4 6.9 2.3 2.3	116 114 2 2	1.0 2.1 (Z) (Z)	2 542 2 539 3	21.4 47.1 (2) (2)	47 40 7 7	0.4 0.7 0.1 0.1
DELAWARE, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	128 85 43 10 33	4.9 4.8 5.1 3.4 6.1	27 27	1,0	637 637	24.3 35.8	20 20 -	0.8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,	261 261 261	2.1 2.1 2.1	=	-	3 486 3 486 3 486	27.9 27.9 27.9	42 42 42	5.0 5.0 5.0
FLORIDA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	2 101 1 604 497 177 319	5.5 11.5 2.1 1.7 2.4	705 680 25 19 6	1,9 4,9 0,1 0,2 (Z)	9 058 7 035 2 024 1 615 409	23.8 50.6 8.4 15.2 3.0	164 76 88 73 15	0.4 0.5 0.4 0.7 0.1
GEORGIA	675 264 411 312 99	4.5 5.5 4.0 6.4 1.8	60 3 57 52 5	0,4 0,1 0,6 1,1 0,1	3 867 2 566 1 301 1 151 150	25.6 53.4 12.6 23.7 2.7	37 36 1 1	0.2 0.8 (2) (2)
HAWAII. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES,	312 129 183 60 123	8,1 11.3 6,7 8,5 6,1	45 45	1.2	494 412 82 12 69	12.8 36.1 3.0 1.8 3.4	21 18 3 3	0.5 1.6 0.1 0.4
IDAHO	145 32 112 80 32	6.8 3.9 8,8 13.9 4.5	14 14 14	0.7 1.1 2.5	337 276 61 59 2	15.9 32.9 4.8 10.2 0.3	30 30 2	1.4 3.5

See footnotes at end of table

## Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Total October	Total criminal	justice system	folice pr	otoctics	Jud	leial
Costa and type of government	payroll <sup>1</sup>	October psyroll	Percent of total October payrol1	October Payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIED, MUNICIPALITIES.	222 092 210 091 211 091 29 511 81 530	52 038 11 596 40 443 10 169 30 273	23.4 10.5 36.4 34.5 37.1	36 069 3 878 32 190 2 396 29 795	69.3 33.4 79.6 23.6 98.4	6 127 2 276 3 851 3 843	11.8 19.6 9.5 37.8 (2)
etate . Total	84 277 44 673 39 604 16 395 23 209	13 030 4 101 8 930 2 412 6 517	15.5 9.2 22.5 14.7 28.1	d 116 1 747 6 369 829 5 541	62.3 42.6 71.3 34.4 85.0	1 530 377 1 153 710 443	9.2 12.9 29.5
agha, at a control countrol countrol municipalities.	56 163 33 608 22 556 11 308 11 248	6 873 2 475 4 399 1 716 2 683	12.2 7.4 19.5 15.2 23.6	4 011 871 3 140 555 2 586	58.4 35.2 71.4 32.3 96.4	967 403 564 559 5	12.8
MANSAG	45 342 28 111 17 231 6 711 10 520	6 445 2 472 3 973 1 404 2 569	14.2 8,8 23.1 20.9 24.4	3 382 629 2 753 445 2 307	52.3 25.5 69.3 31.7 89.8	871 254 617 521 97	13.5 10.1 15.5 37.1
KEUTUCKY, STATE ST	54 909 37 690 17 219 6 368 10 831	7 632 2 649 4 983 2 004 2 980	13.9 7.0 28.9 31.4 27.5	4 805 1 221 3 584 862 2 722	63.0 46.1 71.9 43.0 91.3	978 257 721 621 100	12,6 9,7 14,5 31,0
LOUISIANA , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	68 540 45 770 22 770 7 325 15 445	12 543 4 078 8 464 3 365 5 099	18,3 8,9 37,2 45,9 33,0	7 630 1 728 5 902 2 071 3 831	60.8 42.4 69.7 61.5 75.1	1 943 462 1 482 806 676	15,1 11,1 17,1 23,0
MAINE BATE BOCAL, TOTAL GOUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES	27 090 13 353 13 737 414 13 323	2 600 1 335 1 265 279 986	9,6 10,0 9,2 67,4 7,4	1 539 469 1 070 101 969	39.2 35.1 84.6 36.3 98.3	276 191 85 83	10.6 14.2 6.7 29.6
HARYLAND, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, ECUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	178 24) 54 949 123 294 88 693 34 600	21 169 9 215 11 954 6 115 5 640	11.9 16.8 9.7 6.9 16,9	12 070 2 608 9 461 4 714 4 748	57.0 28.3 79.1 77.1 91.3	2 174 1 232 942 614 329	10.3 13.4 7.5 10.0 5.6
MASOACHUSETTS STATE LECAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUMICIPALITIES	223 174 61 040 162 133 4 704 157 429	26 342 6 670 19 672 3 465 16 207	11.8 10.9 12.1 73.7 10.3	16 944 2 480 14 463 32 14 432	64,3 37.2 73,5 0.9 89,0	3 308 720 2 587 1 702 885	12.6 10.6 13.6 49.1
Michigad, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	219 350 111 361 107 990 36 744 71 245	40 171 A 940 31 231 10 293 20 938	18.3 8.0 26.9 28.0 29.4	25 345 3 894 21 451 2 601 18 850	63.1 43.6 68.7 25.3 90.0	5 799 958 4 841 3 636 1 205	14.4 10.7 15.5 35.3 5.8
Minnesota Atate CCRA, tötäl CCUNTIKO, MUNICIPALITIES	89 832 49 034 40 798 18 926 21 672	12 422 3 037 9 385 4 052 5 333	13.8 6.2 23.0 21.4 24.9	7 413 1 254 6 159 1 234 4 925	59.7 41.3 65.6 30.5 92.3	1 569 261 1 308 1 229	12.6 8.6 13.9 30.3 1.5
Mississispi State Local, Tütäl, Counties Municipalities,	39 006 23 303 15 503 5 256 7 247	4 387 1 900 2 488 853 1 635	11.2 8.1 16.0 10.3 22.6	2 905 960 1 944 419 1 526	66,2 50,5 78,2 49,1 93,3	522 197 325 294 31	11.9 10.4 13.1 34.5
HISSOURI.  TATE  COUNTIES  HUNICIPALITIES	82 121 45 180 36 941 9 927 27 014	16 373 3 991 12 362 3 130 9 252	24.2 21.5 32.5 8.8 16.6	10 670 1 581 9 089 1 268 7 822	65.2 39.6 73.4 40.5 84.5	2 257 900 1 357 863 495	13.8 22.5 11.0 27.6 5.3
Nontana Neate Local, Tōtāl, Counties Municipalities	17 741 12 421 5 120 2 957 2 363	2 163 858 1 295 1 296 1 898	12.2 27.5 27.5 25.1	1 175 289 886 334 551	54.3 53.4 68.4 47.9 92.3	286 82 204 183 21	13,2 9,5 15,8 26,2

tre francoise et ent of table.

## Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		rvices and ecution	Indiger	it dofense	Cor	rections	Other orim	inal justice
State and type of government	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Porcent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total original justice system
ILLINOIS	2 322 522 1 800 1 344 456	4.5 4.5 13.2 1.5	510 106 404 404	1.0 0.9 1.0 4.0	\$ 855 4 668 2 186 2 175 12	13.2 40.3 5.4 21.4 (2)	155 144 11 8	0.3 1.2 (2) 0.1 (2)
INDIANA  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES,	742 310 433 232 201	5.7 7.5 4.8 9.6 3.1	97 16 79 63 16	0,7 0,4 0,9 2,6 0,3	2 461 1 598 863 566 298	18.9 39.0 9.7 23.5 4.6	83 51 72 12 19	0.6 1.2 0.4 0.5 0.3
TOWA.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	417 110 308 231 77	6.1 4.4 7.0 13.5 2.9	16 16	0,2 0,4 0,9	1 417 1 061 356 349 7	20.6 42.9 8.1 20.3 0.3	145 30 15 7 8	0.6 1.2 0.3 0.4 0.3
KANSAD. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	445 134 310 201 110	6,9 5,4 7,8 14,3 4,3	14 11 2 2	0.2 0.5 0.1 0.2	1 694 1 422 272 233 39	20,3 57,5 6.8 16.6	39 21 18 2	0,6 0,9 0,5 0,1
KENTUCKY. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES.	362 78 284 171 113	4.7 3.0 5.7 8.5 3.8	47 30 17 17	0,6 1,1 0,3 0,8	1 389 1 011 377 332 45	18.2 38.2 7.6 16.6	51 51 -	0.7
LOUISIANA	731 358 373 177 196	5.8 8.8 4.4 5.3 3.8	31 31 9 22	0.2 0.4 0.3 0.4	2 155 1 486 669 303 366	17.2 36.4 7.9 9.0 7.2	52 45 8	0.4 1.1 0.1 0.2
MAINE	120 94 26 10 16	4.6 7.0 2.1 3.7 1.6	1 1	(Z) 0.1 0.4	631 548 83 83	24.3 41.1 6.6 29.8	33	1.3
HARYLAND	877 116 761 417 344	4.1 1.3 6.4 6.8 5.9	313	1.5 3.4	5 559 4 773 786 367 419	26.J 51.8 6.6 6.0 7.2	176 173 4 4	0,8 1,9 (2) 0,1
HASSACHUSETTS STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	811 321 490 138 352	3.1 4.8 2.5 4.0 2.2	165 156 10 10	0.6 2,3 (Z) 0.3	4 937 2 885 2 052 1 583 469	18,7 43.3 10,4 45.7 2,9	177 108 69 69	0.7 1.6 0.4 0.4
MICHIGAN STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES,	2 011 377 1 635 1 137 498	5.0 4.2 5.2 11.0 2.4	148 109 39 38 1	0.4 1.2 0.1 0.4 (Z)	6 754 3 538 3 217 2 853 363	16.8 39.6 10.3 27.7 1.7	114 65 49 28 21	0.3 0.7 0.2 0.3 0.1
HINNESOTA STATE LOCAL TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	738 122 616 396 220	5.9 4.0 6.6 7.8 4.1	96 10 86 86	0.8 0.3 0.9 2.1	2 500 1 329 1 171 1 089 82	20.1 43.8 12.5 26.9	106 60 45 18 27	0.9 2.0 0.5 0.5
MISSISSIPPI STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	212 117 95 53 43	4,8 6.1 3.8 6.2 2.6	16 16 13 3	0.4 0.6 1.5 0.2	688 581 107 74 33	15.7 30.6 4.3 8.7 2.0	45 45 -	1.0
MISSOURI, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	745 73 672 354 318	4.6 1.8 5.4 11.3 3.4	97 96 1 1	(Z) (Z)	2 572 1 312 1 259 645 615		32 20 3	0,2 0,7 (2)
MONTANA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	184 75 109 Ad 20	8.7 8.4 12.7	15 15	0.7 1.2 2.1 0,1	463 382 61 77 4	21.4 44.0 6.3 11.1 0.7	30	118

Sen footnotes at and at table

## Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Total Cotober	Total cristnel	justice system	Police pro	tection	Jadi	cial
State and type of government	payroll'	October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total crimina justice system
Hithmaska	14 525 21 650 13 475 6 255 7 219	4 281 1 600 7 681 851 1 830	12.4 7.6 19.9 13.6 25.4	2 391 502 1 889 297 1 592	55.9 31.4 70.4 34.9 87.0	691 439 251 175 76	16.1 27.5 9.4 20.6 4,2
NEVADA.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES HUMICIPALITIES	19 211 8 652 10 559 6 936 3 623	4 081 895 3 186 2 272 714	21.2 10.3 30.2 32.8 25.2	2 311 229 2 081 1 355 726	56,6 25,6 65,3 59,6 79,5	349 90 260 190 70	8,6 10,0 5,2 8,4 7,6
MEN HAMPSHIRE	18 853 9 809 9 049 1 165 7 879	2 119 731 1 388 236 1 152	11.2 7.5 15.3 20.3 14,6	1 415 293 1 122 79 1 043	66.8 40.1 80.8 33.4 90.6	246 104 143 63 80	11.6 14.2 10.3 26.6 6.9
REN JERSEY	199 793 71 743 128 050 36 781 91 269	40 474 9 380 31 094 9 719 21 376	20.3 13.1 24.3 26.4 23.4	24 706 3 445 21 262 1 131 20 130	61.0 36.7 68.4 11.6 94.2	4 931 1 145 3 766 2 961 825	12.2 12.2 12.3 30.5
HER MERICO	26 416 18 241 8 174 2 814 5 360	3 601 1 551 2 049 422 1 627	13.6 8.5 25.1 15.0 30.4	2 J02 537 1 765 331 1 434	63.9 34,6 86.1 78.5 88.1	424 346 84 24 59	11.6 21.9 4.1 5.6 3.6
NEW YORK.  STATE  STATE  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES  HUNICIPALITIES,	762 956 194 922 568 034 84 469 266 C88	145 393 27 623 117 770 22 253 95 517	19.1 14,2 20.7 26,3 19,8	93 947 7 754 86 194 11 765 74 429	64.6 28.1 73.2 52.9 77.9	16 795 3 766 13 029 3 833 9 196	11.6 13.6 11.1 17.2
NORTH CAROLINA	170 079 62 866 107 214 88 475 18 739	15 925 8 726 7 199 7 240 4 959	9,4 13,9 6,7 2,5 26,5	8 690 2 304 6 386 1 494 4 892	54.6 26.4 88.7 66.7 98.7	1 951 1 686 265 265	12.7 19. 3. 11.6
RORTH CAROTA, STATE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	12 546 6 482 4 064 1 907 2 157	1 367 428 959 901 558	11.1 5.1 23.6 21.0 25.9	796 124 672 148 524	57.4 28.9 70.1 36.8 93.9	249 80 170 151 19	18.0 18.0 17.7 37.0
CHIO	198 148 87 524 110 624 40 113 70 511	38 331 10 149 28 181 8 194 19 987	19.3 11.6 25.5 20.4 26.3	22 098 2 825 19 273 2 164 17 109	57.7 27.8 68.4 26.4 85.6	5 733 714 5 020 3 439 1 580	15.0 7.0 17.6 42.0 7.9
CKLANDHA, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	52 415 32 666 19 546 6 064 13 462	6 898 2 881 4 017 892 3 125	13,2 8,8 20,6 14,7 23,2	4 186 1 075 3 111 344 2 767	60.7 37.3 77.4 38.5 88.5	938 501 437 331 106	13.6 17.6 10.5 37.1
CREECH.  STATE  GCAL, TÖTÄL,  COUNTIES  HUMICIPALITIES	10 214 10 363 31 105 22 214	9 080 0 CSS C 148 0 148 0 149 S 040	16,4 9,4 27,5 27,1 27,9	5 152 1 218 3 934 1 165 2 768	56.7 37.8 67.1 39.9 94.2	1 089 365 720 654 66	12.0 11.5 12.3 22.4
PEHNSTLYANIA	25 450 282 611 282 611 282 611 283 611	46 227 12 122 106 CC 1465 1466 65	21.7 11.0 25.1 28.5 27,2	28 238 6 597 21 640 683 20 957	61.1 50.3 65.4 10.6 78.7	7 663 1 887 5 775 2 690 3 086	16.5 14.4 17.4 41.6
HHOGE ISLAND.  37ATE LCCAL, TÖTÄL  MUMICIFALITIES,	15 600 14 642 20 926 20 926	3 426 1 663 1 763 1 763	9.6 11.2 8.4 8.4	2 013 1 690 1 690 1 690	58.8 19.4 95.9 95.9	463 442 21 21	13.5 26.6 1.2 1.2
SCUTH CANOLINA.  STATE  GOGAL, TOTALS.  COUNTIES.  HUNICIPALITIES.	50 792 50 24 513 8 515 8	6 726 2 765 3 963 2 032 1 931	13,8 7,6 27,3 23,6 32,7	3 793 1 039 2 754 936 1 819	56.4 37.6 69.5 46.0 94.2	869 127 763 702 60	13.2 4.6 19.2 34.6 3.1

fee traincies at eat of table.

## Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and two as a		rvices and ocution	Indigen	t defense	Com	roctions	Other crim	inal justico
State and type of government	October payrol1	Porcent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Porcent of total oriminal justice system	October payroll	Porcent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES  MUNICIPALITIES,	304 41 263 161 102	7.1 2.6 9.8 18.9 5.6	58 58	1.4 2.2 5.8	773 590 182 160 23	18.1 36.9 6.8 18.7	64 27 38	1.5 1.7 1.4 2.1
NEYADA. STATE . LOCAL, TOTAL . COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	352 70 281 206 76	8.6 7.9 8.8 9.1 8.3	79 7 73 73	1.9 0.7 2.3 3.2	963 475 488 446 42	23.6 53.0 15.3 19.6 4.6	26 25 2	0.6 2.7 0.1 0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	79 48 31 15 16	3.7 6.6 2.2 6.5 1.4	## P4 ## ##	•	363 271 93 79	17.1 37.0 6.7 33.5 1.1	, 15 15	0.7
NEW JERSEY	2 781 559 2 222 1 835 387	6.9 6.0 7.1 18.9 1.8	762 760 2 2	1,9 8,1 (Z)	7 078 3 340 3 737 3 731 7	17.5 35.6 12.0 38.4 (Z)	216 131 85 61 24	0.5 1.4 0.3 0.6
IEW MEXICO. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. SOUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	206 157 49 7 42	5.7 10.1 2.4 1.7 2.6	38 37 1	1.1 2.4 (2) - 0.1	593 445 147 56 92	16.5 28.7 7.2 13.2 5,6	39 35 4 4	0.1 2.3 0.2 0.8
EW YORK. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	6 654 1 668 4 986 1 638 3 148	4.6 6.0 4.2 8.3 3.3	159 159 159	0.1 0.1 0.7 (2)	26 026 13 664 12 363 4 627 7 735	17.9 49.5 10.5 20.8 8.1	1 811 772 1 039 31 1 009	1.2 2.8 0.9
ORTH CAROLINA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES.	488 388 100 38 63	3.1 4.4 1.4 1.7	120	n.8	4 620 4 180 440 436	29.0 47.9 6.1 19.4 0.1	56 48 8 8	0.3 0.5 0.1 0.3
ORTH DAKOTA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES.	118 34 84 71 12	8.5 8.0 8.7 17.8 2.2	4 1 4 4 1	0.3 0.4 1.5	208 178 30 27	15.0 41.5 3.1 6.8 0.5	13	0.9
RIO. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	2 034 562 1 472 755 717	5.3 5.5 5.2 9.2 3,6	33 5 28 16 12	0.1 (Z) 0.1 0.2 0.1	8 319 5 952 2 368 1 816 552	21.7 58.6 8.4 22.2 2.8	113 93 21 4 17	6.0 9.0 1.0 1.0
CLAHOMA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	443 335 108 1 106	6,4 11,6 2,7 0,2 3,4	32 32 30 2	0.5 0.8 3.4 0.1	1 212 942 271 186 84	17.6 32.7 6.7 20.9 2.7	67 28 60 60	0.1 1.3 1.0 1.5
EGON. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	734 306 428 350 78	6.1 9.5 7.3 12.0 2.7	18 11 7 7	0,2 0,1 0,1 0,2 (Z)	2 036 1 283 752 745 7	22.4 39.8 12.8 25.5	55 75 20	0.6 1.1 0.3 (2) 0.7
NNSYLVANIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES. HUNICIPALITIES.	1 886 303 1 583 842 741	4.1 2.3 4.8 13.0 2.8	270 270 270	0.6 0.8 4.2	7 980 4 143 3 838 1 980 1 858	17.3 31.6 11.6 30.6 7.0	191	0,4
ODE ISLAND. STATE .OCAL, TOTAL HUNICIPALITIES	106 63 43 43	3.1 3.8 2.5 2.5	30	0,9	790 790	23.1	23	0.7 0.9 0.4
UTH CAROLINA. STATE LOCAL, TÓTÁL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	177 83 94 71 23	2.6 7.0 2.4 3.5	9 9	0.1 0.2 0.4 (2)	1 784 1 445 338 314 24	26.5 52.5 5.5 15.5 11.2	76 71 4	0.4 1.1 2.6 0.1 0.2

See footnotes at and of table.

### Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justice system.	Police pro	tection	Judi	cial
State and type of government	Total October pnyroll	October payroll	Porcent of total October payroll	Outober payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DANOTA	13 109 4 054 4 055 1 848 2 207	1 447 487 960 391 570	11.0 5.4 23.7 21.1 25.8	845 179 666 133 532	58,4 36,8 69,3 34,1	219 77 143 125 18	15.2 15.8 14.9 32.0 3.1
TENNESSEE	124 519 41 161 83 358 38 135 45 223	11 402 3 368 8 034 2 385 5 649	9,2 8,2 9,6 6,3 12,5	6 601 963 5 638 825 4 813	57.9 28.6 70.2 34.6 85.2	1 827 471 1 356 928 428	16.0 14,0 16.9 38.9 7.6
TEXAS STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	205 103 111 014 94 089 30 160 63 929	34 173 8 245 25 928 9 377 16 552	16.7 7.4 27.6 31.1 25.9	21 737 4 072 17 665 2 504 15 161	63.6 49.4 68.1 26.7 91.6	4 790 786 4 004 3 380 624	14.0 9.5 15.4 36.0 3.8
UYAH, STATÉ LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	26 954 19 151 7 803 4 053 3 750	3 263 1 306 1 957 789 1 168	12.1 6.8 25.1 19.5 31.1	1-945 498 1 447 433 1 014	59,6 38.1 74.0 54.9 86.8	321 135 186 82 104	9.8 10.3 9.5 10.4 8.9
VERHOAT STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	10 749 8 488 2 261 16 2 245	1 434 1 018 416 13 403	13.3 12.0 18.4 80.2 18.0	778 378 400 3 397	54.2 37.1 96.2 21.6 98.6	195 186 9	13.6 18.2 2.2 71.3
VIRGINIA	181 694 63 182 116 513 63 961 54 552	16 054 7 436 8 618 3 235 5 383	8.8 11.8 7.3 5.1 9.9	9 5±6 2 991 6 565 2 290 4 275	59.5 40.2 76.2 70.8 79.4	1 860 1 189 672 362 310	16.0 7,8 11.2
WASHINGTON., STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	86 485 56 640 31 645 12 611 19 035	13 424 4 169 9 235 4 235 5 000	15.2 7.4 29.2 33.6 26.3	7 636 1 472 6 164 1 647 4 517	56.9 35.1 66.7 38.9 90.3	1 491 281 1 210 1 004 205	11.1 6.7 13.1 23.7 4.1
WEST VIRGINIA  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES.	29 537 22 050 7 487 2 634 4 853	3 315 1 324 1 992 940 1 052	11.2 6.0 26.6 35.7 21.7	1 954 646 1 308 296 1 012	58.9 48.8 65.7 31.5 96.2	484 128 356 339	14,6 9.7 17.9 36.1 1.6
WISCONSIN STATE LUCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES.	132 098 53 194 78 905 24 892 54 013	16 669 4 682 11 987 4 167 7 821	12.6 8.8 15.2 16.7 14.5	10 532 1 160 9 372 1 838 7 534	63.2 24.8 78.2 44.1 96.3	1 717 632 1 086 1 044 42	10.3 13.5 9.1 25.1 0.5
SYOMING STATE LGCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES,	9 230 6 003 3 227 1 940 1 287	1 088 458 630 245 385	11.8 7.6 19.5 12.6 29.9	614 143 471 114 356	56,4 31,2 74,7 46,8 92,5	139 71 68 57	23,5

### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1974—Continued

State and type of government	legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice sympem	October payrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payro11	Percent of total criminal justice states
SOUTH DAKOTA.  STATE LOCAL, TOTAL. COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	138 51 87 68 19	9.6 10.5 9.1 17.5 3.3	6	0.4 0.6 1.5	229 170 59 58	15.8 35.0 6.1 14.9	9 9	0.7
TENNESSEE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES	507 338 169 57 112	#.4 10.0 2.1 2.4 2.0	58 8 50 31 19	0.5 0.2 0.6 1.3	2 366 1 562 803 536 267	20.7 46.4 10.0 22.5 4.7	44 25 19	0.4 0,7 0.2 0.4
TEXAS, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	2 163 408 1 756 1 251 504	6,3 4,9 6,8 13,3 3,0	15 15 15	(Z) 0.1 0.2	5 230 2 890 2 340 2 090 250	15.3 35.1 9.0 22.3	238 89 149 138	0.2 0.7 1.1 0.6 1.5
UTAR. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, HUNICIPALITIES.	263 94 169 121 49	8.1 7.2 8.7 15.3 4.2	2 22 2	0.1 0.1 0.3	701 549 152 151	21,5 42.0 7.8 19.2 0.1	30 30	0.9
VERMONT STATE LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES,	86 81 6	6.0 7.9. 1.4	4 4 -	0.3	350 349 1	24.4 34.3 0.2 7.1	22 22	1,5 2,1
VIRGINIA. STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	696 258 438 196 242	4.3 3.5 5.1 6.1 4.5	9 9 -	0.1	3 862 2 932 930 374 557	24.1 39.4 10.8 11.5	70 58 13	0.4 0.8 0.2 0.4
MASHINGTON, STATE COCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES	922 298 625 429 196	6.9 7.1 6.8 10.1 3.9	41 41 41	0.3	3 288 2 112 1 176 1 095 81	24.5 50.4 12.7 25.8	45 26 20 20	0.3 0.6 0.2 0.5
MEST VIRGINIA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	232 62 170 148 22	7.0 4.7 8,6 15.7 2.1	-		617 459 158 157	18.6 34.7 7.9 16.7	28 28	0.9
ISCONSIN STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	874 197 677 432 245	5.2 4.2 5.6 10.4 3,1	20 16 4 4	0,1 0,3 (2) 0,1	3 486 2 640 847 847	20,9 56.4 7.1 20.3	40 38 2 2	0.2 0.8 (2) 0.1
YOMING STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	103 47 56 42 14	9.5 10.3 8.9 17.0 3.7	3 3 3	0.2	219 187 32 29	20.1 40.7 5.1 11.7	10	1.0 2.3

Expresents were or rounds to zero.

Signs then half the unit of measurement shown.

"The relation of criminal justice October payroll to total Outober payroll is based on data for general purpose government for locally operated utility system or for independent school districts and special districts.

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's by county area, fiscal year 1974

	Total criminal j	ustice	Police pro	tection	Judici	al
Aros	Amount	Percont of SMSA total	Azount	Percent of SMSA total	Anount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SHSAIS	4 452 858	(x)	2 930 711	(x)	588 114	(x)
DALTIMORE SMBA, ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA. BALTIMORE CITY, UALTIMORE COUNTY AREA, CARROLL COUNTY AREA, MARFORD COUNTY AREA	111 880 9 802 71 448 21 489 4 013 2 620 2 508	100.0 8.8 63.9 19.2 3.6 2.3 2.2	1 190 1 319	100,0 9,2 64,3 21,3 1,4 1,5 2,2	8 534 784 5 208 1 126 624 536 256	100.0 9.2 61.0 13.2 7.3 6.3
BOSTON SEA.  ESSEX COUNTY AREA.  MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.  MISPFOLK COUNTY AREA.  SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	186 453 25 171 62 546 26 961 71 775	100.0 13.5 33.5 14.5 38.5		100.0 14.4 33.1 15.8 36,7	26 238 2 669 9 284 3 032 11 253	100. 10. 35. 11. 42.
CHICAGO SHSA, COOK COUNTY AREA. OU PAGE COUNTY AREA. ANE COUNTY AREA. LAKE COUNTY AREA. MCHENRY COUNTY AREA. WILL COUNTY AREA.	435 305 385 043 17 508 9 238 12 844 3 598 7 7074	100.0 88.5 4.0 2.1 3.0 0.8 1.6	299 603 12 865 7 169 10 093 2 772	100.0 88.6 3.8 2.1 3.0 0.8 1.7	43 899 39 751 1 650 593 1 025 243 637	100, 90, 3. 1, 2, 0.
CLEVELAND SHSA	91 731 82 743 1 374 5 649 1 965	100.0 90.2 1.5 6.2 2.1	54 560 632 3 643	100,0 91,1 1,1 6,1 1,8	14 554 12 297 522 1 125 610	100, 84 3 7 4
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.  COLLIN COUNTY AREA.  DALLAS COUNTY AREA.  OENTON COUNTY AREA.  HOOD COUNTY AREA.  JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.  JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.  PARKER COUNTY AREA.  ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.  TARRANT COUNTY AREA.  TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	2 198 796 151 777 652	67.0 2.2 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.5	1 106 48 801 1 294 625 663 473 288 295 4 17 653	0.7 0.5 0.4 0.2 24,9	8 787 345 60 170 128 141 108 3 659	1 0 1 0 26
BEYRBIT SHSA.  LAPEER COUNTY AREA.  LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.  MACOME COUNTY AREA.  OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.  ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.  WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	33 505 48 997 4 02	0.0 1.1 11.0 7 16.1	6 847 866 3 22 790 6 28 282 4 2 478	0.4 11.6 14.4 1.3	920 6 294 9 617 662	1 21
HOUSTCN SMSA.  BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.  FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.  HARRIS COUNTY AREA.  LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.  HONTODHERY COUNTY AREA.  WALLEN COUNTY AREA.	78 58 2 59 1 21 72 29 1 30	3, 9 1. 6 92. 7 1.	3 1 649 6 994 0 46 046 7 354 1 554	3,3 2,0 92.7 0,7	10 831 260 190	8
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SHSA LUS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	594 82 594 82			100.0		
MINREAPOLIS-ST, PAUL SMSA , , ANCKA COUNTY AREA . , , , , CARVEN COUNTY AREA . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	81 29 5 13 76 98 42 13 21 78 1 61 1 41 2 42	0 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	3 499 56 36 1 3 05 1 26 43	6. 1. 7. 0. 51. 26. 21. 26. 21. 31. 31. 31. 31. 31. 31. 31. 31. 31. 3	5 69 5 69 5 2 45 33 46	1 7 4 1 1 1 7 6 2 5
MATCHT COUNTY AREA	212 2 128 4	16 100 33 60		1 100. 1 61.	0 24 78 6 16 55	0

fro fortnotes at end of table

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's by county area, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Legal services prosecution		Indigent	defense	Correc	tions	Other cr just	
Area	} Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSAIS	209 115	(x)	50 727	(x)	622 136	(x)	53 056	(x)
BALTIMORE SMSA, ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA. BALTIMORE CITY. BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA CARROLL COUNTY AREA HARFORD COUNTY AREA HOWARD COUNTY AREA	7 710 603 4 039 918 1 639 302 209	100.0 7.8 52.4 11.9 21.3 3.9 2.7	38 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	100.0	9 746 549 6 764 1 278 560 425 170	100.0 5.6 69.4 13.1 5.7 4.4 1.7	666 666	100.0
BOSTON SEA.  ESSEX COUNTY AREA  MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA  NORFOLK COUNTY AREA  SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	5 509 634 1 911 1 048 1 916	100.0 11.5 34.7 19.0 34.8	294 294 -	100.0	16 895 2 370 6 056 1 522 6 947	100.0 14.0 35.8 9.0 41.1	2\513 105 272 2 136	100.0 4.2 10.8 85.0
CHICAGO SMSA.  COOK COUNTY AREA.  DU PAGE COUNTY AREA  KANE COUNTY AREA.  LAKE COUNTY AREA.  MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.  WILL COUNTY AREA.	16 170 13 003 1 305 490 750 213 409	100.0 80.4 8.1 3.0 4.6 1.3 2.5	2 861 2 303 289 47 99 40 83	100.0 80.5 10.1 1.6 3.5 1.4 2.9	32 420 28 731 1 399 891 790 330 279	100.0 88.6 4.3 2.7 2.4 1.0	1 787 1 652 48 87	100.0 92.4 - 2.7 4.9
CLEVELAND SMSA CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA GEAUGA COUNTY AREA LAKE COUNTY AREA MEDINA COUNTY AREA	4 166 3 498 114 407 147	100.0 84.0 2.7 9.8 3.5	1 311 1 272 8 22	100.0 97.0 0.6 1.7 0.7	9 138 8 458 98 452 130	100.0 92.6 1.1 4.9 1.4	2 658 2 658 -	100.0
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.  COLLIN COUNTY AREA. DALLAS COUNTY AREA. DENTON COUNTY AREA ELLIS COUNTY AREA HOOD COUNTY AREA JOHNSON COUNTY AREA KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA PARKER COUNTY AREA ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA TARRANT COUNTY AREA WISE COUNTY AREA	5 319 74 3 037 231 13 53 58 40 56 1 717 40	100.0 1.4 57.1 4.3 0.2 1.0 1.1 0.8 1.1 32.3 0.8	781 44 545 47 1 9 1 3 8 163	100.0 0.5 69.8 6.0 0.1 1.2 0.1 0.4 1.0 20.9	9 568 52 5 969 281 171 11 60 77 67 2 550 266	100.0 0.5.6 62.4 2.9 1.8 0.1 0.6 0.8 0.7 0.7 26.7	617 497 12	100.0 80.6 - 1.9 - 17.5
DETROIT SMSA.  LAPEER COUNTY AREA. LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA. MACOMB COUNTY AREA. OAKLAND COUNTY AREA ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA WAYNE COUNTY AREA	12 157 106 204 2 017 2 724 294 6 812	100.0 0.9 1.7 16.6 22.4 2.4 56.0	4 850 20 102 293 63 65 4 307	100.0 0.4 2.1 6.0 1.3 1.3 88.8	35 508 277 1 293 2 053 8 038 522 23 325	100.0 0.8 3.6 5.8 22.6 1.5 65.7	1 102 104 58 273 667	100.0 9.4 5.3 24.8 60.5
HOUSTON SMSA.  BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.  FORT BEND COUNTY AREA  HARRIS COUNTY AREA  LIBERTY COUNTY AREA  MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA  WALLER COUNTY AREA.	4 215 211 24 3 828 52 66 34	100.0 5.0 0.6 90.8 1.2 1.6 0.8	788 15 1 755 12 3	100.0 1.9 0.1 95.8 1.5 0.4	10 077 190 71 9 078 629 79	100.0 1.9 0.7 90.1 6.2 0.8 0.3	1 758 1 758	100.0
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	34 364 34 364	100.0	11 846 11 846	100.0	109 176 109 176	100.0	1 262	100,0
MINNEAPCLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	19 224 2 531 1 265 73 173 114 60	100.0 7.7 0.5 0.4 4.6 51.8 26.3 1.5 3.5 1.4	663 47 22 378 132 65	100.0 7.1 3.3 - 57.0 19.9 9.8	12 613 360 51 16 169 7 172 4 182 131 173 233 126	100.0 2.9 0.4 0.1 1.3 56.9 33.2 1.0 1.4 1.8	1 492 - - 517 675	100.0 - 54.8 45.2
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	10 952 6 026 4 926	100,0 55.0 45.0	2 305 1 271 1 034	100.0 55.1 44.9	21 349 13 214 8 135	100.0 61.9 38.1	4 653 61 4 592	100.0 1.3 98.7

dee footnotes at end of table.

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expendture of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's by county area, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	Total criminal ju system	stic#	Police p	rotection	Judi	cial
Arrain	Accust	Percent of SMCA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
revals choa	140 011 82 130 17 980 7 826 32 075	100.0 58.7 12.8 5.6 22.9	91 389 51 751 12 974 5 070 21 604	100.0 56.6 14.2 5.5 23.6	17 469 10 573 1 862 913 4 121	100.0 60.5 19.7 5.2 23.6
TER YGRE, N.YN.J. CHSA	1 094 314 46 578 965 298 1 886 11 986 58 601	100.0 C.R C.88 2.88 2.0 2.1 1.1	731 178 34 314 648 026 997 7 417 40 424	100.0 4.7 88.6 0.1 1.0 5.5	149 984 5 781 136 180 273 1 574 6 176	100.0 3.9 90.8 0.2 1.0 4.1
THICAGECHNIA, PAU.J. SHEA, TULKE COUNTY, PA. AREA, UHBLINGTON COUNTY, U.J. AREA, CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA CREATER COUNTY, PA. AREA USLUSESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA USLUSESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA USLUSESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA UMLAUCHERY COUNTY, PA. AREA UMLAUCHERY COUNTY, PA. AREA UMLAUCHERY COUNTY, PA.	322 023 13 710 10 994 20 555 9 477 21 792 7 690 23 215 214 590	100.0 4,3 3,4 6,4 2.9 6.8 2.4 7.2 66.6	198 682 7 588 6 649 13 954 12 160 4 094 14 271 136 158	100.0 3.8 3.3 7.0 1.9 6.1 2.1 7.2 68.5	53 052 2 244 1 696 2 249 1 963 3 960 1 172 4 855 34 793	100.04 4.2 4.2 7.7 7.5 29.6
Defection bush a service of the control of the cont	87 281 72 740 5 625 5 296 5 620	100.0 83.3 6.9 3.8 6.4	55 457 46 640 3 438 2 148 3 231	100.0 84.1 6.2 3.9 5.8	14 179 10 956 1 228 599 1 396	100.0 77.3 8.7 4.2 9.8
OT. EGUIS, HO, WICL, SMGA, X CLINION COUNTY, ILL, APEA FRANKIN COUNTY, HO, AREA JEFPERSON GOUNTY, HO, AREA HONDER COUNTY, ILL, AREA HONDER COUNTY, ILL, AREA OT, CHARLED COUNTY, HO, AREA OT, LOUIS COUNTY, HO, AREA OT, LOUIS COUNTY, HO, AREA	112 233 370 936 1 193 6 867 166 2 397 9 113 53 489 37 700	100,0 0,3 0,8 1,1 6,1 0,1 2,1 8,1 47,7 33,6	79 207 118 628 627 4 478 123 1 593 6 564 38 907 26 169	100,0 0,1 0,8 0,8 5,7 0,2 2,0 8,3 49,1	12 676 74 172 352 1 081 23 297 954 4 816 4 907	100.0 0.6 1,4 2.8 8.5 0.2 2.3 7.5 38.7
SAN FRANCISCO-CARLAND SHSA.  ALGUEDA TGUSTY AREA CONTRA COUTTY AREA.  HARIN EGUSTY AREA SAN HATEG COURTY AREA.  SAN HATEG COURTY AREA.	246 920 82 666 40 517 16 043 60 304 39 390	100.0 33.5 16.4 6.5 27.7 16.0	132 288 41 691 18 939 5 898 45 399 20 361	100.0 31.5 14.3 4.5 34.3 15.4	33 356 10 312 5 452 3 405 9 543 4 644	100.0 30.9 16.3 10.2 28.6 13.9
MAINTONN, D.C. HD. NA. SHSA .  BECKARUNIA CITY, VA  OBSINCTON COUNTY, VA  CHANLED COUNTY, WA. AREA  LAINPAR COUNTY, VA. AREA  FALED CHURCH CITY, VA. AREA  HOLTGORDHY COUNTY, VA. AREA .  POINCE LECRUES COUNTY, ND. AREA .  POINCE LECRUES COUNTY, ND. AREA .  POINCE LECRUES COUNTY, VA. AREA .  HASHINGTON, D.C. CITY .	262 090 5 336 9 163 2 242 1 050 15 891 715 811 21 622 23 023 3 025 17 212	100.0 2.4 3.5 0.9 0.4 6.1 0.3 8.2 8.8 1.2	148 944 4 651 6 620 911 917 11 311 584 963 15 515 18 140 1 830 88 002	100.0 3.1 4,4 0.6 0.6 7.6 0.3 10.4 12.2 12.2	28 155 690 723 1 173 100 2 608 28 151 1 941 2 365 642	100,05 2.62 4.22 9.33 0.15 6.59 8.43 63.0

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's by county area, fiscal year 1974—Continued

Area	Logal services prosecution	and	Indigent	defense	Corre	otions	Other e	riminal tico
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amourt	Porcent of SUSA total
NEWARK SMSA ESSEX COUNTY AREA MORRIS COUNTY AREA SOMERSET COUNTY AREA UNION COUNTY AREA	10 479 5 320 1 286 839 3 034	100.0 50.8 12.3 8.0 29.0	83 60 16 7	100.0 72.3 19.3 8.4	19 745 13 967 1 721 997 3 060	100.0 70.7 8.7 5.0 15.5	836 459 121 250	100.0 54.9 14.5
NEW YORK, N.YN.J. SMSA. BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA. NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA. ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA. WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA.	36 767 2 861 28 330 148 1 254 4 174	100.0 7.8 77.1 0.4 3.4	11 166 3 10 107 56 265 735	100.0 (2) 90.5 0.5 2.4 6.6	139 564 3 619 125 643 412 1 440 8 450	100.0 2.6 90.0 0.3 1.0 6.1	25 655 17 012 1 1 8 642	100.0 66.3 (2)
PHILADELPHIA, PAN.J. SMSA BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA MONTGOHERY COUNTY, PA. AREA PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	16 729 1 256 951 1 700 954 1 797 804 1 116 8 151	100.0 7.5 5.7 10.2 5.7 10.7 4.8 6.7 48.7	4 631 204 1 216 410 341 3 457	100.0 4.4 (2) (2) 4.7 8.9 (2) 7.4 74.6	47 075 2 318 1 697 2 382 2 523 3 465 1 619 2 632 30 439	100.0 4.9 3.6 5.4 7.4 5.6	1 854 269 3	100.0 14.5 0.2
PITISBURGH SMSA ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA BEAVER COUNTY AREA WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	4 388 3 418 318 208 444	100.0 77.9 7.2 4.7 10.1	878 688 92 32 66	100.0 78.4 10.5 3-6 7.5	12 362 11 021 549 309	100.0 89.2 4.4 2.5	1 582	85.3 1.0.0 100.0
ST. LOUIS, MOILL. SMSA.  CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA JEFFERSON COUNTY, HO. AREA MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MG. AREA ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA ST. LOUIS CITY, MO. ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	4 725 58 73 101 421 18 301 533 1 685 1 535	100.0 1.2 1.5 2.1 8.9 0.4 6.4 11.3 35.7 32.5	315 10 105 2 154 12 32	100.0 3.2 33.3 0.6 48.9 3.8 10.2	483 13 925 110 40 109 772 206 908 8 002 3 778	100.0 0.8 0.8 0.8 5.5 1.55 57.1	1 385	100.0 1.8 0.3 0.7
AN FRANCISCO-CAKLAND SHSA. ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA HARIN COUNTY AREA SAN FRANCISCO CITY SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	15 432 5 426 3 421 1 160 3 369 2 056	100.0 35.2 22.2 7.5 21.6 13.3	5 916 2 581 1 036 549 912 838	100.0 43.6 17.5 9.3 15.4 14.2	58 415 22 600 11 669 4 563 8 856 10 727	100.0 38.7 20.0 7.8 13.2 18.4	1 279 1 513 56 468 225	92,3 100.0 3.7 30.9 14.9
ASHINGTON, D.CMDVA. SMSA ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA. ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA. FAIRFAX CITY, VA. FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA. LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA. HONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	15 143 311 187 81 33 550 53 58 1 040 1 327	100.0 2.1 1.2 0.5 0.2 3.6 0.3 0.4 6.9 8.8	2 001	100.0 1.0 - 3.6 (Z) 0.1 (Z)	64 560 663 1 542 77 1 227 49 139 1 579 1 176 378	100.0 1.0 2.4 0.1 1.9 0.1 0.2 2.4	764 3 287 91 122 1 544	50,5 100,0 2,8 3,7 47,0 0,4

Expresents upon or respice to appo.

A nine appearable.

I have then bear the unit of measurement above.

Table 12. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of local governments (Dollar amounts

<del>nimi-</del>		-		PHARIUM BYS	104	F	Pr	olice pr	ptection		$\neg$		Judic	ial	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		full-sim	West Fall	October p	HEL WASHINGTON STREET,		time c		Octobe	r payrol		ull-time lent emp		October	payrol1
100	Area	lest est mades	Pyrcent nf EMIA lotal	ARCHI	Percent of GMCA total			Percent of SUSA total	Amount	Perce of 5k tota	ea.	Nusbar	Percent of SMSA total	Anount	Percent of SMSA iotal
	TOTAL, IT LARGEST SHOATS .	286 897	(%)	334 115	(x)	194	467	(X)	235 40	1		38 905	(X)	40 079 566	(X)
4500	DALTIMONE CHSA. ANNE ARUBERL SCUNTY AREA. BALTIMONE CITY. BALTIMONE CUNTY AREA. CARACLL COUNTY AREA. HARRORD COUNTY AREA.	6 139 679 9 339 1 546 162 209 204	65.0 10.0 2.0 2.0	7 806 5 666 1 632 1 632 131	8.5 64.1 20.9	1	533 533 227 280 35 108 155	100.0 8.4 66.7 20.2 0.6 1.7 2.4		9 8 8 2	967453	657 71 391 89 40 47	10.8 59.5 13.5 6.1 7.2 2.9	52 327 89 39 43 16	9.2 57.6 15.7 6.9 7.6 2.8
A POLET	DOSTON SEA. ESSES COUNTY AREA HIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA HIGHFOLK COUNTY AREA	11 704 1 699 4 176 1 602 4 147	100.0 14.5 35.7	12 756 1 74: 4 42! 1 78: 9 80:	13. 34.	1 2	195 270 896 304 725	100,0 15.5 25.7 15.9 31.3	9 29 1 3 3 29 1 4 3 2	2 1 26 1 79 3	5.0 5.3 5.3	1 875 180 680 193 822	100.0 9.6 36.3 10.3 43.8	1 832 168 603 178 683	9.2 32.9 9.7 48.2
	CHICAGO BHSA.  COOK COUNTY AREA.  CU PAGE COUNTY AREA.  KANE COUNTY AREA.  LAKE COUNTY AREA.  HOUENRY COUNTY AREA.	29	87.4 9.5 2.4 3.1	29 51 1 38 70 87 24	0 88. 7 4. 6 2. 5 2.	7 20 2 1 6 7	94G 167 728 515 657 232 421	100.0 88.0 4.0 2.2 2.9 1.0	6	55 8 37 68 79	0.0 9.1 2.1 2.5 8 1.6	3 990 3 561 172 76 94 26 61	89.2 4.3 1.9 2.4 0.7 1.5	2 966 13: 4: 5: 1: 3:	91.3 4.1 1.2 1.8 0.4 1.2
	CLEVELAND SHOA, CUYANGGA COUNTY AREA. CEANGA COUNTY AREA. LAYE COUNTY AREA.	7 48 6 56 13 53	7 87.6 8 1.6 9 7.5	6 74	7 1,	1 4 3 8	969 434 62 329 140	100.0 89.3 1.2 6,6 2.8	2	05 47 87 38	0.0 1.2 0.9 5.3 2,6	1 495 1 234 58 133 71	100.0 92.5 3.9 8.9	1 05 3 3 8 4	86.1 6 2.9 7 7.1 7 3.8
なるとないからううう	OLLAS-FORT WORTH SMEA.  COLLIN COUNTY AREA.  DENTON COUNTY AREA.  ELLIS COUNTY AREA.  JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.  AUFHAN COUNTY AREA.  RAUFHAN COUNTY AREA.  HOCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	8 30 5 10 20	5 62.	4 90 14 90 14 90 15 14 90 16 18	17 67. 16 67. 17 2. 18 0. 15 0	5 3 0 8 1 8 5 5 3 8	967 153 844 116 81 9 52 49 36 15	100.00	3 (	90 15 80 50 4 36 23 23 23 11 291	0.7 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0	1 145 689 37 8 20 11 12 315	60. 3, 1, 1, 1, 2,	102 64 64 77 77 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	0 1.0
TO THE PARTY	TARRANT COUNTY AREA. THE COUNTY AREA.	10 7 20 6 2	71 100. 21 0. 20 11. 20 11.	7 20 5 7 20 5 5 2 1 5 2 1	11 100 97 0 67 0 15 10 05 15	21.2	30 1 535 70 62 1 205 1 683 1 133 8 382	100,0 0,0 10,0 10,1 11,72,	14 5 7 8 1 6 2	55 55 449 063 156 810	00.0 0.4 0.4 9.9 14.1 1.1 74.1	2 831 2 7: 45 55 4 1 69	100, 0, 2, 15, 19, 9 1,	0 3 0 7 6 9 3 4 5 7 2 0	16 0. 55 1. 87 12. 38 17. 44 1. 27 66.
	HOUSTON SHSA.  BHAZORIA COUNTY AREA.  FORT TEND COUNTY AREA.  FORT TEND COUNTY AREA.  LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.  HONTOCKERY COUNTY AREA.  HONTOCKERY COUNTY AREA.  SO HALLER COUNTY AREA.	5 9	72 4 23 1 36 90 84 1	2 1	87 92 117 92 56 73	0 1 5 6 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7	4 154 180 99 3 758 47 62 8	2, 90,	3 5 5 1 5	123 77 607 29 41 5	2.0	1 01 2 2	7 4 5 1 3 67 4 2 5 1	9 8	67 100, 39 4, 10 1, 75 90, 17 1, 18 1 8 0
	52 LOS ANDELES-LONG BEACH SH	SA 34 3					20 182 20 182				100,0		100	.0 5	236 150
	SUMMERARCITE-ST, PAUL SMEA ANDRA COUNTY AREA CANVER COUNTY AREA CHISADO COUNTY AREA HENNESIN COUNTY AREA HENNESIN COUNTY AREA CONTROL COUNTY AREA		266 45 697 45 616 25 67 109	3 0 8 8 1 0 0 1	755 755 767 767 767 767 767 1181 1185 1185 1185 1185 1185 1185 118	0.020	3 575 202 42 42 178 178 1 041 1 041	0105991131 1291131	5 27 49 1 1 5 4 0	000 257 22 219 086 1155 58 122 31	100.0	3155 551 844 98	7 1 7 1 7 1 15 6 15 41 86 27 27 23 32 19	7 0 0 5 7 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	581 100 58 8 7 1 6 6 244 6 226 42 189 27 19 2 28 4 16 2 032 100
	AN MASCAU-SUPPOLK SMSA	* * 1	741 6	1.1	876	0.0 3.2 6.8	8 66 5 29 3 37	1 61	.1 (	980 904 076	100. 62. 37.	1 1 6	67 61 32 3	7.2	354 66 678 33
	## RETARK SHISA AREA #0 #SESE COUNTY AREA #CRRIS COUNTY AREA #21 UNION COUNTY AREA #21 UNION COUNTY AREA	10	188 10 874 5 331 1	7.7 6	401 401	00.0 86.3 12.7 5.6 23.4	6 31 58 91 91 38 1 43	1 14	.4	7 075 5 943 1 048 1 009 1 675	100. 55. 14. 5.	8 8	24 5 57 1 92	0.0 1 7.2 0.9 6.4 5.5	437 100 895 62 126 8 70 4 396 2

the finitaries at and of table.

#### in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1974

in thousands)

Lega	l services	and prote	oction		Indigent	dofense			Corre	ctions		(FE	nor cripin	al justic	2
	ployees	October	payroll	Full-tim lent em	e equiva- ployees	Octobe	payro11	Full-time		October	payroll		e equiva-	October	payrol1
Kampor	Porcent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Kumber	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Porcent of SMSA total
13 508	(X)	16 379	(X)	1 421	(x)	2 004	(x)	37 535	(x)	38 689	(*)	1 061	(X)	1 359	(X)
469 37 283 82 29 22 16	100.0 7.9 60.3 17.5 6.2 4.7 3.4	527 43 331 85 30 21 17	100.0 8,2 62.8 16.1 5.7 4.0 3.2	7111111	100.0	111111	100.0	675 38 438 95 58 32 14	100 Q 5 6 64 9 14 1 8 7 2 1	629 32 417 100 39 30 11	100.0 5.1 66.3 15.9 6.2 4.8	11111	100.0		100.0
342 46 97 57 142	100.0 13.5 28.4 16.7 41.5	330 40 90 56 144	100.0 12.1 27.3 17.0 43.6	8 8 ~	100.0	8 8 -	100.0	1 226 196 477 128 425	100.0 16.0 38.9 10.4 34.7	1 228 186 451 124 457	100.0 15.1 37.5 10.1 37.2	58 7 18 33	100.0 12.1 31.0 56.9	59 7 14 38	100.0 11.9 23.7 64.4
1 091 875 75 41 61 11 28	100.0 23.2 6.9 3.8 5.6 1.0 2.6	1 199 983 78 35 60 11 32	100.0 82.0 6.5 2.9 5.0 0.9 2.7	254 208 29 3 5 5	100.0 81.9 11.4 1.2 2.0 1.2 2.4	301 257 28 4 6 2	100.0 85.4 9.3 1.3 2.0 0.7	1 811 1 454 134 79 98 22 24	100.0 80.3 7.4 4.4 5.4 1.2	1 621 1 349 109 58 70 16	00.00 2.68 3.6 3.6 4.3 1.0	5 1 2 3 1 -	100,0 40,0 60,0	7 3 1 3	100.0 42.9 14.3 42.9
315 261 10 29 15	100.0 82.9 3.2 9.2 4.8	322 272 10 29 11	100.0 84.5 3.1 9.0 3.4	-	100.0	11111	100.0	706 638 8 48 12	100.0 90.4 1.1 6.8 1.7	556 510 4 34 8	100.0 91.7 0.7 6.1 1.4	:	100.0		100.0
437 4 210 36	100.0 0.9 48.1 6.9	454 258 23	100.0 0.9 56.8 5.1	#111	100.0	5	100.0	731 6 439 24 13	100.0 0.8 60.1 3.3	591 3 375 17 8	100.0 0.5 63.5 2.9 1.4	20	100.0	17	100.0 82.4
2 6 7 5 8 161 4	0,5 1,4 1,6 1,1 1,8 36,8	1 4 3 4 150	0.2 0.9 0.7 0.9 33.0	11114	100.0	1111151	100.0	1 8 4 7 222	0.1 1.1 0.5 1.0 30.4	4 2 4 175 3	0.7 0.3 0.7 29.6	- - 7	35.0	3	17.6
637 12 17 100 119 12 377	100.0 1.9 2.7 15.7 18.7 1.9 59.2	929 17 129 170 16 588	100,0 1.0 1.8 13.9 18.3 1.7 63.3	4 	100.0	41)114	100.0	1 729 13 46 164 343 43 1 120	100.0 0.8 2.7 9.5 19.8 2.5 64.8	1 891 10 40 142 330 38 1 331	100.0 0.5 2.1 7.5 17.5 2.0 70.4	28 7 9 3	100.0 25.0 32.1 10.7 32.1	32 7 8 4	100.0 21.9 25.0 12.5
343 15 2 309 10 2	100.0 4.4 0.6 90.1 1.5 2.9	378 13 1 350 4 8 2	100.0 3.4 0.3 92.6 1.1 2.1 0.5	111111	100.0	11111111	100.0	792 20 7 744 8 11 2	100.0 2.5 0.9 93.9 1.0 1.4	629 12 599 6 6	100,0 1,9 0,6 95,2 1,0 1,0	112	100.0	86 - 86 -	100.0
2 J07 2 J07	100.0	3 296 3 296	100.0	549 549	100.0	997 997	100.0	6 673 6 673	100.0	7 523 7 523	100.0	-	100.0	1	100.0
278 26 12 14 126 76 5	100.0 9.4 0.7 5.0 45.7 45.7 45.7 45.7	, 379 31 20 13 191 104 15 9	100.0 8,2 0.5 0.5 3,4 50.4 27,4 2,4 2,4	43 7 - 2	71.7 11.7 3.3	65 5 1 	100.0 7.7 1.5 - 78.5 9.2	848 27 3 1 13 453 294 10 15 20	100.0 3.2 0.4 0.1 1.5 53.4 7 1.2 2.4	895 28 2 1 11 479 326 7 13 20 8	100.0 0.2 0.1 1.2 53.5 56.4 0.8 2.2	36  4 32	11.1	32 	100.0 15.6 84.4
644 359 285	100.0 55.7 44.3	849 494 355	100.0 58.2 41.8		100.0	1	100.0	1 649 1 019 630	100.0 61.8 38.2	1 751 1 118 633	100.0 63.8 36.2	8 5	100.0 62.5 37.5	10 6 4	100.0 60.0 40.0
745 360 89 44 252	100.0 48.3 11.9 5.9	868 458 86 46	100.0 52.8 9.9 5.3	1	100.0		100.0	1 652 1 077 173 114	100,0 65,2 10,5	1 618 1 117 141 92	100.0 69.0 8.7 5.7	38 28 - 10	100.0 73.7	38 26	100.0

#### Table 12. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of local governments

(Dollar amounts

	Total		juntica eyo	tem		Police pr	rotection			Judio	1=1	
A.C.	Tall-time tent emp	*********	October p	ayroll	Pull-time		October	payro11	Pull-tim leat em	e equiva- ployees	October	payroll
and and the control of the control o	States	Percept of GEA total	ANGLES	Percent of EKCA total	Number	Percent of EMMA total	Ascunt	Percent of SEGA 12061	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percen of EMS total
NEW YORK, N.YW.J., SMEA., SERGEN COUNTY, N.Y. AREA. THE YORK CITY, N.Y. AREA. BUTHAN COUNTY, N.Y. AREA. BUTHAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA. RESTENDETEN COUNTY,	65 599 3 329 57 386 141 809	100.0 5.1 87.5 0.2 1.2	68 611 3 584 79 467 145 986	100.0 4.0 89.5 0.2 1.1	48 252 2 286 42 707 57 510	100.0 4.7 88.5 6.1 1.1	67 190 2 609 60 588 79 667	100.0 3.9 90.2 0.1 1.0	6 765 509 5 696 21 112	100.0 7.5 84.2 0.3 1.7	8 946 478 7 889 16 117	100.0 5.3 88.2 0.2
PHICASECPHIA, PA. HU.J. AREA ENCRY COUNTY, PA. AREA	3 934 24 492 1 001	100.0	4 629 23 717 874	5,2 100,0 3.7	2 682 14 999 577	5.6 100.0 3.8	3 247 15 232 548	4.8 100.0 3.6	427 4 909 225	100.0	446 4 297 145	100.1
Duel Indian County, 1.1. Area, CANDEN COUNTY, N.J. Area, CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA, GELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA,	996 1 766 800 1 839	3.9 7.3 3.3 7.5	836 1 515 685 1 493	3.5 6.4 2.9 6.3	483 1 208 322 596	3,2 8,1 2,1 6,0	477 1 049 269 875	3.1 6.9 1.8 5.7	182 211 187 441	3.7 4.3 3.8 9.0	134 150 131 286	3. 3. 6.
GLOUGESTER COUNTY,  M.J. AREA HONTOGHERY COUNTY,  PA. AREA	710 1 864	2.9 7.6	593 1 724	2,5 7,3	362 1 055	2,4 7,0	329 2 058	2,2 6,9	111	9.0 2.3	86 364	2. 8.
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA., PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA., PHITEBURGH SMSA ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA DEANFR COUNTY AREA RESTHINGTON COUNTY AREA RESTHORELAND COUNTY AREA.	15 544 6 493 5 130 896 311 556	100.0 79.0 7.6 9.8 8.6	15 997 5 678 4 698 367 221 392	67,4 100.0 82.7 6.5 3.9 6.9	10 096 4 227 3 433 270 204 320	67.3 100.0 81.2 6.4 4.8 7.6	10 627 3 938 3 322 219 155 242	69.8 100.0 84.4 5.6 3.9 6.1	3 108 1 193 883 125 52 133	63.3 100.0 74.0 10.5 4.4 11.1	3 001 872 686 79 30 77	69. 100. 78. 9. 3.
ST, LGUIS, HO, VILL, SMSA. CLINTON COUNTY, ILL, AREA PRANKLIN COUNTY, MO, AREA PROJECT COUNTY, MO, AREA MOUSEN COUNTY, ILL, AREA MOUNE COUNTY, ILL, AREA,	9 068 32 98 143 602	100,0 0,4 1,1 1,6 6,6 0,2	7 872 20 56 86 527	100.0 0.3 0.7 1.1 6.7 0,1	6 356 8 58 74 365 17	100,0 0,1 0,9 1,2 5,7	5 793 5 37 48 350	100.0 0.1 0.6 0.8 6.0	1 219 6 24 45 101 2	100.0 0.5 2.0 3.7 8.3 0.2	654 4 13 24 69	100 0 1 2 8
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, HO. ANEA ST. CLAIR COUNTY,	494	5,4	235	3,0	429	6.7	193	3,3	30	2.5	20	2.
ST. LGUIS CTTY, MG	600 4 135 8 936	6.7 45.6 32.4	507 3 888 2 542	6.4 49.4 32.3	763 2 944 2 098	5.7 46,3 33.0	338 2 927 1 888	5.8 5.05 32.6	107 456 448	8.8 37.4 36.8	67 326 330	7, 38, 38,
SAN FRANCISCO-DAKLAND SMSA. ALANDYA COUNTY AREA. CONTOS COSTA COUNTY AREA. HARLA COUNTY AREA. DAN FRANCISCO CITY. SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA.	13 817 4 594 2 250 905 4 010 2 070	100.0 33.2 16.3 6.5 29.0	17 169 5 666 2 653 1 070 5 728 2 452	100.0 33.0 15.5 6.2 31.0 14.3	7 769 2 623 1 060 371 2 583 1 132	100.0 33.8 13.6 4.8 33.2 14.6	10 202 3 424 1 287 443 3 667 1 381	100.0 33.6 12.6 4.3 35.9 13.5	1 754 440 287 174 587 266	100.0 25.1 16.4 9.9 33.5 15.2	1 930 486 308 184 675 277	100. 25. 16. 9. 35.
#ASHINGTON, D.C. +  MD VA. BMSA ALEXANCRIA CITY, VA. ANLINGTON COUNTY, VA. CHARLES CGUNTY, MU. ARFA. FAIRFAX CCUTY, VA. FAIRFAX CGUNTY, VA. FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA. LOUDOUM COUNTY, VA. HGNTGGMERY COUNTY,	15 924 365 488 1004 1004 77	100.0 2.3 3.1 0.5 0.3 6.3 0.5	16 747 402 603 79 68 1 278 45 61	100.0 2.1 30.4 0.4 6.6 0.2	10 040 256 355 69 51 698 34	100.55.75.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.	12 425 301 468 61 66 978 40	100.0 2.4 3.8 0.5 0.5 7.9 0.3	1 690 49 40 9 47 177 3	100.0 2.9 2.4 0.5 0.2 10.5 0.2	1 808 38 39 8 2 149 1	100. 2. 2. 0. 0.
PRINCE GEORGES ECUNYY,	1 219	7,7	1 952	8,7	961	9,6	1 332	10,7	110	6.5	98	5.
PRINCE WILCIAM COUNTY, ' WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY ::	1 647 254 10 681	10.3 1.6 67.1	1 837 241 12 510	9.8 1.3 66.7	1 284 153 6 132	1.5	1 444 151 7 546	1.2	232 49 1 004	13.7 2.9 59.4	245 41 1 177	13. 2. 65.

<sup>-</sup> Arbitanble apro or posulde to egra. A not applicable.

in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1974—Continued in thousands)

	legal	mervices	and protec	tion		Indigen	t defense			Corre	octions		T	thor natur	inal justi		<del></del>
	Full-time lent amy		October	payroll	Full-tir lent er	abložoes ednjas-	Octobe	payroli	Full-tin	e equiva-	Octoba	r payroll	-	e equiva-	1	payrol	number
	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Porcent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Porcent of SMSA total	Amount	Porcent of SMSA total	Number	Porcent of SMSA total	Amount	Porcon of SMS total	1 3
	2 713 207 2 106 14 83	100.0 7.6 77.6 0.5 3.1	3 190 206 2 474 15 111	100.0 6.5 77.6 0.5 3.5	16 - 16	100.0	18 - - 18	100.0	7 185 327 6 221 39 88	100.0 4.6 86.6 0.5 1.2	8 484 291 7 541 35	3,4 88.9 0.4	656	100.0 98.2	983 975	100.	2 3
	303 1 101 59	11,2 100.0 5.4	384 1 <sup>*</sup> 161 59	12.0 100.0 5.1	116 22	100.0 19.0	92	100.0	510 3 362	7.1 100.0	544 2 931	6.4	12	1.8	8 4	0.	B 6
	85 119 63 166	7.7 10.8 5.7 15.1	62 115 108 107	7.1 9.9 9.3 9.2	29 28	25.0 24.1	23 20	23,9 25.0 21,7	118 196 245 199 308	5,8 7,3 5,9 9,2	100 143 197 154 205	3,4 4,9 6,7 5,3 7,0	1, 151	100.0	- - -	100.0	8
	74 97 438	8.8 39.8	62 85 543	7.3 46.8	37	31.9	27	29.3	163 231 1 902	4.8 6.9 56.6	116	4,0 6,5			-		13
	391 306 28 19 38	100.0 78.3 7.2 4.9 9.7	310 247 21 13 29	100.0 79.7 6.8 4.2 9.4	81 59 10 3	100.0 72.8 12.3 3.7 11.1	69 55 6 2 6	100.0 79.7 8.7 2.9 8.7	601 449 63 33 56	100.0 74.7 10.5 5.5 9.3	1 826 489 388 42 21 38	62.3 100.0 79.3 8.6 4.3 7.8	-	100.0		100,0	17 18 19
	392 4 10 13 46 1	100.0 1.0 2.6 3.3 11.7 0.3	373 4 6 9 39	100.0 1.1 -1.6 2.4 10.5	26 - 10)	38,5	22	100.0	1 073 14 6 11 80	100.0 1.3 0.6 1.0 7.5	828 7 2 5 60	100.0 0.8 0.2 0.6 7.2	2	100.0	2	100.0	22 23 24
	14 42 141	3,6 10.7 36.0	11 36 151	2,9 9.7 40.5	16	61.5	13	59.1	21	2.0	11 53	1.3		-	-	-	26 27
	862 277	100.0	1 222	31.1 100.0 32.7	302 151	100.0	423 205	100.0	592 269 3 119	55.2 25.1	482 208 3 360	58.2 25.1 100.0	31	100.0	2	100.0	30
	222 56 176 131	25.8 6.5 20.4 15.2	280 87 288 167	22.9 7.1 23.6 13.7	67 31 53	22.2 10.3 17.5	91 43 84	48.5 21.5 10.2 19.9	1 100 614 248 611 546	35.3 19.7 6.0 19.6 17.5	1 148 687 289 614 622	34.2 20.4 8.6 18.3 18.5	25	9.7 80.6 9.7	24	75.0 15.6	32 33 34 35
	441 19 18 7 -	100.0 4.3 4.1 1.6	592 25 23 6	100.0 4.2 3.9 1.0		100.0		100.0	3 703 42 63 6	100.0 1.1 1.7 0.2	3 865 38 62 4	100.0 1.0 1.6 0.1	50	100.0	57 11	19.3	38 39 40
	67	7.9 0.5 1.4 15.2	46 2 5	7.8 0.3 0.8	1				91 11	2.5 0.1 0.3	104 2 8	2.7 0.1 0.2	3	6.0		1.8	41 42 43 44
	91	20.6	115	19.4	}		-	-	40	1,1	97 33	0.9	2	4.0	3		45 46
L	179	40.6	260	45.9					3 333	90.0	32 3 485	90.8	رَد	66.0	42	73,7	47 48

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974

1	T)	crimani due	tice system	Police pr	netton	Judic	ial *
e to be y	forel general expenditure	Aprent to	ercent of	Asons	Forcent of total criminal justice system	Anount	Porcent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	32 839 623	A 034 131	12,6	3 220 928	79.4	314 615	7.8
Adamai Dichingham,	89 17A 77 2045 37 2754 36 254 19 201	9 83469 1 8469 2 7732 3 7732 1 732	11.55 17.55 15.17 15.10 15.17	8 575 752 2 560 4 604 3 186 1 550	84.2 84.4	287 270 104 255 351 37	2.9 25.6 3.6 4.7 9.3 2.1
1201A1 REDA, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	13 992 162 602 17 CBD 16 DUA 16 DUA 17 BBD	2 481 30 626 1 736 2 151 10 339	17.8 19.2 10.2 11.5	2 348 27 476 1 569 1 935 9 457	89.1 90.4 90.0	65 1 582 62 84 304	3.
Kansasi Fept dultu	7 6"4 22 747 11 423 5 482	1 079 3 779 1 446 1 130	19,1 16.6 12.7 17.6	976 3 365 1 206 996	89.2	130	9.
Liferniai Albahdra Anbahdra Tamenufilu Tamenufilu Usinglar Usinglar Usinglar Canga	9 410 13 464 36 416 15 091 27 653 9 677 21 897 8 611	1 732 1 735 2 228 2 208 1 4 6319 1 6 535 1 195 1 795	51.94 19.4 19.10 19.4 19.6 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4	1 86 1 71 6 84 3 93 4 30 1 59 1 10 1 71	96. 94. 2 94. 2 96. 97. 97. 97.		
CORPTON CONCERD	17 194 13 315 19 716 10 554 10 542 10 424	3 244 2 645 3 665 3 665 1 665 2 440 1 658 1 658	22.8 26.7 20.7 23.7 23.7 13.7 15.9	3 8 5 9 9 0 1 2 4 2 1 5 1 2 4 2 1 4	2 98, 94, 77 98, 98, 97,	0804425	
ENFOND. FOLLERTON GARGES GROVE. GARDALE. HAYWARD HAYWARD HAYBACD LARENCED.	51 136 13 184 11 579 30 754 7 754 19 812 25 935 20 200	7 863 3 689 1 397 3 333 4 767 4 993	13.9 24.7 12.7 18.4 18.4 18.7 15.7	352	97, 97, 97, 22 95, 95, 96,	89500555	
LONG CEACH	105 197 702 020 12 770 12 493 0 134 109 282 9 716 13 100	2 014 1 519 1 7 417 2 037 2 185	15.1 15.1 15.2 15.2 15.3 16.15.	105 0	12 96 98 96 51 96 51 96 137 93	6729214	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
DALO ALTO	16 991 3 743 17 127 17 127 11 281 12 201 20 30	6 336 1 083 2 273 1 413 1 436 4 058	TO 9 4 7 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	953 952 952 952 952 966 978 966 978 966	.3 .7 .5	
智能 翻稿 医医生性 医生生 医生生	13 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	6 691 1 776 21 336 1 691 1 941	10, 13, 14, 12, 16,	1 1 18 18 45 16	573 9 710 9 905 8 399 6 017 9 835 9	7.2	543
Santer Ana	TO THE STATE OF TH	2 72 45 5 64 5 7 6 6 4 7 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1	10 12 12 13 14 12 13 14 15	Non-	624 9 375 9 417 9	5.4 5.2 7.3 6.3 7.4 8.5 7.7	

the Contractes at with of fable.

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Legal se	rvices and cution	Indigen	t defense	Cor:	reations	Other orts	enitent lani
City	Asount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Asount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	133 570	3,3	21 283	0,5	323 859	8,0	39 876	1.0
ALABAHAI BRAHINGHAH. GADSDEN HUNTSVILLE. HORILE. HORIGOMERY. TUSCALOOSA.	250 7 60 89 45 38	0,7 2,1 1,6 1,2	15 - - - 9	0,2 - - - 0,5	673 17 165 450 191 98	6.8 1.6 5.7 8.1 5.7	37 71	0.4
ARIZONA: MESA. PHOENIX. SCOTTSDALE. TEMPE TUCSON.	55 1 029 105 93 491	2.2 3.3 6.0 4.3 4.8	6 177 -	0.6	532 - 71	0.3 1.7 0.7	30 29	0.1
ARKANSAS: FORT SHITH. LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF.	38 86 40 35			T 43 8	41 152 70 44	3.8 4.0 4.8 3.9	•	•
CALIFORNIAI ALAMEDA ALHAMERA ANAHEIN BAKERSFIELD BELLFLOKER BERKELEY BUENA PARK BURBANK CARSON CHULA VISTA	65 55 280 190 29 125 41 206 68 68	3.1 3.9 5.9 2.8 2.5 4.8	**********	1 3 7 3 7 1 1 7 7 1	113 - 1 89	1.6 	#1 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	
COMPTUN	64 52 67 36 39 35 42 67	2,2 2.0 1,6 2.8	1 1 1 1 1 1	1.5.4.1.1.4.1	92	3.0	11	0.4
FRESHO. FULLERTON GARDEN GROVE. GLENDALE HARTHORNE HAYMARD HUNTINGTON BEACH. INGLEMOOD LAKEWOOD,	217 73 60 167 112 89 215 223		1166991	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	12	1.1	45	1.4
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES MODESTO HOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK OAKLAND ONTARIO ORANGE OXNARD	1 200 5 483 66 57 43 318 19 78 74	3,3 3,8 3,1	1.5.7.5.1.5.1.6.	1	623 253 - - 862	3.8 0.1  4.9	20 8	0.11 (2)
PALO ALTO PASADEYA PICO RIVERA POMONA REDONDO BEACH REDNGOD CITY RICHMOND RIVERSIUE SACRAMENTO	151 274 21 69 54 110 92 123	3.8 6.7 2.3 2.5	7 4 4 5 3 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	109 161 39	1.7 4.9 2.0	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	1.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1
SALINAS SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO SAN BUFNAVENTURA SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOSE SAN JOSE SAN HATEO SAN HATEO	68 118 66 1 284 3 369 680 109	2.5 3.7 6.0 4.9 4.1 5.6	152 912	0.0 1.3	896 8 856	4.2 15.0	102 73 225	5.8 0.3 0.3
SANTA ANA SANTA CLARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CLARA SANTA COLARA SANTA HONICA SANTA ROSA SIMI VALLEY SOUTH GATE STECKTON	245 133 80 246 67 63 35 115	3,3 6,7 5,4 5,9		4 1 1 1	1      	(Z)		

See footpotes at and of table

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Total crimical	Satice system	Police pr	otection	Judio	:iei
Alestonia de la compansión de la compans	Total general eveneditare	ANTON	Percent of total general expeciature	Amount	Percent of total cristoal justice system	Ascunt	Percent of total criminal justice system
ALERCHORMANCE NOTELLED CONCENTRALES CONCENTR	18 545 24 737 14 734 12 316 5 661 6 215	1 035	10.6 20.9 16.3 13.3 27.1	1 898 4 041 2 127 1 616 1 551 1 860	94.7 93.4 97.3 98.8 97.5 98.6		
OLDHADOR ALMINA BLUDGER BLUDGER GELGHADO INRENDE FENSER RAREWOOD E PLENE PURENO E PROPERTO PURENO E PROPERTO E	19 267 15 866 37 869 261 997 13 384 28 983	2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	14.4 11.3 11.6 12.6 10.1	1 966 1 437 3 940 24 954 2 195 2 254	89,1 85,9 89,9 75,3 87,4 89,9	107 133 222 2 725 128 70	4.9 7.9 5.1 8.2 5.1 2.8
Confectators  Engicerate  Associate  Candidat  Associate  Associat	76 245 29 321 26 918 164 025 26 563	8 419 1 4201 1 4201 1 557 1 678	11.0 4.8 6.4 5.6 5.5	8 215 1 755 1 687 8 231 1 458 1 630	97.6 95.4 97.5 95.1 95.1	60	0.7 0.1 0.2 0.1
於政治 此次是學典於 1	36 019 81 752 80 745 81 302 56 713 22 198	2 7199 7 8559 7 8559 7 835 1 520	6.7 8.5 6.7 7.0 6.1 6,8	2 279 6 925 2 785 5 433 3 290 1 434	94.4 98.7 96.7 92.7 95.8 94.3	5 13 4 30 13 2	0.2 0.2 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.1
· 在1000年100日本 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	62 257	5-140	8.3	4 580	89.1	330	6.4
irrance of columber Tributation of the second	1 297 A57	178 212	13,7	88 002	49,4	17 739	10.0
ACRECIAS ELEARBRATOS RURT E ACURDOLALE RURT E ACURDOLALE RURT E ACURDOLALE RURT SALAR RU	19 209 35 114 16 466 13 367 14 722 74 673	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	10.00 19.5 24.3 27.3 15.7	1 722 5 967 2 383 2 889 4 289 13 338 16 139	90.1 87.7 94.9 92.3 60.4	71 371 57 4 139 3 869	3.7 5.4 2.2 0.1 3.0 17.5
HEAME DEACH  OTHER MEDICAL  DEACH OF THE MEDICAL  DEACH OF THE MEDICAL  THE CAPABLE OF THE MEDICAL  DEACH OF T	31 823 13 460 13 1060 25 108 26 91n 26 234 11 028	5 121 5 724 7 725 7 756 7 756 7 757 7 757	19.2 19.6 13.7 20.6 8.5 13.6 22.1	5 365 5 859 1 6 716 2 745 8 508 2 311	87.5 87.3 89.9 92.5 95.1 90.7	191 153 - 125 8 144 27	3.1 2.3 1.7 0.3 1.6
#LHUSAF	19 517 171 764 10 746 48 718 18 524 19 556	1 904 20 980 20 0 98 3 88 3 88	137, 116, 116, 116, 116, 116, 116, 116, 11	1 930 19 966 1 680 4 124 2 808 2 988	97.3 86.9 90.5 63.8 92.4 89.3	13 886 49 1 203 107 113	0.7 3.9 2.6 18.6 2.4 3.4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	189 595	20 597	15,7	25 007	80,8		-
GOISE CIAR * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	20 950	1 629	14.1	1 628	89.0	84	4.6
GARANTANAN MERUPAKAN ANTAN MENERANTAN MENERA	7 451 9 754 31 745 11 761 940 610 6 472	1 967 2 27 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	19.78 19.78 19.78 19.78 19.78 19.78	1 407 2 160 810 1 255 227 411 1 334	95.9 95.2 95.2	245	(2) 0,4 0,1
Chester as a second sec	14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	2 61A 1 647 2 617 2 727	10.45 15.00 15.00 15.45 19.45	1 699 1 498 2 788 1 788 1 788 1 747	95.12 96.12 96.20 96.20 96.20 96.90	10 2 12	0.6 0.1 0.7
○大変 伊藤茂彦。 な ま ま ま す す り り せ す ま ま 1 発 1 発 2 発 3 発 3 発 3 発 3 発 3 発 3 発 3 発 3 発 3		# 156 # 156 # 560 # 560 # 560	15.1 21.2 17.1 11.4	2 263 4 264 7 719 1 750 2 765 2 761	97.8 95.5 97.6 97.6 95.7 91.3	5 16	0.2

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

City	Legal : pro:	ervices and ecution	Indiger	t defense	Cor	rections	Other net	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Asount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total oriminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system
CALIFORNIACONTINUED SUNNYVALE TORRANCE VALLEJO MEST COVINA MESTMINSTER WHITTIER, COLORADO:	106 231 5a 19 38 26	5,3 4,5 2,7 1,2 2,4 1,4	# # # # #		109	2.1	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
AURORA BOULDER COLDRADO SPRINGS DENVER LAKEWOOD PUEBLO	133 112 149 1 808 179 85	6.0 6.7 3.4 5.5 7.1	444 10	1,3	3 160	0.7	41 63	0.9
GONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT, BRISTOL DANBURY HARIFORD HERIDEN HILFORD	138 42 40 424 64 47	1.6 3.0 2.3 4.9 4.2 2.8	-	1	99	3.9	6 22	0,1
MEW BRITAIN NEW HAVEN NORWALK STAMFORD, WATERBURY WEST HAVEN,	130 98 92 376 132 64	5.4 1.4 3.4 6.4 3.8 4.2	35	0.5			20	0.3
ELAWARE! MILMINGTON, ISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: WASHINGTON,	230	4.5	•		-	*	20	. 1.3
LORIDA: CLEARWATER FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HOLLYNGOD JACKSONVILLE MIAHI	68 139 70 31 129 1 081	3.6 2.0 2.7 1.0 2.8 4.9	1 902	0,5	57 730 41 344 61 130 85	32.4 2.1 5.0 2.4 4.0	1 516	0.9
MIAHI BEACH ORLANDO PENSACOLA ST. PETERSBURG TALLAHASSEE TAMPA MEST PALM BEACH	363 481 99 77 174 107 279 66	2.1 7.9 1.5 4.3 2.4 4.3 3.1 2.7	13, 16	0.2	3 552 421 71 442 103 244 6	16.0 2.5 1.2 6.6 5.8 3.4 0.2	139	2,1
ORGIAI ALBANY ALLANTA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS ACON SAVANNAH	41 795 24 275 80 42	2.1 3.5 1.3 4.3 2.6	55	0.9	1 333 104 791 50	5.5 5.8 5.6 12.2	16	0.2
HOI HOI HOISE CITY,	2 868	9,9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		940	3,3	-	•
INDIS: RLINGTON HEIGHTS DAGRA, ERRYN HAMPAIGN HICAGO ICERO	60 66 27 47 3 162	4.1 3.0 3.1 3.6			24	1.0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mi Mi
ECATUR TS PLAINES S PLAINES S PLAINES OIN JANSTON LIST K LANN	57 50 77 108 52 79 82 42	4.1 2.9 4.9 3.8 3.2 3.0 3.2 2.4		-	5 006	0.1	503	0.2
K PARK. ORIA. ORIA. CK ISLAND CK ISLAND RINGFIELD UKEGAN, FOOTDOUGH at end of table.	55 160 108 18 96 157	2.4 3.8 2.7 1.3 4.3 5.6 2.1		0.1	31 97 68	0.7	51 51	

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

								ý	Total	çris	inal j	ustice system	Poli	ico pr	otection	Juc	iicíal
	(11y	a school	dentice	******		de la constantina de	Total g			eoun ¢		Percent of total general expenditure	Авоцц		Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total crimin tays epitent
SOUTH HEND.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *		# * # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	******	****	2	9 651 26 821 27 456 33 356 20 115 27 797 9 237 26 851 9 742		27 27	259 372 075 857 736 677 402	18,3 12,2 15,9 24,0 14,2 12,2 18,2 18,7 13,9	1 2 4 5 2 18 3	252 625 920 561 338	92.8 89.0 91.7 86.5 91.9 68.2 93.1 98.1 92.7	61 100 320 143 3 024 87 46	3, 3, 5, 5, 10, 5,
ONAI CEMAN PICIDS COUNCIL DIPPS DAVENPORT DES HOINES DUDUGUE SIOUX CITY WATERLOO	* * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * *	* * * * * * *	*********		26 420 17 536 16 863 11 879 10 692 12 450 23 657		2 1 1 7 1 1 1	314 648 946	8.7 7.1 11.1 14.1 15.7 7.8	1 1 6 1	697	86.0 83.0 90.3 87.5 84.9 77.3 92.6	152 109 72 385 93 14 86	6. 8. 7. 5. 0.
TOPEKA.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *		* *	* * * *	* # \$ * * *		50 980 5 139 14 918 20 408		5 1 3 7	595	18,0 35,1 14,4 6,1	4 1 3 5		89.2 71.8 90.2 80.5	104 77 78 229	1. 4. 2. 3.
A ME LA BERTON MANAGE A		• •		* * # * * *	*		] ;	12 285 22 846 39 858 17 502		15	846 430 415 242	15.0 132.5 11.0 7.1	1 7 14 1	399	92.7 94.3 93.4 92.2	29 76 369 33	1. 1. 2. 2.
	* ° * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * *	# # # # # # # # # # # #		# # # # # # # # #		33 478 8 419 10 206 10 686 70 095 31 225		14 1 1 34 5	991 469 020	22,1 15,5 9,7 13,7 20,0 16,3	8 1 1 23 4	116 885 224 918	57.4 85.7 89.3 83.3 70.3	3 460 96 42 90 4 631 297	
PORTLAND	* # '	* *			•	 		35 266		2	257	6.4	2	177	96.5		
BALTIHORE			4		•	+ +	7	785		71	448	9,1	54	771	76.7	5 208	7.
HOLYOKE	* * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * *		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		0 501 52 126 56 582 55 918 43 096 44 078 28 283		67 3 4 1 4 1 2	010 657 316 869	12.7 6.2 6.0 6.4 10.0 7.8 8.1	45 3 3 1 4 1 2	607 030 807	68.2 98.6 97.0 97.0 97.0 96.2	10 818	16.
LOWELL		* * * *	* * * *	* * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	4 4 7 7 1 4 4 4 9 8		35 450 46 618 30 678 27 678 52 498 59 618		2 2 3 4 3 5	158 130 296	8.3 8.7 7.0 7.7 8.2 7.0	2	888 111 081 160	95.5 95.8 97.8 97.7 96.8 97.0	# # # #	
PITTSPIELO						* 5 * 8 * 4 * 4		28 316 59 089 33 150 54 114 27 367 03 401		1 2 5 2 7	369 356	4,4 6,3 7,1 6,0 7,9 6,8		074	95.7 98.1 88.0 92.7 96.5 96.4	5	,z
ANN ARROR BEARBORN, DEARBORN HEIGH BETROIT FLINT GRAND PAPIDS KALAMAZOO	is.	, ,						25 161 28 945 10 421 16 314 79 571 16 639		118 9 118	889 261 669 357 586 421 245	15.5 14.7 25.6 21.6 10.6 18.1 21.2	3 2 102 7 6	287 747 751 545 509 315 706	84.5 87.9 88.1 86.6 87.5 75.0 87.4	396 170 262 7 909 487 981 342	4, 9, 6, 5,
LANSING LINCOLN PARK. LIVONIA PONTIAC ROYAL OAK BAGGWAR	* *	* *	*			* *		0 229 6 698 24 125 12 208 10 206 18 565 28 895		2 2		14.0 21.8 13.2 10.8 20.0 29.4 13.9	1 2 3 1	589 322 788 886 796 221 825	81.5 90.6 87.8 85.1 88.0 88.3	488 75 192 365 154 192	5. 6. 8. 7.
TAYLOR,	es, is:	* *		* *		* *		11 291 19 456 12 658 13 328		3	355 228 714 960	22.6 16.6 21.4 14.7	5 5	408 541 518 811	94.2 78.7 92.8 92.4	91 481 146 112	14.

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

City	Legal services and prosecution	Indigent defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
	Amount Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount Percent of total oriminal justice system	Amount total original
INDIANAI ANDERSON, EVANSVILLE. FORT WAYNE. GARY, IAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS. HUNCIE. SOUTH BEND. TERRE HAUTE	57 130 400 101 2.3 157 61 2.6 61 2.6 168 4.2 24 1.4 64 1.9 53	882 3.2	4 0.2 6 0.2 55 0.9 28 1.0 3 742 13.5 5 0.3	Justice system  122 123 263 6.0 291 4.8
IOWAI CEDAR RAPIDS COUNCIL BLUFFS OAVENPORT DES HOINES DUBUQUE SIOUX CITY WATERLOO	83 3.6 79 6.3 110 6.3 265 3.6 33 2.0 120 6.2 51 2.6	20 0.3	3 0.1 24 1.9 221 3.0 105 6.4 44 2.3	83 3.6 26 0.4 18 1.1
KANSASI KANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK TOPEKA MICHITA KENTUCKY:	172 117 101 238 3,2		237 4.3 117 3.3 385 5.2	264 13.6 88 1.6 315 17.4 58 7.9
COVINGTON LEXINGTON LOUISVILLE OMENSBORO LOUISIANAI BATON ROUGE	71 101 510 39 39 3,3 3,1	5 0.1	35 240 3.2 120 25 2,0	17 0.1
LARAYETTE LAKE CHARLES MONROE NEW ORLEANS SHREVEPORT	878 49 3.8 47 47 63 729 4.3 729 2.1	228 3 0.2 	1 402 38 2.9 17 1.7 92 6.3 4 247 12.5	2 (2)
MAINE: PORTLAND: HARYLAND: BALTIHORE HASSACHUSETTS:	68 3.0 4 039 5.7		6 764 9,5	12 0.5
BOSTON BROCKTON, CAHBRIDGE CHICOPEE FALL RIVER HOLYOKE LAWRENCE	1 784 2.7 60 1.8 141 3.5 50 3.0 78 1.8 62 3.3		6 687 10.0	2 054 37 0.9 57
LOWELL. LYNN. MALDEN. MEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEWTON.	42 133 96 47 2.2 49 2.3 80 1.9 125		9 0.4 6 0.2 -	36 1.6 67 1.7
PITTSFIELD. QUINCY. SOMERVILLE. SPRINGFIELD WALTHAH WORCESTER	125 53 62 68 24,9 251 75 213 3,0	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	64 1,3	53 1.2 214 9.1 57 1.1
AICHIGANI ANN ARBOR DEARBORN, DEARBORN HEIGHTS DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIOS KALAHAZOO	116 3.0 228 5.4 46 1.7 1 558 1.3 256 3.0 262 3.1	1 457 1.2 3 (Z) 9 (O,1)	39 0.6 90 2.3 116 2.7 4 411 3.7 331 3.9	486 0.4
LANSING . LINCOLN PARK . LIVONIA . PONTIAC . ROSEVILLE . ROYAL OAK . SAGINAN .	157 2.8 20 1.4 112 3.5 205 4.5 92 4.5 56 2.2	62 1.1 0.2 18 0.2 0.4 7 0.3	335 41 76 2.8 2.4 2.0	533 6,3
ST. CLAIR SHORES. SOUTHFIELD. STERLING HEIGHTS. TAYLOR.  Dee footnotes at end of table.	183 4.6 56 2.2 111 3.4 48 1.8 29 1.5	0,1 2 0,1 1 0,1	38 1.5 91 2.8 7 0.4	

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Total criminal .	justice system	Police pro	tection	Judistal		
cay	Total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Asount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	
Conscious Cost Imigo Warred	38 466 11 986 9 514	5 619 2 154 1 506	14.6 18.0 15.8	4 683 1 720 1 284	83,3 79,9 85,3	769 247 99	13.7 11.5 6.6	
Immesotal Begomington Duluth Minmergels Rock Oter	14 432 27 720 129 050 10 667 96 575	2 207 2 565 15 893 1 358 11 143	15.3 9.3 12.3 12.7 11.5	2 076 2 044 13 149 1 306 9 167	94.1 79.7 82.7 96.2 82.3	17 317 - 716	0.8 12.4 - 6.4	
TOSTESTEPT:	50 362	5 954	11.0	4 957	89.3	146	2.0	
ISSOURI: COLUMBIA	8 140 * 834 17 151 167 826 8 850 207 561 18 112	i 019 i 051 4 820 25 848 1 337 53 489 2 261	12.5 27.4 36.7 15.4 15.5 25.8 12.5	935 1 013 4 642 22 537 1 248 38 907 2 113	91.8 96.4 96.3 87.2 93.3 72.7 93.5	4 816	7.2 2.1 1.6 4.3 2.5 9.0 3.2	
igntanat Dillings	16 e/3	1 459 1 448		1 336 1 317	91.6		3.0	
LINCOLN	37 54	4 268 10 630		2 942 9 241	68.9 86.9	303 645	7:	
LAS VEGAS	30 36 23 01			9 213 3 754	91.5 86.7		2.	
NEW HAMPSHIRE! MANCHESTER, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ta 07	2 19:		1 917 1 66		139 107		
HEW JERSEYR  DAYONNE	14 55 16 29 24 83 23 22 41 54 45 72	2 09 6 62 9 2 42 4 17 2 4 75	8 12,9 0 26.7 4 10,4 6 10,1 0 10,3	2 28 3 66 4 49	94. 1 89. 7 94. 9 87.	6 200 3 65 9 315 5 124	3 3 2 7 2	
IRVINGTON  JERSEY CITY  MEMMAK  PASSAIC  PATERSON  TRENTON  UNION CITY	153 55 284 12 10 13 74 78	5 14 24 8 27 22 9 2 12 7 07 6 64	9.3 7 9.6 0 21.2 9.5 2 21.5	13 57 25 98	95 1 93 1 93 1 95	9 80 9 20 2 13	7	
MEM MEXICO!	73 1	10 6	12 14,6	s 8 85	82,	,9 33		
NEW YORK: ALDANY, DINGHANTON, GUFALO, HOUNT VERNON,	38 5 39 7 275 5 16 5 20 9	73 24 76 20 3 2	51 5.	2 7	06 88 46 85	1 2 73 7 21	9 1	
ACCHESTER	10 563 1 32 2 1184 9	16 965 5 22 3 3 54 13 7	99 10. 56 17. 44 15.	4 1	72 90 82 87 73 90	.1 66	34 33 54 01	
STRACUSE	96 20 24 36 126	76 7 6 103 1 5 166 2 5 130 3 8	57 7. 96 9.	7 13	38 85 01 87 120 86	1.7 2 2	32 12 14 32 46	
HORTM CAROLINAL ADMEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAN FAVETTEVILLE GREENSTORM HIGH POINT	19	555 9 645 1 674 6 741 1	711 11. 259 12. 478 12. 882 12. 572 14. 973 10. 199 13. 591 12.	0 1	079 95 363 96 825 97 475 96 899 9	9.1 8.1 6.7 7.1 6.5 6.2 7.6 7.1		
MINSTON-SALEN + + • • • • •				1	292 9	4.6	39	

non freshantes at and of table.

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		ervices and ecution	Indigen	t defense	Cor	rections	Other criz	inal justico
City	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total oriminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total oriminal justice system	Asount	Porcont of total oriminal justice system
CHIGANCONTINUED #ARREN	164 64 88	2,9 3.0 5.8	3 19 2	0.1 0.9 0.1	30 33	1,4 2,2	74	3,4
NNESOTAI BLOOMINGTON DULUTH, MINNEAPOLIS ROCHESTER	102 172 747 51 489	4.6 6.7 4.7 3.8 4.4	9	o.4  o.4	12 24 1 275 1 75	0,5 0,9 8,0 0,1 0,7	722 651	4,5 5,8
ISSISSIPFII JACKSON	122	2,2	_	-	329	5.9	-	
ISSOURI: COLUMBIA, FLORISSANT. INDEPENDENCE KANSAS CITY ST. JOSEPH. SPRIMGFIELD	51 16 98 775 52 1 685 65	5.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 3.9 3.2 2.9	30 12	0,1	1 388 3 8 002 10	0.1 5.4 0.2 15.0 0.4	67	0.1
ONTATIAI BILLINGS	53 20	3.6 1.4	6	0.4	12 55	0.8 3.8	11	0.8
BRASKAI LINCOLN	283 510	6.6 4.8	-	** 1.1 ** - 1	307 180	7.2 1.7	433 54	10.1
VADA1 LAS VEGAS	440 161	4.4 3.7	•	-	140 249	1.4 5.7		
M HAMPSHIRE: MANCHESTER. MASHUA	34 40	1.6 2.1	•	_	103	4.7 3.3	12	0.
M JERSEYI BAYONNE PLOOMFIELD CAMUEN, CLIFTON EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON	101 54 212 72 133 100 185	3.4 2.6 3.2 3.0 3.2 2.1 7.4	5				277 59 36 22	4.: 1. 0.0
JERSEY CITY NEWARK. PASSAIC PASSAIC TRENTON THENTON UNION CITY.	241 431 45 121 138 34	1.7 1.6 2.1 1.7 2.1 1.4	3	(Z)	111112	-	14 - 170 50 39	2. 0. 1.
W HEXICO: ALBUOUERQUE	297	2,8		_	1 194	11.2	-	
M YORKI ALBANY BINGHANTON BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON MEW ROCHELLE	133 68 821 130 149	2.4 3,1 3,3 4,1 4,3	55	0.2	50	2.3	28 - 79	0. 2.
NEW YORK CITY	28 330 143 360 17 95	2.9 4.2 2.6 2.3 3.4	10 107	1.0	125 921	13.0	17 012 731	1. 5.
SYRACUSE. TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS	233 107 81 267 330	3.0 6.9 3.4 7.0 3.8		- -	296	- - - 5.4		
RTH CAROLINAS ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM FAYETTEVILLE GREENSORO HIGH POINT RALEIGH MINSTON—SALEM	15 138 115 54 97 74 100	0.9 1.5 3.3 2.9 1.5 3.8 2.4		-	59	1.1	42 - - - 2	0,
PRTH DAKOTA! FARGO	32	2,3	•	_	2	0.1	1	0.1

Table 13. Percent distribution of riminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	Total general	Total sriminal	justico system	Police pr	otection	Judicial		
(*E E.Y	expenditure	Asount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	
CHIO: AKRGN GANTON GINCINHATI CLEVELAND CLEVELAND CLEVELAND CLEVELAND CLEVELAND DAYTON	70 018 22 674 303 554 220 063 7 366 127 937 64 897	# 712 3 751 25 995 33 812 1 473 22 849 9 997	12.4 16.5 8.6 15.0 19.9 17.9	7 352 3 179 20 306 28 060 1 302 18 912 7 681	84.4 84.8 78.1 83.0 88.4 82.8 76.8	715 313 2 176 2 881 77 1 981 806	8,2 8,3 8,4 8,5 5,2 8,1	
ELYRIA. EUGLIO. HAMILTON. KETTERING LAKEWOOD. LIMA. LORAIN.	13 193 15 945 10 794 7 206 22 143 7 708 20 933	1 040 1 694 1 930 1 436 1 358 1 519 1 329	7,9 10.6 17,9 19.9 6,1 19.7 6,3	768 1 404 1 735 1 162 1 172 1 044 1 055	73.8 82.9 89.9 80.9 86.3 68.7 79.4	172 117 113 109 123 252 135	16.5 6.9 5.9 7.6 9.1 16.6 10.2	
HANSFIELD	11 982 9 126 10 514 92 570 11 760 29 176	1 911 1 866 2 359 14 073 3 986 4 472	15.9 20.4 22.4 15.2 33.9 15.3	1 607 1 475 1 891 12 087 1 222 3 506	84.1 79.0 80.2 85.9 30.7 78.4	236 249 287 942 290 444	12,3 13.3 12.2 6.7 7,3 9,9	
CKLAHOHAF LAWTON. HORMAN, OKLAHOHA CITY TULSA	18 274 15 131 81 148 79 088	1 657 1 480 10 723 7 766	9,1 9,8 13,2 9,8	1 486 1 334 8 698 6 773	89.7 90.1 81.1 87.2	49 55 366 190	3.0 3.7 3.4 2.4	
OREGONI EUGENE, PORTLAND. SALEM	19 697 95 625 23 663	2 704 16 331 2 355	13.7 17.1 10.0	2 456 14 758 2 191	90.8 90.4 93.0	109 58	4.0	
PENNSYLVANIA; ALLENTONN , ALTOONA , BETHLEHEM CHESTER EHIE HARRISEURG , LANGASTER , LANGAS	24 677 6 475 12 960 11 924 25 329 15 139 12 149	2 803 952 1 759 2 289 3 294 2 902 1 701	11.4 14.7 13.6 19.2 13.0 18.9	2 742 917 1 716 2 217 3 117 2 796 1 683	97.8 96.3 97.6 96.9 94.6 96.3	1 2 82	0.1 - 2.5	
PHILADELPHIA. PITTSBURGH. READING SCRAHTON, MILKES-BARRE, YORK.	845 819 117 335 21 223 19 304 35 441 A 193	219 029 27 250 2 235 1 902 1 274 1 299	25.9 18.1 10.5 9.9 3.6 15.9	136 168 20 398 2 161 1 843 1 246 1 272	62.2 96.0 96.7 96.7 97.8 97.9	34 793 356 -	15,9 1.7	
RHODE ISLANDS CHANSTON. PANTUCKET PROVIDENCE. WARNICK	27 800 33 333 75 942 34 659	1 831 2 059 5 963 2 145	6.6 6.2 7.9 6.2	1 683 1 985 5 677 2 088	91.9 96.4 95.2 97.3	8 18 170 4	0.4 0.9 2.9 0.2	
SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON. COLUMBIA. GREENVILLE.	12 134 20 557 9 461	2 508 3 919 1 889	20.7 19.2 20.0	2 442 2 902 1 717	97.4 74.0 90.9	39 728 65	1.6 18.6 3.4	
SOUTH DAKOTAL SIGUX FALLS	14 187	1 438	10.1	1 321	91.9	66	4.6	
TENNESSEE: CHATTANOGGA KHÖXYILLE MEHPHIS MASHYILLE-DAVIÖSÖN	67 697 73 282 251 168 216 836	6 398 5 232 21 599 18 798	9.5 7.1 8.6 8.7	6 019 4 738 18 446 12 735	94.1 90.6 85.4 67.7	180 71 1 000 3 492	2,8 1,4 4,6 18,6	
TEXASI ADILENE ANARILLO ANALINGTON AUSTIN BEAUMONT BEAUMONT CCRPUS CHRISTI DALLAS EL PASO	9 761 15 966 23 561 76 804 16 079 10 856 32 708 216 997 12 340	1 317 7 370 2 328 8 904 2 768 906 4 408 36 789	13.5 15.1 9.9 11.6 17.6 8.3 13.5 17.5	1 162 2 216 1 980 7 341 2 400 833 3 827 34 163	88,2 85,1 82,4 86,7 91,9 86,8 92,3	53 132 161 334 77 29 180 1 273 249	4.0 5.1 6.9 3.8 2.8 3.2 4.1 3.5 3.6	
FORT HORTH, GALVESTON GARLAND GARNA PRAIRLE HOUSTON LANEOG LUBBOCK MESQUITE	62 510 15 126 14 155 11 290 22 135 11 104 30 931 7 376	11 556 1 318 1 723 1 664 40 426 1 650 628 3 138 1 157	18,5 8,7 12,2 9,4 18,5 5,7 10,7	10 546 1 121 1 534 914 35 749 1 428 334 2 792 1 100	91.3 85.1 89.0 85.9 88.5 85.0 89.0	378 67 44 29 1 199 81 42 171 23	3.3 5.1 2.6 2.7 3.0 6.7 5.4	

he footnoise at end of table.

Table 13	Percent distribution
14010 10.	Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
	(direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments,
	fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Logal se	ervices and ecution	Indiger	it defense	Corr	rections	Other cris	inal justice
U.Y	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total original justice system
OHIOI AKRON CANTON. CINCINNATI CLEVELAND CLEVELAND CLEVELAND COLUBBUS. DAYTON.	218 150 850 704 68 906 382	2.5 4.0 3.3 2.1 4.0 3.8	89 102	  0.4 1.0	396 109 2 162 2 167 26 961 1 026	4.5 2.9 8.3 6.4 1.8 4.2	31 501	0.4
ELYRIA. EUCLID. HAMILTON. KETTERING LAKEWOOD. LIMA. LORAIN.	50 86 21 123 63 58 79	4.8 5.1 6.6 4.6 7.8 5.9	7 - 8 5	0,4 0,5	50 87 54 42 157	4.8 5.1 2.8 2.9	3 1 1 1 5 3	
MANSFIELD . PARMA . SPRINGFIELD . TOLEDO . WARREN . YOUNGSTOWN	52 128 106 262 71 256	2.7 6.9 4.5 1.9 1.8 5.7	3 28 -	0.4 0.2 1.2	55 13 14 47 782 49 242	4.1 0.7 0.8 2.0 5.6 1.2	2 354	59.1
KLAHOMA: LAWTON, NORMAN, OKLAHOMA CITY	61 58 294 305	3.7 3.9 2.7 3.9	20	1,2	41 33 451 417	2.5	914	8.5
IEGONI EUGENE, PORTLAND, SALEM	119 321 106	4.4 2.0 4.5	19	0.7	1	5.4 (Z)	1 252	7.7
NNSYLVANIA: ALLENTOWN ALTONNA BETHLEHEM CHESTER ERIE HARRISBURG LANCASTER	51 20 43 72 95 45	2.2 2.1 2.4 3.1 2.9 1.6	-	- 1 - 1	14	1.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.4
PHILADELPHIA. PITTSBURGH, EADING SCRANTON, MILKES-BARRE, YORK,	8 155 495 74 59 28 27	3.7 2.3 3.3 3.1 2.2 2.1	3 457	1.6	34 878	15.9	1 582	0.7
DE ISLANCI RANSION	40 56 116 47	2.2 2.7 1.9 2.2			-		100	5,5
ITH CAROLINA: HARLESTON OLUMBIA REENVILLE	27 72 71	1.1 1.8 3.8	-		217	5,5	6	0,3
TH DAKOTA:	39	2.7	_		12	1.9		*
NESSEE: HATTANOGA NOXVILLE EMPHIS SAFVILLE -DAVIDSON	199 173 302 436	3.1 3.3 1.4 2.3	144	0.8	250 1 494 1 909	0.8 4.8 6.9 10.2	357 82	1.7 0.4
AS:  JILENE  ARTILLO  ARTILLO  JSTIN  STIN  AUMONT  CONNSVILLE  RPUS CHRISTI  LLAS  PASO	56 119 131 239 97 22 216 986 146	4.6 5.6 2.7 7.5 2.4 4.9 2.7 2.1			46 91 56 120 22 185	3.55 2.4 4.3 2.4 4.2	12 990 74 -	0.5 11.1 2.7
RT WORTH. LVESTON RLAND AND PRAIRIE USTON VING, REDO. BBOUK SQUITE,	239 53 103 50 696 76 42 126	2.1 4.0 6.0 4.7 1.7 4.6 6.7 4.0			393 77 42 51 2 401 65 10	0.7 3.4 5.8 2.4 4.8 5.9 3.9 1.6	20 381	1.9

See footnotes at end of table,

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		todal scinibat t	Figure states	Poties prof	408804	44444444 Television		
oty .	Total Andrea	Assuma	Pareshe 48 total genaral tableshesh	Associat	Resease of the sease of the sea	Awwat	Inspice states total certital bricket by	
PERROUPLES AND	5 % 87 22 42 4	\$ 047 4 167 1 675 14 676 14 618	25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1 402 1 403 2 212 1 170 10 511 2 212 1 214	23.5.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3	63 115 25 115 25 27 27 27 27 27	St.	
UPANO CCCC N a n n n n n n n n n n n n n PRINCE N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	8 999 6 933 6 735	793	22.3	2 717 693 1 717	\$ . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 6 .	\$25 553	te de la constant de	
LEASINGAS  SUBARUMITA  SUBARUM	A STATE OF THE STA	4 245 3 824 2 278 2 378 1 2 898 2 777 14 217 4 247	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	# 5.5.2 \$ 7.5.9 \$ 7.5.	Commence and the commen	\$ 555 \$ 610 \$ 625 \$ 625 \$ 625 \$ 625 \$ 625 \$ 625	11.50.00	
MATHERING TONS  OR LANGUAGE  SUPPLY A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	1	1 787 3 143 3 239	12.6	# 815 51 045 1 045 1 055	93.3 84.2	595 189 1 40 <u>1</u> 65	5,	
nest respected to a company of the c	22.74	3 1 368 3 183	2.8	1 978		\$1 39	1:	
HISTORY MA APPLETON A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	24 20 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30					12	1.	

Table 13. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		SOCULION	Indian	nt defense	vor	roctions		ilnal lustiva
Uh	Amount	Parcent of total original Justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal lustina eyatca	Agrant	Perennt uf total uriminal juntico states	taponek	Perneyt of total priming instice oyste
TEXASOCONTINUED  MICLAND  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5	27904444 270744444 200744444	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	排 化 章 · 章 · 章 · 章 · 章 · 章 · 章 · 章 · 章 · 章	ury and the state of the state	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	th co th th th to th th th th th th th th th th th th th	50 10 10 18 14 10 17 18 18 18 18
UTAH: OUDEN	A A		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	() ()	0.7	## ##	26 74 22
VIRGINIAL ALEXANDRIA CHESAMPAKE HAMPTON LYNCHHUNG NEMPORT MCNE NORROLK RICHMOND RICHMOND ROANOKE VIRGINIA WAACH	17	7	71 10 74 5	Oad a Oa7 Gal Oa7 m	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 1000 1000 9	10.44 24.55 45.54 17.45 17.46 17.4 14.6 14.7	数 数 数 ********************************	12 15 15 15 15 15 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
FASHINGTONI  OBLICEVUE  FEVERETT  SEATTLE  SPOKANE  TAGONA  TA	10 9 79 20 30	7.5 7.2 1 3.8	65	E30	46 19 105 105 707	2,4 2,2 1,9 3,5 4,0	# # 177	in 10 mm
CHARLESTON,	D. 6		*	**	84 25	3.8 1.3		# #
NISCONSINI AFPLETON, TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	3. 5. 5. 8. 6. 4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	7	10	(2)	# 77-1-00 Det et al 1	9.1 (2) (2,1 (3,2 (3,1 (3,2 (3,1 (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (4,1) (5,1) (5,2) (5,1) (5,2) (5,	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	0+2

<sup>.</sup> Happenence which the and of coulds to sale.

I can then the and of could administrate about to be first column, are for first year 1973, therefore, the parcent of total general expenditure accounted the total angular administrate about to the first column, are for first total total angular adjunctate, shown to the first column, is overstated.

### Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	otection	Juc	licial
Clty	Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTÁL	31 739 736	4 026 793	12.7	3 206 099	79.6	311 128	7.7
ALAHAI BIRMINGHAM, S, OADSDEN, OAS	86 017 7 206 35 336 36 332 22 544 18 989	9 837 1 046 2 796 5 279 3 560 1 723	11.4 24.5 7.9 14.5 15.8 9.1	8 575 752 2 560 1 604 3 186 1 550	87.2 71.9 91.6 87.2 89.5 90.0	287 270 64 153 138 37	2.9 25.3 2.3 2.9 3.9 2.1
ARIZONAI MESA. PHOENIX SCOTTSDALE TEMPE TUCSON	13 952 162 438 17 080 16 230 89 554	2 481 30 808 1 736 2 151 10 263	17.8 19.0 10.2 13.3 11.5	2 348 27 476 1 569 1 935 9 457	94.6 89.2 90.4 90.0 92.1	65 1 582 62 84 304	2.6 5.1 3.6 3.9 3.0
ARKANSASI FORT SHITH. LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF.	7 674 22 638 11 423 6 482	1 079 3 688 1 391 1 108	14.1 16.3 12.2 17.1	970 3 365 1 206 982	89.9 91.2 86.7 88.6	30 85 75 53	2.8 2.3 5.4 4.8
CALTFORNIAI ALAMEDA ALMAHBRA ANAMEIN BAKERSPIELD BELLFLOWER BERKELEY DUENA PARK BURBANK CARSON CRULA VISTA	9 410 13 464 36 256 15 091 3 023 27 653 9 877 21 897 4 839 8 396	1 932 1 766 7 232 3 228 72 4 431 1 633 4 253 841	20,5 13,1 13,9 21,4 2,4 16,0 16,5 19,4 1,7	1 887 1 711 6 839 3 038 43 4 396 1 596 3 958	96.6 96.9 94.6 94.1 59.7 97.2 97.7 93.1		-
COMPTON	17 194 13 315 14 255 8 511 10 554 9 452 7 626 15 949	3 863 2 644 3 030 1 801 2 431 1 269 1 651 2 544	22.5 19.9 21.2 23.0 13.5 21.7	3 799 2 592 2 905 1 765 2 392 1 234 1 609 2 466	98.3 98.0 95.9 98.0 98.4 97.5 96.9		
FRESNO. FULLERTON. GANDEN GROVE. GLENDALE. HAWTHORNE. HAWANDO. HUMTINGTON BEACH. INGLEWOOD. LAKEWOOD.	53 136 13 844 11 579 30 708 7 454 19 197 25 955 28 200 6 310	7 334 3 304 2 849 3 689 1 397 3 333 4 760 4 993 407	13.8 23.9 24.6 12.7 17.4 18.3 17.7 6,5	7 117 3 231 2 789 3 522 1 285 3 199 4 545 4 745 367	97.0 97.8 97.9 95.5 92.0 96.0 95.5 95.5		
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES HODESTO HOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK OAKLAND CATARIO ORANGE OXNARD,	108 197 701 462 12 568 12 493 3 410 108 660 9 716 12 993 14 661	16 369 170 503 2 014 1 518 43 17 417 2 019 2 181 2 287	15.1 24.3 16.0 12.2 16.0 20.8 16.6	14 526 165 012 1 948 1 461 16 237 2 000 2 103 2 213	88.7 96.8 96.7 96.2 93.2 99.1 96.4 96.8	1 - F. H. T. F. F. H. T. F.	-
PALO ALTO	18 991 43 044 2 592 17 127 12 528 11 240 31 975 28 770 64 360	2 416 6 336 22 3 270 1 413 1 638 4 058 4 904 11 499	12.7 14.7 0.8 19.1 11.3 14.6 12.7 17.9	2 265 5 953 1 043 1 320 1 528 3 966 4 781 11 302	93.8 94.0 4.5 93.1 93.3 97.7 97.5 98.3		
SALINAS SAN BERNARDIÑO. SAN BERNARDIÑO. SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCÓ SAN FRANCISCÓ SAN JOSE. SAN LEANDRO SAN HATEO	13 337 29 346 13 228 146 737 499 943 98 549 13 972 13 688	1 706 4 656 1 770 21 330 66 304 16 697 1 944 2 254	153,4 153,4 17,7 17,9 16,5	1 536 4 538 1 704 18 905 45 399 16 017 1 835 2 190	90.0 97.5 96.3 88.6 66.5 95.9 94.4 97.2	9 543	14.0
SANTA ANA SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLAKA SANTA HONICA SANTA ROSA SINI VALLEY SOUTH GATE STOCKTON	24 764 16 736 20 525 17 435 14 332 8 900 6 437 36 388	5 331 2 757 2 455 3 663 1 241 1 073 1 770 4 914	21.5 10.5 12.0 21.0 8.7 12.4 15.4	5 086 2 624 2 375 3 417 1 174 1 010 1 616 4 799	95.4 95.2 96.7 93.3 94.6 94.1 95.1		

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	Logal s	ervices and secution	Indiger	t defense	Corr	octions	Other crim	inal justice
Clty	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total crimina Justice system
TOTAL , , ,	133 477	3.3	21 173	0.5	315 140	7.8	39 776	1,0
ALABAMAI BIRHINGHAM. GADSDEN. HUNTSVILLE. MOBILE. HONTGOMERY. TUSCALOOSA.	250 7 60 89 45 38	2.5 0.7 2.1 1.7 1.3 2.2	15	0.2	673 17 112 362 191 98	6.6 4.0 6.4 5.7	37 - 71	0.4
ARIZONA: MESA, PHOENIX SCOTTSDALE TEMPE TUCSON	55 1 029 105 93 491	2.2 3.3 6.0 4.3 4.8	177 - 11	0.2 0.6  0.1	7 529 -	0.3 1.7 -	15 39	(Z) 1.8
ARKANSAS: FORT SMITH. LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF.	38 86 40 29	3.5 2.3 2.9 2.6		-	41 152 70 44	3.8 4.1 5.0 4.0		• •
ALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA ALHAMBRA ANAHEIM BAKERSFIELD BELLF'.GWER BER LEY BUENA PARK BURBANK CARSON CHULA VISTA	65 55 280 190 29 125 37 206 84 68	3.4 3.1 3.9 5.9 40.3 2.8 2.3 4.8 100.0	-		113	1.6	-	
COMPTON . CONCORD . COSTA MESA . DALY CITY . DOWNEY . EL CAJON . EL MONTE . FREMONT .	64 52 67 36 39 39 42 67	1.7 2.0 2.2 2.0 1.6 2.5 2.5		-	58	1.9	11	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
FRESNO. FULLERTON FULLERTON GARDEN GROVE GLENDALE, HANTHORNE HAVWARD HUNTINGTON BEACH INGLEMOOD LAKEHOOD.	217 73 60 167 112 89 215 223 28	3.2 2.1 4.5 8.7 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	1	21111111		45	1.4
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES MODESTO HOUNTAIN VIEW NORMALK OAKLAND ONTARIO ONTARIO OXNARD	1 200 5 483 66 57 43 318 19 78	7.3 3.2 3.3 3.8 100.0 1.8 0.9 3.6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	623	2.9 3.8 4.9	20 8	0.1 (2)
PALO ALTO PASADENA PICO RIVERA POHONO REDONDO BEACH REDNODO CITY RICHMOND RIVERSIOE SACPAMENTO	151 274 21 66 54 110 92 123 197	6.3 95.5 2.0 3.6.7 2.3 1.7	2		161	1.7		
SALENAS SAN BERNARDINO SAN BURNAVENTURA SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOSE SAN JOSE SAN LEANDRO SAN MATEO	68 118 66 1 284 3 369 680 109 64	4.0 2.5 3.7 6.0 4.9 4.1 5.6	162 912	0.9	986 8 856	4.2	102 73 225	0.3
SANTA ANA SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA HONICA SANTA ROSA SIOUTH GATE STOCKTON	245 133 80 246 67 63 33 115	4.6 4.8 3.3 6.7 5.4 5.9 1.9	1111111		51	3,0	1111111	# # # # #

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Total criminal	Justice system	Police pr	otection	Jud	icial
Caty  Control to the state of t	Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIACGNTINUEO SUBAYVALF TGREANCE VALLEJO WEST COVINA WESTHINDIER WHITTIER	18 545 24 737 11 934 12 286 5 851 6 215	2 004 5 151 2 185 1 629 1 579 1 881	10.8 20.8 18.3 13.3 27.0 22.9	1 898 4 824 2 127 1 610 1 541 1 855	94.7 93.7 97.3 98.8 97.6 98.6	-	
COLUMADOS AURONA AUGULER COLUMANO SPRINGS GENVER LAKEVOO PUESLO	15 267 14 579 37 469 261 997 13 384 24 579	2 206 1 682 4 732 73 152 2 512 2 508	14,4 11.5 11.7 12.7 18.8 10.2	1 966 1 437 3 940 24 954 2 195 2 254	89.1 85.4 89.7 75.3 89.9	107 133 222 2 725 128 70	4.9 7.9 5.1 8.2 5.1 2.8
GONNECTICUT I RAIDGEPORT, RRISTGL. DAMBURY HARTFORD HERIDEN HILFORD	76 245 29 101 26 914 154 025 26 505 30 553	8 419 1 420 1 731 8 655 1 526 1 678	11.09 6.68 55.5	8 215 1 355 1 687 8 231 1 457 1 630	97.6 95.4 97.5 95.1 95.1 97.1	60 1 4  1 1	0.7 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1
HEW BRITAIN , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	35 082 81 752 46 043 83 229 56 508 21 979	2 414 7 050 2 881 5 859 3 435 1 520	6.9 8.6 6.3 7.0 6.1 6.9	2 279 6 906 2 785 5 433 3 290 1 434	98.0 98.7 92.7 95.8 94.3	5 13 4 30 13 2	0.2 0.2 0.1 0.5 0.4
OELAWARE1 WILHINGTON	62 139	5 140	6,3	4 580	89.1	330	6.4
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:	1 240 625	176 212	14.4	88 002	49,4	17 734	10,0
FLORIDAI CLEAPMATER FORT LAUDEROALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HÜLLY400D JACK-GONVILLE, HIAH	19 209 35 113 16 469 13 367 19 746 142 783 74 632	1 912 6 838 2 571 3 250 4 592 22 210 16 923	10.0 19.5 15.6 24.3 23.3 15.6 22.7	1 722 5 967 2 383 3 085 4 338 13 338 16 139	90.1 87.3 92.7 94.9 92.3 60.1 95.4	71 371 57 4 139 3 889	3.7 5.4 2.2 0.1 3.0
MIAMI BEACH ORLANDO PENSACOLA ST, PETENSHUNG TALLANASSEE TAMPA WEST PALM BEACH	31 893 34 213 13 021 35 182 28 918 66 235 11 028	6 121 6 688 1 791 7 259 2 460 8 931 2 437	19.2 19.5 13.8 20.6 8.5 13.5 22.1	5 365 5 855 1 611 6 716 2 345 8 508 2 211	87.6 87.5 89.9 92.5 95.3 95.7	191 153 125 8 144 27	3.1 2.3 - 1.7 0.3 1.6 1.1
GEGRGIA1 ALPANY, ATLANTA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS, NACON SAVANNAH,	14 477 166 800 10 946 49 018 18 524 19 556	1 984 22 919 1 857 6 464 3 125 3 345	13.7 13.7 17.0 13.2 16.9 17.1	1 930 19 966 1 680 4 124 2 888 2 988	97.3 87.1 90.5 63.8 98.3	13 886 49 1 203 107 113	0.7 3.9 2.6 18.6 3.4 3.4
HAMAIII HONOLULU, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	179 944	28 897	16,1	25 089	86.8	ing and a second secon	•
NOISE CITY,	12 950	1 757	. 13.6	1 628	92.7	12	0,7
ILLINOISI ANI INOTON HEIGHTS AUFORA BEHNYK CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO CICERO	7 453 9 754 *3 745 13 363 930 460 6 472	1 467 2 227 873 1 289 236 327 1 391	19.7 22.8 23.3 9.6 21.5	1 407 2 160 838 1 242 227 411 1 334	95.9 97.0 96.0 96.4 95.9	1 8 245	(Z) 0.9 0.1
DEPATUR DES PLAINES EAST ST. GUIS EQUIN EVANSTON JULIET GAK LANN	13 947 8 347 18 952 14 482 14 190 9 673 9 638	1 749 1 572 2 838 1 643 2 617 2 526 1 749	12.5 15.0 15.0 18.4 26.4	1 699 1 495 2 728 1 581 2 581 2 443 1 695	97.1 95.1 96.1 96.2 97.0 96.7 96.9	10 - 1 12	0.6 (Z) 0.7
OAK PARK, PEORIA, ROCKFORD, SKOZIE, SPAINGFIELD HAUKEGAN, PAUKEGAN, PAUKEGAN	121 41 107 02 107 02 125 21 126 01 176 01 177 01	2 318 4 223 3 987 1 409 2 246 2 810 2 085	15.3 21.0 17.2 11.4 24.7 19.2 20.0	2 263 4 063 3 719 1 375 2 150 2 565 2 041	97.6 96.2 93.3 97.6 95.7 91.3 97.9	8 16	0,2

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

<del></del>		(Dollar amo	ounts in t	thousands)				
Clau		services and	Indige	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other cris	inal justico
City	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal
CALIFORNIACONTINUED SUNNYVALE TORRANCE VALLEJO HEST COVINA MESTHINSTER WHITTIER	106 231 58 19 38 26	5.7.2.4 5.7.2.4 5.4.4			96	1,9	-	Justico system
COLORADO: AURORA. BOULDER COLORADO SPAINGS DENVER LAKEWOOD. PUEBLO.	133 112 149 1 806 179 85	6.0 6.7 3.4 5.5 7.1 3.4	444 10	1.3	30 3 160 99	0.7 9.5 3.9	41 63	0,9
CONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT. BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD MERIDEN MILFORD	138 42 40 424 64 47	1.6 3.0 2.3 4.9 4.2 2.8		-			6 22 -	0,1 1.5 -
NEW BRITAIN NEW HAVEN NORWALK STAMFORD WATERBURY WEST HAVEN	130 98 92 376 132 64	5.4 13.2 6.4 3.8 4.2	33	0.5			20	0,3
DELAMARE: HILMINGTON	230	4.5	-	-	-	-		
WASHINGTON, FLORIDA	11 328	6,4	1 902	1.1	57 730	32.4	1 516	0.9
CLEARWATER FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HOLLYMOOD JACKSCNVILLE HIAH	68 139 70 31 129 1 081 363	3.6 2.0 2.7 1.0 2.8 4.9 2.1	10 17 	0.5	41 344 61 130 85 3 552	2.1 5.0 2.4 4.0 1.9	255	
MIAMI BEACH	481 99 77 174 107 279 66	7.9 1.5 4.3 2.4 4.3 3.1 2.7	13	0.2	71 442 103 244	2.5 6.6 5.8 3.4	139	2.1
EEORGIA: ALBANY. ATLANTA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS. HACON SAVANNAH	41 795 24 275 80 42	2.1 3.5 1.3 4.3 2.6 1.3	55	0.9	1 272 1 04 791 50	5.5 5.6 12.2 1.6	16	0.2
AWAII; HONOLULU.	2 868	9.9			940	6.0	-	, <del>,</del>
OAHO: BOISE CITY	93	5.3	-		24	3.3		
LLINGIS: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS AURORA. BERWYN. CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO	60 66 27 47 3 162 57	4.1 3.0 3.1 3.6 1.3 4.1	-		5 006	2.1	503	0,2
DECATUR DES PLAINES EAST ST. LOUIS ELGIN EVANSTON. JOLIET OAK LAWN.	50 77 108 52 79 82 42	2.9 4.9 3.8 3.2 3.0 3.2		-	2 1	0,1	-	
OAK PARK. PEORIA. ROCKFORD. ROCK ISLAND SKOKIE. SPRINGFIELD #AUKEGAN.	55 160 108 18 96 157	2.4 3.8 2.7 1.3 4.3 5.6 2.1	4	0.1	97	2.4	51	1,3

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	******	Total criminal	Justice system	Police pr	otection	Jud	licial
CLty	Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Asount	Percent of total crimina justice syste
INDIANAI ANGERGON, EVANGVILLE FORT NAVRE GARY HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS SOUTH REND, TERRE MAUTE	9 651 26 821 27 489 43 114 22 114 22 7 27 9 237 26 851	1 768 3 259 4 372 6 075 2 857 27 300 1 677 3 402 1 358	12.00 12.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 12.00 10.00	1 641 2 899 4 008 5 252 2 625 1 950 1 561 3 338 1 259	92.8 d9.0 91.7 86.5 91.9 69.3 93.1 98.1	61 100 320 143 3 024 87 46	3. 5. 5. 11. 5.
TOWAI  GEGAR RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFFD. DAVEMPORT  DES MOINEG. OUNGUE SIOUX CITY. RATERLOO.	26 420 17 536 16 844 49 349 10 692 22 414 23 657	2 242 1 195 1 879 7 280 1 517 1 866 1 847	8,5 6,8 11,8 19,2 19,3 7,8	1 966 1 035 1 697 6 397 1 399 1 465 1 710	87.7 86.6 90.3 87.9 92.2 78.5 92.6	152 57 72 385 67 86	6. 4. 3. 5.
MANSAS CITY	30 650 4 797 24 894 120 408	5 565 1 806 3 595 7 332	18.2 37.7 14.4 6.1	4 465 1 297 3 241 5 901	89.2 71.8 90.2 80.5	104 77 78 229	1. 4. 2.
DMEMSBORO	12 285 123 149 133 379 17 502	1 846 7 376 15 285 1 217	15.0 131.9 11.5 7.0	1 711 7 008 14 389 1 145	92.7 95.0 94.1 94.1	29 76 369 33	1. 1. 2. 2.
OUISIANAI BATON ROUGE LAFAVETTE LAKE CHARLES, HONROE HEK ORLEANS SHREVEPORT,	63 449 8 354 10 206 10 686 168 839 31 144	14 004 1 264 972 1 469 34 020 5 104	22,1 15.1 9,5 130.1 16.4	8 036 1 116 883 1 224 23 918 4 598	57.4 88.3 90.8 83.3 70.3 90.1	3 460 96 42 90 4 631 297	24. 7. 4. 6. 13.
AINE: PORTLAND,	<b>35 266</b>	2 257	6,4	2 177	96.5	•	
ARYLANDS BALTIMORE , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	787 785	71 448	9,1	54 771	76.7	5 208	7.
ABSACHUSETTS:  DOSTON  RR EXTON  C/ DRIDGE  /ALL RIVER  fn_VOKE  LAMRENCE	478 997 51 074 60 226 42 214 23 893 26 678	67 155 248 6 010 1 657 4 316 1 869 2 293	14.0 6.4 6.7 6.4 10.8 8,6	45 820 3 188 3 832 1 607 4 030 1 807 2 206	68.2 98.2 95.6 97.0 93.4 96.7 96.2	10 818	16.
LOWELL. LYNN MALDEN MEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEW TON	34 531 45 273 27 830 24 263 52 498 55 774	2 937 4 059 2 158 2 130 4 296 4 178	8,08825 978825	2 804 3 88 2 181 2 081 4 160 4 053	95.5 95.8 97.8 97.7 96.8 97.0	- - - -	
PITTSFIELD. GUINCY. SOMERVILLE. SPRINGFIELD. WALTHAM EOPCFSTER.	28 037 69 350 30 763 03 603 25 354 102 796	1 247 4 769 2 756 5 076 2 152 7 071	4070450	1 194 4 287 2 074 4 704 2 077 6 817	95.7 98.1 88.0 92.7 96.5 96.4		(2
CHIGANT ANT ANTOR DEARROR DEARROR DETAROR ELINT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZOO	24 646 27 685 10 219 336 114 79 200 46 218 15 319	3 889 4 231 2 665 117 194 8 586 8 367 3 245	15.8 15.7 26.1 21.9 10.9 18.1 21.2	2 287 2 747 2 348 102 549 7 509 6 315 2 706	84.5 88.6 88.1 87.5 87.5 75.5 83.4	396 170 262 6 959 487 981 342	10. 4, 9. 5. 11.
LANSING LINCOLN PARK LIVONIA POWILAC ROSEVILLE RUYAL JAK SANINAK	40 229 0 659 20 525 41 820 9 571 19 295 28 239	5 616 1 428 3 149 4 448 2 042 2 514 3 995	14.0 215.7 15.7 21.0 27.0	4 589 1 301 2 788 3 888 1 796 2 221 3 812	81.7 98.5 86.6 88.0 88.4	473 75 192 365 154 192	8. 5. 6. 8. 7. 7.
ST. CLAIR SHORES. SOUTHFIELD. STENLING HEIGHTS.	10 562 17 765 11 282 12 816	2 555 3 224 2 714 1 958	24,2 18,1 24,1 15,3	2 408 2 541 2 518 1 811	94.2 78.8 92.8 92.5	91 481 146 112	3. 14. 5.

Den footnates at end of table

# CONTINUED

10F4

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		m-4-1 34	Total Criminal	justice system	Police pr	otection	Jud	iciel
	City	Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total crim justice sy
MDIANAI ANDERSON EVANSVILLE. FORT WAYNE. GARY HAMMOND. INDIANAPOLIS MUNJIE. SOUTH BEND. TERRE HAUTE		9 651 26 821 27 489 43 356 20 115 223 976 9 237 26 851 9 742	1 768 3 259 4 372 6 075 2 857 27 300 1 677 3 402 1 358	18.3 12.2 15.9 14.2 12.2 18.2 18.7	1 641 2 899 4 008 5 252 2 625 18 920 1 561 3 338 1 259	92.8 89.0 91.7 86.5 91.9 69.3 93.1 98.1	61 100 320 143 3 024 87 46	5. 5. 11. 5.
OWAT CEDAR RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFF DAVENPORT DES MOINES. DUBUQUE SIOUX CITY. WATERLOO.	S	26 420 17 536 16 844 49 349 10 692 22 414 23 657	2 242 1 195 1 879 7 280 1 517 1 866 1 347	8.5 6.8 11.8 14.2 14.2 8.3 7.8	1 966 1 035 1 697 6 397 1 399 1 465 1 710	87.7 86.6 90.3 87.9 92.2 70.5 92.6	152 57 72 385 67	6 4 3 5 4
ANSAS: KANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK TOPEKA WICHITA		30 650 4 797 24 894 120 408	5 566 1 006 3 995 7 332	18.2 37.7 14.4 6.1	4 965 1 297 3 241 5 901	89,2 71.8 90,2 80,5	104 77 78 229	1 4 2 3
LOUISVILLE		12 285 123 149 133 379 17 502	1 946 7 376 15 285 1 217	15.0 131.9 11.5 7.0	1 711 7 008 14 389 1 145	92.7 95.0 94.1 94.1	29 76 369 33	1 1 2 2
OUISIANA! BATON ROUGE LAFAYETTE LAKE CHARLES MONROE, NEW ORLEANS SHREVEPORT.		63 449 8 354 10 206 10 686 168 839 31 144	14 004 1 264 972 1 469 34 020 5 104	22.1 15.1 9.5 13.7 20.1 16.4	8 036 1 116 883 1 224 23 918 4 598	57.4 88.3 90.8 83.3 70.3 90.1	3 460 96 42 90 4 631 297	24 7 4 6 13
AINE: PORTLAND,		35 266	2 257	6,4	2 177	96.5		
ARYLANDI BALTIMORE		787 785	71 448	9,1	54 771	76.7	5 208	7
ASSACHUSETTS: BOSTON. BROCKTON. CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE FALL RIVER HOLYOKE LAWRENCE.		478 997 51 074 60 220 25 726 42 23 893 26 678	67 155 3 248 4 010 1 657 4 316 1 869 2 293	14.0 6.4 6.7 6.4 10.2 7.8 8.6	45 820 3 188 3 832 1 607 4 030 1 807 2 206	68.2 98.2 95.6 97.0 93.4 96.2	10 818	16
LOWELL LYNN. MALDEN. MEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEWTON.		34 531 45 273 27 830 24 263 52 498 55 774	2 937 4 059 2 158 2 130 4 296 4 178	8.5 9.0 7.8 8.2 7.5	2 804 3 888 2 111 2 081 4 160 4 053	95.5 95.8 97.8 97.7 96.8 97.0		
PITTSFIELD. QUINCY. SOMERVILLE. SPRINGFIELD WALTHAM. WORCESTER		28 037 65 350 30 763 83 603 25 354 102 796	1 247 4 369 2 356 5 076 2 152 7 071	4.4 6.7 7.7 6.1 8.5 6.9	1 194 4 287 2 074 4 704 2 077 6 817	95.7 98.1 88.0 92.7 96.5 96.4		
ICHIGANI ANN ARBOR DEARBORN DEARBORN DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZGO	ants.	24 646 27 685 10 219 536 114 79 200 46 218 15 319	3 889 4 231 2 665 117 194 8 586 8 367 3 245	15.8 15.3 26.1 21.9 10.8 18.1 21.2	3 287 3 747 2 348 102 545 7 509 6 315 2 706	84.5 88.6 88.1 87.5 87.5 83.4	396 170 262 6 959 487 981 342	10 4 9 5 5 11 10
LANSING .		40 229 6 659 20 525 41 820 9 571 19 295 28 279	5 616 1 428 3 149 4 488 2 042 2 514 3 995	14.0 21.4 15.3 10.7 21.3 27.0	4 589 1 301 2 788 3 888 1 796 2 221 3 812	81.7 91.1 88.5 86.6 88.0 88.3 95.4	473 75 192 365 154 192	8 5 6 8 7 7
ST. CLAIR SHO SOUTHFIELD. STEHLING HEIG	ores	10 562 17 765 11 282 12 816	2 555 3 224 2 714	24.2 18.1 24.1 15.3	2 408 2 541 2 518 1 811	94.2 78.8 92.8 92.5	91 481 146 112	3 14 5 5

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	•		•	Legal	services and secution	T	nt defense	Cor	re/tions	Other	
Cit	y			Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system
INDIANA: ANDERSON. EVANSYLLE. FORT WAYNE. GARY. HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS. MUNCIE. SOUTH BEND. TERRE HAUTE			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	57 130 101 157 61 1 168 24 64	3.2 4.0 2.3 2.6 2.1 1.4 1.9	5 - - 882	0,3 - - 3,2	4 8 55 28 3 306 5	0,2 0,2 0,9 1.0 12.1	122 263 291	3.7 6.0 4.8
IOWA: CEDAR RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFFS. DAVENPORT DES MOINES. DUBUQUE SIOUX CITY. MATERLOO.			• • •	83 79 110 265 33 93	3.7 6.6 5.9 3.6 2.2 5.0 2.8			24 207 44	2.0 2.8 2.4	41 - 26 18 264	7.8 - 0.4 1.2 14.1
MICHILIA		• •	• • •	172 117 101 235	3,1 6,5 2,8 3,2		5	237 117 384	4.3 3.3 5.2	88 315 58 583	1.6 17.4 1.6
KENTUCKY: COVINGTON LEXINGTON LOUISVILLE OWENSBORO			• • •	71 101 510 39	3,8 1,4 3,3 3,2	5	0.1	35 186	1.9	17	8,0 - 0,1
LOUISIANA: BATON ROUGE LAFAYETTE LAKE CHARLES MONROE NEW ORLEANS SHREVEPORT		  	, , , , , , , , , ,	878 49 47 63 729 48	6.3 3.9 4.8 4.3 2.1	228 3 - 263	1.6 0.2 -	1 402 	10.0 6.3 12.5	232	0.7
MAINE: PORTLAND				68	3.0			161	3.2		
HARYLAND: BALTIMORE				4 039	5.7	]	•		-	12	0,5
MASSACHUSETTS: BOSTON, BROCKTON, CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE, FALL RIVER, HOLYOKE LAWRENCE				1 784 60 141 50 78 62 42	2.7 1.8 3.5 3.0 1.8	-		6 679	9.5	2 054 37 57	0.9 3.1 0.9 1.3
LOWELL. LYNN. MALDEN. MEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEW TON.				133 96 47 49 80 125	4,5 2,4 2,2 2,3 1,9 3,0			8	0.2	55 53	1.6 1.7
PITTSFIELD. GUINCY. SOMERVILLE. SPRINGFIELD WALTHAM WORCESTER				53 82 68 251 75 213	4.3 1.9 2.9 4.9 3.5 3.0			64	1.3	214 57	9.1 1.1
MICHIGAN: ANN ARBOR DEARBORN DEARBORN HEIGHTS DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZOO	• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		116 228 46 1 558 256 262 197	3,0 5,4 1,7 1,3 3,0 3,1 6,1	1 457 3	0.1 1.2 (Z) 0.1	90 86 6 4 189 331 267	2.3 2.0 0.2 3.6 3.9 3.2	486 533	- 0.4 6.4
LANSING LINCOLN PARK LIYONIA PONTIAC ROSEVILLE ROYAL OAK SAGINAW	• •	• •	• •	157 20 112 205 92 56 183	2.8 1.4 3.6 4.6 4.5 2.2 4.6	62 1 6 18 7	1.1 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.3	335 31 51 12 38	6.0 2.2 1.6 0.3	-	
ST. CLAIR SHORES SOUTHFIELD STEKLING HEIGHTS TAYLOR.	• • •	• •		56 111 48 29	2.2 3.4 1.8 1.5	4 2 1	0.1 0.1 0.1	87	2.7		

### Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

			make 1 dd	Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	rotection	Jud	intal
	City		Total direct expanditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGANCONT WARREN. WESTLAND: WYOWING:			35 980 10 341 8 685	5 619 2 137 1 490	15.6 20.7 16.8	4 683 1 715 1 284	83.3 80.3 86.2	769 247 99	13.7 10.6 6.6
MINNESOTAL BLOOMINGTON DULUTH MINNEAPOLIS ROCHESTER . ST. PAUL .		• 1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	13 795 27 710 121 017 10 623 77 863	2 195 2 556 15 893 1 357 11 143	15.9 9.2 13.1 12.8 14.3	2 076 2 044 13 149 1 306 9 167	94.6 80.0 82.7 96.2 82.3	17 317 -	0.8 12.4
MISSISSIPPI: JACKSON	Section 1		50 362	5 554	11,0	4 957	89.3	146	5.6
MISSOURI: COLUMBIA. FLORISSANT. INDEPENDENCE KANSAS CITY ST. JOSEPH. ST. LOUIS. SPRINGFIELD			7 930 3 634 13 151 159 116 8 630 206 013 18 112	1 019 1 051 4 816 25 848 1 334 53 489 2 251	12.8 27.4 36.6 16.2 15.5 26.0 12.4	935 1 013 4 642 22 537 1 248 38 907 2 113	91.8 96.4 96.4 87.2 93.6 72.7 93.9	33 22 76 1 118 34 4 816 73	3.2 2.1 1.6 4.3 2.5 9.0 3.2
MONTANA: BILLINGS GREAT FALLS			16 863 12 274	1 459 1 448	8.7 11.8	1 336 1 317	91.6 91.0	52 44	3.6 3.0
NEBRASKA: LINCOLN OMAHA	• • • • •	• • • • •	36 729 82 168	4 261 10 530	11.6 12.8	2 942 9 241	69.0 87.8	302 645	7.1 6.1
NEVADA: LAS VEGAS . RENO			21 412 23 015	814 4 331	3.8 18.8	99 3 754	12.2 86.7	275 167	33.8 3.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE: MANCHESTER. NASHUA			38 972 27 489	2 193 1 879	5.6 6,8	1 917 1 663	87.4 88.5	139 107	6.3 5.7
NEW JERSEY! BAYONNE BLOOMFIELD, CAMDEN. CLIFTON EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		14 553 116 107 24 838 23 229 41 704 45 704 13 712	2 963 2 098 6 620 2 424 4 176 4 750 2 503	20,4 12.0 26.7 10.4 10.2 10.4 18.3	2 775 1 980 5 931 2 287 3 665 4 490 2 205	89.6	87 64 200 65 315 124	2.9 3.1 3.0 2.7 7.5 2.6
JERSEY CITY NEWARK. PASSAIC PATERSON. TRENTON UNION CITY,		14	149 724 278 242 9 935 72 953 30 842 20 301	14 244 27 227 2 120 7 079 6 642 2 391	9,5 9,8 21,3 9,7 21,5 11,8	13 573 25 988 1 991 6 581 6 321 2 224	95.3 95.4 93.9 93.0 95.2 93.0	416 808 84 207 130 94	2.9 3.0 4.0 2.9 2.0 3.9
NEW MEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE			72 712	10 672	14.7	8 850	82.9	331	3,1
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BINGHAMTON. BUFFALO. MOUNT VERNON NEW ROCHELLE			36 314 39 562 275 483 18 520 20 988	5 496 2 116 24 761 3 209 3 479	15,1 5,3 9,0 17,3 16,6	5 099 1 906 21 146 2 783 3 111	86,7	236 139 2 739 217 219	4,3 6.6 11.1 6.8 6.3
NEW YORK CIT NIAGARA FALL ROCHESTER ROME. SCHENECTADY	.S		10 509 610 32 222 1184 954 113 808 25 883	965 298 3 399 13 756 744 2 807	9,2 10,5 17,4 5,4 10,8	648 026 3 072 11 982 673 2 611	67.1 90.4 87.1	136 180 184 683 54 101	14-1 5, 5 h
SYRACUSE. TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS YONKERS			98 676 20 304 22 948 36 130 126 726	7 645 1 557 2 396 3 819 8 668	7.7 7.7 10.4 10.6 6.8	6 880 1 338 2 101 3 320 7 396	90.0 85.9 87.7	532 112 214 232 646	7.0 7.2 8.9 6.1 7.5
NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM FAVETTEVILLE GREENSBORO HIGH POINT RALEIGH WINSTON-SALE	M .		14 537 73 510 27 527 14 585 44 778 19 579 30 967 42 187	1 701 9 259 3 478 1 882 6 572 1 973 4 189 5 591	11.7 12.6 12.6 12.9 14.7 10.1 13.5	1 686 9 079 3 363 1 828 6 475 1 899 4 089 5 431	99.1 98.1 96.7 97.1 98.5 96.2 97.6		
NORTH DAKOTA: FARGO			11 802	1 363	11,5	1 292	94.8	39	ျ 2.9

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	Cit					Legal pro	service secutio	s and n	Indig	ent defe	nse	Cor	roctions	Other cris	inal justice
	CIT	,				Amount	total	cent of criminal co system		total	cent of criminal ce system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGANCONTI WARREN. WESTLAND. WYOMING.	• •			· ·	::	164 64 88		2.9 3.0 5.9	19		0.1 0.9 0.1	18 17	0.8 1.1	74	3,5
MINNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON . DULUTH . MINNEAPOLIS . ROCHESTER . ST. PAUL				• •		102 172 747 51 489		4.6 6.7 4.7 3.8 4.4	9		0.4	14 1 275 	0.5 8.0	722	4.5
MISSISSIPPI: JACKSON	• • •	٠.				122		2.2			_	329	0.7 5.9	651 t	5.8
MISSOURI: COLUMBIA FLORISSANT. INDEPENDENCE. KANSAS CITY ST. JOSEPH. ST. LOUIS. SPRINGFIELD.	* 4 1			•		51 16 98 775 52 1 685		5.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 3.9 3.2 2.9	30		0.1 (Z)	1 388 8 002	5.4 15.0	67	
MONTANA: BILLINGS. GREAT FALLS. NEBRASKA:	:::	::		::		53 20		3.6 1.4	6 1		0.4	12 55	0.8 3.8	11	0.8
LINCOLN OMAHA	• • •	• •	:	•	:	283 510		6.6 4.8	-		-	301 80	7.1	433 54	10.2 0.5
LAS VEGAS RENO	• • •		::	•	•	440 161		54.1 3.7	-			249	5.7	-	
MANCHESTER NASHUA	• • •	::	: :	• •		34 40		1.6			-	103	4.7 3.0	12	0.6
BAYONNE BLOOMFIELD CAMDEN CLIFTON EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH						101 54 212 72 133 100 185		3.4 2.6 3.2 3.0 3.2 2.1 7.4			11111	-		277 59 36	4.2 - 1.4 0.8
JERSEY CITY NEWARK. PASSAIC PATERSON. TRENTON UNION CITY,						241 431 45 121 138 34		1.7 1.6 2.1 1.7 2.1 1.4	3		- (Z)			14 170 50	0.9 0.1 - 2.4 0.8
NEW MEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE				• •		297		2.8	-		-	1 194	11.2	39	1,6
NEW YORK: ALEANY BINGHAMTON, BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON NEW ROCHELLE	• • •	9 1 1 0 1 1		* ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		133 68 821 130 149		2.4 3.2 3.3 4.1 4.3	55		0.2	3	0.1	28	0.5
NEW YORK CITY NIAGARA FALLS ROCHESTER ROME. SCHENECTADY				• •		28 330 143 360 17 95		2.9 4.2 2.6 2.3 3.4	10 107		1.0	125 643	13.0	17 012	1.8
SYRACUSE. TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS	• • •	• •	•			233 197 81 267 330		3.0 6.9 3.4 7.0 3.8	***						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
ORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM, FAYETTEVILLE GREENSRORO HIGH POINT RALEIGH WINSTON-SALEM	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					15 138 115 54 97 74 100		0.9			3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	296	1.1	42	0.5 -
ORTH DAKOTA: FARGO		٠.		.,		32		2.3			_				

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			. ?		matel di	Total criminal	Justice system	Police pr	otection	Jud	iicial
	City				Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total crimina justice system
OHIO: ARRON CANTON CINCINNATI CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEI COLUMBUS DAYTON				# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	69 812 22 674 298 348 218 069 7 378 127 800 63 100	3 740 25 776 33 812 1 451 22 849	12.3 16.5 8.6 15.5 19.7 17.9 15.8	7 352 3 179 20 306 28 060 1 302 18 912 7 681	85.5 85.0 78.8 83.0 89.7 82.8 76.8	715 313 1 957 2 881 77 1 981 806	8, 8, 7, 8, 5, 8,
ELYRIA. EUCLID. HAMILTON. KETTERING LAKEWOOD. LIMA. LORAIN.		1 1 1 1 1 1	•/•	1 4 6 4 4 4 8 4 9 8 4 9	13 190 15 860 10 743 7 206 22 090 7 481 20 912	1 885 1 394 1 358 1 516	7.8 10.6 17.5 19.3 6.1 20.3	768 1 404 1 735 1 162 1 172 1 044 1 055	74.4 83.7 92.0 83.4 86.3 68.8 79.6	172 117 113 109 123 252 135	16. 7. 6. 7. 9. 16.
MANSFIELD PARMA SPRINGFIELD TOLEDO WARREN YOUNGSTOWN		• •		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 982 9 126 10 338 91 100 11 600 29 176	1 898 1 862 2 335 14 050 3 986 4 487	15.8 20.4 22.6 15.4 34.4 15.3	1 607 1 475 1 891 12 064 1 222 3 501	84.7 79.2 81.0 85.9 30.7 78.4	236 249 287 942 290 444	6.
OKLAHOMA LAWTON NORMAN OKLAHOMA CITY TULSA	: : :	• •	• •	•	18 274 15 131 81 148 78 525	1 657 1 475 10 723 7 766	9.1 9.7 13.2 9.9	1 486 1 334 8 698 6 773	89.7 90.4 81.1 87.2	49 55 366 190	3. 3. 3.
OREGON: EUGENE, PORTLAND, SALEM			•	• • •	19 697 93 309 23 657	2 704 16 331 2 355	13.7 17.5 10.0	2 456 14 758 2 191	90.8 90.4 93.0	109 58	4.
PENNSYLVANIAI ALLENTOWN ALTOONA BETHLEHEM CHESTER ERIE HARRISBURG LANCASTER			* 1	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	23 666 5 932 11 578 11 924 22 436 13 913 9 936	2 803 952 1 759 2 289 3 284 2 844 1 701	11.8 16.0 15.2 19.2 14.7 20.4 17.1	2 742 917 1 716 2 217 3 117 2 796 1 683	97.8 96.3 97.6 96.9 94.6 98.3	1 - 82 -	0.
PHILADELPHIA PITTSBURGH READING SCRANTON WILKES-BARRE YORK				• • • • • • • • •	834 667 116 008 21 162 16 787 35 189 8 193	214 590 21 250 2 235 1 902 1 274 1 299	25.7 18.3 10.6 11.3 3.6 15.9	136 168 20 398 2 161 1 843 1 246 1 272	63.5 96.0 96.7 96.9 97.8 97.9	34 793 356 -	16.
PHODE ISLAND: CRANSTON. PAWTUCKET PROVIDENCE. WARWICK			• •		27 800 32 523 75 942 34 659	1 831 2 059 5 963 2 145	6.6 6.3 7.9 6.2	1 683 1 985 5 677 2 088	91.9 96.4 95.2 97.3	8 18 170 4	
SOUTH CAROLINAI CHARLESTON. COLUMBIA. GREENVILLE					12 134 20 367 9 461	2 508 3 919 1 889	20.7 19.2 20.0	2 442 2 902 1 717	97.4 74.0 90.9	39 728 65	18. 18. 3.
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS			• •		14 183	1 426	10,1	1 321	92,6	56	4.
MEMPHIS NASHVILLE-DAV	IOSON.	• •	• •	• • •	65 003 72 739 243 375 215 934	6 379 5 232 20 613 18 798	9.8 7.2 8.5 8.7	6 000 4 738 18 446 12 735	94.1 90.6 89.5 67.7	180 71 508 3 492	
TEXAS: ABILENE AMARILLO ARLINGTON AUSTIN BEAUMONT BROWNSVILE CORPUS CHRIST DALLAS EL PASO				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	9 761 16 075 23 233 76 652 16 074 10 791 32 708 213 164 42 289	1 317 2 570 2 328 8 904 2 767 881 4 408 36 789 6 780	13,5 16,0 10,0 11,6 17,22 13,5 17,3	1 162 2 216 1 980 7 341 2 400 3 827 34 163 6 371	88.2 85.1 85.4 86.7 86.7 86.8 94.8 92.9	53 ,132 161 334 77 29 180 1 273	6, 3, 2, 3,
FORT WORTH. GALVESTON. GARLAND. GRAND PRAIRIE HOUSTON. IRVING. LAREDO. LUBBOCK. MESQUITE.				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		11 556 1 318 1 723 1 064 40 426 1 650 608 3 138	18,27 8,27 18,77 18,77 10,57 15,57	10 546 1 121 1 534 914 35 749 1 428	91,3 85,1 89,0 85,9 88,4 86,5 87,8	378 67 44 29 1 199 81 32 171	752.20.5.45.55.

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

City	Legal pro	services and secution	Indige	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other ori	ninal justice
City	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO: AKRON . CANTON. CINCINNATI. CLEVELAND. CLEVELAND HEIGHTS. COLUMBUS. DAYTON.	218 150 850 704 68 906 382	2.5 4.0 3.3 2.1 4.7 4.7	89 102	0.4	281 98 2 162 2 167 4 961 1 026	7.64 8.4 6.3 4.0	31 501	0,4
ELYRIA. EUCLID. HAMILTON. KETTERING LAKEWOOD. LIMA. LORAIN.	50 86 21 123 63 58 79	4.8 5.1 1.1 8.8 4.6 3.8 6.0	7	0,4	42 70 9 -	10.3 #.1 #.2 0.5		
MANSFIELD PARHA SPRINGFIELD TOLEDO WARREN YOUNGSTOWN	52 128 106 262 71 256	2.7 6.9 4.5 1.9 1.8	5 3 28 - 24	0.4	51 10 23 782 49 242	3.8 0.5 1.9 5.6 1.2 5.4	2 354	59,1
OKLAHOMA: LANTON. NORMAN. OKLAHOMA CITY	61 58 294 305	3.7 3.9 2.7 3.9	20	1,2	41 28 451 417	2.5 1.9 4.2 5.4	914	** 8.5
OREGON: EUGENE. PORTLAND. SALEM	119 321 106	4.4 2.0 4.5	19	0,7	1	(Z)	1 252	1.0 7.7
PENNSYLVANIAI ALLENTOWN ALTOONA BETHLEHEM CHESTER ERIE HARRISBURG LANCASTER	61 20 43 72 95 45	2.2 2.1 2.4 3.1 2.9 1.6			14	1.5		0,1
PHILADELPHIA. PITTSBURGH. READING SCRANTON. WILKES-BARRE YORK.	8 151 496 74 59 28 27	3.8 2.3 3.3 3.1 2.2	3 457	1,6	30 439	14.2	1 582	0.7
RHODE ISLAND: CRANSTON. PAWTUCKET PROVIDENCE.	40 56 116 47	2,1 2,2 2,7 1,9 2,2			-	•	100	5,5
SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON. COLUMBIA GREENVILLE	27 72 71	1,1 1,6 3,8	-	-	217	5.5	-	0.3
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS	39	2.7			36			•
TENNESSEE: CHATTANDOGA KNOXVILLE MENPHIS MEN	199 173 302 436	3.1 3.3 1.5 2.3	144	0,8	250 1 000 1 909	4.8 4.9 10.2	357 82	1.7 0.4
TEXAS; ABILENE AMARILLO. ARLINGTON AUSTIN. GEAUMONT. BROWNSVILLE CORPUS CHRISTI DALLAS. EL PASO	56 119 131 239 97 22 216 986 146	4.3 4.6 5.6 2.7 3.5 2.5 4.9 2.7	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		46 91 56 120 185	3.5 3.5 2.4 4.3 4.2	12 990 73	0.5 11.1 2.6
FORT MORTH. GALVESTON GARLAND GARLAND GRAND PRAIRIE HOUSTON IRVING LAREDO LUBBOCK MESOUITE	239 53 103 50 696 76 42 126 34	2.2 2.1 4.0 4.7 1.7 4.6 6.9 4.0 2.9			393 77 42 51 2 401 65 49	3,4 5,8 2,4 4,8 5,9 3,9	20 381	1.9

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	Total direct	Total criminal	justice system	Police protection	Judicial
City	exponditure	Anount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXASCONTINUED MIDLAND ODESSA PASADENA PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO WICHITA FALLS	6 467 6 423 13 524 9 608 7 712 103 287 7 414 24 400 11 822	1 512 1 660 2 509 1 123 1 275 17 735 1 210 2 509 1 594	25.8 18.0 11.7 16.5 17.2 16.3	1 402 92,7 1 487 89,6 2 212 86,6 1 170 91,8 1 111 91,8 2 336 93,1 1 314 82,4	59 3.9 85 5.1 112 4.5 19 1.7 51 4.0 729 4.1 43 3.6 43 1.7 93 5.8
UTAH: OGDEN	8 315 6 015 37 323	2 005 789 5 862	24.1 13.1 15.7	1 717 681 5 055 86.2	226 11.3 71 9.0 558 9.5
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA, CHESAPEAKE. HAMPTON LYMCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS, NORFOLK PORTSHOUTH, RICHMOND, ROANDKE VIRGINIA BEACH,	62 009 47 957 70 415 23 904 73 319 179 079 59 582 206 757 51 445 83 033	6 336 3 904 3 685 2 194 5 378 10 888 3 760 14 333 4 609 5 787	6.1 6.3 6.9 9.0	4 651 73.4 2 383 61.0 2 750 74.6 1 465 66.8 3 170 58.9 7 417 68.1 2 711 72.1 10 089 70.4 2 504 54.3	690 10.9 487 12.5 445 12.1 199 9.1 1 009 18.8 1 387 12.7 625 16.6 2 010 14.0 1 020 22.1 805 13.9
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE. EVERETT SEATTLE SPOKANE TACOMA	13 590 12 049 171 188 34 378 52 150	1 838 1 748 25 078 4 990 6 004	14.6 14.5	1 632 1 649 94,3 21 642 86,3 4 604 92,3 4 947 82,4	62 3.4 - 1 407 5.6 185 3.7 282 4.7
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON	21 885 14 364	2 103 1 883		1 978 94.1 1 808 96.0	29 1.4 21 1.1
WISCONSIN: APPLETON. GREEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE MADISON. MILWAUKEE OSHKOSH RACINE WAUWATOSA WEST ALLIS.	25 305 46 216 16 034 20 710 87 739 192 8678 16 792 29 088 34 781	1 256 2 128 2 232 1 355 5 201 34 511 1 227 2 940 1 621 2 188	4.6 13.9 6.5 5.9 17.9 3.8 17.5 5.6	1 223 97.4 2 048 96.2 2 143 96.0 1 303 96.2 4 941 95.0 33 299 96.5 1 179 26.1 2 828 96.2 1 563 96.4 2 078 95.4	25 1.2 32 1.4 12 0.9 6 (21) 

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	City			Legal pro	services secution	and	Indiger	it defenție	Cor	rections	Other cris	inal justice
. 6	City	·		Amount	total	ent of criminal e Bystem	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	JnuomA	Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXAS-CONTINUE MIDLAND ODESSA, PASADENA PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO. SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO. WICHITA FALLS		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		51 45 90 90 18 475 56 71		3.4 2.7 3.0 1.4 2.8 6.0			43 87 42 36 - - 59	2.5 3.5 3.7 2.8 -4 5.7	-	Justice system
UTAH: OGDEN PROVO SALT LAKE CIT				62 37 209		3.1 4.7 3.6	-		40	0.7		•
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA CHESAPEAKE HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH RICHMOND ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		311 129 161 207 160 422 170 604 177 267		4.4 9.3 4.4 9.0 9.5 2.8 4.5 2.8 4.5 2.8 4.6	21 - 16 3 74 - -	0.3 - 0.7 0.1 0.7	663 905 329 307 1 036 1 588 253 1 630 908	10.5 23.2 8.9 14.0 19.3 14.6 6.7 11.4	11111111111	(Z)
WASHINGTON: RELLEVUE EVERETT SEATTLE SPOKANE TACOMA	• • • •	• • • • •		103 99 795 201 305		5.6 5.7 3.2 4.0 5.1		0.1	41 1 234	10.7 2.2 4.9	-	
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON. HUNTINGTON.	• • • •		::	96 54		4.6			287	4.8	177	2.9
MADISON MILWAUKEE OSHKOSH		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	33 55 57 40 260 1 196 112 44 94		2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	10	(2)	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	0.2

Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Loss than half the unit of measurement shown.

The total direct expenditure data, shown in the first column, are for fiscal year 1973; therefore, the percent of total direct expenditure accounted for by total criminal justice direct expenditure, shown in the third column, is overstated.

#### Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

				Direct exp	enditure			Intergo	vernmental exp	enditure
City	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlay			To	То
		Total	current	Tota1	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State governments	local governments
TOTAL	4 054 131	4 026 793	3 821 956	204 837	90 955	109 405	4 477	27 338	6 284	21 054
ALABAMA: BIRMINGHAM GADSUEN. HUNTSYILLE HOBILE MONIGOMERY TUSCALOOSA	9 837 1 646 2 889 5 469 3 773 1 732	9 837 1 046 2 796 5 279 3 560 1 723	9 400 750 2 757 5 102 3 249 1 614	437 296 39 177 311 109	437 296 35 177 311 78	- 4 - - 31	-	93 190 213	-	93 190 213
ARIZONAI MESA	2 481 30 826 1 736 2 151 10 334	2 481 30 808 1 736 2 151 10 263	1 585 25 554 1 687 2 028 10 060	896 5 254 49 123 203	61 535 49 123 203	342 4 503	493 216 - -	18	-	18 71
ARKANSASI FORT SMITH	1 079 3 774 1 446 1 138	1 079 3 688 1 391 1 108	988 2 948 1 236 983	91 740 155 125	91 737 150 125	3 5	-	86 55 30	-	- 86 55
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA. ALAMBRA ANAHEIM. BAKERSFIELD. BELLFLOWER BERKELEY. BUENA PARK BURBANK. CARSON CHULA VISTA.	1 932 1 766 7 235 3 228 881 4 639 4 253 1 781	1 932 1 766 7 232 3 228 72 4 431 1 633 4 253 84 1 781	1 887 1 749 7 140 2 996 67 4 387 1 619 4 181 84 1 719	45 17 92 232 5 44 14 72 62	45 17 92 132 5 44 8 72 - 62	100	-	809 6	-	3 809 6 1 111
COMPTON	3 924 2 644 3 065 1 803 2 440 1 269 1 658 2 544	3 863 2 644 3 030 1 801 2 431 1 251 2 544	3 786 2 534 3 005 1 697 2 425 1 240 1 628 2 513	77 110 25 104 6 29 23	77 110 25 72 6 29 23	32		61 35 29 7	34 - -	61 1 2 9
FRESNO FULLERTON. GARDEN GROVE GLENDALE HAWTHORNE. HAYWARD. HUNTINGTON BEACH INGLENOOD. LAKEWOOD	7 334 3 304 2 863 3 689 1 397 3 333 4 767 4 798	7 334 3 304 2 849 3 689 1 397 3 333 4 760 4 993	7 244 2 626 2 791 3 677 1 381 2 574 4 668 4 953 405	90 678 58 12 16 759 92 40 2	90 75 58 12 16 77 92 40 2	603		14 - - 7		14 7 701
LONG BEACH	16 369 170 756 2 014 1 518 1 394 17 417 2 037 2 187 2 287	16 369 170 503 2 014 1 518 43 17 417 2 019 2 181 2 287	15 730 163 809 2 003 1 434 43 17 292 2 010 2 178 2 116	639 6 694 11 84 125 9	299 2 767 10 84 122 9 3	340 3 863 - - - 3	64	253 1 351 18		253 1 351 18 4
PALO ALTO, PASADENA PICO RIVERA POMONA REDONDO BEACH REDWOOD CITY RICHMOND RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO	2 416 6 336 1 083 3 273 1 413 1 638 4 058 4 058 11 499	2 416 6 336 22 3 270 1 413 1 638 4 058 4 904 11 499	2 349 6 275 21 3 259 1 352 1 564 3 764 4 637 11 362	67 61 11 61 74 294 267 137	67 61 1 11 58 74 98 267 137	- - 3 196	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 061	-	1 061
SALINAS. SAN BERNARDINO SAN BUENAYENTURA SAN DIEGO. SAN FRANCISCO. SAN JOSE SAN LEANDRO. SAN MATEO. SAN MATEO.	1 746 4 691 1 776 21 330 68 304 16 697 1 944 2 2542	1 706 4 656 1 770 21 330 68 304 16 697	1 624 4 539 1 731 20 852 67 249 16 098	82 117 39 478 1 055 599 36	82 102 36 474 966 591 38 67	15 3 4 70 8	19	40 35 6 		40 35 6

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

## Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	1.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Direct ex	penditure			Interes	Nemmor to	
City	Total	m-1-1	Direct		Capit	al outlay	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THEOLEG	vernmental ex	ponciture
		Total	current	Total	Equipmen	t Construc-	Land	Total	To State	To local
ALIFORNIACONTINUED SANTA BARBARA. SANTA CLARA. SANTA MONICA SANTA ROSA SIMI VALLEY. SOUTH GATE STOCKTON	2 757 2 455 3 663 1 241 1 710 4 930	2 75 2 45 3 66; 1 24 1 07; 1 700 4 914	2 343 3 , 3 554 1 186 3 1 046	62 112 109 55 27 61 163	61 112 109 55 27 59 117	tion		10	govarnments	government
SUNNYVALE TORRANCE VALLEJO. WEST COVINA WESTHINSTER, WHITTIER	2 004 5 181 2 185 1 635 1 590 1 886	2 004 5 151 2 185 1 629 1 579 1 881	2 169 1 624 1 546	28 32 16 5 33	28 32 16 5 33		15	16 30 6 11	-	16 30 6
LORADO: AURORA BOULDER: COLORADO SPRINGS DENVER LAKEMOOD PUEBLO	2 206 1 682 4 382 33 154 2 512 2 508	2 206 1 682 4 382 33 154 2 512 2 508	2 157	49 17 226 2 988 134 139	49 17 216 66N 134 139	10 1 129	1 195	5		11 5
NNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT RISTOL ANBURY HARTFORD HERIDEN	8 419 1 420 1 731 8 655 1 527 1 678	8 419 1 420 1 731 8 655 1 526 1 678	8 403 1 348 1 671 8 460 1 485 1 621	16 72 60 195 41 57	16 71 60 195 41 57	1	-	- - - 1		
LEW BRITAIN.  EW HAVEN.  ORWALK.  TAMFORD  ATERBURY.  EST HAVEN	2 414 7 069 2 881 5 859 3 435 1 520	2 414 7 050 2 881 5 859 3 435 1 520	2 380 6 969 2 727 5 532 3 361 1 499	34 81 154 327 74 21	34 81 154 289 74 21	38		19	19	
AWARE: ILMINGTON	5 140	5 140	5 137	3	3			1	-	•
RICT OF COLUMBIA:	178 212	178 212	166 253	11 959			-	• 1	-	•
IIDA:  RT LAUDERDALE,  INESVILLE,  ALEAH,  LLYWOOD,  CKSONVILLE	1 912 6 838 2 571 3 250 4 592 22 210 16 923	1 912 6 838 2 571 3 250 4 592 22 210 16 923	1 856 6 800 2 229 2 738 4 570 21 347 14 628	56 38 342 512 22 863 2 295	56 38 329 512 22 22 533	11 259 13 194 2 256	256			
MI BEACH. ANDO. SACOLA PETERSBURG LAHASSEE PA. T PALM BEACH.	6 121 6 704 1 791 7 259 2 466 9 019 2 437	6 121 6 686 1 791 7 259 2 460 8 931 2 437	6 121 6 408 1 701 6 822 2 162 8 882 2 356	280 90 437 298 49 81	280 90 437 63 19 81	235		16		16 - 6 88
ANY ANTA ANTA ANTA ANTA ANTA ANTA ANTA A	1 984 22 980 1 857 6 464 3 125 3 345	1 904 22 919 1 857 6 464 3 125 3 345	1 806 20 843 1 724 6 042 2 757 3 302	178 2 076 133 422 368 43	178 2 074 133 406 368 43	16		61		61
DLULU	26 897	28 897	28 317	580	572	8				
E CITY	1 829	1 757	1 677	80	80				•-	
DIS: NGTON HEIGHTS. RA PAIGN. PAIGN. AGG. RO TUR.	1 467 2 227 873 1 315 236 327 1 391 1 749	1 467 2 227 873 1 289 236 327 1 391 1 744	1 422 2 109 637 1 228 225 336 1 391 1 678	45 118 36 61	45 95 36 61 5 732 5	23		72		72

#### Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

- 1				(11100001	Direct exp				Intergov	ornmental exp	endituro
	City	Total	3	Direct		Capital	outlay			То	To
			Total	current	Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State governments	local governments
	LINOISCONTINUED DES PLAINES	1 572 2 838 1 643 2 617 2 527	1 572 2 838 1 643 2 617 2 526	1 487 2 539 1 564 2 597 2 456	85 299 79 20 70	85 278 79 16 66	11 12 14		- - - 1		-
	OAK LAWN	1 749 2 318 4 254 3 987 1 246 2 810 2 095	1 749 2 318 4 223 3 987 1 409 2 246 2 810 2 085	1 656 1 969 4 208 3 887 1 092 2 213 2 225 1 881	93 349 15 100 317 33 585 204	93 101 15 100 - 33 268 166	248 	1111111	31 - - 10	-	31
	DIANAI ANDERSON . EVANSVILLE FORT WAYNE	1 768 3 259 4 371 6 075 2 857 27 736 1 677 3 402 1 358	1 769 3 259 4 372 6 075 2 857 27 300 1 677 3 402 1 358	1 694 3 056 4 195 5 793 2 812 26 099 1 606 3 284 1 253	74 203 177 282 45 1 201 71 118 105	74 203 177 282 45 803 71 118 105	398 	111111111	436	436	
	WAI CEDAR RAPIDS , COUNCIL BLUFFS , DAVENPORT , BES MOINES , OUBUQUE , SIOUX CITY , WATERLOO , , ,	2 293 1 247 1 879 7 314 1 648 1 946 1 847	2 242 1 195 1 879 7 280 1 517 1 866 1 847	2 115 1 144 1 806 6 832 1 311 1 824 1 784	127 51 73 448 206 42 63	124 51 73 316 28 42 63	132 178	111111	51 52 34 131 80	-	15 52 34 131 80
	NSAS: KANSAS CITY	5 566 1 806 3 595 7 362	5 566 1 806 3 595 7 332	5 291 1 407 3 354 7 200	275 399 241 132	275 84 241 132		315	- - 30	- - 30	- - - - - -
	NTUCKY: COVINGTON	1 846 7 430 15 415 1 242	1 846 7 376 15 285 1 217	1 685 5 658 13 548 1 076	161 1 718 1 737 141	160 1 434 326 141	261 1 411	23 -	54 130 25	10	54 120 25
	UISIANA: BATON ROUGE. LAFAYETTE. LAKE CHARLES MONROE NEW ORLEANS. SHREVEPORT	14 030 1 302 991 1 469 34 020 5 104	14 004 1 264 972 1 469 34 020 5 104	12 252 1 084 888 1 407 31 444 5 019	1 752 180 84 62 2 576 85	1 428 180 84 62 1 494 85	324 - 186	- - 896	26 38 19 -	2	24 38 19
МД	INE: PORTLAND	2 257	2 257	2 220	37		37			_	_
	RYLAND: BALTIMORE	71 448	71 448	70 142	1 306	540	765	1	_	. <u>.</u>	<u>.</u>
1	SSACHUSETTS: BOSTON BROCKTON CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE FALL RIVER HOLYOKE LAWRENGE	67 163 3 250 4 010 1 657 4 316 1 869 2 293	67 155 3 248 4 010 1 657 4 316 1 869 2 293	64 743 3 204 3 981 1 603 4 226 1 849 2 246	2 414 44 25 55 50 47	2 309 44 29 54 90 20 47	103	111111	8 2 -	2	8 - - - -
	LOWELL LYNN HALDEN HALDEN HGEFORO. NEW BEDFORO.	2 940 4 059 2 158 2 130 4 296 4 191	2 937 4 059 2 158 2 130 4 296 4 178	2 868 4 059 2 112 2 071 3 872 3 980	69 46 59 424 198	69 46 59 424 198			3 - - 13		3 - - 13
	PITTSFIELD	1 247 4 369 2 356 5 076 2 152 7 071	1 247 4 369 2 356 5 076 2 152 7 071	1 243 4 242 2 288 5 059 2 135 6 658	4 127 68 17 17 413	127 68 17 17 413	11111	111111		- -	-

Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	T	<del>,</del>	( i nousa	inds of d	ollars)					
City	M			Direct exp	endi ture		:	Intone	Varman 1	A.
	Total	Total	Direct		Capita	1 outlay		-ment RO	vernmental ex	1.1
Vidura			current	Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State_ Sovernments	To local governments
MICHIGANI ANN ARBOR. DEARBORN DEARBORN HEIGHTS DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZOO	3 689 4 261 2 669 118 357 8 58 8 421 3 245	3 889 4 231 2 665 117 194 8 586 8 367 3 245	3 853 4 039 2 175 112 900 8 526 7 688 3 055	36 192 490 4 294 60 679	36 192 197 3 564 54 297	293 730 6 382	11111	30 4 1 163 54	-	30 4 1 163
LANSING. LINCOLN PARK LIVONIA. PONTIAC. ROSEVILLE. ROYAL OAK.	5 631 1 459 3 174 4 568 2 042 2 514 4 008	5 616 1 428 3 149 4 488 2 7 514 3 995	5 226 1 380 3 072 4 355 1 910 2 466 3 928	190 48 77 133 132 48 67	390 48 77 133 131 28	- - - 1 20	1 1 1 1 1	15 31 25 80	1111	54 - 15 31 25 80
SOUTHFIELD ST. CLAIR SHORES STERLING HEIGHTS TAYLOR WARREN WESTLAND WYOMING.	3 228 2 555 2 714 1 960 5 619 2 154 1 506	3 224 2 555 2 714 1 958 5 619 2 137 1 490	3 130 2 417 2 277 1 917 5 032 1 986 1 339	94 138 437 41 587 151	67 94 133 #37 41 167 77	4201		13	13	4 2
MINNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON. DULUTH HINNEAPOLIS. ROCHESTER. ST. PAUL MISSISSIPPI:	2 207 2 566 15 893 1 358 11 143	2 195 2 556 15 893 1 357 11 143	2 122 2 491 15 817 1 310 11 017	73 65 76 47 126	65 59 47 80	90 64 17 46		12 10 -		17 16 12 10
JACKSON.  MISSOURI: COLUMBIA FLORISSANT INDEPENDENCE KANSAS CITY. SI, JOSEPH ST, LOUIS SPRINGFIELD.	5 554 1 019 1 051 4 820 25 848 1 337 53 489 2 261	1 019 1 051 4 816 25 848 1 334 53 489	982 977 2 269 25 603 1 188 51 486	410 37 74 2 547 245 146 2 003	410 37 64 63 104 146 1 290	10 2 484 141		- 4 3		
MONTANAI BILLINGS GREAT FALLS	1 459 1 448 4 268	2 251 1 459 1 448 4 261	2 220 1 418 1 135 4 088	31 41 313	41 68	195	50	10	=	10
NEVADA I LAS VEGAS	10 630 10 068 4 331 2 193	814 9 331	689 4 153	125 178	125 178	-		9 254 -	6	100
NASHUA NEW JERSEY: BAYONNE. BLOOMFIELD CAMDEN CLIFTON CLIFTON EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON	2 963 2 098 6 620 2 424 4 176 4 750	2 193 1 879 2 963 2 098 6 620 2 424 4 750	2 144 1 804 2 938 2 098 6 610 2 424 4 173	49 75 25 10	49 73 25 10	2	1111	16	6	
JERSEY CITY. NEWARK PASSAIC. PATERSON IRENTON. UNION CITY	2 503 14 244	2 503	4 750 2 503 14 244 26 456 2 120 7 079 6 529 2 360	771	771	113		11111	1	-
EW MEXICO: ALBUQUEROUE.	10 672	.	0 002		6	25	-	-		
EW YORK: ALBANY BINGHAMTON BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON NEW ROCHELLE See footnote at end of table.	5 496 2 163 24 761 3 209	5 496 2 116 24 761 2 3 209	5 323 2 068 3 056 3 177 3 407	171 48 1 705 32 72	48	105	17	47	47	

## Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

. <del>F</del>				(TI)	usands	of dolla	3(5)	<del></del>		To be seen	vornmental expe	enditure
	<del>,                                     </del>				Dir	oot expend				Intergo	To To	To
					ant	i i	Capital		·	Total	State	logal governments
CT tÅ		Total	Total	pir	keur ode	Total F	quipment	Construc- tion	Land		-	-
NEW YORKCONTIN' NEW YORK CITY- NIAGARA FALLS. ROCHESTER ROME SCHENECTADY		965 576 3 399 13 756 744 2 80°	13	199 156 144 307	716 1 248 1 480 718 2 155 7 366	59 982 151 276 26 652 279	9 309 151 276 26 41	50 673 611	-	1		•
SYNACUSE TROY UTICA. WHITE PLAINS YONKERS.		7 64 1 55 2 39 3 81 8 66	7 2 3	396 819	1 510 2 329 3 772 8 489	47 67 47 179	27 67 47 151	28		:	10	10
NORTH CAROLINAI ASHEVILLE. CHARLOTTE. DURHAM FAYETTEVILLE GREENSBORO HIGH FOINT RALEIGH. WINSTON-SALEN		1 71 9 27 1 86 6 59 1 4 15	18 3 18 3 12 6 73 1 199 4		1 609 8 121 3 133 1 744 6 289 1 834 4 090 5 339	1 138 345 138 283 139 99 252	87 484 345 138 283 139 95	654			10	2 8
NORTH DAKOTA:		13	66 1	Z6Z	1 320	43	4	3	4	-	115	115
OHIO: ARRON. CANTON CINCINNATI GLEVELAND GLEVELAND BYTON ELYRIA EUGLID HAMILTON KETTERING LAKEWOOD LIHA LORAIN	IGHTS	8 3 3 3 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	29 29 31 21 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	597 776 5776 5776 5776 5776 5776 5776 57	8 393 3 323 24 758 32 073 1 445 21 628 9 511 1 605 1 763 1 326 1 377 1 477 1 285 1 551	204 417 1 018 1 739 1 221 486 1 21 7: 12: 66 5	1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	7 41 6 9 6 21 5 8 11 12 17 60 60 51 40	122.1.18 705 8 111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	219 219 22 18 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21. 21.
MANSFIELD. PARMA. SPRINGFIELD TOLEDO WARREN YOUNGSTOWN		143	359 073 986	1 862 2 335 14 050 3 986 4 467	1 850 2 189 13 537 1 559 4 260	2 42	6 3 7	73 2 3	156		24 23 5	5
OKLAHOMA I LAWTON NORMAN OKLAHOMA C TULSA	1 <b>†</b> Y	10	657 480 723 7766	1 657 1 475 10 723 7 766	1 466 1 322 10 466 7 266	2   1 5   2	53	182 116 247 498	37		5	
OREGONI EUGENE PORTLAND SALEH.		:: \ \ \ \	2 704 6 331 2 355	2 704 16 331 2 355	2 31 16 20 5 66	5   1	41 26 41	41 126 41				
ERIE HARRISBUR		• •	2 803 952 1 759 2 289 3 294 2 902 1 701	2 803 952 1 759 2 289 3 294 2 844 1 701	1 5	52 23 89 55 84 71	35 36 339 160 130 872	375 36 339 160 62 3 589	68	279	58	37 4 439
PHILADELF PITTSBURG READING. SCRANTON WILKES-B	HIA SH		19 029 21 250 2 235 1 902 1 274 1 299	214 590 21 250 2 235 1 902 1 274 1 299	21 1	31 16 102 115	19 19 59 5	59 5	51	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1		
PROVIDEN	T		1 831 2 059 5 963 2 145	1 83: 2 05: 5 96: 2 16	5	750 993 859 110	81 66 104 35	53 66 104 35	28			

### Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		1		(Thousar	ids of de	ollars)					
					Direct expe	nditure			Intergov	ernmental exp	onditura
	city	Total		Direct		Capital	outlay			То	To
			Total	current	Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State governments	local governments
	SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON	2 508 3 919 1 889	2 508 3 919 1 889	1 672 3 214 1 830	836 705 59	140 162 59	696 543	*	:## :##		
	SOUTH DAKOTA:	1 438	1 426	1 302	124	124			12		12
	TENNESSE: CHATTAROOGA, KNOXVILLE, MEMPHIS, NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	6 398 5 232 21 599 18 798	6 379 5 232 20 613 18 798	4 580 5 220 18 637 17 381	1 799 12 1 976 1 417	978 10 642 1 096	821 2 977 321	357	289 7.5		19 984
	TEXASI ABILEME. AHARILLO ARLINGTON. AUSTIN BEAUMONT BROWNSVILLE. CORPUS CHRISTI DALLAS EL PASO.	1 317 2 570 2 328 8 706 2 768 906 4 406 36 789 6 831	1 317 2 578 2 528 8 904 2 747 4 408 36 789 6 780	1 235 2 486 2 138 7 634 2 519 8 73 3 991 36 012 6 411	82 84 190 1 270 248 417 777 369	82 84 190 280 28 8 417 547 284	990 220 230 85			1	
	FORT WORTH GALVESTON. GARLAND. GRAND PRAIRIE HOUSTON IRVING LAREDO LUBBOCK. MESQUIYE	11 556 1 318 1 728 1 064 40 426 1 650 628 3 138 1 157	11 556 1 318 1 723 1 064 40 426 1 650 608 3 138 1 157	11 510 1 235 1 593 856 37 303 1 574 606 2 997 1 042	46 83 130 208 3 123 76 2 141 115	46 83 130 89 2 028 76 2 141	119 1 041 - 16	54	20	-	10
	MIDLAND. ODESSA PASADEMA PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO WICHITA FALLS.	1 512 1 660 2 509 1 123 1 275 18 046 1 218 2 536 1 594	1 512 1 660 2 509 1 123 1 275 17 735 17 735 1 210 2 509 1 594	1 355 1 626 2 155 1 077 1 222 16 172 1 135 2 422 1 531	157 354 553 1 563 75 63	7 34 108 46 53 744 73 87 63	150 246 819	1111111111	311 8 27	-	311
	UTAH: OGOEN	2 005 791 5 862	2 005 769 5 862	1 993 739 5 386	. 12 50 476	11 50 365	111	-	2	-	
	VIRGINIAI ALEXANDRIA CHESAPEAKE HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS NORFOLK PORTSHOUTH RICHMONO ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH	6 420 4 045 3 864 2 389 5 378 10 387 17 773 14 347 5 787	6 336 3 904 3 685 2 194 5 378 10 888 3 760 14 333 4 609 5 787	6 202 3 734 3 571 2 115 2 014 10 415 3 742 14 095 5 689	134 170 114 79 364 473 18 236 759	134 170 93 78 115 401 11 151 204	249 72 72 7 555 3	1 1 87	84 141 179 95 13	84 141 179 95	13
	WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE EVERETT. SEATTLE SPOKANE TACOMA	1 842 1 787 25 143 5 289 6 004	1 838 1 748 25 078 4 990 6 004	1 759 1 747 24 820 4 954 5 605	79 1 258 36 379	79 1 231 36 398	27 1		4 39 63 299	-	299
r-	WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON	2 187 1 908	2 103 1 883	2 035 1 672	68 211	66 211	-	<b>.</b>	64 25	-	
	WISCONSINI APPLETON GREEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE, HADISON, NILMAUKEE, OSHKOSH, RACINE HAUMATOSA	1 256 2 131 2 233 1 357 5 213 34 882 1 229 2 942 1 620	256 258 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	1 222 2 047 2 094 1 304 5 024 33 842 1 194 2 848 1 2 158	34 81 138 51 177 669 33 92 36	33 81 138 177 587 33 92 36	1 52	*************	3 1 10 371 2 2		1 2 10 371 2

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

### Table 16. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

				1.0						· ·		Judici		
Т			Total cr	iminal ju	tico syst	өщ		Police pr	otection					Percent
number	City	Total full-time oquivalent	Number	rull-	Full-	Percent o. total 1:11- cime		er of empl	Full-	Percent of total criminal justice system	Numbe	Full-	Full- time equiva-	of total criminal justice system
THE.		employees <sup>1</sup>	Total	time only	time equiva- lent	equiva- lent om- ployees	Total	time only	equiva- lent	ployees	- Ni	only	lent	ployees
1	TOTAL	1 373 764	279 999	271 299	273 401	19,9		224 162			20 351	19 621	19 998	3.7
234567	ALABAMA; BIRMINGHAM	3 822 611 2 298 2 055 1 928 1 818	895 118 293 439 435 167	888 117 291 433 371 158	889 118 293 438 391 159	19.3 12.8 21.3 20.3	780 108 263 384 394 152	384	108 26 38 35	91.5 89.8 87.7 89.5	11 14 18 13	3 9 11 18 5	4 11 14 18 6	4.6 3.8
89012	ARIZONA: MESA PHOENIX SCOTISDALE TEMPE. TUCSON	645 6 389 668 648 3 562	1 843 159 152	127 1 829 154 145 725	13. 1 83. 15. 14. 72	4 28.7 5 23.2 6 22.5	149	1 65 14 7 13	2 1 65 5 14 4 13	6 90.3 5 93.5 4 91.8	2	95 5 6 23	2	5.2 3.2 4.1 3.2
13 14 15	ARKANSASI FORT SMITH LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF	501 1 493 663 410	157	118 339 154 125	1 15	5 23	7 30	4 30 7 13	4 30 7 1:	94 89.7 88.4		5 9 9 6 9 9		5 4.2 9 2.7 7 4.5 9 7.2
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALHAMBRA ANAHEIM BAKERSFIELD BELLFLOWER BERKELEY BUENA PARK BURBANK	1 50 67 7 1 28 9 92	7 456 9 200 5 24 7 307 2 130 232	19 22 11	8 10 0 39 0 19 7 24		4 10 44 9 19 3 29 7 12	8 10 2 36 14 18 19 21 19 1.	22 2 19 1	96. 98. 79 96. 96. 96. 97 36. 97. 21 99.	9 0 5 2	=	:	
25 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	CHULA VISTA	49 66 49 59 34 47	115	21 5 15 7 16 7 16	5 2 3 1 37 1 57 1 50 1 55 1 57 5	11 22. 18 32. 61 35. 94 35. 09 30. 32 30. 110 31. 172 29	0 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 6 1 6 1	13 2 81 1 09 1 07 1 38 1 13 1 22 1	11 2 52 1 83 7 30 04 05 63	07 96. 113 97. 59 98. 190 97. 107 98. 107 97. 108 97. 108 97.	7 8 9 2 0 3 .7			
333344	FRESNO	6 5 1 0 2	81 18 141 18 144 31	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	63 69 45 80 76 70	81 28 176 27 281 30 229 30	.2 1 .8 2 .8 .5	69 97 245 85 176 307 230	161 167 236 75 168	163 98 171 98 239 96 76 93 168 95 270 96 214 93 11 91	8 8 4 5 5			
	44 LONG BEACH . 45 LOS ANGELES . 46 HODESTO . 47 HOUNTAIN VIEW . 48 NORWALK . 49 OAKLAND . 50 ONTARIO . 51 ORANGE . 52 OXNARD .	33	517 16 578 6 104 6 392 1 0 471 1	10 10 6 00 10 6 00 10 6 00 10 6	56 90 18 1	606 3 157 2 92 2 019 2 116 2 155 2 136 2	1.9 10 5.4 4.3 3.2 4.6 9.5 2.3	217 10 155 95 915 130 166 139	217 10 151 87 906 111 148 130	217 96 152 96 89 96 115 96 151 96 152 97	3 8 7 2 2 1 7 4 7	111111111		111111
	53 PALO ALTO 54 PASADENA 55 PICO RIVERA 56 POMONA BEACH. 57 REDONDO BEACH. 58 REDWOOD GITY. 59 RICHHOND. 60 RIVERSIDE. 61 SACRAMENTO.	1	404 3 90 765 1 419 1 433 1 869 2	35 1 86 02 13	132 294 180 97 90 220 282 669	299 2 1 183 2 98 95 2 221 284	9,9 1.1 3,9 21,9 21,9 21,9	153 310 - 164 96 106 217 281 705	125 269 164 91 85 215 276 660	274 9 164 8 92 9 90 9 216 9 278 9	1.6 9.6 3.9 4.7 7.7 7.9			
	62 SALINAS. 63 SAN BERNARDIN 64 SAN BUENAVENT	1 URA 5 17 3	438 161 496 603 1 768 4 601 492 595	147 264 115 504 237 891 125 153 334		263 115 1458 4 010 890 123	33.6 22.7 23.2 26.0 22.6 24.7 25.0 25.7 29.3	137 256 111 373 738 855 120 150 320	137 256 111 303 558 855 116 150 311	256 111 1 330 2 583 855 118	23.2 27.3 26.5 21.2 54.4 96.1 95.9 98.0	632	559	587 1

See footnotes at and of table

### distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 384 large city October 1974

Logal	services	and prose	cution		Indigen	defense			Correc	tions		Ot	her crim	inal jus	tice	Г
Numbe	r of empl	oyees	Percent	Numbe	r of emplo	oyees	Percent	Number	of emplo	yoes	Percent	Number	of empl	oyoos	Percont	1.5
Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system om- ployees	Line number
8 596	8 117	8 398	3.1	156	115	151	1.0	18 814	18 211	18 419	6.7	1 095	1 073	1 082	0.4	1
19 1 4 6 2 2	19 1 4 3 - 2	2	2.1 0.8 1.4 1.1 0.5 1.3			11111	1	57 5 15 33 21	57 5 15 33 21	57 5 15 33 21	4.2 5.1 7.5	11011	2 - 2	2 -	0.5	1000
4 78 7 8 38	1 78 4 5 37	4 78 5 6 38	3.1 4.3 3.2 4.1 5.2	1	1111	1	0.8	1411	14	4	0.2		1 1 1 1	-	-	11
5 2	- 9 4 2	9 4 2	2.7 2.6 1.6	1111	-			4 17 7 4	4 17 7 4	4 17 7 4	3.4 5.0 4.5 3.2	1111	1111	-	-	1 1 1 1
4 3 4 6 1 8 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	424 15 15 11 11	4 24 6 1 6 1 1	3.7 1.9 3.1 25.0 2.5 0.8 4.9				111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1	1 1 1 1 1 2 5	-	2.2		111111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		11
4150101404	4441111111111	45N 1 N 1 MN4	3.6 2.3 1.2 1.8 2.7 1.8 2.3				111111111111111111111111111111111111111	11141111	1.4 1.1 1.1 1.1	4	2.1					NAKANNAN
102295551191	100000555400 L	10 22 9 5 5 11 9						1.01.11.11	1111115	6	2.6	ווושנונו	111111111		1.7	3333344
62 393 5 3 23 1 5 4	62 387 5 3 23 4 4	62 389 5 3 23 4 4	6.7 3.7 3.2 3.3 2.3 0.9 2.6 2.9		11 11 11 11			61 - - 87	61 - - 87	61 						4444
8 18 1 5 3 7 5 6	781335 569	781435560 10	5.2 6.0 100.0 2.2 3.1 5.3 2.1 1.5		1111111			17 17 3	13 13 1	7 15 3 15	2.3 8.2 3.1	127788881				555555566
3 8 91 178 36 5 3	3 7 4 87 176 34 5 3 14	7 7 88 176 35 5 14	2.75 6.04 3.1 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	54	53 -		1111	35 635 -	35 605	35 611	2.4 15.2	7.15.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	7 5 	7 5 5	4.8	66666667

### CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

#### Table 16. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

						· Ý							udicial	nents,
T			Total C	iminal j	ustice sys	tem		Police pro			Numb		mployees	Percent
	City	Total full-time	Humber	f employ	eos Full-	Percent of total full- time	Иптре	r of empl	Full-	Percent of total criminal justice	L	Full	Full time	
-	u.y	employees1	Total	Full- time only	time equive-	equiva- lent em- ployees	Total	time only	time equiva- lent	system em- ployees	Total	time	equiv	em-
1	CALIFORNIA-CONTINUED				170	30 11	182	170	17	4 97.2		-		
	SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA HONICA SANTA ROSA SIMI VALLEY SOUTH GATE STOCKTON	733 621 841 489 178 295 1 126	168 134 215 84 77 111 281	175 125 207 79 75 109 275	11	20,6 25,1 16,4 42,1 37,3	127 198 79 74 102 275	121 194 76 72 101	10	92.4 96.7 96.7 91.9 91.0 97.0	33	-		
1	SUNNYVALE TORRANCE VALLEJO WEST COVINA HESTMINSTER WHITTIER	510 1 061 358 339 300	134	99 278 123 97 101	27 12 10 10	8 26.2 34.6 0 29.5 5 35.0	134	258 118 97 105	1 1	95 96. 98. 99. 96. 96. 100. 100. 100.	8 8 0			
456789	COLORADO: AURORA BOULDER COLORADO SPRINGS DENVER LAKEWOOD	771 858 1 687 11 273	140 369 2 363 3 317	230 130 2 31 29 26	8 19 9 36 3 2 33 8 30	16.3 9 21.9 10 20.3 52.9	120 33 1 76 26	120 33 5 1 73 8 26	9 1 7	22 92 85 91 40 74 63 86 46 93	7 9 7 8	12 14 16 37 24	12 16 232 17 8	10 4.2 14 10.0 16 4.3 235 10.1 18 5.9 8 3.1
2022	CONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT BRISTOL, DANBURY. HARTFORD HERIDAN.	24 75 1 46 1 30 5 63	9 102 0 106 8 589 0 98	49 9 10 56 9	1 3 5 8	21 210. 25 6. 86 10. 95 5. 12 7.	9 2 4 5 7 7 3	8 10 3 10 57 4 5	9 3 70 74	77 97 90 96 103 97 570 97 94 95 109 97	8 2 1 9 3	11111		
202233	NEW BRITAIN NEW HAVEN NORWALK STAMFORD	1 70 23 99 2 22 3 44 3 23	503 188 15 280 34 324	40 11 21 3	33 87 80 24	87 11. 95 12. 87 8. 80 8. 24 10. 95 8.	4 1	1 4°	78 82 67	483 97 182 97 267 95 312 96 89 93	.5	1	1	1 0.
3	DELAWARE: 2 WILHINGTON	3 1	30 317	3	13	14 10	0 2	66 S	66	266 8	1.7	31	27	20 0
3	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: WASHINGTON	49 4	81 10 681	10 6	81 10	58L 21	.6 6 1	32 6 1	32 6	132 5	7,4 1	004	1 004 1	004 9
	JACKSONVILLE	1 0	26 28 24 20 76 30 33 33 32 1 60	5 1	181 208 299 325	328 31 582 19	0 3 2 8	184 207 285 504 274	91  83  207  285  304  973  099 1	483 8 207 9 285 9 304 9 973 6	9.3 9.5 5.0 2.7 1.5 7.8	13 44 3 14 302	13 44 10 291	13 6 44 7 1 0 11 3 296 18
	41 MIAMI BEACH 42 ORLANDO. 43 PENSACOLA. 44 ST. PETERSBURG 45 TALLAHASSEE. 46 TAPPA. 47 WEST PALM BEACH	2 2 2	508 42 565 62 746 14	8 9 4 3 1	428 610 149 622 162 806 209	428 25 613 25 149 20 623 25 163 809 25	3.4 3.9 0.0 2.8 3.9	536 135 588 162	397 521 135 586 162 802 191	523 135 587 162 802	12.8 15.3 10.6 14.2 19.4 19.1	16 23	16 23 2	16 23
	GEORGIAI 48 ALBANY	7.	689 5		184 098 2 218 578 275 274	128 3 219 2 578 1 277 1	1.9 0.1 4.6 5.7 0.5 8.3	182 007 201 391 258 244	182 887 201 390 258 244	201 201 390 258	98.4 90.0 91.8 67.5 93.1 89.1	1 82 5 108 11 13	1 76 4 107 7 13	1 78 5 107 1
	HAWAIII HONOLULU	6	845 1 8	37 1	834 1	834 2	6.8 1	681 1	681	1 681	91.7			1
	55 BOISE CITY		664 1	67	164	165	8.42	154	152	153	92.7	1	-	-
	ILLINGISI 56 ARLINGTON HEIG 57 AURORA 58 BERYYN 59 CHAMPAIGN 61 CICERO 62 DECATUR	41	910 835 15	94 50 72 05 191 15	94 144 63 99 323 1	148	28.3 29.2 27.2 27.2 11.2 11.2 36.7 26.7	92 145 69 103 177 101 120	92 144 62 99 109 98 120	92 144 63 100 15 156 99 120	97.9 97.3 95.5 98.0 98.6 96.1 97.6	1 1 1		

### distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 384 large city October 1974—Continued

Lega	l services	and pros	ocution		Indigent	defense			Correc	tions		0	ther cris	inal jus	tice	-
Numb	er of emp	loyees	Percent	Number	of emplo	70 <del>0</del> 8	Percent	Numbe	r of emplo	yees	Percent of total	Митро	r of omp	oyees	Portant of total	пларет
Total	full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	criminal justice system em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	criminal justice system em- ployees	Цаво плу
6 77 17 5 3 2	5 4 13 3 3 1 6	5 5 16 3 3 2 6	7.6 3.7 4.0 1.8	1111111	11.61.81.8			7	7	171177	6 •		111111	1111111		1234567
12 4 -	# 124 	4 12 4 - -	4.0 4.3 3.2		111111111111111111111111111111111111111			1110	11110	8171.1	3.00 F 1 F 1		111111	11,11,11	-	8 9 10 11 12 13
8 6 14 142 25	8 6 14 140 21	8 6 14 141 22	3.3 4.8 5.1 7.3			1 1 1 1	1	209 9	201 201	20 <del>4</del>	8,8 3,1	10	111011	10	0.4	15
13 4 3 19 4 3	13 2 17 2 2	13 3 3 17 4 3	2.7	1.11111	-	1 - 1 - 1	-						<del>-</del> -11321 f.1	1	0.2	21
4 9 5 11 11 4	4 2 5 11 11 4	4 9 5 11 11 4	3.4			121	0.4		[1111]			1 2 1 22		2 : 2	0.7	27 28 29
179	. 20 179	20 179	6,4	•	_	•		3 333	3 333	3 333	31.2	33	33	33	0.3	32
6 17 1 1 8 8 36	6 17 1 1 5 5 5 25	6 17 1 1 7 36 25	2.8 2.9 0.5 0.3 2.1	1011111	2	101111	0.3	4 35 13 6 286	4 35 13 6 258	4 35 13 6 269	1.9	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3 11118	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.5	34 35 36 37 38 39 40
9 6 4 12 1 8 5	9 6 4 2 1 4 5 5	6 4 12 1 7 5	0.6 0.9		1	£	0,2	5 57 10 24 11	5 56 10 24 - 11	57 10 24 -	1,2 9,3 6,7 5,1		1411111	14.1	0.7	41 42 43 44 45 46 47
2 35 1 25 1 3	35 15 25 15 25 15	2 35 1 25 1 3	1.1 1.6 0.5 4.3 0.4	\$ - J # 1 1	- - 4 -	4		100 13 52 9 14	100 12 52 9 14	100 12 52 9 14	4.7 5.5 9.0 3.2 5.1	11111	11111		·	48 49 50 51 52 53
92 9	89°	9		•	•		=	64 3	64 3	64 3	3,5	1		-		54 55
2 4 2 2 21 21 4 3	214 214 3	2 4 2 21 4 3					-	1111111				111111		# # # #		56 57 58 59 60 61 62

#### Table 16. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

			Total	criminal,	justice sys	tem		Polico pr	rotection			Judic	ial	
number		Total	Numbo	r of employ	7008	Percent of total	Numbe	r of emplo	yees	Percent of total	Number	of employ	yees	Percent
Line m	City	full-timo equivalent employees <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	full- time equiva- lent em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	criminal justice system em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of tota crimina justice system em- ployees
1275	ILLINOISCONTINUED DES PLAINES. EAST ST. LOUIS . ELGIN. EVANSTON	366 997 339 717 505	100 142 127 177 176	100 135 104 177 174	100 138 108 177 174	27.3 13.8 31.9 24.7 34.5	100 137 123 173 170	100 132 101 173 169	100 134 104 173 169	100.0 97.1 96.3 97.7 97.1	1	- 1 -	- - 1	0.9
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	OAK LAWN	303 557 990 987 448 468 919 447	102 172 292 307 98 165 217 122	102 169 290 301 96 157 215	102 169 291 301 98 163 216	33.7 30.3 29.4 30.5 22.0 34.8 23.5 27.1	102 170 283 285 94 156 197	102 167 281 282 94 156 197	102 147 282 282 94 156 197 118	100.0 98.8 96.9 93.7 95.9 95.7 91.2 97.5	2	2	2	2,0
14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22	INDIANA: ANDERSON	697 1 258 1 552 1 899 971 9 988 549 275	175 317 385 564 263 2 616 153 339 135	161 301 377 544 259 2 487 148 316	172 311 385 556 260 2 580 152 324 135	24.7 24.8 24.3 26.8 25.8 27.4 25.4 23.9	157 288 376 480 238 1 579 138 331 125	153 284 376 460 237 1 567 137 308	156 284 376 472 237 1 569 137 316	90.7 91.3 97.7 84.9 91.2 60.8 90.1 97.5 92.6	8 13 47 18 466 12	8 13 47 15 405 11	8 13 47 16 452 12	4.7 4.2 8.5 6.2 17.5 7.9
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	IOWAT CEDAR RAPIDS COUNCIL BLUFFS DAVENPORT DES MOINES DUBUQUE SIOUX CITY WATERLOO	1 007 514 726 2 077 489 828 578	183 125 157 403 90 168 154	178 125 154 390 88 168 150	180 125 156 397 89 168 154	17.9 24.3 21.5 19.1 18.2 20.3 26.6	176 119 147 389 86 149 149	174 119 146 377 86 149 149	174 119 146 383 86 149	96.7 95.2 93.6 96.5 96.6 88.7 96.8	3	3	3	1,9
0 1 2 3	KANSAS: KANSAS CITY. OVERLAND PARK. TOPEKA WICHITA.	1 438 221 1 354 2 782	476 119 331 617	465 105 323 611	468 107 325 614	32.5 48.4 24.0 22.1	421 104 299 540	412 93 295 537	414 94 296 538	88.5 87.9 91.1 87,6	17 10 7 28	15 8 5 28	16 9 5 28	3.1 8.4 1.5
4 5 6 7	KENTUCKY: COVINGTON LEXINGTON LOUISVILLE OWENSBORO	385 2 320 4 734 1 401	105 496 1 116 113	105 446 1 106 111	105 470 1 109 111	27.3 20.3 23.4 7.9	99 406 1 046 105	99 386 1 044 105	99 393 1 044 105	94.3 83.6 94.1 94.6	- 2 12 47 4	2 11 44 4	2 11 45 4	1.2.3.
B 9 0 1 2 3	LOUISIANA: BATON ROUGE. LAFAYETTE. LAKE CHARLES. MONROE. NEW ORLEANS. SHREVEPORT.	2 941 815 683 956 9 003 2 329	1 277 139 137 169 2 868 541	1 212 127 118 16 2 796 534	1 249 136 130 165 2 816 541	42.5 16.7 19.0 17.3 31,3 23.2	786 121 126 139 1 728 482	769 121 117 1 687 482	774 121 122 139 1 692 482	62.0 89.0 93.8 84.2 60.1 89.1	313 16 6 12 593 31	272 6 9 575 31	301 13 3 10 584 31	24. 9. 2. 6. 20.
4	MAINE: PORTLAND	2 579	205	205	205	7.9	199	199	199	97.1	_	_	_	
5	MARYLAND: BALTIMORE,	37 701	5 339	5 339	5 339	14.2	4 227	4 227	4 227	79,2	391	391	391	7,3
6789012	HASSACHUSETTS: BOSTON BROCKTON CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE FALL RIVER HOLYOKE LAWRENCE	23 112 2 960 3 531 1 682 2 882 1 410 1 624	3 890 218 299 130 358 139 164	3 890 216 299 121 326 134 162	3 890 218 299 129 337 136	16.8 7.4 8.5 7.7 12.0 9.6 10.0	2 524 215 293 125 323 134 156	2 524 215 293 117 302 129 154	2 524 215 293 125 306 131 155	64,9 98.6 98.0 96.9 90.8 96.3 95.1	791	791	791	20.3
3 4 5 6 7 8	LOWELL	2 011 2 723 1 357 1 596 2 756 3 365	214 208 156 134 331 224	213 208 120 134 324 224	213 208 125 134 328 224	10.6 27.6 9.2 8.4 211.9 6.7	200 199 152 131 318 215	200 199 116 131 317 215	200 199 121 131 317 215	93.9 95.7 96.8 97.8 96.6			-	
901274	PITTSFIELD	<sup>2</sup> 1 738 3 625 1 846 5 048 1 640 <sup>2</sup> 6 278	114 256 182 507 151 512	111 256 179 491 149 512	113 256 180 496 150 512	36.5 7.1 9.8 9.8 9.1 28.2	111 251 158 488 147 493	110 251 158 475 145 493	110 251 158 479 146	97.3 98.0 87.8 96.6 97.3		-	-	

## distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 384 large city October 1974—Continued

-	Leg	gnl service	s and pro		mue		defense			·							
	Nun	nbor of emp	loyees	Percent	Numbe	er of emplo		T	<del> </del>		ctions		(	Other cri	minal ju	tice	1
		Full-	Pui)-	of total criminal justice		T		Percent of total criminal	Numb	er of empl	oyees	Percent of total	Numbe	er of emp	loyees	Percent of total	i i
	Total	time only	time equiva- lent	system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	criminal justice system em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva-	criminal justice system em- ployees	Line number
	5 3 4 6 - 2 9 13	73245	14345	2.9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	111									lent		12345
	13 2 9 10 3	1 10	10 2 7 10 3	2.0 4.3 4.6 2.5	1		1	0.6	10	5 8 -	5110	1.7	4	1 4 1 1 2 1	1	1,3	7 8 9 0 1 2 3
	12 9 15 4 148 2 8 4	147	9 15 4 147 2 8	2.3 2.7 1.5 5.7 1.3 2.5 3.0	29		29	1.1	1 6 3 394 1	6 3 368	3 383 1	0.3 1.1 1.2 14.8 0.7	16	16	16	2.9	6
	7 3 7 14 4 5	435 1325 1	6 3 7 14 3 5 5 5	3.3 2.4 4.5 3.5 3.4 3.0 3.2		111111			5	3	3 1 1 1 5 1	2.4	11110	111119	1 1119	- 23 - 24 - 25 - 26 - 27 5.4 29	
	11 5 13 24	11 11 21	11 12 23 23	2.4 3.7 3.7 3.7					22 6 17	22 - 6 17	22 6 17	1.8	5 6 8	5 6 8	5 6 8	- 29 1.1 30 - 31 1.8 32 1.3 33	
	30 23 4 79 1	17 18 2	77	5.3 1.8 1.8					48	32	41 - 97	8.7				- 34 - 35 - 36 - 37	
	10 143 8	1 5 133 2	5 9 137 8	3.8 5.5 4.9 1.5	31	29	30	1.1	366 20	2 365 19	7	7.8 - 4.2 13.0 3.7	7	7 -	7	- 38 - 39 - 40 - 41 0.2 42 - 43	
	83 34 3 6	1	283 134 3	5.3 3.4 1.4				•	ino	409	409	6.2 0.5		-		- 44 - 45	
	34 3 6 5 7 5 3		134 3 6 4 7 5 3	3.4 1.4 2.0 3.1 2.1 1.8 6.1					23	16 1	19	5.6		4	32 ( 5 1 4 2	.8 46 - 47 - 48 - 49 .5 50 - 51 - 52	
	4 6 4 3 8 9 3 5 5	. 1	13 6 4 3 8 9	6.1 2.9 3.2 2.2 2.4 4.0			-		-	-1		le	3 7.53113 3 7.5313 5 7.53	3 ,		53 4 54 55 56 57 58	
1	8	15 5 13 14 19	3 5 5 14 4 19	2.7 2.0 2.8 2.8 2.7 3.7								193	16				

### Table 16. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

		1	Total	riminal ju	stice sys	ten	I	Po	lice pro	tection		1		Judic	<del></del>		-
١		<u> </u>		of employe		Percent of tota		Number	of emplo	yees	Percent of total	1	mber	of emplo	yces	Percent of tot	11
Min dista	City	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Yuli- time only	Full- timo equiva- lent	full- time equiva- lent em ployees	To	tal	Pull- time only	full- time equiva- lent	crimin justic system em- ployec	Tot		Full- time only	Full- time equiva lent	justic syste	0
1274567	MICHIGANG ANN ARBOR, DEARBORN DEARBORN DEARBORN MEIGHTS DETROIT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZOO.,	864 1 191 305 22 307 3 721 1 894	242 267 132 6 978 457 499 236	223 247 112 6 955 443 441 236	230 254 124 6 966 453 453	21. 40. 31. 2 12.	3 7 2 6	193 239 117 103 393 403 192	189 222 105 6 103 388 364 192	18° 22' 11' 6 10 39 37	7 39 38 3 87 0 86 1 81 2 81	4 7 6 3 5 4	36 15 13 524 40 55 35	21 13 7 521 32 50 35	5	8 12. 5 5 10 3 7 18 8 65 12 55 14	9 5 5 4 1 8
89011274	LANSING. LINCOLN PARK LINCOLN PARK LIVONIA. PONTIAC. ROSEVILLE. ROYAL OAK.	2 558	79 196 291 111 153	375 73 185 289 108 135 294	38 78 18 28 11 14 25	8 32 8 31 9 11 1 38 0 28	55335	318 67 169 247 96 118 245	309 67 163 246 96 117 243	20	7 85 87 6 85 6 86 7 83	56.7	15 32 15 16	13 32 12 14		7 9 13 6 32 11 15 13 16 11	.0 .9 .1 .5 .4
15 15 19 20 21	ST. CLAIR SHORES SOUTHFIELD STERCING HEIGHTS TAYLOR HAIREN WESTLAND	32	210 165 116 303 122	111 195 158 111 295 116	19 16 11 30	9 37 2 37 4 30 11 30 18 35	.1 .5	105 162 148 106 267 103 85	105 154 145 106 267 101 85	11 20	55 77 16 90 16 91 57 88	391076	33 17 9 29 17	2	5	16 7 27 15	6.1 9.9 6.1 9.0 2.7 7.5
227	MINNESOTA! BLOOMINGTON DULUTH. HINNEAPOLIS ROCHESTER	5 04	1 170 2 1 063 6 10	1 06 1 06	1 0	69 15 63 2 05 2	.6	93 159 946 101 761	95 158 946 10 75	9	58 9 46 8 01 9	3.9 3.5 9.6.2 6.9	52		52	52	6.0
2'	MISSISSIPPI :	2 29	8 48	45	8 4	76 2	0.7	411	38	B 4	04 8	4.9	.17		17	17	3.0
3	FLORISSANT	5 76 6 12 9	36 17	5 8 1 19 2 191 2 16 5 4 13	0 1	83 4 190 2 16 3 170 2	1.2 5.9 6.8 3.6 6.7 1.8	95 78 174 1 688 160 2 944 196	1 68 1 5 2 94	8 4 2 1 8 4 2	78 174 584 159	0.8 4.0 11.6 17.9 13.5 71.2	10 75 456	5 6 6 4	72 72 56 8	4 3 9 73 5 456 8	4. 3. 2. 11.
3	MONTANA!	. 5	96 1	8 1	27		1.5	122		2	122 83	95.3 89.2		5	5	5	3, 4,
-	NEBRASKAI LINCOLN.	20	41 3				18.0	24 <sup>4</sup> 70		14 78	244 681	66.5 87.9	4	3	43 53	43 54	117
	OHAHA,		75	51	48	312 312	4,6 35,3	27	5 2	75	275	88,1		3	23 12	23	46 3
	NEW HAMPSHIRES	' •     2	118 2	08 )	93	196 152	8.1	18		72 33	173 133	88.3 87.5		14	14	14 11	7
	HEW JERSEY! BAYONNE.	22	502 2 440 1 765 5 371 3 814	54 45 32 12 71	51 52 44 526 212 370 349	252 144 529	15.9 32.7 30.0 15.5 15.8 12.4 30.9	23 13 48 20 32 13	8 7 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 4	36 36 84 200 320 327 174	236 136 485 200 320 327 174	93.7 94.4 91.7 94.5 93.7 86.5 93.7		9 4 26 37 10 11	9 4 24 8 37 10 11	9 4 25 8 37 10 11	30 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
	DO JERSEY CITY.  BI NEWARK.  B2 PASSAIC.  B3 PATERSON.  B4 TRENTON.  55 UNION CITY.	19	539 1 451 892 186 721	195 195 187 188 200		128 889 195 486 487 194	13.8 13.0 43.2 12.5 11.6 26.9	41	83 1 85 54 64	783 185 454 462 173	783 185 454 463 175	94.0 94.4 94.9 93.4 95.1 90.2		81 5 24 15	81 5 23 15 13	81 23 15 13	
	NEW HEXTCO! SA ALBUQUERQUE			838	832	833	27.7	6	63	662	662	79.5		49	44	45	
		13	801	507 177 633 222	480 177 632 218	491 177 1 632 220	14,9 9,8 12,6	1 1 5	34 53 21 187	434 153 521 183	153 1 521 185	96,2 86,4 93,2 84,1		53 18 67 16	26 18 67 16	27 18 67 16	i

See footnotes at and of table

### distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 384 large city October 1974—Continued

Lega	l services	and pros	ecution	-	Indigent	defense	· 		Correc	ctions		0	her crib	inal jus	tice	
Numb	er of empl	oyees	Percent	Number	of emplo	yeos	Percent	Numbe	r of emplo	yeas	Percent	Numbe	er of omp	loyeos	Porcent	
Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminul justico system coployees	Total	full- timo only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system cm- ployoos	Total	Full- timo only	Full- timo equiva- lont	of total criminal juntice system ca- ployees	1
7 8 135 6	7 7 123 6	7 7 130 6	3.0 2,8 1.9 1.3	***	31111		1111	6 5 2 211 18	6 5 203 17	6 5 1 207	2.6 2.0 0.8 3.0 4.0	* 1 1 5 1	1 1 5 1	5	0,1	
81	18 9	18	4.0 3.8	-	5	-	-	19	5	18	1.5	4	4	4	0.9	۱,
10 2 7 10	10	10 2 6 10	2.6 2.6 3.2 3.5	3 3 1 3 4	11111	111111	1111	21 5 2 15	21 2 3 1	1 2 1 2 1	5,5 2,6 2,1 0,3		137711	11111		
11	11	11 2 5	1.7	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	711	7	2.5		11111	111111	-	9 1 2 1	7 - 2 1	7 1 2 1	3.5 0.9 1.7 1.1		11111	11111		
6 11 43 4 30	6 11 43 4 30	6 11 43 4 30	6.1 6.3 4.0 3.8 3.5		1 6 9 3	, , , , ,	11111	74	74	74	7.0	32	32	32	3,7	•
16	16	16	3,4	-	-	-	-	41	37	39	8.2	*	-	-	-	•
5 7 52 6 141 4	5 7 52 6 141 2	5 7 52 6 141 3	5.1 2.4 3.7 2.7 3.5 3.4	**				107 592	107 592	107 592	5.6 14.3	19111101	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1111101	(Z)	
2	-	ž	2.2	1	3	1	0.8	Ę.	4	4	4.3	-	-	=	-	
26 33	24 32	25 32	6.5 4.1	-	:	:	=	22	2 <u>1</u> 2	21	5.7 0.3	34 6	34 6	34 6	9.3	í
28 10	25 10	26 10	53.1 3.2	-		-	-	15	15	15	4.8	-	:	=	-	
2	-	2	1.0	-	-	-		7 6	7	7 8	3.6 5.3	-	-	-	-	•
7 20 4 11 10	7 4 18 4 10 10	7 4 19 4 10 10	2.8 2.8 3.6 1.9 2.7 2.9 5.6	- - - - - - -		141111		1 1 1 1 1 1	-		1111	1111nan	111321	111722	0,0 0,6 1,0	
20 25 5 9 8	17 25 5 9 8	19 25 5 9 8 4	1.7 1.3 2.6 1.9 1.6 2.1		1		0.2			-	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	2	3 	3	0.3	
23	23	23	2.8	-	•	-	•	103	103	103	12.4	•	٠	-	-	ŕ
17 6 45	17 6 44 9	17 6 44 9	3.5 3.4 2.7 4.1			•			7	:		3 - 10	3 - 10	3 10	0.6 4.5	

#### Table 16. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

1			Total	i criminal	justice sy	sten		Police pr	otection	,		Judic	isl	
TO SECTION		Total	Нимре	r of maple	yees	Percent	Numbe	r of emplo	Acce	Percent	Numbe	r of emplo	yees	Percent
Line na		full-time squivalent caployees	Total	ouf type Init-	Yuli- timo equiva- lent	of total full- time equiva- lent em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justico system em- ployees
123455769011	ROME SCHENECTARY, SYRACUSE TROY UTICA, WHITE PLAINS	1 004 350 400 1 269 9 790 8 47 6 642 9 728 9 728 5 345	270 57 936 283 794 77 196 709 174 234 250 548	25% 57 078 278 798 76 179 583 150 225 231	262 57 386 279 794 75 188 624 163 239 546	26.4 162.7 162.7 162.7 162.7 163.7 1	244 43 254 255 723 67 175 635 1202 213 468	234 42 403 723 723 66 165 514 133 200 207 467	237 42 707 254 723 66 168 555 146 200 211 467	91.1 88.0 89.4 88.9 89.6 86.6 88.3	1869 497 111 5 699 7 111 124 125 41	17 5 696 16 19 7 10 11 12 12 12	17 5 696 16 49 7 10 51 10 23 16	6.59 5.77 6.23 5.32 5.32 10.07 7.5
1214 15 16 17 18 19	GREENSBORO	1 099 3 342 1 114 695 1 929 1 027 1 623 1 800	148 657 258 160 455 169 729 406	147 650 258 158 454 169 729 406	148 652 258 158 454 169 329	13.5 19.5 23.2 22.7 23.5 16.5 20.3 22.6	147 649 252 159 450 164 326 404	147 643 252 157 449 164 326 404	147 644 252 157 449 164 326	97.7 99.4 98.9 97.0 99.1	1111111	1111111		3
20	NORTH DAKOTAL	415	111	105	111	26.7	103	102	103	92,8	ų	3	4	3,6
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	OHIOI ARRON. TO CANTON TO	2 439 1 181 13 612 9 889 3 734 2 617	633 301 1 858 3 066 111 1 756 686	633 299 1 737 3 013 104 1 730 676	633 300 1 787 3 035 109 1 746 684	26.0 25.4 13.1 30.7 29.3 30.4 26.1	515 247 1 407 2 651 94 1 414 508	515 247 1 303 2 615 92 1 400 506	515 247 1 338 2 622 93 1 404 507	74.9 86.4	82 37 185 231 11 213 73	82 37 172 216 9 201 66	82 37 185 231 11 213 73	13.0 12.3 10.4 7.6 10.1 12.2 10.7
26200122	ELYRIA :	427 544 587 294 1 349 356 637	100 130 147 107 110 143 122	94 126 142 100 98 131 119	98 127 147 106 103 141 120	23.0 23.3 25.0 36.1 7.6 39.6 18.8	66 109 126 81 91 102	66 109 126 80 82 102 88	66 109 126 80 85 102 89	85.8 85.7 75.5 82.5 72.3	24 13 16 19 14 24	22 12 11 14 12 20 18	23 12 16 19 13 24 18	23.5 9.4 10.9 17.9 12.6 17.0 15.0
35 36 37 38 39 40	HANSFIELD. PARMA SPRINGFIELD. TOLEDO WARREN YOUNGSTOWN	522 538 653 595 491 1 465	191 159 187 1 028 153 388	136 147 176 1 019 149 379	138 148 180 1 021 150 386	26.4 27.5 27.6 28.4 30.5 26.3	101 114 140 835 107 296	101 112 139 834 106 295	101 112 139 834 107 295	77,2 81.7 71.3	32 32 21 110 33 53	27 27 20 108 32 46	29 27 21 108 32 53	21.0 18.2 11.7 10.6 21.3 13.7
41 42 43 44	OKLAHOMAI LAWTON	650 1 062 3 760 2 901	174 138 839 714	166 132 839 710	167 134 839 713	25.7 12.6 22.3 24.6	155 122 695 622	148 121 695 622	149 121 695 622	90.3 82.8	7 10 37 25	6 8 37 22	6 9 37 25	3,6 6.7 4,4 3.5
45 46 47	OREGON: EUGENE PORTLAND SALEM,	885 4 134 962	219 980 192	218 960 183	219 966 184	24.7 23.4 19.1	205 945 178	205 928 171	205 933 171	96.6	14 7	13 5	14 6	6.4 3.3
44001224 455555	CHESTER.	913 451 673 583 1 607 807	200 119 166 176 256 208 184	199 117 166 175 256 207 147	199 117 166 175 256 207 157	21.8 25.9 24.7 30.0 23.8 25.7 28.4	194 116 162 169 238 206 184	194 114 162 169 238 205 147	194 114 162 169 238 205 157	97.4 97.6 96.6 93.0 99.0	10	10	10	3.9
55 57 58 50	PITTSBURGH READING. SCRANTON WILKES-BARRE	36 128 5 475 728 760 534 407	15 544 1 587 200 193 110 117	15 544 1 587 200 193 110	15 544 1 587 200 193 110 117	43.0 29.0 27.5 25.4 20.6 28.7	10 096 1 520 192 185 107 114	10 096 1 520 192 185 107 114	10 096 1 520 192 185 107 114	95.8 96.0 95.9 97.3	3 108 35 - - -	3 108 35	3 108 35 -	20.0
10 10 10 10	PANTUCKET PROVIDENCE	1 875 1 691 4 087 2 405	150 187 481 173	125 185 480 168	130 186 480 173	11.0	116 180 450 167	449	116 180 449 167	96.8	1 3 21 1	1 21 -	1 2 21 1	0.8 1.1 4.4 0.6

## distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 384 large city October 1974—Continued

Logal services and pro			Indigent	defense		100	Person	ctions						
Number of employees	Porcent	Number	of emplo	yees	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>		<del></del>	<del></del>	. 0	ther cris	ainal jus	tico	T
Full- Full-	of total criminal justice	T			Percent of total criminal	Numbe	er of empl	oyees	Percent of total criminal	Numbe	or of emp	loyees	Percent of total	1
notal time time oquiva- lent	system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	justice system employees	Total	Pull- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	justice system cm- ployees	Total	full- time only	Full time equiva lent	drimina justice system cm- ployess	Ţ
8 0 9 9 1 2 1 1 8 6 1 5 5 7 2 4 1 5 6 0 2 6 6 4 7 5 7 9 8 8 2 2 4 1 1 8 6 1 5 5 7 2 4 1 5 6 0 2 6 6 4 7 5 7 9 8 8 2 2 4 7 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 5 7 0 2 2 4 1 7 7 5 7 4 6 8 2 1 8 2 8 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	17237393503 723611095 6 4335642 1776905 847474 0544 188 564410 800176 1219	11111	THE FEBRUARY PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE	TELE TELESTER TELESTER TOTAL CONTESTS TOTAL TOTA	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	6 221 	6 221 20 21 1517 692 3 11 - 64 1 1 55 41 5 1 30 22	1 ione  6 221  20  21  1537  692  3 1  554  21  520  21  530  42  530  42	3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 3.7 4.3 5.9 4.3 5.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6	65.41.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	652 4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1656 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.1 0.5	111 123 45 57 89 0 123 45 57

### Table 16. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

								<del>ئىزىمىدىك</del>				Boar		
			Total	criminal,	justice sys	tem		Police pr	otection			Judio	eial .	<del></del>
number		Total full-time	Numbo	r of emplo		Percent of total full-	Numb	er of empl	oyees	Percent of total criminal	Numbe	r of emplo	yees	Percent of total criminal
Line		oquivalent employees <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	time equiva- lent em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	justice system em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	justico system cm- ployees
7 2 3	SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON	905 1 352	213 288	210 287	213 287 176	23.5 21.2	205 245	205 245	205 245	96.2 85.4	5 20	5 20	5 20	2.3 7.0
4	GREENVILLE	730 605	177	176	176 135	24.1	167	167 127	167	94.5	6	4	5	2,3 3,7
5678	TENNESSEE! CHATTANOOGA. KNOXVILLE. MEMPHIS. NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	4 443 5 021 18 860 14 767	501 504 1 797 1 687	441 504 1 749 1 656	458 504 1 763 1 663	10.3 10.0 9.3 11,3	479 445 1 602 1 094	419 445 1 555 1 094	436 445 1 569 1 094	95.2 88.3 89.0 65.8	20 11 73 324	20 11 72 320	20 11 72 321	4.4 2.2 4.1 19.3
101123145516	TEXAS: ABILENE. AMARILLO ARLINGTON. AUSTIN BEAUMONT BROWNSVILLE CORPUS CHRISTI DALLAS EL PASO.	793 1 245 807 4 947 1 036 2 147 10 557 2 837	158 268 246 717 247 105 194 2 858 703	148 268 246 702 247 105 454 2 839 684	152 268 246 708 247 105 454 2 847 690	19.2 21.5 30.5 14.8 20.0 27.0 27.0 24.3	137 236 249 249 221 384 2659	130 236 218 649 221 99 389 2 618 643	132 236 218 649 221 99 389 2 623 648	86.8 88.1 88.6 91.7 89.5 94.3 85.7 92.1 93.9	7 13 14 15 27 148 15 27	7 13 14 33 11 5 27 143	7 13 14 39 11 5 27 146 34	44555.8919 44555.8919
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FORT WORTH GALVESTON. GARLAND. GRAND PRAIRIE, HOUSTON. IRVING. LAREDO. LUBBOCK. MESQUITE	3 975 871 752 370 10 842 743 669 1 307	943 141 193 87 3 220 195 80 311 99	907 141 193 86 3 206 154 80 311 97	917 141 193 87 3 215 154 80 311	23.1 16.2 25.7 25.7 20.7 20.7 12.0 23.8 19.1	846 120 180 76 2 870 133 73 278 93	813 120 180 76 2 870 133 73 278	822 120 180 76 2 870 133 73 278	89.6 85.1 93.3 87.4 89.3 86.4 91.2 89.4 94.9	42 12 4 153 10 5 18	40 12 139 5 18 2	41 12 4 4 148 9 5 18	4.5.1.6.6.8.3.8.1 4.5.5.5.5.3.1
27 28 29 31 33 33 35 35	MIDLAND ODESSA PASADENA PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO WICHITA FALLS	539 501 577 562 544 6 237 547 1 099	155 150 186 103 136 1 488 120 212	155 150 186 103 136 1 475 120 211 181	155 150 186 103 136 1 479 120 212 181	28 .8 9 .2 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7	144 131 160 94 117 1 384 109 192 157	144 131 160 94 117 1 378 109 192 157	144 131 160 94 117 1 379 109 192 157	92,9 87,3 86,0 91,3 86,0 93,2 90,8 90,6 86,7	7 11 12 10 65 6 7 12	7 11 12 10 58 6	7 11 12 2 10 61 6 7	4.5 7.5 6.9 7.4 4.1 5.3 6.6
36 37 38	UTAHI OGDEN	540 325 2 055	178 85 528	168 72 528	172 74 528	31.9 22.8 25.7	138 73 459	138 62 459	138 64 459	80,2 86,5 86,9	34 7 51	24 7 51	28 7 51	16.3 9.5 9.7
39 412 412 413 415 417 417 418	VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA CHESAPEAKE HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH RICHMONO ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH	3 071 3 846 4 466 1 640 10 329 4 007 10 367 10 567	366 341 283 1972 4445 833 945 835 950 850	366 334 247 159 399 744 275 358 500	366 335 238 172 433 776 297 812 358 500	11.7 8.8 10.6 7.7 10.7 10.7	250641554535625625625625625625625625625625625625625	256 206 206 135 534 245 617 767	256 206 206 131 285 634 241 262 213 367	69.5 61.8 79.8 26.8 76.8 81.7 81.5 81.5 73.4	49 27 13 48 31 25 43 57	49 25 12 25 25 31 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	49 25 12 13 13 26 43 55	13.4 7.5 4.7 0.6 9.9 4.0 8.1 12.0 11.0
49 50 51 52 53	WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE EVERETT. SEATTLE. SPOKANE. TACOHA	545 5025 6 925 1 684 2 041	135 124 1 709 399 374	116 119 1 573 392 357	120 120 1 600 393 362	23.6 23.1 23.3	107 117 1 461 363 317	103 113 1 361 357 304	105 114 1 381 358 307	87,5 95,0 86,3 91,1 84,8	13 154 20 26	131 20 25	134 20 25	2.5 8.4 5.1 6.9
54 55	HEST VIRGINIAL CHARLESTON	1 031 672	190 174	190 172	190 173	18.4 25.7	183 167	183 167	183 167	96.3 96.5	. 5 3	5 2	5 3	2,6 1.7
567 559 661 663 663	LA CROSSE. HADISON HILWAUKEE GSHKOSH RACINE WAUWATOSA	1 411 2 445 656 1 995 9 226 1 328 1 024 1 310	100 174 173 95 369 2 384 100 247 109	98 172 172 91 369 2 384 96 247 106	98 174 173 95 369 2 384 98 247 109	26,4 8,7 7,4 25,8 7,4 24,1	97 167 166 155 155 2 2 3 108 108 108	96 167 166 91 355 2 324 240 104 152	96 167 166 91 355 2 324 95 240 104 152	98 0 0 96 96 96 97 97 96 8 97 95 8 97 95 8	175-11110-	) Mattititi	3334 1 1 1 2 4	1.7 1.7 1.1 -

- Represents fore or rounds to zero. I less than half the unit of measurement shown. Employees of city-operated utilities are excluded. \*The total full-time equivalent employment data for all general government functions, shown in the first column, are for October 1973; therefore, the percent of total employees working in criminal justice functions, shown in the fifth column, is overstated.

### distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 384 large city October 1974—Continued

	l service		Secution T		Indigent	defense			Corre	ctions			ther cri	ninal jus	tice	T
Numi	oer of omp	loye as	Percent of total	Numbo	r of emplo	yees	Percent	Numbe	r of emple	oyeas	Porcent		r of emp		Percent	1
Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system em- ployees	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system em- ployees	
3 5 3	4 3	3 4 3	1.4 1.4 1.7	11	-	111	• •	18 3	18 2	18 2	6.3	-	-	- -		1223
3	-	. 3	2.2	-	~	-	-	-	- }	-	-	-	_		-	4
2 15 29 50	15 29 23	2 15 29 29	0.4 3.0 1.6 1.7	16	16	16	1.0	199 33	33 93 199	33 93 199	6.5 5.3 12.0	- - 4	- - 4		0.2	5 6 7 8
8 9 10 20 7 1 17 68 8	7 9 10 20 7 1 17 67 8	7 9 10 20 7 1 17 67 8	4.6 3.4 4.1 2.8 2.8 1.0 3.7 2.4 1.2		11111111	1111111	1111111	6 10 4 8 21	4 10 4 8 21	6 10 4 8 21	3.9 3.7 1.6 3.2 4.6	11	11	11	0.4	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
21 5 3 64 6 2 11 3	21 4 5 3 6 4 6 2 1 2	21 5 3 64 6 2 11	2.3 2.8 2.6 3.4 2.9 5.5 2.5 2.0	11111111	11111111	1111111		34 5 4 133 6	33 5 4 133 6 4	33 5 4 133 6	3.6 3.5 2.1 4.6 4.1 3.9	1111111	(11111111	1111111	1	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
437449576	437449576	437449576	20.8996233			1111111	-	157351166	157751166	57351 166	3.3 3.8 2.9 3.7 2.8 3.3	161111111			1 1 1 2	27 28 29 30 31 32
6 5 18	6 3 18	6 3 18	3.5 4.1 3.4	-		•	-	•	-	=		-	=		- 3 - 3 - 3	7
19 11 15 8 16 40 15 75 15 20	19 11 7 4 8 24 6 17 15 20	19 11 11 6 13 31 10 23 15 20	53350048800	1111111111	111111111	111111111		42 97 53 123 138 52 155 87 58	42 92 22 27 55 33 87 88	42 93 29 34 92 80 20 61 87 58	11.5 27.8 11.2 19.8 21.2 10.3 6.7 7.5 24.3	1111111111	1111111111	111111111	7.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
9 7 44 16 18	7 6 42 15	8 6 43 15 17	6.7 5.0 2.7 3.8 4.7		1			50	39	42	2.6			7.7.1.1	- 45 - 50 - 50 - 50 - 50	
2	2	2	1.1	=	-	-	=	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 54	ŀ
3 4 4 3 14 60 4 7 3 4	1402723	24 4 5 4 6 5 7 3 4	2.	1 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			111111111	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	116664533			111111111	133111661	**********	55 567 55 55 560 1 663 1 663 1 665	

## Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

	(D	ollar amount	s in thousa	ands)		
		Total criminal j	ustice system	Police protection	Judi	icial
City	Totul October payroll <sup>1</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll Percent of total criming justice systems	al October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	1 366 805	309 299	22.6	258 162 85	.5 20 656	6.7
ALABAMAI BIRMINGHAM. GADSDEN HUNTSVILLE. HOBILE. HONTGOMERY. TUSCALOOSA.	3 025 412 1 494 1 476 1 366 1 217	221 346 296	29.0 20.2 14.8 23.5 21.7 10.3	776 88 75 90 199 90 304 87 269 90	3 3 7 9	3.2 4.1 3.4 2.6 3.8 3.7
AR12ONA: MESA. PHOENIX SCOTTSDALE. TEMPE TUCSON.	578 6 463 625 600 3 298	1 933 164 153	22,8 29,3 26,3 25,5 23,5	122 1 742 90 152 92 140 91 710	5 5 5 5	3.6 4.6 2.9 3.3 2.8
ARKANSAS: FORT SHITH. LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF.	357 1 010 440 228	231 124	24.2 22.8 28.2 33.3	81 205 88 108 68 87	7 8 7	2.4 3.4 5.4 5.5
CALIFORNIAI ALAMEDA ALHAMBRA, ANAHEIM BAKERSFIELD BELLFLOWER, BERKELEY BUENA PARK BURBANK CARSON. GHULA VISTA	566 469 1 769 785 81 1 506 *404 1 145 188	139 481 237 5 346 145 309	29.4 29.8 27.2 30.2 6.6 27.0 27.0	162 97 137 98 461 95 228 96 4 66 336 97 143 98 284 92	77,88	-
COMPTON CONCORD COSTA MESA DAY CITY OOWNEY EL CAUÜN EL MONTE. FREMONT	650 558 654 402 504 376 379 737	203 204 125 151 105 147	26.63 31.2 21.2 29.9 28.8 30.3	233 97 199 98 199 97 123 98 151 100 102 97 142 96 217 97		
FRESNO. FULLERTON GARDEN GROVE. GLENDALE. HAWTHORNE HAVWARD HUNTINGTON BEACH, INGLEWOOD LAKEWOOD	2 074 657 668 1 187 786 716 1 113 989	203 201 203 203 243 291	29.77 29.77 29.48 29.48 29.48 30.48 27.48	235 98 270 96 104 91 193 95 326 95	.3 1 6 0 1	
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES MODESTO NOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK OAKLAND ONTARIO ORANGE. OXMARO	4 992 40 936 664 449 111 5 333 510 645	14 353 187 114 5 1 351 132 202	25.71 28.11 25.4 24.4 26.0 31.3	195 96	.1 .7 .9 .3 0	1111
PALO ALTO	858 1 576 91 1 053 463 1 025 1 1 323	396 2 232 117 110 243 338	24,1 25.1 22.0 23.7 23.6 23.7 25.7 25.7	196 94 365 92 213 91 111 95 102 225 96	.3 .9 .0	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
SALINAS SAN BERNARDINO SAN BUENAVENTURA SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOSE SAN LEANDRO SAN HATEO	436 3 27 557 6 284 19 834 4 356 59	323 7 129 1 853 5 331 5 1 227 153	34,4 25,2 23,2 29,5 26,9 28,8 25,8	138 313 97 123 568 568 68 1 176	2 0 1 1 1 8 676	12.7
SANTA ANA SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA HONICA SANTA HONICA SANTA HOSA SIMI VALLEY SOUTH GATE STOCKTON	1 246 800 73( 1 020 51( 188) 38(	218 178 265 100 76 140	31.1 27.3 24.4 26.0 19.3 40.8 29.6	369 95 209 95 171 96 246 92	092770937	

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

				ervices and scution	Indige	nt defense	Corr	rections	Other crim	inal justice
	City		October pnyroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroli	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL .			10 017	3.2	158	0.1	18 919	6,1	1 387	0,4
			22 1 5 5 2 2	2.5 1.8 2.1 1.4 0.8 1.8		-	52 3 9 27 13	6.0 4.0 4.2 7.8 4.5	2	0.6
HIZONA: MESA PHOENIX SCOTTSDALE. TEMPE TUCSON			5 99 8 8 43	3.5 5.1 4.6 5.0 5.6	1 -	0,4	7 3 F	0.2		-
RKANSAS: FORT SMITH, LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE PINE BLUFF,	ROCK	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	6 3	2.7 2.6 1.9	-	-	3 12 6 3	3.3 5.2 5.1 3.4		
BELLFLOWER.		Y	4 2 21 9 2 10 2 19	2.7 1.6 4.3 3.7 33.2 2.8 1.5 6.2 4.3		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1111111011	1.8	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
COMPTON CONCORD COSTA MESA. DALY CITY DOWNEY EL CAJON EL MONTE FREMONT	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	54 - 2 - 3 5 7	2.2 1.9 1.7 2.6 3.2 3.0		5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 5 1 1 1 1	2,4		111111
FULLERTON . GARDEN GROVE GLENDALE.	ÉACH.		15 3 4 11 10 7 17 14 2	2.9 1.7 1.7 3.9 8.4 3.5 4.9 1.7			17111190	3.0		1.5
ONTARIO ORANGE		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	103 556 6 5 36 1 7	8.0 7.9 3.3 4.1 2.7 1.0 3.6			78 2 82	6.1	# H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	1
POMONA. REDONDO BEAC REDWOOD CITY RICHMOND.	6	# 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 23 5 5 8 8 9	5.4 5.9 100.0 2.2 2.7 7.1 3.4 2.8 1.6	1111111111		771433	1.9 5.8 2.3		
SALINAS SAN BERNARDI SAN BUENAVEN SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISC SAN JOSE SAN LEANDRO SAN MATEO	TURA.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	10 6 115 288 52 8	2.0 4.9 6.2 5.4 5.3 2.8	85	1.6	44 614	2.4	6	0.3
SANTA BARBAR SANTA CLARA SANTA HOVICA SANTA ROSA.			. 19 7 19 5 4 3	5.0 4.18 7.3 5.0 5.1 1.9				2.8		

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

	(D	ollar amoun	ts in thous	ands)			
	Total October	Total criminal	justice system	Police p	rotection	Juai	cial
City	payroll <sup>1</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total October payrol1	October psyroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIACONTINUED SUNNYVALE TORRANCE, VALEJO WEST COVINA WESTMINSTER WHITTIER,	699 1 396 437 374 319 533	127 409 145 122 124 137	18,2 29,3 33,2 52,5 38,9 25,8	121 385 140 122 124 137	95.0 94.1 96.4 100.0 100.0	1	
COLORADO: AURORA. BOULLER COLORADO SPRINGS, DENVER. LAKEMOOD, PUEBLO,	692 730 1 382 10 482 534 599	203 131 354 2 446 349 198	29,3 18,0 25,6 23,3 65,3 33,0	185 110 329 1 822 310 185	91.2 84.0 93.0 74,5 88.9 93.5	8 13 11 232 16 6	4.1 10.2 3.0 9.5 4.4 3.0
CONNECTICUT: BRIOGEPORT. BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD HERIDEN HILFORD	<sup>2</sup> 4 340 1 462 1 368 5 952 1 661 1 511	530 116 97 679 95 113	212.2 7.9 7.1 11.4 5.7 7.5	516. 113 94 654 90	97.3 97.3 97.4 96.3 95.0 97.0	11	
NEW BRITAIN NEW HAVEN NORWALK STAMFORD WATERBURY WEST HAVEN	1 568 3 703 2 211 3 605 3 238 31 003	208 463 190 302 307 92	13.5 212.5 8.4 9.5 29.1	202 453 185 288 296 86	97.3 97.8 96.9 95.3 96.4 93.5		0.1
DELAWARET WILMINGTON	2 930	·	11.5	279	82.9	30	9.0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:	51 627	12 513	24.2	7 547	60.3	1 178	9.4
FLORIDA: CLEARWATER. CLEARWATER. FORT LAUDERDALÉ GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HOLLYWOOD JACKSONVILLE. HIAMI	774 2 311 860 985 1 086 6 552 3 915	191 647 221 341 341 1 408 1 307	24.7 26.0 25.7 34.6 31.4 21.5 33.4	174 568 218 330 319 937 1 272	91.1 87.9 98.6 96.7 93.6 66.5 97.3	8 32 1 10 213	4.4 5.0 0.2 2.9 15.2
MIAMI BEACH ORLANDO PENSACOLA ST. FETERSHURG TALLAHASSEE TAMPA WEST PALM BEACH	1 639 1 847 622 2 235 1 649 2 941	527 566 146 629 136 754 216	32.2 30.7 23.4 26.2 8.3 25.7 31.5	493 502 131 595 136 743 200	93.5 88.7 90.0 94.5 99.6 98.4 92.4	15 17 -	2.8
GEORGIA: ALBANY. ALLANTA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS, MACON SAVANNAH,	589 6 356 492 1 902 1 660 1 028	139 1 950 151 401 224 221	23,5 30,7 30,7 21,1 13,5 21,5	136 1 747 141 273 211 201	97.9 89.4 93.4 68.1 94.2 90.8	1 76 3 74 6 9	0.7 3.9 2.0 18.4 2.9
HAWAII: HONOLULU.	ô 825	5 013	29.5	1 821	90.5	_	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
IDAHO: BUISE CITY,	505	138	27.3	126	91.5	(z)	0.1
ILLINOIS: ARLINGTON MEIGHTS AURGRA BERMYN CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO CIGERO.	394 617 221 706 45 983 343	112 177 70 109 18 810	28.5 28.7 31.8 13.8 10.9	110 173 68 105 18 580 105	98.0 97.7 96.3 96.6 98.8 96.3	(z) 1	0.5
DECATUR DES PLAINES EAST ST. LOUIS ELGIN EVANSTON, JOLIET, OAK LAWN,	413 423 843 339 694 606 355	128 139 140 122 199 212 118	30,6 32,8 16,6 35,9 28,7 34,9 33,1	124 139 136 118 195 204 118	97.3 100.0 96.9 97.9 97.9 96.3 100.0	111-411	0.8
OAK PARK, PEORIA. ROCKFORD, ROCK ISLAND SKOKIE. SPRINGFIELD WAUKEGAN,	585 1 040 806 339 556 772 514	179 329 305 89 170 195 136	30.6 31.7 37.8 26.4 30.6 25.3 26.5	176 319 287 87 163 179 133	98.2 96.8 94.3 97.4 95.9 91.8 97.8		1, ž

See footnotes at end of table

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

- 1 to			Legal s	ervices and ecution	Indige	nt defense	¢orı	rections	Other cris	inal justice
	City		October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA SUNNYVALE TORRANCE, VALLEJO, WEST COVIN WESTMINSTE WHITTIER.	A		6 16 5	5.0 4.0 3.6		-	101111	1.9	1.3.1.3.1.3	
COLORADO: AURORA. BOULDER COLORADO S DENVER. LAKEWOOD. PUEBLO.			10 8 14 163 23	4.7 5.8 4.1 6.6 6.6			- - 224 7	9,2		0.2
CONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD MERIDEN MILFORD	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	13 3 3 25 5 3	2.4 2.7 2.6 3.7 5.0 3.0		1		-	1 -	0.2
NEW BRITAI NEW HAVEN HORWALK . STAMFORD. WATERBURY WEST HAVEN			6 8 6 13 10 4	2.7 1.7 3.1 4.3 3.4 4.8	2	0.4	11111	111111	1 1 2	0.4
ELAWARE:			27	8.1		-	•	-	_	-
ISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			561	2.1	•	_	3 486	27.9	42	0,3
LORIDA: CLEARWATER FORT LAUDE GAINESVILL HIALEAR HOLLYWOOD JACKSONVIL MIAMI	RDALE .		12 3 17 48 35	3,2 1,8 1,2 0,3 2,1 3,4 2,7		0,2	33 10 5 200	1.3 5.1 2.8 1.4 14.2	10	0.7
MIAMI BEAC ORLANDO . PENSACOLA ST. PETERS TALLAHASSE TAMPA .	BURG.		12 8 4 16 1 1 12 6	2.4 1.4 2.6 2.6 0.4 1.6 2.6	1	0.2	6 36 11 18 -	1.2 6.4 7.4 2.9	3	0.5
EORGIA: ALBANY. ATLANTA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS. HACON SAVANNAH			2 47 1 19 2	1.4 2.4 0.7 4.8 0.7	- - 5 -	1.2	79 6 30 5 8	4.1 4.0 7.6 2.2 3.7		
HONOLULU.	• • • • •		123	6.1	7	_	69	3,4		
BOISE CITY			10	6.9		-	2	1,4	•	
LLINOIS: ARLINGTON AURORA. BERWYN. CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO CICERO.			2 4 2 4 229 4	2.0 2.3 2.6 3.4 1.2 3.7		1111	## ## ## ##	11111	•	
DECATUR DES PLAINE EAST ST. L ELGIN EVANSTON.	S OUIS		3 4 2 4 8	2.7 3.1 2.0 2.1 3.7			* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1111111	
OAK PARK. FEORIA. RUCKFORD. ROCK ISLAN SKOKIE. SPRINGFIEL WAUKEGAN,		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	3 11 9 1 7 11 3	1.8 3.2 3.1 1.1 8.1 5.8 2.2		: :	3 15 1 15 1	1.7 2.5	11,70	0.9

See footnotes at end of table

### Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justice system	Police p	rotection	Judi	dial
Gity	Total October payroll <sup>1</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total October payrol1	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
INDIANAI ANDERSON. EVANSVILLE, FORT WAYNE. GARY. HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS, MUNCIE, SOUTH BEND. YERRE HAUTE	470 919 1 308 1 354 746 7 503 380 957 344	130 244 335 454 252 1 962 121 264 91	27.6 26.6 25.7 33.5 33.8 26.1 51.9 27.6 26.4	122 229 328 394 234 1 315 113 260 84	94.2 93.8 97.7 86.9 92.8 67.0 92.8 98.3 93.0	28 11 271 7	2.9 3.5 6.2 4.6 13.8 5.5
COMA: GEDA" RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFFS. DAYENPORT DES MOINES. OUBLOUE. SIOUX CITY. WATERLOO.	837 415 587 1 703 419 654 492	155 107 141 373 80 143 132	18,6 25,9 21,9 21,9 21,9 21,9	151 100 131 357 77 125 128	96.9 93.8 93.5 95.8 87.4 97.2	2	1.4
ANSASI KANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK TOPEKA	1 170 194 1 053 2 324	380 100 265 523	32,5 51,5 25,2 22,5	336 89 241 462	88.2 89.5 91.1 88.3	11 6 5 19	3.0 5.6 1.7 3.6
(ENTUCKY: COVINGTON LEXINGTON LOUISVILLE OWENSBORO	344 1 676 3 827 903	108 432 1 069 85	51.5 25.8 27.9 9.4	102 367 1 016 79	94.3 85.0 95.0 92.7	2 8 34 3	1.9 1.9 3.2 3.8
OUISIANAI BATON ROUGE LAFAVETTE LAKE CHARLES MONROE NEW ORLEANS SHREVEPORT	2 035 539 434 507 5 944 1 494	895 91 78 97 2 319 405	44.0 16.9 18.1 19.1 39.0 27.1	555 83 74 82 1 528 365	62.0 90.6 94.5 85.0 65.9 90.1	213 7 1 8 385 23	23.8 8.2 1.6 8.6 16.6 5.7
MAINE:	1 918	164	8,5	158	96.3		•
MARYLAND: BALTIMORE	31 922	5 007	15,7	3 930	78,5	328	6.5
ASSACHUSETTS: BOSTON. BROCKTON. CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE FALL RIVER. HOLYOKE LAWRENGE.	25 782 2 494 3 502 1 397 2 325 1 174 1 468	4 476 215 364 121 312 134 135	17.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4	3 013 212 358 117 290 129	67.3 98.5 98.4 97.0 93.0 96.7 94.1	849	19.0
LOWELL LYNN MALDEN MEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEW TON MEDFORD NEW TON MEDFORD NEW TON MEDFORD	2 023 *2 620 1 464 1 613 *2 238 3 431	217 238 147 145 297 231	10.7 29.1 10.0 9.0 213.3 6.7	206 228 143 142 286 222	94,9 95,9 97,6 98.0 96.7 96.0		
PITTSFIELD. OUINCY. SOMERVILLE. SPRINGFIELD WALTHAM WORGESTER	21 541 3 710 1 761 5 074 1 680 25 701	132 273 168 438 167 511	*8.4 7.4 9.5 9.5 9.9 *9	130 268 150 422 161 493	98.5 98.0 89.1 96.6 96.3		•
AICHIGAN; ANN ARBOR DEARBORN, DEARBORN HÈIGHTS DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIOS KALAMAZOO	963 1 381 321 25 778 3 811 1 956 806	256 326 150 8 834 591 537 228	26.67 23.67 46.73 15.55 28.2	215 291 140 7 840 526 456 193	84.0 89.5 93.1 88.6 89.0 84.9 85.0	244084 21084 557 200	9.5 4.4 6.5 6.4 5.7 8.9 9.7
LANSING LINCOLN PARK LIVONTA PONTIAC ROSEVILLE ROSEVILLE SAGINAN	1 397 283 745 2 364 356 534 874	415 88 236 346 136 161 287	29.7 31.7 31.7 14.6 38.1 30.1 32.9	346 80 209 298 125 140 273	83.3 91.3 88.7 86.0 91.6 86.9 95.0	32 5 13 13 13 -	7.8 5.6 5.5 8.4 8.4
ST. CLAIR SHORES. SOUTHFIELD. STERLING HEIGHTT. TAYLOR.	482 675 458 405	140 236 177 125	29.0 35.0 38.5 25.7	131 190 167 116	94.0 80.4 94.3 93.2	7 31 10 8	4,9 13.1 5.7 6.4

See feetnotes at end of table

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Legal s	(DONAY amd ervices and ecution		nt defense	Cor	rections	Other crim	inal justice
City	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of
INDIANA: ANDERSON, EVANSVILLE, FORT WAYNE, GARY, HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS, MUNGIE, SOUTH BEND, TERRE HAUTE	358 134 162 43	2,2 2,2 2,3 2,6 15,4 1,7 3,5	(z)	0.3	1 5 3 260 (z)	0.3 - 1.0 1.0 13.2 0.3	14	Justice system
IOMAI CEDAR RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFFS. DAVEMPORT DES MOINES. DUBUGUE SIOUX CITY. WATERLOO.	5 4 7 17 3 6	3.1 3.4 5.2 4.2 4.2 4.1 2.8			3 - 4 - 4 - 1	2,8	-1	5.9
KANSAS: KANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK TOPEKA. WICHITA	14 5 10 27	3.7 4.9 3.7 5.2	# 1 m		15 5 8	4.0 1.7 1.6	4 - 5 7	1.1 1.7 1.3
KENTUCKY: COVINGTON LEXINGTON LOUISVILLE OMENSBORO LOUISIANA!	28 19 3	3.8 6.6 1.8 3.5	-	:	28	6.5	1171	
BATON ROUGE LAFAYETTE LAKE CHARLES MONROE NEW ORLEANS SHREVEPORT	65 1 3 4 101 6	7.2 0.9 3.9 4.0 4.4	(z) - 22	0.3	63 2 2 275 11	7.0 - 2.4 11.9 2.6	- - - 8	0,3
MAINE: PORTLAND.	6	3.7	-	_ {	-	-	-	
MARYLAND: BALTIMORE	331	6,6	-	-	418	8.3	-	<u>.</u>
MASSACHUSETTS: BOSTON. RROCKTON. CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE. FALL RIVER. HÓLYOKE LAWRENCE	136 3 6 4 5 4 3	3.0 1.5 1.6 3.0 1.5 3.3 2.3	11111	-	13	9.8 	37 - 4	0,8 - 1,4 3,0
LOWELL LYNN MALDEN MALDEN MEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEW TON	11 7 3 3 6 9	5.1 2.8 2.4 2.0 2.1 4.0			11111		3	1.3
PITTSFIELD OUINCY SOMERVILLE SPRINGFIELD WALTHAM WORCESTER	2 5 5 13 6 19	1.5 2.0 2.8 2.9 3.4 3.7					13	8.0
MICHIGAN: ANN ARBOR DEARBORN, DEARBORN HEIGHTS, DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS, KALAMAZOO	10 13 - 187 10 22 12	4.0 4.1 2.1 1.6 4.2 5.3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		6 7 1 232 21 7	2.4 2.0 0.4 2.6 3.6	6 4	0.Ī 0.7
LANSING LINCOLN PARK, LIVONIA PONTIAC ROSEVILLE ROYAL OAK SAGINAN	14 1 9 17 - 5 14	3.4 1.1 3.6 5.0 2.9 5.0	1 1 1 1		27.25.213.1	5.5 1.7 2.0 0.5		
ST. CLAIR SHORES. SOUTHFIELD. STERLING HEIGHTS. TAYLOR. See footnotes at end of table.	1 8 -	1,0	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		7	2.9		

### Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		_	_			,		 (L)	OII	ar ar	nount	s in th	ousa	nas)		<del></del>				-	
								 Cataban	τ	otal ci	riminal j	ustice sy	stem		Police p	rotectio	n i		Judi		
	City							october roll <sup>1</sup>	Oc.	tober	payroll	Percent total Oc payro	tober	Octobe	r poyrol	total	ent of criminal a system	Octobes	payroll		
WARREN WESTLAND WYOMING	* *				• •			1 226 382 297			363 145 101		29.6 37.9 33.9		326 127 92		89.8 87.5 91.7		25 16 7		6.8 11.1 7.1
ROCHESTER		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		****				406 1 039 5 527 415 3 247			136 206 1 287 103 909		33.6 19.9 23.3 24.7 28.0		130 191 1 134 98 779		95.4 92.7 88.2 95.2 85.7		60		6.6
MISSISSIPPII JACKSON			, ,	, <b>.</b>	). )i.	•		1 487			363		24.4		314		86.6		11		3.0
MISSOURII COLUMBIA., FLORISSANT. INDEPENDENCE, KANSAS CITY, ST, JOSEPH., ST, LOUIS SPRINGFIELD,								 426 165 621 5 35 436 11 266 85			94 78 171 1 840 125 3 892 189		22.0 47.5 27.3 34.4 28.7 34.5 22.2		86 75 156 1 629 1 116 2 928 178		91.3 95.7 91.1 88.5 92.8 75.2 94.4		3 2 7 58 4 327 7		2.7 2.3 4.0 3.2 3.1 8.4 3.6
MONTANA! BILLINGS. GREAT FALLS ;	: :	•		•	4	•		46 37			102 78		22.1 20.8		98 70		95.9 90.4		4 2		3.6 2.8
NEBRASKA: LINCOLN		•	e 2		•			1 72 2 72			359 745		20.7		25 65		70.0 87.4		33 44		9.1 5.8
NEVADA! LAS VEGAS RENO	3 4	•				•		1 08			53 331		4.9 33.4		29	3	88.4		21 11		39,4 3,2
NEW HAMPSHIRE: HANCHESTER. NASHUA.								2 06 1 15			166 118		8.0		14 10		87.8 87.5		12 11		7.2 9.0
CAMDEN	•							1 47 1 39 1 70 2 25 3 07	62569		272 146 436 213 416 390 210		*18.4 32.7 31.3 12.5 *18.4 12.7 35.8		25 13 40 20 37 37	8 6 2 6	94.2 94.3 93.1 95.0 90.3 94.9		8 3 16 7 26 8		2,9 2,1 3,8 3,2 6,3 2,2 3,7
JERSEY CITY NEWARK. PASSAIC. PATERSON.							• 1	27 22 15 3 40 3 9: *3 8:	9 3 8		1 244 1 999 193 503 480 192		17.2 13.0 47.8 12.8 12.5 31.3		1 18 1 91 18 47 45	8	95.2 95.7 95.8 95.0 95.1		34 62 4 15 13		2.8 3.1 2.1 3.6 4.6
NEW MEXICOI ALBUQUERQUE						·	•	2 5	,		891		34,		7	6	84.9		31		3,:
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BINGHAMTON, BUFFALO. MOUNT VERNON NEW ROCHELLE					• • •			2 5 1 3 14 0	55 33		411 169 1 738 236 286		16.1 12.1 12.1 31.2	2	1 5		92.5 89.6 91.3 86.4		17 11 87 16 18		4. 6. 5. 6.
NEW YORK CIT NIAGARA FALL ROCHESTER . ROHE. SCHENECTADY	Y S	4 4	*						07		79 470 274 1 026 67		20. 22. 10. 11. 25.	7   2   7	9	88 48 49 50	76.2 90.0 92.1 89.0	5	7 889 16 52 5		9. 6. 5. 7. 5.
SYRACUSE.		1			•	 	* * *		90 35 16		643 133 199 289 739		12. 27. 27. 28. 13.	4	1 2	79 18 72 54 40	90. 88. 86. 88.	6	45 9 21 16 48		7. 6. 10. 5.
NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAH. FAVETTEVILLE GREENSBORO. HIGH PO'NT. RALEIGH WINSTON-SAL		•		*				2 5	51 56 64 26		111 66: 26: 12: 40: 13: 30: 36:	9	20. 25. 30. 26. 26. 27. 25.	1 2	66 2 1 4 1	14 51 51 21 02 28 00 62	99. 98. 97. 98. 98. 96.	2 1 7 4 0 1			
NORTH DAKOTAL								1	121		11	4	27,	1		.07	ç3,	9			3

Men footnotes at ond of table

### Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

			ar d					86)	Dollar amo	Indige			Cor	rections	Other crim	inal justice
	City						October payroll		Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	tot	ercent of al criminal tice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll.	Percent of total criminal justice system
HICHIGANCONTIN WADREN. WESTLAND	UED				 		1	2	3,4	-		-	- 2 1	1.4		
MINNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON . DULUTH MINNEAPOLIS . ROCHESTER ST. PAUL				•	• •		1. 7:	51	4.6 7.5 5.6 4.8			-	81 81	6.3	- - - 27	3,0
HISSISSIPPI: JACKSON				•	•		25.00 <sup>177</sup> 10		3,9	-		_	23	6.5	1	]
MISSOURI: COLUMBIA FLORISSANT. INDEPENDENCE. KANSAS CITY. ST. JOSEPH. ST. LOUIS SPRINGFIELD.	; ; ;	• •		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			6 15	5 }	6.0 4.9 7.1 4.9 8.0			# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	.88 483	4.8 12.4	3	0.1
MONTANA: BILLINGS GREAT FALLS .				:				1	1.8	1		0,5	- 4	4,9		-
NEBRASKA: LINCOLN	1					}	2 4		6.6 5.7	-		-	20 2	5,5 0,2	32	8.8
NEVADA: LAS VEGAS RENO,	- :						3: 1:		60.6 3.5			-			-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE: MANCHESTER, NASHUA.								3	1.5	-		- -	16 6 4	4.8	-	
NEW JERSEY: BAYONNE BLOOMFIELD. CAMDEN. CLIFTON. EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON			• •				1	4	2.86 cc 89 cc 80 c	* 1 8 1 1 3 1		-		3,5	- - - - 2 2 2	0,6 0,3 1,1
JERSEY CITY NEWARK. PASSAIC. PATERSON. TRENTON. UNION CITY.	,	•					10	3	1.8 1.1 2.1 2.0 2.0 1,7	1		0.2	-		3 1 1 2	0.2
NEW MEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE .		. ,		•.			2.	3	2,6			-	81	9.1		
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BINGHAMTON. BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON. NEW ROCHELLE.		,					1: 6: 1:	5	2.5 3.7 3.6 4.8 3.9			-			3 - 5	1.9
NEW YORK CITY NIAGARA FALLS ROCHESTER ROME SCHENECTADY .				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• •		2	4	3.1 3.4 2.0 2.8 4.6			2 2 2 2	7 542	9.5	976 4	0.4
SYRACUSE TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS.,	, , , , , , , , ,	*		,				6	2.8 5.0 7.0 6.4 3.1	- -		-	- - 28	3.8	**	
NORTH CAROLINAT ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM FAVETTEVILLE GREENSBORO HIGH POINT RALEIGH WINSTON-SALEM							1	1 2 7 2 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.4 1.8 2.9 1.3 1.6 4.9							
NORTH DAKOTA:								,	2.9	-				_	_	

See footnotes at end of table

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

	and the first of the second se		ollar amount		Police p	rotection	Judi	cial
	Clty	Total October payroll 1	October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroli	Percent of total criminal justice system
	CHIO: AKRON	2 524 970 11 810 9 853 3 50 5 514 2 649	697 253 1 844 3 400 116 1 883 778	27.6 26.1 15.6 34.5 30.4 34.2 29.4	589 212 1 402 2 973 102 1 594 607	84.4 83.9 76.0 87.4 88.1 82.0 78.0	69 28 175 247 8 188 63	9.9 10.9 9.5 7.3 7.2 10.0 8.0
	ELYRIA. EUCLIO. HAMILTON, KETTERING LAKEMOOD, LIMA. LORAIN.	369 532 573 319 1 068 332 554	115	22,8 24,2 26,7 34,7 10,2 34,7	60 113 137 89 94 89	71.5 87.5 89.9 80.8 86.2 76.7	16 10 10 14 10 14	19.5 7.6 6.4 12.2 9.0 13.7 12.8
	MANSFIELD , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	444 524 557 3 958 449 1 229	171 1 183 136	28.2 29.0 30.7 29.9 30.4 27.9	92 119 133 1 003 101 267	73.8 78.3 77.7 84.8 73.8 77.9	25 23 19 92 25 38	20.2 15.2 11.0 7.7 18.5 11.1
	OKLAHOMA I LAWTON	461 706 2 828 2 979	106 772	27.8 15.0 27.3 21.7	638	88.5 91.7 82.6 87.7	4 4 27 18	3.0 4.2 3.4 2.7
	OREGON: EUGENE	805 4 636 881	1 273	22.8 27.5 20.6	176 1 228 168	95.8 96.5 92.4	8 - 5	2.7
	PENNSYLVANIA: ALLENTOWN ALTOONA BETHLEHEM CHESTER ERIE HARRISBURG LANCASTER	86; 33; 57; 47; 87; 60; 45;	97 141 3 169 4 228 190	21.9 28.8 24.5 35.7 26.3 29.5	137 163 216 188	97.5 96.6 94.6 99.1	· -	2,5
	PHILADELPHIA. PITTSBURGH. READING SCHANTON. PILKES-BARRE. YORK.	38 41 4 71 58 61 43 29	8 1 496 7 195 7 159 8 96	33.2 25.1 21.9	1 437 2 189 153	96.1 97.1 94.6 97.6	27	18.8
	RHODE ISLAND: CRANSTON PAWTUCKET PROVIDENCE WARWICK	1 84 1 72 3 83 2 25	2 158 1 421	11.	2   152 0   400	96.5	13	0.5 0.7 3.0 0.3
•	SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON,	51 96 46	3 152 0 255	26.	6 22	86.5	17	1.7
	SOUTH DAKOTA: STOUX FALLS	. 54	115	22.	0 11	94.		3.5
	TENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA KNOXVILLE HEMPHIS NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	15 32 15 32 11 63	72 400 28 1 658	11.	5 150	8 89.	5 7 9 51	3.2 1.7 3.1 18.9
7	TEXASI ABILENE AMARILLO ARLINGTON AUSTIN BEAUMONT BROWNSYILLE CORPUS CHRISTI DALLAS EL PASO	96 69 4 1' 8 8	18 19 77 6 05 36 15 2 71	9 23 28 7 17 17 23 24 28 29	0 16 6 68 17 2 32 7 2 51	5 89. 0 87. 2 92. 1 88. 95. 11 87. 2 92.	6 8 10 10 6 31 7 5 1 1 1 1 10 9	3.4 5.7 4.2 3.5 3.9 4.0
	FORT WORTH. GALVESTON GARLAND GRAND PRAIRIE HOUSTON IRVING LAREDO. LUBBOCK MESQUITE,	3.0	90 80 43 11 83 17 13 7 85 3 17 38 15 32 5 45 29	9 26 4 15 7 25 4 23 0 34 1 15	2 7: 3 10 7 2 8: 9 2 1: 4 1 2:	86. 4 92. 6 89.	7 6 9 3 4 6 100 7 7 8 6 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	5.4 1.8 3.2 4.0 5.4

Sen foothotes at end of table

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

			ervices and ecution	Indige	nt defense	Corr	rections	Other crim	inal justice
	City	October payrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October psyrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO: AKRON CANTON CINCINNATI . CLEYELAND . CLEYELAND HE COLUMBUS . DAYTON	EIGHTS .	20 13 111 48 5 79 32	2.9 5.2 6.0 1.4 4.2 4.2			20 - 138 133 (2) 72 76	2.8 7.5 3.9 0.1 3.8 9.7	17	0.9
HAMILTON		 5658548	5.8 4.6 3.1 7.0 4.8 3.8 7.0	1	1.2	3 1 - 5 4	3.3 0.5 1 4.5 3.4		
MANSFIELD . PARMA SPRINGFIELD TOLEDO WARREN YOUNGSTOWN .		7 9 12 30 7 19	6.0 5.9 7.1 2.5 4.9 5.4	5	2.6	1 3 59 4 19	0.7 1.5 5.0 2.8 5.6	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
OKLAHOMA: LAHTON. NORHAN, OKLAHOMA CI TULSA	ſΫ́	 6 3 26 27	4.6 2.6 3.3 4.1	2	1.4	3 2 28 36	2.5 1.5 3.6 5.5	54	7.0
OREGON: EUGENE. PORTLAND. SALEM		 25	2.0	-		-	-	19	1.5
PENNSYLVANIA: ALLENTONN . ALTOONA . BETHLEHEM . CHEST. ERIE . HAPRISBURG . LANCASTER .		524672	2,4 2,3 2,5 3,4 2,9				111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1117111	
PHILADELPHI PITTSBURGH, READING SCRANTON WILKES-BARRI YORK		 544 32 6 5 2 2	3.4 2.1 2.9 3.4 2.4 2.2			1 827	11,4		
RHODE ISLAND: CRANSTON PAWTUCKET. PROVIDENCE. WARWICK		 3 4 8	2,9 2,8 1,9 2,5	-		=	-	8	6,9
SOUTH CAROLING CHARLESTON. COLUMBIA GREENVILLE.		 2 5 3	1.0 2.0 2.2	-	-	13 1	4.9 1.0	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS	• • • • •	 3	2,4	-	-	-	, <del></del> .	=	_
TENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA KNOXVILLE. MEMPHIS. NASHVILLE-DA	AVIDSON.	3 15 26 36	0.9 3.7 1.6 2.5	18	1.2	20 74 145	5.1 4.4 10.1	- - 14	0.2
TEXAS: ABILENE		6 8 10 24 9 1 12 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5.5 3.7 5.7 3.2 4.5 1.2 5.0 3.2			3 8 7 6	2.2 7.3 1.5 3.1 7.1	12	0.4
FORI WORTH. GALVESTON. GARLAND. GRAND PRAIR HOUSTON. IRVING LAREDO LURBOCK HESQUITE	IĖ	23 4 7 3 70 8 2 13	2.5 3.7 4.0 2.2 5.2 4.3 3.2			26 5 3 3 127 6	3.4 4.6 3.6 4.0 4.0 0.6	11112	

See footrates at end of table

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

		Total criminal	justice system	Police p	rotection	Judi	icisl
City	Total October payrol1 1	October payroli	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system		Percent of total criminal justice system
YEXAS—CONTINUED MIDLAND ODESSA. PASADENA. PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO. SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO. WICHITA FALLS	5 098	128 163 90 95 1 420 84 153	33.2 37.0 21.2 29.3 27.8 25.0 19.3	140 82 85 1 333 75	\$1.5 88.2 86.1 90.7 88.9 93.9 89.6 90.9	5 8 1 5 38 4 7	3.9 6.2 4.4 1.4 5.2 2.7 4.9 5.2
UTAH; OGDEN ,	444 229 1 612	69	31.1 29.9 29.2	113 59 417	81,8 86,2 88,8	19 6 33	14.1 8.6 7.0
VIRGINIAI ALEXANDRIA, CHESAPEAKE. HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS. NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH RICHMOND. ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH.	3 686 1 385 3 850 7 363 2 890 8 587	270 220 140 359 652 261 804 301	13.1 9.8 6.0 10.1 9.3 8.9 9.0 9.4 13.1 8.7	J 543	74.7 67.1 78.0 78.7 70.1 83.5 80.8 61.5	39 19 10 1 31 20 17 62 35	9.6 7.0 4.8 0.5 8.5 3.1 6.5 7.7 11.8
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE. EVERETT SEATTLE SPOKANE TACOMA.	7 339 1 625	124 1 955 416	22.5 21.3 26.6 25.6 18.9	100 118 1 742 389 346	86.9 95.0 89.1 93.5 86.8	2 108 12 20	2.0 5.5 2.8 5.0
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON,	704		23.5 25.9	161 132	97.0 95.7	- 3 2	1.7
WISCONSINI APPLETON. GREEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE MADISON MILWAUKEE OSHKOSH RACINE HAUWATOSA WEST ALLIS	1 215 2 494 666 984 4 806 9 87 1 220	180 167 83 426 2 842 95 256 116	8,1 7,2 25,4 8,9 26,8 27,4 8,9	96 174 160 79 405 2 761 91 248 111	97.3 96.5 95.8 95.0 97.1 96.7 96.7	231	1.4 1.6 1.2 -

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

		services and secution	Indige	nt defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
City	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October Percent of total criminal justice system	
TEXASCONTINUED MIDLAND ODESSA. PASADENA. PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO. SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACD. WICHITA FALLS	4	4.4 3.6 3.3	-	311,111,111	2,6 7 4,5 3 3,5 2 2,3 	
UTAH: OGDEN	20	5.2		-		
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA. CHESAPEAKE. HAMPTON LYNCHEURG NEWPORT NEWS. NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH. RICHMOND. ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH.	12	4.5 5.2 5.0 3.4 4.7 4.4 6.4	1111111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	38 9.5 58 21.4 26 12.0 22 15.9 63 17.5 53 8.1 14 5.3 57 62 20.6	
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE. EVERETT SEATTLE SPOKANE TACOMA	59	5.0 3.0 3.7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	4 3.5 47 2.4 11 2.6	
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON, HUNTINGTON,	2		-	-		
WISCONSIN: APPLETON. GHEEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE MADISON HILWAUKEE OSHKOSH RACINE WAUMATOSA WEST ALLIS.	3 21 82 4	2.2 3.0 4.0 5.0		1711111111		

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of mensurement shown.

Y Lass than half the unit of mensurement shown.

Ynyroll for city-operated utilities is excluded.

Thu total October payroll date for all general governmental functions, shown in the first column, is for October 1973; a payroll used for oriminal justice functions, shown in the third column, is overstated.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974

				%;y	37						Total	cri	inal	justice	system		Police	protec	tion			Judio	ial	
	Conn	ty						Total expe	gond		An	ount		Porce total g expend	onoral	Am	ount	tot		t of iminal system	Апоч	int	total	ent of criminal e system
TOTAL .				•				20	092	864	2	538	527		12.6		796 8	27		31.4		56 227		25,8
ALABAMA1 GALHOUN JEFFERNON MADISON, MOBILE. MONTGOMERY, TUSCALOUSA	• • •	•	• •	*****	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +				58 10 17 7	879 332 692 948 998 793		3			21.4 12.1 14.4 22.3 20.5		10	56		24.7 31.5 29.5 27.0 32.6 31.8		347 2 359 633 1 314 615 486		41.9 33.5 41.0 32.9 37.5 41.5
ALASKAI GREATER ANCH	ORAGE								129	030		1	633		1,3	7	1,0	74		65.7		68		4.2
ARIZONAI MARICOPA PIMA.	, , , , , ,	•	# # #25@	e Ç			•			649 031	Annual Control of the		841 155		19.5		5 4 4 3	90		22.1		6 950 2 817		28.0 21.4
ARKANSASI PULASKI		,	* E						8	355		2	468		29.5		5	76		23.3		791		32.1
CONTRA COSTA FRESNO KERN LOS ANGELES	• • •	*	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					5	34 171 140 125 382	952 652 299 749 189 654 398	Andrewsky or the state of the s	24 15 14 325 9	163 703 188		16.1 9.3 14.5 10.8 11.7 13.67		5 7 4 3 5 0 102 7 1 8	86 57 20 10 08 68 19		15.3 29.6 23.0 28.4 34.1 31.6 19.6 26.1		10 269 685 4 923 3 143 2 416 78 447 2 523 656		22.4 21.2 19.8 20.7 16.4 24.1 27.2
MONTEREY. ORANGE. RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO. SAN BERNARDI SAN DIEGO, SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBI	NO.	. ¥ . •.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						277 181 233 207 312 101	511 453 611 803 274 144 173		52 23 35 31	295 674 848 594		13.4 18.9 13.2 15.1 15.3 19.2 13.4 12.3		2 6 9 5 7 1 12 1 9 3 11 9 1 1 7	64 03 04 88		30.4 18.3 29.7 34.3 29.6 19.9 30.3		1 644 13 724 4 336 6 639 6 803 16 010 2 483		18.6 26.2 18.6 21.5 26.6 18.6
SAN MATEO SANTA BARBAF SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ, SOLANO, SONOMA, STANISLAUS, TULARE, VENTURA,	* * * *		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						78 296 40 41 77 65	723 388 906 148 462 631 353 749 737		12 38 5 6 7 7	698 473 080 490		18.3 16.4 13.0 13.6 14.7 12.2 11.3 10.8 16.3		4 2 5 7 1 2 5 7 2 6	56 40 69 38 69 22 36 37		21.8 33.0 24.7 31.8 17.6 23.4 24.4		4 715 1 863 8 506 1 023 1 603 1 474 1 619 3 883		19.6 14.1 22.6 18. 26.1 15.1 21.6
EL PASO	1 4				; • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				11 12 27 17	560 863 562 263 504	1	1 1 4			9,5 16.0 11.2 14.9 12.3 4.6		10	73 47 19 38 72		61.9 55.1 49.9 22.9 50.5 27.2		92 153 149 129 317		10. 3. 14. 1.
DELAMARE: NEW CASTLE.	* * 1			•	•		•		26	092		3	743		14.3		2 9	22		78.1	\$	72	,	19.
FLORIDA: ALACHUA BREVARD BROWARD DADE: FSCAMBIA HILLSBORDUGI		1 ¥ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	* 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	* * * * * * *		24 47 339 14 76	566 331 565 483 917 964 976		46 46 4	086 153 430 279 081 034 239	de de la companya de	19.8 17.1 21.9 13.6 27.4 14.3 16.0		1 7 3 9 22 7 2 0	09 91 08 58 16 79		58.6 43.1 37.5 49.2 49.4 19.7 56.5		53: 1 49: 3 42: 12 10: 1 05: 5 33:		17. 35. 32. 26. 25. 48.
DECN. ORANGE. PALM BEACH. PINGLIAG. POLK. SARASOTA. VOLUSIA.	* t * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* 1		* * * * * * *	f			39 41 37 22 11	017 618 903 253 372 930 846		. ģ	776 450 033 623 491 829 312		39.6 18.8 21.6 17.8 20.1 23.7 22.3		5 C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	71 68 82 37 79 07		53.0 54.6 56.3 56.4 48.5 53.3		907 1 847 1 665 1 596 1 13 94 1 16	3	32, 24, 18, 24, 25, 33,
GEORGIAT GIBB	4 +		• •		*	• •			20 27 76 115	239 138 340 540 424		3 4 9			23.5 15.8 16.1 12.5 13.4 25.8		2 5	115 193 102 186 158		28,3 33,9 56,9 58,3 12,0		85 1 21 92 2 02 6 12 88	9	29. 37. 21. 21. 39. 25.

See footnates at end of table

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		rvices and ecution	Indige	nt dofenso	Cor	rections	Other crim	inal justice
County	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justica system
TOTAL	209 156	5.2	64 989	2.6	770 553	30.4	40 775	1.6
ALABAMA: CALHOUN JEFFERSON MADISON HOBILE HONTGOMERY TUSCALOOSA	23 396 164 104 48 58	2.8 5.6 10.6 2.6 2.9 5.0	- - - - - 3	0.3	254 1 968 292 1 500 444 254	30.6 28.0 18.9 37.9 27.0 21.7	- 92 - - -	1,3
ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	480	29,4	*	-	11	0.7	١	-
ARIZONA: MAPICOPA PIMA	1 899 1 458	7.6 11.1	1 208	4.9	9 294 3 875	37.4 29.5		
ARKANSASt PULASKI	339	13.7	109	4.4	653		•	-
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA BUTTE CONTRA COSTA FRESNO. KERN. LOS ANGELES MARIN MERCED.	4 435 386 2 757 1 328 1 371 24 002 788 336	9.7 11.9 11.1 8.8 9.3 7.4 8.5 11.7	2 556 166 936 486 457 11 712 407 83	5.1 3.8 3.2 3.1 3.6 4.4	21 524 1 044 10 569 5 896 5 451 107 049 3 386 1 053	32.9 36.5	1 210 347	0,4
MONTEREY. ORANGE. RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO, SAN BERNARDINO. SAN DIEGO SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO.	1 027 5 112 2 233 4 142 2 592 5 548 1 393 628	11.7 9,8 9,3 11.7 8,2 9,3 10,2 14,4	429 1 766 789 1 149 868 3 192 765	3.4 3.3 3.3 2.7 5.3 5.6	3 001 21 424 9 442 11 212 11 093 22 841 4 829 1 046	31.8 35.0 38.2 35.5	795 49 930 321	1,5 0,1 2,9 0,5
SAN MATEC SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ SOLANO. SONOMA STANISLAUS TULARE. YENTURA	1 570 1 336 4 127 823 504 763 696 846 2 049	6.5 10.4 10.7 15.0 8.4 8.3 9.4 11.1	851 453 1 435 196 354 307 211 328 634	3,7 3.6 5.8 3.2 2.8 4.3	10 4968 14 876 1 693 2 546 4 404 3 404 3 255	30.9 41.9 46.4 40.7 32.6	776 185 136 136	3.2 0.5 1.8 0.7
COLORADO: ADAMS. ARAPAHOE. BOULDER EL PASO JÉFERSON PUEBLO.	460 452 333 645 468 274	22,4 23.8 23.1 15.7 22.1 34.4	-		232 249 239 2 393 265 192		104	13.0
DELAWARE:	98	2.6		-	-	_	*	•
FLORIDAI ALACHUA BREYARD BROWARD DADE ESCANBIA HILLSBOROUGH, LEE	164 249 400 1 211 155 512 46	5.3 6.0 3.8 2.6 3.8 4.6 2.1	16 27 11 239 63 57	0.7 0.1 0.5 1.5 0.5	558 594 2 684 6 857 794 2 869 331	25.7	5 3 109 65	6.7
LEON. ORANGE. PALM BEACH. PINELLAS. POLK. SARASOTA. VOLUSIA	89 357 426 315 329 91 172	3.2 4.8 4.7 4.8 7.3 3.2 5.2	6 12 50 34 16 3	0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4	308 1 171 1 585 862 834 287 856	11.1 15.7 17.5 13.0 18.6 10.1 25.6	225 71	2.5
GEORGIAI BIBB	243 244 182 481 1 683 182	8,5 7,6 4,1 5,0 10,9 5,3	45 66 92 111 312	2.0 2.1 1.2	571 603 690 1 378 5 500 937	15.7 14.4 35.5	351 2 2	12.2

See footnotes at end of tabl

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Total criminal	justico system	Police pr	otection	Judi	cial
County	Total general expenditure	Amount	Porcent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO: ADA. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9 364	1 587	16,9	565	35,6	47.0	26.4
ILLINOIS( CHAMPAIGN CGOK DU PAGE KANE LAKE LA SALLE MCHENRY MCLEAN	8 059 484 382 37 536 12 277 25 266 7 209 7 346 6 985	1 564 88 512 5 046 2 823 3 927 1 025 1 712 1 237	19.4 18.4 13.6 13.5 14.0 15.2 17.7	563 11 561 1 585 838 1 694 393 817 426	36.0 13.1 31.4 29.7 43.1 38.3 47.7 34.4	326 38 612 1 399 632 898 280 281 407	22.9 27.3 16.4
MACON MADISON PEORIA ROCK ISLAND ST. CLAIR SANGAMN TAZEWELL WILN.	5 685 10 478 8 784 8 802 22 065 7 025 4 859 12 089	1 476 2 796 2 206 1 763 3 162 1 943 993 2 663 3 179	26.78 26.80 25.03 24.75 27.50 20.20 20.00	576 875 986 634 817 747 394 1 161 1 310	39.0 31.3 43.5 36.0 25.8 38.4 39.7 43.6 41.2	378 910 403 311 703 354 278 748 659	32,5 17,8 17,6 22,2 18,2 28,0 28,1
INDIANA: ALLEN DELAWARE. ELKHART LARE. LA PORTE. MADISON ST, JOSEPH. TIPPECANGE. VANDERBURGH	25 271 8 734 11 502 66 462 7 268 10 584 17 647 8 390 12 396 8 345	4 027 1 290 1 632 6 015 1 114 1 203 2 359 851 1 946 1 662	15.9 14.8 14.2 9.1 15.3 11.4 10.4 10.7	1 235 337 527 2 103 302 248 553 366 551 309	20.6 23.3 43.0	923 334 523 1 727 325 449 894 182 500 597	25.9 32.0 28.7 29.2 37.3 37.3 21.4 25.7
IOWA: BLACK HAWK. LINN POLK SCOTT WOODBURY.	10 563 17 471 32 146 11 226 9 554	1 662 1 929 6 052 1 599 1 257	15.7 11.0 18.8 14.2 13.2	292 343 676 359 168	11.2	537 673 1 637 496 498	34.9 27.0 31.0
KANSASI JOHNSON SEDGWICK SHAWNEE WYANDOTTE	25 590 30 349 11 606 13 405	2 412 3 840 1 768 1 725	9.4 12.7 15.2 12.9	667 854 285 321	22.2 16.1	923 1 504 688 794	39.2 38.7
KENTUCKYI FAYETTE JEFFERSON KENTON	<sup>1</sup> 4 573 70 545 23 394	3 667 14 216 1 009	180.2 20.2 4.3	2 270 7 282 430	51,2	245 957 92	6.7
LOUISIANA: CADDO CALCASIEU JEFFERSON LAFAYETTE OUACHITA, RAPIDES	10 287 16 984 86 209 5 957 5 750 10 818	3 270 3 226 10 571 1 884 1 899 1 976	31.6	1 750 2 077 7 025 1 108 765 1 279	64.4 66,5 58,8 40,3	443 438 2 318 30° 52,	13.6 21.9 16.3 27.4
MAINE; CUMBERLAND. PENOBSCOT.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1 786 1 447 834	1 010 638 470	44.1	266 137 80	21.5	34/ 32/ 25	50,5
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL. BALTIMORE HARFORD HONTGOMERY PRINCE GEORGES.	198 040 303 155 57 557 385 412 392 907 44 900	20 868	7.1 2.8 5.4 5.3	6 803 18 167 516 14 817 16 084	84.5 32.3 71.3 77.1	784 1 124 456 1 92 2 33	5.2 20.0 9.3 11.2
MASSACHUSETTS! BERKSHIRE BHISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE MIDDLESEX NORFOLK PLYHOUTH WGRCESTER	2 533 6 605 9 819 9 218 4 410 36 416 11 916 7 314	3 997 5 095 5 972 1 299 15 070	59.8 51.6 64.7 29.1 41.3 40.7	31 55 45 70 16 16 40 100	1.0 1.2 1.2 1.1 0.9 2.4	59 2 31 2 61 3 34 8 56 2 85 2 35	9 50.1 9 50.1 9 44.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

							rvices and ecution	Indigen	t defense	Cor	ections	Other cri	minal justice
	County	,— <u>,                                   </u>				Amount	Percent of total priminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO: ADA	• • • •					171	10.8	79	5.0	353	22.2		-
ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN COOK. DU PAGE KANE. LAKE. LA SALLE. MCHENRY MCLEAN.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		224 7 662 633 264 479 144 175	12.5 10.1 12.7 14.0 10.2	77 2 255 245 51 87 34 47 50	4.9 2.5 4.8 2.2 3.3 2.7 4.0	366 26 933 1 186 966 692 174 392 216	23.4 30.4 23.5 34.2 17.6 17.0 22.9	8 1 489 52 77	0.5 1.7 1.8 2.0
MACON	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• •				216 259 273 208 256 281 157 328 350	9.3 12.0 11.8 8.1 14.5 15.8	62 69 67 27 114 44 26 98	4.2 3.0 3.0 1.5.6 2.3 2.67 3.7	244 654 534 549 1 272 516 138 742	16.5 23.4 23.6 31.1 26.6 13.9 12.3	9 3 34 1	0.3
LA PORTE.		::	-			247 68 64 579 81 68 180 51 185	3.9 9.6 7.3 5.7 7.6	150 46 31 51 21 40 25 19 82 32	5.7 5.9 1.9 1.9 1.12 2.2 1.9	1 472 486 487 1 197 1 197 385 398 652 233 618 624	36.6 37.8 29.9 34.6 33.1 27.4 31.5 31.5	19 358 65	1,5 6,0 2,7 0,5
IOWA: BLACK HAWK. LINN. POLK. SCOTT WOODBURY.						132 141 360 128 177	7,9 7,3 5,9 8,0 14,1	114 194 82 80	6.7 5.9 3.2 5.1 6.4	590 658 3 132 527 334	35.5 34.1 51.8 33.0 26.6	53 ?	0.9
KANSAS: JOHNSON SEDGWICK. SHAWNEE WYANDOTTE		• •			• •	308 439 172 232	12.8 11.4 9.7 13.4	ु4 - - - -	0,6 7 0,5	458 1 015 583 370	19.0 26.4 33.0 21.4	22 28 44	0.9 0.7 2.5
KENTUCKY: FAYETTE JEFFERSON KENTON	* * * * *	; ; ;			 	135 536 136		83 45	2.2 4.5	934 2 837 306	25.5 20.0 30.3	2 609	18.4
LOUISIANA: CADDO	4 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 6 4 1 4 7 8					125 211 457 73 250 108	3.9 13.2	53 10 7 80 28 25	1.6 0.3 0.4 4.2 1.5 1.3	899 490 764 316 335 270	27.5 15.2 7.2 16.8 17.6 13.7	57	2.9
MAINE: CUMBERLAND. PENOBSCOT. YORK	) † . 1 .					36 34 20	5.3	51 50 10	5.0 7.4 2.1	292 95 101	28.9 14.9 21.5	21	2.1
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL BALTIMORE HARFORD MONTGOMERY, PRINCE GEORG WASHINGTON,	iės.		• •			580 918 246 939 1 273	4.3 15.3 4.5	32 3 1	2,0 (Z) (Z)	549 1 278 360 1 570 1 161 309	6.3 5.9 22.4 7.6 5.6 42.8	1 535 14	7.4 0.1
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE. BRISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE. MIDDLESEX. NORFOLK PLYMOUTH WORCESTER.		# 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			* * * * * * * * * * * * *	40 96 173 234 39 488 525 166	2.4 3.4 3.9 3.0 3.2 10.8 3.7	97 152 8 272	1.8 5.0 5.0 1.4 5.0	536 1 430 2 255 2 167 5 586 1 438 1 842 3 891	49.0 36.3 36.0 37.4 29.4 42.3		

See footnotes at end of tabl

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		fotal criminal	justice system	Police pr	otection	Judic	inl
Comsty	Total general expenditure	Amount	Porcent of total general expenditure	Amount	Porcent total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total crimina justice system
GICHIGANI BAY BERRIEN CALHOUN GENESEE INGHAM JACKSON KENT	15 364 26 951 12 081 66 867 27 113 21 313 16 777 57 490	1 953 5 015 2 893 9 1898 2 898 2 898 6 080 6 298	12.7 18.6 23.9 13.7 18.1 13.4 30.3	536 878 526 1 970 1 099 627 1 022 1 490	21,5 22,4 22,0 20,1	606 1 273 1 003 2 97 1 802 812 2 1 279	31. 25. 34. 32. 36. 28. 24.
HACUMANDA HACUMA	54 780 12 905 29 124 124 567 12 973 25 358 18 525 24 108 321 188	9 942 2 402 2 867 1 93: 3 495 2 554 49 355	18.1 18.6 9.7 13.5 14.9 13.8 13.8 23.9	3 181 896 488 2 747 764 882 594 1 197 4 223	32.0 37.3 17.3 16.3 39.6 25.2 23.3 20.8	3 615 004 972 6 038 560 1 208 876 1 895	33, 35, 35, 29, 34, 34,
INNESOTA; ANOKA DAKOTA. HEANEPIN. RAMSEY. ST. LOUIS	20 621 19 223 257 373 122 819 60 868	2 366 1 612 17 089 8 033 4 085	11.5 8.4 6.6 6.5 6.7	857 496 3 852 1 748 853	36,2 30,8 22,5 21,8 20,9	913 780 5 204 1 506 813	38, 42, 30, 18,
ISSISSIPPI: HARRISON. HINDS	15 585 17 112	1 456 1 327	9,3 7,8	44ò 324	30.6 24.4	736 638	50. 48.
SSOURI: CLAY. GREENE. JACKSON JEFFERSON.	3 763 5 016 39 358 3 116 88 463	1 334 1 423 11 040 862 18 583	35,4 28,4 28,1 27,7 21,0	365 572 971 366 9 173	27.4 40.2 8.8 42.5 49.4	264 488 3 852 305 4 495	19. 34. 34. 35. 24.
BRASKA: DOUGLAS	53 115 15 332	4 453 1 749	8,4 11,4	767 347	17.2 19.8	1 522 671	
VADA: CLARK WASHOE	102 491 38 070	20 946 4 747	20.4 12.5	13 777 1 756	65.8 37.0	1 743 951	8, 20,
W HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH. ROCKINGHAM.	9 464 4 323	1 161 739	12.3	167 302	14.4 40.9	491 265	42 35
EW JERSEYF ATLANTIC, BERGEN, BURLINGTON, CAMBEN, CUMBERLAND, ESSEX, GLOUCESTER, HUDSON,	27 752 101 576 37 011 105 971 21 969 251 012 19 647 107 558	4 009 11 583 2 725 6 901 1 723 30 912 2 306 12 278	14,4 11,4 7,5 7,8 12,3 11,4	390 2 157 183 555 165 4 370 4 2195	9,7 18,6 6,7 8,0 9,6 14,1 21,2	836 4 271 871 2 008 8 583 5427 4 597	20, 36, 32, 29, 34, 27, 23,
MERCÉR, MIDDLESEX HOMMOUTH MORRIS OCEAN PASSAIC SOMERSET, UNION	57 265 84 317 73 555 45 147 36 019 70 465 30 622 62 161	6 401 9 186 4 870 4 070 3 388 8 59 2 326 9 372	11.2 10.9 6.6 9.0 8.9 12.2 7.6	672 1 329 602 796 709 756 255 983	10,5 14,5 12,4 19,6 20,9 8,8 11,0	1 512 2 659 1 639 1 059 2 819 604 3 128	23, 29, 33, 26, 31, 32, 26,
PERNALILLO	28 378	2 795	9,8	1 539	55.1	65	2.
EW YORKI ALBANY BROOHE CHAUTAUGUA CHEMUNG DUYCHESS ERIE NONROE NASSAU	231 550 64 099 38 099 27 309 40 663 379 237 087 609 427	4 706 2 540 2 034 1 875 3 423 20 426 16 001	2.00 4.5.94 4.5.6 8.5.6 8.5.6 18.6 18.6	870 774 578 559 947 3 600 76 151	18,5 30,5 28,8 29,8 27,7 16,1 35,0	962 540 429 283 947 4 017 2 882 14 784	20. 21. 21. 15. 27. 19. 18.

Con tootnotes at and of tebla.

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

County		ervices and secution	Indige	it defense	Cori	rections	Other cri	minal justice
country	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amoun#	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN: BAY BERRIEN CALHOUN GENESEE INGHAM JACKSON KALAMAZOO KENT	190 242 182 1 519 472 257 467 411	4,8 6,3 16,5	94 143 94 257 205 124 165	4.9 2.2 2.2 2.8 4.4 2.6	526 2 479 1 089 2 399 1 320 1 029 2 204 2 786	26.9 49.4 37.6 26.1 26.9 36.1 43.4	67	0.1
MACOMB. HONROE. HUSKEGON. OAKLAND OTTAMA. SAGINAW ST. CLAIR WASHTENAN	1 133 211 221 1 315 66 254 198 417 3 953	11.4 8.8 7.9 7.8 3.4 7.4 7.8 8.0	232 94 105 45 198 87 234 2 621	2,3 3,9 3,7 2,3 5,7 3,4 4,1	1 733 397 1 008 6 540 454 949 799 1 921 20 463	17.4 16.5 35.8 28.8 23.8 27.2 31.3 33.4	171 48 227 42 87 81	2.7 0.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
MINNESOTA: ANOKA DAKOTA HENNEPIN RANSEY, LOUIS	228 126 1 160 645 279	9.6 7.8 6.8 8.0 6.8	43 22 391 84 93	1.8 1.4 2.3 1.0 2.3	325 188 6 360 4 037 2 047	13.7 11.7 37.2 50.3 50.1	122	0,2
MISSISSIPPI: HARRISON	33 49	2.3	31 75	2.1	210 241	14.4	2	
ITSSOURT: CLAY. GREENE. JACKSON JEFFERSON ST. LOUIS	136 151 969 86 1 052	10.2 10.6 8.8 10.2 5.7	4 2 31	0.3	565 210 4 144 99	42.4 14.8 37.5 11.5	1 104	10.0 0.5
EBRASKA: DOUGLAS LANCASTER ,	485 233	10,9	340	7.6	3 600	30.1	232	1,2
EVADA: CLARK WASHOE,	1 181	5,6	421	2.0	3 824	23.7	-	
EW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH. ROCKINGHAM.	64	5.5 3.0	255	5,4	1 025	37.8	11	0.2
EW JERSEY: ATLANTIC. BERGEN. BURLINGTON. CAMDEN. CUMBERLAND. ESSEX. GLOUCESTER. HUSON.	860 1 858 516 1 416 235 4 039 384 1 931	21.5 16.0 18.9 20.5 13.6 13.1 16.7	53	0.2	1 855 3 297 1 155 2 875 727 13 647 890 3 555	20.3 46.3 28.5 42.4 41.7 42.2 44.1 38.6 29.0	68 47 220	1.7 0.7 0.7
MERCER, MIDDLESEX HONMOUTH. HORRIS, OCEAN PASSAIC SOMERSET. UNION	1 163 1 634 786 680 674 1 397 558 2 316	18.5 17.8 16.1 16.7 19.9 16.3 24.0	25	0.3	3 009 3 365 1 840 1 402 946 3 458	47.0 35.6 37.8 34.4 27.9 40.2 38.9	25 138 99 165	0.4 1.5 2.4 1.9
W MEXICO: BERNALILLO	127	24.7			2 787	29.7	158	1.7
W YORKI ALBANY. BROOME. CHAUTAUQUA, CHEMUNG DUTCHESS. ERIE. MONROE. NASSAU. See footnotes at end of table.	440 274 191 127 335 2 240 1 224 4 500	9.3 10.8 9.4 6.8 9.8 11.0 7.6	155 106 114 43 163 693 445 1 202	3.3 4.2 5.6 2.3 4,8 3.4 2,8	2 279 822 722 863 1 031 10 024 5 850 14 157	48.4 32.4 35.5 46.0 30.1 49.1 36.6 12.8	297 24 155 58	0,9

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Total criminal	justice system	Police pro	tectica	Judi	cial
Chasty	Total geseral expenditura	Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system
EW YGRKW-CONTINUED  NIGGARA ONE TOA ONGHORA CRANGE CRANGE RENSUELAER ROCKLAND T, LAMMERCE	69 757 60 209 164 368 50 607 19 759 71 711 22 627	7 490 2 665 11 418 3 924 1 27 2 170 3 925	5, 4, 5, 6, 6, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,	963 507 2 831 432 357 259 938 324	27.6 19.0 24.8 12.4 28.0 11.9 23.9 27.6	1 029 804 1 552 951 3647 942 268	24.0
SARATOGA,	16 072 127 450 407 473 30 844 273 266	2 494	7.0 '6.5 18.9 8.1 9.8	228 91 51 276 268 4 379	20.4 5.1 66.7 10.7 17.1	353 555 8 016 326 3 525	31.1 10.4 13.1
CRIH CAROLINAT BUNCONSE CUMBERLAND URHAN GRSYTH GASTON GILFORD SERLENBURG CHBLOR	42 815 71 815 53 885 64 645 96 772 146 772 146 772 147 705 60 705	3 12A 1 226 2 885 5 222 674	2,9 1,4 4,5 2,6 3,0 3,0	585 1 124 504 655 687 1 056 2 375 389 724	65,9 20,9 56,0 36,6 45,5 57,7	159 401 64 569 213 594 826 102 33	19.6 18.2 17.1 17.2 15.9
ALLEN	17 732 15 046 10 946 6 096 195 711 90 646 19 071 87 887 29 786	1 929 1 218 1 078 24 935 12 451 1 327 12 037	12.8 11.1 12.7 12.7 7.1 15.7	377 534 490 273 2 891 3 257 500 4 208 477 302	27.7 40.3 23.3 11.6 26.2 38.2 23.2	499 73: 36' 431 7 94' 4 60: 47' 4 35: 88: 40	38, 7 30, 9 31, 7 31, 7 31, 8 35, 8 35,
LORATH,	19 C6 36 36 24 45 65 78 19 24 8 51 12 25 147 42 18 25	6 050 2 45 3 1 27 1 57 1 62 3 3 44 6 31	16.7 11.0 12.5 8.2 15.0 15.0	90: 76i 52: 2 06i 43: 1 08i 1 66i 55	12.6 19.5 25.1 27.7 30.4 26.4	2 94 74 53 1 15 2 32	4 44 1 41 7 35 4 32 2 33 36
KLAHCHAI CCHANCHE, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10.04	3 59	13.2	10 64 55	5 18.0	30 1 44 1 44	7 40
REGORI CLACKAMAS	12 47 25 61 12 76 51 14 17 32	A 04 2 57 4 18 18	3 18.0 9 20.2 1 29.7	1 09 1 43 78 5 90 1 35	1 30.8 2 30.3 7 32.5	1 77 63 3 88	79 38 55 24 51 21
PENNOYLVANIA: ALLEGHENY + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	19 82 15 86 5 70 28 41	2 34 2 95 5 1 02 6 01	6 15.0 7 18.7 17 18.0 1.2 13.8	31 3 2 61	6 13.5 2 1.6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	96 40 10 33 15 35 59 36
CHESTER & X & C & A & C & A & C & C & C & C & C & C	15 07 22 ii 38 ii 16 ii 16 9 11 12 9 12 9	34 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	12 19.6 15.5 16.0 19.9 17.3 74 15.5 78 23.3 26 20.5	**	5 9. 17 5. 14 1. 15 2. 17 2. 15 2. 17 2. 17 3. 17 3. 17 3. 17 3. 17 3. 17 3. 17 3. 18 12 1. 18 12 1.	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	73 27 79 30

the topingtes at and of table

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fisc: year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		orvices and secution	indige	nt dolense	Cor	rections	Other cris	ninal justico
County	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amoun t	Percent of total criminal justice system
HEW YORKCONTINUED  NIAGARA ONEIDA. CNONDAGA. ORANGE. OSWEGO. REMSSELAER. ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENGE.	286 307 972 492 129 215 618	8,2 11,5 8,5 14,1 -0,1 9,9 15,7	117 123 415 180 19 76 222 38	3.4 4.6 3.6 5.2 1.5 5.7 5.7 5.7	1 095 924 5 624 1 429 406 973 1 204	71.4 74.7 49.3 41.8 44.8 70.7 75.9	24	0,2
SARATOGA, SCHEMECTADY SCHEMECTADY SUBFOLK ULSTER, WESTCHESTER	149 185 3 535 212 2 251	13.3 10.4 4.6 8.5 8.4	35 40 1 043 49 695	3.1 2.2 1.4 2.0 2.6	353 914 8 352 1 639 7 675	31.6 51.2 10.9 65.7 28.6	4 631 8 091	5,0 2,0¢
HORTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE CUMBERLAND DURHAM FORSYTH GASTON GUILFORD HECKLENGURG ONSLOW WAKE	26 22 13 84 21 54 72 15	2.4 1.1 1.7 2.7 1.9 1.4 2.2 5.0	-	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	316 502 184 386 305 797 1 725 168 230	29.1 24.5 24.1 12.7 27.6 33.9 21.8	1 434 382 222	45.8 13.2 4.3
CHIO: ALLEN BUTLER. CLARK CDLUMBIANA CUYAHOGA FRANKLIN GREENE HAMILTON LAKE LICKING	108 127 95 91 1 356 758 113 586 191	9.1 6.6 7.8 8.4 5.1 8.5 4.6 9.4	21 52 15 36 1 258 186 26 306 23	1.8 2.7 1.2 3.3 5.0 1.5 2.0 2.4 1.1	187 483 216 248 9 207 3 644 205 3 204 467 330	55.78.09 55.78.09 55.78.09 55.78.09 55.78.09 55.78.09	3 32 2 276	0.3 2.6 9.1
LORAIN. LUCAS MAHONING MONTGOMERY PORTAGE RICHLAND STARK SUMMIT. TRUMBULL	266 319 265 645 122 153 266 426	9.6 5.2 9.9 7.8 7.8 7.7 6.8 7.5	35 117 32 80 60 36 101	1.3 1.9 1.2 1.0 3.8 2.2 2.9 2.7	597 2 162 753 2 479 205 410 844 1 894 372	215,4 25,12 280,25 280,25 25,5 200,4	40 6 - - 39	0.4
OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE, OKLAHOMA, TULSA	93 473 392	13.3 13.2 11.1	66 146 199	9,4 4,1 5,6	128 878 948	18.J 24.5 26.8	2	0.1
OREGONY CLACKAMAS LANE HARION HULTNOMAH WASHINGTON	276 616 293 2 245 296	9,8 13,3 11,4 12,3 9,7	72 155 91 753	2.6 3.3 3.5 4.1 3.3	558 662 778 5 335 789	19.9 14.3 30.2 29.3 25.8	60	0.3
PERHSYLVANIA: ALLEGHENY BEAVER, BERKS: BLAIR BUCKS SUTLER, CAMBRIA	2 092 226 253 66 612 86	6.9 9.6 8.5 6.4 10.2 8.7 11.7	656 61 58 36 184 30	2,2 3,5 2,0 3,5 3,1 3,0 3,6	12 556 642 1 408 564 2 486 450 471	41.4 27.4 47.5 54.9 45.9 45.9	17	0,1
CHESTER CUMBERLAND DAUMHIN DELAWARE ERIE FAYETTE FRANKLIN LACKAMANNA LACASTER LAWRENCE LEMIGH	513 99 372 991 309 106 71 209 153 107 219	13.0 7.4 10.5 11.6 9.9 9.0 7.3 10.0 5.7 13.2 8.4	130 26 95 332 114 37 35 64 62 30	3,3 2,1 3,9 5,6 5,6 5,1 2,7 2,7	1 731 776 1 975 3 583 1 493 493 560 880 1 428	43,9 57.8 55.6 47.7 37.4 53.6 27.7 51.5	***************************************	0.1

See footnotes at end of table

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justico system	Police P	rotection	Judio		
County	Total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system		percent of total criminal justice system	
PENNSYLVANIACONTINUED LUZERNE LYCOMING. HERCER, MONTGOMERY, HORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL HASHINGTON KESTMORELAND.	14 376 6 451 5 722 35 473 17 389 7 793 10 540 16 993	2 283 1 078 1 153 7 787 2 480 1 405 1 984 2 636 2 585	20.1 22.0 14.3 18.0 18.8 15.5	107 22 22 65 66 21 8 14 21	1,9 2,0 8,8 2,8 4,1	453 507 3 778 933 654 907 1 389	52.7	
YORK	5 00) 21 84 18 31 30 19 26 83	3 786 3 17' 4 42'	7 17.3 8 14.7	1 4 2 3	9 52. 2 46. 9 32.	5 1 089 5 1 390	28.7 23.7 31.4	
TENNESSEEI HAMILTON	86 09 59 35 168 90 26 33	2 15 38	8 4.1 3 9.1	2 58	30. 19 16.	8 3 51	52.9 22.8 30.7	
TEXASI BELL BEXAR BRAZORIA CAMERON DALLAS. EL PAZO GALL/ZSTON	3 94 42 93 9 13 4 95 114 46 22 47 18 06	1 8 79 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20. 15. 71 27. 58 18. 10 17.	1 6 6 7 7 5 8 6 5 5 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	61 46, 83 20, 55 27, 91 17, 89 26	5 1 98 42 45 7 7 20 74 5 74 9 48	8 22.6 9 29.9 5 33.2 0 34.0 18.9 40.3 16.2	
HARATS.  HIDALGO	7 22 8 66 4 0 5 3 27 7 41 0 10 1	25 2 6 31 1 5 36 1 8 50 3 2 36 7 3 71 4 2	14 30. 17 37. 16 33. 42 11. 63 17.	3277790	357 18 794 18	1 02 43 6 41 5 1 0	39.1 28.7 188 23.0 75 33.2 166 35.9 93 25.6 74 28.6	
UTAH: SALT LAKE		07 79 73	12	7	543 41 224 43 306 26	3.2	51 15.4 71 13. 68 25.4	
VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON FAIRFAX HENRICO PRINCE WILLIAM.	104 1 316 153 58	51 15	353 4 956 17	9 10	780 7 737 6	9.0 1 1 0.2 2 5 7.0 5	33 11. 377 16. 195 15. 193 25.	
WASHINGTONI CLARK KING. KITSAP PIERCE SNOHOHISH SPOKANE YAKIHA	14 163 10 30 26 23	560 20 049 2 148 6 282 5 367 4	246 20 628 1	9 1 7 7 .6 2 .8 1	502 734 315 804 862	6.6 5 0.0 17.2 1 14.4 1	382 30. 414 26. 436 17. 5509 24. 325 25. 067 23. 663 30.	
WEST VIRGINIAL				3.2		27.7	435 32 568 29	
WISCONSINI BROWN DANE KENOSHA HILWAUKEE OUTAGAHIE RATINE ROCK WAUKESHA WINNEBAGO	46 19 280 13 28	801 1 804 20 958 1 062 2 543 2	965 560 366	1.6 9.9 7.3 9.8 8.9	169 828 954 695 022	40.0	503 23 413 26 616 31 805 38 393 28 774 31 615 22 793 29 358 26	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		orvices and secution	Indige	nt dofense	Cor	rections	Other Oriminal Justice	
County	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIACONTINUED LUZERNE LYCOMING MERCER. MONTGOMERY MONTGOMERY SCHUYLKILL WASHINGTON YORK	248 90 108 705 175 142 245 333	10.9 8.3 9.4 9.1 7.1 10.1 12.3 12.6 4.1	83 30 48 266 85 46 49 66	3.6 2.8 4.2 3.4 7.3 2.5 2.5	766 485 453 2 379 1 218 538 701 709 1 134	73.6 45.0 39.3 20.1 28.3 25.3 25.9	24	1.2
SOUTH CAROLINA: ANDERSON. CHARLESTON, GREENVILLE RICHLAND. SPARTANBURG	30 75 96 174 54	2,4 2,1 3,0 3,9 2,5	25 33 73 43	0.7 1.0 1.6 2.0	475 607 822 1 352 520	37.9 16.0 25.9 30.5 23.7	3 7 T H	
TENNESSEE HAMILTON, KNOX, SHELBY, SULLIVAN, SULLIVAN,	124 115 319 20	2,2 4,8 2,1 2,1	286 1	1.9	2 941 299 8 165 123	52.5 12.4 53.1 13.2	509	3,3
TEXAS:  BELL.  BEXAR  BRAZORIA  CAMERON  DALLAS  EL PASO  GALVESTON  HARRIS.	179 1 173 131 185 1 669 767 185 2 941	17.1 13.3 9.1 13.5 7.9 19.5 10.0 11.2	21 285 14 33 543 543 769	0.20.46 0.31-0.46 1.9.9	257 3 238 172 415 5 783 1 286 370 6 751	24,6 36,8 12,0 30,3 27,3 32,6 20,0	65 468 26 108 453 27 1.409	6.55 1.55 1.55 11.50 11.50 11.50
HIDALGO . JEFFERSON . LUBBOCK . MCLENNAN . NUECES . TARRANT . TRAVIS WICHITA	192 408 311 133 273 1 155 401 71	13.9 15.6 20.5 7.3 8.4 15.7 9.4	34 48 18 22 67 150 118	2.1 2.0 2.8	436 515 369 833 749 1 886 1 832	31.6 19.7 24.3 45.9 25.1 25.6 42.9 32.2	21 75 445 99 34 14	0.8 4.9 13.7 1.3 0.8 1.5
UTAH: SALT LAKE UTAH, WEBER	654 102 124	10,6 19,7 11,7	184 24 60	3.0 4.6 5.6	1 863 98 304	30.1 18.9 28.6	* 3 - 1	:
VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON FAIRFAX HENRICO PRINCE WILLIAM	215 523 177 128	2.2 3.4 4.5 5.5	73 -	0.5	1 542 1 279 447 285	16.1 6.3 11.3 12.2	01 121 -	0.9
*ASHINGTON: CLARK KING KITSAP PIERCE SNOHOMISH YAKIMA	204 1 616 174 579 481 393 244	7.0 7.9 7.1 9.3 9.2 8.5	56 841 41 155 152 153 83	1.9 4.17 2.59 2.38	553 5 010 1 037 1 594 1 438 1 140	18.9 24.4 25.4 25.4 27.6 24.6 27.7	134 21 73 46 11	0.7 0.9 1.2 0.2
WEST VIRGINIA: CABELL	173 208	12.8 10.9	6 6	0.4 0.3	366 880	27.0 46.2		3
WISCONSIN: BHOWN DANE KENOSHA MILWAUKEE OUTAGAMIE RACINE. ROCK WAUKESHA WINNEBAGO	101 629 152 1 646 118 220 174 194 124	4.7 11.6 7.7 8.0 8.8 6.4 7.1	48 124 78 758 18 182 74 70 45	4.0 3.7 1.3 7.3 2.7 2.6	318 1 083 291 6 799 142 297 912 467 151	14.9 20.8 14.8 33.1 10.4 11.9 33.5 17.2	98 2 2 7 7 7	0.5

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

The total general expenditure data shown in the first column, are for fiscal year 1873; therefore, the percent of total general expenditure accounted for by total criminal justice expenditure, shown in the third column, is everstated.

#### Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

				Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	otection	Judi	cial
@PF/PRINGER-ADDRESS 942 at Jacob	County		Total direct expanditure	Amount	Porcent of total direct expenditure	<b>А</b> шоидt	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	,		18 808 394	2 496 331	13,3	791 548	31.7	653 133	26.2
MADISON , MOBILE , MONIGOMERY	4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 336 48 306 8 109 15 844 6 836 5 847	829 7 034 1 533 3 995 1 642 1 168	24.8 14.6 18.9 25.2 24.0 20.0	205 2 219 456 1 077 535 370	24.7 31.5 29.7 27.0 32.6 31.7	347 2 359 633 1 314 615 486	41.3 32.9 37.5
ALASKAT GREATER AN	CHORAGE		127 923	480	0.4		-		-
ARIZONA: MARICOPA, PIMA,		* * * * * *	119 611 64 979	24 841 13 094	20.8	5 490 4 369	22.1 33.4	6 950 2 774	
ARKANSASI PULASKI			8 325	2 468	29.6	576	23,3	791	32,1
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA BUTTE CONTRA COS FRESNO, KERN, LOS ANGELE	TA, , , , ,	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	264 292 33 245 162 070 128 680 116 867 2 363 939 50 307 40 506	45 665 3 229 24 873 14 862 14 703 324 912 9 265 2 875	17.3 9.7 15.5 11.5 12.5 13.7 18.4	6 986 957 5 720 4 310 5 008 102 768 1 819 752	15,3 29,6 23,0 29,0 34,1 31,6 19,6	10 210 685 4 923 3 143 2 416 78 447 2 523 656	21,2 19,8 21,1 16,4 24,1 27,2
HIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO SAN BERNARI SAN DIEGO	DINO	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	61 797 251 682 171 788 214 282 202 734 289 339 99 125 32 999	8 754 52 357 23 741 35 295 31 641 59 841 13 566 4 346	14,2 20,8 13,8 16,5 15,6 20,7 13,7	2 661 9 564 7 103 12 104 9 388 11 936 4 124 1 716	30.4 18.3 29.9 34.3 29.7 19.9 30.4 39.5	1 644 13 724 4 174 6 639 6 803 16 010 2 483	17.6 18.8 21.5 26.8 18.3
SANTA CRUZ SANTA CRUZ SQLANO. SONOHA. STANISLAUS	ARA	6	123 447 73 053 285 693 37 338 39 530 71 994 59 805 64 865 110 973	24 058 12 859 38 698 5 462 6 080 9 490 7 286 7 651 18 000	19,5 17,5 14,5 14,4 15,4 12,2 11,8	5 256 4 240 9 569 1 738 1 069 2 522 1 736 2 6257	21.8 33.0 24.7 31.6 17.6 26.6 23.6 34.6	4 715 1 863 8 506 1 023 1 603 1 474 1 619 1 385	22.0 18.7 26.4 15.5 22.2
COLOD+DO:	# # # # # # # # W # # # # # # # # # # #		21 211 11 314 12 445 26 655 16 480 17 368	2 044 1 758 1 440 4 101 2 122 797	9,6 15,5 11,6 15,4 12,9 4,6	1 273 1 047 719 938 1 072 217	62.3 59.6 49.9 22.9 50.5 27.2	92 153 149 125 317	4.# 8.7 10.3 14.9
DELAWARET			<b>.</b>	3 743	14.4	2 922	78.1	723	19.3
BROWARD DADE ESCAMBIA HILLSBOROU	igh	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	15 566 23 746 46 988 332 106 14 917 76 984 13 413	3 086 4 153 10 430 46 279 4 081 11 034 2 239	19.5.2.9 17.2.2.127.4.1.7 14.7	1 809 1 791 3 908 22 758 2 016 2 179 1 264	58.6 43.1 37.5 49.2 49.7 56.5	533 1 492 3 427 12 105 1 053 5 322 578	32.9 26.2 25.8
E THE FER THE			7 017 37 894 39 612 36 208 21 792 11 402 14 661	2 776 7 450 9 033 6 623 4 491 2 829 3 312	39.7 122.8 18.6 18.6 204.6	1 471 4 068 5 082 3 737 2 179 1 507 1 083	53.0 54.6 56.3 56.4 48.5 32.7	902 1 842 1 665 1 133 1 941 1 162	33.3
DE KALE			11 566 17 762 26 722 57 778 60 483 11 396	2 875 3 206 4 395 9 576 13 954 3 444	24.9 16.4 16.6 25.1	815 1 093 2 502 5 586 336 1 440	28.3 34.1 56.9 58.3 2.4 41.8	850 1 200 929 2 020 6 123 885	43.9

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

County	Logal s	ervices and ecution		nt defense		rections	Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of
TOTAL	207 968	<b>2,8</b>	64 909	2,6	738 520	29.6	<b>40 253</b>	Justice system
JEFFERSON MADISON MOBILE MONTGOPERY TUSCALOOSA	23 396 164 104 48 58	2.8 5.6 10.7 2.6 2.9 5.0		*	254 1 968 280 1 500 444	30.6 28.0 18.3 37.5 27.0	. 92 -	1,3
ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	480	100.0			254	21.7		Ī
ARIZONA: MARICOPA. PIMA.	1 899 1 458	7.6 11.1	1 208	4.9	9 294	37.4	-	•
ARKANSAS; PULASKI	339		621	4,7	9 294 3 872	29.6	-	
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA	1	13,7	109	4.4	653	26.5	-	
CONTRA COSTA, FRESNO, KERN, LOS ANGELES MARIN MERCED	4 435 386 2 757 1 326 2 371 24 002 788 336	9,7 12.0 11.1 8.9 9.3 7.4 8.5 11.7	2 556 166 936 486 457 11 712 407 83	5.6 5.1 3.8 3.3 3.1 3.6 4.4	21 478 1 035 10 537 5 595 5 451 106 773 3 381 1 048	47.0 32.1 42.4 37.6 37.1 32.9 36.5	1 210 347	0.4 3.7
MONTEREY. ORANGE. RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO. SAN BERNARDINO. SAN DIEGO SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 027 5 112 2 233 4 142 2 592 5 548 1 393 628	11,7 9.8 9.4 11.7 8.2 9.3 10.3 14.5	429 1 766 789 1 149 868 3 192 765 119	4.9 3.4 3.3 2.7 5.3 2.7	2 993 21 396 9 442 11 212 11 060 22 841 4 801	34.2 40.9 39.8 31.8 35.0 38.2	795 49 930 321	1.5 0.1 2.9 0.5
SAN MATEO SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ SOLANG SONOMA STANISLAUS TULARE VENTURA	1 570 1 336 4 127 823 508 783 696 846 2 049	6.5 10.4 10.7 15.1 8.4 8.3 9.6	851 453 1 435 196 354 307 211 328	3.5 3.5 7.6 8 2.2 2.2 4.3	1 040 10 890 4 967 14 876 1 682 2 546 4 404 2 888 2 485	23.9 45.3 38.6 38.4 30.8 41.9 46.4 39.6	776 165	0.1 3.2 0.5
OLORADO:		11.4	634	3.5	5 042	32.6 28.0	136	0.8
ARAPAHOE BOULDER EL PASO JEFFERSON PUEBLO	460 309 333 645 468 274	22.5 17.6 23.1 15.7 22.1 34.4		11111	219 249 239 2 393 265	10.7 14.2 16.6 58.4 12.5		
LAWARE: NEW CASTLE.	98	2,6		-	192	24.1	104	13.0
ORIDA: ALACHUA	164	1				-	-	
BREVARD BROWARD DADE ESCAMBIA HILLSBOROUGH	249 400 1 211 155 512 48	5,3 6.0 3,8 2,6 3,8 4,6 2,1	16 27 11 239 63 57 18	0.5 0.7 0.1 0.5 1.5 0.5 0.8	558 594 2 684 6 857 794 2 869	18.1 14.3 25.7 14.8 19.5 26.0	3 109 85	0.2 5.7 0.8
LEON, PALM BEACH, PINELLAS, POLK, SARASOTA, OLUSIA	89 357 426 315 329 91 172	3.2 4.8 4.7 4.8 7.3 7.2 5.2	6 12 50 39 16	0.2 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.1	308 1 171 1 585 862 834 287	14.8 11.1 15.7 17.5 13.0 18.6	225	2.5 [.1]
ORGIA: JIBB.	243 244 182 481 1 683 182	9.5 7.6 5.0 12.1	45 66 92 111 312	1,0 2,1 2,1 1,2 2,2	571 603 690 1 378 5 500 937	19.9 18.8 15.7 14.4 29.4	351	12.2

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

				Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	rotestion	Judi	cial
	County		Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO!			4 007	1 572	34,1	565	35,9	419	26.7
LLINGIGA CHAMPAIGN COGK DU PAGE KAHE LAKE LA SALLE. MCHENRY HCLEAN			8 059 455 980 37 536 12 235 24 398 7 209 7 346 6 725	1 564 84 312 5 048 2 823 3 927 1 025 1 701 1 237	19.4 18.4 13.1 16.1 14.2 23.4	563 11 561 1 585 838 1 694 393 817 426	36.0 13.7 31.4 29.7 43.1 38.3 48.0 34.4	326 38 612 1 399 632 898 281 407	20,8 45,8 27,7 22,4 22,9 27,3 16,5 32,9
MACOH MADISON	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		5 685 10 476 8 784 8 802 22 065 6 170 4 857 12 089	1 476 2 793 2 233 1 763 2 557 1 943 993 2 663 3 163	26.0 26.7 25.4 20.0 11.6 31.5 20.5 22.0 20.0	576 874 953 634 817 747 394 1 161 1 310	39.0 31.3 42.7 36.0 38.0 38.7 49.6 41.4	378 910 403 311 703 354 278 748 643	18.0 17.6 27.5 18.2 28.0 28.1
LAKE. LA PORTE, MADISON . ST. JOSEPI TIPPECANOI VANDERBURI	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		24 706 8 596 11 006 60 776 7 070 10 506 17 466 8 390 12 356 8 318	1 846 1 897	14.2 9.3 15.2 10.9 13.5 10.1 15.4	1 235 337 527 2 103 283 248 547 364 551	33,8 37,1 26,3 21,7 23,0 29,0	911 327 523 1 697 307 426 491 163 491 561	27,3 33.6 30.0 28.5 37.2 37.2 21.5 26.3
OWAL BLACK HAW LINN. POLK. SCOTT WGODBURY.		4	8 496 15 016 24 771 9 103 8 066	1 478 5 736 1 359	9.8 23.2 14.9	292 343 676 359 168	23.2 11.8 26.4	537 497 1 478 498 498	33.4 25.4 36.4
CANSASI NOCHOCH SEDGATICK, SHAWARE TOOMAYA		1	18 933 18 594 7 223 7 557	3 840 1 768	20.7	685 854 28 32	22.2	92 1 50 68 79	4 39. 4 38.
ENTUCKYI FAYETTE . JEFFERSON KENTON		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	4 243 65 011 23 39	13 843	21,3	2 05: 6 95 43	50.2	24 95 9	2 6.
OUISTANAT CAODO CALCASTEL JEFFERSON LAFAYETTE OUACHITA RAPIOES	N	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9 584 16 497 77 63 5 95 5 97 6 48	3 220 5 10 57 7 1 88 6 1 89	19.6 1 13.6 31.6	2 07 7 02 1 10	7 64.4 5 66.5 8 58.8 5 40.3	44 43 2 31 30 52 23	8 13. 8 21. 7 16. 1 27.
HAINE L CUMBERLAI PENOBSCO YORK,	4	* * * * * * *	1 38	5 63	8 40,1	. 1.3	6 26.3 7 21.5 0 17.0	34 32 25	2 50.
HARYLANDI	ww.e.		196 14	7 21 48 9 1 60 9 20 73 1 20 86	7 2 5 5	18 16 5 5 14 76 1 16 08	7 84.5 8 32.3 1 71.2 14 77.1	1 12 4 1 92 2 3	26 5. 50 28. 29 9. 35 11
MASSACHUSE BERKSHIR ORISTOL ESSEX , HAMPDEN MAHRSHIR HIDDLESE HORFOLK PLYMOUTH	TTSE	1	2 16 6 46 9 04 4 42 35 65 11 67	5 07 6 5 97 15 07 4 86	19 61, 52, 72 66, 19 29, 10 42, 12 41, 14 63,	7 9 0 4 1 2 4 1 1	31 2,4 32 1.3 370 1.6 6 1.2 53 1.2 56 0.9 66 2,4	2 5 5 5 8 5 2 8	58 18 51 49 56 74 44 56

Hes to the se waterious and

## Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		ervices and ecution	Indigo	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other cri	minol justice
County	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO: ADA	171	10.9	79	5.0	338	21,5	•	
ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN COOK. DU PAGE KANE LAKE LA SALLE MCHENRY HCLEAN.	224 7 6623 633 284 479 144 175	14.3 9.1 12.5 10.1 12.2 14.0 10.3	77 2 255 245 51 87 34 47	4.9 2.7 4.9 1.8 2.2 3.3 2.8 4.0	366 23 107 1 186 966 692 174 381 216	27.5 27.5 27.5 27.5 27.6 27.6 27.6 27.6 27.6	1 115 52 77	0.5 1.3 1.8 2.0
MACON	216 259 273 208 256 281 157 328 350	14,6 9,3 12,2 11,8 10,0 14,5 15,8 12,3 11,1	62 89 67 27 114 44 26 98	4,2 3,2 3,0 1,5 2,3 2,6 3,7 3,7	244 652 534 549 667 516 138 328 742	127.9 127.11 127.11 126.6 17.7 127.5	9 3 34 1	0.3 6.1 1.9 0.1
INDIANA: ALLEN DELAWARE. ELKHART LAKE. LA PORTE MADISON ST. JOSEPH TIPPECANOE. VANDERBURGH VIGO.	247 68 64 579 81 64 180 51 185	6.6 5.7 4.1 10.2 7.5 6.0 9.8	150 46 31 51 21 40 25 19 82 32	4.0 3.6 2.0 0.9 1.9 3.5 1.1 2.2 4.3	1 207 402 413 874 385 360 652 230 571 624	32.2 33.5 26.5 15.4 35.7 31.6 27.6 27.2 30.1	19 358 	1.6 6.3 2.8 0.5
LOWA: BLACK HAWK	132 141 360 128 177	8.0 9.5 6.3 9.4 15.3	111 78 194 65 80	6.7 5.3 3.4 4.8 6.9	575 423 2 975 304 232	34.9 28.6 51.9 22.4 20.1	53 7 -	0.9
KANSAS: JOHNSON	308 439 172 232	12.8 11.4 9.7 13.4	14	0.6	458 1 015 583 370	19.0 26.4 33.0 21.4	22 28 44	0.9 0.7 2.5
KENTUCKY1 FAVETTE	135 536 136	3.9 3,9 13,5	83 45	2.4 4.5	934 2 796 306	27.1 20.2 30.3	2 607	18.8
LOUISIANA CADDO CALCASIEU	125 211 457 73 250 108	3.8 6.5 4.3 3.9 13.2 5.5	53 10 7 80 28 25	1.6 0.3 0.1 4.2 1.5	899 490 764 316 335 270	27.5 15.2 7.2 16.8 17.6 13.7	57	2.9
MAINE: CUMBERLAND	36 34 20	3.6 5.3 4.3	51 50 10	5.0 7.8 2.1	292 95 101	28.9 14.9 21.5	si si	2,1
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL. BALTIMORE HARFORD HONTGOMERY PRINCE GEORGES WASHINGTON	580 918 246 939 1 273	6.7 4.3 15.3 4.5 6.1 12.5	32 3 1	(2)	549 1 278 357 1 570 1 161 309	6,3 5,9 22,3 7,6 5,6 42.9	1 535 14	7.4 0.1
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE BRISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE MIDDLESEX NORFOLK PLYMOUTH WORCESTER  See footnotes at and of table.	40 66 173 234 39 486 525 166	3.0 3.2 10.8 3.7	152 8 272	2.5 0.6 1.8	636 1 424 2 255 2 167 662 5 586 1 434 1 842 3 891	1 41.4		

#### Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fis/cal year 1974—Continued

		Total criminal .	Justico system	Police pr	otection	Judicial	
Coanty	Total direct expanditure	Arount	Forcent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ICHIGAE:  RAY  CALHOUM  CREEEF  JACKSON  KALAMAZOO  KALAMAZOO	15 092 25 089 12 081 50 259 26 278 20 255 16 113	1 889 4 818 2 862 4 861 2 693 5 057 6 202	12.5 19.2 23.7 13.2 13.2 13.3 31.4	536 878 526 1 970 1 099 627 1 022 1 490	28.4 18.2 10.3 22.5 20.2 24.0	606 1 273 1 003 2 916 1 802 810 1 222 1 268	32.1 26.4 35.0 37.1 30.1 24.2 20.4
MACOMA.  HONRCE HUSKEGON, OAKLAND OAKLAND SAGINAN ST, CLAIR MASHTEHAN WAYNE	53 E12 10 023 28 901 121 809 29 971 16 3752 29 806	9 904 2 330 2 716 16 802 3 426 5 716 46 346	18.4.2.4.8.7.9.4.8.7.7.1.1.5.4.5.1.5	3 181 896 488 2 747 764 881 594 1 197 4 223	16.4 40.6 25.7 24.3 20.9	3 515 787 992 6 038 560 1 208 876 1 895 17 606	36.9 33.3 36.9 29.8 35.2 35.8 33.2 36.0
INNESOTAL ANOKA	20 197 18 193 246 853 120 673 60 788	2 222 1 578 16 749 8 033 3 528	11.0 8.7 6.8 6.6	857 496 3 852 1 748 853	31.4 23.0 21.8	769 769 5 127 1 506 800	48.7 30.6 18.7
HESTSSIPPI: HARRISON	15 409 16 762			32 <sup>1</sup>		736 638	
GESOURIS CLAY. GHEEKS. JEFFERSON JEFFERSON ST, LOUIS	3 763 5 016 39 025 3 078 77 593	1 421 10 970 860	28.1 28.1 27.9	36! 57: 97. 36: 9 17.	40.3 8.9 42.5	264 488 3 852 305 4 493	34. 35. 35.
EBRASKA: DOUGLAS	46 403 13 53/			76 34	7 17.2	1 522 671	34
EVADA: CLARK * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	93 460 34 220		22.4	13 77 1 75		1 74) 95)	
EW MAMPSHIRE: MILLSBORGUGH	9 00			16 30		49 26	
NEW JERSFY! ATLANTIC. HERGEN HURL HROTON, CAMDEN CUMBERLAND ESSEX GLOUCESTER	26 21 96 87 36 17 103 05 21 16 243 42 19 62	9 11 58 9 2 72 9 6 89 1 72 7 30 91 7 2 30	12.0 7.5 6.7 8.1 12.7 6 12.7	2 15	18.6 6.7 55 8.6 70 14.1 20 21.2	83 4 27 2 00 59 8 58 4 59	1 36 1 32 8 29 6 34 3 27 2 23
HUGSON, HERCER, HIDLESEX HONDOUTH, HORRIS, OCEAN PASSAIC SOMERSET,	54 85 81 01 70 14 43 68 37 25 67 15 58 76	6 40 9 19 6 4 85 77 3 38 8 8 32 8 8 5 8 8 32	11.7 6 11.3 5 6.5 9.1	1 3 5 7 7 7 7 7 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	72 10.5 29 14.5 02 12.4 96 19.6 09 20.9 56 8.8 55 11.0	1 63	19 3: 19 3: 19 3: 19 3:
NEW MEXICOL BERNALILLO	. 11 31	57 2 79	24.	7 15	39 55.1		55
NEW YURKI ALBANY, BROOME, CHAUTAUQUA, GREMUNG OUTPURESS, HONROE MASSAUL	15 87 6 6 6 9 9 9 6 6 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	15 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	59 4. 54 5. 71 8.	30 30	001 37.0	2 9 4 0	82

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		services and secution	Inalger	t defense	Corr	ections	Other cri	minal justica
County	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total cristual justice system
MICHIGAN:  BAY  AERRIEN  CALHOUN  INGKAM  JACKSON  KALAMAZOO  KENT	190 242 182 1 519 472 257 467 411	17.2 9.7	761 257 208 124 165 165 165	5.0 3.3 2.2 4.6 3.6	463 2 282 2 1057 2 117 1 2875 2 701 2 701	24.4 47.9 36.9 25.9 26.5 43.6	67	0.8
MACOMB. MONROE. MUNKEGON. OAKLAND OTTAWA. SAGINAW ST, CLAIR MASHTENAW WASHENAW	1 133 211 221 1 315 254 254 254 198 417 3 953	7.5 8.1 7.3	232 93 105 45 198 87 234 2 621	2.3 4.0 3.9 2.4 3.6 3.6 5.7	1 675 904 6 473 407 8691 1 886	17.1 14.7 338.5 21.6 25.8 25.8 25.8 25.8 25.8 25.8 25.8 25.8	48  227 40  87 81	1.5 0.2 1.5 0.2
INNESOTA: ANOKA ANOKA DAKOTA HENNEPIN RAMSEY ST. LOUIS	228 125 1 160 643 279		43 301 84 93	1.9 2.3 1.0 2.6	325 188 6 097 4 037 1 503	14,6 11,9 36,4 50,3 42,6	122 13	0.7
HISSISSIPPI: HARRISON,	33 49	2,3 3,7	31 75	2,1 5,7	541 510	14.4 19.2		-
ISSOURI: CLAY	136 151 969 88 1 052	10.6 8.9 10.9	4 2 - 31	0.1 0.1 0.2	561 208 4 074 97 3 600	42.2 14.6 37.1 11.3 19.4	1 104 4 232	10.1 0.5 1.2
EBRASKA: DOUGLAS	485 233	10.9 13.6	340 83	7,6 4,9	1 339 359	1,00 21,2	<u>.</u>	
EVADA: CLARK	1 181 749	5.6 15.8	421 255	2.0 5.4	3 R24 1 025	t.hi 6.15	11	0.2
EW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH,	64	8,8 3,0	u	-	439 148	17.8 20.1	**	•
EW JERSEY! ATLANTIC, BERGEN, RURLINGTON, CAMDEN, COMBERLAND, ESSEX GLOUCESTER, HUDSON,	1 858 1 858 1 416 2 25 4 039 384 1 931	16.0 10.9 20.5 13.6	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 855 3 297 1 155 2 868 727 13 647 3 555	46.5 42.46 41.46 42.46 42.46 440.40 440.40 440.40	.60 47 220 **	0.7
HERCER, MIDDLESEX MONMOUTH HORRIS, OCEAN PASSAIC SOMERSET, UNIOM	1 183 1 534 786 680 674 1 397 558 2 316	16.7 19.9 16.3 24.0	25 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0,3 0,1  0,2	3 009 3 369 1 823 1 905 3 458 905 2 787	27.9 40.2 38.9	25 138 99 165 168	0.4 1.5 2.4 1.7
EW MEXICOL BERNALILLO	127	4,5	<b>4</b>	*	767	27,4	297	10.6
NEY YCRK: ALPANY, AROCHE, CHAUTAUQUA, CHE MUNG. DUTCHESS, FRIE. NONROE, NASSAU,	274 171 127 235 2 240 1 224 4 500	10.8 9.8 7.6 10.2 11.5 A.1	155 106 114 43 163 693 445 1 202	7.4 4.2 5.9 2.0 5.0 5.0 2.4	2 114 6212 632 631 631 631 631 631 631 631 631 631 631	26.91	24 	0,9 0,6 0,1

## Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

			Total criminal	justico system	Police pr	otection	Judi	cial
County	·	Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
HE YORK-CONTINUED HIAGARA ONE TOA ONONDAGA ONANGE OSMEGO REMSSELAER ROCKLAND ST, LAWRENGE	) . ,	96 934 59 393 145 622 56 169 19 759 40 795 77 023 21 137	3 345 2 516 10 318 3 240 1 240 2 070 3 911 1 163	92183115 947.56555	963 507 2 811 432 357 259 938 324	28.8 207.23 273.8 207.3 28.5 27.9	1 027 804 1 552 947 364 647 928	30.7 32.0 15.0 29.2 29.4 31.3 23.7 24.8
SARATOGA		14 987 127 314 400 866 29 760 269 864	1 038 1 525 76 706 2 360 26 816	6.9 15.6 19.1 7.9 9.9	228 91 51 276 268 4 579	22.0 6.0 66.8 11.4 17.1	353 555 8 016 326 3 525	34.0 36.4 10.5 13.8 13.1
NORTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE. CUMBERLAND, DURHAM. FORSYTH GASTON. GUILFORD. MECKLENBURG ONSLOW. WAKE.		41 029 68 927 54 129 68 723 45 541 92 476 142 231 22 258 77 663	1 086 1 990 765 3 128 1 226 2 885 5 169 664 972	2.946.7 2.14.7 2.15.0 2	585 1 065 504 695 687 1 056 2 322 389 656	58,6	159 401 64 569 213 596 828 102	17.4 20.7 16.0 15.4
OHIO1 ALLEN BUTLER. CLARK COLUMBIANA. CUYAHOGA. FRANKLIN. GREENE HAMILTON. LANE LICKING		13 354 14 553 10 772 6 071 191 457 88 950 19 015 77 972 29 781 6 659	1 179 1 929 1 214 1 078 21 810 12 294 1 327 11 097 2 037 1 138	8,8 13,3 11,3 17,8 11,4 13,4 7,0 14,2 6,8	377 534 489 273 2 891 3 257 507 2 934 473 302	40.3 25.3 13.3 26.5 26.4 26.4 23.2	490 733 357 930 7 947 4 606 476 9 351 883 402	38.0 30.2 39.9 36.4 37.5 35.9 39.2 43.3
LGRAIN. LUCAS. MAHONING. MONTGOHERY. PORTAGE RICHLAND. STARK. SUMMIT.		19 068 35 401 24 275 63 075 14 210 6 464 122 347 45 468 18 188	1 526 1 545 3 430 6 282	18,3 15,3 13,8	903 768 522 2 060 436 476 1 0867 1 556	12,8 19,5 26,6 30,8 30,8 30,5 26,5	937 2 714 1 111 2 947 744 502 1 152 2 323 1 076	45,1 41,4 37,2 48,6 32,5 33,6 37,0
OKLAHOMAI COMANCHE,		8 855 23 467 16 619	3 118	13.3	108 645 558	20.7	301 1 44 1 15	7 46.4
OREGON: CLACKAMAS LANE. MARION. HULTNOMAH WASHINGTON.	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	11 543 23 212 12 003 55 975 16 941	4 643 2 579 18 074	20.0 21.5 32.3	1 097 1 431 782 5 907 1 351	30.8 30.3 7 32.7	635 3 776	38.3 24.6 4 20.9
BERKS		165 831 14 462 15 109 5 323 23 956 6 566 8 956	2 187 2 563 916 5 616 862	15.1 17.0 17.2 23.4 13.1	1 52	14.4 2.0 2.3 10.9 7.3	1 08	49.4 46.7 37.1 37.7 9
CHESTER CUMBERLAND DAUPHIN DELAWARE ERIE FAYETTE FRANKLIN. LACKAWANNA LANCASTER LAWRENCE LEHIGH		3 45	3 043 7 740 2 839 950 901 1 805 2 65 7 7 7 75	19.2 14.4 21.6.9 14.4 22.1 11.9 21.9	70 2: 1 4	7488752.7523.53.53.53.53.53.53.53.53.53.53.53.53.53	1 19 37 1 07 3 20 1 13 50 29 89 94 42	30.3 35.5 41.4 40.0 53.1 49.4 49.4 41.4 45.1

See footnotes at and of table

## Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		ervices and		thousands)	Cor	rections	Other criminal justice	
County	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Argunt	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Porcent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Forcent of total criminal Justice system
NEW YORKCONTINUED NIAGARA ONEIDA. ONONDAGA. ORANGE. OSWEGO. RENSSELAER. ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENCE.	286 307 972 492 129 215 61d	8.6 12.2 9.4 15.4 10.4 15.8 8.8	117 123 415 180 19 76 222 38	3.9 4.0 5.55 3.77 5.3	952 775 4 544 1 169 371 873 1 204 411	28.5 30.8 44.0 36.7 29.9 42.2 30.8	24	0,2
SARATOGA	149 185 3 535 212 2 251	14,4 12,1 4,6 9,0 8,4	35 40 1 043 49 695	3.4 2.6 1.4 2.1 2.5	273 654 8 205 1 505 7 675	26.3 42.7 10.7 63.8 28.6	4 63 <u>1</u> 8 091	6.0 30.2
NORTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE. CUMBERLAND. DURHAM. FORSYTH GASTON. GUILFORD. MECKLENBURG ONSLOW. WAKE.	26 22 13 84 21 54 72 15	2.1 1.7 2.7 1.9 1.43 25.5	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	316 502 184 386 305 797 1 725 158 230	29.1 25.2 24.1 12.3 24.9 27.6 33.4 23.7	1 434 382 222	45.8 13.2 4.3
OHIO: ALLEN BUTLER. CLARK COLUMBIANA CUYPHOGA FRANKLIN GREENE HAMILTON LAKE LICKING	108 127 95 91 1 356 758 113 535 191	9.2 6.6 78.4 6.2 6.5 8.8 9.4	21 52 15 36 1 258 186 26 306 23	1.2.7.3.5.5.0.8.1.5.0.8.1.5.1.5.0.8.1.5.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	180 483 216 248 6 082 3 487 205 2 964 467 330	15.0 157.0 157.3 278.4 278.4 262.9 29.9	2 276 7	0,3 2,6 10,4
CORAIN. LUCAS MAHDNING MONTGOMERY PORTAGE RICHLAND STARK SUMMIT. TRUMBULL	266 319 265 645 122 153 266 426 170	9.6 5.3 9.9 8.0 9.9 7.8 6.8	35 117 32 80 60 36 101	1.3 1.9 1.2 1.0 3.9 2.3 2.4	597 2 105 753 2 189 164 378 831 1 866 372	21.5 34.9 28.1 27.6 10.7 24.5 24.2 29.7	39    40	1.4
OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE, OKLAHOMA	13	2,1	66 146 199	10.7 4.7 6.9	128 878 948	20.7 28.2 33.1	2	0.1
OREGON: CLACKAMAS LANE, HARION, MULTNOMAH WASHINGTON,	276 616 293 2 245 296	9.8 13,3 11.4 12.4 9.7	72 165 91 753 100	2.6 3.3 3.5 4.2 3.3	558 662 778 5 335 789	19.9 14.3 30.2 29.5 25.8	60	0.3
PENNSYLVANIAI ALLEGHENY BEAVER BERKS BLAIR BUCKS BUTLEH CAMBRIA	2 092 226 253 66 612 86 160	7.4 10.3 9.9 7.2 10.9 10.0 12.3	656 81 58 36 184 30	2.3 3.7 2.3 3.9 3.3 3.5 3.8	10 495 483 1 004 453 2 091 324 403	37.1 22.1 39.2 49.5 37.2 37.6 31.0	17	0.1
CHESTER CUMBERLAND DAUPHIN DELAWARE ERIE FAYETTE FRANKLIN LAGKAWANNA LANCASTER LAMRENCE LEHIGH	513 99 372 991 309 106 71 209 153 107 219	13.7 8.0 12.2 12.8 10.9 11.2 7.9 11.6 6.8 14,1	130 28 95 332 114 37 35 64 62 30	5,5 2,3 3,1 4,0 3,9 3,9 3,5 2,7	1 519 1 463 2 804 1 2078 484 5 925 1 772 1 051	40.7 53.9 48.1 36.2 42.4 29.3 53.7 33.1 45.3	i.	0.1

#### Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total criminal	justice system	Police pr	otection	Judicial		
Estility	Total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	
PENNSYLVANIA-CONTINUED LUZERNE LYCOMING. HERCER HONTGGMERY. HORTHAMPTON SCHWYKNIL, WASHINGTON. WESTHORELAND.	13 751 6 005 5 570 31 636 16 250 7 7 646 10 165 15 646	2 284 1 233 1 752	15.4 16.6 19.6 27.6 14.9 17.2 15.4 26.0	107 20 23 659 25 82 141 216	5.1 2.0 2.2 8.8 3.0 2.0 4.7 5.5	1 079 453 507 3 778 933 654 907 1 389 1 092	51.1 45.8 48.0 50.7 40.8 53.0 51.8 57.8	
SOUTH CARGLINA: ANDERSON, CHARLESTON, GREENVILLE RICHLAND, SPARTANDURG	4 816 21 348 18 168 30 199 26 834	1 251 3 768 3 177 4 317 1 962	26,0 17.6 17.5 14.3 7,3	356 1 989 1 472 1 328 689	28.5 52.5 46.3 30.8 35,1	390 1 089 754 1 390 821	31.2 28.7 23.7 32.2 41.8	
TEMPLASEET HAMILTON, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	73 179 41 502 110 460 20 908	5 604 2 418 15 165 933	7.7 5,8 13.7 4.5	1 131 725 2 436 503	20.2 30.0 16.1 53.9	1 408 1 279 3 515 286	25.1 52.9 23.2 30.7	
TEXAS;  OFLU.  OF AGR  OF AGR  CAMERON  OALLAS  EL PASO  GALVEGTON  HARRIS.	3 940 41 435 9 025 4 952 114 362 21 897 18 061	5 799 1 407 1 370 21 158 3 940 1 846	26.3 21.2 15.6 27.7 18.5 18.0 10.2 23.6	194 1 661 283 5 855 691 489 4 821	17.5	328 1 988 429 455 7 200 743 744 9 480	22.6 30.5 33.2 34.0 18.9 40.3	
HIDALGO , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 257 8 618 4 081 5 350 27 684 40 823 10 171 2 812	2 593 1 485 1 816 3 240 7 363 4 238	19,0 30,1 36,4 33,9 11.7 18.0 41.7 34.0	231 601 308 410 633 1 357 794 269	22,6 19.5 18.4 18.7	486 1 021 436 418 1 074 2 716 1 093 274	39,4 29,4 23,0 33,2 36,9 25,8	
UYAHE SALT LAKE UTAH, TANE	137 207 4 079 8 199	519	16.6 12.7 13.0	2 543 224 306	43.2	951 71 268	13.7	
VIRGINIAL ARLINGTON , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	310 985	15 287 3 939	9.5 4.9 17.4 3.7	6 620 10 780 2 737 1 336	70.5 69.5	723 2 577 595 469	16.9 15.1	
WASHINGTON: GLARK KING. KITSAP PIERCE GNOHORISH GPOWANE.	13 101 121 451 9 099 27 329 24 143 21 231	20 517 2 443 5 225 5 246 4 628	22.8 21.7 21.8	1 225 7 502 734 2 315 1 862 604	36.6 30.0 37.2 34.4 40.2	882 5 414 436 1 509 1 325 1 067	26.4 17.8 24.2 25.3 23.1	
WEST VIRGINIAL GARELL, & L. L. L. L. L. L. RANANIA . L. L	5 60F	1 356 1 906	24.2 24.2	376 244	27.7 12.8	435 568		
WISCONSINI GROWN DAVE KENDSKA HILWAUKER GUTAGANIE ROCK	35 67: 45 60: 17 89: 252 23: 12 74: 25 74: 22 79: 17 39:	3 240 1 053 20 152 1 234 2 371 2 633 2 669	11.5 10.5 8.0 9.7	1 162 2 123 821 3 434 661 1 014 937 1 176	40.5 44.3 17.0 53.6 42.8 35.6 45.1	432 1 365 7 805 7 805 700 573 733 304	25.0 30.5 38.7 26.0 29.6 21.8	

Des footnotes at and of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

					services ar	ıd	Indigen	t defens	a	Corr	ections	Other oriminal justice	
	County			Amount	Percent total cri justice s	iminal	Amount	Perce total c justice	riminal	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total oriminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIAC LUZERNE LYCOMING MERCER. MONTGOMERY. NORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL. WASHINGTON. WESTMORELAND YORK.			1	246 90 108 705 175 145 245 245		11.7 9.1 10.2 9.5 7.7 11.5 14.0 13.8	83 30 48 266 85 46 49 66		3.9 3.0 4.5 3.6 3.7 3.7 2.8 2.7	596 397 356 2 048 1 022 366 469 481 816	28.2 40.1 33.7 27.5 24.7 29.7 26.8 20.0	14	1.3
SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON. CHARLESTON. GREENVILLE. RICHLAND. SPARTANBURG			* * * *	30 78 96 179 54		2.4	25 33 73 43		0.7 1.0 1.7 2.2	475 607 822 1 352 355	38.0 16.0 25.9 31.3 18.1		:
TENNESSEE: HAMILTON. KNOX. SHELBY. SULLIVAN.		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• • •	124 115 315 20		2.2 4.5 2.1 2.1	286 1		1.9	2 941 299 8 100 123	52.5 12.4 53.4 13.2	509	3.4
TEXAS: BELL. BEXAR BRAZORIA. CAMERON DALLAS. EL PASO GALVESTON HARRIS.			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	179 1 173 131 185 1 669 767 185 2 941		17.3 13.3 9.3 13.5 7.9 19.5 10.0	21 285 14 33 543 5769		2.0	257 3 238 172 414 5 783 7 286	24.8 36.8 12.2 27.3 32.6 20.0 25.8	58 488 108 453 23 1 409	0.5 11.5 1.2
HIDALGO JEFFERSON LUBBOCK HCLENNAN NUECES TARRANT TRAVIS		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		192 408 311 133 273 1 155 401		13.9 15.7 20.9 7.3 8.4 15.7 9.5	34 48 18 22 67 150 118 21		2.5 1.9 1.2 1.2 2.3 2.0 2.6 2.6	436 515 369 833 748 1 886 1 832 308	31.6 19.9 24.8 45.9 23.1 25.6 43.2	43 445 99	2.9
UTAH: SALT LAKE UTAH. WEBER			• • • •	654 102 124	:]	10.6. 19.7 11.7	184 24 60		3.0 4.6 5.6	1 863 98 304	30.1 18.9 28.6		
VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON . FAIRFAX . HENRICO . PRINCE WILLIA				187 523 177 128		2.0 3.4 4.5 5.8	73		0,5	1 542 1 213 430 276	16.8 7.9 10.9 12.5	91 121 -	1.0
			2 d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	204 1 616 174 579 481 393 244		7.0 7.9 7.1 9.3 9.2 8.5	56 841 41 155 152 153 83		1,9 4,1 1,7 2,5 2,9 3,3	553 5 010 1 037 1 594 1 438 1 140	18.9 24.4 42.4 25.6 27.6 24.6 27.7	134 21 73 46	0.9
WEST VIRGINIA: CABELL. KANAWHA	• • • •	• • • •		173 208		12,8	6 6		0.4	366 880	27.0 46.2		
WISCONSINI BROWN DANE KENOSHA MILWAUKEE OUTAGAMIE RACINE ROCK WAUKESHA WINNEBAGO				101 629 152 1 646 116 220 174 194		5.0 12.0 8.2 9.6 9.3 6.6 7.4 9.8	47 124 78 758 18 182 74 70		22.4 2.8 5.7 2.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6	295 1 055 2 055 6 441 116 252 875 434 113	14.5 20.8 12.8 51.9 9.4 10.6 73.0	98	0.5

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

The total direct expenditure data, shown in the first column, are for fiscal year 1973; therefore, the percent of total direct expenditure accounted for by total criminal justice direct expenditure, shown in the third column, is overstated.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

#### Table 20. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

y <b>Access</b>		-		il ceinica	l justice s	) +1 CM		Palice	protostion			ct	dicial	
<b>并</b>	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1011 1100	Attenda atapanentelamente	or at each	ricce ricce	Parcens	Xuel	at at exp	loyce#	Percent of total	Kust	er of cep	loyces	Percent of total
		equivalent	Total	Fall» Lias maly	Pull-line coulva- lent	of total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Pull- time only	full-time poulva- lant	criminal justice system maployees	Total	full- time only	Full-time equirs- lant	criminal justice system caployees
	1	859 659	179 476	167 258	171 965	21.2	55 276	53 194	53 639	31.3	51 917	46 889	49 193	28.6
1	ALEDAMA CALIDIMA AZPRADDIA MADEDOM MANES MONTECA MONTE	201 201 201 201 201 401 401	74 669 16) 401 181 159	155 348 169	70 662 150 367 177 144	17,1 26,4	201 201 43 149 54 55	201 92 116 53	201 42 128 54	25,7 30,4 26,6 39,9 30,5 35,6	36 254 66 160 65 56	32 249 60 157 57	250 62 158	98.6 37.8 39.2 43.1 35.0 32.9
ŧ	ALASKAT GREATER AUCHORAGE	4 317	17	14	15	0,4	-	•	-		-	-	-	-
10	ABITOMAS HARICOPA,	5 321 3 325	1 583 1 120	1 499 1 079	1 562 1 994	29,4 32,9	364 372			21.2 33.5	486 240	423 232	461 235	30.8 21.5
ii		629	205	200	202	32,1	63	61	62	30.7	64	62	63	31,2
in the state of th	BOYER CUSTAL A PROPERTY CONTROL CUSTAL A PROPERTY CONTROL CONT	9 030 1 285 6 176 7 76 7 70 1 70 1 910	2 506 226 1 401 1 142 973 18 515 513 253	2 460 201 1 293 982 879 17 666 191 227	2 465 209 1 755 1 029 922 17 916 10 502 234	27,3 16,5 22,3 19,3 19,3 29,3 16,4	659 68 307 284 311 4 912 107 66	284 279 286	64 293 282 297 4 652 105	26,7 30.6 21.6 27.4 32.2 27.1 21.0 22.2	437 53 283 225 169 4 601 130	436 46 245 189 149 4 166 125	48 260 196 159 4 346 129	17.7 23.0 19.2 19.0 17.2 24.3 25.7
21223	CHENCE	2017977777777777777777777777777777777777	579 3 163 1 474 1 459 2 205 2 446 278	3 444 3 448 1 435 1 995 7 756 2 756	571 3 090 1 435 1 879 2 065 1 103 7 94 2 96	25.0 26.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 21.0 25.0 25.0	160 628 447 669 717 684 232 103	605 437 653 578 631 230	610 441 657 599 643 230	31,2 19,7 30,7 35,0 29,0 19,5 29,0 37,9	109 863 276 293 492 847 162	100 622 253 264 426 812 140	849 267 279 455 822 153	18.5 27.5 18.6 14.6 22.9 19.3
2000 2100 2100 2100 2100 2100 2100 2100	Santa Dandara  Santa Cara  Santa Cruz  Sociano  Sociona  Straiscaus  Tilare	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1 279 2257 2 257 302 576 576 570 1 081	1 169 770 700 2 007 330 399 473 483 487 1 038	1 202 798 2 086 147 199 505 504 518 1 052	27,15 27,15 22,6 28,0 28,0 26,0 26,0 26,0 25,6	271 296 491 111 69 167 143 195 335	419 106 66 146 128 173	256 435 109 68 152 131 177	21.7 32.2 20.9 31.4 17.0 30.1 25.0 34.2 31.7	288 156 469 75 106 102 126 110 219	257 117 388 68 106 89 102 79	129 422 75 106 96 111	22.5 16.2 20.2 21.6 26.6 19.0 22.1
45 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	ANAPANCE. HOULDEA  E PARO JEFFRANCE	900 900 900 1 171 1 171 1 171 1 171	1999 1999 1999 1999 1999	103	158 142 181 184 219	1615 1615 1617 1617 1718	99 94 55 80 113 26	80 113	94 35 80 113	62.7 66.2 45.5 43.5 51.6 30.9	6 5 15 4 30	6 5 14 4 30	4	3.8 3.5 11.6 2.2 13.7
4)	EELANARES	1 454	258	256	238	17.7	182	182	182	70.5	67	65	67	26.0
445 45 45 45 45 40 40	DAGGARD A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	901790 1 51790 1 7 7 7 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1	005 025 550 560 560 776 178	250 349 771 1 659 354 1 017 268	292 749 787 2 660 1 756 1 756	27.9 23.0 21.7 21.7 27.7 20.1 29.1	148 177 232 2 048 210 242 170	2 048 2 048 206 242	177 311 2 048 207 242	56.0 50.7 36.0 57.8 23.5 61.6	48 150 281 972 99 503 83	46 150 269 970 88 498	150 274 971 91 499	18,7 43,0 24,8 26,5 25,4 48,5
e de la companya de l	CRAMISCA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	2 040 2 040 2 040	210 705 620 601 798 275 206	700 617 585 391 252	204 704 614 690 192 257 299	37.0 29.3 24.5 20.9 23.6 47.9	747 745 745	361 369 328 225	369 369 332 225	11.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	90 236 106 207 169 70	82 234 99 202 103 63	235 101 203 104 65	41.2 33.4 16.4 34.4 26.5 25.3
· 養婦心生200	CMAPHAN	3 418 2 171 2 171	227 207 244 247 225	875 964	199 501 642 140	39.5 26.0 31.3 23.3 21.3 27.3	58 105 304 475 23 135	104	105	29,4 75,1 60,1 50,5 2,3 42,0	103 107 126 139 505	67 104 101 151 483 87	107 111 156 498	38.6 35.8 22.2 18.5 50.7 27.6

#### equivalent employees of 312 large county governments, October 1974

aguj	) services	and prosecul	ion		Indiger	t dutense			Cork	cotions			Other cri	minal just	ico	Ţ
Xue	ber of emp	loyees	Percent of total	Numbe	r of emp)	LOYGOR	Percent of total	Numb	er of our	loyees	Percent of total	Runde	or of capl	oyees	Percent of total	
Total	Pull- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	criminal justice system smployees	Istot	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lont	criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- iont	oriminel justice system comployee	Gin
15 768	14 681	15 121	8,8	2 669	2 510	2 615	1,5	53 276	49 460	50 671	29,5	578	304	526	0.	3 1
3 44 17 16 7	2 42 16 1 7	3 42 17 6 7	4.3 6.3 10.8 1.6 4.0 5.4	Anglewand france frank from	******		77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	15 170 37 76 57 39	15 169 37 74 52 38	37 75 54	21.4 25.5 23.4 20.4 30.5 26.2		•			204507
17	19	15	100.0	-	-	-	-		-	-		_	-	-		-  8
148 124	148 109	148 119	9.5	71 46	70 96		4.5 4.2	514 338	496 327	501 332	32.1	:	:	:		- 10
26	26	26	12.9	9	9	9	4.5	43	#2	42	20.8			1		- 11
223 26 198 93 103 1 729 41 31	219 25 181 86 95 1 681 41 31	220 25 186 90 97 1 688 41 31	8.9 12.0 13.7 10.5 9.4 8.2 13.2	150 69 42 24 544 23	150 59 36 24 543 23	61 38 24 543 23	4.5 3.7 2.6 3.0 4.6	5 729 184	522 392	72 555 423 345 6 487	40.6 34.4 41.0 41.1 37.4 36.2 36.7 38.5		14			- 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 8 18 - 19
74 317 148 273 196 353 88	311 147 258 187 339 85	74 312 147 264 189 342 86 36	13.0 10.1 10.2 14.1 9.2 10.4 10.8 14.1	24 85 35 63 59	23 84 34 60 58	84 34 61 58	4,0 2,7 2,4 3,2 2,8 5,2	1 256 568 659 818 1 549	1 210 537 598 738 1 457 260	1 223 546 616 761 1 482 283	33.5 38.0 38.0 32.8 36.9 44.9 35.6	15 12	14	2 3 14	0. 0, 0,	- 20 4 21 1 22 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27
115 84 246 57 33 55 77 63	50 234 52 33 49 75	81 237 53 33 51 76	9.4 10.2 11.3 15.3 8.3 10.1 15.1 11.2 10.9	24 81 19 15 13 18 27	24 81 18 14 13 18 27	18 14 13 18	2.8	961 122 175 239 190	102 103 175 159	304 903 110 174 192 167	46.1 38.3 43.3 31.7 43.6 38.0 33.1 23.0	6		8		3 28 4 30 4 31 - 32 - 33 2 34
33 18 33 42 47 35	42 47	42 47	20.9 12.7 22.3 22.8 21.5 37.0	9 9 9 9 9				58	2: 2: 5: 2:	25 25 58 29	12.7 17.6 20.7 31.5 13.2 27.2					- 37 - 38 - 39 - 40 - 41 7 42
9	9	9	3,5				}			-	-			-		- 43
18 35 2 17	18 35 2	18 35 2 16	2.3 1.0 0.6 1.6	14	í l	19	0.3	177 541	16: 54: 5: 25:	2 22 5 168 5 540 7 57 2 259	14,6 15,9 25,2 6,3	52	5	52	0	+ 45 46 47 46 47 46 47 46 47
16 12 6 17 7			2.0 2.6 2.0 1.3 0.4 2.3	-	•			38 56 20	2. 10: 12: 3: 5: 2: 7	27 105 128 38 38 58 20 20	13.2 14.9 20.8 6.4 14.8 7.8				Ö	51.555555555555555555555555555555555555
25 22 25 40 107	20 21 22 42 106	22 22 23 43 106	11.2 7.4 4.6 5.1 10.8 5.0	29		1	0.	. 1	6 15 33	5 65 5 65 8 158 4 337	1 717					- 50

### Table 20: Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

*			Tot	el crimina	i justice p	ystes		Polico	protection	t		Jų	iteful	
No.	County	Total Juli-Liko	Number 1	er of expl	yeer	Percont	Numb	er of emp	loyees	Percent	Numb	er of eap	loyens	Percent
***	on and committee on the new productive sections	engloyees	Total	Fulls time only	Full-time regive- lent	of total full-ting squivalent employmen	Total	Full- ties only	Pull-timo squiva- lent	of total criminal justice system cuployees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system caployees
. 1	TOMOS ADA + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	364	169	154	158	43,4	65	58	60	38,0	54	51	52	32.9
23456789	CHAMPAIGH COOV. GU PAGE	7,7 616 1 616 1 550 1 404 1 824 744 747	517 5 465 517 305 354 144 122	340 81	6 276 6 276 480 285 345 142 109	33.6 31.6 51.6 51.6 31.3 38.8 37.7	46 632 147 91 121 34 68	629 134 87 121 33 68	029 138 88 121	32.9 10.0 28.7 30.9 35.1 37.1 47.9 31.2	37 3 646 160 86 88 28 28 33	25 3 277 128 80 80 26 28 36	29 3 481 146 62 83 27 31 40	20.7 55.4 30.4 28.8 29.1 30.3 21.8 21.8
101111111111111111111111111111111111111	MACON MADISON MADISON MADISON MADISON MADISON MADERIA MADERIA MADERIA MADISON	736 797 462 591 * 591 * 597 790 790 827	151 279 205 174 126 126 238 293	119 228 187 165 223 177 91 217 270	131 262 196 166 224 182 110 230 279	39.0 32.9 29.6 28.1 *37.4 *37.4 83.0 29.1 73.7	53 75 64 77 49 42 95 103	46 70 64 75 49 65 28 96	64 75 49 66 39	75.9 27.7 45.2 21.9 26.3 35.6 35.8	50 93 59 38 82 48 48 75	36 85 47 32 79 39 28 71 64	41 86 51 33 79 41 37 72 66	31.3 32.8 28.0 19.9 35.3 22.5 33.63 23.7
10122742074	INDIANA: ALEN ALEN DELAMARE LAMAR LAMA LAMA HADISON TIPPECANCE VANGENDURGN VIOO	2005 2005 2005 2006 2007 2007 2007 2007	798 120 164 576 123 110 250 250 175 145	281 130 533 91 234 148 148	326 105 153 153 104 104 86 144	23.46.789.627.21.3.26.27.221.3.221.2.221.3.221.2	913 437 487 187 300 19 59 311 45	91 25 27 175 177 50 70 70	93 28 49 179 28 18 30 44	28.5 26.7 32.0 32.1 26.7 32.9 27.4 27.4 24.9 26.2	86 34 60 179 39 96 24 51	74 26 553 695 867 887 844	84 34 57 177 33 39 96 22 51	25.8 32.4 37.3 31.7 31.7 35.7 25.6 30.2
0	TOWAL BLACK HARK, , , , , , LIND, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	569 522 1 419 552 701	154 140 473 122 108	110 140 408 121 89	113 149 428 121	19,9 18.1 30.2 21.9 23.4	52 38 71 35 16	32 36 63 35	34 36 65 35	30.1 24.2 15.2 28.9 17.0	47 65 140 50	34 38 120 49	35 44 127 49 50	31.0 29.5 29.7 40.5
16	RANSASI JOHISON SEGMICK SHANNEG HYANDOTTE	860 1 170 454 559	284 351 215 219	248 300 105 196	259 315 197 203	30.1 26.9 43.4 36.3	70 86 40 29	64 82 34 27	66 84 36 27	25.5 26.7 18.3 13.3	121 140 78 122	100 121 64 107	106 127 69 112	40.9 40.3 35.0 55.2
0 0	KENTUCKYE PAYETTE JEFFERSON KENTON	3 770 306	1*1 189 189	(*) 966 63	(*) 974 65	(*) 25.8 21,1	509 25	(*1 509 24	(°) 509 25	(*) 52.3 38.5	(*) 119 10	(* ) 115 10	(*) 117 10	(*) 12.0 15.4
12240	LDUISTANAT EAGDO . CALCASIRU JEFFERSON . LAFAYETTE . GUACHITA. RAPIDES	691 814 3 845 447 422 470	278 250 149 203 189 206	240 246 1 028 141 441	259 JO1 1 101 177 172 182	37,5 37,0 26,6 39,6 40,8	116 224 622 81 85 137	116 171 612 74	116 199 619 78 85 121	94.8 66.1 56.2 44.1 49.4 66.5	57 68 372 70 41 29	37 32 280 27 8	38 46 333 53 53 32 26	14.7 15.3 30.2 29.9 18.6 14.3
7 5	HAINE: CUMDERLAND: PENDDSCOT YORK:	107 65 77	82 82 82	42 42 33	74 47 46	69.2 72.3 59.7	21 11 25	20 11 8	20 11 8	27.0 23.4 17.4	31 26 17	23 81 13	27 22 16	36,5 46,8 34,8
0	HARYLAND: ANKE ANUNCEL. DALTHORE HARGEN HONTOMERY PAINCE GEORGES HASHINGTON,	A 500 16 979 2 978 20 050 18 251 2 364	569 1 557 1 14 1 168 1 479	267 1 243 1 200 1 200 1 200 1 400 1 400	565 1 546 131 1 162 1 470	0.6 9.1 9.4 5.8 8.1	421 1 280 910 1 115	421 1 280 45 910 1 110	421 1 280 95 910 1 111 12	74.5 82.8 39.4 78.3 75.6 27.9	74 90 41 114 233	70 89 39 85 220	71 89 40 110 229	12.6 5,8 30.5 9.5 15.6 23.3
教学の主張大変	HABSACHUSETTS: DERNAMINE BRISTCL LASEY HAMPEN HAMPEN HAMPSNIRE HIGHESEX HORNCLE ELYNOUTH HE TOSKNOWN HE TOSKNOWN HE TOSKNOWN	7.09 7.09 7.09 7.09 7.09 7.09 7.09 7.09	108 707 767 117 117 108 108 108	27552 27552 2552 2552 2552 2552 2552 25	90 294 377 362 98 1 102 243 290 500	695.14 956.14 956.37 750.74 961.9	131111111	1111101		2.1	12 198 200 180 37 663 165 145 263	349 167 151 33 517 182 108	38 169 177 160 528 182 132 242	42.25 70.25 444.7 57.1 57.1 45.4

the footnoise at east of table.

Log	al mervico	s and prosec	ition		Indig	ent defent	0		Corr	ections			Other cris	unal justic	Q	
Nu	mber of bu	aployee#	Percent of total	Numbe	r of smpl	oyaes	Percent	Num	oer of exp	loycoa	Percent	Numba	r of emplo	yeos	Forcant	custisar
Total	Full- time only	Pull-time equiva- lont	criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equivs- lent	of total criminal justice system caployees	Total	Full- time only	Pull-tipe equiva- lent	of total criminal funtice system caployees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	of total criminal justica system caployees	Like
17	17	17	10.8			- I	-	35	28	29	18.4		•		<b>5</b> 9,	1
18 559 56 27 47 7 13	17 536 54 24 47 3 13	17 542 55 24 47 5 13	12.1 8.6 11.5 8.4 13.6 5.6 9.2 11.0	6 206 28 4 6 2 4 5	203 24 4 5	5 204 25 4 5 2 4 5	55511286	57 1 422 124 95 89 25 26	39 1 422 106 82 84 19 25	1 422 114 86 86 22 26	20.7 23.7 23.2 23.2 24.7 18.3 16.5	11000111	2131	- I WHA	000	23456789
17 28 23 17 25 26 13 31 28	14837755 878 21722 878	14 28 23 17 25 26 13 29 28	10.7 11.7 10.2 11.2 14.3 11.8 12.6	0050004#80	10 12NG N 18	5 22 12 4 4 8 8	3,4 4,6 2,4 2,6 5 2,0 6,5 9	26 74 40 62 48 29 86	22 66 53 39 58 43 15 74	24 68 53 39 59 45 17 29	18.3 26.0 27.5 26.3 26.3 24.5 15.6 27.6	1111111	111111111	# # # # # # #	-	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
28 10 10 89 11 11 19 10 23	8 8 9 8 16 16 2	21 10 10 83 10 10 18 9	6.4 9.5 9.5 14.9 9.6 7.3 10.5 12.4 9.7	17.7 L 1 4 4 0 6 4	3  11   6 4	12 33 11 4 4 8 6 4	3.7 2.0 2.0 3.6 1.6 2.5 2.8	127 30 38 98 43 37 72 26 49	109 30 32 95 28 31 72 20 45	116 30 34 97 33 72 23 46 44	35.6 28.5 22.2 17.4 31.7 31.7 29.0 26.7 27.2 30.6	12	11	1	5.0	9012745
13 15 43 16 16	13 15 29 16 14	13 15 , 31 16 15	11.5 10.1 7.2 13,2 16.0	11.611	1 1 60 1 1	9	2.1	32 62 204 21 22	31 51 181 21 11	31 54 190 21 13	27.4 36.2 44.4 17.4 13.8	6 -	1 1611	6	1.4	29 30 31 32 33
39 24 21 23	39 24 12 21	39 24 15 22	15.1 7.6 7.6 10.8	1111	1	•		52 101 79 45	43 73 75 41	46 80 77 42	17.8 25.4 39.1 20.7	2	2 -	2	8.0 - -	34 35 36 37
(* ) 64 12	(* ) 63 11	(* ) 63 11	(3) 6.5 16.9	(*) -	(°1	(*)	(*)	289 20 20	(*) 279 18	285 19	(*) 29.3 29.2	(2)	(*1	(*)	(*) -	38 39 40
14 22 75 12 17 14	5 13 61 6 9	14 22 73 10 17 14	5.4 7.3 6.6 5.6 9.9 7.7	1 1221	11-011	1 2 1	0.1 1.1 (Z1	91 36 79 38 40 26	91 32 74 32 24 19	91 34 75 34 38 21	35.1 11.3 6.8 19.2 22.1 11.5	-	, ( <u>5</u>	11111	**************************************	41 42 43 44 45 46
2 3 1	5 5	2 3 1	2.7 6.4 2.2	:	:	:	Ē	26 12 38	24 11 13	25 11 21	33.8 23.4 45.7	•		3	-	47 48 49
36 85 19 61 91 8	34 81 81 81 81 81	35 82 19 61 90 8	6.2 5.3 14.5 5.2 6.1 18.6	113111	3 7 2 1 1		:	38 102 27 80 90	38 93 27 78 40 13	38 95 27 79 40 13	6.7 6.1 20.6 6.8 2.7 30.2	3	11011	2 **	0.2	50 512 513 55 55
13 5 16 27 6 26 40 6	5 15 23 5 26 40 6	4 5 15 24 5 20 40 6	4.4 1.7 4.0 6.6 5.1 2.4 11.7 2.1	111000111	2 8	28	2.0	51 125 191 180 68 446 123 149 252	47 119 183 178 54 438 120 144 239	48 120 185 178 178 440 121 146 241	53.8 40.8 49.2 49.2 56.9 55.9 55.3 50.3	# # # # # # #	# # # # #		## ## ## ## ## ##	56 58 59 61 62 64

#### Table 20. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

			TOTA	i (Figina)	L justica d	ysten		Police	protestion			Juc	icial	
,	R	Total 2014 fine	Pan'n atmanana	t of mate	y Har	Parcant	Num.	er of cap	Laycon	Percent of total	Numb	er of empl	oyees .	Percent of total
,	<b>5</b> 3	istolicat Cyloyeso	Intel	fall: fink only	Foll-line Follyn- Jona	el total full-time squivalient réployass	Total	Full- time only	Full-time squiva- lent	criminal justice system seployees	Total	Full- time only	Pull-time equiva- lent	criminal justice system employees
; ; ;	ENTROPOSEDA DE MARIE A DE MARIE A DE MARIE A DE MARIE A PARTICIONA PART	TO STATE OF THE ST	1411 1221 1204 1747 1748 1748	291 622 358 174	1426 1466 1646 1646 1723 473	23.5	#0 61 #1 215 #6 #6 #7	40 59 41 190 86 43 76	41 196 86 45	28.2 19.0 20.2 23.6 23.6 23.9 23.9	54 114 73 197 133 63 95 105	\$4 110 72 188 131 54 93	54 112 72 191 131 63 93 101	78.0 75.5 75.5 29.0 36.0 73.5 28.5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THE WICHTGOESE, CARRIED STREET, CARRIED STREET	2 108225 1082785 1082785 108075 108075 108075	5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 500	##1 13%	639 108 203 657 141 289 139 416 2 316	25.1 20.9	150 650 203 557 277 273 311	55 74 26	61 40 201 55 74 1 84	33.47 33.47 33.55 33.55 35.57 35.77 35.77 35.77 35.77 35.77 35.77 35.77	273 75 89 330 53 109 72 141 976	273 65 69 303 47 104 64 129	273 73 89 310 50 107 66 133 954	42.7 38.8 43.8 36.2 35.5 37.0 47.5 32.8 41.2
1000	is dakota.	540 6118 5 615 2 629	167 126 110 100 131	93	157 106 1 065 573 318	25,7 17,4 15.0	62 27 277 277 130	58 27 255 122 73	59 27 261 123 75	27,6 25.5 24.5 21.5 23.6	49 70 320 115 119	47 39 294 111 111	48 51 297 113 113	30.6 48.1 27.9 19.7 35.5
<b>9</b>	PM PM	1 C42	134 91	115 17	122 84	20,1 4,5	51 31	51 28	51 29	41.8 33.0	53 41	36 41	41 41	33,6 3,6P
2	ACTOR ACTOR	321 247 270 270 270	199 129 986 102 1 268	150	172 071 709 509 1 409	41.1 37.2 44.3 49.5 37.1	41 46 87 37 745	40 44 67 75 702	90 44 87 36 745	30.3 31.8 9.0 36.7 50.2	34 55 366 40 422	29 54 364 40 385	31 54 365 40 407	23,5 41.5 37.9 40.8 27.4
Ì	INTERACTION OF THE STREET	0 62 C	317 137	299 120	152 205	5.8 14.0	51 25	51 25	51 25	16,9 20,1	94 60	80 52	82 53	27.2 43.1
¥,	ABUNCES * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	8 141 4 014	1 350 342	1 342 320	1 355	33.7 15.6	846 119	845 119	846 119	62,6 35.7	100 77	95 68	97 69	7.2
74.6 76.5	HOORIACOUAN.	659 426	105	82 55	95 57	14.4	28 72	11 24	26	11.6 45.6	36 18	26 17	33 17	34.7 29.6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DERGERIA	1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	334 989 281 616 170 2 256 185 1 010	338 957 286 631 179 2 260 190	19.0 20.1 16.1 18.0 27.9 28.6	160 160 177 200 130 130	30 152 10 61 15 285 25	65 16 286	9,2 16,1 16,5 10,9 18,7 13,5	82 367 97 159 60 632 58 383	80 746 90 146 40 630 41 381	80 349 92 149 46 630 46 381	23.7 36.5 32.2 23.6 25.7 27.9 23.2
から	1 程記では素質素 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	TOWN TOWN TO THE T	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	426 470 470 347 222 669 207 798	426 010 470 734 7325 680 817	21.5 15.9 21.6 70.9 19.1	78594369 5765469	34 88 55 64 55 69 89	55 77 68	8.0 10.9 11.7 21.8 19.7 7.6 11.4	75 270 164 92 97 227 64 274	75 264 164 72 95 226 48 268	75 265 164 74 96 227 54 269	17.6 32.7 34.9 20.9 29.9 24.7 33.6
	MEN PERICO	1 581	195	194	199	12.3	158	158	158	91.0	5	5	5	2.6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ENCEMP CHARTACHUAL CHEMINE CHE	TOTAL STATES	154 TO 154 TO 15 T	1 314	. 1 JOI	11.7 14.6 19.9 17.6	18 22 22 20 20 20 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	272 276	81 54 42 90 275 294 441	27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2	92 61 17 61 293 226 931	87 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	59 51 30 17 61 286 226 923	25,3 23,2 21,9 11.8 20,9 21.0 23,9 14,0

----

TAK	al services	and proced	ition		Indig	at defeat	,		Corr	ections		(	other cris	dnal Justic	e d	١.
	ber of emp		Percent	Numbe	er of emp	loyees	Percent	Numbe	r of empl	oyees	Porcent	Number	of cuple	Acea	Percen	
Total	Pull- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full« time only	Full-time equiva- lent	of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full- time only	Full-timo squiva- lent	of total criminal justice system naployees	Total	Puil- time only	Full-tipo equiya- lent	of tota crimina justic mystem employe	9 17
21 85 70 70 70 80 80 80	13 28 13 91 36 22 30 25	13 28 13 93 36 23 30 26	9.2 8.9 6.4 14.2 9.9 12.2 9.2 6.1	01111111	6	011111111	4.2	755 756 763 763 718 80 718 71	29 110 75 149 105 55 124 142	171 111 57 125	20.4 36.7 37.9 26.1 30.5 30.3 38.3 36.2	1 1 1 10 1 1 7 1	2	41.12.11		41119111
72 12 12 80 6 28 14 25 203	72 11 11 77 6 28 13 24 201	72 12 11 77 6 28 14 24 201	11.3 5.4 9.0 4.3 9.7 10.1 5.7	15 3	2 14 3	2	1.4 2.4 0.1	136 48 67 281 32 100 78 158 845	136 38 60 258 25 69 52 139 843	42 63 206 28 80 58 147	21.3 22.3 31.0 31.0 19.9 27.7 41.7 36.2 36.4	3 - 4	8	8111118	0	3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
19 14 67 41 23	19 12 61 40 23	53 62 63 13 13	12.1 12.3 5.9 7.0 7.2	6 45 7	6 45 7	45 7	3.8 4.2 1.2 0.3	26 15 402 300 108	25 289 286 102	290	15.9 14.2 37.0 50.6 33.3	5		-	0	- 18
10	9	10	8.2	:	-		:	20	15		15.4	-		:		- 2
16 15 108 15 79	16 15 98 12 74	16 15 101 12 77	12.1 15.5 10.5 12.2 5.2	-		-	) =	58 23 425 10 322	400	17 3 410 4 10	34.1 13.1 42.6 10.2 17.2			:  =		11111
35 25	35 21	35 22	11.6	24 10	51		7.9	113	10	9 110 2 13	36.4 10.6	:		: :		- 3
80 48	80 47	80 48	5.9 14.4	35 20		2 20	2,5	295 76	299	294 4 75	21.8 22.5	2		2 2	1	2.6 3
3	4 2	5 2	5.3 3.5	-		:			1	1 46 2 12	48.4 21.1					23
70 156 49 122 29 29 153	156 49 111	27 279 35	20.4 16.3 17.1 18.2 15.1 12.3 17.7					308 143 309 90 1 056	29 13 29 8 1 05	5 248 2 134 1 295 8 90 2 1 053	31,1 46,8 50,3 46,6	1	2 1	7 7 7 12		1.1.2
84 148 55 66 56 56 79	84 143 55 61 56 56 95	95 36	19,7 17,8 11,7 17,5 17,5 14,0 16,4			~ [		140 140 111 300	29 19 13 10 28	3 300 6 196 8 141 7 109 6 296	37.0 41.7 39.0 20.1 42.0		1	20 10		1.5.7
	2 2	2	1.0		-	-	-	_ 3	0 3	30	15,	•	-	-  •	•	7
1 1 1 16 8 28	9 19 7 6 3 7 0 28 4 156 8 8	19 13 12 29 161 88	11.) 6.6 9.5 9.5 11.6		7 9 5 6 1	0 2 7 2 3 6 1	9 8. 2. 6 5.	2 9 6 3 8 7 5 12 69	0 6 2 6 6 8	59 76 50 3: 57 69	35. 22. 47. 5 32. 5 45.	6 I		5 7 7 7 8		1.0

Table 20. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

G MACE		Transfer of particular to the		COLUMN	1 famile e	FR:04		Polica	protection			.∤a	uci#1	
見他に	40000	Forns Foll Cine		i i reșt		Fercest.	720	er of eq	l:yeas	Percent of cotal	¥126.5	er of exp	loyees	Forcent of total
	*	entrianis	fotel	tull lime caly	Pull-time morivo long	of total full time equivalent explorers	Tyla]	rell- line caly	Pali-time equive- lest	criminal justice system employees	Total	full- time caly	Full-time equiva- len#	or rotal priminal justice system employees
THE PROPERTY AND P		24 042	242 853 215	24 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	203 203 112 159 292	13,3	85 205 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	47 199 34 32 27 91	201 35 32 29 92	23.8 20.9 25.0 17.6 28.2 21.5 29.7	98 71 125 57 29 59 65 27	63 70 122 55 29 35 64 20	122 56 29	25.9 29.8 15.2 27.6 25.0 21.9 19.8
\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	新文は 100mm	10 757	* 521 171	27 97 921 134 1 192	4 509 148	9.0 42.0 10.9	55 910 E 78 78 78	3 019 31	7	25.2 6.1 67.0 23.6 30.5	75 54 609 28 199	28 30 609 27 199	27	25.2 32.5 13.5 18.2 16.6
明日本日本学の大変	化测试发展。基础设施,并并并有限的。 对外联合数据。 最后数据记录, 最后数据记录。 最后数据记录。	# 155	66 845 114	107 118 131 111 202 106 60	137 65 174 111 207 310	7.0 7.0 7.14 7.14 7.14 1.0	64 98 98 98 98 152 152 157 157	64 91 48 71 106 153 85	153	59.3 67.9 73.8 37.3 64.7 64.7 57.1 68.3	129 47 47 135 67 137	12 19 47 13 31 61	12 19 17 17 13 32 62 13	11.1 13.9 1.5 35.1 11.7 15.5 20.6 3.7
在女正就不然 去等為指於 等 等 等 等 等 等 等 等	Diff. Str.	5173 5450 4570 4570 4 1573 6 1543 4 1543 4 1543	1 070 1 070 1 070	110 170 922 1 6064 1170 1978	109 121 102 1 096	277.1.009	74648 2648 2648 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 2	26 40 21 258 129 #3 250 250 250 250	363 422 2609 257 257 257	28.167 21.67 21.67 21.63 15.19 15.19 16.67	504580 4500 504580 5448	44 72 33 47 758 308 395 104	53 86 95 52 532 745 441 106	41.4 44.2 37.2 51.0 48.3 48.4 37.4 51.4 51.4
· 大樓等在了衛車门。	京の海を登れ、	411	604 E	STABLES STABLES	707 881 206 720 154 124 279 570	29.70	107 65 165 179 415 170 67	81 76 53 161 36 34 83 126	89 79 563 163 73 84 127 64	27.70 27.70 27.70 27.06 27.06 27.09 27.09	129 289 138 310 91 56 136 252	113 248 121 255 72 50 105 235	117 285 127 299 78 52 139 239	38.1 49.2 49.4 50.6 41.9 39.5 44.6 53.1
80 83 88	(学) (表於) 類基本 前の数字(2) (理合 ) 日 本 日 本 毛澤在時間(対義。 月 末 年 年 ま 手ので変数 4 半 4 年 8 年 ま	5 C97 1 241	77	25 277 308	37 381 320	25.8	11 52 56	11 52 68	11 52 58	29.7 18.5 21.2	19 132 141	12 124 124	14 127 140	37.8 45.2 43.8
46. 57. 11. 11.	《京原花》诗春 《人名·列州时春节 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	284	171 142 142 971 244	177 352 766 767	25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2 25.2	85 130 69 326 114	75 113 52 313 111	77 115 55 316 111	43.9 72.7 20.7 20.0 32.0 45.1	46 191 59 271 92	40 131 51 268 41	42 134 54 269 41	23.7 38.1 26.2 27.3 16.7
<b>東西かの町町町</b>	京教育等 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF T	A CAROLINA PARTIES AND	1 901 212 200 200 200 200 200	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	22.49	904 62 33 62 10	404 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	#0# 62 62 7 85 7	21.1 14.1 0.9 2.9 13.2 10.1 2.5	807 127 123 58 214 43	805 104 117 43 195 41	800 110 110 201 203 42 50	42.1 40.6 50.4 46.1 47.2 48.3
大 する 数 前 級 表 具 変 数 へ 数 様 で な 法 美 寒 気 変 か	「一下では、本ででは、 では、かかけない。本では、ないでは、 では、かかけない。本では、ないでは、 のはなない。までは、ないでは、 をはなながらい。は、までは、ないでは、 をはなながらい。は、までは、ないでは、 をはなながらい。は、までは、ないでは、 をはなながらい。は、までは、ないでは、 を表現であるだける。までは、までは、 を表現であるだける。までは、または、 を表現であるだける。	在	CHARLES AND CONTROL C	great the control of	Control de la co	107771.07 20771.07 207.07 208.07 208.07 208.07 208.07 208.07 208.07	BELL CONTROL OF A LANGE OF A LANG	35 13 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	26173242424	794708211668	1602700011270112701127011270112701127011	13657	113 52 103 757 102 53 29 96 103	34.7 42.6 42.9 41.1 49.9 51.7 41.7 52.0

Leg	al service	s and prosec	ution		Indige	nt defense			Corre	ctions			Other cris	sinal justic	
toral Xu	Tuli- time only	Full-time squiva- lent	Percent of total criminal justico system employoos	Numbe Total	r of empl Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	Percent of total criminal justice system cmployees	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	Percent of total criminal justice system cmployees	Numbe	r of emplo Fulta- time only	Fuli-time equiva- lent	Percent of total criminal justice system cmployees
25 27 62 28 20 50	19 27 57 28 9 19 46	25 27 58 28 9 19 48	9.5 11.5 7.2 13.8 8.0 11.9 16.4	12 6 1 8 16 2	3 6 7 14 2	11 6 - 7 14 2	4.2 2.6 (Z1 4.4 4.8 1.8	73 89 458 94 74 77 46	70 79 395 73 41 51 73 43	70 83 423 84 42 61 74	26,6 35,3 52,5 41,5 41,5 28,4 28,4	2		1	0.1
18 15 248 21 169	7 256 18 169	11 15 241 19 169	10.7 13.2 5.3 12.8 14.1	6 1 1	11111	6 1 1	5,8 0,9 0.7	32 72 641 77 463	30 47 633 62 463	51 536 65 66 463	30.1 47.4 14.1 44.6 38.6	2 4 2	3 4 2	4 2	0,2 1 0,1 1 0,2 1
1 1 1 5 2 4 7 1 4	1 1 5 2 3 7 7 7 7	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0.9 1.5 3.7 1.8 1.4 1.4 3.7	*********		1111111		31 25 15 37 27 69 93 16	30 25 15 30 25 62 88 16	31 25 15 32 25 65 91 16	28.1.9 23.1.9 23.1.9 22.1.4 22.1.4 22.4 22.4 22.4	11211111	1 1 5 1 1 1 4 7 1		1.3
18 15 11 114 66 17 46 20	12 12 5 11 113 57 15 44 16	14 13 9 11 113 60 16 45 18	10.9 6.5 7.4 10.8 6.7 8.4 12.2 4.6 9.6		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111511111	4.9	29 64 45 14 530 195 20 307 58	24 52 21 12 473 172 20 281 46	25 55 12 491 180 288 49	19.5 27.7 20.7 11.0 25.7 129.2 15.9 17.7		11.468.184.1		1111111111
28 25 59 15 17 17 17 14	26 28 19 58 19 10 26 32	26 28 22 58 14 11 28 32	8.5 8.5 79.1 8.9 8.9 8.9 6.0	11010111	1 1 5 1 W 1 5 1 1	111801611	1.3	75 205 61 229 34 32 99 154	69 180 49 214 19 19 80 134 22	71 189 53 220 23 23 68 138	23.1 32.5 20.7 20.7 18.5 26.7 26.7 10	51.77.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.	3	47711111	1.3
	*	=	:	13 10	13	13	4.6 2.8	12 90 103	12 88 103	12 89 103	32.4 31.7 32.2	:	:	-	- 4 - 4
20 96 28 125 28	19 41 25 123 26	19 42 26 124 27	10.7 11.9 12.6 12.6 11.0		3 • 1		:	49 67 88 296 77	37 58 64 269 66	39 61 71 277 67	22.0 17.3 34.5 28.1 27.2	:	•		0.1 4
220 29 31 8 58 15 22	220 22 24 8 50 8	220 23 27 8 52 9	11.5 9.7 11.5 7.7 11.8 10.1 14.2	57 9 6 5 20 3 9	57 9 6 20 3	57 9 6 5 20 3	3.8 2.6 4.8 4.5 7.5	497 60 83 40 108 29 35	#15 49 81 32 107 25 32	428 56 81 38 107 26 33	22.3 23.7 34.6 36.5 24.3 29.2 27.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	*	2 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2 4 4 4 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5
41 16 56 120 18 18 29 16 28 16	37 9 38 120 24 11 6 21 20 10	37 10 42 120 26 12 7 28 21 11	11.2 16.1 14.8 10.0 15.0 15.0 18.1 10.0	18 55 13 23 13 6 4 9 8 5 8	18 13 23 13 6 3 8 8	18 13 23 13 6 4 9 8 4 8	5768858884757	120 502 150 150 103 50 54 117 107	120 96 250 102 36 35 51 109	120 59 250 102 136 42 52 111 102	36.8 41.0 38.4 30.8 411.3 50.6 27.8 44.9 44.9 42.3				111111111111111111111111111111111111111

#### Table 20. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

ŝ				e celalas	l funtion s	rates	- California (archite)	Police	protectics			Ju	dicini	
Ž.		Total Fine	A CONTRACTOR	er of most	eres	Nestanit	Pana	er of mp	loyees	Percent	James 1	er of mp	Loyees	Percent
į	A section in the contract of t	entities etaies[ext	<b>†5441</b>	Pall: Time Only	full time equive- jest	of tales full-size equivalent employes	Total	Fall- time coly	Pull-time +quiva- lent	of total criminal justice system comployees	TOTAL	Pail- time Coly	rull-time equiva- ient	of total criminal justice system employees
	を注射性数 対応数型の対象を 対応数型の対象を を を がに数型は変素の表 を は は は は は は は は は は は は は	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	等是是要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要	700 9947 121 1611 1611 1611	215560 600 1077 1159 6157 1259	159174504	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 42 4 1 5	552 47 42 8 15 22	2.3 3.1 2.1 2.4 2.4 2.5 4.5 6.1 10.1	106 49 62 405 91 68 81 143	106 33 45 295 84 65 78 128	106 41 50 346 87 68 79 133	42.16 42.16 521.16 521.16 57.16 57.16 57.16 57.16 57.16
10111111	在对某些企业的企业。 在是基础中有证明。 新工作品来证证。 生产品和干部的证明证		124 204 202 313 102	105 205 252 299 206	225 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	50.5 20.5 21.7 21.7 13.7	36 170 141 118 66	114	35 168 137 114 58	30.4 51.5 52.0 77.5 33.7	42 112 106 138	70 69 72 128 63	37 85 78 130 86	32.2 26.1 30.1 42.8 50.0
を を を を を を を を を を を を を を を を を を を	## J	4 014 7 7 8 9 1 4 9 1 2 6 1 0	370 3 075 4 075 91	726 250 1 C73 88	332 279 1 035 80	6.9 10.0 12.1 4.3	195 93 202 203	122 101 101 101	126 88 196 53	38.0 30.1 18.9 60.2	114 143 330 27	131 131 131	114 141 330 26	34,3 50,5 31,9 29,5
ななられななのでは	Marah Marcaya Candaga Markaya Marah Ma Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Ma Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Marah Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma	Property of the second	TRACTOR AND	1711100 1711100 1711100 1711100 1711100	1247 1467 1467 1467 1473 1473 1473 1473 1473 1473 1473 147	48.55 17.50 17.50 17.50 17.50 17.50 17.50 17.50	277004 17004 1704 1704 1704 1704	255 255 255 255 255 425	25 186 70 30 388 75 54 436	249.3 47.3 47.3 16.9 126.4 226.5	39 189 53 57 531 74 104 879	32 168 42 479 74 74 798	35 184 49 508 74 842	27.6 24.6 31.1 30.6 35.3 22.1 27.7
なるなるないのでは、	HERALOU	A PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PRO	TA CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	141 777 157 157 644 441	THE STATE OF THE S	26.1 27.4 43.7 43.7 43.7 43.7 44.8 48.8	7646 7646 7676 7676 7676 7676	27 66 39 35 59 137 65	27 66 34 35 59 138 85 22	18.9 26.7 24.1 20.7 21.1 20.1 22.4	55 69 54 54 125 234 101	45 78 41 34 120 216 91	47 88 45 121 224 29	72.9 72.6 75.6 75.8 75.8 77.8 74.7 22.6
15 14 14		\$ \$5.50 \$7.50 \$8.50 \$8.50	631 70 115	579 61 94	624 63	21.9 25.4 14.9	352 23 34	350 23 32	32 23 380	60.5 35.4 32.7	42 16 21	37 7 19	41 11 20	6,5 16.9 20.4
10 to	Production * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	10F 8 507 81 703 F 703 F	215 201 201 201 201 201	45 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 1	468 964 359 167	10.9 5.7 7.7	263 663 663 112	754 663 266 112	355 663 266 112	72.7 68.8 74.1 59.9	42 175 36	35 175 34 36	40 175 36	8.2 18.2 10.0 19.3
的 医	等在医时间的企业公司。 公司基础。 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ROMAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	なるでは、 なるであるでは なるでいるとでは なるできなると なるできない。 なるでは、 なるできない。 とった。 とった。 とった。 とった。 とった。 とった。 とった。 とった	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	2507 2507 2507 2507 2507 2507 2507 2507	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	99 55 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 15	902 577 175 155 441	93 465 57 176 135 145 58	41.2 21.7 27.3 27.9 26.3 26.2 21.7	63 474 40 110 157 100 62	54 406 28 72 117 86	55 423 31 108 120 91 57	24.3 27.6 20.3 23.0 28.1 22.7 31.1
0	在大型工程的设施设施。 在大型工程的 电	interest	100	165	105	10.01	30	20 24	30 24	28,6 15,1	37 64	76 60	36 61	14.3 28.4
かって なっかん はなな	Langer on the an entremental property of the second property of the	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	Here and the second sec	TERM TERMINA	1007 L 8209	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	1079977 107997 203095	102 102 157 157 157 157 157 157 157	101 126 58 207 52 90 59 55	57.050.16.25 27.050.16.25 27.15.5 27.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17	725 147 409 409 61	26 83 36 409 21 61 25 61 23	285 406 406 406 420 420 420	17.3 28.4 31.1 36.8 31.9 21.9 21.5 21.5

leg	al services	and prosecu	tion		Indig	ent defense			Corr	ections			Othor cri	ninal justi	
Mu Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Numb Potal	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	Porcent of total criminal justice system employees	Total Remi	Full- time only	Pull-time equiva- icnt	Porcent of total eriminal justico system caploycos	Number This l	Full- time caly	Fullstine equiva- lent	Percent of total criminal justice system cmployees
141 141 147 277 225 226 238 21	27 9 7 60 17 17 27 16	29 10 7 72 20 19 21 31	13.6 10.4 7.3 10.7 10.4 12.7 12.7	11 4 50 5 5 6 6	11 6 25 6 5 6	11 4 69 6 5 6 9 6	840477.078 84047777.0	63 46 31 192 76 43 60 78	61 35 37 47 43 51 59	62 38 31 180 75 43 51 55 77	29.6 79.6 79.6 79.4 79.4 79.4 79.4 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6			# W	********
10 11 21 5	7 5 18 5	7 10 19 5	3.5 2.1 3.9 6.3 2.9	9		- 1	2.3	42 72 34 42 20	37 63 34 39 18	39 66 34 41 19	73.9 20.2 13.1 13.5 11.0	:	1 1 1	1111	
19 12 53 3	7 4 10	11 6 19 1	3.3 2.2 1.8 1.1	28	- 26	28	2.7	82 52 452 8	81 35 452 8	81 48 452 8	24,4 17.2 43.7 43.7	10	10	ıõ	1.0
25 105 14 17 120 14 16 222	23 98 127 1204 1204 1204 222	24 100 13 17 120 34 16 222	19.0 13.4 8.8 10.6 8.3 10.1 7.8 9.9	111111111		Section and the section of the secti		36 292 23 65 438 118 34 628	28 262 16 61 409 118 34	32 269 19 64 423 118 34 618	25.0 12.0 12.0 149.4 25.7 167.7	7 9 - 2 34 115	7 5 2 34 115	7 8 - 2 34 115	5.6 1.1 0.1 10.1 2.0 5.2
18 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	18 129 129 129 189 189 189	18 36 25 12 26 113 9	12.6 14.6 17.7 7.1 9.4 17.3 9.2	1 1 1 3 1	1 4 3 1	1 4 3	0.6	51 57 37 81 72 177 205 39	51 57 78 69 164 196	51 57 37 79 70 167 201 38	35.7 23.1 26.7 26.7 25.4 25.6 47.6 38.8	7	11111711	7	1.1
51 10 12	51 10 11	51 10 12	8.1 15.4 12.2	-	-		-	156 21 48	141 21 32	156 21 34	24.8 32.3 34.7	-	-	-	:
18 33 14 13	16 33 14 13	18 33 14 13	3.7 3.4 3.9 7.0	:			- -	77 90 43 27	58 90 41 25	63 90 43 26	12.9 9.3 12.0 13.9	14 6 -	11	75	2.5 0.3
21 125 17 47 75 76 20	17 113 13 43 31 31 20	18 117 13 44 34 34 20	8.0 7.6 8.5 9.4 8.5 10.9	9 4 5 5 17 -	9 3 4 17	9 4 3 4 17	4.00 0.00 4.10 4.10	56 553 60 146 117 112	50 478 46 127 111 111 47	51 496 49 133 115 111 48	22.6 72.4 72.0 728.9 726.7 726.7	# 14 75 T	100001	5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	0.3 0.6 0.7 0.7
13	13 16	13 16	12,4 10.1	-	1	-	-	26 65	26 55	26 58	24.8 36,5	-	:	:	\$
11 37 14 107 10 17 13 20	523 107 107 105 117 10	11 33 14 107 10 16 12 20	6.8 9.9 10.4 9.7 10.3 8.4 9.1	-			11111	29 97 282 382 779 755 14	21 73 21 382 10 16 71 35	22 80 21 381 22 74 75	17.6 24.0 15.6 34.7 11.3 11.5 19.6 15.9		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2 - 4	1,0

Crangency sets of counts to been

O case the tar to be counts to been

O case the tar to be able to desirate and the far all general Experimental Countions, above in the first volume, are for October 1972; therefore, the percent of

Disa the tare to be able to the special analysis and to the first believe, in a counting of the first volume, are for October 1972; therefore, the percent of

Cold counting to be able to the special counting the percent of the first cold to the special counting to be able to the special allocations and the special counting to be able to the special counting to the special coun

## Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Tosas	Colal criminal .	lastica system	Police p	rotectice	Jadio	:tal
「Applica Page 1970」という。 Cattor Cattor 1970」という。 The Cattor 1970」という。		(K Calmb phyliolis	etatur previi	Poscent of total (Atobar payroli	(ktaber psyroll	Percent of total crimical justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
#### * * * * * *	2	723 857	170 800	23.6	57 819	33,8	94 039	75,8
是,我们是种种的。 是我们是我们是我们的一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	* * * * * * * * * *	A STATE OF S	Here and the second sec	29.64.7 (1981) 19.7 (1981) 29.7 (1981) 29.7 (1981) 29.7 (1981)	14 176 30 93 91	28.9	21 187 43 105 46 31	45.0 34.3 42.1 41.0 36.0
表示美女师基本 在提供来等的位 表现是特定性表现的 & +	. 4	3 *23	23	O.A.		30,7	~1	33,0
在推荐的"以在市 所在对表现。"中的""","","","","",""。 "许有好条","","","","",""。		* 492 2 797	1 494	33.3°	384 369	25.7u	390 182	26.1 17.8
在投票单位签案包含 解155.再售价度 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	4	ote	137	39,4	38		37	27.8
《燕·李郎文》中 燕·秦郎文》中 和·秦郎文》中 和·伊斯森 也有的事業 和·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 中·斯林克斯公 明·斯林公 明·斯林		9 277 77 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2 005 to 100 to	30,14 ************************************	812 62 349 372 372 6 764 126	20.4 30.2 30.1 30.1 30.1 34.5 29.7	482 46 279 196 156 9 277 136	16.8 22.2 17.4 14.5 23.2 23.7
Winderster		A L S do Da T L L	5774470000 5774470000 1 57442000 2 257 2 257 2 277 2 270	27.4 31.5 39.2 33.4 33.4 40.4 24.7 18.3	192 773 498 817 717 816 275 104	21.0 31.6 30.2 31.6	95 933 238 309 936 157 157	15.7 25.4 16.4 13.7 19.4 23.6 17.5
の有性 解集的意识 。 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	TERMININANTANANTANANTANANTANANTANANTANANTAN	100948min 200948min 200948min 200948min 200048min 200048	70, 8 76, 8 76, 8 25, 8 20, 7 20, 7 26, 1 26, 2 26, 2 26, 3 26, 3	320 304 650 120 764 164 132 191	33.4 24.1 32.5 17.4 31.4 27.0 32.0	262 129 179 71 111 92 93 64	20.4 14.2 17.7 19.4 25.5 17.6 19.5
(15)(中華)(日本 表"海和斯」(15) 中 中 中 中 中 市 海和斯斯斯」(15) 中 中 中 市 市 市 日本 中 市 市 日本 中 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 日本 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 日本 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 日本 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市		Arthurst Hold Property and Prop	142 120 00 142 120 00 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142	19.64.0 100.	84 77 95 50 81	501.00 91.00	14 17 17 18 19	3.6 3.1 11.5 2.6 10.6
家山通繁春芹菜菜 和花樹 艾春原等支養者 第 章 章 春 春	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 134	267	25,3	224	79.8	\$0	17.2
下《作意到报告 通点就是现在 《 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	COCOCA A COC	720 : 271 626 1 705 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 3	70	130 135 264 1 917 194 253	26.2	32 50 173 774 61 460 22	14.87 27.74 23.49 197.83
STORM OF THE RESERVED TO THE STORM OF THE ST	* * * * * * *	394 2 394 2 394 1 407 1 407 709	\$000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000	444.35 444.35 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	2012 2012 2012 2003 2004 2004 2004 2004 2004	######################################	16A 16A 19A 19A 19A 19A 19A 19A 19A 19A 19A 19	36,3 27,5 19,5 29,3 21,1 28,7
COCOCEO CONTROL DE COCOCEO CONTROL DE COCOCEO	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	#40 / An / A	150 mm	## 2	250 mg 251 mg 25	32,40 money 32,0 money 52,0 money 52,37	5.9 minutes 1 mi	35,2 35,2 39,8 17,0 46,9

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		ervices and ecution	Indlgen	t defense	Cora	eations	Other ari	oinal justico
County	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	Detabor Octobor	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	17 685	10.4	3 440	2,0	47 398	27.7	484	0,3
ALABAMA: CALHOUN JEFFERSON MADISON HOBILE HONTGORERY TUSCALOOSA	1 38 9 9 5	8.7 3.4 3.5		1	10 144 21 49 37 21	21.6 26.4 20.3 19.5 28.6 22.2	-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	23	100.0	-	-				
ARIZONA: MARICOPA PIMA	181 135	12.1 13.2	90 55	6,0 5,4	456 282	30.1 27,5	The state of the s	-
ARKANSASI PULASKI	24	16.2	10	7,5	24	17.8		n principal de la companya de la com
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA BUTTE CONTRA COSTA FRESNO KERN LOS ANGELES MARIN HERCED	313 30 229 126 130 2 404 64	14.7 11.2 12.0 10.6 10.8	204 82 51 39 986 32	7.1 5.3 4.3 3.6 4.3 5.5	1 052 67 621 411 381 7 312 214 78	36.8 32.8 39.8 36.6 35.4 32.2 36.3	mana, constitution of the	3.1
MONTEREY.  CRANGE. RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO. SAN BERNARDINO. SAN DIEGO. SAN JOAQUIN. SAN LUIS OBISPO.	88 450 187 236 234 480 117 49	12.2 11.9 14.9 10.3 12.2 13.1	35 136 53 91 87	3,4	197 1 358 578 697 786 1 687 293	32.4 37.2 36.7 30.9 34.7 42.7 32.7 31.0	15 45 50 11	0.2
SAN HATEO SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ, SOLANO. SONOMA, STANISLAUS, TULARE, VENTURA	142 115 343 62 45 63 76 65 160	12.6 12.7 17.1 10.3 12.1 15.9 13.3	36 117 25 21 13 19	4.2 4.3 5.6 4.0 2.8 3.8 4.0	632 323 1 099 112 179 183 157 152 375	45.8 35.5 40.7 30.6 41.1 34.9 32.9 30.9	10	0.4
COLORADO1 AOAHS	38 23 25 43 54 26	18.8 25.3 32.6 30.3			15 20 17 35 23	10.4 16.0 17.4 26.7 13.0 22.3		5.4
TELAWARE:	9	3,0	-	-		_		-
FLORIDA: ALACHUA BREVARD BROWARD OADE ESCAMBIA HILLSBOROUGH LEE	19 19 49 4 16 5	1,5	13	0,4 0,2 0,6	54 16 140 505 46 222	24,6 6,8 22,4 19,1 15,0 23,0	9 51 6	0.7
LEON. ORANGE. PALM BEACH. PINELLAS, POLK. SARASOTA. VOLUSIA.	19 14 8 1	3.3 2.7 2.4 0.5	-	-	19 36 111 33 43 14 51	19.0 6.7 13.1 6.5	The second secon	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
GEORGÍAI BIBB. CHATMAH COUBS. DE KALB FULTON.	19 20 19 34 122	13.2	10 23	2.5	305	18.9 12.2 17.0 33.0	Minimum and the control of the contr	

See footnotes at and of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	<b>9</b> ,337,000	fotel	Total criminal ;	ustice system	Police p	rotection	Judicial	
American sur survey	Tiber	fatcher payroll	Dolaber payroll	Percent of total October payrol1	October psyroll	Percent of total oriminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOANICE	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	217	105	49,6	40	78.3	31	29.6
RANGE CANE	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	290 16 728 1 128 406 1 098 1 204 204	432 216 276	75.7 71.0 75.5 26.7 47.9 37.0	37 709 143 43 110 26 73	12,1 33,0 37,1 39,6 41,3 58,2	18 2 901 112 43 50 14 17 22	17.1 49.7 25.9 18.1 22.1 13.6
or claim		2011 511 400 1200 1200 1200 1511 1511	98 266 157 128 156 139 72 72 184 233	27.4.7. 49.4.7. 59.4.7. 59.4.7. 59.4.7. 42.1	40 64 70 58 56 279 94	31.0 44.6 46.7 24.5 40.2 41.2	23 25 25 21 26 15 43	23.6 28.3 15.6 31.4 18.7 20.5 25.1
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	971 249 2053 2053 2022 2060 2060 2060 2060 2060 2060 206	258 65 101 442 70 64 172 54 117	26.6 25.7 26.7 31.4 31.3 29.4 30.3	75 20 37 157 21 19 42 22 37 21	31.1 37.1 35.5 29.6 21.8 25.6 41.6	61 20 33 130 24 60 12 25	23.5 30.3 32.6 29.4 28.4 37.1 34.8 21.4 21.1
CHAP CLACK HANK, LEWS, * * * PLIE * * * SECRY * * * ** ***	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	390 606 1 128 416 318	118 344 99	22.4 19.5 10.5 23.7 24.6	26 32 56 31 12	27.3 16.3 31.8	31 34 100 36 44	35.4 29.1 28.9 36.1 55.7
<b>"在我们还有有的效果</b> "。	2	522 708 249 359	197 195 126	27.8 25.7 12.0 36.5	55 47 25 17	24.31	74 79 42 68	37.4 40.7 33.1 52.5
PAYRYSE DEFERRED PROSECUL	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	(* 1 2 639 194	1\1 791 50	(*) 30.0 26.0	(*) 486 21	(*) 61,5 41,0	(* ) 73 7	(*) 9.2 14.0
euriebianki Caroarieb Caroarieb Careario Carearie Ca Carearie Care	T	471 2 471 2 470 2 476 2 476 2 476	105 196 99	43.8 39.1 32.8 43.7 45.4	86 119 463 52 66	64.4 58.1 52.6 58.9	28 33 229 24 15	15.4 17.6 28.8 23.9 13.4 16.2
を表現しません。 ・ すりではないので、 ・ すりではないできまれています。 ・ まれていまままます。	10 TEMPORAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE	67 25 14	46 27	72,7 81,4 60,5	14 ? 5	30.8 26.4 19.8	15 12 8	32.2 43.1 30.7
在	Commence	6 196 275 61 205 2 209 52 209 450 250 2	125 1 559 1 586	5.0 6.8 8.2	431 ± 358 45 1 276 1 297	76.4 81.8	52 89 36 98 242	29.0 6.3 14,4
TOTAL TE		在		117.0 50.1 70.5 40.4 177.2	## ***********************************	•	32 150 165 159 32 557 169 241	46.2 45.2 35.2 54.9 51.9

#### Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

		ervices and	Indige	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other ori	minal justice
County	October payroll	Percent of total criminal juntice system	October _pmyrol1	Porcent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Porcent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO1	13	12,7			50	19.3	•	
ILLINOISI CHAMPAIGN COOK DU PAGE KANE LAKE LAKE HCHENRY HCHENRY	18 659 57 24 47 8 13	17.1 11.3 13.2 10.9 16.9 12.3 10.5	6 252 24 5 6 2 3	5.7.5 QU.7.5 Y	26 1 320 93 64 62 13 19	52.6 2219.25 22.25 22.25 22.55 21.56	1,352	0.7
MACON MADISON PEORIA ROCK ISLAND ST. CLAIR SANGAHON TAZEMELL WILL WINNESAGO MINNESAGO	16 25 23 16 20 26 16 30	14.5 13.1 12.9 18.8 21.9 16.2	4 8 4 2 10 3 5 7	2.7 1.4 6.5 2.3 4.2 2.7	14 51 35 28 39 28 9 23 58	14.8 24.8 22.4 22.7 20.0 12.7 25.0	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	
INDIANA: ALLEN DELAWARE. ELKHART LAKE. LA PORTE. HADISON ST. JOSEPH. TIPPECANDE. VANDERBURGH	20 5 58 6 5 13 15	8,2 4,7 13,1 8,9 7,8 7,8 8,4 13,1	10 22 12 12 33 33 34 33	2.7 1.4 2.7 5.1 1.8 3.4	92 18 24 76 23 18 52 13 26	30.0 24.8 30.5	10	2.2
IOWA; BLACK HAWK	11 12 35 17	10.5 10.0 17.0	11		20 39 139 15	40.3 15.1		1.3
KANSASI JOHNSON SEDGMICK, SHAMMEE WYANDOTTE	31 23 14 20	11.6		:	37 45 45 24	23.3		
KENTUCKY: FAYETTE JEFFERSON KENTON	( 3 ) 50	6.3	(*)	•  •	(*) 182 14	23.0	(*	(*)
LOUISIANAI CADDO CALGASIEU JEFFERSON LAFAYETTE OUACHITA RAPIDES , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10	7.5 5.8 6.3 8.1	(Z	0.1	55 57 16 22	10,4 7,2 15.9 19,3		
MAINET CUMBERLAND. PENOBSCOT YORK.		7 1 A'4			16	25.0		
MARYLANDI ANNE ARUNDEL, BALTIMORE HARFORD MONTGOMERY PRINCE GEORGES. WASHINGTON.	4 8 1 8 11	5.2 14.1 5.5			33	20.5 7 6.2 3 1.9		0.2
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE BERSTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE MIDDLESEX NORFOLK PLYMOUTH, WGRCESTER	1 1 2 3	9 5.4		2 2,0	12 17 17 5 42 11	1 44.0 8 49.8 4 49.4 5 59.9 6 42.0 7 36.1		

## Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Approximation of the state of t	10141	fixed extenses		Police p	roisetion	Judi	isi
Commen	(MECON) 14) 1711	PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	Percent of total October popreti	October payroli	Percent of total original justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
於實際的數數數 數數學 表 《 《 》 》 》 》 《 《 》 《 完全的數數數 可是此時間的 《 》 》 》 》 《 》 》 《 》 》 可是此時間的 《 》 》 》 》 《 》 》 《 》 》 可能的數數。 《 》 》 》 》 《 》 》 《 》 》 《 》 》 》 》 《 》 》 》 《 》 》 》 》 》 》 》 》 》 》	# A # # \$250 # * * * * \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	124 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203	21.6 0.80	38 35 39 203 87 39 92 146	39.8 21.8 21.1 28.7 26.7 25.9	90 85 66 197 111 52 84	72.1 73.6 76.1 28.0 77.5 71.8 20.5
對於《《於四十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二	78	102 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176	337-6.600-1-0-1-0-1-0-1-0-1-0-1-0-1-0-1-0-1-0-	165 60 39 225 48 69 1 84	26,7 27,0 27,0 24,0 25,0 26,5 26,5 25,0	239 57 71 317 357 87 59 133 1 244	38.5 35.2 40.3 34.9 31.6 35.3 45.9 22.9
MARINES CARA  AND	20	7 104 6 132 5 642	5.55.2 5.55.2 7.50.2 7.	63 28 259 147 75	37,4 26,9 22,9 22,9 24,9	57 50 296 111 107	31.4 48.0 26.1 17.3 35.5
和竞赛等的信息。 到在代表的信息。 和自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的信息。 由自己的。 由己的。	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	6 89 6 69	28.0 9.2	34 22	38,4 0,70	38 30	43.4 46.3
种类型及介质素的 人名葡罗克 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 可能是有可能。 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 工具等更多数的作为。 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 图形,因及了图像, 中 中 中 中 中 中 中	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	9 00 1 606		20 70 72 25 697	34,5 35,0 10,4 41,6 54,4	19 229 32 30 30	21.6 38.2 33.4 36.6 23.4
前置で注意器を存す でに300位を展覧 ル セ セ セ セ セ セ キ コ マ東阿2番替 モ ま ェ ま セ モ カ ロ セ			12.5 16.6	51 22	18.4 20,6	66 43	23.8 40.8
元子(本) () 単数数 4 4 4 5 7 7 5 7 7 7 () 単数数 5 4 7 8 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8			37.0 19.4	1 005 1J0	65.1 37.2	18 9	7.2 16.5
発改算 が実践を表現的な異な 対象。文本は「第二次に対象」 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		18.8 18.7	10 17		22 12	33.2 29.7
	2 30	1 944 929 131 132 139 2 908 159	19.10.11.14.1 19.10.15.14.1 19.10.15.14.1 19.10.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.	72 153 10 10 11 279 23	16.2 4.2 8.9 7.8	51 357 72 127 41 739 70 342	20.6 37.8 31.3 24.0 29.6 29.6 25.6
報報の登録。 中 本 ま か は れ ま ま か は は ま ま は は は ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま		5	71.9 75.0 71.0	30 81 71 50 51 19	7.9 10.2	74 228 117 70 74 217 46 266	
· 有一种 · 有事 · 自 · 自 · 自 · 自 · 自 · 自 · 自 · 自 · 自 ·		7. 149	13,3	122		8	2*4
在范围 化二甲基丙基丙基 化二甲基甲基 化二甲基甲基 化二甲基甲基 化二甲基甲基 化二甲基甲基 化二甲基甲基 化二甲基甲基 化二甲基 化二	\$ 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	是	10.2	50 57 57 58 58 59 114 5 759	26.7 19.3 31.4	56 43 27 16 60 305 235 1 219	23.1 22.5 24.5 27.8 23.8 23.5

## Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		logal se	ervices and	Indige	nt defense	Cor	rections	Other cri	minal justice
	County	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total oriminal justice system	Octobor payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Porcent of total criminal justice system
GENESEE		16 26 11 111 42 23 33 32	13.0 10.4 5.9 15.7 12.5 14.2 10.5	7	5,5	24 87 67 190 92 50 109	19,2 34.3 36.8 26.9 27.8 30.3 34.6	55	0.7
SAGINAN		92 14 12 105 5 30 18 30 337	13.8 8.7 7.0 11.5 5.3 12.0 14.3 7.4 11.0	- - - 17 4	1.2	117 31 58 257 21 61 51 137 1 021	18.9 72.8 28.3 18.8 24.8 79.3 77.8	7 24 5 14 7	1.2
MINNESOTAI ANOKA DAKOTA HENNEPIN RAHSEY ST. LOUIS		22 13 104 57 26	12.8 12.5 9.2 8.8 8.6	5 53 6	3.1 4.7 1.0 0.4	26 13 413 321 92	15.2 12.6 36.5 50.0 30.6	1 1 6 1 1	0.5 -
HISSISSIPPI: HARRISON HINDS		5 1	5.8 4.2		-	11 10	12.4 15.5		•
MISSOURI: CLAY. GREENE. JACKSON JEFFERSON ST. LOUIS	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	10 11 90 8 86	11.6 13.3 13.1 14.3 6.7	## ## ##		28 12 295 4 198	32.2 13.5 43.1 7.5 15.5		
NEBRASKA: DOUGLAS LANCASTER:		36 21	13,1 20,0	29 8	10.5 7.9	11 95	34.2 10.7	•	
NEVADA: CLARK MASHCE	• • • • • • • • • • • •	10 ½ 58	6.A 15.7	44 25	2,8 6,6	309 80	20.0 21.5	<b>2</b>	Q.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH, ROCKINGHAM.	6	4 2	6.3 4.6		# #	3 <u>1</u>	46.4 22.3	:	
NEW JERSEY: ATLANTIC. BERGEN. BURLINGTON. CAMDEN. CUMBERLAND. ESSEX BLOUCESTER. HUDSON.		68 169 50 114 20 378 30 162	27.7 17.9 21.9 21.5 14.7 15.1 19.8			99 265 98 237 66 1 092 64 280	40.2 28.1 42.6 44.7 47.8 43.7 41.6	5	2.5 1.0 0.5
MERCER. MIDDLESEX MONHOUTH. MORRIS. OCEAN PASSAIC SCHENSET. UNION		07 155 60 66 54 115 40 221	22,9 21,3 16,6 20,5 20,1 17,7 21,0 27,2	# # # # # #		188 249 143 115 83 257 84	49.4 34.3 39.3 35.8 31.7 39.6 44.5	2 12 - 8 7	0.4 1.7 
NEW PEXICO: BERNALILLO, .		2	1.4	=		51	14.2		
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BROOME. CHAUTALOUA. CHEHUNG. OUTCHESS. ERIE. NORROE.		79 21 10 13 34 193 108 344	10-1 11-3 11-7 11-5 13-4 14-7 16-8 4-7	14 8 8 4 17 40	5,6 4,5 6,1 3,6 6,8 4,0	84 57 25 46 75 549 304 1 057	41.8 30.4	15	0.9

See footnotes at end of table

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Ipisi	Total Criminal	justice system	Police p	rotection	Judi	ciel
Canada Sapara anticoporto como esta para casa a casa de la como esta como es	October paymul	Outober pryroil	Percent of form) October payrol1	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October psyrol1	Percent of total criminal justice system
選挙 全で表を一定に行わてまかほう 和見を自身を の記まさみ。 のに対したがあった。 のに対したがあった。 のに対したがあった。 のはなどにした。 のはなどにしたがあった。 のはなどにしたがあった。 のはなどにしたがあった。 のはなどにしたがあった。 ので、よる実材をいこま。	1 029 1 366 1 657 1 757 1 267 1 267 1 406	290 116 92	15.3 19.9 11.5 15.3 9.2 14.7	79 31 200 32 26 20 20 20 25	33.1 16.8 20.2 15.9 16.5 27.9	64 60 111 55 27 27 34 68 23	26.6 23.2 15.4 29.7 28.7 25.7
Sination	516 922 11 266 939 776		15.5 10.4 47.2 11.3 20.6	17 5 706 22 429	21.6 5.6 69.7 20.0 30.7	28 40 661 27 244	34.4 41.3 12.4 25.0 17.5
CRIC CAROLINAS  CONSENSAS  CONTAS SAS SAS SAS SAS SAS SAS SAS SAS SAS	7 1965 3 9513 2 513 2 729 5 600 6 141 1 113 3 965	70 100 91 116 153 273 45	2.06 2.06 2.06 2.07 2.07 2.07 2.07	41 71 38 49 81 152 48	59.2 71.6 75.6 37.7 64.9 56.2 71.3	8 14 1 91 8 24 48 7	11.0 13.9 1.4 35.2 10.7 15.7 17.8 15.1 2.1
HISOS ALERT O A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	272 562 720 720 720 720 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740	89 146 64 71 396 574 102 897 152 66	23.9 19.3 16.4 22.4 22.7 27.7 27.18	27 37 30 18 210 110 38 257 35	20.0 25.7 25.6 15.0 19.2 27.1 28.7 23.0	36 59 29 33 684 261 37 350 68	40.1 40.5 34.1 49.0 45.5 35.9 39.0 45.1
SCHAIN	734 1 028 1 028 2 045 2 045 4 040 2 040	224 949 186 618 114 95 257 128	30.59 18.11 22.00 12.00 12.00 121.00 121.00	72 68 42 164 33 29 74 110	32.7 14.7 26.5 26.6 20.6 25.6 25.9	78 219 85 213 53 39 91 167 76	34.9 47.7 45.7 34.4 46.0 40.8 35.4 48.8
REGISTRE CONSTRUCTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	5KC 583 5KB	22 105 211	6.7 27.1 25.3	6 42 51	29,0 22,9 24,2	5 69 79	39.0 37.4 37.7
Henche CLASMANAS DE TOTAL DE TENTE CANDO DE TOTAL DE TOTA	70% 1 108 2 009 673	109 319 107 1 126 226	24.0 27.3 33.1 36.0 33.7	74 109 49 412 109	43.6 34.3 29.1 36.6 48.1	35 118 37 250 31	20,4 36.8 22,2 22,2 13.6
**************************************	0 200 540 550 502 502 903 903 903 903	57 339	26.0 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.9	385 26 2 2 45 7	1,2 2,9 13,4 12,6	626 717 717 717 717 717 717	38.9 44.8 44.5 47.5 28.4 44.0
在基本的工作。	在中心自己的中心。 在中心自己的中心。 可以是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	534 1365 1405 1405 1405 1405	7 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	214 18 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	7507 REAL	79 631 231 14 19 69 22	29.1 38.0 33.9 43.8 35.0 51.4 74.7 51.4 39.8

see footmotes at end of table.

## Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

		orvices and ecution	Indige	nt defense	Con	rections	Other cris	inal justico
County	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal Justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total oriminal justice syntem
NEW YORK—CONTINUED NIAGARA ONEIDA. ONONDAGA. ORANGE. OSKEGO. RENSSELAER. ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENCE.	24 30 68 37 10 18 62	10.0 16.4 9.6 18.0 10.8 15.5 21.5	9 8 - (Z) 6 16	3.7 4.4 - 0.2 5.1 5.4	64 53 329 72 29 40 62 31	26.6 29.3 46.4 35.0 31.4 24.4 24.6		0.2
SARATOGA	14 14 306 18 234	17.2 15.1 5.8 17.2 16.7	1 (Z)	3.7 0.5 0.4	19 36 638 40 487	23.1 37.4 12.0 37.5 34.8	- 5 4	0.1
NORTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE. CUMBERLAND. DURHAM. FORSYTH GASTON. GUILFORD. HECKLENBURG ONSLON. WAKE.	1 1 6 2 4 1	1.6 2.5 5.1 2.3 2.3 1.6 6.4			20 15 10 26 17 45 67 9	28.0 15.0 20.0 22.0 22.0 24.5 19.1 20.3	**********	16
CHIO: ALLEN BUTLER CLARK COLUMBIANA CUYAHOGA FRANKLIN GREENE HAMILTON LAKE LICKING	11 11 8 9 1322 66 12 53 12	12.0 7.5 9.1 11.5 9.5 11.4 11.9 5.9 8.2 11.5	3 3 4 4	4.2	16 38 18 9 370 137 15 237 36	77.4825904786.786.78	3 5 1 5 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
LORAIN. LUCAS MAHONING MONTGOMERY. PORTAGE RICHLAND. STARK SUMMIT. TRUMBULL	21 26 20 60 11 10 25 36	9.2 5.7 10.6 9.8 10.7 9.7 8.5 7.6	1.1.65.50	1.4	50 143 39 181 16 18 63 116 17	22.1 31.8 21.1 29.3 14.1 18.5 24.5 10.7	3	1.4
UKLAHOMAI COMANCHE. OKLAHOMA. TULSA	-	٤	14 10	7.7 4.5	7 59 71	32.0 32.0 33.5	•	•
OREGON: CLACKAMAS LANE MARION MULTNOMAH WASHINGTON	21 42 22 135 28	12.7 13.1 12.9 12.0 12.3		- - -	39 51 59 329 59	23.3 15.8 35.7 29.2 26.0	*	(z)
PENNSYLVANIA: ALLEGHENY BEAVER, BEARS, BLAIR BUCKS, BUTLER, CAMBRIA	176 17 21 6 52 7	10,9 10,9 13.3 10.1 15.4 12.4 16.5	53 65 5 20 20 5	3.3 3.6 3.2 5.0 5.9 3.7 7.3	370 38 60 20 91 18 22	23.0 24.2 37.8 34.5 26.5 21.9 29.7	• • • • • • •	
CHESTER CURBERLAND DAUPHIN DELAMARE ERIE FAYETTE FAYETTE LASKAWANNA LANGASTER LASKAWANNA LANGASTER LABRERCE LEHIGH	64 77 34 75 24 8 5 19 16 8	25.5 9.0 18.6 14.2 13.0 12.3 9.3 15.6 10.1 16.7 13.7	14 3 10 17 12 3 3 7	5.7 7.2 5.4 3.2 6.8 4.6 5.1 5.6 3.5 6.0	93 36 77 166 78 20 27 30 71 12 66	34.4 41.5 742.7 20.4 49.4 49.4 25.3 44.6 21.9	1144873334873	

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	7>2+1	total cristsol	tastice system	Police p	rotection	Judio	in1
Compression of the compression o	Deficient Deprodit	Calcier payroll	Current of total (wicker tayroll	October payrol1	Farcent of total criminal justice system	October psyroll	Forcest of total crisina justice system
学生にあるなら、またの言葉をは、これではない。 なっては、春秋で、 ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま ま	760 760 201 2174 543 543 341 526 316	69 64 651 651 67 157		4 2 11 3 3 2 6 11 14	0.25 2.57 5.8	70 29 31 284 53 46 77 64	47,2 41,5 45,6 51,6 40,6 40,2 46,2
をCO-DAX 行為民工と言称系の 不成に言称でいる。 メ ダ は は は は は は ま ま ば では は に は は は は は は は は は は は は は は は は	125 930 938 1 938 1 793	241 197	20,0	24 126 105 89	53.6	26 70 62 95 68	33.6 29.2 31.7 42.0 51.9
## (CCC # 10 CCC # 10	3 ACA 1 BBY 25 140 1 A11	358	10,6	90 57 185 37	35,3 21.6	85 74 278 20	36.8 45.7 32.4 31.0
で変え合う	151 7214 224 225 4 726 666 677	1 358 225 169	91.9 30.3 29.5 26.2	154 46 46 238 24 24 27	45.1 19.9 24.9 22.6 28.0	21 137 30 30 509 53 769	31.9
報告の表に行う ド・ド・ド・ド・ エ 年 日 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	24324 24324 2534 2532 2532 2532 2532 253	199 113 198 530	49.3 15.8 26.9	17 24 26 47 109 109	26.5 26.2 28.7 24.2 10.9 20.9	29 72 27 27 78 186 171 22	29. 23. 40. 35. 21.
· 李建朝 教教室 克森斯蘭 《 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 354 259 454	į vy	27,14	54 19 59.6	40.7	39 7 13	16.
和自己的 本文学 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	# 82% 67% # 67% # 76%	1 126	7.2	111 228 498 498	75.8 74.2	40 148 27 31	12.
**************************************	等	00.2 1 00.4 00.4 00.4 00.4 00.4 00.4	10.5 10.2 14.4 15.4 40.5	85 592 98 182 123 193	16.8 16.8 12.2 18.9	76 24 61 61 75 75	26, 18, 18, 26, 21,
#\$47 #\$\$5\$7\$66	217 1787	120		14		31 53	37. 40.
與自己以致實際的 類類 使然 在 京 等 等 第 第 4 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 (基礎的 ) (基础) (基础) (基础) (基础) (基础) (基础) (基础) (基	表面是它们也然处于我 在写真它们也然处于我 事情是可以决策 我 母母可以决策 我 我	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		\$7 \$3 \$1	7 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	17 21 21	26. 28. 14. 21.

COM CONTRACTOR OF NOTINE THE LA

#### Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

				ervices and ecution	Indige	nt defense	Cor	rrections	Other cris	ninci justice
	County		October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroli	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total crisical justice system
PENNSYLVANIA- LUZERNE LYCOMING, MERCEN, HONTGOMERY, NORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL, WASHINGTON, WESTMORELAN YORK,			22 8 62 15 15 15 12 12 12	10.2 11.3 11.0 16.9 14.8 16.2	875244405	5.3 4.8 7.6 4.0 2.0 4.4 3.7 4.1 2.3	457 20 48 58 773 752 752	30.5 39.8 31.6 26.9 42.9 27.2 31.6 24.2		-
SOUTH CAROLIN ANDERSON CHARLESTON. GREENVILLE. RICHLAND	A:		3 6 8 21 4	3.3 2.6 4.2 9.1 3.1	- - - 3	2,6	25 39 21 26 13	32.0 16.1 10.6 11.4 9.7	* * T * *	=
TENNESSEE: HAHILTON KNOX. SHELBY SULLIVAN	* * * * * *		8 6 24 2	3.6 3.9 2.8 2.7	27	3,1	48 25 334 5	20.7 15.2 39.0 8.4	1 10 1	1.0
TEXASI BELL BEXAR GRAZORIA CAMERON DALLAS EL PASO GALVESTON HARRIS			19 102 12 15 146 27 17 267	23.9 18.5 11.6 15.9 10.7 12.2 10.5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		17 172 12 30 362 73 21 476	22.2 31.3 11.4 72.3 26.7 72.3 12.5	55 7227 88	#.8 0.9 - 0.2 9.6 1.8
LUBBOCK HCLENHAN. NUECES. TARRANT TRAVIS	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		14 33 20 11 24 102 38	16.2 16.7 21.2 9.3 12.0 19.3 11.7	5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.9	25 41 21 50 47 133 147 28	29.1 20.7 23.1 44.1 23.8 25.0 44.8 37.3	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	0.7
SALT LAKE . UTAH WEBER	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* # * * * * * * * * * *	64 10 10	13.1 23.0 14.4		• •	99 9 24	20.4 20.2 33.0	*	-
VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON , FAIRFAX HENRICO . PRINCE WILL			)23 44 16 13	3.8 3.6 3.4 7.2	-	**	63 103 34 23	10.4 8.4 11.2 17.2	11	1.8
WASHING ON CLARK KING. KINSA. PIERCE. SNOWOWISH. SPOKANE. YAKIMA.			78 27 27 28 53 153 50	8,2 10,2 12,2 5,3 9,0	8 4 5 18	3.8 0.3 3.1 1.1 5.2	41 437 41 107 81 84	20.0 29.0 31.4 24.9 24.7 27.7	6 L 4 3 3 1	0.4 0.9 0.8 0.7
WEST VIRGINIA CABELL KANAWHI			14 17	16.9 13,3			17 41	20.7 32.1		•
WISCONSILL BROWN DANE KENOSHI MILWALKEE CUTAGANIE RACINE ROCK WANKESIA	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		10 41 15 134 11 18 12 19	6.8 11.7 11.3 12.3 12.3 7.4 9.8	***		17 83 20 393 9 18 67 34 5	11.9 22.7 15.2 32.6 10.9 10.1 39.9 17.9	* * * 2	1.1

Represents zero or rounds to zero.

That then held the unit of measurement shown.

That this held the unit of measurement shown.

That this locater payroli data for all general governmental functions, shown in the first column, are for October 1973; therefore, the percent of total payroli taged for criminal justice functions, shown in the third column, is overstated.

The total October payroli data for all general governmental functions, shown in the first column, are for October 1973; therefore, the percent of total payroli used for criminal justice functions, shown in the first column, are for October 1973; therefore, the percent of total payroli used for criminal justice functions, shown in the first column, are for October 1973; therefore, the percent of total payroli used for criminal justice functions, shown in the first column, are for October 1973; therefore, the percent of total payroli used for criminal justice functions, shown in the first column, are for October 1973; therefore, the percent of total payroli used for criminal justice functions, shown in the third column, as overstated.

\*As of jaments, all deployees of this joint governments are now shown as employees of Lexington city, Kentucky in the city tables presented elsewhers in this report.

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

## Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

***	4			*	Jest sass			T	Intergove	ornaental axp	enditura
e Energis≢	1 1	Tutas	and the party of t	Direct	oca prakajanjanjanjanjanjanjanjanjanjanjanjanjan	INTEGES	cutter			To State	To local
	1		Į.	CHESTE	Total	insentură.	Cocstruc* tion	last	Total	governments	Toasttwents
BURE O A RESERVE	1		2 494 646 2	C85 KK	160 966	59 235	100 347	6 384	42 196	23 344	18 802
发生性在外围的 形式上面的现在分词 电电子 电 相关电影性 电压力 电电子 电 相关电影性 电压力 电压力 电 用式电影性 电压力 电压力 电 用式电影性 电压力 电压力 电 用式电影性 电压力 电压力 电 电影性 电压力 电压力 电压力 电 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力 电压力	***	# 1000 #	Proceedings of the control of the co	717 6 719 1 495 2 626 1 692	125 AC 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176	12 315 38 73 16 76	100	1 1 1 1 2 1	12	-	12
表达美国发展术 会国家美国教育、美国企业企作者及2000年		1 633	440	476	4	4	**	-	1 153	79	1 074
######################################	- Company	ch del col te	12 CA4 34 G41	18 4J7 12 320		379 779	5 649	176	61	43	1.5
建筑原有心态存货点 斯达·英俊明音点 A 4 4 4 4 4 4	* * * *	a heoj	2 468	. 191 g	327	102	225	-			•
《《《· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	A SERVICE OF THE SERV	45 665 7 727 24 672 14 672 14 712 7 712 7 717	44 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 5	1 C83 193 928 190 190 190 190 190 1976	587 25 165 89 153 3 035 75	496 138 259 186 18 686 21	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	105 32 301 276 5	105 32 16 276	285
旅行的主義的で 。 。 。 。 。 の の発表が応動 。 。 ま 。 。 。 の発表が応動 。 。 ま 。 。 。 の表表があるのできる。 ま 。 。 。 なまが出来が取れない 。 。 。 。 。 。	** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Control of the contro	7 151 1 12 151 1 12 151 1 12 151 1 12 151 1 15 151 1 15 151 1	5 111 5 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6	216 2790 2 097 2 097 1 097 1 598 1 600	1 349	1 246 2 051 402 379 85	755 755 00a 1	58 192 193 193	3:	162
为基础 相关管管 。 1、 1 4 4 4 性色 7 5 4 6 4 4 性色 7 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		COCCEPTANTAL COLORS OF THE COCCEPTANT OF THE COCCEPTANT OF THE COCCEPTANT O	Read of the second line of the s	22 450 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	74 74 1 674 1 59	34 72 164 34	492 1 135 2 1 519 155	92	1 11 125 231	12	
在工作的 中央	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	And the state of t	TOTAL STATES	1 7360 1 7360 2 7067 2 706	2 00	1	1 979	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	•		143
\$5年《安徽大学》 * * * * *		3 743	3 743	1 571	17;	17:	2			•	•
程文(別を記事す 通法をはなれる。 + ロ * 4 * 9 最初を重要的である。 + 0 * 5 * 1 * 1 を取りを表示しまって、 * * * 1 元本の数。 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			101 P Cir O1 970 AF 180 P 180 P	7 74 74 74 74 74 10 74	1 53 1 53	18 29 1 14 13	1 20 1 39 1	A ANALYSICAL COMPANY			
TANKS OF THE STATE	1	**************************************	195 ¢	# 000 # 111	2 32 5 43 2 21	6 25 8 75 7 75 1 75	6 17 5 15 9 23				
発表を表現 を表現を を表現を を を を を を を を を を を を を を	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	# 195 # 576	3 90	* at		14 A 12 A 15 A A 17 A A	000		- - - - - -	1 52

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM '

165

Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

				Direct expe	nditure			Intergo	ornmental exp	onditure
County	Total		Direct		Capital	outlay			To State	To local
		Total	current	Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govornmonts	governments
IDAHCI ADA	1 587	1 572	1 395	177	48	129	•	15	15	
ILLINGIS: CHAMPAIGN. COOK. DU PAGE. KANE LAKE LAKE LAKE LA SALLE MCHEMRY. HCLEAN.	1 564 88 512 5 048 2 823 3 927 1 022 1 712 1 237	1 564 84 312 5 048 2 823 3 927 1 725 1 701 1 237	1 525 76 294 4 890 2 631 3 628 988 1 614 1 211	39 8 018 158 192 299 37 87 26	38 2 084 137 49 299 37 87 26	5 934 21 143		4 200 - - - 11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 200
MACON. MADISON. PEORIA ROCK ISLAND. ST. CLAIR. SANGAHON TAZEMELL WINNEBAGO.	1 476 2 796 2 266 1 763 3 162 1 943 2 663 3 179	1 476 2 793 2 233 1 763 2 557 1 943 2 663 3 163	1 435 2 602 2 215 1 675 2 475 1 826 2 575 3 084	41 191 18 88 80 117 13 88 79	41 166 18 73 80 117 13 88 79	25 15 -		33 605 -	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	23 33 605 16
NDIANAI ALLEN. DELAWARE ELKHART LAKE LA PORTE HADISON TIPPECANOE VANDERBURGH VIGO	4 027 1 290 1 632 6 015 1 114 1 203 2 369 851 1 946 1 662	3 750 1 199 1 558 5 662 1 077 1 141 2 363 846 1 897 1 629	3 557 1 066 1 501 5 544 1 082 2 327 1 872 1 516	193 133 57 78 70 59 34 45 113	184 60 57 78 30 59 36 46 25	73	9	277 91 74 353 37 62 6 5 49	265 84 74 323 35 38 47	12 7 30 2 24 6 2 23
OWA: BLACK HAWK	1 662 1 929 6 052 1 599 1 257	1 647 1 478 5 736 1 359 1 155	1 617 1 459 5 619 1 211 1 132	30 19 117 148 23	30 19 56 121 15	50 27 8	1 <u>1</u>	15 451 316 240 102	15 235 157 223 102	216 159 17
ANSASI JOHNSON	2 412 3 840 1 768 1 725	2 410 3 840 1 768 1 725	2 366 3 575 1 704 1 676	44 265 64 49	44 265 64 18	31	1	5		2
ENTUCKY: FAYETTE JEFFERSON.,, KENTON	1 982 14 216 1 009	1 764 13 843 1 009	816 10 830 871	948 3 013 138	390 423 90	558 1 971 48	619	216 373		218 373
QUISIANAI CADDO. CALCASIEU. JEFFERSON. LAFAYETTE. QUACHITA. RAPIDES.	3 270 3 226 10 571 1 884 1 899 1 976	3 264 3 226 10 571 1 884 1 899 1 976	3 089 3 162 10 218 1 773 1 447 1 873	175 64 353 111 452 103	175 64 353 111 54 46	398 57	## #4 ##	6	6 7 7 7 1 1	
AINE! CUMBERLAND PENOBSCOT. YORK	1 010 636 470	1 010 638 470	960 573 460	50 65 10	41 49 8	9 16 2		* *	## ##	
HONTGOHERY	8 714 21 489 1 606 20 793 20 868 722	21 489 1 603 20 737 20 868	8 330 21 134 1 520 19 012 19 949 707	384 355 83 1 725 919 13	384 267 83 253 890 13	68 1 472 29	# . # . # .	3 56 2	# # # \$4	56 2
ASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE. BRISTOL ESSEX. HAMPOEN. HAMPSHIRE. HODGLESEX. HORFOLK. PLYHOUTH WORCESTER.	1 298 3 997 5 095 5 972 1 299 15 070 4 866 4 445 7 435	5 095 5 972 1 299 15 070 4 862 4 444	1 287 3 823 5 085 5 089 14 775 4 8457 3 959	11 126 10 908 10 295 17 487 1 735	11 63 8 10 48 12 164 164	63 2 908  247 5 204 1 701	119	48 *** *** *** ***		48

## Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thou and of dollars)

,			entra di huma napon prantig		Clear to exercise	Tities	and ninger states of the order of the	Carry to the Carry to Laborate	iczaeści www.www.	consental exp	onditure
	6-031 <b>x</b>	Vite!		Distance	econtraporanes states		i dofter		1	To State	To local
- 4	· The second of	e in east in the contract in t	Votal	Capters.	Terei	Parl person	Construc-	Casi C	Total	governments	
ě	(1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	日本の 日本の 日本の 日本の 日本の 日本の 日本の 日本の	多种 经	李多沙的 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	106	42 4 201 60 60 171 191 73	22 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ETSCHE ARTHER DE CONTROS PLANKE EN	64 197 31 35 37 154 23	63 192 27? 159 159	1 5 59 26
	対対 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在 在		也是是一个人,他们就是一个一个,他们就是一个一个一个	\$7.00 \$2.00 \$7.00	72 51 94 202 05 137	190	Acceptance	38 72 49 67 67 108 35	J8 55 104 57 45 67 106 106	2 002
20	\$ 000 \$ 0 7 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A See A Constitution of the Constitution of th	2 222 2 5749 15 7751 15 524	2 130 1 50 1 50 1 50 2 7 50 3 7 50 7 50 7 50 7 50 7 50 7 50 7 50 7 50	925 925 927 938	47 75 224 24 40	45 190	***	144 34 340 557		144 34 340 557
42	(6数36数36数36、 - 初展到数量2000 中 本 本 本 中 中 本 中 中 中 - 和自称2005 中 市 本 本 中 中 本 本 本 中	1 440 2 307	1 456 1 327	1 399 1 201	97 46	57 11	>5	-	*		•
112	1881 : 1980 (1886 - 1890 - 18	2 3 4 4 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	CLE 1 124 1 124 1 124 1 187 1	1 AGA 1 214 10 AGA 17 215	123 207 370 81 1 368	27 73 242 64 709	70 20 126 17 659	17 114 -	4 2 70 2	4 2 70 2	* * *
\$	理性中央を発展する。 ・ () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	4 853 2 749	n 955 CV3	n 157 1 622	71	96 39	33	-	56		56
ř	Mac	an gan.	50 548 970 548	20 652 * 500	294	271	22	1	**	-	•
1,	選集 17条約2年2月2日から 1986日で第二次2日本	章 克斯森 伊斯森	\$ 161 ****	1 197 671	14	11 64	3	*	- 2	-	2
4	の確認を表現です。 素が最近ない。 1 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	A CONTRACTOR AND A CONT	を 本のでは から また から	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201		201 201 207 100	The control of the co	7		## ## ## ## ##
		# # 1 # 1 # 1 # 1 # 1 # 1 # 1 # 1 # 1 #	本 是 经	A SECULAR SECU	351 10 11 C	70	200 1 400 1 40 40 40	* * * * * * * * *	17	-	**
Ť	STRUCTURE OF FERRINGS	Q 704	ø 195	2 651	24年	129	15	-	**	•	
	(3) 据 等 (特殊)。 第 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ECONOMICS OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONT	10 40 10 120			44 45 47 407 1 406	T		105 906 906 1107 1 866		1

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM '

Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

				<del></del>	Direct expe	nditure			Intergo	vornmental exp	undituro
	County	Total	Min. b = 4	Direct		Capital	outlay		······································		*
	and the second s		Total	current	Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	To State governments	To local governments
	CET YORKCONFINUED NIAGARA. COEIDA CHENDAGA CHENDAGA CRANGE CSNEGO RENSSELAER ROCKLAND ST. LAWRENCE	3 490 2 665 11 418 3 484 1 275 2 170 3 925 1 174	3 545 20 518 10 518 10 240 1 240 2 971 1 163	3 228 2 502 9 876 3 210 1 191 1 890 3 841 1 131	117 142 442 30 49 180 70	112 13 416 30 49 48 70	3 1 26 - 132	-	145 149 1 100 244 35 100 14	143 1 080 244 75 100	14 20
	SARATOGA SCHENECTADY. SUFFOLK. ULSTER BESTCHESTER.	1 118 1 785 76 853 2 494 26 816	1 038 1 525 76 706 2 360 26 816	1 010 1 509 68 644 1 324 17 465	28 16 8 062 1 036 9 351	28 13 1 712 35 195	3 6 350 1 001 9 156	7	80 260 147 134	80 <sup>°</sup> 260 147 134	
	VORTH CAROLINA; BUNCOMBE CUMBERLAND GURHAM FORSYTH GASTON GUILFORD MECKLENBURG. CNSLON WAKE	1 086 2 049 7 765 3 128 1 226 2 885 5 222 674 1 057	1 086 1 990 765 3 128 1 226 2 885 5 169 664 972	1 042 1 430 761 1 633 1 179 2 316 5 141 661 948	44 560 4 1 495 47 569 28 3	35 437 427 122 47 187 22 3	9 38 1 373 382 6	85	59 - - 53 10 85	10 17	59 59 53 68
(	HIO: ALLEN. BUTLER CLARK. COLUMBIANA CUYANDGA FHANKLIN GREENE HAMILTON LAKE LICKING.	1 156 1 929 1 215 1 078 24 935 12 451 1 327 12 662 2 037 1 142	1 179 1 929 1 214 1 978 21 810 12 294 1 327 11 097 2 037 1 138	1 161 1 841 1 1234 1 0559 1 263 10 863 1 992 1 025	188 914 6545 6745 7457	18 53 58 24 246 236 64 231 45	2 405 5 009 5 009		7 1 3 125 157 1 565	1	7 3 125 157 1 565
	LUGAIN LUGAS. HAHONING MONTGOMERY PURTAGE RICHLAND STARK. SUMNIT TRUMBULL	2 777 6 080 2 683 8 211 1 573 1 625 3 443 6 310 2 275	2 777 6 683 7 921 1 526 1 545 5 782 2 775	2 764 5 814 2 653 7 900 1 381 1 299 3 197 6 059 2 172	209 209 21 245 246 223 223 203	13 72 30 21 143 134 233 223	115	80	57 290 47 80 33 88	47	57 290 80 28
	KLAHOMAI COMANCHE CKLAHOMA TULSA	699 3 591 3 540	619 3 118 2 868	601 3 115 2 789	18 3 79	18 3 61	18	1 -	80 473 672	80 473 670	- 2
C	REGONI CLACKAMAS, LANE HARION MULTNOMAH WASHINGTON	2 508 4 643 2 579 18 181 3 055	2 808 4 643 2 579 16 074 3 055	2 382 4 565 2 523 16 429 2 975	426 78 56 1 645 80	125 78 56 140 80	301		107	<b>32</b>	75
	ANSYLVANIAI ALLEGHENY. REAVER BERKS. RLAIR. BUCKS. BUTLER	30 334 2 345 2 967 1 027 6 011 988 1 368	28 273 2 187 2 563 916 5 616 5 662 1 300	22 096 2 123 2 551 4 946 862 1 277	6 177 64 12 75 670	316 64 12 16 253	5 861 59 417		2 061 159 404 111 395 126 68	2 061 159 404 111 395 126 68	***
	CHESTER. CUMERLAND CUMERLAND CELAWARE CELAWARE FAVETTE FAVETTE FARANCIN LANCASTER LANCASTER LEMFICH	3 944 3 555 6 519 1 074 2 087 2 666 8 619	3 732 1 231 3 043 7 740 2 839 950 950 902 1 805 2 263 2 757 2 320	3 689 1 102 3 C21 7 594 2 802 947 797 1 805 2 258 2 230	43 129 22 146 37 105 5	43 22 20 37 32 24 14	103	129	212 112 512 779 290 124 403 203 299	212 212 217 290 202 203 403 209	124

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

## Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		approximately and a second	unisminimization and a second	Cirect orpe	ditore	1 <del>1-1 - 11-1 - 1</del> -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		Intergo	ormmental exp	epditure
- Calaba	Total 1	Total	Cireci	- Actor to speciment and set	Capital	outley			To State	To local
K. Francia (K. projekta), kesakara (K. akabar) (K. sakabar) (K. sakabar)			Carrent	Total	Kçulproht	Construe-	lacd	Total	governments	governments
是我知为我们对对对最高的可以可是我们信息。 我们是是我的证明。	Technology regulation of the control of the contro	3 170566 170566 170566 17053 1	2 9420 9420 9420 9420 9420 9420 9420 9420	19 78 55 357 197 - 11 69 36	19 17 44 209 197 11 69	# + 1 1 + # 50 4 9 5 4 4 9 5 4 4 9 5 4 4 9 5 4 4 9 5 4 4 9 5 4 4 9 5 4 9 5 4 9 5 4 9 5 4 9 5 4 9 5 4 9 5 4 9 5	25	170 88 97 331 196 172 232 232 318	170 88 97 131 196 172 232 163 315	ô
Orgen (ABC) inda Bulling (ABC) inda Bulling (ABC) inda UBB, inda Bulling (ABC) inda Bulli	The state of the s	1 251 7 785 7 177 4 317 1 952	1 215 1 660 2 090 4 084 1 865	2/ 267 237 233	36 116 267 197 96	12 36	** ** **	1 - 111 223	1 1 111 198	3:
#MARAGUAT	A SECTION AND A SECTION AND A SECTION AND A SECTION ASSECTION ASSE	5 eQq 2 #16 15 165 933	7 567 2 247 13 669 695	2 037 175 1 301 1 301	103 40 501 38	1 934 135 800	** **	216	157	6.
的复数形式 化聚合物 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	Company and the control of the contr	1 037 0 799 1 407 1 170 21 154 1 545 1 645 26 171	7 869 7 869 1 260 1 201 20 275 20 275 20 775	42 935 167 69 927 65 36 1 098	42 169 167 39 657 45 30 893	766 30 270 20 6 253	* * * * * * 2	7 26 1	7 26	
经验证据的 《 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Particulations and Particulation	1 1793 1 185 1 181 1 183 1 183	1 337 2 430 1 310 1 730 2 630 7 192 4 640 922	42 175 175 010 171 158 25	#2 141 144 79 43 126 91	13 31 14 45 63	367 4	21 22 24	21 32 1 34	
Atts 現在3年 公司任劳士 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本	0 199 1910 1900 1	6 195 519 1 662	5 034 485 1 029	261 34 33	261 34 28	5	<del>-</del>		-	
保証者知主為は 素性に言われたわり。 本 ま ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・	9 6355 10 255 2 366 2 366 2 366	9 10% 15 267 3 939 2 209	7 466 14 474 3 580 2 185	1 697 311 59 24	32 191 59 24	1 665	122	85P 60 71 71 251	438 124	ŏ
法对自然证明证明 C 4 有限的	Technological Anti-	20 717 20 717 20 747 5 227 5 227 7 627	2 033 10 945 1 447 7 123 7 123 7 123	68 571 876 378 113 327 111	68 929 259 219 113 327 111	147 617 159	***			
ng aghighdar Cacada a n a a a a a a a a Ranasha a n n a a n n n n	1 1000 A	1 356 1 006	1 294 1 800	62 106	44 33	18	73	•	*	entings the contract of the co
海飞流等等的。 就在一种工作,并有一个工作,在一个工作的。 分表现在一个工作,在一个工作工作,在一个工作工作,在一个工作工作,在一个工作工作,在一个工作工作工作工作工作,在一个工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作	THE CONTRACTION OF THE CONTRACTI	20 162 1 234 2 371 2 371	10 615	00 167 60 60 60 60 118 99	83 101 50	25 25 240 240 240	* *	99 178 112 278 132 126 87 112	99 178 111 378 100 126 87 112	

PRESENTE PRESENT STREETS AND ARREST

Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

Table 23. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

		DI	roct expendit	uro	Intergove	ernmental expe	nditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total.	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
united states, total	7 200 166 1 382 931 5 984 677 1 102 695 4 932 001	7 290 166 1 308 455 5 981 711 1 096 451 4 885 260	6 892 553 1 205 674 5 606 679 1 028 447 4 658 432	397 614 102 781 294 833 68 005 226 828	127 461 74 476 52 985 6 243 46 742	2 366 (X) 2 366 763 1 602	125 095 74 476 50 619 5 480 45 139
ACADAMA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES: MUNICIPAL/165	76 Q54 19 419 56 671 9 989 46 609	76 054 19 419 56 635 9 989 46 645	70 463 17 434 53 029 9 239 43 790	5 591 1 985 3 606 751 2 855	43 43 43	36 (X) 36 36	7 7 7
ALASKA STATE LOCAL, TGTAL HOHGUGHS FUNICIPALITIES	19 329 11 728 7 608 1 105 7 576	19 329 11 724 7 605 32 7 574	18 841 11 502 7 339 32 7 307	489 222 267 - 267	1 080 4 1 076 1 074 2	(X)	1 078 1 074 1 074
ARIZONA	92 497 25 704 66 793 14 463 52 375	92 497 25 704 66 793 14 447 52 346	79 880 21 824 58 056 12 823 45 233	12 617 3 980 6 737 1 624 7 113	44 44 15 29	(x)	44 15 29
ARKANSAS	31 273 8 890 22 568 4 652 17 748	31 273 8 773 22 500 4 835 17 665	28 048 7 686 20 362 4 461 15 881	J 226 1 087 2 1J9 354 1 784	216 117 99 17 82	67 (X) 67 12 55	149 117 52 5 27
CALIFORNIA	1 007 459 210 219 807 913 240 747 585 245	1 007 459 199 607 807 852 240 684 567 163	956 305 181 125 775 180 230 402 544 778	51 154 18 482 32 672 10 282 22 390	28 752 10 612 18 140 63 18 077	61 61 38 22	28 672 10 612 18 080 25 18 055
COLUMADO	79 027 13 122 45 912 9 308 56 680	79 027 13 118 65 909 9 309 56 602	73 737 12 467 61 270 8 814 52 456	493	82 4 78 78	(X) 3 3	80 4 76 76
CONNECTICUT	109 064 19 617 90 163 90 342	109 064 19 605 89 459 89 459	103 793 17 987 85 806 85 806	5 271 1 618 3 653 3 653	895 12 883 883	704 (X) 704 764	190 12 178 178
OFLAVARE STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	18 591 8 469 10 448 2 922 7 526	18 591 8 143 10 445 2 922 7 525	17 738 7 498 10 240 2 754 7 486	853 645 208 168 40	326 326	(x)	326 326 
OISTRICT OF COLUMNIA STATE, LCCAL, TOTAL MUNICIPALITIES	200 88 200 88 200 88	F8 005	83 766 83 766 83 766	4 236	• •	(x)	
FLORIDAL	262 188 37 538 224 681 73 649 151 350	224 850 73 649	241 292 72 547 208 745 69 157 139 588	16 105	149 149	31 (X) 31 31	118 118
GECROIA	117 455 23 609 93 886 29 272 66 271	27 623	25 226	1 719 7 736 2 397	1 676 1 676 1 649 28	39 (X) 39 31 8	1 637 1 637 1 617 20

See fourestes at ond of table.

Table 23. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		Di	rect expendit	uro	Intergov	ornmental expe	enditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	34 072 195 33 877 8 788 25 089	34 072 195 33 877 8 788 25 089	33 123 167 32 956 8 425 24 531	949 28 921 363 558	900 900 900 900 900	(X)	
IDAHO	16 949 3 987 12 996 4 085 9 014	16 949 3 955 12 994 4 082 8 912	15 898 3 554 12 344 3 798 8 546	1 051 401 650 284 366	137 32 105 4 102	(X)	135 32 103 4 100
ILLINOIS	468 340 60 283 410 697 35 137 375 944	468 340 57 662 410 678 35 099 375 579	448 040 54 157 393 883 31 013 362 870	20 300 3 505 16 795 4 086 12 709	3 024 2 621 403 38 365	19 (X) 19 1 18	3 005 2 621 384 37 347
INDIANA	117 932 29 405 88 554 12 801 75 797	117 932 29 405 88 527 12 742 75 785	108 594 24 399 84 195 11 917 72 278	9 339 5 006 4 333 825 3 507	71 71 59 12	26 (X) 26 26	45 33 12
IOWA	57 697 13 229 44 656 7 839 37 351	57 697 13 044 44 653 7 824 36 829	54 454 12 653 41 801 7 164 34 637	3 244 391 2 853 660 2 192	722 185 537 15 521	(X) 3	719 185 534 15 519
KANSAS	48 781 9 501 39 308 6 769 32 792	48 781 9 501 39 280 6 724 32 556	45 689 8 840 36 849 6 287 30 562	3 091 661 2 430 437 1 994	282 282 45 237	28 (X) 26 27	254 254 45 209
KENTUCKY	74 207 25 252 54 141 13 359 41 422	74 207 20 098 54 109 12 798 41 311	66 371 18 159 48 212 11 813 36 399	7 836 1 939 5 897 985 4 912	5 826 5 154 672 561 111	35 (X) 35	5 794 5 154 640 561 79
LOUISIANASTATE, LOCAL, TOTAL PARISHES MUNICIPALITIES	120 637 31 050 89 656 34 056 55 636	120 637 30 990 89 647 34 050 55 598	113 003 28 614 84 389 32 783 51 606	7 634 2 376 5 258 1 267 3 992	104 60 44 6 38	(X) 6 3	95 60 35 35
MAINE. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	22 292 7 329 14 963 1 733 13 241	22 292 7 329 14 963 1 733 13 230	20 805 6 715 14 090 1 547 12 543	1 487 614 873 186 687	11 11 11	(X)	11 11 11
MARYLAND	162 994 60 372 127 398 60 861 66 784	162 994 35 596 127 398 60 804 66 594	155 865 32 956 122 909 57 818 65 091	7 129 2 640 4 489 2 986 1 503	25 023 24 776 247 57 190	(X)	25 023 24 776 247 56 190
MASSACHUSETTS STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	235 084 30 454 204 679 933 203 788	235 084 30 407 204 677 933 203 744	226 203 29 992 196 211 900 195 311	8 466 33 8 433	91 47 44 	(X)	88 47 41 41
MICHIGAN	353 714 65 710 290 948 34 590 258 653	353 714 62 782 290 932 34 578 256 354	333 126 56 006 277 120 32 346 244 774	20 588 6 776 13 812 2 232 11 580	5 238 2 928 2 310 11 2 299	16 (X) 16 2	5 222 2 928 2 294 2 295

See footnotes at end of table

Table 23. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		D:	irect expendit	uro	Intergove	rnmental expe	nditure
State and type of government	Totol	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA,	98 175 21 140 80 930 17 995 64 319	98 175 17 354 80 821 17 930 62 892	93 727 16 406 77 321 16 502 60 819	4 449 948 3 501 1 428 2 073	5 278 3 786 1 492 65 1 427	109 (X) 109 23 86	5 169 3 786 1 383 42 1 341
MISGISSIPPI	45 372 16 587 28 806 6 527 22 323	45 372 16 567 28 785 6 509 22 276	41 486 14 948 26 538 6 178 20 360	3 887 1 639 2 248 332 1 916	65 18 47	21 (X) 21 4 17	44 44 14 30
MISSOURI	146 147 21 038 125 171 17 481 108 100	146 147 20 978 125 169 17 447 107 722	137 601 19 596 118 005 16 423 101 582	8 546 1 382 7 164 1 024 6 140	472 60 412 34 378	(X) 2 1 1	470 60 410 33 377
HONTANA	16 364 4 109 12 312 4 538 7 810	16 364 4 059 12 305 4 537 7 768	15 027 3 452 11 575 4 339 7 236	1 337 607 730 198 532	93 50 43 2 41	7 (X) 7 1 6	86 50 36 1 35
BEBRASKA	34 063 8 239 25 026 4 378 21 572	34 063 8 239 25 824 4 355 21 470	32 223 7 358 24 865 4 150 20 715	1 840 881 959 204 755	125 125 23 102	(X) 1 1	124 124 23 101
MEYADA	30 963 3 577 27 386 18 574 18 236	30 963 3 577 27 386 18 572 8 814	29 464 2 935 26 529 18 166 8 363	1 499 642 857 406 452	9 424 9 424 2 422	(X)	9 424 9 424 9 422
EN HAMPSHIRESTATE,LOCAL, TOTALLOCAL, TOTAL .	20 237 6 097 15 410 1 245 14 171	20 237 4 828 15 409 1 245 14 163	18 948 4 314 14 634 1 081 13 553	1 289 514 775 165 610	1 276 1 269 7	(X) 1 1	1 275 1 269
STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL, COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	321 773 51 539 276 737 17 087 260 516	321 773 45 037 276 736 17 087 259 649	313 270 42 816 270 454 15 513 254 941	8 503 2 221 6 282 1 574 4 708	7 369 6 502 867 867	(X)	7 368 6 502 866
NEW MEXICO	32 922 9 668 23 529 4 551 19 079	32 923 9 431 23 491 4 452 19 039	30 434 8 534 21 900 4 153 17 747	2 489 897 1 592 299 1 292	376 237 139 99 40	37 (X) 37 37	339 237 102 99
VEW YORK	1 077 706 97 414 981 762 159 123 828 944	1 077 706 96 010 981 696 159 100 822 596	1 034 051 93 633 940 218 150 656 789 562	43 655 2 177 41 478 8 444 33 034	7 774 1 404 6 370 22 6 348	65 (X) 65 1 65	7 709 1 404 6 305 21 6 283
VORTH CAROLINA	125 012 34 046 91 645 22 506 69 405	125 012 33 515 91 497 22 282 69 216	115 260 30 627 84 633 20 791 63 842	9 752 2 898 6 864 1 491 5 374	944 531 413 224 189	147 (X) 147 12 136	796 531 265 212 53
NORTH DAKOTA	10 673 1 680 8 998 2 137 7 007	10 673 1 680 8 993 2 126 6 867	10 346 1 590 8 756 2 109 6 647	327 90 237 16 221	151 151 11 140	5 (X) 5 5	146 146 7

Eva fantaces at and of table

Table 23. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		Di	irect expendit	ure	Intergov	ornmental exp	oenditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	.To local
OHIO	278 660 46 627 232 148 32 137 201 552	278 660 46 627 232 033 30 803 201 230	260 705 42 729 217 976 27 040 190 936	17 955 3 898 14 057 3 763 10 294	1 656 1 656 1 334 322	115 (X) 115 2 113	1 540 1 540 1 332 209
OKLAHOMA	57 822 16 703 41 223 5 153 36 076	57 822 16 607 41 215 5 153 36 062	54 604 15 328 39 276 5 047 34 229	3 219 1 279 1 940 107 1 833	110 96 14 14	(X) 7 7	103 96 7 -
OREGON	69 586 18 801 51 435 16 251 35 792	69 586 18 178 51 408 16 243 35 165	65 757 16 359 49 398 15 106 34 292	3 829 1 819 2 010 1 137 873	1 258 623 635 8 627	27 (X) 27 4 23	1 231 623 608 4 604
PENNSYLVANIA	377 767 87 589 291 223 9 419 282 779	377 767 86 550 291 217 9 417 281 800	363 328 81 942 281 386 8 926 272 458	14 438 4 608 9 830 489 9 342	2 011 1 039 972 2 970	6 (X) 6 2 4	2 005 1 039 966 966
RHODE ISLAND	29 416 6 260 24 002 24 004	29 416 5 414 24 002 24 002	28 043 5 186 22 857 22 857	1 373 228 1 145 1 145	848 846 2 2	(x)	848 846 2 2
SOUTH CAROLINA	57 244 17 354 40 204 14 612 25 663	57 244 17 354 39 890 14 307 25 584	51 000 14 984 36 016 12 307 23 709	6 244 2 370 3 874 2 000 1 875	384 384 306 79	314 (X) 314 257 57	70 70 48 22
SOUTH DAKOTA	12 765 3 678 9 087 2 077 7 049	12 765 3 678 9 087 2 077 7 009	11 995 3 329 8 666 1 963 6 703	769 349 420 114 306	39 39 39	(X) 1 1	39 39 39
TENNESSEESTATELOCAL, TOTALCOUNTIESMUNICIPALITIES	91 486 14 900 76 760 11 742 65 078	91 486 14 900 76 586 11 580 65 006	82 096 13 407 68 689 10 550 58 139	9 390 1 493 7 897 1 030 6 867	234 234 162 72	174 (X) 174 154 20	60 60 8 52
TEXAS	290 193 60 803 229 442 36 573 193 346	290 193 60 800 229 393 36 486 192 907	270 883 56 395 214 488 33 380 161 108	19 310 4 405 14 905 3 106 11 799	529 3 526 87 439	48 (X) 48 7 41	480 3 477 80 397
UTAH	25 620 7 398 18 485 4 744 12 756	25 620 7 135 18 485 4 737 13 748	23 612 , 6 405 17 207 4 543 12 664	2 008 730 1 278 194 1 084	278 263 15 7	(X)	278 263 15 7 9
VERMONT	11 761 6 047 5 716 67 5 720	11 761 6 047 5 714 67 5 647	10 476 5 060 5 416 60 5 356	1 285 987 298 6 292	73 73 73	(X) 2 - 2	71 71 71
VIRGINIA	130 154 41 501 90 309 30 442 60 003	130 154 39 847 90 307 30 442 59 865	124 292 37 940 86 352 26 541 57 811	5 862 1 907 3 955 1 901 2 054	1 792 1 654 138	(X) 1 1	1 791 1 654 137

See footnotes at end of table.

#### Table 23. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and thin of government		D1	roct expenditu	iro	Intergov	ernmental expe	enditure
SAME THE TRACE OF ENGLISHING	7otal <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
naturicates	105 185 22 858 82 357 23 60 60 60 10 438 4 367	105 385 22 841 82 544 25 163 26 596 17 657	99 740 20 847 78 893 21 315 57 578 26 \$87 9 822 16 765 4 185	5 645 1 994 3 651 2 048 1 603 2 009 1 121 888 177	1 439 17 1 422 2 1 420	13 (X) 13 12 12 (X) 4 (X)	1 420 17 1 409 1 408 3
MICCONSIN,	13 293 141 475 28 610 122 251 26 644 95 800	13 290 141 475 19 392 122 083 26 431 95 653	12 580 135 882 18 727 117 155 25 059 92 094	710 5 593 665 4 928 1 372 3 557	9 579 9 218 361 214 148	168 (X) 168 164 4	9 411 9 218 193 50
STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	8 988 2 643 6 350 1 702 4 721	8 989 2 643 6 345 1 698 4 647	8 685 2 633 6 052 1 624 4 426	303 10 293 74 219	78 78 4 75	5 (X) 5	73 73

Table 24. Police protection expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

			D	lrect expe	ndituro			Intergover	nmental ex	pendituro
County	Total		Direct		Capital	outlay			To .	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
TOTAL	796 827	791 548	744 672	46 876	32 317	12 448	2 111	5 279	447	4 832
ALABAMA: CALHOUN JEFFERSON MADISON MOBILE MONTGOMERY TUSCALOOSA	205 2 219 456 1 077 535 370	205 2 219 456 1 077 535 370	202 1 937 428 1 034 532 318	3 282 28 43 3 52	3 282 28 43 3 52		1 1 2 3 1 1	-	-	141111
ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	1 074	_	-	_	-	-		1 074	_	1 074
ARIZONA: MARICOPA PIMA	5 490 4 384	5 490 4 369	4 830 3 803	660 566	247 566	413		15	-	15
ARKANSAS: PULASKI	576	576	510	66	66	-	-		,	-
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA BUTTE CONTRA COSTA, FRESNO. KERN. LOS ANGELES MARIN MERCED.	6 986 957 5 720 4 310 5 008 102 768 1 819 752	6 986 957 5 720 4 310 5 008 102 768 1 819 752	6 763 914 5 643 4 262 4 891 97 541 1 816 706	223 43 77 48 117 5 227 3 46	223 43 54 48 75 1 817 3	23 42 1 415	1 995	-		
MONTEREY ORANGE RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO SAN BERNARDINO SAN DIEGO SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO	2 661 9 564 7 103 12 104 9 388 11 936 4 124 1 716	2 661 9 564 7 103 17 104 3 388 11 936 4 124 1 716	2 522 9 517 6 706 11 740 8 893 10 800 4 035 1 488	139 47 397 364 495 1 136 . 89 228	139 47 282 239 493 775 89 222	115 125 2 361	111111	-		
SAN MATEO	5 256 4 240 9 569 1 739 1 069 2 522 1 736 2 637 6 275	5 256 4 240 9 569 1 738 1 069 2 522 1 736 2 637 6 257	5 178 4 048 9 483 1 730 1 059 2 424 1 732 2 612 6 156	78 192 86 8 10 98 4 25	78 130 86 8 10 98 4 25	62	11111	18		- - - - - 18
COLORADO: ADAMS	1 273 1 047 719 938 1 072 217	1 273 1 047 719 938 1 072 217	1 229 1 019 661 857 1 024 214	44 28 58 81 48 3	44 28 38 81 48	-	1 1 1 1 1			1 1 1 1 1
DELAWARE: NEW CASTLE	2 922	2 922	2 754	168	168	_	-		_	
FLORIDA: ALACHUA BREVARD BROWARD DADE ESCAMBIA. HILLSBOROUGH. LEE LEON. ORANGE. PALM BEACH. PINELLAS.	1 809 1 791 3 908 22 758 2 016 2 179 1 264 1 471 4 068 5 082 3 737	1 809 1 791 3 908 22 758 2 016 2 179 1 264 1 471 4 068 5 082 3 737	1 550 1 637 3 640 21 951 1 904 1 929 1 204 1 386 3 629 4 745 3 415	259 154 268 807 112 250 60 85 439 337 322	259 154 268 803 112 250 60 85 439 337 181	141			11111111111	11.11.11.11.1

Represents now or rounds to zero.

\* Not applicable.

\*For cash State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure communications. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for approximate to tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

## Table 24. Police protection expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

And the second s		(	Thousand	is of dol	iars)					
			Q.	irect expe				Intergover	nmental e	xpendituro
County	fotal	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc-	Land	Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern- ments
FLGRIDACGETIEUEU POLK	2 179 1 507 1 081	2 179 1 507 1 083	2 G23 1 353 997	156 154 86	156 154 86	-	-	-	The state of the s	-
GESKGIA:  DITTO A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	P19 1 093 2 502 5 586 1 058 1 441	815 1 093 2 502 5 586 236 1 440	752 970 2 108 5 346 316 1 274	63 123 394 240 20 166	63 123 394 136 20 166	104	-	1 522	1.1.1	1 522
TDAHO1	565	565	534	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINGIB: CHAMPAIGN CHAMPAIGN GOR. DU PAGE KANE LA SALLE HCHENHY HGLEAN,	563 11 561 1 585 838 1 694 293 817 426	563 11 561 1 595 838 1 694 393 617	529 9 228 1 478 604 1 463 363 745 406	34 2 333 107 34 231 30 72 20	34 514 107 34 231 30 72 20	1 819		-		
MACON MADISON PLORIA ROCK ISLA ST. GLAIR SANUAHON TAZEWELL SINNEUAGO	576 875 986 634 817 747 394 1 161	576 874 953 634 817 747 794 1 161 1 310	538 762 948 601 756 637 392 1 073 1 255	38 112 5 33 61 110 2 88 55	38 112 5 33 61 110 2 88 55		1111111111	1 33 -	1	33
OSTANAI ALLEN	1 235 337 527 2 103 302	1 235 337 527 2 103 283	1 086 280 490 2 048 257	149 57 37 55 26	149 57 37 55 26			19	19	-
MADISON SY, JOSEPH, TIPPECANDE, VALUERBURGH, VIGO,	248 553 366 551 309	248 547 364 551 309	203 511 334 537 284	45 36 30 14 25	45 36 30 14 25		-	6 2	2 -	6 -
ORAL PLACE HARK LION: POLE SCOTT FORDERY	292 343 676 359 168	292 343 676 359 168	263 335 676 344 154	29 8 - 15 14	29 8 - 15 14	*	- - - -			-7
AA 18ASI JOHNSON * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	687 854 285 321	685 854 285 321	671 708 270 309	14 146 15 12	14 146 15 12		• • •	2		2 -
FAYETTE	2 270 7 282 430	2 053 6 952 430	1 687 6 586 293	365 366 137	365 366 89	48	<u>.</u>	218 330	-	218 330
LOUISIANAI CADDO CALGASIGU JEFFERSON LAFAYETTE OUACHITA RAPIGES	1 750 2 077 7 025 1 108 7 65 1 279	1 744 2 077 7 025 1 108 765 1 279	1 585 2 019 6 679 1 000 711 1 233	159 58 346 108 54	159 58 346 108 54 46			6 -	6	-

Gen foothere at end of table.

POLICE PROTECTION

Table 24. Police protection expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	T	·	Dir	ect expen	dituro			Intergover	nmental ex	ponditure
			<del></del>		Capital	outlny			10	70
County	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State . govern- ments	local govern- ments
PAINE: CUMBERLAND PENOBSCOT YORK	266 137 80	266 137 80	240 97 76	26 40 4	26 34 4	6	-	-	=	
ARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL BALTIMORE HARFORD MONTGOMERY PHINCE GEORGES WASHINGTON	6 801 18 167 518 14 817 16 084 152	6 801 18 167 518 14 761 16 084 152	17 846 473 13 848 15 233 152	362 321 45 913 851	362 233 45 64 851	88 849	-	56	17	56
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE BRISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE MIDDLESEX NORFOLK PLYMOUTH WORCESTER	31 52 49 70 16 163 46 106 49	31 52 49 70 16 163 46 106	31 52 49 70 16 163 46 105 49		1	, 1111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-	
HICHIGAN: BAY. BEFRIEN CALHOUN GENESEE INGHAM. JACKSON KALAMAZOO KENT.	536 878 526 1 970 1 099 627 1 022 1 490	536 878 526 1 970 1 099 627 1 022 1 490	503 804 472 1 954 1 057 489 950	33 74 54 16 42 138 72 57	33 74 54 16 42 138 72 57	-			-	
MACOMBMONROEMUSKEGONOAKLANDOTTAWASAGINAWSGINAWST.CLAIRWASHTENAWWASHTENAW	3 181 896 488 2 747 764 882 594 1 197 4 223	3 181 896 488 2 747 764 881 594 1 197 4 223	3 135 842 453 2 639 572 841 515 1 155 4 177	46 54 35 108 192 40 79 42 46	46 54 35 24 186 40 79 42	84 6				
MINNESOTA: ANOKA	857 496 3 852 1 748 853	857 496 3 852 1 748 853	781 421 3 737 1 703 786	76 75 115 45 67	31 75 115 45 44	45	1			:
MISSISSIPPI: HARRISON	446 324	- 446 324	409 317	37	37	-			-	•
MISSOURI: CLAY GREENE JACKSON JEFFERSON ST. LOUIS	365 572 971 366 9 173	971 366	351 419 958 317 8 604	14 153 13 49 569	14 39 13 49 569	=	11	4	-	
NEBRASKA: DOUGLAS	767 347			56 39				-		-
NEVADA: CLARK	13 777 1 756			149 173	141			-	3	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH ROCKINGHAM	167 302			5 45				=	=	=

Table 24. Police protection expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			1	Direct exp	ondi ture		· ·	Intergoven	mental ex	penditure
County	Total		Direct		Capital	loutlay			То	То
mananary (saugety et ) saugeblegassyments andersom en by stat by byle placeblega diseaseble		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
NEW JERSTYI ATLASTIC. BERGER, DUST INGTSN. CAMBEN. CUMBERLAND. ESSEX GLOUGESTER. HUDSUN,	390 2 157 183 555 165 4 370 490 2 195	390 2 157 163 595 165 4 370 490 2 195	390 2 033 183 555 165 3 248 490 2 157	124 - 1 122 3e	43	124	1111111			1 1 1 1 1
MEPCER. MIBOLESEX HOMMOUTH. MOMRIS. OCFAN PASSAIC SOMERSET. UNION	672 1 329 607 796 709 756 255 983	672 1 329 602 796 709 756 255 983	657 1 093 601 796 709 722 255 983	15 236 1 - 34	15 34 - - 34	202	# 	11111111		
SEM MEXICOI MERNALILLO	1 539	1 539	1 439	100	100	-	-	-		_
EW YORKI ALBANY, GROME, CHAUTAUOUA, CHEMUNG DUTCHESS, EPIE, MONROE NASSAU, NIAGAMA ONFIDA,	870 774 578 559 947 3 297 5 600 76 151 963 507	870 774 878 859 947 3 600 5 600 76 193 507	825 738 543 528 908 3 166 3 841 74 019 887 501	45 36 35 31 39 131 1 759 2 132 76	45 36 35 31 39 131 1 759 1 903 76	229	11111111	11	11111111	
GNONDAGA.  ORANGE.  OSWEGO.  RENSSELAER.  ROCKLAND.  ST. LAWRENCE.  SARATOGA.  SCHENECTALY  SUFFOCK  ULSTER.  WESTCHESTER	2 831 357 259 9324 228 21 276 268 4 579	2 811 432 357 259 938 324 228 91 51 276 4 579	7 432 420 326 232 895 300 204 86 48 178 247 4 401	379 12 31 27 43 24 24 25 3 098 21 178	359 12 31 27 43 24 24 5 1 367 21 123	20 - - - 1 731 - 55	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20		20
GATH CARCLINAT RUNCOMBE. CUMBERLAND. DURHAM. FORSYTH GASTON. GUILFORD. HECKLENBURG ONNLOW. WARE.	585 1 124 504 655 655 687 1 056 2 375 389 724	585 1 065 504 687 1 056 2 322 389 656	555 918 501 603 653 943 2 305 386 644	30 147 3 52 34 113 17 3	30 147 3 52 34 113 17 3		11111111	59 	45	59 - - 53 -
HIOT ALLEN	377 534 490 273 2 891 3 257	377 534 489 273 2 891 3 257	768 489 441 257 2 801 1 259	9 45 48 16 90 1 998	9 45 48 16 90 180	1 818		11	-	-
GREENE. HADILTON. LAKE LICHING LOHAIN. LUCAS MANCMING,	507 4 208 473 302 903 768 522	507 2 934 473 302 903 768 522	453 2 787 446 287 903 728 495	54 147 27 15 40 27	54 147 27 15 40 27			1 274		1 274

Gen fastuote at end of table.

Table 24. Police protection expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			Di	rect expe	nditure	<del></del>	·	Intergoven	mental ex	pendituro
County	Total		70.1		Capital	outlay			To	To
		Total	Direct current	Total,	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	Stato govern- ments	local govern- ments
CHIOCONTINUED MONTGOMERY PORTAGE RICHLAND. STARK SUMMIT. TRUMBULL	2 060 436 492 1 080 1 667 556	2 060 436 476 1 080 1 667 556	2 039 355 357 977 1 639 556	21 81 119 103 28	21 81 119 103 28	-		16	***	16
OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE OKLAHOMA TULSA	108 645 558	108 645 558	107 645 526	1 - 32	1 32		-	-	1	•
OREGON: CLACKAMAS	1 097 1 431 782 5 907 1 351	, 1 097 1 431 782 5 907 1 351	984 1 423 740 5 376 1 303	113 6 42 531 48	113 8 42 . 37 48	494			-	1111
PENNSY YANIA: ALL HENY BEAVER BERKS BLAIR BUCKS BUTLER CAMBRIA CHESTER CUMBERLAND	4 936 316 52 21 614 63 28 376 67	4 936 316 52 21 614 63 28 376	4 803 307 52 21 471 63 28 376 67	133 9	133 9 - 143 - -		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
DAUPHIN DELAWARE. EAIE. FAYETTE FRANKLIN LACKAWANNA LANCASTER LAWRENCE LEHIGH.	34 408 77 25 12 43 79 23	34 408 77 25 12 43 79 23 26	34 398 65 25 12 43 79 23 26	10 12	10 12 -		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			111111111111111111111111111111111111111
LUZERNE LYCOMING. MERCER. MONTGOMERY. NORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL. WASHINGTON. WESTMORELAND YORK.	107 20 23 559 69 25 82 141 216	107 20 23 659 69 25 82 141 216	106 20 23 537 50 25 81 140 197	1  122 19  1 1 19	1 - 122 19 - 1 1 19	-	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #			111111111111111111111111111111111111111
SOUTH CAROLINA: ANDERSON	357 1 989 1 472 1 439 757	356 1 989 1 472 1 328 689	320 1 887 1 190 1 164 624	76 102 282 164 65	36 99 282 164 65	3-1-1-1	: : :	1 111 68	111 33	35
TENNESSEE: HAMILTON KNOX SHELBY SULLIVAN	1 131 725 2 589 503	1 131 725 2 436 503	1 131 694 2 050 472	31 386 31	31 386 31		•	153	153	900 900 900 900 900
TEXAS: BELL	194 1 627 661 283 5 855 691 489	194 1 627 661 283 5 855 691 489	166 1 529 518 253 5 437 651 465	28 98 143 30 418 40 24	28 98 143 30 418 40 24		11111	-	***	1

See footnote at emi of table.

Table 24. Police protection expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		•	estational services	All months and the second	ract expe	ndi turo			Intergover	mental ex	pendi ture
thanty		*otal		Direct	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	Capital	outlay			To	To
Page of the Control o	i in e e harringo, sa	CALL CONTINUES	Total	Current	Total	Equip- cent	Construc- tion	Lænd	Total	State govern- nents	local govern- ment-
THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	* * * * * *	4 821 231 601 300 410 633 1 357 794 269	4 921 251 251 201 203 410 633 1 357 794 269	4 551 207 208 208 201 201 201 1 108 707 242	270 28 115 46 69 18 49 87	268 28 115 46 68 18 49 87 27	1	2		**	100 mm
TANS SALT LAKE STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN	1	2 543 224 306	2 54J 254 206	2 509 197 289	34 27 17	34 27 17	**	1 1	-	***	**
einsintai Antinoton Painpax Herrico Herrico Putace Mirrian	* * *	6 620 10 780 717 2 717 5	6 620 10 780 2 737 1 336	5 846 10 613 2 686 1 322	774 167 51 14	6 167 51 14	768		**************************************	-	
ASHINGTONS  ELARK	* * *	1 225 7 507 734 2 318 1 804 1 862 604	1 225 7 202 7 215 2 315 1 604 1 862 604	1 177 7 168 501 2 131 1 727 1 609 539	48 334 233 104 77 253	48 276 233 108 77 253	58 76				70. 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40
EST VIGOTATAL CAPELL * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	reet):==i;:cons;;estic(sea	37A 249	376 244	334 211	42	42 33		-		-	
iscensity grown grand grand michauner outagante greine auche auch michenago		1 166 2 169 828 7 454 698 1 020 948 1 197 676	1 162 2 121 3 434 661 1 014 1 077 1 176 673	1 118 1 965 742 3 412 967 9679 1 119 624	44 158 79 229 47 57 49	44 158 79 22 49 47 58		***	46 77 20 34 8 8 21	44 46 7 20 2 8 8 8 21	32

Copresents were or rounds to sere.

#### POLICE PROTECTION

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

				Direct exp	endi ture			Intergover	mental ex	pendi ture
City	Total		Di		Cap1 ta	l outlay			To	T/o
a de la companya de l		Total	Direct	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State. Rovern- ments	local govern- ments
TOTAL , , , , ,	3 220 928	3 206 099	3 063 665	142 434	86 738	52 897	2 799	14 829	89	14 74
LABANA: BIRNINGHAM. GADSDEN HUNTSVILLE MOBILE MONTGOMERY TUSCALOOSA	8 575 752 2 560 4 604 3 186 1 550		8 148 692 2 521 4 441 2 875 1 470	60 39 163 311	427 60 35 163 311 76	4	## ## ## ##	## ## ## ##	99 95 95 96 1 98	
RIZONA: MESA PHCENIX SCOTTSDALE TEMPF TUCSON	2 348 27 476 1 569 1 935 9 457	2 348 27 476 1 569 1 935 9 457	1 453 22 256 1 523 1 814 9 256	895 5 220 46 121 201	60 513 #6 121 201	4 491	493 216	19. 19. 19. 19.	## ## ## ##	
RKANSASI FORT SMITH. LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF.	970 3 365 1 206 994	970 3 365 1 206 982	879 2 632 1 052 857		91 730 149 125	5	- - -	12	12	
ALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA ALHAMBRA ANAHEIM BAKERSFIFLD BELLFLOWER BEKKELEY BUENA PARK BURBANK CARSON CHULA VISTA	1 867 1 711 6 842 3 038 852 4 306 1 597 3 958 1 713	1 711 6 839 3 038 43 4 306 1 596	1 825 1 695 6 748 2 806 2 806 1 582 1 582 3 894 1 654	232 5 44 14	42 16 91 132 5 44 84 64	100	57 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	3 809 1 1 107	30 30 30 30 30 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	1 10
COMPTON	3 860 2 592 2 906 1 767 2 401 1 234 1 616 2 466	2 592	3 722 2 483 2 880 1 662 2 386 1 206 1 586 2 435	103 6 28	77 109 25 71 6 28 23	32	# # # # # #	61 1 2 9 7 7	94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94	6
FRESNO. FULLERTON GARDEN GROVE. GLENDALE. HAWTHORNE HAYWARD HUNTINGTON BEACH. INGLEWOOD LAKEWOOD.	7 117 3 231 2 803 3 522 1 285 3 199 4 770 1 067	4 770	7 032 2 553 2 732 3 511 1 277 2 441 4 455 4 732	38	85 75 57 11 3 76 90 38 2	603	600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	14 7 700	# # # # # #	70
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES MODESTO MOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK OAKLAND ONTARIO ORANGE OXNARD	14 526 165 012 1 948 1 461 1 351 16 237 2 018 2 107 2 213	165 012 1 948 1 461 16 237 2 000 2 103	1 940 1 380 	6 637 81 81 123	254 2 710 7 81 81 120 9 2	3 863	64	1 351 18 4	## ## ## ## ## ##	1 35 1
PALO ALTO	2 265 5 952 1 062 3 043 1 320 1 528 2 781	5 953 1 3 043 1 320 1 528 3 966	3 035 1 260 1 455 3 672	56 1 8 60 73 294	66 56 1 8 57 73 98 267	196		1 061	## 144 ## ## ## ## ##	1 06

See footnote at end of table

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		ELIPS DOCUMENTS (ALS HERE		lireat exp	nditure	منعوبين استخبار المستجارات		Intergover	nuental e	xpenditur
1300	Pota <b>1</b>		Direct		Capita	l outlay	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		To State	To local
approximate a commentation of the comment of the co	· NECOTOCICIONE POPULO CONTROLO	Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Tota1	govern- ments	govern- ments
CALTFORMIASUNTINUED SACHARENTS. SALIGAS SALI	11 302 1 576 4 573 1 905 10 905 45 399 16 017 1 835	11 302 1 536 4 538 1 505 18 905 15 399 16 017 1 835	11 171 1 456 4 421 18 434 44 537 15 422 1 797	131 80 117 38 471 862 595 38	131 80 102 35 467 823 587 38	- 15 3 4 39 8		40 35 6	111111	- 40 35 6 - -
SAG MATEO	2 190 5 096 2 624 2 375 3 417 1 174 1 010 1 624	2 190 9 086 2 624 2 375 3 417 1 174 1 010 1 616	2 124 4 9164 2 563 2 3120 1 984 1 555	66 169 60 112 105 54 86	66 144 59 112 105 54 26	25 1 1 1 2		10		10
STREKTON. SUENYVALF TOPRANCE. VALLEUG NEST COVINA WESTHINSTER WHITTIER.	4 815 1 898 4 841 2 127 1 616 1 501 1 860	4 799 1 898 4 824 2 127 1 610 1 541 1 855	4 636 1 870 4 795 2 115 1 605 1 508 1 850	163 88 125 33 5	117 28 29 12 5 33 5	31	15 - - -	16 17 6 10 5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	16 17 6 10
Colorano: Aurora Aurora Colorano springs Benyer Lakerora Pueglo.	1 966 1 437 3 940 24 954 2 195 2 254	1 966 1 437 3 940 24 954 2 195 2 254	1 922 1 422 3 733 22 612 2 088 2 115	44 15 207 2 342 107 139	44 15 197 594 107 139	10 553	1 195	40. 90. 90. 90.	111111	
CBAME CTICUT: BHIDGE CAT. BRISTOL DANIC AT HAR/JEND HE/JOEN HA/SCOND	8 215 1 355 1 687 8 231 1 458 1 630	8 215 1 355 1 687 8 231 1 457 1 630	8 199 1 284 1 627 8 037 1 416 1 573	16 71 60 194 41 57	16 70 60 194 41 57	1	1 1 2 1 1 1		- - 1	
NEW PRITAIN	2 279 6 925 2 785 9 433 3 290 1 434	2 279 6 906 2 785 5 433 3 290 1 434	2 245 6 825 2 634 5 108 3 216 1 414	34 81 151 325 74 20	34 81 151 287 74 20	- - 38 -	1 1 1 1	19	19	•
TENANGER	4 580	4 580	4 577	3	3	: <u>.</u>		_	_	-
ALEMOTOS OF COLUMNIAS ASSISTED	88 002	A8 102	53 766	4 236	182	3 798	256	-	-	•
FLORIDA: CLEANMATEN. FURT LAUDERDALE GAINE SVILLE MIGLEAGE HOLLYBORD JACKSONVILLE MIAH!	1 722 5 967 2 788 1 859 4 239 13 338 16 139	1 722 767 5 767 768 5 8 768 6 8 768 768 768 768 768 768 768 7	1 666 5 929 2 042 2 573 4 217 12 580 13 845	56 38 341 512 758 2 294	56 38 328 512 22 465 38	13 13 160 2 256	133		90 20 30 40 40 40 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	7 12 13 14 14
MIANI BEACH  GREANDO  PENBACOLA  ST. PETERSHUNG  TALLANASSEE  TAYPA  REST PALM BEACH	5 369 5 858 1 611 6 7195 8 808 2 211	5 365 5 855 1 611 6 716 2 345 8 508	5 365 5 578 1 523 6 204 2 047 8 470 2 133	277 88 432 298 38	277 88 432 63 8 78	235 235	500 500 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	

the instructe at and of table.

# CONTINUED

20F4

## Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			7	(Thousa	nds of do	ollars)					
				1	Direct exp	enditure	-		Intergover	nmental e	xperditure
	City	Total	Total	Direct		Capita	1 outlay			To State	To local
_			, otal	current	Tota1	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
	CALIFORNIACONTINUED SACRAMENTO. SALINAS, SAN BERNARDINO. SAN BUENAVENTURA. SAM DIEGO. SAN FRANCISCO. SAN JOSE. SAN LEANDRO.	11 302 1 576 4 573 1 710 18 905 45 399 16 017 1 835	11 302 1 536 4 538 1 704 18 905 45 399 16 017 1 835	11 171 1 455 4 421 1 666 18 434 44 537 15 422 1 797	131 80 117 38 471 862 595 38	131 80 102 35 467 823 587 38	15 3 4 39 8		40 35 6		40 35 6
	SAN MATEO SANTA ANA SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA MONICA SANTA POSA SIMI VALLEY SOUTH GATE	2 190 5 096 2 624 2 375 3 417 1 174 1 010 1 624	2 190 5 036 2 624 2 375 3 417 1 174 1 010 1 616	2 124 4 917 2 564 2 263 3 312 1 120 984 1 555	66 169 60 112 105 54 • 26 61	66 144 59 112 105 54 26 59	25 1 - - - 2	-	10		10
	STOCKTON. SUNNYVALE TORRANCE. VALLEJO WEST COVINA WESTMINSTER WHITTIER.	4 815 1 898 4 841 2 127 1 616 1 551 1 860	4 799 1 898 4 824 2 127 1 610 1 541 1 855	4 636 1 870 4 795 2 115 1 605 1 508 1 850	163 28 29 12 5 33	117 28 29 12 5 33	31	15 - - - -	16 17 - 6 10	-	10 17 6 10
c	COLORADO: AUFORA. BOULDER COLORADO SPRINGS. DENVER. LAKEWOOD. PUEBLO.	1 966 1 437 3 940 24 954 2 195 2 254	1 966 1 437 3 940 24 954 2 195 2 254	1 922 1 422 3 733 22 612 2 088 2 115	44 15 207 2 342 107 139	44 15 197 594 107	10 553	1 195		-	
C	ONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT. BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD. MERIDEN	8 215 1 355 1 687 8 231 1 458 1 630	8 215 1 355 1 687 8 231 1 457 1 630	8 199 1 284 1 627 8 037 1 416 1 573	16 71 60 194 41 57	16 70 60 194 41 57	1	-	1	-	
	NEW BRITAIN NEW HAVEN NORWALK STAMFORD WATERBURY WEST HAVEN	2 279 6 925 2 785 5 433 3 290 1 434	2 279 6 906 2 785 5 433 3 290 1 434	2 245 6 825 2 634 5 108 3 216 1 414	34 81 151 325 74 20	34 81 151 287 74 20	38		19	19	
D	ELAWARE: WILMINGTON	4 580	4 580	4 577	3	3	_			-	
Ď	ISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:	88 002	88 002	83 766					- [	-	
F	LORIDA: CLEARWATER. FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HOLLYWOOD JACKSONVILLE MIAMI	1 722 5 967 2 383 3 085 4 239 13 338 16 139	1 722 5 967 2 383 3 085 4 239 13 338 16 139	1 666 5 929 2 042 2 573 4 217 12 580 13 845	55 38 341 512 758 22 758 2 294	56 38 328 512 22 465 38	3 798 - 13 - 160 2 256	256	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		
	MIAMI BEACH ORLANDO PENSACOLA ST. PETERSBURG TALLAHASSEE TAMPA WEST PALM BEACH	5 365 5 855 1 611 6 716 2 345 8 508 2 211	5 365 5 855 1 611 6 716 2 345 8 508 2 211	5 365 5 578 1 523 6 284 2 047 8 470 2 133	277 88 432 296 38 78	277 88 432 63 8 78	235	111111			•

See footnote at end of table.

## Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	<del></del>		( I nousai	nas ot ac	ollars)				<del> </del>	
			: I	Direct exp				Intergover	mental ex	penditure
City	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlay	100		To State	To local
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
GEORGIA: ALBANY. ATLANTA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS. MACON SAVANNAH	1 930 19 966 1 680 4 124 2 888 2 988	1 930 19 966 1 680 4 124 2 888 2 988	1 752 17 930 1 553 3 752 2 520 2 948	178 2 036 127 372 368 40	178 2 034 127 369 368 40				1	-
HAWAII:	25 089	25 089	24 531	558	550	8		-		<del>.</del>
BOISE CITY.	1 628	1 628	1 549	79	79	_		_	-	-
ILLINOIS: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS AURORA. BERWYN. CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO CICERO.	J 407 2 160 838 1 255 227 411 1 334	1 407 2 160 838 1 242 227 411 1 334	1 362 2 042 802 1 181 221 355 1 334	45 118 36 61 6 056	45 95 36 61 5 732	23		13	11111	13
DECATUR DES PLAINES EAST ST. LOUIS. ELGIN EVANSTON. JOLIET.	1 699 1 495 2 726 1 581 2 538 2 443	1 699 1 495 2 728 1 581 2 538 2 443	1 628 1 416 2 431 1 504 2 518 2 373	71 79 297 77 20 70	71 79 276 77 16 66	21 - 4 4				
OAK LAWN CAK PARK PEORIA ROCKFORD ROCK ISLAND SKOKIE SPRINGFIELD	1 695 2 263 4 063 3 719 1 375 2 150 2 565 2 051	1 695 2 263 4 063 3 719 1 375 2 150 2 565 2 041	1 602 1 914 4 048 3 625 1 058 2 117 1 992 1 837	93 349 15 94 317 33 573 204	93 101 15 94 - 33 256 166	248 - - 317 - 317 38		10	1 3 4 3 1 3 1	10
INDIANA: ANDERSON. EVANSVILLE. FORT WAYNE. GARY. HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS. MUNCIE. SOUTH BENU. TERRE HAUTE	1 641 2 899 4 008 5 252 2 625 18 920 1 561 3 338 1 259	1 641 2 899 4 008 5 252 2 625 18 920 1 561 3 338 1 259	1 570 2 697 3 831 4 971 2 580 18 163 1 490 3 220 1 158	71 202 177 281 45 757 71 118	71 202 177 281 45 757 71 118	-		-	1111111111	1111111111
IOWA: CEDAR RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFFS. DAVENPORT DES MOINES. DUBUGUE SIOUX CITY. WATERLOO.	1 972 1 035 1 697 6 397 1 399 1 504 1 710	1 966 1 035 1 697 6 397 1 399 1 465 1 710	1 844 985 1 624 5 976 1 193 1 424 1 647	. 122 50 73 421 206 41 63	119 50 73 315 28 41 63	106 178		6 - - - 39		6   39 
KANSAS: KANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK TOPEKA WICHITA	4 965 1 297 3 241 5 927	4 965 1 297 3 241 5 901	4 691 1 218 3 004 5 788	274 79 237 113	274 79 237 113	-	- - -	- 26	- - 26	
KENTUCKY: COVINGTON LEXINGTON LOUISVILLE OWENSHORO	1 711 7 008 14 399 1 145	1 711 7 008 14 389 1 145	1 552 5 300 12 660 1 004	159 1 708 1 729 141	158 1 424 218 141		23	10	10	

See footnote at end of table

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			D	irect expe	nditure			Intergover	nmental e	openditu
N. 6	(Total		Direct		Capita	l outlay			To State	To local
City	Total	Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern ments
OUISIANA: BATON ROUGE LAFAYETTE LAKE CHARLES. MONROE NE# ORLEANS SHREVEPORT.	8 060 1 116 885 1 224 23 918 4 598	8 036 1 116 883 1 224 27 918 4 598	6 804 938 799 1 162 22 387 4 519	1 232 178 84 62 1 531 79	1 050 178 84 62 1 370	182	- - - - - -	24 - 2 - -	-	
AINF: PORTLAND	2 177	2 177	2 140	37	-	37		_	_	
ARYLAND: BALTIMORE	54 771	54 771	53 880	891	431	459	1	-	_	
ASSACHUSETTS: BOSTONBROCKTONCAMBRIDGECHICOPEEFALL RIVERHOLYOKE.LAWRENCE.	45 820 3 190 3 832 1 607 4 030 1 807 2 206	45 820 3 188 3 832 1 607 4 030 1 807 2 206	43 601 3 145 3 803 1 553 3 973 1 787 2 159	2 219 43 29 54 57 20 47	2 197 43 29 54 57 20 47	22	-	2	2	
LOWELL	2 807 3 888 2 111 2 081 4 160 4 066	2 804 3 848 2 111 2 081 4 160 4 053	2 735 3 888 2 065 2 022 3 736 3 859	69 46 59 424 194	69 46 59 424 194	-		3		
PITTSFIELD	1 194 4 287 2 074 4 704 2 077 6 817	1 194 4 287 2 074 4 704 2 077 6 817	1 190 4 160 2 006 4 689 2 065 6 405	4 127 68 15 12	127 68 15 12 412	-	-	-	-	
ICHIGAN: ANN AKBOR DEARBORN DEARBORN DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZOO	3 287 3 747 2 351 102 545 7 509 6 315 2 706	3 287 3 747 2 348 102 545 7 509 6 315 2 706	3 253 3 556 1 867 98 360 7 452 5 721 2 529	34 191 481 4 185 57 594 177	54 191 188 3 529 51 248 177	293 656 6 346	-	3	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
LANSING LINCOLN PARK	4 589 1 322 2 788 3 888 1 796 2 221 3 825	4 589 1 301 2 788 3 888 1 796 2 221 3 812	4 211 1 253 2 739 3 769 1 665 2 193 3 746	378 48 49 119 131 28 66	378 48 49 119 1; 27 66	- - 1 1	-	21	13	
ST. CLAIR SHORES SOUTHFIELD	2 408 2 541 2 518 1 811 4 683 1 720 1 284	2 408 2 541 2 518 1 811 4 683 1 715 1 284	2 272 2 459 2 082 1 770 4 512 1 643 1 138	136 82 436 41 171 72 146	131 82 436 41 165 72 134	5 - 6 - 12		111151	1	
INNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON DULUTH MINNEAPOLIS ROCHESTER ST. PAUL	2 076 2 044 13 149 1 306 9 167	2 076 2 044 13 149 1 306 9 167	2 005 1 987 13 124 1 259 9 094	71 57 25 47 73	7 57 25 47 62	64 - - 11	•	- - - -	P 3 % 3 %	

See footnote at end of table

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Thousan	Direct exp		<del>.,,.,</del>		Intergover	rnmental e	xpenditure
City	Total	T	Direct		Capit	al outlay			To State	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- monts	govern- ments
MISSOURI: COLUMBIA	935 1 013 4 642 22 537 1 248 38 907 2 113	935 1 013 4 642 22 537 1 248 38 907 2 113	902 939 2 096 22 475 1 102 37 508 2 084	33 74 2 546 2 62 146 1 399	33 64 62 57 146 1 233 29	10 2 484 5 -	-		-	
MONTANA: BILLINGS	1 336 1 317	1 336 1 317	1 295 1 007	41 310	41 65	195	50	_		•
NEBRASKA: LINCOLN	2 942 9 241	2 942 9 241	2 789 8 936	153 305	153 305	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA: LAS VEGAS ,	9 213 3 754	99 3 754	1 3 582	98 172	98 172	_		9 114		9 114
NEW HAMPSHIRE: MANCHESTER	1 917 1 664	1 917 1 663	1 868 1 595	49 68	49 67		-			
NEW JERSEY: BAYONNE. BLOOMFIELD. CAMDEN. CLIFTON EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON	2 775 1 980 5 931 2 287 3 669 4 490 2 205		2 750 1 980 5 921 2 287 3 666 4 490 2 205	10	25 10 3					
JERSEY CITY	13 573 25 988 1 991 6 581 6 321 2 224	25 988 1 991 6 581 6 321	13 573 25 221 1 991 6 581 6 208 2 193	767	767	111				-
NEW MEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE	8 850	8 850	B 200	650	650				-	-   -
NEW YORK: ALBANY BINGHAMTON BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON NEW RUCHELLE NEW YORK CITY NIAGARA FALLS	5 099 1 906 21 146 2 783 3 111 648 026 3 072	1 906 21 146 2 783 3 111 648 026	21 086 2 761 3 039 620 84	47 60 1 22 7 27 179	9 147	18 03		7	-	
ROCHESTER	11 982 673 2 611 6 880 1 338 2 101 3 320 7 396	673 2 611 6 880 3 1 338 2 101 3 320 5 7 396	647 1 959 6 609 1 30 2 039 3 27 7 21	7 26 9 652 9 271 1 37 4 67	26 41 271 21 6	61 1 1 1 7 7	3			
NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM. FAYETTEVILLE GREENSBORO HIGH POINT RALEIGH WINSTON-SALEN	1 696 9 079 3 36 1 821 6 479 1 899 4 099 5 43	9 9 079 3 3 363 8 1 828 5 6 175 9 1189 7 4 089	1 59 7 94 3 01 1 69 6 19 1 76 3 99	1 1 13/ 9 34/ 0 13/ 2 28/ 2 13/ 1 9/	3 45 3 28 7 13 8 9	65 3 7 8	4	- 1	0 8	- 10

See footnote at end of table

POLICE PROTECTION

and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	r <del>:</del>	<u>i</u>	(Thousan		<del></del>	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	-			
	ļ	<u> </u>	α .	irect expe	<del></del>	1 014304		Intergover	nmental e	rpondi tur
City	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip-	l outlay Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
EXASI ABILENE AMARILLO. ARLINGTON AUSTIN. BEAUMONT ROWNSVILLE CORPUS CHRISTI DALLAS. EL PASO	1 162 2 216 1 980 7 341 2 400 833 3 827 34 163 6 371	1 162 2 216 1 980 7 341 2 400 3 827 34 163 6 371	1 092 2 137 1 797 7 072 2 132 822 3 416 33 408 6 007	70 79 183 269 248 411 755 364	70 79 183 269 28 8 411 525 279	220 230 85	-	1.1.2.00.1.1		3
FORT WORTH.  GALVESTON	10 546 1 121 1 534 914 35 749 1 428 534 2 792 1 100	10 546 1 121 1 534 914 35 749 1 428 534 2 792 1 100	10 507 1 042 1 412 706 32 671 1 353 532 2 655 986	39 122 208 3 076 75 2 137	39 79 122 89 2 000 75 2 137	119 1 024	54			
MIDLAND	1 402 1 487 2 212 972 1 170 16 531 1 111 2 336 1 314	1 402 1 487 2 212 972 1 170 16 531 1 111 2 336 1 314	1 247 1 454 1 866 929 1 120 14 976 1 043 2 252 1 252	155 33 346 43 50 1 555 63 84 62	5 33 100 43 50 736 66 84 62	-	2			
TAHI OGDEN	1 717 683 5 055	1 717 681 5 055	1 708 632 4 589	9 49 466	9 49 365	-	-	2	-	
ALEXANDRIA.  CHESAPEAKE. HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS. NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH. RICHNOND ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH.	4 651 2 383 2 750 1 465 3 170 7 417 2 711 10 089 2 504 4 096	4 651 2 383 2 750 1 465 3 170 7 417 2 711 10 089 2 504 4 096	4 579 2 229 2 690 1 398 3 088 7 110 2 700 9 938 2 136 4 026	72 154 60 67 82 307 11 151 368 70	72 154 57 67 82 307 11 151 40	33-			-	
ASHINGTON: BELLEVUE EVERETT SEATTLE SPOKANE TACOMA	1 632 1 649 21 642 4 718 4 947	1 632 1 649 21 642 4 604 4 947	4 575	77 1 242 29 395	77 1 215 29 39	27	-	114		11
VEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON HUNTINGTON	1 978 1 808	1 978 1 808		68 210	68		-	1	1	1
WISCONSIN: APPLETON. GREEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE MADISON MILWAUKEE OSHKOSH RACINE. AUWATOSA WEST ALLIS.	1 223 2 048 2 143 1 303 4 941 33 299 1 179 2 828 1 53 2 078	1 303 4 941 33 299 1 179 2 828 1 563	1 967 2 007 1 252 4 765 32 642 1 147 2 736 1 527	51 176 657 32 92 36	176 575 33 93	5 82 2 -				

#### Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

4,000			Þ	ireat expe	erutthm			Intergover	nmental e	xpenditu
city	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlay	ACRES INCOME		To State	To local
		Total	ourrest	Total	Equip.	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern-	govern- ments
ORTH DAKOTA: FARGO	1 595	1 292	1 521	41	41	-		Ħ	-	
HIO: AKRON. CANTON. CINCINNATI. CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS GOLUMBUS. DAYTON. ELYRIA EUCLIO. HAMILTON.	7 352 3 179 20 366 28 060 1 302 18 912 7 681 7 681 1 404 1 735	7 352 3 179 20 106 20 060 21 302 18 912 7 681 7 768 1 404 1 775	7 160 2 765 19 521 1 298 17 720 7 243 7 618	192 414 709 1739 1 192 1 193 1 173	192 414 602 1 739 4 1 192 431 5	107	99 98 98 98 98 98 98 98		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	
KETTERING LAKENOOD. LIMA. LURAIN. MANSFIELD PARMA SPRINGFIELD TOLEDO. WARREN YOUNGSTOWN	1 162 1 172 1 044 1 055 1 607 1 475 1 891 12 087 1 222 3 506	1 162 1 172 1 044 1 055 1 607 1 475 1 851 12 064 1 222 3 501	1 103 1 121 1 009 1 015 1 260 1 465 1 758 11 562 1 172 3 307	59 51 75 40 347 10 123 508 194	53 51 25 40 347 10 133 349 50	153	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	וווווואוט	11111111	s
CLAHOMA L LAWTON NORNAN OKLAHOMA CITY TULSA	1 486 1 334 8 698 6 773	1 486 1 334 8 698 6 773	1 298 1 182 8 459 6 311	188 152 239 462	179 116 239 462	9 36 -		## ## ##	#4 #4	•
REGON: EUGENE. PORTLAND. SALEM	2 456 14 758 2 191	2 456 14 758 2 191	2 420 14 636 2 151	36 122 40	36 122 40				-	
ENNSYLVANIA: ALLENTOWN ALTOONA BETHLEHEN CHESTER HARRISBURG LANCASTER	2 742 917 1 716 2 217 2 117 2 796 1 683	2 742 917 1 716 2 217 2 117 2 681	2 567 917 1 680 2 217 2 778 2 636 1 553	175 36 339 160 130	175 36 339 160 62		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		# # # # #	
PHILADELPHIA. PITTSBURGH. READING. SCHANTON. WILKES-BARRE. YORK.		36 168 20 398 2 161 1 843 1 246 1 272	132 499 27 293 2 142 2 184 1 187 1 267	3 669 105 19 59	3 288 54 19 - 59 5	102	279 - - -			
HODE ISLAND: CRANSTON. PAMITUCKET PROVIDENCE. WARWICK	1 685 1 985 5 677 2 088	1 683 1 985 5 677 2 088	1 602 1 921 5 576 2 053	81 64 101 35	53 64 101 35	28 - -	-	•		
OUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON. COLUMBIA GREENVILLE.	2 442 2 902 1 717	2 442 2 902 1 717	1 606 2 783 1 661	836 119 56	140 119 56	696	•	•	•	
DUTH DAKOTA: SIGUX FALLS	1 321	1 321	1 197	150	124	-	•		-	
ENNESSEE: CHATTANCOGA KNOXVILLE MEMPHIS NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	6 019 4 738 18 446 12 735	6 000 4 738 18 446 12 735	4 202 4 737 16 846 11 389	1 798 1 1 600 1 366	977 1 623 1 045	821 977 321	•	9 1. 1. 1		1

See footnote at end of table.

#### Section IV. JUDICIAL

JUDICIAL

189

Table 26. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

		Dix	ect expenditu	re	Intergov	ernmental exp	enditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	- To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL STATES	1 662 018 475 992 1 227 391 861 244 373 046	1 662 018 439 456 1 222 562 855 750 366 811	1 594 286 429 919 1 164 367 827 867 336 500	67 731 9 537 58 194 27 863 30 311	48 265 36 536 11 729 5 494 6 235	4 831 (X) 4 831 3 012 1 819	43 434 36 536 6 898 2 482 4 416
ALABAMA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	18 745 5 377 13 381 11 631 2 133	18 745 5 377 13 368 11 631 1 737	18 276 5 316 12 960 11 495 1 465	468 61 407 136 271	396 396 396	13 (X) 13 -	383 383 383
ALASKA	9 483 9 229 355 68 287	9 483 9 229 254 254	8 618 8 366 252 - 252	865 863 2 - 2	102 102 68 33	102 (X) 102 68 33	-
ARIZONA	18 590 2 374 16 278 13 621 2 671	18 590 2 374 16 216 13 558 2 658	16 823 2 302 14 521 11 913 2 608	1 766 72 1 694 1 645 49	76 76 63 13	62 62 62	14 14 1 13
ARKANSAS	6 132 1 996 4 158 3 323 1 036	6 132 1 996 4 136 3 286 850	6 042 1 987 4 055 3 214 842	90 9 81 72 9	223 223 37 186	22 (X) 22 4 18	201 33
CALIFORNIA	224 943 25 168 199 836 190 331 9 669	224 943 25 168 199 775 190 107 9 669	217 186 25 103 192 083 182 524 9 559	7 757 65 7 692 7 583 109	225 225 225	61 (X) 61 61	163 163 163
COLORADO	22 092 16 293 5 806 1 232 4 574	22 092 16 293 5 799 1 225 4 574	21 756 16 096 5 660 1 220 4 440	336 197 139 4 134	7 7 7	(X) 7 7	-
CONNECTICUT	23 324 23 288 264 265	23 324 23 063 261 261	18 589 18 333 256 256	4 735 4 730 5 5	229 225 4 4	2 (X) 2 2	227 225 2 2
DELAWARE	7 502 6 569 1 394 932 462	7 502 6 108 1 394 932 462	7 418 6 030 1 388 929 459	85 78 7 3 4	461 461 - -	(x)	461 461 - -
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL MUNICIPALITIES	17 734 17 734 17 734	17 734 17 734 17 734	17 734 17 734 17 734	1 3 1 1	1	(x)	-
FLORIDA	75 159 25 265 49 909 42 660 7 275	75 159 25 265 49 894 42 619 7 275	73 763 25 158 48 605 41 347 7 258	1 396 107 1 289 1 272 17	40 40 40	15 (X) 15 15	25 25 25 25
GEORGIA	31 507 5 904 25 604 22 828 2 795	31 507 5 904 25 603 22 808 2 795	30 373 5 809 24 564 21 797 2 767	1 134 95 1 039 1 011 28	20 20 20	(x <u>)</u>	20 20 20

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

(Thousands of dollars)

		(Thousands	of dollars)	la de la companya de		N.,	
		Dir	ect expenditu	re	Intergove	nmental expe	nditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	7 813 7 813 - - -	7 813 7 813 - -	7 591 7 591 	222 222 - -		(X)	
IDAHO	4 940 2 723 2 217 2 157 166	4 940 2 723 2 217 2 157 60	4 722 2 668 2 054 1 994 60	218 55 163 163	10 <u>e</u> 10 <u>e</u> 10e	(x)	106 106
ILLINOIS ,	82 115 28 629 53 486 53 100 404	82 115 28 629 53 486 53 082 403	81 565 28 521 53 044 52 642 402	549 108 441 440	19 19 18 1	(X)	19
INDIANA	20 781 4 560 16 431 11 394 5 180	20 781 4 399 15 382 11 202 5 180	20 421 4 310 16 111 10 974 5 137	360 89 271 228 43	352 161 191 191	49 (X) 49 49	30: 16: 14: 14:
STATE.  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES  MUNICIPALITIES	15 969 5 487 10 493 9 931 1 187	15 969 5 487 10 482 9 391 1 090	15 714 5 472 10 242 9 157 1 085	255 15 240 235 5	636 636 540 96	11 (X) 11 8 4	62 62 53 9
KANSAS	12 761 3 738 9 071 7 782 1 297	12 761 3 738 9 023 7 727 1 296	12 254 3 730 8 524 7 337 1 187	507 8 499 390 109	56 56 55 1	48 (X) 48 48	
KENTUCKY STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	14 499 4 402 10 143 8 971 1 173	14 499 4 356 10 143 8 971 1 172	14 161 4 347 9 814 8 647 1 167	337 9 328 324 5	47 46 1 1	(x)	41
LOUISIANA, STATE LOCAL, TOTAL PARISHES MUNICIPALITIES	26 186 6 108 20 078 11 006 9 079	26 186 6 108 20 078 10 999 9 079	25 456 6 108 19 348 10 600 8 748	730 730 399 332	8 8 8	(x)	
MAINE. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	5 376 3 338 2 170 2 138 80	5 376 3 208 2 168 2 136 32	5 117 2 993 2 124 2 092 32	259 215 44 44	181 130 51 2 49	(X) 2 2	179 131 4
MARYLAND STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	32 743 18 293 14 450 9 228 5 225	32 743 18 293 14 450 9 225 5 225	31 039 17 795 13 244 8 023 5 221	1 705 498 1 207 1 203 4	2 2 2	(x)	
MASSACHUSETTSSTATELOCAL, TÓTALCOUNTIESMUNICIPALITIES	49 178 10 804 38 919 27 624 11 771	49 178 10 260 38 918 27 619 11 299	47 452 10 243 37 209 25 965 11 224	1 726 17 1 709 1 634 75	1 020 544 476 5 471	(X) i i	1 01° 54° 47
MICHIGAN	85 702 14 914 72 232 55 333 18 770	85 702 13 768 71 934 54 815 17 118	84 412 13 768 70 644 54 238 16 406	1 290 1 290 578 712	3 314 1 146 2 168 517 1 652	298 (X) 298 -	3 01' 1 140 1 87 510 1 350

Table 26. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		D1;1	rect expendit	ıre	Intergove	rnmental expe	nditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	24 034 4 310 19 739 18 847 1 373	24 034 4 310 19 724 18 369 1 355	23 426 4 283 19 143 17 796 1 347	608 27 581 573 8	496 496 478 18	15 (X) 15 15	481 481 463 18
MISSISSIPPI	9 083 2 413 6 673 6 227 449	9 083 2 413 6 670 6 227 443	8 848 2 198 6 650 6 207 443	235 215 20 20	6 6 6	(X) 3 -	4
MISSOURI	30 987 10 997 20 632 13 317 7 354	30 987 10 395 20 592 13 238 7 354	30 359 10 346 20 013 12 874 7 139	628 49 579 364 215	681 602 79 79	40 (X) 40 40	641 602 39 39
MONTANA	3 735 907 2 837 2 544 293	3 735 907 2 828 2 543 285	3 683 907 2 776 2 495 281	53 53 48 4	8 8 - 8	8 (X) 8	
NEBRASKA	9 576 4 999 4 586 3 633 955	9 576 4 999 4 577 3 623 954	9 420 4 984 4 436 3 507 929	155 15 140 115 25	12 12 11 1	10 (X) 10 10	2 2 1 1 1
NEVADA	5 477 1 167 4 310 3 432 879	5 477 1 167 4 310 3 431 879	5 337 1 149 4 188 3 338 849	141 18 123 93 30	:	(x)	
NEW HAMPSHIRESTATELOCAL, TOTALCOUNTIESMUNICIPALITIES	3 826 1 336 2 513 1 507 1 016	3 826 1 336 2 490 1 507 983	3 812 1 336 2 476 1 496 980	14 14 22 14 22 11 2	34 34 34	24 (X) 24 24	10
NEW JERSEY	63 551 15 904 49 001 38 325 10 676	63 551 14 550 49 001 38 325 10 676	63 204 14 362 48 842 38 194 10 648	347 188 159 131 28	1 354 1 354	(x)	1 354 1 354
NEW MEXICO	5 437 4 442 996 311 685	5 437 4 442 995 311 685	5 189 4 209 980 310 670	248 233 15 1 14	1 1 1 1	(X) 1 1	1 1 1
STATE	247 661 50 010 206 964 51 165 156 064	247 661 41 273 206 388 50 900 155 488	220 341 41 047 179 294 49 713 129 581	27 320 226 27 094 1 187 25 907	9 578 8 737 841 265 576	576 (X) 576 247 330	9 002 8 737 265 19 246
NORTH CAROLINA	29 822 24 407 5 415 5 415	29 822 24 407 5 415 5 415	28 883 24 163 4 720 4 720	939 244 695 695	- - -	(X)	
NORTH DAKOTA	3 430 1 029 2 401 2 143 260	3 430 1 029 2 401 2 142 260	3 413 1 026 2 387 2 131 256	18 3 15 11	1 1 1	(X)	1 1 1

Table 26. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Dire	ct expenditu	re	Intergove	ernmental expe	enditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local government
STATE., LOCAL, TOTAL	73 799 11 155 64 495 45 822 19 147	73 799 9 307 64 492 45 738 18 754	70 933 9 204 61 729 43 187 18 542	2 866 103 2 763 2 551 212	2 325 1 848 477 83 393	3 (X) 3	2 32 1 84 47 8 39
OKLAHOMA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	12 479 5 418 7 560 6 303 1 305	12 479 5 418 7 061 5 756 1 305	12 325 5 372 6 953 5 657 1 296	154 46 108 99	548 548 548	500 (X) 500 500	4 4
STATE	16 407 4 653 11 969 11 067 1 000	16 407 4 499 11 908 10 935 973	15 855 4 499 11 356 10 392 964	552 552 543 9	313 154 159 132 28	61 (X) 61 33 28	25 15 9
NNSYLVANIA	102 833 41 567 78 266 42 437 35 829	102 833 24 567 78 266 42 437 35 829	101 678 24 567 77 111 41 629 35 482	1 154 1 154 806 347	17 000 17 000	(X)	17 00 17 00
HODE ISLAND	7 362 7 070 292 292	7 362 7 070 292 292	7 240 6 949 291 291	122 121 1 1		(X)	
OUTH CAROLINA	13 246 1 506 11 745 10 414 1 334	13 246 1 506 11 740 10 406 1 334	12 066 1 493 10 573 9 858 715	1 180 13 1 167 548 619	8 I 8 8	5 (X) 5 5	
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 231 818 2 413 2 326 262	3 231 818 2 413 2 157 255	3 177 800 2 377 2 126 252	53 18 35 31 4	175 175 169 6	(X)	1' 1'
ENNESSEE	23 244 4 217 19 028 13 650 5 905	23 244 4 217 19 027 13 650 5 377	22 957 4 147 18 810 13 469 5 341	287 70 217 181 36	527 527 527	(x)	5; 5; 5;
EXAS STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	64 173 10 937 54 569 46 505 8 071	64 173 9 732 54 441 46 395 8 046	62 951 9 708 53 243 45 272 7 971	1 222 24 1 198 1 123 75	1 340 1 205 135 110 25	128 (X) 128 106 22	1 20
STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	5 197 2 176 3 127 1 656 1 466	5 197 2 070 3 127 1 662 1 466	5 107 2 010 3 097 1 650 1 447	90 60 30 12 18	110 106 4 4	(x)	11 10
ERMONTSTATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	3 272 3 101 171 165 19	3 272 3 101 171 165 6	3 148 3 028 120 114 6	124 73 51 51	13 13	(X)	
/IRGINIA	28 784 11 717 18 843 7 954 10 949	28 784 11 715 17 069 7 196 9 873	27 195 11 686 15 509 6 446 9 063	1 589 29 1 560 750 811	1 835 2 1 833 758 1 076	1 774 (X) 1 774 741 1 033	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

JUDICIAL

(Thousands of dollars)

		Dir	ect expenditu	re	Intergovernmental expenditure			
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Yotal	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments	
WASHINGTON	4 376 18 246	22 596 4 350 18 246 15 436 2 810	22 121 4 280 17 841 15 048 2 793	475 70 405 388 17	144 26 118 4 114	(X)	144 26 118 4 114	
WEST VIRGINIA	6 738 2 325 4 916 4 724 192	6 738 1 827 4 911 4 719 192	6 553 1 667 4 886 4 694 192	186 160 26 25	503 498 5 5	5 (X) 5 5	498 496 -	
WISCONSIN	26 609 9 789 20 094 19 573 975	26 609 7 498 19 111 13 535 576	26 442 7 479 18 963 18 389 574	167 19 148 146 2	3 728 2 291 1 437 1 038 399	983 (X) 983 983	2 745 2 291 454 55 399	
WYOMING	2 155 974 1 182 1 042 140	2 155 974 1 181 1 041 140	2 140 974 1 166 1 027 139	15 15 14 1	1 1	(X) 1 1		

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

X Not applicable.

For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 27. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments, fiscal year 1974

	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Stato	Total direct current expenditure	Courts of last resort	Intermediate appellate courts	Major trial courts	Other courts	Miscellaneous
TOTAL,	429 919	42 088	#3 070	216 215	74 077	E0.7/
			43 878	216 715	76 877	50 36
LABAMA	5 316 8 366	796 804	474 (X)	1 944	1 749	2 102 2 102
RIZONA	2 302	557	692	860	-	19:
RKANSAS	1 987 25 103	2 042	7 094	1 419 13 523		160 2 440
OLORADO	16 096	628	362	12 778	1 172	1 150
ONNECTICUT	18 333 6 030	551 206	(X)	6 898	9 141	1 74
LORIDA	25 158	1 568	1 783	1 646 15 163	3 838	34 6 64
EORGIA	5 809	696	779	3 906	-	42
AWAII	7 591 2 668	1 021 587	(X)	2 661	2 418	1 49
LINOIS	28 521	1 628	(X) 4 135	2 000 20 895	(X)	1 86
NDIANA	4 310 5 472	483 969	515 (X)	2 944 4 111	(x)	26
NSAS	3 730	831	(X)	2 649		25
NTUCKY	4 347 6 108	879	(X)	2 266	ļ <u>-</u>	1 20
INE	2 993	967 439	1 831 (X)	2 825 632	384 1 559	10
RYLAND	17 795	687	726	2 824	12 444	1 11
ASSACHUSETTS	10 243 13 768	1 079 2 448	718	2 140	4 810	1 49
INNESOTA	4 283	1 314	2 938 (X)	3 415 2 330	3 732	1 23
SSISSIPPI	2 198 10 346	566 1 549	1 101	1 422 2 784	3 735	21
NTANA	907	243	(X)	622	_	
BRASKA	4 984	464	(X)	1 851	2 510	15
W HAMPSHIRE	1 149 1 336	446 311	(X)	637 708	255	
W JERSEY	14 362	897	1 652	5 826	- 1	5 98
W MEXICO	4 209 41 047	339 1 802	271	2 275	925	39
RTH CAROLINA	24 163	701	10 105 659	18 492 14 645	4 195 6 281	6 45
RTH DAKOTA	1 026 9 204	371 868	(X) 1 665	581 5 893	_	7
	5 372	1 072		{	_	
EGON	4 499	934	232 407	4 068 3 029	108	
NNSYLVANIA	24 567 6 949	2 435 763	1 906 (X)	12 802 2 427	7 209 2 110	1 6
UTH CAROLINA	1 493	412	. ixi	973	2 410	10
UTH DAKOTA	800	282	txi	518	-	
NNESSEE	4 147 9 708	596 1 488	536 2 215	2 312 5 699	-	30
AH	2 010 3 028	259	(X)	930	817	
		227	(X)	698	1 413	69
RGINIA	11 686 4 280	796 934	(X)	4 728 1 480	6 072	88
ST VIRGINIA	1 667	436 1 022	(X)	1 024	-	20
OMING	974	287	(X)	6 082 671	-	37

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero. NA Not available. X Not applicable.

Table 28. Judicial expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

		(Thousands of dollars)									
				Diroct exp	enditure			Intergover	mental ex	penditur	
County	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlay			To .	To	
		Total	current	Tota1	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments	
TOTAL	656 227	653 133	632 487	20 646	6 929	11 336	1 381	3 094	1 571	1 523	
ALABAMA: CALHOUN JEFFERSON MADISON MORILE MONTGOMERY TUSCALOOSA	347 2 359 633 1 314 515 486	347 2 359 633 1 314 615 486	347 2 332 626 1 284 604 463	27 7 30 11 23	27 7 30 11 23	11111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-	-		
ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	68	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-			68	68		
ARIZONA: MARICOPA. PIMA.	6 950 2 817	6 950 2 774	5 '33 2 '701	1 517 73	53 73	1 288	176	_ 43	43	<b>i</b> .	
ARKANSAS: PULASKI	791	791	748	43	33	10	<b></b>	-	-		
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA BUTYE CONTRA COSTA FRESNO KERN LOS ANGELES MARIN MERCED	10 269 685 4 923 3 143 2 416 78 447 2 523 656	10 210 685 4 923 3 143 2 416 78 447 2 523 656	9.976 640 4 848 3 133 2 402 77 351 2 517 651	234 45 75 10 14 1 096 5	139 3 40 7 12 318 6 5	95 42 35 3 2 778	1.111111	59	59	**************************************	
MONTEREY. ORANGE. RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO. SAN BERNARDINO. SAN DIESO. SAN DIESO. SAN LUIS OBISPO.	1 644 13 724 4 336 6 639 6 803 16 010 2 483	1 644 13 724 4 174 6 639 6 803 16 010 2 483 840	1 629 12 875 4 035 5 725 6 739 13 995 2 460 833	15 849 139 914 64 2 015 23 7	15 51 23 6 64 147 8	798 116 908 18 15	1 850	162	-	162	
SAN MATEO SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ. SOLANO. SONOMA. STANISLAUS. TULARE. VENTURA	4 715 1 863 8 506 1 023 1 603 1 474 1 619 1 335 3 882	4 715 1 863 8 506 1 023 1 603 1 474 1 619 1 335 3 882	4 657 1 348 7 315 1 016 1 596 1 360 1 557 1 308 3 773	58 15 1 191 7 7 114 62 27	29 14 56 7 7 8 25 59	29 1 1 135 106 60 2			-	  	
OLORADO: ADAMS ARAPAHOE BOULDER EL PASO JEFFERSON PUEBLO.	92 153 149 125 317	92 153 149 125 317 10	92 153 149 121 317	- - 4 -	11111	- 4		-			
ELAWARE: NEW CASTLE	723	723	720	3	3	-	-		_		
LORIDA: ALACHUA BREVARD BREVARD BROWARO DADE ESCAMBIA HILLSROROUGH LEE LEON ORANGE PALM BEACH PINELLAS. See footnote at end of table.	533 1 492 3 427 12 105 5 332 578 902 1 665 1 599	533 1 492 3 427 12 105 1 053 5 78 902 1 842 1 665 1 599	512 1 465 3 325 11 825 10 38 5 720 468 869 1 7665 1 480	21 27 102 285 15 12 110 34 106	21 27 90 247 15 12 110 34 106	12 38					

Table 23. Judicial expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			Þ	irect expe	enditure			Intergover	mental ex	penditure
County	Total				Capita	1 outlay	:		To State	To local
		Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
FLORIDACONTINUED POLK SARASOTA VOLUSIA,	1 133 941 1 162	1 133 941 1 162	902 886 1 152	231 55 10	55 10	231	111	-		
GEORGIA: BIBB	850 1 219 929 2 020 6 123 885	850 1 200 929 2 020 6 123 885	836 1 172 882 1 982 5 986 849	14 28 47 38 137 36	14 28 47 38 137 36		-	19	# 1 m	-19
IDAHO:	419	419	404	15	15		-	-	-	
ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN COOK, DU PAGE KANE, LAKE, LA SALLE, MGHENRY MCLEAN,	326 38 612 1 399 632 898 280 281 407	326 38 612 1 399 632 898 280 281 407	323 38 421 1 379 629 837 280 268 401	3 191 20 3 61 - 13 6	3 191 20 3 61 -			1316111		
MACON	37e 910. 403 311 703 354 278 748 659	378 910 403 311 703 354 278 748 643	376 859 403 306 685 353 271 748 639	2 51 5 18 1 7	2 41 - 5 18 1 7 - 4	10			F. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	1
(NDIANA: ALLEN DELAWARE ELKHART LAKE LA PORTE	923 334 523 1 727 325	911 327 523 1 697 307	889 327 508 1 680 306	22 15 17 1	22 - 15 17 1			30	16	
MADISON	449 894 182 500 597	425 574 182 498 564	414 894 178 487 557	11 -4 11 7	11			:		
IOWA: BLACK HAWK LINN POLK SCOTT WOODBURY	537 673 1 637 496 498	537 493 1 478 496 498	537 491 1 428 392 498	50 104	104	50		- 180 - 159	-	1
KANSAS: JOHNSON	923 1 504 684 794	1 504 684	912 1 470 646 764	38	3	4   -			-	
KENTUCKY: FAYETTE	245 952 92	952		12	1				·   -	
LOUISIANA: CADDO	443 438 2 318 307 521 237	438 2 318 307 521	2 318 307 221	300		300				

See footnote at end of table.

Table 28. Judicial expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

				Direct exp	enditure	<u>.</u>		Intergover	mental ox	penditure
County	Total		Direct	Capital outlay					То	То
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
MAINE: CUMBERLAND PENOBSCOT YORK	344 322 259	344 322 259	339 302 259	5 20	5 10	10	# #	•	-	- -
MARYLAND: ANME ARUNDEL BALTIMORE HARFORD MONTGOMERY PRINCE GEORGES, WASHINGTON	764 1 126 450 1 929 2 335 171	784 1 126 450 1 929 2 335 169	774 1 126 424 1 742 2 322 158	10 26 187 13	10 26 8 13	179			1 -	2
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE BRISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE MIDDLESEX NORFOLK PLYMOUTH WORCESTER	591 2 314 2 618 3 549 6 561 2 857 2 331 3 326	591 2 310 2 618 3 349 574 8 561 2 857 2 330 3 326	586 2 207 2 612 2 441 5643 2 652 1 978 3 305	5 103 6 908 6 218 5 352 21	5 40 6 9 5 30 21	63 908 209 203	119	1	1	4
MICHIGAN: BAY, BERRIEN CALHOUN GENESEE INGHAM. JACKSON KALAMAZOO KENT.	606 1 273 1 003 2 975 1 802 810 1 222 1 279	606 1 273 1 003 2 916 1 802 810 1 222 1 268	602 1 239 994 2 891 1 775 810 1 222 1 252	4 34 9 25 27 -	4 34 9 25 23 -	W. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		59		59 -
MACOMB. MONROE. MUSKEGON. OAKLAND. OITAWA. SAGINAW. ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW WAYNE.	3 615 804 992 6 038 560 1 208 876 1 895 18 014	3 615 787 992 6 038 560 1 208 876 1 895 17 606	3 599 772 976 6 023 551 1 198 716 1 858 17 532	16 15 16 15 9 10 160 37 74	16 15 12 6 9 10 42 37 74	118		17		17 406
NINNESOTA: ANOKA	913 780 5 204 1 506 813	769 769 5 127 1 506 800	755 769 5 061 1 498 798	14 - 66 8 2	14 - 66 8 2			144 11 77 13		144 11 77
HISSISSIPPI: HARRISONHINDS	736 638	736 638	736 634	- 4	- 4		•	-		-
ISSOURI: CLAY GREENE JACKSON ST. LOUIS	264 498 3 852 305 4 495	264 488 3 852 305 4 495	255 448 3 665 281 4 454	9 40 187 24 41	9 20 187 11 32	20 13 9		# H		
EBRASKA: DOUGLASLANCASTER	1 522 671	1 522 671	1 491 655	31 16	31 . -	16	<u>.</u>	-	<b>.</b>	
EVADA: CLARK WASHOE	1 743 951	1 743 951	1 695 923	48 28	48 28	•	-	-	2	
NEW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH ROCKINGHAM	491 265	491 265	486 264	5 1	5 1	~	<b>-</b>	-		

Table 28. Judicial expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			1	Direct exp	endi ture			Intergover	nmental ex	penditure
County	Totel		Direct		Capita	l outlay	·····		To State	To local
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
NEW JERSEY: ATLANTIC. BERGEN. BURLINGTON. CAMDEN. CUMBERLAND. ESSEX. GLOUCESTER. HUDSON.	836 4 271 871 2 008 596 8 583 542 4 597	836 4 271 871 2 0096 8 583 5 542 4 597	786 4 271 871 2 008 596 8 583 542 4 597	50		25 - - - - - -	25 	-		
MERCER	1 512 2 695 1 639 1 093 1 059 2 819 604 3 128	1 512 2 675 1 679 1 093 1 099 2 819 604 3 128	1 508 2 695 1 639 1 093 1 018 2 819 604 3 127	41	4 - - - 1	41	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	1	-
NEW MEXICO: BERNALILLO	65	65	65	-	. <b>-</b>	_		_	-	-
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BROOME. CHAUTAUQUA. CHEMUNG DUTCHESS. ERIE. MONROE. NASSAU. NIAGARA ONEIDA.	962 540 429 283 947 4 017 2 882 14 784 1 789 804	962 540 429 2845 4 017 2 882 14 787 1 804	935 538 417 279 938 3 986 2 855 14 3007 804	27 27 12 4 7 31 280 20 4	27 2 12 4 7 31 27 200 20			2 - 2	1111111111	2 2 2
ONONDAGA ORANGE OSWEGO RENSSELAER ROCKLAND ST. LAWRENCE SARATOGA SCHENECTADY SUFFOLK ULSTER WESTCHESTER	1 552 951 364 647 942 288 353 555 8 016 3 525	1 552 947 364 647 928 288 353 555 8 016 326 3 525	1 541 941 358 517 922 281 349 548 7 818 323 3 515	11 6 6 130 6 7 4 9 198 3 10	11 66 6 3 6 7 4 6 198 3	127		14	4 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14
VORTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE. CUMBERLAND. DURHAM. FORSYTH GASTON. GUILFORD. MECKLENBURG ONSLOW. WAKE.	159 401 64 569 213 596 828 102	159 401 649 549 213 598 802 133	5564 5664 5668 5054 5054 505 505 505 505 505 505 505 5	135 - 6 7 48 3	44 12 - 7 48 3	}	85	-	11111111	
OHIO: ALLEN BUTLER CLARK COLUMBIANA CUYAHOGA FRANKLIN	490 733 367 430 7 947 4 606	490 733 367 430 7 947 4 606	484 727 360 425 7 872 2 736	6 6 7 5 75 1 870	6 6 7 5 75 52	-		-		-
GREENE	476 4 351 883 406 937 2 714 1 111	476 4 351 883 402 937 2 714 1 111	469 4 306 871 401 931 2 697 1 109	7 45 12 1 6 17 2	7 45 12 1 6 17 2			- <del>-</del>	1	4

See footnote at end of table.

Table 28. Judicial expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

				Direct exp	oendi ture			Intergove	mmental ex	penditure
County	Tota1		Direct		Çapi t	al Outlay			To . State	To
		Tota1	current	Tota1	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Tota1	govern- ments	local govern- monts
OHIOCONTINUED MONTGOMERY. PORTAGE RICHLAND. STARK SUMMIT. TRUMBULL	2 947 744 534 1 152 2 323 1 076	2 947 744 502 1 152 2 323 1 076	2 947 680 502 1 123 2 225 1 075	- 64 - 29 98 1	62 - 29 98 1	111101	1	32	115513	32
OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE. OKLAHOMA. TULSA.	304 1 447 1 443	304 1 447 1 153	287 1 447 1 115	17 38	17 20	18	- -	290	288	- - 2
OREGON: CLACKAMÁS	805 1 779 635 3 881 519	805 1 779 635 3 774 519	494 1 746 631 3 656 505	311 33 4 118 14	10 33 4 32 14	301 - - 86	- - -	107	32	- 75
PENNSYLVANIA: ALLEGHENY BEAVER BERKS BLAIR BUCKS BUTLER CAMBRIA CHESTER CUMBERLAND	10 077 1 081 1 196 340 2 115 359 660 1 194 373	10 077 1 081 1 196 340 2 115 359 660 1 194 373	9 814 1 043 1 186 327 2 071 359 644 1 176 373	263 38 10 13 44 - 16 18	115 38 10 13 44  16 18	148		11111111	1,111,111,11	
DAUPHIN DELAWARE. ERIE. FAYETTE FRANKLIN LACKAWANNA LANCASTER LAWRENCE. LEHIGH,	1 079 3 205 1 136 504 299 891 944 425 962	1 079 3 205 1 136 504 299 891 944 425 962	1 064 3 171 1 123 502 297 891 943 415 952	15 34 13 2 2 1 10 10	15 34 13 2 2 1 10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
LUZERNE LYCOMING. MERCER. MONTGOMERY. NORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL. WASHINGTON. WESTMORELAND YORK.	1 079 453 507 3 778 933 654 907 1 389 1 092	1 079 453 507 3 778 933 654 907 1 389 1 092	1 075 438 485 712 925 654 901 1 328 1 080	4 15 22 66 8 - 6 61 12	4 15 21 49 8 6 61	17			-	
SOUTH CAROLINA: ANDERSON	390 1 089 754 1 390 821	390 1 089 754 1 390 821	390 1 079 750 1 339 790	10 4 51 31	9 4 33 30	18 18		1111	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
TENNESSEE: HAMILTON. KNOX. SHELBY. SULLIVAN.	1 408 1 279 3 515 286	1 408 1 279 3 515 286	1 389 1 153 3 509 283	19 126 6 3	19 7 6 3	119			- -	
TEXAS:  BELL.  BEXAR  SHAZORIA  CAMERON  DALLAS  EL PASO  GALVESTON	328 1 988 429 455 7 200 743 744	328 1 988 429 455 7 200 743 744	320 1 834 415 446 6 960 739 738	5 154 14 9 240 4	8 30 14 9 183 4	124 - 57 - 2	1 1 1 1	1111111		

See footnote at end of table

## Table 28. Judicial expenditure of 312 large county governments, hy character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

					Direct exp	enditure		· 7.	Intergovernmental expenditure			
r	ounty	Total		Direct	Direct Capital outlay			***			To	
			Total	current	Total	Equip- ment			Total	State govern- ments	govern- ments	
		9 480 486 1 021 436 418 1 075 2 716 1 093 274	9 480 486 1 021 436 418 1 074 2 716 1 093 274	9 232 478 1 021 399 410 1 052 2 668 1 093 271	248 8 - 37, 8.22 48 - 3	248 8 37 8 22 46	2		1	111-11-11		
UTAH		751 71 268	951 71 268	951 69 266	2 2	2 2 2				-	-	
FAIRFAX . HENRICO .	LLIAM.	1 133 2 577 595 593	723 2 577 595 469	587 2 438 595 462	136 139 7	7 17 - 7	129	122	410 - 124	410 - 124	-	
KING. KITSAP. PIERCE.		882 5 414 436 1 509 1 325 1 067 663	882 5 414 436 1 509 1 325 1 067 663	871 5 348 418 1 497 1 307 1 044 632	11 66 18 12 18 23	11 66 18 12 18 23	5	-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
WEST VIRGIN: CABELL. KANAWHA		435 568	435 568	435 568		-	- -	] 	-		•	
MILWAUKEE OUTAGAMIE RACINE. ROCK. WAUKESHA		503 1 413 616 7 805 393 774 615 793 358	432 1 309 565 7 805 321 701 573 735 304	429 1 284 562 7 784 320 699 555 704 304	3 25 3 21 1 2 18 31	3 25 3 21 1 2 18 4			71 104 51 72 73 42 58 54	71 104 51 72 73 42 58 54	11111111	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 29. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	isalius oi uoli	u13)			
	Total	Major		Courts of limi	ted jurisdiction		
County	direct	trial court	Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	Miscellancous
TOTAL	632 487	302 601	208 178	17 918	25 500	164 760	121 512
ALABAMA: CALHOUN. JEFFERSON. MADISON. MOBILE MONTOOMERY TUSCALOOSA	347 2 332 626 1 284 604 463	195 797 335 545 228 256	145 1 483 221 720 361 193	17 519 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	80 385 107 348 253 94	48 579 114 372 108 99	7 52 70 10 15 14
ALASKA: GREATER ANGHORAGE		(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	
ARIZONA: MARICOPA	5 433 2 701	4 237 1 912	1 196 349	(x) (x)	(x) (x)	1 196 349	440
ARKANSAS: PULASKI	748	578	34	(x)	(×)	34	136
ALIFORNIA: ALAMED. BUTTE. CONTRA COSTA FRESNO KERN LOS ANGELES. MARIN	9 976 640 4 848 3 133 2 402 77 351 2 517	2 171 160 1 037 1 154 608 40 972 387	5 194 262 2 223 1 465 1 065 34 569 862	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	5 194 262 2 223 1 465 1 065 34 569 862	2 611 218 1 586 514 7 72 1 810 1 258
MERCED	651 1 629 12 875 4 035 5 725 6 739 13 995 2 460 833	102 702 2 646 970 1 849 1 946 2 709 399 293	358 8 367 1 859 2 411 2 706 6 084 1 385 372	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	358 863 8 367 1 859 2 411 2 706 6 084 1 385	191 62 1 862 1 200 1 465 2 087 5 202 676
SAN MATEO. SANTA BARBARA. SANTA CLARA. SANTA CRUZ SOLANO SONOMA STANISLAUS TULARE VENTURA.	4 657 1 848 7 315 1 016 1 596 1 360 1 557 1 308 3 773	1 092 629 2 032 378 220 313 279 288 977	1 918 1 117 3 415 491 676 624 636 625 1 418	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (xx) (xx) (xx) (xx) (xx) (xx) (	1 918 1 117 3 415 491 676 624 636 625	1 647 1 02 1 868 1 47 7 00 4 23 6 42 3 95
OLORADO: ADAMS., ARAPAHOE BOULDER., LE PASO. JEFFERSON. PUEBLO	92 153 149 121 317 10	37 67 74	32	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	1 418 32 -3	1 182 55 54 149 44 317
ELAWARE: NEW CASTLE	720	_	·	-			720
LORIDA: ALACHUA BREVARO. BREVARO. BROWARD. DADE ESCAMBIA HILLSBOROUGH LEE.	512 1 465 3 325 11 820 1 038 5 320 468	318 1 011 1 302 3 873 698 2 937 258	68 119 587 3 276 185 574	(x) (x) 62 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) 365 (x) (x) (x)	68 119 160 3 276 185 565	720 126 335 1 //36 4 671 155 1 809
LEON	868 1 736 1 665 1 480 902 886 1 152	428 491 1 097 696 719 691 757	51 317 637 63 633 18 56 100	(x) (x) (x) 147 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	317 637 637 464 18 56	163 123 508 505 151 165 139 295

of footnotes at and of table

Table 29. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

							Courts of limit	ted jurisdiction		
	County			Total direct current	Major trial court	Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	Miscellaneous
									A	
GEORGIA: BIBB CHATHAM . COBB DE KALB . FULTON RICHMONO.			• • •	836 1 172 882 1 982 5 986 849	336 669 376 821 2 867 203	431 489 352 1 059 2 735 361	59 (x) 35 278 1 052 72	70 66 85 107 330 93	302 423 232 674 1 353 196	69 14 154 102 384 285
IDAHO: ADA		• • •	• •	404	349	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	55
ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN COOK DU PAGE . KANE LAKE LASALLE . MCHENRY , MCLEAN				323 38 421 1 379 629 837 280 268 401	268 37 776 1 189 621 823 274 265 345	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	55 645 190 8 14 6 3
MACON	ND		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	376 859 403 306 685 353 271 748 639	335 701 319 223 685 331 239 748 613	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	41 158 84 83 
INDIANA: ALLEN . DELAWARE. ELKHART . LAKE LA PORTE. MADISON . ST. JOSEP! TIPPECANO! VANDERBURG			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	889 327 508 1 680 306 414 894 178 487 557	876 322 508 1 402 282 405 302 170 477 548	205	(X) (XX) 205 (XX) (XX) (XX) (XX)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)		13 5 73 24 9 557 8 10
IOWA: BLACK HAW! LINN POLK SCOTT WOODBURY.			• •	537 491 1 428 392 498	439 481 1 277 339 396	98 34 15 97	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	98 34 15 97	10 117 38 5
KANSAS: JOHNSON . SEDGWICK. SHAWNEE . WYANDOTTE			• •	912 1 470 646 764	289 622 229 296	360 702 322 427	184 129 140 157	62 159 60 79	114 414 122 191	263 146 95 41
KENTUCKY: FAYETTE . JEFFERSON KENTON		• • •	• •	234 940 92	86 294 38	148 588 50	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	148 588 50	- 58 4
CAUDO CALCASIEU JEFFERSON LAFAYETTE OUACHITA . RAPIDES .			  	441 434 2 318 307 221 237	181 232 247 153 121 150	144 62 440 66 30	132 (x) 119 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	12 52 321 66 30 49	116 140 1 631 88 70 38
MAINE: CUMBERLAND PENOBSCOT YORK			• •	339 302 259	273 244 165	57 53 34	(x) (x) (x) (x)	52 49 34	5 4	9 5 60

See footnotes at end of table

Table 29. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	Total	Major	-	Courts of limit	ed Jurisdiction		
County	direct	trial court	Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	Miscollaneous
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL	774 1 126 424 1 742 2 322 158	556 715 158 989 880 72	11 43 3 43 24 6	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	11 29 3 - 24 6	15 43	207 368 263 710 1 418
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE, BRISTOL. ESSEX. HAMPDEN. HAMPSHIRE, MIDDLESEX. NORFOLK. PLYMOUTH WORCESTER.	586 2 207 2 612 2 441 568 8 343 2 852 1 978 3 305	204 1 047 1 097 995 245 3 215 1 283 703 873	344 1 071 1 438 1 383 298 4 998 1 530 1 040 1 959	(x) 59 (x) 178 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	18 38 74 72 25 179 162 114 32	326 974 1 364 1 133 273 4 819 1 368 926 1 766	38 89 77 63 25 130 39 235
MICHIGAN: BAY. BERRIEN. CALHOUN. GENESEE. INGHAM JACKSON. KALAMAZOO. KENT	602 1 239 994 2 891 1 775 810 1 222 1 252	257 462 314 635 862 392 436 562	305 721 647 1 994 871 344 496 539	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	110 202 377 777 643 172 364 381	195 519 270 1 217 228 172 132	40 56 33 262 42 74 290 151
MACOMB MONROE HUSKEGON OAKLANO OTTAWA SAGINAW ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW WAYNE	3 599 772 976 6 023 551 1 198 716 1 858 17 532	1 913 287 431 2 255 165 499 271 607 9 186	1 505 428 522 2 666 289 657 391 511 7 440	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	1 300 155 191 2 294 115 181 195 206 5 231	205 273 331 372 174 476 196 305 2 209	181 57 23 1 102 97 42 54 740
MINNESOTA: ANOKA DAKOTA HENNEPIN RAMSEY ST. LOUIS	755 769 5 061 1 498 798	660 172 2 493 1 176 134	410 2 568 280 187	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) 581 255 187	410 1 987 25	95 187 42 477
MISSISSIPPI: HARRISON	736 634	278 299	348 290	140 14	(x) (x)	208 276	110 45
MISSOURI: CLAY	255 448 3 665 281 4 454	155 314 3 052 129 2 657	99 134 611 121 971	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	55 64 387 58 426	44 70 224 63 545	1 2 31 826
NEBRASKA: DOUGLAS	1 491 655	979 307	281 66	267 58	(x) (x)	14	23 <u>1</u> 282
NEVADA: CLARK	1 695 923	958 320	480 198	(x)	(x)	480 198	257 405
NEW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH	486 264	402 236	52 28	(x) (x)	52 28	_	32
NEW JERSEY: ATLANTIC	786 4 271 871 2 008 596 8 583 542 4 597	306 1 992 360 931 286 1 960 247 1 673	219 1 389 187 395 124 2 061 112 1 244	338 43 103 44 382 -	86 237 73 107 52 332 65 248	133 814 71 185 28 1 347 47 560	261 890 324 682 186 4 562 1680

See footnotes at end of table,

### Table 29. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	I	```	Isands of dolla	<del></del>	ted jurisdiction		
County	Total direct current	Major trial court	Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	Miscellaneous
NEW JERSEYCONTINUED MERCER	1 508 2 695 1 639 1 093 1 018 2 819 604 3 127	593 608 302 528 367 578 317 1 963	185 635 434 357 169 856 128 1 079	28 119 92 134 - 261	101 115 162 125 75 154 70	56 401 180 98 94 441 58 541	730 1 452 903 208 482 1 385 159
NEW MEXICO: BERNALILLO	65	-	45	(X).	5	40	20
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BROOME. CHAUTAUQUA. CHEMUNG. DUTCHESS. ERIE, MONROE. NASSAU. NIAGARA ONEIDA.	935 538 417 279 938 3 986 2 855 14 304 1 007 804	204 46 36 27 20 769 682 2 660 228	422 352 297 213 506 1 706 1 625 9 144 606 326	189 172 88 66 209 754 844 1 940 247	82 75 94 31 112 414 209 739 202	151 105 115 116 185 538 572 6 465 157	309 140 84 39 412 1 511 548 2 500 351 250
ONONDAGA ORANGE. OSWEGO RENSS ELAER. ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENCE. SARATOGA. SCHENECTADY SUFFOLK. ULSTER. WESTCHESTER	1 541 941 358 517 922 281 349 546 7 818 323 3 515	97 22 1 13 21 13 20 74 1 111	914 483 203 296 578 187 211 354 5 291 294 1 728	458 237 68 136 251 75 75 160 816 81	161 88 59 81 130 55 63 76 471 642	295 158 76 79 197 57 73 118 4 004 149 535	530 436 154 208 323 81 118 146 29 1 714
NORTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE	155 266 64 563 206 548 825 102 22	110 - - - - - -		(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)		155 156 64 563 206 548 825 102 22
OHIO: ALLEN	484 727 360 425 7 872 2 736 469 4 306 871	392 566 291 328 5 421 1 549 332 4 005 652	34 107 36 92 723 214 85 160 78	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	34 107 36 92 723 214 85 160 78	58 54 33 5 1 728 973 52 141
LICKING LORAIN, LUCAS, MAHONING, MONTGOMERY, PORTAGE RICHLAND, STARK SUMMIT, TRUMBULL,	401 931 2 697 1 109 2 947 680 502 1 123 2 225 1 075	324 500 1 949 512 1 918 233 460 835 2 034 730	32 65 148 189 294 278 1 108 158	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	32 65 148 189 294 278 108 158	45 366 600 408 735 169 41 180 33 221
OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE OKLAHOMA TULSA	287 1 447 1 115	213 1 393 885	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	74 54 230

Son footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	Total	Major		Courts of limi	ted jurisdiction		
County	direct current	trial court	Total.	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	Miscellaneous
OREGON: CLACKAMAS	494 1 746 631 3 656 505	196 1 228 268 1 508 194	103 102 129 853 159	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	103 102 129 853 159	195 416 234 1 295 152
PENNSYLVANIA; ALLEGHENY	9 814 1 043 1 186 327 2 071 359 644 1 176 373	7 696 577 725 194 1 186 208 398 739 244	1 260 139 239 63 600 79 144 288	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	1 260 139 239 63 600 79 144 288 76	858 327 222 70 285 72 102 149
DAUPHIN	1 064 3 171 1 123 502 297 891 943 415 952	631 2 230 654 327 169 651 545 288 588	240 545 235 85 47 159 267 55 173	(X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	(X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	240 545 235 85 47 159 267 55	193 296 234 90 81 81 131 72 191
LUZERNE LYCOMING. MERCER. MONTGOMERY. NORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL. WASHINGTON. WESTMORELAND. YORK.	1 075 438 485 3 712 925 654 901 1 328 1 080	791 305 336 2 449 603 489 606 1 033 656	170 70 93 697 148 82 180 265 211	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	170 70 93 697 148 82 180 265 211	8114 62 566 566 8115 812 812
SOUTH CAROLINA: ANDERSON	390 1 079 750 1 339 790	175 69 171 97	181 594 537 969 441	364 230 281 100	32 111 65 64 72	149 119 242 624 269	209 310 144 199 252
TENNESSEE: HAMILTON	1 389 1 153 3 509 283	643 307 900 117	341 464 1 330 28	244 199 693 (X)	(x) 42 140 (x)	97 203 497 28	405 402 1 279 138
TEXAS: BELL. BEXAR BRAZORIA. CAMERON DALLAS. EL PASO GALVESTON HARRIS.	320 1 834 415 446 6 960 739 738 9 232	127 894 166 212 2 598 289 302 2 686	138 402 153 85 2 016 260 259 1 685	(x) (x) 32 (x) 445 49 31	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) 148 (x) (x) 209	138 402 121 85 1 423 211 228 1 353	55 538 96 149 2 346 190 177 4 861
HIDALGO	478 1 021 399 410 1 052 2 668 1 093 271	215 452 183 89 242 395 425 120	142 518 119 153 635 832 475 109	(x) 44 (x) (x) 65 168 (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	142 474 119 153 570 613 475	121 51 97 168 175 1 441 193
UTAH: SALT LAKE	951 69 266	136 43 174	343 19 11	(x) 1 (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	343 18 11	472 7 81

See footnotes at end of table

#### Table 29. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	Total	Major		Courts of lim	ited jurisdiction	n		
County	direct	trial court	Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	Miscellaneous	
VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON	587 2 438 595 462	88 485 278 12	218 619 78 17	29 363 47 15	(x) (x) (x) (x)	189 256 31 2	281 1 334 239 433	
WASHINGTON: CLARK KING. KITSAP. PIERCE. SNOHOMISH YAKIHA.	871 5 348 418 1 497 1 307 1 044 632	252 2 166 268 977 397 723 330	434 1 656 143 435 591 290 198	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	434 1 656 143 435 591 290 198	185 1 526 7 85 319 31	
WEST VIRGINIA: CABELL	435 568	141 176	217 356	(x) 52	(×) (×)	217 304	77 36	
WISCONSIN: BROWN	429 1 284 562 7 784 320 699 555 704	399 1 270 327 6 914 294 690 433 539 260	\$\times\time	**************************************	**************************************	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	30 14 235 870 26 9 122 165 44	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable.

Table 30. Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

JUDICIAL

(Thousands of dollars)

			D	irect expe	enditure			Intergovern	montal exp	enditure
City	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Capital Equip- ment	Construc-	Land	Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern- ments
TOTAL	314 615	311 128	282 286	28 842	1 620	27 221	1	3 487	809	2 678
ALABAMA: BIRMINGHAM. GADSDEN. HUNTSVILLE. MOBILE. MONTGOMERY. TUSCALOOSA.	287 270 104 255 351 37	287 270 64 153 138 37	286 34 64 139 138 37	236 14	1 236 14		1171	40 102 213	-	40 102 213
ARIZONA:  MESA PHOENIX SCOTTSDALE TEMPE TUCSON	65 1 582 62 84 304	65 1 582 62 84 304	64 1 572 61 84 304	10 10 -	1 7 1 -	13.11			-	-
ARKANSAS: FORT SMITH LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF	30 171 130 65	30 85 75 53	30 85 74 53	- 1	- 1	-		86 55 12	12	86 55
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA	111111111	-			* 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					1
COMPTON		-	-				-	1 1 1 1 1 1		
FRESNO		-		-	-	1111111	-			-
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES MODESTO MOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK OAKLAND ONTARIO ORANGE OXNARD			-							
PALO ALTO PASADENA. PICO RIVERA POMONA REDONDO BEACH REDWOOD CITY RICHMOND. RIVERSIDE						-	-			

See footnote at end of table,

Table 30. Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			(Thous	ands of d	lollars)					
				Direct ex	penditure			Intergave	rnmental e	xpenditur
City	Total		Direct		Capit	al outlay			To	То
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
CALIFORNIACONTINUED SACRAMENTO SALINAS SAN BERNARDING SAN BUENAVENTURA SAM DIEGO SAN FHANCISCO SAN JOSE SAN LEANDRO	9 543	9 543	9 435	108	85	23	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1 1 1 1		111111
SAN MATEO SANTA ANA SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA MONICA SANTA ROSA SIMI VALLEY SOUTH GATE		-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	            	-	111111		-	-	-
STOCKTON. SUNNYVALF TORRANCE. VALLEJO WEST COVINA WESTMINSTER WHITTIER.	-	-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1111	11111	-	1 1 1 1 1 1	-		-
COLORADO: AURORA. BOULDER COLORADO SPRINGS. DENVER LAKEWOOD PUEBLO.	107 133 222 2 725 128 70	107 133 222 2 725 128 70	107 131 213 2 677 115 70	2 9 48 13	2 9 48 13				-	-
CONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT. BRISTOL DAMBURY HARTFORD, MERIDEN MILFORD	60	60	60	11111				-		- - - -
NEW BRITAIN NEW HAVEN NORWALK STAMFOHD WATERBURY WEST HAVEN	5 13 4 30 13 2	5 13 4 30 13 2	5 13 4 30 13 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1		11111			-
DELAWARE: #ILMINGTON. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: WASHINGTON.	330 17 734	330 17 734	330 17 734	•		1 1		-	-	-
FLORIDA: CLEARWATER FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HOLLYWOOD JACKSONVILLE MIANI	71 371 57 4 139 3 880	71 371 57 4 139 3 869	71 371 56 4 139 3 883	91111	1 1 6		1 1 1 1 1			
MIAMI BEACH	191 153 125 8 144 27	191 153 125 8 144 27	191 153 125 8 143 27		1	11111			-	

See footnote at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

				Direct ex	penditure	)		intergovern	nental exp	enditure
City	Total	Total	Direct		Capit	al outlay			To State	To local
		10181	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
GEORGIA: ALBANY. ATLANTA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS, MACON SAVANNAH.	13 886 49 1 203 107 113	13 886 49 1 203 107 113	13 885 49 1 183 107 112	20	1 20 1	-	-		-	-
HAWAII: HONOLULU	-	-	_	_	- -	-		_		-
IDAHO: BOISE CITY	84	12	12		_	-	_	72		72
ILLINOIS: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS AURORA BERWYN CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO CICERO	1 8 245	1 8 245	- 1 8 245	-				-	-	-
DECATUR DES PLAINES EAST ST. LOUIS ELGIN EVANSTON JOLIET	10	10	10	-	-			-	- - - - - - - - -	- - - 1
OAK LAWN OAK PARK. PEORIA. ROCKFORD. ROCK ISLAND SKOKIE. SPRINGFIELD WAUKEGAN.	12 - 8 16 -	12 - 8 16 -	12  8 16 					-	# # # # # #	1111111
INDIANA: ANDERSON. EVANSVILLE. FORT WAYNE. GARY. HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS. MUNCIE. SOUTH BEND. TERRE HAUTE	320 143 3 024 87	61 100 320 143 3 024 87 - 46	58 100 319 143 3 005 87 45	3 - 1 19 -	3 - 1 19 -			-		
IOWA: CEDAR RAPIDS COUNCIL BLUFFS DAVENPORT. DES MOINES DUBUQUE SIOUX CITY, , , , WATERLOO.	152 109 72 385 93 14 86	152 57 72 385 67	152 56 72 384 67	<u></u>	- 1 1 -			52 - 26 14	- u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u	52 - 26 14
KANSAS: KANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK TOPEKA. WICHITA	104 77 78 229	104 77 78 229	103 72 77 228	1 5 1 1	1 5 1 1		-	*	•	-
KENTUCKY: COVINGTON	29 76 369 33	29 76 369 33	28 75 368 33	1 1 1	1 1 1	-	-	-	•	-

See footnote at end of table.

# Table 30. Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

<del></del>	·		<del></del>	(Thousa	nds of d	ollars)					
					Direct ex	penditure	)		Intergover	nmental e	xpenditure
City		Total		Direct		Capit	al outlay			To	To
-			Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
LOUISIANA: BATON ROUGE LAFAYETTE LAKE CHARLES MONROE NEW ORLEANS SHREVEPORT.		3 460 96 42 90 4 631 297	3 460 96 42 90 4 631 297	3 210 95 42 90 4 561 291	250 1 - 70 6	229 1 - - 45	21 - - 25	, , ,	-	1.1.1.1.	
MAINE: PORTLAND		4	-	-	_	-	_		_		
MARYLAND: BALTIMORE	• • • •	5 208	5 208	5 204	4	4	_			-	•
MASSACHUSETTS: BOSTON. BROCKTON. CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE. FALL RIVER. HOLYOKE LAWRENCE.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	10 818	10 818	10 745	73	9	64				
LOWELL. LYNN. MALDEN. MEDFORD NEW BEDFORD NEWTON.		-	-	2	-	-			-		
PITTSFIELD. GUINCY. SOMERVILLE. SPRINGFIELD WALTHAM. WORCESTER.	1 0 6 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0	11112			1111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			-		
MICHIGAN: ANM ARBOR DEARBORN. DEARBORN HEIGHT DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZOO	S	396 170 262 7 900 487 981 342	396 170 262 6 959 487 961 342	394 170 253 6 943 486 897 338	2 9 16 1 84	2 - 9 16 1 48	36		941		941
LANSING LINCOLN PARK LIVONIA PONTIAC ROSEVILLE ROYAL OAK SAGINAW		488 75 192 365 154 192	473 75 192 365 154 192	468 75 166 354 153 173	5 26 11 1 19	5 26 11 1	19	20 M	15		15
ST. CLAIR SHORES SOUTHFIELD. STERLING HEIGHTS TAYLOR. WARREN. WESTLAND. WYOMING	- 1	91 481 146 112 769 247	91 481 146 112 769 247	89 474 145 112 354 242	2 7 1 415 5	2711151	414		-	-	
MINNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON . DULUTH . MINNEAPOLIS . ROCHESTER . ST. PAUL .	• • •	17 317 - 716	17 317 - 716	17 314 712	3	13114		1111	-		
MISSISSIPPI: JACKSON		146	146	146	-	-	-	-			

Table 30. Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

					Direct ex	penditure			Intergover	umontal ex	penditure
City		Total		David		Capit	al outlay			To	To
			Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc-	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
MISSOURI: COLUMBIA FLORISSANT. INDEPENDENCE. KANSAS CITY ST. JOSEN. ST. LOUIS SPRINGFIELD .		33 22 76 1 118 34 4 816 73	33 22 76 1 118 34 4 816 73	32 22 75 961 34 4 778 72	1 157 38 1	1 - 1 21 - 38 1	136			T	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
MONTANA: BILLINGS GREAT FALLS	• • • •	52 44	52 44	52 41	- - - - 3	3	• •	_	-	1.2	
NEBRASKA: LINCOLN OMAHA	• • • •	303 645	302 645	299 623	3 22	3 22		=	1	<b>.</b>	1
NEVADA: LAS VEGAS		275 197	275 167	273 166	2 1	2	-		-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE: MANCHESTER NASHUA	• • • •	139 107	139 107	139 106	ī	-	ī	-	-		
NEW JERSEY: BAYONNE. BLOUMFIELD. CAMDEN CLIFTON EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON		87 64 200 65 315 124 91	87 64 200 65 315 124 91	87 64 200 65 315 124	-	1111111					
JERSEY CITY . NEWARK PASSAIC PATERSON TRENTON UNION CITY	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	416 808 84 207 130 94	416 808 84 207 130 94	416 805 84 207 130 94		3	-	-		1,111,1	
NEW MEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE .	• • • •	331	331	318	13	13	-	_	-	-	-
NEW YORK: ALBANY BINGHANTON. BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON. WEW ROCHELLE WEW YORK CITY NIAGARA FALLS	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	236 139 2 739 217 217 219 136 180 184	236 139 2 739 217 219 136 160 184	234 138 1102 213 219 112 567 181	2 1 637 4 23 613 3	2 1 13 4 -	1 624	-	-		
ROCHESTER ROME SCHENECTADY SYRACUSE TROY UTICA WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	683 54 101 532 112 214 232 646	683 54 101 532 112 214 232 646	661 54 101 528 106 214 232 646	22 - 4 6 -	22 - 4 2 -	- - - 4		-	, i.i. 	
NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE . CHARLOTTE . DURHAM . FAYETTEVILLE . GREENSBORO . HIGH POINT . RALEIGH . WINSTON-SALEM	* 1 * 6 * 0 * 0 * 0		1111111	-	1111111				-	1111111	

## Table 30. Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	7		(Thousa	nus oi u	ollars)				2.0	
	1.			Direct e	xpenditur	9		Intergover	nmental e	pendi tu
City	Total '		Direct		Capi	tal outlay	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		То	То
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern ments
NORTH DAKOTA:	39	39	37	2	2	_	_	•	monos	Ments
OHIO: AKRON CANTON	715	715	714	1	1	_	.	-	-	
CINCINNATI CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	313 2 176 2 881	313 1 957 2 881	312 1 957 2 881	1	1		=	219	-	21
DAYTON.	77 1 981 806	77 1 981 806	75 1 963 798	2 18 8	2 18	-	=		=	
ELYRIA EUCLID HAMILTON	172 117 113	172 117 113	166 116 108	6	8 6 1	$\overline{z}$	=	-	=	
KETTERING LAKEWOOD	109 123	109 123	101	8	5 6	2	_	-	-	
LIMA. LORAIN. MANSFIELD	252 135 236	252 135 236	248 135	<u>-</u>	4	-	=	-	-	
PARMA SPRINGFIELD TOLEDO	249 287	249 287	236 247 276	2	2	-	=	=	-	
WARREN YOUNGSTOWN	942 290 444	942 290 444	934 268 431	8 22 13	8 22 13		-		-	•
LAMOMA: LAWTON. NORMAN.	49	49	49	_				-	-	
OKLAHOMA CITY	55 366 190	55 366 190	54 364 190	2	2	ī	-		= -	
EGON: EUGENE. PORTLAND.	109	109	104	3	5			-	-	
SALEM	58	58	58		-				=	-
ALLENTOWN ALTOONA BETHLEHEM	- i	ī	ī	5	-	-	_	_	_	
HESTER	82	82	82	-	-	-	=	-	=	-
ARRISBURG. ANCASTER	=	=	-	=		-	-	=		-
HILADELPHIA ITTSBURGH. EADING	34 793 356	34 793 356	34 452 356	341	155	186	-	-	-	_
CRANTON ILKES-BARRE ORK	-			-	-	-	-		=	
DE ISLAND: RANSTON		-		•	-	-	-	=	-	-
AWTUCKET ROVIDENCE ARWICK	18 170	18 170	17 170	1	1		-	-	=	
TH CAROLINA:	4	4	4		-		-	-	-	_
REENVILLE	728 65	728 65	39 180 65	548	5	543	-	<u>-</u>	-	- <u>-</u>
TH DAKOTA:	66	66	66	-			-	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
YESSEE: HATTANOOGA YOXVILLE	180	180	179	1				-		- <del>-</del>
MPHIS ASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	71 1 000 3 492	71 508	71 495 3 472	13	1 13			492		492

See footnote at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	·		(Thousar	nas of ac	ollars)		<del></del>	<del>/</del>		
				Direct e	xpenditur	e		Intergover	nmental ex	penditure
City	Total		Direct		Capi	tal outlay			То	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- menta
TEXAS: ABILENE AMARILLO ARLINGTON AUSTIN BEAUMONT BROWNSVILLE CORPUS CHRISTI DALLAS EL PASO	53 132 161 334 77 29 180 1 273	53 132 161 334 77 29 180 1 273 249	53 131 157 325 77 29 178 1 266 247	1491272	1491-272		111111111			7111111
FORT WORTH. GALVESTON GARLAND GRAND PRAIRIE HOUSTON IKVING. LAREDO LUBBOCK MESQUITE.	378 67 44 29 1 199 81 42 171 23	378 67 44 29 1 199 81 32 171 23	378 65 43 29 1 177 81 32 167 23	22 - 4	121151141	17		10	10	1
MIDLAND ODESSA. PASADENA. PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO. SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO. WICHITA FALLS	59 85 112 19 51 729 43 43 93	59 85 112 19 51 729 43 43 93	59 84 109 19 50 728 42 43 92	113111111111111111111111111111111111111	1 1 1 1 1 1	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111	111111	-	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
JTAH: OGDEN	226 71 558	226 71 558	223 70 548	3 1 10	2 1 -	1 10	•	=	-	
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA. CHESAPEAKE. HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS. NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH, RICHMOND. ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH.	767 628 581 294 1 009 1 387 625 2 010 1 358 805	690 487 445 199 1 009 1 387 2 010 1 020 805	684 482 438 198 753 1 331 618 2 010 729 793	6 5 7 1 256 56 7 7 291	6 5 7 7 56 - 73 12	249 - 7 - 218	1117411	77 141 136 95 - - - 338	77 141 136 95 -	
VASHINGTON: BELLEVUE	62 1 407 185 282	62 1 407 185 282	62 1 402 184 281	1 5	- 5 1 1	# 1		-		
EST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON	29 21	29 21	20 21	1.1	-	-	Ξ			-
NISCONSIN: APPLETON. GREEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE MADISON MILWAUKEE OSHKOSH RACINE WAUWATOSA WEST ALLIS	25 32 12 - 355 - 14 16	25 32 12 - 6 - 14	25 31 12 - 6 - 14 16	1 1 1 1	1141111111		14 111113411	349		349

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero

# Table 31. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Total j	udicial	1.1 · · ·		Courts of	last resort		Int	ermediate ap	pellate con	irts
State	1hunh	er of emplo	yeas *		Num	ber of emplo	yees			ber of emplo		T
and Company of the State of the	Total	Pull-time only	Pull-time équiva- lent <sup>1</sup>	October payroll <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll
TOTAL	24 560	22 582	23 939	33 220	2 250	2 200	2 209	3 003	2 221	2 185	2 190	3 437
ALABAMA	317	108	296	341	48	48	48	55	29	29	29	41
	372	370	371	483	35	33	34	56	(X)	(X)	(x)	(x)
	147	80	132	189	32	32	32	44	48	48	48	70
	85	85	85	139	28	28	28	35	(X)	(X)	(x)	(x)
	835	356	835	1 940	74	73	74	147	228	226	226	416
COLORADO	1 169	1 048	1 067	1 249	32	32	32	53	22	22	22	29
	1 042	1 026	1 031	1 054	28	28	28	45	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	454	454	( )	395	9	9	9	13	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	1 301	1 301	1 301	1 978	65	65	65	97	104	104	104	153
	410	410	410	482	44	44	44	60	42	42	42	67
HAWAII,	503	503	503	528	37	37	37	50	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	175	162	166	227	57	51	52	54	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	1 338	1 325	1 328	2 276	89	87	87	109	215	214	214	330
	305	127	305	377	50	50	50	45	51	51	51	55
	370	181	225	403	51	48	49	52	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
KANSAS	202	179	180	254	42	42	42	57	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	141	141	141	257	50	50	50	64	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	355	305	323	462	46	45	45	57	102	102	102	140
	206	198	200	191	12	12	12	18	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	1 098	1 044	1 059	1 232	32	32	32	50	48	48	48	73
MASSACHUSETTS MICHIGAN	581 525 128 115 859	573 525 127 106 843	574 525 127 107 845	720 958 261 197 900	61 94 59 38 88	56 94 58 38 80	56 94 58 38 81	87 154 87 45 95	31 132 (x) (x) 101	31 132 (x) (x) 95	31 132 (x) (x) 96	51 202 (x) (x)
HONTANA NEBRASKA NEVADA NEW HAMPSHIRE NEW JERSEY	48 477 62 73 802	47 401 54 73 799	47 459 56 73 799	82 439 90 104 1 145	18 29 28 13 31	18 29 25 13 31	18 29 26 13 31	21 35 34 22 58	(x) (x) (x) (x) 70	(x) (x) (x) (x) 70	(x) (x) (x) (x) 70	(x) (x) (x) (x)
NEW MEXICO	417	415	415	340	18	18	18	26	18	18	18	23
	1 823	1 814	1 815	3 766	87	87	87	141	523	519	520	858
	2 122	2 122	2 122	1 686	35	35	35	49	34	34	34	47
	55	54	54	80	23	23	23	26	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	435	121	400	714	60	59	59	81	80	60	63	139
OKLAHOMA, OREGON	405	405	405	501	87	87	87	88	12	12	12	15
	249	183	196	369	52	47	48	64	26	25	25	33
	1 072	1 056	1 070	1 887	94	94	94	137	100	100	100	151
	449	446	447	442	47	44	45	53	(x)	(x)	(X)	(x)
	88	88	88	127	28	28	28	34	(x)	(x)	(X)	(x)
SOUTH DAKOTA	45	43	43	77	23	21	21	28	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	313	311	312	471	51	51	51	51	38	38	38	64
	450	447	447	786	87	87	87	116	117	115	115	182
	129	108	113	135	18	14	15	18	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	189	177	180	186	14	14	14	21	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
VIRGINIA	1 033	1 031	1 031	1 189	60	60	60	77	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	229	223	224	281	50	48	48	66	50	50	50	69
	95	92	93	128	24	24	24	39	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	419	398	413	632	57	56	56	78	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
	48	47	47	71	16	15	15	21	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)

### Table 31. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Major tri	al courts			Other	ourts			Mincoll	uneous	
	Mumi	er of emplo	yees		Num	ber of emplo	oyees		Numt	or of emplo	усов	
State	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll
TOTAL	11 182	9 628	10 828	17 938	6 580	6 419	6 467	6 421	2 327	2 150	2 278	2 421
ALABAMA	97 173 64 53 476	173	97 173 64 53 476	157 248 74 100 1 296	131	131	131	156	143 33 3 4 57	31 33 4 57	143 33 3 4 57	89 23 (Z) 4 82
OLORADO	916 298 97 607 298	804 292 97 607 298	820 294 97 607 298	986 357 112 1 033 330	84 594 322	78 586 322	79 587 322	82 552 249	115 122 26 525 26	112 120 26 525 26	113 121 26 525 26	98 100 21 694
AWAII	242 118 989 178 301	242 111 989 115	242 114 989 176 149	285 173 1 787 255 335	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	210 (X) (X) (X)	179 (x) (x) (x)	14 46 26 18	14 35 26 18	14 - 38 26 18	19 51 23 17
ANSAS ENTUCKY OUISIANA AINE	131 87 153 49 82	131 87 146 49 82	131 87 149 49 82	190 190 225 61 255	50 140 905	8 132 851	20 134 869	35 107 824	29 4 4 5 5	6 4 5 5	7 4 4 5 31	7 4 6 3
ASSACHUSETTS ICHIGAN	124 61 66	82 124 61 57 526	82 124 61 57 326	179 285 166 144 364	402 170 - - 343	402 170  342	402 170 342	402 311 - 333	2 3 8 11	2 5 8 11	2 5 8 11	
ONTANA	. 31 28	28 66 27 28 379	28 66 28 28 379	60 122 53 57 565	373	347 30	357 30	273 23	2 9 3 2 322	1 9 2 2 2 319	1 9 2 2 319	410
EW MEXICO	703 1 261 26	224 703 1 261 26	224 703 1 261 26 293	195 1 959 931 47 491	116 247 669	116 247 669	116 247 669	71 511 546	41 263 323 6 2	39 258 123 5 2	40 259 123 5	26 296 111
KLAHOMA	164 293 93	93	306 115 284 93 55	398 265 951 116 88	5 578 177	5 578 177	5 578 177	6 638 177	2 7 132 5	7 132 5	1 7 132 5	1 16 96
OUTH DAKOTA	182 226 61	180 226	22 180 226 51 27	48 331 470 76 37	50 112	46 100	47 103	41 96	42 20 36	42 19 36	42. 19 36	2! 18
VIRGINIA VASHINGTON VEST VIRGINIA VISCONSIN VYOMING	99	99 62 330	99 99 62 344 30	302 118 84 539	872	872	872	809	2 _30 7 12 2	26 6 12 2	2 27 7 12 2	29

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Loss than half the unit of measurement shown.

Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

	Principal Control of the Control of	7ctal indic	ini activiti	CB.		Major t	riol courts		Court		Dollar a	
	Conner	nather of cop	layees	**************************************	Numb	er of esp	loyces				otal	ction
	County	Fo11-	Pull-1100	October				October	Numb	er of emp		
eu.	n and appropriate and come to the transfer we want to be come the lattering	Total time only	cquiva- lent <sup>1</sup>	payrol12	Total	full- timp only	Full-time cquiva- lent	payrol1	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payzoll
	AS ADAMA:	51 917 46 889	49 193	44 035	24 378	22 069	23 382	20 058	17 167	15 594	16 175	15 738
	Z CALMONN  3 JEFFERDON  4 MARISON  MOSILE  MONICOMERY  7 TUSCALODSA	36 32 254 249 66 60 160 157 65 57 96 47	250 62 158 62 49	21 187 43 105 46 31	20 106 30 69 23 28	16 106 29 69 17 27	18 106 29 69 21 27	10 72 20 45 16 17	16 138 27 86 41	16 136 27 86 39 18	16 137 27 86 40	11 109 20 58 29
	G ALACHA! GREATER ANCHORAGE	*	-	-	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	18 (x)	12 (x)
. 1	ARIZONA: HARICOPA.	486 423	481 235	390 182	383 176	329 170	383 173	315 131	103 43	94 41	97 41	75
11	ARKAHSAS: PULASKI CALIFORNIA:	64 62	63	37	62	60	61	36	2	2	2	29
12 10 15 16 17 10	ALANEDA BUTTE CONTRA COSTA FREENG FREENG LOS ANSELFS MARIN MERCED	436 53 46 283 245 169 49 4601 4 166 130 125 59 53	436 48 260 196 159 4 346 129 57	482 46 279 196 156 3 277 136 43	49 36 66 26 2 212 17 8	19 6 16 62 18 1 998 12 5	49 8 25 64 23 2 123 15	66 8 36 68 26 2586 18	256 22 139 118 91 2 289 56	256 20 128 91 85 2 106 56	25¢ 20 13C 90 86 2 149 56 32	297 22 139 94 82 2 622 61
2012222222	ORANGE. RIVERSIDE. SACHAMENTO. GAN DEFINATIONO. SAN DISSON SAN DISSON SAN DORGUIN. SAN LUIS OBICPA	169 100 865 822 276 253 293 264 492 426 847 812 162 140 54 34	105 849 262 279 455 822 153	95 933 258 309 439 936 157	44 135 40 79 74 104 15	39 103 20 59 57 86 5	43 123 36 71 70 90 11	34 167 42 88 77 124 12	63 562 132 116 217 380 98	61 560 124 113 188 372 86	62 560 127 114 196 375 93	60 618 121 124 195 415
26 26 21 22 37 36 36	SANTA HARDARA BANTA ELARA GANTA ERUZ	288 297 196 117 469 388 75 68 106 106 102 89 126 102 110 79 219 202	271 129 422 75 106 96 111 209	282 129 479 71 111 93 64	47 42 96 28 12 18 13	25 69 23 12 13 8	43 32 85 32 12 16 12	51 35 105 28 19 19	117 107 215 38 63 49 60 59	110 90 188 37 63 43 45	112 94 196 37 63 45 49	23 116 91 227 36 56 42 41
30 00 01 10 40	COLORATO: ADAMS ARAPAMOF BOULDEP EL PASO: JEFFERSON PUEDLO.	5 5 5 15 14 4 4 30 30	6 14 30 1	5 4 11 3 18	111511	37	12	19	81	78	79	85
03	DELAWARE:	67 65	67	50	-	1		-			-	-
40 40 40 40 40 50		46 46 150 150 261 266 972 970 99 88 99 89 68 65	47 150 274 971 91 83	52 175 177 61 460	176 205 427 427 202 70	43 136 193 427 88 247	43 136 198 427 91 248	29 71 126 303 61 211	317	317	317	237
TO SEE STATE	CEON, CRANGE. PALM DEACH. PHELLAS. PLA. SARASTIA. VOLUBIA.	90 82 254 234 106 99 207 202 103 103 70 63	84 235 101 203 104 65	59 168 84 146 70 54	48 158 67 131 97 60	61 157 61 131 92	43 157 631 138 956	41 206 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	32 70	31 70	3111011	24
500000000000000000000000000000000000000	GLORGIAL BIOG. CHATHAN CHOD OR KALO PLAYON BICHOMION	103 67 101 101 170 101 170 101 170 101 170 103 170 103 170 103	107 111 156 108	59 78 80 119 900	50 61 59 62 561	200 200 200 200	51 51 60 255	16 41 24 42 72 77	239 239 200	46 50 62 238	######################################	37 00 69 221
nie y	POAHO:	ı De	*2	31	GB	45	96	27	(x)	(x)	40	27

### large county governments, October 1974 in thousands)

n thou	sands)	)		ì.												
			Cot	erts of 1	imited ju	risdiction	Continu	ed					Miscell	преопа		
<u> </u>	Juvenil					e court	. : . T		Other	courts		Numbe	r of empl	руеся		P P
Numbe	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Numb Total	Full- time	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Line au
1 605	1 559	1 570	1 484	2 075	2 002	2 025	2 030	13 487	12 033	12 580	12 224	10 372	9 226	9 591	8 248	-
1 30 (x) (x) (x) (x)	1 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	30 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	27 (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	9 44 13 40 29 8	9 44 13 40 25 8	9 44 13 40 25 8	6 32 9 26 18 5	6 64 14 46 16	62 14 46 14 10	65 19 46 15	4 50 11 32 11 7	10 9 5 1	7 4 2 1 2	7 6 3 1 3	163212	27 4 5 6 7
(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)		-	,-	-	В
(x) (x)	(x) (x)	(x) (x)	(x) (x)	(x)	{x}	(x) (x)	(x)	103 43	94 41	97 41	75 29	21	21	21	22	10 9
(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	{x}	(x)	(x)	2	2	2	1	•	-	-	-	11
(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	256 22 139 118 91 2 289 56 34	256 20 128 91 85 2 106 56	256 20 130 94 86 2 149 56 32	297 22 139 94 82 2 622 2 61 25	132 22 108 41 52 100 57	131 20 101 36 46 62 57	131 20 102 38 49 78 57	119 16 104 34 48 69 57	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	\$	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	63 562 132 116 217 380 98 40	61 560 124 113 188 372 86 24	62 560 127 114 196 375 93	60 618 121 124 195 415 93	266 104 98 201 363 49	159 101 92 181 354 49	161 102 94 188 356 49	1 148 95 97 167 397 52	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	\$\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXX	117 107 215 38 63 49 60 59 81	110 90 188 37 63 43 45 41 78	112 94 196 37 63 45 49 48 79	116 91 227 36 56 42 41 32 85	124 7 158 9 31 35 45 41	113 2 131 8 31 33 49 32 87	115 140 8 31 34 50 35 88	115 3 147 7 37 31 42 26 92	28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36
(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	××××××	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	31111	111313			5 15 4 30	5 14 4 30	5 5 14 4 30	4 4 11 3 18 1	37 38 39 40 41 42
-	· · · · · ·	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	65	68	50	43
(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	317 53 3	317 53 3	317 53 3	237 34 2	14 76 228 198	19 76 226 198	14 76 227 198 10	234 234 215 9	114 45 46 47 48 19 50
(x) (x) (x) 10 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) 10 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) 10 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) 28 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) 28 (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	32  32 	31 32	31 32	23	10 78 39 6 12 10 23	10 77 38 1 11 9	10 77 36 2 11 9	7 72 38 1 8 6	51 52 53 54 55 56 57
(x) 9 27 107 8	(x) 27 107 8	7 (x) 9 27 107 8	5 (x) 7 23 87 5	10 9 7 12 28 10	9 7 12 28 10	9 9 7 12 28 10	6 6 5 8 26 6	27 37 34 43 104 22	26 37 34 43 103 22	26 37 34 43 103 22	23 31 28 38 108 16	28 37 15 5	12 13 15 5 37	10 21 15 5	4 16 8 4 21	58 59 60 61 62 63
(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	6	6	6	u	64

-	<del></del>	,	e 32.			<del></del>	·				Dollar a	
ħ		Humber of	dicial ictivi	tico			rial courts	r	Cour	ts of limit	ted jurisdi	ction
	County		1	1	NURC	er of emp	loyees		25	<del></del>	ots1	
E. C.		Total Pul oul	equiva-	October payrolli	Total	Pull- tine only	Pull-time equiva- lent	October payrol1	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll
12349670	OU PAGE	86 86 28	25 29 77 3 481 28 146 30 83 30 83 26 27 28 31	112 43 50 14 17	24 3 598 145 85 86 27 33 40	23 3 244 113 79 79 25 28 32	23 3 444 131 81 82 26 31 34	14 2 863 97 43 50 13 17 18	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)
10 11 17 17 16 17	MACON , MADISON	93 59 38 82 48 48	56 41 55 86 57 51 52 33 79 79 41 18 37 72 44 66	25 21	46 79 39 27 82 44 32 75 64	36 71 37 25 79 37 28 71 64	39 72 38 25 79 39 29 72 64	22 45 18 15 50 24 12 46 41	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)
19022224 1907224 1907254	INDIANA: ALEN ALEN BELAWARE. LAKE. LAPORTE. MADIRON ST. JOSEPH, TIPPECANDE. VANOTERURGH VIGO.	34 60 179 19 39 29 96 24 51	84 64 57 34 57 37 37 37 37 39 87 96 77 22 8 96 96 96 96 96	61 20 33 130 20 24 60 12 25	86 34 60 137 37 38 26 24 50	74 26 51 142 27 34 20 17 43	84 57 155 31 38 26 22 50	61 20 33 114 19 24 17 12 24	22	21	22	16
28 29 30 31 32	IOWA: ULACK HAWK. LINN. POLK. SCOTT WOODBURY,	140 12 50 12		31 34 100 36 44	47 65 127 45 42	34 38 115 45	35 44 120 45	31 34 95 34	12		-	
33 34 35 36	KANSAS: JOHNSON	121 10 140 12 78 6 122 10	1 127	74 79 42 68	36 51 34 49	23 32 20 34	27 38 25 40	14 23 14 22	52 76 35 59	45 76 35 59	47 76 35	7 33 50 23
37 38 39	KENTUCKY: PAYFITE	(°) (°) 110 11	117	(*) 73 7	(* ) 32 4	(* ) 32 4	(°) 32	(2 ) 21 3	(²) 83	( <sup>2</sup> ) 83	(²) 83	(2) 51 4
40 41 42 43 43 45	LOUISIANA: CADDO	97 68 372 28 70 2 41 29	46 333 53 53	28 33 229 24 15	15 20 20 25 12	15 15 11 13 8	15 21 29 25 12 17	12 15 21 11 7	32 29 42 30 21	12 49	14 9 42 10	8 5 5 6 2
46 47 48	MATNE: CHMBERLAND	31 2 26 1 17 1	22	15 12 8	22 15 4	16	19 11 2	10	10	7	8	5 6
49 50 51 52 53	MABYLANOT ANNE ARINDEL. BALTIMORE HAMEGOE MONTGOMERY PRIME GEORGES WASHIGGTOR.	74 7 90 8 41 3 114 8 233 22	89 40 110 229	52 89 36 98 242	47 41 16 55 61	46 40 15 27 48	76 40 15 40 58	38 46 12 64 57	5 5 4 12	5 4 12	1 5 4 12	3 1 3 4 11
55 50 50 50 61 62	HADSACHIGETTS: UCPRSHIRE DRISTOL ESSEX HAMPGEN HAMPSHIRE NICOLESEX NORFOLE PLYMONINL WORCESTER	42 31 198 144 200 163 100 37 37 33 663 613 183 182 145 106 263 23	38 169 177 160 34 628 102 132	32 150 163 159 32 557 169 123 241	11 73 45 36 6 171 543 67	5 52 35 36 6 171 54 22 67	8 65 39 36 171 54 38	7 54 38 38 6 176 51 33	29 121 150 140 30 #82 129 88	27 93 128 111 26 441 126 74 165	28 101 133 120 27 452 126 82	23 92 122 117 25 375 115

#### JUDICIAL

#### large county governments, October 1974—Continued

			Co	urts of 1	imited ju	risdiction	Continue	ed					Nincell	pncovs		
	Juven11				Probat	e court	<del></del>		Other	courts		Numbo	or of empl	oyees		L
Number Total	Full- time only	Pull-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Numb Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Numb	Full- time only	Pull-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Line number
(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(*************************************	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	13 48 15 1 2	2 33 15 1 1	5 37 15 1 1	#85 (22)	12345678
XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXX	(x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	4 14 20 11 16 -	14 10 7 - 2	2 14 13 7 - 2 5	13766	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
(x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(X)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	11111111		1111111111	2 1 65	2 1 64	69	(2) 40	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27
×××××	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	12	- - 9	1	7	13 5	5 4	9 4	5 2 -	28 29 30 31 32
25 17 12 22	20 17 12 22	21 17 12 22	15 11 7 12	8 12 6 11	7 12 6 11	5 12 5 11	5 10 5 7	19 47 17 26	18 47 17 26	18 47 17 26	12 29 11 18	33 13 9 14	32 13 9 14	33 13 9 14	27 6 5 9	33 34 35 36
(* ) (x) (x)	(*) (x) (x)	(*) (x) (x)	(*) (x) (x)	(* ) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(*) {x} {x}	(* ) {x} {x}	(*) 83 6	(*) 83 6	(²) 83 6	(* ) 51 4	{* } 4	(2 <u>)</u>	(²) 2	(* ) 1	37 38 39
(x) 11 (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) 11 (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) 12 (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	20 29 31 30 21 10	31	2 9 31 10 4 5	1 5 26 6 2 3	10 19 310 15 8	10 17 229 14	10 18 261 15 8 5	8 170 170 7 6 3	40 41 42 43 44 45
(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	8 7 5	6 7 5	7 7 5	4 3	1 4	1 4	n 1	2	8	ã	1 1 6	4	46 47 48
(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	3 4 - 12	12	12	1 2 -	1 4 -	1 4	1	1 1	24 44 25 55 160	24 24 26 26 26 26	160 14 24 24	13 40 29 50 174	49 50 51 52 53 54
(x) 25 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) 12 (x) 8 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) 11 (x) 9 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	1 2 4 3 - 8 7 4 2	243 8741	1245 18742	1 2 3 4 7 7 7 3 2	28 96 146 129 30 474 122 84 178	27 83 124 100 26 433 119 70	27 87 129 110 27 444 119 78 157	22 79 119 104 25 358 108 108 154	24 5 4 1 10 24 6	24 4 4 1 5 2 1 6	244416Nn6	245416396	55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63

	1			<del></del>		-		·	<del></del>	[]	Dollar aı	nounts	
		<del></del>	Judicial setivit	los -			rial courts	·	Cour	ts of limit	ted jurisdi	ction	
partition	Parinter	Auctor o	f employees	1	Num	per of emp.	loyees	-		To	otal		
Line	County		ull- Full-time	October payroll <sup>2</sup>		Full-	Full-time	October	Numb	er of empl	Loyees		
	4.2		ino equiva- uly lent <sup>1</sup>	payrott	Total	time only	equiva- l <i>e</i> nt	payrol1	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BERRIEN CALHOUN GENESEE INGHAM JACKSON KALAMAZOO	54 114 73 197 133 63 95	54 54 110 112 72 72 188 191 131 54 59 93 93 97 101	40 85 66 197 111 52 84 81	21 37 26 32 57 31 34 54	21 37 26 32 57 26 34 48	21 37 26 32 57 31 34 51	15 28 23 33 47 23 29 39	31 72 44 145 73 29 39	31 68 43 139 71 25 37 43	31 71 43 141 71 29 37 44	23 52 40 147 62 26 34 38	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MUSKEGON. QAKLANO. OTTAWA. SAGINAW ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW, WAYNE.	273 75 89 330 53 109 72 141 976	273 273 65 73 89 89 303 310 47 50 104 107 64 66 129 133 943 954	239 57 71 317 35 87 59 133 1 244	149 23 37 100 16 37 25 44 383	149 19 37 98 17 35 22 34 367	149 22 37 98 18 36 24 37 37	122 17 31 98 12 31 18 39 488	115 46 51 230 33 72 45 38 506	115 41 51 205 28 69 40 37 496	115 45 51 211 30 71 41 38 500	107 34 39 219 21 56 38 36	
20 21 20 21	MINNESOTA: ANOKA DAKOTA HENNEPIN RAMSEY ST. LOUIS	115	47 48 39 51 294 297 111 113 111 113	53 50 296 111 107	41 23 154 96 13	39 129 92 13	40 16 132 94 13	45 10 116 88 11	31 166 17 62	26 165 17 56	27 166 17 58	27 180 21 60	
23 24	MISSISSIPPI; HARRISON, ,	53 41	36 41 41 41	38 30	8 26	8 26	8 26	5 14	24 11	21 11	21	24	
25 26 27 28 29	MISSOURI: CLAY. GREENE. JACKSON JEFFERSON ST. LOUIS	40	29 31 54 54 364 365 40 40 385 407	19 33 229 22 300	18 36 304 21 210	15 35 302 21 188	16 35 303 21 203	10 23 189 12 165	16 19 62 19 114	14 19 62 19 105	15 19 62 19 109	9 10 40 10 75	
30 31	NEBRASKA: DOUGLAS	94 60	80 82 52 53	66 43	59 30	47 24	49 25	39 17	17	15	15	10	
32 33	NEVADA: CLARK	100	95 97 68 69	81 69	39 44	39 44	39	42 43	42 17	39 14	40 14	33 15	
34 35	NEW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH	36 18	26 33 17 17	22 12	27 13	18	24 12	17 10	7 5	6 5	7 5	4 2	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	NEW JERSEY: ATLANTIC. BERGEN. BURLINGTON. CAMOEN. CUMBERLAND. ESSEX GLOUCESTER, HUDSON.	97 159 60 632 58	80 80 346 349 90 92 146 149 40 46 530 630 41 46 581 381	51 357 72 127 41 739 40 342	33 161 31 32 29 87 12	33 157 31 31 14 87 11	33 198 31 31 17 87 11	24 180 30 46 24 114 18	27 142 20 52 14 164 16 129	27 135 16 45 12 162 16 129	27 136 17 48 12 162 162 162	17 119 16 32 7 194 10	
21 48 48 48 48 48 44	MERCER. MIDDLESEX HONMOUTH MORRIS OCEAN PASSAIC SOMERSET UNION	164 1 92 97 227 64	75 75 64 265 64 164 72 74 95 96 226 227 48 54 68 269	74 228 117 70 74 217 46 266	21 40 22 31 18 38 25 177	21 37 22 30 18 38 25	21 37 22 30 18 38 25	33 48 27 36 27 46 27	10 53 46 41 27 78 18	10 52 46 40 27 78 18 92	10 52 46 40 27 78 18	6 49 38 31 16 72	
25	NEW MEXICO:	5	5 5	4		_			5	5	5		
554 555 557 550 557 550 550 652 653	MEW YORK: ALBAMY: BROOME. CHAUTAUDHA. CHEMUNG DUTCHESS. ERYE. MONROE. NASSAU. NIAGARA ONEIDA. ONOMUAGA. ORANGE.	61 31 17 61 293 226 231 98 71 125	87 89 47 51 30 30 17 17 60 61 84 286 22 226 20 (23) 63 68 70 70 22 122 55 56	56 43 27 16 60 305 235 1 219 64 60 111	1 8 1 3 27 39 179 179 137 7	1 2 27 39 179 12 7	1 3 1 3 27 39 179 1 1 12 7 2	1 2 1 2 22 22 33 257 1 7 6 2	49 39 21 14 37 141 151 631 64 31 80	48 33 20 14 37 133 151 624 35 31 78	48 34 20 14 37 135 151 626 38 31 78 33	33 31 18 14 44 158 167 821 39 39 39	

See factuates At and of table

#### large county governments, October 1974—Continued

in thou	sanus,	<i>'</i>						<del></del>			·		<del></del>			<del></del>
	Juveni?	e court	Cor	urts of 1		risdiction e court	Continue	ed	r)+ho=	courts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bring L.	Miscoll		<del></del>	н
Numbe	r of cmpl			Numb	er of emp		Γ	Numb	or of emp	<del></del>		Amab(	or of empl	oy cos	}	number
Total.	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payrol1	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Ldno
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	~ XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	8 20 23 52 57 15 24 31	80 22 48 55 15 24 29	8 20 22 49 55 15 24 30	7 18 25 57 50 16 25 29	23 52 21 93 16 14 15 14	23 48 21 91 16 12 13	23 51 21 92 16 14 13	16 34 15 90 12 10 9	2 5 3 20 3 3 22 6	2 5 3 17 3 3 22 6	2 5 3 18 3 22 6	2 3 17 2 3 21	12345678
XXXXXXXXXXX	************	***********	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	102 15 18 197 10 17 27 10 355	102 15 18 175 10 17 25 10 350	102 15 18 180 10 17 26 10 352	97 14 15 195 8 17 23 15 458	13 33 33 33 35 18 28 151	13 26 33 30 18 52 15 27 146	13 31 33 31 20 54 15 28 147	10 20 24 24 13 39 15 21	9 6 1 2 2 2 59 87	951 2 2 580	9 5 1 2 2 59 83	10 6 1  2  3 58 105	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
XXXXX	(x ) (x ) (x ) (x ) (x ) (x ) (x )	(x) (x) (x) (x)	×××××	(x) (x) 30 16	(x) (x) 30 16	(x) (x) 30 16	(x) (x) 31 19	31 136 1 62	26 135 1 56	27 136 1 58	27 149 2 60	8 16 2 44	8 13 2 42	8 14 2 42	8 13 - 2 36	18 19 20 21 22
15 2	12 2	12 2	11 1	{ <u>*</u> }	(*)	( <u>*</u> )	(x)	9.9	9 9	9	13 12	21 4	7 4	13	9 3	23 24
×××××	×××××	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	6 31 6 40	4 6 31 6 35	4 6 31 6 38	4 5 22 5 32	10 13 13 13 74	10 13 31 13 70	10 13 31 13 71	5 5 18 5 43	- - 98	92	94	60	25 26 27 28 29
17 5	15 4	15 4	10 4	( <u>*</u> }	( <u>*</u> )	( <u>*</u> )	{ <u>x</u> }		=	-	-	18 25	18 24	18 24	17 22	30 31
( <u>*</u> )	(x) (x)	(x)	(x)	{ <u>*</u> }	( <u>*</u> )	( <u>*</u> )	( <u>*</u> )	42 17	39 14	40 14	33 15	19 16	17 10	18 11	6 11	202
(x)	( <u>*</u> )	(x)	{ <u>*</u> }	7 5	6	7 5	4 2	-	=	-		2	2	2	1	34 35
28 2 3 23 23 46	28 2 3 23 46	28 2 3 23 46	28 4 5 38 39	10 29 6 15 9 29 7 24	10 28 6 13 8 28 7 24	10 28 6 14 8 28 7	6 20 6 9 5 29 6 20	17 85 12 54 52 112 59	17 79 8 29 4 111 9	17 80 10 51 4 111 9	11 71 6 18 2 127 4	22 64 46 75 17 381 30 135	20 54 43 70 14 381 14 133	20 55 43 71 14 381 21 133	10 58 26 49 10 431 12 128	36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43
15 15 17 33	4 5 15 17 33	15 15 17 33	5 8 14 16 -	10 11 19 13 9 17 9	10 10 19 12 9 17 9	10 19 12 9 17 9	6 9 14 9 6 14 5	38 22 13 18 44 9	38 22 13 18 44 9 45	38 22 13 18 44 9	35 16 8 10 42 5	44 177 96 20 52 111 21	44 175 96 2 50 110 5	44 175 96 51 111 14	35 131 52 3 31 99 8	44 45 46 47 48 49 50
(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-	52
34 22 8 7 19 74 91 20 18 44 18	33 22 7 7 7 19 67 91 112 18 18 42 18	33 22 7 7 7 19 68 91 112 19 18 42 18	20 18 8 7 20 82 92 136 20 17 39 23	11 6 8 3 9 35 17 50 11 7	11 6 8 3 8 34 7 146 111 7 14 7	11 6 8 3 8 34 47 47 11 7	66 8 2 10 35 21 21 66 10 7 14 9	41 5 40 323 469 33 469 33 628	4 5 5 4 10 32 46 6 6 22 8	4 6 5 4 10 32 457 8 6 22 8	77 72 25 144 41 54 619 9 10 26	42 14 9 3 125 301 125 27 27 28	38 14 9 3 21 124 36 117 28 27 37	40 14 9 3 21 124 36 118 29 27 37	22 10 8 2 14 125 35 141 24 19 26	534 555 556 556 556 556 566 664 664

	****	<del>                                     </del>								<del></del>		<u> </u>	Dollar a	mounts	
	H		·······		lal activiti	Los I		········	rial courts		Court	s of limit	ed jurisdic	tion	
	number	Carrata	MUZDO.	or of empl	loycos		Numb	or of emp	Joyeon				tal		
	E ST	County		Full-	Full-timo	October payrol11		Fu11-	   Full-time	Oataber	Numb	er of emp	oyees		
	H		Total	timo only	equiva- lent <sup>1</sup>	paytoti	Total	time only	equiva- lent	payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	
	123 456 7 8	NEW YORK CONTINUED OSWEGO	29 59 65 27 35 44 609 28	29 35 64 20 28 30 609 27	29 43 64 22 29 27 609 27	27 568 683 880 682 682 8627 8461 8461 8461	105	105	105	112	14 24 45 18 15 27 464 27	26 464 26 464 27 104	14 25 44 17 15 26 464 27	16 20 51 20 18 29 509 27	į.
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NORTH CAROLINA; BUNCOMBE, CUMBERLAND, DURHAM, FORSYTH GASTON, GUILFORD, MECKLENBURG ONSLOW, WAKE,	12 19 47 13 55 67 13 7	12 19 47 13 31 61	12 19 17 47 13 32 62 13	8 14 1 41 8 24 48 7		1111111	1111111	***	111111	111111111			
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	OHIO: ALLEN	53 92 45 58 899 361 58 443	44 72 33 47 758 308 39 395	53 88 45 52 832 345 49 441 108	36 39 29 33 684 261 37 350 68	44 64 38 43 597 202 34 415	41 59 32 37 575 189 31 382 68	44 58 586 195 412 69	745 465 465 465 745 745 745 745 745 745 745 745 745 74	6 21 4 15 128 26 19 17	5 10 19 7 6 5	5 16 4 14 62 26 12 17	3 10 3 9 70 20 7	
	28 29 30 31 32 33 35 37	LICKING LORAIN. LUCAS MAHONING MONTGOMERY PORTAGE RICHLAND STARK SUMMIT. TRUMDULL	48 129 280 138 310 91 56 136 252 124	34 113 248 121 252 772 50 105 255	46 117 285 127 299 78 52 134 239	30 214 85 213 53 53 91 167 76	36 52 183 43 165 27 49 106 222 86	30 51 164 43 153 26 46 214 82	37 52 181 #3 165 27 #8 106 217 84	24 40 149 38 137 18 36 70 149 57	5 10 21 23 43 22 15 26 26	10 22 8 24 1 1 1 9 1	5 10 21 26 34 26 15 20	7 11 17 220 20 9 15	
	38 39 40	OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE OKLAHOMA	14 132 141	12 124 124	14 127 140	9 69 79	11 128 117	121 100	11 124 117	7 67 62	(x) (x) (x)	(×) (×) (×)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	
1	41 42 43 44 45	OREGON: CLACKAMAS LANE. MARION. MULTNOMAH WASHINGTON.	46 141 59 271 42	151 51 68 868 10	42 134 54 269 41	35 118 77 250 31	14 79 16 95 12	12 73 15 93 12	13 74 15 93 12	11 77 14 91 9	10 6 14 82 17	10 6 9 82	10 6 12 82 16	6 4 6 70	
1 1 1 1	46 47 48 49 50 51 52 54	PENNSYLVANIA; ALLEGHENY	807 124 123 58 214 45 59 113	805 104 117 43 199 41 57	806 110 118 50 203 42 58 113	626 70 71 27 131 23 33 79 31	674 66 67 28 111 25 37 71	672 60 67 27 107 22 36 71 22	673 62 67 28 108 23 37 71	539 41 42 15 79 13 22 53	82 20 38 13 66 9 16 27	82 14 32 11 64 9 15 27	82 18 33 13 65 9 16 27	50 8 17 6 33 5 7 14	
	55 57 50 50 50 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	DAUPHIN DELAWARE, CRIE, FAYETTE FANKLIN. LACKAWANNA. LANCASTER LAWRENCE, LEHIGH,	132 357 110 58 39 101 127 48 115	95 357 97 52 24 90 87 39	103 357 102 33 29 96 103 42 106	63 231 64 34 19 61 64 24 62	68 248 62 37 13 70 68 29	52 248 58 52 145 67 24 60	54 248 60 33 12 68 36 26	35 160 35 24 10 46 38 15	34 62 27 12 10 23 41 6	31 62 20 12 4 19 31 6 22	33 62 23 12 8 21 35 6 25	16 32 12 4 3 10 17 3	
666677	156700000	LUZERNE LYCOMING. MERCER. MONTGOMERY, NONTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL, WASHINGTON, WESTMORELAND. YORK,	106 49 62 405 91 68 81 143	106 38 45 95 84 65 78 128 87	106 41 50 346 87 68 79 133 100	70 29 31 204 34 45 46 77 64	74 29 02 220 62 51 45 109 74	74 23 29 171 59 48 45	711 25 195 195 199 99 99	31 18 21 172 40 31 29 62 41	20 12 13 79 20 8 27 32 37	20 10 10 61 16 8 24 32	20 11 12 72 18 8 25 32 26	10 6 6 38 8 5 12 14 13	

See footnotes at end of tab

#### large county governments, October 1974—Continued

iii tiiou	isarius,	<u>'</u>	Cat	rts of 1	imited ju	risdiction	Continuo	d		····			Miscolla	nneous		
	Juven11	o court	~		Probate	court			Other	courts		Numbe	r of emplo	оусев	<u> </u>	Ħ
Numbe Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October psyroll	Numb Total	Full- time only	Full-timo equiva- lent	October phyroll	Humbe Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October pnyroll	Totul	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Line number
8 14 25 10 7 14 94 15	8 13 24 9 7 14 94 15	8 13 24 10 7 14 94 15	7 11 24 10 7 15 94 14 66	3 5 10 4 4 7 33 5 27	3 4 10 4 4 6 33 5 27	3 4 10 4 6 33 27	442557566 466	3 5 10 4 4 6 337 7 18	3 5 10 3 4 6 337 7	3 5 10 2 4 6 337 18	55 15 56 77 3727 42	15 32 17 3 13 17 40 195	15 10 17 2 13 4 40	15 19 17 3 13 15 40	111 13 14 2 9 111 40 (2)	123456789
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	**************************************	**************************************	\$	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	***************************************	**************************************	XXXXXXXXXX		44 44 74 74 74 74 74 74	31 32 35 37 31	1 1 1 1 1 1	12 19 47 13 35 67 13	12 19 47 13 31 61 13	112 19 1 17 13 32 62 13	8 14 1 91 8 24 48 7	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
***********	**************************************	**************************************	**********	XXXXXXXXXXX	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	***************************************	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	6 21 4 15 128 26 19 17	8 10 19 7 6 5	5 16 4 14 62 26 12 17	3 10 3 70 20 7 17 6	174 133 133 11 34	164 112 2 8 27	168 123 2 9 30	116 78 2 10	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
***********	***************************************	**************************************	***************************************	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	5 10 21 33 43 32 15 26 26	10 2 22 8 24 19	5 10 21 26 34 26 15 20	3 7 14 17 23 20 - 9 15	7 67 85 62 102 32 7 15 4	4 52 62 56 94 22 4 14 2	4 56 65 58 97 25 4 14 2	31 51 52 53 53 15 12 3	28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37
(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x)	(x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x)	{x} {x}	{x} {x}	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	3 4 24	3 3 24	3 3 24	2 2 17	38 39 40
(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXX	10 6 14 82 17	10 6 9 82 16	10 6 12 82 16	6 4 6 70 11	22 56 29 94 13	18 52 27 93 13	19 53 27 94 13	18 37 17 89 11	41 42 43 44 45
**********	(x (	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	*********	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	**********	**************************************	82 20 38 46 9 46 27 13	82 14 32 11 64 9 15 27	82 18 33 13 65 9 16 27	50 8 17 6 33 9 7 14	51 38 18 17 37 11 6 15	51 30 18 5 24 10 6 15	51 31 18 10 30 10 6 15	37 21 12 6 19 5 4 12	46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	***************************************	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	************	***************************************	***************************************	34 62 27 12 10 23 41 6	31 62 20 12 4 19 31 62	33 62 23 12 8 21 35 6	16 32 12 4 3 10 17 3	30 #7 21 9 16 8 18 13	12 47 19 8 9 8 9	15 47 19 8 11 8 11 10	12 39 17 6 6 5 9 6	55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63
(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	(*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x)	***************************************	(x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	20 12 13 79 20 8 27 32 37	20 10 10 61 16 8 24 32	20 11 12 72 18 8 25 32 26	10 6 38 5 12 14 13	12 8 7 106 9 9 2 27	12 5 63 9 9 2 12	12 5 6 79 9 9 2 13	9 5 4 74 6 7 5 1	64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72

<del></del>		70	rol duddad	al activit					<del>,                                    </del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dollar aı	يعرا ()جنستين
H			or of omb;		(68	Vineh	najor tr	rial courts	·	Court		ted jurisdic	tion .
racing a	Connty						or ciap	oy ces		Normh	er of emp	otal	<del></del>
E	County	Total	Full- tima buly	Full-timo equita- lent <sup>1</sup>	October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payro11
1 2 3 4 5	BOUTH CARSLINAS ANDERSON CHARLESTON GREENVILLE, RICHLARS, SPARTANEURG	#2 112 106 138 97	30 69 72 128 83	37 85 78 130 86	26 70 62 95 68	- 11 7 9 6	11 5 6 5	11 5 7 5	- 7 9 8 5	26 52 91 119 62	14 52 59 112 49	20 52 65 113 52	16 45 49 81 43
6 7 8 9	TENNESSEG: HAMALTON	114 143 330 27	114 131 330 26	114 141 330 26	95 74 278 20	52 67 88 14	52 67 88 13	52 67 88 13	40 34 71 9	39 56 138 2	39 45 138 2	39 53 138 2	29 33 113 4
1011234516789012222345	TEXAG,  BELL,  BEXAR  DRAZORIA.  CAMERGIN  DALLAS  EL PASO  DALLAS  HORLOGIO  HORLOGI  JEFFEISON  LUBUOCK  MCLENNAM  NUEES,  TARRANT  TRAVIO.  WICHITA	39 189 53 57 531 74 104 879 55 89 49 54 125 234 101	32 168 42 43 499 798 41 798 41 300 216 917	35 134 46 49 508 74 96 642 47 88 45 45 43 121 224 29	21 137 30 509 53 77 769 729 727 78 186	13 112 21 17 216 34 306 21 19 207 28 49	12 100 20 17 215 33 275 21 40 19 12 36 21 45	12 112 20 17 215 34 33 306 21 19 15 26 47	7 7 7 6 7 15 11 19 6 24 27 24 2 3 3 10 10 22 3 3 10	16 50 24 12 128 25 150 16 35 17 24 82 67 34	15 26 12 121 25 38 144 130 15 15 80 61	15 27 18 12 123 38 146 13 75 17 20 60 63	9 28 13 6 156 23 182 9 10 15 57 24
26 27 28	UTAH: SALT LAKE	42 16 21	37 7 19	#1 1.1 20	39 7 13	4 7 12	2 7 11	3 7 12	8 24	- 6		<u>4</u>	2
29 30 31 32	VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON	42 175 36 36	35 175 34 36	40 175 36 36	40 148 27 31	4 17 1	17 17	4 17 1	4 12 1	14 29 -	7 29 - 35	11 29 35	13 18 -
33 34 35 36 37 38	WASHINGTON: CLARK KIND. KITSAP. PIERCE. SNOHOMIDH SPOKANE. YAKIMA	63 474 40 110 157 100 62	54 406 28 72 117 86 55	55 423 31 108 120 91 57	48 396 24 81 86 75 43	15 138 25 69 23 68 26	15 123 19 42 23 59 23	15 126 20 69 23 62 24	15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 21.5 22.	27 180 15 39 69 31 20	20 133 9 30 64 26 20	21 145 11 32 67 27 20	20 123 8 25 43 23
40 41	WEST VIRGINIA: CABELL	37 64	36 60	36 61	31 52	16 25	15 21	15 22	11 13	17 33	17 33	17 33	17 36
42 43 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46	WISCONSINI BROWN DANE. KENDSHA HILWAUKEE OUTAGAMTE RACINCA ROCK WINNEBAGO	32 118 47 409 24 61 46 72 41	26 836 405 21 751 751 83	28 95 406 406 406 406 406 407 709	19 97 37 435 17 43 31 51 20	30 114 29 295 22 61 38 58 37	24 82 18 291 19 61 28 47 20	27 94 25 292 22 63 57 27	17 96 19 304 15 43 24 78 17	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	XXXXXXXXXX	<u> </u>	**************************************

#### large county governments, October 1974—Continued

			Co	irts of l	imited ju	risdiction	Continuo	d					Miscoll	ancous		
		le court				e court			Other	courts		Numbe	or of empl	oy ees		nterber
Numbe	Full- time oaly	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Numb Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Numb Total	Full- time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	potání	Full- fathu files	Full-time oquiva-	October payroll	Line nu
38 24 30 10	38 24 30 10	38 24 30 10	30 21 24 8	4 8 9 7 8	. 4 8 9 7 8	4 8 9 7 8	3 7 6 6 6	22 6 58 82 44	10 6 26 75 31	16 6 32 77 34	13 8 22 51 29	16 49 8 10 29	16 6 8 10 29	16 23 8 10 29	10 18 4 6 20	12345
21 27 77 (x)	21 27 77 (x)	21 27 77 (X)	14 20 53 (x)	(x) 6 11 (x)	(x) 5 11 (x)	(x) 5 11 (x)	(x) 2 14 (x)	18 23 50 2	18 13 50 2	18 23 50 2	15 11 46 4	23 20 104 11	23 19 104 11	23 20 104 11	16 7 94 7	6 7 8 9
(x) 5,24457 (x) 2,24457 (x) 2,2457 (x) 2,2	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) 4 4 3 7 (x) (x) (x) 7 4 (x) (x) (x) (x)	(X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	(x) (x) (x) 12 (x) 14 (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(XXXX) 16 (XXXX) 16 (XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	16 30 21 122 921 139 16 337 124 75 534 75	15 26 11 12 85 21 35 123 13 28 15 15 73 53 29	15 27 15 12 87 21 35 125 125 17 20 74 55 30 16	9 28 10 6 115 18 29 149 9 31 10 13 47 42 24	10 47 8 28 187 15 23 423 18 9 13 10 6 139 18	5 42 8 14 163 15 23 379 11 8 7 7 4 134 17	8 43 8 19 170 15 25 387 15 8 8 8 5 137	5 35 13 157 6 17 345 7 4 7 4 7 4 3 107 14 (2)	10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	8 7	-	4	2	38 1 9	35	38 B	36 1 5	26 27 28
29 -	29	29	18	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x)	14 - 35	7 - 35	11 - 35	13	24 146 19	24 146 17	24 146 18	23 130 15	29 30 31 32
**************************************	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	******	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	**************************************	(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	27 180 15 39 69 31 20	20 133 9 50 64 26 20	21 145 11 32 67 27 20	20 123 8 25 43 23	21 156 2 65 16	19 150 - 30 1 12	19 152 - 1 31 1 13	8 55 1 150 13	33 34 35 36 37 38 39
(x) 5	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x) (x)	{ <u>*</u> }	(x)	{x}	17 28	17 28	17 28	17 32	4 6	4 6	4 6	3	40 41
(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	\$355555555 \$355555555555555555555555555	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	(x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x) (x)	2 1 18 11# 2 - 8 14 4	2 1 18 114 2 7 14 3	2 1 18 114 2 7 14 3	2 18 131 2 7 13 3	42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not suplicable.

Z Isas than half the unit of measurement whom.

Also a fundating, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

An of January 1, 1974, the governments of Fayatte Councy, Kentucky and Lexington city, Kentucky merged to form the Lexington-Fayette Urban County governments. All employees of this joint government are now shown as employees of lexington city, Kentucky in the city tables presented elsewhere in this report.

Table 33. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

		Di	rect expenditu	11.6	Intergove	ernmental expe	nditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital Outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL STATES	652 964 181 537 476 793 271 531 205 705	652 964 178 355 474 609 269 088 205 521	645 535 175 692 469 843 265 576 204 207	7 429 2 663 4 766 3 512 1 254	5 809 3 182 2 627 2 443 184	2 185 (X) 2 185 2 112 72	3 624 3 182 442 330 112
ALABAMA,	5 205 2 467 2 749 1 544 1 205	5 205 2 467 2 738 1 544 1 194	5 178 2 464 2 714 1 530 1 184	27 3 24 14 10	11 11	11 (X) 11	
ALASKA STATE LOCAL, TOTAL BOROUGHS MUNICIPALITIES	4 352 3 374 1 165 599 566	4 352 3 187 1 165 599 566	4 326 3 172 1 154 595 559	26 15 11 4 7	187 187 -	(x)	187 187 -
ARIZONA	7 765 1 249 6 516 4 343 2 173	7 765 1 249 6 516 4 343 2 173	7 616 1 231 6 385 4 237 2 148	149 18 131 106 25	90. stc. sta. sta.	(X)	
ARKANSAS	2 457 799 1 665 1 067 598	2 457 799 1 658 1 067 591	2 397 795 1 602 1 011 591	60 4 56 56 -	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	(X) 7	
CALIFORNIA	118 099 18 389 99 710 76 375 23 355	118 099 18 389 99 710 76 375 23 334	116 944 18 210 98 734 75 660 23 074	1 155 179 976 715 260	21 21	(X)	51 51 51
COLORADO	8 360 716 7 664 4 232 3 597	8 380 716 7 664 4 067 3 597	8 251 706 7 545 4 002 3 543	129 10 119 64 55	166 166 166	(x)	166 166
CONNECTICUT. STATE: LOCAL: TOTAL MUNICIPALITIES	7 559 4 448 3 111 3 111	7 559 4 448 3 111 3 111	7 508 4 407 3 101 3 101	52 41 11 11		(X)	- -
DELAWARE STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 529 1 096 433 132 302	1 529 1 096 433 132 301	1 522 1 090 432 131 301	7 6 1 1	1	(X)	1.
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA STATE. LOCAL; TOTAL. MUNICIPALITIES	11 328 11 328 11 328	11 328 11 328 11 328	11 328 11 328 11 328			(X) 2	<b>:</b>
FLORIDA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	28 982 17 451 11 531 5 646 5 890	28 982 17 451 11 531 5 641 5 890	28 437 17 110 11 327 5 517 5 810	545 341 204 124 80	5 គេជា	(X)	5 5 5 5
GEORGIA	9 251 3 146 6 105 4 412 1 693	9 251 3 146 6 105 4 412 1 693	9 124 3 120 6 004 4 319 1 684	127 26 101 93 8		(x)	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Di	rect expendit	ure	Intergov	ernmental expo	enditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local government
STATE, TOTAL	5 133 1 481 3 652 784 2 868	5 133 1 481 3 652 784 2 868	5 114 1 481 3 633 779 2 854	19 19 5 14		( <b>x</b> )	
DAHO. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 961 446 1 515 1 081 447	1 961 446 1 515 1 081 434	1 928 426 1 502 1 070 432	12 12 12 14	14 14 14	(x) - -	1! 1!
LLINGIS	31 460 8 956 23 983 15 649 8 139	31 460 7 477 23 983 15 845 8 139	31 218 7 442 23 776 15 665 8 111	242 35 207 180 27	1 483 1 479 4 4	(x)	1 48 1 47
NDIANA	9 353 3 769 5 613 2 960 2 653	9 353 3 769 5 584 2 931 2 653	9 275 3 735 5 540 2 698 2 643	77 34 43 34 10	29 29 29	29 (X) 29 29	
OWA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	5 707 1 410 4 297 2 811 1 513	5 707 1 410 4 297 2 811 1 486	5 682 1 391 4 291 2 809 1 482	25 19 6 2 4	27 27 27	(X).	5 5 5
STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	6 417 2 327 4 993 2 699 1 395	6 417 2 327 4 090 2 699 1 391	6 244 2 181 4 063 2 675 1 386	173 146 27 24 4	4 4	3 (X) 3	
STATE	5 525 1 607 3 919 2 332 1 587	5 525 1 607 3 918 2 331 1 587	5 506 1 600 3 906 2 329 1 577	19 7 12 2 10	1	(X) 1	
OUISIANA. , STATE, LOCAL, TOTAL	9 499 4 820 4 679 2 474 2 205	9 499 4 820 4 679 2 474 2 205	9 268 4 761 4 507 2 360 2 146	232 59 173 114 59	11111	(x)	
STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 657 1 180 477 187 290	1 657 1 180 477 187 289	1 643 1 170 473 184 289	14 10 4 -	1	(X)	
STATE. STATE. LOCAL, YOTAL CGUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	10 985 1 502 9 483 5 178 4 305	10 985 1 502 9 483 5 178 4 305	10 898 1 473 9 425 5 142 4 283	87 29 58 36 22		(x <u>)</u>	
ASSACHUSETTSSTATELOCAL, TOTALLOCAL, TOTAL.	13 373 5 292 8 081 2 083 6 028	13 373 5 292 5 081 2 053 6 028	13 332 5 275 8 057 2 042 6 015	41 17 24 11 13	30 30 30	(X)	3 3
STATE.  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES  BUNICIPALITIES	29 466 6 505 22 961 14 153 8 828	29 466 6 505 22 961 14 153 8 808	29 258 6 473 22 785 14 019 8 766	208 32 176 134 42	20 20 20	(x)	2 2 2

Table 33. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>		irect expendi	ture	Intergove	ornmental expe	nditure
V. 57707	TOTAL	Total	Direct current	Capitel outley	Total	To State governments	To local -governmen
MINNESOTA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 728 1 696 8 032 4 518 3 515	9 728 1 696 8 032 4 517 3 515	9 644 1 659 7 985 4 489 3 496	64 37 47 29 19	1 1 1 1	. (X)	-governmen
MISSISSIPPI. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 910 1 596 1 314 691 623	2 910 1 596 1 314 691 623	2 890 1 578 1 312 691 621	19 18 1	- 1 1 1	(X)	
STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 606 1 042 8 564 4 357 4 206	9 606 1 042 8 564 4 357 4 206	9 518 1 031 8 487 4 301 4 186	87 11 76 56 20		(x)	
IONTANA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 040 638 1 402 1 068 334	2 040 638 1 402 1 068 334	2 001 627 1 374 1 042 332	39 11 28 26 2	* *	(X)	
EBRASKA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	3 876 348 3 528 2 139 1 390	3 876 348 3 528 2 139 1 389	3 823 342 3 481 2 124 1 358	52 6 46 15 31	1	(x)	
STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	4 406 932 3 474 2 494 981	4 406 932 3 474 2 494 981	4 325 930 3 395 2 450 945	81 2 79 43 36		(x)	•
W HAMPSHIRE. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	1 259 625 634 199 435	1 259 625 634 199 435	1 253 619 634 196 435	7 6 1	•	(X)	•
W JERSEY STATE LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	36 219 5 928 30 291 21 006 9 285	36 219 5 928 30 291 21 006 9 285	36 067 5 815 30 252 20 968 9 284	152 113 39 38 1	Total State of the Control of the Co	(X)	
MEXICO STATE. OCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 875 2 013 863 211 656	2 875 2 013 862 207 655	2 824 1 966 858 204 654	51 47 4 2 2	5 - 5 4 1	(X)	4 4 4
Y YORK STATE, OCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	84 407 20 641 63 972 21 061 42 912	84 407 20 436 63 971 21 061 42 910	83 813 20 154 63 659 20 786 42 873	594 282 312 275 37	206 205	(X) 1	205 205
TH CAROLINA	6 595 4 514 2 081 880 1 201	6 595 4 514 2 081 880 1 201	6 557 4 484 2 073 877 1 196	38 30 8 3		(X)	•
TH DAKOTA	1 588 532 1 056 879 177	1 588 532 1 056 879 177	1 587 532 1 055 978 177	1	•	(x)	

Table 33. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Eir	ect expenditu	iro	Intergove	ernmental expe	nditure
Utako ona typo al government nemamanamanamanamanamanamanamanamana	Testail*	Zotal	Direct current	Capital Sutlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
SHIO STATE. TOTAL LOSAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	29 175 7 226 17 949 8 898 9 111	25 175 7 226 17 949 8 839 9 110	24 805 6 989 17 816 8 760 9 057	370 237 133 79 54	60 60 59	(X)	59 59 59
OKLAHOMA	2 591 2 203 2 219 2 219 3 293	5 591 4 039 1 552 159 1 393	5 530 4 027 1 503 140 1 363	61 12 49 19 30	2 060 2 060 2 060	2 051 (X) 2 051 2 051	9 1 9 9 1
OREGGN	12 016 5 550 6 467 5 127 1 340	12 016 5 550 6 466 5 127 1 339	11 375 5 550 5 825 4 496 1 329	641 641 631 10		(XŽ	
PENNSYLVANIA	26 BB0 4 194 22 686 10 000 12 686	26 880 4 194 686 55 10 000 18 686	26 542 4 042 82 500 9 870 12 630	338 152 186 130 56	# # #	(x) -	1111
AMORE ISLAND STATE LOGAL: TOTAL MUMICIPALITIES	1 825 963 862 862	1 825 662 862 863	1 820 963 857 857	10 1 to 50		(X)	
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 539 1 198 1 341 811 531	2 539 1 198 1 391 610 531	2 519 1 186 1 333 806 827	20 12 8 4 3	<u>i</u> i	(X) 1 1	- - -
SCUTH DAMOTA	2 365 1 188 1 177 940 276	2 365 1 188 1 177 901 276	1 984 810 1 174 899 275	381 378 3	39 39 39	(x)	39 39 39
TENNESSEE. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL CUUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	6 185 3 669 2 516 896 1 620	6 185 3 669 2 516 896 1 620	6 133 3 636 2 497 893 1 604	52 33 19 3 16	## ## ##	(X)	•
TEXAS	26 854 5 659 21 200 14 975 6 226	26 854 5 659 21 195 14 973 6 221	26 463 5 620 843 14 727 6 116	391 39 352 246 105	7 7 2 5	6 (X) 5 1	1 1 1
STATE. TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 778 1 015 1 973 1 368 605	2 778 805 1 973 1 368 605	2 692 776 1 916 1 312 605	86 29 57 56	210 210	(X)	- 510 510
VERHONY. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	515 5 511 7 115 1 115	506 517 7 713 7 713 7 753	1 315 1 104 211 2 209	88	3 3 3	(X)	3 3 3
VIRGINIA	8 972 J 260 5 832 2 312 J 531	8 972 J 212 5 760 2 282 J 477	8 827 3 197 5 630 2 267 3 363	144 15 129 15 114	132 48 84 30 54	73 (X) 73 30 43	59 48 11 11

<sup>&</sup>quot;co feetevies at end of table.

Table 33. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Di	rect expendit	ure	Intergov	ernmental expe	ndituro
	10121	Total	Direct current	Capital Outlay	Total	To State governments	To local
MASHINGTON STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	12 417 4 355 8 393 5 525 2 880	12 417 4 024 8 393 5 525 2 868	12 332 4 006 8 326 5 475 2 851	85 18 67 51 16	344 331 13	(x)	344 331 13
WEST VIRGINIA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	3 174 820 2 354 1 970 384	3 174 820 2 354 1 970 384	3 149 800 2 349 1 966 383	26 20 6 5	1111	ιχī	
WISCONSIN. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	12 504 3 701 9 525 5 476 4 061	12 504 2 979 9 525 5 465 4 061	12 414 2 955 9 459 5 424 4 035	90 24 66 40 26	733 722 11 11	(X)	733 722 11 11
TYOMING. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 415 653 762 564 199	1 415 653 762 564 199	1 336 581 755 556 199	79 72 7	-	(X)	## *** ***

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined state-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipiont government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

			Inousand	irect expe				Intergover	mental ex	penditure
Exact # 1	Total	rotoi	Giract Currect	Total	Equip-	Construc-	Land	Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern- cents
en como reconocimiento de la como con como como	257 196	267 VBB	205 155	2 813	2 140	tion 673		1 188		225
高高的指数数字 形态计和范约如 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	25 396 164 164 40 80	23 396 164 104 48	20 394 163 104 48	3 2 1	3 2 1	-			mary amountaining the State Amountain for the Am	
ALAGRAS  ORFATER ANGESPACE	400	470	476	ņ	4	-	And the second s	-	Andrews Control of the Control of th	-
AHIZCHAR MANICUPA PIMA	1 894 1 459	1 899 1 458	1 855 1 403	44 55	44 5:			***************************************		-
AUKANSASI PULASKI	339	339	338	1	1	•	Andreas Andreas	and the state of t		•
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA	4 435 3757 2 7574 1 772 24 062 768 435	1 328 1 371 24 002 768	780	901 901 13	100	7				
montenet	1 0370 01370 01370 01470 0170 0170 0170 0170 0170 0170 0	1127 1277 1277 1277 1277 1277 1277 1277	5 099 5 223 9 069 5 573 5 384 1 384	10 74 19	16	7 1 0 50 9 -	Col. Dec Color Properties of the American Street	-1		
Safe matter a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	THE STORY AND TH	1 376 9 127 9 127 908 783 696	1 120 4 113 509 505 773 690			6 4 3 0		111111	And the second s	The state of the s
COLUMBULE ARAPANOE ARAPANOE ARAPANOE EL PARO LEFONNOL PUROL	HAND STATE OF THE		305 333 613 459	3	3	1 2		14	A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	14
(*&&###E# NEW E#976E</td><td>9</td><td>9</td><td>A state</td><td>The state of the s</td><td>and the same of th</td><td>1</td><td><b>*</b></td><td></td><td>and the control of th</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>FLORIDAS  ALACHUA  ALACHUA  DROYARD  DROYARD  ESCAMOIA  HILLAUCHCHOLON  LACUM  LACUM  PALM DRACH  PALM DRACH  PINELLAS  RIMELLAS  RIMELLAS</td><td>Continues of the continues of the contin</td><td></td><td>9 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>S. A. S. S.</td><td>1 SA F WAN B I</td><td>4 + 4 2 2 1 - 25 1 8 1 4</td><td></td><td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C</td><td>1111111</td></tr></tbody></table>										

the footcote at end of table.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	ismijin, eve,		- Charles and the	(Thousar	-		<del></del>	·	ļ	<del></del>	
		_			Direct exp		l outlay	<del></del>	Intergover	nmontal ex	To
County		Total	Total	Direct	Total	Equip- ment	Constrain	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
FLORIDACONTINUE POLK SARASOTA VOLUSIA		329 91 172	329 91 172	305 91 166	24 - 6	24 - 6	3	-	-	-	
GEORGIA: BIBB. CHATHAM. COBB. DE KALB. FULTON. RICHMOND.		243 244 182 481 1 683 182	243 244 182 481 1 683 182	240 241 172 468 1 652 178	3 3 10 13 31 4	3 30 13 31 4	11711	144   144			-
IDAHO: ADA		171	171	169	2	2	-		-	_	-
ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN COOK DU PAGE KANE. LAKE. LA SALLE. NCHENRY MCLEAN.		224 7 662 633 284 479 144 175 138	224 7 662 633 284 479 144 175	223 7 569 627 272 477 143 174 138	12000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 93 6 12 2 1		1 11 1 1 1			
MACON		216 259 273 208 256 281 157 128 350	216 259 273 208 256 281 157 328 350	216 251 273 207 256 281 154 128	8111312	1 # 3 # 3 - 1 % 1 &	14-11				
INDIANA: ALLEN DELAWARE. ELKHART LAKE. LA PORTE.		247 68 64 579 81	247 68 64 579 81	239 68 64 578 81	A	B		# # #		 	
MADISON		68 180 51 185 100	68 180 51 185 100	68 180 51 185 99		- - 1	11	## ## ##			-
IOWA: BLACK HAWK, LINN, POLK. SCOTT. WOODBURY.	 	132 141 360 128 177	132 141 360 128 177	132 141 360 128 177		## ## ##	#4 #4 #4	# # # #		## ## ##	### 
KANSAS: JOHNSON SEDGWICK, SHAWNEE WYANDOTTE		308 439 172 232	. 308 439 172 232	303 433 172 232	5 6 -	5 6 -	~	*	# # #	-	## ## ##?
KENTUCKY: FAYETTE JEFFERSON KENTON		135 536 136	135 536 136	135 535 136	1	į	:	 	=		
CADDO		125 211 457 73 250 108	125 211 457 73 250 108	125 209 452 73 152 108	2 5 98	2 5	98	¥ ¥ •	-	# # # # #	

See footnote at end of table.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		ەرە «سەلسىنىۋىدىنى»	5	tract exp	enditule			Intergover	mental ex	penditure
C A COLOR		Total	tirect current		Copita Equip-	Construc-	i.ond	Total	To State govern-	To local govern-
en e		over the first term of the second		later	Epat	tion	\$45 MG	<b> </b>	pents	Rents
BEAGE CONTENENT AND A CONTENT OF THE	j.	76 74 20	36 34 19		-	The state of the s	-		To the state of th	Constitution of the second constitution of the s
APPLATE APPLIES AND APPLIES AN	PARTY OF THE PARTY	540 418 246 739 1 273 1 90	240	12	6	1 1 1			Transformation and the Conference of the Confere	Andrews of the Communication o
ADBACHUGETTOE  DEURCHTOE  DEURCHTOE  DEURCHTOE  DEURCHTOE  DANNESHERE  MANNESHERE  MANNESHERE  MANNESHERE  MANNESHERE  MANNESHERE  MANNESHERE  MANNESHERE  MONGESTERE  MONGESTERE  MONGESTERE	UANTE DE LA COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DE LA COMPANIA DE LA COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DE LA COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DE LA COMPANIA DELA COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DEL COMPANIA DE	40 40 473 473 474 475 160 168	27 274 274 284 284 527	23				30		3
etantiates  par e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1 477 1 477 1 477 1 477 1 411	1 519 472 257 407	181	20 20 20 40 20	The state of the s	9				
MATCHILL A REPORT OF THE CONTROL OF	1 133 711 221 1 10 256 190 417	212 212 213 244 244 247 247	209 218 1 707 257 197 416	1000	And the state of t		ensinkamasarkhyriverkinockinockinockinockinockinockinockinoc			
ornogopa Andra * * * * * * * * * Caropa Menseya * * * * * * * Remseya * * * * * * Or * Source	222 101 101 103 107 107	125 1 150 545	125	2		And the second s	Andreas (State Balletin) and the State Sta	And the state of t		
ernerenterere Mangrese	33 40	33 49				A Company of the Comp		Short of years of the state of	Complete Com	description of the control of the co
HIGGOODII CLAY	234 251 769 88 200	171 949 84	137 909 87	14	T.	1	rivolation in the			All the second s
Leonaskas Policias Pancaster	485 231				Andrews Control	A Company of the Comp	And the second s	NICK ALL MANAGEMENT AND	Apple to be broken to the second seco	
revaral Clark	1 101 740					and the control of th	se station dell'international	Martin Color	1	
teringster est	6.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2		64	y party production of the second	Control of the Control	to entropy to the control of the con	and the state of t		•	

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			1	irect exp	enditure			Intergove	rnmental ex	penditure
County	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlny			To State	To local
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- cents	govern- cents
NEW JERSEY: ATLANTIC. BERGEN. CAMDEN. CUMBERLAND. ESSEX GLOUGESTEP. HUDSON.	860 1 858 516 1 416 235 4 039 384 1 931	860 1 858 516 1 416 235 4 039 384 1 931	360 1 516 516 1 415 4 739 4 734 1 909	22	22			1		149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149
MERCEN. MIDDLESEX. MONMOUTH. MORRIS. CCEAN. PASSAIG. SOMERSET. UNION.	1 163 1 63 786 680 674 1 397 558 2 316	1 183 1 634 786 689 674 1 397 558 2 316	1 177 1 634 786 680 674 1 387 558 2 316	6 - 10 -	10	-	14 14 14 14 14			
NEW MEXICO: BERNALILLO. , , , ,	127	127	125	2	2		-			-
NEW YORK: ALBANY, BROOME, CHAUTAUQUA, CHEMUNG GUTCHESS, ERIE, MONROE, NASSAU, NIAGARA	440 274 191 127 335 2 240 1 224 4 500 286 307	440 274 191 127 135 2 240 4 506 307	133 271 190 127 332 2 218 1 218 4 463 204	7 3 1 3 22 6 37 37	7 3 1 3 22 6 37 3	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1			
ONONDAGA.  GRANGE. OSWEGO. RENSSELAER. ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENCE. SARATOGA. SCHENECTADY SUFFOLK. ULSTER. WESTCHESTER	972 492 129 215 618 102 149 185 3 535 212 2 251	972 492 129 215 618 102 149 185 3 535 212 2 251	971 487 128 209 600 101 149 185 3 431 207 2 227	1 5 1 6 18 1 1 104 5	1 5 1 6 18 1 - 104 5	111111111111111111111111111111111111111				
GRTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE. CUMBERLAND. DURHAM. FORSYTH GASTON. GUILFORU. MECKLENBURG ONSLOW, WAKE.	26 22 13 84 21 54 72 72 15	72 15	26 22 13 83 21 54 72 15	-	1					
HIG: ALLEN	108 127 95 91 1 356 758	108 127 95 91 1 356 758	108 127 95 88 1 350 758	11176	3 6				*** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** ****	
GREENE. " "	113 586 191 87 266 319 265	191 87 266 319	111 523 186 86 266 318 265	ĩ	2 12 5 1		-	5		-

See footnote at end of table.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		<del></del>	(Inousai	Mrect ere		<del>-</del>	(	Intergover	omental ex	nanditure
₹°ctanty	Total		·	-		loutlay	<del></del>		То	To
Brookspringer with a management of the control of t	arendanska i saka maka maka ka	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- cent	Construc- tion	Lard	Total	State govern- nents	local govern~ ments
CHIGOMECHTIQUED HOLTOSMERY, DOUTAGE RICHLAND, STARK CUNNIT. THUMOULL,	645 122 153 266 426 170	645 122 153 266 426 170	695 122 153 259 419 170		777			-		1 1 1
Crlahghai Comanghe	93 973 973	13 10	13	2	- 2	1 1 1	4.	80 473 382		- -
Cregoni Clackamas Lane Marion MBLTNGNAN WASHINGTON	274 616 293 2 245 296	276 616 293 2 245 296	276 590 289 1 689 291	26 4 556 5	26 4 54 5	502		*	-	-
Cupofriand,	2 092 226 253 66 612 860 160 513	2 092 226 253 66 612 860 160 513	2 059 225 253 65 609 86 159 513	33 1 1 8 1 1 1	33 1 1 8 - 1	111111111			111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111
DAUPHIN	372 991 309 106 71 209 153 157	372 991 309 106 71 209 153 107 219	368 967 304 106 71 209 152 104 218	44 55 - 1 1 2 3 3 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4455	111111111	* 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	1111111	
LUTERNE LYCOMING. MENCER. NOUTGOMENY. NORTHAMPTON NEMOVEKIL. MESTHOPELANO. YORK	740 108 108 1705 179 149 245 107	248 90 105 705 142 145 245 107	248 59 108 685 170 142 244 327 107	205116	20 5 1 6 -	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1111111			
Sedim Canclina; Anderson	30 78 96 174 54	30 78 76 174 54	30 74 96 174 54	4 - 4 - 4	4	1 1 1		1 1 1		
Tennedser Hamilton, Knox Suelny, Suelny,	124 117 319 20	124 115 124 124	124 113 319 19	-	A NA F	-	•	-		
TEXASE OBLES : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1 177 1 171 1 171 1 171 1 170 1 170	179 1173 131 185 1 069 1 767	705 125 125 125 165 165 165 181	8 5 6 1	6		94 95 94 94 94 94			

Can footcots at and of table.

#### LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			ŋ	irect exp	enditure			Intergover	umental ox	pend <b>iture</b>
County	Total		Direct		Capital	loutlay			To	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- menta
TEXASCONTINUED HARRIS. HIDALGO JEFFERSON LURBOCK MCLENNAN NUFCES. TARKANT TRAVIS. WICHITA	192 408 311 133 273	2 941 192 408 311 133 273 1 155 401 71	2 552 1902 4903 131 272 1 144 401 71	89 2 6 58 2 1 11	89 22 68 58 21			-		
UTAH: SALT LAKE UTAH	102	654 102 124	616 100 118	38 2 6	38 2 6	-				
VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON	523 177	187 523 177 128	184 518 177 128	3 5 -	3 5		-	28	-	
AASHINGTON: CLARK	1 516 174 579 481	204 1 616 174 579 481 393 244	202 1 606 173 579 478 386 242	2 10 1 3 7 2	2 10 1 3 7 2	11111	w.     			
EST VIRGINIA: CABELL KANAWHA	173	173 208	171 208	2	2	-	las.	-	-	•
XISCONSIN: BROWN DAME, KENOSHA HILWAUKEE OUTAGAMIE RACINE, ROCK, WAUKESHA, WINNEBAGO	629 152 1 646 118	101 629 1646 148 229 174 124	101 627 151 1 622 118 220 172 193 124	24 - 2	24	1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

### Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

			<u> </u>		irect exp	enditure			Intergover	mental ex	enditure
	City	Total		W4 u===	i	Capita	1 outley		}	To	To
*			Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- cont	Construc- tion	Lond	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
	TOTAL	133 570	133 477	132 428	1 045	950	12	87	93	52	41
,	ALABAMAI BIPMINGHAM, GANDSEN HUNTSVILLE, MORILE, MONTGOMERY, TUSCALOOSA,	250 7 60 89 45 38	250 7 60 99 45 38	242 7 60 89 45 37	8	8 - - - 1	1111			-	
	RIZONA; MESA, PHOENTS SCOTTSPALE, TEMPE, TUCSON,	55 1 029 105 93 491	55 1 029 105 93 491	55 1 911 103 91 489	18 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	9 2 2 2 2 2 2	1911		- - -		•
	RKANSASI FORT SMITM. LITTLE ROCK HORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF.	38 86 40 35	38 86 40 29	38 86 40 29	- - -	-	-	- - -	9111		
	ALIFORNIAI ALAMEDA ALHAMBRA ANAHEIM BAKERSFIELD BELLFLOWER BERKELEY BURNA PARK BURNA PARK CARSON, CHULA VISTA	655 2800 1920 1851 806 68	65 75 240 190 29 125 37 206 84 68	62 54 280 190 29 125 37 198 84 65	31	311111813	11111111		111114441	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
	COMPTON CONCORD COSTA MESA DALY CITY DOWNEY EL CAJON EL MONTE FHEMONT	64 52 67 36 39 42 67	64 52 67 36 39 35 42 67	64 51 67 35 39 34 42 67	1	1	1 1 1 1 1	111111111	1 1 1 1 1	90 90 90 40 40 40 40 40 40	
	FRESNO. FULLERTON GARDEN GREVE. GLENDALE. HAWTHORNE HAYMARD HUNTINGTON SEACH. INGLEWOOD LAKEFOOD.	217 73 60 167 112 89 215 223	217 73 60 167 112 89 215 223 28	212 73 59 166 104 89 213 221 28	20	5 1 1 8 2 2 2 4	7 6 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	1111111	-		
	LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES MODESTO MOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK OAKLAND ONTARIO ORANGE OXNARD	1 200 5 483 66 57 43 318 19 78	1 200 5 483 66 57 43 318 19 78	1 156 5 426 63 54 43 318 19 77 74	447 33 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	44 57 3 3			-		
	PALO ALTO PASADENA. PICO RIVERA POMONA. REDONDO BEACH RICHMONO. RIVERSIDE	151 274 21 69 54 110 92 123	151 274 21 66 54 110 92 123	150 271 21 655 109 109 125	1 3 1 1	1 3 3 1 1		400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	3	-	3

See forthote at end of table.

### Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

				Direct exp	enditure			Intergoven	mental ex	penditure
City	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlay	<del></del>		To State	To local
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
CALIFORNIACONTINUED SACRAMENTO. SALIMAS SAN BERNARDINO. SAN BUENAVENTURA. SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO. SAN JOSE. SAN LEANDRO.	197 68 118 66 1 284 3 369 680 109	197 68 118 66 1 284 3 369 680 109	191 67 118 65 1 279 3 343 676	61 115 24 1	6 1 - 1 5 26 4	-			-	
SAN MATED SANTA ANA SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA MONICA SANTA ROSA SIMI VALLEY SOUTH GATE	64 245 133 80 246 67 63 35	64 245 133 80 246 67 63 33	63 240 131 80 242 66 62 33	152   4 1 1	1 5 2 - 4 1 1	-	1			2
STOCKTON	115 106 231 58 19 38 26	115 106 231 58 19 38 26	115 106 228 54 19 38 26	- 15 #	- 3 4 -		-	jas, un jas us us as as		
COLORADO: AURORA. BOULDER COLORADO SPRINGS. DENYER LAKEWOOD. PUEBLO.	133 112 149 1 808 179 85	133 112 149 1 808 179 85	128 112 139 1 793 165 85	5 10 15 14	5 10 15 14					1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900
CONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT. BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD. MERIDEN MILFORD	138 42 40 424 64 47	138 42 40 424 64 47	138 41 40 423 64 47	1	1 1	-		-	,	en Ins Vyn den den
NEW BRITAIN	130 98 92 376 132 64	130 98 92 376 132 64	130 98 49 374 132 63	1 5 2 1	3 2 1			-		-
DELAWARE: WILMINGTON	230	230	230	-	-	-		-	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: WASHINGTON	11 328	11 328	11 328	<b>.</b>	=	_	•	-	_	-
FLORIDA: CLEARWATER, FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HOLLYWOOD JACKSONVILLE MIAMI	68 139 70 31 129 1 081 363	68 139 70 31 129 1 081 363	68 139 70 31 129 1 048 362	- - - 33	- - - 33					) @ -
MIAMI BEACH	481 99 77 174 107 279 66		481 99 75 170 107 269 63	10 3	- 2 4 - 10 3	=	-			

see footnote at end of table.

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			I	irect exp	enditure			Intergover	mental ex	penditur
rity	Total		24		Capits	1 outlay		1	To	To
per and to proceeding the secretary that the contract of the c	-Philadeleanning and participation and participa	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Tota1	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
ECHGIA: ALHANY. ATLANIA AUGUSTA CCCLUMBUS MACON SAVANNAH.	41 795 24 275 80 42	41 795 24 275 E0 42	41 791 24 272 80 41	4 3 7	- - - 3	11111			- 1	-
AMAII:	2 868	2 868	2 854	14	14	_			-	
DAND: BUTSE CITY	93	93	92	1	1	_		_	-	•
LINDISI ARLINGTON HEIGHTS AUGURA DERHYN CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO CICERO	60 66 27 47 3 162 57	60 66 27 47 3 162	60 66 27 47 3 162 57				-	-	- - - - -	
DECATUR DES PLAINES EAST ST, LOUIS ELGIN FVANSTON.	50 77 108 52 79 82	50 77 108 52 79 82	50 71 106 50 79 82	1 6 2 2 2 1	6 2 2 2 -	11111	-	1	-	
OAK LAEN. OAK PARK. PEORIA. ROCKFOHD. ROCK ISLAND SPRINGFIELD RAUKEGAN.	42 55 160 108 196 157	42 55 160 108 18 96 157	42 55 160 102 18 96 151	6	6	11111	1 1 1 1			# # # # #
DIANAI ANDERSON. EVANSVILLE FORT WAYNE GARY. HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS BOUTH PEND. TERRE HAUTE	57 130 101 157 61 168 24 64 53	57 130 101 157 61 1 168 24 64 53	57 129 101 157 61 1 163 24 64 50				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 11111111	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
MAI CEDAR RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFFS. DAVENPORT DES HOINES. DUBUQUE BIOUX CITY. MATERLOO.	83 79 110 265 33 120	83 79 110 265 33 93 51	80 79 110 265 33 93	3	3	11111		27	1 1 1 1 1	27
ISAS: CANSAS CITY VERLAND PARK COPERA VICHITA	172 117 101 238	172 117 101 235	172 117 99 234	2	2 1	1 1 1		-	-	• - -
ITUCKYI GVINGTON EXINGTON OUISYILLE WENSBORO	71 101 510 39	71 101 510 510	70 100 503 39	1 1 7	1 7		-	-		

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Total   Direct   Direct   Direct   Direct   Direct   Direct   Docat   Direct   Docat   Direct   Docat   Direct   Docat   Direct   Docat   Direct   Docat   Direct				Đ	irect expe	nditure			Intergover	mental ex	onditure
Total   Courrent   Total   Equipment   Construction   Land   Courrent   Cou	City	Total				Capita	l outlay	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	To
BATON ROUSE   878   878   826   52			Total		Total			Land	Total	Rovotu-	local govern- ments
PONTLAND: 68 68 68	BATON ROUSE LAFAYETTE LAKE CHARLES MONROE NEW ORLEANS	49 47 63 729	49 47 63 729	48 47 63 725	1 - q	1 4	-	-	-		
ABYLANDRE		68	68	68	-	*	-	-	-	-	
BOSTON. 1 784 1 764 1 784		4 039	4 039	4 018	51	21	-	-	_		
LYNN. 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96	BOSTON. BROCKTON. CAMBRIDGE CHICOPEE. FALL RIVER. HOLYOKE	60 141 50 78 62	60 141 50 78 62	59 141 50 78 62	1	- - -				-	
QUINCY.       82       82       82       -	LYNN. MALDEN. MEDFORD. NEW BEDFORD	96 47 49 80	96 47 49 80	96 47 49 80	1		-	-	-		
ANN ARBOR	GUINCYSOMERVILLESPRINGFIELDWAGTHAM	82 68 251 75	62 68 251 75	82 68 249 70	1 2 5	- 2 5	-	1		-	
LINCOLN PARK	ANN ARBOR DEARBORN, DEARBORN HEIGHTS. DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS.	228 46 1 558 256 262	228 46 1 558 256 262	227 46 1 551 255 261	7	7 1 1	1111	-		-	
ST. CLAIR SHORES	LINCOLN PARK, LIVONIA . PONTIAC . ROSEVILLE	20 112 205 92 56	20 112 205 92 56	20 110 202 92 55	2 3	2 3		# #		-	
	ST. CLAIR SHORES STERLING HEIGHTS TAYLOR	56 48 29 164 64	56 48 29 164 64	56 48 29 163 64	- - 1	- 1	4				
INNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON	BLOOMINGTON	172 747 51	172 747 51	167 747 51	-	5	• •	-	-	-	

ee footnote at end of table

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			3	lrect exp	enditura		- V	Intergover	mental ex	penditure
Csty	Total		Direct		Capita)	l outlay			To State	To local
Manufakkinin principles adeko orak fakkan orak ada da manufaken da manufaken da kan da kan da kan da kan da ka	canada a sua desar de sua de despueda primario de pueda de la canada de la canada de la canada de la canada de	Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	LAnd	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
MISSIURI: GGLUMBIA., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	51 16 96 775 52 1 645 65	51 16 98 775 52 1 685 65	48 16 98 768 52 1 677 64	3 7 8 1	3 - 7 - 8 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Michitanat Dillings	53 20	53 20	53 20	-	 **	•	-	-	-	-
Genaskai Lingoln + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	283 510	263 510	269 494	14 16	14 16	-	*	-	-	** ***
+ Byabas Lag Vegas , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	440 161	440 161	415 157	25 4	25 4	- -	-	-	-	•
Ken hampshire: Manchester,	34 40	34 40	34 40	-	*	• •			-	-
NEW JERSPYI HAYONNE PLOOMFIELD, CAMDEN, CLIFTON FAST CHANGE ELIZAGETM INVINUTON	101 54 217 72 133 100 185	101 54 212 72 133 100 185	101 54 212 72 173 100 185	<b>第</b> 表 表 表 表		* * * * * * *	1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
JEUSEY CITY	241 421 45 121 120 120	241 431 45 121 138 34	241 430 45 121 138 34	1 ***	1					
VEHNOREBOTE * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	297	297	296	1	1	•	-	-	-	•
REW YORK; ALRANY, DINGHAMTON, HUFFALD MGUNT VERNON, HEW HOTHCLE, NEW YORK CITY NIAGARA FALLS	28 270 021 021 021 021 021 021	28 230 667 149 170 173 173	133 68 813 124 149 28 330	3 3 8 6	8 6	* * * * *				
ROCHESTER	360 17 95 233 107 81 267 330	360 17 95 237 107 247 257	353 17 95 229 103 61 267 330	7 - 4	7 - 4 1	TITMITE	* * * * * *			
NORTH CARCLINAT ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE CUGHAN GUGHAN GUGHAN GRENSDORO HION POINT RALEIGH WINSTON-SALEN	178 178 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174	15 178 115 54 97 74 100	155 115 115 57 79 101	114114		**	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #			

the fewtoute at and of table.

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		<del>.</del> مي <del>ه دني ميدراستي.</del>	D	rect expe	nditure			Intergover	mental ex	endituro
	Total	T			Capita	l outlay			To	To
City	10581	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Lond	Total	State govorn- ments	local govern- ments
NORTH DAKOTA:	32	32	32			-	-	-	-	•
OHIO:  AKRON CANTON. CINGINNATI CLEVELAND CLEVELAND HEIGHTS COLUMBUS. DAYTON ELYRIA EUCLID HANILTON.	218 150 850 704 68 906 382 50 86 21	218 150 850 704 68 906 382 50 86 21	213 148 9504 8504 8982 8982 855 851	50 10 11 1	52	-		947 946 946 947 948 948 948 948 948 948 948 948 948 948		11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
KETTERING LAKEWOOD. LIMA. LORAIN. MANSFIELD PARMA SPHINGFIELD TOLEDO. WAEREN. YOUNGSTOWN.	123 63 58 79 52 128 106 262 71 256	123 63 58 79 52 128 106 262 71 256	122 63 57 79 52 128 104 262 70 256	1 - 2	1			·	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	
OKLAHOMA: LAMTON NORMAN OKLAHOMA CITY TULSA	61 58 294 305	61 58 294 305	58 58 288 288	3 6 17		3 -			1	1
OREGON: EUGENE PORTLAND SALEM	119 321 106	119 321 106	119 317 105	4	1	-		-		
PENNSYLVANIA; ALLENTOWN	61 20 43 72 95 45	61 20 43 72 95 45 18	61 20 43 72 95 45 18					-	-	
PHILADELPHIA	8 151 496 74 59 28 27	8 151 496 74 59 28 27	8 110 482 74 59 28 27	14	1					
RHODE ISLAND: CRANSTON PANTUCKET PROVIDENCE WARWICK	40 56 116 47	56 116	55 113	1		1 2		-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON COLUMBIA GREENVILLE	27 72 71	72	72	, ,		3	• - }	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS	. 39	35	35			-		-	•	-
TENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA	199 177 302 436	17:	300	;	9   2   4	2 -		•	-	-

See footnote at end of table

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			ı	irect expe	nditure			Intergovern	mental exp	enditure
( <b>\$</b> \$\$	fotal		Direct		Capita	l outlay			T. State	To local
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
AUTOFOR AUTOFOR AMARICO AMARIC	SACRETAN CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	\$6 119 131 239 67 22 216 146	52 115 128 237 97 22 212 217 975	11 2	44 33 22 7 11 11 2		11) [141]		1111111	
CALVESTON  CANLAND  CRAND PPAIRIE  POUSTON  INVINU  LANEOD  LUNUGCK  MESQUITE  ***********************************	2553 103000000000000000000000000000000000	239 533 103 596 76 422 126	2595015 675265 123	7 27 15 1 - 1	7 2 7 15 1	111111		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1111111111	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
MIGLAND GRESOR PANADENA PANADENA PANADENA PORT ANTERO OAN ANGELO OAN ANGELO TYLES WASSA MICHAELO MICHA	5.4900 A 5.10 5.4900 A 5.10 7.90 4.27.90	51 96 90 18 475 56 716	49 45 93 87 16 465 50 64	RIENWYON:	2 - 5 > 2 7 6 3 -		111111111		331131111	
obcen	62 37 200	62 37 209	62 37 209	*	*	1 1 1		•	- - -	•
VIRGINIAI ALEXANDRIA. CHEDAPFANE. CHEDAPFANE. LYNGHOURG MEXPORT HEAS. PORTEMOUTH RICHDONG ROADONE VIRGINIA DEACH.	11947 120000 14207 1447 1677 1457 1677	J11 129 161 207 160 422 170 604 177 267	311 129 156 258 162 170 170 5176	20 87	20		87	43	1.123.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
*ASHIMOTORE BELLEVUE: * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	107 792 2011-10	103 795 201 305	102 99 788 195 304	1 7 5	1 7 6 1		** ** **	- - -	-	# **
Medi vindinia: Charleten Medington	96 54	98 54	96 53	ī	_ _	*	*	<b>.</b>		
HISSONATINE APPLETON. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	TA POOLOGICANO	73 55 57 40 260 1 195 115 144			1 12 1	-	*********	1 1 2 2 3 4 3 4 3 4 3		

<sup>-</sup> Estimators said of Francis to Maro.

Section VI. INDIGENT DEFENSE

Table 36. Indigent defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

-3	and the second s	Control of Water Control of the Cont	(Thousands	of dollars	}			
75111		SEASON A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY	Dir	ect expenditu	re	Intorgov	ofuncatal expe	aditure
22	teste and type of engine attest	Tueds	total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
	United Otates, Total , , states , total , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	152 (964) 56 (555) 101 845 79 296 22 507	152 964 51 683 101 281 78 951 22 329	152 163 51 166 100 997 78 695 22 302	801 517 284 257 27	6 894 6 372 522 345 177	164 (X) 164 148 16	6 730 6 372 356 190 161
*	Otate.  Otate.  LOCAL: Total.  COUNTIES.  HUMECIPALITIES.	1 161 1 067 102 70 41	107 977 777	1 161 1 067 94 67 27	1 1 1	17 17 3 14	8 (X) 8 3 4	10 10 10
á	CONCLUDALITIES	1 026	1 026	1 U24 998 26 26	4 1 1 W	2 2 3 3	(X) 2 7	-
A	RIZCHA.  BEATE,  ESCAE, TOTAL  COUNTIES  HUNICIPALITIES	2 337 2 337 2 132 206	2 337 2 337 2 131 206	2 316 2 316 2 110 206	20 20 20	2 22	(x)	2 2 2
À	Aransas State	301 27 274 270 4	301 27 274 270	299 25 274 270 4	11100		(x)	
ξ	ALTECHNIA STATE LOCAL TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	33 090 775 33 094 31 983 1 111	33 090 33 090 31 979 1 111	33 013 33 013 31 904 1 109	77 77 75 2	780 775 5 5	5 (X) 5 5	775 775
€:	CLOBARO	2 650 2 148 502 502	2 650 2 148 502 502	2 623 2 122 501 501	27 26 1		(X)	
	CONFICTION	1 621 1 885 36 36	1 621 1 565 36 36	1 621 1 585 36 36		# # #	(x)	
£.	STARTS	430 430	430 430	425 425 -	515 7 1 1	## ## ##	(x)	-
	intriet of occumbla ofate, total	7 505 7 505 7 505	1 902 1 902 1 902	1 902 1 902 1 902	=		(x)	-
£	COMPTER COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	5 605 7 501 1 103 902 826	5 508 7 501 1 107 599 209	8 305 7 217 7 088 888 888	304 20 11 304	21 21 4 18	(n) 1	20 20 3 18
€.	COUNTIES	1 964 924 1 120 1 067 58	1 964 644 1 120 1 062 58	1 953 899 1 109 1 054 55	6	80 80 -	(x)	80 80 - -

the finishing at the of table.

Table 36. Indigent defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		Direc	at expendituro		Intergo	ernmental exp	enditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	750 750	- 750 750	750 750 -		111.1	(X)	# # #
IDAHO	598 598 598	598 - 596 598	598 598 598	•		(X)	
ILLINOIS	5 695 1 373 4 322 4 322 5	5 695 1 373 4 322 4 317 5	5 666 1 359 4 307 4 302 5	29 14 15 15	5 5 5 5	ik)	5 1 5 5 5 1
INDIANA	2 270 205 2 065 1 099 966		2 262 205 2 057 1 091 966	8 8		,	-
STATE	1 184 1 184 1 237 20	1 184 1 184	1 183 1 183 1 183	1 1 1 1 1	73 73 53 20	(X)	73
KANSAS	1 294 1 049 245 233	1 049 245 231	1 286 1 047 239 225 14	8 2 6 6	1	(X)	
KENTUCKY	960 1 512 490 487	472 488 482	911 429 482 482	49 43 6	1 040		1 04
LOUISIANA	1 115 609 500	1 115	1 114 1 114 608 506	1	• ]	(X	
MAINE	37/ 14! 23: 23:	145	378 145 233 233			(X	5
HARYLAND	4 97 4 93 3	6 4 936	4 948 4 912 36 36		-	-	<u>.</u>
MASSACHUSETTS	2 61 2 06 55 54	2 061 4 554	2 017 554 547			2 2 X	=
MICHIGAN	8 10 5 01 7 56 5 76 1 80	533 7 568 5 765	533 7 563 5 760		5 4 45 5 5 5 5	17 (X	1 4 47 3 4 47

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Indigent defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

•	AND THE PERSON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	102 yr. ( )	Die	est expendits	ro	Intergor	ermmental exp	endi turo
	dista and type of guraficant	Total <sup>‡</sup>	7otal	Minot current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
	Minnesota	1 457 210 2 217 2 309	1 457 217 1 247 1 192 55	1 454 265 1 245 1 190 55	D+100	115 115 115	(x)	115 115 115
	HISCISCIPEL	599 599 562 37	599 599 562 37	598 598 561 37	S the best is bee		(x)	-
	#IDACUPI # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	1 741 1 645 96 515 45	1 791 1 645 96 51 45	1 740 1 645 95 49 45	1 1 1	44 44 47	(x)	-
	GUNTARA CONTRACTOR CON	278 278 269 9	278 278 269 9	278 278 269 9	# # # # # #	## ## ## ##	(x)	- - -
	GUNAGRA STATE LOCAL TOTAL COUNTED HUNTCIPALITIFS	689 689 689	689 689	688 688	destruction of the second of t	# *	(X)	-
; d *	STATE TOTAL LUCAL TOTAL GOUNTES HUNICIPALITIES	899 1925 795 784 10	899 142 757 757	861 139 742 742	10755	37 37 27 10	37 (X) 37 27 10	
. 1	MEN HAMPOHIRE	2120422	213	213	Abdelmanne de mande de la decembra del la decembra de la decembra		(x)	=
44 (A)	STATE LOCAL COUNTED	8 992 8 020 278 191	8 992 9 820 1725 85	\$ 962 8 790 172 85 87	30	106 106 106	106 (X) 106 106	***
	OFATE.  COUNTIES  MUNICIPALITIES	1 316 1 300 18 18 18	1 315	1 296 1 276 18	S. S		(X)	1 1 1
1	SERTE TOTAL	120 05 260 21 260 21 260 21	20 021 2 939 17 083 6 690 10 383	20 012 2 939 17 071 17 076 6 60 181 01	0 1 0 0 4	The state of the s	/ (x)	
1	MUNICIPALITIES	4 766	786-1786	4 786 4 786 	AN THE STATE OF STATE	A TO ME CANADA MARIE CANADA MAR	(X)	**************************************
	MUNICIPAL TRIBE	A MARTINETER CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO	161 161 161 161	161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161	And appropriate Control of the Contr	\$	(X)	**************************************

her protected at the of toble.

Table 36. Indigent defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		Dire	ect expenditu	re	Intergo	omacatal exp	enditure
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital cutlay	Total	To State governments	To local government:
OHIO	3 245 55 3 190 2 870 325	3 245 55 3 190 2 870 320	3 225 55 3 170 2 854 316	20 20 15	5 5 5	(X)	
OKLAHOMA	651 651 618 33	651 651 618 33	650 650 617 33	1 1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(X)	
OREGON	1 826 155 1 674 1 634 41	1 826 155 1 671 1 632 39	1 822 155 1 667 1 628 39	4 4 4	5 5 2 2	2 (X) 2 2	
PENNSYLVANIA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUMICIPALITIES	6 852 6 852 3 337 3 515	6 852 6 852 3 337 3 515	6 843 6 843 3 328 3 515	9 9 9	-	;x <u>ī</u>	
RHODE ISLAND	399 399	399 399 -	399 399 -	-	=	αij	
SOUTH CAROLINA	697 401 296 291 5	697 401 296 291 5	697 401 296 291 5	-	**	(x)	
SOUTH DAKOTA	292 292 297	292 292 292	283 283 282	10 10 10	5 5 5	(x)	
TENNESSEE	1 136 638 498 344 153	1 136 638 498 344 153	1 134 638 496 344 151	2 2 2	Mile Self Self Self	(X)	
TEXASSTATELOCAL, TOTALLOCAL, TOTALLOCAL, TOTALLOUNTIES	2 999 2 999 3 002 15	2 999 2 999 2 999	2 999 2 999 2 999	-	18 18 3 15	(x <u>ī</u>	1
STATE.  LUCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES  MUNICIPALITIES	378 378 377 10	378 378 377 1	366 365 1	12 12 12	9 9	(x5	
VERMONT. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	536 536	536 536 - -	524 524	12 12		(X)	
VIRGINIA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	3 191 2 924 267 122 145	3 191 2 924 267 122 145	3 191 2 924 267 122 145		100 100 100 100 100	(x)	

See features at and of table

#### Table 36. Indigent defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Alexander of the section of the sect	-			Auto Manage and Malaysian and a second			
		Disc	st axpenditu	'ė	Intergor	oremental exp	endituro
お書意義 本記金 世界経典 もず 取りを自然が改進。	TOTAL TOTAL	Total	lirect current	Capital catley	Total	To State governments	To local governments
NATHUMEN	2 1869 1 1890 1		2 159 129 2 030 1 950 80	27 27 27	83 83 3 80	(x)	83  83 3 80
MEST VIRGINIA	: <b>19:</b> 0-3	72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 7	72 72 72 72			(x)	
MINGCOMMA.  OTATE  LOCAL TOTAL  COUNTIES  MUNICIPALITIES	2 177 269 1 909 1 899	2 177 9 16 1 1 1 2 6 9 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 174 265 1 968 1 898	3	1	(X) 1 1	
Aventage State Local Total Country Municipalities	152 152 150 2	152 152 150 2	152 152 150 2	# ***	-	(X)	-

Ampresenta tero or rounds to raro.

#### INDIGENT DEFENSE

Table 37. Indigent defense expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

		<del></del>	( mousa	lrect exp			·,···	Intergovern	mental ax	ondituro
County	Total	130/22	Direct		Capital	outlay			To	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- monts
TOTAL	64 989	64 909	64 726	183	182	1	-	80	5	75
ALABAMA: CALHOUN	-	216 Lee	-	-	2				-	-
MADISON	- - 3	-	1	1	-					# #
ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE		-		*	_	3	-		3	
ARIZONA:	1 208 621	1 208	1 205 604	3 17	3 17	•		CONTRACTOR AND		**
ARKANSASI PULASKI	109	109	109			-	-	-		# ***
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA BUTTE CONTRA COSTA FRESNO KERN LOS ANGELES	2 556 166 936 486 457	2 556 166 936 486 457	2 544 166 927 485 457	12 - 9 1	1	-	-	¥ -	10 10 10 10	1 1
MARIN MERCED.	11 712 407 83	11 712 407 83	11 694 407 83	18	16	111	-		-	-
MONTEREY. ORANGE. RIVERSIDE SACRAMENTO. SAN BERNARDINO. SAN DIEGO SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO.	429 1 766 789 1 149 868 3 192 765 119	1 766 789 1 149 868 3 192 765 119	1 762 789 1 148 865 3 192 753 119	2 4 1 3 12	1 3			1	-	
SAN MATEO	851 453 1 435 196 354 307 211 728 634	851 455 1 435 196 354 307 211 328 634	951 451 1 433 196 351 306 211 327 634	3 1 1	22 31	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	flus Spring Spri	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
COLORADO: ADAMS ARAPAHOE, BOULDER EL PASO JEFFERSON PUEBLO		# # # #	-	- - - - -			-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	64 	## ## ## ##
DELAMARE: NEW CASTLE ,	_	*	-	-	-		-			
FLORIDA: ALACHUA BREYARD BROWARD OADE ESCAMBIA HILLSBOROUGH LEE LEGN ORANGE PALM BEACH PINELLAS	16 27 11 239 63 57 18 6 12 50	16 27 11 239 63 57 18 6 12 50	16 27 11 239 657 17 6 12 48	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1 - 1 - 1 - 27	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			111111111	

Represents sero of rounds to rope.

8 Not applicable.

\*Size and another the thirty distres augmany, the expenditure figures shown on the "local, total" line and the combined blace host time is a data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to study the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for the Bisserings is calculated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 37. Indigent defense expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

The state of the s	<u> </u>		(Thousa	nds of d	ollars)	·		<del></del>		
		- Charles Santonia and America	<u> </u>	lrect exp			<del> </del>	Intergover	nmental ex	penditure
Country (	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Capital Equip-	Construc-	Land	Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern-
FLORIDACONTINUED POLK. CAMAGOTA,	16 3 39	16 3 39	16 3 39	 -	-		***	•		ments
CEUPGIA: DIUB. CHATHAM. COBB. DE KALB. FULTON. RICHMCND.	45 66 92 111 312	45 66 92 111 312	45 66 90 109 312	1 5 10 10 1 4	2 2 2	    			-	
TUANO:	79	79	79	-	<b>*</b>	÷	4-	a i e , e	_	
ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN COOK. SU PAGE KANE. LAME. LAME. MENENRY MELEAN.	77 2 255 245 51 87 34 47 50	77 2 255 245 51 67 54 47	77 2 244 244 51 87 34 47 50	11 1	11	111111	111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	191
MACON MADISON MADISON MEDISON	62 89 67 27 114 44 26 118	62 89 67 27 114 26 98 118	62 88 67 27 114 44 26 118		-	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.				
INDIANAS ALLEN OBERHARE ELMOART LANE LA PONTE	150 40 31 51	150 46 31 51 21	150 46 31 50 21	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	748 976 488 (889		-	
AADISON. ST. JUSEPH. TIPPECANOE. VANBEHRURGH.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	79 19 25 40	40 25 19 52 72		** ** **		-	-	-	eri eri eri eri eri eri eri
IONAL BLACK HAWK	111 114 194 80	111 78 194 65 80	111 78 194 65 80	# ** ** **	7 7 7	**	**	36 17		36 17
Porona Morningo Morni	19	14	14	- - -		-	-		-	
PENTON * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	83 4*	83 75	83 45	-	-	Kerdenjanjan		**************************************	=	
COURTANAS CAPOD	50 50 70 70 70	nor pass	5077985	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		1117111		

See footnote at and of table.

Table 37. Indigent defense expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			D	irect exp	enditure			Intergovern	mental exp	onditure
County	Total		Direct		Capital	outlny			To State	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- monts	govern- ments
MAINE: CUMBERLAND PENOBSCOT YORK	51 50 10	51 50 10	51 50 10	-	-				-	
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL. BALTIMORE HARFORD MONTGOMERY, PRINCE GEORGES. WASHINGTON.	32 3 1	32 3 1	32 3	1 1 1 1 1 1	•	# · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		**	-	
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE BRISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE MICOLESEX NORFOLK PLYMOUTH, WORCESTER	97 152 8 272	97 152 8 272	97 152 8 272	111111	311341411	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
MICHIGANS BAY BERRIEN CALHOUN GENESEE INGHAM JACKSON KALAMAZOO KENT	94 143 94 257 205 124 165	94 143 94 257 205 124 165 161	92 143 94 257 205 124 165 161	1111110	21111	7 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	•
MACOMB. MONROE. MUSKEGON. OAKLAND OITAWA. SAGINAW ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW WAYNE	232 94 105 45 198 87 234 2 621	232 105 105 198 198 234 2 621	232 93 105 45 198 87 231 2 621	1111111151	11111111111			1	1	
MINNESOTA: ANOKA	43 22 391 84 93	43 391 84 93	43 389 84 93	1 1 20 1 1	2 2 1			22		2.
MISSISSIPPI: HARRISON	31 75	31 75	31 75	f 1		•		1	=	
MISSOURI: CLAY GREENE JACKSON JEFFERSON ST, LOUIS	4 2 - 31	4 2 - 31	4 2 - 30				# # #		**	
NEBRASKA; DOUGLAS,, LANCASTER,	340 83	340 83	340 83	•	-	6-p	<b>.</b>		-	
NEVADA: CLARK WASHOE	421 255	421 255	409 253	12 2	12		-			•
LANCASTER	83 421	83 421	83 409		12	•	•		-	

se fortness at and of table

# Table 37. Indigent defense expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

			(Thousa	gas of a Arect exp		***************************************	·	Intergovern	nontal ex	enditure
f county	i Total	and the spirit of the spirit spirits of the spirits	rin palat in the Palate in the State in the			outlny	-		To	To
and the second s		fotal	Current	Total	Kauip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
nem Jeaseyi Ati Atite Ati Atite Hupi Isototi Camiet Gupdeniand Gudent Georgesten Hudson		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	53 		1111111111	41116613			1711111	
Mercer,	1 2 1 1 1 3 1	253	25 3 7 4		an an an an an an an an an an an an an a	111111			11111	
ven mexico: Dernalillo, , , , , ,	*	<b></b>	34		4-	-	4	-		
TER YCHRI ALBANY, *** PHICOME, *** CHAUTAUGUA, *** CHERUNG PUTCHESO, *** FRIE. HONRUE, *** HONRUE, *** HASSAU, ***	155 106 114 43 163 163 163 170 170 170 170 170	195 106 114 43 163 693 1 202 117	153 106 113 42 162 693 443 1 202 1123	1 2 2	2 - 1 1 1 2	*******				
Onchdaga.  Orange.  Orange.  Renoselaer.  Renoselaer.  Renoselaer.  Renoselaer.  Renoselaer.  Renoselaer.  Renoselaer.  Renoselaer.  Superclaer.  Superclaer.  Renterester.  Renterester.	#15 180 176 722 28 28 26 40 1 043 49	415 180 19 76 222 38 35 40 1 043 695	415 180 200 278 35 403 1 049 695		1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0		111111111111111111111111111111111111111		
Corth Carolinae  Nuccomb. * * * * * *  Const naand. * * * * *  Const naand. * * * * * *  Const na * * * * * * *  Const na * * * * * * *  Const na * * * * * * *  Const na * * * * * * *  Mechabithe * * * * *  Whate * * * * * * * *  Ware * * * * * * * *		ann ang sak sak sak sak sak sak sak sak sak sak	1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940	autojoodisen e	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
CHIOS  ALLEN * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	251.056 251.056 158 158	15 22 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	15	Autorition special processing to the control of the	- (400 - (400) - (400)	-				
Ciberte a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		117	26 706 27 17 15	To the second se	144 144 144 144 144 144			] . [	-	

#### INDIGENT DEFENSE

Table 37. Indigent defense expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		·	Đ	lrect exp	enditure			Intergovern	mental exp	onditure
County	Total	Total	Direct		Capital	outlay		71	To State	To Local
		TOCAL	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
OHIOCONTINUED										
MONTGOMERY.	80 60	80 60	80 60	_	-	-		-	-	
RICHLAND.	36 101	36 101	36 101	_		-	-	-	-	
SUMMIT	-	-	-	-	-	-	· <u>-</u>	-	-	
TRUMBULL	61	61	61	•	-		-	-	-	
KLAHOMA: COMANCHE	66	66	66		_	•	_		,	
OKLAHOMA	146 199	146 199	145	1	1	-	-	-		
	179	177	199	_	-	-	_	1	~	
REGON: CLACKAMAS	72	72	72	_	_			_		
LANE.	155	155 91	155	•	-		-	-	-	
HULTNOMAH	753	753	91 753	_	_	-	1	-		
WASHINGTON	100	100	100	-	-	-		-	<b>-</b>	
PENNSYLVANIA: ALLEGHENY	656	656	654	9	2					
BEAVER	81	81	81	2	₩.	-	-	] -	] _	
BERKS	58 36	58 36	57 36	1	1		-	-	-	
BUCKS	184 30	184 30	183	1	1	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIA	49	49	30 48	1	ĩ	-	-	~	-	
CHESTER	130	130 28	130	-	~	-	~	-	-	
	95	95	95	_	<b>.</b>			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
DAUPHIN DELAWARE,	332	332	332	.=.	-	-	** **		-	
ERIE	114	114 37	114 37	•	¥.1	_		] :	-	and the second
FRANKLIN.	35	35 64	35 64		_	-	-	-		
LANCASTER	62	62	62	=	-	-	-	<b>j</b> -	]	
LAWRENCE.	30 62	30 62	30 62	-		-	_	-		
LUZERNE	83	83	83		-	_ 1		_		
LYCOMING	30 48	30 48	30	-	-	-	-	-		
HONTGOMERY	266	266	48 266	-	-	_ [	-		-	
NORTHAMPTON	85	85 46	85 46		. =			]	-	
WASHINGTON. WESTMORELAND.	49	49 66	49 66	-	-			-		
YORK,	56 36	36	36	-	-	-	-	_	~	
OUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	56	- 25	25	_	_	t <sub>en</sub>	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	33	25 33 73 43	33	-	-	· • [	-	"	-	erie i
RICHLAND. SPARTANBURG	25 33 73 43	43	25 33 73 43	-		-	-		ī	
ENNESSEE 1										
HAMILTON	-	-	-	<b>:-</b>		-	-		-	
KNOX. SHELBY	286	286	286			-			-	
SULLIVAN	1	. 1	ı	***	-	-	-	-	-	
EXAS: BELL	21	21	21	947	<b>3</b> -2	_	_			
BEXAR	285	285	285	,	•	-	-	,	آء ا	
BRAZORIA.	14 33	14 33	14 33		**				-	
DALLAS, , , , , , ,	543	33 543	33 543	1	<b>.</b>	-	11rr 20rr 10rr	•	-	
GALVESTON	35	35	35	Į	_	<u> </u>	**		]	

Table 37. Indigent defense expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

all the sector moves been planted attended to the section of the sector of the section of the se			p	lrest exp	onditure			Intergovers	mental exp	enditure
Countr	Total	**************************************	Direct		Capitai	outlay			To State	To 1ccn1
		Total	current	Total	Equip- cont	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
Texaumechtimied  Haprieum  Hisaldo	ON THE SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE SECTION ADDRESS OF THE SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE SECTION ADDRESS OF THE SECTIO	769 34 48 18 22 67 150 118 21	769 34 48 18 22 67 150 118 21							
STAN	90 54	60 60	24 60	64: ****	-	-	-	-	=	
Vinginia: Anlington	ACCIONATION CONTRACTOR	73	73	-			-	-		
WADHINGTON:  CLARK		56 841 152 152 155 165	55 814 155 155 155 18	25 - - - -	-			-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
MEST VIRGINIAL CAPELL	6	6		-	4		•	-	-	
HISCONSINE  PROME A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	12758 12758 16770 16770 16770 1770 18700 18700 18700 18700 18700 18700 18700 18700 18700 18700 18700 1	47 124 75 758 182 1874 70	47 124 758 162 174 70		\$40.00 Market   100.00 Market	-			-	

INDIGENT DEFENSE

Table 38. Indigent defense expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

		T		irect exp			····	Intergovern	mental ex	enditure
City	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlny	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del> </del>	70	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern-' ments	local govern- ments
TOTAL	21 283	21 173	21 151	55	55		.,	110		110
ALABAMA: BIRMINGHAM. GADSDEN HUNTSVILLE. MORILE. MONTGOMERY.	15	=	15	1111	  	-	-		-	•
TUSCALOOSA	6 177 -	177	177	1 1111	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	-	44 44 50 54	9	•	0
ARKANSAS: FORT SMITH. LITTLE ROCK NORTH LITTLE ROCK PINE BLUFF.		1	-	111	1 1	-	- -	-	*** *** ***	1
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA ALHAMBRA ANAHEIM BAKERSFIELD BELLFLOWER BERKELEY BUENA PARK BURBANK CARSON CHULA VISTA			111111	1141111111	111481114	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
COMPTON	-		11666	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$44 \$47 \$49 \$73 \$73 \$41 \$41 \$41
FRESNO. FULLERTON GARDEN GROVE. GLENDALE. HAWTHORNE HAYWARD. HUNTINGTON BEACH. INGLEWOOD. LAKEWOOD.					13185611	1111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		111111111
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES MODESTO MOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK OAKLAND ONTARIO ORANGE OXNARD			and the state of t	1411111111		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	***	2		
PALO ALTO			-			=	## ## ## ## ## ##	747 340 340 341 341 341		

Table 38. Indigent defense expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

And the second s		ordinal Augustus and	()	trect expe	editure	ngiyaan yaasan ishidir a ya sacasa		Intergover	mental ex	pend1tur
*117	Your		Direct		Copita	outlay			To State	To
		738 <b>\$</b> 1	carrent	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
ALIBERTOSAMMECHTINIED TACHANEMOTO, *** TALISMAT TALISMAT TON MEMOREDINA. ** TON MEMOREDINA. ** TON MEMOREDINA. ** TON METOR	102	182 912	162	- * * * * 2 *	** ** 2	***	-		1 1 4 4 2 4	
SANTA COLONIAL A A A SANTA COLONIAL A A A SANTA COLONIAL A A A A A A SANTA COLONIAL A A A A A A SANTA COLONIAL A A A A A SANTA COLONIAL A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	***	900 - 900 400 400 500	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	 	-	-			area para de la companya de la comp
Danta Honica,		*	1	94 44 57 24 44		1 1 1	-			
Simply ale	**	*** *** ***	**	## ## ## ## ##	**				-	
CLCHARGE AURCHA, A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	444 10	444 10	443 10	1	-	-			-	
Consections:  Oringfold.  Phibatel.  Pantoning.  Hamitacolo.  Heriter.  Milhord.  Milhord.	*** **********************************	92- 955 947 946 948 948		94; 146 146 146 146						
特別 的 1 年 1 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	33	P. I. S. I. S.	* * * *		-				
() 最后在种类的基本 种类化种类和数学的 Ext ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 本 ( ) 和( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( ) 和 ( )	*	*	*	-					-	
LAFONDAGE BY FULLIFEE * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 405	1 405	1 903	-		***	- Andread Andr	•	-	
FLORISCH  ELFARNATER  FORT LAUGEHARE  ORINGSPECE  HILLENGOOD  GACHEROOD  A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	A Chromotopopopopopopopopopopopopopopopopopop	10 17 ***	17		The state of the s		Committee of the Commit			
Mindre Palle ingages	ACCOMPACTOR STATE OF THE ACCOMPACTOR STATE OF	13	13	*			E-Phantal (C) (Applement) (S) (M	To an analysis of the second s	ent operation and the control of the	American Management Control (Control of Control of Cont

wider to the te stratult dur

Table 38. Indigent defense expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			I	irect exp	mdituro	11		Intergovern	montal ex	penditure
City	Total		Direct		Capita	1 outlay			To	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- nonts	local govorn- ments
GEORGIA: ALBANY.										•
ATLANTA		-	_	-		-	~	-		:
AUGUSTA	-	·	_	-	-	-		_	_	-
COLUMBUS	55	55	52	3	3	-	-	-	-	•
MACON		<del>-</del>	-	_	-	_	-		_	-
HANAII:										
HONOLULU	-	-		-	-	_	-	-	1 -	-
IDAHO:									i i	]
BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA, BERWYN,	_	-	-	-		_	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	]		_	-	_	-	_	]	-	
CHICAGO	-	-	-	-		-	_	_	-	_
CICERO	-	. =	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
DECATUR		-	_				_	] _		] _
DES PLAINES	_			-	_	-	_	]	1 -	
EAST ST, LOUIS	-			-	-		_	_		
ELGIN	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-{		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET.	-	-	_	-	· · · · · ·	-		_	· •	-
OAK LAWN	_	_	_	_	_		٠	_	_	
OAK PARK.	-	-	-	[ -]	-	-	_	-	-	-
PEORIA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD. ROCK ISLAND	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE.		-		_	_	[]	_		-	
SPRINGFIELD	_	-	-		-		-		_	_
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-
INDIANA										
ANDERSON	5	. 5	5	_			_	_		-
ANDERSON	-	-	-		-	-	•	-		-
FORT WAYNE	-		-		•	-	-	-	-	-
GARY.	7		-	-		_	**	-	-	- *
HAMMOND INDIANAPOLIS	882	882	882	_	-	]	*	_	-	
MUNCIE.	'	-		-		-		_	-	**
SOUTH BEND.	-		-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	•
TERRE HAUTE		•	-	-	•	-	•	,	-	) Age
1AWO1										
CEDAH RAPIDS	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS.	-	-	-	-		-	. 1	-	-	-
DAVENPORT DES MOINES.	20	,,, ,,,	-	_		-	1	20	•	20
DUBUQUE		<u> </u>	-	_	_	1	-	20		20
SIOUX CITY	-	-	-		: <u>-</u>	-	-		-	-
WATERLOO,	-		-	-		-	₩.	-	-	-
KANSAS1										
MANSAS CITY	-		-	-		_	-	-	#	
OVERLAND PARK	-		-	-		-	-	-		-
TOPEKA	-	•	-	•		-	-	-	**	نه د
WICHITA , , , , , , ,	-		-	-	. =	1 ~	-	-	-	-
KENTRICKYI										
COVINGTON	-	5	-	<u>-</u>	344	•	-	-	-	•
LEXINGTON	5	5	<u> </u>	5	5		-	-	**	-
LOUISVILLE	-1			-					~	

See footnote at end of table.

Table 38. Indigent defense expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

der de contra la confederación de la completación de constitución por en el la calculación como esta esta esta Contra la contra la calculación de la contra esta e	T T		(Inousar	irect exp				Intergovern	mental ex	pendi turo
GEY	Total	-Aries (Acidente construction (1974)				l outlay	سديند وسود پا <del>ندندوس</del>		то	To
eksyttämiökka laiatti johantiikkasi 1971, mistaksia avolt joksi kiha täävistin yartuuksa kokkasioseksa kääsi		Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Lond	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
Gutolanas Baton Pouce Lafavette Lake Gharles Honroe En Orleans	724 3 - 763	278 3 - 263	228 3 - 263	, php. ; , php. ; , php. ; , php. ; , php. ;	; a	194) 196 196 197 197 197	111111	1 1 1 1 1	3 2 3 3 3 3 3	
ather portaid.						-		-		
ARYLAND: HALTIMORF		-		•		_	_		<b>5</b>	_
ASSACHUSETTS: DOSTON. PROCKTON. CAMBRIOGE CHICOPEE. HOLYOKO LAMHENCE.		est est est est est est est est est est	00 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 0	## 	944 	-	## ## ## ##	11111	1 1 1 1 1	
LORELL	-	956 966 948 948 949 949	111	## ## ## ##	i# # # # #	1111	1 1 1 1	1.1	***	
PITTSFIELD,		966 976 1006 1006 1006 1006 1006		790. 1901. 1902. 1903. 1903.	94 144 44 64 94	,440 400 400 400 400 400	1 1 1 1		F 1 6 6	
ichigali All Ahbor Beardord Dearbord Heights, Detroit Feint Grand Rapios, Kalamayoo	1 497 2 9	1 4579	1 457 9	   	160 100, 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1		84) 146 241 241 251 251 261	***	1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +	
LAMSING LINEOEN PARK. LIVENIA	67-14	62 1 6 18 7	67. 16. 18. 7.		94 94 94 94 94 94		4 m		1 1 2 4 1 1	, ,
Southering, St. Clair Sucres. Sterling heights. Taylou, Marren. Marren. Marren. Marren.		4 - 12 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 1	10 10 11 12 14	unity Mari Andre Andre Andre	10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	* * * * *	1 1 1		7 7 7 7 1	
Infesotae Plognington Dulyth, Higherol 15 Huchester 87, Paul,	The second secon	10.1.150	9	***	- 1945 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946	-	**************************************		3 F	
tosteatobi:		**	*	_	***	**				

fee fautate at eat of table.

Table 38. Indigent defense expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		·						(Thous	ands of	dollars;	)					
									Direct e	xpendi tu	ro	<del></del>		Intergover	nmental es	penditure
C	Lty			To	tal			Direct		Cap	Lţa	l outlay	···		To	70
***************************************	<del></del>		·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tota	1	current	Total	Equi men		Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
MISSOURI: COLUMBIA. FLORISSANT INDEPENDEN KANSAS CIT ST. JOSEPH ST. LOUIS SPRINGFIELI	ČE. Y				30		30	30 12			11111	1 1 1 1 1	-		11111	See Ven Ten Ten Ten
MONTANA: BILLINGS, GREAT FALLS					6		6	6		3 .	- 1	-	-		* *	
NEBRASKA I LINCOLN OMAHA NEVADA I LAS VEGAS RENO					-			- - -			1 11			-		## *** ***
NEW HAMPSHIRE HANCHESTER. NASHUA					-		-		-		-	-	-	194	-	
NEW JERSEY: BAYONNE BLOOMFIELD. CAMDEN. CLIFTON EAST ORANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* 4 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *		111111		11111		7 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1111	1-10 1-10 1-10 1-10 1-10 1-10 1-10 1-10	1111	-	J 5 6 1 1 1	
JERSEY CITY NEWARK. PASSAIC . PATERSON . W TRENTON . W UNION CITY.	* *	• •					3	*** *** ***	1 1 1 1 1			-	1 1 1 1	***	7 7 1 1 1	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
NEW HEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE	* *	•			~			••		-		-			_	•
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BINGHAMTON. BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON NEW ROCHELLE NEW YORK CIT NIAGARA FALL	v ·	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	10	55	55 10 107		55 10 107	##* ## ## ##			1111	11111	de fer fer der ser	-	MAR Mag. Mag. Mag. Mag.
ROME. SCHENECTADY SYRACUSE. TROY. UTICA. WHITE PLAINS YONKERS.					11111111					# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Company of the Compan		111111		11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	And Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin
GREENSBORD.					1111111	946 949 946 946 947 949 949			20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 -					T. F.	3	## ***********************************

#### INDIGENT DEFENSE

Table 38. Indigent defense expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			( ) NUUSAI	Jana - All	·		<del></del>	Intergovers	mental ex	penditure
8. <b>347</b>	Total		Direct		• Capita	l outloy			To	To
Fig. 10th Security ( 10th 1900 to 10th 1900 years), hard-park in a processing physical	NOTITED IN PRACTICAL PROPERTY SHAPPING COMMAND	Total	Current	Total	Equip- ceat	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
r Seen Parusas										
を <b>416</b> 00 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	4-	*	<b>.</b>	<b>≠</b>	•	_	**	-	-	-
AFACU	*	144 44	-	**	-		-	-	- 1	,
Cincinnati.	<del>;</del>	*	-	**	-	- 1	<del>.</del>	-	<b>-</b>	-
CEFVEEAND ARIGHTS	59 102	59 201	89 102		-	1	-	-	*	
FUSTION	**	 *	-	~	+	7	=	-	-	-
对在时数位置的联系 。 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	7	7	7	+	•		-		-	_
Carendo De e e e e e e e e	# 8 5	8	7	1	- 1		-	-	=	-
MANGENIO MANGENIO	5	5 3	5	-			-	-	-	-
Dagea Pritofied	58	28	28	-	-		*	-	=	*
YEUNGSTONN,	24	24	24	-		-	*	-	-	-
CRLANDMAN LANTON: * * * * * *	20	20	20	-	<b>*</b>			-	-	-
CELANCHA GITY	*** *** ***	**	-	-	-			-	5	-
ENGENE	19	19	19			-	- -	-	_	-
Dogrand,	*	## ##	**	. 1		1		-	1	
decements Algentoum * * * * * * *	*	**		**	-			-	-	_
ALTECNA HETHLEHLM CHESTON	***		-	*	*	1	-	-	-	-
Harrigordo * * * * * *	,1E 1900	# #	•	-		-	## ##	-	-	-
Pote a Figura.	3 457	3 457	3 457		-	_	-		_	
Development	: #*	*	*	-		-	-		1	-
RILER G.BARRE.		# #	=		-		*		-	
SHOUL THEATON		**		•	_					
Partically + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	**	*	*	×		f i	-		-	
STUTUL CARGOLINAL		**	<b>*</b>	*	*	-	-	-	#	•
CHELOVILLE, * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	98 9% 94		*	•			-	-	
DEFUE FALLS + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*		•		•		-		•	
<b>可能人为于在现在产业</b>	*	*	*	•	*				*	•
	*	**	*	** **			-	-	-	
HYSHAIRFE PAYASSES A.	744	144	145	2	2		_	1 :	Ī	-

des tentente as end of table.

Table 38. Indigent defense expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			(Thousa	····			·-····································	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>		-
A. F				Direct exp	<del></del>		·	Intergover	mental ex	penditure
City	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Capita Equip-	Construc-		Total	State govern-	To local
				10/117	ment	tion	Land	ļ	ments	monts
TEXAS:  ABILENE  AMARILLO  ARLINGTON  AUSTIN  BEAUMONT  BROWNSVILLE  CORPUS CHRISTI  DALLAS	1111116	111111	111111		1111	11111	1111	3 3 3 7 3 8 1	11111	11533
FORT WORTH.  GALVESTON GARLAND GRAND PRAIRIE HOUSTON IRVING LAREDO. LUBBOCK MESQUITE.	-	-	-				1111111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1 1 1 3 3 3 3 7 6 3	
MIDLAND ODESSA. PASADENA. PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO, SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO. WICHITA FALLS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1111111			1 1111111		11111111	111111111	
UTAH: OGDEN PROVO SALT LAKE CITY			-	-	**	-		1 1	-	## ##
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA. CHESAPEAKE. HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS. NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH. RICHMOND. ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH.	21 16 3 74	21	21 16 37 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1111111111		11111111		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111	100, 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE. EVERETT SEATTLE SPOKANE TAGOMA.	65			*** *** ***	-		14 St. Collection Consideration Consideratio	65	1 1 1	65
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON. HUNTINGTON.	-	-			-		-	-	-	
#ISCONSIN: APPLETON. GREEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE MADISON MILWAUKEE OSHKOSH RACINE #AUWATOSA WEST ALLIS	10	10	10		111131111		A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	***	er er er er er er er er er

Represents zero or rounds to zero.

### Section VII. CORRECTIONS

CORRECTIONS

265

Table 39. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

		Di	rect expendit	ire	Intergovernmental expenditure			
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments	
UNITED STATES, TOTAL STATES	3 025 867 1 895 434 1 240 815 917 427 342 367	3 025 867 1 812 529 1 213 338 881 726 331 612	2 779 498 1 688 071 1 091 427 789 613 301 814	246 369 124 458 121 911 92 112 29 800	129 361 82 905 46 456 35 702 10 755	27 477 (X) 27 477 22 007 5 469	101 685 82 905 18 980 13 694 5 285	
ALABAMA	25 573 18 302 8 672 6 789 2 074	25 573 16 901 8 672 6 776 1 897	22 172 14 762 7 410 5 556 1 655	3 401 2 139 1 262 1 220 42	1 592 1 401 191 14 178	(X)	1 592 1 401 191 14 178	
ALASKA	13 827 13 680 804 11 793	13 827 13 086 741 741	10 601 9 890 711 711	3 226 3 196 30 - 30	657 594 63 11 52	63 (X) 11 52	594 594 -	
ARIZONA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	32 766 16 893 15 874 15 183 780	32 766 16 893 15 873 15 180 692	25 840 14 553 11 287 10 600 687	6 926 2 340 4 586 4 580 5	88 51 51	(x)	90 90 3 87	
ARKANSAS	13 730 12 348 3 001 2 467 564	13 730 10 729 3 001 2 465 535	10 250 7 902 2 348 1 870 477	3 480 2 827 653 595 58	1 649 1 619 30 2 28	(X)	1 649 1 619 30 2 28	
CALIFORNIA	550 947 260 371 317 932 306 373 12 539	550 947 233 788 317 159 304 933 12 226	522 015 230 462 291 553 279 407 12 146	28 932 3 326 25 606 25 526 60	28 336 26 583 1 753 1 440 313	773 (X) 773 739 34	27 563 26 583 980 701 279	
COLORADO	33 639 25 652 8 437 4 728 3 739	33 639 25 217 8 422 4 713 3 709	30 290 24 773 5 517 2 450 3 067	3 350 444 2 906 2 263 642	479 435 44 15 30	14 (X) 14 14	465 435 30	
CONNECTICUTSTATELOCAL, TOTAL	39 775 39 731 44 44	39 775 39 731 44 44	35 070 35 026 44 44	4 705 4 705 - -		(x)		
DELAWARE STATE, LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	9 662 9 662	9 662 9 662 -	8 851 8 851	811 811		(X)	***	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA STATE	57 730 57 730 57 730	57 730 57 730 57 730	50 026 50 026 50 026	7 704 7 704 7 704	71 14 14 14	(X)		
FLORIDA	125 058 93 252 31 806 24 941 7 006	125 058 93 252 31 806 24 940 6 866	115 737 85 041 30 696 23 905 6 791	9 320 8 211 1 189 1 035 75	141 141 140	(X) 1	140 140 1	
GEORGIA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL CGUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	80 421 55 771 25 250 22 428 3 042		64 590 43 034 21 556 18 786 2 770	15 830 12 137 3 693 3 579 115	620 600 220 63 157	(X)	820 600 220 63 157	

See foothetes at end of table

Table 39. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

<del>ante de transporte de la completa de</del> La completa de la comp		D1:	rect empenditu	iro	Intergovornmental expenditure			
titate and type of government.	TOLOLI		Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local government:	
STATE	7 520 6 353 1 167 227 740	7 520 4 353 1 167 227 940	7 472 6 314 1 158 226 932	48 39 9 1 8	77 19 19 19 19 19 19	(X)	-	
STATE. LOCAL, TUTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	9 617 7 751 1 900 1 873 44	9 617 7 751 1 866 1 838 27	5 506 4 650 856 829 27	4 110 3 101 1 009 1 009	51 51 35 16	34 (X) 34 34	1'	
STATE.  STATE.  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES  MUNICIPALITIES	115 008 75 389 41 662 40 932 5 435	115 008 73 346 41 662 36 280 5 382	102 431 71 623 30 808 30 536 272	12 576 1 723 10 853 5 744 5 110	6 748 2 043 4 705 4 653 53	(X)	6 74 2 04 4 70 4 65	
NDIANA, STATE, LOCAL, TOTAL	42 421 28 638 15 235 10 977 4 258	42 421 28 638 13 783 9 998 3 785	38 761 26 491 12 270 8 939 3 331	3 660 2 147 1 513 1 058 455	1 451 1 451 979 472	1 451 (X) 1 451 979 472		
OWA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	25 818 19 216 7 894 7 682 405	25 818 16 734 7 084 6 809 275	24 512 17 740 6 772 6 498 274	1 306 994 312 311	1 484 482 1 002 873 130	810 (X) 810 810	67 48 19 6	
ANSAS	29 925 24 994 4 932 4 034 928	29 925 24 994 4 931 4 025 906	27 767 23 339 4 426 3 541 887	2 159 1 655 504 484 19	31 31 9 22	(X) 1 -	3 2	
ENTUCKY	25 966 18 462 7 506 7 032 695	25 966 18 462 7 504 6 987 517	23 990 17 406 6 584 6 070 514	1 977 1 056 921 917	423 423 45 378	(X) 2 2 2	42 42 4 37	
OUISIANA	75 138 22 937 12 201 6 311	6 208 22 937 12 201 5 993 20 208	32 701 21 992 10 709 5 682 5 028	2 437 945 1 492 312 1 180	150 150 46 104	(x)	15 15 4	
AINF. STATE LECAL, TOTAL COUNTIES PUNICIPALITIES	10 677 9 422 1 255 1 265	10 677 9 422 1 255 1 255	9 689 8 505 1 184 1 184	988 917 71 71	25 25 11 15	(x)	2 1 1	
Anyland	12 57 100 64 100 C1 795 6	82 532 69 451 13 081 6 294 6 786	78 932 66 803 12 129 5 725 6 404	3 599 2 648 951 569 382	156 153 3	(x)	15	
ASSACHUSETTS	86 753 58 624 28 152 20 984 7 207	86 753 58 609 28 144 20 965 7 179	81 289 95 300 25 989 18 967 7 022	9 463 3 309 2 154 1 998 157	62 15 47 19 28	(X) 8 -	1	
STATE, STATE, COTAL , COUNTIES	117 696 62 285 59 162 54 638 6 912	117 698 61 175 56 525 50 366 6 259	106 546 54 926 51 620 45 457 6 163	11 152 6 247 4 905 4 809 96	6 137 1 112 5 025 4 372 653	2 637 (X) 2 637 2 637	7 50 1 11 2 36 1 73 65	

des feminates at and of table

### Table 39. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and have a		Di	rect expenditu	re	Intergovernmental expenditure			
State and type of government	Total <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct current	Capita) outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments	
MINNESOTA	41 554 25 089 16 994 16 492 1 525	41 554 24 651 16 903 15 446 1 458	40 422 23 938 16 484 15 192 1 292	1 132 713 419 254 165	1 551 438 1 113 1 046 67	90 (X) 90 90	1 461 438 1 023 956 67	
MISSISSIPPI	13 855 11 706 2 149 1 645 561	13 855 11 706 2 149 1 645 504	11 918 9 876 2 042 1 545 497	1 937 1 830 107 100 7	57 57 57	(x)	57 57 57	
MISSOURI	46 179 25 410 20 853 10 773 10 129	46 179 25 410 20 769 10 662 10 107	42 538 23 738 18 800 9 311 9 488	3 642 1 672 1 970 1 351 618	132 132 110 22	84 (X) 84 84	48 48 26 22	
MONTANA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	8 663 7 090 1 573 1 468 109	8 663 7 090 1 573 1 468 105	7 786 6 487 1 299 1 193 105	877 603 274 274	4 4 3	(X)	3 3 3	
NEBRASKA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	12 700 9 133 3 580 3 246 515	12 700 9 133 3 567 3 167 401	11 539 8 458 3 081 2 680 401	1 162 675 487 487	194 194 80 114	12 (X) 12 6 6	181 73 108	
NEVADA	14 910 9 144 6 277 5 653 772	14 910 8 633 6 277 5 652 625	13 804 7 648 6 156 5 540 616	1 106 985 121 112 9	659 511 148 1 147	(x)	659 511 148 1 147	
NEW HAMPSHIRESTATELOCAL. TOTALCOUNTIESMUNICIPALITIES	5 655 4 087 1 587 1 371 230	5 655 4 087 1 568 1 360 208	5 530 4 023 1 507 1 305 202	125 64 61 54 7	32 11 21	19 (X) 19 2 17	14 14 9 5	
NEW JERSEY	110 659 62 747 47 936 47 853 167	110 659 62 747 47 912 47 829 83	99 467 53 616 45 851 45 768 83	11 192 9 131 2 061 2 061	108 108 24 84	24 (X) 24 24	84 84	
NEW MEXICO	10 454 7 319 3 135 1 734 1 487	10 454 7 319 3 135 1 700 1 435	9 922 7 226 2 696 1 268 1 429	531 93 438 432 6	86 34 52	(x)	86 86 34 52	
NEW YORK STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	373 619 206 798 203 430 74 405 129 040	373 619 177 331 196 288 67 579 128 708	352 299 168 279 184 020 64 699 119 321	21 320 9 052 12 268 2 680 9 388	36 625 29 467 7 158 6 826 332	7 143 (X) 7 143 6 811 332	29 482 29 467 15 15	
NORTH CAROLINA	71 131 60 852 10 293 10 231 126	71 131 60 852 10 279 10 154 126	64 197 56 022 8 175 8 054 121	6 934 4 830 2 104 2 099 5	77 77 77	13 (X) 13 13	64 64	
NORTH DAKOTA	4 097 3 638 459 424 56	4 097 3 638 459 422 37	5 597 3 138 459 421 37	500	21 21 2 19	(X)	21 21 2 19	

See footnotes at end of table

Table 39. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		( ) noneauas	or dollars,	•			
本の大学は大学の大学にはいいないというないというないというないというないないというないというないという	Te dilandi perijaman period	t)ı	rect expendit	aro	Intergov	ornmental exp	endituro
estato este seco es graposencos	Wesi <sup>1</sup>	Tutal	Direct	Copital Gatley	Total	To State governments	To local governments
	876 271 744 20 206 76 226 27 474 8	135 738 99 091 37 247 28 735 8 512	129 799 96 193 33 606 25 519 8 987	5 539 1 898 3 841 3 216 426	5 287 756 4 531 4 087 444	56 (X) 56 56	5 231 756 4 475 4 031 494
CPEATERA	20 693 16 424 4 279 3 696 1 165	20 693 16 423 4 270 3 096 1 175	19 501 15 476 4 025 2 089 1 136	1 192 947 245 207 38	11 10 10	(X)	10
CHECOL	14 662 1643 17 11 11 172 186	34 652 23 263 11 340 11 140 259	33 574 72 814 10 760 10 595 165	1 089 449 640 545	69 32 36		55 59 19 35
Penistrapata	145 698 261 261 81 948 46 318 10 30	146 698 78 013 66 665 17 698 10 967	136 169 77 047 59 122 30 007 29 115	10 529 986 9 543 7 691 1 852	19 d84 6 228 13 656 9 119 4 536	13 283 (X) 13 283 8 769 4 514	6 601 6 226 373 350 23
FREDE TOLAND	10 343 10 343	10 343	5 838 5 838 5 838	504 504	# # #	(X)	1 1 1
State	79 247 70 211 9 218 8 756 472	39 247 30 211 30 8 30 8 773 8	29 461 21 661 7 860 7 391 409	9 786 8 550 1 236 1 183 53	505 505	(X) 183 183	20 20 20
SCOTH CARCTA	5 049 3 428 1 121 1 110	9 049 7 928 1 121 1 086 34	3 520 2 771 849 815 34	1 429 1 157 272 273	79 79 24 56	(X)	79 79 24 56
TEMPERATE A TANK	46 232 10 978 10 622 14 547 4 162	46 232 28 282 28 283 000 A1 178 A1 118 C	752 08 07 45 07 46 108 41 292 11 202 5	5 998 2 793 3 205 3 176 29	3 405 2 755 650 79 571	77 77 72 73	3 392 2 755 637 69 568
TEXAS.  STATE:  STATE:	792 87 253 84 873 84 672 47 996 4	88 359 49 858 38 547 34 137 4 410	PO 573 45 845 34 728 30 391 4 387	7 825 9 007 3 818 3 796	642 642 153 470	(X)	
And the state of t	11 350 97 6 404 6 528 6	CCE 11 694 8 409 S 6LR 5	255 01 7 613 7 613 2 62 2 82 58	1 094 635 461 453	486 451 35 15 20	(X)	486 451 33 14 20
STATE TOTAL	7 833 7 818 15 15	7 831 7 818 15	6 024 6 010 14 14	1 809 1 808 1	2 2 2	(X)	5 7 7
STATE STATES STATES	20 400 25 520 27 548 27 548	67 749 69 690 17 708 7 692 10 663	59 324 44 178 15 176 5 287	4 021 1 462 2 759 1 783 776	6 380 5 908 472 235 236	25 (X5 25 25	6 354 5 908 446 235 211

fire functioning an east of table.

#### Table 39. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Di	rect expenditu	ire	Intergovornmental expenditure			
State and type of government	Total <sup>‡</sup>	Total	Total Direct current		Total	To State governments	To local governments	
WASHINGTON	58 502 42 253 17 583 15 825 2 252	58 502 40 920 17 582 15 650 1 932	56 196 40 517 15 679 13 756 1 923	2 306 403 1 903 1 894 8	1 628 1 333 495 175 320	(x)	1 827 1 333 494 175 320	
WEST VIRGINIA, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	14 488 9 963 4 527 4 569 173	14 488 9 963 4 525 4 518 8	11 018 7 859 3 159 3 152 8	3 470 2 104 1 366 1 366	217 217 51 165	5 (X) 5	215 215 50 165	
WISCONSIN. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES	53 965 41 114 13 586 13 616 56	53 965 41 094 12 871 12 871	51 931 39 402 12 529 12 529	2 034 1 692 342 342	82 <u>2</u> 20 802 745 56	715 (X); 715 715	106 20 86 30 56	
WYOMING	4 047 3 384 664 458 223	4 047 3 384 663 457 206	3 922 3 384 538 457 81	125 125 125	18 18 17	(X) 1	17 17 1 16	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable.

X Not applicable.

For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the hamos of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 40. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments, fiscal year 1974

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O			Instituti	be4	<del></del>				
ELECTION (1994), receive el proposition describing de l'approprie	Total Girect current To capenditura	er, maner, encircles	For ean	For women	for juventles	Other and combined	Corrections adminis- tration	Probation, parole, and pardon	Miscel- laneous
TOTAL	1 688 071 1 3	6 804	822 970	37 593	375 351	80 890	116 662	228 905	25 700
ALABAMA	9 890 14 553 7 902	2 569 7 615 1 121 7 199 11 495	9 352 2 180 2 505 4 564 135 062	677 105 5 546	2 540 3 165 2 357 2 530 40 887	2 270 6 259	(AA) 262 081 1 22 269 E1	2 058 1 490 1 908 599 33 870	135 423 344 82 1 428
COLORADO	35 026 6 051 65 041	9 243 4 648 6 832 4 684 8 657	13 169 17 734 # 203 35 049 19 133	(HA) 1 851 197 170 529	6 074 3 589 2 432 16 748 8 995	1 474 2 917	(NA) 4 710 1 345 3 977 5 877	5 483 5 325 636 26 180 8 500	47 343 38 -
HAWATI	26 491	4 903 4 036 3 029 1 390 2 691	(NA) 2 892 32 034 16 435 10 627	#3 1 430 771 547	1 335 1 063 19 565 4 184 4 707	3 568 - - -	275 (NA) 9 971 1 647 408	1 134 612 8 623 1 400 956	2 - 2 054 495
KANSAS	17 406 21 992 8 505	2 518 3 848 5 868 7 302 19 246	10 804 9 072 9 244 3 518 28 947	1 200 884 984 292 292	3 660 3 904 6 040 3 392 15 232	7 462 - 3 767	204 582 1 287 47 3 780	617 2 560 4 760 833 13 603	820 77 323 174
Hassachusettg	54 926 23 938 9 876	5 975 17 212 8 174 8 556 17 702	28 332 26 133 10 963 13 487	2 006 552 326	15 637 21 109 559 1 907 3 889	6 649	5 359 2 970 4 617 102 2 215	3 915 9 714 162 1 218 3 600	51 985 221
MONTANA	6 487 0 450 7 648 4 023 6 6 6	5 276 7 062 6 049 3 175 3 705	3 542 4 908 3 796 1 510 19 766	16 328 - 2 869	1 718 1 826 2 253 1 665 11 619	9 451	376 177 (NA) (NA) (NA) 2002	835 1 219 1 599 848 4 595	224
HEW MEXICO	56 022 3 130	5 364 10 987 12 213 2 648 3 527	3 196 87 834 31 153 1 371 40 490	48 1 975 1 524 50 2 313	2 140 19 934 10 536 1 427 23 375	21 244 - 7 349	158 9 312 2 993 (NA) 15 736	1 684 19 551 7 159 290 6 443	8 429 2 657 487
ORLANGHA	22 814 77 047 7 979	2 653 7 988 9 270 1 149 3 903	9 446 10 756 36 922 5 657 8 773	263 325 2 253 (NA) 457	2 944 6 907 25 095 1 492 4 673	1111	932 1 291 2 167 787 2 433	1 891 3 535 9 048 1 903 2 044	1 562 3 281
TEHESSEE		2 286 1 056 9 830 5 274 4 895	13 235 27 067 2 325	723 1 216	722 7 098 11 547 1 747 2 122	1 564 - 3 527 448	141 818 2 605 118 199	317 3 556 3 410 2 386 799	27 - 35 117
VIRTINIA	40 517 ; 7 059 ;	7 640 0 474 6 781 8 795 3 053	27 356 17 571 4 378 15 068 1 411	1 576 1 809 180 1 111 31	8 408 11 094 2 223 9 675 1 611	2 941	2 197 187 (NA) 4 251 86	3 502 9 856 1 078 6 356 245	639

<sup>&</sup>quot; happenents core or rounds to sero.

Table 41. Corrections expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

			(Thousa	nds of d	ollars)				
				Direct ex	penditure		Intergovers	mental ox	ponditure
County	Total		Direct		Capital outl	ay		To State	To
		Total	current	Total	Equip- Cons	true- on Land	Total	govern- ments	local govern- ments
TOTAL	770 553	738 520	669 479	69 041	11 733 56	498 810	32 033	20 265	11 768
ALABAMA: CALHOUN JEFFERSON MADISON MOBILE MONTGOMERY TUSCALOOSA	254 1 968 292 1 500 444 254	254 1 968 280 1 500 444 254	148 1 964 278 584 442 253	106 # 2 916 2	642	916			1 12 1 1 1
ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	11	-	_	•	-		1.1	111	
ARIZONA: MARICOPA,	9 294 3 875	9 294 3 872	5 114 3 809	4 180 63	32 4 63	148		*	3
ARKANSAS: PULASKI	653	653	436	217	2	215		<b>.</b>	_
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA PUTTE CONTRA COSTA FRESNO KERN LOS ANGELES MARIN MERCED	21 524 1 044 10 569 5 896 5 451 107 049 3 386 1 053	21 478 1 035 10 537 5 595 5 451 106 773 3 381 1 048	20 882 938 10 298 5 477 5 249 93 304 3 344 1 024	596 97 239 118 202 13 469 37 24	195 1 38 27 60 776 13 23	401 96 201 82 142 693 24	32 301 276	46 9 32 16 276 5	285
MONTEREY	3 001 21 424 9 442 11 212 11 093 22 841 4 829 1 046	2 993 21 942 11 212 11 060 22 841 4 101 1 040	2 962 19 619 8 128 10 179 10 604 22 560 4 636 1 018	31 1 777 1 314 1 033 456 281 165 22	31 1 710 72 1 65 56 281 95	67 015 968 400 70	33 28	8 28 33 28 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
SAN MATEO SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ SOLANO SONOMA STANISLAUS TULARE VENTURA	10 890 4 968 14 876 1 693 2 546 4 404 3 013 2 494 5 255	10 890 4 967 14 876 1 682 2 2 484 4 404 2 888 2 485 5 042	10 482 4 339 14 829 1 677 2 953 2 773 2 375 4 960	408 628 47 51 1 451 115 110 82	30 49 47 5 49 47 20 8	378 579 - - 2 404 95 40 71	1 11	1 11 125 28	185
COLORADO: ADAMS	232 249 239 2 393 265 192	219 249 239 2 393 265 192	217 249 238 418 265 192	2 1 1 975	2 1 1 1	975		13 - - -	
DELAWARE: NEW CASTLE	-	_	•	•			•	-	
FLORIDA: ALACHUA BREYARD BROWARD DADE ESCAMBIA HILLSBORDUGH LEE LEON ORANGE PALM BEACH PINELLAS	558 594 2 684 6 857 794 2 869 331 308 1 171 1 585 862	558 594 2 684 6 857 794 2 869 331 308 1 171 1 585 862	537 594 2 472 6 519 788 2 664 330 307 1 160 1 579 829	21 212 338 6 205 1 11 6 33	23 59 6 265 1 11 5 33	17 189 279		11.58646611	***************************************

See footnote at end of table.

Table 41. Corrections expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			والمراجعة	Direct ex	panditura			Intergover	mental exp	enditure
County	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip-	Construc-	Land	Total	To State govern-	To local govern-
FLORIDACONTINUED POLR. PARASOTA. VOLOSIA	834 287 856	834 267 856	812 286 827	50 1 35	#dnt 19 1 26	-	3		monta ~	ments
LEGRGIA: PIOD	571 603 690 1 378 5 500 937	571 603 690 1 378 5 500 937	564 603 651 1 296 4 471 887	7 39 82 1 029 50	7 39 6 999 33	76 30	- - - 17	, ,	, and )	-
IDAHOT AUA	353	318	508	129	•	129	-	15	15	
CHAMPAIGN COOK OU PAGE KANE LAKE LA SALLE HCHENRY HCLEAN	26 933 1 186 966 692 174 192 216	366 23 107 1 186 966 692 174 381 216	16 209 1 162 823 687 168 180 216	1 898 42 42 5 5 1	1 273 3 5 6	3 625 21 143		3 826		3 826
MACON HADISON PEORIA ST. CLAIR SANGAHON TAZEKELL WILL MANNEHAGO	244444 5349 5772 1 273 1138 128 2742	244 652 534 549 667 516 138 328 742	243 533 521 534 666 510 137 528 724	19 13 15 1 6 1 7	19 13 7 16 18	\$ 8 + + + +		605 -	30 T ST S	605
HOIANAT ALLEN DELAWARE ELWHART LAKE LA FORTE MAUISON ST. JOSEPH TIPPECANRE VANDERGURGH VIGO	1 472 986 987 1 197 398 682 2318 674	1 207 207 202 4174 8785 2050 2071 407 207 207 207 207 207 207	1 1736 8702 8702 757 652 2151 574	19 76 5 4 5 75 15	575#57-1217	73	9	265 84 74 323 38 37	265 84 74 323 38 3	
DHAI BLACK HANK	500 658 3 172 527 734	575 423 2 975 304 232	57-8 41-4 2 90-8 275 223	1 9 67 29 9	1 96 2 1	27 8	11	15 235 157 223 102	15 235 157 223 102	** ** **
WYANDOTTE	458 1 015 583 370	458 1 015 583 370	444 936 572 363	14 79 11 7	14 79 11 6	-		1 1 1	-	***
MTUCKY: FAYETTE LEFFERSON	734 2 517 306	934 2 796 306	362 2 752 205	572 44	14 94	558	1 - 1	41	=	41
CAPOO	899 169 769 316 325 270	899 490 764 216 225 270	885 490 762 314 735 270	4 8 8 4 4	10 20 1	* * * *		1 1 1 1	* * * * * * *	-

des topicote at end of table.

Table 41. Corrections expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			nos ot ac	ds of dollars)						
	1	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure				
County	Total	See of A	Direct		Capital	outlny			То	То
		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
MAINE: CUMBERLANG PENOBSCOT	292 95 101	292 95 101	273 90 96	19 5 5	10 5 3	9 - 2	\		1 1 1	
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL, BALTIMORE, HARFORD MONTGOMERY. PRINCE GEORGES, WASHINGTON.	549 1 278 360 1 570 1 161 309	549 1 278 357 1 570 1 161 309	549 1 245 351 1 125 1 111 308	33 6 445 50	33 6 1 21 1	444 29		3		
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE BRISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE MIODLESEX NURFOLK PLYMOUTH WORCESTER	636 1 438 2 255 2 167 662 5 586 1 438 1 842 3 891	636 1 424 2 255 2 167 668 5 586 1 842 3 891	630 1 404 2 251 2 167 658 5 509 1 711 2 177	6 20 4 77 10 131 1 714	6 20 2 4 39 5 130	- 2 - 38 5 1 1 701	, pp	14	3-1 	14 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 4 - 2
MICHIGANI BAY BERRIEN CALHOUN GENESEE INGHAM JACKSON KALAMAZOO KENT	526 2 479 1 088 2 394 1 320 1 029 2 204 2 786	463 2 282 1 057 2 117 1 283 675 2 181 2 701	462 1 169 1 054 2 073 1 283 1 780 1 698	1 1 113 3 44 31 401 1 005	1 091 3 13 13 27 284 17	22 31 4 117 986		63 197 31 277 37 154 23 85	63 192 277 11 154 23	26
MACOMB. MONROE. MUSKEGON, OAKLAND OTTAWA. SAGINAW ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW WAYNE	1 733 397 1 006 6 540 454 949 799 1 921 20 463	1 695 343 904 6 473 407 883 691 1 886 17 862	1 694 342 762 5 081 405 879 669 1 860 17 562	1 142 1 392 2 4 22 26 300	1 1 6 2 4 15 22 27	141 1 386 - 7 4 273		38 54 104 67 47 66 108 35 2 601	38 54 104 67 45 66 108 35 1 007	1 594
MINNESOTA; ANOKA OAKOTA HENNEPIN RAMSEY ST. LOUIS	325 188 6 360 4 037 2 047	325 188 6 097 4 037 1 503	324 188 6 058 4 016 1 334	1 39 21 169	1 39 21 2	-		263 544		263 544
MISSISSIPPI: HARRISON, HINDS	210	210 241	190 206	20 35	20	- 35	-	1	_	-
MISSOURI; CLAY	565 210 4 144 99 3 600	561 208 4 074 97 3 600	470 208 3 904 94 2 858	91 170 3 742	4 42 3 92	128	17	70	2	70 E
NEBRASKA: DOUGLAS LANCASTER	1 339 415	1 339 359	1 337 343	2 16	2	16		56		56
NEVADA: CLARK WASHOE	3 824 1 025	3 824 1 025	3 754 1 011	70 14	56 14		-	:	•	1.
NEW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH. ROCKINGHAH.	439 150	439 148	435 130	4 18	1 18	3	e-		<u>.</u>	2

See footnote at end of table.

Table 41. Corrections expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			(Thousar	Direct exp		<del></del>		Intergovers	mental ex	penditure
County	Total	سينوسنا فيدوانه بريام ويراوه ويوازي ويأوا	<u> </u>	<del>and and the major the second</del>	Capital	outlay	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>		To	То
CTA 643.4 F. B.  WHICH THE THE THE STATE OF		Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- mont	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	local govern- ments
new Jersey: Attantic. Hergen. Burlington. Camoen. Cumberland. Edsex. Glougester. Hurson.	1 855 3 297 1 155 2 875 727 13 647 890 3 595	1 855 3 297 1 195 2 868 727 13 647 3 555	1 310 3 120 1 155 2 581 13 576 3 546	545 177 287 36 71	4 - - 6 - 9	[ -		7	7	1111111
MEMCER, MIDDLESEX MEMORIAL MONROUTH, MORRIS, MEMORIAL MOR	3 009 3 365 1 840 1 402 946 3 458 905 2 787	3 009 3 365 1 823 1 402 946 3 458 905 2 787	2 783 3 068 1 623 1 402 938 3 392 905 2 738	226 297 - 8 66 49	21 	-	9.00 	17	17	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
HERNALICTO	767	767	747	20	5	15	-	_	-	•
hew york; ALBANY. BROOME, CHAUTAUGUA. CHEMUNG, BUTCHESS. FRIE. MOWROE, MASSAN. NIGGARA	2 279 822 722 863 1 031 10 024 5 650 14 157 1 095	2 114 8212 6327 6814 9978 4 4952 775	2 100 818 616 637 868 8 980 4 871 12 2874 770	14 3 6 6 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 7 7 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8	14 3 16 20 13 18 12 122 13			165 1 90 206 150 1 025 872 1 666 143 149	165 90 206 150 1 025 1 666 143 149	+4111111111
OHONDAGA, ORANGE. OSHEGG. REASSELAER, ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENCE. SAMAYOGA. GCHENECTAGY SUFFOLK ULSTER. TESTCHESTER	5 624 1 429 406 973 1 204 422 353 914 8 3552 1 639 7 675	4 544 1 149 277 2 877 1 275 4 411 2754 6 205 8 2505 7 675	4 493 1 182 360 856 1 203 411 273 652 8 103 498 6 578	51 7 11 17 102 1007 1 097	45 7 11 12 1 - 2 43 6 38	5 5 7 7 7 9 1 001 1 059		1 080 240 35 100 	1 080 240 35 100 11 80 260 147 134	111111111
NORTH CAROLINAS RUNCOMRE. CUMBERLAND. BUNHAM. FORSYTH GASTON. GULFORD. MECKLENBURG. ONSLOW.	316 502 184 386 305 797 1 725 168 230	316 502 184 386 305 797 1 725 158 230	306 224 183 372 299 771 1 718 158 229	19 27 14 14 26 7	1 278 8 6 26 1	- 6	-		10	
OMIO: ALLEN BUTLER CLARR COLUMBYANA CUYANDGA FRANKLIN	187 483 216 248 9 207 3 644	180 787 216 248 280 6 280 7	2 110	37 36 204 1 377	75 4				1111	7 - - 3 125 157
GREENE	205 405 764 765 765 767 767	205 2 964 467 330 597 2 105 763	204 2 934 466 234 590 1 954 752	10 20 1 96 7 151	1 27 1 7 14	96 115	22		90 91 90 90 90 90	240 57

See feetoure at end of table.

Table 41. Corrections expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		<b>1</b>	(Thousar	Direct exp	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Intergover	montal ex	ponditure
					Capital	outlay			To State	To local
County	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
OHIOCONTINUED  MONTGOMERY	2 479 205 410 844 1 894 372	164 378 831 1 866	2 189 164 251 737 1 776 270	127 94 90 102	15 94 90 12	-	80	290 41 32 13 28		290
OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE OKLAHOMA TULSA	128 878 948	878	128 877 941	1 7	1 7		ł .		1	-
OREGON: CLACKAMAS LANE	554 66 77 5 33 78	662 778 5 5 335	556 651 772 4 895 776	2 11 6 440 13	11 6 17	423			:	i -
PENNSYLVANIA: ALLEGHENY	12 55 64 1 40 56 2 48 45 47 1 73	2 483 8 1 004 4 453 6 2 091 0 324 403 1 1 519	467 1 003 392 1 617 324 398	16 1 61 474 5	5	5 1 2 5 7 417 5		2 06: 15: 40: 11: 39: 12: 6: 21: 29:	155 101 111 111 15 120 120 111	170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170
DAUPHIN	1 97 3 58 1 49 40 56 88 8 1 41 22 1 33	2 804 1 202 2 278 484 598 1 025 24 177	2 726 3 1 196 3 27 4 38 596 5 1 025 2 17	78	2	7 1 10		- 28	9 77 0 29 4 6 7 2 28 3 40 5 29	121
LUZERNE LYCOMING. MERCER. MONTGOMERY. NORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL WASHINGTON. WESTMORELAND. YORK.	2 3 1 2 5 7 7	18 1 02 38 36 01 46 09 48	7 33 6 29 8 1 89 2 85 36 9 46 1 48	5 6 0 6 9 14 7 16 6	6 2	3	3		18 8 97 9 51 33 96 19	87162 6223
SOUTH CAROLINA: ANDERSONCHARLESTONGREENVILLERICHLANDSPARTANBURG	8	75 47 07 60 22 82 52 1 35 20 35	7 59 2 82 2 1 33	15 1 11 14 1	22 1 8 1	11	8 - 8 -	1	65 10	55
TENNESSEE: HAMILTONKNOXKNOXKNOXKNOXKNOXKNOX.KNOX	. 8	65 8 10	0 7 2		6		54 16 20	-	65	- 4
TEXAS: BELL	5 1	238 3 2 172 1 115 4 783 5 7 286 1 2	38 2 5 72 1 14 3 83 5 5 86 1 2	67 84 20 2	3 75 5 30 63 20	50 2	42 30 13 20 4		1	

See footnote at end of table.

Table 41. Corrections expenditure of 312 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

County		make adjust of distributions of the second of the	1	Direct ex				Intergover	nmental ex	penditure
TO A STATE OF THE	Totai	Total	Direct	Total	Equip-	Construc-	Land	Total	To State govern-	To local govern-
TEMACE CONTINUES  HAMPIS.  HISALOG  JEFFEHOOD  LAJBOOK  MOLEGIANI  TARRAGIT  THANIS.  FIGHITA	6 751 436 515 759 749 1 786 1 832 308	6 751 436 515 263 746 1 666 1 838	6 316 432 462 335 A19 624 1 823 1 761 303	435 433 34 14 124 63 71 5	182 20 31 20 4 5	253 13 31 13 43 63	122	7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ments	ments
SALT LAKE	1 863 98 304	288 1 88 80 80 80 80	1 674 95 296	139 3 8	189 3 3	5	- 1	-	-	,# *** ***
ANLINGTON FAIGHAX HERRICO DRINGE MILLIAM	1 542 1 279 447 285	1 542 1 213 430 276	768 1 212 422 273	774	6 1 8	768		66 17	-	66 17
ASHINGTONI CLARK XING KITSAP PIEHCE SNUHOMISH SPOKANI YAKIHA	553 5 010 1 037 1 594 1 438 1 140 611	553 5 010 1 037 1 594 1 438 1 140	547 4 A75 413 1 412 1 423 1 096 598	135 624 182 15 44	6 46 7 99 15 44	89 617 83		**		9
EST VINGINIA: CAPELL, KANANHA	46C 088	366 880	348 807	18		18	73	-	-	
RROWN DANE KENGGHA MILWAUKEE OUTAMANTE RACINE RUCK HAUKE SHA AINEBAGU	318 1 083 291 6 799 142 297 912 467 151	295 1 055 237 6 441 116 252 875 434 113	292 1 053 237 6 260 116 248 836 415 113	181 25	25 1 % H 7 1	149		23 28 54 358 26 45 37 33	23 8 3 8 6 5 3 5 8 6 5 7 3 3 8	und 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Table 42. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

	Total direct		Institutions	Probation	
County	current	Total	For juveniles Other and combined	and parole	Miscollanoous
TOTAL	669 479	436 902	153 665 283 237	221 021	11 550
ALABAMA: CALHOUN	148 1 964 278 584 442 253	61 1 165 189 489 442 157	79 410 79 410 471 318 300 142 - 157	87 799 89 95	
NLASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	-		_	_	
ARIZONA: MARICOPA	5 114 3 809	2 361 2 042	901 1 460 770 1 272	2 753 926	841
ARKANSAS: PULASKI . ,	436	357	99 258	79	
ALAMEDA	20 882 938 10 298 5 477 5 249 93 304 3 344 1 024 2 962 19 619 8 128 10 179	10 382 676 6 427 3 372 3 245 54 951 1 572 585 1 712 9 609 4 016 6 175	3 626 6 756 205 471 4 526 1 901 1 278 2 094 1 418 1 827 21 178 33 773 878 694 113 472 575 1 137 5 154 4 455 1 801 2 215 2 597 3 578	9 450 262 3 871 2 103 2 004 38 353 1 669 439 1 250 8 906 4 112 4 004	1 050
SAN BERNARDINO, SAN DIEGO SAN DIEGO SAN DIEGO SAN DIEGO SAN JAQAUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO SAN MATEO SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ. SANTA SANTA CRUZ. SANTA SANTA CRUZ. SOLANO, SONOMA. STANISLAUS. TULARE.	10 604 22 560 4 656 1 018 10 482 4 339 14 829 1 677 2 495 2 953 2 773 2 375 4 960	5 894 11 645 2 782 571 5 011 2 966 11 981 1 000 1 370 2 045 1 608 1 506 3 074	2 548 3 346 4 568 7 077 816 105 466 2 289 2 722 1 025 1 941 6 893 5 088 337 663 728 642 745 1 300 314 1 294 552 707 2 367	4 710 10 000 1 824 447 5 471 1 373 2 815 677 911 908 1 068 869 1 822	915 30 314 97 64
OLORADO; ADAMS	217 249 238 418 265 192	217 249 238 418 265 192	10 207 - 249 - 238 - 418 27 238 - 492	-	
PELAWARE: NEW CASTLIC	-	· ; · · · · · · ·	1	-	-
FLORIDA: ALACHVA BREVARD BROWARD BROWA	537 594 2 472 6 519 788 2 664 330 307 1 160 1 579 829	463 594 2 435 6 519 788 2 471 330 307 1 160 1 579 782	354 428 123 471 NO6 1 529 1 6M 4 860 144 644 1 831 114 215 66 247 - 1 160 566 1 013		74 37 193

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 42. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

I the said of the			Institutions		Probation	
CAPATALY  The state of the stat	current	Tatal	Por juveniles	Other and combined	and parole	Miscellancous
LoridaContinued Polk,	812 286 827	812 286 794	149 122 151	663 164 643	• •	3:
CORGIA: UIDD.,,,,, COND.,,,, FULTON.,,,,,,, RICHMOND,,,,,,,,,	564 603 651 1 296 4 471 887	341 521 523 804 2 943 857	123 224 99 274 671	218 297 424 530 2 272 857	223 82 128 492 1 528 30	
BAHG: ADA	209	101	45	56	801	
LLINGTS CHAMPATON ENOR, ENOR, OU PAGE XANE, XANE, LARE, LA GALLE, MCHAMPATON	365 18 209 1 162 823 687 168 380 216	313 15 597 726 486 392 130 108	213 3 193 352 208 109 49	100 12 404 374 278 283 81 108	52 2 371 436 243 295 35 202 226	24 91 71
HACON	243 633 521 534 666 510 137 328 724	134 470 383 176 515 373 80 277 521	17 207 132 14 149 222 - 229	117 263 251 162 366 151 80 277 292	109 163 133 358 151 137 57 51 146	5
NOIANAI ALLEN GELAMARE CLNHART LAKE LA PITE HAD 7-ON ST - JOSEPH T4-7-9ECANOC VANO ERBURGH VIGO	1 193 326 408 876 382 357 652 215 571 544	873 243 240 402 76 191 449 184 378 468	328 122 31 190 72 65 129 374	545 121 209 212 76 119 384 53 378	320 63 168 468 122 125 203 31 193 76	18 4
OWA: BLACK HAWK,	574 414 2 908 275 223	171 153 1 656 188 122	65 1 322 16 48	106 153 334 172 74	403 261 1 215 87 101	3
Ansas: Johnson Sedgwick Shawnee Shawnee Shawnee Shawnee Shawnee	444 936 572 363	331 5110 442 283	93 277 215 107	238 263 227 176	94 321 108 80	1 7 2
ENTHERYS  FARETYE  LIFFERENN  KRYTON  KRYTON  LOW  LOW  LOW  LOW  LOW  LOW  LOW  L	362 2 752 305	269 2 349 170	86 1 229 12	183 1 120 158	93 255 135	14

Goe fostentes as and of table.

## CONTINUED

3 OF 4

Table 42. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

	750 fm 1		Institutions		Probation	}	
County	Total direct current	Total	For juveniles	Other and combined	and parole	Miscellangou	
ORIDACONTINUED			l				
POLK.	812	812	149	663	-		
SARASOTA	286	286	122	104	-		
VOLUSIA . ,	827	794	151	643	-		
EORGIA:	1						
B188	564	341	123	218	223		
CHATHAM	603	521	224	297	82		
COBB	651	523	99	424	128		
DE KALB	1 296	804	274	530	492		
FULTON.	4 471	2 943	671	2 272	1 528		
RICHMOND	887	857	-	857	30		
AHO:	. ]		·				
ADA	209	101	45	56	108		
			/1	- 1	200		
LINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	365	313	213	100	52		
COOK	18 209	15 597	3 193	12 404	2 371	2	
DU PAGE	1 162 823	726 486	352 208	374 278	436		
LAKE	687	392	109	283	243 295		
A SALLE.	168	130	49	81	38		
CHENRY	380	108		108	202		
ICLEAN.	216	90	- [	90	126		
ACON	243	134	4-	,	1.55		
MADISON	633	470	207	117 263	109 163		
PEORIA	521	383	132	251	133		
ROCK ISLAND	534	176	14	162	358	-	
ST. CLAIR	666	515	149	366	151		
SANGAMON.	510	373	222	151	137	* .	
TAZEWELL	137	.80		80	57		
WILL	328 724	277 521	229	277 292	51 146		
	127	251	529	272	140		
DIANA: ALLEN	1 193	873	328	545	724	ļ	
DELAWARE.	326	243	122	121	320 83		
ELKHART	408	240	31	209	168		
LAKE	870	402	190	212	468		
A PORTE.	382	76	-	76	122	} :	
MAD ISON	357	191	72	119	125		
ST. JOSEPH	652 215	449 184	65	384	203		
ANDERBURGH	571	378	129	55   378	31 193		
/IGO	544	468	374	94	76		
<b>/A:</b>	1			-			
LACK HAWK	574	171	65	106	403		
INN	414	153	~-	153	261		
OLK	2 908	1 656	1 322	334	1 215		
COTT	275	188	16	172	87	}	
OODBURY	223	122	48	74	101		
5AS:	. {			l			
OHNSON	444	331	93	238	94	1	
SEDGWICK	936	540	277	263	. 321		
HAWNEE	572	44.5	215	227	108		
innection and a second second	, 363	283	107	176	80		
ITUCKY:			7.4				
TAYETTE	362	269	86	183	. 93		
	0.750	1	امدما				
JEFFERSON	2 752 305	2 349 170	1 229	1 120	255 135		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			Institutions		Probation	
County	Total direct current	Total	For juveniles	Other and combined	parole	Miscellaneous
LOUISIANA:  LADDO	885 490 762 314 335 270	819 490 718 314 295 201	56 123 295 158 81 121	763 367 423 156 214 80	66 44 40 69	-
MAINE: CUMBERLAND	273 90 96	259 90 96		259 90 96	14	
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL BALT IMPORE HARFORD MONTGOMERY PRINCE GEORGES WASHINGTON	549 1 245 351 1 125 1 111 308	549 764 328 1 125 957 300	27	549 737 328 1 125 957 300	481 23 76 8	78
MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE	630 1 404 2 251 2 167 638 5 509 1 424 1 711 2 177	394 839 1 298 1 108 458 2 792 621 905 1 056	227 7 330	394 839 1 071 1 101 458 2 462 621 904 1 056	236 565 953 1 059 126 2 717 746 806 1 121	74 57
MICHIGAN: BAY	462 1 169 1 054 2 073 1 283 844 1 780 1 698	271 831 750 1 572 912 610 1 052 1 517	939 370 495 543	103 380 232 633 542 115 509	191 229 296 501 371 229 728	1 109
MACOMB. MONROE. MUSKEGON. OAKLAND OTTAWA. SAGINAW ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW WAYNE	1 694 342 762 5 081 405 879 666 1 860	681 4 622 298 620 603 1 239	96 329 2 583 193 269 415 604	300 122 352 2 039 105 351 188 635	419 124 81 459 107 722 66 621 4 119	37
MINNESOTA: ANOKA	324 188 6 058 4 016 1 334	75 2 741 2 385	1 919 841	159 75 822 1 344 788	2 830 1 22	3 48: 40:
MISSISSIPPI: HARRISON	190			97 156		0
MISSOURI: CLAY	470 200 3 900 90 2 856	3 200 4 3 524 4 4	24 2 206	168 184 1 318 30 1 311	38 4	0 5

See footnotes at end of table

## Table 42. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	County		Total direc		Institutions			
	County		Current	Total	For juveniles	Other and combined	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
NEBRASKA:						•		<del> </del>
DOUGLAS LANCASTER	• • • • •	::::::	1 33		69 13	1 035	172 146	63 184
NEVADA: CLARK WASHOE.			3 75	. 1 - //-	1 712	1 223	819	204
NEW HAMPSHIRE: HILLSBOROUGH.					318	341	352	
ROCKINGHAM			13			435 130	-	- -
ATLANTIC BERGEN. BURLINGTON. CAMDEN. CUMBERLAND. ESSEX GLOUCESTER. HUDSON.			1 31 3 12 1 15 2 58 69 13 57 89	1 990 755 1 1 720 1 493 5 9 672	262 600 139 258 1 663 116 61'	711 1 390 611 1 462 498 8 029 413 1 730	337 1 130 405 861 193 3 884 361 1 199	
MERCER. MIDDLESEX MONMOUTH. MORRIS. OCEAN PASSAIC SONERSET. UNION		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2 78 3 068 1 823 1 402 9 3 3 392 9 05 2 738	1 734 1 289 738 647 1 879	356 448 176 164 165 444	1 731 1 286 1 113 574 482 1 435 350	639 1 138 534 664 291 1 513 504 1 380	57 196 - - - - 51
NEW MEXICO: BERNALILLO,			747	724			1 380	
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BROOME. CHAUTAUQUA. CHEMUNG DUTCHESS. ERIE. MONROE. NASSAU. NIAGARA ONEIDA.			2 100 818 616 637 868 8 980 4 871 12 287 934 770	1 890	752 76 104 768 1 290 769 52	396 1 138 276 200 204 587 4 552 2 162 5 648 497 382	210 466 312 343 277 1 726 1 419 5 870 385 285	90 1 934
ORANGE. OSWEGO. REMSSELAER. ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENCE. SARATOGA. SCHENECTADY SUFFOLK ULSTER, WESTCHESTER			4 493 1 182 360 856 1 203 411 273 652 8 103 498 6 578	3 465 818 205 600 807 181 157 465 4 541 361 4 502	748 284 10 370 517 11 221 1 421 9	2 717 534 195 230 290 170 156 244 3 120 352 4 205	1 028 364 155 256 396 230 116 187 3 562 137 2 076	
ORTH CAROLINA: BUNCOMBE. CUMBERLAND, DURHAM, FORSYTH GASTON. GUILFORD, MECKL ENBURG ONSLOW. WAKE,			306 224 183 372 299 771 1 718 158 229	306 224 183 372 299 771 988 158 229	41 27 76 30 163 194 45	265 224 156 296 269 608 794 158	133	597

See footnotes at end of table,

Table 42. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

1.4				Institutions		Probation	
·	County	Total direct current	Total	For juveniles	Other and combined	and parole	Miscellaneous
OHIO:		100			·		
BUTLERCLARKCOLUMBIANA.CUYAHOGA.FRANKLIN.GREENE.		180 446 180 248 5 878 2 110 204 2 934 466	127 273 109 193 3 611 1 172 141 1 620 341	98 18 113 2 407 427 989 188	127 175 91 80 1 204 745 141 631	53 173 69 55 2 267 938 63 1 314 104	21
LORAIN LUCAS MAHONING MONTGOMERY PORTAGE RICHLAND STARK SUMMIT		234 590 1 954 752 2 189 164 251 737 1 776 270	162 257 1 260 551 1 210 79 146 541 1 121 201	112 202 728 120 816 - 223 514 42	50 55 552 431 394 79 146 318 607	72 333 694 201 979 85 105 196 655	
TULSA		128 877 941	95 459 599	19 145 212	76 314 387	19 418 342	14 
LANE		556 651 772 4 895 776	262 651 423 4 078 279	264 196 2 253	262 387 227 1 825 279	294 349 817 497	  
BLAIR BUCKS BUTLER CAMBRIA		4 754 467 1 003 392 1 617 324 398 1 494 535	4 083 309 825 288 1 315 160 248 1 201	2 374 80 73 133 462 44 85 354 52	1 709 229 752 155 853 116 163 847 249	671 157 178 104 285 106 150 293 234	1 17 58
DELAWARE		1 460 2 726 1 196 277 381 598 1 022 171 972	1 275 2 224 868 157 283 454 819 127 796	410 725 465 8 50 99 75 32 90	865 1 499 403 149 233 555 744 95	185 502 328 116 97 144 203 44	
LUZERNE LYCOMING. MERCER. MORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL WASHINGTON. WESTMORELAND.		582 335 290 1 899 857 366 466 480 811	441 231 197 1 323 737 264 302 149 648	123 64 260 106 51 69 247	441 108 133 1 063 631 213 242 401	141 104 93 576 120 102 164 331	
ANDERSON. CHARLESTON. GREENVILLE. RICHLAND. SPARTANBURG		475 595 821 1 334 354	474 590 818 1 334 306	50 98 	424 492 818 1 334 306	1 5 3 - 48	

See footnotes at end of table

Table 42. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 312 large county governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			Total direct	:	Institutions		Probation	1
	County		current	Total	For juveniles	Other and combined	and parole	Miscellaneous
				· ·			***	
TENNESSEE: HAMILTON KNOX SHELBY SULLIVAN			923 283 7 222 120	814 275 6 771 112	142 - 224 10	672 275 6 547 102	90 8 451 8	19
TEXAS: BELL. BEXAR BERAZORIA. CAMERON DALLAS. EL PASO GALVESTON HARRIS.			254 2 563 167 384 5 520 1 266 366 6 316	84 1 574 208 3 219 538 264 3 709	173 21 936 157 942	84 1 401 54 187 2 283 381 264 2 767	170 989 113 176 2 301 728 102 2 598	9
HIDALGO . JEFFERSON . LUBBOCK . MCLENNAN . NULCES . TARRANT . TRAVIS . WICHITA .			432 482 335 819 624 1 823 1 761	238 425 117 231 333 929 538 79	23 136 - 75 84 283 164	215 289 117 256 249 646 374	194 57 218 488 291 879 1 184 224	15
UTAH: SALT LAKE . UTAH WEBER		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 674 95 296	1 674 95 296	420 45 133	1 254 50 163	, n	
VIRSINIA: ARLINGTON . FAIRFAX . HENRICO . PRINCE WILL			768 1 212 422 273	314 781 200 191	217	314 564 200 191	454 431 222 82	
WASHINGTON: CLARK KING KITSAP PIERCE SNOHOMISH . SPOKANE YAKIMA	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		547 4 875 413 1 412 1 423 1 096 598	332 3 056 298 1 349 757 930 426	87 1 662 124 1 007 235 435 224	245 1 394 174 342 522 495 202	215 1 819 115 63 666 166 172	
WEST VIRGINIA CABELL KANAWHA		• • • • • •	348 807	306 626	114 133	192 493	42 181	/ `1. 
WISCONS IN: BROWN DANE KENOSHA MILWAUKEE . OUTAGAMIE . RAC INE ROCK WAUKESHA WINNESHAGO .			292 1 053 237 6 260 116 248 836 415	292 802 237 4 821 116 120 734 403	107 245 13 1 516 52 409	185 557 224 3 305 116 68 325 403	251 787 - 128 102 12	652

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 43. Corrections expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

			I	irect exp	enditure		<del></del>	Intergove	rnmental e	penditure
City	Total				Capita	l outley			То	To
		Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	State govern- ments	10cal govern- ments
TOTAL	323 859	315 140	286 873	28 267	1 518	25 831	918	8 719	5 255	3 464
ALABAMA: BIPMINGHAM. GADSOEN. HUNTSVILLE. MOBILE. MONTGOMERY. TUSCALOOSA.	673 17 165 450 191 98	673 17 112 362 191 98	672 17 112 362 191 70	.1 - - 26	1	27	11111	53 88		53 88
ARIZONA: MESA. PHOENIX SCOTTSDALE. TEMPE TUCSON.	7 532 - 71	7 529 -	7 524 -	5 + -	5 -	1 1 1 1	11111	71	111	- 3 - 71
ARKANSAS: FORT SMITH	41 152 70 44	41 152 70 44	41 145 70 44	7	7 -	~	-	-	1 1 1	•
CALIFORNIAI ALAMEDA	113 	113 - - - 89	112	- 1 - - -	1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1111111111	11111414
COMPTON CONCORD CONCORD COSTA MESA COSTA MES	92	58 	58 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		34	34	
FRESNO. FULLERTON GARDEN GROVE. GLENDALE. HAWTHORNE HAYWARD HUNTINGTON BEACH. INGLEWOOD LAKEWOOD	12	12	12			1 1 1 1 1 1 1			11111111	
LONG BEACH. LOS ANGELES . MODESTO . MOUNTAIN VIEW . NORWALK . OAKLAND . ONTARIO . ORANGE . OXNARD .	623 253 - - 862 -	623 - - - 862	607 - 860	16	1	-		253	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	253
PALO ALTO	109 161 39	109 161 39	107 161 39	2	2					

See footnote at end of table.

Table 43. Corrections expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		. Salar Proportion for the immediance	·	Direct ox	pondi turu		TOTAL SECTION SECTION	Intorgover	nmontal ox	ondituro
(4 cy	Total		Direct		Capit	nl outlay			To Stata	To local
		Total	aurront	Total	Equip- mont	Construe- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govarn-
CALIFORNIACONTINUED SACRAMENTO. SALINAS SAN BERNARDINO. SAN BUENAVENTURA. SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO GAN JUSE. SAN LEANDRO.	886 8 866	9 1 1 1 6 6 1 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	885 8 799	57 57	1 30	8	10		111111	
SAN MATEO	51	51	51	2 7 7 3 3 3 3	540 540 640 640 640 640	775. V4 . V6 . P6 . Se . V7 . V6 .		1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
STOCKTON. SUMNYVALF TORKANCE. VALLEJO WAST COVINA WESTMINSTER WHITTIER.	100	96	96 	laps. Min The Min San San	   	24 24 24 24 24 24		13	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	1.
COLORADO: AURORA. ROHLOER COLORADO SPRINGS DENVER LAKEWOOD. PUEBLO.	30 3 160 5 7	30 3 160 99	30 2 578 79	582 582	6	576		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		
CONNECTICUT: GHIDGEPORT. BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD, MERIDEN NILFORD		# # #	## ## ## ##	1 1 1 1		Sin God God God God God God God God God God			1	
NEW BRITAIN	11111	11111		F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	27 24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	-	14 14 14		11111	
DELAKARE:			_	_		_		_	_	
PISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:	57 730	57 7 <b>3</b> 0	50 026	7 704	243	7 461	_			
FLORIDA: CLEARWATER. FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HULLYHOOD JACKSONVILLE. MIANI	41 344 61 130 85 3 552 421	41 44 61 61 130 85 2 85 421	41 344 61 130 85 3 499 421	53 53	16	34				
MIAMI BEACH ORLANDO PENSACOLA ST. PETERSHURG TALLAHASSEE TAMPA WEST PALM BEACH	71 442 103 244 6 88	71 442 103 244	71 439 103 243	141-411	3 1 			1 1 6		8

See footnote at end of table.

Table 43. Corrections expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	iroct exp				Intergover	nmontal ox	pondi turo
		City		Total		Direct		Capi ti	il outlay			To State	To logul
			:		Total	current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	fand	Totul	govorn- monts	govern- ments
GE	EORGIA: ALBANY ATLANT AUGUST COLUMB MACO!! SAVANN	Å A US	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 333 104 791 50 202	1 272 104 791 50 202	1 237 98 770 50 201	35 6 21	35 6 8 1	13	17. 24. 24. 24. 24.	61	04. 90. 29 29 29 29	61
. H	AWAII:	LU		940	940	932	8		-		-	-	_
11	DAHO: BOISE	CITY		24	24	24	-			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-
11	AUFORA BEYWYN CHAMPA	TON HEIG		13 5 006	5 006	71	4 935	-	4 935		13	-	13
	ELGIN	AINES T. LOUIS	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	5	2	2 - 1				190 190 190 190 190	-	=	, and
	SKOKI	ARK.	6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 8 0 0 0	31 97 - 88	97	97					31	-	31
<b>X</b>	EVANSO FORT OF GARY. HAMMON INDIAN MUNCIN SOUTH	SON. VILLE HAYNE NO NAPOLIS		4 8 55 28 3 742 5	55 28 3 306	4 8 155 26 886 5 1 1	420	2	2 598		436	436	*
I	CEDAR COUNC DAVEN DES M DUBUG SIOUX	RAPIDS. IL BLUFFS PORT . OINES . UE . CITY .		24 221 105 44	207	207	- - 1					5	105
, 1		S CITY AND PARK		237 117 385	117	116	ī		1 :	:  :			
1	LOUIS		6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0	35 240 120 25	186	183	. 3		3	-	54 120 25	<del> </del>	120

See footnote at end of table

Table 43. Corrections expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

			Thousand	is of doi	iars)			·		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Direct exp	enditure			Intergover	nmentul ex	penditur
City	Total		Direct		Capi ta	1 outlay		material	To State	To local
		Total	curront	Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
LOUISIANA: BATON ROUGE LAFAYETTE LAKE CHARLES. MONROE. NEW ORLEANS. SHREVEPORT.	1 402 38 17 92 4 247 161	1 402 	1 184 92 3 291 161	218 - - 956	97 - - 60	121	896	38 17 -		36 17
MAINE: PORTLAND	-	•	-	-	<b>.</b>	-	٠	-	•	
MARYLAND: RALTIMORE	6 764	6 764	6 383	381	75	306	ų.	_	-	
MASSACHUSETTS:  POSTON.  BROCKTON.  CAMBRIDGE  CHICOPEE.  FALL RIVER.  HOLYOKE  LAWRENCE,	6 687	6 679 - - 151 9	6 559 - - 118 - 9	120	103	17	111111	8		
LOWELL. LYNN. MALDEN. MEDFORD. NEW BEDFORD. NEWTON.	8 - 3 -	8 - 3				3 3 3	-	1		
PITTSFIELD	64	64	- 64 39	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1 1 1 1 1	-		-		
MICHIGAN: ANN ARBOR DEARBORN DEARBORN HEIGHTS DETROIT FLINT GRAND RAPIDS KALAMAZOO	90 116 7 4 411 331 321	90 86 6 4 189 331 267	90 86 6 4 103 330 267	86 1	12	74 -	## P	30 1 222 54		3 22 5
LANSING LINCOLN PARK LIVONIA PONTIAC ROSEVILLE ROYAL OAK SAGINAW	335 41 76 92 - 38	335 31 51 12 -	333 31 51 12 38	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-	# # # # #	10 25 80		1 2 8
ST. CLAIR SHORES SOUTHFIELD. STERLING HEIGHTS, TAYLOR. WARREN. WESTLAND. WYOMING	91 7 7 30 33	87 5 18 17	87 5 18 17	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				12 12 16	1	1
MINNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON DULUTH. MINNEAPOLIS ROCHESTER ST. PAUL.	12 24 1 275 1 75	14 1 275 75	14 1 224 40	51 35	- 34 -	17 35	-	12 10 1	~	1 1
MISSISSIPPI: JACKSON	329	329	327	2	2		_		-	

See footnote at end of table.

Table 43. Corrections expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			ŗ	irect exp	enditure			Intergover	nmental ex	penditure
City	Total	Total	Direct current		Capita Equip-	Construc-		Total	To State govern-	To local govern-
				Tota1	ment	tion	Land		ments	ments
MISSOURI: COLUMBIA. FLORISSANT. INDEPENDENCE. KANSAS CITY ST. JOSEPH. ST. LOUIS. SPRINGFIELD	1 388 3 8 002 10	1 388 8 002	1 369 7 444	- 19 558	19	[ ] -[			111111	3
MONTANA: BILLINGS. GREAT FALLS	12 55	12 55	12 55		-	11 1	=		,	- -
NEBRASKA: LINCOLN , OMAHA ,	307 180	301 80	301 80	-	_ 		<b>.</b>	6 100	6	100
NEVADA: LAS VEGAS RENO	140 249	249	248	ĩ	<u>-</u> 1	•	-	140	<b>1</b>	140
NEW HAMPSHIRE: MANCHESTER	103 62	103 57	103 51	- 6	<b>-</b> 6		-	5	- 5	=
NEW JERSEY: BAYONNE ALOOMFIELD CAMDEN., CLIFTON EAST ORANGE IRVINGTON	-			111111		11111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		111111	
JERSEY CITY				1111			1 1 1 1 1 1	-		
NEW MEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE	1 194	1 194	1 188	6	6	-	-		<b></b>	•
NEW YORK: ALBANY. BINGHAMTON. BUFFALO MOUNT VERNON. NEW ROCHELLE. NEW YORK CITY NIAGARA FALLS	50 - 125 921	125 643	3 - 116 453	9 190	162	9 028	-	47  278	47 - - 278	
ROCHESTER ROME. SCHENECTADY SYRACUSE. TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS	296	296	296			1111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
NORTH CAPOLINA: ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM. FAYETTEVILLE GREENSBORO. HIGH POINT RALEIGH WINSTON-SALEM	59		59					11111111	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	

ee footnote at end of table.

Table 43. Corrections expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

				Direct exp	enditure		- 11	Intergover	nmental ex	penditur
City	Total		Direct		Capita	l outlay			To State	To local
-		Tota1	current	Tota1	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land	Total	govern- ments	govern- ments
NORTH DAKOTA: FARGO	2	-		_	-	: 1	-	2		2
OHIO:  AKRON .  CANTON .  CINCINNATI .  CLEVELAND .  CLEVELAND HEIGHTS .  COLUMBUS .  DAYTON .  ELYRIA .  EUCLID .  HAMILTON .	396 109 2 162 2 167 26 961 1 026 50 87 54	281 98 2 162 2 167 4 961 1 026 42 70	279 98 1 857 2 167 960 986 42 9	2 305 1 40 70	2 - 1 - 1 - 29 - 1 - 1	305 - 11 70		115 11  22  8 17 45	1311111	115 11 22 17 45
KETTERING LAKEWOOD, LIMA. LORAIN. MANSFIELD PARMA SPRINGFIELD TOLEDO WARREN. YOUNGSTOWN	42 157 55 13 14 47 782 49 242	156 51 10 23 782 49 242	156 51 10 23 779 49 242			11111111111111		42 - 1 4 13 4 24 - -	11111111	1:
KLAHOMA: LAWTONNORMAN. OKLAHOMA CITY	41 33 451 417	41 28 451 417	41 28 441 415	- 10 2	N 1 1 1	- 10	1111	5.		
REGON: EUGENE PORTLAND SALEM	1	1 -	1	1 1		111	-	=	-	
ENNSYLVANIA: ALLENTOWN ALTOON BETHLEHEM CHESTER EKIE HARRISBURG	14 	14	14		- - - -	111111	4 1 1 F 1 F 1	21		2
PHILADELPHIA PITTSBURGH. READING SCRANTON, WILKES-BARRE. YORK,	34 878 - - - -	30 439	28 618	1 821	105	1 716		4 439	4 439 	
HODE ISLAND: CRANSTON PAWTUCKET PROVIDENCE, WARWICK.	-	1.1	£ # #	-		111	1		1111	
OUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON COLUMBIA GREENVILLE	217 36	217 36	179 36	38 -	38 -		-	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		
OUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS	15	· ·	-	-	-	-	_	12	-	1
ENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA KNOXVILLE MEMPHIS NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	250 1 494 1 909	250 1 000 1 909	248 996 1 888	- 2 4 21	- 4 21	1 20 1	-	494	1 2 1	49

See footnote at end of table.

Table 43. Corrections expenditure of 384 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

	1		( I nousai	irect exp	·····			Internal		
City	m	<del></del>	<u> </u>		<del></del>	1 outlny		Intergover	To	
City	Total	Total	Direct current	Total	Equip- ment	Construc-	Land	Total	State State govern- ments	To local govern- ments
TEXAS:  ABILENE  AMARILLO.  ARLINGTON  AUSTIN.  BEAUMONT.  BROWNSVILLE  CORPUS CHRISTI.  DALLAS.  EL PASO	46 91 56 120 22 185	46 91 56 120 185	38 91 56 120 185	91311111	8			22		22
FORT WORTH. GALVESTON GARLAND GRAND PRAIRIE HOUSTON IRVING LAREDO. LUPBOCK MESQUITE	393 77 42 51 2 401 65 10 49	393 77 42 51 2 401 65 49	393 77 42 51 2 399 65 49	2	2			10	111111111	10
MIDLAND ODESSA. PASADENA. PORT ARTHUR SAN ANGELO. SAN ANTONIO TYLER WACO. WICHITA FALLS	43 87 42 36 311 8 86 91	43 87 92 36 59	43 87 42 36 59		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	1111111111		311 8 27	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	311 8 27
UTAH: OGDEN PROVO SALT LAKE CITY.	- 40	- 40	- 40	*** ***	14.1 14.1 14.1	111	•	-	<u>-</u>	. · ·
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA. CHESAPEAKE. HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS, NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH. RICHMOND ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH.	670 905 329 307 1 036 1 588 266 1 630 908 619	663 905 329 307 1 036 1 588 253 1 630 908	607 894 285 296 1 012 1 498 253 1 630 809 604	56 11 44 11 24 90	56 11 26 11 24 18	18 72 9		7	7	13
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE EVERETT SEATTLE SPOKANE TACOMA.	45 39 1 234 185 287	41 1 234 287	40 1 230 286	1 - 4 -	1 4	- - - -		19 185	-	4 39 185
MEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON HUNTINGTON	84 25	-		-		-	-	84 25	-	84 25
WISCONSINI APPLETON. GREEN BAY KENOSHA LA CROSSE MADISON MILWAUKEE OSHKOSH RAGINE WAUWATOSA WEST ALLIS	1312022212			11.53.1	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	- 3 1 2 10 22 2 2 2	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	10 22 22 22 2

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

### Table 44. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

		(11	lousatius	Of Gollars)				
City	Total direct current oxpendi- ture	Probation and parole	Miscol- lansous	City	Total direct current expendi- ture	Institu- tions	Probation and parole	Miscel- laneous
TOTAL			18 040	CALIFORNIA CONTINUED SANTA CLARA	-	-		-
BIRMINGHAM	17 1 112 11 362 36 191 17	7 2 2 - 6 15		SIMI VALLEY. SOUTH GATE. STOCKTON SUNNYVALE. TORRANCE. VALLEJO.	51 - 96	51 96	-	
ARIZONA; MESA	524 48	7	-	WEST COVINA	-		-	-
ARKANSAS: FORT SMITH LITTLE ROCK. NORTH LITTLE ROCK.	41 145 70	11 - 15 20 162 18		BOULDER. COLORADO SPRINGS	30 2 578 - 99	30 2 499 - 99	79	
PINE BLUFF	112 1	12	:	CONNECTICUT: BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD MILFORD		-	-	
BELLFLOWER	89	99 -	-	NEW BRITAIN		-	-	· •
CONCORD	5B	58	:  :	DELAWARE: WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	:  -		:	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: WASHINGTON	50 026	40 223	5 133	4 670
FRESNO				CLEARWATER	61 130	130	=	
HAWTHORNE	:1 =1			JACKSONVILLE	3 499 421	3 371 421	128	:
LAKEWOOD	607			MIAMI BEACH. ORLANDO. PENSACOLA. ST. PETERSBURG TALLAHASSEE. TAMPA.	439 103 243	439 103 211		-
NORWALK,	860	160	-  .	WEST PALM BEACH	133	133		
ORANGE OXNARD	107	107	-	ALBANY	1 237 98 770	96 712	51	8 -
REDONDO BEACH REDWOOD CITY RICHHOND	39		-	HAWAII:	932	938	2	-
SACRAMENTO		-	-	BOISE CITY	. 21	1 2	4   1	
SAN BERNARDINO	885 8 799 5	726	15	- BERWYN			- 1	71
SAN LEANDRO SAN MATEO SANTA ANA SANTA BARBARA				CHICAGO CICERO DECATUR DES PLAINES	:			

### Table 44. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

CORRECTIONS

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total direct current expendi- ture	Institu- tions	Probation and parole	Miscel- laneous	City	Total direct current expendi- ture	Institu- tions	Probation and parole	Miscel- lancous
LLINGIS~-CONTINUED	-			-	MICHIGAN:				
EAST ST. LOUIS ELEIN.	2		2	_	ANN ARBOR	90 86	- 86	90	
EVANSTON	-		-	_	DEARBORN HEIGHTS	6	-	6	
OAK LAWN	-			_	FLINT.	4 103 330	4 103 280	50	
QAK PARK	-	-	-	-	GRAND RAPIDS	267	-	267	
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	KALAMAZOO	333	200	133	
ROCKFORD	97	97		-	LINCOLN PARK	31 51	31	51	
SKOKIE	82	82	-	-					
WAUKEGAN	-	-		_	PONTIAC	12	-	12	
DIANA:	14 II				ROYAL OAK	38	5	33	
ANDERSON	4 8		4 8	-	ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	:	SOUTHFIELD	87	_	87	
BARY	55 28	-	*5 28	_	TAYLOR	5	-	5	
INDIANAPOLIS	2 886	2 262	624	· -	WESTLAND	18	-	18	55.0
MUNCIE	5 -	_	5 -		WYOMING	17	•	17	119
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	MINNESOTA: BLOOMINGTON				
VA:				·	DULUTH	14	: -	14	
EDAR RAPIDS	24	24	-	_	MINNEAPOLIS	1 224	1 224		
AVENPORT	207	-	-	-	ST. PAUL	40	40	-	
UBUQUE	-	_	-	207	MISSISSIPPI:		4		
IOUX CITY	43	43 (NA)	-	-	JACKSON	327	327	-	
		()			MISSOURI:				
ISAS: (ANSAS CITY	237	227	10	_	COLUMBIA	-			
VERLAND PARK	116	65	51	_	FLORISSANT		-		
VICHITA	367	364	3	=	KANSAS CITY	1 369	850	519	
TUCKY:					ST. LOUIS	7 444	4 512	2 831	
COVINGTON	35 183	35 183	-		MONTANA:				
OUISVILLE	102	102	-	Ξ.	BILLINGS	:2	12	-	-
OWENSBORO		-	-	-	GREAT FALLS	55	55	-	
UISIANA: BATON ROUGE	1 184	1 102	82		NEBRASKA:	301	208		-
LAFAYETTE	1 104	1 102	-	_	LINCOLN	80	208	93 23	
AKE CHARLES	92	92		-	NEVADA:				
HEW ORLEANS	3 291 161	2 912 161	379	-	LAS VEGAS	200	- 0/10	-	
	101	101	-		RENO	248	248	-	
NE:	_	-			NEW HAMPSHIRE: MANCHESTER	103	_	103	
YLAND:					NASHUA	51	-	51	
BALTIMORE	6 383	6 338	41	4	NEW JERSEY:				-
SACHUSETTS:		-			BAYONNE	-	-	-	
OSTON	6 559	3 950	2 609	-	CAMDEN	-	-	-	
ROCKTON		-		-	CLIFTON	-	-	-	
ALL RIVER	118	-	:	118	ELIZABETH	-	•	-	
IOLYOKE	-		-	_	IRVINGTON	-	-	-	
AWRENCE	9 -	-	9 -	-	JERSEY CITY		-		
YNN	8	-	8.		1 PASSAIC			_	
ALDEN	-	-	-	_	PATERSON	_	:	-	
HEDFORD	3	-	3	-	UNION CITY	-		-	
VEWTON	=			-	NEW MEXICO:				
PITTSFIELD	-		:	-	ALBUQUERQUE	1 188	1 027	161	
SOMERVILLE	64	64	-	-	NEW YORK:	_	_		
YALTHAM	-	-		-	BINGHAMTON	3		**	
WORCESTER	39	-		39	BUFFALO	-	-	-	

### Table 44. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 384 large city governments, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

			(111	ousanus	of dollars)				
City		+1004	robation and parole	miscel- lancous	alty	Total direct current expendi- ture	Inetitu- tions	Probation and parole	Miscel- lanecus
NEW YORKCONFINUED MOUNT VERNON NEW ROCHELLE NEW YORK CITY NIAGARA FALLS ROCHESTER,	116 453		29 007 -	7 862	SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON	179 36	179 36	-	-
ROME	296	296	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		TENNESSEE: CHATTANOGGA. KNOXVILLE. MEMPHIS. NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON TEXAS:	248 996 1 888	248 973 1 888	23	- - - -
NORTH CAROLINA; ASHEVILLE. CHARLOTTE. DURHAH, FAVETTEVILLE GREENSBORO. HIGH POINT FALEIGH WINSTON-SALEM.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	59	ABILENE, AMARILLO ARLINGTON. AUSTIN . BEAUMONT . BROWNSVILLE, CORPUS CHRISTI . DALLAS . EL PASO.	38 91 56 - 120 185	32 91 56 120 185	6	
NORTH DAKOTAI FARGO	279 98 1 857 2 167 4 960 986	194 1 189 1 908 807 952	85 98 516 259 4 153 34	152	FORT WORTH GALVESTON. GARLAND. GRAND PRAIRIE. HOUSTON. IRVING LAREDO. LUBBOCK. MESQUITE MIDLAND.	393 77 42 51 2 399 65 49	393 77 42 51 1 581 65 		818
ELYRIA	156 51	42 - - 71 51	9	- - - 85	ODESSA	43 87 42 36 59	43 87 42 36 - 59	11	
MANSFIELD. PARMA: SPRINGFIELD. TOLEDO WARREN. YOUNGSTOWN	10 23 779 49 242	658 49 222	10 23 121 20		UTAH: OCDEN PROVO SALT LAKE CITY VIRGINIA; ALEXANDRIA	- 40 607	40 490	117	•
OKLAHOMA: LAWTON	41 28 441 415	415 342	28 26 73		CHESAPEARE HAMPTON LYNCHBURG NEWPORT NEWS NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH	894 285 296 1 012 1 498 253	892 142 253 787 1 043 207	2 143 43 210 427	15 28 46
OREGON: EUGENE. PORTLAND. SALEM. PONNSYLVANTA;	1 -	1			RICHMOND ROANOKE VIRGINIA BEACH WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE	1 630 809 604 40	1 112 435 318	518 374 286	
ALLENTOWN. ALTOUNA. DETHLEHEH, CHESTER ERIE HARRISDURG	14	11111	1 1 1 1 1 1	19	ZVERETT. SEATTLE. SPOKANE. TACOMA WEST VIRGINIAL CHARLESTON	1 230 286	952 245	278 41	
LANCASTER PHILADELPHIA PITTSDURGH READING SCRANTON WILKES-BARRE YORK		16 223	8 895	3 500	HUNTINGTON		1		
RHOOE ISLAND: CRAMBTON			•		MADISON. HILWAUKEE. OSHKOSH. RACINE WAUWATOSA. WEST ALLIS				

## Table 45. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1974

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		al correcti	ons activi	iles				Instit	utions			<del></del>
	).	Number of	employees			Τo	tal		Γ	For	men	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State					Numb	or of emplo	yoos		Numi	ber of emplo	ovees .	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent <sup>1</sup>	October payroll <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Tota1	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- ient	October psyroll
					:						<del></del>	
TOTAL	122 560	120 519	121 160	110 710	96 277	94 684	95 164	86 864	57 035	56 655	56 783	53 315
ALASKA	1 246	1 240	1 243	850	1 006	1 000	1 003	646	628	622	625	412
	418	405	412	534	309	304	306	396	107	105	106	150
	1 084	1 063	1 070	943	916	896	903	770	177	164	169	150
	643	643	643	420	591	591	591	383	292	292	292	194
	13 257	12 613	12 807	14 913	10 871	10 359	10 494	12 035	6 868	6 802	6 822	7 829
COLORADO	1 544	1 533	1 535	1 443	1 125	1 125	1 125	1 041	699	699	699	673
	2 742	2 686	2 701	2 539	2 036	2 009	2 015	1 873	1 455	1 438	1 442	1 394
	683	683	683	637	564	564	564	536	294	294	294	317
	8 308	8 269	8 274	7 035	5 336	5 335	5 335	4 476	3 088	3 088	3 088	2 747
	3 427	3 427	3 427	2 566	2 258	2 258	2 258	1 668	1 321	1 321	1 321	1 670
HAWAII	372	372	372	412	282	282	282	320	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
	370	362	364	276	281	278	279	210	176	173	174	133
	4 767	4 767	4 767	4 668	3 891	3 891	3 891	3 757	2 338	2 338	2 338	2 242
	1 969	1 969	1 969	1 598	1 831	1 831	1 831	1 459	1 363	1 363	1 363	1 102
	1 277	1 269	1 271	1 061	1 141	1 133	1 135	938	710	707	708	618
KANSAS	2 126	2 114	2 114	1 422	2 035	2 035	2 035	1 354	850	850	850	594
	1 448	1 448	1 448	1 011	1 110	1 110	1 110	762	723	723	723	539
	2 139	2 139	2 139	1 486	1 564	1 564	1 564	1 021	826	826	826	554
	679	663	668	548	609	593	597	486	288	288	288	231
	4 687	4 682	4 683	4 773	3 237	3 232	3 232	3 458	2 031	2 031	2 031	2 291
MASSACHUSETTS	3 188 3 389 1 489 940 2 044	3 187 3 287 1 426 922 1 938	3 187 3 312 1 442 924 1 972	2 885 3 538 1 329 581 1 312	2 544 2 996 1 168 768 1 605	2 544 2 909 1 132 750 1 525	2 544 2 929 1 143 752 1 548	2 233 3 071 1 182 464 1 030	1 845 1 720 633 1 044	1 845 1 682 622 1 001	1 845 1 690 625	1 743 1 739 686 657
MONTANA	508	477	490	382	432	403	415	323	213	205	208	177
	794	775	780	590	624	614	616	464	402	396	397	317
	478	477	477	475	379	378	378	377	241	241	241	244
	311	311	311	271	232	232	232	169	124	124	124	113
	3 417	3 371	3 398	3 340	2 961	2 915	2 941	2 873	1 232	1 222	1 229	1 211
NEW MEXICO	618	616	617	445	414	412	412	299	215	215	215	162
	12 054	11 920	11 959	13 664	10 011	9 890	9 923	11 291	6 780	6 780	6 780	7 713
	5 196	5 196	5 196	4 180	3 959	3 959	3 939	3 092	3 074	3 074	3 074	2 422
	254	244	247	178	227	219	220	156	106	99	100	71
	6 561	6 277	6 389	5 952	5 523	5 258	5 358	4 769	2 810	2 739	2 770	2 612
OKLAHOMA	1 603	1 603	1 603	942	1 239	1 239	1 239	694	885	885	885	496
	1 456	1 403	1 427	1 283	1 094	1 051	1 071	972	704	683	694	634
	4 008	4 008	4 008	4 143	3 280	3 280	3 280	3 369	1 880	1 880	1 880	1 970
	745	738	739	790	520	518	518	602	384	384	384	478
	2 048	2 044	2 048	1 445	1 365	1 365	1 365	878	734	734	734	466
SOUTH DAKOTA	232 2 386 4 154 695 441	209 2 372 4 111 634 412	214 2 379 4 124 653 418	170 1 562 2 890 549 349	187 1 938 3 621 423 361	178 1 924 3 585 395 332	180 1 932 3 596 403 338	143 1 234 2 485 340 275	1 122 2 301 180	1 117 2 301	1 120 2 301 166	715 1 635 146
VIRGINIA	4 178	4 152	4 159	2 932	2 708	2 682	2 688	2 158	1 830	1 822	1-825'-	1, 541
	2 426	2 392	2 403	2 112	1 899	1 865	1 880	1 683	970	966	967	890/1
	760	735	744	459	633	609	618	372	405	390	396	245
	2 682	2 648	2 657	2 640	1 887	1 880	1 880	1 884	875	873	873	929
	319	283	283	187	286	251	256	163	92	88	90	63

Table 45. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1974—Continued

							natitutions	Continued					
		- 1	For v	омоп		\$1	For ju	veniles			Other an	d combined	
	Stato	Hun	ber of emplo	уесв		Num!	er of emplo	yees		Numb	or of emplo	yoes	
		Total	Full-time only	Full-timo equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Fuli-time only	Pull-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll
	TOTAL	2 903	2 849	2 869	2 560	30 055	28 968	29 285	24 937	6 284	6 212	6 236	6 052
	ALABAMA	46 10 331	46 10 301	46 - 10 312	33 - 6 394	332 106 257 289 3 672	332 106 253 289 3 256	332 106 255 289 3 360	201 120 190 183 3 812	96 482	93 479	94 480 -	120 430
	COLORADO	(NA) 140 14 23 56	(NA) 138 14 23 56	(NA) 139 14 23 56	(NA) 127 16 16 37	426 296 256 1 951 881	426 288 256 1 950 881	426 290 256 1 950 881	368 233 203 1 468 561	145 274	145 274	145 274	119 245
	HAWAII,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	112 78 40	112 78 39	112 78 39	107 52 29	95 105 1 441 390 391	95 105 1 441 390 387	95 105 1 441 390 388	93 77 1 408 305 291	187	187	187	221
	KANSAS KENTUCKY LOUISIANA MAINE	60 42 58 -	60 42 58 101	60 42 58 -	40 26 38 106	349 345 680 321 811	340 345 680 305 806	340 345 680 309 807	224 197 429 255 751	785 - 294	785 - 294	785 - 294	496 316
	MASSACHUSETTS	132 57 35	132 52 35	132 54 - 35	47 18	567 1 276 478 261 526	567 1 227 458 261 489	567 1 237 464 261 502	379 1 332 449 138 355	507	489	490	320
	MONTANA	(x) 39 263	(X) 38 - 257	(x) 38 - 260	(X) 27 231	219 183 138 108 816	198 180 137 108 795	208 181 137 108 805	146 120 133 86 787	650	641	645	644
	NEW MEXICO. NEW YORK, MONTH CAROLINA. MONTH DAKOTA. OHIO. (2)	7 210 120 -	7 210 120 180	7 210 120 183	218 93 164	192 1 395 765 121 1 924	190 1 274 765 120 1 762	191 1 310 765 120 1 817	133 1 369 577 85 1 622	1 626	1 626	1 626 - 589	1 991 571
	OKLAHOMA	45 25 138 (NA) 49	45 25 138 (NA) 49	45 25 138 (NA) 49	26 22 140 (NA) 33	309 365 1 262 136 582	309 343 1 262 134 588	309 352 1 262 134 582	172 316 1 259 124 379				
	SOUTH DAKOTA	71 96 -	71 96	71 96	47 73	68 745 1 224 143 162	65 736 1 188 124 150	66 741 1 200 130 153	45 472 777 102 113	119 - 280 19	271 19	115 - 274 19	98 238 10
1.1	VIRGINIA	119 105 16 77	119 105 15 77	119 105 15 77	91 106 8 74	759 824 212 716 194	741 794 204 711 163	745 808 207 712 166	526 687 119 666 100	219	219	219	215

## Table 45. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	α	rrections o	dministrati	lon	Pro	bation, pare	ole, and par	don		Misce	lancous	
State	Numi	er of emplo	уеев	[	Mun	bor of emplo	yees		Nun	ber of empl	Іоучов	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payrol1	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October	Total	Full-time equiva- lent	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll
TOTAL	6 295	6 163	6 198	6 213	18 682	18 402	18 492	16 255	1 306	1 270	1 280	1 377
ALABAMA	50 16 75 3 643	50 16 75 3 606	50 16 75 3 614	50 23 77 3 819	190 90 86 49 1 038	190 82 86 49 972	190 86 86 86 89 1 008	154 111 89 34 1 249	3 7 705	3 6 - 676	3 6 685	4 7 810
COLORADO	(NA) 270 54 253 304	(NA) 256 54 250 304	(NA) 260 54 251 304	(NA) 243 48 242 266	419 417 59 2 719 865	408 402 59 2 684 865	409 406 59 2 688 865	402 407 50 2 317 631	19 6	19 6	19 6	16 3
HAWAII	(NA) 377 21 35	(NA) 377 21 35	(NA) 377 21 35	7 (NA) 416 29 39	86 89 499 117 91	86 84 499 117 91	86 85 499 117 91	85 66 495 109 76	- - - 10	10	10	
KANSAS	20 42 113 5 181	20 42 113 5 181	20 42 113 5 181	19 35 93 6 197	71 276 457 65 1 253	59 276 457 65 1 253	59 276 457 65 1 253	49 198 368 57 1 103	20 5 16	20 5 -	20 5 -	16
HASSACHUSETTS	330 93 303 9 (NA)	330 93 276 9 (NA)	330 93 284 9 (NA)	324 113 132 9 (NA)	314 300 18 163 439	313 285 18 163 413	313 289 18 163 424	328 354 15 108 282		-		
HONTANA	(NA) 21 (NA) (NA) 127	(NA) 20 (NA) (NA) 127	(NA) 20 (NA) (NA) 127	(NA) 20 (NA) (NA) 152	76 149 99 79 320	74 141 99 79 320	75 143 99 79 320	59 107 98 71 302	7		- - - 9	13
YEW HEXICO	9 771 227 (NA) 572	766 227 (NA) 562	9 767 227 (NA) 566	9 838 226 (NA) 579	195 1 115 869 27 466	195 1 112 869 25 457	195 1 114 869 26 460	137 1 347 749 22 403	157 141	152 141	153 141	188 113
OKLAHOMA,  PREGON.  PENNSYLVANIA,  PHODE ISLAND.  OUTH CAROLINA.	104 104 100 70 240	104 101 100 70 240	104 102 100 70 240	72 82 124 63 216	260 258 628 155 246	260 251 628 150 246	260 254 628 151 246	176 229 650 125 180	197	197	197	171
OUTH DAKOTA	4 78 224 10 13	78 223 7 13	4 78 223 9 13	3 62 158 10	37 370 309 260 67	24 370 303 230 67	26 370 304 239 67	21 266 247 197 58	4 2	3	3	3
VIRGINIA	165 (NA) (NA) 246	165 (NA) (NA) 219 8	165 (NA) (NA) 225 8	132 (NA) (NA) 255	1 300 527 127 549 24	1 300 527 126 549 24	1 300 527 126 549 24	638 430 87 501	5	5	.5	5 ~~ ~~

(Dollar amounts

Į		Tota	sl correcti	ons activiti	20°				Institu	tions			
		Numbe	er of emplo	уеся			Total ing	titutions		În	stitutions	for juvenil	es
	County		2.17	5.17	October	Numi	er of emplo	oyees		Kum	ber of empl	oyees	
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payro11 <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Octobe payrol
	TOTAL	53 276	49 460	50 671	47 398	33 601	30 649	31 660	28 633	12 700	10 867	11 490	9 97
	ALADAMA: CALHOUN JEFFERSON HADISON HOBILE, HONIGONERY TUSCALOOSA.	15 170 37 76 57 39	15 169 37 74 52 38	15 169 37 75 54 39	10 144 21 49 37 21	6 107 26 59 57 24	6 106 26 57 52 23	5 106 26 58 54 24	3 87 13 36 37 12	35 11 31 41	35 11 31 36	35 11 31 38	2 1 2
	ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE .	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		- -	
	ARIZONA: MARICOPA PIMA	514 338	496 327	501 332	450 282	203 227	198 216	199 221	182 171	88 87	84 77	85 82	7
	ARKANSAS: PULASKI	43	42	42	24	32	31	31	17	5	5	5	
	CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA BUTTE CONTRA COSTA FRESNO KERN LOS ANGELES HARIN HERCED MONTEREY ORANGE SACRAMENTO	1 037 79 624 498 366 6 729 184 93 192 1 256 659	996 69 522 392 325 6 437 184 89 190 1 210 537 598	1 000 72 555 423 345 6 487 184 90 191 1 223 546 616	1 052 67 621 411 381 7 312 214 78 197 1 368 578 697	463 52 350 320 3216 3 706 77 53 106 655 284 387	425 43 275 229 178 3 624 77 51 104 634 256 336	428 46 299 254 197 3 640 77 51 106 640 265 351	431 41 318 230 2017 85 43 107 668 269 390	264 1/3 253 114 116 1 686 51 10 39 406 146 181	248 13 185 102 91 1 607 51 9 37 390 126	249 15 208 105 100 1 622 51 9 39 395 134 149	23 1 21 9 10 1 69 5 40 13 16
	SAN BERNARDING. SAN DIEGO SAN DIEGO SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO SAN HATEO SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CLARA SANTA CRUZ SOLANO SONOMA STANISLAUS TULARE VENTURA	818 1 549 303 84 601 367 961 122 175 239 190 184 379	738 1 457 260 73 538 294 878 102 169 175 159 162 358	761 1 482 283 80 555 304 903 110 174 192 167 171 364	788 1 697 293 84 632 323 1 099 112 179 183 157 152 375	459 736 176 42 267 242 795 71 89 159 159 105 111 225	393 668 144 25 25 233 178 713 55 84 108 75 90 206	412 685 161 40 245 188 738 62 87 125 83 99	435 759 166 39 277 194 915 63 92 114 77 83 217	257 296 72 13 145 112 536 46 72 30 59 73	200 268 46 7 115 59 463 24 41 43 20 40 55	215 275 62 11 126 68 484 28 24 53 23 48	20 30 5 13 66 56 24 44 27 55
	COLORADO: ADAMS	20 25 25 58 30 23	20 25 25 57 28 21	20 25 25 58 29 22	15 20 17 35 23 13	20 25 25 58 30 23	20 25 25 57 28 21	20 25 25 58 29 22	15 20 17 35 23	3	11.1	2	
	DELAWARE: NEW CASTLE		-	-		_	-	-	-	_	-	-	
	FLORIDA: ALACHWA BREVARD BROWARD OADE. ESCAMBIA, HILLSBOROUGH LEE LEON ORANGE. PALM BEACH PINELLAS. POLK. SARASOTA. VOLUSIA	61 22 172 541 57 265 17 27 105 129 38 58 20 73	61 22 165 540 57 252 17 27 105 128 38 58 20 71	61 22 168 540 57 259 17 27 105 128 38 28 20 72	54 16 140 505 46 222 11 19 86 111 33 43 14 51	50 22 163 541 57 237 17 27 105 129 38 58 20 73	50 22 157 540 57 224 17 27 105 128 38 58 20	50 22 160 540 57 231 17 27 105 128 38 58 20	45 16 134 505 46 197 11 19 86 111 33 43 14 51		56 133 50 50 37 15	59 133 57 57 	41 11 5
	GEORGIA: 8188. CHATHAM COBB. DE KALB FULTON. RICHMOND.	41 65 66 158 343 82	41 65 65 158 334 81	41 65 65 158 337 81	30 42 47 119 305 48	21 57 38 104 195 78	21 57 37 104 187 78	21 57 37 104 189 78	14 33 25 74 157	27 47 55	27 47 50	27 47 51	1 2
	IDAHO:	32	28	29	20	22	18	19	12	16	12	13	

See footnotes at end of tabl

#### 312 large county governments, October 1974

<del></del> -		onsContinue			Probation	and parole			Misce	llaneous	······································	T
		bined institut	tions	Nu	mber of emplo	yees .		Nu	imber of emplo	уевя		٦,
Total	Full-time	Full-time equivalent	October payrol1	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payrol1	Total	Full-time	Full-time equivalent	October payrol1	
20 901	19 782	20 170	18 661	19 125	18 299	18 518	18 311	550	512	524	456	,
6 72 15 28 16 24	6 71 15 26 16 23	6 71 15 27 16 24	3 63 8 18 12 12	9 63 11 17 -	9 63 11 17 -	63 11 17 -	7 57 8 13	-	11111	-	-	
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
115 140	114 139	114 139	107 108	311 105	298 105	302 105	268 106	6	6	61	- 5	1
27	26	26	15	11	11	11	7	-	-	-		1:
199 34 92 206 100 2 020 26 43 67 249 138 206	177 30 90 127 87 2 017 26 42 67 244 130 198	179 31 91 149 97 2 018 26 42 67 245 131 202	199 31 103 137 119 2 324 29 36 70 288 132 225	536 27 274 178 150 3 023 95 40 86 601 284 272	533 26 247 163 147 2 813 95 38 86 576 281 262	533 26 256 170 148 2 847 95 39 86 583 282 265	587 26 303 181 158 3 295 118 35 90 680 309 307	38 - - 12 - -	38	38	54   11 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
202 440 104 29 122 130 259 35 43 87 75 52 152	193 400 98 28 118 119 250 31: 43 65 55 50	197 410 101 29 119 120 254 34 43 72 60 51	227 454 111 30 139 129 346 37 47 69 57 44 160	359 598 127 42 334 125 166 51 78 80 84 73	345 578 116 38 305 116 165 47 78 67 83 72	349 585 123 40 310 117 165 48 78 68 83 72	352 751 127 45 355 129 184 49 75 69 79	215	211	212	177	24 25 26 27 28 27 29 31 32 33 34 35 36
20 25 25 58 27 23	20 25 25 57 27 21	20 25 25 58 27 22	15 20 17 35 22 13	-			- - - -	-				37 38 39 40 41 42
50	50	50		1		-	-	-	-	-	<b>-</b>	43
22 101 408 57 174 17 27 105 91 23 58 20 73	22: 101: 407: 57: 174: 17: 27: 105: 91: 23: 38: 20: 71:	22 101 407 57 174 17 27 105 91 23 58 20 72	45 16 89 392 46 147 11 19 86 85 21 43 14				111111111111111111111111111111111111111	28	28	11	25	445 447 447 449 551 552 554 556 57
21 30 38 57 140 78	21 30 37 57 137 78	21 30 37 57 138 78	14 19 25 46 118 46	20 8 28 54 148	20 8 28 54 147 3	20 8 28 54 147 3	16 9 22 45 148 2				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	58 59 60 61 62 63
6	6	6	4	10	10	10	a			_		64

(Dollar amounts

		Total	l correction	ons activiti	es l	1.			Institu	tions			
number		Number	r of emplo	yoos		15	Total ins	titutions		<b>I</b> ne	titutions	for juvenil	es -
	County		Full-time	Full-time	October payroll	Numb	er of emplo	oyees	October	Humb	er of empl	oyees	October
Line		Total	only	equivalent,	psy1011	Total	Pull-time only	Full~time equivalent	payro11	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payrol1
12545	ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN COOK. DU PAGE KANE. LAKE. LA SALLE. HCHENRY HCLEAN,	57 1 422 124 95 89 25 26 18	39 1 422 106 82 84 19 26	43 1 422 114 86 86 22 26	26 1 320 93 64 62 13 19	46 1 160 75 54 54 18 8	28 1 160 58 46 51 12 8	32 1 160 65 48 52 14 8	21 1 095 51 30 38 8 7	30 281 38 25 20 8	25 281 23 18 18 7	27 281 30 20 19 7	17 246 24 13 10 3
	MACON	26 74 54 40 62 48 19 29 86	22 66 53 59 58 43 15 29 74	24 68 53 39 59 45 17 29	14 51 35 28 39 28 9 23	14 47 35 6 41 22 11 22 53	10 40 34 6 37 20 7 22 44	12 42 34 6 38 21 9 22 45	6 29 21 3 25 12 5 19 36	4 23 15 - 9 10 - 28	4 19 14 9 8	4 20 14 - 9 9	2 12 10 4 5 -
	INDIANA: ALLEN DELAWARE, ELKHART LAKE. LA PORTE. HADISON ST. JOSEPH, TIPPECANOE. VANDERBURGH VIOO.	127 30 38 98 43 37 72 26 49	109 30 32 95 28 31 72 20 45	116 30 34 97 33 33 72 23 46 44	92 18 24 76 23 18 52 13 36 21	88 20 21 37 23 49 21 30	70 20 19 36 3 21 49 17 30	79 20 19 36 4 22 49 19 30	63 11 13 27 3 11 34 10 24	52 12 8 22 - 16 11 16	34 12 6 21 - 14 11 13	43 12 6 21 15 11 15	26 6 3 14 - 6 5 7
	IOWA: BLACK HAWK	32 62 204 21 22	31 51 181 21 11	31 54 190 21 13	20 39 139 15 10	14 19 75 11 12	14 16 65 11 2	14 17 69 11 4	8 11 45 8 2	- 57 - 9	51	54 2	32 1
1	KANSAS: JOHNSON	52 101 79 45	43 73 75 41	46 80 77 42	37 45 45 24	36 46 71 32	34 36 67 30	36 38 68 30	27 20 39 18	13 33 42 15	12 23 42 14	13 25 42 14	7 14 22 7
	XENTUCKY: FAYETTE	( <sup>2</sup> ) 289 20	(*) 279 18	! <sup>2</sup> ) 285 19	(2) 182 14	(²) 240 10	(²) 231 9	( <sup>2</sup> ) 237 9	(²) 150 B	(² ) 138 1	[ <sup>2</sup> ] 129 1	(²) 135	(²) 80 1
1005	LOUISIANA: CADDO	91 36 79 38 40 26	91 32 74 32 24 19	91 34 75 34 38 21	55 19 57 16 22 13	82 36 69 38 35 19	82 32 64 32 19 13	82 33 65 34 30 15	49 19 50 16 19	12 20 26 26 14 14	12 20 26 21 11	12 20 26 22 13	5 9 22 10 5 6
6 7 8	MAINE: CUMBERLAND PENOBSCOT YORK.	26 12 38	24 11 13	25 11 21	16 7 12	26 12 38	24 11 13	25 11 21	16 7 12	# #	-		
901234	MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL. BALTIMORE HARFORD MONTGOMERY PRINCE GEORGES WASHINGTON,	38 102 27 80 40	38 93 27 78 40 13	38 95 27 79 40 13	33 101 26 97 33 10	38 58 27 80 32 12	38 49 27 78 32 12	38 51 27 79 32 12	33 58 26 97 27 9	8		3	
567890123	MASSACHUSETTS: BERKSHIRE BRISTOL ESSEX HAMPDEN HAMPSHIRE HIDOLESEX NORFOLK PLYMOUTH WORCESTER	51 125 191 180 68 446 123 149 252	47 119. 183 178 54 438 120 124 239	48 120 185 178 57 440 121 146 241	45 121 178 174 55 426 117 137	28 56 96 70 44 193 43 65	26 50 89 69 32 186 40 61	27 51 90 69 34 188 41 63	26 54 84 70 34 177 39 62 116	10	10	10	8

See footnotes at end of fable

#### 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

		sContinued	(516 (316		Probation	and parole			Miscoli	laneous	
	ther and combi		ons	Nu	mber of emplo	yeos		Nun	aber of employ	oes	1
Nu Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October psyrol1	Total	Full-timo only	Full-time equivalent	Octobor payroll
16 879 37 29 34 10 8	35 2879 35 28 33 5 8	5 879 35 28 33 7 8	4 849 27 21 28 5 7	11 262 49 35 35 7 18 8	11, 262, 48, 30, 33, 7, 18, 8	11 262 49 32 34 7 18 8	5 225 42 25 24 5 12 7	6	6		5
10 24 20 6 32 12 11 22 25	6 21 20 6 28 12 7 22 25	8 22 20 6 29 12 9 22 25	17 11 3 21 7 5 19 21	12 27 19 34 21 26 8 7 26	12 26 19 35 21 25 8 7	12 26 19 53 21 24 8 7	8 22 14 25 14 16 4 18	111111177 7	1111111116	1 1 1 7 7	
36 8 13 15 7 7 38 5	36 8 13 15 3 7 38 4 30 6	36 8 13 15 4 7 38 4 30 6	37 5 10 13 3 5 29 3 24 3	39 10 17 61 18 14 23 5 19 8	39 10 13 59 14 10 23 3	39 10 15 61 16 11 23 4 17 8	29 7 11 49 11 7 18 3 12 6	18			9
14 19 18 11	14 16 14 11 2	14 17 15 11 2	8 11 13 8 1	18 43 126 10	17 35 113 10 9	17 37 118 10 9	12 28 91 7 8		3	3	3
23 13 29 17	22 13 25 16	23 13 26 16	20 6 17 11	16 55 8 13	9 37 8 11	11 42 8 12	10 25 6 6	111	-	-	77 14 14 18
(° ) 102 9	(²) 102 8	(*) 102 8	(2) 70 7	(* ) 28 10	(² ) 27 9	(°) 27 9	( <sup>2</sup> ) 18 6	(²) 21	(*) 21 -	(2) 21	(* ) 14
70 16 43 12 21	70 12 38 11 8 4	70 13 39 12 17 5	44 10 28 6 14 3	9 10 - 5 7	9 10 5	9 10 5 6	6 7 3 4	-		-	1111
26 12 38	24 11 13	25 11 21	16 7 12	-	*	1	-	- - -	-	-	-
38 50 27 80 32 12	38 49 27 78 32 12	38 49 27 79 32 12	33 56 26 97 27	44 8 1	т 44 В 1	44 - - 8 1	43 6 1	-		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.0
28 56 86 70 44 183 43 65	26 50 79 69 32 176 40 61	27 51 80 69 34 178 41 63	26 54 76 70 34 169 39 62 116	23 69 95 110 47 253 79 84 132	21 69 94 109 16 252 79 83 124	22 69 94 109 16 252 79 83 125	19 67 94 104 16 249 77 75 128	7	6	7	5 11

(Dollar amounts

		Tota	1 correcti	ons activiti	<b>0</b> В				Inst1.tu	tions			
ų		Numbe	er of ample	yees			Total ins	titutions		nľ	stitutions	for juveni	les
Line number	County	Total	Full-time only	Full-timo oquivalent <sup>1</sup>	October payrol13	Muzik Total	Full-time		October payroll	Hum Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payrol1
12345678	MICHIGAN: BAY . BERRIEN . GALHOUN INGHAH JACKSON KALAMAZGO	31 118 80 183 119 65 126	29 110 75 149 105 55 124 142	29 116 77 171 111 57 125 153	24 87 67 190 92 50,	17 76 51 140 83 43 87 148	17 71 46 106 69 33 85 123	17 76 48 124 77 35 86 132	13 54 39 150 60 32 68 116	9 43 33 83 52 31 50 48	9 38 31 55 38 22 48 31	49 38	7 28 25 96 29 24 38 32
901121141516	MACOMB. MONROE. MUSKEGON. DAKLAND OTTAWA. SAOINAW ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW WASHTENAW	136 48 67 281 32 100 78 158 845	136 38 60 258 25 69 52 139 843	136 42 63 266 28 80 58 147 844	117 31 58 257 21 61 51 137 1 021	109 33 57 238 15 73 73 104 550	109 25 50 218 15 45 47 86 548	109 29 54 225 15 56 52 92 349	88 19 49 213 11 39 45 85 656	81 18 21 163 6 34 51 55 213	81 12 143 5 16 25 211	15 18 150 6 22 32 46	9 12 142 4 17 26 42
8 19 20 21 22	MINNESOTA; ANOKA	26 15 402 300 108	25 15 369 286 102	394 290	26 13 413 321 92	10 4 202 166 75	195 156 69	197 159	11 4 178 168 62	149 58 19	142	<u>.</u> 50	50
23 24	MISSISSIPPI: HARRISON	20 16	20 15	20 15	11 10	20 16	20 15		11 10	12	12	12	6
25 26 27 28 29	MISSOURI: CLAY	58 23 425 10 322	408	410 10	28 12 295 4 198	49 23 385 4 279	31 16 368 4	18 370 4	22 12 267 2 169	252	23	23	172
0E 1E		113			95 11	92	88		76 1			1 2	2 1
32 33		295 76		294 75	309 80				231 55				
34 35		52 13			31 9		11						
26 27 29 41 42 42	BERGEN	1 058	29: 13: 29: 8: 1 05:	298 2 134 2 295 3 90 2 1 053	265 98 237 66 1 092 64	168 87 168 65 722 57	15: 76: 5: 71:	5 158 5 79 6 166 9 61 718	145 146 47 738	5 4: 5 4: 14: 14: 5 2:	5 4 1 7 4 4 13	3 1 5 4 8 1	3 32 6 10 5 28 0 7 0 122 2 8
445	MIDDLESEX	19 14 11 30 10	29: 5 19: 1 13: 5 10: 6 28: 8 9:	3 300 6 196 8 141 7 109 6 296 8 104	249 141 111 8 25	172 143 6 7 1 156	14 14 5 6 15	6 15: 1 14: 9 6: 8 7: 6 14: 1 3:	13: 10: 5: 5: 12:	5 5 7 1 1 3 3 6	4 3 1 3 7 9	11 3 9 1 13 3	5 24 0 36 1 19 1 12 5 21 2 42 7 36
3	NEW HEXICO:	. 3	0 3	0 30	2	1 30	3	0 3	2	1 3	0 :	10 2	0 2
555555666	BROOME. CHAUTAUQUA. CHEMUNG OUTCHESS.	7 12 69 31	0 6 6 3 2 6 6 8 5 58 4 30 3	7 78 7 69 9 96 16 625 12 305	5 2 4 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	7 4 5 1 5 9 5 9 51 20 7 43	0 1 0 2 7 4 7 40 2 19	9 2 5 2 7 6 9 45 19 45 19 42	7 2 5 1 5 4 1 37 3 17 4 48	05692 8	1	18	1 (2)

Hoo footnotes at end of table

#### 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

	<del></del>	nsContinued	<del></del>		Probation	and parole			Miscel	lancous	
	ther and comb	ined institut	ions	Nu	mber of employ	eos		Жa	aber of emplo	уеов	
rotal	Full-time only	yees Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
8 33 18 57 31 12 37 100	8 33 15 51 31 11 27 92	8 33 16 52 31 11 37 94	6 26 14 54 31 8 30 84	14 28 29 43 36 22 39 24	12 25 29 43 36 22 39	12 26 29 43 36 22 39 21	11 21 28 40 32 18 41 21	14		14	12
28 15 36 75 9 39 22 49 337	26 13 36 75 9 29 22 46 337	28 14 36 75 9 34 22 47 537	30 10 37 71 7 22 19 43 406	27 15 10 43 17 26 54 295	27 13 10 40 10 23 5 53 295	27 14 10 41 12 24 5 54 295	29 12 9 44 10 21 .6 52 365		1	111114761	11111-0111
10 4 53 108 56	10 4 53 104 52	10 4 53 105 55	11 4 55 118 47	16 11 200 114 33	15 11 194 114 33	15 11 197 114 33	15 9 235 132 30	20	16	18	21
8 81	8 15	B 15	5 10	\$\\ <b>\</b> \$	=	1	-	-	į	-	<b>≒</b>
12 14 133 4 119	12 14 133 4 116	12 14 133 4 117	9 10 95 2 93	9 40 6 22	9 40 21	9 40 5 21	6 - 28 3 19	21	- - 8	11	10
87	87	87	74	15 13	15 10	15 10	14 10	-	6	6	5
84 22	84 22	84 22	91 23	75 22	73 22	75 22	78 25	-	=	1	1
52 13	41 12	46 12	31	2	=	-	-	=	=	-	#. *
81 125 63 121 51 578 37 129	79 112 63 121 51 578 36 129	79 115 63 121 51 578 37	56 113 46 120 37 616 28 128	42 140 56 137 29 336 42 126	\$2 140 56 125 29 336 42 126	42 140 56 129 29 336 42 126	27 120 42 89 22 353 28 108		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		11111111
122 118 110 52 37 87 36 78	122 112 110 50 35 87 31 78	122 113 110 50 35 87 36 78	108 99 85 45 30 81 26	74 147 55 79 39 150 63 149	74 147 55 79 39 150 63 147	74 147 55 79 39 150 63	56 114 39 58 32 134 54 128	11111191	2 2 2 2 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10111111	F 1 1 1 1 5 4 4
	-	_	-	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	*
106 36 30 94 433 151 366 40	106 18 5 26 57 359 142 366 37	106 26 5 28 65 389 144 366 37 48	74 19 5 16 49 322 142 427 32 27	17 50 26 42 32 163 112 541 33	17 50 25 41 32 162 112 544 33	17 50 26 91 32 162 112 541 23	10 37 20 30 26 164 125 573 32 26	15	15	15	13

(Collar amounts

		Tot	al correct	lons activit	ins				Institu	tions			
L		Kumb	er of emplo	yeos			Total ins	titutions		ſn	stitutions	for juveni	es
a tumber	County	Total	Full-time	Full-time equivalent	October payroll'	Num	ber of empl	loyees Full-time	October payroll	Num	ber of emp		October
Line	ectative profession expressive adultation for the least of a result of the second		Gniy	odurvarenc		Total	only	oquivalent	payrott	Total	outh.	Full-time equivalent	payroll
1234567890	NEW YORK-CONTINUED ONDNOADA. ORANGE. OSWEGO. RENSSELAER. ROCKLAND. ST. LAWRENCE. SARATOGA. SCHENECTADY SUFFOLK ULSTER.	458 94 74 77 46 72 641	395 73 41 51 73 43 43 47 633	84 42 61 74 44 31 54 636	329 72 29 40 62 31 19 36 638 40	336 61 25 48 34 20 19 46 300	273 40 23 25 32 18 17 22 292 45	51 24 38 33 19 19 29 295	232 14 14 19 25 12 10 19 303	39 1 13 	23 1 11 11 44 1	1 1	22 1 6 
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WESTCHESTER	463 31 25 15 37 27 29 16 24	463 30 25 13 30 25 62 88 16	31 25 15 32 25 65 91	20 15 10 26 17 45 67 9	281 25 15 27 27 69 84 16 24	281 30 25 15 30 25 62 79 16	31 25 15 32 25 65 82 16	305 19 15 10 26 17 45 58 9	23 5 2 13 3 20 19	2 2 7 3 15 18	5 -2 9 3 17	26 2 11 14 4
21 22 23 23 25 26 27 29	OHIO: ALLEN	29 64 45 14 530 195 20 307	24 52 21 12 473 172 20 281 46	55 25 12 491 180 20	16 38 18 9 370 137 15 237 36	13 31 17 7 353 119 14 181 42	13 29 9 6 296 118 14 160	29 10 6 316 118 14 167	8 20 8 5 216 8 9 119 23	12 6 266 54 117 24	11 231 53 99	251 53 105	761 161 32 69
30 31 32 33 35 36 37 38	LICKING LORAIN. LUCAS. MAHONING. MONTGOMERY, PORTAGE. RICHLAND. STARK SUMMIT. TRUMBULL.	11 75 205 61 229 34 32 99 154 22	11 69 180 49 214 19 19 80 134	71 189 53 220 23 23 68 138	7 50 143 39 181 16 18 63 116 17	5 27 151 37 132 22 13 65 107	5 24 126 25 118 8 11 50 88	25 135 29 123 13 12 56	2 18 97 21 101 8 9 42 78 10	23 82 82 96 15 35 59	20 62 8 83 4 	69 12 88 8 30 44	14 52 66 14
40 41 42	OKLAHOMA: COMANCHE OKLAHOMA TULSA	12 90 103	88	89	7 59 71	10 44 68	10 43 68	43	6 27 44	3 19 33	3 18 33	18	2 10 19
43 44 45 47	OREGON: CLACKAHAS	49 67 88 296 77	58	61 71 277	39 51 59 329 59	31 67 45 239 32	20 58 33 213 29	61 37 221	20 51 29 265 24	27 15 113	19 15 92	15	16 12 119
49 01 23 54 55 55 55	BUTLER	497 603 40 108 29 35 120	49 81 32 107 25 32	56 81 38 107 26 33	370 38 60 20 91 18 22 93 36	347 38 62 30 72 15 22 98	71 11 19 98	34 60 28 71 12 21 98	11	235 15 8 9 17  3	207 9 6 3 16 	16 16 3	173 8 3 4 11 - 1 9
5755961625465	FAYETTE FANKLIN. LACKAWANNA. LANGASTER LAWRENCE.	102 250 103 38 50 54 117 24	250 102 36 35 51 109	250 102 36 42 52 111	78 20 27 30 71 12	74 183 53 24 39 43 92 18	11	183 52 22 33 41 87	37 12 19 21 51 8	13 11 12	23 2 6 11 7	23 2 11 11 9	

See footnotes at end of table.

#### 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

	<del></del>	nsContinu			Probation	and parole			Misce	laneous		T
	ther and comb	<del></del>	utions	Hu	mber of emplo	уеов		Nu	mber of emplo	yous		number
otal late	Full-time only	yeos Full-timo equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-timo equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-tima equivalent	October payroll	Line num
297 61 24 35 34 20 19 46 251 59 258	250 40 22 14 32 18 17 24 248 44 258	27 5 2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 5 5	1 3 6 3 9 9 9 9	10 122 44 33 13 18 13 26 25 43 26 22 26 10 13 19 26 53 341 29 17 79 162	122 33 18 26 41 25 13 25 341 17 182	122 33 18 26 42 25 13 25 341 17 182	97 28 15 21 37 19 9 17 335 10		11111111111	11111111		1
26 25 13 24 29 65 16 14	26 25 13 23 22 47 61 16	2 2 1 2 2 4 6 1	3 3 3 6	17 - 15 - 9 - 20 - 15 - 34 - 44 9 - 10 -	9	9	111411011	7 4 7 2 7 3 7 3		<b>1</b>	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
13 19 11 7 67 65 14 64 18	13 18 6 65 65 14 61	6 6 6 1 6	B 9 6 5 5 4	8 12 13 33 7 28 5 7 55 177 76 9 6 50 126 12 12	8 23 12 6 177 54 6 121	9 26 14 6 177 61 6 122	7 18 10 154 154 54 118 10	4	3	31-11-11-14	1	
5 4 69 17 36 7 13 30 48 11	5 4 64 17 35 4 11 25 48	6 1 3	5 5 2 6 8	2 4 48 48 54 12 24 33 97 12 9 19 25 34 17 7 7	6 45 54 24 96 11 8 30 46 7	6 46 54 24 96 11 10 32 46 7	5246888891886		-			
7 25 35	7 25 35	2 3		4 17 46 25 35	45 45 35	2 45 35	1 32 27	1 1	=	-	-	
31 40 30 126 32	20 39 18 121 29	3 2 12 3	3 1	20 18 33 - 17 43 31 57 24 45	17 31 56 37	17 	19  30 64 35	1 5 7 3 6		-		1
112 23 54 21 55 15 19 86 29	112 18 54 19 55 11 17 86 27	11 22 5 1 1 1 1 8	1	13 150 15 22 14 21 9 10 42 36 7 14 10 13 67 22	96 22 21 10 36 14 13 22 22	101 22 21 10 36 14 13 22 23	84 15 16 78 11 11 18 17	24 24 25 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	-	-	77 78 77 77 78	
74 108 29 22 26 32 80 18 73	70 108 29 20 18 29 77 11 65	7 10 2 2 2 2 3 7 1 6	· F	57 28 75 67 21 50 11 14 13 11 16 11 47 25 8 6 47 26	26 67 50 14 11 11 25 5	28 67 50 14 11 11 25 6 26	20 39 41 8 8 9 20 4 16					

(Dollar amounts

	_			<del></del>	<del></del>		······································	<del></del>			(1	Jollar ar	nounts
1.	14 44	<del></del>	<del></del>	ons activit	ies		M-1-1-1-	titutions	Institu				
number	County	11440	er of emple	7 003	Outober	Num	ber of emp		r		ber of emp	for juvenil	.65
Line m		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payroll <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time	<del></del>	October payroll	Total	Full-time only		October payroll
123456789	PENNSYLVANIA CONTINUED LUZERNE LYCOMING. MERCER. MONTGOMERY. NORTHAMPTON SCHUYLKILL. WASHINGTON. YORK.	63 46 31 192 76 43 53 60 78	61 35 31 172 76 43 51 54 69	62 38 31 180 76 43 51 56 73	45 27 20 148 58 24 33 38 52	46 703 1310 1310 1310 1313 1313 1313 1313	44 19 23 115 60 24 32 7	45 22 23 121 60 34 32 9	33 15 13 101 44 18 21 5 38	12 8 42 13 45 13 20	8 8 35 13 4 3 7	- 9 8 39 13 4 4 9	1645000000
10 11 12 13	SOUTH CAROLINA: ANDERSON CHARLESTON GREENVILLE RICHLAND SPARTANBURG	42 72 34 42 20	37 63 34 39 18	39 66 34 41 19	25 39 21 26 13	41 72 34 42 13	37 63 34 39 13	39 66 34 41 13	25 39 21 26 9	8 20 - -	6 11 -	7 14 -	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
15 16 17 18	TENNESSEE: HAMILTON.,, KNOX., SHELBY.,	82 52 452 8	81 35 452 8	81 48 452 8	48 25 334 5	69 51 407 7	68 34 407 7	68 47 407 7	39 24 295 4	22 30	22 30	22 30	9 17
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	TEXAS; BELL	36 292 23 65 438 118 34 628	28 262 16 61 409 118 34 615	32 269 19 64 423 118 34 618	17 172 12 30 362 73 21 476	7 200 6 31 246 71 23 350	7 171 6 31 220 71 23 339	7 178 6 31 235 71 23 342	3 102 4 13 184 42 13 249	32 100 25	32 75 25 113	32 90 25	16 
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	HIDALGO JEFFERSON LUBBOCK MCLENNAN NUECES. TARRANT TRAVIS. WICHITA	51 57 37 81 72 177 205 39	51 57 37 78 69 164 196	51 57 37 79 70 167 201 38	25 41 21 50 47 133 147 28	31 50 10 31 39 105 62	31 50 10 30 36 92 62 7	31 50 10 31 37 96 62 7	13 56 67 22 68 43	12 25 7 15 35 19	12 25 7 12 30 19	12 25 7 13 32 19	5 16 3 7 24 12
35 36 37	UTAH: SALT LAKE UTAH	156 21 48	141 21 32	156 21 34	99 9 24	156 21 48	141 21 32	156 21 34	99 9 24	56 16 29	56 16 14	56 16 16	35 6 11
38 39 40 41	VIRGINIA: ARLINGTON	77 96 43 27	58 90 41 25	63 90 43 26	63 103 34 23	31 52 20 15	24 52 18 15	26 52 20 15	28 58 14 14	13	13	13	15
423 445 445 447 447 447	WASHINGTON; CLARK	56 553 60 146 117 112 51	50 478 46 127 111 111 47	51 496 49 133 115 111 48	41 437 41 107 81 84 33	36 372 44 135 60 96	31 303 30 119 55 95	32 321 324 124 59 95	24 285 27 99 40 69	8 181 24 110 17 52 14	6 131 14 94 13 51 10	7 144 17 99 16 51	5 111 11 76 10 38
49 50	WEST VIRGINIA: CABELL	26 65	26 55	26 58	17 41	22 48	22 38	22 41	13 26	01 22	10 14	10 16	5 9
50000000000000000000000000000000000000	WISCONSIN: GROWN OANE KENOSHA MILWAUKEE OUTAGAMIE RACINE ROCK WANKESHA WINNEG-GO	29 97 21 382 13 33 79 35 14	21 73 21 362 10 18 71 35	22 80 21 782 11 22 74 35 9	17 83 20 393 9 18 67 34 5	29 81 21 306 13 23 70 35	21 57 21 306 10 8 62 35	23 65 21 306 11 12 65 35	17 64 20 309 9 6 60 34	18 34 2 84 14 52	10 18 2 84 6 45	12 24 24 24 12 12	7 21 1 81 5 43

<sup>&</sup>quot; Represents zoro or rounds to sero

#### 312 large county governments, October 1974—Continued

11) (1)000		nsContinued			Probation	and parole			Miscol	laneous		
0	ther and comb	ined institut	(ons	Hum	ber of employ	005		שא	abor of emplo	yces		¥ pog
Nu	mber of employ	rees	October		Full-time	Full-time	October payroll		Full-time	Full-time	October payroll	Line number
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payroll	Total	only	equivalent	,	Total	only	oquivalent	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Line
46 18 15 89 47 30 29	44 11 15 80 47 30 29 -	45 13 15 62 47 30 29 -	33 9 76 38 16 19	17 16 8 61 16 19 47	17 16 8 57 16 9 19 47	17 16 8 59 16 9 19 47	12 12 7 47 14 6 12 33	1111111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14111111	***************************************	123456789
33 52 34 42 13	31° 52 34 39 13	32 52 34 41 13	21 31 21 26 9	1 - 7	1 1 5	6	(z) - - 4			1111	11111	10 11 12 13 14
47 51 377 7	46 34 377 7	46 47 377 7	30 24 278 4	11 1 45 1	11 1 45 1	11 1 45 1	7 1 39 1	2	2	211	<b>%</b> 1 15.1	15 16 17 18
7 168 6 31 146 46 23 231	7 139 6 31 145 46 23 226	7 146 6 31 145 46 23 228	3 86 4 13 121 26 13	29 92 17 34 192 47 11 273	21 91 10 30 189 47 11 271	25 91 13 33 191 47 11 272	14 70 8 17 176 31 8 222	7 7 7 5		5	**************************************	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
19 25 10 24 24 70 43	19 25 10 23 24 62 43 7	19 25 10 24 24 64 43	8 20 6 14 15 44 31	20 7 27 50 33 71 139 32	20 7 27 48 33 71 130 27	33	12 5 15 53 25 64 101 29	1 4	2	1 =	(d) 1 14-41D	27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
100 5 19	85 5 18	100 5 18	64 3 13	5 ( -	=	-		=	-		-	35 36 37
31 39 20 15	24 39 18 15	26 39 20 13	28 43 14 14	46 38 23 12	34 38 23 10	37 38 23 11	35 45 20 9	= =	-	1111	=	38 39 40 41
28 191 20 25 43 44 11	25 172 16 25 42 44 11	25 177 17 25 43 44	19 174 16 23 30 31	20 181 16 11 57 16 26	19 175 16 8 56 16 26	16 9 56 16	17 152 14 8 41 10	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		11111	## ## ## ## ## ##	42 43 45 46 47 48
12 26	12 24	12 25	8 17	4 17	17	17	4 15	-		-	=	49 50
11 47 19 222 13 9 18 35	11 39 19 222 10 2 17 35	11 41 19 222 11 3 17 35	10 43 19 228 9 3 17 34	16 23 10 9	16 23 10 9	23	i -	53	53	53	54	51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59

Z Lass than half the unit of mensurement show

Bonnuse of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

As of January I, 1974, the governments of Fayetto County, Kentucky and Lexington city, Kentucky morged to form the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government. All employees of the joint government are new shown as employees of Lexington city, Kentucky in the city tables presented elsewhere in this report.

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,
October 1974

	(Do	llar amounts in	thousands	)			
	To	tal corrections			Insti	tutions	
City	Number of	employees		Numl	or of emplo	yees	
		-time Full-time	October payroll <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
TOTAL	18 814 1	8 211 18 419	18 919	14 404	13 896	14 072	14 595
ALABAMA: BIRMINGHAM. GADSDEN.,,,,,,, HUNTSVILLE.,,,,, MOBILE.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	57 5 15 33 21	57 57 57 55 15 33 21 21 -	52 3 9 27 13	46 5 15 33 21	46 5 15 33 21	46 5 15 33 21	45 27 13
ARIZONA: MESA PHOENIX SCÓTTSDALE TEMPE TUCSON	4	4 4	3	- 1 - 1	( 1 7 1 %	-	
ARKANSAS: FORT SMITH	17 7 4	4 17 7 7 4 4	3 12 6 3	4 13 5 4	4 13 5 4	4 13 5 4	3
CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA	5	5 5		5	1111116111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
CONCORD	4	4 4		4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	43	4	
HAWTHORNE HAYWARD HUNTINGTÓN BEACH INGLEWOOD LAKEWOOD LONG BEACH LOS ANGELES MODESTO MOUNTAIN VIEW NORWALK DAKLAND	9 61	5 6 61 61 7 7 87	78	61	5 61 	61	7
ONTARIO	7 7 7 3 3	7 7 7 7 13 15 3 3 3	- - - 7 14 3	14 5	77 103	12 3	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued`

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	<u> </u>	Probation	and parole	919		Miscel	laneous	
City	Num	ber of emplo	yees		Numb	er of employ	ees	October
<b>v</b>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payroll
TOTAL	4 048	3 960	3 996	3 973	362	355	358	358
ALABAMA:			•	7	_			<u> </u>
BIRMINGHAM,	11	11	11		_			_
HUNTSVILLE		-	-	-	-	_	[ -[	
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	] -	-	_
MONTGOMERY		] -	-		_	-	] []	
TOSCALOUSA!							1 1	
ARIZONA:			[	. !	1			
MESA	4	- u	4	3	[		ادًا	
SCOTTSDALE		] -	_	]			-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-		-	-	-	•
TUCSON	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	•
ARKANSAS:		1	1				j i	
FORT SMITH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	} ·· - [	
LITTLE ROCK		4	4	3	-	-	-	•
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	2	2	2	2	]	-	{	
PINE BLUFF	_				{			
CALIFORNIA:	1		İ	1	ţ.	j	· !	
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-		Jeen I	]	
ALHAMBRA	1 :	1		1 -			-	
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-		A. The
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BERKELEY	-	-		[ ]	1 -		[ ]	le sui
BUENA PARK	_	]		] _	-	_	-	
CARSON	-	_	-	-	-	-	<b>-</b>	•
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	1.		
CONCORD	-	_	\ -	-	\ , <b>-</b>	-	_	
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	
DALY CITY	-		_		_	]		
DOWNEY	]		-	1	_	-	_	
EL MONTE.	,	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	***
FREMONT	-	-	•	-	]		]	
FRESNO	_		1 _	]		_	_	
GARDEN GROVE.			-	-	_	-	-	
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
. C. L. Company of the Company of th		1	_		_	_	_	
HAYWARD	] [	}	_	_	-	-	-	i. Santa
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	1 -			
LAKEWOOD.	_		]	1 -	-	-	.  -	
LONG BEACH			-		-	.   -		
MODESTO	<b>-</b>			-				
MOUNTAIN VIEW								}
NORWALK	-	1.				I .	1	
MUTHIN								ľ
ONTARIO	-			[ ]	-		1	1
ORANGE	-   -			ş-		:		1
OXNARD		1 '		1	-} -	. ] .		l Santa
PASADENA				-		-   -	.  -	
PICO RIVERA	-				-			
POMONA	3		3	1 "	:		1 .	
REDWOOD CITY		1		1				
RICHMOND.	-		•	·				
RIVERSIDE	-	.}	.) .	-	1 -	•	-) -	I

See footnotes at end of table

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

			Total co	rrections			Insti	tutions	
City		Kum	bor of emplo	yees		Num	ber of emplo	yees	
Control of the contro	N Wilderson & Navilla Sea and a second	Total	full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll <sup>3</sup>	Total	Pull-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroli
GALIFORNIACONTINUED SACRAMENTO. SALINAS. SAM BERNARDINO. SAM BUENAVENTURA. SAN OIEGO SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOSE. SAN LEANDRO. SAN MATEO. SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA		35 635	35 605	75 611 -	44 614	35 329 329	35 300 -	35 306 -	3(
SANTA HONICA. SANTA ROSA. SIHI VALLEY SOUTH GATE. STOCKTON. SUNNYVALE TORRANCE. VALLEJO WEST COVINA WESTMINSTER. WHITTIER.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	11171181111	770	7 - 7 - 8 - 1 - 7	11141181111	7 - 8	7 - 8	77 - 8	
OLORADO: AURORA. BOULDER COLORADO SPRINGS. DENVER, LAKEWOOD. PUEDLO,	* * * *	209	2 <i>2</i> 1	204	224	205	197	200	28
ONNECTICUTE BRIDGEPORT. BRISTOL DANBURY HARTFORD. MEKIDEN MILFORD.							8	8	
WEST HAVEN	* * * *			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-			11111	
WILMINGTON		3 333	3 333	3 333	3 486	2 674	~ 2711	-	
LORIDA: CLEARWATER. FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE. HIALEAH HOLLYWOOD JACKSONVILLE. MIAHI MIAHI DEACH ORLANDO PENSACOLA TALLAHASSEE TALLAHASSEE		4 35 - 13 6 28 6 57 124	45 136 258 56 258 56 104	4 35 5 269 5 57 10 24	3 33 10 5 200 - 6 36 11	2 074 4 35 13 6 275 57 10 24	2 674 4 35 13 6 247 5 56 10 24	2 674 4 35 - 13 6 258 - 5 5 57 10 24	2 71 3 1 19

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Probation	and parole			Miscel	laneous	
City	Num	ber of emplo	yees		Xumb	er of employ	eçs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
CALIFORNIACONTINUED SACRAMENTO		-	-	11111			1 1 1 1	
SAN FRANCISCO	306	305	305 	313  - - - -	1113111			
SANTA MONICA.  SANTA ROSA. SIMI VALLEY SOUTH GATE. STOCKTON. SUNNYVALE TORRANCE. VALLEJO WEST COVINA. WESTMINSTER WHITTIER.	111111111111	1111141111		111111111111111111111111111111111111111			111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
COLORADO: AURORA	1 1 4 1	1 4 1	4 4	3		1.1.1		
CONNECTICUT: BRIDGEPORT. BRISTOL. DANBURY HARTFORD. MERIDEN	111111			11				: : :
NEW BRITAIN NEW HAVEN NORWALK STAMFORD WATERBURY WEST HAVEN				1 1 1 1 1		-	** ** ** ** **	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
DELAWARE: WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	_	<b>+</b> .	-	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: WASHINGTON	322	322	322	429	337	337	337	344
FLORIDA: CLEARWATER FORT LAUDERDALE GAINESVILLE HIALEAH HOLLYWOOD JACKSONVILLE MIAMI	1	11	11	7		1111111	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
MIAMI BEACH	11111			1			1111111	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

			mounts in			•		
and the second s	The state of the s	<del></del>	rrections			Turket		
City	Huinb	or of employ			Vient	Institutions Number of employees		
ACCUSTOCIONE REPORTED PROPERTIES ACCUSED ACCUSED PROPERTIES ACCUSED PROPERTIES ACCUSED PROPERTIES ACCUSED ACCUSED PROPERTIES ACCUSED AC	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent1	October payroll'	Total	Full-time only	Full-time	October payroll
GEORGIA: ALBANYA ATLANYA AUGUSTA COLUMBUS MACON SAVANHAH	100 13 52 9 14	100 12 52 9	100 12 52 9	79 6 30 5 8	100 13 48 9	100 12 48 9	100 12 48 9	79 6 28 5
HAMALI: HAMOLULU. IDANO; DOISE CITY.	64	64 3	64	69	64	64	64	69
ILEINOISI AGLINGTON HEIGHTS AURGRA. BERYYN. CHAMPAIGN CHICAGO CICERO. BECATUR DES PLAINES EAST ST. LQUIS.				2	3	5	3	2
EVANSTON. JOLIET. DAK LAWN. OAK PARK. PEDRIA. HOCKFORD. ROCK ISLAND SKOKIE. SPRINGFIELD WAUKEGAN.	5	1 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 6 1	1 1 1 5 1 7 9 1	1111151151	10	1115118	11115119	5
INDIANA: ARVERSON. EVANSVILLE. FORT WAYNE. GARY, HAMMOND LHOLANAPOLIS. MUNCIE. SOUTH BEND. TEGRE HAUTE	1 6 394 1	368	1 6 3 383 1	1 5 3 260 (z)	290	278	283	193
IOWA: CEDAR RAPIDS. COUNCIL BLUFFS. DAYENPORT OFS MOINES. DUBUQUE SIOUX CITY. WATEGLOO.	171101	37	3 5 9 1 5 1	3 - 4	5 	5 fna)	131115	3
RANSAS: RANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK TOPEKA	22 6 17	2a 10 17	22 6 17	15 5 8	22 6 17	(NA) 22 6 17	(NA) 22 6 17	(NA)
KENTUCKY: COVINGTON LEXINGTON LOUISVILLE OWENSDORO  Geo feetnetes at and of table.	48	32	41	28	19	19	19	14

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Probation and parole					Miscel	laneous	
City	Numb	er of emplo	yees		Numbe	or of employ	ees	<del></del>
	Tota1	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
EORGJA:		***************************************						<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>
ALBANY	_	-		_	_			
ATLANTA		-	-		_	_		
AUGUSTA	-1	· -	-	. 7		-	-	
COLUMBUS	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	
MACON			-	**	-			
SATAMIAN.	-		-	_	_	-	-1	
AWAII:								
HONOLULU,	-	-	-	-	**	-	_	
DAUG.	}		100				1	
DAHO: BOISE CITY	_				1			
	71	_	[	_	-	-	7	
1	1							
LLINOIS:	- [						1	
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-[	-		-	·		-1	
AURORA	. <u> </u>	-	-	•••	-	-	~	
CHAMPAIGN	II	<u> </u>			_			
CHICAGO		<b>.</b>	<u>-</u>	_	-	_	_	
CICERO	-	-	<b>-</b>			-	-1	
DECATUR	-	7.7	-	. =	-	-	-	
DES PLAINES	-	-	-		-	-	-	
EAST ST. LOUIS	II.	-			~	-		
	- 18 A	4.1		-	·		-[	
EVANSTON	-1	-	_	_	_	_	_	
JOLIET		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-	_			
OAK LAWN.	-	-	-	-	-	~		
OAK PARK.		-	~	-	-	-	-[	
PEORIA		-	-		-	-	_	
ROCK ISLAND		_		_		_		
SKOKIE.	-	-		_			-	
SPRINGFIELD	-		-	-	-	-		
WAUKEGAN	-1	-	-		-	-,	-	
200 7 2 2 2 2 2								
NOTANA: ANDERSON.			2	<b></b>	_			
EVANSVILLE	1	1	1	1	<u>.                                    </u>	_		
FORT WAYNE		- <b>-</b>		Į.		_	_	
GARY	6	6	6	5	_		-	
HAMMOND	3	3	. 3	3		, <del></del>	-	
INDIANAPOLIS	104	90	100	67 1	(Q)446		{}	
MUNCIE		_	1	<u>.</u>	[]	-	<u>-</u> 1	
TERRE HAUTE	-1	· 14		_	_		-	
	a ta a 🛔						j, te il	
0.004	1							
OWA: CEDAR RAPIDS								
COUNCIL BLUFFS.	<b>51</b>	Ξ.						
DAVENPORT	-1	_	- (	-	_	_	_}	
DES MOINES		-	-	. 4	-	-		
DUBUQUE			-	-		•	<del></del>	A
SIOUX CITY,	-1	-		*	~-	-	-1	
WATERLOO.	-1	•	-	-	-	7	-	
ANSAS:							. · · · <b>]</b> .	
KANSAS CITY	-1	_		-		~	-	
OVERLAND PARK	-	<b>,</b>	-	-	-		-1	
TOPEKA		=	~	-				
WICHITA	-	-		-	-	Ψ.		
ENTUCKY:	ŀ						1	
COVINGTON	_	•	_		_			
			- 1			- 1	- 1	
	20	13	23	14	<b></b>	r= (	1	
LEXINGTON	29	13	23	14	1	-	_	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

	Total	corrections		Institutions				
City	Number of emp	loyeas	0	Numb	umber of employees			
adda 270 juli kassa (yili 1001/1884 dhistoshi kakiyi Dirijah Quriyan mashada da asay kasay ka kasay ka ka biri	Total Pull-ti	Full-time equivalent	October payroll <sup>1</sup>	Total		Full-time equivalent	October payroll	
LOUISIANA: BATON ROUGE , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	97 9	6 97	63	91	88	89	57	
HONBOE,	8 366 36	2 7	2 275	- 8 333	2 332	7 333	2 254	
SHREVEPORT, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		9 20	11	20	19	20	1,1	
PORTLAND: , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	438 42		418	470		1170		
MASSACHUSETTS:	409 40		440	438 231	438	438 231	411	
BROCKTON,	-	79 409 ** ** ***	-	271	531	221 - -	231	
FALL RIVER,	23 1 1	6 19	13	-	•	-		
LYNN		**	-	-	-	-		
MALDEN	* *		-	-	-	-	•	
NEWTON. PITTSFIELD. QUINCY. SOMERVILLE.	**		-	1	111	. <u>-</u>		
SPRINGFIELD			-	-	1		•	
MICHIGAN: ANN ARBOR OEARBORN. OEARBORN HEIGHTS. FLINT.		7 18	6 7 1 232 21	- 5 211 15	203 14	207 15	232 18	
GRANG RAPIDS	19 21 2 5	5 7 1 21 2 2 3 4	7 23 2 5	15 2	15 2	15 2	1	
PONTIAC	2 16	1 1	2 3		-	-		
ST. CLAIR SHORES	9	7 7	7 1	•	1 1 1 1			
WESTLAND	2 1	1 1	2 1	-	1	<b>1</b>		
MINNESOTAL BLOOMINGTON	74 7	74	81	74	74	74	a	
Mississippi: Jackson		7 39		41	37	39	2	

Ove footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

CORRECTIONS

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Probation and parole						
City	Numl	er of emplo	ycos		Numbe	r of employees	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payrol1	Total	Full-time Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	8	8	8	6			. ]
LAFAYETTE	-	_	2	[]			
LAKE CHARLES			-		_		
MONROE		-	- ·		-		-
NEW ORLEANS	33	33	33	21	-	-	•
SHREVEPORT	-	-	-	-1		- 4	•1
MAINE: PORTLAND							
PORTLANO.	·	~	_	-	~		<b>'</b>
MARYLAND:				[ ]			ų.
BALTIMORE		-	# _ # _	_1	_	_	.1
				1 1			
MASSACHUSETTS:	8 -	* .	100	1 ' 1			1
BOSTON	178	178	178	206	-	-	
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	<b>-</b> ]	- 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1	• [
CAMBRIDGE	4	-	-	-	-	•  •  •	-1
CHICOPEE.	-	-	-	-1	_=		
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	23	16 19	)
HOLYOKE	ī	1	i	7	- 1		
LOWELL	- 4	<b>.</b>		1 1		<b>[</b> ]	C)
LYNN		_	_	] []			
				[ ]			
MALDEN	₩.	-	-		-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-1	-		-
NEW BEDFORD	· ·	-	, <b>-</b>	-1		-) -	4
NEWTON		-	-	-	*	-	•
PITTSFIELD	-		-	-	~	-[ -	•
QUINCY	-	~	-	-1	-	•	•
SOMERVILLE		~				71	1
WALTHAM			_	] []			
WORCESTER		_	_				
				1			
MICHIGAN:				i i			
ANN ARBOR	6	6	- 6	6	-	-	•
DEARBORN		-	<b>.</b>	-	-	-	*
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	2		1.	1	-	*	·i
DETROIT	3	3	3	3	-		1
FLINT	19	5	7	7			
GRAND RAPIDS	19	3					.1
LANSING	6	6	6	6			1
LINCOLN PARK		_	, i	}			J. St.
LIVONTA	5	3	4	5	***		· [강흥
			1	] [			10
PONTIAC	2	1	1	2	**		-
ROSEVILLE	-	*	<u></u>	[[	-	•	•
ROYAL OAK	16	1	4	3	•		17
SAGINAW	-	_	-	-1	· •	-	1 🐇
ST. CLAIR SHORES	9	7	7	[]			1 5
STERLING HEIGHTS.	- 9		-	7		*	.1
TAYLOR.	1	]	1	i i		Į.	.]
WARREN.		_	-	1 1	_	<u>.</u>	1 1
WESTLAND.	2	2	2	2		_	1 1
WYOMING	1	1	1	1	-		<b>小</b> 被
				1			
INNESOTA:			4.0	1			
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	} -	} -	-		1
DULUTH		-	-	[ "]	**		1 97
MINNEAPOLIS	100	-	-	-	~		1
ROCHESTER	7	-	_	"	**		
ST. PAUL		~	_	-	*	· 1	187
the second of th	1. 网络	ļ .	ł			l l	1 200
AISSISSIPPI:		Ī		, ,		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5.00

See footnotes at end of table

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

Number of employees				<del> </del>	rrections	thousands)	Institutions				
MISSISSIFI   Total   Pall-time   Pall-ti			Nucol	***	<del></del>		Numt	·			
COLUMBIAN		City	·	Full-time	Full-time			Pull-time	Full-time		
FLORISSACT   107 107 107 107 108 86 61 61 61 61 51 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 10		MISSOUPI:									
INGPENSIONE   107		COLUMDIA	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	
ST. LOUIS. 502 502 502 483 382 382 382 201 575. LOUIS. 56RENOFICE. 502 502 502 483 382 382 382 201 56RENOFICE. 57RENOFICE. 57R		INCEPENCENCE		_	-	-	-	-			
SPRINGFIELD			107	107	107	88	61	61	61	53	
MORTAMA:  SILLINGS.  GREAT FALLS  4 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		57. LOUIS	592	592	592	483	382	382	382	291	
BILLINGS.			7	_		•		•	•		
NEDPASKA:     LINCOLH					_		-			· <u>-</u>	
UNIVOUR			<b>.</b> 4	4	- 3	- 4	.4	. 4	4	4	
NEW AND NEW PARTS OF THE PARTS											
REVADA: LAS YEGAS , 15 15 15 16 15 15 16 15 15 16 16 15 15 16 16 15 15 16 16 15 15 16 16 15 16 16 15 16 16 15 16 16 16 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	i Ne.						14	14	14	13	
LAS YEARS		No.									
NEW HAMPSHIRE: MANCHLESTER. 7 7 7 6 4 NEW JERSEY: BAYONNE BLOOMFIELD. CLIFTON CLAST GRANGE ELIZABETH IRVINGTON JERSEY CITY NEWARK. PASSAIC PATERSON. TERNTON. UNION CITY NEW MEKICO: ALDUQUERQUE 103 103 81 87 87 87 67 NEW YORK ALDARY. UNGRAMFON. UNGRAMFON. OUFFALO. MOUNT VERNON. NEW RORECC. ALDUQUERGUE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		LAS VEGAS	_	1980) 2.5	-	_		-	-		
MANULESTER, 7 7 7 7 6 4 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 6 4 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 8 7			. 15	15	15	16	15	15	15	16	
NEW JERSEY:  BAYONNE BLOOMFIELD. CAMPER. CLIFTON CLIFTON JERSEY CITY DEWSEY DEW			7	7	7	6					
DAYONNE							-	-	-	-	
GLOOHFIELD. CAMDEN. CLIFTON. CLIFTON. CLIFTON. IRVINGTON. JERSEY CITY NEWARN. PASSAIC PATERSON. TRENTON UNION CITY.  NEW HEXICO: ALDUOUERQUE  ALDARY. GINEAMMTON. GINFALO. MIGHALO. MIG											
CAMDEN. CLIFTON, CLIZABETH.  IRVINGTON. JERSEY CITY MEWARK. PASSAIC PATERSON. TRENTON UNION CITY.  NEW HEXICO: ALDUOUEROUE. 103 103 103 81 87 87 87 67 67  NEW YORK: ALBANY. BINGMANTON. BUFFALO. MOUNT VERNON. NEW FOORECTITY NEW YORK CITY SOFTENEE. ACCOMMENTATION. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. SOFTENEE. TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAIMS. YONNERS. 21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NDERTH CAROLINAI ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURRMAM. FAYETTEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURRMAM. FAYETTEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURRMAM. FAYETTEVILLE CREENSORG. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH.				-	j	-	_	-		<u></u>	
EAST DRANGE		CAMOEN.	•-	-	-	-		-	-	_	
IRVINGTON					To a second		-	-		-	
JERSEY CITY NEW NEX. PASSAIC PATERSON. TRENTON. UNION CITY.  NEW MEXICO: ABBUDUERQUE . 103 103 103 81 87 87 67  NEW YORK: ALBANY. UINGHAMTON. SUFFALO. MOUNT VERNOM. NEW ROCHELLE. GEW YORK CITY . 6 221 6 221 7 542 4 679 4 679 4 679 6 031  ROCHESTER ROME. SCHENECTADY SYRACUSE. TROY. UYICA . WHITE PLAINS. JOHNAM. ASHEVILLE CHARMOTES . 21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NORTH CAROLINAR ASHEVILLE CHARMOTES . 21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NORTH CAROLINAR ASHEVILLE CHARMOTE			~-	-	•	-	-	-	-		
NEWARK					-	- <b>-</b>	-		-		
PATERSON. TRENTON UNION CITY.  NEW HEXICO: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 103 81 87 87 67 67  NEW ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 103 81 87 87 67 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 103 81 87 87 67 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87 67  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 81 87 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 103 81 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 103 81 87 87  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 103 103 103 103  NEW HORK: ALDUQUERQUE . 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103				-	-		-	1 1		-	
TRENTON	4	PASSAIC	-		-	-	~		-	entor :	
NEW HEXICO: ALBUQUERQUE		TRENTON	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
ALDUQUERQUE		nutou care a c c c c c	-	. *	-	7		•	-		
ALBANY  GINGRAMTON  GUFFALO  MOUNT VERNON  NEW FOCHELLE  NEW YORK CITY  6 221 6 221 7 542 4 679 4 679 4 679 6 031  ROCHESTER  ROME  SCHEMECTADY  SYNARUSE  TROY  WICA  WHITE PLAINS  YONKERS  ASHEVILLE  CHARLOTTE  GURHAM  FAYETTEVILLE  GREENSBORG  HIGH POINT  RALEIGH  CHARLOTTE  CHARLOTT			103	103	103	81	87	87	87	67	
### BINGHAMTON											
GUFFALO . MOUNT VERNON . NEW ROCHELLE . NEW YORK CITY			~	_	7		-	_		_	
NEW FOCHELLE.  NEW YORK CITY  16 221 6 221 7 542 4 679 4 679 6 031  ROCHESTER  ROME.  SCHENECTADY  SYRACUSE.  TROY  WHITE PLAINS.  YONKERS  21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NOBTH CAROLINA:  ASHEVILLE  GHARLOTTE  DURHAM.  FAYETTEVILLE  GREENSBORG.  HIGH POINT.  RALEIGH		BUFFALO	••	<b>+</b>	-	-	-	-	-	•	
NIAGARA FALLS  ROCHESTER  ROME.  SCHENECTADY  SYRAGUSE  TAGY.  UTICA  WHITE PLAINS  YONKERS  CHARLOTTE  CHARLOTTE  CHARLOTTE  GREENSBORG.  HIGH POINT.  RALEIGH		NEW ROCHELLE	~	_	-	-		-	_	_	
ROCHESTER ROME. SCHENECTADY SYRACUSE TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS YONKERS 21 20 20 28 21 20 20 26  NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM. FAYETTEVILLE GREENSBORG. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH			6 221	6 221	6 221	7 542	4 679	4 679	4 679	6 034	
ROME. SCHENECTADY SYRAGUSE. TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS. 21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM. FAYETTEVILLE. GREENSBORG. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH.											
SYRACUSE. TROY. UTICA WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS. 21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE GHARLOTTE DURNAM. FAYETTEVILLE GREENSBORG. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH		ROME:						-	_	-	
TROY.  WITCA.  WHITE PLAINS.  YORKERS.  21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE  CHARLOTTE  DURHAM.  FAVETTEVILLE  GREENSBORG.  HIGH POINT.  RALEIGH.				R .		and the second second		* 1		. Problem 🙀	
WHITE PLAINS. YONKERS.  21 20 20 28 21 20 20 28  NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE. GHARLOTTE. GREENSBORG. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH.		TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	
NORTH CAROLINA: ASHEVILLE CHARLOTTE DURHAM. FAVETTEVILLE. GREENSBORG. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH.		WHITE PLAINS	**	-	-		-	~	-		
ASHEVILLE GHARLOTTE DURNAM. FAYETTEVILLE GREENSBORG. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH.			21	20	20	28	21	20	50	28	
CHARLOTTE											
FAYETTEVILLE.		CHARLOTTE	-		-			-	_		
GREENSBORD. HIGH POINT. RALEIGH.				_	-			-		_	
RALEIGH		GREENSBORG		_		-	-	_	-		
WINSTON-SALEM		RALEIGH			1.				]	-	
		winston-salem	-	-			-1	-	-	(1) e <del>*</del>	

Hee formates at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

							ļ	Probation	and parole		Miscellaneous			
mandage c	i ty						N	umbor of empl	yees .	October	Numb	er of employ	005	0
							Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payrol
MISSOURI:											<del> </del>			
COLUMBIA.				4.	U	• 1	1	-1 -	-	_	_		_ }	
FLORISSANT		• • •	٠.			٠		-   -	-	-	_	] [	[ ]	
INDEPENDEN		•		. >	*		٠	-	-	-	-	_	-	
KANSAS CIT		* ·		•	•	٠	4	6 46	46	35	-	· •	-	
ST. LOUIS		•	•	:	*	•	21	0 210	210	192		-	- 1	
SPRINGFIEL				ű	÷			-		- 76	[ ]	]		
MONTANA:									1	4 4				
BILLINGS,		• ;			_			_	ł					
GREAT FALLS				•	*		ļ	_	[	_	Ī	_	-	14
udbaraut								1 .	İ.,	, N		_		
NEBRASKA: LINCOLN														
OMAHA		4 1		•	•	•		8 7 2 2	7 2	7 2	-	-	-1	
XX-911				*	•	•		-  -	1	· · · · · · · · ·	•	•	-	
NEVADA;		1					}		1	Make)				
LAS WEGAS		•		•	٠	•		<u>-</u>	-	설	-	-	-	
HERON N	• •	•	n •	•	٠	•		7]。 (第7	_	=	. ⊢.	~	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE		5.7	5.			. [								
MANCHESTER.		•		¥	•,			7 7	7.	6	4	**	-	
NASHUA		• •	٩	٠	٠	•		7	8	- 4	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:								ł	1				1	
BAYONNE				,			and the state of the	-   -	_	_	<u>.</u>	-		
BLOOMF JELD.		٩	-1 -	•		•		~ <u> </u>	-	•••	-1	1,54	-	
CAMDEN		• •	•	•	٠	•		-	_	•••	_	-		
EAST ORANGI					:				1	<u></u>	_	ķĪ.	- 1	
ELIZABETH .		• . •	: 1		•			- T. A. S	-	,ŅĒ.		· 3		
IRVINGTON .						ı			1	<b>,</b>		1 1 4	1	
JERSEY CITY		•		•	*	: 1			-		~	-	-	
NEWARK		-3			,			-	1	* ************************************	<u>.</u>	Ī		
PASSAIC	٠.,	•	+	•	٧,	. [		-   -		4		<u> </u>	-	
PATERSON TRENTON	. * :			•	*	•		-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION CITY.	•		•	:	•							2		
1.000												7		
VEW NEXTOO:						. ]								- + + 1 T
ALBUQUERQUE	•		•	•	•.	.	1.	16	16	14	-	-	- (	
EW YORK:									] 1					
ALBANY				4	٠	, [		-1 -	ţ ļ		-		-	
BINGHAMTON. BUFFALO.			•	•	•	*		•	-	-		+1		
MOUNT VERNO				*		:			[ ]	_ [	_ [	- [	21	
NEW ROCHELL	E.			,	•			-	] _ [	- 1		_	7	
NEW YORK CI					÷	.	1 54:	1 542	1 542	1 508	-1			
NIAGARA FAL	E 5			٠	٠	.		1	].	-	-	~		
ROCHESTER .		,			*			.  -			_	_		
ROME			*	•	4	.		•	-	<b>-</b>		-	Ę.	
SCHENECTADY SYRACUSE			•	٠	•	: 1		:		-	-	-[		
TROY				•	*	: 1			-	-				·
UTICA			•			. 1	78 ·	• -	_					
WHITE PLAIN				•	•		•	-	-		- 1	-		
YONKERS	• 1	•	•	*	•	•	•	•	~	*	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	-	-1	
ORTH CAROLIN	Α:					1		1				. 1	1	
ASHEVILLE .					,		1.9 a		~	- ]	-	ا ــ	-1	
CHARLOTTE .	* *			•	r	* 1		1	~		-	~	-	
DURHAM FAYETTEVILL					•	· [			-	-1	- [	~1	-	
GREENSBORO,				•	•	: [			-	-		*		
HIGH POINT.		- 4		٠				-	-		- 1	n. 1		
RALEIGH	. t. c	•	٠	*	•	-		1	-		-	-1	-	
WINSTON-SAL	E.17	. 40		*			:-		-	~ }	}	<b></b> ∤	- 1	

See footnotes at and of table.

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

		Total co	rrections			Insti	tutions					
City	Numb	or of emplo	yees	October	Num	Number of employees		mber of employees				October
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent1	payrol11	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payroll				
ORTH DAKOTA:	· •		-	-	-		-					
HIOI AKRON	21	21	21	20	17	17	17	1:				
CINCINNATI,	154 138	151 137	153 137	138 133	105 91	103 90	104 90	91				
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS COLUMBUS,	69 83	•9 82	69 82	(z) 72 76	58 80	58 80	58 80	51 7;				
ELYRIA	3 1	1	3 - 1	1	3	3 - -	3					
KETTERING	- - 6	- 6	- - 6	5	- 5	- - 5	- - 5					
LORAIN	4 - 1	4 -	4	1	4	4	4	į				
SPRINGFIELD	55 5 21	3 55 4 21	3 55 4 21	3 59 4 19	44 5 18	- 44 4 18	44 4 18	4				
SLAHOMA: LAWTON NORMAN OKLAHOMA CITY	5 3 30 42	5 1 30 42	5 2 30 42	3 2 28 36	5 30 34	5  30 34	5 - 30 34	28 3:				
REGON: EUGENE	 ia	<u></u>	-	111		- -	-					
ENNSYLVANIA: ALLENTOWN	÷	~ ** ** ** **	1 1 1			11111	-					
LANCASTER , , , , , , , , PHILADELPHIA , , , , PITTSBURGH	1 902	1 902	1 902	1 827	1 105	1 105	1 105	1 042				
READING	- - -		-	-	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	-	-					
ODE ISLAND: GRANSTON	-	<b>™</b>	-	1 1 1	4. 		  					
Outh Carolina: Charleston	18 3	18 2	18 2	13	18 3	18 2	18 2	1:				
OUTH DAKOTA: STOUX FALLS				-	_	-	-					
INNESSEC: CHATTANOOGA	33 93 199	33 93	33 93	20 74	33 93	33 93	33 93	21 7				

See factnotes at end of table

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments,

October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

1.	<del></del>	Probation	and parole			Misce	11ancous	
City	Num	ber of emplo	yees		Numbe	or of employ	ees	<del></del>
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time- equivalent	payroll
NORTH DAKOTA:	1					_		<del></del>
OHIO:	4	,	,	_				
CANT N	-	4 -	4	5	-	-	-	
CINCINNATI.	49 47	48 47	48 47	48 51		-	-	
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1	47	72	(z)	-			
COLUMBUS,	11	11	11	13	-	-	. :	
ELYRIA	-	2 -	2	3	-		.5	
EUCLID	1	-		- 1	-	16.1 <u>1</u>	_[	
HAMILTON	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	
KETTERING	-	-	-	_	. 🛶	-	ļ. <u></u> ļ	
LAKEWOOD.	- 1	-	[ - ]	-	-	-	- [	
LORAIN.	1	1	1	1	_	_	-	
MANSFIELD	-	-	-			_	_ [	
PARMA	1 4	1 3	1 3	1 3	-	-	- [	
TOLEDO	11	11	11	15	_	-	[]	
WARREN. YOUNGSTOWN.	3	-		-	-		-	
TOURGSTOWN	اد	3	3	3	-	-	-	
KLAHOMA:								
NORMAN.	3	- ī		7	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA CITY		-	2	2.	] [	-	_	
TULSA	8	8	8	5	-		-	
REGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	- i	·'	345	
PORTLAND.	-	_	-	-	-	-	-1	
	_	_	-	-	-		-	
ENNSYLVANIA:				1	i		i	
ALTOONA	<u> </u>	_		_ [	_ [			
BETHLEHEM	-		-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	- 1		-			-	-	
HARRISBURG		-	-	-		_		
LANCASTER	_		<u></u>					
PHILADELPHIA	797	797	797	785		_		
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
READING	-		-	-		-	-	
WILKES-BARRE	-	. <u>-</u>	_	-				
YORK		*	-	-		-	-	
HODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	- I	-		-		
PAWTUCKET	- 1		-	-	-	-	-	
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	~	-	·	
OUTH CAROLINA:							:	
CHARLESTON	-	-	_ ]	_		-	_	
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	~	-	-	- 1	
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	
OUTH DAKOTA:	<u> </u>							
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ENNESSEE:				1	1			
CHATTANOOGA	-	- ]	-	- ]	-	-	-	
MEMPHIS	-	-	-1	-		-[	- [	
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	1	7 1	- 1	- 1	~ 1	-	- 1	

See footnotes at end of table

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

North trip and the annual property desired to come the state of the state of property and an accordance with the state of	inousands	· )						
	Barrior and the second second	Notal Co	prections	ania Missis palagya yenesiki Sunan		Insti	tutions	-
2. <b>%</b> *9	Nagah kecamatan merekan	er et etploy	(09	October	Nun	ber of explo	yces	October
<b>യു</b> . കൊടിച്ചുള്ള പ്രച്ചുത്ത് ഉത്ത്രത്ത് അത്രം അവരം കൊടു സ്വര്യത്ത്യം പര്ത്ത്യം <b>പ</b> ര്ത്ത്യം എന്ന	Total	Suli-tice only	Full-time controlent	payrell'	lotal	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	haltojj
带在现在的。 在1980年1987年, 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 本	The second secon	C.	The state of the s			4	F <sub>3</sub>	3
ANA TEST A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	10	10		8	10	10	10	9. 3
ONA OR ARE AND SOCIETIES OF A COMPANIES OF A COMPAN	8 21	8	8	11	8	a 21	8	6
FINE ATT A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	***	1		4.4	21			-
E THE STREET A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	E ST	**	3	56	34 5. 4	33 5	33 5	26 5 3
· (內部企業課題) 東京 日本 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京 東京	137	115	4	1:7	133 6	133	133	127
MERCOLOGICA CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE S	4	The second secon	# D	2	4	4	#	
Marie Arei			Standard Sta	*	5	5	1 17	•
PANACENA,	*	A A	A Part of the state of the stat	3	3 5	7	3 5	3 7 3 2
TAG ANTONE SARA A A A A A A A	*				3 1 1 6		-	3
八名・19年 年 中 中 中 日 日 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中	*	. 6	8.	<b>4</b>	*	6	6	ď
() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	# 100 mm		And the second s	*			-	-
8 - 2020; At Examin 20		92	42	38	30 67	74	711 03	30
() 特別或部分成果的 () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	11	27		49 26 28	29 43	13	11 26	58 6 17
"我是我们还是"我们就是这个人,我们也不是一个人。" 我们就是对你家,这一个人,我们也不是一个人。 你们们还是我们的第一个人,我们也不是一个人,我们	50		20	63 53 10	100	52 91 3	75 75 20	48 51 14
公共的 (1985年) 1985年	115 97	10 23 2 0 *	87	57 62 42	195 51 76	23 21 26	54 21 41	57 33 15
25 (1) (2) 5 (4) 1 2 (1) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	h	3	*COORDINATE OF THE STATE OF THE	4	production and sends and	And the state of t		
ত্ত্ৰিক্তিক কৰিছিল কৰিছিল। তেওু কিন্তুতি কৰিছিল কৰিছিল। স্বান্ত্ৰিক্তিক কৰিছিল।	90			47 - 11	28	20	24	30
ි යා දිවී ව මුදා දෙවීමුමුණු ආවාසුවල්විමුව් යුදු ද ද ද ද ද ද ද				•	and head constitution (50	- A		
ରତ୍ୟ କ୍ରିଲ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ ହେଉଛି । ଏହି ବ୍ୟୁ କ୍ରିଲ୍ୟ କ୍ରିଲ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ ହେଉଛି । ଏହି ବ୍ୟୁ କ୍ରିଲ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ ହେଉଛି । ଏହି ବ୍ୟ ଆଧାରଣ ସମ୍ବର୍ଣ୍ଣ ହେଉଛି । ଏହି ବ୍ୟୁ କ୍ରିଲ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ ହେଉଛି । ଏହି ବ୍ୟୁ କ୍ରିଲ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ ହେଉଛି । ଏହି ବ୍ୟୁ କ୍ରିଲ୍ୟ ଅନ୍		Property and the control of the cont	The state of the s	-		-	-	•
্থানি ক্ষিত্ৰ কৰি কৰিছে	•	Appropriate Capacida	AE COMMECTATO AND		TO CONTRACT LIBERTY	-	-	-
<ul> <li>第二日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日</li></ul>	**************************************	SANDALA PARAMETERS	STREET, SECOND		Tank (ACC Street) pro-plane	T T		-
် ကြို့လေးလိုင်လက် နေ နေ နေ သိန်းခန်း နေ နေ ကြောင်းသည်း နေရှိနေရ နေရ နေရ နေရ နေရ နေရ နေရ နေရ နေရ နေရ	*	C C C	i kanganga seri s	*	A STATE OF THE STA	spoots assistants	- I	
在在日本市场、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、大学、	ξ <b>ν.</b>		arete philotes	e priside	*	-	The state of the s	-

#### Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 384 large city governments, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

		Probation	and parole	·	Miscellaneous			
City	Num	ber of emplo	yeos	0.4-1	Numb	er of employ	906	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
Fr.XAS:								
ALILENS	1	( _	1	1	_ 1	_		
AMARILIO,	1 -			$v_{t_{\mathrm{max}}}$	4 . ]	_	-	•
ARLINGTON		}		-		_	i - i	
AUSTIN.	į .	]	]		"	_	- 1	
BEAUMONY,	1 1	1 -	_	-	~	•	-	•
MPOSMSVILLE				_		_		•
COFPUS CHRISTI.	]		· -	•		-	1 -1	
Decision and the second	]	_	-					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	]	]			7	₹.	"	
EL PASO	_		-	-	^	•	-	
FACT MODELL	1							
FORT WORTH,	_	-	-	* '	-	~	-1	
GALVESION	-	-	-	-	•	**		
GARLAND	-	-	-	-		~	-	
GRAND PRAIRIE	, -	-	-	-	-	1%	-	
HOUSTON	ļ -	-	-	-		*	l - i	
IRVING	i -	-	· -	-	*	-	-	
LAKEDO, c	-	} -	7.		- 1		1	
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-1	•	{: <b></b> -{	
MESQUITE,	-	-	1 -	-		-	-1	
		1		]	'		, ,	
MIDLAND	[ · · · -	-	-	-	-	-	~[	
ODESSAC C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	-	-	-		-		•	
PASADENA, , , , , , , , ,	-	-	-	-	-	<b>~</b>	-	
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	
SAN ANGELO	-		-	-	-	-		
SATE ANTONIO	-	-	-		-	-		
TYLEF		-	-		- 1			
WATTER FREE CERT		} -	-	-	-	-		
WICHITA FALLS	-	~	-	-	-		}· -	
	1						1 . 1	
HTAH!			1			1	)	
DOMENT	-	-	-	-	-	.50	-	
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	[ -1	
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 -1	
	<b>)</b> . ,						ł l	
VIRGINIA:							1	
ALEXANDRIA	8	- 8,	8	Ĥ	-	-	-	
CHESAPEAKE,	-			-	1	-		
HAMPTON	22	55	22	20	-	-	1 -1	
LYNCHRURG	10	8	0	5	-	-	-	
NEWPORT NEWS ,	17	17	17	14	2	2	2	
NORFOLK	4	11	1 11		-	_	] -]	
PORTSMOUTH	-	~	-		-	· · · •	-	
RICHMOND	-	-	-	- 1	- 1		{ - {	
ROANOKE	36	36	.36	29	. *		-1	
VIRGINIA BEACH	32	32	32	27	#	-	-	
	}	}					1	
WASHINGTON:	]	ŀ	]				į 1	
BELLEVIE	6	3	4	. 4		-	-	
EVERETT	-				-	-	] -1	
SEATTLE	22	17	10	17	-	-	<b>  - </b>	
SPOKANE	ļ . ≟.	-	-	-			{ -1	
TACOMA	]	] -	-		-	_	] -1	
				·			1	
WEST VIRGINIA:							} [	
CHARLESTON,			-	~	-	-	1 -1	
HUNTINGTON	-	-				<b>-</b>	-	
	1	}	1				1	
WISCONSIN:	}		1	:	5 4 5 5 6		]	
APPLETON	-	-	-		5 5 5 5 mg		1 -1	
GREEN BAY	{ -	{ -	-	-	: 4	-	\ -\	
KENOSHA	-	-	_		- 1	-	[ -	
LACROSSE	-	-	_	<b>.</b>		-	1 -1	
MAN ISON	_	_	-	_	]		1	
MILWAUKFE	-	-	-	_			ا ہا	
OSHKOSH	]	1		ا ي			[	
RACINE.	1 -	}	1 1		] [		[]	
WATTER	]	]	_				-	
WEST ALLIS	1 -	· -		[ . <b>.</b> .		•	[ ]	
MEST BEFTS & F F F F F F		-			. **	- **	( *1	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero. NA Not available. Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

#### OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

32

Table 48. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974

		Di	ect expenditu	ire	Intergov	ernmental exp	enditure
State and type of government	Totali	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL STATES	208 350 552 396 99 343 44 542 55 402	208 350 109 480 98 869 43 760 55 109	176 562 108 105 68 457 20 415 48 042	31 787 1 375 30 412 23 345 7 067	443 992 442 916 1 076 782 293	474 (X1 474 369 105	443 518 442 916 602 413 188
ALABAMA	1 304 8 491 220 104 116	1 304 1 084 220 104 116	1 304 1 084 220 104 116	-	7 407 7 407 - -	(X)	7 407 7 407
ALASKA	534 953 22 22	534 512 22 22	530 508 22 - 22	1 1	441 44 <u>1</u> -	(x)	441
ARIZONA	976 6 286 165 181	976 811 165 165	965 801 164 -	11 10 1 -	5 491 5 475 16	(X)	5 491 5 475 16
ARKANSAS	426 3 855 3 -	426 423 3 -	417 414 3 -	99	3 432 3 432 -	(X)	3 432 3 432
CALIFORNIA	15 972 46 095 6 090 5 130 1 033	15 972 9 890 6 082 5 122 960	15 822 9 818 6 004 5 053 951	150 72 78 69	36 287 36 205 82 8 73	(X) 6 8	36 278 36 205 73 73
COLORADO	950 7 393 268 114 154	950 682 268 114 154	849 675 174 20 154	101 7 94 94	6 711 6 711 -	(X)	6 711 6 711
CONNECTICUT	1 285 5 354 120 120	1 285 1 167 118 118	1 278 1 160 118 118	7 7 -	4 189 4 187 2 2	(X) 2 2	4 187 4 187
DELAWARE STATE LOCAL TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	783 1 327	783 783	782 782 -	1	544 544 -	(x)	544 544
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL MUNICIPALITIES	1 550 1 550 1 550	1 550 1 550 1 550	1 531 1 531 1 531	19 19 19		(x)	
FLORIDA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	7 930 11 964 5 628 4 407 1 221	7 930 2 302 5 628 4 407 1 221	6 720 2 283 4 438 3 222 1 216	1 210 19 1 191 1 185 6	9 602 9 602 - -	(x) _	9 602 9 602 -
GEORGIA	1 911 17 006 430 386 44	1 911 1 481 430 386 44	1 557 1 478 80 39 41	353 3 350 347 3	15 525 15 525	(X) 	15 525 15 525

See footnotes at end of table

Table 48. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Dire	ct expenditur	10	Intergove	rnmental exp	enditure
State and type of government	Totali	Tota1	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
AWAII	541 1 803 24 24	541 517 24 24	540 517 23 23	1	1 286 1 286 -	(X)	1 286 1 286 -
IDAHO	209 3 068 11 4 8	1 1	207 196 11	2 2 -	2 870 2 870 -	(x)	2 870 2 870 -
ILLINOIS	14 395 35 964 2 337 1 776 979	2 336	13 777 11 984 1 792 844 946	618 74 544 544	24 325 23 906 419 388 31	(x) 1 1	24 324 23 906 418 387 31
INDIANA,	2 735 12 439 1 403 532 870	1 336 1 399 529	2 715 1 329 1 387 521 866	20 7 13 8 5	11 106 11 103 3 3	(X) 3	11 103
TOWA  STATE.  LOCAL, TOTAL  COUNTIES  HUNICIPALITIES.	1 189 4 307 751 225 533	484 705 215	1 067 483 584 122 463	122 1 121 93 28	3 823 52 10	(X)	3 82
KANSAS	1 640 6 398 1 095 225 876	546 1 095 219	1 634 545 1 089 213 876	6 1 5 5	5 852	(X)	5 85 5 85
KENTUCKY STATE LOCAL TOTAL ATTES HUNICIPALITIES	3 936 8 399 2 676 2 64	1 258 2 678 7 2 644	1 302 1 251 52 17 34	2 634 2 627 2 627	7 141	(X	7 12 7 12
LOUISIANA	1 06 13 99 29 5 23	6 775 4 291 9 59		83 74 59	13 221	(X	2 2
MAINE	72 1 93 5 2	1 675 2 52	671 52 29		1 28	5 (X	1 2
MARYLAND	7 11 12 30 2 75 1 56 1 19	6 4 353 9 2 759 3 1 563	3 979 2 577 1 389	37 18 17	4 7 95. 3 3	3 3 - -	
HASSACHUSETTS	8 63 17 92 2 63	5 996 2 639	5 950 2 639	4	6 11 92		11 S
MICHIGAN	5 45 16 77 2 57	13 2 878 78 2 578 52 749	2 878 2 452 721	12	- 15 86 6   5		15 8

See footnotes at and of table.

Table 48. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		Dire	oct expenditur	0	Intergov	ernmental exp	end1 turo
State and type of government	Total 1	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	2 726 8 675 1 653 275 1 380	2 726 1 072 1 653 273 1 380	2 717 1 070 1 646 272 1 374	9 2 7 1	7 605 7 603 2 2	(X)	7 605 7 603 2 2
MISSISSIPPI	1 546 4 146 60 1 59	1 546 1 486 60 1	1 533 1 479 54 1 53	13 7 6	2 660 2 660	ιxΣ	2 660 2 660 -
MISSOURI	3 592 16 696 2 794 1 425 1 369	3 592 802 2 790 1 425 1 365	2 486 796 1 691 1 397 294	1 105 1 099 28 1 071	15 898 15 894 4 -	(X)	15 894 15 894
MONTANA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	715 1 992 106 77 29	715 609 106 77 29	710 604 106 77 29	5 5 7 7	1 383 1 383	(x)	1 383 1 383
NEBRASKA	1 211 3 388 494 5 489	1 211 717 494 5 489	1 195 704 491 5 486	16 13 3	2 671 2 671	(xī	2 671 2 671
NEVADA	683 2 023 12 12	683 671 12 12	672 660 12 12	11 11 -	1 352 1 352 -	(x)	1 352 1 352 -
NEW HAMPSHIRE. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 475 1 010 466 466	1 475 1 010 466 466	1 472 1 007 466 466	3 7		(X)	
NEW JERSEY	6 659 18 363 4 415 1 028 3 387	6 ·659 2 244 4 415 1 028 3 387	6 640 2 225 4 415 1 028 3 387	19	16 119 16 119 -	(x)	16 119 16 119
NEW MEXICO	1 190 3 794 404 404	1 190 786 404 404	1 124 775 349 349	66 11 55 55	3 027 3 008 19	(X)	3 027 3 008 19
NEW YORK	50 166 50 647 35 830 13 070 22 761	50 166 14 336 35 830 13 070 22 761	35 420 14 309 21 112 383 20 729	14 746 27 14 719 12 687 2 032	36 311 36 311	(X)	36 311 36 311
NORTH CAROLINA	3 442 9 844 2 525 2 329 201	3 442 945 2 497 2 298 199	1 435 928 506 308 199	2 007 17 1 990 1 990	8 932 8 899 33 31 2	28 (X) 28 26 2	8 905 8 899 6 6
NORTH DAKOTA	423 1 731 8 - 8	423 415 7	421 413 7 7	( ( ( ) N N	1 317 1 316 1	(X) 1 1	1 316 1 316

Table 48. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Dir	ect expenditu	ro	Intergo	vormmental ex	penditure
Chair and type of government	<b>T0461<sup>1</sup></b>	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	is local government
CHIO STATE. TOTAL	8 263 35 531 6 435 2 733 3 764	8 263 1 834 6 429 2 727 3 702	2 949 1 834 1 114 131 989	5 315 5 315 2 597 2 718	33 705 33 697 8 6 2	6 (X) 6	33 69 33 69
Delayora Dearly Total Colyrice Municipalities	1 821 6 874 1 168 2 1 103	1 821 716 1 105 1 103	1 799 713 1 085 1 084	19 20 23	6 158 6 156	XX -	6 15 6 15
GEGON STATE: LGCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUNICIPALITIES	2 435 7 415 1 530 1 469	2 436 906 1 530 61 1 469	2 436 906 1 530 61 1 469	* * *	6 209 6 209	(x)	6 20 6 20
EUNSYLVANIA	5 790 42 441 2 169 32 2 138	5 790 7 659 2 131 32 32 999	5 785 3 659 2 126 27 2 099	5 + 5 5 3	38 821 38 782 39	38 (X) 38 38	38 78 38 78
HOSE ISLAND	1 981 106 111	660 594 106 106	660 554 106 106	**	1 432 1 427 5 5	(X)	1 42
GUTH CARCLINA	2 235 9 407 103 49	2 235 2 192 92 4 89	1 762 1 670 92 9 88	472 472	7 276 7 265 11	11 X X 1	7 26 7 26
SCUTH CLARGEA	2 300 2 300 6	337	335 335 -	22	1 969 1 963 6	(X)	1 96 1 96
TENNESSEF. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUMICIPALITIES	1 832 7 854 790 840 150	1 832 848 981 833 150	1 486 845 647 497	343 340 326 326	6 613 6 606 7 7	(X)	6 60
ERAS. STATE: LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES RUNICIPALITIES	19 180 37 379 5 729 J 281 2 448	19 180 13 758 5 422 2 975 2 447	18 029 13 731 4 298 2 862 1 437	1 151 27 1 124 113 1 011	23 928 23 621 707 306	307 (X1 307 306	23 62 23 62
STATE STATE LUCAS, YOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	4 515	699 699	695 695	4	3 513 3 513	(X)	3 51 3 51
ERHONT. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES HUMICIPALITIES	329 590 -	334 334	334 334 -		260 260	(X)	26 26
FIRGINIA STATE LCCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	2 J25 111 11 049 223 12	2 325 280 240 228 12	2 265 2 037 228 216	60 48 12 12	9 032 9 026 6	(X)	8 05 8 03

Table 48. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Totali	Dir	ect expenditu	ire	Intergo	vornmental exp	enditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital Outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON STATE, LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	1 707 6 107 826 628 198	1 707 888 819 628 190	1 416 887 529 340 189	290 1 289 288	5 226 5 219 7	(X)	5 219 5 219
WEST VIRGINIA. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES.	564 3 004 9	564 555 9	551 542 9	13	2 449 2 449	(X)	2 449 2 449 2 449
WISCONSIN. STATE, LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES. MUNICIPALITIES	4 859 7 622 187 113 74	4 859 4 675 184 110 74	4 835 4 653 182 108 74	24 22 2	2 950 2 947 3 3	(X) 3	2 947 2 947
WYOMING. STATE. LOCAL, TOTAL COUNTIES MUNICIPALITIES	220 1 018 30 3 27	220 190 30 3	220 190 30 3		828	(X)	959 959

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

'For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined state-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amounts one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

#### APPENDIX 1: EXHIBIT TABLES A AND B

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1974

(Thousands of dollars)

	L	Dire	et expenditu	ro	Intor-
Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	governments expenditure
Total	84,006	81,877	80,493	1,384	2,1
CALIFORNIA	. 3		İ		100
ocial districts:					
Los Angolos City Housing Authority	817	415	411		
Bast Bay Regional Park District (Oakland)	563	563	538	25	d
Oakland Housing Authority	75	75	75	40	
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit (Onkland)	1,476	1,476	1,476	-	
San Diego Unified Port Authority	890	890	846	44	
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority	223	223	229	` <u>`</u> ``	
Stockton Port District	200	200	200	4	
Sacramento Yolo Port District (West Sacramento),	122	122	122	•	
dependent school districts:	1				
Kern Joint Union High School District (Bakersfield)	326	226	226		
Compton Unified School District	599	599	599		
State Conter Community College District (Fresno)	115	115	113	2	
Grant Union High School District (Sacramento)	97	97	93	4	1
Foothill Community College District (Los Altos Hills)	143	143	143		1
Los Angeles Community Colleges	1,203	1,203	1,203		1
os Angeles Unified School District	4,800	4,800	4,800		
forwalk-la Mirada Unified School District	134	134	134	-	1.4
Dakland Unified School District	264	264	020	G.P.	· 5
Peralta Community College District (Oakland)	615	615	239 392	25 223	
Richmond Unified School District	256	256	244	12	
os Rios Junior College (Sacramento)	119	119	119		100
Dacramento City Unified School District	140	140	140		
an Diego Unified School District	311	311	308	3	
San Francisco Community College District	88	88	87	1	
Santa Ana College	81	81	75	G	
Santa Ana Unified School District	94	94	91	3	
COLDRADO					
dependent school districts:					
Denver Public School District No. 1	106	108	106		
Jefferson County School District (Lakowood)	104	104	104	*	
CONNECTICAT					
ncial districts;	1				
lousing AuthorityCity of Bridgeport	469	469	469		
Martford Metro District.	145	145	134	11	
ousing AuthorityCity of New Haven	321	321	321	**	
The state of the s		V***	***		
DELAWARL					
cial districts;		1			
Colaware River Bay Authority (New Costle)	275 80	275	275	•	
district of columnia					
ocial districts:	1 110	1 110	1 110		
	1,116	1,116	1,116	•	
FIORIDA					
cial districts:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · /
South Broward Mospital District (Hollywood)	130	130	130		
opendent school districts:		1	1		
inclias County School District (Clearwater)	232	232	232		
broward County School District (Fort Lauderdale)	276	276	276		
hival County School Board (Jacksonville)	298	221	212	Đ	
Piorida Junior College (Jacksonville)	196	196	182	14	
Pade County School (Miami)	1,411	1,411	1,317	94	
diami Dado Junior College	194	162	157	5	
ensacola Junior College	126	126	124	2	

See footnotes at end of table

Table A Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Dir	ect expenditur	10	Inter-
for the forestrations	Total P	Total	Direct	Capital	governmental expenditure
in the state of th			month districts		Company of the Compan
ADTILOG (PECO) (TO TEST (ELEMENT) AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AND ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT ADDITION AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADTILOGRAPH ACCEPTANT AD ADDITIONAL	180E 181 126	200 181 18	504 193 1		Commence of the contract of th
は彼女は大変により、15 中心者 お客になる着の意味	404 C	283	258	25	1
negang calletaries along biolesce estanting;  income calletaries along biolesce Existing;  calletaries estant biolesce Existing;  calletaries estant biolesce Existing;  calletaries estant biolesce Existing;  calletaries estant biolesce Existing;  calletaries estant biolesce Existing;	65 641 110	68 00 01	3		
2000年10日 200			er inglika	Checkers of the Checkers of th	
um bag Asabeşiba. - Bidog o kobe Papin ik Budukkin. - Sidoggi kanibang kikulandik.	9,268 770	2,268	*	Special Management	
The car bear the arrivable of the arrivable of the color	984 471 830	084 471 830	471		manager Community Laboratory
成数   (2015年 1875年	026 169	62( 10)		E	And the state of t
Substitute of the substitute o		P. C. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.			Sharker a second
univada isomerine Seistminiji sept Mines in isminen fülkedeng Austrister i	159	19	o i 159	e de companya	-
රාධිකරුවනට කිරුම ගැර බිරු දී විසින්ති අති විසින්ති කිරීම විසින්ති අතු දැන්නේ සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට ස සිට කත්තු ලබා ගැනීම සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට සිට	598 698			2	* 1
\$ · \$ \$ \$		SACONE NATIONAL SACONAL			
And Workers and service and and any sample than the contract of the contract o	55		*		**************************************
<b>東海東</b> の発行		and the contract of the contra	C.C. State of the Control of the Con	) panet sacous	
行所数は配付表記句 4. たからは 信息性ならないのは 最近によって、できっ 抗に対象が表 美味のなりなる。 というのもにも かからあれる 見るなりなりま 作品を 、知文を表現 ロッカックのよう。 表記さのもにも がからあれる 見るなりなど 作品を 、記文を表現 ロッカックのよう。	13: 10: 40	3 1	12   12 33   10 04   39	3	* 1 &
<b>新花园穿红旗</b>		Charles Charles	and the second s	T-imple Color	
Angervien and a frager frank and and and and and angerval and and angerval and and and and and and and and angerval angerval and angerval angerval and angerval angerval angerval angerval	O T	5	23 1	32   17   73	8
<b>全发现非常数据</b>	a-population	A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.			
Roma and Constant baselin and Constant florester.	moore was	8		63 99	95
Carrier Course Carrier Course Course Course Courses Courses Courses Courses Courses Courses Carrier Courses Co	1 2			01	***************************************
<b>新经济社会</b> 和	ectivity of	CHECKENIA			
Birkininga afgagsagnag sagilaga afgan and Dagungang Sumudagang Birkininga afgangsagnag sagilaga afgan and Dagungang Sumudagang		). 1.	lect	358	24

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

		Dire	et expenditu	re	Intor-
Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	govornmental expondituro
WASSACHUSETTS					
Special districts: Boston Housing Authority	284 1,003	284 1,003	284 1,003	140 144	**************************************
MICHIGAN			[		\$
Special districts:  Huron-Clinton Metro Authority (Detroit)  Tri-City Airport Commission (Freeland)  Peoples Community Hospital Authority (Wayne)	532 171 118	532 76 118	532 76 118	enzi enzi	03
Independent school districts: Oakland Community College (Bloomfielm Hills) Detroit City School District Flint City School District Grand Rapids Public Schools Lansing City School District Pontine City School District Macomb County Community College (Warren)	177 680 156 199 251 83	177 680 	175 680 198 236	2 1 15 -	156
Minnesota			Î		
Special districts:  Hennepin County Park Reserve (Maple Plain)  Minneapolia-St. Paul Metro Airporta Commission (St. Paul)	631 107	107 621	100 621	7	
MISSOURI					
Special districts: Housing Authority of Kansas City	476 688	476 688	457 680	19 8	*
Independent school districts: Kansas City School District	273 476 689 83	273 476 659 83	273 473 659 71		140 761 440 141
Nebraska					
Independent school districts: Omaha City School District No. 1	231	231	231		
nevada					l la
Independent school districts: Clark County School District (Las Vegas)	167	107	100		
	101	167	167		]
NEW JERSEY			2		
Special districts:  Polaware River Port Authority (Camden)  Burlington County Bridge Commission (Palmyra)	1,217 326	1,217 326	1,217 320	*	andy,
Paterson Housing Authority	40 138	138	138	*	40
HEM WEXTOO				the second	l and the
Independent school districts; Albuquerque School District	198	198	198	<del>,</del>	-
NEW YORK		jan en l	1		
Special districts: Port Authority of New York and New Jorsey (New York)	20,099 1,176	20,099 1,176	20,099 1,176		•
Independent school districts: Parmingdalo Public Schools	101	101	101		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

		Dire	ect expenditu	ro	Inter-
inst of government t	Trital	Tota1	Direct current	Capital cutlay	governmental aspenditure
43755-2	o concentration	**************************************	en and the contract of the con		
7		g. estate subtrace			
There is the second past blosses are an arranged and a second sec	136	155	155	-	_
Complete Company Dark Capting Capting Capting Company	244   520	244 j 530 j	220 S	24 5	-
e farotand these potetan bath Cintriction	832	892	812	80	_
foreign timers and the Toleda Area (Toleda)	410 i 228	410 [ 228 ]	310 199	100 29	_
Vermed to tomether for funtractions and the contractions and the contraction of the contr	137	127	127	-	-
independent actival districts	is considera	· ·			
symmether faty Colons blocketeter	222	327	929   326	1	_
History etg filling Botsicherserver-ernerserver-ernerserver-	189	189	187	2	
ALABATA:	· Profit salama		and state of		·
Bridgerianis elkinos alkasses	American)	and the second	Scholanova		
subject the interest the first the first that the first	270	270	270		-
*GEAGN	W. Tall	A Contract C			
Com ent danerebeto	oxytel		- Company		-
The first of feethact protes to	1,090	1,098	1,083	15	1
independant neurol destructo: Distince in has bestrannen	240	240	219	21	•
PENAGYLYANIA	- Landy				. *
Spring diagrams	•		•	٠.	
(Minuser fliver Joint Bill bridge imminoism (Morrieville)  Phylodologica fluoring Austracity  Courtwayteen fungeristation Françoismin Authority	2,171 2,604	2,171 2,604	2,171 2,364	240	-
	372	372	372	-	-
Preventing thumans Authorsty.  Fort Auchorsty of Alleghany county (Pattoburgh)	033	633 98	98	~	68
independent orhoot districts.		1		_	
Penn dilla Komonip Gehmil Bistrice (Petinburgh)	1,514	1,514	1,500	14	80
Cuetti samulina					
ACHIE THE CENTRE OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRA					
SVENIGHT BUTTO ASSECTED SCHOOL CONTRACTOR CO	230	230	230	-	-
THE STATES OF TH	1	.			-
5.0000 五日本 情景的五月五日常年	TO CAMPA				1
Resides Shothy et may desport Authority	528 345	578	628 336	9	
TXIA				1 1	
なため: 文本書 行業報告と立て書中					
Aprels emperal fusion dispute ductority (Arlington)	1,459	1,459	1,459	+	
Angusti de legiste de la la la la la la la la la la la la la	58	58 24	58   24		-
Mittan -tallite Wilderst Minchant Constitution	270	279	278	1	*
Pous all languages Authority and sounded accommendation and the sound to the sound	76 [ 1,212 [	1,012	76 1,212	-	
nesses comes despisas baseaux dan Antonio	175	279	169	6	•
indipunioni aimil diatricta.	wat				
Patrice control statements obligated between the control statement and control statement of the control of the	265	263	257	8	_
Values independent inches District (Mi Come	163	165 l	169	- 1	-
gungen grupangung nepug program errenegan erreng general erren gang nepug grupang erreng general erreng gang nepug grupang grupang gang gang gang gang gang gang gang	679	679	679	1	1 -

ess fastances at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1974—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government1		D1:	reet expenditi	iro	Intor
	Total	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	govornmental expenditure
TIPPAC					
TEXASContinued					
Independent school districts Continued Irving Independent School District					
	50	50	50		'
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District (San Antonio)	40	40	40	•	•
overego practice (San Antonio)	124	124	124	_	•
UTAIL			7~ 1		•
Independent school districts: Granite School District (Salt Lake City)				•	
VIRGINIA	124	124	102	22	•
Special districts:		-	Į		
Chesapeako Bay Aridon and Tomas and Tomas					
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission (Rorfolk)	512	512	512	- 1	
The state of the s	534	534	528		
WASHINGTON				0	#4
Port of Scattle District					
	1,124	1,124	1,090		
ndopendent school districts: Scattle School District		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1,000	34	46
	423	422	413	9	

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears in parentheses after the unit name.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1974

	Nu Nu	aber of employees	<u> </u>	Datelin
Colf of government	Total	full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
\$5 <b>\$\$\$</b> =================================	7,513	e,134	6,531	6,38
CALIFORNIA		The same of the sa		
ectal destricts,	Promotion		·	
Land Anguico Fity Honoing Authority	35	35	35 }	:
Look Loy Begional Port Distract (Walters)	39	31	33	-
imbleed threates Authority	14	14	14	
Sen Preserver Noy Area Supid Transit (Dobland)	97	97 {	97	1
Son likego Entitled Port Authority	65	65 f	65 L	
See Francisco City-County Hogoing Authority	17	14	16	
Cagrescote Vote Port District West Secrescoto	ii	11	11	
dependent some 1 districts	1		· ·	
Korn I that Union High School Platrict (Cakorsfield)	28	-	27	
semptin Cattles School District	49	49	49	
Utate water remainity college Bistrict (Proces)	22	6	10	
Grant three Migh School District (Sacrements)	24	9	13	
Football testablish bullege District (top alter Hills)	34	2	8	
Two Angeles Unified School District	83 ) 363	83 313	333	4
Uniwath is Mirada Unified School Pintriot	17	16	16	
waking Unified School District.	18	16	17	
Perolic Community College District Contend	27	21	23	
Wickward Enified School Pintrict	18	18	18	
tes dies Janier tellego (Baergnento)	13	12	13	
Secretainte dany initiod behave motrect	12	12	12	
Can Diego Unitied School District	35	35	35	
ton Francisco Adminity tallogo Brotrict	39	4	8	
Banta Ana College	13	10	11	
ONLINE				
	ì	l l		
Compandent school districts  Pensor Public School District No. I	18	18		
CONNECTICUT				
vetal districts		1	- 1	
Regular dathority tity of Bridgeport	53	52	52	
insploid their Binerice	11	111	11	
thousing Authority vity of Now Navon	30	30	30	
DELAWATE		4		
actal districts		· Standard		
bolandra Aires Cay Authority New Castle)	10	19	19	
Measing Astronity of Wilmington	(4)	(*)	(*)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMNIA			pajarima	
MANNERS CERMENTERS.		et e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
ersud distingua their dens transis distinctives.	7 - January 73	73	73	
Kanapa		1	and the same of th	
			1	
South Browned thousand limiteson (1991) ground)	15	13	14	
		- Apple		
Morendens sender districts: Phodites sender School Ristrict (Clearator)	19	10		
The said thank Comes Marked told former frances	14	19   11	19	
phas gianth general main againstaille general anni anni anni anni	6		6	
Plorida Junior Chilego Jackagavillo J	29	23	26	
bade county School Cierrics (Might)	105	105	105	1
Breat-Dade Justor College at	37	. 19	23	
Appeared Judior College	21	18	18	
ditisborough County School District Temps	15	15	15 }	

See fortactor at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Mode as any of	Жı	imber of employees	3	
Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Octobor payroll
GEORGIA				
Special districts: Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority (Atlanta) Richmond County Hospital Authority (Augusta) Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority (Macon)	46 24 11	46 20 10	46 24 11	27 14
Independent school districts: Atlanta Independent School District	11 12 13 13 2	11 12 13 13	11 12 13 13	7 14 5 9 8
ILLINOIS				, , <b>, ,</b>
Special districts: Chicago City Board of Education Chicago Housing Authority. Chicago Transit Authority Metro Fair Exposition Authority (Chicago) Motropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago Independent school districts:	536 (²) 61 47 67	36 (2) 61 38 67	155 (°) 61 42 67	172 ( <sup>2</sup> ) 65 38 32
Paoria Public School District 150	8 21	6 21	8 21	7 19
INDIANA  Special districts: Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority (Indianapolis)				
Independent school districts: Gary Commission School Corporation	39	39	18	12
AWOI	96	71	80	63
Independent school districts: Waterloo Community School District	(2)	(2)	(2)	
Kansas		1	( )	(2)
Independent school districts: Kansas City School District Unified School District 501 (Topoka) Wichita Unified School District 259	12 10 44	12 10 44	12	10 6
KENTUCKY		***	44	27
Independent school districts: Fayette County School District (Lexington) Jefferson County School District (Louisville) Louisville Public Schools	21 20 21	21 7 21	21 11	21 8
LOUISIANA		~~	21	14
pecial districts:  Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District  Orleans Levee District (New Orleans)	21 53	21	21	13
ndependent school districts: East Baton Rouge Parish School District (Baton Rouge) Orleans Parish School District (New Orleans)	13 (²)	13 (2)	13 (*)	37 8
MARYLAND			1	(*)
pecial districts: Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (Riverdale)	93	09		
See footnotes at end of table.	93	93	93	108

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1974—Continued

	Nu	Oatobon		
Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
Magrachusetts				
Opecial districts:  Boston Housing Authority  Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority (Jamaica Plain)	12 65	12 65	12 65	17 100
MICHIGAN				
Opecial districts:  Nuron-Clinton Metro Authority (Detroit)  Tri City Airport Commission (Procland)  Peoples Community Nospital Authority (Wayno)	57 11 17	27 11 17	36 11 17	3:
Independent school districts:  Oakland Community College (Bloomfield Hills)  Betroit City School District	54 (2) 44 24	11 27 (2) 20 24 (2) 3	11 37 (2) 26 24 (2) 8	1: 3 (2 1: 2 (2
MINNESOTA				
Special districts:    Romopin County Park Reservo (Maple Plain)	9 150	9 42	9 59	8
MISSOURI				
Joocial districts:   Housing Authority of Kansus City	30 107	30 107	30 107	
Independent school districts:  Rangas City School District	60	4 48 45 7	23 51 45 7	2 4 3
nebraska				
Independent school districts: Omahn City School District No. 1	24	18	19	1
NKVADA				
Independent school districts: Clark County School District (Las Vegas)	20	19	20	1
NEW JERSEY				
Special districts:  Delaware River Port Authority (Camden)  Burlington County Bridge Commission (Palmyra)  Paterson Housing Authority  Housing Authority of the City of Trenton	119 29 ( <sup>2</sup> ) 21	119 22 (²) 21	119 25 (²) 21	12 2 ( <sup>2</sup> 1
COLKEN ASK				
Independent school districts: Albuquerque School District	30	30	30	Allendar Telephoneria
жи уогк				
Special districts: Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (New York)	1,291 78	1,291 76	1,291 76	1,5( 1:
Indopendent school districts: Faraingdala dublic Schools	17	2	10	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1974—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Nu	mber of employed	28	
Unit of govornment <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	payroll
OHO				
Special districts: Akron Metropolitan Park District (Akron) Hamilton County Park District (Cincinnati) Cloveland Metro Housing Authority. Cloveland Metropolitan Park District Lorain County Metro Park District (Elyria) Metro Park District of the Toledo Aroa (Toledo) Youngstown Township Park District	વર્ષ	16 15 46 62 31 17	17 22 46 53 32 18 13	13 16 44 65 25 15
Independent school districts:		-1		<b>t</b>
Cincinnati City School District	109 45 22	1 28 22	14 34 22	24 27 13
AKOHANO				
Independent school districts: Oklahoma City School District	48	30	48	26
OREGON				
Special districts: The Port of Portland District	86	86	58	87
Independent school districts: Portland School District	24	22	23	25
Pennsylyan ia				
Special districts:  Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission (Morrisville) Philadelphia Housing Authority Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	109 230	109 230	109 230	111 233
(Philadelphia) Pittsburgh Housing Authority Port Authority of Allegheny County (Pittsburgh)	28 60 9	28 60 9	28 60 9	41 48 9
Indopendent school districts: Ponn Hills Township School District (Pittsburgh) Pittsburgh City School District	(*) 184	(²) 150	(²) 165	(*) 85
SOUTH CAROLINA				
Special districts: Columbiá Metro Airport	26	26	26	19
TENNESSEE				
Special districts:  Mcmphis-Sholby County Airport Authority (Memphis)  Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority	45 46	45 46	45 46	38 37
TEXAS				
Special districts:  North Central Toxas Airport Authority (Arlington)  Brownsville Navigation District Nusces County Navigation District (Corpus Christi) Dallas County Hospital District (Dallas) Housing Authority-City of Houston Port of Housion Authority  Bexar County Hospital District (San Antonio)	196 11, 4 44, 49 101 27	196 11 4 44 - 96 25	196 11 4 44 21 98 25	192 6 1 29 12 78
Independent school districts:  Dallas County Community College District (Dallas) El Paso Independent School District	32 1 32 19 56	32 1 32 19 56	32 1 32 10 56	24 1 14 14 31

See footnotes at end of table

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1974—Continued

	Nu	mber of employee	it.	
Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
TEXAS-CONTINUES	and the second of the second o			
independent school districts-Continued fruing independent Cibool District	14	10 11 26	10 13 27	4 6 11
Independent ochool districts: Granto School District Salt lake City)	15	11	14	8
AIRIONIA				
Operial districts. Thompseks bay Dridge and Tunnel District (Cape Charles) Bitsabeth River Tunnel Consission (Norfolk)	64 58	64 58	64 58	44 48
Waching House				
Operated districts:  Port of Seattle Instrict	81	81	81	90
independent school districts:	35	32	32	33

<sup>.</sup> Depresents kero or rounds to zero.

#### **APPENDIX 2: DEFINITIONS OF TERMS**

Following is a glossary of terms, concepts, and categories used in this report and comments concerning their limitations.

The definitions are those applied in the field compilation of data for the 50 States, 312 largest counties, and 384 largest cities. These definitions were necessarily summarized for inclusion in the survey questionnaires (see appendix 3) sent to governments in the mail portion of the survey.

#### Government expenditure

Expenditure is all amounts of money paid out (net of any correcting transactions) other than for retirement of debt, investment in securities, extensions of loans, or agency transactions. It includes only external cash payments and excludes any intragovernmental transfers and noncash transactions, such as the provision of meals or housing of employees. It also includes any payments financed from borrowing, fund balances, intergovernmental revenue, and other current revenue. In several instances, two or more governments share the expense of maintaining a court or other criminal justice agency. In these cases, the allocable direct expenditure amount is reported for each government in the appropriate category. When a government pays pensions directly to retired employees from appropriated funds, such payments are included as expenditure of the government concerned. However, State and local government contributions to retirement systems and various other employee benefits are not included in expenditure data, since the majority of governments make lump-sum contributions to plans covering all government employees and cannot report for criminal justice employees separately. Neither in government's basic accounting records (from which criminal justice expenditure figures are drawn) nor in the records of their general-coverage employee benefit systems is there usually any breakdown of amounts contributed in terms of the various agencies or functions involved. Nor has an adequate procedure for calculating the proportion of such contributions allocable to criminal justice employees been developed because of the wide variation in the coverage of various plans, employee status requirements, benefit rates, etc.

For those governments whose records reflect contributions of criminal justice employees separately, separate data were obtained. However, these data are subject to the same variation referred to above, and

neither the amount nor the quality of the data reported would permit any attempt to estimate total contributions for all similar governments in a given State. Given the problems involved, no estimation procedure seems feasible. Such data as were reported separately were therefore excluded from total criminal justice expenditures to provide a consistent data base for administering the variable pass-through requirement.

Expenditure is divided into two major categories by character:

- 1. <u>Direct expenditure</u> is all expenditure except that classed as intergovernmental and is further divided into two principal object categories:
  - a. Current operation, which includes salaries, wages, fees, and commissions, purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services.
  - b. Capital outlay, which includes expenditure for the three subcategories below:

Construction: Production of fixed works and structures, and additions, replacements and major alterations thereto undertaken either on a contract basis by private contractors or through force account construction by the employees of the government. Included are the planning and designing of specific projects, the grading, land-scaping, and other site improvement, and the provision of equipment and facilities that are integral parts of the structure.

Equipment: Furch, se and installation of apparatus, furnishings, office equipment, motor vehicles and the like having an expected life of more than 5 years. This includes both additional equipment and replacements. Rentals for equipment, including rental payments that may be credited on the purchase price if purchase options are exercised, are classified as current operation expenditure. Equipment and facilities that are integral parts of constructed or purchased structures are classified respectively under construction or purchase of land and existing structures.

Purchase of land and existing structures: Purchase of these assets as such, purchase of rights-of-way, and title search and similar activities associated with purchase transactions.

<sup>&</sup>quot;where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears in parcotheses after the unit name.

<sup>\*(</sup>ints of government cose not directly employ police personnel Police services are provided by contract with another government of with a private security firm.

The silve object categories interest on general debt, sunctioned and categories, and incurance benefits are not arrelated for execution times.

I later a commental expenditure is payments from one processing at to another, including grants-insel chared raybuses, payments in her of taxes, and associate for tervices performed by one government for another on a reindourable or cost-sharing basis iter example, payments by one government to another for lossing pricency.

Total expenditure is direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for emissial profice delivities.

Total process expenditure is all expenditure of a poseniment or level of government for all government for all government for all government for those, including criminal justice activities, but excluding adults system expenditure, liquor store expenditure, and meatance trust expenditure. Total general expenditure disson for the local level of government includes expenditure only of general purpose governments and excludes expenditure of special districts and wheal districts

#### tioreinment employment

Imployees includes all persons paid for personal creates performed, including all paid officials and persons in paid leave status, and excludes unpaid officials persons on unpaid leave, pensioners and creates tors. Under this definition are two classes.

- I builtume employees, who are all persons employed during the pay period including October 18 1974, on a full-time basis, including all full-time lemp-dary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period. as well as persons having permanent states.
- 2. Port time employers, who are persons employed during the pas period including October 15, 1974, on a basis other than full-time, and persons paid by more than one government.

#### throad from these two classes is

I off time equivalent employees, which means the total manufes of corplayes, discounted by applying average full time earning rates. This is calculated by disabog the total payfoll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payfoll and multiplying this by the counter of full time employees.

Pounds in the gives partial before deductions and includes salaries wages, fees and commissions paid to employees as defined above for the month of October 1972.

#### Governmental functions

Police protection is the function of enforcing the law, preserving order, and apprehending those who violate the law, whether these activities are performed by a police department, a sheriff's department, or a special police force maintained by an agency whose prime responsibility is outside the criminal justice system, but which has a police force to perform these activities in its specialized area (geographic or functional).

Included in this activity are regular police services, the maintenance of buildings used for police purposes, and such specialized police forces (including public and private contract forces) as airport police, free and toll highway police, free and toll bridge and tunnel police, housing police, maritime police, park police, transit and other utility system police, college and university campus police, and alcoholic beverage control agents. Coroners and medical examiners are also included. Excluded are vehicular inspection and licensing, traffic safety and engineering, fish and game wardens, fire marshals, and the like.

The special police forces included in the data are only those which are part of general purpose governments. Security forces and building guards without the power to make a police arrest were excluded. Those special police forces that are part of independent school districts or special districts are not included in the data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected larger special police forces of these districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B.

In many States, sheriffs' departments are multifunctional agencies providing police protection, judicial, and/or correctional services. In past years, the lack of needed information has prevented the consistent proration of expenditure or employment of sheriffs' departments among the appropriate functions. This year's report reflects a more accurate proration made possible by a special survey of sheriffs' departments conducted in the summer of 1973. This one-time survey asked the sheriffs themselves to prorate their employees and expenditures among the functions performed in their individual departments. The proration factors developed in this special survey were then applied in the collection of data for the 1973-74 annual survey.

Short-term custody and detention have traditionally been considered part of the police protection function; and in editions prior to the 1969-70 report, were treated as such. However, beginning with the 1969-70 report, the concept was modified on the basis of information obtained from the 1970 National Jail Census. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in the "corrections" sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in the "police protection" sector.

Judicial activities encompass all courts and activities associated with courts such as law libraries, grand juries, petit juries, and the like. Since the names of courts with similar functions and legal jurisdiction vary from State to State and even within States, data have been categorized by types of court rather than by court name.

- 1. Appellate courts include courts of last resort and intermediate appellate courts. These are courts having jurisdiction of appeal and review, with original jurisdiction conferred only in special cases.
  - a. Court of last resort is the court of final appeal within the judicial structure of each State. It is called the "Court of Appeals" in the District of Columbia, Kentucky, Maryland, and New York; the "Supreme Court of Appeals" in West Virginia; the "Supreme Judicial Court" in Maine and Massachusetts. In Texas and Oklahoma two courts of last resort are authorized—the "Court of Criminal Appeals" for criminal cases and a "Supreme Court" for civil cases. In every other State the court of last resort is titled the "Supreme Court."

b. Intermediate appellate courts are those that are limited in their appellate jurisdiction by State law or at the discretion of the court of last resort. In 15 of the 24 States with a court of this type operating in fiscal year 1973-74 the name "court of appeals" is used. These States are:

Arizona Missouri
California New Mexico
Colorado North Carolina
Florida Ohio
Georgia Oklahoma
Indiana Oregon
Louisiana Washington
Michigan

In Illinois the title is "Appellate Court"; in Maryland, "Court of Special Appeals"; in Massachusetts, "Appeals Court"; in New Jersey. "Appellate Division of the Superior Court"; in New York<sup>1</sup>, "Appellate Division of the Supreme Court"; and in Texas, "Court of Civil Appeals." In Alabama the civil and criminal cases are heard on appeal by separate courts—a "Court of Civil Appeals" and a "Court of Criminal Appeals." In Tennessee the "Court of Appeals" hears only civil appeals; a separate "Court of Criminal Appeals" reviews criminal cases before review by the court of last resort.

- In Pennsylvania the "Commonwealth Court" reviews all cases brought by or against the State government or its agencies; the "Superior Court" reviews all other appeals except those within the exclusive jurisdiction of the court of last resort.
- 2. Major trial courts are trial courts of general jurisdiction having unlimited original jurisdiction in civil and/or criminal cases, the names of which vary considerably. The list below shows the title of the courts of general jurisdiction in each State. Several States are listed more than once because local situations led to the development of separate courts, either to hear cases involving different types of pleadings or to hear cases in particular local jurisdictions.

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of major trial courts. These judges were counted as part-time employees at both the State and local levels when actually receiving a check from both governments.

#### Circuit courts

Alabama	Mississippi
Arkansas	Missouri
Florida	Oregon
Hawaii	South Carolina
Illinois	South Dakota
Indiana	Tennessee <sup>2</sup>
Kentucky	Virginia
Maryland	West Virginia <sup>2</sup>
Michigan <sup>2</sup>	Wisconsin

#### District courts

Colorado	Nevada
Idaho	New Mexico
Iowa	North Dakota
Kansas	Oklahoma
Louisiana	Texas
Minnesota	Utah
Montana	Wyoming
Nebraska	

#### Superior courts

Alaska	Indiana <sup>2</sup>
Arizona	Maine
California	Massachusetts
Connecticut	New Hampshire
Delaware	New Jersey
District of	North Carolina
Columbia	Rhode Island
Georgia	Washington

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In these States, the above-named courts are supplemented in some counties and cities by major trial courts with varying names.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There are also three ppellate terms of the Supreme Court that have jurisdiction in specific cases that would otherwise be heard by the appellate division.

Chancery courts

Arkoneas Mississippi Delaware Tennessee

County courts

New York Vermont New York Wisconsin

Common pleas courts

Missouri Ohio Pennsylvania

Supreme court

New York

3 I sourts of limited jurisdiction are courts whose legal autoalisation covers only a particular class of eases of cases where the amount in controversy is below a presented sum or is subject to specific exceptions. These courts are tabulated under three subcategories: mostile, probate, and other. Invende court and probate court data are reported in separate subcategories when such jurisdiction is exercised by an independent court having only invende or probate introduction.

a. Probate courts are also called orphans courts, surregate's courts, or courts of ordinary. The subject jousdation varies from place to place, but generally includes estate settlement, probate and centest of wills, adoption; commitment of the insane, administration of the affairs of orphans, mental defectives and incompetents, guardianship of minors, apprenticeship, weenership, change of name proceedings; and the administration of trusts. Data were tabufated separately only for independent probate coulds. Where probate matters are part of the sutisdiction of another court, either generally or in a separate division or branch, the data are not tabulated separately but are included with the evust excreming muscletion.

is Invente courts are those that deal primarily with delinquent and neglected children regardless of the easier of the court. In various places such courts are called invente courts, tamily courts, accorde and domestic relations courts, domestic relations courts, or other similar names. The jurisdiction of these courts can include crimes committed by persons under legal age invende status offenses, offenses against children, probation of minor delinquents, adoption, castedy, or disposition of monor and mentally incompetent children, child

neglect or abandonment; child and wife support; and paternity. Data were tabulated separately only for independent juvenile courts. Where juvenile cases are handled by another court, either generally or in a separate division or branch, the data are not tabulated separately but are included with the court exercising jurisdiction.

- c. Other courts includes various other State and local courts with limited jurisdiction such as justices of the peace, district magistrates, justice courts, county courts of limited jurisdiction, municipal courts, city courts, etc. Also included in this category are data on specialized courts such as tax courts, courts of claims, and courts having jurisdiction over more than one type of case (e.g., a court that handles both juvenile and probate eases).
- 4. Miscellaneous judicial includes data on judicial activities that could not be reported under any of the above court categories, such as judicial councils and conferences, court administration offices (where identifiable), law libraries, jury commissions, and grand juries.

Legal services and prosecution includes the civil and criminal justice activities of the attorneys general, district attorneys. State's attorneys, and their variously named equivalents; corporation counsels, solicitors, and legal department; with various names. It includes providing legal advice to the chief executives and subordinate departmental officers, representation of the government in lawsuits, and the prosecution of accused violators of criminal law. These activities are included whether performed by one office or several, because in some jurisdictions a single officer provides all legal services, whereas in others a prosecutor's office handles only criminal matters, and a separate attorney's office performs all civil legal services. The operations of various investigative agencies having full arrest powers and attached to offices of attorneys general district attorneys, or their variously named equivalents are also included.

Indigent defense includes activities associated with the right of persons to have legal counsel and representation, office of the public defender, and other government programs that pay the fees of court-appointed counsel. These include court-paid fees to individually retained counsel, fees paid by the court to court-appointed counsel, government contributions to private legal aid societies and bar association-sponsored programs, and the activities of an established public defender office or program. Employment data are included only for public defender offices, because fee-paid counselors are not considered government employees, nor are counselors working for bar associations or legal aid societies.

Corrections is that function of government involving the confinement and rehabilitation of adults and juveniles convicted of offenses against the law and the confinement of persons suspected of a crime and awaiting adjudication. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in this sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in "Police Protection." Correction includes the operation of prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, and other institutions. It also includes institutions, facilities and programs exclusively for the confinement of the criminally insane or for the examination, evaluation, classification, and assignment of inmates and institutions and programs for the confinement, treatment, and rehabilitation of drug addicts and alcoholics if the institution or program is administered by a correction agency of the criminal justice system. Pardon boards and parole and probation agencies, including resettlement or halfway houses for those not in need of institutionalization, are included in the correction sector as a separate subcategory.

1. Correctional institutions are prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, penitentiaries, correctional farms, workhouses, reception centers, diagnostic centers, industrial schools, training schools, detention centers, and a variety of other types of institutions for the confinement and correction of convicted adults and juveniles and for the incarceration of those accused of a crime and awaiting adjudication. When an institution maintains a prison industry or agricultural program, data on the cost of production or the value of prison labor used by agencies of the same government, if identifiable, are excluded (and classed as expenditure for the function using the products or services). Expenditure for the manufacture, production, sale, and distribution of goods produced for sale or use outside the government are included under this heading.

a. <u>Institutions for men</u> includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult male offenders. This category also includes institutions for young adult offenders, described as "youthful offenders." (All such institutions presently known hold males only.)

b. Institutions for women includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult female offenders. Where there is no separate women's prison, women offenders are either maintained in a prison complex that also houses other offenders or are boarded in private facilities or institutions in another State. No attempt was made to prorate data on institutions housing more than one type of inmate, but where females are boarded in private institutions or in another

State, available expenditure data was tabulated. Employment data were not tabulated because the personnel were employees of another government,

New Hampshire boards its female offenders in the Massachusetts Correctional Institution (for Women). Montana operates a split system, housing some females at the Warm Springs State Hospital and contracting with Nebraska for the rest. Vermont also operates a split system, housing some females and contracting with Massachusetts for the rest. North Dakota and Wyoming house all of their female offenders in the Nebraska Reformatory for Women and Idaho contracts with the State of Oregon for boarding its female offenders in the Oregon Women's Correctional Center.

c. Institutions for juveniles are those institutions identified by the 1973 Juvenile Detention and Correction Facility Census as housing primarily juveniles. These institutions include those under the control of a juvenile court, a probation department, or a youth authority or other similarly designated administrative body, as well as independently administered institutions. Also included are government payments to private agencies for the detention or treatment of delinquent juveniles.

There is considerable variation from State to State in the legal definition of a juvenile, particularly in regard to the age at which a person is no longer considered a juvenile. Institutions for juveniles have been classified individually in accordance with the laws and age designations of their respective States. Institutions housing youths treated as adults by the courts or other authority were included in the institutions for men category.

- d. Other and combined institutions are those institutions holding a combination of inmates. Where expenditure or employment data for physically separate institutions for juveniles, adult females, and adult males were not separable by institution or type of institution, the entire amounts were included under this category.
- 2. Correctional administration consists of data for the administration of the correctional system, including data on the central administrative office (for example, the department of corrections or a youth authority) and available data on the administration of individual correctional institutions. For some individual institutions, administration data were not segregable from data on the operation of the institution.

- Probation parole, and parden includes data on probation agencies, boards of parole, boards of parole, boards of parole, boards of parole, and their variously named equivalents. Although probation agencies frequently function under the administration of the major trial court, the data are presented here after having been deducted from the sudmind data, because of the correctional nature of the probation function. If the probation, parole, and parolin activities, or any of them individually, were part of the correctional administrative office, data were deducted and shown separately here, and the balance was shown under the correctional administration category. The overlapping character of the probation, parole, and pardon activities prevented the separate presentation of these data.
- 4. <u>Miscellaneous correction</u> includes expenditure and employment data that could not be classified under one of the other subcategories.

Other criminal justice activities, shown in some tables, includes expenditure or employment data that are not elsewhere classified, that cut across more than one category, or that are not allocable to separate categories; e.g., expenditure on a general curriculum in educational institutions, the operation of State criminal justice agencies, crime commissions, etc. Such data are included in the totals, where they are not shown separately.

#### APPENDIX 3: SURVEY FORMS

Form CJ-6 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments

This form was mailed to the chief financial officer of the counties and municipalities surveyed.

Form CJ-6D Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments (Fee-Supported Offices)

This form was mailed to the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and/or Clerk of Court in the States of Florida, Kentucky and Louisiana. Data for these fee-supported offices were incorporated in the appropriate criminal justice function data for the county where located.

Form CJ-23 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Selected Special Police Forces

This form was mailed to special police forces serving colleges and universities, special districts, and independent school districts. Data for college and university forces were incorporated in the police protection data for the parent government. Data for special police forces serving independent school districts or special districts were not included in the regular police protection data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected large police forces serving such districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B.

Form CJ-25 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of the Federal Government

This form was mailed to the chief financial or administrative officer of the individual Federal agencies, bureaus, and offices identified as part of the criminal justice system. A list of the units canvassed in the survey for this year is presented in tables 4 and 5.

		Fc	m Aperoved:	O.M.B. No. 41-R26
MPORTANT - PLEASE READ	PONN CJ-6		U.S. DEPART	MENT OF COMMER
INSTRUCTIONS FOR PART II. PAGE 2	SURVEY OF EXPE	NDITURES AND	BUPLOYMEN	TEAU OF THE CENS
* If your givernment's lineal year ends on liseanter \$1, oner sanastes penditues for	in correspondence partainin	-		GOVERNMENTS
calecter year 1973 teven though calendar year 1974 data may be available).	te the Cenaus File Number	apone hone aggress	ise fater	
* Il your government's liscal year ends on				
june 10, roier magnet expenditums for the privat fully 1, 1973 - June 10, 1974.				
* If your government's lies at year endech a detection that the ember 31 or June 30.				
pates annual expressiones for your accord-				
Con's listed year which anded between July 1, 1973 and June 50, 1974.				
RETURN Bureau of the Census TO ATTH: Governments Division				
Washington, D.C. 20233	(Please correct any	error in name and ad	dress includin	g ZIP code)
Yame		Title		
	and the state of t			
supplied Official address (Number and )	street, State, ZIP code)	Area code I	Telepho	
		Arva code	Number	Extension
On behalf of the Law Enforce the Barran of the Census and bux eliminal justice functions, indigent defense, corrections, this year's questionnaire will	unity corrects public exp : police protection, judic and other criminal justic be appreciated.	enditure and emp cial, legal servic ce. Your coopera	loyment dat es and pros tion in com	a for ecution, pleting
The data collected in this vol Administration in implementing the Umnibus Grime Control and published annually in a report Criminal Justice System.	g uno noministering the p d Safe Streets Act of 106	planning and acti	on grant pro	
Please complete and return this any problems in filling out this area cule (301) 763-7825 or (3	s anemonorum con mon	eaddressed envel call collect for	ope. If you assistance o	have
macrenty.				
Unsurt Placello				
MNOFNT P. BARABBA				
there is a state of the Census		ja (j. 1946) Paristonia		
tic leagues				
Please theck here if you would	l like to receive a fee e	my of the element	nonari —	
Expenditure and Employment D	ata for the Criminal Just	ice System. —	· ·chair	

ITEM B - Revenue direct from the Federal Government -	Enter all revenue your government received for criminal justice activities direct from the Federal Government (not passed through any State agency) in your fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1973 and June 30, 1974;	8
to the payrolls reported above.	1 Monthly 2 Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 Weekly 5 Other — Specify	DEFINITIONS
ITEM A - Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.  1. Full-time employees ("X" one box only)    2. Part-time employees ("X" one box only)	1 Monthly 2 Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 Meekly 5 Other — Specify  GENSUS USE	

# art II - EXPENDITURES - Confir

• INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE — All money paid to governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of services, care and boarding of prisoners in another government's Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of conties, property, utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as suc contributions for social insurance.

Poyments to other local governments (Column g) - Payments of your government to another county, city, town, special or school district.

Poyments to the State government (Column h) - Payments of your government to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

• GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BI (Column i) — Any employer contributions, seporable by octiviting Federal Social Security system, State and local retirement commercial or mutual insurance plans, workmen's compensation and insurance premiums paid by your government for health, disability, and life insurance programs. Exclude payments made to individuals and contributions made by employees to an above programs.

ITEM A — Report the pay period interval for which all or mo full-time (or part-time) employees are paid. • ITEM B - REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNME Fater cnly the revenue received directly from the Federal Gover (e.g., hoading and freding of Federal prisoners, that portion of givevenue sharing used for criminal justice purposes, Alcohol Safety, Program, etc.). Do not enter amounts from the Federal (Gment passed through the State or any of its departments or agencies.

Page 2--Continued

\*

		for the experiment and property of the southern compared and property for property to the southern to the sout	MANAGE TO THE POPULATION OF TH	# \$2.50 # \$0. #\$74. \$0.10.	For II - EX For several For several For Several	Pos II – Expenditure for Edie Bosson expediture for Pos Gregories et l'est pres Loy 1. 1913 and Jan 30, 191 See Instruction on corne et a	Post II — EXPENDITURES  Enter modern expectators for the enterior of the decide for the four for your generalist force for the four for the force of	3
	NOT TO A	PULL-FINE EMPLOYEES	PART-TIM	Part-Time Employees	CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL	CATERCOVERNACATA:	COVERENCE
のうよびでは、存むがある。 たまず からの表 さりにき よの かりょう ひんだっとが 変化素で素 では、まちゃん から では まうじがた からでき からにき から日本・		Favra de mount Construction (Construction) Construction (Construction)		Fourter of San Desical Control of San San San San San San San San San San	Colore beat (c)		ACCOUNTS OF ACCOUN	W S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
4. #CLINCE PROFECTION — Is addition to all segular police activation, in face operial police form care space, in face of the form care space, include the form care to arreat. Include the form care to arreat. Include the face of the form and the face of the f								And particular divine processing and an analysis and an analys
2. JUDICIAL. — All civil and craninal contu- nad contr-related activities fe.g., jury feet, clerk of conti, has literates. feetude probasics and parable and report ander "Corrections." Exclude fees to contrappopulated counsel and report nucles "ladigent Befense." Exclude prosecutors and report onder "Legal Services."	The control of the co							e de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del compa
3. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION Legal advice to the government, prosecution of law violators and representation of the government in civil lawanto.								
4. HDIGENT DEFENSE — Office of the public defender, fees paid to coursely appointed coursely, and other government programs to provide legal aid for persons public to afford coursel in criminal and civil matters.								mention of the control of the contro
5. CORRECTIONS — Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention honce and the like holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Also, probation and parole including lallway bouses. Include alechol and narrotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.								
6. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Other criminal justice activities (e.g., crime acoustils, criminal justice planning agencies) not reported above.  Please list these activities acparately on page 3.								

1	· ·	<del></del>					- -				Parts I a	·							
2,	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									******		n (marian) na	**	<del></del>			-	•
												·				<del></del>	······································		
4,										<del></del>	-			<del></del>				<del></del>	
5,					14.								·	<del>,,,,,,</del> ,				·	
5,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									······································	***************************************			<u> </u>			<del> </del>		
									· .								ì	··· <del>··································</del>	
														·		······································			
													<del> </del>		······································	<del></del>			
											•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del>.````</del>			
•															ميسى نيوانيا تنصير				-
Com	ments																		
				*****	<del></del>	<del></del>		<del></del> -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	<del></del>	-	***********		<del></del>		-	<del></del>	***************************************	<del></del>	<del>and the last of t</del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del>nikopiqe,ii,</del>			**		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***************************************	·		·	· .		<del></del>	<del> </del>		
-		<del></del>	<del></del>	• <del>••••</del> •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			<del>-</del>	· 	<del></del>		****	<del></del>		<del>.</del>					
	<del></del>					· 		-	***************************************	<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***********	<del></del>			<del></del>	<del></del>	
<del></del>				<del>~~~~</del>		<del></del>		<del></del>	<del></del>	······································	***************************************	***************************************		<del></del>	***************************************	<del>'27</del>	<del> </del>		
-	HANDSTONES S	entanta <del>(Sl</del> igisa)	- MRANASE	Olen is enter mis			,		Marie la partir de la partir de	Fi Hilligan Strington,		-							
		· <del>/</del>			<del></del>				<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***************************************	***************************************	<del></del>	• <del>•••••</del>		<del></del>	-	
<del></del>				-			· .		· .	er Dergaan er genade		<del></del>	· · ·			***************************************	······································	<del></del>	
<b>**</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		
	······································			<del>- Maryar J</del>		-					***************************************	-	<del></del>	<del></del>			<del></del>		
			gyddir gwlywinwydd		agarden de Langa			-		**************************************		de men				*************	······································		
								Parada Pagagana		rigalija galamatania.						************************			
					ap distribution													-	

FORM C. 6 (8-28-74)

Page 3

VINCENT P. BAHABBA Director Bureau of the Census Enclosures Please theck here if you report Expenditure and Em	sincerely,  Uww. TP Barm	The data collected in this very data collected in this very definition in implement of the Omnibus Crime Course lished annually in a repeat Justice System.  Please complete and return	If your office has converted please follow the instruction	Dear Friends  On behalf of the Law Enforce the Bureau of the Census an various criminal justice action of Court are included as par your cooperation in again protes for your affice: police protes	by Other Hadress Commercial		RETURN ATTN: Gavernments Division TO Washington, D.C. 20233	all your office's fiscal year ends on a dute other than Becember 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditures for your office's fiscal year which anded batwaan July 1, 1973 and June 30, 1974.	June 30, enter annual expenditures for the period July 1, 1073 - June 30, 1974-	r annual exponditures 3 terres though calen y be usuilable).	INSTRUCTIONS FOR PART II, PAGE 2	IMPORTANT - PLEASE READ
VINCENT P. BAILABBA Director Burreau of the Census Finclosures Finclosures Please theck here if you would like to receive a free copy of the current Please theck here and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System	inty problems in filling out this questionnaire, you may cure concerts (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.  Sincerely,  [[Walnet P Bands]]	The data collected in this voluntary survey are utilized by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus (cinne Connec) and Sale Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a repeat entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.  Therefore complete and return the questionnaire in the presidences develope. If you have	If your office has converted from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office, please follow the instructions at the top of Page 2 of this questionnaire.	Bear Friend:  On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for the United Collects of Court are included as part of the civil and criminal justice system, we will appreciate of Court are included as part of the civil and criminal justice system, we will appreciate your cooperation in again providing information for three of these activities as they apply your affice: police protection, judicial, and corrections.		Telephone Telephone	[Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)				SURVEY OF EXPENDITURES AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	FORM CJ-6D  U,S, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PORM CJ-6D  SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Is your office a fee-supported office financed and staffed in whole or in pand retained?	oart by fees	t is, is it collected	to a cou		t from a fee-sup pported office o		es ———	Enter date	
□ No - Answer b - □ Never was a fee				id your office c e-supported offi	onvert from a fee ce?	e-supported to	a county	Enter date	
ACTIVITIES	Enter es	- EMPLOYMEN nployment and pa period which inc I report ANNUA	yroll for you cluded Octob	ır office's er 15, 1974.	Enter annual office's fisca	il year which e	or the activities		for your od June 30, 1974.
	FULL-TIM	E EMPLOYEES	PART-TIM	E EMPLOYEES	CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVER EXPEND	NMENTAL.	EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE
Count a person only one time in the activity where he works the largest part of his time.	Number of employees	Payroll amount (pay period which included October 15, 1974) (Omit cents)	Number of employees	Payroll amount (pay period which included October 15, 1974) (Omit cents)	Exclude employer contributions to employee benefits; (Enter in col.(j))	Construction, equipment and land	Payments to other local governments	Payments to the State government	BENEFITS Exclude employee contributions
	(a)	(ð)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(f)	(g)	(ե)	(j)
1. POLICE PROTECTION (Sheriffs only) — In addition to all regular police activities, include also coroners and medical examiners if paid by your office. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.									
2. JUDICIAL (Sheriffs and Clerks of Court) — All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities (e.g., jury fees and law libraries). Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections." Exclude also fees to court-appointed counsel and prosecution.									
3. CORRECTIONS (Sheriffs only) — Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes and the like holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Also, probation and parole including halfway houses. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.							-		

机分子的 化光机分子的 我一个人只好你有好了了,我也没能够一些人的最大的人人的意思,只是是多种只要指毒的 医线线管	一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一一	· 一部報報 歌 · 機無者無行為 あっまい いれが 松瀬 野郷味を持い
東京は 神行心 素なけ 一端 一角電お光川 地帯屋 着話 いんなぶ い	京のなる 京本 教養 教養 はない が	大人 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		等以下為外, 我一樣一樣人以下了一樣的一人 在我我就就我不一樣 打木袋 做了一人 不不 我也就就是我们一樣 打木袋 做了一
公養外一致一等 以籍者其心無行 歌問題 好五五五年四一八 班	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	本で表現の表現の機能を表現していまっています。 1980年の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の
一般教育 東京一時門 日本縣 山地山 将并 有典人所在 上在門 一五十二級	ののはながられて、大きなのながらから、ない、一番様がの様に、音が、ななでは、一、ない、一、ない、	我不敢不敢我好 机打干到到我的一样一样一点 人员 我接听我 医粉胶
のは、これのでは、これの		不知為在於於一門或所養教 大門 經過一樣的 不過的人 的人物工作
	一般の 東本をはない	解學學也 化二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁二丁
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	被国际 电影解解 斯	
The partition states and includes configuration of the control of	を発展的できない。 では、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これ	**

## SET TIME

and love to spend
and for the Party
ori ve ontret
etrike dindryangs
prantine, jeong
<del>te ntlegi</del> nd
einera
Control of the same
i. Portlebe
<b>\</b>

Page 3

CORM C 5-00 10-20-741

J)o	1
	 \$ 50

	14
	** 8°

er,		 42
J.		
		 •

		11
Ž		
		2.
٠		

5		
		* *

Seattle Management of the Control	Data supplied by		FORM CJ-23	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN
Yame	THE SECTION AND PROPERTY AND PROPERTY OF THE P	A CANADA MA CANADA MANAGAMANA	SUPVEY OF EXPENDITUR	RES AND EMPLOYMENT FOR
			SELECTED SPEC	IAL POLICE FORCES
itte	(2) 1-2 (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2		to the Census File Number above your	address
	TO DOWN A THE REAL SECTION TO THE PROPERTY OF	****		
Micial add tate, 211° c	lrens ("iumber and si rude)	reet, city,		
1.14.			- The same and any arms in n	ame and address including ZIP code)
ine the second s	Telephone	Extension	(Please correct any crist in the	Bureau of the Census
Area rode	Number	CARCEIONE	RETURN TO	ATTN: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233
-	لتنسينا			
	Bureau of the Cens	us annually	nent Assistance Administration, U.S. collects expenditure and employment crsities, independent school districts	s, and special districts. Your
	On behalf of the Las Bureau of the Gens Forces serving col- cooperation in com The data collected Administration in i Omnibus Grime Co- in a report entitled	us annually leges, unive pleting this in this volumplementin introl and Sa Expenditur	ersities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law E g and administering the planning and ite Streets Act of 1968 as amended, re and Employment Data for the Crim	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance laction grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System.
	On behalf of the Las Bureau of the Gens Forces serving call cooperation in com The data collected Administration in i Omnibus Grime Goo in a report entitled	us annually leges, university this in this volumplementing the Expenditor of return the out this questions.	crafteets expenditure and emproyment craities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law E g and administering the planning and ife Streets Act of 1968 as amended are and Employment Data for the Crimic questionnaire, you may call collect for	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance I action grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System. envelope. If you have any
	On behalf of the Lassing of the Gens Forces serving collected administration in itemibus Crime Collected are report entitled.  Please complete a problems in filling 13011 763—7825 or	us annually leges, university this in this volumplementing the Expenditor of return the out this questions.	crafteets expenditure and emproyment craities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law E g and administering the planning and ife Streets Act of 1968 as amended are and Employment Data for the Crimic questionnaire, you may call collect for	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance I action grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System. envelope. If you have any
	On behalf of the Las Bureau of the Gens Forces serving coll cooperation in com The data collected Administration in i thunibus Grime God in a report entitled Please complete a problems in filling	us annually leges, university this in this volumplementing the Expenditor of return the out this questions.	crafteets expenditure and emproyment craities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law E g and administering the planning and ife Streets Act of 1968 as amended are and Employment Data for the Crimic questionnaire, you may call collect for	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance I action grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System. envelope. If you have any
	On behalf of the Lassing of the Gens Forces serving collected administration in itemibus Crime Collected are report entitled.  Please complete a problems in filling 13011 763—7825 or	us annually leges, university this in this volumplementing the Expenditure of return the out this que (301) 763—	cratters expenditure and employment craities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law Eg and administering the planning and ife Streets Act of 1968 as amended, re and Employment Data for the Crimate questionnaire in the preaddressed restionnaire, you may call collect for 2843.	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance I action grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System. envelope. If you have any
	On behalf of the La Bureau of the Gens Forces serving col- cooperation in com The data collected Administration in it Umnibus Crime Col in a report entitled Please complete a problems in filling (3011 763-7825 or	us annually leges, university this volumenting this wolfenenting in Expenditure out this que (301) 763—	cratters expenditure and employment craities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law Eg and administering the planning and ife Streets Act of 1968 as amended, re and Employment Data for the Crimate questionnaire in the preaddressed restionnaire, you may call collect for 2843.	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance I action grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System. envelope. If you have any
	On behalf of the Las Bureau of the Gens Forces serving collected administration in it Omnibus Crime Codin a report entitled Please complete a problems in filling 13011 763-7825 or Sincerely,	us annually leges, university this volumenting this wolfenenting in Expenditure out this que (301) 763—	cratters expenditure and employment craities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law Eg and administering the planning and ife Streets Act of 1968 as amended, re and Employment Data for the Crimate questionnaire in the preaddressed restionnaire, you may call collect for 2843.	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance I action grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System. envelope. If you have any
	On behalf of the Las Bureau of the Gens Forces serving collectors are serving collectors. The data collected Administration in it Omnibus Crime Collin a report entitled. Please complete a problems in filling L3011 763-7825 or Sincerely.  VINCENT P. BAI Director Bureau of the Gen	us annually leges, university this volumenting this wolfenenting in Expenditure out this que (301) 763—	cratters expenditure and employment craities, independent school districts year's questionnaire will be appreciantary survey are used by the Law Eg and administering the planning and ife Streets Act of 1968 as amended, re and Employment Data for the Crimate questionnaire in the preaddressed restionnaire, you may call collect for 2843.	s, and special districts. Your inted. Inforcement Assistance I action grant programs of the They are published annually incl Justice System. envelope. If you have any

	·······				
	Part I - SWOR	N POLIC	E OFFICERS		
□ No - Ple	ribed in the address box of force, i.e., a group of sease complete the questionse disregard the remain TURN the questionnaire	worn law e ions below der of this	nforcement offic	ers with the pow	ither ditectly or by ver to arrest?
Enter here the number of (i.e. having the power to 1. Officers employ 2. Contract officer	errest) in your special ped directly by your unit-	police force	e officers unit	FULL-T(a)	ME PART-TIME (b)
If applicable, enter here agency with whom you co	the name of the firm or pontract for police service	oolice			
If you contract exclusive IV below. If you employ	ely for police services an sworn police officers di	nd have no rectly, fill	officers of your out parts II, III,	own, fill out only and IV below.	ly parts III and
	Part II - EMPL	LOYMENT	AND PAYRO	)LL (	
A. For your special police	force unit, enter	FULL-TIM	E EMPLOYEES	PART-	TIME EMPLOYEES
employment and payroll in period which included Or include sworn police offications, guards, clerical cations personnel and ot personnel who are emplospecial police force.	ctober 15, 1974. icers, security and communi- her support	umber of nployees (a)	Payroll amoun (one pay perio which include October 15, 197 (Omit cents) (b)	d Number of	
Do NOT report annual pa	iyroll data.		\$		\$
	e box only) 24 pay periods per year) s (26 pay periods per yea		2. PART-TIME  1  Monthly 2  Twice	a month (24 pay wo weeks (26 pa	nly) periods per year) ry periods per year) CENSUS USE
9	Part III	- EXPEN	IDITURES (		
Enter the annual expend					specify your fiscal anding date here.
the fiscal year ending be	CAPITAL OUTLAY	T	VERNMENTAL I	EXPENDITURE	GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR
Exclude employer contri- butions to employee benefits and report in column (e) (a)	Construction, equipment and land (b)	Payme	ents to local vernments (c)	Payments to the State government (d)	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS Exclude employee contributions (e)
> Part IV	- REVENUE DIRECT	FROM:	THE FEDERA	L GOVERNME	NT
the Federal Government	nit received for police ac (not passed through any en July 1, 1973 and June	State agen	cy) in your		<b>\</b>

ORM CJ-23 (7-12-74)

Page 2

Form Approved: O.M.B. No. 41-R3702

#### **DEFINITIONS**

#### Part I - SWORN POLICE OFFICERS

SPECIAL POLICE FORCE - A group of law enforcement officers other than the regular police which arrained a special area or jurisdiction, e.g., campus police, park police, transit police, harbor police, airport police. Though a special police force usually cooperates fully with the regular local police locae, it is administratively independent.

#### Port II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

A. EMPLOYEES - All persons in your special police force unit follicers and employees) paid for personal nerveres performed, including all officials, salary workers and other persons in paid trave states in your unit.

Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors, and their employees and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your unit.

FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (Part II, column a) - Persons employed during the one pay period which included October 15, 1974, on a full-time basis. Include all full-time temporary or acasenal workers employed during this pay period.

PART-TIME EMPLOYEES (Part II, column c) - Persons employed during the one pay period which included October 15, 1974, on a part-time basis.

PAYROLL (Part II, columns b and d) - Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wagen, frem, or commissions carried during the one pay period which included October 15, 1974, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on some basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

B. Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.

#### Pon III - EXPENDITURES

CURRENT OPERATION (Part III, column a) - Salaries and payroll of your unit's officers and resplayers and the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services with individuals and firms in the private sector.

Exclude all capital autlay (see below), debt retirement, securities investment, loan extension, within-government transactions and employer contributions to employee benefits (see below).

CAPITAL OUTLAY (Part III, column b) - Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing attractures.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE - All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or parment for services tendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., putchase of police services).

PAYMENTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (Part III, column c) - Payments to a county, city, town, special or school district or any of their departments or agencies.

PAYMENTS TO THE STATE GOVERNMENT (Part III, column d) - Payments to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

Exclude names paid to a government for the purchase of commodities, property, utility services, any taxen imposed and paid an such, and contributions for social insurance.

GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (Part III, column e) - Any complexer contributions, separable for your unit, to the Federal Social Security system, State and local retirecost systems, commercial or mutual insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds, and insurance premiums paid by your parent government for health, hospital, disability, and life insurance programs.

Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

#### Part IV - REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Fater only the revenue received directly from the Federal Government. Do not enter amounts passed from the Federal Government through the state or its departments or agercies to your unit.

Page 3

This report has been cleared in accordance with FPMR 101-11.11 and assigned interagency report control number 1078-DOC-AN.	FORM CJ-25 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Data supplied by	SURVEY OF EXPENDITURES AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
Name	AND SHAMEN TO STOLE ACTIVITIES OF THE PEDERAL GOVERNMENT
Title	
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)	
Telephone	(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)
Area code Number Extension	RETURN TO  Bureau of the Census  ATTN: Governments Division  Washington, D. C. 20233

Dear Sir:

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for civil and criminal justice activities from agencies of the Federal Government and from State and local governments. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.

The data collected in this voluntary survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. Federal data are used particularly for intergovernmental comparisons and to meet the needs of criminal justice planners at all levels of government. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.

Please complete and return the questionnaire in the preaddressed envelope. The information you enter should be only for the bureau, agency, or office capitalized in the address block above. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, please call for assistance on 763-7825 or 763-2843.

Sincerely.

VINCENT P. BARABBA

Vincent P Barello

Bureau of the Census

Enclosure

中国的磁子 一定新日本设备中部

► Part I - EXPENDITURES <	
FOR FISCAL YEAR 1974, JULY 1, 1973 THROUGH JUNE 30,	
*NOTE = if problems the them extent each disbuttement, please thow total abligations incomes, or said assembly and undervised orders.	urred minus the sum of
i What was your total CASH disbutsement for CURRENT OPERATIONS; i.e., salaries, wages, and expenses of officers and employees, purchase of supplies and materials, and contractual services with other Federal agencies or private arganizations?  The not regarde government combuts on the employee benefits — see item 5	A TOTAL CONTINUES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
brown for further decorate a	\$
2. What was your tatal CASH disbursament for CAPITAL OUTLAY; i.e., direct expenditure FROM YOUR OWN BUDGET for acquisition of land, construction, reportsion and regards of buildings and facilities, and purchase of equipment?	C)
What was yout total INTERGOVERHMENTAL CASH disbursament to STATE GOVERHMENTS for fixed aid (*.g., grants, including amounts which were "passed through" State governments to local governments) or for services rendered?	4
4 What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to LOCAL GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants) or for services rendered, made DIRECTLY to local governments and HOT "passed through" State governments or their departments or agencies?	\$
5 What was your total CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; i.e., payments made to the Federal Social Security program, Federal retirement systems, commercial or mutual insurance plans, or premiums paid for accident and health, hospital and other insurance programs? 100 not not lade payments made directly to and made the employees for any of the above programs.)	
*NOTE - Prepar report all employees, executives, managers, operatives, and support pe Tempology employees should be reported as full-or part-time on the basis of nu	rsonnei.
1. How many FIS.L. TIME (40 hours or more a week) employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1974?	Full-time employees
7 What was the total payroll amount for all FULL-TIME employees nated in item 1 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1974?	\$
3 What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in Item 2?  Northly 2 Twice a month 3! Every 2 weeks  Weekly 6 Other - Specify	CENSUS USE ONLY
4 How many PART-TIME (less than 40 hours a week) employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1974?	Part-time employees
5 What was the total payroll amount for all PART-TIME employees noted in item 4 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1974?	\$
6. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payrall reported in item 5?  1. Manthly 2. Twice a manth 3. Every 2 weeks	CENSUS USE ONLY
4 Weekly 5 Other Specify	
Rev ash	

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION

#### USER EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1974

Dear Reader:

We have provided an evaluation form below for whatever opinions you wish to express about this report. Please cut out both pages, staple them together on one corner, and fold so that the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration address appears on the outside. After folding, use tape to seal closed. No postage stamp is necessary.

stamp is necessary.	
Thank you for your help.	
<ol> <li>For what purpose did you use this report on Expenditure and Employment D System, 1974?</li> </ol>	ata for the Criminal Justice
2. For that purpose, the report -	
☐ Met most of my needs ☐ Met some of my needs [	Met none of my needs
3. What parlicular types of data in the report did you use?	
4. If the report did not meet your needs, what changes would increase its usef	uiness to you?

	in the second of
insch parts of the report, if any, were difficult ton could shay be improved?	to understand or use?
tow could they be improved?	
en en en formalistation de la company de la company de la company de la company de la company de la company de La companya de la co	
the perfect out specific parts of the text or the defined?	table notes that are not clear or additional terms that need
in what capacity did you use this tepart?	
Resembles	
E.Cur. Mor	
Student  Commont justice agency employee	
在 16.0PM (自有主义)特殊的保持 期間過程企业 再门间线处理目的	

10. If you used this report Federal State County	t as a governmental employee,	please indicate the level of government.  City  Other - Specify		
11. If you used this report as a criminal justice agency e Law enforcement ipolice) Legal services and prosecution Public or private defense services Courts or court administration Probation		employee, please indicate the sector in which you work.  Corrections  Parole  Criminal justice planning agency  Other criminal justice agency - Specify type -		
Mark all that apply Agency or institut General program p	ion administrator lanner evaluator analyst gement planner evaluator analyst	e, please indicate the type of position you hold.  Program or project manager  Statistician  Other - Specify	Type of the second	
13, Additional comments				
			******	
			-	
			-	
	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	entropy and the second of the	***************************************	
and the same and the state of the state of the state of the same of the state of the state of the state of the		and the second section of the second second second second section second	<del></del>	
	egila masuuu uu amaa ka ka ka ka ka ka ka ka ka ka ka ka k	produced to provide a filtrar annual singular hadra displaced graves and displaced as a policial and displaced displaced and an angular displaced and the second singular displa	-	
			بستان الترويد	
If your comments	Name			
require an answer, please enter	Number and street			
your name and mailing address.	City	State ZIP code		

H S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Low Informaci Assistance Administration

Math. 1805. 1805. 1805.

PUSTAGE AND FEED PAID US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE JUS-436

America, Statistics Division

National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

## END

. 0