matter by telegraph; also for the bill to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories, and to protect State anti-cigarette laws-to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Wray and Eaton, Colo., and the Congregational Church and Woman's Club of Eaton, Colo., urging the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of liquors in Government buildings-to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, five petitions of citizens of Colorado Springs, Colo., favor-

ing the passage of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. SHERMAN: Petitions of Grand Army of the Republic, Department of New Hampshire; New England Metal Association, of Boston; American Baptist Home Mission Society, of Philadelphia; Revs. H. E. Reever, O. B. McCurdy, J. B. Mann, J. David Miller, J. M. Guss, John W. Owen, of Duncannon, Pa., in favor of the passage of House bill No. 7130 and Senate bill No. 1575, relating to ticket brokerage—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Poland, N. Y., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting interstate gambling by telegraph, telephone, or otherwise—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. SMITH of Arizona (by request): Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union and Congregational Church of Tempe, Maricopa County, Ariz., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws, to raise the age of protection for girls and to forbid the transmission of lettery measurements. protection for girls, and to forbid the transmission of lottery mes-

sages by telegraph, etc.—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also (by request), petition of the Congregational Church of
Tempe, Ariz., to prohibit the sale of intoxicating beverages in all
Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings

By Mr. SPALDING: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union and Methodist Episcopal Sunday school of Ridgeway, Mich., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. SPERRY: Petition of the Baptist Church of Deep River, Conn., for the passage of a bill to forbid interstate transmission of lottery and other gambling matter by telegraph—to the Com-

mittee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Waterbury, Conn., and Baptist Church of Deep River, Conn., ask-ing for the passage of the bill to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Waterbury, Conn., and Baptist Church of Deep River, Conn., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of

praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. SOUTHARD: Resolutions of the American Railway Loyal League, in favor of the passage of the so-called anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. STARK: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tamora, Methodist Episcopal, Presbyterian, and United Evangelical churches of Blue Springs Nebr and the

United Evangelical churches of Blue Springs, Nebr., and the Woman's Club of Lincoln, Nebr., for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws, also to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petitions of the First Congregational Church of Fairmont and the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tamora, Nebr., for the bill to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on

the District of Columbia.

Also, petitions of the United Evangelical, Presbyterian, and Methodist Episcopal churches of Blue Springs, Colo., and the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tamora, Nebr., asking for the passage of a bill to forbid the sale of intoxicating bever-ages in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Alcoholic

Liquor Traffic.
Also, petition of J. W. Ball and 161 citizens of Wahoo, Nebr., favoring the passage of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee

on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. STEELE: Petitions of F. Sullivan and 39 others, J. W. Kurtz and 39 others, John J. Miner and 39 others, and J. C. Mehan and 39 others, all citizens of Peru, Ind., and Huntington Division, No. 221, Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, in favor of the passage of the so-called anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on

Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Huntington, Ind., to prohibit the sale of intoxicating

beverages in all Government buildings-to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, petition of M. J. Balen and 39 citizens of Peru, Ind., and J. T. Elliot and 40 others, of Logansport, Ind., favoring the passage of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and

Foreign Commerce.

Also, petitions of the Sims Methodist Episcopal Church, Swayzee charge, North Indiana conference, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws, to raise the age of protection for girls, and forbid the transmission of lottery mes

sages by telegraph, etc.—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Sims Methodist Episcopal Church, Swayzee charge, North Indiana conference, favoring the passage of a bill prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol building and grounds—to the Committee on Public Buildings and

Grounds.

By Mr. STEWART of New Jersey: Petition of the Young Men's Christian Association of Little Falls, N. J., to raise the age of protection for girls, to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery

protection for girls, to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, and in favor of a bill to protect State anticigarette laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Young Men's Christian Association of Little Falls, N. J., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. WILLIAM A. STONE: Petition of James T. Sprout and there of Imposial Allegheny County. Page and vicinity in favor

others, of Imperial, Allegheny County, Pa., and vicinity, in favor of legislation to more effectually restrict immigration and prevent the admission of illiterate, pauper, and criminal classes to the United States—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturaliza-

By Mr. STURTEVANT: Resolutions of Lodge No. 259, Brotherhood of Railway Trainmen, of Conneaut, Ohio, in favor of legislation abolishing ticket brokerage—to the Committee on Interstate

and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. SUTHERLAND: Papers to accompany House bill for the relief of W. E. Jackson—to the Committee on Invalid Pen-

By Mr. VINCENT: Petition of citizens of Abilene, Kans., ask-

By Mr. VINCENT: Petition of citizens of Abilene, Kans., asking for the passage of a bill to prevent the adulteration of flour—to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. WADSWORTH: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Wyoming County, N. Y., favoring the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. WHITE of Illinois: Petition of Arthur Hammond and 152 citizens of Chicago, Ill., for the passage of a bill to prevent the admission of illiterate, pauper, and criminal classes to the United States—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

Also, petitions of certain labor organizations and citizens of the State of Illinois, in opposition to the so-called anti-scalping bill or any similar measure—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

SENATE.

THURSDAY, March 24, 1898.

Prayer by the Chaplain, Rev. W. H. MILBURN, D. D.
The Secretary proceeded to read the Journal of yesterday's proceedings, when, on motion of Mr. BERRY, and by unanimous consent, the further reading was dispensed with.

FRENCH SPOLIATION CLAIMS.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the Court of Claims, transmitting the conclusions of fact and of law under the act of January 20. 1885, to provide for the ascertainment of claims of American citizens for spoliations committed by the French prior to the 31st day of July, 1801, in the matter of the bark *Matilda*, Ira Canfield, master; Andrew E. Warner, administrator de bonis non of the estate of Jonathan Warner, deceased, and Charles N. Cady, administrator of the estate of Gideon Leet, deceased; which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Claims, and ordered to be printed. be printed.

APARTMENT HOUSES IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communica-tion from the Commissioners of the District of Columbia, transmitting, in response to a resolution of the 17th ultimo, a report of the Acting Engineer Commissioner relative to the number and location of apartment houses, etc., in the District of Columbia; which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia, and ordered to be printed.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. W. J. Browning, its Chief Clerk, announced that the Speaker of the House had signed the following enrolled bills; and they were thereupon signed by the Vice-President:

A bill (S. 179) granting a pension to Mary F. Hawley;

A bill (S. 294) granting increase of pension to John J. Boat-

A bill (S. 432) for the relief of the heirs of Sterling T. Austin, deceased:

A bill (S. 1111) granting an increase of pension to Joseph V.

Bowie;
A bill (S. 1200) granting a pension to Miss Mary Hayne;
A bill (S. 1465) granting an increase of pension to Daniel Phil-

lips;

A bill (S. 3179) granting a pension to Bethiah N. Collins; A bill (S. 3873) to authorize the relocation and rebuilding of a pontoon bridge across the Mississippi River at Prairie du Chien, Wis.; and

A bill (H. R. 3737) granting an increase of pension to Orlando J. Hopkins.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS.

The VICE-PRESIDENT presented a petition of the Eastern Presbyterian Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor, of the city of Washington, and a petition of the congregation of the Eastern Presbyterian Church, of the city of Washington, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Mr. MITCHELL presented a petition of George C. Drake Post, No. 223, Grand Army of the Republic, of Milwaukee, Wis., and the petition of Mrs. Walker Kempster, chairman of the flag committee of the National Society of the Daughters of the American Revolution, of Milwaukee, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to prevent the descration of the national flag; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Sheridan, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which was referred to the Committee on Public Buildings.

buildings; which was referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Sheridan, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories; which was ordered to

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Sheridan, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temper-ance Union of Sheridan, Wis., and a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Milwaukee, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on enter-ing any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

Mr. MONEY presented a petition of sundry citizens of Claiborne County, Miss., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Com-

Mr. MURPHY presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Elmira, Union Springs, Taylor, and Little Genesee, all in the State of New York, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the

Committee on Interstate Commerce.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Mount Upton, Elmira, Union Springs, Taylor, and Little Genesee, all in the State of New York, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings, which were referred to the

actment of legislation to promote the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Ravenna, Elmira, Union Springs, Taylor, and Little Genesee, all in the State of New York, praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories; which were ordered to lie on the table.

for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. PETTIGREW presented a petition of sundry citizens of Mitchell, S. Dak., praying for the passage of the so-called anti-scalping ticket bill; which was ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. WALTHALL presented a petition of the County Teachers' Association, of Claiborne County, Miss., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which was referred to the Com-

shall become subject to its laws; which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

Mr. FAIRBANKS presented the petitions of F. Sullivan and 39 other citizens, of John J. Skinner and 39 other citizens, of J. C. Meehan and 39 other citizens, of M. J. Bolan and 39 other citizens, and of S. W. Kurtz and 39 other citizens, all of Peru, in the State of Indiana, praying for the passage of the so-called antiscalping ticket bill; which were ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. PLATT of New York presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Greece, Batavia, Lowville, and Clayton, and of the Davies Union, of North Ilion, all in the State of New York praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the

of New York, praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia

and the Territories; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Clinton, Lowville, Batavia, Versailles, Erin, and Greece; of the Davies Union, of North Ilion; of the Young People's Union of the Universalist Church of Sherman; of the conpies Union of the Universalist Church of Sherman; of the congregation of the First Baptist Church of Meredith; of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Meredith; of the congregation of the Clinton Street Methodist Episcopal Church, of Binghamton, and of the congregation of the First Christian Church of Binghamton, all in the State of New York, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperature of the Committee of the State of State of

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Clayton, Lowville, Batavia, Greece, Versailles, Sheridan, and Erin; of the Davies Union, of North Ilion, and of the congregations of the Clinton Street Methodist Episcopal Church, of Binghamton, and the First Christian Church of Binghamton, all in the State of Nur Verl

Church, of Binghamton, and the First Christian Church of Binghamton, all in the State of New York, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Meredith, Clayton, Batavia, Greece, Versailles, Sheridan, and Chemung; of the Davies Union, of North Ilion; of the congregations of the Baptist Church of Meredith, the Clinton Street Methodist Episcopal Church, of Binghamton, and the First Christian Church of Binghamton, all in the State of New York, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anticigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce. Mr. ALLEN presented a petition of Harvey Division, No. 95, Order of Railway Conductors, of McCook, Nebr., praying for the passage of the so called anti-scalping ticket bill; which was or-dered to lie on the table.

dered to lie on the table.

Mr. HAWLEY presented a petition of the congregation of the

Congregational Church of Westchester, Conn., and a petition of the congregation of the Baptist Church of Deepriver, Conn., praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of the congregation of the Congregational Church of Westchester, Conn., and a petition of the congregation of the Baptist Church of Deep River, Conn., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

graph; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the congregation of the Baptist Church of Deep River, of the congregation of the First Congregational Church of East Windsor, and of the congregation of the Congregational Church of Westchester, all in the State of Connecticut, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Mr. GALLINGER presented a petition of the Reform Bureau and sundry citizens of the city of Washington, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which was referred to the Commit-

in all Government buildings; which was referred to the Commit-tee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Mount Upton, Ravenna, Elmira, Union Springs, Taylor, and Little Genesee, all in the State of New York, praying ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. DAVIS presented a petition of 43 citizens of Owatonna, Minn., and a petition of 80 citizens of Tracy, Minn., praying for the passage of the so-called anti-scalping ticket bill; which were ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. HANNA presented the memorials of E. M. Sanderson and 16 other citizens, of Capt. John Wood and 18 other citizens, of D. E. Montgomery and 14 other citizens, and of A. R. Gibbs and 16 other citizens, all in the State of Ohio, remonstrating against the passage of the so-called anti-scalping ticket bill; which were

ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Hillsboro and Ironton; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool; of the congregation of the Congregational Church of Austinburg; of the congregations of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Delta, the First Presbyterian Church of Delta, the Baptist Church of New London, and the Methodist Episcopal Church of Oxford; of sundrycitizens of Nova and Hope-well; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of East Liverpool; of the Epworth League of the First Methodist Episcopal Church of East Liverpool; of the Young People's Christian Union of the First United Presbyterian Church of East Liverpool, and of the King's Daughters of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool, all in the State of Ohio, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Willoughby, Ironton, and Hillsboro; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool; of the congregations of the First Presbyterian Church of Delta, the Brooklyn Memorial Methodist Presbyterian Church of Detta, the Brooklyn Memorial Methodist Episcopal Church of Cleveland, the Methodist Episcopal Church of Delta, and the Methodist Episcopal Church of Oxford; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of the Christian Church of East Liverpool; of the Epworth League of the First Methodist Episcopal Church of East Liverpool; of the King's Daughters of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool; of sundry citizens of Nova and Hopewell; of the Young People's Christian Union of the First United Presbyterian Church of East Liverpool, and of the Young People's Society of Christian En-deavor of Damascus, all in the State of Ohio, praying for the en-actment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Ironton, Hillsboro, Reesville, and Willoughby; of sundry citizens of Nova and Hopewell; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of the Methodist Protestant Church Society of Christian Endeavor of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool; of the congregations of the First Presbyterian Church of Delta and the Methodist Episcopal Church of Delta; of the Young People's Christian Union of the First United Presbyterian Church of East Liverpool; of the Epworth League of the First Methodist Episcopal Church of East Liverpool; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Christ Church, of East Liverpool; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Damascus; of the King's Daughters of the Methodist Episcopal Church of East Liverpool, and of Giddings Relief Corps, No. 171, of Jefferson, all in the State of Ohio, praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories; which were ordered to lie on the table. were ordered to lie on the table.

were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Damascus, Ohio, praying for the enactment of a Sunday-rest law for the District of Columbia; which was referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

He also presented a petition of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Damascus, Ohio, praying for the enactment of legislation substituting voluntary arbitration for railway strikes; which was referred to the Committee on Education and Labor.

He also presented a petition of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Damascus, Ohio, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate and mail circulation of newspaper descriptions of prize fights; which was referred to the

newspaper descriptions of prize fights; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Damascus, Ohio, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit, so far as the power of Congress extends, the reproduction of prize fights by the kinetoscope or other kindred devices; which was ordered to lie on the table.

dred devices; which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Ironton, Willoughby, and Wilkesbarre; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool; of the congregation of the Brooklyn Memorial Methodist Episcopal Church, of Cleveland; of the congregations of the Congregational Church of Eagleville, the First Presbyterian Church of Delta, the Methodist Episcopal Church of Delta, and the Methodist Episcopal Church of Oxford; of sundry citizens of Nova and Hopewell; of the Young People's

Christian Union of the First United Presbyterian Church of Liverpool; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Damascus; of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of the Christian Church of East Liverpool; of the King's Daughters of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool; of the King's Daughters of the Methodist Protestant Church of East Liverpool, and of the Epworth League of the Methodist Episcopal Church of East Liverpool, all in the State of Ohio, and of Cold Spring Lodge, No. 94, Independent Order of Good Templars, of East Middleburg, Vt., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds

Mr. TURPIE presented memorials of the Carpenters and Joiners' Union, of the Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, and of Hodcarriers' Union No. 1, all of Indianapolis, in the State of Indiana, remonstrating against the passage of the so-called antiscalping ticket bill; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented petitions of Hoosier Lodge, No. 261, of Indianapolis; of Lodge No. 221, of Huntington; of the Ministerial Association of Muncie, and of M. L. Pearson, of Whiteland, all in the State of Indiana, praying for the passage of the so-called antiscalping ticket bill; which were ordered to lie on the table.

scalping ticket bill; which were ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. LINDSAY presented a petition of the congregation of the
First Christian Church of Glasgow, Ky., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which
was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the congregations of the First
Christian Church of Glasgow, the Presbyterian Church, the Baptist Church, and the Methodist Episcopal Church South, and of
the principal and teachers of the High School of Greensburg, all
in the State of Kentucky, praying for the enactment of legislain the State of Kentucky, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

He also presented petitions of the congregations of the First Christian Church of Glasgow, the Presbyterian Church, and the Methodist Episcopal Church South, and of sundry citizens and the principal and teachers of the High School of Greensburg, all

the principal and teachers of the High School of Greensburg, all in the Stale of Kentucky, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Mr. CHANDLER presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Hillsboro, Webster, Plaistow, Seabrook, East Haverhill, North Weare, Epsom, and Raymond; of the congregations of the Free Baptist Church of Carroll, the Free Will Bentist Church of Epsom St. Mark's Episconal Church of the congregations of the Free Baptist Church of Carroll, the Free Will Baptist Church of Epsom, St. Mark's Episcopal Church, of Ashland, and the Methodist Episcopal Church of North Grantham, and of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Epsom, all in the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Perilaments of Corrolland Committee on Public Perilaments. lic Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Raymond, Epsom, North Weare, East Haverhill, Seabrook, Plaistow, Webster, Hillsboro, and East Washington; of the congregations of the Free Baptist Church of Carroll, the Free the congregations of the Free Baptist Church of Carroll, the Free Will Baptist Church of Epsom, St. Mark's Episcopal Church, of Ashland, and the Methodist Episcopal Church of North Grantham, all in the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Raymond, Epsom, North Weare, East Haverhill, Scalrock Plaistow Webster and Hillshore, of the congregations

Seabrook, Plaistow, Webster, and Hillsboro; of the congregations of the Free Baptist Church of Carroll, the Free Will Baptist Church of Epsom, St. Mark's Episcopal Church, of Ashland, and the Methodist Episcopal Church of North Grantham, all in the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Raymond, North Weare, East Haverhill, Seabrook, Plaistow, Webster, Hillsboro, and East Washington; of the con-Baptist Church of Epsom, St. Mark's Episcopal Church, of Ashland; and the Methodist Episcopal Church of North Grantham, and of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Epsom, all in the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Local Line and the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Epsom, all in the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Local Line and the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Local Line and the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Local Line and the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Local Line and the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Local Line and the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of Local Line and the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant of the State of New Hampshire, praying for the enactant o ment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

Mr. SPOONER presented a petition of George C. Drake Post,

No. 223, Grand Army of the Republic, of Milwaukee, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to prevent the descration of the national flag; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of the congregation of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bristol, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

He also presented a petition of the congregation of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bristol, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which was referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented a petition of the congregation of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bristol, Wis., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented the petitions of J. L. Gaspar and 30 other citizens of Waukesha, of E. B. Wild and 31 other citizens of Fond du Lac, and of E. B. Magill and 40 other citizens of La Crosse, all in the State of Wisconsin, praying for the passage of the so-called anti-scalping ticket bill; which were ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. CULLOM presented the memorials of F. J. McCord and 26 other citizens of Effingham, of P. C. Fitzgerald and 40 other citizens of Ashland, and of C. F. Graham and 24 other citizens of Elmwood, all in the State of Illinois, remonstrating against the enactment of legislation establishing a division in the Treasury Department regulating insurance companies; which were referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce. to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

He also presented petitions of the Young People's Christian Union of Cedar Creek, of the congregation of the United Presbyterian Church of Aledo, and of the Young People's Christian Union of Aledo, all in the State of Illinois, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings: which were referred to the Committee all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented a petition of members of the Church of Western Springs, Ill., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which was referred to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of members of the Church of Western Springs, Ill., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

He also presented petitions of Lodge No. 48, Order of Railway Conductors, of Peoria, Ill.; of the Manufacturers' Club of Philadelphia, Pa.; of the congregations of the German Methodist Episcopal churches of Decatur and Moweaqua, Ill.; of the Grand Army of the Republic of New Hampshire; of the New England Metal Association, of Boston, Mass.; of the Confectioners' Club of Boston, Mass.; of the Grand Army of the Republic of Alabama; of the Grand Army of the Republic of West Virginia; of the Trades Association of Boston, Mass., and of the Master Builders' Association of Boston, Mass., and of the Master Builders' Association of Boston, Mass.

Association of Boston, Mass., and of the Master Builders Association of Boston, Mass., praying for the passage of the so-called antiscalping ticket bill; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented the petition of W. W. Seeders and 134 other
citizens of Delaware, and a petition of the Chamber of Commerce
of San Francisco. Cal., praying for the passage of the bill to amend
an act entitled "An act to regulate commerce," approved February 4, 1887, and all acts amendatory thereof; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. FRYE presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Fairfield, Me., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State

shall become subject to its laws; which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

Mr. BACON presented a petition of Chattahoochee Division,
No. 71, Order of Railway Conductors, of Columbus, Ga., praying
for the passage of the so-called anti-scalping ticket bill; which was

ordered to lie on the table. Mr. QUAY presented a memorial of Steel and Copper Plate Manufacturers' Union No. 1, of Philadelphia, Pa., remonstrating against substituting machine presses for hand presses in the Bu-reau of Engraving and Printing; which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Factoryville, of the congregation of the United Presbyterian Church of Stewart Station, and of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Linwood, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age

of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and

the Territories; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of the congregation of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bruin, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the transmission by mail or interstate commerce of newspaper descriptions of prize fights; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Allegheny, Factoryville, Linwood, Churchville, Springfield, and Rochester; of the congregation of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Springfield; of Council No. 904, Junior Order United American Mechanics, of Bluerock; of the congregations of the Presbyterian Church and the Methodist Episcopal Church of Honeybrook, and of the Baptist Pastors' Conference of Scranton, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Rochester, Allegheny, Factoryville, Linwood, Churchville, and East Harrisburg; of the congregations of the Christ Lutheran Church of Harrisburg; the Epworth Methodist Episcopal Church of Harrisburg; the Derry Street Baptist Church, of Harrisburg; the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bruin; the United Presbyterian Church of Stewart Station; the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bluerock; of the congregations of the Pres-byterian Church and the Methodist Episcopal Church of Honey-brook, and of the Baptist Pastors' Conference of Scranton, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Allegheny, Harrisburg, East Harrisburg, Church-ville, Blue Rock, and Rochester; of the congregations of the Ep-worth Methodist Episcopal Church of Harrisburg, the Derry Street Baptist Church of Harrisburg, the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bruin, the United Presbyterian Church of Stewart Station; of the congregations of the Presbyterian Church and the Methodist Episcopal Church of Honeybrook, and of the Baptist Pastors' Conference, of Scranton, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

Mr. PENROSE presented a memorial of the Board of Trade of Philadelphia, Pa., remonstrating against the enactment of legislation to modify an act entitled "An act to regulate and improve the civil service of the United States;" which was referred to the Committee on Civil Service and Retrenchment.

He also presented resolutions adopted by the Democratic standing committee of Berks County, Pa., tendering support to the President of the United States and the Congress in the matter of the battle ship Maine and according belligerent rights to the patriots of Cuba or recognizing the independence of the Cuban Republic; which were referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented a petition of the Board of Trade of Philadelphia, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation authorizing and encouraging the holding of a national exposition of Ameri can products and manufactures, especially suitable for export, at Philadelphia, Pa., in the year 1899; which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tunkhannock, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to exclude illiterate immigrants; which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tunkhannock, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit kinetoscope reproductions of pugilistic encounters in the District of Columbia and the Territories and the interstate transmission of materials of the same; which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tunkhannock, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate and mail circulation of newspaper descriptions of prize fights; which was referred to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of the East End Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Pittsburg; of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Rimersburg, and the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Rimers perance Union of Tunkhannock, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Terri-

tories; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Chester and Rimersburg and of the East End

Woman's Christian Temperance Union, of Pittsburg, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which were referred to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of New Providence, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to substitute voluntary arbitration for railway strikes; which was referred to the Committee on Education and

Labor

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tunkhannock, Pa., and a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of New Providence, Pa., praying for the enactment of a Sunday-rest law for the District of Columbia; which were referred to the Committee on the District of Co-

lumbia.

He also presented petitions of the Young Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Chester; of the East End Woman's Chris-Temperance Union of Chester; of the East End Woman's Christian Temperance Union, of Pittsburg; of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tunkhannock; of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of New Providence; of the Young People's Christian Union of the Susquehanna Association, of Brooklyn; of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Rimersburg, and of the Christian Endeavor Society of the Mount Washington Presbyterian Church, of Pittsburg, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings; which were referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tunkhannock, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which was referred

sages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which was referred

sages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a memorial of the State board of health and vital statistics of Pennsylvania, remonstrating against the enactment of legislation regulating seacoast and interstate quarantine and conferring additional power on the United States Marine-Hospital Service, without such modification as shall recognize the rights of local health authorities, and more especially of the State board of health and the State quarantine board of Pennsylvania; which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of Local Union No. 614, Miners' National Union of America, of Wilkesbarre, Pa., praying for the passage of the so-called eight-hour bill, the prison-labor bill, the anti-injunction bill, the bill for the relief of American seamen, and the bill to restrict immigration; which was referred to the

and the bill to restrict immigration; which was referred to the

Committee on Education and Labor.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Chester, Pa., and a petition of the East End Woman's Christian Temperance Union, of Pittsburg, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by tele-graph, to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol and all Government buildings, to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on en-tering any State shall become subject to its laws, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Rimersburg, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories, to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol and all Government buildings, to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws, and to prohibit the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph; which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Tunkhannock, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation to establish a commission to investigate the labor problem, to prohibit the transmission by mail or interstate commerce of pictures or descriptions of prize fights, to exclude illiterate immigrants, to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol and all Government buildings, to prohibit the reproduction of prize fights in the District of Columbia and the Territories by the kinetoscope or other kindred devices and to protect Columbia. by the kinetoscope or other kindred devices, and to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in origi-nal packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the Committee on Education and

He also presented petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Chester, New Providence, and Tunkhannock, and of the Young Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Chester, all in the State of Pennsylvania, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that

cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws; which were referred to the Com-

mittee on Interstate Commerce.

Mr. HOAR presented resolutions adopted by the senate and house of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, relative to an amendment to the national Constitution enabling Congress to enact laws regulating the hours of labor; which were read, as fol-

[Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In the year 1898.]

Resolutions relative to an amendment to the National Constitution enabling Congress to enact laws regulating the hours of labor.

Resolved, That, in the opinion of the general court of Massachusetts, it is desirable that the Constitution of the United States should be so amended as to place it clearly within the power of Congress to enact laws regulating the hours of labor in the several States according to some uniform system; and the Senators and Representatives of this Commonwealth in Congress are hereby requested to use their influence to secure the adoption of the resolution proposing such an amendment to the Constitution, which is now pending

Resolved, That properly attested copies of these resolutions be forwarded by the secretary of the Commonwealth to the presiding officers of both branches of Congress, and also to the Senators and Representatives in Congress from this Commonwealth.

In house of representatives, adopted March 11, 1898.
In senate, adopted in concurrence, March 16, 1898.

A true copy. Attest:

WM. M. OLIN, Secretary of the Commonwealth.

Mr. COCKRELL. Should the resolutions be referred to the

Committee on the Judiciary?

Mr. HOAR. I do not know but that the resolutions should go

to the Committee on Education and Labor.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The resolutions will be referred to the Committee on Education and Labor, unless there is objection.

The Chair hears none, and that is the order.

Mr. CAFFERY. I present a resolution adopted by the legislature of the State of Louisiana, praying that an appropriation be made to complete the monument erected on the plains of Chalmette, in the parish of St. Bernard, to celebrate the battle of New Or-leans, January 8, 1815. I ask that the resolution be referred to the Committee on the Library, and that it be printed in the Rec-ORD and also as a document.

There being no objection, the resolution was referred to the Committee on the Library, ordered to be printed as a document and to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Resolution No. 108, by Mr. Hart.

Be it resolved, That our Senators and Representatives in Congress be requested to use their best endeavors to secure from the United States an appropriation to complete the monument erected on the plains of Chalmette, in the parish of St. Bernard, to celebrate the battle of New Orleans of January 8, 1815.

ary 8, 1815.

Be it further resolved; That copies of this resolution be forwarded to each Senator and Representative of this State in the Congress of the United States.

A true and correct copy.

[SEAL]

ROBT. S. LANDRY, Secretary.

Attest:

E. B. KRUTTSCHNITT, President.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

Mr. BERRY. I am directed by the Committee on Commerce to report an amendment to the sundry civil appropriation bill. I desire to state that the amendment is in lieu of the amendments proposed by the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. WALTHALL], the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. Turley], the Senator from Missouri [Mr. Vest], and one proposed by myself. It relates to the improvement of the Mississippi River. I move that the amendment be printed and referred, with the accompanying papers, to the Committee on Appropriations.

The motion was agreed to.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. BERRY, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 8738) for the survey of the pass leading from the Gulf of Mexico into Horn Island Harbor, Mississippi, and a survey of said harbor, reported it without amendment.

Mr. PETTUS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 1626) to remove the charge of desertion from the military record of Horace J. Rowell, submitted an adverse report thereon, which was agreed to; and the bill was postponed indefinitely.

Mr. MITCHELL, from the Committee on Military Affairs to.

Mr. MITCHELL, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 5325) for the relief of John Rustman, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

Mr. KENNEY, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 8064) to amend the criminal laws of the District of Columbia, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

He also, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill (S. 3646) relating to the police court of the District of Columbia, reported adversely thereon, and moved that it be indefinitely postponed; which was agreed to.

He also, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred

the bill (H. R. 2426) granting an increase of pension to Helen

Larned, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report

Mr. PASCO, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill (S. 1036) granting the use of certain lands to the city of St. Augustine, Fla., for a public park, and for other purposes, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report

Mr. FAULKNER. I am directed by the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 5885) to amend section 5 of an act entitled "An act to punish the carrying or selling of deadly or dangerous weapons within the District of Columbia, and for other purposes," approved July 13, 1892, to report it without amendment.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The bill will be placed on the Cal-

endar.

Mr. FAULKNER. I move that the bill (S. 2798) to amend section 5 of an act entitled "An act to punish the carrying or selling of deadly or dangerous weapons within the District of Columbia," approved July 13, 1892, being Order of Business 627 on the Calendar, be indefinitely postponed and that the House bill just reported

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. SHOUP, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 193) for the relief of Richard King, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

Mr. NELSON, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill (8. 4036) to amend an act entitled "An act granting to the Des Moines Rapids Power Company the right to erect, construct, operate, and maintain a wing dam, canal, and power station in the Mississippi River in Hancock County, Ill.," reported it with an amendment. it with an amendment.

He also, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2881) to authorize the Secretary of War to acquire the right of way for the Illinois and Mississippi Canal through the bridge owned by the city of Moline, in the county of Rock Island and State of Illinois, across Rock River, in said county of Rock Island, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

mr. HAWLEY, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the joint resolution (S. R. 97) granting permission to officers of the Army and Navy of the United States to wear the badge adopted by the Association of Military Surgeons of the United States, submitted an adverse report thereon, which was agreed to; and the joint resolution was postponed indefinitely.

Mr. McLAURIN, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 3707) to amend an act entitled "An act to amend an act to grant to the Gainesville McAlester.

"An act to amend an act to grant to the Gainesville, McAlester

and St. Louis Railway Company a right of way through the Indian Territory," reported it without amendment.

Mr. QUAY, from the Committee on Commerce, submitted a report to accompany the bill (S. 4080) providing for a national exposition of American products and manufactures at the city of Philadelphia for the encouragement of the export trade, hereto-

Philadelphia for the encouragement of the export trade, heretofore reported by him from that committee.

Mr. CARTER, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom
was referred the bill (H. R. 3243) for the relief of Cordell B. Green,
Company D, Sixteenth Michigan Infantry, reported it without
amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

He also, from the Committee on Public Lands, reported an
amendment intended to be proposed to the sundry civil appropriation bill; which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered to be printed. tions, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. GALLINGER, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill (S. 3261) for the relief of P. F. Dundon, of San Francisco, Cal., reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

REGULATION OF STEAM VESSELS.

Mr. FRYE. I am directed by the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill (S. 4137) amending section 4492 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, to report it favorably with an amendment. It is very important that the bill should receive present consideration to save human life.

There being no objection, the Saveta as in Committee of the

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the

Whole, proceeded to consider the bill.

The amendment of the Committee on Commerce was, in line 4, after the words "United States," to strike out "(page 868, second edition, 1878);" so as to make the bill read:

Be it enacted, etc., That section 4482 of the Revised Statutes of the United States be amended by inserting the words "or other vessels," after the word "barge," and preceding the word "carrying," in the first line, and by inserting the words "the inspection of hulls "after the word "to," and before the word "fire-buckets" in the second line.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

Mr. GALLINGER introduced a bill (S. 4227) for the relief of the heirs of Charles M. Skippon; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

Mr. HANNA introduced the following bills; which were severally read twice by their titles, and referred to the Committee on

A bill (S. 4228) granting an increase of pension to Leonard

Dellinger (with accompanying papers);
A bill (S. 4229) granting an increase of pension to Ross M. Stevens (with accompanying papers);
A bill (S. 4230) granting a pension to William F. Davisson

(with an accompanying paper);
A bill (S. 4231) granting an increase of pension to Millie A.

A bill (S. 4232) granting a pension to Francis A. Kitchen (with an accompanying paper);
A bill (S. 4233) granting a pension to Solomon Kline (with an

accompanying paper); and A bill (S. 4234) to increase the pension of Joseph Lippert (with

an accompanying paper).

Mr. HANNA introduced the following bills; which were severally read twice by their titles, and referred to the Committee

on Claims: A bill (S. 4235) for the relief of William T. Alexander (with

accompanying papers); and
A bill (S. 4236) for the relief of Samuel Carter (with accompa-

nying papers).

Mr. HANNA introduced the following bills; which were severally read twice by their titles, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs:

A bill (S. 4237) to remove the charge of desertion from the military record of B. F. Church (with an accompanying paper); and

A bill (S. 4238) to remove the charge of describin from the military record of William P. Dodd (with an accompanying paper).

Mr. HANNA (by request) introduced a bill (S. 4239) to further amend the patent laws of the United States of America; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on

Mr. BURROWS introduced a bill (S. 4240) for the relief of

Caleb Wollpert; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. KENNEY introduced a bill (S. 4241) for the relief of Thomas Draper, of Hazlettville, Del.; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

Mr. HARRIS (by request) introduced a bill (S. 4242) granting the right of way to the El Reno and Southwestern Railroad Company; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Territories.

He also (by request) introduced a bill (S. 4243) to provide for town site entries of lands in Oklahoma Territory, and to repeal an act entitled "An act to provide for town-site entries of lands in what is known as 'Oklahoma,' and for other purposes," approved May 14, 1890; which was read twice by its title, and referred to

the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. PENROSE introduced the following bills; which were severally read twice by their titles, and, with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Pensions:

A bill (S. 4244) granting an increase of pension to Alfred H.

Jones;
A bill (S. 4245) for the relief of Barbara A. Reed;
A bill (S. 4246) increasing the pension of Margaret Love Skerrett: and

A bill (S. 4247) granting a pension to Fannie M. Gibson. Mr. PENROSE introduced the following bills; which were sev-

erally read twice by their titles, and referred to the Committee on Claims:

A bill (S. 4248) for the relief of Lewis Kyle, of Milton, Pa.; and A bill (S. 4249) for the relief of Darius E. Blose. Mr. PENROSE introduced the following bills; which were sev-

erally read twice by their titles, and referred to the Committee on

Military Affairs:

A bill (S. 4250) to correct the military record of William Brocious (with accompanying papers); and
A bill (S. 4251) to correct the military record of Jesse H. Wag-

ner (with accompanying papers).

AMENDMENT TO SUNDRY CIVIL APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. STEWART submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the sundry civil appropriation bill; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, and ordered to be printed.

PARKING OF CARS IN CAPITOL GROUNDS.

Mr. PROCTOR submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds be in-structed to report to the Senate by whatauthority the Metropolitan Railroad Company parks its cars in the Capitol grounds and delivers passengers at the corner of B street instead of at the proper terminus of its line.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY.

Mr. ALLISON. I move that when the Senate adjourns to-day it be to meet on Monday next.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. MASON subsequently said: I move to reconsider the vote by which the Senate agreed to adjourn over until Monday when

it adjourns to-day.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The question is on the motion of the Senator from Illinois to reconsider the vote by which the Senate agreed to adjourn over until Monday.

Mr. HALE. Let us have the yeas and nays upon that motion.

The yeas and nays were ordered; and the Secretary proceeded to call the real. to call the roll.

Mr. GEAR (when his name was called). I am paired with the senior Senator from New Jersey [Mr. SMITH], and therefore with-

Mr. NELSON (when his name was called). I am paired with the Senator from Missouri [Mr. VEST] who is not in his seat. Mr. SHOUP (when his name was called). I have a regular pair with the senior Senator from California [Mr. White], and therefore withhold my vote.

The roll call was concluded.

Mr. MANTLE (after having voted in the affirmative). I wish to inquire whether the Senator from Virginia [Mr. MARTIN] has voted?

The VICE-PRESIDENT. He has not voted.

Mr. MANTLE. I have a general pair with that Senator, and I

Mr. MANTLE. I have a general partition of therefore withdraw my vote.

Mr. PASCO. I was requested to announce that the Senator from Missouri [Mr. Vest] is confined to his room by sickness, and that he is paired with the Senator from Minnesota [Mr. Nelson].

Mr. BURROWS. My colleague [Mr. McMillan] is paired with the senior Senator from Kentucky [Mr. Lindsay]. If my colleague were present, he would vote "nay."

The result was announced—yeas 9, nays 52; as follows:

	Y	EAS-9.	
Cannon, Chandler, Foraker,	Frye, Gallinger,	Harris, Mason,	Mills, Pettigrew.
2 or macry	N	AYS-52.	
Aldrich, Allen, Allen, Allison, Bacon, Bate, Berry, Burrows, Caffery, Carter, Chilton, Clay, Cockrell, Cullom,	Davis, Elkins, Fairbanks, Faulkner. Gray, Hale, Hanna, Hawley, Heitfeld, Hoar, Jones, Ark. Kenney, Kyle,	Lindsay, McLaurin, Money, Morrill, Murphy, Pasco, Penrose, Perkins, Pettus, Platt, Conn. Platt, N. Y. Pritchard, Proctor,	Quay, Sewell, Spooner, Stewart, Teller, Turley, Turner, Turpie, Walthall, Warren, Wetmore, Wilson, Wolcott.
	NOT	VOTING-23.	
Baker, Butler, Clark, Daniel, Deboe, Gear, Gorman,	Hansbrough, Jones, Nev. Lodge, McBride, McEnery, McMillan, Mallory,	Mantle, Martin, Mitchell, Morgan, Nelson, Rawlins, Roach,	Shoup, Smith, Thurston, Tillman, Vest, Wellington, White.

So the motion to reconsider was not agreed to.

STAR-ROUTE CONTRACTS.

Mr. STEWART. I submit a resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The resolution was read, as follows:

The resolution was read, as follows:

Resolved, That the Postmaster-General, in pursuance of the suggestion of the Sixth Auditor of the Treasury, contained in the answer of the Postmaster-General to the resolution of the Senate of February 25, 1898 (Senate Document 184, Fifty-fifth Congress, second session), inform the Senate what amount was annually paid to each of the contractors hereinafter named, the amount which was annually paid to each of the subcontractors of each of such contractors, the aggregate amount paid to all of their subcontractors, showing the annual difference between the aggregate contract price for the service and the aggregate amount paid to subcontractors for performing such service.

The following are the names of the contractors above referred to: J. M. Boreing, A. A. Call, W. H. Stelle, L. H. Hansberger, Evan Jones, J. B. Anglin, J. D. Franklin, G. Cowles, J. M. Slavens, F. S. Smith, F. E. Means, W. L. White.

Mr. COCKRELL. There is so much noise and confusion in the

Mr. COCKRELL. There is so much noise and confusion in the galleries that it is impossible for Senators to hear what is being

read at the desk

read at the desk.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Chair must request that better order be kept in the galleries. Persons occupying seats in the galleries should be courteous to the Senate, by whose permission they are there. The Chair will enforce the rules of the Senate, and if there is not less noise in the galleries they will be cleared by the Sergeant-at-Arms.

Mr. COCKRELL. I desire to call the attention of the Chair to the rule of the Senate, are inversable rule universally enforced.

the rule of the Senate, an invariable rule universally enforced, that there shall be no demonstrations of approval or disapproval in the galleries, and that if such demonstrations occur the rule must be enforced and the galleries cleared, the guilty and the in-

nocent suffering alike. I hope the Chair will have that rule read

nocent suffering alike. I hope the Chair will have that rule read and see that it is rigidly enforced.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Chair will take notice of the remarks of the Senator from Missouri, and will do his best, with the aid of the Sergeant-at-Arms, to keep order in the galleries.

Mr. STEWART. I hope the pending resolution will be passed. The answer of the Postmaster-General to the former resolution was to the offset that he could not formisk the whole inferentian.

was to the effect that he could not furnish the whole information desired, but could pick out a certain portion of it. The object of the resolution is simply to meet that suggestion, so that the information may be had without expense and trouble.

The resolution was agreed to.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES.

Mr. FRYE. I submit a resolution, and ask for its present consideration.

The resolution was read, as follows:

Resolved, That the report of the hearing before the Senate Committee on Commerce on March 3, 1898, in relation to bill (S. 624) to establish the department of commerce and industries be printed as a document, and in addition to the usual number 3,000 copies be printed for the use of the Senate.

Mr. COCKRELL. Let the resolution be read again. The Secretary again read the resolution. Mr. FRYE. It is a very small document.

The resolution was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to.

AFFAIRS IN CUBA.

Mr. THURSTON. Mr. President, I am here by command of silent lips to speak once and for all upon the Cuban situation. I trust that aps to speak once and for all upon the Cuban situation. I trust that no one has expected anything sensational from me. God forbid that the bitterness of a personal loss should induce me to color in the slightest degree the statement that I feel it my duty to make. I shall endeavor to be honest, conservative, and just. I have no purpose to stir the public passion to any action not necessary and imperative to meet the duties and necessities of American responsibility, Christian humanity, and national honor. I would shirk this task if I could, but I dare not. I can not satisfy my conscience except by speaking, and speaking now.

conscience except by speaking, and speaking now.

Some three weeks since, three Senators and two Representatives in Congress accepted the invitation of a great metropolitan newsand congress accepted the invitation of a great metropolitan newspaper to make a trip to Cuba and personally investigate and report upon the situation there. Our invitation was from a newspaper whose political teachings I have never failed to antagonize and denounce, and whose journalism I have considered decidedly sensational. But let me say, for the credit of the proprietor of the paper in question, that I believe the invitation extended to us was inspired by his patriotic desire to have the actual condition of affeirs in Cuba brought to the attention of the American page. of affairs in Cuba brought to the attention of the American people in such a way that the facts would no longer remain in controversy or dispute.

We were not asked to become the representatives of the paper no conditions or restrictions were imposed upon us; we were left free to conduct the investigation in our own way, make our own plans, pursue our own methods, take our own time, and decide for ourselves upon the best manner of laying the result of our labors before the American people. For myself, I went to Cuba firmly believing that the condition of affairs there had been greatly exaggerated by the press, and my own efforts were directed in the first instance to the attempted exposure of these supposed exaggera-

ions.

Mr. President, there has undoubtedly been much sensationalism in the journalism of the time, but as to the condition of affairs in Cuba there has been no exaggeration, because exaggeration has been impossible. I have read the careful statement of the junior Senator from Vermont [Mr. Proctor], and I find that he has anticipated me in almost every detail. From my own personal knowledge of the situation, I adopt every word of his concise, conservative, specific presentation as my own; nay, more, I am convinced that he has, in a measure, understated the facts. I absolutely agree with him in the following conclusions:

After three years of warfare and the use of 225,000 Spanish troops, Spain has lost control of every foot of Cuba not surrounded by an actual intrenchment and protected by a fortified picket line. She holds possession with her armies of the fortified seaboard towns, not because the insurgents could not capture many of them, but because they are under the virtual protection of Spanish war ships, with which the revolutionists can not cope.

ships, with which the revolutionists can not cope.

The revolutionists are in absolute and almost peaceful possession of nearly one half of the island, including the eastern provinces of Santiago de Cuba and Puerto Principe. In those provinces they have an established form of government, levy and collect taxes, maintain armies, and generally levy a tax or tribute upon the principal plantations in the other provinces, and, as is com-

monly believed, upon the entire railway system of the island.

In the four so-called Spanish provinces there is neither cultivation nor railway operation except under strong Spanish military protection or by consent of the revolutionists in consideration of tribute paid.

SUFFERINGS OF THE COUNTRY PEOPLE.

Under the inhuman policy of Weyler not less than 400,000 self-supporting, simple, peaceable, defenseless country people were driven from their homes in the agricultural portions of the Spanish provinces to the cities and imprisoned upon the barren waste outside the residence portions of these cities and within the lines of intrenchment established a little way beyond. Their humble homes were burned, their fields laid waste, their implements of husbandry destroyed, their live stock and food supplies for the most part confiscated. Most of these people were old men, women, and children. They were thus placed in hopeless imprisonment, without shelter or food. There was no work for them in the cities to which they were driven. They were left there with nothing to depend upon except the scanty charity of the inhabitants ing to depend upon except the scanty charity of the inhabitants of the cities and with slow starvation their inevitable fate.

It is conceded upon the best ascertainable authority, and those who have had access to the public records do not hesitate to state, that upward of 210,000 of these people have already perished, all from starvation or from diseases incident to starvation.

The Government of Spain has never contributed one dollar to house, shelter, feed, or provide medical attention for these its own citizens. Such a spectacle exceeds the scenes of the Inferno

own citizens. Such a spectacle exceeds the scenes of the linerho as painted by Dante.

There has been no amelioration of the situation except through the charity of the people of the United States. There has been no diminution in the death rate among these reconcentrados except as the death supply is constantly diminished. There can be no relief and no hope except through the continued charity of the American people until peace shall be fully restored in the island and until a humane government shall return these people to their homes and provide for them anew the means with which to begin again the cultivation of the soil.

again the cultivation of the soil.

Spain can not put an end to the existing condition. She can not conquer the insurgents. She can not reestablish her sovereignty over any considerable portion of the interior of the island. The revolutionists, while able to maintain themselves, can not drive the Spanish army from the fortified seacoast towns.

The situation, then, is not war as we understand it, but a chaos

of devastation and depopulation of undefined duration, whose end

I will cite but a few facts that came under my personal observa-tion, all tending to fully substantiate the absolute truth of the foregoing propositions. I could detail incidents by the hour and by the day, but the Senator from Vermont has absolutely covered the case. I have no desire to deal in horrors. If I had my way, I would shield the American public even from the photographic reproductions of the awful scenes that I viewed in all their original ghastliness.

SPAIN'S FORCES IN CUBA.

Spain has sent to Cuba more than 225,000 soldiers to subdue the island, whose entire male population capable of bearing arms did not exceed at the beginning that number. These soldiers were mostly boys, conscripts from the Spanish hills. They are well They are well armed, but otherwise seem to be absolutely unprovided for. They have been without tents and practically without any of the necessary supplies and equipment for service in the field. They have been put in barracks, in warehouses, and old buildings in the cities where all sanitary surroundings have been of the worst possible character. They have seen but little discipline, and I could not ascertain that such a thing as a drill had taken place in the

There are less than 60,000 now available for duty. The balance are dead or sick in hospitals, or have been sent back to Spain as incapacitated for further service. It is currently stated that there are now 37,000 sick in hospital. I do not believe that the entire Spanish army in Cuba could stand an engagement in the open field against 20,000 well-disciplined American soldiers.

As an instance of the discipline among them, I cite the fact that I bought the machete of a Spanish soldier on duty at the wharf in Matanzas, on his offer, for \$3 in Spanish silver. He also seemed desirous of selling me his only remaining arm, a revolver.

The Spanish soldiers have not been paid for some months, and in my indepent they of all the results of the arth will meet said the

my judgment they, of all the people on the earth, will most gladly welcome any result which would permit them to return to their

homes in Spain.

The pictures in the American newspapers of the starving re-concentrados are true. They can all be duplicated by the thou-sands. I never saw, and please God I may never again see, so

hunger. Their only appeal comes from their sad eyes, through which one looks as through an open window into their agonizing

souls.

The present autonomist governor of Matanzas (who speaks excellent English) was inaugurated in November last. His records disclose that at the city of Matanzas there were 1,200 deaths in November, 1,200 in December, 700 in January, and 500 in February—3,600 in four months, and those four months under the administration of a governor whom I believe to be a truly humane man. He stated to me that on the day of his inauguration, which I think was the 12th of last November, to his personal knowledge 15 persons died in the public square in front of the executive mansion. Think of it, oh, my countrymen! Fifteen human beings dying from starvation in the public square, in the shade of the palm trees, and amid the beautiful flowers, in sight of the open windows of the executive mansion! windows of the executive mansion!

The governor of Matanzas told us that for the most part the peo-ple of the city of Matanzas had done all they could for the reconcentrados; and after studying the situation over I believe his statement is true. He said the condition of affairs in the island had destroyed the trade, the commerce, and the business of the city; that most of the people who had the means assisted the reconcentrados with food just as long as they could, but he said to us that there were thousands of the people living in fine houses on marble floors who were in deep need themselves and who did not know the contract the themselves and the contract the said to us that the contract the contract the said to us that the contract the said to us that the said to us the said to us that the said to us the said to us that the said to us the from one day to the other where their food supply was coming

PAIN'S SELFISHNESS A STENCH IN THE NOSTRILS OF CIVILIZED NATIONS.

The ability of the people of Matanzas to aid is practically exhausted. The governor told us that he had expended all of his salary and all that he could possibly afford of his private means in relief work. He is willing that the reconcentrados shall repass the picket line and go back to seek work in the interior of the island. He expresses his willingness to give them passes for that purpose, but they are no longer physically able to take advantage of that offer. They have no homes to return to; their fields have grown up to weeds; they have no oxen, no implements of husbandry with which to begin anew the cultivation of the soil. Their only hope is to remain where they are, to live as long as Their only hope is to remain where they are, to live as long as they can on an insufficient charity, and then die. What is true at Matanzas is true at all the other cities where these reconcentrados have been gathered.

trados have been gathered.

The Government of Spain has not and will not appropriate one dollar to save these people. They are now being attended and nursed and administered to by the charity of the United States. Think of the spectacle! We are feeding these citizens of Spain; we are nursing their sick; we are saving such as can be saved, and yet there are those who still say it is right for us to send food, but we must keep hands off. I say that the time has come when musters ought to go with the food

kets ought to go with the food.

We asked the governor if he knew of any relief for these people except through the charity of the United States. He did not. except through the charity of the United States. He did not, We then asked him, "Can you see any end to this condition of affairs?" He could not. We asked him, "When do you think the time will come that these people can be placed in a position of self-support?" He replied to us, with deep feeling, "Only the good God or the great Government of the United States can answer that question." I hope and believe that the good God by the great Government of the United States will answer that

I shall refer to these horrible things no further. They are there. God pity me; I have seen them; they will remain in my mind forever—and this is almost the twentieth century. Christ died nineteen hundred years ago, and Spain is a Christian nation. She has set up more crosses in more lands, beneath more skies, and under them has butchered more people than all the other nations of the

earth combined.

Europe may tolerate her existence as long as the people of the Old World wish. God grant that before another Christmas morning the last vestige of Spanish tyranny and oppression will have vanished from the Western Hemisphere.

Mr. President, the distinguished Senator from Vermont has seen all these things; he knows all these things; he has described all these things; but after describing them he says he has nothing to propose, no remedy to suggest. I have. I am only an humble unit in the great Government of the United States, but I should feel myself a traitor did I remain silent now.

sands. I never saw, and please God I may never again see, so deplorable a sight as the reconcentrados in the suburbs of Matanzas. I can never forget to my dying day the hopeless anguish in their despairing eyes. Huddled about their little bark huts, they raised no voice of appeal to us for alms as we went among them.

There was almost no begging by the reconcentrados themselves. The streets of the cities are full of beggars of all ages and all conditions, but they are almost wholly of the residents of the cities and largely of the professional-beggar class. The reconcentrados—men, women, and children—stand silent, famishing with passion of the nation seemed at white heat over the destruction of the *Maine*; but it seems to me the time for action has now come. Not action in the *Maine* case! I hope and trust that this Government will take action on the Cuban situation entirely outside of the *Maine* case. When the *Maine* report is received, if it explosive, we will have ample reparation without quibble or de-lay; and if the explosion can be traced to Spanish official sources there will be such swift and terrible punishment adjudged as will

remain a warning to the world forever.

What shall the United States do, Mr. President?

I am a Republican, and I turn to the last platform of my party and I read:

From the hour of achieving their own independence the people of the United States have regarded with sympathy the struggles of other American people to free themselves from European domination. We watch with deep and abiding interest the heroic battle of the Cuban patriots against cruelty and oppression, and our best hopes go out for the full success of their determined contest for liberty.

The Government of Spain, having lost control of Cuba and being unable to protect the property or lives of resident American citizens, or to comply with its treaty obligations, we believe that the Government of the United States should actively use its influence and good offices to restore peace and give independence to the island.

Mr. President, when that declaration was read before the St. Louis convention, over which I had the distinguished honor to preside, it was greeted with a mighty shout which seemed to lift the very roof of that great convention hall, and it was adopted as a part of the platform of the Republican party by unanimous vote. On the 29th day of June, 1896, William McKinley, standing upon his vine-clad porch at Canton, Ohio, in accepting the nomination then officially tendered him, said:

The platform adopted by the Republican national convention has received my careful consideration and has my unqualified approval. It is a matter of gratification to me, as I am sure it must be to you and Republicans everywhere and to all our people, that the expressions of its declaration of principles are so direct, clear, and emphatic. They are too plain and positive to leave any chance for doubt or question as to their purport and meaning.

That platform of the Republican party, that indorsement by its nominee for President, was ratified by more than 7,000,000 American voters. That platform has marked my path of duty from the hour of its adoption up to the present time.

RECORD OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IN KEEPING ITS PLEDGES.

It is an honored boast of the Republican party that it always keeps its promises and that its platform declarations are always carried out by its Administrations. I have no reason to doubt, I have every reason to believe, that the present Chief Magistrate of the United States still stands upon the platform of the Republican party. I have no reason to doubt, I have every reason to believe, that he will make its fulfillment a part of the glorious history of the world history of the world.

Mr. President, that platform was adopted almost two years ago.

Has there been any such change in the Cuban situation as to re-Has there been any such change in the Cuban situation as to relieve the Republican party from its obligations? None whatever. There has been no change except such as to strengthen the force of our platform assertion that Spain has lost control of the island. Twice within the last two years I have voted for a resolution according the rights of belligerents to the Cuban revolutionists.

I believed at those times, I still believe, that such a recognition on

our part would have enabled the Cuban patriots to have achieved independence for themselves; that it would have given them such a standing in the money markets of the world, such rights on the sea, such flag on the land, that ere this the independence of Cuba would have been secured, and that without cost or loss of blood or treasure to the people of the United States. But that time has passed; it is too late to talk about resolutions according belligerent rights; and mere resolutions recognizing the independence of the Cuban Republic would avail but little. Our platform demands that the United States shall actively use its influence for the independence of the island.

I am not here to criticise the present Administration. I yield to no man living in my respect, my admiration for, and my conto no man lying in my respect, my admiration for, and my confidence in the judgment, the wisdom, the patriotism, the Americanism of William McKinley. When he entered upon his Administration he faced a difficult situation. It was his duty to proceed with care and caution. At the first available opportunity he addressed a note to Spain, in which he gave that Government notice, as set forth in his message to the Congress of the United States.

States, that the United States-

Could be required to wait only a reasonable time for the mother country to establish its authority and restore peace and order within the borders of the island; that we could not contemplate an indefinite period for the accomplishment of this result.

The President further advised us:

This Government has never in any way abrogated its sovereign prerogative of reserving to itself the determination of its policy and course according to its own high sense of right and in consonance with the dearest interests and convictions of our own people should the prolongation of the strife so demand.

This was the proper, the statesmanlike beginning of the performance of the promise of the Republican platform. It was in accordance with the diplomatic usages and customs of civilized nations. In the meantime the whole situation apparently changed. In Spain the liberal ministry of Sagasta succeeded that of Canovas; the cruel and inhuman Weyler was recalled, and succeeded by the horse of Plance who was the Sagasta succeeded. by the humane Blanco, who, under the Sagasta ministry, has un-

questionably made every effort to bring about peace in the island

questionably made every effort to bring about peace in the island of Cuba under the promise of autonomy—a decided advance beyond any proposition ever before made for the participation of the Cubans in their own domestic affairs.

It was the plain duty of the President of the United States to give to the liberal ministry of Spain a reasonable time in which to test its proposed autonomy. That time has been given. Autonomy is conceded the wide world over to be a conspicuous failure. The situation in Cuba has only changed for the worse, Sagasta is powerless; Blanco is powerless to put an end to the conflict, to rehabilitate the island, or to relieve the suffering, starvation, and distress. tion, and distress.

TIME FOR ACTION NOW.

The time for action has, then, come. No greater reason for it an exist to-morrow than exists to-day. Every hour's delay only can exist to-morrow than exists to-day. adds another chapter to the awful story of misery and death. Only one power can intervene—the United States of America. Ours is the one great nation of the New World, the mother of American republics. She holds a position of trust and responsibility toward the peoples and the affairs of the whole Western

It was her glorious example which inspired the patriots of Cuba to raise the flag of liberty in her eternal hills. We can not refuse to accept this responsibility which the God of the universe has placed upon us as the one great power in the New World. We must act! What shall our action be? Some say the acknowledgment of the helligerance of the revolutionists. ment of the belligerency of the revolutionists. As I have already shown, the hour and the opportunity for that have passed away.

Others say, Let us by resolution or official proclamation recognize the independence of the Cubans. It is too late even for such recognition to be of great avail. Others say, Annexation to the United States. God forbid! I would oppose annexation with my latest breath. The people of Cuba are not our people; they can not assimilate with us; and beyond all that I am utterly and matters by consider the process of the process o unalterably opposed to any departure from the declared policy of the fathers which would start this Republic for the first time upon a career of conquest and dominion utterly at variance with the avowed purposes and the manifest destiny of popular government.

Let the world understand that the United States does not propose to annex Cuba, that it is not seeking a foot of Cuban soil or pose to annex Cuba, that it is not seeking a foot of Cuban soil or a dollar of Spanish treasure. Others say, Let us intervene for the pacification of the island, giving to its people the greatest measure of autonomy consistent with the continued sovereignty of Spain. Such a result is no longer possible. It is enough to say that it would be resisted by all classes of the Cuban population, and its attempt would simply transfer the putting down of the revolution and the whingstips of the Cuban population. and the subjugation of the Cuban patriots to the armies of the United States.

There is also said to be a syndicate organization in this country, representing the holders of Spanish bonds, who are urging that the intervention of the United States shall be for the purchase of the island or for the guaranteeing of the Spanish debt incurred in the attempted subjugation of the Cuban revolutionists. Mr. President, it is idle to think for a single moment of such a plan. The American people will never consent to the payment of one dollar, to the guaranteeing of one bond, as the price paid to Spain for her relinquishment of the island she has so wantonly outraged and devastated.

INTERVENTION FOR INDEPENDENCE.

Mr. President, there is only one action possible, if any is taken; that is, intervention for the independence of the island; intervention that means the landing of an American army on Cuban soil, the deploying of an American army on Cuban soil, the deploying of an American fleet off Habana; intervention which says to Spain, Leave the island, withdraw your soldiers, leave the Cubans, these brothers of ours in the New World, to form and carry on government for themselves. Such intervention on our part would not of itself be war. It would undoubtedly lead to war. But if war came it would come by act of Spain in resistance of the liberty and the independence of the

Cuban people.

Some say these Cubans are incapable of self-government; that they can not be trusted to set up a republic. Will they ever become better qualified under Spanish rule than they are to-day? Sometime or other the dominion of kings must cease on the West-

ern Continent.

ern Continent.

The Senator from Vermont has done full justice to the native population of Cuba. He has studied them, and he knows that of all the people on the island they are the best qualified and fitted for government. Certainly any government by the Cuban people would be better than the tyranny of Spain.

Mr. President, there was a time when "jingoism" was abroad in the land; when sensationalism prevailed, and when there was a distinct effort to inflame the passions and prejudices of the American people and precipitate a war with Spain. That time has passed away. "Jingoism" is long since dead. The American

people have waited and waited and waited in patience; yea, in patience and confidence—confidence in the belief that decisive action would be taken in due season and in a proper way. To-day all over this land the appeal comes up to us; it reaches us from every section and from every class. That appeal is now for action. In an interview of yesterday, the senior Senator from Maine [Mr. Hale] is reported as saying:

Events have crowded on too rapidly, and the President has been carried off his feet.

I know of no warrant for such an assertion, but I do know this, that unless Congress acts promptly, meeting this grave crisis as it should be met, we will be swept away, and we ought to be swept away, by the tidal wave of American indignation.

away, by the tidal wave of American indignation.

The President has not been carried off his feet.

The Administration has been doing its whole duty. With rare foresight and statesmanship it has hastened to make every possible preparation for any emergency. If it be true that the report in the Maine case has been delayed, it has been delayed in order that we might be prepared at all points for defensive and offensive action. There are some who say, but they are mostly those who have procrastinated from the beginning up to the present time, "Let Congress hold its peace, adjourn, go home and leave the President to act." President to act.

I for one believe that the Congress of the United States is an equal and coordinate branch of the Federal Government, representing the combined judgment and wisdom of the many. It can more safely be depended on than the individual judgment and wisdom of any one man. I am a Senator of the United States, and I will never consent to abdicate my right to participate in the determination as to what is the solemn duty of this great Republic in this momentous and fateful hour. We are not in session to hamper or cripple the President; we are here to advise and assist him. Congress can alone declare war; Congress can alone levy taxes; and to this Congress the united people of this broad land, from sea to sea, from lake to gulf, look to voice their wishes and execute their will.

VOICE OF THE MONEY CHANGERS AGAINST INTERVENTION.

Mr. President, against the intervention of the United States in this holy cause there is but one voice of dissent; that voice is the voice of the money changers. They fear war! Not because of any Christian or ennobling sentiment against war and in favor of peace, but because they fear that a declaration of war, or the intervention which might result in war, would have a depressing

Mr. President, I do not read my duty from the ticker; I do not accept my lessons in patriotism from Wall street. I deprecate war. I hope and pray for the speedy coming of the time when the sword of the soldier will no longer leap from its scabbard to settle disputes between civilized nations. But, it is evident, looking at the cold facts, that a war with Spain would not permanently depreciate the value of a single American stock or bond.

War with Spain would increase the business and the earnings

of every American railroad, it would increase the output of every American factory, it would stimulate every branch of industry and domestic commerce, it would greatly increase the demand for American labor, and in the end every certificate that represented a share in an American business enterprise would be worth more money than it is \$1-day. But in the meantime the specter of war would stride through the stock exchanges, and many of the gamblers around the board would find their ill-gotten gains passing

to the other side of the table.

Let them go; What one man loses at the gambling table his fellow-gambler wirs. It is no concern of yours, it is no concern of mine, whether the "bulls" or the "bears" have the best of these stock deals. They do not represent American sentiment; they do not represent American patriotism. Let them take their chances as they can. Their weal or woe is of but little importance to the liberty-loving people of the United States. They will not do the fighting; their blood will not flow; they will keep on dealing in options on human life. Let the men whose loyalty is to the dollar stand aside while the men whose loyalty is to the flag come to the

The VICE PRESIDENT rapped with his gavel.
Mr. HALL. Mr. President—
Mr. THULSTON. I decline to be interrupted.

Mr. THURSTON. I decline to be interrupted.

Mr. HALE. I wish merely to correct a statement. I was not present when the Senator from Nebraska made it.

Mr. THURSTON. The Senator can make his correction after I get through. It will be entirely proper.

Mr. HALE. I shall be called away from the Chamber. It is

only a single matter.

I was informed, not being present, that the Senator from Ne-braska referred to an alleged interview quoting me as saying that in the present emergency the President has been carried off his feet. I not only never stated anything of the kind or made any such statement, but I do not believe it. I think the President has been eminently wise and calm in the whole matter, and the inter-

view does not represent me properly. I thank the Senator for allowing me to make this statement. It is better to make it now allowing me to make this statement. It is better to make it now than to wait until the Senator concludes.

Mr. THURSTON. I am glad to have the Senator's disclaimer. I took the statement from the public press. It has gone all over

Mr. HALE. Yes. I heard it from the Senator from New Hampshire, and I disclaimed it yesterday.

HONOR OF THE NATION ABOVE ALL OTHER CONSIDERATIONS.

Mr. THURSTON. There are some who lift their voices in the land and in the open light of day insist that the Republican party will not act, for they say it sold out to the capitalists and the money changers at the last national election. It is not so. God forbid! The 7,000,000 freemen who voted for the Republican party and for William McKinley did not mortgage the honor of this nation for a campaign fund, and if the time ever comes when the Republican party hegitates in its course of dark because of any angles and party hesitates in its course of duty because of any undue anxiety for the welfare of the accumulated wealth of the nation, then let the Republican party be swept from the face of the earth and be succeeded by some other party, by whatever name it may be called, which will represent the patriotism, the honesty, the loyalty, and the devotion that the Republican party exhibited under Abraham Lincoln in 1861.

Mr. President, there are those who say that the affairs of Cuba are not the affairs of the United States, who insist that we can stand idly by and see that island devastated and depopulated, its

stand idly by and see that island devastated and depopulated, its business interests destroyed, its commercial intercourse with us cut off, its people starved, degraded, and enslaved. It may be the naked legal right of the United States to stand thus idly by.

I have the legal right to pass along the street and see a helpless dog stamped into the earth under the heels of a ruffian. I can pass by and say that is not my dog. I can sit in my comfortable parlor with my loved ones gathered about me, and through my plateglass window see a fiend outraging a helpless woman near by, and I can legally say this is no affair of mine—it is not happening on my premises; and I can turn away and take my little ones in my arms, and, with the memory of their sainted mother in my heart, look up to the motto on the wall and read, "God bless our home." home.

But if I do, I am a coward and a cur unfit to live, and, God knows, unfit to die. And yet I can not protect the dog nor save the woman without the exercise of force.

We can not intervene and save Cuba without the exercise of force, and force means war; war means blood. The lowly Nazarene on the shores of Galilee preached the divine doctrine of love, "Peace on earth, good will toward men." Not peace on earth at the expense of liberty and humanity. Not good will toward men who despoil, enslave, degrade, and starve to death their fellow-men. I believe in the doctrine of Christ. I believe in the doctrine of peace; but, Mr. President, men must have liberty before there can come shiding peace. erty before there can come abiding peace.

Intervention means force. Force means war. War means blood. But it will be God's force. When has a battle for humanity and liberty ever been won except by force? What barricade of wrong, injustice, and oppression has ever been carried except

THE PART FORCE HAS PLAYED IN THE WORLD'S HISTORY.

Force compelled the signature of unwilling royalty to the great Magna Charta; force put life into the Declaration of Independence and made effective the Emancipation Proclamation; force beat with naked hands upon the iron gateway of the Bastile and made reprisal in one awful hour for centuries of kingly crime; force waved the flag of revolution over Bunker Hill and marked the snows of Valley Forge with blood-stained feet; force held the broken line at Shiloh, climbed the flame-swept hill at Chattanoga, and stormed the clouds on Lookout heights; force marched with Sherman to the sea, rode with Sheridan in the valley of the Shenandoah, and gave Grant victory at Appomattox; force saved the Union, kept the stars in the flag, made "niggers" men. The time for God's force has come again. Let the impassioned lips of American patriots once more take up the song:

In the beauty of the lilies Christ was born across the sea, With a glory in His bosom that transfigured you and me, As He died to make men holy, let us die to make men free, For God is marching on.

Others may hesitate, others may procrastinate, others may plead for further diplomatic negotiation, which means delay, but for me, I am ready to act now, and for my action I am ready to answer to my conscience, my country, and my God.

Mr. President, in the cable that moored me to life and hope the

Mr. President, in the cable that moored me to life and hope the strongest strands are broken. I have but little left to offer at the altar of Freedom's sacrifice, but all I have I am glad to give. I am ready to serve my country as best I can in the Senate or in the field. My dearest wish, my most earnest prayer to God is this, that when death comes to end all, I may meet it calmly and fearlessly as did my beloved, in the cause of humanity, under the American flag. [Long continued applause in the galleries.]

CONSIDERATION OF PENSION BILLS.

Mr. GALLINGER. I rise to make a request. I was unavoidably absent from the Senate for nearly three weeks, during which time the Private Pension Calendar was somewhat increased. The House of Representatives has passed a very considerable number of Senate pension bills, while the Senate, I think, has passed but two House bills. Senators are urging that their bills shall have consideration in the House of Representatives. They are likewise urging that we shall as speedily as possible reach the Private Pen-

sion Calendar for the purpose of clearing off the bills that are now on it. There are about fifty House bills on the Calendar.

I rise to request that on Monday next, after the routine morning business be concluded, the remainder of the morning hour until 2 o'clock, if that time be necessary, shall be devoted to the

consideration of private bills that are unobjected to.

Mr. HOAR. I ask the Senator from New Hampshire whether it would not probably be as well to fix a distinct hour for the pur-

pose—say 2 o'clock?

Mr. GALLINGER. Perhaps we can get to it before 2 o'clock.

I will modify my request, and ask the Senate to agree that at 1 o'clock on Monday next an hour be given for the consideration of

unobjected pension cases on the Calendar.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Is there any objection to the request of the Senator from New Hampshire? The Chair hears none, and that will be the order for Monday next at 1 o'clock.

IMPROVEMENT OF NEW BEDFORD HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.

Mr. LODGE. I ask unanimous consent to call up the bill (S. 4127) to provide for dredging the channel in the harbor of New Bedford, Mass.

Mr. COCKRELL. Let the bill be read for information. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the sum of \$34,122 is hereby appropriated, out of any moneys in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to dredge the channel in the harbor of New Bedford, Mass., leading to the bridge between that city and Fairhaven.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the bill was considered as in Committee of the Whole.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

JAMES DENNY.

Mr. COCKRELL. I ask unanimous consent for the consideration of the bill (S. 4037) to correct the military record of James

Denny.

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill. It authorizes the Secretary of War to correct the military record of James Denny, of Company A, subsequently B, Twelfth Regiment Missouri State Militia Cavalry, afterwards Company L of the Third Regiment Missouri State Militia Cavalry, by striking therefrom the words "dropped from the rolls by Capt. W. T. Leeper, December 10, 1862," and substituting therefor the words "discharged June 30, 1862," and to issue to him a discharge as of date June 30, 1862.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to be approved for a third reading read the third time, and passed

to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

PROTECTION OF BIRDS.

Mr. HOAR. I ask unanimous consent to call up the bill (S. 4124) for the protection of song birds.

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary with amendments

The first amendment was, in section 2, line 2, to strike out the words "the importation of which is forbidden by the first section of this act" and insert "to be used or sold;" so as to make the sec-

Sec. 2. That the transportation of birds, feathers, or parts of birds, to be used or sold, from any State or Territory of the United States, to or through any other State or Territory of the United States, is hereby prohibited. Whoever shall violate the provisions of this section shall, upon conviction in the district where the offense shall have been committed, be punished for each such offense by a fine of \$50.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was to add at the end of the bill the following as a new section:

Sec. 3. That the sale, keeping, or offering for sale, within any Territory of the United States, or within the District of Columbia, of birds, feathers, or parts of birds for ornamental purposes, except such as are excepted in the first section of this act, be, and the same is hereby, prohibited. Whoever shall violate the provisions of this section shall, upon conviction, be punished for each such offense by a fine of \$50.

Mr. COCKRELL. I should like to have some explanation of the bill. I ask the attention of the Senator from Massachusetts to the title of the bill. It is entitled "A bill for the protection of song birds," and there is not in the body of the bill a solitary pro-

vision with reference to a song bird. It refers to birds of all

kinds.

Mr. HOAR. The object of the bill is to protect what I am sure every Senator desires to protect, the song birds which give so much of the charm to life and which are being destroyed all over the world. They are imported into some foreign countries by millions for the purpose of ornament. That is the main object and purpose of the bill. But for the description in the text the term "song bird" is rather vague and indefinite, because there are some food birds that have beautiful song. The woodcock, for instance, is a fine singer. Therefore that phrase was not used in the description in the text of the bill, but the importation of birds or parts of birds for mere ornament is prohibited except as to feathers which are taken from living birds without injury.

I do not wish to debate the bill, because it will be understood in

I do not wish to debate the bill, because it will be understood in the Senate generally. There is a Southern bird, the heron, one of the most exquisite of birds, from which an aigrette is taken which has become a fashionable article of human wear. It is the bridal ornament of the female heron. It can only be taken from the living bird, and it is taken by the destruction of the living bird. It is upon the female white heron in the nesting season, so that redinarily one of these feethers costs the life rot early of the ordinarily one of those feathers costs the life not only of the

mother, but of an entire brood, which is left to decay and to starve.

A very eminent gentleman, well known to my friend from Missouri, told me last winter that two ladies came to his rooms to call on one of his family here in Washington, wearing on their bonnets, each of them, seven of these exquisite ornaments; so that the gratification of the vanity and the taste or desire for ornament of those two people alone had cost the lives certainly of fourteen

female birds and probably of fourteen broods.

Mr. COCKRELL. Is that a song bird?

Mr. HOAR. I can not answer as to whether it is a song bird, but that is the reason why the text of the bill aims at the slaughter of all birds for ornamental purposes, although the title was lim-

ited to the principal object.

Mr. COCKRELL. It seems to me that the bill is going a long way when it proposes to prohibit the importation of birds.

Mr. HOAR. This is a bill which has been passed in several of the States. It has been passed in Colorado, it has been passed in Massachusetts it has been passed in other States over the country. Massachusetts, it has been passed in other States over the country, and it is desired by the Natural History Society. I hope the Senator from Missouri will see his way clear to let it pass.

Mr. COCKRELL. I am not going to delay its passage, except to state my objection. The provision—

That the importation into the United States of birds, feathers, or parts of birds for ornamental purposes be, and the same is hereby, prohibited is an actual prohibition of the importation of any kind of feathers of birds.

Mr. HOAR. With the exception stated, "of feathers taken from living birds without injury to the bird."

Mr. COCKRELL. I can not agree to the bill, but I am not

going to object to its consideration.

Mr. BACON. As was stated by the Senator from Massachusetts, a similar bill has been passed by the legislature of the State of Massachusetts and by the legislatures of other States. When the bill was before the Massachusetts legislature there was a very beautiful address made in the name of the birds advocating the passage of the bill, which address was written by the senior Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Hoar]. I desire to submit the same as my remarks in support of the pending bill.

Mr. COCKRELL. Let it be read.

Mr. CULLOM. I should like to have those remarks read.

Mr. BACON. Of course, the address will be read. That is my

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Pasco in the chair). The Secretary will read as requested.

The Secretary read as follows:

To the great and general court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, we, the song birds of Massachusetts and their playfellows, make this our humble petition:

song birds of Massachusetts and their playfellows, make this our humble petition:

We know more about you than you think we do. We know how good you are. We have hopped about the roofs and looked in at the windows of the houses you have built for poor and sick and hungry people and little lame and deaf and blind children. We have built our nests in the trees and sung many a song as we flew about the gardens and parks you have made so beautiful for your own children, especially your poor children, to play in.

Every year we fly a great way over the country, keeping all the time where the sun is bright and warm; and we know that whenever you do anything, other people all over the great land between the seas and the great lakes find it out, and pretty soon will try to do the same thing. We know; we know. We are Americans just as you are. Some of us, like some of you, came from across the great sea, but most of the birds like us have lived here a long while; and birds like us welcomed your fathers when they came here many years ago. Our fathers and mothers have always done their best to please your fathers and mothers.

Now we have a sad story to tell you. Thoughtless or bad people are trying to destroy us. They kill us because our feathers are beautiful. Even pretty and sweet girls, who we should think would be our best friends, kill our brothers and children so that they may wear their plumage on their hats. Sometimes people kill us from mere wantonness. Cruel boys destroy our nests and steal our eggs and our young ones. People with guns and snares lie in wait to kill us, as if the place for a bird were not in the sky, alive, but in a shop window or under a glass case. If this goes on much

longer, all your song birds will be gone. Already, we are told, in some other countries that used to be full of birds, they are almost gone. Even the nightingales are being all killed in Italy.

Now we humbly pray that you will stop all this, and will save us from this sad fate. You have already made a law that noone shall kill a harmless song bird or destroy our nests or our eggs. Will you please to make another that no one shall wear our feathers, so that no one will kill us to get them? We want them all ourselves. Your pretty girls are pretty enough without them. We are told that it is as easy for you to do it as for Blackbird to whistle.

If you will, we know how to pay you a hundred times over. We will teach your children to keep themselves clean and neat. We will show them how to live together in peace and love and to agree as we do in our nests. We will build pretty houses which you will like to see. We will play about your gardens and flower beds—ourselves like flowers on wings—without any cost to you.

your gardens and flower beds—ourselves like flowers on wings—without any cost to you.

We will destroy the wicked insects and worms that spoil your cherries and currants and plums and apples and roses. We will give you our best songs and make the spring more beautiful and the summer sweeter to you. Every June morning when you go out into the field, Oriole and Blackbird and Bobolink will fly after you and make the day more delightful to you; and when you go home tired at sundown, Vesper Sparrow will tell you how grateful we are. When you sit on your perch after dark. Fife Bird and Hermit Thrush and Wood Thrush will sing to you, and even Whip-poor-will will cheer up a little. We know where we are safe. In a little while all the birds will come to live in Massachusetts again, and everybody who loves music will like to make a summer home with you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The hour of 2 o'clock having arrived, the Chair lays before the Senate the unfinished business, which will be stated.

Mr. HOAR. I hope the question may be put on the passage of the bill which has been under consideration, to which I under-

stand there is no further objection.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The unfinished business will first be laid before the Senate, and then the Chair will submit the request of the Senator from Massachusetts.

The Secretary. A bill (S. 2680) amending "An act granting

additional quarantine powers and imposing additional duties upon the Marine-Hospital Service," approved February 15, 1893.

Mr. COCKRELL. I ask that the unfinished business may be

informally laid aside, continuing the unfinished business for the balance of the day.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Missouri asks that the unfinished business be temporarily laid aside. The Chair

hears no objection, and it is so ordered.

Mr. BATE. In this connection I beg to state that I shall call up the resolutions as a tribute to the memory of the late Senator

HARRIS at half past 2 o'clock.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The pending question is on the amendment reported by the Committee on the Judiciary to the bill (S. 4124) for the protection of song birds.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was reported to the Senate as amended, and the amendments were concurred in.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

WILLIAM A. COWLES.

Mr. MORGAN. I ask unanimous consent for the consideration of the bill (S. 2673) for the relief of William A. Cowles.

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill. It proposes to pay to William A. Cowles \$1,000 in full for his services as an employee of the United States from March 1, 1878, to November 1, 1878, in the geographical surveys west of the one hundredth meridian, under the direction of Lieut. George M. Wheeler. The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered

to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

ALABAMA RIVER BRIDGE, NEAR MONTGOMERY, ALA.

Mr. PETTUS. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (S. 3871) to authorize the Montgomery-Elmore Bridge and Improvement Company to construct and maintain a bridge across the Alabama River, near the city of Montgomery,

There being no objection the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on Commerce with amendments.

from the Committee on Commerce with amendments.

Mr. PETTUS. I ask that the amendments of the committee be acted upon as the bill is read.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. At the request of the Senator from Alabama, the amendments will be reported and acted upon as the bill is read. The Chair hears no objection to that request.

The Secretary proceeded to read the bill. The first amendment of the Committee on Commerce was, in section 1, line 11, page 1, before the word "company," to strike out "such" and insert "said:" in the same line, after the word "company," to insert "and approved by the Secretary of War;" on page 2, line 7, after the word "route." to strike out "and the same is hereby declared to be a post route" and insert "upon which no higher charge shall be made for transportation over the same of the mails, troops, shall be made for transportation over the same of the mails, troops, and munitions of war of the United States than the rate per mile | the third time, and passed.

paid for their transportation over the railroad leading to such bridge;" so as to make the section read:

bridge;" so as to make the section read:

That the Montgomery-Elmore Bridge and Improvement Company, a corporation created and existing under an act of the general assembly of the State of Alabama for the purpose of constructing and maintaining the bridge hereinafter mentioned, be, and is hereby, authorized to construct and maintain a wagon, foot-passenger, and railroad bridge, or a bridge for any one or more of such purposes, across the Alabama River at such point as may be selected by said company and approved by the Secretary of War, between the junction of the Coosa and Tallapoosa rivers and the city of Montgomery, in the State of Alabama, said bridge to be so constructed as not to obstruct the navigation of said river, and to be provided with a suitable draw: Provided, That any bridge constructed under this act and according to its limitations shall be a lawful structure, and shall be known and recognized as a post route, upon which no higher charge shall be made for transportation over the same of the mails, troops, and munitions of war of the United States than the rate per mile paid for their transportation over the railroad leading to such bridge, and the United States shall have the right of way for a postal telegraph across said bridge.

The amendment was agreed to

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, in section 2, page 2, line 25, after the word "the," where it occurs the first time, to strike out "surroundings" and insert "sounding;" and in line 7, page 3, after the word "construction," to insert "or after completion;" so as to make the section read:

Sec. 2. That the bridge authorized to be constructed under this act shall be located and built under and subject to such regulations for the security of the navigation of said river as the Secretary of War shall prescribe; and to secure that object the said company or corporation shall submit to the Secretary of War, for his examination and approval, a design and drawings of the proposed bridge and a map of the location giving, for the space of one-half mile above and one-half mile below the proposed location, the topography of the banks of the river, the shore lines at high and low water, the direction and strength of the currents, and the soundings, accurately showing the bed of the stream, and shall furnish such other information as may be required for a full and satisfactory understanding of the subject; and until the said plan and location of the bridge are approved by the Secretary of War no work upon the bridge shall be commenced, and should any change be made in the plan of said bridge during the progress of construction or after completion such change shall be subject to the approval of the Secretary of War.

The appropriate a support was agreed to

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, in section 3, page 3, line 16, after the word "impediment," to strike out the semicolon and insert a period, and to strike out the remainder of the section in the following words: "And if, upon reasonable notice to said bridge company to make such change or improvements, the said company fails to do so, the Secretary of War shall have authority to make the same at the expense of said company, and all the rights conferred by this act shall be forfeited, and Congress shall have power to do any and all things necessary to secure the free navigation of the river;" so as to make the section read:

SEC. 3. That Congress reserves the right to alter, amend, or repeal this act at any time; and that if at any time navigation of said river shall in any manner be obstructed or impaired by the said bridge the Secretary of War shall have authority, and it shall be his duty, to require the said bridge company to alter and change the said bridge at its own expense in such manner as may be proper to secure free and complete navigation without impediment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, in section 4, page 3, line 23, after the word "draw," to strike out the words "provided for and insert 'of;" so as to make the section read:

SEC. 4. That the draw of the bridge herein authorized to be constructed shall be opened promptly upon reasonable signal for the passing of boats; and said company or corporation shall maintain at its own expense, from sunset till sunrise, such lights or other signals on said bridge as the Light-House Board shall prescribe.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, in section 5, page 4, line 4, after the word "That," to insert "all railroad companies desir ng the use of any railroad bridge constructed under this act shall have e jual rights and privileges relative to the passage of trains and cars over the same and over the approaches thereto upon payment of a rea-sonable compensation for such use, and in case of any disagree-ment between the owners of the bridge and any railroad company desiring its use, regarding the compensation to be paid or the con-ditions to be observed, all matters at issue shall be decided by the Secretary of War after a proper hearing; and;" so as to make the section read:

Sec. 5. That all railroad companies desiring the use of any railroad bridgo constructed under this act shall have equal rights and privileges relative to the passage of trains and cars over the same and over the approaches thereto upon payment of a reasonable compensation for such use; and in case of any disagreement between the owners of the bridge and any railroad company desiring its use, regarding the compensation to be paid or the conditions to be observed, all matters at issue shall be decided by the Secretary of War after a proper hearing; and all telephone and telegraph companies shall be granted equal rights and privileges in the construction and operation of their lines across said bridge; and if actual construction of the bridge herein authorized shall not be commenced within one year from the passage of this act and be completed within three years from same date, the rights and privileges hereby granted shall cease and be determined.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was reported to the Senate as amended, and the amend-ments were concurred in.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read

JOHN C. BROWN.

Mr. WILSON. I ask leave to call up the bill (S. 3474) granting

Mr. WILSON. I ask leave to call up the bill (S. 3474) granting a pension to John C. Brown.

Mr. COCKRELL. The Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Gallinger] has given notice that on Monday the pension bills will be called up and all of them will be acted upon at that time.

Mr. WILSON. I thought the proposition was merely to take up House pension bills on Monday.

Mr. COCKRELL. No; all of them.

Mr. WILSON. Very well, then.

THE MISSOURI RIVER BRIDGE AT ST. CHARLES, MO.

Mr. COCKRELL. I ask for the present consideration of the bill (S. 4206) extending the time for the construction of a wagon and motor bridge across the Missouri River at St. Charles, Mo., as provided by an act approved June 3, 1896.

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the

Whole, proceeded to consider the bill.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

INDUSTRIAL AND SCIENTIFIC EDUCATION.

Mr. MORRILL. I ask for the present consideration of the bill (S. 4154) to amend an act approved August 30, 1890, entitled "An act to apply a portion of the proceeds of the public lands to the more complete endowment and support of the colleges for the benefit of agriculture and the mechanic arts, established under the provisions of an eat of Congress approved July 2, 1863."

provisions of an act of Congress approved July 2, 1862."

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill; which was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the act of Congress approved August 30, 1890, entitled "An act to apply a portion of the proceeds of the public lands to the more complete endowment and support of the colleges for the benefit of agriculture and the mechanic arts, established under the provisions of an act of Congress approved July 2, 1862," be, and the same is hereby, amended as follows, namely: That whenever there shall be a less sum of money received from the proceeds of the public lands than is required by the terms of the act aforesaid to be paid to each of the several States, any deficiency shall be paid from any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated.

Mr. COCKRELL. That bill was reported a day or two ago, and when its consideration was requested, I think the Senator from when its consideration was requested, I think the Senator from Iowa [Mr. Allison] asked that it be passed over.

Mr. MORRILL. I think not; I do not think there will be any objection to the bill from any quarter.

Mr. COCKRELL. Let the bill be read, subject to objection.

Mr. MORRILL. It has been read.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill has been read. Does

Mr. COCKRELL. There is something else involved in the bill

Mr. COCKRELL. I there is sometiming ease involved in the bill besides the public lands.

Mr. MORRILL. Nothing at all.

Mr. COCKRELL. I think it had better retain its place until I can look into it a little. I know that there was objection made to it the other day, and I prefer that it should go over, not losing its

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will go over, under the objection of the Senator from Missouri, without losing its place

on the Calendar.

RED RIVER BRIDGE AT GRAND ECORE, LA

Mr. BERRY. I ask unanimous consent for the present consid-Mr. BERRY. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (H. R. 5865) to authorize the Monroe Railway and Construction Company to construct a bridge across Red River, at or near Grand Ecore, La.

Mr. McENERY. Mr. President, I rose to submit the same request which has been made by the Senator from Arkansas. I hope the bill referred to by him may be considered at this time, and put upon its research.

and put upon its passage.

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

BURTON PACKARD.

Mr. TURNER. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (S. 1354) granting a pension to Burton Pack-

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill. It proposes to place on the pension roll the name of Burton Packard, late sergeant of Company C, Sixth Regiment Wisconsin Infantry Volunteers, at \$50 a month, in lieu of the pension of \$24 a month to which he is now entitled by law.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

JOSEPH P. PATTON.

Mr. MITCHELL. I ask unanimous consent for the consideration at this time of the bill (H. R. 1803) for the relief of Joseph P.

Patton.

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to consider the bill. It proposes to correct the record of the War Department in the case of Joseph P. Patton, late first lieutenant of Company C, Fifth Regiment of Ohio Volunteer Cavalry, by revoking the order of his dismissal and granting him an honorable discharge to date September 21, 1864.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

FARNHAM J. EASTMAN.

Mr. TURNER. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (S. 1353) for the increase of pension of Farn-

ham J. Eastman.

Mr. COCKRELL. Probably the Senator was not in when notice was given that the pension cases on the Calender would be called up regularly at 1 o'clock on Monday next. They will all be taken up and considered at that time.

Mr. TURNER. I was not aware of that arrangement.
Mr. COCKRELL. The Senator's colleague [Mr. WILSON] asked for the consideration of a pension bill a few minutes ago, and I objected to it on the same ground.

Mr. TURNER. In that case I will withdraw the request for the consideration of the bill at this time.

ECKINGTON AND SOLDIERS' HOME RAILWAY COMPANY.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The unfinished business having been laid aside informally, the Calendar under Rule VIII is now in order. The first case on the Calendar will be stated.

The bill (S. 3365) relating to the Eckington and Soldiers' Home

The Bill (s. 5005) relating to the Eckington and Southers Tromb Railway Company was announced as first in order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair is informed that objection was made to the bill when it was under consideration heretofore, and it will be passed over without losing its place on the Calendar, if there be no objection. The next bill on the Calendar will be stated. endar will be stated.

HOME FOR AGED AND INFIRM COLORED PEOPLE.

The bill (S. 2821) to provide a home for aged and infirm colored people was announced as next in order.

Mr. COCKRELL. Let that be passed over without losing its

place.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will be passed over without prejudice.

SCHOOLS OF MINES.

The bill (S. 2911) to aid the public-land States to support schools

of mines was announced as next in order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair is informed that this bill has heretofore been read at length as in Committee of the

Mr. COCKRELL. Let the bill be passed over informally, in

the absence of the Senator reporting it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will be passed over without losing its place.

DISAPPROVAL OF A TERRITORIAL ACT.

The bill (S. 3051) to disapprove an act passed by the legislative assembly of the Territory of New Mexico, approved March 17, 1897, was announced as next in order.

Mr. COCKRELL. That bill has been reported adversely. Let it go over under Rule IX.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will go over under Rule IX.

Rule IX. BVT. MAJ. GEN. ALEXANDER STEWART WEBB.

The bill (S. 1901) to place Lieut. Col. and Byt. Maj. Gen. Alexander Stewart Webb on the retired list of the United States Army was considered as in Committee of the Whole. It authorizes the President to nominate and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, to appoint Alexander Stewart Webb, late a brigadiergeneral of volunteers and lieutenant-colonel and brevet majorgeneral United States Army a lieutenant colonel and to place general, United States Army, a lieutenant-colonel and brevet major-general, United States Army, a lieutenant-colonel, and to place him on the retired list of the Army with that rank and pay, the retired list being thereby increased in number to that extent; and provides that all laws and parts of laws in conflict with the bill shall be suspended for this purpose only, and that from and after the passage of this act no pension shall be paid to Alexander S. Webb.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

JAMES Q. SHIRLEY AND ESTATE OF FRANCIS DE LONG.

The bill (S. 2479) for the relief of James Q. Shirley and the estate of Francis De Long, deceased, was announced as next in

Mr. COCKRELL. That bill was adversely reported, was it not?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. It appears to have been favor-

ably reported, so far as the Calendar indicates.

Mr. COCKRELL. It is an adverse report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair is informed that, according to the indorsement on the bill itself, the Senator from Missouri is correct.

Mr. COCKRELL. The bill being adversely reported, let it go over under Rule IX.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will go over under Rule IX, as suggested by the Senator from Missouri.

PUBLIC BUILDING AT JOPLIN, MO.

The bill (S. 244) to provide for the purchase of a site and the The bill (S. 244) to provide for the purchase or a site and the erection of a public building thereon at Joplin, in the State of Missouri, was considered as in Committee of the Whole. It directs the Secretary of the Treasury to acquire, by purchase, condemnation, or otherwise, a site, and cause to be erected thereon a suitable building, including fireproof vaults, heating and ventilating apparatus, elevators, and approaches, for the use and action of the United States post-office and other Governs. commodation of the United States post-office and other Govern-ment offices in the city of Joplin and State of Missouri, the cost of the site and building, including vaults, heating and ventilating apparatus, elevators, and approaches, complete, not to exceed \$100,000.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

PRESIDENTIAL APPROVALS.

A message from the President of the United States, by Mr. O. L. PRUDEN, one of his secretaries, announced that the President had on the 23d instant approved and signed the following acts:

An act (S. 139) granting an increase of pension to Florence W. Buskirk:

An act (S. 400) for the relief of Dorence Atwater; An act (S. 934) granting an increase of pension to Napoleon B. Breedlove

An act (S. 2213) granting an increase of pension to Mrs. Cornelia

I. Skiles;
An act (S. 2728) granting a pension to William D. Lamb;
An act (S. 2781) to amend section 4440 of the Revised Statutes,
authorizing the licensing of mates on river and ocean steamers;
An act (S. 3064) to increase the pension of Caroline W. Abney,
widow of M. W. Abney, a soldier in the Indian war;
An act (S. 3077) to grant the right of way through the Indian
Territory to the Denison, Bonham and New Orleans Railway Company for the purpose of constructing a railway, and for other pur-

An act (S. 3339) to increase the pension of Martha S. Harllee, widow of W. W. Harllee, a soldier in the Florida war.

MEMORIAL ADDRESSES ON THE LATE SENATOR HARRIS. Mr. BATE. Mr. President, the hour set apart for the Senatorial ceremonies in memory of my late colleague, Senator Harris, has arrived, and I offer the resolutions which I send to the

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Pasco). The resolutions submitted by the Senator from Tennessee will be read.

The Secretary read the resolutions, as follows:

Resolved, That the Senate has heard with profound sorrow of the death of Hon. Isham G. Harris, late a Senator from the State of Tennessee.

Resolved, That, as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased, the business of the Senate be now suspended to enable his associates to pay proper tribute of regard to his high character and distinguished public services.

ices, colved, That the Secretary communicate these resolutions to the House

Resolved, That the Secretary confidence of Representatives.

Resolved, That as an additional mark of respect, the Senate, at the conclusion of these ceremonies, do adjourn.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to

The resolutions were unanimously agreed to.

Mr. BATE. Mr. President, to my late distinguished colleague on this floor all the honors due to the most illustrious citizen have been paid by the officials of Tennessee and by the spontaneous affection of the citizens of the State. His body, by general and public request, lay in state in the capitol of Tennessee, escorted

and guarded by old ex-Confederate soldiers, who stood sentinel around his bier under the two flags—Confederate and Federal.

The memorial services on a later day at Memphis, the home of the late Senator Harris, were of that character which attest the love and esteem in which he was held by the people of Tennessee. On that occasion the drapery of woe gave place to the beauty of flowers, and the vast auditorium bloomed and blossomed with the festoons of smilax and chrysanthemums, while palms of ancient and sacred memory vied with roses in giving grace and beauty to a scene which bore evidence of a purpose on the part of the whole community to unite in a grand testimonial to the honored dead. Representative men, the rich and the poor, were there, and

every creed in religion as well as every division in politics united in one testimonial to the memory of the citizen, the "war governor," and statesman who had passed away. Nothing which affection could suggest or pride propose was omitted by that community which he had served and in which he had so long

The glimmer of the old gray uniform on the Confederate veterans on this memorial occasion recalled the glory of the past without in the least derogating from the duties of the present. He had worn that uniform with honor in the camp, on the march, on the battlefield, and it was appropriate that a conspicuous place should be filled by it in the memorial service of his past life. The proud emblems of the Federal Union were not absent, but floated gracefully along with the modest little ensign that bore the cross

of St. Andrew, with its stars and bars.

It was a fit occasion for intertwining the two flags, and it was tastefully and gracefully done. Notwithstanding these honors so profusely paid by the authorities of Tennessee and of the city of Memphis and of all classes of the people, an honored custom of this Senate invites further posthumous ceremonies within its historic walls which have so often reverberated his voice. This Chamber for more than twenty years was the theater of his usefulness, the same in which he played that conspicuous part in the public history which will be forever associated with his memory. It is appropriate that here, then, in this Chamber official recognition of his prominent services to the Union and to the State should have voice and recognition.

should have voice and recognition.

I ask the attention of Senators while I briefly relate the story of a man—their fellow—who is gone.

Mr. President, ISHAM GREEN HARRIS was born in Franklin County, Tenn., on the 10th of February, 1818, and died in this city on the 8th of July, 1897, having attained the ripe age of 80 years, fulfilling the words of the psalmist that "the days of our years are threescore years and ten; and if by reason of strength they be fourscore years, yet is their strength labor and sorrow; for it is soon cut off, and we fly away."

Little did the neighbors and friends of the Harris family, who lived in an unostentations but independent way among the plain

lived in an unostentatious but independent way among the plain and patriotic people of Franklin County, Tenn., dream that on the 10th of February, 1818, there was born in their midst a child who was destined to be a leading factor in stirring events that were to come to our country's history—one who was to organize troops to fight great battles—was three times to occupy the executive chair of our great State and sit twenty years in the chief council chamber of our great country as one of its advisers and leaders. There was no special announcement of his birth by the parents or any special recognition of it given by the neighbors or the church. It nevertheless was one that has gone into history

the church. It nevertheless was one that has gone into history and will live beyond the present generation into the far future. Isham and Lucy Harris, the father and mother of this the youngest of nine children, were North Carolinians and of Revolutionary stock. Isham's grandfather was an officer in the Revolutionary war. The father and mother, leaving the Old North State, seeking fresher fields in which to better their fortunes, journeyed westward over the mountains and settled where the waters of Elk River flow through a beautiful valley overlooked by the western range of the Cumberland Mountains. It was here,

by the western range of the Cumberland Mountains. It was here, on a farm in Franklin County, Tenn., that these pioneer parents in a plain and frugal way reared and educated their children.

The log-house home and country schoolhouse were familiar features in that day, and to-day Tennessee points to them, through the brightest pages of her history, with greater pride than can any king point to his palace or any scholar to his university alma mater, for these unpretending homes and schools were the sources of that great intellectual, moral, and political strength that made heroes and statesmen of her sons and gave an unsurpassed charm

to her womanhood.

But this monotonous and narrow sphere of social and business life, though with many attractions, was too circumscribed for young ambition to vault itself, and the subject of this tribute, at the early age of 14, with only a country-school education, full of manhood and self-reliance, with a heart throbbing with courageous impulses and a brain restless and full of resources—this boy-man, ISHAM GREEN HARRIS, with the consent and blessing of his father. for whom he was named, launched his little lifeboat, freighted with his hopes and fortune, on the uncertain sea of the future.

Leaving home at this unripe age, he went west to Paris, Henry County, Tenn., which became his future home. By way of being independent of the assistance of friends, he hired himself as a merchant's clerk, beginning at the bottom with a small salary. By strict attention to business, performing every duty with alacrity and guided by that conspicuous executive ability that characterized all his life, he soon found himself at the head of an establishment of his own and conducted it with eminent success

After having undergone varied fortune in the commercial line, meantime having matured into manhood, he entered upon the profession of the law, and soon showed his aptness in and his

adaptability to his profession. But while he was successful in securing a clientage and was strict in attention to the business intrusted to him, he was dreaming of the future, and saw, as in an apocalyptic vision, another field of service in which distinction

united with destiny.

His taste and capacity fitted him preeminently for this new field, and his natural political sagacity and patriotic fervor beck-oned him on. The gate opened its portals, and ambition, as a seductive siren, drew him in her charmed circle of delirium as naturally as iron filings are drawn to loadstone. Henceforth the political field was to him most congenial, and it became the arena in which was performed the life drama of ISHAM GREEN HARRIS. Six years of successful practice of law brought unto him not only a handsome income, but established for him a reputation as a lawyer, and more especially as an advocate. This threw him actively into the political world, and in 1847 he was honored with

a seat in the senatorial branch of the Tennessee legislature.

There his aptitude for successful management in political matters entitled him to leadership, which brought him so conspicuously before the public as a Democrat that in 1848 he was selected as the Democratic elector for the Ninth Congressional district, to be followed in 1849 by his election from that district to the United States House of Representatives. After serving that district through two successive Congresses, and being renominated the third time, he declined to accept the nomination and moved to Memphis, where he was recognized as a lawyer and advocate of ability, and as such took high rank at that bar, then, as now, distinguished for the ability of its members.

But political preferment and leadership being his ruling passion, and politics being the natural field for the exercise of his fine powers, he again, in 1856, came to the front as elector at large for the Democratic party. Those who recall that exciting political campaign and the issues involved, and remember that his immediate opponent was the able and distinguished Governor Neil S. Brown, a foeman worthy of any man's steel, will recognize it as a gladiatorial contest between evenly matched knights, and which attracted the attention of the whole State.

His speeches on the hustings were plain, clear, and cogent, severely without ornament, and no strain at eloquence or display, but always sensible, strong, attractive, and sometimes dramatic. In delivery he was earnest and forcible, and alike emphatic in expression and gesture. Indeed, this grew upon him with age until the emphatic seemed the dogmatic. In speaking he always had a definite point to drive to, and he let you know what it was, and generally got there in good time and in good order.

With the triumph of his party in that campaign, Tennessee took rank among Democratic States, and his rich reward was a nominational electric in 1887 according to the property of the State.

rank among Democratic States, and his rich reward was a nomination and election, in 1857, as governor of the State. In this, his first canvass for governor, he had for his opponent Hon. Robert Hatton, the nominee of the opposing party, who was young, active, and talented, and it being the custom of Tennessee to have joint discussions between opposing party candidates, they canvassed the State together. Harris was elected. He was renominated in 1859, with John Netherland, a bright, talented man and famous stump orator, as his opponent. Harris was again elected. His third election as governor was in August, 1861, after the State had united her fortunes with the Southern States and war was flagrant. Under the constitution of Tennessee the governor is elected for a term of two years, and remains in office until his

is elected for a term of two years, and remains in office until his successor is inaugurated, and this inauguration is required to be at the capitol and in the presence of the legislature. At the expiration of HARRIS's third term the capitol was within the Federal lines—hence there could be no inauguration, and HARRIS held over to the end of the war.

These renominations and successful canvasses show the hold he These renominations and successful canvasses show the hold he had gained and retained in the confidence and affection of the people of the whole State. His three canvasses for governor, together with performing the duties of the office, brought out those remarkable traits of character which made him conspicuous among the leaders of his party. He had by nature fine executive ability, which was strengthened by culture and habit. This executive quality was aided by an unflagging energy, which in turn was driven by a force of will that often overcame obstacles that were hard to remove.

Along with those, he had another gift or quality that was in evidence all along his line of life, and which contributed largely to his success. It is a species of diplomacy called in common parlance "tact;" that is, he instinctively knew better when and how to accomplish an object than other men. This was to a great extent the result of his thorough and accurate knowledge of huextent the result of his thorough and accurate knowledge of human nature. His great lever power, however, that sustained him in seeking official preferment and maintaining himself was his Jeffersonian faith in the people—faith in their doing right when the right is understood by them—and his undeviating adherence to what he believed to be their rights and interests.

Governor Harris belonged to the strict-construction school of politics. That school of construction was originated by Jefferson

and Madison as a counterpoise to the growing tendency of Federal consolidation and as a force to bring back the Government from the centralization of the alien and sedition acts to the original object of its creation, the Federal agent of the sovereign States that created it.

It was afterwards illustrated by the genius of Calhoun and adorned with the abilities and virtues of Southern statesmen, and for many years had a grasp upon the people of the South which only the mailed hand of fratricidal war could tear away.

To that school of construction Governor Harris conscientiously

attached himself.

Whatever others may think of that theory of our Government, to Isham G. Harris it was the gospel of his politics, the creed that formulated his political convictions, and to it he was as true

as the needle to the pole.

State sovereignty and its resultant, the right of secession, were with him conscientious convictions, as sacred and binding as his belief in human existence. As governor it was his duty to take care that the State suffer no detriment, and to that end, when trouble and danger were in sight, he summoned the legislature in extra session, that a convention of the people of the State might take such action as their wisdom should dictate. It would serve no good purpose to review in this place the able messages in which

he discussed the public conditions, the attitude of sections, and the ultimate purposes of political parties.

It is sufficient to say that he was no fanatic, but a calm, resolute, earnest, and honest man in a place of great responsibility; and with the courage of his convictions he met the public conditions by which his State was menaced with the only remedy that was provided in the theory of Federal Union as he understood that theory. Yea, many will say he and those of us who sustained him were wrong; but there can be none who knew him as I and those who stood by him in that great crisis did who can truthfully assert that he was not honest in his convictions and earnest in his work.

In the days of 1861 public events shaped themselves with a rapidity and suddenness which it is difficult to comprehend now, and not edifying to review on this floor. There is one incident, however, that occurred at this juncture between the governor of Tennessee and the President of the United States that can not with propriety be omitted in giving the leading features of Gov-

ernor HARRIS'S life.

Excitement for weeks over the whole country had been intense, and culminated in the fall of Fort Sumter, whereupon President Lincoln called for 75,000 troops to coerce the seceded States, and in the call designated two regiments to come from Tennessee, and asked Governor Harris to furnish them. The reply was promptly returned by the governor of Tennessee to the President in the following words:

Tennessee will not furnish a single man for the purpose of coercion, but 50,000, if necessary, for the defense of our rights and those of our Southern

There are many personal and official incidents connected with Governor Harris that would be interesting to know, but I forbear giving them, except the following one, illustrative of his high appreciation of the duty of an official in caring for the public interest intrusted to him: There was a large sum of money, nearly a million dollars in gold, in the custody of the State, known as "the school fund, when, on the approach of the Federal Army to the capital of Tennessee, Governor Harris had this fund, among other effects of the State, sent south. He regarded it as a sacred fund, and on no account would permit it to be used. Under his orders it was moved from place to place as military lines shifted, and kept secure. When war scenes were dissolving and he going into exile, he directed its honest keepers to return it to the State authorities, which was done without the loss of a dollar.

It is my purpose only to present Governor Harris in his true

It is my purpose only to present Governor Harris in his true character, that of a resolute, firm man, discharging every duty from a high sense of responsibility to the State and her people, indifferent to every personal consequence and solicitous only for the safety of the State and the protection of her people. To that end, when all hopes of continued peace vanished before the Presi-dent's call for troops to invade the Southern States, Governor HARRIS bent every energy of his character and exerted every resource of the State to the organization and equipment of her volunteers; and so well did he work in those precarious days that by July, 1861, he had organized and equipped thousands of troops, turning them over to the Confederate authorities.

He relaxed no effort in the defense of the State, but with untir-He relaxed no effort in the defense of the State, but with untiring energy continued his efforts to place the State in a condition to be defended by her own people as well as by the armies of the Confederate States. His example as governor was inspiring to all the people, infusing energy everywhere and bringing order out of confusion, until under his administration, which was during the entire war, over 100,000 Tennessee soldiers, as gallant and patriotic troops as ever mustered under battle flag, had enlisted and had been as well equipped as could be under the existing conditions and completely organized in the armies of the Confederate States, and thus he earned the well merited, and to him the most highly prized, sobriquet of the "War Governor of Tennessee." When driven by the events of the war from the State and it

was no longer possible for him to discharge the duties of the exalted office, he rested not, nor sought easy berth, but immediately entered the field on the staff of Gen. Albert Sidney Johnston, and was with him on the field of Shiloh and personally assisted that great chieftain at the time he received his death shot.

With the Army of Temperson under all its commanders he

With the Army of Tennessee, under all its commanders, he served through all the years of the war, exerting every effort to mitigate the hardships of the soldiers, to supply the necessities of their daily life, and sharing with them the sunshine and the storm, the heat and the cold, the joy of victory and the sting of

Though not technically in the Confederate army, for he could not be, as he was governor of Tennessee, but he was in fact its inseparable companion from beginning to end, and heard its first reveille and its last tattoo. He was emphatically the friend of the soldiers and omitted nothing that could contribute to their comfort or increase their efficiency. After the war, with all its disappointments, losses, and distress, the people of Tennessee still treasure in their hearts the sacred memory of their heroic soldiers. soldiers.

When all the blandishments of life are gone,

* * * the brave live on.

All else seemed gone, under the inscrutable wisdom of an all-wise Providence, but the manhood of those four years. In all the noblest acceptation of that word, it is a precious heirloom to Confederates, to be transmitted from sire to son. Of that manhood Governor Harris was a living example, in its administrative fea-ture, in its brave devotion to duty, in its unselfish attention to the wants of others, and in its bravery and endurance on the field of battle, and also in exacting demands in bivouac, march, and hospital.

The history of Isham G. Harris is inseparably connected with our war period. He was then in the prime of life and in the zenith of his power. He was governor during the entire time, from 1861–1865, of a sovereign State, mighty in those effective elements of war, men and resources. It was an ill-starred destiny that came upon our country and brought a four-years carnival of suffering and death.

When the bloodshot eye of Mars looked down upon the scene, t was "red with uncommon wrath," and the smile of mercy appeased it not. Ours was then a land of armed men, brothers fighting each other. Destruction and death was the order of the day. Every march was to a battlefield, and every battlefield was a graveyard. Defeat of to-day gave earnest of victory to-morrow, while victory to-morrow meant defeat the next day. It was a struggle between giants as figure and unreleating as that he struggle between giants, as fierce and unrelenting as that be-tween Saracen and Crusader over the Holy Sepulcher when the battle-ax of Cœur de Lion found its death-producing counterpart in the magic blade of Saladin.

It was in these times that the subject of this tribute was at the helm, steering a mighty State through the crimson tide of war. But with peace there came not rest to his weary spirit. The tri-umph of the Union Army admitted of no magnanimity for him. The fierce passions of politics interposed to drive him into exile. The trumped-up charge of treason to the State, the State he had so courageously defended, was set in motion, and, with a reward for his capture, he was driven to seek safety in Mexico and there

await a returning sense of shame to his persecutors.

From Mexico to England was for him a change from enforced idleness to that business activity so necessary to his energetic and ever-working nature. One year in business in Liverpool completed the two and a half years of exile, during which all charges were abandoned and rewards withdrawn, and he was free to return to the State and reveals be had served as faithful and level level. turn to the State and people he had served so faithfully and loved so well. A period of eight years followed with a successful practice of the law, during which the clouds of political animosities were being gradually dispelled and the people had become free to exercise their right of selecting their Representatives and Sen-

Governor HARRIS became a candidate and was elected by the legislature to the Senate of the United States, where from 1877 to 1897, a period of over twenty years, he was the zealous and faithful ambassador of Tennessee to this Amphictyonic Council of sovereign States.

This Senate too well remembers my colleague for me to recall the weighty words, the impressive manner, the forcefulness in colloquy, the ready retort, the executive ability, tact, and discretion, the parliamentary management, the courtesy that ever characterized him in the chair—for he had been chosen its temporary presiding officer—the firmness with which he maintained his convictions, and the triumph he won.

His was a green and fresh old age. His eyes were not dim and lusterless, nor was his natural force much abated. He was never a better or more useful Senator nor more attentive and efficient to

his duties than in the later years of his life. Age seemed only to have brought ripened experience with its advantages which he made available.

He was generally in his seat and always a watcher, even in weary nights when obstructive legislation was rampant. When younger men were inattentive and sought sleep, he, regardless of age, was awake and vigilant and ready for any turn in the game age, was awake and vigilant and ready for any turn in the game of political diplomacy that was going on, and generally took on such occasions a leading and effective part in all discussions pertaining to the rules of the Senate and parliamentary proceedings. Indeed he felt, and it came to be so regarded in the Senate, that debate on parliamentary proceedings was his fight, for he was the admitted authority on parliamentary law in the body.

Mr. President, Governor Harris lived in an eventful age. No eighty rears of human action has brought to light some account.

Mr. President, Governor Harris lived in an eventful age. No eighty years of human action has brought to light so many useful discoveries and such great results. The map of the world has been changed during the period of his life. Empires have appeared and passed away like bubbles on the surface of the lake.

Continents that were comparatively vacant have become the abode of powerful States, peopled by intelligent inhabitants that enjoy all the advantages of a high civilization. At his birth this Republic was all east of the Mississippi; at his death the tide of population had crossed the Rocky Mountains and built powerful States and splendid cities on the Pacific. What at his birth was the American desert has become the abode of freemen, and enterprising communities now cheer the dreary wastes. prising communities now cheer the dreary wastes.

No railroad was then found on this continent, nor was it traversed by thousands of miles in which the traveler scales the lofty mountains and passes over the great rivers in splendid parlor cars, where his meals are served and he reposes on his downy bed while he spins along over wonderful scenery at the rate of 40 miles an hour. At his birth no scientist dreamed that each day's proceeding would be distributed through the world with a speed that far outstrips the earth in her daily revolutions around her axis. Yet the lightning has become the great agent of humanity to distribute its messages, propel its cars, and heat its habitations. The telephone is the faithful agent that repeats the human voice scores of miles.

The year after his birth the first steamship crossed the Atlantic. Now every ocean is stirred by the swift messengers of nations in peace and war. The world of mind and morals has been evolving new theories of thought and new rules of social and spiritual life. The activity of human genius has brought out new creations in every department of utility. Ancient institutions have given place to new and more refined and delicate ones.

The wonders of art have outstripped the wildest visions of dreaming fancy and given to human achievement boundless possibilities, that may cover the earth with charities and blessings, that will wipe away all tears and lull into sweet harmony the sighs and sorrows of the human race.

Amid this wonderful impulse of human action this distinguished

man has lived and acted and enrolled his name.

man has lived and acted and enrolled his name.

Mr. President, when the end came to those eighty years of arduous life, when the golden bowl was broken, and the silver cord was loosed, and the pitcher broken at the fountain, it could be truthfully said of my colleague that "he died at his post." If not like Chatham falling on the floor of the House of Lords, or John Quincy Adams sinking in the House of Representatives, yet he succumbed from the exertion and the labor of his Senatorial duties like the great English leader and the exalted American ex-President. can ex-President.

The unswerving patriot, Isham G. Harris, whose long life had been devoted to his country, had striven to the end, and his last days were his best days.

He is now in his grave-

After life's fitful fever he sleeps well.

Neither the call to the hustings, the concourse of admiring friends, the contests in the Senate, nor "the rapture of the fight" shall again summon him to duty. His repose is tranquil in the sacred precincts of Elmwood, overlooking in its beauty and silence the Mississippi as it swells in majestic flow at the base of Memphis, the beautiful city of the valley. Peace to his ashes.

Mr. MORRILL. Mr. President, generally members of the Senate when first elected are no longer young, and their early departure to "the silent land" is in accordance with nature. Within ture to "the silent land" is in accordance with nature. Within the past year the decease of Senators and of ex-Senators has been unprecedented. The list of ex-Senators includes twelve—Voorhees, McPherson, Doolittle, Cameron, Dolph, McMillan, Coke, Dixon, Jones, Robinson, Clingman, and Paddock; and the seats here of three Senators were also made vacant, or those so recently occupied by Earle and George and by Senator Harris, of Tennessee, to whose memory the Senate to-day offers its tribute of re-

All of these men possessed some characteristic and prominent merits which were appreciated by their people at home, as well

as by their associates here, who knew them at close range. Mc-Pherson, Voorhees, and Harris were all recent members of the Committee on Finance, and whatever differences on political and economical questions may have existed, the committee, I feel authorized to say, were personally all friends, and the survivors

authorized to say, were personally all friends, and the survivors are here to-day as mourners.

Our late and long-time associate, Senator Harris, of Tennessee, was born in the State he represented, where, as it may safely be assumed, his political influence was probably never surpassed, except by that of General Jackson, whose wide national renown served to gild and expand his local influence at home. Nearly served to gild and expand his local influence at home. Nearly fifty years ago Isham G. Harris served in the Thirtieth and Thirty-first Congress as a member of the House of Representatives, then for six years as governor of Tennessee, and during the last three years of the rebellion he was a volunteer aid of the "Confederate Army of Tennessee," as he preferred to state it himself in the Congressional Directory. It may be inferred that as a stanch partisan of the State-rights doctrine and a veteran supporter of the Virginia receivings of 1798 he rather preferred to

stanch partisan of the State-rights doctrine and a veteran sup-porter of the Virginia resolutions of 1798 he rather preferred to be a volunteer aid to the Confederate Army of Tennessee than of the army under the direct control of Jefferson Davis. Not obtrusively aspiring to be a national leader, yet he was a leader, and often consulted by his friends and his party. While always courageously stiff in his opinions, these were usually found to be safely behind the political breastworks of the Democratic

Tennessee was the home of Senator Harris and also of John Bell, who in 1860 received the vote of Tennessee for the Presidency as the candidate of the so-called "South American" or Union party; but Tennessee would hardly have been induced to join the Southern Confederacy in 1861 solely because of the Re-

join the Southern Confederacy in 1861 solely because of the Republican election of Mr. Lincoln to the Presidency but for the potential influence of Governor Harris.

Near the close of the rebellion, having been a Confederate with many conspicuous proofs, he deemed it wise to leave the country, lest the exigencies of peace might prove more portentous than those of war, and at once departed for Mexico, taking with him, for safe-keeping—whatever Parson Brownlow may then have erroneously supposed—\$60,000 belonging to the State, but which was safely brought back by him and returned to the State treasury.

treasury.

After a brief sojourn in Mexico, he went to Great Britain, and there finally concluded, after all his recent experience, there was the cold home in Tennessee, and to that no place so dear to him as his old home in Tennessee, and to that he returned in 1867.

In 1877 Mr. Harris was elected to the United States Senate, where he was for twenty years a very prominent and useful member. As the chairman of the Committee on the District of Columbia, its various duties were discharged with ability and with nearly universal approval. He was long a valuable member of the Senate Committee on Finance, always in attendance at every meeting of the committee promptly on time, and bills submitted to him for examination and report were sure of early attention. He rarely made what are called prepared or set speeches; but, as long as health permitted, when the Senate was in session, he was here in daily attendance.

Senator Harris was a radical adherent to his party, and when he felt it as his sacred duty to denounce the measures of his political opponents, it was apparent from the unction of his accentua-In 1877 Mr. HARRIS was elected to the United States Senate,

ical opponents, it was apparent from the unction of his accentuation and his dramatic gesticulation that he was ready to strike, if not with the sword of the Lord, certainly with nothing less than the sword of Gideon.

Early becoming familiar with the parliamentary rules of the Senate, Senator Harris, as the President pro tempore or when invited to the chair by the presiding officer, discharged its duties not only with excellent ability and absolute impartiality, but with extreme brevity.

Socially he was not egotistical, and never by his conversation made a darling of himself. Even his age was long kept as a personal secret about which the public had no business to inquire.

For many years, in my absence, Senator HARRIS was my stand-

ing pair, and it was very scrupulously observed.

He was a frank, outspoken man, and did not hide his opinions by silence or by a forky tongue. His integrity appeared to me absolute and unimpeachable. Here he was respected as well as esteemed—certainly he was esteemed by me—and had I preceded

To the undiscovered country from whose bourne No traveler returns—

as perhaps from seniority of age might have been expected, I do not doubt he would have tendered a just and kind word in my behalf. But it was long ago written, "There shall be two men in the field; one shall be taken and one is left."

Mr. MORGAN. Mr. President, I first saw Isham G. Harris near Nashville, in Tennessee, when he was governor of that State and had been driven from his capital by the Federal Army under

General Buell. He came to the head of a column I was leading

in a reconnoissance under the orders of General Forrest.

He came as an aid-de-camp of that distinguished officer, bearing

orders for my execution.

In that brief interview I saw a characteristic display of the intrepidity of the man.

The action was perilous and the governor went into the fight with the dash and resolution that plainly revealed his character as a soldier who rejoiced on the field of battle.

This gallant soldier passed out of my observation into the high career of splendid service in which he won great distinction as the honored adviser of the eminent men who led the destiny of the Confederacy. No true soldier ever failed to recognize in him a

When that war had ended, the people of Tennessee conferred on him the highest honor in their gift by choosing him as a Senator of the United States. It was my fortune to meet Mr. Harris the second time on the floor of the Senate, as a Senator from Alabama, on March 4, 1877, and we took up the grave duties of that office on the same day, he representing my native State.

I had not seen him since the morning of our brief association on

the field of battle.

Henceforth our efforts were to be devoted to the service of the country in fields where peace harvests "her victories more renowned than war."

It is a cause for deep pride to me that in the twenty years of our service in the Senate we never differed on any great leading question of public policy that involved a question of the proper construction of the Constitution of the United States, for he was a true and wise man, whose matured opinions were carefully formed and were always presented with the emphasis of profound conviction and maintained with unfilinching courage.

No page in the appeals of the South is more beneared in the

No name in the annals of the South is more honored in the esteem of the people than that of ISHAM G. HARRIS as a statesman and wise legislator. His fidelity to every public trust became a standard in the minds of the people for the highest duty of an American Senator, and in the Senate that standard is recognized as being worthy of the best men of the best days of the Republic.

The history of this noble and genuine man can not be stated in a single address, nor, indeed, in any single statement of tongue or pen. There are treasured in the hearts of millions of people the legends of Isham G. Harris, that are kept fresh and green by the pulsations of gratitude. These are often repeated at the fireside and to listening groups of children as proofs of the qualities that they should prefer to all other attributes or accomplishments.

His life grew to full development in an atmosphere of immaculate honor until it became a noble structure to stand for all time as a high model of the typical Southern man. I feel intense pride in the privilege of referring to ISHAM G. HARRIS in this splendid attitude in which he is placed by the universal opinion of the Southern people. It is descriptive of their sentiments on all great questions and of their manner of dealing with matters of public concern with honest directness of purpose and action. concern with honest directness of purpose and action.

It is well for us and for our successors in the Senate that we have his record as a guide to correct and just action in the great questions that we must deal with in this great Forum.

In this hour of suspense and anxiety that clothes the whole body

of the people with a deep spirit of inquiry as to our duty to the honor of the Republic and a deeper resolve to protect and defend it to the uttermost, it would give me the most sincere satisfaction if we could invoke the advantage of his wisdom and his true and courageous sense of duty to advise the Senate as to its course, now pressing for determination.

His counsels would not be rash, but they would be just and courageous, and his advocacy of a righteous line of action would give to our resolution the confidence of worthy motives and assured success in a new and delicate line of duty to which we are impelled by the claims of humanity upon the heart of the great and magnanimous American people.

I can pronounce no higher eulogium upon the character of this great Senator than to voice the wish of the Senate, if it could be so that he could now be with up to advise up with his counsels.

so, that he could now be with us to advise us with his counsels.

It is in such moments that we miss great leaders and learn to value their worth to the country. No question was too high or too broad for the grasp of his intellect, and no matter engaged the attention of the Senate to which he refused to give his attention.

Mr. HOAR. Mr. President, the great career of Senator Harris is well known to his countrymen. He has been for more than a generation a striking and conspicuous figure in our public life. His colleague, his successor, the men of his own political faith, the people of the great State which he served and honored and loved so long, will, each in their own way, portray his character and record their esteem and affection.

My tribute must be that of a political opponent. So far as I have been able to exert any influence upon the history of my country during the long conflict now happily past, it has been in

opposition to him, to the party to which he belonged, to the opinions which he held, I am sure, quite as zealously and consci-

entiously as I hold my own.

We entered the Senate on the same day. He was a Southerner, a Democrat, and a Confederate. I was born and bred in New England, a Republican, and an Abolitionist. We rarely spoke in the same debate except on different sides. Yet I have no memory of him that is not tender and affectionate, and there is nothing that I can be supported and of the same debate except on the same debate except on the same debate. that I can honestly say of him except words of respect and of

He was a typical Southerner. He had the virtues and the foi-bles that belonged to that character in the generation the last of bles that belonged to that character in the generation the last of whom are now passing from the stage of public action. He was a man of very simple and very high qualities; he was a man of absolute frankness in public behavior and in private dealing. The thought that was in his heart corresponded absolutely with the utterance of his lips. He had nothing to conceal. I was about to say he was a man without the gift of diplomacy; but he was a man with the gift of the highest diplomacy—directness, simplicity, frankness, courage—qualities which make always their was to their mark and to their goal over all circumlocations and way to their mark and to their goal over all circumlocutions and ambiguities.

He was a man of brief, clear, and compact speech. He would sum up in a few vigorous and ringing sentences the argument to which other men would give hours or days. He had an instinct

for the hinge or turning point of a debate.

He was a man of absolute integrity and steadfastness. What he said, that he would do. Where you left him, there, so long as he said, that he would do. Where you left him, there, so long as he lived, you would find him when you came back. He was a man of unflinching courage. He was not afraid of any antagonist whether in the hall of debate or on the field of battle.

He was an acknowledged master of parliamentary law, a sys tem upon which not only the convenient procedure of legislative bodies largely depends, but which has close relations to constitutional liberty itself. How often a few simple and clear sentences of his have dispersed the clouds and brought order out of confusion in this Chamber.

His great legislative experience made him invaluable as a servant of his own State, of the country, and as a councilor to his

He was a pleasant man in private intercourse. He had great sense of humor, a gift of portraiture, a good memory. So he brought out of the treasure-house of his varied experience abun-dant matter for the delight of young and old. There is no man left in the Senate who was better company in hours of recreation.

His influence will be felt here for a long time. His striking figure will still seem to be hovering about the Senate Chamber,

still sitting, still deliberating, still debating.

Mr. President, it is delightful to think how, during the lives of the men who took part in the great conflict which preceded and followed the civil war and the greater conflict of the war itself, tollowed the civil war and the greater conflict of the war itself, the old bitterness and estrangements are all gone. Throughout the whole land the word "countryman" has at last become a title of endearment. The memory of the leaders of that great conflict is preserved as tenderly by the men who fought with them as by the men who followed them. Massachusetts joins with Tennessee in laying a wreath on the tomb of her great soldier, her great governor, her great Senator. He was faithful to truth as he saw it; to duty as he understood it; to constitutional liberty as he conceived it.

If, as some of us think, he erred, his error was that of a brave man ready to give life and health and hope to the unequal

struggle.

To his loved cause he offered, free from stain, Courage and faith; vain faith and courage vain.

And, Mr. President, when he returned to his allegiance, he offered to the service of his reunited country the same zeal and devotion he had given to the Confederacy. There was no reserved or half-hearted loyalty. We could have counted on his care for the honor and glory of the country, on his wise and brave counsel, in this hour of anxiety with an unquestioning confidence. So Massachusetts to-day presses the hand of Tennessee and mourns with her for her great citizen who has departed.

Mr. WALTHALL. Mr. President, when the people of a Congressional district, after a public servant has been tried and tested in other positions of responsibility, elect him two terms to Congress and offer him a third, it is but a just recognition of his

fidelity and usefulness by the beneficiaries of his service.

When later the people of his State, with the record of his public service before them, elect him their governor three times in succession, these added honors attest their appreciation of his growing capacities and prove his increased popularity and influ-

When the representatives of the same people, voicing their will, after a great war had intervened and their favorite citizen had rendered three years of military service in a conspicuous posi-leave me little to say beyond testifying my personal regard for

tion, at a trying time summon him from private life to accept the highest trust his State has the power to bestow, electing him four times consecutively, twice after he had passed the age of 70, to the Senate of the United States, then all has been done that the people of a single State can do to honor and glorify a public serv-

ant, whatever his merit and ability.

And when the same people who had thus honored him in life lament his death as a personal bereavement and a great public misfortune alike; when the State clothes herself in mourning and throughout all her borders the population, in vast assemblies, in church and court room and in the market place, everywhere, in public and in private, manifest their devotion and their grief; when Congressmen in both Houses and officials in all departments of the Government bear witness to his worth; when from no quarter of the Union comes a harsh word of criticism upon any feature of his eventful career—when we have seen all this, we feel that what came after death was but a natural sequence and fit complement to all that went before; but we wonder that a long career of active, positive, forceful, uncompromising leadership should be followed by such universal tributes of approval and respect. Yet these honors and these tributes were bestowed upon the late Senator Harris, living and dead, and how well they all were earned is known of all men familiar with the history of his life, which has been so admirably outlined to-day by the senior Senator from Tennessee, for many years his coworker and asso-

For a young man of limited means and with but a slender edu-cational groundwork to fit himself for the practice of a learned profession in the intervals of the employment by which he earns his living is but the story of many a brave-hearted American's early life. But when such a man makes his way from the starting point of a country merchant's store through other places of distinction up to the Senate of the United States and promptly becomes an acknowledged power here, and for twenty years holds high rank among the foremost members of the body, we must look elsewhere than to mere diligence and positive capacity, or to scheming, or to some accident or freak of fortune which sometimes thrusts mediocrity into temporary prominence, in searching

for the secret of such remarkable success.

From causes like these men without aptitude or merit may occupy high places for a time, but without aptitude or merit may occupy high places for a time, but without proven fitness for usefulness in some form, turned practically to some valuable account in which the public is directly concerned, a public man's hold upon the confidence of his own people and that of his associates in service can never long endure. When it lasts, as the late Senator Harris's did, through a half century marked by stirring and trying events and the shifting fortunes of other men, and as time advanced grew firmer and stronger, the secret must be found in some of those rare attributes which in such combination and de-

after cordial personal intercourse and intimate party association with him for many years, through which I closely observed his dealings with both men and measures, my belief is that force and faith were the powers which chiefly contributed to his achieve-

ments in life.

Force of intellect, supported by the force of a vigorous physical organism equal to any strain, mapped out his plans and purposes with steadiness and continuity; the force of a clear, sententious, and incisive style of argument urged them and impressed them, and force of character, will power that would not be thwarted and could not be subdued, impelled them with persistency and power to consummation and conclusion.

Faith in himself, in his own powers and purity of motive, gave him strength and independence and made him aggressive, persistent, and well-nigh irresistible in the pursuit of all his purposes. He had faith in our form of government, in the permanence of our institutions, in the masses of the people, and in their capacity to govern themselves; faith in his own construction of the Federal Constitution, from which he never swerved, and in his own ideals, which were exalted, of justice and duty, of manliness and honor.

which were exalted, or justice and duty, of manliness and honor. He was faithful to principle and to every trust, however great or small; to State, constituents, and friends, and to every obligation they imposed upon him. Stern, direct, incorruptible, and resolute, he encountered enmities and was sometimes a mark for slander; but the charge was never made that he failed to keep faith with any of his fellow-men.

If, as I believe, the faculties and sentiments I have attributed to our late associate belonged to him, we need search no further for the secret of his successes and his long career of usefulness and honor, for the results were no more than the causes deserved. If they did not, I have misconceived his character, although, with excelent opportunities, I have studied his life with interest and have much reason to lament his death sincerely.

him. I congratulate myself that what I had previously intended to say coincides so well with the observations of the Senator from

Massachusetts [Mr. Hoar].

Mr. Harris was a man of strong convictions—frank and brave in setting forth and defending them. He was always ready for combat, prompt in repartee, skillful in attack and defense. He compat, prompt in repartee, saintil in attack and detense. He sometimes struck heavily, but never with personal malice, and no man remembers him unkindly. He was a master of parliamentary law, and in his frequent occupancy of the chair he knew wall how to keep the true question before the Senate and how to

preserve order.

He was a gallant and chivalrous man, a foremost champion of what he desired to promote. His bearing is well remembered, but can not be described. He differed at one time from many of us on great issues, but none doubted his sincerity and courage

In private life he was a cordial, genial, hospitable, and typical gentleman of whatever school.

Tennessee honors herself in honoring his memory. The records its affection and respect, and will not forget him. The Senate

Mr. COCKRELL. Mr. President, ISHAM GREEN HARRIS, to whose imperishable memory the Senate of the United States pays this last memorial tribute, was born in Franklin County, Tennessee, on the 10th day of February, 1818, being the youngest of five sons and four daughters born to Isham Harris and his wife, Lucy Davidson Harris, and died in this city on the 8th day of

Lucy Davidson Harris, and died in this city on the 8th day of July, 1897, in the eightieth year of his age.

He was endewed with a strong, vigorous constitution and a clear, active, quickly-grasping intellect, and was reared amid rural scenes and healthful surroundings.

His education was limited, and only such as a newly-settled country afforded. He attended Winchester Academy, and at the early age of 14 years his untiring energy, indomitable will, independence, and self-reliance carried him away from home to engage in the active affairs of life.

He went to Paris, Tenn., and began his eventful career as a clerk in a mercantile establishment, and by his energy, intelligent devotion to his duties, and strict economy was in a few years enabled to engage in business on his own account in Ripley, Miss., and proved a successful merchant.

This avocation was not the goal of his aspirations and laudable

This avocation was not the goal of his aspirations and laudable This avocation was not the goal of his aspirations and laudable ambition. While successfully conducting his mercantile business he was studying law at night and preparing for a broader field of action. Having accumulated sufficient means to enable him for a time to devote his whole time to the study of his chosen profession, he returned to Paris, Tenn., in 1841 and began the practice of his profession in the office of an elder brother, an able and learned jurist. He applied himself to the study and practice of the law with his characteristic zeal, industrious application, and devotion, and quickly acquired a lucrative practice and pro-fessional reputation which attracted public notice seldom at-tained so early in life in his profession.

In 1846 he was elected as a Democrat from his senatorial district

to the general assembly of his State, and declined a reelection. In 1848 he was chosen a candidate for Presidential elector in his Congressional district, and in the canvass displayed an ability for popular debate which secured for him the respect, admiration, and confidence of the people, which were never betrayed nor shaken in all the long years of his public career. In 1849 he was nominated by a Democratic convention as a can-

didate to represent his Congressional district in Congress, and was

elected by a majority greater than that of his party

In 1851 he was renominated and reelected, and in 1853 was renominated, but declined to accept.

He then removed to Memphis, Tenn., and resumed the practice of law, and at once took rank with the leading lawyers and secured

of law, and at once took rank with the leading lawyers and secured a lucrative share of the legal business.

In 1856 he was Presidential elector for the State at large on the Democratic ticket. His competitor in this canvass was the distinguished Whig, ex-Governor Neil S. Brown, a worthy foeman in public debate. In 1857 he was nominated and elected governor of his State; was reelected in 1859, and again in 1861.

His position in public affairs was never equivocal. His position in public affairs was never equivocal. As governor of the State and commander in chief of her military forces from 1861 to the close of the war, he did all that was in his power to secure the success of the Confederacy by organizing his State troops, going with them into camp and battle, and remained with the army to the close of the war, and served on the staff of the successive generals commanding the Army of Tennessee. He was at his post of duty and danger through heat and cold, rain and sweeking in command or het lefeld in advance and retreat

was at this post of duty and danger through near and cold, rain and sunshine, in camp and on battlefield, in advance and retreat, in victory and defeat.

When the war closed, on account of the surviving passions and fierce prejudices aroused by the severe conflicts in Tennessee, he went to Mexico and remained there for eighteen months; and then went to Liverpool, England, and engaged successfully in mercan-

tile business for one year, and then returned to Memphis and resumed the practice of law, and closely applied himself for ten

In 1877 he was elected a Senator from the State of Tennessee in the Senate of the United States; was reelected in 1883 and in 1889, and in 1895 for the term ending March 3, 1901.

By this brief sketch of his illustrious life we may profit, as well

as the young men of this and coming generations of our great country, to whom we present him as an example, not to deter, but to follow. From his fourteenth year of age to his eightieth— sixty-six years—he was in active life; not a drone, but emphatically

a busy bee, neither losing nor wasting time.

In whatever avocation or position he engaged or accepted, he was preeminently successful and useful. To the discharge of all the duties and obligations of his avocation or position, however humble or exalted, he devoted his whole time, energy, attention,

and abilities closely, industriously, and intelligently.

He was truly the "architect of his own fortune." His example proves that success can be achieved by great labor, and that life gives nothing worthy of a noble manhood without such labor. gives nothing worthy of a noble manhood without such labor. As a State senator in the general assembly of his native State he was laborious, useful, and ranked among its ablest members, and acquitted himself to the entire satisfaction of his constituency, and was tendered and declined a renomination. As a Representative in the Congress of the United States, although one of its youngest members, he quickly won a high position as a practical legislator, a sound lawyer, and an able debater, and so acceptably to his constituency discharged the duties that he was renominated and elected and tendered a third nomination, which nominated and elected and tendered a third nomination, which he declined.

He was three times nominated and elected governor of his State, which fact attests his fidelity and efficiency. As a Senator, for punctuality and promptness in his attendance upon the sessions of the Senate and the meetings of the many committees of which he was a member he had few equals and no superiors. From his en-trance to the close of his life he was continuously a member of the District of Columbia Committee and chairman when his party was in control. He was a member of the Committee on Finance during the Forty-ninth to the Fifty-fifth Congress, and served during the Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth Congresses on the Committee on Claims, and was most useful and laborious.

He served as a member of the Committee on Rules during the Forty-eighth to the Fifty-fifth Congress. He was a member of many other committees and gave close attention to his duties on

When his party was in control he was unanimously chosen President pro tempore. As a parliamentarian he had no superior in the Senate, and presided with dignity, marked courtesy, and un-

questioned impartiality.

Of the 76 members of the Senate when he entered upon his duties on March 4, 1877, the Forty-fifth Congress, only 6 Senators are

now members.

During his entire service in this Chamber it was my privilege to be on terms of the closest intimacy and warmest friendship with him. I respected, honored, and loved him for his nobleness of character, his sound judgment, his wise and judicious counsel, and his unquestionable integrity.

Without any disparagement or reflection upon the valuable services of any other members of this Senate, during his long serv-

ice here I can frankly and truthfully say that in my judgment he had no superior in discharging the varied duties, responsibilities,

and obligations devolving upon Senators.

Few men in public life have had intrusted to them the duties and responsibilities, the trusts and the honors assigned to him by the good people of his State. At all times and under all circum-

the good people of his State. At all times and under all circumstances he fully met the expectations of his constituents, and to the last enjoyed their respect, confidence, and admiration.

In this Chamber we have lost an able, earnest, efficient, incorruptible, and wise Senator. Full of years, full of honors, he has gone, to return no more, leaving to his family, his State, and his country a character, a record, an example worthy of the emulation

Mr. STEWART. Mr. President, the character and career of Senator Harris inspire the strongest faith that can possibly be afforded in the perpetuity of our institutions. Rising, as he did, from the ranks of the people by his own unaided exertions, and accomplishing the great results which he did during a long and eventful life, he presents to all young men the great possibilities for advancement which exist in this free country. In no other country on this globe and in no other age could such a career be possible possible.

When we behold the great and good men which this country has produced and continues to produce, when we find men on all occasions equal to the great emergencies which are presented, no matter how overwhelming they may appear, we feel more and more confidence in the future of our country, for we know that these examples will inspire those who come after us as the examples of the great men who have preceded us have inspired this

generation.

Senator HARRIS was a remarkable man. He had a grasp of intellect which condensed volumes into sentences. He had a fidelity to truth which never allowed him to swerve or go back from it. He remained throughout his long and illustrious life in touch with the people amongst whom he had lived and with whom he

with the people amongst whom he had lived and with whom he had always the warmest sympathy. It was manifest to those who associated with him that in all he did his inspiration was a desire to benefit and serve the people of the United States.

It is not strange that such a sentiment on his part was appreciated by the people of his State and all who had the honor of knowing him. That, sir, was the secret of the confidence which was reposed in him. His confidence in and his reliance on the people inspired them with confidence in return, and so they trusted and honored him. He has furnished an example which gladdens the hearts of all who love their country and who desire to improve the condition of the people

condition of the people.

Mr. CHILTON. Mr. President, while I did not know Senator HARRIS with the intimacy of long personal association, I have since a boy been familiar with his writings, speeches, and public conduct.

The State in which I live has been supplied abundantly from the great State of Tennessee. Many of our best citizens emigrated to Texas from that Commonwealth; and I have noticed that they

all seem to know and to love Isham G. Harris.

So when I first came to the Senate for a short term by appointment of the governor, more than six years ago, I felt that curiosity about Senator Harris which always animates younger men to know the actors in great events, sharpened by the recollection of stories told concerning his achievements by those who had long been his personal friends.

When I first saw him, in 1891, he was well-ripened and proba-

bly at his best.

I have often watched him, in the cloakroom, in his Senatorial seat, in the chair of the presiding officer, and he always seemed the same. I do not remember ever to have heard him laugh aloud. There was the twinkle in the eye, the manifest enjoyment in the general merriment, but he never appeared to "turn himself loose,"
I picture him as he would come into the Senate Chamber. There,

in his familiar place on the right of the Vice-President, in the front row, he would take his seat. He hardly seems to say anything as if by previous design. He seems never to make an occasion, but to find it in the current proceedings as set on foot by others. He seems to spy out that something is taking an irregular direction and that he must set it right. He first asks a question or calls for the reading of some decument as if he inner tion or calls for the reading of some document, as if he imperfectly understood it. Then he proceeds to clear up all doubts. First emphasis, then gesticulation—no, not in succession, but an indescribable combination of emphasis and gesticulation.

Attention has often been called to his absolute primacy in the

Senate on all questions relating to parliamentary law. Up to the very hour of his last appearance here he was so clear and so mag-

isterial that he never lost his authority in that field.

As has been stated, his service in Congress began in the House of Representatives at the session which convened in December, 1849, and in that, his first session of service, he exhibited that pe culiar interest in questions of legislative practice which marked his long Senatorial career, for the reporter makes the following observation touching the proceedings of a particular day:

Some conversation followed on points of order, in which Messrs. Harris of Tennessee, White, Disney, Rumsey, Wentworth, and the Speaker partic-

During his four years in the House I find that he made only one set speech. The Wilmot proviso, with all its exciting incidents, was then the subject of consideration. In that speech we find the same principles, the same habits of thought and manner which marked his life fifty years afterwards. There was brevity, for though the contest was prolonged and the temptation to digress great, he spoke but an hour. There was the strict construction of the Constitution, for he dwelt on the rule that Congress possesses no powers except those expressly delegated by the Constitution or no powers except those expressly delegated by the Constitution or necessary to the exercise of some expressly delegated power; and he, who rarely ever quoted, repeats in that speech the words of another great American in protest against those "vagrant, wandering powers that find no congenial spot on which to rest upon the broad face of the Constitution of the country."

This was his chart of political action in every place of duty.

He followed it after leaving Congress in 1853, and it governed his action during all those stirring years which led up to the civil war.

Perhaps the most eventful part of the life of Senator Harris was that which related to the great organization of secession.

The governors of the Southern States in 1861 were almost without expention men of southern States in a solitive. The most

remarkable of these governors were Brown of Georgia, Letcher of Virginia, Sam Houston of Texas, and HARRIS of Tennessee

In the difficulties of their surroundings and in vigor of intelectual comprehension, the Texas and Tennessee governors stand

highest among this group.

Sam Houston was a strong Union man. The whole secession movement was resisted by him, but, notwithstanding his extraordinary power in Texas, he found himself gradually submerged by a rising wave of public sentiment which finally reached the velocity of a torrent, drove him out of the governor's chair, and took the State out of the Union. There was the spectacle of a man who had been strong in the affections of his State overridden by an excited and determined people, and unable, with all his popularity and influence, to make the slightest headway. He stood almost alone, a Unionist and a conservative, in the midst of organized,

indignant, irreconcilable revolution.

The situation of Governor Harris in Tennessee was quite a different one. He sympathized with secession, he wanted to take his State out of the Union, and he used his powers and his influence to accomplish the very result which Sam Houston had endeavored to obstruct in Texas. His task was not like that which fell to the hands of the governors in States like South Carolina, Alabama, Mississippi, and Louisiana, where both the people and the executive, with common impulse, hailed the banner of a new confederation. On the contrary, a powerful section of the Tennessee people, led by Andrew Johnson, a great Senator belonging to the same party, confronted Governor Harris in his policy of secession.

We need not dwell upon the details of that struggle, but it is enough to say that the courage, tenacity, and generalship of Harris prevailed against the combined efforts of Whig and Democratic Union leaders in Tennessee and added that State to the number of those which constituted the Southern Confederacy.

ISHAM G. HARRIS was one of the few public men of whom the people never seemed to tire.

Ordinarily popularity is fleeting. The remarkable changes which come over the House and the Senate in the course of a single decade attest the instability of official tenure; but a few men seem proof against all disfavor; if they are ever criticised their critics are forgotten; if condemned for a vote they are forgiven. To carry opposition to the point of actually defeating their reelection would be considered at home a sort of high treason. So strong is the general confidence in their high purpose and right judgment that it always prevails over minor difficulties when election day rolls around.

Senator Harris was one of these rare characters. He was the

hero not only of Tennessee but of Tennesseeans scattered throughout the Union. He grew, in their estimation, to be a sort of lineal successor to Andrew Jackson. His name and life and peculiarities always touched their enthusiasm.

To me the most impressive thing in his strong individuality was his willingness always to take responsibility and his absolute unconcern about results—that cheerful faith that the right will take care of itself and that there need be no anxiety on the part of

a public man except the anxiety to be right.

I have seen men whom God had blessed with conscience and courage, but not with equanimity, so that, knowing the truth and voting the truth, they were still nervous that they should not be misunderstood and fidgeting about consequences which they were determined to face.

determined to face.

Not so with Senator Harris. He seemed to think that a man who acted truly upon his convictions of right held an absolute insurance policy against all disaster at the hands of the people.

What a great life may be worked out on that sort of logic. You

may put a small man in Congress, and if he looks at every ques-tion as it arises with a heart single and an eye single to finding out the right, in a few years such a dignity will be given to his apparent mediocrity that he will gradually emerge above the level of his fellows and assume a consideration in the country which will make men wonder at the secret of his rise.

If men of moderate mind can be thus lifted by the practice of

simple straightforwardness, how splendid becomes the principle when it acts on a man of native intellectual power and force of character? This was the combination in the case of Isham G. Harris. He was always clear, always firm, always true, always

Mr. TURLEY. Mr. President, for more than fifty years the name of Isham G. Harris has been a household word and a tower of strength in the State of Tennessee. Probably no other man in the history of the State has exercised so potent an influence upon its fortunes and its destiny. Once he served it in the legislature, twice as Presidential elector, twice in the House of Representatives, three times as governor, for four years in war, and four times in the Senate of the United States. Every honor that the people of The governors of the Southern States in 1861 were almost with-out exception men of strong character and ability. The most may have served her longer in particular departments, but no other one has served her in so many ways or so long and so faithfully as

His power and influence in his native State may be illustrated by the political change brought about by him in 1856. From 1832, the year in which Andrew Jackson was elected to his second term, to 1856, when James Buchanan was the Democratic candidate—a period of twenty-four years—the State of Tennessee had been a Whig stronghold. In 1856 ISHAM G. HARRIS was an elector at large on the Buchanan ticket. The Whigs selected ex-Governor Neil S. Brown to uphold the principles of their party. The canvass made by those great sons of Tennessee is historic in our State. In the judgment of a people who had been accustomed to listen to such men as Grundy, Gentry, Andrew Johnson, Cave Johnson, Polk, Jones, and others of that class, it was pronounced the most remarkable and profound discussion of great political questions which had ever occurred in the State, and all felt that for years it would settle and control the political character and policy of Tennessee.

Governor Brown was a man of great intellect and matchless owers of oratory. He was a man of winning and popular manpowers of oratory. He was a man of winning and popular manners; and he had behind him a compact, powerful party, flushed with a quarter of a century of continuous victory. But nothing could resist the earnestness, the force and power of Isham G. Harris. The campaign was a death blow to the Whig party, and from that time forth Tennessee has been a Democratic State.

Senator Harris was one of those rare men who seemed fitted physically, mentally, and morally for every phase and condition, every changing emergency of life.

every changing emergency of life.

His appearance was pleasing and impressive. Above the middle height, his figure was well proportioned and compact. His eyes were piercing and full of intelligence. His features were strong and framed to express and portray every feeling and sentiment of his mind and soul. With an iron constitution, which defied fatigue and disease, he possessed a vitality which seemed in a property of the constitution of the inexhaustible.

No one faculty of his mind was unduly developed, but each was fitted for its special functions, and all went to make up a well-rounded, perfect intellect. While he was a man of action rather than of books, yet his information was varied and accurate. He never entered upon the examination of any subject without exhausting all the means of information at his command. Men and

affairs he studied well and accurately.

He was both passionate and impulsive; but his impulses were high and honorable, and his fiery passions were controlled by his indomitable will and his strict sense of justice. He was fluent and brilliant in conversation; courteous and gallant in bearing and demeanor. Possessed of an undaunted courage that knew not fear, he had at the same time as kind and sympathetic a heart as ever beat in human bosom. His life was one long series of kind deeds and concealed charities. He was the genius of force-ful action, of industry and work. He never tired.

Of his rugged honesty and his unspotted honor I need not speak. They are known of all men. He was ambitious of fame, character, distinction, and achievements; and, while he was aggressive and impatient of opposition, yet no man was ever more thoughtful

and considerate of the rights and feelings of others.

There have been greater orators than Isham G. Harris, but few greater debaters; men more learned in books and theories, but few better versed in all the practical affairs of life. There have been lawyers more distinguished, statesmen more renowned, men better equipped in special fields and for particular work, but it is hard to conceive, take him all in all, of a more forceful and effi-cient man, a man better qualified to impress himself upon his life

we can see this from his long, adventurous, and remarkable career. A penniless youth, he became a successful merchant before he was 21; a lawyer of prominence and distinction before he was 25; a member of the legislature before he was 30; a member of the House of Representatives at 31; governor of his State at 39; an exile from his country at 47; reduced again to poverty before he was 50, he became once more a merchant, and then a lawyer, and finally a Senator in the Congress of the United States—equally great, forceful, and self-reliant under all these conditions and in

great, forceful, and self-reliant under all these conditions and in all these places and positions.

The universality, if I may so call it, of his character and mind especially marked him as a lawyer. He was equally strong and vigorous in every branch of his profession. His practice embraced all the courts. Those who were thrown with him could scarcely tell when he appeared at his best. Sometimes it seemed in the heat and fire of a great criminal trial, when the life of a client hung on the issue, and again when he was bringing the strength of his intellect to the elucidation of some intricate principle before a learned chancellor or the highest tribunal of his State. State.

No lawyer in Tennessee ever had greater power and influence on its courts and juries, and I may add here that no client who had a just cause was ever turned away by him because he was

unable to bring with him a fee. His services were always open to the poor and distressed without fee and without price.

He could and would go to any just length in behalf of what he

He could and would go to any just length in behalf of what he believed to be right, but at the same time he was practical and conservative. This latter phase of his character is shown by his conduct after his return to Tennessee from his exile in Mexico and England. The South was in the throes of the reconstruction period; negro suffrage had just been established; passion ran riot; and the feeling of hostility against the General Government was, if possible, more intense than during the time of flagrant war. All eyes were at once turned toward him. By his example, by his conduct, and by his advice he counseled moderation and a by his conduct, and by his advice he counseled moderation and a dignified acquiescence in the new order of things-the inevitable. And no man in Tennessee or in the South did more to bring about that era of good feeling which now exists between the two sections and to revive in the Southern heart that sense of loyalty to and patriotism for our common country which had been stifled by the fierce strife of civil war.

His fairness, his justice, his frank, outspoken, upright character are evidenced by the strong hold he always had on his political opponents. In his hottest political battles he commanded the respect, the esteem, the admiration of those with whom he con-

His long career in this body is a part of the history of our coun-Of his services here others are better qualified to speak than am I.

I can not recall the time when I did not know him. His older sons were my schoolmates and friends. I was raised to respect him as the greatest of living Tennesseeans. From my earliest manhood up to the time of his death our relations were most intimate and confidential. I can say of him what Judge Haywood. the early historian of our State, said of Gen. James Robertson, one of its noblest pioneers:

He was a man who by his actions merited all the eulogium, esteem, and affection which the most ardent of his countrymen have ever bestowed upon him. Like almost all those in America who have attained eminent celebrity, he had not a noble lineage to boast of nor the escutcheoned armorials of a splendid ancestry; but he had what was far more valuable, a sound mind, a healthy constitution, a robustframe, a love of virtue, an intrepid soul, and an emulous desire for honest fame.

Mr. BATE. I ask that the speech made by the Senator from Indiana [Mr. Turpie] on the occasion of the memorial at Memphis, Tenn., the home of Senator Harris, as a representative of the Senate, having been selected by the committee, be printed in connection with the proceedings here to-day. He made a speech, and one would know what it was from the man—a very able effort and one would know what it was from the man—a very able choose and especially an analysis of Mr. Harris as a Senator. It was brilliant, beautiful, logical, and all that can be said about it. The VICE-PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the request of the Senator from Tennessee? The Chair hears none, and it is so

ordered.

SPEECH OF HON. DAVID TURPIE AT MEMPHIS, TENN.

In the midst of the dearth and dryness of mind, the mere inertia and indifference that have so much beset our age, save upon the subject as to how riches may be gotten and profits may accrue, it is a goodly relief as well as a wholesome solace to recall the memory of one who chose and cherished an ideal, a standard of life more noble than this—one who devoted his labor, his attention, his time, his great abilities, with incessant diligence, with unfaltering fidelity, to the public service of the people.

ISHAM G. HARRIS, President of the Senate, for more than twenty

years a member of that body, was not born in the purple. He was no favored son of wealth or fortune. The circumstances of his early years were undistinguished by any prestige of superior advantage or opportunity. His education was that of the common school and the academy. For the rest he was well taught, being self-taught. He did not disparage the learning or knowledge of the schools, but he gathered wisdom yet more abundantly. Nature had so righly endowed him for the whole course of the Nature had so richly endowed him for the whole course of the journey of life that he needed not to tarry long by the way for

other assistance. He was a man of manifold gifts, and so truly many-sided that it would be presumption in anyone to attempt to describe him except under those aspects in which he came under his observation. In the later years of his Senatorial service he was very often engaged in the discharge of the duties of the Chair. He presided with much dignity, with equal impartiality and decision. His long experience with legislative bodies, his thorough acquaintance with the rules of the Senate, and his thoughtful study of the general principles of parliamentary law had qualified him in the general principles of parliamentary law had qualified him in the most eminent manner for the position to which he had been elected by the free choice of his colleagues. It was well worth a journey to Washington to see him in the chair in a full Senate at some period of lively colloquy or exciting debate.

Order reigned first in silence save as to the member who was

speaking in his place. And this condition was unbroken, undisturbed, continuous; yet as President of the Senate he seldom used

the gavel. The face, the figure, the whole demeanor was such as to require and enforce respect and attention. Questions of recognition were instantly decided. Interrupting messages from the House or from the President were smoothly announced and dispatched with all due celerity and the regular course of discussion was resumed. If a knot or tangle, perchance, occurred in the day's proceedings, it was untied by an explanation from the Chair so succinct in statement, so clear in its tenor and effect, so absolutely fair and candid in its purpose, that all acquiesced therein. Sometimes near the close of a long and tedious sitting a question of the successful of the s

tion of order was raised which required a review of the former proceedings of the Senate for the whole day, or perhaps longer. This review, in his terms and language, was a plain, clear narration of events in the precise order of their occurrence; no step was omitted; nothing forgotten. Every amendment and modification was noticed and the time of its offer. Even the motions that failed—the unsuccessful motion to adjourn, to refer, or to that failed—the unsuccessful motion to adjourn, to refer, or to reconsider—were not overlooked. His opinion was an oral transcript of the record during every hour up to the moment when the question in argument arose. It was delivered without note or memoranda, and then followed the final ruling. Such a passage in parliamentary procedure showed beyond question the most retained to the procedure of the control of the procedure of the proce tentive power of memory, intellectual acumen and discernment, an accurate knowledge of precedent and practice, a comprehensive grasp of the present and actual condition of affairs so much required in the Presidency of the leading parliamentary body of the

Now and then a new Senator, unacquainted with the rules, would take the floor with an impossible motion, one out of time, out of place, contrary to rule. Such an occasion afforded an opportunity for a study of the manner of the President worthy the closest observation. With the shadow of a smile, almost suppressed, upon his face, the Chair, having heard the motion, stated that in his origina the same early not just then he entertained that in his opinion the same could not just then be entertained, always in such an instance giving the reasons for his action, briefly, but firmly, and accompanying the ruling with a suggestion to the honorable Senator of another way in which he might probably accomplish his purpose. This was done not after the fashion of a master toward his pupil, but rather in the tone and manner of civility with which a gentleman engaged in conversation with another upon a topic of some moment, in which both were interested, would remind his friend of a circumstance which he knew quite well, but had for the moment forgotten. Yet he could administer a relyake when reproof was necessary in the most that in his opinion the same could not just then be entertained, could administer a rebuke when reproof was necessary in the most courtly phrase and with great severity, but the occasion of this exercise of discipline must have been plain, apparent, salient. It must have been some action of the offender in violation of the ordinary rules of decorum and propriety so marked as to overcome, for the time being, the natural kindness of heart and the habitual suavity of the presiding officer. For no man ever participated in the deliberations of a legislative assembly who had a more participated in the deliberations of a legislative assembly who had a more particular regard or a more considerate deference for the rights, the opinions, the feelings, and sensibilities of others.

His excellence as a presiding officer, his studies and research in the annals of Congress and in the history of precedents in free representative assemblies, caused him for many years to be consulted as an arbiter upon these subjects. It was no uncommon thing for him to be called upon in the open Senate by Senators of either side, sometimes by the Vice-President or other occupant of the chair, to deliver from his seat an opinion in regard to some disputed question of order which was at the time pending. When he spoke thus in response to an inquiry from his seat, there was quite a difference in his tone and conduct from that

which accompanied his utterances when in the chair-a difference easily observed by one accustomed to note his manner. It was seldom expressed in words, always implied by the most courteous but constant intimation. It seemed the result or effect of the change in his position. There was in both instances the same lucid statement of the point in difference, the same temperate, careful, and thoroughly rational discussion of the diverse sides of the controversy, followed by his own conclusion and the course of argument which led him thereto. But in the chair he spoke always as one having authority, an authority derived from the Constitution, vested in him as such by the suffrages of his fellow-

When he spoke from his seat upon like questions, his demeanor, his language, and action were no longer those of command, but of advice, of counsel. He was now the elder brother in consultation with his peers. In every mode of implication, by the tone and rhythm of the voice, by gesture, always significant and picturesque, it was made apparent that another now occupied the chair, upon whom devolved the duty of deciding, and those who had honored him by asking his views of the case were alike responsible-answerable for the action which the Senate might take, not at all bound by any judgment of his any further than reason might show its propriety.

This delicacy of adjustment to change of position was one of the

most singular characteristics of his whole course. I do not sup-

pose that he was at all conscious of it, or that it was in any wise premeditated. It was in the nature of the man. He was one of those lofty spirits who could afford not only to recognize but to defer to his associates, having such rare and absolute toleration for the freedom of speech and opinions that he declined, in such case, to dictate to others, as he would have spurned dictation to himself.

Too much praise can hardly be given him for the sedulous care, the uninterrupted regularity, with which he performed the duties of his office and of his position as a Senator. He recognized in the most practical way the several obligations which were due from him to the country at large, to his own beloved State, to the Senate, to the committees of that body, to his colleagues in both senate, to the committees of that body, to his colleagues in both branches of the Congress, to his very numerous correspondents in all parts of the country. He seemed to have made an allotment of his time to each of these acknowledged claimants, and with re-spect to an engagement concerning his official action he was of all men the most precise in terms and the most punctual in performance. This regular performance of daily duty had become with him habitual—as manifest in the last days of his active service as

in the beginning.

He participated very often in the debates of the Senate, but he seldom spoke at much length, insomuch that those who heard him wished most heartily, not that he had said more—for few could say as much in less compass—but that he had spoken longer. was very forcible in colloquy, rapid and keen in retort, very able in reply. When he addressed the Senate at greater length, upon some measure of national or general concern, he used great care

some measure of national or general concern, he used great care both in preparation and delivery.

Fact followed fact, statement succeeded statement, argument, with the reasoning in its support, was presented in the most perfect symmetry and order. If a good style has to be defined, as it has been by a high authority, "as the use of proper words in proper places," he had a style most excellent. His voice was a full tenor, clear, musical, resonant; the sound of it lingered in the ear after the words had ceased. To this was added a certain demeanor of the hadronly vertically described in the term resonance into the of the body only partially described in the term gesture, since the whole person seemed to be informed with the spirit of his utterances, and when he kindled into enthusiasm, as he sometimes did,

the effect was in the highest degree impressive.

He was by nature an admirable actor, without the slightest trace of art or affectation; yet, in the ordinary course of events, when he rose and addressed the Chair, although something was always said, it was more especially looked for that something was to be done. His executive force, tact, and discretion were well known as highly exercised as the best if the exercise of the control of t well known and highly appreciated, so that it frequently occurred that he was designated by unanimous consent to assume the parliamentary management and conduct of the most important meas-This took place with reference to the revenue bill of 1894. After its introduction and second reading, and toward the close of the general debate, he was selected to take charge of its further

The measure was at that time yet in most perilous case. It was threatened with a deluge of adverse amendments, it was encoun-tered by the sharpest and most capable opposition, it was endan-gered by the cold indifference of some of those who had voted in support of it, but the Senator from Tennessee did not decline the task thus given him. He was even then well stricken in years, but he was a friend of this measure. He and many others of his side earnestly desired its passage. Under these conditions his eyes were not dim, nor was his natural force abated. Through the prolonged hours of that laborious session, day and night, whenever the Senate convened, during the repeated periods of delay, obstruction, and postponement, he was always in his seat or in his place on the floor.

If any had grown weary, he was always on the alert; if some were even inclined to slumber, he was always awake upon the watch. Those present he encouraged, the absent he chided—he chided, but he sent for them. Message after message, written and verbal, with his compliments, with his regards, with assurances of his highest consideration, only they must come—the absentees must attend. It was hard to decline an invitation from Senator HARRIS. It was usually more effective than a summons from the Sergeant-at-Arms.

One of the most remarkable of his varied accomplishments was that of felicitous importunity, an importunity full of ease and elegance, not discouraged by refusal, biding time in courteous patience, not to be gotten rid of either as to the man or his subject. The eye, the touch, the tone of wistful entreaty, stirred the living, and would, were that possible, have raised the dead into action in behalf of the cause for which he pleaded. The act of 1894 could hardly have failed with such an advocate. He had announced soon after taking charge of the bill that it was possible to page it. What was possible was accomplished. The mean ble to pass it. What was possible was accomplished. The measure became the law of the land; and this result was largely due to his parliamentary tact and judgment—his patient, persistent, unwearied assiduity.

And again, at a later period in his life, when the weight of

years must have pressed still more heavily upon his power of endurance, he took upon himself, at the request of those near him, the labor and duty of organizing and uniting those inside of his own political household in favor of the policy of bimetallism—a policy which he always declared involved neither change nor innovation, but was as to our law restoration, as to silver itself restitution, and a safe return to the ancient, long-tried, and well-established usage and practice of our fathers. With what success he prosecuted and completed his portion of this great design the official record of the late national convention specially discloses, and we all are witnesses.

It must have seemed even to his ardent zeal in the beginning a work of mountainous difficulty and of much uncertainty in the event. It involved a correspondence under his direction and supervision with persons residing in every State and Territory and Congressional district of the United States. It required a daily comparison of part with part, a summary of very numerous details, sudden and constant resort to the best method of answer and reply, information wide and accurate, with ceaseless vigilance

and reply, information who and accurate, with ceaseless vigilance and circumspection to the close. Such were for many months his labors, worthy of the man and of the cause.

Those versed in modern mechanism and invention have furnished with a phrase now become quite familiar—"applied science."

Called upon to designate in the briefest terms the controlling,

Called upon to designate in the briefest terms the controlling, guiding principle of a career so greatly prolonged, so highly distinguished, we might justly name it "applied common sense" in its broadest significance and in the most active development.

Action was a necessity of his being. He was as prone to take the initiative, and to keep it, as the sparks to fly upward. Some one, now many years ago, in Washington, spoke to him once about accepting a position upon the Federal bench. "No," he said, "I desire no such position. I do not wish to be tied up like a log in a raft with nothing to do but to float or to drift at the end of a line. My boat must be in the moving current. I must

a log in a raft with nothing to do but to float or to drift at the end of a line. My boat must be in the moving current. I must feel the gale; should it come, I must ride out the storm, if I have nothing left but the rudder in my hand."

This readiness to participate actively in affairs was not exercised without care or caution. He was neither rash, reckless, nor indifferent to consequences. His vision, his view of men and events, was clear. He was subject to no delusions. He sometimes failed, as men must who will act while others wait, but he was of valiant heart, strenuous will, and of that fertility in resource which either eluded or defied disaster. Failure, with him, was no finality—rather a cause and occasion for further endeavor.

His life was so crowded with action that it is not known that he

His life was so crowded with action that it is not known that he had the leisure, even if he had the inclination, to have left behind him a single line of personal history or reminiscence. Moreover, he was a man of strong attachments; in friendship, earnest and sincere. How he loved Memphis, the city of his home and residence! He delighted to speak of its thrift and progress, of its harbor and landings, of its public buildings and other improvements, all of which had felt the fostering hand of his care and solicitude. How inseparably his name is connected with the magnificent transit-way of commerce and travel which, hard by, spans the broad current of the Father of Waters. Often he spoke of his State, always in the language of the warmest affection—his native State, whose great and generous constituencies had so long and so bounteously given him their support and confidence.

The faculty of almost instant adaptation of himself to circumstances of whatever exigency, his manner of molding men to his side and way, his steady advance against insurmountable obstacles, his survival of the rudest shocks of adverse fortune were as mani-

fest throughout his whole course as they are indescribable.

Witness his departure to Mexico at the conclusion of the great witness his departure to mexico at the conclusion of the great civil war, his adventurous sojourn within the domain of that Re-public, his voyage to England, for he crossed the ocean not in quest of ease, but of fresh fields of new enterprise; his return to Ten-nessee and to this city, the reentry upon the business of his pro-fession, his continuous and very successful practice in the courts, the canvass for his first election to the Senate, his successive reelections to that position—these are testimonials written at large to the genius, character, and conduct of one destined to so conspicuous a career.

Last scene of all-his death at the capital-at his place and post of duty, the obsequies in the Senate Chamber, the funeral cortége thence bearing his remains to their final resting place, a whole city in mourning to receive them, a State—the whole sisterhood of States—in sorrowing sympathy with you for a loss so irreparable, commemorating with you, my hearers, also to-day the demise of a great statesman whose course, with all its vicissitudes, has been in the end so grandly crowned with years, with honors, and with the just fame which follows a life so useful and beneficent.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The ceremonies having been concluded, by virtue of the last of the series of resolutions heretofore adopted the Senate stands adjourned.

The Senate accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 20 minutes p. m.) adjourned until Monday, March 28, 1898, at 12 o'clock meridian.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

THURSDAY, March 24, 1898.

The House met at 12 o'clock m. Prayer by the Chaplain, Rev. HENRY N. COUDEN.

The Journal of yesterday's proceedings was read and approved.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Mr. Speaker, I move that the
House resolve itself into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the naval establishment for the next fiscal year.

STATISTICS OF LAKE COMMERCE.

Mr. PERKINS. I ask the gentleman to yield to me to make a

report.
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I yield to the gentleman to make

Mr. PERKINS. The Committee on Printing makes the follow-

ing report, and asks its immediate consideration.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Iowa submits a report from the Committee on Printing, for immediate consideration. The Clerk read as follows:

Concurrent resolution No. 23.

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That there shall be printed by the Public Printer 10,000 copies of House Document No. 277. Fifty-fifth Congress, second session, entitled Statistics of Lake Commerce, 4,000 of which shall be for the use of the Treasury Department.

Mr. PERKINS. There is an amendment to the resolution. The Clerk read as follows:

Strike out all after the word "Commerce," in line 5, and add: "4,000 copies for the use of the House, 2,000 copies for the use of the Senate, and 4,000 copies for the use of the Treasury Department."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?
Mr. BAILEY. I would like to know, if the amendment is agreed to, how the resolution would read?
Mr. PERKINS. It makes another division of the number of

Mr. BAILEY. Well, but you strike out a few words. I under-

stood the Clerk to read—
The SPEAKER. A change of number.
Mr. BAILEY. I understand; but I thought the Clerk read strike out the two words, "vital statistics."
The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The amendment was again reported.

The amendment was again reported.

Mr. BAILEY. Now, what I asked was that the Clerk report the resolution as it would read when amended.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk has so reported the resolution.

Mr. PERKINS. I can tell the gentleman.

Mr. BAILEY. It is utterly impossible to know how it would read by what the Clerk has read.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the resolution as it would read when amended.

would read when amended.

The Clerk read as follows: So as to read: "4,000 copies for the use of the House"-

Mr. BAILEY. I want the whole resolution.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Texas wants to hear the resolution read as it would read after it is amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That there shall be printed by the Public Printer 10,000 copies of House document No. 227, Fifty-fifth Congress, second session, entitled Statistics of Lake Commerce, 4,000 copies for the use of the House, 2,000 copies for the use of the Senate, and 4,000 copies for the use of the Treasury Department.

Mr. BAILEY. Now, Mr. Speaker, I have not seen this document. I presume that it relates to interstate commerce on the

lakes.

Mr. PERKINS. It relates to the statistics of lake commerce. The request is from the Treasury Department. The resolution was introduced by the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. Burton]; and if the gentleman from Texas needs any further information, I have no doubt the gentleman from Ohio would be glad to give it.

Mr. BAILEY. I will not detain the House by asking that; but I am not perfectly sure that such a document should be printed.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the concurrent resolution? [After a pause.] The Chair hears none.

hears none. The amendment recommended by the committee was agreed to. The concurrent resolution as amended was agreed to.

BRIDGE ACROSS ST. FRANCIS RIVER, ARKANSAS,

Mr. McCULLOCH. Mr. Speaker, I desire to ask consideration for the bill (H. R. 5030) to authorize the construction of a bridge across the St. Francis River, in the State of Arkansas, and ask to concur in the Senate amendments.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Mr. Speaker, is this a request for unanimous consent?

The SPEAKER. It is.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I will have to refuse the gentleman. Several gentlemen on this side have made similar requests

and I have refused, and justice requires that I should treat all

Mr. McCULLOCH. It is a little bridge bill, with Senate amend-

ments, that can be disposed of in a minute.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I have been asked by three or four different gentlemen, and have stated that I would be unable to yield; and it will be utterly unfair and unjust for me to do so

now. I must ask for the regular order.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion of the gentleman from Maine that the House resolve itself into Committee of

the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. McCULLOCH. Mr. Speaker, I ask that this bill be retained

on the Speaker's table.

The SPEAKER. It will lie on the table.

The motion to go into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union was then agreed to.

NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL

The House accordingly resolved itself into Committee of the The House accordingly resolved itself into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, Mr. SHERMAN in the chair. The CHAIRMAN. The House is in Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H. R. 9378) making appropriations for the naval service for the fiscal year 1898-99, and the gentleman from Maine asks unanimous consent to dispense with the first reading of the bill. Is there objection? [After a pause.] The Chair hears none.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Mr. Chairman, it is the very earnest desire of the Committee on Naval Affairs that this important bill may be acted upon by the House and sent to the Senate as

bill may be acted upon by the House and sent to the Senate as speedily as possible. This bill is reported under somewhat extraordinary circumstances, and I therefore have no hesitation in calling attention to the fact that it has the most liberal provisions for the strengthening and maintenance of the naval establish-

ment that has ever been reported to the House.

The reasons for this are too obvious to require mention from me. The committee to whom the House intrusted the preparame. The committee to whom the House intrusted the preparation of this bill have not failed to be impressed with the circumstances that surround legislation at this session, and it has been their earnest desire to meet fully and speedily what they believe to be the expectations of the House and of the country in providing this great arm of our military service with all that it could reasonably require to meet every exigency of the public service and every demand for the maintenance of the public honor.

Necessarily, the bill has been somewhat delayed beyond the

Necessarily, the bill has been somewhat delayed beyond the usual time, by the increased demands from day to day to meet the exigencies that were not foreseen at the beginning of this session, but we have reported our bill after the fullest consideration, after the most ample investigation, and we are confident to-day that the House will sustain the action of the committee in

day that the House will sustain the action of the committee in having provided, so far as in their knowledge it was possible, for every demand that could legitimately arise for the strengthening of this branch of the public service during the current fiscal year.

I may be pardoned, Mr. Chairman, for alluding to the fact that in these exciting and somewhat sensational times there have been directed toward the committee of which I have the honor to be the chairman various unjust and untruthful criticisms. I desire to say here to-day, in the only body to which either myself or the committee are responsible, the House of Representatives, which put this task of preparing a bill upon us, and to which we are by law and by right responsible for the report of our stewardship, that any and every intimation, from whatever source it may have proceeded, that this committee has for one instant lacked in a desire to meet the fullest requirements of the executive department in meeting every exigency that has come or may come upon us, is absolutely without foundation.

I have participated in a more or less active way in the prepara-tion of fourteen annual appropriation bills for the naval estab-lishment, and I can truthfully say that upon all of the other bills preceding this, in the aggregate, there has not been expended a tithe of the thought and care and labor that has been given to this bill. The members of my committee have attended its ses-sions day after day when their physical condition required that they should have been at their homes.

We have been laboring in season and out of season to meet the we have been laboring in season and out of season to meet the extraordinary requirements that have come upon us, and the result is before you. We do not claim that this bill is perfect, but we do not know of a feature that it contains which in our judgment ought not to receive the approval of the House of Representatives and of the country. We have been, it is true, far more liberal with the requirements and estimates of the executive department than would ordinarily be the case. than would ordinarily be the case.

Every member of experience knows how estimates are drawn, and what the usual course of legislation is in regard to the increased demands for expenditure, but we have recognized that we were preparing legislation under extraordinary conditions of this the light of day public affairs; and this bill, which is now upon the desk of members, has not only responded to every legitimate requirement of my patriotism.

the executive department, but in many of its features has gone beyond it, as in the judgment of the committee was demanded by

the exigencies of the situation

I presume, Mr. Chairman, that most of the members have read the bill, as well as the report of the committee, and I shall be exceedingly brief in the reference I make thereto. This bill carries an appropriation aggregating \$35,633,058.68. The amount appropriated in the bill for the last fiscal year was \$31,918,626.55. The estimate submitted by the Department for the coming fiscal year was \$33,128,234.19. The appropriations carried in this bill exceed the appropriations for the last fiscal year by \$3,764,432.13, and are \$2,554,824.49 over the estimates submitted by the Department.

This increase extends all along the line. In the first place, we may properly mention the fact that we have again increased the plated force of the News. In the Appreh of the Secondary

enlisted force of the Navy. In the Annual Report of the Secretary of the Navy, citing the report of the Chief of the Bureau of Navigation, who requested an increase of 1,500 in the enlisted force, the report of the Secretary stated that an increase of 1,000 would, in his judgment, meet the requirements of the service.

Since that time circumstances have changed. The demands

Since that time circumstances have changed. The demands upon the enlisted force have increased; and your committee, acting upon their own responsibility and their recognition of the situation confronting us, have increased the enlisted force of the Navy by 2,000, adding 1,750 men and 250 apprentice boys.

Mr. CANNON. What is the total increase?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I will state again that we have increased the total enlisted force of the Navy by 2,000. We have done this in view of the fact that for the first time in years it has been deemed essential to put into commission all of the vessels of been deemed essential to put into commission all of the vessels of our Navy; and, as is known by all, we have been purchasing additional tonnage abroad. So that the committee felt that it was not only their duty to meet the suggestion of the Secretary for a thousand more men, but to make additional provision for 1,000 beyond that to meet exigencies that have since arisen.

In regard to the officers of the Navy, while it was not originally, in December last when we took charge of this bill, the intention or the expectation to make provision for additional officers upon the appropriation bill, but rather to refer such propositions and consider them under the head of a general reorganization of the naval force, the representations that have been made to the committee recently of the absolute processity for an increase in the mittee recently of the absolute necessity for an increase in the mittee recently of the absolute necessity for an increase in the corps of paymasters and an increase in the corps of surgeons were so effective upon the judgment of our committee that in response to the direct personal and official request of the Secretary and of the chiefs of those departments we have authorized an increase of 15 paymasters and 25 acting assistant surgeons of the Navy.

Mr. McMILLIN. Will the gentleman allow a question?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. With great pleasure.

Mr. McMILLIN. The gentleman has said that this bill contemplates an increase of the force of the Navy. I wish to ask from what source that increase is to be taken—from the Naval Militia?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. No, sir; the force to which I refer is the enlisted force of the Navy—the petty officers, seamen, lands-

men, marines, and boys.

Mr. McMILLIN. Then this additional force is not taken from the Naval Militia?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The Naval Militia to which the gentleman refers are not available for that purpose.

Mr. McMILLIN. Why not?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Because they are enlisted under

State laws for specific service, and are not necessarily available for service on board men-of-war; they are not necessarily seamen, and not necessarily expert in the duties of seamanship. They are organized under State laws with certain aid from the Navy De-partment for certain specific purposes, among which I may state is the protection of the harbors and coast lines within their limit. But they are not available directly, as I understand, for service as seamen, landsmen, and marines on board our ships of war. The men whom we have authorized to be enlisted are men who

are to become a permanent part of the enlisted force of the Navy.

Mr. Chairman, I had queried in my own mind whether I would
make reference to another fact in this immediate connection. The suggestions of my colleagues on the committee have decided me that I should do so; and I do it more especially because the subject to which I am about to allude has been made the text of some very scandalous and mischievous criticisms, not only upon myself, for which I care little, but upon the legislation which is

now presented to this House.

now presented to this House.

In a leading New York paper of yesterday I read a statement, the exact language of which I will quote, so that there can be no mistake. I want to say to my colleagues in the House that no criticism purely personal to myself could induce me to refer to a matter of this kind, for after fourteen years of public service, in the light of day, among my associates in this House, I do not feel called upon to rise on any occasion to vindicate my integrity or my patriotism.

I received yesterday through the mail a clipping from the New York Sun, a leading and influential paper, which is marked con-spicuously with black pencil, "Shame," with three lines under it—"Shame to the M. C. from Maine." And then there is a big, black hand with a finger pointing to a certain paragraph, which

The editorial thus marked is largely devoted to eulogy—eulogy of good men, great men, patriotic men who have been laboring for their country in these days of trial. And then it goes on to refer to matters in this bill in this way:

So far as naval preparation is concerned, the report of the Maine inquiry board finds it as complete as Congress has permitted—

That leaves the brunt of the criticism on Congress. article goes on and becomes a little more concrete and individual-

for here we are compelled to note with equal indignation and disgust that the engine rooms of this fleet are less than half supplied with engineers, many ships having but a quarter of their complement and a number of them less than a quarter. Let Congressman BOUTELLE of Maine answer it. The responsibility is his alone. And no one has charged him with being a knave. That a fool can do the more harm of the two is an old story.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I am inclined to agree with the suggestion of some of my committee colleagues sitting near me that perhaps a few words from me in regard to that extraordinary slander are desirable for the enlightenment of the House. I am inclined to think that without some statement from me members of this House and others who should read such a declaration might be led to reflect not only upon myself, but upon the committee for failing to remedy a condition of things which the article sets forth; so I will briefly inform the House of the facts in regard to

As I stated to you some time ago, it was not the original intention of the committee to deal with increases or reorganizations in the force of naval officers in this appropriation bill. We can only do so by the indulgence of the House and by an elastic interpretation of the rules. We intended to deal with all of these matters in a comprehensive consideration of the various plans of reorganization of the various plans of reorganization.

ization of the various corps.

A little over a week ago, when my committee had assembled for the express purpose of closing up this bill, I found the Chief of Engineers waiting for me and for the committee, with a letter from the Secretary of the Navy, which, with the permission of the House, I shall put into the Record, commending especially to me and to the committee his representation of the absolute need of more engineer officers to run the engines of our ships. The letter was as follows:

NAVY DEPARTMENT, Washington, March 12, 1898.

NAVY DEPARTMENT, Washington, March 12, 1898.

Sir: The Engineer in Chief, Commodore Melville, has presented me the accompanying statement, showing the absolute necessity of more engineer officers, especially in case of any emergency. The matter was fully treated in his annual report, and also urged upon the attention of Congress in the Annual Report of the Secretary of the Navy.

As the Engineer in Chief, says, the number of engineer officers now attached to various vessels in commission is barely enough to admit of the performance of their duty in time of peace, and wholly inadequate in time of war, when increased vigilance and constant presence of officers on duty in charge of the machinery will become imperative.

Will you permit me to commend his suggestions to your favorable consideration and ask you to give him an opportunity to lay the matter before you? Very respectfully,

JOHN D. LONG, Secretary.

JOHN D. LONG, Secretary.

Hon. C. A. Boutelle, Chairman Committee on Naval Affairs, House of Representatives.

Of course we gave the Chief of Engineers a full and courteous hearing. He stated his case, and he proffered to us a paragraph to be incorporated in the appropriation bill. The paragraph that he thus presented, with the indorsement of the Department, embraced a practical reorganization of the Engineer Corps—the active Corps of Engineers. It provided just how many of such a rank and just how many of such a rank that corps should be composed of, practically reorganizing it. This is the paragraph proposed: Proposed amendment to the bill making appropriation for the naval service for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1899.

for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1899.

Provided, That the active list of the Engineer Corps of the Navy shall be composed of 15 chief engineers with the relative rank of cohef engineers with the relative rank of lieutenant-commander, 90 chief engineers with the relative rank of lieutenant-commander, 90 passed assistant engineers with the relative rank of lieutenant (junior grade), 50 assistant engineers with the relative rank of ensign: And provided further, That former engineer officers of the Navy who have resigned or been honorably discharged from the Navy with creditable records since January 1, 1882, and who are not above 45 years of age, may be placed on the active list of the Engineer Corps of the Navy in such place and under such regulations as the Secretary of the Navy may approve: And provided further, That naval cadets of the engineer division now at sea, and naval cadets of the engineer division of the first class now at the Naval Academy, may, upon the approval of the Secretary of the Navy. And provided further. That vacancies in the lowest grade of the Engineer Corps of the Navy as above constituted, that may exist after the above provisions have been complied with, may be filled by graduates of recognized technological schools of the United States, subject to such restrictions and examinations as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Navy.

I asked him what the effect would be in regard to increase, and

I asked him what the effect would be in regard to increase, and I understood him to state that they wanted, and that this para-

graph would provide, 110 additional assistant engineers. My committee gave him a cordial hearing, and after some conversation, as chairman of the committee I suggested that inasmuch as the Secretary of the Navy had recommended certain other in-creases in the official corps as essential, we would notify him to attend our meeting the next day, and to bring with him the Chief of the Engineering Bureau and the chiefs of the other bureaus,

when we would arrange for legislation that would meet all the requirements for additional officers in the service.

The next morning the Secretary came in response to our invitation, accompanied by the chiefs of the Bureaus of Navigation, Steam Engineering, Medicine and Surgery, and Supplies and Accounts. These gentlemen and the committee discussed the situation. We considered the paragraph of the Secretary's annual report in which he had stated that we needed more line officers, more engineer officers, more surgeons, and more paymasters. The immediate and exigent requirement in the Engineering Bureau was stated to be so serious that we had two vessels at League Island that could not be moved to New York for lack of engineers to run that could not be moved to New York for lack of engineers of the the machinery. And in the course of that conversation it was suggested that in the proposed reorganization, known as the personnel bill, which is intended to compose the long-standing disputes between two of the corps of the Navy, the line and the engineers, there was a paragraph providing that 100 first-class machinists should be enlisted and appointed as warrant officers, to receive liberal pay, and those 100 men should be employed in handling engines, and thereby relieve that number of junior engineer officers.

The Secretary favored it as an excellent provision that, as was understood, would set 100 engineers free, supply their places with 100 of the most skillful machinists that \$1,200 a year and warrants in the Navy could bring, and to make up the additional ten more that the Chief of Engineers said was demanded it was promore that the Chief of Engineers said was demanded it was proposed that we should immediately commission the two classes of naval cadets who are now serving their two years at sea; that as to the present class in the Academy we should abolish the two years' sea service and immediately commission that class on their graduation from the Academy this summer, which would give eighty-two cadets to be made ensigns and assistant engineers; and in addition to that that the could correct force are represented. in addition to that, that we could permit former engineers of the

Navy who are now in private life, who have gone out of the service with honorable records, to be restored to the Navy to provide for all the requirements of the engineer service.

We then considered the question of the additional assistant surgeons and of the additional assistant paymasters, and the Secretary of the Navy was requested by me, speaking for my committee, to put into proper form these suggestions, which had received the tacit approval of the committee, and send them to us in such language as the Department thought would, when inserted in the appropriation bill, prove effective in putting into force its recommendation and wishes on the subjects named.

The next day I received the following letter:

The next day I received the following letter:

NAVY DEPARTMENT, Washington, March 11, 1898.

SIR: I have the honor to inclose herewith the draft of an amendment to the pending bill making appropriations for the naval service for the ensuing year, in which draft is embodied the matters orally agreed upon during my interview with the committee this morning, with the exception of that which relates to the reinstatement of officers late of the Engineer Corps who have honorably resigned or who have been honorably discharged in pursuance of law.

On further consideration, and after conference with the Engineer in Chief, it is hoped that with the additional engineers we shall get by shortening the course at the Naval Academy to four years, it will not be necessary to ask for a general law for reinstatement of such officers, but to leave the matter open for special legislation in individual cases as the committee may elect.

Permit me also to urge the great importance of taking means.

elect.

Permit me also to urge the great importance of taking up and passing the personnel bill, which is the work of a board representing the line and Engineer Corps, and which, for the first time in a long period, have, with some concessions on each side, agreed upon that measure, insuring a reorganization of the Navy and securing its efficiency and harmony. It seems to me that the time is very propitious for this enactment, when all parties are inspired by patriotic spirit, and that if the opportunity is now lost the result may be a renewal for years to come of the friction and antagonism which have for so long stood in the way of efficient harmony in the naval service. service. Very respectfully,

JOHN D. LONG

Hon. CHARLES A. BOUTELLE, Chairman Committee on Naval Affairs, House of Representatives.

The draft of the provisions for insertion in the bill was as fol-

That from and after the passage of this act the students at the Naval Academy shall have the title of midshipmen, and on successfully completing the course at that institution each shall be commissioned in the lowest grade of the line, Engineer or Marine Corps, the two years' course at sea being hereby abolished.

That upon the passage of this act all the naval cadets of the line and engineer divisions who have completed the four years' course at the Naval Academy and are performing duty at sea shall be commissioned in the lowest grade of the line, Engineer or Marine Corps, and the members of each class shall take rank among themselves according to their graduating multiples as was determined at the end of the four years' course at the Naval Academy.

That upon the passage of this act the Secretary of the Navy shall appoint a board for the examination of men for the position of warrant machinists, 100 of whom are hereby authorized. The said examination shall be open, first, to all machinists by trade of good record in the naval service, and if a sufficient number of machinists from the Navy are not found duly qualified,

then any machinist of good character in civil life shall be eligible for such examination and appointment to fill the remaining vacancies.

All subsequent vacancies in the list of warrant machinists shall be filled by competitive examination before a board ordered by the Secretary of the Navy, and open to all machinists by trade, who are in the Navy, and machinists of good character in civil life authorized by the Secretary of the Navy to appear before said board, and where candidates from civil life and from the naval service possess equal qualifications the preference shall be given to those from the naval service.

That the pay of warrant machinists shall be \$1,200 per annum for the first three years after date of appointment, and \$1,400 per annum thereafter, and they shall be retired under the provisions of existing law for warrant officers. Warrant machinists shall receive at first an acting appointment, which may be made permanent under regulations established by the Navy Department for other warrant officers. They shall take rank with other warrant officers according to date of appointment, and shall wear such uniform as may be prescribed by the Navy Department.

Mr. Chairman, I immediately laid this proposition before the committee. I was in favor of it then. I have been in favor of it

committee. I was in favor of it then. I have been in favor of it ever since. I am in favor of every provision of it now, and without attempting to speak for the committee specifically in regard to this particular suggestion that I am about to make, I have the right to say here, personally, that while I was ready and am ready to provide any men, whether lieutenants or ensigns, engineers or machinists, doctors or paymasters, or any others who are needed to insure the efficiency of the naval service, I firmly believe here. lieve, based on some experience on the water, or some experience in the Navy, and a considerable experience in naval legislation, that no addition of 100 men could be made to the force of our fleets to-day that would be more valuable to this country and to fleets to-day that would be more valuable to this country and to the service than the appointment of 100 of the first-class machinists of this country in positions of responsibility and rank which have never before been accorded in the Navy to our mechanics; in positions which recognize the dignity of skilled labor, the importance of mechanical proficiency, by awarding not only a high rate of pay for mechanical service, but an official status on board the ships of our Navy that would be honorable alike to themselves and their craft craft.

Now, what came of that? I do not propose to enter into any discussion of motives, but we all know the strong feeling that is excited in regard to public measures that affect the positions and interests of individuals. And perhaps it is enough for me to say that a desire on the part of a great many people to secure the immediate enactment of a measure affecting the rank and interests of two of the corps of officers in the Navy in some way seemed to become oversitive in antagonism to these propositions, which were become operative in antagonism to these propositions, which were originally formulated in my committee room at a conference of the Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of the Bureau of Navigation and Detail, who is the Adjutant-General of the Navy, the Chief of the Bureau of Steam Engineering, the Surgeon-General, and the Paymaster-General, and subsequently forwarded to me as chairman from the Department in writing, with the request of the Secretary for its passage. Now, why is it not in the bill? The New York Sun says that Mr. BOUTELLE is responsible for

some alleged failure to provide for the engineer force of the Navy.

My answer to that is that the absence of that legislation from the printed copy of our bill is due to the personal and official request of the Department, and I am not going to bear responsibility

which does not belong to me.

The Assistant Secretary of the Navy came to the Capitol to request, first, that the committee should incorporate an entire code of legislation, reorganizing two of the branches of the Navy, into this appropriation bill, a legislative measure that by no stretch of parliamentary courtesy could be permissible upon it; and as the committee decided that we could not put that into the bill properly, and as everybody knew that it would be subject to a point of order, the committee were requested to eliminate these provisions from the bill, lest their enactment might affect in some

way future possible action upon the matter of reorganization.

Naturally I insisted upon something more than a verbal withdrawal of these requests, and I received it, and I have it here to day. I said to the Secretary that our committee would be guided by the wishes of the Department, because we were trying in every possible way to fortify and sustain them, but that with that legispossible way to forthly and sustain them, but that with that legislation drawn up in specific form lying on my desk, with the official request of the Secretary of the Navy for us to incorporate it in the appropriation bill, I could not fail to present and press it, unless I had equally specific authority from the Department for its omission. On the 18th of March, 1898, I received the following letters.

MY DEAR SIR: I inclose herewith a letter from the Assistant Secretary, stating the result arrived at after his interview with you and with Speaker Reep with reference to the personnel bill.

The arrangement is satisfactory to me, as it insures either the personnel bill in its entirety, which I believe to be a consummation vitally important to the service, or, at least, the provisions for warrant machinists and for the commissioning of naval cadets immediately upon the conclusion of the four years' course, so that in either event the additional force necessary by the increase of the Navy for the running of engines will be insured.

Please accept my acknowledgments for the consideration which you and the committee have given to the Assistant Secretary, and believe me,

Very respectfully,

JOHN D. LONG, Secretary.

Hon. C. A. BOUTELLE, Chairman Committee on Naval Affairs, House of Representatives,

NAVY DEPARTMENT, Washington, March 18, 1898.

NAVY DEPARTMENT, Washington, March 18, 1898.

SIR: I have been all day before the House Committee on Naval Affairs and in communication with the Speaker, and after much consultation have made them a proposition on which they acted, I stating distinctly that it had to be made on my own authority, and that you might repudiate it. I believe, however, that it is exactly in the line of the views you expressed to me this morning. It is this:

The committee have left out of the appropriation bill the provision for the hundred machinists and for the commissioning of the cadets, it being the understanding that immediately after the appropriation bill has gone to the House they shall take up the personnel bill and report either that bill or else these provisions that they have struck out of the appropriation bill, or whatever combination the committee decide, the Speaker saying that he believes without question that the Committee on Rules will provide for the immediate consideration of this bill, so that it will go through the House, in all probability, very close to the appropriation bill. I have the honor, sir, to recommend that you approve what I have done, and so notify Mr. BOUTELLE, stating to him at the same time that the paymasters and surgeons asked for by the Department should be provided for in the appropriation bill.

Very respectfully,

THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

. THEODORE ROOSEVELT,
Assistant Secretary.

The Honorable the SECRETARY OF THE NAVY.

What the Secretary there refers to is the fact that we were What the Secretary there refers to is the fact that we were urged to give some assurance that we would take up some other bill and pass it in such a way as to render the proposed amendments to the appropriation bill unnecessary. Of course, Mr. Chairman, nobody could give such a promise, pledge, or assurance as that. The only assurance that could be given, and it was given by courtesy and not by right, was that the subcommittee charged with this entirely distinct matter of legislation would bring it up as early as possible and press its consideration. If the bill referred to should not be passed, then no provision will have been made for this pressing want represented to me by the Chief of Engineers a week ago, and we will have to trust to some other legislation to secure it. legislation to secure it.

legislation to secure it.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I think everybody here understands what I have stated. Such is the situation to-day; and the responsibility for the fact that the naval appropriation bill does not contain specific legislation providing for every alleged need of the Engineer Bureau and of every other bureau rests upon other shoulders than mine. I favored the legislation, and was reluctant to have it omitted from this bill. That is all I have to say upon the sub-

Now, Mr. Chairman, in other respects the committee have sought to meet every requirement, as I have stated before. We probably, at the outset of this session, would not have provided for so large an increase of the Navy as we have in this bill; but confronted as we are with the fact that the United States Government in a crisis has been subjected to the humiliation of raking over the shipyards of Europe to find vessels with which to protect our coast, we have taken the lesson to heart and felt that it was incumbent upon us to go forward with some reasonable degree of activity in making provision for producing our Navy through the labor and skill and impulse of our own citizens, which, if we had done for the last fifteen years in a greater degree, would have saved us the humiliation of hoisting our flag on foreign-built vessels to-day, and have given us the satisfaction of having our flag float over vessels that had been built in our own country, under

our own flag, by our own skilled labor.

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. If the gentleman will permit me, I desire to ask him a question. I notice that this bill provides for

the building of three battle ships.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. It does. I had just referred to that

in an incidental way.

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas.
it takes to build a battle ship?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Will the gentleman tell us how long

One of the reasons, and one of the principal reasons, why we made this provision for three battle ships was the fact that it takes so long to build them.

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. About how long?
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. About three years.
Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. There is a considerable interest felt

in the matter by various gentlemen, who have expressed a wish to know how long it took to build one of these vessels.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. That is a well-known fact.
Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. About three years?
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. About three years, considerably less if under forced conditions working day and night.
Mr. LEWIS of Washington. With an added force of men.
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. With an added force of men. That is the reason we want to begin three, because it takes so long to build them. build them

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. It might be possible to build one of them in two years?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I do not know whether we could mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I do not know whether we could build a first-class battle ship in two years. I suppose the gentleman is skirmishing around, basing an idea of some incompatibility of this provision with immediate prospects of war. I will say to the gentleman—

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. Oh, no, Mr. Chairman; I have been merely seeking information as to the length of time it takes to build these battle ships.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. All right; it is about three years, or two years and a half if in a hurry. We have provided for building three first-class battle ships. I believe we certainly have not overestimated the demand of the House in that regard. One of the most important factors in naval warfare to-day has become what is known as the torpedo-boat destroyer. She is larger than the torpedo boat, and can take the sea and eat up the small torpedo boats.

In the modern way of conducting naval warfare the small torpedo boat could, if it is not obstructed, destroy the battle ship, but the torpedo-boat destroyer can guard the battle ship to a great extent against them. I will say, briefly, not as an expert in naval warfare, but simply referring to that phase in the development of the torpedo boat, that the torpedo boat is quite effective, and in that regard has changed the methods of warfare to a considerable extent. Men-of-war no longer go into harbors and lie there to be blown up by torpedoes in time of war. They keep the sea. They are safer from attack of torpedo boats, and these small crafts labor with great disadvantage in great sea way

But with the assistance of the torpedo-boat destroyer, he can keep off the torpedo boats and dispose of them or he can keep in motion at sea outside. With the search light and the rapid-fire battery and the added protection of the torpedo-boat destroyer, we made another stride forward in naval warfare. And so we recommend the construction of six torpedo-boat destroyers and six torpedo boats.

You will have noticed by the newspaper reports-and occasionally a true statement gets into the newspapers, although I would not want you to take it from that authority without looking at the headlines and seeing whether it was printed in yellow—that the Government has been purchasing a few of these torpedo boats abroad, because we believed we were somewhat short. We can build in this country the best torpedo boats in the world, and we ask you to authorize the building of six small boats and six of the

Mr. CANNON. These boats can be constructed in six to twelve

months, can they not?
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. These boats can be constructed in

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. These boats can be constructed in from six to seven months, I believe.

Mr. BROMWELL. Will the gentleman allow me a question?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Certainly.

Mr. BROMWELL. We have all been interested in the description of the various classes of vessels by the gentleman from Maine, but there has been considerable discussion as to the value of the double-turret monitor as compared with the battle ship. be glad to hear the gentleman's views on that subject.
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. That is entering upon a wide sea

of discussion. Ido not like to weary the House, and I will be as brief as I can. The House will acquit me of any attempt to speak ex cathedra upon any question. That is a controverted question. There are some of the best men of the Navy who insist that the monitor is a more desirable vessel than the battle ship; they are

monitor is a more desirable vessel than the battle ship; they are men of intelligence and experience, and I have great respect for them. I do not know that they are not right, but my impression, my judgment, or the conclusion at which I have arrived is that they are not justified in all of their assumption.

Mr. FOOTE. Will the gentleman permit me?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I am just answering the question put by the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. BROMWELL].

Mr. FOOTE. I simply wanted to ask the gentleman to go a little into detail as to the relative cost of the two types of vessels.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I will do so, and give my reasons. That the Ericsson monitor is a most formidable craft, no one can deny. The prime elements of her power are, first, the ability to build a tremendously fortified turret upon a floating platform, which can be made very thick, to resist the impact of shot.

which can be made very thick, to resist the impact of shot.

The second prime quality is its very low free board, that presents so small a mark for the enemy's guns; we all recognize that. In making the report from the Committee on Naval Affairs that recommended the first of the seagoing battle ships, I called attention to the controversy between these two types of ships and recommended the battle ships of to-day, which were originated in that

I recommended them on the ground that there was no essential or typical conflict between the two classes of ships; that the firstclass seagoing battle ship recognized every point of excellence in the monitor; that we preserve the revolving turret, the nearly allaround fire, and made it all around as nearly as we could, and that we only sacrificed one principle, and that was the low free board—that is, the low side of the ship—and we sacrificed that solely to enable our ships to go to sea and successfully use the batteries outside the headlands of our ports.

not a great number, but a number of excellent seamen, whose enthusiasm I think may carry them away—the monitors can not steam out of New York Harbor in any ordinary sea way and successfully attack a fleet of vessels that have equally heavy guns and equally thick turrets and have the advantage of great speed and the ability to man their guns so high above the water that they are not obstructed by the sea.

Many years ago it was my fortune to be an officer aboard a gun-boat in the Navy that had the duty of convoying a monitor from Hampton Roads to Pensacola, and my memory is very vivid as to that voyage. It was the *Tecumseh*, that ill-fated vessel that went down by a torpedo explosion in the gates of Mobile Bay, carrying

all on board with her.

You all remember that marvelously tragic and pathetic incident when Captain Craven, the magnificent commander of that ship, with a chivalry that recalls that of Bayard in the past, when the explosion occurred and the men were rushing to the few apertures of escape, the captain and the pilot who was with him in the turret reached side by side that avenue of delivery, Captain Craven stepped back and said, "After you, Pilot;" and the pilot

was saved and the gallant captain went down.

The vessel to which I was attached towed this monitor into port. And I remember distinctly how upon the very smoothest day, when the water was so glassy you could read a newspaper held over it, the ordinary swell—6, or 8, or 10 inches—when it would meet this inert mass of iron which moved steadily along through the sea, would roll upon the deck, roll forward till it touched the turret, and then break over it. I can not conceive, Mr. Chairman, that the monitors can prove very effective vessels

Mr. Chairman, that the monitors can prove very effective vessels in a sea way, for fighting purposes.

At any rate that is the belief of naval experts. And the fact that there is something in this opinion ought to be shown, it seems to me, by our experience. In improving upon our experience since we built the *Indiana*, the *Massachusetts*, and the *Oregon*, you will notice, if you visit our fleet, that the later ship, the *Iowa*, has been lifted still higher, and that forward her turret is on an elevation considerably above that of the afterdeck—all of which means that it has been found desirable to lift these vessels enough out of the water to enable them to train their guns and fight them even when there is a moderate sea.

and fight them even when there is a moderate sea.

Another thing should be considered in that regard. that this method of construction exposes a larger surface of the broadside to the fire of an enemy; but if gentlemen will take the trouble to look over diagrams (which are easily accessible) of the naval ships of the world, and will take the skeleton sketches which have been made of them, which show where the belts of armor are, where the vital portions are, and leave in white those portions which are simply structural and not vital to the ship, it will be found that in eliminating all of that superstructure which enables the ship to be buoyant, to resist the water and keep it out—when you eliminate all of that superstructure which is not essential to the safety or formidability of the ship, you have a line of vital ship above water very little more than the exposure of the ordinary monitor.

My colleague on the committee [Mr. HILBORN] reminds me, in connection with what I said before, that of course we are bound to yield more or less, and more rather than less, to the consensus of judgment of those who have given most thought to the subject; and it is a fact that to-day the nations of the world are devoting. themselves to the building of what we term to-day "battle ships. And when we consider that we are building these ships on our And when we consider that we are building these snips on our own soil, that every ounce of metal that goes into them comes out of American mines, that every rivet is made by the strong right-arm of an American citizen, that even the armor plate has been forged in our own workshops, I think gentlemen will be proud, as I am proud, that I am ready to say without any hesitation, basing my statement not upon the flamboyant egotism of Americans or the evulerant retriction of one own officers but more the calm the exuberant patriotism of our own officers, but upon the calm, cold-blooded verdict rendered by the most expert naval architects in England itself, that to-day the first-class battle ship of the United

States is the first-class battle ship of the world. [Applause.]

Of course a chance shot might disable a battle ship; but in all that goes to the constitution of impregnability and formidability British authorities to-day—and I could cite you their testimony if I wished to take the time—concede that a comparison of the three battle ships, the Illinois, the Alabama, and the Wisconsin, with the finest ships that England has under construction shows an

immeasurable advantage for the American ship.

We have developed ourselves also in speed. The Columbia is "the gem of the ocean." She carries the world's record to-day for a war ship crossing the Atlantic. When we had the great naval review a few years ago in New York Harbor, and England Now, I think every gentleman will recognize at once the distinction. We will take New York Harbor, with a fleet of first-class double-turreted monitors with the same armament that we put on battle ships. In that harbor they would be magnificent powers of defense, but, in my judgment—and in this I reluctantly differ with splendid and gallant officer, Admiral Gherardi, said the British admiral told him freely, "You have a far finer ship than ours." And that has been accomplished in a dozen years.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Is the Columbia a battle ship?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. No; the Columbia is the very reverse of a battle ship; it is simply a commerce destroyer, built

for the express purpose of developing speed-to see just how fast we could make a war ship go.

Mr. FOOTE. The gentleman has not spoken of the cost of the

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Oh, the cost of the monitor is considerably less than the cost of a battle ship.
Mr. FOOTE. About how much?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I can not give you a comparison offhand, because we have never built a monitor approaching the size of the battle ships. I do not know how you can make a comparison, because the monitor does not represent what the battle ship does. We have never built any monitor that comprehended

any such expenditure as we put upon a battle ship.

Mr. FOOTE. This bill provides for no monitor?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. For no new monitor, because the consensus of judgment is that the battle ships are the vessels

I will state to the gentleman one fact. I am not speaking now as an expert; I am not a great naval commander; I had a little personal experience in the Navy for a few years, but that does not count. Let me state the fact that the naval contests of the world in my judgment have been decided by what is known as world in my judgment have been decided by what is known as
the line-of-battle ships. All governments have had large ships
and small ships, but the great naval battles of the world have
been fought by the heavy line-of-battle ships, and in my judgment they always will be.

Mr. FOOTE. Does the chairman of the committee believe that
the torpedo boat and the torpedo-boat destroyer provided by this

Ill are sufficient in number? Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. That is a question purely for the

Mr. FOOTE. I was asking the chairman of the committee in

Mr. FOOTE. I was asking the chairman of the committee in his official capacity.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The gentleman understands perfectly that we do not suppose that three battle ships will be all that this country needs, but it was a question with us as to how much we should provide for in this bill and ask the House to appropriate for at this time; and I will say that until within the last two or three weeks we should have felt a great deal of apprehension of failure in undertaking to get the House to do as much as we do in this bill we do in this bill.

Mr. FOOTE. I suppose we should all have a good deal of apprehension, if we had not more than we used to build.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I meant apprehension as to the result of our effort in the House. I will state to the gentleman from New York that we are not recommending that Congress shall not provide for any more, but we are asking them to provide

Mr. DRIGGS. I should like to ask the gentleman if it is not true that the monitors are practically only fitted for harbor defense and not for the outer line of defense or, in other words,

open-sea defense?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I agree to that entirely.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I agree to that entirely.

Mr. DRIGGS. I thought that was so.

Mr. FITZGERALD. I should like to ask the gentleman if there
would be any objection on the part of the committee to the incorporation of an amendment to this bill providing that one of these
battle ships be named the George Washington?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. That will come up under the fiveminute rule. That is a proposed amendment. The gentleman
knows very well that we do not consider amendments at this
time.

Mr. FITZGERALD. I was asking the gentleman whether he would be willing to consent to such an amendment at the proper

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. George Washington himself would not be in order at this stage of the bill. [Laughter and applause.] Mr. WALKER of Massachusetts. That is good for home con-sumption in the gentleman's district just the same.

Mr. FITZGERALD. It is not a case for home consumption. think it is a very proper thing that one of these battle ships be called the George Washington.

Mr. FOOTE. I should like to ask the gentleman one more question, for information.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Certainly.

Mr. FOOTE. What are the recommendations from the Depart-

received as many communications from the Department since then as I have, he would be aware that the Department since then as I have, he would be aware that the Department is looking at things through a very different kind of binoculars from what they did at that time.

Mr. FOOTE. That is the kind of glasses I want to find out about—the kind through which they are looking now.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Well, the gentleman knows what the charge here in the situation.

the change has been in the situation.

Mr. FOOTE. Is there any reason why the information should not be given to the House?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I thought I gave it.

Mr. FOOTE. No; I asked how many battle ships the Department now recommend. You told me how many they recommended a forw recommend.

mended a few weeks ago.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The Department has made no specific recommendation since then, but it has done something that I felt, and my colleagues felt, and this House will feel obliged to take cognizance of. It has sent men over to Europe to buy from European shipbuilders ships that we ought to build in this country.

Mr. FOOTE. We are sadly aware of that, but I wanted to know whether they had made any recommendation to the com-

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I do not remember that there has been any specific recommendation. I suppose that the Secretary of the Navy took it for granted that the committee of the House of Representatives would adjust itself to the situation as it de-

of Representatives would adjust itself to the situation as it developed; and we have attempted to do so.

Mr. FITZGERALD. I should like to ask the chairman of the Naval Committee what prevented the Naval Committee from making these recommendations last year or in the last Congress?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. If you will resolve yourself into a Committee of the Whole on the last Congress and make the inquiry, you may be able to find out. We are legislating for this Congress. [Applause.]

Mr. FITZGERALD. Mr. Chairman, I should like to observe that the gentleman has made a criticism on a committee of this House of which he has been chairman for a great many years, on account of its inaction, and that is not a proper answer. account of its inaction, and that is not a proper answer.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Would the gentleman like about

a minute and a half more?

Mr. FITZGERALD. I do not care to interrupt the gentleman at this time, but I call the attention of the House to his own

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Maine

[Mr. BOUTELLE] has expired.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I ask unanimous consent that the

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I ask unanimous consent that the time of the gentleman from Maine be extended without limit. The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Louisiana asks unanimous consent that the time of the gentleman from Maine be extended without limit. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I am humiliated to find that I have occupied the attention of the committee for this length of time when I only intended to speak a very few minutes. time, when I only intended to speak a very few minutes

Mr. Chairman, I perhaps ought to make a reference to two other features of this bill. One of them is the matter of dry docks. The Secretary of the Navy in his annual report made certain specific recommendations of the very great importance of additional dry-dock facilities. In my own judgment there was some exaggeration in the statement that found utterance in the press last year as to the failure of Congress in the past to do its duty because if as to the failure of Congress in the past to do its duty, because if the great docks that we had authorized had been properly con-structed certain difficulties that did arise would not have occurred.

But it is too late to go back now and hunt for last year's birds' nests. The Secretary of the Navy has earnestly recommended the authorization of additional docks. That those docks will be required in the future is more obvious now than it was six months ago. There are a great many more people in this country to-day who are reconciled to the idea that we must maintain a reasonably

strong Navy than there were a year ago or perhaps six months ago.

The Committee on Naval Affairs have taken under consideration all of these recommendations, and after a long period of hearings by the subcommittee, of which the gentleman from California [Mr. HILBORN] is the chairman, they have recommended to the full committee and the full committee indorsed the provisions which are now in this bill for the erection of a dry dock at Portsmouth, N. H., where there is no dock now; for the erection of a new dock at Boston, where there is only an inferior and undersized stone dock; for the erection of an additional dock at League Island, Pa., our only fresh-water yard, unless Mare Island is called a fresh-water yard—the only fresh-water yard on the Atlantic coast.

ment in regard to the battle ships and torpedo-boat and torpedo-boat destroyers?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I suppose the House knows perfectly well that when the Secretary's report was made, he suggested one battle ship; but if the gentleman from New York had

ago; and for the construction of a steel floating dock at Algiers, La., opposite New Orleans, there being no facility of the kind now

anywhere along the Gulf coast.

Members will find the recommendations of the Department in the annual report, and the committee have deemed it wise to make to the House these recommendations for additions in that regard.

to the House these recommendations for additions in that regard.

Mr. BROMWELL. Are all of these proposed docks of sufficient capacity to dock our largest war vessels?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I will say to my friend from Ohio that we have been somewhat disappointed in the construction of some of these docks in the past, and that we labored to get the wording of this bill in such shape that it will prevent the possibility of building a dock anywhere hereafter that shall not be calculated to meet the requirements of our largest vessel. The wording in the bill is: wording in the bill is:

Said dry docks to be not less than 700 feet in length, and of other dimensions sufficient to meet the present and probable future requirements of the largest vessels of the Navy and auxiliary fleet.

Mr. BENNETT. Will the gentleman allow me to ask him a

Mr. LEWIS of Washington. Will the gentleman permit me to

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I will answer one gentleman at a me. I yield to the gentleman from New York [Mr. BENNETT]. Mr. BENNETT. Was the recommendation of the committee

appointed by the Navy Department a short time ago to report to Congress on the selection of location of dry docks—was that taken into consideration by the Committee on Naval Affairs?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I understand it was exhaustively

considered by the subcommittee and the full committee.

Mr. BENNETT. Were any of those places selecte

Were any of those places selected by the Naval Committee?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Why, yes; nearly all of them. The only one, I think, was League Island—

Mr. BENNETT. What was the recommendation of the board?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. In regard to League Island?

Mr. BENNETT. Yes.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. They made no recommendation.

Mr. BENNETT. They made no recommendation for the Pa-

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. But the gentleman must understand we were not necessarily governed by that report. It was purely advisory

Mr. BENNETT. I was simply asking for information, to see whether that report had had any weight with the Committee on

Naval Affairs or not.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. My understanding is, I will say, that every one of these docks except one has been authorized in this bill according to the recommendations of the Bunce board.

Mr. LEWIS of Washington. I will suggest to the gentleman from Maine, the chairman of the committee, the gentleman from

Ohio asked whether these docks will accommodate the large war

I desire to remind the honorable gentleman that the dry dock at Port Orchard, within my district, is able to accommodate the largest vessels we have in the Navy. It has lately accommodated the *Oregon* with perfect ease and complete facility. I take the liberty to make the suggestion lest it may have escaped the honorable gentleman at the time the question was asked.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I am simply speaking of the addi-

tional docks.

Mr. LEWIS of Washington. I thought it might have escaped

the gentleman's attention.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The dock at Port Orchard is a large and admirable dock, and further provision is made for it in this

Mr. JOHNSON of Indiana. And it is in the gentleman's dis-

trict.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. And an additional recommendation is the fact that it is located in the gentleman's district.

[Laughter.]
Mr. LEWIS of Washington. I have no doubt that that dock has derived much benefit from the fact that it is in my district.

[Laughter.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Now, there is one other topic I wish to refer to. That is the matter of armor. We have debated

Mr. ROBBINS. Before you leave the question of dry docks, I

desire to ask you a question.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. This whole matter will be discussed under the five-minute rule on these items.

Mr. ROBBINS. I know.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I am only making a preliminary

Mr. ROBBINS. What I wanted to know is the necessity for the dock at Portsmouth, so near the dock at Boston?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Great Britain has a dozen docks in one yard. The reason that place is provided is because we have a very extensive plant of over a million dollars invested in machinery and facilities for complete repair of ships that has been invested during the last fifty years, and that a dry dock is an essential part of a navy-yard; and also, I presume, more or less based on the fact that experts in strategy have held for many years that the seat of a foreign waven the copy would be likely to least that the seat of a foreign war on the ocean would be likely to locate itself somewhere off the New England coast, or between Gardners Bay and Halifax; and also that there is a great depth of water

You must remember that the United States coast is of a very peculiar conformation. I do not know how many gentlemen of this House may have had themselves brought into a recognition of the fact that from New York Harbor, from the Jersey beach, Long Branch, and all the way along the coast of the United States to Galveston Harbor there is such a uniform conformation of the shore that a man would have to be very expert when landed in the night to be able to tell where he was. From Bascom down to near Galveston it is a shoal sandy beach all along our coast, and our harbors as we go south suffer in depth of water.

The deepest water is off the coast of the Northern States where In deepest water is on the coast of the Northern States where the coast is rock bound and the openings to the harbors deeper. In my district, which runs down toward the Bay of Fundy, we have a rise and fall of tide, in some places, of 30 feet, which furnishes harbors that can give any depth required. Portsmouth has more depth of water, and Portland, Me., gives an unrivaled harbor as to depth. As you go south, the depth of water decreases

I want to say just one word about the matter of armor. As you know, this subject was discussed very thoroughly. A great indisposition was shown on the part of Congress to pay more than \$300 a ton. Various propositions were submitted, and finally Congress decided that no armor should be provided at a cost greater than \$300 a ton. Under the operation of that limitation it has proved impossible to obtain armor for the three ships now

under construction. I will remind gentlemen that the armor for the Kentucky and I will remind gentlemen that the armor for the Kentucky and Kearsarge, presumably launched to-day, had already been provided; but these other vessels had no other provision made for their armor except that the builders had themselves supplied that diagonal armor which had to be built in the ship, and are taking chances as to what they will get paid for it. The consensus of judgment in the Department and many with whom I have been able to confer has been that we must raise the limit of cost of this material. I do not want to get into any argument about it, because the facts are plain and clear.

Mr. McMILLIN. Will the gentleman permit me to ask him a question there?

question there?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Certainly.
Mr. McMILLIN. Can the gentleman furnish to the House or
to the Committee of the Whole any information as to the actual cost of the production of that armor? We have had a great deal

of controversy over it.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I will state to the gentleman, in answer to that, that there was an exhaustive inquiry made by the Secretary of the Navy in the last Administration, Secretary Herbert, by order of Congress. He went into the matter with all possible effort to obtain the best information, and, in my judgment, exhausted the sources of information. The result of his investigation of the matter was to recommend to Congress that \$400 a ton would be a fair price. That is the most authoritative information we have ever received.

Of course, there was discussion, there were suggestions that somebody was ready to make this armor for \$250 a ton, but when the test was applied, it proved that no bids were made along that line. The parties who were expected to do that, made an informal proposition that, if the Government would make a contract for twenty years to come, and would agree to buy 6,000 tons of armor a year for twenty consecutive years, they would supply it at a less price, I think \$240 a ton.

Mr. BROMWELL. Will the gentleman allow me?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Certainly.

Mr. BROMWELL. I was one of those who voted for cutting

down the price of armor in the last Congress. I voted against the payment of \$400 or \$500 a ton for the armor and in favor of limiting it to \$300, I think it was.

I wish to ask the gentleman from Maine whether or not the com-pany which was represented on that occasion as being willing to furnish this armor did not make that offer, through the gentle-man who represented them on the floor, without any qualification as to any subsequent contract; whether or not it was not stated that they were willing to furnish such armor as was needed for the supplying of these vessels at \$300 a ton, or less, without any qualification?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I will say to the gentleman from

Ohio that that will lead to or excite discussion, and I do not want to bring up any of these old matters. I do not want to be discourteous to my friend, but he knows that at times, under the strain and the representation of certain phases of party matters, gentlemen will take certain positions and may afterwards revise their judgment. I think, for the interest of the House and of the service, it is better for us not to enter upon those questions. I do not want to taunt anybody for not fulfilling their promises.

Mr. BROMWELL. It was not for that purpose that I inter-

Mr. Brown while. It was not for that purpose that I must rupted the gentleman.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I know that.

Mr. BROMWELL (continuing). It is to put those of us right before the House who voted for reducing the price of the armor.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I have no doubt whatever in my own mind that the vote of the House limiting the price of armor to \$300 was very largely influenced by the statement made here that there was a responsible company that would be glad to furnish it at a less price. But it turned out afterwards that there were certain conditions, as I thought there were at the time, to that offer that made it impossible for the Government to accept it.

Perhaps, for the purposes of this discussion, it will be all that is necessary to state that the Secretary of the Navy was authorized to advertise for proposals for armor not to exceed \$300 a ton, and the official report made to this House was that he had received no bid whatever that the Department was authorized to entertain

under the law.

Then he went on to state that the Carnegie Company had written them that they did not care to compete, that the Bethlehem Company said they did not care to furnish armor at that price, and that the other company had written an informal proposition that if they could have a contract for twenty years and the Government would buy 6,000 tons of armor a year from them for twenty years, they would built a plant and have it ready within eighteen months, and furnish the armor for \$240 a ton. The Secretary of the Navy reported to Congress that that was not a proposition that he had any authority under the law to consider. Now, we have gone on in that way through two Congresses, and we have got no armor to-day. The fact remains, whatever else may be said, that we never paid any more for our armor than have other governments of the world, and it is to me an astonish-Then he went on to state that the Carnegie Company had writ-

have other governments of the world, and it is to me an astonishing thing that we have been able to build up a plant and get it as cheap as England, France, and Germany. I was reminded by my friend from Ohio that the statement has been made in the newspapers that recent contracts have been made by the American manufacturers to furnish armor to Russia for \$525 a ton.

In that connection, I do not want to impress any of my views on the House, but if we are going to build three more battle ships, we are going to complete those on hand, and if we are going to complete them we are going to buy the armor in this country. We are not going abroad to buy it, because we have to pay more for it abroad than we pay here.

I want to inject right here, not in the spirit of "I told you so," but merely to remind gentlemen that we sometimes strain at a gnat and swallow a camel; that while we have for two years prohibited the Department from making contracts with American citizens for the product of American labor, because we thought we could not get it cheap enough, we the other day appropriated \$50,000,000, with which the President of the United States has gone abroad and bought ships, paying for them at a rate which would make the price of armor plate much higher than we were paying for it, and gave a bonus of \$108,000 on the ship besides that.

I do not believe we can afford to do that.

Mr. GROW. Will the gentleman from Maine yield to me just a minute or two on that point?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. If the gentleman wants to ask a

question, I will.

Mr. GROW. I want to say a word just upon that point.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The gentleman can do that when we come to the debate under the five-minute rule. Now, Mr.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I want it to be distinctly understood that the armor for the three ships provided for in this bill is to be purchased at a price not exceeding a certain sum, computed accurately on the specifications, not to exceed \$400 a ton.

Mr. JOHNSON of Indiana. Will the gentleman state for what

ships that armor is to be purchased?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The Illinois, the Alabama, and the Wisconsin, one in California, one at Cramps, and one at New-

Mr. JOHNSON of Indiana. We have three war ships now being

built for which there is no armor?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. For which there is no provision for

armor for the reason stated.

Mr. CANNON. But provision is made in the bill for the armor?
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. We do not appropriate for the
whole amount because we can not expend it all in a year; but we
have appropriated for the amount estimated by the Department

as necessary for the coming year for the ships under construction.

Mr. CANNON. The gentleman says, "for the ships under construction.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Yes, we make no provision for armor plate for the three to be authorized, because there will be no need of armor plate for the coming year; but there is incorporated an amount based upon the estimates of the Department for money to buy that portion of the armor for these three ships that

will be completed during the coming year.

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. I see that these three ships are to cost three millions exclusive of the armor, and I presume the arma-

ment.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The armament.

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. Is it within the gentleman's information as to what the ship will cost when completed?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. We have not the full estimate, but they would cost about two millions and something additional. I want to say to the gentleman from Kansas that they are to cost very much less than they would have cost two years ago. When the first battle ships were built, or authorized, they were authorized at a cost of four millions. We have reduced that since to three millions, and the battle ships now under construction will cost about \$2,640,000.

Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas. I have seen an estimate that the Maine cost five millions.

Maine cost five millions.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. It cost about \$4,600,000 when she was built.

was built.

Mr. BROMWELL. What is the state of completion of the three battle ships for which we are providing in this bill? Are they so near completion that the armor plate will be needed for them before next July, when this appropriation becomes available?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. No, it will not be needed before that time. But I will say to the gentleman—I am glad he reminded me of it—that in another feature of this bill, in recognition of the exigency now upon us, we have asked the House to pass a provision at the close of the bill that whenever the President in his judgment may deem that any public exigency demands it, each and every provision of the bill may be made immediately available.

We have made more liberal appropriation for the first year on these ships authorized than we ever did before. There is natu-rally a tendency not to make the bill over and above large, but we have been more liberal because we did not want to run the risk we have been more liberal because we did not want to run the risk of delaying the work, because we thought under the phases of the situation there might be a disposition to force work more rapidly than usual. We have provided for building the torpedo boats and very liberally for the battle ships.

Mr. Chairman, I do not know that I care to say anything more at this time. I thank the Committee of the Whole very much for its kind consideration.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I should like—although there is some embarrassment in making the suggestion to limit debate immediately after having occupied the time of the House so extensively myself—yet I think everybody will recognize it is of very great importance to get this bill through to the Senate and the President as rapidly as possible, especially in view of the fact that more or less of the generous provision which was made in the \$50,000,000 bill, so far as it affects the Navy, can only be available under provisions of law contained in this bill.

I repeat it is important to get the bill to the Senate or reviside.

visions of law contained in this bill.

I repeat, it is important to get the bill to the Senate as rapidly as possible, and I would like to reach some agreement as to the time for general debate. If the committee will only charitably consider that I, in a certain sense, have been occupying time which would naturally belong to the fifteen members of the committee, I should be very glad to have their charity in that regard.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana rose.

The CHAIRMAN. Does the gentleman from Maine [Mr. Boutelle] make any request?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I should like to ask my colleague on the committee [Mr. MEYER of Louisiana] what his judgment is as to the amount of time which will be necessary for general debate. Of course the bill must afterwards be debated by para-

debate. Of course the bill must afterwards be debated by paragraphs.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. It seems to me that the most ju-

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. It seems to me that the most judicious course would be to permit the general debate to run along during to-day without limit, and at the expiration of that time we could agree on some limitation. There are a number of gentlemen on this side who desire to speak on the bill.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I am willing to be governed by the wish of the House. My judgment is—and I think I can not err on this point—that the will of this House will be to fix a limit to this debate. We see affect to the second of the s

debate. We can afford to talk no almost any other subject with greater or less limit, but we ought to put this bill through with all the rapidity consistent with a reasonable discussion of its merits. The CHAIRMAN. Does the gentleman from Maine make any

motion or request?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. My judgment, when the consideration of the bill began, was that four hours' debate, two on each side, would be ample—I mean from the beginning, including my own remarks. I did not intend to occupy so much time myself.

Mr. CANNON. I should like to occupy ten minutes; but I

think I can get that time under the five-minute rule.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. In reply to the inquiry of the Chair,
I suggest that general debate be closed at 4 o'clock. [Cries of "No!" "No!"]

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Chairman, the gentleman from Texas, who has been unavoidably called away, requested me to make a statement to the House. He informed me that quite a number of gentlemen had spoken to him asking him to secure time for them to speak in the general debate on this bill. There is no dis-position on this side to occupy unusual time in debate, but it is impossible to accommodate gentlemen who desire to speak on this impossible to accommodate gentlemen who desire to speak on this side in so short a time as has been suggested by the gentleman from Maine. We would be very glad indeed if there could be a limit fixed which would be satisfactory, giving us four hours on this side. If gentlemen on the other side do not wish to occupy four hours, they need not take it. The gentleman from Maine has suggested two hours on his side, and allowing us four hours, that would be only six hours for covered debate, which I hours, that would be only six hours for general debate, which I submit to the gentleman is not extravagant.

As the gentleman from Maine will remember, under the fiveminute rule we are held to a very rigid account in conducting any discussion. I am not complaining of it; but that being so, it becomes the more important that we should have a little more time in the general debate.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Does not the gentleman believe that the time I have suggested would more than cover all the time that would be occupied in discussing the merits of the bill?

Mr. RICHARDSON. If the gentleman will pardon me, I do

not think so.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I do. I have received hardly a request for time from anyone who wishes to speak as to the merits

Mr. McMILLIN. I approve the course of the gentleman from Maine in occupying the time that he did. I would have been glad if he had occupied more, because he understands this measure. But he will readily admit that there is no more important bill than this before the House, and none more likely to come before it at this session. Our situation is such that there ought to be discussion of a matter so important as the naval defense of the

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I say to the gentleman with absolute candor that I shall be glad to consent to the occupation of all the time that can be legitimately occupied in discussing the mer-Its of the bill. But I have had no intimation that would lead me to believe that more than the time I have indicated would be so used. Members desiring the floor want to talk, as a rule, on other subjects. I may be wrong about that. But the matter is in the control of the Committee of the Whole or the House. But it seems to me this bill ought to be put through on its merits as speedily as possible.

Mr. McMILLIN. Yet the gentleman has realized in his own remarks how impossible it is for a member to discuss fully the

merits of the bill in even one hour.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I hoped I had made some contri-

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I hoped I had made some contribution to a lucid understanding of it.

Mr. McMILLIN. I am sure the gentleman has.

The CHAIRMAN. The request of the gentleman from Maine is that all general debate close at 4 o'clock.

Mr. RICHARDSON. We hope he will not insist on that.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Will 5 o'clock be satisfactory?

Mr. RICHARDSON. I will say to the gentleman that gentlemen on this side who have made requests for time want to occupy four hours. Now, that is reasonable.

four hours. Now, that is reasonable.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Well, we are proposing to give you

mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Wen, we are proposing to give you until 5 o'clock. Do you mean four hours on that side?

Mr. RICHARDSON. That is what we want.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I shall have to test the judgment of the House on that. That would be eight hours.

Mr. RICHARDSON. But the gentleman says he only wants

two hours on that side.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I never said anything of the kind.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I never said anything of the kind. Mr. RICHARDSON. I do not want to misrepresent the gentleman, but he said four hours, one-half on that side.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I said I thought that would be enough time in which to discuss the merits of the bill, but if gentlemen on the other side are going to indulge in four hours of oratory on all imaginable subjects, we have orators here. [Laughter.] "If there be lords in the southland, there be chiefs in the north." I ask that general debate may close at 5 o'clock.

Mr. LOW. I hope the gentleman will extend that beyond that time. There are a great many gentlemen on this side who would

There are a great many gentlemen on this side who would

like to speak.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. It is all in the hands of the House. The CHAIRMAN. The request of the gentleman from Maine is that by unanimous consent general debate upon this bill close at 5 o'clock to-day. Is there objection?

Several members objected.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I move that the committee rise and

fix a time for closing debate on this bill.

The question being taken, on a division there were—ayes 84, noes 71

Mr. FITZGERALD and Mr. RICHARDSON demanded tellers. Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I hope no gentlemen will insist on filibustering.

Tellers were ordered; and the Chairman appointed Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine and Mr. RICHARDSON

The committee again divided; and the tellers reported-ayes 93,

The committee accordingly rose; and the Speaker having resumed the chair, Mr. Sherman, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that com-

mittee had had under consideration the naval appropriation bill

(H. R. 9378), and had come to no resolution thereon.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Mr. Speaker, I move that when the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole for the further consideration of the naval appropriation bill all general debate by closed at 5 closely to deep

debate be closed at 5 o'clock to-day.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I move to amend by inserting 4 o'clock

to-morrow afternoon.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I move the previous question on that

Mr. RICHARDSON. It is too late, after my motion.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's motion should be that the
House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, and pending that, that general debate be limited. The gentleman from Maine moves that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the naval appropriation of the manufacture of the Union for the further consideration of the naval appropriation by the manufacture of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the naval appropriation by the manufacture of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the naval appropriation by the state of the Union for the further consideration of the naval appropriation of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the further consideration of the state of the Union for the state of the Children for the latther consideration of the haval appropria-tion bill, and pending that, moves that all general debate be lim-ited to 5 o'clock, and on that he demands the previous question.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I moved an amendment before the gen-tleman from Maine moved the previous question.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I had the floor to move the pre-vious question.

vious question.

The SPEAKER. One moment. The gentleman from Tennessee was not recognized to move the amendment.

Mr. RICHARDSON. But the gentleman from Maine had not

made the motion for the previous question.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman states that he intended to make the motion for the previous question.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I did not hear that.

Mr. OGDEN. He had not made it.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The gentleman from Tennessee is

too good a parliamentarian to make a suggestion of that kind—
Mr. RICHARDSON. The gentleman from Tennessee recognizes that the gentleman from Maine could make the motion, but he did not make it.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. It was my privilege and my duty as chairman of the committee in charge of the bill to make the

Mr. RICHARDSON. But the gentleman did not make it.
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I never had left the floor at all.
The gentleman knows that he can not inject motions into another gentleman's time. Mr. RICHARDSON. I know the gentleman had not sat

Mr. McMILLIN. Mr. Speaker, I desire to make this suggestion: It will not be denied that the gentleman from Maine had a right, in connection with his motion to limit debate, to call for the previous question upon that motion; but not having done so and another gentleman rising and moving to amend his motion, that another gentleman rising and moving to amend his motion, that second motion has to go in.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Maine states that he rose for the purpose of making that motion.

Mr. McMILLIN. But he did not do it.

Mr. OGDEN. He did not do it.

The SPEAKER. But he did do it before any other gentleman

was recognized.

Mr. McMILLIN. But there was a lapse of time, so that any gentleman might presume that he did not intend to make the motion.

The SPEAKER. But the gentleman removed that doubt by saying that he did intend to do so.

Mr. RICHARDSON. We made no point of order on the form

of the motion—

The SPEAKER. The Chair desires to say that the matter is entirely within the control of the House, and if the House desires it can vote down the previous question, in which case the matter will then be open for amendment.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Yes; but if the Chair will indulge me for a moment, the gentleman from Maine did not even make his motion in order; but I make no point on that. He did not move to resolve the House into the Committee of the Whole.

Mr. PAYNE. Oh, yes, he did.

Mr. RICHARDSON. No, he did not, and the Speaker corrected

The SPEAKER. The Chair corrected him without objection

on the part of any member.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I do not object to it, but the Chair put the motion into order and made the gentleman's motion in order. Now, I do not think the Chair is safe in assuming that the gentleman intended to make his motion for the previous question. have not heard the gentleman make the statement that he intended

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. What did the gentleman from Tennessee think I intended when I took the floor? [Laughter.]
Mr. RICHARDSON. I do not know, if I may be pardoned. If the Chair will allow me to answer that question, which is a rea-

The SPEAKER. Will the House please be in order.
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I call for the regular order.
The SPEAKER. If the House will be in order, the Chair will endeavor to facilitate what the gentleman from Tennessee desires; but he must have silence in the House in order to do it. It is impossible to administer the law or the business of the House in the House of th unless we can have order so that we can hear what is going on. Will gentlemen have the kindness to take their seats—all gentlemen.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Now, Mr. Speaker—
The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Tennessee desires to ask

the gentleman from Maine a question.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I wanted to answer his question as to what I supposed he intended to do. That I am not able to answer, but I am able to say, Mr. Speaker, that the gentleman did not move the previous question before I made my motion to amend. Now,

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I say most decidedly that I made

the motion.

Mr. RICHARDSON. If he says he intended to make the motion, we always accept that, when a gentleman makes that state-

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands the gentleman from

Maine to say that.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I can not go back of the statement of the gentleman from Maine, if he says he intended to.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. If I were you—

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands that the gentleman from Maine stated that he intended to move the previous questions allowed the floor for that purpose. mon Maine stated that he intended to move the previous question, and had the floor for that purpose.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. I never left it, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Is the statement of the Chair correct?

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The statement of the Chair is absolutely correct.

Mr. OGDEN. Has the gentleman from Maine the floor still?

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion of the gentle-

man from Maine for the previous question.

Mr. OGDEN. I have taken my seat at the request of the Chair, and all other gentlemen have done so except the gentleman from Maine, and he seems to hold the floor even against the Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Maine took the floor to answer a question of the gentleman from Tennessee. The question and the seems to the provious question, asked for by tion now before the House is the previous question, asked for by the gentleman from Maine.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the

ayes seemed to have it.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Division!
The House divided; and there were—ayes 91, noes 92.
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The yeas and nays, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The yeas and nays, Mr. Speaker.
The yeas and nays were ordered.
The Clerk proceeded to call the roll.
The SPEAKER (interrupting the call). The Chair desires the attention of the House. At the request of gentlemen from both sides of this question the Chair will submit a proposition for an agreement, which is that debate shall continue until 6 o'clock this evening, and from 12 to 1 to-morrow, and then the bill proceed under the five-minute rule. The gentlemen on the other side to receive three hours of what remains. to receive three hours of what remains.

Mr. MAHON. I will not object if the House will agree, as tomorrow is Friday, private bill day, to give us next Tuesday.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, that will be considered

in the agreement.
Mr. RICHARDSON. What day?
Mr. MAHON. Tuesday.
The SPEAKER. Is there objection?
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. What is that as to Tuesday?

Mr. RICHARDSON. For the consideration of the Private Cal-

endar.
The SPEAKER. Is there objection?
Mr. RAY of New York. I desire to understand whether that carries over the Friday night session.
The SPEAKER. The Chair understands it will carry over the Friday night session. [After a pause.] The Chair hears no objection; and if there be no objection, the Clerk will not further proceed with the call of the roll.

There was no objection.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. The time to be divided by the Chair

according to the understanding.

The SPEAKER. The roll call will be vacated, and the question will be put on the motion that the House resolve itself into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the naval appropriation bill.

The question was taken; and the motion was agreed to.

The House accordingly resolved itself into Committee of the

Whole House on the state of the Union, Mr. Sherman in the chair.
The CHAIRMAN. The House is in Committee of the Whole
House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of
the bill (H. R. 9378) making appropriations for the naval service
for the fiscal year 1898-99.
Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Mr. Chairman, the introduction and

consideration of the annual naval appropriation bill at this time is, I can not but feel, invested with unusual, I might say with extraor-

dinary importance.

Only a few days ago the two Houses of Congress, departing from the customary precedents of legislation, passed after a brief debate, without cavil or a single negative vote, a measure appropriating \$50,000,000 "for the national defense, and for each and every purpose connected therewith, to be expended at the discretion of the President, and to remain available until January 1, 1899.

The President had sent no message to Congress asking for this large appropriation, but it was well understood by us all that he large appropriation, but it was well understood by us all that he desired it and deemed this step to be absolutely necessary. Had twice this sum been estimated to be proper, it would have been voted without hesitation, regardless of party lines. Fifty millions being the sum indicated by the special representatives of the Executive, it was freely given.

This was no mere personal tribute. Had Mr. Bryan been the President instead of Mr. McKinley, it is safe to assert that our opponents would have acted as did those who are opposed to the domestic policy of the Administration.

mestic policy of the Administration.

Mr. Chairman, from every section of our wide country has since then come the approval by the masses of the action of the people's representatives. From millions of our countrymen came shouts applause, whose echoes sent warning to our enemies that our nation is united to a man in defending the honor and glory and integrity of the Republic.

LOUISIANA'S GREETING.

From my own State of Louisiana comes the greeting of its sovereign people, in convention assembled, which I am proud to submit to this honorable House, and which represents so truly the universal sentiment all over this broad land:

Resolution No. 102, by Mr. Castleman.

Resolution No. 102, by Mr. Castleman.

Resolved. That the people of Louisiana, in convention assembled, hereby indorse and commend the action of our members of the House of Representatives in voting \$50,000,000 to be placed at the disposal of the President to sustain the national honor and enable the proper authorities to place our coast defenses in such condition that they may successfully resist any attack that may be made upon them by any foreign power.

Resolved further, That the secretary be required to forward to each of our members in the House of Representatives a copy of this resolution.

A true and correct copy.

[SEAL.]

ROBT. S. LANDRY, Secretary.

Attest:

E. B. KRUTTSCHNITT, President.

[Applause.] Mr. Chairman, why, may I ask, do we behold this extraordinary concord of public men of both parties and of the people of every section of the Union? It is due to the fact that we stand confronted with a grave public exigency and a great peril, which to most men was an entire surprise. We are at peace nominally with all countries, but are liable at any moment to be involved in a war with Spain.

WAR NOT DEPENDENT ON ONE SIDE ONLY.

It is true that by the Federal Constitution the power of declaring war is confided exclusively to Congress, and Congress is in session and is likely to continue in session for some months to come. But war is not dependent on one side alone, and very often it is not preceded by formal declaration of war on either side. It comes "like a thief in the night," often without note of warning; yet we have had many people in this country who have argued and eated arount he assumption that a foreign war was out of the and acted upon the assumption that a foreign war was out of the

question—an utterly impossible contingency. They have scouted the idea that any military or naval preparation for a possible war

was necessary.

Looking to the eastward they beheld 3,000 miles of salt water lying between us and the armed camps of Europe. Invasion was impossible, and therefore they inferred that no foreign fleet would ever bombard our cities, or ravage our coasts, or harass our commerce. All we had to do was to cultivate the gentle arts of peace at home and be good. Foreign nations would respect our weakness and our inability to deliver a return blow. They would be merciful. History would be reversed the laws of human nature

and human passion be suspended.

Even the fate of China, caught suddenly some years ago, and without preparation, lying prostrate, after a very short war, before an athlete nation having less than one-tenth of her numbers, carried with it no lesson, no moral, no warning to this class of men. Mr. Chairman, the theater of war, if such must come, will

be not on the land but on the sea.

SUFFICIENCY OF OUR ARMY.

So far as our Army is concerned, I freely concede that it requires so far as our Army is concerned, I treely concede that it requires no addition to place us in a position of defense. It is large enough for peace purposes and can easily and quickly be expanded in event of war. We have a large body of the best officers, trained at West Point, and at the State military schools, and in the war between the States. Our citizen soldiery would make a large force. With a good supply of skillful officers, it requires but a short time to make a good supply of skillful officers, it requires but a short time to make a good supply of skillful officers, it requires but a short time. to make a good soldier of an American citizen. He is apt, quick, and intelligent. We could soon have a large and effective force.

We have no invasion to fear on the southward; our relations with Mexico are most cordial and sympathetic, with an annually increasing intercourse of trade and commerce binding us together. In fact, we have taken the Republics of the western world un-der protection and friendship, and have come to the belief that our chiefest honor, not to speak of advantage, lies in an emphatic and honest assertion of the Monroe doctrine, to the author of which, a Virginian, one of the South American States has reared a monu-

with the Dominion of Canada we have, unfortunately, far more friction, but we have with her a very large and growing trade, and there is little prospect of conflict. I see, therefore, no reason for the increase of the Army unless war should become flagrant, and I do see many strong reasons to the contrary. The defense of our cities by proper fortifications is a necessary step that requires no increase of the Army beyond what has already been done, and ought to have been completed long ago.

CAN NOT IMPROVISE A NAVY.

But, Mr. Chairman, you can not improvise a Navy, even with fifty or one hundred millions placed at the discretion of the President. You may have an ample supply of officers, yet even these require experience in the handling of ships and in habits of command. Nor can you make a sailor as quickly as you can a soldier. Still less can you improvise a man-of-war's crew, with a thorough knowledge of all they have to do and possessing the discipline and training needed to make them efficient in sea service and in battle. But, sir, even if you had a good supply of officers and men, an ample supply of guns in service and in reserve, and other articles

ample supply of guns in service and in reserve, and other articles needed for equipping or refitting your battle ships and cruisers, there would still remain the necessity for an immediate force of ships, not of commerce but of war ships. A battle ship or an armored cruiser is not built in a day any more than Rome was—not even in the old days of wooden ships could a war fleet be improvised or built expeditiously. The nation that was caught by a war unprepared was bound to suffer, and did suffer severely.

And now, with the development of naval construction, the build-And now, with the development of naval construction, the building of a war vessel, with her complicated machinery, is a gigantic and tedious undertaking. In every country, even with skilled mechanics, the best dock yards, and ample material, it takes a year to build a battle ship. Our battle ships, both of the first and second class, have occupied over two years in construction, sometimes three. So that if war should come upon us suddenly, and we should be overmatched by our enemy in naval force, we would have to suffer all the evils of being driven from the sea for one or two years at least, and the consequent injury to our commerce and two years at least, and the consequent injury to our commerce and to exposed points on the coast, while in our dock yards we would be trying to catch up and remedy the disparity of naval force. There would still remain the serious problem of making a union or concentration of the new and the old fleet in one force capable

of regaining our lost control of the sea.

Our situation is not at all like that of Great Britain and France each of which has one or two great naval stations, where naval construction and repair on a large scale can be conducted and a fleet built. We have no such stations, as we all know. They remain to be built up and developed in the future.

In view of these facts, which are known not only to the naval

expert, but to every intelligent man, it is obvious that to wait for a war and to await naval preparation until war actually arrives, in the hope that a disparity in naval force can be speedily corrected, is an idea unworthy of rational and patriotic men. It is the very

as an idea unworthy of rational and patriotic men. It is the very acme of human folly.

What has been said in respect to war vessels applies with nearly equal force to the dock yards and dry docks, which are absolutely needed for the cleaning and repair of our war vessels. These dry docks do not, perhaps, demand the same length of time for their construction as battle ships, but they do requiremany months and careful skill in order to fit them for the uses of the Navy.

The truth of this fact is found in the report of the Secretary of

The truth of this fact is found in the report of the Secretary of

the Navy.

DOCKING THE INDIANA AT HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA.

In August last it became necessary to dock the battle ship Indiana. It was found that we had no dry dock on the Atlantic or Gulf coast adequate for this purpose, and thus we presented the humiliating spectacle before the world of having to send our grand battle ship on a voyage to a dock yard of a foreign government with which but a few brief months before we were on the brink of a hostile collision, and this for the simple cleaning and repairs for which we should have been prepared in our own yards on the instant.

I would like to correct the statement just made as to the fact that we have no dry dock on the Atlantic coast in which one of the first-class battle ships can be placed, by coast in which one of the first-class battle snips can be placed, by the statement that the battle ship Indiana, on the only occasion that she has been docked in this country, was safely put into the dock at Port Royal, thoroughly cleaned, and was safely taken out without the slightest difficulty whatsoever, and there is no sound reason that can be given why that battle ship should have been sent out of this country to be docked in August last.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Mr. Chairman, I do not propose to enter into a discussion as to the merits of the Port Royal dock. I have always been its friend: but the fact exists and has been

have always been its friend; but the fact exists and has been demonstrated that at the period named it was inadequate and in-capable of docking the *Indiana*, and this only accentuates the need

for the additional docks provided in the bill.

I feel assured, Mr. Chairman, that the Secretary of the Navy would never have sent the *Indiana* to a foreign port to be docked without actual necessity. He is a prudent, thoughtful, and able official, and acted in the matter with great reluctance and only from the stress of the situation.

NAVAL PREPARATION AND CONSTRUCTION URGED.

But, Mr. Chairman, on all these questions I take no new position. I merely stand where I have stood ever since I have been in Congress, and especially as a member of the Naval Committee have I urged in season and out of season prompt naval preparation and construction, which shall bear some proportion, at least, to our extended coast and commerce, our vast wealth and resources, our population and our dignity as a Republic, and the great interests, present and prospective, which we may be called on to

I presented these views at more length than I shall to-day in speaking to this House on the naval appropriation bill in February, 1895. On that occasion I gave some brief view of our naval history, and of the achievements of the Navy, and of the progress made in the construction of the new Navy under Secretaries Chandler, Whitney, Tracy, and Herbert.

But, sir, I strongly urged going on with the good work that had been begun. I pointed the danger of foreign complications in the future, and that while we had the wealth and resources which enabled us to become a leading naval power, if we desired, we were then only the seventh of all the nations in naval strength. I made a partial comparison of the additions Great Britain, France, Gera partial comparison of the additions Great Britain, France, Gera partial comparison of the additions Great Britain, France, Germany, Italy, and Russia were then making to their armament. All these facts had been stated time and time again by the heads of the Navy Department, but they failed to lead Congress to realize the obvious duty of putting the country in a proper state of defense. I repeat what I said then, and the years that have elapsed only accentuate the force of my statement, that there is a great misaparehersion in the public mind touching the actual and relative

prehension in the public mind touching the actual and relative strength of the Navy.

OUR "NEW NAVY."

So much has been said in the press and on the stump about the "new Navy" that the public have grossly overestimated its fighting capacity. True, I may assert that the ships we have are of the best, and our personnel active, keen, and equal to the highest

traditions of their predecessors.

It is indeed a powerful Navy, compared with the best fleet of wooden ships that the United States or any other nation ever possessed, but it is far inferior to the present navies of France, Germany, and Russia. It is a very small Navy compared with that of Great

Britain. It is inferior to that of Italy, a country nearly bankrupt, with about one-third of our population, and still more inferior in wealth and the interests she may be called on to defend.

wealth and the interests she may be called on to defend.

The able report of the Secretary of the Navy, Mr. Long, gives us the present strength of our Navy. There are only four battle ships of the first class and two of the second class. One of these, the Maine, now lies at the bottom of Habana Harbor, the victim probably of an assassin's foul act, whose instigators, whoever they may be, evidently took no thought from the words of the English statesman, that "assassination has never changed the history of the world." [Applause.]

he world." [Applause.]
The loss of one or many American battle ships can not, shall not obstruct the march of events nor stay the progress of a people aspiring to be free from the thraldom and cruelty of a nation once powerful, once great, but now reduced by her own misconduct to

a struggle for her own political existence.
So, then, we have only 5 battle ships left. We have 5 more of the first class now being built, and these, the Secretary tells us, will not be ready for service before the latter part of 1899, say eighteen to twenty months from now. Even the torpedo boats— an essential part of our system of coast defense—will not be ready for a considerable time to come.

NAVAL CONSTRUCTION ABROAD.

In the same report the Secretary tells us of the progress of naval construction abroad.

naval construction abroad.

England leads with appropriations for the year 1897-98 amounting to \$26,500,000. Including new work, she will complete or have under construction during the year 15 battle ships—equal to three times our existing force, in addition to the great number she had heretofore—12 first-class cruisers, 9 second-class cruisers, 10 third-class cruisers, 56 torpedo-boat destroyers, and other boats, a total of 117 vessels under construction.

France is building 17 vessels, at a cost of some \$16,000,000. Japan, a nation newly born, with a very small territory and not half our population, comes next, with a construction of 4 large first-class battle ships, 4 armored cruisers, 6 protected cruisers, 9 torpedo-boat destroyers, and 13 torpedo boats. This construction of Japan is going on with the greatest rapidity. She will soon become the first in naval strength on the Pacific Ocean, and greatly superior to the United States in that quarter.

Germany, Russia, and Italy are building war ships readily.

Spain has increased her naval power during the year by the purchase of 1 and the completion of 2 first-class armored cruisers, 1 protected cruiser, 2 gunboats, and 6 torpedo-boat destroyers. She

chase of 1 and the completion of 2 first-class armored cruisers, 1 protected cruiser, 2 gunboats, and 6 torpedo-boat destroyers. She is also building 4 armored cruisers, 4 protected cruisers, 4 gunboats, 4 torpedo ships, and 3 battle ships, to be fitted with new boilers and guns in France.

The facts stated by the Secretary of the Navy in this report are highly suggestive. It is well to bear in mind that these programmes of naval construction are carried on far more rapidly

in Europe than in this country.

This is particularly true in respect to Great Britain, which is building war vessels not only for her own navy, but in her private shipyards for other countries.

I believe it is true that a first-class battle ship, which in this country can not be built in less than two years or more, can be completed and equipped in a single year in Great Britain. It is said that we can build in this country war ships equal to those of any other country in the world.

Doubtless this is true, but there remains an important question worthy of study by our naval experts and by all persons responsible for the conduct of public affairs, and that is, Can we build these war vessels in case of emergency as rapidly as European countries—for example, Great Britain—and if not, how can this deficiency be best and most promptly supplied?

I may add here that the prompt and convenient docking and repair of our war vessels is fully as important as the rapidity of their construction and of their necessary armament.

Mr. Chairman, since the date of the Secretary's last report we have seen a number of newspaper publications, which go to show that in the last few weeks very considerable additions have been made by Russia, Germany, and other nations to their programmes

of naval construction.

In some of these cases, as I understand, the money invested is not doled out from year to year in an annual appropriation bill, but is given in a lump sum, or in a mode resembling the contract system which obtains in our important river and harbor work, with the results of increased expedition and greatly increased economy.

I have felt that a full comparison of the fighting strength of our Navy as compared with other countries, and what each country is doing in the way of naval construction, is so important, in order that we may see exactly where we stand, what our danger is, and what our duty is to the people whose honor and security we have

in charge, that I have sought and obtained from our Naval Intelligence Bureau-

A COMPARATIVE SUMMARY OF THE PRINCIPAL FLEETS OF THE WORLD,
BUILT AND BUILDING.

I beg leave to insert this valuable statement here, and to be-speak for it the most careful consideration.

Comparative summary of the principal fleets of the world built and building.

Classes of vessels.		British Empire.		France.		Russia.		Italy.		Germany.		United States.		Japan.		Spain.		Chile	
		a.	ь.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	ь.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.
Fit for line of battle	A.BCD.FG.	29 12 11 5 18 17		5 13		6 10	222	2273	160	4 7 8 8	3	1 6 2 2	5	21	3	1 2 5	4	111111	
Commerce protection and scouting	H	46 11	3 10	6 14	3	ī		5188	 1	3	6	11 3 8		8 3	ĩ	6	3	1 2	1
Coast defense	E N	1 11 49 33		13 12 14 13		21 13		15	2	6 11 2 8 9		313		6 1		5 2 11 11	3	4	1
Torpedo attack and de- fense	O P Q	90 51 30 104		43 145 56	28	67 6 99		15 104 4 69	13 	9 65 58 16		7	884	22	8 1 13	6 10 26 7		6 1	
Summary: Line Cruisers Coast defense Torpedo flotilla Unclassified vessels			12	46 51 26	11 8	30 23 34	10 2 14	20	6	22 18 13 151 18	47	13 27 13 8 24	-	15 6	22	8 12 13 60 96	6	27	2 -

A. First-class battle ships.

B. Second-class battle ships.
C. Third-class battle ships.
D. Seagoing coast-defense ironclads.
E. Nonseagoing coast-defense ironclads.
F. Armored cruisers.
G. First-class protected cruisers, 6,000 tons and over.
H. Second-class protected cruisers, 3,000 tons to 5,999 tons.
J. Third-class unprotected cruisers ander 3,000 tons.
L. Third-class protected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
K. Third-class partially protected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
L. Third-class unprotected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
M. Torpedo gunboats.
M. Gun vessels, third class, mounting heavy guns for coast defense.
O. Torpedo boats, first class.
Q. Torpedo boats, first class.
Q. Torpedo boats, second class.
R. Torpedo boats, third class.
The unclassified vessels comprise all armed vessels not included in the above-mentioned classes, and consist of gunboats, special-service vessels, old and slow cruisers, small gun vessels, and armed dispatch vessels.
Under each nation column a contains the number of vessels completed; column b the number of vessels building, and shows the present activity in naval construction. Column a may be taken as the present naval strength of each nation; columns a and b combined, as the strength in 1990.
A table showing the number of naval dry docks in each country will be found in Appendix K, Senate Document 107, Fifty-fifth Congress, second session.

RICHARDSON CLOVER, Chief Intelligence Officer.

It is not necessary for me to comment upon this table. It tells the story of our lack of naval preparation and backwardness as compared with the other great powers of the world far more eloquently than any words of mine could. It may be said that we have been only a few years at work reconstructing our Navy on the lines of modern science and development; but, sir, this work was begun at least fifteen or sixteen years ago, under the Admin-istration of President Arthur, and I do not think the public can be convinced that much greater progress could not have been accomplished.

Mr. GAINES. Will the gentleman allow me a question?
Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Certainly.
Mr. GAINES. How many ships does this new bill propose?
Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Three battle ships and 12 torpedo

Mr. GAINES. Not taking into consideration those now in course of construction?

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. No, sir.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. No, sir.
Germany is ahead of us. She began after we did. She has only half our population, far inferior wealth and resources, a small coast line, and, until the North German Canal was lately built, no direct and easy communication with the sea.

Her armies have for centuries been prominent in history, but practically she has not till this generation possessed a navy. With a bound she goes to the front. She has a colonial system, and is able to defend it.

Holding these views as to our data and the public perception.

Holding these views as to our duty and the public necessities, I

welcome even the exceedingly modest and reasonable addition of 3 battle ships and 6 torpedo boats and 6 torpedo destroyers proposed by the bill.

ALGIERS DRY DOCK.

With equal satisfaction do I hail the step which proposes to give our war ships adequate docking and repair facilities upon the Atlantic, the Pacific, and the Gulf coasts.

I have steadily favored and urged this general policy. I have especially urged the reasons which recommend and make necessary a dock at Algiers, a part of New Orleans and opposite its main environs. In February, 1897, I discussed this subject in the debate upon the naval appropriation bill. I treated the question from no sectional standpoint, for I favored suitable docks at Puget Sound, New York, Portsmouth, Norfolk, and the New England coast; but it became my duty to present with special emphasis the great importance of going on with the dock at New Orleans, which had been recommended by two separate and successive naval commissions as combining in the highest degree the requisites for a dock upon the Gulf coast.

Sites for a dock upon the Gulf coast.

Unfortunately, nothing was effected at that session, and this year, as I have already stated, we found ourselves without a single dry dock on the coast of either the Gulf or the Atlantic capable of accommodating the battle ship Indiana, so that she was obliged to be sent to the port of Halifax, Nova Scotia, a long voyage to a

foreign shipyard.

THE COUNTRY AROUSED. This incident aroused the country. The Secretary of the Navy very properly appointed a special board last August to examine very properly appointed a special board last August to examine the matter, and upon the reception of its report he strongly presented the subject in his annual report. It is a valuable feature of this document that it gives the comparison of the docking facilities of the principal maritime powers. It presents a melancholy exhibit for this country, but it is well to know the whole truth, so as to be able to provide for the emergency.

DRY-DOCK PROVISIONS IN NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL

The bill of the committee has provided for five dry docks, to be constructed at Portsmouth, N. H., Boston, Mass., League Island, Pa., Mare Island, Cal., and Algiers (New Orleans), La., and makes an adequate appropriation for beginning the work. It is possible, indeed, that one or two other places might have been provided for, but it can not be doubted that the points chosen will result in the public good.

This is unquestionably true in regard to the selection of New Orleans, for a dock on the Gulf coast is indispensable in the opinion of naval officers, and naval experts say emphatically that New Orleans is the true point to locate it.

At one time a timber or concrete dry dock was favored for this place, but the naval board recommended in lieu of this "a double-tiled death floating dock of the true known as the combined float.

sided steel floating dock of the type known as the combined floating and graving self-docking dock, to be capable of lifting a war vessel of the largest size and deepest draft of water."

Mr. DRIGGS. I desire to ask the gentleman a question, if he

will yield.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Certainly.
Mr. DRIGGS. I want to ask if these steel floating docks have been tested until they have been found satisfactory?
Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. For many years docks of this character have been in use in this and other countries. I am informed that the floating dock passed through the same development of material—wood, iron, and steel—as ships, and has kept pace with

their development.

A floating steel dock of 17,500 tons is now in operation in Hamburg. In England this development has been especially rapid, and many of them are in successful operation.

Mr. HILBORN. Will the gentleman allow me?

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. With pleasure.

Mr. HILBORN. I will say that the floating dock has been thoroughly tested and approved. It is a modification of an American invention. It has been adopted and improved by the English, and England on her foreign stations, notably at the Bermudas, uses a floating dock. And Spain has now in the harbor of Habana a floating dock that has a battle ship on it to-day.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I thank my friend.

Mr. KING. Does not the gentleman from California know that the floating dock to which he refers, in the harbor of Habana, has been sunk and disabled? It was sunk when I was there.

been sunk and disabled? It was sunk when I was there.

Mr. HILBORN. Oh, no; the gentleman has been misinformed, because to-day it has a battle ship on it.

Mr. KING. I was there and know that it was sunk.
Mr. HILBORN. You saw it at a time when it was crippled;
but to-day it is floating a battle ship.
Mr. DRIGGS. I should like to ask the gentleman from Louisiana another question, Mr. Chairman.
Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Certainly.
Mr. DRIGGS. I desire to ask him in relation to the number of dry docks. Last summer, as I remember it, there was a commission appointed and each denomination of docks was considered,

and they provided for a certain number of docks. Does this bill

provide for a less or a greater number?

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. The bill provides for a smaller number of docks than the commission recommends. Their report sets forth six docks at various points as "urgently necessary" and five as additional "to place the docking facilities of the country on an adquate footing" mr. HILBORN. And one of them a floating steel dock.
Mr. DRIGGS. Thank you.
Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. The reasons assigned by the board

for preferring the steel floating dock are:

1. Changes in water level from high and low river do not affect

its operation.

2. It is located in fresh water, and expense of maintenance will be small.

3. Great depth of water within mooring distance of wharf. 4. Rapidity with which a floating dock can be constructed to meet the urgent need for a dock on the Gulf of Mexico (ten months

being the time estimated for its construction).

The necessity for pushing work of this character is manifest. The necessity for pushing work of this character is manifest. At the date of the Secretary's report there was no dock on the Atlantic coast capable of accommodating a great battle ship. A few days ago a New York journal which pays close attention to such matters stated that unless the two docks on the Atlantic which are large enough to serve the *Iowa* and *Massachusetts* will soon be ready, it might become necessary to send these ships to Halifax for cleaning.

I trust there will be no opposition to this policy. The entire expenditure, either in construction or maintenance, will not equal the cost of one battle ship with her armament, and it will render our naval establishment efficient both in war and peace. It is a measure of economy at all times.

measure of economy at all times.

UNCERTAINTY OF OUR FOREIGN RELATIONS.

In urging as I do and have done the duty of making adequate naval preparations I have not felt it necessary to discuss the Cuban question or the issues now existing or that may arise between

this country and Spain.

I trust that peace may be preserved. I value its inestimable blessings. But it is enough for my argument to point out the uncertainty and insecurity of our foreign relations. The lightning's flash of the cables encompassing the world, the speed of steam propellers, and all the agencies of our nineteenth-century progress and wonderful inventions bring the nations of the earth in close and hourly contact with us, and much as we may endeavor to observe the wise advice of the great father of our country, "to extend our commercial relations with foreign nations, but to have with them as little political connection as possible," modern conditions may prevent us. A country great as we have grown to be must take its part and share in the activities and humanities of the civilized world. It is inevitable; no mere theories can

of the civilized world. It is inevitable; no mere theories can avert it. [Applause.]

The fifty-million appropriation unanimously voted by Congress was not only a declaration of our purpose to stand by the country in time of war; it was also a confession by Congress that we are lacking, sadly lacking, in preparation for war, and that whatever money, freely voted, would do to atone for our past neglect and want of foresight would be cheerfully, promptly given.

How much better it would have been if three or four, or better still, six years ago we had put ourselves in a condition of military and naval preparation which we would be only too happy to have to-day.

Such an act, such a wise policy, would have been the surest agency for peace that we could possibly have employed.

A weak and unprotected coast and commerce are always an invi-tation to aggression by foreign powers. One of our earlier Presidents—John Adams—observed in one of

his messages to Congress A mercantile marine and a military marine must grow up together; one can not long exist without the other.

If we are to have an extended commerce, rich and thriving cities on the seaboard, with vast wealth, as we have, or a foreign policy that takes care of our interests, as we ought to have, we must be prepared to defend what we have got, and to enforce our just demands.

Acting on this line, we can not only hold our place among the nations of the earth, but we can preserve "peace with honor."

[Applause.]

SEA POWER ESSENTIAL TO NATIONAL GREATNESS.

Mr. Chairman, I trust it is needless for me to enlarge upon the value and importance of sea power. No nation can be complete or great without it. It was sea power that gave preeminence to Athens, Carthage, and Rome in ancient days. The lack of it, as compared with England, enfeebled France in her long struggle with Great Britain from 1792 to 1814, and not even the genius of Napoleon was able to surmount the difficulties growing out of the immense superiority of England on the sea.

The victory of Trafalgar over the fleets of France and Spain

balanced that of Austerlitz, and left England free to exert her arms, money, and vash resources with the certainty that her own soil and industries would be secure against interruption or dev-Our success over Mexico from 1846 to 1848 was mainly dependent upon our command of the sea to transport armies and their supplies. Even in the hour of peace we find a continual demand for the services of our small Navy.

Mr. Chairman, I have consumed more time than I desired to do,

and yet have hardly glanced at the important question before us.

I beg to conclude with a quotation from a letter of John Adams, written in the year 1802. It contains words of wisdom, and if penned with special reference to present conditions it could not be more true and appropriate than it is after the lapse of near a century. It is reenforced by every page of modern history:

The counsel which Themistocles gave to Athens, Pompey to Rome, Cromwell to England, De Witt to Holland, and Colbert to France I have always given and shall continue to give to my countrymen—that as the great questions of commerce and power between nations and empires must be decided by a military marine, and war and peace are determined at sea, all reasonable encouragement should be given to the Navy.

The trident of Neptune is the scepter of the world.

[Prolonged applause.]
Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Mr. Chairman, I now yield to my colleague the gentleman from West Virginia [Mr. DAYTON] thirty minutes, or so much of it as he may desire.
Mr. DAYTON. Mr. Chairman, inasmuch as this bill now pre-

sented for the consideration of the House increases appropriations something more than \$3,000,000 over the bill of last year, it is well for the House to clearly understand the provisions that make up that increase. Generally stated, three items constitute it.

First, the increase of the force of 2,000 men and boys, made nec-

essary by the manning and putting into actual service of the ships; second, the increase in the number of vessels that shall hereafter constitute our Navy and provided by this bill, viz, the 3 battle ships, 6 torpedo-boat destroyers, and 6 torpedo boats. In addition to this, the bill has provided for the rebuilding of a gunboat to take the place of the one substantially disabled upon the lakes. The third principal item which has helped to make up this increase is the provision of the bill for the five dry docks that it has been deemed necessary to build at Portsmouth, Boston, League Island, Algiers, and Mare Island.

Mr. Chairman, every thoughtful citizen of the Republic will agree with the Secretary of the Navy that this country is to be congratulated upon the fact that we do not need either the vast on free and armament required by the other great powers. Our forefathers, chastened by the deadly and protracted struggle of the Revolution, builded better than they knew, and when by the Monroe doctrine they established the principle as one of fixed national policy that we would not allow ourselves to become entangled in the meshes and network of European politics, but that our interests and our action should center alone in the Western Hemisphere, we made way for the dismissal of armies and gave full sweep to the tide of development and national progress that has

sweep to the fide of development and national progress come to us beyond the wildest dream of one hundred years ago.

Separated as we are by vast seas, we can rest in security and tranquility, notwithstanding the "armed peace" of Europe, with its Franco-Russian alliance, its triple alliance between Germany, Austria, and Italy facing each other, while England, with her characteristic energy, maintains singly and alone a naval armament equal to and able to cope with that of either of the alliances

in case it shall become necessary.

We need no navy to protect foreign colonies or to enforce our rule in distant empires. We are not interested in the questions of the East, nor will we be affected if China in the future shall seek to revenge her recent humiliation at the hands of Japan.

But, Mr. Chairman, while we may rejoice in our favorable location and conditions, this sense of security should not cause us wholly to overlook the wise truth proclaimed by the great German chancellor, the man of "blood and iron," Count Bismarck, that the best means of securing and maintaining peace is being prepared, in a measure at least, for war. We must not forget that while oceans intervene between us and other great nations, those oceans are now underlaid with strands of cabled steel, by which communication can be had in a few minutes, and that we are, therefore receasily in much alcor touch with forging property. therefore, necessarily in much closer touch with foreign powers than in years gone by.

We must not forget, either, that the obligation is upon us, not alone from considerations of national pride and the maintenance of the American spirit of self-respect, but also from that of the of the American spirit of self-respect, but also from that of the proper protection of our citizens abroad, to not wholly ignore our naval armament. I am fully persuaded of one thing, and that is, constituting, as we do, a body of 70,000,000 brave, self-reliant, and intelligent people, wholly wedded to the principle of self-government and having proved beyond peradventure that such government can be, and by us is, made as strong, stable, and enduring as any other on earth, we need have little fear of hostile

Exercising the intelligent freeman's right to agree and disagree

upon questions of internal policy, but always ready, when danger from outside threatens, to bury on the instant all differences, to respond with one voice, to follow one flag, to know but one tie—that of American brotherhood—I say, under such conditions as these we need have little fear of being assailed at our own firesides. Too many self-constituted "minutemen" and sentries

will spring up to allow that.

I submit, therefore, Mr. Chairman, that any future danger must be met almost altogether by naval forces and naval defenses, and that it is therefore wise policy for us to be liberal to our naval establishment and respond promptly and effectively to its needs and requirements. I submit further, Mr. Chairman, that it is also wise for us as an original proposition, wholly independent of present emergency necessities, to establish a fixed policy of increasing our Navy to a point where we will not be ashamed of it, or, in case of necessity, be compelled to scamper over the globe to have received.

by vessels to meet it.

Mr. Chairman, that being true, I hope—especially after having devoted these long weeks to consideration of the subject—that no one will be misled by the recommendation made by the Secretary of the Navy that we should build but one coast-line, first-class battle ship. The committee must understand that that recom-mendation was made under other than present circumstances; and I here state, personally, that the Secretary of the Navy is in entire accord with the committee in the recommendation that there shall be three instead of one. I have made this statement after a personal interview with him in regard to the matter. I have made it, too, in order that the three (it seems to me) important features in this bill may not be assailed here upon the question of economy, which is sprung so often in this House.

Mr. Chairman, the other subject, that of dry docks, has been considered long and thoroughly by the Committee on Naval Affairs. Its consideration has been based not only upon the recommendations of the Department, not only upon the recommendations of the Department, not only upon the recom-

mendations of the Department, not only upon the report of the board appointed to ascertain and determine how many of these dry docks should be built, but it has been based upon the inde-pendent examination made by the committee itself from other

and outside sources

A very strange thing has occurred in this House in the sessions that have gone by. It is to my mind a remarkable thing that heretofore we have been retarded in building dry docks by the fact that points of order have been made against this provision as being new and independent work, and therefore outside of the pro-

visions of the regular appropriation bill.

I sincerely hope and believe that when this measure comes to be considered upon its several provisions there will not be a man in this House who will attempt to raise this point of order and place himself in the strange position of saying that we are authorized to build new battle ships, to build new navy-yards, to build new tool houses and machine shops, to purchase the machinery necessary to build ships, but we are not authorized to erect, as it were, the scaffold upon which they are to be built, repaired, and cleaned. It seems to me that the day for that kind of economy has passed and that the time has come when we must not only furnish our Navy with the navy-yards, tools, and material necessary to repair our vessels, but must also furnish them with the docks to dock them.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I insist that the necessity for this increase in our Navy has been made thoroughly apparent, not only by the incidents and facts which have been transpiring within the last few years, but that the necessity is emphasized by a comparison of the Navy of this great nation of ours, the proudest, the noblest, the most civilized nation of the world, with the navies of the powers. This comparison emphasizes the fact I am insisting upon, that it is wise for us to pass, it is good policy for us to pass, and we shall not be true to the American spirit unless we do pass, almost, I might say, without question, the reasonable, prudent increase authorized by this bill.

I have also a statement-slightly different, I think, from that filed by my colleague from Louisiana [Mr. MEYER]—in regard to the naval equipment of the world. Gentlemen will understand that naval vessels are divided into different classes according to the different uses to which they are to be put. First, as to battle ships and other vessels that are capable for the line of battle.

While England has 75 already built and 13 building of these

that can go out into the broad sea to furnish the line of battle; while France has 46 built and 11 building; while Russia has 30 built and 10 building; while Italy has 20 built and 6 building; while Germany has 22 built and 4 building, the United States has 13 built and 5 building. Of the commerce protecting and scouting vessels that are designed to protect commerce, England has 119 built and 17 building; France,51 built and 8 building; Russia,23 built

and 2 building; Italy, 17 built and 1 building; Germany, 18 built and 7 building; the United States, 27 built and no others building.

Take it all the way through. Take the coast-defense vessels:
England has 60; we have 13; France has 26; Russia, 34; Spain, 13; Germany, 13. Of the torpedo boats, England has 308 built and 12 building; France has 257 built and 36 building; Russia, 161 built

and 14 building; Italy, 192 built and 16 building; Germany, 151 built and 1 building; Spain, 60 built and 3 building; Japan, 29 built and 22 building, and we have 8 built and 15 building.

The total navy of England includes, of all these different kinds of vessels, 632 built and 48 building; France, 482 built and 57 building; Russia, 303 built and 26 building; Italy, 266 built and 13 building; Spain, 189 built and 13 building; Japan, 74 built and 13 building; United States, 85 built and 20 building. I insist that when it is stated publicly—and I get this information from reliable sources—that in this coming year England proposes to spend \$127,000,000 in the increase of her Navy, Russia will spend for ships alone \$83,333,000, Germany will spend \$95,000,000, while France will build one battle ship, 8 cruisers, 8 destroyers, and 6 torpedo boats, and Japan will build 3 battle ships, 5 first-class cruisers, 6 second-class cruisers, 3 torpedo vessels, 8 destroyers, and 12 torpedo boats, class cruisers, 3 torpedo vessels, 8 destroyers, and 12 torpedo boats, every self-respecting American citizen must desire that we shall not be so far behind even Japan as we are in this work. [Applause.]
Other important information is contained in this statement.

have not time to read, but will incorporate it in the RECORD, by the leave of the House, for the consideration of the committee, as

a part of my remarks.

Mr. Chairman, a few more words, and I am done. I have always deeply deplored the custom so much indulged here, under the license of general debate, of discussing questions foreign to the bill under consideration. I would not for one moment express myself as I am about to do if I did not believe that not only the property in behalf of the increase of our New but also the argument in behalf of the increase of our Navy but also other

extraordinary and exceptional reasons justify me.

It is needless for me to call attention to the fact that we now have one less tattle ship than when we last discussed in this House a naval appropriation bill. This bill provides for a new Maine, but the American heart has not ceased to thrill with horror because the original Maine lies a wreck in Habana Harbor with 266 of her brave men buried beneath her. We can not, no matter how hard we may try, believe otherwise than that it would have been impossible for this condition of things to be a stern reality confronting us in any other harbor in the world.

We talk as we will about an accident, and yet the time when and the place where it occurred brings the full realization that

there is one nation, or at least one individual, who would destroy us if possible; and while I am in entire accord and sympathy with the wise and patient, yet prompt and patriotic, course pursued by the President in this matter; while I rejoice that nothing has been done in anger and haste, but a full investigation has been ordered by a board selected from the best, bravest, and most sensible body of naval officers to be found on earth, one member of which board I am proud to count as a constituent of mine, nevertheless, while our hearts may be full of sadness and indignation over this *Maine* tragedy, let us not for one moment forget that it is but an incident in a greater and more horrible tragedy transpiring daily before our eyes, and which, I insist, this great, enlightened, Christian nation

must stop. [Applause.]
Two hundred and sixty-six brave men are dead to-day as a result of the *Maine* tragedy. Over 200,000 are dead in Cuba and 255,000 more, largely helpless women and children, are being held in imprisonment and starved to death there to-day. We do not know as yet that the Spanish authorities blew up the *Maine* and destroyed the lives of our seamen, but we do know that Spanish authority is responsible for this wholesale deadly murder in the first degree going on within a hundred miles of our shores.

[Applause.]
And do not forget, Mr. Chairman, that the only cause for this the only crime that these pitiful dead and dying have been guilty of—is that, inspired by our example, they have longed and sought for free self-government. And do not forget also, Mr. Chairman, that when this House, almost by unanimous vote, followed by the Senate, declared in favor of belligerent rights for this distracted isle, had Mr. Cleveland acted promptly, at least 100.000 lives would have been saved. Cuba would have been free, and in all human probability the Maine would not be to-day a dismantled wreck, but would be peacefully cleaving the sea, manned by men now dead, and flying the proud American flag. [Applause.]

Do not spare in our remembrance, too, Mr. Chairman, that other

fact that when the question of belligerent rights was discussed in the Senate, by far the strongest, most earnest, and affecting words in behalf of such recognition came from the lips of the noble, venerated statesman who stands to-day at the head of President

McKinley's Cabinet.

Let us look at this question fairly and squarely. Under the Monroe doctrine, if Eastern powers should seek to settle the affairs of Cuba, we would regard it either as humiliation or an affront. The obligation is upon us. In this case we are our brother's keeper, and the blood of dead thousands cry aloud to us out of Cuba's ground.

I do not want war if it can be avoided. I want peace, but with honor and with a sense that I can look my wife and boy at home in the face and feel I have some heart and humanity left in me.

I do not want them to think that I can stand by and see other wives and children starved and strangled without a word of protest. With food and raiment in one hand and with its strong power backed by the righteous will of 70,000,000 of freemen, this Administration must intervene, stop this horror, and give Cuba her freedom.

This intervention may be done, I hope, peacefully. If so, God be praised; but if not, and it can only be done by war, let it come. Humanity, mercy, charity, and all the attributes of God Himself will be with us, and Cuba will be free. [Applause.]

Comparative summary of the principal fleets of the world, built and building

Classes of vessels.	British	Empire.	France		Russia	40.00000000	Thalw		Garman		United	States.	Tomor	oapan.	Spain.	-	Chill	-
	a.	b.	a.	ь.	a.	b.	a.	b.	α.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.
Fit for the line of battle.	29 12 11 5 18		12 4 5 13 12 2 16		6	2	62273	2	4 7 3 8	3	1 6 2 2	5	21	3	1 2 5		111111	
Commerce protection and I	17 46 11 21 23	iō	16 6 14	3		2 : : : :	5 1 8 3		3 7 1 6		11 388313			3 1	6	3	2	ī ī
Coast defense	11 49 33	6	12 14 13	2	21 13 8	1 1 1	14	2	6 11 2 9		13		6		5 2 11 11	3		-
Torpedo attack and de- fense	90 51 30	6	43 145	6 28	67 6	14	104	13	9 65 53	1	7	4		8 1 13	6 10		6 1	-
Summary: Line Cruisers Coast defense Torpedo flotilla Unclassified vessels	75 119 60 308 70	12	56 51 26 257 102	11 8 38	34		20 17 192 37	6	16 22 18 13 151 18	4 7	13 27 13 8 24	5	7 15 6 29 17	7 4 22	8 12 13 60 96	4	12 4 4 27 10	1 102 1 1
Total	632	48	482	57	303	26	266	23	222	12	85	20	74	33	189	13	45	2

- A. First-class battle ships.
 B. Second class battle ships.
 C. Third-class battle ships.
 D. Seagoing coast-defense ironclads.
 E. Nonseagoing coast-defense ironclads.
 F. Armored cruisers.
 G. First-class protected cruisers, 8,000 tons and over.
 H. Second-class protected cruisers, 3,000 tons to 5,999 tons.
 I. Second-class unprotected cruisers, 3,000 tons to 5,999 tons.
 J. Third-class protected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
 K. Third-class partially protected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
 L. Third-class unprotected cruisers under 3,000 tons, not including old and slow ships.
 M. Torpedo gunboats.
 N. Gun vessels, third-class
 - ow ships.

 M. Torpedo gunboats.

 N. Gun vessels, third class, mounting heavy guns for coast defense.

 O. Torpedo-boat destroyers.

 P. Torpedo boats, first class.

 Q. Torpedo boats, second class.

 R. Torpedo boats, third class.

The unclassified vessels comprise all armed vessels not included in the above-mentioned classes, and consist of gunboats, special-service vessels, old and slow cruisers, small-gun vessels, and armed dispatch vessels. Under each nation column a contains the number of vessels completed; column b the number of vessels building, and shows the present activity in naval construction. Columns a may be taken as the present naval strength of each nation; columns a and b combined as the strength in 1900.

Proposed naval expenditures, 1898.

ı	England proposes to spend	
	Russia will spend for ships alone	83, 333, 400
ı		95,000,000
ı	France will build—	
ı	Battle ship	1
ı	Cruisers	8
l	Destroyers Torpedo boats	8
ı	Japan will build—	0
1	The state of the s	9
ı	First-class cruisers	5
l	Second-class cruisers	
ı	Torpedo vessels	
ı	Destroyers	8
ı	Torpedo boats	12
١		

Now, Mr. Chairman, I yield the remainder of my time to the

Now, Mr. Chairman, I yield the remainder of my time to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Arnold].

Mr. Arnold. Mr. Chairman, we stand to-day on the verge of an armed conflict, and it were well that our actions be justified by the facts and approved by honorable men everywhere. It is clear that the Maine was in a supposedly friendly harbor, and was anchored in a particular spot by direction of the Spanish authorities. Qur naval court of inquiry, composed of men of unsullied honor and sterling integrity, it is believed, found that the vessel was blown up by some external force—a mine or torpedo.

As to what particular persons were the active agents we may not be informed directly, but we do know that the only party interested, and the only party which hates the United States and

terested, and the only party which hates the United States and

which was in a position to accomplish the cowardly and infamous act, was Spain. It may not have been officially directed, but the responsibility still exists. Without such direction there is such negligence as would make the party, in criminal law, guilty of manslaughter in a similar occurrence as between citizens of a State. To my mind there is moral and legal responsibility resting upon Spain. Assuming all this to be true—and I believe it to be true—what should be our demands in way of reparation? In this connection, I desire to refer to the suggestion of some un-American citizens, that the controversy be settled by arbitration.

I submit, Mr. Chairman, this can not be done with honor. have not only lost the Maine, which in money can be estimated, but there were ushered into eternity without a moment's warning 266 American sailors, whose lives were dear to them and dear to us, and this can not be estimated or measured. Shall we make merchandise of the lives of our people? Can money purchase human life? Is gold dearer to us than the blood of patriots? No, no! And there can not be and must not be any arbitration. [Applause.] Our honor is at stake and our flag insulted. If I insult any gentleman in this House, should there be arbitration to decide and inform that gentleman whether or not he has been insulted? Again, any agreement to arbitrate is an infamous reflection on the honor and integrity of the gentlemen who composed

our court of inquiry.

You may then say that my views would necessarily lead to war. You may then say that my views would necessarily lead to war. In connection with other facts which I will refer to, relative to Cuba, I unhesitatingly say, yes, war, with all that it implies, unless full damages be immediately paid and the complete independence of Cuba be at once obtained. War is the incarnation of an awful tragedy, but a greater tragedy was enacted when 266 brave American sailors went down to their death through the infamy and treachery of Spain or of some of her subjects. We all love peace, and it is dear to us, but may it never again be said of this great nation that we will purchase peace at the price of honor. [Applause.]

As to our recognition of belligerent rights or the independence of Cuba. I confidently assert we have both a moral and legal right so

Cuba, I confidently assert we have both a moral and legal right so International law materially differs from constitutional or statute law. First, it is not universal, and is not binding in law or conscience upon any except those nations which have so agreed. In fact, so-called international law is not law in its true sense, but is in the nature of a contract or compact or agreement by common consent. These principles, or rather agreements, are very broad and general in character, and no positive or inflexible

rule of action is prescribed.

The result or effect is that each particular case must be determined by the circumstances, surroundings, and facts of that case. But as to our interference in Cuba we have authority and prece-

dents. Grotius says:

The same justice which binds the individual as an individual must bind him as a state member. The law of nature which penetrates the individual conscience must guide the national conduct. Justice is approved of and injustice condemned by the consent of all good men in every relation of life.

D'Aubigne says:

There is a God in history. There is a moral power abroad whose dictates neither statesman nor nation can afford to despise.

Maine on International Law says:

This (Roman) jus gentium is identical with natural law, and this is, in truth, the highest law by which individuals and nations can be governed: highest in the absolute perfection of the rules which it contains; highest in the cogency of the commands which it utters, and highest in the absolute obligation of the duties which it enforces.

Let us now refer to precedents: In 1849 the United States early and promptly—being the first so to do—recognized the independence of far-off Hungary. In 1825 England recognized the independence of the revolted South American colonies of Spain, "because of sympathies, united with English commercial interests." The United States had several years earlier—in 1822—recognized the independence of these same South American republics. In 1802 England interpreted its representative in Paris as follows: 1802 England instructed its representative in Paris as follows:

You will state most distinctly His Majesty's determination never to forego his right of interfering in the affairs of the Continent on every occasion in which the interests of his own dominions may appear to him to require it. This right His Majesty possesses in common with every other independent power. It rests upon general principles.

It was in this same spirit and on the same principle that the British ministry defended the bombardment of Copenhagen and the seizure of the neutral Danish fleet.

Another precedent, interesting and pertinent at this time, was the prompt recognition by Spain, in June, 1861, of the independence of the Confederate States in our own land. But it is unnecessary to multiply authority and precedents. The millions spent by our country in preventing filibustering expeditions to Cuba, the loss of tens of millions in commerce, the illegal and revolting imprisonment by Spain of American residents in Cuba, these and many other good rescors demand immediate action, which has many other good reasons demand immediate action, which has been too long, much too long, delayed. The question will never be settled until it is settled right.

But throwing aside all precedents and all injuries, and not even considering the *Maine* disaster, there is a law greater and higher and nobler than any and all international law, the law of comand nobler than any and all international law, the law of common humanity, which not only justifies but demands immediate action at our hands. More than 400,000 innocent and peaceful men, women, and children deliberately starved to death within the last two years, and hundreds, if not thousands, now dying by starvation daily, almost within sight of our shores.

Intensely and sadly interesting as is Senator Proctor's and Senator Gallinger's portrayal of the sad scene, and though we have had full knowledge of the awful crime, no hand has been lifted to save. I have confidence in our present Chief Magistrate

lifted to save. I have confidence in our present Chief Magistrate. I hope and believe he will measure up not only to his full duty but his opportunities. Washington, because of his labors in the cause of liberty, will live through all time. Lincoln, in the courage, gentleness, and firmness of his great heart, through the emancipation proclamation, will be honored through all the countless ages yet to come.

And to our present Chief Magistrate there has come an opportunity seldom vouchsafed to man to build for himself, in the cause of humanity and freedom, a monument which all the assaults of all time can not destroy, and leave to posterity a glorious name, which will be revered by all men and which will be an inspiration to all in future ages who shall battle for their liberties. [Applause.]

The blood of these murdered people is on the hands of our nation to-day. The whole question has resolved itself into one of annibilation or independence. It has been well demonstrated that Spain can not conquer; so she has been pursuing the policy of annihilation by starvation. Simple and common humanity should now move us to duty too long delayed. Let us do right, though war result, as it probably will. The history of the world, from the slavery of the Israelites under Pharaoh down to this hour, here the theorems of liberty are always within in the blood of shows that charters of liberty are always written in the blood of

There can be no peace for Cuba, and we as a nation will ever be annoyed and troubled, until absolute independence is an accomplished fact. Independence is no new thing to the world at large or to ourselves as a nation. Autonomy for Cuba by Spain is a delusion, a snare, and a failure. Many centuries ago autonomy was a fascinating word to Hellenic ears, but it only paved the way to Hellenic subjection, and Greece perished.

In this emergency Providence points the way, duty bids us move on in the pathway of progressive civilization, humanity demands that we march resolutely forward, and justice insists that we punish deceit, perfidy, treachery, cruelty, tyranny, and savagery, which are the predominant traits of Spanish character. I put this statement against that made by General Blanco in Habana a few days ago:

The present generation will never see another banner than that of Spain at the entrance to the Gulf of Mexico. That banner, representing civilization, progress, liberty, humanity, and religion, will be eternal.

How matchless the irony; how infamous the falsehood!

In the splendid progress and civilization of the last four centuries by the great nations of the world, Spain has not taken one single step forward, and she to day belongs to the age of barbarism. She deserves no place and should not have a place on the map of the world. Compared with her treatment of Cubans. the Turkish massacres of the Armenians were humane.

It has been said that our intervention may cause foreign com-This should not deter us from our plain duty; but there is no such danger; any foreign interference would be met by the two great English-speaking people of the world. I would not favor "entangling alliances," but I would not refuse the active kindness of platonic, if not maternal, friendship. In this connection I am reminded of the statement of a prominent Spaniard, a few days ago, that if we became involved with Spain, there lard, a few days ago, that if we became involved with Spain, there was great danger of a revolt against our Government by the late Confederate States. What gross ignorance; what an infamous slander. 'Tis true the South suffered much at the hands of the North during our late strife. Very many of her best and bravest went down to their death; beautiful homes were ruined and desolate; sorrow and despair seemed their only heritage. But, withal, honor, courage, and determination were still theirs, and with these, as part and parcel of ideal manhood, a new South has sprung up, and joining hands with their brethren of the North we are all moving onward and unward to attain to the highest possibilities moving onward and upward to attain to the highest possibilities

of this our nation—one God, one flag, one country, one destiny.

And if that flag is ever assailed I know that no hand will be quicker than that of the South to strike down the assailant, and

and glory of this United States.

I will not detain the House longer, except to urge the duty of the hour. That duty is independence and intervention. In the name of the Christ, let us be humane; in the name of humanity, let us be just; in the name of humanity, let us be just; in the name of humanity, let us be just; in the name of justice, let us do right; and being right, let us dare maintain it. [Loud applause.]

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I now yield to the gentleman from

Georgia [Mr. TATE].

Mr. Chairman, it is not my purpose to discuss in detail theitems of this bill, but, sir, I desire briefly to review and contrast the extravagant appropriations for the past thirty years with the appropriations in the earlier days of this Republic, when its affairs were administered in the interest of all the people, and when economy was in reality practiced; when every dollar collected in taxes from the people was used to meet some legitimate runness and when the people of this country were contented, prospurpose, and when the people of this country were contented, prosperous, and happy.

Beginning with the Administration of President Washington,

we find that the net ordinary expenditures of his entire Administration were only \$20,024,062.59, and in 1790, with a population of 3,927,214 people, the net ordinary expenditures of this Government amounted to only 95 cents per capita.

During President Adams's Administration, from 1797 to 1800, the

people were only taxed, for the entire four years, \$21,379,357.62 to pay the net ordinary expenses of the Government, or an average

of a little over five millions each year.

President Jefferson, from 1801 to 1804, only spent \$17,174,482.96, or a little over four millions each year, and during his second Administration, from 1805 to 1808, only \$23,926,355.72 or an average of \$5,981,588.93 each year.

The ordinary expenses during President Madison's Administration, from 1809 to 1812, were \$36,147,857.98, being an average of \$9,036,964.49 for each year.

From 1813 to 1816, during President Madison's second Administration, the expenditures of the Government rose to \$108,537,086.88, or an average of \$27,134,271.47 each year, and were, during President Monroe's Administration, from 1817 to 1820, only \$58,698,087.71,

dent Monroe's Administration, from 1817 to 1820, only \$58,698,087.71, or an average of \$14,674,521.92 each year.

From 1821 to 1824, during President Monroe's second Administration, the expenditures of the Government were \$45,665,421.88, or \$11,416,355.47 each year, and the net ordinary expenses from 1825 to 1828, during President John Quincy Adams's Administration, were \$50,501,913.31, or an average of \$12,625,478.32 each year.

Then followed President Jackson's Administration, from 1829 to 1832, with an ordinary expenditure of \$56,251,200.30, or an average of \$14,062,800.07 each year.

From 1833 to 1836, during President Jackson's second Administration, the ordinary expenditures of the Government were \$89,532,286.68, or an average expenditure of \$22,383,071.67 each year; and during President Van Buren's Administration, from 1837 to 1840, the ordinary expenditures of the Government were \$121,729,801.16, or an average of \$30,432,450.29 each year.

The ordinary expenses during President Tyler's Administration, from 1841 to 1844, amounted to \$82,464,753.49, or an average of \$20,626,188.37 each year, and President Polk, from 1845 to 1849, expended \$147,342,853.34, or an average expenditure of \$36,835,713.33 each year.

expended \$147,342,555.54, or an average expenditure of \$56,555,713.33 each year.

The net ordinary expenses of President Taylor's Administration, from 1849 to 1852, amounted to \$161,604,204.92, or an average expenditure of \$40,401.051.23 each year.

The ordinary expenditures of President Pierce's Administration, from 1853 to 1856, were \$219,134,410.13, or an average of \$54,783,602.53; and during the Administration of President Buchanan, from 1857 to 1860, were \$264,784,285.65, or an average of \$66,196,071.41 each year.

071.41 each year.

This, sir, brings us to the beginning of the war, during which

This, sir, brings us to the beginning of the war, during which time, from 1861 to 1864, under President Lincoln's Administration, the ordinary expenditures of the Government were \$2,024,284,207.29, or an average of \$506,071,051.82 each year.

The ordinary expenditures under President Johnson, from 1865 to 1869, amounted to \$2,036,522.443.69, or an average of \$509,130,610.92 each year, and the ordinary expenditures under President Grant's Administration, from 1869 to 1872, amounted to \$665,703,545.87, or an average of \$166,425,886.46 each year, and during his second Administration, from 1873 to 1876, amounted to \$710,995,283.53, or an average each year of \$177,748,820.88.

The ordinary expenditures under President Hayes's Administration, from 1877 to 1880, amounted to \$609,383,412.21, or an average

tion, from 1877 to 1880, amounted to \$609,383,412.21, or an average of \$152,345,853.05 for each year, and during President Arthur's Administration, from 1881 to 1884, the ordinary expenditures were \$759,843,002.55, being an average expenditure of \$189,960,750.63

each year.

The ordinary expenditures from 1885 to the beginning of the

present fiscal year were as follows:

Year.	Amount.	Year.	Amount.
1885	\$208, 840, 678, 64	1892	\$321, 645, 214, 35
1886	191, 902, 922, 53	1893	356, 213, 562, 31
1887	220, 190, 602, 73	1894	339, 683, 874, 19
1888	214, 938, 951, 20	1895	325, 217, 268, 08
1888	240, 995, 131, 31	1896	302, 786, 385, 98
1889	261, 637, 202, 55	1897	365, 774, 159, 57
1890	317, 825, 549, 37	1898	432, 421, 605, 98

The estimates furnished by the Departments at the beginning

The estimates furnished by the Departments at the beginning of this session of Congress for the next fiscal year, ending June 30, 1899, are \$462,647,885.85.

Mr. Chairman, this is a brief review of the net ordinary expenditures of this country from the Administration of President Washington to this date. The entire expenditures of this Government from 1790 to 1850, for sixty years, only amounted to \$1,466,767,558.42, and when we consider, sir, that we are now expending more money in three years than we did in the sixty years referred to above we can get some idea of the enormous taxes that are now being collected annually from the people of this country. country.

But our friends will say, no doubt, to justify these expenditures, that we have increased in population and wealth, and that our expenses are necessarily more. I grant you, sir, that the expenses of the Government would necessarily be more than when this was a weaker or poorer country, but no better test can be made of this question than to take the amount of taxes per capita paid by the people of this country.

If our population has kept pace with our wealth, and it has, certainly our expenditures should not, with the exclusion of the expenses of this country during the late war, increase faster than

our population.

Sir, in 1800, with a population of 5,308,483 people, the net ordinary expenditures of the Government were \$7,411,369.97, being

\$1.10 per capita.

In 1810, with a population of 7,239,881 people, the expenditure per capita for each year, from 1800 to 1810, was \$2.50 per capita; and from 1810 to 1820, when we had a population of 9,633,822 people, we had an average expenditure of \$1.50 per capita per

From 1820 to 1830, when we had a population of 12,866,620 people, the expenditures per capita were only \$1.80, and from 1830 to 1840, with a population of 17,069,453 people, we had an expenditure of only \$1.70 per capita; and from 1840 to 1850, with a population of 23,191,879 people, we had an average expenditure of \$2.80

During the year 1860 the per capita expenditures of this Government were only \$1.92, but on account of the increased expenses of the war, the average per capita in 1870 increased to \$4.26, but in 1880 decreased to \$3.39; increasing again in 1890 to \$5.78 per

capita.

capita.
In 1891 the expenditures were \$6.58 per capita; in 1892, \$6.36 per capita; in 1893, \$6.88 per capita; in 1894, \$6.48 per capita; in 1895, \$6.21 per capita; in 1896, \$6.10 per capita, in 1897, \$6.27 per capita, and for 1896, counting our population at 70,000,000, we spent \$6.18 per capita. And the estimates for the year ending June, 1899, is \$6.60 per capita.

It will therefore be seen, Mr. Chairman, that the gradual increase of expenditures since the year cap not be justified from the standard of expenditures since the year cap not be justified from the standard.

It will therefore be seen, Mr. Chairman, that the gradual increase of expenditures since the war can not be justified from the standpoint that the population of the country is increasing, nor can it be defended from any other standpoint.

The argument in favor of high-tariff taxation is always put mon the ground of meeting the expenses of the Government. You

apon the ground of meeting the expenses of the Government. You seldom hear upon this floor, sir, any proposition urging a reduction of expenditures, but year by year all the power of the Government is used in taxing the people to meet these expenditures. Why not, sir, instead of increasing the taxes reduce the appropriations? In the ordinary business affairs of life when our expenses exceed our income we cut down our expenses of bankruptcy transfellow but this has not been the rule of the Government.

must follow, but this has not been the rule of the Government. While the expenditures have been piled mountain high, taxation must be in proportion, and the people have been taxed and taxed, as we are told, to meet the expenses of the Government, until the power to tax has almost been exhausted.

When we consider that the appropriations desired the constant of the

When we consider that the appropriations during thirty years

When we consider that the appropriations during thirty years of peace have almost equaled those necessary to carry on war, no man can justify or defend them. The extravagance that has been practiced in almost every department of this Government for the past thirty years is appalling.

We hear a great deal in these Halls at every session of Congress in reference to cutting down appropriations, but, sir, we have but one lamp with which to light our feet, and that is the lamp of past experience. We can only judge the future by the past, and what has there been in the conduct of the Republican party, which has continued to increase annually the burdens of taxation by extravagant appropriations, to lead us to hope or believe that a reduction in the enormous expenditures of public money is to be made? made?

Instead of reductions, the figures show that year after year of peace our appropriations have increased in every department of the Government until the taxing power of the Government is at the highest limit and the capacity of the people to paytaxes almost

exhausted, and the time has come when a halt must be called.

It seems, sir, that in the National, State, county, and municipal government, and all over this country, extravagance in the expenditure of public money is complained of. Those who advocate extravagant expenditures seem to forget that every dollar

squandered is collected from the people and represents the labor and privation of our citizens, and that every dollar unnecessarily collected suggests an unnecessary expenditure. Money collected from the people in time of peace beyond the amount absolutely necessary to support the economical administration of the Govern-

ment is robbery. [Applause.]

Sir, there is in my judgment no justification for the enormous increase for the past thirty years in the expenditures of this Government, but there is every reason for a reduction. Such enormous increases justify alarm. We should resist this ever-growing extravagance that has grown up in our country; we should shut down this flood gate of extravagant and unnecessary appropriations or there will be demoralization in the near future. We can not raise our voice too soon, for with this unheard-of increase in the expenditure of the people's money for the past thirty years it is not possible that there can be that purity in public affairs which should exist.

If we are to have honesty and integrity in the administration and conduct of the affairs of this Government we must have economy in our expenditures. We were taught this lesson in the purer and better days of this Republic, and such has been the teachings of history. Extravagant appropriations always breed corruption, which means death to the Government, while economy and integrity in the administration of public affairs is the very

foundation on which our Government must rest.

The expenditures of this Government must either be extravagant or economical; there is no middle ground. If economical, integrity and honor will direct and control the affairs of the Government; if extravagant, the people will groan under excessive taxes. We can not have purity in our Government unless we have economy. The standard of public honor will decrease year after year as the unnecessary, base, and extravagant expenditures increase.

Speaking for the people I have the honor to represent and the Democratic party to which I belong, I assert that in times like these, with want and hardship pressing upon the people, that I favor the lowest possible appropriations necessary for the support and economical administration of the Government. If the integrity and purity of this Government are to be preserved, it must be honestly and economically administered.

Mr. Chairman, the necessities—the absolute necessities—of a large portion of our people cry out for economy.

We have heard a great deal at this session of Congress about keeping down appropriations, and I do hope that this will be done. It seems to me, sir, that we have reached the limit, and it is time to call a halt.

If we listen to the heads of Departments who make recommendations, and who are always ready to furnish some excuse why appropriations should be increased, we will never make a reduc-

Sir, upon the slightest pretense or the smallest appropriation for any purpose we are met in the next Congress with a new divi-sion or bureau, with a chief and a full set of officials, and a recommendation for additional appropriations to carry it on.

This has gone on until to-day we have an army of officeholders and employees for this Government, a large number of whom are unnecessary and a dead expense.

In this connection it would be of interest to know the great in-

crease in the number of officeholders in this country, and for the purpose of giving this House and the country some idea of how great this increase of the officeholding class has been I will briefly review the same from 1820 to the present time.

It is difficult to find any authentic data on this subject, but from the best I can gather I find that we had 8,694 officeholders in

the service of the Government in 1820.

In 1830 we had		12,785
In 1840 we had		17,740
In 1850 we had		31, 149
In 1860 we had		
In 1870 we had		69,700
In 1880 we had		103, 140
In 1890 we had	1000	183, 488

If you will take the census from 1820 to 1860, you will find, by comparing the same with the above statement, that about one person out of every thousand in the United States held a Government job up to 1860, but since that time the Republican party has so increased the number of officeholders of this Government that

four persons in every thousand hold a Government office.

This demonstrates one of two propositions: That the average officeholder at this time possesses only one-fourth of the capacity of those who held offices from 1820 to 1860, or that we have a great many more officers than we need, and a trip through the Departments will convince any member of this House, from the number of heels he will find on top of desks, that the latter proposition is true at least, and, in my opinion, both.

Why should we have four people out every thousand drawing

a Government salary now when we only had one out of every thousand before 1860? There can be no valid reason offered for it.

The greatest increase, however, in the office-holding class is found in the number of employees of the Government here in Washington. I find that the several Departments had, in 1820, 332 employees here in Washington, and in 1853 there were 722, showing an increase from 1820 to 1853, a period of thirty-three years, of 390. I find that for the thirty-two years from 1853 to 1885 the number of employees increased from 722 to 12,717, being an increase of 11,995.

In 1889 we had 16,234 people employed in the Departments here in Washington. In 1891 this number had increased to 23,144, and the number increased from 1891 to 1896 until we had, in the latter year, 27,576. The increase from 1885 to 1896 was at the rate of 1,363 employees each year, or 113 each month, and when we consider that we have more people holding Government jobs here in Washington than we have soldiers in the Army of the United States, we can more fully appreciate why this office-holding class is referred to so often as "an army of officeholders."

In this connection I desire to say, sir, that while I favor and have at all times voted for and advocated economy in the expendihave at all times voted for and advocated economy in the expenditure of public money in times of peace, yet, if necessary for the defense of the nation, I stand ready to vote for any necessary appropriation that is needed for national defense, and should the present crisis result in war with Spain there will be no braver or more loyal defenders of our flag than the men of the South who wore the gray, and their decendants. [Applause.]

Sir, the history of the United States shows conclusively that the Democratic party has had a uniform policy of economically

the Democratic party has had a uniform policy of economically administering the affairs of the Government, and in opposing the unnecessary increase in public offices; while the Republican party has had a uniform policy of extravagant appropriations, reckless expenditures, and an unnecessary increase in the number of public offices. The position of the Democratic party, since its foun-

It offices. The position of the Democratic party, since its foundation, upon these two questions to which I have referred, and which I so fully indorse, is expressed in its last platform at Chicago, in the following language:

We denounce the profligate waste of money wrung from the people by oppressive taxation, and the lavish appropriations of recent Republican Congresses, which have kept taxes high, while the labor that pays them is unemployed and the products of the people's toil are depressed in price, till they no longer repay the cost of production. We demand a return to that simplicity and economy which befits a Democratic government, and a reduction in the number of useless offices, the salaries of which drain the substance of the people.

of the people.

[Applause.] The people of this country will soon be called upon to select between the party now in power, that has built up trusts and monopolies from one end of this country to the other, and which advocates the single gold standard and high tariff taxation, and the advocates the single gold standard and high tariff taxation, and the old Democratic party that brought our people the boon of self-government, that has always favored low taxes and economy, and that has been the uncompromising enemy of monopolies and privileged classes, the friend of the honest laboring man, and the advocate of both gold and silver, the money of the Constitution. Sir, with a national existence that dates back to the very birth of this Republic, and during all the storms through which this country, her pessed the happer of the Democratic party has been

country has passed, the banner of the Democratic party has been continuously borne by the legions of patriotic American citizens as the proud emblem of the very corner stone and foundation of this Republic. Upon its record and history and our principles and admitted economy in public affairs, together with our platform adopted at Chicago, we shall go before the people of this

That we shall elect a majority of the members of this House in the fall election, I believe. That we shall elect the next President of the United States, I am confident. We will witness then, indeed, a united people, enjoying all the blessings of constitutional government, administered in the interests and devoted to the honor and welfare of our whole country. [Loud applause on the Democratic side.]

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I yield to the gentleman from New York [Mr. DRIGGS]. Mr. DRIGGS Mr. Chairman, Boswell, in his immortal Life of

Johnson, records the eminent doctor's views upon controversy as

Why, sir, when you wish a man to have that belief which you think is of infinite advantage, you wish well to him; but your primary consideration is your own quiet. * * * No, sir; every man will dispute with great good humor upon asubject in which he is not interested. I will dispute very good upon the probability of another man's son being hanged, but if a man zealously enforces the probability that my own son will be hanged, I shall certainly not be in good humor with him.

I can conceive of no terser language with which to preface my remarks than the above, for respecting most highly and venerating greatly the reasoning faculties and abilities of the members of this House, I trust with the small arguments in my power that I may be able to somewhat prove that increase of the Navy and national defense would be to your "infinite advantage;" and I also believe it is possible to most conclusively show that your primary

consideration for your "own quiet," mutual advantage, progressive greatness, and expansion and extension of commerce absolutely de-

greatness, and expansion and extension of commerce absolutely demand that the Navy be considerably augmented and strengthened; therefore I wish well to you.

We do not care about the broils and quarrels of other nations, but if they attempt to quarrel with us, we should be prepared to creditably defend ourselves. My first proposition is: If the commerce of a nation is to be maintained, legislators must grant defense appropriations commensurate with its growth and development or not only will that commerce fede away and disappear. ment, or not only will that commerce fade away and disappear, but the nation itself will decline and eventually fall.

From the earliest ages to the present time history supplies proof upon proof of the absolute truth of this proposition, but in the limited time I have for the discussion of this entire subject it is

impossible to cite many of them.

The Phoenicians were the greatest navigators and merchants of antiquity, and for nearly five hundred years their seamanship and commercial activity made them almost absolute masters of the Mediterranean. Finally, however, the merchants and aristocracy, grown richer and richer, refused to spend sufficient money for war ships for the protection of their merchant marine, and this wonderful nation then fell an easy prey to Alexander the

You all remember how Demosthenes plead with the Athenians, in the speeches known as the Philippics, to provide more ships, appropriate more money, or else become conquered by Philip. No heed was paid to the advice, Greece was conquered, and the commercial party was the cause of the subjugation. Hannibal tells us that Rome became mistress of the world because the Carthagenians refused to increase and strengthen their naval force.

The Egyptians, too, during their five thousand years of existence, were repeatedly conquered by maritime powers.

It is only from the deepest vaults of history's storehouse that we are able to portray the greatness and grandeur of ancient Rome, and knowing that her decline and fall are directly attributable to the refusal of her merchants, traders, and pleasure seekers to allow moneys to be appropriated for an increase of her army and navy, we can not but think that here is a terrible example of what may follow insufficient protection to commerce.

During mediæval history one of the most powerful trade confederations that ever existed was the Hanseatic League. This league was a union of many cities banded together for the extension of commerce and the protection of their fleets from piratical attacks. Their great fleets of merchantmen, convoyed by powerful sloops and ships of war, were indeed remarkable evidences of the enter-

prise of the promoters of the league.

After an existence of four hundred years, through avarice, greed, and foolish sense of safety, the directors failed to provide a sufficient number of war ships for the protection of the fleets, and the league fell, destroyed by the freebooters and pirates of the

Assuming that the epoch of modern history begins with the year 1485, we are able to show the rise and fall of three great powers, the rise being directly attributable to power on the sea, and the fall attributable to failure to strengthen that power when

required.

Who has not read the story of the rise and fall of the Dutch Republic? Who has not admired the enterprise, pluck, and push

of the Dutch?

Shortly after its birth the republic became the most powerful maritime power in the world. Time and again its fleets, under command of the famous admirals, De Ruyter and Van Tromp, coped successfully with not only the fleets of England, but also with the combined fleets of France and England, and also Spain and France. What was the result? Colony after colony fell into her possession; home and over-sea trade increased so rapidly that in less than one hundred years after its inception the republic is said to have had over 10,000 ships (merchant) engaged in over-sea traffic (by this I mean exports and imports collectively), over 400,000 people made their livelihood through shipping, and her trade amounted to over \$150,000,000 annually

Notwithstanding off-recurring wars, the nation was enormously prosperous, and as Mahan truly says, it "only shows how the harvest of the sea can lift up to wealth and power a country intrinsically weak and without resources." For about one hundred years this condition of affairs continued, and then her people became involved in party strife, one party favoring the maintenance of the navy and the other the strengthening of the army, while each, in a very half-hearted manner, favored small appropria-tions for the other. The result was the introduction to the world of political contention over the question of national defense and protection. Sectional and political jealousy grew apace, and the consequence thereof can easily be seen. Neither the navy nor

army was strengthened in time of peace.

The commercial aristocracy, feeling satisfied behind their counters and money bags, laughed at the idea of any more wars and used their influence to procure large sums of public moneys for

measures other than the common defense, or, as De Witt, one of the greatest statesmen that ever lived, and a Dutchman, says:

Never in time of peace and from fear of rupture will they take resolutions strong enough to lead them to pecuniary sacrifice beforehand. The character of the Dutch is such that unless danger stares them in the face they are indisposed to lay out money for their own defense. I have to do with a people who, liberal to profusion where they ought to economize, are often sparing to avarice where they ought to spend.

Mr. Chairman, we must not forget that these Dutch burghers were as brave and courageous as any people that ever lived. world knows how they loved their country, for there is not another instance in history equal to the opening of the dikes so that their beloved soil might be kept from falling into the possession of their enemies. Notwithstanding all this, they refused to appropriate moneys for increased naval defense, and Holland lost her enviable position among the nations of the world, grass grew in the streets of Amsterdam and the derivered great specific the streets. the streets of Amsterdam, and the glory and greatness of the Dutch Republic disappeared.

Ah, gentlemen, heed well the story of the Dutch Republic ere you take final action upon this naval appropriation bill.

If time permitted, I could cite the fading of Spain and Portugal

as the other evidence of what may follow lack of naval appropri-

On the other hand, what magnificent and permanent success has remained with England and France, who have always lived up to this truism: Adequate naval protection is essential if commercial greatness is to be enduring.

Alfred the Great was probably the first English Sovereign to recognize the importance of maintaining a navy. From his reign to the time of Cromwell it developed slowly and spasmodically, and then began systematic growth and a definite relief was and then began systematic growth and a definite policy was adopted. An eminent author, speaking of this period, this dawn of the era of England's commercial greatness, says:

Under Cromwell the English navy sprang rapidly into a new life and vigor. * * * England's rights or reparations for her wrongs were demanded by her fleets throughout the world. * * * Nor were equally strong peaceful measures for the growth of English trade and shipping for

Cromwell'scelebrated navigation act naturally boomed her commerce. This act provided-

That all imports into England or her colonies must be conveyed exclusively in vessels belonging to England herself or to the country in which the products carried were grown or manufactured.

The master mind of the stern old statesman saw how necessa it was to link navy and commerce together into an unbreakable chain, with each link absolutely dependent on the other for collective strength. Almost without interruption from the date of the navigation act, England has been "mistress of the seas," and her commercial power has grown and increased until her exports and imports are so much greater than those of any other nation that she is also the mistress of the commercial world.

Every historian of note records that the great success of England must be attributed to her naval policy. Practically whatever her people and merchants have asked in the line of war ships her Houses of Parliament have granted. A German historian,

speaking of this characteristic, says:

The English navy simply keeps pace with the absolute requirements of the people, from whom it originally sprang, and thus the maritime power of the country becomes identified with them step by step in their national growth.

The absolute truth of this declaration is shown by the following table, recording as it does the naval strength of the nation at various periods:

War shins.

Date.	Ships of all classes.	Men.	Tons.
1578	24 42	6,700	10,506
1603 1658	157	8,346 21,910	17,055 57,000
1702	272	40,000	159,020
1760	412	70,000	321, 134
1793 1800	498 767	45,000 135,000	433, 226 688, 744
1808	869	143,800	892, 800
1814	901	146,000	966,000
1850	585 373	48,000 65,000	570,000 680,000

While the above table seems remarkable, the following showing is even more so:

Date.	Tons.	Date.	Tons.				
1825. 1835. 1845. 1856.	202, 870 605, 200 1, 312, 020 4, 082, 900 4, 658, 687	1870 1880 1890 1895	5,690,789 6,574,313 7,978,538 8,988,450				

Note carefully this enormous development.

Com	merce.		
Date.	Imports.	Exports.	Total.
1790	£24,066,700 60,546,000 152,389,053 295,290,274 305,257,493 429,433,000	£35, 903, 850 102, 705, 000 115, 821, 002 238, 905, 682 244, 080, 577 237, 358, 000	£59, 970, 550 163, 051, 000 268, 210, 145 534, 195, 956 547, 338, 070 666, 791, 000

Do these last two tables not show what marvelous results may follow when commerce is taken from the realm of insufficient naval protection and placed under the dominion of strong fleets and liberal laws. Merchant marine increased forty-four fold and commerce eleven fold.

Richelieu, in 1638, realizing that France could never become truly great unless her sea power developed, endeavored to build a navy, but met with little success, and it was not until the reign of Louis XIV that a definite policy of naval aggrandizement was inaugurated, and then through the ability of Colbert, one of the

greatest ministers France has ever had, great life and vigor was given to the development of the fleet.

Colbert's policy was not one of war, for in his memoirs we are repeatedly told that his sole object was "the commercial prosperity and development" of his country, and this was impossible without merchant marine and a sufficient force of war ships to protect that marine and the trade treaties with foreign countries. without merchant marine and a sufficient force of war ships to protect that marine and the trade treaties with foreign countries. When Colbert first took office France had only 30 war ships of small size. Twenty-two years later the fleet had increased to 107 ships of the line, not including many smaller vessels. These twenty-two years have frequently been called the "Golden Age" of France. Commerce and the merchant marine grew apace.

In the latter part of the reign of Louis XIV all this changed and the navy rapidly dwindled away, for Louis, to quote from Mahan, "could not or would not see that men-of-war were of little use and life if the peaceful shipping and the industries by which they

and life if the peaceful shipping and the industries by which they were supported perished." After the death of Louis XIV the naval power of France dwindled away, and with its disappearance the colonies she had so gloriously developed were lost to her for-

Finally in 1793 the people arose as one man and demanded the restoration of the navy. The Government, realizing that "the voice of the people is as the voice of God," answered the cry, and from that time France has ever been the second naval power of the world, and her greatness is now established on so firm a foun-

dation that in all probability her power will never again be shaken.

Prior to 1793 French naval development was independent of the
desires of the people and depended almost wholly on the commercial and war projects of ambitious monarchs. After 1793 the
wishes of the people governed the development; for, with the
bitter lesson of 1684-1793 ever before them, the warm-hearted, France-loving Frenchmen would rise in their might against any

raction or party that dared attempt a decrease in its naval force.

Prosperous France, our former ally and present friend! Long may she retain her power and strength!

From these historical pictures I have endeavored to prove my first proposition, and, Mr. Chairman, let us not forget that we members of Congress are a sort of a council, an advisory board, not only for the interests of our constituency but for the far greater welfare, growth, and development of our country; and does it not behoove us to consider well the lessons taught by history, remembering the fate of the Dutch Republic, and be careful that the trust reposed in us is well administered? We know of the greatness of England and France, and as we also know that that greatness has come from sea power, let us develop the Navy and build up our coast defenses for the continued success of our commerce and national strength.

UNITED STATES.

Mahan says:

Naval strategy has for its end to found, support, and increase, as well in peace as in war, the sea power of a country.

The founders and early developers of our Union thoroughly appreciated this and from the very beginning adopted a liberal naval policy. What was the result? Our growth and increase in strength were more than phenomenal; they were wonderful. In commerce we took almost at once a place side by side with the richest and oldest nations of the earth and bade fair to leave them all far be-

Of course the French Revolution and the Napoleonic wars had a great deal to do with our rapid progress, but if our statesmen had not passed liberal laws for the development of our merchant marine and granted generous appropriations for the Navy, we would not have had the means of carrying exports and imports or the war ships for the protection of our fleets of merchantmen.

In 1821 it was said by an eminent English author that—

The United States, though without Eastern possessions, have obtained a high position in trade from their commercial activity and naval superiority.

Down to 1840 two-thirds of the foreign vessels trading to Amer ica were of American build. Our ships were not only the pride of the nation but were the envy of the world, for no nation was able to compete with us in this our chosen industry. From 1840 to 1858 our clipper ships were the queens of the seas, and the American flag was known in every port around the globe. Grantlam, the English author of the Navigation Papers, written in

It will be recollected that previous to the development of steamships the preponderance of shipping was falling rapidly into the hands of American shipowners. Thirty years ago one of the great objects of interestatthe docks in Liverpool was the American sailing packets, and it was considered that a stranger had missed one of the hons of the port who had not visited these celebrated ships.

The same prestige was felt everywhere, on the Atlantic and Pacific oceans, in India, China, and in all the best trades Ameri-

can ships were most in demand.

Ah, those were indeed the halcyon days of American shipping; and, Mr. Chairman, there is not an American to-day who does not look back with pride at the time when the American flag was supreme upon the seas, and there is not one of us who would not hail with satisfaction the dawn of a new era restoring American vessels to the proud pinnacle of greatness held by them in 1845. Ah, Mr. Chairman, the Democratic party may have committed many errors, but it was the Republican party that drove our shipping from the seas.
In 1845 the tonnage of our merchant marine was 2,417,072; 1855,

5,212,001; 1860, 5,353,868; 1880, 4,068,034; 1885, 4,265,934.

Number of United States ships on the Navy list and commissioned.

Year.	Num- ber.	Commissioned for service.	Year.	Num- ber.	Commissioned for service.
1835	52 51 53 53 54 63 63 67 71 75 77 77 77 77	47 49 54 44 43 53 66 47	1850 1851 1852 1858 1858 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1862 1870 1880 1897	777 744 775 772 778 778 778 81 86 90 386 85 88 88 88	51. 44. 50. 59. 46. 41. 42. 41. 49. 25. A11.

*Note.—The number 88 includes all ships built and building down to fourth class and gunboats, but not torpedo boats, tugs, sailing ships, or receiving ships.

From this table it is seen that the numerical strength of the Navy has not materially increased since 1847; that is, for the past fifty years. The strength of the individual ships is, of course, much increased; but so has the strength of individual ships in other navies. Our relative strength has decreased. At the close of our war with the South we were the second naval power of the world. To-day we are about the fourth; and at the rate at which

world. To-day we are about the fourth; and at the rate at which Germany and Japan are increasing, we may soon be the sixth.

From 1790 to 1860—seventy years—our merchant-marine tonnage increased during fifty-eight years, and only decreased twelve years. From 1865 to 1887—twenty-two years—it increased only during eleven years. From 1888 to 1897 it has decreased only twice.

Percentage of exports and imports carried in American vessels.

	Per cent.
1825	
1845	
1850	72.5
1860	66.5
1880	17.4
1896	12
1897	11

I believe, Mr. Chairman, that where one nation is absolutely dependent upon another for the carrying of its oversea commerce, that nation runs the greatest risk of not only loss of commercial prestige but disaster. I believe that increased naval power will build up and tremendously increase our commerce, for as will build up and tremendously increase our commerce, for as the magnet draws the needle, so a liberal naval policy draws commerce and merchant marine. When our naval appropriations have been great and our fleets most powerful, you can see from the above tables that we carried our exports and imports in our own ships; and right here I would like to give a table showing the strength of our Navy during most of our history.

We have identically the same number of ships (47) to day that

We have identically the same number of ships (47) to-day that we had in 1842, and remember that the 47 commissioned ships of we had in 1842, and remember that the 47 commissioned sinps of 1842 were proportionately as powerful as those of 1897. In 1842 our exports were \$99,877,995 and our imports \$96,075,071, while in 1897 our exports were \$1,050,993,556 and our imports \$764,730,412. Population—1842, 18,000,000; 1897, 78,000,000. Now that we are so rich and powerful, should we not be far more powerful upon the seas than we were in 1842? You say economy is your watchword;

but are you not willing to appropriate a larger percentage of your gross revenue for the Navy and national defense than you have in recent years? You could not be accused of extravagance if you did, for such a charge would malign, would cast reflection upon every statesman from 1794 to 1860, for the following table shows what percentage of the revenues have been alone spent on the

1794 to 1820, inclusive, average per annum	Per cent.
1821 to 1840, inclusive.	151
1861 to 1867, war period 1868 to 1883, inclusive	
1884 to 1897, inclusive 1794 to 1860, inclusive (average)	31
1868 to 1897, inclusive (average)	31
Lowest per cent, 1879	1

I know that this is not the age of sentiment, but of practical consideration. Times may be different, but the statesmen of today are certainly not more far-seeing or ubiquitous than those of 1794 to 1860. Think of the great men who graced House and Senate and brought lasting honor to American institutions during that period. Think of the great Presidents, and yet they were willing to appropriate an average of 16½ per cent for naval development, while those of the last thirty years have only appropriated an average of 3½ per cent. Is this wisdom, or is it folly? I am inclined to think the latter, for we are not willing to accept that wise precept left us by our fathers, "In time of peace prepare for war." Our fathers believed, too, that "a peaceful, gain-loving nation is not far-sighted, and far-sightedness is needed for adequate military preparation." They knew it, because preparation for war would have prevented the war of 1812.

Read what Presidents Jackson and Polk had to say about the Navy, and you will then see that the ideas held by them are those of the nations of the earth to-day, excepting the United States:

[Messages and Papers of the Presidents, 1817-1833, Richardson, volume 2, I know that this is not the age of sentiment, but of practical

[Messages and Papers of the Presidents, 1817-1833, Richardson, volume 2, page 526.]

ANDREW JACKSON'S SECOND ANNUAL MESSAGE.

Our position in relation to the most powerful nations of the earth and the present condition of Europe admonish us to cherish this arm [the Navy] of our national defense with peculiar care. Separated by wide seas from all those governments whose power we might have reason to dread, we have nothing to apprehend from attempts at conquest. It is chiefly attacks upon our commerce and harassing inroads upon our coast against which we have to guard.

A payal force adequate to the

to guard.

A naval force adequate to the protection of our commerce always afloat, with an accumulation of the means to give it a rapid extension in case of need, furnishes the power by which all such aggressions may be prevented or repelled. With the aid of Congress, in a few years the Government will be prepared in case of emergency to put afloat a powerful navy of new ships almost as soon as old ones could be repaired.

[Messages and Papers of the Presidents, 1841-1849, Richardson, volume 4, pages 412, 413, 414.]

JAMES K. POLK'S FIRST ANNUAL MESSAGE

I refer you to the report of the Secretary of the Navy for the present condition of the branch of the national defense and for grave suggestions having for their object the increase of its efficiency and a greater economy in

It is believed that at all our foreign stations the honor of our flag has been maintained. I am happy to add that the display of maritime force which was required by the events of the summer has been made wholly within the usual appropriations for the service of the year, so that no additional appropriations are required.

The commerce of the United States, and with it the navigation interests, have steadily and rapidly increased since the organization of our Government, until, it is believed, we are now second to but one power in the world, and at no distant day we shall probably be inferior to none. Exposed as they must be, it has been a wise policy to afford to these important interests protection with our ships of war distributed in the great highways of trade throughout the world. For more than thirty years appropriations have been made and annually expended for the gradual increase of our naval forces. In peace our Navy performs the important duty of protecting our commerce, and in the event of war will be, as it has been, a most efficient means of defense.

The successful use of steam navigation on the ocean has been followed by the introduction of war steamers in great and increasing numbers into the navies of the principal maritime powers of the world. A due regard to our own safety and to an efficient protection to our large and increasing commerce demands a corresponding increase on our part. No country has greater facilities for the construction of vessels of this description than ours or can promise itself greater advantages for their employment. They are admirably adapted to the protection of our commerce, to the rapid transmission of intelligence, and to the coast defense.

**Whetever may have been our relieve in the earlier steens of the Govern-

if not stagnate the whole export trade of the Mississippi and affect the value of the agricultural products of the entire valley of that mighty river and its tributaries

It has never been our policy to maintain large standing armies in time of peace. They are contrary to the genius of our free institutions, would impose heavy burdens on the people, and be dangerous to public liberty. Our reliance for protection and defense on the land must be mainly on our citizen soldiers, who will be ever ready, as they ever have been ready in times past, to rush with alacrity at the call of their country to her defense. This description of force, however, can not defend our coast, harbors, and inland seas, nor protect our commerce on the ocean or the lakes. These must be protected by our Navy.

Considering an increased naval force, and especially of steam vessels, corresponding with our growth and importance as a nation, and proportioned to the increased and increasing naval power of other nations, of vast importance as regards our safety, and the great and growing interests to be protected by it, I recommend the subject to the favorable consideration of Congress.

EFFECT OF NAVAL WAR UPON AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS.

In case of war any of the great naval powers would have no difficulty in blockading every one of our great seaports, from Maine to Texas and from California to Washington. This is so generally admitted that it required no extended argument for proof. Therefore does not sea power and increase of the Navy become as important and essential to the rural or farm districts as to the districts along the coast?

You may say destroy the seaport cities and the manufacturers and farmers will rebuild them; but I say destroy your seaports and the manufacturers and farmers will suffer equally with we of the coast. This may seem a bold proposition, but figures will prove its accuracy, and I repeat that my contention is that development of the Navy is of as great importance to the farmer and manufacturer as it is to the residents of the coast. Assuming, for argument, that we were at war with either England, France, Germany, or Italy—I do not consider Spain, for we are far more powerful than she—ere thirty days passed all our great ports of export would be so thoroughly blockaded that commerce would be literally paralyzed.

It may be that I am assuming too much; that you are not willing to admit the idea of a blockade. Very good. I will show, then, what would certainly keep commerce from entering even then, what would certainly keep commerce from entering even the seaports. Many of the great railroads have their termini in and near the city of New York. The tracks, warehouses, and elevators of these roads could easily be destroyed by an invading fleet. Take, if you please, the West Shore, New York Central, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Lackawanna and Western, Erie, and Jersey Central railroads. The tracks of the first skirt the Hudson River from Haverstraw to Albany; those of the second from New York to Albany; the third extending from the Hudson River to Newark, where the bridge over the Passaic River could be demolished; the fourth and fifth from the Hudson River to the Bergen. Newark, where the bridge over the Passaic River could be demolished; the fourth and fifth, from the Hudson River to the Bergen tunnel, a distance not exceeding 3 miles, and the sixth from Jersey City to Elizabeth, including the long bridge over Newark Bay.

On the east we have the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad, whose tracks for seventy-odd miles skirt Long Island Sound.

Sound.

Not only would every foot of these tracks be destroyed, but the road beds would be almost irretrievably ruined. While New York is only one illustration, similar conditions exist in and about Portland, Boston, Philadelphia, Baltimore, Norfolk, and practically every other city on the Atlantic and Pacific coasts. Therefore the surplus agricultural products and manufactures of the Southern, Middle, and Western States would have absolutely no

By referring to the tables in appendix you will see the results that would ensue.

Though not desiring to burden you with a full argument based on these six tables, I do desire to go into detail of the year 1890. The agricultural exports for that year amounted to \$629,820,808, this amount being 73.69 per cent of our total exports. The total value, raised throughout the entire United States, of the ten main crops, wheat, corn, cotton, etc., was \$2,460,107,454. Thus the amount exported was a trifle under 25 per cent of the entire crop.

Workers	6,297,580 \$2,460,107,454 \$1,385,467,600
Value of farms	\$13, 279, 252, 649 \$2, 703, 015, 040

\$15, 982, 267, 689

Now, 25 per cent having been shown to be the amount of total production exported, what relation does it bear to the above table? Why, simply that your production must of necessity be reduced that amount, and this would mean loss of emplyment to 1,574,395 workers and (figuring five persons in all dependent on one worker for maintenance) loss of support to 7,871,975 dependents, and this does not include workers engaged in manufacturing or transportation, and for these I would add, say, 1,000,000, and together forming a total of 8,871,975, or about 8½ per cent of our total population. Loss in wages to agricultural workers would amount to \$346,-366,900 and depreciation of farm values amounting to \$3,995,566,-921. These last two estimates do not include manufacturers and transporters' wages and valuation, and none of the above figures include the wholesale and retail dealers in other occupations and more or less dependent on the classes mentioned, for I know of no way to compute the above, but I think it reasonable to allow one dependent for each of those in the occupations figured upon, and this would give a total of 17,743,950 dependents not living anywhere near the coast who would be directly interested, affected, and thrown out of employment by any blockade that lasted for three months or longer. The depreciation of property would amount to \$8,000,000,000.

The following table gives all the coast cities, and allows for places apt to be injured or destroyed by an invading fleet of war ships. The population is estimated January 1, 1898, and the assessed estimated values from the various tax rolls and without allowing anything for contents of buildings. These figures having been compiled by the Brooklyn Daily Eagle, I believe them to be accurate.

Population and value of real estate of the coast cities of the United States.

Coast cities.	Population.	Real estate.
Portland		\$26, 182, 095
Boston		803, 860, 550 135, 805, 460
Providence New Haven		59,000,000
Bridgeport		51,640,636
Hartford		39, 398, 785
Greater New York	8, 389, 753	2, 377, 277, 820
Jersey City	200,000	82, 415, 220
Hoboken	57,000	35, 978, 429
Newark		137,000,000
Yonkers		30,711,000
Newburg	25,000	9, 173, 430
Albany	100,000	58, 768, 650
Troy	64,000	42,411,511
Philadelphia	1,340,000	840, 496, 650
Baltimore	625, 270	280, 646, 932
Washington, D. C.		181, 256, 284
Richmond, Va		144, 094, 166
Savannah, Ga	65,000 275,000	24, 433, 678 102, 025, 922
New Orleans, La	1,000,000	500,000,000
aud on, say	1,000,000	000,000,000
	8, 303, 130	5, 962, 577, 218
Pacific Coast	500,000	200,000,000
	8,803,130	6, 262, 577, 218

So, from point of population as well as valuation, the inland communities would be more seriously affected than the coast com-munities. I believe that 80 per cent of our agricultural and manufacturing people would be affected, but I have only attempted to show the number of people who would be absolutely deprived of all revenue and who would become dependent on their various communities for maintenance and support. I believe, though, that there is a far greater question involved in this discussion. I believe there should be no question of locality where national progress is to be considered.

If the interior requests appropriations for river development, increased postal facilities, public buildings, or agricultural investigation, we of the coast should listen to their appeal and grant what they ask. On the other hand, when we ask for increased coast fortifications and more battle ships, cruisers, and torpedo boats, the interior should heed our request. It seems to me that we would thus be fair and just to each other and above all else would be doing unto each other as we would that they should do not as do unto us.

We believe "that in union there is strength," but the only way to establish this strength is for the States to absolutely rely upon each other through the medium of Congress for their development along national lines.

I believe that we should prepare so as to preclude the possibility of war, but if war should come, let us show the world that we are "as great in war as we are in peace." Let us be more than slow to anger, but ever ready to defend and protect the honor of the flag, our "Old Glory."

COMPARISON OF NAVAL DEVELOPMENT ABROAD WITH THAT OF THE UNITED STATES.

The expenditures for increasing the strength of the Navy, as well as the amount expended to maintain the naval forces in efficient condition, are greater in England than in any of the other countries

The work laid out for the year just passed (1897) is here given in extracts from the report of the first lord of the admiralty:

Briefly summarized, the leading proposals as set forth in the first lord's statement explanatory of the navy estimates for 1896-1897 are as follows:

Net estimates for the year	£7,385,000
----------------------------	------------

Three docks, instead of one, to be built at Gibraltar. These are to be, respectively, 700, 600, and 500 feet in length. The entrances are to be 95 feet broad and 33 feet deep on the sills.

List of chief gunners, chief boatswains, and chief carpenters to be increased.

Pay of warrant officers to be increased.

The Britannia to be abolished in favor of a college on shore, with a sloop as tender to it.

An increase of £850,000 in the vote for guns and ammunition.

Increase of the amount proposed in the naval-works bill (to be spread over a series of years) from £8,500,000 to £14,000,000. The chancellor of the exchequer to devote his surplus to this object.

An increase in "personnel" to the extent of 4,900 officers and men, to include 500 royal marines. Total strength of navy, 100,050 men.

Surveys to be made for new docks at the Cape and Mauritius.

Keyham Naval Barracks to be extended.

A new naval hospital, of 600 beds, to be built at Chatham.

THE NEW CONSTRUCTIONS

1		
ı	The new vessels to be constructed are [in 1897]:	
1	Battle ships (improved Renowns)	5
ı	First-class cruisers Second-class cruisers Second-class cruisers	3
l	Third-class cruisers	$\frac{6}{28}$
ı	Total 4	
1	TOTAL	475

The five battle ships to be 390 feet long and 74 feet beam, with a displacement in each case of 12,900 tons and a draft of about 25 feet 6 inches. Two will be built by contract and three in the royal dockyards, one at Portsmouth, one at Chatham, and one at Devonport. They will all have tubulous

will be built by contract and three in the royal dockyards, one at Portsmouth, one at Chatham, and one at Devonport. They will all have tubulous boilers.

The four first-class cruisers will be of the Diadem class; length on water line, 455 feet; beam, 69 feet; displacement, 11,000 tons; speed, 22 knots. One will be built in a royal yard; the rest by contract.

The three second-class cruisers will be of the Taibot class; length, 350 feet; beam, 53 feet 6 inches; displacement, 5,600 tons; speed, 19.5 knots. All these are to be built by contract.

The six third-class cruisers will be of the Pelorus class; length, 300 feet; beam, 36 feet 6 inches; displacement, 2,135 tons; speed, 20 knots. One of these is to be built at a royal dockyard; the remaining five are to be given out to contractors, and, indeed, tenders have already been invited.

Tenders for eight of the destroyers have already been given out, four of them to the Palmer Company, of Yarrow-on-Tyne. They are to be 30-knot craft.

It is interesting, in connection with the present estimates, to compare the expenditure and the number of officers and men voted for each of the past eight years, beginning with the financial year 1889-90, the year of the naval-defense act:

Year.	Total net esti- mates.	Officers and men.	Increase for the year.
1889-90 1890-91 1891-92 1892-93 1893-94 1894-95 1895-96 1896-97	£13, 685, 600 13, 786, 600 14, 215, 100 14, 240, 200 14, 240, 100 17, 366, 100 18, 701, 000 21, 822, 000	65, 405 68, 800 71, 000 74, 100 76, 700 83, 400 88, 850 93, 750	8, 395 2, 200 3, 100 2, 600 6, 700 5, 450 4, 900

THE INCREASE IN PERSONNEL.

There is an increase of 4,900 officers and men provided for in the estimates. They are composed as follows:

Commissioned officers	3025/	61 30
Warrant officers		8
Seaman-class men		800 342
Engine-room ratings	2,	028 181 500
Royal marines		500

THE INCREASE OF NAVAL ARMAMENTS.

The increase in the amount to be voted for naval armaments compared with last year is £850,000, chiefly composed as follows:

Guns	£369,000
Projectiles and ammunition	348, 780
Torpedoes and gun cotton	36, 200
Small arms	90,370
Inspections	5,000

During the present financial year-that is, for 1897-98-it is proposed to commence the building of-

Battle ships, Third-class cruisers	ö
Sloops Twin-screw gunboats.	2
Torpedo-boat destroyers	2

Total of new constructions to be undertaken during the year...... 15 With these vessels, England will have under construction dur-

1	ing 1090 this exceedingly large list:	
	Battle ships First-class cruisers	14 8
ı	Second-class cruisers.	9
١	Third-class cruisers	10
l	Sloops Twin-screw gunboats	4
	Torpedo-boat destroyers. Light-draft steamers for special service.	52
1	Royal yacht	1

Thus the total number of vessels of all classes under construction during the year will be 108. Their aggregate displacement tonnage will be about 380,000 tons, and the aggregate indicated

horsepower about 800,000 horsepower. It is anticipated that during the next financial year 66 of these vessels will be completed

ing the next inancial year 66 of these vessels will be completed for service, including 50 torpedo-boat destroyers.

Seven battle ships and eight cruisers have been rearmed with quick-firing guns during the year 1896-97.

The work in the royal dockyards continues to be carried on with zeal and energy. At the naval yards abroad, and especially at Malta, the work has been very heavy, owing to the increase in number and size of the vessels in commission and to the large number of ships recommissioned abroad.

The magnitude of this addition to the English navy may be better understood when it is known that it exceeds in number our entire seagoing ships, even counting in the ships building.

THE NAVY LEAGUE AND THE NAVY ESTIMATES.

The Navy League have issued a memorandum on the navy estimates. They point out that in six weeks' time it will be too late to influence the policy or to strengthen the resolve of the Cabinet, and proceed to make a number of statements, which may be summarized as follows: Great Britain is not only friendless, but the great powers and the United States of America seem to have found a point of agreement in the evolution of a common hatred to this country. With eighty years' start in the race for empire, Britain has peacefully secured those things which other powers would gladly fight to obtain.

gladly fight to obtain.

The memorandum takes each power separately and shows why, in the opinion of the Navy League, it is hostile to Great Britain. The memorandum goes on to say that the British fleet has lost the standard of strength in battle ships established by Nelson and confirmed by the committee of admirals in 1889. In 1899 we shall be, relatively to France and Russia, in a worse position than we were in 1889, when the naval defense act was passed, while the actual number of battle ships now available is eighteen below the standard of strength considered nacessary in 1889, since which date no revised standard has been put forward. Furthermore, English battle ships of 14.000 tons must be compared with French ships of only 11,000 tons, because of the greater distance to be traveled by British men-of-war, and the consequent need of more coal capacity.

To keep the French alone in port we require at least 60 battle ships. We have 52 built and building. In 1794 Great Britain had 145 ships of the line against 50 French. To-day 10 of our 52 battle ships are still armed with obsolete muzzle-loading guns. Our deficiency in cruisers is also very marked. Our officers and seamen are insufficient to man the fleet we already possess, and the deficiency of 700 lieutenants and 300 engineers only makes worse the fact that there is no reserve to repair the waste of war. Two years' training are required to make an able seaman; five years a lieutenant. The average age of the men actively responsible for the control, mobilization, and command of our fleets is 58. Nelson died at 47.

Turning now to the strength of the English navy, we see that

Turning now to the strength of the English navy, we see that it comprises:

Armored vessels (52 of these are first-class battle ships)	
Cruisers	
Torpedo boats	208

During the maneuvers of the year 1896 there were 105 vessels and 20,500 men took part in the drill, or, in other words, there were more than double the number of men and as many ships as the United States has at its command engaged in this summer

During the last year the strength of the navy was further increased by the addition of 6,300 officers and men, divided as follows:

Seamen 2.	400
Engine-room artificers	265
Stokers 2. Marines 1	,000
Artisans	514
Total added 6	000

Making total force 100,050 officers and men.

This was further increased by the addition of—	
Medical officers	34
Chanlains and naval instructors	10

Besides the great amount to be spent by England on her navy afloat, she is looking into the future and preparing fortified bases

of supply and refit.

At Gibraltar three large docks and means of repair are being built.

At Dover is being constructed a harbor for supplies and rendez-Here the works to be executed are most extensive-13,370 feet of breakwater, inclosing 610 acres at low water, and anchorage in over 5 fathoms for 20 first-class battle ships, together with a large number of torpedo boats.

At Hongkong she is also to increase her naval facilities, and the same at Bermuda, and at Kingston, Jamaica.

NAVAL EXPENDITURE ON SEAGOING FORCES.

Sir Courtenay Boyle supplies some interesting particulars in regard to the aggregate naval expenditure on the seagoing forces of various countries. The total for the United Kingdom during 1895-96 was £21,264,877. In addition to this, India and many of required to place the army and navy on their new footing, Japan's

the self-governing colonies expended considerable sums. The expenditure of France on its seagoing force was considerably larger than that of any other European State. The aggregate for 1896

than that of any other European State. The aggregate for 1896 was £10,877,279. The Russian Empire comes next, with an expenditure of £6,440,666. Germany follows, with a total of £4,372,068. Italy nearly equals Germany, with an aggregate of £4,129,984. The expenditure of Spain was £937,742; Austria-Hungary, £1,122,369; Netherlands, £1,313,169, and Portugal, £649,944. The aggregate naval expenditure on the seagoing force of the United States amounted to £6,180,132. Japan ran the United States very close, with an expenditure of £5,945,919. The expenditure of Brazil was £1,053,486 and that of the Argentine Republic £822,435. This sum is stated to be not sufficiently authenticated, and in regard to Chile the expenditure can not be given. gard to Chile the expenditure can not be given.

NAVAL EXPENDITURE AND MERCANTILE MARINE.

The Parliamentary return moved for by Sir John Colomb, M. P., and published a few days ago will be found in this issue (Shipping World, Volume XVII, No. 234, November 24, 1897) in a condensed form. It will satisfy all those who seem to think that the money spent on the Royal navy should bear some relationship to our shipping and over-sea commerce. We may state that

ene—	
Maritime trade of the Kingdom at	£822, 158, 000
That of India at	116, 451, 095
Self-governing colonies	194, 195, 168
Other colonies	175,000,000
Value of 10,000,000 tons of shipping	100,000,000
Securities carried yearly, say	250,000,000
Interest in freight carried for foreigners	850,000,000

2,007,804,263

JAPAN'S NAVAL AND MILITARY EXPANSION AND FINANCE.

JAPAN'S NAVAL AND MILITARY EXPANSION AND FINANCE.

TOKIO, January 10, 1898.

An examination of the budget for 1897-98, just submitted by the Government of the Diet, enables us to form, for the first time, a clear idea of Japan's scheme of military and naval expansion and of the financial expedients devised by her statesmen to meet the greatly increased outlays involved in carrying out the programme. The dates of the inception and completion of this programme are April 1, 1896, and March 31, 1906, respectively.

At the conclusion of the war with China Japan found herself in possession of a fighting fleet of 43 serviceable vessels, independent of 25 torpedo boats, their aggregate displacement being 78,774 tons. Of these, 10, with an aggregate displacement of 15,055 tons, had been captured from China, namely, an armor-clad turret ship (the Chenyuen), of 7,285 tons; 2 steel cruisers, 6 steel gunboats, and 1 wooden gunboat. Prior to the capture of the Chenyuen (now called the Chinyen) Japan did not possess a line of battle ship. Her fleet consisted entirely of comparatively small vessels, namely, 4 cruisers of over 4,000 tons each, 4 of over 3,000 tons, 4 of over 2,000, 6 of over 1,500, and the rest gunboats, shoops, etc. She had already determined, however, to acquire two first-class battle ships, and orders had been placed in England for the Fujigama (12,649 tons) and the Yashima (12,517 tons). Further, there were on the stocks two steel cruisers (2,700 and 2,800 tons) and a steel dispatch vessel (1,800 tons).

It may be said, therefore, that her fleet consisted, or would very soon consist, of 48 ships, having an aggregate displacement of 111,240 tons, and 26 torpedo boats. In the scheme of expansion mapped out by the Government after the war it was decided to make an addition of about a hundred per cent to the strength of the fleet. No official declaration was published as to the exact nature of the ships to be added, but it is tolerably certain that the following list is approximately correct:

	m
4 line-of-battle ships of 15.140 tons each	Tons. 60,560
	30,000
3 second-class cruisers of 4,850 tons each	14,550
2 third-class cruisers of 3,200 tons each	6,400
3 torpedo gunboats of 1,200 tons each.	3,600
1 torpedo depôt ship of 6.750 tons	6.759

Thus the number of ships to be added was 17 and their displacement 121,800 tons. The programme further included 11 torpedo-boat destroyers and 89 torpedo boats. Hence the Japanese navy would consist in 1906 of 65 ships, with an aggregate displacement of 233,100 tons, 11 torpedo catchers, and 115 torpedo boats. The cost of carrying out this scheme was estimated at 213,-250,000 yen, approximately. Of course the whole was not to be spent on ships, It was divided thus:

[The value of the yen is about 97 cents.]

1		Yen.
1	Shipbuilding	126,047,975
1	Armament	66, 927, 498
1	Admiralty, torpedo corps, and naval institute buildings	20, 328, 936

Ten years was the time fixed for consummating the scheme, and the expenditures in the various fiscal years were put, in round numbers, thus (the Japanese fiscal year being from April 1 to March 31):

	Yen.		Yen.
1896-97	22,000,000	1901-2	15,800,000
1897-98	60,000,000	1902-3	5, 150, 000
1898-99	48,000,000	1903-4	2,400,000
1899-1900	33, 800, 000	1904-5	530,000
1900-1	20, 800, 000		72,000

chief available asset was the Chinese indemnity—a sum of 345, 000,000 yen, in round numbers (omitting interest on deferred in-000,000 yen, in round numbers (omitting interest on deferred installments, since China had the option of avoiding payments of interest by prompt discharge of her whole indebtedness). Plainly, the indemnity, assuming its due receipt, would amply cover the proposed expenditure. But when Japan came to cast up her accounts at the close of the war, she found that a sum of 80,000,000 yen was required to strike a balance. This deficit might have been met by a further issue of the domestic war loan, but the Government preferred to employ the indemnity for the numbers.

rement preferred to employ the indemnity for the purpose.

Thus reduced, the indemnity would furnish only 265,000,000 yen for purposes of military and naval expansion. Moreover, the indemnity was not yet an asset in hand. A portion of it had been paid, but the remainder could not be counted on with absolute paid, but the remainder could not be counted on with absolute certainty. And there was another point to be considered. Side by side with the above expenditures for nonproductive purposes, the Government desired to adopt measures for promoting the nation's prosperity; as, for example, the establishment of an iron foundry; the expansion of the telephone service; the improvement of State railways; contributions to the capital of industrial

and agricultural banks, etc.

The sums required for these objects aggregated 70,500,000 yen, and adding to this 30,000,000 yen (the difference between the remaining portion of the indemnity, namely, 265,000,000 yen, and the outlay on account of military and naval expansion, namely, 295,000,000 yen), it resulted that 100,500,000 yen had to be obtained from some independent source. The Government resolved, therefore, to issue a public-undertakings loan of 135,000,000 yen, spread over seven years, the installments to be 8,250,000 yen in 1896-97, 59,250,000 yen in 1897-98, 41,000,000 yen in 1898-99, 10,250,000 yen in 1898-1900, and so on.

It will be seen from the above that Japan's severest financial and agricultural banks, etc.

It will be seen from the above that Japan's severest financial strain is to be experienced in the interval between April 1, 1897, and March 31, 1899. Her expenditure on account of military and naval expansion in the fiscal year 1897-98 will aggregate 79,000,000 yen, approximately, and she will have to float a loan of 59,250,000 yen; and her corresponding expenditure during the year 1898-99 will be 61,000,000 yen, when the loan to be floated will be 41,000,000

The figures here set down are close approximations only. Perplexing details are avoided. As a matter of fact, if China adheres to the programme of payments mapped out in the Shimonoseki treaty and does not avail herself of the option of discharging her indebtedness at an early date, she will have paid by May 8, 1902, not 345,000,000 yen, but 37,000,000. In that case the assets available for Japan's armaments and so forth will be 32,000,000 yen greater than the estimate shown above, and the total funds accruing from the indemnity and the domestic loan will exceed by some 67,000,000 yen the expenditure to be defrayed out of them.

Turning now to the question of a permanent addition to the national revenue for the purpose of supporting the increased expenditure (21,500,000 yen) on account of expanded armaments, we find that four measures have been adopted—namely, the imposition of two new taxes and the increase of two already existposition of two new taxes and the increase of two already existing. The new taxes are a registration tax and a trade tax. Without entering into details, it will be sufficient to say that the former is a small impost upon the public registration of all transactions, whether personal, financial, industrial, or commercial; the latter a charge on money-making occupations of every kind. Each tax was originally expected to yield an annual income of about 6,000,000 yen, which estimate experience has thus far shown to be fairly accurate. The two taxes to be increased were the tax on sake (an alcoholic beverage made from rice) and the tax on tobacco. There can be no doubt that in both these taxes the Japanese Government possesses elastic sources of revenue. the Japanese Government possesses elastic sources of revenue.

THE NAVAL EXPANSION OF JAPAN AND RUSSIA.

Mr. Charles Cramp, of the well-known shipbuilding firm of Philadelphia, has recently been visiting the shipyards of Europe, and he has been surprised at the amount of work which is being done for the Japanese. He comes to the conclusion that unless Russia and the United States awaken to their position in the Pacific, Japan will be able to defy either of them in three years, and both together in less than ten years.

He states that the development of the Japanese navy since the war is not to be described as progress; it is a cyclone, Japan being second only to England in naval activity, being ahead of France, much in advance of Germany, and vastly in the lead of Russia and the United States. Moreover, her ships are all of the best and highest types of naval architecture. During the course of his travels he observed the following ships under construction for the Japanese:

1. Three 14,800-ton battle ships, which are well advanced, at Armstrong's, Thompson's, and the Thames Iron Works, respectively.

2. One battle ship of about 10,000 tons, commencing at Armstrong's.

3. Four first-class armored cruisers of 9,600 tons displacement and 20 knots speed: Two at Armstrong's, one at the Vulcan Works, Stettin, and one at the Forges et Chantiers, France.

4. Two 5,000-ton protected cruisers of about 23 knots speed—one at San Francisco and one at Philadelphia.

5. One protected cruiser of 4,300 tons and about 23 knots, at Armstrong's.

6. Four 30-knot torpedo-boat destroyers, at Yarrow's.

7. Four more of similar type, at Thompson's.

8. Eight 90-ton torpedo boats at the Schichau Works, Elbing.

9. Four more of similar type at the Normand Works, France.

10. Three 3,000-ton protected cruisers of 20 knots, three torpedo gunboats, and a dispatch vessel, at the imperial dockyard, Yokosuka, Japan.

11. A fifth armored cruiser of the type already described (9,600 tons and 20 knots) to be built at Yokosuka.

And he has no hesitation in saying that the vessels Japan is building in shipyards of England, France, and Germany are superior to any vessels those nations are building for themselves, class for class. Discussing the question against whom the naval power of Japan is destined to be turned, he evidently thinks it will be Russia, for there are many points on which differences may arise between that power and Japan.

The Russian navy estimates for next year have been prepared, and they show a total of £8,250,000 as compared with £5,500,000 five years ago, but of that amount a considerable part is to be spent on naval harbors, barracks, arsenals, and workshops. For instance, £1,750,000 is to be spent on the extension of Vladivostok Harbor, and on ice-breaking steamers to test practically the experiment of keeping it open throughout the winter, and other considerable sums are to be spent on other harbors and on dredgers.

About four and one-half millions are to be spent on shipbuilding, or about £700,000 more than in the previous year. Some of the ships are of large size, but neither in speed nor in equipment do they seem to have been kept so nearly up to date as those being built for Japan. As regards the number of ships, Mr. Cramp comes to the conclusion that in the race for naval supremacy in the Pacific Japan is gaining, while Russia and the United States are losing ground.

It is quite evident that after the next struggle which takes place Japan

ground.

It is quite evident that after the next struggle which takes place Japan will be in a stronger position to retain the stakes and the spoils of victory than she was on the conclusion of the war with China, and, therefore, that it is probable when the next treaty comes to be signed at Shimonoseki, the representatives of Japan will not be found so pliant as they were on the occasion of the last, when they had to resign some of their original claims on account of the intervention of European diplomacy. The Japanese show plainly that they have more faith in ships and guns than in diplomacy.

A special edition of the Imperial Gazette gives an account of the navy bill which will be laid before the Imperial Diet on Tuesday, and accompanies its statement with an explanation and defense of the naval policy of the Government. It turns out that the Government will ask the Reichstag, in addition to voting the estimates for the coming financial year, to express its approval of a programme determining the strength to which it is intended to bring the German navy during the seven financial years 1898–1905.

The present declaration of the Government describes the programme of 1873 as inadequate and at the same time it demonstrated.

gramme of 1873 as inadequate, and at the same time it demongramme of 1873 as inadequate, and at the same time it demonstrates that even that programme has remained unfulfilled in consequence of the neglect of the Reichstag to supply new ships in place of those which had been superannuated or transferred into inferior classes. Thus the programme of 1873 declared that 14 battle ships were necessary, and at present only 7 are available. Two of the remaining battle ships in existence are in need of repairs which will take a year and a half to execute, and 3 are still on the stocks.

But the Government has now come to the conclusion that, in view of the increased naval strength of other nations and also of the extended interests of the German Empire, it is necessary to make provision for 17 battle ships, furnishing 2 squadrons of 8 ships, together with a flagship for the admiral in command.

What the Imperial Diet is asked to do is summarized in the following extension.

lowing statement:

The strength in ships of the German navy, exclusive of torpedo boats, training ships, special-service vessels, and gunboats, is to be fixed as follows: There should be ready for service 17 line-of-battle ships, 3 armored ironclads for coast defense, 9 large and 25 small cruisers. Two battle ships and 3 large and 4 small cruisers are to be provided as a reserve force.

A fleet of this strength is regarded by the German Government as adequate for the duties which may devolve upon it, but at the same time the number of ships proposed is considered to be indispensable. At present, if the ships which will be available for service or which will be in construction on April 1, 1898, be included, the German navy consists of 12 battle ships, 8 ironclads for coast defense, 10 large cruisers, and 23 small cruisers. It follows that in order to realize the Geography of the Service of the ships of

for coast defense, 10 large cruisers, and 23 small cruisers. It follows that, in order to realize the Government plans, 7 battle ships and 2 large and 7 small cruisers must be built before the close of the financial year 1904-05.

The scheme of the Government, of course, takes into account the necessity for always being able promptly to substitute new ships for superannuated ones. The period of efficiency for battle ships and ironclads for coast defense is reckoned at twenty-five years, for large cruisers at twenty years, and for small cruisers at fifteen years. The Imperial Diet will be asked to undertake that the votes for renewals shall invariably find a place in the estimates at the proper time.

The personnel asked for under the bill is as follows:

(1) One and one-half times the full complement for ships in foreign waters,
(2) Full complements for the ships belonging to the active home fleet, one-half of the torpedo boats, all training ships, and special service vessels.
(3) Two-thirds of full complement of engine personnel and one-half of full complements of other personnel for the reserve ships of the home fleet and the second half of the torpedo boats.
(4) The necessary complements for land service.
(5) A margin of 5 per cent additional on the whole. The bill sails very close to the wind in its endeavor to meet the objections of the opposition, since it modifies its provisions with regard to these standards by adding that, although the above principles will be authoritative, yet the yearly strength of these different categories of the naval personnel will continue to be determined by the annual estimates.

In preparing the bill the Government has been guided by the following considerations: The naval interests of the German Empire require the presence abroad of 3 large cruisers, 2 in East Asia and 1 for Central and South America; of 10 small cruisers, 3 in East Asia, 3 for Central and South America, 2 for East Africa, and 2 for the South Seas; of 4 gunboats, 2 for East Asia and 2 for East Africa, and of 1 stationary vessel for Constantinople. But in the present year there were available for the purposes enumerated only 2 large and 8 small cruisers, 2 gunboats, and 1 station ship; and in order to provide even this force for foreign service the home fleet had to be deprived of 3 of its best cruisers.

* * * The Government, however, does not withhold from the Imperial Diet and the country an approximate estimate of the amounts of money which will be required for fresh constructions and renewals, as well as a tabular statement of the probable amounts of the yearly estimates for the navy up to the financial year 1904-5. The total expenditure contemplated for the seven years for new constructions, including artillery and torpedo armament, is estimated at 162,000,000 marks. The cost of renewals for the same period will amount to 211,000,000 marks.

The installments not yet voted for ships now in course of construction amount to 63,500,000 marks. When the cost of 3 gunboats and 7 torpedo-boat divisions, together amounting to 46,000,000 marks, as well as the additional expenditure for maintenance and for increase in the personnel, is taken into account, it is estimated that the average annual increase in the ordinary expenditure will be 4,000,000 marks in the coming seven years, as contrasted with 3,000,000 marks average yearly increase during the last seven years.

An important undertaking is given by the Government with regard to the sums to be demanded for fresh constructions. The Imperial Gazette states that at the end of seven years the item of "fresh constructions for the increase of the fleet" will disappear, and a

The Government, however, endeavors to win over those whom such consideration may cause to hesitate by pointing out that for tactical reasons the standard of 17 battle ships of the line is not only a necessary minimum, but also in a sense an imperative maximum. What is required is the formation of a fighting line,

maximum. What is required is the formation of a signifing line, consisting of two tactical units in the form of 2 squadrons f 8 ships each, together with a flagship for the admiral in command.

Of course the necessary attendant cruisers will be required, as well as the indispensable reserves. But the deputies are asked to believe that with the dominating idea of "tactical units" in its mind the Government would never on any account dream of asking the earther the research in a future Beichster to require processors in a future Beichster to require processors. ing them or their successors in a future Reichstag to provide more

than the number of ships contemplated in the bill.

As regards cruisers, the official statement points out that, while in England two of these vessels are reckoned necessary for every battle ship and in France the complement of cruisers varies between one and two per battle ship, the German admiralty is going to be content with 6 large and 16 small cruisers to 17 battle ships of the line and 8 ironclads for coast defense.

The following statement is submitted by the Government, the

The following statement is submitted by the Government, the first amount under each heading representing in millions of marks the naval estimates for the current financial year, the succeeding seven amounts representing the contemplated estimates for each financial year from 1898 to 1905:

	1897-98.	1898-99.	1899-1900.	1900-1.	1901-2.	1902-3.	1908-4.	1904-5.
Constructions, including ar- tillery and torpedo arma- ment	49.1	51.4	55.5	64.6	64.3	62.4	58.5	53.6
ture	9.0 59.4	7.7 62.6	8.7 67.4	8.7 71.4	8.7 75.4	8.7 79.4	8.7 83.4	8.7 87.4
Total naval estimates	117.5	121.7	131.6	144.7	148.4	150.5	150.6	149.7

Total amount demanded for the septennate, 997,200,000 marks. [A mark equals 24 cents.]

The official statement concludes as follows:

"While safeguarding the rights of the Imperial Diet and abstaining from a resort to fresh sources of revenue, the Government does not alm at a boundless naval plan, but has in view as its sole object the creation within a definite period of a national navy the strength and efficiency of which are estimated within such limits as to render it adequate for the effective maintenance of the interests of the Empire on the seas."

GERMANY'S ACTIVE NAVAL FORCE—EIGHTY-TWO WAR VESSELS TO BE HELD IN READINESS FOR SERVICE.

BERLIN, March 2, 1898.

The budget committee of the Reichstag to-day adopted, in accordance with the Government proposals, section I, paragraph I, of the naval bill, fixing the number of ships to be held in readiness for service as follows: A flagship, 18 battle ships, 12 large cruisers, 30 small cruisers, 8 coast-defense iron

clads, and 13 gunboats, besides torpedo boats, school ships, and small gunboats.

FRANCE.

Budget of the navy.

According to Mr. Kerjégu, reporter of the budget, the propositions of the budget committee are to the effect of opening for the navy department credits amounting to 285,534,427 francs, being an increase over the credits for 1897 of 20,261,404 francs.

This considerable increase of expense is to be applied almost exclusively to those branches whose mission it is to create the

exclusively to those branches whose mission it is to create the fleet and develop its efficiency and strength.

The appropriation for new constructions for 1897 was 85,067,543 francs; for 1898 it will be 102,186,294 francs. The credits necessary for maintenance and repairs for 1897 were 26,205,942 francs; are 1898 they will be 30,570,959 francs. Finally, the sum to be devoted to the organization of points of refitting for the fleet in Corsica and Africa are not inferior to the amount for last year, and for the navy alone they will amount to 1,489,000 francs. [A france equals 19 cents.]

Nine years are necessary for the programme of chickens.

Nine years are necessary for the programme of shipbuilding now in course of execution, and the credits up to 1905 on this head will be 721,815,000 francs, being an annual average of about 90,000,000 francs, but in 1901 the annual sum will become 120,000,000. The average eight years' outlay will not, however, be more than 2,000,000 francs above that of the six previous years. Refitting of ships in 1898, 1899, and 1900 will cost 20,381,000 francs. A million will be expended at Bizerta for making it a naval station, and considerable works will also be undertaken next year in Corsica. Algeria, and Dakar, making altogether 1,489,000 francs. In 1898 the amount allotted for shipbuilding will be 102,000,000 francs, and for repairs 30,000,000 francs.

The northern squadron will be kept up to its full strength for eight months instead of six, and the Mediterranean reserve division will be kept completely by keying above and its second of the strength of the st

sion will be kept complete by having ships corresponding to every class in the active squadron. The armament and trial trips of several ships in advance of the estimated date will entail supplementary outlay. M. de Kerjégu maintains that the number of ships is too small, that some are too slow, or of too small a range, and that they are of such different traces that severally are the and that they are of such different types that scarcely any two are alike.

The cost, moreover, is too great and the period of construction too long. In spite of the reduction shown in the cost of the battle ships *Charles Martel*, *Charlemagne*, and *Gaulois*, the cost is 20 or 25 per cent greater than in England. France, moreover, lacks cruisers. While England has 195 and is building 42, France has 46 and is building 16. The speed, moreover, ought to be increased.

RUSSIA.

The budget of 1897-98 amounts to 68,055,420 rubles (\$52,538,784) for ordinary expenses, plus 6,000,000 rubles (\$4,632,000) incidental expenses for new constructions, plus 15,500,000 rubles (\$11.966,000) for Vladivostok, and contains the following principal items:

	Rubles.
New ships and armament	27, 304, 693
Maintenance	11,244,371
Barracks, etc	
Arsenals	4,487,556
Depots on land	7, 421, 595
The arsenal Alexander III, at Libau	
Vladivostok	3,000,000
Total	61, 178, 148
74 11 00 mm 1	A STATE STATE

[1 ruble=\$0.772.]

New military port.-Russia is undertaking the construction of a large military port, to be called "Ekaterinograd," on the coast of Lapland, between the White Sea and the Norwegian border. Work has already been commenced on a railway connecting it with St. Petersburg. This port is of great importance to the political world, since it indicates that Russia is no longer satisfied with seeing her squadron imprisoned in the ice of the Baltic, for the new port will be free from ice, owing to the influence of the Gulf Stream.

Russia is also building two ice-breaking ships, the invention of Admiral Makaroff. These are to open a canal for the purpose of allowing her ships to pass from Kronstadt to the White Sea by

The Baltic and Black Sea Canal.—The great canal, the total length of which will be nearly 1,000 miles, and which is to connect the Baltic with the Black Sea, is to be commenced next spring, the surveys for it being in an advanced state. The new waterway is to be 217 feet wide at the ordinary water level, and 117 feet at the bottom. Its depth being 28½ feet, the largest war vessels will be able to pass through it. The canal commences at Riga, and it will follow the course of the river Düna as far as Dünaburg. Here the great excavations will begin which will connect the canal with Lepsl, on the Beresina. That river will be utilized as far as its junction with the Dnieper, when the latter will be followed to its

mouth, near Cherson.

Of the whole length of the canal, 875 miles will be canalized rivers, leaving only 125 miles for the excavator. The canal will have eighteen ports—at Riga, Jakobstadt, Dünaburg, Lepel, Borissov, Robruisk, Kieff, Pergaslav, Kuneff, Cherkassy, Krementshug, Verchnedieprovsk, Yekaterinoslav, Alexandrovsk, Nikopol, Berislavi, Aleshki, and Cherson. The enlargement of the two terminal ports of Riga and Cherson, on the Baltic and Black Seas, respectively, is at the present time being actively proceeded with ceeded with.

The canal is to be substantially constructed so as to allow ves sels to proceed at a uniform speed of 6 knots. At that speed it will take one hundred and forty-four hours to make the whole journey. The canal is to be lighted by electricity, to avoid stoppages at night. Its total cost is estimated at £20,000,000, and the work of construction will probably take four years.

CHINA.

Three arsenals are about to be constructed: The first at Kianchan in the north, the second at Namkivan, and the third at Mirsbay, near Hongkong. Plans are also being prepared for 2 battle ships of 12,000 tons each, 2 protected cruisers, 2 gunboats, and 6 torpedo-boat catchers, contracts for which will be given out shortly.

SPATN.

Under construction, 3 battle ships, 4 armored cruisers, 4 protected cruisers, 4 gunboats, 4 torpedo boats.

In 1880 Germany was not a strong naval power. During that year, however, reconstruction of the war fleet was favorably considered and liberal appropriations were made. Note the consequence: Enormous increase of commerce, tremendous expansion of range of commercial activity, and above all the strengthening of love and pride in the hearts of the Germans for the Fatherland. Germany's example can well be followed by us.

The New York Journal of Commerce, commenting upon our phenomenal foreign commerce of 1897, published the following table:

The years next (to 1897) in order of magnitude were 1891, 1892, 1896, and 1890, as will be seen from the subjoined table. The exports were \$94,000,000 above the highest previous record, which was in 1896, and \$129,000,000 above the next highest year, 1891. The imports were \$61,000,000 more than in 1896, but were much below those of 1895, 1892, 1891, and 1890, and compared with ten years ago they show an increase of only \$17,000,000.

For the four years succeeding 1893 the imports have averaged only 75 per cent of the amount of the exports, while for the three normal years 1887, 1888, and 1889 the imports and exports, within a fraction, evenly offset each other. For the four later years combined the exports exceeded the imports by \$853,000,000. In this reckoning no account is taken of the gold movement; nor yet of the imports or exports of silver, which, since 1893, have added about \$35,000,000 net per year to the value of the exports. We append a statement of the imports and exports of merchandise for each of the last eleven calendar years:

Exports.	Imports.	Total trade.
\$1,099,000,000	\$742,000,000	\$1,841,000,000
		1,652,000,000
938, 000, 000	841,000,000	1,779,000,000
970,000,000	828,000,000	1,798,000,000
		1,680,000,000
		1,597,000,000
715, 000, 000	709, 000, 000	1, 424, 000, 000
	\$1,000,000,000 1,005,000,000 824,000,000 825,000,000 876,000,000 970,000,000 857,000,000 827,000,000	\$1,099,000,000 \$742,000,000 1,005,000,000 681,000,000 825,000,000 776,000,000 876,000,000 876,000,000 876,000,000 876,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 877,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000 872,000,000

Comparing the above figures for the calendar year 1897 with those of fiscal years (ending June 30), the periods for which compilations are usually made, it is found that—

it is found that—
"The imports of last year were exceeded by the imports of the fiscal years 1898 to 1893, 1896, and 1897. The domestic exports and the total of domestic and foreign exports last year were never before equaled in either a fiscal or a calendar year. The domestic exports, \$1,09,000,000, have been most nearly approached in the fiscal years 1897 and 1892.

"The domestic exports exceeded \$900,000,000 in no fiscal year except 1892 and 1897, when they exceeded a billion dollars. They were between eight and nine hundred million dollars in 1890, 1881, 1883, 1890 to 1894, and 1896 and 1897. The excess of exports of merchandise over imports, \$356,000,000, is far beyond any fiscal year, the excesses being over two hundred millions only in the years 1878, 1879, 1881, 1892, 1894, and 1897. The total volume of foreign trade, \$1,841,760,374, was exceeded by sixteen millions in 1892. The aggregate has exceeded \$1,700,000,000 in only two other years, 1891 and 1893."

Considering the bad times throughout the world during the past ten years, I can only think that history is repeating itself as far as our commerce and naval development are concerned.

About the year 1885 we began the reconstruction of our Navy, appropriating as follows:

Total amounts authorized for increase of the Navy since 1885, including armor, armament, rebuilding old monitors, etc.

000 1892 000 1893	9,400,000
000 1895 000 1896	7,125,000 9,955,000 13,304,000 11,500,000 *91,612,362
0	

* This figure represents the total expenditure during the twelve years for rebuilding the Navy.

Comparing our commerce of last year (1897) with 1887 we find that our exports have increased \$284,000,000, while our imports have increased only \$33,000,000, thus showing that our exporters are satisfied that Congress intends at last to provide a naval force of sufficient strength to protect their rights.

I desire to refer to the report of the Secretary of the Navy for

our present condition:

NAVY DEPARTMENT, Washington, D. C., November 15, 1897.

To the President:

The present effective fighting force of the Navy consists of 4 battle ships of the first class, 2 battle ships of the second class, 2 armored cruisers, 16 cruisers, 15 gunboats, 6 double-turreted monitors, 1 ram, 1 dynamite gunboat, 1 dispatch boat, 1 transport steamer, and 5 torpedo boats. There are under construction 5 battle ships of the first class, 16 torpedo boats, and 1 submaring boat.

There are 64 other naval vessels, including those used as training, receiving, and naval-reserve ships, tugs, disused single-turreted monitors, and some unserviceable craft.

There is, further, the auxiliary fleet. This consists, first, of more than 20 subsidized steamers which comply with the requirements of the postal act of March 3, 1891, with regard to their adaptability to naval service, and to an armament of main and secondary batteries; second, of a very much greater number of large merchant-marine steamers, which can be availed of at any time of need.

These auxiliaries, ranging from 2,000 to 12,000 tons, will, if occasion require, form a powerful fleet of ocean cruisers, capable of swift and formidable attack upon an enemy's commerce. Their great coal capacity will also enable them to remain a long time at sea in search of the whereabouts of hostile

vessels.

The country is to be congratulated upon the results obtained in the rebuilding of the Navy. While its ships are not as many—and it is not necessary they should be—as those of some other great powers, they are, class for class, in power, speed, workmanship, and offensive and defensive qualities, the equal of vessels built anywhere else in the world.

Since the last annual report of the Secretary of the Navy was submitted the following vessels have been conditionally or finally accepted, and are now a part of the effective force of the Navy:

Name of vessel	Type.	Speed required by con- tract.		Date of pre- liminary acceptance.	Date of final acceptance.	Date of commission.	By whom built.
Wilmington Jelena Innapolis owa Washville Vicksburg Wewport Wheeling Marietta	Seagoing battle ship	20 13 13 12 16 14 12 12	12.71 12.29 12.88	Sept.28, 1896 May 17, 1897 May 24, 1897 May 19, 1897 June 22, 1897 June 25, 1897 July 8, 1897 —do Aug. 6, 1897	Sept.21, 1897	Dec. 1, 1896 May 13, 1897 July 8, 1897 July 20, 1897 June 16, 1897 Aug. 19, 1897 Oct. 23, 1897 Oct. 5, 1897 Aug. 10, 1897 Sept. 1, 1897	Lewis Nixon. Wm. Cramp & Sons. Newport News Co. Bath Iron Works. Do.

Table showing the condition of work on vessels now under construction, where building, percentage of completion November 15, 1897, and probable date of completion.

Name of vessel.	Туре.	Type. Where and by whom building.		Estimated date of completion.
Kentucky Illinois * Alabama * Wisconsin * Princeton Rodgers Winslow Rowan Dahlgren T. A. M. Craven Farragut Davis Fox Morris Talbot Gwin Mackenzie McKee Stringham Goldsborough	do	Moran Bros. Co., Seattle, Wash Bath Iron Works, Bath, Me do Union Iron Works, San Francisco, Cal Wolff & Zwicker Iron Works, Portland, Oreg do	30 31 24 94 85 96 88 12 12 14 65 56 56 68 30 Begun. Begun.	Aug., 1899. Do. Sept., 1899. Do. Sept., 1899. Feb., 1898. May, 1898. Doc., 1897. Feb., 1898. Aug., 1898. Apr., 1898. Apr., 1898. Do. Feb., 1898. Jaly, 1898. Do. Feb., 1898. Jan., 1899. Do. May, 1899.

^{*}The probable date of final completion of the Illinois, Alabama, and Wisconsin is based on the supposition that armor will be provided in due season.

The vessels under construction should be completed during the coming year, except the 5 battle ships, which will probably not be ready for service before the latter part of 1899. Of the latter, the Kearsarge and Kentucky are nearly half completed. About three-quarters of their armor has been delivered, a part of which has been secured in place on the ships. The other 3, the Alabama, Illinois, and Wisconsin, were begun subsequent to the last annual report. With the exception of a small amount of diagonal casemate armor, which the ship contractors have agreed to furnish at the price fixed by law, it will be necessary to preliminarily accept them without armor, in accordance with the terms of the contract for their construction.

The Illustrated American of January 8, 1898, says:

How like to China in some things we are after all!
Germany seizes one of China's seaports, and China hardly makes a protesting sound.

She keeps silent because she can not resist, and it seems more dignified to acquiesce with seeming immobility than to set up a ridiculously feeble squeak, like a trapped mouse.

Yet China is the most multitudinous nation on earth. She has riches and resources untold. Her men with training might make very fair soldiers.

Without feeling the expense she could have fortified every port and bought a fleet equal to the entire naval strength of Germany, and infinitely more formidable, because so close to the base of supplies and repair.

But China has no military patriotism. Her Government is too sleepy to comprehend the danger or too senile to meet it.

We have plenty of patriotism, but do we make a much better showing materially?

Japan's great navy could wipe our Pacific squadron from the seas and shell

Japan's great navy could wipe our Pacific squadron from the seas and shell San Francisco before our North Atlantic squadron could double Cape Horn.

A concentration of the superior German navy could overwhelm our Atlantic fleet by sheer force of numbers, while German transports could land at any one of a dozen of our smaller Atlantic ports a disciplined army of enormous size.

at any one of a dozen of our smaller Atlantic ports a disciplined army of enormous size.

A military coalition of European powers against us could exact its own terms in a single fortnight from nearly all our seacoast cities, if not from the nation itself.

We are the best fighters in the world, but what have we to fight with? We have illimitable resource for a prolonged war; but modern wars are generally finished in a few weeks.

We have a gallant little fleet, but we have not a dry dock on the Atlantic coast large enough to repair a battle ship.

In the old days of wooden navies a victorious ship could mend her timbers and masts and be ready to fight again in twenty-four hours; but the modern battle ship is so complicated and delicate that, even if triumphant in a duel, her wounds would drive her home for long repairs.

We have a few coast defenses, but they are ridiculously inadequate.

The statesman mind of Tilden urged on the country the complete fortification of our coasts, but none heeded him.

If any European power, capable of engaging our little fleet, should at the same time throw even 100,000 trained soldiers upon our shores, could we successfully face such an army in a fortnight?

It is a crime against the continuance of peace for Congress to keep us so defenseless. We have trusted to the mutual jealousies of the foreign powers for the prevention of any attack upon us. We have trusted to our luck.

But can we expect to escape forever? Like England, are we not hate donor deeply each year? Dislike or hate is the price that power or promise of continued greatness must pay among nations as among individuals.

Nothing under the canopy but a powerful navy, adequate coast defenses, and a modern army able to be mobilized and to act together in one week can be safely banked on to discourage a foreign attack.

It is a fundamental truth warranted by history that the control of the seas, and especially along the great lines drawn by national interest or national commerce, is the chief among the merely national elements in the power and prosperity of nations. It is so because the sea is the world's great medium of circulation.

Mr. Chairman, we have seen the great naval preparations being made by all the nations of the Old World, including Japan, and

does it not seem as though they were looking ahead to the signs of the times? What are they? China apparently to be partitioned.

Nicaragua Canal surely to be built

Increasing jealousy among all nations of each other. Territorial extension and expansion.

Have we not firmly declared for the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine?

Have we not declared that we will protect our trade treaties against all assaults?

Have we not affirmed that we propose, when it is built, to build

the Nicaragua Canal?

Above all else, is not every nation upon earth, excepting Russia, jealous of us, envious of our great commercial success? Every nation must expect rivals in the race for commercial supremacy. When that nation, however, wins the laurel of greatest success, rivalry ceases, and jealousy and envy take its place, and sooner or later, upon some pretext or other, that nation will be drawn into a quarrel with some one or several of its vanquished com-

petitors. This is our condition to-day.

I have frequently heard it said on the floor of this House that we have no colonies. Literally speaking, true, but figuratively speaking, false, for the creations of American brains and the kindness of mother earth have made the markets of the world your consults of commerce. Take the reports of our consults and your colonies of commerce. Take the reports of our consuls and you will see that the American electric light, motor, telephone, telegraph, sewing machine, locomotive, printing press, harvesting machines, armor plate, breadstuffs, and scores of other articles are slowly but surely capturing the foreign markets.

With this great success has now come the jealousy and envy of which I spoke. In our national infancy and youth we cared harvesting

naught about the enmity of any power because we were prepared to resist all attacks; but now in our manhood are we prepared, and can we acquit ourselves with honor if foreign complications arise, if we do not keep pace with the naval developments of other powers? I think not. Take, if you please, the present complications in China. The honor of opening China to the world belongs to the United States. What are we going to do if our favorable trade treaties with that nation are interfered with and our rap-

Simply enter a more or less vigorous diplomatic protest, for what else can we do? We have not sufficient naval strength to compel heed to our protest, and our armed hand is not heavy enough to cause the least worriment to the powers involved in the Chinese problem. Oh, would that we had a powerful fleet, so that we might say, with the division of China, we have nothing to do, but we do propose to protect to the extent of our entire resources our trade rights and commercial treaties with that nation. This is what would have been done prior to 1860. Will we prepare so that it may be done now?

NICARAGUA CANAL

Ere Father Time swings his scythe through many more years all writers and statesmen believe the Nicaragua Canal will be built, and then, through our treaty with Nicaragua, what becomes our duty?

The Dickinson-Ayron treaty, ratified June 20, 1868, says:

The United States hereby agree to extend their protection to all such routes of communication as aforesaid, and to guarantee the neutrality and innocent use of the same.

It also makes provision for United States protection for persons and property along the route if for any reason Nicaragua does not

and property along the route if for any reason Nicaragua does not furnish that protection. This brings us to the Monroe doctrine. England owns and has strongly fortified Jamaica, and between Jamaica and Haiti is the best and shortest channel leading to and from the Nicaragua Canal; so, with Haiti in the possession of any continental power and Jamaica in possession of England, a major part of the commerce to and from the canal would have to pass almost under the guns of these powers.

What a magnificent base of supplies for any continental fleet, merchant or war, Haiti would be. I might add another source of trouble with a foreign power might easily arise through the continued growth and development of trade jealousy on the part of her people toward us. So it seems to me that we should prepare for war upon the sea in proportion to the preparation being made by most of the continental powers.

we may never have war with any power, but this is not the millennium. The era of eternal peace has not arrived, would to heaven it had, for no one can possibly abhor the awful horrors of war more than I do; no one detests jingoism more than I.

We have, however, said most emphatically to the world that some of the doctrines of our fathers we propose to defend at all times. We must live up to that declaration or else lose our place forever as one of the great powers. We have, indeed, set a high standard of patriotism for the conduction of affairs on the Western Hemisphere. If we believe in the Monroe doctrine, we must be ready and thoroughly prepared to defend it against any nation or

ern Hemisphere. If we believe in the Monroe doctrine, we must be ready and thoroughly prepared to defend it against any nation or coalition of nations attempting to destroy any of its tenets.

Mr. Chairman, I believe that the saying, "The pen is mightier than the sword," has long since been weighed in the balance and found wanting, for the pen of diplomacy, of statecraft, aye, of government itself, becomes woefully weak unless fortified and supported by the strong sword arm of a united, patriotic, and country-loving people who hold dear the truths established by their ancestors. You say the Monroe doctrine is an ideal, and if we live up to its ideas, its teachings, we will have occasional friction with some foreign power. True, it is an ideal, and also true that it may cause friction. What if it does?

I contend, sir, that a nation must be ever ready to defend, ever ready to fight for a principle grown sacred by years of open ac-

ready to fight for a principle grown sacred by years of open acknowledgment. We have openly declared that the truths of this doctrine are just, wise, and honorable. The peace-at-any-price people say, "Away with the Monroe doctrine!" while patriots reply, "We will relegate to the realm of everlasting contempt non-believers in the doctrine established by Monroe, believed in by Lincoln, strengthened by Grant, and rejuvenated by Cleveland."

Ah, Mr. Chairman, this is not jingoism; this is the true patriot-

Ah, Mr. Chairman, this is not jingoism; this is the true patriotism, and patriotism has ever been esteemed honorable, ever considered essential to the strength and welfare of a nation. The greatest nations of the world's history, ancient and modern, have been those that held patriotism in the highest regard.

When an English naval officer plants the English flag upon newly-discovered territory and amid the roar of heavy guns declares it to be English soil, the breezetakes up the "boom" of the cannon and wafts it to far-distant England. Soon comes back the echo with "We will defend the newly acquired territory to the extent of our resources. God save the Queen!"

Only a few days ago in our own land we had a magnificent display of patriotism. How did our people receive the action of this House on Tuesday, March 8? Ah, when the electrical messenger told the people of Maine what had been done here, they sent him forward on his journey with "Say to the people of the Vineyard State, 'My country;' "the people of California replied, "Go on to the people of Flowerland and say to them, 'My country, 'tis of thee;' "the Floridians sent him on to the far-distant Pacific with "My country, 'tis of thee, sweet land of liberty," and the Washingtonians replied, "Thy name I love," while the American people, taking up the words, sang in a mighty, united chorus:

My country, 'tis of thee, Sweet land of liberty, Thy name I love!

Patriotism is indeed a noble passion. May it never weaken in

the hearts of the American people. [Applause.]

Through illiberal laws and lack of naval protection the American merchant marine has almost faded away; but increase the Navy, provide adequate protection, and despite these unjust laws American ingenuity will find a way to make the American flag once again supreme upon the seas. [Applause.]

APPENDIX A.

TABLE I.-Exports-Per cent of exports.

	Domestic merchandise other than manufactures.								
Year ending June 30-	Agricult	Agriculture.		ζ.	Forest.				
	Values.	Per cent.	Values.	Per cent.	Values.	Per cent.			
1860	430, 306, 570 456, 113, 515 459, 734, 148 536, 192, 873 546, 476, 703 685, 961, 091 730, 394, 943 552, 219, 819 619, 289, 449 536, 815, 318 530, 172, 966 484, 954, 595 523, 073, 798 500, 849, 086	81. 13 79. 35 76. 95 76. 67 72. 63 77. 07 78. 12 88. 25 82. 63 77. 00 73. 98 72. 98 72. 98 74. 41 73. 23 74. 51 73. 69 74. 05	\$999,465 5,026,111 6,469,181 7,122,989 6,782,119 6,465,81,232 7,401,282 7,401,282 10,446,719 15,622,255 13,654,286 11,758,662 11,758,662 11,758,662 22,257,755 22,054,970 20,682,885	.81 1.10 1.15 1.39 .97 .92 .92 .91 1.11 1.30 2.05 1.63 2.63 2.64 2.53 2.04 2.41	\$10, 209, 959 14, 807, 963 19, 165, 907 18, 076, 668 19, 943, 290 17, 770, 396 16, 336, 943 17, 321, 268 19, 486, 051 17, 321, 268 26, 262, 959 20, 264 28, 636, 199 20, 222, 959 20, 961, 708 21, 126, 273 20, 961, 708 21, 126, 273 22, 997, 127 22, 473, 687, 423 27, 957, 423 27, 957, 423 27, 957, 423 27, 957, 423 28, 127, 113	3.26 3.27 3.43 3.04 3.14 2.55 2.34 2.11 2.20 3.56 3.63 3.15 3.01 3.51 3.70 3.49 3.29 2.27 3.88			

Year ending June 30—	Domestic r ture	Value total exports of do-	
	Values.	Per cent.	mestic mer- chandise.
1800	\$40, 345, 892 68, 279, 764 92, 678, 814 101, 637, 548 123, 897, 196 123, 897, 196 117, 015, 729 1102, 856, 015 114, 233, 219 124, 238, 293 126, 372, 887 126, 541, 978 136, 735, 105 138, 675, 507 138, 675, 507 158, 692, 315 158, 510, 837 158, 023, 118	12.76 15 16.57 17.08 21.16 17.79 16.72 12.48 12.92 18.38 16.69 18.81 20.25 20.50 19.45 19.05 18.99 17.87 19.57 19.67	\$316, 242, 423 455, 208, 341 559, 237, 638 569, 317, 715 632, 980, 854 605, 749, 990 609, 538, 742 823, 946, 353 885, 925, 947 773, 239, 732 804, 223, 632 724, 964, 529 726, 682, 946 665, 964, 529 726, 682, 946 665, 964, 529 727, 682, 946 685, 946, 529 728, 622, 933 683, 882, 104 730, 282, 609 845, 293, 823 872, 270, 283 1, 015, 732, 015, 015, 015, 015, 015, 015, 015, 015

TABLE II.—Exports of main cereals.

		Corn.		
Fiscal year.	Bushels.	Aggregate value.	Average value per bushel.	
1875-76 1876-77 1877-78 1878-79 1879-80 1880-81 1881-82 1882-83 1882-83 1883-84 1883-84 1884-85 1885-86 1886-87 1887-88 1888-89 1888-90 1890-91 1890-91 1891-92 1892-03 1893-94	49, 493, 572 70, 880, 983 85, 461, 698 86, 296, 252 98, 169, 877 91, 98, 175 40, 558, 825 45, 247, 490 51, 824, 416 63, 655, 433 640, 307, 252 24, 278, 417 70, 768, 213 768, 213 768, 244 64, 637, 274 65, 324, 841 99, 992, 835	\$33, 265, 280 41, 621, 225 48, 633, 358 40, 655, 120 53, 298, 247 50, 702, 608, 247 50, 702, 608, 227, 756, 682 27, 756, 682 27, 648, 644 28, 603, 863 31, 730, 922 29, 382, 277 42, 658, 615 17, 652, 687 41, 590, 460 24, 587, 511 30, 211, 154 14, 650, 767 37, 836, 882	\$0.672 587 562 471 543 551 663 683 611 494 475 556 474 418 577 551 531 462 531	
		Wheat.	miles.	
Fiscal year.	Bushels.	Aggregate	Average value per	

	Wheat.					
Fiscal year.	Bushels.	Aggregate value.	Average value per bushel.			
1875-76 1876-77 1877-78 1877-79 1879-90 1880-81 1881-82	55, 073, 122 40, 325, 611 72, 404, 961 122, 353, 936 153, 252, 795 150, 565, 477 95, 271, 802	\$68, 382, 899 47, 135, 562 96, 872, 016 130, 701, 079 190, 546, 305 167, 698, 485 112, 929, 718	\$1.241 1.169 1.338 1.068 1.243 1.113 1.185			

TABLE II .- Exports of main cereals .- Continued.

		Wheat.	
Fiscal year.	Bushels.	Aggregate value.	Average value per bushel.
1882-63 1883-84 1884-85 1885-86 1886-87 1887-88 1888-89 1888-89 1889-90 1890-91 1891-92 1892-93 1892-93 1892-94 1894-95 1894-95	106, 385, 828 70, 349, 012 84, 653, 714 57, 759, 209 101, 971, 949 65, 789, 281 46, 414, 129 54, 387, 767 55, 131, 948 157, 289, 351 117, 282, 30 76, 102, 704 60, 650, 080	119, 879, 341 75, 026, 678 72, 933, 097 50, 262, 715 90, 716, 481 56, 241, 168 41, 652, 701 45, 275, 906 51, 420, 272 161, 399, 132 93, 534, 970 59, 407, 041 43, 805, 663 39, 709, 888	\$1, 126 1, 066 802 87 89 853 897 832 902 1, 026 798 672 5775 , 655

		Oats.	
Fiscal year.	Bushels.	Aggregate value.	Average value per bushel.
1877-78 1878-79 1879-80 1880-81 1881-82 1882-83 1883-84 1884-85 1884-85 1886-87 1886-87 1887-88 1888-89 1888-90 1890-91 1890-91 1890-91 1890-94 1893-94	3, 715, 479 5, 452, 136 796, 366 402, 504 461, 496 1, 790, 376 4, 191, 692 5, 672, 694 4410, 283 332, 564 624, 226 13, 692, 776 953, 010 9, 435, 078 9, 435, 078 1, 750, 296 5, 750, 296	\$1,177,926 1,618,644 308,129 186,899 233,843 710,694 1,589,640 1,944,772 179,634 143,284 245,562 4,510,055 405,708 8,842,559 951,920 2,027,935 200,783 3,497,611	\$0.344 296 402 463 476 506 309 343 448 434 396 434 399 426 407 39 352 304

TABLE III .- Total production of the United States of the main cereals.

Year.	Indian corn.	Wheat.	Oats.
	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels,
1850	592,071,104	100, 485, 940	146, 584, 179
1860	838, 792, 742	173, 104, 924	172, 643, 185
1870	760, 944, 549	287, 745, 626	282, 107, 157
1880	1,754,861,535	459, 479, 503	407, 858, 900
1885	1,936,176,000	357, 112, 000	629, 409, 000
1886	1,665,441,000	457, 218, 000	624, 134, 000
1887	1,456,161,000	456, 329, 000	659, 618, 000
1888	1,987,790,000	415, 868, 000	701, 735, 000
1889	2, 112, 892, 000	490, 560, 000	751, 515, 000
1890	1, 489, 970, 000	399, 262, 000	523, 621, 000
1891	2,060, 154,000	611, 780, 000	738, 394, 000
1892	1,628,464,000	515, 949, 000	661, 035, 000
1893	1,619,496,131	396, 131, 725	638, 854, 850
1894	1, 212, 770, 052	460, 267, 416	662, 086, 928
1895	2, 151, 139, 000	467, 103, 000	824, 444, 000
1896	2, 283, 875, 000	427, 684, 000	707, 346, 000

TABLE IV .- The cotton supply of the United States for sixty-nine years.

Year.	Bales.	Year.	Bales.	Year.	Bales.	Year.	Bales.
1829 1830 1831 1832 1833 1834 1835 1836 1837 1838	870, 415 976, 845 1,038, 848 987, 487 1,070, 438 1,205, 324 1,254, 328 1,360, 752 1,422, 930 1,801, 497 1,360, 532	1846 1847 1848 1849 1850 1851 1852 1852 1853 1854 1855	2, 100, 537 1, 778, 651 2, 347, 634 2, 728, 596 2, 096, 708 2, 355, 257 3, 015, 029 3, 262, 882 2, 980, 027 2, 847, 339 3, 527, 845	1866 1867 1868 1869 1870 1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876	2, 193, 967 2, 019, 774 2, 593, 993 2, 439, 639 3, 154, 946 4, 352, 317 2, 974, 351 3, 930, 508 4, 170, 388 3, 832, 991 4, 669, 288	1883 1884 1885 1886 1887 1889 1890 1891 1892 1893	6, 992, 234 5, 714, 052 5, 669, 021 6, 550, 215 6, 513, 624 7, 017, 707 6, 965, 082 7, 313, 726 8, 655, 518 9, 088, 707 6, 717, 142
1840 1841 1842 1843 1844	2, 177, 835 1, 634, 945 1, 683, 574 2, 378, 875 2, 030, 469 2, 394, 503	1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862-1865	2,939,519 3,113,962 3,851,481 4,669,770 3,656,006 (*)	1877 1878 1879 1880 1881	4, 609, 288 4, 485, 423 4, 811, 265 5, 073, 531 5, 757, 397 6, 589, 329 5, 435, 845	1894 1895 1896 1897	6,717,142 7,527,211 9,892,766 7,162,473 8,714,011

*No record.

TABLE V .- Fer cent of total production exported.

Year.	Cot- ton.	Wheat	Corn.	Min- eraloil.
867	68.32	8, 32	1.85	46,58
868	66.90	11.90	1.63	52, 34
869	57.01	13, 27	.91	60,01
870	65.98	20.72	.24	61.37
871		22, 30	.98	69,62
872	67.44	16.88	3,60	54.60
873	65.47	20,80	3,67	57.85
874	70.03	32,54	3,86	51.23
875	70.69	23, 60	3,53	46,50
876	70.75	25, 58	3.86	68, 69
877	68.97	19.73	5.66	64.54
1878	71.23	25, 29	6, 49	54.02
1879	67.74	35, 82	6, 33	50.42
880	65.73	40.18	6,43	31.41
1881		37.38	5,48	44.29
1882	67.23	31.82	3,71	39, 21
1883		29, 33	2.58	54.13
1884		26, 49	2,99	53, 55
1885	68.96	25, 86	2.95	61.11
1886	64.68	26, 48	3.35	50, 21
1887	68.71	33, 66	2.48	50.67
1888	65.83	26, 23	1.74	49.37
1889	69.33	21.31	3.57	46.09
1890	68.15	22, 31	4.85	36.06
1891		26, 60	2.15	29.73
1892		36.88	3,72	37, 35
1893	65.99	37.20	2.89	45, 10
1894		41.47	4.11	53, 26
1895		31.46	2.36	50.76
896		27.07	4.70	43, 34
897		33.93	7.83	

TABLE VI.—Total value of the ten main crops and exports of the United States, from 1870 to 1895.

Year.	Ten main crops.	Exports.	Approximate per cent exported.
1870 1875 1880 1885 1890	\$1,671,161,288 1,735,474,964 2,107,111,989 1,908,312,018 2,460,107,454 2,086,512,122	\$361, 188, 483 430, 306, 570 685, 961, 091 530, 172, 966 629, 820, 808 615, 382, 986	20 24 32 22 25 29

APPENDIX B.

Table showing foregoing data of all countries, in condensed form. [New York World Almanac.]

		FMem	TOTA V	VOPICI A	шапас.	1		WILLIAM TO A			0.11		
Class of vessels.	Great Brit- ain.	France.	Ger- many.	Italy.	Austria- Hun- gary.	Russia.	Spain.	Den- mark.	Neth- er- lands.	Turkey.	Portugal.	Sweden and Norway.	United States.
Battle ships, first class Guns of same S. B. Battle ships, second and third class Guns of same Seagoing coast-defense H. G. Guns of same H. G. Guns of same S. B. Nonseagoing coast-defense S. B. Nonseagoing coast-defense S. B. Armored cruisers J. H. G. Guns of same J. H. G. S. B. Protected and partially protected cruisers J. H. G. S. B. C. C. C. C.	114 1,257 24 254 575 6 24 82 11 38 91 18	15 57 615 9 76 216 12 22 202 12 18 86 13 78 259 47 46	6 36 164 10 93 162 8 24 116 111 111 222 7 7 7 14 256 13	8 38 315 2 27 112 7 32 58 30 313 23	100 800 204 3 122 664 4 4 12 2 2 100 1000 8	14 124 332 4 41 79 9 7 20 84 21 83 156 14 137 287 3 26	1 177 188 22 229 222 22 3 6 6 8 8 14 194 129 988	28 78 21	3 20 37 7 32 91 117 18 100	1 10 10 8 75 105 6 40 63 3 6 8	1 3 6 2 6 45 45 45	8 28 120 18 18 45 45	133 229
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,372 3 38	1,085 14 160 189 16 18 49	281 6 48 45 2 2	495	40 2 21 21 2	53 20 59 224 12 16 52	161 4 20 25 11 11 6	34 48 1 8 6 9 9	160 2 10 4 36 34 84	32 2 29 16	48 3 22 6	20 4 24 24 21 31 49	10

H. G. Heavy guns or primary battery. S. B. Secondary batteries or light guns.

^{*} This column is inserted for purposes of comparison.

Table showing foregoing data of all countries, in condensed form-Continued.

Class of vessels.	Great Brit- ain.	France.	Ger- many.	Italy.	Aus- tria- Hun- gary.	Russia.	Spain.	Den- mark.	Neth- er- lands.	Turkey.	Portugal.		United States.*
Gunboats, second and third class	20 103	33 17	1 18	2 18	8 11	00	13 17		15 6	12 6	2 3	6	
First class Second class Third class Hulks and stationary vessels Subsidized vessels Obsolets vessels	51 32 107 139 28 32	46 149 54 86 12 30	104 54 18 12 10 5	117 4 70 3 16 7	36 5 35 9	88 6 97 2 36 3	11 28 9 6 14 59	6 5 13 6	20 12 31 16	12 20 4 3 54 12	12 9 27	37 20 7	18 1 2 20 4 11
Dispatch, training, transports, repair, tugs, and miscellaneous vessels	219	105	47	55	21	98	25	61	24	86	44	38	68
Officers	2, 243 58, 916	2,220 49,300	967 17,820	20, 406	11, 900	1,260 36,000	1,009 16,300	146 1,109	540 8,320	392 20,600	367 4,096	6,780	†982 †12,600
Officers	748 17,842	1,640 27,800	228 2,500	83 440	76 720	382 2,890	6,920	40 264	1,700	1,200	18 608	123 1,200	
Total active list	79,947 83,000	80, 920 84, 350	21,513 37,009	21,724 19,600	13,313 2,000	40,532 45,000	24, 629 25, 000	1,559 4,000	10,603 10,000	22, 276 36, 000	5,089 4,000	8,279 12,500	13,582 2,800

^{*}This column is inserted for purposes of comparison.

† Includes Marine Corps.

This table gives to us nine battle ships; this includes those under construction.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I yield to the gentleman from

Mississippi [Mr. Fox].
Mr. FOX. Mr. Chairman, in considering a great appropriation bill in Committee of the Whole on the state of the Union I trust it is not improper to submit some remarks on the condition of the masses who have to pay this and all other appropriations. On January 20, page 807 of the RECORD, the chairman of the Ways and Means Committee said:

I think it is a serious mistake for the Representatives of the South who desire to improve their economic conditions to forget that there can be no progress except as it is based on an improvement in the standard of living and an improvement in the earnings of the masses.

He also said:

The laws which bind the people of this country tend to the gradual increase of the earnings of the masses upon which prosperity depends.

There is no greater economic truth, there is no more profound political wisdom, than the statement that all prosperity must be based on the uplifting of the masses, who produce all the wealth of the country. This is the very essence of genuine Democracy as taught by Jefferson. Democratic policies and the principles enunciated in the Chicago platform, the greatest platform, embodying more political wisdom than any other platform ever promulgated, are all based on the doctrine enunciated by the gentleman from Maine.

Ideny, however, that Southern Representatives forget this great

I deny, however, that Southern Representatives forget this great principle. I assert that they as much as any other Representa-tives on this floor strictly adhere to it. The distinguished chairman of the Committee on Appropriations the other day said:

I have never yet seen the Constitution stand in the way of any Representative when it was his interest to get something for his people.

I say this is not true of Southern Representatives. They have at all times stood here on this floor and pleaded for a strict observance of the Constitution as the only guarantee of the rights of the masses; and it was a Southern Representative, the distinguished and honored leader of the minority here, who was pleading for the Constitution when the gentleman from Illinois ridiculed it and made the statement which I have quoted.

I deny that the laws of this country as they exist to day tend to

culed it and made the statement which I have quoted.

I deny that the laws of this country as they exist to-day tend to the gradual increase of the earnings of the masses. I charge the fact to be that under the leadership of the gentleman from Maine laws have been enacted that utterly ignore the great principle referred to; and instead of tending to bring about a gradual increase of the earnings of the masses, tend to the impoverishment of the masses and the enrichment of the few. If there should be a gradual increase of the earnings of the masses, there is bound to be a decrease in the accumulation of wealth by the few, and we would soon have the ideal state of things advocated by Thomas Jefferson when he said:

Let us found a government where there shall be no extremely rich men and no abjectly poor ones; let us found a government upon the intelligence of the people and the equitable distribution of property.

Instead of this, the concentration of wealth in the hands of a few has been progressing within the past thirty years, until \$3,000,000,-000 of property have been taken by taxation from the consumers of the country and given to the capitalists, and to-day 1 per cent

of the families of the country hold more wealth than the remaining 99 per cent.

It is said that within sixteenth months, from October 6, 1896, to January 29, 1898, the deposits in the New York banks have increased from \$372,000,000 to \$722,000,000, and the holdings of legal-tender money from \$109,000,000 to \$216,000,000, and that they now hold more than one-eighth of all the money in the country outside of the United States Treasury. Accepting the doctrine of the gentleman from Maine, that prosperity depends upon the gradual increase of the earnings of the masses, on what does he predicate his assertion that there is general prosperity in the country when all the wealth of the country and all the earnings of the masses are being rapidly accumulated in the coffers of a few bankers as are being rapidly accumulated in the coffers of a few bankers as the deposits of great corporations and a few men of wealth, especially when everybody knows that these vast sums of money, so great that the common mind can have no comprehension of their magnitude, are themselves the earnings of the masses, of which they have been robbed by the most iniquitous system of taxation that ever disgraced a civilized nation, and that the moneyed power has become so great, its influence in controlling elec-tions and shaping legislation so irresistible, that there is not a law on the statute books to-day relating to the currency of the coun-try or to taxation that is not shaped with direct reference to the

try or to taxation that is not shaped with direct reference to the policy of making the rich richer and the poor poorer?

The fact is that the Republican party, notwithstanding the conservative and patriotic mass of the people have done everything to avoid it, has raised the issue of capital against labor, money against blood, luxury against toil, greed against patriotism, robbery against honor. [Applause.] Sentiments have been expressed here and policies advocated by gentlemen distinguished for their high personal character which show a depravity in political conscience and a want of regard for the rights of property and of inscience and a want of regard for the rights of property and of individuals that is alarming.

On the 31st of January, in discussing the Teller resolution providing for the payment of United States bonds at the option of the Government in silver, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. DALZELL], referring to the honor of the nation, the highest evidence of which and the noblest manifestations of which, as he seems to think, are the payment of the bonds in gold when demanded, said:

It is more sacred than the traditions and heroisms of our history; more sacred than the memories of our heroes; more sacred than the graves of our dead.

And the RECORD shows that there was loud applause on the

Republican side.

This is a clear, frank, and distinct statement of the attitude of the Republican party. The bondholders, instead of the negroes, the Republican party. the Republican party. The bondholders, instead of the negroes, are now the wards of the nation, and their right to demand gold is "more sacred than the memories of our heroes; more sacred than the graves of our dead," although it is confessed that under the contract they can be paid in silver, although it requires all the earnings of the masses to pay them, although no employee of the Government is paid in gold, and although no citizen can collect a private debt in gold unless the contract provides that it shall be paid in gold.

From the statements of representatives of capital on this floor one would think there was no patriotism or sense of national honor except with the bondholders. Suppose in case of war volunteers should be called for, to spill money into the Treasury instead of to spill blood on the battlefield, how many dollars would

be obtained? Suppose instead of conscripting men there should be a confiscation of money, where would be the patriotism of the bondholders?

The shedding of blood in defense of the country is patriotism, the lending of money at a high rate of interest and the exacting in payment of two dollars for one is greater patriotism. The trouble is the blood shedders never have any money to lend and the money lenders never shed any blood, and the shedders of blood have to pay the interest. And in the issue raised of money against blood, money has much the best of it. [Applause.] And now a word about my own section and the agricultural

classes whom I have the honor to represent. And in speaking of them and their industrial condition I shall not be intimidated by the agents and representatives of corporations on this floor who cry out "calamity howlers" when anyone undertakes to show the true condition of the masses, whose prosperity is based on "an improvement of their standard of living and an increase of earnings.

I once heard a fable illustrating the point. A wolf had fastened its fangs in the throat of a lamb, and when the lamb began to struggle and bleat, the wolf said: "Lie still, you infernal calamity struggle and bleat, the wolf said: "Lie still, you infernal calamity howler! I am not going to do anything but suck your blood." Another thing: I wish to express the hope that I will not subject my State to be insulted as it was the other day by the gentleman from Madisonville, Ohio [Mr. Shattuc], who, because I told some unpalatable truths about the corporations which he represents controlling elections, took a cowardly advantage of his seat on this floor to utter a base slander against the people of Mississippi, attributed him to have desired their generous hespitality, which notwithstanding he had enjoyed their generous hospitality, which had been extended to him on the idea that he was a gentleman, and then he threatened that railroad companies would be revenged

on me because I had told the truth.

The gentleman also tried to excite the prejudices of railroad employees against me by pretending that I said that they had no comprehension of political principles, when the gentleman knows, if he has any comprehension of English grammar or of the English language, that I said nothing of the kind

But I want to call the attention of the House, and of the country especially, to the cotton producers of the South, who constitute a large per cent of the masses whose prosperity, as the gentleman from Maine says, depends on a gradual increase of their earnings.

The gentleman from Maine, in the speech to which I have already The gentleman from Maine, in the speech to which I have already referred, made the distinct admission that as 70 per cent of the cotton production of the world is in the United States, the production of cotton and of cotton goods can not be protected or benefited by a protective tariff. He also said substantially that the manufacture of cotton goods in New England was being ruined by competition from Southern mills, because in the South factory hands work eleven hours a day, while in New England they are allowed to work only ten hours a day. they are allowed to work only ten hours a day.

Everybody who has the slightest knowledge of the facts knows that this is not true, and that the great advantages that the manufacturer in the South has over the New England manufacturer are due to other causes which have already been stated on this floor. But what I wish to call attention to is the astounding and alarming threat of the gentleman from Maine, since he has discovered that his pet theory of a protective tariff is a failure, to protect New England manufacturers against Southern competition by an amendment to the Constitution of the United States so as to by an amendment to the constitution of the Cinted States so as to prevent Southern factory hands from working longer than New England factory hands; and the gentleman from Maine professes to base his advocacy of this measure on a love for humanity and a desire to elevate the standard of living among the laborers of the South.

the South.

Let me say to the gentleman that if it is a sincere sympathy with human toil that is moving him, all over the great agricultural regions of the South and West men, women, and children on the farms are working fifteen hours a day for a bare existence. Many of these people have few of the comforts of life and none of its luxuries. The gentleman from Maine talks about the low price of cotton being caused by overproduction. Thousands of these people card, spin, and weave every yard of cloth they wear because they have no money with which to buy manufactured goods, for the reason that 5 cents per pound for cotton does not pay the the reason that 5 cents per pound for cotton does not pay the cost of production. And the fall of the price of cotton to 5 cents is not caused by the fact that more cotton is produced than can be consumed.

The truth is that many people are unable to buy the cotton goods they really need because cotton has fallen to 5 cents. It is valuable to the country that the gentleman from Maine has distinctly admitted that so far as the cotton industry is concerned a protective tariff is an unjust tax without any benefit. An equally important admission the gentleman has made is that the ruin of cotton manufacturers in New England is caused in agreat measure by the rapid decline in the price of cotton. He has at last learned, and I hope from his candid statement the country

will learn, that after all the prosperity of all industries depends largely upon the prosperity of the farmers. This being true, it is important to inquire what has caused the want of prosperity among this class of our people.

Why is there not a gradual increase in the earnings of the agricultural classes, who form 30 per cent of the masses? In the first place, a protective tariff brings no benefit to them, but compels them to pay an enormous tax, not only to defray the expenses of the Government, but to build up other industries. The farmer with a family whose home is not worth over \$2,000 pays as much with a family whose home is not worth over \$2,000 pays as much tax to the General Government as Rockefeller, who is reputed to be worth over \$100,000,000—a man who is worth more than the value of all the farms, including lands, fences, and buildings, in either of the States of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Connecticut, Delaware, South Carolina, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, Washington, or Oregon.

The revenues of the Government are raised, not by a tax on what you have a what were deeped and what you are the second what you have a result of the second when the

what you have, but on what you do not have and what you are obliged to buy. There can never be prosperity in this country until this monstrous system of taxation is abolished and the revenues of the Government are raised by a tax on property and not on toil. There is not a civilized nation on earth, except the United on toil. There is not a civilized nat States, that has not an income tax.

There never was a political economist of any repute, from Adam Smith to this time, who has not advocated an income tax; and we want to warn the capitalists of the country that if they do not cease their anarchistic policy of robbing the toilers of the country of their earnings, and come forward like honest men and pay their share of the taxes, they may precipitate a conflict that will make them wish they had never been born.

In the next place, I wish to call attention to the fact that it is now the fixed policy of the Republican party "to fix more firmly," to use the language of Secretary Gage, the gold standard. That is to say, that gold shall be the only money of the country, and all other kinds and forms of currency must be based on and redeemed

The absurdity of this proposition is emphasized by the fact that all the gold in the country would not more than pay the expenses of the Government for one year, that the Government does not collect one single cent of its revenues in gold, and if its policy to pay all its obligations in gold when demanded is to be continued, there is no possible way to obtain it except to buy it by the issuance of bonds, and thus perpetuate the power of bondholders to control the administration of Government and dictate its financial

There is absolutely no gold whatever in circulation in the country. "Currency" literally means to run around. The only running around that gold ever does is to run into the coffers of the banks. We want a currency that will call at the hovel of the workingman as well as at the palace of the rich. We want a currency that will call on the laborer who works in the field, factory, and mine as well as on the banker and bondholder. In this connection I wish to call your attention to some remarkable utterances of a remarkable man.

Secretary Gage, instead of going through the country learning the wants of the masses and catching his inspiration from them, so as to devise a financial policy that would "increase their earnings and improve their standard of living," has been junketing over the country, being banqueted by bankers and imbibing their financial views as well as their wines.

On the 18th of March, at a banquet given by the Chamber of Commerce at Cleveland, Mr. Gage said:

A change in the money, whether that change be to one of higher or lower commercial value than that previously in use, would cause a universal derangement in prices and a perfect dislocation in the relation of things to each other.

The next day, at a banquet given in his honor by the Chamber of Commerce at Pittsburg, he said:

Whatever disturbs general faiths and confidences unsettles commerce and disturbs industry. Unhappily, the disturbing influences are numerous, and by their interposition from time to time suffering and ruin results. Wars and fears of wars, crop failures, widespread industrial revolts, shocks arising from the betrayal of great fiduciary duties, these are some of the adverse influences. But none of these, nor several of them associated, are as harmful as the doubts and fears which arise from the apprehension of a radical change in the money standard, to which all commodities and all credits stand finelly related. finally related.

If the distinguished Secretary and his coconspirators had remembered these great truths in 1873 and been guided by them, there would to-day be unexampled prosperity among all classes in this country. There was then a change in the money from a lower to a higher commercial value, and it did cause a universal de-rangement in prices and a perfect dislocation in the relations of things to each other.

The radical change from the double standard of both gold and silver to the single gold standard, and the consequent fall of prices,

which has continued up to this day to such an extent that in spite of the tariff it has brought about the ruin of even the New England cotton industry, has, to use the language of Mr. Gage, been more harmful and caused more suffering and ruin than wars, crop failures, widespread industrial revolt, and betrayal of great fiduciary duties. And yet the Secretary invokes these great principles to fix more firmly the gold standard upon the country.

Having brought about the concentration of all the wealth of

the country in the coffers of a few by a disregard and violation of these principles, he proposes now by invoking them to perpetuate the ruin he has wrought and fix more firmly the gold standard upon the country. He proclaims now, as financial wisdom, what he heretofore denounced as financial heresy. We want to return to the money of the Constitution, the free coinage of gold and silver at the ratio of 16 to 1 and legal-tender notes issued by the Government. We want a financial system that will foster, not the accumulation of wealth in the hands of a few, but the general distribution of wealth among the masses, so that, in the language of the gentleman from Maine, the prosperity of the masses may be promoted by an improvement in the standard of living and an improvement in the standard of living and an improvement in their earnings.

Gentlemen, you can not satisfy the country by calling attention to the prosperity of a favored few. The good of the country will not be promoted except by a general prosperity of all. It is vicious legislation to promote the prosperity of certain classes at the expense of other classes. It is no function of government to help one class of people to make a living or to get rich by tax-

to help one class of people to make a living or to get rich by taxing the masses. I want to deny that there is prejudice among the masses in this country against capital.

Capital and combinations of capital are absolutely necessary to carry on the great industries of this country, and the people are perfectly willing to encourage capital in these industries and are perfectly willing for capital to realize a legitimate profit. But we want to warn you that you must keep your hands off the United States Treasury, which is filled by the sweat and toil of the laboring classes alone of the country. We want to warn you that this Government must be administered by the people and for the people.

The masses all over this country are not anarchists, are not repudiators, and ask for nothing but equality of opportunity, so that every man may reap the rewards of his own toil. This is their inalienable right, to which they are entitled by every law of God, morality, and justice. This right they are determined must be respected; and if the time ever comes, which may God forbid, that there must be an irrepressible conflict between capital and labor, it will not be precipitated by the toiling masses, who, now as ever, are willing to shed their lifeblood and spend their little fortunes in the service of their country, which they love so well. [Applause on the Democratic side.]

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I yield to the gentleman from New York [Mr. Low].

Mr. LOW. Mr. Chairman, one of the most gratifying scenes I have ever witnessed in any assemblage was the grand exhibition on the floor of this Chamber when this House, without one discentification proceeds the \$50,000,000 appropriation below the payment. ing voice, passed the \$50,000,000 appropriation bill for the purpose

of upholding the honor and integrity of our country.

Mr. Chairman, scientists have said that reason returns to the human mind just before the spirit leaves the body. When peace seemed ready to leave our land (after a long period of tranquillity) reason returned to Congress, and, to atone for Congresses' short comings, eagerly voted to pass this emergency appropriation. The passage of that \$50,000,000 appropriation bill was a monumental evidence, true and significant, that Congresses, by neglecting our Navy, had forgotten their lessons in the history of the United

Think of it. A country like ours, with its inexhaustible iron and coal mines, its shores on the Atlantic and the Pacific dotted with matchless shipbuilding plants, with the best labor in the world at their command, is obliged, when a war cloud appears, to enter foreign markets, seeking war ships to strengthen its Navy. Who will dare say that there has not been an unpardonable neglect somewhere?

The feeble condition of the nation's combative forces and its attributive causes will amply justify the tenor of my proposed remarks on the present appropriation bill.

Of all the yearly appropriation bills that come up before Congress, the naval bill should stand preeminently the most important, because American policy, in matters where foreign governments are concerned, demands a constant augmentation of an arm of our country's offense and defense, without which this great nation and its institutions would sooner or later become subservient to more powerful ones.

In discussing any naval bill it is interesting, likewise instructive, to examine the map of the world, note the geographical positions

nation of the Old World maintains a naval fleet commensurate with its foreign interests. It is well to remember that nations, like individuals, vary in their customs, habits, and dispositions. Some are grasping and selfish, ready to take every advantage of time and opportunity for self-aggrandizement and power, to the detriment of the less vigilant or weaker nation. It can not be disputed that our country, being separated from the powerful nations of the Old World by vast oceans, has had a comparative immunity from foreign foes.

But the development of sciences in every field of human interest, together with new inventions, which have followed one another with wonderful rapidity, have revolutionized, among other things, the slow methods of communication and transportation by land or sea. Touch the electric button at London, Paris, Berlin, or St. Petersburg, and orders can be flashed under the sea for the rapid concentration of fleets in any given waters.

Ocean highways to-day have their steam fliers emulating the lightning express trains of our trunk lines; hence, the great distances between this country and the old nations of the world have been annihilated, and the immunity we once enjoyed is inverted, and we would be unable to-day to enforce any positive policy like the Monroe doctrine, not from the lack of patriotism, but from a continued leak of a research le interest in the development of expressions. continued lack of a reasonable interest in the development of our

Now, let us see what attention other nations have given to their navies while we have been moving along at a snail's pace. Forest timber, that was always scarce in many of the old coun-

Forest timber, that was always scarce in many of the old countries for shipbuilding, has been supplanted by iron, which is illimitable in the Old World. And since the adoption of iron and steel in shipbuilding foreign maritime nations have multiplied their naval fleets with astonishing rapidity, strengthening their respective national interests and policies in the same ratio, far outstripping our country in this direction, and leaving our Navy in about the fifth class. I ask if this is just to constituents who elected us to represent them in the National Legislature? With the march of time, population has increased throughout all nations, impelling a constant expansion of trade and commerce.

New lands have opened up with promising fields for trade and

New lands have opened up with promising fields for trade and traffic, and others are being discovered every day, which stimulates the greed of nations for preferred rights and privileges. Treaty rights and international law are too often ignored, while the gains of a selfish nation are being prosecuted against the vested rights of a weaker one, as the frequent changes in the boundary lines of some countries bear evidence. Too much study can not be given to these conditions while measuring up the re-

quired strength of our Navy.

Until we commenced to build our modern battle ships our Navy was one of the weakest of maritime nations. Public interest took the matter up, which gave an impetus to rehabilitating the Navy and increasing it toward a standard commensurate with the demands of our great commercial interests. The result is that we can boast of having some of the most modern battle ships afloat—American in material, American in design, and American

The bosoms of American citizens swell with pardonable pride when reference is made to our modern war ships. But with all the growth of this marine arm of our country's service, our Navy is still numerically weak, particularly in armored cruisers, torpedo destroyers, and torpedo boats. The torpedo destroyer is a vessel that can go to sea in any kind of weather and steam 20 or 25 knots an hour without washing a man overboard, in the manner in which the young ensign, Mr. Breckinridge, lost his life from the deck of the torpedo boat *Cushing* off the harbor of Habana a short time

ago.

Torpedo boats like the Cushing are not calculated to go outside of headlands except in a smooth sea. In the first place, they have very little free board and in their construction everything is and with this end in view all the materials, sacrificed for speed; and with this end in view all the materials, such as frames, deck beams, and plating, are of the lightest kind consistent with safety in smooth water, where their trial trips are made and where they get a rating of 25 or 30 knots an hour. But take any of them outside in an ordinary sea, and I do not hesitate to say not one of them could make over 10 miles an hour, and then they would be like a half-tide rock, the sea breaking over them constantly.

The effective sphere of torpedo boats is at the mouth of our rivers, harbors, and bays. A torpedo destroyer or catcher is nothing more than a seagoing torpedo heat three or four times the

ing more than a seagoing torpedo boat three or four times the tonnage of the ordinary torpedo boat three or four times the tonnage of the ordinary torpedo boat, with this advantage: They are constructed with heavier material, greater free board, and carry a light battery, and if too much weight and material are not sacrificed for speed, they can go to sea in any kind of weather and steam well up to their maximum rate.

At this time I want to call the attention of the House to my estimate the state of the

of the different countries that are prominent factors among the nations of the earth, then consider the fact that each maritime | timate of the naval requirements during the first session of the nations of the earth, then consider the fact that each maritime | Fifty-fourth Congress. I was absolutely confident that our Navy

was totally inefficient in torpedo boats and in torpedo-boat destroyers, and to strengthen the Navy in this direction I introduced a bill empowering the Secretary of the Navy to contract for and build fifty torpedo boats and five torpedo-boat destroyers.

Before introducing that bill, and to be assured that I was cor-

rect in my views, I consulted many of our most experienced naval officers, who heartily sustained my views; but neither the Naval Committee nor the House seemed to think it necessary to make the expenditure.

To assure you that I knew what I was talking about, and was familiar with the weak points of our Navy, I have only to state that this Government is searching the markets of the world to-day

for torpedo boats and torpedo-boat destroyers.

Thus far we have been able to purchase but one, a small 17-ton boat, which is about to be shipped on board of one of the Atlantic steamers for New York. Up to the present time our agents abroad have been unable to purchase a single torpedo-boat destroyer, and the result is that our Navy is without a single one to-day. Had my bill passed during the session it was introduced, we could have had the torpedo boats and the torpedo-boat destroyers in commission to-day. This matter I will leave to the House for

Now, one word about the usefulness of a torpedo boat. Its mission is to protect our bays, rivers, and harbors, and along our coasts, where it can run into some little bay, inlet, or small harbor, ready to run out at nighttime and discharge her projectile at an enemy and get away. A torpedo-boat destroyer accompanies the fleet and watches the approach of small torpedo boats, drives them back, and, if necessary, sends a torpedo after them—a sort of watchdog for the fleet. They are indispensable in time

Mr. Chairman, it is true that the expenses of this Government have exceeded its income for several years. It is a well-known fact that the appropriations for several years past have gone beyond the lines of mercantile economy. But it makes no difference whether this nation has been prosperous or not, whether the Government's expenses have exceeded its income or not, the fact remains that we have the same interests to protect, the same lines of seacoast defense to guard, the same commercial interests to watch, and the same position in the family of nations to maintain. These functions can not be performed with a feeble navy. Besides, the numerical strength and the caliber of guns are potent

factors in preserving peace and solving diplomatic questions.

The American people are peaceful—slow to wrath. The The American people are peaceful—slow to wrath. The pas-time of contest has no charm for them. But when the honor of their country or its institutions are threatened, the American be-comes aroused and his patriotism breaks all peace bands, and every man becomes a combatant, ready not only to protect this great Republic of ours, but to punish its assailants. But he must have something to fight with. Are we not as a people inclined to be boastful? Stump declamation, telling the masses what a great country we have and what a poble people we are and what we can country we have and what a noble people we are and what we can

do, does not protect our interests, let alone win a victory.

Permit me to read an extract from "Assistant Secretary Roosevelt's address before the Naval War College at Newport last June:

Tame submission to foreign aggression of any kind is a mean and unworthy thing; but it is even meaner and more unworthy to bluster first, and then either submit or else refuse to make those preparations which can alone obviate the necessity for submission. I believe with all my heart in the Monroe doctrine, and I believe also that the great mass of the American people are loyal to it; but it is worse than idle to announce our adherence to this doctrine and yet to decline to take measures to show that ours is not mere lip loyalty. * * * If we possess an immense navy, small is the chance indeed that we shall ever be dragged into a war to uphold the Monroe doctrine. If we do not possess such a navy, war may be forced on us at any time.

If I remember correctly, the gentleman from Arkansas said in case of foreign trouble the loyal citizens of his Commonwealth would flock to our shores in defense of our institutions. No one doubts his statement; but that does not add a single ship to our Navy. Can we, unarmed, meet a foreign foe? Can we cope with a foreign nation without ship for ship, gun for gun? Whose duty is it, if not the duty of Congress, to watch the barometer of events and note when it indicates disturbances or foretells future possibilities?

It gave us a warning in the Chilean controversy a few years ago. Our Navy at that time was not powerful enough to guarantee success at the start. The Venezuelan boundary dispute was another warning, and the present strained relations with Spain over Cuban affairs is still another. We are not without warnings. Why, no later than last December two German training ships entered the harbor of Port au Prince and demanded the payment of \$30,000 in gold from the Haitian Government; also an apology to the German Emperor for some alleged indignity to the German chargé d'affaires.

On a failure to comply with these terms inside of four hours, bombardment of the city would immediately take place. That

was a condition of affairs which certainly circumscribed the possibility of an ultimate infringement upon the Monroe doctrine.

It seems to me after such warnings that it would be a crime against this great Republic if Congress failed to make every provision to protect American interests by rapidly increasing our war ships to a maximum that will make our fleets a menace to all nations that should attempt to impinge our American policy. The people demand this protection and are bitterly complaining from the want of foresight on the part of its past and present national

legislators.

This country has not seen the end of war, nor of foreign disputes or international controversies. International peace conferences may resolve and resolve, and they may sing their panegyrical hymns of peace, yet, Mr. Chairman, the lion and lamb are not fraternizing, neither are swords being beaten into plowshares nor many into pruning hooks, for just so long as there is a powerful spears into pruning hooks, for just so long as there is a powerful nation on the one side, and a weak one on the other, disputes will arise, and the stronger will take advantage of the weaker. Such is the history of nations from the beginning of the world to the present day

Why is it, Mr. Chairman, that this Republic, which was founded by intelligent, brave, heroic, and fighting stock (which qualities have been inherited by their descendants, who have, North and South, East and West, always demonstrated the courage of their convictions) is without a powerful navy, ready at a moment's notice to meet any emergency to sustain the American policy? Is it because Congresses forgot their responsibilities and wandered from the domain of American vigilance, the watchward of our fathers, and left the old ship of state swinging to her anchor without a watchman or lookout?

Is it not a fact that there has been too much politics in legisla-tion—too many selfish interests centered in Congressional districts? I believe in politics, likewise in partisanship; but, above all, I believe in country first. The better American, the nobler partisan. It is true that every member of this House represents some district; but when a member takes his seat in the National Legislature, he represents, as a member of that great body, every foot of space within the boundary lines of the United States, and every act of his affects in some degree the common weal of the

How often, under the cloak of public improvements, have vast sums of public moneys been wasted in useless improvements to perpetuate political careers?

Citizens who have followed the trend of national legislation will tell you that the public moneys which have been wasted in deep-ening unnavigable creeks and rivers would have gone far toward building and keeping up our Navy to its proper strength, power, and effectiveness.

While Congress should be more conservative in its appropriations, it can not, in the interests of the people of the United States, economize in appropriations for the maintenance of its Navy—the sheet anchor of our country. It watches over our institutions and resolutely defends every American policy enunciated and established since the Declaration of Independence. [Applause.]

No matter what political strifes we have, or whether the affairs of our Government are administered by a Republican or a Democratic Administration, the Navy never swerves from its line of duty, which is as fixed and true to this Republic as the needle is to the Pole.

The Navy makes no invidious distinctions between parties or sections. Its functions are absolutely American. Keep up our Navy, to the end that it may be equal to and ready for any emer-

This means peace—peace with honor. [Applause.] In closing, I will say that no matter what mistakes may have been made in the past, our duty is with the present; and permit me to say that no assemblage clothed with initiative power ever had within its reach a better opportunity to win the encomium of the people and illuminate the pages of history than the present

the people and illuminate the pages of history than the present Congress by inaugurating a new departure in its naval legislation on lines so liberal, patriotic, and American that all succeeding Congresses shall follow our precedent as the only safeguard to protect American policy. [Applause.]

Supplementing my remarks, I would suggest the establishment of a board of American admiralty, to consist of five naval officers of long and practical experience, whose duty it shall be to make recommendations to Congress, from time to time, through the Secretary of the Navy, for the perfection of our Navy and keeping it up to a proper standard, as recent developments have already demonstrated its total inadequacy in certain respects.

The Navy of the United States should be a modern one, thor-

The Navy of the United States should be a modern one, thoroughly up to date in every particular, and Congress should have at all times in making appropriations the benefit of the views of the most enlightened naval authorities.

Had such a board been in existence during the past ten years

we would not be in foreign markets to-day buying war ships, and the keel of every torpedo boat, torpedo-boat destroyer, and war ship of our Navy would bear the imprint of an American ham-mer. [Applause.]

Comparative summary of the principal fleets of the world, built and building

Classes of vessels.	British	Empire.	Franca	rience.	Presia	TA PRODUCE:	Ttolw		Gormann	Comments.	United	States.	Tonon	oupan.	Conto	Spain.	Chile.
	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	α.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.	b.	a.b.
Fit for the line of battle $$ $ \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \\ F \\ G \end{bmatrix} $	29 12 11 5 18	13.	5 13		6 10	2220	2273	2	4 7 3 8	1	1 6 2 2	5	2 1	3	2		1 1 1 1
Commerce protection and $\begin{bmatrix} G \\ H \\ L \end{bmatrix}$ scouting $\begin{bmatrix} G \\ H \\ L \end{bmatrix}$	18 17 46 11 21 23	10	6	3			5 1 8 3	ì	7 1 6	6	11 3 8 3		8 313		6	3	2
Coast defense $\left\{ egin{matrix} \mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{N} \end{array} \right\}$	11 49	1	12 14	-	21 13				11 2		13		6	3	5 2 11 11		
Torpedo attack and defense Q .	. 33 . 90 . 51 . 30 . 104	6	13 43 145 56	28	8 1 67 6 99	-	15 104 4 69		9	1	7	3 8 4	222		6 10	3	612
Summary: Line Cruisers Coast defense Torpedo flotilla Unclassified vessels	2236	13 17 12	46 51 26	11 8	200	10 2 14	20 17 192 37	6 1 16	22 18 13	47	13 27 13		7 15 6 29 17	22	8 12 13	6	4

A. First-class battle ships.
B. Second-class battle ships.
C. Third-class battle ships.
D. Seagoing coast-defense ironclads.
F. Armored cruisers.
G. First-class protected cruisers, 6,000 tons to 5,999 tons.
I. Second-class unprotected cruisers, 3,000 tons to 5,999 tons.
J. Third-class protected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
J. Third-class protected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
L. Third-class partially protected cruisers under 3,000 tons.
L. Third-class unprotected cruisers under 3,000 tons, not including old and slow ships.
M. Torpedo gunboats.
E. Nonseagoing coast-defense ironclads.
N. Gun vessels, third class, mounting heavy guns for coast defense.
O. Torpedo-boat destroyers.
P. Torpedo boats, first class.
Q. Torpedo boats, second class.
R. Torpedo boats, second class.
R. Torpedo boats, third class.
The unclassified vessels comprise all armed vessels not included in the above-mentioned classes, and consist of gunboats, special-service vessels, old and slow cruisers, small gun vessels, and armed dispatch vessels.
Under each nation column a contains the number of vessels completed; column b the number of vessels building, and shows the present activity in naval construction. Column a may be taken as the present naval strength of each nation; column a may be taken as the present naval strength of each nation; column a may be taken as the present naval strength of each nation; column a may be taken as the strength in 1900.
FEBRUARY, 1898.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Mr. Chairman, I now yield to the

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Mr. Chairman, I now yield to the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. SKINNER].

Mr. SKINNER. Mr. Chairman, the provision for our Navy in this crisis of our history is of international importance and of universal interest, as it carries with it, as it should, extraordinary

provisions and authority.
Standing as we do on an isthmus connecting the dead and unborn-the fathers of our liberty who have gone before us and the

born—the fathers of our liberty who have gone before us and the sons that are to come after us, in joy or sorrow—we should in this serious crisis pause and dedicate our hearts to our country, to humanity, and to liberty, and our minds to sacred, sober contemplations on our duty to ourselves, to the human family, and to that Being who stood by our fathers in the great day of their fiery trial and by whom we will be held accountable for the manner in which we shall direct and deliver the responsible trust which in His inscrutable wisdom has been imposed upon us.

Mr. Chairman, the brow of civilization at this hour of the world's history seems to be wrinkled with the frowns of war. Not an instant of time within four centuries can be recalled when the rumors of war so simultaneously and universally reverber-

the rumors of war so simultaneously and universally reverberated.

No great war in actual progress, but preparations for war everywhere. Japan has voted in preparation for war her yens, Russia her rubles, England her pounds sterling, the United States her dollars, and Spain has hawked her depreciated credit on every

market to obtain the means and sinews of war.

There is war in Cuba, and we should have made this declaration of truth to the world long ago and had the powers of the earth to know and feel with absolute certainty that this country by inspiration, inheritance, and obligation is the ally, guardian, and protector of suffering humanity and liberty, certainly on this continent. There is preparation for war between this country and Spain. All Europe is growling at each other over a division of

the Chmese spoils. Japan is rushing her military forces to her Pacific coast, England is fighting Mahdi in Central Africa and her rebelling tribes in North India. In South America Argentina is preparing for a conflict with Chile, and in Crete the conditions of Moslems and Christians are anything but quiet.

The spirit of apprehension and preparation covers and encircles the globe. And if these great powers, by design or complication, shall be drawn into active hostile engagements, with the modern improved destructive implements of warfare, no man can forestall

improved destructive implements of warfare, no man can foretell the end, the result, or the consequences.

War is our business, but to whom is given To die or triumph? That determine, Heaven.

The face of the whole civilized world may be changed. All our accumulated and inherited wealth of letters, learning, history, science, ingenuity, genius, invention, discovery, progress, civilization, and light here and to the eternal shores may be lost or relegated to a dark age for centuries. Who can foresee, who can foretell? Or the very preparation and appearance of war may solve the great problems of the age, by emancipating nations and men from dependence upon and servitude to the sordid metals and making them more reliant upon their own credit and resources, relegating this relic of barbarism to the realm of commodities, and establishing an equitable basis of distribution for all human national and international transactions, and ushering in the twentieth century with the United States of the World formu-lated upon that sound and solemn and sacred compact which will

be approved on earth and ratified in heaven?
"Nation shall lift no sword against nation. Neither shall they

learn war any more.

When learning and art, and especially religion, shall weave ties that shall make war fratricide.

Mr. Chairman, under these conditions it may be statesmanship, consummate diplomacy, and wise patriotism and prophetic caution to prepare for the seeming conflict, with the reserved, patriotic, and Christian hope that the very preparation may avert war and command peace. Prepare wisely, abundantly, with deliberation for defense, yea for aggression, when involving suffering humanity on this continent or kindling the torches of liberty in Cuba, until her charge shell be a continuous circle of liberty in Cuba, until her shores shall be a continuous circle of light, creating that beautiful circlet in the South Atlantic, that shall increase in size as it broadens, until it bears in the music of its waves this joyous news to every shore of civilization.

War, grim-visaged and destructive, is a relic of barbarism, and should be avoided, if possible, in the interest of Christian peace and civilization and their benign blessings. The brave, honorable Americans and the valuable sinews that we would have to employ and sacrifice in destructive war we need to husband and employ in fighting the battles of peace, whose victories are as re-

nowned as those of war.

We need employ them to bring more comforts to American homes, in enlightening the minds. Christianizing the hearts, and bettering the condition of American masses. We need employ them in still further developing and enriching our already marvelously great country, in extending our commerce on land and sea, in constructing our great inland waterways, in building the Nicaragua Canal, connecting us with the Orient, and other great projects made possible by American genius and enterprise. Desirable as all of these may be and are, yet, if need be, we would make one and all of them a willing sacrifice upon the altar of starving humanity and liberty.

Mr. Chairman, it would seem in this day of light and Christian reason that all international differences could and should be arbitrated. If our quarrel, so just, as we know and feel it to be, was with any other nation in the world, we might indulge the hope for a peaceful settlement, giving adequate actual indemnity for the Maine, immediate and substantial relief to the starving Cubans and independence to Cuba upon just and honorable terms. But a history of the rise and fall of Spain, with her invariable record of cruelty, of persecution, of bigotry, of tyranny, of hostility to every sentiment of human freedom, common justice, and enlightenment, forbids us to indulge in the hope of this happy solution. The slavery to which Spain doomed its subjects in the Western Hemisphere was not more cruel than its correspond to the needle of

savery to which Spain doomed its subjects in the Western Fiemssphere was not more cruel than its oppression of the people of
Holland or its tyranny over its own people at home.

After all, it may be Divine design and retributive justice that
has brought this proud, tyrannical, long-sinning nation to judgment, to confront the Republic of liberty, equality, justice, and
civilization, where the wage, the issue, is the triumph of justice,
truth liberty, hypersity.

truth, liberty, humanity.
With the God of these attributes and the Father of nations to direct our councils in peace and our armies and navies in war, we should not, we do not, fear the result.

Mr. Chairman, I am a great believer in retributive justice and

providential intervention where the welfare of the human family is involved.

I was born in a land cursed with a system of slavery, which was antagonistic to the spirit and letter of our Constitution and

institutions; and when we refused to surrender it peacefully, in my early youth I witnessed it wiped away by an issue of fire and blood, and I have lived, although a personal sufferer, to thank God and to regard the result as a blessing in disguise, making our country stronger, richer, and more hopeful and happier.

I read that in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries oppressions fell upon and tyranny reigned over the people of Europe, and the oppressed fied from tyranny. They were providentially guided to a home and refuge then beyond the sunset. Their first work was to dedicate this country to God and liberty. They felled the forest and builded the country. The earth responded to their labors

and the population multiplied.

and the population multiplied.

But with the development of the country was also developed the sturdy spirit of independence, and when oppression came the spirit of 76 created the formal Declaration of Independence, to my mind and heart on the brightest day that ever beamed upon the moral world. This declaration and its emblem cheered the half-clad and half-fed heroes at Valley Forge, waved over the redoubts on Bunker Hill, floated from the masthead of the Bon Homme Pichard Figsed the breezes of victory on the waters of Frie and Richard, kissed the breezes of victory on the waters of Erie and Champlain, and waved in triumph over the army of Wellington on the immortal field of Chippewa, and Cornwallis at Yorktown, tearing liberty's ensign from the British lion.

We read in sacred history that when heavy oppression fell upon

We read in sacred history that when heavy oppression fell upon the children of Israel, when they were compelled "to make brick without straw," that a leader was raised up for them. They were given a pillar of cloud by day and a pillar of fire by night. The sea was caused to open and they pass as upon dry land, and the waters to recede and destroy their pursuing oppressors. They were given a law of government from amid the lightnings of Sinai. When they were hungry the heavens issued bread, and when thirsty the rocks issued water. The same Providence, in his own time and way, cares for the starving Cubans. He has given this country to them as their promised land, and these people as their guardians, defenders, and protectors; and this has been brought about in a way that we would not shrink from the obligation and responsibility, if we could, and we could not, with obligation and responsibility, if we could, and we could not, with respect, if we would.

respect, if we would.

Mr. Chairman, in this solemn, serious, and anxious moment the American in the White House should, as he does, command our unqualified sympathy, confidence, and approval. Divided as we may be politically, racially, or sectionally, at the water's edge we are one, and our unity is represented by that emblem; and our President and Commander-in-Chief—his policy shall be our policy, his cettlement or nation shall be over a less.

his settlement or action shall be ours also.

He has carried with him to prayer, to church, to private and official relation in life the secrets and responsibilities of the crisis. He has demeaned himself with Christian patience, fortitude, and consummate statesmanship, so as to receive the approval of his people and the respect and admiration of the civilized world. We can trust him to develop his own policy; that policy we are sure will be a humane, liberty-loving, broad American policy, such as all Christendom will applaud and approve.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. FITZGERALD].

[Mr. FITZGERALD addressed the committee. See Appendix.]

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE.

The committee informally rose; and Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine having taken the chair as Speaker pro tempore, a message from the Senate, by Mr. Platt, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment bills of the following titles: H. R. 5865. An act to authorize the Monroe Railway and Con-

struction Company to construct a bridge across Red River at or near Grand Ecore, La.; and

H. R. 1803. An act for the relief of Joseph P. Patton. The message also announced that the Senate had passed the following resolutions:

Resolved, That the Senate has heard with profound sorrow of the death of the Hon. Isham G. Harris, late a Senator from the State of Tennessee.

Resolved, That as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased, the business of the Senate be now suspended, to enable his associates to pay proper tribute of regard to his high character and distinguished public services.

Resolved, That the Secretary communicate these resolutions to the House of Representatives.

of Representatives.

Resolved, That as an additional mark of respect, the Senate, at the conclusion of these ceremonies, do adjourn.

NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

The committee resumed its session.

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I yield to the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. KITCHIN].

[Mr. KITCHIN addressed the committee. See Appendix.]

Mr. DOCKERY. Mr. Chairman, I shall support the bill now pending to maintain and increase the American Navy. The necessity for additional war ships is so obvious that argument becomes unnecessary. The barbarous character of the warfare waged by

Spain in Cuba is no longer in dispute. The awful desolation and destruction of human life carried on there under Spanish rule are now no longer matters of contention. For months the American correspondents in Cuba have recited in our press the harrowing details of slaughter, rapine, starvation, and extermination inaugurated by Weyler, and so appalling is the story that the American people have been loath to believe it. But the statements of Senator Proctor, Senator Gallinger, Senator Thurston, and other eyewitnesses recently returned from the island fully vindicate the

records, senator Gallinger, Senator Thurston, and other eyewitnesses recently returned from the island fully vindicate the
honor and veracity of the American press correspondents.

Indeed, Mr. Chairman, language seems impotent to depict, much
less to exaggerate, the cruelties perpetrated by Spain in her efforts
to exterminate the Cuban population. The wholesale carnival of
blood visited by the early Spanish Conquistadores upon the unresisting aborigines of the West Indies, Mexico, and Peru at the
heginning of her sovereignty over America, the atrecities of the beginning of her sovereignty over America, the atrocities of the Duke of Alva in the Netherlands, the massacre of the Armenians by the Turks, and the scalping of helpless women and children the Apaches do not surpass the recent crimes of Spain in Cuba. It is estimated that more than 400,000 Cubans have already perished by Spanish cruelty. I declared months ago that the United States should not longer hesitate to intervene in behalf of

Cuba's freedom.

Every hour of delay only intensifies the sufferings and miseries of the hapless Cubans. The dictates of humanity and of civilization peremptorily forbid further delay. It can not be that this great country will much longer tolerate on the American contigreat country will much longer tolerate on the American continent Spanish atrocities like those of the Dark Ages. The time for action, for intervention, for interference, for swift and sudden cessation, has come. Sir, I believe the President will respond to the unanimous desire of the American people and go, with Congress and the nation, to the end, without halting or looking back. I am convinced that he desires to start aright. Surely he must be received for the preschiptory. I am convinced that he desires to start aright. Surely he must be nearly ready for the march. It now seems that war is inevitable. Mr. Chairman, if it is necessary, let it come, and let it drive the cruel, treacherous Spaniard from the Western World. Whether the outcome of present conditions be war or peace, we should build up and strengthen the American Navy. [Applause.] Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I now yield thirty minutes to the gentleman from Wyoming [Mr. OSBORNE].

Mr. OSBORNE. Mr. Chairman, as a general proposition, I am opposed to discussing subjects not directly connected with the legislation we have under consideration; but the fact that misleading statements have been made upon this floor during the discussion of monetary legislation, statements which, in my opinion ought

of monetary legislation, statements which, in my opinion, ought not to be permitted to go to the country unanswered, and the further fact that they, under our rules, can be answered in no other manner, must be my excuse for craving the indulgence of the House at this time.

I listened, Mr. Chairman, to the speeches made by gentlemen on that side of the Chamber during the debate on the Teller resolution. I have more recently listened to the speech upon the finantion. I have more recently istened to the speech upon the mani-cial question made by the distinguished gentleman from New York [Mr. ALEXANDER], and I now want to say that in my hear-ing since I served as a member of this body there has not been an argument advanced offering a legitimate defense of the single gold standard. We have heard our great reform leader mali-ciously traduced; we have heard our cause abused; we have listened to unjustifiable wedictions of dreading impromise galaxyities that to unjustifiable predictions of dreadful impending calamities that will befall us after silver is remonetized; but I have not heard a single argument based on defensible premises; not an argument backed up by historical deductions or logical reasoning.

Right in this connection, Mr. Chairman, I want to remark that any cause which must be upheld by ridiculous untruths or by

any cause which must be upheld by ridiculous untruths or by mystifying half-truths can but prove a menace to the liberties of the people, and on general principles, without further argument, merits the stamp of their righteous condemnation. We have been told repeatedly that the conservative people of this country do not want to experiment with any new financial system during these critical times, a statement which naturally conveys the idea that bimetallism is something new, is an experiment. We deny this allocation

this allegation.

this allegation.

Bimetallism has been thoroughly tried and has never failed to keep this body politic sound in wind and limb. It was in use away back in our colonial days. It was established as the American financial system in 1792, shortly after the declaration of our independence as a nation. It remained in continuous use and served us faithfully until stolen from us by the dastardly conspiracy of 1873. It was while silver and gold were recognized as our money of ultimate redemption, money endowed with full debt-paying capacity, that we laid the foundation for and erected here the grandest nation on earth.

grandest nation on earth.

We during that period created wealth which was justly distributed amounting to enough to buy the countries of Russia, Turkey, Egypt, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, South Africa, Australia, and all of South America, with their lands, palaces, factories, mines, ships, flocks, jewels, crowns, money, all of their wealth of every

description, wealth that had been accumulating for centuries, while our country was comparatively new. We then, Mr. Chairman, were a bold, a fearless, a proud leader of nations, not as to-day a stumbling follower, not an humble supplicant for permis-

sion to legislate for ourselves.

We coined and issued all of our own money, and every dollar of that money was worth a hundred cents. It was all sound, all that money was worth a hundred cents. It was all sound, all honest, and yet we did not find it necessary to keep a reserve fund of \$100,000,000 in the Treasury as a guaranty that our debts would be paid. The honor, the integrity, the enterprise, and patriotism of the American people were a sufficient guaranty that we would meet all just demands, as they ought to be now. We had in these days written 50 cent silver dellers per 200 cent gold. had in those days neither 50-cent silver dollars nor 200-cent gold dollars. Our dollars were all at a parity; each was at a parity with the other, and, above all, the products of toil were at a parity with them. We not only had honest dollars, but we had honest pounds of cotton, honest bushels of wheat, and honest days labor. We had neither idle men nor idle money; they were both em-

We are told, however, that the nation has more wealth to-day than it had in 1873. True; but who to-day owns the wealth of this nation? Under your system of confiscation a large portion of the earnings of the masses has been misappropriated by the few. Four per cent of our people have been permitted under this system to absorb more than 80 per cent of the nation's entire wealth. In the States of New York and Massachusetts, where the greatest wealth is concentrated, we find existing the greatest proportion of poverty. In these States, around which an insurmountable wall of protective tariff has been exceeded one third of the support of of protective tariff has been erected, one-third of the support of families falls upon helpless women and children, who ought to be at home by the fireside. They have been driven into the factory and sweat shops because husbands, fathers, and brothers can not earn enough to provide food and raiment for those dependent upon

Never was humanity so cold and hungry, never were so many out of employment, as at the present time. The concentration of wealth, Mr. Chairman, in the hands of a few individuals does not mean universal prosperity. Prosperity depends not upon the accumulation of wealth, but upon its just distribution, and such prosperity as has been forced upon a few favored individuals by vicious legislation means distress and poverty among the actual vicious legislation means distress and poverty among the actual producers of that prosperity. So we say the history of events warrants us in making the assertion that it is not the experiment we are advocating; we are merely warning you against the continuance of your experiment, which has brought so much suffering to humanity. We demand, not an experimental financial system, but that old-fashioned, that tried and true, that constitutional financial system which was recommended by Jefferson, approved by Washington, and subsequently indorsed by Jackson, Lincoln, and Blaine, true patriots, who to-day, if they were among us, would, in all probabilty, be termed anarchists and repudiators.

The distinguished gentleman from New York [Mr. ALEXANDER]

asks if there has ever been an international agreement, or if there is any hope of an international agreement. In answer to the gentleman we say there has never been an international agreement; there is not the slightest hope of an international agreement; there has never been the remotest necessity for an international agreement, and we do not care whether there ever is an international agreement. We have managed to get along very nicely without

an international agreement.

Before the resumption of specie payment, when we had neither gold nor silver in circulation, we had no trouble in settling our trade balances with foreign countries and in retaining their confidence. During that time our growth in wealth, in population, and in commercial importance was without a parallel in history. and in commercial importance was without a parallel in history. Just think of it. This great nation, with its industrious and enterprising people, a nation whose productive capacity of all the world needs is only limited by what the world demands of us, a nation which Gladstone tells us has the foundation for the greatest continuous empire ever established by man, a nation which we were told by General Grant could support 500,000,000 of people, and yet to-day, with but 70,000,000 of people, 10,000,000 of them are suffering for the actual necessities of life.

A nation whose internal commerce even now during these crit-

A nation whose internal commerce even now during these critical times exceeds the foreign commerce of all the world, a nation whose commerce is one-third of the commerce of the entire world, a nation whose internal commerce is 96 per cent of our entire commerce, leaving but 4 per cent of our trade with foreign countries—and yet for the sake of this 4 per cent, this mere bagatelle, the greater portion of which will come to us anyway, they tell us that we must wait until England and other foreign coun-

tries will permit us to legislate for ourselves.

We contend, Mr. Chairman, that it is not necessary to ask the consent of England. We contend that it is extremely unwise to ask the advice of foreigners who are not in sympathy with our American institutions upon matters pertaining to the welfare of the American people. England, a creditor nation, will never give

her consent. She has always favored scarce and dear money, and when I say this I do not refer to industrial England, I refer to

bondholding England.

If the industrial masses of England could to-day vote on this question, they, by an overwhelming majority, would vote in favor of the double standard, the double measure of values. But bondholding England, the few who own the dollars, want those dollars scarce; they want dear dollars, realizing that dear dollars mean the ruination of American producers. Why, away back more than one hundred years ago, this same class of money sharks paralyzed the commerce of our American colonies by commanding that no more paper bills be issued, and then destroyed the legal-tender function

of those in existence.

They again at one time by counterfeiting our colonial currency endeavored to so weaken confidence in it as to produce a disastrous contraction. But in 1783 it was generally presumed throughout the world that our independence, both financial and political, was established for all time to come through the valorous deeds of an American ancestry. We since that time have been boasting of our American ancestry. We since that time have been boasting of our independence, of the freedom we enjoy under this republican form of government, of our fortunate escape from British bondage, little dreaming that in an unguarded moment our old enemy, taking advantage of our inexperience in crafty legislation, had, through her Benedict Arnolds on this side of the Atlantic, again drawn us within her relentless grasp by destroying one-half of our debt-

paying money.

The British bondholder did not dare to openly advocate an enlargement of our debts or a system of confiscation which would cut in half the prices of our farm products, realizing that such a bold maneuver would in all probability arouse again the spirit of 1776, but by a little legislative legerdemain she, through her pliant tools on this side, accomplished the identical object by depriving us of one-half of the money which we are obliged to pay our debts with. We repeat England, a creditor nation, has always

our debts with. We repeat England, a creditor nation, has always favored dear money, and ours, a debtor nation, should, for self-preservation if for no other reason, oppose her selfish policy. We are the most deeply interested in maintaining an honest dollar, because in the development of this new country—and our development has but begun—an enormous debt has been created, payable in honest dollars. And what is an honest dollar? Our definition of an honest dollar is a dollar that costs no more to buy, a dollar that measures no more of the products of toil, than the dollar originally borrowed. We are willing to pay in this kind of dollars. We are willing to pay in honest dollars. We are willing to pay in honest dollars. We are willing to pay in honest dollars, we are willing to pay in dishonest dollars, in dollars made dishonest by unconstitutional laws, and in taking this position we are not advocating repudiation, as is charged. We are merely condemning confiscation

If we are an independent people, as we boast, let us stop paying unjust tribute to those who would destroy our independence. Let us wipe from our statute books those unconstitutional laws which are obstructing our development as a nation, laws which are despoiling our producing masses to enrich the idle classes, laws which are throttling the very existence of American man-Let us follow the advice given by that illustrious American, James G. Blaine, who said:

I consider gold and silver the money of the Constitution—indeed, the money of the American people anterior to the Constitution. The responsibility of restoring silver to its ancient and honorable place devolves on the Congress of the United States. If we act here with firmness, the influence of our example will be potential with other countries.

And what further did Mr. Blaine say? Did he advise us to beg England for permission to legislate for ourselves? Did he advise us to send Wolcott commissions abroad to prostitute the dignity of American manhood for the amusement of foreign scoffers? No. That illustrious American, James G. Blaine, further advised that we "make Europe recognize American silver by first remonetizing it ourselves."

Gentlemen insist that the idea of remonetizing silver is but the flitting dream of a few deluded cranks who want silver to advance in value because the Western mine owners will thereby be benin value because the Western mine owners will thereby be benefited. And then, with almost the same breath, they proceed to tell us that value can not be legislated into money. There was scarcely a leader on the other side of the Chamber who, during the debate on the Teller resolution, did not insist that we can not legislate value into money, that the bullion value of the silver in a dollar is 50 cents, that this is the intrinsic value of the silver, and that we can not legislate any more value into it. Now, if we can not legislate value into money, the remonetization of silver will not cause silver to advance in value, and all this talk about the fabulous fortunes which are to accrue to the imaginary silver the fabulous fortunes which are to accrue to the imaginary silver miners' trust is without foundation.

We know, however, Mr. Chairman, that we can legislate value into money. We know that money has no value except by legis-lation; that the remonetization of silver will cause it to advance to \$1.29 per ounce; that as soon as it is remonetized one ounce of silver will be worth one-sixteenth of an ounce of gold. We judge

the future by what the history of the past has clearly demonstrated. We want it to advance, not because it will benefit the mine owners, but because it will benefit 99 per cent of the Amer-

ican people.

To be sure, the mine owners, in common with others, will be benefited, but this is a matter of minor importance. Fifty per cent added to the value of our silver output will increase the mine-owners' income but about \$35,000,000 a year, while 50 per cent added to the value of our wheat and cotton crops will increase the farmers' income on these two products alone not less than \$200,000,000 a year.

If the gold worshipers are jealous of our Western mine owners, they can go out West and compete with them.

The privilege of prospecting and mining in the Western country is not monopolized by a close corporation as is the case with some is not monopolized by a close corporation as is the case with some of the leading industries in the East. But do you know, sirs, that in the State of Colorado, our greatest silver-producing State, and our greatest gold-producing State, too, by the way—I say do you know that in the State of Colorado the value of her farm products is double and the value of her factory products is more than quadruple the value of her entire silver output? While if silver had never been demonetized the mine owners would have realized during the last thirty-five years something like \$270,000,000 more during the last thirty-five years something like \$270,000,000 more than they have realized, during the same time the cotton planters would have realized not less than \$2,000,000,000 more and the wheat grower not less than \$2,500,000,000 more than they have realized.

grower not less than \$3,500,000,000 more than they have realized. Without itemizing the greatly increased profits which would have accrued on other products of the farm, I feel justified in stating, after a careful study of the most reliable statistics to be obtained on this subject, that the loss sustained on farm products alone during the last thirty-five years, while the price of the dollar has been steadily appreciating and the price of all commodities steadily depreciating, reaches the enormous sum of \$35,000,000,000. One hillion dollars a year has been lost by this system of contractions. One billion dollars a year has been lost by this system of contraction. One billion dollars a year means about \$3,000,000 a day. That is more than it cost on both sides to conduct the late civil war. Just think of it! A greater continuous drain on the American farmer, day after day, year after year, decade after decade, than that occasioned during the five years of that dreadful civil

Why, this loss is more than double your entire tariff-revenue receipts, your internal-revenue receipts, and your miscellaneous Treasury receipts combined. Yet we are told that other questions are more important, that we must leave this British financial legislation upon our statute books until haughty England and a few poor deluded American anglomaniacs kindly condescend to let free Americans legislate for themselves.

let free Americans legislate for themselves.

The cause of this almost incomprehensible loss is finally attributed by our friends on the other side to overproduction of commodities. And yet statistics prove that from 1853 to 1873 the world's annual increase in the production of all commodities was 2.8 per cent, and yet prices advanced during that period 20 per cent. From 1873 to 1893, the succeeding period of twenty years after the demonetization of silver, the world's annual increase in the production of all commodities was but 1.6 per cent, and yet prices fell during the latter period 32 per cent, and are now, as we all know to our sorrow, with but one or two abnormal exceptions, lower than ever before since the discovery of this continent. No, Mr. Chairman, it is not overproduction of commodities; it is underproduction of money which prevents a proper distribution,

No, Mr. Chairman, it is not overproduction of commodities; it is underproduction of money which prevents a proper distribution, and hence a normal consumption of the commodities we are producing. There can be no overproduction of breadstuffs or wearing apparel while the masses are cold and hungry. In 1873 the American people were consuming about 6 bushels of wheat per capita, and they were paying \$1.30 a bushel for wheat. In 1895, they were consuming but a little more than 3 bushels of wheat per capita, and yet wheat had fallen to 67 cents a bushel. Now, it is fair to presume that our people needed as much bread in 1895 as they did in 1873, but they could not earn the money to buy the bread they actually needed, notwithstanding the fact that wheat had fallen almost 50 per cent in value.

Your falling prices have curtailed the field for employment.

Your falling prices have curtailed the field for employment. The farmer and the laboring man can not earn the money with which to buy of the country merchant. The country merchant can not buy of the jobber, the jobber can not place his orders with the manufacturer. The factory closes, and then goes up the cry, "Vote for a higher tariff in order that our factories and mills may once more be opened. It is more necessary to open our factories and our mills than it is to open our mints." You heard that in the last campaign, and, strange as it may seem, a great many farmers, producers, and laboring men are still voting that old ticket; still voting for a higher tariff; still permitting themselves to be drawn deeper and deeper into the meshes of this re-

lentless money power by that siren cry.

They seem to forget that it is not more taxation and less money they need, but less taxation and more money. Why, my friends, if overproduction is the cause of falling prices, what remedy are

you going to offer? How will you stop prices from falling if over-production is the cause? Will you stop producing? Will you close your factories and stop cultivating the farm, and thus reen-force the great army of American tramps by thousands and thou-sands of new recruits taken from the ranks of honest toil? No, Mr. Chairman, neither the perpetuation of the present vicious system of taxation, which has added steadily to the tax on consumption until the industrial masses, owning but one-tenth of this nation's property, are obliged to pay nine-tenths of the

consumption until the industrial masses, owning but one-tenth of this nation's property, are obliged to pay nine-tenths of the nation's taxes, nor your bankers' monetary system can blow up from this vast expanse of distress and impoverishment that great tidal wave of prosperity for which you are so anxiously longing. I will tell you what it is doing. It is arousing a vast tidal wave of public indignation which will in the not far distant future sweep you and your trust-breeding laws out of existence. [Applause on the Democratic side!] plause on the Democratic side.]

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman has expired. Mr. DRIGGS. I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman

Mr. DRIGGS. I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman have five minutes more.

The CHAIRMAN. The time is in the control of the gentleman from Louisiana [Mr. MEYER].

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Inasmuch as the two gentlemen who were to have the remainder of the time on this side are absent, I of course have no objection to granting the gentleman's

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Wyoming is extended five minutes.

Mr. OSBORNE. I read again from the speech of the distinguished gentleman from New York [Mr. ALEXANDER]:

Free-silver men have been active and persistent, going up and down the land enforcing their views, printing pages of speeches in the Congressional Record, and mailing them free of cost throughout the country. Their campaign has been continuous. Defeat did not stop them, and delayed hopes do not discourage them.

Now, if we must apologize to the gentleman for being active, I want to say to him that we still have confidence in the patriotism of the American people. We believe the American people want to do right. We believe the American people will do right when they ascertain in which direction the finger of justice beckons them. The strength of our cause is the justice of our cause, and we have but to educate the people upon this great economic question in order to have them behind us in our fight.

We are active, we are persistent, and we have met nothing to discourage us in the work. In the preliminary skirmish of 1896 our dauntless leader polled six and one-half million votes. He polled more votes than had ever before in the history of this

polled more votes than had ever before in the history of this party, and that splendid result was achieved notwithstanding the fact that the wealth of two continents was poured in here to deprive American citizens of their constitutional right to cast a free and untrammeled ballot. [Applause on the Democratic

side.]

To be sure, we met with a temporary defeat in that contest, but that defeat only served—let me sound the warning—it only served to more thoroughly amalgamate the conscientious reform forces of all the reform political parties. Let me say to the gentleman further that we are to-day more closely united than ever, and we have registered a year never to cease our efforts in this good work. have registered a vow never to cease our efforts in this good work until the wrongs of the common people are righted. [Applause on the Democratic side.] We won a victory in that campaign, for we, in the city of Chicago, after one of the fiercest struggles ever recorded in the history of American politics, rescued the standard of Democracy from the hands of the traitorous leaders who had so basely prostituted the sacred trust reposed in them, and to-day, thank God, consecrated anew to the principles so fearlessly upheld by Jefferson, Democracy still lives to bless mankind. [Applause on the Democratic side.]

We made the issue in that contest, and that was a victory. We inscribed upon our banner a platform recommending the enlargement of our principles.

ment of our primary money, protesting against placing the burden of taxation upon the shoulders of those least able to bear it a platform in spirit reiterating the words of warning uttered by

Daniel Webster:

The liberties of the people can not long endure in a country where the tendency is to concentrate wealth in the hands of the few.

Now, Mr. Chairman, in conclusion, as that was our platform in 1896, it is still our platform, and will continue to be our platform until there is once more restored to this country a govern-

form until there is once more restored to this country a government for and by those governed.

We not only have our platform framed ready for you to criticise, but the American people have already nominated a candidate for the Presidency upon that platform, one who when elected will fulfill its every pledge. Continue to heap your abuse upon him, if you will, but that abuse only tends to magnify his unsullied Americanism. He stands before the American people possessing the statesmanship of a Jefferson, the courage of a Jackson, the eloquence of a Webster, yet with all the gentleness and honesty

of an Abraham Lincoln. [Applause on the Democratic side.] The day is coming, it is not far distant, my friends, when all true Americans of every political creed, of every class, and of every section will unite in thanking God that there has been raised from the common people a William J. Bryan to bless the common people. [Applause on the Democratic side.]

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. I yield to the gentleman from

Texas [Mr. DE GRAFFENREID].

[Mr. DE GRAFFENREID addressed the committee. See Appendix.]

Mr. MEYER of Louisiana. Mr. Chairman, I had promised the remainder of the time apportioned to this side to two gentlemen who are not present. I therefore yield the remainder of the time that I have.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Louisiana [Mr. Meyer] yields the balance of his time.

And then, on motion of Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine, the committee rose; and the Speaker having resumed the Chair, Mr. SHERMAN, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that committee had had under consideration the naval appropriation bill (H. R. 9378) and had come to no resolution thereon.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED.

Mr. HAGER, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that they had examined and found truly enrolled bill of the fol-

lowing title; when the Speaker signed the same:

H. R. 8618. An act for the relief of the sufferers by the destruction of the U. S. S. Maine in the harbor of Habana, Cuba.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE.

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted as follows: To Mr. Brumm, until Monday evening, on account of important business.

To Mr. Hicks, for ten days, on account of important business. And then, on motion of Mr. Boutelle of Maine (at 5 o'clock and 54 minutes p. m.), the House adjourned.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, the following executive and other communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

A letter from the assistant clerk of the Court of Claims, trans-A letter from the assistant clerk of the Court of Claims, transmitting a copy of the findings filed by the court in the case of the Presbyterian Church of Murfreesboro, Tenn., against The United States—to the Committee on War Claims, and ordered to be printed.

A letter from the assistant clerk of the Court of Claims, transmitting a copy of the findings filed by the court in the case of W. W. Jackson against The United States—to the Committee on War Claims, and ordered to be printed.

A letter from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting a copy of a communication from the Interstate Commerce Commission submitting certain items disallowed by the accounting officers of the Treasury—to the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered

the Treasury—to the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered to be printed.

A letter from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting draft of a joint resolution for printing the Statistical Abstract of the United States—to the Committee on Printing, and ordered to be

printed.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, bills and resolutions of the following titles were severally reported from committees, delivered to the Clerk, and referred to the several Calendars therein named,

Mr. GROSVENOR, from the Committee on Mines and Mining, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 7442) to amend section 2323 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, relating to tunnel rights, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 809); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. HOOKER, from the Committee on Rivers and Harbors, to

which was referred the joint resolution of the House (H. Res. 175) for a survey of the harbor at Sheboygan, Wis., reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 810); which said joint resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar.

He also, from the same committee, to which was referred the joint resolution of the House (H. Res. 174) for the improvement of the harbor at Port Washington, Wis., reported the same with-out amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 811); which said joint resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. RICHARDSON, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to which was referred House bill 7613, reported in lieu thereof a bill (H. R. 9428) to authorize the Washington and Get-

tysburg Railway Company, of Maryland, to extend its line of road into and within the District of Columbia, accompanied by a report (No. 818); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. BERRY, from the Committee on Rivers and Harbors, to which was referred the concurrent resolution of the House (House Con. Res. No. 25) for the improvement of the Big Sandy River in Kentucky, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 821); which said concurrent resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. MUDD, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to which was referred the bill of the Senate (S. 771) to incorporate the National Florence Crittenton Mission, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 823); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

He also, from the same committee, to which was referred the bill of the Senate (S. 3472) to incorporate The Masonic Temple Association of the District of Columbia, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 824); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, private bills and resolutions of the following titles were severally reported from committees, de-livered to the Clerk, and referred to the Committee of the Whole

House, as follows:

Mr. NORTON of Ohio, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 7523) granting an increase of pension to Mary Speier, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 812); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

Mr. DRIGGS, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, to which

was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 1825) to increase the pension of David Parker, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 813); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

He also, from the same committee, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 8834) granting a pension to John B. Hays, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 814); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

Mr. STURTEVANT, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 6988) for the relief of Emory T. Hipple, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 815); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

were referred to the Private Calendar.

Mr. BRUMM, from the Committee on Claims, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 3754) for the relief of The William Cramp & Sons Ship and Engine Building Company, of Philadelphia, Pa., reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 816); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

Mr. KERR, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, to which was referred the bill of the Senate (S. 2299) granting a pension to Caroline A. Slocum, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 819); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

Mr. CURTIS of Kansas, from the Committee on Indian Affairs,

to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 9282) transmitting and referring the claim of the Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians to the Court of Claims under the provisions of the Bowman Act, an act approved March 3, 1883, and for other purposes, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 820); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

Mr. NORTON of Ohio, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 2669) granting a pension to Henry H. Tucker, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 822); which said bill and report were referred to the Private Calendar.

PUBLIC BILLS, RESOLUTIONS, AND MEMORIALS INTRODUCED.

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, bills, resolutions, and memorials of the following titles were introduced and severally referred as follows:

From the COMMITTEE ON THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: A bill (H. R. 9428) to authorize the Washington and Gettysburg Railway Company, of Maryland, to extend its line of road into and within the District of Columbia—to the House Calendar. By Mr. JENKINS: A bill (H. R. 9429) to authorize the acquisition of costs in the formula of the formula

tion of certain real estate for the purpose of a site for a hospital for the treatment of contagious diseases—to the Committee on the District of Columbia. By Mr. EVANS: A bill (H. R. 9430) to amend the internal-revenue laws relating to distilled spirits, and for other purposes— to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. SPARKMAN: A bill (H. R. 9475) to furnish the Su-preme Court reports and the reports of various courts of appeals

of the United States to certain courts—to the Committee on the Judiciary

By Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH: A joint resolution (H. Res. 194) for the creation of a joint commission—to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-Roads.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BOUTELL of Illinois: A bill (H. R. 9431) granting a pension to Byron F. Davis—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 9432) to correct the record of Stephen W. Coakley-to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9433) for the relief of Emma P. Drake—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. CAMPBELL: A bill (H. R. 9434) granting a pension to Alice Bozeman—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9435) to remove the charge of desertion from the military record of Lewis Rankin—to the Committee on Military Alians. tary Affairs

Also, a bill (H. R. 9436) granting a pension to William H. Mc-Keny—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9437) granting a pension to Henderson M. Fisher—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9438) to increase the pension of William R. Wiggins—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9439) for the relief of David Bolling-to the

Committee on Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9440) to increase the pension of William Ewin—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9441) to increase the pension of George W.

Rhodes—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 9442) to increase the pension of John M. Stevens—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9443) granting a pension to James C. Finn—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9444) granting a pension to C. D. Ellis—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9445) granting a pension to William Newby-to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9446) granting a pension to Sallie Gray—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9447) granting a pension to Augustus Voigt— to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9448) to remove the charge of desertion from the record of Jeremiah McDaniel-to the Committee on Military

By Mr. COX (by request): A bill (H. R. 9449) for the relief of the estate of Mrs. E. M. Booker, deceased, late of Columbia, Tenn.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. DE GRAFFENREID: A bill (H. R. 9450) to remove the charge of desertion from the record of James Morris-to the

the charge of desertion from the record of James Morris—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. MARSHALL: A bill (H. R. 9451) granting a pension to Josiah B. Deeter—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9452) for the relief of B. Frank Yazel—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. MIERS of Indiana: A bill (H. R. 9453) granting an increase of pension to John W. Case, of Carlisle, Ind.—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions

crease of pension to John W. Case, of Carlisle, Ind.—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. ODELL: A bill (H. R. 9454) for the relief of Jeronemus S. Underhill—to the Committee on Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9455) granting an increase of pension to Richard Atkinson—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. OGDEN: A bill (H. R. 9456) for the relief of the estate of Edward Eley, deceased, late of Natchitoches Parish, La.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. PUGH: A bill (H. R. 9457) for the relief of Samuel Berry—to the Committee on War Claims.

erry—to the Committee on War Claims. By Mr. ROBBINS: A bill (H. R. 9458) granting increase of pension to Daniel W. Dougherty, of Saltsbury, Pa.—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. STARK: A bill (H. R. 9459) granting an increase of pension to Alonzo Lewis, of Stockham, county of Hamilton, Nebr.—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Nebr.—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. SULLOWAY: A bill (H. R. 9460) granting a pension to Levi Caldwell—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9461) granting an increase of pension to Ellen C. Abbott—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9462) granting a pension to Phronie A. Woodman—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9463) granting a pension to Elisabeth S. Spaulding—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. TAYLOR of Alabama: A bill (H. R. 9464) for the relief of Franklin W. King—to the Committee on Pensions,

Also, a bill (H. R. 9465) for the relief of Elisha B. Lott—to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. ELLIS: A bill (H. R. 9466) to pension John H. Boyd-

to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. KERR: A bill (H. R. 9467) to pension William F. Da-

visson—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. KNOX: A bill (H. R. 9468) granting a pension to Emma

A. Andrews, widow of Daniel W. Andrews—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. CALLAHAN: A bill (H. R. 9469) for the relief of J. A.

By Mr. CALLAHAN: A bill (H. R. 9469) for the relief of J. A. Courtney—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 9470) granting an increase of pension to Ahi.

S. Loudermilk—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. HARMER: A bill (H. R. 9471) for the relief of Joseph B. Hughes, late private Company D, Eighty-first Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and late private Company B, One hundred and nineteenth Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry—to the Committee on Military Affairs

Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. PACKER of Pennsylvania: A bill (H. R. 9472) for the relief of Samuel Finch—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. STALLINGS: A bill (H. R. 9473) granting an increase of pension to James R. Cook—to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. SKINNER: A bill (H. R. 9474) for the relief of R. T. Priddy, executor of John D. Priddy, deceased—to the Committee on War Claims.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, the following petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

By Mr. ACHESON: Resolutions of Elizabeth Lodge, No. 444, of Elizabeth, Pa.; Hancock Lodge, No. 231, and Our Lodge, No. 407, Knights of Pythias, of Coal Center, Pa., in support of House bill No. 6468, granting land at Hot Springs, Ark., for the purpose of creating and maintaining a sanitaring theorem. erecting and maintaining a sanitarium thereon—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

Also, petition of Washington Lodge, No. 33, Amalgamated Association of Iron and Steel Workers, of Pennsylvania, favoring the passage of the anti-injunction bill and the bill for the relief of American seamen—to the Committee on Labor.

By Mr. ADAMS: Resolution of the Philadelphia (Pa.) Board of Trade, in favor of House bill No. 8066, for an appropriation for a national exposition of American products and manufactures in Philadelphia, Pa.—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of Local Union No. 1, Steel and Copper Plate Printers' Union, of Philadelphia, Pa., protesting against any change in the manner of printing Government securities at the Bureau of Engraving and Printing-to the Committee on Appropriations.

By Mr. BARHAM: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Dows Prairie, Cal., and the Society of Christian Endeavor of Albion, Cal., for the passage of a bill to protect State anti-cigarette laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Colusa and Nimshew, Cal., for the bill forbidding the interstate transmission of letters messages by tolegraph, etc., to the Committee of Letters messages by the graphs at the Committee of Letters and Call.

transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, etc.—to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Quincy and Napa, Cal.; the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor and citizens of Albión, Cal., and American citizens of Redding, Cal., and vicinity, to forbid the sale of intoxicating beverages in Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. BELFORD: Petition of the Chamber of Commerce of Cincinnati, Ohio, for an appropriation for the maintenance of the

Cincinnati, Onio, for an appropriation for the maintenance of the levee system of the Mississippi River—to the Committee on Levees and Improvements of the Mississippi River.

By Mr. BELL: Petitions of R. L. Chambers, C. L. Johnson, N. S. Gandy, and other citizens of Colorado Springs, Colo., in opposition to the so-called anti-scalping bill or any similar measure—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Grand Junction and Durango and Board of Education of Aspen, Colo. favoring a bill providing that cigarettes imported in original

Colo., favoring a bill providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of

Durango and Mancos and Asbury Methodist Episcopal Church, of Denver, Colo., praying for the enactment of legislation raising the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories and to forbid interstate gambling by telegraph, etc.-to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of Woman's Christian Temperance unions and certain churches and societies in the State of Colorado, favoring the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine: Petition of Martha F. Peavey, of Bangor, Me., for a pension—to the Committee on Invalid

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Greenville, Me., to forbid the transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph—to the Committee on

the Judiciary.

By Mr. BRODERICK: Petition of the Reform Bureau and a meeting of citizens of Washington, D. C., favoring the bill which forbids the sale of alcoholic liquors in Government buildings—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BROMWELL: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Hartwell, Ohio, for the passage of bills to protect State anti-cigarette laws, to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years, and to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, etc.—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

messages by telegraph, etc.—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of
Hartwell, Ohio, to forbid the sale of intoxicating liquors in Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and

By Mr. BRUCKER: Resolution of the Bay County Ministerial

Association of the State of Michigan, in favor of the passage of the so-called anti-scalping ticket bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. BURLEIGH: Petition of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Sturgeon Bay and Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of St. Albans, Me., favoring the enactment of legislation to restrict States and Foreign the state of the state tion to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that ciga-

rettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of St. Albans, Me., to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Parildings and Grounds.

Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. BUTLER: Resolutions of Hall of Improvement Lodge. No. 197, of Clifton Heights, Pa., and Larkin Lodge, No. 78, of Chester, Pa., Knights of Pythias, in favor of House bill No. 6468, granting land at Hot Springs, Ark., for the purpose of erecting and maintaining a sanitarium thereon—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

Public Lands.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Jennersville and Blue Rock, Methodist Episcopal Church of Springfield, and churches of Honeybrook, Pa., favoring legislation providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws, and to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, Springfield Methodist Episcopal Church, and others, praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. CAMPBELL: Petition of members of the fire department and others, of Xenia, Ill., protesting against the passage of

ment and others, of Xenia, Ill., protesting against the passage of Senate bill No. 2736, in relation to the regulation of insurance—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, resolution of Rhoads Post, No. 586, Grand Army of the Republic, Department of Illinois, in favor of House bill No. 6553, in relation to the free transmission of certain classes of matter through the regile to the Committee on the Post Office and Post

through the mails—to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-

By Mr. CAPRON: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Kingston, Hope Valley, and Usquepaugh, and Christian Endeavor Society of Hope Valley, R. I., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on the Indicipal on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Usquepaugh and Hope Valley, and Christian Endeavor Society of Hope Valley, R. I., to forbid the transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of certain churches and societies of Phenix, Hope Valley, and Usquepaugh, R. I., for the passage of a bill prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol building and grounds—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Aso petitions of certain churches and societies of Kingston.

Aso, petitions of certain churches and societies of Kingston, Hope Valley, and Usquepaugh, R. I., asking for the passage of the bill to raise the age of protection for girls—to the Committee on the Territories.

ance unions of Pylema and Turlock, and Christian Endeavor Society of Ceres, Cal., favoring the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws and to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Turlock, and Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of Ceres, Cal., favoring the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of liquors in the Capitol and all Government building, and for other purposes—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. CLARKE of New Hampshire: Petitions of the Epworth League of North Grantham, First Baptist Church of Newport, and Woman's Christian Temperance Union of East Washington, all in the State of New Hampshire for the passage of bills to pro-

all in the State of New Hampshire, for the passage of bills to pro-

all in the State of New Hampshire, for the passage of bills to protect State anti-cigarette laws, to forbid the transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of Epworth League of North Grantham, First Baptist Church of Newport, and Woman's Christian Temperance Union of East Washington, N. H., for the passage of a bill prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol building and grounds—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. COUSINS (by request): Petition of the Congregational Church of Fairfax, Iowa, to prohibit the sale of intoxicating beverages in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Buildings and Grounds.

Also, petition of the Congregational Church of Fairfax, Iowa, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anticigarette laws, to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years—to

sages, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CRUMP: Resolution of Bay Division, No. 306, Order of Railway Conductors, of West Bay City, Mich., in favor of the passage of the anti-scalping ticket bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petitions of various labor organizations in the State of Michigan, in opposition to the so-called anti-scalping bill or any similar research.

similar measure—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petitions of a public meeting at North Branch, Mich., and

Also, petitions of a public meeting at North Branch, Mich., and 150 citizens of Sanilac Center, Mich., in favor of the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds. By Mr. DALZELL: Resolutions of Maddoc Lodge, No. 229; of South Side Lodge, No. 158, Knights of Pythias, of Pittsburg, Pa., in support of House bill No. 6468, granting land at Hot Springs, Ark., for the purpose of erecting and maintaining a sanitarium thereon—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

Also, resolutions of the Christian Endeavor Society of Mount Washington Presbyterian Church, of Pittsburg, Pa., asking for the passage of a bill to forbid the sale of intoxicating beverages in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Alcoholic Liquor

all Government buildings—to the Committee on Alcoholic Liquor

Traffic.

By Mr. DAVEY: Resolution of the constitutional convention of Louisiana, asking for an appropriation to complete the monu-ment erected on the plains of Chalmette, in the parish of St. Bernard, to celebrate the battle of New Orleans—to the Committee on the Library

Bernard, to celebrate the battle of New Orleans—to the Committee on the Library.

By Mr. DINGLEY: Petitions of Sabina Lodge of Good Templars, Popham Beach, Me., and Monthly Meeting of Friends, of Durham, Me., for the passage of the bill to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

Also, petitions of Sabina Lodge of Good Templars, Popham Beach, and Monthly Meeting of Friends, of Durham, Me., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, petitions of Sabina Lodge of Good Templars, of Popham Beach, and Monthly Meeting of Friends, of Durham, Me., for the passage of a bill to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. ELLIS: Petition of the Reform Bureau and citizens of Washington, D. C., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Alcoholic Liquor Traffic.

By Mr. ERMENTROUT: Petition of Charles Stoughton, for the building of the Harlem Kills Canal as a war measure—to the Committee on Railways and Canals.

Also, memorial of the American Paper and Pulp Association, favoring increased foreign transportation and the Nicaraguan Canal—to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Also, resolution of the National Grange, Patrons of Husbandry, favoring postal savings banks—to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-Roads.

the Territories.

By Mr. CASTLE: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperand Post-Roads.

favoring postal savings banks—to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-Roads.

Also, memorial of the Pennsylvania Division of the Travelers' Protective Association, favoring the passage of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. FOOTE: Petitions of citizens of Elizabethtown, N. Y., urging the passage of the Lodge immigration bill—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Franklin, N. Y., favoring the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws, to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years, and to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Franklin, N. Y., for the passage of a bill forbidding the sale of liquor in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. GILLETT of Massachusetts: Petitions of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Athol, Mass., asking for the passage of bills to protect State anti-cigarette laws and to forbid the transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, etc.—to the Committee on the Indicator.

Also, petition of the Second Advent Church of Athol, Mass., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol building—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, petition of the Second Advent Church of Athol, Mass., for the passage of a bill forbidding the interactor transmission of the

the passage of a bill forbidding the interstate transmission of lottery and other gambling matter by telegraph—to the Committee

on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GRIFFIN: Petition of 41 citizens of La Crosse, Wis., in favor of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate

and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. HANDY: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Bridgeville and Townsend, Del., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, petitions of Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Bridgeville and Townsend, Del., and Methodist Episcopal Church of Camden, Del., favoring the passage of bills to protect State anti-cigarette laws, to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years

messages, and to raise the age of protection for girls to its years—
to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HENDERSON: Petition of Honey Creek Monthly
Meeting of Friends, of New Providence, Iowa, in favor of bills
to raise the age of protection for girls and to forbid the interstate
transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, etc.—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of the Honey Creek Monthly Meeting of Friends, of New Providence, Iowa, praying for the enactment of legisla-tion raising the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the Dis-trict of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Honey Creek Monthly Meeting of Friends, of New Providence, Iowa, against the sale of intoxicants in Government buildings—to the Committee on Alcoholic Liquor Traffic.

By Mr. HENRY of Connecticut: Petition of Volunteer Council, No. 38, Order of United American Mechanics, and others, of Rockyhill, Conn., urging the enactment of legislation which will more effectually restrict immigration and prevent the admission of illiterate, pauper, and criminal classes to the United States—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

By Mr. HOOKER: Petitions of the First Methodist Episcopal

By Mr. HOOKER: Petitions of the First Methodist Episcopal Church and Universalist Church of Friendship, N. Y., in favor of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws, and to raise the age of protection

shall become subject to its laws, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Young People's Union of the Universalist Church of Sherman, N. Y., asking for the passage of a bill to forbid the sale of intoxicating beverages in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. HOWELL: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of South River, Millstone, and East Millstone, N. J.; First Baptist Church, First Presbyterian Church, and Methodist Church of Lambertville, N. J., to raise the age of protection for girls, to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, and in favor of a bill to protect State anticigarette laws-to the Committee on the Judiciary,

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Millstone, East Millstone, Lambertville, and South River; First Baptist Church, First Presbyterian Church, and Methodist Church of Lambertville, N. J., to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings—and Grounds

ings and Grounds.

Also, petition of the Atlantic Highlands Baptist Church, of Monmouth County, N. J., for the passage of a bill to protect anticigarette laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. JETT: Six petitions of John I. Foulkes and others, J. F. Upham and others, Pettitions of John I. Foulkes and others, J. F. Upham and others, Pettitione, Sawtell & Co., Vogers, Porter & Myer, J. C. Hollanday and others, Marshall Field & Co., and other firms, of Chicago, Ill., in favor of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. JOHNSON of North Dakota: Petition of the Methodist Episcopal Church, Christian Endeavor, and Woman's Christian Tompresses Union of Abservator North Poly

Temperance Union, of Absaraka, N. Dak., Methodist Episcopal Church of Reynolds, and Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Fessenden, N. Dak., for the passage of bills to forbid interstate gambling by telegraph, and to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of the Methodist Episcopal Church, Christian En-

deavor, and Woman's Christian Temperance Union, of Absaraka, Cooperstown, and Fessenden, and Methodist Episcopal Church of Reynolds, all in the State of North Dakota, against the sale of intoxicants in Government buildings—to the Committee on

intoxicants in Government buildings—to the Committee on Alcoholic Liquor Traffic.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, Christian Endeavor, and Methodist Episcopal Church, of Absaraka; Methodist Episcopal Church and Epworth League, of Reynolds; Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Fessenden and Cooperstown, all in the State of North Dakota, praying for the enactment of legislation raising the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. KERR: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperature.

Committee on the District of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. KERR: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, Congregational Church, and public schools, of New London, Ohio, asking for the passage of bills to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages and other gambling matter by telegraph, and to protect anti-cigarette laws and raise the age of consent to 18 years—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union and Congregational Church, of New London, Ohio, to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. KETCHAM: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Wassaic, N. Y., and Schultzville Christian Church, of Clinton Corners, N. Y., for the passage of bills to raise the age of protection for girls, and to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Wassaic, N. Y., and Schultzville Christian Church, of Clinton Corners, N. Y., praying for the passage of a bill prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol and all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. KNOX: Paper to accompany House bill granting a pension to Emma A. Andrews, widow of Daniel W. Andrews—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. LOUDENSLAGER: Petitions of the Woman's Christian

sion to Emma A. Andrews, widow of Daniel W. Andrews—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. LOUDENSLAGER: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Roadstown, and Freesburg, and Presbyterian Church of Greenwich, N. Y., for the passage of bills to protect State anti-cigarette laws, to forbid the transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Roadstown and Freesburg, and Presbyterian Church of Greenwich, N. Y., for the passage of a bill prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in the Capitol building and grounds—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

mittee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. LOVERING: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Bridgewater, Mass., asking for the passage of a bill to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and to protect State anti-cigarette laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Bridgewater, Mass., favoring the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of liquors in the Capitol and all Government buildings, and for other purposes—to the Committee on Public Buildings and

By Mr. McCORMICK: Papers to accompany House bill No. 9372, granting a pension to Matilda E. Outhel—to the Committee

on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. MEEKISON: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union and churches at Ridgeville Corners, Ohio, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages

on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Ridgeville Corners, Henry County, Ohio, to forbid the transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on the

Judiciary.

By Mr. MIERS of Indiana: Paper to accompany House bill for the relief of John W. Case—to the Committee on Invalid Pen-

By Mr. ODELL: Petition of Fred W. Northrop and 72 citizens of Sing Sing, N. Y., for the passage of a bill to prevent the admis-

sion of illiterate, pauper, and criminal classes to the United States—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

By Mr. PARKER of New Jersey: Petition of Erwin T. Bussman and 61 citizens of Newark, N. J., protesting against the passage of a bill to restrict immigration—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

Also rectified a prospective of Pathol Prospectation Church and Warner's Christ.

Also, petitions of Bethel Presbyterian Church and Woman's Christian Temperance Union, of East Orange, and De Groot Methodist Episcopal Church, of Newark, N. J., favoring legislation providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of East Orange, N.J., praying that the age of protection for girls be raised to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories, and to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages by tele-

and to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, Bethel Presbyterian Church, of East Orange, and De Groot Methodist Episcopal Church, of Newark, N. J., urging the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of liquors in Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also position of the Fighth Avanua Methodist Episcopal Church

Also, petition of the Eighth Avenue Methodist Episcopal Church, of Newark, N. J., praying for the enactment of a Sunday-rest law for the District of Columbia—to the Committee on the District of

By Mr. PRINCE: Petition of the United Presbyterian Church and Young People's Christian Union of Aledo, Ill., for the passage of a bill which forbids the sale of alcoholic liquors in Government

or a bill which forbids the sale of alcoholic liquors in Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. RAY of New York: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Kendall, Wis., favoring the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Reform Bureau and citizens of Washington, D. C., favoring the passage of a bill to limit absolute divorce to cases of adultery in the District of Columbia and the Terri-

to cases of adultery in the District of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ROBBINS: Resolution of Charity Lodge, No. 488,
Knights of Pythias, in favor of House bill No. 6468, granting land
at Hot Springs, Ark., for the purpose of erecting and maintaining
a sanitarium thereon—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

By Mr. SAUERHERING: Petition of F. M. Pulser and 28 citi-

zens of Kilbourn, Wis., in favor of legislation abolishing ticket brokerage—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce. By Mr. SHAFROTH: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Tem-

perance Union of Denver, Colo., for the passage of bills forbidding the interstate transmission of lottery and other gambling matter by telegraph, to protect State anti-cigarette laws, and to raise the age of protection to 18 years—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Denver, Colo., urging the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of liquors in Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Parildings and Grounds.

Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. SHERMAN: Petitions of Department Grand Army of the Republic of Virginia, Department Grand Army of the Republic of Alabama, Paper Trade Association of Boston, Mass., Master Builders' Association of Boston, Mass., and Holland Patent (N. Y.) Grange, No. 636, Patrons of Husbandry, in favor of House bill No. 7130 and Senate bill No. 1575, relating to ticket brokerage-to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Com-

By Mr. SHOWALTER: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Rochester, Pa., favoring legislation providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws, to forbid interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years—to the Committee on the Judi-

of protection for girls to 18 years—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bruin, Pa., for the passage of bills to prohibit the interstate and mail circulation of newspaper descriptions of prize fights—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Bruin, Pa., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas: Petition of the Southwest Kansas

By Mr. SIMPSON of Kansas: Petition of the Southwest Kansas Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, in favor of bills to raise the age of protection for girls and to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, etc.-to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Southwest Kansas Conference of the Meth-

odist Episcopal Church, asking for the passage of a bill to forbid the sale of intoxicating beverages in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. SOUTHARD: Petition of the Walding, Kinnan & Marvin Company and other firms of Toledo, Ohio, urging bankruptcy legislation—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SPRAGUE: Resolutions of the Boston Paper Trade Association, New England Matal Association, New England Conference.

ciation, New England Metal Association, New England Confectioners' Club, and Bay State Division of the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, all of Boston, Mass., urging the early passage of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, resolution of the Paint and Oil Club, of Boston, Mass., in favor of reciprocity with Canada and Newfoundland-to the

Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. STARK: Petitions of the Woman's Christian Temperance unions of Cedar Bluffs, Fairmont, Hebron, and Valparaiso, United Brethren Church and Methodist Episcopal Church of Seward, and First Presbyterian Church of Fairmont, all in the State of Nebraska, for the passage of bills to prohibit the interstate transmission of gambling matter by telegraph and to protect State anti-cigarette laws—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petitions of certain churches and Woman's Christian Temperance unions of the State of Nebraska, asking for the passage of a bill to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and to forbid the sale of alcoholic liquors

Bisher of Coldman and to for the safe of accounts induced in Government buildings—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. STEWART of New Jersey: Petition of Hackensack Valley Council, No. 182, Junior Order United American Mechanics, of Hackensack and vicinity, State of New Jersey, in favor of legislation to more effectually restrict immigration and prevent the admission of illiterate, paper, and criminal classes to the the admission of illiterate, pauper, and criminal classes to the United States—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturali-

Also, petition of Washington Camp, No. 4, Patriotic Order Sons of America, of Trenton, N. J., for the passage of the Lodge immigration bill—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturaliza-

Also, petition of the First Reformed Church of Little Falls, N. J., to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, petition of the First Reformed Church of Little Falls. N. J., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect State anti-cigarette laws by providing that cigarettes imported in original packages on entering any State shall become subject to its laws, and to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and the Territories—to the Committee on

the Judiciary.

By Mr. STEELE: Petition of the board of governors, Indianapolis Board of Trade, in favor of the passage of the so-called anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign

By Mr. SNOVER: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Algonac, Mich., to raise the age of protection for girls, to forbid the interstate transmission of lottery messages by telegraph, and in favor of a bill to protect State anti-cigarette laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Algonac, Mich., asking for the passage of a bill to forbid the sale of intoxicating beverages in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, petitions of citizens of Jeddo and Carsonville, Mich., favoring the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and

Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. CHARLES W. STONE: Petition of H. M. Clark and 19 citizens of Pennsylvania, in opposition to the so-called anti-scalping bill or any similar measure—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, petition of citizens of Sheffield, Warren County, Pa., in favor of the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of liquors in Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and

By Mr. STROWD of North Carolina (by request): Petitions of citizens of Henderson, N. C., in favor of the passage of the so-called anti-scalpers bill—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce

By Mr. UPDEGRAFF: Petition of J. H. Ellsworth, C. E. Huntting, and 39 other citizens of McGregor, Iowa, favoring the passage of the anti-scalping bill—to the Committee on Interstate and For-

eign Commerce.

By Mr. VINCENT: Papers to accompany House bill No. 7191, granting an increase of pension to Frederick E. Vance—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. WADSWORTH: Petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of North Bergen, N. Y., asking for the passage

of a bill to raise the age of protection for girls to 18 years in the District of Columbia and to forbid the transmission of lottery messages by telegraph—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of North Bergen, N. Y., praying for the enactment of legislation prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors in all Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. WEYMOUTH: Petition of the Young Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Billrica, Mass., for the passage of the anti-cigarette law—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Commerce.

Also, petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Billrica, Mass., urging the passage of a bill to prohibit the sale of liquors in Government buildings—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

FRIDAY, March 25, 1898.

The House met at 12 o'clock m. Prayer by the Chaplain, Rev. HENRY N. COUDEN.

The Journal of yesterday's proceedings was read and approved.

NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House resolve itself into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the naval appropriation bill.

The motion was agreed to.

The House accordingly resolved itself into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, Mr. Sherman in the

The CHAIRMAN. The House is in Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of

the naval appropriation bill.

Mr. BOUTELLE of Maine. Mr. Chairman, I yield the remainder of the time to my colleague from Illinois [Mr. Foss] to close

general debate.

Mr. FOSS. Mr. Chairman, "to provide for the common de-fense" has always been the tie which has bound individuals, families, and communities together in the earliest beginnings of every nation. When a nation becomes great and prosperous it should be a matter of no less concern to provide for its defense in a manner that shall be commensurate with its growth and position. Our foes must either be domestic or foreign. To overcome the former we have a small standing Army and the National Guard of

the several States; but we rely for our main defense upon the

patriotism of our people.

To defend ourselves from foreign foes we must depend primarily upon the strength of our fortifications and the prowess of our Navy. The maintenance of our Navy is, therefore, a matter of

national concern. I represent a district in the middle West, about midway between the Alleghany Mountains on the east and the Rocky Mountains on the west, over which no cannon shot from any man-of-war upon the seaboards has found its way, yet the people of my district are fully as much interested in building up the American Navy as their more exposed brethren along the Atlantic and Pacific coasts. What is true of the Seventh Congressional district of Illinois is undoubtedly true of all the districts of this country. of Illinois is undoubtedly true of all the districts of this country. Indeed, it was but a few days ago that the Representatives upon this floor, irrespective of party or locality, voicing the unanimous sentiment of the people, voted \$50,000,000 for the national defense, which is now being used for the buying of ships and the putting of this country in a position where if war becomes necessary it will be able to successfully maintain its honor. [Applause.]

Never in our history has the American Navy been nearer to the hearts of the people than at this hour. In silence and in sorrow the American people for many days have been looking toward Havana, where sleep their "unreturning brave;" but to-day, in silence, more portentous, their eyes are fixed upon the American Navy, the defender of the living and the guardian of the honor of the dead.

the dead.

If an emergency be upon us, the American Navy is ready to meet it. In every great crisis in the history of this country the American sailor has never failed to gloriously perform his duty. I desire to recall for a brief moment the splendid past of the American Navy, and then sketch the growth and development of our new Navy of to-day, and lastly to state some of the reasons why I believe we should continue the policy of strengthening our Navy in the future.

I am not here to snatch one laurel from the wreath which adorns the brow of the American soldier, but only seek to pay a tribute of deserved respect to the American sailor. The sailor

the land, and the great results which have been achieved have been due to the combined strength of both.

The brilliant achievements of the American sailor in the war of our national independence, when our Navy at first consisted of only a small fleet of eight vessels, in command of Commodore Essex Hopkins, early inspired a feeling of pride in our Navy. The names of Biddle and Barry and Nichols and Whipple and of others I might mention recall the daring and bravery of that period, What schoolboy has not read with admiration the story of John Paul Jones, as with the Ranger he ransacked the coasts of England

What schoolboy has not read with admiration the story of John Paul Jones, as with the Ranger he ransacked the coasts of England and Scotland, or pictured him upon the deck of the Bon Homme Richard, when, amid the storm and smoke of battle, the English captain of the Serapis inquired: "The Richard, ahoy! Have you struck your colors?" And the doughty Jones replied: "No; I have not yet begun to fight." That battle established the prowess of the American Navy upon the sea.

Who, sir, has not read with satisfaction of our naval achievements in the war with the Barbary powers,

How Truxtun fought, how Somers fell, How gallant Preble's daring host Triumphed along the Moorish coast, Forced the proud infidel to treat, And brought the Crescent to their feet.

The next great conflict was the war of 1812, which gave us the

The next great conflict was the war of 1812, which gave us the freedom of the seas. Search history through, and where will you find as gallant a band of sea captains as those who walked the decks of the American ships during that struggle?

There were Decatur and Bainbridge and Lawrence, whose dying words, "Don't give up the ship," emblazoned on a canvas, became the oriflamme of victory to Perry and his fleet upon Lake Erie. There was Porter, who so gallantly defended the Essex in the fight with the Pheebe and the Cherub, where our Farragut, then a boy, is said to have first engaged in naval warfare. There was Hull, by whose skillful seamanship the old Constitution, which the English contemptuously called "a bundle of pine boards under a bit of bunting," outsailed the English fleet, and later overcame the Guerrière. There was MacDonough, whose brilliant victory on Lake Champlain made him one of the commanding figures of all naval history. all naval history. Says a recent historian:

The Republic of the United States owed a great deal to the excellent make and armament of its ships, but it owed still more to the men who were in

Then, again, in our war with Mexico, the Navy played an important part, for it was under the fire of its guns that our Army was landed at Vera Cruz, and through the combined efforts of both sailor and soldier that the war terminated to the glory of our

Now I come to speak of the Navy of 1861, which Lincoln called "Uncle Sam's webfoot." When Fort Sumter was fired upon, we had 90 vessels, of which 42 were in commission and of these 31 were scattered upon the seas. We had 7,600 seamen in the service,

and about 1,400 officers.

Yet during the war our Navy grew to 671 vessels and 52,000 seamen, and 7,500 additional officers were enrolled. The American Navy contributed in no small degree to the grand results of that war. I will not say that they would have been otherwise without it. I leave that to Him who overrules the destinies of peoples and of nations, but that the war would have been ended as soon as it was in all human probability without the aid and assistance of the American sailor no candid student of history will affirm.

will affirm.

The blockading of the Eastern and Southern ports; Dupont's terrible circle of fire off Port Royal; the silencing of the Merrimac by the Monitor, called "the cheese box of Ericsson;" the opening of the Mississippi by Foote and Porter; the capture of New Orleans; the terrible fight in Mobile Bay, where Farragut, wrapped in the shrouds of the Hartford, directed the "grand, wild storm of battle;" the daring of Cushing, and the sinking of the Alabama by the Kearsarge tell their own story of the achievements of the American Navy in the late war.

No historian can ever write a complete history of that great

No historian can ever write a complete history of that great contest and leave out the daring of the American sailor any more than he could write it and leave out the bravery of the American soldier. The one cooperated with the other, and both together, inspired by the same devotion to a common cause, preserved the Union of the North and the South, which time is fast cementing into a peace, a perpetual peace, in the everlasting bonds of American brotherhood.

A union of lakes and a union of lands, A union that time can not sever, A union of hearts and a union of hands, And the flag of our Union forever.

adorns the brow of the American soldier, but only seek to pay a tribute of deserved respect to the American sailor. The sailor on the sea has always supplemented the efforts of the soldier on pieces left. The most powerful navy in the world, in the short