



HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

A PLAN FOR THE PROTECTION OF SEA TURTLES AND ANASTASIA ISLAND BEACH MICE ON THE BEACHES OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA



Prepared for:

**U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
ECOLOGICAL SERVICES DIVISION
ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMITS BRANCH
1875 CENTURY BOULEVARD #200
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30345**

Prepared by:

**ST. JOHNS COUNTY PLANNING DIVISION
GROWTH MANAGEMENT SERVICES
4020 LEWIS SPEEDWAY
ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA 32095**

HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

**A PLAN FOR THE PROTECTION OF
SEA TURTLES AND ANASTASIA ISLAND BEACH MICE
ON THE BEACHES OF
ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA**

**Prepared in Support of St. Johns County's Application for an
Incidental Take Permit for the Take of
Sea Turtles and Anastasia Island Beach Mice
Causally Related to Public Vehicular Beach Access and Associated Activities**

Prepared for:

**U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
ECOLOGICAL SERVICES DIVISION
ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMITS BRANCH
1875 CENTURY BOULEVARD #200
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30345**

Prepared by:

**ST. JOHNS COUNTY PLANNING DIVISION
GROWTH MANAGEMENT SERVICES
4020 LEWIS SPEEDWAY
ST. AUGUSTINE, FLORIDA 32084**

Technical assistance provided by:

**ECOLOGICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.
POST OFFICE BOX 405
JENSEN BEACH, FLORIDA 34958**

August 18, 2003

**HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN:
A PLAN FOR THE PROTECTION OF SEA TURTLES AND ANASTASIA ISLAND BEACH MICE ON THE
BEACHES OF ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA**

Prepared for:

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

Prepared by:

St. Johns County Planning Division

Technical assistance provided by:

Ecological Associates, Inc.

August 18, 2003

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

The take of any federally listed species of plants or animals is prohibited under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended, unless specifically authorized through a section 10 Incidental Take Permit (ITP). The ESA defines the term take as an action “to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct” (ESA section 3(18)). Harassment includes the disruption of normal behavioral patterns, like breeding, feeding, and sheltering (50 CFR 222.102). Harming includes habitat modification or degradation (50 CFR 17.3). Thus, both direct and indirect impacts can constitute a take under the ESA.

St. Johns County is applying to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for a 20-year section 10 ITP that will authorize the incidental take of Anastasia Island beach mice (AIBM) and five species of sea turtles causally related to public vehicular beach access initiated under the County’s authorization. This Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) is a mandatory element of the County’s ITP application. This HCP outlines programs and policies to allow for limited public beach driving to continue in a manner and extent that is compatible with protected species conservation within the HCP Plan Area.

The HCP Plan Area includes all beaches along St. Johns County between the Duval County Line on the north and the Flagler County Line on the south, except for those beaches fronting Fort Matanzas National Monument (FMNM). The beaches along FMNM are excluded from the HCP Plan Area, and incidental take coverage for public beach driving along FMNM is not requested by St. Johns County. The status of this area will be reevaluated (and the HCP may be amended) when the National Park Service addresses public beach driving in an approved FMNM General Management Plan. The eastern limit of the Plan Area is the Mean Low Water line (MLW) of the Atlantic Ocean, and the western boundary follows the Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL).

The beaches of St. Johns County are recognized as important habitat for protected species including sea turtles, AIBM, and several species of nesting shorebirds. An average of 277 nests from loggerhead, green, and leatherback turtles are deposited along the County's 42-mile shoreline each year (FWC *unpublished data* 2001a). Additionally, these same beaches support the only known population of the endemic and highly endangered AIBM (USFWS 1993).

Currently, varying levels of beach driving occur along 16.3 miles of the County's shoreline. St. Johns County Ordinance No. 97-34 authorizes beach traffic from the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point to the southern boundary of Guana State Preserve (4.8 miles of which 4.1 miles are restricted to North Beach Vehicular Access Permit holders) and from Ocean Trace Road to Fort Matanzas Ramp (7.2 miles). Additionally, there is 1.6 miles of local resident and Old A1A right-of-way beach driving along Summer Haven south of Matanzas Inlet. St. Augustine Beach Ordinance No. 97-23 authorizes 1.8 miles of beach driving between "A" Street Ramp and Ocean Trace Road. St. Johns County considers the National Park Service to have controlling authority over public beach driving that occurs landward of the Mean High Water (MHW) line on the 0.9 miles of shoreline between Fort Matanzas Ramp and the southwestern tip of Matanzas Point. The Mean High Water (MHW) line along FMNM represents the seaward limit of the National Park Service's jurisdictional (and law enforcement) boundary.

Factors Affecting Sea Turtles and Beach Mice

Vehicular activities on the beach have the potential to directly and indirectly impact adult, hatchling, live stranded, and/or live post-hatchling washback sea turtles, as well as sea turtle nests, all takings prohibited under the ESA. As long as vehicles continue to operate within the HCP Plan Area, there will be a potential for impacting adult sea turtles, their eggs, and/or offspring during the nesting season.

In addition to beach driving, there are a variety of other human impacts to sea turtles on St. Johns County's beaches. These include: public and private beachfront lighting; special events; human presence on the beach at night; destruction of dunes by pedestrian traffic and horseback riding; trash and objects on the beach; coastal development and construction; seawalls, revetments, and other armoring structures; and other types of erosion control measures (e.g., beach nourishment, dune restoration, sand fencing, inlet sand bypassing, and in-water structures, etc.) (NMFS and USFWS 1991a, 1991b, 1992, 1993, USFWS AND NMFS 1992).

Additionally, a variety of other activities affect AIBM along St. Johns County. Such activities include uncontrolled public access, horseback riding, feral and free-roaming cats, coastal development and construction, shoreline protection, artificial lighting, emergency responses to storms and other unusual occurrences, special beach events, stormwater outfalls, contaminants and trash, and other beach management activities (USFWS 1993).

Incidental Take Assessment

The incidental take of sea turtles and AIBM has not been systematically or uniformly documented in St. Johns County. However, historical records demonstrate that both lethal and sublethal incidental take of sea turtles due to vehicles or vehicular activities has occurred along

the County's Atlantic beaches. Since 1991, an estimated 43 post-emergent hatchlings have been run over and killed by vehicles on the beaches of St. Johns County. Additionally, one nest was run over and 15 eggs/embryos were crushed/killed, 3 other nests were run over (with no or unknown damage to eggs/embryos/hatchlings), and an unspecified number of live hatchlings have been trapped in tire ruts.

There were no available documents recording historical cases of direct impacts to AIBM due to beach driving in St. Johns County (i.e., no recorded cases of a vehicle running over a beach mouse). However, the impacts on AIBM from public beach driving are most likely indirect impacts, including degradation of habitat by vehicles trimming dune vegetation, and the use of vehicles to gain public access to remote beaches where human trespassing, garbage, and unrestrained pets might pose problems.

Biological Goal

The over-arching biological goal of the HCP is to provide a net benefit to both sea turtles and AIBM throughout the life of the ITP.

Conservation Strategy: Minimization Measures

The programs and policies contained in the HCP will improve protected species management on the County's beaches relative to practices currently in place. The following minimization measures will be implemented to minimize the potential for impacts to sea turtles and the AIBM causally related to vehicular access to the beach allowed under the County's authorization.

- 1. *Reducing public vehicular beach access hours during the sea turtle nesting season.*** From May 1 through October 31, public vehicular access to authorized beaches will begin each day at 8:00 AM. From May 1 through October 31, public vehicular access to authorized beaches will end each day at 8:00 PM, except for the period when the gates will remain open from 8:00 AM on July 4 through 1:00 AM on July 5 at Porpoise Point. Gates on all public driving beaches will remain open on a 24-hour basis from November 1 through April 30.
- 2. *Installing and maintaining traffic barricades at beach ramps and other points to regulate vehicular access.*** St. Johns County will monitor and maintain impenetrable gate arms at all authorized public vehicular access points and identify and barricade illegal vehicular access points.
- 3. *Monitoring and conspicuous marking of all sea turtle nests in the Plan Area.*** The HCP Coordinator will consult with the Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holders in St. Johns County and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission to develop a standardized Countywide sea turtle monitoring plan.
- 4. *Developing a standard protocol to remove vehicle ruts seaward of sea turtle nests during periods when hatchlings are expected to emerge.*** Sea turtle nests expected to emerge will be flagged for rut removal. The County will develop and implement a vehicle rut removal plan along all public driving beaches within the Plan Area.

-
5. ***Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures.*** St. Johns County will increase the physical presence of law enforcement officers on all public driving beaches by either: 1) the addition of 2 Deputy Sheriffs that are specifically trained to enforce beach-related ordinances, or 2) the addition of 4 Beach Rangers that are dual-trained in code enforcement and lifesaving. During the summer season, 1 Beach Ranger will patrol from Vilano Ramp around Porpoise Point, 2 Rangers will patrol from Ocean Trace Road to the A1A Bridge at Matanzas Point, and 1 Ranger will patrol Old A1A at Summer Haven. Incidences of violations on the beaches will be systematically recorded and summarized in reports to USFWS.
6. ***Developing and implementing a public awareness program that includes, but is not limited to, the following features:***
- a. Developing and distributing public awareness materials containing information regarding driving regulations and protected species' issues to beach drivers as they access beaches within the Plan Area;
 - b. Developing Public Service Announcements, including "special reports," to be aired on the St. Johns County government television station discussing HCP regulations and protected species;
 - c. Designing and conducting periodic public workshops that include the general public but will also focus on the beach community and hotels/motels to discuss HCP issues; and posting phone numbers to report HCP violations and sea turtle emergencies
7. ***Elevating trash receptacles on posts along public driving areas within AIBM habitat (i.e., Anastasia Island, excluding FMNM).*** St. Johns County will elevate all trash receptacles on posts between "A" Street Ramp and Fort Matanzas Ramp to reduce the potential for AIBM and their predators to congregate on the beach.
8. ***Increased enforcement of existing Conservation Zone (CZ) regulations (defined in Ordinance No. 97-34 and an expansion in the width of the CZ in one region to protect and enhance AIBM and nesting bird habitats).*** St. Johns County will mark and enforce the 15-foot wide CZ on all unincorporated public driving beaches in the Plan Area and a 30-foot wide CZ north of St. Augustine Inlet around Porpoise Point.
9. ***Developing and instituting a training program that must be attended by drivers wishing to obtain a four-wheel drive permit for driving north of Vilano Ramp.*** North Beach Vehicular Access Permits will be granted to qualified drivers on a quarterly cycle each year. The HCP Coordinator will design and conduct a training program for these drivers and training must be completed prior to issuance of a permit. Recurrent training will occur minimally at least once each year.
10. ***Reducing public beach driving along Summer Haven.*** The County will install a locked gate arm just south of the Summer Haven revetment and keys will be provided to local residents only. The County will post signs indicating that the general public has no rights of access on the upland road landward of the primary dune line. Additionally, the County will stabilize the existing roadbed landward of the restored dune with a mix of coquina shell and sand.

Conservation Strategy: Mitigation Measures

In addition to the minimization measures described above, the County will mitigate unavoidable take that might occur as the result of County-authorized beach driving through the following programs.

- 1. *Develop a proactive Beach Lighting Management Program and align the City of St. Augustine Beach's lighting regulations and the County's lighting regulations.*** The City of St. Augustine Beach will amend their Ordinance 96-13 in order to unify their lighting regulations with those of St. Johns County (Ordinance 97-34). St. Johns County will hire a Beach Lighting Officer and assume all monitoring and enforcement responsibilities on both City and County beaches.
- 2. *Developing and instituting a beach horseback riding registration and education program.*** Horseback riding will be restricted to the beach seaward of the most recent high-tide line year-round between sunrise and sunset. Beach horseback riders will receive registration cards, protected species training, and record their activity on logs at kiosks by the beach.
- 3. *Restrict Porpoise Point vehicular access to allow re-establishment of natural dune features.*** St. Johns County will establish a single, marked driving lane from Vilano Ramp to the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point and a single, marked traffic corridor from Porpoise Point Ramp to this driving lane. St. Johns County will monitor and maintain barricades and signage at entrances to previous interdunal driving lanes.
- 4. *Restoring the primary dune along Summer Haven.*** St. Johns County will construct 8,000 linear feet of sand berm (clean fill). This restored dune line will naturally block unauthorized vehicular access routes to the beach proper and serve to minimize vehicles on the beach, reduce light trespass on the beach, and enhance the beach/dune habitat for nesting sea turtles and least terns.

Monitoring

The County will oversee a uniform and consistent sea turtle monitoring program that will help collect the data needed to better quantify current natural and human-related impacts to sea turtles on the County's beaches. This information will be used to direct the County's limited resources toward those programs that are likely to have the greatest conservation value.

Funding

HCP programs and policies will be funded in one-third proportions from the following funding sources: Category III Tourist Development Tax, the General Fund, and Beach Toll Revenue. The St. Johns County Division of Beach Management estimates that total first-year expenses for implementation of the HCP will range between \$251,077 and \$397,290. Total estimated expenses during subsequent years will range between \$208,627 and \$370,740.

Administration of the HCP and ITP

Upon implementation of the HCP, the Division of Beach Habitat Conservation will be created under the Division of Beach Management. The Department of Beach Habitat Conservation will contain at least two new positions, the HCP Coordinator and the Beach Lighting Officer. The purpose of the HCP Coordinator is to provide professional leadership to all aspects of sea turtle and beach mouse management on the County's beaches. The HCP Coordinator will administer the ITP and coordinate sea turtle monitoring activities and related conservation programs in the County. The HCP Coordinator will be supervised by the Supervisor of Beach Management.

Under this HCP, implementation and enforcement of St. Johns County's Beach Lighting Code on the unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County will be managed and coordinated by the Beach Lighting Officer. For Countywide consistency and thoroughness, the Beach Lighting Officer will also assume the responsibilities for implementation and enforcement of the City of St. Augustine Beach's Beach Lighting Code within municipal boundaries upon unification of City and County regulations. The Beach Lighting Officer will be supervised by the HCP Coordinator.

The St. Johns County Division of Beach Management, under the management of the Supervisor of Beach Management, will provide logistical and administrative support for implementation of the HCP. The Supervisor of Beach Management will be responsible for filling the positions of HCP Coordinator and Beach Lighting Officer and for allocating sufficient material and fiscal resources to ensure that these individuals are able to effectively fulfill their responsibilities under the HCP.

Enforcement of the HCP

To provide enhanced enforcement of the St. Johns County Beach Code and HCP programs and policies, the HCP presents two alternatives:

1. Addition of two Deputy Sheriffs; or
2. Addition of four Beach Rangers.

Data will be collected and maintained to document the County's efforts to enforce provisions of the HCP and ITP. This enhanced record-keeping will document all violations of the beach driving rules and regulations.

Compliance Monitoring and Reporting

The County will submit annual reports to the USFWS to review HCP performance and discuss the County's monitoring programs during the first three years that the ITP is in effect and periodically thereafter. Formal revisions to the HCP will occur once every five years after a joint review by the County and USFWS. The HCP is intended to be a dynamic document and have the capacity to respond to changed and unforeseen circumstances. Adjustments to monitoring, minimization, and mitigation programs will be made, as needed, to ensure that the biological goals of the HCP are achieved.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XIV
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	16
1.1. GENERAL OVERVIEW	16
1.2. REGULATORY AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR PLAN.....	16
1.3. PLAN AREA	17
1.3.1. Geographical Setting	17
1.3.2. Boundaries of the Plan Area	17
1.3.2.1. North-South Boundaries.....	18
1.3.2.2. East-West Boundaries.....	18
1.4. SPECIES TO BE COVERED BY PERMIT.....	18
1.5. COUNTY AUTHORITY FOR MANAGING LOCAL BEACHES	19
1.6. CONFLICTS BETWEEN TRADITIONAL BEACH USES AND PROTECTED SPECIES CONSERVATION.....	19
1.6.1. Beach Driving and Protected Species.....	19
1.6.1.1. Historical Perspective on Beach Driving in St. Johns County	20
1.6.1.2. Need for Continued Vehicular Access to the Beach.....	24
1.6.2. Other Activities that Impact Protected Species	24
1.7. GENERAL PURPOSE AND EVOLUTION OF THE PLAN	25
1.8. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.....	26
1.9. DESIRED TERM OF SECTION 10(A)(1)(B) PERMIT.....	26
1.10. REQUESTED INCIDENTAL TAKE	26
1.10.1. Requested Incidental Take of Sea Turtles Causally Related to Vehicular Beach Driving and Associated Activities.....	26
1.10.2. Requested Take of AIBM Causally Related to Vehicular Beach Driving and Associated Activities	29
1.11. BENEFITS OF THE HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN.....	29
1.11.1. Benefits to St. Johns County	29
1.11.2. Benefits to Private Citizens	30
1.11.3. Benefits to Municipalities and Public Parks.....	30
1.11.4. Benefits to Protected Species.....	31
1.11.4.1. Minimization Measures	31
1.11.4.2. Mitigation Measures	32
CHAPTER 2. HUMAN DIMENSION.....	33
2.1. POPULATION.....	33
2.2. LAND USE.....	33
2.2.1. Existing Land Use	33
2.2.2. Publicly Held Lands.....	33
2.2.2.1. Anastasia State Park.....	34
2.2.2.2. Guana River State Park.....	34
2.2.2.3. Fort Matanzas National Monument	34
2.2.3. Future Land Use	34
2.3. ECONOMIC INDICATORS	34

2.3.1. Building Permits.....	34
2.3.2. Property Taxes	35
2.4. <i>ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF BEACHES</i>	35
2.4.1. Tourism, Recreational Opportunities, and Beach Uses	35
2.4.2. Tourism and the Tourist Development Tax	35
2.4.3. Beach Traffic	36
2.4.3.1. <i>Pedestrian Traffic</i>	36
2.4.3.2. <i>Horseback Riding Traffic</i>	36
2.4.3.3. <i>General Public Beach Driving</i>	37
2.4.3.4. <i>General Public Beach Driving at Porpoise Point</i>	38
2.4.3.5. <i>Four-wheel Drive Public Beach Driving by Special Permit Only</i>	39
2.4.3.6. <i>Local Resident Beach Driving in Summer Haven</i>	40
2.4.3.7. <i>Night Driving</i>	42
CHAPTER 3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING AND BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	43
3.1. <i>CLIMATE</i>	43
3.2. <i>TOPOGRAPHY AND HYDROLOGY</i>	43
3.3. <i>COASTAL PROCESSES AND COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS</i>	43
3.3.1. Erosion and the Historical Shoreline	43
3.3.2. Beach Sediment Characteristics	44
3.3.3. Waves and Wind	45
3.3.4. Tides and Currents	45
3.4. <i>MANMADE FEATURES</i>	45
3.4.1. Coastal Armoring.....	46
3.4.2. Beach Nourishment.....	46
3.4.3. Beach Placement from Dredging Activities.....	46
3.4.4. Dune Enhancement and Restoration	47
3.4.5. Future St. Augustine Inlet Management Measures	47
3.5. <i>BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES</i>	48
3.5.1. Natural Communities	48
3.5.1.1. <i>Beach Dune</i>	48
3.5.1.2. <i>Scrub</i>	49
3.5.1.3. <i>Coastal Strand</i>	49
3.5.1.4. <i>Coastal Grassland</i>	50
3.5.1.5. <i>Maritime Hammock</i>	50
3.5.1.6. <i>Estuarine Tidal Marsh</i>	50
3.5.2. Listed Species in the Plan Area.....	51
3.5.2.1. <i>Listed Wildlife Species</i>	53
3.5.2.1.1. <i>Sea Turtles</i>	53
3.5.2.1.1.1. <i>Loggerhead Turtle (Caretta caretta)</i>	53
3.5.2.1.1.1.1. <i>Biological Information on the Loggerhead Turtle</i>	53
3.5.2.1.1.1.2. <i>Site-Specific Information on the Loggerhead Turtle</i>	58
3.5.2.1.1.2. <i>Green Turtle (Chelonia mydas)</i>	59
3.5.2.1.1.2.1. <i>Biological Information on the Green Turtle</i>	59
3.5.2.1.1.2.2. <i>Site-Specific Information on the Green Turtle</i>	60
3.5.2.1.1.3. <i>Leatherback Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea)</i>	60

3.5.2.1.1.3.1. <i>Biological Information on the Leatherback Turtle</i>	60
3.5.2.1.1.3.2. <i>Site-Specific Information on the Leatherback Turtle</i>	61
3.5.2.1.1.4. <i>Hawksbill Turtle (Eretmochelys imbricata)</i>	62
3.5.2.1.1.4.1. <i>Biological Information on the Hawksbill Turtle</i>	62
3.5.2.1.1.4.2. <i>Site-Specific Information on the Hawksbill Turtle</i>	62
3.5.2.1.1.4. <i>Kemp’s Ridley Turtle (Lepidochelys kempii)</i>	62
3.5.2.1.1.4.1. <i>Biological Information on the Kemp’s Ridley Turtle</i>	62
3.5.2.1.1.4.2. <i>Site-Specific Information on the Kemp’s Ridley Turtle</i>	63
3.5.2.1.2. <i>Anastasia Island Beach Mouse (Peromyscus polionotus phasma)</i>	63
3.5.2.1.2.1. <i>Biological Information on the Anastasia Island Beach Mouse (AIBM)</i> ...	63
3.5.2.1.2.2. <i>Site-Specific Information on the Anastasia Island Beach Mouse</i>	69
3.5.2.1.3. <i>American Alligator (Alligator mississippiensis)</i>	72
3.5.2.1.3.1. <i>Biological Information on the American Alligator</i>	72
3.5.2.1.3.2. <i>Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the American Alligator</i>	72
3.5.2.1.4. <i>Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)</i>	73
3.5.2.1.3.1. <i>Biological Information on the Bald Eagle</i>	73
3.5.2.1.3.2. <i>Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the Bald Eagle</i>	73
3.5.2.1.4. <i>Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus)</i>	74
3.5.2.1.4.1. <i>Biological Information on the Piping Plover</i>	74
3.5.2.1.4.2. <i>Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the Piping Plover</i>	74
3.5.2.1.5. <i>Wood Stork (Mycteria americana)</i>	75
3.5.2.1.5.1. <i>Biological Information on the Wood Stork</i>	75
3.5.2.1.5.2. <i>Site-Specific Information on St. Johns County on the Wood Stork</i>	75
3.5.2.1.6. <i>Eastern Indigo Snake (Drymarchon corais couper)</i>	76
3.5.2.1.6.1. <i>Biological Information on the Eastern Indigo Snake</i>	76
3.5.2.1.6.2. <i>Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the Eastern Indigo Snake</i>	76
3.5.2.1.7. <i>Florida Manatee (Trichechus manatus latirostris)</i>	77
3.5.2.1.7.1. <i>Biological Information on the Florida Manatee</i>	77
3.5.2.1.7.2. <i>Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the West Indian Manatee</i>	77
3.5.2.1.8. <i>Other Marine Mammals</i>	78
3.5.2.1.8.1. <i>Biological Information on Other Marine Mammals</i>	78
3.5.2.1.8.1. <i>Site-specific Information on Other Marine Mammals</i>	79
3.5.2.1.10. <i>State-Listed Species in the Plan Area</i>	79
3.5.2.1.10.1. <i>Gopher Tortoise (Gopherus polyphemus)</i>	79
3.5.2.1.10.2. <i>Florida Pine Snake (Pituophis melanoleucus mugitus)</i>	80
3.5.2.1.10.3. <i>Wading Birds</i>	80
3.5.2.1.10.4. <i>Arctic Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus tundrius)</i>	80
3.5.2.1.10.5. <i>American Oystercatcher (Haematopus palliatus)</i>	81
3.5.2.1.10.6. <i>Brown Pelican (Pelecanus occidentalis)</i>	81
3.5.2.1.10.7. <i>Black Skimmer (Rynchops niger)</i>	81
3.5.2.1.10.8. <i>Least Tern (Sterna antillarum)</i>	82
3.5.2.1.10.9. <i>Common Snook (Centropomus undecimalis)</i>	82
3.5.2.1.11. <i>Other Rare Species of Wildlife</i>	83

3.5.2.2. <i>Listed Plant Species</i>	83
3.5.2.2.1. <i>State-Listed Species in the Plan Area</i>	83
3.5.2.2.1.1. <i>Sand-dune Spurge (Chamaesyce cumulicola)</i>	83
3.5.2.2.1.2. <i>Coastal Vervain (Glandularia maritima)</i>	83
3.5.2.2.1.3. <i>Crested Coralroot (Hexalectris spicata)</i>	84
3.5.2.2.1.4. <i>Angle Pod (Matelea gonocarpa)</i>	84
3.5.2.2.1.5. <i>Pygmy-pipes (Monotropis odorata)</i>	84
3.5.2.2.1.6. <i>Prickly Pear Cactus (Opuntia stricta)</i>	84
3.5.2.2.1.7. <i>Giant Orchid (Pteroglossapsis ecristata)</i>	84
3.5.2.2.2. <i>Other Rare Species of Plants</i>	84
3.5.2.2.2.1. <i>Green-fly Orchid (Encyclia conopseum)</i>	85
3.5.2.2.2.2. <i>Cinnamon Fern (Osmunda cinnamomea) and Royal Fern (Osmunda regalis)</i>	85
CHAPTER 4. FACTORS AFFECTING LISTED SPECIES IN PLAN AREA	86
4.1. MONITORING PROGRAMS IN ST. JOHNS COUNTY	86
4.1.1. Sea Turtle Nest Monitoring Program in St. Johns County	86
4.1.2. AIBM Monitoring Programs in St. Johns County	87
4.2. NATURAL EVENTS	88
4.2.1. Natural Events Affecting Sea Turtles in Plan Area	88
4.2.1.1. <i>Depredation</i>	88
4.2.1.2. <i>Tidal Inundation</i>	89
4.2.1.2.1. <i>Post-hatchling Washback Sea Turtles</i>	91
4.2.1.3. <i>Ephemeral Escarpments and Other Natural Obstructions</i>	91
4.2.2. Natural Events Affecting AIBM in Plan Area	92
4.2.2.1. <i>Natural Predation</i>	92
4.2.2.2. <i>Competition</i>	93
4.2.2.3. <i>Escarpments, Tidal Inundation, and Storm Overwash</i>	94
4.3. HUMAN BEACH ACTIVITIES	94
4.3.1. Effects of Human Beach Activities on Sea Turtles in Plan Area	94
4.3.1.1. <i>Beach Visitors</i>	94
4.3.1.2. <i>Recreational Beach Equipment</i>	95
4.3.1.3. <i>Special Beach Events</i>	96
4.3.1.4. <i>Commercial and Recreational Fishing</i>	97
4.3.2. Effects of Human Beach Activities on AIBM in Plan Area	99
4.3.2.1. <i>Beach Visitors</i>	99
4.3.2.2. <i>Recreational Beach Equipment and Special Beach Events</i>	99
4.3.2.3. <i>Commercial and Recreational Fishing</i>	100
4.4. HORSEBACK RIDING ON BEACH	100
4.4.1. Effects of Beach Horseback Riding on Sea Turtles	101
4.4.2. Effects of Beach Horseback Riding on AIBM	101
4.5. BEACH MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	101
4.5.1. Law Enforcement, Fire Rescue, and Ambulances	101
4.5.2. Lifeguards and Lifeguard Towers	102
4.5.3. Traffic Control	103
4.5.4. Conservation Zone	105
4.5.5. Traffic Barricades	106

4.5.6. Tow Trucks.....	106
4.5.7. Bicycles and Mopeds.....	107
4.5.8. Unauthorized Vehicles.....	107
4.5.9. Noise	108
4.5.10. Portable Toilets	108
4.5.11. Trash Collection and Beach Maintenance.....	108
4.5.12. Ramp Grading.....	109
4.5.13. Effects of Beach Management Activities on Sea Turtles in Plan Area	110
4.5.13.1. Law Enforcement and Fire Rescue.....	110
4.5.13.2. Lifeguard Towers	110
4.5.13.3. Traffic Control	110
4.5.13.4. Conservation Zone	111
4.5.13.5. Traffic Barricades.....	111
4.5.13.6. Tow Trucks.....	111
4.5.13.7. Bicycles and Mopeds.....	111
4.5.13.8. Unauthorized Vehicles.....	111
4.5.13.9. Noise	111
4.5.13.10. Portable Toilets	112
4.5.13.11. Trash Collection and Beach Maintenance	112
4.5.13.12. Ramp Grading.....	112
4.5.14. Effects of Beach Management Activities on AIBM in Plan Area.....	112
4.5.14.1. Trash Collection and Beach Maintenance	112
4.6. SHORELINE PROTECTION.....	113
4.6.1. Effects of Shoreline Protection on Sea Turtles in Plan Area	113
4.6.1.1. Coastal Armoring.....	113
4.6.1.2. Sand Fences	114
4.6.1.3. Dune Restoration, Dredged Material Beach Disposal, and Beach Renourishment.....	114
4.6.2. Effects of Shoreline Protection on AIBM in Plan Area.....	116
4.7. ARTIFICIAL LIGHTING.....	116
4.7.1. Effects of Artificial Lighting on Sea Turtles in Plan Area	116
4.7.2. Effects of Artificial Lighting on AIBM in Plan Area.....	118
4.8. EMERGENCY RESPONSES TO STORMS	119
4.8.1. Effects of Emergency Responses to Storms on Sea Turtles	119
4.8.2. Effects of Emergency Responses to Storms on AIBM.....	119
4.9. COASTAL DEVELOPMENT & CONSTRUCTION.....	119
4.9.1. Effects of Coastal Development & Construction on Sea Turtles.....	119
4.9.2. Effects of Coastal Development & Construction on AIBM	120
4.10. STORMWATER OUTFALLS.....	120
4.10.1. Effects of Stormwater Outfalls on Sea Turtles.....	120
4.10.2. Effects of Stormwater Outfalls on AIBM	121
4.11. FERAL & FREE-ROAMING CATS & DOGS	121
4.11.1. Effects of Feral & Free-roaming Cats & Dogs on Sea Turtles	122
4.11.2. Effects of Feral & Free-roaming Cats & Dogs on AIBM.....	122
4.12. VEHICLES ON THE BEACH.....	123
4.12.1. Effects of Vehicles on Sea Turtles.....	123

4.12.1.1. <i>Direct Impacts of Vehicles on Sea Turtles</i>	123
4.12.1.2. <i>Indirect Impacts of Vehicles on Sea Turtles</i>	123
4.12.1.2.1. <i>Sediment Compaction</i>	123
4.12.1.2.2. <i>Vehicle Ruts</i>	124
4.12.1.2.3. <i>Contaminants</i>	124
4.12.2. <i>Effects of Vehicles on AIBM</i>	124
4.13. <i>FACTORS AFFECTING BIRDS IN PLAN AREA</i>	126
4.13.1. <i>Nesting Birds</i>	126
4.13.2. <i>Resting and Feeding Birds</i>	127
4.14. <i>ACTIVITIES OVER WHICH ST. JOHNS COUNTY HAS AUTHORITY</i>	127
4.15. <i>ACTIVITIES COVERED BY THE INCIDENTAL TAKE PERMIT</i>	128
CHAPTER 5. TAKE ASSESSMENT	129
5.1. <i>INCIDENTAL TAKE ASSESSMENT: SEA TURTLES</i>	129
5.2. <i>INCIDENTAL TAKE ASSESSMENT: ANASTASIA ISLAND BEACH MICE</i>	130
5.3. <i>CUMULATIVE IMPACTS</i>	130
5.4. <i>SECONDARY IMPACTS</i>	130
CHAPTER 6. ALTERNATIVES	131
6.1. <i>ALTERNATIVE #1 (NO ACTION)</i>	132
6.2. <i>ALTERNATIVE #2 (PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE)</i>	132
6.3. <i>ALTERNATIVE #3</i>	133
6.4. <i>ALTERNATIVE #4</i>	134
6.5. <i>OTHER ALTERNATIVES</i>	134
CHAPTER 7. CONSERVATION STRATEGY: MEASURES TO MINIMIZE AND MITIGATE IMPACTS	136
7.1. <i>BIOLOGICAL GOALS</i>	136
7.2. <i>MEASURES TO MINIMIZE IMPACTS</i>	137
7.3. <i>MEASURES TO MITIGATE UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS</i>	150
CHAPTER 8. FUNDING	155
8.1. <i>FUNDING FOR MINIMIZATION AND MITIGATION MEASURES</i>	155
8.1.1. <i>Category III Tourist Development Tax</i>	155
8.1.2. <i>General Fund</i>	156
8.1.3. <i>Beach Toll Revenue</i>	156
8.1.4. <i>Additional Funding Sources</i>	156
CHAPTER 9. PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	158
9.1. <i>ADMINISTRATION OF THE HCP AND ITP</i>	158
9.2. <i>DIVISION OF BEACH HABITAT CONSERVATION</i>	158
9.3. <i>HCP COORDINATOR</i>	158
9.4. <i>BEACH LIGHTING OFFICER</i>	162
9.5. <i>PRINCIPAL PERMIT HOLDERS</i>	163
9.6. <i>ST. JOHNS COUNTY DIVISION OF BEACH MANAGEMENT</i>	163
9.7. <i>ST. JOHNS COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL SECTION WITHIN THE PLANNING DIVISION</i>	163

9.8. <i>ST. JOHNS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE</i>	164
9.9. <i>ST. JOHNS COUNTY ADMINISTRATION AND OFFICE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS</i>	164
9.10. <i>ST. JOHNS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT</i>	164
9.11. <i>CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE BEACH POLICE DEPARTMENT</i>	165
9.12. <i>COORDINATION WITH REGULATORY AGENCIES AND STATE AND FEDERAL PARK SERVICES</i>	165
9.13. <i>IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE</i>	165
9.14. <i>CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES AND CONTINGENCY PLANS</i>	165
9.14.1. Delisting and/or Listing of New Species.....	166
9.14.2. Reopening of Public Beach Driving through any State Park or Preserve.....	166
9.14.3. Local residents in Summer Haven must drive on dunes/beach to access their homes.....	166
9.14.4. Changes to the HCP and ITP.....	166
9.14.5. Change of Authority	166
9.14.6. Non-Compliance.....	167
9.15. <i>UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES</i>	167
9.16. <i>COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND REPORTING</i>	168
9.16.1. Sea Turtle Data Analysis and Reporting	168
9.16.2. HCP Performance Information	168
9.16.3. Enforcement	169
CHAPTER 10. GLOSSARY	170
CHAPTER 11. REFERENCES	177
CHAPTER 12. APPENDICES	199

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIBM	Anastasia Island beach mouse
ASP	Anastasia State Park
ATM	Applied Technology Management
ATV	All Terrain Vehicle
BEBR	Bureau of Economic Business Research
CCCL	Coastal Construction Control Line
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora
CZ	Conservation Zone
DACS	Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOR	State of Florida Department of Revenue
EA	Environmental Assessment
EAI	Ecological Associates, Inc.
EDC	Economic Development Council
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ESA	Endangered Species Act
F	Fahrenheit
FCREPA	Florida Committee on Rare and Endangered Plants and Animals
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FDOR	Florida Department of Revenue
FGDL	Florida Geographic Data Library
FMNM	Fort Matanzas National Monument
FNAI	Florida Natural Areas Inventory
FRED	Florida Research & Economic Database
FS	Florida Statutes
FWC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
GPS	Global Positioning System
GRMAP	Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve
GRSP	Guana River State Park
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HOI	Hatchling Orientation Index
ITP	Incidental Take Permit
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature (World Conservation Union)
LPDC	Louisiana Population Data Center
MHW	Mean High Water
MLW	Mean Low Water
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	National Ocean Service
NPS	National Park Service
NRC	National Research Council
PPH	Principal Permit Holder

PSA	Public Service Assistant
PVA	Population Viability Analysis
SJCPAO	St. Johns County Property Appraiser's Office
SSC	Species of Special Concern
TDC	St. Johns County Tourist Development Council
TEWG	Turtle Expert Working Group
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USDC	United States District Court
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND***1.1. GENERAL OVERVIEW***

The County of St. Johns, Florida, has applied to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA or the Act), as amended. If issued, the ITP will authorize the incidental take of federally listed sea turtles and Anastasia Island beach mice (AIBM) on selected Atlantic coast beaches of St. Johns County (hereafter referred to as the County) causally related to vehicular driving and associated activities. This Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP or Plan) has been developed in support of the County's ITP application.

1.2. REGULATORY AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR PLAN

The ESA (Public Law 93-205) went into force on December 28, 1973 and is considered by some as the United States' strongest legal tool for conserving biodiversity (Rohlf 1991). The stated purposes of this Act are "to provide a means whereby the ecosystems upon which endangered species and threatened species depend may be conserved, to provide a program for the conservation of such endangered species and threatened species..." (ESA section 2(b)). The ESA seeks to protect both listed species and the habitats upon which they depend.

Section 9 of the ESA prohibits any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States to "take" endangered or threatened species (fish or wildlife listed in section 9(a)(1), and plants listed in section 9(a)(2)). The Act defines the term "take" as "to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct (ESA section 3(18)). Under Title 50 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), *harm* is defined as "an act which actually kills or injures fish or wildlife. Such an act might include significant habitat modification or degradation which actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, feeding or sheltering" (50 CFR Part 222.102, Federal Register Vol. 64, No. 215, November 8, 1999). "Harassment" is defined as "an intentional or negligent act or omission which creates the likelihood of injury to wildlife by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering" (50 CFR 17.3). Thus, both direct and indirect impacts, such as modification of habitat, constitute take under the ESA.

The 1982 Amendments to the ESA allow for the "incidental take" of endangered and threatened species of wildlife by non-Federal entities (ESA as amended, 16 USC 1513-1543). The term "incidental take" is defined in section 10 of the ESA as "any taking otherwise prohibited by section 9(a)(1)(B) if such taking is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity" (section 10(a)(1)(B)). In order to apply for an ITP, the ESA requires that the applicant submit a "conservation plan" as an accompanying document. This Habitat Conservation Plan fulfills that requirement and supports St. Johns County's application for an ITP.

1.3. PLAN AREA

1.3.1. Geographical Setting

St. Johns County is located on the northeast coast of Florida, approximately midway between Cape Canaveral and the Florida-Georgia State Line. It is bounded on the north by Duval County and on the south by Flagler County (Figure 1-1). The County's coastline is comprised of a series of three barrier islands. Separated from the mainland only by the Intracoastal Waterway, the northern barrier island stretches approximately 52 km (32 mi) from the St. Johns River (north of Jacksonville in Duval County) to the St. Augustine Inlet. This northern barrier island stretches for 38.9 km (24.0 mi) in St. Johns County. The middle barrier island, Anastasia Island, is completely contained within St. Johns County and extends about 24.8 km (15.4 mi) from the St. Augustine Inlet south to the Matanzas Inlet. The southernmost barrier island stretches about 81 km (50 mi) from Matanzas Inlet south to Ponce de Leon Inlet in Volusia County, and only 4.1 km (2.6 mi) of this island falls within St. Johns County.

A vast majority of St. Johns County's coastline consists of sandy beaches, with the exception of two inlets and two major regions of oceanfront armoring. For about 5,050 ft along St. Augustine Beach and 2,300 feet along Summer Haven, the ocean tides regularly meet a rock revetment.

From the north to south, constituent municipalities fronting the County's beaches include the City of St. Augustine, the City of St. Augustine Beach, and the northern section of the Town of Marineland (Figure 1-1). Unincorporated areas include Ponte Vedra Beach, Vilano Beach, and Crescent Beach. Large portions of the oceanfront are publicly held lands within Guana River State Park (GRSP) and Anastasia State Park (ASP), both managed by the State government. Another smaller portion of oceanfront public lands is managed by the Federal government at Fort Matanzas National Monument (FMNM).

1.3.2. Boundaries of the Plan Area

The *Plan Area* represents the area for which incidental take has been requested under the ITP (Figures 1-2a and 1-2b). The beaches herein described are included in the boundaries of the Plan Area only for the limited purpose of obtaining an Incidental Take Permit. The Plan Area consists of approximately 2,400 acres of oceanfront land and includes 41.1 miles of beaches along the 42.0-mile coastline in the County (Table 1-1). This includes the beaches in GRSP, ASP, and the beaches within the municipalities of St. Augustine, St. Augustine Beach, and Marineland. Even though the County does not exercise regulatory authority in the State parks nor municipalities, these areas are included in the Plan Area, because the County performs beach services and operates safety and/or emergency vehicles in these zones. Through such activities, the County might impact protected species that occur there.

Within the Plan Area, the County Beaches include those beaches over which St. Johns County exercises sole beach management and regulatory authority. The County Beaches include all beaches, except those managed by the State and Federal parks and the City of St. Augustine, City of St. Augustine Beach, and the Town of Marineland. County Beaches

consist of 28.6mi of sandy beaches encompassing about 1,440 acres (Table 1-1). 1.3.2.1. North-South Boundaries

The Plan Area is bounded on the north by the St. Johns/Duval County Line and stretches south to the northern border of FMNM (the side north of Fort Matanzas Ramp) The southern section of the Plan Area extends from the south side of Matanzas Inlet to the St. Johns/Flagler County Line (Figures 1-2a and 1-2b).

1.3.2.2. East-West Boundaries

The eastern limit of the Plan Area is the Mean Low Water (MLW) line of the Atlantic Ocean (Figures 1-2a and 1-2b). Even though State jurisdiction officially begins at the Mean High Water (MHW) line and stretches seaward to 3 mi offshore, the area landward of the MLW line functionally defines that portion of the beach where driving might occur.

The western boundary of the Plan Area follows the Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL) (Figures 1-2a and 1-2b), as defined in the Florida Beach and Shore Preservation Act of 1998, pursuant to Part I of Chapter 161, Florida Statutes. The CCCL “defines the portion of the beach and dune system subject to severe fluctuations based on a 100-year storm event and establishes the landward limit of jurisdiction of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) along sandy beaches of the State which front on the Gulf of Mexico, Atlantic Ocean, and the Straits of Florida” (FDEP 2001). FDEP uses the following process to establish the control line: “Historical weather data, including past hurricanes, which have impacted the area under study, tide cycles, offshore bathymetry, erosion trends, upland topography, and existing vegetation and structures are evaluated using appropriate engineering predictive models and scientific principles to determine the upland limits of a one-hundred-year coastal storm” (FDEP 2001).

1.4. SPECIES TO BE COVERED BY PERMIT

Sea turtles and AIBM, federally protected species, might be impacted by public vehicular access to the beach. Although several species of federally listed birds, such as the bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), and wood stork (*Mycteria americana*) occasionally utilize the Plan Area for foraging and/or loafing, no impacts are expected and therefore incidental take is not requested. The same situation exists for the eastern indigo snake (*Dymarchon corais couperi*). Small numbers of eastern indigo snakes have been occasionally sighted within or near the Plan Area. Incidental take of eastern indigo snakes due to impacts from County-authorized beach driving is not expected; therefore incidental take is not requested.

Accordingly, incidental take is requested for the following species:

- loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*),
- green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*),
- leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*),
- hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*),
- Kemp’s ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*), and

- Anastasia Island beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus phasma*).

1.5. COUNTY AUTHORITY FOR MANAGING LOCAL BEACHES

The general powers of authority to regulate the beaches and shores of St. Johns County falls upon the Board of County Commissioners, as outlined in the Beach and Shore Preservation Act of 1998, section 161.36, Florida Statutes. The following regulations and codes detail the specifics of coastal management in the County:

- The St. Johns County Comprehensive Plan, Conservation/Coastal Management Element, as adopted May 10, 2000 (sets goals and policies for coastal management),
- St. Johns County Land Development Code Article 4 (June 12, 2001), section 4.01.08: Environmentally Sensitive Area, Threatened or Endangered Species and Species of Special Concern (protection of marine turtles),
- St. Johns County Code (Ordinance No. 98-70):
- Chapter 4. Animals and Fowl. (leash laws for cats and dogs),
- Chapter 5. Beaches. Article II. Beach Code. (Ordinance 97-34; outlines policies and procedures for beach activities),
- St. Johns County Ordinance 96-48 (defines a Conservation Zone and prohibits night driving during the sea turtle nesting season except for a period of non-enforcement on the night of July 4-5),
- St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33 (beach lighting code),
- St. Johns County Ordinance 2001-5 (restrictions on horseback riding),
- Special Use Permit Number 5260-9500-009 granted to St. Johns County by the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Castillo de San Marcos and Fort Matanzas National Monuments (to place County tollbooth at Matanzas Ramp), and
- Interlocal Agreements between St. Johns County and the City of St. Augustine Beach (regulation of beach traffic, road maintenance, and beach pass revenues).

1.6. CONFLICTS BETWEEN TRADITIONAL BEACH USES AND PROTECTED SPECIES CONSERVATION

1.6.1. Beach Driving and Protected Species

St. Johns County's beaches are utilized by a number of State- and federally protected species, the most conspicuous of which are sea turtles. Each year between May and September, several species of threatened or endangered sea turtles come ashore on local beaches and collectively deposit several hundred nests.

Vehicular activities on the beach have the potential to impact adult, hatchling, live stranded, and/or live post-hatchling washback sea turtles, as well as sea turtle nests, a taking prohibited under the Act. As long as vehicles continue to operate within the Plan Area, there will be a potential for impacting adult sea turtles, their eggs, and/or offspring during the nesting season. The nesting season, the inclusive period during which adult turtles are coming ashore to nest and hatchling sea turtles are emerging from their nests to enter the sea, is established by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) and is based on long-term Statewide data. FWC defines the nesting season in St. Johns County as May 1 through October 31. Likewise, St.

Johns County Ordinance No. 97-34 section 7.03 defines the nesting season of sea turtles as May 1 through October 31. The beachfront municipality of St. Augustine Beach identifies the nesting season as the period from June 1 through September 30 of each year (St. Augustine Beach Code of Ordinances Chapter 5, Article I, section 5-15).

1.6.1.1. Historical Perspective on Beach Driving in St. Johns County

Historically, public beach driving occurred along the entire coastline of St. Johns County. “In the past, homemade motor vehicles called ‘skeeters’ were built with a lightweight chassis and over-sized wheels. They were used for driving on both the hard and soft areas of the beaches, as well as illegally in the dunes” (State of Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

The following is a timeline that describes the evolution of jurisdiction over vehicular traffic and the chronological changes regarding public beach driving on the Atlantic Ocean beaches of St. Johns County.

1941. “In 1941, the Florida Legislature declared the Atlantic Ocean beach within St. Johns County seaward of the mean high water line to be a public highway under the jurisdiction and control of St. Johns County, subject to the right of the public to use the beach for bathing and recreation. Chapter 21543, Laws of Florida (1941)” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1949. “In 1949, the Legislature limited the County’s jurisdiction by prohibiting the operation of motor vehicles on the northerly two and a half miles of the beach referred to in Chapter 21543, Laws of Florida (1941). Chapter 26196, Laws of Florida (1949)” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1961. “In 1961, the Legislature further limited the County’s jurisdiction by prohibiting the operation of motor vehicles on the southerly four miles of the northerly six and a half miles of the beaches referred to in Chapter 21543, Laws of Florida (1941). Chapter 61-2744, Laws of Florida (1961)” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993). This extended the beach driving prohibition to just south of the current Mickler’s Landing Beach Access in Ponte Vedra Beach.

1965. “In 1965, the Legislature specified that the County only had jurisdiction to “supervise, regulate, prohibit, and permit the operation of” motor vehicles on the beaches within the county limits over which the county had jurisdiction. Chapter 65-2178, Laws of Florida (1965)” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

“These earlier special acts dealing with vehicular traffic on the coastal beaches of St. Johns County must be read in *pari materia* with more recent legislative pronouncements on the same subject. Specifically, more recent legislative acts addressing the entire subject, only some of which was addressed in earlier enactments, take precedence over the older legislation. See *Alvarez v. Board of Trustees of the City Pension Fund for Fire Fighters and Police Officers in the City of Tampa*, 580 S. 2d 151 (Fla. 1991). It is assumed that the more recent laws were

enacted with full knowledge of the older ones. *Oldham v. Rooks*, 361 So. 2d 140 (Fla. 1978)” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1982. The National Park Service (NPS) developed a draft General Management Plan for Fort Matanzas National Monument (NPS 1982). This draft Plan acknowledged that driving was occurring on the beach fronting the Park. The NPS has arranged for continued public vehicular access to the beaches through a NPS Special Use Permit No. 5260-9500-009 that is valid from March 1, 2001 until February 28, 2006. This permit, which was granted to the County, specifically authorized, “parking a mobile beach toll booth and collecting beach parking tolls”. The permit also authorized the, “installation of a portable toilet in the same vicinity”.

1984. Land acquisitions for Guana River State Park began in 1984 (FDEP 1999).

1985. “In 1985, the Legislature enacted the Coastal Zone Protection Act, which recognized the importance of beach ecology and the need to control and manage beaches in order to preserve their unique features. See Sections 161.52-161.58, Fla. Stat. (1991)” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1985. “Also in 1985, the Legislature designated the Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve for inclusion in the Florida Aquatic Preserve Act of 1975. Section 258.394, Fla. Stat. (1991).” “The FDEP’s Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP) is a state agency charged with the responsibility of managing Florida’s state parks under Chapter 258, Fla. Stat. (1991), and the rules promulgated under that law” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1988. Guana River State Park was leased to the State of Florida, Department of Natural Resources, Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP) (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1990. The management plan for Guana River State Park was approved by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund on August 14, 1990. This management plan prohibited beach driving through the contiguous 4.2-mile stretch of Guana River State Park (based on F.A.C. Rule 16D-2.002(4)-(5)). Because there were no vehicular access ramps north of the park, this effectively prohibited beach driving in the area (about 2 miles) from the northern boundary of Guana River State Park to the boundary in Ponte Vedra Beach established by Chapter 61-2744, Laws of Florida (1961).

1991. “Section 161.58(1), Fla. Stat. (1991), prohibits ‘vehicular traffic...on the dunes or native stabilizing vegetation of the dune system of coastal beaches,’ except that which is necessary for cleanup, repair, or public safety, ‘and except for traffic upon authorized local or state dune crossovers.’ Section 161.58(2), Fla. Stat. (1991), provides:

Vehicular traffic, except that which is necessary for cleanup, repair, or public safety, or for the purpose of maintaining existing licensed and permitted traditional commercial fishing activities or existing authorized public accessways, is prohibited on coastal beaches except where a local

government with jurisdiction over a coastal beach or portions of a coastal beach has:

- (a) Authorized such traffic, by at least a three-fifths vote of its governing body, on all or portions of the beaches under its jurisdiction prior to the effective date of this act; and
- (b) Determined, by October 1, 1989, in accordance with the rules of the department, that less than 50 percent of the peak user demand for off-beach parking is available. However, the requirements and department rulemaking authority provided in this paragraph shall not apply to counties that have adopted, prior to January 1, 1988, unified countywide beach regulations pursuant to a county home rule charter” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

St. Johns County proved compliance with the terms of above paragraphs (a) and (b) of Section 161.58(2) of Florida Statutes (1991) (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1991. Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve Management Plan was approved by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund on December 17, 1991 (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993). This management plan prohibited the driving of motorized vehicles on the Atlantic Ocean beaches within the Preserve (however, this policy was not enforced until 1993).

1993. “The DRP was reassigned to the new Department of Environmental Protection in 1993. Chapter 93-213, Laws of Florida (1993)” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

Jun 1993. FDEP announced that they would no longer permit the driving of motor vehicles on the Atlantic Ocean beaches within Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve. On June 11, 1993, the DEP’s DRP sent a letter to the St. Johns County Commission requesting that the County “assist the Department in protecting these valuable natural resources by helping get the word out that driving will no longer be allowed within this area” (based on the Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve Management Plan of 1991) (Patchett *unpublished letter* 1993).

Jul 1993. On July 8, 1993, St. Johns County began challenging the FDEP’s decision to prohibit vehicular access within Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

Aug 1993. “On or about August 18, 1993, the DEP agreed not to enforce the beach driving prohibition in the [Guana River Marsh Aquatic] Preserve” until the court cases were resolved (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

Nov 1993. On November 30, 1993, the Agency Final Order from the Hearing Officer upheld FDEP’s decision to ban public vehicular access to the beaches within Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993).

1997. On June 24, 1997, the St. Johns County Board of County Commissioners passed Ordinance No. 97-34 outlining that beach traffic was restricted to: “(1) A single lane of southbound traffic and a single lane of northbound traffic between the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point to the southern boundary of Guana State Preserve; (2) A single lane of southbound traffic from Ocean Trace Road to Crescent Beach Ramp; (3) A single lane of southbound traffic and a single lane of northbound traffic between the Crescent Beach Ramp and the Fort Matanzas Ramp.” This ordinance only applies to the unincorporated areas of the County and did not address beach driving within the State parks, Federal park, or municipalities (at this time, public beach driving was occurring along Anastasia State Park, Fort Matanzas National Monument, and the City of St. Augustine Beach).

“When construing a statute, the mention of one thing in the statute implies the exclusion of things not mentioned. (*Expressio unius est exclusion alterius.*) *Thayer v. State*, 335 So. 2d 815 (Fla. 1976); *Devin v. City of Hollywood*, 351 So. 2d 1022 (Fla. 1976).” (Florida Division of Administrative Hearings 1993). Applying this rule, it can be concluded that the explicit authorization of beach driving in the unincorporated areas between (1) the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point to the southern boundary of Guana State Preserve, (2) Ocean Trace Road to Crescent Beach Ramp, and (3) Crescent Beach Ramp to the Fort Matanzas Ramp (Ordinance 97-34) was intended to prohibit public beach driving in all other unincorporated areas.

1997. The St. Augustine Beach Board of City Commissioners passed Ordinance No. 97-23, which states, “Sec. 5-7(a). It is unlawful for any person to operate a motor vehicle upon any portion of the beach bordering the Atlantic Ocean between the north right-of-way line of the ‘A’ Street ramp extended easterly to the Atlantic Ocean and the northerly limit of the city [the southern boundary of Anastasia State Park]. Vehicular traffic is authorized on the coastal beach with the exception of the portion of the coastal beach lying to the north of the ‘A’ Street ramp.”

1999. In August 1999, FDEP discontinued public driving within the boundaries of Anastasia State Park in response to human safety and environmental concerns.

1999. After Hurricane Floyd, the St. Johns County Division of Beach Management temporarily closed the Surfside Beach Ramp (this ramp has remained closed through 2002) (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

2000. On May 15, 2000, the St. Johns County Board of County Commissioners reduced public vehicular access to the beaches between the southern boundary of Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve and the north side of Vilano Beach Ramp. In this area, beach driving has since been authorized only to those citizens with an appropriate four-wheel drive vehicle and a special North Beach Vehicular Access Permit.

2000. Due to erosion, St. Augustine Beach and St. Johns County have cooperatively (and temporarily) prohibited vehicular beach access through the “A” Street Ramp in St. Augustine Beach.

Before the HCP, two major sections of beach driving existed in St. Johns County (addressed in detail in section 2.4.3. of this HCP). Public beach driving was occurring along the section of beach from the southern boundary of Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve around to the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point at St. Augustine Inlet. Additionally, the general public could drive on the beach between “A” Street Ramp and Matanzas Beach access ramp.

1.6.1.2. Need for Continued Vehicular Access to the Beach

The Conservation/Coastal Management Element of the St. Johns County Comprehensive Plan (section E.1., as adopted on May 10, 2000 by Ordinance No. 2000-34) charges the County to “manage, use, conserve, protect, and enhance coastal resources, along with protecting human life from natural disasters.” The County’s Comprehensive Plan also mandates that “the County will maintain, improve, and increase public beach and waterway access through acquisition and other land use controls. At least one existing and/or new public beach and waterways access ways shall be improved and/or created per year beginning with the adoption of this plan amendment (Objective E.1.1 Public Beach Access).” Additionally, section 7.1.1.4. of the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Amendment (May 10, 2000) dictates that, “The County shall not vacate existing easements, walkways, and other access points to beaches, and waterways without equivalent or greater mitigation.”

One of the requisite elements for ensuring public access to the beach is accommodation for parking. Historically, beach users traveling to County Beaches by car simply parked on the beach. Insofar as the beach remains accessible to vehicles, there has been adequate parking, even during the busiest holiday periods (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Because of this traditional and lawful activity, few accommodations have been made for off-beach parking. Although the County is aggressively pursuing acquisition of new off-beach parking locations, development along the coastline and high costs for undeveloped property currently limit available options. For these reasons, the County seeks to maintain continued vehicular access to its beaches to ensure public access.

1.6.2. Other Activities that Impact Protected Species

In addition to beach driving, there are a variety of other human impacts to sea turtles on St. Johns County’s beaches. These include: public and private beachfront lighting; special events; human presence on the beach at night; destruction of dunes by pedestrian traffic and horseback riding; trash and objects on the beach; coastal development and construction; seawalls, revetments, and other armoring structures; and other types of erosion control measures (e.g., beach nourishment, dune restoration, sand fencing, inlet sand bypassing, and in-water structures, etc.) (NMFS and USFWS 1991a, 1991b, 1992, 1993, USFWS AND NMFS 1992).

Additionally, a variety of other activities affect AIBM along St. Johns County. Such activities include uncontrolled public access, horseback riding, feral and free-roaming cats, coastal development and construction, shoreline protection, artificial lighting, emergency responses to storms and other unusual occurrences, special beach events, stormwater outfalls, contaminants and trash, and other beach management activities (USFWS 1993).

Consequently, St. Johns County recognized the need for coordinating beach activities in a manner that maintains public use, while minimizing negative impacts to the natural beach/dune environment and the protected species that depend on its health.

1.7. GENERAL PURPOSE AND EVOLUTION OF THE PLAN

The beaches of St. Johns County are recognized as important nesting habitat for sea turtles (Meylan *et al.* 1995). Using best available data, an average of 267.5 loggerhead, 8.3 green, and 1.3 leatherback nests were deposited each year between 1996 and 2001 along the 42.0 mi of the County's coastline (FWC unpublished data 2001a; section 3.5.2.1.1.). Additionally, these same beaches support the only known population of the endemic and highly endangered AIBM (USFWS 1993). These beach mice inhabit the dunes of St. Johns County and nowhere else in the world.

The County is seeking an ITP, because take of sea turtles and AIBM might occur as a result of public vehicular driving on the beach and activities related to public vehicular driving initiated under the County's authorization.

The purpose of this HCP is to develop a framework for providing continued public access to the County's beaches through the authorization of driving and parking on the beach, in a manner and extent compatible with the protection of sea turtles and AIBM. To that end, this document presents the following:

- A description of the goals, objectives, and benefits of the HCP;
- A description of the social, economic, and environmental conditions within the Plan Area;
- General and site-specific biological information related to protected flora and fauna within the Plan Area;
- A discussion of natural factors and human activities potentially affecting sea turtles and AIBM within the Plan Area;
- Descriptions of recorded historical and anticipated future take that has or might occur from County-authorized beach driving and related activities;
- A description of the programs, policies, and procedures that the County will implement to minimize the potential for take;
- A description of measures that the County will implement to mitigate take that is unavoidable despite the minimization measures;
- A detailed HCP budget and a commitment to funding the Plan;
- A description of Plan administration and implementation;
- Methods for addressing changed and unforeseen circumstances during the period when the ITP is in effect; and
- A mechanism for assessing HCP performance through compliance monitoring.

1.8. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The primary goal of this HCP is to develop a comprehensive plan to protect federally endangered sea turtles and AIBM and other federally and State-listed species of wild flora and fauna within the Plan Area over the next 20 years while preserving public access to the beach. To achieve this goal, the HCP has established the following objectives:

- Embrace Federal, State, County, and municipal laws and regulations pertaining to the conservation of protected species on St. Johns County's beaches;
- Establish a plan that will effectively and efficiently manage vehicular access to the beach for the various County departments, contractors, private citizens, and State and Federal agencies that drive on the beach; and
- Create a plan that will continue to allow the public to drive and park on authorized sections of the beach in a manner that will sustain the social, recreational, cultural, economic, and environmental values of the beach.

This HCP is designed as a dynamic document. It is structured to permit adaptive changes in response to new information derived from monitoring programs. Mechanisms are established to facilitate dialogue between the USFWS and St. Johns County in response to changing conditions and to allow for the timely revision of procedures and policies to better achieve HCP objectives and/or respond to unforeseen circumstances.

1.9. DESIRED TERM OF SECTION 10(A)(1)(B) PERMIT

The County is requesting a section 10 ITP that will authorize the incidental take of sea turtles and AIBM within the Plan Area for a period of 20 years pursuant to the terms and conditions of this HCP and the ITP.

1.10. REQUESTED INCIDENTAL TAKE

The following list enumerates the incidental take requested by St. Johns County for proposed activities within the Plan Area.

1.10.1. Requested Incidental Take of Sea Turtles Causally Related to Vehicular Beach Driving and Associated Activities

1. Harassment, injury, and/or death to sea turtle eggs and/or hatchlings resulting from public vehicles driving over undetected and unmarked sea turtle nests located in designated traffic lane/driving area(s) or ramp grading area(s) within the HCP Plan Area.
2. Harassment, injury, and/or death to sea turtle eggs and/or hatchlings resulting from emergency, public safety, wildlife protection, and/or other official vehicles driving over undetected and unmarked sea turtle nests located within the Plan Area, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP. Official vehicles include those operated by Code Enforcement personnel and/or County staff charged with implementing and managing the HCP or conducting other official County business.

3. Harassment, injury, and/or death to sea turtle eggs and/or hatchlings resulting from emergency and/or safety vehicles driving over marked sea turtle nests located within the Plan Area.
4. Harassment, injury, and/or death to hatchling sea turtles emerging from undetected and unmarked nests in the Plan Area and subsequently encountering vehicle ruts.
5. Harassment, injury, and/or death to hatchling sea turtles emerging from marked nests in the Plan Area and subsequently encountering vehicle ruts in areas where rut removal was not performed due to circumstances beyond the control of the Applicant, including, but not limited to, dangerous weather conditions, extreme high tides, equipment failure, vandalism of nest barriers, and hatchling emergence prior to the scheduled date/time for initiation of rut removal activities, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.
6. Harassment, injury, and/or death to hatchling sea turtles emerging from marked nests in the Plan Area and subsequently encountering vehicle ruts in areas where rut removal was performed in conformance with the terms and conditions of the ITP.
7. Harassment, injury, and/or death to adult, hatchling, stranded, or post-hatchling washback sea turtles resulting from collisions with emergency, safety, wildlife protection, and/or other official vehicles operating within the Plan Area, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP; such vehicles might also disorient/harass adults and/or hatchling sea turtles with vehicle headlights or in-vehicle handheld lights, while in motion or at rest for less than one minute. In-vehicle handheld lights are used by County staff predominantly during night searches for missing persons. The lights are not continually turned on and are used mainly to scan the surface of the water. Official vehicles include those operated by Code Enforcement personnel and/or County staff charged with implementing and managing the HCP and ITP or conducting other official County business.
8. Harassment, injury, and/or death to adult female sea turtles attempting to nest within the Plan Area between 5:00 AM and 10:00 PM, resulting from collisions with vehicles operated by the general public.
9. Harassment, injury, and/or death to hatchling sea turtles emerging from the nest and/or crawling on beaches within the Plan Area to the ocean between 5:00 AM to 10:00 PM, resulting from collisions with vehicles operated by the general public, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.
10. Harassment, injury, and/or death to post-hatchling washback sea turtles resulting from collisions with vehicles operated by the general public, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.
11. Harassment, injury, and/or death to adult, hatchling, stranded, or post-hatchling washback sea turtles resulting from public nighttime driving from the north side of Vilano Road

beach access ramp to the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point from 5:00 AM on July 4, until 1:00 AM on July 5, of each year, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.

12. Harassment, injury, and/or death to adult sea turtles attempting to nest in the Plan Area related to physical changes in beach conditions resulting from vehicles operating in the Plan Area in accordance with the terms and conditions of the ITP. Changes to beach conditions include, but are not limited to, increased sand compaction and the presence of ruts, both of which might result in physiological stress to nesting turtles through reduced nesting success (percentage of crawls resulting in nests), increased digging attempts, and/or increased energy expenditure during nest construction.
13. Harassment, injury, and/or death to sea turtle eggs and/or hatchlings in undetected and unmarked nests due to physical crushing by activities associated with:
 - a. marking the established Conservation Zone (CZ) on County Beaches;
 - b. placement of trash receptacles on County Beaches;
 - c. placement of portable toilets pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the HCP and ITP; and/or
 - d. beach maintenance activities, including ramp maintenance.
14. Harassment, injury, and/or death to sea turtle eggs and/or hatchlings in undetected and unmarked nests within the Plan Area due to excavation, physical crushing, and/or burial caused by vehicular activities associated with:
 - a. emergency shoreline protection measures initiated under County authorization in accordance with Chapter 161, Florida Statutes and Chapter 62B-33, Florida Administrative Code;
 - b. removal of hazardous material, debris, and/or obstacles from the beach that pose a public health or safety risk; and/or
 - c. scientific monitoring and studies, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.
15. Harassment, injury, and/or death to female sea turtles attempting to nest in the Plan Area resulting from physical interaction with:
 - a. lifeguard towers;
 - b. CZ posts;
 - c. traffic signs;
 - d. portable toilets and portable toilet trailers; and/or
 - e. other devices and structures used for traffic management, public safety, or public awareness pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.
16. Harassment, injury, and/or death to sea turtle eggs and/or nests laid outside the normal sea turtle nesting season, May 1 through October 31, when a nest monitoring/marketing program is not in place.

1.10.2. Requested Take of AIBM Causally Related to Vehicular Beach Driving and Associated Activities

1. Harassment, injury, and/or death to AIBM resulting from collisions and/or interactions with emergency, safety, wildlife protection, and/or other official vehicles operating within the Plan Area, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP; such vehicles might also disturb the nocturnal activities of beach mice with vehicle headlights or in-vehicle handheld lights, while in motion or at rest for less than one minute. Official vehicles include those operated by Code Enforcement personnel and/or County staff charged with implementing and managing the HCP and ITP or conducting other official County business.
2. Harassment, injury, and/or death to AIBM resulting from public vehicles operating in designated traffic lane/driving area(s) or ramp grading area(s) within the Plan Area.
3. Habitat destruction or alteration, harassment, injury, and/or death to AIBM due to activities associated with:
 - a. placement of trash receptacles on County Beaches;
 - b. placement of portable toilets pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the HCP; and/or
 - c. beach maintenance activities, including ramp maintenance.
4. Habitat destruction or alteration, harassment, injury, and/or death to AIBM within the Plan Area due to excavation, physical crushing, and/or burial during vehicular activities associated with:
 - a. emergency shoreline protection measures initiated under County authorization in accordance with Chapter 161, Florida Statutes and Chapter 62B-33, Florida Administrative Code;
 - b. removal of hazardous material, debris, and/or obstacles from the beach that pose a public health or safety risk; and/or
 - c. scientific monitoring and studies, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.
5. Habitat destruction or alteration, harassment, injury, and/or death to AIBM within the Plan Area resulting from physical interaction with signs and structures used for traffic management, public safety, or public awareness, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP.

1.11. BENEFITS OF THE HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

1.11.1. Benefits to St. Johns County

The citizens of St. Johns County derive social, cultural, and economic benefits from vehicular beach access. However, beach driving might result in the incidental take of federally protected sea turtles and AIBM, a prohibition under the ESA. Thus, St. Johns County will benefit from issuance of a section 10 ITP by being afforded Federal protection for take of these species

pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ITP. If not authorized by a section 10 ITP, such take could result in civil and/or criminal penalties. Additionally, in the absence of an ITP, the County is vulnerable to citizen lawsuits alleging take of protected species in violation of the ESA. Such legal proceedings could result in a court's prohibition of beach driving or other legal actions contrary to the County's best interests. Implementation of a HCP in St. Johns County will also improve the County's management of activities on the beach and enforcement of the Beach Code. Development of a HCP in support of the ITP is one way to balance protected species conservation with the social and economic values of beach driving.

1.11.2. Benefits to Private Citizens

Some private citizens derive social, cultural, and economic benefits from vehicular access to the beach. Many types of people, from teenage "spring-breakers" to families, enjoy driving and parking on the beach for fun, recreation, and fellowship. In St. Johns County, there are also groups of people that derive cultural benefits from driving on the beaches to fish. Such groups include the Menorcan Cultural Society (with 1,500 members). Cast netting for mullet, a traditional Menorcan delicacy, is considered part of their cultural heritage, and the art of hand-weaving cast nets is generally passed from father to son (Delany *pers. comm.* 2002). Fishermen drive along the water's edge at daybreak to find schools of mullet nearshore. Once sighted, the fish are cast netted and then dumped into large coolers located in the back of the fishermen's truck.

Commercial and recreational fishermen derive economic benefits from beach driving. These fishermen use hook and line and/or cast nets at the water's edge to fish for a variety of fish. The ability to drive on the beach increases the catch per unit effort of fishermen (Gassman *pers. comm.* 2002) by:

1. Providing fast and easy access to areas of the beach that may be a considerable distance from an authorized pedestrian beach access point;
2. Allowing fishermen to haul large loads of gear and equipment to the beach;
3. Enabling fishermen to haul thousands of pounds of fresh fish from the beach (commercial fishermen only); and
4. Allowing fishermen to survey large sections of beach along the water's edge in search of schools of fish to cast net or in search of appropriate water conditions to surf fish.

By increasing their catch per unit effort, fishermen derive increased economic benefits. These economic benefits represent annual income for commercial fishermen (see section 4.3.1.4.). But, there is also a contingent of fishermen in St. Johns County that are not commercially licensed. They drive on the beach to fish in order to provide food for themselves and their families (Perry, Skinner, Delany, Gassman, L. Mickler, Y. Mickler, Maguire, Usina *pers. comm.* 2002).

Many citizens enjoy the aesthetic pleasure and intrinsic benefits associated with the long-term conservation of the coastal environment and the protected species that depend on the beaches of St. Johns County. The HCP implements many new programs to enhance the natural beach environment and improve protected species management.

1.11.3. Benefits to Municipalities and Public Parks

Portions of the HCP Plan Area fall within the regulatory jurisdiction of several municipalities and public parks. Within these stretches of the coastal zone, entities other than St. Johns County might regulate public vehicular access. Take of federally protected species causally related to those authorized vehicular activities could result in civil and/or criminal penalties and litigation similar to that described for St. Johns County (section 1.11.1.).

1.11.4. Benefits to Protected Species

1.11.4.1. Minimization Measures

The programs and policies contained in this HCP will improve protected species management on the County's beaches relative to practices currently in place. The Plan contains measures that will be implemented to minimize the potential for impacts to sea turtles and the AIBM causally related to vehicular access to the beach allowed under the County's authorization. These minimization programs, which are described in detail in Chapter 7 of this HCP, include:

1. Authorizing public vehicular beach access between 8:00 AM and 8:00 PM from May 1 through October 31 of each year, (gates will remain open on a 24-hour basis from November 1 through April 30 on all public driving beaches and from 8:00 AM on July 4 through 1:00 AM on July 5 at Porpoise Point);
2. Installing and maintaining traffic barricades at beach ramps and other points to regulate vehicular access;
3. Monitoring and conspicuous marking of all sea turtle nests in the Plan Area;
4. Developing a standard protocol to remove vehicle ruts seaward of sea turtle nests during periods when hatchlings are expected to emerge;
5. Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures;
6. Developing and implementing a public awareness program that includes, but not limited to, the following features:
 - a. Developing and distributing public awareness materials containing information regarding driving regulations and protected species' issues to beach drivers as they access beaches within the Plan Area;
 - b. Developing Public Service Announcements, including "special reports," to be aired on the St. Johns County government television station discussing HCP regulations and protected species;
 - c. Designing and conducting periodic public workshops that include the general public but will also focus on the beach community and hotels/motels to discuss HCP issues; and
 - d. Posting phone numbers to report HCP violations and sea turtle emergencies.
7. Elevating trash receptacles on posts along public driving areas within AIBM habitat (i.e., Anastasia Island, excluding FMNM);
8. Increased enforcement of existing Conservation Zone (CZ) regulations (defined in Ordinance No. 97-34) and an expansion in the width of the CZ in one region to protect and enhance AIBM and nesting bird habitats;
9. Developing and instituting a training program that must be attended by drivers wishing to obtain a four-wheel drive permit for driving north of Vilano Ramp; and

10. Reducing public beach driving along Summer Haven.

1.11.4.2. Mitigation Measures

In addition to the minimization measures described above, the County will mitigate unavoidable take that might occur as the result of County-authorized vehicular driving through a number of programs that will provide benefits to sea turtles and AIBM. These mitigation programs, which are described in detail in Chapter 7 of this HCP, include:

1. Developing a proactive Beach Lighting Management Program and align the City of St. Augustine Beach's lighting regulations and the County's lighting regulations;
2. Developing and instituting a beach horseback riding registration and education program;
3. Redirecting Porpoise Point vehicular driving to allow re-establishment of natural dune features; and
4. Restoring the primary dune along Summer Haven.

Additionally, the County will oversee a uniform and consistent sea turtle monitoring program that will help collect the data needed to better quantify current natural and human-related impacts to sea turtles on the County's beaches. This information will be used to better direct the County's limited resources toward those programs that are likely to have the greatest conservation value.

Chapter 2. HUMAN DIMENSION**2.1. POPULATION**

In 1970, only 30,727 people resided in St. Johns County (Figure 2-1). The U.S. Census revealed that this number had risen significantly to 123,135 people by 2000. Between 1970 and 1990, the County's population grew at an average rate of 5.9 percent per year. Between 1990 and 2000, the growth rate had slowed down to 4.7 percent per year. The population in St. Johns County is expected to reach 180,871 by 2010, if the average growth rate during the last decade continues. According to the 2000 US Population Census, 84.6 percent of the County's population lives in unincorporated areas (US Census Bureau 2001) and over 25 percent in coastal areas (LPDC 1998, US Census Bureau 2001).

The City of St. Augustine is the most populated municipality in St. Johns County with 11,592 residents in 2000 (Figure 2-1). Since the 1970s, its population has been decreasing at a rate of 0.17 percent a year. St. Augustine Beach is the next most populated city (4,683 residents in 2000), which experienced its largest growth during the 1980s (18 percent per year increase). This growth rate slowed to a rate of 2.7 percent per year over the past decade, as most land has been developed. In the last decade, the unincorporated areas of Vilano and Butler Beaches grew at the rates of 3.2 and 3.5 percent per year, respectively, while Crescent Beach saw a decrease in its resident population of 0.5 percent per year.

2.2. LAND USE**2.2.1. Existing Land Use**

Single- and multi-family residential properties constitute the bulk of the oceanfront development in St. Johns County. This pattern of development is interrupted by two inlets and two State parks, Guana River State Park and Anastasia State Park, which encompass 7.2 of the 42.0 mi of coastline in the County. As shown in Figure 2-2, multi-family and high-density residential housing, in the form of condominiums and apartments, are concentrated primarily along the shores of Ponte Vedra Beach, Vilano Beach, the City of St. Augustine Beach, and Crescent Beach. The remaining coastal development is dominated by single-family and low-density residential housing.

Figures 2-3a and 2-3b detail the beachfront and upland land use patterns along the County's shoreline for the year 2000. At the time of preparation of this HCP, the Property Appraiser's 2000 data from the Ponte Vedra Beach area was not available. Thus, land use patterns for this area were derived from the 1996 data (Figure 2-2).

2.2.2. Publicly Held Lands

There are three major public parks that together constitute 8.1 mi or 19.3 percent of the beachfront in St. Johns County. These publicly held parks include Guana River State Park, Anastasia State Park, and Fort Matanzas National Monument (Figure 1-1).

2.2.2.1. Anastasia State Park

Located southeast of downtown St. Augustine, Anastasia State Park has 2.5 mi of Atlantic Ocean beaches and a 1,372-acre protected bird sanctuary (FDEP 1998). Acquisition began in 1949 and continued through 1987. Approximately 170 species of birds, including spring and fall migrant songbirds, may be sited in this park between the Atlantic Ocean and Salt Run Lagoon.

2.2.2.2. Guana River State Park

Located midway between Jacksonville and St. Augustine, Guana River State Park contains 4.7 mi of beachfront property. Land acquisition for the park began in 1984 (FDEP 1999). Located in Ponte Vedra Beach, the park contains 2,478.6 acres, of which 1,630.9 acres are uplands, and 847.7 acres are wetlands/submerged areas. Portions of this park serve as a sanctuary for wildlife and birds, and there are several trails that expose visitors to ancient Spanish wells and an Indian shell bluff. Both Anastasia and Guana River State Parks are managed by the Florida Parks Service.

2.2.2.3. Fort Matanzas National Monument

Fort Matanzas National Monument encompasses the southern end of Anastasia Island about 14 mi south of St. Augustine (NPS 1982). The Fort Matanzas National Monument consists of 298.5 acres of sandy dune systems just north of Matanzas Inlet with 0.9 mi of oceanfront beach. Fort Matanzas is administratively part of the Castillo de San Marcos National Monument under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service.

2.2.3. Future Land Use

Figure 2-4 shows the County's projection of future land use patterns and the boundaries of the new development areas through 2015. This figure shows a trend towards increased development in coastal areas with lower residential densities (two dwelling units per acre) in the northern part of the County and higher densities (two to eight dwelling units per acre) in the southern part of the County.

2.3. ECONOMIC INDICATORS

2.3.1. Building Permits

The number of building permits issued each year can be used as an indicator of economic growth. As shown in Figure 2-5, the number of Single-family Permits in St. Johns County has more than doubled since 1990. In most years, the number of Building Starts corresponded to the number of Single-family Permits issued. Multi-family Permits, which include condominiums and apartments, have shown more gradual increases and more annual fluctuations. Additionally, Building Starts have not always corresponded to the number of Multi-family Permits issued, construction often lagging a year or two behind permit issuance. The years 1995 and 1999 were marked by large increases in the number of Multi-family Permits issued.

2.3.2. Property Taxes

Revenues from property taxes in St. Johns County increased steadily through the 1990s (Figure 2-6). In 2001, the total revenue from property taxes in the County was \$142,008,576.

Considering the number of permits and building starts shown in Figure 2-5, it is safe to assume that the increasing trend of rising property tax value has continued through present.

Figures 2-7a and 2-7b show the 2001 total appraised property market value for coastal properties, and Figures 2-8a and 2-8b show the same figures, but on a per acre basis. The total 2001 appraised value of barrier island private properties was over \$9.6 billion dollars, with about 8 percent of the properties being vacant (SJCPAO 2000). The total public property appraised value in 2001 was over \$2 billion (SJCPAO 2000). As shown in Figures 2-8a and 2-8b, the value of oceanfront property is higher than other property on the barrier island, and in general, property on the barrier island has a higher appraised value than property elsewhere within the County (SJCPAO 2000).

2.4. ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF BEACHES

In addition to the high market value of oceanfront property, tourism is also a major contributor to the economic importance of the beaches. According to the Economic Development Council (EDC) of St. Augustine and St. Johns County Chamber of Commerce, 3.5 million people visited the area in 2000 spending a total of \$490 million dollars (EDC 2002).

2.4.1. Tourism, Recreational Opportunities, and Beach Uses

Both residents and visitors to St. Johns County enjoy numerous recreational opportunities, including fishing and beach driving—two of the oldest beach uses in the County. Figures 2-9a and 2-9b (accompanied by Table 2-1) show recreational opportunities within the coastal zone of the County. The same figures show the location of lodging, condominiums, apartments, and restaurants to accommodate visitors. During 2000, about 79 percent of the visitors to the area dined out, and 57 percent paid for lodging.

A great part of tourism in St. Johns County is generated by the historical importance of the City of St. Augustine. From the 3.5 million visitors to the County in 2000, 82 percent walked St. Augustine's historical district, 42 percent visited area attractions, 28 percent toured museums, and 29 percent enjoyed other recreational activities (EDC 2002). St. Johns County's two national monuments (Fort Matanzas and Castillo de San Marcos National Monuments) attracted an average of 642,581 visitors during the year 2000 (NPS 2001), while Anastasia State Park visitation during the same year was 923,139 visitors (ASP statistics). At Guana River State Park, 125,413 people visited the park during the fiscal year of 1998-99 (BEBR 1999).

2.4.2. Tourism and the Tourist Development Tax

Since 1986, St. Johns County has collected a Tourist Development Tax (TDT). This tax is added to the bill paid by tourists who stay less than six months at overnight accommodations in the County, including hotels, motels, efficiency hotels, rooming houses, RV parks, campgrounds,

and condominiums (TDC 2002). In 2001, TDT receipts for St. Johns County exceeded 4 million dollars (Figure 2-10; DOR 2001). According to the EDC, two million visitors stayed in lodging in the area in 2001 for an average of 3.3 days, and each visitor spent about \$110.73 in lodging per day.

Thirty percent of the revenues of the TDT are used to fund projects that benefit tourists visiting the County's beaches, such as fishing piers, boat ramps, beach maintenance, dune replenishing, visitor information centers, and sports promotions. These funds are managed by the St. Johns County Recreation and Parks Department. Figure 2-10 shows the relative proportions of funds allocated to the Recreation and Parks Department from the TDT. During the past three years, the TDT has contributed each year around one million dollars to the Recreation and Parks Department.

2.4.3. Beach Traffic

2.4.3.1. Pedestrian Traffic

Figures 2-11a and 2-11b show the results of a recently completed analysis of pedestrian traffic potential along the beaches of St. Johns County (ATM 2001). Based on beachfront land uses, public parking availability, and the presence and status of footpaths/walkovers, the pedestrian traffic potential along the beach was characterized as low, medium, or high. Pedestrian traffic potential was considered *low* in areas of low/medium residential densities with no public parking and unusable/undeveloped footpaths. Pedestrian traffic potential was considered *medium* in public parks with developed walkovers and parking, in areas of high residential densities without public parking or access, and in access areas with open footpaths. Finally, pedestrian traffic potential was considered *high* in commercial/resort areas and in open access points with public parking. Locations with high-density residential areas, where pedestrian traffic is typically high and persistent during the summer months, are found in distinct areas along Ponte Vedra Beach, Vilano Beach, St. Augustine Beach, Butler Beach, and Crescent Beach.

2.4.3.2. Horseback Riding Traffic

Horseback riding is addressed in the St. Johns County Land Development Code Article 4 (June 12, 2001), "Horseback riding on the beach during Nesting Season shall be allowed only seaward of the most high-tide line on the beach during times when such riding is otherwise allowed" (Sec. 4.01.08(B)(3)(c)). Further details of when and where horseback riding is permitted on the beaches are addressed in Ordinance No. 2001-5 (Amendment to St. Johns County Beach Code, Ordinance 97-34). This amendment states that no hoofed animals will be permitted "on any portion of the beach between the southern boundary of the Surfside Beach Access Ramp extending south to the southern boundary of St. Johns County from May 1 to October 31 of each year and during county recognized spring break periods..." (Sec. 1. Sec. 3.02(a)). This amendment has been further altered by Resolution No. 2001-5, which additionally allows horseback riding on *all* beaches of the County between November 1 and April 30 of each year. A permit is not needed to ride a horse on the beaches of St. Johns County, and the numbers of people who engage in this beach activity is not currently tracked. Personal observations by

County staff suggest that several hundred horseback riders use the beach for riding on a fairly regular basis (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

2.4.3.3. General Public Beach Driving

Beach driving in St. Johns County has traditionally occurred in six distinct sections. These sections include five stretches of two-way driving and one stretch of one-way driving (Table 1-2; Figures 2-9a and 2-9b). On the northern barrier island, owners of four-wheel drive vehicles can apply for a permit for two-way driving between the south boundary of Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve to the north side of the Vilano Ramp (section 2.4.3.5.). Also on the northern barrier island, the general public is afforded vehicular access for two-way driving between the Vilano beach access ramp and the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point. On the central barrier island in St. Johns County (Anastasia Island), general public beach driving occurs in the following sections: 1) one-way driving from "A" Street Ramp in St. Augustine Beach south to Ocean Trace Ramp, 2) one-way driving from Ocean Trace Ramp south to Crescent Beach Ramp, 3) two-way driving from Crescent Beach Ramp south to the north side of Fort Matanzas Ramp, and 4) two-way driving from Fort Matanzas Ramp to the north side of Matanzas Inlet.

There are a total of 12 official public vehicular access points in St. Johns County. Two of these access points are not staffed (Usina and Surfside Beach Ramps), while ten have attended tollbooths (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001) (Figures 2-9a and 2-9b). Between March 1 and Labor Day Weekend of each year, the toll booths are staffed by employees of St. Johns County. The ramps are attended from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM on weekends and from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM on weekdays (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). Daily and season beach passes are sold at all tollbooths. The cost for a daily beach pass is \$5.00. Season beach passes cost \$20.00 if purchased between December 1 of the previous year and March 1 of the current year, and \$30.00 if purchased between March 2 and November 30 of the current year (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001, Ordinance 97-34 section 6.02 and 6.03). At present, gates are sometimes open to traffic during periods when the tollbooths are unattended. During these times (5:00-9:00 AM and 5:00/6:00-10:00 PM), drivers are still required to possess a valid beach pass (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

The only compiled data of beach traffic trends in St. Johns County is published in a study conducted by ATM in 2001. This study describes the yearly total averages of daily and seasonal driving passes sold at each vehicle access ramp, the month of highest daily traffic, and whether the highest daily traffic counts were exhibited: 1) when school was in or out, and 2) on the weekends or weekdays. Unfortunately, this study did *not* generate the following types of data regarding the volume of beach vehicular traffic: 1) during the "off-season," 2) during times when the tollbooths are unattended, and 3) for areas where there are no tollbooths (Usina or Surfside Beach Ramps). Data regarding utilization of off-beach parking lots is also lacking.

Using this best available data, Ocean Trace Road Ramp appears to be the most utilized public vehicular access point in St. Johns County; between 1995-2000, a total average of 45,166 daily and 3,347 season beach access fees were collected per year (ATM 2001). The next most trafficked ramps include Crescent Beach Ramp (22,991 daily/1,229 season), Ft. Matanzas Ramp (13,061 daily/1,203 season), and Vilano Beach Ramp (10,890 daily/929 season). The least utilized beach access ramps are Porpoise Point Ramp (1,746 daily/190 season) and the

periodically closed Surf Drive Ramp (ATM only presented data between 1998-2000 when Surf Drive Ramp was actually opened; 490 daily/36 season). Most of the vehicle access ramps were busiest during the month of July, with the exceptions of Surf Drive (highest traffic counts in April), Matanzas Avenue, and Crescent Beach Ramps (both displayed highest daily traffic in May).

The Division of Beach Management considers the maximum capacity of parked vehicles on the public driving beaches of Anastasia Island to be about 4,500 (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). The maximum capacity of parked vehicles was calculated using the formula below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximum Capacity of Parked Vehicles on Anastasia Island} &= \{ [(L) - (U)] / (P) \} + S \\ &= \{ [(52,272 \text{ ft} - 400 \text{ ft})] / (12 \text{ ft}) \} + 200 \\ &= \{ [(51,872 \text{ ft})] / (12 \text{ ft}) \} + 200 \\ &= \{ 4,323 \} + 200 \\ &= 4,523 \text{ vehicles} \end{aligned}$$

L = Length of public driving beaches on Anastasia Island = 9.9 mi = 52,272 ft

U = Length of public driving beaches on Anastasia Island where vehicles are not permitted to park on the beach = (8 ramps) (50 ft wide) = 400 ft

P = Width of an average parking space in an average parking lot = 12 ft

S = Maximum number of vehicles that might be parked seaward of driving lane = 200

Typical summer beach attendance along Anastasia Island does not approach this maximum capacity. The maximum number of cars on these beaches on the busiest holiday weekend only reaches about 2,000 cars (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). Beach attendance was calculated by the Division of Beach Management by multiplying the maximum number of daily passes sold by a n adjustment factor to account for beach driving visits made by season pass holders and by another factor to account for -- "beach turnover." The Division of Beach Management estimates that, on average, season pass holders use their passes five times per year, and beach attendance turns over three times a day. The typical beach visitor does not stay all day, but visits either during the 1) morning, 2) afternoon, or 3) late afternoon. Thus, "beach turnover" is equal to three.

2.4.3.4. General Public Beach Driving at Porpoise Point

The area immediately north of St. Augustine Inlet is extremely unique in that it has undergone tremendous physical changes in recent history (Figure 3-5; Miller *pers. comm.* 2001, Stauber *pers. comm.* 2002). The area known today as Porpoise Point was an east-west cross-section of Vilano Beach until 1940, when the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) dug the present day St. Augustine Inlet (section 3.3.1.). For the next several decades, a large lagoon existed along the southwestern side of the point north of the new inlet. Porpoises, including the porpoise that later became the star of the popular television series *Flipper*, often congregated, corralled fish, and interacted with local people in this lagoon (Williams, S. Martin, and Gassman *pers. comm.* 2002). For this reason, the north shore of the St. Augustine Inlet became known as Porpoise Point. However, by the 1970s, this lagoon had naturally filled in (Figure 3-5).

The dune system at Porpoise Point is accreting and growing, though still ecologically very young. During the 1990s, severe storms washed in and deposited three separate and distinct shore-parallel rows of sargassum and flotsam (Gassman *pers. comm.* 2002). These sargassum rows were approximately 0.6-0.9 m (2.0-3.0 ft) high and 4.8-6.1 m (15.0-20.0 ft) wide and created a base over which windblown sand accreted and pioneering dune vegetation became established.

The rocks of the north jetty at St. Augustine Inlet were exposed and could not be driven over until 1992-1993. At that time, sand completely covered a large portion of north jetty and Porpoise Point can now be accessed either from Vilano Ramp or Porpoise Point Ramp. If the north jetty should become exposed again in the future, Porpoise Point would only be accessible by vehicle through Porpoise Point Ramp.

All parcels along Porpoise Point are platted. Since the early 1940s, the USACE has possessed the perpetual right and easement to build and maintain a sand trap groin along the parcels now containing the north jetty. There is one row of 10 houses south of the jetty and several vacant parcels based on 1999 aerial photographs. The land south of this row of houses, essentially most of Porpoise Point, has accreted since the 1950s, becoming State property (previously State submerged lands).

The development of the dune system at Porpoise Point may be slowed by the general public driving that occurs through the newly formed dune ridges, potentially a violation of F.S. Chapter 161.85 (Figure 4-9b). There are multiple shore-parallel driving lanes between the dunes, and several driving lanes fan out from the Porpoise Point Ramp. In the 1999 aerial photographs of this region, five to six shore-parallel driving lanes are visible and at least three lanes of traffic can be viewed diverging from Porpoise Point Ramp (Figure 4-9b).

Night driving is prohibited on beaches within the unincorporated boundaries of St. Johns County between the hours of 10:00 PM and 5:00 AM from May 15 through October 15 of each year (Ordinance 96-48; section 2.4.3.7.). However, a brief period of public night driving occurs at Porpoise Point. In order for citizens to view a Fourth of July fireworks display from their vehicles on the beach, Ordinance 96-48 explicitly states, "said prohibition shall not be enforced on such Beach from the north side of the Vilano Road Beach access ramp south to the St. Augustine Inlet from 5:00 AM on July 4, of each year, until 1:00 AM on July 5, of each year." The fireworks are funded jointly by the County and St. Augustine Beach and continue to be popular and well attended by local residents. The fireworks display occurs between the Vilano Bridge and the Bridge of Lions, and some of the best viewing is considered to be from the beach in the area of Porpoise Point (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Allowing citizens to park their vehicles on the beach to view the fireworks offsets the problem of inadequate off-beach parking in this area (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

2.4.3.5. Four-wheel Drive Public Beach Driving by Special Permit Only

Between the southern boundary of Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve south to the north side of the Vilano Ramp, beach driving is currently restricted to special permit holders (pre-HCP). These permit holders have bought an annual beach driving pass and applied for and obtained a North Beach Vehicular Access Permit (Appendix C). Permit holders must have renewed their

permit every three months. At that time, they received a packet of information regarding where they were permitted to drive and other appropriate information.

Three-month permits are only granted to owners of season beach passes who drive an appropriate four-wheel drive vehicle. The County Recreation and Parks Department gives the passes at no additional charge (above the cost of a season pass) upon completion of a permit application, which includes license and vehicle information (Appendix C). The permit application states, "Finally, I understand that any violation to the aforementioned activities including any violation to the St. Johns County Beach Code may lead to a citation and the immediate revocation of my permit" (Appendix C). The Sheriff's Office states that most of their problems with enforcement of the Beach Code, including illegal driving in the CZ and/or dunes, occur along the North Beaches and at Porpoise Point (Clark *pers. comm.* 2002, Mathis *pers. comm.* 2002). However, a North Beach Vehicular Access Permit has never been suspended or revoked (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

The North Beach Vehicular Access permit system was initiated on May 15, 2000. Between May 15, 2000 and May 20, 2002, a total of 556 permits were issued by the Division of Beach Management to a total of 432 individuals (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). In order for one to have maintained permission to continuously drive on the North Beaches since the permit system's initiation, an individual would have needed 8 permits. The longest period of time that any individual drove on the North Beaches during this 24-month period was a cumulative total of 12 months (4 permits). Eight (8) individuals were granted 4 permits to drive for 12 months; 21 individuals were granted 3 permits to drive for 9 months; 59 individuals were granted 2 permits for 6 months; and 344 were granted 1 permit for 3 months. During the first 3-month period of 2002, 239 permits were issued, and 86 percent of the permit holders listed fishing as their intended purpose for vehicular access to the North Beaches (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

2.4.3.6. Local Resident Beach Driving in Summer Haven

As explained in section 1.6.1.1., the explicit authorization of public beach driving in the unincorporated areas between (1) the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point to the southern boundary of Guana State Preserve, (2) Ocean Trace Road to Crescent Beach Ramp, and (3) Crescent Beach Ramp to the Fort Matanzas Ramp (Ordinance 97-34) was intended to prohibit public beach driving in all other unincorporated areas, including the 4.1 km (2.6 mi) stretch of beach from Matanzas Inlet to the Flagler County Line. Most of this southern region falls within the unincorporated area of Summer Haven.

The area of Summer Haven possesses an extremely unique set of ecological and human circumstances. The physical environment is unique in that along this very narrow ribbon of sand land elevations are only about 1.5-3.0 m (5-10 ft) above sea level. In several areas of the barrier island, there is less than 61.0 m (200 ft) between the Atlantic Ocean and the Matanzas River.

The physical environment of Summer Haven exhibits recurring washover zones and repeated inlet formation (particularly just south of the Summer Haven revetment). "The most severe erosion problems in St. Johns County during storms occur in the St. Augustine Beach and Summer Haven areas due to a combination of natural conditions and the impact of man-made

structures on the beach. These two areas project seaward and act as headlands where wave energy is concentrated, causing more erosion as compared to a perfectly straight shore. In addition, man-made structures like seawalls, bulkheads, and concrete revetments contribute to greater wave scouring, lowering the beach profiles, higher velocities of the littoral currents, and higher erosion rates” (Pilkey *et al.* 1984).

FDEP has deemed 3.9 km (2.4 mi) along Summer Haven and Marineland as a critical erosion zone (R-197 to R-209; section 3.3.; Table 3-1; Figure 3-4), and periodically, Summer Haven does experience tremendous erosion as storm events pass by St. Johns County. “The granddaddy of all northeasters to affect St. Johns County was the 1962 Ash Wednesday storm. An idea of the size of this storm can be gained from the fact that the Ash Wednesday storm did most of its damage along the New Jersey shore” (Pilkey *et al.* 1984). After the Ash Wednesday storm in March 1962, the Federal Office of Emergency Planning authorized 550 m (1,800 ft) of granite revetment and 344 m (1,130 linear ft) of road pavement at Summer Haven. Since 1996, the beaches of Summer Haven (R-200 to R-208) have also been the periodic disposal area for beach-quality material dredged from the Intracoastal Waterway in St. Johns County (section 3.4.3.). According to the Beach Disposal Management Plan, the mean frequency of this beach placement was projected to be once every 2.7 years (Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1991). Additional oceanfront armoring is frequently considered in this area (Stephenson *pers. comm.* 2002), however is not recommended due to the tremendous adverse environmental consequences. Notably, the area along the Matanzas River including Summer Haven is within a CoBRA unit, thereby in the Coastal Barrier Resource System, and residents are generally not eligible for any direct or indirect Federal financial assistance, such as flood insurance, that might support development (USFWS *unpublished data* 2002).

Overlaid with this unique physical environment is a vital and vulnerable ecological environment. Summer Haven displays the highest sea turtle nesting densities in St. Johns County with 14.3 nests per mile (Figure 2-12; Figure 3-9; Table 3-5b; section 3.5.2.1.1.). Sea turtles have generally avoided nesting in the washover zones and typically cluster their nests in areas where the dunes are most stable. Additionally, least tern nesting colonies were documented along Summer Haven during the summers of 2001-2002 (section 3.5.2.1.10.8.).

There is also a complex human dimension to Summer Haven. The FDOT built the Old A1A roadway along the island (Figure 2-13). Provided with this infrastructure, homes were built along this narrow ribbon of sand. There are currently 61 privately owned parcels in Summer Haven, and 23 homes have been built along Old A1A south of the revetment. Most of the houses are only occupied seasonally or just on the weekends (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). Every resident requires a four-wheel drive vehicle to access their home (Bowen and Cover *pers. comm.* 2002).

The Old A1A roadway suffered damage by storms in the late 1950s and during the Ash Wednesday storm of 1962 (Stephenson *pers. comm.* 2002). The paved surface of the roadway was extremely vulnerable to additional damage, prompting FDOT to abandon its responsibility for the roadway. Subsequently, the Old A1A was realigned slightly to the west, and the St. Johns County Board of County Commissioners explicitly and legally accepted responsibility for its maintenance (Stephenson *pers. comm.* 2002). In 1986, a major northeaster blew through St.

Johns County and washed away 1.6 km (1.0 mi) of the Old A1A roadway south of the revetment (Stephenson *pers. comm.* 2002). In 2002, only about 1.0 km (0.6 mi) of Old A1A was still paved and maintained (i.e., sand was periodically swept from the roadway) by the St. Johns County Public Works Department. On April 24, 2003, St. Johns County was granted a permit from FDEP to stabilize the roadway (with coquina shell and sand mix) and construct a sand berm (with clean fill) along Summer Haven. The purposes of stabilizing the roadway and restoring the dune are to provide residents with improved access to their homes, minimize public beach driving, reduce light trespass onto the beach, and enhance important sea turtle and least tern nesting habitat.

The human dimension at Summer Haven is complicated by numerous legal issues. As long as there are residents living along Summer Haven, the County cannot formally abandon the Old A1A right-of-way, because that would deny them vehicular access to their properties (Bosanko *pers. comm.* 2002). Furthermore, as long as the County maintains the right-of-way, the general public cannot be denied access. However, for the safety of their citizens, the County does prohibit parking along the Old A1A right-of-way and driving on the beach outside of the right-of-way. The County may be able to vacate some portions of the Old A1A right-of-way, pending the results of several “way of necessity” lawsuits currently working their way through the court system (Lopez *pers. comm.* 2002).

At present, some residents find it easier to access their homes by driving west of the Old A1A right-of-way along off-road trails that run parallel to the beach behind the primary dune. However, these paths take them across their neighbors’ private properties and in some cases through neighbor’s carports. Not surprisingly, some residents in Summer Haven have attempted to restrict these traditional vehicular pathways through their properties. The restrictions are being challenged through the “way of necessity” lawsuits referenced above. However, these lawsuits may not be resolved for years. Therefore, the HCP must be developed with the understanding that Summer Haven beaches are essentially the Old A1A right-of-way (Figure 2-13), and regardless of whether pavement is currently present, the County does not presently have the legal authority to restrict public access (Bosanko *pers. comm.* 2002).

2.4.3.7. Night Driving

Beach driving during the night is addressed in St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34 which stated that driving was prohibited on the beaches bordering the Atlantic Ocean within the unincorporated boundaries of St. Johns County between the hours of 10:00 PM and 5:00 AM from May 15 through October 15 of each year, except for a Fourth of July exemption at Porpoise Point (section 2.4.3.4.). Lifeguards currently lock the gates at the beach access ramps after the beaches are cleared of all vehicles, and the on-duty Deputy Sheriff reopens the gates at approximately 5:00 AM (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). During the night, Deputy Sheriffs respond to calls regarding headlights on the beach. An unauthorized nighttime driver may be charged with a \$25.00 fine for violation of the County Beach Code.

Chapter 3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING AND BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**3.1. CLIMATE**

The climate along the coast of St. Johns County displays mild year-round temperatures averaging between 55.8 and 80.6 degrees F, with maximum average temperatures between 66.2 and 89.6 degrees F and minimum average temperatures between 45.5 and 72.3 degrees F (Figure 3-1). Precipitation for the area follows the typical Florida pattern with rainy summers (averaging 5.9 inches between June and September) and dry winters; total yearly precipitation is 48.8 inches (Figure 3-2).

3.2. TOPOGRAPHY AND HYDROLOGY

The St. Johns County shoreline consists of 42.0 mi of barrier island beach backed by tidal marshes and lagoons. Figure 3-3 illustrates the County's shoreline land elevations. Along the northernmost 6 mi of St. Johns County, the barrier island is approximately 3 mi wide with dune elevations between 15 and 25 ft (Pilkey *et al.* 1984). Along the next 12 mi of County coastline, there are two dune ridges separated by low marshes. In this area, the shorefront sand dune ridge is about 500 to 1,500 ft wide with dune elevations between 15 and 44 ft. This area includes Guana River State Park and Guana River Wildlife Management Area. Over the next 7 mi from Vilano Beach to St. Augustine Inlet, the barrier island varies between 1,000 and 2,000 ft in width, and dune elevations average around 15 ft. South of the St. Augustine Inlet, Anastasia Island varies in width from over 2.5 mi on the northern part of the island to less than 1,000 ft in the narrower sections to the south. Dune elevations in this area are between 10 to 30 ft. South of Matanzas Inlet, the southernmost barrier island varies from about 0.5 mi in width just south of the inlet to about 200 ft near the Flagler County line. Dune elevations in this area range between 5 to 10 ft.

3.3. COASTAL PROCESSES AND COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS**3.3.1. Erosion and the Historical Shoreline**

Areas of Critical and Noncritical Erosion are generally located near inlets and collectively encompass 8.1 mi of beach in the Plan Area (FDEP 2002c; Table 3-1; Figure 3-4). In general, erosion is more severe south of the inlets, due to the interruption of the sediment supply by the inlet and the deposition of sediment in inlet interior channels and on the beaches north of the inlets. This erosion/deposition pattern is evident at St. Augustine Inlet, a stabilized inlet with a southerly-dominated littoral drift. Matanzas Inlet is not a stabilized inlet, and sediment shifts constantly. Over the years, most of the changes in the coastline have been east-west movements, with the exception of the inlet areas where south-north migration has also occurred. Net annual longshore sediment transport along Florida's East Coast is estimated to be around 550,000 cubic yards of sand per year to the south (Dean and Grant 1989). By diminishing the longshore transport of sand to the south, manmade inlet stabilization projects and coastal armoring might exacerbate erosion in some areas. Presently, 7.6 mi of beach in St. Johns County is classified as Critically Eroded (FDEP 2002c).

In the case of the St. Augustine Inlet, the natural orientation of the inlet was northwest-southeast from the mid-1800's through 1937 (Figure 3-5). Between 1937 and 1957, however, the USACE, with local sponsorship from the newly created St. Augustine Port, Waterway, and Beach District, undertook a series of measures to stabilize the inlet and improve navigation. In 1940, the USACE cut a new channel that oriented in an east-west direction approximately 400 yards north of the natural inlet. The following year, they constructed a 1,580-ft-long sand-trapping north groin, now referred to as the "north jetty." As a final stabilization measure, the USACE constructed the 3,695-ft-long "south jetty" in 1957. These measures contributed to the ongoing morphological changes occurring south of the St. Augustine Inlet outlined below (Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1994).

- | | |
|-----------|--|
| 1924-1937 | Bird Island became a spit as it attached to Anastasia Island. |
| 1937-1952 | North Point, separated from Vilano Beach during inlet construction, merged with Crazy Bank, the most significant shoal south of the inlet. The new landmass resulting from this merger became known as Conch's Island. |
| 1952-1970 | Conch's Island merged with Anastasia Island as it enveloped Bird Island. A massive spit by 1970, Conch's Island enclosed the 2-mile embayment known today as Salt Run Lagoon. |

3.3.2. Beach Sediment Characteristics

The State of Florida occupies a part of the geographic unit called the Floridian Plateau, a partially submerged, 500-mile-long tableland that separates the Gulf of Mexico from the Atlantic Ocean (USACE 1979). The plateau has existed as dry or submerged land for millions of years. The following excerpt from the USACE's *Feasibility Report for Beach Erosion Control, St. Johns County, Florida* (1979) discusses the typical beach sediment characteristics of St. Johns County:

Young shorelines of emergence in St. Johns County are characterized by sandy barrier islands, lagoons, and swamps that separate the mainland from the ocean. Beneath the sandy surface exists a mix of sand and shell of unconsolidated sedimentary form and, beneath the unconsolidated layer, large areas of coquina rock in various stages of consolidation. Within tidal lagoons north and south of the inlet, subsurface conditions vary widely. For example, shell deposits in the subsurface strata range from a few fragments to 100 percent shell content. Soft silts and organic materials typically found in marsh areas range in thickness from very thin to over 16 ft. Below the soft silts and organic materials lie a variety of elements ranging from sands to fine silt and clay (USACE 1979).

Finally, the Anastasia Formation, coquina rock composed of 60 to 90 percent shell, occurs in various locations south of St. Augustine Inlet. These are easily eroded by wave action and constantly contribute a supply of shell fragments to the County Beaches (Pilkey *et al.* 1984).

The USACE's report (1979) addresses the characteristics of sand located at St. Augustine Inlet and the adjacent beaches. Notably, the sands found on the beaches north and south of the inlet differ significantly. North of the inlet at Vilano Beach, sand grain sizes range from very fine to very coarse sand (0.07 to 2.28 mm - Wentworth Classification), compared to fine to coarse sand (0.18 to 0.51 mm - Wentworth Classification) at Conch's Island south of the inlet. The coarser sand at Vilano Beach also contains much higher shell content than the sand found further south in St. Johns County. In general, mean sediment size increases from the dune to the Mean Low Water line.

3.3.3. Waves and Wind

As a part of the USACE Wave Information Study (WIS), the Engineering Research and Development Center develops and maintains wave hindcast data records for select locations along the eastern Atlantic seaboard (USACE 1995). Data from WIS station A2025, located at 30.00N 81.00W or 28 km (18 mi) east of St. Augustine Beach, were used to compute wave statistics for the 20-year period from 1976 to 1995 and characterize wave and wind climate for St. Johns County. Figures 3-6 and 3-7 show wave height, wave period, wave direction offshore, wind speed, and wind direction offshore for WIS Station A2025. These illustrate the range of wave and wind parameters by direction. Wave and wind directions originating between 0° N and 180° N approach the shore, with shore normal assumed to be 90° N.

Prevalent wind direction in St. Johns County is between the northeast and the southwest with winds under 10 m/s (22 mph). About 60 percent of the waves are from the east, north, and southeast and display wave heights less than 1.5 m (5 ft) and periods less than 8 seconds. Occurrences of higher wave heights and periods are common during storms. The storms that are referred to as northeasters produce high winds and large wave heights from the northeast and can last several days into weeks. Hurricane swells, which are more short-lived, generate large wave heights and higher wave periods. The largest waves generally occur from northeasters during late fall, winter, and early spring. Summers are typically tranquil with the exception of passing hurricanes. In the past seven years, five hurricanes have passed within 150 mi of St. Johns County: Erin in 1995, Floyd and Irene in 1999, Gordon in 2000, and the most recent, Gabriele in 2001. All of these caused significant damage and erosion along the beaches of the County (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

3.3.4. Tides and Currents

St. Johns County experiences semidiurnal tides, or two high tides and two low tides each day. Table 3-2 lists the National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Ocean Service (NOS) published tidal datum elevations for St. Augustine Beach. St. Augustine Beach exhibits an average daily tide range of 1.4 m (4.6 ft).

3.4. MANMADE FEATURES

Table 3-3 summarizes past, ongoing, and proposed types and lengths of various shore protection measures for St. Johns County, while Figure 3-8a and 3-8b show the locations of these measures. Shore protection measures include coastal armoring, beach nourishment, beach disposal of

dredged materials from inlet and channel maintenance, dune protection and enhancement, and dune restoration.

3.4.1. Coastal Armoring

Coastal armoring along St. Johns County includes jetties, groins, rock revetments, seawalls, retaining walls, rip-rap, and rocks (Table 3-3). As shown in Figures 3-8a and 3-8b, jetties and groins are located mostly in and near the inlets, while walls, rocks, revetments, and rip-rap structures occur throughout the County's shoreline. With the exception of the St. Augustine Beach seawall, most of the armoring structures are used for residential and condominium property protection. Approximately 2.6 mi or 8 percent of the shoreline in St. Johns County is armored (Table 3-3).

3.4.2. Beach Nourishment

Beach nourishment involves the dredging, transfer, and disposal of sand from offshore "borrow" areas onto eroded sections of coastline. A large federally sponsored project was completed in 2002. It involved the placement of beach fill along 2.9 miles of shoreline in the northern portion of Anastasia Island (R-137 to R151). At buildout it had a designed beach berm width of 60 ft at a crest elevation of 12 ft above Mean Low Water (MLW). An adjacent smaller project, cost-shared by St. Johns County and FDEP, placed sand on an additional 0.9 miles of beach immediately south of the Federal project (R-132 to R-137). It too was completed in 2002. Taylor Engineering, Inc. recommended that the projected 2,040,000 cubic yards of material obtained from the inlet ebb and flood shoals adjacent to St. Augustine Inlet be applied to the downdrift beaches of the County every four years (Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1997). These projects were the first major renourishment projects in St. Johns County.

3.4.3. Beach Placement from Dredging Activities

The USACE Jacksonville District, the entity responsible for ensuring the navigability of the two inlets in St. Johns County, must dredge sediments that impede navigation in channels. Very often, the sediment that accumulates in the channels of the intracoastal waterway and inlet entrances is beach-quality and can be placed on the beach. Dredged material from the navigation channels was disposed offshore until 1996. However, in that year suitable material was dredged and placed on the downdrift beaches south of the inlet (FDEP 1998b).

Figure 3-8b shows the location in Summer Haven north of Marineland (R-200 to R-208) of the disposal area for beach-quality material dredged from the Intracoastal Waterway and Matanzas Inlet in St. Johns County. According to the Beach Disposal Management Plan, the mean frequency of this event is projected to be once every 2.7 years (Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1991). The beach disposal south of Matanzas Inlet differs from a typical beach nourishment project in that the goal of the fill placement is not necessarily to create a stable beach. Rather, the dredging and disposal operation is intended to provide a means of effective long-range dredged material management—by bypassing the sand to the beaches south of the inlet that is typically lost from the littoral system and deposited in the inlet and adjacent Intracoastal Waterway.

3.4.4. Dune Enhancement and Restoration

Additional shoreline protection measures include sand fences that are installed either parallel or diagonal to the shoreline. In 1999, the USACE carried out a dune restoration project along the southern portion of Anastasia State Park (Figure 3-8b). The restoration encompassed the area between monuments R-135 to R-138. A more extensive dune restoration project is planned for that area (R-132 to R-141) in 2002 in conjunction with the proposed beach renourishment project.

3.4.5. Future St. Augustine Inlet Management Measures

During 1998, the FDEP adopted the following management actions for the St. Augustine Inlet (FDEP 1998a):

1. Continue to bypass suitable sediment to the downdrift beaches.

As a first priority, material will be placed on the beach in areas of greatest need, as determined by FDEP, with an initial goal of having an average annual placement of 510,000 cubic yards on downdrift beaches.

2. Restore the downdrift beaches, designated by the Department as experiencing critical erosion, to mitigate the effects of the inlet.

This action may be pursued under the St. Johns County Shore Protection Project or other available state or Federal authorizations.

3. Pursue modifications to the inlet structures to improve natural sediment bypassing.

This action should be initiated in conjunction with the Federal St. Augustine Harbor Navigation Project in the form of a General Reevaluation Report or other appropriate study.

4. Develop and implement a dune management program to restore and maintain the dune system located within the downdrift influence zone of the inlet.

To the extent possible, implementation should occur in conjunction with construction of the beach restoration project.

5. Implement a comprehensive beach and offshore monitoring program subject to the approval of the Department.

The program will be used to identify beach placement locations for future bypassing efforts and to revalidate the sediment budget.

3.5. *BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES*

The 42.0-mile oceanfront of St. Johns County includes a variety of natural communities and ecological conditions. Some areas have wide, gently sloping beaches and relatively natural vegetation in the foredune and backdune communities. Other areas have experienced, or are experiencing, severe to moderate erosion marked by steep slopes with little or no vegetation. In addition to sea turtles and the Anastasia Island beach mouse, a variety of plants and other animals find suitable habitats within the HCP Plan Area. This portion of the report provides information concerning the biological resources that exist within the boundaries of the Plan Area and gives specific attention to the animals and plants that have been designated by the Federal government and the State of Florida as “endangered” or “threatened” (Table 3-4).

3.5.1. **Natural Communities**

In its publication *Guide to the Natural Communities of Florida*, the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI and FDEP 1990) defines 81 natural community types present in Florida. Six of these, Beach Dune, Coastal Grassland, Coastal Strand, Scrub, Maritime Hammock, and Estuarine Tidal Marsh, are present within the HCP Plan Area (Appendix D). General descriptions of these communities, including identification of the dominant vegetation and typical animals, are provided below. The plant names provided are consistent with the nomenclature in the *Guide to the Vascular Plants of Florida* by Richard P. Wunderlin (1998).

3.5.1.1. *Beach Dune*

The Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI; 2001) defines the Beach Dune as “a wind-deposited foredune and wave-deposited upper beach that are sparsely to densely vegetated with pioneer species, especially sea oats.” The Beach Dune community extends throughout the Plan Area, with occasional interruptions where seawalls, other beach stabilization measures, or development have replaced the natural community. The width of this zone within the Plan Area varies considerably. In some areas, where there has been substantial erosion and/or development, this community might be very narrow (i.e., less than 25 ft wide). In other areas, where there has been comparatively modest erosion and little or no landward development, it might be very wide displaying a natural gradation into the Maritime Hammock community.

In a significant portion of St. Johns County, the Beach Dune community consists of multiple lines of dunes running parallel to the shore. In particular, the region from Butler Beach through Crescent Beach (Figure 1-2b) exhibits primary, secondary, and often, tertiary systems of dunes formed from wind-blown sand and separated by interdunal swales. Countywide, these dunes vary considerably in height, reaching maximum elevations of approximately 44 ft along the shorefront sand dune ridge of Ponte Vedra Beach and GRSP (Figure 3-3; Pilkey *et al.* 1984). In some areas where the Beach Dune community is narrow and low (e.g., Summer Haven), wave-induced beach erosion has caused low dunes to be overwashed on a repeated basis.

The plants and animals that exist in the Beach Dune community are species that are able to withstand harsh environmental conditions, including loose, dry, unstable, and poor soils, exposure to wind and salt spray, sand abrasion, intense sunlight, and periodic storms. The dominant

vegetative species within this zone include sea oats (*Uniola paniculata*) and a variety of “pioneer plants” that exist above the seasonal high water levels, including beachgrass (*Panicum amarum*), cordgrass (*Spartina patens*), dune sunflower (*Helianthus debilis*), and morning glories (*Ipomoea imperati* and *I. pes-caprae*).

Due to the harsh environmental conditions, the diversity of animals that permanently inhabit the Beach Dune community is lower than in many other natural communities. Various shorebirds, such as black-bellied plovers (*Pluvialis squatarola*) and sanderlings (*Calidris alba*), often forage for sand fleas (*Emerita talpoida*) and other organisms at the beach/ocean interface, while gulls and terns often rest on the open beach. An abundant resident animal in this area is the ghost crab (*Ocypode quadrata*). The Anastasia Island beach mouse, which is described in detail in section 3.5.2.1.2., is found almost exclusively in this habitat.

3.5.1.2. *Scrub*

The FNAI (2001) defines Scrub as “a closed to open canopy forest of sand pines with dense clumps or vast thickets of scrub oaks and other shrubs dominating the understory.” In most Scrub communities, the ground cover is relatively sparse, with frequent patches of barren sand. Scrub occurs on old sand dunes along historic shorelines. Fire is an essential element in the Scrub community, and areas that are protected from fire will succeed to xeric hammock. Typical plants found in Scrub habitats in northeast Florida include sand pine (*Pinus clausa*), sand live oak (*Quercus geminata*), Chapman’s oak (*Quercus chapmanii*), myrtle oak (*Quercus myrtifolia*), rosemary (*Ceratiola ericoides*), and gopher apple (*Licania michauxii*). Animals that inhabit the Scrub community include the six-lined racerunner (*Cnemidophorus sexlineatus sexlineatus*), gopher tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*), rufous-sided towhee (*Papilo erythrophthalmus*) and, in the winter, yellow-rumped warbler (*Dendroica coronata*). The FNAI has reported that areas of Scrub are present on the barrier island north of St. Augustine Inlet along GRSP (Appendix D).

3.5.1.3. *Coastal Strand*

The FNAI (2001) defines the Coastal Strand as “stabilized wind-deposited coastal dunes that are vegetated with a dense thicket of salt-tolerant shrubs, especially saw palmetto.” In areas where the natural communities remain intact, the Coastal Strand is actually a transitional community that is generally situated between the Beach Dune community and the Maritime Hammock. This backdune community varies considerably in abundance throughout the Plan Area. It is largely absent from areas of residential development and is largely intact in government-owned (e.g., GRSP) and undeveloped tracts. The dominant vegetation is saw palmetto (*Serenoa repens*). Other vegetation present in the Coastal Strand includes cabbage palm (*Sabal palmetto*), yaupon holly (*Ilex vomitoria*), and sand live oak. Animal life in this community includes gopher tortoise, snakes, such as the coachwhip (*Masticophis flagellum flagellum*), and various small mammals, such as the eastern cottontail rabbit (*Sylvilagus floridanus floridanus*) and spotted skunk (*Spilogale putorius ambarvalis*).

3.5.1.4. Coastal Grassland

The FNAI (2001) defines the Coastal Grassland as “treeless flat land or gently undulating land with barren sand or a sparse-to-dense ground cover of grasses, prostrate vines, and other herbaceous or suffrutescent species that are adapted to harsh maritime conditions.” The Coastal Grassland community is typically located in the vicinity of inlets and overwash areas, where herbaceous vegetation colonizes recently deposited sands. In areas where this community is not subject to recurring overwash or other negative environmental or human-related impacts, Coastal Grassland eventually becomes colonized by shrubs and trees and naturally succeeds into Coastal Strand or Maritime Hammock habitats.

Within the Plan Area, the most extensive example of a Coastal Grassland community is on the north side of the St. Augustine Inlet at Porpoise Point. In other areas, Coastal Grassland is present only in small, intermittent patches that have been subjected to dune overwash in the relatively recent past (i.e., within five years).

Typical plants in this community include sand spurs (*Cenchrus* spp.), prickly pear cactus (*Opuntia stricta* and *O. humifusa*), beach elder (*Iva imbricata*), and sea purslane (*Sesuvium portulacastrum*). Animals that are typically found in the Coastal Grassland include ghost crabs, red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*), and wintering savannah sparrows (*Passerculus sandwichensis*).

3.5.1.5. Maritime Hammock

The FNAI (2001) defines the Maritime Hammock as “a narrow band of hardwood forest lying just inland of the Coastal Strand community. Maritime Hammock occurs on old coastal dunes that have been stabilized long enough for the growth of a forest.” Within the Plan Area, with the exception of large government-owned tracts (e.g., GRSP), only small remnants of Maritime Hammock are present. The dominant vegetation is primarily tree and shrub species, including Florida’s state tree, the cabbage palm, saw palmetto, silk bay (*Persea borbonia* var. *humilis*), coral bean (*Erythrina herbacea*), and beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana*). Groundcover species are comparatively few. Animals present within the Maritime Hammock community include the mammals that also frequent the Coastal Strand (described above), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), and a variety of resident birds, such as the northern cardinal (*Cardinalis cardinalis*), as well as migratory birds such as warblers (*Dendroica* spp.).

3.5.1.6. Estuarine Tidal Marsh

The FNAI (2001) defines the Tidal Marsh as “expanses of grasses, rushes and sedges along coastlines of low wave-energy and river mouths.” These areas are typically subjected to routine tidal inundation by saline waters. The salinity may vary, particularly from season to season, but will normally be intermediate between freshwater and ocean water. Although there are extensive areas of Estuarine Tidal Marsh in St. Johns County, only very small areas of this habitat are present within the Plan Area. The largest of these areas is on the west side of ASP. The dominant vegetation in this community includes black needlerush (*Juncus roemerianus*) and

smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*). Other plants include saltgrass (*Distichlis spicata*), seashore dropseed (*Sporobolus virginicus*), and glassworts (*Salicornia* spp.).

Wildlife in the Estuarine Tidal Marsh include a variety of invertebrates, reptiles, mammals, fish, and birds, including marsh periwinkles (*Littorina irrorata*), fiddler crabs (*Uca* spp.), diamondback terrapin (*Malaclemys terrapin*), and raccoons. Although most species of fish are not present in this community on a continual basis, juveniles of many species will extend into the salt marsh during high tides. A variety of wading birds (e.g., herons, egrets, rails) inhabits this community either as year-round or seasonal residents. Some birds, such as the clapper rail (*Rallus longirostris*), nest in the tall marsh grasses.

3.5.2. Listed Species in the Plan Area

Given the comparatively large size (approximately 2,400 acres) of the Plan Area and the varied natural communities that are located within it, habitat is available for a broad diversity of flora and fauna. Over 475 species of plants and over 400 species of animals have been documented within GRSP alone, and this park comprises only 4.7 mi (approximately 11.4 percent) of the 42.0 mi within the HCP Plan Area boundaries. Within these varied communities, there is habitat for numerous invertebrates (including mollusks, arthropods, crustaceans, and insects), fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, mammals, and plants.

Information concerning the plants and animals within the HCP boundaries was obtained through a variety of sources, including both cursory field excursions and reviews of previously published materials. Included in these materials is information concerning sedentary species (e.g., plants), species with comparatively small ranges (e.g., beach mice), and species that are highly migratory (e.g., sea turtles and many birds) that may use portions of the Plan Area for only part of the year.

The primary focus of this HCP is the protection of sea turtles, the Anastasia Island beach mouse, and other animals and plants that are designated by the Federal government as “endangered” or “threatened.” Current species lists for animals and plants contained in 50 CFR 17 and 50 CFR 23 respectively, were used as the basis for field surveys and data inquiries. This work effort also included inquiries and searches for species that are protected by the State of Florida.

The FWC is the State agency responsible for enforcing Florida’s wildlife protection regulations. Under this authority, FWC evaluates the populations of various species and designates animals whose populations are threatened by natural and/or anthropogenic impacts as “endangered,” “threatened,” or “species of special concern.” In Florida, the authority to regulate plants rests with the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACS), which evaluates plant populations and, as warranted, designates individual plant species as “endangered,” “threatened,” or “commercially exploited.”

Both the State and Federal governments may list species. However, because of varied habitat requirements and geographic distributions, an individual species’ designation may vary at the Federal and State levels. Information regarding the presence of listed species within the Plan Area comes from two primary sources: 1) literature search and 2) field investigation. The results of these studies are described below.

Information concerning protected flora and fauna that have been observed within the Plan Area are primarily restricted to records available from the Florida Division of Recreation and Parks and the FNAI. At some locations where large tracts are in public ownership (i.e., GRSP and ASP), intensive surveys have already been performed to document the presence and/or abundance of protected plant and animal species. Prior to conducting field surveys, information was obtained from published sources to create a database for protected species throughout the Plan Area. The major literature sources include:

Florida Natural Areas Inventory, October 2001 (FNAI 2001). The FNAI maintains the single most comprehensive database of protected species in Florida. Based in Tallahassee, FNAI continually updates locations of protected species as verified reports are provided by governmental and private entities that are conducting fieldwork throughout the State. A query of FNAI's database revealed the known occurrence of various protected plants and animals within the Plan Area (FNAI 2001; Appendix D). In interpreting the FNAI database, the absence of listed species information at a specific site does not necessarily mean that the species does not occur at the site. Instead, it merely identifies that no documented sightings have been reported to FNAI.

Guana River State Park Unit Management Plan, November 1999 (FDEP 1999). This State-owned, beachfront tract encompasses almost 2,500 acres, extending from the Atlantic Ocean to areas west of SR A1A. 4.7 mi of oceanfront shoreline are within the Plan Area. A variety of surveys for flora and fauna have been conducted since its acquisition in 1984. These surveys, together with the records of observations by park staff, provide the most detailed biological inventories within the Plan Area.

Anastasia State Recreation Area (Anastasia State Park) Unit Management Plan, May 1998 (FDEP 1998a). Acquired by the State between 1949 and 1987, ASP contains approximately 1,370 acres, including 2.5 mi of beachfront habitat within the Plan Area. Inventories of the wildlife and plants found in the state park have been compiled from biological surveys and park staff observations.

Draft General Management Plan for Fort Matanzas National Monument, February 1982 (NPS 1982). This \pm 300-acre tract is owned by the Federal government and managed by the U.S. Department of the Interior's National Park Service primarily as a site of historical significance. Located near St. Johns County's southern boundary, the majority of this tract is situated west of the CCCL on Rattlesnake Island. Approximately 50 acres of this property is east of the Matanzas River. The Draft General Management Plan provides detailed descriptions of the historical and cultural resources of the property, but has relatively little information concerning endangered and threatened species.

Atlas of Marine Resources, Version 1.3, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Florida Marine Research Institute, 2000 (FWC 2000). The state of Florida maintains a comprehensive database concerning the endangered West Indian Manatee. Site-specific information concerning the locations of manatee sightings and mortalities in St. Johns County was obtained using this CD.

Field Investigation

In November 2001, biologists from Ecological Associates, Inc. (EAI), in conjunction with staff from Taylor Engineering, Inc. and the Florida Park Service, performed a field assessment of the entire length of the HCP Plan Area to characterize natural communities and document the presence of listed species.

Cumulative analyses of these data sources revealed the presence or likely presence of a variety of plants and animals that are protected by Federal and/or State regulations. Federally designated species that are reported to occur within or adjacent to the Plan Area are identified in Table 3-4 and are later described. In situations where an individual federally listed species is also protected by State endangered species regulations, the State designation is also provided. The extent to which the protected species identified in Table 3-4 are present in any specific area within the HCP Plan Area is directly related to the extent and quality of available requisite habitat. No federally listed fish, invertebrates, or plants are known to occur within the Plan Area.

3.5.2.1. Listed Wildlife Species

Table 3-4 identifies 15 species of wildlife within or adjacent to the HCP Plan Area that are listed by the Federal government as endangered or threatened. Information concerning these wildlife species is provided in this section.

3.5.2.1.1. Sea Turtles

3.5.2.1.1.1. Loggerhead Turtle (*Caretta caretta*)

3.5.2.1.1.1.1. Biological Information on the Loggerhead Turtle

The loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) was federally listed on July 28, 1978, as a threatened species under the ESA (43 FR 32800). Internationally, it is considered “endangered” by the World Conservation Union (Hilton-Taylor 2001) and is listed in Appendix I of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES). The loggerhead turtle is circum-global in distribution and inhabits the continental shelves and estuarine environments along the margins of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans (Dodd 1988). Loggerhead turtles spend virtually their entire life cycle in marine and estuarine waters, with the exception of brief periods when adult female turtles come ashore to lay their eggs.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the USFWS (1991a) summarized the geographic distribution of loggerhead turtle nesting. Approximately 88 percent of loggerhead turtle nesting occurs in the southeastern United States, Oman, and Australia. Approximately 50,000 to 85,000 loggerhead turtle nests are deposited on southeastern U.S. beaches annually, ranking this rookery as the second largest in the world (NMFS and USFWS 1991a, FWC *unpublished data* 2002). The vast majority of this nesting occurs in Florida. The beaches of east central and southeast Florida from Brevard to Broward Counties are especially prolific nesting areas, accounting for about 90 percent of the total nests deposited each year in Florida (Meylan *et al.* 1995).

The adult loggerhead foraging grounds for the south Florida nesting population are thought to be around the Caribbean Islands of the Bahamas, Cuba, and Dominican Republic, as well as around the eastern seaboard of the United States, Florida Keys, and Gulf of Mexico (Meylan 1982, Meylan *et al.* 1983, Henwood 1987, Rankin-Baransky 1997). The average female makes reproductive migrations between her foraging grounds and nesting beach every two or three years (Richardson and Richardson 1982, Murphy and Hopkins 1984). Best available scientific information suggests that loggerheads, like other species of sea turtles, return to their natal beaches to lay their eggs (Bowen *et al.* 1993).

Mating season in southeastern Florida begins in early March, prior to commencement of nesting. The first loggerhead nests begin to appear in late April, and the last nests are deposited in early to mid-September (NMFS and USFWS 1991a, Meylan *et al.* 1995). Nesting peaks during the months of June and July. Aerial surveys have shown the numbers of adult turtles off the east coast of Florida to be about 15 times higher in the spring and summer than in the fall and winter, indicating that adults migrate from elsewhere to mate and nest (Thompson 1984, National Research Council 1990).

The general nesting process for all species of sea turtles is stereotypical, with subtle variations (Miller 1997). Hailman and Elowson (1992) documented the sequential behaviors associated with loggerhead turtle nesting (ascending the beach, making the body pit, digging the egg chamber, laying eggs, filling the egg chamber, covering the body pit, and returning to the surf). Unless otherwise noted, the phases described below for loggerheads apply to the other sea turtle species as well.

Nesting occurs almost exclusively at night. Female sea turtles emerge from the surf zone and ascend the beach in search of an appropriate place to construct their nests. If a suitable nesting site cannot be found, the turtle will return to the ocean (a non-nesting emergence or false crawl) and will typically select another site either later that night or the next night (Miller *et al.* in press). If the turtle receives favorable visual cues, and if undisturbed, she will emerge from the water and ascend the beach.

For development, sea turtle eggs require a low-salinity, high-humidity, well-ventilated substrate that is not inundated by tidal overwash (Miller 1997). It has been suggested that abrupt changes in temperature, moisture, salinity, and/or beach slope along an ocean-to-dune gradient may aid in nest site selection (Stoneburner and Richardson 1981, Wood and Bjorndal 2000). Nest placement may also be influenced by local lighting conditions and/or the presence of structures on the beach. On urban beaches, where a bright sky glow is often present landward of the beach, Salmon *et al.* (1995a) found that females tended to concentrate their nests on the beach within the darker silhouettes of large condominiums, and nested with lower frequency in the more illuminated areas between the structures. Mosier (1998) and Bouchard *et al.* (1998) observed that nest densities in front of armoring structures were reduced relative to areas of natural dune vegetation.

Once a suitable site is found, the turtle will begin excavating a shallow body pit. At the rear of this depression, she will then excavate an egg chamber, which is about 60 cm deep (Ernest and Martin 1999). Into the egg chamber, the loggerhead female will usually deposit between 100

and 120 eggs (Ehrhart 1979, Raymond 1984, Ehrhart and Witherington 1987, Steinitz 1990, Broadwell 1991, Ernest and Martin 1993, Ehrhart 1995). Once egg-laying is complete, the female packs the top of the egg chamber with moist sand with her rear flippers then covers the entire body pit by throwing sand backwards with her front flippers. The turtle then crawls back to the ocean. The average time that a loggerhead turtle spends on dry land during the entire nesting process is 63.0 minutes (Hailman and Elowson 1992). The young receive no subsequent parental care.

Female sea turtles typically lay several clutches of eggs during each season that they nest (Ehrhart 1982, Murphy and Hopkins 1984). In a review of literature on loggerhead turtles, Ehrhart (1989) concluded that the estimate of 4.1 nests per female made by Murphy and Hopkins (1984) was the current best estimate of mean intraseasonal clutch frequency in this species. Renesting intervals vary among species but are generally approximately two weeks (Hirth 1980, Ehrhart 1982). Individuals usually return to the same general area to lay successive clutches (Carr 1967, Dodd 1988). Recent genetic evidence supports long-held beliefs that turtles exhibit a natal homing instinct (i.e., upon reaching reproductive age, sea turtles return to their natal beaches to nest) (Meylan *et al.* 1990, Bowen *et al.* 1993, Allard *et al.* 1994, Encalada *et al.* 1998).

Genetic research (mtDNA) has identified five distinct loggerhead nesting subpopulations/nesting aggregations in the western North Atlantic (Bowen 1994, 1995a, and 1995b, Bowen *et al.* 1993, Encalada *et al.* 1998, Pearce 2001):

- Northern (North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and northeast Florida);
- South Florida (from 29°N latitude on Florida's east coast to Sarasota on Florida's west coast);
- Dry Tortugas, Florida
- Northwest Florida (Eglin Air Force Base and the beaches near Panama City); and
- Yucatan (eastern Yucatan Peninsula).

Data indicate that gene flow between these five regions is very low. If nesting females are extirpated from one region, dispersal from adjacent subpopulations will not be sufficient to replenish the depleted stock. The Northern Subpopulation has declined substantially since the early 1970s, but most of that decline occurred prior to 1979. No significant trend has been detected in recent years (TEWG 1998 and 2000). Adult loggerheads of the South Florida Subpopulation have shown significant increases over the last 25 years, indicating that the subpopulation may be recovering. Between 1989 to 1998, based on the State of Florida's Index Nesting Beach Survey program, loggerhead nesting in Florida appears to be stable or increasing (Witherington and Koeppel 1999). Nesting surveys in the Northwest Florida and Yucatan Subpopulations have been too irregular to date to allow for a meaningful trend analysis (TEWG 1998 and 2000). The Dry Tortugas Subpopulation has only recently been identified as a distinct management unit (Pearce 2001).

To date, it has not been possible to identify precise boundaries between the Northern and South Florida Subpopulations. Encalada *et al.* (1998) hypothesized that the break should occur somewhere between Amelia Island and Cape Canaveral, which includes St. Johns County.

Recent analyses of samples from Amelia Island and Volusia County do not resolve the issue (Pearce 2001). The Volusia County area may represent yet another distinct nesting subpopulation or an overlap of the two adjacent subpopulations.

From the time a female turtle crawls onto the beach until the time that egg laying begins, she may be disturbed by noises, lights, or movement on the beach (Hendrickson 1958, Dodd 1988). This may cause her to abort her nesting attempt and return to the ocean (Hailman and Elowson 1992). Disruption to the nesting process may place an energy burden, and hence a reproductive cost, on a female turtle, but this impact has not been quantified. The further into the nesting process she becomes, particularly with respect to digging activity, the greater the potential energy cost associated with the disruption. Repeated disruptions may cause a turtle to venture to a “safer” area or deposit her eggs in a suboptimal habitat (Murphy 1985). Excessive energy expenditures theoretically could reduce an individual’s total reproductive output for the season. Salmon *et al.* (1995a) studied nesting preference in loggerheads on the urbanized beaches of Boca Raton, Florida. They report that nesting turtles showed a propensity for nesting in front of dark buildings and trees that block bright lights and urban glow. This indicated that light barriers might be effective in mitigating lighting problems. However, shading from light barriers may affect the incubation temperature of nests and result in skewed sex ratios of hatchlings produced.

During incubation, sea turtle nests can be impacted by a variety of natural and anthropogenic factors. Natural factors include tidal inundation, erosion, sediment accretion, root invasion, excavation by other turtles, and predation (Bustard and Greenham 1968, Stancyk 1982, Whitmore and Dutton 1985, Ehrhart and Witherington 1987, Ernest and Martin 1999, EAI 2001b). Human-related impacts include construction activities, stormwater and pool runoff, deliberate or incidental excavation of eggs, nest penetration by recreational equipment (e.g., beach umbrellas, volleyball posts), and excessive pressure above the nest caused by foot or vehicular traffic (Mann 1977, Witham 1982, Coston-Clements and Hoss 1983).

Sea turtle nests incubate for variable periods of time. The loggerhead turtle incubation period ranges from approximately 49 to 80 days for nests left *in situ* (in place) (Dodd 1988). The warmer the temperature of the sand surrounding the egg chamber, the faster the embryos develop (Mrosovsky and Yntema 1980). Sediment temperatures prevailing during the middle-third of the incubation period also determine the phenotypic sex of sea turtles (Mrosovsky and Yntema 1980). Moisture conditions in the nest similarly influence incubation period, hatching success, and hatchling size (McGehee 1990). Sea turtle hatchlings do not typically emerge from the nest immediately after hatching from their eggs. Instead, they remain in the egg chamber for several days before ascending to the beach surface (Christens 1990). The inclusive time between the date a clutch of eggs is laid and the date the first hatchling emerges from the nest is termed the incubation period. The average incubation period for loggerhead nests along the central and south Florida east coast is typically between 49 and 54 days (Ehrhart and Witherington 1987, EAI 2000a and 2001b). In Volusia County, Florida, the incubation period for loggerheads is slightly longer and typically ranges between 47 and 73 days (EAI 2001a). Incubation periods for loggerhead nests in St. Johns County range between 46 and 76 days in 2001 (FWC *unpublished nesting* 2002).

Hatchlings emerge from their nests almost exclusively at night, presumably using decreasing sand temperature as a cue (Hendrickson 1958, Mrosovsky 1968, Witherington *et al.* 1990). Nighttime emergences are beneficial, because the risks of predation and hyperthermia are reduced. An abrupt lowering of sand temperatures after nightfall apparently increases hatchling activity and elicits an emergence response. Even after the initial emergence of hatchlings from the nest, there may be secondary emergences on subsequent nights (Carr and Ogren 1960, Ernest and Martin 1993). The number of hatchlings leaving each nest is extremely variable. Ehrhart and Witherington (1987) reported that average emerging success (percentage of eggs that produce hatchlings which escape from the nest) of 85 nests in southern Brevard County was 63.7 percent. Thus, the average loggerhead nest (116 eggs) would produce about 74 hatchlings.

Emergence marks the beginning of the period of high activity during which hatchlings enter the sea and swim away from land in a “frenzy” (Wyneken and Salmon 1992). Hatchlings may use a variety of cues to guide them to the offshore, pelagic environments where they spend their early years (Carr 1987, Bolten *et al.* 1993, Witherington 1994, Bolten and Balazs 1995). Hatchlings first use light cues to find the ocean. On natural, undeveloped beaches, ambient light reflected off the ocean creates a relatively bright horizon compared to the dark dune and vegetation landward of the nest. This contrast guides the hatchlings to the ocean (Witherington 1992, Salmon *et al.* 1992), where they then begin orienting to waves (Wyneken *et al.* 1990). Salmon *et al.* (1995b) demonstrated scientifically the relationship between artificial lighting and disorientation.

Prevailing waves along Florida’s east coast during the nesting season are typically from the east and southeast. By swimming into the waves, hatchlings are guided to offshore habitats. Upon entering the surf, hatchlings swim incessantly in an offshore direction for about 24 hours (Wyneken and Salmon 1992). During this period, they also may set an internal magnetic compass, which may account for their ability to accurately navigate over long distances in the world’s oceans (Lohmann and Lohmann 1994). Cues hatchlings receive in the nest or between the time they leave the nest and arrive at offshore habitats may be critical to their ability to return to their natal beaches for nesting as adults.

Western Atlantic loggerheads are estimated to spend about ten years in the pelagic environment (Bolten and Balazs 1995). When loggerhead turtles reach the size of 40-60 cm (1.3-1.9 ft) straight carapace length, they move into various inshore estuaries or reef-system habitats in the shallow coastal waters of the western Atlantic (Carr 1986 and 1987). The nearshore regions where juvenile and subadult loggerheads live and forage have been termed developmental habitats. Loggerheads may reside in these developmental habitats either seasonally or year-round until they reach sexual maturity, which is estimated to occur between 20 to 30 years or more of age (Frazer and Ehrhart 1985, Klinger and Musick 1995, Parham and Zug 1997).

Hatchlings may be impacted by a variety of natural processes and human activities, both while in the nest and during their nest-to-sea migration. Prior to emerging from the nest, hatchlings are vulnerable to predation (by raccoons, ghost crabs, and other predators) and tidal inundation (Stancyk 1982, Ehrhart and Witherington 1987, Milton *et al.* 1994, Martin 1996). If water fills the nest and does not drain rapidly, the hatchlings may drown. Vehicles, beach cleaning equipment, foot traffic, and recreational equipment can cause physical disturbances above the

nest, which may crush hatchlings, prematurely remove them from the nest, or cause their premature emergence. Obstacles over the top of the nest may impede or prevent their emergence.

After hatchlings emerge from the nest, they may be attacked by a variety of nocturnal predators, including raccoons, ghost crabs, night herons, dogs, foxes, and cats (Stancyk 1982, Dodd 1988). In addition to mortality from predators, hatchlings also may be impacted by human activities.

Probably the single largest anthropogenic threat to hatchlings along Florida's nesting beaches is the impact of coastal lighting. Because hatchlings instinctively orient to the brightest horizon, they are frequently drawn toward illuminated buildings and roadways in urban areas (McFarlane 1963, Philibosian 1976, Mann 1977, Witherington 1992). False lighting cues can cause misorientation (hatchlings travel along a consistent course towards a light source) or disorientation (hatchlings are not able to set a particular course and wander aimlessly) (Witherington 1990). Both situations have potential for serious impacts.

Hatchlings attracted to lights near roadways may be run over by vehicles. Additionally, prolonged crawling on the beach depletes valuable energy stores that are intended to take the hatchlings on their offshore odyssey. Excessive crawling leads to exhaustion and extends a hatchling's period of vulnerability to terrestrial predators. Weakened hatchlings that eventually reach the ocean may be more vulnerable to marine predators, which are abundant in nearshore waters (Wyneken *et al.* 1994).

In addition to problems created by beachfront lights, hatchlings often must navigate through a variety of obstacles before reaching the ocean. These include natural and human debris, physical structures on the beach, recreational equipment, pedestrian and horse footprints, parked vehicles, and vehicle ruts. As with impacts associated with beachfront lighting, obstacles on the beach interfere with a hatchling's timely progress toward the ocean. Travel times of hatchlings from the nest to the water may be extended when traversing areas of heavy foot traffic or vehicular ruts (Hosier *et al.* 1981). Hatchlings may be upended and spend both time and energy in righting themselves. Although hatchlings may be capable of scaling the walls of some vehicular ruts, the walls often cast a shadow causing the hatchlings to move shore-parallel within the rut in the direction of the brightest light (Mann 1977, Arianoutsou 1988, LeBuff 1990, Cox *et al.* 1994, Hughes and Caine 1994).

3.5.2.1.1.1.2. Site-Specific Information on the Loggerhead Turtle

Between 1988 and 1992, St. Johns County's 42.0 mi of beach supported about 0.3 percent of the total loggerhead nesting in the State of Florida (Meylan *et al.* 1995). More recent data (1996-2001) indicate that an average of about 267.5 loggerhead nests are deposited in the County each season, yielding an overall nest density of 6.5 nests per mile (FWC *unpublished data* 2002; Table 3-5a and 3-5b). Between 1996 and 2000, the highest loggerhead nest densities were reported in Guana River State Park, North St. Augustine Beaches survey zone (actually the Vilano Beach area), and Ponte Vedra South survey zone (Table 3-5a; FWC *unpublished data* 2002). During the 2001 nesting season (new FWC survey zones were used), loggerhead nest densities were greatest in Vilano Beach, Guana River State Park, and Guana River South (Table

3-5b; FWC *unpublished data* 2002). Using another set of data from 1998-2001, the highest loggerhead turtle nesting densities occurred in Fort Matanzas South (Summer Haven), Guana River State Park, Guana River South, and Vilano Beach (Table 3-5c; FWC *unpublished data* 2002, Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). The earliest recorded nesting by a loggerhead in St. Johns County was on May 1 (Table 3-7). The latest nest was recorded on September 26.

3.5.2.1.1.2. Green Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*)

3.5.2.1.1.2.1. Biological Information on the Green Turtle

In 1978, the breeding populations of the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) in Florida and on the Pacific Coast of Mexico were federally listed as endangered; all other populations were listed as threatened (43 FR 32800).

The green turtle is a circum-global species in tropical and subtropical waters. The major green turtle nesting colonies in the Atlantic Ocean occur on Ascension Island, Aves Island, Costa Rica, and Surinam (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Nesting in the United States occurs in small numbers in the U.S. Virgin Islands and on Puerto Rico and in larger numbers along the east coast of Florida, particularly in Brevard, Indian River, St. Lucie, Martin, Palm Beach, and Broward Counties.

Allard *et al.* (1994) concluded that the Florida nesting population of green turtles is genetically distinct, and Meylan *et al.* (1995) stated that the Florida green turtle nesting aggregation deserves recognition as a regionally significant colony. Between 1988 and 1992, Brevard County accounted for nearly 39.5 percent, the majority, of green turtle nesting in Florida (Meylan *et al.* 1995).

The nesting behavior and life history stages of green turtles are similar to those of loggerheads. However, green turtles typically do not begin nesting until late May. Estimates of the number of green turtle nests deposited each year in Florida range from several hundred to over 8,400 (FWC *unpublished data* 2002).

Like the loggerhead, green turtles lay multiple clutches of eggs during the nesting season. Based on research conducted in south Brevard County during 1991 and 1992, Johnson (1994) estimated that green turtles deposited one to seven clutches during the nesting season with an average of about three nests per female. However, he cautioned that, because of inherent biasing factors, the true mean probably lies between three and four.

The mean clutch size of green turtle nests is usually 110 to 115 eggs, but this mean varies among populations (NMFS and USFWS 1991b). Witherington and Ehrhart (1989) reported an average clutch size of 136 eggs for 130 clutches on the east coast of Florida. In south Brevard County, Johnson (1994) reported a mean clutch size of 131 eggs. When data from 1985 through 1992 were combined, Johnson (1994) estimated overall hatchling emerging success to be 56.7 percent; thus, the average nest yielded approximately 74.8 hatchlings. Incubation periods for green turtle nests range from approximately 48 to 70 days (Marquez 1990).

In the State of Florida, green turtle nesting appears to be increasing, at least in the last half of the twentieth century (Dodd 1982, Meylan *et al.* 1995). During the period from 1989 to present, green turtle nesting in Florida has shown a clear biannual periodicity, with relatively low nest numbers being recorded in odd-numbered years and high nest numbers being documented in even-numbered years (Witherington and Koepfel 1999, FWC *unpublished data* 2002).

3.5.2.1.1.2.2. *Site-Specific Information on the Green Turtle*

Between 1988 and 1992, St. Johns County Beaches supported about 0.1 percent of the State's green turtle nests (Meylan *et al.* 1995). Between 1996 and 2001, an average of 8.3 nests were deposited on County Beaches each year (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). This equates to about 0.2 nests per mile for the entire Plan Area (Table 3-5a and 3-5b). Between 1996-2000, green turtle nest densities were highest in GRSP, ASP, and the areas monitored by FMNM (Crescent Beach Ramp to Flagler County Line) (Table 3-5a). In 2001 when the new FWC survey zones revealed more detail in the nesting trends, green turtle nest densities were highest in Fort Matanzas South, Vilano Beach, and GRSP. Using another set of data from 1998-2001, the highest green turtle nesting densities occurred in Fort Matanzas South (Summer Haven) and Guana River State Park (Table 3-5c; FWC *unpublished data* 2002, Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). The earliest recorded nesting by a green turtle in St. Johns County was on May 6, though this may be erroneous since green turtle nesting generally does not begin before late May in Florida. The latest nest was recorded on September 14 (Table 3-7).

3.5.2.1.1.3. *Leatherback Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea)*

3.5.2.1.1.3.1. *Biological Information on the Leatherback Turtle*

The leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), the largest of the extant species of sea turtle, was federally listed as an endangered species in 1970 (35 CFR 8491). Unlike other sea turtles, the carapace, or top shell, of the leatherback is not covered with bony plates. Rather, its carapace is composed of a black, oil-saturated, rubber-like tissue which is strengthened by a mosaic of thousands of small bones just below the outer skin of the carapace. The morphology of the leatherback is so distinct that it is placed in a separate family (Dermochelyidae) from other extant species of sea turtles (Cheloniidae) (NMFS and USFWS 1992).

Other unique characteristics include the leatherback's presence in cold waters, an internal temperature that exceeds ambient water temperature, and its ability, unique among reptiles, to be active at temperatures that have been reported to be as low as 0 degrees Celsius (Frair *et al.* 1972, Goff and Lien 1988). Whereas the other species of sea turtles tend to inhabit relatively shallow coastal waters where they feed on bottom dwelling plants and animals, leatherbacks tend to be pelagic (Pritchard and Trebbau 1984). They feed primarily on soft-bodied animals, such as jellyfish, that are abundant in the open ocean (Lazell 1980, Hendrickson 1980, Shoop and Kenney 1992).

Leatherback turtles travel great distances between their winter foraging and summer nesting grounds (Goff *et al.* 1994, Girondot and Fretey 1996). The leatherback turtle is found in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans and has been spotted as far north as the Barents Sea,

Canadian Maritime Provinces and Alaska, and as far south as Chile, the Cape of Good Hope, and New Zealand (Pritchard and Trebbau 1984).

Nesting grounds are distributed circum-globally (40° North to 35° South Latitude). The total population of mature females worldwide has been estimated to be about 34,500 (Spotilla *et al.* 1996). At present, two of the largest populations of leatherbacks occur in the Western Atlantic in French Guiana and Suriname (Spotilla *et al.* 1996). In French Guiana, 4,500 to 7,500 females are estimated to nest annually, and 600 to 2,000 nesting females in Suriname (Girondot and Fretey 1996). Nesting occurs frequently, but in lesser numbers, from Costa Rica to Columbia and in Guyana and Trinidad (National Research Council 1990). Nesting in the United States occurs primarily in Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and southeastern Florida.

Until recently, only about 16 to 31 leatherback turtles were thought to nest annually in Florida (Meylan *et al.* 1995, NMFS and USFWS 1992). However, that figure appears to have increased significantly over the last decade (Witherington and Koepfel 1999). The majority (more than 90 percent) of the leatherback turtle nests recorded in Florida between 1988 and 1992 occurred in St. Lucie, Martin, and Palm Beach Counties. During that same period, 0.9 percent occurred in St. Johns County (Meylan *et al.* 1995).

Leatherbacks are thought to migrate to their nesting beach about once every two to three years (NMFS and USFWS 1992, Miller 1997). Nesting by this species in Florida typically begins and ends earlier in the season than for the other species, with the first nests being recorded in late February or early March and the last nests in July (Meylan *et al.* 1995). Tucker (1989) and Tucker and Frazer (1991) reported that leatherback turtles nested an average of five to seven times per year, with a mean interesting interval of nine to ten days. The mean annual clutch size of leatherback turtles varies from 65 to 80 yolked eggs (Tucker and Frazer 1991, NMFS and USFWS 1992), and incubation periods vary from 55 to 75 days (NMFS and USFWS 1992).

On Hutchinson Island, Florida, in Martin and St. Lucie Counties, the average leatherback nest contains 76.8 yolked eggs and the average emerging success is 50.0 percent (EAI *unpublished data* 2001). Thus, a typical leatherback nest unaffected by predation or storms produces about 38 hatchlings. Incubation periods for leatherback nests in Florida are generally longer than for loggerhead and green turtle nests, because leatherbacks have a tendency to deposit nests earlier in the season when cooler temperatures prevail.

3.5.2.1.1.3.2. Site-Specific Information on the Leatherback Turtle

The bulk of leatherback nesting in Florida occurs south of St. Johns County in St. Lucie, Martin, and Palm Beach Counties (Meylan *et al.* 1995). Between 1988 and 1992, St. Johns County received about 0.9 percent of the State's leatherback nesting. Since 1985, a total of 17 leatherback nests have been documented in the County (Meylan *et al.* 1995). This equates to an average of 1.3 nests per year. The majority of all leatherback nests are deposited in the northern portion of the County in GRSP and Ponte Vedra South (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). Using data from 1998-2001, the highest leatherback nesting densities occurred in Fort Matanzas South (Summer Haven) and Guana River State Park (Table 3-5c; FWC *unpublished data* 2002, Stoll

pers. comm. 2002). The earliest recorded nesting by a leatherback in St. Johns County was on April 18 (Table 3-7). The latest nest was recorded on July 22.

3.5.2.1.1.4. Hawksbill Turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*)

3.5.2.1.1.4.1. Biological Information on the Hawksbill Turtle

The hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) occurs in all of the tropical and subtropical oceans. It was federally listed as endangered in 1970 (35 CFR 8491). Throughout their range, hawksbills typically nest at lower densities compared to green and loggerhead turtles (National Research Council 1990). The low numbers may be the direct result of long-term over fishing. Although they are regularly spotted in coastal waters and reefs off South Florida, few hawksbills nest on Florida beaches (Meylan *et al.* 1995). Most of the Western Atlantic nesting takes place on the Yucatan Peninsula, Belize, Nicaragua, Panama, Venezuela, Antigua, and other Caribbean islands (NMFS and USFWS 1993). Hawksbills have an apparent preference for remote beaches with dense shrubbery on the landward side of the intertidal zone where offshore reefs or rock outcrops are in the vicinity (National Research Council 1990).

Hawksbills share many of the same life-history traits as loggerhead and green turtles. They are thought to migrate to their nesting beach about every three years, and nest about two to three times during the nesting season (Miller 1997). The average renesting interval is about 14.5 days. Hawksbills lay an average of 140 eggs per clutch, and the average incubation period is 59.2 days (NMFS and USFWS 1993).

3.5.2.1.1.4.2. Site-Specific Information on the Hawksbill Turtle

Between 1979 and 2000, only 20 hawksbill nests were reported in the State of Florida. These nests were documented in Broward, Dade, Martin, Monroe, Palm Beach, and Volusia Counties during the period from June through December (Meylan *et al.* 1995, FWC *unpublished data* 2002). However, hawksbill tracks are difficult to differentiate from those of loggerheads and may not be recognized by monitoring personnel.

Although no hawksbill nests have been documented in St. Johns County, the turtles can probably be found during warmer months of the year in nearshore waters of the County. This is evidenced by occasional strandings of hawksbills on beaches within the Plan Area (FWC *unpublished data* 2001b).

3.5.2.1.1.4. Kemp's Ridley Turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*)

3.5.2.1.1.4.1. Biological Information on the Kemp's Ridley Turtle

The Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*) has received protection in Mexico since the 1960s and was listed as endangered under United States law in 1970 (35 FR 18320). Together with the olive ridley, it is the smallest of the extant species of sea turtles. The Kemp's ridley is also the rarest and most endangered of the sea turtles, with nesting primarily occurring in the Mexican states of Tamaulipas and Veracruz (USFWS and NMFS 1992, USFWS 2001).

Kemp's ridley distribution is mainly limited to the Gulf of Mexico and Western Atlantic with occasional sightings in the Eastern Atlantic. Adult turtles are thought to spend most of their time in the Gulf of Mexico, while juveniles and subadults also regularly occur along the eastern seaboard of the United States (USFWS and NMFS 1992). The Kemp's ridley is carnivorous, feeding on swimming crabs, mollusks, jellyfish, and fish, with blue crabs apparently a preferred food.

Breeding and nesting occur from April through August on sandy beaches during broad daylight. They may nest singly or in large groupings called *arribadas*. Once they have mated, the females wait for heavy surf and high northeast winds before emerging from the water to nest. Kemp's ridleys are thought to nest every one or two years, depositing an average of 2.5 clutches per nesting season (TEWG 2000). The renesting interval is between 20 and 28 days, and the mean clutch size is about 110 eggs (Miller 1997).

3.5.2.1.1.4.2. Site-Specific Information on the Kemp's Ridley Turtle

Only seven Kemp's ridley nests have been documented in the State of Florida from 1979 through 2001 (Johnson *et al.* 1999, FWC *unpublished data* 2002). The nests were found in Volusia, Pinellas, Sarasota, and Lee Counties in the months of May and June. While it is likely that Kemp's ridleys utilize the nearshore Atlantic waters of St. Johns County, there have been no documented nests on the County's beaches (Meylan *et al.* 1995, FWC *unpublished data* 2002). Generally, several Kemp's ridleys strand on the beaches of St. Johns County each year (FWC *unpublished data* 2001b).

3.5.2.1.2. Anastasia Island Beach Mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus phasma*)

3.5.2.1.2.1. Biological Information on the Anastasia Island Beach Mouse (AIBM)

The Anastasia Island beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus phasma* Bangs 1898) (AIBM) is one of eight subspecies of the oldfield mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus*) known collectively as "beach mice." Table 3-8 illustrates the status and type localities of each these subspecies, of which seven are still extant and dwell in the coastal dunes of Florida and Alabama. On May 12, 1989, the AIBM was determined to be an endangered species pursuant to the ESA of 1973, as amended on June 6, 1985 (54 FR 20598-20602). This subspecies is also listed as endangered by FWC (Table 3-4).

The oldfield mice, including beach mice, are the smallest of the North American *Peromyscus*. Adult AIBM characteristically weigh from 12 to 18 grams (0.4 to 0.63 ounces), but pregnant females may weigh 20 to 30 grams (0.70 to 1.05 ounces; Frank and Humphrey 1992). Frank and Humphrey (1996) found the overall mean body mass was 15.0 +/- 0.1 grams for adult male Anastasia Island beach mice and 14.8 +/- 0.1 grams for adult non-pregnant females. Interestingly, they also reported that the mean body mass of adult non-pregnant beach mice from ASP was greater than that of mice from FMNM.

All subspecies of *Peromyscus polionotus* are specialists of habitats in the early stages of vegetative succession. Additionally, all subspecies of beach mice, including the AIBM, preferentially inhabit the dynamic foredunes and transitional backdunes of coastal barrier islands.

They are found in the greatest numbers in areas characterized by open, bare patches of sparsely vegetated sandy sediments (Ivey 1949, Frank 1996, Frank and Humphrey 1992 and 1996, Wooten 2001). AIBM prefer areas scantily vegetated with sea oats (*Uniola paniculata*), though they also have been trapped in sandy areas with broomsedge (*Andropogon sp.*) (Frank and Humphrey 1992).

Hurricanes and winter storms occur at semi-predictable intervals along the east coast of Florida. Since the AIBM are the only small mammal uniquely adapted to thrive in the foredunes, it stands to reason that they are also naturally adapted to deal with periodic storm events. According to Frank (1996), "Beach mice live in a very dynamic and unpredictable habitat, and they depend on their ability to recolonize habitats after a disturbance to persist." Many storms along Florida's east coast are characterized by tidal inundation, storm surge, washover events, and high winds and rain. These storm features threaten the very survival of beach mice, yet also sustain their preferred habitat.

Beach mice populate some of the most geologically active barrier islands in Florida, including Perdido Key (Perdido Key beach mouse), Santa Rosa Island (Santa Rosa beach mouse), Shell Island (Choctawatchee beach mouse), and Anastasia Island (AIBM). The constantly shifting shorelines of these islands have undergone remarkable geological changes over the last century (see Figures 3-5 for changes to Anastasia Island; Johnson and Barbour 1990, Pilkey *et al.* 1984). Beach mice rely on these geological changes and periodic storms to overwash and/or erode the dune system, thereby pruning vegetation and maintaining their habitat in early succession. But, beach mice also rely on the availability of the backdune transitional zone, where they can temporarily retreat during storm events. These backdune areas are commonly altered or eliminated by beachfront development, thereby threatening the ability of beach mice populations to persist through sequences of intermittent storms.

The AIBM and all *Peromyscus polionotus* are nocturnal rodents. The nocturnal habits of the AIBM have not been studied specifically; however they are expected to behave similarly to the Santa Rosa beach mouse. Santa Rosa beach mice were trapped more commonly on nights with half to new moons and cloudy skies, and they were observed to rarely leave their burrows during full moons (Blair 1951). According to Wooten (2001), "Recent radio tracking studies indicate that while active throughout the night, peaks of activity occur shortly after dusk and again after midnight."

All oldfield mice construct and maintain burrows. Blair (1951) documented that an individual Santa Rosa beach mouse may use up to 20 burrows, but generally 4 to 6 burrows is more common (Wooten 2001). Burrows are usually located on the well-drained sloping side of a dune and typically consist of: a) an entrance tunnel up to 1 m (3.28 ft) deep (mean depth of 53 cm (1.7 ft); Smith 1966, as cited in Wooten 2001), b) a nest chamber at a depth of 0.6 to 0.9 m (2 to 3 ft) (Blair 1951, as cited by USFWS 1993), and c) an escape tunnel. The escape tunnels generally rise from the nest chamber to just below the exterior of the dune, typically within 2.5 cm (1 inch) of the surface (USFWS 1993). These escape tunnels are extremely vulnerable to crushing via trampling by humans, horses, or other animals and provide reason to limit dune access in areas where AIBM burrows are evident.

Peromyscus polionotus are generally omnivorous rodents, but their diet mostly consists of seasonal seeds of dune plants, such as sea oats and dune panic grass (Gentry and Smith 1968, Smith 1971, Moyers 1996, as cited in Wooten 2001). However, they may also consume small invertebrates (Blair 1951, Ehrhardt 1978, as cited in USFWS 1993). According to USFWS (1993), AIBM in particular are noted to eat the seeds of sea oats, railroad vine, and prickly pear cactus.

The following excerpt from Frank and Humphrey (1996) indicates that AIBM commonly scavenge through trash receptacles in search of food.

Beach mice regularly used refuse containers placed directly on the beach above the high tide line at ASP. We observed mouse tracks and small burrows entering the drainage holes in the bottoms of 50-gallon drums used as trash barrels on the beach at ASP. To determine the identity of the rodent species using the barrels, traps were set directly in the trash barrels among the refuse. Trapping of this type resulted in beach mouse captures only, but it was quickly discontinued due to loss of traps and possibly of beach mice by aluminum can collectors. In contrast, beach trash containers at FMNM were elevated aboveground on wooden frames and equipped with sealed lids, preventing mice from entering the interior of the containers and using refuse as a food source. However, judging from the regular presence of mouse tracks at the trash containers at FMNM, beach mice also foraged in the vicinity of trash containers at this site.

Researchers had formerly hypothesized that the refuse containers near the dunes would attract the exotic house mouse (*Mus musculus*), which might compete with the AIBM. Frank and Humphrey (1996) concluded that house mice are probably not a serious threat to the persistence of beach mice in undisturbed dune habitats where beach mice are uniquely adapted.

The age structure demonstrated by the AIBM populations sampled by Frank and Humphrey (1996) is characterized by a conventional Type III survivorship curve, indicating extensive early mortality of newborn offspring with very few individuals surviving to adulthood (Pearl 1928, Deevey 1947, as cited in Begon *et al.* 1996). Wooten (2001) reports, "The average life span of *Peromyscus polionotus* in natural populations is less than nine months, although it is not uncommon to encounter mice that are one year of age. Captures of mice known to be two years old have been reported, and captive *P. polionotus* have reached four-plus years of age." The mean longevity of AIBM (from both ASP and FMNM), including all age classes and sexes, is 80 days (ranging from 20 to 593 days), though the mice appear to live significantly longer at FMNM than at ASP (Frank and Humphrey 1996).

Survivorship tends to decrease from spring through autumn and then experience an increase during the months of autumn and winter (Frank and Humphrey 1996). Peak population densities tend to occur in the winter (January) at both ASP and FMNM, followed by low recruitment and low population numbers in April (Frank and Humphrey 1996). Additionally, other studies have shown that juvenile Alabama beach mice that dispersed greater than 160 m from their natal site exhibited a significantly longer persistence time (Swilling and Wooten 2002 *in press*).

The reproductive potential and reproductive output of all beach mice is generally high (Ehrhardt 1978, as cited in USFWS 1993). The subspecies of *Peromyscus polionotus* are similar to all other mice in this genus in that they are extremely prolific, yet differ from most others by forming monogamous pairs (Blair 1951, Smith 1966, Foltz 1981, Swilling and Wooten 2002 *in press*, as cited in Wooten 2001). Breeding facilities have found that reproduction is optimized, when animals are continuously retained in breeding pairs (Wooten 2001).

Young *Peromyscus* reach sexual maturity at six to eight weeks of age (Frank and Humphrey 1992, as cited in USFWS 1993, Wooten 2001). At peak reproduction, serial litters are born at 26- to 30-day intervals, and beach mice are capable of producing 80 or more young in their lifetime (Bowen 1968, as cited in USFWS 1993, Wooten 2001). Female oldfield mice enter postpartum estrus about 12 hours after a litter is delivered and then may re-mate (Wooten 2001). According to USFWS (1993), “Smith (1996) reported that one captive female beginning at 118 days of age gave birth to 26 consecutive litters (139 young) with an average inter-litter interval of 30 days.” Litter sizes for beach mice normally range from 6 to 8 offspring (Caldwell and Gentry 1965, Carmon *et al.* 1967, as cited in Wooten 2001).

As has been documented for most oldfield mice, the subspecies of beach mice generally reproduce throughout the year and display continuously high recruitment. Both the ASP and the FMNM populations of the AIBM appear to undergo a significant increase in reproductive activity between April and July (Frank and Humphrey 1996). However, the beach mice of ASP exhibit consistently high turnover rates, while the mice of FMNM display more seasonal recruitment (Frank and Humphrey 1996). These slightly different reproductive strategies between the populations at ASP and FMNM may be partially explained by the disparities between the two populations in body mass and survivorship. According to 1989-1990 data, ASP mice weigh more than FMNM mice, perhaps due to more available food at ASP; FMNM mice live longer than ASP mice, perhaps due to less cat predation at FMNM (Frank and Humphrey 1996). However, more recent information reveals a decrease in cats at ASP (due to the State Park’s predator control program) and an increase in cats at FMNM (Bard *pers. comm.* 2002).

For management purposes, it is important to understand the size and use of home ranges of the AIBM in order to estimate how much spatial area is required for the population’s persistence through time. Data is not available for this particular subspecies, so we must assume that the AIBM behave similarly to other subspecies of *Peromyscus polionotus*. The following excerpt is taken from Wooten (2001):

Davenport (1964), using trapping data, estimated mean home range size to be 1,376 m² (0.34 acre) for oldfield mice, while Novak (1997) reported a substantially larger value of 3,168 m² (0.78 acre) for home ranges of *Peromyscus polionotus allophrys* on Shell Island, Florida. Average values reported by Lynn (2000) for Alabama beach mice, *Peromyscus polionotus ammobates*, were 4,086 to 5,512 m² (1.00 to 1.36 acre) from trapping data and 6,783 to 7,000 m² (1.67 and 1.73 acre) from telemetry data. Values as small as 389 m² (0.02 acre) and as large as 29,330 m² (7.24 acre) have been observed (Lynn 2000).

Young mice typically move an average of 432 m (1,415 ft) before establishing a home range (USFWS 1993). Then, as seen above, these mice inhabit home ranges that may greatly vary in size from one individual to another.

The size of an individual's home range seems to be correlated with food and burrow site availability (Smith 1971 and Lynn 2000, as cited in Wooten 2001) and may vary according to season and reproductive state of the mice (Wooten 2001). Wooten (2001) found that populations of other subspecies of *Peromyscus polionotus* do not appear to display intraspecific competition with increasing densities, but do display an increased compaction of home ranges. Wooten (2001) viewed the compaction of home ranges as resulting in the formation of spatial "neighborhoods" within populations. "For Alabama and Perdido Key beach mice, the approximate size of these spatial units is 550 m (0.34 mi) (linear) with occupancy of 40 to 70 mice" (Wooten 2001). In contrast, Frank (1996) did report density-dependent intraspecific competition, as expressed in the AIBM's habitat occupancy of the primary dune system. Frank (1996) found that the mice favor open sandy areas, but will use dense grassy regions intermittently, particularly when populations become dense.

With a typical r-selected life history, the AIBM repeatedly produces a large number of offspring with a small chance of surviving to adulthood (Begon *et al.* 1996). Because they dwell in such unpredictable and vulnerable habitats, their reproductive strategy is generally tailored to quickly recover from natural catastrophic events during which part of the population may be suddenly annihilated. In some cases, however, severe hurricanes have been blamed for regional extirpations of pockets of beach mice along the Gulf Coast of Florida and Alabama. For example, Meyers (*unpublished data*; as cited in Holler *et al.* 1989) suggested that Hurricane Frederic in 1979 was the impetus for the regional extirpation of the Perdido Key beach mouse (*P. p. trissyllepsis*) from the Gulf Shores National Seashore. Holler *et al.* (1989) viewed Hurricane Elena in 1985 as the cause for a substantial population decrease of the Perdido Key beach mouse at Gulf State Park.

Generally, scientists believe that AIBM populations are capable of substantial rebounds following storm events. Hurricanes typically strike during the autumn months, and populations tend to reflect hurricane losses as evidenced by recessed population levels during the following spring. But, during the summers following hurricanes, seed production by sea oats is unusually excellent due to fertilization from the previous storm's overwash (Holler *et al.* 1989). This extraordinary abundance of food resources prompts a restorative population boom, and the subspecies continues to prosper through catastrophic storm events.

Frank (1996) estimated the densities of the AIBM in 1989-1990 through live-trapping surveys, estimated their habitat area (by digitizing aerial maps and using GIS techniques to calculate the area of selected polygons), and multiplied the densities by the habitat areas to estimate the size of the AIBM population. Frank determined beach mouse densities on Anastasia Island ranged from a mean low of 10 mice per ha (about 4 mice per acre) to a mean high of 75 per ha (about 30 per acre), with an overall average of 30 per ha (about 12 per acre). His final estimates of the total population size in 1989-1990 ranged from a low of 1,719-1,755 individuals to a high of 12,891-13,165 individuals, with an annual mean of 5,157-5,266 individual beach mice in St. Johns County (Frank 1996).

The minimum number of individuals required for a population not to decline into extinction is a highly debated topic in conservation biology. Frank (1996) cited a chapter written by Soule and Wilcox (1981) in which the authors followed theoretical principles to calculate that approximately 500 individuals may constitute a viable population over the long term (Franklin 1981, as cited in Frank 1996). Frank (1996) stated, "Clearly the AIBM population exceeds this threshold and, without catastrophic storms, is probably a viable population" (Frank 1996). Frank viewed this population of 500 as the *effective population*, which would not include males nor non-breeding females (Frank *pers. comm.* 2002). However, recent estimates are more conservative when calculating the minimum number of individuals in a viable population. Meffe and Carol (1997) claim that populations of 1,000 to 10,000 individuals "are often said to be adequate to ensure long-term persistence." They continue by warning, "Such numbers can, however, at best be viewed as very general guidelines. The evolutionary record tells us that populations of 10,000 or more will almost certainly go extinct eventually, even though the expected persistence time is very long. Also, populations that appear to be safe for many years may suddenly decline," if their numbers drop below a required threshold or catastrophe strikes (Meffe and Carol 1997).

The Population Viability Analysis (PVA) constructed and manipulated by Frank during his dissertation work was, like most models, based on the very limited scientific evidence available and riddled with assumptions. But, Frank's PVA is the only model that simulates how the AIBM population will fail or prosper in response to specific circumstances (Frank 1996). Frank's unexpected result was that the long-term survival of AIBM populations were more threatened by a series of frequent, less severe storms (i.e., Category I hurricanes that, in the model, killed an estimated 10 percent of the population), than by a few catastrophic storms (i.e., Category 5 hurricanes that eliminated 95 percent of the population in simulations). In summary, the modeling scenario that forced a 10 percent reduction in the AIBM population each time a 10-year Category I hurricane hit St. Augustine Inlet drove the entire subspecies to extinction within an alarming 40 years.

In the scenarios where the AIBM survived to the end of the PVA's 50-year simulation period, the population generally reached a plateau at around 8,000 individuals (Frank 1996). Similarly, Frank estimated the total carrying capacity of Anastasia Island at around 8,500 individuals (this parameter may be necessarily arbitrary). Carrying capacity is an idealized concept used to describe the maximum population size that a given environment can support indefinitely (and an essential parameter in the PVA) (Begon *et al.* 1996). Perhaps, a total population of approximately 8,000 to 8,500 could be used as a management target, with the understanding that a greater number of individuals would be preferred to buffer against natural environmental, demographic, and genetic stochasticity. As stated earlier, Frank (1996) estimated the population size to unpredictably range between approximately 1,700 to 13,000 individuals, centering around a fluid mean of 5,200 individuals. Bard (*unpublished data* 2001) estimates the total AIBM population to range between 2,204 and 16,553 (these numbers only include mice in the State parks and national monument). However, it may be misleading to compare these two countywide population estimates. Due to the differing sampling methods used in each study, the difference between these two estimates may not necessarily represent an increase in AIBM over the last decade. Due to increased anthropogenic pressures and habitat loss since Frank's initial surveys in 1989-1990, the actual population numbers of AIBM may be lower today.

3.5.2.1.2.2. *Site-Specific Information on the Anastasia Island Beach Mouse*

The historical range of the AIBM probably included most of the beaches of St. Johns County. Their former range is thought to have stretched north to at least Mickler's Landing (Ivey 1949 and 1959, as cited in Frank and Humphrey 1992 and 1996), or perhaps as far north as the Duval County Line (USFWS 1993). The southern end of their range historically and presently extends to Matanzas Inlet (Ivey 1949 and 1959, Frank and Humphrey 1992 and 1996, USFWS 1993). The length of their total historical habitat consisted of about 50 linear mi of beach (USFWS 1993).

Today, the AIBM occupies a much reduced range consisting of about 14 linear miles of beach, of which only 3 mi may support viable populations (USFWS 1993). The current distribution of AIBM is limited to two barrier islands in St. Johns County—Anastasia Island and the unnamed barrier island north of St. Augustine Inlet. On these two barrier islands, the AIBM distribution can be further subdivided into four geographical regions—GRSP, ASP, FMNM, and the private properties north of FMNM.

Because each of these four geographical regions falls under a different regulatory authority, each is described separately. Yet, it is important to point out that these four regions represent *three distinct populations* of the AIBM that are incapable of natural genetic exchange. The first genetically isolated population resides within the boundaries of ASP. The beach mice at ASP have been cut off from the rest of Anastasia Island by a section of intense beachfront development in St. Augustine Beach. Within this approximately one-mile strip of the beach, the former dune system has been largely eliminated due to a critically eroding shoreline (see Figure 3-4) armored by a rock revetment seaward of a concrete seawall (Figure 3-8b) (Frank 1996).

The primary dune system reappears south of the St. Augustine Beach revetment and stretches largely uninterrupted south to the Matanzas Inlet at FMNM. FMNM serves as a second population nucleus for the beach mice, but there is reason to believe that AIBM also utilize the wide, hospitable dune system found on the private lands of Crescent and Butler Beaches to the north. In 1989-1990, Frank (1996) trapped beach mice along the entire length of Anastasia Island, and biologists periodically see beach mice sign (e.g., footprints, burrows, etc.) in the foredunes of Crescent Beach (EAI 2001c; Miller *pers. comm.* 2001).

The third genetically distinct population is now found at GRSP. GRSP falls within the historical range of the AIBM, though they appear to have been regionally extirpated from this barrier island sometime between the late 1950s (Ivey 1949, 1959) and the early 1980s (Humphrey *et al.* 1987). A population was re-introduced to GRSP in 1992 and supplemented in early 2000 (Miller *pers. comm.* 2001). The population at GRSP is isolated from the mice at ASP by the St. Augustine Inlet, which is a fast-flowing inlet about 300 m (984 ft) wide that beach mice are unlikely to traverse (Frank 1996).

Anastasia Island, St. Johns County

Anastasia State Park

ASP, located at the northern end of Anastasia Island, contains 10.8 linear km (6.7 mi) of shoreline, with 4.0 km (2.5 mi) of Atlantic Ocean shoreline. ASP falls within the State park system and is managed by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Beach mice predominantly inhabit the wide undisturbed dune system in the northern portion of the park locally known as Conch's Island. Since Conch's Island was only formed about 50 years ago (Figure 3-5), Frank and Humphrey (1996) assert that "the topography of Conch [sic] Island differs from the rest of Anastasia Island. The transition zone is wide, and a stable dune zone is absent. Instead, the transition zone adjoins salt marsh to the west." In order to maintain this early stage of vegetative succession, the State Park Service has initiated an ongoing habitat restoration project in a large section of Conch's Island (Miller *pers. comm.* 2001). The Park Service is using a variety of methods, including mowing, herbicide, and fire, to curb the growth of wax myrtle stands in the interdunal swales (Mulholland *pers. comm.* 2001). This will retain open sandy patches of sea oats, the preferred habitat of beach mice.

Frank (1996) estimated the amount of habitat available to the AIBM by digitizing aerial photographs of Anastasia Island. He calculated that beach mouse habitat in ASP was approximately 124.7 ha (308 acres). He estimated that the carrying capacity of the State park to be around 5,000 beach mice. From his trapping surveys conducted in 1989-1990, Frank estimated that the population size of AIBM at ASP ranged from 1,247 to 9,352 individuals (Frank 1996). Bard (*unpublished data* 2001) used Frank's estimates to calculate that the population at ASP in 2001 ranged from 1,600 to 12,000 beach mice.

Fort Matanzas National Monument

FMNM is located at the southern end of Anastasia Island and is managed by the National Park Service of the U.S. Department of the Interior. The Monument is spatially much smaller than ASP, including 2.9 km (1.8 mi) of continuous dunes and a calculated habitat area suitable for beach mice of 7.3 ha (18 acres) (Frank 1996). The following excerpt is taken from Frank and Humphrey's report (1996), "Vegetation at the two sites is generally similar, with the exception that the transition zone at FMNM has a greater abundance of woody species, shrubs, and dense swales than at ASP, and the transition zone at FMNM borders stable dunes vegetated by oak forest to the west." Frank (1996) estimated that FMNM has a carrying capacity of approximately 500 beach mice, and an estimated population size ranging from 73 to 547 individuals. Currently, there are no beach mice monitoring programs underway at FMNM. Bard (*unpublished data* 2001) calculated the 2001 population size to range from 200 to 1,518 AIBM in FMNM.

Private property north of FMNM

South of the seawall and revetment at St. Augustine Beach are 13.7 km (8.5 mi) of continuous dunes that stretch south to FMNM with a calculated beach mouse habitat area of about 79.8 ha (197.1 acre) (Frank 1996). This zone has only been systematically trapped for AIBM once—during a transect trapping study conducted by Phillip Frank in 1989-1990. In 1989, Frank found

AIBM at 100 percent of sampled sites (Frank and Humphrey 1996). In 1990, AIBM were present at 87 percent of sites trapped (Frank and Humphrey 1996). Though this stretch of land has not been trapped since 1990, beach mouse sign is sporadically seen in the foredunes of Crescent and Butler Beaches, including at Frank B. Butler Park (County-owned and managed) (Bard *pers. comm.* 2002, EAI 2001c, Miller *pers. comm.* 2001).

Although this area consists of mostly private lands, it is believed to support a significant and important portion of the AIBM population. Frank (1996) estimated that the density of beach mice on these lands was one-half the density on the public lands of ASP and FMNM, and the carrying capacity of the dunes along Crescent and Butler Beaches may approach 2,000 individuals. Under these assumptions, the estimated population size of AIBM ranged from 399 to 2,992 mice. Frank and Humphrey (1996) explain, “Despite the poor conditions of the unprotected habitat, large numbers of beach mice still occur there, and this habitat has an important function in maintaining demographic and genetic fitness of the beach mouse population as a whole. Demographically, the connectivity provided by this strip of habitat allows recolonization of patches of habitat that otherwise might remain vacant. Thus, distribution of beach mice along the length of the island also decreases the probability of extinction in the event of a catastrophic event such as a major hurricane.”

Guana River State Park

In 1949 and 1959 publications, Ivey documented the presence of the AIBM on two East Coast barrier islands—Anastasia Island and the unnamed barrier island stretching from St. Augustine Inlet north to the St. Johns River (Jacksonville Beach). By the 1980s, it appeared that the range of AIBM was reduced to Anastasia Island (Humphrey and Barbour 1981, Humphrey *et al.* 1987). In 1992, Frank trapped transects the length of GRSP and did not document the presence of any AIBM (Frank 1996). According to Frank (1996), “Why the Anastasia Island beach mouse was extirpated from the northern portion of the range was not documented, but severe hurricanes such as Donna in 1960 and David in 1979 that passed through the area may have contributed.” The USFWS Recovery Plan (1993) for the AIBM dictated that a management priority should be to establish at least two more viable populations. In 1992 and 1993, the USFWS funded the re-establishment of a population of beach mice on this unnamed northern barrier island at GRSP (Frank 1996).

Within four months after the initial release of AIBM into GRSP in 1992, they were detected to be present throughout the entire 6.7 km (4.2 mi) of contiguous undeveloped dune grassland within park boundaries (Frank 1996). Researchers are hopeful that the AIBM will persist in GRSP, because human disturbance is low and feral and free-ranging house cats are uncommon. Frank (1996) estimated that the carrying capacity of GRSP was approximately equivalent to the estimated population size—both projected to be 1,000 beach mice (Frank 1996).

Frank’s early estimates may have been overly optimistic. The Guana River population grew initially after introduction, but has dwindled since then (Bard *unpublished data* 2001). In a trapping study conducted in 1992, 34 beach mice were captured, and the population was estimated to total only 220 individuals (USFWS 1993). Bard (*unpublished data* 2001) estimated the GRSP population in 2001 to be increasing to a range between 404 and 3,035 individuals,

though the actual number is likely much lower according to recent and historic capture success. Bard (*unpublished data* 2001) estimated a minimum of 0.60 mice per km (0.97 mice per mi) in GRSP.

The GRSP population may have plummeted due to impacts from Hurricane Floyd (September 1999) and Hurricane Irene (October 1999). The foredunes at GRSP are generally low (compared to the foredunes on Anastasia Island), and the dune platform at GRSP commonly overwashes during storm events, as was the case during Hurricanes Floyd and Irene. These particular overwash events at GRSP in 1999 were devastating for the AIBM, as they caused severe scarping of the dune system. This eliminated both the habitat and food (i.e., sea oats) of beach mice and contributed to an unusually slow post-storm recovery (Miller *pers. comm.* 2001).

In addition to the population at GRSP, Frank (1996) believed that “the population had almost certainly expanded beyond the park boundaries onto private properties both north and south of the park. Suitable habitat is available and beach mice were captured at the park boundaries.” Thus, the AIBM may also inhabit private properties adjacent to GRSP.

3.5.2.1.3. American Alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*)

3.5.2.1.3.1. Biological Information on the American Alligator

The American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) is a relatively large resident reptile that is designated by the Federal government as a threatened species due to its similarity of appearance with the American crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*). Due to a large increase in their numbers, alligators have been “down-listed” by the State of Florida to a species of special concern.

The American alligator is likely the most well-known reptile found in Florida. Alligators eat fish, turtles, snakes, small mammals, and birds. They build elevated nests in wetland areas during early summer and lay 30-50 eggs. Nests are guarded by females, incubation is approximately 70 days, and hatchlings will generally stay together for their first year. Alligators can live in close proximity to mankind, but if repeatedly fed by humans, they may lose their fear of man and become a nuisance and potential threat.

Alligators can be found in suitable open-water and wetland habitats throughout most of peninsular Florida but are less abundant in the Panhandle. They inhabit forested and herbaceous wetlands and may be found in high quality natural habitat areas, impacted wetlands, man-made canals, and stormwater treatment retention/detention areas.

3.5.2.1.3.2. Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the American Alligator

Within or adjacent to the Plan Area, the presence of alligators has been documented only at ASP and GRSP. In these areas, the preferred habitat is freshwater wetlands.

3.5.2.1.4. Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)

3.5.2.1.3.1. Biological Information on the Bald Eagle

The bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) is a large bird of prey that is designated as a threatened species by both the Federal government and the State of Florida. In addition to protection afforded by the Federal Endangered Species Act and the Florida Wildlife Code, the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act also protect eagles. Although the Federal government is considering “de-listing” the bald eagle, the legal protections are currently still in effect, and there has been no parallel effort to reduce the protection by the State of Florida.

Bald eagles are Florida’s largest birds of prey, reaching 3 ft in length with a wingspan of 7 ft. The adult birds have a distinct white head and tail; the entire body is blackish-brown, and the beak and feet are yellow. Immature birds are a chocolate brown with some white on the breast and tail. Florida’s bald eagle population has increased since the 1970s, due in part to the ban on DDT, which caused “thin egg shell” syndrome. The population of bald eagles in Florida consists of some year-round individuals and some part-time winter residents that migrate to Florida from more northerly latitudes. Florida has more resident bald eagles than any other state in the country except Alaska. Bald eagles are found throughout the State, particularly along the coasts and near major rivers and lakes. The official nesting season runs from October 1 through May 15. The birds build large bulky nests primarily in tall pine or cypress trees, and add to the nest every year. There are over 1,000 occupied eagle nesting territories in Florida (FWC *unpublished data* 2001d).

3.5.2.1.3.2. Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the Bald Eagle

The bald eagle population in St. Johns County can be separated into three distinct groups: 1) resident eagles that nest along the St. Johns River, 2) resident eagles that nest in eastern portions of the County, and 3) migratory eagles that nest in other areas but which spend part of the year in the County. The FWC documented nine occupied eagle nesting territories during 1999-2000 (FWC *unpublished data* 2001d). Of these nine, the majority (seven) were located near the St. Johns River in western St. Johns County. These birds likely feed primarily in the St. Johns River. There are no reported eagle nests within the Plan Area, although two nests were documented in the eastern portion of the County. One nest is known to be located on Bird Island in the Matanzas River, and issues concerning the protection of this nest are being coordinated through the State and Federal wildlife agencies.

Migratory eagles that winter in Florida do not defend territories and may be found virtually anywhere in the County. Because fish are eagles’ primary food item, this species is most often observed at or near large areas of open water, including the Matanzas River and the Atlantic Ocean.

Similar to other areas in Florida and the southeastern U.S., the population of eagles in St. Johns County appears to be increasing. This is likely the result of the protection afforded to them through the Federal Endangered Species Act and the Florida Wildlife Code. Additional factors that provide a favorable outlook for bald eagles include: 1) bald eagles are relatively long-lived

(generally 30-50 years) and will return to successful nesting territories on an annual basis; 2) juvenile eagles are known to return to the general area of their hatching when they enter reproductive status; and 3) bald eagles in Florida appear to be demonstrating an increasing ability to exist in proximity to humans.

3.5.2.1.4. Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*)

3.5.2.1.4.1. Biological Information on the Piping Plover

The piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*) is a relatively small migratory shorebird that is designated as a threatened species by the Federal government and the State of Florida. Piping plovers have been documented to occasionally occur within the Plan Area.

Piping plovers are one of several closely related members of the plover family (Charadriidae). Although the killdeer and Wilson's plover are year-round residents that nest in Florida, most members of this family, including the piping plover, are highly migratory. Some species migrate thousands of miles annually to and from breeding grounds in the Arctic tundra. At approximately 7 inches in length and having a wingspread of approximately 15 inches, the piping plover is one of the smallest members of the plover family. There are three distinct nesting populations of piping plovers: a coastal population that nests from Virginia northward through Maine, a Great Lakes population that nests in northern Michigan, Wisconsin, and eastern Minnesota, and a Midwestern population that nests in the Dakotas, Manitoba, and Saskatchewan. All subpopulations nest on sandy areas, including beaches, riverine sand bars, and alkali wetlands. After summertime nesting seasons, piping plovers fly south to spend winters in more southerly latitudes, including Florida, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Caribbean.

In Florida, piping plovers typically arrive in August or September, and some individuals may remain as late as April or May before returning to northern breeding areas. While in Florida, piping plovers most often are observed on sandy, low-wave-energy beaches, where they are present either singly or in small groups, often in proximity to other small shorebirds (e.g., sanderlings, semi-palmated plovers). Their diet consists primarily of invertebrate prey, including the small amphipods that are typically abundant in the shoreline wrack and at the water's edge. Piping plovers are often distinguished from other small shorebirds by their characteristic behavior of darting across the beach, stopping suddenly, and then sprinting off again. With close observation, piping plovers can be differentiated from other plovers by differences in plumage, bill length, and leg color.

3.5.2.1.4.2. Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the Piping Plover

In 1991, 1996, and 2001, wintertime censuses were conducted throughout the southeastern U.S. to document the presence/absence of piping plovers. These censuses are coordinated by the USFWS and are typically conducted by volunteers, many of whom are associated with a local Audubon Society or birding club.

Because piping plover habitat consists of sandy beaches, the majority of the beachfront within the Plan Area is *potential* wintering habitat for piping plovers. However, the high wave-energy

conditions that are typical of St. Johns County make the east-facing beaches relatively poor habitat for piping plovers, which prefer areas sheltered from the wind and waves. Two such areas occur within the Plan Area: 1) the sandy beach areas on the north and south sides of the St. Augustine Inlet (including ASP), and 2) the sandy beaches and sand bars in the vicinity of Matanzas Inlet.

Three piping plovers were observed during the 1991 piping plover census. During a 6-mile survey, two of these individuals were observed at Matanzas Inlet and the FMNM west of State Road A1A. The third piping plover was observed in ASP at the St. Augustine Inlet during an 8-mile survey. Database queries have not indicated that any piping plovers were observed during the 1996 census. In the 2001 survey, one piping plover was reportedly observed in the Matanzas Inlet area.

3.5.2.1.5. *Wood Stork (Mycteria americana)*

3.5.2.1.5.1. *Biological Information on the Wood Stork*

The Wood stork (*Mycteria americana*) is a relatively tall wading bird that is designated as an endangered species by both the Federal government and the State of Florida. The only species of stork that is native to North America, the wood stork is present in relatively sparse numbers in wetland areas of Florida and southeastern Georgia. Wood storks are large, white, long-legged wading birds with black wings and tail feathers. They average approximately 85-115 cm (35-45 inches) in head-to-tail length and have a wingspread of approximately 150-165 cm (60-65 inches). They typically nest in cypress swamps, mangrove forests, and islands where they are relatively free from predators. Wood storks forage for small fish and aquatic organisms in shallow ponds, flooded pastures, and ditches. Their annual nesting success is highly dependent on hydrologic regimes and their ability to obtain prey during the springtime dry season. Nesting failures are typically associated with water levels being either unusually low or high.

The results of field surveys suggest that the population of wood storks in Florida consists of 3,000-6,000 individuals. The range of wood storks appears to be expanding somewhat, to include both a more northerly population and establishment of nesting colonies in coastal areas. These changes may be a result of the continued impacts to the seasonally flooded, isolated wetlands that are being lost due to development throughout much of peninsular Florida. Wood storks have no major natural threats, and loss of wetlands and modifications to natural hydrological cycles are the primary threats to their continued existence.

3.5.2.1.5.2. *Site-Specific Information on St. Johns County on the Wood Stork*

Although wood storks are observed in St. Johns County on a fairly regular basis, their presence in the coastal areas within the HCP boundaries is comparatively rare. The FNAI database does not identify any known wood stork nesting areas within the Plan Area, although a nesting rookery was documented west of SR A1A within GRSP in 1976 and again in 1978. However, wood storks have been observed foraging in the Plan Area along the shoreline at Porpoise Point in Vilano Beach north of St. Augustine Inlet. This behavior is not typical for wood storks, as they are more successful foraging in shallow, isolated wetland areas.

3.5.2.1.6. Eastern Indigo Snake (*Drymarchon corais couper*)

3.5.2.1.6.1. Biological Information on the Eastern Indigo Snake

The eastern indigo snake (*Drymarchon corais couper*) is a relatively large, dark-colored snake that is designated as a threatened species by both the Federal government and the State of Florida. These snakes occur in southeastern Georgia and throughout Florida, including the Florida Keys. In peninsular Florida, indigo snakes may be found in a variety of habitats, including mangrove swamps, wet prairie, tropical hammocks, xeric pinelands, and scrub.

Eastern indigo snakes sometimes appear iridescent black and have a reddish or coral-colored throat. They are comparatively mild-tempered, smooth-scaled snakes. Although the average length of the adult eastern indigo snake is approximately five to six feet, individuals over eight feet have been recorded, making them potentially one of the largest snakes in North America.

Eastern indigo snakes are active primarily during daylight hours. They have a diverse diet, preying upon small mammals and birds, as well as frogs, lizards, and other snakes, including venomous species. During warmer months, they range widely, with individuals actively utilizing 125-250 acres or more. During the winter months, indigo snakes usually stay fairly close to some deep shelter (e.g., gopher tortoise burrow, stump hole, land crab burrow). Their winter activity area is usually less than 25 acres.

Due to their comparatively large size, indigo snakes have few natural enemies. However, because of their passive demeanor, they were heavily collected for the pet trade. Federal and State protection as a threatened species has diminished this threat. Presently, the single leading threat to their continued existence is the loss and fragmentation of habitat.

Due to the large territorial range of indigo snakes, they are particularly susceptible to habitat fragmentation and hazardous road crossings. Land development activities can endanger indigo snakes not only by direct impact but also by destroying gopher tortoise burrows, a favorite habitat and refuge for this species. Thus, environmental disturbance that threatens the survival of gopher tortoises may likewise affect indigo snakes. Indigo snakes shed their skins approximately every 30-45 days, and typically become inactive for a period of 10-14 days, immediately before shedding. During this period, they may not be able to quickly respond and escape from impending danger.

3.5.2.1.6.2. Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the Eastern Indigo Snake

Habitat that is suitable for indigo snakes is widespread throughout St. Johns County. Within the Plan Area, the Coastal Strand, Coastal Grassland, and Maritime Hammock communities all potentially harbor this species. However, the open beach provides relatively poor habitat for indigo snakes. The FNAI database includes three documented sightings of indigo snakes within the Plan Area. These sightings have all been on State or Federal lands—one each at GRSP, ASP, and FMNM.

3.5.2.1.7. Florida Manatee (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*)

3.5.2.1.7.1. Biological Information on the Florida Manatee

The Florida manatee (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*) is a large, air-breathing aquatic mammal that is designated as an endangered species by the Federal government and the State of Florida.

Manatees utilize both estuarine and ocean waters adjacent to the Plan Area. Adult manatees range from 2.8-3.5 m (9.2-11.5 ft) in length and weigh approximately 400.0-900.0 kg (888.9-2000.0 lbs). Newborn calves weigh approximately 20.0-30.0 kg (44.4-66.7 lbs) and are 1.0-1.5 m (3.3-4.9 ft) in length. Manatees inhabit both freshwater and saltwater and consume large amounts of aquatic vegetation, including seagrasses, bank vegetation, overhanging plants, and submerged, rooted, or floating vegetation. They are warm-blooded, display seasonal movement patterns, and congregate during the wintertime at sites in south Florida and thermal refugia (i.e., springs, power plant discharges) in north Florida.

Scientists with the State of Florida and the Federal government have been involved with manatee tracking and population censuses for over 20 years. These investigations have included aerial surveys, and the tracking of individual manatees that have been fitted with radio or satellite antennas. Although the precise number of manatees in Florida is not known, aerial censuses conducted in 2001 documented the population to be at least 3,276 individuals (FWC *unpublished data* 2001c).

The population of manatees in Florida has been separated into four subpopulations. These include an Atlantic (east coast) population (approximately 47 percent of the Statewide population), a St. Johns River population (approximately 4 percent of the Statewide population), a southwest Florida population (approximately 37 percent of the Statewide population), and a northwest population (approximately 12 percent of the Statewide population).

Manatees are relatively long-lived mammals, with estimates of maximum life expectancy reaching approximately 60 years. Females enter their reproductive cycle at three to four years of age, and the mean age when they first give birth is five years. The gestation period is 11-14 months, and a calf remains dependent on its mother for approximately 1-2 years.

Manatees have no natural predators. Subsequent to their designation as an endangered species, efforts have been underway to recover the carcass of each deceased animal to determine the cause of mortality. Statewide, although the largest percentage of manatee deaths cannot be linked to a specific cause, a substantial proportion of manatee mortality has been determined to be related to human activities, particularly boat collisions.

3.5.2.1.7.2. Site-Specific Information for St. Johns County on the West Indian Manatee

Manatees in the waters of St. Johns County may be part of either the Atlantic or St. Johns River subpopulations. Manatees using the nearshore waters closest to the Plan Area are, however, most likely from the Atlantic population. Analyses conducted by manatee researchers suggest that the number of manatees in this region has remained fairly steady or decreased slightly during recent

years. Aerial surveys suggest that manatees use the Intracoastal Waterway (ICW) as the primary corridor to move from more northerly latitudes during the summer to more southerly areas during the colder winter months. Manatees have also been observed in the Atlantic Ocean, and it is thought that their north and south travels may include excursions along the coast after having been carried through inlets by tidal currents.

Surveys by the State of Florida and Federal government have documented numerous sightings of manatees in the coastal areas of St. Johns County. The vast majority of these sightings have been of individuals in the Tolomato and Matanzas Rivers and the ICW. Carcasses of deceased manatees have been recovered from the coastal waters of St. Johns County and from various locations along the beachfront.

3.5.2.1.8. Other Marine Mammals

3.5.2.1.8.1. Biological Information on Other Marine Mammals

Five species of whales that are designated as endangered species by the Federal government and the State of Florida have been documented to occur in the Atlantic Ocean along the coastline of St. Johns County (Table 3-4). These include the northern right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*), sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*), fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*), humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), and sperm whale (*Physeter catodon macrocephalus*).

Northern right whales are large, generally uniformly dark-colored baleen whales that reach lengths of approximately 15.2 m (50.0 ft). They are present in the North Pacific and North Atlantic Oceans, although the population is small or nonexistent in the eastern Atlantic. In the western Atlantic, however, right whales are known to range from eastern Canada in the summer to southeastern Florida and the Gulf of Mexico during the winter. Because calving grounds appear to be off the coasts of Georgia and northeast Florida, these areas have been designated as “critical habitat” for this species. In 1991, the National Marine Fisheries Service estimated the population of right whales in the North Atlantic was at least 350 individuals.

Sei whales are large baleen whales that are dark on their dorsal side and paler on their ventral side and reach lengths of approximately 15.2 m (50.0 ft). They range from warm-temperate to subarctic waters and are not restricted to nearshore coastal areas. It is believed that members of the Nova Scotia population migrate to northeast Florida, where they spend winters. Sei whales are thought to be comparatively shallow divers that feed primarily by skimming plankton at the surface. In 1991, the National Marine Fisheries Service estimate the population of sei whales in the North Atlantic was at least 4,000 individuals.

Fin whales are large baleen whales that are also dorsally dark and ventrally paler and reach lengths of approximately 22.9 m (75.0 ft). They are distributed worldwide but are less common in tropical waters than in cooler oceans. Similar to other baleen whales, fin whales are highly migratory, spending summers in polar waters and winters as far south as the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean. In 1991, the National Marine Fisheries Service estimated the population of fin whales in the North Atlantic was approximately 17,000 individuals.

Humpback whales are baleen whales that are dorsally dark and have large variable patches of white on their underside. They reach body lengths of approximately 13.7 m (45.0 ft). Characteristic features of this whale are the large white flippers that extend approximately one-third of the body length. Humpback whales are more coastal than many other species, and there is a single stock that summers along the New England coast and winters in the Caribbean. In 1991, the National Marine Fisheries Service estimated the population of humpback whales in the North Atlantic was approximately 5,800 individuals.

Sperm whales reach lengths of approximately 16.8 m (55.0 ft) and are dark gray to black. The dorsal fin is hump-like. Sperm whales are distributed worldwide, from polar to tropical waters, and most winter in equatorial regions and summer in more northerly latitudes. They typically inhabit the deep waters along the edge of the continental shelf. In 1991, the National Marine Fisheries Service estimated the population of humpback whales in the North Atlantic was approximately 190,000 individuals.

3.5.2.1.8.1. Site-specific Information on Other Marine Mammals

Protected species of marine mammals use the nearshore waters of St. Johns County as evidenced by their stranding on the beaches of the HCP Plan Area. Between 1992 and 2001, a wide variety of marine mammals stranded in St. Johns County, including the endangered humpback, northern right, and sperm whales (Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute *unpublished data* 2002).

3.5.2.1.10. State-Listed Species in the Plan Area

The species described above are animals that are listed by both the Federal government and the State of Florida as endangered or threatened. Additionally, there are a number of wildlife species that are designated as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern by the State of Florida but are not federally listed (Table 3-9).

3.5.2.1.10.1. Gopher Tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*)

The gopher tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*) is a medium-size terrestrial turtle with an average adult carapace (shell) length of 23.1-28.3 cm (9.0-11.0 inches). These reptiles have stumpy, elephantine hind feet and flattened, shovel-like forelimbs that are adapted for digging. The shell is domed and oblong; coloration is generally tan, brown, or gray. The head is wide and scaled.

Gopher tortoises excavate burrows, averaging 4.5 m (14.8 ft) in length and 2.0 m (6.6 ft) in depth. These burrows provide protection from temperature extremes, fire, desiccation, and predators, and serve as refuges for a variety of other animals. An individual tortoise may use more than one burrow and may excavate new burrows at any time during its life. Generally, feeding activity is confined to within 45.7 m (150.0 ft) of the burrow. Principal foods include grasses, legumes, and grass-like plants of the sedge and aster families. Fruits such as blackberries, pawpaws, gopher apples, and saw palmetto berries are also consumed. Gopher tortoises lay 3-12 eggs in one clutch from mid-May to mid-June. The incubation period varies from 80-110 days, and predation on nests and hatchlings is heavy.

Although still widely distributed in Florida, the gopher tortoise population is continuing to decline. Thus, the gopher tortoise is listed by the State as a species of special concern. Although tortoises still occur in all of Florida's 67 counties, their distribution in the southern peninsula is limited and fragmented by unsuitable habitat and increased urbanization. Gopher tortoise burrows provide protection for numerous vertebrate and invertebrate species including other protected species such as the eastern indigo snake, Florida mouse, gopher frog, and Florida pine snake. Thus, impacts to tortoise burrows may also affect these species.

In addition to the preferred longleaf pine sandhills habitat, gopher tortoises also inhabit sand pine scrub, coastal strands, live oak hammocks, dry prairies, pine flatwoods, and mixed hardwood-pine communities. Disturbed habitats, such as roadsides, fencerows, clearings, and old fields, often support relatively high densities as well. Although gopher tortoises are not typically found on the open beach, their burrows are present in the Coastal Strand, Coastal Grassland, and Scrub areas within the HCP Plan Area.

3.5.2.1.10.2. Florida Pine Snake (*Pituophis melanoleucus mugitus*)

Listed as a species of special concern by the State of Florida, the Florida pine snake (*Pituophis melanoleucus mugitus*) is a comparatively large, stocky snake with dark brown to reddish blotches on its light gray to sandy-colored back. Adults range from 0.9-2.3 m (3.0-7.5 ft) long. They occupy dry habitats, and radio-tracked individuals suggest that they have home ranges of 12.1-97.2 ha (30.0-240.0 acres). Prey items include ground-dwelling birds, eggs, mice, pocket gophers, small rodents, and small mammals. They lay 4-8 large white eggs from June to August. Within the Plan Area, Florida pine snakes have been documented to exist in the Coastal Strand community in the Vilano Beach area.

3.5.2.1.10.3. Wading Birds

Several species of medium-sized wading birds that occur within the Plan Area are listed as species of special concern by the State of Florida. These include the roseate spoonbill (*Ajaia ajaja*), little blue heron (*Egretta caerulea*), reddish egret (*Egretta rufescens*), snowy egret (*Egretta thula*), tri-colored heron (*Egretta tricolor*), and white ibis (*Eudocimus albus*). Adults of these species all vary in height from 59.1-82.3 cm (23.0-32.0 inches) and have wingspans of 92.6-128.6 cm (36.0-50.0 inches). Although color varies significantly among species, and even between juveniles and adults within some species, they share several critical features. All species are wetland dependent, and small fish and aquatic organisms constitute the majority of their prey. All species are colonial nesters, and most nest in mixed flocks with one another. Although no nesting rookeries of any of these species are known to occur within the Plan Area, sightings are frequent, especially in large publicly owned parcels such as GRSP and ASP.

3.5.2.1.10.4. Arctic Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*)

The Arctic peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*) is a large bird of prey that is designated as an endangered species by the State of Florida. At lengths of 41.4-51.4 cm (16.0-20.0 inches) and wingspans of 90.0-110.6 cm (35-43 inches), peregrines are the largest member of the falcon family found in Florida. They are extremely fast fliers, and their primary prey items are other

birds, which they typically strike from the air. Although there are several sub-species of peregrine falcons, their plumages are very similar. Most peregrines are highly migratory, spending summertime breeding seasons as far north as the arctic tundra, and returning to Florida and other areas further south to spend winters. Peregrines have been sighted in GRSP, and one was observed on the beach near St. Augustine Inlet at ASP during a field survey on October 12, 2001 (EAI 2001c).

3.5.2.1.10.5. American Oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliatus*)

The American oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliatus*) is a medium-sized, distinctly colored black and white bird that is typically found in coastal areas near oyster bars, mud flats, and riverine sand bars. This species is listed by the State of Florida as a species of special concern. They have stout, brightly colored orangish-red bills that are used to slice into oysters or to forage for benthic organisms. During periods of extremely high tides, oystercatchers seek refuge on sandy beaches. Oystercatchers are year-round residents in northeast Florida, nesting on the ground from March through July. Although they have been observed in GRSP and ASP, there has been no documented nesting within the Plan Area.

3.5.2.1.10.6. Brown Pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*)

With wingspreads of approximately 2.1 m (7.0 ft), brown pelicans (*Pelecanus occidentalis*) are unmistakable as they dive for their prey of live fish. Listed by the State as a species of special concern, pelicans are generally brown in color, though the head and neck change color during the nesting season. Juveniles are nearly all brown and generally have adult plumage by their third year. Feeding exclusively on fish, brown pelicans are common in coastal areas in Florida, where they often fly in long lines over the beach. Although pelicans are often observed resting on the beach, no nesting rookeries have been documented within the Plan Area.

3.5.2.1.10.7. Black Skimmer (*Rhynchops niger*)

The unusual foraging style differentiates this medium-size water bird from any other species. Black skimmers (*Rhynchops niger*) fly just above the water with their orange and black bottom mandible extended just below the water surface. The State of Florida has designated this species as a species of special concern. A member of the tern family, black skimmers are dorsally black and white on their underside. They are approximately 46.3 cm (18.0 inches) in length and have a wingspan of approximately 113.1 cm (44.0 inches). Although skimmers that nest as far north as coastal New England may move southward during the winter, most skimmers in Northeast Florida are year-round residents. Black skimmers are ground-nesting birds and will often nest in small groups with others of their kind and with least terns. Black skimmers require calm seas when foraging, and therefore are more frequently observed in the ICW, Tolomato River, and Matanzas River than over the open ocean. The only location within the Plan Area where black skimmers have been documented to nest is at the northern tip of ASP on the south side of the St. Augustine Inlet.

3.5.2.1.10.8. *Least Tern (Sterna antillarum)*

Designated as a threatened species by the State, the least tern (*Sterna antillarum*) is Florida's smallest member of the tern family, having a length of 23.1 cm (9.0 inches) and a wingspan of approximately 51.4 cm (20.0 inches). Although their gray and white plumage is similar to other terns, the least tern's yellow bill, legs, and feet differentiate it from other species. Additionally, although many other species of terns are wintertime residents, least terns reside in Florida during the summertime. Returning from wintering areas in South America, they arrive in March and April, nest on barren, sandy beaches during the summer, and return south in the fall. Least terns will return to the same nesting areas year after year, if previous nesting attempts have been successful. However, even successfully used nesting areas will be forsaken, when/if vegetation becomes established and covers the ground surface. During the last several years, there has been documentation of successful nesting by least terns on rooftops. Flat tar-and-gravel roofs near open-water foraging areas appear to offer alternate nesting areas. These new nesting sites are becoming increasingly important, as natural nesting areas on the beach become more and more susceptible to human disturbance.

Within the Plan Area, least terns have been documented to nest in the vicinity of the St. Augustine and Matanzas Inlets, as well as within GRSP (FNAI 2001; Appendix D). Between 1987 and 2002, least terns nested on the beaches within GRSP (Owen *pers. comm.* 2002). Owen remarked, "The fate of each year's nesting has varied significantly through the years, and, generally speaking, nesting success has been low due to high tides washing over nests." During the summer of 2002, there were between 20-30 nests and probably just less than 20 successful nests that produced fledglings (Owen *pers. comm.* 2002). Each year, the park staff at GRSP have roped off and monitored the nesting area and posted it with appropriate signage.

U.S. Park Rangers at FMNM, in cooperation with biologists from FWC, perform least tern surveys at least once a year and have designated a bird sanctuary at FMNM west of the A1A Bridge as an important least tern nesting area. In the late 1990s, this bird sanctuary, also designated as a Florida Critical Wildlife Area by the FWC, was one of the largest least tern nesting colonies on the east coast of Florida (Rich *pers. comm.* 2002). However, the area west of the A1A Bridge is highly ephemeral, and during 2002 least terns began utilizing a newly roped area east of the A1A Bridge (Rich and Van Ghent *pers. comm.* 2002).

A bird sanctuary (delineated by signs and posts interconnected by twine) also exists at ASP south of the St. Augustine Inlet. Least terns have historically nested in this area, but have not returned to nest during the past several years (Miller *pers. comm.* 2002). Although the reasons why least terns have abandoned ASP are not fully understood, the nesting habitat appears intact, and local biologists have speculated that the terns may return to nest at ASP in the future (Miller *pers. comm.* 2002). During the summers of 2001 and 2002, least terns were also observed nesting along Summer Haven south of Matanzas Inlet (Rich *pers. comm.* 2002).

3.5.2.1.10.9. *Common Snook (Centropomus undecimalis)*

The common snook (*Centropomus undecimalis*) is a powerful, streamlined, silvery-green fish that is tolerant of wide ranges in salinity. Snook are listed as a species of special concern by the State

of Florida. They are a highly prized recreational game fish, most often caught in estuaries, inlets, and along the beach in waters adjacent to the Plan Area. Peak spawning season is June and July, and juveniles live primarily in small brackish water streams, canals, and ditches. Although growth rates are highly variable, most become sexually mature by two to three years of age at lengths of approximately 33.4 cm (13.0 inches). Mature snook can reach lengths of over 102.9 cm (40.0 inches) and may weigh 22.5 kg (50.0 lbs) or more. Snook are carnivores, preying mainly on small fish, especially fingerling mullet. Their diet also includes shrimp and crabs.

3.5.2.1.11. Other Rare Species of Wildlife

The species described above include those which are designated as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern by the Federal and/or the State government. Several non-regulatory, science-based entities have developed independent lists of species that they believe are in danger or potential danger of extinction. For instance, the FNAI tracks the reported occurrences of other rare species of wildlife, such as the eastern diamondback rattlesnake, which is known to occur within the Plan Area. FNAI's response to a request for information, which includes these non-regulatory listings, is included in Appendix D.

3.5.2.2. Listed Plant Species

The plant names provided below are consistent with the nomenclature in the *Guide to the Vascular Plants of Florida* by Richard P. Wunderlin (1998).

3.5.2.2.1. State-Listed Species in the Plan Area

Both the Federal government and the State of Florida have used their authority to designate plants as endangered or threatened. Over 50 plant species that occur in Florida have been designated by the Federal government as endangered or threatened. Although there are no federally listed plant species known to occur within the Plan Area, 11 plant species listed by the State of Florida as endangered, threatened, or commercially exploited have been documented within or adjacent to the Plan Area (Table 3-9).

3.5.2.2.1.1. Sand-dune Spurge (Chamaesyce cumulicola)

The sand-dune spurge (*Chamaesyce cumulicola*), also known as the coastal dune sandmat, is one of several species of *Chamaesyce* that are present within the Plan Area. This species of spurge is listed by the State of Florida as threatened. It is low growing, as opposed to other more erect species, blooms in spring, summer, and fall, and is found in dunes and scrub. Within the Plan Area, it appears to be fairly common in areas where the groundcover is discontinuous.

3.5.2.2.1.2. Coastal Vervain (Glandularia maritima)

Designated as an endangered species by the State of Florida, coastal vervain (*Glandularia maritima*), which is also known as coastal mock vervain (*Verbena maritima*), is a small, lavender-flowered member of the Verbenaceae (Vervain) family. It inhabits dunes and coastal pinelands

and is found primarily on Florida's east coast. It blooms intermittently throughout the year and has been documented near the south boundary of GRSP.

3.5.2.2.1.3. Crested Coralroot (*Hexalectris spicata*)

Crested coralroot (*Hexalectris spicata*), which is also known as spiked crested coralroot, is a small, ground-dwelling member of the orchid family. Crested coralroot is listed as endangered by the State of Florida. Crested coralroot is known to inhabit calcareous hammocks and shell middens, and it blooms in the spring and summer. It has been documented within ASP.

3.5.2.2.1.4. Angle Pod (*Matelea gonocarpa*)

Also known as angular milkvine, angle pod (*Matelea gonocarpa*) is a perennial, twisting vine that is found in hammocks. It is listed as a threatened species by the State and is a member of the milkweed (Asclepiadaceae) family. Angle pod flowers have yellow or greenish-brown petals, when it blooms during the spring and summer. The resulting seedpod is smooth and angled, without wings or spines. Within or adjacent to the Plan Area, it is only known from GRSP.

3.5.2.2.1.5. Pygmy-pipes (*Monotropsis odorata*)

Members of the heath (Ericaceae) family, pygmy-pipes (*Monotropsis odorata*) are a State-listed endangered species. These small perennial herbs are conspicuous for their lack of chlorophyll, for which they compensate by being parasitic on underground fungi associated with tree roots. When blooming in January and February, an individual stem 1.5-5 inches tall will have several small white or lavender bell-shaped flowers.

3.5.2.2.1.6. Prickly Pear Cactus (*Opuntia stricta*)

Members of the Cactaceae family, several species of *Opuntia* are present within the Plan Area. Of these, only *Opuntia stricta*, the erect or shell mound prickly pear is listed as threatened by the State of Florida. It is abundant within the Plan Area, where it can be found in most undeveloped backdune areas. It blooms throughout the year, and the dark purple fruits are edible.

3.5.2.2.1.7. Giant Orchid (*Pteroglossapsis ecristata*)

A large terrestrial member of the orchid (Orchidaceae) family, the giant orchid (*Pteroglossapsis ecristata*) inhabits dry habitats throughout much of peninsular Florida. It is a perennial herb that may reach heights in excess of 1.5 m (5.0 ft) when blooming. The terminal bloom spike may have 5-30 small, yellowish green flowers. Within the Plan Area, the giant orchid has only been documented in GRSP.

3.5.2.2.2. Other Rare Species of Plants

As described previously, in addition to the classification of "endangered" and "threatened," the State of Florida also has a designation of "commercially exploited." Collectors typically seek these species, and their populations could be jeopardized by continued harvest from the wild.

The three such species, one orchid and two ferns, that have been documented to occur within or adjacent to the Plan Area are identified in Table 3-9 and described below.

3.5.2.2.2.1. *Green-fly Orchid (Encyclia conopseum)*

A member of the orchid family, the green-fly orchid (*Encyclia conopseum*) is a small, epiphytic plant that is found in swamps and moist hammocks. Due to its epiphytic growth form, collectors easily remove this species from the wild. Within the Plan Area, the green-fly orchid has only been reported from GRSP.

3.5.2.2.2.2. *Cinnamon Fern (Osmunda cinnamomea) and Royal Fern (Osmunda regalis)*

The only members of the royal fern family (Osmundaceae), both the cinnamon fern (*Osmunda cinnamomea*) and the royal fern (*Osmunda regalis*) are wetland indicator species that are immediately distinguished from other ferns during their reproductive stage. Instead of producing spores in sporangia located on the underside of the leaves, these two ferns produce specialized, fertile, cinnamon-colored leaves that hold the sori. These species are typically understory plants in forested wetlands, and are therefore likely to occur west of the CCCL.

Chapter 4. FACTORS AFFECTING LISTED SPECIES IN PLAN AREA

Sea turtles nesting on the County's beaches, as elsewhere in Florida, face a variety of natural and human-related threats (NMFS and USFWS 1991a and b). Natural threats include nest depredation and beach erosion. Various anthropogenic threats to nesting habitat include beach armoring, beach nourishment, coastal construction, artificial lighting, increased human presence on the beach at night, beach cleaning, recreational beach equipment, beach vehicular driving, and poaching. Threats to turtles in the water include oil and gas exploration, dredging, marina and dock development, debris entanglement, commercial fisheries, boats, power plant entrapment, and ingestion of marine debris (National Research Council 1990). Most pertinent to this HCP are impacts associated with beach vehicular driving.

The populations of AIBM dwelling in the dunes of St. Johns County routinely encounter both natural and anthropogenic threats. The most serious natural threats to the subspecies' long-term persistence include the overwash and habitat loss due to storm events. Humans negatively influence AIBM through beach driving, trespassing and littering in the dunes, alteration or elimination of habitat through coastal development and construction, shoreline protection measures, artificial lighting, and the release of predatory feral and free-roaming cats.

Additionally, a wide variety of natural and human-induced impacts potentially occur to threatened, endangered, and migratory birds along the beaches of St. Johns County. Factors, such as erosion of nesting habitats and anthropogenic disturbances such as pedestrian, horseback, or vehicular traffic, free-roaming domestic cats and dogs, and habitat loss due to beachfront development, can negatively affect birds. Birds utilizing the HCP Plan Area might also be affected by trash on the beach, artificial lighting, and the eggs or young may be unintentionally crushed by traffic in nesting areas.

This section first describes the methods and programs utilized within the Plan Area to monitor for protected species. Subsequently, the natural and human-related activities that have been documented to, or may potentially, impact sea turtles, AIBM, and birds along the beaches of St. Johns County are discussed.

4.1. MONITORING PROGRAMS IN ST. JOHNS COUNTY**4.1.1. Sea Turtle Nest Monitoring Program in St. Johns County**

Sea turtle monitoring personnel conduct daily surveys of all County Beaches during the sea turtle nesting season. At present, monitoring is conducted by seven independent groups, each coordinated by a person possessing a valid Marine Turtle Permit from FWC. This Principal Permit Holders (PPH) may be assisted by up to 24 additional personnel, each of whom is also listed on the permit. Survey methodologies vary among PPHs. Monitoring personnel initiate their surveys between 5:30 and 9:00 AM and generally finish anywhere from 15 minutes to 2 hours later. Monitors generally begin surveying daily sometime between May 1 and 15 and continue at least through August 15. Some groups continue until the last nest emerges, which may be in October. Nests deposited before monitoring officially begins in May are usually noted by the public or County beach staff and reported to the PPHs for marking and monitoring. Since

the leatherback turtle is typically the first species to begin nesting, the large size of their tracks and nest would probably not go undetected. Sea turtles rarely nest after August 15 in St. Johns County, however both loggerhead and green turtle nests have been recorded in September (see Table 3-7). As for early season nests, late season nests are generally noted by the public or County staff, and the appropriate PPH is called to mark and monitor the nest. However, both early and late season nests may potentially go undetected and remain unmarked throughout their incubation period.

Another scenario leading to an unmarked nest is when monitoring personnel fail to recognize a new nest on the beach during the daily morning survey. In order to determine which species came ashore and whether or not it nested, the distinctive tracks left on the beach at night by turtles are interpreted the following morning. However, sometimes these tracks can be misinterpreted or go undetected. In an assessment of data generated by permitted and trained turtle surveyors throughout Florida, FWC determined that 7 percent of the crawls marked for study were incorrectly recorded as false crawls (non-nesting emergence) when they were actually nests (Schroeder 1994). In other cases, natural elements or human activity on the beach may obscure a turtle's tracks and cause a nest to be missed. Rain and wind obscure tracks, while wavewash can completely erase a track, particularly during storms or abnormally high tides. In some cases, wavewash may obliterate all signs that a turtle has been on the beach. Humans on the beach can also obscure signs of nesting. Sometimes tracks are intentionally obliterated, either maliciously or in misguided efforts to protect the nest from human tampering. Typically, however, heavy foot traffic is responsible, particularly at locations where crowds gather to watch a turtle nest. As a result of such various factors, some nests are likely to be missed, even by the most experienced personnel.

Missed nests are usually identified by signs of hatchling emergences in areas where no nest was previously documented. Anecdotally and based on hatchling tracks, monitors typically recount one missed nest every few years on County Beaches (Miller *pers. comm.* 2002). However, signs of hatchling emergence are very easily obliterated by the same elements that interfere with detection of nests. Thus, it is likely that some missed nests go completely undetected, and these nests are at the greatest risk of impact from vehicles on the beach. The loss of nest markers due to tides or vandalism is another means for a nest to be placed at risk to vehicular impacts.

4.1.2. AIBM Monitoring Programs in St. Johns County

In ASP and GRSP, quarterly trapping surveys for AIBM have been conducted by Florida Park Service personnel since 1989 (Miller, Bard, and Mulholland *pers. comm.* 2001). Due to lack of personnel and resources, FMNM staff are not able to perform frequent transect trapping studies for beach mice. U.S. Park Rangers from FMNM have sporadically conducted cooperative studies with FDEP Park Service district biologists and the biologist from GRSP (Rich *pers. comm.* 2002).

The following methods are utilized during FDEP surveys:

Quarterly monitoring protocol at GRSP and ASP follows that of Humphrey and Frank (unpub.): at GRSP, three transects were established at the north, central,

and south portions of the park in primary dune habitat. At ASP, two transects were established in primary dune habitat in the central and south portion of the park. Each transect consists of 20 stations spaced at 10 m intervals with two traps per station. Trapping is conducted for two to three consecutive nights per transect. Traps are baited with either sunflower seeds or peanut butter and oats and opened shortly before sunset; the traps are checked at sunrise and closed. Mice are handled immediately upon detection; standard morphometric measurements are recorded at that time. Mice have been ear-tagged at ASP for mark-recapture purposes since 1994; GRSP mice have been ear-tagged following the commencement of this augmentation project [1992]. Following ear-tagging, the mice are released at the point of capture (Bard *unpublished data* 2001).

Additionally, Park Service personnel have taken blood and tissue samples from mice in ASP and GRSP for DNA microsatellite determination by geneticists at the University of Illinois (Bard *pers. comm.* 2001). Unfortunately, these samples have not yet been processed due to a lack of funding. Park personnel would also like to take blood and tissue samples from AIBM taken in potential future trapping at FMNM. The benefits of such a study would include elucidation as to whether genetic drift has occurred between the population at ASP and the population at FMNM, which are assumed to be genetically isolated due to the seawall and rock revetment at St. Augustine Beach. This important data would assist scientists in determining how often and how many mice should be exchanged between ASP, GRSP, and FMNM to maintain genetic fitness.

4.2. NATURAL EVENTS

4.2.1. Natural Events Affecting Sea Turtles in Plan Area

4.2.1.1. Depredation

Depredation of sea turtle eggs and hatchlings by natural and introduced species occurs on almost all nesting beaches. The most common predators in the State of Florida are ghost crabs (*Ocypode quadrata*), fire ants (e.g., *Solenopsis invicta*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), feral hogs (*Sus scrofa*), foxes (e.g., *Urocyon cinereoargenteus*) and armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*). The following predators have been implicated to negatively impact sea turtle nests on the beaches of St. Johns County: gray foxes (e.g., *Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), domestic dogs (*Canis familiaris*), ghost crabs (*Ocypode quadrata*), and fire ants (e.g., *Solenopsis invicta*) (FWC *unpublished data* 2002).

The data reported on depredation of sea turtle nests in St. Johns County between 1996 and 2001 was examined (FWC *unpublished data* 2002; Table 4-1). The precise extent of depredation on County Beaches could not be determined, because of inconsistent data reporting. Consequently, the following analysis provides only a general characterization of depredation trends and patterns.

From 1996 to 2001, the lowest predation rates appeared to be in the monitoring zones of Ponte Vedra North, Vilano Beach (formerly North St. Augustine Beach), and St. Augustine Beach (formerly South St. Augustine Beach). The areas of highest nest depredation typically occurred

within GRSP and ASP. During this six-year period, depredation impacts in GRSP were recorded at 17 out of 257 nests (approximately 6.7 percent of the nests). These depredation events resulted in the damage of approximately 277 sea turtle eggs. Depredation reached the highest rates during the 2001 nesting season, when 9 of 51 nests were lost to foxes or raccoons, and another 3 nests were impacted by fire ants. Thus, in GRSP, 23.5 percent of nests deposited in 2001 were negatively impacted by depredation; 17.6 percent of the nests were totally lost.

The other area of relatively heavy nest depredation has been ASP. Park staff at ASP placed self-releasing cages on all of their nests between 1996 and 2001 in response to past heavy predation by gray foxes (Miller *pers. comm.* 2001). During these years, raccoons and gray foxes attempted to raid nests, but were generally unsuccessful in penetrating the cages to reach the eggs (Miller *pers. comm.* 2001). Predation on post-emergence hatchlings also appears to be a notable problem for sea turtle hatchlings in ASP. In 2000 and 2001, ghost crabs, foxes, raccoons, and birds preyed on hatchlings leaving the nest; those disoriented by lights appeared to be particularly susceptible to this type of predation.

Ghost crabs have been recorded to negatively impact sea turtle nests and/or hatchlings from GRSP south to the Flagler County Line. However, the extent to which these crabs are invading nests, depredating eggs and hatchlings, and/or affecting reproductive success is not known. Ghost crabs appear to depredate emergent hatchlings, as indicated by hatchling tracks terminating at crab burrows and crab tracks adjacent to dead hatchlings on the beach. Ghost crabs (*Ocypode quadrata*) appear to have the greatest impact on sea turtle nests in the southern portions of St. Johns County beaches. The northernmost record of ghost crab depredation is in GRSP in 2000, where ghost crabs damaged two eggs in one loggerhead nest (Table 4-1).

Fire ants (e.g., *Solenopsis invicta*) have only recently been documented as a problem for sea turtle eggs and hatchlings (Wilmers *et al.* 1996, Moulis 1997). During recent years, the presence of fire ants at nest sites was reported throughout the County. Often times, they were observed inside the nest during nest excavation or on hatchlings at the surface of the nest. However, as for ghost crabs, the extent of damage to eggs and hatchlings directly attributable to ants cannot be reliably quantified.

Although not considered a typical form of predation, roots of sea oats (*Uniola paniculata*), railroad vine (*Ipomoea pescapre*), and other dune plants sometimes invade the nest cavity and penetrate incubating eggs. This occurs primarily in nests laid high on the beach at, or landward of, the toe of the dune. From 1996 through 2001, monitoring personnel in Ponte Vedra South reported that two of the excavated nests had roots above or inside the egg chamber.

4.2.1.2. Tidal Inundation

Erosion, inundation, and accretion appear to be the major abiotic factors negatively affecting incubating egg clutches (NMFS and USFWS 1991a). Short-term erosion events (e.g., storms) are a natural phenomenon throughout the tropics and subtropics where both the number of turtle nests and the amount of storm activity vary considerably from year to year. Turtles have evolved a strategy to offset episodic impacts to hatchling productivity by laying large numbers of eggs and distributing their nests both spatially and temporally (Milton *et al.* 1994). Thus, rarely is the

total annual reproductive output affected by a storm that impacts a nesting beach. However, chronic erosion exacerbated by human activities along the coastline can result in a permanent reduction in both the quantity and quality of available nesting habitat leading to long-term impacts to productivity (Milton *et al.* 1994). During erosion events, nests deposited closest to the water's edge may be completely washed out. Nests incubating higher on the beach can be uncovered or inundated with seawater during unusually high tides, both of which can reduce reproductive success.

Similar to the case with depredation, the data records of nests overwashed and/or washed out appear to be patchy and may not have been consistently reported. However, using best available data, it appears that approximately 12 percent of all nests deposited between 1998 and 2001 in St. Johns County were washed out by tides (this data was generally not reported for 1996-1997; FWC *unpublished data* 2002; Table 4-2). The vast majority of damage from tidal inundation occurred during two separate years. In 1999, Hurricanes Dennis, Floyd, and Irene all impacted the coast of St. Johns County, causing considerable erosion and beachfront damage. During 1999, 14.1 percent of all nests were reportedly washed out. The nests deposited during 2001 were even more severely impacted by Tropical Storm Gabrielle, which directly hit St. Johns County. Tropical Storm Gabrielle caused serious erosion throughout the County, even creating a new inlet through the barrier island at Summer Haven (this inlet has since been filled in). During 2001, about 28.6 percent of the nests in St. Johns County were reportedly lost to tidal inundation. The survey zones with the highest proportion of nests washed out during 2001: Vilano Beach (44.4 percent), Guana River South (41.7 percent), Ponte Vedra North (35.7 percent), GRSP (35.3 percent), Beach Club Dr. North (33.3 percent), St. Augustine Beach (33.3 percent), and Fort Matanzas North (33.3 percent).

Nests that are not washed out of the beach may suffer reduced reproductive success as the result of tidal inundation. Eggs saturated with seawater are particularly susceptible to embryonic mortality (Bustard and Greenham 1968, Milton *et al.* 1994, Martin 1996). Accretion of sand above incubating nests may also result in egg and hatchling mortality. Although occasional overwash of nests on Hutchinson Island, Florida, appeared to have had minimal effect on reproductive success, prolonged or repeated exposure to tidal inundation resulted in fewer emergent hatchlings (Ernest and Martin 1993). Ehrhart and Witherington (1987) reported that 17.5 percent of the loggerhead nests deposited in their Brevard County study area did not emerge due to erosion, accretion, and storm surge.

From available data, it is difficult to ascertain the effects of wave overwash on sea turtle nests in St. Johns County. On Hutchinson Island, Florida, nests overwashed at least once incubated on average about 1.5 days longer than those on dry sections of beach (EAI 2001a). The effect was even more pronounced for those nests experiencing multiple days of overwash. Longer incubation periods may increase susceptibility of eggs to depredation.

To reduce the negative effects of erosion and tidal overwash, nests that are deposited low on the beach are sometimes moved to "safer" locations higher on the beach. Survey monitors in St. Johns County reported that nests were most commonly relocated, because they were laid at, or seaward of, the current high tide mark (FWC *unpublished data* 2002; Table 4-4). The greatest percentage of all relocated nests between 1996 and 2001 occurred in ASP (20.3 percent) and St.

Augustine Beach (formerly South St. Augustine Beach; 11.7 percent). Nest monitors in all survey zones relocated some nests between 1996 and 2001, with the lowest percentage of nest relocations occurring in GRSP (2.3 percent).

4.2.1.2.1. Post-hatchling Washback Sea Turtles

In addition to those direct impacts associated with tidal overwash, storm events during the nesting season may cause hatchlings that have already entered the water to wash back up on the beach with algae and flotsam. In some instances, these hatchlings may have been at sea for weeks or months. Stranded post-hatchling washbacks, as they are referred to, are vulnerable to being accidentally run over by vehicles on the beach. Washback hatchlings are generally dealt with in St. Johns County by personnel from the Volusia Turtle Patrol, Beach Management Division, State Park Service, and the National Park Service. The appearance of washback hatchlings typically coincides with late summer and autumn storms. Collected post-hatchlings are dealt with in accordance with FWC Marine Turtle Conservation Guidelines (Miller *pers. comm.* 2002, Rich *pers. comm.* 2002, and Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

Between 1992 and 2001, 78 Stranding Reports of washback post-hatchlings were filed with FWC (1 in 1997, 2 in 1998, 73 in 1999, 0 in 2000, and 2 in 2001; FWC *unpublished data* 2001b). About 97 percent of the recorded washbacks occurred during the month of October; the remaining few occurred in September. Approximately 94 percent of the washbacks reported to FWC occurred during a red tide event documented in mid-October 1999.

Additionally, 1996-2001 data records regarding washbacks between Crescent Beach and the Flagler County Line were searched (Rich *pers. comm.* 2002). In this area, post-hatchlings washed back onto Crescent Beach on November 22, 1998 (1 loggerhead), July 10, 2000 (2 loggerheads), August 19, 2000 (1 loggerhead), and October 11, 2001 (1 loggerhead) (Rich *pers. comm.* 2002). The water conditions at the time of each washback event were not recorded.

4.2.1.3. Ephemeral Escarpments and Other Natural Obstructions

Escarpments caused by erosion are extremely common along the foredunes throughout the beaches of St. Johns County, particularly during the winter months. During a fall survey conducted by EAI in November 2001, the escarpments ranged from 2 to 6 ft in height along South Ponte Vedra, North Beach, and Vilano Beach (EAI 2001c). Escarpments reaching maximum heights of 15-16 ft were measured along a small stretch of beach in South Ponte Vedra (Figure 4-1). Escarpments on the South Beaches (ASP south to Marineland) generally ranged between two and nine feet in height with a maximum of 14 ft recorded just north of the Summer Haven revetment. Natural dune escarpments have been reported to negatively impact sea turtles during the nesting season (R.E. Martin *pers. comm.* 2002). Nests at the base of a scarp are generally more susceptible to tidal inundation and/or being washed out by high tides. Additionally, the escarpment can collapse, thereby placing additional sand on top of the nest. In both of these cases, the nest may be lost completely or exhibit reduced reproductive success. Although unlikely, it is also possible that a steep escarpment may collapse on a nesting female causing increased stress and/or burial.

Another natural obstruction to nesting female sea turtles and emergent hatchlings is exposed Anastasia rock formations. Such rock formations are periodically exposed on the south side of Matanzas Inlet and in the vicinity of the Town of Marineland. The beaches in both of these areas are intermittently scattered with pieces of broken Anastasia rock. In Martin County, Florida, exposed Anastasia rock formations annually cause problems for sea turtles (R.E. Martin *pers. comm.* 2002). Nesting females have become trapped within the rock formations; they have also fallen from steep outcroppings when returning to the sea after nesting. Such rock formations may also prompt false crawls, thereby increasing the total energy expenditure required for a sea turtle to successfully nest. Jagged rock formations may also trap emerging hatchlings during their crawl to the sea. Sometimes these rock formations are covered by sand when the female turtle emerges to nest, but are later exposed to pose obstacles for emerging hatchlings. Monitoring personnel in St. Johns County have documented sea turtle tracks winding around exposed Anastasia rock formations and false crawls occurring at the rock formations just south of Matanzas Inlet and near the Town of Marineland (Rich *pers. comm.* 2002).

Additionally, dense sprawling vegetation may trap female sea turtles before or after a nesting attempt (R.E. Martin *pers. comm.* 2002). However, the cases when vegetation has acted as a natural obstruction to nesting turtles have been extremely rare. Such an event is most likely to occur when a female unintentionally crawls landward of the dune system because the dune has been altered to an unnatural state or she is disoriented by lights or other cues.

Encounters with natural escarpments or physical obstructions, such as seawalls, may cause a female turtle to abort her nesting attempt (i.e., false crawl). To potentially identify areas where false crawls are most common, nesting success was examined for each of the survey zones in St. Johns County (Table 4-3). Between 1996 and 2001, the highest nesting success (percentage of all crawls resulting in nests) were documented in Ponte Vedra North (78.8 percent), followed by GRSP (70.2 percent), St. Augustine Beach (formerly South St. Augustine Beach) (68.9 percent), and Fort Matanzas National Monument (including both Fort Matanzas North and South (67.8 percent) (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). The lowest nesting success occurred in ASP (62.7 percent) and Beach Club Drive South (61.9 percent). Overall nesting success in the County was 67.1 percent (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). In Florida, loggerhead sea turtles typically deposit eggs on about 50 percent of their emergences onto the dry beach (Trindell *et al.* 1998). Thus, the relatively high nesting success values for St. Johns County suggest that obstructions on the beach are not demonstrating a substantial problem.

4.2.2. Natural Events Affecting AIBM in Plan Area

4.2.2.1. Natural Predation

The Recovery Plan for the AIBM states that the predators of this species include “snakes, bobcats, foxes, raccoons, skunks, owls, and feral cats and dogs” (USFWS 1993). Since feral and free-roaming cats and dogs are considered exotic species, they are classified as unnatural predators and are discussed in section 4.11 of this HCP. The levels of predation by natural predators were monitored and documented during a two-year study of AIBM within GRSP and throughout Anastasia Island (Frank and Humphrey 1996). The following excerpts track Frank’s observations and constitute the only published records of predation on AIBM:

Snakes were the most abundant native predators observed in beach mouse habitat. The eastern coachwhip (*Masticophis flagellum*) was the most commonly observed snake, and once a large individual was seen entering a beach mouse burrow and consuming 4 juvenile beach mice. Black racers (*Coluber constrictor*) were also commonly observed and on 2 occasions were seen to capture beach mice that had been released from traps during early morning. Eastern diamondback rattlesnakes (*Crotalus adamanteus*) were frequently observed in dune habitat and probably also prey on beach mice.

Avian predators observed in beach mouse habitat include great horned owls (*Bubo virginianus*), northern harriers (*Circus cyaneus*), and kestrels (*Falco sparverius*). Other owl species (barred owls (*Strix varia*), screech owls (*Otus asio*), and barn owls (*Tyto alba*)) that are potential beach mouse predators occur on Anastasia Island, but were not observed in beach mouse habitat. Great blue herons (*Ardea herodias*) were frequently observed in the dunes at night at ASRA [ASP], and probably prey on beach mice opportunistically.

Additionally, raccoons, opossums, and bobcats are present on Anastasia Island and may occasionally prey upon AIBM. However, the impacts of natural mammalian predators are thought to be insignificant (Frank and Humphrey 1996).

4.2.2.2. Competition

Beach mice are the only mammal endemic to the dune systems of Florida; hence there are no natural competitors who exhibit a similar size, food habits, or habitat requirements (Frank and Humphrey 1996). However, low levels of competition may occur with the exotic house mouse (*Mus musculus*). When factors depress AIBM populations, house mice are more likely to colonize the area. Elegant trapping studies conducted by Frank and Humphrey (1996) concluded that house mice are probably not a serious threat to the persistence of beach mice in undisturbed dune habitats where beach mice are uniquely adapted. Results are summarized below:

House mice were present in low densities at ASRA [ASP] during a period of low beach mouse density (1989), but following a period of high beach mouse density in January 1990, probably as a result of cat removal, house mouse densities decreased to zero. In contrast, house mice exhibited a broad distribution over Anastasia Island during the summers of 1989 and 1990 in disturbed habitat adjacent to houses and commercial development, where feral cats were common and beach mouse densities were low.

Thus, house mice appear to invade and flourish in areas disturbed by humans or near human structures that provide shelter, but are outcompeted by the native AIBM in an intact, natural dune system.

4.2.2.3. Escarpments, Tidal Inundation, and Storm Overwash

Because the AIBM has lost most of its historical habitat and populations have been isolated from one another, one of the greatest threats to the survival of AIBM is recurring tropical storms (USFWS 1993). The Recovery Plan for AIBM (1993) indicates, “The remaining viable populations could be exterminated by a single tropical storm, with much of the habitat destroyed at the same time. Contingency plans should be prepared to take Anastasia Island beach mice into captivity if populations drop to a level at which chances of survival in the wild decrease significantly.”

The reproductive strategy of AIBM is generally tailored to quickly recover from severe storm events. However, hurricanes have been blamed for regional extirpations of Gulf Coast beach mice. As explained in section 3.5.2.1.2.1., a Population Viability Analysis (PVA) model revealed that the long-term survival of AIBM populations were more threatened by a series of frequent, less severe storms (i.e., Category I hurricanes that, in the model, killed an estimated 10 percent of the population), than by a few catastrophic storms (i.e., Category 5 hurricanes that eliminated 95 percent of the population in simulations) (Frank 1996). In summary, the modeling scenario that forced a 10 percent reduction in the AIBM population each time a 10-year Category I hurricane hit St. Augustine Inlet drove the entire subspecies to extinction within 40 years.

Though AIBM populations naturally exhibit considerable fluctuations, their continued survival is contingent upon available back dune habitat into which they can retreat when the primary dunes are overwashed (Frank and Humphrey 1996, Miller *pers. comm.* 2001). Thus, AIBM depend not only on the conservation of the line of primary dunes, but also sufficient back dune (scrub habitat) in order to survive episodic storm events and avoid extinction.

4.3. HUMAN BEACH ACTIVITIES

4.3.1. Effects of Human Beach Activities on Sea Turtles in Plan Area

4.3.1.1. Beach Visitors

Until a nesting sea turtle begins laying eggs, she may be frightened back into the ocean by human activity and lighting on the beach (McFarlane 1963). It is not known if the fright response has a long-term negative effect on nesting success. Once a turtle leaves the beach, she may return to the same location or select a new site later that night or the following night. However, repeated interruption of nesting may cause a turtle to place her nest in a sub-optimal incubation environment (Murphy 1985). The extent to which heavy nighttime beach use by humans may cause a turtle to abandon its historical nesting range is not known.

Little information is available on the potential impacts of typical beach visitors on adult and hatchling sea turtles on the beaches of St. Johns County. Visitors using flashlights or lanterns on the beach at night during the nesting season can cause nesting turtles to leave the beach and hatchlings to become temporarily disoriented. Direct harassment may also cause adult turtles to abandon nesting efforts (Johnson *et al.* 1996). Monitoring personnel reported beachgoers were caught trying to put a sea turtle in the back of a pick-up truck in Vilano Beach (Reed *pers. comm.*

2001). Although illegal, handling, playing with, or collecting hatchling sea turtles, may also occur on County Beaches. This may cause desiccation and fatigue and reduce the survivorship of hatchlings once they are released into the surf.

It is unlawful for beach visitors to be in the dune vegetation or to disturb sea turtle nests, hatchlings, or adults. Nevertheless, uninformed beachgoers, particularly children, have been reported digging into nests on other Florida beaches in search of eggs and/or hatchlings, presumably out of curiosity. On other Florida beaches, human poaching of turtle nests has been a problem (Ehrhart and Witherington 1987). However, between 1996 and 2001 on the beaches of St. Johns County, there has been only one confirmed case of poaching and four cases of nest vandalism (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). The poaching incident occurred in St. Augustine Beach in 1999. In Vilano Beach, three nests were vandalized in 1997, and one was vandalized in 1999 (the details of these acts of vandalism were not reported to FWC).

More often, however, impacts of visitors to sea turtles on the beaches of St. Johns County are indirect. Research has shown that human footprints on the beach can interfere with the ability of hatchlings to reach the ocean (Hosier *et al.* 1981), and heavy pedestrian traffic may possibly compact sand over unmarked nests. Visitors are generally sympathetic to hatchlings that are having difficulty crawling to the ocean and may pick them up and release them into the surf. Monitoring personnel reported that on two occasions in 1997 disoriented hatchlings in St. Augustine Beach were returned to the ocean by the public (FWC *unpublished data* 2001e). The negative impacts of this activity may include some loss of imprinting to the beach (LeBuff 1990) and an inability to establish a seaward direction during the hatchlings' offshore migration (Lohmann 1994). Minimization of each of these potential impacts will be addressed primarily through the County's educational and public awareness programs, as addressed in Chapter 7 of this HCP.

Hatchling and adult sea turtles may become trapped in large holes commonly dug by beach visitors along the beach, particularly in St. Augustine Beach (Holmberg *pers. comm.* 2002). Although Florida Statute 161.052 prohibits "excavation" on the beach throughout the sea turtle nesting season can only be performed if permitted by the FDEP, it is doubtful that its legislative intent was to restrict traditional beach activities, such as building sand castles. However, if these activities are taken to extreme (such as people using shovels to dig craters or deep holes in the beach), the holes created pose hazards for nesting or emergent sea turtles. If lifeguards or law enforcement officers observe visitors excavating deep holes in the beach during the sea turtle nesting season, they should instruct them to fill them in. Holes are also removed through St. Johns County's rut removal program (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). However, minimization of such human impacts is most likely to come from public educational and awareness programs.

4.3.1.2. Recreational Beach Equipment

Damage to dune vegetation caused by the improper storage of recreational equipment on the beach is a violation of section 161.053 Florida Statutes. Additionally, the use and storage of lounge chairs, cabanas, umbrellas, catamarans, sailboats, and other types of recreational equipment on nesting beaches can hamper or deter nesting by adult females and trap and/or impede hatchlings during their nest to sea migrations. The recovery plan for Atlantic

loggerhead turtles (NMFS and USFWS 1991a) indicates “the documentation of false crawls at these obstacles is becoming increasingly common as more recreational beach equipment is left in place nightly on nesting beaches.” The recovery plan cites documented reports of adult turtles being trapped under heavy wooden lounge chairs, eggs being destroyed by equipment (e.g., beach umbrellas) penetrating the egg chamber, and hatchlings being hampered during emergence by equipment inadvertently placed on top of the nest.

In St. Johns County, private landowners sometimes improperly store boats and other equipment in, or at the toe of, the dunes (Figure 4-2). This equipment might cause problems for adult nesting turtles each year and less frequently for hatchlings. Recreational equipment is placed on the beach during daylight hours seaward of condominiums along North Beach, Vilano Beach, and South Ponte Vedra, and at the Holiday Inn in St. Augustine Beach (Lardner, Stam, and Stauber *pers. comm.* 2002). A stranded sea turtle that washed onto the beach at Ponte Vedra Beach reportedly died after being entrapped in a beach chair (Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). Monitoring personnel stated that recreational equipment is generally not a problem for sea turtles in St. Johns County, although such equipment (e.g., boats and/or volleyball nets) on the beach has reportedly caused an occasional false crawl in the South Ponte Vedra survey zone (Stam *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.3.1.3. Special Beach Events

St. Johns County beaches are host to a small number of special events each year. These events temporarily increase the number of people and/or vehicles in a given area on the beach (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). St. Johns County’s Beach Code (Ordinance 97-34) defines special events as follows:

- A. Any use, activity or event conducted or promoted on the beach that would, if not permitted hereunder, constitute a violation of any provision of the Beach Code or any rule or regulation issued under the authority of the Code; or
- B. Any activity or event that is organized and promoted to attract, and is likely to attract, a crowd of more than 50 persons to a certain place on the beach at a certain time under circumstances that are likely to interfere with the public’s right of access and use of the beach or create a need for additional services or other resources; or
- C. Any activity or event on the beach that is promoted or sponsored by commercial interests and will advertise or promote private commercial interests.

St. Johns County’s Division of Beach Management can grant permits for events that fit one of the categories above. Special events in St. Johns County have historically included, but are not limited to, weddings, paddleball competitions, and volleyball tournaments. The permits are issued at no charge to the applicant. Organizers of such events must apply for and be granted this free permit in order to hold the special event on the beach. The County does not organize these events but will assist with scheduling, logistics, and details such as helping organizers schedule events to avoid high tides.

The County grants permits for both Small and Large Special Events on the beach. A Small Event is considered one that includes less than 200 people; a Large Event involves more than 200 people (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Organizers of Small Events must fill out a permit application form and submit it to the Supervisor of Beach Management of St. Johns County. Large Events must first be approved by the Recreation Advisory Board and then by the Board of County Commissioners. On both permit applications, the event organizers must specify if they will be using any additional structures (such as a tent or other props) or require additional law enforcement officers (for a fee). Events can be permitted to occur into the night. However, no lights or vehicles are allowed on the beach during nighttime events permitted by the County during the sea turtle nesting season (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). A special event organizer is required to obtain a FDEP permit for any special event that involves excavation on the beach, the use of lights at night, or overnight storage of equipment on the beach during the sea turtle nesting season.

The largest and most popular special event that occurs on the beaches of St. Johns County is the public viewing of the annual Fourth of July fireworks display (section 2.4.3.4.). Citizens are allowed to drive onto the beach at night between the north side of the Vilano Ramp and the St. Augustine Inlet (Porpoise Point; Figure 4-9). To accommodate this event, the County suspends enforcement of its general prohibition against night driving by allowing vehicular access to Porpoise Point between the hours of 5:00 AM on July 4 until 1:00 AM on July 5 (Ordinance 96-48). Additionally, two supplementary County staff persons are assigned to the Porpoise Point Region during July 4-5. These additional County staff persons patrol the beach all day and clear the beaches of all public vehicles after the fireworks display is concluded.

The viewing of the annual Fourth of July fireworks display by car has the potential to negatively impact nesting sea turtles and/or emerging hatchlings. However, monitoring personnel cannot recall a sea turtle nest ever being present within the Porpoise Point region during the period surrounding the Fourth of July (Reed and Lardner *pers. comm.* 2001). In recent years, monitoring personnel have patrolled Porpoise Point under their own initiative during this special event in order to protect any female turtles that might crawl onto the beach to nest (Lardner *pers. comm.* 2001); no nesting female turtles have ever been observed to emerge from the ocean at Porpoise Point during the fireworks display.

No known incidental take of sea turtles has been documented on County Beaches as a result of a special beach event. Nevertheless, special events that occur within the nesting season could potentially impact nesting sea turtles and/or emergent hatchlings.

4.3.1.4. Commercial and Recreational Fishing

In 2001, 164 individuals based in St. Johns County possessed a Saltwater Products License issued by the State of Florida (FWC *unpublished data* 2001f), and 128 of these commercial fishers were recorded to have landed fish that same year (FWC *unpublished data* 2001g). A small number of commercial fishermen prefer to travel to and from their fishing spots in four-wheel drive vehicles. In order to estimate the number of commercial fishermen that drive on the beach, those with Saltwater Products Licenses were sorted by gear types that could be used from the surf zone (i.e., hook and line and cast net). There were 45 commercial fishermen that landed

fish using hook-and-line, 16 using a cast net, and 3 using both. However, some of the fish landed by hook-and-line fishermen in 2001 included species that are typically caught offshore in deeper waters, such as wahoo, dolphin, and tuna, which suggests that they were not fishing from the beach. On the other hand, two commercial fishermen in St. Johns County reported landing pompano, a species that is generally caught from the shore. Without conducting an extensive survey, it is not known exactly how many commercial fishermen drive on the beach and to what extent each depends on that activity for their livelihood.

Anecdotal information regarding commercial fishing activities in St. Johns County was provided by Bill Sabo, who has been writing a fishing column for the *St. Augustine Record* for the past 18 years. According to Mr. Sabo, commercial fisherman typically fish off the beach for pompano, whiting, and mullet, and to a lesser extent, flounder, shark, and red and black drum. All of these species can be caught year-round; however pompano and whiting are best caught during the spring, summer, and fall. Silver and black mullet are targeted during September and October. Fishermen for mullet typically will follow the schools of fish along the beach in their vehicles and catch them via cast nets. The majority of commercial fishing, according to Mr. Sabo, is done in the vicinity of the Matanzas Inlet by fishermen who access the beach at the public beach access at Fort Matanzas Ramp. Due to restrictions on beach driving and lighting, commercial fishing at night is a rarity.

Recreational anglers on St. Johns County beaches far outnumber commercial fisherman (Sabo, Gassman, and Delaney *pers. comm.* 2002). The number of recreational fishermen in St. Johns County is not known, but some would estimate the number to range between 12,000 to 15,000 individuals (Delaney *pers. comm.* 2002). Generally, recreational surf fishermen are targeting the same species as commercial fishermen (described above) along County Beaches. Recreational fishing is most popular around St. Augustine and Matanzas Inlets, however all beaches of the County are utilized. From Duval County to Porpoise Point, surf fishing for drum, whiting, pompano, sheepshead, and bluefish is popular. Cast netting for mullet is also popular, particularly between May and the first week of December (Gassman and Delaney *pers. comm.* 2002). Surf fishermen also drive on the beach between "A" Street and FMNM. In this area, pompano, whiting, jack, and red drum are caught every month of the year, and bluefish are caught between May and October (Delaney *pers. comm.* 2002). Fishermen, many from Palatka, often fish on the north shore of the Matanzas Inlet, where flounder, pompano, redfish/channel bass, mullet, and whiting are commonly taken (Delaney *pers. comm.* 2002). Fishermen seldom fish on the west side of the A1A Bridge at Matanzas Inlet, because this area is heavily utilized by families and jetskiers (Delaney *pers. comm.* 2002). Surf fishing along the beaches of Summer Haven ("Short Beach") is also popular: drum, whiting, pompano, sheepshead, and bluefish are typically targeted (Delaney *pers. comm.* 2002).

Both commercial and recreational fishermen can impact sea turtles by driving on the beach to and from their fishing destination. Additionally, fishing debris (e.g., improperly discarded line) can entangle sea turtles, and occasionally sea turtles are foul-hooked or caught by hook-and-line fishermen. No known incidental take of turtles related to fishing activities on County Beaches has been reported in St. Johns County.

4.3.2. Effects of Human Beach Activities on AIBM in Plan Area

4.3.2.1. *Beach Visitors*

Frank and Humphrey (1996) cited “the most apparent problem caused by human activities is physical damage to dune structure and vegetation caused by walking on the dunes.” The beaches of St. Johns County are visited by large numbers of people, particularly during the summer season, and foot traffic through the dunes can occur. Although a large majority of beach visitors utilize dune walkovers from their private residences, commercial establishment, or public access points, there are footpaths through the dunes in various locations along Anastasia Island (EAI 2001c). Each of these footpaths may represent habitat fragmentation for the AIBM.

Like all oldfield mice, AIBM construct and maintain burrows along the primary dune system. Because the escape tunnels of these burrows generally rise from the nest chamber to just below the surface of the dune, they are extremely vulnerable to crushing via trampling by humans, horses, or other animals (USFWS 1993).

Frank and Humphrey (1996) describe another human impact on the dune system that has potential to negatively impact AIBM and other dune-dwelling species:

Another situation contributing to habitat damage in the parks at ASRA [ASP] and FMNM is a lack of public restroom facilities. To use a public restroom at ASRA [ASP] or at FMNM requires either walking or driving [prohibited at ASP since 2000] to the beach entrance ramp where public facilities are located. Instead, many people simply take a quick walk over the dunes and relieve themselves out of view of the public, often displaying enough forethought to bring their own toilet tissue. Solutions to this problem involve either placing temporary facilities (portable toilets) on the beach or erecting a more permanent structure behind the dunes, with walkways over the dunes for access from the beach.

Beach mice experts consider the deposition of urine, defecation, and soiled diapers in the dunes by beach visitors to be a serious threat to beach mice. Researchers cite vehicular beach access as a contributor to this problem, because vehicular access often allows people to reach remote beaches that may be a considerable distance from a portable toilet or a public facility, and beach visitors may be disinclined to drive back to a restroom (Frank *pers. comm.* 2002). These incident reports are anecdotal and not well documented.

4.3.2.2. *Recreational Beach Equipment and Special Beach Events*

Negative impacts to AIBM due to the presence of recreational equipment or special events on the beach have not been documented in St. Johns County. Damage to dune vegetation caused by either of these activities (a violation of Chapter 161.053 Florida Statutes) could contribute to habitat loss or degradation. Additionally, special events that are permitted by St. Johns County and take place during the night may disturb the nocturnal activities of AIBM. Any such disturbance might qualify as harassment—an incidental take, which is prohibited under the Endangered Species Act.

4.3.2.3. *Commercial and Recreational Fishing*

Impacts to AIBM associated with commercial or recreational fishing have not been monitored or documented in St. Johns County. If fishermen drive on the beach to and from their fishing spot, they have the potential to negatively impact AIBM as any other beach driver (Table 4-6).

4.4. *HORSEBACK RIDING ON BEACH*

Horseback riding on the beaches of St. Johns County is regulated through several different County codes and ordinances. Where horseback riding can occur on the beach is addressed in the St. Johns County Land Development Code Article 4 (June 12, 2001), which states that “horseback riding on the beach during Nesting Season [May 1 to October 31] shall be allowed only seaward of the most high-tide line on the beach during times when such riding is otherwise allowed” (section 4.01.08). Further details of when and where horseback riding is permitted are addressed in Ordinance No. 2001-5 (amendment to the Beach Code, Ordinance 97-34). This amendment states that no hooved animals will be permitted “on any portion of the beach between the southern boundary of the Surfside Beach Access Ramp extending south to the southern boundary of St. Johns County from May 1 to October 31 of each year and during county recognized spring break periods...” (section 1(3.02a)). This amendment has been further altered by Resolution No. 2001-5, which additionally allows horseback riding on *all* beaches of the County between November 1 and April 30 of each year. A permit is not presently needed to ride a horse on the beaches of St. Johns County, and the numbers of people who engage in this beach activity are not tracked. Personal observations by County staff suggest that several hundred horseback riders use the beach on a fairly regular basis (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). Horseback riding on the beach within the municipal boundaries of St. Augustine Beach is prohibited throughout the year (St. Augustine Beach Code of Ordinances Chapter 5. Article I. section 5-12.).

In 2002, there were two organizations who conducted guided horseback rides along Plan Area beaches—Palm Valley Stables and Sawgrass Stables (Green *pers. comm.* 2002). Both of these stables only conducted rides along Ponte Vedra Beach (McDonald and Lehman *pers. comm.* 2002). Horseback tours for paying customers are conducted year-round by both organizations. Rides are conducted in the mornings (starting as early as sunrise and lasting for several hours) as well as in the evenings (starting between 5 PM to 6 PM and lasting until dark). Approximately once per month, riders from Sawgrass Stables partake in a moonlight ride (typically around the time of the full moon), which begins at sundown and can last for several hours into the night. Group size can vary from 3 to 7 horses, including guides. Representatives from both of the stables indicated that they follow voluntary guidelines as to where the horses walk along the beach (McDonald, Lehman, and Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). These guidelines are additional to the mandatory guidelines outlined in County ordinances. Under these voluntary guidelines, horses walk seaward of the most recent high tide line and do not approach within 20 feet of a marked sea turtle nest. The horses are trained to follow a guided lead horse, such that inexperienced riders do not stray from the wetted portion of the beach.

4.4.1. Effects of Beach Horseback Riding on Sea Turtles

The impacts of horses on sea turtles are not documented in the literature. However, there are several potential ways in which a horseback riding on the beach could impact sea turtles. Due to the considerable pressure concentrated on their hooves, horses could cause harm to incubating eggs if the nest were stepped on. Horse hoof prints on soft sand can create deep depressions that could entrap emergent hatchling sea turtles as they make their way to the ocean. Horse feces can be washed by high tides close to the toe of the dunes, where sea turtle nests are most commonly laid (Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). The ecological impacts derive from the bacterial decomposition of the horse feces, which would be greatest if the feces is near or on top of a sea turtle nest. However, the impact this may have is not known. Horses on the beach after dark could also potentially cause a nesting female to abort her nesting attempt or unintentionally trod on a hatchling turtle.

In St. Johns County, anecdotal evidence indicates that occasionally horseback riders have led their horses close to or through dune vegetation (Charest and Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). There have been three reported incidents where a horseback rider guided the horse close enough to a sea turtle nest to potentially result in negative impacts (Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). Each of these cases occurred in Ponte Vedra Beach north of Mickler's Landing beach access point. In this area of the beach, sea turtle nests are not barricaded with stakes and flagging tape, and the horse prints were within inches of the clutch of eggs. However, these have been the only recorded interactions between horseback riders and sea turtle nests.

4.4.2. Effects of Beach Horseback Riding on AIBM

As stated in section 4.3.2.1., the burrows and escape tunnels of AIBM are extremely vulnerable to crushing via trampling by humans, horses, or other animals and provide reason to limit dune access in AIBM habitat. In St. Johns County, horse prints have been observed through dune vegetation, which could result in degradation or loss of beach mouse habitat.

4.5. BEACH MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

The following section describes pre-HCP beach management practices and procedures in St. Johns County. Because the County has not developed a formal beach management plan, the following information was derived from numerous consultations and interviews with Dave Williams, the County's Supervisor of Beach Management.

4.5.1. Law Enforcement, Fire Rescue, and Ambulances

Public safety functions on the unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County are the joint responsibility of the Sheriff and the Supervisor of Beach Management (Beach Code section 5.01). The Supervisor of Beach Management and the on-duty Deputy Sheriffs work closely and rarely take action without the other's consent (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). During the summer season, St. Johns County provides four Deputy Sheriffs to patrol the 10.6 mi of general public driving beaches, and St. Augustine Beach provides two City Police Officers to patrol their 1.8-

mile portion of the beach (from “A” Street Ramp to Ocean Trace Road Ramp; Bandy *pers. comm.* 2002).

In the rare event that fire rescue is needed on the beach, only County service is available. When an emergency is reported on the beach, the St. Johns County Fire Department will ask the County beach staff for an assessment of the current beach conditions (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). All the logistics are worked out while the fire rescue is en route to the scene. If the County reports that beach conditions are favorable, the firemen may drive on the beach directly to the scene. The Supervisor of Beach Management prefers not to bring fire vehicles, especially hook-and-ladder trucks, onto the beach unless absolutely necessary (to spare any potential damage to the vehicles; Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). In the past, the County has sent hook-and-ladder trucks to emergencies on the wide, hard-packed sand of the South Beaches. If the County reports that conditions are unfavorable for fire rescue trucks or ambulances on the beach (e.g., high tides or soft sand), then the County staff and emergency rescue personnel will work together to perform a “patient transfer.” In this way, County staff brings the patient to the closest beach access ramp and transfers him/her to the emergency rescue personnel.

4.5.2. Lifeguards and Lifeguard Towers

A total of 65 lifeguards were employed by the County in 2001. The actual number of lifeguards on the beach per day is weather-dependent and ranges during the year between 12 and 27 (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). The beach patrols of lifeguards are generally curtailed during winter months. Beginning April 1, the number of lifeguards on duty begins to be incrementally increased as weather improves and beach visitation increases. The lifeguard staff will grow to maximum level by Memorial Day weekend and remain at maximum staff through Labor Day weekend. After Labor Day weekend, the number of lifeguards will again be gradually reduced to the minimum year-round staff.

All County lifeguards are required to take a 110-hour training course (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). This course consists not only of emergency training, but also training on code enforcement and marine species. The lifeguard training manual addresses marine species, such as sea turtles, but does not contain information on the endangered AIBM.

The lifeguard “truck patrol” relieves the staff on the towers at the end of their work shift and drops off the next shift of lifeguards (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). The truck patrol may consist of one to several four-wheel drive trucks. There is one quad-ATV available to County lifeguards, but it is normally not used. The ATV is only used for special patrols of the North Beaches, where the truck patrol may get stuck in the soft sand.

Lifeguards in St. Johns County typically watch over beach visitors from 14 portable towers. These towers are placed along the most popular beaches during the summer season (i.e., March 1 through Labor Day weekend). The towers are moved throughout the day to follow the tides, are rested next to the dunes at night (but not seaward of a marked sea turtle nest), and are removed from the beach in advance of severe storms and during the winter season.

4.5.3. Traffic Control

The County utilizes a variety of structures to regulate traffic on the beach. Public vehicular access is controlled through designated access points or beach ramps. At present, locked gates at these control points prevent the public from accessing the beach after 10:00 PM and prior to 5:00 AM between May 15 and October 15. This period is defined as the sea turtle nesting season in all County ordinances that deal with beach driving (Ordinance 96-48; Ordinance 97-34 section 5.05). Previously, all ramps in the County were blocked with locked chains, reflectors, and signs. Between October 2001 and January 2002, the County Recreation and Parks Division replaced the locked chains across all 12 vehicle access ramps with steel, crash-resistant barricades. These barricades should prevent violators from using chain cutters or driving small cars under the chains to unlawfully drive on the beach at night, as has occurred in the past.

Lifeguards hold the responsibility of locking the gates at night at 10:00 PM. For the very limited beach staff in St. Johns County, clearing the 14.7 mi of total drivable beaches (including general public driving and four-wheel drive permit driving) of all vehicles is a daunting and time-consuming task. Beginning at about 9:00 PM, the truck patrol uses a PA system to instruct drivers to vacate the beach (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). However, only one vehicle is used for this task, and the truck patrol may not finish clearing the beach of vehicles until after 10:00 PM. From the time all of the gates have been locked at night until 5:00 AM the next day, the Deputy Sheriff on duty in that area will respond to calls from citizens regarding headlights on the beach. The penalty for being caught driving illegally on the beach at night depends on the type of citation issued. The violator may be charged with a violation of the Beach Code, resulting in a fine up to \$58.00 (Clark *pers. comm.* 2002). The violator could also be cited for vandalism or other charges that could bring the charges up to a felony level. Generally, the local Deputy Sheriffs issue warnings, not citations, to violators of the night driving prohibition. Instances of vehicles on the beach after 10:00 PM are uncommon, and typically pertain to vehicles that have become stuck in the sand (Clark *pers. comm.* 2002).

Deputy Sheriffs are tasked with reopening vehicle access gates each morning at 5:00 AM. However, the time the gates are actually reopened varies greatly—depending on the availability of the on-duty Deputy Sheriffs. Occasionally, anxious beach drivers may resort to unlawful means to enter the beach at 5:00 AM, if the gate is not opened on time (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Such means have included driving through the dunes around the locked gate or, very infrequently, cutting the chain with gate cutters (not possible with new crash-resistant barricades).

Traffic control is also maintained by the presence of tollbooths and tollbooth keepers at 10 of the 12 vehicular access ramps (section 2.4.3.3.; Figure 2-9). Additionally, tollbooth staff give educational flyers to beach drivers when the toll booths are in operation. During the 2001 sea turtle nesting season, about 5,000 flyers were handed out containing information on sea turtles. Throughout the year, permanent signs are posted at all ramps and access points (Figure 4-3), and temporary laminated signs are posted on trash cans that instruct beach users to avoid disturbing nesting female turtles, nests, and eggs between May 1 and October 31.

Once on the beach, orderly public vehicular traffic is maintained in part through appropriately placed signage and traffic cones. One large sign is placed at each vehicle access ramp to outline driving instructions, speed limits, beach rules, prohibited activities, and other warnings (Figure 4-4). Additionally, speed limit signs and stop signs are placed daily on the beach at high-volume traffic areas. As outlined below in Ordinance 97-34 section 5.01, the Supervisor of Beach Management and the Sheriff share the responsibility for the daily designation of driving lanes and parking areas on the drivable beaches:

(b) The Supervisor of Beach Management and the Sheriff are to provide that the parking area be placed as far to the west as sand conditions permit allowing for a conservation zone, and that drivers shall be directed to park their cars in an orderly fashion to conserve parking spaces. The traffic lanes adjacent to the parking lanes shall be as compactly defined as is reasonable. The Supervisor of Beach Management and the Sheriff shall have the discretion to move these designated areas according to the circumstances on the beach.

(c) When the westerly row of parking has been filled, and provided that tidal conditions permit, the Supervisor of Beach Management and the Sheriff may allow parking on the east side of the traffic lanes.

In areas congested with beach users, the driving lanes are segregated from the seaward pedestrian zones through the placement of cones on the eastern side of the driving lanes (Appendix G). Before 9:00 AM, a truck patrol of County staff set cones about 25 yards apart along straight stretches of the beach and about every 10 yards near vehicle access ramps. Depending on beach conditions, the cones are set varying distances from the water's edge and about 50 feet (15 m) from the toe of the dunes. Generally, public beach drivers follow the tire tracks made by the County truck earlier in the day (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

The northern boundary of the special driving area for North Beach Vehicular Access Permit holders is delimited by a sign on the beach at the southern boundary of Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve (section 2.4.3.5.; Figure 4-5). At the Vilano Ramp, one sign at the ramp and one sign on the beach indicate that northbound vehicles are authorized to drive on the beach by special permit only (Figure 4-6). At Usina Beach Ramp, signage also indicates that beach driving is authorized for permit holders only (Figure 4-7). During the summer season (between March 1 and Labor Day weekend), one St. Johns County Deputy Sheriff is assigned to specifically patrol the North Beaches driving zone (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). During the winter season, the enforcement responsibility is assigned to the Deputy Sheriff tasked with patrolling the upland zone along the North Beaches.

Traffic rules are posted at each vehicle access ramp (Figure 4-4). The speed limit on all beaches in St. Johns County is 10 mph, except for emergency vehicles responding to an emergency (displaying full lights and sirens), which abide by a 30-mph speed limit. The County Deputy Sheriffs and the City of St. Augustine Beach Police Officers patrolling the beaches have the authority for all traffic enforcement, including speed limits (Ordinance 97-34 section 5.01).

Vehicle access ramps at either end of the two-way driving zone, namely Ocean Trace Road Ramp and Crescent Beach Ramp, can become congested on some summer days. These ramps

are also two of the most popular ramps in the County, probably because they are the most accessible to major thoroughfares leading from inland areas to the beaches (ATM 2001); Ocean Trace Road Ramp is near Route 312, and Crescent Beach Ramp is close to Route 206. Traffic congestion is controlled by Deputy Sheriffs and Public Service Assistants (PSAs). PSAs are civilians that are trained to direct traffic flow and assist in congested areas on the beach (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Since PSAs may be assigned to work throughout the County, the number of PSAs sent to patrol the beach varies daily and seasonally. Typically, during the summer season, four to six PSAs per day assist the Deputy Sheriffs on the beaches of St. Johns County (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). The PSAs generally patrol the beach on quad-ATVs, and they possess the authority to write citations for code violations.

4.5.4. Conservation Zone

Section 7.01 of the St. Johns County Beach Code (Ordinance 97-34) outlines a Conservation Zone (CZ) along unincorporated County Beaches:

St. Johns County Code section 5-61. Conservation Zone. (a) A conservation zone shall be established along the entire Atlantic Ocean beach in the unincorporated areas of St. Johns County. The purpose of this zone is to encourage the natural growth of both dune and beach, to protect the beach from destructive influences and to provide for the protection of species existing in the area. This conservation zone shall be established fifteen (15) feet seaward from the seaward toe of the most seaward dune, dune scarp, sea wall, or line of permanent vegetation, or half the distance to the mean high-water level, whichever is the lesser. (b) All vehicle and pedestrian activities within the conservation zone is prohibited, except at vehicle approaches and pedestrian walkways and walkovers. (c) The disturbing or removing of existing natural vegetation is prohibited. Additional natural vegetation may be planted and maintained for these areas when necessary and or beneficial and as approved by a state or county agency.

The CZ is generally accepted as 15-foot wide, but as currently defined, may actually fluctuate considerably in width. As the Mean High Water line is periodically redefined by State agencies, the CZ in St. Johns County is likewise redefined. In so far as the Mean High Water line is an invisible line on the beach, the eastern boundary of the CZ is not easily determined.

Along the South Beaches of the County, the beach is normally wide during the summer, and the Conservation Zone is generally accepted as 15 feet in width. The CZ is not currently marked along any County Beaches, though the St. Johns County Recreation and Parks Division has tried repeatedly to place posts in the sand demarcating the zone. Over time, the County has set over 2,000 posts with signs along the CZ's seaward boundary, and all of them have been washed out by waves and lost (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

Generally, the unmarked CZ is self-regulated (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). In 2001, the County moved all trash cans and portable toilets from the dune to the seaward edge of the CZ along the 9.9 mi of drivable beaches on Anastasia Island, (i.e., 15 ft seaward of the toe of the dune). This action was intended to inhibit pedestrians from entering the CZ, because they no longer have a reason to venture beyond the trash can or portable toilet towards the dunes.

Lifeguards and Park Rangers also instruct beachgoers to stay out of both the CZ and the dunes (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Lifeguards cannot issue tickets for violations of the CZ, but they do advise, warn, and contact law enforcement. The Beach Code gives the Sheriff's Department the authority to enforce the CZ, though they have never issued a citation for a violation of the CZ (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001, Clark *pers. comm.* 2002).

Because the CZ width varies over time and is not currently marked, beach drivers may unwittingly park their vehicles, walk, play, or sunbathe in the CZ. However, the prohibited act of physically driving through the dunes in St. Johns County is rare, except in the vicinity of Porpoise Point (section 2.4.3.4.; Figure 4-9; Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). The escarpments in most areas are prohibitively high and make accessing the dunes in a vehicle impossible in many areas. Dune driving occasionally occurs adjacent to the vehicle access ramps (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001), at Porpoise Point, and along the North Beaches (Clark and Mathis *pers. comm.* 2002). Driving along the seaward side of the dunes and within the CZ can be common during high tides along eroded areas of the coast (Figure 3-4), such as the North Beaches (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001, Clark and Mathis *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.5. Traffic Barricades

For a number of reasons, all or portions of beaches within St. Johns County may be closed to public traffic by use of barricades. In the past, traffic has been barricaded due to the wash-up of rafts of illegal aliens, hazardous materials, or turtle nests in the driving lanes (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). When a turtle nest occurs in a traffic lane and traffic cannot be reasonably maneuvered around the marked nest, it is customary to block traffic through that area (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). The County will also block traffic when a vehicle access ramp becomes severely eroded. Occasionally, traffic is diverted from upland roadways onto the beach. County employees generally place the traffic barricades on the beach, and the driving prohibition is enforced by Deputy Sheriffs. Traffic has never been barricaded in St. Johns County, due to a lack of on-beach parking (section 2.4.3.3.; Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). For example, in the summer of 1997, the County diverted traffic from Highway A1A onto the beach in order to carry out roadway construction (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

Typically four to five times during the summer season, extremely high tides created by storm events or astronomical conditions may interfere with beach traffic flow (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). In a joint decision made by the Supervisor of Beach Management and the Sheriff, the number of cars allowed on the beach may be limited during high tide events.

4.5.6. Tow Trucks

Several areas of the beaches of St. Johns County contain patches of soft sand, including the North Beaches and the zones adjacent to vehicular access ramps. Vehicles can have difficulties maneuvering in these areas. An estimated 1,000 cars per year get stuck in the sand, which constitutes approximately one-third of all yearly citizen assists (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). This is equivalent to 0.8 percent of the average total 125,311 beach drivers that purchased daily and seasonal passes each year between 1995 and 2000 (ATM 2001). When a vehicle becomes immobilized on the beach, the County will assist without physically towing the vehicle out of the

soft sand. The County also airs television public service announcements entitled “How to Drive in Soft Sand.” Instructions on how to drive in soft sand are also printed on the back of every daily beach pass. If a vehicle is seriously stuck, then County staff will assist the driver by calling a private towing company (at the driver’s expense).

4.5.7. Bicycles and Mopeds

In Florida, bicycles and mopeds are legally defined as motor vehicles (Florida Statutes 316.2065 and 316.208). Bicyclists and moped operators, on and off the beach, generally have the same rights and responsibilities as drivers of typical motor vehicles. Accordingly, bicyclists and moped riders must observe all traffic laws and can receive citations for traffic violations from the Deputy Sheriffs patrolling the beaches of St. Johns County.

A growing number of moped rental companies are opening near the beaches of St. Johns County, and renting and driving a moped on the beach is becoming increasingly popular (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Since mopeds are motorized, street-legal vehicles, their drivers must buy a beach pass, which permits them to drive on the same beaches that are open to other public vehicular traffic (Figure 2-9). The County does not perceive the increasing number of mopeds on their beaches as a problem, but will be closely monitoring the situation in the future (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

Bicycles are permitted on any of the beaches of St. Johns County. However, bicycle riding is most popular on the wide, flat South Beaches. Bicyclists tend to avoid the North Beaches, where there is soft sand and a steep beach profile. Bicyclists are not required to obtain a beach pass, and the County does not deem it necessary to regulate their access (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

4.5.8. Unauthorized Vehicles

The St. Johns County Beach Code outlines the types of vehicles that are prohibited on all beaches of the County. Unauthorized vehicles include the following:

1. Wind-powered land vehicles (Beach Code section 5.02a);
2. “Any vehicle not customarily used on public streets and highways, including, but not limited to, go-carts, all-terrain vehicles (ATVs), and other similar vehicles” (Beach Code section 5.03j);
3. Buses (except for permitted special beach events) (Beach Code section 5.04b);
4. Truck tractor or tandem trailer trucks (except those authorized for construction or repair) (Beach Code section 5.04b);
5. “Any vehicle or vehicle and trailer combination of any description longer than 33 feet” (except those authorized for construction or repair) (Beach Code section 5.04b).

However, since May 15, 2000, the Division of Beach Management has granted North Beach Parking Permits to two ATVs and one dune buggy (Division of Beach Management *unpublished data* 2002).

4.5.9. Noise

Permitted noise levels on County Beaches are specifically addressed in the St. Johns County Code of Ordinances. Section 5-43 provides that no audio device (except equipment used by law enforcement, rescue, or beach safety personnel) shall exceed a noise level of 60 decibels. An audio device is further prohibited “that makes noise which is unreasonable, considering the nature and purpose of the user’s conduct, location on the beach, time of day or night, impact on other beach users and other factors that would govern the conduct of a reasonably prudent person under the circumstances.” St. Augustine Beach Code of Ordinances generally addresses noise in Appendix A. Land Development Regulations, section 9.01.00 Noise. Within the municipal boundaries of St. Augustine Beach, maximum permissible sound levels during the daytime shall not exceed 60 decibels in residential areas and 65 decibels in commercial areas. The on-duty Deputy Sheriffs enforce the noise ordinances in both unincorporated St. Johns County and St. Augustine Beach.

4.5.10. Portable Toilets

Five public beach access points along the coastline of St. Johns County have permanent restroom facilities: Mickler’s Landing Beach Access, ASP Ramp & Park, St. Johns County Pier Park, Frank B. Butler Park East, and Crescent Beach Park. Two rented portable toilets (i.e., “Port-o-Lets”) are also present year-round in the parking lot at South Ponte Vedra Park. The County is aggressively trying to build new, and refurbish the old, public restroom facilities at beach access points (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

Because the number of public restrooms at beach access points is not adequate to meet demand, St. Johns County rents 19 portable toilets that are placed on the beach during the summer season (i.e., March 1 through Labor Day weekend) (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). Each of these portable toilets is secured with wooden stakes. Generally, portable toilets are placed at each vehicle access ramp, with two usually placed at the most heavily utilized ramps. Additional portable toilets are placed on the beach at select locations with high beach usage, in areas isolated from access ramps or pedestrian beach access points where restroom facilities are present.

Portable toilets are serviced four times per week (Friday, Saturday, Sunday, and Monday) between 9:00 AM and 12:00 noon. The portable toilets are serviced from the wetted portion of the beach by a ¾- to 1-ton tanker truck using a long hose. The tanker truck generally cannot approach the portable toilets too closely, because of soft sand conditions (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.11. Trash Collection and Beach Maintenance

A total of about 250 trash receptacles are spatially distributed along County Beaches in relation to beach use patterns. These trash receptacles are open blue plastic barrels with several drainage holes in the bottom. They are placed directly on the sand. The County previously mounted the barrels on posts in the sand (Figure 4-8), but they were commonly run into or over by vehicles (Goodwin *pers. comm.* 2002). Also, the sand around the mounted trash cans tended to become

heavily eroded by the end of the summer season, and then the receptacles were too high off the ground for people to reach (Goodwin *pers. comm.* 2002). Since 2001, the County has made an effort to move the trash receptacles seaward to the edge of the 15-foot CZ. By March 2002, all trash receptacles had been placed as far from the dunes as possible and encircled by three wooden stakes. The wooden stakes are expected to prevent the receptacles from being blown, washed, or pushed over, which would cause a garbage spill (Goodwin *pers. comm.* 2002).

All trash pick-ups are performed by a private contractor. Trash collection varies seasonally (Goodwin *pers. comm.* 2002). During the summer, from about March 15 through Labor Day weekend, trash is collected from the receptacles every day using a four-wheel drive, one-ton pick-up truck pulling a trailer. During the winter (i.e., after Labor Day weekend until March 15), trash is picked up three times per week using the same pick-up truck with trailer. On these same days, loose trash on the beach is also collected by one person on an ATV. Trash collection generally starts in the early morning between 6:30 and 7:00 AM at the Fort Matanzas Ramp. From the Fort Matanzas Ramp, it proceeds north to ASP. On weekends and Mondays, it may take as long as four hours to complete trash collection on the South Beaches. Then, the truck with trailer and ATV continue collecting trash on the beach from the Vilano Ramp south around Porpoise Point. North of Vilano Ramp, trash collection personnel generally do not drive on the beach, but pick up trash via established public pedestrian access points (i.e., public dune crossovers) (Goodwin *pers. comm.* 2002).

The County's contractor also deals with large pieces of debris on the beach (Goodwin *pers. comm.* 2002). A front-end loader may be brought onto the beach for this purpose. If a stranded boat is broken into pieces, trash collection personnel can remove the debris. If the boat is larger, the Coast Guard and County generally get involved (Goodwin *pers. comm.* 2002). In the case of a large derelict vessel, the Supervisor of Beach Management must apply to FWC's Grant Removal Program, which generally takes a year and a half before the vessel is removed from the beach (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). St. Johns County utilized this program in 1994-1995 (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

Trash collection vehicles may also be used to assist in the recovery of stranded marine animals. After dead stranded sea turtles are examined and marked with spray paint, trash collection personnel may be asked to remove the dead turtle from the beach or bury it by the dunes (such beach excavation is not permitted during the sea turtle nesting season). Authorized agents of NMFS are contracted to recover and remove beached whales from the beach.

4.5.12. Ramp Grading

In St. Johns County, one grader is owned by the County and used to grade each of the active vehicular access ramps (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). During the summer season, ramp grading is a daily task beginning at about 8:00 AM and continuing until about 5:00 PM. Generally, it takes the grader operator a full day to grade two or three ramps. By the time all 12 public vehicle access ramps are graded, it is time to start over again. During the winter season (after Labor Day weekend until March 1), the ramps are graded as needed, which is usually once a week.

In accordance with FDEP Rules and Procedures 62B-33.004, ramp grading in St. Johns County is exempt from FDEP permitting requirements (Nelson *pers. comm.* 2002). These regulations outline that a FDEP permit is not required for certain low impact activities, including “the removal of windblown sand from paved road and parking areas, beach access ramps, pools, patios, walkways or decks, not involving a change in the general grade and provided that any beach quality sand is returned to the beach and dune system seaward of the coastal construction control line.” This exemption is provided such that “such activities shall be conducted so as not to disturb marked marine turtle nests or known nest locations or damage existing native salt-tolerant vegetation” (FDEP Rules and Procedures 62B-33.004, effective December 31, 2001).

4.5.13. Effects of Beach Management Activities on Sea Turtles in Plan Area

4.5.13.1. Law Enforcement and Fire Rescue

Public safety, law enforcement, and other emergency vehicles are provided unlimited access to all beaches within the Plan Area. Both routine and emergency operation of vehicles on the beach have the potential to impact sea turtle eggs, adults, and hatchlings, as summarized in Table 4-5.

4.5.13.2. Lifeguard Towers

There is potential for lifeguard towers to impact hatchling or nesting female sea turtles. Towers pose obstacles to nesting turtles, and portable towers may be placed over unmarked nests, which may harm incubating eggs or trap hatchlings. There are no documented cases of a sea turtle abandoning her nesting attempt due to interactions with a lifeguard tower in St. Johns County; however one turtle nested under a lifeguard tower in Ponte Vedra Beach on June 26, 2002 (Williams, Stam, Hester, Stoll, Lardner, Crawford, Miller, Stauber, and Parker *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.13.3. Traffic Control

As explained in section 4.5.3., public vehicular access to the beach is prohibited between the hours of 10:00 PM and 5:00 AM between May 15 and October 15. This poses a risk to nesting female sea turtles and emerging hatchlings. Nesting females may emerge from the ocean and hatchlings may emerge from their nest any time after dark and before sunrise. A nesting female or emerging hatchling on the beach during the crepuscular is not an uncommon event. At the beginning (May 15) and end of the nesting season (October 15), days are the shortest, and the potential for interactions between vehicles on the beach and sea turtles is the greatest. On May 15, 2002, sunrise was 6:32 AM, and sunset was 8:11 PM (U.S. Naval Observatory *unpublished data* 2002). On October 15, 2002, sunrise was 7:27 AM, and sunset was 6:55 PM. Thus, during the fall (pre-HCP) there is about a 2.5-hour period before sunrise and a 3-hour period after sunset when vehicles and turtles may potentially be on the beach at the same time.

The potential for interactions between sea turtles and public vehicles is exacerbated by a lack of adequate staff to clear the beach by posted closing times. As explained in section 4.5.3., only one vehicle with two lifeguards is presently responsible for clearing all the driving beaches of

vehicles. The lifeguards rarely finish clearing the beaches of vehicles until well after 10:00 PM; it generally takes 3 to 4 hours to ensure that all vehicles are off the beach.

4.5.13.4. Conservation Zone

Conceptually, the Conservation Zone should have a positive impact on sea turtles by protecting a majority of sea turtle nests from vehicular and pedestrian traffic and allowing the dune to build. However, the value of the CZ in St. Johns County was presently limited, because it was not clearly marked or regularly enforced.

4.5.13.5. Traffic Barricades

Traffic barricades and signs have the potential to interfere with nesting female sea turtles or emerging hatchlings, if left on the beach overnight. However, traffic barricades are not left on the beaches overnight in St. Johns County, and no such interactions have been documented (Williams, Stam, Hester, Stoll, Lardner, Crawford, Miller, Stauber, and Parker *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.13.6. Tow Trucks

When vehicles become stuck in soft sand, the use of a tow truck can create large tire ruts or craters in the sand (Lardner *pers. comm.* 2002). These can interfere with nesting female sea turtles and trap emergent hatchlings as they crawl to the ocean.

4.5.13.7. Bicycles and Mopeds

Bicyclists may ride on the beach anytime and anywhere in St. Johns County, thus exhibiting potential to impact sea turtles or fresh nests during hours of darkness. Since mopeds are treated like any other public vehicle, they can impact sea turtles in much the same ways as vehicles (see Table 4-5). However, no interactions have been documented between a bicycle or moped and a sea turtle in St. Johns County (Williams, Stam, Hester, Stoll, Lardner, Crawford, Miller, Stauber, and Parker *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.13.8. Unauthorized Vehicles

Similar to any other public vehicle, unauthorized vehicles can impact sea turtles in the same ways that authorized vehicles can (see Table 4-5). Since unauthorized vehicles include dune buggies and ATVs, there may be more potential for these kinds of vehicles to impact sea turtles, because they might be able to enter soft sand areas where other public vehicles cannot.

4.5.13.9. Noise

There has been very little research to elucidate how sea turtles may be impacted by noise. It is possible that females may be discouraged from emerging from the ocean to nest or frightened back into the ocean by high levels of noise. In St. Johns County, nest monitors have not

documented any noise-related impacts (Williams, Stam, Hester, Stoll, Lardner, Crawford, Miller, Stauber, and Parker *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.13.10. Portable Toilets

Portable toilets have the potential to interfere with nesting female sea turtles. They might also be an obstacle to hatchlings and/or trap hatchlings if placed on top of an unmarked nest. No such interactions with portable toilets have been documented in St. Johns County (Williams, Stam, Hester, Stoll, Lardner, Crawford, Miller, Stauber, and Parker *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.13.11. Trash Collection and Beach Maintenance

Trash collection and beach maintenance activities have the potential to impact sea turtles because vehicles are used. Such vehicles might impact sea turtles or nests as described in Table 4-5. These impacts may be greater than those described for general beach driving, because larger equipment is sometimes required on the beach, particularly during emergency events such as a beached whale or grounded vessel. Heavy vehicular equipment can create large tire ruts that may hinder hatchlings in their crawl to the sea. However, nest monitors have not documented impacts to sea turtles related to trash collection or beach maintenance in St. Johns County (Williams, Stam, Hester, Stoll, Lardner, Crawford, Miller, Stauber, and Parker *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.5.13.12. Ramp Grading

Ramp graders are large vehicles that can impact sea turtles or nests as described in 4-5. Additionally, this kind of heavy equipment can create large tire ruts in the beach that may hinder hatchlings in their crawl to the sea. Ramp grading can sometimes create shallow depressions at the base of the ramps (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). During high tides, wavewash reaching the ramps can collect in these “pools.” If ramp grading results in a continuous corridor between the ramps and the ocean that is lower in elevation than the adjacent beach, tidal flooding is exacerbated, and any sea turtle nest deposited in this corridor might be more vulnerable to washover or being washed out. For this reason, PPHs generally chose to relocate nests laid in vehicular access ramps (Stauber *pers. comm.* 2001).

4.5.14. Effects of Beach Management Activities on AIBM in Plan Area

4.5.14.1. Trash Collection and Beach Maintenance

Garbage on the beach may attract beach mice away from the dune and onto portions of the beach where vehicles are present, thus placing the mice at risk. Competitors and/or predators of beach mice might also be attracted to discarded food on the beach. Consequently, it is important that appropriate receptacles be provided, so beach users can properly dispose of their trash. Furthermore, these receptacles need to be routinely serviced and maintained. If receptacles are placed directly on the beach, beach mice may enter the cans through drainage holes cut in the bottom. Mice entering the receptacles may be crushed by heavy trash or may be inadvertently killed, injured, or removed from their habitat during trash pickup. Additionally, beach mice

“will take refuge under the cans, if the cans are not mounted on a post, which is also not necessarily a desirable behavior. The cans are an attractive nuisance for them” (Bard *pers. comm.* 2002). Sealed and elevated trash receptacles could eliminate many of these potential threats.

4.6. SHORELINE PROTECTION

4.6.1. Effects of Shoreline Protection on Sea Turtles in Plan Area

4.6.1.1. Coastal Armoring

In an effort to protect coastal properties from storm-related erosion, property owners may petition the State of Florida to construct concrete and metal seawalls, rock revetments, and other types of shoreline protection devices. Collectively, these hard structures are referred to as armoring. Coastal armoring is known to have both direct and indirect effects on nesting and hatchling sea turtles (National Research Council 1990). In general, the quality of nesting habitat is degraded by the presence of these structures on the beach. Over 21 percent (145 mi) of Florida’s beaches are armored (NMFS and USFWS 1991a and b).

Considerable anecdotal information exists to suggest that permanent armoring structures can diminish the quality of sea turtle nesting habitat. However, there have been few experimental studies designed specifically to assess the impacts of these structures on sea turtle nesting. Mosier (1998) and Mosier and Witherington (2000) recorded the behavior of nesting turtles in front of seawalls and adjacent unarmored sections of beach. Both studies reported that fewer female sea turtles crawled out of the surf onto beaches fronted by seawalls than on beaches where similar structures were absent. Of those turtles that did emerge in the presence of seawalls, proportionally fewer nested. Additionally, turtles on armored sections of beach tended to wander greater distances than those that emerged on adjacent natural beaches. It is unknown if this additional energy expenditure might reduce reproductive output.

Studies by Mosier (1998) and Mosier and Witherington (2000) indicate that seawalls may create suboptimal nesting habitat for sea turtles by diminishing nesting success. Seawalls can effectively eliminate a turtle’s access to upper regions of the beach/dune system. Consequently, nests on armored beaches in Brevard and Indian River Counties were generally found at lower elevations than those on non-walled beaches. Lower elevations subject nests to a greater risk of tidal inundation and can potentially alter thermal regimes, an important factor in determining the sex ratio of hatchlings (Mrosovsky and Provancha 1989, Mrosovsky 1994, Ackerman 1997, Delpech and Foote 1998).

High tides frequently reach the base of armoring structures, particularly during spring tides and storm events. Thus, nests deposited in front of these structures are often subject to tidal inundation. For this reason, nests on some armored nesting beaches have to be relocated each year to a more suitable incubation environment (EAI 2000b). The negative effects of seawalls become more pronounced the closer the seawalls are to the surf zone. Thus, the quality of beach habitat seaward of armoring structures on eroding sections of coastline can be expected to diminish as the shoreline recedes.

In addition to those effects discussed above, impacts can occur if the installation of structures takes place during the sea turtle nesting season. Unmarked nests can be crushed or unearthed by heavy equipment. Vibrations and water runoff from jetting operations during installation of structures can also damage nests. In other regions of Florida, there have been reported incidents of nesting turtles and hatchlings caught in construction debris or trapped in excavations at the construction site.

Once a structure is in place, it can continue to cause problems for sea turtles (FWC *unpublished data* 2001b). For example, along other Florida coasts, hatchlings have been trapped in holes or crevices of exposed riprap and geotextile tubes. Both nesting turtles and hatchlings have been entangled or entrapped in the debris of failed structures. There have also been reports of injuries to nesting turtles that have been able to climb onto a seawall via adjacent properties and have subsequently fallen off.

As the extent of armoring on beaches increases, the probability of a nesting turtle encountering a seawall or depositing a nest in sub-optimal habitat increases. Additionally, the displacement of nests from armored locations may increase the density of nests in a dwindling number of suitable nesting sites, thereby increasing the potential for density-dependent nest mortality (e.g., turtles digging up existing nests). However, this effect is most likely to occur on higher density nesting beaches than those in St. Johns County.

In Volusia County, where detailed information is maintained regarding obstacles encountered by turtles during their nesting activities, loggerhead turtles contacted seawalls, rock revetments, or other types of armoring structures on 16.7 and 22.8 percent, respectively, of all crawls during 1999 and 2000 (EAI 2000b and 2001b). Ninety-one (91.0) and 83.0 percent, respectively, of those encounters resulted in the turtle returning to the ocean without nesting. Overall, armoring was responsible for nearly one-third of all non-nesting emergences (false crawls) on Volusia County's beaches. Nesting success was particularly low in the southern portion of the county where armoring was prevalent.

4.6.1.2. Sand Fences

Sand fences have been known to trap hatchling turtles and act as barriers to nesting turtles (National Research Council 1990). All sand fences are permitted by FDEP, and property owners must adhere to their guidelines to avoid negative impacts to turtles. Sea turtle monitoring personnel in St. Johns County report an occasional false crawl attributable to sand fences (Lardner *pers. comm.* 2001, Rich *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.6.1.3. Dune Restoration, Dredged Material Beach Disposal, and Beach Renourishment

Although beach nourishment is generally viewed as a more environmentally benign solution to shoreline protection than armoring, it too has potential for impacting sea turtles. It can affect the sea turtle reproductive process in a variety of ways. Although nourished beaches may provide a greater quantity of nesting habitat, the quality of that habitat may be less suitable than pre-existing natural beaches. Sub-optimal nesting habitat may decrease nesting, place an increased energy burden on nesting females, result in abnormal nest construction, and reduce the

survivorship of eggs and hatchlings. A thorough review of the processes associated with each of these potential effects was presented by Crain *et al.* (1995).

Most nourishment projects on heavily nested beaches are planned to proceed outside of the main portion of the nesting season to minimize incidental take of turtles. Nevertheless, construction impacts can occur. Unmarked nests may be crushed by construction equipment or buried during deposition of dredged materials on the beach. Nests relocated out of harm's way may experience reduced reproductive success (Moody 1998).

Nourished beaches tend to differ in several important ways from natural beaches. They are typically wider, flatter, more compact, and the sediments are more moist than those on natural beaches (Nelson *et al.* 1987, Ackerman *et al.* 1991, Ernest and Martin 1999). On severely eroded sections of beach, where little or no suitable nesting habitat previously existed, nourishment can result in increased nesting (Ernest and Martin 1999). However, on most beaches, nesting success typically declines for the first one or two years following construction, even though more habitat is available for turtles (Trindell *et al.* 1998). Reduced nesting success on nourished beaches has been attributed to increased compaction of sediments, scarping, and changes in beach profile (Nelson *et al.* 1987, Crain *et al.* 1995, Davis *et al.* 1994, Lutcavage *et al.* 1997, Steinitz *et al.* 1998, Ernest and Martin 1999). Compaction presumably inhibits nest construction, while scarps often cause female turtles to return to the ocean without nesting or deposit their nests seaward of the scarp where they are more susceptible to tidal inundation.

Beach nourishment can affect the incubation environment of nests by altering the moisture content, gas exchange, and temperature of sediments (Ackerman *et al.* 1991, Ackerman 1997, Parkinson and Magron 1998). The extent to which the incubation environment is altered is largely dependent on the similarity of the nourished sands and the natural sediments they replace. Consequently, results of studies assessing the effects of nourishment on reproductive success have varied among study sites.

As elsewhere in Florida, all beach nourishment and sand transfer projects in St. Johns County are regulated through State and Federal permitting programs. The USACE, the Federal agency responsible for issuing permits, will undergo section 7 consultations with other Federal agencies to address listed species issues for Federal projects. The USACE permit issued for these projects will specify measures to be implemented to minimize and mitigate impacts to turtles based on those consultations. Consequently, activities associated with beach nourishment and other federally permitted beach projects, including those involving the use of vehicles on the beach, are outside the scope of this HCP, and as such will not be covered under the ITP.

St. Johns County has recently conducted a relatively large beach nourishment project in St. Augustine Beach, and sediment from periodical dredging of the Intercoastal Waterway is placed on the beaches of Summer Haven (section 3.4; Table 3-3). Additionally, dune restoration projects have taken place at ASP in 1999 and Summer Haven in both 2002 and 2003. Dune restoration projects, such as these in St. Johns County, involve placement of additional sand on the beach and can have some the same effects as beach nourishment if performed during the nesting season.

Since 1999, nests have been relocated each nesting season due to various shoreline protection measures in St. Johns County (Table 4-4). In 1999, nests were relocated from St. Augustine Beach due to a USACE beach sand transfer project associated with inlet maintenance dredging (15.8 percent of nests were moved). In both 1999 and 2001, nests were relocated due to renourishment projects south of Matanzas Inlet (Fort Matanzas South survey zone). In 1999, 17.9 percent of the nests deposited in the Fort Matanzas National Monument survey zone were moved, and in 2001, 28.6 percent of the nests in the Fort Matanzas South survey zone were relocated. Additionally, 6.2 percent of the nests within the Fort Matanzas National Monument survey zone were moved in 2000 because of a seawall restoration project at Marineland.

4.6.2. Effects of Shoreline Protection on AIBM in Plan Area

Beach renourishment, sand transfer, and dune restoration projects have the potential to impact AIBM and negatively impact their habitat. The heavy equipment required during these projects may disturb the AIBM, crush burrows, degrade the primary dune system, and/or diminish food resources by disturbing natural dune vegetation. Due to expected negative impacts, the USFWS required that AIBM be trapped and relocated five days prior to renourishment and dune restoration activities conducted at ASP in 2002 (Miller *pers. comm.* 2002).

Coastal armoring can have long-lasting, harmful impacts on AIBM populations through habitat destruction and fragmentation, particularly if one group of mice becomes disconnected from another, thereby creating genetically isolated subpopulations. Frank and Humphrey (1996) summarize the potential impacts, "Habitat fragmentation resulting from the isolation of subpopulations can reduce population viability through both demographic and genetic mechanisms." For instance, the beach mice at ASP have been isolated from the rest of the Anastasia Island population by a section of shoreline protection along St. Augustine Beach, where the former dune system was replaced by a concrete seawall fronted by rock revetment (Figure 3-8b; Frank 1996).

4.7. ARTIFICIAL LIGHTING

4.7.1. Effects of Artificial Lighting on Sea Turtles in Plan Area

Both nesting and hatchling sea turtles are adversely affected by the presence of artificial lights near the beach (Witherington and Martin 2000). Experimental studies have clearly demonstrated that bright lights can deter adult female turtles from emerging from the ocean to nest (Witherington 1992). Thus, not surprisingly, many researchers have noted a relationship between the amount of lighted beach development and sea turtle nest densities. For example, Mattison *et al.* (1993) noted that emergences of nesting turtles in Broward County, Florida, were reduced in areas where lighted piers and roadways were near the beach. In areas where a glow of artificial light is present behind the dune, loggerhead turtles prefer to nest in the darker areas silhouetted by tall buildings and dune vegetation (Salmon *et al.* 1995a). Although there is a tendency for turtles to prefer dark beaches, many do nest on lighted shores. As noted by Witherington and Martin (2000), in doing so, they place the lives of their offspring at risk. Artificial lighting can impair the ability of hatchlings to properly orient to the ocean once they leave their nests.

Hatchling sea turtles exhibit a robust sea-finding behavior. A direct and timely migration from the nest to sea may be vital to their survivorship. Although the cues involved in sea finding are complex, hatchlings rely primarily on vision for proper orientation (Witherington and Martin 2000, Salmon *et al.* 1992, Lohmann *et al.* 1997). A combination of light and shapes is thought to be responsible. The extent to which one or the other drives the process may be a function of the relative strength of each stimulus.

Hatchlings have a tendency to orient toward the brightest direction. On natural undeveloped beaches, the brightest direction is almost always away from elevated shapes and their silhouettes (e.g., dune, vegetation, etc.) and toward the broad open horizon of the sea. On developed beaches, the brightest direction is often away from the ocean and toward lighted structures. Hatchlings, unable to find the ocean or delayed in reaching it, are likely to incur high mortality from dehydration, exhaustion, or predation (Carr and Ogren 1960, Witherington and Ehrhart 1987, Witherington and Martin 2000). Hatchlings lured into lighted parking lots or toward street lights are often crushed by passing vehicles (McFarlane 1963, Philibosian 1976, Peters and Verhoeven 1994, Witherington and Martin 2000).

Artificial lighting cues can cause either misorientation or disorientation (Witherington 1990). Hatchlings that are misoriented travel along a consistent course away from the ocean and toward a light source. Those that are disoriented are unable to establish a particular course and wander aimlessly. However, typically, the two behaviors are lumped under the term disorientation.

Hatchlings are frequently attracted to point source lights on buildings and roadways in urban areas (McFarlane 1963, Philibosian 1976, Mann 1978, Witherington 1992). Urban areas may also have a non-point source nighttime glow (sky glow), which may affect hatchling orientation on otherwise dark sections of beach (Witherington 1993).

Once disoriented, turtles often enter conflicting light environments as they head landward. As hatchlings approach buildings and roads, they encounter obstacles that may screen the source of artificial light (Salmon *et al.* 1995b). They may then re-orient themselves correctly toward the ocean or continue along the obstruction (e.g., seawall, deep ruts, buildings) until they can see the original or perhaps another source of artificial light. If the stimulus affecting disorientation is strong enough and continuous enough, hatchlings may remain on the beach overnight until the brightening sky at sunrise becomes a dominant influence and attracts them to the surf. Mann (1977) found that most turtles in artificial light-dominated areas oriented correctly on brightly moonlit nights. However, on moonless nights, hatchlings were easily disoriented by artificial lights.

Deviations from normal sea-finding behavior may result in excessive expenditure of energy stores, dehydration, and increased likelihood of predation (Witherington and Martin 2000). However, the relationship between level of light-caused disruption and survivorship has not yet been quantified. Relative degrees of sublethal and lethal effects have been reported, ranging from a mild misorientation of a few hatchlings to a strong disorientation of a whole clutch resulting in mortality for many (Salmon *et al.* 1995a, Witherington and Martin).

Both Mann (1977) and Ehrhart and Witherington (1987) found high mortality in the emergences

where the majority of the hatchlings were strongly disoriented. If the hatchlings are drawn landward from the beach, they may enter roadways where they may be run over or become irretrievably lost from finding their way to the surf. The protracted wanderings of disoriented hatchlings also lengthens the time they are susceptible to predation from raccoons, ghost crabs, seabirds, fish crows, and possibly dogs and cats. The prolonged exposure can exhaust and/or dehydrate the turtles to the point of death or limit their chance of survival once in the water. Weakened hatchlings that eventually reach the water may be more vulnerable to marine predators, which are abundant in nearshore waters (Wyneken *et al.* 1994).

Artificial lighting does not appear to be as problematic for nesting adult female sea turtles. They seem to use a “straight ahead” method to select a nest site. They do not appear to be affected as much by lights up and down the beach as they are by bright lights right in front of them upon emerging (Salmon *et al.* 1995b, Witherington 1992). Distant point sources and urban glow are more likely to affect hatchlings than adult females (Salmon *et al.* 1995b).

To reduce the harmful effects of artificial beachfront lighting, St. Johns County (Ordinance No. 99-33) and the City of St. Augustine Beach (Ordinance No. 95-17) have adopted lighting regulations that mimic those contained in the State of Florida’s Model Lighting Ordinance for Sea Turtle Protection (Chapter 16B-55). The intent of these regulations is not to prohibit lighting of beachfront properties, but rather to manage light so it is confined to the property and does not shine out onto the beach. A variety of measures are available for effectively managing lights (Witherington and Martin 2000).

Hatchling disorientations have been documented within St. Johns County. A review of the Hatchling Disorientation Incident Reports submitted to FWC indicates there have been 12 documented disorientation incidents between 1996 and 2001 (FWC *unpublished data* 2001e). This number represents approximately 0.7 percent of all nests within the County during that time period. All but one of these incidents occurred within the vicinity of either St. Augustine Beach or Ponte Vedra Beach. The variety of problematic light sources identified at these events is indicative of the complexity of managing light on coastal beaches. Light sources implicated included roadway lighting (2 nests), condominium lights associated with dune crossovers (1) and tennis courts (2), commercial/parking lot lighting (2), and residential lighting (3). The effects of cumulative beach lighting from street, parking lot, and residential lights were implicated in the disorientation of two nest sites. Three of these incidents occurred subsequent to the establishment of the beach lighting ordinance (Ordinance No. 99-33). All of the reported disorientation events involved loggerhead hatchlings. It should be emphasized that the number of hatchling disorientation events recorded in St. Johns County is probably an underestimate as some disorientations are certain to have been overlooked or were not reported.

4.7.2. Effects of Artificial Lighting on AIBM in Plan Area

The AIBM and all *Peromyscus polionotus* are nocturnal rodents, and they seem to be more active on nights with half to new moons and cloudy skies than on nights with a full moon (Blair 1951). Peaks of activity occur shortly after dusk and again after midnight (Wooten 2001). Artificial lighting, particularly persistent nighttime lighting from skyglow, lights on buildings, and vehicle headlights, can have a negative impact on AIBM by suppressing or disrupting nocturnal

activities, thus decreasing time for finding food (Patrick *pers. comm.* 2002). Along the Gulf Coast of the Panhandle of Florida, it has been demonstrated that beach mice do not venture out of their burrows when their surroundings become well lit (Patrick *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.8. EMERGENCY RESPONSES TO STORMS

In response to severe storm erosion, the St. Johns County Board of County Commissioners has power to authorize the initiation of *temporary* emergency shoreline protection measures. In general, FDEP permits shoreline armoring, and the County defers to FWC and FDEP for guidance regarding the type and placement of structures and the timing of construction activities to avoid impacts to sea turtles and other protected species.

After receiving approval from FWC and FDEP, the Board of County Commissioners declared a state of emergency and authorized the temporary installation of shoreline protection at five private residential homes in Vilano Beach (St. Johns County Resolution No. 2000-161). Each of the five homeowners placed rocks on the beach as landward as practicable. In accordance with section 161.085(6), Florida Statutes, the five homeowners submitted permit applications to the FDEP for the permanent retention of the structures (Brewer *pers. comm.* 2002). Two of these property owners have been granted a permit to retain the rocks on the beach. Though awaiting official determination from FDEP, the remaining three property owners will likely be required to remove the rocks under FDEP direction (Brewer *pers. comm.* 2002).

4.8.1. Effects of Emergency Responses to Storms on Sea Turtles

If the initiation of emergency responses to storm events results in the installation of emergency shoreline protection measures, these responses have the potential to impact sea turtles in much the same way as shoreline armoring (section 4.6.1.). Additionally, when vehicles are used to access a site of an emergency on the beach, those vehicles have the potential to impact sea turtles as outlined in Table 4-5.

4.8.2. Effects of Emergency Responses to Storms on AIBM

If emergency shoreline protection measures are initiated along the foredune, these activities may impact AIBM similarly to shoreline armoring (section 4.6.2.). Shoreline armoring may reduce habitat available to beach mice for burrow construction and foraging. If the abundance of sea oats is reduced by this activity, further stress could be placed upon the AIBM by diminishing their available food supply.

4.9. COASTAL DEVELOPMENT & CONSTRUCTION

4.9.1. Effects of Coastal Development & Construction on Sea Turtles

In addition to shoreline protection activities, there are a variety of other types of coastal construction activities, each of which may affect sea turtles. These include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Construction of new and repair/maintenance of existing upland structures and dune crossovers;
- Construction of jetties and groins;
- Installation of utility cables;
- Installation and/or repair of public infrastructure; and
- Removal of wind-blown sand from upland properties.

Many of these activities may alter nesting habitat and impact sea turtle eggs, hatchlings, and/or nesting females. If vehicles are used on the beach in support of coastal construction, impacts to sea turtles may occur, as summarized in Table 4-5. Eggs may be crushed, unearthed, or otherwise destroyed during construction activities (e.g., heavy equipment, excavation, pile driving, water jetting, etc.). Eggs in undetected and unmarked nests may be buried beneath sand placed on the beach, resulting in mortality of developing embryos. If large quantities of sand are placed over incubating nests, hatchlings may not be able to escape from the nest. Hatchlings may be trapped beneath equipment, supplies, and/or construction debris on the beach.

The migration of hatchlings to the ocean may be impeded by equipment/supplies on the beach. Holes and ruts left on the beach by construction activities may also trap or misdirect hatchlings, increasing energy expenditures and susceptibility to predation. Construction lighting may disorient hatchlings. Holes, crevices, and deteriorating materials associated with structures composed of riprap, sand bags, and geotextile tubes may trap or entangle hatchlings.

Construction lighting and/or construction activities may deter nesting females from emerging onto the beach and reduce nesting success. Females may become entangled or trapped in building equipment and materials while searching for a nest site. Disturbed soil and holes left overnight in the construction areas may trap or topple nesting females.

4.9.2. Effects of Coastal Development & Construction on AIBM

Beach mice rely on periodic storms to overwash and/or erode the dune system, thereby pruning vegetation and maintaining their habitat in early succession. But, beach mice also rely on the availability of the backdune transitional zone, where they can temporarily retreat during storm events. These backdune areas are commonly altered or eliminated by beachfront development, thereby threatening the ability of beach mice populations to persist through sequences of intermittent storms.

Beachfront development is the most serious threat to beach mice persistence. All coastal construction seaward of the Coastal Construction Control Line is permitted by FDEP, not St. Johns County. Any construction along the dune system of Anastasia Island or GRSP, the prime area of beach mouse habitat, will likely result in direct habitat loss and/or habitat fragmentation. If vehicles are used on the beach in support of coastal construction, impacts to beach mice may occur, as summarized in Table 4-6.

4.10. STORMWATER OUTFALLS

4.10.1. Effects of Stormwater Outfalls on Sea Turtles

Rainfall incidents on the dunes and beaches percolate rapidly into the permeable sands and produce little, if any, runoff. Runoff from most developed areas on the barrier islands, typically collected by storm sewers, discharges into the estuarine lagoons landward of the islands. However, runoff from beachfront parking lots, roads, and swimming pool decks discharges directly onto the beaches and dunes either by sheet flow or through stormwater collection system outfalls. Collectively, these outfalls can sometimes create localized erosion channels, prevent natural dune establishment, and wash out sea turtle nests.

In St. Johns County, there are no records of a sea turtle nest having been relocated because of the threat of stormwater runoff (Table 4-4). Most of the beach-directed stormwater outfall occurs on beaches now displaying substantial escarpments or seawalls. These properties were primarily developed in the 1960s and 1970s, prior to current stormwater management regulations. The St. Johns River Water Management District typically requires all new developments to provide treatment of stormwater by onsite retention or detention before it is discharged off site.

In addition to the direct physical effects of upland runoff, contaminants contained in the discharges, such as oils, grease, metals, pesticides, and nutrients, may alter the incubation environment of nearby nests and have as yet undetermined consequences on embryonic development and reproductive success. The effect of chemicals contained in pool discharges is similarly unknown. There is no current means of comparing the quantity and effects of pollutants generated on upland property, roads, and parking lots that wash onto the beach via stormwater runoff.

4.10.2. Effects of Stormwater Outfalls on AIBM

The potential impacts of stormwater outfalls on AIBM are not known. It is reasonable to assume that beach mice will be harmed by the release of stormwater in direct proportion to any degradation or contamination that may result to the dune system.

4.11. FERAL & FREE-ROAMING CATS & DOGS

Numerous Federal, State, and local laws and regulations address the release or presence of feral and free-roaming cats and dogs in St. Johns County. Domesticated or feral cats and dogs are considered exotic species, and their release upon lands in the United States is prohibited under the National Invasive Species Act and Executive Order 13112. Florida Statutes also explicitly state that it is unlawful to release into the state any species that is not indigenous to Florida without having obtained a permit from FWC (F.S. 372.265; F.A.C. 68A-4.005). In some cases, the release of a domesticated animal into the wild can be interpreted as animal cruelty, which is prohibited under State law (F.S. 828.12). Additionally, the abandonment of a cat or dog is also prohibited under Florida Statutes, as described below:

- (3) Any person who is the owner or possessor, or has charge or custody, of any animal who abandons such animal to suffer injury or malnutrition or abandons any animal in a street, road, or public place without providing for the care, sustenance, protection, and shelter of such animal is guilty of a misdemeanor of

the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or by a fine of not more than \$5,000, or by both imprisonment and a fine (F.S. 828.13).

Domestic dogs and cats within unincorporated portions of St. Johns County are not permitted to:

“...enter upon any public or private road right-of-way, dedicated right-of-way, public property, the Beaches, or the private property of another...unless said Dog or Cat is wearing a Collar and is in actual physical control of such a person by a Retraint” (Ordinance 01-19).

The responsible party is described as, “any person or legal entity who shall own, be in custody of, be responsible for, or be in control of, any Dog or Cat”. The first two non-contested violations of this Ordinance are punishable by a \$50.00 fine. Contested violations may result in a maximum penalty of a \$500.00 fine and/or 60 days imprisonment in the County Jail.

Section 3.02 of the St. Johns County’s Beach Code (Ordinance 97-34) places restrictions regarding animals on the beaches: “(b) Any person who owns, is responsible for, or in control of any dog or cat on the beach of St. Johns County (1) shall then have in their possession and in the close proximity of such an animal an implement or material by which defecation of such animals may be removed from said beach; and (2) shall promptly remove any defecation of such animal from the beach using such implement or material (County Ordinance 99-21).”

4.11.1. Effects of Feral & Free-roaming Cats & Dogs on Sea Turtles

In addition to the foxes and raccoons that appear to concentrate their depredation activities on sea turtle nests within the undeveloped property of State parks, feral and/or free-roaming domestic dogs are becoming of increasing concern in developed regions. The tracks and signs of depredation by dogs may look very similar to that by foxes. However, the paw tracks leading to the depredated nests reportedly appear larger than those of a fox. Observers on the beach have actually watched domestic dogs digging into sea turtle nests (Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). Between 1996 and 2001, the earliest records of depredation by dogs occurred in FMNM in 1997 (unknown number of nests depredated) and GRSP in 1998 (one nest was lost) (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). In 2001, six nests were disturbed by dogs in the monitoring zone of Beach Club Drive South, and 147 eggs were damaged (Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002). In the same year, one nest was lost to either a dog or raccoon in Old Ponte Vedra (with over 40 damaged eggs) (FWC *unpublished data* 2002).

4.11.2. Effects of Feral & Free-roaming Cats & Dogs on AIBM

Frank and Humphrey (1996) determined that cat predation was a significant factor affecting the long term persistence and population numbers of AIBM. In a single experiment, the population of AIBM increased approximately 20 percent after a sweeping cat control program was initiated at ASP (Frank 1996). Experts on beach mice agree that control of feral and free-roaming cats in AIBM habitat may be the single most important management strategy to reduce the subspecies’ vulnerability to extinction (Miller, Frank, and Bard *pers. comm.* 2001).

4.12. VEHICLES ON THE BEACH

Direct and indirect impacts potentially resulting from vehicles on the beach are summarized in Table 4-5. The different types of vehicles and vehicular activities within the HCP Plan Area can be partitioned into the following categories:

- Public safety operations, such as those involving lifeguards, emergency vehicles, and law enforcement vehicles;
- Public vehicular access;
- Routine beach maintenance and sanitation;
- Access ramp grading and maintenance;
- Activities necessary to implement the terms and conditions of the ITP (e.g., sea turtle monitoring, Code Enforcement, GPS data collection, HCP management, etc.);
- Planned coastal construction projects properly permitted by local, State, and/or Federal regulatory agencies, such as seawall repairs, beach nourishment, dune restoration, and removal of wind-blown sand, where no reasonable upland alternative exists;
- Scientific monitoring and studies other than those related to sea turtles and AIBM;
- Emergency shoreline protection projects properly permitted by local, State, and/or Federal regulatory agencies; and
- Non-routine beach maintenance and sanitation, such as storm cleanup and removal of hazardous materials, debris and/or obstacles from the beach that pose a public health or safety risk following storms and other unforeseen circumstances (e.g., boat groundings, plane crashes, etc.).

The above types of vehicular activities on the beaches of St. Johns County have the potential to impact sea turtles and/or AIBM. A discussion of these impacts is provided in the following sections.

4.12.1. Effects of Vehicles on Sea Turtles

4.12.1.1. Direct Impacts of Vehicles on Sea Turtles

Direct impacts potentially resulting from vehicles on the beach are summarized in Table 4-5. Adult, hatchling, live stranded, and live washback post-hatchling sea turtles, as well as sea turtle nests may be run over by vehicles. Vehicles might run into or over nesting females, live stranded, live washback, and/or hatchlings; vehicles might run over nests and crush eggs. At night, vehicle lights might frighten nesting females from the beach or deter others from emerging from the ocean. Additionally, vehicle headlights can disorient emergent hatchlings during their crawl from the nest to the ocean.

4.12.1.2. Indirect Impacts of Vehicles on Sea Turtles

4.12.1.2.1. Sediment Compaction

A secondary effect of vehicular traffic on the beach is the potential for compacting sediments under the weight of cars, trucks, and heavy equipment. Compaction is an important

consideration for sea turtle conservation, because if sediments are too compact, a female turtle may have difficulty excavating an egg chamber of adequate depth or dimensions (Raymond 1984, Ryder 1990, Carthy 1994). She may also have to dig more often before finally constructing a suitable egg chamber, or she may abandon the nesting attempt altogether. Increased energy expenditures during the course of nesting may place a higher reproductive cost on that individual. Additionally, if the chamber is poorly constructed, the fate of the eggs may be affected. For example, if the chamber is too shallow, eggs are more susceptible to erosion, predation, and disturbance from activities on the beach.

In a cursory assessment of the impact of beach driving, Fletemeyer (1995) found that sediment compaction levels in Volusia County were higher in two areas routinely driven on than in nearby non-driving areas. However, it is unclear what steps were taken in that study to isolate driving effects from other physical beach variables that influence compaction (e.g., sediment type, tidal influence, etc.). In a related assessment, Fletemeyer (1995) repeatedly drove an SUV over a section of beach where public driving was prohibited. Although he found that compaction values were greater after the vehicle made its passes than before, the highest values obtained were well below those typically regarded as detrimental to sea turtle nesting by FWC (500 psi).

4.12.1.2.2. Vehicle Ruts

It is widely reported that vehicular ruts left in the sand create obstacles for hatchlings attempting to reach the ocean. Upon encountering a vehicle rut, hatchlings may be misoriented along the vehicle track, rather than cross over it to reach the water. Apparently hatchlings become diverted, not because they cannot physically climb out of the rut (Hughes and Caine 1994, Arianoutsou 1988), but because the sides of the track cast a shadow that disrupts their sea-finding ability (Mann 1977). At least two studies have confirmed hatchling disorientation by vehicular ruts (Cox *et al.* 1994, Hosier *et al.* 1981). In one study, tire ruts were found to cause nearly 21 percent of hatchling turtles to invert (flip over). If hatchlings are trapped or detoured by vehicle ruts, they are at greater risk to predators, fatigue, and desiccation. Live and desiccated turtles have been found trapped in deep vehicle ruts (LeBuff 1990, Rich *pers. comm.* 2001, Stam *pers. comm.* 2001).

4.12.1.2.3. Contaminants

Another potential indirect effect of vehicles is the emission of oil, antifreeze, grease, and other substances from automobiles parking and driving on the beach. However, there have been no studies to quantify the impacts of this source of pollution. Vehicle-related pollutants likely are quite mobile in beach sands, so they should be diluted and flushed from the beach area by rainfall, waves, and tides without reaching concentrations that could be harmful to incubating sea turtle nests.

4.12.2. Effects of Vehicles on AIBM

Little information is documented about the direct and indirect impacts that beach driving might have on AIBM or other subspecies of beach mice. Direct and indirect impacts potentially resulting from vehicles on the beach are summarized in Table 4-6. Most of the impacts to AIBM due to vehicular driving are probably indirect, not direct impacts. However, during nightly

surveys conducted along the Gulf Coast, beach mice do run out into the path of an oncoming vehicle, suggesting that it could be possible for a vehicle to run over a mouse (Patrick *pers. comm.* 2002). Another direct impact of public vehicles on the beach is that headlights may frighten or disrupt nocturnal activities of juvenile or adult AIBM.

Indirect impacts to AIBM include habitat degradation, deposition of contaminants, and other indirect effects associated with vehicular access to remote beach regions. The segment of beach in St. Johns County from St. Augustine Beach south to Matanzas Inlet is one of a few segments of beach in the U.S. where beach mouse habitat and public beach driving overlap. There has not been a published, rigorous scientific study conducted to quantify the impacts of beach driving on beach mice. The only comprehensive study on the AIBM throughout Anastasia Island was conducted in 1989-1990 by Frank and Humphrey (1996). The following excerpt from their study addresses beach driving in St. Johns County and potential impacts on AIBM:

Driving automobiles on the beach is a long-standing tradition on Anastasia Island. An obvious effect of having automobile traffic on the beach is a neatly-trimmed dune line caused by traffic pruning back pioneering dune vegetation, prohibiting seaward dune growth on the upper beach. At ASRA [ASP], a policy prohibiting beach driving on the toe of the dunes was recently established, and the response was an immediate appearance of low, early successional dune formations just seaward of the established dune line. These low dunes provide habitat for beach mice and reduce dune erosion caused by strong winter storms out of the northeast. FMNM has an additional problem in that the beach remains open 24 hours, but staff patrol only during daylight hours [between October 16 and May 14]. This unsupervised driving results in damage to the dune system.

Additionally, researchers cite vehicular beach access as contributing to the problems of human trespassing and excrement in the dune system. The argument is that beach driving allows people to reach remote beaches that may be a considerable distance from a portable toilet or a public facility. Along these remote beaches, visitors might be disinclined to drive back to a restroom and choose to relieve themselves behind the primary dunes instead (Frank *pers. comm.* 2002). Such incident reports are anecdotal and not documented.

The months of the year when public vehicular access to the beach is permitted may be important for the conservation of AIBM. Peak population densities of AIBM tend to occur in the winter at both ASP and FMNM (Frank and Humphrey 1996). Additionally, both the ASP and the FMNM populations of the AIBM undergo a significant increase in reproductive activity between April and July (Frank and Humphrey 1996). During the winter months, public beach driving in St. Johns County is permitted during all hours of the day. Since populations may be more vulnerable to anthropogenic influences during periods of high reproductive activity, AIBM may be more susceptible to disturbance during the summer, when beach visitation by pedestrians and vehicles are highest.

4.13. FACTORS AFFECTING BIRDS IN PLAN AREA

Several State-listed and federally-listed bird species are known to occur, or may potentially occur, along the beaches of St. Johns County (see Appendix D). The beach environment provides nesting and foraging habitat for various species of shorebirds, as well as resting and stopover points for other migratory species. This section describes the current and potential impacts to protected birds within the Plan Area related to human use of the beach.

4.13.1. Nesting Birds

The beaches within the Plan Area are considered to be appropriate nesting habitat for several species of birds, including the State-listed least tern, black skimmer, and American oystercatcher. According to data obtained from the FNAI (March 2001, see Appendix D), least terns have been reported to nest in the vicinity of the St. Augustine and Matanzas Inlets, as well as within Guana River State Park (section 3.5.2.1.10.8.). In 1992, black skimmers were also observed to nest south of the St. Augustine Inlet on Conch's Island (FNAI 2002).

Colonial nesting shorebirds are particularly sensitive to human disturbance because of their high-density nesting habits. Although nesting shorebirds can habituate themselves to occasional human activities (Brubeck *et al.* 1981), persistent anthropogenic disturbances, such as pedestrian or vehicular traffic, free-roaming domestic cats and dogs, and habitat loss as a result of beachfront development and/or erosion can have more serious effects. Some evidence suggests that these types of disturbances can result in decreased reproductive success and even abandonment of a nesting colony (Fisk 1978, Gaddy 1982, Gochfeld 1983). Rodgers and Smith (1995) stated, "Identified detriments to reproductive success include egg and nestling mortality, nest evacuation, reduced nestling body mass and slower growth, premature fledging, and modified adult behaviors." Nesting terns, for example, will often mob perceived threats to their nest sites (such as pedestrians or vehicles), increasing energy expenditures and leaving their eggs vulnerable to overheating (Gaddy 1982) or predation. Intrusion into a nesting colony by pedestrian, vehicular, or horseback traffic could also cause eggs or young to be unintentionally crushed or otherwise disturbed. Another consequence of anthropogenic activities on the beach is improperly discarded refuse. Trash may increase the severity of predation on nesting birds by attracting predators such as raccoons, foxes, or crows to the beach. Lighting of the beach at night may negatively affect bird nesting habitat and/or make nesting birds more easily preyed upon by other species.

Due to the lack of a regular monitoring program that would document nesting attempts or nesting success, the present level of impact to nesting bird species within the Plan Area attributed to public vehicular traffic is unknown. However, Rodgers and Smith (1995) estimated the distance at which a human disturbance (a directly approaching pedestrian, canoe, or motorboat) prompted an initial mass upflight or an alert response (flush distance) by 14 species of colonial nesting waterbirds at 17 colonies in Florida (including 2 least tern nesting sites at Matanzas Point, St. Johns County). The researchers concluded, "In general, a recommended set-back distance of about 100 meters for wading bird colonies and 180 meters for mixed tern/skimmer colonies should be adequate to effectively buffer the sites we studied from human disturbance caused by the approach of pedestrians and motorboats." This study cited evidence suggesting that some

colonial nesting birds may be less disturbed by, perhaps even acclimated to, tangentially approaching disturbances (such as a vehicular traffic passing by the colony). Thus, insuring that vehicles, pedestrians, horseback riders, leashed dogs, etc. pass by nesting colonies and do not directly approach the birds may allow for shorter set-back distances, particularly if the disturbance exhibits low noise levels. A physical barricade that insures that the nesting birds cannot see the human disturbance may also allow for shorter set-back distances (again, provided the human disturbance is relatively quiet).

At some of the study sites in Florida, least terns nested beside roadways (Rodgers and Smith 1995). The authors observed much shorter flushing distances by the birds in these roadside colonies. Apparently, these birds became habituated to tangentially moving vehicles. However, studies have documented that roadside tern colonies exhibit increased alarm behaviors (longer flushing distances) when passed by large noisy vehicles, when vehicles stopped along the roadway, when vehicles honked their horns, or when vehicles directly approached the bird aggregations (Rodgers and Smith 1995).

4.13.2. Resting and Feeding Birds

Protected species of shorebirds, terns, and to a lesser extent, wading birds, utilize the County Beaches within the Plan Area for foraging and resting. Surveys conducted by local biologists have documented the federally threatened bald eagle and State-listed least terns, black skimmers, brown pelicans, and American oystercatchers either resting or foraging within ASP (Miller *unpublished data* 2001). A very small number of federally and State-threatened piping plovers have been recorded resting and feeding along FMNM (Meyer *pers. comm.* 2001, Van Ghent *pers. comm.* 2001). The presence of these species elsewhere within the Plan Area is likely.

Possible impacts to these birds may include disruption of foraging activities as a result of vehicular or pedestrian traffic. Some studies indicate that human disturbance reduces the amount of time that piping plovers, a federally threatened winter resident along Florida's beaches, spend feeding (Johnson and Baldassare 1988, Haig 1992), which could limit the individual's ability to survive its lengthy migration. Upon approach, piping plovers and other shorebirds will evade perceived threats by taking to the air in search of areas that appear free from disturbance. Certain species are more prone to the negative impacts of being frightened into the air, and these species may tend to move or otherwise change their behavior as a result of such impacts.

Improperly discarded refuse might increase the severity of predation on shorebirds by attracting predators such as raccoons, foxes, or crows to the beach. Birds can also become entangled in improperly discarded fishing line and other trash on County Beaches. Timely and appropriate trash pick-up on all beaches of St. Johns County helps to alleviate negative impacts for both wildlife and people.

4.14. ACTIVITIES OVER WHICH ST. JOHNS COUNTY HAS AUTHORITY

The above sections have outlined various activities along the coastline of St. Johns County that have potential to result in harassment, injury, and/or death to adult, hatchling, stranded, or post-hatchling washback sea turtles, AIBM, and birds within the Plan Area. However, St. Johns

County does not have regulatory authority over all of these activities. For example, the County does not have the power to authorize coastal development, construction, beach renourishment, or shoreline armoring within the HCP Plan Area. All construction occurring within the HCP Plan Area would necessarily be seaward of the Coastal Construction Control Line, dictating that the activity be permitted by FDEP (F.S. Chapter 161 and Chapter 62B-33).

St. Johns County has limited power to authorize emergency responses to storms and other unusual occurrences, though FWC and FDEP actually regulate this activity. In accordance with applicable local regulations and ordinances, stormwater outfalls are generally regulated by the State. Also, many human beach activities, such as high-impact special events, activities impacting dune vegetation, placement of recreational beach equipment, and fishing activities are generally either permitted or prohibited by the State.

St. Johns County does have regulatory authority over artificial lighting as outlined in the Beach Lighting Ordinance (Ordinance 99-33) and over public vehicular access to the beach in accordance with Florida Statute Chapter 161.85. The County also authorizes public horseback riding on the beach (Ordinances 97-34, 99-21, and 2001-5). Additionally, a number of beach management activities including trash removal, ramp grading, and low-impact special events are permitted and regulated by St. Johns County. Finally, St. Johns County does exercise some regulatory authority over feral and free-roaming cats and dogs (Ordinances 98-70, 97-34, 99-21).

4.15. ACTIVITIES COVERED BY THE INCIDENTAL TAKE PERMIT

If issued, the ITP will authorize the incidental take of federally listed sea turtles and AIBM on the Atlantic coast beaches of St. Johns County within the HCP Plan Area causally related to vehicular driving and associated activities, as described in section 1.10. of this HCP.

Chapter 5. TAKE ASSESSMENT

Due to a lack of consistent monitoring and reporting of historical take of sea turtles and AIBM in St. Johns County, it is difficult to quantify future incidental take levels potentially resulting from vehicular activity on the beach. However, the level of future incidental take due to vehicular access to the beach is expected to be considerably lower than the level of previous incidental take due to the implementation of minimization and mitigation measures contained in this HCP (Chapter 7). In general terms, anticipated incidental take of sea turtles and AIBM is described below.

5.1. INCIDENTAL TAKE ASSESSMENT: SEA TURTLES

Incidental take of sea turtles can occur as a result of vehicular access to the beach. Impacts can be direct or indirect and affect sea turtle nests, eggs, adults, and/or hatchlings, as described in section 4.12.1. and Table 4-5. Table 5-1 presents all records of historical incidental take of sea turtles due to vehicles or vehicular activities on the beaches of St. Johns County, as documented by USFWS Jacksonville, FWC Bureau of Protected Species, St. Johns County Planning Division, St. Johns County Legal Services Division, St. Johns County Sheriff's Department, and the Marine Turtle PPHs in St. Johns County.

Table 5-1 demonstrates that incidental take of sea turtles has not been systematically or uniformly documented in St. Johns County. However, the information compiled since 1991 suggests that both lethal and sublethal incidental take of sea turtles due to vehicles or vehicular activities has occurred along the beaches of the County. It is logical to assume that incidental take occurred prior to 1991, but no records or anecdotal evidence are available for that period. Since 1991, approximately 43 post-emergent hatchlings have been run over and killed by vehicles on the beaches of St. Johns County. Additionally, 15 eggs/embryos from one nest were crushed and killed, 3 nests were run over (with no or unknown damage to eggs/embryos/hatchlings), and an unspecified number of hatchlings have been trapped in tire ruts. Additional harm or mortality has been reported, but the number of individuals involved was not documented.

Most of the incidental take of sea turtles attributable to beach driving occurred during a single year. During 1994, there were 52 reported lethal incidental takes of hatchlings and eggs directly attributable to beach driving, including 29 hatchlings that were run over near the Vilano Ramp on one night (Table 5-1). However, there were extenuating circumstances in the 1994 Vilano Ramp incident. Hatchlings were first disoriented by artificial beachfront lights (FWC unpublished data 2001e), and a large proportion were run over on the Vilano Ramp and in the parking lot at Vilano Ramp (just west of Fiddler's Green Restaurant) (Stauber, Williams, Brewer, and Holmberg *pers. comm.* 2002). Nevertheless, an unknown number of hatchlings were also run over that night by beach drivers on the beach proper (Stauber *pers. comm.* 2002; in 1994 beach driving was permitted in St. Johns County until 11:00 PM).

The 1994 Vilano Ramp incident was extreme and appeared to have resulted from a combination of a disorientation event coupled with illegal nighttime driving (Stauber *pers. comm.* 2002). Thus, it is not representative of the level of incidental take that has occurred historically.

Additionally, anticipated future incidental take is expected to decrease in response to HCP conservation strategies (Chapter 7). The level of future incidental take is expected to display a correlation with the level of beach driving visits (i.e., if beach driving visits decrease/increase, then incidental take of sea turtles can be expected to decrease/increase on a similar trajectory).

5.2. INCIDENTAL TAKE ASSESSMENT: ANASTASIA ISLAND BEACH MICE

Incidental take of AIBM can occur as a result of vehicular access to the beach. Impacts can be direct or indirect and affect all life history stages of beach mice, as described in section 4.12.2. and Table 4-6. There were no available documents recording historical cases of direct impacts to AIBM due to beach driving in St. Johns County. However, the impacts on AIBM from public vehicular access to the beaches are most likely indirect impacts. Potential indirect impacts include degradation of habitat by vehicles trimming dune vegetation, and the use of vehicles to gain access to remote beaches where human trespassing, garbage, and excrement in the dunes might not otherwise be a problem. There is not enough data to confidently quantify either historical or anticipated levels of incidental take of AIBM due to beach driving.

5.3. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

There are few areas in the U.S. that authorize public vehicular access to sea turtle nesting beaches. Beach driving presently occurs in parts of Duval, St. Johns, Volusia, and Gulf Counties in Florida, and along segments of the Outer Banks in North Carolina. With the exception of Duval County, all these Florida counties where driving is permitted are also home to several subspecies of protected beach mice. Likewise, the cumulative impacts of public vehicular beach access on beach mouse populations can be considered low relative to the negative impacts related to coastal development and cat predation.

5.4. SECONDARY IMPACTS

The most notable secondary impacts of public vehicular access to the beaches of St. Johns County arise from increased human visitation and presence on remote beaches. As a result of beach driving, remote beaches may be frequented by beachgoers that might otherwise find the beach less attractive if they had to bike or walk to the beach. This increased access to remote beaches can have secondary impacts on sea turtles or AIBM, if the increased access results in amplified negative effects from humans, such as increased dune trampling, violations of the Conservation Zone, litter on the beach, and deposition of human excrement in the dunes.

Since FDEP instituted a ban on public beach driving in ASP in August 2000, the park has experienced several changes (Miller *pers. comm.* 2002). Overall, there has been decreased daily visitation to the park, which has constituted a proportional loss in revenue for the park. Although, daily visitation has gone down, camping has risen. Following the beach driving prohibition, park staff have observed a pronounced demographic shift of beach users from mostly fishermen to mostly families. Additionally, park staff spend less time picking up litter on the beach since the beach driving ban went into effect, particularly along the remote north end of the park at Conch's Island, which is prime AIBM habitat.

Chapter 6. ALTERNATIVES

St. Johns County is seeking Federal authorization for the incidental take of sea turtles and AIBM causally related to vehicular driving and associated activities, as afforded under section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA. The County exercises authority to allow public beach driving and wishes to continue to exercise that authority in order to continue to provide its citizens with adequate beach access (section 1.6.1.2.) and the socio-economic benefits they derive from beach driving (section 1.11.2.).

In the absence of Federal authorization for such incidental take, the County could pursue a spectrum of management alternatives. There are a number of possible alternatives, and this HCP will consider a reasonable number to cover the range of possibilities. This analysis of alternatives is presented to satisfy requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Alternatives are not critiqued in detail in HCPs. Complete detailed analysis of the environmental and social impacts of each alternative is presented in the Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) prepared by the USFWS. The EA is prepared during USFWS review of the ITP application. Both the HCP and EA will be posted in the Federal Register and will be subject to a 60-day Public Comment Period. USFWS must respond to all Public Comments.

Alternatives range from eliminating all public vehicular access to the beach to continuing current beach driving practices without Federal coverage for incidental take. The following alternatives have been briefly evaluated relative to their impacts on sea turtles and beach mice and are based on best available data. Since reliable and consistent historical baseline data regarding incidental take of sea turtles and AIBM under the County's past and current beach driving management practices are not existing, any prediction of future incidental take through the life of the ITP will necessarily be imprecise. Therefore, conceptual models were used to compare the various environmental costs and benefits of the management alternatives described below.

In order to compare alternatives, attempts were made to establish general trends for the following datasets:

- Number of sea turtle nests deposited on the beaches of St. Johns County (1988-2001; FWC *unpublished data* 2002);
- Total annual beach driving visits to St. Johns County (1990-2001; Williams *unpublished data* 2002);
- Documented incidental take of sea turtles due to beach driving (1991-2001; Table 5-1); and
- Total revenue generated from beach tolls (Williams *unpublished data* 2002).

Based on best-fit regression analyses for each dataset, none of the trends were statistically significant. Nevertheless, the trends did allow for the general characterization of the effects of the various alternatives. The conceptual models simulate how the trend lines would be impacted at ITP issuance for each of the selected alternatives (Figures 6-1, 6-3, 6-4, and 6-5).

The recognized assumptions necessary to force this kind of conceptual comparison of alternatives include the following:

- The apparent increase in sea turtle nesting will continue at the same rate for each alternative;
- Each of the variables does not reach a maximum or biological threshold during the timeframe of the ITP;
- St. Johns County will continue to incrementally increase the prices of daily and season beach passes in conformance with the projected trajectory;
- The level of effort used to document sea turtle nests, beach driving visits, incidental take, and generated revenue has not and will not change over time;
- There is a baseline level of incidental take of sea turtles due to impacts from official vehicles that occurs with or without public vehicular access to the beach; and
- North Beach Vehicular Access Permit Holders constitute a very small percentage of the total beach driving visits in St. Johns County.

6.1. ALTERNATIVE #1 (NO ACTION)

Alternative #1 describes the scenario in which no action is taken by St. Johns County. The County does not seek an ITP, the HCP is not implemented, and current beach driving areas (Table 1-2), and access regulations remain the same. Figure 6-1 displays a conceptual representation of the potential results of this alternative. Sea turtle nesting, beach toll revenue, beach driving visits, and incidental take of sea turtles follow historical trends. Beach driving visits eventually plateau as on-beach parking capacity is maximized. Take of sea turtles is directly proportionate to beach driving visits.

Alternative #1 is not preferred by St. Johns County, because the County, private citizens, the municipalities and parks, and the protected species would not derive the benefits that they would be afforded under the minimization and mitigation programs contained in this HCP (section 1.1).

6.2. ALTERNATIVE #2 (PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE)

The Preferred Alternative includes a restriction in the number of hours that beach driving is permitted from 17 hours per day to 12 per day (30 percent reduction). Additionally, this alternative includes minimization strategies as summarized below (Chapter 7).

1. Authorizing public vehicular beach access between 8:00 AM and 8:00 PM from May 1 through October 31 of each year (gates will remain open on a 24-hour basis from November 1 through April 30 on all public driving beaches and from 8:00 AM on July 4 through 1:00 AM on July 5 at Porpoise Point);
2. Installing and maintaining traffic barricades at beach ramps and other points to regulate vehicular access;
3. Monitoring and conspicuous marking of all sea turtle nests in the Plan Area;
4. Developing a standard protocol to remove vehicle ruts seaward of sea turtle nests during periods when hatchlings are expected to emerge;
5. Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures;

6. Developing and implementing a public awareness program that includes, but not limited to, the following features:
 - Developing and distributing public awareness materials containing information regarding driving regulations and protected species' issues to beach drivers as they access beaches within the Plan Area;
 - Developing Public Service Announcements, including "special reports," to be aired on the St. Johns County government television station discussing HCP regulations and protected species;
 - Designing and conducting periodic public workshops that include the general public but will also focus on the beach community and hotels/motels to discuss HCP issues; and
 - Posting phone numbers to report HCP violations and sea turtle emergencies.
7. Elevating trash receptacles on posts along public driving areas within AIBM habitat (i.e., Anastasia Island, excluding FMNM);
8. Increased enforcement of existing Conservation Zone (CZ) regulations (defined in Ordinance No. 97-34) and an expansion in the width of the CZ in one region to protect and enhance AIBM and nesting bird habitats;
9. Developing and instituting a training program that must be attended by drivers wishing to obtain a four-wheel drive permit for driving north of Vilano Ramp; and
10. Reducing public beach driving along Summer Haven.

In addition to the minimization measures described above, the County will mitigate unavoidable incidental take that might occur as the result of County-authorized vehicular driving through a number of programs that will provide benefits to sea turtles and AIBM. These mitigation programs include the measures listed below.

1. Developing a proactive Beach Lighting Management Program and align the City of St. Augustine Beach's lighting regulations and the County's lighting regulations;
2. Developing and instituting a beach horseback riding registration and education program;
3. Redirecting Porpoise Point vehicular driving to allow re-establishment of natural dune features; and
4. Restoring the primary dune along Summer Haven.

The programs and policies listed above and described elsewhere in this HCP (Chapter 7) consist of measures that will be implemented to minimize the potential for impacts to sea turtles and the AIBM and mitigate unavoidable incidental take, causally related to vehicular access to the beach allowed under the County's authorization. Under the implementation of Alternative #2, the Plan will substantially improve protected species management on the County's beaches relative to practices currently in place.

6.3. ALTERNATIVE #3

Under this Alternative (Figure 6-3), the length of beach available for public driving is reduced by eliminating public vehicular access to the beaches north of Vilano Ramp (i.e., the elimination of the North Beaches Vehicular Access Permit system). In this scenario, a very small percent of the

total number of beach drivers would be negatively impacted in exchange for a disproportionate decline in incidental take. This represents a 27.9 percent reduction in the length of beaches upon which the public can drive (Table 1-2). A large percentage of incidental take has historically occurred at or north of Vilano Ramp (Figure 6-2, Table 5-1). Under this alternative, the County would suffer a relatively small loss of beach toll revenue (Figure 6-2). North Beach vehicular access permit holders are season beach pass holders, but, due to their small numbers, they do not contribute significantly to the total annual beach toll revenue generated each year.

Alternative #3 is not presented as the County's preferred alternative, because citizens of St. Johns County have a particular interest in maintaining vehicular access north of the Vilano Ramp for fishing and other recreational purposes.

6.4. ALTERNATIVE #4

Alternative #4 eliminates all public vehicular access to the beaches of St. Johns County. Figure 6-5 displays a conceptual representation of potential results of this alternative, including a complete reduction in beach driving visits and beach toll revenues. Incidental take of sea turtles due to vehicles and related activities would drop down to a very low level of baseline incidental take attributable to the presence of official vehicles on the beaches (e.g. public safety, law enforcement, and other emergency response vehicles).

Alternative #4 is not preferred by St. Johns County, because citizens of St. Johns County enjoy beach driving and derive numerous socio-economic benefits from doing so (section 1.11.2.). Additionally, the elimination of all public driving would constitute a 100 percent loss in beach toll revenues to St. Johns County Division of Beach Management, which equaled \$935,968 in 2001 (Williams *unpublished data* 2002). Most beach services, such as trash pick-up, maintenance of restroom facilities, ramp grading, and employment of lifeguards, all services which would still be required to various degrees, are currently paid for by the revenue generated from sale of daily and season beach passes.

This alternative includes the elimination of public beach driving south of Fort Matanzas Ramp -- a management decision that must be made by, or in cooperation with, the National Park Service. St. Johns County regulates, but does not explicitly authorize, beach driving along FMNM (Ordinance 97-34); the National Park Service exercises regulatory authority in this region. The Federal government owns the Fort Matanzas Ramp and the beaches in FMNM seaward to the Mean High Water line. Thus, the implementation of this alternative would require a regulatory action by the U.S. Department of the Interior National Park Service. Public beach driving along FMNM is being evaluated as the General Management Plan for FMNM is being developed. When the management plan is completed (potentially 2005), the County, National Park Service, and USFWS may wish to re-evaluate this alternative.

6.5. OTHER ALTERNATIVES

As indicated above, there are an infinite number of alternatives that could be postulated based on specific locations and times when driving could be allowed, each having varying levels of benefits/impacts on the coastal ecology and the social, cultural, and economic fabric of the

community. However, it is believed that the alternatives presented above provide a reasonable range of options, including the extremes. At one end of this spectrum the County could do nothing and continue with its current beach driving practices. At the other end, the County could immediately eliminate all public vehicular access to the beaches.

During stakeholder meetings in St. Johns County, the environmental community recommended one additional option. This involved a gradual (e.g., 5-year) phasing out of beach driving in conjunction with a program to acquire additional off-beach parking. This option was not evaluated as part of this HCP, because it is considered an incremental approach to Alternative #4. Most of the socio-economic costs and cultural impacts associated with elimination of public vehicular beach access would be incurred, but the impacts would not be immediate.

Chapter 7. CONSERVATION STRATEGY: MEASURES TO MINIMIZE AND MITIGATE IMPACTS

The primary goal of this HCP is to develop a comprehensive plan to protect federally listed sea turtles and AIBM and the habitat they utilize within the Plan Area over the next 20 years while preserving public access to the beach. To achieve this goal, the HCP has established the following objectives:

- Embrace Federal, State, County, and municipal laws and regulations pertaining to the conservation of protected species on St. Johns County's beaches;
- Establish a plan that will effectively and efficiently manage vehicular access to the beach for the various County departments, contractors, private citizens, and State and Federal agencies that drive on the beach; and
- Create a plan that will continue to allow the public to drive and park on authorized sections of the beach in a manner that will sustain the social, recreational, cultural, economic, and environmental values of the beach.

This HCP is designed as a dynamic document. It is structured to permit adaptive changes in response to new information derived from monitoring programs. Mechanisms are established to facilitate dialogue between the USFWS and St. Johns County in response to changing conditions and to allow for the timely revision of procedures and policies to better achieve HCP objectives and/or respond to unforeseen circumstances.

7.1. BIOLOGICAL GOALS

The ESA and its implementing regulations do not explicitly require that a HCP result in direct benefit to the affected species. However, a HCP needs to demonstrate that the issuance of an ITP will not jeopardize the continued existence or recovery of the species in the wild (ESA section 10). Yet, the over-arching biological goal of this HCP is to provide a net benefit to both sea turtles and AIBM throughout the life of the ITP.

The HCP, once fully implemented, is expected to reduce incidental take levels of loggerhead, green, and leatherback turtles and AIBM habitat, caused by public beach driving and associated activities. As mandated by the ESA, this will be achieved by minimizing the negative impacts of public vehicular activities on the species and their habitats as much as feasible. The ESA states that "the applicant will, to the maximum extent practicable, minimize and mitigate the impacts of such taking" (ESA section 10(a)(2)(B)). The *Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook* states that "the record must contain some basis to conclude that the proposed program is the maximum that can be reasonably required by that applicant. This may require weighing the costs of implementing additional mitigation, benefits and costs of implementing additional mitigation, the amount of mitigation provided by other applicants in similar situations, and the abilities of that particular applicant" (USFWS and NMFS 1996). Minimization and mitigation strategies are outlined in the following sections.

7.2. MEASURES TO MINIMIZE IMPACTS

The programs and policies contained in this chapter will improve protected species management on the County's beaches relative to practices currently in place. The Plan contains measures that will be implemented to minimize the potential for impacts to sea turtles and the AIBM causally related to vehicular access to the beach allowed under the County's authority. These minimization programs include:

- 7.2.1. Authorizing public vehicular beach access between 8:00 AM and 8:00 PM from May 1 through October 31 of each year (gates will remain open on a 24-hour basis from November 1 through April 30 on all public driving beaches and from 8:00 AM on July 4 through 1:00 AM on July 5 at Porpoise Point);
- 7.2.2. Installing and maintaining traffic barricades at beach ramps and other points to regulate vehicular access;
- 7.2.3. Monitoring and conspicuous marking of all sea turtle nests in the Plan Area;
- 7.2.4. Developing a standard protocol to remove vehicle ruts seaward of sea turtle nests during periods when hatchlings are expected to emerge;
- 7.2.5. Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures;
- 7.2.6. Develop and implement a public awareness program that includes, but not limited to, the following features:
 - Developing and distributing public awareness materials containing information regarding driving regulations and protected species' issues to beach drivers as they access beaches within the Plan Area;
 - Developing Public Service Announcements, including "special reports," to be aired on the St. Johns County government television station discussing HCP regulations and protected species;
 - Designing and conducting periodic public workshops that include the general public but will also focus on the beach community and hotels/motels to discuss HCP issues; and
 - Posting phone numbers to report HCP violations and sea turtle emergencies.
- 7.2.7. Elevating trash receptacles on posts along public driving areas within AIBM habitat (i.e., Anastasia Island, excluding FMNM);
- 7.2.8. Increased enforcement of existing Conservation Zone (CZ) regulations (defined in Ordinance No. 96-48) and an expansion in the width of the CZ in one region to protect and enhance AIBM and nesting bird habitats;
- 7.2.9. Developing and instituting a training program that must be attended by drivers wishing to obtain a four-wheel drive permit for driving north of Vilano Ramp; and
- 7.2.10. Reducing public beach driving along Summer Haven.

7.2.1. Authorizing public vehicular beach access between 8:00 AM and 8:00 PM from May 1 through October 31 of each year (gates will remain open on a 24-hour basis from November 1 through April 30 on all public driving beaches and from 8:00 AM on July 4 through 1:00 AM on July 5 at Porpoise Point.

Conditions prior to the HCP. Public vehicular access to authorized beaches in St. Johns County is currently authorized from 5:00 AM to 10:00 PM between May 15 and October 15, and 24 hours a day between October 16 and May 14. As described in Table 5-1 of this HCP, all records of take of sea turtles and turtle nests due to vehicles or vehicular activities in St. Johns County have occurred between sunset and sunrise (i.e., during dusk, night, or dawn time periods).

Goal. Temporally separate sea turtles and AIBM from public vehicular activities.

New conditions under the HCP. St. Johns County ordinances relevant to public vehicular access to the beaches will be amended to define the sea turtle nesting season as May 1 to October 31 to encompass the entire period during which adult turtles are emerging from the ocean to nest and hatchlings are emerging from their nests. This will place public driving restrictions for an additional 31 days each year.

Public vehicular access hours between May 1 and October 31 will be changed from 5:00 AM to 10:00 PM to 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM for the general public. This will reduce the amount of driving by 5 hours each day. Most of the reduction will occur during hours of darkness, when turtle-vehicle interactions are most likely. Collectively, the new driving restrictions will reduce the amount of time that public traffic will be allowed access to the beaches during sea turtle nesting season by 33.9 percent (from 3,338 hours to 2,208 hours). Public beach driving will be permitted 24 hours a day between November 1 and April 30 of each year on all public driving beaches and from 8:00 AM on July 4 through 1:00 AM on July 5 from the north side of the Vilano Road beach access ramp to the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point.

Fishermen with a valid State commercial fishing license that derive at least 50 percent of their annual income from commercial fishing can opt for HCP training and be permitted to access public driving beaches by vehicle from 5:00 AM to 10:00 PM between May 1 and October 31 (these times are consistent with pre-HCP beach ordinances). These commercial fishermen must drive and park only on the wetted portion of the beach from 5:00 AM to 8:00 AM and 8:00 PM to 10:00 PM. They must also participate in HCP training, which will focus on how to avoid adult, hatchling, stranded, or post-hatchling washback sea turtles and sea turtle nests, how to reduce the use of lights on the beach, and how to diminish interference with sea turtle nesting surveys. Recurrent HCP training will occur minimally at least once each year.

Fishermen wishing to obtain these extended access hours must provide the HCP Coordinator with verification that 1) they possess a current Saltwater Products License issued by the State of Florida, and 2) at least 50 percent of their annual income is derived from commercial fishing (e.g., copy of Federal income tax returns and fish landing receipts). Verification will be supplied to the HCP Coordinator on an annual basis. Each fisherman will be issued a key to unlock the gate at Vilano Ramp and another key to unlock the gate at Fort Matanzas Ramp. Additionally, they will be issued a large placard that must be placed prominently on the dashboard of the vehicle when driving on the beach before 8:00 AM and after 8:00 PM between May 1 and October 31. The placard will at least visibly read, "Commercial Fisherman" and their permit number. The fishermen will be expected to self-police their activities.

Daily Beach Opening. From May 1 through October 31, public vehicular access to authorized beaches will begin each day at 8:00 AM. When tollbooths are attended (March 1 through Labor Day weekend), the tollbooth operators will begin collecting tolls at 8:00 AM (they currently begin at 9:00 AM); this is a 1-hour increase in the time that beach passes are sold and may constitute an increase in County beach toll revenue. During the period of time that the sea turtle nesting season overlaps with tollbooth operation (May 1 through Labor Day, the tollbooth operators will open the gates at 8:00 AM. Between the day after Labor Day weekend and October 31, tollbooths are not placed at access ramps, and Deputy Sheriffs will unlock the gates at 8:00 AM. Gates will remain open on a 24-hour basis from November 1 through April 30.

If, during the sea turtle nesting season, the nesting survey cannot be completed by 8:00 AM, then the PPH will call the HCP Coordinator. The HCP Coordinator will designate which zone(s) of the beach must remain closed to public vehicular traffic until the survey is complete. The PPH will call the HCP Coordinator when the survey is finished, and the gates will then be opened by the tollbooth attendant or Deputy Sheriff.

Daily Beach Closing. From May 1 through October 31, public vehicular access to authorized beaches will end each day at 8:00 PM. Signage at all vehicular entrance ramps will indicate the following (or similar) message, "All Vehicles Must Be off the Beach by 8:00 PM." Tollbooth attendants depart their booths at 5:00 PM on weekdays and 6:00 PM on weekends and holidays. Therefore, County staff will be responsible for closing and locking the gate arms at each public vehicular access point at 8:00 PM.

All public vehicles will be cleared from the beach by 8:00 PM. Truck patrols of lifeguards (Zone Units) will be responsible for clearing all authorized public driving beaches of vehicles. Abandoned vehicles will be ticketed by the Sheriff's Department on unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County and by the St. Augustine Beach Police Department within municipal boundaries. Abandoned vehicles will be towed at the owner's expense.

Law enforcement officers (Sheriff's Department on unincorporated beaches and the St. Augustine Beach Police Department within municipal boundaries) will respond to public calls of unauthorized headlights/driving on the beaches at night. Violators of the night driving prohibition will be ticketed and fined for a violation of the St. Johns County Beach Code (\$35.00-50.00 fine; Ordinance No. 97-34).

Rationale for the time change. The vast majority of sea turtle nesting and hatching occurs between sunset and sunrise. In St. John County, the times for sunset and sunrise and civil, nautical, and astronomical twilight for selected days during the 2003 sea turtle nesting season are given in Figure 7-1a. The 8:00 AM opening time has been selected to insure that the PPHs in public driving zones have adequate time between sunrise and the opening of the beach to complete the daily sea turtle nesting survey. All fresh nests from the night before must be documented and marked and any missing barricades on nests must be replaced before public vehicles are permitted to drive on the beaches within that zone.

A study conducted on Melbourne Beach, Florida between July 29 and September 1, 1988 indicated that no emergent hatchlings are likely to be encountered by public vehicles on the

beach after 7:00 AM (Table 7-1). Although no scientific studies have been conducted in St. Johns County, anecdotal information suggests that later hatching events have been observed in St. Johns County (7:00 to 8:00 AM; Lardner *pers. comm.* 2002). Additionally, it was estimated that approximately 10 percent of the loggerhead hatchling emergence events at Melbourne Beach, Florida occurred during afternoon rain showers (Witherington 1986).

The 8:00 PM closing time has been selected to allow sufficient time for the County to perform rut removal procedures before nightfall (section 7.2.4.). County staff will be responsible for removing vehicle tire ruts seaward of all sea turtle nests expected to emerge on all beaches where public driving is authorized. A Rut Removal Plan will be developed within six months of issuance of the ITP and implemented during the first full nesting season following USFWS approval of the plan (Table 9-1). Before the County can initiate evening rut removal procedures, most public vehicles must have vacated the beach. As seen in Table 7-1, approximately 0.6 percent of emergent hatchlings could potentially encounter public vehicles still on the beach between 7:00 and 8:00 PM.

Starting at about 7:00 PM, the County's two rut removal teams will begin removing ruts seaward of nests nearing hatching. Although some vehicles may remain on the beach after 7:00 PM, the majority of traffic will have been cleared by that time. One team will be assigned to the South Beaches, and the other team will conduct operations on the North Beaches. Each loggerhead and green turtle nest that has reached 46 days of incubation (60 days for leatherback turtles; based on shortest incubation periods reported for St. Johns County, section 7.2.4.) will be flagged for rut removal. These nests will be inspected daily by the County's rut removal teams, and if ruts are present, they will be removed either by hand raking or by towing an apparatus behind a vehicle/ATV, whichever is most expeditious. Rut removal will continue at each flagged nest until 72 hours after the nest experiences its first hatchling emergence, at which time the nest is excavated, its contents analyzed, and the nest barrier removed (as per FWC guidelines).

From a Countywide perspective, public driving beaches have lower sea turtle nesting densities than other County beaches; thus, relatively few nests will require rut removal (Figure 7-4). Using 2001 sea turtle nesting data reported to FWC, the majority of nests laid in public driving areas in St. Johns County experienced first hatchling emergence between the beginning of July and mid-September (Figure 7-1b). Although the number of nests actually hatching on any given day is few (maximum = 3 nests), the number of nests requiring daily inspection is higher. That is because the exact time of hatching for any given nest is not known. Daily inspections at each nest must begin at 46 days of incubation and continue until 3 days following the first sign of hatchling emergence, a period of approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Based on 2001 data, nests on the North Beaches would have required rut removal for an average of 14.9 days, and during the peak of hatching, a maximum of 15 nests would have to be inspected (Figure 7-1b). On the South Beaches, the average number of days that rut removal would have been required for each nest during 2001 was 20.7. However, because of lower nesting in that area, the maximum number of nests that would have to be inspected on any given day was only 8. (These averages do not include the unusually high number of nests that were washed out and lost in 2001, nests for which the days of rut removal were incalculable).

It is estimated that the rut removal task will take 5 to 10 minutes per nest. With a maximum number of nests flagged for inspection equal to 15 on the North Beaches, it would take between 1.25 and 2.5 hours to complete rut removal during the peak of hatching. On the South Beaches, where the vast majority of public driving occurs, it would require less than 1.5 hours to inspect and remove ruts from a maximum of 8 nests per day. Thus, if rut removal begins at 7:00 PM, the latest that it would be completed would be 9:30 PM (North Beaches). However, rut removal will probably not be required at all inspected nests, and in many cases it will take less than 10 minutes to complete. Furthermore, although 8:00 PM approximates sunset for most of the nesting season, that time does not represent true darkness.

Sunset is defined as “the disappearance of the [sun’s] upper limb below the horizon. Chiefly because of the effect of refraction, as the upper limb appears to touch the horizon at sunset, it is actually more than 30 feet below the celestial horizon” (Maloney 1985). Twilight is the period after sunset when daylight is giving way to darkness. There are three types of twilight: civil, nautical, and astronomical. Civil twilight represents the point in the evening after sunset, when the horizon can still be clearly seen and only bright stars are visible (the center of the sun is -6 degrees below the celestial horizon). At nautical twilight, the horizon line becomes vague (the center of the sun is -12 degrees below the celestial horizon). Astronomical twilight represents full night (the center of the sun is -18 degrees below the celestial horizon). The conditions observed during twilight are relative and vary considerably under different atmospheric conditions.

As evident in Figure 7-1a, there is a period of approximately 1.5 hours between sunset and full night (astronomical twilight). During the weeks when rut removal requirements are the greatest, astronomical twilight occurs relatively late (between about 9:00 to 10:00 PM; Figure 7-1a). Thus, the rut removal teams are expected to have adequate time to complete the task by darkness on most days throughout the hatching season.

Figure 7-1a demonstrates that astronomical twilight occurs before the 8:00 PM beach closure time during the end of October (the sea turtle nesting season officially ends on October 31). However, this should not impact hatchlings or the County’s rut removal program, because the last hatchling emergences in St. Johns County typically occur by the end of September (Figure 7-1b). Nests still incubating on the beach after that time are typically washed out by autumn storms before they can hatch. Therefore, it is anticipated that the County’s rut removal program likely will not be needed after the end of September, when darkness approaches the beach closing time.

Rationale for the seasonal change. Ordinance amendments that restrict public vehicular access between May 1 and October 31 will bring the definition of the sea turtle nesting season in the County’s beach driving regulations into consistency with 1) the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission’s definition of the sea turtle nesting season in St. Johns County, and 2) the definition of nesting season in St. Johns County Ordinance No. 99-33 (beach lighting ordinance). The majority of sea turtle nesting and hatchling emergence events in St. Johns County occur between May 1 and October 31. Table 7-2 shows the first and last nest dates and expected hatchling emergence dates each year between 1988 and 2001.

As seen in Table 3-7 and Table 7-2, leatherback turtles are generally the first sea turtles to nest each season. However, leatherback nests are extremely rare in St. Johns County. Only 16 leatherback nests were recorded in St. Johns County between 1988-2001 (Meylan *et al.* 1995; FWC *unpublished data* 2002). Between 1996 and 2001, leatherback nests represented 0.3 percent of the nests deposited on the County's beaches (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). The large size of a leatherback turtle's crawl and nest are generally conspicuous to beachgoers and, thus, are typically reported to the appropriate PPH for recording and marking.

Between 1996 and 2001, 97.6 percent of the sea turtle nests deposited in St. Johns County were loggerhead nests (FWC *unpublished data* 2002). Figures 7-2 and 7-3 illustrate when select loggerhead turtle nests were deposited and when those nests hatched (FWC *unpublished data* 2001a). An adult sea turtle laying eggs or a hatchling emerging from a nest is not likely to be on the beaches of St. Johns County before May 1 or after October 31.

Between 1979 and 2001, the earliest recorded loggerhead nest was laid on May 1 (Table 3-7; Table 7-2). The latest recorded loggerhead nest was deposited on September 26. Using an average incubation period of 57 days, this nest would be expected to emerge on November 22 (see footnote on Table 7-2). However, hatchling emergence dates in November are extraordinarily rare even for warmer areas in South Florida (EAI 2000a, EAI 2001b). Although isolated hatching events can be expected in St. Johns County during October, they are relatively infrequent, because few nests are deposited after July (Table 7-2). Nests deposited in August and September are extremely vulnerable to being washed out and lost due to late summer and fall storm events (Stam, Stoll, Miller, Lardner, Stauber, and Rich *pers. comm.* 2001; FWC *unpublished data* 2001a). Thus, it would be extremely rare for an adult sea turtle, emergent hatchling, or nest to be on the public driving beaches of St. Johns County after October 31.

7.2.2. Installing and maintaining traffic barricades at beach ramps and other points to regulate vehicular access.

Conditions prior to the HCP. During 2001 and 2002, impenetrable steel gate arms were installed by the St. Johns County Division of Beach Management at all official public vehicular access ramps. Isolated locations remain where the public might access the beach by driving around these gate arms or through unofficial ramps to the beach, such as over the berm at the closed Surfside Ramp or via Old A1A at Summer Haven.

Goal. Spatially and temporally separate sea turtles and AIBM from vehicular activities.

New conditions under the HCP. County staff will monitor and maintain the impenetrable steel gates at each official public vehicular access ramp for the life of the ITP. Any identified illegal access points will be barricaded to prevent unauthorized vehicular access. These barricades will be monitored and maintained for the life of the ITP.

Rationale. Impenetrable barricades drastically reduce unauthorized public vehicular access to the beach.

7.2.3. Monitoring and conspicuous marking of all sea turtle nests in the Plan Area.

Conditions prior to the HCP. All beaches within St. Johns County were seasonally surveyed for sea turtle nests. The pre-HCP sea turtle nest monitoring programs in St. Johns County are described in detail in section 4.1.1. of this HCP. Table 7-3 outlines the daily and seasonal survey start and end times and the general procedures used to mark sea turtle nests during the 2001 sea turtle nesting season as described by the PPHs and/or their monitoring personnel (Stam, Stoll, Dickson, Owen, Lardner, Wamsler, Stauber, Parker, Miller, and Rich *pers. comm.* 2001 and 2002). As can be seen in Table 7-3, inclusive dates of monitoring and the procedures used to mark and monitor sea turtle nests varied along County Beaches.

Goal. Spatially separate sea turtle nests from vehicular, horseback, and pedestrian activities and standardize monitoring procedures.

New conditions under the HCP. Upon issuance of the ITP, all sea turtle nests in St. Johns County will be conspicuously marked and barricaded using procedures mutually agreed upon by the HCP Coordinator and the PPHs. Within 4 months of issuance of the ITP, St. Johns County will develop a standardized Countywide Sea Turtle Monitoring Plan (Table 9-1). The Plan will be implemented during the first full nesting season following USFWS approval of the plan. The Monitoring Plan will be formulated in consultation with the PPHs and FWC to standardize monitoring activities Countywide. All procedures will conform to current or future FWC guidelines. Conceptually, under the Sea Turtle Monitoring Plan, monitoring personnel will: a) conduct daily nesting surveys between May 1 and September 30, b) conspicuously mark and barricade all nests deposited in St. Johns County, c) monitor all marked nests to determine nest fate, d) evaluate reproductive success for a random sample of marked nests, and e) document impacts to sea turtles, including, but not limited to, hatchling disorientations, turtles and/or nests impacted by vehicles, and hatchling/rut interactions.

Rationale. Not all nests in St. Johns County are presently barricaded (Table 7-3). The conspicuous marking and barricading of sea turtle nests are effective means of protecting nests from vehicular impacts (EAI 2002; Table 6-1). In Volusia County, Florida, during the 5 years that their HCP has been in effect, no marked nests have been run over. Along beaches where public driving is *not* permitted in St. Johns County, nest marking will provide protection from public safety vehicles, horseback riders, pedestrians, and other official vehicles that are permitted to drive on all County Beaches (vehicles such as those required for wildlife rescue, environmental monitoring, shoreline protection installation or maintenance, code enforcement, or other official St. Johns County or City of St. Augustine Beach business).

Various techniques were previously used to denote the location of sea turtle nests in St. Johns County. Law enforcement officers in vehicles, horseback riders, and other official personnel in vehicles have closely approached, possibly traversed over, sea turtle nests that were marked with a single stake (Stoll *pers. comm.* 2001). Such encounters may be minimized by eliminating the confusion caused by this marking technique (i.e., uncertainty as to whether the clutch of eggs is located seaward or landward of the stake) by demarcating a barricade around the entire perimeter of each clutch of sea turtle eggs and by using a standardized marking system.

7.2.4. Developing a standard protocol to remove vehicle ruts seaward of sea turtle nests during periods when hatchlings are expected to emerge.

Conditions prior to the HCP. With the assistance of the sea turtle monitors, St. Johns County staff removed vehicle tire ruts seaward of sea turtle nests between “A” Street Ramp and the Matanzas Inlet (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). The following procedural guidance is taken from the St. Johns County Division of Beach Management Sea Turtle Protection Program:

If ruts, tire tracks, or other such obstructions are noted in close proximity to a turtle nest, lifeguards should attempt to eliminate or reduce such obstacles. Utilizing a hand drawn drag mat, lifeguards should drag the area seaward of the nest so as to eliminate vehicle ruts, tire tracks, and the like. Deeper holes or ruts may require that the area be filled and leveled with a shovel or other such tool prior to dragging (Williams *unpublished data* 2002).

The rut removal program in place prior to the HCP lacked standard operating procedures, was not carried out on all beaches where the public was allowed to drive. It also did not include a systematic method to inventory nests that might require raking.

Goal. Prevent hatchlings from becoming trapped or impeded in vehicle tire ruts on the beach during their nest-to-sea migration.

New conditions under the HCP. The Sea Turtle Monitoring Plan (see section 7.2.5. below) will require that marked loggerhead and green turtle nests be flagged at 46 days of incubation and leatherback nests at 60 days, at which time rut removal protocol will be initiated. St. Johns County will be responsible for developing and implementing a Rut Removal Plan along the beaches where the general public is permitted to drive. The Rut Removal Plan will be implemented upon issuance of the ITP and submitted to the USFWS for approval with 30 days of implementation. Any required changes to the Rut Removal Plan will be phased in over a 60-day period following USFWS approval (Table 9-1). The Rut Removal Plan will also include operating guidelines to minimize the potential for rut creation by public safety vehicles on all beaches.

Rationale. Vehicle tire ruts can trap or impede post-emergent hatchlings during their crawl to the ocean (and potentially cause death by dessication or increased predation; LeBuff 1990). Anecdotal evidence indicates that sea turtle hatchlings have been trapped in the tire ruts created by vehicles on the beaches of St. Johns County (Table 5-1). However, there is only one formal record where the number of hatchlings trapped and killed in tire ruts was quantified, and those ruts were deep and created by heavy beach renourishment equipment on the beach at Summer Haven. In that case, St. Johns County was not responsible for authorizing the driving that appeared to have been responsible for the incidental take.

7.2.5. Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures.

Conditions prior to the HCP. The responsibility for enforcement of all beach driving policies and procedures within the unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County belongs to the Sheriff's

Department; St. Augustine Beach Police Department enforces regulations on the beaches of St. Augustine Beach (section 4.5.1.). Between March 1 and Labor Day weekend, the County presently provides 4 Deputy Sheriffs to physically patrol the 14.7 mi of public driving beaches and enforce beach driving policies (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). After Labor Day weekend through February, enforcement is the responsibility of the Deputy Sheriff who is assigned to patrol the corresponding upland zone (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). During the summer season, the City of St. Augustine Beach currently provides 1 to 2 police officers to enforce beach driving policies on 1.8 mi of the City's drivable beaches (Bandy *pers. comm.* 2002).

Goal. Minimize the threat to sea turtles and AIBM by enforcing hourly, seasonal, and spatial restrictions on public vehicular access to the beaches.

New conditions under the HCP. Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures will come in several forms under the HCP. The County will increase the physical presence of law enforcement officers on the beach actively looking for violators of beach driving regulations, the Beach Code, and/or other ordinances pertaining to the beaches of St. Johns County. There are two alternatives through which this can be achieved: 1) the addition of 2 Deputy Sheriffs (for a total of 6) that are specifically trained to enforce beach-related ordinances, or 2) the addition of 4 Beach Rangers. The Beach Ranger would be a newly created position under the Division of Beach Management. These would be officers that are dual-trained in both code enforcement and lifesaving. During the summer season, two of these Rangers will be assigned to the beaches north of St. Augustine Inlet, and two will be assigned to patrol the beaches of Anastasia Island. An additional aspect of increased enforcement is increased record-keeping to detect trends in Beach Code violations and to assess the effectiveness of HCP enforcement programs (see 9.16.3.).

Rationale. The incidental take of sea turtles and/or AIBM is most likely to occur when a public vehicle is in violation of beach driving regulations.

7.2.6. Develop and implement a public awareness program that includes, but not limited to, the following features:

- Developing and distributing public awareness materials containing information regarding driving regulations and protected species' issues to beach drivers as they access beaches within the Plan Area;
- Developing Public Service Announcements, including "special reports," to be aired on the St. Johns County government television station discussing HCP regulations and protected species;
- Designing and conducting periodic public workshops that include the general public but will focus on the beach community and hotels/motels to discuss HCP issues; and
- Posting phone numbers to report HCP violations and sea turtle emergencies.

Conditions prior to the HCP. Section 7.03 of the St. Johns County Beach Code (Ordinance No. 97-34) stated, "The months of May through October, of each year, are hereby declared 'Turtle Alert Status' months for the purpose of educating the citizens of St. Johns County about sea turtle conservation." The following excerpt is taken from the St. Johns County Division of

Beach Management Sea Turtle Protection Program guide and explains the activities carried out during Turtle Alert Status:

The Board of County Commissioners supports a variety of sea turtle educational programs annually. Allocated funds support information signs, public service announcements, mailers to beachfront property owners, nighttime lighting inspections, flyers, downloadable materials, refrigerator magnets, and the dissemination of information through the local news media (Williams *unpublished data* 2002).

During the sea turtle nesting season, flyers are presently handed out to beach drivers at the tollbooths. However, the current flyers pertain primarily to beach conditions (e.g., rip currents) with some information about nesting sea turtles. During the summer of 2001, St. Johns County gave out about 5,000 flyers (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001).

Goal. Educate the beach driving public about driving regulations, sea turtles, AIBM, shorebirds, dune habitats, and protected species' issues.

New conditions under the HCP. St. Johns County will design and disperse new educational flyers at beach access points, hotels, motels, and Chambers of Commerce. The flyer will contain information regarding driving regulations and protected species, including, but not limited to, biology of sea turtles, beach mice, and nesting, loafing, and foraging birds. The County will develop Public Service Announcements, including "special reports," to be aired on the St. Johns County government television station discussing HCP regulations and protected species. The HCP Coordinator will design and conduct periodic public workshops that include the general public but will focus on the beach community and hotels/motels to discuss HCP issues. These workshops may have a large environmental education component for the dispersal of information on a) sea turtle and shorebird nesting habits and current trends, and b) helpful information on what beach visitors can do to conserve the beach habitat and assist in HCP implementation. The HCP Coordinator may hold public workshops in St. Augustine Beach (in cooperation with the City) to inform local businesses and homeowners in the City of the new lighting regulations and enforcement procedures. These workshops will also serve as a forum for the public to comment on HCP performance and provide the County with suggestions for improvement. Additionally, the County will post phone numbers to report HCP violations and sea turtle emergencies. These phone numbers will be clearly posted at beach access points maintained by the County along public driving beaches. This list of phone numbers will also be made available at beachfront hotel, motels, and condominiums. These educational materials and programs will be developed within 1 year of ITP issuance and distributed or conducted within 3 months of USFWS approval (Table 9-1).

Rationale. Many people will act as stewards of the environment and endangered/threatened species if provided with conservation-minded recommendations through public awareness campaigns.

7.2.7. Elevating trash receptacles on posts along public driving areas within AIBM habitat (i.e., Anastasia Island, excluding FMNM).

Condition prior to the HCP. Approximately 250 plastic, 50-gallon drums are presently used as trash receptacles along County Beaches. Some trash cans are mounted on wooden posts along the seaward boundary of the Conservation Zone, but most trash receptacles are placed directly on the sand.

Goal. Reduce the number of AIBM and their predators that are attracted onto the beach to forage in trash receptacles.

New conditions under the HCP. The Division of Beach Management will elevate all trash receptacles on posts along public driving areas within AIBM habitat (i.e., between “A” Street Ramp and Matanzas Inlet).

Rationale. AIBM and their predators have been documented foraging in trash receptacles on the beach (Frank and Humphrey 1996). Additionally, FDEP scientists have observed that AIBM find trash receptacles as an attractive nuisance and will burrow under them (Bard *pers. comm.* 2002). These types of activities are neither natural nor desirable from a conservation perspective. Additionally, FDEP scientists hypothesize that elevated trash receptacles will demonstrate a conservation benefit for AIBM by making it more difficult for predators of AIBM to forage in the cans (raccoons and especially cats; Bard *pers. comm.* 2002). This may reduce the potential for predators of AIBM to congregate on the beach, which would have conservation benefits for AIBM.

7.2.8. Increased enforcement of existing Conservation Zone (CZ) regulations (defined in Ordinance No. 97-34) and an expansion in the width of the CZ in one region to protect and enhance AIBM and nesting bird habitats.

Conditions prior to the HCP. Previously, violations of the 15-foot Conservation Zone had not been systematically reported or recorded in St. Johns County. CZ violations were likely highest in the North Beach Vehicular Access Permit area north of Vilano Ramp and around Porpoise Point (Clark and Mathis *pers. comm.* 2002). At least one violator of the CZ was issued a written warning (case #00009169). Any other warnings or citations that may have been issued were not documented. The Sheriff’s Department does not have an active enforcement program for the CZ, but does respond to citizen reports of violation.

Goals. No loss of AIBM habitat due to public vehicles driving close to the toe of the dune. Allow the seaward growth of dune vegetation. Spatially separate AIBM from vehicular activities. Enhance historical and potential bird nesting habitats.

New conditions under the HCP. According to the Beach Code, enforcement of the CZ is the responsibility of the Sheriff’s Department. St. Johns County Sheriff’s Department, in cooperation with the Division of Beach Management will increase enforcement of current CZ regulations (defined in Ordinances No. 97-34). St. Johns County will be responsible for marking the seaward edge of the 15-foot CZ along all areas where the County authorizes public

beach driving. The County will also mark and enforce a 30-ft Conservation Zone inland from the North Jetty to the Tolomata River.

Rationale. Vehicular activity along the toe of the dune can trim pioneering species of dune vegetation (Frank and Humphrey 1996). This prevents the seaward accretion of the primary dune system, and thereby diminishes potential habitat for AIBM and nesting birds. The CZ will be expanded around Porpoise Point, because this area has been identified by scientists as a potential AIBM and bird nesting habitat (Miller and Brooks *pers. comm.* 2002).

Conservation Zones are an integral part of the HCP in Volusia County, Florida (the only other HCP in effect that regulates public beach driving for the protection of sea turtles). Volusia County's beaches are divided into three Experience Zones, Natural, Transitional, and Urban, and the width of the CZ was assigned to correspond to the type of zone. The Natural zones generally represent areas where off-beach development is less intense, the dune habitats are largely intact, and there are the highest concentrations of sea turtle nests. Public driving and parking is not allowed in the Natural beach areas. The Transitional zones are those areas which have a mixture of natural dunes and some beach seawalls. The concentration of people in these areas generally is less intense most of the year, and sea turtle nesting is moderate. In these Transitional zones, a 30-foot Conservation Zone was established by the HCP. Thus, public driving and parking is allowed 30 feet seaward of the dunes or seawall. The Urban zones are those areas where off-beach development includes hotels, high-rise condominiums, and seawalls. In these areas, the concentration of people is intense most of the year, and sea turtle nesting is minimal. In the Urban zones, a 15-foot Conservation Zone was established by the HCP.

Unlike the situation in Volusia County, a 30-foot Conservation Zone along the beaches of St. Johns County is not practical. The beaches of St. Johns County are much narrower than those in Volusia County. Additionally, the need for an equally wide CZ is diminished, because St. Johns County experiences both less public driving and less sea turtle nesting. For instance, 1.2 million cars per year drive on Volusia County beaches, as opposed to 250,000 cars per year in St. Johns County. St. Johns County beaches experience about half the number of sea turtle nests of Volusia County (Volusia County has an average of 513 nests deposited per year, and St. Johns County has 277 nests per year; 1996-2001 data). In St. Johns County, it is extremely difficult to maintain the already mandated 15-foot CZ on unincorporated beaches, and it is believed that a 30-foot CZ would be impractical, because frequent high tides would continually wash the posts out of the sand (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002). In contrast to Volusia County, a 30-foot CZ would essentially preclude public beach driving and parking along large sections of beach and/or during certain times of the day.

7.2.9. Developing and instituting a training program that must be attended by drivers wishing to obtain a four-wheel drive permit for driving north of Vilano Ramp.

Conditions prior to the HCP. Three-month permits are only granted to owners of season beach passes who drive a four-wheel drive vehicle. The County Recreation and Parks Department gives the passes at no additional charge (above the cost of a season pass) upon completion of a permit application, which includes license and vehicle information (Appendix C). Permits are granted on any day of the year and considered in effect for the three months that follow. The

permit application states, “I understand that any violation to the aforementioned activities including any violation to the St. Johns County Beach Code may lead to a citation and the immediate revocation of my permit” (Appendix C).

Goal. Reduce the number of incidents of illegal driving in the CZ and/or dunes. Reduce the number of documented takes of sea turtles.

New conditions after the HCP. The HCP Coordinator will develop an HCP training program that must be attended by drivers wishing to obtain a North Beach Vehicular Access Permit. Since 61 percent of North Beach drivers were granted a permit for a single three-month period (i.e., did not renew their permits; May 2001 to May 2002 data), these training programs must be offered quarterly. In order to facilitate the timing of the programs, North Beach Vehicular Access Permits will be issued on a quarterly cycle (i.e., permits will go into effect and expire on the same day for every driver). Thus, permits will go into effect on January 1, April 1, July 1, and October 1 of each year. Training sessions will be offered prior to the start of each quarter. Each driver wishing to obtain a permit must have attended a training session within the last year of the quarter for which a permit is being requested. Recurrent training will occur at least annually thereafter. All training will be documented by the HCP Coordinator. Each permit holder will be issued a large placard showing his/her permit number. This sign must remain prominently displayed on the dashboard of the vehicle when it is on the beach north of Vilano Ramp (for enforcement purposes).

Rationale. The Sheriff’s Office states that most of their problems with enforcement of the Beach Code, including illegal driving in the CZ and/or dunes, occur along the North Beaches and at Porpoise Point (Clark *pers. comm.* 2002, Mathis *pers. comm.* 2002). However, a North Beach Vehicular Access Permit has never been suspended or revoked (Williams *pers. comm.* 2001). Additionally, a large majority (82 percent) of the documented take of sea turtles due to public beach driving in St. Johns County has occurred in Vilano Beach and South Ponte Vedra (1991 to August 28, 2002; Table 5-1; Figure 6-2). The new program described above should greatly reduce the potential for take and facilitate enforcement of HCP infractions.

7.2.10. Reducing public beach driving along Summer Haven.

Conditions prior to the HCP. The unique human and ecological conditions present in Summer Haven are described in detail in section 2.4.3.6. Summer Haven is an extremely narrow portion of land that sits 5.0-10.0 ft above sea level. It is one of the most critically eroded beaches in St. Johns County exhibiting recurring washover zones and repeated inlet formation. Summer Haven displays the highest sea turtle nesting densities in the County and seasonally hosts least tern nesting colonies. Additionally, Summer Haven has been developed with at least 23 homes. County Road Old A1A provided vehicular access to these homes until about one mile of it was washed away in 1986. Since then, residents have been utilizing off-road trails behind the primary dunes to access their homes. However, the demarcation between these access trails and the beach proper was ill-defined, and vehicles could drive on the beach.

Goal. Reduce the amount of public driving that occurs on the beach to protect sea turtle and least tern nesting habitats.

New conditions after the HCP. The County will install a locked gate arm just south of the Summer Haven revetment, and keys will be provided to local residents only. The County will post signs indicating that the general public has no rights of access on the upland road landward of the primary dune line. Additionally, the County will stabilize the existing roadbed landward of the restored dune with a mix of coquina shell and sand.

Rationale. Reducing the amount of vehicular traffic on the beach will serve to protect and conserve the ecological significance of this unique area.

7.3. MEASURES TO MITIGATE UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS

In addition to the minimization measures described above, the County will mitigate unavoidable take that might occur as the result of County-authorized vehicular driving. These mitigation programs include:

- 7.3.1. Developing a comprehensive proactive Beach Lighting Management Program and unify the City of St. Augustine Beach's lighting regulations with those of the County;
- 7.3.2. Developing and instituting a beach horseback riding registration and education program;
- 7.3.3. Redirecting Porpoise Point vehicular driving to allow re-establishment of natural dune features; and
- 7.3.4. Restoring the primary dune along Summer Haven.

7.3.1. Developing a comprehensive proactive Beach Lighting Management Program and unify the City of St. Augustine Beach's lighting regulations with those of the County.

Conditions prior to the HCP. St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33 provided for compliance inspections prior to each sea turtle nesting season and at least monthly inspections during the nesting season. "These inspections shall be performed by County Code Enforcement Officers or qualified persons appointed or contracted by the County Administrator" (Ordinance No. 99-33). Lighting inspections were performed by the Supervisor of Beach Management assisted by one County staffperson. St. Johns County lacked sufficient manpower to perform repeated monthly inspections along 29.5 mi of unincorporated County coastline as required under the ordinance (Table 1-1). In addition to nighttime surveys, the Division of Beach Management responded to reports of beach lighting violations.

Prior to each sea turtle nesting season, the Division of Beach Management mails an informational letter to all beachfront property owners and airs public service announcements on radio and television stations. If a property owner has questions or concerns, the Division of Beach Management meets with the owner at their property to discuss those concerns. Following nighttime lighting surveys or confirmation of reported violations, the Division of Beach Management mails an alert citation and follow-up letter to the property owner if a structure is in violation of Ordinance 99-33.

As described in detail in section 4.7. of this HCP, 12 sea turtle hatchling disorientation incidents in St. Johns County were reported to FWC between 1996 and 2001 (FWC *unpublished data* 2001e).

City of St. Augustine Beach. St. Augustine Beach Ordinance No. 95-17/ 96-13 defines the “nesting season” as “the period from June 1 through September 30 of each year.” FWC and St. Johns County (Ordinances No. 97-34 and 99-33) define the sea turtle nesting season as May 1 through October 31. St. Augustine Beach Ordinance 95-17/ 96-13 defines the “nighttime or night” as “the period between 10:00 pm and 5:00 am.” St. Johns County lighting ordinance (Ordinance No. 97-34) defines nighttime/night as “the period between sunset and sunrise as published in *The St. Augustine Record* newspaper.” One officer from the City of St. Augustine Beach Police Department enforces the Ordinance No. 96-13 on all municipal beaches.

Goal. Reduce the probability of hatchling disorientation events from point-source beachfront lighting along the unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County and the municipal beaches of St. Augustine Beach.

New conditions under the HCP. St. Augustine Beach will amend Ordinance No. 96-13 in order to unify their lighting regulations with those of St. Johns County (Ordinance 97-34). Upon adoption of such ordinance amendments, St. Johns County staff will assume enforcement responsibilities on all beaches within St. Johns County, including those within the municipal boundaries of the City of St. Augustine Beach. Within the St. Johns County Division of Habitat Conservation (described in detail in Chapter 9), a Beach Lighting Officer will be hired to serve as the chief point of contact and enforcement officer for lighting issues throughout the County. In accordance with the St. Johns County Land Development Code section E.2.8.6, the Beach Lighting Officer will work with the appropriate State agencies regarding beach lighting and protected species issues. St. Johns County will develop a proactive Beach Lighting Management Program to set forth specific procedures for monitoring beach lighting and enforcing lighting violations. The Beach Lighting Management Program will identify any needed ordinance amendments. Within 6 months of issuance of the ITP, the Beach Lighting Management Program will be developed in cooperation with USFWS and FWC (Table 9-1). This Program will be implemented during the first full nesting season following USFWS approval.

Rationale. Both nesting and hatchling sea turtles are adversely affected by the presence of artificial lights near the beach (Witherington and Martin 2000). Beachfront lighting is one of the principal factors impacting sea turtles in St. Johns County.

7.3.2. Developing a beach horseback riding registration and education program that must be taken by horseback riders prior to riding on the beach.

Conditions prior to the HCP. As described in detail in section 4.4., horseback riding on the beach is currently authorized: a) throughout the year on the 17.9 mi of unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County north of Surfside Beach Ramp (Table 1-2), and b) between November 1 and April 30 of each year along the 37.5 mi of unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County (Ordinance No. 2001-5). St. Johns County Land Development Code provides that “Horseback riding on the beach during the Nesting Season shall be allowed only seaward of the most high-

tide line on the beach during times when such riding is otherwise allowed” (Land Development Code section 4.01.08). There are currently no hourly restrictions, protected species training, registration, or monitoring programs for beach horseback riders in St. Johns County.

There have been three anecdotal cases of horse prints close to clutches of sea turtle eggs and an unknown number of anecdotal cases of horseback riding through dune vegetation (Stoll and Charest *pers. comm.* 2002). According to the Beach Code, enforcement of all horseback riding regulations is the responsibility of the Sheriff’s Department. No written warnings or citations have been issued for violations of horseback riding regulations in St. Johns County (Williams *pers. comm.* 2002).

Goal. Monitor, evaluate, and document the extent of beach horseback riding in St. Johns County and minimize impacts on protected species and their habitat.

New conditions under the HCP. St. Johns County will provide beach horseback riders with annual HCP and protected species training. The training course will be developed and taught by the HCP Coordinator (Chapter 9), and will be offered both in person for local equestrians and over the internet for the convenience of out-of-town equestrians. County ordinances will be amended, if necessary, to minimize the impacts of beach horseback riding on sea turtles, beach mice, and birds on the beaches. Beach horseback riding must be conducted only seaward of the most recent high tide line (on the wetted portion of the beach) throughout the year. Additionally, a registration program for beach horseback riders will be implemented. A registration card will be given free of charge to each individual private horseback rider and each commercial operator leading horseback riding groups on the beach. Every horseback rider on the beaches of St. Johns County shall either have their registration card on person or be accompanied by a card-carrying registrant. Horseback riding activity logs will be placed in kiosks at all points where horseback riders traditionally access the beach. Riders will sign-in on the activity log each time they access the beach. The activity logs will be collected periodically by the Division of Habitat Conservation, and the logged data will be analyzed. The activity logs will include, but are not limited to, the date, time, and location of horseback riding, how many riders in a group (if commercial operator), and beach entry and exit points. As mutually agreed upon, enforcement responsibilities can be transferred from the Sheriff’s Department to St. Johns County staff such as Beach Rangers.

Rationale. Horseback riding is not currently monitored in St. Johns County, and a registration program will elucidate how many horseback riders per year use County Beaches, which beaches are most often used, and during what times of the day. The impacts of beach horseback riding will be monitored, because horse hoofs might negatively impact nests if they step on the clutch and/or leave deep depressions that might trap emergent hatchlings. Horseback riding through the dune vegetation also diminishes the quality of habitat for nesting sea turtles and/or AIBM. A requirement that horseback riding occurs only seaward of the most recent high tide line (on the wetted portion of the beach) throughout the year (not just during the sea turtle nesting season) is proposed to protect dune vegetation, AIBM habitat, and bird nesting areas.

7.3.3. Redirecting Porpoise Point vehicular driving to allow re-establishment of natural dune features.

Conditions prior to the HCP. As described in detail in section 2.4.3.4., public beach driving presently occurs through the dune system at Porpoise Point in St. Johns County. Multiple unmarked, shore-parallel driving lanes exist through the dunes in this region and at least 3 unmarked driving lanes fan out from Porpoise Point Ramp.

Goals. Enhance dune habitat for foraging, loafing, and nesting birds. Create potential future habitat or extend current habitat for AIBM.

New conditions under the HCP. St. Johns County will barricade the interdunal driving lanes radiating from Porpoise Point Ramp and maintain a single traffic corridor from the ramp to the St. Augustine Inlet. Additionally, the County will place and maintain vehicular barricades and appropriate signage at the previous entrances to each interdunal driving lane. The barricades will be monitored and maintained by County staff until dune vegetation/windblown sand covers former driving lanes, and the barricades are deemed unnecessary. St. Johns County will mark the landward side of the driving area around Porpoise Point from the Vilano Ramp to the southwestern tip of Porpoise Point (with appropriate space for parking seaward of the 30-ft wide CZ). This traffic area will connect to the Porpoise Point Ramp. If a 30-ft wide CZ cannot be maintained around Porpoise Point due to high tides, storm surge, or other unusual event, public driving will be temporarily prohibited in this region. The Sheriff's Department (in cooperation with Beach Rangers, if appropriate) will be responsible for enforcing these beach driving regulations.

Rationale. Multiple driving lanes exist through the dunes at Porpoise Point, which is a violation of both State and County regulations. If a more natural dune system is developed at Porpoise Point, this area may become attractive to AIBM, and/or foraging, loafing, and nesting birds.

7.3.4. Restoring the primary dune along Summer Haven.

Conditions prior to the HCP. The unique human and ecological conditions present in Summer Haven are described in detail in section 2.4.3.6. The dune system at Summer Haven is extremely narrow to non-existent and rises to 5.0-10.0 ft above sea level. The primary dunes are critically eroded, washed over during storm events, and obliterated as new inlets are cut through the narrow island. However, this same dune system supports the highest sea turtle nesting densities in the County and seasonally hosts least tern nesting colonies. The residents of Summer Haven access their 23 homes along off-road trails behind the primary dunes.

Goal. Preserve and enhance the nesting habitat along Summer Haven for both nesting sea turtles and least terns.

New conditions under the HCP. St. Johns County has been granted a permit from FDEP "to construct approximately 2,100 linear feet of road consisting of 1,450 cubic yards of coquina shell and sand mix and 8,000 linear feet of sand berm consisting of approximately 29,000 cubic yards

of clean fill. The sand berm is to be located a maximum of 200 feet seaward of the control line” (FDEP Permit Number SJ-855, April 24, 2003).

Rationale. Conservation of the dune habitat is essential for the continued nesting of sea turtles and least terns along Summer Haven. Due to the close proximity of this vital habitat and human use, natural sand deposition alone is unlikely to maintain the dune system. The reconstruction of the dune line and the roadway will not only provide residents with improved access to their homes, but also serve to enhance the most important sea turtle nesting habitat in St. Johns County.

Chapter 8. FUNDING**8.1. FUNDING FOR MINIMIZATION AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

St. Johns County is committed to the success of this HCP and will commit the funds necessary to implement the Plan. The County operates on an October 1 through September 30 fiscal year (FY) and will appropriate funds on an annual basis. Insofar as the governing board cannot bind a subsequent board to funding operational expenses, provision for longer-term Plan funding is not possible. Failure to appropriate funding for the HCP by October 1 each year may result in USFWS revocation of the ITP. HCP programs and policies will be funded in one-third proportions from the following funding sources: Category III Tourist Development Tax, the General Fund, and Beach Toll Revenue (Table 8-1).

8.1.1. Category III Tourist Development Tax

To assure a stable, long-term source of funding for the HCP, the County will use monies derived from its Tourist Development Tax (TDT). The tax, currently set at 3 percent (3%), is added to the bill paid by tourists for overnight accommodations at hotels, motels, apartment hotels, rooming houses, RV parks/campgrounds, and condominiums in St. Johns County. Anyone renting accommodations in St. Johns County for six months or less pays the tax. The St. Johns County Commission has set by ordinance (Ordinance 92-32) that the TDT funds will be divided on a 40-30-30 basis with forty percent (40%) going to advertising and promotion (Category I), thirty percent (30%) going to culture and special events (Category II), and thirty percent (30%) going to beaches and recreation (Category III). Ordinance 92-32 further stipulates that the 40-30-30 split of tax revenues cannot be changed without a referendum election. These taxes are collected by the St. Johns County Tax Collector.

The Tourist Development Council (TDC) has initial responsibility for the funds. They make recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners on which projects should be funded and in what amount. Funding proposals are submitted to the TDC for review and recommendation before action by County staff or consideration by the Board of County Commissioners. The Clerk of the Court is the County's chief financial officer and has pre-audit responsibilities for all the TDC expenditures. The final authority for the revenues and expenditures rests with the Board of County Commissioners.

Category III funds from the TDT are dedicated to Beaches and Recreation. These funds are managed by the Recreation and Parks Division to provide tourism related projects like fishing piers, boat ramps, beach maintenance, and dune replenishing (DOR 2002). Monies from the TDT also provide support to the Visitors Information Center and Sports Promotion. In 2001, TDT receipts for St. Johns County exceeded \$4 million, 30 percent of which were allocated to Category III funds for Beaches and Recreation (DOR 2001). Since 1999, the TDT has annually contributed over \$1 million to the Recreation and Parks Division. HCP funding will be authorized on an annual basis from the TDT. Once each year's budget is approved, it will be provided to the USFWS.

8.1.2. General Fund

A proportion of the HCP programs and policies will be funded out of St. Johns County's General Fund. Many aspects of the HCP, such as the programs dealing with beachfront lighting and sea turtle monitoring, will benefit all beaches and citizens of the County. Thus, the General Fund is an appropriate funding mechanism for these activities.

8.1.3. Beach Toll Revenue

In addition to the TDT and General Fund, money for beach services are also generated from the sale of daily and season beach passes. These beach passes are sold from staffed tollbooths located at ten vehicular access ramps. Daily passes are currently sold at these tollbooths between 9:00 AM and 6:00 PM and cost \$5 per day, no matter what time of day the pass is purchased. Season passes cost \$20, if purchased before March 1, and \$30, if bought between March 1 and Labor Day weekend. Beach toll revenue totaled \$935,968 during 2001 (Williams *unpublished data* 2002). Additional funding for HCP programs and policies will be derived from beach toll revenue generated yearly.

Within three (3) months of issuance of the ITP, the County will provide the USFWS with a proposed budget for the remainder of the current and the next FY. The budget prepared by the County will address, but is not limited to, the following line items:

- Position of HCP Coordinator;
- Position of Beach Lighting Officer;
- Development of procedures, database, and supporting materials for a standardized, Countywide sea turtle monitoring program;
- Sea turtle monitoring supplies and logistical support to PPHs;
- Vehicle, office furniture, and equipment;
- Computer hardware and software;
- Phone communication between PPHs and HCP staff;
- GPS system;
- Incidental operating supplies;
- Development and installation of new signage and appropriate traffic barricades at new non-driving zones;
- Installation and maintenance of mounted trash receptacles with appropriate signage demarcating the Conservation Zone along public beach driving zones;
- Development and implementation of Rut Removal Plan;
- Development of Beach Horseback Riding Registration Program; and
- Support services, if necessary.

8.1.4. Additional Funding Sources

In addition to the major funding sources outlined above, additional funding sources for the implementation of the HCP have also been considered. The Division of Beach Management may consider charging beach users for off-beach parking (e.g., 25 cents per half-hour). The off-beach parking lots from which the County could generate these funds include Crescent Beach

Access Point, Mickler's Landing, St. Johns County Pier Park, South Ponte Vedra Beach Access, Surfside Beach Access, Pope Road Beach Access, and Spyglass Beach Access (listed in the order that parking meters would potentially be phased-in at the parking lots over a two-year period). The County could generate additional funds from Special Event Permits. Another potential funding source is the revenue generated from citations issued for code violations. The Division of Beach Management has considered that the funds generated from the citations written by Beach Rangers be used for HCP implementation.

Chapter 9. PLAN IMPLEMENTATION**9.1. ADMINISTRATION OF THE HCP AND ITP**

St. Johns County shall be solely responsible for meeting the terms and conditions of its ITP and for allocating sufficient personnel and material resources to ensure that the HCP can be effectively and efficiently implemented. The organizational structure described below is designed to enhance communication and coordination among the various County divisions, departments, and offices, sea turtle monitoring personnel, County contractors, and other individuals and groups involved in implementation of the HCP.

9.2. DIVISION OF BEACH HABITAT CONSERVATION

Upon implementation of the HCP, the Division of Beach Habitat Conservation will be created under the Division of Beach Management, which is within the Recreation and Parks Division. The Department of Beach Habitat Conservation will contain two new positions, the HCP Coordinator and the Beach Lighting Officer, and may contain others as deemed necessary.

Upon issuance of the HCP, the HCP Coordinator will communicate, consult, and potentially arrange a meeting with various County staff personnel, including representatives from various County departments, the local State and Federal parks, and the City of St. Augustine Beach. If deemed necessary, a Beach Habitat Conservation Committee will be formed including members addressed above and chaired by the HCP Coordinator. The Committee may meet frequently during the first year of HCP implementation, and then less frequently thereafter, as mutually agreed upon.

9.3. HCP COORDINATOR

Under this HCP, sea turtle monitoring activities and related conservation programs will be managed and/or coordinated by the HCP Coordinator. The HCP Coordinator will also be responsible for administering the ITP. This position will be supervised by the Division of Beach Management and may be filled by County staff or through contractual agreement with outside individuals or professional firms.

The person or firm assigned to the role of the HCP Coordinator shall at a minimum have the following qualifications:

- A Bachelor's Degree in the biological, natural, marine, coastal, environmental sciences or similar fields and at least three (3) years of practical experience managing environmental projects; two (2) years of experience may be substituted with a Master's degree;
- A thorough knowledge and understanding of sea turtle biology and conservation and sufficient practical experience to obtain a FWC marine turtle permit to conduct nesting surveys and other activities required under this HCP, if necessary;

- A thorough knowledge and understanding of small mammal biology and conservation and sufficient practical experience to obtain a Federal permit to conduct trapping surveys and other activities required under this HCP;
- Knowledge of scientific data collection and analytical techniques, familiarity with database programs and related computer applications, and practical experience preparing technical reports;
- Ability to develop and manage multi-faceted programs;
- Ability to communicate effectively both orally and in writing; and
- A good professional demeanor and the ability to effectively interface with diverse stakeholder groups.

Upon assuming the position, the HCP Coordinator will review and become thoroughly familiar with the following:

- The HCP and ITP;
- The St. Johns County Comprehensive Plan, section E. Conservation/Coastal Management Element, as adopted May 10, 2000 (sets goals and policies for coastal management);
- St. Johns County Land Development Code Article 4 (June 12, 2001), section 4.01.08: Environmentally Sensitive Area- Threatened or Endangered Species and Species of Special Concern (protection of marine turtles);
- St. Johns County Code codified through Ordinance No. 98-70, adopted on November 10, 1998 (Supplement No. 60):
- Chapter 4. Animals and Fowl. (leash laws for cats and dogs);
- Chapter 5. Beaches. Article II. Beach Code. (Ordinance 97-34) (outlines procedures for all beach management activities);
- St. Johns County Ordinance 96-48 (defines Conservation Zone and prohibits night driving during sea turtle nesting season except for a period of non-enforcement on the night of July 4-5);
- St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33 (beach lighting code);
- St. Johns County Ordinance 2001-5 (restrictions on horseback riding);
- Special Use Permit Number 5260-9500-009 granted to St. Johns County by U.S. Dept of the Interior, National Park Service, Castillo de San Marcos and Fort Matanzas National Monuments (to place County tollbooth at Matanzas Ramp);
- Formal agreements between St. Johns County and the City of St. Augustine Beach;
- Florida Statutes, Chapter 161 and Florida Administrative Code, Chapter 62B-33 (coastal construction);
- Memoranda of Understanding and/or interlocal agreements between St. Johns County and other governmental entities related to HCP implementation;
- Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act;
- Federal recovery plans for the loggerhead, green, and leatherback turtles;
- Federal recovery plan for Anastasia Island beach mice; and
- Other State and Federal regulations pertaining to protected species along County Beaches.

The HCP Coordinator may delegate HCP responsibilities to other County staff or independent contractors, provided such individuals possess the requisite professional skills needed to fulfill their responsibilities under this HCP.

The purpose of the HCP Coordinator is to provide professional leadership to all aspects of sea turtle and beach mouse management on the County's beaches. The HCP Coordinator shall perform the functions outlined below.

General duties:

- Serve as the County's specialist on sea turtles and Anastasia Island beach mice;
- Develop public education and awareness materials and programs related to protected species;
- Provide training and technical guidance to the Beach Lighting Officer and others involved in HCP implementation and enforcement;
- Supervise the Beach Lighting Officer;
- Review and approve special event applications;
- Provide technical assistance to special event permit applicants to minimize impacts to protected species;
- Coordinate the activities of various County departments, divisions, and offices, PPHs, outside contractors, and others involved in the implementation of the HCP;
- Review reports and communications and provide technical input into policy decisions related to protected species management on St. Johns County beaches to insure their consistency with the HCP/ITP;
- Document any observed or reported impacts to protected species;
- Organize periodic meetings with the local science community, as deemed necessary;
- Assess effectiveness of HCP programs in minimizing and/or mitigating impacts to protected species;
- Develop and oversee beach horseback riding registration and education program and training;
- Seek grants for assistance with implementation of HCP programs and policies, such as land acquisition, study of beach uses, species population monitoring, and acquisition of off-beach parking adjacent to non-driving zones;
- Provide recommendations for HCP program improvements, as appropriate;
- Prepare data reports and HCP program evaluations for submission to USFWS in accordance with the terms and conditions of the ITP;
- Develop Public Service Announcements (including "special reports") to be aired on the St. Johns County government TV discussing HCP regulations and protected species;
- Conduct periodic public workshops to include general public but also focus on the beach community and hotels/motels to discuss HCP issues; and
- Develop and conduct an HCP training program for drivers wishing to obtain a North Beach Vehicular Access Permit.

Duties associated with sea turtle conservation:

- Standardize Countywide sea turtle monitoring protocol and develop uniform form(s) to gather data on sea turtle nesting activity;
- Coordinate activities of sea turtle monitoring personnel;
- Assess equipment and logistical support needs of sea turtle monitoring personnel;
- Distribute and track equipment and supplies used for monitoring activities;
- Serve as liaison between the County and sea turtle monitoring personnel;
- Revise sea turtle monitoring procedures periodically, as necessary, to improve program effectiveness;
- Receive and review data from sea turtle monitoring personnel;
- Provide data entry and management services;
- Provide data sheets and nest inventories for use by monitoring personnel and County staff involved in beach management activities;
- Analyze annual sea turtle nesting and reproductive success data;
- Coordinate the collection of GPS locations for all sea turtle nests;
- Assist the County in development of protocol to manage unusual daytime sea turtle nesting and hatching events and collection of washback hatchlings in beach driving zones;
- Review existing and/or develop new policies regarding rut removal and provide technical guidance and support (e.g., nest inventories);
- Coordinate with the City of St. Augustine Beach to unify their beach lighting regulations with those of the County;
- Coordinate with the County's and City of St. Augustine Beach's Building Departments to insure that the Beach Lighting Officer is able to review all lighting plans for new development; and
- Coordinate with FWC on lighting and other sea turtle conservation issues.

Duties associated with Anastasia Island beach mice conservation:

- Work with appropriate scientists to design a monitoring program for AIBM populations outside the State and Federal parks and seek Federal or other funding sources for monitoring activities;
- Provide data entry and management services;
- Compile and analyze periodic AIBM trapping data, as necessary; and
- Use AIBM population survey data to develop strategic management programs for the existing viable populations outside of State and Federal parks.

If the position of HCP Coordinator is vacated at any time during the 20-year period that the ITP is in effect, St. Johns County will use all of its available resources to fulfill the duties of the HCP Coordinator until the position is filled. St. Johns County will seek to fill the position immediately upon any vacancy.

9.4. BEACH LIGHTING OFFICER

Under this HCP, implementation and enforcement of St. Johns County's Beach Lighting Code (Ordinance 99-33) on the unincorporated beaches of St. Johns County will be managed and coordinated by the Beach Lighting Officer. For Countywide consistency and thoroughness, the Beach Lighting Officer will also assume the responsibilities for implementation and enforcement of the City of St. Augustine Beach's Beach Lighting Code (Ordinance 96-13) within municipal boundaries upon unification of City and County regulations. The Beach Lighting Officer will be supervised by the HCP Coordinator. This position may be filled by County staff or through contractual agreement with outside individuals or professional firms. Should the title of Beach Lighting Officer be changed during the life of the ITP, the new position shall assume the responsibilities of the Beach Lighting Officer.

The person or firm assigned to the role of the Beach Lighting Officer shall at a minimum have the following qualifications:

- A high school diploma or its equivalent, and at least three (3) years of practical work experience in a skilled trade, in public contact work, or in law or code enforcement;
- Ability and willingness to work nights;
- Ability to manage data and prepare technical reports;
- Ability to understand and apply rules, directives, and policies, laws, and regulations;
- Ability to conduct routine inspections, identify, and investigate problems;
- Ability to develop and manage large-scale plans;
- Organizational skills necessary to arrange and schedule implementation activities in a timely manner;
- Ability to communicate effectively both orally and in writing; and
- A good professional demeanor and the ability to effectively interface with diverse people to identify and resolve problems.

General duties:

- Conduct systematic inspections of beachfront properties for compliance with applicable lighting regulations;
- Investigate reported lighting problems associated with disorientation events and identify appropriate remedies;
- Evaluate County-owned and operated lights (e.g., street lights), as needed;
- Periodically update database of beachfront homeowners and businesses;
- Provide technical guidance to homeowners and business owners;
- Review lighting plans for new development to ensure compliance with the Beach Lighting Ordinance, *prior to* issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy or final building approval, as applicable;
- Compile lists of local lighting suppliers and contractors;
- Conduct community education efforts to educate coastal residents and visitors about lighting and sea turtles;
- Send out pre-season notices to coastal residents regarding lighting regulations;

- Issue written notices to property owners whose properties are not in compliance with beach lighting regulations; and
- Provide expert testimony during Code Board hearings, as necessary.

9.5. PRINCIPAL PERMIT HOLDERS

One or more PPHs will be responsible for monitoring the beaches of St. Johns County in support of this HCP. These individuals may include staff of municipal, County, State, and Federal agencies, members of non-profit organizations, unaffiliated volunteers, and/or professional contractors.

The PPH shall ensure that all personnel listed on his/her Marine Turtle Permit have sufficient training and practical experience to conduct their monitoring activities in accordance with the most current FWC guidelines and the procedures described in this HCP. The PPH shall also be responsible for ensuring that data collected pursuant to this HCP are accurate, complete, and transmitted to the County in a timely manner.

The PPHs will communicate regularly with the HCP Coordinator to discuss operational matters and will immediately alert the HCP Coordinator to known problems that could undermine the County's ability to meet its obligations under the HCP and ITP. The PPHs may periodically request the HCP Coordinator provide them with maps, data summaries, or other database products to assist in their monitoring activities. Additionally, the County will provide other logistical support, such as nest marking supplies, as necessary. To bring unity to the County's sea turtle monitoring program, the HCP Coordinator will meet with the PPHs and FWC annually, or as otherwise mutually agreed upon, to review HCP programs and discuss Countywide nesting trends and issues affecting hatchling productivity.

9.6. ST. JOHNS COUNTY DIVISION OF BEACH MANAGEMENT

The St. Johns County Division of Beach Management, under the management of the Supervisor of Beach Management will provide logistical and administrative support for implementation of the HCP. The Supervisor of Beach Management will be responsible for filling the positions of HCP Coordinator and Beach Lighting Officer and for allocating sufficient material and fiscal resources to ensure that these individuals are able to effectively fulfill their responsibilities under this HCP. The annual budget prepared by the Division of Beach Management shall include a separate line item(s) that identifies HCP program expenses. Upon approval by the Board of County Commissioners, the HCP budget shall be provided to the HCP Coordinator for transmittal to the USFWS in accordance with the terms and conditions of the ITP.

9.7. ST. JOHNS COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL SECTION WITHIN THE PLANNING DIVISION

Environmental scientists and planners within the St. Johns County Planning Division will assist the Division of Beach Management during the implementation phase of this HCP. Because many aspects of the HCP are science-based, it is important that environmental scientists from the Planning Division participate in the evolution of HCP programs. In this way, the HCP will be

constantly linked to County scientists that possess a technical expertise of the local coastal ecology. Thus, the County will be immediately equipped with the in-house expertise to respond to changing environmental or ecological conditions within the HCP Plan Area. Appropriate County staff from the Environmental Section will meet with the HCP Coordinator, as deemed necessary.

9.8. ST. JOHNS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

The St. Johns County Attorney, or his/her designee, will provide guidance and legal support to the HCP Coordinator to ensure that the County complies with the terms and conditions of the ITP, in accordance with prevailing law. Accordingly, the St. Johns County Attorney's Office will:

- Assist in crafting new and/or revising existing regulations, resolutions, interlocal agreements, and other legal instruments needed to improve protection for sea turtles, AIBM, and their habitat on the beaches of St. Johns County;
- Advise the Board of County Commissioners of its legal responsibilities and obligations under the HCP and ITP;
- Provide legal guidance to all County divisions, departments, and offices related to HCP issues; and
- Prepare and/or review contracts with outside parties involved in the implementation of the HCP, as applicable.

9.9. ST. JOHNS COUNTY ADMINISTRATION AND OFFICE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

The St. Johns County Administrator, or his/her designee, will coordinate with the Division of Beach Management to ensure that the County has dedicated sufficient fiscal and material resources to implement the terms and conditions of this HCP and ITP. The Office of Intergovernmental Relations will ensure that effective lines of communication and cooperation are maintained among County divisions, departments, and offices involved in HCP implementation and will resolve any disputes that may arise concerning responsibilities under this HCP. The County Administrator may periodically reassign responsibilities and/or personnel among County government units to ensure that HCP program management is properly integrated into the County's overall organizational structure and to ensure that available fiscal and personnel resources are most effectively utilized.

9.10. ST. JOHNS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

The St. Johns County Sheriff, or his/her designee, will meet with the HCP Coordinator as deemed necessary. As stated in the St. Johns County Beach Code (Ordinance 97-34), "Section 9.02. Delegation of Code Enforcement Authority. The authority and primary responsibility of the County of St. Johns for enforcement of this code is hereby delegated to the Sheriff of St. Johns County." The Sheriff's Department will be responsible for enforcing all policies and regulations of the HCP on County Beaches. Alternatively, the Sheriff may delegate this responsibility to another division/department, such as the Division of Beach Management. If

enforcement responsibilities are delegated, the Sheriff will work with that division/department to ensure that proper procedures are followed and will assist in certain cases when called upon.

9.11. CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE BEACH POLICE DEPARTMENT

The City of St. Augustine Beach's Chief of Police, or his/her designee, will meet with the HCP Coordinator as deemed necessary. As prescribed in section 1-9 of the St. Augustine Beach Code of Ordinances, "the chief of Police shall be the head of the law enforcement department." The Police Department is responsible for enforcing all regulations within the Code of Ordinances, including all regulations as outlined in Chapter 5. Beaches, Piers, and Waterways. Accordingly, the St. Augustine Beach Police Department shall be responsible for enforcing all policies and procedures of the HCP on beaches within the municipal boundaries of the City of St. Augustine Beach. Alternatively, St. Augustine Beach's Chief of Police may delegate this responsibility through interlocal agreement to the St. Johns County Sheriff's Department or Division of Beach Management. If enforcement responsibilities are delegated, the Chief of Police will work with the County to ensure that proper procedures are followed and will assist in certain cases when called upon.

9.12. COORDINATION WITH REGULATORY AGENCIES AND STATE AND FEDERAL PARK SERVICES

St. Johns County will work with the FDEP and FWC to identify appropriate methods for allowing agency input into HCP implementation procedures on State beaches managed and/or serviced by the County. St. Johns County will work with the National Park Service to resolve regulatory, enforcement, and legal issues regarding public driving between Fort Matanzas Ramp and the southwestern tip of Matanzas Point. St. Johns County may opt to amend the HCP at a future date in response to how the National Park Service addresses public beach driving in a forthcoming FMNM General Management Plan (e.g., through an HCP amendment process, FMNM could be incorporated into the HCP Plan Area in order to provide consistent Countywide conservation of sea turtles and AIBM). The HCP Coordinator will take the lead role in these interagency coordination efforts.

9.13. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Upon issuance of an ITP by the USFWS, St. Johns County will commence implementation of its approved HCP. A schedule of implementation activities is presented in Table 9-1.

9.14. CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES AND CONTINGENCY PLANS

In preparing an HCP, an applicant for an ITP is required to consider circumstances that could foreseeably change over the life of the ITP and thereby increase the scope and/or extent of impacts to listed species within the Plan Area.

9.14.1. Delisting and/or Listing of New Species

Should at any time during the life of the ITP, a species covered under the HCP/ITP be delisted, or a currently non-listed species inhabiting or utilizing the Plan Area be listed as a threatened or endangered species under the ESA, the County will consult with the USFWS to determine if modifications to the HCP and ITP are warranted.

9.14.2. Reopening of Public Beach Driving through any State Park or Preserve

Should at any time during the life of the ITP, the FDEP decide to reopen public vehicular access through any State park or preserve, such as Guana River State Park, Guana River Marsh State Aquatic Preserve, or Anastasia State Park, the County will consult with the USFWS to determine if modifications to the HCP and ITP are warranted.

9.14.3. Local residents in Summer Haven must drive on dunes/beach to access their homes

Should at any time during the life of the ITP, it deemed that local residents in Summer Haven must drive on the dunes and/or the beach to access their homes (particularly following severe erosion events), the County will consult with the USFWS to determine if modifications to the HCP and ITP are warranted.

9.14.4. Changes to the HCP and ITP

The HCP Coordinator may from time to time request changes to the HCP and/or ITP to improve HCP performance, streamline HCP or ITP administration, and/or eliminate unnecessary restrictions on beach driving activities that are demonstrated to provide no conservation benefit. These requests must be submitted to the USFWS in writing with appropriate supporting data. No changes in standard operating procedures may occur without the expressed written consent of USFWS.

Over the 20-year life of the ITP, administrative changes to the ITP may be requested at any time. However, formal revisions to the HCP will occur only once every five years after a joint review by the County and USFWS. Consequently, there may be occasions when the letter and/or intent of the ITP and HCP are in conflict. In those cases, the ITP shall prevail. Additionally, any changes to the ITP shall be construed as to affect a corresponding change to the HCP.

9.14.5. Change of Authority

If St. Johns County delegates regulatory authority over all or a portion of County Beaches to another governmental entity, or if regulatory authority over the beaches is by any other means transferred or usurped by law or agreement, then one of the following may occur:

- The ITP may be revoked by the USFWS; or

- The ITP may remain in force while a revised HCP is prepared, provided the new management entity agrees in writing to assume the responsibilities previously held by the County, on the applicable sections of the beach.

9.14.6. Non-Compliance

The USFWS may suspend or revoke St. Johns County's ITP at its discretion, if actions, or the lack thereof, on the part of St. Johns County are deemed non-compliant with the ITP.

9.15. UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES

Unforeseen circumstances are those events, conditions, or situations that are completely unanticipated at the time of preparation of this HCP. If, during the implementation of this HCP, an unforeseen circumstance occurs that could have a significant negative impact on sea turtles, AIBM, or other protected species in the Plan Area or could affect the ability of St. Johns County to effectively manage activities under this HCP, the following procedures will be followed:

1. Within five (5) business days of the date the Unforeseen Circumstance is brought to the County's attention, the HCP Coordinator will advise USFWS by certified letter of the following:
 - The nature of the situation;
 - The geographic and temporal extent to which the beach will be affected by the situation; and
 - The potential impact of the situation on sea turtles, AIBM, and/or other protected species in the Plan Area.
2. Within three (3) days of USFWS receipt of the written notification described above, the County will discuss the Unforeseen Circumstance with USFWS personnel and other affected parties, as applicable. An appropriate response to the situation, such as modifying the HCP and/or ITP, shall be developed and implemented upon approval of the USFWS. The County and USFWS shall determine the extent to which additional information is needed to document the merit and/or significance of the Unforeseen Circumstance or assess its relative impact on protected species in the Plan Area. As mutually agreed to, a special monitoring plan may be formulated. The plan will contain the following:
 - A description of the data and/or information to be collected;
 - Procedures for collecting the data/information;
 - Data/information collection responsibilities;
 - A schedule for implementing the plan; and
 - Reporting requirements.
3. Upon obtaining all necessary information, the USFWS, St. Johns County, and other third party individuals or agencies, as applicable, shall meet to analyze and review the data and develop an action plan to successfully resolve issues associated with the Unforeseen Circumstance.

9.16. COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND REPORTING

St. Johns County will monitor the performance of the HCP in minimizing impacts to sea turtles and AIBM causally related to beach driving and related activities and it will determine if the biological goals of the HCP are being met. HCP program evaluations will be provided to the USFWS through Annual Reports, formal reviews, and periodic communications, as described below.

9.16.1. Sea Turtle Data Analysis and Reporting

At the end of each calendar year, the HCP Coordinator will be responsible for compiling and analyzing sea turtle and ancillary data collected under this HCP. This data will be summarized in a manner that allows an assessment of natural and anthropogenic impacts to sea turtles on County Beaches. Direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts to sea turtles causally related to vehicular activities on the beaches will be identified. Mitigation benefits of the County's Beach Lighting Management Plan, beach horseback riding registration program, and restrictions of beach driving through Porpoise Point will also be assessed to ensure that biological goals are being met. Known deficiencies with HCP programs will be identified and potential remedial actions proposed. The above information will be incorporated into an Annual Report that will be submitted to the USFWS by March 31 of each year.

During the first three (3) years that the ITP is in effect, the County will meet annually with the USFWS and FWC to review HCP performance and discuss the County's monitoring program. As needed, adjustments to monitoring protocol and nest protection measures will be implemented.

9.16.2. HCP Performance Information

Data will be collected and maintained by the County to demonstrate that minimization and mitigation measures required under this HCP are being implemented. This information may include, but is not limited to, the following:

- Records of beach openings and closings;
- Vehicle counts;
- Dates, group, content, and number of attendees at HCP training classes;
- Logs of public education and awareness programs provided by the County;
- Records of rut removal operations;
- Records of beach horseback riding;
- Documentation of HCP-related meetings, including minutes; and
- Records of monies and resources expended on HCP programs.

The above information will be provided to the USFWS upon request and summarized in tabular form each year for inclusion in the Annual Report. The Annual Report will include an overall assessment of HCP performance, identification of program deficiencies, and recommendations for improvements, as applicable. During the first three (3) years of the ITP, formal annual meetings will be conducted between the USFWS and County; more often if necessary to address

critical issues. However, the USFWS and County will communicate regularly to review and assess conformance with the HCP and ITP, and the USFWS will make unannounced inspections of County Beaches to ensure compliance with the ITP. Every five years, the USFWS and County will meet formally to assess HCP performance and discuss any needed adjustments to policies, procedures, and/or mitigation in response to changes in organizational structure, beach conditions, vehicular traffic patterns, sea turtle nesting trends, and/or the level of vehicle-related incidental take occurring on County Beaches.

9.16.3. Enforcement

The HCP Coordinator will be responsible for coordinating the activities of appropriate departments and divisions within St. Johns County government who are responsible for the enforcement of Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to protected species on County Beaches. The HCP Coordinator will ensure that County enforcement staff is properly educated and organized to effectively carry out their responsibilities under the HCP and that there are effective inter- and intra-departmental lines of communications. The HCP Coordinator will periodically review County regulations, codes, and directives to determine if they require change or stricter enforcement to achieve HCP objectives. As provided in the St. Johns County Comprehensive Plan, “St. Johns County shall also work with FWC on the enforcement and protection of sea turtles during their nesting times” (section E.2.8.6.).

Data will be collected and maintained to document the County’s efforts to enforce provisions of the HCP and ITP. This data will include, but is not limited to the following:

- Number of reported infractions of CZ driving/parking rules and regulations;
- Number of warnings, tickets, and/or citations for observed CZ infractions;
- Number of warnings, tickets, and/or citations for vehicles accessing the beach outside of established access hours;
- Number of warnings, tickets, and/or citations for vehicles accessing unauthorized beach zones;
- Number of vehicles towed from the beach at night; and
- Number of citations or arrests for disturbance or harassment of sea turtles or AIBM.

The above information will be maintained and provided to the USFWS upon request and summarized in the Annual Report.

Chapter 10. GLOSSARY

Armoring—The placement of man-made structures or devices in or near the coastal system for the purpose of preventing erosion of the beach or the upland dune system or to protect upland structures from the effects of coastal wave and current activity.

Artificial Light or Artificial Lighting—The light emanating from any human-made device (St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33).

Beach—The zone of unconsolidated material facing the Atlantic Ocean that extends landward from the mean low water line to the place where there is marked change in material or physiographic form, or to the line of permanent vegetation (usually the effective limit of storm waves), as is defined in Chapter 62B-33.002(4), Florida Administrative Code. At the Matanzas Inlet in St. Johns County, the Beach shall not extend landward of the centerline of the Matanzas Inlet Bridge; and at the St. Augustine Beach Inlet in St. Johns County, the Beach shall not extend landward of the extreme westward points of said inlet (St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33).

Beach Nourishment—The process of adding sand to a beach area, typically from inlets or offshore borrow areas but also from upland sources, to compensate for the effects of erosion.

Beach Profile—The shore-perpendicular shape of the beach/dune system as seen in cross section.

Beach Ranger—A code enforcement officer authorized by the Sheriff to patrol and enforce beach-related criminal and non-criminal ordinances pertaining to the Beach Code (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34). These officers are dual-certified as code enforcement officers and lifeguards.

Beach Services—Includes, but not limited to, lifesaving, maintenance of and cleaning of the beach, the public improvements thereon and approaches thereto, the cost of acquiring and operating off-beach parking, and code enforcement, which shall be construed to include crowd control, the enforcement of the Beach Code, and of observed violations of state criminal law, provided that nothing herein shall be construed as a Limitation upon the authority of a beach ranger to perform any act or exercise any part of the authority available to such ranger under state law (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Bulkhead—A rigid armoring structure or partition to prevent upland property from being lost to erosion.

Clutch—The collective number of eggs laid in a nest by a sea turtle.

Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL)—The Coastal Construction Control Line established by the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Beaches and Coastal Systems, to define that portion of the beach and dune system which is subject to severe fluctuations based on a 100-year storm surge, storm-induced waves or other predicted weather conditions (section 161.053, Florida Statutes).

Commercial Fisherman—A commercial fisherman licensed under the laws of the State of Florida engaged on a *bonafide* basis in fishing as a major portion of his/her livelihood (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

County—St. Johns County.

County Beaches—Those beaches over which St. Johns County exercises sole beach management and regulatory authority. This includes all beaches, except those managed by State and Federal parks or St. Augustine, St. Augustine Beach, and Marineland.

Crawl—The distinctive tracks left by a turtle on the beach at night.

Disorientation—The disruption of the natural sea-finding behavior of hatchling sea turtles most typically associated with the presence of artificial light in the nesting environment. Technically refers to hatchlings that are unable to orient in any particular direction and wander aimlessly, but in its broadest sense, also includes hatchlings that are well oriented, but travel in a direct path that leads them away from the ocean (i.e., misorientation).

Driving Area—The hard sand portion of the beach designated by signs or other traffic control devices wherein driving on the beach shall be permitted in a single lane of northbound traffic and a single lane of southbound traffic, together with that portion of the soft sand in front of open vehicular approaches wherein driving shall be permitted in an east or west direction for the purpose of entry to or exit from the beach. The driving area shall not include traffic-free zones established by the Beach Code or regulations adopted pursuant to the Beach Code (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Dune—A mound or ridge of loose sediments, usually sand-sized sediments, lying landward of the Beach and deposited by any natural or artificial mechanism (St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33).

Dune Crest—The highest point of a beach dune.

Dune Escarpment—A near vertical aspect in the beach profile at or near the dune caused by erosion.

Dune Toe—The point of interface between the dune and beach marked by a perceptible change in slope, material or physiographic form.

Emerging Success— The percentage of eggs in a clutch of turtle eggs that produce hatchlings that successfully emerge from the nest.

Erosion—The wearing away of land or the removal of consolidated or unconsolidated material from the beach and dune system by wind, water or wave action.

False Crawl— A non-nesting emergence of a female turtle onto the beach.

Global Positioning System—An electronic device used to measure one’s location on the earth’s surface.

HCP Coordinator—Individual appointed by St. Johns County to implement the HCP and administer the ITP.

Habitat Conservation Zone—Mean 15 feet seaward from the seaward toe of the most seaward dune, dune scarp, sea wall, or line of permanent vegetation, or one-half the distance to the mean high-water level, whichever is the lesser (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Harass—An intentional or negligent act or omission which creates the likelihood of injury to [listed] wildlife by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, and sheltering (Title 50 Code of Federal Regulations Part 17.3).

Harm—An act which actually kills or injures [listed] fish or wildlife. Such an act may include significant habitat modification or degradation which actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing of essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, feeding, or sheltering (Title 50 Code of Federal Regulations Part 222.102).

Hatching Season—The time of year when the hatchling sea turtle nests are emerging from their nests.

Hatching Success—The percentage of eggs in a clutch of turtle eggs that produce hatchlings that successfully extricate themselves from their egg shells.

Hatchling—Any species of marine turtle, within or outside of a nest, that has recently hatched from an egg (St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33).

Hatchling Productivity—An estimate of the total number of hatchlings entering the ocean from nests within the Plan Area based upon nest fate and reproductive success of a representative sample of nests.

In situ—A nest in its natural condition and original location on the beach.

Incidental Take—Take of any federally-listed species of wildlife that is incidental to, but not the purpose of, otherwise lawful activities (section 10(a)(1)(B) of the US Endangered Species Act).

Incidental Take Permit—A permit issued by the Federal government pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, that authorizes the “take” of listed species resulting from specified activities conducted in accordance with the terms and conditions of the permit.

Incubation Period—The inclusive time between the date a clutch of eggs is laid and the date the first hatchling emerges from the nest.

Line of Permanent Vegetation—The extreme seaward boundary of natural vegetation which extends continuously inland (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Listed Species—Flora or fauna that are afforded protection under the promulgations of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended.

Marine Turtle Permit—A permit issued by the FWC’s Bureau of Protected Species Management for the performance of activities in support of the State’s sea turtle protection program.

Mean High Water—The average height of the high waters over a nineteen-year period. For shorter periods of observation, “mean high water” means the average height of the high waters after corrections are applied to eliminate known variations and to reduce the result to the equivalent of a mean nineteen-year value.

Mean High Water Line—The intersection of the tidal plane of the high water with the shore.

Misorientation—The alteration of natural sea turtle behavior by traveling along a consistent course usually towards an artificial light source.

Mitigation—Actions required by an incidental take permit to compensate for unavoidable environmental impacts resulting from permitted activities.

Motorized Vehicle—Any vehicle which is self-propelled, but not including bicycles (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Native Vegetation—Non-introduced vegetation naturally adapted to prevailing environmental conditions.

National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD)—As corrected in 1929, is a vertical control used as a reference for establishing varying elevations within the floodplain.

Nest—An area where marine turtle eggs have been naturally deposited or subsequently relocated (St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33).

Nest fate—The final disposition of a sea turtle nest. Typical nest fate categories include, hatched, infertile, destroyed by tidal inundation or root invasion, depredated, washed out, vandalized, and unknown.

Nesting Season—The inclusive period during which adult turtles are coming ashore to nest and hatchling sea turtles are emerging from their nests to enter the sea. In St. Johns County, the period from May 1 through October 31 of each year.

Nesting Success—The percentage of all crawls made by female turtles on the beach that result in nests.

North Beaches—A colloquial name referring to the beaches of St. Johns County north of St. Augustine Inlet.

Off-season—Period of time when many beach services are not offered, including lifeguards, toll booths, additional portable toilets, etc. In St. Johns County, this period stretches from the day after Labor Day until February 28th of each year.

Parking Area—A. That area of the beach west of the driving area near the border of the hard and soft sand but not to encroach into the conservation zone, delineated by signs separating it from the driving area which vehicles shall be allowed to park in one row perpendicular to the seawall or line of permanent vegetation and the edge of the water; B. When authorized by the Beach Code, that area west of the driving area on the hard sand delineated by signs separating it from the driving area in which vehicles shall be allowed to park in one row perpendicular to the seawall or line of permanent vegetation and the edge of the water (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Permanent Structure—A coastal armoring structure permitted by FDEP that is designed to remain in place for a protracted period of time, such as a seawall or rock revetment.

Plan Area—The area for which incidental take is requested under the Incidental Take Permit. The Plan Area encompasses all of the beaches within the entire 42.0-mile coastline in St. Johns County, including those beaches in GRSP, ASP, FMNM, and the municipalities of St. Augustine, St. Augustine Beach, and Marineland.

Primary Dune—The first natural or man-made mound or bluff of sand which is located landward of the Beach and which has substantial vegetation, height, continuity, and configuration (St. Johns County Ordinance 99-33).

Principal Permit Holder—Qualified individuals who are issued a Marine Turtle Permit by the FWC to perform specific activities in support of the State of Florida's sea turtle protection programs.

Public Safety Vehicles—All motorized vehicles involved in routine or emergency public safety operations, such as those used by lifeguards, and County and municipal law enforcement, and fire/rescue personnel.

Renesting interval—The period of time between successive egg laying episodes by a sea turtle within a given nesting season.

Reproductive Cost—The decrease in total annual egg production suffered by an individual as a result of increasing energy expenditures during nesting.

Reproductive Success—The relative success of a female turtle's egg laying efforts, typically expressed as either hatching success or emerging success.

Revetment—A sloped armoring structure composed of materials such as quarry stone, concrete, or geotextile fabric built to protect an escarpment, embankment, or upland structure against erosion by wave action or currents.

Sand Bypassing—The process of mechanically moving impounded sand from the updrift side of a structure (such as a jetty) to the downdrift side.

Scour—Erosion caused by the interaction of waves and currents with man-made structures or natural features.

Seawall—A vertical armoring structure separating land from water areas, primarily designed to prevent upland erosion and other damage as a result of wave action.

Semidiurnal Tide—Tides that occur in a cycle of two high tides and two low tides each tidal (lunar) day.

Shoreline Protection—The placement of sand, sandbags, or physical structures along eroding shorelines to prevent damage to eligible and vulnerable structures.

South Beaches—A colloquial name referring to the beaches of St. Johns County south of St. Augustine Inlet.

Special Event(s)—A. Any use, activity, or event conducted or promoted that would, if not permitted under the Beach Code, constitute a violation of any provision of the Beach Code or any rule or regulation issued under the authority of the Beach Code; B. Any activity or event that is organized and promoted to attract, and is likely to attract, a crowd of more than 50 persons to a certain place on the beach at a certain time under circumstances that are likely to interfere with the public's right of access and use of the beach or create a need for additional services or other resources; or C. Any activity or event on the beach that is promoted or sponsored by commercial interests and will advertise or promote private commercial interests (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Species of Special Concern (SSC)—A species which is protected by the FWC and the Florida Wildlife Code because the population is declining at a rate that will soon warrant designation as a threatened species.

Stranding—A dead, ill, or injured sea turtle that washes up onto the beach.

Summer Season—Period of time when many beach services are offered, including lifeguards, toll booths, additional portable toilets, etc. In St. Johns County, this period stretches from March 1st through Labor Day of each year.

Take—To harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct with regard to federally listed endangered or threatened wildlife species (section 3(18) of the US Endangered Species Act).

Toe—Means the lowest part of an embankment (St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Vehicle—Every device in, upon, or by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a highway (see Motorized Vehicle; St. Johns County Ordinance 97-34).

Washback hatchling—A hatchling sea turtle that left its nesting beach and may have been at sea for several weeks or months before being brought back onto shore by heavy winds and surf.

Chapter 11. REFERENCES

- Ackerman, R.A. 1980. Physiological and ecological aspects of gas exchange by sea turtle eggs. *Amer. Zool.* 20:575-583.
- Ackerman, R.A. 1997. The nest environment and the embryonic development of sea turtles. Pages 83-106 *in* Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick (editors), *The Biology of Sea Turtles*. CRC Press, Boca Rotan.
- Ackerman, R.A., R.C. Seagrave, R. Dmi'el, and A. Ar. 1985. Water and heat exchange between parchment-shelled reptile eggs and their surroundings. *Copeia* 3:703-711.
- Ackerman, R.A., T. Rimkus and R. Horton. 1991. The hydric structure and climate of natural and renourished sea turtle nesting beaches along the Atlantic coast of Florida. Unpublished report to Florida Department of Natural Resources.
- Allard, M.W., M.M. Miyamoto, K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolten, and B.W. Bowen. 1994. Support for natal homing in green turtles from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Copeia* 1:34-41.
- Arianoutsou, M. 1988. Assessing the impacts of human activities on nesting of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta* L.) on Zákynthos Island, Western Greece. *Environmental Conservation* 15(4):327-334.
- ATM (Applied Technology Management). 2001. St Johns County Vehicle and Pedestrian Traffic Analysis and Beach Lighting Analysis.
- Bangs, O. 1898. The land mammals of peninsula Florida and coastal regions of Georgia. *Boston Soc. Nat. Hist. Proc.* 28:157-235.
- Bard, A.M. 2001. Supplemental reintroduction and genetic augmentation of Anastasia Island beach mice at Guana River State Park, St. Johns County, Florida. Preliminary project summary to Florida Department of Environmental Protection, March 23, 2001.
- Bard, A.M. *Unpublished data*. 2001. [Letter to Angela T. Williams, Permit Coordinator, Bureau of Wildlife Diversity Conservation, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, 620 South Meridian Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-1600, March 23, 2001]. Located at: Alice M. Bard, Environmental Specialist II, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Recreation and Parks, Bureau of Parks, District 3, 1800 Wekiwa Circle, Apopka, Florida 32712.
- BEBR (Bureau of Economic Business Research). 1999. Florida Statistical Abstracts 1999. Warrington College of Business Administration. University of Florida. 820 p.
- Begon, M., J.L. Harper, and C.R. Townsend. 1996. *Ecology: Individuals, Populations, and Communities*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell Science, Inc.

- Blair, W.F. 1951. Population structure, social behavior, and environmental relations in a natural population of the beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus leucocephalus*). Contrib. Lab. Vert. Bio. Univ. of Michigan. 48:1-46.
- Bolten, A.B. and G.H. Balazs. 1995. Biology of the early pelagic stage – the lost year. Pages 579-581 in Bjorndal, K.A. (ed.). Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles. Smithsonian Institution Press. Washington, D.C.
- Bolten, A.B., H.R. Martins, K.A. Bjorndal, and J. Gordon. 1993. Size distribution of pelagic-stage loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the waters around the Azores and Madeira. Arquipélago, Life and Marine Sciences 11A:49–54.
- Bouchard, S., K. Moran, M. Tiwari, D. Wood, A. Bolten, P. Eliazar, and K. Bjorndal. 1998. Effects of exposed pilings on sea turtle nesting activity at Melbourne Beach, Florida. Journal of Coastal Research 14(4):1343-1347.
- Bowen, W.W. 1968. Variation and evolution of Gulf coast populations of beach mice, *Peromyscus polionotus*. Bull. Florida State Mus.12:1-91.
- Bowen, BW. 1994. Letter dated November 17, 1994 to Sandy Macpherson, Sea Turtle Recovery Coordinator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville, Florida. University of Florida. Gainesville, Florida.
- Bowen, BW. 1995a. Letter dated October 26, 1995 to Sandy Macpherson, Sea Turtle Recovery Coordinator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville, Florida. University of Florida. Gainesville, Florida.
- Bowen, B.W. 1995b. Tracking marine turtles with genetic markers, voyages of the ancient mariners. BioScience, Vol. 45, No.8, pp. 528-534.
- Bowen, B., J.C. Avise, J.I. Richardson, A.B. Meylan, D. Margaritoulis, and S.R. Hopkins-Murphy. 1993. Population structure of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. Conservation Biology 7(4):834–844.
- Broadwell, A.L. 1991. Effects of beach nourishment on the survival of loggerhead sea turtles. Master of Science thesis. Florida Atlantic University. Boca Raton, Florida. 41 pp.
- Brubeck, M.V., B.C. Thompson, and R.D. Slack. 1981. The effects of trapping, banding, and patagial tagging on the parental behavior of least terns in Texas. Colonial Waterbirds. 4:54-60.
- Burger, J. 1984. Colony stability in least terns. Condor 86: 61-67.
- Bustard, H.R. and P. Greenham. 1968. Physical and chemical factors affecting hatching success in the green sea turtle *Chelonia mydas* (L.). Ecology 49:269–276.

- Caldwell, L.D. and J.B. Gentry. 1965. Interaction of *Peromyscus* and *Mus* in a one-acre field enclosure. *Ecology* 46:198-193.
- Carmon, J.L., R.G. Williams, *et al.* 1967. Effects of temperature and radiation on litter size and growth in *Peromyscus polionotus*. *Growth* 31:79-89.
- Carr, A. 1986. Rips, FADs, and little loggerheads. *Bioscience* 36:92-100.
- Carr, A.F. 1967. *So Excellent a Fish*. Natural History Press. New York, New York. 248 pp.
- Carr, A.F. 1987. New perspectives on the pelagic stage of sea turtle development. *Conservation Biology* 1(2):103-121.
- Carr, A.F. and L. Ogren. 1960. The ecology and migrations of sea turtles IV. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 121(1):4-48.
- Carthy, R.R. 1994. Loggerhead nest morphology: effects of female body size, clutch size, and nesting medium on nest chamber size. Pages 25-27 *in* Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, March 1-5, 1994, at Hilton Head, South Carolina. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.
- Christens, E. 1990. Nest emergence lag in loggerhead sea turtles. *Journal of Herpetology* 24(4):400-402.
- CITES (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora). 1973. Special Supplement to IUCN Bulletin 4(3).
- Coastal and Oceanographic Engineering Laboratory. 1973. Kennedy Space Center Beach Erosion. University of Florida. Gainesville, Florida. 58 pp.
- Coile, N.C. 1993. Florida's Endangered and Threatened Plants. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry. Gainesville, Florida. Contribution No. 29.
- Coston-Clements, L. and D.E. Hoss. 1983. Synopsis of data on the impact of habitat alteration on sea turtles around the southeastern United States. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-117. Miami, Florida. 57 pp.
- Cox, J.H., H.F. Percival, and S.V. Colwell. 1994. Impact of vehicular traffic on beach habitat and wildlife at Cape San Blas, Florida. Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit Technical Report Number 50. 44 pp.
- Crain, D.A., A.B. Bolten, and K.A. Bjorndal. 1995. Effects of beach nourishment on sea turtles: Review and research initiatives. *Restoration Ecology* 3(2): 95-104.

- Davenport, L.B., Jr. 1964. Structure of two *Peromyscus polionotus* populations in old-field ecosystems of the Savannah River Plan. *J. Mammal.* 45:95-113.
- Davis, P.W., P.S. Mikkelsen, J. Homey, and P.J. Dowd. 1994. Sea turtle nesting activity at Jupiter/Carlin Parks in Northern Palm Beach County, Florida. Pages 217-221 in B.A. and B. E. Witherington (compilers). *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*. NOAA Tech Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-341.
- Dean, R.G. and J. Grant. 1989. Development of methodology for thirty-year shoreline projections in the vicinity of beach nourishment projects. Division of Beaches and Shores. Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Deevey, E.S. 1947. Life tables for natural populations of animals. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 22:283-314.
- Delpech, Y.J. and J.J. Foote. 1998. Effects of three soil cement step-faced revetments on sea turtle nesting habit and hatch success on Casey Key, Florida. Pages 160-163 in *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Sea Turtle Symposium*. Compilers: S.P. Epperly and J. Braun. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-415.
- Division of Beach Management. *Unpublished data*. 2002. [St. Johns County Division of Beach Management North Beach Parking Permit Log]. Located at: Dave Williams, Supervisor of Beach Management, Division of Beach Management, Recreation and Parks Division, St. Johns County, 901 Pope Road, St. Augustine Beach, FL 32084.
- Dodd, C.K., Jr. 1982. Nesting of the green turtle, *Chelonia mydas* (L.), in Florida: historic review and present trends. *Brimleyana* 7:39-54.
- Dodd, C.K., Jr. 1988. Synopsis of the biological data on the loggerhead sea turtle *Caretta caretta* (Linnaeus 1758). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 88(14). Gainesville, Florida. 110 pp.
- DOR (State of Florida Department of Revenue). 2001. Local Government Tax Receipts. <<http://fcn.state.fl.us/dor/taxes/distributions.html>>.
- DOR (State of Florida Department of Revenue). 2002. State of Florida Department of Revenue Tax Statistics. <<http://sun6.dms.state.fl.us/dor/taxes/>>.
- Dutton, P., S. Davis, and D. Owens. 1994. Genetic population survey of leatherbacks based on mtDNA. Page 36 in *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*, March 1–5, 1994, Hilton Head, South Carolina. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.

- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 1997. Sea Turtle Monitoring & Habitat Conservation Plan Assessment, Volusia County, Florida – 1996 Annual Report. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach Florida. Report prepared for Volusia County, Florida. 79 pp + Appendices.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 1998a. Sea Turtle Monitoring Program & Habitat Conservation Plan Assessment, Volusia County, Florida – 1997 Annual Report. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach Florida. Report prepared for Volusia County, Florida. 103 pp + Appendices.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 1998b. Sea Turtle Monitoring Program & Habitat Conservation Plan Assessment, Volusia County, Florida – 1997 Sea Turtle Monitoring Results. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach, Florida. Report prepared for Volusia County, Florida. 42 pp.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 1999. Sea Turtle Monitoring & Habitat Conservation Plan Assessment, Volusia County, Florida – 1998 Annual Report. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach Florida. Report prepared for Volusia County, Florida. 121 pp + Appendices.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 2000a. Martin County Beach Nourishment Project: Results of 2000 Sea Turtle Monitoring, Hutchinson Island, Florida. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach, Florida. Report prepared for Martin County, Florida. 31pp.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 2000b. Sea Turtle Monitoring & Habitat Conservation Plan Assessment, Volusia County, Florida – 1999 Annual Report. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach Florida. Report prepared for Volusia County, Florida. 121 pp + Appendices.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 2001a. Sea Turtle Monitoring & Habitat Conservation Plan Assessment, Volusia County, Florida – 2000 Annual Report. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach Florida. Report prepared for Volusia County, Florida. 123 pp + Appendices.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 2001b. Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge, Results of 2000 Sea Turtle Monitoring. Ecological Associates, Inc., Jensen Beach, Florida. Report prepared for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, ARM Loxahatchee NWR.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). 2001c. Field Study of the Natural Communities and Protected Species along the Beaches of St. Johns County (Participants: J.B. Miller, Greg Braun, Heather McCarthy, Alexandra Carvalho, and Chris Ellis), November 5-9, 2001.
- EAI (Ecological Associates, Inc.). *Unpublished data*. 2001. [Leatherback Nest Productivity Data, Hutchinson Island, Florida, 1998-2001 (for calculation of emerging success, N=16; for calculation of clutch size, N=123)]. Located at: Ecological Associates, Inc., P.O. Box 405, Jensen Beach, Florida 34958.

- EDC (Economic Development Council). 2002. Economic Development Council of St. Augustine and St. Johns County Chamber of Commerce.
<<http://www.staugustinechamber.com/edc/index.html>>
- Ehrhart, L.M. 1978. Pallid beach mouse. Pages 8-10 in J.N. Layne, ed. Rare and Endangered Biota of Florida. Vol. 1: Mammals. Univ. Florida Presses, Gainesville. 52 pp.
- Ehrhart, L.M. 1979. Reproductive characteristics and management potential of the sea turtle rookery at Canaveral National Seashore, Florida. Pages 397-399 in Proceedings of the First Conference on Scientific Research in the National Parks. Volume 1. National Park Service. Transactions Proceedings Series No. 5.
- Ehrhart, L.M. 1982. A review of sea turtle reproduction. Pages 29–38 in Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles. Proceedings of the World Conference on Sea Turtle Conservation, November 26–30, 1979. Smithsonian Institution Press. Washington, D.C.
- Ehrhart, L.M. 1989. Status Report of the Loggerhead Turtle. Pages 122-139 in Proceedings of the Second Western Atlantic Turtle Symposium, October 12-16, 1987.
- Ehrhart, L.M. 1995. The relationship between marine turtle nesting and reproductive success and the beach nourishment project at Sebastian Inlet, Florida, in 1994. Unpublished report to Florida Institute of Technology. 55 pp.
- Ehrhart, L.M. and B.E. Witherington. 1987. Human and natural causes of marine turtle nest and hatchling mortality and their relationship to hatchling production on an important Florida nesting beach. Final Report, Project No. GFC-84-018. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Nongame Wildlife Program, Technical Report No. 1. Tallahassee, Florida.
- Encalada, S.E., K.A. Bjorndal, A.B. Bolten, J.C. Zurita, B. Schroeder, E. Possardt, C.J. Sears, and B.W. Bowen. 1998. Population structure of loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) nesting colonies in the Atlantic and Mediterranean as inferred from mitochondrial DNA control region sequences. *Marine Biology* 130: 567-575.
- Ernest, R. G. and R. E. Martin. 1999. Martin County Beach Nourishment Project; Sea turtle monitoring and studies; 1997 Annual report and final assessment. Ecological Associates, Jensen Beach, Florida.
- Ernest, R.G. and R.E. Martin. 1993. Sea turtle protection program performed in support of velocity cap repairs, Florida Power & Light Company St. Lucie Plant. Applied Biology, Inc. Jensen Beach, Florida.
- FCREPA (Florida Committee on Rare and Endangered Plants and Animals). 1992a. Rare and Endangered Biota of Florida. Volume I, Mammals. University Press of Florida. Gainesville, Florida.

- FCREPA (Florida Committee on Rare and Endangered Plants and Animals). 1992b. Rare and Endangered Biota of Florida. Volume III, Amphibians and Reptiles. University Press of Florida. Gainesville, Florida.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 1998a. Anastasia State Recreation Area Unit Management Plan. State of Florida, Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Recreation and Parks, 46 pp.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 1998a. St. Augustine Inlet Management Study Implementation Plan Certificate of Adoption. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Tallahassee.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 1998b. St. Augustine Inlet Management Study Summary of Findings Report and Recommended Implementation Plan. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Tallahassee.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 1999. Guana River State Park Unit Management Plan. State of Florida, Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Recreation and Parks, 57 pp.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 2001. Guidelines for obtaining a permit for construction seaward of the coastal construction control line or fifty-foot setback.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 2002a. GIS Geodata Directory. FDEP Bureau of Information Systems. <<http://www.dep.state.fl.us/gis/>>. Tallahassee, Florida.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 2002b. Florida State Parks Geographic Information System (GIS) Database. Office of Park Planning. Division of Recreation and Parks. Tallahassee, Florida.
- FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 2002c. GIS Geodata Directory. Office of Beaches and Coastal Systems. <<http://www.dep.state.fl.us/beaches/data/data.htm/>>. Tallahassee, Florida.
- FDOR (Florida State Department of Revenue). 1998. Florida Property Valuations and Tax Data. Tallahassee, Florida.
- FDOR (Florida State Department of Revenue). 1999. Florida Property Valuations and Tax Data. Tallahassee, Florida.
- FDOR (Florida State Department of Revenue). 2000. Florida Property Valuations and Tax Data. Tallahassee, Florida.
- FDOR (Florida State Department of Revenue). 2001. Florida Property Valuations and Tax Data. Tallahassee, Florida.

- FGDL (Florida Geographic Data Library). 2002. Florida Geographic Data Library. GeoPlan Center, University of Florida. <<http://www.fgdl.org/>>.
- Fisk, E.J. 1978. Threatened-least tern. Pages 40-43 *in* H.W. Kale III, ed. Rare and endangered biota of Florida, Volume two: birds. Univ. Presses of Florida, Gainesville.
- Fletemeyer, J. R. 1995. Letter report submitted to Lesley Blackner, Jacksonville, Florida. Aquatic Research, Conservation and Safety, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. 4 pp.
- Florida Division of Administrative Hearings. 1993. Board of County Commissioners of St. Johns County, Florida, Petitioner, *vs.* Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, Respondent; Board of County Commissioners of St. Johns County, Florida, Petitioner, *vs.* Department of Environmental Protection, Respondent. Case No. 93-3842RU; Case Nos. 93-3875RX; 93-4533RP. November 30, 1998, Agency Final Order.
- FNAI (Florida Natural Areas Inventory). 1994. Results of database search (Element Occurrence Records) and unpublished correspondence between Greg Braun, Environmental Specialist, Applied Technology & Management, Inc., and FNAI. West Palm Beach, Florida.
- FNAI (Florida Natural Areas Inventory). 2001. Results of requested database search (Element Occurrence Records). Florida Natural Areas Inventory, 1018 Thomasville Road, Suite 200-C, Tallahassee, Florida 32303-6273.
- FNAI (Florida Natural Areas Inventory)/FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection). 1990. Guide to the Natural Communities of Florida. <<http://www.fnai.org/index.htm>>.
- Folk. 1968. Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks. Hemphill's, Austin Texas. 170 pp.
- Foltz, D.W. 1981. Genetic evidence for long-term monogamy in a small rodent, *Peromyscus polionotus*. *American Naturalist* 117:665-675.
- Frair, W., R.G. Ackman, and N. Mrosovsky. 1972. Body temperature of *Dermochelys coriacea*: Warm turtle from cold water. *Science* 177:791-793.
- Frank, P.A. 1996. Ecology and conservation of the Anastasia Island beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus phasma*). Dissertation thesis. University of Florida.
- Frank, P.A. and S.R. Humphrey. 1992. Populations, habitat requirements, and management of the endemic Anastasia Island beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus phasma*), emphasizing the potential threat of exotic house mice (*Mus musculus*). Final Report No. NG88-006 to Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. 70 pp.

- Frank, P.A. and S.R. Humphrey. 1996. Populations, habitat requirements, and management of the endemic Anastasia Island beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus phasma*), emphasizing the potential threat of exotic house mice (*Mus musculus*). Final Report No. NG88-006 to Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. 46 pp.
- Franklin, I.R. 1981. Evolutionary change in small populations. Pages 135-194 in *Conservation Biology: An Evolutionary-Ecology Perspective* (M.E. Soule and B.A. Wilcox, eds.), Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Frazer, N. B. and L. M. Ehrhart. 1985. Preliminary growth models for Green, (*Chelonia mydas*), and loggerhead, (*Caretta caretta*), turtles in the wild. *Copeia* 1:73-79.
- FRED (Florida Research & Economic Database). 1999. <<http://fred.labormarketinfo.com>>
- Fretey, J. and M. Girondot. 1989. L'activite de Ponte de Tortue Luth, *Dermochelys coriacea* (Vandelli, 1761), Pendant la Saison 1988 en Guyane Francaise. *Rev. Ecology (Terre Vie)* 44:261-274.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). 1997. Official Lists of Endangered and Potentially Endangered Fauna and Flora in Florida. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. Tallahassee, Florida.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). 2000. Atlas of Marine Resources CD ROM, Version 1.3B- Arc Shape Files, Florida Marine Research Institute, May 2000.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2001a. [Sea Turtle Nest Productivity Information Forms, St. Johns County, Florida]. Located at: Florida Marine Research Institute, Marine Turtle Program, 100 Eighth Avenue SE, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2001b. [Sea Turtle Stranding Data, Florida]. Located at: <<http://floridamarine.org>> and Florida Marine Research Institute, Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network Data Base, Marine Turtle Program, 100 Eighth Avenue SE, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2001c. [Manatee Population Monitoring and Surveys, Florida]. Located at: <<http://floridamarine.org>> and Florida Marine Research Institute, 100 Eighth Avenue SE, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2001d. [Eagle Nest Locator, St. Johns County, Florida, 1999-2000]. Located at: <<http://wld.fwc.state.fl.us/eagle/eaglenests/nestsearch.asp>> and Wildlife Technology Services, Division of Wildlife, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission.

- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2001e. [Marine Turtle Hatchling Disorientation Incident Report Forms, 1996-2001]. Located at: Tequesta Field Laboratory, P.O. Box 3478, Tequesta, Florida 33469.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2001f. [Report of Commercial Saltwater Licensing of Saltwater Products; Report generated on March 14, 2002]. Located at: Saltwater Products, Florida Marine Research Institute, 100 8th Avenue SE, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2001g. [Commercial Fishery Landings Data and Fisher License Information for St. Johns County, 2001]. Located at: Marine Fisheries Information System, Florida Marine Research Institute, 100 8th Avenue SE, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.
- FWC (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). *Unpublished data*. 2002. [Sea Turtle Nesting Data]. Located at: <<http://floridamarine.org>> and Florida Marine Research Institute, 100 Eighth Avenue SE, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.
- Gaddy, L.L. 1982. Man's impact on the vegetation, avifauna, and herpetofauna of South Carolina's barrier islands: a habitat approach to carrying capacity. South Carolina Wildl. And Marine Resour. Dept. 168 pp.
- Gentry, J.B. and M.H. Smith. 1968. Food habits and burrow associates of *Peromyscus polionotus*. Journal of Mammalogy 49:562-565.
- Girondot, M. and J. Fretey. 1996. Leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea*, nesting in French Guiana, 1978-1995. Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 2(2): 204-208.
- Gochfeld, M. 1983. Colony site selection by least terns: physical attributes of sites. Colonial Waterbirds 6:205-213.
- Goff, G.P. and J. Lien. 1988. Atlantic leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea*, in cold water off Newfoundland and Labrador. The Canadian Field-Naturalist, Vol. 102, pp. 1-5.
- Goff, G.P., J. Lien, G.B. Stenson and J. Fretey. 1994. The migration of a tagged leatherback turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea*, from French Guiana, South America, to Newfoundland, Canada, in 128 Days. Canadian Field-Naturalist 108 (1): 72-73.
- GRSP (Guana River State Park). 1999. Guana River State Park Unit Management Plan
- Haig, S.M. 1992. Piping Plover. No. 2 in A. Pools, P. Shettenheim, and F. Gill, eds. The Birds of North America. Philadelphia: The Academy of Natural Sciences; Washington, D.C. The American Ornithologists' Union.
- Hailman, J.P. and A.M. Elowson. 1992. Ethogram of the nesting female loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*). Herpetologica 48(1):1-30.

- Hendrickson, J.R. 1958. The green sea turtle *Chelonia mydas* (Linn.) in Malaya and Farawak. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London. London, England. 130:455–535.
- Hendrickson, J.R. 1980. The ecological strategies of sea turtles. Amer. Zool. (20):597-608.
- Henwood, T.A. 1987. Movements and seasonal changes in loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta* aggregations in the vicinity of Cape Canaveral, Florida (1978-84). Biological Conservation 40: 191-202.
- Hilton-Taylor, C. (compiler). 2001. 2000 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. Xviii + 61 pp.
- Hine, A.C. 1989. Evaluation of the Volusia County coastline: dominant processes, shoreline change, stabilization efforts, and recommendations for beach management. *Unpublished* report. University of Florida, Department of Marine Science. St. Petersburg, Florida.
- Hirth, H.F. 1980. Some aspects of the nesting behavior and reproductive biology of sea turtles. American Zoology 20:507–523.
- Holler, N.R., D.W. Mason, R.M. Dawson, T. Simons, and M.C. Wooten. 1989. Re-establishment of the Perdido Key beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus trissyllepsis*) on Gulf Islands National Seashore. Conservation Biology 3:397-404.
- Hosier, P.E., M. Kochhar, and V. Thayer. 1981. Off-road vehicle and pedestrian track effects on the sea-approach of hatchling loggerhead turtles. Environmental Conservation 8:158–161.
- Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute. *Unpublished data*. 2002. [Marine Mammal Stranding Data, St. Johns County, Florida, 1992-2001]. Located at: Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute, 6295 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, Florida 32821-8043.
- Hughes, A.L. and E.A. Caine. 1994. The effect of beach features on hatchling loggerhead sea turtles. Page 237 in Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, March 1–5, 1994, Hilton Head, South Carolina. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.
- Humphrey, S.R. 1992. Pallid beach mouse. Pp. 19-23 in S.R. Humphrey, ed., Rare and Endangered Biota of Florida. Vol. I.:Mammals 68:297-304.
- Humphrey, S.R. and D.B. Barbour. 1981. Status and habitat of three subspecies of *Peromyscus polionotus* in Florida. Journal of Mammalogy 62:840-844.
- Humphrey, S.R., W.H. Kern, and M.E. Ludlow. 1987. Status report on seven Florida mammals. Coop. Fish and Wildlife Res. Unit Tech. 1 Rep. No. 25. Gainesville, Florida. 54 pp.

- Ivey, R.D. 1949. Life history notes on three mice from the Florida east coast. *Journal of Mammalogy* 30:162-164.
- Ivey, R.D. 1959. The mammals of Palm Valley, Florida. *Journal of Mammalogy* 40:585-591.
- Johnson, A.F. and M.G. Barbour. 1990. Dunes and maritime forests. Pages 429-480 in R.L. Myers and J.J. Ewel, eds. *Ecosystems of Florida*. Univ. Cent. Florida Press, Orlando.
- Johnson, C.M., and G.A. Baldassarre. 1988. Aspects of wintering ecology of piping plovers in coastal Alabama. *Wilson Bulletin* 100:214-233.
- Johnson, S.A. 1994. Reproductive ecology of the Florida green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*). Master of Science thesis. University of Central Florida. Orlando, Florida. 108 pp.
- Johnson, S.A., A.L. Bass, B. Liebert, M. Marshall, and D. Fulk. 1999. Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempi*) nesting in Florida. *Florida Scientist*. 62 (3/4): 194-204.
- Johnson, S.A., K.A. Bjorndal, and A.B. Bolten. 1996. Effects of organized turtle watches on loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) nesting behavior and hatchling production in Florida. *Conservation Biology*. April 10(2):570-577.
- Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 1987. Volusia County beach study, final report. Kimley-Horn and Associates. Orlando, Florida.
- Klinger, R. E. and J.A. Musick. 1995. Age and growth of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) from Chesapeake Bay. *Copeia* 1: 204-208.
- Lazell, Jr., J. D. 1980. New England waters: critical habitat for marine turtles. *Copeia* 2:290-295.
- LeBuff, Jr. C.R. 1990. The Loggerhead Turtle in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico. *Caretta Research, Inc.* Sanibel, Florida. 216 pp.
- Lohmann, K.J. and C.M.F. Lohmann. 1994. Acquisition of magnetic directional preference in hatchling loggerhead sea turtles. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 190:1-8.
- Lohmann, K.J., B.E. Witherington, C.M.F. Lohmann, and M. Salmon. 1997. Orientation, navigation, and natal beach homing in sea turtles. Pages 107-135 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick (editors), *The Biology of Sea Turtles*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- LPDC (Louisiana Population Data Center). 1998. MARFIN Socio-demographic Database. Louisiana State University and Agricultural Center. Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Lutcavage, M.E., P. Plotkin, B. Witherington, and P.L.Lutz. 1997. Human impacts on sea turtle survival. Pages 387-409 in Lutz, P.L. and J.A. Musick (editors), *The Biology of Sea Turtles*. CRC Press. Boca Raton.

- Lynn, W.J. 2000. Social organization and burrow-site selection of the Alabama beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus ammobates*). M.S. Thesis, Auburn University.
- Maloney, Elbert S. 1985. Dutton's Navigation and Piloting. Annapolis, Maryland: Naval Institute Press. 588 pp.
- Mann, T.M. 1977. Impact of developed coastline on nesting and hatchling sea turtles in southeastern Florida. Master of Science thesis. Florida Atlantic University. Boca Raton, Florida. 100 pp.
- Mann, T.M. 1978. Impact of developed coastline on nesting and hatchling sea turtles in southeastern Florida. Pages 53-55 in Proceedings of the Florida and Interregional Conference on Sea Turtles, July 24–25, 1976, Jensen Beach, Florida. Florida Marine Research Publication No. 33. 66 pp.
- Marquez, M. R. 1990. FAO Species Catalogue, Volume 11: Sea Turtles of the World. FAO Fisheries Synopsis, No. 125, Vol. 11. FAO, Rome. 81pp.
- Martin, R.E. 1996. Storm impacts on loggerhead turtle reproductive success. Marine Turtle Newsletter 72.
- Mattison, C., C.M. Burney, and L. Fisher. 1993. Trends in the spatial distribution of sea turtle activity on an urban beach (1981-1992). Pages 102-104 in Proceedings of the 13th Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. Jekyll Island Georgia, 23-27 February, 1993.
- McFarlane, R.W. 1963. Disorientation of loggerhead hatchlings by artificial road lighting. Copeia 1:153.
- McGehee, M.A. 1990. Effects of moisture on eggs and hatchlings of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*). Herpetologica 46(3):251–258.
- Meffe, G.K. and C.R. Carroll. 1997. Principles of Conservation Biology, 2nd ed. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates, Inc. Publishers.
- Menorcan Cultural Society. 2002. Mullet on the Beach! <www.menorcansociety.net>
- Meylan, A., B. Schroeder, and A. Mosier. 1995. Sea turtle nesting activity in the State of Florida, 1979–1992. Florida Marine Research Publications Number 52. 51 pp.
- Meylan, A.B. 1982. Sea turtle migration – evidence from tag returns. Pages 91-100 in Bjorndal, K.A. (editor). Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C. 583 pp.
- Meylan, A.B., B.W. Bowen, and J.C. Avise. 1990. A genetic test of the natal homing versus social facilitation models for green turtle migration. Science 248:724–727.

- Meylan, A.B., K.A. Bjorndal and B.J. Turner. 1983. Sea turtles nesting at Melbourne Beach, Florida, II. Post-nesting movements of *Caretta caretta*. *Biological Conservation* 26: 79-90.
- Miller, J.B. *Unpublished data*. 2001. [Florida Park Service District 3 – Colonial Waterbird Data Forms, Anastasia State Park, 5 Dec 2000, 1 March 2001, 8 June 2001, and 7 Sept 2001]. Located at: J.B. Miller, District 3 Biologist, Division of Recreation and Parks, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, 1000 Faver-Dykes Road, St. Augustine, Florida 32086.
- Miller, J.D. 1997. Reproduction in sea turtles. Pages 51-81 *in* *The Biology of the Sea Turtle*. P.L. Lutz and J.A. Musick (eds). CRC Press Boca Raton, Florida.
- Miller, J.D., C.J. Limpus, and M.H. Godfrey. In Press. Nest site selection, oviposition, eggs, development, hatching and emergence of loggerhead turtles. Paper given at the 20th Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. Orlando, Florida.
- Milton, S.L., S. Leone-Kabler, A.A. Schulman and P.L. Lutz. 1994. Effects of Hurricane Andrew on the sea turtle nesting beaches of South Florida. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 54 (3): 974-981.
- Moody, K. 1998. The effects of nest relocation on hatching success and emergence success of the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) in Florida. Pages 107-108 *in* *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*. NOAA Technical Memorandum.
- Mosier, A. 1998. The impact of coastal armoring structures on sea turtle nesting behavior at three beaches on the East Coast of Florida. Master's Thesis. University of South Florida. Tampa, Florida. 111 pp.
- Mosier, A. and B.E. Witherington. 2000. Documented effects of coastal armoring structures on sea turtle nesting behavior in Florida (USA). Poster presentation at 20th Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. Orlando, Florida.
- Moulis, R.A. 1997. Predation by the imported fire ant (*Solenopsis invicta*) on loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) nests on Wassaw National Wildlife Refuge, Georgia. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 2(3):433-436.
- Moyers, J.E. 1996. Food habits of Gulf Coast subspecies of beach mice (*Peromyscus polionotus* spp.). M.S. Thesis, Auburn University.
- Mrosovsky, N. 1994. Sex ratios of sea turtles. *The J. Exp. Zool.* 270:16-27.
- Mrosovsky, N. 1968. Nocturnal emergence of hatchling sea turtles: control by thermal inhibition of activity. *Nature* 220(5174):1338–1339.

- Mrosovsky, N. and C.L. Yntema. 1980. Temperature dependence of sexual differentiation in sea turtles: implications for conservation practices. *Biological Conservation* 18:271–280.
- Mrosovsky, N. and J. Provancha, 1989. Sex ratio of hatchling loggerhead sea turtles: data and estimates from a five year study. *Can. J. Zool.* 70:530-538.
- Mrosovsky, N. and J. Provancha, 1989. Sex ratio of hatchling loggerhead sea turtles: data and estimates from a five year study. *Can. J. Zool.* 70:530-538.
- Murphy, T.M. 1985. Telemetric monitoring of nesting loggerhead sea turtles subject to disturbance on the beach. Paper Presented at the 5th Annual Sea Turtle Research Workshop, February 13–16, 1995, Waverly, Georgia.
- Murphy, T.M. and S.R. Hopkins. 1984. Aerial and ground surveys of marine turtle nesting beaches in the Southeast Region, United States. National Marine Fisheries Service. 73 pp.
- Myers, R.L., and J.J. Ewel (eds). 1990. *Ecosystems of Florida*. University of Central Florida Press. Orlando, Florida.
- National Research Council. (NRC) 1990. *Decline of the Sea Turtles: Causes and Prevention*. National Academy Press. Washington, D.C. 259 pp.
- Nelson, D.A., K. Mauck, and J. Fletemeyer. 1987. Physical effects of beach nourishment on sea turtle nesting, Delray Beach, Florida. Technical Report EL-87-15, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1991a. Recovery plan for U.S. population of loggerhead turtle. Washington, D.C.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1991b. Recovery Plan for U.S. population of Atlantic green turtle. Washington, D.C.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1992. Recovery plan for leatherback turtles in the U.S. Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, and Gulf of Mexico. Washington, D.C.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) and USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1993. Recovery plan for hawksbill turtles in the U.S. Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, and Gulf of Mexico. St. Petersburg, Florida.
- Novak, J.A. 1997. Home range composition and habitat use of Choctawatchee beach mice. M.S. thesis, Auburn University.

- NPS (National Park Service). 1982. General management plan/development concept plan/environmental assessment for Fort Matanzas National Monument, Florida. United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service Southeast Regional Office, 52 pp.
- NPS (National Park Service). 2001. National Park Service Geographic Information Systems. <<http://www.nps.gov/gis>>.
- Parham, J.F. and G.R. Zug. 1997. Age and growth of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) of coastal Georgia: an assessment of skeletochronological age-estimates. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 61(2):287-304.
- Parkinson, R.W. and J.P. Magron. 1998. Biological Monitoring Programs: Marine Turtles – Physical Attributes Sebastian Inlet, Florida. 29pp. *In* the Sebastian Inlet Tax District Permit Compliance Report. Indiatlantic, Florida. August 1998.
- Patchett, R.D. *Unpublished data*. 1993. [Letter to: The Honorable Linda Balsavage, Chair, St. Johns County Board of County Commissioners, Post Office Drawer 349, St. Augustine, Florida 32085-0349, June 11, 1993. From: R. Dale Patchett, Deputy Assistant Executive Director, Florida Department of Natural Resources, Marjory Stoneman Douglas Building, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399]. Located at: Administrator’s Office, St. Johns County, 4020 Lewis Speedway, St. Augustine, Florida 32095.
- Pearce, A.F. 2001. Contrasting population structure of the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) using mitochondrial and nuclear DNA markers. M.S. thesis, University of Florida. 71 pp.
- Pearl, R. 1928. *The Rate of Living*. Knopf, New York.
- Peromyscus* Genetic Stock Center. “FAQs about *Peromyscus*.” 2000. University of South Carolina. <<http://stkcctr.biol.sc.edu/index.html>> (21 April 2000).
- Peters, A. and K.J.F. Verhoeven. 1994. Impact of Artificial Lighting on the Seaward Orientation of hatchling loggerhead turtles. *Journal of Herpetology*. 28 (1):112-114.
- Philibosian, R. 1976. Disorientation of hawksbill turtle hatchlings, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, by stadium lights. *Copeia* 4:824.
- Pilkey, O.H., D.C. Sharma, H.R. Wanless, L.J. Doyle, O.H. Pilkey, Sr., W.J. Neal, and B.L. Gruver. 1984. *Living with the East Florida Shore*. Durham, North Carolina: Duke University Press.
- Price Waterhouse, Inc. 1994. Strategic action plan for tourism in Volusia County. Unpublished report to Daytona Beach Chamber of Commerce. 96pp.

- Pritchard, P.C.H. 1982. Nesting of the leatherback turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea*, in Pacific Mexico, with a new estimate of the world population status. *Copeia* 4:741–747.
- Pritchard, P.C.H. and P. Trebbau. 1984. *The Turtles of Venezuela*. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles. Oxford Press. 403 pp.
- Rankin-Baransky, K.C. 1997. Origin of Loggerhead Turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the Western North Atlantic Ocean as determined by mtDNA analysis. Unpublished Thesis, Drexel University. 48 pp.
- Raymond, P.W. 1984. The effects of beach restoration on marine turtles nesting in south Brevard County, Florida. Master of Science thesis. University of Central Florida. Orlando, Florida. 121 pp.
- Richardson, J. I., and T.H. Richardson. 1982. An experimental model for the loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*). Pages 189-195 in Bjordal, K.A. (ed.) *Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles*. Smithsonian Institution Press. Washington, D.C.
- Rodgers, J.A., Jr. and H.T. Smith. 1995. Set-back distances to protect nesting bird colonies from human disturbance in Florida. *Conservation Biology* 9(1):89-99.
- Rohlf, D.J. 1991. Six biological reasons why the Endangered Species Act doesn't work—And what to do about it. *Conservation Biology* 5(3):273-282.
- Ryder, C.E. 1990. The effect of beach renourishment on sea turtle nesting and hatch success, Sebastian Inlet State Recreation Area, East-Central, Florida. Unpublished report submitted to the Sebastian Inlet Tax District to fulfill permit requirements of the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 33 pp.
- Salmon, M., J. Wyneken, E. Fritz, and M. Lucas. 1992. Seafinding by hatchling sea turtles: role of brightness, silhouette, and beach slope as orientation cues. *Behaviour* 122:56–77.
- Salmon, M., M. Garro Tolbert, D. Pender Painter, M. Goff, and R. Reiners. 1995b. Behavior of loggerhead sea turtles on an urban beach. Part 2, hatchling orientation. *Journal of Herpetology* 29(4):568–576.
- Salmon, M., R. Reiners, C. Lavin, and J. Wyneken. 1995a. Behavior of loggerhead sea turtles on an urban beach. Part 1, correlates of nest placement. *Journal of Herpetology* 29(4):560–567.
- Schroeder, B.A. 1994. Florida index nesting beach surveys: are we on the right track? Pages 132-133 in *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*, March 1–5, 1994, Hilton Head, South Carolina. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.

- Shoop, C.R. and R.D. Kenney. 1992. Seasonal distribution and abundances of loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles in waters of the Northeastern United States. Herpetological Monographs 6:43-67.
- SJCPAO (St. Johns County Property Appraiser's Office). 2000. Digital Parcel Data Base and Property Database. St. Johns County Property Appraiser's Office. St Augustine, Florida.
- Smith, M.H. 1966. The evolutionary significance of certain behavioral, physiological, and morphological adaptations of the Old-field mouse, *Peromyscus polionotus*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Florida.
- Smith, M.H. 1971. Food as a limiting factor in the population ecology of *Peromyscus polionotus* (Wagner). Annual of Zoological Fennici 8:109-112.
- Spotila, J. R., A.E. Dunham , A.J.Leslie , A.C. Steyermark , P.T. Plotkin, and F.V. Paladino. 1996. Worldwide populatiuon decline of *Dermochelys coriacea*: are leatherback turtles going extinct? Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 2(2):209-222.
- St. Johns County. 2001. St. Johns County GIS Data Library. St. Johns County GIS Program. St Augustine, Florida.
- Stancyk, S.E. 1982. Non-human predators of sea turtles and their control. Pages 139-152 in Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles. Proceedings of the World Conference on Sea Turtle Conservation, November 26–30, 1979. Smithsonian Institution Press. Washington, D.C.
- Stapor, F.W., and J.P. May. 1983. The cellular nature of littoral drift along the northeast Florida Coast. Marine Geology 51:217–237.
- Steinitz, J. 1990. Reproductive success of sea turtles on Jupiter Island, Florida. Unpublished report submitted to the Town of Jupiter Island. November 6, 1990.
- Steinitz, M.J., M. Salmon, and J. Wyneken. 1998. Beach renourishment and loggerhead reproduction: A seven year study at Jupiter Island, Florida. Journal of Coastal Research. Vol. 14. No. 3, pp. 1000-1013.
- Stoneburner, D.L. and J.I. Richardson. 1981. Observations on the role of temperature in loggerhead turtle nest site selection. Copeia 1:238–241.
- Swilling, W.R. and M.C. Wooten. 2002. In Press. Subadult dispersal in a monogamous species, the Alabama beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus ammobates*). Journal of Mammalogy 83.
- Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1997. Management Alternatives and Recommended Plan Elements. St. Augustine Inlet Management Plan-Part 3. St. Johns County, Florida, Jacksonville, Florida.

- Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1994. St. Augustine Inlet Management Plan. St Johns County, Florida. Phase I – Literature Search. Jacksonville, Florida.
- Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1994. Phase I – Literature Search. St. Augustine Inlet Management Plan. St. Johns County, Florida. Jacksonville, Florida.
- Taylor Engineering, Inc. 1991. Management Plan Matanzas Inlet Beach Disposal Area Site SJ-MB. Jacksonville, Florida.
- TDC (St. Johns County Tourist Development Council) 2002. Tourist Development Council Program Description and Frequently Asked Questions. <<http://www.co.st-johns.fl.us/BCC/TDC/index.html>>
- TEWG (Turtle Expert Working Group). 1998. An assessment of the Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*) and loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) sea turtle populations in the western North Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SEFSC-409:1-96.
- TEWG (Turtle Expert Working Group). 2000. Assessment update for the Kemp's ridley and loggerhead sea turtle populations in the western North Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SEFSC-444:1-115.
- Thompson, N.B., 1984. The Status of Loggerhead, *Caretta caretta*; Kemp's Ridley, *Lepidochelys kempii*; and green, *Chelonia mydas*, sea turtles in U.S. waters. Marine Fisheries Review. 16 pp.
- Trindell, R., D. Arnold, K. Moody, and B. Morford. 1998. Post-construction marine turtle nesting monitoring results on nourished beaches. Pages 77-92 in Tait, L.S. (compiler). Rethinking the Role of Structures in Shore Protection: Proceedings of the 11th Annual National Conference on Beach Preservation Technology. Florida Shore & Beach Preservation Association, Tallahassee.
- Tucker, A.D. 1989. Revised estimate of annual reproductive capacity for leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) based on intraseasonal clutch frequency. Pages 345-346 in Proceedings of the Second Western Atlantic Turtle Symposium, October 12-16, 1987, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-226.
- Tucker, A.D. and N.B. Frazer. 1991. Reproductive variation in leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea*, at Culebra National Wildlife Refuge, Puerto Rico. Herpetologica 47(1):115–124.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 1979 (Rev). feasibility Report for beach Erosion Control, St Johns County, Florida. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jacksonville District, Jacksonville, FL

- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 1995. Hindcast Wave Information for the U.S. Atlantic Coast: Update 1976—1993 with Hurricanes. Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2001. Florida Beaches and Shore Protection Projects and Studies. St Johns County, Florida Shore Protection. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jacksonville District, Jacksonville, FL. <<http://www.saj.usace.army.mil/dp/spp.htm>>
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2001. Profiles and General Demographic Characteristics Census 2000. Census of Population and Housing, Florida.
- USDC (U.S. District Court). 1995. Court Document: Loggerhead Turtle (*Caretta caretta*), Green Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), Shirley Reynolds, and Rita Alexander, Plaintiffs, Versus the County Council of Volusia County, Florida, a Political Subdivision of the State of Florida. U.S. District Court, Middle District of Florida, Orlando Division, Florida. Case No. 95-587-CIV-ORL-22.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1993. Recovery Plan for the Anastasia Island Beach Mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus phasma*) and Southeastern Beach Mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus niveiventris*). Atlanta, Georgia. 30 pp.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1994. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. USFWS. Washington, D.C.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2001. Report on the Mexico/United States of America population restoration project for the Kemp's ridley sea turtle, *Lepidochelys kempii*, on the coasts of Tamaulipas and Veracruz, Mexico 2001. USFWS report. 34pp.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). *Unpublished data*. 2002. [Designated units of the Coastal Barrier Resource System]. Located at: <www.fws.gov/cep/cbrunits.html>
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) and NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1992. Recovery plan for the Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*). National Marine Fisheries Service, St. Petersburg, Florida.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) and NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1996. Endangered Species: Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook., November 1996.
- U.S. Naval Observatory. *Unpublished data*. 2002. [St. Augustine Beach, Rise and Set for the Sun for 2002, Eastern Standard Time]. Located at: Astronomical Applications Dept., U.S. Naval Observatory, Washington, DC 20392-5420.
- Volusia County. 1992. County of Volusia Beach Management Plan. Volusia County Government. DeLand, Florida. August 31, 1992.
- Whitmore, C.P. and P.H. Dutton. 1985. Infertility, embryonic mortality and nest-site selection in leatherback and green sea turtles in Suriname. *Biological Conservation* 34:251–272.

- Williams, D. *Unpublished data*. 2002. [Beach Toll Revenue Data, 1990-2001]. Located at: Dave Williams, Supervisor of Beach Management, Division of Beach Management, St. Johns County, 901 Pope Road, St. Augustine, Florida 32084.
- Wilmers, T.J., E.S. Wilmers, M. Miller and P. Wells. 1996. Imported fire ants (*Solenopsis invicta*): A growing menace to sea turtle nests in Key West National Wildlife Refuge. Pages 341-343 *in* Keinath, J.A., D.E. Barnard, J.A. Musick and B.A. Bell (compilers). Proceedings of the fifteenth annual symposium on sea turtle biology and conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-387.
- Witham, R. 1982. Disruption of sea turtle habitat with emphasis on human influence. Pages 519-522 *in* Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles. Proceedings of the World Conference on Sea Turtle Conservation, November 26–30, 1979. Smithsonian Institution Press. Washington, D.C.
- Witherington, B.E. 1990. Photopollution on sea turtle nesting beaches: problems and next-best solutions. Pages 43-45 *in* Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, February 20–24, 1990, Hilton Head Island, South Carolina. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-278.
- Witherington, B.E. 1992. Behavioral responses of nesting sea turtles to artificial lighting. *Herpetologica* 48(1):31–39.
- Witherington, B.E. 1993. An analysis of reported sea turtle hatchling disorientation events for Florida, 1992. Florida Marine Research Institute, Florida Department of Natural Resources. Tequesta, Florida. 8 pp.
- Witherington, B.E. 1994. Some lost-year turtles found. Pages 194-197 *in* Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, February 23–27, 1993, Jekyll Island, Georgia. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-341.
- Witherington, B., C. Crady, and L. Bolen. 1996. A "hatchling orientation index" for assessing orientation disruption from artificial lighting. Pages 344-347 *in* Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, February 20-25, 1995, Hilton Head, South Carolina. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-387.
- Witherington, B.E., and C.M. Koepfel. 1999. Sea turtle nesting in Florida, USA, during the decade 1989-1998: An analysis of trends. Pages 94-96 *in* Proceedings of the 19th Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, March 2-6, 1999, South Padre Island, Texas. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-443.

- Witherington, B.E., K.A. Bjorndal, and C.M. McCabe. 1990. Temporal pattern of nocturnal emergence of loggerhead turtle hatchlings from natural nests. *Copeia* 4:1165–1168.
- Witherington, B.E., and L.M. Ehrhart. 1987. Status and reproductive characteristics of green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) nesting in Florida. Poster presented at WATSII, Mauaquez, Puerto Rico, 12-16 October, 1987.
- Witherington, B.E. and L.M. Ehrhart. 1989. Status and reproductive characteristics of green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) nesting in Florida. Pages 351-352 in Proceedings of the Second Western Atlantic Turtle Symposium, October 12–16, 1987, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-226.
- Witherington, B.E., and R.E. Martin. 2000. Understanding, Assessing, and Resolving Light-Pollution Problems on Sea turtle nesting beaches. 2nd ed. rev., Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, F.M.R.I. Technical Report TR-2. 73 pp.
- Wood, D.W., and K.A. Bjorndal. 2000. Relation of temperature, moisture, salinity, and slope to nest site selection in loggerhead sea turtles. *Copeia* 1:119-128.
- Wooten, M.C. “Peromyscus polionotus Oldfield mouse.” 2001.
<http://wotan.cs.sc.edu/perobase/systematics/p_polion.htm>
- World Climate. 2002. Climate Data for St. Augustine WFOY, St. Johns County, Florida, USA.
<<http://www.worldclimate.com/>>
- Wunderlin, R.P. 1998. Guide to the vascular plants of Florida. Gainesville, Florida: University Press of Florida, 806 pp.
- Wyneken, J., and M. Salmon. 1992. Frenzy and postfrenzy swimming activity in loggerhead, green, and leatherback hatchling sea turtles. *Copeia* 2:478–484.
- Wyneken, J., M. Goff, and L. Glenn. 1994. The trials and tribulations of swimming in the near-shore environment. Pages 169-171 in Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, March 1–5, 1994, Hilton Head, South Carolina. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-351.
- Wyneken, J., M. Salmon, and K.J. Lohmann. 1990. Orientation by hatchling loggerhead sea turtles *Caretta caretta* L. in a wave tank. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 139:43–50.


Chapter 12. APPENDICES***APPENDIX A. FIGURES***

- Figure 1-1** Coastline of St. Johns County Showing Municipalities, Unincorporated Communities, and Major Public Conservation Lands.
- Figure 1-2a** Boundaries of Plan Area - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet Showing the Coastal Construction Control Line (Red Line) and the Mean Low Water Location (Blue Line).
- Figure 1-2b** Boundaries of Plan Area - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line Showing the Coastal Construction Control Line (Red Line) and the Mean Low Water Location (Blue Line).
- Figure 2-1** Historical and Current Population Counts for St. Johns County, Municipalities, and Unincorporated Areas.
- Figure 2-2** 1996 Land Use Patterns in St. Johns County.
- Figure 2-3a** 2000 Land Use Patterns in St. Johns County- Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.
- Figure 2-3b** 2000 Land Use Patterns in St. Johns County - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.
- Figure 2-4** Future Land Use Patterns in St. Johns County.
- Figure 2-5** Single- and Multi-family Building Permits and Construction Starts in St. Johns County.
- Figure 2-6** Property Tax Receipts for St. Johns County.
- Figure 2-7a** 2001 Total Appraised Property Market Value for Coastal Properties in St. Johns County - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.
- Figure 2-7b** 2001 Total Appraised Property Market Value for Coastal Properties in St. Johns County - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.
- Figure 2-8a** 2001 Property Market Value per Acre in St. Johns County- Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.
- Figure 2-8b** 2001 Property Market Value per Acre in St. Johns County- St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.

- Figure 2-9a** Recreational Opportunities in Coastal St. Johns County- Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.
- Figure 2-9b** Recreational Opportunities in Coastal St. Johns County- St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.
- Figure 2-10** Tourist Development Tax Receipts for St. Johns County.
- Figure 2-11a** Pedestrian Traffic Potential on Unincorporated Beaches of St. Johns County - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.
- Figure 2-11b** Pedestrian Traffic Potential on Unincorporated Beaches of St. Johns County - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.
- Figure 2-12** Spatial Distribution of Sea Turtle Nests in Summer Haven, 1999-2001.
- Figure 2-13** The Human Dimension along the Beaches of Summer Haven.
- Figure 3-1** 30-year Temperature Averages for the City of St. Augustine.
- Figure 3-2** 30-year Precipitation Averages for the City of St. Augustine.
- Figure 3-3** Land Elevations along Coastal St. Johns County.
- Figure 3-4** Coastline of St. Johns County Showing Critical and Non-critical Erosion Areas.
- Figure 3-5** St. Augustine Inlet Historical Shoreline Change.
- Figure 3-6** Wave Height, Wave Period, and Wave Direction Offshore for St. Johns County.
- Figure 3-7** Wind Speed and Wind Direction Offshore for St. Johns County.
- Figure 3-8a** Manmade Shore Protection Features along St. Johns County Coastline - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.
- Figure 3-8b** Manmade Shore Protection Features along St. Johns County Coastline - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.
- Figure 3-9** Sea Turtle Nesting Densities in St. Johns County, 1998-2001.
- Figure 4-1** Ephemeral Escarpments and Natural Obstructions that Could Impact Nesting Sea Turtles along the Beaches of St. Johns County.
- Figure 4-2** Recreational Beach Equipment on the Beaches of St. Johns County.

- Figure 4-3** Educational Signs Promoting Sea Turtle Conservation Are Posted at Beach Access Points and Ramps.
- Figure 4-4** Typical Traffic Sign at a Vehicle Access Ramp in St. Johns County.
- Figure 4-5** Traffic Sign at the Southern Boundary of Guana River Marsh State Aquatic Preserve.
- Figure 4-6** Sign at Vilano Beach Ramp Indicating that Northbound Traffic is Authorized Only to Permit Holders.
- Figure 4-7** Sign at Usinas Ramp Indicating that Public Vehicular Access on the North Beaches Is Limited to North Beach Parking Permit Holders Only.
- Figure 4-8** Example of Mounted and Unmounted Trash Receptacles on the Beaches of St. Johns County.
- Figure 4-9** 1999 Aerial Photograph of Porpoise Point Displaying Multiple Driving Lanes through Accreting Dune System.
- Figure 6-1** Conceptual Representation of Alternative #1 (No Action).
- Figure 6-2** Graphs Necessary for the Evaluation of Alternatives #2 and #3.
- Figure 6-3** Relative Sea Turtle Nesting and Anastasia Island Beach Mouse Densities per Beach Survey Zone with Emphasis on Locations of Public Vehicular Access to the Beaches of St. Johns County, 1998-2001.
- Figure 6-4** Conceptual Representation of Alternative #3 (Elimination of Public Vehicular Access to the Beaches North of Vilano Ramp and South of Fort Matanzas Ramp).
- Figure 6-5** Conceptual Representation of Alternative #4 (Elimination of Public Vehicular Access to All Beaches of St. Johns County).
- Figure 7-1a** Sunrise, Sunset, and Twilight in St. Augustine Beach, Florida during the 2003 Sea Turtle Nesting Season (May 1 – October 31).
- Figure 7-1b** Seasonal Distribution of the Number of Nests Deposited, Hatching, and Requiring Rut Removal in Public Driving Beaches, St. Johns County, 2001.
- Figure 7-2** Seasonal Distribution of Select Loggerhead Turtle Nests Deposited and Observed First Hatchling Emergences in St. Johns County, 2001.

- Figure 7-3** Seasonal Distribution of Select Sea Turtle Nests Deposited and Expected Hatchling Emergences between Anastasia State Park and the Flagler County Line in St. Johns County, 1998-2001.
- Figure 7-4** Relative Sea Turtle Nesting Densities per Beach Survey Zone with Emphasis on Locations of Public Vehicular Access to the Beaches of St. Johns County, 1998-2001.



GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County GIS Data Library, National Park Service and FDEP Division of Parks and Recreation



 Taylor Engineering Inc
9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 1-1
Coastline of St. Johns County Showing Municipalities, Unincorporated Communities, and Major Public Conservation Lands.




GIS Data Sources: St. Johns
 County GIS Data Library,
 National Park Service
 FDEP Office of Beaches and
 Coastal Systems

Figure 1-2a
Boundaries of Plan Area - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet Showing the Coastal Construction Control Line
(Red Line) and the Mean Low Water Line (Blue Line).

Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256





GIS Data Sources: St. Johns
County GIS Data Library,
National Park Service,
FDEP Office of Beaches and
Coastal Systems


Taylor Engineering Inc
9000 Cypress Green Dr., Suite 200
Jacksonville, FL 32256





Figure 1-2b
Boundaries of Plan Area - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line Showing the Coastal Construction Control Line (Red Line) and the Mean Low Water Line (Blue Line).

Figure 2-1

Historical and Current Population for St. Johns County, Municipalities, and Unincorporated Areas.





0 2.5 5 Miles

LEGEND

LANDUSE 1996


- Agriculture and Forest
- Recreation and Open Space
- Vacant
- In Construction
- High-density Residential
- Low/Medium-density Residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Institutional
- Rights-of-way
- Water

GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County GIS Data Library



Taylor Engineering Inc
9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 2-2
1996 Land Use Patterns in St. Johns County.




GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County
Property Appraisers Office

Figure 2-3a
2000 Land Use Patterns in St. Johns County - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.

NOTE: DATA MAY BE MISSING

Taylor Engineering Inc
9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
Jacksonville, FL 32256






- LEGEND**
- Condominiums
 - Lodging
 - Apartments
 - Community
 - Low-density Residential
 - High-density Residential
 - Recreation
 - Commercial
 - Institutional
 - Restaurant
 - Agriculture and Forest
 - Rights-of-way
 - Parking Lot
 - Vacant
 - Leasehold
 - Municipal
 - County
 - State
 - Federal
 - Light Manufacture

GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County
Property Appraisers Office

NOTE: DATA MAY BE MISSING

Taylor Engineering Inc
9000 Cypress Green Dr, Suite 200
Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 2-3b
2000 Land Use Patterns in St Johns County - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.



- LEGEND**
- FUTURE LANDUSE**
- Residential (0.4-1.0 DU/acre)
 - Residential (2.0-2.0 DU/acre)
 - Residential (2.0-4.0 DU/acre)
 - Residential (4.0-8.0 DU/acre)
 - Public
 - Conservation
 - Parks/Recreation
 - Right-of-way
 - Airport District
 - Commercial
 - Mixed Use District
 - Rural Silviculture
 - New Town
 - Caballos Del Mar DRI
 - City of St. Augustine
 - City of St. Augustine Beach
 - Town of Marineland
 - Water

GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County GIS Data Library

Figure 2-4
Future Land Use Patterns in St. Johns County.

Figure 2-5

Single- and Multi-family Building Permits and Construction Starts in St. Johns County.







Figure 2-6

Property Tax Receipts for St. Johns County.






GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County Property Appraisers Office

Figure 2-7a
 2001 Total Appraised Property Market Value for Coastal Properties in the St. Johns County - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.

NOTE: DATA MAY BE MISSING



Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr, Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256





LEGEND
TOTAL ASSESSED PROPERTY MARKET VALUE (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

- Less than 50
- 50 - 100
- 100 - 250
- 250 - 500
- 500 - 750
- 750 - 1,000
- 1,000 - 1,250
- 1,250 - 1,500
- 1,500 - 1,750
- 1,750 - 2,000
- 2,000 - 5,000
- 5,000 - 7,500
- 7,500 - 10,000
- 10,000 - 25,000
- 25,000 - 50,000
- less or equal to 75,000




GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County Property Appraisers Office

NOTE: DATA MAY BE MISSING



Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 2-7b
 2001 Total Appraised Property Market Value for Coastal Properties in the St. Johns County - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.






GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County
 Property Appraisers Office

Figure 2-8a
 2001 Property Market Value per Acre in St. Johns County - Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.

NOTE: DATA MAY BE MISSING



Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256



LEGEND
MARKET VALUE
(THOUSANDS DOLLARS/ACRE)

- Less than 50
- 50 - 100
- 100 - 150
- 150 - 200
- 200 - 250
- 250 - 500
- 500 - 750
- 750 - 1,000
- 1,000 - 1,500
- 1,500 - 2,000
- 2,000 - 2,500
- 2,500 - 3,000
- 3,000 - 3,500
- 3,500 - 4,000
- Less or equal to 5,000

NOTE: DATA MAY BE MISSING

GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County
 Property Appraisers Office

Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr, Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 2-8b
 2001 Property Market Value per Acre in St. Johns County - St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.




- LEGEND**
- TRAILS**
- Aquatic
 - Land
 - Scenic Highway
- BEACH ACCESS**
- Authorized Vehicle Access Point (*)
 - Authorized Vehicle Access Point (*) with Tollbooth (Mar 1 to Labor Day)
 - Pedestrian Walkover
 - Pedestrian Footpath with Parking (**)
 - Pedestrian Walkover with Parking (**)
- BEACH USES**
- 4x4 Permit Beach Driving
 - General Public Beach Driving
 - Beach Horseback Riding (Nov1- Apr 30)
 - Beach Horseback Riding (Year Round)
 - Local Resident Or Old A1A Beach Driving
- LANDUSE**
- Parking
 - Recreation
 - Lodging
 - Condo
 - Restaurant
 - Public Lands
 - City of St. Augustine
 - City of St. Augustine Beach
 - Town of Marineland
 - Water
- (*) ACCESS POINT MAY BE INACTIVE
 (**) SEE TABLE 1-2 FOR DETAILS

GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County GIS Data Library, Florida Geographic Data Library, St. Johns County Property Appraisers Office, Taylor Engineering Inc.

Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 2-9a
Recreational Opportunities in Coastal St. Johns County –
Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.





GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County GIS Data Library, Florida Geographic Data Library, St. Johns County Property Appraisers Office, Taylor Engineering Inc.

Figure 2-9b
Recreational Opportunities in Coastal St. Johns County –
St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line

Figure 2-10


Tourist Development Tax Receipts for St. Johns County.





Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 2-11a
Pedestrian Traffic Potential on Unincorporated Beaches of St. Johns County – Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.





Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256


Figure 2-11b
 Pedestrian Traffic Potential on Unincorporated Beaches of St. Johns County
 –St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.


Figure 2-12


Spatial Distribution of Sea Turtle Nests in Summer Haven, 1998- 2001.




















Figure 2-13
The Human Dimension along the Beaches of Summer Haven.

















Figure 3-1

30-Year Temperature Averages for the City of St. Augustine.






Figure 3-2


30-Year Precipitation Averages for the City of St. Augustine.






 Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 3-3
Land Elevations along Coastal St. Johns County.



GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County GIS Data Library

Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 3-4
Coastline of St. Johns County Showing Critical and Noncritical Erosion Areas.

Figure 3-5
St. Augustine Inlet Historical Shoreline Change.




Figure 3-6
Wave Height, Wave Period, and Wave Direction Offshore for St. Johns County.







Figure 3-7

Wind Speed and Wind Direction Offshore for St. Johns County.








 Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256



Figure 3-8a
Manmade Shoreline Protection Features along St. Johns County Coastline
– Duval County Line to St. Augustine Inlet.



GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County GIS Library, Taylor Engineering, Inc.

Taylor Engineering Inc
 9000 Cypress Green Dr. Suite 200
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

Figure 3-8b
Manmade Shoreline Protection Features along St. Johns County Coastline
– St. Augustine Inlet to Flagler County Line.



LEGEND
SEA TURTLE NEST DENSITY PER MILE
■ Data from FWC (1998-2001)
■ Data from Stoll (1998-2000) and FWC (2001)

GIS Data Sources: St. Johns County Data Library, Taylor Engineering Inc.

Figure 3-9 Sea Turtle Nesting Densities in St. Johns County, 1998-2001

Figure 6-1

Conceptual Representation of Alternative #1
(No Action).

ALTERNATIVE #1 (No Action)




Figure 6-2

Graphs Necessary for the Evaluation of Alternatives #2 and #3.





Figure 6-3

Relative Sea Turtle Nesting and Anastasia Island Beach Mouse Densities per Beach Survey Zone with Emphasis on Locations of Public Vehicular Access to the Beaches of St. Johns County, 1998-2001.




Figure 6-4

Conceptual Representation of Alternative #3
(Elimination of Public Vehicular Access to the Beaches
North of Vilano Ramp and South of Fort Matanzas Ramp).

ALTERNATIVE #3




Figure 6-5

Conceptual Representation of Alternative #4
(Elimination of Public Vehicular Access to All Beaches of St. Johns County).

ALTERNATIVE #4




Figure 7-1a

Sunrise, Sunset, and Twilight in St. Augustine Beach, Florida during the 2003 Sea Turtle Nesting Season (May 1 – October 31).







Figure 7-1b
Seasonal Distribution of the Number of Nests Deposited, Hatching, and Requiring Rut Removal in Public Driving Beaches, St. Johns County, 2001.




Source: FWC unpublished data 2001a.

Note that 2001 is the first year that a random sampling of Countywide nest-by-nest data has been systematically submitted by the PPHs to FWC. Since the public driving areas of St. Johns County do not correspond to the sea turtle nest monitoring zones, the data were extrapolated in order to better reflect true hatching and nesting within driving areas. One-third of the total nesting (15.7 nests) in the survey zone “Ponte Vedra South” (Gate Station to Usina Beach Ramp) was used, since one-third of the survey zone (south end) is open for driving. The South Beaches include nesting between Fort Matanzas Ramp to Matanzas Inlet, although St. Johns County is not responsible for rut removal within FMNM (all sea turtle data between Crescent Beach Ramp and Matanzas Inlet are lumped together for reporting purposes).

Figure 7-2


Seasonal Distribution of Select Loggerhead Turtle Nests Deposited and Observed First Hatchling Emergences in St. Johns County, 2001.



Source: FWC unpublished data 2001a.
Note that 2001 is the first year that a random sampling of Countywide nest-by-nest data has been systematically submitted by the PPHs to FWC.

Figure 7-3


Seasonal Distribution of Select SeaTurtle Nests Deposited and Expected Hatchling Emergences between Anastasia State Park and the Flagler County Line in St. Johns County, 1998-2001.



Source: Stauber *unpublished data* 1998-2001;
Rich *unpublished data* 1998-2001.

Figure 7-4

Relative Sea Turtle Nesting Densities per Beach Survey Zone with Emphasis on Locations of Public Vehicular Access to the Beaches of St. Johns County, 1998-2001.



* Data taken from FWC unpublished data 1998-2001.
+ Data taken from Stoll unpublished data 1998-2000 and FWC unpublished data 2001.

APPENDIX B. TABLES**LIST OF TABLES**

- Table 1-1** The Length and Area of the Parcels of Federal, State, County, and Municipal Properties Encompassed by the HCP Plan Area.
- Table 1-2** Lengths of Coastline Where Beach Driving and Horseback Riding Occurs in St. Johns County.
- Table 2-1** Beach Access Points with Pedestrian Walkovers and/or Public Parking in St. Johns County.
- Table 3-1** Location of Critical and Non-critical Erosion Areas in St. Johns County.
- Table 3-2** Tidal Characteristics in St. Augustine Beach.
- Table 3-3** Existing, Ongoing, and Proposed Shoreline Protection Measures in St. Johns County.
- Table 3-4** Federally and State-designated Wildlife Species Reported to Occur Within or Adjacent to the HCP Plan Area.
- Table 3-5a** Sea Turtle Nest Densities along St. Johns County Beaches, 1996-2000.
- Table 3-5b** Sea Turtle Nest Densities along St. Johns County Beaches, 2001.
- Table 3-5c** Sea Turtle Nest Densities along St. Johns County Beaches, 1998-2001.
- Table 3-6a** Spatial Boundaries of the Beach Zones Used to Survey for Sea Turtle Nesting in St. Johns County, 1996-2000.
- Table 3-6b** Spatial Boundaries of the Beach Zones Used to Survey for Sea Turtle Nesting in St. Johns County, 2001.
- Table 3-7** Temporal Nesting Patterns of Sea Turtles in St. Johns County, 1979-2001.
- Table 3-8** The Common Name, Scientific Name, Type Localities, Federal and State Status, and Designated Critical Habitat of each Subspecies of the Oldfield Mouse *Peromyscus polionotus*.
- Table 3-9** State-listed Fauna and Flora Species in or Adjacent to the Plan Area that Are Not Protected under the Federal ESA.
- Table 4-1** Depredation of Sea Turtle Nests in St. Johns County, 1996-2001.

Table 4-2	Tidal Inundation of Sea Turtle Nests in St. Johns County, 1996-2001.
Table 4-3	False Crawls and Nesting Success of Sea Turtles in St. Johns County, 1996-2001.
Table 4-4	Sea Turtle Nest Relocations in St. Johns County, Florida, 1996-2001.
Table 4-5	Potential Vehicular Impacts to Sea Turtles on Beaches Managed by St. Johns County.
Table 4-6	Potential Vehicular Impacts to Anastasia Island Beach Mice on Beaches Managed by St. Johns County.
Table 5-1	Best Available Historical Record of Take of Sea Turtles or Sea Turtle Nests due to Vehicles or Vehicular Activities Occurring along the Beaches of St. Johns County in the HCP Plan Area.
Table 6-1	Annual Take Assessment under the HCP in Volusia County, Florida.
Table 7-1	Temporal Distribution of Loggerhead Hatchling Emergence Events from Natural Nests on Melbourne Beach, Florida (Source: Witherington, Bjourndal, and McCabe 1990).
Table 7-2	Earliest and Latest Nest Dates and Expected Hatchling Emergence Dates in St. John's County, 1998-2001.
Table 7-3	The 2001 Procedures Used by the FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holders to Mark Nests in St. Johns County.
Table 8-1	General Funding Sources of HCP Programs and Policies.
Table 8-2	Proposed HCP Budget.
Table 9-1	Schedule of HCP Implementation Activities.

Table 1-1

**The Length and Area of the Parcels of Federal, State, County, and Municipal Properties
Encompassed by the HCP Plan Area.**

	Length (feet)	Length (miles)	Area (sq. feet) ¹	Area (acres) ¹
FEDERAL PROPERTY <i>(Includes Ft. Matanzas National Monument from the CCCL to MHWL)</i>	4,731.6	0.9	1,554,442.1	35.8
STATE PROPERTY				
Guana River State Park	24,684.6	4.7	7,736,208.5	177.9
Anastasia State Park	13,254.4	2.5	16,605,473.5	381.9
Anastasia State Park in the City of St. Augustine <i>(MHWL to MLWL)</i>	8,241.3 ³	1.5 ³	1,235,655.6	28.4
COUNTY PROPERTY <i>(Includes all County properties)</i>	151,070.7	28.6	62,691,452.0	1,441.9
MUNICIPAL PROPERTY				
City of St. Augustine <i>(Includes from CCCL to MHWL)</i>	(8,295.8) ^{2,4}	(1.6) ^{2,4}	3,807,196.1	87.6
City of St. Augustine Beach	14,466.4	2.7	8,695,858.0	200.0
Town of Marineland	1,088.0	0.2	359,711.6	8.3
TOTAL PLAN AREA	217,537.0	41.1	102,685,997.4	2,361.8

¹ The area measurements include only the portion of the property within the HCP Plan Area, i.e. between the Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL) and the Mean Low Water Line (MLWL), unless otherwise specified.

² Lengths and areas in parentheses are not included in the calculation of the Total Plan Area lengths and areas.

³ The beachfront property within the City of St. Augustine is contained within the boundaries of the Anastasia State Park. The length of this property used for Total Plan Area calculations corresponds to the length indicated under the State Property heading. This number represents the length of the property along the seaward edge of the HCP Plan Area, i.e. the Mean Low Water Line (MLWL).

⁴ This number represents the length of the property along the seaward edge of the boundary of the City of St. Augustine, i.e. the Mean High Water Line (MHWL).

Table 1-2

**Lengths of Coastline Where Beach Driving and Horseback Riding
Occurs in St. Johns County.**

ACTIVITY	NORTH BOUNDARY	SOUTH BOUNDARY	LENGTH (feet)	LENGTH (miles)
Beach Horseback Riding (year-round)	Duval County Line	Surfside Beach Ramp	94,711.7	17.9
Beach Horseback Riding (Nov. 1-Apr. 30)	Duval County Line	Flagler County Line	221,760.0	42.0
4x4 Permit Beach Driving (two-way)	Southern Boundary of Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve	Vilano Ramp	21,752.5	4.1
General Public Beach Driving (two-way)	Vilano Ramp	Southwestern tip of Porpoise Point	3,801.6	0.7
General Public Beach Driving (one-way)	"A" Street Ramp	Ocean Trace Road Ramp	9,257.6	1.8
General Public Beach Driving (one-way)	Ocean Trace Road Ramp	Crescent Beach Ramp	17,966.6	3.4
General Public Beach Driving (two-way)	Crescent Beach Ramp	Fort Matanzas Ramp	20,242.8	3.8
General Public Beach Driving (two-way)	Fort Matanzas Ramp	Southwestern tip of Anastasia Island	4,731.6	0.9
Local Resident or Old A1A right-of-way Beach Driving (two-way)	Southern end of northern portion of Old A1A at Summer Haven (at R monument 200)	Northern end of southern portion of Old A1A at Summer Haven (500 ft south of R monument 208)	8,560.0	1.6
General Public Beach Driving TOTAL			56,000.2	10.6
ALL BEACH DRIVING TOTAL			86,312.7	16.3

Table 2-1

Beach Access Points with Pedestrian Walkovers and/or Public Parking in St. Johns County.

Access Number	Access Name	Ownership	Beach Frontage	Existing Parking Spaces	Potential Parking Spaces	Access Type	Amenities	Comments
1	Ponte Vedra Beach Access #8	St. Johns County	10 ft	0	0	Pedestrian Walkover	None	60 feet south of Solana Rd.
2	Ponte Vedra Beach Access #14	St. Johns County	10 ft	0	0	Pedestrian Walkover	None	Between 557 & 559 Ponte Vedra Blvd.
3	Mickler's Landing Beach Access	St. Johns County	12 ft	248	248	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	Facilities	Horse path to beach.
4	Guana River State Park – North Beach Use Area	State of Florida	-	80	80	Pedestrian Walkover	Port-o-Lets	\$2.00 per day.
5	Guana River State Park – Midway Beach Use Area	State of Florida	-	75	75	Pedestrian Walkover	Port-o-Lets	\$2.00 per day.
6	Guana River State Park – South Beach Use Area	State of Florida	-	60	60	Pedestrian Walkover	Port-o-Lets	\$2.00 per day.
7	Gate Trading Post Beach Access	State of Florida	1650 ft	15	40	Pedestrian Footpath	None	Several footpaths.
8	South Ponte Vedra Park	State of Florida	1093 ft	22	22	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	Port-o-Lets	North of 3001 A1A.
9	Usina Beach Ramp & Parking	St. Johns County	100 ft	10	10	Vehicle Access Ramp with Pedestrian Walkover	None	North of Reef Restaurant.
10	Villages of Vilano Crossover & Parking	St. Johns County	420 ft	74	74	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	None	1000 ft north of Carcaba.
11	Surfside Beach Ramp & Parking	St. Johns County	160 ft	55	55	Vehicle Access Ramp and Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	None	3080 Coastal Highway.
12	Vilano Ramp & Parking	St. Johns County	155 ft	61	61	Vehicle Access Ramp with Pedestrian Walkover	None	Ferrol Road.
13	Anastasia State Park Ramp & Parking	State of Florida	18,565 ft	350	350	Vehicle Access Ramp with Pedestrian Walkover	Facilities	\$3.25 per day.
14	Pope Road Beach Access	St. Johns County	100 ft	65	65	Pedestrian Walkover	None	Pope Road and A1A.
15	St. Johns County Pier Park	St. Johns County	562 ft	112	112	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	Facilities	Access to seawall/revetment.
16	St. Augustine Beach Access #10 – 11 th Street	St. Johns County	40 ft	0	0	Street End Pedestrian Walkover	None	Between Block 1 and Lot A.
17	St. Augustine Beach Access #20 - 4 th Street	St. Johns County	40 ft	0	0	Street End Pedestrian Walkover	None	South of revetment. Between Block 7 and 8.
18	St. Augustine Beach Access #32 - F Street	St. Johns County	40 ft	0	0	Street End Pedestrian Walkover	None	North of Coquina Gables Between Block 38 and 39.
19	Minnie Street	St. Johns County	<10 ft	0	0	Pedestrian Walkover	None	Street end access.

		County	1080 ft	55-60 unmarked	75	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	Facilities	
20	Frank B. Butler Park East	St. Johns County	1080 ft	55-60 unmarked	75	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	Facilities	-
21	Crescent Beach Park	St. Johns County	230 ft	112	112	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	Facilities	Southside of 3 rd Street. Lot 14.
22	Green Road Beach Access	St. Johns County	50 ft	0	3	Street End Pedestrian Walkover	None	Between Lot 15 and 16.
23	Spyglass Beach Access	St. Johns County	105 ft	23	23	Public Park with Pedestrian Walkover	None	South of 8200 A1A South, South of Spyglass Condos.
24	Fort Matanzas Ramp & Parking	National Park Service	3562 ft	15	15	Public Park with Pedestrian Access via Vehicular Ramp	None	Footpath down vehicle ramp.

¹ The access number corresponds to numbers listed beside the pedestrian access icons in Figures 2-9a and 2-9b.

Sources: Dave Williams, St. Johns County Division of Beach Management (unpublished data) 2001 and ATM 2001.

Table 3-1

Location of Critical and Non-critical Erosion Areas in St. Johns County.

Monument Range	Erosion Status	Location	Distance (km)	Distance (miles)
R110 - R117	Critical	North & Vilano Beaches	2.3 km	1.4 mi
R132 - R152	Critical	St. Augustine Beaches	6.1 km	3.8 mi
R193.5 - R196	Non-critical	Fort Matanzas National Monument	0.8 km	0.5 mi
R197 - R209	Critical	Summer Haven & Marineland	3.9 km	2.4 mi

Table 3-2

Tidal Characteristics in St. Augustine Beach.

Tidal Datum	Elevation (MLLW)
Highest Observed Water Level (4/3/1973)	2.42 m (7.94 ft)
Mean Higher High Water (MHHW)	1.59 m (5.21 ft)
Mean High Water (MHW)	1.48 m (4.84ft)
Mean Tide Level (MTL)	0.76 m (2.49 ft)
National American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD)	1.03 m (3.37 ft)
Mean Sea Level (MSL)	0.76 m (2.49 ft)
Mean Low Water (MLW)	0.05 m (0.17 ft)
Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW)	0.00 m
Lowest Observed Water Level (1/27/1975)	-0.92 m (-3.01 ft)

Source: National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration's National Ocean Service,
<http://www.co-ops.nos.noaa.gov/benchmarks/8720587.html>.

Table 3-3

Existing, Ongoing, and Proposed Shoreline Protection Measures in St. Johns County.

Shoreline Protection	Length (km)	Length (feet)	Length (miles)
<i>Coastal Armoring</i>			
St. Augustine Inlet South Jetty	1.1	3,670.8	0.7
Groin	0.2	302.9	0.1
Seawall	1.0	3,374.8	0.6
Retaining Wall	0.6	2,008.7	0.4
Revetment	1.6	5,050.0	1.0
Rock	0.8	3,761.4	0.7
<i>Beach Nourishment</i>			
2002 Beach Nourishment from R-132 to R-137	1.4	4,650.0	0.9
2002 Beach Nourishment from R-137 to R-151	4.7	15,314.0	2.9
<i>Beach Placement from Dredging Activities</i>			
Dredge Material Beach Disposal	2.6	8,159.2	1.6
<i>Dune Enhancement and Restoration</i>			
PVC Mesh	0.1	223.3	0.04
Shore-diagonal Sand Fences	3.7	12,167.0	2.3
Shore-parallel Sand Fences	1.4	4,984.8	0.9
Silt Fences	0.03	82.2	0.02
1999 Dune Restoration	1.0	3,228.5	0.6
2002 Dune Restoration	2.7	9,012.4	1.7

Table 3-4

Federally and State-designated Wildlife Species Reported to Occur Within or Adjacent to the HCP Plan Area.

Species		Federal Status ¹	State Status ¹
Common Name	Scientific Name		
<i>Reptiles</i>			
American alligator	<i>Alligator mississippiensis</i>	T(S/A)	SSC
Loggerhead turtle	<i>Caretta caretta</i>	T	T
Green turtle	<i>Chelonia mydas</i>	E	E
Leatherback turtle	<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>	E	E
Eastern indigo snake	<i>Drymarchon corais couperi</i>	T	T
<i>Birds</i>			
Piping plover	<i>Charadrius melodus</i>	T	T
Bald eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	T	T
Wood stork	<i>Mycteria americana</i>	E	E
<i>Mammals</i>			
Anastasia Island beach mouse	<i>Peromyscus polionotus phasma</i>	E	E
Florida manatee	<i>Trichechus manatus latirostris</i>	E	E
Northern right whale	<i>Eubalaena glacialis</i>	E	E
Sei whale	<i>Balaenoptera borealis</i>	E	E
Fin whale	<i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>	E	E
Humpback whale	<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>	E	E
Sperm whale	<i>Physeter catadon macrocephalus</i>	E	E

¹ E = Endangered; T = Threatened; T(S/A) = Threatened due to Similarity of Appearance; SSC = Species of Special Concern; and CE = Commercially Exploited.

Table 3-5a

Sea Turtle Nest Densities along St. Johns County Beaches, 1996-2000.

Beach Survey Zone ¹	Length km (miles) ²	Average Number of Nests per km (mile) ³		
		Loggerhead	Green	Leatherback
Ponte Vedra North	3.9 km (2.4 mi)	1.9 (3.1)	0.1 (0.1)	0.0 (0.0)
Guana River State Park	6.8 km (4.2 mi)	5.7 (9.1)	0.4 (0.6)	0.0 (0.0)
Ponte Vedra South	23.5 km (14.6 mi)	4.8 (7.8)	0.1 (0.1)	0.0 (0.0)
North St. Augustine Beaches	4.5 km (2.8 mi)	5.6 (8.9)	0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (0.0)
Anastasia State Park	7.2 km (4.5 mi)	1.7 (2.7)	0.2 (0.3)	0.0 (0.0)
South St. Augustine Beaches	10.1 km (6.3 mi)	1.9 (3.0)	0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (0.0)
Fort Matanzas National Monument	11.6 km (7.2 mi)	4.5 (7.3)	0.2 (0.3)	0.0 (0.1)
ALL COUNTY BEACHES	67.5 km (42.0 mi)	4.0 (6.5)	0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (0.0)

¹ See Table 3-6a for beach survey zone boundaries.

² As reported by FWC principal permit holders and contained within St. Johns County GIS database. These lengths are not consistent with FWC (*unpublished data* 2002), but are believed to be the most accurate.

³ Based on nest counts reported by FWC (*unpublished data* 2002) and survey zones lengths contained within this table.

Table 3-5b

Sea Turtle Nest Densities along St. Johns County Beaches, 2001.

Beach Survey Zone ¹	Length km (miles) ²	Average Number of Nests per km (mile) ³		
		Loggerhead	Green	Leatherback
North Ponte Vedra	3.9 km (2.4 mi)	3.6 (5.8)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0 (0.0)
Beach Club Drive North	3.0 km (1.8 mi)	2.0 (3.3)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0 (0.0)
Beach Club Drive South	5.9 km (3.7 mi)	5.6 (9.0)	0.0 (0.0)	0.2 (0.3)
Old Ponte Vedra	1.7 km (1.0 mi)	3.0 (4.9)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0 (0.0)
Guana River State Park	6.8 km (4.2 mi)	7.1 (11.4)	0.1 (0.2)	0.3 (0.5)
Guana River South	5.1 km (3.1 mi)	6.9 (11.1)	0.0 (0.0)	0.2 (0.3)
South Ponte Vedra	8.0 km (5.0 mi)	5.9 (9.5)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0 (0.0)
Vilano Beach	4.5 km (2.8 mi)	7.9 (12.7)	0.2 (0.4)	0.0 (0.0)
Anastasia State Park	7.2 km (4.5 mi)	1.0 (1.6)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0 (0.0)
St. Augustine Beach	10.1 km (6.3 mi)	1.2 (1.9)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0 (0.0)
Fort Matanzas North	7.5 km (4.6 mi)	1.6 (2.6)	0.0 (0.0)	0.0 (0.0)
Fort Matanzas South	4.1 km (2.6 mi)	4.6 (7.5)	0.5 (0.8)	0.0 (0.0)
ALL COUNTY BEACHES	67.5 km (42.0 mi)	4.0 (6.5)	0.1 (0.2)	0.1 (0.1)

¹ See Table 3-6b for beach survey zone boundaries.

² As reported by FWC principal permit holders and contained within St. Johns County GIS database. These lengths are not consistent with unpublished data by FWC, but are believed to be the most accurate.

³ Based on nest counts reported by FWC (*unpublished data 2002*) and survey zones lengths contained within this table.

Table 3-5c

Sea Turtle Nest Densities along St. Johns County Beaches, 1998-2001.

Beach Survey Zone ¹	Length km (miles) ²	Average Number of Nests per Mile ³			
		Logger-head	Green	Leather-back	TOTAL
North Ponte Vedra ⁴	3.9 km (2.4 mi)	4.0	0.1	0.0	4.1
Beach Club Drive North ⁵	3.0 km (1.8 mi)	6.4	0.1	0.0	6.6
Beach Club Drive South ⁵	5.9 km (3.7 mi)	8.3	0.1	0.1	8.5
Old Ponte Vedra ⁵	1.7 km (1.0 mi)	9.5	0.0	0.0	9.5
Guana River State Park ⁴	6.8 km (4.2 mi)	11.0	0.7	0.2	11.8
Guana River South ⁵	5.1 km (3.1 mi)	10.5	0.2	0.1	10.7
South Ponte Vedra ⁵	8.0 km (5.0 mi)	9.7	0.1	0.0	9.7
Vilano Beach ⁴	4.5 km (2.8 mi)	10.3	0.2	0.0	10.5
Anastasia State Park ⁴	7.2 km (4.5 mi)	2.6	0.2	0.0	2.8
St. Augustine Beach ⁴	10.1 km (6.3 mi)	2.6	0.2	0.0	2.7
Fort Matanzas North ⁵	7.5 km (4.6 mi)	4.3	0.1	0.0	4.4
Fort Matanzas South ⁵	4.1 km (2.6 mi)	13.3	0.8	0.2	14.3
ALL COUNTY BEACHES	67.5 km (42.0 mi)	7.2	0.2	0.0	7.5

¹ See Table 3-6b for beach survey zone boundaries.

² As reported by FWC principal permit holders and contained within the St. Johns County GIS database. These lengths are not consistent with unpublished data by FWC, but are believed to be the most accurate.

³ Nest densities were calculated using survey zones lengths contained within this table.

⁴ Based on nest counts reported by FWC for the 1998-2001 nesting seasons (FWC *unpublished data* 2002).

⁵ Based on nest counts compiled by Robert Stoll for the 1998-2000 nesting seasons (Stoll *pers. comm.* 2002) and reported by FWC for the 2001 nesting season (FWC *unpublished data* 2002).

Table 3-6a
Spatial Boundaries of the Beach Zones Used to Survey for Sea Turtle Nesting in St. Johns County, 1996-2000.

BEACH SURVEY ZONE	LENGTH km (mile)	PERMIT HOLDER	NORTHERN BOUNDARY	SOUTHERN BOUNDARY
Ponte Vedra North				
A1	3.9 km (2.4 mi)	Sandy Stam	Duval County Line	Corona Road
Guana River State Park¹				
C	6.8 km (4.2 mi)	J.B. Miller	North boundary of Guana River State Park	South boundary of Guana River State Park
Ponte Vedra South				
A2	3.0 km (1.8 mi)	Sandy Stam	Corona Road	Southernmost official walkover of the Sawgrass Beach Club
B	5.9 km (3.7 mi)	Robert Stoll	Southernmost official walkover of the Sawgrass Beach Club	Official walkover of the Old Ponte Vedra Condos
A3	1.7 km (1.0 mi)	Sandy Stam	Official walkover of the Old Ponte Vedra Condos	North boundary of Guana River State Park
D	5.1 km (3.1 mi)	Sandy Stam	South boundary of Guana River State Park	Gate Station
A4	8.0 km (5.0 mi)	Sandy Stam	Gate Station	Reef Restaurant (by Usina Beach Access Ramp)
North St. Augustine Beaches				
E	4.5 km (2.8 mi)	Peter Lardner	Reef Restaurant (by Usina Beach Access Ramp)	St. Augustine Inlet
Anastasia State Park				
F	7.2 km (4.5 mi)	J.B. Miller	North boundary of Anastasia State Park (at St. Augustine Inlet)	South boundary of Anastasia State Park (at Pope Road Beach Access)
South St. Augustine Beaches				
G	10.1 km (6.3 mi)	Evelyn Stauber	South boundary of Anastasia State Park (at Pope Road Beach Access) ²	Crescent Beach Ramp (Cubbedge Road)
Fort Matanzas National Monument¹				
H1	7.5 km (4.6 mi)	Andrew Rich	Crescent Beach Ramp (Cubbedge Road)	Matanzas Inlet (south boundary of Fort Matanzas National Monument)
H2	4.1 km (2.6 mi)	Andrew Rich	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line
ALL COUNTY BEACHES	67.8 km (42.0 mi)	-	Duval County Line	Flagler County Line

¹ INBS = Index Nesting Beach Survey. INBS is a Statewide survey designed to monitor long-term nesting trends of populations of sea turtles in Florida. It is performed each year from May 15 through August 31.

² Sea turtle nesting surveys generally begin south of the seawall and revetment at St. Augustine Beach.

Table 3-6b
Spatial Boundaries of the Beach Zones Used to Survey for Sea Turtle Nesting in St. Johns County, 2001.

BEACH SURVEY ZONE	LENGTH km (mile)	PERMIT HOLDER	NORTHERN BOUNDARY	SOUTHERN BOUNDARY
North Ponte Vedra (A1)	3.9 km (2.4 mi)	Sandy Stam	Duval County Line	Corona Road
Beach Club Dr. North (A2)	3.0 km (1.8 mi)	Sandy Stam	Corona Road	Southernmost official walkover of the Sawgrass Beach Club
Beach Club Dr. South (B)	5.9 km (3.7 mi)	Robert Stoll	Southernmost official walkover of the Sawgrass Beach Club	Official walkover of the Old Ponte Vedra Condos
Old Ponte Vedra (A3)	1.7 km (1.0 mi)	Sandy Stam	Official walkover of the Old Ponte Vedra Condos	North boundary of Guana River State Park
Guana River State Park ¹ (C)	6.8 km (4.2 mi)	J.B. Miller	North boundary of Guana River State Park	South boundary of Guana River State Park
Guana River South (D)	5.1 km (3.1 mi)	Sandy Stam	South boundary of Guana River State Park	Gate Station
South Ponte Vedra (A4)	8.0 km (5.0 mi)	Sandy Stam	Gate Station	Usina Beach Access Ramp (2.6 mi north of St. Augustine Inlet)
Vilano Beach (E)	4.5 km (2.8 mi)	Peter Lardner	Usina Beach Access Ramp (2.6 mi north of St. Augustine Inlet)	North side of St. Augustine Inlet
Anastasia State Park (F)	7.2 km (4.5 mi)	J.B. Miller	South side of St. Augustine Inlet (North boundary of Anastasia State Park)	7.2 km south of St. Augustine Inlet (South boundary of Anastasia State Park)
St. Augustine Beach (G)	10.1 km (6.3 mi)	Evelyn Stauber	7.2 km south of St. Augustine Inlet (South boundary of Anastasia State Park) ²	Crescent Beach Ramp (at Cubbedge Road/State Road 206)
Fort Matanzas North ¹ (H1)	7.5 km (4.6 mi)	Andrew Rich	Crescent Beach Ramp (at Cubbedge Road/State Road 206)	North side of Matanzas Inlet
Fort Matanzas South ¹ (H2)	4.1 km (2.6 mi)	Andrew Rich	South side of Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line
ALL COUNTY BEACHES	67.8 km (42.0 mi)	-	Duval County Line	Flagler County Line

¹ INBS = Index Nesting Beach Survey. INBS is a Statewide survey designed to monitor long-term nesting trends of populations of sea turtles in Florida. It is performed each year from May 15 through August 31.

² Sea turtle nesting surveys generally begin south of the seawall and revetment at St. Augustine Beach.

Table 3-7

Temporal Nesting Patterns of Sea Turtles in St. Johns County, 1979-2001.

Species	Earliest Nest Date	Latest Nest Date
Loggerhead	May 1 (2000)	September 26 (1987)
Green	May 6 ¹ (1994)	September 14 (1994)
Leatherback	April 18 (1993)	July 22 (1984)

Sources: Meylan *et al.* 1995 (1988-1992).
FWC *unpublished data* (1993-2001).

¹ This date is earlier than most green turtle nesting reported in Florida and may be erroneous.

Table 3-8

The Common Name, Scientific Name, Type Localities, Federal and State Status, and Designated Critical Habitat of Each Subspecies of the Oldfield Mouse *Peromyscus polionotus*.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Type Locality	Federal Status ¹	State Status ¹	Critical Habitat
Alabama beach mouse	<i>Peromyscus polionotus ammobates</i>	Near Alabama Point, Baldwin County, Alabama.	E	Protected by the State of Alabama.	Gulf State Park, Fort Morgan State Park, and Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge and adjacent private lands.
Perdido Key beach mouse	<i>P. p. trissyllepsis</i>	East of Perdido Inlet, Baldwin County, Alabama.	E	E (State of Florida); Protected by the State of Alabama.	Gulf State Park in Alabama, Perdido Key State Recreation Area, and the Perdido Key Unit of Gulf Islands National Seashore in Florida.
Choctawhatchee beach mouse	<i>P. p. allophrys</i>	Walton County, Florida.	E	E (State of Florida).	12.6 mi of coast in Walton and Bay Counties, including Grayton Beach State Recreation Area, the mainland section of St. Andrew State Recreation Area, Shell Island, and Topsail Hill State Lands.
Santa Rosa beach mouse	<i>P. p. leucocephalus</i>	Santa Rosa Island, Okaloosa County, Florida.	Candidate for T or E.	Not State protected.	No Critical Habitat designated.
St. Andrew beach mouse	<i>P. p. peninsularis</i>	St. Andrew Point, Bay County, Florida.	E	E (State of Florida).	No Critical Habitat designated.
Anastasia Island beach mouse	<i>P. p. phasma</i>	Point Romo, Anastasia Island, St. Johns County, Florida.	E	E (State of Florida).	No Critical Habitat designated.
pallid beach mouse	<i>P. p. decoloratus</i>	Mosquito Inlet, Volusia County, Florida. Presumed to be extinct (Ehrhardt 1978, Humphrey and Barbour 1979, Humphrey 1992).	Presumed extinct.	Presumed extinct.	Presumed extinct.
southeastern beach mouse	<i>P. p. niveiventris</i>	Micco, Brevard County, Florida.	T	T (State of Florida).	No Critical Habitat designated.

¹ E = Endangered; T = Threatened; SSC = Species of Special Concern; and CE = Commercially Exploited.

Table 3-9

State-listed Fauna and Flora Species in or Adjacent to the Plan Area that Are Not Protected under the Federal ESA.

Species		State Status ¹
Common Name	Scientific Name	
FAUNA		
Reptiles		
Gopher tortoise	<i>Gopherus polyphemus</i>	SSC
Florida pine snake	<i>Pituophis melanoleucus mugitus</i>	S
Birds		
Roseate spoonbill	<i>Ajaia ajaja</i>	SSC
Little blue heron	<i>Egretta caerulea</i>	SSC
Reddish egret	<i>Egretta rufescens</i>	SSC
Snowy egret	<i>Egretta thula</i>	SSC
Tricolored heron	<i>Egretta tricolor</i>	SSC
White ibis	<i>Eudocimus albus</i>	SSC
Peregrine falcon	<i>Falco peregrinus tundris</i>	E
American oystercatcher	<i>Haematopus palliatus</i>	SSC
Brown pelican	<i>Pelecanus occidentalis</i>	SSC
Black skimmer	<i>Rhynchops niger</i>	SSC
Least tern	<i>Sterna antillarum</i>	T
Fish		
Common snook	<i>Centropomus undecimalis</i>	SSC
FLORA		
Ebony spleenwort	<i>Asplenium platyneuron</i>	T
Green-fly orchid	<i>Encyclia conopseum</i>	CE
Coastal vervain	<i>Glandularia maritime</i>	E
Crested coralroot	<i>Hexalectris spicata</i>	E
Prickly pear cactus	<i>Opuntia stricta</i>	T
Cinnamon fern	<i>Osmunda cinnamomea</i>	CE
Royal fern	<i>Osmunda regalis</i>	CE
Sand-dune spurge	<i>Chamaesyce cumilicula</i>	T
Angle-pod	<i>Matelea gonocarpa</i>	T
Pygmy-pipes	<i>Monotropis reynoldsiae</i>	E
Little butterfly orchid	<i>Pteroglossapsis ecristata</i>	T

¹ E = Endangered; T = Threatened; SSC = Species of Special Concern; and CE = Commercially Exploited.

Table 4-1

Depredation of Sea Turtle Nests in St. Johns County, 1996-2001.

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER NESTS LAID/YEAR	COMMENTS REGARDING NEST DEPREDATION AND TAMPERING	NUMBER OF DAMAGED EGGS
1996	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	0	0
1997	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	0	0
1998	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	7	0	0
1999	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	0	0
2000	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	13	0	0
2001	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	14	0	0
1996	A2+A3+D+ A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	38	0	0
1997	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	42	0	0
1998	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	98	0	0
1999	A2+A3+D+A4 (a) Ponte Vedra South	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	85	1 nest lost to ants.	Unknown.
2000	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	107	0	0
2001	A2	Beach Club Dr. North	Corona Road	Sawgrass Beach Club	6	0	0
1996	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	29	0	0
1997	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	14	0	0
1998	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	30	0	0
1999	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	0	0
2000	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	26	0	0
2001	B	Beach Club Dr. South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	6 nests partially depredated by dogs and/or ants.	0
1996	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1999	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2000	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2001	A3	Old Ponte Vedra	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	5	1 nest lost to dogs or raccoons.	40+

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER NESTS LAID/YEAR	COMMENTS REGARDING DEPREDEATION	NUMBER OF DAMAGED EGGS
1996	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	28	0	0
1997	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	30	2 nests partially depredated by unknown predators.	Unknown.
1998	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	55	Ants found in 1 nest.	"Few, if any, eggs lost."
1999	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	39	1 nest lost to dogs/ants.	Unknown.
2000	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	54	Ghost crabs depredated 2 eggs in 1 CC nest.	2
2001	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	51	9 nests lost to foxes or raccoons; 3 nests impacted by fire ants.	275+
1996	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	24	0	0
1997	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	24	0	0
1998	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	32	Ghost crabs in 1 nest.	"Few, if any, eggs lost."
1999	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2000	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2001	D	Guana River South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	36		
1996	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1999	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2000	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2001	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	47	2 nests with roots, 1 nest had ants on dead hatchlings & possible impacts from ghost crabs.	0
1996	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	14	0	0
1997	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	32	0	0
1998	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	0	0
1999	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	26	0	0
2000	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	18	0	0
2001	E	Vilano Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	1 nest impacted by ants.	5

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER NESTS LAID/YEAR	COMMENTS REGARDING DEPREDEATION	NUMBER OF DAMAGED EGGS
1996	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	14	1 nest depredated by gray fox; raccoons attempted to raid nest, but were unsuccessful (SELF-RELEASING CAGE).	18
1997	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	0 (SELF-RELEASING CAGE).	0
1998	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	18	Fox dug 2 nests, but did not damage any eggs (SELF-RELEASING CAGE).	0
1999	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	12	0 (SELF-RELEASING CAGE).	0
2000	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	Post-emergence depredation by ghost crabs, foxes, & raccoons (SELF-RELEASING CAGE).	0
2001	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	6	Hatchlings disoriented & depredated on by foxes, raccoons, and birds (SELF-RELEASING CAGE).	0
1996	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	0	0
1997	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	23	0	0
1998	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	22	0	0
1999	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	0	0
2000	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	16	0	0
2001	G	St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	12	0	0
1996	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	41	Unknown number of nests depredated by ants & ghost crabs.	0
1997	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	36	Unknown number of nests depredated by ants, ghost crabs, & domestic dogs.	0
1998	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	74	0	0
1999	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	56	0	0
2000	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	65	0	0
2001	H1	Ft. Matanzas North	Crescent Beach Ramp	Matanzas Inlet	12	0	0
1996	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1999	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2000	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2001	H2	Ft. Matanzas South	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	21	Unknown number of nests depredated by ghost crabs.	2

Table 4-2

Tidal Inundation of Sea Turtle Nests in St. Johns County, 1996-2001.

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER NESTS LAID/YEAR	NUMBER OF NESTS OVER-WASHED	PERCENT OF NESTS OVER-WASHED	NUMBER OF NESTS WASHED OUT	PERCENT OF NESTS WASHED OUT	STORM
1996	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	7	2	28.6	0	0.0	NO DATA
1999	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	NO DATA	NO DATA	2	33.3	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	13	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
2001	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	14	NO DATA	NO DATA	5	35.7	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	38	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	42	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	98	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
1999	A2+A3+D+A4	(b) Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	85	NO DATA	NO DATA	10	11.8	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	107	NO DATA	NO DATA	1	0.9	0
2001	A2	Beach Club Dr. North	Corona Road	Sawgrass Beach Club	6	NO DATA	NO DATA	2	33.3	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	29	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	14	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	30	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
1999	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	NO DATA	NO DATA	6	17.6	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	26	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	15.4	NO DATA
2001	B	Beach Club Dr. South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	NO DATA	NO DATA	1	2.9	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1999	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	0
2001	A3	Old Ponte Vedra	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	5	0	0.0	0	0.0	Trop. Storm Gabrielle

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER NESTS LAID/YEAR	NUMBER OF NESTS OVER-WASHED	PERCENT OF NESTS OVER-WASHED	NUMBER OF NESTS WASHED OUT	PERCENT OF NESTS WASHED OUT	STORM
1996	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	28	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	30	1	3.3	NO DATA	NO DATA	nor'easter
1998	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	55	NO DATA	NO DATA	2	3.6	IMPACT
1999	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	39	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	10.3	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	54	1	1.9	3	5.6	0
2001	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	51	1	2.0	18	35.3	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	24	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	24	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	32	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
1999	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2000	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2001	D	Guana River South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	36	NO DATA	NO DATA	15	41.7	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1999	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2000	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2001	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	47	NO DATA	NO DATA	13	27.7	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	14	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	32	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	12.5	NO DATA
1998	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	11.1	0
1999	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	26	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	15.4	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	18	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	22.2	High tides
2001	E	Vilano Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	NO DATA	NO DATA	16	44.4	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	14	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	18	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
1999	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	12	NO DATA	NO DATA	3	25.0	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
2001	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	6	0	0.0	0	0.0	Trop. Storm Gabrielle

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER NESTS LAID/YEAR	NUMBER OF NESTS OVER-WASHED	PERCENT OF NESTS OVER-WASHED	NUMBER OF NESTS WASHED OUT	PERCENT OF NESTS WASHED OUT	STORM
1996	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	23	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	22	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
1999	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	NO DATA	NO DATA	2	10.5	Hurr. Dennis, Floyd & Irene
2000	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	16	2	12.5	1	6.3	erosion
2001	G	St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	12	1	8.3	4	33.3	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	41	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	36	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	74	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	5.4	0
1999	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	56	NO DATA	NO DATA	8	14.3	Hurr. Floyd
2000	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	65	NO DATA	NO DATA	1	1.5	tides
2001	H1	Ft. Matanzas North	Crescent Beach Ramp	Matanzas Inlet	12	NO DATA	NO DATA	2	33.3	Trop. Storm Gabrielle
1996	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1997	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1998	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
1999	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2000	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA
2001	H2	Ft. Matanzas South	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	21	NO DATA	NO DATA	4	19.0	Trop. Storm Gabrielle

Table 4-3

False Crawls and Nesting Success of Sea Turtles in St. Johns County, 1996-2001.

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER OF NESTS LAID/YEAR	NUMBER OF FALSE CRAWLS	NESTING SUCCESS
1996	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	2	75.0
1997	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	2	75.0
1998	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	7	2	77.8
1999	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	3	66.7
2000	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	13	2	86.7
2001	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	14	3	82.4
TOTAL					52	14	78.8
1996	A2 A3 D A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	62	41	60.2
1997	A2 A3 D A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	66	64	50.8
1998	A2 A3 D A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	130	85	60.5
1999	A2 A3 D A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	85	34	71.4
2000	A2 A3 D A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	107	32	77.0
2001	A2 A3 D A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	47	22	68.1
TOTAL					497	278	64.1
1996	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	29	9	76.3
1997	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	14	12	53.8
1998	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	30	22	57.7
1999	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	17	66.7
2000	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	26	16	61.9
2001	B	Beach Club Dr. South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	27	55.7
TOTAL					167	103	61.9
1996	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	28	18	60.9
1997	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	30	8	78.9
1998	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	55	27	67.1
1999	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	39	28	58.2
2000	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	54	20	73.0
2001	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	51	8	86.4
TOTAL					257	109	70.2

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER OF NESTS LAID/YEAR	NUMBER OF FALSE CRAWLS	NESTING SUCCESS
1996	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	14	14	50.0
1997	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	32	16	66.7
1998	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	21	63.2
1999	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	26	15	63.4
2000	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	18	3	85.7
2001	E	Vilano Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	11	76.6
TOTAL					162	80	66.9
1996	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	14	6	70.0
1997	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	4	63.6
1998	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	18	11	62.1
1999	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	12	6	66.7
2000	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	6	53.8
2001	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	6	5	54.5
TOTAL					64	38	62.7
1996	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	10	65.5
1997	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	23	5	82.1
1998	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	22	14	61.1
1999	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	5	79.2
2000	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	16	6	72.7
2001	G	St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	12	10	54.5
TOTAL					111	50	68.9
1996	H1 H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	41	18	69.5
1997	H1 H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	36	17	67.9
1998	H1 H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	74	19	79.6
1999	H1 H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	56	28	66.7
2000	H1 H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	65	44	59.6
2001	H1 H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	33	19	63.5
TOTAL					305	145	67.8

Table 4-4

Sea Turtle Nest Relocations in St. Johns County, Florida, 1996-2001.

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER OF NESTS LAID/YEAR	NUMBER OF RE-LOCATED NESTS	PERCENT OF RELOCATED NESTS	REASONS CITED FOR NEST RELOCATION
1996	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	0	0.0	NA
1997	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	0	0.0	NA
1998	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	7	2	28.6	washout of nests
1999	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	6	0	0.0	NA
2000	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	13	0	0.0	NA
2001	A1	Ponte Vedra North	Duval County Line	Corona Road	14	2	14.3	below high tide line
TOTAL					52	4	7.7	
1996	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	38	6	15.8	NONE GIVEN
1997	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	42	8	19.0	NONE GIVEN
1998	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	98	12	12.2	in washout areas
1999	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	85	0	0.0	NA
2000	A2+A3+D+A4	Ponte Vedra South	Corona Road	USINAS RAMP	107	4	3.7	below high tide line
2001	A2	Beach Club Dr. North	Corona Road	Sawgrass Beach Club	6	0	0.0	NA
TOTAL					376	30	8.0	
1996	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	29	3	10.3	nests seaward of high tide line
1997	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	14	1	7.1	nest at recent high tide line
1998	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	30	1	3.3	nest seaward of high tide line
1999	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	1	2.9	nest seaward of recent high tide mark
2000	B	Ponte Vedra South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	26	1	3.8	nest at recent high tide mark
2001	B	Beach Club Dr. South	Sawgrass Beach Club	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	34	2	5.9	partially eroded by Gabrielle- remaining eggs relocated.
TOTAL					167	9	5.4	
1996	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1997	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1998	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1999	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2000	A3	Ponte Vedra South	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2001	A3	Old Ponte Vedra	Old Ponte Vedra Condos	N. Boundary of GRSP	5	0	0.0	NA
TOTAL					5	0	0.0	

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER OF NESTS LAID/YEAR	NUMBER OF RE-LOCATED NESTS	PERCENT OF RELOCATED NESTS	REASONS CITED FOR NEST RELOCATION
1996	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	28	0	0.0	NA
1997	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	30	1	3.3	partial nest washout from nor'easter storm tides
1998	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	55	0	0.0	NA
1999	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	39	4	10.3	2 below mean high tide; 2 exposed by storm erosion
2000	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	54	1	1.9	nest at high tide line
2001	C	Guana River SP	N. Boundary of GRSP	S. Boundary of GRSP	51	0	0.0	NA
TOTAL					257	6	2.3	
1996	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	24	0	0.0	NA
1997	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	24	0	0.0	NA
1998	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	32	0	0.0	NA
1999	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2000	D	Ponte Vedra South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2001	D	Guana River South	S. Boundary of GRSP	Gate Station	36	0	0.0	NA
TOTAL					116	0	0.0	
1996	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1997	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1998	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1999	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2000	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2001	A4	Ponte Vedra South	Gate Station	Usinas Beach Ramp	47	4	8.5	roots; traffic; below high tide line
TOTAL					47	4	8.5	
1996	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	14	0	0.0	NA
1997	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	32	4	12.5	nests below high tide line
1998	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	6	16.7	nests were at high tide line
1999	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	26	0	0.0	NA
2000	E	N. St. Augustine Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	18	0	0.0	NA
2001	E	Vilano Beach	Usinas Beach Ramp	St. Augustine Inlet	36	1	2.8	nest facing row of lighted houses
TOTAL					162	11	6.8	

YEAR	LETTER NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	FWC NAME OF SURVEY ZONE	NORTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	SOUTH SURVEY BOUNDARY	TOTAL NUMBER OF NESTS LAID	NUMBER OF RE-LOCATED NESTS	PERCENT OF RELOCATED NESTS	REASONS CITED FOR NEST RELOCATION
1996	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	14	0	0.0	NA
1997	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	0	0.0	NA
1998	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	18	3	16.7	high tide line/heavy pedestrian area; lights at south end
1999	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	12	5	41.7	below high tide line; heavy pedestrian/lights @ S. end of park
2000	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	7	2	28.6	below high tide line
2001	F	Anastasia SP	St. Augustine Inlet	S. Boundary of ASP	6	3	50.0	below high tide line; heavy pedestrian traffic
TOTAL					64	13	20.3	
1996	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	2	10.5	laid too close to water
1997	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	23	2	8.7	1 laid too close to water; 1 in line of traffic
1998	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	22	1	4.5	NONE GIVEN
1999	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	19	3	15.8	Corp of Engineers dredging project on beach
2000	G	S. St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	16	2	12.5	too near to water, cars, and people
2001	G	St. Augustine Beach	S. Boundary of ASP	Crescent Beach Ramp	12	3	25.0	below high tide line
TOTAL					111	13	11.7	
1996	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	41	0	0.0	NA
1997	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	36	0	0.0	NA
1998	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	74	0	0.0	NA
1999	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	56	10	17.9	renourishment project S. of Matanzas Inlet
2000	H1+H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Crescent Beach Ramp	FLAGLER CO. LINE	65	4	6.2	seawall restoration project at Marineland
2001	H1	Ft. Matanzas North	Crescent Beach Ramp	Matanzas Inlet	12	0	0.0	NA
TOTAL					284	14	4.9	
1996	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1997	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1998	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
1999	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2000	H2	Ft. Matanzas NM	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	
2001	H2	Ft. Matanzas South	Matanzas Inlet	Flagler County Line	21	6	28.6	renourishment project S. of Matanzas Inlet
TOTAL					21	6	28.6	

Table 4-5

Potential Vehicular Impacts to Sea Turtles on Beaches Managed by St. Johns County.

Life History Stage	Type of Impact	Impact Description
Adult Sea Turtles	Direct	Vehicles might run into or over nesting females.
		Vehicle headlights might frighten turtles from the beach or deter others from emerging from the ocean.
	Indirect	Vehicles might degrade nesting habitat.
		Vehicles might compact sediments.
Sea Turtle Eggs	Direct	Vehicles might run over nests and crush eggs.
	Indirect	Vehicles might compact sediments over a nest and thereby affect gas exchange within the egg chamber.
		Vehicles might deposit contaminants (e.g., oil, coolants, etc.) on the sand that may affect the incubation environment.

Life History Stage	Type of Impact	Impact Description
Sea Turtle Hatchlings	Direct	Vehicles might compact sediments over a nest and impede hatchling emergence
		Vehicles might run over hatchlings as they migrate from the nest to sea.
		Vehicle headlights may disorient hatchlings as they travel from the nest to sea.
	Indirect	Vehicles may leave ruts that impede a timely migration from the nest to sea.
Stranded Sea Turtles	Direct	Vehicles may run over a live stranded turtle.
Washback Post-hatchlings	Direct	Vehicles may run over a live washback post-hatchling sea turtle.

Table 4-6

Potential Vehicular Impacts to Anastasia Island Beach Mice on Beaches Managed by St. Johns County.

Life History Stage	Type of Impact	Impact Description
Juvenile or Adult Anastasia Island Beach Mice	Direct	Vehicles might run over juveniles or adults.
		Vehicle headlights might frighten or disrupt nocturnal activities of juveniles or adults.
		Vehicles might run over and crush burrows.
	Indirect	Vehicles might degrade habitat.
		Vehicles might deposit contaminants (e.g., oil, coolants, etc.) on the sand that may affect foraging beach mice.
		Vehicles bring people to remote beaches far from portable toilets or public restroom facilities, and people relieve themselves in the dunes.

Table 5-1

Best Available Historical Record of Take of Sea Turtles or Sea Turtle Nests
due to Vehicles or Vehicular Activities Occurring along the Beaches of St. Johns County in the HCP Plan Area.

Date of Incident	Time of Incident	Location of Incident	Observer of Take	Documentation of Take	Description of Take	Number		What the take lethal or sublethal?	Specific Act(s) that Constitute a Take as defined in the Endangered Species Act ¹	Source and Date of this Information for this HCP
						Species	Incubation Phase of SEA TURTLE NESTS Involved			
		<p>1. Point on map (#1 choice);</p> <p>2. Vicinity on map (#2 choice);</p> <p>3. County on map (for following data points (#3 choice));</p> <p>a. FWC Turtle Survey Zone</p> <p>b. Driving Zone</p> <p>4X4: (G R Marsh Aq Pr - Vilano Ramp</p> <p>VILANO: Vilano Ramp - Popoisa Pt.</p> <p>ST AUG LRCH: A St - Crescent Bch Ramp</p> <p>CRESCENT: Crescent Bch Ramp - Matanzas Inlet</p>	<p>1. Name</p> <p>2. Affiliation</p>	<p>1. Type of Documentation</p> <p>2. Name</p> <p>3. Date</p>	<p>1. Direct quote from incident record.</p> <p>2. Summary of incident.</p>	<p>1. Egg/Embryo</p> <p>2. Pre-emergence hatchling</p> <p>3. Post-emergence hatchling</p> <p>4. Adult</p>	<p>1. LETHAL: Resulted in death.</p> <p>2. SUBLETHAL: Resulted in harm or potential harm.</p>	<p>1. ESA 3(18) defines "take" as "...to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct."</p>	<p>1. Method of data transfer.</p> <p>2. Person that received data.</p> <p>3. Person that supplied data.</p> <p>4. Date that data was transferred.</p>	
1991 (No data on exact date)	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (<i>anecdotal evidence</i>)	Anastasia State Park	No data	<p>Phone Logs between Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAI) and Paul Crawford (Park Manager, ASP, since July 1990) on January 31, 2002 and J.B. Miller (Park Biologist, ASP, since about 1998) on February 1, 2002.</p>	<p>One hatchling from unmarked nest that presumably emerged around dawn was found run over by a vehicle.</p>	<p>1. NA</p> <p>2. NA</p> <p>3. NA</p>	Lethal	Killing	<p>Personal communication between Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAI) and Paul Crawford (Park Manager) on January 31, 2002 and J.B. Miller (District Park Biologist) on February 1, 2002.</p>	
July 11, 1994	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (<i>anecdotal evidence</i>)	<p>South Ponte Vedra, "300 yards south of preserve," presumed to be Guana River Marsh Aquatic Preserve</p>	<p>Carol A. Houston (sea turtle nest monitor)</p>	<p>Signed affidavit by Carol A. Houston on August 23, 1994 mailed to Sandy MacPherson (Sea Turtle Recovery Coordinator, USFWS Jacksonville)</p>	<p>Fresh nest run over by vehicle(s) before nest was marked, and excavation for relocation revealed 15 out of a total of 30 eggs "were crushed and destroyed."</p>	<p>1. One</p> <p>2. No data</p> <p>3. Early incubation-nest laid night before.</p>	Lethal	<p>Killing, Harming, Harassing</p>	<p>Fax from Sandy MacPherson (National Sea Turtle Coordinator, USFWS Jacksonville) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAI) on May 1, 2002.</p>	
July 17, 1994	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (<i>anecdotal evidence</i>)	"Approximately ¼ mile north of St. Augustine Inlet in front of Fiddler's Green Restaurant at 2750 Anahana Drive"	Jenna Clark (St. Johns County lifeguard)	<p>FWC Marine Turtle Hatchling Disorientation Incident Report Form filed by Evelyn Stauber signed on September 2, 1994 with supporting letter signed by Jenna Clark on August 24, 1994.</p>	<p>"These turtles had appeared to be run over by some sort of vehicle" (excerpt from letter by Jenna Clark)</p>	<p>5</p> <p>No data</p> <p>NA</p>	Lethal	Killing	<p>Fax from Jan Brewer (Environmental Manager, St. Johns County) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAI) on May 2, 2002.</p>	

Date of Incident	Time of Incident	Location of Incident	Observer of Take	Documentation of Take	Description of Take	Number		What the take lethal or sublethal?	Specific Act(s) that constitute a Take as defined in the Endangered Species Act ¹	Source and Date of this Information for this HCP		
						Species	Incubation Phase of SEA TURTLE NESTS Involved					
July 23, 1994	NIGHT: 11:30pm – 11:45pm	1. Point on map [#1 choice]. 2. Vicinity on map [#2 choice]. 3. Combination of the following data points [#3 choice]: a. FWC Turtle Survey Zone b. Driving Zone 4X4: [G R Marsh Aq Pr – Vilano Ramp Vilano Ramp – Porpoise Pt. ST AUG BCH. A St. – Crescent Beh Ramp CRESCENT; Crescent Beh Ramp – Matanzas Inlet a. “near the Vilano Ramp” b. “Vilano Ramp 0.1 mile north of St. Augustine Inlet”	a. St. Johns County Wildlife Care workers b. Anne W. Andrews (St. Johns Wildlife Care)	1. Type of Documentation 2. Name 3. Date a. Newspaper article in the <i>St. Augustine Record</i> authored by Franceska Hanley on July 26, 1994. b. FWC Marine Turtle Hatchling Disorientation Incident Report Form by Anne W. Andrews signed on August 1, 1994.	a. About 20 vehicles, perhaps in violation of night driving ban, (NOTE: This activity is not authorized by St. Johns County) ran over about 29 emergent hatchlings. b. “The Sheriff called at 11:30 PM because hatchlings were crawling up the ramp and getting run over.	29	NA	1. LETHAL: Resulted in death. 2. SUBLETHAL: Resulted in harm or potential harm.	1. Method of data transfer. 2. Person that received data. 3. Person that supplied data. 4. Date that data was transferred.	a. Fax from Sandy MacPherson (National Sea Turtle Coordinator; USFWS Jacksonville) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAJ) on May 1, 2002. b. Disorientation Form mailed to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAJ) from Meghan Conti (Environmental Specialist, FWC Tequesta) on May 3, 2002.		
											Loggerhead	NA
											Post-emergence Hatchling	NA
August 13, 1994	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence)	1.5 miles north of Vilano Ramp	Evelyn D. Stauber (FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder)	FWC Marine Turtle Hatchling Disorientation Incident Report Form filed by Evelyn D. Stauber signed on August 23, 1994 and accompanying letter by Evelyn D. Stauber signed on August 23, 1994.	One emergent hatchling from marked nest found in tire track, presumably run over by vehicle sometime during the night before.	1	NA	Lethal	Killing	Fax from Sandy MacPherson (National Sea Turtle Coordinator; USFWS Jacksonville) to Heather (Senior Scientist, EAJ) on May 1, 2002.		
											No data	NA
											Post-emergence Hatchling	NA
August 14, 1994	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence)	1.5 miles north of Vilano Ramp	Evelyn D. Stauber (FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder)	FWC Marine Turtle Hatchling Disorientation Incident Report Form filed by Evelyn Stauber signed on August 23, 1994 and accompanying letter by Evelyn D. Stauber signed on August 23, 1994.	One emergent hatchling from marked nest found in tire track, presumably run over by vehicle sometime during the night before.	1	NA	Lethal	Killing	Fax from Sandy MacPherson (National Sea Turtle Coordinator; USFWS Jacksonville) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAJ) on May 1, 2002.		
											No data	NA
											Post-emergence Hatchling	NA

Date of Incident	Time of Incident	Location of Incident	Observer of Take	Documentation of Take	Description of Take	Number		What the take lethal or sublethal?	Specific Act(s) that Constitute a Take as defined in the Endangered Species Act ¹	Source and Date of this Information for this HCP
						Species	Species			
		<p>1. Point on map [#1 choice].</p> <p>2. Vicinity on map [#2 choice].</p> <p>3. Combination of the following data points [#3 choice]:</p> <p>a. FWC Turtle Survey Zone</p> <p>b. Driving Zone</p> <p>4X4: [G R Marsh Aq Pr – Vilano Ramp VILANO: Vilano Ramp – Porpoise Pt. ST AUG BCH: A St. – Crescent Beh Ramp CRESCENT: Crescent Beh Ramp – Matanzas Inlet</p>	<p>1. Name</p> <p>2. Affiliation</p>	<p>1. Type of Documentation</p> <p>2. Name</p> <p>3. Date</p>	<p>1. Direct quote from incident record.</p> <p>2. Summary of incident.</p>	<p>1. Egg/Embryo</p> <p>2. Pre-emergence hatching</p> <p>3. Post-emergence hatching</p> <p>4. Adult</p>	<p>Incubation Phase of SEA TURTLE NESTS Involved</p> <p>Qualitative</p> <p>1. Early</p> <p>2. Mid</p> <p>3. Late</p>	<p>1. LETHAL: Resulted in death.</p> <p>2. SUBLETHAL: Resulted in harm or potential harm.</p>	<p>¹ ESA 3(18) defines "take" as "...to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct."</p>	<p>1. Method of data transfer.</p> <p>2. Person that received data.</p> <p>3. Person that supplied data.</p> <p>4. Date that data was transferred.</p>
August 17, 1994	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence)	"Approximately ¼ mile north of St. Augustine Inlet in front of Fiddler's Green Restaurant at 2750 Anahana Drive"	Jenna Clark (St. Johns County Lifeguard)	FWC Marine Turtle Hatching Disorientation Incident Report Form filed by Evelyn Stauber signed on September 2, 1994.	"One hatching run over by a vehicle"	1	NA	Lethal	Killing	Fax from Sandy MacPherson (National Sea Turtle Coordinator, USFWS Jacksonville) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAJ) on May 1, 2002.
September 8, 1995	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence)	"Butler Beach"	Evelyn D. Stauber (FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder)	1995 Sea Turtle Nesting Survey Forms with data collected by Evelyn Stauber between June 1, 1995 and October 12, 1995.	"No evaluation due to high water, rain, and cars running over it" [the nest].	NA	1	Sublethal	Harassing, Harming	Fax from Evelyn Stauber (FWC PPH) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAJ) on February 4, 2002.
June 14, 1996	DAWN	Crescent Beach (Zone A, INBS)	Andrew Rich (U.S. Park Ranger, FWNM and FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder)	Nesting Report Form	"Turtle encountered deep vehicle ruts 6" where a vehicle had become stuck, the turtle crawled around ruts and nested."	1	NA	Sublethal	NA	Emailed data spreadsheet from Andrew Rich (U.S. Park Ranger, FWC PPH) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAJ) on May 10, 2002.
June 23, 1996	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence)	"3096 Coastal Highway, approximately 1.2 miles north of St. Augustine Inlet"	Diane Reed (FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder)	FWC Marine Turtle Hatching Disorientation Incident Report Form filed by Diane Reed signed on June 30, 1996.	"Found staked nest that had been run over by a vehicle- photographed and restaked nest- there did not appear to be damage to the nest site or nest cavity"	NA	1	Sublethal	Harassing, Harming	Mailed from Meghan Conti (Environmental Specialist, FWC) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAJ) on January 28, 2002.

Date of Incident	Time of Incident	Location of Incident	Observer of Take	Documentation of Take	Description of Take	Number		What the take lethal or sublethal?	Specific Act(s) that Constitute a Take as defined in the Endangered Species Act ¹	Source and Date of this Information for this HCP
						Species	Species			
	DAWN: 5am - 8am DAY: 8am - 8pm DUSK: 8pm - 10pm NIGHT: 10pm - 5am	1. Point on map [#1 choice]. 2. Vicinity on map [#2 choice]. 3. Combination of the following data points [#3 choice]: a. FWC Turtle Survey Zone b. Driving Zone [G R Marsh Aq Pr - Vilano Ramp VILANO: Vilano Ramp - Porpoise Pt. STAUGBCH: A St. - Crescent Beh Ramp CRESCENT: Crescent Beh Ramp - Matanzas Inlet 4X4:	1. Name 2. Affiliation	1. Type of Documentation 2. Name 3. Date	1. Direct quote from incident record. 2. Summary of incident.	Life Stage of SEA TURTLES Involved 1. Egg/Embryo 2. Pre-emergence hatchling 3. Post-emergence hatchling 4. Adult	Incubation Phase of SEA TURTLE NESTS Involved Qualitative 1. Early 2. Mid 3. Late	1. LETHAL: Resulted in death. 2. SUBLETHAL: Resulted in harm or potential harm.	¹ ESA 3(18) defines "take" as "...to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct."	1. Method of data transfer. 2. Person that received data. 3. Person that supplied data. 4. Date that data was transferred.
July 28, 1999	DAWN	Old AIA Summer Haven	Samantha Styzer (Biology Intern, FMNM)	Nesting Report Form	"Two hatchlings from nest on 6/2/99 Old AIA nest #9 found dead in tire ruts, report was filed with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service [sic] deaths were a result of beach renourishment equipment creating tire ruts in the beach." (NOTE: This activity is not authorized by St. Johns County)	2 Loggerhead	NA NA	Could not be determined if the vehicle ran over the hatchlings or if the hatchlings were trapped by rut and desiccated.	Microsoft Excel file entitled "FMNM Repto Success_1999.xls" emailed from Andrew Rich (U.S. Park Ranger, FWC PPH) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAI) on February 20, 2002. Emailed data spreadsheet from Andrew Rich to Heather McCarthy on May 10, 2002.	
No data	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence)	FWC Survey Zones of Guana River South and South Ponte Vedra (between Guana River State Park and Usina Beach Ramp)	No data	Phone Logs between Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAI) and Sandy Stam (FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder) on January 31, 2002.	Live hatchlings found trapped (not run over) in tire ruts on the beach.	"Probably about 4 to 5 per year" No data Post-emergence Hatchlings	NA NA NA	Sublethal	Harassing, Trapping, and Harming	Personal communication between Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAI) and Sandy Stam (FWC PPH) on January 31, 2002 (further details were not available—original field notebooks have been discarded by PPH.)
June 14, 2000	DUSK, NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence)	Guana River State Park	Bert Charest (Park Biologist, GRSP, October 1992 through 2000)	FMRI Loggerhead Nest Productivity Form, Guana River State Park, 1999-2000.	Nest "run over by truck 6/14." Nest was laid on May 29, 2000 and had an emergence date of August 1, 2000.	NA NA NA	1 Loggerhead Very early incubation- eight days after nest was laid	Sublethal	Harassing, Harming	Microsoft Excel file entitled "guana.productivity.1999-2000.xls" emailed to Heather McCarthy/Senior Scientist, EAI) sent from Anne Meylan (Research Scientist, FMRI St. Petersburg) on January 30, 2002..

Date of Incident	Time of Incident	Location of Incident	Observer of Take	Documentation of Take	Description of Take	Number		What the take lethal or sublethal?	Specific Act(s) that constitute a Take as defined in the Endangered Species Act ¹	Source and Date of this Information for this HCP		
						Species	Species					
August 18, 2002	NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence) (Turtle found at 6:45 AM.)	1. Point on map (#1 choice). 2. Vicinity on map (#2 choice). 3. Combination of the following data points (#3 choice): a. FWC Turtle Survey Zone b. Driving Zone [G R Marsh Aq Pr - Vilano Ramp Vilano Ramp - Porpoise Pt. ST AUG BCH: A St. - Crescent Beh Ramp CRESCENT: Crescent Beh Ramp - Matanzas Inlet 4X4: VILANO: ST AUG BCH: A St. - Crescent Beh Ramp CRESCENT: Crescent Beh Ramp - Matanzas Inlet	1. Name 2. Affiliation Andrew Rich (U.S. Park Ranger; FWNM and FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder)	1. Type of Documentation 2. Name 3. Date FWC Sea Turtle Stranding Report Form signed by Andrew Rich on August 18, 2002 with accompanying photographs.	"Hatchling turtle crushed on beach. Hatchling most likely came from a nest located directly west of the dead turtle. This nest is numbered "Index #8" on our survey beach, TP#031. This nest had its primary emergence the previous night or morning of the incident. Vehicle tracks over turtle and condition of turtle seem to indicate death was a result of vehicle driving on the beach." "Hatchling turtles crushed on beach. Hatchlings most likely came from a nest located directly west of the dead turtles. This nest is numbered "Index #9" on our survey beach, TP#031. This nest had its primary emergence the previous night or morning of the incident. Turtles run over by vehicle traffic on the beach at Fort Matanzas National Monument."	1	NA	Lethal	Killing	Fax from Sandy MacPherson (National Sea Turtle Coordinator, USFWS Jacksonville) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAD) on August 22, 2002.		
											Life Stage of SEA TURTLES Involved	Incubation Phase of SEA TURTLE NESTS Involved
											Species	Species
August 18, 2002	NIGHT or DAWN (anecdotal evidence) (Turtles found at 7:50 AM.)	"0.6 miles north of Matanzas Inlet—Fort Matanzas National Monument" LATITUDE: 29° 42.831 LONGITUDE: 81° 13.733	1. Name 2. Affiliation Andrew Rich (U.S. Park Ranger; FWNM and FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder)	1. Type of Documentation 2. Name 3. Date FWC Sea Turtle Stranding Report Form signed by Andrew Rich on August 18, 2002 with accompanying photographs.	"Hatchling turtles crushed on beach. Hatchlings most likely came from a nest located directly west of the dead turtles. This nest is numbered "Index #9" on our survey beach, TP#031. This nest had its primary emergence the previous night or morning of the incident. Turtles run over by vehicle traffic on the beach at Fort Matanzas National Monument."	5	NA	Lethal	Killing	Fax from Sandy MacPherson (National Sea Turtle Coordinator, USFWS Jacksonville) to Heather McCarthy (Senior Scientist, EAD) on August 22, 2002.		
											Life Stage of SEA TURTLES Involved	Incubation Phase of SEA TURTLE NESTS Involved
											Species	Species
SUMMARY OF ALL AVAILABLE RECORDS						43 hatchlings	Post-emergence Hatchlings Run Over and Killed.					
1 nest (with 15 eggs crushed)						3 nests	Nests Run Over and Eggs/Embryos Crushed and Killed.					
2 hatchlings (dead) + and an additional 4-5 hatchlings (live) per year							Nests Run Over with No or Unknown Damage to Eggs/Embryos/Hatchlings.					
Hatchlings Trapped (live or dead) in Tire Ruts.							Hatchlings Trapped (live or dead) in Tire Ruts.					

Table 6-1

Annual Take Assessment under the HCP in Volusia County, Florida.

Year	Number of Sea Turtle Nests	Number of Vehicles on the Beaches between April-Sept.	Hatchlings Run Over by Vehicles	Unmarked Nests Run Over by Vehicles	Marked Nests Run Over by Vehicles	Live Hatchlings Documented to Traverse Tire Ruts to Ocean	INCIDENTS OF TAKES RECORDED
1997	346	1.16 million	0	0	0	9 incidents (unknown number of hatchlings)	0 DIRECTLY LETHAL 9 SUBLETHAL
1998	538	Over 1 million	3 hatchling emerged in daytime and run over by public vehicle	0	0	3 incidents (unknown number of hatchlings)	3 DIRECTLY LETHAL 3 SUBLETHAL
1999	628	Over 1 million	2 hatchlings run over during early morning (perhaps by nighttime operation of official vehicles)	1	0	4 incidents (unknown number of hatchlings)	2 DIRECTLY LETHAL 4 SUBLETHAL 1 UNKNOWN
2000	620	1.24 million	0	0	0	9 incidents (unknown number of hatchlings)	0 DIRECTLY LETHAL 9 SUBLETHAL
2001	448	1.14 million	1 hatchling disoriented at ramp and run over by public safety vehicle	0	0	3 incidents (unknown number of hatchlings)	1 DIRECTLY LETHAL 3 SUBLETHAL
Total	513	Over 5.5 million	6	1	0	28 incidents (unknown number of hatchlings)	6 DIRECTLY LETHAL 28 SUBLETHAL 1 UNKNOWN

Table 7-1

**Temporal Distribution of Loggerhead Hatchling Emergence Events from
Natural Nests on Melbourne Beach, Florida.**

Time Period	Percent of Loggerhead Hatchling Emergence Events Occurring during this Time Period	Sunrise and Sunset
Before 7:00 PM	0	<i>Sunset occurred between 7:45 – 8:14 PM.</i>
Before 8:00 PM	0.6	
Before 9:00 PM	3.2	
Before 10:00 PM	12.7	
10:00 PM – 5:00 AM	86.0	
After 5:00 AM	1.3	<i>Sunrise occurred between 6:43 – 7:02 AM.</i>
After 6:00 AM	0.6	
After 7:00 AM	0	
After 8:00 AM	0	

Source: Witherington, Bjourndal, and McCabe 1990.

Table 7-2

Earliest and Latest Nest Dates and Expected Hatchling Emergence Dates in St. Johns County, 1988-2001.

Year	Earliest Loggerhead Nest Date	Latest Loggerhead Nest Date	Latest Expected Loggerhead Hatchling Emergence Date ¹	Earliest Green Nest Date	Latest Green Nest Date	Latest Expected Green Hatchling Emergence Date ¹	Earliest Leather-back Nest Date	Latest Leather-back Nest Date	Latest Expected Leatherback Hatchling Emergence Date ¹
1988	May 22	Aug 18	Oct 14	June 23	July 3	Aug 29	June 7	July 13	Sept 14
1989	May 18	Sept 23	Nov 19						
1990	May 5	Sept 2	Oct 29						
1991	May 9	July 30	Sept 25						
1992	May 15	Aug 19	Oct 15						
1993	May 10	Aug 21	Oct 17	Aug 12	Aug 13	Oct 9	April 18	May 20	July 22
1994	May 4	Sept 2	Oct 29	May 6	Sept 14	Nov 10			
1995	May 9	Aug 12	Oct 8	May 31	June 21	Aug 17			
1996	May 15	Aug 18	Oct 14	June 27	Aug 22	Oct 18			
1997	May 9	Aug 19	Oct 15	May 20	July 10	Sept 5	June 26	June 26	Aug 28
1998	May 19	Aug 16	Oct 12	June 16	Aug 17	Oct 13			
1999	May 3	Aug 20	Oct 16				May 15	June 13	Aug 15
2000	May 1	Sept 1	Oct 28	June 4	Aug 15	Oct 11			
2001	May 1	Sept 14	Nov 10	June 8	July 16	Sept 11	June 19	July 11	Sept 12

¹ The expected date of hatchling emergence for loggerhead, green, and leatherback turtles was determined by adding the average incubation period for each species to the latest nest date. The average incubation period was calculated using the 2001 reproductive success data reported by the PPHs to FWC. The average incubation period was 57 days for loggerhead and green turtles and 63 days for leatherbacks. Incubation periods can vary on annual, seasonal, and spatial scales, however raw data from the PPHs was not available to calculate more accurate incubation periods.

Sources: Meylan *et al.* 1995 for 1988-1992 data and FWC *unpublished data* 2002 for 1993-2001 data.

Table 7-3
The 2001 Procedures Used by the FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holders to Mark Nests in St. Johns County.

Beach Survey Zone	Length km (miles)	FWC Marine Turtle Principal Permit Holder	Daily Start Time	Daily End Time	Seasonal Start Date	Seasonal End Date	Procedures for Nest Marking during 2001 Nesting Season	
North Ponte Vedra	3.9 km (2.4 mi)	Sandy Stam	Usually 6-9 AM; Average 7:30 AM	Usually an hour or more after Start Time	May 1	Around Labor Day, then checked existing nests only	Marked all nests with barricade of 4 stakes connected with orange flagging tape and FWC Sea Turtle Nest sign (Stam pers. comm. 19 Sept 2001).	
Beach Club Drive North	3.0 km (1.8 mi)							
Beach Club Drive South	5.9 km (3.7 mi)	Robert Stoll	5:30- 6 AM, depends on sunrise	Usually finish by 8 AM	First week of May	When last nest emerged	Marked all nests with one stake and FWC Sea Turtle Nest sign. Marked every third nest with 4 stakes: 1 stake landward of nest on beach, 1 stake landward of nest in dune, 2 stakes at 45 degree angles from nest clutch in dune (Stoll pers. comm. 20 Sept 2001).	
Old Ponte Vedra	1.7 km (1.0 mi)	Sandy Stam	<i>Same as Sandy Stam's areas above.</i>					
Guana River State Park	6.8 km (4.2 mi)	J.B. Miller	8:30 AM <i>[Note: During 2002, new Park Biologist begins earlier (Owen pers. comm. 2002).]</i>	15 minutes to 1 hour after Start Time	First week of May; Officially May 15 for INBS	Officially August 15 for INBS; Sometimes monitored after August 15	Marked all nests with one stake landward of nest in the dune. Marked some nests with 3 stakes connected by flagging tape (Dickson pers. comm. 18 Sept 2001).	
Guana River South	5.1 km (3.1 mi)	Sandy Stam	<i>Same as Sandy Stam's areas above.</i>					
South Ponte Vedra	8.0 km (5.0 mi)		<i>[Note: During 2002, Guana River South is listed under the permit of J.B. Miller and monitored by the Park Biologist at GRSP.]</i>					
Vilano Beach	4.5 km (2.8 mi)	Peter Lardner	6:00 AM	6:45-7 AM	First or second week of May	Mid-August	Marked all nests with 4 stakes connected by flagging tape (Lardner pers. comm. 20 Sept 2001).	
Anastasia State Park	7.2 km (4.5 mi)	J.B. Miller	About 6:30 AM, depends on sunrise	About 7:30 AM	May 1	When last nest emerged	Marked all nests with 1 stake, FWC Sea Turtle Nest sign, and self-releasing in situ cage (Wamser pers. comm. 19 Sept 2001). <i>[Note: During 2002, cages will not be used (Miller pers. comm. 2002).]</i>	
St. Augustine Beach	10.1 km (6.3 mi)	Evelyn Stauber	Usually 6-9 AM	30 min- 1 hour after Start Time	May 15	Officially August 15 (some volunteers continued all nests emerged)	Marked all nests with 4 stakes connected by flagging tape (Stauber pers. comm. 20 Sept 2001).	
Fort Matanzas North	7.5 km (4.6 mi)	Andrew Rich	7:00 AM	Usually by 9:00 AM	Officially May 15 for INBS (unless earlier for renourishment)	Officially August 31 for INBS; Often ended in October	Typically did not mark nests. Marked only nests in heavy beach driving areas with stakes connected by flagging tape (Parker pers. comm. 18 Sept 2001). <i>[Note: During 2002, marks all nests with stakes connected by flagging tape in 10-ft radius (Rich pers. comm. 2002).]</i>	
Fort Matanzas South	4.1 km (2.6 mi)							

Table 8-1

General Funding Sources for HCP Programs and Policies.

Funding Source	User Group	Approximate Proportion of Contribution	Guidelines for Types of HCP Programs to be Funded
Category III Tourist Development Tax	Beach Visitors and Tourists	1/3	Public awareness materials; horseback riding registration program
General Fund	Taxpayers of St. Johns County	1/3	Beach Lighting Management Program; support of Sea Turtle Nest Monitoring Plan
Beach Toll Revenue	Beach Drivers	1/3	Rut Removal Plan; all programs and materials required for implementation of HCP beach driving policies, such as barricades, signage, mounted trash receptacles, marking traffic lanes and Conservation Zone.

**Table 8-2
Proposed HCP Budget**

Minimization Measures	Recommended Funding Source	Associated Costs	Alternative Measures
HCP Coordinator	Beach Fund & General Fund	1x full time position at \$30,056 \$30,056 + Personal Services = \$40,743 Operating expenses at \$6,500 Contractual Services \$35,000 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$82,243</i>	
7.2.1 Restricting public vehicular beach access to the hours between 8:00 AM and 8:00 PM from May 1 through October 31.	Beach Fund	4 LG Zone Units Clearing beach within zones commencing 1830 to 2030 hours. Two individuals per patrol unit: 4(2 x \$11.08 p/hr + 2 x 9.59 p/hr) x 184 days = \$30,176 \$30,176 + Personal Services = \$34,144 Signage \$600 / Communications \$1,470 Vehicle Expenses = \$4,500 / Estimated Expense \$40,714 Current Expenditures = \$14,546 / <i>Increase of \$26,168</i>	Note 7.2.6 Alternative 1 additional Code Enforcement HCP Ranger 1700 to 2100 hrs 1(4 x 11.08) x 184 days = \$8,096 + Personal Services = \$9,161 Vehicle Expenses = \$200 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$9,361</i>
7.2.2 Installing & Maintaining Traffic Barricades	Beach Fund	Ongoing expense regardless of HCP status. No additional expenditure requirements over current beach management practices.	
7.2.3 Reducing the length of beaches from 14.7 miles to proposed 10.2 miles	Beach Fund	Signage north of Vilano Ramp estimated at \$900.00 Signage at Fort Matanzas estimated at \$120.00 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$1,020.00</i>	

Minimization Measures	Recommended Funding Source	Associated Costs	Alternative Measures
7.2.4 Development and implementation of rut removal program	Beach Fund	1 x (4x4) ATV \$5,300 Rut Removal Device \$250 Vehicle Expenses \$400 1 x Driver commencing June 17 through October 31 from 1830 to 2030 hrs (sliding scale schedule) 2 x \$7.54 p/hr x 137 days = \$2,055 \$2,055 + Personal Services = \$2,325 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$8,275</i>	Note: 7.2.6 Alternative Rut Removal Device \$250 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$250</i>
7.2.5 Monitoring & Conspicuous marking of all sea turtle nests in the plan area	General Fund	Supported and administered by HCP Coordinator Materials \$500 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$500</i>	
7.2.6 Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures	Beach Fund	Estimate provided by Sheriff's Office \$331,200 Current Expenditures = \$142,448 / Increase of \$220,000 * Note Attached Proposal	4 Code Enforcement HCP Rangers (2 on North Beach + 2 on South Beach Staggered shift 0800 – 1700 hrs & 1200 – 2100 hrs commencing May 1 through October 31) (4 x 11.08 p/hr) x 184 days = \$65,231 + Personal Services = \$73,809 4 x (4x4) ATV \$21,200 Vehicle Expenses \$2,500 Communications \$960 Operating Supplies \$150 <i>Total Estimated Expenditures = \$98,619</i>

Minimization Measures	Recommended Funding Source	Associated Costs	Alternative Measures
7.2.7 Developing and distributing of public awareness materials	TDC Category III	Educational Brochures to all purchasers of Beach Passes \$14,000 Educational brochures to hotel/motel/chamber \$3,000 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$17,000</i>	
7.2.8 Elevating trash receptacles on posts along public driving areas	Beach Fund	125 Posts supporting 250 trash receptacles <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$6,250</i>	
7.2.9 Increased Enforcement of existing Conservation Zone	Beach Fund	Note 7.2.6 Place post for trash receptacles along conservation zone Signage for post \$900 <i>Total Estimated Expense = \$900</i>	

Mitigation Measures	Recommended Funding Source	Associated Costs	Alternative Measures
7.3.1 Develop proactive beach lighting management program, including St. Augustine Beach	General Fund	<p>Encompassed within Proposed Beach Lighting Officer proposed in 2003 budget process estimated at \$10,334</p> <p>Used 4x4 Vehicle & associated expenses \$18,400</p> <p>Operating Supplies \$3,500</p> <p><i>Total Estimated Expense = \$32,234</i></p>	
7.3.2 Develop a horseback riding registration program	TDC Category III	<p>Training Program (web based/hard copy) Minimal Cost</p> <p>Registration Cards & Kiosks \$1,200</p> <p>Enforcement: Note 7.2.6</p> <p><i>Total Estimated Expense = \$1,200</i></p>	
7.3.3 Restrict Porpoise Point vehicular access to allow re-establishment of natural dune features	Beach Fund	<p>Marking traffic corridor from porpoise point ramp to St. Augustine inlet including marking of 30 foot conservation zone</p> <p>Enforcement: Note 7.2.6</p> <p><i>Total Estimated Expense = \$1,500</i></p>	
		Total Estimated Expense: \$397,290	\$251,077
		Total Minus Capital Outlay: \$370,740	\$208,627

Fund Account	Designation	2003 Proposed Budget
134	Sheriff's Beach Patrol	\$62,000
135	City of St. Augustine Beach – Beach Patrol	\$58,000
136	Lifesaving Corps	\$457,415
137	Beach Services	\$132,799
138	Beach Toll Collections	\$290,493
***	Habitat Conservation Plan	\$251,077 – \$397,290
	TOTAL	\$1,251,784 - \$1,397,997

Revenue Sources	2003 Estimated Revenue
Beach Access Fees	\$980,000
ASRA Contract	\$29,500
General Fund	\$21,000
TOTAL	\$1,030,500

Primary Revenue Sources:

1. Beach Toll Collections
2. TDC Category III
3. General Fund

Additional Revenue Sources:

1. Off-Beach Parking
2. Special Event Permit Fees
3. Code Violations

Table 9-1

Schedule of HCP Implementation Activities.

Activity	Information/Materials Furnished to USFWS	Deadline for Completion ¹
Advertise for HCP Coordinator, Beach Lighting Officer, and Beach Rangers	Copies of job descriptions as advertised	Upon issuance of ITP
Hire HCP Coordinator and Beach Lighting Officer, and Beach Rangers	Copies of resumes	3 months
Prepare appropriate ordinance amendments	Copies of ordinance amendments	1 month
Restricting public vehicular access to the beaches between 5:00 AM and 10:00 PM between May 15 and October 15	NA	Upon passage of appropriate ordinance amendments (Target: 3 Months)
Increased and dedicated enforcement of beach driving policies and procedures	NA	Upon issuance of ITP
Increased enforcement of existing Conservation Zone regulations	NA	Upon issuance of ITP
Installation of traffic barricades at beach ramps that will effectively restrict non-authorized vehicles from accessing the beach	Copies of procedures and maintenance schedules	3 months

Table 9-1
(Continued)

Activity	Information/Materials Furnished to USFWS	Deadline for Completion ¹
Installation of appropriate signage and barricades to reduce the length of beach upon which public vehicles are allowed to operate	Copies of procedures and maintenance schedules	3 months
Develop public awareness material regarding driving regulations and protected species' issues	Copy of draft flier for review and approval	1 year
Distribution of public awareness material	NA	3 months following USFWS approval
Meeting to standardize sea turtle nest monitoring activities among PPHs	Notification of meeting	2 months
Develop Countywide Sea Turtle Nest Monitoring Plan	Copy of Sea Turtle Nest Monitoring Plan for review and approval	4 months
Initiation of Countywide Sea Turtle Monitoring Program	NA	During first full nesting season following USFWS approval of Monitoring Program ²
Develop Rut Removal Plan	Copy of Rut Removal Plan for review and approval	1 month ³
Implement Rut Removal Plan	NA	During first full nesting season following USFWS approval of Rut Removal Plan
Develop proactive Beach Lighting Management Program	Copy of Beach Lighting Management Program for review and approval	6 months
Implement proactive Beach Lighting Management Program (BLMP)	NA	First full nesting season following USFWS approval of BLMP

Table 9-1
(Continued)

Activity	Information/Materials Furnished to USFWS	Deadline for Completion ¹
Elevate trash receptacles, thereby demarking Conservation Zone along public driving areas within AIBM habitat (i.e. Anastasia Island)	Copies of procedures and maintenance schedules	8 months
Develop Horseback Riding Monitoring Program	Copy of Horseback Riding Monitoring Program for review and approval	10 months
Initiate Horseback Riding Monitoring Program	NA	1 year
Apply for additional funding for additional research and/or monitoring programs	Names of individuals and/or agencies involved, goals, methods, locations, authorizations, as appropriate, and time allocations	9 months
HCP budgets for current and/or next fiscal years	Proposed budgets for HCP programs for current and/or next fiscal years	3 months
Unforeseen circumstances not specifically addressed in HCP having potentially significant impacts on sea turtles and/or AIBM	Description of the event/situation, geographic and temporal extent to which the beach is affected, and the potential for impacts to sea turtles, AIBM, and/or other protected species in the Plan Area	5 business days following unforeseen circumstance
Annual Report	Data summary and HCP program evaluation	March 31 of each year (commencing with March 31 following the first nesting season that the HCP is in effect)

Table 9-1
(Continued)

Activity	Information/Materials Furnished to USFWS	Deadline for Completion ¹
Develop standard operating procedures for responding to daytime nesting and hatchling events	Copies of procedures for review and approval	3 months
Implement standard operating procedures for responding to daytime nesting and hatchling events	NA	6 months
Develop beach driving management plan for Summer Haven	Copies of procedures for review and approval	4 months
Implement beach driving management plan for Summer Haven	NA	8 months
Formal HCP Review	Review and evaluation of HCP programs and sea turtle and AIBM protection measures	Annually for the first 3 years and every 5 years thereafter
HCP updates	Revisions to reflect USFWS-approved changes to HCP programs	Every 5 years

¹ All deadlines are from date of issuance of ITP, unless otherwise specified.² Marking and barricading of all sea turtle nests will commence upon issuance of the ITP.³ The proposed Plan will be implemented upon issuance of the ITP, and any required changes will be phased in over a 60-day period following USFWS approval.

- H. Park the permitted vehicle on any portion of the beach other than in the parking area;
- I. Cause the permitted vehicle to enter or exit the beach other than through an open vehicular approach;
- J. Cause the permitted vehicle to enter the beach without having paid the required vehicular access fee;
- K. Fail to obey any directive of a beach ranger, PSA, or law enforcement officer authorized under the provisions of the Beach Code;
- L. Intentionally cause sand to be thrown into the air or across any beach area;
- M. Operate a horn except for a bonafide safety purpose.

Furthermore, I understand that, at all times, I shall yield the right-of-way to pedestrians, and shall take such precautions as may be necessary before parking, or before moving the permitted vehicle previously parked, to avoid accident or collision with or injury to any persons or property.

In addition, I understand that soft sand and extreme tidal conditions may limit vehicle access. As the permit holder it shall be my responsibility to promptly remove the permitted vehicle should it succumb to the sand and/or tides. It shall also be my responsibility to fill in any holes left during the removal of said vehicle.

Finally, I understand that any violation to the aforementioned activities including any violation to the St. Johns County Beach Code may lead to a citation and the immediate revocation of my permit.

Printed Name of Applicant

Signature of Applicant

Date

Permit Issued By

Date

Permit Number

Expiration Date

APPENDIX D. FLORIDA NATURAL AREAS INVENTORY OF RARE PLANTS AND ANIMALS WITHIN THE HCP PLAN AREA, ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA

FLORIDA NATURAL AREAS INVENTORY

1018 Thomasville Road, Suite 200-C, Tallahassee, FL 32303 (850) 224-8207

April, 1998

Page 1

St. Johns County Summary
Rare Species and Natural Communities

Occurrence		Global	State	Federal	State	
Scientific Name	Common Name	Rank*	Rank*	Status*	Status*	Status†
FISH						
<i>Acipenser brevirostrum</i>	shortnose sturgeon	G3	S1	LE	LE	C
<i>Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus</i>		G3T7	S1	N	N	C
<i>Agonostomus monticola</i>	mountain mullet	G5	S3	N	N	C
<i>Ameiurus brunneus</i>	snail bullhead	G4	S3	N	N	P
<i>Awaous tajasca</i>	river goby	G5	S1S2	N	N	P
<i>Microphis brachyurus</i>	opossum pipefish	G5	S2	N	N	C
<i>Notropis cummingsae</i>	dusky shiner	G5	S4	N	N	P
<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>	sea lamprey	G5	SA	N	N	C
AMPHIBIANS						
<i>Notophthalmus perstriatus</i>	striped newt	G2G3	S2S3	N	N	P
<i>Rana capito</i>	gopher frog	G4	S3	N	LS	P
REPTILES						
<i>Alligator mississippiensis</i>	American alligator	G5	S4	T(S/A)	LS	C
<i>Caretta caretta</i>	loggerhead	G3	S3	LT	LT	C
<i>Chelonia mydas</i>	green turtle	G3	S2	LE	LE	C
<i>Clemmys guttata</i>	spotted turtle	G5	S3?	N	N	C
<i>Crotalus adamanteus</i>	eastern diamondback rattlesnake	G5	S3	N	N	C
<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>	leatherback	G3	S2	LE	LE	C
<i>Drymarchon corais couperi</i>	eastern indigo snake	G4T3	S3	LT	LT	C
<i>Gopherus polyphemus</i>	gopher tortoise	G3	S3	N	LS	C
<i>Lepidochelys kempii</i>	Kemp's ridley	G1	S1	LE	LE	P
<i>Pituophis melanoleucus mugitus</i>	Florida pine snake	G5T3?	S3	N	LS	C
BIRDS						
<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>	Cooper's hawk	G4	S3?	N	N	P
<i>Aimophila aestivalis</i>	Bachman's sparrow	G3	S3	N	N	P
<i>Ajaia ajaja</i>	roseate spoonbill	G5	S2S3	N	LS	P
<i>Aramus guarana</i>	limpkin	G5	S3	N	LS	P
<i>Ardea alba</i>	great egret	G5	S4	N	N	C
<i>Charadrius melodus</i>	piping plover	G3	S2	LT	LT	C
<i>Egretta caerulea</i>	little blue heron	G5	S4	N	LS	C
<i>Egretta thula</i>	snowy egret	G5	S4	N	LS	P
<i>Egretta tricolor</i>	tricolored heron	G5	S4	N	LS	C
<i>Elanoides forficatus</i>	swallow-tailed kite	G4	S2S3	N	N	C
<i>Eudocimus albus</i>	white ibis	G5	S4	N	LS	C
<i>Falco columbarius</i>	merlin	G5	SU	N	N	P
<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	peregrine falcon	G4	S2	LE	LE	P
<i>Falco sparverius paulus</i>	southeastern American kestrel	G5T3T4	S3?	N	LT	P
<i>Haematopus palliatus</i>	American oystercatcher	G5	S3	N	LS	P
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	bald eagle	G4	S3	LT	LT	C
<i>Ixobrychus exilis</i>	least bittern	G5	S4	N	N	P
<i>Laterallus jamaicensis</i>	black rail	G4	S3?	N	N	P
<i>Mycteria americana</i>	wood stork	G4	S2	LE	LE	C

FLORIDA NATURAL AREAS INVENTORY

1018 Thomasville Road, Suite 200-C, Tallahassee, FL 32303 (850) 224-8207

April, 1998

Page 2

St. Johns County Summary
Rare Species and Natural Communities

Occurrence		Global	State	Federal	State	
Scientific Name	Common Name	Rank*	Rank*	Status*	Status*	Status†
<i>Nyctanassa violacea</i>	yellow-crowned night-heron	G5	S3?	N	N	P
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	black-crowned night-heron	G5	S3?	N	N	P
<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	osprey	G5	S3S4	N	LS**	P
<i>Pelecanus occidentalis</i>	brown pelican	G4	S3	N	LS	P
<i>Picoides borealis</i>	red-cockaded woodpecker	G3	S2	LE	LT	P
<i>Picoides villosus</i>	hairy woodpecker	G5	S3?	N	N	P
<i>Plegadis falcinellus</i>	glossy ibis	G5	S2	N	N	P
<i>Rynchops niger</i>	black skimmer	G5	S3	N	LS	P
<i>Sterna antillarum</i>	least tern	G4	S3	N	LT	C
<i>Sterna caspia</i>	Caspian tern	G5	S2?	N	N	P
<i>Sterna maxima</i>	royal tern	G5	S3	N	N	C
<i>Sterna sandvicensis</i>	sandwich tern	G5	S2	N	N	P
<u>MAMMALS</u>						
<i>Corynorhinus rafinesquii</i>	Rafinesque's big-eared bat	G3	S3?	N	N	P
<i>Eubalaena glacialis</i>	black right whale	G1	S1	LE	LE	C
<i>Mustela frenata olivacea</i>	southeastern weasel	G5T4	S3?	N	N	P
<i>Mustela vison lunatus</i>	Atlantic salt marsh mink	G5T3	S2	N	N	C
<i>Neofiber alleni</i>	round-tailed muskrat	G3	S3	N	N	P
<i>Peromyscus polionotus phasma</i>	Anastasia beach mouse	G5T1	S1	LE	LE	C
<i>Podomys floridanus</i>	Florida mouse	G3	S3	N	LS	P
<i>Sciurus niger shermani</i>	Sherman's fox squirrel	G5T2	S2	N	LS	P
<i>Sorex longirostris longirostris</i>	southeastern shrew	G5T5	S4	N	N	P
<i>Trichechus manatus</i>	manatee	G2?	S2?	LE	LE	C
<i>Ursus americanus floridanus</i>	Florida black bear	G5T2	S2	C	LT**	C
<u>VASCULAR PLANTS</u>						
<i>Adiantum tenerum</i>	brittle maidenhair fern	G5	S3	N	LE	R
<i>Asclepias viridula</i>	southern milkweed	G2	S2	N	LT	C
<i>Baptisia calycosa var calycosa</i>	Canby's wild indigo	G2T1	S1	N	N	C
<i>Calamovilfa curtissii</i>	Curtiss' sandgrass	G3	S3	N	LT	R
<i>Calydorea coelestina</i>	Bartram's ixia	G2	S2	N	LE	C
<i>Chamaesyce cumulicola</i>	sand-dune spurge	G2	S2	N	LE	C
<i>Cheiroglossa palmata</i>	hand fern	G4	S2	N	LE	R
<i>Ctenium floridanum</i>	Florida toothache grass	G2	S2	N	N	C
<i>Glandularia maritima</i>	coastal vervain	G3	S3	N	LE	C
<i>Hedyotis nigricans var pulvinata</i>	narrow-leaved bluets	G5T1Q	S1	N	N	C
<i>Helianthus carnosus</i>	lake-side sunflower	G1G2	S1S2	N	LE	C
<i>Litsea aestivalis</i>	pondspice	G3	S2	N	LE	C
<i>Monotropsis reynoldsiae</i>	pigmy pipes	G1Q	S1	N	LE	C
<i>Nemastylis floridana</i>	fall-flowering ixia	G2	S2	N	LE	C
<i>Nolina atopocarpa</i>	Florida beargrass	G3	S3	N	LT	C
<i>Pteroglossaspis ecrinata</i>	wild coco	G2G3	S2	N	LT	C
<i>Pycnanthemum floridanum</i>	Florida mountain-mint	G3	S3	N	N	C
<i>Rhynchospora punctata</i>	pineland beakrush	G1?	S1?	N	N	C
<i>Rudbeckia nitida</i>	St. John's Susan	G1G2	S1S2	N	LE	C
<i>Ruellia noctiflora</i>	white-flowered wild petunia	G2G3	S2	N	LE	C

FLORIDA NATURAL AREAS INVENTORY

1018 Thomasville Road, Suite 200-C, Tallahassee, FL 32303 (850) 224-8207

April, 1998

Page 3

St. Johns County Summary
Rare Species and Natural Communities

Occurrence		Global	State	Federal	State	
Scientific Name	Common Name	Rank*	Rank*	Status*	Status*	Status†
<i>Spiranthes polyantha</i>	green ladies'-tresses	G3G5	S1S2	N	LE	R
<i>Verbesina heterophylla</i>	variable-leaf crownbeard	G2	S2	N	N	C
<u>NATURAL COMMUNITIES</u>						
Basin Swamp		G4?	S3	N	N	C
Baygall		G4?	S4?	N	N	C
Beach Dune		G4?	S2	N	N	C
Coastal Grassland		G3	S2	N	N	C
Coastal Interdunal Swale		G3	S2	N	N	C
Coastal Strand		G3?	S2	N	N	C
Depression Marsh		G4?	S3	N	N	C
Dome Swamp		G4?	S3?	N	N	C
Estuarine Tidal Marsh		G4	S4	N	N	C
Floodplain Swamp		G?	S4?	N	N	C
Hydric Hammock		G?	S4?	N	N	C
Maritime Hammock		G4	S2	N	N	C
Mesic Flatwoods		G?	S4	N	N	C
Sandhill		G2G3	S2	N	N	C
Scrubby Flatwoods		G3	S3	N	N	C
Scrub		G2	S2	N	N	C
Xeric Hammock		G?	S3	N	N	C
<u>OTHER</u>						
Bird rookery				N	N	C

* See attached *FNAI Rank Explanations* sheet for definitions of Global and State Ranks, and State and Federal Status** See attached *FNAI Rank Explanations* sheet, *Special Animal Listings - State and Federal Status* section

† COUNTY OCCURRENCE STATUS

Vertebrates and Invertebrates:

C = (Confirmed) Occurrence status derived from a documented record in the FNAI data base.

P = (Potential) Occurrence status derived from a reported occurrence for the county, or the occurrence lies within the published range of the taxon.

N = (Nesting) For sea turtles only; occurrence status derived from documented nesting occurrences.

Plants, Natural Communities, and Other:

C = (Confirmed) Occurrence status derived from a documented record in the FNAI data base or from a herbarium specimen.

R = (Reported) Occurrence status derived from published reports.

Florida Natural Areas Inventory Rank Explanations

July 2000

FEDERAL AND STATE LEGAL STATUSES

Provided by FNAI for information only.
For official definitions and lists of protected species, consult the relevant state or federal agency.

FEDERAL LEGAL STATUS

Definitions derived from U.S. Endangered Species Act of 1973, Sec. 3. Note that the federal status given by FNAI refers only to Florida populations and that federal status may differ elsewhere.

- LE Endangered: species in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.
- LT Threatened: species likely to become Endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.
- E(S/A) Endangered due to similarity of appearance to a species which is federally listed such that enforcement personnel have difficulty in attempting to differentiate between the listed and unlisted species.
- T(S/A) Threatened due to similarity of appearance (see above).
- PE Proposed for listing as Endangered species.
- PT Proposed for listing as Threatened species.
- C Candidate species for which federal listing agencies have sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support proposing to list the species as Endangered or Threatened.
- XN Non-essential experimental population.
- MC Not currently listed, but of management concern to USFWS.
- N Not currently listed, nor currently being considered for listing as Endangered or Threatened.

FLORIDA LEGAL STATUSES

Animals: Definitions derived from "Florida's Endangered Species and Species of Special Concern, Official Lists" published by Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, 1 August 1997, and subsequent updates.

- LE Endangered: species, subspecies, or isolated population so few or depleted in number or so restricted in range that it is in imminent danger of extinction.
- LT Threatened: species, subspecies, or isolated population facing a very high risk of extinction in the future.
- LS Species of Special Concern is a species, subspecies, or isolated population which is facing a moderate risk of extinction in the future.
- PE Proposed for listing as Endangered.
- PT Proposed for listing as Threatened.
- PS Proposed for listing as Species of Special Concern.
- N Not currently listed, nor currently being considered for listing.

Plants: Definitions derived from Sections 581.011 and 581.185(2), Florida Statutes, and the Preservation of Native Flora of Florida Act, 5B-40.001. FNAI does not track all state-regulated plant species; for a complete list of state-regulated plant species, call Florida Division of Plant Industry, 352-372-3505.

- LE Endangered: species of plants native to Florida that are in imminent danger of extinction within the state, the survival of which is unlikely if the causes of a decline in the number of plants continue; includes all species determined to be endangered or threatened pursuant to the U.S. Endangered Species Act.
- LT Threatened: species native to the state that are in rapid decline in the number of plants within the state, but which have not so decreased in number as to cause them to be Endangered.
- PE Proposed for listing as Endangered.
- PT Proposed for listing as Threatened.
- N Not currently listed, nor currently being considered for listing.

*Florida Natural Areas Inventory Rank Explanations**July 2000***GLOBAL AND STATE RANKS**

Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) defines an element as any rare or exemplary component of the natural environment, such as a species, natural community, bird rookery, spring, sinkhole, cave, or other ecological feature. FNAI assigns two ranks to each element found in Florida: the **global rank**, which is based on an element's worldwide status, and the **state rank**, which is based on the status of the element within Florida. Element ranks are based on many factors, including estimated number of occurrences, estimated abundance (for species and populations) or area (for natural communities), estimated number of adequately protected occurrences, range, threats, and ecological fragility.

GLOBAL RANK DEFINITIONS

- G1** Critically imperiled globally because of extreme rarity (5 or fewer occurrences or less than 1000 individuals) or because of extreme vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or human factor.
- G2** Imperiled globally because of rarity (6 to 20 occurrences or less than 3000 individuals) or because of vulnerability to extinction due to some natural or human factor.
- G3** Either very rare and local throughout its range (21-100 occurrences or less than 10,000 individuals), or found locally in a restricted range, or vulnerable to extinction from other factors.
- G4** Apparently secure globally (may be rare in parts of range).
- G5** Demonstrably secure globally.
- GH** Occurred historically throughout its range, but has not been observed for many years.
- GX** Believed to be extinct throughout range.
- GXC** Extirpated from the wild but still known from captivity or cultivation.
- G#?** Rank uncertain (e.g., G2?).
- G#G#** Range of rank; insufficient data to assign specific global rank (e.g., G2G3)
- G#T#** Rank of a taxonomic subgroup such as a subspecies or variety; the G portion of the rank refers to the entire species, and the T portion refers to the subgroup; T# has same definition as G#.
- G#Q** Ranked as species but there is some question as to whether it is a valid species.
- G#T#Q** Same as above, but validity as subspecies or variety is questioned.
- GU** Global rank unknown; due to lack of information, no rank or range can be assigned.
- G?** Temporarily not ranked.

STATE RANK DEFINITIONS


State ranks (**S#**) follow the same system and have the same definitions as global ranks, except they apply only to Florida, with the following additions:

- SA** Accidental in Florida and not part of the established biota.
- SE** Exotic species established in Florida (may be native elsewhere in North America).
- SX** Believed to be extirpated from state.

1018 Thomasville Road, Suite 200-C
Tallahassee, FL 32303
(850) 224-8207

Florida Natural Areas Inventory

Coast of St. Johns County (Map 1 of 2)



LEGEND

Element Occurrences:
 sec min gen
 ● ▲ ▲ ▲
 ● ▲ ▲ ▲
 ● ▲ ▲ ▲
 ● ▲ ▲ ▲

Managed Areas:
 FL Game & Fresh Water Fish Breeding Bird Atlas Project
 US Fish & Wildlife Service Scrub Jay Survey
 Federal
 State
 Local
 Private
 Aquatic Preserves

Land Acquisition Projects:
 Conservation and Recreation Lands (CARL) 2000 Projects
 Potential Natural Areas

Non-managed Natural Areas:
 FNAI Potential Habitat for Rare Species
 Principal highways
 Secondary highways
 Local roads
 County boundaries
 Water

0 1 2 3 4 5 Miles

NOTE: Map should not be interpreted without accompanying documents.

Prepared by J. Gething
16 October 2001
Data Source: FNAI 03/01

1018 Thomasville Road, Suite 200-C
Tallahassee, FL 32303
(850) 224-8207

Florida Natural Areas Inventory

Coast of St. Johns County (Map 2 of 2)



LEGEND

Element Occurrences:
Precision: sec min gen

- Animals
- Plants
- Natural Communities
- Other

FL Game & Fresh Water Fish
Breeding Bird Atlas Project
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Scrub Jay Survey

Managed Areas:

- Federal
- State
- Local
- Private
- Aquatic Preserves

Land Acquisition Projects:

- Conservation and Recreation Lands (CARL) 2000 Projects

Non-managed Natural Areas:

- Potential Natural Areas
- FNAI Potential Habitat for Rare Species

Principal highways
Secondary highways
Local roads
County boundaries
Water



Prepared by J. Oetting
16 October 2001
Data Source: FNAI 03/01

NOTE: Map should not be interpreted without accompanying documents.

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL BANK	STATE BANK	FEDERAL STATUS	STATE STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
AGONMONT'0002	AGONOSTOMUS MONTICOLA	MOUNTAIN MULLET	G5	S3	N	N	1989-08-03	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	4 SPECIMENS COLLECTED (UF-006924).
AJAJAJA'0065	AJAJA AJAJA	ROSEATE SPOONBILL	G5	S2S3	N	LS	1980-06-28	Tidal marsh	1980-06-28: J.A. Howis, GFC - observed 19 adults; Brian Peters from Ft. Meigs National Monument reports spoonbills have been in this area for the past couple of months.
ARDEALBA'0002	ARDEA ALBA	GREAT EGRET	G5	S4	N	N	1976-06-17	FRESH WATER MARSH, NESTING SUBSTRATES INCLUDE CYPRESS AND BROADLEAF TREES (> 7 M).	AERIAL SURVEY OF 10 NESTS, FLYING OR FLEDGED YOUNG PRESENT 1976-06-17; NOT PRESENT 1977-04; ROOKERY UNUSED 1978.
ARDEALBA'0067	ARDEA ALBA	GREAT EGRET	G5	S4	N	N	1978-08	CYPRESS DOME AREA SURROUNDING DOME DOMINATED BY PINES AND PALMETTOS; NESTING SUBSTRATE IS CYPRESS AND BUSHES OVER WATER.	25-35 PAIRS IN 7/78; 12 PAIRS IN 8/78.
BEACDUNE'0024	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	N	1984	WELL DEVELOPED DUNES, ACCRETING TO N. ERODING IN S.	SEA OATS, BEACH ELDER, & SALT MEADOW CORD GRASS.
BEACDUNE'0180	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	N	1991-10-19	SEA OATS PLATEAU AND BLUFF FACE - BROAD BEACH WITH BICYCLES ALONG IT. NO CARS ON BEACH. HIGH SHELL CONTENT.	UNIOLA PANICULATA-45%; SPARTINA PATENS-5%; IVA IMBRICATA-10%; SABAL PALMETTO-1%; YUCCA ALCOFOLIA-1%; SPOROBOLUS VIRGINICUS-3%; HYDROCOTYLE BONARIENSIS-5%; IPOMOEA STOLONIFERA-2%; ORUNTHIA STRICTA 1%; ATRIPLEX PENTANDRA (DEAD)-1%.
BEACDUNE'0181	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	N	1991-10-19	SMALL 1-3' DUNE FOLLOWED BY A 25-30' STABLE DUNE RIDGE - FRONT EDGE IS DOMINATED BY SEA OATS. (SPECIES LIST IN EODATA IS FOR SMALLER DUNE.) SMALL WOODEN FENCE ON SEAWARD EDGE OF SMALL DUNE IN SOME AREAS. "DNR SEA OATS RESTORATION."	SPOROBOLUS VIRGINICUS-A; HYDROCOTYLE BONARIENSIS-C; IVA IMBRICATA (IN PATCHES)-O; HETEROTHECA SUBAXILLARIS-O; ATRIPLEX PENTANDRA-A; CHAMAESYCE BOMBENSIS-O, FIRST DUNE (1-3' TALL); UNIOLA PANICULATA-A; SPARTINA PATENS-LA; HETEROTH

10/16/2001

1

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATE RANK	STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
BEACDUNE'0182	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	1991-10-18	35' BLUFF WITH GRASSY SEAWARD FACE AND 30 M GRASSY "PLATEAU" AT BASE. NARROW SHELLY BEACH WITH DRIFT TO BASE OF SEA OATS. NO SIGN OF EROSION FROM RECENT NORTHEASTERS THAT HIT JACKSONVILLE BCH.	SEA OATS (UNOLA PANICULATA) DOMINANT; BEACH CORDGRASS (SPARTINA PATENS) DOMINANT IN DIP AT BASE OF BLUFF. CENCHRUS STOLONIFERA COMMON AT CREST OF BLUFF; ATRIPLEX PENTANDRA OCCASIONAL ON DRIFTLINE (DEAD); IVA IMBRICATA SCATTERED THRO
BEACDUNE'0183	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	1991-10-19	SEA OATS ON 30' BLUFF AND 30 M PLATEAU SEAWARD.	UNOLA PANICULATA-70%; HETEROTHECA SUBAXILLARIS-10%; IVA IMBRICATA-10%; SPOROBOLUS VIRGINICUS-5%; IPOMOEA STOLONIFERA; SPARTINA PATENS-25%; CHAMAESYCE BOMBENSIS-1%; IPOMOPSIS RUBRA00-2%; OPLINTIA STRICTA-1%; CROTON PUNCTATUS-5%.
BEACDUNE'0184	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	1991-10-18	BEACH DUNE CURVING UP INTO A LARGE (40') DUNE RIDGE STABILIZED BY DUNE VEGETATION ON THE SEAWARD EDGE (DOMINATED BY SEA OATS AND BEACH CORDGRASS) AND STRAND VEGETATION ON TOP (RED BAY, MYRTLE OAK, YAUPON AND OTHERS).	COCODONANTS: UNOLA PANICULATA, SPARTINA PATENS; LOCALLY ABUNDANT: TRIPULSIS PURPUREA; FREQUENT: IVA IMBRICATA; COMMON: HETEROTHECA SUBAXILLARIS, HYDROCOTYLE BONARIENSIS, IPOMOEA STOLONIFERA, CHAMAESYCE BOMBENSIS; OCCASIONAL: ATRIPLEX PENTANDRA, SPOROBOL
BEACDUNE'0187	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	1991-10-20	BEACH DUNE (IN MOUNDS TO 20' TALL WITH ABOUT 60% VEG. COVER) SLOPES DOWN THROUGH A PIONEER VEG. ZONE THEN 50-60 YDS. TO THE WATERS EDGE.	DOMINANT: UNOLA PANICULATA; FREQUENT: HETEROTHECA SUBAXILLARIS; LOCALLY ABUNDANT: CROTON PUNCTATUS, TRIPULSIS PURPUREA; COMMON: HYDROCOTYLE BONARIENSIS, IPOMOEA STOLONIFERA, CENCHRUS SP., STROPHOSTYLES HELVOLA; OCCASIONAL: IPOMOEA PES-CAPRAE, CHAMAESYCE

10/16/2001
2

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	STATE STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
BEACDUNE*0189	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	N	1992-04-26	BROAD, FLAT, RECENTLY PROGRADED, BEACH ITORN UP BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE PREVENTING PLANT COLONIZATION AND DISTURBING BIRD NESTING.	THREE DUNE ROWS OF SEA OATS INDICATE RECENT PROGRADATION. SEA OATS SHOW PROGRESSIVELY MORE DEAD STEMS AS ONE MOVES INLAND WHEREAS TELEGRAPH WEED AND EVENING PRIMROSE INCREASE. CAT BRIAR AND TOUGH BUCKTHORN ARE AMONG FIRST INVADERS FROM STRAND COMMUNITY.
BEACDUNE*0200	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	N	1992-04-25	BEACH DUNE ALONG SOUTH EAST SHORE OF RATTLESNAKE ISLAND N OF RIPRAP.	LOW 3' DUNE OF SEA OATS WITH CROTON PUNCTATUS AND IVA MBRICATA ABUNDANT IN PROGRADING PORTIONS. OTHER SPECIES INCLUDE: PHYSALIS WATERIL, CASSIA CHAMAECRISTA, PANICUM AMARULUM, ATRIPLEX PENTANELRA, SESUVIUM PORTULACASTRUM.
BEACDUNE*0201	BEACH DUNE		G47	S2	N	N	1992-04-26	BROAD FLAT BEACH WITH CARS ON IT.	3 ROWS OF 20' TALL SEA OATS DUNES BEHIND BEACH INDICATE RAPID PROGRADATION. WOODY PLANTS (SMILAX, BULMELIA) INVADING LEE SLOPE OF 3RD DUNE RIDGE. ATRIPLEX AND IVA MBRICATA ON DRIFTLINE.
BIRDROCK*0011	BIRD ROOKERY				N	N	1978-08	CYPRESS DOME AREA SURROUNDING DOME DOMINATED BY PINES & PALMETTOS; NESTING SUBSTRATE IS CYPRESSAND BUSHES OVER WATER.	CATTLE EGRET (300-500 PRS 7/78, 40 PRS 8/78), GREAT EGRET (25-35 PRS 7/78, 12 PRS 8/78), WOOD STORK (25 PRS 7/78; 8 PRS 8/78).
BIRDROCK*0142	BIRD ROOKERY				N	N	1993-05-26	SANDY BEACH.	Active colony: 1987, 1988, 1990-1993 (U87GFC02FLUS), detailed data given in Sterna antillarum EO # 050, 1987-05-07: A TOTAL OF 62 STERNA ANTILLARUM COUNTED (U87CBR01) WITH AN ESTIMATED 31 BREEDING PAIRS IN TWO SUBCOLONIES OF 12 AND 50 BRDS. ALONG CA. 0.

10/16/2001
4

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATE STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
BIRDROOK*0143	BIRD ROOKERY		N	N	1993-05-26	SANDY BEACH.	1993: 05/26 - Hovis reports 6 adults and 2 nests (U87GFC02FLUS). 1988: Nesting began on 02 June and ended on 30 August; 15 nests reported (U87GFC02FLUS). 1987-05-06: AN ESTIMATED 25 PAIRS OF STERNA ANTILLARUM IN COLONY. 1 NEST FOUND (U88OME01FLUS).
BIRDROOK*0147	BIRD ROOKERY		N	N	1993-05-26	COQUINA AND SAND BEACH WITH SEA CATS AND SEA OX-EYE.	1993: 05/26 - Hovis reports 8 adults and 4 young (U87GFC02FLUS) ca. 4.2 miles south of Middel Landing. 1988: 100 PAIRS OF STERNA ANTILLARUM IN COLONY IN 1988 (PND0BER01). 1987: 10 BREEDING PAIRS IN 1987 (U87CBR01).
CARECARE*0005	CARETTA CARETTA	LOGGERHEAD	G3	LT	1980	0.8 KM STRETCH OF ATLANTIC COASTAL BEACH.	NESTING BEACH. 1980: FL DNR RECORDED 3 NESTS IN 0.8 KM STRETCH (AVG. 3.6/MO).
CARECARE*0040	CARETTA CARETTA	LOGGERHEAD	G3	LT	1988	COQUINA AND SAND BEACH; CO POSSIBLY ON DEVELOPED PRIVATE BEACH.	BERKE (1988) REPORTED 15 NESTS: SCRAWLS.
CHAMCUMU*0012	CHAMAESYCE CUMULICOLA	SAND-DUNE SPURGE	G2	N	1964-10-11	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	PROSTRATE, FREQUENT IN SAND (FL & FRUIT)
CHARMELO*0002	CHARADRIUS MELODUS	PIPING PLOVER	G3	(LE-LT) LT	1991-01-17	BEACH DUNE, MARINE UNCONSOLIDATED SUBSTRATES (TIDAL SANDFLATS AND MUDFLATS).	WINTERING SITE. 1991 PIPING PLOVER WINTER CENSUS (U92PWS01FL) FOUND 1 BRD IN 8.0 MILE SURVEY. SMALL NUMBERS REGULARLY OBSERVED IN PAST WINTERS (PNDOUT01FL). ON 21 FEB 87 NICHOLLS OBSERVED 6 FORAGING ON EXPOSED MUDFLATS: SANDFLATS BEHIND THE JETTY AND AT

10/16/2001
5

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL STATE		DATE	OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
			RANK	STATUS				
CHARMELO0014	CHARADRIUS MELODUS	PIPING PLOVER	G3	S2 (LE-LT) LT	1991-01-20	1991	BEACH DUNE AND MARINE UNCONSOLIDATED SUBSTRATE.	WINTERING SITE: 1991 PIPING PLOVER WINTER CENSUS (US2PWS01FL) FOUND 2 BIRDS IN 6.0 MILE SURVEY OF FT. MATANZAS NATIONAL MONUMENT (SEE EO #16). 1987-02-22: TWO CHARADRIUS MELODUS OBSERVED FORAGING WITH A SMALL GROUP OF MULTI-SPECIES SHOREBIRDS ON NARROW S
CHARMELO0015	CHARADRIUS MELODUS	PIPING PLOVER	G3	S2 (LE-LT) LT	1991	1991	BEACH DUNE AND MARINE UNCONSOLIDATED SUBSTRATE (SANDFLATS).	WINTERING SITE: 1991 PIPING PLOVER WINTER CENSUS (US2PWS01FL) FOUND 2 BIRDS IN 6.0 MILE SURVEY OF FT. MATANZAS NATIONAL MONUMENT (SEE EO #16). 1987-02-22: 4 OBSERVED ROOSTING IN A SMALL CLUMP OF GRASS AMONGST A LARGE GROUP OF SHOREBIRDS (U87NIC09FL).
CHELMYDA0017	CHELONIA MYDAS	GREEN TURTLE	G3	S2 (LE-LT) LE	1988	1988	COQUINA AND SAND BEACH, EO POSSIBLY ON DEVELOPED, PRIVATE BEACH.	BERKE (1988) REPORTED 2 NESTS/SCRAWLS.
COASGRAS0066	COASTAL GRASSLAND		G3	S2 N N	1991-10-20	1991-10-20	15-20' DUNES NOT FORMING A CLEAR LINEAR RIDGE/SWALE PATTERN.	MAIN COMPONENT IS BIENNIAL HERB, TELEGRAPH WEED (HETEROTHECA SUBAELLARIS). OTHER SPECIES INCLUDE SEA OATS, UNIOLA PANICULATA, BEACH MORNING GLORY (IPOMOEA STOLONIFERA), AND BROOMSEDGE (ANDROPOGON SP.).
COASGRAS0067	COASTAL GRASSLAND		G3	S2 N N	1991-10-20	1991-10-20	MAT OF VINES AND HERBS COVERING BACKDUNES AT FORMER TIP OF PENINSULA (OLD BOUNDARY OF STATE PARK) BEFORE JOINED TO CONCH ISLAND.	80-100% COVER: HETEROTHECA SUBAELLARIS-50 TO 80%; STOPHOCOTYLE HELVOLA-20 TO 30%; HYDROCOTYLE BONARIENSIS-10 TO 20%; SMILAX AURICULATA-20%; RUBUS SP -10%; OPUNTIA STRICTA-2%; OPUNTIA PLUSILLA-2%; CENCHRUS INCERTUS-5%.

10/16/2001
6

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
COASGRAS*0068	COASTAL GRASSLAND		G3	S2	N N N	1991-10-20	HIGHER AREA COVERED BY MAT OF FORBS. DREDGE SPOIL WITH MANY SHELLS MIXED INTO QUARTZ SAND.	OPEN HERBACEOUS VEGETATION OF ABOUT 75% COVER ON HARDENED SURFACE OF 50% SAND/50% SHELL (DREDGE SPOIL). DOMINANT HERBACEOUS SPECIES ARE BROOMSEDGE (ANDROPOGON VIRGINICUS) AND TELEGRAPH WEED (PETEROTHECA SUBAQUILLARIS). SCATTERED CLUMPS OF WOODY SPECIES ARE
COASGRAS*0070	COASTAL GRASSLAND		G3	S2	N N N	1992-04-02	FL.D.O.T. AERIAL ST. JOHNS COUNTY #11, 11/7/88. ERODED AREA - NARROW GRASSY ZONE BEHIND SEA OATS FOREDUNE.	GRASSY AREA WHERE BEACH SAND SPILLED INTO LOW SWALE; BEACH CORDGRASS DOMINANT ON LEE SLOPE OF FOREDUNE; SEASHORE PASPALUM DOMINANT IN FLAT BEHIND DUNE PLUS NUMEROUS HERBACEOUS SPP. SUCH AS PHYLLANTHUS ABNORMALIS, GALLARDA PULCHELLA, VITIS AESTIVALIS, ETC.
COASGRAS*0071	COASTAL GRASSLAND		G3	S2	N N N	1992-04-02	FL.D.O.T. AERIAL ST. JOHNS COUNTY #11, 11/7/88. SPRAY PRUNED OAK SCRUB.	DENSE SPRAY-PRUNED SCRUB FORMING THIN BAND SEAWARD OF HAMMOCK, DOMINATED BY VIRGINIA LIVE OAK PLUS TOUGH BUCKTHORN, YAUPON, AND PATCHY MYRTLE OAK.
COASSTRA*0047	COASTAL STRAND		G37	S2	N N N	1991-10-18	DENSE TALL SHRUB STAND ON INLAND SLOPE OF 30' RIDGE ABOVE BEACH.	PERSEA BORBONIA-60%, ILEX VOMITORIA-35%, SABAL PALMETTO-10%, BUMELIA TENAX-10%, MONARDA PUNCTATA-2%.
COASSTRA*0055	COASTAL STRAND		G37	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	DENSE SHRUBBY STAND.	PERSEA BORBONIA-50 TO 75%; QUERCUS GEMINATA-5%; VITIS OF AESTIVALIS-5%; BUMELIA TENAX-10%; SABAL PALMETTO-5%; ERYTHRINA HERBACEA-1%; SERENOA REPENS-25%; MIKANIA CORDIFOLIA-5%.

10/16/2001
7

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL RANK	STATE STATUS	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
COASSTRA'0057	COASTAL STRAND		G3?	S2	N	N	N	1991-10-18	BEAUTIFUL, LARGELY PRISTINE STRAND COMMUNITY ATOP AND LANDWARD OF A 35' DUNE RIDGE AND RUNNING SOME 6-8 MILES ALONG THE COAST.	SHRUBS-ABUNDANT: PERSEA BORBONIA, ILEX VOMITORIA; LOCALLY ABUNDANT: SERENOA REPENS FREQUENT; SABAL PALMETTO; COMMON: SMILAX AURICULATA, HERBS-COMMON: RUBUS SP., PARTHENOCISSUS QUINQUEFOIA, VITIS SP., OCCASIONAL: CENCHRUS SP.; HETEROTHECA SUBAXILLARIS, DWARFED VIRGINIA LIVE OAK (QUERCUS VIRGINIANA) FORMS SOLID CANOPY 5'-20' TALL WITH 1' OF DEAD TWIGS PROTRUDING ABOVE SURFACE. YAUPON FORMS LOWER CANOPY SEAWARD OF OAKS.
COASSTRA'0079	COASTAL STRAND		G3?	S2	N	N	N	1992-04-02	FL.D.O.T. AERIAL ST. JOHNS COUNTY #11, 11/1788. OAK STRAND.	
COASSTRA'0080	COASTAL STRAND		G3?	S2	N	N	N	1992-04-26	DENSE TO SCATTERED WOODY SPECIES SHOWING SPRAY-PRUNED FORM.	COMMON SHRUBS INCLUDE: ILEX VOMITORIA, BUMELIA TENAX, SMILAX AURICULATA, VITIS AESTIVALIS AND JUNIPERUS SILICICOLA. CABBAGE PALMS FEW AND SHORT NEAR A1A. SERENOA REPENS-PATCHES.
COASSWAL'0040	COASTAL INTERDUNAL SWALE		G3	S2	N	N	N	1991-10-20	15-20' DUNES NOT FORMING A CLEAR LINEAR RIDGESWALE PATTERN.	VEGETATED INLAND FROM OCEAN. THOSE NEAREST OCEAN HAVE PLANTS NOT DIFFERENT FROM ADJACENT DUNES: HYDROCOTYLE BONARIENSIS, IPOMOEA STOLONIFERA. FURTHER INLAND THEY ARE DIFFERENTIATED WITH HIGH COVER OF GRASSES AND SEDGES: SPARTINA
CROTADAM'0002	CROTALUS ADAMANTEUS	EASTERN DIAMONDBACK RATTLESNAKE	G4	S3	N	N	N	1995-11-03	Coastal area with Interdunal Swale, Maritime Hammock, Coastal Strand, Coastal Scrub, etc.	1995-11-03: One DOR > 4 ft. adult observed (U95CHA03). 1995-10-17: One snake (ca. 2 ft.) observed (U95CHA06). 1995-05-07: 1 juvenile (ca. 1 ft.) DOR on A1A (U95CHA02). 1995-04-21: 1 juvenile (ca. 1 ft.) ACR on dirt road (U95CHA01). 1995-02-16: One snake.

10/16/2001
8

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL STATE		DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
			RANK	STATUS			
CROTADAMP0003	CROTALUS ADAMANTEUS	EASTERN DIAMONDBACK RATTLESNAKE	G4	S3 N N	1985-10-05	Coastal Strand (saw palmetto, yaupon, redbay, cabbage palm, scrub oaks).	1995-10-05: 1 DOR observed (U95CHA05), 94-10-07: Juvenile DOR (U94CHA06), 94-06-16: Young snake, ca. 3-3.5 ft. long, observed crossing road from west to east (U94CHA02). One DOR juvenile.
CROTADAMP0045	CROTALUS ADAMANTEUS	EASTERN DIAMONDBACK RATTLESNAKE	G4	S3 N N	1994-08	Maritime Hammock, developed campground.	1989-12-12: Adult ca. 4.5 feet, observed in dunes (U94MOL01). The light gray area on the Mark Hurd aerial here is an herbaceous basin marsh dominated by <i>Cladium jamaicense</i> , and <i>Spartina bakeri</i> , with lesser abundances of <i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i> , <i>Pontederia cordata</i> , and <i>Panicum hemiltonii</i> . The surrounding uplands ar
CROTADAMP0088	CROTALUS ADAMANTEUS	EASTERN DIAMONDBACK RATTLESNAKE	G4	S3 N N	1989-12-12	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	
DEPRMARS0060	DEPRESSION MARSH		G47	S3 N N	1993-09-29	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	
DERMCOOR0012	DERMOCHELYS CORIACEA	LEATHERBACK	G3	S2 LE LE	1988	COQUINA AND SAND BEACH, EO POSSIBLY ON DEVELOPED, PRIVATE BEACH.	BERKE (1988) REPORTED 5 NESTS/CRAWLS.
DRYMCOUP0168	DRYMARCHON CORAIS COUPERI	EASTERN INDIGO SNAKE	G4T3	S3 LT LT	1970->	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	INDIGO OBSERVED BY BARRY MANSELL POST-1970 (MOLER INTERVIEW OF MANSELL, 1981-01-24)
DRYMCOUP0372	DRYMARCHON CORAIS COUPERI	EASTERN INDIGO SNAKE	G4T3	S3 LT LT		NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	INDIGO OBSERVED BY NEIL EICHOLZ (GFC) 1970 (MOLER INTERVIEW OF N. EICHOLZ, 1982-04-19), MUSEUM SPECIMEN: FMNH 8899, 24 APR 1920 FROM ANASTASIA ISLAND.
DRYMCOUP0373	DRYMARCHON CORAIS COUPERI	EASTERN INDIGO SNAKE	G4T3	S3 LT LT		NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	INDIGO OBSERVED BY BARRY MANSELL (MOLER INTERVIEW OF B. MANSELL, 1981-01-24).
ESTUMARS0010	ESTUARINE TIDAL MARSH		G4	S4 N N	1988-08-11	SALT RUN ESTUARY MARSH	3 ZONES: 1. FMBRISTYLIS-SPOROBOLUS 2. AVICENNIA-BATIS3. SPARTINA ALTERNIFOLIA. 3RD ZONE COMPRISES MOST OF EO. P8BJOH01-SALICORNIA VIRGINICA IN BATIS ZONE. NO AVICENNIA SEEN.

10/16/2001
9

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL RANK	STATE STATUS	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
GLANMARI0046	GLANDULARIA MARTINA	COASTAL VERVAIN	G3	S3	N	LE	1992-04-23	CHOPPED STRIP OF SCRUB FROM A1A WEST TO LAGOON.	SCATTERED PLANTS GROWING IN OPEN SUN IN SANDY SOIL AMONG THE CUT STUMPS OF SCRUB OAKS. IN FLOWER.	
GOPHPOLY0039	GOPHERUS POLYPHEMUS	GOPHER TORTOISE	G3	S3	(PS)	LS	1979-02-09	RUDERAL, CREATED BY DUMPING OF SPILL FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY (DREDGED IN 1942); ROLLING TOPOGRAPHY, DOMINATED BY BROOKSEDGE; SOME UNICOLA, OPUNTIA, MYRTICA.	LOGAN'S 1979 SURVEY SUGGESTED CA 0.78 TORTOISES/HA (EST POP = 7); BURROW SIZES IN U79LOG01 (MEAN = 30 CM).	
GOPHPOLY0040	GOPHERUS POLYPHEMUS	GOPHER TORTOISE	G3	S3	(PS)	LS	1979-02-09	OPEN BEACH SCRUB COMMUNITY DOMINATED BY SEA OATS; OPUNTIA SPP., SMLAX; FEW TREES OR LARGE SHRUBS.	LOGAN'S 1979 SURVEY SUGGESTED CA 14.74 TORTOISES/HA (BASED ON POP EST OF 29), THE HIGHEST TORTOISE DENSITY HE RECORDED IN HIS ENTIRE SURVEY; TORTOISES ALSO LARGER THAN ON ADJACENT ANASTASIA ISLAND; BURROW SIZES IN U79LOG01 (MEAN = 28.6 CM).	
GOPHPOLY0041	GOPHERUS POLYPHEMUS	GOPHER TORTOISE	G3	S3	(PS)	LS	1979-02-09	OPEN BEACH SCRUB COMMUNITY DOMINATED BY SEA OATS; OPUNTIA SPP., SMLAX; FEW TREES OR LARGE SHRUBS.	LOGAN'S 1979 SURVEY SUGGESTED CA 2.13 TORTOISES/HA (EST POP = 29); BURROW SIZES IN U79LOG01 (MEAN = 21 CM).	
GOPHPOLY0107	GOPHERUS POLYPHEMUS	GOPHER TORTOISE	G3	S3	(PS)	LS	1987	IN HAMMOCK.	A FEW INDIVIDUALS BUT NO POPULATION ESTIMATE (PNDU010FL). 1987 POP. ESTIMATE OF FORT MATAGAS S.R.A. = 118 BASED ON BURROW COUNT. IFNAI ASSUMES CONCH ISLAND NOT INCLUDED IN SURVEY; STUDY SUGGESTS THAT POPULATION HAS REMAINED STABLE FROM 1978-1988 (U91M)	

10/16/2001
10

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	STATE STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
GOPHPOLY0743	GOPHERUS POLYPHEMUS	GOPHER TORTOISE	G3	S3	(PS)	LS	1991-10-20	HIGHER AREA COVERED BY MAT OF FORBS (DREDGE SPOIL) WITH MANY SHELLS MIXED INTO QUARTZ SAND.	ONE ACTIVE BURROW (AND TRACKS) SEEN MEASURING APPROXIMATELY 1 FT. WIDE BY 6" HIGH AT ENTRANCE. OPEN HERBACEOUS VEGETATION DOMINATED BY BROOMSEDGE (ANDROPOGON VIRGINICUS) AND TELEGRAPH WEED (HETEROTHECA SUBAXILLARIS).
GOPHPOLY0758	GOPHERUS POLYPHEMUS	GOPHER TORTOISE	G3	S3	(PS)	LS	1992-04-26	COASTAL STRAND OF BUCKTHORN, RED CEDAR, SAW PALMETTOS WITH OPENINGS BETWEEN SHRUB CLUMPS.	1 ACTIVE BURROW SEEN - WIDTH OF ENTRANCE CA. 18".
GOPHPOLY0759	GOPHERUS POLYPHEMUS	GOPHER TORTOISE	G3	S3	(PS)	LS	1992-04-26	SCATTERED TREES (RED CEDAR, CABABAGE PALM) AND SHRUBS (YAUPON, TOUGH BUCKTHORN, HERCULES' CLUB) ON WHAT APPEARS TO BE OLD DREDGE SPOIL(?). NO OAKS.	NUMEROUS TRACKS SEEN IN OPENINGS IN YOUNG HAMMOCK ASSOCIATED SPECIES: BLACKBERRY VINES, CAT BRIAR, PRICKLY PEAR.
HAEMPALL0086	HAEMATOPUS PALLIATUS	AMERICAN OYSTERCATCHER	G5	S3	N	LS	1976	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	1976: 08/11 5 birds; 09/05 9, 1977: 9/05 4 birds; 9/24 4, 1978: 6/22 2 birds; 6/18 9.
HAEMPALL0087	HAEMATOPUS PALLIATUS	AMERICAN OYSTERCATCHER	G5	S3	N	LS	1993-05-26	Beech dune	1993-05-26: J.A. Howis, GFC - 1 pair; possible breeding.
HAEMPALL0088	HAEMATOPUS PALLIATUS	AMERICAN OYSTERCATCHER	G5	S3	N	LS	1993-05-26	Dredge spoil	1993-05-26: J.A. Howis, GFC - 4 birds; probable breeding.
HALLILEUC0296	HALLAEETUS LEUCOCEPHALUS	BALD EAGLE	G4	S3	(PS)	LT	1988	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	NEST: 1975-1978, 1981-1982, 1984-1985, 1987 ACTIVE, 1983 INACTIVE. FLEDGED YOUNG 1976-1978, 1982, 1984, 1987, 1975 UNKNOWN. NO DATA 1979-1980, 1986, 1988.
HALLILEUC0297	HALLAEETUS LEUCOCEPHALUS	BALD EAGLE	G4	S3	(PS)	LT	1981	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	ACTIVE NEST: UNKNOWN # OF YOUNG IN 1981; 0 YOUNG IN 1980.
HEDYPULV0001	HEDYOTIS NIGRICANS VAR PULVINATA	NARROW-LEAVED BLUEETS	G5THQ	SH	N	N	1971-08-05	Sand shell flats.	Abundant, very thick stems, cushion plant (fl.).
MARIHAMM0040	MARTINE HAMMOCK		G4	S2	N	N	1984	ON OLDER DUNES	DOMINATED BY LIVE OAK, MAGNOLIA & RED BAY. YAUPON IN UNDERSTORY.

10/16/2001
11

FINAL ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
MARIHAMPT0081	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	Live oak hammock-mid sized trees.	Canopy: Quercus virginiana, Carya glabra, Liquidambar styraciflua; Understory shrubs: Ilex ambigua, Serenoa repens, Vaccinium arboreum; Understory herbs: Scleria triglomerata, Chasmodon latum.
MARIHAMPT0208	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	OAKPALM HAMMOCK	30' CANOPY 100% COVER BEHIND 30' DUNE RIDGE ABOVE BEACH. PERSEA BORBONIA-40%; QUERCUS VIRGINIANA-30%; SABAL PALMETTO (WETTER PORTIONS)-25%; VITIS MUNSONIANA-10%; ILEX VOMITORIA-5%; MAGNOLIA GRANDIFLORA-1%; VERBESINA VIRGINICA-5%; TRICHOSTEMA SUBFRUTESCEN
MARIHAMPT0209	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	OAKPALM HAMMOCK	100% TOTAL VEGETATIVE COVER, CANOPY HT. ABOUT 30'. QUERCUS VIRGINIANAGENIATA (INTERMEDIATE VEIN & HAIRS)-50%; PERSEA BORBONIA-30%; VITIS MUNSONIANA-10%; CELTIS LAEVIGATA-2%; SERENOA REPENS-10%; OSMANTHUS AMERICANA-1%; ILEX VOMITORIA-20%; MAGNOLIA GRAND
MARIHAMPT0210	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	TEMPERATE PALMOAK HAMMOCK. 1942: CA 400' BAND OF HAMMOCK IN LEE OF 35 DEGREE SLOPE OF 30' MAIN DUNE. SAND WITH MANY SHELL FRAGMENTS. ADVANCING DUNE ENCROACHING ON HAMMOCK AND MAGNOLIA TO 12" DBH.	BEHIND 2ND DUNE RIDGE INLAND FROM COAST (HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ON FIRST RIDGE, E OF ATA): MAGNOLIA GRANDIFLORA-20%; QUERCUS VIRGINIANA-50%; SABAL PALMETTO-10%; QUERCUS LAURIFOLIA-3%; RHYNCHOSPORA MEGALOCARPA-2%; SERENOA REPENS-25%; PERSEA BORBONIA-25%; OSM

10/16/2001
12

FINAL ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
MARIHAMPT0081	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	Live oak hammock-mid sized trees.	Canopy: Quercus virginiana, Carya glabra, Liquidambar styraciflua; Understory shrubs: Ilex ambigua, Serenoa repens, Vaccinium arboreum; Understory herbs: Scleria triglomerata, Chasmodon latum.
MARIHAMPT0208	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	OAKPALM HAMMOCK	30' CANOPY 100% COVER BEHIND 30' DUNE RIDGE ABOVE BEACH. PERSEA BORBONIA-40%; QUERCUS VIRGINIANA-30%; SABAL PALMETTO (WETTER PORTIONS)-25%; VITIS MUNSONIANA-10%; ILEX VOMITORIA-5%; MAGNOLIA GRANDIFLORA-1%; VERBESINA VIRGINICA-5%; TRICHOSTEMA SUBFRUTESCEN
MARIHAMPT0209	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	OAKPALM HAMMOCK	100% TOTAL VEGETATIVE COVER, CANOPY HT. ABOUT 30'. QUERCUS VIRGINIANAGENIMATA (INTERMEDIATE VEIN & HAIRS)-50%; PERSEA BORBONIA-30%; VITIS MUNSONIANA-10%; CELTIS LAEVIGATA-2%; SERENOA REPENS-10%; OSMANTHUS AMERICANA-1%; ILEX VOMITORIA-20%; MAGNOLIA GRAND
MARIHAMPT0210	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N N N	1991-10-19	TEMPERATE PALMOAK HAMMOCK. BEHIND 2ND DUNE RIDGE INLAND FROM COAST (HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ON FIRST RIDGE, E LEE OF 35 DEGREE SLOPE OF 30' MAIN DUNE. SAND WITH MANY SHELL FRAGMENTS. ADVANCING DUNE ENCROACHING ON HAMMOCK AND MAGNOLIA TO 12' DBH.	100% TOTAL VEGETATIVE COVER, CANOPY HT. ABOUT 30'. QUERCUS VIRGINIANAGENIMATA (INTERMEDIATE VEIN & HAIRS)-50%; PERSEA BORBONIA-30%; VITIS MUNSONIANA-10%; CELTIS LAEVIGATA-2%; SERENOA REPENS-10%; OSMANTHUS AMERICANA-1%; ILEX VOMITORIA-20%; MAGNOLIA GRAND

10/16/2001
12

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	STATE STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
MARHAM0218	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	1992-04-22	MARITIME HAMMOCK DOMINATED BY VIRGINIA LIVE OAK WITH OCCASIONAL REDBAY AND MAGNOLIA. CABBAGE PALMS VERY RARE. UNDERSTORY MOSTLY YALUPON AND SAW PALMETTO. RIDGE SWALE TOPOGRAPHY.	CANOPY 15-25' HIGH DOMINATED BY VIRGINIA LIVE OAK WITH OCCASIONAL REDBAY AND MAGNOLIA. CABBAGE PALMS VERY RARE. UNDERSTORY MOSTLY YALUPON AND SAW PALMETTO. RIDGE SWALE TOPOGRAPHY.
MARHAM0223	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	1992-04-26	Maritime hammock along border of marshes on west side of barrier island.	30 to 40' tall canopy of Virginia live oak. Understory of yalupon and saw palmetto.
MARHAM0224	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	1992-04-02	FL.D.O.T. AERIAL ST. JOHNS COUNTY #11, 11/7/88. PALMOAK-HAMMOCK WITH MUCH RED CEDAR.	LOW (DISTURBED?) HAMMOCK DOMINATED BY SOUTHERN RED CEDAR, VIRGINIA LIVE OAK AND CABBAGE PALM. UNDERSTORY OF CAROLINA LAUREL, CHERRY AND YALUPON. (NO MAGNOLIA; NO SAND LIVE OAK.)
MARHAM0225	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	1992-04-02	FL.D.O.T. AERIAL ST. JOHNS COUNTY #11, 11/7/88. MATURE OAK/MAGNOLIA HAMMOCK.	MATURE HAMMOCK DOMINATED BY LARGE VIRGINIA LIVE OAKS AND OCCASIONAL MAGNOLIAS. RED BAY AND WILD OLIVE ALSO PRESENT WITH SAW PALMETTOS, YALUPON IN UNDERSTORY.
MARHAM0226	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	1992-04-02	FL.D.O.T. AERIAL ST. JOHNS COUNTY #11, 11/7/88. OAK/RED BAY HAMMOCK.	CANOPY 10-15 FT. HIGH: QUERCUS GEMINATA-D; QUERCUS HEMISPHERICA-A; PERSEA BORBONIA-A; QUERCUS MYRTIFOLIA-O. UNDERSTORY: SERENOA REPENS-O; MYRICA CERIFERA-LA; ILEX OPACA-R; VITIS MUNSONIANA-A. D-DOMINANT; A-ABUNDANT; O-OCCASIONAL, LA-LOCALLY ABUNDANT; R-

10/16/2001
13

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL RANK	STATE STATUS	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
MARIHAM0227	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	N	1992-04-25	MARITIME HAMMOCK A LONG NATURE TRAIL S OF PARKING LOT.	CANOPY 20 TO 25' TALL DOMINATED BY QUERCUS VIRGINIANA. ASSOCIATED SPECIES INCLUDE REBAY AND SOUTHERN RED CEDAR, YALPON, MYRTLE OAK, AND SAW PALMETTO DOMINATE UNDERSTORY. SCATTERED PAWPAW (ASIMINA PARVIFLORA) PRESENT.
MARIHAM0228	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	N	1992-04-25	LOW SAND LIVE OAK HAMMOCK.	15' CANOPY DOMINATED BY QUERCUS GERMINATA WITH RED BAY, SOUTHERN RED CEDAR, HERCULES' CLUB, CORAL BEAN, AND YALPON ALSO PRESENT. LOW, SCATTERED TO CLUMPED, TREES AND SHRUBS, MAINLY SOUTHERN RED CEDAR, CABBAGE PALM, HERCULES' CLUB, WAX MYRTLE, AND YALPON.
MARIHAM0229	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	N	1992-04-25	DEVELOPING HAMMOCK (ON DREDGE SPOIL?) - NO OAKS.	25' OAKS OF QUERCUS VIRGINIANA DOMINANT. TREE-SIZE QUERCUS GERMINATA (STILL WITH OLD FLOWERING CATKINS ON TWIG) PREVALENT NEAR SEAWARD RIDGE. PRUNUS CAROLINIANA OCCASIONAL IN UNDERSTORY PLUS YALPON AND SAW PALMETTO.
MARIHAM0230	MARITIME HAMMOCK	MARITIME HAMMOCK	G4	S2	N	N	N	1992-04-23	OAK HAMMOCK FORMING NARROW FRINGE ALONG SALT MARSHES.	SAID TO BE VIRGIN SLASH PINE.
MESIFLAT0003	MESIC FLATWOODS	MESIC FLATWOODS	G7	S4	N	N	N	1981-01-25	ISLAND IN SALT MARSH (SPARTINA) WITH BIG OLD-LOOKING PINES. NO EVIDENCE OF DISTURBANCE.	
MICRBRAC0005	MICROPHIS BRACHYURUS	OPOSSUM PIPEFISH	G4G5	S2	(FS)	N	N	1993-10-23	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	1 SPECIMEN COLLECTED (UF-0018111).
MUSTHAL0011	MUSTELA VISON LUTENSIS	ATLANTIC SALT MARSH MINK	G5T3	S2	N	N	N		NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	7 SPECIMENS COLLECTED (MCZ 87224-30).

10/16/2001
14

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL STATE		DATE	OBSERVED DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
			RANK	STATUS			
MUSTPOPT0003	MYCTERIA AMERICANA	WOOD STORK	G4	S2 (FS) LE	1976-06-17	FRESH WATER MARSH, NESTING SUBSTRATE INCLUDES CYPRESS AND BROADLEAF TREES (> 7 M).	AERIAL SURVEY OF 46 NEST - HATCHING YOUNG PRESENT 04-27; AERIAL SURVEY OF 30 NEST - FLYING OR FLEDGED YOUNG PRESENT 1976-06-17; NOT PRESENT 1977-04; ROOKERY UNUSED 1978.
MYCTAMER0020	MYCTERIA AMERICANA	WOOD STORK	G4	S2 (FS) LE	1978-08	CYPRESS DOME, AREA SURROUNDING DOME DOMINATED BY PINES AND PALMETTOS; NESTING SUBSTRATE IS CYPRESS AND BUSHES OVER WATER.	25 PAIRS IN 7/78; 8 PAIRS IN 8/78.
PEROPEN0002	PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA	ANASTASIA BEACH MOUSE	GST1	S1 LE	1986-06-12	BEACH DUNE	BETWEEN 22 MAY - 12 JUNE 1986, 24 ANASTASIA BEACH MICE WERE TRAPPED DURING 197 ADJUSTED TRAP NIGHTS.
PEROPHAS0001	PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA	ANASTASIA BEACH MOUSE	GST1	S1 LE	1986-06-12	BEACH DUNE	BETWEEN 22 MAY - 12 JUNE 1986, 2 PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA WERE TRAPPED DURING 121.5 ADJUSTED TRAP NIGHTS
PEROPHAS0002	PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA	ANASTASIA BEACH MOUSE	GST1	S1 LE	1986-06-12	BEACH DUNE	BETWEEN 22 MAY - 12 JUNE 1986, 5 PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA WERE TRAPPED DURING 100 ADJUSTED TRAP NIGHTS.
PEROPHAS0003	PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA	ANASTASIA BEACH MOUSE	GST1	S1 LE	1986-06-12	BEACH DUNE	BETWEEN 22 MAY - 12 JUNE 1986, 3 PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA WERE TRAPPED DURING 120 ADJUSTED TRAP NIGHTS.
PEROPHAS0004	PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA	ANASTASIA BEACH MOUSE	GST1	S1 LE	1986-06-12	BEACH DUNE AND COASTAL STRAND	BETWEEN 22 MAY - 12 JUNE 1986, 30 PEROMYSCUS POLIIONOTUS PHASMA WERE TRAPPED DURING 306 ADJUSTED TRAP NIGHTS. SINGLE CAPTURE IN COASTAL STRAND HABITAT OCCURRED ON N.W. END OF ISLAND (SEE ATTACHED MAP).

10/16/2001
15

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL RANK	STATE STATUS	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
PITUMUG10162	PITUOPHIS MELANOLEUCUS MUGTUS	FLORIDA PINE SNAKE	G4T37	S3	N	N	LS		COASTAL STRAND DOMINATED BY SERENOA REPENS, QUERCUS VIRGINIANA, ZANTHOXYLUM CLAVATUM, HERCULIS, ERYTHRINA HERBACEA, AND SCATTERED UNIOLEA PANICULATA. COASTAL STRAND GRADES TO MARITIME HAMMOCK.	1 LARGE (CA. 6 FOOT) DOR INDIVIDUAL
RYNCHISE0070	RYNCHOPS NIGER	BLACK SKIMMER	G5	S3	N	N	LS	1993-05-26	Beach dune	1993/05/26 - J.A. Hovis, GFC, observed 82 adults. Site revisited 06/23/93; no evidence of nesting, 6 skimmers observed loafing on the shoreline. Bruce Morene reports 12 black skimmer nests in 1992.
SCRUB0746	SCRUB		G2	S2	N	N	N	1991-10-18	COASTAL STRAND; 5-10' TALL DENSE STAND OF SPRAY-PRUNED SHRUBS.	QUERCUS GEMINATA (5' HT.)-50%; OSMANTHUS AMERICANUS-5%; SERENOA REPENS (GLAUCCOUS)-5%; QUERCUS CF CHAPMANII-1%; PERSEA BORBONIA-35%.
SCRUB0747	SCRUB		G2	S2	N	N	N	1992-04-23	NO GENERAL DESCRIPTION GIVEN	LOW DENSE SPRAY-PRUNED OAK SCRUB WITH SAND LIVE OAK HEAVILY PREDOMINANT (QUERCUS GEMINATA). OTHER SHRUBS INCLUDE SERENOA REPENS, QUERCUS MYRTIFOLIA, QUERCUS CHAPMANII, AND CERATOLA ERICOIDES.
SCRUB0748	SCRUB		G2	S2	N	N	N	1988-09-12	Dense spray short scrub grading to hammock.	Dominant species: Quercus geminata, Ilex vomitoria, Bumelia texan, Osmanthus americanus, Serenoa repens, Lyonia ferruginea. Associated species: Rhus copallina, Persea borborea, Zanthoxylum clava herculis, Sabai palmetto, Magnolia grandiflora, Erythrina h

10/16/2001
16

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	STATE STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
SCRUB0749	SCRUB		G2	S2	N	N	1991-10-19	6-7 AVG. HT. DENSE SPRAY-PRUNED OAK-DOMINATED SCRUB W/ OF A1A.	FR1JOH48: QUERCUS MYRTIFOLIA- 50%; SERENOA REPENS-50%; MAGNOLIA GRANDIFLORA-S TO 10%; PERSEA BORBONIA-20%; QUERCUS CHAPMANII-5%; QUERCUS GEMINATA- 35%; OSMANTHUS AMERICANUS-2%. QUERCUS ROLFSSQ. GEMINATA X Q. CHAPMANII - HAS TOUGHER MORE INROLLED LEAVES WI
SCRUB0753	SCRUB		G2	S2	N	N	1992-04-26	DENSE SPRAY-PRUNED OAK SCRUB.	DENSE SPRAY-PRUNED OAK SCRUB. 4-6 TALL. QUERCUS GEMINATA = 70% COVER; ILEX VOMITORIA = 10%; PERSEA BORBONIA = 2%; QUERCUS MYRTIFOLIA = 2%; QUERCUS CHAPMANII = 2%; LYONIA FERRUGINEA = 1%.
STERANTI0027	STERNA ANTILLARUM	LEAST TERN	G4	S3	(PS)	LT	1993-05-26	COQUINA AND SAND BEACH WITH SEA OATS AND SEA OX-EYE.	1993: 05/26 - Hovis reports 8 adults, 4 nests ca. 4.2 miles S. of Meeker Landing (U97GFC02FLUS). 1988: 08/19 - BREEDING COLONY OF 100 PAIRS; YOUNG OF ALL AGES SEEN (P88BER01). 1987: 10 BREEDING PAIRS COUNTED IN 1987 (U87C8R01).
STERANTI0049	STERNA ANTILLARUM	LEAST TERN	G4	S3	(PS)	LT	1993-05-26	SANDY BEACH.	1993: 05/26 - Hovis reports 145 adults, 114 nests, and 18 chicks (U97GFC02FLUS). 1992: 05/02 - Hovis reports 2 nests on inlet side and 46 nests on ocean side (U97GFC02FLUS). 1991: 05/23 - Hovis reports 5 nests on inlet side and 45 nests on ocean side; 07
STERANTI0050	STERNA ANTILLARUM	LEAST TERN	G4	S3	(PS)	LT	1993-05-26	SANDY BEACH.	1993: 05/26 - Hovis reports 6 adults, 2 nests (U97GFC02FLUS). 1988: Nesting began on 02 June and ended on 30 August; 15 nests reported (U97GFC02FLUS). 1987-05-06: AN ESTIMATED 25 PAIRS IN COLONY; 1 NEST FOUND (U86MEDIFLUS).

10/16/2001
17

FNAI ELEMENT OCCURRENCE RECORDS ON OR NEAR SITE

MAP LABEL	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	GLOBAL RANK	STATE RANK	FEDERAL STATUS	DATE OBSERVED	DESCRIPTION	COMMENTS
STERANT0183	STERNA ANTILLARUM	LEAST TERN	G4	S3	(PS) LT	1993-05-26	Dredge spoil	1993/05/26: J.A. Hovis, GFC, observed 8 adults, 2 nests, 0 chicks (U97GFC02FLUS).
STERANT0184	STERNA ANTILLARUM	LEAST TERN	G4	S3	(PS) LT	1993-05-26	Dredge spoil	1993/05/26: J.A. Hovis, GFC, observed 9 adults, 1 nest, 0 chicks (U97GFC02FLUS).
STERANT0185	STERNA ANTILLARUM	LEAST TERN	G4	S3	(PS) LT	1993-06-04	Houses, marina, beach, pier	1993/06/04: J.A. Hovis, GFC, observed 120 adults, 28 nests, 28 chicks; two separate subcolonies surveyed and then pooled; survey data is a summary of both colonies; exact count of chicks (U97GFC02FLUS).
STERCASP0014	STERNA CASPIA	CASPIAN TERN	G5	S27	N N	1993-01-28	Coastal Grassland.	1993-01-28: J.M. Howard, GFC, observed 10 adults loafing and foraging one day after winter storm.
STERMAX0005	STERNA MAXIMA	ROYAL TERN	G5	S3	N N	1988-08-19	COQUINA AND SAND BEACH.	20-40 ADULTS AND YOUNG SEEN LOAFING ON BEACH.
STERMAX0057	STERNA MAXIMA	ROYAL TERN	G5	S3	N N	1993-01-28	Coastal Grassland.	1993-01-28: J.M. Howard, GFC - 100 birds observed loafing and feeding one day after winter storm.
STERMAX0058	STERNA MAXIMA	ROYAL TERN	G5	S3	N N	1993-05-26	Beach dune	1993-05-26: J.A. Hovis, GFC - 12 royal terns observed loafing on the beach.
STERSAND0035	STERNA SANDVICENSIS	SANDWICH TERN	G5	S2	N N	1993-05-26	Beach dune	1993-05-26: J.A. Hovis, GFC, observed 2 adult terns loafing on the beach.
TRICMANA0016	TRICHECHUS MANATUS	MANATEE	G2	S2	LE LE	1994-08-31	ATLANTIC COAST, COASTAL RIVERS AND CONTIGUOUS INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY; MOSTLY BRACKISH WITH NO SUBMERGED SPERMATOPHYTES; FW IN SHORT SUPPLY.	MOST SIGHTINGS IN SPRING. SUMMER AND FALL. FEW IN WINTER; MANATEES FEED ON BANK GRASSES AND ALGAE; UNCOMMON TO RELATIVELY ABUNDANT; CA. 45 INHABIT THIS AREA IN SUMMER, 25 IN WINTER.
XERIHAMM0037	XERIC HAMMOCK		G7	S3	N N	1992-04-26	Xeric hammock with spray-pruned canopy 10 to 20 ft tall.	10 to 20 ft sand live oak hammock with rusty ironia, redbay, myrtle oak, and chaparral's oak also in the canopy and saw palmetto dominant in the understory.

10/16/2001
18

APPENDIX E. TABLE OF REFERENCED GIS RESOURCES

This table describes all the data used to create the GIS layers presented in this document. Independently of its original projection, all layers were converted to the same spatial reference:

Horizontal_Datum: North American Datum of 1983

SPCS_Zone_Identifier: East (3601)

Planar_Distance_Units: feet

Ellipsoid_Name: Clarke 1866

Florida Department of Environmental Protection: GIS Geodata Directory. FDEP Bureau of Information Systems. http://www.dep.state.fl.us/gis/									
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION	
cntyshor	Detailed shoreline with county boundaries	1 : 24,000 for county boundaries 1 : 40,000 for shorelines	NAD 1983 - HPGN	Albers Equal Area Conic	meters	Statewide	12/9/1999	unknown	
Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Office of Beaches and Coastal Systems. http://www.dep.state.fl.us/beaches/data/data.htm									
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION	
af00cccl	Coastal Construction Control Lines in Florida	1:24,000	NAD 1983	Geographic	feet	Statewide	1/1/2001	1986 - 1/1/2001	
criteros2001	Critical Beach Erosion Areas in Florida	1:24,000	NAD 1983	Geographic	feet	Statewide	4/14/2000	1986 - 1/1/2001	
fl_r_mon	Range Monument Master Positions in Florida	1:24,000	NAD 1983	Geographic	feet	Statewide	4/14/2000	1986 - 1/1/2001	
stjyr125.dwg	Historical Shoreline Data: Drawings	1:24,000	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	1988	1858-1980	
stj99y.ere	Historical Shoreline Data: MHWL Files	N/A	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	1999	1858-1999	
Florida Geographic Data Library. GeoPlan Center, University of Florida. http://www.fgdl.org/									
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION	
tri02ea	Existing Recreation Trails 2002	1:24,000 to 1:25,000	NAD 1983 - HPGN	Albers Equal Area Conic	meters	Statewide	2/2002	1994-2002	
National Park Service Geographic Information Systems. National Park Service. http://www.nps.gov/gis									
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP	GENERAL	LAYER	DATE OF INFORMATION	

NAME					UNITS	AREA COVERED	DATE	INFORMATION
park_polygon (Castilho de San Marcos)	Castillo De San Marcos National Monument Small-Scale Base GIS Data	1:24,000 to 1:200,000	NAD 1927	Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 17	meters	National Monument	2000	2001
park_polygon (Fort Matanzas)	Fort Matanzas National Monument Small-Scale Base GIS Data	1:24,000 to 1:200,000	NAD 1927	Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 17	meters	National Monument	2000	2001
State Parks Geographic Information System (GIS) Database. FDEP Office of Park Planning, Division of Recreation and Parks								
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION
boundary_sp (Anastasia State Park)	Boundary for Anastasia State Park - Legal Description	unknown	NAD 1927	Florida State Plane East	feet	State Park	2001	1949-1987
boundary_sp (Guana River State Park)	Boundary for Guana River State Park Boundary - Legal Description	unknown	NAD 1927	Florida State Plane East	feet	State Park	2001	1984
St. Johns County GIS Data Library. St. Johns County GIS Program								
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION
county	County Boundary	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001
city	City Names, Boundaries, And Annexations	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001
hydro_maj	Major Rivers, Waterways, and Water Bodies	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001
hydro_min	Minor Rivers and Waterways	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001

road_maj	Major Road Centerlines	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
landuse96	1996 Existing Land Use	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
landuse	Land Use for 2015	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
beach_access	Recreational Beach Access Points	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
major_park	Major Parks	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
recreational	Recreational Areas (City, County, State, Federal)	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
scenic_hwy	Scenic Highways	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
contour	Elevation Contour Lines (5 Foot)	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2001	
sjc99-ne_sjc99-se	Digital Orthophotography (1 foot pixel resolution compressed to 10:1)	1"=840' Urban Areas 1"=1680' Rural Areas	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	9/2001	2/1999	
St. Johns County Digital Parcel Data. St. Johns County Property Appraiser's Office 2000									
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION	
s0329, s0429, s0529, s0629, s0330, s0430, s0530, s0630, s0730, s0830, s0930, s1030	Township-Range Digital Parcel Layers	various	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County	2001	2000	
sjfmap1.txt	Parcel Information Data Base								

St. Johns County Vehicle and Pedestrian Traffic Analysis and Beach Lighting Analysis Study (Applied Technology Management Data CD).									
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION	
beachaccess	Recreational Beach Access Points	unknown	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County Atlantic Coast	2001	2001	
beachzones	Land Use, Pedestrian Traffic, Lighting Potential	unknown	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County Atlantic Coast	2001	2001	
Taylor Engineering Inc, 2001									
DATA LAYER NAME	DESCRIPTION	SCALE	DATUM	PROJECTION	MAP UNITS	GENERAL AREA COVERED	LAYER DATE	DATE OF INFORMATION	
shore_protection_structures	Manmade Shore Protection Features (GPS Data)	unknown	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County Atlantic Coast	2001	2001	
turtle_nesting_areas	FDEP's Sea Turtle Nesting Densities Areas Combined by Monitoring Zone	unknown	NAD 1983	Florida State Plane East	feet	St Johns County Atlantic Coast	2001	1998-2000	

APPENDIX F. TABLE OF REFERENCED PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS

Last Name as Cited in Text	Full Name	Title or Position	Place of Employment or Affiliation
Bandy	Arnold Bandy	Chief of Police	St. Augustine Beach Police Department
Bard	Alice M. Bard	Environmental Specialist II	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, District 3
Barrow	Laura Barrow	County Attorney	St. Johns County Attorney's Office
Bosanko	Daniel J. Bosanko	Deputy County Attorney	St. Johns County Attorney's Office
Bowen	Dave Bowen, Jr.	Property owner Old AIA	Summer Haven
Brewer	Jan P. Brewer	Environmental Manager	St. Johns County Planning Division, Growth Management Services
Brooks	Billy Brooks	Field Biologist	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville Field Office
Charest	Bert Charest	Vice-President	St. Johns County Audubon Society
Clark	George Clark	Deputy Sheriff	St. Johns County Sheriff's Office
Cover	Chip Cover	Property owner Old AIA	Summer Haven
Delany	Jerry Delany	Member	Menorcan Cultural Society, St. Augustine
Dickson	Allen Dickson	Park Ranger	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, Guana River State Park
Frank	Phil Frank	Scientist	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Marathon, Florida Keys
Goodwin	Larry Goodwin	Director	Beachcomber Trash Services, St. Augustine
Green	Beverly Green	President	St. Johns County Horse Council
Hester	Randy Hester	Park Manager	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, Guana River State Park
Holmberg	Albert Holmberg	City Commissioner	City of St. Augustine Beach

Last Name as Cited in Text	Full Name	Title or Position	Place of Employment or Affiliation
Lardner	Peter Lardner	Marine Turtle Permit Holder	Vilano Beach Sea Turtle Patrol
Lehman	Ric Lehman	Director	Palm Valley Stables
Lopez	Isabelle Lopez	County Attorney	St. Johns County Attorney's Office
Maguire	Craig A. Maguire	Citizen	St. Augustine
Martin, R.E.	R. Erik Martin	Scientific Director	Ecological Associates, Inc.
Martin, S.	Sacha Martin	Citizen	Vilano Beach
Mathis	Major Frank Mathis	Deputy Sheriff	St. Johns County Sheriff's Office
McDonald	June McDonald	Director	Sawgrass Stables
Meyer	Miles Meyer	Field Biologist	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville Field Office
Mickler, L.	Latrell Mickler	Citizen	St. Augustine
Mickler, Y.	Yulee Mickler	Citizen	St. Augustine
Miller	J.B. Miller	District 3 Biologist and Marine Turtle Permit Holder for all State Parks in Florida	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, District 3
Monaghan	Jane C. Monaghan	Fish and Wildlife Biologist	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jacksonville Field Office
Mulholland	Rosi Mulholland	Regional Biologist	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, District 3
Nelson	Lynn Nelson	Secretary Specialist	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, Anastasia State Park
Owen	Rick Owen	Park Biologist	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, Guana River State Park
Parker	Dave Parker	Site Supervisor	National Park Service, Fort Matanzas National Monument

Last Name as Cited in Text	Full Name	Title or Position	Place of Employment or Affiliation
Patrick	Lorna Patrick	Field Biologist	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services, Panama City, Florida
Perry	Neil Perry	Sheriff	St. Johns County Sheriff's Department
Reed	Diane Reed	Former Marine Turtle Permit Holder	Vilano Beach
Rich	Andrew Rich	US Park Ranger and Marine Turtle Permit Holder	National Park Service, Fort Matanzas National Monument
Sabo	Bill Sabo	Fishing Columnist	<i>St. Augustine Record</i> newspaper
Skinner	Talmadge S. Skinner	Citizen	Vilano Beach
Stam	Sandy Stam	Marine Turtle Permit Holder	Ponte Vedra North, Old Ponte Vedra, and South Ponte Vedra
Stauber	Evelyn Stauber	Marine Turtle Permit Holder	St. Augustine Beach, St. Johns Wildlife Care, Inc.
Stephenson	Joe Stephenson	Public Works Director	St. Johns County Public Works Department
Stoll	Dr. Robert Stoll	Marine Turtle Permit Holder	Beach Club Drive South
Usina	Frank Usina	Citizen	St. Augustine
Van Ghent	Roger Van Ghent	Director of Conservation Committee	St. Johns County Audubon Society
Wamser	Bob Wamser	Assistant Park Manager	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Parks, Anastasia State Park
Williams	Dave Williams	Supervisor of Beach Management	Division of Beach Management, Recreation and Parks Division, St. Johns County

**APPENDIX G. PROCEDURES FOR DAILY MARKING OF BEACH DRIVING LANES,
DIVISION OF BEACH MANAGEMENT, ST. JOHNS COUNTY.**

JOB DESCRIPTION FOR PLACING OF CONES
CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE BEACH (CSAB) AND
ST. JOHNS COUNTY BEACHES (SJCBC)

Requirement:

Good driving record, reliable, able to work well with others, able to take directions, able to work well with out direct supervision. Minor paper work, able to work weekends and holidays. Perform other duties as assigned.

Physcial Requirements:

Good eyesight, No arm, leg or back problems. Able to lift 30 lbs, or more.

Description of Duties:

Area #1

March 1st - September 30th , (Season is subject to change). Must work 7 days a week. Put out cones at 7:30 & pick-up at 4:00 p.m. Place cones above high tide line / If tide is too high you must wait until it starts to recede.

Place 8 cones at neck of A Street then alternate the cones every 150 feet - car/people sign to the end of the line. At Ocean Trace place a keep right sign in center of ramp entrance. This is 4,050 feet of cones and 4,050 feet of signs with one keep right sign (Entrance of Ocean Trace). Total of 27 cones, 27 signs, one keep right sign, & one Do not enter sign placed at the end of (XXXX). ~~Place one person for 2 hours~~ in the morning and 2 hours in the evening. With a helper time is cut to 40 minutes each shift. Cones are distributed from the neck of A Street to just South of Ocean Trace about 200 feet North of St. Augustine by the Sea (end of CSAB line).

A DO NOT ENTER sign is placed to left of ramp


Area #2 thru #6 March 1st - June 7th (Season is subject to change)
/ Weekends & Holidays only. Cones from CSAB line, about 200 feet North of St. Augustine By The Sea, to campgrounds South of Dondanville Road. Start tight with two cones then car/people sign. Then five cones and car/people sign, do this three times, then three cones and a car/people sign. You are at the end of Area #2. Place 2 double stacked cones towards the ocean. Space between cones & car/people signs 150 feet. Total cones 27 & Total signs 5.

*27 cones
6 signs*

Area #3 From campgrounds South of Dondanville Road thru Matanzas Ave & Mary Street to just North of Crescent Beach at this time there are no controlled areas. Open space 3 miles or so.

Area #4 North of Crescent Beach thru Fort Matanzas as 3.15 miles or so. Two Way Traffic

At the Do Not Enter sign place: 2 cones double stacked, 1 bathing area / no vehicular traffic, 1 single cone towards the ocean. Place 1 cone 10 ft. South, 1 car/people sign 5 ft. from cones. Now place 2 cones then a car/people sign every 150 ft. **(IN THE ENTRANCE/EXIT ROAD PLACE 5 CONES ABOUT 2 FT. APART IN A STRAIGHT LINE WITH A SLIGHT CURVE TO THE RIGHT. PLACE A ONE WAY SIGN WITH ARROW TO THE SOUTH IN FRONT OF THESE CONES).** Now continue to cone South place 6 cones 150 ft. distance apart, 2 car/people signs, 3 cones and a car/people sign, place 2 cones 200 ft apart double stacked, and then place one bathing area / no vehicular traffic sign towards the ocean. **The next 2/10 of a mile is an uncontrolled area.**



May. 29, 2002 1:30PM

No. 7997 P. 4/5

Cones/Signs

one mile = 5,280 feet or 1,760 yards

There are 10 tenths to a mile

$$\begin{array}{r} 528 \\ 10 \overline{) 5,280} \end{array}$$

"A" St Territory 1.8 miles to SACB Line 150 feet apart

5,280

4,224

$$150 \div 9,504 = 63$$

12 End Cap Cones

31 Cones

31 Signs two way 15

Territory thru Campgrounds (Bryn Mahr 1 mile south
5,280 feet (150 feet apart)

12 End cap cones

15 Cones

20 one way signs 10

South Matanzas thru Mary St just before Creston House
1 mile South 5,280 feet

12, End Cap Cones

15 Cones

20 one way signs 10

Crescent Beach 1 mile South

5,280 feet

12 End Cap cones

15 Cones

5 extra cones on curve

1 Keep Right sign

2 Batching lanes / No vehicular traffic

20 two way signs 10

Jet Ski Area

4/10 of mile = 2,112 feet (100 feet apart)

No longer 12 End Cap cones

EXITS 19 cones

3 jet ski area / NO Swimmers

Trade winds thru spy glass

1 mile south = 5,280 feet

12 End cap cones

15 cones

20 two way signs 10

Summer House to ramp

4/10 of mile south = 2,112 feet

12 End Cap cones

8 cones

6 two way signs 6

Grand total

cones
207

One Way
40 cut to 20

Two Way
77 cut to 40

