MR April 1944

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

CASE FILE

WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED

April 1944 as Memorandum Report

FLIGHT TESTS OF SEVERAL EXHAUST-GAS-TO-AIR

HEAT EXCHANGERS IN A B-17F AIRPLANE

By Bonne C. Look and James Selna

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory FILE COPY Moffett Field, California

To be returned to the files of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Washington, D. C.



WASHINGTON

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

MEMORANDUM REPORT

for the

Materiel Command, U.S. Army Air Forces FLIGHT TESTS OF SEVERAL EXHAUST-GAS-TO-AIR HEAT EXCHANGERS IN A B-17F AIRPLANE

By Bonne C. Look and James Selna

SUMARY

Seven exhaust-gas-to-air heat exchangers were flighttested at the Ames Aeronautical Laboratory of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics on a B-17F airplane to determine their performance characteristics and to investigate their flame-suppression qualities. The tests were conducted to secure performance data of heat exchangers which might be suitable for use in the thermal ice-prevention and cabinheating systems of the heavy bomber-type airplanes.

For this investigation, the performance characteristics of the heat exchangers have been defined as the air-flow rate, air-temperature rise, rate of heat transfer, and the airand exhaust-gas-side pressure drops. The information obtained is presented in tables which include the recorded data and the general performance characteristics of the heat exchangers evaluated from the recorded data. The design requirements of heat exchanger installations for a typical four-engine bomber cabin-heating and thermal icemprevention system are presented and compared with the performance of the tested exchangers. The flame-suppression qualities of the exchanger were investigated by visual observation, and the results are presented in tabular form. A limited amount of information was secured relative to the effect of a heat exchanger, installed between the engine and the turbine supercharger, on the supercharger speed and angular position of the waste-gate butterfly valve.

The results of the performance tests indicated that under design test conditions the rate of heat transfer specified for the outboard-nacelle heat-exchanger installations would probably be realized by the units tested in those nacelles. It is questionable if any of the exchanger installations tested would have satisfied the rate-of-heat-transfer requirement for the inboard-nacelle installations at design test conditions. For all installations it was found that the airside flow resistance, indicated by the total pressure drop across the heat-exchanger installations, was high and resulted in low air-flow rate and in most cases high air-temperature rise.

and a second second

The results of the flame-suppression investigation showed the glowing of the exhaust stack and turbine-supercharger parts to be more visible than the exhaust-gas flaming for the conditions tested. The data obtained on the effect of a heatexchanger installation on the operation of a turbine supercharger indicated that the critical altitude of the supercharger for rated engine-power conditions was not affected by the heat-exchanger installation. Also, for a given manifold pressure, greater closure of the waste-gate valve was required with the exchanger installed than without the exchanger. The investigation was limited in scope and did not provide sufficient information for final conclusions regarding the effect of heat-exchanger installations on supercharger performance. NAMES IN THE STATE OF A STATE OF

INTRODUCTION INTRODUCTION For the past several years, an extensive investigation of exhaust-gas-to-air heat exchangers has been conducted by the NACA at the Ames Aeronautical Laboratory and at the University of California as a part of a general research program on the development of thermal ice-prevention equipment for airplanes. The results of a large portion of the research conducted at Anes Aeronautical Laboratory are presented in reference 1, wherein reference is also made to the work done at the Univer-sity of California. These preliminary researches were of a general nature to investigate the performance of the exchangers and the feasibility of their use in thermal ice-prevention equipment.

The purpose of the present investigation was to determine the. performance of various types of exhaust-gas-to-air heat exchangers with respect to their adaptability to a production version of thermal ice-prevention and cabin-heating equipment for a heavy bomber type airplane. The tests were conducted on the B-17F airplane, for which the Ames Aeronautical Laboratory had designed, installed and flight-tested a thermal iceprevention system (references 2 and 3). Performance data were obtained for each heat exchanger for similar flight conditions. The performance tests were supplemented by night flights during which the degree of flame suppression provided by the different exchanger installations was observed. A limited amount of information was obtained on the effect of a heatexchanger installation on the turbine-supercharger operation.

2

EQUIPMENT

The B-17F airplane in which the seven exhaust-gas-to-air heat exchangers were tested is shown in figure 1. The seven heat exchangers tested were all-primary surface units of three general types: (1) tubular, (2) plate, and (3) flute.

One of the original heat exchangers used in the thermal ice-prevention-system of the B-17F airplane, employed as a test airplane for the present tests is shown in figure 2. The exchanger was of the cross-flow type and consisted of a stainless-steel shell with longitudinal folds to form fins on the exhaust-gas side, and copper strips inserted in the longitudinal folds and cut to provide pin fins on the air side. This heat exchanger is described in detail in reference 2 and performance data may be found in references 2 and 3.

The two tubular-type heat exchangers were cross-flow in design, the air flowing across the tubes and the exhaust gas through the tubes. These exchangers are designated as heat exchangers 1 and 2 and are shown in figures 3 to 6 inclusive.

The three plate-type heat exchangers tested were also cross-flow in design, and consisted of a number of alternate air and gas passages separated by thin plates. For two of these exchangers, designated as 3 and 4, the separating plates were flat, and the two exchangers differed only in the number of air passages, exchanger 3 having nine and exchanger 4 having eleven. The additional air passages in exchanger 4, one on each side, were provided because it was doubtful if the outside plates of exchanger 3, which formed one side of a gas passage, would be sufficiently cooled to prevent buckling and distortion. These two heat exchangers are shown in figures 7 to 10, inclusive. In the case of the third plate-type heat exchanger, designated as heat exchanger 5 and shown in figures 11 and 12, the separating plates were corrugated. The corrugated plates were assembled in such a manner that a straight passage, diamond-shaped in cross section, was presented for exhaust-gas flow while the air was caused to flow through a narrow, constant-gap, winding passage.

The two flute-type heat exchangers were parallel-flow in design, and consisted of a series of alternate air and gas trapezoidal ducts which formed a cylindrical heat exchanger with a hollow core. These heat exchangers, designated 6 and 7, are shown in figures 13 to 17. Heat exchanger 6 was provided with a removable plug located in

3

÷.

the hollow core of the exchanger on the exhaust-gas side for the purpose of directing all of the exhaust gas through the trapezoidal gas passages. (See fig. 15.)

na pertensi yerin a dixa dixa. . A group of Installation of step als reduce from te stire actives the click store ferage i rejacione in a The various heat-exchanger installations are described in detail because in determining a heat exchanger for use in bomber-type airplanes it is important to consider the ease of installation of the unit, and because the performance of a heat exchanger depends to a great extent on the manner in which it is installed in the airplane. In figure 18 the heat exchangers are listed according to the nacelle in which they were tested. and the second secon

All the heat exchangers were designed to replace the straight, removable section of the exhaust-stack system between the ball joint and the turbine supercharger. These removable sections were about 24 inches long for nacelles 1 and 4, and 38 inches long for nacelle 3. Heat exchangers 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 were designed for installation in nacelles 1 or 4, and heat exchanger 7 was designed for installation in nacelle 3. Heat exchanger 2 was not designed for a specific nacelle and was o difersi kayasa kefa karani mendi sera di serap umbarg tested in nacelle 3.

In general, each heat-exchanger installation consisted of the air inlet scoop, heat-exchanger shroud, air outlot header, and the necessary ducting to direct the heated air to the point of discharge. Since the tests were conducted to determine the performance of the heat exchangers and not of the thermal ice-prevention equipment, the heated air from the outboard exchangers was discharged overboard at the top of the nacelles and the air from the inboard exchanger was discharged through a louver in the upper surface of the wing. Alterations to the nacelles were necessary in order to accompdate the various heat exchangers and to provide an outlet for the heated air. The majority of the alterations were confined to the exhaust shroud, defined as that portion of the nacelle structure (formed of corrosion-resistant steel sheet) (which shields from the remainder of the nacelle heat and exhaust gases from the exhaust stack. The installation of heat and exchanger 1 in nacelle 1 required a cut-out in the exhcustor shroud for the heated-air outlet as shown in figure 19. The installation of exchanger 6 in nacelle 1 necessitated and the enlargement of the exhaust shroud and, also, a cut-out for the heated-air outlet. (See fig. 20.) and - faile and the

Considerable alteration to nacelle 3 was necessary for the installation of heat exchanger 2. The exhaust shroud was altered to accommodate the heat exchanger and provide for the 하는 것 같은 것 같아요. 이 같은 것 같은 것을 못 하는 것을 했다.

· <u>)</u>

heated-air outlet as shown in figure 21. No major alteration of the exhaust shroud was required for the installation of heat exchanger 7 as the original shroud of nacelle 3 was used and a heated-air outlet provided as shown in figure 22. In the case of nacelle 4, one alteration to the exhaust shroud was sufficient for the installation of all three of the exchangers tested in that nacelle. This alteration is shown in figure 23. The top of the shroud was left open for the heated-air outlet.

1 • • • •

Details of the heat-exchanger installations for the performance tests are given in figures 24 to 45, inclusive, These installations were for test purposes of limited duration and do not necessarily represent a satisfactory service installation. In the installation details the heat-exchanger shroud is defined as that portion of the system which restricts the air flow to the exchanger passages between the inlet scoop and the outlet header. For example, in the case of exchangers 1 and 2, the exchanger shrouds are considered to be the additions to the exchangers as is evident from a comparison of figures 3 and 5 with figures 4 and 6, respectively. For exchangers 6 and 7, the exchanger shroud consisted of the exhaust-stack shroud on one side of the exchanger and a continuation of the air inlet scoop on the other side. The space between the exhaust stack and the shroud was sealed, in front of and behind the heat exchanger, with rings formed of stainless steel which have been referred to as dams. (See figs. 27, 28, 33, and 34.) The air-tempering system shown in figures 33 and 34 was not installed until after the performance tests. In preliminary flights with exchanger 6, the plug in the exhaust-gas core was found to produce an excessive temperature rise of the air and was, therefore, removed for the performance tests.

For the flame-suppression tests, the installations of all the heat exchangers except 6 and 7 were the same as for the performance tests. In order to increase the quantity of heat removed from the exhaust gas for heat exchangers 6 and 7, the rear dams were removed, thus allowing the air to discharge through this opening in addition to the regular discharge. Furthermore, in the case of exchanger 6, the gas-side plug which had been removed for the performance tests was reinstalled.

After the performance and flame-suppression tests of the heat exchangers had been completed, three of the heat exchangers which appeared to be most readily adaptable for use were installed in nacelles 1, 3; and 4 for service testing. The preliminary investigations of the service-test installation, hereafter referred to as the final installation, were conducted at the Ames Aeronautical Laboratory and form a part of this report.

5

A value assembly was installed in the heated-air-outlet system of the final installations which provided for directing the heated air to the ice-prevention system (from nacelle 1 to the left-wing outer panel, from nacelle 3 to the empennage, and from nacelle 4 to the right-wing outer panel) or overboard. The values were actuated by electric motors and could be operated in flight. During the preliminary tests of the final installations the heated air was discharged overboard; however, the values were included in the installations in order that the heated air could be directed to the ice-prevention system during the service tests.

The final revisions to heat exchanger 5 and the installation details are shown in figures 46 to 51, inclusive. The revised shroud design shown in figure 46 provided an increased air passage around the sides of the exchanger and freedom of motion between the shroud and exchanger. (See Section C-C, Fig. 45.) The new air inlet scoop (fig. 51) extended forward to the rear edge of the cowl flaps, and a baffle (or glow shield) was installed inside the scoop in order to reduce the possibility of oil and explosive gasoline vapors entering the system with the air and to decrease the visible glow of the exhaust system to a minimum. An opening was provided in each side of the scoop between the glow shield and the exhaust stack to provide for circulation of cooling air against the exhauststack assembly.

During the performance tests, exchanger 7 had developed eracks in the flutes at the forward beaded ring, and some of the spot welds attaching the flutes to the circumferential rings had failed. For the final installation a new unit was constructed, shown in figure 52, which was of the same design as the original exchanger 7, but was fabricated somewhat differently in an effort to climinate the failures noted above. A new type circumferential band was designed to provide less restriction to expansion of the exchanger, and the flutes of the new heat exchanger were formed to climinate the welded joint at the innermost edge of each flute, which simplified the joining of the flutes at the end bands of the heat exchanger.

The final heat-exchanger installation in macelle 3 did not require alterations to the exhaust shroud, but a cold-airtempering system was installed in order to decrease the temperature of the air supplied to the ice-prevention system. This air-tempering installation, shown in figures 33, 34, and 53, consisted of an air inlet scoop located on the nacelle above and aft of the heat-exchanger scoop, a duct to direct the air to the heat-exchanger air putlet header, and a valve to control the amount of cold air admitted into the heated-air stream. The valve position was set before flight because no means was

6

provided to adjust the valve during flight. The valve assembly to control the direction of heated air flow was located in the wing near the overboard discharge louver (figs. 35 and 54). The final installation of heat exchanger 7, ready for flighttesting, is shown in figure 55.

Heat exchanger 3, shrouded as shown in figure 56, was installed in nacelle 4 for service testing. The shroud was added to the heat exchanger to provide two additional air passages, approximately five-eighths inch wide, in order to increase the air-flow rate through the unit. The installation of this exchanger was similar to that of exchanger 5 in nacelle l and is shown in figures 57 to 60.

Instrumentation

The instrumentation of the heat-exchanger installations provided for the determination of the air-flow rate, temperature rise, and static pressure drop (including losses in inlet scoop and outlet header), the heat transfer to the air, and the static pressure drop of the exhaust gas across the exchanger. Some additional data were obtained relative to each installation such as the temperatures of points on exhaust shroud and the total pressure at the air inlet scoop. The following temperature and pressure data were obtained:

Temperatures

- Exhaust gas forward of the heat exchanger
- 2 Exhaust gas aft of the heat exchanger
- ころり Ambient air
- Heated air out of the heat exchanger
- 5 Various points of the heat exchanger and exhaust shrouds, and the heat-exchanger air outlet header
- 6 Exhaust-stack wall at the locations of the forward and aft exhaust-gas thermocouples

Pressures

- Static in the exhaust stack forward of the heat 1 exchanger
- 2 Exhaust-gas static pressure drop across the heat exchanger
- 3 4 Total in the air inlet scoop
- Static in the air inlet scoop
- Static in the heated-air outlet ducting
- 56 Static at venturi meters used to obtain the air-flow rates

Unshielded thermocouples were used to indicate all temperatures except that of the ambient air, which was obtained with a glass-stem thermometer in a radiation shield mounted in the Chromel-alumel wire was used for the thermocouples air strean. in the exhaust-gas stream, and iron-constantan wire was used for all others. The types of thermocouples used are shown in figure 61. The temperatures were obtained with a portable potentioneter. The pressures were obtained with static or total tubes as shown in figure 61. The absolute value of the static pressure in the exhaust stack forward of the heat exchanger was indicated on a manifold-pressure gage. The exhaust-gas static pressure drop across the heat exchanger and all air pressures were indicated on water manometers. The air pressures were referred to the static pressure of the service airspeed head. The locations of the thermocouples and pressure tubes are shown on the installation drawings of each heat exchanger (figs. 24 to 44). The instrumentation of all heat exchangers was similar with respect to locations and types of thermocouples and pressure tubes used.

When the heat-exchanger tests were completed, a quadrupleshielded thermocouple, shown in figure 62, was installed in the exhaust stacks of engines 1 and 3 to provide an indication of the radiation error of the unshielded gas thermocouples. The shielded thermocouple was installed in the center of the the regular straight sections of exhaust stack which had been replaced by the heat exchangers. Thus, in nacelle 1, the shielded thermocouple was located approximately halfway between stations 2A and 2B (fig. 24), and in nacelle 3, approximately halfway between stations 2 and 2D (fig. 34). The section of straight exhaust stack was lagged with asbestos, approximately three-eighths inch thick, and the unshielded thermocouples used during the heat-exchanger tests were left in place in the unlagged portions of the exhaust system.

A single unshielded thermocouple (fig. 61) and a venturi meter were installed in the heated-air discharge ducts of the final heat-exchanger installations in nacelles 1 and 4. In the case of the final installation for nacelle 3, an unshielded thermocouple was installed in the duct between the exchanger outlet header and the discharge-valve assembly. The venturi meter, located between the exchanger in nacelle 3 and the heated-air discharge louver for the performance tests, was left in place.

Although during the preliminary tests of the final heatexchanger installations the heated air was directed overboard only, a single unshielded thermocouple was located in the duct which directed the heated air to the wing outer panels of the installation in nacelles 1 and 4 for use during the service tests. Also, for the right wing, the quantity of air flow could be determined when the air was directed to the iceprevention system by means of the venturi meter located near the outer panel joint (reference 2).

During the tests of the final heat-exchanger installations, instrumentation was provided in nacelle 3 to obtain the turbine-supercharger speed and angular position of the waste-gate butterfly valve. An indicating tachometer was connected to the turbine supercharger, and an NACA controlposition recorder was attached to the waste-gate butterfly valve.

TESTS

Prior to flight tests, the engines were operated on the ground with the heat exchangers installed. All pressure and temperature data were recorded for the heat-exchanger installations at engine-power conditions of approximately 16 inches of mercury manifold pressure and 1200 rpm engine speed. The engines were also operated at high-power conditions of full throttle and full boost in order to investigate the maximum temperatures of the heated air and parts of the exhaust shroud under these severe conditions of low air-flow rate and high exhaust-gas-flow rate and temperature.

When the heat-exchanger installations were considered satisfactory, as determined by the ground testing, the flight tests were made to investigate the performance of the heat exchangers at various flight conditions. Pressure and temperature data were recorded for each heat exchanger during ratedpower climbs and normal descents at various altitudes. Data were also recorded during cruising-power level flights for all of the heat exchangers at 18,000 feet pressure altitude; for heat exchangers 1, 2, and 3 at 25,000 feet pressure altitude; for heat exchangers 4, 5, 6, and 7 at 30,000 feet pressure altitude; and for heat exchangers 5, 6, and 7 at 34,600 feet pressure altitude. For each flight condition the engine power was repeated, as nearly as possible, for all heat exchangers tested. The heated-air temperatures and air-flow rates were obtained for the final installations of heat exchangers 3, 5, and 7 during rated-power climb and level-flight conditions similar to the performance tests in order that the final installations could be compared to the performance-test installations.

Night flights were conducted to observe the flaming of the exhaust gas and glowing of the exhaust stack and turbine supercharger for each exchanger-performance installation with the exception of exchanger 5. Visual observations were made from the ball turret of the test airplane, from an accompanying airplane, and from the ground. The observer in the test 10

airplane was stationed in the ball turret and made observations during level flight at various engine-power conditions. The accompanying airplane, with two observers exclusive of the flight crew, was maneuvered about the B-17F airplane at a distance of approximately 300 feet. Observations of each heat-exchanger installation were made from several positions: from each side, directly below, and below and slightly aft. In addition to the above tests, the B-17F airplane was flown at altitudes of 300 and 500 feet over two observers stationed on the ground.

When the performance tests of the heat exchangers were completed and the quadruple-shielded thermocouples were installed in the exhaust stacks, temperature data were recorded for the unshielded and shielded thermocouples during ratedpower climb and level-flight conditions similar to the conditions at which the heat exchangers were tested.

For the investigation of the effect of the heat-exchanger installation on the turbine-supercharger operation, tests were conducted at the same flight conditions with and without heat exchanger 7 installed in nacelle 3. Rated-power climbs were made to approximately 31,000 feet pressure altitude to investigate the critical altitude of the turbine supercharger for this power condition. The test climbs were made with full throttle under the operating conditions of constant manifold pressure, engine speed, and indicated airspeed. The manifold pressure was maintained constant by adjustment of the boost control. Level flights were conducted at 25,000 feet pressure altitude to determine the effect on the turbine speed and waste-gatevalve position of (1).varying engine speed (at full throttle and full boost) and (2) varying manifold pressure (at full throttle and constant engine speed). For part (1), the engine speed was changed by adjustment of the propeller pitch control and for part (2) the manifold pressure was changed by operating the boost control.

RESULTS

The data recorded during the performance tests of the seven heat exchangers are presented in tables I to VII, inclusive. The reference pressure, which was the static pressure of the service airspeed head, has been corrected to true ambient static pressure. The pressure correction applied was obtained from a calibration of the service airspeed head by means of a static head suspended beneath the airplane. The data obtained during the operation of the engines at high power on the ground and during take-off are not complete and do not represent a state of equilibrium. The length of time that the engines could be operated safely at this high power without overheating did not permit a state of equilibrium to

-- conditio be reached. The data for these conditions have been included because they are indicative of the maximum values which might be attained under these severe operating conditions. The ranges of altitude given in the tables for the climb and descent runs represent the change in altitude during the recording of the data.

The evaluation of the general performance characteristics of the seven heat exchangers is presented in tables VIII to XIV, inclusive, which were prepared from the data in tables I to VII, inclusive. The heated-air temperature given in these tables is the average value of the fivethermocouple survey located in the heated-air outlet duct. The air-temperature rise was determined from the ambient-air temperature and the average heated-air temperature. The rate of heat transferred was based upon the air-temperature rise, the air-flow rate, and the specific heat of the air at the arithmetic average of the ambient air and the heatedair temperatures.

The measured static pressure at the air inlet scoop was corrected for the difference in cross-sectional area between the air inlet scoop and the heated-air outlet duct. The difference between this corrected static pressure at the air inlet scoop and the static pressure measured at the heatedair outlet duct is presented in each table as the air-side static pressure drop. The exhaust-gas pressures were measured at points of equal cross-sectional area and, therefore, no area correction to the recorded pressure differences was necessary.

The data obtained for the tests conducted with the shielded thermocouples installed in the exhaust stacks of engines 1 and 3 indicated a difference in temperature between the shielded and unshielded thermocouples ranging from 120° to 160° F for the level-flight and descent test conditions, and from 60° to 80° F for the climb condition, with no The corrected consistency of the data within these ranges. exhaust-gas temperatures presented in tables VIII to XIV, inclusive, are the recorded values increased by 140° F for the level-flight and descent test conditions, and increased by 70° F for the climb condition. The values of the exhcustgas-flow rate given in the tables were calculated from engine-performance data.

The results of the flame-suppression tests are given in table XV. No attempt was made to measure the intensity of the visually observed flame or glow because it was believed that whether or not the flame and glow were visible to the eye was a fundamental criterion of flame suppression. During all of the night flights conducted to observe exhaust flaming,

17

heat exchangers were installed in nacelles 1, 3, and 4, and the glycol boilers of the service cabin-heating system were in nacelle 2; therefore, no indication of the intensity of the flaming or glowing was obtained for the regular exhaust system. The exhaust flaming, which was visible only from the ball turret of the test airplane, was a blue haze of low intensity. The type of fuel used in the engines may have an effect on the intensity of exhaust flaming; however, only aircraft engine fuel, grade 130, aromatic was used during the reported tests.

The heat exchangers were not tested for a sufficient length of time to provide conclusive information regarding the service life of the various units; however, each heat exchanger was visually inspected for indications of failure after the tests had been completed. A discoloration of the metal on all heat exchangers was observed to be more pronounced on the exhaust-gas side than on the air side. A slight roughness of the metal on the exhaust-gas side, especially noticeable in heat exchanger 1, was found at the forward end of the heat exchangers. In all cases, the amount of discoloration and roughness did not appear to exceed that of the regular exhaust system. Shall cracks were observed at the forward end of heat exchangers 6 and 7 in the region where the flutes were joined together. Prior to the inspection, heat exchangers 1, 2, and 3 had been tested for approximately 21 hours, 4 for approximately Lechours, 5 for approximately 11 hours, and 6 and 7 for about 29 hours.

The results of the preliminary testing of the final installations of heat exchangers 3, 5, and 7 are given in table XVI. Since the performance characteristics of the heat exchangers had been investigated, and these three final installations were specifically for service testing, complete temperature and pressure data were not obtained.

The effect of heat exchanger 7 installation in nacelle 3 on the turbine speed and waste-gate-valve position for the three test conditions investigated is shown in figures 63 to 65 inclusive.

Figure 63 presents the results of the rated-power climb tests, and figures 64 and 65 present the results of the levelflight investigations.

DISCUSSION

The possibility of determining a single index which can be used for comparing all heat exchangers has been the subject of much discussion and research. The large number of factors involved (heat output, temperature rise, resistance to exhaustgas-and-air flow, and exchanger weight and volume) makes the selection of the optimum exchanger very dependent upon the particular application. This problem of establishing a "coefficient of performance" for heat exchangers was also encountered during the investigations of reference 1, in which several exchangers of different design and anticipated output were tested. A reasonably satisfactory basis for the comparison of different exchanger designs exists, however, when all the exchangers are intended for the same installation, as in the case of the reported investigation. Accordingly, the design requirements of the heat-exchanger installations for a typical four-engine bomber airplane thermal iceprevention and cabin-heating systems have been chosen as the basis for the comparison of the seven heat exchangers.

The design requirements are given for the critical conditions of the outboard- and inboard-nacelle heat-exchanger installations. The outboard-nacelle installations, to be used for the wing icc-prevention system only, were considered to be critical for 18,000 fect pressure altitude at maximum range cruising-flight conditions. The inboard-nacelle installations, to be used for the empennage ice-prevention and cabin-heating systems, were considered to be critical at 35,000 feet pressure altitude for cabin-heating use during maximum range cruising-flight conditions. Unfortunately, the test conditions were not identical to the design specifications because the specifications were not available until the investigations were almost completed, and because the factors involved in flights at high altitudes restricted operations at 35,000 feet. The test conditions, nevertheless, closely approximated the design assumptions in most cases and, in general, provided data from which the relative ability of the various exchangers to satisfy the design requirements could be estimated.

The design requirements and the performance data to be compared with those requirements are presented in table XVII. Although exchanger 2 was installed in nacelle 3, it was not tested at 35,000 feet (design requirement for the inboard exchangers) and hence has been listed with the outboard exchangers in the table. The exchanger was of comparable size with those tested in the outboard nacelles and can reasonably be included with them for the purposes of discussion. All three of the exchangers for which test data were obtained at 34,600 feet have been grouped together for comparison with the inboard-nacelle requirements even though two of these exchangers were designed for the outboard nacelles.

The desired values of the total pressure drop for the exhaust-gas and air sides of the heat-exchanger installations were specified in the design requirements. However, the total pressure drops were not obtained during the testing because of the difficulties and complications associated with the

- 1 A

14

instrumentation necessary to determine correctly the total pressure profiles across the heated-air outlet duct and the exhaust stack. On the exhaust-gas side there was little space available for the location of pressure tubes aft of the exchangers and between the heat exchangers and the engineexhaust collector. (See the figures of the heat-exchanger installations.) An indication of the static pressure drop across the gas side of the exchangers was obtained and may be used in comparing the various exchangers but should not be compared with the design total pressure drops. With regard to the air side of the heat exchangers, the velocity distribution across the air-inlet-scoop entrances was sufficiently constant to allow the total pressure to be evaluated from a three-tube total-pressure survey.

In the heated-air outlet only the static pressure, which has essentially a constant value at any duct section, was measured. A reasonable approximation of the total pressure in the air outlet, however, can be obtained by adding a calculated value of the dynamic pressure in the outlet to the measured static pressure. The flow in the heated-air outlet ducting was assumed to be turbulent and the relationship used to calculate the dynamic pressure was $q = \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2$; where q is the dynamic pressure, ρ is the density of the air, and V is the average air velocity in the duct. The calculated values of total pressure in the air outlet duct were subtracted from the values measured at the air inlet to provide the total pressure drops presented in table XVII.

A consideration of the rate of heat transfer of heat exchangers 1 to 6, inclusive, indicates that only exchanger 5 exceeded the design requirement for the outboard-nacelle installation at 18,000 feet pressure altitude. However, the test indicated airspeed was below the design value and it is probable that the rate of heat transfer of exchangers 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 would satisfy the requirement if tested at design airspeed conditions. Attention is directed to the fact that, although the design rate of heat transfer may be realized, the heat-exchanger performance may not be satisfactory unless the air-flow rate and temperature rise, which determine the rate of heat transfer, also meet the design requirements. For heat exchangers 1 to 6, inclusive, the air-flow rates were below the design values, and the air-temperature rises, except for exchanger 4, exceeded the design requirements. The heatedair-temperature rise produced by exchanger 4 was within the range specified in the design requirements, but the air-flow rate was low. This general condition of low flow rate and high temperature rise indicates that the pressure drop across the exchangers was high, which is verified by the values of total pressure drop given in table XVII. Of the six exchangers compared with the design requirements for the outboard nacelles, exchanger 5 most nearly approached the design pressure drop and air-flow rate. The combination of low air-flow rate and low total pressure drop presented for exchanger 2 indicates that the heated-air outlet ducting contributed more to the over-all pressure loss in the inboard-nacelle than in the case of the outboard-nacelle installations. A total pressure drop across the exchanger 2 installation equal to the allowable design requirement would probably have resulted in an air-flow rate, temperature rise, and rate of heat transfer close to the design specifications.

In the interpretation of the air-side total pressure drops for the heat exchangers, it is important to realize that the values given in table XVII include the pressure drop through the air inlet scoop, the heat exchanger proper, and the air outlet header. It is possible, however, to obtain a relative indication of the pressure loss to be attributed to the exchanger itself by a comparison of the test data for similar installations, such as those of exchangers 1, 3, ¹, and 5. On this basis it may be seen that exchanger 1 installation had the highest pressure drop at the lowest flow rate, and since the installation of this exchanger was similar to those of exchangers 3, ¹, and 5, it is probable that the pressure drop across the exchanger proper was approximately twice that for exchanger 5. Exchanger 6, although tested in the outboard nacelles, has not been considered in this comparison because this was a cross-flow heat exchanger and required a different type of installation.

An indication of the effect of air inlet scoop, heatexchanger shroud, and outlet design on the performance of an exchanger installation is illustrated by a comparison of the data for exchanger 3 installed for performance tests and for service tests. (See tables N and NVI.) The final installation of exchanger 3 was designed to have a lower air-side pressure drop than the test installation. Although the final installation pressure drop was not measured, a reduction was apparently achieved as evidenced by the increase in the airflow rate and the decrease in air-temperature rise.

A comparison of the performance data for exchangers 5, 6, and 7 with the design requirements at 35,000 feet pressure altitude indicates that the rate of heat transfer of the exchangers was below the design value. Although exchanger 7 was the only unit specifically designed for use in the inboard nacelles, the test data show that the rate of heat transfer for exchanger 5 almost equaled that of exchanger 7. Although the test indicated airspeed was below the design value and the exchanger rates of heat transfer would increase as the design airspeed is approached, it is questionable whether the required rate of heat exchange could be achieved by either

5 or 7 under design conditions. The performance data indicate that for exchangers 5 and 7, the air-flow rate and airtemperature rise, which determine the rate of heat transfer and must meet design requirements if the performance of the ice-prevention or cabin-heating system is to be satisfactory, vere, respectively, below and above design values. This reported performance of the installations indicates a high air-side pressure drop which is substantiated by the data presented in table XVII. The high air-temperature rise experienced with the installation of exchanger 7 in nacelle 3 was the reason for the adaptation of the cold-air tempering system already described under the discussion of final or service-test installations. The effect of this tempering system in reducing the temperature of the heated air directed to the empennage is shown to be satisfactory by a comparison of data in tables XIV and XVI.

A comparison of the performance data recorded for each exchanger at different altitudes indicates that, in general, the air-flow rate decreased and the air-temperature rise increased as the altitude was increased for similar airspeed and engine-power conditions. The recorded decrease in airflow rate had a greater effect on the rate of heat transfer than did the increase in air-temperature rise, resulting in a decrease in the rate of heat transfer. These results indicate that the variation of heat-exchanger performance with changes in altitude is an important consideration in the design of such installations, The application of exhaust-gas heat exchangers to future engine installations in which satisfactory exchanger performance is required over a large range of airplane and engine operating conditions will probably lead to the development of air-tempering systems and exhaust-gas bypass devices.

The results of the flame-suppression tests indicated that the intensity of the exhaust flaming was not sufficient to be visible at an estimated distance of 300 feet, but that the glow of portions of the exhaust system and the turbine supercharger was visible. Exhaust flaming, as a blue haze, observed from the ball turret of the test airplane, indicated that flaming did exist but was of low intensity. In the evaluation of the results of the flame-suppression investigation, the background conditions should be considered. For the reported tests, it was observed that the moon was not visible when heat exchangers 1, 2, and 3 were tested, but was visible near the end of the flights when heat exchangers 4, 6, and 7 were installed. During all of the tests, ground lights were visible but, when observations were being made, the airplane was maneuvered so that the source of light was not in the background.

The results of the tests to investigate the operation of the turbine supercharger with and without exchanger 7 installed indicate that in a rated engine-power climb, the speed (and, therefore, critical altitude) of the supercharger was not affected by the exchanger installation (fig. 63). However, it was found that the exchanger installation necessitated a greater closure of the waste-gate valve in order to maintain the manifold pressure at the rated-power value. The data in figure 65 indicate that a movement of the waste-gate valve from two-thirds closed to almost fully closed was required to attain the same manifold pressure in level flight with the exchanger installed as that obtained with no exchanger. The limited scope of the supercharger investigations precludes the presentation of definite statements regarding the effects of heat-exchanger installations on turbine-supercharger performance, and the data presented in figures 63, 64 and 65 should be interpreted with reservation.

The total time that the heat exchangers were tested was not sufficient to provide a basis for conclusions on the service life of the units. The discoloration which was observed when the heat exchangers were inspected did not appear to be excessive. The location of the small cracks observed on heat exchangers 6 and 7 at the forward end where the flutes were joined together indicated that failure was probably due to the method of fabrication of the heat exchangers. The cracks were in the region where a considerable amount of forming and welding was required in the fabrication, and the method of joining the flute's appeared to cause a concentration of stress at this point. It was also observed that the discoloration of the metal of exchangers 6 and 7 was most pronounced in the region where the cracks were located. The discoloration probably indicates that the distribution of air was not satisfactory to provide sufficient cooling of this area.

In the installation of exchangers 6 and 7, the clamp connections which joined the heat exchanger to the exhaust stack were located within the air shrouding and, therefore, any leakage of exhaust gas at these joints would enter the Exhaust-gas leakage at the clamp connections of air stream. exchanger 7 was evidenced by discolorations of the exhaust shroud in the vicinity of the clamps. If a heat-exchanger installation of this type were to be used for cabin heating, a secondary exchanger would be necessary in order to avoid the danger of introducing carbon monoxide into the airplane Installations similar to the cross-flow type tested cabin. are not subject to contamination of the heated air by exhcustgas leakage at the attachment clamps because these connections are located outside the air passages; however, a secondary exchanger may be employed as a precautionary measure in the

event of a minor failure of the exhaust-gas-to-air heat exchanger.

, CONCLUDING REMARKS

The design requirements of heat exchanger installations for a typical four-engine bomber airplane are used as a basis for comparing the performance of the heat exchangers tested. The results indicated that the design rate of heat transfer for the outboard nacelle heat-exchanger installations would probably be provided by all the heat exchangers tested in those nacelles. It is questionable if any of the heat exchangers tested in the inboard nacelles would provide the rate of heat transfer specified for those nacelles. For all the heat exchangers tested, it was found that the air-side pressure drop across the exchangers was high and resulted in low air-flow rates and in most cases high air-temperature rises.

The flame-suppression tests results showed that the glowing of the exhaust stacks and turbine-supercharger parts was more visible than the exhaust gas flaming.

The limited data obtained on the effect of a heat-exchanger installation on the operation of a turbine supercharger' indicated that the critical altitude of the supercharger for rated-engine-power conditions was not affected by the heatexchanger installation. These data also show that, for a given engine manifold pressure, greater closure of the waste-gate butterfly valve was required with the exchanger installed than without the exchanger installed.

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Hoffett Field, Calif., April 19, 1944.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jackson, Richard, and Hillendahl, Wesley H.: Flight Tests of Several Exhaust-Gas-to-Air Heat Exchangers. NACA ARR No. 4014, 1944.
- 2. Jones, Alun R., and Rodert, Lewis A.: Development of Thermal Ice-Prevention Equipment for the B-17F Airplane NACA ARR No. 3H24, 1943.
- 3. Look, Bonne C.: Flight Tests of the Thermal Ice-Prevention Equipment on the B-17F Airplane. NACA ARR No. 4802, 1944.

TABLE 1.- DATA RECORDED FOR EXCHANGER 1 TESTED IN NACELLE 1 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

2 5 Run number 1 3 4 6 7 8 9 10 11 . . Flight conditions Ground T.O. Climb Climb Climb Climb Level Descent Descent Level Descent Manifold pressure, in. Hg: No. 1 engine - - - - -16 44 37 38.5 38.5 28 37 26.5 20 19 20 No. 2 engine - - -- -16 44 37 38.5 38.5 28 37 26 20 19 20 No. 3 engine - - - - - - -16 38.5 25 37 27 19.5 44 38.5 38.5 19 20 38.5 38.5 38.5 28 16 44 37 27.5 19.5 19 20 Engine speed, rpm: 1150 2500 2300 2300 2300 1800 2300 1900 1800 1800 1800 No. 1 engine - - -No. 2 engine - - - - -1150 2,500 2300 2300 2300 1800 2300 1900 1800 1800 1800 - -No. 3 engine - - - - -- -1150 2500 2300 2300 2300 1825 2300 2040 1800 1800 1800 No. 4 engine - - - - - - -1200 2500 2300 2300 2300 1825 2300 1900 1800 1800 1800 134 135 133 128 133 129 153 160 Indicated airspeed, mph - - - -----____ 148 20,300 Sea Sea 3,000 8,000 12,400 24,500 8.000 17,200 24,800 18,000 level Pressure altitude, ft - - - - -Level 7,000 12,000 16,400 24,300 21,500 14,200 5,000 Mixture setting, automatic rich or lean - - - -A.R. A.R. A.R. A.R. A.R. A.L. A.R. A.L. A.L. A.L. **▲.**L. Temperatures, OF: - -Ambient air 66 62 57 48 17 14 12 -9 -2 18 53 T₃₉, exhaust gas in - - - - -1554 1564 1546 1626 1576 1680 1555 1499 1598 -------527 876 1022 T40, exhaust stack wall at T39 .-906 910 ----1114 1110 1007 883 779 T41, exhaust stack wall at T39 -537 990 1034 1032 1072 _----1097 1158 953 906 815 T_{42} , exhaust stack wall at T_{39}^{33} -601 ----1149 1145 1203 997 963 866 T_{45} , exhaust gas out - - - - -950 1462 1480 1475 1522 ----____ ____ ___ ____ T_{45} , exhaust stack wall at T_{43} -281 ----642 704 694 526 395 46, exhaust stack wall at T45 440 823 850 844 804 900 898 799 637 565 T49, mir out - - -. 307 464 476 495 485 479 536 520 440 370 332 T₅₀, air out - - - - -317 ----469 495 494 482 544 525 428 356 307 T₅₁, air out survey - - - - -307 ----456 474 472 457 520 486 398 337 290 T₅₂, air out - - - - -294 ----440 452 450 434 495 450 373 320 280 T₅₃, air out -- - - - -279 ----404 416 414 392 454 399 340 294 264 T54, exchanger outlet 245 - - - - -----426 437 437 424 498 438 3 53 283 251 55, exchanger shroud - - - - -138 ----200 2 50 208 1 ---215 127 120 56, exhaust shroud, forward -180 T 130 146 157 233 167 194 310 138 130 T_{57}^{50} , exhaust shroud, aft - - - -151 254 325 276 309 440 400 328 257 150 127 Pressures: ¹P₂₁, exhaust gas in, statio --31 36.3 35.9 28.4 27 20 25.5 18.4 15 17 ---- $P_{21}-P_{22}$, exhaust gas statio ΔP_E 3.5 2.9 3.2 3.3 -----------------------я P23, air in, statio - - -2.3 2..3 13.5 13.5 12.8 10.5 12 16 12.3 12.3 14 3 2.5 13.5 13.3 12.9 10.5 11.9 10.0 12.7 13.5 P25, air in total, center - - -----14.4 13.7 13.0 2.5 13.4 ----10.6 12.2 10.3 12.7 13.7 14.4 26, air in total, bottom 2.5 13.5 12.3 13.0 11.0 12.0 10.4 12.9 13.5 ----³P₂₇, air out, static - - - - --0.4 -0.6 -0.8 -1.2 1.5 -1 -1 -1.8 -1.5 -1

¹ Inches of mercury, absolute.

² Inches of water.

³ Inches of water, referred to ambient static pressure.

								co	NATIONAL AMITTEE FO	ADVISORY R AERONAUT	rics
Run number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Flight condition	Ground	T.O.	Climb	Climb	Climb	Leve1	Climb	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Manifold pressure, in. Hg:				1							
No. 1 engine	16	44	87	38	38	28	37	26.5	20	22	20
No. 2 engine	16	44	37	38	38	28	37	26	19.5	21	20
No. 3 engine	16	44	37	38	38	25	37	27	19.5	21	20
No. 4 engine	16	44	37	38	38	28	37	27.5	19.5	18	20
Engine speed, rpm:									-		
No. 1 engine	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1800	2300	1900	1800	1800	1800
No. 2 engine	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1800	2300	1900	1800	1800	1800
No. 3 engine	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1825	2300	2040	1800	1800	1800
No. 4 engine	1200	2500	2300	2300	2300	1825	2300	1900	1800	1800	1800
Corrected Indicated airspeed, mph		106	135	133	133	128	133	129	138	158	165
	Sea	Sea	3,300	8,000	12,000	10 300	20,800	25 000	24.500	21.000	7.000
Pressure altitude, ft	level	lovel	7,300	12,000	16,000	10,000	24,900	20,000	21,500	18,000	4,000
Mixture setting,							· · · · ·				
automatic rich or lean	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.L.	A.R.	A.L.	A.L.	A.L.	A.L.
Temperatures, op:		1	•								
Ambient air	66	62	58	50	36	10	12	-9	-2	5	58
T ₂₀ , exhaust gas in	1072		1320	1329	1485	1506	1500	1628	1476	1290	1190
T ₂₁ , exhaust stack wall at T ₂₀ -	646		753	780	830	889	900	997	1111	805	670
T_{22} , exhaust stack wall at T_{20} -	694		1044	1042	1077			1200		945	787
T25, exhaust stack wall at T20 -			1031		1064						
T_{24} , exhaust gas out	911		922	892	1319	1140	1358	750	1230	1248	1152
T_{25} , exhaust stack wall at T_{24} -	308		545	533	570	447	595	607	390	406	\$19
T_{26} , exhaust stack wall at T_{24} -	381		496	515	524	397	520	548	334	350	265
T_{27} , exhaust stack wall at T_{24} -	430		831	852	864	682	920	529	580	310	530
T_{30} , air out $=$	302		37.8	426	432	372	480	479	368	330	275
T ₃₁ , air out	360	402	438	442	448	398	498	490	390	358	300
T _{go} , air out ≥survey	313		440	445	454	398	504	490	387	358	295
T ₃₃ , air out	295		424	433	439	382	490	473	370	546	288
T_{34} , air out $$	274		405	410	416	348	468	443	340	315	269
T ₃₅ , heater outlet, skin	380		435	400	402	400	504	485	398	355	3 78
T_{36} , heater shroud, skin	131		147	138	131	140	155	177	155	131	135
T ₃₇ , exhaust shroud, forward	167	259	290	282	284	215	324	430	216	176	169
T ₃₈ , exhaust shroud, aft	131		153	155	148	123	162	190	148	168	176
Prossures :											
P ₁₁ , exhaust gas in, static	31	37.5	32	30	29	20	27.5	20.1	15.5		
^P 11-P ₁₂ , exhaust gas, ΔP_E , static	-	15	26.5	28	29	11.5	33	17	10.5		
P14, air in, total, top	2.1		13.9	13.5	13.2	11.3	12.5	10.6	11.6	14.3	15.2
P ₁₅ , air in, total, center	2,0		18.7	13.1	13.0	11.6	13.0	. 10.8	11.5	14.0	15.2
Pl6, air in, total, bottom	2.0		13.7	13.2	13.0	11.6	12.9	10.6	11.3	14.0	15.2
P ₁₃ , air in, static	1.5		13.3	13	12.8	11.5	12.3	10.6	10.8	13,5	14.5
P_{17} , air out, static	0.8		6	6	5	5	4.3	4.8	4.8	5.8	6

TABLE II.- DATA RECORDED FOR EICHANGER 2 TESTED IN NACELLE 3 OF THE B-17P AIRPLANE

¹ Inches of mercury, absolute. ³ Inches of water. ³ Inches of water, referred to ambient static pressure.

.

Run number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Plight conditions	Ground	т.о.	Climb	Climb	Climb	Level	Ċlimb	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Mandfald measure in Has											
No. 1 engine a s a s a s a s	16	مم	38.5	38	7.9	28	\$7	285	20	10 5	10
	16		37.5	38	30			20.0		10.5	19
No. 2 maine -	10		70	70	70				1 10 5	15.5	19
No. 5 engine	10		20 70	00	70	20	37		19.5	19*2	19
No. 4 engine	10	44	- 39	30		60	- 31	27.5	19.5	19.5	19
Engine speed, rpm:	1150	9600	2800	0.700	3800	1000		1000	1000	3000	1000
No. 1 engine	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1800	2300	1900	1800	1800	1800
No, 2 engine	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1800	Z300	1900	1800	1800	1800
No. 5 engine	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1825	2300	2040	1800	1800	1800
No. 4 engine	1200	2500	2300	2300	2300	1825	2300	1900	1800	1800	1800
Indicated airspeed, mph		106	133	133	135	128	134	129	143	150	158
	Sea	Sea	3,200	8,400	13,300	10 000	20,300	95 100	24,700	20,000	7,000
Pressure altitude, ft	level	lovel	7,200	12,400	17,300	µ0,000	24,300	20,100	21,700	17,000	4,000
Mixture setting,					T						
automatic rich or lean	A.R.	A .R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.L.	A.R.	A.L.	A.L.	A.L.	A.L.
Temperatures, "F:					· · · · · ·						
Ambient air	66	62	54	39	32	16	16	-11	-6	16	44
T. exhaust gas in	1114		1500	1500	1540	1582	1572	1625	1500	1514	1441
T2. exhaust stack wall at T1 -	730		1018	1036	1064	1125	1145	1220	1020	945	840
Te, exhaust stack wall at T1 -	580		832	858	884	1063	948	1060	978	958	836
Tr. exhaust ras out	877		1374	1390	1398	1376	1444		1290	1280	1156
Te, exhaust stack wall at Tr -	367		842	884	878	779	920	853	656	604	448
T-, exhaust stack wall at Te -	408		706	741	780	717	836	768	625	592	464
To, exhaust stack wall at Tr -	443		832	864	922	857	980	947	74.6	680	517
Tan, air out	2 64		380	388	402	346	442	388	299	290	288
Tao, air out	280		380	388	405	543	442	381	295	200	23.6
Ta eir out Survey -	326		411	417	440	3.81	478	415	885	324	200
The air out (201		386	505	420	349	454	101	\$07	205	240
	\$32	440	438	448	4.61	418	408	440	870	85J 85A	202
The bester ontlet skin	332		432	442	4.69	415	400	457	800	1004	290
Tig heater shrend skin	572		020	1000	1050	075	1111	1001	363	300	300
The ambaust shroud formand -	157		210	220	2000	845	1111	1001	050	806	677
118, winder shi out, forward -	1.07	234	270	809	344	810	300	046	201	220	173
T19, examust shroud, ait	100	604	610	300	301	310		404			
Pressures :											
+ P1, exhaust gas in, static	31	36.5	32.5	30.5	29.5	21		20.3	16	14.8	25
³ P ₁ -P ₂ , gas statio, ΔP ₂		8.5				3.6		12	7	1.6	4.6
Py, air in statio =	1.5		11.5	11.3	11.2	9.8	10.3	9	9.5	10.8	11
P4, air in total, top	2.1		13.5	12.7	13.0	11.6	12.0	10.2	11.3	12.4	13.7
Pg, air in total, center	2.1		18.7	15.0	18.2	11.6	12.0	10.3	11.2	12.4	13.7
Pg, air in total, bottom	2.2		13.7	13.0	13.2	11.6	12.0	10.4	10.5	12.7	14.0
⁸ P ₇ , air out static	1.9		1.5	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.8	1.3

TABLE III.-- DATA RECORDED FOR EXCHANGER 3 TESTED IN MACELLE 4 OP THE B-17F AIRPLANE

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

¹Inches of mercury, absolute. ³Inches of water. ³Inches of water, referred to ambient static pressure.

Run number	-	~	ъ	4	5	8	7	8	Ċ,	10	11	12	13
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	T.0.	Climb	Climb	Climb	Level	Climb	Climb	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Manifold pressure, in. Hg: No. 1 envire				0 0 2	۲ •	- U - C - O	6	0 02	2 2 2		:	;	
No. 2 engine			44.0	38.0	285	57.5	27.9	57.2	57.0	27.0	17.5	0.01	2.81
No. 3 engine	1	1	40.0	39.0	38.0	37.5	27.2	57.1	35,8	27.0	18.0	18.8	19.8
No. 4 engine	16.0	45.5	44.0	39.0	38 .0	\$7.5	27.2	37.0	37.0	27.0	21.8	18.0	19.8
Engine speed, rpm: No. 1 envine			0340	0020	0026	00.50	1 450						
No. 2 antina - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			0010	2002	00020	3	00.1	0002			000T	029T	9291
			2500	0000		0022	0/.1	0022	2300	0012	1950	OTAL	1810
No. 4 engine	1225	2425	2500	2300	2300	2300	1800	2300	2300	2100	1820	1825	1640
Indicated airspeed, mph:			116	133	133	133	134	136	135	137	133	140	160
Pressure altitude, ft	Sea level	Sea level	Sea level	5 000 9 000	10,000 14,000	13,800 17,800	18,200	23,000 27,000	28,000 32,000	30,000	28,000 25,000	20,000	5,800 2,800
Mixture setting, automatic rich or lean	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.L.	A.R.	A.R.	A.L.	A.L.	A.L.	A.L.
Temperatures, OF: Ambient air	02	02		ä	g	a v		6	6		-	-	8
T. exhaust rea in	1160	1120		2021	0021		2021	0.00	1000			11	80.5
To exhaust stack well	1200	2311		1001		0101		Deo T	9/91		1020	0/ 8 T	1449
TS. exhaust stack wall	720			1200	10001	1205	0.211	1970	1200	1306	1074	967	870
T4, exhaust stack wall	574			987	1005	1027	1070	1082	1232	0121	STOT	202	05/
T5, exhaust gas out	606	1	1	1451	1454	1468	1430	1516	1546	1549	1357	1282	1214
T6. exhaust stack wall	336	1	!	935	964	1005	893	1056	1098	1039	852	590	
T7, exhaust stack wall	392		1	725	751	777	667	828	878	868	644	518	436
T8, exhaust stack wall	450			887	910	945	833	1013	1052	1036	794	638	530
The air out a second se	4/2 9/0	025		350	347	350	316	372	371	344	249	237	230
Tra, air out Survey	540	510	435	440	204 423	200 423	380	384	585 213	351	2.62	249	240 205
Tid, air out]	307	484	403	410	410	413	353	408	416	878 878	202		026
115, air out/	332	482	400	373	383	387	353	400	416	410	298	287	262
T16, heater outlet skin	277			295	308	312	262	314	318	279	200	197	220
117, heater shroud skin	143			230	269	272	289	252	249	233	177	147	148
T18, exhaust shroud forward	119		142	173	88	227	207	227	225	230	200	148	155
-19, exnaust snroud ait	124	-	166	196.	262	315	241	406	471	272	165	98	118
Pressures: Pl. gas in statio	30.8	36.2	36	29.7	28.0	27.5	20.7	26.7	26.5	1.95	15.0	15.0	36 D
"Pi-Po. gas static. APr	0.4	10.5		α	0	0							2 •3
³ Ps. air in static	2.1	7.5									2 0	N 0	2
³ P., air in total, ton =	2.4				10 10					0 0 T	2 0 0	0.0	12•3
³ P _c . air in total. center	2.4				12.5	10.01			11		10.5	10.6	14.5
³ P ₆ , air in total, bottom	2.3	1		0.51	13.2	0.21			11			9 O L	
³ Pr. air out statio	0.0	5 0		, u , u	3 6				1		1.01		7.00L
- A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A	5	2		0.3	0.3	2.3	C • T	0.ª T	0.1	ר•ת ס•ח	0.T	[7 • 7	Q•2

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TABLE IV.- DATA RECORDED FOR EXCHANGER & TESTED IN NACELLE & OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE

.

.

•

l Inches of mercury, absolute. 2 Inches of water. 3 Inches of water, referred to ambient static pressure.

TABLE V.- DATA RECORDED FOR EXCHANGER 5 TESTED IN NACELLE 4 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE.

NATIONAL ADVISORY Committee for Aeronautics

Run number	г	~	8	- 4	ß	9	7	8	6	10	1	12	13
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	Climb	Cl 1mb	Cl 1mb	Level	climb	Climb	Level	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Manifold pressure, in. Hg: No. 1 engine	16		38	38.5	37.5	27.5	37.5	37	30	26	15	17.5	18.5
No. 2 engine	16		38.5	38	37.5	27.5	37.5	37	8	20	11	18.5	18.5
No. 5 engine	16		37	38	37.5	27.5	37	37	30	30.5	18.5	18	18.5
No. 4 engine	16	\$	38.5	38	37.5	27.5	37	37	8	28	19.5	175	18.5
Lugino speed, rpm: No. 1 engine	1210		2300	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2050			1 ROO
No. 2 engine	1250		2300	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2200	1800	1800	1800
No. 3 engine	1350		2300	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2200	1800	1800	1800
	9/.71	2450	2200 2200	2200	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2200	88	1800	1800
Indicated airspeed, mph		*****	134	135	136	135	131	130	143	115	148	148	148
Pressure altitude, ft	Sea level	Sea level	3500 6500	8,500 11,500	15,500 16,500	18,100	23, 500 26, 500	28,500 31,500	30,000	34,600	26,500 23,500	19,500	6500 3500
Mixture setting, sutomatio rich or lean	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.L.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	AsLa	A. L.	A.L.A
Temperatures, ^{OF} :													
Amblent air +	99	99	22	59	41	23	6	-13	-19	-40	4	23	66
T ₁ , exhaust gas in	1213	1611	1546	1588	1598	1625	1601	1627	1649	;			
T3, exhaust stack wall	828								-				
T4, exhaust stack wall	716		957	1012	966	1185	1061	1120	1199	1200	1061	1005	941
E5. exhaust gas out			1461	1469	1473	1442	1499	1587	1514	1593	1311	1253	1226
16, exhaust stack wall	46A 119		989	006	903	858	960	1008	951	1027	741	634	550
To, exhaust stack wall	459		764	0	010	766	2	006	TCA	TCA	969	200	1.29
Till, air out)	299		350	364	378	315	416	442	874	498	262	229	222
T ₁₂ , air out	325		380	396	406	349	458	484	412	550	291	252	243
TI3. air out > Survey	539												
Tl4. alr out	355	496	422	435	448	392	200	534	461	608	334	285	. 271
The heater outlet, skin	250		044	905	104	909	920	000	909	611	381	340	317
Tiv. heater shroud, skin	176		240	236	240	202	250	204	010	200	202	1061	191
T18, exhaust shroud, forward	155		165	159	152	226	172	192	309	350	268	213	203
Tig. exhaust shrowd, aft	157		156	152	152	190	186	216	234	272	154	148	176
Pressures:	30 E		Ş	0 0		• • •	0 2 2	6					
² P ₃ -P ₃ exhaust gas ΔP_{2}	0	5.8	3.6	8.4	4	2.02	4.6	2.7			0.21	0.62	24 °S
³ P5, dir in, statio, center	2.1		13.5	13.0	12.1	11.6	11.5		8.11	1.8	11.5	a 11	0.0
³ P ₄ , air in, total, top	2.1		13.0	12.7	12.2	11.2	11.0	10.8	12.2	1.0	11.7	12.0	12.4
"P5, sir in, total, center	2.1		13.2	12.9	12.4	11.7	11.2	11.0	12.7	7.9	11.7	12.0	12.5
P6, air in, total, bottom	2°0	1	13.0	13.0	12.7	11.7	10.8	10.3	12.7	7.8	11.7	11.7	12.2
011818 'ANO ITH '41	0.0		F•1	1.6	1.3	6°0	1.1	1.1	6.0	1.0	0.8	1.1	1.1

lnches of water, absolute. Anches of water. Anches of water referred to ambient static pressure.

•

TABLE VI.- DATA RECORDED FOR EXCHANCER 6 TESTED IN NACELLE 1 OF THE B-17F.AIRPLANE

N ATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Run number	-	63	S	4	2	9	-	8	6	ខ្ព	1	12	13
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	τ.0.	Climb	C11mb	Climb	Level	Climb	Glimb	Level	Level	Descent	Descent
Manifold pressure, in. Hg: No. 1 and no	ЯL	SV	43	3. S.	3.9.5	37.5	27.5	\$7.5	57	OS.	25	18.5	91
No. 2 envine	16		43	38.5	38.5	37.5	27.5	37.5	37	000	200	16.5	19
No. 3 engine	16		43	38.5	38.5	37.5	27.5	37.5	37	30	30.5	18.5	19
No. 4 engine	16	*****	43	38.5	38.5	37.5	27.5	37.5	36	30	28	18.5	19
Engine speed, rpm:													
No. 1 engine – – – – – – – – – –	1210	2450	2600	2300	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2050	1800	1800
No. 2 engine	1250		2500	2300	2200	2200	1900	200 200 200	2300	2200	2200	1800	1800
No. Jongins	1275		2500	2305	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300 2300	2200	2200	1800	1800
Indicated airspeed, mph				140	138	136	129	136	130	139	811	148	163
Pressure altitude. ft	Sea	Sea	Sea	3000	8,000 2,000	13,000	000	23,000	28,000 32,000	30,000	34,600	26,500	6500 3500
Mixture setting, sutomatic rich or lean	A.R.	A.R.	Å.R.	A. R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.L.	A. R.	A.R.	A.R.	A-R-	A.L.	A.L.
Temperatures, OF:				1		1							
Abolehtalr	99	99	11	2	59	41	28	2	-17	021	9 7	9-	8
T43, exhaust gas out	943		-	1495	1615	1613		1550	1619	1619	1500	1355	
T44. exhaust stack wall at T43 -	290			269	610	607	604	1018		808	383	767	422
T_{45} exhaust stack wall at T_{45} - T_{7}	631			1112	1156	1173	1174	1225	1283	1254	1219	893	850
Tao, air out	288			421	455	467	449	528	579	5,9	202	192	655 272
T50. air out	296			428	456	465	449	524	585	566	484	298	275
T51, air out > Survey	301	486	397	427	450	457	442	506	569	543	478	298	280
T52, air out	302			426	445	449	435	491	553	524	469	301	281
Toss air out +	230			418	433	435	418	468	518	488	462	298	274
T54, StBck nood, top, Iwd, of dem	121			412	316	346	437	340	378	400	309	255	122
"55, magelle saroud, top, rorward	201			200	100	124	996	919	2119	560	505	186	157
156, macerie anroud, top, ait - Ter, air ontlat duct	1701			472	497	202	0 1	547 556	634	290	020	236	198
Pressures :		T				3		3			5	200	100
$\frac{1}{2}P_{2}I_{2}$, exhaust gas in, static	30.5		36	31.3	28.4	26.2	19.2	24.4	24.8	19.5	23.5	13.0	24.5
² P21-P22, exhaust gas $\Delta P_{\rm E}$	1 9		9-	-4.0	0.2-	-3.0	-1.9	-2.8	-2.4	-2.5		-1-0	-1.1
"P23, air in static, center	1.5			11.6	11.4	11.3	9.1	10.4	9.4	10.1	7.8	10.6	11.3
*P24, air in total, inboard 3P	- - -			13.5	11.3	11.5	10.3	10.6	9 . 3	10.4	7.5	0.11	12.2,
Prof. all in total, center				13.0	12.21	12.5	10.6	11.5	9.8	11.2	8.2	11.2	12.2
-126, air in coust, outpoard	4.0			13.0	12.21	12.21	10.5	10.8	10.1	11.2	0,8	11.3	12.5
											2	2.3-	6•T-

• .

¹Inches of mercury, absolute. ²Inches of water. ³Inches of water referred to ambient static pressure.

.

.

•

.

Run mumber	٦	2	3	4	5	6	4	ŧ	6	9	ន	ង	ຄ
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	T.O.	Climb	Climb	Climb	Level	Climb	Climb	Level	Level	Descent	Descent
Manifold pressure, in. Hg: No. 1 engine No. 2 engine	222	113	41 42	38.5 38.5 38.5	38.5 38.5 38.5	38.0 38.0 38.0	27.5 27.5 27.5	37.5 37.5 37.5	333	ନ୍ନନ୍ନ	30.5 30.5	81 81 81 81	19.0 19.0 19.0
No. 4 engine	9	:	43	38.5	38.5	38.0	27.5	37.5	R	2	8 2	18.5	19.0
Engine speed, rpu: No. 1 engine	1210	11	2500	2300	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2050	1700	1800
No. 3 engine	28.2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2500	2500	388	3000	3000		2300	2300	2200	5200		
Indicated airspeed. mph:	1	-		143	345	138	137	คิส	130	345	711	871	871
Pressure altitude, ft:	Sea. level	Sea level	Sea level	3,000	8,000 12,000	000.11	18.180	23,000	28,000	000-06	009.78	26,500	6,500 3,500
Mixture setting, automatic rich or lean:	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.L.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	A.R.	À.L.	A.L.
Temperatures, ^{OF} : Ambient air	*	%	:	8	59	77	28		- 13	-20	97-	4	72
T20, exhaust gas in	2011	1	1547	1563	1606	1091	1635	1565	1573	1559	1575	16 7	1472
T21, exhaust stack wall at T20 -	478	!	1	1122	1156	8/11	1185	1210	5721	1192	0721	1046	66 8
T22, exhaust stack wall at T20 -	8	:		18		71.8	1068	1	18		18	1	1
Tor, exhange gas out = = = = = =			× :			22	5.4	2271	2/97		2,41	1 622	2021
T26, exhaust stack wall at T2, -	}%	1		112	716	1477	650	162	839		827	6 <u>1</u>	6 <u>6</u>
T30, air out)	319	!	128	479	492	5	170	511	240	481	566	387	334
		1 8	<u>.</u>	6	201	605	087	220	220	787	576	66	340
132, air out > survey		442	£	067	201	605	1476	212	240	478	692	56	172
Tay, air out	វត្ត		122	187	2 G	208	74	212	243	4.10	569	έč	970 970
T ₃₅ , shroud hood, inboard,										•			
forward of dam	ຄີ	!	1	351	376	400	358	014	450	360	S S	577	222
T36, nacelle shroud, inboard, fwd	128	ł	226	22	376	67	372	492	247	358	596	262	198
T37, nacelle shroud, inboard, aft T32, air outlet duct	33	11	573 773	1 28	320	361	31	641	812	54	618	216	158 308
Pressures: ¹ P exhaust was in static	30 F	35 9	3, 0	0 0 7		8 90		0 /2	1 70	0			3 10
² Pll-Pl2, gas static ΔP_E	. e.	15.0	ы. 1.0	10,71	10.5	ก็ส	5.9	5.0	5.5		E S	1	1-1
TI3, MALT ID BUGUIG	40	!	1	2	2	2		2	9		5 8 8 8 9 8 9		10.9
³ P air in total. center	2.2	: :	: :	2.2	<u>ה</u> הב	2.5	15			2		21.5	200
³ P15, air in total, bottom	2.8	1	1	27	2	17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17:	13.4	ц	11.2	12.4		รัต	ខ្លួន
³ Pl7, air out static	0.0 0	:	1	5.0	6.0	4.0-	9.2	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	-0 -8	-1.8

.

•

,

TABLE VII. - DATA RECORDED FOR EXCHANGER 7 TESTED IN NACELLE 3 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

¹ Inches of mercury, absolute. ² Inches of water. ³ Inches of water, referred to ambient static pressure.

.

1 NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS	rablæ vi	TESTED IN	AL PERF NACELL	ORMANCE E 1 OF T	CHARACTE HE B-177	RISTICS AIRPLAN	OF EXCHA B	NGER 1			
Run Number	1	2	S	*	2	9	4	80	ົດ	10	Ħ
Flight conditions	Ground run	Take- of f	Climb	Climb	Climb	Level	Climb	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Pressure altitude, ft	Sea level	Sea level	3,000 7,000	8,000 12,000	12,400 16,400	18,000	20,300 24,300	24,800	24,500 21,500	17,200 14,200	8,000 5,000
Indicated airspeed, mph	0		134	135	133	128	133	129	153	148	160
Ambient air temperature, ^o F	99	29	49	4 8	17	14	12	6	8	18	53
Engine speed, rpm	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1800	2300	1900	1800	1800	1800
Manifold pressure, in. Hg	16	<u>44</u>	37	38.5	38.5	28	37	26.5	20	19	80
Exhaust-gas flow rate, lb/hr	1150		5900	6300	6300	5600	5900	3600	2600	2400	2500
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature in, [©] F			1624	. 1634	1616	1766	1646	1820	1695	1639	1738
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature out, ⁶ F	1020		1532	1650	1545	1662					
Air-flow rate, lb/hr	1900		3200	2800	2500	2120	2040	1780	2120	2570	3450
Air temperature out, ⁰ F	3 01	464	449	466	463	449	510	476	396	335	295
Air-temperature rise, ^{OF}	235	402	392	418	446	435	498	485	398	317	242
Rate of heat trans- fer, 1000 Btu/hr	108		305	285	270	223	247	210	204	196	200
Air-side static pres- sure drop, in. H20	1.2	8	12.3	12.7	12.2	10.5	11.9	11.0	12.6	12.2	13.8
Exhaust-side statio pressure drop, in.H20		3.5	2.9	3.2	3.3						

GENERAL DEPENDMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF STANDARD TITU UIR UTIT

NATIONAL ADVISORY Committee fjr aeronautics	P4	EXCHANC	151 2 11 B-1	RSTED IN	NACELLE ANE	3 OF TH	ല				
Run munder	l	2	3	4	\$	9	7	8	6	OT	я
Flight conditions	Ground	τ.0.	Climb	Climb	Climb	Level	C11mb	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Pressure altitude. ft	sea level	sea level	3,300 7,300	8,000 12,000	12,000 16,000	18, 300	20,800	25,000	21,500	21,000 18,000	7,000 4,000
Indicated airspeed. mph	0		135	133	133	128	133	129	138	158	- 165
Ambient air temperature, OF	. 38	62	58	50	36	10	12	6	-2	3	58
Engine speed, rpm	1150	2500	2300	2300	2300	1825	2300	2040	1800	1800	1800
Manifold pressure, in. Hg	316	44	37	38	38	5 2	37	27	19.5	21	20
Exhaust-gas flow rate, lb/hr	0711	i	5900	6100	6100	3300	5900	0007	0677	2700	2500
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature in, OF	2721		1390	1399	1555	979 7	1570	1768	9191	1430	1330
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature out, of	186		992	82	1389	1280	1428	890	1370	1388	1292
Air-flow rate, lb/hr	1990		3530	3170	2860	2540	2390	2030	2060	2580	3600
Air temperature out, ^O F	309	402	421	431	438	380	488	475	371	341	285
Air-temperature rise, ^O F	243	340	363	381	705	370	776	787	373	338	227
Rate of heat transfer, 1000 Btu/hr	77		310	292	278	227	276	238	781	209	196
Air-side static pressure drop, in. H20	L. 0		5.4	5.3	6.2	7.4	6.5	4.6	4-7	6-0	6.2
Exhaust-side static pressure drop, in. H20	8	15	26.5	58 78	8	11.3	5.5	17	10.5		

TABLE IX.-GENERAL PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF EXCHANDER 2 TESTED IN NACELLE 3 OF THE

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TABLE I.- GENERAL PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF EXCHANGER 3 TESTED IN NACELLE 4 OF THE B-177 AIRPLANE

Run number	٦	2	3	4	5	6	7	80	9	10	π
Flight conditions	Ground	T.0.	c1 1mb	Climb	C11mb	Level	C11mb	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Pressure altitude. ft	Sea level		3,200	8,400 12,400	13, <u>3</u> 00 17, 300	JB. 000	20,300	25.100	21,700	20,000	000 1
Indicated airspeed, mph	0		ล	133	135	871 871	134	6 7	ନ	150	158
Ambient air temperatu re . ^{OF}	38	62	3	39	32	дí	36	ក្	4	9T	2
Engine speed. Tpm	1200	2500	2300	2300	2300	1825	2300	1900	1800	1800	1800
Manifeld pressure. in. Eg	R	*	39	38	38	28	37	ź1.5	19.5	19.5	19
Exhaust-gas flow rate. 1b/hr	1250	ļ	6300	6100	6100	3700	5900	3700	2500	2500	2400
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature in, ^{OF}	7811		1570	1570	0191	1722	2791	1765	0791	1654	1581
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature out, or	947		1111	1460	1468	1516	1514		0671	0271	82T
Air-flow rate, lb/hr	- 1980		3920	3300	2940	2820	2420	2220	2550	3070	0927
Air temperature out. ^{Or}	298	375	399	407	426	367	· 463	403	321	πε	255
Air-temperature rise, OF	232	313	345	368	166	351	144	7.7	327	295	R
Rate of heat transfer, 1000 Btu/hr	ส		386	293	279	239	262	222	502	217	216
Air-side static pressure drop, in. H20	1.0		8.2	8.6	0.6	9.7	8.6	7.6	7.5	8.0	7.3
Exhaust-side static pressure drop, in. H20	1	8.5		1		3.6		ิส	6	1.6	4.6

د

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUT	I C S		KKCHA	NGER 4	TESTED 177 AIR	IN NACK Plane	1 7 0 7 0	e tes					
Run mumber	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	80	6	2	น	12	13
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	T.O.	Climb	Clámb.	Climb	Level	climb	C11mb	Imal	Descent	Descent	Descent
Pressure altitude, ft	808 level	B98 level	Sea level	5,000 9,000	10,000	13,800 17,800	18.200	23,000 27,000	28,000 32,000	30.000	28,000 25,000	20,000	5,800 2,800
Indicated airspeed,	c			133	122	123	1.51	761	361	7.27			97
Ambient air tempera- ture.oF	202	202		27 %	2	87	477 80	2 C	-24	2 X	81- 81-	41	3
Engine speed. ron	1225	2125	2500	2300	2300	2300	1800	2300	2300	2100	1820	1825	1820
Manifold pressure, in. Eg	79	45.5	44.0	39.0	38.0	37.5	27.2	37.0	37.0	27.0	21.8	18.0	19.8
Exhaust-gas flow rate, 1b/hr	87	7800	7600	6200	6100	00 09	3400	5900	5900	0017	2800	5 300	2500
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature. in. OF	1230	0611		1657	07.91	1680	1745	1720	1746		07 <i>3</i> L	0191	1589
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature out. ^{oF}	64.6			1521	1524	1538	1570	1586	9191	6891	791	1422	1354
Air-flow rate. 1b/hr	1680	2700		3950	3580	3240	2930	2590	2250	2210	2450	3010	4510
Air temperature out, Or	36	697		387	385	388	346	397	007	372	282	274	259
Air-temperature rise, or	236	399		319	326	076	318	397	727	398	300	257	161
Rate of heat transfer, 1000 Btu/hr	95	2 %0		305	283	865	224	248	230	212	177	87 87	508 208
Air-side static pressu drop, in. H ₂ 0	tre 0.9	4.1		7.0	7.6	7.2	6.5	6.9	7.2	7.4	6.3	5.8	6.5
Exhaust-side static pressure drop, in. H20	0.4	10.5	10.0	8 .0	8.1	6.8	3.0	6.8	6.0	6.1	2.8	2.3	0.6

.

•

TABLE XI.- GENERAL PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF

۱

5 TESTED	
EXCHANCER	
E IIIGENERAL PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF	IN MACELLE 4 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE
TABL	

NATIONAL ADVISORY Committee for Aeronautics

Run mmber	Ч	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	10	п	72	13
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	C11mb	C1 timb	Climb	Level	C11mb	Climb	level.	Level	Descent	Descent	Descent
Pressure altitude,	BOB	Bea Level	3,500 6,500	8,500 11,500	13,500 16,500	18,100	23,500	28,500 31,500	30,000	34.600	28,500 23,500	19,500 16,500	6,500 3,500
Indicated airspeed,			134	135	136	135	131	130	143	115	148	871	877
Ambient air tempera- ture, ^{OF}	9 9	· %	22	59	4	જ	6	-13	-19	-40	-4	23	3 8
Engine speed, rpm	1275	2450	2300	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2200	1800	1800	1800
Manifold pressure, in. Re	36	45	38.5	38	37.5	27.5	37	37	30	28	19.5	2.71	18.5
Exhaust-gas flow rate lb/m	1350		6100	6100	6000	3600	5900	5900	4500	4200	2500	2200	2300
Corrected exhaust- gas temperature in, or	1283	1891	9 T 9T	1658	899T	1765	179L	769I	1789	ł	1		9
Corrected exhaust- gus temperature out, or	•		1531	1539	1543	1582	1569	1657	7654	1733	1451	1393	1366
Air-flow rate, lb/hr	1625	3080	4,20	3950	3550	3350	2630	2270	2640	1680	3030	3600	4670
Air temperature out, or	342	76 7	400	£14	425	375	475	507	667	567	317	277	263
Air-temperature rise, of	276	430	328	354	384	352	166	520	458	607	321	254	191
Rate of heat transfer, 1000 Btu/hr	108	320	351	338	330	286	297	286	293	247	236	221	223
Air-side static pres- sure drop, in. H20	1.1		8.2	8.1	7.8	7.8	8.0	6.7	8.3	6.7	7.8	7.5	7.2
Exhaust-side static pressure drop, in. H20	7.0	\$.8	3.8	4.8	9 .4	2.4	4.6	5.4	4.8	1	1	1	0

.

.

NATIONAL ADVISDRY COMMITTEE FOR AFRONAUTICS

TABLE XIII.- GENERAL FERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF EXCHANGER 6 TESTED IN NACELLE 1 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE

.

	م د د												
Run number	Ч	2	3	4	v	9	2	8	6	P	ц	ส	. त
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	Τ.Ο.	Climb	Climb	c11mb	Level	Climb	Climb	Level	Level	Descent	Descent
Pressure altitude, ft	sea level	sea level	sea level	3,000 2,000	8,000	13,000		23,000	28,000	30 000	3/ FO	26,500	6,500 400
Indicated airspeed, mph				071	138	136	001	7361	021	130	arr	art	153
Ambient air tempera- ture. ^O F	99	66	77	72	59	77	28	2 2	21-	-20	07-		2
Engine speed, rpm	1210	2450	2500	2300	2300	2300	0061	2300	2300	2200	2050	1800	1800
Manifold pressure, in. Hg	16	45	43	38.5	38.5	37.5	27.5	37.5	46	C.	25	18 5	O F
Exhaust-gas flow rate, lb/hr	1250			6300	006.9	0009	34.00	0009	2005	1, 500	3700	0060	0076
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature out. of	1063			1565	1585	1583		1620	1689	1759	0791	1495	
Air-flow rate. lb/hr	0721		3250	3330	2910	2660	2320	2050	1670	1810	0771	2370	36,96
Air temperature out, or	295	486	397	121	877	455	687	503	561	536	04.7	797	276
Air-temperature rise, or	229	420	320	352	389	717	117	498	578	556	519	303	206
Rate of heat transfer, 1000 Btu/hr	68.5		251	284	281	266	230	247	234	2/1	181	571	183
Air-side static pressur drop, in. H20	e			12.3	11.5	11.8	1.01	7.11	7 01	11.2	0.6	11.6	11.8
Exhaust-side static pressure drop. in. H ₂ O	-0-1	8 9 9 9	-6.0	-4.0	-3.9	-3.0	6.1-	-2.8	-2.4	-2.5		-1.0	11-

COMMITTEE FOR AE	RON AUTIC	s											
Run number	J	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	10	11	12	13
Flight conditions	Ground	Ground	Τ.Ο.	Cl 1mb	Climb	Climb	level	Climb	Cl 1mb	Level	Level	Descent	Descent
Fressure altitude, ft	sea level	sea level	sea level	3,000 7,000	8,000 12,000	13,000 17,000	18,180	25,000 27,000	28,000 32,000	30,000	34,600	26,500 23,500	6,500 3,500
Indicated airspeed, mph				143	145	138	137	129	130	145	114	. 148	148
Ambient air tempera- ture, ^o F	66	66		77	59	41	28	3	-13	-20	-40	-4	72
Engine speed, rpm	1350	2500	2500	2300	2300	2300	1900	2300	2300	2200	2200	1800	1800
Manifold pressure, in. Hg	16	45	42	38.5	38.5	38	27.5	37.5	37	30	30.5	18	19
Exhaust-gas flow rate, lb/hr	1500			6300	6300	6100	3600	6000	5900	4500	4550	2250	2400
Corrected exhaust-gas temperature in, ^o F	1175		1617	1633	1676	1671	1775	1635	1643	1699	1715	1639	1622
Corrected exhaustages temperature out, F	066			1502	1538	1546	1615	1525	1548	1479	1610		1402
Air-flow rate, lb/hr	2310			4550	3930	3470	3330	2670	2310	2430	1790	2910	4580
Air temperature out, ^o F	322	492	420	486	499	507	475	517	546	480	570	. 391	6 SS .
Air-temperature rise, ^o F	256	426		409	440	466	447	514	559	600	610	395	267
Rate of heat trans- fer, 1000 Btu/hr	143			451	418	391	360	332	313	294	265	277	295
Air-side static pres- sure drop, in. H ₂ O	2.5			13.6	13.7	.12.9	12.5	10.3	10.3	11.7	8.1	12.3	13.0
Exhaust-side static pressure drop, in. H2O	0.6	15	7	10.4	10.5	12.3	5.9	15	15.5	11.9	11.2	4.0	1.4

2

NATIONAL ADVISORY

TABLE XIV.- GENERAL PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF EXCHANGER 7 TESTED IN NACELLE 3 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE

TABLE XV

.

.

OBSERVATIONS OF EXHAUST FLAMING AND EXHAUST-SYSTEM GLOWING OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE WITH VARIOUS HEAT EXCHANGERS INSTALLED IN NACELLES 1, 3, AND 4 NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

.

.

		Engine		Mixture,	1		Visu	al observations	3		I
Test	Pressure	manifold	Lngine	automatic	inclicated	Exhaust		Exhaust-system	glowing		0
condition	altitude	pressure	(rnm)	rich or	(mph)	flame	Stack forward	Stack aft	Supe	rcharger	General comments
		(in. Hg)	(1)	lean			or exchanger	or excuanger.	Turbine	Haste gate	<u> </u>
Exchanger	l installed	in nacelle	1. Fligh	nts made from	9:30 F.M. 1	0 11:00 P	.M., May 28, 194	for condition	ns 1-4, and	from 9:00 P.	M. to 11:00 P.M., June 2, 1943 for conditions 5-10
1	5000	27	1450	A.L.		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Observations made from ball turret: one observer: in-
2	5000	38,5	2300	A.H.		Ìes	jes		Xes	les	tensity of flaming and glowing greater for condition 2
3_	500	31	2100	A. L.		No	No	No	No	No	Observations were made from the ground; two observers.
	300	31	2100	<u>A.L.</u>		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
<u>5</u>	7000	28 5	1500	AyL.		NO NO	Yes	Ies	Yes	du.a	Observations were made from an accompanying airplane
	7000	20.0	2100	A.N.		NO	Tes	IES	Ies		at an estimated distance of 300 feet, from each side
	7000	31	2100	A.R.		<u>NO</u>	Ies Vog	Ves	Ies Voe		and from below the B-1/F airplane; two observers; in-
	7000	15-18	2100-	A.R.		No	No	No	No	No	tensity of giow was greatest for condition 10 and
	,		2300						100	10	ball turnet and for conditions f 6 7 8 and 10 more
10	7000	46	2500	A.R.		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		essentially the same as for 1 and 2; the flame and
					[1		glow were most intense for condition 10 and least
											for 5; the flame and glow were more intense for condition 7 than for 8.
Exchanger	2 installed	i in nacelle	3. Flig	ts made fro	m 9:30 P.M.	to 11:00	P.M., May 28, 194	3 for conditio	ons 1-4, and	d from 9:00 P	.M. to 11:00 P.M., June 2, 1943 for conditions 5 & 6
1	5000	27	1450	A.L.	نوست	No			No	Yes	Observations were made from the ball turret of the
2	5000	38,5	2300	A.R.		Yes		~~~	Yes	Yes	B-17F sirplane: one observer.
3	500	31	2100	A.L.		No	No	No	No	No	Observations were made from the ground: two ob-
A	300	31	2100	A.L.		No	Ño	No	No	No	servers.
5	7000	27	1500	A.L.		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Observations were made from an accompanying air-
6	7000	15-18	2100-	A.R.		No	No	No	No	No	plane at an estimated distance of 300 feet, from
	I		2300								each side and from below the B-17F, two observers.
Exchanger 3	installed	in nacelle !	4. Fligh	ts made from	9:30 P.M. t	o 11:00 P	M., May 28, 1943	for conditions	s 1-4, and	from 9:00 P.1	4. to 11:00 P.M., June 2, 1943 for conditions 5-10
1	5000	27	1450	A.L.		No	Yes		1	Yes	Observations and from hell transfer and sharmons
2	5000	38.5	2300	A.R.		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		for condition 2 sides of exchanges also slowed
3	500	31	2100	A.L.		No	No	No	No	No	Observations man made from the ground: two ab-
4	300	31	2100	A.L.		No	No	No	No	No	servers.
5	7000	27	1500	A.I.		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Observations were made from an accompanying air-
6	7000	38.5	2300	A.R.		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		plane at an estimated distance of 300 feet, from
7	7000	31	2100	A.L.		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		each side and from below the B-17F; two observers;
8	7000	31	2100	A.R.		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		observations were also made from the ball turret;
9	7000	15-18	2100- 2300	▲. R.		No	No	No	No	No	flame and glowing of the exhaust system were ob- served for conditions 5. 6. 7. 8. and 10 being
10	7000	46	2500	A.R.		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		the most intense for 10, and more intense for 7
											than for 8: no flame or glow were visible for 9.
	<u>اا</u>		L						L		
Exchanger 4	installed	in nacelle L	. Plight	t made from	11:00 P.M.,	July 22, 1	943 to 2:00 A.M.	July 23, 1943	}		
1	20,000	38	2300	▲. R.	150	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Observations were made from an accompanying air-
2	2,500	29	2000	A.L.	165	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	plane at an estimated distance of 300 feet, from
3	2,700	29	2000	A.R.	165	No	Үез	No	Yes	No	each side and from below the B-17F; two observers;
4	2,600	38.5	2300	▲. R.	145	No	Yes	Уев	Yes	Yes	the glow was most intense for conditions 1 and h.
<u> </u>	2,800		2300	A.R.	190	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Exchanger 6	installed	in nacelle 1	. Flight	made from]	1:00 P.M.	uly 22, 1	943 to 2:00 A.M.	July 23, 1943	3		
1	20,150	38	2300	A.R.	150	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Observations were made from an accompanying air-
2	2.500	29	2009	A.L.	165	No	Yes		Yes		plane at an estimated distance of 300 feet, from
3	2.700	- 29	2000	A.R.	165	No	Yes		Yes		each side and from below the B-177; two observers.
<u> </u>	2.300	38.5	2300	A. R,	155	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
5	2,800	38.5	2300	A. R.	190	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Exchanger 7	installed	in nacelle 3	. Flight	t made from 1	1:00 P.M.,	uly 22, 1	943 to 2:00 A.H.	, July 23, 1943	3		
1	20,100	37.5	2300	A.R.	150	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Observations were made from an accompanying air-
2	2,500	29	2000	A.L.	165	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	plane at an estimated distance of 300 feet, from
3	2,700	29	2000	<u>A.R.</u>	165	No	Yes		Yes		each side and from below the B-17F; two observers.
<u> </u>	2.800	38.5	2300	A.R	155	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		·
5	2.800	38.5	2300	A.R.	190	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		

Rate of sat transfer, 1000 Btu/hr)		363	345	340	, 319	314	289	241		400	373	342	362	354	331	234		402	372	345	321	125	310	268
Air- flow rate h (lb/hr) (5070	4500	4140	4240	3460	3020	5490		4190	3750	3250	3360	2750	2310	4370		48201	4300	3820	3470	3040	2720	4800
Air- temperature rise, (^o F)		298	818	542	314	579	101	183		398	414	438	449	526	596	223		348	361	375	386	144	474	233
Air temperature out, (^O F)	nacelle 4	350	365	370	335	870	570	240	naoelle 1	450	460	470	470	530	565	280	nacelle 3	400	404	407	407	435	443	290
Ambient air temperature (oF)	installed in	52	46	28	21	6-	-31	57	installed in	52	46	32	12	9	-31	57	installed in	23	46	32	21	9-	-31	57
Manifold pressure (in. Hg.)	Exchanger 3	58	38	38	27	58	58	19	Exchanger 5	39	38	38	1.2	38	38	19	Exchanger 7	38	38	38	27	38	38	. 61
Engine speed (rpm)		2300	2300	2500	2000	2500	2300	1800		2300	2300	2300	2000	2300	2300	1800	ł	2300	2300	2300	2000	2300	2300	1800
Gorrected indicated airspeed, (mph)		137	137	141	150	141	137	146		137	137	134	150	143	137	146		137	137	134	150	143	137	146
Pressure altitude (ft)		4-6000	00011-6	14-16000	18000	245-25000	295-30500	55-4500		4-6000	6-11000	14-16000	18000	245-25500	295-30500	55 -4 500		4-6000	9-11000	14-16000	18000	245-25500	295-30500	55- <u>4</u> 500
Flight ondition		limb	11 .	11mb	AVel	11mb	limb	escent		limb	limb	Climb	evel	limb	limb	bescent		dm11;	limb.	11mb	evel	11mb	limb	escent

:

•

.

.
PERFORMANCE	
AND EVALUATED	B-17F AIRPLANE
I REQUIREMENTS	THE NI CHIEFE
COMPARISON OF DESIGN	THE HEAT EXCHANGERS
TABLE XVII	0 F

,			OF THE H	EAT EXCHANCER	L NI ORISAL S	HE B-17F AIRP	LANE		NATIONAL AU Committee for a	VI SO RV EROMAUTICS	
	Design requirements,			Perfor	mance			Design requirements		Performance	
	outboard naceiles	Exchanger 1	Exchenger 2	Exchenger 3	Exchanger 4	Erchanger 5	Елсрадег б	inboard necelles	Exchanger 5	Erchanger 6	Exchanger 7
Pressure eltitude, ft	18,000	18,000	18,300	18,000	18,200	18,100	18,100	35,000	34.600	34,600	34,600
Indicated airspeed, mph	155	128	128	128	4F.I	135	129	041	211	811	411
Ambient air temperature, ^O F	o	ħт	OT	lb	28	23	28	-e5	-40	-40	017-
Air-side total pressure drop, in. H20	4-0	\$°43	1.64	1.7	15.1	2-11-2	*9*2	3•5	*4•3	۲., ₇ *	17.2
Air-side static pressure drop, in. H20		30.5	4.7	6-1	6•5	7.8	1.01		6.7	0*6	8.1
Air out temperature, ^O F	295-325	449	380	367	346	375	439	202-084	567	614	570
Air-temperature rise, ^O F	295-325	435	370	1,25	318	352	114	545-CH2	209	613	610
Air-flow rece, lb/hr	3 • 500	2,120	040 ع	2,520	2,930	وكثار	2+320	5,400	1,680	1.440	1,790
Rate of heat transfer, Btu/hr	226,000	223,000	227,000	239,000	224,000	286,000	230,000	320,000	247,000	000*181	265,000
Gas static pressure forward of exchanger, in. Hg	20	50	50	21	20.7	20+2	15.2	18-5		23.5	20.0
Gan-side total pressure drop. in. H20	7.3	ł		1	1	1	1	7.3			
Gas-side static pressure drop, in. H20		1	11.3	3.6	3.0	2.e4	-1.9	1	1	1	11.2
Gas temperature, entering, \circ	1,500	1.766	1	1,722	247.1	:	-	1,500	-	1	1,715
Gas-flow rate, lb/hr	3,000	3,600	3,300	3,600	3.400	3.600	3,600	4,000	4,200	3.700	4.550

¹Calculated value (see text).





Figure 2.- Heat exchanger used in the original thermal ice-prevention system of the E-17F airplane.



FIGURE 3. - HEAT EXCHANGER 1, TUBULAR TYPE, TESTED IN NACELLE 1 OF B-17F AIRPLANE.



Figure 4.- Heat exchanger 1 as altered for installation in the B-17F airplane. Weight as shown, 33.8 pounds.







Figure 6.- Heat exchanger 2 as altered for installation in the B-17F airplane. Weight as shown, 39.6 pounds.





Figure 8. Heat exchanger 3 as installed in the B-17F airplane. Weight as shown, 29.0 pounds.





Figure 10.- Heat exchanger 4 as installed in the B-17F airplane. Weight as shown, 31.4 pounds.



FIGURE II. - HEAT EXCHANGER 5, PLATE TYPE, TESTED IN NACELLE 4 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE





SECTION A-A

SEAM WELD EXCEPT AS NOTED ALL MATERIAL STAINLESS STEEL

> NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

FIGURE 13. - HEAT EXCHANGER 6, FLUTE TYPE, TESTED IN NACELLE I OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE



Figure 14.- Heat exchanger 6 as installed in the B-17F airplane. Weight as shown, 34.3 pounds.

•



Figure 15.- Front and rear views of plug in the exhaust-gas side of heat exchanger 6 tested on the B-17F airplane. Weight as shown, 36.5 pounds.



SEAM WELD EXCEPT AS NOTED ALL MATERIAL STAINLESS STEEL

FIGURE 16.- HEAT EXCHANGER 7, FLUTE TYPE, TESTED IN NACELLE 3 OF THE B-17F AIRPLANE



Figure 17.- Heat exchanger 7 as installed in the B-17F airplane. Weight as shown, 51.3 pounds.



FIGURE 18.- LOCATION OF HEAT EXCHANGERS INSTALLED IN THE B-ITF AIRPLANE FOR THE PERFORMANCE AND SERVICE TESTS.



Figure 19.- Nacelle 1 exhaust shroud as altered for heat exchanger 1 installation. B-17F airplane.



Figure 20.- Nacelle 1 exhaust shroud as altered for heat exchanger 6 installation. B-17F airplane.



Figure 21. - Nacelle 3 exhaust shroud as altered for heat exchanger 2 installation. B-17F Airplane.



Figure 22.- Mock-up of heated-air outlet for heat exchanger 7 installation in nacelle 3, views looking outboard and aft. B-17F airplane.





Figure 23.- Nacelle 4 exhaust shroud as altered for installation of heat exchangers 3, 4, and 5. B-17F airplane.





.







Figure 26.- Heat exchanger 1 installation in nacelle 1, ready for flight, B-17F airplane.







Figure 29.- Heat exchanger 6 installation in nacelle 1, ready for flight. B-17F airplane.



FIGURE 30.-HEAT EXCHANGER 2 INSTALLATION IN NACELLE 3, SIDE VIEW, AND DUCTING TO HEATED AIR OUTLET, B-ITF AIRPLANE.



FIGURE 31. - HEAT EXCHANGER 2 INSTALLATION IN NACELLE 3, FRONT VIEW, B-ITF AIRPLANE.



Figure 32.- Heat exchanger 2 installation in nacelle 3, ready for flight. B-17F airplane.



FIGURE 33.- HEAT EXCHANGER 7 INSTALLATION IN NACELLE 3, SIDE VIEW, B-ITF AIRPLANE.







FIGURE 35.- HEAT EXCHANGER 7 INSTALLATION IN NACELLE 3, DUCTING TO HEATED AIR OUTLET; B-ITF AIRPLANE.


Figure 36.- Heat exchanger 7 installation in nacelle 3, ready for flight. B-17F airplane.











Figure 39.- Heat exchanger 3 installation in nacelle 4, ready for flight. B-17F airplane.



Figure 39.- Heat exchanger 3 installation in nacelle 4, ready for flight. B-17F airplane.











Figure 42.- Heat exchanger 4 installation in nacelle 4, ready for flight. B-17F airplane.



FIGURE 43.- HEAT EXCHANGER 5 INSTALLATION IN NACELLE 4, SIDE VIEW, B-17 F AIRPLANE.







Figure 45.- Heat exchanger 5 installation in nacelle 4, ready for flight. B-17F airplane.



Figure 46.- Heat exchanger 5 shrouded for final installation in nacelle 1 of the B-17F airplane.



Figure 47.- Nacelle 1 exhaust shroud altered for final installation of heat exchanger 5. B-17F airplane.



FIGURE 48. - FINAL INSTALLATION OF HEAT EXCHANGER 5 IN NACELLE 1, SIDE VIEW, 8-17F AIRPLANE.



IN NACELLE I, FRONT VIEW, AND DUCTING TO HEATED AIR OUTLET, B-ITF AIRPLANE.



Figure 50.- Heated-air ducting for final installation of heat exchanger 5 in nacelle 1; to direct air to the left wing outer panel or overboard. B-17F airplane.

a stand to get a



Figure 51.- Final installation of heat exchanger 5 in nacelle 1 of the B-17F airplane, ready for flight.





Figure 52.- Heat exchanger 7 used for final installation in nacelle 3 of the B-17F airplane.



Figure 53.- Cold-air-tempering system for final installation of exchanger 7 in nacelle 3 showing ducting from inlet on side of nacelle to heated-air outlet from heat exchanger. B-17F airplane.



Figure 54.- Valve system in heated-air ducting from nacelle 3; to direct air to empennage or overboard. B-17F airplane.



Figure 55.- Final installation of heat exchanger 7 in nacelle 3 of the B-17F airplane, ready for flight. Inlet for air-tempering system is on side of nacelle above and aft of exchanger air inlet.



Figure 56.- Heat exchanger 3 shrouded for final installation in nacelle 4 of the B-17F airplane.







FIGURE 58. FINAL INSTALLATION OF HEAT EXCHANGER 3 IN NACELLE 4, FRONT VIEW, B-ITF AIRPLANE.



Figure 59.- Heated-air ducting for final installation of heat exchanger 3 in nacelle 4; to direct air to the right wing outer panel or overboard. B-17F airplane.



Figure 60.- Final installation of heat exchanger 3 in nacelle 4 of the B-17F airplane, ready for flight.



FIGURE 61.- DETAILS OF TYPICAL THERMOCOUPLE AND PRESSURE TUBE INSTALLATIONS USED FOR HEAT EXCHANGER TESTS ON THE B-17F AIRPLANE.





Figure 62.- Quadruple-shielded thermocouple used to measure exhaust-gas temperatures. B-17F airplane.



FIGURE 63.-VARIATION OF TURBINE SUPERCHARGER SPEED AND WASTE-GATE VALVE POSITION DURING CLIMB.B-ITF AIRPLANE; ENGINE.3; WITH AND WITHOUT HEAT EXCHANGER 7 INSTALLED. CONDITIONS DURING CLIMB:MP.38±1 INCHES OF MER-CURY; ENGINE SPEED, 2300 RPM; INDICATED AIR SPEED 150±5 MPH; FULL THROTTLE; MANIFOLD PRESSURE MAINTAINED CONSTANT WITH BOOST CONTROL.



FIGURE 64.- VARIATION OF TURBINE SUPERCHARGER SPEED, WASTE-GATE VALVE POSITION, AND ENGINE MANIFOLD PRESSURE WITH ENGINE SPEED IN LEVEL FLIGHT. B-ITF AIRPLANE; ENGINE 3; WITH AND WITHOUT HEAT EXCHANGER 7 INSTALLED, TEST CON-DITIONS: PRESSURE ALTITUDE 25,000 FEET; FULL THROTTLE; FULL BOOST; ENGINE SPEED VARIED BY PROPELLER PITCH CONTROL.

