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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The wideband recorder development covered by Contract NAS5-11643 has goals which are typical for a satellite equipment program; long life, high reliability, minimum power consumption and minimum weight. This report documents the efforts toward these goals on the Transport Unit portion of the recording system. The analyses and tests conducted on the other portion of the recording system, the Electronics Unit, will be covered in Volume 11 of the Design Study Report.

The division of the recording system into two discrete packages is a requirement of NASA Specification S-731-P-79, which calls for a minimum of electronics in the hermetically-sealed enclosure which houses the tape transport. Hence, the major elements of the Transport Unit are a transverse scan headwheel panel; a negator-spring reeling system; a urethane coated, mylar-belt coupled, hysteresis motor driven capstan assembly and 2,000 feet of special $2^{\prime \prime}$ wide video recording tape. Miscellaneous guides, auxiliary heads, $I \omega$ balancing elements, end-of-tape sensors, pressure and temperature sensors and electronics are also contained in the Transport Unit.

The recording system is required to record and reproduce wideband data from either of the two primary ERTS sensors. The input from one, the RBV Camera, is an analog signal with a bandwith from dc to 3.5 MHz . This signal is accommodated through fm recording techniques which provide a recorder signal-to-noise ratio in excess of $42 \mathrm{~dB}, \mathrm{pp} / \mathrm{rms}$ over the specified bandwidth. The second sensor is a Multi-Spectral Scanner (MSS) which provides, as initial output, twenty-six narrowband channels. These channels are multiplexed prior to transmission or recording into a single $15 \mathrm{Megabit} / \mathrm{sec}$. digital data stream. Within the recorder, the 15 Megabit/sec., NRZ ${ }_{L}$ signal is processed through the same fm electronics as the RBV signal, but the basic fm standards are modified to provide an internal, 10.5 MHz baseband response with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio of about 25 dB . Following fm demodulation, however, the MSS signal is digitally re-shaped and re-clocked so that good bit stability and signal-to-noise exist at the recorder output.

Two additional, longitudinally-recorded channels are also included in the recording system. One of these channels (Auxiliary Channel) is available for recording of housekeeping or audio data and has a bandwidth and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of dc- 5 kHz and 30 dB , $\mathrm{pp} / \mathrm{rms}$, respectively. The second longitudinal track (Search Track) is a prerecorded digital channel which outputs a discrete word for every $6^{\prime \prime}$ of tape movement. The output bit rate is 2.5 dbps or 10 dbps for the playback or wind modes, respectively.

The electronics within the Transport Unit consist primarily of record amplifiers for the wide band auxiliary channels, and playback amplifiers for all three channels.

In addition, portions of the control elements for motor switching and shoe engagement are also located in the Transport Unit. To minimize the possibilities of tape path contamination, the electronics and most of the transport wiring are located on the side of the motorboard opposite to the tape path.

The specification for the recorder life requires "one year in orbit after considerable ground testing" with a design goal of " 4,000 full length record and playback cycles". In the studies and tests conducted during the design phase of the program, drift during three years was considered for the electronics and 4,000 record/playback cycles ( 5,000 operating hours) was the minimum goal for all limited life mechanical components except for the head-to-tape interface. In this latter area, a goal of 1,000 hours was established by RCA's proposal and this goal represented a two-to-one improvement over the best previous results. Two tests of the most recent head/tape configuration have now exceeded this goal without failure and the wear rates experienced do not preclude an extension of life to beyond 4,000 record/playback cycles.

Realization of this life, however, will depend on the ability to

1) minimize the build-up of contaminants on the video heads, and
2) prevent the occurrence of premature component failures. Design efforts in these areas for the Transport Unit are described in this report, together with the other related analyses and tests.

### 1.1 General Design Discussion

This study presents mechanical and thermal analyses of the transport mechanism and its pressurized enclosure, and electrical and thermal analyses of those circuits within the enclosure.

The complete transport mechanism is mounted on a ribbed magnesium deck. Figure 1-1 shows the ERTS Feasibility Unit transport with all functional components mounted in place. The deck is fastened at 8 points to the lower half of the pressurized enclosure. The deck is electrically isolated from the enclosure by rubber spacers. The compliance of the isolators also provides strain relief between the deck and the enclosure. Figure 1-2 shows the partially assembled ERTS Engineering Model transport mounted in the lower half of the pressurized enclosure; the upper half of the enclosure is shown so as reveal its inner stiffening structure. Figure 1-3 shows the full pressurized enclosure, minus the hermetic seal connectors.

The discussions which follow provide a brief functional description, where called for, and then analyze the basic functional modes. ${ }^{i}$ In the mechanical analyses, worst case loads or stresses are computed, and, where required, life estimates are


Figure 1-1. Front View of Erts Feasibility Unit Transport with Transmission Cover Removed


Figure 1-2. Engineering Model Transport Unit


Figure 1-3, Pressurized Enclosure
made. In the case of circuitry, worst case analyses, stress analyses, and failure mode and effects analyses are presented. A thermal analysis of the internal assemblage has been made and temperature rise predicted.

### 1.2 External Drawings

The outline dimensions and mounting information for the ERTS Transport Unit are shown in Figure 1-4 (RCA 8671011). Four mounting pads are provided to minimize case distortion while maximizing heat transfer. In order to minimize case distortion, the four spacecraft mounting pads or areas, corresponding to the Transport Unit mounting pads, should be flat and parallel to each other within . 005 total.

### 1.3 Key Assemblies

The key sub-assemblies include the Reel Assembly, the Capstan Assembly, the Negator/Differential Assembly, and the Headwheel Assembly. The basic construction of these assemblies is shown in Figures $1-5$ through 1-8, respectively.

### 1.4 Tape Transport Assembly

The various elements of the Tape Transport Unit are shown in the top assembly drawing (RCA 8358497) of Figure 1-9. A family tree for the unit is diagrammed in Figure 1-10 while preliminary parts lists for the unit are contained in Appendix 1A.


Figure 1-4 OUTLINE DWG TRANSPORT UNIT ERTS
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Figure 1-5 REEL, ASSEMBLY

Figure 1-6 CAPSTAN ASSEMBLY

Figure 1-7 NEGATOR/DIFFERENTIAL ASSEMBLY



Figure 1-10 ERTS RECORDER FAMLY TREE TRANSPORT UNIT

### 2.0 MECHANICAL DESIGN STUDIES

### 2.1 Tape Tensioning System

Tape Tension is maintained by torquing the two tape reels in opposite directions by means of the Negator-differential mechanism. A schematic drawing of this subsystem is shown in Figure 2-1. Two Negator springs torque an input shaft of the differential. The gear and belt ratios are such that each reel "sees" $1 / 2$ this torque, or the torque of a single Negator coil, assuming no frictional losses. The differential rotation between the two reels is exactly twice the rotation of the Negator power drum. An analysis diagram of the tape tensioning system is shown in Figure 2-2.

Under steady-state conditions, the tape tension approaching the control track head and headwheel will be the tension leaving the supply reel plus the drag effects of elements between the supply reel and the control track head. These elements are, in sequence, the reel follower roller, two idler rollers, the erase head and a third idler roller.

The torque on the supply reel would be, nominally, that of a single Negator spring, if there were no frictional losses in the transmission. Actually, the supply reel torque is increased by these friction effects.

Measurements were made on the Feasibility Model of tape tension, reel diameters, and turns of the Negator output drum, and these values are shown in Figure 2-3, as a function of recording time. At the time of this test, the transport was set up for 28 minutes of recording time. The values shown in Figure $2-3$ will be slightly modified for the full 30 minutes of recording time. In its application, the takeup sprocket on the differential is driving the takeup reel (refer to Figure 2-2), and the supply sprocket is braking the supply reel. In order to analyze the action within the differential, however, the supply belt can be considered as driving its differential shaft. The reasoning for this is based on the condition that the high tension side of the takeup belt is torquing shaft no. 1 of the differential in the same direction as its rotation. By the same logic, the takeup sprocket on shaft no. 2 can be considered as transmitting a load into the takeup belt. Thus, the analysis can be made for a gear transmission in which the supply sprocket is on the input shaft and the takeup sprocket is on the output shaft. For the moment, assume no motion of the Negator spur gears, as will be the case at the center of tape. For this type of gear transmission, the following relationships apply:
(1) $\mathrm{M} 2 / 2 \mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{1}=1-\nu_{1}$
and for static equilibrium,
(2) $M_{3}=M_{2}-M_{1}=2\left(1-\nu_{1}\right) M_{1}-M_{1}=\left(1-2 \nu_{1}\right) M_{1}$
$w$
d
w
o

Figure 2-1 SCHEMATIC OF REEL TORQUING SYSTEM


Figure 2-2 ANALYSIS DIAGRAM OF TAPE TENSIONING SYSTEM

Figure 2-3 ERTS TAPE-REEL PARAMETERS
where:
$M_{1}=$ the torque on the differential supply sprocket
$M_{2}=$ the torque on the differential takeup sprocket
$M_{3}=$ the torque on the differential spur gear
$E_{1}=$ the efficiency of one mesh of the bevel gears
$\nu_{1}=$ the loss in one mesh of the bevel gears
When the two reel speeds are unequal, the Negator output drum and its spur gear rotate slowly. When the supply reel speed is less than that of the takeup reel (SOT - COT), the springs are unwinding, and the drum gear is driving its mate on shaft no. 3. When the supply reel speed is greater than that of the takeup reel (COT - EOT), the drum gear is being driven by the shaft no. 3. Since these spur gears have a ratio of $2: 1$, the following equations may be written:
(3) $\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{MD}}{2}\right) \approx\left(1-\nu_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{MD}}{2}\right)$, between SOT and COT
(4) $M_{3}=\frac{1}{E_{2}}\left(\frac{M D}{2}\right)\left(1+\nu_{2}\right)\left(\frac{M D}{2}\right)$, between COT and EOT
where:
$M D=$ torque of the Negator output drum
$\mathrm{E}_{2}=$ efficiency of the spur gear mesh
$v_{2}=$ loss in the spur gear mesh

Combining equations (2) and (3), and also (2) and (4) leads to:
(5) $\frac{\mathrm{M}_{1}}{(1 / 2 \mathrm{MD})}=\left(1+2 v_{1}-v_{2}\right)$ between SOT and COT
(6) $\frac{\mathrm{M}_{1}}{(1 / 2 \mathrm{MD})}=\left(1+2 \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right)$ between COT and EOT

Finally, the torque at the supply reel sprocket is increased by any small losses in the sprocket drive and the consequent equations are:
(7) $\frac{\mathrm{MSR}}{(1 / 2 \mathrm{MD})}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}_{3}}\left(1+2 \nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right)=\left(1+2 \nu_{1}-\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}\right)$ between SOT and COT
(8) $\frac{\mathrm{MSR}}{(1 / 2 \mathrm{MD})}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}_{3}}\left(1+2 \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right)=\left(1+2 \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+v_{3}\right)$ between COT and EOT
where:
$E_{3}=$ the efficiency of the sprocket drive
$v_{3}=$ the loss in the sprocket drive
Some sample calculations will be made for correlation with the experimental data for 28 minutes of tape length at two points during the record mode. The points to be chosen will be at positions having equal Negator turns in order to reduce the ambiguities due to lack of a specific calibration curve for the Negators in the Feasibility Model.

Using 20 turns of the Negator power drum, Figure 2-3 shows this to occur at the 4 minute point and the 23.6 minute point. At these two points, the supply reel diameters and tape tensions are, respectively, 7.62 inches and 9 ounces (at 4 minutes), and 5.97 inches and 11 ounces (at 23.6 minutes).

On Figure 2-4 are plotted available Negator data. A composite envelope of the torque of 10 Havar Negators is shown. Since, at the time of this writing, there was not similar data for stainless steel Negator, the curve for a single specimen is shown. A reasonable estimate for the torque at 20 turns is 1.75 in . -lb . per coil, or 3.5 in . -lb . ( $56 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{oz}$.) total torque at the output drum.

The effect of the bearings in the Negator spools should be evaluated. There is a single pair of $R-6$ bearings in the output drum and two pairs of R-6 bearings in the Negator storage spools. These bearing pairs are preloaded to 5 lbs , and the nominal friction torque per pair is estimated from vendor data to be $0.084 \mathrm{in},-0 z$. The drag value of the bearings in the output drum is directly additive. The drag of the bearings in the storage spools is modified by a variable mechanical advantage, depending on the change in the ratio of diameters of the output drum coil to the storage spool coil. For 20 turns, this diameter ratio was calculated to be 1.06 (this ratio varies between approximately 0.85 at COT to approximately 1.85 at SOT/EOT). This bearing drag, effective at the output drum is:

$$
0.084+2(1.06)(0.084)=0.279 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}
$$



Figure 2-4 ERTS NEGATOR CHARACTERISTICS
For 20 turns of the output drum:
$\mathrm{MD}=56-0.279=55.72 \mathrm{in} .-$ oz. between SOT and COT
$\mathrm{MD}=56+0.279=56.28 \mathrm{in}$. -oz. between COT and EOT

Regarding the efficiency of gears, this is usually considered to be around $98 \%$ for precision gears. A more specific approach will be taken however. A theoretical equation for the efficiency of a single gear mesh is:
(9) $E=1-\pi u\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{2}}\right) *$

Where,
$\mu=$ the coefficient of friction
$N_{1}=$ number of teeth in the driver gear
$N_{2}=$ number of teeth in the driven gear

[^0]or, alternatively, the loss in a single mesh is:
(10) $\nu=\pi \mu\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{2}}\right)$

For a single mesh of bevel gears in the differential:

$$
v_{1}=\pi a \quad(1 / 54+1 / 32)=0.1553 \mu
$$

For the Negator spur gearing:

$$
\nu_{2}=\pi \mu(1 / 106+1 / 212)=0.0445 \mu
$$

Since all the gears are made of 416 stainless steel and may be presumed to have about the same value of $\underline{\mu}$, it is seen that the spur gears have less than $1 / 3$ the loss of a single mesh of the differential gears. If we assume a gear coefficient of friction of $u$ $=0.3$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=0.1553(0.3)=0.0466 \\
& v_{2}=0.0445(0.3)=0.01335
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of the toothed belt and sprocket drive, there is no specific data at the present. It is believed their efficiency is high since they do not have the basic sliding contact which occurs in gearing, and, at all times, the majority of contacting tooth pairs have no relative motion. A nominal loss ( $\nu_{3}$ ) of $1 \%$ will be assumed.

The torque delivered to the supply reel is calculated from Equations (7) and (8).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { MSR } & =[1+2(0.0466)-0.0134+0.010] \frac{55.72 \mathrm{in.}-\mathrm{oz} .}{2} \\
& =30.36 \mathrm{in.} . \text { oz. at } 4 \text { minutes } \\
\text { MSR } & =[1+2(0.0466)+0.0134+0.010] \frac{56.28 \mathrm{in.} \text {-oz. }}{2} \\
& =31.42 \mathrm{in.} \mathrm{-oz.} \mathrm{at} 23.6 \text { minutes }
\end{aligned}
$$

An allowance should be made for the drag of the reel bearings. These are R-8 bearings preloaded to 10 pounds, and their nominal torque is estimated at $0.1 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{oz}$. (for worst case fit and temperature, this becomes $1.12 \mathrm{in},-0 z$.).

The tape tension leaving the supply reel is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{TSR} & =\frac{(30.36+0.01) \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz} .}{3.81 \text { inches }} \text { at } 4 \text { minutes } \\
& =7.96 \mathrm{oz} . \\
\mathrm{TSR} & =\frac{(31.42+0.01) \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz} .}{2.985 \text { inches }} \text { at } 23.6 \text { minutes } \\
& =10.53 \mathrm{oz} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The bearing drag of the three idler rollers is very low and their effect on tape tension, based on estimated bearing torque, is 0.16 oz . The follower roller has bearings with negligible torque. The effective drag of the urethane roller has not been studied, but, based on experience with it, it is also believed to be low and nominal drag of 0.2 oz. is assigned to it.

The drag of the erase head is calculated by the rope-and-pulley equation of classical mechanics. For a tape wrap angle of $12^{\circ}$ and a coefficient of friction of 0.333 :

Head Tension Ratio $=e^{0.0696}=1.072$
The calculated tension approaching the control track head is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CT}} & =[7.96+(0.16+0.2)] \text { oz. } \times 1.072 \text { at } 4 \text { minutes } \\
& =8.894 \mathrm{oz} . \\
\mathrm{T}_{\text {CT }} & =[10.52+(0.16+0.2)] \text { oz. } \times 1.072 \text { at } 23.6 \text { minutes } \\
& =11.68 \mathrm{oz} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Mechanical Transmission Components

2.2.1 Differential. - The requirements of the differential are shown schematically in Figure 2-1. This system results in the two reels torqued in opposite directions, giving tape tension at all times.

The actual capabilities of the differential were derived as follows:
The dimensions of the tape load were obtained through an RCA computer program that calculates tape loads by the "Area Method". From various combinations of reel
diameters, delta turns between reels and negator torque capabilities, the most optimum were:

| Tape O.D. | $=8.000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tape Thickness | $=0.0018$ (tape + trapped air) |
| Tape Speed | $=12.000$ |
| Time, Minutes | $=33$ |
| Tape Length, Feet | $=1980$ |
| Tape I.D. | $=5.320$ |
| Average Dia. | $=6.793$ |
| Delta Turns | $=113.10$ |

Assuming an 8 ounce tension at midtape (equal tape on both reels), the required torque at each reel shaft is:

$$
T_{R}=8.02 \times \frac{6.793 \mathrm{in} .}{2}=27.172 \mathrm{in} .-0 \mathrm{z} . \text { or } 1.696 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{lb} .
$$

By definition, if end gear B is held stationary, end gear $C$ will rotate twice as fast as spider shaft gear D. Therefore, a $2: 1$ ratio is inserted between gear D and the take-up reel gear $F$ to maintain proper reel to reel rotation ratio. The torque required at end gear $B$ equals the torque at either reel, $T_{R}$.

The Negator package is kept to a minimum by inserting a $1: 2$ ratio to reduce the number of Negator turns and using two springs to obtain the necessary torque, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}$. Applying a 1.10 factor to the required torque, due to the length of spring, the torque input to the end gear is $(1.693)(1.10)=1.865 \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{b}_{\text {. , or }} 30 \mathrm{in},-02$. The torque at the end gear is equivalent to $60 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$. at the spider shaft where differentials are rated.

The differential design by Dynamic Gear is nearly the same as used in an Apollo digital recorder and is capable of handling up to 200 in . -oz. for speeds of 20 to 200 rpm. This torque handling capability results in a safety factor of approximately $3: 1$.
2.2.2 Toothed Belt Drive. - The Power Transmission Capability P of the drive belt is:

$$
\mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{NRTW}}{1.2 \times 10^{5}}
$$

where
$P=$ horsepower
$\mathrm{N}=$ drive pulley speed, rpm
$R=$ pulley pitch diameter
$T=$ belt strength per inch of width, pounds
W = belt width, inches
The minimum drive pulley speed, $N$, occurs at maximum reel diameter at 12 ips and is:
$\mathrm{N}=\frac{12 \mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{sec} .}{(\pi)(8.00 \mathrm{in} .)} \times 60 \mathrm{sec} . / \mathrm{min} .=28.7 \mathrm{rpm}$
$R=0.910$ for 35 tooth pulley
$J=400$ pounds for $B-1096-4$ belt
$\mathrm{W}=1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$.
Therefore,
$P=\frac{(28.7)(0.910)(400)(1 / 4)}{1.2 \times 10^{5}}=2.185 \times 10^{-2}$ horsepower
The actual horsepower transmitted by the belt is:
$\mathrm{hp}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}\right) \mathrm{V}}{33,000}$
Where,
$T_{1}=$ belt tension on tight side $=2.3 \times \frac{1.00}{0.455}=5.05 \mathrm{lb}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ belt tension on slack side $=0$
$\mathrm{V}=$ belt velocity $=\frac{(\pi)(0.910)}{12} 28.7=6.84 \mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{min}$.

Therefore,
RUNNING HP $=\frac{(5.05)(6.84)}{33 \times 10^{3}}=1.05 \times 10^{-3}$
During startup, an increase in tension is applied to the belt:

$$
\mathrm{M}=\frac{\mathrm{I} \omega}{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{0.2772}{0.25}=1.1 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{lb} .
$$

where,
$I \omega=$ Reel I $\omega$, in. -lb, -sec.
$t=$ startup time, sec.
The tension increase is:
$\frac{1.000}{0.455} \times 0.9=2.44 \mathrm{lb}$.
Therefore, the horsepower transmitted during startup is:

$$
\mathrm{hp}=\frac{(5.05+2.44)(6.84)}{33 \times 10^{3}}=\frac{(7.49)(6.84)}{33 \times 10^{3}}=1.55 \times 10^{-3}
$$

Hence the maximum transmitted horsepower is less than one-tenth of capability of the toothed belt.

### 2.2.3 Negator Assembly

2.2.3.1 General Discussion. - The torquing of the two tape reels is effected by two Negator coils on separate, coaxial storage spools which wrap about a common power drum as shown in the schematic of Figure 2-1. The design configuration of each single coil is shown in Figure 2-5.

A Negator coil consists of a long strip of spring material which has been coldworked so as to leave a natural curvature along the leaf length. With no restraining forces on any section of leaf length, it will have a natural radius. Immediately after the cold working stage, the natural radius is nominally constant along the entire length. Negators are usually given a stress relief treatment after cold working, and this is done with the leaf tightly coiled., The leaf material in the coil is constrained to a slightly larger radius than the natural radius, with a resultant flexure stress, and this stress increases with the radius of the coil. During the stress relief heat treatment,


Torque $=1.73 \mathrm{in} . \# \pm 10 \%$ with 4 turns on output Drum
Torque $=2.4$ in-\# maximum with 61 turns on output Drum

REF ONLY
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (Chart "X"-"M" value is } 1.9 \text { in-\# for } 13,000 \mathrm{~min} . \text { Life for } \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{S}) \\ \text { Outer } \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.661 \\ \text { Inner } \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}=0.591\end{array}\right.$
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Figure 2-5 ERTS NEGATOR SPRINGS
the degree of stress relaxation which occurs is a function of the original residual stress. As a consequence of all this, the final leaf natural curvature, $R_{n}$, varies slightly along the leaf length, increasing with the coil diameter. The expected variation of $R_{n}$ along the length of our spring is estimated to be $12 \%$ (based on empirical data for 1095 spring steel).

In its application, the leaf is coiled about a storage spool with a radius slightly larger than $R_{n}$. The outer length is then payed out and reverse $-w r a p p e d$ around a power drum or output drum. The reverse-wrapped leaf material has a much higher flexural stress than that on the storage spool, and, therefore, more elastic energy per inch of leaf length. This, then, is the basis of the torquing mechanism; energy is required to unwind leaf from the storage spool, and reverse flex it around the power drum.

In the ERTS recorder, two alternate Negator coil designs have been explored; one made of higb yield 301 stainless steel, and the other made of Havar alloy. In general, the stainless steel coils have surpassed the original life estimate of 22,000 cycles, averaging over 42,000 cycles. The Havar coils, which were expected to reach very high values ( $\approx 180,000$ cycles), have not achieved this goal but still demonstrated an average life comparable to that of the stainless steel.

A group of theoretical equations for Negator stress and torque are assembled in Figure 2-6. Equations (1) through (5) are exact derivations. Equations (6) through (9) are simplified expressions, equivalent to those used in the Negator design manual, which uses the approximation $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (i.e., the natural radius of the leaf matches the stack radius on the storage spool at all places, and, therefore, the flexure stress is zero on throughout the stack on the storage spool).

The simplified equations will yield values of amplitude of stress fluctuation which are higher than the actual values. When the analysis of fatigue life is based upon conventional material parameters, plus an endurance diagram (such as the Goodman diagram), the simplified equation will be more pessimistic than the exact equations. On the other hand, when the material data is actual Negator test history plotted against the "stress factor", the approximation inherent in the simplified equations is compensated, more or less. Such a body of data is available for the stainless steel Negators and is shown in Figure 2-7. In the case of Havar, such data is not available and the more basic approach must be used.
2.2.3.2 Fatigue Analysis of Stainless Steel Negators. - Assuming nominal material properties equal to those inherent for the plot of Figure 2-7 (which entails a high degree of quality control), the parameters which are slightly variable are the natural leaf radius, $R_{n}$, and the leaf thickness, $t$. The leaf width may be considered controlled to a negligible percentage of the nominal value. $R_{n}$, and $t$ are not specified directly, but they are implicit in the torque which is specified with a $\mathbf{1 0} \%$ tolerance. Referring to equation (7), the torque is seen to be proportional to:

$$
t^{3}\left(\frac{1}{R_{n}}+\frac{1}{R_{A}}\right)^{2}=t\left[t\left(\frac{1}{R_{n}}+\frac{1}{R_{A}}\right)^{2}=t S_{f}^{2}\right.
$$



## Definitions

| M | $=$ Torque, In. -Lb. |
| :--- | :--- |
| t | $=$ Leaf Thickness, In. |

b = Leaf Width, In.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ Stack Radius on Pwn. Drum
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ Stack Radius on Storage Spool
E = Elastic Modulus, P.S.I.
$\nu \quad=$ Poisson's Ratio $(\approx 0.3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=\frac{\mathrm{tE}}{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{A}=$ Max. Flexure Stress at $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{A}}$
$S_{B}=$ Max. Flexure Stress at $\mathbf{R}_{B}$
(2) $\quad S_{B}=\frac{t E}{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{R_{n}}-\frac{1}{R_{B}}\right)$
$S_{p}=$ Peak Alternating Stress
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}=$ Mean Value of Stress $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ "Stress Factor"
(3)

$$
M=\frac{E}{24\left(1-v^{2}\right)} \quad b t^{3}\left[\frac{2}{R_{n}}+\frac{1}{R_{A}}-\frac{1}{R_{B}}\right]\left(1+\frac{R_{A}}{R_{B}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{A}-S_{B}\right)=\frac{6 M}{{b t^{2}}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+2 R_{A} / R_{n}-R_{A} / R_{B}}\right)=\frac{E t}{4\left(1-\nu \nu^{2}\right.}\left(\frac{1}{R_{A}}+\frac{1}{R_{B}}\right)}  \tag{4}\\
& S_{M}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{A}+S_{B}\right)=\frac{6 M}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{1+R_{A} / R_{B}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (1) -(5) are exact. If the simplifying approximation ( $R_{B}=R_{n}$ ) is used, and also the Hunter Spring Co. "Stress Factor", $S_{f}=t\left(1 / R_{n}+1 / R_{A}\right)$, the equations become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\mathrm{tE}}{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{R}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}}{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(7) $\quad \mathrm{M}=\frac{\operatorname{Ebt}^{3} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}}{24\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{A}}}\right)^{2}=\frac{E b t R_{\mathrm{A}}}{24\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$
(8) $\quad \mathrm{M}=\frac{1}{12}$ bt $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$
(9) $\quad S_{p}=\frac{6 M}{b t R_{A}} \quad \frac{1}{S_{f}}$
(10) $\quad S_{B}=0$
(11) $\quad S_{M}=S_{p}$

Figure 2-6 NEGATOR EQUATIONS

Figure 2-7

We might reasonably approximate the $10 \%$ torque variation as being due to $10 \%$ change in $\mathrm{Sf}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}$ only, and estimate worst case life. The maximum stress will occur at the start of wind of the power drum when the reverse flexural stress is maximum. Since the power drum will have approximately four inactive coils on it, the minimum radius of repeated reverse bending is:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{2.00}{2}+4(0.006)=1.024^{\prime \prime}
$$

also,

$$
R_{B}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{2.485}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{\pi(2.024)(0.006)}{\pi / 4}}=1.222^{\prime \prime}
$$

Equation (3) is used to estimate the nominal value of $R_{n}$ for the nominal torque of $1.73 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$.

$$
1.73=\frac{28 \times 10^{6}}{24(1-0.09)} \text { (1) }\left(6 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{3}\left[\frac{2}{R_{n}}+\frac{1}{1.024}-\frac{1}{1.222}\right]\left(1+\frac{1.024}{1.222}\right)
$$

Solving for $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}}$ :

$$
R_{n}=0.617^{\prime \prime}, \text { nominal value }
$$

and,

$$
S_{f}=0.006\left(\frac{1}{0.617}+\frac{1}{1.024}\right)=0.01557, \text { nominal value }
$$

Referring to Figure 2-7, the nominal design life is seen to be $\mathbf{1 9 , 0 0 0}$ cycles. If the torque is high by $10 \%$, then:

$$
\text { Maximum } S_{f}=0.01557 \sqrt{1.10}=0.0163
$$

and the worst case design life is 16,300 cycles.
Some further consideration should be given to the curve of Figure 2-7, upon which the foregoing fatigue estimates were based. Examining the locations of the large number of data points, it is obvious that the curve is quite conservative. An estimate based on the data points near our stress level ( $S_{f}$ between 0.015 and 0.017 ) indicates that the curve appears to be of the order of minus three times the standard deviation below the mean life of any group tested. This consideration should only be applied to the nominal life of 19,000 cycles. The worst case life due to a smaller $R_{n}$ is one of the factors tending to cause specimens in any test group to fall below the mean value.

Correlation with our own test experience with 4 stainless steel Negators shows the mean life of 42,700 cycles to be $225 \%$ greater than the nominal design life. Fatigue tests at Hunter Spring of a group of Negators with a nominal life of 2,500 cycles yielded values ranging between 6,000 and 9,000 cycles, and a comparable margin over the design life.
2.2.3.3 Fatigue Analysis of Havar Negators. - The Havar springs were made to the same torque and dimensional specifications as those for the 301 high yield stainless steel. The difference in material properties are mainly: the Havar modulus of elasticity is $30 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}$ compared to a nominal $28 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}$ for stainless steel, and the predicted fatigue life for Havar is higher. This last consideration was not borne out in an initial test of Havar springs; and this will be discussed further on.

To obtain the nominal value of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ at start of windup (after 4 "dead" turns in the power drum), we again use equation (3).

$$
1.73=\frac{30 \times 10^{6}}{24(1-0.09)} \text { (1) }\left(6 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left[\frac{2}{R_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{1}{1.024}-\frac{1}{1.222}\right]\left(1+\frac{1.024}{1.222}\right)
$$

and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=0.664^{\prime \prime}$, nominal value.
Since there is no body of empirical test data for Havar Negators, the fatigue life will be estimated from the peak alternating stress, $S_{p}$, and the average fluctuating stress, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}$.

From equation (4):

$$
S_{p}=\frac{6(1.73)}{(1)\left(6 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{2 \times 1.024}{0.664}-\frac{1.024}{1.222}}\right)
$$

and,
$S_{p}=88,820 \mathrm{psi}$, nominal value.
From equation (5):
$S_{M}=\frac{6(1.73)}{\text { (1) }\left(6 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{1.024}{1.222}}\right)$
$S_{M}=156,850 \mathrm{psi}$, nominal value.

When these values are plotted on a Goodman diagram (Figure 2-8), an equivalent reversed flexure stress is found to be $156,000 \mathrm{psi}$. The fatigue of Havar in reversed flexure is shown in Figure 2-9. The indicated life for this reversed stress value is seen to be 180,000 cycles (nominal design).

The $+10 \%$ increase in torque can be caused by either or both an increase in $t$ or a decrease in $R_{n}$. In equation (4), the extreme right hand optional form shows $S p$ to be proportional to $t$ and independent of $M$ and $R_{n}$. Equation (5) shows $S_{M}$ to be proportional to $\underline{M}$ and to vary inversely with $\underline{t}^{2}$.

It is understood that the tolerance on $0.006^{\prime \prime}$ Havar is $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{mil}$, or $\pm 1.67 \%$. For the case of $+10 \%$ increase in torque, accompanied by +0.1 mil in thickness, $\underline{\mathrm{Sp}}$ would increase $+1.67 \%$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}$ would increase $\pm 6.7 \%$. If the $10 \%$ torque increase were due to a smaller $R_{n}$ only, and $t$ were at the nominal value, Sp would not increase, and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}$ would, again, increase $6.7 \%$. Thus, the first case is slightly worse and the new stresses are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Sp}=90,300 \mathrm{psi}, \text { worst case } \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}=167,350 \mathrm{psi}, \text { worst case }
\end{aligned}
$$

These values are also plotted in Figure 2-8, and the equivalent reversed flexure stress (worst case) is $172,000 \mathrm{psj}$. Referring to Figure $2-8$ again shows the worst case fatigue life to be 120,000 cycles.

The test of 4 Havar Negators produced a mean life 42,000 cycles. One specimen failed at 34,000 cycles, and the other 3 had multiple, severe edge cracks after 45,000 cycles when the test was stopped.

Because these results were considerably lower than expected, some attention has been given to possible causes. The Havar sheet is cold rolled to a high degree of cold working and its hardness is believed to be approximately Rockwell C-58. This is the state at which the sheet is slit into $1^{\prime \prime}$ wide strips. Since cutting at this hardness may leave edges with damaged surfaces or unfavorable residual stress, five Havar samples were given a physical examination. The samples examined were $1^{\prime \prime}$ long pieces, cut from new, untested Negator coils. Three of these five 1 " samples showed surface imperfections in the form of pitting of the edge corners. Considering that each coil is 500 inches long, the probability seems high that each coil had a substantial number of these surface imperfections.

It is planned to further evaluate Havar Negators after suitable rework. Four of the remaining untested coils will be given a light grind on each coil face (i, e., edges of the $1^{\prime \prime}$ strips) in order to remove damaged material and surfaces. The sharp corners will be broken. These springs will then be put through another life test. There has been some discussion about the merits of peening the edge surfaces, however, it is questionable whether this approach can be evaluated at this stage.


Figure 2-9 FATIGUE CURVES FOR NEGATOR MATERIALS
2.2.4 Mylar Belt Drives. - Two Mylar belts are used, one coupling the capstan shaft to the capstan motor, and the second coupling the $I \omega$ compensation fly-wheel to the capstan shaft. The geometry of the two belts is shown in Figure 2-10.

The belts have been designed to transmit more than the maximum motor torque without slippage, and to have a large margin over fatigue failure throughout the life of the mission. The nominal mission requirement is established on the basis of 4,000 RecordPlayback cycles. One Record-Playback cycle involves passing 2,000 feet of tape four times around a $1 / 2$ diameter capstan.

No. of Capstan Revolutions $\quad=2,000 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} \frac{12 \mathrm{in} .}{\mathrm{ft} .} \times \frac{1}{0.5 \pi \mathrm{in} .} \times(4)(4,000)$

$$
=2.45 \times 10^{8}
$$

Required No. of Capstan Belt Cycles $=2.45 \times 10^{8} \times \frac{1.790 \pi \mathrm{in} .}{8.19 \mathrm{in} .}=1.68 \times 10^{8}$
Required No. of I $\omega$ Belt Cycles $\quad=2.45 \times 10^{8} \times \frac{1.790 \pi \mathrm{in} .}{17.30 \mathrm{in} .}=0.80 \times 10^{8}$
The initial tension in the belts is adjusted by measuring the slippage torque rather than by measuring the tension directly. Theoretical belt slippage calculations are based on the equations:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mu \theta}
$$

and,

$$
T_{o}=\frac{M}{D} \frac{e^{\mu \theta}+1}{e^{\mu \theta}-1}
$$

where:
$T_{1} / T_{2}=$ Belt tension ratio at slippage
$\mathrm{M} \quad=\quad$ Pulley torque at slippage
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ Initial belt tension to develop a torque, M
D $\quad=$ Pully diameter
$\mu=$ Coefficient of friction
$\theta=$ Angle of belt wrap


Figure 2-10 MYLAR BELT GEOMETRY

These equations are, of course, exact if one transfers the responsibility for any inaccuracies to the establishment of a suitable value for $\mu$. For a condition of gross belt slippage, a value of $\mu \approx 0.2$ has been measured on numerous occasions. For steady state operation with minimum open loop deviations in pulley speed, it is highly desirable to stay within the linear "creep" region. This has been defined, in a study of Mylar belts by the Kinelogic Corporation*, as the point where the slope of the creep-differential stress curve increases $100 \%$ over that of the linear portion. For stainless steel pulleys, this definition, applied to the test data, yields an empirical value of $\mu=0.075$.

The belt design is based upon a nominal slippage torque of 5 inch-ounces reflected at the motor shaft. The stall torque of the capstan motor is $4.25 \mathrm{in} .-0 \mathrm{z}$. on the "start" winding, and $2.25 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$. on the "run" winding (which is the present mode of acceleration). During acceleration, however, all of this torque is not transmitted through the belt since some must be used to accelerate the inertia of the motor itself. At steady-state, the belt torque is considerably less, the worst case calculated value being 0.71 in . -oz.
2.2.4.1 Analysis of Capstan Belt. - The tension ratio at slippage is:
$e^{\mu \theta}=e^{(.2)(2.4)}=1.615$
The nominal initial tension is:
$T_{o}=\frac{5 \text { in. }-\mathrm{oz} .}{0.4375 \mathrm{in} .} \times \frac{1.615+1}{1.615-1}=48.7 \mathrm{oz}$.
The exponential value for linear "creep" is:
$\mathrm{e}(0.075)(2.4)=1.197$
and, the maximum torque in the linear "creep" region is:

$$
M=T_{0} D \frac{e^{\mu \theta}-1}{e^{\mu \theta}+1}=(48.7 \text { oz. })(0.4375 \mathrm{in} .) \frac{1.197-1}{1.197+1}=1.92 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz} . \text { (at the } \underset{\text { motor })}{ }
$$

For an average running torque of, say $0.5 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$. , the differential belt tension is:

$$
\Delta T= \pm \frac{0.5 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz} .}{0.4375 \mathrm{in} .}=1.14 \mathrm{oz}
$$

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Maximum direct stress }=\frac{(48.7+1.14) \mathrm{oz} .}{(0.002 \times 0.300) \mathrm{in} .^{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb} .}{16 \mathrm{oz} .}=5,190 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \text { Minimum direct stress }=\frac{(48.7-1.14) \mathrm{oz} .}{(0.002 \times 0.300) \mathrm{in.}^{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb} .}{16 \mathrm{oz} .}=4,950 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \text { Maximum flexure stress }=\mathrm{E} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{D}}=0.75 \times 10^{6} \times \frac{0.002}{0.4375}=3,440 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \text { Maximum tensile stress }=\frac{5,190+3,440=8,630}{\text { Peak Alternating stress }=\frac{8,630-4,950}{2}=1,840 \mathrm{psi}} \\
& \text { Mean Fluctuating stress }=\frac{8,630+4,950}{2}=6,790 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

A Goodman diagram for predicting belt life has been constructed in Figure 2-11. An "Indefinite Life" line has been drawn between the yield strength value of $18,000 \mathrm{psi}$ on the horizontal axis and a data point from the original Licht-White study of Mylar belts. This data point locates the nominal stresses for which their logarithmic plot of stress versus life becomes virtually asymptotic. The "Indefinite Life" plot has been confirmed by numerous tests which have gone through many millions of cycles without failure in the programs for Nimbus, OGO and HDRSS recorders.

The stress values for the capstan belt are plotted as a point on Figure 2-11, and it is $81 \%$ of an equivalent "Indefinite Life" value.
2.2.4.2 Analysis of the $I \omega$ Belt. - The tension ratio at slippage is:

$$
e^{\mu \theta}=e^{(.2)(2.6)}=1.682
$$

The nominal initial tension is:

$$
T_{o}=\frac{5 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz} .}{0.4375 \mathrm{in} .} \times \frac{1.682+1}{1.682-1}=45 \mathrm{oz} .
$$

The exponential value for linear "creep" is:

$$
\mathrm{e}(0.075)(2.6)=1.218
$$

and, the maximum torque in the linear "creep" region is:

$$
\left.\mathrm{M}=(45 \mathrm{oz} .)(0.4375 \mathrm{in} .) \frac{1.218-1}{1.218+\overline{1}}=1.94 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz} . \text { (at the motor }\right)
$$

rer

The belt stresses due to acceleration torque occur for a relatively small fraction of the total belt flexure cycles. For the fatigue estimate, only the steady running stress should be considered. In the case of the $I \omega$ belt, the steady-state torque is that due to the bearing friction of the $\mathrm{I} \omega$ compensation flywheel. This has been "worst-cased" for $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as $0.314 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$. at the flywheel. Reflected at the motor shaft, this is $0.0314 \mathrm{in} .-0 z$.
$\Delta T= \pm \frac{0.0314 \text { in. ~oz. }}{0.4375 \mathrm{in} .}= \pm 0.0718 \mathrm{oz}$.
The stress due to $\Delta T$ is:
$\frac{0.0718 \mathrm{oz} .}{(0.005 \times 0.250) \mathrm{in}^{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb} .}{16 \mathrm{oz} .}= \pm 3.6 \mathrm{psi}$, which may be neglected.
Direct tensile stress $\quad=\frac{45 . \mathrm{oz}_{\mathrm{o}}}{(0.005 \times 0.25) \mathrm{in} .^{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb} .}{16 \mathrm{oz} .}=2,250 \mathrm{psi}$

Maximum flexure stress $=0.75 \times 10^{6} \times \frac{0.005 \mathrm{in} .}{1.790 \mathrm{in} .}=2,100 \mathrm{psi}$
Peak alternating stress $=\frac{2,100}{2}=1,050 \mathrm{psi}$
Mean fluctuation stress $=\frac{2,250+2,100}{2}=2,175 \mathrm{psi}$
These stress values have also been plotted as a point on Figure 2-11, and the point is $33 \%$ of an equivalent "Indefinite Life" value.

At the time of the writing, a life test of two capstan belts and three I $\omega$ belts has completed 5,586 hours without failure at a capstan speed of 60 rps ( $7.85 \times$ "record" speed). Since 1,135 hours of test time represents 16,000 full tape passes, the currently logged time is very close to 5 times the nominal mission requirement. In terms of belt flexural stress cycles, the capstan belts have completed $8.23 \times 10^{8}$ cycles and the I $\omega$ belts have completed $3.9 \times 10^{8}$ cycles, both without failure. At irregular intervals throughout the test, the slippage torque was measured. In all cases, this has increased, the increase varying from $+10 \%$ to $+230 \%$. This increase is attributable to some combination of increased residual tension and increased coefficient of friction. Determination of the exact combination seems somewhat moot since the extended life has been demonstrated while the original torque capacity has been maintained or surpassed.
2.2.5 Capstan-Tape Interface. - Experiments were carried out to study the frictional grip between the urethane covered capstan and the surface of the tape base which contacts the capstan. In general, this appeared to be a highly variable factor,
even when restricted to two single specimens of urethane covered capstan and magnetic tape. The tape tension ratio at incipient slippage increased with higher ambient humidity, and also increased with the state of cleanliness (becoming highest immediately after being cleaned with ohlorothane). The results were also dependent upon the testing technique.

Two types of tests were run, each using the same new ERTS capstan, with a urethane hardness of 90 durometer reading, and a sample of $2^{\prime \prime}$ wide 3 M 400 tape ("velvet" backing). Each test was made with a tape wrap angle of $180^{\circ}$, and a constant load of 10 gm on one end of the tape. The two techniques were as follows:

Method 1 - With 10 gms on one end of the tape, the other end was loaded with progressively increasing weights. The tape was held to the capstan by hand during each change of weight, and thereafter released, followed by observation of whether or not slippage occurred. This was repeated for several sections of tape.

Method 2-With 10 gms on one end of the tape, the weight on the other end was progressively increased. After each new weight was added, the tape was slipped over the capstan to a new section of tape, and then released and observed for the presence of continued slippage. This technique was intended to more closely approximate the tension distribution around the capstan which exists during the natural "creepage" progression around the capstan.

The highest tension ratio values ranged between 80 and 100, for Method \#1, at high ambient humidity (humidity was not measured). The lowest tension ratios were obtained by Method \#2 at a lower ambient humidity: these ranged between 18 and 22 for a clean (but not washed with chlorothane) ERTS capstan, and between 15 and 17 for a "dirty" older capstan which had been used intermittently and left exposed to ambient contamination for several years. These last ratios are the values used in the design study for a more conservative position.

Figure 2-12 is a semi-logarithmic plot of the theoretical function $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{e} \mu \theta$. The dotted line represents the function for $\theta=180^{\circ}$, the value used in bench tests of tape slippage. The minimum bench test ratios of $15-17$ are indicated on the dotted line. The solid line represents the function for $\theta=190^{\circ}$, the ERTS capstan wrap angle. The bench test values have been extrapolated by vertical projection onto the solid line. This extrapolation predicts a minimum tension ratio of 17.6 at incipient slippage. In Section 2.4, the tape tensions have been calculated for transport acceleration near end-of-tape operating at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The calculated tension ratio is 4.42 , and this is also indicated on the solid line. The factor of safety, then, is 4.

### 2.3 Review of Motor Characteristics

2.3.1 Headwheel Motor. - The headwheel motor is a two-pole, two-phase, 312.5 Hz hysteresis synchronous motor. The detailed specifications, mechanical description and electrical schematic are shown in Figure 2-13 (RCA drawing 8778736).


Figure 2-12 ERTS CAPSTAN-TAPE INTERFACE

During startup, the motor is accelerated while being driven through lowimpedance, high power taps in the windings. At synchronous speed, the drive voltage is switched to high impedance, low power taps. The original performance data was obtained from a breadboard motor which was electrically identical to that in the headwheel panel, but was assembled in a conventional motor configuration. As such, this motor had relatively low windage and bearing losses, and this data indicates the basic capability of the motor design, with minimal mechanical losses.

Figure 2-14 shows the characteristics of the breadboard motor operating at synchronous speed on the "run" winding, after acceleration on the "start" winding. The dc current, rotor phase angle, output power and efficiency are plotted against the applied torque load. Figure 2-15 shows the subsynchronous characteristics of the motor during acceleration on the "start" winding.

In the headwheel panel, the identical rotor is mounted on a shaft which rotates in two matched $\mathrm{R}-6$ bearings at the headwheel end of shaft and two matched $\mathrm{R}-4$ bearings at the opposite end. Both bearing pairs are preloaded to a nominal 2 pounds. The useful torque of the motor in this assembly will be reduced from that of the breadboard motor by the small incremental friction of the bearings and the increased windage, predominantly due to the $2^{\prime \prime}$ diameter headwheel.

Before assembly into the headwheel panel, each motor stator is tested in a fixture with a standard rotor shaft and bearings. No headwheel is mounted at this time, and the windage losses are still low. The setup of the fixture is such that the two R-4 bearings are preloaded, but the two R-6 bearings are not, and the bearing drag is comparable to that of the breadboard motor.

Tests of 5 stators in this fixture showed very close correlation with the breadboard torque-current curve. The synchronous pull-out torques were grouped closely about those of the breadboard. The measured stall torques were grouped reasonably closely together, and all were slightly higher than that of the breadboard. This last effect was probably due to the superior motor circuit used at this later date. The test fixture data is shown below:

| Stator Serial No. | Pull-Out Torque, $\operatorname{In}-\mathrm{Oz}$ |  | Stall Torque, In-Oz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S/N 101 (used in | 1.25 | 7 |  |
| Feas. Model Panel) | 1.25 | 6.9 |  |
| S/N 102 | 1.1 | 6.75 |  |
| S/N 103 | 1.225 | 6.5 |  |
| S/N 104 | 1.3 | 6.75 |  |





Figure 2-14 BENCH TEST DATA ERTS HEADWHEEL MOTOR (SER. NO. 69-2-1)


Figure 2-15 SUBSYNCHRONOUS TORQUE VS. \% SYNCHRONOUS SPEED

The Feasibility Unit headwheel panel was assembled, using stator $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ 101. The quantitative data taken at this point was not as extensive, as in the case of the breadboard motor. The dc current and torque were tested at no-load and pull-out, and, also at several intermediate points, at ambient temperature. This was repeated at no-load and pull-out for two extreme temperatures of $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This data is shown in Figure $2-16$, plotted against dc current in the X-axis. For reference, the breadboard motor data is replotted here with its current values reduced by a constant 0.18 amps which was peculiar to the early drive circuit. The test fixture values for stator $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} 101$ are shown to fall close to the breadboard motor curve. Any further changes in motor current may be assumed to indicate a torque increment.

When assembled into the headwheel panel, the increased no-load ambient current reflects the higher windage and bearing losses. Extrapolation from the breadboard curve indicates this torque increment to be 0.26 in . -oz. There is a comparable reduction in pull-out torque value amounting to $0.31 \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{oz}$. The performance of the assembled motor at $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ appeared to be unchanged. Operation at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ introduces a further torque load. In this case, the motor was given a cold soak with no rotation or electrical inputs to the winding. Immediately after acceleration on the "start" winding, the no-load and pull-out values were obtained. The no-load current indicates a further bearing load of 0.35 in. -oz., and the pull-out torque was reduced to $0.7 \mathrm{in} .-$ oz., a further reduction of 0.2 in. -oz. The acceleration characteristics of the headwheel panel at ambient temperature are shown in Figure 2-17. The acceleration time here is seen to be 2.7 seconds on the "start" winding. Estimates of acceleration time during the temperature tests indicated that acceleration at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ took 0.3 to 0.4 seconds longer than at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
2.3.2 Capstan Motor. - The capstan motor is a four-pole, two-phase hysteresis synchronous motor. It is a two-speed motor with independent windings for each speed. When driven by 62.5 Hz through its low speed winding, the synchronous speed is 32.5 rps. When driven by 250 Hz through its high speed winding, the synchronous speed is 125 rps . It also has a dc braking winding for rapid deceleration using de current. The brake winding is wound in a two-pole configuration so as to eliminate transformer coupling with the ac-drive windings, and avoid high induced voltage when the motor is powered. The detailed specifications, mechanical description and electrical schematics are shown in Figure 2-18 (RCA drawing 8778735).

The torque-amps characteristics of the capstan motor at the two synchronous speeds are shown in Figure 2-19. "Start" and "run" taps have been provided in both the 62.5 Hz windings and the 250 Hz windings. At both synchronous speeds, there is obviously an increase in pull-out torque and operating efficiency if the motor is first pulled into synchronism on the "start" winding and then switched to the "run" winding. At 62.5 Hz , however, the torque is higher for either starting mode.

The accelerating capability of the motor in each of the four modes was measured in two ways. The stall torque was measured with a torque watch. Also, a mean acceleration time of a known total shaft inertia. The subsynchronous torque, for a given


Figure 2-16 ERTS HEADWHEEL MOTOR
electrical excitation, is a function of the instantaneous speed (or, of slip speed). The mean acceleration torque is only dependent on this function as shown in the following simple derivation.

Acceleration,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \omega}{d t} & =\frac{M}{I} \\
d t & =\frac{I}{M} d \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
t_{a}=I \int_{0}^{\omega s}\left[\frac{d \omega}{M}\right]=\frac{I \omega s}{M a v .}
$$

and,

$$
M_{a}=\frac{\omega s}{\int_{0}^{\omega}\left[\frac{d \omega}{M}\right]}=\frac{I_{\omega} s}{t_{a}}
$$



Upper Trace: DC Current, 2 Amp . $/ \mathrm{cm}$. Lower Trace: Relative Speed, Stall-to-Sync. Horizontal Scale: $0.5 \mathrm{sec} . / \mathrm{cm}$
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Figure 2-19 ERTS CAPSTAN MOTOR
where:
$\omega=$ motor angular velocity
$\omega_{\mathrm{s}}=$ motor synchronous angular velocity
1 = moment of inertia
$\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{a}}=$ time to reach sync speed
$M=M(\omega)$, instantaneous torque, as a function of speed
$M_{a}=$ mean acceleration torque
The subsynchronous parameters measured for the capstan motor are given below.

|  | Stall Power <br> Watts | Stall Torque $\mathrm{In}_{.}-\mathrm{Oz} .$ | Mean Accel. Torque $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62.5 Hz Oper. "run" Winding | 14.2 | 2.25 | 1.96 |
| "start" Winding | 43.0 | 4.0 | 3.06 |
| 250 Hz Oper. "run" Winding | 19.7 | 1.7 | 1.40 |
| "start" Winding | 68.0 | 4.25 | 4.47 |

It was decided not to use the "start" taps in the low speed, record/playback modes. The advantages of this approach are:
a) It eliminates actuation of a relay at every record command.
b) It eliminates the explicit sequencing of sync speed detection and relay actuation.
c) Elimination of the "start"-"run" transient plus (b), above, means that a steady-state tape speed is reached more quickly.

The torque margins are still quite adequate for "run" only operation in record mode. The motor pull-out torque is 1.7 inch-ounce. Elsewhere in this report, the worst case torque has been calculated for end-of-tape operation at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reflected at the motor shaft, this value is $0.996 \mathrm{in},-\mathrm{oz}$. The acceleration time under this worst case condition, using the mean acceleration torque of 1.96 in . -oz. will be 0.715 second.

The dc brake operation is equivalent to accelerating a hysteresis motor in reverse. The stator field is stationary and the rotor slip speed equals the absolute speed. Figure 2-20 shows the torque of the brake as a function of voltage although it will only be operated at the nominal 24.5 Vdc line voltage. Since the brake winding is a two-pole configuration, in order to avoid transformer coupling with the ac windings, it should be expected to have $1 / 2$ the nominal torque of a four-pole configuration. This is evident when the maximum brake torque is compared with the maximum "start" torques at stall.
2.3.3 I $\omega$ Motor. - The I $\omega$ motor is a four-pole, two-phase hysteresis synchronous motor operating at a synchronous speed of 78.125 rps and powered by 156.25 Hz . The detailed specifications, mechanical description and electrical schematic are shown in Figure 2-21 (RCA drawing 877734).

The torque-current characteristics at synchronous speed are shown in Figure 2-22 for "start" pull-in and "run" pull-in. The only function of this motor, however, is to accelerate an inertia to synchronous speed so as to compensate the angular momentum of the headwheel. At synchronous speed, there is no external torque capacity requirement. The compensation inertia consists of two flywheels, one at each end of the motor shaft, and each weighing approximately $1 / 3$ pound. Because of the requirement to survive the vibration environment, unusually heavy duty bearings are used in this motor. At each end of the motor, there is a matched pair of R4A bearings preloaded to 7 pounds. The estimated torque of these four bearings for the worst case fits and $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $0.38 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$, and this constitutes the only real torque load, other than the high accelerating torque required during startup. The "start" winding data obtained for this motor are:

```
dc Current at Stall 3.6 amp
dc Current at Synch Speed 3.2 amp
    (on "start" winding)
Stall Torque
5.5 in. -oz. (approx.)
```


### 2.4 Torque Margins

This section deals with the mechanical losses in the tape transport mechanism due to bearing drag, transmission losses and friction in the tape path. The losses in these elements under worst case conditions are then used to establish the torque margins of the three motors in the transport. For convenience, the key transport elements are shown schematically in Figure 2-23. Throughout the computations, minor factors such as belt losses and tape guide inertias have been ignored. Section 2.4.1 establishes the bearing mechanical torque loads at thermal extremes, while section 2.4.2
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Figure 2－22 ERTS I $\omega$ MOTOR


Figure 2-23 TRANSPORT SCHEMATIC
establishes the drag due to lubricants. Parameters used in the computation of individual mechanical torque loads are tabulated in Table 2-1 while Table 2-2 contains the parameters for the lubricant drag computations and summarizes the total drag torque for each transport sub-assembly. The relationship of drag torque to available torque (i, e., torque margin) is discussed in Section 2.4 .3 for each of the three transport motors.
2.4.1 Bearing Mechanical Torque Loads. - The majority of the rotating subassemblies in the Transport Unit employ a bearing housing construction like that shown in Figure 2-24. This arrangement provides a primary structural element of aluminum for minimum equipment weight, with inserts or jackets of stainless steel (416) at the bearing seats to minimize thermal stressing of the bearing. Since the coefficient of thermal expansion is larger for aluminum than steel, the housing attempts to shrink around the jacket when the temperature is lowered. This mechanism stresses the steel jacket and the bearing outer race and causes a radial shrinkage of the outer race which results in additional loading on the balls. After establishing the various part stiffnesses and clearances, the radial shrinkage of the inner diameter of the outer race of the bearing was computed. This radial shrinkage was converted to an axial deflection. The axial deflection, preload deflection, and preload tolerance were added to give an effective axial thrust which was then converted to a mechanical torque. Values for the mechanical torques of the various bearings are listed in Table 2-1.


Figure 2-24 TYPICAL BEARING/HOUSING CONSTRUCTION
For uniform external radial pressure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{A}=-\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{\mathrm{E}}\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{AB}^{2}}{\mathrm{~B}^{2}-\mathrm{A}^{2}}\right) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{B}=-\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{E}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~A}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}}{\mathrm{~B}^{2}-\mathrm{A}^{2}}-v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For uniform internal radial pressure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{E}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{B}^{2}}+\nu\right] \\
& \Delta \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{E}}\left[\frac{2 \mathrm{~B}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{B}^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.4.1.1 Basis of Mechanical Torque Computations

### 2.4.1.1.1 Physical Constants

Poisson's Ratio ( $\nu$ )

$$
A L=.33 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{S}_{.}=.27
$$

Young's Modulus (E)

$$
\mathrm{AL}=10.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}, \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{S} .=29 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}
$$

Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (Q)

$$
\mathrm{AL}=12.9 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{in} .-^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{S}_{\star}=5.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{in} .-^{\circ} \mathrm{F}
$$

Temperature Change ( $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{T}$ )

$$
25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\left(-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=54^{\circ} \mathrm{F}
$$

2.4.1.1.2 Equations Used to Find Radial Shrinkage
$K_{1}=P / \Delta B_{B}=\left[E_{S S} / B\right]\left[\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) /\left(B^{2}-A^{2}\right)-\nu_{S S}\right]^{-1}$
$\mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{P} / \Delta \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{SS}} / \mathrm{B}\right] \quad\left[\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}\right) /\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{B}^{2}\right)+v_{\mathrm{SS}}\right]^{-1}$
$\Delta B_{B}=D_{7} /\left(1+K_{1} L_{1} / K_{2} L_{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\left(\Delta \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$
$\Delta A_{B}=P_{1}\left(2 A B^{2}\right) /\left[E_{S S}\left(B^{2}-A^{2}\right)\right]$
$\Delta C_{R}=P_{1}\left(2 \mathrm{CB}^{2}\right) /\left[E_{S S}\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{B}^{2}\right)\right]$
$\Delta C=C\left(Q_{A L}-Q_{S S}\right) \Delta T+\Delta C_{R}$
$K_{7}=P / \Delta C_{B R}=E_{S S} /\left[C\left(\frac{C^{2}+A^{2}}{C^{2}-A^{2}}-v_{S S}\right)\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{3}=\mathrm{P} / \Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{AR}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{AL}} /\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}^{2}+\mathrm{C}^{2}}{\mathrm{D}^{2}-\mathrm{C}^{2}}+\nu_{\mathrm{AL}}\right)\right] \\
& \Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{BR}}=\Delta \mathrm{C} /\left(1+\mathrm{K}_{7} \mathrm{~L}_{7} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{K}_{7}\left(\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{BR}}\right) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{BR}}=\mathrm{P}_{2}\left(2 \mathrm{AC}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{SS}}\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{A}^{2}\right) \\
& \text { Radial Shrinkage }=\Delta \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{B}}+\Delta \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{BR}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
P is interface pressure, psi
$K_{1}$ is the relative radial stiffness of bearing, $\mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$
$K_{2}$ is the relative radial stiffness of steel ring, lbs/in ${ }^{3}$
$L_{1}, L_{2}$ are lengths shown in Figure 2-24
A, B, C are the radii shown in Figure 2-24
$\nu, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Q}, \Delta \mathrm{T}$ are the constant defined in para 2.4.1.1.1
$\Delta B_{B}$ and are the radial change of the bearing $O D$ and ID respectively $\Delta A_{B}$
$\Delta B_{R}$ is the radial change of the steel ring $I . D$.
$\Delta A_{B R}$ is the radial and subscripts with (7) denote the parameters effectively derived by combination of the bearing (1) and steel sleeve (2)

In the case of an initial clearance $\left(-D_{7}\right)$ between the bearing and ring, the following procedure was used.

$$
P_{3}=\left(-D_{7}\right) E_{S s}\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{B}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{BC}^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
S_{C R}=P_{3} / K_{2}
$$

$S_{C}=S_{C R}\left(1+\frac{K_{2} L_{2}}{K_{3} L_{3}}\right)$
$\Delta T_{1}=S_{C} / C\left(Q_{A L}-Q_{S S}\right) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { This is the temperature change needed to close } \\ & \text { the clearance. }\end{aligned}$

$$
\Delta T_{2}=\Delta T-\Delta T_{1}
$$

$$
\delta=C\left(Q_{A L}-Q_{S S}\right) T_{2}
$$

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{CBR}}=\delta /\left(1+\mathrm{K}_{7} \mathrm{~L}_{7} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{4}=\delta_{\mathrm{CBR}} \mathrm{~K}_{7}
$$

$S_{A B R}=$ Radial Shrinkage $=P_{4}\left(2 A C^{2}\right) /\left(E_{S S}\left(C^{2}-A^{2}\right)\right)$
Axial deflection $=($ radial deflection $) \operatorname{Cot} \varphi$ where $\varphi=$ contact angle of bearing.
2.4.1.1.3 R8 Rumning Torque. - Data on the Average Rumning Torque of a SR8 K5 type bearing was not included in the Barden catalog. A SR6K5 bearing was the closest bearing to a SR8K5 whose data was listed. Therefore, the SR6K5 data was converted to the SR8 K5 type.

SR8K5: $10,5 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ dia. balls

$$
\bar{R}_{8}=\frac{L_{0}+L_{1}}{4}=\frac{.972+.736}{4}=.427^{\prime \prime}
$$

SR6K5: 7, 5/32" dia. balls

$$
\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{6}=\frac{\mathrm{L}_{0}+\mathrm{L}_{1}}{4}=\frac{.692+.463}{4}=.289^{\prime \prime}
$$

For SR8K5 Case:
Normal force on balls $=F_{n}=T / \operatorname{Sin} \varphi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=88 / \operatorname{Sin} 33.9^{\circ}=157.6 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} / \text { ball }=15.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \text { ball } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=118 / \sin 34.7^{\circ}=207 \mathrm{lb} . \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} / \text { ball }=20.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \text { ball }
\end{aligned}
$$

For SR6K5 Case:

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \left(\mathrm{lb} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathbf{\prime}}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varphi \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F_{n} \\ \left(\mathrm{lb}_{\bullet}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} / \text { Ball } \\ \text { (lb. ball) } \end{gathered}$ | Running Torque for Bearing ( $\mathrm{mg}-\mathrm{mm}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 12.18 | 9.47 | 1.35 | 12.5 K |
| 6 | 12.82 | 27.0 | 3.86 | 38.0 K |
| 10 | 13.27 | 43.5 | 6.22 | 66.0 K |
| 20 | 14.10 | 82.1 | 11.73 | 145.0 K |
| 30 | 14.73 | 117.7 | 16.83 | 210.0 K |

from Figure $2-25,15.76 \mathrm{Bb}$. ball $\Rightarrow 197 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{mg}-\mathrm{mm}$
for SR8K5 Running Torque $=197\left(\frac{10}{7}\right)\left(\frac{.427}{.289}\right)$
$=403 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{mg}-\mathrm{mm} @$ top bearing
$20.7 \mathrm{lb} . /$ ball $\Rightarrow 298 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{mg-mm} \quad \therefore \quad 608 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{mg-mm} @$ bottom bearing
2.4.2 Bearing Lubricant Torque. - The viscosity of G-6 lubricant was computed based on an experiment with a headwheel motor. This value was then used to compute the drag of all the other bearings. The high speed mode was used in evaluating the velocity of each bearing.

In this experiment, there was negligible change in frictional torque between $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ambient; it is therefore assumed that the lubricant drag was small. When the temperature was $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, there was a significant increase in friction. Part of this was possibly due to the aluminum contracting on the bearing as well as the increase in viscosity of the grease. As a worst case assumption, the total increase in drag (0. 35 in. -oz. at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) will be assumed to be due to the viscous effects alone.

$$
\mathrm{M}=16\left(1.42 \times 10^{-5}\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{n} \nu)^{2 / 3} \mathrm{dm}^{3}
$$

(Rolling Bearing Analysis, Harris, Pg. 447)

$$
.35=16\left(1.42 \times 10^{-5}\right)(2)(18,750)^{2 / 3} v^{2 / 3} 2\left(.438^{3}+.632^{3}\right)
$$



Figure 2-25 TORQUE CURVE FOR R-6 BEARING

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{2 / 3} & =1.623 \\
v & =2.07 \mathrm{cs} \\
\therefore \quad T_{\text {lub. }} & =7.38 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{n}^{2 / 3} \mathrm{dm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M} & =\text { Torque, in. }-\mathrm{oz} \\
\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{o}} & =\text { Bearing geometry factor } \\
\mathbf{n} & =\mathrm{rpm} \\
\nu & =\text { Kinematic Viscosity, centistokes } \\
\mathrm{dm} & =\text { Bearing pitch dia., inches }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.4.3 Summary of Torque Margins

2.4.3.1 Headwheel Motor. - From Table 2-2, the worst case drag torque on the headwheel motor is $0.431 \mathrm{oz},-\mathrm{in}$. As discussed in paragraph 2.3 .1 , pull-out torque of the headwheel motor is approximately $1.25 \mathrm{oz} .-\mathrm{in}$. Hence, even under worst case conditions sufficient margin will be present to accommodate the load introduced by the tape during shoe engagement. This latter load has been measured under various circumstances and is less than 0.1 oz . -in .
2.4.3.2 I $\omega$ Motor. - As shown in Table 2-2, the total drag torque on the $I \omega$ motor is $0.377 \mathrm{oz}_{.}-\mathrm{in}$. As indicated in Figure 2-22 of paragraph 2.3.3, the pull-out torque of this motor is in excess of $1 \mathrm{in} .-0 z_{0}$, and no other torque loads other than acceleration are applied to the motor. Hence, adequate torque margin exists.
2.4.3.3 Capstan Motor. - The loads imposed on the capstan motor are considerably more complicated than those imposed on the other two motors in the transport. The following sections describe the two parameters which are critical to the capstan drive. These include transport acceleration time and tension ratio across the capstan, and are considered for "worst case" tape position during both normal and high speed operations. As a result of these calculations, it can be seen that sufficient margin is available for transport acceleration even under worst case conditions.

### 2.4.3.3.1 Tension Ratios and Transport Acceleration Time

2.4.3.3.1.1 Normal Speed at EOT

Torque per Negator $=2.4 \mathrm{lb},-\mathrm{in} .=38.4 \mathrm{oz},-\mathrm{in}$.
Drum Torque $=2$ (Negator torque) + bearing torque
$\mathrm{Md}=2(38.4)+(.540 \div .331)$
$\mathrm{Md}=77.67 \mathrm{oz},-\mathrm{in}$.
Takeup Reel Torque (Mtur) $=1 / 2 \mathrm{Md}\left(1+v_{1}+\nu_{2}-\nu_{3}\right)+$ bearing torque where:
$\nu_{1}=$ the loss in one bevel gear mesh
$\nu_{2}=$ the loss in the spur gear mesh
$\nu_{3}=$ the loss in the sprocket drive

Mtur $=\frac{77.67}{2}(1+.0466+.0134+.0100)+.03$
Mtur $=\mathbf{4 0 . 7 5} \mathrm{oz},-\mathrm{in}$.
Takeup Reel Force (Ftur) $=\frac{\text { Mtur }- \text { (inertial torque) }}{\text { Radius }}$
Ftur $=\frac{40.75-16(.0924)\left(\frac{.25}{4.00}\right)\left(\frac{.2187}{.895}\right) \alpha \mathrm{cm}}{4.00}$
Ftur $=10.19-5.65 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}$
where:
$\alpha \mathrm{cm}=$ the acceleration of the capstan motor
Force on the Takeup Side of the Capstan (Ftus) = Ftur - (tape guide force)
Ftus $=10.19-5.65 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}-\left(\frac{.069}{.3125}\right)$
Ftus $=9.97-5.65 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}$
Supply Reel Torque (Msr) $=1 / 2 \mathrm{Md}\left(1+2 \nu_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}\right)+$ bearing torque
$\mathrm{Msr}=\frac{77.66}{2}(1+2(.0466)+.0134+.0100)+.03$

Msr $=43.40 \mathrm{oz} .-\mathrm{in}$.
Supply Reel Force (Fsr) $=\frac{\mathrm{Msr}+\text { (inertial torque) }}{\text { radius }}$
$\mathrm{Fsr}=\frac{43.40+16(.0079)\left(\frac{25}{2.66}\right)\left(\frac{.2187}{.895}\right) \alpha \mathrm{cm}}{2.66}$
Fsr $=16.32+1.093 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}$
Force on the supply side of the capstan (Fss) $=$ Fsr +2 (tension loss across a single head) (tape tension) + (shoe force) +4 (tape guide force).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fss }=16.32+1.093 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}+2(.07) \text { Fss }+1.75+4\left(\frac{.069}{.3125}\right) \\
& .86 \text { Fss }=18.95+1.093 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { Fss }=22.06+1.280 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { Capstan torque }=\text { (bearing torque })+(\text { Fss }- \text { Ftus) (capstan radius) }+ \\
& \qquad\left(I \omega \text { wheel torque) }\left(\frac{\text { capstan radius }}{\text { I } \omega \text { wheel radius }}\right)\right. \\
& \text { Mcap }=.227+\left(22.06+1.280 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}-9.97+5.65 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}\right) \\
& \qquad(.25)+\left[.314+16\left(1.525 \times 10^{-2}\right) \frac{1}{10} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}\right] \frac{.895}{2.187} \\
& \text { Mcap }=3.38+11.71 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { Capstan Motor Torque (Mcm) }=\text { (bearing torque) } \\
& + \text { Mcap } \frac{\text { capstan pulley radius }}{\text { capstan motor pulley radíus }} \\
& + \text { (capstan motor inertial torque) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Mcm}=.169+\left(3.38+11.71 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}\right)\left(\frac{.2187}{.895}\right)+8 \times 10^{-4} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \mathrm{Mcm}=.996+3.66 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \mathrm{Mcm}=2.00=.996+3.66 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \alpha \mathrm{~cm}=\frac{1.004}{3.66 \times 10^{-3}}=274 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2} . \\
& \omega \mathrm{cm}=2 \pi \mathrm{f}=2 \pi(31.25)=196 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec} \\
& \text { Acceleration time }=\frac{\omega}{\alpha}=\frac{196}{274}=.715 \mathrm{sec} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Tension Ratio across the Capstan
Fss/Ftus $=[22.06+1.280(.274)] /[9.97-5.65(.274)]=2.66$
TABLE 2-1. PARAMETERS FOR MECHANICAL TORQUE CALCULATIONS

| Part | Bearing ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  | $\stackrel{A}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{c}{\square}$ | ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | $\stackrel{L_{2}}{\substack{1 \\ 1}}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hadta! } \\ \text { Shrinkge } \\ \text { (N") } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \therefore 0 \end{aligned}$ | Col ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8.axal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Preolona } \\ & \text { (llus) } \end{aligned}$ | 8 Preload ( $\mu$ ") | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preloant } \\ & \text { Tolermanee } \\ & \left(p^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | fTntad ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Effective } \\ \text { Addal } \\ \text { Thruat (ben) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rool Ase. lop | SJB FFWFDB1 0cG-6 SABFFWSDEIOCO-6 | $\begin{array}{ll} 60 \\ 80 \end{array},$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} .5625 \end{array}\right\|$ | $. .5625$ | .73 | ${ }_{-94}^{8125}$ | $\begin{gathered} .3125 \\ .3125 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {, }}^{.53}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .46 \\ & .42 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | 149.3 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline 34.8 \end{array}$ | (1.488 | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{2}^{157} \\ & 215 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 83 \\ & \hline 9 \end{aligned}$ | 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 315 \\ & 3172 \end{aligned}$ | -888 | ${ }_{\text {Losk }}^{409 \mathrm{~K}}$ | . 5618 |
| Tape Cuble | SER2810sswSDBECC-6 | - 5 | .223 | - | . 26 | ${ }^{1325}$ | . 1562 | - | . 1562 | 1.0 | ${ }^{4.6}$ | 21.3 | 2.56 | 17 | 2 | 9 | 75 | 1846 | 6.1 | ${ }^{22 \mathrm{~K}}$ | .0278 |
|  | SR4SW5 DB2ER2CG-6 SRGSW5DF2ER2CG-6 | -40 -40 | $\mid: 392$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3255 \\ & .4375 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\right\|_{.} ^{.375}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} .392 \\ .5624 \end{array}$ | $\left.\right\|_{68} ^{407}$ | $: 4$ | . 407 . | ${ }_{43.3}^{10}$ | $\left.\right\|_{16,5} ^{12.5}$ | 3.38 4.38 4.38 | 54 189 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }_{29}^{159}$ | ${ }_{50}^{50}$ | -3, | ${ }_{6,3}^{1,0 .}$ | ${ }_{4}^{145}$ | ${ }^{.0195}$ |
| IW Hool |  | -40 | .392 | . 4375 | . 5076 | 2.00 | . 2812 | . 4515 | . 73 | . 7 | 72.2 | 13.7 | 4.10 | ${ }^{293}$ | - | 115 | 50 | m | ${ }^{14.5}$ | 102k | . 142 |
|  | SFRosswadascc-8 | -40 | .392 | -4375 | . 5075 | . 0 | . 2832 | .50s | . 79 | 1.01 | 05.3 | 13.3 | 4.17 | 272 | $\checkmark$ | 413 | 50 | \% | 13 | ${ }^{20}$ | . 13 |
| Neg. Supply top | SFRBSSWMDBSCO-6 SFR6SSWSDBJCO- | $\begin{aligned} & -40 \\ & -40 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & .792 \\ & .392 \end{aligned}\right.$ | .4375 <br> 975 | $\begin{aligned} & .5075 \\ & .5075 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline 1.256 \\ 1.02 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{2} 28812$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 75 \\ -45 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{.57}$ | 1.78 | ${ }_{99.9}^{55.0}$ | 13.6 | 4.13 4.01 | 30 | 5 | 415 | (100 |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{18.5 \\ 20.4}]{ }$ | - 206 K | ${ }_{.195} .132$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -40 \\ & -10 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 27735 \\ \hline 2735 \end{array}\right.$ | . 31225 | $\begin{aligned} & .3825 \\ & .3825 \end{aligned}$ |  | . ${ }_{\text {. }}^{292}$ | ${ }_{.378}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }} .4$ | . 475 | 33.8 27.5 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 10.9 \\ & 16.8 \end{aligned}\right.$ | ${ }_{3}^{3.30} 3.38$ | 3114 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }_{158}^{138}$ | 25 | 207 274 | 54.85 | ${ }_{22 \mathrm{~K}}^{38 \mathrm{~K}}$ | ${ }_{.081}$ |
| Irool ourbourd 2 inhoard rear outboar |  | $\begin{aligned} & -40 \\ & -40 \\ & -40 \end{aligned}$ | .323 .323 .323 | $\xrightarrow{.376}$ | .435 .435 .435 | (875 | (2812 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline .315 \\ .316 \\ .315 \\ \hline .315 \end{array}$ | .30 .30 .30 | . 28 .35 .15 | 36.1 3.9 15.8 | 124.3 | ${ }^{3.988} \begin{gathered}\text { 4.01 } \\ 308\end{gathered}$ | 142 16 63 | : | 328 <br> 328 <br> 328 <br> 28 | 25 20 28 | (ins | +11.4 | (13N | . |
| Capetan Motor front rear limboard rear outhoard |  | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \\ & -0 \\ & -10 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & -215 \\ & .323 \\ & .329 \end{aligned}\right.$ | - 23 .375 .375 | $\begin{aligned} & .31 \\ & .435 \\ & .435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .92 \\ & .50 \\ & .875 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .290 \\ & .2812 \\ & .2812 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & -5 \\ & -315 \\ & -315 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & .35 \\ & .30 \\ & .30 \end{aligned}$ | .25 .35 .15 | 0.9 18.8 18.8 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 22.1 \\ 13,3 \end{gathered}\right.$ | - | n 18 18 68 | $2^{2}{ }^{.625}$ | 114 244 247 | $\stackrel{25}{25}$ | 114 200 390 |  |  | . 00018 |
| HW Motor <br> rear outboard rear tnboard frout inhoand \& outhoare | SR4STASDR2ER2CV2CG-6 SR4STASDB2ER2CV2CG-8 shbstajdazerac voch | $\begin{aligned} & -80 \\ & -80 \\ & -80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2735 \\ & -27395 \\ & \hline .392 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3125 \\ & .3525 \\ & .4375 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & . .667 \\ & .867 \\ & .8925 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} .875 \\ .875 \\ .875 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196 \\ & .198 \\ & .2828 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} .22 \\ .82 \\ .52 \end{array}$ | .21 .21 -4 | $\xrightarrow{.22}$ | 4.35 | $\stackrel{16.4}{-}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3} 3$ | $\stackrel{15}{\square}$ | 2 2 2 2 | 158 158 298 | 26 26 50 | 108 183 as | 2.95 2.8 2.82 |  | . 0181 |

[^2]TABLE 2-2. LUBRICATION \& TOTAL SUB-ASSEMBLY TORQUES

2.4.3.3.1.2 High Speed. - In this mode, the "Takeup Reel" is on the supply side of the capstan, and the "Supply Reel" is on the takeup side of the capstan.

## Supply Side

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mtur } & =1 / 2 \mathrm{Md}\left(1+\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}\right)+\text { Mbearing } \\
& =\frac{77.67}{2}(1+.0466+.0134+.0100)+.03 \\
& =41.59 \mathrm{oz}-\mathrm{in} . \\
\text { Ftur } & =\frac{\text { Mtur }+ \text { (inertial torque })}{\text { radius }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{41.59+16(.0079)\left(\frac{.25}{2.66}\right)\left(\frac{.2187}{.895}\right) \alpha \mathrm{cm}}{2.66} \\
& =15.63+1.093 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fss $=$ Ftr + (tape guide force)

$$
\text { Fss }=15.63+1.093 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}+\left(\frac{.069}{.3125}\right)
$$

$$
\text { Fss }=15.85+1.093 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Takeup Side

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Msr}=1 / 2 \mathrm{Md}\left(1+2 \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}-\nu_{3}\right)-\text { Mbearing } \\
& \mathrm{Msr}=\frac{77.67}{2}(1+2(.0466)+.0134-.0100)-.03 \\
& \mathrm{Msr}=42.56 \mathrm{oz} .-\mathrm{in} . \\
& \mathrm{Fsr}=\frac{\mathrm{Msr}-\text { (inertial torque) }}{\text { radius }} \\
& \mathrm{Fsr}=\frac{42.56-16(.0924)\left(\frac{.25}{4.00}\right)\left(\frac{.2187}{.895}\right) \alpha_{\mathrm{cm}}}{4.00}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fsr }=10.64-5.65 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { Ftus }=\text { Fsr }-2 \text { (head loss ratio)(Ftus)-(shoe loss) }-4 \text { (tape guide loss) } \\
& \text { Ftus }=16.64-5.65 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}-2 \text { (.07) Ftus-1.75-4 }\left(\frac{.069}{.3125}\right) \\
& \text { 1.14 Ftus }=8.01-5.65 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { Ftus }=7.03-4.96 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { Mcap }=\text { Mbearing }+(\text { Fss } \sim \text { Ftus })(\text { capstan radius })+(1 \omega \text { wheel torque }) \\
& \text { Mcap }=.227+\left(15.85-7.03+4.96 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}+1.093 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}\right)(.25) \\
& +\left[.314+16\left(1.525 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{10}\right) \alpha \mathrm{cm}\right]\left(\frac{.895}{2.187}\right) \\
& \text { Mcap }=2.56+11.49 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \mathrm{Mcm}=\mathrm{Mbearing}+(\text { capstan motor inertial torque }) \\
& +\operatorname{Mcap}\left(\frac{\text { capstan pulley radius }}{\text { capstan motor pulley radius }}\right) \\
& \mathrm{Mcm}=.169+8 \times 10^{-4} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}+\left(2.56+11.49 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm}\right)\left(\frac{.2187}{.895}\right) \\
& \mathrm{Mcm}=.795+3.61 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \mathrm{Mcm}=4,00=.795+3.61 \times 10^{-3} \alpha \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \alpha \mathrm{~cm}=\frac{3.205}{3.61 \times 10^{-3}}=888 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2}{ }^{2} \\
& \text { Fss/Ftus }=[15.85+(1.093)(.888)] /[7.03-4.96(.888)]=6.40 \\
& \text { Acceleration time }=\frac{\omega}{\alpha}=\frac{4(196)}{888}=.883 \mathrm{sec} .{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Experimental results show that static slip will occur with a tension ratio of about 17.

### 2.5 Status of Life Tests and Present Conclusions

2.5.1 Negator Life Tests. - Fatigue tests of the Negator springs were conducted, using a test fixture which cycled 4 negators simultaneously through 61 turns of each power drum. The cycling rate was 530 full wind-unwind cycles per 24 hour day. Tests were run on 4 springs made of high yield 301 stainless steel, and, subsequently, on 4 springs made of Havar alloy.

The test results for the stainless steel Negators were as follows:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Specimen } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | No. of Test Cycles | Equiv. No. R/PB Cycles | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 46,488 | 11,622 | Complete fracture |
| 2 | 52,719 | 13,180 | Partial failure, test terminated |
| 3 | 21,770 | 5,442 | Complete fracture |
| 4 | 46,488 | 11,622 | Partial fracture |
|  | 49,884 | 12,471 | Complete fracture |

The test results for the Havar Negators were as follows:

| Specimen No. | No. of Test Cycles | Equiv. No. R/PB Cycles | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 30,725 | 7,681 | $3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ crack observed on left edge. |
|  | 34,765 | 8,691 | First crack 1/2" long, 14 cracks on right edge. Test terminated. |
| H2 | 41,275 | 10,319 | Numerous small cracks along one edge. |
|  | 45,772 | 11,443 | Increase in number and size of cracks on one edge. Test terminated. |
| H3 | 41,275 | 10,319 | Numerous small cracks along one edge. |



Both Negator types met the requirements for the present mission by a substantial margin. Because of interest in other applications, where a significant improvement in life may be required, some brief discussion of results may be in order.

The following general observations are made. The stainless steel Negators surpassed the design life estimate by an expected margin, however, the deviation of specimen no. 3 from the group mean is somewhat interesting. The Havar Negators, while suitable for this program, were far from the design life estimate. Here, also, one specimen was well below the group mean value. All fatigue cracks started at the edges, and, in the case of Havar, it is striking that virtually all the many fine cracks formed along only one of two possible edges of each leaf.

The theory is advanced that the slitting operation, which produces $1^{\prime \prime}$ wide strips from the rolled sheet, may be responsible for these effects, by means of two different mechanisms. Firstly, the slitting of hard cold rolled sheet may leave a number of fine surface irregularities along the cut edges: this was confirmed in physical examination of new Havar specimens. These irregularities will act as stress raisers. Ultra high-strength alloys tend to have high notch sensitivity; in the case of Havar, this is believed to be a prominent characteristic.

The second postulated mechanism leading to an earlier failure involves residual stresses left by the slitting. It is assumed that shearing operation will leave some residual stresses which are predominantly tension near one surface and predominately compression near the opposite surface. It is also believed that, for either given surface of the original uncut sheet stock, the newly cut surfaces on opposite sides of the cutting tool will have opposite polarity of residual edge stress. If the slitting of $1^{\prime \prime}$ strips is considered analogous to slicing a loaf of bread, then, each strip will have a residual tension at one edge corner and residual compression at the opposite edge corner (both corners on the same surface).

It is accepted fairly widely that failures start in areas of tensile stress. During Negator functioning only one surface is cycled through large tension amplitudes. Therefore, only one corner out of four will reach the highest absolute tension stress by adding its residual tension to the tension caused by flexure of the leaf.

It is suggested that the foregoing discussion explains the marked preference for one edge of the formation of fatigue cracks in Havar Negators.

Consideration is being given to post-slitting edge treatment, such as rounding the corners and possibly inducing residual compression at the corners. Any evaluation of this would be oriented towards future extended life applications.
2.5.2 Mylar Belt Life Test. - An accelerated life test has been conducted on 2 capstan belts and $3 \mathrm{I} \omega$ belts, simultaneously. The capstan belts were driven by the periphery of a long drum representing the capstan pulley which ran at 3,600 rpm. This is $7.85 \times$ the capstan speed in the Record mode. Each capstan belt passed around a separate idler, representing the motor pulley and having an adjustable position to permit independent tensioning of each capstan belt. The $I \omega$ belts were also driven by the drum, and, in similar fashion, each belt passed around a separate idler, representing the $\mathrm{I} \omega$ pulley, which permitted independent belt tensioning.

The tension of each belt was adjusted so that slippage occurred at a minimum torque corresponding to $5 \mathrm{in} .-\mathrm{oz}$. at the motor shaft. At the speed of the test setup, the equivalent of $4,000 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{W}$ recorder cycles would be completed in 1,135 hours of test time. At the time of this report, 5 times this requirement has been completed without belt failure, loss of torque capacity or re-tensioning of the belts. As a matter of interest, the capstan belts have completed $8.23 \times 10^{8}$ flexural cycles, and the $\mathrm{I} \omega$ belts have completed $3.9 \times 10^{8}$ flexural cycles.
2.5.3 Transmission Life Test. - An accelerated life test was run on a transmission system under simulated load. Because of the complexity of duplicating the continuously changing ratio of the two reel speeds, the test was run with identical speed at the two test "reels" (as in the case at center-of-tape). A schematic of this test set-up is shown in Figure 2-26. The two test "reels" are coupled together by a PIC belt, and, so, are constrained to operate at the same speed. The "Negator shaft", therefore, has no motion, and it provides the convenience of torquing by a weight hanging from a cord wrapped around a drum.

The first test was run with a "reel" speed of 900 rpm . This is $27.3 \times$ the reel speed at center-of-tape in the Record/Playback Mode. There are 4,540 reel revolutions in one Record-Rewind-Playback-Rewind cycle, and, at 900 rpm , these are completed in 5.044 minutes. The test time to complete $4,000 \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{W}$ cycles is 336 hours.

The first test completed 412 test hours, at which time there was a failure of the belt to the "takeup reel". This represents $123 \%$ of the operational cycles required for the mission. It is, nevertheless, less than had been expected based on component derating. It is believed the test components were subjected to some dynamic load, superimposed upon the steady "Negator load". This is based on observing small


Figure 2-26 LIFE TEST SETUP FOR REEL TRANSMISSION
oscillations in the handing weight which provided the torque loading. It is possible that this dynamic load was due to the nature of the test setup. The source of this unknown load is currently under study. It is planned to resume life testing when this question has been resolved.
2.5.4 Life Test of Start-Run Relays. - A life test has been underway to evaluate the Leach, Type $J$, relay in its function as a start-run relay for the headwheel motor. Because of the unique character of the current and voltage being switched, no attempt was made to simulate this in a passive electrical circuit. An actual motor with a flywheel has been sequenced through a start-run-stop cycle once every minute. The motor used is an AT-70 motor operating at 250 rps , driven by $500 \mathrm{~Hz}, 20$ volts peak-to-peak. This motor was used because it permitted the earliest programming of the test, and because it has a current profile and rms value very similar to that of the ERTS headwheel motor, except for the higher electrical frequency. The motor is powered by ERTS motor drive circuitry, which includes 2 Leach relays as part of its normal complement.

The test sequence was as follows:

| Time, Seconds | Action |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | Relays switch to "Start", bridge circuit not <br> energized. |
| 1 | Bridge circuit energized, motor accelerated. |
| $3-4$ | Motor reaches synch speed. |
| 7 | Relay switched to "Run". |
| 20 | Bridge circuit de-energized, motor coasts <br> to stop. |
| $<60$ | Motor at rest. |

The relays were tested initially and at regular intervals during the test by measuring critical parameters in accordance with MIL-R-5757D. These parameters were:
a) Contact resistance with $10 \mathrm{amp} / 6$ volts contact current.
b) Pickup and dropout coil current.
c) Contact bounce with 28 Vdc across the coils.
d) Operate and release times with 28 Vdc across the coils.

The pickup and dropout currents were measured rather than pickup and dropout voltage, because it was felt these would provide a sensitive indication of relay degradation which would be less affected by temperature variations. These measurements have been made at intervals of approximately 4,000 actuate cycles. At the time of this report, 2,100 hours of testing have been completed with no evidence of any relay degradation. This represents 126,000 start-run cycles.
2.5.5 Head/Tape Life Tests. - The initial direction for the ERTS head/tape effort was based largely on experience derived from RCA's DSU Program, which requires similar, transverse-scan recording equipment operating at a head-to-tape speed of 2500 inches/second. This experience had provided an extensive background in the area of magnetic tape where considerable funds have been expended to obtain a tape binder system which would not produce excessive debris products even through a broad operating temperature range $\left(35^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. The tape which was proven to be most successful on the DSU Program was a product developed specifically for the application by the 3M Company. In the configuration required for the DSU Program ( $2^{\prime \prime}$ wide, $0.0075^{\prime \prime}$ Mylar base; coated both sides with magnetic oxide coating $0.0002^{\prime \prime}$
thick), this tape is assigned 3 M Part No. MT-24070 and has been verified in many tests (about 12) for the specified 150 hours of head/tape contact. In the one test conducted to failure with this tape, the equipment performed for about 650 hours with failure resulting due to erosion of the gap material in the video heads.

At the beginning of the ERTS Program, efforts were undertaken with 3 M to obtain a single coated version of this product, and, since many problems had been encountered with the $0.00075^{\prime \prime}$ Mylar base used in MT-24070, a standard $0.00092^{\prime \prime}$ base was selected for the ERTS tape. Finally, based on discussions with 3M technical personnel, a new back coating* was also specified for the ERTS tape. Ten reels of this tape were initially ordered to RCA Specification ERTS-564-2 (Appendix 2A), and the product was eventually assigned 3M Product No. MTA-20237.

When the tape was received, it is subjected to a $100 \%$ visual inspection and all ten reels were rated as being flight quality (vs a $20 \%$ yield for MT-24070). High temperature ( $150^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) tests were next run in a DSU recorder with a sample of the tape to verify that the binder system was adequate. Relative abrasivity and output measurements were also made in a standard broadcast recorder. The output of the ERTS tape measured about 2.5 dB lower than the DSU tape, and the abrasivity during 100 hours averaged about one micro-inch/hour. This wear rate is nearly equivalent to DSU levels and considerably less than the wear rate experienced with most standard video tapes.

In use, the MTA-20237 tape continued to exhibit properties superior to the MT-24070, particularly in transport handling and tape stack. Hence, tests thus far on the ERTS Program have used this tape exclusively, and, so far (over 6000 passes), no limitation in life has been found which could be attributed to the tape.

With this background in the tape area, the next major area of discussion centers on efforts undertaken on the heads and the scanning geometry. It is significant to the discussion at this point to mention that the efforts undertaken in these areas were augmented by a program for an improved DSU recorder based on the ERTS design. Hence, additional MTA-20237 tape was procured ( $100 \%$ yield, 10 rolls) for DSU, and an additional breadboard ( 1580 ips head-to-tape speed) was made available for head/ tape tests. In the discussions which follow, results under both programs will be reported.

Efforts in the head and scanning geometry so far have verified improvements in three areas and have yielded unsuccessful results in one area. The initial improvement which was verified derived from a change in the head gap material from beryllium copper to alumina oxide. The latter material more closely approximates the hardness of the head material, and hence is less prone to the erosion which causes failure at

[^3]650 hours in the DSU test mentioned above. With the new material, no significant erosion of the gap material has been evident, even after the 1700 hour test which is discussed below.

Initial tests employing the new gap material, the new tape and the standard DSU scanning geometry were run at about the same time in the DSU and the ERTS breadboards. The heads in the ERTS unit were constructed of ferrite while those in the DSU unit were of standard alfecon. Both units exhibited pick-up on the rim of the scanning wheel at about 150 hours, and the ERTS unit failed completely (loss of at least one head output) at about 200 hours. The exact cause of the ERTS failure appeared to be due to erosion or cracking of the ferrite in the area of the gap. This failure mode is typical of earlier ferrite panels and the failure, when coupled with the extended life attained subsequently with alfecon, resulted in a de-emphasis of the efforts on ferrite. The panel in the DSU unit did not fail during 350 hours of testing, but a significant increase in drop-out rate resulted from the rim pick-up.

The next set of tests centered on minimizing the build-up of contaminants (glazing) on the rim of the headwheel. Three specific parameters were evaluated; the shoe span width (See Figure 2-27), the wheel diameter and the profile of the land on the wheel rim. Two of these parameters, the shoe span width and the wheel land profile, were modified, respectively, to reduce the overall head-to-tape pressure and to minimize the localize tape pressure on the edges of the wheel land. This configuration was tested initially in the DSU unit (terminated at 1700 hours for other tests) and subsequently in the ERTS breadboard unit (still in operation with 1600 hours as of $5 / 14 / 70$ ). Both tests showed a significant reduction in the tendency toward glaze built-up, but in both units moderate glazing was observed at about 1000 hours. In neither test, however, did the glazing cause any significant change in drop-out count or in the level of the high frequency video response. The wear of the standard alfecon heads during the 1700 hour test amounted to only $0.0004^{\prime \prime}$ (vs $0.002^{\prime \prime}$ of wear to end of head life). Wear in the ERTS unit will not be measured until the test is terminated.

The final test which was conducted as a possibility for the reduction of wheel glazing centered on a short evaluation of a headwheel panel with an undersized wheel. In the standard configuration, the wheel size is arranged so that the wheel land will just brush the tape (size-to-size, $\pm 0.0001^{\prime \prime}$ ), while the heads deflect the tape into the shoe span by nearly 0.003 inches at beginning of life. This arrangement derives from broadcast experience which has shown that the close proximity of wheel-to-tape tends to damp the shock waves created in the tape by the scanning of the heads. This damping action tends to minimize geometric time base errors, especially during the interchange of tapes or headwheel panels. The test conducted for the ERTS program with the undersized wheel (no contact between wheel and tape) showed no measurable change in geometric time base errors. This arrangement is thus a possibility for further evaluation, but additional refinements of the current geometry will be evaluated before any extended testing with an undersized wheel is attempted.


Efforts are now underway to produce a headwheel in which the land profile is generated by scanning abrasive lapping tape in an otherwise normal recorder arrangement. This should produce a wheel land profile which performs with extremely uniform land to tape contact.

Additional efforts are also underway to evaluate the use of a modified alfecon material which has recently been developed by the RCA Laboratories. This material, which has been named Alfecon II, has been subjected to extensive testing by the RCA broadcast recording activity and has demonstrated a wear rate of about $1 / 3$ that of the standard alfecon material. Initial tests with the new material on the ERTS program will center on electrical tests in the Feasibility Model, and, if satisfactory, a new life test will be undertaken in the Breadboard Unit with the new material and the new wheel land profile. The shoe span width ( 0.090 inches) and tape (MTA-20237) which have been proven in previous tests will be maintained during the next life test. Additional procurement and testing of tape, however, will also be undertaken to ensure that repeatable results can be attained.

### 2.6 Structural Considerations

### 2.6.1 Tape Transport

2.6.1.1 Tape Transport Deck Stress. - With its many cut-outs, holes, ribs and loading irregularities, the tape deck is impractical to analize exactly. Because of this, some assumptions were made to get a reasonable estimate of what maximum stress might occur in the plate. These assumptions are listed at the beginning of each topic.

### 2.6.1.1.1 Tape Deck as a Plate

A. Assume Simply Supported Plate
(ridge around perimeter, and being supported in 8 places, makes this assumption seem valid)
B. Assume an Equivalent Uniform Mass Distribution and Stiffness
(Rather even distribution of components, components having their own mounting plates, and most reinforcement ribs in the area of greater loading make this assumption also seem valid)
C. Simply Supported Plate less T-Beam.

The calculations will show that the plate is safe even without considering the support of the T-beam.


VIEW A

## D. Effective Thickness

An effective thickness for an equivalent uniform plate was found, based on the moment of inertia of the deck.

1) View A

There appeared to be about 8, . 12 inch wide by .50 inch high ridges across the plate in this sectional view.

## Neutral Axis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8(.12)(.5)=.48 \mathrm{in} .^{2} \\
& (.125)(19.6)=2.45 \mathrm{in} .^{2} \\
& (.48)(.375)+(.0625)(2.45)=.3331 \mathrm{in} .^{3} \\
& \bar{x}=\frac{.3331}{2.93}=.1136 \mathrm{in} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moment of Inertia

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \frac{1}{12}(19.6)(.125)^{3}+2.45(.1136-.0625)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{12}(.96)(.5)^{3}+.48(.375-.1136)^{2}=5.24 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
t_{\text {eff }}= & 3 \sqrt{12 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{b}}=3 \sqrt{12\left(5.24 \times 10^{-2}\right) / 19.6}=.318 \mathrm{in} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2) View B

There appeared to be about 5, . 12 inch wide by .5 inch high ridges across the plate in this sectional view.

## Neutral Axis

$5(.12)(.5)=.3$ in $^{2}$
$(.125)(12.76)=1.595$ in. ${ }^{2}$
$(.3)(.375)+(.0625)(1.595)=.2122$ in $^{3}$
$\overline{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{.2122}{1.895}=.1120 \mathrm{in}$.

## Moment of Inertia

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} & =\frac{1}{12}(12.76)(.125)^{3}+1.595(.1120-.0625)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{12}(.60)(.5)^{3}+.3(.375-.1120)^{2}=3.29 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{in} .^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
t_{\text {eff }}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{12 \mathrm{I}}{b}}
$$

$$
t_{\mathrm{eff}}=\sqrt[3]{12\left(3.29 \times 10^{2}\right) / 12.76=.314 \mathrm{in}}
$$

2.6.1.1.2 Stress Concentrations. - (Machine Design, Black and Adams, pg 537)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{d}=\frac{.025}{.125}=.2 \quad \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}=\frac{1.0}{.125}=8 \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{SC}}=1.60
\end{aligned}
$$

These curves are probably based on static loading of steel samples, but because no information was obtainable on magnesium, this value was assumed.
2.6.1.1.3 Vibration of the Plate (as a single-degree-of-freedom system)
2.6.1.1.3.1 $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}$ of the Plate (Marks, pg. 5-102)
$\mathrm{f}=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}\right) \quad \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gD}}{\mathrm{dh}}}$
where:
$D=\frac{E t^{3}}{12\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)}$
$\mathbf{h}=\mathrm{t}$
$d=\rho=\frac{P}{\text { tab, equiv. density }}$
$\mathrm{P}=$ Total weight, lb.
Lowest f :
$m=1$,
$\mathrm{n}=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gE} \mathrm{t}^{3} \mathrm{ab}}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \mathrm{P}}} \\
& \mathrm{f}=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{12.76^{2}}+\frac{1}{19.6^{2}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{386\left(6.5 \times 10^{6}\right)(.314)^{3}(12.76)(19.6)}{12\left(1-(.281)^{2}\right)(30.6)}} \\
& \mathrm{f}=104.3 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{n}}=(104.3) 2=655 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.6.1.1.3.2 Vibration of the Plate on Rubber Supports



$$
\mathrm{K}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}}{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{Et}^{3}}{{\alpha \mathrm{~b}^{2}}^{2}} \text { (Roark, Plate Eq. No. 37, pg. 225) }
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =.1673 \text { for } \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1.537 \text { (by interpolation) } \\
\mathrm{K}_{2} & =\frac{\left(6.5 \times 10^{6}\right)(.314)^{3}}{(.1673)(12.76)^{2}}=7,420 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{in} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$M_{2}$ was evaluated such that it gave the proper $\omega$ n for the plate with a concentrated mass at the center of the plate.

$$
M_{2}=\frac{K_{2}}{\omega^{2}}=\frac{7420}{(655)^{2}}=1.731 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\mathrm{lb} .-\mathrm{sec} .{ }^{2}}{\operatorname{in} .}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\frac{W_{\text {total }}}{g}-M_{2}=\frac{30.6}{386}-1.731 \times 10^{-2}=6.20 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\mathrm{lb} .-\mathrm{sec} .^{2}}{\mathrm{in} .} \\
& K_{1}=8 E\left(\frac{A_{1}}{L_{1}}+\frac{A_{2}}{L_{2}}\right)\left(E_{\text {rubber }} \approx 10^{3} \mathrm{psi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rubber Gasket Type 1



Compression area $A_{1}=\pi\left(.25^{2}-.164^{2}\right)=.1117 \mathrm{in} .^{2}$
Thickness $L_{1}=.06 \mathrm{in}$.

## Rubber Gasket Type 2



Compression' area $A_{2}=(.82)(.4)+\frac{150^{\circ}}{360^{\circ}} \pi(.4)^{2}-\pi(.164)^{2}$

$$
=.453 \mathrm{in} .^{2}
$$

Thickness

$$
\mathrm{L}_{2}=.04 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
K_{1}=8\left(10^{3}\right)\left(\frac{.1117}{.06}+\frac{.453}{.04}\right)=10.55 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{mn}
$$

The resonant frequency of a two degree of freedom system is derived from:

$$
\omega^{4}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{2}}\right) \omega^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}}=0
$$

Vibration Theory \& Applications, Thomson, pg. 162.

$$
\omega^{4}-\left[\frac{(10.55+.742)}{6.20}+\frac{.742}{1.731}\right] \times 10^{6} \omega^{2}+\frac{(10.55)(.742)}{(6.20)(1.731)} \times 10^{12}=0
$$

$\omega_{1,2}=627,1363 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathbf{f}_{1,2}=99.7,217 \mathrm{~Hz}$
2.6.1.1.3.3 $Q$ of the Plate System ( $\xi$ 's assumed)
$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}=.25$ (rubber hysteresis)
$\mathrm{Cl}=2 \xi_{1} \sqrt{\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}}=2(.25) \sqrt{(10.55)(6.20) \mathrm{X10}{ }^{2}}=\frac{40.5 \frac{\mathrm{lb} .-\mathrm{sec} .}{\mathrm{in} .}}{}$
$\omega_{\mathrm{n} 1}=\sqrt{\mathrm{K}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{10.55}{6.20} \times 10^{6}}=1305 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}$.
$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}=.08$ (plate hysteresis)
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=2 \xi_{2} \sqrt{\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}}=2(.08) \sqrt{(.742)(1.731) \mathrm{X10}}{ }^{2}=1.811 \frac{\mathrm{lb},-\mathrm{sec} .}{\mathrm{in} .}$
$\omega_{\mathrm{n}_{2}}=655 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}$.

(Harris \& Crede, gs. 6-3 to 6-7)
$M_{2}$ equivalent is the effect of $M_{2}$ on $M_{1}$
$M_{2}$ eq. $=\frac{\left(1-\beta_{a}^{2}\right)+\left(2 \xi_{2} \beta_{a}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\beta_{a}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \xi_{2} \beta_{a}\right)^{2}} \quad M_{2}-\frac{2 \xi_{2} \beta_{a}^{3}}{\left(1-\beta_{a}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \xi_{2} \beta_{a}\right)^{2}} \quad M_{2} j$

1) $Q$ for $\omega=627 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{a}=\frac{\omega}{\omega n 2}=\frac{627}{655}=.9573 \\
& \beta_{a}^{2}=.9163
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2} \text { eq. }=\frac{(.0837)+[.16(.957)]^{2}}{(.0837){ }^{2}+[.16(.957)]^{2}}\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right)-\frac{(.16)(.957)^{2}\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{j}}{(.0837)^{2}+[.16(.957)]^{2}} \\
& M_{2} \text { eq. }=6.10 \times 10^{-2}-7.99 \times 10^{-2} j \\
& \frac{x o}{u}=\frac{\left(M_{1}+M_{2} \text { eq. }\right) \omega^{2}}{-\left(M_{1}+M_{2} \text { eq. }\right) \omega^{2}+C_{1} \omega_{j}+K_{1}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xo}}{\bar{u}}=\frac{(6.20+6.10-7.99 j) \times 10^{-2}(627)^{2}}{-(6.20+6.10-7.99 \mathrm{j}) \times 10^{-2}(627)^{2}+(40.5)(627) \mathrm{j}+10.55 \times 10^{4}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{x} O}{\mathrm{u}}=.1504-.699 \mathrm{j} \\
& \frac{x r}{u}=\frac{M_{2} \omega^{2}}{-M_{2} \omega^{2}+C_{2} \omega_{j}+K_{2}} \\
& \frac{x r}{x 0}=\frac{\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right)(627)^{2}}{-\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right)(627)^{2}+(1.811)(627) j+7420} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{xo}}=2.50-4.66 \mathrm{j} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{u}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{xo}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{xo}}{\mathrm{u}}\right)=(2.50-4.66 \mathrm{j})(.1504-.699 \mathrm{j}) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{u}}=-2.88-2.45 \mathrm{j} \\
& Q=\left|\frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{u}}\right|=\sqrt{2.88^{2}+2.45^{2}}=3.78
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $Q$ for $\omega=1363 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\omega}{\omega \mathrm{n} 2}=\frac{1363}{655}=2.08 \\
& \beta_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}=4.33
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2} \text { eq. }=\frac{(3.33)+[.16(2.08)]^{2}}{(3.33)^{2}+[.16(2.08)]^{2}}\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right)-\frac{.16(2.08)^{3}\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right) j}{(3.33)^{2}+[.16(.208)]^{2}} \\
& M_{2} \text { eq. }=.532 \times 10^{-2}-.216 \times 10^{-2}{ }_{j} \\
& \frac{x o}{u}=\frac{\left(M_{1}+M_{2} \text { eq. }\right) \omega^{2}}{-\left(M_{1}+M_{2} \text { eq. }\right) \omega^{2}+C_{1} \omega_{j}+K_{1}} \\
& \frac{x o}{u}=\frac{(6.20+.532-.216 \mathrm{j}) \times 10^{-2}(1363)^{2}}{-(6.20+.532-.216 \mathrm{j}) \times 10^{-2}(1363)^{2}+(40.5)(1363) \mathrm{j}+10.55 \times 10^{4}} \\
& \frac{x 0}{u}=-.686-1.888 j \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xx}}{\mathrm{xo}}=\frac{M_{2} \omega^{2}}{-\mathrm{M}_{2} \omega^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \omega_{j}+\mathrm{K}_{2}} \\
& \frac{x r}{x 0}=\frac{\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right)(1363)^{2}}{-\left(1.731 \times 10^{-2}\right)(1363)^{2}+(1.811)(1363) j+7420} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{x}}=-1.288-.128 \mathrm{j} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{u}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{xo}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{xo}}{\mathrm{u}}\right)=(-1.288-.128 \mathrm{j})(-.686-1.888 \mathrm{j}) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{u}}=.641+2.52 \mathrm{j} \\
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{xr}}{\mathrm{u}}\right|=\sqrt{.641^{2}+2.52^{2}}=2.59
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3) Plate Stress

For a uniformly loaded plate, the maximum stress can be expressed in terms of the displacement. (Roark, Plate Eq. No. 36, Pg. 225)
$a / b=\frac{19.6}{12.76}=1.537$
$\therefore \alpha=.0863 \mathrm{~B}=.497 \mathrm{by}$ interpolation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma=\frac{B b^{2} W}{t^{2}} \quad W=\frac{\mathrm{Et}^{3} y}{\alpha b^{4}} \\
& \sigma=\frac{.497 b^{2}}{t^{2}}\left(\frac{C}{t}\right)\left(\frac{E t^{3} y}{.0863 b^{4}}\right)=5.76 \frac{E C}{b^{2}} y
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the stress of the plate for the short direction (b). Since the "T" beam has the most extreme fiber, the stress in the long dimension is needed. Timoshensko gives the value of the stress in each direction which allows the stress in the long direction (a) to be found. (Theory of Plates and Shells, Timoshenko, pg. 120).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sigma a}{\sigma b}=\frac{M_{a}}{M_{b}}=\frac{.0496}{.0830}=.597 \text { for } \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1.537 \\
& \therefore \sigma a=.597\left(5.76 \frac{\mathrm{ECy}}{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}\right) \\
& \sigma \mathrm{a}=(.597)(5.76)\left(\frac{6.5 \times 10^{6}(1.25+.112)}{(12.76)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{y} \\
& \sigma \mathrm{a}=1.864 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{y} \\
& \text { for } \omega=627 \mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec} .
\end{aligned}
$$ $\mathrm{u}=\frac{\mathrm{G}}{\omega^{2}}=\frac{10(386)}{(627)^{2}}=9.82 \mathrm{mils}$ $y=x r=\left|\frac{x r}{u}\right| u=(3.78)(9.82)=37.1 \mathrm{mils}$ $\sigma b=\left(1.864 \times 10^{5}\right)\left(37.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)=6.93 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{psi}$

$\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sc}}\right)(\sigma \mathrm{b})=11.1 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{psi}$
Fatigue Stress of AZ $31 \mathrm{~B}-0$ at 100,000 cycles is 20 K psi .
The factor of safety is $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$.

This analysis is believed to be a pessimistic estimate since there are two areas of simplification which tend to elevate the calculated stresses.

The " T " beam was neglected for any additional strengthening effect although consideration of its extreme fiber stress was not neglected. The " T " beam will make the plate capable of supporting more weight, and will also increase its natural frequency which will make the amplitude of the input displacement (u) at its natural frequency smaller.

It is hoped there will be some additional damping due to the various mechanical components on the deck. A more accurate assessment will be made after an instrumented vibration survey has been completed.
2.6.1.2 Bearing Loads. - In this section, the loads on the various transport bearings are analyzed and compared with the vendor stated capability. The loads considered are the steady forces due to tension of the tape and belts, plus peak inertia forces which occur during prototype qualification testing. For this latter component, a resonant amplification of 5 is assumed to be present during the 10 g peak sinusoidal sweep.
2.6.1.2.1 Guide

Radial


From equations of static equilibrium:
For 10 g and Q of $1, \mathrm{Z}_{1}=10$
For 10 g and Q of $5, \mathrm{Z}_{2}=50$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{1}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{Z}_{1} W_{1}\right)(\ell-\mathrm{a})}{\ell}=.75 \# \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{2}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{Z}_{1} W_{1}\right) \mathrm{a}_{1}}{\ell}=.75 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Thrust

$$
\mathrm{F}_{3}=\mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}=2.5 \#
$$

Capability
Bearing 1810 capable of:
Radial - 27\#

Thrust - 56\#

### 2.6.1.2.2 Reel

Radial
$P_{1}=5.05 \mathrm{LBS}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\frac{1}{\ell}\left[\left(P_{1}+Z_{1} W_{1}\right)\left(\ell+a_{1}\right)+\left(Z_{1} W_{2}\right)\left(\ell-a_{2}\right)\right]=22.9 \# \\
& F_{2}=\frac{1}{\ell}\left[Z_{1} W_{2} a_{2}-\left(Z_{1} W_{1}+P_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}\right)\right]=14.15 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Thrust

$$
\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{2}=(3)(50)=150 \#
$$

Capability
Bearing R8 capable of
Radial - 508\#
Thrust - 900\#

### 2.6.1.2.3 Headwheel

Radial


Thrust

$$
\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{1}=(.6)(10)=6.0 \#
$$

Capability
Pair R6 bearings capable of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Radial - 334\# } \\
& \text { Thrust - } 287 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Pair R4 bearings capable of
Radial - 140\#
Thrust - 132\#


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{1}=\frac{1}{\ell}\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{2}\right)\left(+\ell \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{2}+\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\ell-\mathrm{a}_{2}\right)\right]=6.8 \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{2}=\frac{1}{\ell}\left[\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{2}+\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\right]=.75 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Thrust

$$
\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{2}=(.5)(50)=150 \#
$$

## Capability

Bearing pair R6 capable of
Radial - 334\# each
Thrust - 273\# each
Bearing pair R4 capable of
Radial - 140\# each
Thrust - 125\# each

### 2.6.1.2.5 Capstan Motor

Radial


$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\frac{Z_{1} W_{1}\left(\ell+a_{1}\right)+Z_{1} W_{2} a_{2}-\left(P_{3}+Z_{1} W_{3}\right)\left(a_{3}\right)}{\ell}=.46 \# \\
& F_{2}=\frac{Z_{1} W_{2}\left(\ell-a_{2}\right)+\left(P_{3}+Z_{1} W_{3}\right)\left(\ell+a_{3}\right)-Z_{1} W_{1} a_{1}}{\ell}=5.31 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Thrust

$$
\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{2}=(.35)(50)=17.5^{\#}
$$

Capability
Bearing R3 capable of
Radial - 60\#
Thrust - 105\#

Pair of R4A capable of
Radial - 228\#
Thrust - 210\#
Radial


$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\frac{Z_{1} W_{1}\left(\ell-a_{1}\right)+P_{2}\left(\ell-a_{2}\right)+P_{3}\left(\ell-a_{3}\right)}{\ell}=4.47 \# \\
& F_{2}=\frac{\left(Z_{1} W_{1} a_{1}\right)+\left(P_{2} a_{2}\right)+\left(P_{3} a_{3}\right)}{\ell}=5.55 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Thrust

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{3}=1 \# \\
& F_{3} Z_{2}=(1)(50)=50 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Capability
Bearing pair capable of
Radial - 140\#
Thrust - 125\#

### 2.6.1.2.7 Headwheel Iw

## Radial



$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=.4 \\
& F_{1} Z_{2}=(.4)(50)=20.0 \# \\
& F_{2}=.4 \\
& F_{2} Z_{2}=(.4)(50)=20.0 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Thrust

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{3}=1.00 \# \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{1}=(1)(10)=10 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Capability
Bearing R4A capable of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Radial - 114\# each } \\
& \text { Thrust - 192\# each }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.6.1.2.8 Reel Iw

Radial


$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\frac{\left(P_{1}+Z_{1} W_{1}\right)\left(\ell-a_{1}\right)}{\ell}=15.5 \# \\
& F_{2}=\frac{\left(P_{1}+Z_{1} W_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}\right)}{\ell}=3.03 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

Thrust

$$
\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{2}=(2)(50)-100 \#
$$

Capability
Bearing R6 capable of
Radial - 167\#
Thrust - 273\#

### 2.6.1.2.9 Negator Drum

Radial

$$
F_{1}=\frac{W_{1}\left(\ell-a_{1}\right)}{\ell}=1.54 \#
$$

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{W}_{1} \mathrm{a}_{1}}{\ell}=1.46 \#
$$

$$
F_{2} Z_{1}=(1.46)(10)-14.6 \#
$$

Thrust

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{3}=3.0 \\
& F_{3} Z_{2}=(3)(50)=150
\end{aligned}
$$

Capability
Bearing R6 capable of
Radial - 167\#
Thrust - 273\#

### 2.6.2 Pressurized Enclosure

### 2.6.2.1 Stress Analysis

### 2.6.2.1.1 Structural Configuration



The enclosure is considered as a pressure vessel whose nominal dimensions are shown above. The highest pressure loads occur on the upper and lower walls, and consideration of their strength, stiffness, and weight has more or less controlled the structural configuration. To minimize the weight of these walls, the general approach has been to provide each wall with two thin load carrying surfaces, separated by a series of spacers, or webs. The two thin surfaces will develop the flexural stresses of a plate under a pressure load, analagous to the action of the flanges in an I-beam.

Two types of construction were considered: In the first type, the full enclosure outer shell would be machined from a solid block, with the webs integral with the upper and lower skins. An inner sheet would then be bolted at many points (46, each, top and bottom walls) to serve as the second stressed surface. In the second type of construetion, shown in Figure 2-28, the total enclosure is machined from a solid block, with integral webs and flanges on the upper and lower skins.

In a comparative evaluation of the two types, it was found that the respective weights were close, with, perhaps, a small advantage in the bolted construction. The final judgement, however, was based upon the bolting concept itself. The validity of the stress analysis depends on the assumption that the clamped surfaces at the bolted junctions do not shift from their unloaded locations when load is applied. While the proposed fastened joint was designed to insure against this very situation, it was felt that it would be desirable to eliminate this consideration altogether.

Figure 2-28. Integral Design Constructional Sketch ERTS Enclosure Second Revision

Further, tradeoffs were made in comparing the use of aluminum versus magnesium. In the design of the upper and lower walls, aluminum would have a competitive weight, but only if the outer skin thickness were of the order $.045^{\prime \prime}$ to $.050^{\prime \prime}$, compared to $.080^{\prime \prime}$ for magnesium. Since the skin is formed by machining away most of a 3. $7^{\prime \prime}$ thick plate, magnesium is preferred for a practical machining operation. In the case of the side walls and end walls, which are solid thin plates, for equal margins of safety in stress, the weight of aluminum is 1.05 greater than magnesium, and the stiffness of aluminum is 0.53 less than that of magnesium. The final material chosen for the enclosure is a ZK60A magnesium alloy hand forging. The magnesium will be in the T5 condition before machining, and will be treated to the T6 condition after all rough machining. The strength properties of this alloy are somewhat directional, relative to the direction of metal flow during forming, and the lowest value is the compressive yield stress in the transverse direction. Based upon references 1 and 2, as well as private communication with the Dow Chemical Company, this value is taken as 20,000 psi for the T6 condition.

### 2.6.2.1.2 Outline of Stress Analusis Procedure

(1) The upper and lower walls are considered to be joined to the side walls and analyzed as a two-dimensional problem for maximum side wall stress and maximum transverse "large plate stress" in the upper and lower walls.
(2) The longitudinal center-line deflection of the upper and lower walls are calculated as anisotropic plates with simply supported edges, for various longtudinal cross sections. The minimum longitudinal cross section is chosen by stress values based upon curvature of the deflection curve.
(3) The "small plate stress" is calculated for the upper and lower skin, considered as small plates bounded adjacent stiffener ribs.
(4) The end walls are analyzed by considering them joined to the much stiffer upper and lower walls. This is analyzed as a two-dimensional problem with the condition of the upper and lower walls having the values of deflection and slope at outboard ribs, as developed in (3) above.
(5) Summary of maximum stress values.
2.6.2.1.3 Upper, Lower and Side Walls, as a Two-Dimensional Problem


For the above rectangular flexure the boundary conditions are: the end moments and slopes are identical for both vertical and horizontal beams.

For a beam with uniform loading, $\alpha$, and simply supported ends:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{5}{384} \frac{\alpha \mathrm{~L}^{4}}{\mathrm{E} 1} \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1}=\frac{1}{24} \frac{\alpha \mathrm{~L}^{3}}{\mathrm{E} 1} \quad \text { (Ref. 5, pg. 106) }
\end{aligned}
$$

For a beam with end moments, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y^{11}=\frac{M_{o}}{E 1} \\
& Y^{1}=\frac{M_{0}}{E 1} X+C_{1} \\
& Y=1 / 2 \frac{M_{0}}{E 1} X^{2}+C_{1} X+C_{2} \\
& Y(0)=0 \rightarrow C_{2}=0 \\
& Y(L)=0 \quad C_{1}=-1 / 2 \frac{M_{0} L}{E 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{~L} / 2)=-\frac{1}{8} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{E} 1} \mathrm{~L} \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1}=\mathrm{Y}^{1}(0)=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{EI}} \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the two loadings:
(1) Net tip slope, $\theta_{1}=\frac{1}{24} \frac{\alpha L^{3}}{\mathbf{E}_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{0} L}{\mathbf{E}_{1}}$
(2) Net center deflection, $\delta_{1}=\frac{5}{384} \frac{\alpha L^{4}}{\mathrm{E}_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{E}_{1}}$
(3) Moment at ends of beam $=-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$
(4) Moment at center of beam $=\frac{1}{8} \alpha L^{2}-M_{o}$

Imposing the condition of identical tip slopes for horizontal and vertical beams:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=-\theta_{2} \\
& \frac{1}{24} \frac{\alpha L_{1}^{3}}{E l_{1}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{o} L_{1}}{E l_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{o} L_{2}}{E l_{2}}-\frac{1}{24} \frac{\alpha L_{2}^{3}}{E l_{2}} \\
& \frac{1}{2} M_{o}\left(\frac{L_{1}}{I_{1}}+\frac{L_{2}}{I_{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{24} \alpha\left(\frac{L_{1}^{3}}{I_{1}}+\frac{L_{2}^{3}}{I_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and
(5) $M_{0}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha L_{1}^{2} \frac{\left(\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{I}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}\right)^{3}}{\left(\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{I}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}\right)}$

For plates, the ratio $\left(I_{2} / L_{1}\right)$ is replaced by $\left(D_{2} / D_{1}\right)$ where $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are the flexural regidities of the respective two plates. The effective flexural rigidity of the
upper and lower plates is calculated below, after establishing the effective flexural width of the outer skin and the stiffener flanges.


For sheet-and-stiffener construction the sheet acts as a flange, referred to the nominal neutral axis, but with an effective width less than nominal.
$\left(b^{1} / b\right)$ is a function of the ratio $L / b$ where $L$ is the beam length; it is also dependent on the type of beam loading and end supports. The closest available analysis if for a simply supported beam with a uniform load. The values of ( $\mathbf{b}^{1} / \mathrm{b}$ ) plotted in Figure 2-29, were taken from Ref. 5, pg. 136.

Transverse Stiffness of Upper Wall



Figure 2-29. EFFECTIVE WIDTH OF FLANGE (ROARK, P. 138, CASE 13) FOR BEAMS WITH VERY WIDE FLANGES

## Area

Distance of
C. G. from Top

Area Moment about Top
. 080 Skin

$$
2.834 \times .080=.226
$$

$$
.040
$$

$$
.00904
$$

Web $\quad .560 \times .062=.0347$
Flange

$$
\begin{aligned}
1.3 \times .120 & =\frac{.156}{\mathrm{~A}}=\frac{.4167}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

.360
. 01249
. 700
$M=\frac{.1090}{.1305}$

$$
B=\frac{.1305}{.4167}=.3132^{\prime \prime}
$$

## Skin

Distance of its center from centroid $=.3132-.040=.2732$
$I$ about centroid $=.226(.2732)^{2}=.01687 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
Effective width of $\operatorname{skin}$ for $\frac{L}{b}=\frac{13}{2.834}=4.58$ is $\frac{b^{1}}{b}=.923$
Effective $I$ of skin $=.923 \times .01687=.01557$ in $^{4}$

## Web

I about its own center $=\frac{.062}{12}(.56)^{3}=.0000906$
I about centroid $\quad=.0347(.045)^{2}=.00007 \quad .0001606$ total for web
Flange
I about centroid $=.156(.387)^{2}=.0233 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
Effective width of flange for $\frac{L}{b}=\frac{13}{1.3}=10$ is $\frac{b^{1}}{b}=.985$
Effective I $=.985 \times .0233=.02295$ in $^{4}$
$\Sigma \mathrm{I}=.01557+.0016+.02295=.03868 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
I per inch $=\frac{.03868}{2.834}=.01365$ in $^{3}$
$D_{1}=E \times 1 /$ inch $=6.5 \times 10^{6} \times .01365=88,725 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{in}$.

Extreme Fibre distance of Flange $=.760-.3132=.4468^{\prime \prime}$
Extreme Fibre distance of Skin $=$ B $=.3132^{\prime \prime}$
Transverse Stiffness of Side Walls

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}= & \frac{\mathrm{Et}^{3}}{12}\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \text { for } .160^{\prime \prime} \text { thick wall } \\
\mathrm{D}_{1}= & \frac{6.5 \times 10^{6} \times(.160)^{3}}{12\left(1-.35^{2}\right)}=2426 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{in} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Transverse Stresses, Center of Enclosure


Take effective height of side wall as total distance between stiffener flanges.
$\frac{\mathrm{I}_{2}}{\mathrm{I}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{D}_{2}}{\mathrm{D}_{1}}=\frac{2426}{88,725}=.0273$
$\frac{\mathrm{L}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}_{1}}=\frac{4.658}{13}=.3583$
Using equation (5) and taking $\alpha=17 \mathrm{psi}$ pressure,
$M_{o}=\frac{17(13)^{2}}{12} \times \frac{.0273+(.3583)^{3}}{.0273+.3583}=45.48 \mathrm{in} .1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{in}$.
from equation (4).
Moment at center of side wall $=\frac{17}{8}(4.658)^{2}-M_{0}=46.11-45.48$ $=0.63 \mathrm{in} .1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{in}$.
Moment at center of top wall $=\frac{17}{8}(13)^{2}-M_{o}=359.12-45.48$

$$
=313.64 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{in} .
$$

Maximum side wall stress $=\frac{6 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{t}^{2}}=\frac{6(45.48)}{(.160)^{2}}=10,660 \mathrm{psi}$
Maximum transverse "large Plate stresses" in upper and lower walls are:
Max Flange Stress $=\frac{313.64 \mathrm{x} .4468}{.01365}=10,232 \mathrm{psi}$
Max Skin Stress $=\frac{313.64 \times .3122}{.01365}=7,149 \mathrm{psi}$ (large plate stress, only)
Deflection of upper wall as a two-dimensional problem, from equation (2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=\frac{5}{384} \times \frac{(17)(13)^{4}}{88,725}-\frac{1}{8} \times \frac{(45.48)(13)}{88,725} \\
& \delta_{1}=.0712-.00083=.0704^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note, that $M_{o}$ has a negligible effect on the deflection of the upper wall. It is only $1.16 \%$ less than that for a simply supported upper wall (i.e., $M_{o}=0$ ). This will servo to justify the deflection analysis, further on, of the upper wall, considered as a simply supported plate.

The above stress calculations are reasonable, slightly pessimistic estimates of the transverse "large plate stress" in the upper, lower and side walls. To estimate the longitudinal stresses in the upper and lower walls and the effects in the end walls, the analysis can no longer be considered a two-dimensional problem. An estimate of the longitudinal deflection curve of the upper and lower walls is now required.
2.6.2.1.4 Deflection of the Upper Wall as an Anisotropic Plate

(6) $D_{X} \frac{\partial 4}{} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{4}}+2 H \frac{\partial 4}{} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{2} \partial Y^{2}}+D_{Y} \frac{\partial 4}{} \frac{w}{\partial Y^{4}}=$
(Ref. 4, Pg. 365)
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{w} & =\text { deflection of the plate at any point } \\
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}} & =\text { flexural rigidity in bending in the } \underline{\mathrm{x}} \text { direction } \\
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} & =\text { flexural rigidity in bending in the } \underline{\mathrm{Y}} \text { direction } \\
\mathrm{H}= & \mathrm{D}_{1}+2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{xy}} \\
\mathrm{D}_{1} & =\text { cross-coupling rigidity, due to the Poisson's Ratio effect }\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}=\nu \mathrm{D}\right. \text { for } \\
& \text { an isotropic plate) } \\
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{xy}} & =\text { rigidity in a twisting mode } \\
\alpha= & \text { the normal pressure }
\end{aligned}
$$

For a rectangular plate, simply supported at all edges, and uniformly loaded with pressure $\alpha_{0}$, the solution is the double trigonometric series.
(7) $w=\sum_{m=1,3,5}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1,3,5}^{\infty} a_{m n} \sin \frac{m \pi X}{a} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{b}$
where:
(8) $a_{m n}=\frac{16 \alpha_{o}}{\pi^{6}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mn}\left(\frac{m^{4}}{a^{4}} D_{X}+2 \frac{m^{2} n^{2}}{a^{2} b^{2}} H+\frac{n^{4}}{b^{4}} D_{Y}\right)}$

For a plate which obtains most of its stiffness from a series of ribs, the crosscoupling rigidity, $D_{1}$, can be taken as zero. Now, $\underline{H}$ can be rewritten as:
(9) $\mathrm{H}=2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{1}+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{~b}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{2}}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}}$
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{1} & =\text { twisting rigidity of the plate without the ribs }\left(=\frac{\mathrm{Gh}^{3}}{12}\right) \\
\mathrm{C}_{1} & =\text { torsional stiffness of a single rib in the } \mathrm{x} \text { direction } \\
\mathrm{b}_{1} & =\text { spacing of ribs in the } \mathrm{x} \text { direction } \\
\mathrm{C}_{2} & =\text { topsional stiffness of a single rib in the } \mathrm{Y} \text { direction } \\
\mathrm{b}_{2} & =\text { spacing of ribs in the } \mathrm{Y} \text { direction } \\
\mathrm{G} & =\text { modulus of rigidity }\left(=2.4 \times 10^{6} \text { for magnesium }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



For the T-section stiffener, at left, the torsional stiffness is:
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{KG}$
where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\mathrm{fe}^{3}+\mathrm{gd}^{3}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last expression is a simplification of Roark's expression (ref. 5, pg. 198), from which secondary effect terms have been dropped.

Using equations (7) and (8) deflection curves of the $20^{\prime \prime}$ center line were obtained by computer for 3 longitudinal ribs, $3.25^{\prime \prime}$ apart. Solutions were obtained for 3 different longitudinal flange widths: $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}$ and $1-3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$. A solution was also obtained for the case of no longitudinal ribs to serve as reference, and also to show the need for some longitudinal stiffness. The final calculated rigidity parameters used in the four computer solutions were as follows:

| Flange Width of <br> Longitudinal Stiffener, in. | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ <br> lb. -in. | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{X}}$ <br> lb. -in. | H <br> lb. -in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 88,725 | 277.3 | 856 |
| .500 | 88,725 | 38,524 | 1073 |
| 1.000 | 88,725 | 66,939 | 1232 |
| 1.375 | 88,725 | 83,896 | 1372 |

The center line deflection curves for the four cases are plotted in Figure 2-30.
The values of the curvature of the deflection curves were also obtained by computer in order to calculate the longitudinal bending stresses in the upper wall. Sample computer runoff sheets for the deflection and curvature with $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ flanges are shown on the following pages.

The $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ wide flange is the one selected for the actual design. Its maximum center-line curvature is . 00198, located $3.8^{\prime \prime}$ from either end.


Figure 2-30. CENTER LINE DEFLECTION OF ANISOTROPIC PLATE

The longitudinal flexure stresses are obtained by combining the two basic beam equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{El}} \\
& \mathrm{~S}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{I}}
\end{aligned}
$$

leading to:
(11) $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime}} \times \mathrm{XC}$

For three $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ longitudinal flanges, the calculated neutral axis was 0.1374 from the top surface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Outer skin "large plate stress" } & =\left(6.5 \times 10^{6}\right)(.00198)(.1374) \\
& =1766 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
\text { Flange Stress } & =\left(6.5 \times 10^{6}\right)(.00198)(.760-.1374) \\
& =8000 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.6.2.1.5 "Small Plate Stress". - The outer skin stresses previously calculated were essentially pure tension stresses, due to the skin acting as a flange of a web-and-flange section, $0.76^{\prime \prime}$ deep. The outer skin, however, is also a series of .080" thick panels between the stiffener ribs, and it will experience flexure stresses as a consequence of this.

These "small plate stresses" must be superimposed on the "large plate stresses".

The distance between the ribs along the $20^{\prime \prime}$ dimension is $2.86^{\prime \prime}$ and the distance between ribs in the $13^{\prime \prime}$ dimension is $2.86^{\prime \prime}$. Analyzing the small plates, as clamped on all edges:

$$
b / a=\frac{3.25}{2.86}=1.135
$$

From Ref. 4, pg. 202 for $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}=1.1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \max .=.0581 \alpha \mathrm{a}^{2} \text { (longitudinal direction) } \\
& \left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)_{\max }=.0538 \alpha \mathrm{a}^{2} \text { (transverse direction) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{x}\right) \max . & =.0581(17)(2.86)^{2}=8.07 \mathrm{in} .1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{in} . \\
S & =\frac{6(8.07)}{(.080)^{2}}=7580 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transverse "Small Plate Stress"

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \max & =.0538(17)(2.86)^{2}=7.47 \mathrm{in} .1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{in} \\
\mathrm{~S} & =\frac{6(7.47)}{(.080)^{2}}=7019 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.6.2.1.6 Bending Moments in End Walls. - The end walls will be analyzed as a two-dimensional problem, similarly to that done for the side wall. In this analysis, however, the upper wall will be assumed to have a deflection curve between its outermost ribs identical to that previously calculated for a simply supported anisotropic plate.


The end wall is considered as a vertical beam joined to two horizontal beams, of length, $L_{1}$, equal to the distance from the ends to the outermost ribs. $\delta_{1}$ and $\theta_{1}$, the tip deflection and slope, are equal to those of the upper wall center line at the outermost ribs.

Horizontal Beams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E l_{1} Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=P\left(L_{1}-x\right)-M_{o} \\
& E l_{1} Y_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{PL}_{1}-M_{o}\right) x-1 / 2 P^{2}+E l_{1} \theta_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

(12) $\mathrm{El}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{PL}_{1}-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{x}^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{6} \mathrm{Px}^{3}+\mathrm{El}_{1} \theta_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}$
(13) Max. $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\frac{1}{E \mathrm{~L}_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{PL}_{1}{ }^{3}-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}} \frac{\mathrm{L}_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\theta_{0} \mathrm{~L}_{1}$

## Vertical Beam

$\mathrm{Y}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathrm{El}_{2}} \mathrm{M}_{12}$
$\mathrm{Y}_{2}{ }_{2}=\frac{1}{E 1_{2}} \mathrm{M}_{12} \mathrm{x}+\theta_{\mathrm{o}}$
$\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{12}}{\mathrm{El} 1_{2}} \mathrm{x}^{2}+\theta_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{Y}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{12}}{\mathrm{El}_{2}} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}-\theta_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{L}_{2}=0$
(14) $\theta_{\mathrm{o}}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{12} \mathrm{~L}_{2}}{\mathrm{El}_{2}}$

Using the boundary condition $\mathrm{Y}_{1}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{~L})=\theta_{1}$ and equation (14)

$$
\frac{1}{E l_{1}}\left[P\left(L_{1}{ }^{2}-\frac{1}{2} L_{1}{ }^{2}\right)-M_{o} L_{1}\right]-\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{12} L_{2}}{E l_{2}}=\theta_{1}
$$

and, defining $R=\left(\frac{\mathrm{El}_{2}}{\mathrm{El}_{1}}\right)$
(15) $\mathrm{PL}_{1}{ }^{2}=2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{L}_{1}+2 \mathrm{El}_{1} \theta_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{L}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M}_{12}$ using the boundary condition

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)=\delta_{1}
$$

(16) $\mathrm{PL}_{1}{ }^{2}={ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{L}_{1}+3 \mathrm{El}_{1}\left(\delta_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{12} \mathrm{~L}_{2}}{\mathrm{El}_{2}}\right)$
from the summation of moments on the horizontal beam.
(17) $\mathrm{PL}_{1}=\mathrm{M}_{12}+\mathrm{M}_{2}$

Solving (15), (16) and (17) simultaneously, and introducing the term
$Q=\frac{\mathrm{EL}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}}{\mathrm{E}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}$
(18) $\quad M_{12}=\frac{\mathrm{El}_{1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}} \times \frac{6 \delta_{1}-2 \theta_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{1+2 \mathrm{Q}}$
(19) $\quad M_{o}=6 \mathrm{El}_{1} \frac{\delta_{1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{1}^{2}}\left[\frac{1+\mathrm{Q}}{1+2 Q}-\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \frac{\theta_{1}}{\delta_{1}}\left(\frac{2+3 Q}{1+2 Q}\right)\right]$

Stresses in the End Walls. - The total moment acting on the end wall is obtained by the super-position of the effects of $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ and the pressure load $\alpha$.


The parameter $Q$ is evaluated with the respective values of plate flexural rigidity instead of the beam (El)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\frac{D_{1} L_{2}}{D_{2} L_{1}} \\
& Q=\frac{88725 \times 4.658}{2426 \times 2.858}=59.6
\end{aligned}
$$

From the computer solution of the uper wall deflection curve for $x=2.858 \approx 2.8^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\delta_{1}=.03964
$$

and by incremental calculation:

$$
\theta_{1}=\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta x}=\frac{.00246}{.2}=.0123
$$

From Equation (18),

$$
M_{12}=\frac{88725}{(2.858)^{2}} \times \frac{6(.03964)-2(.0123)(2.858)}{1+2(59.6)}=15.12 \mathrm{in} .1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{in} .
$$

The end plate flexure stress due to $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ is:

$$
S_{12}=\frac{6 \mathrm{M}_{12}}{\mathrm{t}^{2}}=\frac{6 \times 15.12}{(.160)^{2}}=3544 \mathrm{psi}
$$

The maximum stress in the end wall, considered as a plate clamped on all edges, with a uniform pressure load, occurs at the edges of the plate.

$$
\text { for } b / a=\frac{13}{4.658}=2.79
$$

Reference 4, pg. 202 shows negligible differences of moments and deflections for clamped plates with $b / a=2$ and $b / a=\infty$. The maximum moment due to pressure,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathrm{Mp})_{\max }=.0833 \alpha \dot{\mathrm{a}}^{2} \\
& (\mathrm{Mp}) \max .=.0833(17)(4.658)^{2}=30.73 \mathrm{in} .1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{in} . \\
& \text { Max. } \mathrm{Sp}=6(30.73)=7202 \mathrm{psi} \tag{.160}
\end{align*}
$$

At the edges of the end wall, this stress is additive to that caused by $\mathrm{M}_{12}$, and the maximum end wall stress is:

$$
S=3544+7202=10,746 \mathrm{psi}
$$

### 2.6.2.1.7 Summary of Maximum Stress Values

Top Wall-Outer Skin

| Transverse "Large Plate Stress" | $7,149 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Transverse "Small Plate Stress" | $\mathbf{7 , 0 1 9} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |
| Total Transverse Stress | $14,168 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Longitudinal "Large Plate Stress" | $1,766 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Longitudinal "Small Plate Stress" | $7,580 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Total Longitudinal Stress | $9,346 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Stiffener Flanges |  |
| Transverse Stress | $10,232 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Longitudinal Stress | $8,000 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Side Wall Stress | $10,660 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| End Wall Stress | $10,746 \mathrm{psi}$ |

2,6.2,1.8 Bibliography

1. MIL-HDBK -5A
2. Aerospace Structural Metals Handbook (AFML-TR-68-115)
3. "Magnesium Design", The Dow Chemical Company
4. "Theory of Plates and Shells", S. Timoshenko and S. Woinowsky-Krieger
5. "Formulas for Stress and Strain", R.J. Roark, 4th Edition

|  | 2.0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5=$ | 13 |  |
| D1 $=$ | 38524.37 |  |
| D2 = | 88725 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}=$ | 1072.746 |  |
| $G=$ | 9.137377 |  |
| $\mu(\theta)=$ | 0 |  |
| $W(1)=$ | 3.065936E-63 |  |
| $w(2)=$ | $6.123524 E-23$ |  |
| $W(3)=$ | $9.1645615-33$ |  |
| $W(4)=$ | 9.012181 |  |
| $W(5)=$ | 7. 015156 | 1" Longirudinal Flange |
| $W(6)=$ | 9.918111 | $\frac{1}{2}$ Longiuninal Flange |
| $W(7)=$ | 0.02.1612 |  |
| $W(8)=$ | 9. 0238861 |  |
| $W(9)=$ | 9.026653 | Deflection at 0.2"Intervals |
| $W(10)=$ | 0. 229335 |  |
| $W(11)=$ | 0.932053 |  |
| $W(12)=$ | 0. 034652 |  |
| $W(13)=$ | 9.037181 |  |
| $W(14)=$ | 0.639637 | , |
| $W(15)=$ | 0.042019 |  |
| $w(16)=$ | 0.744324 |  |
| $W(17)=$ | 0.046553 |  |
| $W(18)=$ | 0.048783 |  |
| $W(19)=$ | 9.050774 |  |
| $w(20)=$ | 0.052757 |  |
| $W(21)=$ | Ø. 054680 |  |
| $W(22)=$ | 0.956513 |  |
| $w(23)=$ | 0.958268 |  |
| $W(24)=$ | 0.059944 |  |
| $W(25)=$ | 0.06154 ? |  |
| $W(26)=$ | 0.063262 |  |
| $w(27)=$ | 0.064507 |  |
| $w(28)=$ | 9.965877 |  |
| $W(29)=$ | 0.067173 |  |
| $W(30)=$ | 0.068395 |  |
| W(31) = | 0. 969549 |  |
| $W(32)=$ | 0.079532 |  |
| $W(33)=$ | 0.971648 |  |
| $W(34)=$ | 0.072597 |  |
| $W(35)=$ | 0. 073480 |  |
| $W(36)=$ | 0.074300 |  |
| $W(37)=$ | 9.075057 |  |
| $1.1(38)=$ | 0.075753 |  |
| $w(39)=$ | @. 076388 |  |
| $W(46)=$ | 0.076964 |  |
| W841) = | 0.077482 |  |
| $W(42)=$ | 0.077942 |  |
| $W(43)=$ | 0.078346 |  |
| $W(44)=$ | 0.078693 |  |
| $W(45)=$ | 0.078986 |  |
| $W(46)=$ | 0.079225 |  |
| $W(47)=$ | 0.079419 |  |
| $W(48)=$ | 0.079541 |  |
| $W(49)=$ | 0.079620 |  |
| $W(50)=$ | 0.079647 |  |

$0(6)=$
$0(7)=$
$0(8)=$
$0(9)=$
$0(10)=$
$0(11)=$
$0(12)=$
$0(13)=$
$0(14)=$
$0(15)=$
$0(16)^{\prime}=$
$0(17)=$
$0(18)=$
$0(19)=$
$0(20)=$
$0(21)=$
$0(22)=$
$0(23)=$
$0(24)=$
$0(25)=$
$0(26)=$
$0(27)=$
$0(28)=$
$0(29)=$
$0(30)=$
$0(31)=$
$0(32)=$
$0(33)=$
$0(34):$
$0(35)=$
$0(36)=$
$0(37)=$
$0(38)=$
$0(39)=$
$0(4 B)=$
$0(41)=$
$0(42)=$
$0(43)=$
$0(44)=$
$0(45)=1$
$0(46)=$
$0(47)=$
$0(48)=$
$-1.279509 \mathrm{E}-63$
$-1.407438 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.519092 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.614896 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.695938 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.763680 \mathrm{E}-03$
-1.819688E-133
$-1.865043 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.901749 E-03$
$-1.930573 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.952588 \mathrm{E}-63$
-1.968513E- 03
$-1.978863 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.983601 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.983100 \mathrm{E}-03$
-1.977175E-03
$-1.966103 \mathrm{E}-03$
-1.949910E-03
-1.928839E-63
-1.903251 E- 03

- $1.874426 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.841830 \mathrm{E}-83$
- $1.807371 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.771608 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.735147 \mathrm{E}-03$
-1.698965E-63
$-1.663528 E-03$
-1.629721E-03
-1.597f09E-03
- $1.566415 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.537451 \mathrm{E}-03$
-1.509860E-03
-1.484179E-03
$-1.459452 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.436030 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.413818 \mathrm{E}-\boxed{ } 3$
$-1.393282 \mathrm{E}-93$
$-1.373957 \mathrm{E}-03$
-1.356797E-03
-1.342036E-03
$-1.329766 \mathrm{E}-03$
$-1.320755 E-03$
$-1.315423 \mathrm{E}-03$
$\frac{1}{2} "$ Longitudinal Flange
2nd Derivative at 0.2"Intervals
Incremental Calculation by

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta x}\right)_{n+1}-\left(\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta x}\right)_{n}}{\Delta x}
$$

### 2.6.2.2 Leakage Discussion

2.6.2.2.1 Background. - A properly designed sealing system has but one source of leakage; diffusion of gases through the sealing medium. This diffusion rate is dependent upon the seal material, seal length, gases to be contained, $\Delta P$, etc. More important, however, are the allowable flange deflections and the resulting leak rate improvement over theoretical that can be achieved by "overdesigning" the stiffness of the flanges against which the seal is made.

The ERTS enclosure represents an internal volume of 1200 cubic inches, approximately 765 cubic inches of which is gas ( $90 \%$ "air", $10 \%$ helium). The launch pressure is 17 psia , and no degradation of performance due to gas leakage is to occur for 15 months in orbit, following 9 months at sea level pressure. "Air" ( $79 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, $21 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) has been selected as the pressurizing gas since most of head/tape life data has been derived in this atmosphere.

### 2.6.2.2.2 Approach

a. Determine what pressure drop within the recorder is tolerable.
b. Design a seal using known diffusion rates to meet the requirements of "a" above as a minimum.
c. Determine from DSU experience the degree of flange stiffness "overdesign" to be incorporated, if any, as a reliability trade-off.
d. Define leak rate test parameters for qualification/acceptance test purposes.
2.6.2.2.3 Tolerable Pressure Drop. - The two causes of performance degradation at reduced pressures are loss of moisture (water vapor) from tape and loss of lubricant from bearings. At say $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, a temperature well above that which would cause permanent tape damage, the vapor pressure of water is 2.88 psia. Further, the bearing greases operating within prescribed temperature limits have vapor pressures some orders of magnitude below this pressure. It is therefore safe to set 7 psia as a low limit for safe operation, or, conversely, a pressure loss of 10 psi through the life of the recorder.

### 2.6.2.2.4 Seal Design by Diffusion Rates

a. From Parker Seal Handbook, diffusion rate for helium through Viton at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{H}=21 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{in} . / \text { year } \\
& Q_{H}=1.28 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{in} .{ }^{3} / \mathrm{in} . / \text { year }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Diffusion rate coefficients for helium and air at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda H & =7.0 \\
\lambda a & =5.1
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Diffusion rate for air, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{a}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{a}=Q_{H} \frac{\lambda_{a}}{\lambda H} \\
& Q_{a}=.932 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{in} .{ }^{3} / \mathrm{in} . / \text { year }
\end{aligned}
$$

d. Diffusion rates for ERTS recorder seal length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=2(21+14) \mathrm{X} 2 \\
& L=140 \text { inches }
\end{aligned}
$$

Total pressure differential, $\Delta \mathrm{P}=\frac{17.0}{14.7}=1.16$ atmospheres.
Time in orbit ( 15 mos.) $t=\frac{15}{12}=1.25$ years.
Partial pressure due to air $=1.045$ atmospheres.
Partial pressure due to $\mathrm{He}=.115$ atmospheres.
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a}}=(.932)(140)(1.045)(1.25)$
$Q_{a}=171 \mathrm{in}{ }^{3}$ air $/ 15$ mos.
$Q_{H}=(1.28)(140)(.115)(1.25)$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{H}}=26.6$ in. ${ }^{3}$ helium $/ 15 \mathrm{mos}$.
$Q_{\text {total }}=197.6 \mathrm{in}^{3}{ }^{3}$ mixture $/ 15 \mathrm{mos}$.
e. Pressure drop:
$P_{2}=P_{1} \frac{V_{1}-Q_{T}}{V_{1}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}=17.1 \frac{765-197.6}{765} \\
& P_{2}=12.6 \text { psia or } \Delta P=4.4 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

f. Flange Stiffness. - The diffusion rates used in paragraph 2.6.2.2.4 are based upon the provision that flange deflection be limited to .003". The flange stiffness required in the ERTS enclosure is, however, dictated by other considerations, such as side wall thickness required for containment of pressure and flange thickness sufficient to retain flatness and support screws. These things considered, the theoretically required bolt spacing is above $10^{\prime \prime}$. Based upon paragraph 2.6.2.2.5, the bolt spacing for the ERTS enclosure is nominally $2.06^{\prime \prime}$.
2.6.2.2.5 Actual vs. Calculated Leak Rates per DSU. - The calculated leak rate by diffusion through the DSU Viton seal material is 89.2 in .3 /year of the $90 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, $10 \%$ the gas mixture. The measured rate is $18.6 \mathrm{in} .3 /$ year, better than $1 / 4$ the calculated rate. Further investigation reveals that the degree of "overdesign" in the DSU flange stiffness is about 4 to 1.
2.6.2.2.6 Summary. - The leak rate based upon calculations of diffusion of gases through a Viton seal with . $003^{\prime \prime}$ flange deflection reflect a pressure drop of 4.4 psi vs. a tolerable drop of 10 psi within the pressurized enclosure. These drops and leak rates are summarized below along with other factors as an aid in determining a realistic qualification/acceptance test criteria. The terms used are: a) calculated leakage, b) tolerable leakage - as defined above, c) expected leakage - the leakage actually expected, based upon "overdesign" of the flanges, and d) test rate - the suggested leak test criteria. Dimensions are cubic inches or pounds per square inch per 15 months at orbit environment.
a) Tolerable leakage

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta V=451 \mathrm{in} .^{3} \\
& \Delta P=10 \mathrm{psi} \\
& \Delta V=197.6 \mathrm{in} .^{3} \\
& \Delta P=4.4 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) Calculated leakage
c) Expected leakage
$\Delta V=99 \mathrm{in} .{ }^{3}$
$\Delta P=2.2 \mathrm{psi}$
d) Test rate

$$
\Delta V=270 \mathrm{in}^{3}
$$

$$
\Delta P=6 \mathrm{psi}
$$

### 2.7 Mechanical Design of Electronic Subsystems

2.7.1 Circuit Board Design Parameters. - The printed circuit boards are designed according to MIL-STD-275B which covers such items as copper trace thickness and width, trace spacing for various voltages and the temperature requirements of the base material. All boards are double-sided; none use plated through holes.
2.7.2 Connectors and Harness. - The basic interconnection system used within the Transport Unit consists of a hard-wired harness and, with three exceptions, plugin sub-assemblies. Further, as directed by GSFC, the harness contains as few (solder) connections as possible and connects the enclosure-mounted hermetic inputoutput connectors directly to the motor-board-mounted sub-assembly interface connectors. The selection of connectors and design of the harness incorporate the current derating requirements. Ferrules are used wherever coax conductors are broken out as protection against damage due to vibration.
2.7.2.1 Connectors. - Three basic connector types are used to interconnect the Transport Unit. These are the Deutsch DM5605 series hermetic connectors for input-output, the Continental SM and MM series for general sub-assembly interface, and the Sealectro 50 (screw lock) series for coax interfaces. All connectors in the Transport Unit are solder types, except where coax shields are secured to RF connectors.
2.7.2.2 Harness. - The harness layout, while taking careful cognizance of lead length and routing requirement, is being developed during the Engineering Model build cycle.
2.7.2.3 Wired-In Sub-assemblies. - The three hard wired parts are the pressure and temperature transducers and the Motor/Solenoid Switch assembly. As the name implies, this sub-chassis contains the start/run and run relays for the headwheel, IW, and capstan motors plus the switching circuitry associated with the headwheel solenoid. Due to the large number of high current leads required, connector interfacing of this sub-assembly is impractical. The components mounted on this sub-assembly are replaceable individually.

### 2.8 Thermal Considerations

Throughout the thermal analysis of the Transport Unit, convective heat transfer is assumed to be zero. Although such "blowers" as the headwheel panel, headwheel panel momentum compensator and reel momentum compensator are operating periodically, there is no assurance that any effective convective transfer will result.
2.8.1 Printed Circuit Boards. - There are six printed circuit boards within the Transport Unit enclosure. Radiation is assumed to be the only cooling means available except where provisions are specifically made for conductive cooling. These six printed circuit boards and the dissipation requirements are as follows:

1) Video Playback Amplifier
2) Aux/Search Preamp
3) Control Track/Tach Preamp
4) Video Recorder/Preamp (2 @ 8 w) 16.0 watts
5) Motor/Solenoid Switch
2.8.1.1 Video Record/Preamp (Item 4, previous paragraph). - The four record/play preamplifier channels are packaged on two identical printed circuit boards. When installed in the Transport Unit, these two boards are "stacked" one on top of the other. Dissipation is 8 watts per board, $95 \%$ of which is due to six components (4 IC's and 2 transistors). A one channel breadboard record/preamp was subjected to temperature tests simulating the installation to determine cooling requirements.

Transistor cooling ( 0.6 watt/transistor) is accomplished by radiation from a relatively large area dissipator. Conductive cooling is not feasible since dc or capacitive coupling to the motor board (signal ground) creates insurmountable electrical problems.

The bulk of the board dissipation is from 4 IC's ( 1.6 watts/IC). Here, capacitive coupling to the motor board is not an electrical problem, and a thermally conductive path is provided directly to the motor board.
2.8.1.2 Other Electronics - The remainder of the printed circuitry in the Transport Unit (Items 1,2,3,5) depends upon radiation to the Transport Unit case for heat removal. The thermal density, view factor and sink area are particularly favorable in this instance. Where individual components on these borads were found lacking in sufficient radiant area, these areas were increased by enlarging the printed copper pad upon which the component mounts and transferring heat from the component to the pad by conductive cements, screws, etc.
2.8.2 System Wattage Profile. - This section defines the thermal dissipation load of the Transport Unit. To accomplish this, the following data was accumulated:

1) Power consumption for each sub-assembly during transient and steady state operation, and Ne modes in which subassemblies are operative. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . See Table 2-3
2) Steady state power consumption by recorder modes

See Table 2-4
3) Definition of the various transient time sequences as allowed by the system control logic. These data are by time, mode and occurrence . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . See Table 2-5
4) Definition of the average power consumption during each of the transient conditions per Tables 2-3, 2-4 \& 2-5 . . . . . . See Table 2-6

TABLE 2-3. POWER CONSUMPTION - BY SUB-ASSEMBLY (IN WATTS \& SECONDS)

| SUB-ASSEMBLY | START | START <br> TIME | RUN | STANDBY | RECORD | PLAY | WIND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. HWP Motor | 135 | 4.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Shoe Solenoid | 120 | 0.10 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Iw Motor | 55 | 4.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capstan (L. S.) | 14 | 0.6 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Capstan (H.S) | 92 | 1.5 | 13.0 |  |  | - |  |
| 6. Capstan Brake | 7 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Video Playback Amp |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| 8. Aux/Search Preamp |  |  |  | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 9. Video Rec/Preamp |  |  |  | 0.8 | 16.0 | 0.8 |  |
| 10. Control Trk/Tack Preamp |  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.8 | 0.25 |
| 11. Motor/Solenoid Sw. (normal) |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 12. Erase Head |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2.45 | 19.95 | 4.0 | 1.65 |

TABLE 2-4. POWER CONSUMPTION - STEADY STATE (BY MODE)

1. Standby - continuous

| HWY | - | 12.0 W |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lw | - | 6.0 W |
| Electronics | - | $\frac{2.4 \mathrm{~W}}{20.4 \mathrm{~W}}$ continuous |

2. Record - $\mathbf{3 0}$ minutes maximum

| HWY | - | 12.0 W |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HWP Solenoid | - | 2.5 W |
| Capstan | - | 8.0 W |
| Lw | - | 6.0 W |
| Electronics | - | $\frac{20.0 \mathrm{~W}}{}$ |
|  |  | 50.8 W continuous |

3. Play - $\mathbf{3 0}$ minutes maximum

Same as Record except:

| Electronics | $-\quad 4.0 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other | $-\frac{28.5 \mathrm{~W}}{32.5 \mathrm{~W}}$ continuous |

4. Wind/Forward

| Capstan | - | 13.0 W continuous |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Electronics | - | 1.7 W |
|  |  | 14.7 W |

1/ Data Storage/Readout Modes
TABLE 2-5. TRANSIENT TIME SEQUENCES
Start/Run Switching (changed from 6 seconds)


1. Equipment in Off at $t_{0}, t_{3}$
2. If Standby command is given

## 10 sec.) to Interval stop tap NOTES:



Standby.


$$
0, \sin
$$

Iternal

1. Off to Standby -5 sec . (by timer)

2. Standby to Record - 1.1 sec . minimum (Sense Capstan $\mathrm{W}+.5 \mathrm{sec}$. delay +.1 sec . Shoe Closure)


Shoe Solenoid (Close) $\quad-\quad 120 \mathrm{~W}$ for .1 sec.: $\quad 120 \mathrm{X} .1 / 1.1=10.9$ (Hold) $\quad-\quad 4.8 \mathrm{~W}$ for $1.0 \mathrm{sec} .: \quad 4.8 \mathrm{X} 1.0 / 1.1=4.4$
Iw Run - 6 W for $1.1 \mathrm{sec}: \quad 6 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{1}=6.0$

Electronics (Shoe Close) - 22 W for $.1 \mathrm{sec} .: \quad 22 \mathrm{X} .1 / 1.1=2.0$ (Run) - $\quad 20 \mathrm{~W}$ for $1.0 \mathrm{sec}: \quad 20 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{1.0/1.1}=\frac{18.2}{72.4}$ for 1.1 sec.
3. Standby to Play - same as 2 above except "Electronics Run" is 4.0 W . 58.2 for 1.1 sec .
4. Record or Play to Standby - 1.4 sec . (timer)

| Capstan Brake | - | 7.0 W for 1.4 sec. | $=$ | 7.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Electronics | - | 2.4 W for 1.4 sec. | $=$ | $\underline{2.4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

5. Off to Forward or Wind - . 8 sec. (Capstan Start Time +.5 delay)

2.8.2.2 Duty Cycle. - A duty cycle of 60 seconds is thought to be a practical beginning. The definition of "off" in this discussion will be taken to be "OFF" mode. Additionally, the term "on" is defined as the mode under analysis. The purpose of this discussion is to allow comparison of transient conditions on a common basis. Therefore, the 60 second on-off cycle is defined as the time between the initiation of an electrical function by command and the completion of whatever sequence of electrical functions of control logic provides, all within the 60 second time period.
2.8.2.3 Worst Case Definition ( 60 second cycle). - The worst transient case is that of OFF to STANDBY to OFF. Here, the average dissipation is:

OFF-STANDBY: (Table $2-6$, Item 1) 192.4 W for 4 sec. : $192.4 \times 4 / 60=12.8$
STANDBY-RUN: (Table 2-4, Item 1) 20.4 W for $54.6 \mathrm{sec} .20 .4 \times 54.6 / 60=18.6$
STANDBY-OFF: (Table 2-3, Item 6) 7.0 W for $1.4 \mathrm{sec} .: 7.0 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{1.4/60=} 0.2$

The worst case steady state condition (Table 2-4, Item 2) is that of the RECORD mode where the average dissipation is 48.5 watts.
2.8.2.4 Discussion of Results. - The worst case transient condition, based upon a 60 second on-off cycle, is 31.6 watts average for the OFF-STANDBY-OFF sequence. The likelihood of such a cycle being repeated with sufficient frequency to even approach the full 50 watt dissipation is minimal.

The worst case steady state dissipation is 48.5 watts in the RECORD modes. This condition is inescapable, and, if the $T . U$. is designed for 48.5 watts dissipation, the transient cycle frequencies can be shortened from the assumed 60 second limit.
2.8.3 Transport Unit Temperature Gradient. - At the start of an analysis such as this, certain objectives and assumptions must be stated. Such statements, or ground rules, are controlled by the input information available, the depth to which the analysis should be taken from a practical viewpoint, and the degree of detail required or desired in the results.

### 2.8.3.1 Ground Rules.

1) Internal heat originates from a constant temperature "Motor Board", i.e., no temperature gradient across the heat source. This is considered to be a conservative approach since many areas (such as PC boards and motors) will rise to an average temperature somewhat above that allowed for the motor board).
2) Radiant heat transfer occurs under the following conditions in all cases:
a) View factor, $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathbf{1}$
b) Emmissivity, absorptivity, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.9$
c) Area $=$ inside area of T.U. enclosure.
3) There is no heat transferred through the gas mixture in the Transport Unit enclosure, either by conduction or convection.
4) A temperature gradient of zero exists across both the top and bottom halves of the T.U. enclosure, each taken individually, except as specifically stated. This is considered to be a reasonable assumption since thermal conduction through the magnesium enclosure is large compared to other thermal transfer media available (para. 2.8.3.3.2.3).
5) The sink temperature (spacecraft) is constant throughout, whether absorbing heat from the Transport Unit by conduction or radiation.
6) The analysis covers the long term steady state condition with no consideration given to the heat absorbing characteristics of the metallic elements of the Transport Unit.
2.8.3.2 Analysis.
7) Define the form factor and thermal transfer paths available See Figure 2-31.
8) Develop an equivalent electrical circuit for steady state conditions See Figure 2-32.
9) Define the constants required to analyze the circuit (summarized and indexed in Figure 2-32).
10) Analyze the electrical circuit, defining temperature drops, transfer paths, etc. (Figure 2-33).
11) Relate $\Delta t^{\prime}$ 's to sink temperatures to determine adequacy of the design (paragraph 2.8.3.2.1).

Figure 2-31 THERMAL TRANSFER PATHS


Figure 2-33. Temperature Gradient, Heat Flow
2.8.3.2.1 Discussion of Results. - This analysis is a "first cut" at the worst case with no allowances made for thermal absorbtivity of the metallic parts of the Transport Unit. This is considered to be a conservative approach. More detailed analysis will be undertaken as time and updated inputs become available.

The present analysis shows a maximum rise above sink of $17.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at the motor board. Applied to the Nimbus thermal-vacuum qualification temperature maximum of $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(113^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$, this results in a maximum temperature at the motor board of $130^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Considering the assumptions, this figure is considered satisfactory.
2.8.3.3 Definition of Transfer Constants. - In order to make use of dc circuit equations and the equivalent circuit of Figure 2-31, transfer constants in the form of ${ }^{\circ}$ F per BTU per hour are derived or stated below, and summarized in Figure 2-32.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2.8.3.3.1 } \underline{\text { Radiation Constants } R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}} \\
& \text { from: } Q=.173 F_{e} F_{A} A\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{~T} 1}{100}\right)^{4}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{T} 2}{100}\right)^{4}\right] \\
& \text { 2.8.3.3.1.1 Define } R_{1}=R_{2} \\
& \left(\frac{T 2}{100}\right)^{4}=\left(\frac{T 1}{100}\right)^{4}-\frac{Q}{.179 \mathrm{FA} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{e}}} \\
& T_{1}=119^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \text { : Motor board temperature assumed } \\
& \text { maximum value to permit maximum internal gas } \\
& \text { temperature of } 125^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \text {. } \\
& \mathrm{A}=3.56 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \text { : } \text { : enclosure area } \\
& Q=10 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . \\
& \mathrm{T}_{2}=\text { Resultant wall temperature } \\
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{T} 2}{100}\right)^{4}=\left(\frac{579}{100}\right)^{4}-\quad \frac{10}{(.179(1)(.9)(3.56)} \\
& \mathrm{T}_{2}=116.8^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \\
& \Delta t=2.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \text { for } 10 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} \text {. } \\
& \Delta t=.22^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . \\
& R_{1}=R_{2}=\Delta t=.22^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.8.3.3.1.2 Define $R_{3}=R_{4}$. - (same as $R_{1}$ except $A=3.00$, bottom cover area)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_{2} & =116.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \\
\Delta t & =2.7^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \text { for } 10 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} \\
\Delta t & =.27^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} \\
\mathbf{R}_{3} & =\mathbf{R}_{4}{ }^{\circ} \ddagger \Delta t=.27^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.8.3.3.2 Conduction Constants $-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ through $\mathrm{C}_{5}$
2.8.3.3.2.1 $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ - Motor Board to MB Support. - This path is via 8 mounting points which provide electrical isolation of the motor board (signal ground) from spacecraft ground. The insulating material is silicone rubber with a thermal conduction coefficient of $23 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . / \mathrm{ft} .{ }^{2} / \mathrm{in} . /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Experimental determination of the overall transfer constant for the mounting point assembly is as yet incomplete. However, sufficient confidence has been achieved to introduce the stated conduction coefficient into this analysis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Q}= \frac{\mathrm{kA} \mathrm{\Delta t}}{\mathrm{~L}} \\
& \mathrm{k}=23 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . / \mathrm{ft} .^{2} / \mathrm{in} . /^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \\
& \mathrm{~A}=.47 \mathrm{in} . .^{2} / \mathrm{pad} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=.04^{\prime \prime} \\
& \mathrm{Q}= \frac{(23)(.47 \mathrm{X} 8)(1)}{(.04)(144)} \\
& \mathrm{Q}= 15.1 \text { BUT/Hr. } /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}= \frac{1}{15.1}=.066^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . \\
& 2.8 .3 .3 .2 .2 \text { C2, } \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{Motor} \text { Board Mount to Top and Bottom Covers } \\
& \mathrm{Q}= \mathrm{kA} \Delta \mathrm{t} \\
& \mathrm{k}=\text { transfer constant }=.3 \text { watts } / \text { in. }{ }^{2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { based upon RCA Hights- } \\
& \text { town test work and experience for dry aluminum to magnesium } \\
& \text { interface at } 100 \text { to } 200 \text { psi interface pressure. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{k}=.3$ watts $/ \mathrm{in} .{ }^{2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=.68 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
$A=$ interface area $=31.52 \mathrm{in} .{ }^{2} /$ side
$\Delta t=1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
$\mathrm{Q}=(.68)(31.52)(1)$
$\mathrm{Q}=21.4 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ per side
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{3}=\frac{1}{21.4}=.047^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr}$.
2.8.3.3.2.3 C - Down Side Walls of Cover
$Q=\frac{k A \Delta t}{L}$
$\mathrm{A}=$ area $=(.140)(19.8)(13)(2)$
$\mathrm{A}=72 \mathrm{in} .^{2}$
$\mathrm{k}=1100 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . / \mathrm{ft}^{2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{in}$. (magnesium)
$\mathrm{L}=2.5^{\prime \prime}$ maximum
$\Delta t=1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
$Q=\frac{(1100)(72)(1)}{(2.5)(144)}$
$Q=220 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
$\mathrm{C}=\frac{1}{220}=.0045^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr}$. - This value is roughtly one order of magnitude below all other conduction constants and was therefore not used in the final analysis.
2.8.3.3.2.4 $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ - Bottom Cover to Mounting Pad
$Q=\frac{k A \Delta t}{L}$
A = area through which thermal conduction can occur between the side and bottom walls of the cover and the mounting pad.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=.915 \mathrm{in} .{ }^{2} / \mathrm{pad} \\
& \mathrm{k}=1100 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . / \mathrm{ft} .^{2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{in} \text {. (magnesium) } \\
& \mathrm{Q}=\frac{(1100)(.915)(4) \Delta \mathrm{t}}{(144)(1)} \\
& Q=28 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \text { for } 4 \text { mounting pads. } \\
& \mathrm{C} 4=\frac{1}{28}=.037^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} \text {. } \\
& \text { 2.8.3.3.2.5 } \mathrm{C}_{5} \text { - Mounting Pad to Sink } \\
& Q=k A \Delta t \\
& \mathrm{k}=\text { transfer constant }=3 \text { to } 5 \text { watts } / \mathrm{in} .{ }^{2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \text { psi interface } \\
& \text { pressure with thin coating of DC4 or RTV material. } \\
& \mathrm{k}=6.8 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . / \mathrm{in} .{ }^{2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \\
& A=1 \text { in. }{ }^{2} \text { per pad } \\
& A=4 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& Q=(6.8)(4)(1) \\
& \mathrm{Q}=27.2 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} . /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \\
& C_{5}=\frac{1}{27.5}=.036^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{Hr} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.9 Weight and Power Consumption Summaries

Table 2-7 contains the most recent summary of the Transport Unit weight. The current tabulation for this unit, when combined with the estimated Electronic Unit weight of 27.2 lbs ., yields a projected recording system weight of 69.93 lbs . exclusive of interconnecting cables. The E. U. weight, however, is still based largely on estimates.

Figure 2-33 reflects the power profile for the total VTR. The power surges, exclusive of the spikes, are consumed largely in the Transport Unit during start-up of the varous motors. These surges have been considered in estimating power consumption of the Transport Unit for the T.U. thermal analysis, and, are discussed in paragraph 2.8.2.9.
TABLE 2-7. WEIGHT CONTROL REPORT,


### 3.0 RELIABILITY OF CIRCUITS WITHIN THE TRANSPORT UNIT

The majority of the electronic circuits for the ERTS recorder system are housed in the Electronics Unit. However, some circuits must be placed on the Transport unit to optimize equipment performance and to minimize cabling between the two units. For this reason, the circuits housed in the Transport unit are an aggregate of miscellaneous functions. Figure 3-1 and 3-2 show in block diagram form the various circuit functions performed: Two video record/preamplifier boards and a dual channel, video playback amplifier board comprise the circuitry required for the wideband channel. A control track/tach preamplifier and an aux/search preamplifier comprise the electronic circuitry required for the longitudinal tracks. A motor/solenoid switch assembly performs switching functions required for proper operation of the motors and the shoe solenoid. This module also contains circuits required for the pressure, temperature and tape footage telemetry monitors.

### 3.1 Introduction

Reliability of these circuits is presented as an analytical evaluation of circuit and component performance and failure mode effect and criticality. The analysis provides an estimate of reliability based on statistical data and probabllity theory for electronic components given in MIL-HDBK-217 (RCA DEP Stds. Vol. 14). Components are either JAN TX or MIL-ER (High Reliability) parts with established reliability of class $(P)$ or higher, or they are MIL-Std level parts which have been screened to accomplish the same high reliability.

All parts have been derated to achieve an increased reliability in accordance with a conservative derating plan. A component ambient temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ has been used in arriving at failure rates from RCA DEP Std., Volume 14.
3.1.1 Worst Case Analysis. - The Worst Case Analysis shows the performance of the circuit under a maximum cumulative tolerances of the various components. In general, the values of drift due to stress and aging are based upon MIL-HDBK-217 as interpreted by the appropriate RCA Defense Standard books. Significant deviations from this procedure are drift figures for RNR type resistors which are based on special data obtained from our Standards Department. This information is summarized in Appendix 3A.

The Worst Case Analyses made for the ERTS circuits have concentrated on those parameters most likely to affect the significant performance parameters for the circuit under consideration. Calculations have been performed by using the Electronic Circuit Analysis Program (ECAP), the Spectra 70/45 Computer, or the slide rule method. The calculations shown in the report are detailed enough so that the reader should be able to follow the computations.
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Figure 3-1 TRANSPORT SIGNAL ELECTRONICS


Figure 3-2. MOTOR/SOLENOID SWITCH

By definition, a Worst Case Analysis based upon maximum cumulative drift of the performance parameters gives circuit performance limits that are extreme. Such deviations from normal performance will not occur under normal circumstances since the drift of individual drift components will most likely be random rather than reinforcing. Thus the performance of a typical circuit will remain much nearer nominal values than those indicated in the Worst Case Analyses.
3.1.2 Stress Analysis. - In the Stress Analysis, the stress levels of individual components were measured and/or calculated for operating conditions at the Transport Unit maximum ambient temperature of $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and component ambient temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ For those components where initial calculations indicated that performance was near the maximum derated stress, further calculations were made to ascertain that the derated limit stress levels were not exceeded. Data was recorded on Parts Application Data Sheets. Results of the calculation showing stress values and resulting failure rate were tabulated for each component on Reliability Data Worksheets presented as a part of this report. (See Appendix 3B.) Final failure rates for the modules are indicated on the block diagram in the Summary Section. For high-reliability components, a factor of $1 / 10$ was applied to the failure rate from the tables in MLL-HDBK-217 as a one level upgrading typical in Established Reliability (ER) specifications.
3.1.3 Failure Mode, Effects and Criticality Analysis. - The primary objective of this analysis was to discover critical failure areas and to minimize susceptibility. The analysis considered the effect of each component failure on other components and circuits. In particular, the analysis shows which function of the recorder system is affected when the component under consideration opens, shorts or degrades in performance.

The analysis was conducted by consulting a functional or block diagram of each circuit and assuming the different failure modes of each part. The cause, symptoms and consequences of each failure on the next higher level and the effect on the capability of the recorder was then derived.

A classification of the failures and the resulting effects on performance is given in the summary. The Reliability Data Worksheets include the data and the documentation of the analysis. Block diagrams and schematics that were consulted are included in the appropriate sections of the report.

### 3.1.4 Reliability Summary

3.1.4.1 Worst Case Analysis. - The Worst Case Analysis performed on the circuits in the Transport Unit have been useful in isolating some design weaknesses. Wherever potential performance limitations were found, remedial action was taken by slight modification of the original circuit design. In general, modifications of this type are pointed out in the detailed circuit reviews.
3.1.4.2 Stress Analysis. - The Stress Analysis has specifically identified the components with a comparative high stress. These components are listed below for each of the Transport Unit modules. Although the stress for these parts is higher than on other components, it is still within the derated levels, and an adjustment to the next larger size was not required. Such an adjustment could be made for these components, but the advantage in reduced failure rate is soon offset by increased size, weight, component space, etc.
3.1.4.2.1 Failure Rate. - Total Failure Rate for electronic components in the Transport Unit for record, playback and standby is given as a summary.

|  | (Failures per $10^{6}$ hours) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Record | Playback | Standby |
| Video Record/Preamp | 2.188 | . 954 |  |
| Video Record/Preamp | 2.188 | . 954 |  |
| Video Playback Amp |  | . 611 |  |
| Control Track/Tach Preamp |  | . 484 |  |
| Aux Search Preamp | . 835 | . 835 | . 835 |
| Motor Solenoid Switch | . 693 | . 693 | . 693 |
| то | 5.904 | 4.531 | 1.528 |

Neither the MTBF nor the Reliability (Ps) estimate for the record, playback or standby mode of operation for the Transport Unit will significantly influence the total recorder reliability because of the small part of the total system electronics being considered. However, for the above failure rates and a mission time of 1,000 hours, the Ps for record and playback is in excess of $99 \%$ for the Transport Unit electronics. Composite failure rates for the total recording system will be included in Volume II.
3.1.4.2.2 Stressed Components (above average). - The following components are listed as those with a stress ratio above the average value but within that permitted by the Derating Plan.
a) Video Record/Preamp (Preamp)

| Circuit Symbol | Component | Stress <br> Ratio | Failure <br> Rate ( $\times 10^{-6}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resistor (Average) | . 05 | . 002 |
| R29 | RNR55C3011 FP | . 172 | . 0025 |
| R44 | RNR55C3011 FP | . 172 | . 0025 |
| R59 | RNR55C3011FP | . 172 | . 0025 |
|  | Solid Tantalum Capacitors (Ave.) | . 33 | . 02 |
| C5 | 8150547 | . 5 | . 04 |
| C 12 | 8150547 | . 5 | . 04 |
| C 19 | 8150547 | . 5 | . 04 |
| C26 | 8150547 | . 5 | . 04 |
| Video Record/Preamp (Record) |  |  |  |
|  | Resistor (Average) | . 05 | . 002 |
| R14 | RNR55C2001FP | . 2 | . 003 |
| R18 | RLR20C3001JP | . 30 | . 003 |
| R17 | RCR200G202JP | . 4 | . 002 |
| R22 | RCR20G201JP | . 45 | . 003 |
| R25 | RCR20G101JP | . 5 | . 004 |
| R28 | RCR20G101JP | . 5 | . 004 |
| R32 | RCR07G100JP | . 4 | . 002 |
|  | Solid Tantalum Capacitor (Ave.) | . 33 | . 02 |
| C18 | 8150547 | . 4 | . 025 |


| Circuit <br> Symbol | Component | Stress <br> Ratio | Failure <br> Rate $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| C19 | 8150547 | .63 | .125 |
|  | Transistor (Average) | .1 | .02 |
| Q1 | JANTX2N3251A | .33 | .04 |
| Q2 | JANTX2N3251A | .32 | .04 |

b) Video Playback Amp

| Circuit <br> Symbol |  | Component | Stress <br> Ratio | Failure <br> Rate $\left(\mathrm{x} 10^{-6}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solid Tantalum Capacitor (Ave.) | .33 | .02 |  |
| C1 | 8150547 |  | .54 | .05 |
| C2 | 8150547 |  | .53 | .05 |

c) Control Track/Tach Preamp

| Circuit <br> Symbol | Component | Stress <br> Ratio | Failure <br> Rate ( $\mathrm{KNO}^{-6}$ ) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solid Tantalum Capacitor (Ave.) | .33 | .02 |
| Cl1 | CSR13E156KP | .6 | .07 |
| Aux/Search Preamp |  |  |  |


| Circuit <br> Symbol | Component | Stress <br> Ratio | Failure <br> Rate $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solid Tantalum Capacitor (Ave.) | .33 | .02 |
| C22 | CSR13C396KP | .6 | .075 |
| C11 | CSR13E156KP | .6 | .075 |
| C33 | CSR13E156KP | .6 | .075 |
| C34 | CSR13C396KP | .6 | .075 |

e) Motor Solenoid Switch

| Circuit <br> Symbol | Component | Stress <br> Ratio | Failure <br> Rate $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solid Tantalum Capacitor (Ave.) | .33 | .02 |
| C1 | CSR13G475KP | .5 | .04 |
| C2 | CSR13G106KP | .5 | .04 |

3.1.4.3 Failure Mode and Effects Analysis. - The Failure Mode and Effects for the Transport Unit circuits has shown that a failure of any component (short or open) will cause the loss of some function of the recorder either directly or as a result of degradation. To determine which functions of the recorder remain, the schematics and functional diagrams were consulted and the resulting performance effects are indicated on the Reliability Data Worksheets. No further summary of this information can reasonably be made until the total systems electronics are considered to the same specific performance level. At that point, the estimates of performance modes for different failures will be undertaken.

### 3.2 Video Record Amplifier

3.2.1 Introduction. - The Transport Unit of the ERTS recorder contains four video record amplifiers and four video preamplifiers. These are located near the headwheel panel to minimize lead length between the electronic circuitry and the video heads. For mechanical reasons, two record amplifiers and two preamplifiers are mounted on one printed circuit board. For reasons of a clearer presentation, the record amplifier and preamplifier have been separated in the Reliability Analysis.

Since the four record amplifiers are identical, the Worst Case Analysis covers only a single unit.
3.2.2 Worst Case Analysis. - The ERTS record amplifier has been analyzed to show that a satisfactory performance is assured at the end of three years which includes one year of orbital life and two years shelf life. In order to prove the soundness of the design, a philosophical discussion is presented in the design analysis and augmented the computer ECAP analysis. A complete schematic diagram of the record amplifier is shown in Figure 3-3.

As a result of the ECAP worst case dc analysis, all of the fixed carbon "RC" type $5 \%$ initial tolerance resistors, which deviate $\pm 25 \%$ under the worst case end of


Figure 3-3 RECORD AMPLIFIER
three years life, have been replaced by the "RL" type with an initial $5 \%$ tolerance and themaximum deviation of $+11.2 \%$ in the $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ environment at the end of three years.

Ac and dc analyses of the record amplifier were made using ECAP. The summary of these results is shown in Figure 3-4 and indicates that all stages are capable of handling the required dynamic range.

Results of the ECAP dc analysis (Appendix 3C) are translated from the nodes of ECAP equivalent circuit to the schematic diagrams of Figures 3-5 and 3-6, which represent sections of the actual module. This, at a glance, permits an assessment of the blas variations under the worst case circuit components degradation.

An ac analysis (Appendix 3D) was made to ascertain the phase and the magnitude of the head current umder the simulated heat equivalent impedance. From these analyses, it became evident that linearity of the head current phase depends on the resonances between the wiring capacity and the effective inductance of the head amplifier and rotary transformers. Some degree of adjustment upon the output current phase can be achieved by selection of a capacitor in parallel with R18. Depending on the wiring conditions between the heads and the record amplifier, the value of this capacitor may be between 40 and 120 picofarads. The final value of this capacitor will be established during Engineering Model tests.
3.2.2.1 Design Analysis. - In order to simplify the circuit analysis, the record amplifier is divided into four basic stages. For each stage of the amplifier, the analysis will attempt to show that a sufficient amount of negative feedback has been employed in the design to minimize A.C. and D.C. gain variations and to achieve a sufficient dynamic range stability in spite of the passive component variations.
3.2.2.1.1 Stage \#1 Analog Switch - Q1. - Q1 consists of two independent monolytic integrated circuits operating in a transient mode differential amplifier configuration, and a steady state cascode amplifier configuration.
3.2.2.1.1.1 Transient Mode Consideration. - During the transient mode of operation, consider Q1 as two differential amplifiers biased so that one transistor of each differential pair is conducting (with the base zener biased to 1.4 VDC ) while the second transistor of each pair is essentially biased at cut-off.

As a second condition, let the off transistor (refer to Figure 3-7) of each differential pair share a common load resistor ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ ) with the (on) transistor of the alternate differential pair. Under the conditions stated above, a positive gate pulse of amplitude $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}} \geqq\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bE}}\right)$ will reverse the quiescent state of the conducting and non-conducting transistors. The gate pulse $V_{G}$ will not appear in the collector load resistor ( $R_{1}$ or $R_{2}$ ) since alternate polarity and amplitude collector pulses share a common RC time constant.
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Figure 3-4 ERTS RECORD AMP DYNAMIC RANGE SUMMARY


Figure 3-6 RECORD AMPLIFIER SECTION. WORST CASE ECAP SUMMARY


Figure 3-7 TRANSIENT MODE CIRCUTT

For transistor switching

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}>\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}\right) \\
<\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Since the base drive impedance is low the operating point stability is not impared over the temperature range.
3.2.2.1.1.2 Steady State Cascode Mode Considerations. - The steady state analog mode of Q1 which is shown in Figure 3-8 is essentially a cascode amplifier consisting of the conducting transistor in the differential amplifier pair and its constant current source with the FM 1 V p-p signal injected into the base of the current sink. The emitter impedance $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ is large compared to the active device parameters $\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{e}}+\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b}}$ so that the AC voltage gain is $=\begin{aligned} & \text { AV }=R_{1} / R_{4}=R_{2} / R_{4} \\ & \text { AV }=1\end{aligned}$ where $R_{1}=R_{2}=R_{4}$


Figure 3-8 STEADY STATE ANALOG MODE CIRCUIT
The DC voltage gain equation (see Figure 3-3) is essentially given by

$$
A_{V D C}=\frac{i\left(R_{1}+R_{39}\right)}{i\left(R_{4}+R_{38}\right)+A V_{B E}}
$$

Where $A V_{B E}=$ incremental change in base emitter. Since the voltage of the current sink transistor, i $\left(R_{4}+R_{38}\right) \gg A V_{B E}$,
then

$$
A_{V D C}=\frac{\left(R_{1}+R_{39}\right)}{\left(R_{4}+R_{38}\right)}
$$

For the resistor values shown in the complete schematic diagram, the DC gain is less than unity. $R_{G}$ is the FM input source impedance $=75 \Omega \ll R_{4}$ so that the $D C$ bias point stability of the current sink transistor is excellent.

Because of the above dc considerations, Q1 can be dc coupled to the following amplifier stage.
3.2.2.1.2 Stage \#2 dc Coupled Feedback Amplifier Q2 and Q3. - This dc coupled feedback amplifier consists of Q2 and Q3 in a modified feedback pair circuit. Q2 is an RCA 3018A four transistor monolytic array in which two of the four devices are simple de coupled emitter followers employed to isolate miller capacity while the remaining two devices form a modified feedback amplifier together with a PNP transistor Q3. A simplified equivalent circuit configuration is shown in Figure 3-9. The following basic assumptions are made regarding the active device parameters.

1) $r_{e}$ and $r_{b}$ ignored due to degeneration
2) ic 's ignored due to complementary pair 1st order cancellation effect.
3) Q3 current gain, $\mathrm{B}_{3} \gg 1$

The following basic equations may be derived from Figure 3-9.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\text {in }} & =R_{E}\left(i f+i c_{1}\right) \\
\text { if } & =i c_{3} \quad\left[\frac{R_{L}}{R_{F}+R_{L}}\right]=B_{3} i c_{1} \quad\left[\frac{R_{L}}{R_{F}+R_{L}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3-9 FEEDBACK AMPLIFIER

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{i n}=R_{E}{ }^{i c_{1}} \quad\left[1+B_{3}\left(\frac{R_{L}}{R_{L}+R_{F}}\right)\right] \\
& V_{o}=B_{3} i c_{1} \quad \frac{R_{F} R_{L}}{R_{F}+R_{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Gain }=A_{V}=\frac{V_{0}}{V_{i n}}=\frac{B_{3} i c_{1}\left(\frac{R_{F} R_{L}}{R_{F}+R_{L}}\right)}{B_{3} i c_{1}}\left(\frac{R_{L}+R_{E}}{R_{F}+R_{L}}\right),
$$

$$
=R_{F} / R_{E}
$$

For $A_{A C} \rightarrow$ A.C. gain, $R_{E}=R_{g}+R_{V}=$ total AC emitter impedance of $Q_{21}$
$A_{A C} \max =R_{14} / R_{E} A C$
$A_{D C}=R_{F} / Z_{022}$
where $\mathrm{Z}_{022}$ is common base output impedance of $\mathrm{Q}_{22}$
$Z_{022}=r_{c}\left[\frac{1+\frac{r_{e}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)}{R_{S}}}{1+\frac{r_{e}+r_{b}}{R_{S}}}\right]$
$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}=$ incremental value of resistance of the collector junction.
but $R_{S} \gg r_{e}+r_{b}=R_{14}=2 K \quad$ so then
$\mathrm{Zo}_{22} \approx \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{e}}>10^{6}=\mathrm{ohms}$

$$
A_{D C}=R_{F} / Z o_{22}=\mathrm{R}_{14} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}=2 \times 10^{3} / 10^{6}=-66 \mathrm{db}
$$

From the above analysis, it follows that the D.C. gain is attenuated drastically by the addition of the cascode amplifier inside the feedback loop which greatly enhances the amplifier operating point stability.
3.2.2.1.3 Stage \#3 Complementary Feedback Pair, Q4 and Q5 - This amplifier stage is dc coupled to stage \#2 and employs an ac feedback loop and choke decoupling in the collector of Q5 to prevent any de offset voltage from developing in the output signal.

The equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 3-10. In this illustration the effects of $r_{e}, r_{b}$ and $i_{o}$ are deleted from the circuit analysis due to feedback and the cancellation of the $\mathrm{ic}_{\mathrm{o}}$ 's. The following equations derived from Figure 3-10:
(1) $e_{1}=i_{1} R_{19}=e_{o}+i f R_{30}=e_{1}+V_{b E_{4}}$
(2) $\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{ic}_{1}\right.$-if) $\mathrm{R}_{23}$
(3) $\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{e}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{ic}_{1}{ }^{\left.+\mathrm{i}_{1}+\mathrm{if}\right)} \mathrm{R}_{18}\right.$
(4) $\mathrm{e}_{2}-\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{5}\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}_{1}}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}_{22}\right)$


Figure 3-10 EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT OF FEEDBACK PAIR

Solving and substitution for voltage gain yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }=e_{1} / R_{30}-e_{0} / R_{30} \\
& R_{18}(i f)=e_{1} / R_{30} / R_{18}-e_{o} / R_{30} / R_{18} \\
& E_{1} / R_{23}-e_{o} / R_{23}+\text { if }=B_{5} i_{1} \\
& \text { Let } \alpha_{1}=R_{F} / R_{18}, \alpha_{2}=R_{F} / R_{19}, \alpha_{3}=R_{F} / R_{23}, R_{F}=R_{30} \\
& \left(R_{18} i c_{1}\right) B_{5}=E_{1}\left(R_{18} / R_{23}\right)+\text { if } R_{18} \\
& =E_{1}\left(\alpha_{3} / \alpha_{1}\right)-e_{o}\left(\alpha_{3} / \alpha_{1}\right)+e_{1} / \alpha_{1}-e_{0} / \alpha_{1} \\
& E_{1}-e_{1}=i c_{1} \quad R_{18}+i_{1} R_{18}+i f R_{18}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left[1 / B_{5}\left(\frac{E_{3} \alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}-\frac{e_{0} \alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}+\frac{e_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}-\frac{e_{o}}{\alpha_{1}}+e_{1}\right)\right]+\frac{e_{1} \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}+\frac{e_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}-\frac{e_{o}}{\alpha_{1}}
$$

$$
e_{o}\left[1+\frac{1}{B_{5}}+\frac{\alpha_{3}}{B_{5}}\right]=e_{1}\left[1+\frac{1}{B_{5}}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right]+E_{1}\left(\frac{\alpha_{3}}{B_{5}}-\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

When

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / B_{5} \ll 1 \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(1+\alpha_{3} / \mathrm{B}_{5}\right)=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bE}}\left(1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)_{+} \mathrm{E}_{1} \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\mathrm{~B}_{5}}-\alpha_{1} \\
& \text { Voltage Gain = AV }=\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{i}=\left(1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) / 1+\alpha_{3} / B_{5} \\
& \mathrm{AV} \approx 1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \\
& \text { Let } \mathrm{R}_{18} / \mathrm{R}_{19}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{E}} \\
& A V=\frac{R_{F}+R_{E}}{R_{E}}=\frac{R_{30}+R_{E}}{R_{E}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / R_{0}=1 / R_{23}+B_{5} / R_{30}= \\
R_{0}=R_{30} / \alpha_{3} B_{5}=R_{23} / B_{5} \text { if } B_{5}>10 \text { and } R_{23}=1 \mathrm{~K} \Omega \\
R_{0}=100 \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

3.2.2.1.4 DC Stability considerations Stage \#3 - Vin = d.c. input to Q4 considered to be constant voltage source due to case \#2 d. c. gain equation. Q4 is d.c. coupled to Q 5 , but d.c. gain of $\mathrm{Q} 4=\mathrm{R}_{20} / \mathrm{R}_{18}<1$ so that d.c. input to Q 5 base may also be considered to be a constant voltage source.

For $Q 5 R_{E}=\left(R_{21}+R_{22}\right) \ll R_{e}$, and $R_{L}=0$ (Choke decoupling so that the d.c. operating point of the feedback pair Q 4 and Q 5 will not drift with temperature appreciably.
3.2.2.1.5 Stage \#4 NH0002 Current Amplifier in Series with Q4 and Q5 inside the feedback loop. - Consider a unity voltage gain current amplifier following Q5 with the current amplifier inside the feedback loop.

For NH0002 the integrated circuit comprising Q8 and Q9

| $\underline{Z}_{0}<10-\mathrm{ohm}$ | Minimum Output Impedance |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{I}_{0}} \pm 100 \mathrm{~mA}$ | Max. Output Current |
| $\underline{\Delta V_{0}}=100 \mathrm{mV}$ | Max. Output Offset |
| $\mathrm{BW}=30 \mathrm{MHz}$ | Min. Bandwidth |

The voltage gain $=\mathrm{AV}=\left(1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)$ is unchanged by the current amplifier.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{o}=R_{L} / B_{5} \text { but now } R_{L} \text { becomes } Z_{0}<10 \text { ohms } \\
&=Z_{o} / B_{5}=10 / 10=1 \text { ohm, thus greatly reducing the effective output } \\
& \text { impedance of the feedback pair. }
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2.2.1.6 Q6 to Q7 Class B Voltage Regulators - The dc supply voltages to the output current amplifiers Q8 and Q9 has been reduced to limit dissipation in these stages to the maximum required for the anticipated peak to peak ac voltage swing (see Figure 3-11).

A zener reference voltage $V_{R}$ applied to the base of the regulator transistor determines the maximum dc voltage applied to $Q 8$ and $Q 9$, while to dc current is a function of the dynamic load impedance of Q8 and Q9, which is considered to be a


Figure 3-11 VOLTAGE REGULATOR
varying Class $B$ impedance as a function of signal level. A collector load resistor, which is bypassed for ac signals, limits the maximum dissipation in Q 6 and Q 7 while also providing short circuit protection.

### 3.3 Video Preamplifier

3.3.1 Introduction - As stated in Section 3.2.1, the video preamplifier is physically mounted on the record/preamplifier board. This section covers the worst case analyses of the preamplifier circuit. Four identical preamplifiers are required for the recorder system. Again only one circuit is analyzed in detail.
3.3.2 Worst Case Analysis - The video preamplifier assembly contains four low noise preamplifiers (for schematic, see Figure 3-12). Since all of the preamps are to perform an identical function, only one is analyzed. Relevant analysis, in this case, is the frequency response characteristics which in the overall sense affect the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ performance of the system, and the available dynamic range. To minimize circuit noise, low noise figure 2 N 3572 transistors were used by the designer in the first two stages of the preamp.

To ascertain dynamic performance of the preamp circuit, an ECAP ac equivalent of the preamp circuit was drawn from which an ac ECAP program was generated for SPECTRA 70/45 computer (see Appendix 3E). Resulting from the ac program is a printout of signal magnitude and phase at each of the (13) nodes of the circuit including the output. The results of this analysis are plotted in Figure 3-13 and indicates constant gain and linear phase from 40 KHz to 20 MHz . From the ac program, we see that if the FM signal from the magnetic head during the playback is 4 millivolts peak to peak, the required dynamic range of the preamp is (Vin) $\cdot G=80$ millivolts, p.p.

The object of the ECAP de analysis program is to prove that the required dynamic output range is assured. In order to write a dc computer program, an ECAP dc equivalent circuit of the preamp was used (see Appendix 3F). In this case, the SPECTRA 70/45 computer was programmed to printout worst case tolerance solutions
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for the dc voltages at all of the circuit nodes of the preamp. The node potentials may be easily translated into a dynamic range of each of the three transistor stages of the preamplifier. For easy reference, maximum, nominal, and minimum dc potentials relative to ground are retabulated next to their designated nodes whose number appears within the square (see Figure 3-14). Under the worst-worst case conditions, for example, the output stage Q3 is assured to accommodate (7.46-5.46) $=2.58$ volts peak and -2.64 volts peak unloaded. Therefore, $\approx 5.0$ volt peak dynamic range is possible without load.

Modifying this number by the load factor of 90 ohm termination on coax, the dynamic range of $\approx .60$ volts peak to peak is adequate, for only .08 volts peak to peak is required.

### 3.4 Video Playback Amplifier

3.4.1 Introduction - The playback signal derived from the video preamplifier requires further amplification before the signal can be transmitted to the Electronics Unit. For this reason, a playback amplifier is provided in the Transport Unit. The four output signals from the preamplifiers are combined by suitable gating signals into two data channels; one handling the signals from heads 1 and 3, the other from heads 2 and 4. Since the playback amplifier channels are identical, only one channel is analyzed in detail.
3.4.2 Worst Case Analysis - The playback amplifier assembly contains two identical circuits to accommodate FM signals from heads (1-3) and heads (2-4), respectively. It is, therefore, sufficient to analyze only one of the two channels.

Head channels 1 and 3 (connector pins 7 and 5 , respectively) are multiplexed (see Figure 3-15) in time by use of digital control signal appearing at Pin 1 via an integrated circuit analog switch Z1-MC1545. When digital gate signal is "high", Head 3 is "on", and when digital gate signal is "low", Head 1 is "on".

The switching mechanism, the gain of the stage, the frequency response, etc., are presented in the MC1545 specifications which are included in Appendix 3G. Referring to Figure 3-15, we shall prove that Z1-MC1545 is capable of driving the 100 ohms, impedance of the delay line, DL1, up to 15 MHz .

Since the gain of the MC1545 is 18 dB , e.g. $\mathbf{x 8}$ (see Figure 1 of Appendix 3G), the required dynamic swing at the input to DL1 is .125 volts peak to peak. From Figure 5 of Appendix 3G, the input impedance created by the shunt capacitance and shunt resistance of Z 2 up to 15 MHz :

$$
Z_{\mathrm{in}} \geqq \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}} \simeq \frac{3.5(11.3)}{14.8}=2.68 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Ohms}
$$



The potentiometer $R_{x}$ is nominally adjusted to $1 / 8$ of its total from the ground, therefore, impedance looking into the DL1 is essentially:

$$
Z_{L}=\frac{\left(7 / 8 R_{X}\right)\left(Z_{i n}\right)}{\left(7 / 8 R_{X}\right)+Z_{i n}} \quad \frac{.875(2.68)}{3.55}=.660 \mathrm{~K} \text {-Ohms }
$$

This means that $Z 1$ has to drive not less than $(R 13+Z L)=75+660=735$ ohms. Referring to Figure 4 of Appendix 3G, we find that MC1545 can drive more than 1.5 volts peak to peak into a load of 700 ohms. This is more than sufficient for the .125 volts peak to peak requirement.

In conclusion, therefore, the design satisfies a frequency range up to 15 MHz . In a new design, however, in order to accommodate the requirements of the MSS, an emitter follower Q1 (see Figure 3-15) has been added to drive a 75 ohm delay line (DL1) and to satisfy a 22 MHz frequency response. The performance of the new design has been checked experimentally. No special calculations in the area of Q1 were found necessary.

Next in the signal path is the FM cosine equalizer (apperture corrector). It consists of an unterminated delay line DL1 and a differential signal amplifier Z2MC1545. The frequency response of the equalizer including the equalization adjustment range has been measured in lab. under the worst case environment and has been found to be stable.

The FM equalizer stage MC1545 feeds a discrete component circuit Z3, which is a dual 2 N 2807 . The purpose of this circuit is to match a 75 ohm coax line and to provide 1.0 volts - p.p. signal drive to the "fill-in" switch of the main equalizer board. Both the AC and DC computer analyses have been programmed and exercised on SPECTRA 70/45 computer.

The results of the computer program, after a minor change of few resistor values, indicate that the line driver circuit meets all of the specified requirements under the worst case tolerance deviation.

As previously, the ECAP AC program was generated from the AC ECAP equivalent circuit which is shown in Appendix 3 H . The results of AC analysis are plotted in terms of gain and phase vs. frequency in Figure 3-16 which shows a constant gain from 10 KHz to 20 MHz , and a linear phase in the same frequency range. This means that the line driver circuit meets a criteria of constant group delay.

To show that the line driver circuit will be capable to accommodate 1.0 volt p. p. at its output, a DC computer program was derived from the DC equivalent circuit shown in Appendix 3I. The first computer run using the original circuit component values showed a dynamic range deficiency on the negative signal excursion. Changing
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The potentiometer $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is nominally adjusted to $1 / 8$ of its total from the ground, therefore, impedance looking into the DL1 is essentially:

$$
Z_{L}=\frac{\left(7 / 8 R_{X}\right)\left(Z_{\text {in }}\right)}{\left(7 / 8 R_{X}\right)+Z_{\text {in }}}=\frac{.875(2.68)}{3.55}=.660 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{OHMS}
$$

This means that $\mathrm{Z1}$ has to drive not less than $(\mathrm{R} 13+\mathrm{ZL})=\mathbf{7 5}+660=735 \mathrm{ohms}$. Referring to Figure 4 of Appendix 3G, we find that MC1545 can drive more than 1.5 volts peak to peak into a load of 700 ohms. This is more than sufficient for the . 125 volts peak to peak requirement.

In conclusion, therefore, the design satisfies a frequency range up to 15 MHz . In a new design, however, in order to accommodate the requirements of the MSS, an emitter follower Q1 (see Figure 3-15) has been added to drive a 75 ohm delay line (DL1) and to satisfy a 22 MHz frequency response. The performance of the new design has been checked experimentally. No special calculations in the area of Q1 were found necessary.

Next in the signal path is the FM cosine equalizer (apperture corrector). It consists of an unterminated delay line DL1 and a differential signal amplifier Z2MC1545. The frequency response of the equalizer including the equalization adjustment range has been measured in lab. under the worst case environment and has been found to be stable.

The FM equalizer stage MC1545 feeds a discrete component circuit Z3, which is a dual 2 N 2807 . The purpose of this circuit is to match a 75 ohm coax line and to provide 1.0 volts - p.p. signal drive to the 'fill-in" switch of the main equalizer board. Both the AC and DC computer analyses have been programmed and exercised on SPECTRA 70/45 computer.

The results of the computer program, after a minor change of few resistor values, indicate that the line driver circuit meets all of the specified requirements under the worst case tolerance deviation.

As previously, the ECAP AC program was generated from the AC ECAP equivalent circuit which is shown in Appendix 3H. The results of AC analysis are plotted in terms of gain and phase vs. frequency in Figure 3-16 which shows a constant gain from 10 KHz to 20 KHz , and a linear phase in the same frequency range. This means that the line driver circuit meets a criteria of constant group delay.

To show that the line driver circuit will be capable to accommodate 1.0 volt p.p. at its output, a DC computer program was derived from the DC equivalent circuit shown in Appendix 3I. The first computer run using the original circuit component values showed a dynamic range deficiency on the negative signal excursion. Changing


R24 from $1.0 \mathrm{~K} \Omega \pm 10 \%$ (initial tol.), to 750 ohms, and changing the R25 from 75 ohms $\pm 10 \%$ to $75 \mathrm{ohms} \pm 1 \%$ (initial tol.) resulted in a sufficient dynamic range (see modified DC program pgs. C6 and Figure 3-17 which recapitulates the results of the worst case DC node potentials.)

### 3.5 Control Track/Tach Preamplifier

3.5.1 Introduction - The purpose of the control track preamplifier is to switch the control track head to its record amplifier (in the Transport Unit) during the record mode and to the preamplifier during the playback mode. The purpose of the tachometer preamplifier is to process the low level signal derived from the capstan tachometer pick-up. All signals will be amplified to a sufficient level for transmittal to the Electronic Unit.
3.5.2 - Worst Case Analysis - The circuit and performance requirements of the control track/tach preamplifier are essentially identical to the search track preamplifiers. For this reason, a single Worst Case Analysis has been made which is covered in Section 3.6.2.
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### 3.6 Auxiliary/Search Preamplifier

3.6.1 Introduction. - The purpose of the auxiliary channel preamplifier is to switch the auxiliary head to its record amplifier (in the Transport Unit) when the circuit is in the record mode, and to the preamplifier when in the playback mode. The purpose of the search track preamplifiers is to amplify the playback signals derived from the two search track playback heads. In all cases, the signal levels must be amplified to a sufficient level for transmittal to the Electronic Unit.
3.6.2 Worst Case Analysis. - The Worst Case Analysis for the auxiliary track and the search track preamplifiers are shown in the subsequent sections. Since the control track/tachometer preamplifiers are essentially similar to the search track preamp, the following analysis also covers these circuits.
3.6.2.1 Auxiliary Track Preamplifier. - The playback preamplifier is to be capable of processing FM voltage signal from the pick-up head and to amplify it sufficiently prior to FM limiting in the subsequent limiter: First, the frequency restricting parameters of the design are checked (see Figure 3-18 Aux Preamp Schematic).

### 3.6.2.1.1 Low Frequency Poles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{C} 23 \mathrm{R} 28}=320 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{C} 28 \mathrm{R} 33}=1.59 \mathrm{kHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

3.6.2.1.2 High Frequency Poles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{C} 27 \mathrm{R} 31}=\frac{1}{2 \pi(51) 10^{-12}(21.5) 10^{-3}}=143 \mathrm{kHz} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{C} 32 \mathrm{R} 36}=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(10^{-10}\right)\left(2.15 \times 10^{-5}\right)}=74 \mathrm{kHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

The open loop lag compensation of the Z 5 is at:

$$
f_{L o}=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(10^{-8}\right)\left(3.4 \times 10^{-3}\right)}=4.7 \mathrm{kHz}
$$
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The closed loop gain of each Z5 and Z6 (see Figure 3-8) is:

$$
G=1+\left(\frac{R 31}{R 27}\right)=22.5=>27 \mathrm{~dB}
$$

Projecting to 27 dB at the rate of $20 \mathrm{~dB} /$ decade the $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Lo}}=470 \mathrm{kHz}$. A similar consideration is applicable to Z 6 . Thus the high frequency cutoff is primarily due to a pole at 74 kHz and 144 kHz . The 2.8 kHz to 34 kHz bandwidth of the playback subsystem is considered to be more than sufficient for a faithful discrimination of the auxiliary track frequency modulated signal assuming that the phase equalization and its linearity is not a factor. With a maximum signal input of 4 millivolts peak to peak and with the overall preamp gain of 54 dB , the output delivered to the limiter is $4 \mathrm{X}(505)$ or 2.0 volts peak to peak. The output of Z 6 with $\mathrm{R} 37=2 \mathrm{~K}$ ohms is capable of delivering 3.5 volts peak to peak into 500 ohms load. Therefore, a sufficient undistorted signal drive capability is provided.
3.6.2.2 Search Track Preamplifier. - The search track signal preamplifier is used to amplify and band limit the pre-recorded search track signal representing digital information about a position of the tape. This circuit is located in the Transport Unit and thus is also used to prepare this signal for transmission to the Electronics Unit. The preamplifier consists of two pairs of integrated circuits. Each pair is used to accommodate an output originating from the two magnetic heads, one for search track ' 1 ", the other for search track " 0 ". Since both systems are similar, only one of the preamp pairs ( $Z 1$ and $Z 2$ ) shall be discussed. A schematic diagram of the preamplifier is shown in Figure 3-19.
3.6.2.2.1 AC Considerations. - The high frequency response is limited by two poles. Number one pole which is associated with the Z1 is located at:

$$
f_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \text { R5 C5 }}=\frac{1}{2 \pi(21.5)(51) 10^{-9}}=145 \mathrm{KHz}
$$

and the pole number two associated with Z 2 is located at:

$$
f_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(R_{10}\right) C_{10}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi(21.5)(100) 10^{-9}}=74 \mathrm{KHz}
$$

Thus the bandwidth of the input preamp is sufficient to accommodate the 10 kbs search track signal which will be present during the high speed tape winding. Reducing the high frequency cutoff point may be desirable in consideration of the tape signal to noise ratio.

Figure 3-19 SEARCH TRACK PREAMP

The low frequency rolloff is primarily at:

$$
f_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi R 7 C 6}=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(10^{3}\right)\left(10^{6}\right)}=160 \mathrm{~Hz}
$$

The ac coupling in this case may be appropriate since it tends to reduce low frequency drift. The inband gain of the two integrated circuits $\mathrm{Z1}$ and $\mathrm{Z2}$ is:

$$
G=\left[1+\frac{21.5}{1.0}\right]^{2}=40 \log 22.5=54 \mathrm{db}
$$

Since the threshold detector which will be analyzed subsequently is responsive to a rather small input signal differential, it may be desirable to reduce the gain of the preamplifier in order to improve the output signals to noise performance.
3.6.2.2.2 DC Considerations. - The low frequency drift in the output stage of the preamplifier may cause a false decision by the threshold detector which is located on the search track playback module. Since there is no provisions for the preflight adjustment of either the threshold level or the output dc levels worst case output bias shall be computed from the equivalent circuit shown in Figure 3-20.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{Vo}=\Delta \mathrm{Vd}\left[1+\frac{\mathrm{R} 10}{\mathrm{R} 6}\right] \pm \Delta \mathrm{Id} \mathrm{R10} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{Vd}=\Delta \mathrm{Vd}(\text { initial })+\Delta \mathrm{Vd}(\text { temp. }) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{Vd}= \pm 2.0 \mathrm{mv}+.02 \mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left( \pm 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)= \pm 2.7 \mathrm{mV} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{Id}=\Delta \mathrm{Id}(\text { initial })+\Delta \mathrm{Id}(\text { temp. }) \\
& \overline{\Delta \mathrm{Id}}= \pm 2.0 \mu \mathrm{a}+20 \mathrm{NA} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left( \pm 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)= \pm 2.7 \mu \mathrm{a} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{R}_{10}=\Delta \mathrm{R}_{6}= \pm .05(\mathrm{EOL}+\mathrm{TEMP})
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus:

$$
\overline{\Delta \mathrm{Vo}}= \pm 2.7\left[1+\frac{21.5(1+.05)}{1.0(1-.05)}\right] \pm 2.7(21.5)(1+.05)= \pm 127 \mathrm{mV}
$$

The calculated worst case offset may or may not be of any serious consequences. Its effects upon duty cycle of the recombined search track output signal shall be evaluated in conjunction with other worst case causes in the analysis of the Electronic Unit.

### 3.7 Motor/Solenoid Switch

3.7.1 Introduction. - The purpose of the motor switch circuitry is to switch the output of the motor bridge circuits to the start/run and high speed/low speed windings of the various motors in the Transport Unit. These switches are located in the Transport Unit so that the number of interconnecting wires between the two units can be held to a minimum.

The purpose of the solenoid switch circuitry is to control the pull-in/hold coils of the shoe solenoid. All of the above circuits are housed on a separate subassembly that is hard wired into the Transport Unit. Several resistors are also provided to process the telemetry signals for the temperature, pressure and footage transducers. The Worst Case Analysis for this subassembly is divided into two sections; the first covering the solenoid switch circuit, the second covering the motor switches.

### 3.7.2 Description of Operation.

3.7.2.1 Solenoid Switch. - The solenoid switch circuit controls a solenoid which in turn is mechanically coupled to a concave shoe that holds the magnetic tape against the headwheel during recording and playback. A spring automatically releases the solenoid in the event of power failure, and a number of auxiliary circuits provide additional protection against tape damage by continuously monitoring the capstan speed.

Referring to Figure 3-20, the solenoid switch circuit may be separated into three distinct functions: one; the relay timer, which consists of relay K7, represented by inductor L1 and its normally open (NO) and normally closed (NC) contacts and R1, C1; two; the solenoid driver consisting of transistors Q1, Q2 and Q3 and the solenoid L2 and its transient suppression diode CR15; and three; the solenoid hold circuit, shown as L3 and its transient suppression diodes CR14 and CR17. The relay timer is used to establish the solenoid pull-in duty cycle by turning off the transistor driver when the voltage across Kl decreases below the relay drop-out voltage. Activation of the solenoid pull-in driver and hold coils is initiated by the shoe control signal, $\mathrm{V}_{1}$. As shown in Figure 3-20, hold coil L3 is immediately energized, while the turn-on of Q1, Q2, and Q3 is dependent upon charging of R2 and C2. This RC delay (which incidentally avoids the inductive loading effects of Q3) is included in the circuit design primarily to comply with system power supply current step requirements. Therefore, the voltage across the pull-in coil, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}$, essentially follows the output of Q 1 up until Q1 saturates. Clearly, whether or not Q1 saturates, depends on the driver gain and the relay timer drop-out time.
3.7.2.2 Motor Control. - The motor control circuit consists of relays K1, K2, K3, K4, and K6, which are used to switch power between the start/run windings of the capstan, headwheel, and $\mathrm{I} \omega$ motors. The relays also select the high speed/low speed windings of the capstan motor. K1 controls the I $\omega$ motor, K2 and K3 the headwheel motor, and K4 and K6 control the capstan motor.
3.7.2.3 Telemetry. - In addition to the solenoid driver and motor control relays, the Transport Unit contains several resistor divider networks, R9 through R15, for various Telemetry (TM) functions.
3.7.3 Solenoid Switch Analysis. - To guarantee reliable shoe control, the relay timer and solenoid driver operating requirements must be defined.


#### Abstract

3.7.3.1 Relay Timer, - The relay timer should be designed to provide sufficient time to energize the pull-in coil while simultaneously limiting unnecessary power dissipation and possible complete destruction of the solenoid coil. The minimum ON time must be equal to or greater than the worst case maximum time required to achieve minimum allowable pull-in force. Conversely, the maximum ON time must be equal to or less than the pull-in coil's maximum allowable period of continuous operation. Also of interest to the designer is the power dissipated by the solenoid driver transistor Q3.


3.7.3.2 Solenoid Driver. - Since the solenoid pull-in force varies with temperature, the worst case minimum current gain of the drive circuit must be adequate to energize the pull-in coil under the coil maximum current requirement condition.

Figure 3-21 SOLENOID SWITCH SCHEMATIC WITH REVISED NOMINAL COMPONENT VALUES SHOWN

In order not to exceed the power supply current step limitations, the pull-in, turn-on time must be delayed. However, this delay should be kept at a minimum in order to reduce the time the transistor is in an active region thereby minimizing power dissipation. Transistors which exhibit low leakage characteristics must be selected to eliminate the possibility of thermal runaway.
3.7.3.3 Summary, Solenoid Switch. - An initial Worst Case Analysis of the solenoid switch resulted in a number of recommendations which were incorporated into the revised network.
3.7.3.3.1 Preliminary Analysis. - Initial Worst Case Analysis of the solenoid switch revealed several points of weakness in the preliminary design. The circuit did not contain sufficient drive capability to provide the required pull-in force under worst case conditions. In addition, the driver turn-on time was excessive, compared to the relay timer minimum $O N$ time, permitting the possibility of driver cut-off before the minimum required pull-in force has been achieved.
3.7.3.3.2 Revised Network Analysis. - Worst Case Analysis of the solenoid switch circuit containing the recommended component changes has shown that reliable operation will be achieved for worst case temperature and ageing conditions. The calculated minimum $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ requirement of Q 3 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 33 as compared to the minimum available gain of $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}=35$. Also, the minimum $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ 's of Q 1 and Q 2 exceed the worst case circuit requirements. The minimum developed solenoid pull-in force is 4.75 lbs . vs a required minimum of 4.5 lbs . The minimum solenoid turn-on time is 4.5 ms vs a minimum requirement of 3 ms , and the maximum turn-on time is 33 ms which is well within the relay timer minimum $O N$ time of 41.5 ms . The maximum average power dissipation of Q3 is 1.7 watts, resulting in a junction temperature of $90.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ vs an allowable junction temperature of $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The junction temperatures of Q1 and Q2 are also well within permissible limits. The thermal dissipation of Q3 is $2.5 \mathrm{~mW} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which is much less than its thermal dissipation factor of $28 \mathrm{~mW} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, thus ensuring considerable stability against possible thermal runaway. Over the temperature range of operation, the thermal currents of Q1 and Q2 are negligible. Worst case minimum holding force is 4.8 lbs . vs the specified 4.5 lbs . minimum. In addii ion, the maximum continuous hold voltage is 27 V vs a maximum allowable of 30 V .
3.7.3.4 Detailed Network Analysis. - The solenoid switch schematic showing the recommended values of R2, R6 and C2 is shown in Figure 3-20. The following analysis is based on these values and also on an assumed minimum $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}=35$ for Q 3 . Minimum $h_{F E}$ for Q3 will be assured by specifying this parameter in the specification Control Drawing.
3.7.3.4.1 List of Symbols.

## Transistor Parameters

$V_{C}, V_{E}, V_{B}-d c$ voltages at collector, emitter and base, respectively.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}} \quad$ - Base to emitter voltage.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CB}} \quad$ - Collector to base voltage.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}} \quad$ - Collector to emitter voltage.
$\mathrm{I}_{\text {CBS }}$ - Collector cutoff current, emitter open.
$I_{C}, I_{E}, I_{B} \quad-d c$ currents in collector, emitter and base leads, respectively.
$h_{\text {FE }} \quad-$ Static value of the forward current transfer ratio (common emitter).
$\alpha \mathrm{N} \quad$ - Small signal common base forward current transfer ratio from emitter to collector.
Diodes
$i_{D}$ - Forward current.

## Coils

$R_{L} \quad$ - Winding resistance.

## Notes

a. Symbols including an n refer to specific part numbers.
b. An overline indicates a maximum value.
c. An underline indicates a minimum value.
3.7.3.4.2 Analysis Criteria. - An ambient temperature range of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a 10,000 hour lifetime was used for component and parameter derating. The power supply and shoe control signal are $-24.5 \pm 2 \%$ Vdc, with current step and transient limitations given in system specifications. For purposes of duty cycle
computations, a minimun period of 2 seconds was used. This is approximately the minimum recycling time and is dependent upon the limitations of a series of system protection circuits. Coil resistance variations as a function of temperature were determined linearly using the temperature coefficient of copper. When derated transistor parameters were not defined in manufacturer's specifications, the derating factors shown in Appendix $3 J$ were applied. These parameter derating rules are considered to be conservative and have been generally accepted for worst case circuit design. Appendix 3 J also contains a summary of resistor and capacitor derating factors and the computed limits for all the components used in the sclenoid switch circuit. Appendix 3 K contains detailed worst case calculations.
3.7.3.4.3 Relay Timer Analysis. - As shown in Figure 3-20, the relay timer consists of $\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{C} 1$ and relay K 7 . When the shoe control signal, $\mathrm{V}_{1}$, is applied, the relay is initially energized and remains ON until the charge on C1 reduces the voltage across the relay coil below its minimum drop-out voltage.

To compute the relay drop-out time, let $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ equal the charge on C 1 . Then the voltage across the coil may be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{L 1}=\frac{R_{L 1}}{R_{1}+R_{L 1}}\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 1}=\text { relay coil resistance }
$$

Neglecting the inductive time constant, which is very small compared to the RC time constant, and also neglecting the capacitor low leakage current, then when equation 1 is substituted in the exponential function for a charging capacitor, and solved for time, the relay ON time is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{O N}=\left(R_{1}+R_{L 1}\right) C_{1} L_{\eta} \frac{V_{1} R_{L 1}}{\left.V_{L 1} R_{1}+R_{L 1}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the relay drop-out voltage is extremely sensitive to changes in temperature, the worst case $O N$ times will occur at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L} 1}$ is given as 1.07 V and 4.9 V , respectively. Therefore at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ minimum $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ON}}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 1}\right) \mathrm{C1} \mathrm{Ln} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L1}}}{\left.\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L} 1} \frac{\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 1}\right.}{}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the values of Appendix 3 J , minimum $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ON}}=41.5 \mathrm{~ms}$. Similarly for maximum $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ON}}$, equation 2 is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{T_{O N}}=\left(\bar{R}_{1}+{\overline{R_{L 1}}}\right){\overline{C_{1}}}_{1} L_{n} \frac{\overline{V_{1}} \overline{R_{L 1}}}{\left.{\overline{V_{L 1}}}^{\left(\bar{R}_{1}\right.}+\overline{R_{L 1}}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting the worst case component values in equation 4 , maximum $T_{\mathrm{ON}}=141 \mathrm{~ms}$.
3.7.3.4.4 Solenoid Driver Analysis. - The solenoid driver, shown in Figure $3-20$, is a three-stage transistor amplifier. When the shoe control signal (V1) is applied to the circuit, the solenoid hold coil is immediately energized. However, the solenoid pull-in current increases proportionately to the charge on capacitor C2 until the operating point of Q1 reaches the saturation region. Once Q1 saturates, the pullin current is constant throughout the relay timer ON time. Driver turn-off occurs when relay K7 de-energizes, shorting the base of Q1 to ground through R3. The turnoff time, essentially R3C2, is negligible compared to the turn-on time R2C2. Once the solenoid is pulled-in, it is kept energized by a small holding current in coil L3 until the shoe control signal is interrupted. In general, transistor and diode leakage currents may be neglected in the bulk of the following analysis due to the fact that silicon components have been specified.
3.7.3.4.4.1 Region of Operation. - In order to determine the regions of transistor linear and nonlinear operation, the time required for Q1 to saturate must be calculated. Neglecting capacitor leakage current and transistor base current, which are very small compared to the instantaneous charging current, the rise time of Q1 takes the form of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \quad=R_{2} C_{2} \quad \operatorname{Ln} \frac{V_{1}}{V_{1}-V_{B 1}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 5, the minimum turn-on time is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \quad=R_{\underline{2}} \underline{C_{2}} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{V_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\underline{1}}-\mathrm{V}_{\underline{B 1}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}{\overline{R_{5}}}+\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using component values at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for comparison to the relay timer minimum ON time, and solving the above equations, the minimum rise time of Q1 is $\underline{T}=24.5 \mathrm{~ms}$. Similarly, for the maximum turn-on time of Q1, Equation 5 becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T} \quad=\bar{R}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ln} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B1}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\underline{B 1}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{E} 1} \mathrm{R}_{5}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using component values at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for comparison to the relay timer maximum ON time, and solving the above equations, the maximum rise time of Q1 is: $\overline{\mathrm{T}}=63 \mathrm{~ms}$. When the above turn-on times are compared to the relay timer ON times of $T=41.5 \mathrm{~ms}$ and $\bar{T}=141 \mathrm{~ms}$, it is apparent that the timer ON time is sufficient to permit transistor operation in the saturation region. Therefore, in the Worst Case Analysis, Q1 and Q2 may be considered to saturate during pull-in.
3.7.3.4.4.2 Drive Requirements, - To ensure that worst case pull-in force will be adequate, the transistor minimum allowable $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ 's must be established. Beginning with Q3, from Figure 3-20, the current gain may be determined from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2} \mathrm{R}_{6}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}+\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 7}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2}$ and solving for $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}$, the result is:

$$
h_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{N} 3} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO} 3} \mathrm{R}_{6}}{\left.\alpha_{\mathrm{N} 2}\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cc}}+\left(\frac{I_{\mathrm{cb} 53}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{N} 3} \alpha_{\mathrm{N} 2}}-\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{\mathrm{R}_{7} \alpha_{\mathrm{N} 2}}+\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO} 2}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{N} 2}}\right) R_{6}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}\right)+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 7}\right]} \text { (11) }
$$

Now, for the $h_{\text {FE3 }}$ required, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{N} 3} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{I}}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\underline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 3}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or, calculate the $h_{F E}$ required when $I_{E}$ is maximum and $I_{B}$ available is minimum, which is equivalent to specifying the minimum allowable $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ for Q . Since the coil
resistance decreases with temperature, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}$ will be maximum at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. By specifying the solenoid voltage requirement, maximum Q3 emitter current may be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}}-\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{\underline{\mathrm{R} 7}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\text { solenoid voltage. }
$$

Neglecting $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO}}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and substituting worst case parameters into Equation 11, results in a maximum $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}$ requirement of 33 compared to the specified $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=35$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In a similar manner, the $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ requirements of Q 1 and Q 2 may be determined. From Figure 3-20, for Q2, the emitter current is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2} \mathrm{R}_{6}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 7} \mathrm{R}_{7}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RL} 2} \mathrm{RL} 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, maximum $\frac{I_{B 2}}{T}$ required is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 2}}=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{B} 2}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2}}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for the required pull-in, maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2}=162 \mathrm{~mA}$. Using the minimum $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 2}$ specified at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 2}=53$, maximum required $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 2}=3 \mathrm{~mA}$. To determine if Q 1 is capable of delivering $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 2}, \mathrm{Q} 1$ currents may be computed from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{cc}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1} \mathrm{R}_{5}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE} 1}+\left(I_{\mathrm{C} 1}-I_{\mathrm{B} 2}\right) R_{4} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now solving for minimum available $I_{E 1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{E} 1}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\left(a_{\mathrm{cbo1}}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{-}\right) \mathrm{R}_{4}^{-}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE} 1}}{\mathrm{R}_{5}^{\overline{-}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{N} 1} \mathrm{R}_{4}^{-}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, again neglecting $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO}}$, and assuming $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 2}=3 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=17.2 \mathrm{~mA}$, from which:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{C} 1}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{N} 1} \mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{E} 1}}=17 \mathrm{~mA} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

And, since:

$$
\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{C} 1}=\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{R} 4}+\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{B} 2}
$$

Clearly, $\underline{I}_{\underline{C 1}}$ is sufficient to drive $Q 2$ for ${\overline{I_{B 2}}}=3 \mathrm{~mA}$.
For Q1, maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ required occurs at maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{E 1}}$, or
$\bar{I}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}}{\underline{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 1}}}$
Then using the above results for worst case pull-in, maximum required $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=17.2 \mathrm{~mA}$. And since worst case specified $\underline{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 1}}=60$, then from Equation 19, $\overline{I_{B 1}}=0.29 \mathrm{~mA}$. Now, since:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 2}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
I_{C 2}=\text { Capacitor charging current }
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{R 2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 1}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 1}}{\mathrm{R}_{2}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for the above conditions, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 2}=1.5 \mathrm{~mA}$, which is sufficient to drive the maximum possible requirement of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=0.29 \mathrm{~mA}$.

It is evident as a result of the above analysis that the revised solenoid driver is capable of supplying the required pull-in current under worst case transistor $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ conditions.
3.7.3.4.5 Pull-In Force. - The solenoid pull-in force is directly proportional to the coil current, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L} 2}$. Neglecting thermal current and bias resistor R7, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L} 2}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}$. Then Equation 10 may be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c c}=I_{E 2} R_{6}+V_{\mathrm{CE} 2}+V_{\mathrm{BE} 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

since:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 2} \approx \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then solving the above equations for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}$, and substituting worst case values at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where the coil resistance is maximum, minimum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=3.6 \mathrm{~A}$. This current results in a minimum pull-in voltage of 21.4 V . When translated to the manufacturer specifications, the pull-in voltage is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{P-I} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{I} \text { at } \mathrm{T}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{R_{\mathrm{o}}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$R_{c}=$ Temperature correction factor
Or, for the above value, minimum pull-in voltage is 18.5 V , which is equivalent to 4.75 lbs . force. This exceeds the minimum allowable pull-in of 4.5 lbs .

Since the maximum pull-in voltage is limited by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=27 \mathrm{~V}$, it is not possible to exceed the maximum allowable solenoid voltage of 30 V .
3.7.3.4.6 Switching Time. - Since the L2 inductive time constant is less than 1 ms , the solenoid current rise time and fall time are dependent mainly on the charging and discharging of capacitor C2.
3.7.3.4.6.1 Minimum Turn-On. - The minimum rise time for the maximum current step must be determined for comparison to system minimum allowable limits. Neglecting the Q1 base current, which is small compared to the C2 charging current, the circuit minimum turn-on time is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\mathrm{T}} \quad=\underline{R}_{2} \underline{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ln} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\underline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{B} 1}}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ will be a minimum for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1}$ minimum, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1}$ will be minimum when all $h_{F E}$ 's are maximum, thus requiring minimum drive. Since $h_{F E}$ 's are maximum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, drive currents will be computed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Neglecting thermal currents, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{3}}=$ 3.8 A and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=5.47 \mathrm{~V}$. Now, from Equation $25, \mathrm{~T}=4.5 \mathrm{~ms}$ which clearly exceeds the 3 ms system rise time requirement for a 3.8 A step.
3.7.3.4.6.2 Maximum Turn-On. - The maximum turn-on time required must be calculated to insure that the solenoid switches to its hold condition before the relay timer drops out, cutting off the drive circuit. Maximum turn-on is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T} \quad=\bar{R}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} L_{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ will be maximum when $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1}$ is maximum, which occurs during the maximum drive condition, or at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the current drive equations are evaluated for maximum pull-in, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=5.7 \mathrm{~A}$ and from Equation $26, \overline{\mathrm{~T}}=54.5 \mathrm{~ms}$, which is greater than the minimum relay timer $O N$ time of 41.5 ms , resulting in drive circuit cut-off before the solenoid is fully energized. Therefore, to insure sufficient pull-in force, the time to reach the minimum allowable pull-in force of 4.5 lbs . must be considered. The alternate, but less desirable solution, would be to increase the minimum relay timer ON time. Using the former approach from the manufacturer's specifications, 4.5 lbs . pull-in at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is equivalent to a coil voltage of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=18 \mathrm{~V}$, and correcting for $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, required $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=16.2 \mathrm{~V}$. Using this condition, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=4.1 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=16.64 \mathrm{~V}$. Solving Equation $26, \overline{\mathrm{~T}}=33 \mathrm{~ms}$, which is well within the minimum relay timer ON time to provide the required pull-in force.
3.7.3.4.6.3 Turn-Off. - Driver turn-off is primarily of interest in the calculation of transistor power dissipation during switching. Neglecting the small transistor emitter and collector capacitances and storage times, the driver turn-off may be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{\eta} \frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=\text { Capacitor charge at time }=T
$$

Solving Equation 27 for one time constant at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \overline{\mathrm{T}}=4.27 \mathrm{~ms}$ and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\underline{T}=1.49 \mathrm{~ms}$.
3.7.3.4.7 Transistor Power Dissipation. - Maximum power dissipation will be calculated to determine whether the transistors are operating within their specified maximum ratings and to estimate their maximum junction temperature for purposes of reliability evaluation.
3.7.3.4.7.1 Junction Dissipation. - In general, power dissipation during transistor switching takes the form of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P\left(t_{\text {off }}\right)+P\left(t_{o n}\right)+P\left(t_{s w 1}\right)+P\left(t_{s w}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\text {off }} & =\text { OFF time } \\
t_{\text {ON }} & =\text { ON time }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\text {sw1 }}=\text { Turn-on time } \\
& t_{\text {sw2 }}=\text { Turn-off time }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming a linear rise time, the energy dissipated during a switching interval is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{sw}}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CE}}(\mathrm{OFF}) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{sw}}}{6} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{SW}}$ OFF, which is small compared to the maximum turn-on time, and storage and delay times, then combining Equations 28 and 29, the average power over a complete cycle is:
where:

$$
T \quad=\text { Switching Period }
$$

Due to system protection and recycle requirements, the minimum switching period is given as $T=2 \mathrm{~s}$.* Since the relay timer maximum ON time is 141 ms , the driver maximum operating period is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{on}}+\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{sw}}=141 \mathrm{~ms} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 30, it is clear that maximum dissipation occurs for $\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{SW}}, \overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\text {on }}$, $\bar{I}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{V}_{\overline{\mathrm{CE}}(\mathrm{ON})}, \mathrm{I}_{\overline{\mathrm{CBO}}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}^{(\mathrm{OFF})}$. Moreover, the worst case mode of operation is at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and maximum P avg will be computed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
3.7.3.4.7.1.1 Q3 Dissipation. - Assuming maximum $V_{C E 3(O N)}$ results for $\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CC}}, \overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}, \overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}$ (equivalent to $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2}$ ) and $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 3}$ results for RL2, then when Equation 11 is solved for ${ }_{E 3}$, the result is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=  \tag{32}\\
& R_{L 2}+\frac{\alpha N_{3} \underline{R_{6}}}{h_{F E 3} \alpha N_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

[^4]substituting worst case parameters and component values, at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=4.72 \mathrm{~A}$, $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 3}=4.62 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=22.5 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 3}=2.5 \mathrm{~V}$. From Equation 26 , the maximum turn-on time at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{SW}}=39 \mathrm{~ms}$. Then, substituting $\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{Sw}}$ into Equation $31, \overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{ON}}=$ 102 ms , and since:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{OFF}}=\mathrm{T}-\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ON}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{SW}}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

then,

$$
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{t}_{\text {OFF }}=2-.144=1.86 \mathrm{~S}
$$

When the above values are substituted into Equation $30, \overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{Q} 3}=1.7 \mathrm{~W}$.
3.7.3.4.7.1.2 Q2 Dissipation. - Following the above procedure for Q2, $\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}$ $\mathrm{ON}=.41$, and $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 2} \mathrm{ON}=110 \mathrm{~mA}$. Then, evaluating Equation $30, \overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=11.3 \mathrm{mw}$.
3.7.3.4.7.1.3 Q1 Dissipation. - Similarly for $\mathrm{Q} 1, \overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{CE}} \mathrm{ON}=8 \mathrm{~V}$, and $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 1}$ $\mathrm{ON}=12 \mathrm{~mA}$. When Equation 30 is evaluated, $\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=5.9 \mathrm{mw}$.

To determine the maximum allowable transistor power dissipation, the maximum junction temperature must be calculated.
3.7.3.4.7.2 Junction Temperature. - Transistor operating junction temperature without a heat sink is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}_{J}=\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}+\theta_{\boldsymbol{J} \sim \mathrm{A}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{A}}=\theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{C}}+\theta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{A}}=\text { Thermal resistance from junction to free air }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}\right) \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{C}}=\text { Thermal resistance from junction to case }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}\right) \\
& { }^{\theta} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A} \\
& \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}=\text { Thermal resistance from case to free air }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}\right) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=\text { Ambient temperature }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{T}}=\text { Tranction temperature }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the duty cycle of Q3 involves pulses of power dissipation occurring over a period of time much less than the transistor typical thermal time constant ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{JG}}=$ 165 sec ), then the junction temperature rise is governed by the average, rather than the instantaneous power dissipation. Thus, for Q 3 , at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using nominal thermal resistances and $P_{T}=1.7$ watts, the maximum junction temperature is $\bar{T}_{j}=$ $119.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Similarly, for Q2, $T_{j}+62.12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and for $\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=62.58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since the ERTS system maximum allowable transistor junction temperature is $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a heat sink was required to reduce the junction temperature of Q3.

The Q3 heat sink is 6.6 sq . in. of copper clad circuit board. If the effects of heat convection are neglected due to zero gravity and the heat sink is isolated, preventing conduction, then heat transfer from the heat sink is entirely due to radiation. When the area of the heat sink is much smaller than the area of the surrounding surface, the radiant heat transfer in $B T U / \mathrm{hr}$. is given by:

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{1} \sigma\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}^{4}-\mathrm{T}_{2}^{4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}_{1} & =\text { heat sink area }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{e}_{1} & =\text { emissivity (approximately } 0.8 \text { for unpolished copper) } \\
\sigma & =\text { Stefan-Boltzman constant }\left(0.173 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr} .-\mathrm{ft}^{2}-\mathrm{R} 4\right) \\
\mathrm{T}_{1} & =\text { temperature of last sink }\left({ }^{\circ}\right. \text { Rankine) } \\
\mathrm{T}_{2} & =\text { temperature of surrounding surface }\left({ }^{\circ}\right. \text { Rankine) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the heat sink is copper, which has a high conductivity, it may be assumed that the temperature of the heat sink is uniform, and that its temperature may be calculated for a given heat transfer. Then solving the above equation for $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\left(\frac{Q+A_{1} e_{1} \sigma T_{2}^{4}}{A_{1} e_{1} \sigma}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case values into Equation $35, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=650^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$ or $87.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Now, for a heat sink mounted transistor, the junction temperature is:

$$
T_{J}=T_{C}+\left(\theta_{J-C}+\theta_{C-S}\right) P_{T}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{C-S}=\text { thermal resistance from case to heat sink }
$$

For a mica insulator, $\theta_{C-S}=0.5$, and evaluating the above equation, $\bar{T}_{J}=$ $90.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the above temperatures, the power ratings are as follows:

|  | DISSIPATION | JUNCTION <br> TEMPERATURE | MAXIMUM <br> RATED POWER | MAXIMUM <br> Q1 <br> Q2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5.9 mw | $62.58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 200 mw | 210 mw |
| Q3 | 11.3 mw | $62.12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 550 mw | 330 mw |
|  | 1.7 W | $90.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 125 W | 87 W |

Comparing the above values indicates all the transistors are operating within their allowable power ratings.
3.7.3.4.7.3 Thermal Stability. - To avoid thermal runaway due to thermal regeneration, the rate at which junction heat is released as the junction temperature increases must not exceed the rate at which power can be dissipated. This may be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{T}}}{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}} \leq \frac{1}{\theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{A}}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{T}, T_{j}$ and $\theta J-A$ are defined in Paragraph 3.7.3.4.7.2. Assuming current flow in the cut-off region is primarily due to thermal current, this rate of change of power dissipation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\Delta \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{J}}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}} \Delta_{\mathrm{CBO}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\text {cbo }}=\frac{10 \%}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for Q3, maximum

$$
\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cbo}}=\frac{0.1 \mathrm{MA}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}
$$

And substituting into Equation 36, the result for Q3 is:
2.5 MW $\leq 28.8 \mathrm{MW}$

In a similar manner, for Q2,

$$
\overline{\Delta \mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{cbo}}=.032 \mu \mathrm{~A}, \text { and } \theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{A}}=188
$$

and from Equation 36,

$$
.0007 \mathrm{MW} \leq 5.3 \mathrm{MW}
$$

Also, for Q1,

$$
\overline{\Delta I}_{\text {cbo }}=.032 \mu \mathrm{~A}, \text { and } \theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{A}}=438
$$

and from Equation 36,

$$
.0007 \mathrm{MW} \leq 2.3 \mathrm{MW}
$$

Clearly, from the above inequalities, the temperature stability of transistors Q1, Q2 and Q3 is sufficient to prevent thermal runaway.
3.7.3.4.8 Hold Circuit. - The hold circuit consists of inductor L3 and clamping diodes CR14 and CR17. Of primary interest in the hold circuit analysis is the minimum available holding force, maximum turn-on time and maximum power dissipation.
3.7.3.4.8.1 Holding Force. - Since holding force varies inversely with temperature, minimum force will be developed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Using the manufacturer's specifications, holding force at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for minimum $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=24 \mathrm{~V}$ is $6 \pm 10 \% \mathrm{lbs}$. Dividing by the temperature correction factor, $R_{c}=1.13$.

Force $=4.8 \mathrm{lbs}$.
Maximum holding force occurs at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=25 \mathrm{~V}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Again, from the manufacturer's specification, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the holding force $=6.4 \pm 10 \% \mathrm{lbs}$. Correcting for temperature, for $R_{c}=.9$.
$\overline{\text { Force }}=7.8 \mathrm{lbs}$.
Since the minimum allowable holding force is 4.5 lbs . vs the minimum developed force of 4.8 lbs ., the worst case holding requirement will be satisfied.
3.7.3.4.8.2 Rise Time. - The build up of holding force is limited by the inductive time constant, expressed as

$$
\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{L} / \mathbf{R}
$$

Or, the maximum time required to achieve $63.2 \%$ of the maximum holding force of approximately 4.9 lbs . at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is:

$$
\overline{\mathbf{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\underline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 3}}=0.27 \mathrm{MS}
$$

To calculate the minimum pull-in time available at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{T}(\text { Pull -In ON })=\underline{T}(\text { Relay Timer ON })-\bar{T}(\text { Pull-In Turn-ON }) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields the minimum pull-in ON time of 8.5 ms . Or, the quiescent pull-in force can be considered to be present long enough for the hold coil to energize under worst case conditions.
3.7.3.4.8.3 Power Dissipation. - Maximum hold coil power dissipation occurs at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where coil resistance is minimum, resulting in maximum holding current. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{P}}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{2}}{\underline{R}_{\mathbf{L}}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case parameters, $\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=6.3 \mathrm{~W}$. For purposes of evaluating equipment temperature rise, the maximum power dissipation at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{60}{ }^{\circ}=5.1 \mathrm{~W}$. Since the coil is rated at 30 V , and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{V}} 1$ is 27 V , there is no possibility of coil damage due to overload.
3.7.4 Motor Control. - Of primary interest in the motor control system design is whether the minimum available relay turnon voltage is sufficient to activate the relays under worst case conditions, the maximum contact current and reverse voltage transient suppression. Since the peak suppression and contact currents are covered in the Stress Analysis Section of this report, only the turnon voltage is discussed below.

The motor control relays, one side of which are connected to the primary power, are energized by grounding the control line through another relay contact. Thus, the voltage available at the coil is limited only by the relay contact resistance and may be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {coil }}=V_{c c}-I_{\text {coil }} R_{c} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{c}}=\text { contact resistance } \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=\text { primary power }
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the motor control relay:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {coil }}=\frac{V_{\text {coil }}}{R_{\text {coil }}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the above equations, and solving for minimum coil voltage:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {coil }}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}}{1+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\text {coil }}}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case value into Equation 44, the minimum available coil voltage is 23.9 volts, which is much greater than the maximum required pick-up voltage of 18 V . Since the maximum available coil voltage is $\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{cc}}=27 \mathrm{~V}$, and the maximum allowable voltage is 29 V , there is no possibility of coil overload.

Relay contact bounce, operate and release times are insignificant in the motor control circuit operation because the motor start sequence typically lasts several seconds.
3.7.5 Conclusions and Recommendations. - With the recommended values of R2, R6 and C2, and a minimum hFE 2 N 4399 incorporated into the solenoid switch design, the Worst Case Analysis has shown that all operating requirements will be satisfied over the temperature range of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a lifetime of 10,000 hours. However, overall system efficiency and circuit reliability may be increased through several suggested improvements in future designs.

The maximum holding coil power dissipation ( 6.3 watts) is substantial, considering it to be more or less continuous. Conceivably, if size is not a major obstacle, this power consumption may be reduced considerably by a redesign of the coil winding. By using smaller wire size with an increased number of turns, the coil resistance would be increased, while at the same time providing the required holding force.*
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## APPENDIX 1A

## PRELIMINARY PART LIST

FOR

TRANSPORT UNIT

$$
1 A-1
$$



|  | QTY | FINAL |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ITEM | INTERIM |  |  |
| (501) | RCA NUMBER | NMMBER |  |

28
29
30
31
32
33
34 1 8359750-501
35
8359688-501

Video Rec/Preamp
Video Playback Amp
Control/Tach Preamp
Aux/Search Preamp
Motor/Solenoid Switch

| QTY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 8777189-1 | Reel |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 8777188-1 | Hub, Reel |
| 3 | - | 1 | 8509260-501 | Drive Shaft, Reel |
| 4 | 1 | - | 8509260-502 | Drive Shaft, Reel |
| 5 | 1 | - | 8150516-1 | Spanner, Reel Brg |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 8509261-1 | Spacer \} Matched Pair |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 8509261-2 | Spacer |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | SR8FFW5DB 10CG-6 | Barden Brg, Matched Pr. |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 8509259-1 | Retainer, Reel Brg |
| 10 | 1 | - | 8778705-501 | Pulley Assembly, Take-Up |
| 11 | 1 | - | 8509261-13 | spacer |
| 12 | 1 | - | 8509261-14 | Spacer ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 13 | 1 | - | SFR1810SSW5DB2CG-6 | Barden Brg, Matched Pr. |
| 14 | 1 | - | 8150518-1 | Lock, Reel Shaft |
| 15 | 1 | - | 8150517-1 | Lock, Reel Pulley |
| 16 | 1 | - | 8509293-1 | Spacer |
| 17 | 1 | - | MS28775-01 1 | "0' Ring |
| 18 | 1 | - | 8509296-1 | Retainer Brg |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 8150511-1 | Spacer, Shaft |
| 20 | - | 1 | 8778743-501 | Pulley Ass'y, Supply |
| 21 | - | 1 | 8509299-2 | C lamp |


| QTY |  |  |  | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER |  |
| 1 | - | 1 | 8778708-1 | Plate, Bottom |
| 2 | 1 | - | 8778708-2 | Plate, Bottom |
| 3 | - | 1 | 8656722-1 | Plate, Top |
| 4 | 1 | - | 8656722-2 | Plate, Top |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 8150500-1 | Spacer, Post |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 8150503-1 | Shaft |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 8150504-1 | Bushing |
| 8 | - | 1 | 8150502-2 | Spring |
| 9 | 1 | - | 8150502-1 | Spring |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 8509200-1 | Cam |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 8509200-2 | Cam |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 8778706-1 | Bracket, Adjustable |
| 13 | 2 | 2 | $115 M 423$ | Micro-Switch |
| 14 | 2 | 2 | JS-5 | Switch Actuator, Micro-Switch |
| 15 | $\times$ | 1 | 8761443-1 | Potentiometer |
| 16 | $\times$ | 1 | 8778738-1 | Gear, Spur |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 8150646-1 | Bushing, Pot |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | JL. PL 6908031 | Arm Assembly |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 8505275-23 | Connector |
| 20 | 1 | 1 | 8509286-1 | Spring Retainer |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 8151207-1 | Spacer, Conn. Mtg. |
| 22 | 1 | 1 | 8151208-1 | Plate, Conn. Mtg. |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 8150647-1 | Insulator, Micro-Switch |
|  |  |  | 8489878-1 | Cleat |


|  | QTY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ITEM | 502 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $8778709-1$ | ARm |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $8778765-1$ | Post, FIexure |
| 3 | - | 1 | $8778738-3$ | Gear, SPur |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $8151204-501$ | Roller |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | $8150645-1$ | Retainer, Brg |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | $8150643-1$ | Retainer, Brg |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | $8150644-1$ | Retainer, Brg |
| 8 | 2 | 2 | SR166SSW3G-6 | Bearing, Barden |

TAPE GUIDE ASSEMBLY JL. PL6907263

| QTY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART MUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 8509292-1 | Washer |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 8509295-1 | Cap |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | MS28775-011 | "0''Ring |
| 4 | - | 1 | 8509293-1 | Spacer |
| 5 | 1 | - | 8509293-2 | Spacer |
| 6 | - | 1 | 8778737-3 | Roller (2.000) |
| 7 | 1 | - | 8778737-4 | Roller (2.040) |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | SFR1810SSW5DB2CG-6 | Barden Brg, Matched Pr. |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 8509296-1 | Retainer, Brg |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 8151209-1 | $\text { Spacer }\}$ |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 8151209-2 | Spacer Matched Pair |
| 12 | - | 1 | 8656728-503 | Guide Post |
| 13 | 1 | - | 8656728-504 | Guide Post |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | $3803 \mathrm{IK}-96 \mathrm{C}-6$ | Scr, But.Hd. 6-32x. 38 |
| 15 | 6 | 6 | 28711N-94C-3 | Scr, Set, 4-40x. 18 |
| 16 | AR | AR | 8151210-2 | Shim . 002 Thick |
| 17 | AR | AR | 8151210-3 | Shim . 003 Thick |
| 18 | AR | AR | 8151210-4 | Shim . 005 Thick |
| 19 | AR | AR | 8151210-5 | Shim . 010 Thick |

## ERASE HEAD ASSEMBLY

JL PL6907264

| QTY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | - | 2 | 3315105-1 | Cores |
| 2 | - | 1 | 3315113-1 | Mount |
| 3 | - | 1 | 3313535-1 | Base |
| 4 | - | 1 | 3313536-1 | Cover |
| 5 | - | 1 | 8508097-1 | Clamp |
| 6 | - | 1 | 8722717-2 | Connector |


| QTY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER |
| 1 |  | 1 | JL. PL6908032-501 |
| 2 |  | 1 | 3314528-501 |
| 3 |  | 1 | 3312369-1 |
| 4 |  | 1 | JL. 6907252-1 |
| 5 |  | 1 | 3310983-2 |
| 6 |  | 1 | 3310984-2 |
| 7 |  | 1 | 3310980-1 |
| 8 |  | 1 | 3312718-2 |
| 9 |  | 2 | 3311348-1 |
| 10 |  | 1 | 3313527-1 |
| 11 |  | 1 | 3311447-1 |
| 12 |  | 1 | 3311588-1 |
| 13 |  | 1 | 3311589-1 |
| 14 |  | 1 | 3313525-1 |
| 15 |  | 1 | 3313528-1 |
| 16 |  | 1 | 3313526-1 |
| 17 |  | 1 | 8656766-2 |
| 18 |  | 1 | 3314335-501 |
| 19 |  | 1 | 8505275-31 |
| 20 |  | 1 | Drawings not Available until later date |
| 21 |  | 1 | JL 6907312-1 |
| 22 |  | 1 | 3315116-1 |

## DESCRIPTION

Motor Headwheel Assembly
Shoe Assembly
Flex (Vertical)
Level (Shoe Actuator)
Knob (Shoe Stop)
Nut (Special)
Scr, Hex Soc Special
Stabilizer Arm
Wedge
Bracket, Spring
Spring, Shoe Disengage
Clamp, Solenoid
Clamp, Solenoid
Clamp, Shoe Stop
Lug, Engage Solenoid
Mount, Engage Solenoid
Mount, Tonewheel Head
Tone Head
Connector
Transformer Assembly

Scr, \#6-64x. 38
Mount, Solenoid

## MOTOR HEADWHEEL ASSEMBLY JL PL6908032

| QTY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 |  | 1 | 8359743-501 | Motor Assembly |
| 2 |  | 1 | 8778790-1 | Shaft, HW |
| 3 |  | 1 | SR6STA5DF2ER2CV26CG-6 | Barden Matched Pair |
| 4 |  | 1 | 3313546-1 | End Cap |
| 5 |  | 1 | SR4STA50B2ER2CV26CG-6 | Barden Matched Pair |
| 6 |  | 1 | 3313520-1 | Mount, H.W. Tonewheel |
| 7 |  | 1 | 3315103-1 | Tonewheel |
| 8 |  | 1 | 3313518-1 | Inter lock |
| 9 |  | 1 |  | HW Assembly |
| 10 |  | 4 |  | Rotary Transformer |


| QTY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | - | x | 3315247-501 | Mount \& Head Assembly |
| 2 | - | 1 | 3317315-501 | Head Assembly |
| 3 | - | 1 | 3317307-1 | Block |
| 4 | - | 2 | 3313704-501 | Core Assembly |
| 5 | - | 2 | 3313704-502 | Core Assembly |
| 6 | - | 2 | 3313704-503 | Core Assembly |
| 7 | - | 90 | 3312552-1 | Lamination |
| 8 | - | 4 | 3313705-501 | Terminal Board Assembly |
| 9 | - | 4 | 3312420-2 | Board Terminal |
| 10 | - | 8 | 3312539-1 | Terminal |
| 11 | - | 2 | 3331409-1 | Shield |
| 12 | - | 1 | 3331404-1 | Shield |
| 13 | - | 4 | 8722717-2 | Connector |
| 14 | - | 1 | 3317301-1 | Mount |
| 15 | - | 1 | 3331406-1 | Clamp, Plate |
| 16 | - | 1 | 3331405-1 | Cover, Plate |


| QTY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 |  | 1 | 8509292-2 | Washer |
| 2 |  | 1 | SR4SW5DB2ER2CG-6 | Barden Brg, Match Pr. |
| 3 |  | 1 | 8509248-1 | Shaft |
| 4 |  | 1 | 8509247-501 | Housing |
| 5 |  | 1 | SR6SW5DF2ER2CG-6 | Barden Brg, Matched Pr. |
| 6 |  | 1 | 8150508-1 | Ring |
| 7 |  | 1 | 8777121-1 | Pulley |
| 8 |  | 1 | 8509299-1 | C lamp |
| 9 |  | 2 | 38031K-96C-6 | Scr, But.Hd 6-32x. 38 |

14

| QTY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 TEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 |  | 1 | 8778735-501 | Motor |
| 2 |  | 1 | 8509255-1 | Pulley |
| 3 |  | 1 | 8150588-1 | Tonewheel |
| 4 |  | 1 | 8656766-1 | Tone Head Mount |
| 5 |  | 1 | 8505242-73 | Connector |
| 6 |  | 1 | 8150571-1 | Ring, TW Lock |
| 7 |  | 1 | 8150587-1 | Spacer, TW |
|  |  | 1 | 3311053-1 | Spring |
| 14 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 15 |  | 1 | 8778771-1 | Clamp, Motor |

JL PL. 6907269

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | $\underline{502}$ | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | - | 1 | 8778741-1 | Plate, Negator Mtg. |
| 2 | - | 1 | 8509277-1 | Shaft, Negator Take-Up |
| 3 | - | 2 | 8150511-2 | Spacer |
| 4 | - | 2 | 8509278-501 | Drum, Negator Take-Up |
| 5 | - | 2 | 8509284-1 | Ring, Negator Take-Up |
| 6 | - | 2 | 8509261-6 | Spacer |
| 7 | - | 2 | 8509261-7 | Spacer |
| 8 | - | 1 | 8509261-8 | Spacer, Drum |
| 9 | - | 2 | 8509292-3 | Washer |
| 10 | - | 1 | 8778744-1 | Shaft, Negator Output |
| 11 | - | 1 | 8777199-501 | Drum, Negator Output |
| 12 | - | 1 | 8509261-9 | Spacer $\}$ Match Pair |
| 13 | - | 1 | 8509261-10 | Spacer |
| 14 | - | 1 | 8509285-1 | Ring, Negator Output |
| 15 | - | 1 | 8778700-1 | Housing, Differential |
| 16 | - | 1 | 8509276-1 | End Plate, Top, Diff. |
| 17 | - | 1 | 8509270-1 | End Plate, Bottom, Diff. |
| 18 | - | 1 | 8509246-1 | Ring, Top |
| 19 | - | 2 | 8509271-1 | Ring, Bottom |
| 20 | - | 1 | 8509245-1 | Cap |
| 21 | - | 1 | 8509261-3 | Spacer |
| 22 | - | 1 | 8778701-501 | Pulley Assembly |
| 23 | - | 1 | 8778702-501 | Pulley Assembly |
| 24 | - | 3 | SFR6SSW5DB5 -G6 | Bearing, Barden Pair |
| 25 | - | 1 | 8656765-501 | Differential |
| 26 | - | 1 | 8150639-1 | Gear, Negator Drum |
| 27 | - | 1 | 8509275-501 | End Plate |


|  | QTY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 28 | - | 1 | SR4FW5DF2CG-6 | Bearing, Barden Pair |
| 29 | - | 1 | SFR4FW5DB2CG-6 | Bearing, Barden Pair |
| 30 | - | 2 |  | Spring, Negator |

## MOMENTUM COMPENSATION ASSEMBLY (H.W.) <br> JL PL6907271

|  | QTY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ITEM | 502 | SO1 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | - | 1 | $8778734-501$ | Motor |
| 2 | - | 1 | $8656763-501$ | Inertia Comp. |
| 3 | - | 1 | $8656763-502$ | Inertia Comp. |
| 4 | - | 1 | $8150520-1$ | Interlock |

## MOMENTUM COMPENSATOR (REEL) JL PL6907272

| Qty |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 502 | 501 | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | - | 1 | 8509254-1 | Shaft |
| 2 | - | 1 | 8150511-2 | Spacer |
| 3 | - | 1 | 8509261-4 | Spacer <br> $\rangle$ Matched Pair |
| 4 | - | 1 | 8509261-5 | Spacer |
| 5 | - | 1 | SFR6SSW5DB5G-6 | Barden Brg, Matched Pr. |
| 6 | - | 1 | 8509253-1 | Ring |
| 7 | - | 1 | 8509245-2 | Cap |
| 14 | - | 1 | 8151226-1 | C lamp |


| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AI | 8150561-1 | Current Probe (CT-2) |
| Cl | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C2 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| C3 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c4 | CKR06BXI 04 KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C5 | 8150547-41 | Capacitor, Tant, 2.2 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 35 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c6 | CKR068×104KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C7 | CKR068 $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c8 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C9 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C10 | CKR06BXIOHKP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C11 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C 12 | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C13 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C14 | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| C15 | CM05CDI02J03 | Capacitor, Mica, $12 \mathrm{PF}, \pm 5 \%$, 500 V |
| C16 | 8150547-41 | Capacitor, Tant, 2.2 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 35 V |
| C17 | 8150547-41 | Capactor, Tant, 2.2 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 35 V |
| C18 | CKR06BXIO4KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C19 | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C20 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C21 | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C22 | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C23 | CKR06BXIO4KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 100 V |
| C24 | CKR06BXIO4KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| C25 | CKR06BXIO4KP | Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| C26 | CKR06BXIO4KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~W}$ |

ITEM C27 C28 C29 C30 C31

C32 C33 C34 C35 C36 C37 C38 C39 C40

PART NUMBER CKR06BX104KP

CKR06BX104KP
CKR06BXIO4KP
CKR068×104KP
CMO5CD $120 J 03$
8150547-41
8150547-4i
CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$
CKR06BX104KP
CKR06BXIO4KP
CKR06BXIO4KP
CKR06BXI04KP
8150547-15
CKR06BXIO4KP
CK06B×105K
8150547-15
CK06B×105K
CKR06BXIO4KP
CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$
8150547-15
CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$
CK06BX105K
8150547-15
CK06BXI05K
CKR06BX104KP
JANTXIN645
JANTXIN645
M5757/40-010

DESCRIPTION
Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, Mica, 12 PF, $\pm 5 \%, 500 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, Tant, 2.2 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 35V
Capacitor, Tant, 2.2 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 35 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 10 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~W}$
Capacitor, Tant, 22 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 15 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, Tant, $22 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 15 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V
Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, Tant, 22 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 15 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~N}$
Capacitor, Tant, 22 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 15 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~V}$
Capacitor, CER, 0.1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ :
Diode
Diode
Relay (412-26)

| STEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LI | 8150567-26 | Coil, 12 uH (SW-W-12) |
| L2 | 8150567-26 | Coil, 12 uH (SW-W-12) |
| L3 | 8150567-26 | Coil, 12 uH (SW-W-12) |
| 4 | 8150567-49 | Coil, 1000 UH (SW-W-1000) |
| 15 | 8150567-26 | Coil, 12 uH (SW-W-12) |
| 16 | 8150567-26 | Coil, 12 uH (SW-W-12) |
| L7 | 8150567-26 | Coil, 12 uH (SW-W-12) |
| 48 | 8150567-49 | Coil, 1000 uH (SW-W-1000) |
| P80/86 |  | Connector (Heads) |
| P81/87 |  | Connector (Power) |
| P82/88 |  | Connector (Output) |
| P83/89 |  | Connector (Part of Al) |
| P84/90 |  | Conneçtor (Output) |
| Q1 | JANTX2N325 IA | Transistor, si, PNP |
| Q2 | JANTX2N3251A | Transistor, si, PNP |
| 03 | JANTX2N2218A | Transistor, si, NPN |
| Q4 | JANTX2N2222A | Transistor, si, NPN |
| 05 | JANTX2N2907A | Transistor, si, PNP |
| Q6 | JANTX2N325iA | Transistor, si, PNP |
| Q7 | JANTX2N3251A | Transistor, si, PNP |
| Q8 | JANTX2N22 18A | Transistor, si, NPN |
| Q9 | JANTX2N2222A | Transistor, si, NPN |
| Q10 | JANT X2N2907A | Transistor, si, PNP |
| Q11 | 8150548-1 | Transistor, si, NPN (2N3572) |
| Q12 | 8150548-1 | Transistor, si, NPN (2N3572) |
| Q13 | JANTX2N2369A | Transistor, si, NPN (2N3572) |
| Q14 | 8150548-1 | Transistor, si, NPN (2N3572) |
| Q15 | 8150548-1 | Transistor, si, NPN (2N3572) |

PART NUMBER
JANTX2N2369A
RCRO7G151JP
RCR07G220JP
RNR55C 1001FP
RNR55C $1001 F P$
RCR07G302JP
RCR07G 122JP
RNR55C 1001 FP
RNR55C 1001FP
RCR07G432JP
RCR07G272JP
RCRO7G 102JP
RCR07G122JP
RCR07G472JP
RCR07G10IJP
RCR07G472JP
RCR07G102JP
RNR55C2000FP
RJ24C×501
RCR07G562.JP
RCRO7G101JP
RNR55C2001FP
RCR07G101JP
RCR07G392.JP
RCR07G511JP
RCR07G820JP
RCR20G202JP
RNR55C 1001FP

## DESCRIPTION

Transistor, si, NPN (2N3572)
Resistor, Comp, $150 \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, $1000, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, $1000, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 3000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 1200, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, 1000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, 1000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 4300, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 2700, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 1200, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 4700, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 100, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 4700, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{w}$
Resistor, Comp, $1000, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, 200, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Var, 500, $\pm 10 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $5600, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, 2000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 100, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 3900, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 510, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 82, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 2000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, $1000, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$

| 1 TEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R28 | RCR07G101JP | Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R29 | RNR60C3001F? | Resistor, Film, 3000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 8 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R30 | RCR07G22 IJP | Resistor, Comp, 220, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R31 | RCR07G102JP | Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R32 | RCR07G102JP | Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R33 | RCR20G101JP | Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R34 | RCR07G202JP | Resistor, Comp, 2000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R35 | RCR07G470JP | Resistor, Comp, 47, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R36 | RCR2OG201JP | Resistor, Comp, 200, $55 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R37 | RCR07G202JP | Resistor, Comp, 2000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R38 | RCR20G101JP | Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R39 | RCR07G100JP | Resistor, Comp, 10, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R40 | RCR07G100JP | Resistor, Comp, 10, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R41 | RNR55C $1001 F P$ | Resistor, Film, 1000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R42 | RCR07G100JP | Resistor, Comp, 10, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R43 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor, Film, 1000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R44 | RCR07G100JP | Resistor, Comp, 10, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R45 | RCR07G430.JP | Resistor, Comp, 43, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R46 | RCR07G101JP | Resistor, Comp, 100, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R47 | RCR07G472JP | Resistor, Comp, 4700, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R48 | RCR07G102JP | Resistor, Comp, $1000, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R49 | RNR55C2000FP | Resistor, Film, 200, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R50 | RJ24CX501 | Resistor, Var, $500, \pm 10 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R51 | RCR07G562JP | Resistor, Comp, 5600, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R52 | RCR07G101JP | Resistor, Comp, 100, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R53 | RNR55C2001FP | Resistor, Film, 2000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R54 | RCR07G101JP | Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R55 | RCR07G392JP | Resistor, Comp, 3900, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |


|  | ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R56 | RCR076511JP | Resistor, Comp, 510, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R57 | RCR07G820JP | Resistor, Comp, 82, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R58 | RCR20G202.JP | Resistor, Comp, 2000, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/2W |
|  | R59 | RNR55C 1001 FP | Resistor, Film, 1000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R60 | RCR07G101JP | Resistor, Comp, 100, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R61 | RNR60C3001FP | Resistor, Film, 3000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 8 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R62 | RCR07G22 1JP | Resistor, Comp, 220, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R63 | RCR07G 102JP | Resistor, Comp, $1000, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R64 | RCR07G 102JP | Resistor, Comp, $1000, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R65 | RCR20G101JP | Resistor, Comp, 100, $55 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R66 | RCR07G202JP | Resistor, Comp, 2000, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R67 | RCR07G470.JP | Resistor, Comp, 47, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R68 | RCR20G201JP | Resistor, Comp, 200, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R69 | RCR07G202.JP | Resistor, Comp, 2000, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R70 | RCR20G101JP | Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R71 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor, Comp, $10, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R72 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor, Comp, 10, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R73 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor, Film, 1000, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R74 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor, Comp, $10, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R75 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor, Film, 1000, $\pm 1 \%$, 1/10W |
|  | R76 | RCR07G100.JP | Resistor, Comp, 10, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R77 | RCR07G430JP | Resistor, Comp, 43, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R78 | RNR55C5 IR IFP | Resistor, Film, 51.1, $\pm 1 \%$, $1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R79 | RNR55C392 1FP | Resistor, Film, 3920, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R80 | RNR55C392 IFP | Resistor, Film, 3920, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R81 | RCR07G910JP | Resistor, Comp, 91, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R82 | RCR07G102JP | Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| 1A-22 | R83 | RNR55C4750FP | Resistor, Film, 475, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |


| 1TEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R84 | RNR55C 1000FP | Resistor, Film, 100, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R85 | RNR55C47R5FP | Resistor, Film, 47.5, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R86 | RNR55C 1501FP | Resistor, Film, 1500, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R87 | RCR07G910JP | Resistor, Comp, 91, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R88 | RNR55C68R IFP | Resistor, Film, 68.1, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R89 | RCR07G471JP | Resistor, Comp, 470, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R90 | RCR07G470JP | Resistor, Comp, 47, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R91 | RNR55C3011FP | Resistor, Film, 3010, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R92 | RCR07G681JP | Resistor, Comp, 680, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R93 | RNR55C5IR IFP | Resistor, Film, 51.1, $\pm 1 \%$, 1/10W |
| R94 | RNR55C392 IFP | Resistor, Film, 3920, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R95 | RNR55C392 IFP | Resistor, Film, 3920, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R96 | RCR07G910JP | Resistor, Comp, 91, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R97 | RCR07G 102JP | Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R98 | RNR55C4750FP | Resistor, Film, 475, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R99 | RNR55C 1000FP | Resistor, Film, 100, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R100 | RNR55C47R5FP | Resistor, Film, 47.5, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R101 | RNR55C 1501FP | Resistor, Film, 1500, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{w}$ |
| R102 | RCR07G910JP | Resistor, Comp, 91, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R103 | RNR55C68R IFP | Resistor, Film, 68.1, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R104 | RCR07G471JP | Resistor, Comp, 470, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R105 | RCR07G470JP | Resistor, Comp, 47, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R106 | RNR55C3011FP | Resistor, Film, 3010, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R107 | RCR07G681JP | Resistor, Comp, 680, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| T1 |  | Transformer (Record) |
| T2 |  | Transformer (Record) |
| TJI |  | Test Jack |
| TJ2 |  | Test Jack |


| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION <br> TJ3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TJ4 |  | Test Jack |
| U1 | $8150525-1$ | Test Jack |
| U2 | $8150524-1$ | Integrated Circuit (CA3026) |
| U3 | $8150524-1$ | Integrated Circuit (CA3018A) |
| U4 | $8150537-1$ | Integrated Circuit (CA3018A) |
| U5 | $8150537-1$ | Integrated Circuit (NH0002) |
| U6 | $8150537-1$ | Integrated Circuit (NH0002) |
| U7 | $8150537-1$ | Integrated Circuit (NH0002) |
| VR1 | JANTXIN4370A | Integrated Circuit (NH0002) |
| VR2 | JANTXIN753A | Diode, Zener, 2.4V Zener, 6.2V |
| VR3 | JANTXIN966B | Diode, Zener, 16V |
| VR4 | JANTXIN966B | Diode, Zener, 16V |
| VR5 | JANTXIN753A | Diode, Zener, 6.2V |
| VR6 | JANTXIN966B | Diode, Zener, 16V |
| VR7 | JANTXIN966B | Diode, Zener, 16V |

## VIDEO PLAYBACK AMP

PL 8359709

| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | 8150547-20 | Capacitor, Tant, 15 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 25 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C2 | 8150547-15 | Capacitor, Tant, $22 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 15 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C3 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C4 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C5 | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c6 | CK068×105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| C7 | CKR06B $\times 104 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c8 | NOT USED |  |
| C9 | CK068×105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| C10 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| C11 | CKR06BXIO4KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, IOOV |
| C12 | CK06BXIO5K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| C13 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C14 | CK06B $\times 105 \mathrm{~K}$ | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 N |
| C15 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C16 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| C17 | CKR068×104KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| C18 | CK06B $\times 105 \mathrm{~K}$ | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C19 | CKR06B ${ }^{\text {P10 }} 4 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| C20 | NOT USED |  |
| C21 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, CER, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| C22 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, CER, $1.0 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| C23 | CKR06BX104KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C24 | CK06B×105K | Capacitor, CER, $1.0 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~N}$ |


|  | ITEM | PART MUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C25 | CKR068×104KP | Capacitor, CER, $0.1 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 100 \mathrm{~N}$ |
|  | C26 | CK068×105K | Capacitor, CER, $1.0 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%$, 50 W |
| - | C27 | CM05FD910.03 | Capacitor, Mica, $91 \mathrm{PF}, \pm 5 \%$, 500 N |
|  | C28 | CM05FD910.303 | Capacitor, Mica, $91 \mathrm{PF}, \pm 5 \%$, 500 N |
|  | C29 | CM05FD910.03 | Capacitor, Mica, $91 \mathrm{PF}, \pm 5 \%$, $500 \%$ |
|  | C30 | CM05FD910J03 | Capacitor, Mica, 91 PF, $\mathbf{4} \%$, 500N |
|  | C31 | CM05FD910J03 | Capacitor, Mica, $91 \mathrm{PF}, \pm 5 \%$, $500 \%$ |
|  | DLI | 8150544-2 | Delay Line, 3 Sect. |
|  | DL2 | 8150544-2 | Delay Line, 3 Sect. |
|  | Q1 | JANTX2N2369A | Transistor, NPN |
|  | 02 | JAN 2N3810 | Transistor, Dual PNP |
|  | Q3 | JANTX2N2369A | Transistor, NPN |
|  | Q4 | JAN 2N3810 | Transistor, Dual PNP |
|  | R 1 | RCR07G3R3JP | Resistor, Comp, 3.3, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R2 | RCR07G301JP | Resistor, Comp, 300, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R3 | RCR07G301JP | Resistor, Comp, 300, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R4 | RJ24CW101 | Resistor, Var, $100, \pm 10 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R5 | RJ24CW 101 | Resistor, Var, 100, $\pm 10 \%$, 1/2W |
|  | $R 6$ | RCR07G820JP | Resistor, Comp, $82, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R7 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R8 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R9 | RCR 07G220.JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R10 | RCR07G242JP | Resistor, Comp, 2400, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
|  | R11 | RCR07G362.JP | Resistor, Comp, $3600, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R12 | RCR07G102JP | Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | R13 | RLR07C620JP | Resistor, Film, 62, $55 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| 1A-26 | R14 | RJ24CW501 | Resistor, Var, 500, $\pm 10 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |


| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R15 | RCR07G471JP | Resistor, Comp, 470, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R16 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R17 | NOT USED |  |
| R18 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R19 | RCR07G 103JP | Resistor, Comp, $10 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R20 | RCR07G750JP | Resistor, Comp, 75, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R21 | RCR07G331JP | Resistor, Comp, 330, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R22 | RCR07GIIIJP | Resistor, Comp, $110, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R23 | RCR07G101JP | Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R24 | RCR07G 102JP | Resistor, Comp, $1000, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R25 | RCR07G750JP | Resistor, Comp, 75, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R26 | RCR07G301JP | Resistor, Comp, 300, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R27 | RCR07G30IJP | Resistor, Comp, 300, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R28 | RJ24CW 101 | Resistor, Var, $100, \pm 10 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R29 | RJ24CW101 | Resistor, Var, $100, \pm 10 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R30 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R31 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R32 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R33 | RCR07G242JP | Resistor, Comp, $2400, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R34 | RCR07G362.JP | Resistor, Comp, 3600, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R35 | RCR07G 102JP | Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R36 | RLR07C620JP | Resistor, Film, 62, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R37 | RJ24CW501 | Resistor, Var, $500, \pm 10 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R38 | RCR07G471JP | Resistor, Comp, 470, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R39 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R40 | NOT USED |  |
| R41 | RCR07G220JP | Resistor, Comp, 22, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R 42 | RCR07G103JP | Resistor, Comp, 10K, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |


| ITEM | PART NUMBER |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R43 | RCROSCRIPTION |  |
| R44 | RCR07G33IJP | Resistor, Comp, 75, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R45 | RCR07G111JP | Resistor, Comp, 330, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R46 | RCR07G102JP | Resistor, Comp, 1000, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R47 | RCR07G101JP | Resistor, Comp, 100, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R48 | RCR07G750JP | Resistor, Comp, 75, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| U1 | $8150536-1$ | Integrated Circuit |
| U2 | $8150536-1$ | Integrated Circuit |
| U3 | $8150536-1$ | Integrated Circuit |
| U4 | $8150536-1$ | Integrated Circuit |

## CONTROL TRACK/TACH PREAMP

PL 8359687

| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | CK068×105K | Capacitor, Cer, 1 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C2 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor, Cer, 0.01 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C3 | CKR06BXIO3KP | Capacitor, Cer, 0.01 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C4 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor, Cer, $0.01 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C5 | CM05FD22 1J03 | Capacitor, Mica, $220 \mathrm{PF}, \pm 5 \%, 500 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c6 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, Cer, 1 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| C7 | CKR06B $\times 103 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, Cer, $0.01 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c8 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor, Cer, $0.01 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| c9 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor, Cer, 0.01 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C10 | CM05FD22IJ03 | Capacitor, Mica, 220 PF, $\pm 5 \%$, 500V |
| Cll | CSR 13E 156KP | Capacitor, Tant, $15 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 20 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C12 | CSR 130226KP | Capacitor, Tant, $22 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 15 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C13 | CKR06B $\times 103 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor, Cer, 0.01 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| C 14 | CKR06B $\times 103 \mathrm{KP}$. | Capacitor, Cer, 0.01 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C 15 | CSR 13E 156KP | Capacitor, Tant, 15 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 20 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| C16 | CSR 13D226KP | Capacitor, Tant, $22 \mathrm{UF}, \pm 10 \%, 15 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C17 | CKR06BXIO3KP | Capacitor, Cer, 0.01 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C18 | CK06BX105K | Capacitor, Cer, 1.0 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 V |
| 019 | CKR06BX152KP | Capacitor, Cer, $1500 \mathrm{PF}, \pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| C20 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor, Cer, 0.01 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 200 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| CRI | .JANTXIN914 | Diode, si |
| CR2 | JANTXIN914 | Diode, si |
| CR3 | JANTXIN645 | Diode, si |
| KI | M5757/40-010 | Relay, DPDT (412-26) |
| RI | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor, Film, Ik, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 1 \mathrm{OW}$ |
| R2 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor, Film, 1K, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R3 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor, Comp, $10, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |

PART NUMBER
RCR07G100JP
RNR55C4642FP
RNR55C 1001 FP
RNR55C 1001FP
RCR07G 100JP
RCR07G100JP
RNR55C4642FP
RNR55C 1000FP
RCR20G51IJP
RCR07G620JP
RNR55C 1001FP
NOT USED
RNR55C 1001FP
RCROTG 1003P
RCR07G 100JP
RNR55C51IIFP
RCRO7G 101JP
RCR20G681JP
RCR07G750JP
RCRO7G 103JP
JANTXIN963B
JANTXIN753A
JANTXIN963B
JANTXIN753A
8150533-20
8150533-20
8150533-20

## DESCRIPTION

Resistor, Comp, $10, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, $46.4 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 1 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, $1 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 1 \mathrm{OW}$
Resistor, Film, IK, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~N}$
Resistor, Comp, 10, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $10, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, $46.4 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, film, $100, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $510, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 62, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, $1 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$

Resistor, Film, $1 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $10, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $10, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Film, 5.11k, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, $680, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 75, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Resistor, Comp, 10K, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$
Diode, Zener, 12 V
Diode, Zener, 6.2V
Diode, Zener, 12V
Diode, Zener, 6.2V
Integrated Circuit, Op Amp (702A)
Integrated Circuit, op Amp (702A)
Integrated Circuit, Op Amp (702A)

## AUX/SEARCH PREAMPS

## PL 8359757

| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | CK06BX105KP | Capacitor, 1.0 UF |
| C2 | CKR06BXI03KP | Capacitor, 0.01 UF |
| C3 | CKR06BXI03KP | Capactor |
| C4 | CKR06B X222KP | Capacitor, 2200 PF |
| C5 | CM05ED5 10503 | Capacitor, 51 PF |
| c6 | CK06PX105KP |  |
| C7 | CKR06B $\times 103 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor |
| c8 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor |
| C9 | CKR06B $\times 222 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor |
| C10 | CM05FDI01J03 | Capacitor, 100 PF |
| C11 | CSR 13E 156KP | Capacitor, 15 UF, 20 V |
| C 12 | CK06BX105KP | Capacitor |
| C13 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor |
| C14 | CKR068 $\times 103 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor |
| C15 | CKR06B 2222 KP | Capacitor |
| C16 | CM05ED5 10J03 | Capacitor |
| C 17 | CK06BX105KP | Capacitor |
| C18 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor |
| C19 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor |
| C20 | CKR06B $\times 222 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor |
| C21 | CM05FDI01J03 | Capacitor |
| C22 | CSR 13C396KP | Capacitor, 39 UF, IOV |
| C23 | CKR05BX102KP | Capacitor, . 001 UF |
| C24 | CKR068X103KP | Capacitor |
| C25 | CKR06B $\times 103 \mathrm{KP}$ | Capacitor |
| C26 | CKR06BXI03KP | Capacitor |
| C27 | CM05EDS10J03 | Capacitor |


| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C28 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor |
| C29 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor |
| C30 | CKR06BX103KP | Capacitor |
| C31 | CKR06BX332KP | Capacitor, .0033 UF |
| C32 | CM05ED510J03 | Capacitor |
| C33 | CSRI3E156KP | Capacitor |
| C34 | CSR13C396KP | Capacitor |
|  | 8505806-4 | Pad, Mtg., 8 Pin |
| CRI | JANTXIN914 | Pad, Mtg., 10 Pin |
| CR2 | JANTXIN914 | Diode |
| CR3 | JANTXIN914 | Diode |
| R9 | RR4 | JANTXIN914 |


| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R10 | RNR55C2152FP | Resistor |
| R11 | RCR07G202JP | Resistor |
| R12 | RNR55C 1000FP | Resistor |
| R13 | RCR32G301JP | Resistor |
| R14 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor |
| R 15 | RNR55C5111FP | Resistor |
| R16 | RCR07G100JP | Resistor |
| R17 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor |
| R18 | RNR55C2 152FP | Resistor |
| R19 | RNR55C1001FP | Resistor |
| R20 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor |
| R21 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor |
| R22 | RCR07G 100.JP | Resistor |
| R23 | RNR55C2 152FP | Resistor |
| R24 | RCR07G202.JP | Resistor |
| R25 | RNR55C 1000FP | Resistor |
| R26 | RCR20G470JP | Resistor |
| R27 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor |
| R28 | RNR55C5111FP | Resistor |
| R29 | RCR07G 1003P | Resistor |
| R30 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor |
| R31 | RNR55C5112FP | Resistor |
| R32 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor |
| R33 | RNR55C 1001FP | Resistor |
| R34 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor |
| R35 | RCR07G 100JP | Resistor |
| R36 | RNR55C3162FP | Resistor |


| ITEM | PART MUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R37 | RCRO7G202JP | Resistor |
| R38 | RNR55C1000FP | Resistor |
| R39 | RCR20G431JP | Resistor |
| R40 | RCR07G620JP | Resistor |
| VR1 | JANTXIN963B | Diode, Zener, 12V |
| VR2 | JANTXIN753A | Diode, Zener, 6.2V |
| VR3 | JANTXIN963B | Diode, Zener |
| VR4 | JANTXIN753A | Diode, Zener |
| Z1 | 8150533-10 | Integrated Circuit, OP Amp |
| Z2 | 8150533-10 | Integrated Circuit, Op Amp |
| Z3 | 8150533-10 | Integrated Circuit, Op Amp |
| Z4 | 8150533-10 | Integrated Circuit, Op Amp |
| Z5 | 8150533-10 | Integrated Circuit, Op Amp |
| Z6 | $8150533-10$ | Integrated Circuit, Op Amp |

## MOTOR SOLENOID SWITCH PL 8359688

| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | CSR 13G226KP | Capacitor, Tant, 22 UF, $\pm 10 \%$, 50 W |
| C2 | CSR 13G825KP | Capacitor, Tant, 8.2 UF, $\pm 10 \%, 50 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| CR 1 | NOT USED |  |
| CR2 | NOT USED |  |
| CR3 | JANTXIN645 | Diode |
| CR4 | JANTXI N4970 | Diode, Zener, 33V |
| CR5 | JANTXIN645 | Diode |
| CR6 | JANT XIN4970 | Diode, Zener, 33V |
| CR7 | JANTXIN645 | Diode |
| CR8 | JANTXIN4958 | Diode, Zener, 10 V |
| CR9 | JANTXIN4958 | Diode, Zener, 10 N |
| CR10 | JANTXIN4958 | Diode, Zener, 10 V |
| CR11 | JANTXI N4958 | Diode, Zener, 10 V |
| CR 12 | JANTXI N4970 | Diode, Zener, 33V |
| CR 13 | JANTXIN645 | Diode |
| CR 14 | JANTXIN4970 | Diode, Zener, 33V |
| CR 15 | JANTXIN3191 | Diode |
| CR16 | JANTXIN645 | Diode |
| CR 17 | JANTXIN645 | Diode |
| K1 | 8150555-1 | Relay, DPDT, 10A (J-J2A) |
| K2 | 8150555-1 | Relay, DPDT, 10A ( $\rfloor-J 2 A)$ |
| K3 | 8150555-1 | Relay, DPDT, 10A (J-J2A) |
| K4 | 8150555-1 | Relay, DPDT, 10A (J-J2A) |
| K5 | NOT USED |  |
| K6 | 8150555-1 | Relay, DPDT, IOA ( $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ ) |
| K7 | M5757/40-005 | Relay, DPDT, IA (412-26) |
| J1 | 8413691-45 | Test Point, Brown |


| ITEM | PART NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | RCR07G 101JP | Resistor, CC, $100, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R2 | RNR55C287IFP | Resistor, Film, $2.87 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R3 | RCR07G33 iJP | Resistor, CC, 330, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R4 | RCR07G221JP | Resistor, CC, 220, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4w |
| R5 | RCR07G 102JP | Resistor, CC, $1000, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R6 | RWR69G 10R0SFP | Resistor, WW, 10, $\pm 1 \%, 2.5 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R7 | RCR07G 103JP | Resistor, CC, 10K, $\pm 5 \%$, $1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R8 | RCR07G 103JP | Resistor, CC, 10K, $\pm 5 \%$, 1/4W |
| R9 | RNR55C 1332FP | Resistor, Film, $13.3 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R10 | RNR55C4641FP | Resistor, Film, 4640, $\pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R11 | RCR07G392J.P | Resistor, CC, 3900, $\pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R12 | RNR55C 1472FP | Resistor, Film, $14.7 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R13 | RNR55C2872FP | Resistor, Film, $28.7 \mathrm{~K}, \pm 1 \%, 1 / 10 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R14 | RCR07G392JP | Resistor, CC, $3900, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| R15 | RCR07G102JP | Resistor, CC, $1000, \pm 5 \%, 1 / 4 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| Q1 | JANTX2N2907A | Transistor |
| Q2 | JANTX2N22 19A | Transistor |
| 03 | 8150549-1 | Transistor, Power |
| El | 8505806-5 | Relay, Pad, 10 Pin |

## SPECIFICATION ERTS-564-2 VIDEO RECORDING TAPE

This tape is to be prepared using a mylar backing thickness of $0.00092^{\prime \prime}$ and is to receive the same exclusive backing treatment as "Scotch" Video Tape No. 400. The magnetic coating, however, is to be of the same formulation and thickness (0.00021) as MT24070.

To facilitate performance comparison with the double-face coated, MT24070 tape, the manufacture of this tape shall be arranged to include a "long" cycle between the application and the surface treatment of the magnetic coating.

Surface roughness measurements are to be taken for each reel and are to be included with the tape upon delivery.

Thickness

| Mylar Backing | - | $0.00092 "$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Magnetic Coating | - | $\underline{0.00021}$ |
| Total | - | 0.00113 |
| Magnetic Coating | - | Same formulation as MT24070 |
| Backing Treatment | - | Same as "Scotch" No. 400 |
| Manufacturing Technique | - | Long-cycle between coating and <br> surface treating |

## APPENDIX 3A

## RNR RESISTOR DRIFT DATA

$$
3 A-1
$$

Subject Variation in Mepco Fixed Film Resistors (RNR Type) with Time

Mr. A. Ringel, Manager of Quality Assurance at Mepco, supplied me with curves on their RNR Type Resistors. Their graphical analysis falls into two categories; up to 10,000 hours with power applied continuously and up to 50,000 hours of Shelf life. The variations noted for the first group of curves would approximate those for resistors where their "on" time is quite large when compared to their "off" time. The second group of graphs would indicate the trend that could be expected for resistors with very low duty cycles.

Analyzing the data, we find the following using the comparisons described above:
(A) For Mepco Model FHIO, $1 / 10$ Watt (RNR55C) -

1. After 50,000 hours of Shelf Life, units within the resistance range from 49.9 to 20,000 ohms always indicated a positive avérage increased value ( $\bar{x}$ of $0.3 \%$ ). For units between 20.1 K and 51 K the average was also positive but at a value of $0.42 \%$ at 50,000 hours. In the 52.1 K to 100 K range the average change was also always positive and again was $0.42 \%$ at 50,000 hours.
2. The Load Life Test for this resistor model resulted in an average negative change in values with the average change being $0.24 \%$ at 10,000 hours.
(B) For Mepco Model FHIl, $1 / 8$ Watt (RNR57C)
3. Only a Load Life Test Curve was supplied and it indicated that the average change was negative with the average change being $0.06 \%$ at 10,000 hours.
(c) For Mepco Model FH12, 1/8 Watt (RNR60C) .
4. After 50,000 hours of Shelf Life units within the resistance range from 49.9 to 80,000 ohms always indicated a positive average increased value ( $\bar{x}$ of $0.12 \%$ ). For units between 80.1 K and 300 K ohms the average was also positive but at a value of $0.1 \%$ at 50,000 hours. In the 301 K to 499 K ohm range the average change was also always positive and increased up to $0.17 \%$.
5. Load Life Test Data was run on two groups. Both indicated as average negative change with average changes after 10,000 hours of 0.08 and $0.12 \%$.
(D) For Mepco Model FH25, 1/4 Watt (RNR65C) -
6. After 50,000 hours of Shelf Life, units within the resistance range from 49.9 to 300,000 ohms always indicated a positive average increased value
( $\bar{x}$ of $0.09 \%$ ). For units between 301 K ohms and 1 megohm the average was also positive but at a value of $0.08 \%$ at 50,000 hours.
7. Load Life Test Date Indicated that the average change was positive with the value being $0.06 \%$ at 10,000 hours.
(E) For Mepco Model FH5O, 1/2 Watt (RNR7OC) -
8. After 50,000 hours of Shelf life, units within the resistance range from 49.9 to 400,000 ohms indicated a basic positive average increase of insignificant value. However, in the range from 401 K ohms to 1 megohm the average value was also consistently positive but with an average value of $0.08 \%$ at 50,000 hours.
9. Load Life Test Data was ron on two groups. Both indicated an average positive change with average changes after 10,000 hours of 0.08 and $0.1 \%$.

All units undergoing examination were of the $1 \%$ tolerance category and were supplied with maximum temperature coefficients of $\pm 50 \mathrm{PFM} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Please advise me of any additional information you may require or of any other way that I can be of service.


AS: jmp

APPENDIX 3B
RELIABILITY DATA WORKSHEETS

$$
3 \beta-1
$$

## RELIAGLITY DATA WORKSHEETS

| WAME Record/Prenmp DAAWING NO. 8350708. SCHEMATIC NO. $\qquad$ <br> NEXT ASSEM. $\qquad$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tenp: } \\ & \text { Anbient }=46^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { Ruti }=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | (ג) Fillute <br> (D) Deysaded <br> (0) No Effoct |  | SYSTEM EETSS/TU mopule Recowi/prcamp 41 SUB-MODULE_HESORCl |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEEM | MUNBER <br> RCA OR MILITARY | CIRCUT <br> SYMBOL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GTY } \\ & \text { CER } \\ & \text { iNIT } \end{aligned}$ | RATED <br> STRESS | APPLED STRESS | FAlL: RATE $\times 10^{-8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STRESS } \\ & \text { RATHO } \end{aligned}$ | FODULEFALURE EFFECT |  |  | FAILURIE MODF |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prn | SHORT | DPCRAD | RECO | ORD |  | $\underline{\text { - }}$ | SEARCH |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ors | SHORT | DEGRAD. | RBV | Mss | REC. | P.B. | F.B. |
| 5.1 | RNR55C1001 FP | R1 |  | . 1 W | 4 mw | . 002 | .04 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.2 | RNR55C1001 FP | R2 |  | .1W | 4 mw | . 002 | . 04 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.3 | RCR07G302JP | R3 |  | 0.25W | 34 mw | . 001 | .13 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5,4 | RNR55C1001 FP | R4 |  | 0.15 | 4 mw | . 002 | . 04 | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.5 | RNR55C1001 FP | R5 |  | 0.1 W | 4 miv | . 002 | . 04 | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.6 | RCR07G122.JP | R6 |  | 0.25W | T. P.N/A | .001 | N/A | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.7 | RCR07G272JP | R7 |  | 0.25 W | T.P.N/A | . 001 | N/A | X | X |  | 0 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.8 | RCR07G472JP | R8 |  | 0.25W | 42 mw | . 001 | .17 | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.3 | RNR55C7000FP | R 9 |  | 0.1 W | 1 mw | .002 | $<1$ | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.10 | RJ24CW501 | - R10 | . | 0.25 W | <, 1 mw | . 012 | $<1$ | X | X |  | D | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  | . |
| 5.11 | RCR07G1 02JP | R11 |  | 0.25W | 9 mw | .001 | $<.1$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.12 | RCR07G562JP | R12 |  | 0.25W | 29 mw | . 001 | . 16 | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.13 | RCR07G392JP | R13 |  | 0.25w | 35 mw | .001 | . 14 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.14 | RNR55C2001 FP | R14 |  | 0.17 | 20 mw | . 002 | $.2$ | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & X \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5. 15 | RCR07G470.JP | R15 |  | 0.25W | 1.9 mw | . 001 | $<.1$ | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & X \\ & X \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.16 | RCR07G511JP | R16 |  | 0.25w | 26 mw | . 001 | .1 | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.17 | RCR200G202JP | R17 |  | 0.5 W | 200 mw | . 002 | . 40 | $x$ | $X$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.18 | RLR20C3001JP | R18 |  | 0.50w | 135 mw | .003 | .30 | $\mathbf{x}$ | X | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | X |  |  |  |

CRCtift analysis
RELAABULTY

[^6]total
BATE $\qquad$ SMEET 1 OF 5



MAME RGgord/Preamp
DAAWING NO. 8359708
SCHEMATIC NO.
NEXT ASSEM.


SYSTEM ERTK/TL:
MoDUE Record/Preamp_(4)
SUBMODELE RECOKI
$\qquad$ encineennc

[^7]circut analysis enlabilty
total
DATE $\qquad$ SHEET 3 _OF 5

Mawe Record/Preamp
Drawtincer 8350708
 scremutic wo
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ MEXT ASSEM.

| TEEM | $\begin{gathered} \text { MUMERE } \\ \text { RCA OR MITARTY } \end{gathered}$ | CIRCUIT SYMBOL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MTY } \\ & \text { FER } \\ & \text { WNTT } \end{aligned}$ | RATED STRESS | AHILED <br> STRESS | FAIL BATE $1.10^{-6}$ | STRESS RATIO | MODULE |  |  | FAILURE: MODE: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FAILURE EFFECT |  |  | NON PERFORMANCE. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OPEN | SHORT | dechar | RBV | MSS | QEC | P.8. | Sarart |
| 5.55 | CKR06BXI04KP | C11 |  | 100V | 2.4 V | .001 | $<.1$ | X | X | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.56 | CKR0GBX104KF | C12 |  | 100 V | 20 V | .001 | 0.20 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & D \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 6.57 | CKR06BX104KP | CI3 |  | 100 V | 2 V | .001 | $<.10$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\frac{x}{x}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.58 | CKR06BXI04KP | C14 |  | 100V | 21 V | . 001 | .21 | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  | . |
| 5. 59 | CKR06BX104KP | C15 |  | 100V | 16V | . 001 | . 16 | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & D \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.60 | CKR06BX1045P | C16 |  | 100 V | 6 V | . 001 | $<.10$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | X | . | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| \$.61 | CKR06BX104KP | Cl 7 |  | 100 V | 17 V | . 001 | .17 | $\mathbf{X}$ | - X |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} X \\ D \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.62 | 8150547 | C18 |  | 35V | 14V | . 025 | . 40 | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.63 | 81.50547 | C19 |  | 55V | 22 V | .125 | . 63 | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 6, 64 | 8150547 | C20 |  | 35 V | 2 V | .006 | $<1$ | X | X | , | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.65 | 8150567 | I.1 |  |  |  | . 05 |  | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 5.66 | 8150567 | L2 |  |  |  | .05 |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5,67 | 8150567 | L3 |  |  |  | . 05 |  | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.68 | 8150567 | L4 |  |  |  | .05 |  | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.69 | JAN TXIN4370A | VR1 |  | 400 mw | 8 mw | . 020 | $\mathrm{PD}^{\infty} .02$ | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.70 | JANTXIN753A | VR2 |  | 400\%w | 20 mw | $.020$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{D}}=.05$ | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.72 | JAN TXIN966B | VR3 |  | 400mw | 48 mw | . 020 | $P_{D}=.12$ | X | X |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | , |
| 5.72 | JAN TX1N966B | VR4 |  | 400 mw | 48 mw | . 020 | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{D}}=12$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |

Gncliti Analysis RHIABELITY
$\qquad$ Encinizernve $\qquad$
(A) MLHDOK-217
C) 区T. DATA
total $\qquad$

BATE $\qquad$ SHEET_4_OF 5
$3 B-4$


RELAABLLITY DATA WORKSHEETS

| Wame Record/Preamp | Temp: | (0) | Folure | SYSTEM_ERTS/TU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DKAWNE NO. 8359708 | Anabient $=46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (D) | Degreded | Mopute Record/Preainy (4) |
| SCHEMATICNO. | Part $=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (0) | Ho Effeet | sub-monute Preamp |
| MEXI ASSEM. |  |  |  |  |


| rist | MUMBER RCA OR mLITARY | CRCUT SYMBOL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QTY } \\ & \text { PER } \\ & \text { UNIT } \end{aligned}$ | RATED <br> STRFSS | APPLEDSTRESS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAIL } \\ & \text { WATE } \\ & \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STRESS } \\ & \text { RATIO } \end{aligned}$ | MODULE |  |  | FAILURE MODF? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FAFLUREEEFFECT |  |  | FON PERTORMANCE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OPEN | SHORT | DEGRAD. | BECDRD |  | PLAYBACK |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEARCH } \\ \text { P.B. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REV | MSS | RBV | MS5 |  |
| 4.1 | CKR06BX104KP | C1 |  | 100 V | 4.5V | . 001 | . 045 | X | X | - |  |  | X $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.2 | 8150547 | C2 |  | 15 V | 5.0 V | . 01 | .33 | X | X |  |  |  | D | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.3 | CKR0BX104KP | C3 |  | 100 V | 3.0 V | .001 | . 03 | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.4 | 8150546 | C4 |  | 50 V | 4.2 V | .001 | . 084 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.5 | 8150547 | C5 |  | 15 V | 7.5 V | . 04 | . 50 | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.6 | 8150546 | C6 |  | 50 V | 1.0 V | . 001 | . 02 | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | D |  |
| 4.7 | CKR06BX104KP | C7 |  | 100 V | 2.0 V | .001 | . 02 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.8 | CKR06BX104KP | C8 |  | 100 V | 4.5V | .001 | .045 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.9 | 8150547 | C9 |  | 15V | 5.0 V | . 02 | . 33 | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4. 10 | CKR0BX104KP | C10 |  | 100 V | 3.0 V | .001 | . 03 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.11 | 8150546 | C11 |  | 50V | 4.2 V | . 001 | .084 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4,12 | 8150547 | $\mathrm{C12}$ |  | 15 V | 7.5 V | . 04 | . 5 | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D |  |
| 4.13 | 8150546 | Cl 3 |  | 50 V | 1.0 V | .001 | . 02 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | D D |  |
| 4.14 | CKR06BX104KP | C14 |  | 100 V | 2.0 V | . 001 | .02 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | X $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.15 | CKRO6BX104KP | C15 |  | 100 V | 4.5 V | .001 | .045 | X | X | . |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | X |  |
| 4.16 | 8150547 | C16 |  | 15V | 5.0 V | . 02 | .33 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.17 | CKR06EX104KP | C17. |  | 100V | 3.0 V | . 001 | .03 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | D $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 4.18 | 8150546 | C18 |  | 50V | 4.2 V | . 001 | . 084 | X | X |  |  |  | D | D |  |

CBCUIT ANALYRTS $\qquad$ ENGREERUNG $\qquad$ TOTAL

DATE $\qquad$ aHEET 1 of 6

3B-6

RELAAEILTTY DATA WORKSHEETS
MANE Record/Preqnmp
DKAWINGNO. $83 \overline{2} 9708$
SCHEMATE NO.
NEXT ASSFM.
SVSTEM __ERTS/TU
modute_ Iecord/Preamp (4)
SUB MODUEE_ Preamip_


GRCUTT ANALYSIS
revabiluty

Engineering
(A) MILHDBK-217
(n)
EST. DATA
totai
DATE $\qquad$ SHEET_2_OF 6



Cincurt Nat Yess BELABILTY

ENGDTERANG
(A) MEFADBK-217
(b) ExT. DaTA

TORAL
Date $\qquad$ SHEET 4 of 6

REMARALITY DATA WORKSHEETS


MEIEABHISTY DATA WORKSJIESTS



NaME Video Playback Amp
DRAWING NO. $\qquad$ SCHFMAATIC NO. NEXT ASSEM.

| TEM | MUMBER RCA OR MIUTARY | CIRCUT SYMBROL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QTY } \\ & \text { RER } \\ & \text { UNIT } \end{aligned}$ | RATED STRESS | APTLIED STRESS | FAIL. RATE $\times 10^{4}$ | STRESS RATIO | MODU1E |  |  | FAILURT:MODE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FAILURE EFFECT |  |  | NON PERFORMANCE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OFEN | SHORT | DEGRAD. | RPV | MSS | Rev | MSS | $\frac{\text { SEARCH }}{\text { P.E. }}$ |
| 3.19 | CKR06BX104KP | C19 |  | 100 V | 2 V | . 001 | 0.02 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DELETED |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.21 | 8150546 | C21 |  | 50 V | 5.5V | . 001 | 0.11 | X | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |
| 3.22 | 8150546 | C22 |  | 50 V | 5.5V | . 001 | 0.11 | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 3.23 | CKR06BX104KP | C23 |  | 100 V | 5 V | . 001 | 0.05 | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 3.24 | 8150546 | C24 |  | 50 V | 5.5V | . 001 | 0.11 | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 3.25 | CKR06BX104KP | C25 |  | 100 V | SV | . 001 | 0.05 | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 3.26 | 8150546 | C26 |  | 50 V | 5.5V | . 001 | 0.11 | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 3.27 | 8150545 | DL1 |  | 100V | $<.1 \mathrm{~V}$ | . 05 | $<.1$ | X | X |  |  |  |  | X $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 3.28 | 8150545 | DL2 |  | 100 V | $<.1 \mathrm{~V}$ | . 05 | $<.1$ | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 3.29 | JAN TX2N2369A | Q1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 360 \mathrm{mw} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{M}^{2}}=0.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{mw} \\ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{N}}=0.14 \end{gathered}$ | . 020 | . 022 | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 3.30 | JAN TX2N2369A | Q3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 360 \mathrm{mv} \\ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{M}}=0.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{mw} \\ \mathrm{~T}=0.14 \end{gathered}$ | . 020 | . 022 | X | $X$ |  |  |  |  | X | - |
| 3.31 | 8150536 | U1 |  | 680 mw | 80 mw | . 040 | N/A | $\mathbf{X}$ | - |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  |
| 3.32 | 8150536 | U2 |  | 680 mw | 80 mw | . 040 | N/A | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | X |  |
| 3.32 | 8150553 . | U3 |  | 680 mw | 80 mw | . 040 | N/A | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | X X | . |
| 3,33 | 8150536 | U4 |  | 680 mw | 80 mw | . 040 | N/A | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | X | X $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| 3.34 | JAN2N3810 | Q2 |  | 600 mw | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \mathrm{mw} \\ 60 \mathrm{mw} \end{array}$ | . 03 | . 2 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | X |  |
| 3.35 | JAN2N3810 | Q4 |  | 600 mw | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \mathrm{mw} \\ 60 \mathrm{mw} \end{array}$ | . 03 | . 2 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | X | $\mathbf{X}$ $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| CICCUT ANALYSts____ ENGGNEERING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELIABILTY |  |  |  |  | (A) MaLHDEK-217 <br> (a) EST. DATA |  |  | DATE |  |  | SHEET 2 _OF 5 |  |  |  |  |

RELAABLITY BATA WORESHEETS



RELIADILIT DATA WORKSHEETS
Wame Vicleo Playlanck Amp
DKAWNNG NO. 8359692
SCHEMATLC NO._
MEXT ASSEM.
Temp:
Arableat $=46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
(d) Failere
(D) Dezraded

SYSTEM_E_ERTS/HL
Hodure Playhich implifiar, Viteo SUB-MODULE
$\qquad$ _ 3 amplifer, Itieo NEXT ASSEM.

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ SHERT_5_OF 5


SYSTEM_ERTS/TU
moditecontrol Track/Tach Preamp
sur-modute 16 NEXT ASSEM.


CIRCUT ANALYSIS
RELARILTY
engineering

[^8]total
DATE $\qquad$ SheET 1 OF 3
gELABEALTY DATA WORKSHEETS
mame Controt Track/Tach Preamp DRAWHG NO. 8359710 SCWEMATLC NO $\qquad$ NEXT ASSEM.

encineering
(A) MeLhDBE-217
total
DATE $\qquad$ SHEET 2 OF 3


REABABRITY DATA WORESHEETS

MAME Aus/Senrch Preamp Deayng No. $\qquad$ . 8359710
$\qquad$ SCHEMATC NO
$\qquad$ NEXT ASSEM

$\qquad$ LIMNEERATC
(A) shapor-217
(G) EST.DATA
total $\qquad$
gate $\qquad$ SHEET_OF 6
$\qquad$


SYSTEM ERTS/TU
Mopule And/Search Preanmp
subMooule 17
$\qquad$


Cancut anaiysts TELAABIETTY mennezrnc $\qquad$ TOTAL $\qquad$
DATE $\qquad$ SHEET 2 OF $\qquad$ 6

* Critical Part, High Failure Rate.
mEMFABAITY DATA WORKSMEETS
mame Aus/Scarch Prcantp
DAAwING NO. $\qquad$ SCHEMATTCNO NEXT ASSEM.


CRCUTE ANALYSES $\qquad$ EMGRLERDVE
(A) maldidex-217

TOTAL

BATE $\qquad$ SREET 3 OF
system_ ERTS/TU
module Aux/Search Preamp
7

| name Aux/Scarch Prcamid |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| NEXT ASSEM |  |


| TEM | nUABER rca or midtary | CIRCUTT symbol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GTY } \\ & \text { VER } \\ & \text { UNIT } \end{aligned}$ | RATED STRESS | APPIED STRESS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAIL } \\ & \text { RATE } \\ & \times \in 0^{-6} \end{aligned}$ | STRESSRATO | FAILURE EFFECT |  |  | FAILURI:MODFNON PL:RFORMANCE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OREN | SHORT | DEGRAD. | RECORD |  | PLathack |  | SEARCH |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RBV | Mss. | RBV | MSS |  |
| 17.5 <br> .56 <br> 17.5 | RCR07G100JP | R34 |  | .1W | 10 mw | . 001 | . 1 | x | x |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
|  |  | R35 |  | .1w | 10 mw | . 001 | . 1 | x | X |  |  |  | 0 | X | 0 |
|  | RNR55C2152FP | R5 |  | . 1 W | 0.8 mw | . 002 | $<.1$ | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ |
| . 58 |  | R10 |  | . 1 W | 0.8 mw | . 002 | $<.1$ | x | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| . 59 | " | R18 |  | .1W | 0.8 mw | . 002 | $<.1$ | x | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | D |
| . 60 |  | R23 |  | .1W | 0.8 mw | . 002 | <. 1 | X |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | D |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | X |
| 17.61 | RCR07G202JP | R11 |  | 1/4W | 18 mw | . 001 | <. 1 | x | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ |
| . 62 | * | R24 |  | 1/4W | 18 mw | . 001 | <. 1 | x | X |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | D |
| . 63 | ." | R37 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | 1/4W | 18 mw | . 001 | <. 1 | x | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17.64 | RNR55C1000FP | R12 |  | .1W | 10 mw | . 002 | . 1 | X | X |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & X \\ & D \end{aligned}$ |
| .65 | " | R25 |  | .1W | 10 mw | . 002 | .1 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ |
| . 66 | " | R38 |  | . 1 W | 10 mw | . 002 | . 1 | x | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17.67 | RCR07G100JP | R16 |  | .1w | 10 mw | . 001 | . 1 | $\mathbf{X}$ | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ |
| . 68 | " | R17 |  | .1W | 10 mw | . 001 | . 1 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ |
| 17.69 | RCR32G301JP | R13 |  | 1w | 330 mw | . 002 | . 33 | x | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ |
| 17.70 | RCR20G470.JP | R26 |  | 1/2W | 86 mw | . 002 | . 2 | X | X |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$ |
| 17.71 | RNR55C5112FP | R31 |  | .1W | . 5 mw | . 002 | <. 1 | x | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17.72 | RNR55C3162FP | R36 |  | .1w | . 5 mw | . 002 | <. 1 | X | X |  |  |  | 0 | D | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |

## bnciniering

(A) MaLHDek. 219
(B) Est. DATA
total
DATE $\qquad$ SHEET 4 OF 6

8YSTEM ERTS/TU
Modine_Aux/Search Dreani)
subModut 17 .

| Temp: |  | (0) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ambient | $=46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (D) Degreded |
| Purt | $=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (0) |

(0) Fallure
(D) Degroded
(0) NoEfect

T FAILURI MODF

RELIABLLTTY DATA WORKSHEETS

(x) Fatiume
(D) Degraded
(0) No Ellect

SYSTEM ERTS/TU
mobuLE AUN/Search Preamp
SUB-MODULE_17

crecut analysas
aelabiluty
engineerang $\qquad$
(A) maLHDEK-217
(B) EST, DATA
zotal $\qquad$
DATE $\qquad$ SHEET 5 $\qquad$

RELIAMIUTY DATA WORKSHEETS


| Nane Motor/Solenold Switch | Temp: | (X) | Fiture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ambient $=46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (D) | Degraded |
| SCHEMATIC NO. | Purt $=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (6) | No Efrect |

SYSTEM ERTS/TU
modue Motor/Solenold Switch
SUBMODULE 18 $\qquad$ NEXT ASSEM.


Mante Motor/Solenold Svitch
DRAWING NO. $\qquad$ SCHEMATIC NO $\qquad$ NEXT ASSEM.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Temp: } & & \text { () } & \text { Fellure } \\ \text { Anbiant } & =46^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & \text { (D) } & \text { Degosded } \\ \text { Pmet } & =60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & \text { (0) } & \text { No Effect }\end{array}$

SYSTEM ERTS/TU
MoDILE Motor/Solenoicl Syitch SUB-MODUTLE $\qquad$


CRCUIT ANALYESS $\qquad$ ENGANEERTNG
aELABELITY
total $\qquad$
品 $\qquad$ SHEET ${ }^{2}$ OF 2

## APPENDIX 3C

## RECORD AMPLIFIER

## ECAP DC ANALYSIS

$$
3 c-1
$$




15 DARTIAL W.R.T. T 1 HAS CHANGED SIGN AT MAX0.14729260 E 020.18248885007
16 PARTIAL W.R.T. $R$ HAS CHANGED SIGN AT MAX
16 PARTIAL W.R.T. T 2 haS Changeo sign at max
16 0.99190208 E 01 $0.14697183 E 02$ ..... 0.18236475002

Figure 3C-1 RECORD AMPLIFIED THROUGH Q3 EPAT D.C. EQUIVALENT CKT.

DC ANALYSIS
C SCHEM.EQUIV TO OS ANN Q4
C ERTS RECORD AMPLIFIER
C N.MALY, PC 2670, AUC. 20, 1969
B1 $N(1,0)$ R $\quad$ (1E3, EE-2.0(-7.73.3.19)
$82 N(2,1) \quad R=351(.1), E=-.6(-.5,-.7)$
B3 N(2.3) 3 R=iEक(it)
84 N(3,0), $R=\{E(.15), E(22(20,8,23.2)$
15 N(0.2) Reseg(i.15), En22(20.8.23.2)
$66 \quad N(3,4), \quad R=351(i 1), E=-6(-.5, \pi, 7)$
87
88
89
T1 B(2,3) BETA=200(100,300)
T2 B(0.7) BEPAI00(50,200)
WORST CASE
PRINT,WORST CASE
EXECUTE

WORST CASE SOLUTIONS FGR NODE VOLTAGES

| NDDE | WCMIN |  | NOMINAL |  | Wemax |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.31731205E | 01 | 0.20229626E | 01 | 0.776714110 | 01 |
| 2 | -0.25677872E | 01 | 0.26310234 E | 01 | 0.838146400 |  |
| 3 | -0.20287231E | 02 | -0.15773222E | 02 | -0.945216400 |  |
| 4 | -0.20953018E | 02 | -0.16445724E | 02 | -0.101154020 |  |
| 5 | -0.61310101E | 00 | -0.22280300E | 00 | -0.355419740 | -01 |



Figure 3C-2 RECORD AMP. Q4, Q5 ECAP D.C. EQUIVALENT


Required signal swing:

| $f$ | $X_{L}$ | $\|z\|$ | $L_{p}\|z\|(V-D)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :--- |
| 9 MHz | 57 | 61 | 5.5 |  |
| 16 MHz | 100 | 105 | 9.4 | $\|Z\|=\sqrt{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}+\mathrm{R}^{2}}$ |
| 12 MHz | 75 | 78 | 7.0 |  |

Worst Case Limiting due to $\mathrm{V}_{2}$

$$
\underline{\mathrm{V}_{2}}=\underline{\mathrm{V}_{1}}-75 \mathrm{ma}\left(\overline{\mathrm{R}_{25}}\right)=20.8-.075(115)=\underline{\underline{12.2 V}}
$$

## Pulsed

For $2 \mathrm{~N} 2222 \quad \frac{\beta}{\beta}=100$ at $\mathrm{I}=150 \mathrm{ma} \quad 10 \mathrm{~V}$
Assume $\quad \underline{\beta}=75$ at $I=100 \mathrm{ma} \quad$ W.C. Temp.
$\therefore$ Required Base drive $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{75 \mathrm{ma}}{75}=\underline{1.0 \mathrm{ma}}$

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 24}=\frac{20.8-16.8}{2.3 \mathrm{~K}}=\frac{4.0}{2.3}=1.75 \mathrm{ma}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathrm{R}} 24^{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{PEAK}}}=\frac{20.8-\left[12.2-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}\right]}{2.3}=\frac{8.0}{2.3}=3.5 \mathrm{ma}
$$

Full FM NO FM


Figure 3C-4 RECORDING AMPLIFIER - REGULATORS Q6 OR Q7

## RECORD AMPLIFIER ECAP AC ANALYSIS

An ac ECAP model (see Figure 3D-1) representing Q4 through Q8 and Q9 with a 1 microhenry load was used to analyze a gain and phase behavior of the main section of the record amplifier. The results representing the output at node 8 of the circuit D are shown in Figure 15.

Both gain and the phase of the record amplifier as per results of the ECAP ac analysis are considered proper for an optimum performance. However, in reality there is a stray and wiring capacity which exist at the load. These have been properby compensated by experimental means to achieve a maximum phase linearity.




MgDIFY
FREQUENCY=2t4(2)40.96E6
EXECUTE

FREO = 0.19999980E OS

NODES NDOE VOLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.94329037 E 00 \\ -0.17866763 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.97757053 E 00 \\ -0.17819067 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.93636638 E \\ -0.57 .367432 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.81737339 E \\ -0.585137798 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAS } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21331434 E \quad 01 \\ -0.14413483 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.62623501 E-01 \\ -0.14308432 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21331310 E \\ -0.14413567 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21248827 E \\ -0.14481798 E \end{array}$ | 01 03 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 9-11 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32389069 E-02 \\ & 0.19704712 E \quad 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10664358 \mathrm{E} \text { 01 } \\ -0.17666158 \mathrm{O} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.20470268 \mathrm{E} \\ -0.16029524 \mathrm{E} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

NODES HODE VOLTAGES


FREQ $=0.79999938 E 05$

NDDES NODE VDLTAGES


MAG $\quad 5-8 \quad 0.23574314 E 01 \quad 0.43254942 E-02 \quad 0.23573389 E 01 \quad 0.22967100 E 01$ PHA $\quad-0.16936343 F 03-0.16923552 E 03-0.16956529 E 03-0.17002784 E 03$

MAG 9-11 0.39365695E-01 0.10029129E O1 0.151867A1E OL
PHA $\quad 0.39433533 E 02-0.17972697 E$ O3 0.14056644E O3
ngoes
NTDE VOLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAC } \\ & \text { OHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99732041890 \\ -0.17978151813 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99666417 E 00 \\ -6.17970413 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.13140243 E \\ -0.84773638 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11439249 \% \\ -0.848649298 \end{array}$ | 00 02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { DHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5* 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.24032183801 \\ -0.17446356803 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11023143 E-02 \\ -0.17418423 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.24030600 E \\ -0.17446483 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.231131045 \\ -0.179340526 \end{array}$ | 01 03 |
| $\begin{aligned} & M A G \\ & H A \end{aligned}$ | 9-11 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.97844481 E-01 \\ -0.63221288 E \text { ot } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.998479 \text { O7E } 00 \\ -0.17987975 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.870293687 \\ & 0.116776636 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |  |

ndoEs ndoe voltages

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.997781286 \\ -0.17988968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99700570 E 00 \\ -0.17985051 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.66213946 E-01 \\ -0.058166 月 1 E 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.57723161 E=01 \\ -0.87289642 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.242107115 \\ -0.177323295 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.27764658 E-03 \\ -0.17694933 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.24204837 E \text { ot } \\ -0.1773237 a \mathrm{E} \text { o3 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23161497101 \\ -0.17765431603 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 9-11 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.209752728 \\ -0.799837998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99746424 E 00 \\ -0.17994182 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30914942 E \quad 00 \\ & 0.10001614 E \quad 03 \end{aligned}$ |  |

FREQ = $0.63999950 E 06$
nodes node voltages

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99704839 E \\ -0.17994449 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99709564 E \text { } 00 \\ -0.17992499 E \text { o3 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.33202887 E- \\ -0.85625702 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 01 \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.28906122 E-01 \\ -0.8051477 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.242381376 \\ -0.178997185 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.69519680 E-04 \\ -0.17834499 E \quad 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.26236965 E \\ -0.17899690 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23174944 E 01 \\ -0.17882457503 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 9-11 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.422573031 \\ -0.928142859 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99721060 E 00 \\ -0.17997110 E \mathrm{~EB} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.16124696 E \\ -0.92616245 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |  |

```
FREO = 0.12799990E 07.
```

nodes node voltages

MAG $1-4 \quad 0.99796550 E 00 \quad 0.99711859 E 00 \quad 0.166592825-01 \quad 0.144268201001$ PHA $\quad 0.17997220 E 03-0.17996242 E 03-0.83254237 E 02-0.88972992 E 02$


FREQ = 0.2599990007

NODES NODE VOLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1. 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.997870036 \\ -0.17998608 \mathrm{l} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99712467 E 00 \\ -0.17990116 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.86453784 E-02 \\ -0.77469686 E 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.716911615-02 \\ -0.88666122102 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23904162 E \\ & 0.17910467 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.43104783 E-05 \\ -0.17662360 \mathrm{E} 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23903046 E \text { Ol } \\ & 0.17910663 \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23179483 E 01 \\ -0.17970522 E 03 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 9-11 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.138650238 \\ -0.124807664 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99713188 E 00 \\ -0.17999274 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.13329124 E 01 \\ -0.12480766 E 03 \end{array}$ |  |

NODES NOOE VOLTAGES

nodes node voltages

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9978194 E \\ -0.17999646 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99712723 E 00 \\ -0.17999525 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.27483029 E=02 \\ -0.48060150 E \text { O2 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.179731816=02 \\ -0.83309387 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23306592! \\ & 0.17927824 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.26715005 E=06 \\ -0.17329878 E \text { O3 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21306341 \mathrm{E} \text { Of } \\ & 0.17927943 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.231 .80113601 \\ -0.17992596103 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAS } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 9-11 | 0.219808676 -0.16100618 E | 01 03 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99712765 E \text { 00 } \\ -0.17999815 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21927767 E 01 \\ -0.16100618 E 03 \end{array}$ |  |

NDDES NODE VOLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99787211 E \\ -0.17999821 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99712741 E \\ -0.17999760 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21055066 \mathrm{E} \\ -0.29007679 \mathrm{E} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -02 \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.90687722 E-03 \\ -0.76725784 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23224077 \\ & 0.17960429 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.68158215 E- \\ -0.16622046 F \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -07 \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23224001 E \\ & 0.17969495 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23180180501 \\ -0.17998297 E 03 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | $9-11$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.22862062 E \\ -0.170255298 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99712753 E \\ -0.17999902 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.22846233 E \\ -0.17025529 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| FREO | $=0.409$ | 59968 E O8 |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  | NOUES | NOOE | VOL | OLAEES |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.997872115 \\ -0.179999066 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99712747 E \\ -0.17999881 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1912 n 651 E= \\ -0.15480490 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -12 \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.49136300 E=03 \\ -0.63607468 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAF } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23201298 E \\ & 0.17979729 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.18464711 E \\ -0.15360483 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -07 \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23201218 E \\ & 0.179797 \mathrm{HEE} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23180189 E \\ -0.17998143 E 03 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | $9-11$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23099422 E \\ -0.17509473 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99712747 E \\ -0.17999951 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23895932 \mathrm{E} \\ -0.17509473 \mathrm{E} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ |  |

modify
FREQUENCYE.5EG
EXECUTE

FREO $=0.49999969$ O6

NDOES
NDOE VDLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99763397 E \\ -0.17992097 E \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.99707633 E \quad 00 \\ -0.17990407 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.42464909 \mathrm{E}=01 \\ -0,85914336 \mathrm{O} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.36994729 E-01 \\ -0.88182098 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5-8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.24240093 E \\ -0.17849092 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11388499 E-03 \\ -0.17796429 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.24238491 E 01 \\ -0.17849092 E 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.23172016 E \quad 01 \\ -0.17849622 E 03 \end{array}$ |
| PAAG | 9-11 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.33089499 E \\ =0.89308133 E \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rl} 0.99726462 E & 00 \\ -0.17996297 E & 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.43721162 E-02 \\ & 0.916117 \text { OE O2 } \end{aligned}$ |  |

SUMMARY NODE \#9

| Freq | Gain $\times 10^{-3}$ | $\approx \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ <br> $20 \log \mathrm{~A}$ | Phase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .01 | .667 |  | $58^{\circ}$ |
| .02 | 3.2 | -56 db | 19 |
| .04 | 12.5 | -45 | -11 |
| .08 | 39.3 | -35 | -39 |
| .16 | 97.8 | -27 | -63 |
| .32 | 209 | -20 | -79 |
| .64 | 422 | -14 | -93 |
| 1.28 | 809 | -8 | -106 |
| 2.56 | 1.386 | -4 | -124 |
| 5.12 | 1.926 | -1.0 | -145 |
| 10.24 | $2.198=>$ | 0.286 | -161 |
| 20.48 |  |  | -170 |


( $\mathrm{XLIDVAVD} \mathrm{AVGLS} \mathrm{ON)} \mathrm{8} \mathrm{\#} \mathrm{GGON} \mathrm{GSNO}$

## APPENDLX 3E

## VIDEO PREAMPLIFIER,

ECAP AC ANALYSIS

$$
3 F-1
$$




MODIFY
FREQUENCY:1OE3(2)20.40E6 EXECUTE

FREQ $=0.99999922 E$ O4
ngoes node voltages

| MAG | $1-$ |  | 0.92519504E | 00 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09025301 E \\ & 0.20194748 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68702817 E \\ & 0.16163777 E \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21827155 E \\ -0.55312134 E \end{array}$ | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHA |  |  | 0.20704208 EE | 02 | $0.20194748 \mathrm{E}$ |  | $0.16163727 E$ |  | $-0.55312134 \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| mag | 5. | - | 0.54152012E | 01 | 0.16266241 E | 01 | 0.31077213 E | 01 | 0.41893349E | 01 |
| PHA |  |  | -0.16133606E | 03 | $0.13496327 E$ | 03 | -0.16191353E | 03 | -0.16416304E | 03 |
| ${ }^{4} A^{6}$ |  |  | 0.95339090 E | 00 | $0.29923645 E$ | 02 | 0.257328 n 3 E | 02 | 0.24559045E | 02 |
| PHa |  |  | 0.11899129 | 03 | 0.24019028 E | 02 | 0.23537506 E | 02 | 0.20291672E | 02 |
| MAG PHA | 13- |  | 0.104693388 0.850600598 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FREQ - $0.19999980 E 05$

NODES NODE VOLTAGES

| ${ }_{\text {PHA }}^{\text {MA }}$ | 1* 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98011738 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.107255116 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94156045 E \\ & 0.10459017 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71576180 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.83161478 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11820462 E \\ -0.72173294 E \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & P_{H^{A}} \end{aligned}$ | 5. 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.553863248 \\ -0.17076527! \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90080112 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.11311491 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.52172709 E \\ -0.17105771 E \end{array}$ | 01 03 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.42542505 E \\ -0.17219987 E \end{array}$ |  |
| MAS PHA | 9-12 | 0.493760678 0.104465128 | 00 03 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25368439 E \\ & 0.92787704 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25024174 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.8709 R 227 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22890839 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.46819267 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | 02 01 |
| HAG PHA | 13-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.157000642 \\ & 0.51378143 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 02 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FREQ = 0.39999969E 05

NDOES NODE VOLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1. | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99494690 \mathrm{R} \\ & 0.54108897 E \end{aligned}$ | 00 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95539276 E \\ & 0.52760020 E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72332376 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.41073837 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.60378384 E=01 \\ -0.01024338 E \text { O2 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAG | $5-$ | 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.596456998 \\ -0.179400678 \end{array}$ | 01 03 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46260244 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.10164272 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.52401934 E \\ -0.17554607 E \end{array}$ | 01 03 | $\begin{gathered} 0.42673559 E \\ -0.17611464 E 03 \end{gathered}$ |



NOEES NOOE VOLTAGES


NODES NODE VOLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.998791141 \\ & 0.271157585 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95492119 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.26439743 \mathrm{E} \text { O1 } \\ & 0.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72924071 E 00 \\ & 0.20 .74188 E 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.303485954=01 \\ -0.05504242 E \quad 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAG | 5- 0 | 0.557073416 $-0.17970256 E$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23287341 E 00 \\ & 0.95032458 E 02 \end{aligned}$ | $0.52457027 E$ -0.177745015 03 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.62706156 E 01 \\ -0.17805865 E 03 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | - . ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - --.. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ma}_{\text {A }} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}$ | 9-12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.124706391 \\ & 0.936166242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24041229202 \\ & 0.15365910 \mathrm{E} \text { O1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23324185 E \text { O2 } \\ & 0.13067854 E \text { O1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.20236191802 \\ -0.57070410800 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 13-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19359769 E \\ & 0.142853548 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 02^{2} \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

FREQ = 0.15999988 E 06

NDOES
NODE VOLTAGES

| MAG | 1. 4 | 0.99968103E 00 | 0.95980757500 | $0.72372136 E 00$ | $0.15194345 F-01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHA |  | 0.13565598E 01 | 0.13227330E O1 | 0.10491791 O1 | -0.87951095 02 |

```
MAG 5- 0.9372671PE 01 0.543429902-01 0.52474155E 01 0.42716484E O8
OHA -0.17942978E OS 0.91498954E 02 -0.17944886E 03 -0.17451468E 03
MAG - 12 0.311966388-01 0.23804913E O2 0.23359467E 02 0.19942535E 02
MAC 13-13 0.198988191 02
PHA 0:300122391 Of
FREO - 0.639999SOE O6
```

NODES
NOOE VDLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.999979971 \\ & 0.33920098 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96004551 E 00 \\ & 0.33074206 E 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72987222 E 00 \\ & 0.26233255 E 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.38001549 E-02 \\ -0.09437633 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5. 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.35727692!01 \\ -0.17971288080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29174604 \mathrm{E}-01 \\ & 0.90729492 \mathrm{E} 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.92478014 E \text { 01 } \\ -0.17972104 E 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 . \$ 27170091 \\ -0.17973735603 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 9-12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15598011=01 \\ & 0.90651797 \text { ( } 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23887283 E 02 \\ & 0.18400604 E \quad 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23359896 E 02 \\ & 0.15146414 E=00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.19927170 \mathrm{E} 02 \\ -0.97402563 \mathrm{E}-01 \end{gathered}$ |
| MAE <br> $\mathrm{PH}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 13-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19916229802 \\ & 0.100237442 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

    NDOES NOOE VOLTAGES
    | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1* 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.999994931100 \\ & 0.18960174800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96009946 E 00 \\ & 0.16537243 E 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72387979 E 00 \\ & 0.13116670 E 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.190011671-02 \\ -0.89720796 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5. 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.557279301 \\ =0.17985641 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.14587619 E=01 \\ & 0.90364700 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $0.32475233 E ~ O 1$ $-0.17986005 E ~$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.42717142 E \quad 01 \\ -0.1796786503 \end{gathered}$ |
| MAG PHA | 9-12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.77994689 \mathrm{E}-02 \\ & 0.90225922 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23883376 E \quad 02 \\ & 0.91937363 E-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23348709 E \text { O2 } \\ & 0.75046520 \mathrm{E}=01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.19923325 E 02 \\ -0.49016625 E=01 \end{array}$ |
| MAG PHA | 13-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19920578 \text { : } 62 \\ & 0.90078272 \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

FREO - 0.25399980E 07
ngoes node voltages


NOOES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 1-4 | 0.99999988 ㄴ 00 $0.10600138 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.960104118 \text { 00 } \\ & 0.10339807 E-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72388229 E 00 \\ & \text { C.R1979409E-02 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11075809 E=03 \\ -0.8992376 E 02 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { OHA } \end{aligned}$ | 5. 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.95728006801 \\ -0.17999100103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91173049 E-03 \\ & 0.90022766 E 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.32479309 E \text { Ol } \\ -0.17999127 E \text { O3 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.427171901 \\ -0.179992368 \\ 03 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & M_{A} G \\ & P H_{A} \end{aligned}$ | 9-12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.487467971-03 \\ & 0.90014069102 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23884735802 \\ & 0.574472178-02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23348007 E ~ 02 \\ & 0.47263301 E-02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.19922043 E=02 \\ -0.30669733 E=02 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAR } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | 13-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19922028 \mathrm{E} 02 \\ & 0.36300916 \mathrm{~F}-01 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX 3F

## VIDEO PREAMPLIFIER,

ECAP DC ANALYSIS
3F-1

Figure 3F-1 PREAMP ECAP D.C. EQUIVALENT

DC ANALYSIS
$C$ ERTS PREAMP
C JUNE 2s,1969, N.MALY
N(2,1) SRe3920(;06)
$N(2,3) \quad, R=51.1(: 06)$
$N(3,4)$ P $\mathrm{Re} 350(.10$ ),
$N(4,1) \quad$ : Rel500(:06)
N(5,4) PREIE4(.10)
N(12,5) :Rel475(;06)
$N(5,6), R+100(.06)$
$N(6,7) \quad$ P $\mathrm{R}=350(.10) \quad E=-6(-.5, \dot{0}, 7)$
$N(6, T) \quad$ RalE4(.10)
$N(7,1) \quad$ Re3010(;06)
$N(8,9) \quad \rightarrow R_{0} 47(.19)$
N(9,10) Re350i.10),
E=-. $6(-.5,8,7)$
B13
A14
A15
B16

| $B 16$ |
| :--- |
| 17 |

A18
819
${ }^{T} 1$
N(11, 10), RelE4(.10)
$N(10,0)=R=680(015)$
N(0,12) Re91(.13).
$E=8,0(7,4,8,6)$
$N(7,2) \quad$ Re3920(:06)
$N(12,8), R+470(.15)$
N(12:11) \& Ra. 1
$B(4,6), B E T A=80(15,350)$
B(9,10) BETA=80(15,350)
$\mathrm{B}(13,14)=\mathrm{BETA}=80(20,200)$
HORST CASE
PRINT, WORST CASE
EXECUTE
worst case solutions for ndoe valtages

| NDOE | WCMIN | NDMINAL | Wemax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.810112306 O1 | -0.73564892E O1 | -0.660599170 01 |
| 2 | -0.38462557E O1 | -0.28633139E 01 | -0.190374710 01 |
| 3 | -0.39524040E Of | -0.26646909E 01 | -0.190405980 01 |
| 4 | -0.49948820E O1 | -0.32738066E O1 | -0.210616930 01 |
| 5 | PaRtial wor.t. R 11 | has chanceo sign at | MIN |
| 5 | 0.12594900 E O1 | $0.27552662 E 01$ | 0.43019904001 |
| 6 | Partial w,R.t. R II | Has Changeo sien at | max |
| 6 | 0.12212019 E O1 | 0.27501850 E O1 | 0.429783350 01 |
| 7 | Partial w.e.t. R il | HAS CHANGED SIGN AT | Max |
| 7 | $0.53655016 E 00$ | $0.21324043 E 01$ | 0.36935880001 |
| 8 | 0.39324747 E O1 | $0.47399397 E$ O1 | 0.60735221001 |
| 9 | 0.33218946 E Of | 0.47366076E 01 | 0.60721422001 |
| 10 | 0.26460190 E 0.1 | 0.41116447 E O1 | 0.54613489001 |
| 11 | 0.59695635 E 01 | 0.68056564 E O1 | 0.76400772001 |
| 12 | 0.59702063 E O1 | $0.68062544 E$ O1 | 0.76406108001 |

## APPENDIX 3G

## SPECIFICATION

 FORMC 1545
INTEGRATED CIRCUIT
$3.6-1$

## GATE-CONTROLLED TWO-CHANNEL-INPUT WIDEBAND AMPLIFIER

. . . designed for use as a general-purpose gated wideband-amplifier, video switch, sense amplifier, multiplexer, modulator, FSK circuit, limiter, AGC circuit, or pulse amplifier.

- Large Bandwidth; 75 MHz typical
- Channel-Select Time of 20 ns typical
- Differential Inputs and Differential Output

MAXIMUM RATINGS ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise noted)

| Rating | Symbol | Value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Supply Voltage | $\mathrm{V}^{+}$ | $+12$ | $V \mathrm{dc}$ |
|  | $v^{-}$ | -12 | Vdc |
| Differential Input Signal | $V_{\text {in }}$ | $\pm 5.0$ | Volts |
| Load Current | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | 25 | mA |
| Power Dissipation (Package Limitation) <br> Flat Package <br> Derate above $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Ceramic Dual In-Line Package <br> Derate above $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Metal Can <br> Derate above $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{D}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{mW}}{\mathrm{~mW}} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | 625 5.0 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{m W} \\ \mathrm{mW} /{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 680 \\ & 4.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mW} \\ \mathrm{~mW} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| Operating Temperature Range | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{A}}$ | -55 to +125 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Storage Temperature Range | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {stg }}$ | -65 to +150 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |



Number at end of terminal is pin number for $G$ package.
Number in parenthesis is pin number for F and L. packages.

GATED DUAL-INPUT WIDEBAND-AMPLIFIER INTEGRATED CIRCUIT

MONOLITHIC SILICON EPITAXIAL PASSIVATED

JANUARY 1969 - DS 9117



3G-1-a,

ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Characteristic | Fig. No. | Symbol | Min | Typ | Max ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Ended Voltage Gain | 1,12 | ${ }^{A} \mathbf{V}$ | 16 | 18 | 20 | dB |
| Bandwidth | 1,12 | BW | 50 | 75 | - | MH2 |
| Input Impedance $(\mathrm{f}=50 \mathrm{kHz})$ | 5,14 | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {in }}$ | 4.0 | 10 | - | k ohms |
| Output Impedance $(\mathrm{f}=50 \mathrm{kHz})$ | 6,15 | $z_{\text {out }}$ | - | 25 | - | Ohms |
| Output Voltage Swing $\left(R_{L}=1.0 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{ohm}, f=50 \mathrm{kHz}\right)$ | 4,13 | $\mathbf{v}_{\text {out }}$ | 1.5 | 2.0 | - | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}-\mathrm{p}}$ |
| Input Bias Current $\left(I_{b}=\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) / 2\right)$ | 16 | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | - | 15 | 25 | $\mu \mathrm{Adc}$ |
| Input Offset Current | 16 | $\left\|\mathbf{I}_{\text {io }}\right\|$ | - | 2.0 | - | $\mu \mathrm{Adc}$ |
| Input Offset Voltage | 17 | $\left\|V_{i o}{ }^{\prime}\right\|$ | - | 1.0 | 5.0 | mVde |
| Quiescent Output dc Level | 17 | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}{ }^{(\mathrm{dc})}$ | - | 0.5 | - | $V \mathrm{dc}$ |
| Output dc Level Change (Gate Voltage Change: +5.0 V to 0 V ) | 17 | $\left\|\Delta V_{\text {out }}(\mathrm{dc})\right\|$ | - | 15 | - | mV |
| Common Mode Rejection Ratio $(\mathrm{f}=50 \mathrm{kHz})$ | 9,18 | $\mathrm{CM}_{\text {rej }}$ | - | 85 | - | dB |
| Input Common Mode Voltage Swing | 18 | $\mathrm{CMV}_{\text {in }}$ | - | $\pm 2.5$ | - | $V_{p}$ |
| Gate Current Low (Gate Voltage $=0 \mathrm{~V}$ ) | 18 | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {GOL }}$ | - | - | 2.5 | mA |
| Gate Current High (Gate Voltage $=+5.0 \mathrm{~V}$ ) | 18 | ${ }_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{COH}$ | - | - | 2.0 | $\mu \mathrm{A}$ |
| Step Response $\left(e_{i n}=20 \mathrm{mV}\right)$ | 19 | $\begin{array}{cc} t_{p d} \\ & t_{\text {pd }} \\ & t_{r} \\ & t_{f} \\ & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \\ & 6.3 \\ & 6.5 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \\ & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | ns |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wideband Input. Noise } \\ & \left(5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}=10 \mathrm{MHz}, R_{S}=50 \mathrm{ohms}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 10,20 | $V_{n(f n)}$ | - | 25 | - | $\mu \mathrm{Vrms}$ |
| DC Power Dissipation | 11,20 | $\mathbf{P}_{\text {D }}$ | - | 70 | 110 | mW |

FIGUAE 1 - SINGLE-ENDED VOLTAGE GAIN versus FREQUENCY

TYPICAL CHARACTERISTICS


FIGURE 2 - SINGLE-ENDED VOLTAGE GAIN versus TEMPERATURE

FIGURE 3 - VOLTAGE GAIN versus POWER SUPPLY VOLTAGES


FIGURE 5 - INPUT CP AND RP versus FREQUENCY (BOTH CHANAELS)


FIGURE 7 - CHANNEL SEPARATION versus FREQUENCY


FIGURE 4 - OUTPUT VOLTAGE SWING vernus LOAD RESISTANCE


RL LOAD RESISTANCE ik OHMS)

FIGURE 6 - OUTPUT IMPEDANCE versus FREQUENCY


FIGURE 8 - GATE CHARACTERISTICS


Vg. GATE VOLTAGE (VOLTS)

Number at end of terminal is pin number for $G$ package. Number in parenthesis is pin number for $F$ and $L$ packages.

## APPENDIX 3H

## ECAP AC ANALYSES

## FOR

## PLAYBACK AMPLIFIER, LINE DRIVER

$$
3 H \cdot 1
$$



Figure 3H-1 AC EQUIVALENT ECAPS


```
FREO = 0.49999961E O4 .00S
NODES NODE VDITAGES
MAG 1- 4 0.10430437E 00 0.10559970E 00 0.131120R6E 00 0.16504437E 00
OHA 0.39220514E O1 0.3263425SE 01 0.1070583SE 03 0.11030984E OS
MAF: 5- 7 0.17945516E 00 0.89230649E-02 0.16A8G771E 00
PHA -0.31002035E 01 -0.58564224E 02 -0.10613605E 02
```

```
FREO = 0.99999922E 04 .ON* NHF
    NDDES NIIDE VOITAGES
MAS, 1- 4 0.10037875E 00 0.10121065E 00 0.61432216E-01 0.70949197E-01
MAG S- 7 0.13739133E 00 0.46848767E-02 0.11A63232E 00
PHA -0.722345512 01 -0.73918427E 02 -0.13484637E 02
FREQ = 0.19999980E 05 :cL mHz
    NOOES NODE VOLTAGES
MAF I- 4 0.99523544E-01 0.10028446E 00 0.28458148E-01 0.3948846BE-01
PHA 0.71279830E 00 0.55774766E O0 0.91134566E 02 0.13017116E 03
MAG 5- 7 0.12575722E 00 0.23798600E-02 0.10424727E OO
PHA -0.44409513E 01 -0.91868011E 62-0.41703129E nl
FREO = 0.39999969E O5 .C4 +Hz
    NGOES NHOE VOLTAGES
MAG 1- 4 0.99323690E-51 0.10006948E 00 0.14065672E-01 0.28681856Em01
PHA J.353700ה4E SO 0.27685356E 00 0.904794A1E 02 0.14981894E 03
MAG S- 7 0.12280571E 00 0.11950361E-02 0.10051554E 00
PHA -0.23297119E 01 -0.05921036E 02 -0.42474565E 01
FREQ = 0.79999938E 05 . C% m山z
```

NODES
NODE VOLTAGES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAG } \\ & \text { PHA } \end{aligned}$ | $1-$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99262476 E-01 \\ & 0.88233173 E-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10000426 E 00 \\ & 0.69076240 \mathrm{E}-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3495723 T E=02 \\ & 0.90112 \text { RAEE O2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24556977 t=01 \\ & 0.17134895 E 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAG | 5- | 7 | $0.12107999 E 00$ | 0.29916735E-03 | 0.99337161E-01 |  |
| $\mathrm{OHA}^{\text {H }}$ |  |  | -0.591093728 00 | -0.88979218E 02 | -0.10R82759E O1 |  |

nodes rode voltages


MAG 5-7 0.12183368E 00 0.14959408E-03 0.99278142E-01
PHA $\quad 0.29576421 E 00-0.89489563 E 02-0.54455215 E 00$

FREO $=0.63999950 E 06$

NDDES
NOOE VDLTAGES



FREQ $=0.12799990 E$ OT

NODES NOOE VOLTAGES


```
FRFO = 0.51199930E OT
```

    NODES NODE VOLTAGES
    $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { MAt } & 1-4 & 0.99258423 E-01 & 0.99999905 E-01 & 0.10919824 E-03 & 0.24260595 E-01 \\ \text { PHA } & 0.275689446-02 & 0.21583596 E-02 & 0.90003499 E & 02 & 0.179727468 & 03\end{array}$
MAG 50. 7 0.12181830E 00 0.93498430E-05 0.992584A2E-01

FREQ = $0.10230992 E 08$
modes nhde voltages
MaG $\quad 1-40.99250423 E=01 \quad 0.99999905 E-01 \quad 0.54999077 E-04 \quad 0.24200379 E-01$

MAG 5-7 0.12181824E 00 0.46749201E-05 0.992584R2E=01
PHA -0.92449002E-02 - 0.09984024E 02 -0.17021563E-O1

```
C
FRFO - 0.79999938E OS
    NODES NBOE VOLTAGES
MAF 1- 4 0.99211216E*01 0.10001850E 00 0.73437579E-02 0.26664723E-01
MAG 5* 7 0.11411417% 00 0.3191452&E-03 0.99491239E-01
PHA -0.82384968L 00 -0.87996979E 02 -0.16025124E 01
FRFO = 0.159999A8E OG
    NODES NODE VDLTAGES
MAG 1- 4 0.99198639E-01 0.10000455E 00 0.36671546E-02 0.25748074E-01
MAG 5- 7 0.11393297E 00 0.25063783E-03 0.99768675E-01
PHA -0.41385692E 00 -0.88998337E 02 -0.804202A7E O0
FREO = 0.31999969E O6
    NOOES NODE VOLTAGES
MAG 1- 4 0.99195540E-01 0.10000110E 00 0.18329.165E-02 0.25914510E-01 
MAG 5- 7 0.11388634E 00 0.12982720E-03 0.99214064E-01
PHA -0.20717007E 00-0.89499130E O2-0.40251964E OO
FRFO = 0.63999950E 06
```

    NDDES NODE VDLTAGES
    ```
MAG
MAT, 5- 7 0.11307595E 90 0.64914595E-04 0.99199116E-01
PNA
    -0.10361522E 00-0.89749557E 02 -0.20130718E OO
```

APPENDIX 3I

## ECAP DC ANALYSIS <br> FOR

PLAYBACK AMPLIFIER, LINE DRIVER

$$
3 /-1
$$


Figure 3I-1 ECAP D.C. ANALYSIS P-B LINE DRIVER

## DC ANALYSIS

ERTS PB AMPLIFIER LINE DRIVER
C DATE JUNE 23,1969
81 N(1.2) $, R=350(: 10) \quad, E==.6(-.55-.7)$
A2 $N(1,4): R=1 E 4(: 10)$
B3 $N(0,1)$, Ren405 (í15) $E=5.0(.072)$
$B 4$
85
B6
87 N(0,3) $N(4,100$ ( 1015
$88 \quad N(2,3) \quad \in R=1 E 4$ (:15)
$B 9 \quad N(0,5) \quad 1 R=75$ (is)
B10 $N(0,5) \quad \operatorname{Re75} \quad(, 05)$
T1 $B(1,2) \quad B E T A=125(50,200)$
$T 2 B(5,6) \quad B E T A=125(50,200)$
WORST CASE
PRINT, WORST CASE
EXECUTE

WORST CASE SOLUTIONS FOR NODE VMLTAGES

| NODE | WCMIN | NGMINAL |  | Wemax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PARTIAL W.R.T. R 2 | HAS CHANGED SIGN | AT | MIN |
| 1 | $0.26942415 E 01$ | $0.35616121 E 01$ |  | 0.42529714001 |
| 2 | PARTIAL W.R.T. R 2 | Has Changed sign | AT | MIN |
| 2 | 0.20866070 O OL | 0.29518099 E O1 |  | 0.36326179001 |
| 3 | PARTIAL W.R.T. R 6 | HAS CHANGED SIGN | AT | MIN |
| 3 | 0.13918428E O1 | 0.26717243 E O1 |  | 0.33832165001 |
| 4 | PARTIAL W.R.T. R 6 | HAS CHANGED SIGN | AT | MIV |
| 4 | 0.23258448 El | 0.33370152 E 01 |  | 0.40027866001 |
| 5 | PARTIAL W.R.T. R 6 | HAS CHANGED SIGN | AT | MAX |
| 5 | 0.37008336E 00 | 0.88114828 E 00 |  | 0.19640991001 |


worst case solutions for node voltages
NODE WCMIN NOMINAL WEMAX

1
PARTIALW.R.F. R

1
1

2
partial w.r.f. $\quad 2$ has changeo sign at min

2
2
3
3

4

4
5
$5 \quad 0.49466658 \mathrm{E} 00 \quad 0.10106678 \mathrm{E} 01 \quad 0.20324422001$
PARTIAL W.R.T. R 6 HAS CHANGED SIGN AT MIN
$0.17617149 E$ O1 0.26631888E 01 0.340883080 01
3 PARTIAL W.R.T. $\quad 6$ has ChANGED SIGN AT MIN
$0.10345001 E$ O1 $0.23296938 \mathrm{E} 01 \quad 0.30667538001$
PARTIAL W.R.T. R 6 has CHANGED SIGN AT MIN
0.20039473 O1 0.30047874E O1 0.36912239001

5 PARTIAL W.R.T. R 6 has ChANGEO SIGN AT MAX


## QRANCH VOLTAGES

BRANCHES
voltages

| $1-4$ | -0.588517181300 | 0.30007808000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-$ | -0.56051692000 | 0.30339524001 |
| $9-10$ | -0.54016581000 | -0.54016581000 |


| -0.331367940 | 01 | -0.301360130 | 01 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.357411820 | 01 | 0.328076330 | 00 |

element valtages
mRanehes voltages

| $1-4$ | $0.114827860-01$ | 0.30007808000 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-0$ | 0.394830480001 | 0.303395240 | 01 |
| $9-10$ | -0.54016581000 | -0.540165010 | 00 |


| $0 ; 148631970$ | 01 | -0.301360130 | 01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.142589080 | 01 | 0.328076330 | $0 n$ |

ELEMFNT POWER loSSES

RRANCHES POWER LOSSES

| $1-4$ | $0.376726890-06$ | $0.123962320-02$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5-$ | $0.445402970-05$ | $0.437025030-01$ |
| $9-10$ | $0.389038750-02$ | $0.389038760-02$ |

$0: 702141700-02$
$0.121090570-01$
9- 10
0.38903875002
-.437025030-0
$0.203 .13570-01$
0.107635380 .04

## RRANIT CURAENTS

## PRANCHES

CuRrEnts

| $1-4$ | $0.320079610-04$ | $0.413100230-02$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-8$ | $0.112808680-03$ | $0.144044790-01$ |
| $9-10$ | $-0.720221000-02$ | $-0.729221000-02$ |

$0 * 416975210-02$
$0.142588050-01$
$-0.401813500-02$
$0.142588050-01 \quad 0.328078300-04$

## APPENDIX 3 J

COMPONENT DERATING FOR

## MOTOR/SOLENOID SWITCH

$3 \sqrt{3} 1$
APPENDIX A COMPONENT SPECIFICATIONS

1. Passive Element Derating

| Type | Tolerance |  | Temp. Charac. |  |  | Power Derating | Transient Overload |  |  | Fallure <br> Rate <br> Per <br> $10^{6} \mathrm{hrs}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intial | Inital Plus 10, 000 hr Degrad. | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R} \\ \text { Range } \end{gathered}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Power Rating | Overload X <br> Rated $\mathbf{P}$. | R Change |  |
| RCR07-JP | $\pm 5 \%$ | $\pm 15 \%$ | R $<1 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\pm 2 \%$ | $\pm 2.2 \%$ | 50\% |  | 6.2 | $\pm 2.5 \%$ | 1 |
|  |  |  | $1.1 \mathrm{~K}<\mathrm{R} \leqslant 10 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\pm 3 \%$ | $\pm 2.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| RWR- -P | $\pm 1 \%$ | $\pm 1.8 \%$ |  | $\pm .05 \%$ | $\pm .07 \%$ | 40\% |  |  |  | 1 |
| RNR- -P | $\pm 1 \%$ | $\pm 1.9 \%$ | - | $\pm .05 \%$ | $\pm .07 \%$ | 50\% | 55,57 60 | 5 | $\pm .25 \%$ | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\pm .25 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 2.25 | $\pm .25 \%$ |  |

b. Capacitors

| Type | Tolerance |  | DC Leakage Current |  |  | Voltage Derating | Temp. Charac. |  | Failure Rate | Radiation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fritial | Initial Plus $10,000 \mathrm{hr}$. Degrad. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cap. in } \\ \mu \mathrm{f} \end{gathered}$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ 10^{6} \mathrm{hrs} \end{gathered}$ | Roentgen Per hr. |
| CSR- -KP | $\pm 10 \%$ | $\pm 30 \%$ | 8.2 | $5 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ | $31 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ | For 50V <br> Rating <br> use <br> 40 V | $-3 \%$ | +4.6\% | 1 | 10 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 9 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 22 | 11 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |

2. Transistor Parameter Derating

| Parameter | Derating Factor |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO}}$ | Double every $14{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise in junction temperature for <br> Germanium. <br> Double every $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise in junction temperature for <br> Silicon. <br> Derate $100 \%$ for aging. |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$ (sat) | Decrease $2.5 \mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise in junction temperature. <br> Derate $10 \%$ for aging (increase maximum). <br> Derate typical $\pm 20 \%$. |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE}}$ (sat) | Increase $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{mV} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise in junction temperature. |
| Derate $10 \%$ for aging (increase maximum). |  |
|  | Derate typical $\pm 50 \%$. |

## 3. Coil Resistance

The change in coil resistance is given by:

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}_{0}(1+\alpha \mathrm{T})
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R} & =\text { Resistance at temperature } \mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{o}} & =\text { Resistance at } 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\alpha & =\text { Wire temperature coefficient (for copper } \alpha=.00393 /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Motor/Solenoid Switch



## COMPONENT SPECIFICATIONS (Cont)



## APPENDIX 3K

WORST CASE CALCULATIONS

$$
3 k-1
$$

### 1.0 RELAY TIMER

Neglecting the inductive time constant, $L / R=.64 \mathrm{~ms}$, which is very small compared to the RC time constant, the relay timer equivalent circuit may be represented by Figure A-1.


Figure A-1 RELAY TIMER EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT
Also, neglecting the capacitor leakage current, which is very small compared to the charging current during the charging of $\mathbf{C 1}$, the voltage across $\mathbf{C 1}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}=V_{1}\left(1-e^{\left.-t / R C_{1}\right)}\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, the relay coil voltage is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{L 1}=\frac{R_{L 1}}{R_{1}+R_{L 1}}\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Equation 1:

$$
\frac{V_{1}}{V_{1}-V_{2}}=e^{t / R C_{1}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t}{R C_{1}}=\operatorname{Ln} \frac{V_{1}}{V_{1}-V_{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for V2, from Equation 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}=V_{1}-V_{L}\left(\frac{R_{1}+R_{L 1}}{R_{L 1}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equations 2 and 5 into Equation 4:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.t=\left(R_{1}+R_{L 1}\right) C_{1} L_{\eta} \frac{V_{1} R_{L 1}}{V_{L 1}\left(R_{1}+R_{L 1}\right.}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the drop-out voltage, VL1, exhibits the greatest tolerance, the minimum and maximum timer ON times are dependent on VL1. Minimum TON occurs for $V^{\prime}$ maximum and maximum TON occurs for VL1 minimum. Or, for minimum TON, Equation 6 becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{T}=\left(\mathbb{R}_{1}+\underline{R}_{\mathrm{L} 1}\right) \underline{\mathrm{C}}_{1} \cdot \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\underline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1} \underline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 1}}{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{L} 1}{\left.\underline{\left(R_{1}\right.}+\underline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case values into Equation 7, at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\underline{T}=(83+1584) 16.1 \times 10^{-6} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{24(1584)}{4.9(83+1584)}=41.5 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

For maximum TON, Equation 6 becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}=\left(\bar{R}_{1}+\bar{R}_{L 1}\right) \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{1} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 1}}{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{L} 1}\left(\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{1}+\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 1}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{T}}=(117+1567) 27.7 \times 10^{-6} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{25(1567)}{1.07(117+1567)}=141 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

### 2.0 SOLENOID DRIVER DRIVE REQUIREMENTS

a. Turn-On Time

In order to determine the transistor regions of operation, the rise time to saturation must be determined. The rise time of Q1 is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=R_{2} C_{2} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{V_{1}}{V_{1}-V_{B 1}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
V_{1}=-24.5 \pm 2 \% \text { (shoe control signal) }
$$

For the minimum turn-on time, Equation 9 becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{T}=R_{2} C_{2} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\underline{V}_{1}}{V_{1}-V_{B 1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate VB1, from Figure 3-20

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{B 1}=V_{E 1}+v_{B E 1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{E 1}=I_{E 1} R_{5}+V_{B E 1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{B 1}=I_{E 1} R_{5}+V_{B E 1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from Figure 3-20

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c c}=I_{E 1} R_{5}+V_{C E 1}+\left(I_{c 1}-I_{B 2}\right) R_{4} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c}=a_{n} I_{E}+I_{C B O} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equation 14 into Equation 13 and solving for IE1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 1}=\frac{V_{c c}-\left(I_{c B 01}-I_{B 2}\right) R_{4}-V_{c E 1}}{R_{5}+a_{N} R_{4}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Maximum VB1 will occur for maximum IE1, therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{E 1}=\frac{V_{c c}-\left(I_{c B 01}-I_{B 2}\right) R_{4}-V_{C E 1}}{\bar{R}_{5}+a_{N} R_{4}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting ICB0, and letting IB2 $=3 \mathrm{~mA}$, then at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=\frac{25+3 \times 10^{-3}(183)-.1}{1175+.985(183)}=18.1 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

From Equation 13,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1} \mathrm{R}_{5}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

And from Equation 16, minimum IE1 is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 1}=\frac{V_{c c}-\left(I_{c B 01}-I_{B 2}\right) \overleftarrow{R_{4}}-\overline{V_{C E 1}}}{\underline{R}_{5}{ }^{+}{ }^{a} N_{4} R_{4}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting ICB0, and letting $\mathrm{IB} 2=2 \mathrm{~mA}$, then solving Equation 19 , at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{E} 1}=\frac{24-2 \times 10^{-3}(258)-.23}{827+.985(258)}=21.5 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

Substituting into Equation 18 , at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=21.5 \mathrm{~mA}(827)+.53=18.23 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Now, let $\overline{\mathrm{V}} 1=24 \mathrm{~V}$, and substituting worst case values into Equation 10, the minimum turn-on time is:

$$
\underline{T}=2913(5.9 \mu t) \operatorname{Ln} \frac{24}{24-18.23}=24.5 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

When $\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{1}=25 \mathrm{~V}, \underline{\mathrm{VB1}}=22 \mathrm{~V}$, then minimum turn-on time is:

$$
\underline{T}=17.2 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{Ln} \frac{25}{25-22}=36.2 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

Evidently, turn-on time is minimum for $\mathrm{V} 1-24 \mathrm{~V}$.
Now, for maximum turn-on time, Equation 9 is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}=\bar{R}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

And, from Equation 13:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathbf{E} 1} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{5}+\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case values into Equation 21, at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=18.1 \mathrm{~mA}(1175)+.70=21.9
$$

Using the above results, and substituting into Equation 20, the maximum turnon is:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{T}}=2927(10.3 \mu \mathrm{f}) \operatorname{Ln} \frac{25}{25-21.9}=63 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

b. Minimum hFE3 required to Drive Q3

Referring to Figure 3-20, Q3 emitter current is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2} \mathrm{R}_{6}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}+\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 7}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c 2}=I_{B 3}+\frac{V_{B E 3}}{R_{7}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cB} 02} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or, solving Equation 24 for IE2 and substituting into Equation 23,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 2}=\frac{I_{c 2}-I_{c B 02}}{a_{N 2}}=\frac{I_{B 3}+\frac{V_{B E 3}}{R_{7}}-I_{C B 02}}{a_{\mathrm{N} 2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{B} 3}=\frac{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{c} 3}}{h_{\mathrm{FE} 3}}-\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{c b} 03}}{{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then substituting Equations 25 and 26 into Equation 22:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{c c}=\frac{I_{c 3} R_{6}}{h_{F E 3}{ }^{a} N 2}-\left(\frac{I_{c 03}}{a^{a_{N 3}{ }^{a} N 2}}-\frac{V_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{R_{7}{ }^{a} N 2}+\frac{I_{\mathrm{cB} 22}}{{ }^{a}{ }_{N 2}}\right) R_{6}+V_{c E 2}+V_{c E 2}+V_{B E 3}+ \\
& \left.{ }_{\left(I_{E 3}\right.}-I_{R 7}\right) R_{L 2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving Equation 27 for hFE3,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hFE3 }= \frac{\left(a_{N 3} I_{\mathrm{E} 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cB} 03}\right) \mathrm{R}_{6}}{a_{\mathrm{N} 2}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cc}}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cb} 03}}{\left.a_{\mathrm{N} 3}-\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cB} 22}} \mathrm{R}_{7{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}{ }^{a_{\mathrm{N}}}\right)_{R_{6}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-}\right.\right.}  \tag{28}\\
&\left.\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 7}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Since hFE 3 is minimum at low temperature, calculate the maximum hFE3 required at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is equivalent to specifying hFE3 for Q3. From the specifications, the solenoid voltage requirement is 20.7 V minimum. Therefore, since $\overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{E} 3$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}}-\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{7}}{}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{RL} 2} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with VE3 $=20.7$, from Equation 29:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{20.7}{3.94}-\frac{1.26}{8270}=5.3 \mathrm{~A}
$$

Now, neglecting ICB0 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{IR} 7, \overline{\mathrm{hFE}}$ required is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\bar{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=\frac{\mathrm{N} 3{ }_{\mathrm{I} 3} R_{6}}{\mathrm{~N} 2\left[\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3} \mathrm{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{R}_{7} \mathrm{~N} 2}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}\right]}\right] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{N} 3=.97$, and substituting worst case values into Equation 31:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=\frac{.97(5.3)(10.2)}{.98\left(24-\frac{1.26(10.2)}{.98(8270)}-.4-1.26-5.3(3.94)\right.}=33
$$

This value is within the limits of Q3 (selected 2N4399), which exhibits an hFE of 35 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Similarly, determine the maximum drive requirements for Q1, Q2. From Figure 1, for Q2, the emitter current is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c c}=I_{E 2} R_{6}+V_{c E 2}+I_{R 7} R_{7}+I_{R L 2} R_{L 2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{B E 3}=I_{R 7} R_{7} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then combining Equations 32 and 33 , and solving for IE2. For Vcc and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RL} 2} \approx$ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}$, the minimum possible current from Q 2 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{E} 2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{cE} 2}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}}{\bar{R}_{6}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case parameters into Equation 34:

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2}=\frac{24-.39-1.26-20.7}{10.2}=\frac{1.65}{10.2}=162 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

letting,

$$
I_{c 2}=a_{N 2} I_{E 2}
$$

Then, $\mathrm{IC} 2=160 \mathrm{~mA}$, which is equal to the maximum base current, IB 3 , required by Q3. Or, using the above results,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 3}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\underline{\mathrm{FE} 3}}}=\frac{5.3}{33}=160 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

Now, for Q2, minimum hFE2 is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\underline{F E} 2}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} 2}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since hFE2 is given as 53 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then from Equation 36:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 2}=\frac{160}{53}=3 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

To determine Q1 drive capabilities, from Figure 1, the Q1 current is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c c}=I_{E 1} R_{5}+V_{c E 1}+\left(I_{c 1}-I_{B 2}\right) R 4 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c} 1}=a_{\mathrm{N} 1} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 1}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cB} 01} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C 1}=I_{R 4}+I_{B 2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then substituting Equation 38 and 39 into Equation 37, and solving for IE1 available at minimum Vcc,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 1}=\frac{V_{c c}-\left(I_{c b 01}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 2}\right) \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{4}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{cE} 1}}{\bar{R}_{5}+\varphi_{\mathrm{N} 1} \bar{R}_{4}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, neglecting Icbo at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and letting $\overline{\mathrm{IB} 2}=3 \mathrm{~mA}$, then substituting worst case values into the above equation.

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{E} 1}=\frac{24+3 \mathrm{~mA}(258)-.23}{1173+.98(258)}=17.2 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

Using an alternate approach to determine hFE2, IB2 available must be calculated for the specified circuit conditions.

Since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B 2}=I_{c 1}-I_{R 4} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

And, IB2 of interest is $\overline{\mathrm{IB}} 2$ deliverable under IC1 conditions.
Then from Figure 3-20,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c} 1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c} 1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{6}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the above equation:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c} 1}=24-1.65-1.06=21.3
$$

And since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{R} 4}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c} 1}}{\underline{R}_{4}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above values, Equation 44 becomes:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{R} 4}=\frac{24-21.3}{183}=14.8 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

and from Equation 41:

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{c} 1}} \approx 14.8+3=17.8 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

The above value is slightly larger than that obtained from Equation 40. Therefore, use the former approach.

To evaluate Q1 drive capabilities, since $\underline{\mathrm{hFE}}=60$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then, using Equation 36,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\frac{17.2}{60}=.29 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

From Figure 3-20, the Q1 base current is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 2} \mathrm{R}_{2}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 1} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{R 2}=I_{c 2}+I_{B 1} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c 2}=-\frac{V_{1}}{R_{2} e} t / R_{2} C_{2} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Equation 45 for minimum IR2 available:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}}{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{2}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{E 1}=I_{E 1} R_{5} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $I \underline{E} 1=17.2 \mathrm{~mA}$ :

$$
V_{E 1}=17.2(1173)=20.3 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Substituting into Equation 48,

$$
\underline{L}_{2}=\frac{24-.84-20.3}{2927}=\frac{2.86}{2927}=.97 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

Since the above value is greater than the required IB1, it is sufficient to drive Q1 and subsequently Q2.

The effects of maximum Vcc may be evaluated by repeating the above analysis.
From Equation 28, hFE3 required is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=\frac{a_{N 3} \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{6}}{{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2\left[\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{cc}}{\overline{-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{6}} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{7}{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}_{\left.\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}\right]}\right.} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case values into Equation 50:

$$
\bar{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}=\frac{.97(5.3)(10.2)}{.98(25-.4-1.26-5.3(3.94)}=\frac{52.5}{2.6}=20
$$

Since hFE3 available to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 35 , the above condition is satisfied.
For the required pull-in, the current drive required by Q3 at maximum Vcc is the same as for minimum Vcc. Then, the Q2 voltage drop will be shifted. Or,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{cE} 2}=\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{cE} 2}(\mathrm{sat})+1+1.39
$$

Then, from Equation 34,

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2}=162 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

And for Q1, from Equation 40,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 1}=\frac{\bar{V}_{c c}-\left(I_{c 01}-\bar{I}_{B 2}\right) \bar{R}_{4}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{C E 1}}{\bar{R}_{5}+a_{N 1} \bar{R}_{4}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

And for $\mathrm{Vec}=\mathbf{2 5}$,

$$
\underline{I}_{\underline{E} 1}=\frac{25.54}{1427}=17.8 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

Maximum IB1 required, however, occurs at maximum IE1 possible, or from Equation 40,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c} 01}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 2}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\underline{4}}-\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{CE} 1}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\underline{5}}+a_{\mathrm{N} 1} R_{\underline{4}}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case values into Equation 52,

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=\frac{25-3 \mathrm{~mA}(191)-.08}{827+.98(191)}=\frac{24.42}{1015}=23.8 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

and from,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 1} \approx \frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathbf{E} 1}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\underline{F E} 1}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

or,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\frac{23.8}{60}=.4 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

Now, from Equation 49,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1} \mathrm{R}_{\underline{5}}=23.8(827)=19.7 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

And from Equation 48,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}_{2}}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}}{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{2}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the parameters into Equation 55,

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R} 2}=\frac{25-.84-19.7}{2927}=\frac{4.46}{2927}=1.53 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

This value is sufficient to drive Q1 at IB1 $=.4 \mathrm{~mA}$.
c. Solenoid Pull-In

The solenoid pull-in force is directly proportional to the coll current, LL2.
Neglecting transistor reverse saturation current, and R7, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L} 2}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{c c}=I_{E 2} R_{6}+\bar{v}_{c E 2}+\bar{v}_{B E 3}+I_{E 3} R_{\underline{L} 2} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 2} \approx \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 3}=\frac{v_{c c}-v_{B E 3}-v_{c E 2}}{\frac{R_{6}}{h_{F E 3}}+R_{L 2}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimum pull-in at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{cE} 2}}{\frac{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{6}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\underline{\mathrm{FE} 3}}}+\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 2}} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for hFE3 $=41$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
I_{E 3}=\frac{24-1.1-.57}{\frac{10.2}{42}+5.96}=\frac{22.33}{6.20}=3.6 \mathrm{~A}
$$

And, since:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{E} 3}}=\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{E} 3}} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 2} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for the above values,

$$
V_{E 3}=3.6(5.96)=21.4 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Translated to manufacturer's specifications,

$$
V_{\text {Pull-in }}=\frac{21.4}{1.16}=18.5 \mathrm{~V} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

which is equivalent to 4.75 lbs . force at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Similarly, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, assuming sufficient hFE3, using Equation 60:

$$
I_{\underline{E} 3}=\frac{24-1.26-.55}{\underline{10.2}+4.82}=\frac{2.2}{5.11}=4.3 \mathrm{~A}
$$

from Equation 61:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\underline{E 3}}=4.3(4.82)=20.7 \mathrm{~V}
$$

and,

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\text {Pull-in }}=\frac{20.7}{.9}=23 \mathrm{~V} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

which is equivalent to 6.5 lbs. force at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Maximum pull-in voltage is of little interest, except to determine solenoid stress, which is a maximum of $30 \mathrm{~V} \pm 10 \%$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or, . $9(30)=27 \mathrm{~V} \pm 10 \%$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In addition, VE3 cannot exceed $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{cc}=2 \overline{7} \mathrm{~V}$, therefore, maximum allowable solenoid voltage cannot be exceeded in the event Q3 shorts out.

For maximum power supply load, calculate VE3 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Then, from Equation 60,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}}{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{~h} \frac{6}{\mathrm{FE} 3}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

And substituting worst case parameters into Equation 62,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{25-.8-.2}{\frac{9.8}{53}+3.94}=\frac{24}{4.12}=5.82 \mathrm{~A}
$$

From Equation 61,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \mathrm{R}_{\underline{L} 2} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or under worst case conditions,

$$
V_{E 3}=5.82(3.94)=22.8 \mathrm{~V}
$$

d. Transistor Switching Time

1) Turn-On

System specifications require a minimum allowable rise time of 5 ms for a 6 A current step, and approximately 3 ms for a 3.6 A step. Neglecting Q1 base current, the circuit turn-on time is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=R_{2} C_{2} L_{\eta} \frac{V_{1}}{V_{1}-V_{B l}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, VB1 is dependent on the circuit parameters and worst case variations. For minimum turn-on, Equation 64 becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=R_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\eta} \frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

VB1 will be minimum for IE1 minimum, and IE1 will be minimum when all hFE 's are maximum, thus requiring minimum drive. Since hFF's are maximum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, calculate drive requirements at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Thus, letting,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C 3}=q_{N 3} I_{E 3} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, solving Equation 27 for IE3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{E 3}\left(R_{L 2}+\frac{a_{N 3} R_{6}}{h_{F E 3}{ }^{a} N 2}\right)=V_{C C}+\left(\frac{I^{\mathrm{Cb} 3} 3}{a_{N 3}{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}-\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{R_{7}{ }^{4} \mathrm{~N} 2}+\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CB} 02}}{a_{\mathrm{N} 2}}\right) \\
& \left(\mathrm{R}_{6}\right)-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Resulting in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E 3}=\frac{V_{c c}+\left(\frac{I_{c b 03}}{a_{N 3}{ }^{a}{ }_{N 2}}-\frac{V_{\text {BE3 }}}{R_{7}{ }^{a} N 2}+\frac{I_{c b 02}}{a_{N 2}}\right) R_{6}-V_{C E 2}-V_{B E 3}}{R_{L 2}+\frac{a_{N 3} R_{6}}{h_{\text {FE3 }}{ }^{a} N 2}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the rise times, ICBO and the IR7 current may be neglected, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}}{{\frac{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{6}}{\overline{\mathrm{~h}}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}}+\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{L} 2}}^{\text {( }} \text { ( }} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

And at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{E}} 3}=\frac{24-1.1-.57}{\frac{10.2}{62}+5.96}=\frac{22.33}{6.12}=3.65 \mathrm{~A}
$$

Since,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{I}_{\underline{C} 2}=\frac{{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 3 \underline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{E} 3}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=\frac{.98(3.65)}{62}=58 \mathrm{MA}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{E}} 2}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{C}} 2}}{{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}=59 \mathrm{MA} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{C 1}=v_{B 2}=v_{C C}-I_{E 2} R_{6}-v_{B E 2} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solve for $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{C} 1$, to yield minimum IC1, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{C}}}-\mathrm{I}_{\underline{E} 2} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{6}-\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{BE} E 2}} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

And, substituting values into the above equation:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=24-59 \mathrm{MA}(10.2)-.7=22.7 \mathrm{~V}
$$

To calculate IE1, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C 1}=I_{R 4}+L_{B 2} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{R 4}=\frac{V_{C C}-V_{C 1}}{R_{4}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B 2}=\frac{I_{C 2}}{h_{F E 2}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

For worst case $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{I}_{\underline{R} 4}=\frac{{ }_{V_{\mathrm{CC}}}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{Cl}}}{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{4}}=\frac{24-22.7}{259}=5 \mathrm{MA} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\underline{B} 2}=\frac{\underline{I_{C 2}}}{\bar{h}_{\text {FE2 }}}=\frac{58}{66}=.96 \mathrm{MA} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

or,

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{\mathrm{C1}}}=5+.96=5.96 \mathrm{MA}
$$

and,

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\underline{E 1}}=\frac{5.96}{.985}=6 \mathrm{MA}
$$

Then from,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E} 1}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{BE} 1} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

or for minimum VB1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{B} 1}}=\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{E} 1}}+\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{BE} 1}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{E 1}=I_{\underline{E} 1} R_{5} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=6 \mathrm{MA}(825)+.53=5.47 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Now substituting the above values into Equation 65 , at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\underline{T}=2913(5.9 \mu \mathrm{f}) \ln \frac{24}{24-5.47}=17.2 \mathrm{MS}(.2 \mathrm{C})=4.5 \mathrm{MS}
$$

which exceeds the specified maximum of 3 ms by $150 \%$.

The maximum turn-on time required must be calculated to insure that the solenoid switches before the relay timer drops out. From Equation 64, the maximum turn-on is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}=\bar{R}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \ln \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

VB1 will be maximum when IE1 is maximum, which occurs during the maximum drive condition, or at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since the drive requirement calculations at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were based on minimum pull-in, $\overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{E} 3$ must be determined at $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{Cc}$. Again, from Equation 67;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}-\underline{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}}}{\frac{\underline{\mathrm{R}} 6}{\bar{h}_{\underline{\mathrm{FE} 3}}}+\underline{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

or at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathbf{E} 3}=\frac{25-.8-.2}{\frac{9.8}{35}+3.94}=5.7 \mathrm{~A}
$$

and,

$$
\bar{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=\frac{a_{\mathrm{N} 3} \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 3}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}}=\frac{.98(5.7)}{35}=160 \mathrm{MA}
$$

from which,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2}=163 \mathrm{MA}
$$

For maximum IR4, from Equation 70,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\underline{\mathrm{C} 1}}=\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2} \mathrm{R}_{6}-\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{BE} 2} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

And at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=25-163 \mathrm{MA}(9.8)-1.06=22.34
$$

From Equation 73,

$$
{\overline{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{R 4}}}}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\underline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{Cl}}}{\underline{R}_{4}}
$$

and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{R} 4}=\frac{25-22.34}{191}=14 \mathrm{MA}
$$

Now, from Equation 74,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 2}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 2}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\underline{\mathrm{FE} 2} 2}}=\frac{160}{56}=2.86 \mathrm{MA}
$$

and from Equation 72,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=14+2.86=16.86 \mathrm{MA} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

or,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=\frac{16.86}{.98} 17.2 \mathrm{MA}
$$

And from Equation 77,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}+\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{BE} 1} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{5}+\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 1} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting worst case parameters into Equation 85,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=17.2 \mathrm{MA}(1173)+.84=21
$$

Substituting worst case parameters into Equation 80,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{T}}=2927(10.3 \mu \mathrm{f}) \ln \frac{25}{25-21}=30(1.82)=54.5 \mathrm{MS}
$$

Since this is greater than the minimum relay timer drop out ( 41.5 ms ), the time required to reach minimum pull-in voltage must be computed. From the manufacturer's specifications, for 4.5 lbs , pull-in at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{VE} 3=18 \mathrm{~V}$, and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{VE} 3=$ $.9(18)=16.2 \mathrm{~V}$.

For this condition,

$$
\bar{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\frac{R_{\mathrm{L} 2}}{}}=\frac{16.2}{3.94}=4.1 \mathrm{~A}
$$

and,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=\frac{a_{\mathrm{N}} \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}}=\frac{.98(4.1)}{35}=115 \mathrm{MA}
$$

Also,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2}=117 \mathrm{MA}
$$

From Equation 70,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2} \underline{R} 6-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 2} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=25-115(9.8)-1.06=22.8 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{R} 4}=\frac{25-22.8}{191}=11.5 \mathrm{MA}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Equation 74,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 2}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 2}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\underline{\mathrm{FE} 2} 2}}=\frac{115}{56}=2.05 \mathrm{MA}
$$

And from Equation 72,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=11.5+2.05=13.5 \mathrm{MA}
$$

From Equation 85,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=13.5(1173)+.84=16.64 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Substituting the above values into Equation 80,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{T}}=2927(10.3 \mu \mathrm{f}) \ln \frac{25}{25-16.64}=30(1.1)=33 \mathrm{MS}
$$

This result is well within the minimum relay timer dropout.
2) Turn-Off

Since the the transistor switching times are inherently very fast, the driver turn-off is dependent mainly on the decay of C2. This is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\operatorname{RC} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, for one time constant, at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
t_{\max }=\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{C}}_{2}=388(11 \mu \mathrm{f})=4.27 \mathrm{MS}
$$

and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
t_{\min }=R_{\underline{3}} C_{\underline{2}}=275(5.4 \mu f)=1.49 \mathrm{MS}
$$

Or, turn-off time is primarily due to RC, and is only slightly affected by the inductive time constant $L / R=.26 \mathrm{~ms}$.

## e. Transistor Power Dissipation

In general, transistor dissipation is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=p\left(t_{\text {off }}\right)+P\left(t_{\text {on }}\right)+P\left(t_{s w 1}\right)+P\left(t_{s w 2}\right) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{OFF}}=\text { OFF time } \\
& \mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{ON}}=\text { ON time } \\
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{SW} 1}=\text { turn-ON time } \\
& \mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{SW} 2}=\text { turn-OFF time }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming a linear rise time, the energy dissipated during a switching interval is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\text {tsw }}=I_{c} \frac{V_{\mathrm{CE}}{ }^{\text {(off) } \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{SW}}}}{6} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting ${ }^{\text {SW }}$ off, storage and delay times, the average power over a cycle is:
where $T=$ switching period.
Due to system requirements, T min $=2$ seconds. From the relay timer, which has a maximum ON of 141 ms ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{ON}}+\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{SW}}=141 \mathrm{~ms} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 90 , it is clear that maximum dissipation occurs for $\bar{t}_{S W}, \bar{t}_{O N}, \bar{I}_{c}$, $\overline{\mathrm{V} C E}(\mathrm{ON}), \overline{\mathrm{I} C B O}, \overline{\mathrm{~V} C E}$ (OFF). Moreover, the worst condition occurs at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
and maximum $P_{\text {avg }}$. will be computed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since maximum VCE3(ON) results for $\overline{\mathrm{V} C C}, \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{BE} 3$, VCE2, hFE3 or (IE2) and IC3 maximum results for RL2. Then from Equation 67,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{CC}}+\left(\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{cbo3}}}{a_{\mathrm{N} 3^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}}-\frac{\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{\mathrm{R}_{7}{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{CBO} 2}}{{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}\right) \underline{R}_{6}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 2}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{BE} 3}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}+\frac{{ }^{a} \mathrm{~N} 3 \underline{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3^{a} \mathrm{~N} 2}}} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting into Equation 92,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{25+\left(\frac{32 \mu \mathrm{~A}}{.965}-\frac{1.1}{.98(8,250)}+\frac{.32 \mu \mathrm{~A}}{.98}\right) 9.8-.41-1.1}{4.88+\frac{.98(9.8)}{.98(41)}}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\frac{25-.325-1.5}{4.88+.24}=4.72 \mathrm{~A} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 3}=a_{\mathrm{N} 3} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=.98(4.72)=4.62 \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 3} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 2}=4.62(4.88)=22.5 \mathrm{~V} \\
\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{CE} 3}=\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E} 3}=25-22.5=2.5 \mathrm{~V}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, calculate the maximum $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{SW}}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. From Equation 64,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}=\bar{R}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{B} 1$, begin with $\overline{\mathrm{I} C 3}=4.62 \mathrm{~A}$. Then,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=\frac{{ }_{\mathrm{N} 3} \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 3}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{FE} 3}}=\frac{.98(4.62)}{41}=110 \mathrm{MA}
$$

and,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2}=\frac{110}{.98}=112 \mathrm{MA}
$$

From Equation 70, for maximum IR4,

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{C}} 1}=\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 2 \underline{\mathrm{R}_{6}}}-\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{BE} 2}
$$

or, substituting values,

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{C}} 1}=25-112(9.8)-.91=23 \mathrm{~V}
$$

From Equation 73,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathbf{R} 4}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{CC}}-\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{C}} 1}}{\underline{R}_{4}}=\frac{25-23}{191}=10.5 \mathrm{MA}
$$

And from Equation 74,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{B} 2}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 2}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\underline{\mathrm{FE} 2}}}=\frac{110}{72}=1.53 \mathrm{MA}
$$

Also, from Equation 72,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=10.5+1.53=12 \mathrm{MA}
$$

or,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{E} 1}=\frac{12}{.98}=12.2 \mathrm{MA}
$$

Then, from Equation 85,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=12.2 \mathrm{MA}(1173)+.70=15 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Substituting the above values into Equation 93 , at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
\bar{T}_{60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=3878(11 \mu \mathrm{f}) \ln \frac{25}{25-12}=42.7 \mathrm{~ms}(.92)=39 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

or, $\stackrel{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{SW}}=39 \mathrm{~ms}$,
From Equation 91,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{ON}}=141-39=102 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

and,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\text {off }}=25-.141=1.86 \mathrm{sec}
$$

Now, substituting the above values into Equation 90, for Q3 with VCE3 (ON) $=2.5$, $\operatorname{IC} 3(\mathrm{ON})=4.62 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ON}}=102 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{OFF}}=1.86 \mathrm{secs} ., \mathrm{T}=2 \mathrm{secs} ., \mathrm{VCE} \mathrm{OFF}=25$ 。

$$
\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{Q} 3}=\frac{2.5(4.62) 102 \mathrm{~ms}+25(32 \mathrm{~mA}) 1.86}{2}+\frac{25(4.62) 39 \mathrm{~ms}}{6(2)}=1.7 \mathrm{w}
$$

Similarly for $\mathrm{Q} 2, \operatorname{VCE} 2(\mathrm{ON})=.41, \operatorname{IC} 2(\mathrm{ON})=110 \mathrm{~mA}, \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{CBO}=.32 \mathrm{uA}$.
Then,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{Q} 2}=\frac{.41(.110)(.102)+25(.32 \mu \mathrm{~A}) 1.86}{2}+\frac{25(.110)(39 \mathrm{~ms})}{12}=11.3 \mathrm{mw}
$$

Also for $\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{IC1}(\mathrm{ON})=12 \mathrm{~mA}, \operatorname{VCE}(\mathrm{ON})=8 \mathrm{~V}, \overline{\mathrm{I} C B O}=.32 \mathrm{uA}$. Then,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{Q} 1}=\frac{8(12 \mathrm{~mA})(.102)+25(.32 \mu \mathrm{~A}) 1.86}{2}+\frac{25(12 \mathrm{~mA})(39 \mathrm{~ms})}{12}=5.9 \mathrm{mw}
$$

Junction temperature may be represented by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{J}=T_{A}+\theta_{J-A} P_{T} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{A}}=\theta_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{C}}+\theta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting thermal capacitance, at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Q3.

$$
T_{J}=60+34.875(1.7 W)=119.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

Similarly for Q2,

$$
T_{J}=60+188\left(11.3 \times 10^{-3}\right)=62.12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

And for Q1,

$$
T_{J}=60+438\left(5.9 \times 10^{-3}\right)=62.58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

To prevent thermal runaway due to thermal regeneration, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{T}_{J}} \leq \frac{1}{\theta_{J A}} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO}}={ }^{10 \% \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO}} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\partial I_{\mathrm{CBO}}=\frac{.1 \mathrm{C} 1}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=.1 \mathrm{~mA} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

and,

$$
\partial P_{J}=V_{\mathrm{CC}} \partial \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{CBO}}=25(.1 \mathrm{~mA})=2.5 \mathrm{mw} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

or, substituting into Equation 97, for Q3

$$
2.5 \mathrm{mw} \leq \frac{1}{34.875}=28.8 \mathrm{mw}
$$

For Q2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ICBO} & =.032 \mathrm{uA} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{A} & =188^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W} \\
\frac{\partial \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{J}}} & =22 \mathrm{v}(.032 \mu \mathrm{~A})=.7 \mu \mathrm{~W}
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
.7 \mu \mathrm{~W} \leq .0053 \mathrm{w}
$$

For Q1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ICBO} & =0.32 \mathrm{uA} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{A} & =438^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_{T}}{\partial T_{J}}=.7 \mu \mathrm{w}
$$

and,

$$
.7 \mu \mathrm{w} \leq 2.3 \mathrm{mw}
$$

## Q3 Reliability

Although the junction temperature of Q3 is well within specifications, transistor failure rate is somewhat proportional to junction temperature, and it is desirable to reduce the junction temperature of Q3 with a small heat sink. For example, for a heat sink of $3 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ copper $\times 5 \mathrm{sq}$. in., the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{A}=6.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$.

Now since,

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{CA}}=\theta_{\mathrm{CS}}+\theta_{\mathrm{SA}}
$$

Assuming a very low resistance insulator, $\theta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}}=.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}$.

Then,

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{CA}}=7.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}
$$

and,

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}+\left(\theta_{\mathrm{JC}}+\theta_{\mathrm{CA}}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+(.875+7.3) 1.7=74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

This results in approximately $40 \%$ reduetion in junction temperature.

## f. Hold Circuit

1) Holding Force

From the manufacturer's specifications, the minimum holding force occurs at VCC minimum, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or

$$
\text { Hold }_{\min }=4.8 \mathrm{lbs}
$$

Also, maximum hold occurs at VCC maximum, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or

$$
\text { Hold }_{\max }=7.8 \mathrm{lbs}
$$

Since a minimum of 2.5 lbs . is required and 4.5 lbs . is specified, the above force is sufficient.
2) Rise Time

The build-up of current in the hold coil is subject to the time constant:

$$
T=L / R
$$

or $63.3 \%$ of holding force ( 3 lbs. ) will occur at:

$$
\bar{T}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\underline{\mathbf{R}}}
$$

Then, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{26.5 \mathrm{mh}}{99}=.27 \mathrm{~ms}
$$

Since the minimum pull-in time is maximum for $41.5-33=8.5 \mathrm{~ms}$, the hold force will be sufficient to hold the slug once pull-in force is discontinued.
3) Hold Coil Power Dissipation

Maximum power dissipation occurs at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ where the coil resistance is minimum. Thus,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{2}^{2}}{\underline{\mathrm{R}}}=\frac{625}{99}=6.3 \mathrm{~W}
$$

However, the worst case condition is at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Or,

$$
P_{60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{2}^{2}}{\underline{\mathrm{R}}}=\frac{625}{122}=5.1 \mathrm{~W}
$$

Since this is continuous power, it is recommended, space permitting, that the coil resistance be increased by using smaller wire, while at the same time, to preserve the holding force, increase the number of turns.

### 3.0 MOTOR CONTROL

Minimum available relay voltage is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {coil }}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}-\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\text {coil }} \bar{R}_{\text {cont }} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
I \text { contacts }=I \text { coil }=\frac{V \text { coil }}{R \text { coil }}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{\text {coil }}=\frac{V_{\text {coil }}}{\mathbf{R}_{\text {coil }}} \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for V coil:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\underline{\mathrm{coil}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cc}}}{1+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{ct}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c} 2}}} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\text {coil }}=\frac{24}{1+\frac{.2}{263}}=23.98 \mathrm{~V}
$$

## Q3 Heat Sink Analysis

Maximum allowable transistor junction temperature for ERTS is $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As shown in Figure A-2, the Q3 heat sink is 6.6 sq . in.


Material: copper clad circuit board (. 0028 in . th.)

Figure A-2 Q3 HEAT SINK
Neglecting convection (zero gravity) and conduction, for Aheat sink $\ll$ A surface , radiant heat transfer is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=A_{1} e_{1} \sigma\left(T_{1}^{4}-T_{2}^{4}\right) \quad B T U / h r \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A} 1=\text { Heat sink area }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{e} 1=\text { Emissivity }(0.8 \text { for unpolished copper }) \\
& \sigma=\text { Stefan-Boltzman constant }\left(0.173 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{3}-\mathrm{R}^{4}\right) \\
& \mathrm{T} 1=\text { Temperature of heat sink ( }{ }^{\circ} \text { Rankine) } \\
& \mathrm{T} 2=\text { Temperature of surrounding surface }\left({ }^{\circ}\right. \text { Rankine) }
\end{aligned}
$$

For a uniform heat sink temperature, solving Equation 102 for $T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\left(\frac{Q+A_{1} e_{1} \sigma T_{2}^{4}}{A_{1} e_{1} \sigma}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P T=1.7 W$, and 1 watt $\simeq 3.42 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr}$. , then,

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=1.7(3.42)=5.8 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{2}=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=140+460=600^{\circ} \mathrm{R} \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{1}=6.6 \mathrm{in}^{2} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}{144}=.0458 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

solving for T 1 ,

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}=\left(\frac{3.42}{.0458(.8)\left(.173 \times 10^{-8}\right.}+(600)^{4}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

or,

$$
T_{1}=650^{\circ} \mathrm{R}=190^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=\frac{5}{9}(158)=87.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

Now, for a heat sink mounted transistor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+\left(\theta_{\mathrm{JC}}+\theta_{\mathrm{CS}}\right) \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for mica,

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}}=.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}
$$

substituting values into Equation 104,

$$
T_{J}=87.5+(.875+.5) 1.7=90.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

which is much less than the allowable junction temperature of $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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