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FOREWORD,

## $\checkmark$

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is an information-gathering project that surveys the educational attainments.of 9-year-olds, 13-year-olds, l7-year-olds and adults (ages 26-35) in 10 learning areas: art, career and occupational development, citizenship, literature, mathematics, music, reading, social stưdies, science and writing. Different learning areas are assessed every year, and all areas are periodically reassessed in order to measure educational change.

Each assessment' is the product of several years work by a great many educators, scholars and lay persons from across the country. 'Initially, these people design objectives foŕr each area, proposing specific goals, that they feel. 'Americans should be achieving in the course of their education. After careful reviews, * the objectives are given to exercise (item) writers, whose task it is to create measurement tools appropriate to the objectives.

When the exercises have passed extensive reviews by subjectmatter specialists and measurement experts," they are administered to probability samples from various age levels. The people who

- compose these samples are chosen in such a way that the results of their assessment can be generalized to an entire national populaion. I'hat is, on the basis of the performance of about 2,500 9 -year-olds ón a given exercise, we can generalize about the probable performance of all 9-year-olds in the nation..

2 National Assessment also publishes a general information yearbook, which describes all major aspects of the Assessment's operation. The reader who desires more detailed information abont how NAEP designs the sample, defires reporting groups, prepares 'and scores the exercises and analyzes and reports the results should consult the General Information Yearbook, Report 03/04-Gis.
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THE MUSIC ASSESSMENT
$\therefore$ Preparation for the music assessment began in the fall of 1965 when a panel of professionals, convened to identify the objectives of music education. "It was generally recognized that definition of the domain of music education for the purpose of measuring achievement would be a difficult process. The objectives were developed to be relevant to school curricula, and careful, provision was made for the inclusion of objectives related to musical performance skills and attitudes toward music, as well as knowledge. of areas of musir notation and history. After extensive reviews the objectives were accepted, and exercise (item) development began. in 1966 . Throughout the next years many exercise-review conferences were held, and, in the fall of 1970, all of the music exercises were reviewed by the ${ }^{\text {© }}$ U.S. Oifice of Education. After the
-. exercises were packaged and printed, the administration took place during 1971-72. After the administration all open-ended exercises were scored by trained personnel. Exercise-by-exercise results
. and summary data were then computed by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAFP).

National Assessment has made these data available in various reports. A general discussion of the music results can be found in The First Music Assessment: Ar Overview, Report 03-MU-00. An additional source of documentation is a cassette tape recording that presents the musical selections used as stimuli in many of the exercises and sample responses from the music performance exercises. This recording is available from National Assessment. For those interested in the specific text and results for the.. exercises summarized, detailed exercise-by-exercise documentation is provided in the Music Technical Report: Exercise Volume Report 03 a-MU゙-20. Data is given for all exercises, but the exact exercise text is provided for anly the released exercises. Some exercise texts are unreleased at this time because National Assessment will administer these same exercises again in five years to determine whether the performance level of Americans has improved or declined.

Other reports focus on the music performance and attitude exercises. In addition, Natiönal Assessment häs produced computer data tapes that contain the response data gathered in the music assessment. State and local educators who want to use NAEP exercises in their owr assessment programs, as well as others interested in
more information, should find these additional reports and documentation useful.

Purpose of the Technical Summary :
The purpose of this report is to document the results of. the 1971-72 assessmentrof music. The data in this volume are not presented exercirse by exercise. Instec.d, results are summárized across exercises for groups of people. Exhibits include age comparisons for the learning area, comparisons of each of National . Assessment's reporting groups with the national level of performance, comparisons of, the variots groups within an age and comparisons of performance on subsets of music exercises. An effort wă made to summarize the data in ways that provide useful and interesting comparisons. The data can be used to identify the strengths and weaknesses of various troups of people. For example, data in this volume, are organized so that a comparison between male and female musical performing skills is possible. Additionally, a comparison across ages of the extent of positive at titudes toward music can be made. Sometimes patterns emerge that can form the basis figr judgments and decisions about the direction of music education.
$A^{*}$ description of National Assessment's sample design and the grơups of people for which results are summarizedi, is presented later in this chapter. An explanation of how various types of exercises have been clustered together follows.

## How the Exercises Are Classified

As explained above, results for certain subsets or clusters of exercises have been summarized and compared in various ways. One method of clustering resulted in summaries of exercises within the music objectives, which are provided in Chapter 8. For most of the exhibits in this and other NAEP reports, the music exercises have been grouped into five reporting themes. Each exercise was also assigned to a subtheme within a theme. The National Assessment staff worked very closely with subject-matter specialists to organize all of the exercises into the following classification scheme.
. I. Musical performance

- A. Singing familiar songs
B. Repeating unfamiliar musical material
C. Improvising
D. Reading notation
II. Musical notation and terminology*
A. Vocabulary
$1 \quad \therefore \quad \square$

> B. Básic notation
> C., Score-reading
> IfI.' Instrumental and vocal media
> A. Aural recognition
> *B. Vis'ual recognition
> C. Performaṇce practices
> IV. Mùsic history and literature
> A. Periods in music history
> B. Mușical genres and styles
> C. . Music literature
> v. Attitudes toward music

Chapters 2 through 6 present summary data for cne of the reporting themés. In Chapter 7 summaries of group results are presented so that comparisons can be made for the group across all of the themes.

Sampling
The exercises were-given to a national probability sample of 9 -year-olds, 13-year-olds, l7-year-olds (including high school dropouts and early graduates) and young adults between the ages of 26 and $35 .{ }^{1}$. Within the four age levels; National Assessment can report data about a limited number of subpopulations. Although the sample included respondents from all 50 states and the District of Columbia, it was not of sufficient size to erable comparisons of the data between states, school districts, schools, teachers; etc.

The sample design employed by National Assessment was strati-- fied by geographic region, state, size. of commurity and socioeconomic level. The sample was drawn at three stages. The firststage sampling tanits consisted of counties or groups of contiguous

[^1]counties and was constructed using U.S. Census data on the numbers of persons living in those counties.

For the in-school assessment, a stratified random sample of primary sampling units (PSUs) was drawn. Lists were made of the schools in each selected unit. At the second stage, a sample:of schools was selected within each PSU. Finally; within each selected school NAEP drew a random sample of students, and assigned those, - students to assessment booklets. Of course, all student listinge were destroyed to protect the anonymity of the respondents. Natical Assessment has no records of the names of the students * who took the booklets. The out-of-school sample design was similar to the in-school design. First, primary sampling units were drawn. Then, within each PSU a sample of small-area segments was obtained. Specialiy trained administrators located assessment participants within the area segments by visiting each housing unit and determiهing if any individuals of the appropriate age lived ther'e. Of the eligible individuals, $84 \%$.participated in the music assessment.

About 90,000 respondents were included in the total sample. However, not all respondents took all exercises. For example, 25,500 13-year-olds participated in the music assessment. Between 2,200-2,700 l3-year-olds responded to each booklet of exercises.

## Reporting Populations and Subpopulations

In addition to national results for 9 -ýear-olds, i3-yéarolds, li-year-olds and young adults, National Assessment provides data about various groups of people. The variables used for this analysis are: region of the country, sex, race, level of parental education and size and type of community. They are defined as -follows.

## Region

The country has been divided into four regions -- Northèast (NE): Southeast. (SE), Centrảal (C) and West (W) -- in order to present results for various regions relative to the national results. (See map of United States on the following page.)

Sex
Results are presented for males (M) and for females (F).

Race
Resultis are presented for whites (W) and blacks (B).


Parental Education
The four parental-education categories are defined by the highest level of education attained by either of a person's parents. The no-high-school (NHS) category is comprised of all people who indicated that neither parent went to high. school. The some-highschool (SHS) category consists of all those who indicated that the parent with the most education attended high school but did not graduate. In the graduated-from-high-school (GHS) category are all those who indicated that at least one parent graduated from high school, and in the post-high-school (PHS) group are al: those who indicated that at least one parent received some post-high school education (college, vocational training or adult edugation courses).

Size and Type of Community (STOC)
The groups within this variable are defined by the size of a person's community and an occupational profile of the area his or her school serves.

Extreme rural ( $E R$ ). People in this group attend schools in a Gommunity having a population less than about 8,000. Most residents in the area the school serves are farmers or farm workers.

- Low metro (LM). Individuals in this group attend schools within the city limits or residential area served by a city with a population greater than 150,000 ; the schools serve areas in which a high proportion of the residents are on welfare or not regularly employed.

High metro (HM). Individuals in this group attend schools within the cite limits or residential area served by a city with a population greater than 150,000; the area served by the school consists primerily of residents who are professional or managerial personnel.

Urban fringe (UF). People in this group attend schools in the metropolitan area served by a city with more than 200,000 inhabitants; the school and the area it serves are outside of the city limits and are not included in either the low-metro or highmetro group.

Main big city (MBC). These are students attending schools in a big city (population greater than 200,000 ) who are not included in either the low-metro or high-metro groups.

- Medium eity" (MC). Individuals in this group are those who attend schools in cities with populations between 25,000 and 200,00,0 that are not in high- or low-metro groups:

Small places (SP). People in this group attend schools in a community of less than 25,000 inhabitants that are not included in the extreme-rural group.

Data Analysis

National Assessment weighted the data in accordance with the sample design and estimated the percentages of individuals in the population who possessed various knowledges, understandings, skills and attitudes.

Tq̣ do so, NAEP has developed two baseline measures:

1. p-Value. An estimate of the percentage of persons in each of the populations who gave a certain response to ' a specific exercise. ${ }^{\prime}$ For example, the estimated percentage of 9 -year-olds who answered a given exercise

- acceptably is defined as the sum of the weighted number of acceptable responses divided by the sum of the weighted number of responses for all 9-year-olds. Percentages.
for each reporting group were computed in the same manner using only the weighted number of responses for the people in that group.
 performance of a subpopulation and the performance of the total âge population. A $\Delta p$-value is the difference between the estimated percentage of a group (for example, the Western region) that provided a particular response to an exercise and the corresponding national percentage:

Western $\%$ - National $\%=$ Western $\Delta p-v a l u e$.
If, on a given exercise, a group's percentage is lower than the national percentage, "the difference between the two percentages is expressed as. a negative number; if a group's percentage of siccess is higher than the national percentage, the group's difference in performance is a positive number. For example, if on a given exercise $74 \%$ of the l3-year-olds in the West gave an acceptable response, but only $68 \%$ of the national.sample did so, then the West $\Delta p$ for l3-year-olds would be +6 percentage points.

National Assessment uses both of these measures fox summary analysis.

By looking at an exhibit of p-values (see the following graph) the range of percentages for the nation and each reporting group for a given set of exercises can be studied and compared. Each black square represents one exercise or exercise part. Each diștribution of exercise results is also accompanied by the following information.

1. Median $P$-Value $(\rightarrow)$. When the p-values for a set of exercises have been rank ordered, middle p-value. For ạn odd number of $p$-values, the median $=\frac{N+1}{2}$ th $p$-value. For an even number of $p$-values, the median $=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{N}{2} t h p-v a l u e\right.$
$\dot{\theta} \quad+\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}+1\right)$ th p-value).
2. Hinge P-VaZue ( $\mathbf{M}^{\text {. }}$. Similar to quartile. Divide the: rank-ordered p-values into two sets at the median (for an odd number of $p$-values the median $p$-value is a member of both sets). The upper and lower hinges are the medians of those sets.

For a given set of exercises, a group's achievement relative to national level of achievement can also be conveniently summarized
using differences from national percentages of success. One table of median $\Delta$ p-values is included in Chapter 7 of. this report. © $A$ definition follows:

Median $\Delta P$-Value. When the $\Delta \mathrm{p}$-values have been rank ordered from positive to negative values, the middle $\Delta \mathrm{p}$-value. For example: If on a set of five exercises the percentages of success for" all 9-yevar-olds were $9 \rho \%$, $40 \%, 82 \%, 75 \%$ and $60 \%$, and the percentages of success for a particular group. -- Northeast 9-year-olds, for
, instance -- on these same exercises were; respectively, $95 \%, 44 \%, 85 \%, 77 \%$ and $61 \%$, then the group's differences would be $+5 \%,+4 \%,+3 \%$, $+2 \%$ and $+1 \%$. The group's median differience from the national performance level -- that figure above and below which $50 \%$ of its exercise differences lie -- would be $+3 \%$. If more than 12 exercises are summarized the median difference provịdes a stable indicator of a group's typical performance over the set rif exercises.


All exhibits in this report are accompanied by information about the number of observations (p-values or $\Delta p-v a l u e s$ ) that were used in the analyses. Each single-part exercise contributed one p-value (one observation or black box) to the summary analyses. For some multi-part exercises, subject-matter specialists and educators'decided that respondents must have all parts acceptable in order to achieve an acceptable performance level on an exercise. Other multi-parst exercises were often analyzed as if each part was a separate exercise.

## Limitations of the Data

Clearly neither this booklet, nor future Assessment reports, will answer all the questions people have about the effectiveness of music education. However, data is provided about specific groups of exercises, and these data can be used to make generalizations about the results"of music education.

Interpretation of the data is a difficult process. The results may prove encouraging from one perspective, discouraging from another. Much of, the data may only confirm what the readers might already,suspect, but documentation itself is a positive and important step. Each reader will have to assimilate the datá to draw his own conclusions. It is our hope that this assessment will raise and encourage questions, promote widespread discussion, -serve as a'stimulus for further research and help raise the quality of music education in America.

## MUSIC PERFORMANCE THEME

The exercises summarized in this chapter were designed to measure abilities relate to musical performance. All of the exercises were administered to one respondent at a time. An acministrator read the instructions for the task and recorded the individual's musical response on a tape recorder. The response tapes were scored by a specially trained staff of music educators. They scored each response according to various criteria set fórth in scoring guides developed by music consultants. The specific exercises and criteria used may be found in the Music Technical Report: Exercise Volume, Report $03-M U-20$ and The. First National Assessment of Musical Performance, Report 03-MU-01. Although each response was scored on three or four dimensions, for summary purposes in this report, each.exercise or exercise part is represented by one p-value, which represents the percentage of individuals in the group who performed acceptably on the performance task.

- The first summary graphs in this chapter, pages 13-16, depict*. the range of percentages for the nation and each group for the exercises clustered in the musical performance theme. Median $p$-values are designated with*an $\rightarrow$ and hinge p-values with at. The first exhibit shows percentages for the various reporting groups of 9 -year-olds, the second exhibit shows percentages for l3-year-olds, the third for l7-year-olds and the fourth for young adults. The abbreviated labels for the reporting groups are explained in Chapter 1.

For example, on page 13 it c"an'be seen that 9-year-old percentages for the nation as a whole range from $0 \%$ to $70 \%$. Their median percentage is $31 \%$. The range of the middle $50 \%$ of the exercises is between the lower hinge of $1 \%$ and the upper hinge of 43\%. A group's typical performance is indicated by its median percentage and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of its exercise percentages. So it can be seen that the Northeast typically performed slightly above the nation on these exercises. Their median percentage is $36 \%$, and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of their exercises is between $2 \%$ and $44 \%$.

To determine the content of specific exercises readers can use the cross-reference list in Appendix A to locate identification numbers for the exercises within the themes. Copies of the
exercises can then be located in the Music Technical Report: Exercise Volume, Report $03-M U-20$ or The First National Assessment of Musical Performance, Report 03-MU-01.

When interpreting the group data in these exhibits, all the variables influencing the educational process must be considered. Within the limitations due to measurement and. sampling exror, the data accurately describe the educational achievements of the groups designated in our sample. However, when the data show that a group's overall level of achievement is either above or below the national level, we cannot say that any difference in achievement between that group and the nation as a whole is attributable solely to membership in that.group.
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## Overlap Exefrorises

The preceding exhibits enabled comparisons between groups within an age. However, all four age levels were not asked to respond to all the exedrcises. In some cases an exercise was administered at only one age, for example, 9-year-olds. Others were given at two or*more age levels and are called overlaps.

Cross-age analyses can be made by comparing the national and group percentages of exercises taken at more than one age level. In the music assessment, 9-year-old exercises generally differed considerably from exercises given at the older ages. However, . most of the musical performance exercises were administered to all four age levels, and the summaries for this'theme include all ages.

Pages 19-24 contain summary graphs depicting cross-age comparisons for the exercises in the musical performance theme. There is an exhibit for each reporting category, comparing the four ages for the reporting groups in that category. The first exhibit displays regional comparisons; the second, male and female comparisons; the third, black and white comparisons; the fourth, parental-education comparisons; and the fifth and sixth, comparisons by size and typo of community. Each exhibit also includes national percentages ior the four age levels as a point of reference.

The range of percentages for each age level is represented by the dotted vertical lines. (see the following graph). Median p-values are noted below the graph for each age, as well as connected across ages by a solid line on the graph. It can be seen that the median percentage on the musical performance exercises was $31 \%$ for 9 -year-olds. It increased to $48 \%$ for l3-year-olds, and then decreased to $45 \%$ for 17 -year-olds. The median percentage for adults was the highest at 54\%. Upper and lower hinges are connected by dashes. For each age level, the distance between the dashed lines indicates the range of the middle $50 \%$ of the p-values for that age.
3
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## CHAPTER 3

## - MUSICAL NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY THEME

Three closely related sets of exercises were developed to measure abilities in this area. The first set dealt with the vocabulary commonly used to describe music elements, forms, tempi, dynamics and interpretive characteristics. Exercises in this. group dealt with the meanings of simple words like loud and soft as well as with more sophisticated musical terms like largo. 'The second set dealt with music notation. At the simplest level, exercises involved recognizing the treble clef; at a more diffifult level, exercises required reading a key signature or explaining the meaning of a crescendo. In the third set of exercises, individuals were asked to follow notation while listening to a piece of music. In one case, they followed pedagogical line notation for "Are You Sleeping?" In another case they followed a l2-part score from the beginning of Beethoven's Symphoñy No. ? in A Major.

The first summary graphs in this chapter, pager 27-30, depict the range of percentages for the nation and each group for the exercises clustered in the notation and terminology theme. Median p-values are designated with an $\rightarrow$ and hinge p-values with a $\boldsymbol{M}$. The first exhibit shows percentages for the various reporting groups of 9-year-olds, the second exhibit shows percentages for l3-year-olds, the third for l7-year-olds and the fourth for young adults. The abbreviated labels for the reporting groups are explained in Chapter 1.

For example, on page 27 it can be seen that 9-year-old percentages for the nation as a whole range from 3\% to 96\%. Their median percentage is $38 \%$. The range of the middle $50 \%$ of the exercises is between the lower hinge of $26 \%$ and the upper hinge of 44\%. A group's typical performance is indicated by its median percentage and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of its exercise percentages. It can be seen that at age 9 many groups performed about the same as the nation on these exercises. For example, the Central region median percentage is $38 \%$. The range of the middle $50 \%$ of their exercises is between $27 \%$ and $47 \%$.

To determine the content of specific exercises, readers can use the cross-reference list in Appendix A tu locate identification numbers for the exercises within the themes. Copies of the exercises can then be located in the Music Technicaz. Report: Exercise Volume, Report 03-MU-20.
*When interpreting the group data in these exhibits, all the variables influencing the educational process must be considered. Within the limitations due to measurement and sampling error, the data accurately describe the educational achievements of the groups designated in our sample. However, when the data show that a group's overall level of achievement is either above or below the national level, we cannot say that any difference in achievement between that group and the nation as a whole is attributable solely to membership in that group.

$2 \pi$




The preceding exhibits enabled comparisons between groups within an age. . However, all four age levels were not asked to respond to all the exercises. In some cases an exercise was administered at only one age, for example, 9-year-olds. Others were given at two or more age levels and are called overlaps.

Cross-age analyses can be made by comparing the national and group percentages of exercises taken at more than one age level. In the music notation and terminology theme, 9-year-old exercises differed considerably from exercises given at the older ages. Therefore, comparisons are only made for l3-year-olds, 17-year-olds and young adults, since 45 of the same exercises were given to these three age levels.

Pages 33-38 contain summary graphs depicting cross-age comparisons for the exercises in the notation and terminology theme. There is an exhibit.for each reporting category, comparing the three ages for the reporting groups in that category. The first exhibit displays regional comparisons; the second, male and female comparisons; the third, black and white comparisons; the fourth, parental-education comparisons; and the fifth and sixth, comparisons by size and type of community. Each exhibit also includes national percentages for the three age levels as a point of reference.

The range of percentages for each age level is represented by the dotted vertical lines (see the following graph). Median p-values are noted below the graph for each age, as well as connected across ages by a solid line on the graph. "It can be seen that the median percentages on the notation and terminology exercises were $43 \%$ for 13 -year-olds and $47 \%$ for 17 -year-olds. The

- median percentage for adults decreased to 38\%. Upper and lower hinges are connected by dashes. For each age level, the distance between the dashed lines indicates the range of the middle $50 \%$ of the p-values for that age.
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## CHAPTER 4

## INSTRUMENTAL AND VOCAL MEDIA THEME

Three types of exercises were used to measure the ability to discriminate among, instrumental and" vocal media. The first group involved presenting a taped recording of a short performance, sometimes by one instrument or voice; sometimes by several. Respondents were then asked which instruments or voice types were performing. The attempt was made to minimize the problem of verbal identification in some of the exercises administered to the younger groups by asking only whether, for example, the sound was made by an instrument that is played by blowing or by striking. However, most exercises required a specific instrument name or one of four voice types -- sopraño, alto, tenor, bass. Some of the more difficult exercises required identifying the instrument that played the leading part in a more complex work.

Exercises in the second group involved asking respondents to identify the picture of a certain instrument or instrument family. The third group of exercises, which did not use musical recorded stimulu, measured knowledge about how certain instruments are played -- by blowing, by striking, by plucking or by drawing a bow across them.

The first summary graphs in this chapter, pages 41-44, depict the range of percentages for the nation and each group for the exercises clustered in the instrumental and vocal media theme. Median p-values are designated with an $\rightarrow$ and hinge p-values with a . The first exhibit shows percentages for the various reporting groups of 9 -year-olds; the second exhibit shows percentages for l3-year-olds, the third for 17 -year-olds and the fourth for young adults. The abbreviated labels for the reporting groups are explained in Chapter 1.

For example, on page 41 it can be seen that 9 -year-old percentages for the nation as a whole are relatively high, ranging from 9\% to 97\%. Their median percentage is 76\%. The range of the middle $50 \%$ of the exercises is between the lower hinge of $58 \%$ and the upper hinge of $85 \%$. A group's typical performance is indicated by its median percentage and the range of the middle $50 \%$ : of its exercise percentages. So it can be seen that the Northeast typically performed about 5 percentage points above the nation on these exercises. Their median percentage is $81 \%$, and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of their exercises is between $65 \%$ and $90 \%$.

To determine the content of specific exercises, readers can use the cross-reference list in Appendix A to locate identification numbers for the exercises within the themes. Copies of the exercises can then be located in the Music Technical Report: Exercise Volume, Report 03-MU-20.

When interpreting the graup data in these exhibits, all the variables influencing the educational process must be considered. Within the limitations due to measurement and sampling error, the data accurately describe the educational achievements of the groups designated in our sample. However, when the data show that a.. group's overall level of achievement is either above or below the national level, we cannot say that any difference in achievement between that group and the nation as a whole is attributable solely to membership in that group.





## Overlap Exercises

The preceding exhibits enabled comparisons between groups within an age. However, all four age levels were not asked to respond to all the exercises. In some cases an exercise was administered at only one age, for example, 9-year-olds. Others were given at two or more age levels and are called overlaps.

Cross-age analyses can be made by comparing the national and group percentages of exercises taken at more than one age level. In the instrumencal and vocal media theme, 9-year-old exercises differed considerably from exercises given at the older ages. Therefore, comparisons are only made for l3-year-olds, li-year-olds and young adults, since 42 of the same exercises were given to these three age levels.

Pages 47-52 contain summary graphs depicting cross-age comparisons for the exercises in the instrumental and vocal media theme. There is an exhibit for each reporting category, comparing the three ages for the reporting groups in that category. The first exhibit displays regional comparisons; the second, male and female comparisons; the third, black and white comparisons; the fourth, parental-education comparisons; and the fifth and sixth, comparisons by size and type of community. Each exhibit also includes national percentages for the three age levels as a point of reference.

The range of percentages for each age level is represented by the dotted vertical lines (see the following graph). Median p-values are noted below the graph for each age, as well as connected across ages by a solid line on the graph. It can be seen that the median percentages on the instrumental and vocal media exercises were $84 \%$ for 13-year-olds and $89 \%$ for 17-yearolds. The median percentage for adults decreased to 82\%. Upper and lower hinges are connected by dashes. For each age level, the distance between the dashed lines indicates the range of the middie $50 \%$ of the p-values for that age.
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CHAPTER 5

## MUSIC HISTORY AND LITERATURE THEME

This chapter includes measures of knowledge about the periods of music history, musical genres and styles and music literature. Most of the questions about the periods of music history were of the traditional pencil-and-paper variety. People had to indicate the chronological order of five broad style periods, to identify representative composers from each period and to match period. names with short descriptions of their characteristics. Other questions required classifying unidentified recorded performances into one of the periods.

Exercises in the second group required less knowîedge of:chronology, but did require the ability to discriminate between the styles of several recorded selections. Several exercises in this group, for example, asked which of three works were probably composed by the same person. Other exercises asked for the names of various genres and jazz styles.

The final group of exercises asked for the composer or title of several recorded selections. Some ,were traditional American songs; others, familiar classical selections. For the most part, history and literature exercises were not administered to 9-yearolds.

- The first summary graphs in this chapter, pages 55-58, depict the range of percentages for the nation and each group for the exercises clustered in the history and literature theme. Median p-values are designated with an $\rightarrow$ and hinge p-values with a $\mathbf{D}$. The first exhibit shows percentages for the various reporting groups of 9 -year-olds, the second exhibit shows percentages for 13-year-olds, the third for l7-year-olds and the fourth for young adults. The abbreviated labels for the reporting groups are explained in Chapter 1.

For the sake of complete pfesentation of the data, exhibits are included for the 9 -year-olds, even though they only had nine exercises in this theme. The medians and hinges are unstable estimates with this small number of exercises, and they should be used with caution.

In the l3-year-old exhibit, on page 56 , it ċan be seen that percentages for the nation as a whole range from $0 \%$ to $92 \%$.

Their median percentage is relatively low at 20\%. The range of the middle $50 \%$ of the exercises is between the lower hinge of $11 \%$ and the upper hinge of 42\%. A group's typical performance is indicated by its madian percentage and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of its exercise percentages. So it can be seen that almost all of the groups performed close to the national level. For example, the Northeast median percentage is 20\%, and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of their exercises is between $13 \%$ and $46 \%$.

To determine the content of specific exercises, readers can use the cross-reference list in Appendix A to locate identification numbers for the exercises within the themes. Copies of the exercises can then be located in the Music Technical Report: Exercise Volume, Report 03-MU-20.

When interpreting the group data in these exhibits, all the variables influencing the educational process must be considered. Within the limitations due to measurement and sampling error, the data accurately describe the educational achievements of the groups designated in our sample. However, when the data show that a group's overall level of achievement is either above or below the national level, we cannot say that any difference in achievement between that group and the nation as. a whole is attributable solely to membership in that group.




## Overlap Exercises

The preceding exhibits enabled comparisons between groups within an age. However, all four age levels were not asked to respond to all the exercises. In some cases an exercise was administered at only one age, for example, 9-year-olds. Others were given at two or more age levels and are called overlaps.

Cross-age analyses can be made by comparing the national and group percentages of exercises taken at more than one age level. In the history and literature theme there were only nine 9-yearold exercises, and they differed considerably from exercises given at the older ages. Therefore, comparisóns are only made for 13-year-olds, 17-year-olds and young adults, since 78 of the same exercise parts were given to these three age levels.

Pages 6l-66 contain summary graphs depicting cross-age comparisons for the exercises in the history and Aiterature theme. There is an exhibit for each reporting category, comparing the three ages for the reporting groups in that category. The first exhibit displays regional comparisons; the second, male and female comparisons; the third, black and white comparisons, the fourth, parental-education comparisons; and the fifth and sixth, comparisons by size and type of community. Each exhibit also includes national percentages for the three age levels as a point of reference.

The range of percentages for each age level ìs represented by the dotted vertical lines (see the following graph). Median pvalues are noted below the graph for each age\% as well as connected across ages by a solid line on the graph. It can be seen that the median percentages on the history and literature exercises increased with age from $20 \%$ for 13 -year-olds to $24 \%$ for 17 -yearolds to $30 \%$ for adults. Upper and lower hinges are connected by dashes. For each age level, the distance between the dashed lines indicates the range of the middle $50 \%$ of the $p$-values for that age.
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The exercises that were designed to measure attitudes toward music were self-report measures, and most of them were multi-part exercises. Individuals were asked whether they played instruments and whether they belonged to vocal groups. They also responded to questions about whether they liked music and how often they listened to music and attended live musical programs. A complete presentation of the exercises is given in the Music Technical Report: Exercise Volume, Report 03-MU-20 and i:A An Assessment of Attitudes Toward Music, Report 03-MU-03. Only some parts of the self-report exercises were selected to go into the summaries for this theme. All parts that are included meàsuréd. whether people had positive attitudes ctoward music as expressed by a reported willingness to listen and to involve themselves in musical experiences.

The first summary graphs in this chapter', pages 69-72, depict the range of percentaes for the nation and each group for the exercises clustered in the attitudes theme. Median p-values are designated with an $\rightarrow$ and hinge p-values with a m. The first exhibit shows percentages for the various reporting groups of 9-year-olds, the second exhibit shows percentages for l3-year-olds, the third for $17-y e a r-o l d s$ and the fourth for young adults. The abbreviated labels for the reporting groups are, explained in: Chapter 1.

For the sake of complete presentation of the data, exhibits are included for the 9-year-olds, even though they had only eight exercises in this theme. The medians and hinges are, unstable estimates, with this small number of exercises, and they should be used with caution.

In the 13-year-old exhibit, on page 70 , it can be seen that percentages for the nation as a whole range from $17 \%$ to $93 \%$. Their median percentảge is $62 \%$. The range of the middle $50 \%$ of the exercises is between the lower hinge of $44 \%$ and the upper hinge of 78\%. A group's level of positive attitudes toward music is indicated by its median percentage and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of its exercise percentages. So it can be seen that the Southeast typically performed slightly above the nation on these exercises. Their median percentage is $67 \%$, and the range of the middle $50 \%$ of their exercises is between $47 \%$ and $80 \%$.

When interpreting, the group data in these exhibits, all the variables influencing the educational process musu be considered. Within the limitations due to measurement and sampling error, the .data accurately describe the equcational achievements of the groups designated in our sample.. However, when the data show tnat a group's overall level. of achievement is either above or below the national level, we cannot say that any difference in achievament between that group and the nation as a whole is attributable solely to membership in that group.




## Overlap Exercises

The preceding exhibits enabled comparisons between groups within an age. However, all four age levels were not asked to respond to all the exercises. In some cases an exercise was administered at only one age, fcr example, 9-year-olds. Others were given at two or more age levels and are called overlaps.

Cross-age analyses can be made by comparing the national and group percentages of exercises taken at more than one age level. In the theme attitudes toward music', there were only 89 -yearold exercises, and they differed considerably from exercises given at the older ages. Therefore, comparisons are only made for 13-year-olds, 17-year-olds and young adults, since 16 of the same exercises were given to these three age levels.

Pages 75-80 contain summary graphs depicting cross-age comparisons for the exercises in the attitudes toward music theme. There is an exhibit for each reporting category, comparing the three ages for the reporting groups in that category. The first exhibit displays regional comparisons; the second, male and female comparisons; the third, black and white comparisons; the fourth, parental-education comparisons; and the fifth and sixth, comparisons by size and type of community. Each exhibit also includes national percentages for the three age levels as a point of reference.

The range of percentages for each age level is represented by the dotted vertical lines (see the following graph). Median p-values are noted below the graph for each age, as well as connected across ages by a solid line on the graph. It can be seen that the medidn percentages on the exercises about attitudes toward music were the same for $13-$ and 177 -year-olds. The adult median, however, was lower at 56\%. Upper and lower hinges are connécted by dashes. For each age level, the distance between the dashed lines indicates the range of the middle $50 \%$ of the $p$-values for that age.
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## CHAPTER 7 <br> SUMMARIES ACROSS THEMES-

The first exhibit in this chapter compares overall national results for the four age levels on the five themes used in the analysis of the music data. For each age, the exercises within each of the themes have been ranked from highest to lowest percentage of success; and the median percentage, upper-hinge percentage and lower-hinge percentage have been identified. This graph can be used to establish the relative performance levels for the themes for each age level. Direct comparisons of percentages across age levels should not be attempted because of the different numbers of exercises involved.

A second exhibit in this chapter is a table of median $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ values for each of the reporting groups. Results are displayed by age for each of the five themes, as well as the total universe of the music exercises. The national median $p$-value and number of exercise parts is also included for each theme.

A negative percentage indicates a group's performance was typically below the nation; a positive percentage indicates that a group's performance was typically above the nation. For example, the median $\Delta \mathrm{p}$-value for 9 -year-olds in the Northeast on Theme 3, instrumental and vocal media, is 4.4\%. The 9-year-olds in the Northeast typically performed above the national level, and the median percentage at the national level was $76.4 \%$. These two percentages cannot be added or subtractod since both are the result of a rank-ordering process -- one by $p$-values and the other by $\Delta \mathrm{p}$-values. Since these rark orderings depend on the percentages and not the exercise, it is unlikely that these two percentages result from the same exercise. However, these two percentages do describe the typical performance of each group in terms of the national level of performance, as well as indicate how the nation tended to perform on the same set of exercises. It is important to recognize that although reported differences do exist and must be faced, membership in any of these groups should not be assumed to be the cause of a group's performance. The number of exercises for 9 -year-olds in Themes 4 and 5 are too small to provide stable estimates of medians. However, in order. to provide complete documentation of the results, these data are included in the exhibit.

The majority of this chapter is devoted to cross-age analyses on the overlap exercises within each of the five themes. These graphs summarize the overlap information contained in Chapters 2-6. There is an exhibit for the national age results and for each reporting group, comparing 13-year-olds, 17-year-olds and young adults for the five music themes. Since many exercises administered at the older ages were not considered suitable for 9 -year-olds, not enough exercises overlapped at age. 9 to allow comparisons for that age level. The exception to this is in the musical performance theme, where the exercises usually overlapped at all four ages and 9 -year-olds are included in the comparisons.

The graphs containing overlap information can be used to determine the relative strengths and weaknesses of the age levels on the themes for each of National Assessment's reporting groups. The range of percentages for each of the age levels is represented by the dotted vertical lines. Median p-values are connected by a solid line on the graph, and upper and lower hinges are connected by dashes. Median p-values for the nation and for the group are noted below the graphs for each age. For example, the medians on the graph orl page 86 indicate that for the Northeastern region on the performance theme there was an incraase in success between 9-year-olds and 13 -year-olds. The 17 -year-olds did not differ from the l3-year-olds. The adults performed best of all of the ages. Adults also performed best on the exercises in the history and literature theme: On the other three themes, however, adult performance tended to be below the l7-year-old performance level, ands sometimes below the 9-year-old level. All of. these patterns for the Northeast closely resemble the patterns for the nation shown on page 85 .
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## CHAPTER 8

## MUSIC OBJECTIVES ${ }^{1}$

The objectives and subobjectives developed for the 1971-72 music assessment are listed below.
I. Perform a piece of music
A. Sing (technical proficiency not required)
B. Play or sing (technical proficiency required)
C. Invent and improvise (technical praficiency not required)
II. Read standard musical notation
A. Identify the elements of nctation, such as clefs, letter names of notes, duration symbols, key signatures and dynamic markings
B. -Identify the correct notation for familiar pieces
C. Follow notation while listening to music
D." Sight-sing
III. Listen to music with understanding
A. Perceive the various elements of music, such as timbre, rhythm, melody and harmony and texture
B. Perceive structure in music
C. Distinguish some differing types and functions of music D. Be aware of (and recognize) some features of historical styles in music
IV. Be knowledgeable about some musical instruments, some of the terminology of music, methods of performance and forms, some of the standard literature of music and some aspects of the history of music
A. Know the meanings of common musical terms used in connection with the performance of music, and identify musical instruments and performing ensembles in illustiàtions

$$
p
$$

${ }^{1}$ A detailed description of the procedures used to develop the objectives for the 1971-72 music assessment, as well as the objectives, subobjectives and the age-specific behaviors illustrating each subobjective, can be found in Music Objectives, 1971-72 National Assessment of Music (Denver, Colo.: Education Commission of the States, 1970).
B. Know standard'pieces of music by titile, or composer, or brief descriptions of the music, or of literary-pictoral
1 materials associated with the musia from its inception
C. Know prominent composers, and performers by name and chief accomplishment
D. Know something of the history of music
V. Know about the musical resources of the community and seek musical experiences by performing music
A. Know whether or not there are music libraries and stores in the community, and know whe_ 3 concerts are given
B. Seek to perform music by playing, singing; taking lessons, joining performing groups, etc.
VI. Make judgments about music, and value the personal worth of music
A. . Distinguish parodies from their models
B. Be able to describe an important personal "musical" experience

Some exercises were developed to measure each of the six major objectives at the four age levels included in the assessment. However, exercises measuring the objectives often varied in content and numbers across age levels. Also, certain subobjectives were felt to be inappropriate for one or more age levels, so there are not measures for all subobjectives for ail four ages.

The first exhibit in this chapter summarizes each reporting group's performance for four of the six major objectives. There were too few exrricises in Objective $V$ and Objective VI to compute meaningful summaries. Theme 5, attitudes toward music, is comprised of all of the exercises from these two objectives. The reader should refer to the Theme 5 summaries for attitudinal information. Median p-values are presented for exercises that were given to l3-year-olds, l7-year-olds and young adults.: : As mentioned earlier, not all objectives and subobjectives received equal assessment emphdsis across ages. Therefore, not all exercises were given to all ages. Exercises that were given at more than one age are called overlap exercises. Because of the "nature of the music objectives, many exercises were different 9 -yearolds. However, a number of exercises were suitable for administration at all three of the older age levels.

Since the exercises analyzed are the same for all the groups and the ages, the median p-values can be used to determine the relative performance of the reporting groups and the ages for any given objective. For example, in Objective I the median performance level of male 17 -year-olds is about 8 percentage points above the median performance level of l3-year-olds. The median performance level of adults is almost 10 percentage points above that of 17 -year-olds. It can be seen that the females showed about the
same" amoun't-of difference between 17-year-olds and adults, but there was very little difference between 13- and 17-year-old females. At all ages more femiales than males were able to acceptably respond to the exercises in Objective I. The comparisons cañ be continued until generalizations can*be made, such as, on this objective adults typically perform above l7-year $\rightarrow$ olds. Since 12 observations are necessary to provide stable estimates, some caution should be exercised in interpreting the data provided for Objective I.

The rest of the "graphs in this chapter are based on an analysis of all the exercises measuring an objective at a given age level. There is an exhibit for each age summarizing national and group performance on each of the four objectives. Objective I infarmation, ordered by age, is presented first, followed by Objective II information and so on through Objective IV. The summaries for Objective $I$ for 9 -year-olds are based on only nine exercises. These data should not be used for making interpretations and generalizations.

Each graph can be used to determine for an age level the relative performance of the reporting groups within each category, as well as the relative performance between each group and the nation. Each black square represents an exercise or exercise part. The range of percentages for each group and the nation can be compared. Median p-values ( $\rightarrow$ ) and hinges ( $\Delta$ ) are also designated.


MEDIAN P－VALUES BY OBJECTIVE FOR 13，17，ADULT OVERLAP EXERCISES

$\operatorname{sen}$ Ten－
13
24
$24 \quad 24 \quad 24$
$30.6 \quad 32.5 \quad 20.9$
Objective 2


REGION

| $\infty \times$ ¢ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | －GのN | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 下O 0 | N | $\dot{\circ} \infty^{\circ}$ | $\dot{+} \dot{0} \infty^{\circ}$ | - |
| ¢\％oin | กิ์ | 10 | incinco | サーornin |
| $\cdots-\infty \sim$ | No | $\sim \infty$ | $\cdots \infty$ |  |
| がペ் | mi |  | $0^{\circ}+$ |  |
| ¢ ¢ ¢ | \％ | 成守 | m边伿 |  |
| OMr | 00 | $\checkmark \sim$ | － 06 | $\infty \infty$ |
| のம＊＊＊ | เ冂＊ | の＇• |  | mommへo |
| ザ切 | min | ＋ | Mmもしへ | サminザoln + |


$\&$




110



113










00131
0


## APPENDIX A

## CROSS-REFERENCE LIST

The cross-reference list provides identification information about particular exercises and exercise parts. Also, national p-values for ages are given. Explanations of the numbering systems are given below.

Release Number

| R1A05: | The first letter in the identifier indicates whether the exercise is teleased (R) or unreleased (U). |
| :---: | :---: |
| R1A05: | The number indicates the reporting theme to which the exercise belongs. In this case the "l" indicates Theme 1: Musical Performance. |
| R1A $05:$ | The letter in the third position uniquely identifies and locates the exercise within the theme. This is Exercise A within Theme 1. If an exercise is released the letter is capitalized. For unreleased exercises lowercase letters are used as unique identifiers. |
| R1A05: | The numbers in the fourth, fifth and sixth positions are presented only for multi-part exercises, where indicators of results for specific parts are necessary. |

In the Music Technical Report: Exercise Volume, Report 03-MU-20 and The First Music Assessment: An Overview, Report $03-M U-00$, exercises are ordered by release number and, therefore, by theme and exercise within theme. The within-theme ordering is alphabetic, Exercise $A$ is before Exercise $B$ and so on.

NAEP Number
101001A1234: The first number indicates the objectivé.
101001Al234: The second and third numbers indicate the subobjective within the objective.

101001Al234: The next three numbers uniquely number the specific exercise within the objective and subobjective.

101001Al234: : Normally the seventh character is a dash. In this case the $A$ is part of the uniqueness of the number, since there is also a l01001B.

101001A1234: The numbers following the "A" or the dash indicate the age levels that responded to the exercise. In this case all four ages responded. The "l" indicates 9-year-olds, the "2" indicates l3-year-olds, the "3" indicates l7-year-olds and the "4" indicates young adults.

YEAR 3 MUSIC
CROSS REPERENCE LIST: RELEASE NUMBER TO NAEP NUMBER


CROSS REFERENCE LIST: RELEASE NUMBER TO NAEP NOMBER

| RELEASE | NAEP | <--- |  | NATIONAL |  | UE- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER | NUMBER | AGE 9 |  | AGE 13 |  | AGE 17 |  | ADULT |
| ग2g 04 | 401014-34 |  |  |  |  | 4.1 .61 | ; | 37.49 |
| R2G05 | 401012-34 | , |  |  |  | 25.67 |  | 17.48 |
| R2G06 |  |  |  | * |  | 12.96 |  | 9.56 |
| R2G 07 |  |  |  |  |  | -8.17 |  | 5.09 |
| R2H01 | 401010-234 |  |  | 70.36 |  | 65.41 |  | 75.46 |
| R2H02 |  |  |  | 80.54 |  | 90.97 |  | 93.14 |
| R2H03 |  |  |  | 57.86 |  | 70.43 |  | 66.95 |
| R2H04 |  |  |  | 30.28 |  | 33.12 |  | P30.12 |
| R2H05 |  |  | , | 68.40 |  | 81.21 |  | . 82.28 |
| R2H06 | c. |  | , | 51.02 |  | 52. 23 |  | 54.67 |
| R2 H07 |  |  |  | 27.59 |  | 25. 00 | - | 34.53 |
| U2i | 201001-1 | 96.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R 2 J | 201002-1234 | 84.70 |  | /95.46 |  | 94.29. |  | 79.85 |
| 02j | 201008-1234 | 42.55 |  | (67.13 | - | 67.53 |  | 42.89 |
| F2 K | 201006-234 |  | . | ( 22.03 |  | 29.21 |  | 16.13 |
| ¢ 2 k | 201004-1234 | 51.00 |  | 59.76 |  | 60.10 |  | 41.34 |
| R2L | 201016-234 |  |  | 62.01 |  | 60.89 |  | 38.99 |
| U21 | 201005-1234 | 29.60 |  | 54.77 |  | 52.81 |  | 35.10 |
| R 2 M | 201007-1234 | 43.34 |  | 64.63 |  | 68.64 |  | 49.52 |
| 02 m | 201010-1234 | 40.42 |  | 66.09 |  | 69.41 |  | 50.28 |
| R2 N | - 201014-234 |  |  | 38.06 |  | 35.33 |  | 20.08 |
| U2n | 201011 -234 |  |  | 27. 19 |  | 29.67 |  | 15.37 |
| - 020 | 201012-234 |  |  | \% 1.66 |  | 52.61 |  | 33.53 |
| R2 P | 202001-1 | 41.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U2p | 202002-1 | 43.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R2Q | 202003-1 | 42.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U2q | 202004-1 | 45.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R2R | 202006-2 |  |  | 49.50 |  |  |  |  |
| U2r | 202007-34 |  |  |  |  | 49.67 |  | 36.33 |
| R2S | 203014-1234 | 7.62 |  | 21.57 | : | 28.18 |  | 19.33 |
| 025 | 203015-1234 | 2.85 |  | 9.55 |  | 15.73 |  | 12.98 |
| 02 t | 293016-1234 | 7.05 |  | 20.41 |  | 24.18 |  | 21.81 |
| R20 | 203003-1234 | 16.66 |  | 48.89 |  | 67.89 |  | 55.49 |
| 02. | 203001-1234 | 27.11 |  | 48.60 |  | 63.77 |  | 56.84 |
| R2V | 203004-1234 | 6.96 |  | 34.08 |  | 45.9.7 |  | 34.41 |
| R2W | 203010-234 |  |  | 1.99 |  | 5.84 |  | 6:18 |
| R2X | 203011-23 |  |  | 9.45 |  | 20.3; |  |  |
| R2Y | 203012-234 |  | 1 | 3.95 |  | 10.60 |  | 6.80 |
| R3A | 301001-12 | 91.11 |  | 93.44 |  |  |  |  |
| 03 a | 301003-12 | 63.65 |  | 95.28 |  |  |  |  |
| R3B | 301005-1234 | 87.71 |  | 97.80 |  | 98.78 | , | 95.01 |
| U 3b | 301009-1234 | 95.96 |  | 99.35 |  | 99.75 |  | 99.03 |
| .R3C | 301007-1234 | 57.55 |  | 88.52 |  | 89.95 |  | 83.60 |
| -3C | 301014-12 | 83.24 |  | 96.90 |  |  | * |  |
| 03d | 301015-1234 | 29.67 |  | 43.09 |  | 50.53 |  | 62.56 |
| 03 e | 301032-34 |  |  |  |  | 52.75 |  | 50.68 |
| U3f | 301010-123 | 76.97 |  | 89.35 |  | 89.53 |  |  |
| R3G | 301012-1234. | 35.35 |  | 73.82 |  | 84.74 |  | 75.51 |
| R3H | 301013-123 | 91.11. |  | 95.08 |  | 92.08 |  |  |
| U3h | 301011-123 | 88.05 |  | 85.52 |  | 92.77 |  |  |
| R3I | 301042-234 |  |  | 85.47 |  | 91.18 |  | 88.82 |
| ¢13i | 301040-234 |  |  | 76.80 |  | 75.91 |  | 78.51 |

Mear 3 music
ZROSS REPEPENCE LIST: RELEASE NUMBER TO NAEP NUMBER

RELEASE
NUMBER
03 j
R 3
R3L
R3M
U3m
$03 n$
-R30 R3P01 03 p 01 R3p02 03 p0 2 R3P03 03 p 03 R3P04 03 p04 U3q01 03q02 U3r P3501 U3s01 R3S02 03s02 R3503 U3s03 R3S04 03504
$R 3505$ R 3505
03505 R3506 03s06 R3S07 03 s 07 R3S0 8
R3S09 R3S10 R3511 R3T01
$03+01$. R3 T02 $03 t 02$ R3T03 - $03 t 03$ R3T04 03 t04 R3T05 U3t05 R3T06 03 t06. $03 t 07$ R4A 04a

NAEP
NOMBER
301041-234
301038-234
301039-234
301035-234.
301034-234
301036-23
$301037-234$
$301033-234$
$301033-234$
$401002-1234$
401001-1'234
401002-1234
$401001-1234$
$401002-1234$
$401001-1234$
401002-1234
401001-1234
401003-1234
401008-34

- 401004-1234

401005-123
401004-1234
401005-123
401004-1234
401005-123
401004-1234
401005-123
401004-1234
401005-123
401004-1234
401005-123
401004-1234
401005-123
401004-.1234

401006-123
401007-1234
401006-123
401007-1234
401006-123
401007-1234
401006-123
401007-1234
401006-123
401007-1234
401006-123
401007-1234
404001-234
404002-234


$\begin{array}{rr}91.19 & 77.24 \\ 19.26 & 17.97 \\ 65.46 & 57.47 \\ 40.77 & 41.06 \\ 78.30 & 76.81 \\ 57.52 & \\ 40.83 & 27.14 \\ 74.32 & -78.05 \\ 42.36 & 47.86 \\ 98.63 & 96.79 \\ 49.22 & 33.98 \\ 90.08 & 81.28 \\ 67.12 & 62.93 \\ 94.46 & 94.84 \\ 87.63 & 85.43 \\ 86.55 & 81.74 \\ 60.95 & 56.65 \\ 64.10 & 54.59 \\ 96.11 & 93.34 \\ 97.81 & 98.28 \\ 97.62 & \\ 95.74 & 93.04 \\ 80.46 & \\ 99.19 & 99.49\end{array}$
99.19
92.56
96.14 $\quad 92.75$
$\begin{array}{ll}97.59 \\ 84.50 . & 75.05\end{array}$
95.34
96.78 $\quad 97.04$
$\begin{array}{ll}97.73 & \\ 96.371 & 96.53\end{array}$
$77.0 n$
$98.39 \quad 98.76$
$96.09 \quad 92.93$
98.49 98.88
$82.85 \quad 82.75$
9.3. 14
82.36
98.42
95.39
87.11 89.50
$\because 93.07$ : 88.45
$\begin{array}{ll}92.91 & \\ 76.28 & 63.79\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}88.77 & \\ 44.40 & 50.03\end{array}$
96.42
$97.38 \quad 96.42$
$97.04 \quad 95.09^{\circ}$
$64.53 \quad 56.06$
24.72 : 15.97
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YEAR 3 MUSIC
CROSS REPERENCE LIST: RELEASE NUMBER TO NAEP NUMBER

| RELEASE | NAEP |
| :---: | :---: |
| NOMBER | NUMBER |
| U5A01 | $600013-234$ |
| R5A02 | $600010-1234$ |
| R5AO4 |  |
| R5A06 |  |
| U5b01 | $600018-234$ |
| U5C01 | $600019-234$ |
| R5D02 | $600001-234$ |
| R5E04 | $600008-1234$ |
| R5F06 | $502004-1234$ |
| R5G002 | $502007-1234$ |
| R5H02 | $502012-234$ |
| R5H04 |  |
| R5H06 |  |
| R5H08 |  |
| R5I03 | $502003-1234$ |
| R5I10 |  |
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NUMBER OF RESPONSES BY GROUP FOR EACH PACKAGE AT AGE 9

| － | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{N}{\prime} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mo } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ln m m \\ & \text { Nin } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \% \end{aligned}$ |  | Nーロ 0 Mサ下 MMNのサNM N NTMNNN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \bar{N} \\ N \end{gathered}$ | －won へ in Nin | $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \circ N \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \stackrel{N}{n} \stackrel{N}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{0}$ | Ommmサon NNMNNNN |
| in | 0 on N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORO } \\ & \text { Qup } \\ & \text { int in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 O \\ & N \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | numon ナMm8in |  |
| ナ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \\ & \underset{\sim}{6} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fo } \\ & \text { Mo } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OMmong } \\ & \text { GMon } \end{aligned}$ | Mぃ 0 甘om下 NMinO O in N～のサNMN |
| $m$ | $\stackrel{m}{N}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim_{n}^{\infty} \\ & \mathrm{N}^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Nローナーが <br>  NMTVNNM |
| N | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { N } \cap \\ & 0 \text { o } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N_{O} \\ & M_{M}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \ln \sigma \\ & \sigma_{n} \ln \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | Fomeo <br> $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \sim N_{\infty}^{\infty} N$ | FNLn rone下mのnonin MN $\sim$＋N．N |
| $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HG 寸m } \\ & \text { iog } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ln _{N} \\ & N \\ & { }_{\sim}^{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N_{\infty}^{\infty} \\ & \sim_{N}^{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | MNNTG <br> $\rightarrow \div 00$ or | －onn Novo <br>  | NuBER OP Re

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Package } \\
& \text { National }
\end{aligned}
$$


Sex
Male
Female

Size and Type
of Community（STOC） Low Metro
Ex＋reme Rural
Small Place Medium City Main Big City Urban Fring
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| NUMBER OF RESPONSE |  | BY GROUP FOR EACH PACKAGE FOR ADULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Package | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| National | 2353 | 2328 | 2350 | 2335 | 2367 | 2334 | 2356 | 2337 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 521 | 518 | 522 | . 519 | 523 | 513 | 519 | 518 |
| Southeast | 587 | 583 | 589 | 583 | 585 | 576 | 580 | 574 |
| Central | 631 | 622 | 627 | 626 | 641 | 631 | 639 | 636 |
| West | 614 | 605 | 612 | 607 | 618 | 614 | $618$ | 609 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1080 | 1072 | 1085 | 1072 | 1051 | 1038 | 1045 | 1037 |
| Female | 1273 | 1256 | 1265 | 1263 | 1316 | 1296 | 1311 | 1300 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1981 | 1959 | 1980 | 1968 | 1980 | 1952 | 1970 | 1955 |
| Black | 232 | 230 | 230 | 229 | 252 | 248 | 250 | 245 |
| Other | 140 | 139 | 140 | 138 | 135 | 134 | 136 | 137 |
| Parental Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No High School | 702 | 696 | 700 | 693 | 722 | 704 | 714 | 711 |
| Some High School | 392 | 388 | 392 | 389 | 398 | 395 | 397 | 397 |
| Graduated High School | 674 | 665 | 669 | 669 | 656 | 653 | 663 | 651 |
| Post High School . . | 489 | 486 | 492 | 488 | 515 | 510 | 508 | 506 |
| Unknown | 96 | 93 | 97 | 96 | 76 | 72 | 74 | 72 |
| Size and Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of Community (STOC) | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Metro | 239 | 234 | 237 | 237 | 229 | 225 | 233 | 230 |
| Extreme Rural | 238 | 236 | 234 | 233 | 245 | 240 | 240 | 239 |
| Small Place | 746 | 741 | 747 | 745 | 756 | 744 | 747 | 746 |
| Medium City * | 323 | 325 | 331 | 323 | 317 | 317 | 320 | 315 |
| Main Big City | 186 380 | 184 | 184 | 183 | 193 | 192 | 190 | 190 |
| Urban Fringe | 380 | 375 233 | 381 | 377 | 391 | 387 | 394 | 387 |
| High Metro | 241 | 233 | 236 | 237 | 236 | 229 | 232 | 230 |
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National Assessment reports should be ordered directly from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Offige, Washington, D.C. 20402. A NAEP Publications List, containing order numbers and other information to facilitate ordering, is available free at the address below.

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS
A Project of the Education Commission of the States
Suite 700
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[^0]:    **********************************************************************
    *
    Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ An overview of the National Assessment sampling design for Years 03 and 04 is provided in Chapter 2 of the General Information Yearbook, Report 03/04-GIY (Washington, D.C.:s Government Printing Office, 1974). For more detailed documentation, see J.R. Chromy, et al., The National Assessment Approach to Sampifing (Denver, Colo,: Education Commission of the States, 1974). "For an introductory treatment aimed at state and local assessment problems, see R.M. Jaeger, A Primer on Scomplirig for Statewide Assessment (Princeton, N.J.: Educational Testing Service, Center fơr Statewide Educational Assessment, 1973).

