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## Prefatory Remarks

This paper is one of a series of three reports based on recent research on mass communications media in the Soviet Union today.* It is an attempt to bring together most of the information on Soviet domestic radio and television broadcasting systems gathered during the course of that resenrch. The notable exceptions are amateur radio, nev:s-broadcasting (both covered on separate reports) , training and education of personrel, Soviet foreign radio-broadcasting, and foreign radio listening among the Soviet populaiion.

Sources 'used include the following: several main organs of this Soviet press (including PRAVDF and IZVESTIA) for the years 1957-1964; trade journals of radio and television industries; articles and books of Soviet and Western publication dealing with the subject; research notes from Radio Liberty; and the author's personal experience'during a six-month's residence in the USSR during 1964. Ali source materials used are open ones, although some are of limited distribution.

Cambridge, Massachusetts
March, 1965
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Key to Symbols:
wired plug-in receiver (radio-tochka)
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wave receiver (radiopriyomnik)
ur on long, medium wave
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*Single Diffusion Exchange (Radio-uzel)

May include:
a. editor
b. amplifier

c. public address system
d. facte
e. indi: lual home receivers

## I. The Broadcasting Network

## Radiobroadcastinc

The structure of Soviet radiobroadcasting has remained basically the same as that described by Alex Inkeles in his bock of 1950, entitled Public Opinion in Soviet Russia: A Study in Mass Persuasion. ${ }^{1}$ Transmitters operate at three levels, the highest of which is Central Broadcasting in Moscow. Transmission from Mrscow Central is by long or medium wave to Moscow and nearby networks, by short wave to isolated networks and individual sets, and by wire to urban and suburban Moscow and to netwn rks in close proximity to Central Broadcasting's main transmitter. Local. broadcasting at the republic and regional levels is gatrared into zonal networks, all of which are part of the national network; programs are received from Central in Moscow el.ther by wave or by wire, and sometimes by both. The lowest level of radiobroadcasting is the radio diffusion exchange (radiotranslyatsionniy uzel), sometimes called the radio-uzel, which is a wired network. Its chief function is to receive broadcasts, usually by wave, from the central or local network, and transmit programs to the home wired speakers, by means of wire. The diffusion exchange may possess a phonograph, microphone, and other equipment with which it can originate programs of its own; this allows the addition of local features to the day's broadcast schedule. These
proctrams which oxiginate at the radio-uzel never act. Ally go "on the air," nf course, but move entirely over the wi.red net. in a limited locality. A public address system may also be fed int:o the same wired net as the speakers; these loudspeakers of the public address system may be lucated in public squares. factory shops, and so on.

The speaker in the wired net is called a radio-point (radio-tochka). It consists largely of a transformer, a speaker, a volume control, and a switch. It., therefore, can receive programs only by means of wire, and can be regulated only by volume cr on-off switch. Until recent years, there was no selection apparatus, since only one program could be broadcast over the wired network at one time. In April and May of 1962, however, there began to appear announcements concerning the institution of multi-programming on wire. ${ }^{2}$ The multiple system of programming is received on an ordinary loudspeaker or radio-tochka to which is attached a special selector device. Mcscow, possessing the largest wired network in the count.ry, with over $1,500,000$ radio-points, was the first locality to recsive the new system on a large scale, though it has also been used in Riga, Latvia, in Ashkhabad, Turkmenia, and in the Uzbek Republic. The extensi in of the system is planned to cover eventually the whole of the Soviet Union, thus providing some power of program selection even for those who do not own wave sets.

The weve receiver has shown a sharp increase in quantity

$$
-2-
$$

during the past ton years. In 1953 , the number of wave sets was only half that of wired speakers, while at present their quantities are $a_{\text {wroximately }}$ the same. This leads us to a discussion of the whole systen of wired broadcasting, and why it has been for so long the predominant system of radiobroadcasting in the Soviet Union. The reasons for the institution of the system which have been put forin repeatrsdly by the Soviet leaders may be summarized as follows: (1) wi :eu broadcasting is more economical: (2) better reception is obtained by means of wire: (3) wired sets may carry programs originating at the diffusion exchange, making possible the use of radio as a purely local means of communicationg and (4) propaganda via radio can be almost completely controlled. While these reasons on the surface may appear persuasive, the matter is somewhat more complicated when viewed at depth.

Wired sets may be considered economical only as an initial investment. When the regime first began to develop a communications network, it was immediately expedient to utilize telephone lines for telephone, telegraph, and wired radio communications. The installations for broadcasting were cheaper than those for wave broadcasting, With the enlargement of the radio network, however, the use $0:$ wires became less economical, due to more complicated connections and maintenance procedures. In addition, the development of multj-program broadcasting on wire further complicates the equipment, since it requires additional selection appa-
ratus, either at the diffusion exchange, or at the loudspeaker itself. This equipment runders the entire receiving apparatus more complicated, and hence, mure costly, than wave reception eyuipment. Dspreciation is greater, and maintenance becomes a constant burcien to the radioelectronics engineers and te zhnicians. These factors have considexably complicated and slowed down installation of luulti-programming. Added to the other technical difficulties of Soviet broadcasting, this situation would seem to impart a distinctly uneconomical quality to the system of wired brcadcasting in a modern context. In addivion, the average person prefers to purchase a wave set which provides more selection and costs no sore, Further, a wave set may be purchased on credit, and the selector attachment must be paid for immediately.

Although it is claimed that better reception can be obtained hif wire, in actual fact this is tiue only when receivers of first-class quality are used. Widespread indications are that, in the process of rryin* to radiofy the countr! as rapidly as possible, speakers of third-class quaiity were uscd. Reception on this grade of rece:ver is roughily comparable to that on a crude public address system. The last two reasors, that of local origin for programs, ar, a propaganda control, can cbviously act to the detriment U1 domestic communications should the radio network be seized by an enemy in wartime. Control over Soviet communications would be much more complete under such a system. Whether
or not the above considerations have cccurred to and persuaded those responsible for Soviet communications development policies, the practice of maintaining a numerical supremacy of wired over wave receivers seems rapidly on the decline. The figures in Table I. A. (Appendix One) indicate the comparative numerical strongths of the two types. This comparison shows that the number of each type of sets was approximately the same in 1963.

FM broaavasting in particular is slated to become more prevalent in the USSR, as it is in other European couni:rieg. A former Chairman of the State Committee on Radio and Television, Kaftanov, exrlained its insrease thusly:

FM broadcasting is to a large degree iree of t're inadequacies which are inherent in AM broadcasting on the long, medium, and short wave lengths, since it almost entirely free of static interference. Frequency modulation in the ultra short wave range assures high fidelity, due to the fact that it is possible to broadcast a wide range of audio frequencies (from 30 to 15,000 cysles per second) without distortions. A large scale development of ultra short wave broadcast ing is ne of the ways to improre and expand local broadcasting inasmuch a3, with the broadcar" of the central program, it permits the organization of high quality broadcasts by the oblast and republic radio without interfering with ihe Central programs. 3

In addition to Mr. Kaftanov's stated reasons for the expansion of $F M$ broadcasting in the $U S S R$, there are two underlying considerations for this policy. One is that FM broadcasting, reliable only for short distance broadcasting, has the same quality as the loudspeaker in regard to range. The second is that the developnent of a loc:l system of
broadcasting may be partly in deference to widespread complaints in tie Soviet Union during the past several years, that all wave lergths and syrtems carried thr same programs, and little, if any, variety was available. The addition of local alternatives should $h \geq l p$ to alleviate this problem.

The increase in FM broadcasting is facilitated by ommensurate growth of the television network:

> In view of the fact that radiobroadcasting ultra wave FM transmitters are identical with the transmitter broadcasting the sound portion of the television programs, it is possible to install ultra short wave FM transmitters at television brcadcasting stations which could use ancenna supports in common with the television transmitter, as well as the same power supply system and to conduct both ultra short wave FM and television at the same time, without major capital investments. 4

By the middle of 1959 there were approximately 60 such transmitters; in 1963, the numuer had reached approximately 86 for the entire USSR. ${ }^{5}$ In regions which have a thinly scattered population, the creation of FM stations is deemed economically unfeasible, and in these regions it is planned to extend radio coverage through construction of stations operating on long, medium and short waves.

## Broadcasting Stations

As concerns the stations themselves, there were, as of 1961, five classe.i of new typical radiobroadcasting centers (or Houses of Radio) as stipulated fe- construction during the then current Seven-Year Plan. Of these RVU (radioveshchatelniy luel), the largest is the first class,


RVU. It is designed for radio committees operating for 10 hours or more a day, and employing a staff of over 100 persons. Rather large in size, it includes six different radio studios, among which is a large speech studio of aoout 15 to 20 square metres. Also included are a large concert studio (300-350 square metres), 20 equipment units for radiobroadcasting and sound recording, and a corresponding number of necessary production, technical, and editorial areas and services. The smallest radiobroadcasting center, the $R V U-5$, is planned for cities where the committees or editorial boards on radio employ a staff of up to 20 persons, and broadcast up to 1.5 hours per day. It consists of two studios, one for nusic, and one for speech, and two equipment areas. The volume of the RVU-5 is 2,300 cubic metres, and its area is 400 square metres. The volume of the RI`J-2 is 12,000 cubic metres, that of the $\mathrm{RVU}-3,6,000$ cubic metres, and that of the RVU-4, 3,000 cubic metres. ${ }^{6}$ It should be stressed, however, that these studios are ideal, model studios, and do not necessarily represent the ordinary broadcasting conditions of Soviet radio. Of equipment in older, less centrally located stations, we have little indication.

## Television Broadcasting

At the present time (1965) in the Soviet Union the re are about 100 program centers for telerision broadcasting, 32 powerful relay stations, and about 250 relay stations
of low power (up to 100 wt ). " These television stations cover $3 n$ area populated by more than $90,000,000$ persons, as compared to the total population of the USSR, now about 220,000,000. There are at present more than fifty large cities which are regularly receiving Moscow Central programs. Other areas, of course, receive occasional broadcasts originating from Moscow, by means of their local network. The standard equipment for television studios as of 1961 was described by the then Chairman of the Committee on Radio and Television, Kaftanov:

> Television stations in krai and oblast cities are equioped with the standard TV and ultrashort wave station, with a picture transmitter of 2.5 kilo-watts, television equipment of a film-broadcasting and mobile television station, four ultra-short wave broadcast transmitters with frequency modulation. Such a television center has two studios, a basic one (300 square metres in area), and a model-anouncers' one (40 square metres). The height of the tower together with the antenna is 192 metres. The radius of broadcast is $50-60$ kilometers and more.
> Television stations of capitals of republics have a power of 15 kilo-watts...with the help of low-powered relay stations it is planned to raise the radius of broadcasts...

Although the television sentres at Moscow, Leningrad, and Kiev are not typical of thoje throughout the Soviet Union, they are the most important centers of the television network, and thus merit detailed description. In Leningrad there has recently been under construction a new television center, the transmitting station of which is located in a separate building with an adjacent tower of 300 metres

The main building houses six studios with areas of 600 , 450 (color TV), 300 square metres, iwo studios with areas of 150 square metres, and an educational channel studio of 200 square metres. $y$ The director's control equipment is also located in the main building, as are the technical control and monitoring equipment for the picture and sound channels, motion picture photography equipment, and other central services.

There are three large rehearsal studios. The second floor houses laboratories, equipment, and the third floor administrative offices and a study room.

One new tower, built in 1964, is 160 metres tall. Nearby is the main Leningrad television tower, which is 316 metres, 27 cm tall. Its base is 60 metres. At the 200-metre level there is a three-story "house" containing technical equipment. Its area is 300 square metres. The tower was constracted an Zaporozhe, a center for television equipment. The effective radius of the tower, which has four antennae with varying wave lengths, is 200 kilometres (as compared with the smaller tower having a $60-70 \mathrm{~km}$ range. ${ }^{10}$

In Moscow, there has been a good deal of reconstruction of television studios, as well as the beginning of construction of a new national television center. In the early 1960's three new television studios with areas of 600,180 , and 150 square metres were built; they include control equipment and the cen al transmitting apparatus. Television
motion picture projection equipment for 16 mm and 35 mm films was also installed here. ${ }^{11}$ As of 1961 , the Moscow television center had five mobile television studios, two stationary and twelve semi-stationary relay points, Planned for the projected economic period (1959-1965, the SevenYear Plan) was a new te'ovision station with three transmitters, two for black-an-white uroadcasts, and one for colur. One of the notable features of the new station is its 500metre reinforced concrete tower, which contains a number of technical shops. The tower has a diameter of 65 metres; the reinforced concrete sector of the tower is 400 metres high, while the metal portion of the structure is 100 metres. The tower supports both television and FM transmitting antennae, the radius of broadcast of which is $110-120 \mathrm{kilo}-$ metres. ${ }^{12}$ The newly planned National Television Center is to be situated in Moscow at Ustankino, near the USSR Exhibition of Economic Achievements. The pzoject provides for ten main television studios, each ranging from 150 to 1,000 square metres in area. The studio building should be about 400 metres long. When completed, the television center should be able to broadcact 50 hours per day. The target date for the completion of the center is 1967--the Fiftieth Anniversary of the October Revolution. ${ }^{13}$ Before that time, at the end of the current Seven-Year Plan, it is antioipated that ihere will be in existence approximately 18?-190 program centers, 60 powerful relay stations, and anout 350 relay stations of low power. ${ }^{14}$

The Kiev television studio in the capital of the Ukrainian SSR, has been in operation for thirty years. There are presently in use two stadios, one 180 square metres in area, the other 300 square metres. A third studio of medium size, needs equipment and will soon be in operation. The tower is 190 metres tall. There are two transmitters, one having a range of $100-110 \mathrm{~km}$, the other 60 km . The studio has two mobile broadcasting units which operate on different frequencies for simultaneous broadcasting. 15

Color television is still jargely in the experimental stage. Although color television broadcasts were begun oin an experimental basis on November $5,1954,{ }^{16}$ they were not carried out on a large scale until 1959. The experimental system used is a compatible one, in which broadcasts may be received either on a conventional or color set, much the same as in the United States.

A few hundred suts are in use in Leningrad, most of them located in group listening circumstances. Programs are broadcast in color in Lenincrad for two hours each Saturday. Although the system ust is essentially the same as that in widespread use in the United States, no system has been officially adopted, in order to avoid waste involved in any change which might come as a result of the Vienna conference this Spring. 17

Although it may be possible to purchase color television sets, they are prohibitively expensive, and not
available on a widespread basis. Most Soviet citizens seem to regard color television as still a thing of the future, even in Moscow.

Descriptions of television stations elsewhere in the Soviet Union are nc available, but one may safely assume that thay are on the whole not quite as up to date, nor as impressive as those in Moscow and Leningrad. Most intercity connections between stations are by means of relay towers; coaxial cables are used for oriy $=$ few limited lines. The first link to Siberia, for example, was opened in May, 1963, by means of a chain of relay towers through Sverdlovsk and Kurgan. Smolensk is connected to Moscow by a series of eight intermediate receiving and broadcasting relay towers situated 40 to 50 kilometers apart. Each station has a tower from 70 to 100 metres tall. This may be considered a fairly typical linkage for the European part of the country. Fully automatic relay stations are expected to become widespread; the relay station will be switched on and off by means of a sicmal from the television station from whicin the program is being relayed. Remote control is expected in the near future. 18

## Number of Television Stations

The map on the following page will clearly illustrate the extent of the television network in the Soviet Union. Table I.G., taken partly from a Soviet publication, ${ }^{19}$ will give the reader some idea of the characteristic features of

the television stations, although the information pertains to $10 j 9$, ard is thus out of date in regard to the number of stations listed.

While the development of the televisica broadcasting network has been rather rapid in general, it has shown a definite lag in some republics. Although, as one would anticipate, these lagging areas are mostly in sparsely populated areas, this is by no means exclusively the case. Byelorussia, in the European part of the country, is a case in point. According to $L$. Kostyushko, member of the Committee for Radio and Television in Byelorussia, one reason for this lag is the low level of capital investment. Because of poor, outmoded equipment, the radius of broadcasting is quite small, and as late as June, 1962, covered only onesixth of the territory of the renublic. ${ }^{20}$

Radio and Television in Rural Localities

In the years since the death of Stalin, there has been great emphasis upon, and vast improvement in, the area of rural radiofication. During the years 1954-1957, more than 4,000 collective farm radio receiving systems (wired) were installed. During these years, about $7,500,000 \mathrm{Fjug}$-in sets were provided on collectives, and the number of radio receivers and television sets increased from 900,000 to 2;223,000 or almost two and one-half times. ${ }^{21}$ In 1960, in the rural losalities of the Russian Republic, there were
af. - o: 'ately $8,620,000$ plug-in sets, anc $1,877,000$ wave sets. ${ }^{2}$. Apparently, these figures are indicative of the relative proportions of wave sets to wired ones in rural localities. Most fainilies have to be linked by the wired network to radio-uzel of the community for purposes of intrafarm communication, since both state and collective Farms are becoming much larger due to mergers, and mcre extensive communication networks are needed for : .te daily managing of the farms. Kostrom Onlast is an example of the rapid radiofication of the count $-y$. In less than one year, 521 collective farms of the oblast vere radiofied, resulting in a complete radiofication $0^{\prime} 23$ out of 28 regions.? 3 As for wave sets and television receivers, growich has teen much slower, but has nevertheless been steady. In 1962 alone, rural rrsidents acquired $1,800,000$ adio receivers and television sets through consumers' cooperatives ' pparently the main means of rural distribution of consumer goods) 24

It is however, evident that even wired radiofication has not been uni formly rapici, tr.s notable exceptions being Azerbaidzhan, Moldavia, Latvia, Ljthuania, and Kirghizia. I.n most of these republics less than half of the collective farms have radios, and in Lithucnia onl: 26 per cent of farms have wired systems. 25 The reasons for the slow installations seem to be directly linked to acute equipment shortages. Part of the blame for thesc shortages has been placed upon the communications acgencies, for not enlisting the aid
of the local industries in producing the needed materials in short supply. In a planned economy, however, such local adjustments are difficult to make. Impromptu manufacturing of items leads to serious shortages in the fulfillment of the production quota of the factories involved. Fear of attempting new models is reflective of this more basic caution against over-extending the production facilities of the local ildustries. It was reported that in 1958 the VEF Plant in Riga had produced a remote-control device for rurul radio receiving systems. The plant, however, iogetare with the Latvian Economic Council, delayed the production of this device. The Muron Plant designed a rural radio receıving system which used conductors, but was slow in making experimental models of the system, and refused for some time to put it into series production. The Riga Diesel Plant delayed two years in the development of automatically controlied diesel motors for use as power plants for radio facilities. The situation was still worse as regards designs for ultrashort (FM) receivers using semi-conductors, which were needed for use in low-lying and mountainous regions of the country, where cable transmission was not practical. The radio installation plan in Latvia in 1958, therefore, was only 54 per cent fulfilled. Since comnunications agencies were forced to make the necessary items by non-indinstrial methods, they were forced to make them in very limitsd quantities. ${ }^{26}$ The supply of radio parts to rural radio owners
is also lagging. Consumers' cooperatives are constantly plagued with complaints that batteries and tubes could not be bought. 27 In some towns, such as the corn-producing town of Sushinov, there was no electricity, hence nn radio until 1961. 28

An example of the situation concerning radio and television on a collective farm may help to make the tables and statist.cs more real to the reader. The example which we have at our disposal is that of a collective farm of "average" size, located in the Ukraine near the town of Dnepropetrovsk. The farm covers an area of about 3,000 hectares (approximately 7,200 acres). On the farm live and work $1, \therefore 00$ persons in 600 separate households. Since there are only 12 trucks for the use of the farm workers and two cars for the exclusive use of the farm chairman, the use of mass media becomes an especially important means of communicating with the community outside of the farm. Because of the size of the farm, radio is also used as a means of communication between the administration of the sarm and its members. The farm has its own radio-dif.eusion exchange, which receives broadcasts from the local network in Dnepropetrovsk and broadcasts them to the home speakers, of whish there is one in almost every household. At the diffusion network the farm sometimes adds local features having to do with farm production, goals, and so forth; the farm chairman frequently speaks to mernbers of the farm over the exchange. There are radio sets in about half of the farm
households: An exact proportion of the number of television sets to population was not obtained. Indications vert that there were quite a few sets on the farm, but "not, of course, enough to go around." This is probably a fairly unusual farm in this respect. Television is still a fairly rare phenomenon on most farms. Programs are trassmitted from Kiev and Dnepropetrovsk; often programs from Moscow can be seen via the Kiev stations, but this is not done on a regular basis. 29


From a technical standpoint, Soviet radio sets are fairly well designed, although defective assembly often leads to breakage and the necessity for frequent repair. Table models almost always have a long wave band, 1 medium wave band, and often several short wave bands, although since 1958 no sets have becn manufactured for internal distribution having short wave bands of less than 25 metres. These table sers are usually quite bulky and old-fashioned by contemporary American standards, resembling table models produced in this country about twenty-five years ago. Attempts to modernize appearances have tended more towards making sets of plastic and using long, simple lines, rather than reducing the size of the sets. Wired speakers are currently being manufactured in rectangular shape, made of black, yellow, or some other bright plastic, and closely resembling more modern American wave sets. Radio-phonograph and radio-tape recorder combinations, naving the same bands as described above, are extremely popular, perhaps because of space limitations in most Soviet apartments.

Very popular at the present time are small transistor portable radio sets. 31 Most of these are made of brightcolored plastic, sold with an optional leather or simulated leather case. Such sets are almost never made of metal, since this is considered aesthetically displeasing, supposedly
because it resembles a professional cr military radio set. Most portable transistor sets have a long hiave band and a medium wave band. The cheapest set of this variety costs about 2 rubles, or 30 dollars. The more features a radio has, nowever, the higher its "class" designation. Third class is the poorest quality set, and First class and "Higher" or "Non-Class" sets are superior and most expensive. Such is the receiver "Spidola," which is without a doubt the most popular radio set in the Soviet Union today, Weighing about 5 kilograms (depending which of the two types of batteries one uses), this set, made in Riga, is very difficult to obtain; demands for it always exceed its production, and one almost never sees a "Spidola" on sale in a store. The "Spidola" which is produced for internal consumption, selling for about 75 rubles, has seven bands, incliding long wave, medium wave, and five short wave bands, going iwn to 25 metres. There is an export model of the "Spidola," however, which sells for about 110 rubles, which has short wave meter bands down to 13 metres. In line with existing lines of "informal distribution" of products in Soviet life, citizens within the Soviet Union sometimes obtain export mocicls of the "Spidola" and sometimes resell them for a higher price. It has been reported that a new short wave receiver is shortly to be distributed, which is called the "Transistor-10." Although the exact characteristics of this set are rot known to the author, rumors are that it will rival the "Spidola" in popularity.

The smallest set available for sale is the "Kosmos," a tiny, long or medium wave receiver about $21 / 2$ inches square. This


The Popov Rudio Factory in Riga. Conveyor Assembly of the radio receiver "Festival" (1959.)


Television manufacturing. Girl is checing rear assembly of television sets. (The model being checked is the third-class "Rekord")
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Current models of nome Soviet transistorized redio sets. 1 . The "Spidola," one of the few post-1958 models equipped for short-rave reception belo the 25 -metre band 2. The "Atmosfera" 3. The "Meva," costing about 25 rubles, or 27 dollars. 4. The "Efir" 5. The inside and speaker of the"Erlur." (Wcte the coin and "Vivo" cigarette pack for size comparison.)
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The "A-8" used in the pobeda and the Moskrich


The portable "Turjst"


The "reatival" (Note remote control tuning apperatus)
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set is available, huvever, mainl: in stores which sell receivers for foreign currency, and is not at this time generally available for sale to the average Soviet citizen. Its Soviet price is about $40 r$ bles. A smaller set, also long and medium wave, about tite sice of a book of matches, was released in roverber of 1964 , but has not yet reached mass production. At present, the ideal receiver for the Soviet radio consumer would be a small, portable, pocket-sized set with short wave, preferably below the 25 metre band.

Here a few words are in order abour the way in which an average Soviet citizen sevaluates and purchass a radio set. Unlike the average American radio consumer, the Soviet citizen generally has a rather good knowledge of the siqnificance of certain technical data concerning the set to be purchased. Such information includes selectivit ${ }_{i}$, sensitivity, output and input power, size and voltage of Latteries, life span of batteries ${ }^{32}$ and tubes, simber and type of transistors, and so on. "ost of this informatin is contained in a small pamphlet attacher to the Soviet set which is for sale; this booklet is cailed the "peseport" of the radio, and inclucis: also the forms for reণistration and guaranteed reziair rejisitr - il. 'An veight of tie portable radio, and wijether or not the set is made of plastic, are also suidects of vital consideration. The Soviet preference for plastic in both portable and stationary scts, even in radio-phenographs, is a result of two trends uf thourht. rhe first, the practical consideraticn, is that plastic is the le st expensive material adaptable to cabinet production in the Soviet

Union. The second is that plastic is still considered a thing oi the future, an innovation, and as such, is considered modern and progressive, and the.:efore, fashionable. While connoisseurs of sound rep:oduction re =2gnize the acoustical advantages of wood, and the otvious technical advantages $-f$ a component system, the person who is concerned with and cognizant of theac factors is usuaily the engineer, and not the average buyer, or even the "enlightened consumer," as in America today. The most desired wave length band is the short wave band. since both many Soviet stations, particularly those in Siberia and other sparsely populated areas, and foreign stations broadcast on this band for maximum reception. FM broadcssting is not highly develo:nd, and so the FM band is a luxury on a Soviet set. Since FM stereo multiplexing is largely a thing of the future, if at all, and sound reproductior is goor, the demand for FM stereo sets is virtually non-existent among the broad strata of ine population.

## Television Sets

Soviet television sets, like radios, have in the past been considered heavy ard old-fashioned in design. In recent years, however, they have begun to appear in lighter, smaller models, with more attractive designs. They are designed for the 625line, 25-frame standard, which is being used throughout most of Eastern Europe. (For comparison, a 525-line standard is used in the U.S.A., and a 405-line s-andard is used in England). Channel vidth is 8 mc . The later sets have 12 channels, the first five between 49 and 100 mc , and the other seven between 174 and 230 megacycles. Not all chanaels i.e9 in use, and many areas
use onl $\ddot{y}_{i}$ one channel. Moscow uses three channels, as does Leningrad, and most large cities have two or are pianning to initiate a second one in the near future. Semi-conductors are apparently widely used, and at least one all transistor set has been developed.

Newer Soviet sets include the "Soyuz," the "Start," and the "Rekord," all of which have 10 inch screens. The "Temp-3" has a screen of 12 inches, and the "Temp-4" has one cf 14 inches. These setz also have built-in radio receivers capable of receiving $F M$ broadcasts. Most of these sets are to be discontinued in the near future, as will be desciibed later. The "Neva" and the "Yantar" both have 14 inch screens. The largest screen is that of the "Moskva" set; although it is only a small screen ( 6 cm in diagonal:), its image is projected by means of a special optical system onto a screen .9 by 1.2 netres. It can thus be seen by 200 viewers at a time. This type of set was designed for use at clubs and rest homes, and: cularly adapted to such use, since it may be tuned by remote cortrol

Several interesting technical innovations in Soviet television have been publicized recently in the Soviet press. One of these is a film of aluminum several microns thick, which is applied to the inner surfaces of the picture tube; this lengthens the life of the tube, and provides a brignter picture with better contrast. Another innovation $i=$ a set with a rotating screen, which can be turned without turning tho entire set. An experimental model of this set was manufactured in late 1962. Transistorized, portable television sets are also being froduced.


Caption reads: "Lens For a Wide Screen". Drexing shove construction procedure.
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"Symphonia"


"Volna"

Some recent models of soviet televiaion sets.


Development of wide screen television is also connected with an interesting invention. In the picture on the following pages, one can see the principle involved. The set owner is able to construct his own wide screeil-a remarkable do-it-yourself improvement. Color filters which can be placed in front of the screen in a like manner have also been mentioned, out the Soviet Union also is developing its own system of color television. Other devices include an "instant translator," a device which can be attacner to the television set on which a program is being broadcast in Russian. By means of this device, the listener can hear the prorram being oroadcast in his own national tongue. Invented by the Leningrad Radio Reception and Acoustics Institute and engineers of the Estonian Television Center, the attachment was first tested in Estonia at the end of 1962. By choosing the proper channel, the listener may hear the broadcast in either of the two languages. ${ }^{33}$ Indications are that the device is being lised in several regions of the country.

## Future Radio and Television Sets

Several sets were designed for production during 1964 anc 1965 which supposedly will alleviate some of the difficulties previously present in set production. The factories producing television and radio sets have been instructed to cut down on the number of models produced. Television sets with screen sizes of 35,47 , and 59 cm (diagonal measurement) will be produced on a single construction of cabinet and printed functional block. A 47 cm screen set is being produced, which will use semi-
conductor instruments, and can be operated either by wire or by battery. The following sets will be produced in the various classes:

Class III- the UNT-35 will replace the models "Rekord-B," "Rekord-12," and "Yenisei-3" (all are table models).

Class II- the UNT-47 will replace the sets "Radiy," "Rubin-102," "Rubin-202," "Topaz," "Temp-6," "Verkhovina-A," "Bela-russ-110," "Belaruss-5," and the "Neman" (all are table nodels).

The PPT-21 and the PPT-47, both transistor sets, will replace the table model "Start-3."

The new sets are reportadly to be much lighter in weight and smaller in size. Most of them will have picture tubes of $110^{\circ}$, although the portable one will have a picture tube of $90^{\circ}$. Metallic-glass picture tubes will replace giass picture tubes. The yearly output of television receivers for the next two years will be about three million in each year.

Radio sets produced will also be in limited numbers of models. The First Class Rigonda, scereo-radiola, is replacing the model.s "Latvia," "Komet," and "Kama-62." The Second Class stereo-radiola RSKP-64 is replacing the "Muromets-62," the "Fakel," and ihe "Melodia。" The Third Class radiola Siberia (the mono-phonic variant) replaces the models "Rnkord53," "Rekord-61," "Rekord-61M," and the "Promin." The radiola Fourth Class, the Serenada, replaces the "Strela," and the "Zarya." The table-portable transistor radio : osmonaut replaces the radio "Narcck;" the portable transistor radio Selja
replaces the "Cauya;" the semi-conductor model Alpinist replaces the "Atmosfera-2." The pocket transistor radio Yupitor replaces the "Neva-2" and the "Lastcchka-2" and the ""qir." Conventional radios anl radiolas will be issued in yearly quantities of five to six million per year for the next two years, about half of them to be semi-conductor nodels.

The Rigonda-S, a First Class stereophonic radio-phonograph, is pictured on the next page. It consists of a radioreceiving set combined with a phonograph, which will play records of $78,45,33$, and $16 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. The phonograph has an automatic stop device and a "micro-lift" playing arm. The radio set itself has two internal antennae, one tape dipole for $F M$, and one magnetic antenna for med:um and long waves. It is, therefore, capable of receiving FM stereophonic broadcasts. The buyer has a choice of table model or floor model with legs, and of either horizontal or vertical speaker cabinets. Inside each of the two cabinets are two speakers. The table model weighs about 19 kilograms and the floor model, 21 kilograms. The cost is about 220 rubles. The Popov Radio FacLory in Riga, and the Ordzhonikidze (West Ural Sovnarkhoz) Factory is producing the set, and expected to produce a total of 160,000 of these sets in 1964.34
:Wired radio sets now being sold in urban stores are priced in range from about 4 rubles $(\$ 4.50)$ to 8 rubles $(\$ 8.90)$.

In June of 1964 there appeared in the newspaper ficromolskaya Pravda, Eamous for its Public Opinion Institute, the announcement of a new contest/opinion poll relating to the design


Several of the latest models of Soviet television, radiola, and radio sets.


The Rigonda-S, the latest Firstclass Soviet radio-granaphone. Abdve: Variant one, witn vertical speaker cakiv cs and floor model receiving set. Side: interior of gramaphone. Below: Variant two, with horizontal speaker cabinets and table model receiver.

of radio, television, and sound reproduction equipment. ${ }^{35}$ The article, entitled "Let's Design!" invited readers to criticize existing radio equipmeat. and design the type of models they would like to see available. The following questions formed the qu:stionnaire which was the bajis for suggestions to be offered by readers:

1. What type of television set would you prefer? (table, floor, portable, rotating screen, size of screen, etc.).
2. Do you need a remote control device for $T V$ sets and radios?
3. Is an automatic record shanger necessary in a record player?
4. What kind cf external finish would you prefer? (shellac, matte, natural, colored, red, light or dark wood, plastic, loud or subdued colored plastici.
5. What type of television, radio, record player, tape recorder, do you have?
6. What do you like about it and what don't you like; what changes should be made?

Before August 1 , the deadline for the return of entries, the Institute received some 14,000 replies. The letters were processed over a four month period. Some of them were printed on September 12; ${ }^{36}$ others, including the winning entries, presented with illustrations on October $28^{37}$ on the back page of the issue, the customary location for the publication of the work of the Institute. Winners were given models of existing radios and television sets. Some winners received recommendations by the jury to enter design or technical institutes.

The contest revealed some interesting opinions. Most replies seemed to indicate that while such devices as remote
control and automatic rlcord changers were desirable luxuries, they were $\because$ :ot primary and that more piactical concerns far outweighed any desires for such extras. The majority of persons replying were unhappy with the si:ie and dwkwardness of radi and TV sets, record players and tape recorders. They would irefer thac they be portable, transistorized, and that TV screens be of the rotating type. They alsc objected to duplication of arolifiers and speakers. It was felt that a system of components, produced in varying qualities and prices, woui. be more practical in combination in an apartment, thus saving room and money in purchase. Tastes in design ran toward clean, modern lines, although the preference for shellacked woods or white or yellow plastic seems quite old-fashioned by American standards. Reasons given were that plastic is cheap, does rat require mich labor in finishing or upkeep, and is eleqant, although isood is ratte: acoustically, :inning entrics suggested a "false stereo" system, separation of speakers from tunezs and turntables. Answers as to the place of the equipment in the room differed: some felt that the equipmont should we desimne so tiat it was subordinate to the design of stationary pleces, and would not stand out. One militiry serviceman, however, felt that the electrnnic equirment in his apartment formed a "twentieth century corner" (ruch like the old icon corner in the traditional Russian household) and that these symbols of progress shculd be so placen as to emphasize their nosition of deserved rospect. ${ }^{38}$

Until almost three years ago, there was a system of fees, payable to the Ministry of Communications, for the use of the radio and television set, Ti:e fee constituted one of the sources of the budget of the Ministry, and was intended to pay for programming costs. The size of the fee varied according to the type of receiver, and to whe place in which it was located. Thus, automob-le radio receivers in the automobile "Pobeda" ("Victory") were eubject to an annual subscription fee of 75 rubles (7.50 new rubles or about $\$ 8.33$ ); radio sets in apartments were subjəct to a subscription fee of 36 rubles (3.60 new rubles or abou'- \$4.00). The fiee for failure to pay the subscription fee was 50 rubles ( 5.00 new rubles, or $\$ 5.55$ ). The fee was io be paid within a twenty day period from the time of purchase. The failure to pay the subscription fee for a relevision set was twice as much, $r$ ten new rubles. ${ }^{39}$ Usually this fee was collected separately, sometimes at the time of purchase, but often, as in the case of Latvia, other means were employed. There the system of cullecting subscriftion fees along with apartment rents was considered justified and worked wel 1.40

In Nugust 1961 it was; announced that registration of all television and radio sets, as well as the collection of subscription fees was to cease as of Januaxy l, 1962. The conditions of the decree stated that all those who had bought a television or radio set before January 1,1959 would stop paying for the use of it as of January 1 regardless of whether it
was installed in an apartment, dormitory, or car. Those who had bought sets since January 1, 1959, would continue to pay subscription fee until after the expiration - the three-year period from the day of registration. However, for those who ceased to pay the subscription fee, there was to be a "certain additional fee" to be paid at the time of purchase, in order to cover expenses for the organization of radio and television broadcasts. ${ }^{41}$ The amount of this new fee, according to various types of radio sets is not known.

## Repair of: Radio and Television Sets

While there have been many difficulties with the repair of all electrical appliances in the Soviet Union, the situation seen:s especially serious in regard to radio and television receivers. In most cases, the term of guaranteed repair extends until six months after the date of purchase. This guarantee, however, operates under certain limitations. To begin, in many localities there are inadequate facilities for guaranteed repair, which is often carried out in s:onarate shops. Often there are no repair facilities at all within commuting distince of the set owner. There are no delivery services for repair facilities, with the exception of Moscow, Leningrad
and a few other large cities. The enterprises at which the repair is performed are paid eight rubles (about $\$ 8.90$ ) for ench job; this sum is taken into account in the original purchase price, and paid $r y$ the manufacturer to the repair shop. It is, then, actually the customer who pays for the "guaranteed" repair. In Moscow in 1962 there were 19 shops of the Teletrest Enterprises, which does repair work, and an additional 14 recejoing points. 42 For repair of radios, there were 21 repair shops and 24 receiving shops. In Leningrad there are only about eight repair shops. Given this scarcity, it is not surprising that an after hours private enterprises system of repair has grown up among those qualified to service sets. These qualified persons are, moreover, considerably fewer in number than the radio and televis on industries would care to admit. Ancording to the Ministry of Communications, of the 1,289 mechanics of the Moscow repair ateliers, 575 have special technical edica':ion, ıncludina 490 graduates of technical schools, 82 graduates of technical high schools, and three university graduates. According to these figures, only 45 per cent of those working in the shops are qualified to perform repair services on receiving sets. 43

The guaranteed repair period begins at the time of purchase. The owner formerly had to register his set and pay the subscription fee (now eliminated) at the postal Communications enterprise, then register a second time for repair at the $T V$ repair shop nearest to his house. He does this by
filling out the UTI (Accounting-Techniall Card) which has its own number. The card has information on the set, the length of guarantee, the owner, and how to get to his house. When the set owner calls for repair, he gives the number of this card so that the mechanic can bring the card along with him and record information concerning the repair.

For non-guaranteed repair, the cost is calculated from three categories: (1) for a house call a fee of froin $\$ .90$ to $\$ 4.00$ is charged, depending on distance; (2) for various services there is a charge ranging from 11 cents for a replacement of a radio tube to $\$ 7.50$ for a tune-up; (3) cost of parts ranging from 80 cents to $\$ 5.00$. The tii.e span for repair is officially up to three days for homes in area local to the shop, five days for those in general proximity, and ten days for distant locations. 44

In addition to the red tape and the low quality of repair itself, one must also mention the high incidence of sets which must be serviced each year. During 1962 in 'loscow, there were 1,116,000 applications for repair. This indicates a phenomenal rate of breakage, si.ace the total number of sets in Moscow did not exceed 1,500,000. It is also indicative of the high value which the set owners attached to the sets, and to intolerance of being without a set for a long period of time. 45 Approximately one-fifth of new television sets break befcre they leave the store in which they are being sold, and a further 60 per
cent of them break within the six month guaranter period. 46 Repairs have become so common a phenomenon that a special phrase, "pre-sale" repair, has crept into roviet jargon. The reasons for this amazing rate of breckage seem to be numerous. First, there is por quality control during production. Factory workers are frequently careless about assembly so that there occurs poor construction and alignment of front ends, coils wound with enameled wire from which the Anamel chips off, poorly constructed controls, speaker voice coils which treak aivay from the cone, fuses which fail to blow under overload resulting in burned-up power transformers, poorly constructed phono motors, and so on. An official study found that tube failure was responsible for about 31 per cent of television failures; picture tubes cause 4 per cent; selenium rectifiers cause 5 per cent; other defective components 9 per cent and defects in manufacture and as moly 18 per cent. 47

One of the reasons cited for the great number of television failures is the great number of differert models uf sets, preventing tae technician from learning thoroughly how to repair the various new types which come to the market each year. In 1961 there were reported to be over 70 models, 23 of which had been newly designed that year. These models were produced by more than fifteen factories, all of which seemed to feel, "It may be bad, but it's ours," ar the foreman of a Moscow television repair shop phrased it. In Khrushchev's November, 1962 speech to the Central Committee Plenum, he complained of this very lack of standaráizations
The forces of ceijigning of racto ame tolevision
sets are scatterid throughout the country in 17
different design offices. The manufacture of tele-
vision sets is carried out at l? cifferent factories,
and that of r-dios at 32 factories. These factories,
situated in diferent cities, put out radio and tele-
vision sets of the same class, but of different design
and dimensions. There are 12 makes of television sets
of the same class, and 47 makes of radios and radio-
phonographs on the production lines this year. This
is very often a kind of window dressing. lictually,
many radio and television sets differ only in size and
color: one will be called a "Belaruss," che other a
"Kiev." This totally unjustified profusion of types
hinders the organization of mass production of tele-
vision and radio sets, holding back the application of
highly productive, mechanized equipment, and blocks the
reduction of production costs and the improvenent of
quality. It is not surprising that theproductior cost
of the same type of set varies widely.

Other problems include distribution and lack of necessary parts. In Stalingrad, a complaint in the year 1960 was that a great number of "Rodina-52" sets were on the market. These sets, it was complained, were poorly designed, awkward, and expensive. On the other hand, the "Minsk-T" set, which 'ns better and cheaper and in great demand, was scarce in that arci. favNoTIN in the same year reported that of some parts there was available only Si per cent of the number needed; of others there was only 80 per cent. This shortage is partially due to the fact that as soon as a set ceases to be manufactured, prodiction of its parts also ceases. This seems to have been the case with the "Rubin-l.02 set. One-fourth of this model needed repair before they left $t_{1}$. stores in which they were being sold. Over one-half needed repair during the six month guarantee time. When the set was removed from production in $196 i$ its parts also ceased to be produced; for oun. of the set who had been having repeated troubles with the set, this was the straw that broke the camel's back.

ITI. The Administration of Soviet Padin and Television

Structural Apparatus of the Broadcasting Administration

Until 1957, broadcasting in the Soviet Union was under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Culture; at that time, a resolution of the USSR Council of Ministers announced the formation of the organization which now holds primary responsibility for the administration of radio and television. This body, the State Committee on Radio and Television of the Council of Ministers, has corresponding bodies which act as its representative in the lower administrative levels, such as union republics, autonenous republics, oblasts and krais. These lower bodies are generally called either editorial boards of radio and television, or committees of radio and television. Under these editorial boards or committees, there are usually departments of lower broadcasting. Rather than actuaily preparing the broadcasts themseives, these departments contain main inspectors, senior reviewers and reviewers who check on the work of the local broadcasting committees under them. Their function is to act as control agenis for the content of local broadcasting, such as in a diffusion exchange, and to "help eliminate errore," 49

The State Committee for Radio and Television of the USSR Council of Ministers is headed by a chairman, now Nikolai N. Mesyatsev. Mesyatsev, a former Komsomol official, has had primarily a political background with a great deal of experience in organizational wo.k. ${ }^{50}$ As Chairman of this
committee, he outranks Gromyko on the Councı of Ministers. He is aided by one deputy chairman, who is simultaneously the chief (nachalnik) of the Main Administration for Radiobroadcasting, or "Certral Broadcasting." The Commit (more accurately called a Bureau in Western terms) includes: the Main Editorial Board for Radiobroadcasting; the Main Editorial Board for Televisiong the Technical administration, with its various subdivisions; the departments; a creative apparatus which prepares materials for programs; and a body which handles the technological problems of sound recording. Broadcasting plants themselves, includııj studios, television centers, am,lifiers, and lines for broadcasting, are under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Communications.

Central broadcasting is headed by a chief, as mentioned above. He unifies twelve main editorial boards, which are individually headed by editors-in-cnief. These editorial boards perform the following functions, according to their specific designations:

Science and Technology--prepares programs on science and a radio-journal, called "Science and Technology" (Nauka i tekhnika").

Industrial Broadcasts--organizes materials on economics, the orqanization of labor and industry, illustrates the "progressive spirit of Socialist labor" by use of exemplary figures who have distinguished themselves as workers in industry. Agricultural broadcasts--performs the above functions for
the agrıcultural sector, and publishes a radio-journal called "News of Agriculture."

International iife--arranges programs on life in other countries; usualiy the programs deal with Asia, Africa, and socialist countries.

Youth--prepares programs on the Communist upbringing of young people; the Young Communist League (Komsomol) activities; and on youth in general.

Moscow--prepares programs which are primarily of interest to local inhabitants of urban and suburban Moscow; prepares "Moscow News."

Moscow Oblast--the same function for the larger oblast area, including preparation of "Moscow Oblast News."

Musical Broadcasting--this being one of the largest editorial boards, it is divided into the following sections: symphonic music; operatic music; chamber music; musicaleducational broadcasts; "mass genres," music of the peoples of the USSR, of fnreign countries, and of national (meaning Russian) music.

Children and young--divided into: school life; literary broadcasts for older children; literary broadcasts for younger children, scientific broadcasts for children; musical broadcasts for children; and broadcasts for parents. It also publishes the magazine "Pioneer Dawn."

Besides the main editorial boards, Central Broadcasting also contains the following departments: Letrers; Scientificmethodological work; Control of Broadcasts; Publications;

Correspondent network; Information; Phono-grams (records); the Musical and Literary Libraries; and the announcers' aroup. The department of the correspondent network essentiaily guides the work of the orrespondents located at different points around the country. There are major offices, called "correspondent points," in centers of administrative regions; in 1961, there were thirteen of these, some of which were located in $/$-ingrad, Kiev, Baku, Tbilisi, Sverdlovsk, and Ryazan. The re also in 1961, some forty-four other major correspondents stationed at different places around the country. 51

The structure of an urban committee on radio and television can be seen from the following diagrams. Diagram II.A. is the exemplary committee of Leningrad. Since the Moscow television studio differs a good deal from the urban television studio which the Leningrad one typifies, a diagram of the Moscow studio structure has been included as well.

## Functions of the Administration

Since radio and television programs on all levels depend a great deal, in terms of thematics and contert, on the central administration, its functioning on these various levels is necessarily closely coordinated. Editorial boards and committees on radio and television make up their thematic plans for radio for a month in advance, in order to ensure the compatibility of themes chosen with currents in programming
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policy. Edıtorial toards of youth and of literary-dramatic subiects sometimes plan their: schedules three, or even six, monzis in advance. From the main points included in the long-range plans, the editorial board makes up more detailed weekly plans, indicating the langth of broadcasts, authors, and so on. These plans are then passed on to the editorial board of programs of the State Committee, where they are unified. These "Radio-programny" are then printed and distributed by Soyuzpechat, the newspaper distribution agency. This is done once a week, usually on Saturdays.

Local committees carry out their own daily broadcast schedule, caking irito account the program of central Broadcasting. They choose the time when their programs will least conflict with the important programs from Central. If Central begins to broadcast some imporiant event or announcement, the local committee may have to interrupt its program, or record the announcement to be broadcast later. In rural regions and separate factories, local broadcasts are also organized to be broadcast over the diffusion exchange. The broadcast is carried out by two or three collaborators, for about thirty minutes at a time, about three times a week. The inspector of local broadcasting controls the broadcasts over the diffusion exchange.

Unlike radio, television relies a great deal on local materials for broadcasts, which are supplemented from the Moscow central program. In the department of local broadcasting in Moscow, there is a group which collucts film
materials from Central Television; the materials are then sfint to the local networks to be broadcast. This situation particulaxly applies to an event such as the party Congress, when films taken at the Congress are issued in special "film journals" to be broadc.st over Eccal television stations throughout the Soviet Union.

Pasty control over the administration of radio and teleyision takes the usual forms which it takes in other areas of Soviet life. The most evident means is the Party directive, which evaluates and criticizes activity of the broadcasting administration, and outlines measures for improve= ment. Lese noticeable to an outsider, but hardly any more subtle, is the placing of Party members in high positions in the administration. Third, the Party also manages to maintain a certain number of its memoers in the jniver levels of the apparatus, so that coordination is maintained within the Party control. fihe main bodies as well as local kedies of the administration are under the supervision of regional and local party committees, and, particularly, of party and State Control agencies, which maintain Party discipline.

```
IV. Programs ard Hours of Broadcasting
```

Radio $^{52}$

In volume of broadcasting time, Central Broadcasting in Moscow holds first place in the country. As core of the broadcasting zystem, it prepares broadcasts which form the basis, if not lre overwhelming ${ }^{2}$, ik, of broadcasting schedules in localities. The proportion of Central to local broadcasting in a local broadcasting schedule varies, but in general is greater in the Europe $=n$ part of the USSP. Times of local broadcasting are given in Table III.C.;* although this table was compiled from information gathered before the change in orogramming in October, 1962, it may help $\pm 0$ Jain som idea of the varying proportions of local broadcasting throughout the republics. Central Broadcasting generally organizes its broadcasts in consideration of the time differences throughout the country. For example, in the Far East, Moscow's evening programs would not normally be received, since they fall there during late night hours. To account for this, special broansasts are made fo the Far East, and in the revised programming schedule the Fourth Program, which broadcasts to this region, oper tes around the clock, having increasec its broadcast time per week from 35 hours to 168 hours, or by 133 hours per week. The control

[^1]figures for the recent Seven-Year Plan, to end in 1965, planned 81 hours of broadcasting fer Central Radio (in 24 hours), and 778 for the entire country.

The above mentioned change in programming was first announced in a decree of the Central Committee of June 6, 1962: it was not publisized openly until it appeared in the latest edition of the Party Workers' Yandbook (Spravochnik Partiinovo Rabotnika, fourth edition, 1963). In addition to outlining some of the achievements and shortcomings CE Soviet radio, it included a significant increase in the amount of broadcast time of the four Moscow radio programs. Probably the primary reason which caused the Soviet government to increase its broadcast time, at the same time providing more topical information and foreign news, was competition with non-joviet stations. The growth of wave sets in the Soviet Union has already been underlined. With the adaption of the wired set to a system of multi-programming, the natural means of competition with the Western wave stations is to improve domestic broadcasting. This has the aim of luring Soviets with wave sets away from the non-Soviet stations, as well as increasing the satisfaction of those who do not own wave sets by giving them a choice of several interesting domestic stations. The admission that this was the prime motivation for the changes is included in the decree:

In the interests of improving the country's radio broadcasting and of active counteraction against hostile radio propaganda, the State Committee of the USSR Council of Ministers for Radiobroadcasting and Television, the Central

Committees of the Communist varties of the Union Republics, the Kraikoms and Obkoms of the CPSU are obliged to assure strict coordination and dovetioiling in the work of the central, republican, krai, and oblast broadcasting, so as to improve the ubiquitous reception of radio broadcasts from Moscow.

Thus, better programming is to replace jamming of Western broadcasts as the major means of combatting "hostile radio propaganda."

## Television

As we have mentioned before, the programming of local television is not so dependent in content and thematics on the central television broadcasting apparatus as is the care with radiobroadcasting. The Central Television Studio is, however, the core of the Soviet television networi, both administratively and technically, and, as such, is the most important example of programming pro dure. The average daily volume of televjsion broadcast: 9 , as published by the Committee on Radio and Television, is as follows:
195519591965 (plan:

Total USSR 15.4 hours

158 hours 510 ncirs

Central TV Studio
4.4 hours

8 hours 25 hears The decreasing proportion of Central Studio's broadcasting time is evidence of the rapid growth of the television net work as stations were built throughout tho country as a whole. The 25 hours planned for 1965 as a daily volume of broadcasting is yet a meter for consideration, but a goal that may well be reached, especially with the addition of a th! rd program of broadcasting in Moscow in the fall of 1963. According to a study by Richard Tuber, published in the Fall, 1960 issue of Journal of Broadcasting ("A Study of Programming on the Central Studios of Television, Moscow, USSR, January-June, 1960"), 54 the average broadcast time per week for the First Program was 34 hours, and that for the Second, 19 hours, totalling 53 hours per week. Wjth an increase in broadcasting time offered by the new Third program, -57-
plus the planned Nationai Television Cencer in Moscow, an expansion to 25 hours per day does not seem an unrealistic goal by any means. In 1960 , the First Program reportedly broadsast for an hour during the middle of the fay (usually 11 a.m. -12 noon), and from about 6 p.in. to 11 p.il. The Second Program, intended primarily for the Moscow area and its immediate environs, began its broadcasting onywhere from five hours prior to, or two hours after, the beginning of the daily schedule of the First ${ }^{\text {rogram; broadcasting ended }}$ for the Second Program at $11 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}$, as it did for th3 First Program. A report of May, 1963, indicated that the broadcasting schedule at that time had not changed significantly from the 1960 schedule described in the 1960 article. A daily hour-long show was shown at noon, and programming took place from about five to eleven p.m. each evening. S'iñays' prograr: ran from noon to midnight without interruption. If is expected that the Third Program, however, will significantly change this programming schedule, although no systematic report has been made at this time.

We have little information on the programming schedules of local broadcasting stations. An examination of Table I.G. ("Television Studios in Operation in the USSF") will give us, however, some idea of the number of channels, days per week on the air, total amoint of time on the air, and the amount of studio and on-the-spot broadcasts. This information, however, has been somewnat outdated by the rapid growth of the television network, and the expansion $n$ e sroadcasting
hours hy studios already in operation.
As for the content of 'rroadcasts and proportion of various topics on which programs are broadcast Table III.E. should give us some idea. Again, it should be pointed nut that this table was constructed three years ago, and is probabiy somewhat outdated at present. It is nct expected, however, that the time devot . to certain topics has been drastically altered by the addition of more programs or stations on the network. This table, then, is probably more representative of the present proportions than of actual hroadcast time on the air.

Educational Television in the USSR

Mikhail Kharlamov, the forner Chairman of the State Comittee on Radio and Television, USSR, me la the following remarks on the future of educational television in the Scviet unions
he recognize clearly the enormous potential of radio and television for education. We are beginning to use both of these media for teaching science and medicine. Soon we shall have the finest lecturers, and newest scientific experiments will be discussed and described over the air. These incomparable media must not be just a waste of time. They must be intellectually stimulating, vital, full of ideas. We are planning to launch special education courses for the broadest possible audiences. We shall utilize these media to educate our people, to raise their esthetic tastes, and to help make them more fully developed human beings. 55

Tha apparentl: insatiable thirst of the Soviet people for education has been noted by many persons studying and traveling in the Soviet Union. This fervor has grown remarkably
in the last few years, as has American feeling for education, since the launching of Sputnik $I$.

Since the building of the new television center in Moscow, and the subsequent attempts at re-vitalizing the television network, the desire to develop the field of educational television has refiected this striving toward higher learning. Formex Chairman Kharlamov is quoted as having said that one channel would be set aside for the visual. support of corresponaience courses. These courses, unlike their American equiv= alents, usually carry formal credit toward a specific degree at an institution of education. Kharlamov sonsiders this form of education, via television, as one of the perfect metnods of solving the problem of educating the adult in higiner skills and learning. "These courses," he says, "offer an incomparable opportunity. We are going to develop them energetically with radio and television." 56 Certainly tho professed Soviet purpose of using television and radio for fulfilling the "needs" of the population is conducive to the large-scale develcoment of educational television as is the ever-increasing emphasis on education in general in the Soviet society.

Quite a few specific courses have been developed for television to date, Most of the early lessons have included English or some home, factory, or agricultural skill. Pecently some 52.000 farmers in regions surrounding Moscow were members of a television correspondence course in scientific agronomy. Students were divi.ded 'nto small, manageable groups
and tendance was taken by a special monitoring system. Probably this course was one consequence of the pet Khrushchavian preoccupation with agriculture.

The first exclusively educational television program (-iannel) in the Soviet Union was instituted on September 8, 1964. Broadcasts are three times a week, including Tuesdays and Fridays, the total number of hours per week being 10 hours, with an average of more than three hours daily. Three types of educational programs are broadcast. The first is ir connection with the Nurth-West Technical Correspondence Institure. Subjects taught include higher mathematics, general chemistry, and physics, and other subjects taught at tine VUZ (higher Educational Institute) level. For this type of broadcast, sets are located in large institutes and factories so that workers in these places can improve their knowledge by this system. No diplomas are being awarded the first year, which is yet in progress, but may be in the future, when correspondence education will be closely combined with televi ec courses as it is in the United States.

The second type of program includes visual lectures for students in VUZes. Sets will be located in classrooms of these institutions. The third type is supplementary adialt education, and "brush-up" courses. All teachers on the channei are to be professors and Doctors of Technical Scinnce. Equipment for laboratory experiments is iocated either in the lab or in the studio, depenting on the comref. Tisere if ad indi.. vasual studis at the television center for this chamel.

The most used television set for educational TV is the SIGIAL, which can be seen by about 20 persons. For larger audiences, a projection tyre set, such as the MnsKVA is used. 57 Radio and Television in 「nepropetrovsk, Ukrainian SSR

The present paper does not purport to make an exhaustive analysis of the content of programs on radio and television. In order to gain some idea, therefore, of a typical region and the kind of programming it enjoys, we might take the example of Dnepropetrovsk in the Ukrainian SSR, as a non-urban area situated in a location fairly distent from Moscow. Since we already have a typical collective farm near Dnepropetrovsk isee page 18) we can see from our program sample what sort of piugrams the collective farmers are likely to have available for their entertainment and edification.

The Dnepropetrovsk television station has been broadcasting six times a week since 1 $^{n 58}$. Most programs are broadcast in the evenings, and include a great many programs which are industrial or agricultural in content; exemplary programs might be: "Through Heroic Labor We Shall Execute the Grandiose Communist Assignment," "Fulfill the Seven-Year Plan Ahead of Schedule," "Lectures for Corn Growers," "The Television University of Culture," "Folk Creativity," "Soviet Woman." Once a month the studio presents a television newsreel called "News of Dnepropetrovsk Area." Twice a week the studio, in collaboration with the Bureau of Technical Information of the Sovnarkhoz, produces a program entitled "For Technical

Progress." On Tuesdays and Thursdays, daytime programs can be seen. ${ }^{58}$ These days are probably chosen as representing the major free days from work; in the Soviel. Union, especially in provincial and farming areas, free days do not necessarily fall on weekends.

Radio programs in the Ukraine are broadcast il. Ukrainian and Russian. The Dnepropetrovsk station broadcasts for the most part the republican radio programs, of which there are two, and adds aboit three hours of local broadcasting per day. Radio programs, too, are heavily saturated with broadcasts which inspire workers on to greater feats of labor, or otherwise are intended to instill Communist values in the citizens of the region. The instruc, i.ve function of Soviet television is reflected in such programs as: "Remember These Figures, Comrades," "The Hundredtn Day of the Seven-Year Plan" (occupying an entire 'roadcast day:), and a special series salled "Evenings of the Ukrainian Radio." These evenings are designed to draw together workers of the same profession for discussion; after the last Party Congress, twenty-five such progrars were held, including evenings for chemists, corn growers, transportation workers, and so on. Two regular prograns, entitled "The Tribune of the Innovators of the Seven-Year Plan," and "People of Creative Labor," glorify persons who have been outstanding in their fields. On these programs, the "progressive ieaders" themselves speak, and often sketches 0 . their lives and work are given. In cooperation with the Ministry of Higher Education of the Ukraine,
a series of programs was instituted for the study of the history $0:$ the CPSU, political economics, dialectical and historical materialism, and subjects which are intended to be basic knowledge for the Soviet citizrn. Children's proara.s include round-table discussions on education, and features such as "With Our Orm Hands," a program telling children how they can help t e grown-ups to fulfill the Seven-Year Plan, Even literary, dramatic, and musical programs reflect the educative and propagandistic, as opposed to entertainment, oxientation of Soviet broadcasting. A program entitle: "Labor is Glori uus on Kolkhoz Soil" turns out to be a progran sf music consisting of works from the composers cf the fifteen Soviet republ_cs. Other tities of musical programa include: "Homeland, Party, and Lenin--in the Creativity of Ukrainian Composers," and "Musical Evenings for Brigades of Communist Labor." Many programs aiso are planned on the basis of letters received from listeners. On March 22, 1959, an entire broadcast day was devoted to letters from radio listeners, in whish every phase of the normal program schedule was arranged in terms of listeners' letters, incluaing the "Latest News."

## Recording of Broadcasts

Since the beginning of radio, sound recordings have constituted a large part of the broadcasting schedule. These recordings are usually made by the technical department or sector of the editorial board of committee on radio and television. The basic method now used, which was instituted in

1945 (although improvements have deen made in the system), is the electro-magnetic tape. All main editorial boards and committees on radio and television have repositories, called "phonoteki," ir. which old gramophone records and tapes are stored. It was estimated that 70 per cent of all programs broadcast in 1960 were broadcast from pre-recorded tapes. 59

The State House of Radisbroadcasting and Sound Recording (Gosudarstvenniy Dom Radioveshchania i zvukopisi) was instituted in 1950 for the purpose of providing Soviet radio with the technical supplies for tape recording of broadcasts, and to make for it archive and operational recordings. It also makes tape recordincs of Central Broadcasting's programs to be sent to local radio stations. As of 1960 , the GDRZ had four music recording studios, three studios for making literary-dramatic recordings, ten speecn studios, and a. .ht broadcasting studios. It also had three concert and fifteen mobile recording units. The library of the GDRZ has a library of recordings of over 65,000 works, constituting over 9,000 hours of broadcasting.

The All-Union Scientıfic Research Sound Recording Institute, which is under the jurisdiction of the State Committee for Radio and Television, is another sector of the sound recording apparatus of Soviet radio. Its purpose seems to be the development of up-to-date equipment for recording and broadcasting. Its purpose, as stated by the former nead of the Committee, is as follows:
...a comprehensive solution of technical problems nertaining to all typea of sound recording nn: what reproducing eguimment, as well as the elaboration of theoretical problems associated with the further devslopr nt of sound recording and its application in radio and television. 60

On the staff of the Institute is a small grupp of specialists doing research on electrical musical instruments. Work is also being done on video recording tape.

It has beer repeatedly suggested that all television broadcasts as well as radiobroadcasts be pre-recorded, in order to ensure records of all broadcasts and higher quality of performance. The first Soviet models of video sape equipment were field tested at Centrai Teievisicn from 1961 to July, 1963.61 In order to house equipment, and provide a center for work with video tape, a video recording room was constructed at Mos row Television Center. In the 30-foot square room, two units are installed, staffed by a supervisor, two video tape technicians, and two operators.

The video tape process in Soviet television has thens far been used mostly for rehearsal, for reporting space flights, and for transmitting important political events. Video tape equipment was used to record the speeches of Khrushchev at the World Disarmament Congress on January 16,1963 , at the Conference of the United Socialist Party of Germany, and on various other occasions. During the period November, 1962March, 1963, some 100 hours of recorded programs were produced on video tape, of which only about 20 hours were broadcast. The time lelay from between the recording and broadcasting is usually ajont eight hours. It seems clear that
the prime inportance of videc tape is considered that it can be quickly processed, monitored, and broadcast.

The use of video tape recorders reduces the preparation of the programmes according to plan. It may be expected that in future the process of the preparation of programmes in television will be analogous tg, that currently applied in sound broadcastinq.

It is not expected, of course, that video tape will replace film recording, since video tape is not suitable for longterm preservation, thus not desirable for operas and other events which are to be preserved.

Although the technical level of Soviet video tape machines is rapidly approaching that of American counterpart, it has the shortcoming of not being able to play tapes recorded on another machine. Thus, rerording must be produced and played back on one machine.

The International Organization of Radiobroadcasting and Tolevision was organized at Brussels in 1946. Among its founding members were the "People's Democracies," the USSR, fourteen West European nations. In 1949, the radiobroadcasting organizations of the West European countries withdrew, creating their own organization, the European Union of Broadcasting, and leaving OIRT as ine main coordinating body for: Soviet and other communist broadcasting. The center for OIRT is in Prague, where the technical center for the organization is situated. OIRT constituted the sponsoring organizaticn for the creation of "Intervedeniye" or Intervision, which is the central network, connecting the television broadcasting systems of the major socialist countries. Intervision first included Poland, Czecioslovakia, and Hungary. In mid 1961 it was joined by the Soviet Union, and in 1963, by Rumaria and Bulgaria.

In the permanent framework of Intervision are the Intervision Progranme Coordination Center, and the Intervision Techical Coordination Center, both of which are located in Prague, the technical center for OIRT. The regular pianning of the international program exchanges runnir.g in the fraine of Intervision began in the year 1960. Only the program coordination was planned at that time; after the working out of the Intervision regulations, in which the system of technical planning was fixed, systematic work on technical planring and the actual management of Intervision transmission
began fxom September $l_{f}$ 1960. The main provisions for the pianning and preparation of program exchange ard for transmission of broadcasts are included in the document "Intervision Regulations," the content of wh三ch was surmarized in an article of OIRT's journal Radio and Television, (Prague) in 1960. 63 The technical planning ard coordinaticn of Intervision programs is effected by the Intervision Technical Cocrdination Center (TKCI). An international four-wire system serves to connect the rKCI with organizations participating in transmissions. A summary of the technical history of Intervision may be found in the OIRT journal Radio and Television, No. 2, 1963. 64 The growth of the Intervision network can be seen from the foll wing two maps from that article. Note that Bulgaria and Rumania, who have recently joined the Intervision network, are not yet included on the map.

The Intervision network links the 224 television stations in the East European socialist countries (Yugoslavia and Albania excepted, of course) and the Soviet Union. Accoraing to an article by $T$. Kureka in Polytika (Warsaw weekly), of March 23, 1963, there were then approximately 100 million viewers. The number of sets and stations was as follows: 65

| USSR | 173 | $7,000,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| FOland | 16 | $1,000,000$ |
| GDD | 10 | $2,000,000$ |
| Czechoslovakia | 11 | $1,300,000$ |
| Hungary | 8 | 143,000 |
| Rumania | 5 | 68,000 |
| Bulgaria | 1 | 8,900 |

The USSR is the only country with two programs on the network, one transmitted from the Central Studio in Moscow, and one in part from the republic studios. For the most part, the East European nations have only one centralized program. The cable linking stations of Intervision runs through Kiev, Moscow, Leningrad, Tallin, Kaliningrad, Gdansk, Warsaw, K?towice, Berlin, Prague, Bratislava, Budapest, Bucharest, and Sofia. New lines linking Kiev and Warsaw, and Warsaw and Berlin, have recently been completed.

The above-mentioned article in Polytika notes that cooperation and program exchanges are still at a relatively low level. Bezause of poor organization of information and correspondence, there has recently been a cutback in the exchange program. To overcome this, special agencies were set up; 500-600 exchanges were planned for this year, of which the Polish network will broadcast about 36 . In the course of Intervision's first three years of operation, a total of 1445 rroadcasts were transmitted, totalling 1922 hours. Most broadcasts are either political in content, or
consist of sports evenes or concerts. The first broadcast was a four hour program from Moscow on Yurii rerg in. Two weeks later, C. May Day, 1961, the military parade and demonstration in Red Square was televised. From that time until December, 1962, the Soviet Union arranged 137 broadcasts abroad, and received 63 foreign ones. Soviet broadcasts have included the opening and liary of the $22 n d$ Congress of the CPSU, a telecast from the editorial office of PRAVDA on its 50th anniversary, and celebrations of Soviet victories in outer space. Other broadcasts have included such subjects as the Prague Wcrld Championships in gymnastics, the Peace Cycle Race from the German Democratic Republic through Czechoslovakia anc Poland to the USS', the figure skating championship in Prague, and the football matches in Budapest and Stockholm. 66


Source: " Experience in the Technical Operation of the Intervision Network", Radio and Television, (OIRT, Prague) \#2, 1963, p. 28.


Source: "Experience in the Technical Operation of the Intervision Tietwork" Radio and Television, \#2, 1963, p. 29 . (OIRT, Prague)

## Size of the Audience

ıdio
The actual size of the Soviet radio audience is difficult to estimate. The Chairman of the State Commitcee on Radio and Television, USSR, mentioned the fiđure of $150,000,000$ out of a total joviet population of about 224 million in 1963. Given the high incidence of collective listening in the Soviet Un $\because$ on, a tradition which has long had both zolitical and economic foundation, it is difficult to "now whether or not this is an accurate estimate of the number of persons who have access to a radio receiver. On the basis of the 1959 cen'us projections, we have made estimates of the number of persons per wired set in 1961 (the last year for which we have complete figures for all the 15 republics) and per wave set in 1962. These estimates, of course, are nothing more than the proportion of existing sets to the population, and show us little about the actual access which people have to the sets.

Television
Various estimates of the television audience have also been made. According to various figures mentioned at different times by former Chairman of the Commi.ttee on Radio and Television, Kharlamov, the audience in 1959 numbered abou. 1,500,000; by 1963, the number had jumped to $35-40$ million. In 1965 it is estimated that tinere are $12,000,000$ television
sets and anuut $50,000,000$ persons watching them. ${ }^{67}$ As we have pointed out earlier, however, the distribution of this audience is very uneven, both in regard to European-Asiatic regions, and to rural-urban populations. Since we do not have constant figures for television sets in all of the republics, we cannct estimate the number of persons per set. Given the number of television sets for large audiences in rest homes and auditoriums, these figures would be difficult to estimate, even if we did have the proper corresponding figures for sets and population.

## Nature of the Audience

Witn the rapid radiofication of rural areas in the Soviet Union, and the increase in the profurtionate number of wave sets; the conomic necessity for collective listening is slowly being eliminated. The suggestion is not that collective listening is a vanishing phenomenon. It has long beer. recognized as an effective political instrument of the Sovie: reqime in combination with group agitation, and its existence in this context is fairly well assured for a long time to come. Due to the elimination of collective listening for econoric reasons, he ever, its practice has declined, and will, no doubt, co.rtinue to decline in the future.

As far as wired sets are concerned, orllective listening is becoming unnecessary from an economic point of view. The trend in farming in recent years has been toward amalgamation and enlargement of collective farms, making use of
radio-lnudspeakers for intra-farm communication almost a necessity. Most households on such farms, therefore, will in all probability have radio-loudspeakers. Whatever collective listening remains will probably take place around the wave set. This, of course, has obvious political implications, for it is by means of these wave sets that many individuals listen to non-Soviet, Western broadcasts. Needless tu siy, collective listening is not the ideal setting for such activity. We have, then, on the one hand, the necessity for collective listering on the wave set, and, on the other, the tendency to avoic it for political reasons, when listening to foreign broadcasts. Given these trends, we may summarize the state of collective listening as assured of an existence for the time being, but no longer as a feature of unique and central importance in the Soviet broadcasting system.

Prices of radio and television sets have reportedly declined in the past few years. The indications are, however, that price itself has nct been a significant factor (at least within the last 20 years) in limiting the access of the population to these media.* Inhibitions are rather those of distribution of sets and parts; to say nothing of problems with equipping broadcasting stations and radio diffusion exchanges.

[^2]Since Soviet broadcasting media are designed primarily to serve the "needs" of the population in terms of political information and cultural education, one should expect to find some contradictions between the desires of the population and the programming policies of the reqime. We have already noted that many of the programs broadcast, especially in rural/industrial legions distant from Moscow, are directed toward increasing the political consciousness of the populace and mobilizing popular support for the fulfillment of plans. Frequently this programming meets with disapproval on the part of non-industrial, non-agricultural segments of the population. While an increasing number of programs appear to be provided for these other strata, it is doubtful whether the needs of the "masses" will ever cease to be the prime consideration in Soviet broadcasting, any more than popular culture in America will cease to be the overwhelming dictate of commercial television.

## rucisnce Feed ack and Listening Behavior

Oux krowledge of the communications behaviour of the Soviet audience comes from two main sources--discussions of direct methods of feedback to the broad_asting system itself, and free time budget studics currently being carried out by Soviet social scientists. Direct methods of audience feedback include: (1) letters from listeners to the broadcasting systems or organs thereof: (2) conferences of radio listeners with radic broadcasting personnel; (3) visits to
homes or collective points ky radio and television personnel; (4) telephone calls to radio stations and television tudios by members of the audience; (5) questionnaires; (6) some program pre-testing.

The first method of feedback, letters from the audience, is the prime suarce of knowledge of reaction to broadcasting policy. This may be true both because it takes the least solicitive effcrt on the part of the broadcasting apparatus, and because it is somewhat informal, and in keeping with the established modes of the Soviet institution of "criticism and self-c-iticism." It is equated with the letter to the editor, or to the locai Earty or government body. These letters may de made to order, or simply selected for favorable or unfavurable comment, depending upon the campaign for any given time. The average daily mail of the Moscow television studio has been estirated at about 10,000 letters per day. 68 The All-Union Radio's mail has been estimated for the following years:

| $1949--246,210$ letters | $1954--303,000$ letters |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1950--202,796$ | $1955--390,700$ |
| $1951--194,063$ | $1956--339,762$ |
| $1952--222,057$ | $1957--350,973$ |
| $1953--256,625$ | $1958--403,000$ |

The greatest number of letters is reported to have been received by the editorial offices in charge of musical broadcasting and of programs for children and young persons. 69 Many programs are based on the letters received from listeners,

Sich as "We Get Letters," "Replies to Letters Over the Air," anc" "A Survey of Letters from Listeners." Cften the letters received are read ans answered over the air. One instance is recorded:

> The editorial office of the "Latest News" also frequently airs interesting letters and reports it receives from listeners. After the editorial office addressed itself to listeners with a request to write their friends... it received over two thousanri letters. Every day for four months those interesting and disturbing letters were read over the aiz. 70

It should be emphasized that most of the letters which are solicited from listeners do not deal with listeners' attitudes :oward the programming. They may be requests for the solution of personal problems, complaints about local facilities, or some cther topic. One example of such a letter is:
...a radio listener wrote in, saying that there is neither electric power nor radios in the NovoIslambul village. His letter was referred to the secretary of the Krivosheinsky Rayon Committee CPSU of the Tomskaya Oblast. Some time later a reply was received. Secretary of the rayon committee reported that the Novo-Isambul village now had radios and thai the construction of ar electric power plant has ixen started there. 71

Sore letters, unsolicited or not, do appear to deal with the faults of radio and television. One such letter deals
with a seєmingly characteristic feature of Soviet life:
Some complaints are treated with complete indifference by officials of the Tarusa City Executive Committee, although the complainants are well known people...

What do the complainants ask? They want the louds aker that is installed at the Tarusa Comm .cations office to stop making noise. This loudspeaker, which works almost 24 hours a day, is so powerful that it can be heard
anywhere within a radius of five kilometres. The radio porsecutes the citizen at every step; $i$, invades the institutions, schools, and apartments. Incidentally, there are plug-in sets or radio receivers in all these buildings.

The complaints are placed in a folder, and the officials of the city executive committee say: "True, it is noisy. But the loudspeaker is operating according to instructions." 72

Other letters deal with the content of progranming:
The work day is over. On this winter evening Soviet people are using various forms of relaxation. Some go to the motion pictures, theatres or clubs, others rush to the skating rinks in the stadium and still others stay at iome, in the circle of their families. Here the radio becomes immensely important.

How does the radio help us to relax?
Very interesting materials are broadcast from 7 to 10 p.m. For example, on February 3 we heard a concise talk on the creative work of V.G. Belinsky and a concert of interesting works.

Unfortunately, the broadcasts of certain locai radio are by no means always satisfactory. The Kursk province broadcast takes a whole hour in the evening, but during this time we hear almost the same thing, over and over. For instance, on February 4, they broadcast for the nth time a long talk on the preparation of millet seed for spring sowing. Then came an article, again on an agricultural subject, written in stiff language. Next came material on how sugar beets and millets are grown at the Russia Collective Farm.

Often the broadcasts of Mosccin radio also consist of long, dull, articles. Included in those drab materials are reports from Moscow Polytechnic Musium about the exhibition of students' works.

We all like radio very much. We ask the editors to show some love also in preparing materials for us radio listeners. 73

It seems there is some objection to the educative and motivating orientation of the programming of Soviet radio! Comments on specific bad television programs, we are told, often take the form of telephone calls, usually before the program is over. Comments on good programs usually come in letters. Questionnaires administered to listeners seem to be one of the most rare forms of audience feedback, and usially are limited to radio. Several directors of youth programs have sent out questionnaires asking for comments on the future broadcasts listed. Another instance of the use of this method was a follow-up of a conference of listeners and broadcasting personnel, in which the questionnarie was designed to elicit responses from the audience as to which programs the listeners wished the diffusion exchange to carry. 74

Little mention has been made in recent Soviet periodicals concerning the listeners conferences and visits to subscribers' homes and collective listening points. This may be due to the decline of collective listening. We also have scanty information on the pre-testing of programs. Letters, in addition to being answered on the air, are sometimes answered by mail, and provide a private means of contact between the audience and the brcadcasting personnel.
our second source, that of the time budgets of workers, studies which have been carried out by Soviet social scientists, yield a great deal of information on the time spent wat.ching television, and/or listening to radio. These studies have become increasingly related to the reduction of the
working day to seven hours in some areas of the country. The effects of this increased lcisure time on media behavior have been indicated in some of the studies. In data obtained at the Moscow Tire Factory, for example, the number of workers . 10 daily watched TV or listened to the radio increased by 20 per cent. ${ }^{75}$ In this study, it was also revealed that 1.9 times as many men watch television or listen to the radio as do women in that factory.

A second study, ${ }^{75}$ carried out in 1961 in the city of Stalinsk studied the non-working time of workers of three industrial concerns. Five hundred and sixty-eight persons were interviewed. In examining the types of leisure time activities preferred, it was indicated that older persons tended to enioy the more passive forms of recreation, such as listeniny to the radio or watching television. No proportions as to age were given, however. The study yielded the following information about radio listening: 77

| Weekday | Total Free Time | $\frac{\text { Time Spent }}{\text { to Radio }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | $3 \mathrm{hrs}, 55 \mathrm{~min}$. | -- |
| Men | $7 \mathrm{hrs}$,14 min . | 15 min . |
| Free Day |  |  |
| Women | 11 hrs, 28 min . | 8 min . |
| Men | $12 \mathrm{hrs}$,47 min . | 42 min . |
| Ano | udy ${ }^{78}$ examined | working time of the | engineering-technical staff of the Kuznets Metallurgical Factory. These employees spent the following amounts of

time listening to the radic: ${ }^{7 y}$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Numher of } \\ & \text { Budgets Examined } \end{aligned}$ |  | nmount of Time <br> Listening to Radio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday | 519 | 34.98 of $5 \mathrm{hrs}$.17 min . | 1 hr .49 min . |
| Free day | 109 | 32.38 of $3 \mathrm{hrs}$.i 9 min . | 1 hr .14 min |

The group of budgets examined for the two types of day; has not influenced the amount of time spent listening in proportion to the amount of free time. Recause the average amount of free time is much lower in the second group, even though it is for a free day, it would appear that workers actually spent less time listening to the radio on free days; this is probably not the case.

One of the most interesting studies, ${ }^{80}$ je only because if deals with a traditionally neglected seqment of Soviet society, the rural sector, is one which was carried out in April. of 1960. A group of personnel from the Scientific Research Institute of Labor investigated the time budgets of two collective farms in Kherson Oblast in the Ukrainian SSR (namer "Kirn"" $n \mathrm{ni}^{2}$ "Novaya $2 h i z n "$ or "!ew Life") and
 "Homeland," and "Zavety Il'icha" or "Lenin's Legacy"), on one weekend and one free day. The time budgets of 496 collective farmers ( 250 families) were investigated, of which 268 were in Kherson Oblast and 228 in Altai Krai. The sample included 276 women ( 55.5 per cent) and 220 men ( 44.5 per cent). Within each region, one progressive farm ("Kirov" and "Rodina") and cne backward farm ("Novaya zhizn" and "Zavety li'icha") was chosen te determine how the structure of time budgets
changed, depending on the prosperity of the farm, and the amount of cultural service available. Unfortunately, the results of this aspect of the compa:ison were not included in the published version of the article. It was impossible, therefore, to draw any conclusions for the use of media on the two different types of farms.

On the whole, women tended to participete in those activities which could be called passive, such as radio, television, and visiting. Men, on the other' ind, engaged in training and self-education, reading (three times as much as women), movies and cluts. This may be due to the smaller proportion of free time available to women after the completion of domestic shores. The following specific information was indicated: 81

Radio Iistening and Television Watching--8 of Free Time

|  | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Week day | 11.38 | 16.48 |
| Free day | $5.1 \%$ | 6.18 |

Other studies were carried out on workers in Kiev, the Ukrainian $S S R$, and in other areas, but most of these studies did not yield specific information on media behavior. A recommendation by social scientists in 1960 reviewed some suggestions on methodology in conducting leisure time studies. These resolutions indicated the desirability of gatr 3 ring more spesific information on time spent with radio and television. 82

By far the most fruitful study for our purposes was
*hat carried out by the Laboratory of Sociological Studies -" the Department of Philosophy of Leningrad state University in late $1960 .^{83}$ The subiects of the study were workers in the tool and die shop of the Kirov plant in Leningrad. One hundred weekly (or 700 daily; time budgets were collected from the group. The table on the following page sammarized the information resulting from the study which is pertinent to time spert ith radio and tu.:yision. The priurity level of television in leisure time activities can be seen frorn the following datas
(1) Study--largest item--18.5\% of tot.al free time.
(2) Receiving guests, valks without children, conversations with friends, $16.6 \%$
(3) Reading literature--14\%
(4) Watrhing teievision--12.5\%
(5) Civic activity, time with children, visite tc movies and theatres, 5-6\% each.

As a whole, it was found that workers spent more time On study and, in consequence, spent less time visiting and watching television. Engineering and technical personnel have iess free time, since they have less rigid work schedules, but spend a greate-: proportion of that free time watchin television. The importance of television was noted in the Btudy itself:

The television has become a part of normal life. Thus, of the 100 persons surveyed, 67 watch television in the course of a week. It would appear that in large cities televiaion is becoming one of the major forms of cultural influence upon the masses, and is pushing the motion picture theatres into second place...This emphasizes once again the need for a carefuily thought out utiliication $c$ : television as an important mean: of educating and bringing culture to the masses. 84
'lime Spent Watching Television by Personnel of the Kirov Plant Tool and Die Shop, Leningrad (per meok)


* ITRe technical personnel; OE=office emoloyee; A=Schools for working Youth, preparatory school courses, colleges; $B=$ Political eciucation courses; $C=$ Non-students.
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Appendices

| Republic | Year | Population | Total number of receiving sets | Number of Wired Sets | Wave Sets | Television Sets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total USSR | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1960 \\ & 1961 \\ & 1962 \\ & 1963 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 208,826,650 \\ & 216,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52,800,000 \\ & 62,600,000 \\ & 69,000,000 \\ & 73,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,000,000 \\ & 30,300,000 \\ & 32,000,000 \\ & 33,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,200,000 \\ & 27,000,000 \\ & 30,500,000 \\ & 32,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,000,000 \\ & 4,000,000 \\ & 6,500,000 \\ & 8,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| RSFSR | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1960 \\ & 1961 \\ & 1962 \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117,534,315 \\ & 121,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,000,000 \\ & 40,000,000 \\ & 42,500,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,000,000 \\ & 1,1,105,000 \\ & 19,000,00 \\ & 19,100,000 \\ & 19,500,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,000,000 \\ & 16,900,000 \\ & 18,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,000,000 \\ & 4,000,000 \\ & 5,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ukrainian SSR | $\begin{aligned} & 1939 \\ & 1960 \\ & 1961 \\ & 1662 \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41,869,046 \\ & 43,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,187,000 \\ & 14,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,6 i 8,000 \\ & 7,203,000 \\ & 7,31,000 \\ & 3,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,5 \\ 2,200,000 \\ 3,500,000 \end{array}$ | $9,000$ $2,500,000$ |
| Byelorussian SSR | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1960 \\ & 1961 \\ & 190 \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,054,648 \\ & 3,500,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $1,409,000$ $1,950,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,171,000 \\ & 1,25,000 \\ & 1,322,000 \\ & 1,400,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 340,000 \\ & 400,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { (NO } & \\ & 62,000 \\ & 50,000 \end{array}$ |
| Moldavian SSR | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1960 \\ & 1961 \\ & 19 \div 2 \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,034,477 \\ & 3,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 491,000 \\ & 5 r i z, 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 359,000 \\ & 397,000 \\ & 434,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145,000 \\ & 175,000 \end{aligned}$ | ,000 21,000 |
| Latrian SSR | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1960 \\ & 1961 \\ & 1962 \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,093,453 \\ & 2,500,000 * \end{aligned}$ | 473,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 173,000 \\ & 133,000 \\ & 186,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,000 \\ & 4.15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 50:000 |
| Lithuanian SSR | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1959 \\ 1960 \\ 1961 \\ 1962 \\ 1963 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,711,445 \\ & 3,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 375,000 \\ & 616,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163,000 \\ & 167,000 \\ & 169,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200,000 \\ & 270,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,000 \\ & 21,000 \\ & \\ & 1.6,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Estonian SSR | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1959 \\ 1960 \\ 1961 \\ 1962 \\ 1933 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,196,791 \\ & 1,500,000^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 295,000 \\ & 417,565 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79,000 \\ & 31,000 \\ & 83,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 216 \\ 225,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & 39,000 \\ & 53,000 \end{array}$ |
| Georgiar SSR | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1960 \\ & 1961 \\ & 1962 \\ & 1963 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 ; 044,045 \\ & 4,500,000 * \end{aligned}$ | 511,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 309 ; 000 \\ & 320,000 \\ & 332,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15:, 00 k \\ & 200,000 \\ & 217,000 \end{aligned}$ | 52,050 |
| Azerbaidianian SSR | 1959 | 3.697 .717 | 552.000 | 306.000 | 247 | \% |


|  |  | $? 000,000 *$ |  | i $=9, \ldots$ | 270,000 | un |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eatontan SSK | (1935 | 1,190,79 | $417,565$ |  | ${ }_{225,00 \infty}^{214}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33,000 \\ & 53,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| ceorgle. ${ }^{\text {SSR }}$ | ( 19.19 | $\begin{array}{r} -4,044,045 \\ 4,50,000 * \end{array}$ | 511,000 | 309,000 330,000 332,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \pi, 0 \infty \\ & 200,0 \infty \\ & 21,+\infty \end{aligned}$ | 52, 20 |
| Azerbatijanion Ssp |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,697,717 \\ & 4,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 553,060 \\ & 650,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $250,000$ | $68,0 \infty 0$ |
| ATrieniar SSR |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,753,048 \\ & 2,00,000 * \end{aligned}$ | 285,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 132,000 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 139,000 \\ 136,000 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{165,000}^{153,}$ | 38,000 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9,30,347 \\ & 10,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $1,300,000$ $1,900,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 992,000 \\ 1,060,0,000 \\ 1, \\ 1,000,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 350,000 \\ & 50,00 \\ & 510,000 \\ & 700,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Uzbe. SsR |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8,105,704 \\ & 9,000,000 * \end{aligned}$ | $1,259,000$ $1,850,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 832,000 \\ 980,7000 \\ 90,000 \\ 1,000,000 \end{array}$ | ( | 350,000 |
| K1rehiz SSR |  | 2,005, 337 2,30,000* | 239,4000 | $\begin{aligned} & 186,000 \\ & 202,0,000 \\ & 212,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60,100 \\ 82,400 \\ 100,000 \end{array}$ | 18.10000 |
| Tadehik esk |  | 1,999;09? 2,200,000* | 206,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 145,000 \\ & 148,000 \\ & 157,000 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{74,000}{ }^{61,}$ |  |
| Trurken SSR |  | 1,516,375 1,700,000* | 222,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 138,0,000 \\ & 150,000 \\ & 150,000 \\ & 120,000 \\ & -20,000 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{84,000}^{84,}$ | 1500 $\begin{array}{r}15,00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |

## Notes to TABLE I. A.

1. Upon examinaicion of ths total figures for USSR, it will be seen that the: sometimes ditfer from thos? totals for the Union Repislic figures (not calculated on table). In both cases. officia? Sovier statistics have been used. In 1959, foi example, the officiai INSR figure for the total number of receiving sets was $57,00,000$; the total of the Union Republic fisures is $46,893,000$. In some cases, discrepancies are merely the result of figures being presented in rounded form.
2. In regard to the statistics on the number of wave sets in 1962, most of the figures used were those made available by Mr. Max Ralis, of Radio Liberty. His statistics indicat: that the sum of the Union Republic figures is arproximately half that for the USSR. Official statistics are available only for the RSESR for that yerar. The official figure of $16,900,000$ is considerably greater than the .l1,200, c, 0 used by Mr. Ralis. if other official republic figures are in keeping with thi one, the result would no doubt be thet the total of the Union Republic figures would be much closer to the official iTSR total. Whatever discre aricy may then exist would perhaps be due to tbe fact that nca-civilian sets (i.e., military, etc.) would be counted into the total USSR figure, whereas they would be omitted from the Union Republic statistics. The amount of television sets for non-civilian use remains, however, \& matter for specuiation.
3. Nigures in the table marked by an asterisk have been estimated on the basis of statistics for previous years; for example, the 196 population figures art approximate projectisns of the 1953 population census stetistics.
4. Soviet expectations for the number of television sets by the end of 1963 and 1965 are $11,000,000$ and $15,000,000$, respectively.
*From RSFSR v 1962 (Statistical Handbook), Gosstatizdat, Moscow, 1962. In zddenda, the Narodnoye Khoziasivo RSFSR $v 1961$ (Moscow, 1962) gires an even higher 1 .gure for the RSFSR--17,051,000.

Narodicye Khoziaistvo v 1961, Moscow, 1962.
reess articles on annual Radio Dey.
Radio Liberty Research Notes.
Results of the All-Union Populatirn Census, 1959, Central Statistical Administration, Moscow, 1959.

RSFSR v 1962 (Statistical Handbook), Mosccw, 1962.

I-B. Number of Recei:ing Sets Available for Sale to the Soviet Population (in thousands)

Radio Sets (Waive) and Radio-phonograph combinations

| Year | Total Population | To Rural Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 1,247 | 395 |
| 1958 | 3,066 | 1,449 |
| 1959 | 3,888 | 1,377 |
| 1960 | 4,051 | 1,561 |
| 1961 | 4,132 | 1,498 |
| 1962 | 4,068 | 1,390 |

Note: Decline in number of availoiole sets in 1962.

## Television Sets

| 1952 | 68 | 0.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1958 | 912 | 74 |
| 1959 | 1,132 | 122 |
| 1960 | 1,528 | 212 |
| 1961 | 1,803 | 258 |
| 1962 | 1,997 | 353 |

Source: Nairodnoye Khoziastvo v 1962, SSSR, p. 490.
I.C. Production of Receiving Sets, USSR

| Year | Wave Radio and Radio-Phonographs | Television Sets |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1952 | $1,296,000$ | 37,400 |
| 1958 | $3,902,000$ | 979,000 |
| 1959 | $4,035,000$ | $1,277,000$ |
| 1960 | $4,165,000$ | $1,726,000$ |
| 1961 | $4,228,000$ | $1,949,000$ |
| 1962 | $1,251,000$ | $2,168,000$ |
| 1964 plan | $y-6,000,000$ | $3,000,000$ |

Sources: Narodnoye Khoziastvo v 1962, SSSR, Moscow, 1963, pp. 126-202.
1964 plan figures from Noviye Tovari (New Products) No. 9, 1963.
I.D. Discrepancies Between Official Figures on Radio and Televicinn Sets: Produced, in Existence, and Available ror Sale to Total population

| Year | Number of Sets <br> Produced | Increase in No. <br> of Existing Sets | Number of Sets for <br> Sale to Total <br> Population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Radio Wave Sets and Radio-Phonograph Combinations

| 1952 | $1,296,000$ | $\cdots$ | $1,247,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1958 | $3,902,000$ | $\cdots$ | $3,686,000$ |
| 1959 | $4,035,000$ | $3,000,000$ | $3,888,000$ |
| 1960 | $4,165,000$ | $3,100,000$ | $4,051,000$ |
| 1961 | $4,228,000$ | $2,700,000$ | $4,132,000$ |
| 1962 | $4,251,000$ | $2,300,000$ | $4,068,000$ |

Television Sets

| 1952 | 37,400 | $-\ldots-\ldots$ | 68,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1958 | 979,000 | $-\ldots-\ldots$ | 912,000 |
| 1959 | $1,277,000$ | $1,100,000$ | $1,132,000$ |
| 1960 | $1,766,000$ | $1,200,000$ | $1,528,000$ |
| 1961 | $1,949,000$ | $1,700,000$ | $1,803,000$ |
| 1962 | $2,168,000$ | $1,800,00$ | $1,997,000$ |

[^3]I.E. Number and Thpe of Radiobroadcasting Stations USSR
$$
19 \epsilon 3^{*}
$$

| Repubilc: | Long Wave | Medium Wave | Short h 've | FM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RSFSR | 31 | 31 | 33 | 35 |
| Latvian SSR | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Estonian SSR | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Lithuanian SSR | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Byelorussian SSR | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Ukrainian SSR | 2 | 14 | 1 | 20 |
| Moldavian SSR | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Georgian SSR | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Armenian SSR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Azerbaidzhanian SSR | J | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Turkmenian SSR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Uzbek SSR | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Tadzhik SSR | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Kirghiz SSR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Kazakh SSR | 3 | 1 | 12 | 3 |
| Total USSR | 47 | 62 | 61 | 86 |

*From information in RADIO LIBERITY map of April, 1963.

## I.F. Number of Television Centers in the USSR, 1952-1962

| Year | Total Iumber of TV Centers <br> and Relay Stations | Of. That, Number of TV <br> Centers \& Lerge Relay <br> Stations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 3 | 3 |
| 1958 | 139 | 62 |
| 1959 | 210 | 84 |
| 1960 | 275 | 100 |
| 1961 | 347 | 116 |
| 1962 | 397 | 130 |

Marodnoye Khoziastvo v +362 SSSR, p. 422, Moscow, 1963.
I.G. PRINCIPLE TELEVISION SIUDIOS IN OPERATION IN THE USSR

During November of 1959

| Name | Date of opening (month, year) | Frequency Channel (number) | Number Size of <br> of studios <br> Studios (sq.m.) |  | Number <br> गf <br> Television Channels | Days per week on the air |  |  |  | Total amt. of time on air acc. - 1959 plan (in hrs.) | Includint <br> Studio on broad- sp. costs br ce: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { h quar- } \\ & \text { r } 1959 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quar- } \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Central (city of Moscow) | 4/51 | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{w}) \\ & 1 \& 3 \\ & 9(\mathrm{col}) \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 600 ; 300 ; \\ & 180 ; 150 ; \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ |  | 20 | 7 | 6'5' | 7 | 8'45" | 3000 | 1450 | 7 |
| $\frac{\text { RSFSR }}{\text { Arnavirskaya }}$ | 2/59 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 4 | 1'55" | 5 | 2'40" | 388 | 52 | -. |
| Barncul'skaya | 10/56 | 3 | 1 | 50 | 4 | 5 | 3'10" | 6 | 3'6" | 825 | 170 | -. |
| Biyskaya | 4/57 | 1 | 1 | 120 | 4 | 5 | 2'40" | 5 | 3"15" | 097 | 140 |  |
| Bryanskaya | 3/59 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 140" | 7 | 5'25" | 445 | 42 |  |
| Vakutinskaya | 1/59 | 3 | 1 | 200 | 3 | 5 | 21 | 6 | 3'5' | 535 | 90 |  |
| Voronezhskaya | 10/56 | 1 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | 3'30" | 7 | 4'55" | 1113 | 215 |  |
| Vladivostukskaya | 12/55 | 1 | 1 | 150 | 4 | 5 | 3'10" | 6 | 3'5" | 825 | 190 | -- |
| Gorkovskeya | 8/57 | 2 | 2 | 250;40 | 5 | 6 | $3 '$ | 6 | $3^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ | 357 | 225 | l: |
| Izhevskaya | 10/56 | 2 | 1 | 80 | 5 | 5 | 2'30" | 6 | $3^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ | 761 | 105 |  |
| Irkutsikaya | 12/57 | 3 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | $3 '$ | 6 | 3'30" | 957 | 215 |  |
| K-zanskay | 10/59 | 1 | 2 | 360;50 | 4 | 5 | 50" | 6 | 3'10" | 210 | 45 | -- |
| Kalsuirgradskaya | 7/58 | 4 | 1 | 60 | 3 | 5 | 2'30" | 6 | 3'5" | 761 | 130 | -- |
| Kemerovskaya | 3/58 | 5 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | 2'25" | 6 | 3'30" | 748 | 1.30 |  |
| Kirovskaya | 2/58 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 6 | 2'40" | 6 | 3'30'" | 853 | 180 | -- |
| Krasnodarskaya | 7/57 | 5 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | 31 | 6 | 3'30" | 957 | 210 |  |
| Krasnoyarskaya | 10/57 | 2 | 2 | 300;50 | 5 | 6 | 2'45'1 | 6 | 3'30' | 879 | 210 |  |
| Kuybyshevskaya | 2/58 | 3 | 2 | 290; 45 *** | 4 | 6 | 3'30' | 6 | 4'5" | 11.13 | 255 | 1 |
| I eningradskaya | 3/52 | 1 | 1 | 67,STRP*** | 4 | 6 | $4^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 6 | 4'55" | 1270 | 475 | c |
| Murmanskaya | 11/57 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 6 | 2'50" | 6 | 3'5' | 879 | 165 |  |
| Nal'chikskaya | 2/57 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 2 | 5 | $2^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ | 6 | 3'5' | 961 | 175 |  |
| Novosibirskaya | 4/57 | 2 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | $4^{\prime \prime}$ | 6 | 4'55" | 1270 | 345 |  |
| Noril'skaya | 8/59 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 5 | 1'30" | 6 | 3'5' | 396 | 40 | -- |
| Omsicaya | 5/55 | 1 | 2 | 250;40 |  | 6 | $3^{\prime}$ | 6 | 3'30'1 | 957 | 215 |  |
| Penzenskaya | 10/58 | 4 | 1 | 200 | 4 | 5 | $2^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ | 6 | 3'5" | 761 | 130 | c |
| Perroskaya | 4/58 | 2 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | 2'40" | 6 | 3'30' | 853 | 165 | \% |
| Petrozavodskaya | 4/59 | 2 | 1 | 200 | 4 | 5 | 1'40" | 6 | 3'25" | 435 | 60 |  |
| Pyatigorskaya | 10/5 | 2 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 4 | 40" | 6 | 2'50" | 147 | 25 | - |
| Rostovskaya | 4/58 | 1 | 2 | 280;60 | 5 | 6 | 2'55"' | 6 | 4.40" | 930 | 205 | is |
| Rubtsovs caya | 8/57 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 4 | 5 | 2'35" | 5 | 3'10" | 697 | 130 | - |
| Saratorskaya | 12/57 | 1 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | 3'30" | 6 | 3'30" | 1113 | 280 | 1. |
| Sverdlovskaya | 7/55 | 3 | 2 | 2:20;30 | 5 | 6 | 4' | 6 | 4'54" | 1270 | 355 | 21 |
| Suchinskaya | 4/59 | 1 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 5 | $1{ }^{140}$ | 6 | 3'25"' | 430 | 85 | - |
| Stalingradskaya | 1/58 | 4 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | 2'40" | 6 | 3'30" | 853 | 195 | 1. |
| Stalinogorskaya | 12/56 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 7 | 4'54' | 7 | 5'25"' | 1790 | 57 |  |
| Tomskaya | $6 / 55$ | 1 | 1 | 210 | 4 | 6 | $3^{\prime}$ | 6 | 3'30" | -957 | 215 | - |
| Tyumenskaya | 7/58 | 2 | 1 | 60 | 2 | 5 | 2'40" | 5 | 3'10" | 697 | 115 |  |
| Ul 'yanovskaya | 11/59 | 5 | 1 | 200 | 4 | 3 | 26" | 5 | 2'35" | 70 | 10 | - |
| Ufimskaya | 2/59 | 1 | 2 | 220;30 | 4 | 5 | 1:40" | 6 | 3'25" | 547 | 75 |  |
| Cherepovetskaya | 20/59 | 5 | 1 | 200 | 3 | 3 | 1' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 2'35'" | 160 | 23 | - |
| Chelyabinskaya | 7/58 | 4 | 2 | 300; 50 | 4 | 6 | 2'40" | 6 | 3'30' | 853 | 180 |  |
| Yaroslavskaye: | 1/58 | c | 1 | 80 | 4 | 7 | 4'55" | 7 | $5^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ | 1790 | 125 | - |

[^4]
I.G. Television Studios in Operation in the USSR, 1959

| NameDste of <br> opening <br> (month, <br> year) |  | Frequency Channel (number) | Number of Studios |  | Number of Tele visiuv Channels | Days per week. on the air |  |  |  | Total tine on the air acc. to 1959 plan | [ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | qusr- <br> 1959 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{te} \\ & \text { te } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quar- } \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Ukrainian SSR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dnepropetrovskaya | 5/58 | 5 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | $4^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 4'30" | 1319 |  |
| Zaporozhskaya | 4/59 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 6 | 4'20' | 6 | 4'30" | 1353 |  |
| Ki yevskeya | 11/52 | 2 | 2 | 300; 180 | 8 | 7 |  | 7 | 6'35" | 1494 |  |
| Luganskaya | 6/58 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 6 | 3'40" | 6 | 4'30" | 1136 |  |
| L'vousikaya | 11/57 | 1 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 6 | 3'30" | 6 | 4'30" | 1104 |  |
| Nikolayerskaya | 8/59 | 2 | 1 | 80 | 2 | 6 | 2'20 | 6 | 4'30" | 730 |  |
| Odesskaya | 20/56 | 5 | 2 | 300; 50 | 4 | 6 | 3'30" | 6 | 4'30' | ! 104 |  |
| Stalinskaya | 8/56 | 4 | 2 | 280; 60 | 5 | 6 | 3'30" | 6 | 4'30" | 1104 |  |
| Simferopol'skaya | 1/59 | 3 | 2 | 300,50 | 4 | 6 | 1'35" | 6 | $41$ | 522 |  |
| Khar'kovskaya | 4/55 | 3 | 2 | 210; 45 | $5$ | 6 | $4^{1}$ | 7 | $5^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ | 1260 |  |
| Mhersonskajs | 4/59 | 3 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 6 | 3'20' | 6 | 4'30" | 1043 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Belorussian SSR } \\ & \text { Gomel'skaya } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gomel'skaya Minskaya | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 58 \\ & 7 / 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 290 ; 90 ; 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2 \prime 45^{\prime \prime} \\ & 3 \cdot 30^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 24 " \\ & 3^{\prime}-25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 575 \\ 1111 \end{array}$ |  |
| Kazakh SSR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Azma-Atinshyys | 4/58 | 3 | 2 | 290;45 | 8 | 6 | 2'50" | 6 | $3^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ | 900 |  |
| Karagandinskaya. | 10/58 | 1 | 2 | 300;50 | 4 | 5 | 2'55" | 5 | $3^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ | 770 740 |  |
| Ust'-Kame nogorskays | 7/58 | 1 | 1 | 200 | 4 | 5 | 2'50' | 5 | $2^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Azerbaijan SSR }}{\text { Bakinskaya }}$ | 1/56 | 3 | 2 | 290;45 | 8 | 6 | $3^{\prime 2} 25^{\prime \prime}$ | 6 | 3'55' | 1076 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Lithuanian SSR }}{\text { Vil }^{\prime} \text { nyusskaya }}$ | 2/57 | 4 | 2 | 340;50 | 5 | 6 | $3^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ | 6 | $4^{\prime}$ | 1000 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Armenian SSR } \\ \text { Yerevanskaya } \end{array}$ | 21/56 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 3 | 6 | 3'30" | 6 | 3'25" | 1070 |  |
| Moldavian SSR Kushinevskaya | 5/58 | 3 | 1 | 30 | 4 | 5 | 2'40" | 5 | 3:35" | 715 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Latvian SSR }}{\text { Rizhskuya }}$ | 4/55 | 3 | 2 | 310;35 | 5 | 6 | 4'15' | 6 | 51 | 1309 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Estonian SSR }}{\text { 'rallinskaya }^{\prime}}$ | 7/55 | 28 s | 1 | 100 \& the main radio station | 5 | 6 | 4'55' | 6 | 6'10" | 1512 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Uzbek SSR } \\ \hline \text { Tashikentskaya } \end{array}$ | 11/56 | 3 | 2 | 325; 45 | 8 | 7 | $3^{\prime}$ | 7 | 3'50' | 1111 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Georgian SSR }}{\text { Tbilisshaya }}$ | 9/56 | 4 | 1 | 60 | 3 | 6 | 3'50' | 6 | 4' | 1211 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Kirghiz SSR }}{\text { Frunzenskaya }}$ | 1/59 | 1 | 1. | 200 | 4 | 4 | 2'20' | 5 | 2'40" | 480 |  |

Source: Radio 1 Teievideniye v SSSR, S.V. Kaftanov et. al., ede., Moscow, 1961.

, Moscow, 196 ?
I.H. Wired Sets in Rural Localities 1950-1961 (millions)

| Republic | Rural <br> Porulation <br> 1959 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USSR | 108.850 | 15.094 | 16.130 | 16.723 |
| RSFSR | 55.9 | 8.028 | 8.397 | 8.623 |
| Ukrainian SSR | 22.722 | 4.000 | 4.418 | 4.761 |
| Byelorusian SSR | 5.574 | .79 | .857 | .894 |
| Uzbek SSR | 5.377 | .635 | .679 | .686 |
| Kazakh SSR | 5.243 | .494 | .537 | .551 |
| Georgian SSR | 2.331 | .158 | .164 | .165 |
| Azerbaidzhanian SSR | 1.930 | .188 | .209 | .212 |
| Lithuanian SSR | 1.666 | .078 | .081 | .082 |
| Moldavian SSR | 2.242 | .274 | .306 | .338 |
| Latvian SSR | .919 | .066 | .069 | .069 |
| Kirghiz SSR | 1.370 | .111 | .124 | .135 |
| Tadzhik SSR | 1.334 | .093 | .096 | .1014 |
| Armenian SSR | .881 | .072 | .076 | .070 |
| Turknenian SSR | .816 | .079 | .089 | .095 |
| Estonian SSR | .026 | .028 | .028 |  |

Sources: Population: Results of the All-Union Population Census, Moscow, 1959, p. 17.

Other Figures from Narodnoye Khoziastvo v 1961 SSSR, p. 525.

## 1 1. Distribution of 'itclevis'on Channels oy Tolevision Centers, USSR (as of August, 1962)

| Aktyabir.jk . .. . . . ...ll | Lup̧ensk ..................... 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Astrakhan... ... . ... 1 | Magadan. . ............ .....l |
| Armavir. . . . . . .... . 1 | Minsk..................... . |
| Ashkhabad.. . . .... ... ....l | Moscow. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .1, 3,5 |
| Andizuar:, .... .. ..... 2 | Murmansk. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |
| Alme-Ata. . . . . . . . . . . . 3 | Nal'shik. .. ............... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Biysk... . .. ............ 1 | Norilsk.. ...... ............. 1 |
| Bahu. . ...... ... . . . . 3 | Nikolayev. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |
| Barmaul . ..... .. ... ... 3 | Novosibirsk. . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |
| Voronezh. . . . . . ... . 1 | Novgorod. .. . . ... ......... 4 |
| Vladivostok ... .... ...,.. 1 | Namangan . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 |
| Bi nsk . . . ........ .. 2 | Novcmoskjvsk. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 |
| Vo..ute . . . ..... . . . 3 | Omsk. . . . ..... ........... 1 |
| Vilnous... . . . . . . 4 | Odessa.. |
| Vlaciser . . . .... .. . 4 | Perm. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |
| Volgograd. . . . .. . . 4 | Mır rczavodsk............ ... . 2 |
| Corkiy . . ... ..... .. . 2 | Pyatigorsk. . ........ ....... 2 |
| Gomel . . . . . 3 | Petropavlovsk (Kazan.SSR).... 3 |
| Grozniy. . . . ........ . 3 | Penza.. . . ............ ..... 4 |
| izhezkazgan ............... 1 | Rostov-on-Don. . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |
| Dr shanbe. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 | Rubtsova... ............... . . ? |
| Doretミk. . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 | Rya.an'. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |
| Dnequepetrovsk ....... . . . . 5 | Riga. . . . . .................... 3 |
| Yerevan . . . .. ....... . 1 | Saratov........... .... . . . . . 1 |
| Izhevsk. . ............ . 1 | Sochi............... .......... 1 |
| Irkutsk .... . . ......... 3 | Siverdlovsk................ . . . . . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| Trenovo. . ... ... ..... . 5 | Simferopol. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |
| razan ${ }^{\text {a }}$... . . . ...... 1 | Salavat . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 |
| Krraganda. . ...... . . . 1 | Smolyensk ... ...... . . . . . . . 5 |
| Kc micmolsk-on-Amur ..... .. 1 | Tomsk .... ................. 1 |
| Kul. diga . . .. ......1 | mallin $\quad . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |
| -sijnin. . . .. . . . ... 2 | Tyumen' . . .................. 2 |
| Ktev. . . . . . 2 | Tashkent. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |
| Krasnoynrsk ... .... . 2 | Tbilisi. .... ........... . 4 |
| Kirov. ..., . ..... ... 2 | Ust ' -Kamenogorsk................ 1 |
| Kishinyov . . . . . . . 3 | Ufe |
| Roloma ... .. . . . . . . 3 | Ulyanovsk...................... 5 |
| Kostroma. .. . ...... ... 4 | Frunze. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |
| Kuibyshev . . . ....... 3 | Khabarovik .......... ....... 3 |
| Kelmirgrad , .. . ......... 4 | Kherson. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |
| Kernrovo... . . . . . . . . 5 | Cnelyabinsk,......... . . . . . 4 |
| K-asnodar . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 | Cherepovets ............. . . 5 |
| Leaingrad . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 | Yuis. nc-Sakhalinsk. . . . . . . . . . 3 |
| Lvev.. : ........ . ....l | Yaros]av1'. . .. |

[^5]
## I.J. Distribution of Freguencies c:- Television Channels

 Used in the USSRTelevision Channel

Carrier Frequency
Visual Portion MC
9.75
59.25
77.25 85.25
93.25
175.25 183.25 191.25
199.25
207.25
P. 15.25
223.25

Carrier Frequency Sound Portion MC

| 1 | 9.75 | 56.25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 59.25 | 65.75 |
| 3 | 77.25 | 83.75 |
| 4 | 85.25 | 91.75 |
| 5 | 93.25 | 99.75 |
| 6 | 175.25 | 181.75 |
| 7 | 183.25 | 189.75 |
| 8 | 191.25 | 191.75 |
| 9 | 199.25 | 205.75 |
| 10 | 207.25 | 213.75 |
| 11 | $? .15 .25$ | 221.75 |
| 12 | 223.25 | 229.75 |


I.K. Characteristics of Several Types of Soviet Radios


Editorial Boards:


Main Editorial Boards:


The Editorial Boards for the other administrative areas usually consist of ten persons: Editor-in-Chief, workers of the editoriel bourd of socio-political broadcasts and musicel-artistic hroadcasts, and announcers. Such is the administration of Psicov, Nov-. gorod, Ryazin, Kalinin, and other cities of comparable size and importance. This size group is responsible for considerably less programing per day than is the Leningrad Committee.
riate Commit ee for Radio and Television

Main Editorial Board for Television


In the Main Editorial Boards are the following Personnel: Editor-in-Chief, Senior Editors, Editore; Main, Senior and and Ordinary Directors, assistants and aides to Directors: Controllers of Broadcasts, Announcers: fylm Operators: Engineers of sound recording: Sound Directors: Artisticproducers, artistic-scriptwriters, artistic photographers, other special production cells.
**From accumulated source materials.


## BLANK PAGE

III. A. Broadcast Content and Frequency, Before and After October 15, 196?

| Topic | Before |  | After |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Times | Minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { Times } \end{aligned}$ | Minutes |
| First Program (All-Union) |  |  |  |  |
| News | 78 | 1,020 | 111 | 760 |
| Press review | 20 | 305 | 32 | 455 |
| Commentators' talks | 16 | 185 | 20 | 215 |
| Your letters | ? | 15 | -- | --- |
| Answers to questions | -- | -- | 1 | 15 |
| Talks (sociological, sconomic, political) | ) 6 | 90 | -- | -- |
| In_ressions and thoughts | -* | -- | 6 | 90 |
| From the Socialist countries | 6 | 180 | 6 | 180 |
| Writers at the Mierophone | 4 | 25 | 1 | 15 |
| Tomsomolia | 2 | 45 | -- | -- |
| Youth | -- | -- | 1 | 25 |
| Total |  | 65 |  | ,755 |

$$
(1 .,-1)
$$

III. A. (continued)

|  | Before |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number of Minutes Number of <br> Times | Nimes <br> Second Progran |
| (R3FSR) |  |  |


| Hews | 22 | 300 | 21 | 280 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Press ROV | -- | --- | 27 | 345 |
| Talks on international topics | 3 | 40 | 8 | 120 |
| From socialist countries | 7 | 210 | 5 | 150 |
| "Youth" | -- | --- | 7 | 630 |
| Wsteners' Letters | -- | --- | 7 | 70 |
| rotal |  | 550 |  | , 595 |

1II. A. (continued)

|  | Before |  | After |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ahumber of THmes | Minutes | Number of THmes | Minutes |
| Fourth Program |  |  |  |  |
| News | 27 | 375 | 144 | 1,260 |
| Press review | 6 | 60 | 34 | 445 |
| News for Arctic \& Antarctic | -- | -- | 4 | 120 |
| Commentaries, talks | 1 | 30 | 21 | 275 |
| Your letters | 2 | 45 | -- | -- |
| Impressions, thought and plans | ts | -- | 3 | 45 |
| Answers to your questions | -- | -- | 1 | 20 |
| Writers at the microphone | -- | -- | 2 | 40 |
| Total |  | 510 |  | 2,205 minutes |

These tables were prepared as a result of a monitoring period of eight days, beginning on October 15, 1972. These time periods, may not be the same today, but may be considered representative of the present schedule, and parificularly of the present schedule contrasted with the pre-October 15,1968 schedule.

From: "An Eight-Day Analysis of liev Programmes on the Boviet Radio," Leonid Kubik, Radio liberty, October 25, 1962.
III. B. Wavi-length Table of Pre-October 15, 1962 Suhedule

WAVEL WICNH TARLE
OF IRALSMTSIOXS OF LHE lst AND 2nd PROGRANS BI CEHIRAL BROADCASTING


Source: Kaftanov, S.V., et. al., eds., Radio i telfvedeniye, USSR. Moscow, 1961, p. 35.
( (i..'.)

| Republic Pro | Irumber of Total Daily gram Channels cest THme | Language of Broadcasts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RSFSR: Total information not given. Broadcasts genarally in Russian, primarily from Central Broadcasting in Moscow. Example: Leningrad broadcasts all basic Central Broadcsating prograns, plus 10.5 local hours per day. Krasnoyarsk broadcasts 8 local hours, Magadan T, Onsk 6, Trkutsk ot and so on. |  |  |
| Ukrainian SSR | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { 18t-9 hrs } \\ & 2 \mathrm{nd}-7 \mathrm{hrs} \end{aligned}$ | Ukrainian Russian Bulgarian |
| Byelorussian SSR | 218 hrs | Byelorussian Russian |
| Latvien | 217 hrs | Latrian Russian |
| Lithuanian SSR | 2 basic, $18 \mathrm{hrrs,25} \mathrm{~min}$ 2 extra* | İthuanian <br> Russian <br> Polish |
| Estonian SSR | $2 \quad 17 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Estonian Russian |
| Moldaviar. SSR | 212 hrs | Moldavian Russian Gaguaz |
| Azerbajdzhanian SSR | $1 \quad 15.8 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Azerbaldzhanian <br> Armenian <br> Russian |
| Arme.aian SSR | $220 \mathrm{hrs}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | Armerian <br> Russian <br> Arerbaidrbanian <br> Kurd <br> Arabic |
| Georgian SSR | $1 \quad 17.5 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Georgian <br> Russian <br> Armenian <br> Azerbaidmbanian |

III. B. (continued)

| Republic | Fumber <br> Program Cha | Total Daily cast Time | Language of Brogdcasts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kazalch SSR | 2 | $17 \mathrm{hrs}, 25 \mathrm{~min}$ | Kazakh, Russiac Urgur, Chechen German |
| Uzbek SSR | 2 | $15 \mathrm{hrs}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | Uzbek, Ruasian Tadzhik, English Uygur, arsi |
| Klıghiz SSR | 1 | $8 \mathrm{hrs}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | Kirghiz, Russian |
| Tadzhik SSR | 2 | 10 hrs | Tadzhik, Russian |
| Turkmen SSR | 1 | $8 \mathrm{hrs}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | Turkmen, Russian |

Source: Iomplied from Kaftanov, op. cit., pp. 109-126.
III. D. The Overali Volume of Telovision Broadcasting in tiae USSR (thousand hourn)

| Year | All TV Studios | Central TV Studio | Rebroadcast <br> Stations |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 2.3 | 0.8 |  |
| 1951 | 1.5 | 1.0 |  |
| 1952 | 2.1 | 1.1 |  |
| 1953 | 2.8 | 1.2 |  |
| 1954 | 3.4 | 1.4 |  |
| 1955 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 1956 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 1957 | 20.7 | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| 1958 | 40.2 | 3.0 | 8.8 |
| 1959 | 59.8 | 3.0 | 16.5 |
| 1960 plan | 89.4 | 3.2 | 28.4 |
| 1965 plan | 186.2 | 9.0 | 140.5 |

Source: Kaftanov, S.V., op. cit., p. 135.
III. E. CST Program Schedule by Category, Number of Broadcaste, and Broadcast Rours (uanuary throiagh June, ?960)


Source: Tuber, Richard, "A Survey of Programing on the Central Studios of Television, Koscow, USSR, January-June, 1960." Journal of Broadcasting, Fall, 1960, pp. 375-325.
III. F. Program Schedule of Radio and Television Programs for March 23, 1964 (Konday) in Koscon

## Radio

First Program (All-Union)
12:20 Vocal cycle "Ballad of War and Peace"
2:00 P.M. Variety Miniatures
3:30 P.M. "The Forty-fifth Spring," a program on the first fortyfive years of the Bashkir Autononous Republic
4:15 P.M. For Children: Stories
5:30 P.M. A Short Lesson on Musical Knowledge
6:30 P.I. A Concert of Requests
7:00 P.M. Candidates Nominated for Competition for Lenin Prizes:
Poetess I. Archipova
7:30 P. Mo Verses of Beshkir Poets
8:10 P.M. Continuation of Concert of I. Archipove
9:20 P.M. "In the Free Hour" Radio collection
10:30 P.M. Evening Prograi of the Radiostation "Iunost" (Iouth)
Second Program (RSISSR)
11:00 A. $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ Radio-Iniversity of Culture: "H. Ostrovskif"
12;00 P.M. "With a Saile and Without a Suile" Lyrical concert.
1.:00 P.M. "Daughter of a Russian Actor" Vodeville Grigorievna

3:00 P.M. For Children: "Old Man Khottebich"
4:00 P.M. "Stens of the Seven-Iear Plan" Progrem for Workers of Industry
5:45 F.M. "The Twentieth Century and Myths of Antiquity". Debate 6:00 P.M. Program of the Radio-Station "Yunost" (Youth)
7:00 P.M. New Songs of Soviet Authors
7:35 P.M Raral Library
8:10 P.M. J. London, "Thousand Dozen," a story
9:30 P.M. Broadcast of a Concert from Prague

## (•」)

III. F. (continued)

## Television

Program I. All-Union
11:30 A.M. "Street of the Younger Brother," Feature Film
4:55 P.M. Program broadcast
5:00 P.M. "Clut of Joyful Eumanists"
5:30 P.M. Spring Day
6:00 P.M. School of Agror:amists's Knowiedge
6:50 P. M. TV Reve
7:00 P.M. Concert of Hational Artiste RSI"~ス I. Archipova
9:00 P.M. "Coals, Spectacles, Beconds," Sports of the Keek
9:30 Р.M. TV Hews
10:30 P.M. Naster of Arts
Program II. (Moscow Area)
6:00 P.M. "In the World of Science and Technology"
6:40 P.K. "Colder Yourta" ("Yourta is a nomad tent)
8:00 P.M. Moscon Hews
8:20 P.N. "World Turned Toward the Sun," New Pilm survey.
8:40 P.M. "On Conatruction Sites of Moscow"
9:00 P.M. "Hews of Musical Life"

Source: Izvestia, Sunday, Marck e2, 1.964, p. 4.

## IV. A. Number of Persons Per Wireủ Set 1961

| Republic | Approximate 1961 Population (mililions) | Persons per set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USSR | 215. | 6.7 |
| RSFSR | 120. | 6.3 |
| Tkrainian SSR | 42. | 5.5 |
| Byelorussian SSR | 8.5 | 6.4 |
| Moldavian SSR | 2.9 | 6.6 |
| Tatvian SSR | 2.4 | 12.8 |
| Lithuanian SSR | 2.9 | 17.1 |
| Estonian SSR | 1.4 | 16.8 |
| Georgian r. R | 4.4 | 10.2 |
| Azerbadatananian SSR | 3.9 | 11.5 |
| Armenian $S^{\text {c }}$ R | 1.9 | 13.9 |
| Kazakh SER | 9.0 | 8.9 |
| Uzbek SSR | 8.9 | 9.8 |
| Kirghiz SSR | 2.2 | 10.3 |
| Tadzhik SSR | 2.1 | 13.3 |
| Turkmen SSR | 1.6 | 10.0 |
| (Based on data from | e I. A.) |  |

## IV. B. Number of Persons Per Wav: Set, 1962



is ahstract
Thif paper can be divided into six major sections. The first one deals with the broadcasting network within the Soviet Union:; Here the author delves into radiobroadcasting, broadcasting stations, television broadcasting, number of television stations, and radio and television in rural localities. The next section covers production and repair of radio and television sets and also covers future radio. and television sets and subscription fees. .. The third section treats the Administration of Soviet Radio and Television-the structural apparatus of the Broadcasting Administration and its functions. In the fourth chapter the author discusses programs and hours of radio and television broadcasting. Here she also goes into educational TV in the USSR, radio and television in Dnepropetrovsk, Ukrainian SSR, and recording of broadcasts. The fifth section pertains to Intervision waich is the central network connecting the television broadcasting systems of the major socialist countries. In the final section the author studies the Soviet audience-the size of the audience, the nature of the audience, and audience feedback and listening behavior.
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[^0]:    *The remaining papers are, News Broadcasting on Soviet Radio and Television, and Amateur Rauio in the USSR DY the same author.
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[^1]:    *See Appendix III, Table C

[^2]:    *The price of a $14 \times 10$ inch set in 1960 was 250 rubles, or about $\$ 275$.

[^3]:    Sources: Narodnoye Khoziastvo v 1962 SSSR, Moscow, 1963.
    Columin one--pp. 126, 202.
    Column two--p. 422.
    Column three--p. 490.

[^4]:    * (b/w) - black-white image
    ** (col) - color image
    *** STRP - stationary television relay point

[^5]:    Scurce: Andreiev, I.V. et al, Radio-Tovari (iadin Products), State Putiishing Howie for Trade Literature, Moscow, 1962, p. 116.

